VORNADO REALTY TRUST
Form 10-K
February 23, 2010

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended:December 31, 2009

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission File Number: 1 11954

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

22 1657560 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number including area code: (212) 894 7000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
---------------------	---------------------	---

Common Shares of beneficial interest,

\$.04 par value per share New York Stock Exchange

Series A Convertible Preferred Shares

of beneficial interest, no par value

New York Stock Exchange

Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of beneficial

interest, no par value:

8.5% Series B New York Stock Exchange

8.5% Series C New York Stock Exchange

7.0% Series E New York Stock Exchange

6.75% Series F New York Stock Exchange

6.625% Series G New York Stock Exchange

6.75% Series H New York Stock Exchange

6.625% Series I New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10 K or any amendment to this Form 10 K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

x Large Accelerated Filer

o Accelerated Filer

o Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

o Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES o NO x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common shares held by non affiliates of the registrant, i.e. by persons other than officers and trustees of Vornado Realty Trust, was \$7,216,326,000 at June 30, 2009.
As of December 31, 2009, there were 181,214,161 of the registrant s common shares of beneficial interest outstanding.
Documents Incorporated by Reference
Part III: Portions of Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 13, 2010.
This Annual Report on Form 10-K omits financial statements required under Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, for Toys R Us, Inc. and Lexington Realty Trust. An amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K will be filed as promptly as practicable following the availability of such financial statements.

INDEX

	Item	Financial Information:	Page Number
PART I.	1.	Business	4
	1A.	Risk Factors	8
	1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	20
	2.	Properties	20
	3.	Legal Proceedings	56
	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Executive Officers of the Registrant	57
PART II.	5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	58
	6.	Selected Financial Data	60
	7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	62
	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	109
	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	110
	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	164
	9A.	Controls and Procedures	164
	9B.	Other Information	166
PART III.	10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance (1)	166
	11.	Executive Compensation (1)	166
	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters (1)	166
	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence (1)	166
	14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services (1)	167

PART IV.15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	167
Signatures		168

⁽¹⁾ These items are omitted in whole or in part because the registrant will file a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after December 31, 2009, portions of which are incorporated by reference herein. See Executive Officers of the Registrant on page 57 of this Annual Report on Form 10 K for information relating to executive officers.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained herein constitute forward looking statements as such term is defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They represent our intentions, plans, expectations and beliefs and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Our future results, financial condition and business may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as approximates, believes. expects, anticipates. estimates. may or other similar expressions in this Annual Report on Form 10 K. We also note the following forward-looking statements: in the case of our development projects, the estimated completion date, estimated project cost and cost to complete; and estimates of future capital expenditures, common and preferred share dividends and operating partnership distributions. Many of the factors that will determine the outcome of these and our other forward-looking statements are beyond our ability to control or predict. For further discussion of factors that could materially affect the outcome of our forward-looking statements, see Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For these statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or the date of any document incorporated by reference. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company

Vornado Realty Trust (Vornado) is a fully integrated real estate investment trust (REIT) and conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership). Vornado is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 92.5% of the common limited partnership interest in, the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2009. All references to we, us, our, the Company and Vornado refer to Vornado Realty Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership.

On May 14, 2009, our Board of Trustees executed its long-planned management succession strategy and elected Michael D. Fascitelli, as our Chief Executive Officer, succeeding Steven Roth, who continues to serve as Chairman of the Board.

As of December 31, 2009, we own directly or indirectly:

Office Properties:

- (i) all or portions of 28 properties aggregating 16.2 million square feet in the New York City metropolitan area (primarily Manhattan);
- (ii) all or portions of 84 properties aggregating 18.6 million square feet in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia areas;
- (iii) a 70% controlling interest in 555 California Street, a three-building complex aggregating 1.8 million square feet in San Francisco s financial district;

Retail Properties:

(iv) 162 properties aggregating 22.6 million square feet, including 3.9 million square feet owned by tenants on land leased from us, primarily in Manhattan, the northeast states, California and Puerto Rico;

PART I 8

Merchandise Mart Properties:

(v) square f	8 properties aggregating 8.9 million square feet of showroom and office space, including the 3.5 million foot Merchandise Mart in Chicago;
(vi)	R Us, Inc. (Toys): a 32.7% interest in Toys which owns and/or operates 1,567 stores worldwide, including 851 stores in the States and 716 stores internationally;
Other 1	Real Estate Investments:
(vii) New Yo	32.4% of the common stock of Alexander s, Inc. (NYSE: ALX), which has seven properties in the greater ork metropolitan area;
(viii)	the Hotel Pennsylvania in New York City;
(ix)	mezzanine loans on real estate; and
(x)	other real estate and investments, including marketable securities.

Objectives and Strategy

Our business objective is to maximize shareholder value. We intend to achieve this objective by continuing to pursue our investment philosophy and executing our operating strategies through:

- Maintaining a superior team of operating and investment professionals and an entrepreneurial spirit;
- Investing in properties in select markets, such as New York City and Washington, DC, where we believe there is a high likelihood of capital appreciation;
- Acquiring quality properties at a discount to replacement cost and where there is a significant potential for higher rents;
- Investing in retail properties in select under-stored locations such as the New York City metropolitan area;
- Investing in fully-integrated operating companies that have a significant real estate component; and
- Developing and redeveloping existing properties to increase returns and maximize value.

We expect to finance our growth, acquisitions and investments using internally generated funds, proceeds from possible asset sales and by accessing the public and private capital markets. We may also offer Vornado common or preferred shares or Operating Partnership units in exchange for property and may repurchase or otherwise reacquire our shares or any other securities in the future.

We may also determine to raise capital for future real estate acquisitions through an institutional investment fund. We would serve as the general partner of the fund and would also expect to be a limited partner of the fund and have the potential to earn certain incentives based on the fund s performance. The fund may serve as our exclusive investment vehicle for a limited period of time for all investments that fit within the fund s investment parameters. If we determine to raise capital through a fund, the partnership interests offered would not be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and could not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration under that act or an applicable exemption from those registration requirements.

BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

The economic recession and illiquidity and volatility in the financial and capital markets have negatively affected substantially all businesses, including ours. Demand for office and retail space has declined nationwide due to bankruptcies, downsizing, layoffs and cost cutting. Real estate transactions and development opportunities have significantly curtailed and capitalization rates have risen. These trends have negatively impacted our 2008 and 2009 financial results, which include losses associated with abandoned development projects, valuation allowances on

investments in mezzanine loans and impairments on other real estate investments. The details of these non-cash charges are described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7 of this annual report on Form 10-K. It is not possible for us to quantify the impact of the above trends, which may continue in 2010 and beyond, on our future financial results.

ACQUISITIONS and DISPOSITIONS

We did not make any significant investments in real estate during 2009.

On September 1, 2009, we sold 1999 K Street, a newly developed 250,000 square foot office building, in Washington s Central Business District, for \$207,800,000 in cash, which resulted in a net gain of \$41,211,000. We also sold 15 retail properties during 2009 in separate transactions for an aggregate of \$55,000,000 in cash, which resulted in net gains aggregating \$4,073,000.

Financing Activities

In April 2009, we sold 17,250,000 common shares, including underwriters—over-allotment, in an underwritten public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement at an initial public offering price of \$43.00 per share. We received net proceeds of \$710,226,000, after underwriters—discount and offering expenses and contributed the net proceeds to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 17,250,000 Class A units of the Operating Partnership.

On September 30, 2009, we completed a public offering of \$460,000,000 principal amount of 7.875% callable senior unsecured 30-year notes (NYSE: VNOD) due October 1, 2039. The notes were sold to the public at par and may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, beginning in October 2014 at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. We received net proceeds of approximately \$446,000,000 from the offering which were used to repay debt and for general corporate purposes.

During 2009, we purchased \$1,912,724,000 (aggregate face amount) of our convertible senior debentures and \$352,740,000 (aggregate face amount) of our senior unsecured notes for \$1,877,510,000 and \$343,694,000 in cash, respectively. This debt was acquired through tender offers and in the open market and has been retired. We also repaid \$650,285,000 of existing property level debt and completed \$277,000,000 of property level financings.

Development and Redevelopment Projects

We are currently engaged in certain development/redevelopment projects for which we have budgeted approximately \$200,000,000. Of this amount, \$78,118,000 was expended prior to 2009 and \$50,513,000 was expended during 2009. Substantially all of the estimated costs to complete these projects, aggregating approximately \$71,000,000, are anticipated to be expended during 2010, of which approximately \$18,000,000 will be funded by existing construction loans. We are also evaluating other development opportunities for which final plans, budgeted costs and financing have yet to be determined. There can be no assurance that any of our development projects will commence, or if commenced, be completed on schedule or within budget.

Segment Data

We operate in the following business segments: New York Office Properties, Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties, Merchandise Mart Properties and Toys. Financial information related to these business segments for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are set forth in Note 22 Segment Information to our consolidated

Financing Activities 12

financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Merchandise Mart Properties segment has trade show operations in Canada and Switzerland. The Toys segment has 716 locations internationally. In addition, we have five partially owned nonconsolidated investments in real estate partnerships located in India, which are included in the Other segment.

SEASONALITY

Our revenues and expenses are subject to seasonality during the year which impacts quarterly net earnings, cash flows and funds from operations, and therefore comparisons of the current quarter to the previous quarter. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys—fourth quarter net income, which we record on a one-quarter lag basis in our first quarter, accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. The New York and Washington, DC Office Properties and Merchandise Mart Properties segments have historically experienced higher utility costs in the first and third quarters of the year. The Merchandise Mart Properties segment has also experienced higher earnings in the second and fourth quarters of the year due to major trade shows occurring in those quarters. The Retail Properties segment revenue in the fourth quarter is typically higher due to the recognition of percentage rental income.

6

Segment Data 13

tenants ACCOUNTING FOR over 10% of revenues

None of our tenants accounted for more than 10% of total revenues in any of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Certain Activities

We are not required to base our acquisitions and investments on specific allocations by type of property. We have historically held our properties for long term investment; however, it is possible that properties in the portfolio may be sold as circumstances warrant. Further, we have not adopted a policy that limits the amount or percentage of assets which could be invested in a specific property or property type. While we may seek the vote of our shareholders in connection with any particular material transaction, generally our activities are reviewed and may be modified from time to time by our Board of Trustees without the vote of shareholders.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, we have approximately 4,597 employees, of which 308 are corporate staff. The New York Office Properties segment has 128 employees and an additional 2,512 employees of Building Maintenance Services LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary, which provides cleaning, security and engineering services primarily to our New York Office and Washington, DC Office properties. The Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties and Merchandise Mart Properties segments have 396, 176 and 582 employees, respectively, and the Hotel Pennsylvania has 495 employees. The foregoing does not include employees of partially owned entities, including Toys or Alexander s, in which we own 32.7% and 32.4%, respectively.

principal executive offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10019; telephone (212) 894 7000.

SEASONALITY 14

MATERIALS AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10 K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10 Q, Current Reports on Form 8 K, and amendments to those reports, as well as Reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 regarding officers, trustees or 10% beneficial owners of us, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a), 15(d) or 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through our website (www.vno.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Also available on our website are copies of our Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines. In the event of any changes to these charters or the code or guidelines, changed copies will also be made available on our website. Copies of these documents are also available directly from us free of charge. Our website also includes other financial information about us, including certain non-GAAP financial measures, none of which is a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Material factors that may adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition are summarized below.

Real Estate Investments Value and Income Fluctuate Due to Various Factors.

The value of real estate fluctuates depending on conditions in the general economy and the real estate business. These conditions may also limit our revenues and available cash.

The factors that affect the value of our real estate investments include, among other things:

- national, regional and local economic conditions;
- competition from other available space;
- local conditions such as an oversupply of space or a reduction in demand for real estate in the area;
- how well we manage our properties;
- changes in market rental rates;
- the timing and costs associated with property improvements and rentals;
- whether we are able to pass some or all of any increases in operating costs through to tenants;
- changes in real estate taxes and other expenses;
- whether tenants and users such as customers and shoppers consider a property attractive;
- the financial condition of our tenants, including the extent of tenant bankruptcies or defaults;
- availability of financing on acceptable terms or at all;
- fluctuations in interest rates;
- our ability to secure adequate insurance;
- changes in taxation;
- changes in zoning laws;

- government regulation;
- consequences of any armed conflict involving, or terrorist attack against, the United States;
- natural disasters;
- potential liability under environmental or other laws or regulations; and
- general competitive factors.

The rents we receive and the occupancy levels at our properties may decline as a result of adverse changes in any of these factors. If rental revenues and/or occupancy levels decline, we generally would expect to have less cash available to pay indebtedness and for distribution to shareholders. In addition, some of our major expenses, including mortgage payments, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally do not decline when the related rents decline.

Capital markets and economic conditions can materially affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of our debt and equity securities.

There are many factors that can affect the value of our debt and equity securities, including the state of the capital markets and the economy, which have recently negatively affected substantially all businesses, including ours. Demand for office and retail space has declined nationwide due to bankruptcies, downsizing, layoffs and cost cutting. Real estate transactions and development opportunities have significantly curtailed and capitalization rates have risen. As a result, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of counterparties specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to reduce, and in some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers, and this may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, and the liquidity and financial condition of our tenants. If these market conditions continue, they may limit our ability and the ability of our tenants, to timely refinance maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs which may materially affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of our debt and equity securities.

Real estate is a competitive business.

Our business segments New York Office Properties, Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties, Merchandise Mart Properties, Toys and Other operate in highly competitive environments. We have a large concentration of properties in the New York City metropolitan area and in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia areas. We compete with a large number of property owners and developers, some of which may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. Principal factors of competition are rents charged, attractiveness of location, the quality of the property and the breadth and quality of services provided. Our success depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national, regional and local economies, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants and customers, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, governmental regulation, legislation and population trends.

We depend on leasing space to tenants on economically favorable terms and collecting rent from tenants who may not be able to pay.

Our financial results depend significantly on leasing space in our properties to tenants on economically favorable terms. In addition, because a substantial majority of our income comes from renting of real property, our income, funds available to pay indebtedness and funds available for distribution to shareholders will decrease if a significant number of our tenants cannot pay their rent or if we are not able to maintain occupancy levels on favorable terms. If a tenant does not pay its rent, we may not be able to enforce our rights as landlord without delays and may incur substantial legal costs. During periods of economic adversity such as we are currently experiencing, there may be an increase in the number of tenants that cannot pay their rent and an increase in vacancy rates.

Bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants may decrease our revenues and available cash.

From time to time, some of our tenants have declared bankruptcy, and other tenants may declare bankruptcy or become insolvent in the future. In the case of our shopping centers, the bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant could cause us to suffer lower revenues and operational difficulties, including leasing the remainder of the property. As a result, the bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant could result in a lower level of net income and funds available for the payment of indebtedness or for distribution to shareholders. The current economic environment and market conditions may result in tenant bankruptcies and write-offs, which could, in the aggregate, be material to our results of operations in a particular period.

We may incur costs to comply with environmental laws.

Our operations and properties are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations concerning the protection of the environment, including air and water quality, hazardous or toxic substances and health and safety. Under some environmental laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances released at a property. The owner or operator may also be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage or personal injuries and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred by those parties because of the contamination. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of the release of the substances or caused the release. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination may impair our ability to sell or lease real estate or to borrow

using the real estate as collateral. Other laws and regulations govern indoor and outdoor air quality including those that can require the abatement or removal of asbestos-containing materials in the event of damage, demolition, renovation or remodeling and also govern emissions of and exposure to asbestos fibers in the air. The maintenance and removal of lead paint and certain electrical equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and underground storage tanks are also regulated by federal and state laws. We are also subject to risks associated with human exposure to chemical or biological contaminants such as molds, pollens, viruses and bacteria which, above certain levels, can be alleged to be connected to allergic or other health effects and symptoms in susceptible individuals. We could incur fines for environmental compliance and be held liable for the costs of remedial action with respect to the foregoing regulated substances or tanks or related claims arising out of environmental contamination or human exposure to contamination at or from our properties.

Each of our properties has been subject to varying degrees of environmental assessment. The environmental assessments did not, as of this date, reveal any environmental condition material to our business. However, identification of new compliance concerns or undiscovered areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, discovery of additional sites, human exposure to the contamination or changes in clean-up or compliance requirements could result in significant costs to us.

Inflation or deflation may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Although neither inflation nor deflation has materially impacted our operations in the recent past, increased inflation could have a pronounced negative impact on our mortgages and interest rates and general and administrative expenses, as these costs could increase at a rate higher than our rents. Inflation could also have an adverse effect on consumer spending which could impact our tenants—sales and, in turn, our percentage rents, where applicable. Conversely, deflation could lead to downward pressure on rents and other sources of income. In addition, we own 9 residential properties which are leased to tenants with one-year lease terms. Because these are short-term leases, declines in market rents will impact our residential properties faster than if the leases were for longer terms.

Some of our potential losses may not be covered by insurance.

We maintain general liability insurance with limits of \$300,000,000 per occurrence and all risk property and rental value insurance with limits of \$2.0 billion per occurrence, including coverage for terrorist acts, with sub-limits for certain perils such as floods. Our California properties have earthquake insurance with coverage of \$150,000,000 per occurrence, subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property, and a \$150,000,000 annual aggregate.

Penn Plaza Insurance Company, LLC (PPIC), our wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, acts as a re-insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage and as a direct insurer for coverage for acts of terrorism, including nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological (NBCR) acts, as defined by TRIPRA. Coverage for acts of terrorism (excluding NBCR acts) is fully reinsured by third party insurance companies and the Federal government with no exposure to PPIC. Our coverage for NBCR losses is up to \$2 billion per occurrence, for which PPIC is responsible for a deductible of \$3,200,000 and 15% of the balance of a covered loss and the Federal government is responsible for the remaining 85% of a covered loss. We are ultimately responsible for any loss borne by PPIC.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism. However, we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years.

Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties which are non-recourse to us, senior unsecured notes, exchangeable senior debentures, convertible senior debentures and revolving credit agreements, contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs in the future. Further, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain it could adversely affect our ability to finance and/or refinance our properties and expand our portfolio.

Because we operate a hotel, we face the risks associated with the hospitality industry.

We own and operate the Hotel Pennsylvania in New York City. The following factors, among others, are common to the hotel industry and may reduce the revenues generated by the hotel, which would reduce cash available for distribution to our shareholders:

- our hotel competes for guests with other hotels, a number of which have greater marketing and financial resources:
- if there is an increase in operating costs resulting from inflation and other factors, we may not be able to offset such increase by increasing room rates;
- our hotel is subject to the fluctuating and seasonal demands of business travelers and tourism;
- our hotel is subject to general and local economic and social conditions that may affect demand for travel in general, including war and terrorism; and
- physical condition, which may require substantial additional capital.

Because of the ownership structure of the Hotel Pennsylvania, we face potential adverse effects from changes to the applicable tax laws.

Under the Internal Revenue Code, REITs like us are not allowed to operate hotels directly or indirectly. Accordingly, we lease the Hotel Pennsylvania to our taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS). While the TRS structure allows the economic benefits of ownership to flow to us, the TRS is subject to tax on its income from the operations of the hotel at the federal and state level. In addition, the TRS is subject to detailed tax regulations that affect how it may be capitalized and operated. If the tax laws applicable to a TRS are modified, we may be forced to modify the structure for owning the hotel, and such changes may adversely affect the cash flows from the hotel. In addition, the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, and we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such actions may prospectively or retroactively modify the tax treatment of the TRS and, therefore, may adversely affect our after-tax returns from the hotel.

Compliance or failure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act or other safety regulations and requirements could result in substantial costs.

The Americans with Disabilities Act generally requires that public buildings, including our properties, be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. From time to time persons have asserted claims against us with respect to some of our properties under this Act, but to date such claims have not resulted in any material expense or liability. If, under the Americans with Disabilities Act, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, as well as the amount of cash available for distribution to shareholders.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will require significant unanticipated expenditures that will affect our cash flow and results of operations.

Our Investments Are Concentrated in the New York CITY METROPOLITAN AREA and Washington, DC / NORTHERN VIRGINIA Areas. Circumstances Affecting These Areas Generally Could Adversely Affect Our Business.

A significant portion of our properties are in the New York City / New Jersey metropolitan areas and Washington, DC / Northern Virginia areas are affected by the economic cycles and risks inherent to those areas.

During 2009, approximately 75% of our EBITDA, excluding items that affect comparability, came from properties located in the New York City / New Jersey metropolitan areas and the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia areas. We may continue to concentrate a significant portion of our future acquisitions in these areas or in other geographic real estate markets in the United States or abroad. Real estate markets are subject to economic downturns, as they are currently and have been in the past, and we cannot predict how economic conditions will impact these markets in both the short and long term. Declines in the economy or a decline in the real estate markets in these areas could hurt our financial performance and the value of our properties. The factors affecting economic conditions in these regions include:

- financial performance and productivity of the publishing, advertising, financial, technology, retail, insurance and real estate industries;
- space needs of the United States Government, including the effect of base closures and repositioning under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 2005, as amended;

- business layoffs or downsizing;
- industry slowdowns;
- relocations of businesses;
- changing demographics;
- increased telecommuting and use of alternative work places;
- infrastructure quality; and
- any oversupply of, or reduced demand for, real estate.

It is impossible for us to assess with certainty, the future effects of the current adverse trends in the economic and investment climates of the geographic areas in which we concentrate, and more generally of the United States, or the real estate markets in these areas. If these conditions persist or if there is any further local, national or global economic downturn, our businesses and future profitability will be adversely affected.

Terrorist attacks, such as those of September 11, 2001 in New York City and the Washington, DC area, may adversely affect the value of our properties and our ability to generate cash flow.

We have significant investments in large metropolitan areas, including the New York, Washington, DC, Chicago, Boston and San Francisco metropolitan areas. In the aftermath of a terrorist attack, tenants in these areas may choose to relocate their businesses to less populated, lower-profile areas of the United States that may be perceived to be less likely targets of future terrorist activity and fewer customers may choose to patronize businesses in these areas. This, in turn, would trigger a decrease in the demand for space in these areas, which could increase vacancies in our properties and force us to lease space on less favorable terms. As a result, the value of our properties and the level of our revenues and cash flows could decline materially.

We May Acquire or Sell Additional Assets or Entities or Develop Additional Properties. Our Failure or Inability to Consummate These Transactions or Manage the Results of These Transactions Could Adversely Affect Our Operations and Financial Results.

We have grown rapidly since 1998 through acquisitions. We may not be able to maintain this rapid growth and our failure to do so could adversely affect our stock price.

We have experienced rapid growth since 1998, increasing our total assets from approximately \$4.4 billion at December 31, 1998 to approximately \$20.2 billion at December 31, 2009. We may not be able to maintain a similar rate of growth in the future or manage growth effectively. Our failure to do so may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and ability to pay dividends to shareholders.

We may acquire or develop properties or acquire other real estate related companies and this may create risks.

We may acquire or develop properties or acquire other real estate related companies when we believe that an acquisition or development is consistent with our business strategies. We may not, however, succeed in consummating desired acquisitions or in completing developments on time or within budget. In addition, we may face competition in pursuing acquisition or development opportunities that could increase our costs. When we do pursue a project or acquisition, we may not succeed in leasing newly developed or acquired properties at rents sufficient to cover our costs of acquisition and development or in operating the businesses we acquired. Difficulties in integrating acquisitions may prove costly or time-consuming and could divert management s attention. Acquisitions or developments in new markets or industries where we do not have the same level of market knowledge may result in poorer than anticipated performance. We may also abandon acquisition or development opportunities that we have begun pursuing and consequently fail to recover expenses already incurred and have devoted management time to a matter not consummated. Furthermore, acquisitions of new properties or companies will expose us to the liabilities of those properties or companies, some of which we may not be aware at the time of acquisition. In addition, development of our existing properties presents similar risks.

From time to time we have made, and in the future we may seek to make, one or more material acquisitions. The announcement of such a material acquisition may result in a rapid and significant decline in the price of our common shares.

We are continuously looking at material transactions that we will believe will maximize shareholder value. However, an announcement by us of one or more significant acquisitions could result in a quick and significant decline in the price of our common shares and convertible and exchangeable securities.

It may be difficult to buy and sell real estate quickly.

Real estate investments are relatively difficult to buy and sell quickly. Consequently, we may have limited ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

We may not be permitted to dispose of certain properties or pay down the debt associated with those properties when we might otherwise desire to do so without incurring additional costs.

As part of an acquisition of a property, including our January 1, 2002 acquisition of Charles E. Smith Commercial Realty L.P. s 13.0 million square foot portfolio, we may agree, and in the case of Charles E. Smith Commercial Realty L.P. did agree, with the seller that we will not dispose of the acquired properties or reduce the mortgage indebtedness on them for a period of 12 years, unless we pay certain of the resulting tax costs of the seller. These agreements could result in us holding on to properties that we would otherwise sell and not pay down or refinance indebtedness that we would otherwise pay down or refinance.

On January 1, 2002, we completed the acquisition of the 66% interest in Charles E. Smith Commercial Realty L.P. that we did not previously own. The terms of the merger restrict our ability to sell or otherwise dispose of, or to finance or refinance, the properties formerly owned by Charles E. Smith Commercial Realty L.P., which could result in our inability to sell these properties at an opportune time and increase costs to us.

As indicated above, subject to limited exceptions, we are restricted from selling or otherwise transferring or disposing of certain properties located in the Crystal City area of Arlington, Virginia for a period of 12 years. These restrictions, which currently cover approximately 13.0 million square feet of space, could result in our inability to sell these properties at an opportune time and increase costs to us.

From time to time we make investments in companies over which we do not have sole control. Some of these companies operate in industries that differ from our current operations, with different risks than investing in real estate.

From time to time we make debt or equity investments in other companies that we may not control or over which we may not have sole control. These investments include but are not limited to, Alexander s, Inc., Toys R Us, Lexington Realty Trust, and equity and mezzanine investments in other entities that have significant real estate assets. Although these businesses generally have a significant real estate component, some of them operate in businesses that are different from our primary lines of business including, without limitation, operating or managing toy stores and department stores. Consequently, investments in these businesses, among other risks, subjects us to the operating and financial risks of industries other than real estate and to the risk that we do not have sole control over the operations of these businesses. From time to time we may make additional investments in or acquire other entities that may subject us to additional similar risks. Investments in entities over which we do not have sole control, including joint ventures, present additional risks such as having differing objectives than our partners or the entities in which we invest, or becoming involved in disputes, or competing with those persons. In addition, we rely on the internal controls and financial reporting controls of these entities and their failure to comply with applicable standards may adversely affect us.

We are subject to risks that affect the general retail environment.

A substantial portion of our properties are in the retail shopping center real estate market and we have a significant investment in retailers such as Toys. See *Our investment in Toys subjects us to risks different from our other lines of business and may result in increased seasonality and volatility in our reported earnings* below. This means that we are subject to factors that affect the retail environment generally, including the level of consumer spending and consumer confidence, the threat of terrorism and increasing competition from discount retailers, outlet malls, retail websites and catalog companies. These factors could adversely affect the financial condition of our retail tenants and the retailers in which we hold an investment and the willingness of retailers to lease space in our shopping centers, and in turn, adversely affect us.

We depend upon our anchor tenants to attract shoppers.

We own several regional malls and other shopping centers that are typically anchored by well-known department stores and other tenants who generate shopping traffic at the mall or shopping center. The value of our properties would be adversely affected if tenants or anchors failed to meet their contractual obligations, sought concessions in order to continue operations or ceased their operations, including as a result of bankruptcy. If the sales of stores operating in our properties were to decline significantly due to economic conditions, closing of anchors or for other reasons, tenants may be unable to pay their minimum rents or expense recovery charges. In the event of a default by a tenant or anchor, we may experience delays and costs in enforcing our rights as landlord.

Our investment in Toys subjects us to risks that are different from our other lines of business and may result in increased seasonality and volatility in our reported earnings.

On July 21, 2005, a joint venture that we own equally with Bain Capital and Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. acquired Toys. Because Toys is a retailer, its operations subject us to the risks of a retail company that are different than those presented by our other lines of business. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys fourth quarter net income accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. In addition, our fiscal year ends on December 31 whereas, as is common for retailers, Toys—fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest to January 31. Therefore, we record our pro-rata share of Toys—net earnings on a one-quarter lag basis. For example, our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2009 include Toys—financial results for its first, second and third quarters ended October 31, 2009, as well as Toys—fourth quarter results of 2008. Because of the seasonality of Toys, our reported net income shows increased volatility. We may also, in the future and from time to time, invest in other businesses that may report financial results that are more volatile than our historical financial results.

Our decision to dispose of real estate assets would change the holding period assumption in our valuation analyses, which could result in material impairment losses and adversely affect our financial results.

We evaluate real estate assets for impairment based on the projected cash flow of the asset over our anticipated holding period. If we change our intended holding period, due to our intention to sell or otherwise dispose of an asset, then under generally accepted accounting principles we must reevaluate whether that asset is impaired. Depending on the carrying value of the property at the time we change our intention and the amount that we estimate we would receive on disposal, we may record an impairment loss that would adversely affect our financial results. This loss could be material to our results of operations in the period that it is recognized.

We invest in subordinated or mezzanine debt of certain entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments involve greater risk of loss than investments in senior mortgage loans.

We invest, and may in the future invest, in subordinated or mezzanine debt of certain entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments, which are subordinate to the mortgage loans secured by the real property, are generally secured by pledges of the equity interests of the entities owning the underlying real estate. These investments involve greater risk of loss than investments in senior mortgage loans which are secured by real property. If a borrower defaults on debt to us or on debt senior to us, or declares bankruptcy, we may not be able to recover some or all of our investment. In addition, there may be significant delays and costs associated with the process of foreclosing on collateral securing or supporting these investments. The value of the assets securing or supporting our investments could deteriorate over time due to factors beyond our control, including acts or omissions by owners, changes in business, economic or market conditions, or foreclosure. Such deteriorations in value may result in the recognition of impairment losses and/or valuation allowances on our statements of income. As of December 31, 2009, our mezzanine debt securities have an aggregate carrying amount of \$203,286,000, net of a \$190,738,000 valuation allowance.

We evaluate the collectibility of both interest and principal of each of our loans each quarter, if circumstances warrant, in determining whether they are impaired. A loan is impaired when based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the investment to the estimated fair value of the loan or, as a practical expedient, to the value of the collateral if repayment of the loan is collateral dependent. There can be no assurance that our estimates of collectible amounts will not change over time or that they will be representative of the amounts we will actually collect, including amounts we would collect if we chose to sell these investments before their maturity. If we collect less than our estimates, we will record impairment losses which could be material.

We invest in marketable equity securities of companies that have significant real estate assets. The value of these investments may decline as a result of operating performance or economic or market conditions.

We invest, and may in the future invest, in marketable equity securities of publicly-traded real estate companies or companies that have significant real estate assets. As of December 31, 2009, our marketable securities have an aggregate carrying amount of \$380,652,000. Significant declines in the value of these investments due to operating performance or economic or market conditions may result in the recognition of impairment losses which could be material.

Our Organizational and Financial Structure Gives Rise to Operational and Financial Risks.

We May Not Be Able to Obtain Capital to Make Investments.

We depend primarily on external financing to fund the growth of our business. This is because one of the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, for a REIT is that it distributes 90% of its net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to its shareholders. There is a separate requirement to distribute net capital gains or pay a corporate level tax in lieu thereof. Our access to debt or equity financing depends on the willingness of third parties to lend or make equity investments and on conditions in the capital markets generally. As a result of the current capital markets and environmental conditions referred to above, we and other companies in the real estate industry are currently experiencing limited availability of financing and there can be no assurances as to when more financing will be available. Although we believe that we will be able to finance any investments we may wish to make in the foreseeable future, new financing may not be available on acceptable terms.

For information about our available sources of funds, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and the notes to the consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Vornado Realty Trust depends on dividends and distributions from its direct and indirect subsidiaries. The creditors and preferred security holders of these subsidiaries are entitled to amounts payable to them by the subsidiaries before the subsidiaries may pay any dividends or distributions to Vornado Realty Trust.

Substantially all of Vornado Realty Trust s assets are held through its Operating Partnership that holds substantially all of its properties and assets through subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership s cash flow is dependent on cash distributions to it by its subsidiaries, and in turn, substantially all of Vornado Realty Trust s cash flow is dependent on cash distributions to it by the Operating Partnership. The creditors of each of Vornado Realty Trust s direct and indirect subsidiaries are entitled to payment of that subsidiary s obligations to them, when due and payable, before distributions may be made by that subsidiary to its equity holders. Thus, the Operating Partnership s ability to make distributions to holders of its units depends on its subsidiaries ability first to satisfy their obligations to their creditors and then to make distributions to the Operating Partnership. Likewise, Vornado Realty Trust s ability to pay dividends to holders of common and preferred shares depends on the Operating Partnership s ability first to satisfy its obligations to its creditors and make distributions payable to holders of preferred units and then to make distributions to Vornado Realty Trust.

Furthermore, the holders of preferred units of the Operating Partnership are entitled to receive preferred distributions before payment of distributions to holders of Class A units of the Operating Partnership, including Vornado Realty Trust. Thus, Vornado Realty Trust s ability to pay cash dividends to its shareholders and satisfy its debt obligations depends on the Operating Partnership s ability first to satisfy its obligations to its creditors and make distributions to holders of its preferred units and then to holders of its Class A units, including Vornado Realty Trust. As of December 31, 2009, there were seven series of preferred units of the Operating Partnership not held by Vornado Realty Trust with a total liquidation value of \$340,078,000.

In addition, Vornado Realty Trust s participation in any distribution of the assets of any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries upon the liquidation, reorganization or insolvency, is only after the claims of the creditors, including trade creditors and preferred security holders, are satisfied.

We have outstanding debt, and it and its cost may increase and refinancing may not be available on acceptable terms.

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$14.1 billion of total debt outstanding, including our pro rata share of debt of partially owned entities. Our ratio of total debt to total enterprise value was approximately 47%. When we say enterprise value in the preceding sentence, we mean market equity value of Vornado Realty Trust s common and preferred shares plus total debt outstanding, including our pro rata share of debt of partially owned entities. In the future, we may incur additional debt to finance acquisitions or property developments and thus increase our ratio of total debt to total enterprise value. If our level of indebtedness increases, there may be an increased risk of a credit rating downgrade or a default on our obligations that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, in a rising interest rate environment, the cost of existing variable rate debt and any new debt or other market rate security or instrument may increase. Furthermore, we may not be able to refinance existing indebtedness in sufficient amounts or on acceptable terms.

Covenants in our debt instruments could adversely affect our financial condition and our acquisitions and development activities.

The mortgages on our properties contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage. Our unsecured credit facilities, unsecured debt securities and other loans that we may obtain in the future contain, or may contain, customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including covenants that limit our ability to incur debt based upon the level of our ratio of total debt to total assets, our ratio of secured debt to total assets, our ratio of EBITDA to interest expense, and fixed charges, and that require us to maintain a certain level of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. Our ability to borrow is subject to compliance with these and other covenants. In addition, failure to comply with our covenants could cause a default under the applicable debt instrument, and we may then be required to repay such debt with capital from other sources. Under those circumstances, other sources of capital may not be available to us, or may be available only on unattractive terms.

We rely on debt financing, including borrowings under our unsecured credit facilities, issuances of unsecured debt securities and debt secured by individual properties, to finance acquisitions and development activities and for working capital. If we are unable to obtain debt financing from these or other sources, or refinance existing indebtedness upon maturity, our financial condition and results of operations would likely be adversely affected. If we breach covenants in our debt agreements, the lenders can declare a default and, if the debt is secured, can take possession of the property securing the defaulted loan.

Vornado Realty Trust may fail to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT and may be required to pay income taxes at corporate rates.

Although we believe that we will remain organized and will continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may fail to remain qualified in this way. Qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes is governed by highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. Our qualification as a REIT also depends on various facts and circumstances that are not entirely within our control. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may significantly change the tax laws with respect to the requirements for qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of qualifying as a REIT.

If, with respect to any taxable year, we fail to maintain our qualification as a REIT and do not qualify under statutory relief provisions, we could not deduct distributions to shareholders in computing our taxable income and would have to pay federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. The federal income tax payable would include any applicable alternative minimum tax. If we had to pay federal income tax, the amount of money available to distribute to shareholders and pay our indebtedness would be reduced for the year or years involved, and we would no longer be required to make distributions to shareholders. In addition, we would also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost, unless we were entitled to relief under the relevant statutory provisions. Although we currently intend to operate in a manner designed to allow us to qualify as a REIT, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to revoke the REIT election or fail to qualify as a REIT.

We face possible adverse changes in tax laws, which may result in an increase in our tax liability.

From time to time changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. The shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in recent years may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional taxes on our assets or income. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the amount of cash available for payment of dividends.

Loss of our key personnel could harm our operations and adversely affect the value of our common shares.

We are dependent on the efforts of Steven Roth, the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Vornado Realty Trust, and Michael D. Fascitelli, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Vornado Realty Trust. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, the loss of their services could harm our operations and adversely affect the value of our common shares.

Vornado Realty Trust s charter documents and applicable law may hinder any attempt to acquire us.

Our Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust sets limits on the ownership of our shares.

Generally, for Vornado Realty Trust to maintain its qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, not more than 50% in value of the outstanding shares of beneficial interest of Vornado Realty Trust may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of Vornado Realty Trust s taxable year. The Internal Revenue Code defines individuals for purposes of the requirement described in the preceding sentence to include some types of entities. Under Vornado Realty Trust s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, as amended, no person may own more than 6.7% of the outstanding common shares of any class, or 9.9% of the outstanding preferred shares of any class, with some exceptions for persons who held common shares in excess of the 6.7% limit before Vornado Realty Trust adopted the limit and other persons approved by Vornado Realty Trust s Board of Trustees. These restrictions on transferability and ownership may delay, deter or prevent a change in control of Vornado Realty Trust or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of the shareholders. We refer to Vornado Realty Trust s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, as amended, as the declaration of trust.

We have a classified Board of Trustees and that may reduce the likelihood of certain takeover transactions.

Vornado Realty Trust s Board of Trustees is divided into three classes of trustees. Trustees of each class are chosen for three-year staggered terms. Staggered terms of trustees may reduce the possibility of a tender offer or an attempt to change control of Vornado Realty Trust, even though a tender offer or change in control might be in the best interest of Vornado Realty Trust s shareholders.

We may issue additional shares in a manner that could adversely affect the likelihood of certain takeover transactions.

Vornado Realty Trust s declaration of trust authorizes the Board of Trustees to:

- cause Vornado Realty Trust to issue additional authorized but unissued common shares or preferred shares;
- classify or reclassify, in one or more series, any unissued preferred shares;
- set the preferences, rights and other terms of any classified or reclassified shares that Vornado Realty Trust issues; and
- increase, without shareholder approval, the number of shares of beneficial interest that Vornado Realty Trust may issue.

The Board of Trustees could establish a series of preferred shares whose terms could delay, deter or prevent a change in control of Vornado Realty Trust or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of Vornado Realty Trust s shareholders, although the Board of Trustees does not now intend to establish a series of preferred shares of this kind. Vornado Realty Trust s declaration of trust and bylaws contain other provisions that may delay, deter or prevent a change in control of Vornado Realty Trust or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of our shareholders.

The Maryland General Corporation Law contains provisions that may reduce the likelihood of certain takeover transactions.

Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, as amended, which we refer to as the MGCL, as applicable to REITs, certain business combinations, including certain mergers, consolidations, share exchanges and asset transfers and certain issuances and reclassifications of equity securities, between a Maryland REIT and any person who beneficially owns ten percent or more of the voting power of the trust s shares or an affiliate or an associate, as defined in the MGCL, of the trust who, at any time within the two-year period before the date in question, was the beneficial owner of ten percent or more of the voting power of the then outstanding voting shares of beneficial interest of the trust, which we refer to as an interested shareholder, or an affiliate of the interested shareholder, are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder. After that five-year period, any business combination of these kinds must be recommended by the board of trustees of the trust and approved by the affirmative vote of at least (a) 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares of beneficial interest of the trust and (b) two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting shares of beneficial interest of the trust other than shares held by the interested shareholder with whom, or with whose affiliate, the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested shareholder. These supermajority voting requirements do not apply if the trust s common shareholders receive a minimum price, as defined in the MGCL, for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested shareholder for its common shares.

The provisions of the MGCL do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by the board of trustees of the applicable trust before the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder, and a person is not an interested shareholder if the board of trustees approved in advance the transaction by which the person otherwise would have become an interested shareholder.

In approving a transaction, the Board may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by the Board. Vornado Realty Trust s Board has adopted a resolution exempting any business combination between any trustee or officer of Vornado Realty Trust, or their affiliates, and Vornado Realty Trust. As a result, the trustees and officers of Vornado Realty Trust and their affiliates may be able to enter into business combinations with Vornado Realty Trust that may not be in the best interest of its shareholders. With respect to business combinations with other persons, the business combination provisions of the MGCL may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Vornado Realty Trust or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of the shareholders. The business combination statute may discourage others from trying to acquire control of Vornado Realty Trust and increase the difficulty of consummating any offer.

We may change our policies without obtaining the approval of our shareholders.

Our operating and financial policies, including our policies with respect to acquisitions of real estate or other companies, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and dividends, are exclusively determined by our Board of Trustees. Accordingly, our shareholders do not control these policies.

Our Ownership Structure and Related-Party Transactions May Give Rise to Conflicts of Interest.

Steven Roth and Interstate Properties may exercise substantial influence over us. They and some of our other trustees and officers have interests or positions in other entities that may compete with us.

As of December 31, 2009, Interstate Properties, a New Jersey general partnership, and its partners owned approximately 7.3% of the common shares of Vornado Realty Trust and approximately 27.2% of the common stock of Alexander s, Inc. (Alexander s), which is described below. Steven Roth, David Mandelbaum and Russell B. Wight, Jr. are the three partners of Interstate Properties. Mr. Roth is the Chairman of the Board of Vornado Realty Trust, the managing general partner of Interstate Properties and the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Alexander s. Messrs. Wight and Mandelbaum are trustees of Vornado Realty Trust and also directors of Alexander s.

Because of these overlapping interests, Mr. Roth and Interstate Properties and its partners may have substantial influence over Vornado Realty Trust and on the outcome of any matters submitted to Vornado Realty Trust shareholders for approval. In addition, certain decisions concerning our operations or financial structure may present conflicts of interest among Messrs. Roth, Mandelbaum and Wight and Interstate Properties and our other equity or debt holders. In addition, Mr. Roth, Interstate Properties and its partners, and Alexander's currently and may in the future engage in a wide variety of activities in the real estate business which may result in conflicts of interest with respect to matters affecting us, such as which of these entities or persons, if any, may take advantage of potential business opportunities, the business focus of these entities, the types of properties and geographic locations in which these entities make investments, potential competition between business activities conducted, or sought to be conducted, competition for properties and tenants, possible corporate transactions such as acquisitions and other strategic decisions affecting the future of these entities.

Vornado Realty Trust currently manages and leases the real estate assets of Interstate Properties under a management agreement for which it receives an annual fee equal to 4% of base rent and percentage rent and certain other commissions. The management agreement has a term of one year and is automatically renewable unless terminated by either of the parties on 60 days notice at the end of the term. Vornado Realty Trust earned \$782,000, \$803,000, and \$800,000 of management fees under the management agreement for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. Because of the relationship among Vornado Realty Trust, Interstate Properties and Messrs. Roth, Mandelbaum and Wight, as described above, the terms of the management agreement and any future agreements between Vornado Realty Trust and Interstate Properties may not be comparable to those Vornado Realty Trust could have negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

There may be conflicts of interest between Alexander s and us.

As of December 31, 2009, the Operating Partnership owned 32.4% of the outstanding common stock of Alexander s. Alexander s is a REIT engaged in leasing, managing, developing and redeveloping properties, focusing primarily on the locations where its department stores operated before they ceased operations in 1992. Alexander s has seven properties, which are located in the greater New York metropolitan area. In addition to the 32.4% that they own indirectly through Vornado, Interstate Properties, which is described above, and its partners owned 27.2% of the

outstanding common stock of Alexander's as of December 31, 2009. Mr. Roth is the Chairman of the Board of Vornado Realty Trust, the managing general partner of Interstate, and the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Alexander's. Messrs. Wight and Mandelbaum are trustees of Vornado Realty Trust and also directors of Alexander's and general partners of Interstate. Michael D. Fascitelli is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Vornado Realty Trust and the President of Alexander's and Dr. Richard West is a trustee of Vornado and a director of Alexander's. In addition, Joseph Macnow, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, holds the same position with Alexander's. Alexander's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ALX.

The Operating Partnership manages, develops and leases the Alexander s properties under management and development agreements and leasing agreements under which the Operating Partnership receives annual fees from Alexander s. These agreements have a one-year term expiring in March of each year and are all automatically renewable. Because Vornado Realty Trust and Alexander s share common senior management and because certain of the trustees of Vornado Realty Trust constitute a majority of the directors of Alexander s, the terms of the foregoing agreements and any future agreements between us and Alexander s may not be comparable to those we could have negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

For a description of Interstate Properties ownership of Vornado Realty Trust and Alexander s, see Steven Roth and Interstate Properties may exercise substantial influence over us. They and some of our other trustees and officers have interests or positions in other entities that may compete with us above.

The Number of Shares of Vornado Realty Trust and the Market for Those Shares Give Rise to Various Risks.

The trading price of our common shares has recently been volatile and may fluctuate.

The trading price of our common shares has recently been volatile and may continue to fluctuate widely as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside our control. In addition, the stock market is subject to fluctuations in the share prices and trading volumes that affect the market prices of the shares of many companies. These broad market fluctuations have adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect the market price of our common shares. Among the factors that could affect the price of our common shares are:

- actual or anticipated quarterly fluctuations in our operating results and financial condition;
- the reputation of REITs and real estate investments generally and the attractiveness of REIT equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate companies, and fixed income securities:
- continued uncertainty and volatility in the equity and credit markets;
- changes in revenue or earnings estimates or publication of research reports and recommendations by financial analysts or actions taken by rating agencies with respect to our securities or those of other real estate investment trusts:
- failure to meet analysts revenue or earnings estimates;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- the extent of institutional interest in us;
- the extent of short-selling of our common shares and the shares of our competitors;
- fluctuations in the stock price and operating results of our competitors;
- general financial and economic market conditions and, in particular, developments related to market conditions for real estate investment trusts and other real estate related companies; and
- domestic and international economic factors unrelated to our performance.

A significant decline in our stock price could result in substantial losses for shareholders.

Vornado Realty Trust has many shares available for future sale, which could hurt the market price of its shares.

As of December 31, 2009, we had authorized but unissued, 68,785,839 common shares of beneficial interest, \$.04 par value and 76,047,676 preferred shares of beneficial interest, no par value; of which 34,058,475 common shares are reserved for issuance upon redemption of Class A Operating Partnership units, convertible securities and employee stock options and 8,000,000 preferred shares are reserved for issuance upon redemption of preferred Operating Partnership units. Any shares not reserved may be issued from time to time in public or private offerings or in connection with acquisitions. In addition, common and preferred shares reserved may be sold upon issuance in the public market after registration under the Securities Act or under Rule 144 under the Securities Act or other available exemptions from registration. We cannot predict the effect that future sales of our common and preferred shares or Operating Partnership Class A and preferred units will have on the market prices of our outstanding shares.

Increased market interest rates may hurt the value of Vornado Realty Trust s common and preferred shares.

We believe that investors consider the distribution rate on REIT shares, expressed as a percentage of the price of the shares, relative to market interest rates as an important factor in deciding whether to buy or sell the shares. If market interest rates go up, prospective purchasers of REIT shares may expect a higher distribution rate. Higher interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and might decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of Vornado Realty Trust s common and preferred shares to decline.

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-h	Edgar Filing:	VORNADO REALTY	TRUST - Fo	rm 10-K
--	---------------	-----------------------	------------	---------

Item 1h	iinre	solved	ctaff	comme	ntc

There are no unresolved comments from the staff of the Securities Exchange Commission as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Properties

We operate in five business segments: New York Office Properties, Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties, Merchandise Mart Properties and Toys R Us (Toys). The following pages provide details of our real estate properties.

Item 2. Properties - continued

Item 2.	Properties - co	ontinued		a			
				Squ	are Feet	O4 - f	
				In Se	rvico	Out of Service	
				Owned		Set vice	
	%	% An	nualized	by	By	Und En cumbrar	ices
			Rent	J	•		
			PSF			(in	
Property	Ownership	Occupancy	(1) Tota	Company	TenanDe	evelopnt hot usand	s) Major Tenants
NEW YORK OFFICE: New York City: Penn Plaza:	•					•	, v
One Penn Plaza (ground leased through 2098)	100.0%	95.6%	5447 16,0010	446,000	-	-\$ -	BMG Columbia House, Buck Consultants, Cisco, Kmart, MWB Leasing, Parsons Brinkerhoff, United Health Care, United States Customs Department
Two Penn Plaza	100.0%	98.5%	416,5677,0010	577,000	-	- 282,492	LMW Associates, EMC, Forest Electric, IBI, Madison Square Garden, McGraw-Hill Co., Inc.
Eleven Penn Plaza	100.0%	95.5%	5100615,0010	065,000	-	- 203,198	Macy s, Madison Square Garden, Rainbow Media Holdings
100 West 33rd Street	100.0%	92.4%	478196,000	846,000	-	- 159,361	Bank of America, Draft FCB
330 West 34th Street (ground leased through 2148)	100.0%	99.2%	346827,000	637,000	-		City of New York, Interieurs Inc., The Bank of New York
Total Penn Plaza		96.2%	49,51781,0000	571,000	-	- 645,051	
East Side: 909 Third Avenue	100.0%	92.9%	518,3225(,20)010	323,000	-	- 210,660	J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Citibank, Forest Laboratories,

(ground leased through 2063)						Geller & Company, Morrison Cohen LLP, Robeco USA Inc., United States Post Office, Ogilvy Public Relations, The Procter & Gamble Distributing LLC.
150 East 58th Street	100.0%	94.6%	56 59 %6,000536,000	-		Castle Harlan, Tournesol Realty LLC. (Peter Marino),
Total East Side		93.4%	517859,000,859,000	-	- 210,660	Various showroom tenants
West Side: 888 Seventh Avenue (ground leased through 2067)	100.0%	95.2%	778207,000857,000	-		Kaplan Management LLC, New Line Realty, Soros Fund, TPG-Axon Capital, Vornado Executive Headquarters
1740 Broadway	100.0%	99.3%	58 59 17,000597,000	-		Davis & Gilbert, Limited Brands, Dept. of Taxation of the State of N.Y.
57th Street	50.0%	91.9%	461699,000189,000	-	- 29,000	Various
825 Seventh Avenue	50.0%	100.0%	451/65,000165,000	-	- 20,773	Young & Rubicam
Total West Side		96.6%	658008,000,808,000	-	- 368,327	
Park Avenue: 350 Park Avenue	100.0%	95.3%	7355511,000551,000	-		Tweedy Browne Company, M&T Bank, Veronis Suhler & Associates, Ziff Brothers Investment Inc., Kissinger Associates, Inc.
Grand Central: 90 Park Avenue	100.0%	98.3%	579902,000902,000	-		Alston & Bird, Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein, First Manhattan Consulting,

				Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc., STWB Inc.
330 Madison Avenue	25.0%	87.7% 51 79% ,000794,000	150,00	00 Acordia Northeast Inc., Artio Global Management, BDO Seidman, Dean Witter Reynolds Inc., HSBC Bank AFS
Total Grand Central		93.4% 55696,000,696,000	150,00	00
21				

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squar	e Feet			
					In Serv	vice Owned	Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Ву	Under	Encumbrances	Major
roperty EW YORK FFICE Continued):	Ownership O	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Developmen	t (in thousands)	-
ladison/Fifth: 40 Fifth venue	100.0%	82.4%\$	77.04	322,000	322,000			-\$ -	ROC Capital Management LP, Citibank N.A., Fidelity Investments, Hennes & Mauritz, Janus Capital Group Inc., GSL Enterprises Inc., Scout Capital Management, Legg Mason Investment Counsel
95 Madison venue	100.0%	92.7%	67.70	313,000	313,000	-			Beauvais Carpets, Coach, Levin Capital Strategies LP, Prada, Cosmetech Mably Int'l LLC.
89 Fifth venue	100.0%	98.9%	66.59	88,000	88,000	-			Elizabeth Arden, Red Door Salons, Zara, Yamaha Artis Services Inc.
Total [adison/Fifth		88.9%	71.73	723,000	723,000	-			Services inc.

nited ations:								
56 United ations Plaza	100.0%	98.1%	54.44	357,000	357,000	-	-	44,978 Fross Zelnick, Mission of Japan, The United Nations, Mission of Finland
lidtown outh:								
70 Broadway ockefeller	100.0%	99.8%	52.32	1,059,000	1,059,000	-	-	353,000 AOL, J. Crew Kmart, Structure Ton VIACOM International Inc., Nielsen Company (US Inc.
enter: 290 Avenue of e Americas	70.0%	95.8%	59.49	2,065,000	2,065,000		_	434,643 AXA Equitable Life Insurance Bank of New York Mellon, Broadpoint Gleacher Securities Group, Bryan Cave LLP, Microsoft Corporation, Morrison & Foerster LLP, Warner Music Group, Cushman & Wakefield, Fitzpatrick, Cella, Harper & Scinto
owntown:) Broad Street	100.0%	92.1%	49.38	472,000	472,000	-	-	- New York Stock
(ground ased through)81)								Exchange

) Fulton Street	100.0%	79.7%	40.00	244,000	244,000	-	-	- PBA/Health and Welfare Fund	
)-42 nompson reet	100.0%	87.7%	45.94	28,000	28,000	-	-	- Crown Managemen	t
Total owntown		87.9%	46.18	744,000	744,000	-	-	-	
otal New ork City		95.2%	55.17 1	7,433,000	17,433,000	-	-	2,636,659	
ew Jersey Paramus		91.5%	20.31	132,000	132,000	-	-	- Vornado's Administrat Headquarter	
otal New ork City ffice		95.2%	55.00 1	7,565,000	17,565,000	-	-\$	2,636,659	
ornado's wnership iterest		95.5%	55.00 1	6,173,000	16,173,000	-	-\$	2,368,880	
22									

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squa In Ser	are Feet rvice	Out of			
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF	l	Owned by	Owned By	Service l Under	E	ncumbrances	,
operty ASHINGTON COFFICE:		Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	t (i	n thousands)	Major Tenan
ystal City: 11-2451 ystal Drive - 5 ldings	100.0%	96.9%\$	39.10	2,288,000	2,288,000			-\$		General Service Administration, Lockheed Marti Conservation International, Boeing, Smithsonian Institution, Natl. Consumer Coop. Bank, Archstone Trus Council on Foundations, Vornado / Char E. Smith Divisional Headquarters, KBR, General Dynamics, Scite Corp., Food Marketing Institute
Clark Street / th Street - 5 Idings	100.0%	98.1%	39.18	1,507,000	1,507,000	-		-		Administration, SAIC, Inc., Boeing, L-3 Communication The Int'l Justice Mission
50-1750 ystal Drive / 1-251 18th	100.0%	93.6%	37.98	1,477,000	1,477,000) –		-		General Service Administration,
eet - 4 buildings										Alion Science & Technologies, Booz Allen,

								L- Co Ba	-3 communication attelle Memorn stitute
00, 1851 and 01 South Bell eet	100.0%	96.9%	34.46	868,000	868,000	-	-		eneral Service dministration,
- 3 buildings								Lo	ockheed Marti
00 / 2200 ystal Drive - 2 ldings	100.0%	100.0%	31.54	529,000	529,000	-	-		eneral Service dministration,
lungs								Bı	ublic roadcasting ervice
3 23rd Street / 21 South Clark eet - 2 buildings	100.0%	87.7%	35.09	306,000	218,000	-	88,000		eneral Service dministration
01 Jefferson vis Highway	100.0%	80.2%	33.99	162,000	162,000	-	-	In Ps Qi	rena Stage, astitute for sychology, inetiq North merica
ystal City ops at 2100	100.0%	63.0%	41.06	81,000	81,000	-	-	- V:	arious
ystal Drive tail	100.0%	88.5%	43.23	57,000	57,000	-	-	- V:	arious
tal Crystal y	100.0%	95.6%	37.57	7,275,000	7,187,000	-	88,000	472,925	
ntral siness strict:									
arner Building 299 nnsylvania	100.0%	99.9%	64.90	604,000	604,000	-	-		lowrey LLP, aker Botts, LL
Avenue, NW								G	eneral Electric
iversal ildings	100.0%	98.9%	44.03	613,000	613,000	-	-		cademy for ducational

Development

SAIC, Inc., Arete Associate

1825-1875

nnecticut enue, NW - 2 buildings									
9 3rd Street, V	100.0%	98.5%	40.63	388,000	388,000	-	-	-	General Service Administration
50 nnsylvania enue, NW	100.0%	95.7%	43.27	256,000	256,000	-	-		General Service Administration, PA Consulting Group Holdings
wen Building 75 15th Street, V	100.0%	98.4%	64.38	231,000	231,000	-	-	115,022	Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, Millennium Challenge Corporation
50 17th Street, V	100.0%	85.0%	44.45	232,000	232,000	-	-		American Enterprise Institute
)1 17th Street, V	100.0%	95.8%	43.57	212,000	212,000	-	-		American Federation of States
30 M Street, V	100.0%	94.2%	41.77	202,000	202,000	-	-	15,018	General Service Administration
40 Connecticut enue, NW	100.0%	92.6%	43.23	186,000	186,000	-	-		Elizabeth Glase Pediatric AIDS Foundation, Defense Group Inc., National Legal Aid and Defender Assoc

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squa	are Feet			
	%	%	Annualized	l	In Ser Owned by	rvice Owned By	Out of Service I Under	Encumbrances	,
operty ASHINGTON COFFICE ontinued):	-	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	t (in thousands)	Major Tenan
ontinued): 27 25th Street, V	100.0%	77.1%\$	\$ 52.24	4 133,000	133,000	-	-		Epstein, Becker Green, P.C., General Service Administration
)1 L Street, V	100.0%	87.3%	55.90	379,000	379,000	-	-		Greenberg Traurig, LLP, U Green Building Council, American Insurance Association, RTKL Associat Cassidy & Turk
26 M Street, V	100.0%	83.6%	37.09	9 89,000	89,000	-	-		Aptima, Inc., Nelnet Corporation
empfer erests: I M Street, I	2.5%	-		- 2,100,000	_		- 2,100,000	•	2 District of Columbia (lease not commenced
)1 K Street, V	5.0%	97.2%	57.43	3 378,000	378,000	1 -			Sidley Austin LLP, UBS
99 New York enue, NW	2.5%	100.0%	85.72	2 124,000	124,000	-		39,797	Bloomberg
tal Central siness District		94.6%	50.04	4 6,127,000	4,027,000	-	- 2,100,000	1,121,427	
95 Corridor: yline Place - 7 ldings	100.0%	93.8%	32.05	5 2,109,000	2,109,000	1 -		543,300	General Service Administration, SAIC, Inc.,

								Allen, Jacer Corporati Intellidyne, Inc
e Skyline wer	100.0%	100.0%	32.50 518,000	518,000	-	-	134,700	General Service Administration
tal I-395 rridor	100.0%	95.0%	32.15 2,627,000	2,627,000	-	-	678,000	
sslyn / llston: 00 / 2300 arendon Blvd	100.0%	95.4%	38.47 628,000	628,000	-	-	65,133	Arlington Coun General Service Administration
Courthouse za) - 2 ldings								AMC Theaters
sslyn Plaza - fice - 4 ldings	46.0%	84.8%	32.86 724,000	724,000	-	-	56,680	General Service Administration
tal Rosslyn / llston		91.7%	38.47 1,352,000	1,352,000	-	-	121,813	
sons Corner: rfax Square - uildings	20.0%	85.1%	36.30 521,000	521,000	-	-	72,500	EDS Information Services, Dean Company, Womble Carlyl
tal Tysons rner		85.1%	36.30 521,000	521,000	-	-	72,500	
ston: ston Executive buildings	100.0%	90.8%	33.98 490,000	490,000	-	-	93,000	SAIC, Inc., Quadramed Con
mmerce ecutive - 3 ldings	100.0%	89.8%	28.53 417,000	394,000	-	23,000		L-3 Communication SAIC, Inc., Concert

Management Services, BT

Northrop Grumman, Boo

North America

tal Reston 90.4% 31.61 907,000 884,000 - 23,000 93,000

24

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squar In Ser		Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	Major
operty ASHINGTON COFFICE ontinued): ckville/Bethesda:	-	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	_
mocracy Plaza e	100.0%	94.7%\$	41.53	214,000	214,000	-	-	\$	National Institutes Health
ntagon City: shion Centre Mall	7.5%	98.9%	39.02	819,000	819,000	-	-	149,341	Macy s, Nordstron
ashington Tower	7.5%	100.0%	43.20	170,000	170,000	-	-	40,000	The Rand Corporation
tal Pentagon City		99.1%	39.75	989,000	989,000	-	-	189,341	
tal Washington, Coffice operties		94.8%\$	39.61	20,012,000	17,801,000	-	2,211,000	\$ 2,749,006	
rnado's vnership Interest		94.9%\$	39.01	15,764,000	15,600,000	-	164,000	\$ 2,171,128	;
her: rent residential: verhouse (1,680 ts)	100.0%	96.0%\$	-	1,802,000	1,802,000		-	\$ 259,546	i
sslyn Plaza (196 ts)	43.7%	97.2%	-	253,000	253,000	-	-	-	
est End 25 (283 ts)	100.0%	27.1%	-	272,000	272,000	-	-	85,735	
20th Street (265 ts)	100.0%	55.4%	-	271,000	271,000	-	-	75,629	•
stal City Hotel	100.0%	100.0%	-	266,000	266,000	-	-	-	
rehouses	100.0%	100.0%	-	228,000	228,000)	-	-	

ner - 3 buildings	100.0%	100.0%	- 11,000 11,000	-	-	-
tal Other			3,103,000 3,103,000	-	-	420,910
tal Washington, Properties		93.7%\$	39.61 23,115,000(3)0,904,000	-	2,211,000\$	3,169,916
rnado's vnership Interest		93.6%\$	39.01 18,724,000 18,560,000	-	164,000\$	2,592,038

Item 2. Properties - continued

						are Feet ervice	Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By		Encumbrances	s Major
pperty TAIL: GIONAL	_	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	_
ALLS: een Acres ll, Valley eam, NY	100.0%	87.6%\$	3 44.09(4	4) 1,871,000	1,753,000	79,000	39,000\$	335,000	Macy s, Sears, Wal-Mart, JCPenney,
10% und and Iding sed									Best Buy, BJ's Wholesale Club, Koh (lease not commence
through 89)									Raymour & Flanigan
nmouth ll, ontown,	50.0%	91.7%	37.88(4	4) 1,467,000(5)	741,000	719,000(5)	7,000	165,000	Macy §5), JCPenney Lord & Taylor, Loews Theatre, Barnes & Noble
ingfield ll, ingfield,	97.5%	100.0%	26.36(4	4) 1,408,000(5)) 551,000	390,000(5)) 467,000	242,583	3 Macy s, JCPenney Target (5)
(66.8% of al square t is in vice)									
gen Town nter, amus, NJ	100.0%	100.0%	42.04(4	4) 1,243,000	791,000	13,000	439,000	261,903	3 Target, Whole Foo Market, Century 2: Nordstrom Rack,
(64.7% of al square									Saks Fifth Avenue O

	Edg	ar Filing: ۱٫	VORNADO P	(EALIY IP	iUST - Form 1	0-K		
								5th, Filene Basement, Marshalls, Nike Facto Store, Low (lease not commence
100.0%	86.0%	34.33(4) 1,140,000(5)	764,000	376,000(5)	-	92,601	Macy s, Il Target ⁽⁵⁾ , National Amusemen
100.0%	91.2%	43.34(4	4) 540,000	540,000	-	-	120,000	The Home Depot, Kmart, Marshalls, Caribbean Theatres, Tiendas Capri
100.0%	89.0%	52.51(4	495,000(5)) 356,000	139,000(5)	-	59,305	Kmart, Sea (5)
	91.1%\$	39.33	8,164,000	5,496,000	1,716,000	952,000\$	1,276,392	
	91.1%\$	39.56	6,376,000	5,112,000	327,000	937,000\$	1,187,827	
100.0%	100.0%\$	22.36	410,000	147,000	206,000	57,000\$	-	Wal-Mart, BJ's Wholesale Club
100.0%	95.9%	19.73	369,000	363,000	6,000	-	-	The Home Depot, Did Sporting Goods, Marshalls Loehmann
	100.0%	100.0% 86.0% 100.0% 91.2% 100.0% 89.0% 91.1%\$ 100.0% 100.0%\$	100.0% 86.0% 34.33(4 100.0% 91.2% 43.34(4 91.1%\$ 39.33 91.1%\$ 39.56	100.0% 86.0% 34.33(4) 1,140,000(5) 100.0% 91.2% 43.34(4) 540,000 100.0% 89.0% 52.51(4) 495,000(5) 91.1%\$ 39.33 8,164,000 91.1%\$ 39.56 6,376,000	100.0% 86.0% 34.33(4) 1,140,000(5) 764,000 100.0% 91.2% 43.34(4) 540,000 540,000 100.0% 89.0% 52.51(4) 495,000(5) 356,000 91.1%\$ 39.33 8,164,000 5,496,000 91.1%\$ 39.56 6,376,000 5,112,000	100.0% 86.0% 34.33(4) 1,140,000(5) 764,000 376,000(5) 100.0% 91.2% 43.34(4) 540,000 540,000 - 100.0% 89.0% 52.51(4) 495,000(5) 356,000 139,000(5) 91.1%\$ 39.33 8,164,000 5,496,000 1,716,000 91.1%\$ 39.56 6,376,000 5,112,000 327,000	100.0% 91.2% 43.34(4) 540,000 540,000 100.0% 89.0% 52.51(4) 495,000(5) 356,000 139,000(5) - 91.1%\$ 39.33 8,164,000 5,496,000 1,716,000 952,000\$ 91.1%\$ 39.56 6,376,000 5,112,000 327,000 937,000\$	100.0% 86.0% 34.33(4) 1.140,000(5) 764,000 376,000(5) - 92,601 100.0% 91.2% 43.34(4) 540,000 540,000 120,000 100.0% 89.0% 52.51(4) 495,000(5) 356,000 139,000(5) - 59,305 91.1%\$ 39.33 8,164,000 5,496,000 1,716,000 952,000\$ 1,276,392 91.1%\$ 39.56 6,376,000 5,112,000 327,000 937,000\$ 1,187,827

rfield	100.0%	-	-	325,000	-	-	325,000	- Wal-Mart, The Home Depot (und developme
iowa	100.0%	85.6%	17.61	317,000	223,000	94,000	-	by tenants) - The Home Depot, Bec Bath & Beyond ⁽⁶⁾ Marshalls
cktown	100.0%	99.1%	17.11	279,000	276,000	3,000	-	- Kohl's, ShopRite, Marshalls
ion (Route and rris enue)	100.0%	100.0%	25.87	276,000	113,000	163,000	-	- Lowe's, To "R" Us
ckensack	100.0%	96.4%	21.29	275,000	209,000	66,000	-	- The Home Depot ⁽⁶⁾ , Pathmark
erry Hill	100.0%	98.1%	15.94	263,000	51,000	212,000	-	- Wal-Mart, Toys "R" l
sey City	100.0%	100.0%	20.50	236,000	66,000	170,000	-	- Lowe's
ion (2445 ringfield enue)	100.0%	100.0%	17.85	232,000	232,000	-	-	- The Home Depot
st ınswick I	100.0%	100.0%	15.95	232,000	222,000	10,000	-	- Kohl's, Dick's Sporting Goods, P.C Richard & Son,
325 - 333 ute 18 uth)								T.J. Maxx
ddletown	100.0%	84.2%	14.66	231,000	179,000	52,000	-	- Kohl's, Sto & Shop
odbridge	100.0%	100.0%	17.62	227,000	87,000	140,000	-	- Wal-Mart, Syms
rth infield	100.0%	79.7%	7.79	219,000	219,000	-	-	- Kmart, Pathmark
								57

ground sed ough 50)								
rlton	100.0%	89.1%	11.40	214,000	210,000	4,000	-	- Kohl's ⁽⁶⁾ , ShopRite, PetSmart

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Square Feet In Service		Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	s Major
roperty ETAIL Continued):	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	-
analapan	100.0%	97.8%\$	\$ 15.30	208,000	206,000	2,000	-5	\$ -	Best Buy, Bed Bath a Beyond, Babies "R' Us
ast utherford	100.0%	96.7%	31.27	197,000	42,000	155,000	-	-	- Lowe's
ust runswick II 39-341 oute 18 outh)	100.0%	83.1%	-	196,000	33,000	163,000	-	-	- Lowe's
ordentown	100.0%	90.9%	7.11	179,000	179,000	-	-	-	- ShopRite
orris Plains	100.0%	98.2%	19.13	177,000	176,000	1,000	-	-	- Kohl's, ShopRite
over	100.0%	93.9%	11.21	173,000	167,000	6,000	-	-	- ShopRite, T.J. Maxx
elran	100.0%	76.6%	4.25	171,000	168,000	3,000	-	-	- Sam's Clul
odi (Route ' North)	100.0%	100.0%	10.29	171,000	171,000	-	-	-	- National Wholesale Liquidator
atchung	100.0%	97.3%	23.19	170,000	54,000	116,000	-	-	- BJ's Wholesale Club
awnside	100.0%	100.0%	12.82	145,000	142,000	3,000	-	-	The Home Depot, PetSmart
azlet	100.0%	100.0%	2.44	123,000	123,000	-	-	-	- Stop & Shop

	Edga	r Filing: V0	ORNADO I	REALTY TR	UST - Form	10-K	
100.0%	100.0%	14.24	104,000	32,000	72,000	-	- Pathmark, Marshalls
100.0%	100.0%	6.25	96,000	89,000	7,000	-	- Haynes Furniture (
100.0%	100.0%	23.09	85,000	85,000	-	-	10,320 A&P
100.0%	95.5%	22.11	78,000	78,000	-	-	7,570 Stop & Shop
							опор
100.0%	100.0%	29.78	62,000	6,000	56,000	-	- Waldbaum
100.0%	100.0%	21.14	56,000	56,000	-	-	- Staples
100.0%	94.8%	30.39	41,000	41,000	-	-	12,358 New York Sports Clu
100.0%	100.0%	26.14	30,000	30,000	-	-	- Petco
100.0%	100.0%	20.48	18,000	18,000	-	-	- Whole Foods Market
		(6,585,000	4,493,000	1,710,000	382,000	30,248
100.0%	99.5%	14.78	626,000	269,000	357,000	-	- Wal-Mart, Sam's Clul ShopRite, Burlington Coat Factory, T.J. Maxx. Dick's Sporting Goods
	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 95.5% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 14.24 100.0% 100.0% 6.25 100.0% 100.0% 23.09 100.0% 95.5% 22.11 100.0% 100.0% 29.78 100.0% 100.0% 21.14 100.0% 94.8% 30.39 100.0% 100.0% 26.14 100.0% 100.0% 20.48	100.0% 14.24 104,000 100.0% 100.0% 6.25 96,000 100.0% 100.0% 23.09 85,000 100.0% 95.5% 22.11 78,000 100.0% 100.0% 29.78 62,000 100.0% 100.0% 21.14 56,000 100.0% 94.8% 30.39 41,000 100.0% 100.0% 26.14 30,000 100.0% 100.0% 20.48 18,000 6,585,000	100.0% 100.0% 14.24 104,000 32,000 100.0% 100.0% 6.25 96,000 89,000 100.0% 100.0% 23.09 85,000 85,000 100.0% 95.5% 22.11 78,000 78,000 100.0% 100.0% 29.78 62,000 6,000 100.0% 100.0% 21.14 56,000 56,000 100.0% 94.8% 30.39 41,000 41,000 100.0% 100.0% 26.14 30,000 30,000 100.0% 100.0% 20.48 18,000 18,000	100.0% 100.0% 14.24 104,000 32,000 72,000 100.0% 100.0% 6.25 96,000 89,000 7,000 100.0% 100.0% 23.09 85,000 85,000 - 100.0% 95.5% 22.11 78,000 78,000 - 100.0% 100.0% 29.78 62,000 6,000 56,000 100.0% 100.0% 21.14 56,000 56,000 - 100.0% 94.8% 30.39 41,000 41,000 - 100.0% 100.0% 26.14 30,000 30,000 - 100.0% 100.0% 20.48 18,000 18,000 -	100.0% 100.0% 6.25 96,000 89,000 7,000 - 100.0% 100.0% 23.09 85,000 85,000 - - 100.0% 95.5% 22.11 78,000 78,000 - - 100.0% 100.0% 29.78 62,000 6,000 56,000 - 100.0% 100.0% 21.14 56,000 56,000 - - 100.0% 94.8% 30.39 41,000 41,000 - - 100.0% 100.0% 26.14 30,000 30,000 - - 100.0% 100.0% 20.48 18,000 18,000 - - 6,585,000 4,493,000 1,710,000 382,000

iladelphia

100.0%

78.1%

13.20 430,000

430,000

								Kmart, Health Partners
ilkes-Barre	100.0%	83.3%	13.08	329,000(5)	204,000	125,000(5)	-	20,957 Target ⁽⁵⁾ , Babies "R' Us, Ross Dress For Less
ıncaster	100.0%	100.0%	4.43	228,000	58,000	170,000	-	- Lowe's, Weis Markets
ensalem	100.0%	100.0%	10.45	185,000	177,000	8,000	-	- Kohl's ⁽⁶⁾ , Ross Dress for Less, Staples
roomall	100.0%	86.5%	10.40	169,000	147,000	22,000	-	- Giant Food (6), A.C. Moore, PetSmart
ethlehem	100.0%	87.1%	5.64	167,000	164,000	3,000	-	- Giant Food Superpetz
27								

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Square Feet In Service		Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	Major
Property RETAIL	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Major Tenants
(Continued): Upper Moreland	100.0%	100.0% \$	9.75	122,000	122,000	-	-:	\$ -	Sam's Club
York	100.0%	100.0%	8.16	110,000	110,000	-	-	-	Ashley Furniture
Levittown	100.0%	100.0%	6.25	105,000	105,000	-	-	-	Haynes Furniture ⁽⁶⁾
Glenolden	100.0%	100.0%	23.13	102,000	10,000	92,000	-	-	Wal-Mart
Wilkes-Barre	100.0%	50.1%	4.65	81,000	81,000	-	-	-	Ollie's Bargain Outlet
(ground and building leased through 2040)									
Wyomissing	100.0%	89.0%	14.17	79,000	79,000	-	-	-	LA Fitness, PetSmart
(ground and building leased through 2065)									Petsmart
Total Pennsylvania				2,733,000	1,956,000	777,000	-	20,957	
New York: Poughkeepsie, NY	100.0%	100.0%	7.55	503,000	391,000	3,000	109,000	-	Kmart, Burlington Coat Factory, ShopRite,
(78.3% of total square feet in service)									Hobby Lobby, Christmas Tree Shops

Bronx (Bruckner Boulevard)	100.0%	98.5%	20.64	500,000	386,000	114,000	-	Bobs Discount Furniture - Kmart, Toys "R" Us, Key Food
Buffalo (Amherst) (ground leased through 2017)	100.0%	45.0%	5.59	296,000	227,000	69,000	-	- T.J. Maxx, Toys "R" Us
Huntington	100.0%	96.4%	13.01	208,000	208,000	-	-	15,595 Kmart
Rochester	100.0%	100.0%	-	205,000	-	205,000	-	- Wal-Mart
Mt. Kisco	100.0%	98.4%	21.00	189,000	72,000	117,000	-	29,703 Target, A&P
Freeport (437 East Sunrise Highway)	100.0%	100.0%	18.00	167,000	167,000	-	-	- The Home Depot, Cablevision
Staten Island	100.0%	93.1%	17.42	165,000	165,000	-	-	17,400 Waldbaum's
Rochester (Henrietta)	100.0%	89.2%	3.31	158,000	158,000	-	-	- Kohl's, Ollie's Bargain Outlet
leased through 2056)								
Albany (Menands)	100.0%	74.0%	9.00	140,000	140,000	-	-	- Bank of America
New Hyde Park (ground and building leased through 2029)	100.0%	100.0%	18.73	101,000	101,000	-	-	- Stop & Shop
Inwood	100.0%	95.1%	20.52	100,000	100,000	-	-	- Stop & Shop
North Syracuse (ground and building	100.0%	100.0%	-	98,000	-	98,000	-	- Wal-Mart

leased through 2014)								
West Babylon	100.0%	84.5%	11.40	79,000	79,000	-	-	6,550 Waldbaum's
Bronx (1750-1780 Gun Hill Road)	100.0%	45.3%	45.02	83,000	55,000	-	28,000	- T.G.I. Friday's, Duane Reade
Queens	100.0%	74.4%	38.78	58,000	58,000	-	-	- New York Sports Club
Oceanside	100.0%	100.0%	27.83	16,000	16,000	-	-	- Party City
Total New York 28			3	,066,000 2	2,323,000 60	6,000	137,000	69,248

Item 2. Properties - continued

						re Feet rvice	Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	Major
roperty ETAIL Continued): [aryland:	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Tenants
altimore 'owson)	100.0%	100.0%\$	\$ 14.30	150,000	150,000	-	-\$		Shoppers Food Warehouse Staples, A.C. Moor Golf Galax
nnapolis	100.0%	100.0%	8.99	128,000	128,000	-	-		The Home
(ground and ilding leased rough 2042)									Depot
len Burnie	100.0%	78.5%	10.42	121,000	65,000	56,000	-		Weis Markets
ockville	100.0%	99.3%	23.06	94,000	94,000	-	-	13,880	Regal Cinemas
otal Maryland				493,000	437,000	56,000	-	13,880	
lassachusetts: nicopee	100.0%	100.0%	-	224,000	-	224,000	-	-	Wal-Mart
oringfield	100.0%	97.3%	14.86	152,000	33,000	119,000	-	-	Wal-Mart
ilford (ground and ilding leased rough 2019)	100.0%	100.0%	8.01	83,000	83,000	-	-	-	Kohl's (6)
otal assachusetts				459,000	116,000	343,000	-	-	
alifornia: ın Jose	45.0%	100.0%	29.10	646,000(5)) 427,000	161,000(5	58,000		Target ⁽⁵⁾ , The Home Depot, Toy

"R" Us, Be

								Buy
(91.0% of tal square feet in service)								Биу
everly onnection, os Angeles	100.0%	100.0%	36.33	271,000	193,000	-	78,000	100,000 Marshalls, Old Navy, Sports Chalet, Loehmann
(71.2% of tal square feet in service)								Nordstrom Rack, Ross Dress for Less
sadena round leased rough 2077)	100.0%	64.1%	30.21	133,000	133,000	-	-	- Breakthru Fitness, Trader Joe
in Francisco The Cannery) 801 Leavenworth reet)	95.0%	23.4%	26.37	104,000	104,000	-	-	18,013
nn Francisco 75 Icramento reet)	100.0%	100.0%	31.31	76,000	76,000	-	-	- Open TV Inc.
n Francisco 700 Geary oulevard)	100.0%	100.0%	30.00	30,000	30,000	-	-	- OfficeMax
alnut Creek 149 South ain Street)	100.0%	100.0%	39.79	29,000	29,000	-	-	- Barnes & Noble
alnut Creek 556 Mt. iablo oulevard)	95.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
otal California				1,289,000	992,000	161,000	136,000	250,583
onnecticut: ewington	100.0%	100.0%	15.01	188,000	43,000	145,000	-	- Wal-Mart, Staples
aterbury	100.0%	100.0%	14.83	148,000	143,000	5,000	-	- ShopRite

otal onnecticut				336,000	186,000 15	0,000	-	-
orida: ampa	72.0%	75.5%	21.25	263,000	263,000	-	-	22,759 Pottery Barn, CineBistro Brooks Brothers Williams Sonoma, Lifestyle Family
lichigan: oseville	100.0%	100.0%	5.26	119,000	119,000	-	-	Fitness - JC Penney
irginia: orfolk	100.0%	100.0%	5.85	114,000	114,000	-	-	- BJ's Wholesale Club
ground and ilding leased rough 2069)								

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squ In Ser	are Feet vice	Out of		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Service Under	Encumbrances	Major
Property C RETAIL (Continued): Washington, DC)wnershi p)co	cupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Tenants
3040 M Street	100.0%	100.0%\$	46.36	42,000	42,000	-	-1	-	Barnes & Noble, Barneys
New Hampshire: Salem (ground leased through 2102)	100.0%	100.0%	-	37,000	-	37,000	-	-	Babies "R" Us
ACQUIRED FROM TOYS 'R' US Wheaton, MD (ground leased through 2060)	100.0%	100.0%	13.58	66,000	66,000	-	-	-	Best Buy
San Francisco, CA (2675 Geary Street) (ground and building leased through 2043)	100.0%	100.0%	45.76	55,000	55,000	-	-	-	Best Buy
Cambridge, MA (ground and building leased through 2033)	100.0%	100.0%	19.84	48,000	48,000	-	-	-	PetSmart
Battle Creek, MI	100.0%	-	-	47,000	47,000	-	-	-	

Commack, NY (ground and building leased through 2021)		59.0%	22.56 47,000	47,000	-	-	- PetSmart
Lansing, IL	100.0%	-	- 47,000	47,000	-	-	-
Springdale, OH (ground and building leased through 2046)		-	- 47,000	47,000	-	-	-
Arlington Heights, IL (ground and building leased through 2043)	100.0%	100.0%	9.00 46,000	46,000	-	-	- RVI
Bellingham, WA	100.0%	-	- 46,000	46,000	-	-	-
Dewitt, NY (ground leased through 2041)	100.0%	100.0%	18.60 46,000	46,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Ogden, UT	100.0%	-	- 46,000	46,000	-	-	-
Redding, CA	100.0%	49.7%	13.00 46,000	46,000	-	-	- PetSmart
Antioch, TN	100.0%	100.0%	6.96 45,000	45,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Charleston, SC (ground leased through 2063)	100.0%	100.0%	13.51 45,000	45,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Dorchester, MA	100.0%	100.0%	29.85 45,000	45,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Signal Hill, CA	100.0%	100.0%	21.89 45,000	45,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Tampa, FL	100.0%	100.0%	- 45,000	45,000	-	-	-

							Nordstrom Rack (lease not commenced)
Vallejo, CA (ground leased through 2043)	100.0%	100.0%	15.92 45,000	45,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Freeport, NY (240 West Sunrise Highway) (ground and building leased through 2040)	100.0%	100.0%	18.44 44,000	44,000	-	-	- Bob's Discount Furniture
Fond Du Lac, WI (ground leased through 2073)	100.0%	100.0%	7.12 43,000	43,000	-	-	- PetSmart
San Antonio, TX (ground and building leased through 2041)	100.0%	100.0%	9.06 43,000	43,000	-	-	- Best Buy
Chicago, IL (ground and building leased through 2051)	100.0%	100.0%	10.94 41,000	41,000	-	-	- Best Buy

Square Feet

Item 2. Properties - continued

					In Ser	rvice	Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	Majo
operty ETAIL	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	
ontinued): ringfield, PA ground and ilding leased rough 2025)	100.0%	100.0%\$	3 19.00	41,000	41,000	-		\$ -	PetSma
son's Corner, VA ground and ilding leased rough 2035)	100.0%	100.0%	35.57	38,000	38,000	-		-	Best B
lami, FL	100.0%	79.9%	13.17	33,000	33,000	-		-	Office
ground and ilding leased rough 2034)									Depot
wensboro, KY (ground and ilding leased rough 2046)	100.0%	100.0%	6.96	32,000	32,000			-	Best B
ibuque, IA ground leased rough 2043)	100.0%	100.0%	9.00	31,000	31,000	-		-	PetSma
erced, CA	100.0%	100.0%	13.27	31,000	31,000	-		-	PetSma
ldland, MI (ground used through 2043)		83.6%	8.38	31,000	31,000	-		-	PetSma
xarkana, TX round leased rough 2043)	100.0%	100.0%	4.39	31,000	31,000	-	-	-	Home Zone
otal Acquired om Toys 'R' Us				1,296,000	1,296,000	-		-	
ALIFORNIA IPERMARKETS	100.0%	100.0%	4.44	73,000	73,000	-		-	

olton (1904 North ncho Avenue)								Stater Brothe
verside (9155 rupa Road)	100.0%	100.0%	6.00	42,000	42,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
n Bernadino (1522 st Highland venue)	100.0%	100.0%	7.23	40,000	40,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
verside (5571 ssion Boulevard)	100.0%	100.0%	4.97	39,000	39,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
ojave (ground used through 2079)	100.0%	100.0%	6.55	34,000	34,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
rona (ground used through 2079)	100.0%	100.0%	7.76	33,000	33,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
ıcaipa	100.0%	100.0%	4.13	31,000	31,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
rstow	100.0%	100.0%	7.15	30,000	30,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
oreno Valley	100.0%	-	-	30,000	30,000	-	-	-
n Bernadino (648 est 4th Street)	100.0%	100.0%	6.74	30,000	30,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
aumont	100.0%	100.0%	5.58	29,000	29,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
sert Hot Springs	100.0%	100.0%	5.61	29,000	29,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
alto	100.0%	100.0%	5.74	29,000	29,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
lton (151 East illey Boulevard)	100.0%	100.0%	6.03	26,000	26,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
ntana	100.0%	100.0%	6.26	26,000	26,000	-	-	- Stater Brothe
tal California permarkets				521,000	521,000	-	-	-
1								

15.61 17,353,000 12,858,000 3,840,000

91.6%\$

tal Strip

opping Centers

407,675

655,000\$

ornado's wnership Interest

31

91.5% \$ 15.30 16,730,000 12,544,000 3,563,000

623,000\$

327,488

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squar In Ser	re Feet rvice	Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	
operty ETAIL ontinued): ANHATTAN 'REET ETAIL	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	t (in thousands)	Major Tenants
anhattan Mall	100.0%	96.3%\$	\$ 79.30	242,000	242,000	-		-\$ 72,639	9 JC Penney, Charlotte Russe, Aeropostale Express
Union Square uth	100.0%	100.0%	53.25	203,000	203,000	-	-	75,000	D Filene's Basement, Whole Foo Market, DSW, Forever 21
40 Broadway	100.0%	100.0%	80.26	161,000	127,000	-	- 34,000	-	- Forever 21, Planet Hollywood Disney (lea not commenced
(78.9% of al square feet in service)									
8-486 oadway	100.0%	100.0%	100.53	85,000	81,000	-	- 4,000	-	- Top Shop, Madewell, Crew
West 14th reet	100.0%	100.0%	57.47	62,000	62,000	-		-	- Guitar Center, Levi's
5 Seventh venue	100.0%	100.0%	165.32	43,000	43,000		-	52,000	O Hennes & Mauritz
5 Spring reet	100.0%	76.8%	100.65	43,000	43,000			-	- Sigrid Olse

2 Broadway	100.0%	-	-	35,000	35,000	-	-	-
35 Third venue	100.0%	100.0%	98.43	25,000	25,000	-	-	- GAP
5 Lexington round leased rough 2041)	100.0%	100.0%	155.56	23,000	23,000	-	-	- New York Company, Zales
West 34th reet	100.0%	100.0%	185.33	21,000	21,000	-	-	- Express
8-850 adison Avenue	100.0%	100.0%	342.02	18,000	18,000	-	-	80,000 Gucci, Chloe, Cartier
4 Eighth venue	100.0%	100.0%	84.72	14,000	14,000	-	-	- T.G.I. Friday's
East 66th reet	100.0%	100.0%	380.08	12,000	12,000	-	-	- Dennis Basso, Nespresso USA J. Crew (lease not
1 Seventh venue	100.0%	75.0%	49.38	10,000	10,000	-	-	commenced -
7 West oadway	100.0%	100.0%	134.42	9,000	9,000	-	-	- Reiss
7-679 adison Avenue	100.0%	100.0%	329.89	8,000	8,000	-	-	- Anne Fontaine
8 Spring reet	100.0%	100.0%	84.88	7,000	7,000	-	-	- Briel
0 Spring reet	100.0%	100.0%	110.33	7,000	7,000	-	-	- Puma
1-217 lumbus ⁄enue	100.0%	100.0%	281.51	6,000	6,000	-	-	- Club Mona
8 Third venue	50.0%	100.0%	161.29	6,000	6,000	-	-	- ING Bank
6 West oadway	100.0%	100.0%	191.31	4,000	4,000	-	-	4,361 Miss Sixty

4,000

4,000

5 Seventh

100.0%

100.0%

181.55

renue					
tal anhattan reet Retail	94.4%\$	96.57 1,048,00	00 1,010,000	- 38,000\$	284,000
ornado's vnership terest	94.4%\$	96.37 1,045,00	00 1,007,000	- 38,000\$	284,000
tal Retail ace	91.6%	26,565,0	00 19,364,000 5,556,000	0 1,645,000\$	1,968,067
ornado's vnership terest	91.6%	24,151,00	00 18,663,000 3,890,000	0 1,598,000\$	1,799,315
32					

- Lindy's

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squa In Ser Owned		Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances	
perty RCHANDISE RT: INOIS:	Ownership (Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Major Tena
chandise Mart,	100.0%	94.0%\$	29.58	3,494,000	3,494,000			\$ 550,000	American Intercontine University (AIU), Baker, Knap Tubbs, CCC Information Services, Ogilvy Grou (WPP), Chic Teachers Un Office of the Special Dept Receiver, Publicis Groupe, Bankers Life Casualty, Ho Hunt Ltd., Merchandise Mart Headquarter Steelcase, Chicago Sch of Profession Psychology Royal Bank Canada
West Mart ter, Chicago	100.0%	81.1%	25.71	1,223,000	1,223,000	_	-	-	21st Century Telecom/RC Ameritech, Chicago Sun-Times, Comcast, Fis Solutions,

Ogilvy Grou (WPP), Illin Institute of A

er	50.0%	79.4%	31.64 19,000 19	-,000	-	24,758
ıl Illinois		90.6%	28.66 4,736,000 4,736		-	574,758
SHINGTON,						
hington Design ter	100.0%	94.2%	37.91 393,000 393	,000 -	-	44,247 General Services Administrati
GH POINT, RTH ROLINA						
ket Square nplex	100.0%	86.5%	16.21 2,011,000 2,011	,000 -		217,815 ART Furnitu Cambium Business, Canadel Furniture, Century Furniture Company, Classic Furniture, H Brands, La-Z-Boy, Legacy Clas Furniture, Progressive Furniture, Robinson & Robinson, Vaughan Furniture
L IFORNIA . Mart	100.0%	69.8%	19.93 781,000 781	,000 -	-	- Penstan Investments
W YORK est 34th Street	100.0%	91.7%	38.17 419,000 419	-,000 -	-	- Kurt Adler
SSACHUSETTS con Design Center	100.0%	97.6%	29.61 553,000 553	,000 -	-	69,667 Boston Brewing/Fite Puma, Rober Allen
round leased ugh 2060)						
		00 501 6	26 16 0 002 000 0 002	000	Φ	007.405

26.16 8,893,000 8,893,000

88.5%\$

906,487

-\$

Ronin Capit

al Merchandise

nado's nership Interest 88.5%\$ 26.16 8,884,000 8,884,000 -\$

894,108

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squa	re Feet				
	%	%	Annualized		In Ser Owned by	rvice Owned By	Out of Service Under	Encum	nbrances	
Property	Ownership	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	t (in tho	usands)	Major Tenants
555 CALIFORNIA STREET:										
555 California Street	70.0%	93.8%\$	57.35	1,502,000	1,502,000	_		-\$	664,118(7)	Bank of America, N.A., Dodge & Cox, Goldman Sachs & Co., Jones Day, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., McKinsey & Company Inc., UBS Financial Services,
315 Montgomery Street	70.0%	100.0%	42.37	228,000	228,000	-		-	-	Bank of America, N.A.
345 Montgomery Street	70.0%	100.0%	104.87	64,000	64,000	-		-	-	Bank of America, N.A.
Total 555 California Street		94.8%\$	57.25	1,794,000	1,794,000	-		-\$	664,118	
Vornado's Ownership Interest		94.8% \$	57.25	1,256,000	1,256,000	-		-\$	472,192	

Item 2. Properties - continued

Interest

					Squa In Ser	re Feet vice	Out of Service			
	%	%	Annualized		Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encui	mbrances	
Property WAREHOUSES: NEW JERSEY	Ownership	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Developmen	nt (in th	ousands)	Major Tenants
East Hanover - Five Buildings	100.0%	89.4%	\$ 5.40	942,000	942,000	-		-\$	24,813	J, Leven & Company, Foremost Int'l Trading Inc., Tri-coastal Design Group Inc., Fidelity Paper & Supply Inc., Gardner Industries, Stephen Gould Paper Co., Givaudan Flavors Corp.
Edison	100.0%	-	-	272,000	272,000	-		-	-	
Total Warehouses		69.4%	\$ 5.40	1,214,000	1,214,000	-		-\$	24,813	ı
Vornado's Ownership		69.4%	\$ 5.40	1,214,000	1,214,000	-		-\$	24,813	,

Item 2. Properties - continued

					Squ In Ser	are Feet vice	Out of		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF		Owned by	Owned By	Service Under	Encumbrances	Major
'roperty ALEXANDER'S NC.:	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Total	Company	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Tenants
New York: '31 Lexington Avenue, Janhattan									
Office	32.4%	100.0%\$	81.01	885,000	885,000	-	-:	\$ 362,989	Bloomberg
Retail	32.4%	100.0%	154.61	174,000	174,000	-	-	320,000	Hennes & Mauritz, Home Depot, The Container Store
				1,059,000	1,059,000	-	-	682,989	
Kings Plaza Regional Shopping Center, Brooklyn (24.3 .cres)	32.4%	92.0%	40.63	1,098,000	759,000	339,000(8)	-	183,318	Sears, Lowe's (ground lessee), Macy (§)
Rego Park I, Queens (4.8 .cres)	32.4%	85.4%	32.28	351,000	351,000	-	-	78,246	Sears, Bed Bath & Beyond, Marshalls
Rego Park II adjacent to Rego Park I),	32.4%	100.0%	36.25	600,000	403,000	-	197,000	266,411	Century 21, Costco, Kohl's
Queens (6.6 cres) (67.2% of otal square feet s in service)									
	32.4%	100.0%	14.99	167,000	167,000	-	-	-	

Flushing, Queens ⁽⁹⁾ (1.0 .cre)					New World Mall LLC
New Jersey:					
Paramus, New ersey	32.4%	100.0%		-	68,000 IKEA (ground lessee)
(30.3 acres ground leased to KEA through 2041)					lessee)
Property to be					
Developed: Rego Park III adjacent to Rego Park II), Queens, NY 3.4 acres)	32.4%	-		-	-
lotal Alexander's			3,275,000 2,739,000 339,000	197,000\$	1,278,964
/ornado's Dwnership nterest			1,061,000 887,000 110,000	64,000\$	414,384

Item 2. Properties - continued

- (1) Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.
- (2) Excludes US Post Office leased through 2038 (including five five-year renewal options) for which the annual escalated rent is \$11.03 per square foot.
- (3) Excludes 918,000 square feet in two buildings owned by ground lessees on land leased from us, including Pentagon Row Retail and Residential and Ritz Carlton (7.5% interest).
- (4) Annualized base rent disclosed is for mall tenants only.
- (5) Includes square footage of anchors who own the land and building.
- (6) The leases for these former Bradlees locations are guaranteed by Stop and Shop (70% as to Totowa).
- (7) Cross-collateralized by 555 California Street and 315 and 345 Montgomery Streets.
- (8) Owned by Macy s, Inc.
- (9) Leased by Alexander's through January 2037.

New York Office Properties

As of December 31, 2009, we own 28 office properties in New York City aggregating 16.2 million square feet, including 15.2 million square feet of office space, 817,000 square feet of retail space and 183,000 square feet of showroom space. In addition, the New York Office Properties segment includes 6 garages totaling 368,000 square feet (1,739 spaces) which are managed by, or leased, to third parties. The garage space is excluded from the statistics provided in this section.

Occupancy and average annual escalated rent per square foot, excluding retail space:

As of December 31,	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Average Escalated per Squa	l Rent
2009	16,173,000	95.5%	\$	55.00
2008	16,108,000	96.7%		53.08
2007	15,994,000	97.6%		49.34
2006	13,692,000	97.5%		46.33
2005	12,972,000	96.0%		43.67

2009 New York Office Properties rental revenue by tenants industry:

Industry	Percentage				
Retail	15%				
Finance	14%				
Legal Services	9%				
Banking	7%				
Insurance	5%				
Communications	5%				
Technology	5%				
Publishing	4%				
Government	4%				
Pharmaceuticals	4%				
Real Estate	4%				
Advertising	3%				
Not-for-Profit	3%				
Engineering	2%				
Service Contractors	1%				

Health Services	1%
Other	14%
	100%

New York Office Properties lease terms generally range from five to seven years for smaller tenants to as long as 15 years for major tenants, and may provide for extension options at market rates. Leases typically provide for periodic step ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants their share of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses over a base year. Electricity is provided to tenants on a sub-metered basis or included in rent based on surveys and adjusted for subsequent utility rate increases. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant s initial construction costs of its premises.

New York Office Properties continued

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of 2009 New York Office Properties total revenues:

	Square Feet	2009	9	Percentage of New York Offic Properties	Percentage e of Total Company	
Tenant	Leased	Revenues		Revenues	Revenues	
Macy s, Inc.	537,000	\$	26,669,000	2.5%	1.0%	
Madison Square Garden L.P. /						
Rainbow Media Holdings, Inc.	473,000		23,984,000	2.2%	0.9%	
McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.	480,000		22,558,000	2.1%	0.8%	
Limited Brands	368,000		21,454,000	2.0%	0.8%	

2009 New York Office Properties Leasing Activity:

	Square	Averag Rent P	ge Initial er	
Location	Feet	Square	Foot (1)	
909 Third Avenue	279,000	\$	48.96	
595 Madison Avenue	170,000			65.10
One Penn Plaza	161,000			52.53
770 Broadway	156,000			45.77
Two Penn Plaza	139,000			44.72
1290 Avenue of the Americas	104,000			57.63
866 United Nations Plaza	87,000			54.93
57th Street	75,000			46.16
100 West 33 rd Street	61,000			41.41
Eleven Penn Plaza	61,000			45.00
350 Park Avenue	56,000			70.07
150 East 58th Street	45,000			53.57
90 Park Avenue	34,000			55.99
888 Seventh Avenue	29,000			65.58
330 Madison Avenue	24,000			57.86
40 Fulton Street	20,000			34.78
689 Fifth Avenue	2,000			67.05

Total	1,503,000	52.17
Vornado s Ownership Interest	1,417,000	52.13
(1) Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which	n are not reflected in the initial n	rent per square foot leased.
In addition to the office space noted above, during 200 office buildings at an average initial rent of \$188.09, a	_	•
39		

New York Office Properties continued

Lease expirations as of December 31, 2009 assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

Office Space:	Number of Expiring	Square Feet of Expiring	Percentage of New York Office Properties	Rei	nual Escalated nt of Expiring Lo		
Year	Leases	Leases	Square Feet	Tot	al	Per	Square Foot
Office Space:	7.5	100.000	1.10	ф	10.000.000	ф	57.04
Month to month	75	180,000	1.1%	\$	10,268,000	\$	57.04
2010	106	760,000	4.7%		39,825,000		52.40
2011	83	861,000	5.4%		51,249,000		59.52
2012	96 5 0	1,727,000	10.8%		87,787,000		50.83
2013	59 50	868,000 (1			42,998,000		49.54
2014	78	733,000	4.6%		41,404,000		56.49
2015	83	2,135,000	13.3%		117,262,000		54.92
2016	46	930,000	5.8%		48,270,000		51.90
2017	33	836,000	5.2%		47,265,000		56.54
2018	30	760,000	4.7%		49,322,000		64.90
2019	26	577,000	3.6%		33,082,000		57.33
Retail Space:							
(contained in office							
buildings)							
Month to month	2	3,000		\$	444,000	\$	148.00
2010	12	31,000	0.2%		1,827,000		60.90
2011	5	37,000	0.2%		1,981,000		53.54
2012	6	21,000	0.1%		3,938,000		187.52
2013	17	51,000	0.3%		8,130,000		159.41
2014	10	86,000	0.5%		18,252,000		212.23
2015	8	32,000	0.2%		7,098,000		221.81
2016	4	319,000	2.0%		17,204,000		53.93
2017	2	22,000	0.1%		2,137,000		97.14
2018	8	115,000	0.7%		12,199,000		106.08
2019	5	33,000	0.2%		7,672,000		232.48

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 492,000 square feet at 909 Third Avenue leased to the U.S. Post Office through 2038 (including five 5-year renewal options) for which the annual escalated rent is \$11.03 per square foot.

Washington, DC Office Properties

As of December 31, 2009, we own 84 properties aggregating 18.6 million square feet in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area including 76 office buildings, 7 residential properties, a hotel property and 20.8 acres of undeveloped land. In addition, the Washington, DC Office Properties segment includes 51 garages totaling approximately 9.1 million square feet (29,000 spaces) which are managed by or leased to third parties. The garage space is excluded from the statistics provided in this section.

As of December 31, 2009, 33% percent of the space in our Washington, DC Office Properties segment was leased to various agencies of the U.S. Government.

Occupancy and average annual escalated rent per square foot:

As of December 31,	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Average Escalate per Squa	d Rent
2009	18,560,000	94.9%	\$	39.01
2008	17,666,000	95.0%		37.70
2007	17,483,000	93.3%		35.15
2006	17,456,000	92.6%		32.36
2005	17,112,000	90.9%		31.68

2009 Washington, DC Office Properties rental revenue by tenants industry:

Industry	Percentage
U.S. Government	34%
Government Contractors	23%
Legal Services	10%
Membership Organizations	7%
Manufacturing	3%
Real Estate	3%
Computer and Data Processing	3%
Business Services	3%

Communication	1%
Television Services	1%
Health Services	1%
Education	1%
Radio and Television	1%
Other	9%
	100%

Washington, DC Office Properties lease terms generally range from five to seven years, and may provide for extension options at either pre-negotiated or market rates. Leases typically provide for periodic step-ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants, the tenants—share of increases in real estate taxes and certain property operating expenses over a base year. Periodic step-ups in rent are usually based upon either fixed percentage increases or the consumer price index. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant—s initial construction costs of its premises.

Washington, DC Office Properties continued

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of Washington, DC Office Properties total revenues:

				Percentage of Washington, DC	Percentage of Total
	Square Feet	2009		Office Properties	Company
Tenant	Leased	Revenues		Revenues	Revenues
U.S. Government	5,870,000	\$	182,874,000	26.5%	6.7%
Howrey LLP	327,000		21,807,000	3.2%	0.8%
Academy for Educational Development	367,000		15,256,000	2.2%	0.6%
Boeing	387,000		15,158,000	2.2%	0.6%
SAIC, Inc.	449,000		15,126,000	2.2%	0.6%
Greenberg Traurig LLP	115,000		13,514,000	2.0%	0.5%

2009 Washington, DC Office Properties Leasing Activity:

Location	Square Feet	Average Initial Re Per Square Foot (
S. Clark Street / 12th Street	866,000	\$	40.89
Skyline Place / One Skyline Tower	519,000		36.27
2011-2451 Crystal Drive	467,000		41.82
1800, 1851 and 1901 South Bell Street	390,000		42.41
1550-1750 Crystal Drive / 241-251 18th Street	353,000		41.90
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway and			
223 23rd Street / 2221 South Clark Street	203,000		38.46
2200 / 2300 Clarendon Blvd (Courthouse Plaza)	71,000		39.15
1730 M Street, NW	45,000		42.45
Commerce Executive	40,000		28.74
Reston Executive	35,000		29.64
Partially Owned Entities	35,000		36.40
1227 25 th Street, NW	32,000		53.87
Democracy Plaza One	30,000		35.74
1150 17 th Street, NW	21,000		43.69
1726 M Street, NW	15,000		40.52
1101 17 th Street, NW	9,000		44.67

Universal Buildings (1825-1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW)	8,000	42.38
2101 L Street, NW	6,000	50.00
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	4,000	48.75
Warner Building 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	4,000	64.87
1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW	3,000	39.00
1999 K Street, NW (sold in 2009)	2,000	76.50
	3,158,000	40.26

⁽¹⁾ Most leases (excluding US Government leases) include periodic step-ups in rent which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

Washington, DC Office Properties continued

Lease expirations as of December 31, 2009 assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

	Number of Expiring	Square Feet of Expiring	Percentage of Washington, DC Office Properties		nual Escalated t of Expiring Leases		
Year	Leases	Leases	Square Feet	Tota	al	Per	Square Foot
Month to							
month	76	258,000	1.7%	\$	7,387,000	\$	28.61
2010	301	2,012,000	13.6%		74,643,000		37.11
2011	287	2,033,000	13.8%		73,957,000		36.38
2012	225	2,591,000	17.6%		96,717,000		37.32
2013	135	1,011,000	6.9%		39,558,000		39.12
2014	116	1,039,000	7.0%		36,713,000		35.33
2015	87	1,184,000	8.0%		44,342,000		37.44
2016	34	825,000	5.6%		32,124,000		38.94
2017	35	342,000	2.3%		12,505,000		36.58
2018	44	987,000	6.7%		47,038,000		47.66
2019	40	1,046,000	7.1%		40,708,000		38.92

RETAIL PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2009, we own 162 retail properties, of which 132 are strip shopping centers located primarily in the Northeast, Mid-Atlantic and California; 7 are regional malls located in New York, New Jersey, Virginia and San Juan, Puerto Rico; and 23 are retail properties located in Manhattan (Manhattan Street Retail). Our strip shopping centers and malls are generally located on major highways in mature, densely populated areas, and therefore attract consumers from a regional, rather than a neighborhood market place.

Strip Shopping Centers

Our strip shopping centers contain an aggregate of 16.1 million square feet and are substantially (over 80%) leased to large stores (over 20,000 square feet). Tenants include destination retailers such as discount department stores, supermarkets, home improvement stores, discount apparel stores and membership warehouse clubs. Tenants typically offer basic consumer necessities such as food, health and beauty aids, moderately priced clothing, building materials and home improvement supplies, and compete primarily on the basis of price and location.

Regional Malls

The Green Acres Mall in Valley Stream, Long Island, New York contains 1.8 million square feet, and is anchored by Macy s, Sears, Wal-Mart, J.C. Penney, Best Buy and a BJ s Wholesale Club.

The Monmouth Mall in Eatontown, New Jersey, in which we own a 50% interest, contains 1.5 million square feet and is anchored by Macy s, Lord & Taylor and J.C. Penney, two of which own their stores aggregating 457,000 square feet.

The Springfield Mall in Springfield, Virginia, contains 1.4 million square feet and is anchored by Macy s, J.C. Penney and Target who own their stores aggregating 390,000 square feet. We continue to evaluate plans to renovate and reposition the mall; given current economic conditions, that may require us to renegotiate the terms of the existing debt and, accordingly, we have requested that the debt be placed with the special servicer.

The Bergen Town Center in Paramus, New Jersey contains 950,000 square feet and is anchored by Century 21, Whole Foods and Target under leases aggregating 416,000 square feet. We are currently developing 250,000 square feet of retail space adjacent to the mall which will be anchored by Lowe s Home Improvement. This development is expected to be completed in 2010.

RETAIL PROPERTIES 99

The Broadway Mall in Hicksville, Long Island, New York contains 1.1 million square feet and is anchored by Macy	s,
Ikea, Multiplex Cinema and Target, which owns its store containing 141,000 square feet.	

The Montehiedra Mall in San Juan, Puerto Rico contains 540,000 square feet and is anchored by Home Depot, Kmart, and Marshalls.

The Las Catalinas Mall in San Juan, Puerto Rico, contains 495,000 square feet and is anchored by Kmart and Sears, which owns its 139,000 square foot store.

Manhattan Street Retail

Manhattan Street Retail is comprised of 23 properties containing 1,048,000 square feet. These properties include (i) properties in the Penn Plaza district, such as the Manhattan Mall which contains 242,000 square feet, anchored by JC Penney; (ii) 4 Union Square which contains 203,000 square feet, anchored by Whole Foods Market, Filenes Basement and DSW; (iii) 1540 Broadway in Times Square which contains 161,000 square feet, anchored by Forever 21 and Disney, which will open their flagship stores in 2010, and Planet Hollywood; and (iv) properties on Madison Avenue and in So-Ho occupied by retailers including H&M, Top Shop, Madewell, the GAP, Gucci, Chloe and Cartier. In addition, we own 817,000 square feet of retail space in certain of our New York office buildings, which is part of our New York Office Properties segment.

RETAIL PROPERTIES CONTINUED

Occupancy and average annual net rent per square foot:

As of December 31, 2009, the aggregate occupancy rate for the entire Retail Properties segment of 22.6 million square feet was 91.6%. Details of our ownership interest in the strip shopping centers, regional malls and Manhattan Street retail for the past five years are provided below.

Strip Shopping Centers:

As of December 31,	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Average Annual Net Rent per Square Foot	
2009	16,107,000	91.5%	\$	15.30
2008	15,755,000	91.9%		14.52
2007	15,463,000	94.1%		14.12
2006	12,933,000	92.9%		13.48
2005	10,750,000	95.5%		12.07

Regional Malls:

			Average Annual Net Rent Per Square Foot			
As of December 31,	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Mall Tenants		Mall Tena	and Anchor nts
2009	5,439,000	91.1%	\$	39.56	\$	20.67
2008	5,232,000	93.0%		37.59		20.38
2007	5,528,000	96.1%		34.94		19.11
2006	5,640,000	93.4%		32.64		18.12
2005	4,817,000	96.2%		31.83		18.24

For the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2008, mall sales per square foot, including partially owned malls, were \$457.00 and \$487.00, respectively.

Manhattan Street Retail:

			Average	Annuai
	Rentable	Occupancy	Net Rent per Square Foot	
As of December 31,	Square Feet	Rate		
2009	1,007,000	95.3%	\$	96.37
2008	874,000	90.4%		97.18
2007	943,000	86.8%		89.86
2006	691,000	83.6%		83.53
2005	602,000	90.9%		81.94

RETAIL PROPERTIES CONTINUED

2009 Retail Properties rental revenue by type of retailer:

Industry	Percentage
Discount Stores	13%
Women s Apparel	11%
Family Apparel	10%
Supermarkets	9%
Home Entertainment and Electronics	7%
Restaurants	6%
Home Improvement	6%
Banking and Other Business Services	5%
Department Stores	5%
Personal Services	3%
Home Furnishings	3%
Membership Warehouse Clubs	2%
Jewelry	2%
Other	18%
	100%

Retail Properties lease terms generally range from five years or less in some instances for smaller tenants to as long as 25 years for major tenants. Leases generally provide for reimbursements of real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance charges (including roof and structure in strip shopping centers, unless it is the tenant s direct responsibility), and percentage rents based on tenant sales volume. Percentage rents accounted for less than 1% of the Retail Properties total revenues during 2009.

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of 2009 Retail Properties total revenues:

	Square Feet	200	9	Percentage of Retail Properties	Percentage of Total Company
Tenant	Leased	Rev	venues	Revenues	Revenues
The Home Depot, Inc	990,000	\$	18,184,000	3.3%	0.7%
Best Buy Co, Inc.	619,000		16,982,000	3.1%	0.6%
Wal-Mart/Sam s Wholesale	1,674,000		16,643,000	3.0%	0.6%
Stop & Shop Companies, Inc. (Stop &					
Shop)	729,000		14,055,000	2.5%	0.5%

Sears Holdings Corporation (Sears and

Kmart) 1,017,000 12,172,000 2.2% 0.4%

RETAIL PROPERTIES CONTINUED

Lease expirations as of December 31, 2009 assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

	Number of	Square Feet of	Retail		ge of Annual Net Rent		
	Expiring	Expiring	Properties		Expiring Leases		
Year	Leases	Leases	Square Feet	Tota	al	Per	Square Foot
Strip Shopping							
Centers:							
Month to month	17	46,000	0.2%	\$	962,000	\$	20.79
2010	52	490,000	2.4%		7,484,000		15.26
2011	71	949,000	4.7%		10,145,000		10.69
2012	65	872,000	4.3%		12,194,000		13.98
2013	113	1,980,000			24,466,000		12.35
2014	104	1,191,000	5.8%		19,413,000		16.30
2015	45	598,000	2.9%		10,583,000		17.70
2016	41	688,000	3.4%		10,475,000		15.22
2017	32	323,000	1.6%		4,562,000		14.11
2018	54	932,000	4.6%		14,173,000		15.21
2019	44	930,000	4.6%		16,807,000		18.07
M-II-							
Malls:	<i>5</i> 1	110.000	0.50	Ф	2 (02 000	ф	22.50
Month to month	51	110,000	0.5%	\$	3,693,000	\$	33.50
2010	89	262,000	1.3%		7,459,000		28.43
2011	61	251,000	1.2%		7,619,000		30.29
2012	47	216,000	1.1%		5,486,000		25.40
2013	72	272,000	1.3%		8,223,000		30.28
2014	48	343,000	1.7%		6,412,000		18.70
2015	53	267,000	1.3%		6,900,000		25.86
2016	43	388,000	1.9%		4,844,000		12.47
2017	43	467,000	2.3%		7,760,000		16.61
2018	45	114,000	0.6%		5,145,000		44.97
2019	45	182,000	0.9%		6,532,000		35.94
Manhattan Street Retail:							
Month to month	3	4,000		\$	154,000	\$	34.83
2010	2	7,000			1,210,000		177.26
2011	9	96,000	0.5%		6,247,000		65.06
2012	8	36,000	0.2%		2,028,000		55.91
2013	4	23,000	0.1%		2,993,000		129.24
2014	7	30,000	0.1%		4,049,000		136.37
2015	6	23,000	0.1%		2,439,000		107.27
2016	8	20,000	0.1%		4,044,000		206.03
2017	6	17,000	0.1%		2,539,000		152.43
2018	16	128,000	0.6%		20,963,000		164.00
2019	10	58,000	0.3%		8,259,000		142.85

RETAIL PROPERTIES CONTINUED

2009 Retail Properties Leasing Activity:

T 4		Average Initial Rent
Location Description Control Description NI	Square Feet	Per Square Foot (1)
Bergen Town Center, Paramus, NJ	222,000	\$ 25.01
Green Acres Mall, Valley Stream, NY	190,000	15.46
Poughkeepsie, NY	130,000	4.35
Albany (Menands), NY	104,000	9.00
Tampa, FL	45,000	19.80
San Francisco (275 Sacramento Street), CA	43,000	42.50
Wilkes-Barre, PA	40,000	6.53
East Hanover I and II, NJ	35,000	21.42
Baltimore (Towson), MD	33,000	16.45
Bricktown, NJ	28,000	14.06
Huntington, NY	25,000	16.23
Las Catalinas, Puerto Rico	19,000	49.56
155 Spring Street, New York, NY	17,000	40.01
Springfield Mall, Springfield, VA	16,000	47.66
North Plainfield, NJ	13,000	9.58
Inwood, NY	12,000	29.79
York, PA	12,000	9.20
Bethlehem, PA	11,000	3.00
Totowa, NJ	11,000	34.00
Buffalo (Amherst), NY	10,000	12.25
North Bergen (Tonnelle Ave), NJ	9,000	44.86
Cherry Hill, NJ	8,000	22.60
Hackensack, NJ	8,000	30.55
Broadway Mall, Hicksville, NY	7,000	63.09
Glenolden, PA	7,000	21.50
Bronx (Bruckner Boulevard), NY	6,000	24.17
Monmouth Mall, Eatontown, NJ	6,000	43.64
Rockville, MD	6,000	28.50
San Francisco (The Cannery) (2801 Leavenworth Street),	•	
CA	6,000	25.00
148 Spring Street, New York, NY	5,000	42.16
Springfield, MA	5,000	23.39
Union (Route 22 and Morris Avenue), NJ	5,000	29.00
Other	45,000	115.66
	1,139,000	23.28

⁽¹⁾ Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2009, we own 8 Merchandise Mart Properties containing an aggregate of 8.9 million square feet. The Merchandise Mart Properties segment also contains 7 garages totaling 1.0 million square feet (3,312 spaces). The garage space is excluded from the statistics provided in this section.

Square feet by location and use as of December 31, 2009:

(Amounts in thousands)		Showroom		TD.		
	Total	Office	Total	Permanent	Temporary Trade Show	Retail
Chicago, Illinois:						
Merchandise Mart	3,494	1,040	2,387	1,805	582	67
350 West Mart Center	1,223	1,135	88	88		
Other	10					10
Total Chicago, Illinois	4,727	2,175	2,475	1,893	582	77
High Point, North Carolina:						
Market Square Complex	1,751	32	1,691	1,227	464	28
National Furniture Mart	260		260	260		
Total High Point, North Carolina	2,011	32	1,951	1,487	464	28
Los Angeles, California:						
L.A. Mart	781	32	740	686	54	9
Boston, Massachusetts:						
Boston Design Center	553	124	424	424		5
New York, New York:						
7 West 34 th Street	419	15	404	362	42	
Washington, DC:						
Washington Design Center	393	86	307	307		
Total Merchandise Mart Properties	8,884	2,464	6,301	5,159	1,142	119
Occupancy rate	88.5%	88.9%	88.4%			87.0%

MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES CONTINUED

Office Space

Occupancy and average annual escalated rent per square foot:

As of December 31,	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Average Am Escalated Re Per Square I	ent
2009	2,464,000	88.9%	\$	23.52
2008	2,424,000	96.5%		25.18
2007	2,358,000	96.7%		24.99
2006	2,316,000	97.2%		23.82
2005	2,703,000	96.7%		25.05

2009 Merchandise Mart Properties office rental revenues by tenants industry:

Industry	Percentage		
Service	31%		
Telecommunications	13%		
Education	13%		
Banking	9%		
Government	7%		
Publications	7%		
Insurance	6%		
Other	14%		
	100%		

Office lease terms generally range from three to seven years for smaller tenants to as long as 15 years for major tenants. Leases typically provide for periodic step-ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants their share of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses over a base year. Electricity is provided to tenants

on a sub-metered basis or included in rent and adjusted for subsequent utility rate increases. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant s initial construction of its premises.

Office tenants accounting for 2% or more of Merchandise Mart Properties 2009 total revenues:

			Percentage of	Percentage
			Merchandise	of Total
	Square Feet	2009	Mart Properties	Company
Tenant	Leased	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues
Ogilvy Group (WPP)	270,000	\$ 7,961,000	3.0%	0.3%

MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES CONTINUED

2009 leasing activity Merchandise Mart Properties office space:

	Square Feet	Averag Rent Pe Square	
350 West Mart Center	146,000	\$	33.68
Merchandise Mart	42,000		34.58
Washington Design Center	15,000		45.66
Total	203,000		34.76

⁽¹⁾ Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

Lease expirations for Merchandise Mart Properties office space as of December 31, 2009 assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

			Percentage of Merchandise Mar	t	Annual Escalar Rent of Expirit	es
	Number of Expiring	Square Feet of Expiring	Properties Office			Per Square
Year	Leases	Leases	Square Feet		Total	Foot
2010	7	33,000	1.6%	\$	843,000	\$ 25.70
2011	17	77,000	3.8%		2,048,000	26.51
2012	8	105,000	5.2%		2,984,000	28.46
2013	19	84,000	4.2%		2,514,000	29.77
2014	5	106,000	5.2%		3,055,000	28.79
2015	9	235,000	11.6%		6,614,000	28.12
2016	5	118,000	5.8%		3,086,000	26.16
2017	5	86,000	4.2%		1,705,000	19.85

Edgar Filing:	VORNADO REALT	Y TRUST - Form 10-K
-aga: :g:		

2018	10	287,000	14.1%	8,350,000	29.06
2019	4	8,000	0.4%	326,000	39.71

MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES CONTINUED

Showroom Space

The showrooms provide manufacturers and wholesalers with permanent and temporary space in which to display products for buyers, specifiers and end users. The showrooms are also used for hosting trade shows for the contract furniture, casual furniture, gift, carpet, crafts, apparel and design industries. Merchandise Mart Properties own and operate five of the leading furniture and gift trade shows, including the contract furniture industry s largest trade show, NeoCon, which attracts over 50,000 attendees each June and is hosted at the Merchandise Mart building in Chicago. The Market Square Complex is co-host to the home furniture industry s semi-annual (April and October) market weeks which occupy over 1.2 million square feet in the High Point, North Carolina region.

Occupancy and average escalated rent per square foot:

As of December 31,	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Average A Escalated I Per Square	Rent
2009	6,301,000	88.4%	\$	27.17
2008	6,332,000	92.2%		26.72
2007	6,139,000	93.7%		26.16
2006	6,370,000	93.6%		25.17
2005	6,290,000	94.7%		24.04

2009 Merchandise Mart Properties showroom rental revenues by tenants industry:

Industry	Percentage
Residential Design	31%
Gift	20%
Contract Furnishing	17%
Residential Furnishing	15%
Casual Furniture	6%
Apparel	5%
Building Products	4%
Art	2%
	100%

2009 Leasing Activity Merchandise Mart Properties showroom space:

Square Feet

		Average Initial Rent Per Square Foot (1)		
Market Square Complex	484,000	\$	12.83	
Merchandise Mart	299,000		40.78	
L.A. Mart	149,000		19.84	
7 West 34 th Street	108,000		43.98	
Washington Design Center	89,000		47.23	
Boston Design Center	89,000		36.76	
350 West Mart Center	20,000		28.01	
Total	1,238,000		27.58	

⁽¹⁾ Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES CONTINUED

Lease expirations for the Merchandise Mart Properties showroom space as of December 31, 2009 assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

			Percentage of				
			Merchandise Ma	rt			
		Square Feet	Properties		nual Escalated		
	Number of	of	Showroom	Re	nt of Expiring Le	eases	
	Expiring	Expiring					Per Square
Year	Leases	Leases	Square Feet		Total		Foot
Month to							
month	35	80,000	1.8%	\$	2,091,000	\$	26.14
2010	180	555,000	12.7%		15,477,000		27.89
2011	154	577,000	13.2%		16,685,000		28.93
2012	143	610,000	14.0%		16,180,000		26.53
2013	128	631,000	14.4%		18,507,000		29.33
2014	119	543,000	12.4%		15,469,000		28.49
2015	61	363,000	8.3%		10,493,000		28.93
2016	37	197,000	4.5%		6,537,000		33.13
2017	45	407,000	9.3%		13,736,000		33.73
2018	34	212,000	4.9%		7,705,000		36.32
2019	17	89,000	2.0%		3,224,000		36.04

Retail Space

The Merchandise Mart Properties segment also contains approximately 119,000 square feet of retail space, which was 87.0% occupied at December 31, 2009.

TOYS R US, INC. (TOYS)

As of December 31, 2009 we own a 32.7% interest in Toys, a worldwide specialty retailer of toys and baby products, which has a significant real estate component. Toys had \$5.9 billion of outstanding debt at October 31, 2009, of which our pro rata share was \$1.9 billion, none of which is recourse to us.

The following table sets forth the total number of stores operated by Toys as of December 31, 2009:

			Building Owned on Leased	
	Total	Owned	Ground	Leased
Domestic	851	300	231	320
International	514	79	26	409
Subtotal	1,365	379	257	729
Franchised stores	202			
Total	1,567			

OTHER INVESTMENTS

555 California Street Complex

As of December 31, 2009, we own a 70% controlling interest in a three-building complex containing 1.8 million square feet, known as The Bank of America Center, located at California and Montgomery Streets in San Francisco s financial district (555 California Street), which we acquired in 2007.

Occupancy and average annual rent per square foot as of December 31, 2009:

As of	Rentable		Average A Escalated	Rent
December 31,	Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Per Squar	re Foot
2009	1,794,000	94.8%	\$	57.25
2008	1,789,000	94.0%		57.98
2007	1,789,000	95.0%		59.84

2009 rental revenue by tenants industry:

Industry	Percentage
Finance	39%
Banking	42%
Legal Services	11%
Retail	1%
Others	7%
	100%

Lease terms generally range from five to seven years for smaller tenants to as long as 15 years for major tenants, and may provide for extension options at market rates. Leases typically provide for periodic step ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants their share of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses over a base year. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant s initial construction costs of its premises.

OTHER INVESTMENTS 117

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of total revenues:

	Square	2009	Percentage of 555 California Street Complex s		ntage of Company
Tenant	Feet Leased	Revenues	Revenues	Rever	nues
Bank of America	659,000	37,712,000	36.2%	1.4	%
UBS Financial Services	134,000	8,410,000	8.1%	0.3	%
Goldman, Sachs & Co.	97,000	6,446,000	6.2%	0.2	%
Morgan Stanley &					
Company, Inc.	89,000	6,417,000	6.2%	0.2	%
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	125,000	5,837,000	5.6%	0.2	%
McKinsey & Company Inc.	54,000	4,256,000	4.1%	0.2	%
Dodge & Cox	62,000	3,898,000	3.7%	0.1	%

2009 leasing activity:

During 2009 we leased 100,000 square feet at a weighted average rent initial rent of \$52.82 per square foot.

OTHER INVESTMENTS CONTINUED

Alexander s, Inc. (Alexander s)

As of December 31, 2009, we own 32.4% of the outstanding common stock of Alexander s, which has seven properties in the greater New York metropolitan area. Alexander s had \$1.3 billion of outstanding debt at December 31, 2009, of which our pro rata share was \$414 million, none of which is recourse to us.

Hotel Pennsylvania

We own the Hotel Pennsylvania which is located in New York City on Seventh Avenue opposite Madison Square Garden and consists of a hotel portion containing 1,000,000 square feet of hotel space with 1,700 rooms and a commercial portion containing 400,000 square feet of retail and office space.

	Year Ended December 31,										
Rental information:	2009		2008		200	07	200)6	2005		
Hotel:											
Average occupancy rate		71.5%		84.1%		84.4%		82.1%		83.7%	
Average daily rate	\$	133.20	\$	171.32	\$	154.78	\$	133.33	\$	115.74	
Revenue per available room	\$	95.18	\$	144.01	\$	130.70	\$	109.53	\$	96.85	
Commercial:											
Office space:											
Average occupancy rate		30.4%		30.4%		57.0%		41.2%		38.7%	
Annual rent per square foot	\$	20.54	\$	18.78	\$	22.23	\$	16.42	\$	10.70	
Retail space:											
Average occupancy rate		70.7%		69.5%		73.3%		79.9%		79.8%	
Annual rent per square foot	\$	35.05	\$	41.75	\$	33.63	\$	27.54	\$	26.02	

Lexington Realty Trust (Lexington)

As of December 31, 2009, we own 15.2% of the outstanding common shares of Lexington, which has interests in 259 properties, encompassing approximately 45.9 million square feet across 43 states, generally net-leased to major corporations. Lexington had approximately \$2.1 billion of outstanding debt at of December 31, 2009, of which our pro rata share was \$342 million, none of which is recourse to us.

Warehouse/Industrial Properties

As of December 31, 2009, we own 6 warehouse/industrial properties in New Jersey containing approximately 1.2 million square feet. Average lease terms range from three to five years. The following table sets forth the occupancy rate and average annual rent per square foot at the end of each of the past five years.

Average Annual Rent

As of December 31,	Occupancy Rate	Per Square Foot	
2009	69.4%	\$	5.40
2008	100.0%		4.70
2007	100.0%		4.70
2006	96.9%		4.17
2005	100.0%		4.19

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are from time to time involved in legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters, including the matters referred to below, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On January 8, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a complaint with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (USDC-NJ) claiming that we had no right to reallocate and therefore continue to collect the \$5,000,000 of annual rent from Stop & Shop pursuant to the Master Agreement and Guaranty, because of the expiration of the East Brunswick, Jersey City, Middletown, Union and Woodbridge leases to which the \$5,000,000 of additional rent was previously allocated. Stop & Shop asserted that a prior order of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York dated February 6, 2001, as modified on appeal to the District Court for the Southern District of New York on February 13, 2001, froze our right to reallocate which effectively terminated our right to collect the additional rent from Stop & Shop. On March 3, 2003, after we moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, Stop & Shop voluntarily withdrew its complaint. On March 26, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a new complaint in New York State Supreme Court, asserting substantially the same claims as in its USDC-NJ complaint. We removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In January 2005 that court remanded the action to the New York State Supreme Court. On February 14, 2005, we served an answer in which we asserted a counterclaim seeking a judgment for all the unpaid additional rent accruing through the date of the judgment and a declaration that Stop & Shop will continue to be liable for the additional rent as long as any of the leases subject to the Master Agreement and Guaranty remain in effect. On May 17, 2005, we filed a motion for summary judgment. On July 15, 2005, Stop & Shop opposed our motion and filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. On December 13, 2005, the Court issued its decision denying the motions for summary judgment. Both parties appealed the Court s decision and on December 14, 2006, the Appellate Court division issued a decision affirming the Court s decision. On January 16, 2007, we filed a motion for the reconsideration of one aspect of the Appellate Court s decision which was denied on March 13, 2007. Discovery is now complete. On October 19, 2009, Stop & Shop filed a motion for leave to amend its pleadings to assert new claims for relief, including a claim for damages in an unspecified amount, and an additional affirmative defense. The motion was argued and submitted for decision on December 18, 2009. The course of future proceedings will depend upon the outcome of Stop & Shop s motion, but we anticipate that a trial date will be set for some time in 2010. We intend to vigorously pursue our claims against Stop & Shop. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On May 24, 2007, we acquired a 70% controlling interest in 1290 Avenue of the Americas and the 555 California Street complex. Our 70% interest was acquired through the purchase of all of the shares of a group of foreign companies that own, through U.S. entities, the 1% sole general partnership interest and a 69% limited partnership interest in the partnerships that own the two properties. The remaining 30% limited partnership interest is owned by Donald J. Trump. In August 2005, Mr. Trump brought a lawsuit in the New York State Supreme Court against, among others, the general partners of the partnerships referred to above relating to a dispute over the sale of properties located on the former Penn Central rail yards between West 59th and 72nd Streets in Manhattan which were formerly owned by the partnerships. In decisions issued in 2006, 2007 and 2009, the New York State Supreme Court dismissed all of Mr. Trump s claims, and those decisions were affirmed by the Appellate Division. Mr. Trump cannot further appeal those decisions.

In July 2005, we acquired H Street Building Corporation (H Street) which has a subsidiary that owns, among other things, a 50% tenancy in common interest in land located in Arlington County, Virginia, known as "Pentagon Row,"

leased to two tenants. In April 2007, H Street acquired the remaining 50% interest in that fee. In April 2007, we received letters from those tenants, Street Retail, Inc. and Post Apartment Homes, L.P., claiming they had a right of first offer triggered by each of those transactions. On September 25, 2008, both tenants filed suit against us and the former owners. The claim alleges the right to purchase the fee interest, damages in excess of \$75,000,000 and punitive damages. We believe this claim is without merit and regardless of merit, in our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, this claim will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2009.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following is a list of the names, ages, principal occupations and positions with Vornado of the executive officers of Vornado and the positions held by such officers during the past five years. All executive officers of Vornado have terms of office that run until the next succeeding meeting of the Board of Trustees of Vornado following the Annual Meeting of Shareholders unless they are removed sooner by the Board.

Name	Age	Principal Occupation, Position and Office (Current and during past five years with Vornado unless otherwise stated)
Steven Roth	68	Chairman of the Board; Chief Executive Officer from May 1989 to May 2009; Managing General Partner of Interstate Properties, an owner of shopping centers and an investor in securities and partnerships; Chief Executive Officer of Alexander s, Inc. since March 1995, a Director since 1989, and Chairman since May 2004.
Michael D. Fascitelli	53	Chief Executive Officer since May 2009; President and a Trustee since December 1996; President of Alexander s Inc. since August 2000 and Director since December 1996; Partner at Goldman, Sachs & Co. in charge of its real estate practice from December 1992 to December 1996; and Vice President at Goldman, Sachs & Co., prior to December 1992.
Michelle Felman	47	Executive Vice President Acquisitions since September 2000; Independent Consultant to Vornado from October 1997 to September 2000; Managing Director Global Acquisitions and Business Development of GE Capital from 1991 to July 1997.
David R. Greenbaum	58	President of the New York City Office Division since April 1997 (date of our acquisition); President of Mendik Realty (the predecessor to the New York Office division) from 1990 until April 1997.
Christopher Kennedy	46	President of the Merchandise Mart Division since September 2000; Executive Vice President of the Merchandise Mart Division from April 1998 to September 2000; Executive Vice President of Merchandise Mart Properties, Inc. from 1994 to April 1998.
Joseph Macnow	64	

		Executive Vice President Finance and Administration since January 1998 and Chief Financial Officer since March 2001; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company from 1985 to January 1998; Executive Vice President and Chief
		Financial
		Officer of Alexander s, Inc. since August 1995.
Sandeep Mathrani	47	Executive Vice President Retail Real Estate since March 2002; Executive Vice President, Forest City Ratner from 1994 to February 2002.
Mitchell N. Schear	51	President of Vornado/Charles E. Smith L.P. (our Washington, DC Office division) since April 2003; President of the Kaempfer Company from 1998 to April 2003 (date acquired by us).
Wendy Silverstein	49	Executive Vice President Capital Markets since April 1998; Senior Credit Officer of

Citicorp Real Estate and Citibank, N.A. from 1986 to 1998.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related STOCKholder Matters and issuer purchases of equity securities

Vornado s common shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol VNO.

Quarterly closing price ranges of the common shares and dividends paid per share for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

Quarter		ear Ended ecember 31	l , 2009				Year Ended December 31, 2008								
	High		Lo	Low		Dividends		gh	Lo	W	Dividends				
1st	\$	62.33	\$	27.01	\$	0.95	\$	94.54	\$	76.64	\$	0.90			
2nd		54.00		32.00		0.95		99.70		85.94		0.90			
3rd		70.23		39.65		0.65		108.15		83.00		0.90			
4th		73.96		56.54		0.65		90.65		36.66		0.95			

During 2009 dividends were paid in a combination of cash and Vornado common shares; first and second quarter dividends were paid 40% in cash and 60% in shares and third and fourth quarter dividends were paid 60% in cash and 40% in shares. During 2008 dividends were paid all in cash. Effective with the first quarter dividend in 2010, we have returned to an all cash dividend policy.

On February 1, 2010, there were 1,450 holders of record of our common shares.

PART II 125

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we issued 35,719 common shares upon the redemption of Class A units of the Operating Partnership held by persons who received units, in private placements in earlier periods, in exchange for their interests in limited partnerships that owned real estate. The common shares were issued without registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Section 4 (2) of that Act.

Information relating to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance is set forth under Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and such information is incorporated by reference herein.

Recent Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2009, other than 1,123,174 common shares used by officers and employees of the Company to pay for the exercise price and related withholding taxes resulting from stock option exercises.

58

PART II 126

Performance Graph

The following graph is a comparison of the five-year cumulative return of our common shares, the Standard & Poor s 500 Index (the S&P 500 Index) and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) All Equity Index (excluding health care real estate investment trusts), a peer group index. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2004 in our common shares, the S&P 500 Index and the NAREIT All Equity Index and that all dividends were reinvested without the payment of any commissions. There can be no assurance that the performance of our shares will continue in line with the same or similar trends depicted in the graph below.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Vornado Realty Trust	100	115	174	130	93	115

S&P 500 Index	100	105	121	128	81	102
The NAREIT All Equity Index	100	112	151	128	80	102

59

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Year Ended December 31,

(in thousands, except per share	;				,						
amounts)	20	09		20	08	20	007	20	006	20	005
Operating Data:											
Revenues:											
Property rentals	\$	2,222,285		\$	2,207,399	\$	1,972,230	\$	1,539,813	\$	1,356,727
Tenant expense											
reimbursements		361,982			357,986		323,075		260,447		206,386
Fee and other income		158,311			127,301		109,938		103,587		94,603
Total revenues		2,742,578			2,692,686		2,405,243		1,903,847		1,657,716
Expenses:											
Operating		1,087,785			1,069,445		950,487		735,668		627,980
Depreciation and amortization		539,503			536,820		440,224		317,524		251,751
General and administrative		231,688			194,023		189,024		180,077		139,400
Impairment and other losses		87,823			81,447		10,375				
Total expenses		1,946,799			1,881,735		1,590,110		1,233,269		1,019,131
Operating income		795,779			810,951		815,133		670,578		638,585
Income (loss) applicable to											
Alexander s		53,529			36,671		50,589		(14,530)		59,022
Income (loss) applicable to											
Toys R Us		92,300			2,380		(14,337)		(47,520)		(40,496)
(Loss) income from partially											
owned entities		(73,439)		(195,878)		31,891		60,355		34,917
Interest and other investment		•									
(loss) income, net		(116,330)		(2,682)		226,425		255,391		164,941
Interest and debt expense		(634,283)		(635,724)		(599,804)		(399,580)		(284,876)
Net (loss) gain on early							, , ,				
extinguishment of debt		(25,915)		9,820						
Net gains on disposition of		•									
wholly owned and											
partially owned assets other											
than depreciable											
real estate		5,641			7,757		39,493		76,073		39,042
Income before income taxes		97,282			33,295		549,390		600,767		611,135
Income tax (expense) benefit		(20,737)		204,537		(9,179)		(491)		(2,315)
Income from continuing		,			•		, ,				
operations		76,545			237,832		540,211		600,276		608,820
Income from discontinued		,			•		•		•		•
operations		51,905			173,613		67,622		33,080		61,194
Net income		128,450			411,445		607,833		633,356		670,014
Net income attributable to		,			,		,		,		,
noncontrolling interests,											
including unit distributions		(22,281)		(52,148)		(66,294)		(78,574)		(133,134)
Net income attributable to		, ,	,		(,0)		(,)		(,- · ·)		(,)
Vornado		106,169			359,297		541,539		554,782		536,880
		,			-,		,		, -		-,

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Preferred share dividends Net income attributable to		(57,076)		(57,091)	(57,091) (57,1		77) (57,511			(46,501)	
common shareholders	\$	49,093		\$	302,206	\$	484,362	\$	497,271	\$	490,379
Income from continuing operations - basic	\$	0.00		\$	0.94	\$	2.78	\$	3.26	\$	3.20
Income from continuing	Ф	0.00		Ф	0.94	Ф	2.70	Ф	5.20	Ф	3.20
operations - diluted		0.00			0.91		2.66		3.09		3.04
Income per share basic		0.28			1.96		3.18		3.49		3.66
Income per share diluted		0.28			1.91		3.05		3.31		3.48
Dividends per common share		3.20	(1))	3.65		3.45		3.79		3.90
Balance Sheet Data:											
Total assets	\$	20,185,47	' 2	\$	21,418,048	\$	22,478,717	\$	17,954,384	\$	13,637,102
Real estate, at cost		17,949,51	7		17,819,679		17,029,965		11,512,518		9,573,177
Accumulated depreciation		(2,494,44	1)		(2,167,403)		(1,809,048)		(1,446,588)		(1,208,004)
Debt		10,939,61	5		12,437,923		11,718,977		8,402,955		5,489,694
Total equity		6,649,406)		6,214,652		6,011,240		5,006,596		4,659,359

⁽¹⁾ Paid in combination of cash and Vornado common shares.

60

	Ye	ear Ended l)ec	ember 31,								
(Amounts in thousands)	2009		2	008	20	07	20	06	2005			
Other Data:												
Funds From Operations (FFO) (1):												
Net income attributable to Vornado	\$	106,169	\$	359,297	\$	541,539	\$	554,782	\$	536,880		
Depreciation and amortization of real												
property		508,572		509,367		451,313		337,730		276,921		
Net gains on sale of real estate		(45,282)		(57,523)		(60,811)		(33,769)		(31,614)		
Proportionate share of adjustments to												
equity in net income												
of Toys to arrive at FFO:												
Depreciation and amortization of real												
property		65,358		66,435		85,244		60,445		12,192		
Net gains on sale of real estate		(164)		(719)		(3,012)		(2,178)				
Income tax effect of above adjustments		(22,819)		(23,223)		(28,781)		(21,038)		(4,613)		
Proportionate share of adjustments to												
equity in net income of												
partially owned entities, excluding												
Toys, to arrive at FFO:												
Depreciation and amortization of real												
property		75,200		49,513		48,770		45,184		29,860		
Net gains on sale of real estate		(1,188)		(8,759)		(12,451)		(10,988)		(2,918)		
Noncontrolling interests share of above	9											
adjustments		(45,344)		(49,683)		(46,664)		(39,809)		(31,990)		
FFO		640,502		844,705		975,147		890,359		784,718		
Preferred share dividends		(57,076)		(57,091)		(57,177)		(57,511)		(46,501)		
FFO attributable to common												
shareholders		583,426		787,614		917,970		832,848		738,217		
Interest on 3.875% exchangeable senior												
debentures				25,261		24,958		24,671		18,029		
Convertible preferred dividends		170		189		277		631		943		
FFO attributable to common												
shareholders												
plus assumed conversions (1)	\$	583,596	\$	813,064	\$	943,205	\$	858,150	\$	757,189		

⁽¹⁾ FFO is computed in accordance with the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). NAREIT defines FFO as GAAP net income or loss adjusted to exclude net gains from sales of depreciated real estate assets and GAAP extraordinary items, and to include depreciation and amortization expense from real estate assets and other specified non-cash items, including the pro rata share of such adjustments of unconsolidated subsidiaries. FFO and FFO per diluted share are used by management, investors and analysts to facilitate meaningful comparisons of operating performance between periods and among our peers because it excludes the effect of real estate depreciation and amortization and net gains on sales, which are based on historical costs and implicitly assume that the value of real estate diminishes predictably over time, rather than fluctuating based on existing market conditions. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash requirements and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as a performance measure or cash flows as a liquidity measure. FFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.

61

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

	Page
Overview	63
Overview Leasing Activity	68
Critical Accounting Policies	72
Results of Operations:	
Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	79
Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	85
Supplemental Information:	
Summary of Net Income and EBITDA for the Three Months Ended	
December 31, 2009 and 2008	91
Changes in EBITDA by segment for the Three Months Ended	
December 31, 2009 as compared to December 31, 2008	94
Changes in EBITDA by segment for the Three Months Ended	
December 31, 2009 as compared to September 30, 2009	95
Related Party Transactions	96
Liquidity and Capital Resources	97
Certain Future Cash Requirements	98
Financing Activities and Contractual Obligations	99
Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2009	102
Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2008	104
Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2007	106
Funds From Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	108

Overview

Vornado Realty Trust (Vornado) is a fully integrated real estate investment trust (REIT) and conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership). Vornado is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 92.5% of the common limited partnership interest in, the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2009. All references to we, us our, the Company and Vornado refer to Vornado Realty Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership.

We own and operate office, retail and showroom properties (our core operations) with large concentrations of office and retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area and in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia areas. In addition, we have a 32.7% interest in Toys R Us, Inc. (Toys) which has a significant real estate component, a 32.4% interest in Alexander s, Inc., which has seven properties in the greater New York metropolitan area, as well as interests in other real estate and related investments.

On May 14, 2009, our Board of Trustees executed its long-planned management succession strategy and elected Michael D. Fascitelli, as our Chief Executive Officer, succeeding Steven Roth, who continues to serve as Chairman of the Board.

Our business objective is to maximize shareholder value, which we measure by the total return provided to our shareholders. Below is a table comparing our performance to that of the Morgan Stanley REIT Index (RMS) and the SNL REIT Index (SNL) for the following periods ending December 31, 2009 (past performance is not necessarily indicative of future performance):

	Vornado	RMS	SNL
One-year	19.4%	28.6%	28.9%
Three-years	(36.3%)	(33.6%)	(31.2%)
Five-years	10.9%	1.1%	4.6%
Ten-years	253.9%	169.7%	182.6%

⁽¹⁾ Past performance is not necessarily indicative of how we will perform in the future.

We intend to achieve our business objective by continuing to pursue our investment philosophy and executing our operating strategies through:

Maintaining a superior team of operating and investment professionals and an entrepreneurial spirit;

Overview 134

- Investing in properties in select markets, such as New York City and Washington, DC, where we believe there is high likelihood of capital appreciation;
- Acquiring quality properties at a discount to replacement cost and where there is a significant potential for higher rents;
- Investing in retail properties in select under-stored locations such as the New York City metropolitan area;
- Investing in fully-integrated operating companies that have a significant real estate component; and
- Developing and redeveloping existing properties to increase returns and maximize value.

We expect to finance our growth, acquisitions and investments using internally generated funds, proceeds from possible asset sales and by accessing the public and private capital markets.

We may also determine to raise capital for future real estate acquisitions through an institutional investment fund. We would serve as the general partner of the fund and would also expect to be a limited partner of the fund and have the potential to earn certain incentives based on the fund s performance. The fund may serve as our exclusive investment vehicle for a limited period of time for all investments that fit within the fund s investment parameters. If we determine to raise capital through a fund, the partnership interests offered would not be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and could not be offered or sold in the United State s absent registration under that act or an applicable exemption from those registration requirements.

Overview - continued

We compete with a large number of real estate property owners and developers, some of which may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. Principal factors of competition are rents charged, attractiveness of location, the quality of the property and the breadth and the quality of services provided. Our success depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national, regional and local economies, the financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants and customers, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends. See Risk Factors in Item 1A for additional information regarding these factors.

The economic recession and illiquidity and volatility in the financial and capital markets have negatively affected substantially all businesses, including ours. Demand for office and retail space has declined nationwide due to bankruptcies, downsizing, layoffs and cost cutting. Real estate transactions and development opportunities have significantly curtailed and capitalization rates have risen. These trends have negatively impacted our 2008 and 2009 financial results, which include losses associated with abandoned development projects, valuation allowances on investments in mezzanine loans and impairments on other real estate investments. The details of these non-cash charges are described below. Impairment losses and valuation allowances are based on our estimates of the amounts we may ultimately realize upon disposition. The estimation process is inherently uncertain and is based upon, among other factors, our expectations of future events, and accordingly, actual amounts received on these investments could differ materially from our estimates. It is not possible for us to quantify the impact of the above trends, which may continue in 2010 and beyond, on our future financial results.

64

Overview - continued 136

Overview - continued

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Financial Results Summary

Net income attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$49,093,000, or \$0.28 per diluted share, versus \$302,206,000, or \$1.91 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2008. Net income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 include \$46,634,000 and \$67,001,000, respectively, for our share of net gains on sale of real estate. In addition, net income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 include certain items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of net gains on sale of real estate and the items in the table below, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, decreased net income attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2009 by \$241,550,000, or \$1.39 per diluted share, and increased net income attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2008 by \$17,621,000, or \$0.11 per diluted share.

Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions (FFO) for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$583,596,000, or \$3.36 per diluted share, compared to \$813,064,000, or \$4.97 per diluted share, for the prior year. FFO for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 includes certain items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of these items, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, decreased FFO for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 by \$284,539,000, and \$36,216,000, or \$1.64 and \$0.22 per diluted share, respectively.

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,							
Items that affect comparability (income) expense:	20	009	2	2008				
Non-cash asset write-downs:								
Mezzanine loans loss accrual	\$	190,738	\$	(10,300)				
Real estate development related		80,834		76,793				
Partially owned entities		36,941		203,919				
Marketable equity securities		3,361		76,352				
Other real estate assets		6,989		4,654				
Write-off of unamortized costs from the voluntary surrender of equity awards		32,588						
Net loss (gain) on early extinguishment of debt		25,915		(9,820)				
Income from terminated sale of land		(27,089)					
Our share of Toys:								
Non-cash purchase accounting adjustments		(13,946)	14,900				
Litigation settlement income		(10,200)					
Our share of Alexander s:								
Income tax benefit		(13,668)					
Stock appreciation rights		(11,105)	(6,583)				
Downtown Crossing, Boston lease termination payment		7,650						
Reversal of deferred taxes initially recorded in connection with H Street acquisition				(222,174)				
Net gain on sale of our 47.6% interest in Americold Realty Trust				(112,690)				
Net loss on mark-to-market of derivatives				33,740				
Americold s FFO sold in March 2008				(6,098)				

Overview - continued 137

Other, net	413				24)
		309,421		39,769	
Noncontrolling interests share of above adjustments		(24,882)	(3,553)
Items that affect comparability, net	\$	284,539	\$	36,216	

The percentage increase (decrease) in GAAP basis and cash basis same-store Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) of our operating segments for the year ended December 31, 2009 over the year ended December 31, 2008 is summarized below.

Year Ended:	New York Office	Washington, DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart
December 31, 2009 vs. December 31, 2008:				
GAAP basis	0.8%	6.7%	3.7%	(11.5%)
Cash basis	5.5%	5.6%	3.8%	(8.6%)
65				

Overview - continued

Quarter Ended December 31, 2009 Financial Results Summary

Net loss attributable to common shareholders for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 was \$151,192,000, or \$0.84 per diluted share, versus \$226,951,000, or \$1.47 per diluted share, for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. Net loss for the quarters ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 include \$2,632,000 and \$1,083,000, respectively, of net gains on sale of real estate. In addition, net loss for the quarters ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 include certain other items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of net gains on sale of real estate and the items in the table below, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, increased net loss attributable to common shareholders for the quarters ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 by \$184,330,000 and \$251,841,000, or \$1.03 and \$1.63 per diluted share, respectively.

FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 was \$20,000, or \$0.00 per diluted share, compared to negative FFO of \$88,154,000, or \$0.57 per diluted share, for the prior year s quarter. FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 and negative FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2008 include certain items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of these items, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, decreased FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 by \$189,455,000, or \$1.04 per diluted share and increased negative FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2008 by \$253,506,000, or \$1.64 per diluted share.

	For the Three Months										
(Amounts in thousands)	Ended December 31,										
Items that affect comparability (income) expense:	200)9		200	8						
Non-cash asset write-downs:											
Real estate development related		\$80,834			\$	71,79	13				
Mezzanine loans loss accrual		68,000									
Partially owned entities		17,820				162,54	4				
Marketable equity securities		3,361				55,47	<i>'</i> 1				
Other real estate assets		6,989				1,64	-5				
Net loss (gain) on early extinguishment of debt		52,911			(9,8)	320)				
Income from terminated sale of land		(27,08	39)								
Alexander s reversal of stock appreciation rights compensation expense						(14,18	38)				
Derivative positions in marketable equity securities						7,92	28				
Other, net		2,204				8,42	26				
		205,030			283	,799					
Noncontrolling interests share of above adjustments		(15,575)		(30.	,293)				
Items that affect comparability, net	\$	189,455		\$	253	5,506					

The percentage increase (decrease) in GAAP basis and cash basis same-store EBITDA of our operating segments for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 over the quarter ended December 31, 2008 and the trailing quarter ended September 30, 2009 are summarized below.

Overview - continued 139

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

	New York	Washington, DC		Merchandise
Quarter Ended:	Office	Office	Retail	Mart
December 31, 2009 vs. December 31, 2008:				
GAAP basis	(2.0%)	4.2%	4.2%	(11.6%)
Cash basis	4.1%	4.7%	9.7%	(14.6%)
December 31, 2009 vs. September 30, 2009:				
GAAP basis	2.1%	0.0%	4.4%	8.2%
Cash basis	2.4%	1.5%	7.6%	0.7%

Calculations of same-store EBITDA, reconciliations of net income to EBITDA and FFO and the reasons we consider these non-GAAP financial measures useful are provided in the following pages of Management s Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview - continued

Financings

In April 2009, we sold 17,250,000 common shares, including underwriters—over-allotment, in an underwritten public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement at an initial public offering price of \$43.00 per share. We received net proceeds of \$710,226,000, after underwriters—discount and offering expenses and contributed the net proceeds to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 17,250,000 Class A units of the Operating Partnership.

On September 30, 2009, we completed a public offering of \$460,000,000 principal amount of 7.875% callable senior unsecured 30-year notes (NYSE: VNOD) due October 1, 2039. The notes were sold to the public at par and may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, beginning in October 2014 at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. These notes contain financial covenants, including limitations on outstanding debt and minimum interest and fixed charge coverage ratios. We received net proceeds of approximately \$446,000,000 from the offering which were used to repay debt and for general corporate purposes.

During 2009, we purchased \$1,912,724,000 (aggregate face amount) of our convertible senior debentures and \$352,740,000 (aggregate face amount) of our senior unsecured notes for \$1,877,510,000 and \$343,694,000 in cash, respectively. This debt was acquired through tender offers and in the open market and has been retired. We also repaid \$650,285,000 of existing property level debt and completed \$277,000,000 of property level financings. In connection with the above, we recognized an aggregate net loss of \$25,915,000 from the early extinguishment of debt on our consolidated statement of income.

Dispositions

On September 1, 2009, we sold 1999 K Street, a newly developed 250,000 square foot office building, in Washington s Central Business District, for \$207,800,000 in cash, which resulted in a net gain of \$41,211,000, which is included as a component of income from discontinued operations, on our consolidated statement of income.

During 2009, we sold 15 retail properties in separate transactions for an aggregate of \$55,000,000 in cash, which resulted in net gains aggregating \$4,073,000, which is included as a component of income from discontinued operations, on our consolidated statement of income.

Overview - continued 141

Mezzanine Loans Receivable

On June 1, 2009, we were repaid the entire \$41,758,000 balance of the Charles Square Hotel loan including accrued interest. This loan was scheduled to mature in September 2009.

On January 28, 2010, we were repaid the entire \$99,314,000 balance of the Equinox loan including accrued interest. This loan, which we acquired in 2006 for \$57,500,000, was scheduled to mature in February 2013.

Overview continued

Leasing Activity

The following table sets forth certain information for the properties we own directly or indirectly, including leasing activity. The leasing activity presented below is based on leases signed during the period and is not intended to coincide with the commencement of rental revenue recognition in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Tenant improvements and leasing commissions are presented below based on square feet leased during the period, on a per square foot and per square foot per annum basis based on weighted average lease terms and as a percentage of initial rent per square foot.

(Square feet in thousands) As of December 31, 2009: Square feet (in service) Number of properties Occupancy rate	N	ew York Office 16,173 28 95.5%	DO	ashington, C Office 18,560 84 94.9%		etail 22,553 162 91.6%		Ierchand office 2,464 8 88.9%	ise	Mart Showroom 6,301 8 88.4%
Leasing Activity:										
Year ended December 31, 2009:										
Square feet		1,417 (2		3,158		1,139		203		1,238
Initial rent per square foot (1)	\$	52.13	\$		\$	23.28	\$	34.76	\$	27.58
Weighted average lease terms (years)		8.7		4.3		9.7		7.1		4.2
Rent per square foot relet space:										
Square feet		1,274		2,853		472		203		1,238
Initial rent cash basis ¹⁾	\$	52.31	\$			17.99		34.76		27.58
Prior escalated rent cash basis	\$	52.03	\$	34.59	\$	16.67	\$	33.75	\$	28.90
Percentage increase (decrease):		0 = ~		4600		- 0~		2 0 00		
Cash basis		0.5%		16.0%		7.9%		3.0%		(4.6%)
GAAP basis		5.0%		18.8%		16.4%		18.0%		3.6%
Rent per square foot vacant space:		1.40		205		667				
Square feet	Ф	143	ф	305	Φ	667	Ф		Φ	
Initial rent (1)	\$	50.53	\$	41.45	\$	27.04	\$		\$	
Tenant improvements and leasing commissions:	ф	47.44	Ф	0.02	ф	0.00	ф	24.20	Φ	2.15
Per square foot	\$	47.44	\$ \$		\$	8.00		34.30	\$	3.15
Per square foot per annum	\$	5.45	Þ		\$	0.82	Э	4.83	Þ	0.75
Percentage of initial rent		10.5%		5.2%		3.5%		13.9%		2.7%
Quarter ended December 31, 2009:										
Square feet		493		1,776		250		188		460
Initial rent per square foot (1)	\$	51.83	\$	40.74	\$	32.36	\$	33.88	\$	30.99
Weighted average lease terms (years)		10.3		3.8		7.1		7.5		4.7
Rent per square foot relet space:										
Square feet		475		1,743		97		188		460
Initial rent cash basis (1)	\$	51.95	\$	40.78	\$	30.08	\$	33.88	\$	30.99

Overview continued 143

Prior escalated rent cash basis	\$ 55.39		\$ 33.41	\$ 27.19	\$ 33.34	\$ 32.25	
Percentage increase (decrease):							
Cash basis	(6.2%)	22.1%	10.6%	1.6%	(3.9%)
GAAP basis	(2.6%)	23.8%	26.6%	17.0%	5.0%	
Rent per square foot vacant space:							
Square feet	18		33	153			
Initial rent ⁽¹⁾	\$ 48.63		\$ 38.58	\$ 33.81	\$	\$	
Tenant improvements and leasing commissions:							
Per square foot	\$ 55.71		\$ 5.07	\$ 18.75	\$ 32.65	\$ 3.78	
Per square foot per annum	\$ 5.44		\$ 1.33	\$ 2.64	\$ 4.35	\$ 0.80	
Percentage of initial rent	10.5%		3.3%	8.2%	12.8%	2.6%	

See notes on following page

Overview continued

			W	ashington	ı,					
(Square feet in thousands)	N	ew York	DO	2			N	Ierchandi	se]	Mart
As of December 31, 2008:		Office	(Office	R	etail	0	ffice		Showroom
Square feet (in service)		16,108		17,666		21,861		2,424		6,332
Number of properties		28		84		176		8		8
Occupancy rate		96.7%		95.0%		92.0%		96.5%		92.2%
Leasing Activity:										
Year ended December 31, 2008:										
Square feet		1,246		2,152		1,022		493		862
Initial rent per square foot (1)	\$	71.69	\$	38.52	\$	38.34	\$	27.50	\$	28.07
Weighted average lease term (years)		9.1		7.3		9.0		9.7		5.1
Rent per square foot relet space:										
Square feet		1,141		1,320		559		427		839
Initial rent cash basi ⁽¹⁾	\$	73.50	\$	36.04	\$	42.59	\$	28.02	\$	27.87
Prior escalated rent cash basis	\$	48.69	\$	30.89	\$	28.46	\$	32.13	\$	28.33
Percentage increase (decrease):										
Cash basis		51.0% (3	3)	16.7%		49.6% (3)	(12.8%)		(1.6%)
GAAP basis		48.4% (3	3)	17.7%		18.1% (3)	4.3%		10.2%
Rent per square foot vacant space:										
Square feet		105		832		463		66		23
Initial rent ⁽¹⁾	\$	52.10	\$	42.46	\$	33.19	\$	24.17	\$	36.51
Tenant improvements and leasing commissions:										
Per square foot	\$	48.72	\$	15.75	\$	18.31	\$	37.23	\$	6.85
Per square foot per annum	\$	5.35	\$	2.16	\$	2.03	\$	3.84	\$	1.33
Percentage of initial rent		7.5%		5.6%		5.3%		14.0%		4.7%

⁽¹⁾ Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

⁽²⁾ In addition, the New York Office segment leased 43 square feet of retail space during the year ended December 31, 2009 at an average initial rent of \$188.09, a 55.7% increase over the prior escalated rent per square foot.

⁽³⁾ Under GAAP, acquired below-market leases are marked-to-market at the time of their acquisition. Accordingly, when the space is subsequently re-leased, the cash basis rent increase is greater than the GAAP basis rent increase.

Overview continued

Impact of Retrospective Application of New Accounting Pronouncements

During 2009, we paid quarterly dividends to our common shareholders in a combination of cash and stock and retrospectively adjusted weighted average common shares outstanding in the computations of income and FFO per share to include the additional common shares resulting from these dividends in the earliest periods presented in each of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009 and our Current Report on Form 8-K, issued on October 13, 2009, in which we elected to recast our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 1) for the year ended December 31, 2008. On December 2, 2009, the FASB ratified the consensus reached in EITF 09-E, *Accounting for Distribution to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash (EITF 09-E)* as codified through Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-1 to ASC 505, *Equity*. EITF 09-E requires an entity to include the additional common shares resulting from the stock portion of these distributions prospectively in the periods following their issuance in all computations of income per share rather than retrospectively as we had previously done. As a result, we have adjusted all of our computations of income and FFO per share presented herein to exclude the additional shares resulting from these dividends in periods prior to their issuance. Below is a reconciliation of previously reported income and FFO per share to the amounts presented herein.

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008										
	As Reported		EITF	'09-E	As Adjusted						
Income per common share basic:											
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.92	\$	0.02	\$	0.94					
Net income		1.89		0.07		1.96					
Income per common share diluted:											
Income from continuing operations		0.90		0.01		0.91					
Net income		1.84		0.07		1.91					
FFO attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions per diluted share		4.80		0.17		4.97					

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007										
	As Report	ed	EIT	TF 09-E	As Adjusted						
Income per common share basic:											
Income from continuing operations	\$	2.70	\$	0.08	\$	2.78					
Net income		3.07		0.11		3.18					
Income per common share diluted:											
Income from continuing operations		2.59		0.07		2.66					
Net income		2.95		0.10		3.05					

Overview - continued

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of ASC 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, which was required to be applied retrospectively. The adoption affected the accounting for our convertible and exchangeable senior debentures by requiring the initial proceeds from their sale to be allocated between a debt component and an equity component in a manner that results in interest expense on the debt component at our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate on the date of issue. The initial debt components of our \$1.4 billion Convertible Senior Debentures, \$1 billion Convertible Senior Debentures and \$500 million Exchangeable Senior Debentures were \$1,241,286,000, \$926,361,000 and \$457,699,000, respectively, based on the fair value of similar nonconvertible instruments issued at that time. The aggregate initial debt discount of \$216,655,000 after original issuance costs allocated to the equity component was recorded in additional capital in our consolidated statement of changes in equity. The discount is amortized using the effective interest method over the period the debt is expected to remain outstanding (i.e., the earliest date the holders may require us to repurchase the debentures), which resulted in \$39,546,000 and \$30,418,000 of additional interest expense in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In December 2007, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, *Consolidation*, which requires a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary to be reported as equity and the amount of consolidated net income specifically attributable to the noncontrolling interest to be identified in the consolidated financial statements. It also calls for consistency in the manner of reporting changes in the parent—s ownership interest and requires fair value measurement of any noncontrolling equity investment retained in a deconsolidation. The amended guidance became effective on January 1, 2009 and resulted in (i) the reclassification of minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries, a component of permanent equity on our consolidated balance sheets, (ii) the reclassification of minority interest expense to net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, on our consolidated statements of income, and (iii) additional disclosures, including a consolidated statement of changes in equity in quarterly reporting periods.

In December 2007, the FASB issued an update to ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, which applies to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. It also broadens the fair value measurement and recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and interests transferred as a result of business combinations; and acquisition related costs will generally be expensed rather than included as part of the basis of the acquisition. The amended guidance also expands required disclosures to improve the ability to evaluate the nature and financial effects of business combinations. The amended guidance became effective for all transactions entered into on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements because there have been no acquisitions during 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued an update to ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, which requires enhanced disclosures related to derivative instruments and hedging activities, including disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and the impact of derivative instruments and related hedged items on an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. It also provided a new two-step model to be applied in determining whether a financial instrument or an embedded feature is indexed to an issuer s own stock. The amended guidance became effective on January 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued an update to ASC 260, *Earnings Per Share*, which requires companies to treat unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents as participating securities and include such securities in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method as described in ASC 260. The amended guidance became effective on January 1, 2009 and required all prior period earnings per share data presented, to be adjusted retroactively. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not have a material effect on our computation of income per share.

In April 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the guidance for other than temporary impairments (OTTI) of investments in debt securities, which changes the presentation of OTTI in financial statements. Under this guidance, if an OTTI debt security is intended to be sold or required to be sold prior to the recovery of its carrying amount, the full amount of the impairment loss is charged to earnings. Otherwise, losses on debt securities must be separated into two categories, the portion which is considered credit loss, which is charged to earnings, and the portion due to other factors, which is charged to other comprehensive income (loss), a component of balance sheet equity. When an unrealized loss on a fixed maturity security is not considered OTTI, the unrealized loss continues to be charged to other comprehensive income (loss) and not to earnings. The adoption of this guidance on April 1, 2009 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, *Consolidation*, which modifies the existing quantitative guidance used in determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE) by requiring entities to qualitatively assess whether an enterprise is a primary beneficiary, based on whether the entity has (i) power over the significant activities of the VIE, and (ii) an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

71

Critical Accounting Policies

In preparing the consolidated financial statements we have made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies that we believe are critical to the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. The summary should be read in conjunction with the more complete discussion of our accounting policies included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Real Estate

Real estate is carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of real estate, net of accumulated depreciation, were \$15.455 billion and \$15.652 billion, respectively. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation requires an estimate by management of the useful life of each property and improvement as well as an allocation of the costs associated with a property to its various components. If we do not allocate these costs appropriately or incorrectly estimate the useful lives of our real estate, depreciation expense may be misstated.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired assets (including land, buildings and improvements, identified intangibles such as acquired above and below-market leases and acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships) and acquired liabilities and we allocate purchase price based on these assessments. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known trends and market/economic conditions.

Our properties, including any related intangible assets, are individually reviewed for impairment each quarter, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. An impairment exists when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the aggregate projected future cash flows over the anticipated holding period on an undiscounted basis. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the property s carrying amount over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on our current plans, intended holding periods and available market information at the time the analyses are prepared. If our estimates of the projected future cash flows, anticipated holding periods or market conditions change, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is subjective and is based, in part, on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results. Plans to hold properties over longer periods decrease the likelihood of recording impairment losses.

Identified Intangibles

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of identified intangible assets (including acquired above-market leases, tenant relationships and acquired in-place leases), a component of other assets on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$442,510,000 and \$522,719,000, respectively. The carrying amounts of identified intangible liabilities, a component of deferred credit on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$633,492,000 and \$719,822,000, respectively. Identified intangibles are recorded at fair value on the acquisition date, separate and apart from goodwill. Identified intangibles that are determined to have finite lives are amortized over the period in which they are expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the property or business acquired. Intangible assets that are subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of an intangible asset, including related real estate, if appropriate, is not recoverable and the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value. If intangible assets are impaired or estimated useful lives change, the impact to our consolidated financial statements could be material.

72

Critical Accounting Policies continued

Mezzanine Loans Receivable

We invest in mezzanine loans to entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments, which are subordinate to the mortgage loans secured by the real property, are generally secured by pledges of the equity interests of the entities owning the underlying real estate. We record investments in mezzanine loans at the stated principal amount net of any unamortized discount or premium. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of mezzanine loans receivable, net—were \$203,286,000 and \$472,539,000, respectively. We accrete or amortize any discounts or premiums over the life of the related receivable utilizing the effective interest method, or straight-line method if the result is not materially different. We evaluate the collectibility of both interest and principal of each of our loans each quarter, if circumstances warrant, in determining whether they are impaired. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the investment to the estimated fair value of the loan or, as a practical expedient, to the value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If our estimates of the collectibility of both interest and principal or the fair value of our loans change based on market conditions or otherwise, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Partially Owned Entities

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of investments and advances to partially owned entities, including Alexander's and Toys R. Us, were \$1.209 billion and \$1.083 billion, respectively. In determining whether we have a controlling interest in a partially owned entity and the requirement to consolidate the accounts of that entity, we consider factors such as ownership interest, board representation, management representation, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners/members as well as whether the entity is a variable interest entity in which we have the power over significant activities of the entity and the obligation to absorb a majority of the entity s expected losses, if they occur, or receive a majority of the expected residual returns, if they occur, or both. We account for investments on the equity method when the requirements for consolidation are not met and we have significant influence over the operations of the investee. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost and subsequently adjusted for our share of net income or loss and cash contributions and distributions. Investments that do not qualify for consolidation or equity method accounting are accounted for on the cost method.

Our investments in partially owned entities are reviewed for impairment each quarter, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of our investments may not be recoverable. The ultimate realization of our investments in partially owned entities is dependent on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment and market conditions. We will record an impairment charge if we determine that a decline in the value of an investment is other-than-temporary. If our estimates of the projected future cash flows, the nature of development activities for properties for which such activities are planned and the estimated fair value of the investment change based on market conditions or otherwise, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is subjective and

is based, in part, on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results.

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

We periodically evaluate the collectibility of amounts due from tenants and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts (\$46,708,000 and \$32,834,000 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008) for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments under their lease agreements. We also maintain an allowance for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents (\$4,680,000 and \$5,773,000 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively). This receivable arises from earnings recognized in excess of amounts currently due under the lease agreements. Management exercises judgment in establishing these allowances and considers payment history and current credit status in developing these estimates. These estimates may differ from actual results, which could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

73

Critical Accounting Policies continued

Revenue.	Recog	nition
----------	-------	--------

We have the following revenue sources and revenue recognition policies:

- Base Rent income arising from tenant leases. These rents are recognized over the non-cancelable term of the related leases on a straight-line basis which includes the effects of rent steps and rent abatements under the leases. We commence rental revenue recognition when the tenant takes possession of the leased space and the leased space is substantially ready for its intended use. In addition, in circumstances where we provide a tenant improvement allowance for improvements that are owned by the tenant, we recognize the allowance as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.
- Percentage Rent income arising from retail tenant leases that is contingent upon sales of the tenants exceeding defined thresholds. These rents are recognized only after the contingency has been removed (i.e., sales thresholds have been achieved).
- Hotel Revenue income arising from the operation of the Hotel Pennsylvania which consists of rooms revenue, food and beverage revenue, and banquet revenue. Income is recognized when rooms are occupied. Food and beverage and banquet revenue are recognized when the services have been rendered.
- Trade Shows Revenue income arising from the operation of trade shows, including rentals of booths. This revenue is recognized when the trade shows have occurred.
- Expense Reimbursements revenue arising from tenant leases which provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate taxes of the respective property. This revenue is accrued in the same periods as the expenses are incurred.
- Management, Leasing and Other Fees income arising from contractual agreements with third parties or with partially owned entities. This revenue is recognized as the related services are performed under the respective agreements.

Before we recognize revenue, we assess, among other things, its collectibility. If our assessment of the collectibility of revenue changes, the impact on our consolidated financial statements could be material.

Income Taxes

We operate in a manner intended to enable us to continue to qualify as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Under those sections, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income as a dividend to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. We distribute to our shareholders 100% of our taxable income. Therefore, no provision for Federal income taxes is required. If we fail to distribute the required amount of income to our shareholders, or fail to meet other REIT requirements, we may fail to qualify as a REIT which may result in substantial adverse tax consequences.

74

Net income and EBITDA $^{(1)}$ by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 Washington,											
		New York	DC		Merchan							
	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Toys	Other ⁽³⁾					
Property rentals	\$2,058,811	\$758,557	\$538,882	\$365,379	\$236,761	\$	\$159,232					
Straight-line rents:												
Contractual rent increases	54,945	28,423	11,942	12,596	1,891		93					
Amortization of free rent	36,048	8,382	12,257	14,631	402		376					
Amortization of acquired below- market												
leases, net	72,481	40,129	3,891	23,081	89		5,291					
Total rentals	2,222,285	835,491	566,972	415,687	239,143		164,992					
Tenant expense reimbursements	361,982	136,541	64,441	135,178	15,984		9,838					
Fee and other income:												
Tenant cleaning fees	58,512	80,237					(21,725)					
Management and leasing fees	11,456	4,211	8,183	1,731	88		(2,757)					
Lease termination fees	5,525	1,840	2,224	464	858		139					
Other	82,818	14,180	47,830	2,677	9,677		8,454					
Total revenues	2,742,578	1,072,500	689,650	555,737	265,750		158,941					
Operating expenses	1,087,785	452,370	228,740	206,590	135,385		64,700					
Depreciation and amortization	539,503	173,923	144,317	102,210	56,171		62,882					
General and administrative	231,688	22,820	26,219	30,433	31,587		120,629					
Impairment and other losses	87,823		24,875	23,649			39,299					
Total expenses	1,946,799	649,113	424,151	362,882	223,143		287,510					
Operating income (loss)	795,779	423,387	265,499	192,855	42,607		(128,569)					
Income applicable to Alexander s	53,529	770		791			51,968					
Income applicable to Toys	92,300					92,300						
(Loss) income from partially owned												
entities	(73,439)	5,047	4,850	3,937	151		(87,424)					
Interest and other investment (loss)												
income, net	(116,330)	876	789	85	96		(118,176)					
Interest and debt expense	(634,283)	(133,647)	(129,380)	(90,068	(51,959)	(229,229)					
Net (loss) gain on early extinguishment of debt	(25,915)			769			(26,684)					
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned												
and partially owned assets other												
than depreciable real estate	5,641						5,641					
Income (loss) before income taxes	97,282	296,433	141,758	108,369	(9,105) 92,300	(532,473)					
Income tax expense	(20,737)	(1,332)	(1,577)	(319	(2,140)	(15,369)					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	76,545	295,101	140,181	108,050	(11,245) 92,300	(547,842)					
Income from discontinued operations	51,905		46,004	5,901								
Net income (loss)	128,450	295,101	186,185	113,951	(11,245) 92,300	(547,842)					
Net (income) loss attributable to	(22,281)	(9,098)		915			(14,098)					
noncontrolling interests, including	, ,	, ,					, ,					

unit distributions							ļ
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado	106,169	286,003	186,185	114,866	(11,245)	92,300	(561,940)
Interest and debt expense (2)	826,827	126,968	132,610	95,990	52,862	127,390	291,007
Depreciation and amortization (2)	728,815	168,517	152,747	105,903	56,702	132,227	112,719
Income tax expense (benefit) (2)	10,193	1,332	1,590	319	2,208	(13,185)	17,929
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$1,672,004	\$582,820	\$473,132	\$317,078	\$100,527	\$338,732	\$(140,285)
Percentage of EBITDA by segment	100.0	% 34.9	% 28.3	% 19.0	%6.0	% 20.3	% (8.5

Excluding items that affect comparability, which are described in the Overview, the percentages of EBITDA by segment are 30.3% for New York Office, 22.1% for Washington, DC Office, 17.7% for Retail, 5.2% for Merchandise Mart, 16.3% for Toys and 8.4% for Other.

See notes on page 78.

75

Net income and EBITDA $^{(1)}$ by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 continued

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year	r Ended Dece	mber 31, 200 Washington				
	Total	New York Office	DC Office	Retail	Merchan Mart	dise Toys	Other (3)
Property rentals	\$2,020,369	\$722,445	\$509,377	\$346,057	\$45,400	\$	\$197,090
Straight-line rents:							
Contractual rent increases	57,953	28,023	6,764	16,416	5,954		796
Amortization of free rent	32,901	14,743	10,778	4,156	2,703		521
Amortization of acquired below- market							
leases, net	96,176	60,355	4,423	26,765	161		4,472
Total rentals	2,207,399	825,566	531,342	393,394	254,218		202,879
Tenant expense reimbursements	357,986	135,788	61,448	128,120	18,567		14,063
Fee and other income:							
Tenant cleaning fees	56,416	71,833					(15,417)
Management and leasing fees	13,397	6,411	8,940	1,673	349		(3,976)
Lease termination fees	8,634	3,088	2,635	2,281	630		
Other	48,854	15,699	22,360	2,601	7,059		1,135
Total revenues	2,692,686	1,058,385	626,725	528,069	280,823		198,684
Operating expenses	1,069,445	439,012	220,103	200,760	137,971		71,599
Depreciation and amortization	536,820	190,925	137,255	91,746	51,833		65,061
General and administrative	194,023	20,217	26,548	29,862	29,254		88,142
Impairment and other losses	81,447	•	,	595	,		80,852
Total expenses	1,881,735	650,154	383,906	322,963	219,058		305,654
Operating income (loss)	810,951	408,231	242,819	205,106	61,765		(106,970)
Income applicable to Alexander s	36,671	763	,	650	,		35,258
Income applicable to Toys	2,380					2,380	,
(Loss) income from partially owned entities	(195,878)	5,319	6,173	9,721	1,106	,	(218,197)
Interest and other investment (loss)	(1)0,070	0,019	0,170	>,,-1	1,100		(=10,157)
income, net	(2,682)	2,288	2,116	494	356		(7,936)
Interest and debt expense	(635,724)	(139,146)	(126,508)	(86,787)			(231,135)
Net gain on early extinguishment of debt	9,820	(10),1.0)	(120,000)	(00,707)	(02,110)		9,820
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned	,,,,,						2,000
and partially owned assets other							
than depreciable real estate	7,757						7,757
Income (loss) before income taxes	33,295	277,455	124,600	129,184	11,079	2,380	(511,403)
Income tax benefit (expense)	204,537	277,100	220,973	(82)	(1,206)	2,500	(15,148)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	237,832	277,455	345,573	129,102	9,873	2,380	(526,551)
Income from discontinued operations	173,613	211,133	59,107	2,594	7,073	2,500	111,912
Net income (loss)	411,445	277,455	404,680	131,696	9,873	2,380	(414,639)
Net (income) loss attributable to	711,773	211,433	404,000	131,070	7,073	2,300	(414,037)
noncontrolling interests, including							
unit distributions	(52,148)	(4,762)		157	(125)		(47,418)
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado	359,297	(4,762) 272,693	404,680	131,853	(125) 9,748	2,380	(462,057)
Interest and debt expense (2)	821,940	132,406	130,310	102,600	53,072	147,812	
Depreciation and amortization (2)	710,526	181,699	143,989	98,238	52,357		
Depreciation and amortization (=)	710,320	101,099	143,989	90,238	34,331	136,634	97,009

Net income and EBITDA (1) by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 contt59ued

Income tax (benefit) expense ⁽²⁾ EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ Percentage of EBITDA by segment	(142,415) \$1,749,348 \$586,798 100.0 % 33.5	(220,965) 82 \$458,014 \$332,773 % 26.2 % 19.0		17,556 \$(91,152) %(5.2)%
Excluding items that affect comparate segment are 30.5% for New York Of Mart, 18.0% for Toys and 7.6% for C	fice, 20.6% for Washington		C	•
See notes on page 78.				
76				

Net income and EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 continued

(Amounts in thousands) For the Year Ended December 31, 2007										
		New	Washington	n,						
		York	DC		Merchand					
	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Toys	Other (3)			
Property rentals	\$1,812,139	\$640,739	\$454,563	\$325,205	\$237,199	\$	\$154,433			
Straight-line rents:										
Contractual rent increases	42,215	13,281	11,863	12,034	4,193		844			
Amortization of free rent	34,602	15,935	14,115	1,138	1,836		1,578			
Amortization of acquired below- market										
leases, net	83,274	47,861	4,597	25,960	193		4,663			
Total rentals	1,972,230	717,816	485,138	364,337	243,421		161,518			
Tenant expense reimbursements	323,075	125,940	45,046	120,379	19,570		12,140			
Fee and other income:										
Tenant cleaning fees	46,238	58,837					(12,599)			
Management and leasing fees	15,713	4,928	12,539	1,770	7		(3,531)			
Lease termination fees	7,453	3,500	453	2,823	677					
Other	40,534	16,239	16,286	2,259	6,997		(1,247)			
Total revenues	2,405,243	927,260	559,462	491,568	270,672		156,281			
Operating expenses	950,487	395,357	183,278	171,960	131,332		68,560			
Depreciation and amortization	440,224	150,268	117,118	77,679	47,105		48,054			
General and administrative	189,024	17,252	27,612	27,476	28,168		88,516			
Impairments and other losses	10,375						10,375			
Total expenses	1,590,110	562,877	328,008	277,115	206,605		215,505			
Operating income (loss)	815,133	364,383	231,454	214,453	64,067		(59,224)			
Income applicable to Alexander s	50,589	757		812			49,020			
Loss applicable to Toys R Us	(14,337)					(14,337)				
Income from partially owned entities	31,891	4,799	8,728	9,041	1,053		8,270			
Interest and other investment income, net	226,425	2,888	5,982	534	390		216,631			
Interest and debt expense	(599,804)	(133,804)	(126,163)	(78,234)	(52,237)		(209,366)			
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned										
and partially owned assets other										
than depreciable real estate	39,493						39,493			
Income (loss) before income taxes	549,390	239,023	120,001	146,606	13,273	(14,337)	44,824			
Income tax expense	(9,179)	,	(2,909)	,	(969)	, , ,	(5,116)			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	540,211	239,023	117,092	146,421	12,304	(14,337)				
Income (loss) from discontinued	,	,-	,,,,,	- ,	,	,,	,			
operations	67,622		62,557	9,497			(4,432)			
Net income (loss)	607,833	239,023	179,649	155,918	12,304	(14,337)	35,276			
Net (income) loss attributable to	,		,	,	,	(= 1,== 1)	,			
noncontrolling interests, including										
unit distributions	(66,294)	(3,583)		96			(62,807)			
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado	541,539	235,440	179,649	156,014	12,304	(14,337)	(27,531)			
Interest and debt expense (2)	853,448	131,418	131,013	89,537	53,098	174,401	273,981			
Depreciation and amortization (2)	676,660	147,340	132,302	82,002	47,711	155,800	111,505			
Income tax expense (benefit) (2)	4,234	177,570	6,738	185	969	(10,898)	7,240			
meome tax expense (beliefit)	¬ ,∠೨ →		0,750	105	707	(10,090)	7,470			

Net income and EBITDA (1) by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 controlled

\$449,702

% 15.8

% 21.7

\$327,738 \$114,082 \$304,966 \$365,195

% 14.7

% 5.5

% 17.5

\$2,075,881 \$514,198

% 24.8

100.0

EBITDA⁽¹⁾

Percentage of EBITDA by segment

Excluding items that affect comparability, which are described in the Overview, the percentages of EBITDA by segment are 27.9% for New York Office, 20.9% for Washington, DC Office, 17.4% for Retail, 6.2% for Merchandise Mart, 16.4% for Toys and 11.2% for Other.

See notes on the following page.

Net income and EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 continued

Notes to the preceding tabular information:

- (1) EBITDA represents Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization. We consider EBITDA a supplemental measure for making decisions and assessing the unlevered performance of our segments as it relates to the total return on assets as opposed to the levered return on equity. As properties are bought and sold based on a multiple of EBITDA, we utilize this measure to make investment decisions as well as to compare the performance of our assets to that of our peers. EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or cash flows and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.
- (2) Interest and debt expense, depreciation and amortization and income tax (benefit) expense in the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA include our share of these items from partially owned entities.
- (3) Other EBITDA is comprised of:

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007					17	
Alexander s	\$	81,703		\$	64,683	\$	78,375
Lexington		50,024			35,150		24,539
555 California Street (acquired 70% interest in May 2007)		44,757			48,316		34,073
Hotel Pennsylvania		15,108			42,269		37,941
GMH (sold in June 2008)							22,604
Industrial warehouses		4,737			5,264		4,881
Other investments		6,981			6,321		7,322
		203,310			202,003		209,735
Investment income and other (1)		67,571			101,526		180,137
Corporate general and administrative expenses (1)		(79,843)		(91,967)		(75,659)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests,		•			,		, ,
including unit distributions		(14,098)		(47,418)		(62,807)
Write-off of unamortized costs from the voluntary		•			,		, ,
surrender of equity awards		(20,202)				
Net loss on early extinguishment of debt		(26,684)				
Non-cash asset write-downs:		•	•				

Mezzanine loans receivable		(190,738)	10,30	00	(57,000)
Investment in Lexington		(19,121)	(107,882)	
Marketable equity securities		(3,361)	(76,35	52)	
Real estate primarily development projects:						
Wholly owned entities (including costs of acquisitions no	ot					
consummated)		(39,299)	(80,85	52)	(10,375)
Partially owned entities		(17,820)	(96,037)	
Derivative positions in marketable equity securities				(33,74	(0)	113,503
Discontinued operations of Americold (including a						
\$112,690 net gain on						
sale in 2008)				129,267		67,661
	\$	(140,285)	\$ (91,152)	\$ 365,195

78

⁽¹⁾ The amounts in these captions (for this table only) exclude the mark-to-market of our deferred compensation plan assets and offsetting liability.

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008

Revenues

Our revenues, which consist of property rentals, tenant expense reimbursements, hotel revenues, trade shows revenues, amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of above-market leases, and fee and other income, were \$2,742,578,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$2,692,686,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$49,892,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

(Amounts in thousands)

(Timounis in thousands)		New York	Washingt DC	on,	Merchandi	
Increase (decrease) due to:	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Se Other
Property rentals:	10001	011100	011100	1100011	112012	3 4244
Acquisitions (including the transfer of an						
asset from other to the retail segment)	\$ 13,135	\$	\$	\$ 11,309	\$ 5 430	\$ (3,604)
Development/redevelopment	2,805	Ψ	1,333	1,472	Ψ 5,150	ψ(5,001)
Amortization of acquired below-market	2,003		1,555	1,772		
leases, net	(23,695)	(20,226) (1)	(532)	(3,684) (72	819
Operations:	(,,	, (==,===)	(000)	(-,	, (- ,	
Hotel Pennsylvania	(32,248))				$(32,248)^{(2)}$
Trade shows	(10,002)				$(10,002)^{(3)}$	
Leasing activity (see page 68)	64,891	30,151	34,829	13,196		(2,854)
Increase (decrease) in property rentals	14,886	9,925	35,630	22,293	(15,075)	(37,887)
Tenant expense reimbursements:						
Acquisitions/development	(7)	(215)	1,182		(974)
Operations	4,003	753	3,208	5,876	(2,583)	(3,251)
Increase (decrease) in tenant expense						, ,
reimbursements	3,996	753	2,993	7,058	(2,583)	(4,225)
Fee and other income:						
Lease cancellation fee income	(3,109)	(1,248)	(411)	(1,817) 228	139
Management and leasing fees	(1,941	(2,200)	(757)	58	(261)	1,219
BMS cleaning fees	2,096	8,404				$(6,308)^{(4)}$
Other	33,964	(1,519)	25,470 (5	₅₎ 76	2,618	7,319 (6)
Increase (decrease) in fee and other income	31,010	3,437	24,302	(1,683) 2,585	2,369
Total increase (decrease) in revenues	\$49,892	\$ 14,115	\$ 62,925	\$ 27,668	\$ (15,073)	\$ (39,743)

⁽¹⁾ Primarily due to a lease modification that reduced the term of a portion of AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company s (AXA) space at 1290 Avenue of the Americas, which resulted in additional amortization of approximately \$12,000 in the prior year.

79	
(6) entity	Includes \$5,402 of income previously deferred resulting from the termination of a lease with a partially owned.
forfei its fai	In December 2009, our agreement to sell an 8.6 acre parcel of land in the Pentagon City area of Arlington, nia, was terminated by the buyer. Accordingly, we recognized \$27,089 of income, representing the buyer s ted non-refundable purchase deposit. In connection therewith, we wrote down the carrying amount of the land to r value and recognized a \$24,875 impairment loss which is included as a component of impairment and other so on our consolidated statement of income.
(4) page	Results from the elimination of inter-company fees from operating segments upon consolidation. See note (3) on 80.
(3)	Primarily due to lower exhibitor occupancy.
(2)	Primarily due to lower REVPAR.

Revenues 166

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 continued

Expenses

Our expenses, which consist of operating, depreciation and amortization, general and administrative expenses and costs of acquisitions and developments not consummated were \$1,946,799,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$1,881,735,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$65,064,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

(Amounts in thousands)		New York	Washing DC	gton,	Merchan	dise
Increase (decrease) due to:	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Other
Operating:						
Acquisitions and other (including the						
transfer of an asset from other to the						
retail segment)	\$12,883	\$	\$	\$6,367	\$5,226	\$1,290
Development/redevelopment	4,433		2,114	2,319		
Hotel activity	(5,734)				(5,734)
Trade shows activity	(3,484)			(3,484)	
Operations	10,242	13,358 (1)	6,523	$(2,856)^{(2)}$	(4,328)	$(2,455)^{(3)}$
Increase (decrease) in operating expenses	18,340	13,358	8,637	5,830	(2,586)	(6,899)
Depreciation and amortization:						
Acquisitions/development	4,693		(2,374)	9,306		(2,239)
Operations (due to additions to buildings						
and improvements)	(2,010	$(17,002)^{(4)}$	9,436	1,158	4,338	60
Increase (decrease) in depreciation and						
amortization	2,683	(17,002)	7,062	10,464	4,338	(2,179)
General and administrative:						
Write-off of unamortized costs from the						
voluntary surrender of equity awards (5)	32,588	3,451	3,131	4,793	1,011	20,202
Mark-to-market of deferred compensation plan liability (6)	23,710					23,710
Operations	(18,633) (848)	(3,460)	(4,222)	1,322 (7	$(11,425)^{(8)}$
Increase (decrease) in general and						
administrative	37,665	2,603	(329		2,333	32,487
Impairment and other losses	6,376		24,875	23,054		(41,553)
Total increase (decrease) in expenses	\$65,064	\$(1,041)	\$40,245	\$39,919	\$4,085	\$(18,144)

⁽¹⁾ Results from a \$7,025 increase in BMS operating expenses and a \$6,333 increase in property level operating expenses, primarily due to higher real estate taxes.

⁽²⁾ Primarily due to a \$8,190 decrease in bad debt expense partially offset by an increase in real estate taxes which are reimbursed by tenants.

80	
(8)	Primarily due to lower payroll and stock-based compensation expense.
(7)	Primarily due to 2009 pension plan termination costs of \$2,800.
	This increase in expense is entirely offset by a corresponding increase in income from the mark-to-market of the red compensation plan assets, a component of interest and other investment income on our consolidated ment of income.
	On March 31, 2009, our nine most senior executives voluntarily surrendered their 2007 and 2008 stock option ds and their 2008 out-performance plan awards. Accordingly, we recognized \$32,588 of expense in the first ter of 2009, representing the unamortized portion of these awards.
(4) Ame	Primarily due to a lease modification that reduced the term of a portion of AXA s space at 1290 Avenue of the ricas, which resulted in additional depreciation of approximately \$16,000 in the prior year.
(3) conse	Results primarily from an increase in the elimination of inter-company fees of our operating segments upon olidation.

Expenses 168

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 continued

Income Applicable to Alexander s

Our 32.4% share of Alexander s net income (comprised of our share of Alexander s net income, management, leasing and development fees) was \$53,529,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$36,671,000 for the prior year, an increase of \$16,858,000. The increase was primarily due to \$13,668,000 of income for our share of an income tax benefit and \$11,105,000 for our share of the reversal of a portion of previously recognized stock appreciation rights compensation expense in the current year, compared to \$6,583,000 for our share of such income in the prior year.

Income (loss) Applicable to Toys

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$92,300,000 of income from our investment in Toys, comprised of (i) \$71,601,000 for our 32.7% share of Toys net income (\$58,416,000 before our share of Toys income tax benefit), (ii) \$13,946,000 for our share of income from the reversal of previously recognized deferred financing cost amortization expense, which we initially recorded as a reduction of the basis of our investment in Toys, and (iii) \$6,753,000 of interest and other income.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized \$2,380,000 of income from our investment in Toys, comprised of (i) \$9,115,000 for our 32.7% share of Toys net income (\$53,867,000 before our share of Toys income tax expense) and (ii) \$8,165,000 of interest and other income, partially offset by (iii) \$14,900,000 for our share of a non-cash charge adjusting Toys purchase accounting basis income tax expense resulting from the audit of Toys fiscal 2006 and 2007 purchase accounting financial statements.

Loss from Partially Owned Entities

Summarized below are the components of loss from partially owned entities for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in thousands) For The Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 Lexington (1) \$ (25,665) \$ (105,630)

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 continued 169

India Real Estate Ventures Other ⁽²⁾	4% to 36.5% share of equity in net losses	\$ (1,636 (46,138 (73,439)) (3))	\$ (3,336 (86,912 (195,878)) (4))
	_				

- (1) 2009 includes \$19,121 for our share of impairment losses recorded by Lexington on its investment in Concord Debt Holdings LLC. 2008 includes \$107,882 of impairment losses on our investment in Lexington.
- (2) Represents equity in net earnings of partially owned office buildings in New York and Washington, DC, the Monmouth Mall, Dune Capital LP, Verde Realty Operating Partnership (Verde), 85th (Avenue Associates and others.
- (3) Includes \$17,820 of impairment losses, substantially all of which is applicable to our investment in Verde, and \$7,650 of expense for our share of a lease termination payment in our Downtown Crossing, Boston venture.
- (4) Includes \$96,037 of non-cash charges for the write-off of our share of certain partially owned entities development costs, including \$37,000 for Downtown Crossing, Boston and \$23,000 for the arena move /Moynihan East portions of the Farley project.

81

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 continued

Interest and Other Investment (Loss) Income, net

Interest and other investment (loss) income, net was a loss of \$116,330,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to a loss of \$2,682,000 for the prior year, an increase in loss of \$113,648,000. This increase resulted primarily from:

(Amounts in thousands)

Marketable equity securities impairment losses of \$3,361 in 2009 compared to \$76,742 in 2008 Derivative positions in marketable equity securities in 2008 Lower average yield on investments (0.4% in 2009 compared to 2.3% in 2008) Increase in value of investments in the deferred compensation plan (offset by a corresponding increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative expenses) Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008 (12,54) Other, net	(
Derivative positions in marketable equity securities in 2008 Lower average yield on investments (0.4% in 2009 compared to 2.3% in 2008) Increase in value of investments in the deferred compensation plan (offset by a corresponding increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative expenses) Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008 Other, net 33,602 (22,303) (12,543) (12,544) (12,544)	Mezzanine loans \$190,738 loss accrual in 2009 compared to \$10,300 of income in 2008	\$ (201,038)
Lower average yield on investments (0.4% in 2009 compared to 2.3% in 2008) Increase in value of investments in the deferred compensation plan (offset by a corresponding increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative expenses) Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008 Other, net (8,457)	Marketable equity securities impairment losses of \$3,361 in 2009 compared to \$76,742 in 2008	73,381	
Increase in value of investments in the deferred compensation plan (offset by a corresponding increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative expenses) Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008 Other, net (8,457)	Derivative positions in marketable equity securities in 2008	33,602	
increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative expenses) Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008 Other, net (8,457)	Lower average yield on investments (0.4% in 2009 compared to 2.3% in 2008)	(22,306)
Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008 Other, net (12,54)	Increase in value of investments in the deferred compensation plan (offset by a corresponding		
Other, net (8,457)	increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative expenses)	23,710	
	Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009 compared to \$481,000 in 2008	(12,540)
\$ (113,6	Other, net	(8,457)
		\$ (113,648)

Interest and Debt Expense

Interest and debt expense was \$634,283,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$635,724,000 in the prior year, a decrease of \$1,441,000. This decrease resulted primarily from savings of (i) \$17,561,000 from a decrease in outstanding debt of approximately \$1.5 billion, the full year effect of which is approximately \$100,000,000, (ii) \$27,830,000 from lower average interest rates on variable rate debt (1.61% in 2009 as compared to 3.88% in 2008), (iii) \$1,857,000 from other items, partially offset by (iv) a decrease in capitalized interest of \$45,807,000.

Net (Loss) Gain on Early Extinguishment of Debt

Net loss on early extinguishment of debt was \$25,915,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, resulting primarily from the acquisition and retirement of approximately \$1.9 billion of our convertible senior debentures and related write-off of the unamortized debt discount. Net gain on early extinguishment of debt was \$9,820,000 in the year ended December 31, 2008, resulting primarily from the acquisition and retirement of approximately \$81,540,000 of senior unsecured notes and \$27,500,000 of convertible senior debentures.

Net Gain on Disposition of Wholly Owned and Partially Owned Assets other than Depreciable Real Estate

Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets other than depreciable real estate was \$5,641,000 in the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$7,757,000 in the prior year and was primarily comprised of net gains on sale of marketable securities and residential condominiums.

82

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 continued

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$20,737,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to an income tax benefit of \$204,537,000 in the prior year. The prior year income tax benefit was the result of a \$222,174,000 reversal of deferred taxes recorded in connection with our acquisition of H Street. We were required to record these deferred tax liabilities because H Street and its partially owned entities were operated as C Corporations at the time they were acquired. As of January 16, 2008, we had completed all of the actions necessary to enable these entities to elect REIT status effective for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2008 and reversed the deferred tax liabilities.

Discontinued Operations

The combined results of discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 include the operating results of 1999 K Street, which was sold on September 1, 2009; Americold, which was sold on March 31, 2008 and Tysons Dulles Plaza, which was sold on June 10, 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)	For tl	he Year Ended Decem	ber 31,	
	2009		2008	
Total revenues	\$	9,846	\$	226,726
Total expenses		3,225		223,326
Net income		6,621		3,400
Net gain on sale of Americold				112,690
Net gain on sale of Tysons Dulles Plaza				56,831
Net gain on sale of 1999 K Street		41,211		
Net gains on sale of other real estate		4,073		692
Income from discontinued operations	\$	51,905	\$	173,613

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests, Including Unit Distributions

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is comprised of (i) allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests of \$5,834,000 and \$33,327,000, respectively, (ii) net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries of \$2,839,000 and \$3,263,000, respectively and (iii) preferred unit distributions of the Operating Partnership of \$19,286,000 and \$22,084,000, respectively. The decrease of \$27,493,000 in allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests resulted primarily from lower net income subject to allocation to the unitholders. The decrease of \$2,798,000 in preferred unit distributions was

primarily due to a write-off of unit issuance costs in the prior year.

Preferred Share Dividends

Preferred share dividends were \$57,076,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$57,091,000 for the prior year.

83

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 continued

Same Store EBITDA

Same store EBITDA represents EBITDA from property level operations which are owned by us in both the current and prior year reporting periods. Same store EBITDA excludes segment-level overhead expenses that are not considered property-level expenses, as well as other non-operating items. We present same store EBITDA on both a GAAP basis and a cash basis, which excludes income from the straight-lining of rents, amortization of below-market leases, net of above-market leases and other non-cash adjustments. We present these non-GAAP measures to (i) facilitate meaningful comparisons of the operational performance of our properties and segments, (ii) make decisions on whether to buy, sell or refinance properties, and (iii) compare the performance of our properties and segments to those of our peers. Same store EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or cash flow from operations and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP basis and cash basis for each of our segments for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)		ew York ffice	Ι	Washington DC Office		Retail		[erchandi [art	se
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2009		582,820		3 473,132		\$ 317,078		100,527	
Add-back: non-property level overhead		,		•		•		ŕ	
expenses included above (1)		22,820		26,219		30,433		31,587	
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions									
and other non-operating income or expenses		(2,278))	(52,627)	(1,263)	(2,939)
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year						246240		100 177	
ended December 31, 2009		603,362		446,724		346,248		129,175	
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization									
of below-market leases, net and other non-cash adjustments		(65,069	`	(25,931)	(38,396	`	(4,340	`
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year		(03,009	,	(23,931	,	(30,390	,	(4,540	,
ended December 31, 2009	\$	538,293		\$420,793		\$307,852	9	\$124,835	
	_	,		+		, , <u>_</u>		, 1,	
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008	\$	586,798		\$458,014		\$332,773	9	\$116,437	
Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses									
included above		20,217		26,548		29,862		29,254	
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions and other									
non-operating income or expenses		(8,431)	(65,846)	(28,840)	274	
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year ended		500 504		410.716		222 705		145.065	
December 31, 2008		598,584		418,716		333,795		145,965	

Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization of below-market leases, net and other non-cash	(00.1/2	`	(20, 254	`	(27.267	\	(0.400	`
adjustments Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ (88,163 510,421		(20,354 398,362		(37,267 296,528) \$	(9,408 136,557)
	,		,		,		,	
Increase (decrease) in GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2009 over the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 4,778	\$	28,008	\$	12,453	\$	(16,790)
Increase (decrease) in Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2009 over the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 27,872	\$	22,431	\$	11,324	\$	(11,722)
% increase (decrease) in GAAP basis same store EBITDA	0.8%		6.7%		3.7%		(11.5%)
% increase (decrease) in Cash basis same store EBITDA	5.5%		5.6%		3.8%		(8.6%)

84

Same Store EBITDA 176

⁽¹⁾ Includes the write-off of unamortized costs from the voluntary surrender of equity awards on March 31, 2009, of \$3,451, \$3,131, \$4,793 and \$1,011, respectively.

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007

Revenues

Our revenues, which consist of property rentals, tenant expense reimbursements, hotel revenues, trade shows revenues, amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of above-market leases, and fee income, were \$2,692,686,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$2,405,243,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$287,443,000. Below are the details of the increase by segment:

(Amounts in thousands)

(Amounts in thousands)			Washington DC	,	Merchand	lise
Increase (decrease) due to:	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Other
Property rentals:						
Acquisitions:						
1290 Avenue of the Americas	\$ 46,780	\$ 46,780	\$	\$	\$	\$
555 California Street	37,301					37,301
H Street (effect of consolidating from						
May 1, 2007, vs. equity method prior)	19,330		19,330			
Other	25,788		780	16,838	8,170	
Development/Redevelopment	(7,201))	(1,839)	(4,688))	(674)
Amortization of acquired below- market leases,						
net	12,884	12,494	(192)	805	(32)	(191)
Leasing activity (see page 69)	91,033	48,476	28,125	16,102	549	(2,219)
Hotel Pennsylvania	7,144					7,144
Trade shows	2,110				2,110	
Increase in property rentals	235,169	107,75	60 46,204	29,057	10,797	41,361
Tenant expense reimbursements:						
Acquisitions/development	12,630	6,041	2,575	2,165		1,849
Operations	22,281	3,807)	13,827	5,576	(1,003)	(2) 74
Increase (decrease) in tenant expense						
reimbursements	34,911	9,848	16,402	7,741	(1,003)	1,923
Fee and other income:						
Lease cancellation fee income	1,181	(412)	2,182	(542	(47)	
Management and leasing fees	(2,316)	1,483	$(3,599)^{(3)}$	(97	342	(445)
BMS Cleaning fees	10,178	12,996)			$(2,818)^{(4)}$
Other	8,320	(540)	6,074	342	62	2,382
Increase (decrease) in fee and other						
income	17,363	13,527	4,657	(297	357	(881)
Total increase in revenues	\$ 287,443	\$ 131,12	\$ 67,263	\$ 36,501	\$ 10,151	\$ 42,403

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007

(1) years.	Net of a decrease in real estate tax reimbursements resulting from lower tax assessments and new tenant base
(2) in 2007.	Primarily from lower real estate tax reimbursements resulting from a reassessment of 2006 real estate taxes
(3) develop	Primarily from leasing fees recognized in the prior year in connection with the management of a ment project.
(4) on page	Results from the elimination of inter-company fees from operating segments upon consolidation. See note 4 86.
85	

Revenues 178

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007 continued

Expenses

Our expenses, which consist of operating, depreciation and amortization, general and administrative expenses and costs of acquisitions and developments not consummated were \$1,881,735,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$1,590,110,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$291,625,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

(Amounts in thousands)

		New	Washing	ton,		
		York	DC		Merchand	ise
Increase (decrease) due to:	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Other
Operating:						
Acquisitions:						
1290 Avenue of the Americas	\$ 19,148	\$ 19,148	\$	\$	\$	\$
555 California Street	17,442					17,442
H Street (effect of consolidating from						
May 1, 2007, vs. equity method prior)	8,300		8,300			
Other	14,455		1,410	6,190	6,855	
Development/Redevelopment	607		(269) 2,186		(1,310)
Operations	59,584	24,507(1)	27,384	20,424	2) 2,744 (3	$(15,475)^{(4)}$
Hotel Pennsylvania	2,382					2,382
Trade shows activity	(2,960)			(2,960)	
Increase in operating expenses	118,958	43,655	36,825	28,800	6,639	3,039
Depreciation and amortization:						
Acquisitions/Development	46,998	23,618	7,384	4,248		11,748
Operations (due to additions to buildings and						
improvements)	49,598	17,039	12,753	9,819	4,728	5,259
Increase in depreciation and amortization	96,596	40,657	20,137	14,067	4,728	17,007
General and administrative:						
Acquisitions/Development and Other	7,366			1,948		5,418
Operations	(2,367) 2,965	(1,064) 438	1,086	$(5,792)^{(5)}$
Increase (decrease) in general and				•		
administrative	4,999	2,965	(1,064) 2,386	1,086	(374)
Impairment and other losses	71,072			595		70,477
Total increase in expenses	\$ 291,625	\$ 87,277	\$ 55,898	\$45,848	\$ 12,453	\$ 90,149

(1) operating	Results from an \$11,715 increase in BMS operating expenses and a \$12,792 increase in property level gexpenses.
(2) expense, Circuit C	Includes \$6,990 of write-offs for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents and \$2,492 of bad debt all relating to tenants that filed for bankruptcy. Of these amounts, \$3,931 and \$1,203, respectively, relate to fity.
(3)	Primarily due to higher bad debt expense, partially offset by lower real estate taxes.
(4) consolida	Results primarily from an increase in the elimination of inter-company fees of our operating segments upon ation.
	Primarily due to a \$15,344 reduction from the mark-to-market of investments in our deferred compensation which there is a corresponding reduction in interest and other investment (loss) income, net), partially offset 00 pension termination cost, higher compensation expense and professional fees.

86

Expenses 180

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007 continued

Income Applicable to Alexander s

Our 32.5% share of Alexander s net income (comprised of our share of Alexander s net income, management, leasing and development fees) was \$36,671,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$50,589,000 for the prior year, a decrease of \$13,918,000. The decrease was primarily due to \$6,583,000 of income for our share of the reversal of accrued stock appreciation rights compensation expense, compared to \$14,280,000 of income from such reversal in the prior year.

Income (loss) Applicable to Toys

Our 32.7% share of Toys financial results (comprised of our share of Toys net income, interest income on loans receivable, and management fees) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are for Toys fiscal periods from November 4, 2007 to November 1, 2008 and October 29, 2006 to November 3, 2007, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2008, our income applicable to Toys was \$2,380,000, or \$62,032,000 before our share of Toys income tax expense, compared to a loss of \$14,337,000 or \$25,235,000 before our share of Toys income tax benefit in the prior year.

(Loss) Income from Partially Owned Entities

Summarized below are the components of (loss) income from partially owned entities for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

	 or The Yeanded Dece	r 31,		
(Amounts in thousands)	2008			2007
Lexington India Real Estate Ventures 4% to 50% share of equity in net loss	\$ (105,630 (3,336) ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,211
GMH Communities L.P. 13.8% share of equity in net income (sold in June 2008) H Street partially owned entities 50% share of equity in net income ⁽²⁾				6,463 5,923
Other (3)	\$ (86,912 (195,878) ⁽⁴⁾	\$	17,294 31,891

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$107,882 of non-cash impairment losses on our investment in Lexington.

(2) As of April 30, 2007, our H Street subsidiary acquired the remaining 50% interest in these entities and began to
consolidate this investment into our consolidated financial statements and no longer account for it under the equity
method.

- (3) Includes equity in net earnings of partially owned office buildings in New York and Washington, DC, the Monmouth Mall, Dune Capital LP, Verde, 85 10th Avenue Associates and others.
- (4) Includes \$96,037 of non-cash charges for the write-off of our share of certain partially owned entities development costs, including \$37,000 for Downtown Crossing, Boston and \$23,000 for the arena move /Moynihan East portions of the Farley project.

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007 continued

Interest and Other Investment (Loss) Income, net

Interest and other investment (loss) income, net (comprised of mark-to-market of derivative positions, interest income on mezzanine loans receivable, other interest and dividend income and impairment losses on marketable securities) was a loss of \$2,682,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to income of \$226,425,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007, a decrease of \$229,107,000. This decrease resulted primarily from:

(Amounts in thousands)

Derivative positions in marketable equity securities net loss of \$33,602 in 2008			
compared to a net gain of \$113,547 in 2007	\$ (147,1	149)
Marketable equity securities - impairment losses	(76,74	12)
MPH mezzanine loan income of \$10,300 from the reversal of a portion of the 2007			
loan loss accrual in 2008, compared to a \$57,000 loan loss accrual in 2007	67,30	0	
Decrease in interest income as a result of lower average yields on investments			
(2.3% in 2008 compared to 5.0% in 2007)	(28,25	50)
Decrease in interest income on mezzanine loans as a result of lower average investments			
(\$480,558 in 2008 compared to \$611,943 in 2007)	(20,52)	22)
Decrease in income on investments in our deferred compensation plan	(15,34)	14)
Other, net	(8,400))
	\$ (229,1	107)

Interest and Debt Expense

Interest and debt expense was \$635,724,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$599,804,000 in the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase of \$35,920,000. This increase was primarily due to the full year effect of interest expense from properties acquired during 2007 and property level refinancings during 2008, partially offset by a decrease in weighted average interest rates on variable rate debt.

Net Gain on Early Extinguishment of Debt

In the year ended December 31, 2008, we had a \$9,820,000 net gain from the early extinguishment of debt which resulted primarily from purchases of certain of our convertible senior debentures.

Net Gain on Disposition of Wholly Owned and Partially Owned Assets other than Depreciable Real Estate

Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets other than depreciable real estate was \$7,757,000 in the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$39,493,000 in the year ended December 31, 2007. The year ended December 31, 2008 includes a \$3,691,000 net gain on sale of residential condominiums, a \$2,038,000 net gain on disposition of our 13.8% interest in GMH and \$2,028,000 for net gains on sale of marketable securities. The \$39,493,000 net gain in the year ended December 31, 2007 represents net gains on sale of marketable securities, including \$23,090,000 from the sale of McDonald s common shares.

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007 continued

Income Tax Expense

In the year ended December 31, 2008, we had an income tax benefit of \$204,537,000, compared to an expense of \$9,179,000 in the prior year, a decrease of \$213,716,000. The decrease results primarily from a \$222,174,000 reversal of deferred taxes recorded in connection with the acquisition of H Street. We were required to record these deferred tax liabilities because H Street and its partially owned entities were operated as C Corporations at the time they were acquired. As of January 16, 2008, we had completed all of the actions necessary to enable these entities to elect REIT status effective for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2008. Consequently, in the first quarter of 2008, we reversed the deferred tax liabilities and recognized an income tax benefit of \$222,174,000 in our consolidated statement of income.

Discontinued Operations

The combined results of discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 include the operating results of 1999 K Street, which was sold on September 1, 2009; Americold, which was sold on March 31, 2008; Tysons Dulles Plaza, which was sold on June 10, 2008; 11 acres of land we acquired as part of our acquisition of H Street, which was sold in September 2007; Vineland, New Jersey, which was sold on July 16, 2007; Crystal Mall Two, which was sold on August 9, 2007; and Arlington Plaza, which was sold on October 17, 2007.

(Amounts in thousands)	For	the Year Ended D	ecember 31	••
	2008	}	2007	,
Total revenues	\$	226,726	\$	870,857
Total expenses		223,326		868,216
Net income (loss)		3,400		2,641
Net gain on sale of Americold		112,690		
Net gain on sale of Tysons Dulles Plaza		56,831		
Net gain on sale of Arlington Plaza				33,890
Net gain on sale of Crystal Mall Two				19,893
Net gains on sale of other real estate		692		11,198
Income from discontinued operations	\$	173,613	\$	67,622

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests, Including Unit Distributions

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 is comprised of (i) allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests of \$33,327,000 and \$50,514,000, respectively, (ii) net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries of \$3,263,000 and \$3,494,000, respectively and (iii) preferred unit distributions of the Operating Partnership of \$22,084,000 and \$19,274,000, respectively. The decrease of \$17,187,000 in allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests resulted primarily from lower net income subject to allocation to the unitholders.

Preferred Share Dividends

Preferred share dividends were \$57,091,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$57,177,000 for the prior year.

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007 continued

Same Store EBITDA

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP basis and cash basis for each of our segments for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

(Amounts in thousands)	Offic		DC Off	fice	R	Retail	M	lerchandi lart	ise
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008 Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses included above		86,798 0,217		458,014 26,548	\$	332,773 29,862	\$	116,437 29,254	
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions and other non-operating income or expenses		50,070		(80,741)	(52,154))
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008	5	56,945		403,821		310,481		144,410	
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization of below-market leases, net and other non-cash	(4	(0.026	\	(15 607	,	(24.010	`	(0.010	`
adjustments Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008	,	59,926 87,019	,	(15,607 388,214		(34,018 \$276,463		(8,819 \$135,591)
ended Becomos 51, 2000	Ψ	07,017	Ψ.	300,211		Ψ270,103	•	φ133 , 371	
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2007 Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses included above Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions and other		14,198		449,702		\$327,738		\$114,082	
		7,252		27,612	`	27,476	`	28,168	
non-operating income or expenses GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2007		7,157) 24,293		(90,780 386,534)	(58,891 296,323)	2,410 144,660	
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization of below-market leases, net and other non-cash		,_> e				25 0,6 26		11,,000	
adjustments Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year ended		72,685		(25,782		(34,258		,)
December 31, 2007	\$ 4.	51,608	\$:	360,752	\$	262,065	\$	138,508	
Increase (decrease) in GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008 over the year ended December 31, 2007	\$ 32	2,652	\$	17,287	\$	14,158	\$	(250)
Increase (decrease) in Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008 over the year ended December 31, 2007	\$ 3:	5,411	\$:	27,462	\$	14,398	\$	(2,917)

Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to December 31, 2007 continued 187

% increase (decrease) in GAAP basis same store EBITDA	6.2%	4.5%	4.8%	(0.2%)
% increase (decrease) in Cash basis same store EBITDA	7.8%	7.6%	5.5%	(2.1%)

90

Same Store EBITDA 188

Supplemental Information

Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 New Washington,							
		York	DC		Merchan	dise		
	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Toys	Other (2)	
Property rentals	\$529,064	\$189,673	\$138,945	\$96,860	\$60,537	\$	\$43,049	
Straight-line rents:								
Contractual rent increases	11,476	4,108	2,594	4,154	485		135	
Amortization of free rent	11,177	6,173	2,428	2,251	90		235	
Amortization of acquired below- market								
leases, net	16,211	9,611	774	4,719	18		1,089	
Total rentals	567,928	209,565	144,741	107,984	61,130		44,508	
Tenant expense reimbursements	91,048	32,932	16,505	35,841	2,492		3,278	
Fee and other income:								
Tenant cleaning fees	15,140	21,320					(6,180)	
Management and leasing fees	3,201	848	2,247	483	63		(440)	
Lease termination fees	1,169	316	308	364	181			
Other	40,517	4,257	32,701	381	3,353		(175)	
Total revenues	719,003	269,238	196,502	145,053	67,219		40,991	
Operating expenses	273,224	111,818	59,361	51,087	35,251		15,707	
Depreciation and amortization	140,658	44,039	39,221	26,329	15,371		15,698	
General and administrative	51,307	4,232	5,671	5,487	6,495		29,422	
Impairment and other losses	87,823		24,875	23,649			39,299	
Total expenses	553,012	160,089	129,128	106,552	57,117		100,126	
Operating income (loss)	165,991	109,149	67,374	38,501	10,102		(59,135)	
Income applicable to Alexander s	7,485	193		193			7,099	
Loss applicable to Toys	(26,597)					(26,597)		
(Loss) income from partially owned								
entities	(24,315)	1,139	(654)	1,371	(35)	(26,136)	
Interest and other investment income, net	(52,722)	164	216	22	13		(53,137)	
Interest and debt expense		(33,529)	(34,972)	(22,975)	(13,071))	(54,708)	
Net loss on early extinguishment of debt	(52,911)		, , ,				(52,911)	
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned								
and partially owned assets other								
than depreciable real estate	1,209						1,209	
(Loss) income before income taxes	(141,115)	77,116	31,964	17,112	(2,991	(26,597)		
Income tax expense	(4,964)		(345)		(385)	(3,744)	
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(146,079)		31,619	17,109	(3,376	(26,597)	(241,463)	
Income from discontinued operations	2,629	,	,	2,629		, , , ,	, , ,	
Net (loss) income	(143,450)	76,629	31,619	19,738	(3,376	(26,597)	(241,463)	
Net loss (income) attributable to	, , ,	,	,	,		, , , ,	, , ,	
noncontrolling interests, including								
unit distributions	6,527	(2,660))	285			8,902	
Net (loss) income attributable to Vornado	(136,923)		31,619	20,023	(3,376	(26,597)		
Interest and debt expense (1)	214,411	31,910	35,792	24,494	13,299	37,493	71,423	
*	•	•	•	•	•	•		

Depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁾ Income tax (benefit) expense ⁽¹⁾ EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	189,261 (13,611 \$253,138) 487	42,484 348 \$110,243	27,179 3 \$71,699	15,499 388 \$25,810	30,859 (20,520 \$21,235	30,554) 5,683 \$(124,901)
EBITDA above includes certain items th	at affect con	nparability,	which are o	described i	n the Ove	erview.	
See notes on page 93.							
91							

Supplemental Information continued

Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 continued

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Th	ree Montl New			31, 2008		
			Washingto DC	on,	Merchan	dia.	
				Dotoil			O4h om (2)
Duomants, mantala		Office		Retail	Mart	· ·	Other (2)
Property rentals	\$519,223	\$183,191	\$131,510	\$88,337	\$65,794	\$	\$50,171
Straight-line rents:	12 202	7.162	(07	2.702	1 400		101
Contractual rent increases	12,383	7,163	(97)	- ,	1,423		191
Amortization of free rent	15,441	6,637	5,019	3,837	41		(93)
Amortization of acquired below- market	22.521	14.007	1 110	6.740	77		(220
leases, net	22,521	14,807	1,118	6,749	77		(230)
Total rentals	569,568	211,798	137,550	102,846	67,335		50,039
Tenant expense reimbursements	88,340	32,558	16,840	30,152	3,852		4,938
Fee and other income:	4400	10.410					(2.422)
Tenant cleaning fees	14,985	18,418					(3,433)
Management and leasing fees	3,071	1,376	1,957	699	43		(1,004)
Lease termination fees	4,165	1,038	1,598	1,254	275		
Other	15,024	3,823	7,558	587	1,310		1,746
Total revenues	695,153	269,011	165,503	135,538	72,815		52,286
Operating expenses	276,054	105,167	58,920	56,595	35,224		20,148
Depreciation and amortization	139,013	47,376	32,356	28,606	13,509		17,166
General and administrative	44,859	5,311	7,724	6,758	7,333		17,733
Impairment and other losses	73,438			595			72,843
Total expenses	533,364	157,854	99,000	92,554	56,066		127,890
Operating income (loss)	161,789	111,157	66,503	42,984	16,749		(75,604)
Income applicable to Alexander s	20,267	195		121			19,951
Loss applicable to Toys	(39,130)					(39,130)	
(Loss) income from partially owned entities	(166,711)	1,476	1,625	(168)	128		(169,772)
Interest and other investment (loss)							
income, net	(50,217)	323	379	72	135		(51,126)
Interest and debt expense	(160,862)	(35,114)	(32,423)	(22,806)	(12,958))	(57,561)
Net gain on early extinguishment of debt	9,820						9,820
Net loss on disposition of wholly owned							
and partially owned assets other							
than depreciable real estate	(789)						(789)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(225,833)		36,084	20,203	4,054	(39,130)	(325,081)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(2,633)		57	(75)	(1)	(2,614)
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(228,466)	78,037	36,141	20,128	4,053	(39,130)	
Income from discontinued operations	799		35	764	•		, ,
Net (loss) income	(227,667)	78,037	36,176	20,892	4,053	(39,130)	(327,695)
Net loss (income) attributable to	. , ,	•	•	•	•		. , ,
noncontrolling interests, including							
unit distributions	14,987	(1,396))	53	(125)	16,455
Net (loss) income attributable to Vornado	(212,680)		36,176	20,945	3,928	(39,130)	•

Interest and debt expense (1)	200,573	33,596	33,352	26,108	13,249	38,842	55,426
Depreciation and amortization (1)	179,274	44,961	33,655	30,782	13,646	33,343	22,887
Income tax (benefit) expense (1)	(20,571))	(54)	75	55	(23,126) 2,479
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$146,596	\$155,198	\$103,129	\$77,910	\$30,878	\$9,929	\$(230,448)

EBITDA above includes certain items that affect comparability, which are described in the Overview.

See notes on the following page.

Supplemental Information continued

Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 continued

Notes to preceding tabular information:

(1) Interest and debt expense, depreciation and amortization and income tax (benefit) expense in the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA include our share of these items from partially owned entities.

(2) Other EBITDA is comprised of:

		he Three Ned Decembe			
(Amounts in thousands)	2009		,	2008	}
Alexander s	\$	16,474		\$	27,503
Lexington		15,774			5,879
555 California Street		12,872			12,762
Hotel Pennsylvania		7,285			12,497
Industrial warehouses		835			1,239
Other investments		5,077			110
		58,317			59,990
Investment income and other (1)		12,461			18,654
Corporate general and administrative expenses (1)		(23,190)		(26,761)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests, including unit					
distributions		8,902			16,455
Net loss on early extinguishment of debt		(52,911)		
Non-cash assets write-downs:					
Mezzanine loans receivable		(68,000)		
Investment in Lexington					(100,707)
Marketable equity securities		(3,361)		(55,471)
Real estate primarily development projects:					
Wholly owned entities		(39,299)		(72,843)
Partially owned entities		(17,820)		(61,837)
Derivative positions in marketable equity securities					(7,928)
	\$	(124,901)	\$	(230,448)

⁽¹⁾ The amounts in these captions (for this table only) exclude the mark-to-market of our deferred compensation plan assets and offsetting liability.

Supplemental Information continued

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP and cash basis for each of our segments for the three months ended December 31, 2009 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2008.

(Amounts in thousands) EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2009 Add-back: non-property level overhead	ew York ffice 149,052	Washington DC Office \$ 110,243		R	Retail 71,699	N	lerchand lart 25,810	lise
expenses included above	4,232		5,671		5,487		6,495	
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions and other non-operating income or expenses GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months	(298)	(2,904)	11,057		886	
ended December 31, 2009 Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization of below-market leases, net and other non-cash	152,986		113,010		88,243		33,191	
adjustments Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months	(16,414)	(5,294)	(6,348)	(2,433)
ended December 31, 2009	\$ 136,572		\$107,716		\$81,895		\$30,758	
EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2008 Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses included above Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions and other non-operating income or expenses GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended	\$ 155,198		\$103,129		\$77,910		\$30,878	
	5,311		7,724		7,356		7,333	
	(4,353)	(2,442)	(579)	(671)
December 31, 2008 Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization of below-market leases, net and other non-cash	156,156		108,411		84,687		37,540	
adjustments	(25,014)	(5,549)	(10,014)	(1,541)
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2008	\$ 131,142	\$	102,862	\$	74,673	\$	35,999	
Increase (decrease) in GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2009 over the three months ended December 31, 2008	\$ (3,170)\$	4,599	\$	3,556	\$	(4,349)
Increase (decrease) in Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2009 over the three months ended December 31, 2008	\$ 5,430	\$	4,854	\$	7,222	\$	(5,241)
% increase (decrease) in GAAP basis same store EBITDA	(2.0%)	4.2%		4.2%		(11.6%)

% increase (decrease) in Cash basis same store EBITDA 4.1% 4.7% 9.7% (14.6%)

Supplemental Information continued

Our revenues and expenses are subject to seasonality during the year which impacts quarterly net earnings, cash flows and funds from operations, and therefore comparisons of the current quarter to the previous quarter. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys—fourth quarter net income, which we recorded on a one-quarter lag basis in our first quarter, accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. The Office and Merchandise Mart segments have historically experienced higher utility costs in the first and third quarters of the year. The Merchandise Mart segment also has experienced higher earnings in the second and fourth quarters of the year due to major trade shows occurring in those quarters. The Retail segment revenue in the fourth quarter is typically higher due to the recognition of percentage rental income.

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP and cash basis for each of our segments for the three months ended December 31, 2009 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009.

(Amounts in thousands)	New York Office			Washingto OC Office		Retail		Ierchandise Iart
EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2009	\$	149,052	\$	110,243	\$	71,699	\$	25,810
Add-back: non-property level overhead								
expenses included above		4,232		5,671		5,487		6,495
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions		(7.5	,	(2.004	,	10.070		1.40
and other non-operating income or expenses GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months		(75)	(2,904)	10,979		140
ended December 31, 2009		153,209		113,010		88,165		32,445
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization		133,209		113,010		00,103		32,443
of below-market leases, net and other non-cash								
adjustments		(16,637)	(5,294)	(5,828)	(2,433)
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months								
ended December 31, 2009	\$	136,572		\$107,716		\$82,337		\$30,012
EDITO A feed to a consequence and a 1 Secretarial and 20, 2000 (1)	ф	146 075		¢1.40.242		Φ0 2 044		ΦΩ <i>C</i> 211
EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (1) Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses	\$	146,875		\$149,242		\$82,844		\$26,311
included above		4,895		6,079		6,802		7,198
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions and other		4,073		0,077		0,002		7,170
non-operating income or expenses		(1,708)	(42,323)	(5,207)	(3,529)
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended		()	,	()-		(-)	,	(-) /
September 30, 2009		150,062		112,998		84,439		29,980
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization								
of below-market leases, net and other non-cash								
adjustments		(16,714)	(6,860)	(7,893)	(184)
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended	ф	122 240	đ	106 120	đ	76546	ф	20.706
September 30, 2009	\$	133,348	\$	5 106,138	1	76,546	Þ	29,796
		3,147	9	5 12	\$	3,726	\$	2,465
		- ,	4		4	-,	7	,

Increase in GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2009 over the \$ three months ended September 30, 2009 Increase in Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2009 over the three months ended September 30, 2009 \$ 3,224 \$ 1,578 \$ 5,791 \$ 216 % increase in GAAP basis same store EBITDA 2.1% 0.0% 8.2% 4.4% % increase in Cash basis same store EBITDA 2.4% 1.5% 7.6% 0.7%

⁽¹⁾ Below is a reconciliation of our net income (loss) to EBITDA for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

(Amounts in thousands)	New Y Office	ork I	Vashingtor DC Office	etail	 lerchand Iart	lise
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado for the three months						
ended September 30, 2009	\$ 73,2	244 \$	79,099	\$ 33,798	\$ (1,623)
Interest and debt expense	31,9	945	32,980	23,978	13,315	
Depreciation and amortization	41,1	.01	37,116	25,029	13,772	
Income tax expense	585		47	39	847	
EBITDA for the three months ended September 30, 2009	\$ 146	,875 \$	149,242	\$ 82,844	\$ 26,311	
95						

Related Party Transactions

Transactions with Affiliates and Officers and Trustees

Alexander s

We own 32.4% of Alexander s. Steven Roth, the Chairman of our Board, and Michael D. Fascitelli, our President and Chief Executive Officer, are officers and directors of Alexander s. We provide various services to Alexander s in accordance with management, development and leasing agreements. These agreements are described in Note 3 - Investments in Partially Owned Entities to our consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On March 2, 2009, Mr. Roth and Mr. Fascitelli each exercised 150,000 stock appreciation rights (SARs) which were scheduled to expire on March 4, 2009 and each received gross proceeds of \$11,419,000.

On September 9, 2008, Alexander s Board of Directors declared a special dividend of \$7.00 per share, payable on October 30, 2008, to shareholders of record on October 14, 2008. The dividend was attributable to the liquidation of the wholly owned 731 Lexington Avenue taxable REIT subsidiary into Alexander s. Accordingly, on October 30, we received \$11,578,000, which was accounted for as a reduction of our investment in Alexander s.

On September 15, 2008 and October 14, 2008, Mr. Roth exercised an aggregate of 200,000 SARs which were scheduled to expire on March 4, 2009 and received gross proceeds of \$62,809,000.

Interstate Properties (Interstate)

Interstate is a general partnership in which Mr. Roth is the managing general partner. David Mandelbaum and Russell B. Wight, Jr., Trustees of Vornado and Directors of Alexander s, are Interstate s two other partners. As of December 31, 2009, Interstate and its partners beneficially owned approximately 7.3% of the common shares of beneficial interest of Vornado and 27.2% of Alexander s common stock.

We manage and lease the real estate assets of Interstate pursuant to a management agreement for which we receive an annual fee equal to 4% of annual base rent and percentage rent. The management agreement has a term of one year and is automatically renewable unless terminated by either of the parties on sixty days notice at the end of the term.

We believe, based upon comparable fees charged by other real estate companies, that the management agreement terms are fair to us. We earned \$782,000, \$803,000 and \$800,000 of management fees under the agreement for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We anticipate that cash flow from continuing operations over the next twelve months will be adequate to fund our business operations, cash distributions to unitholders of the Operating Partnership, cash dividends to shareholders, debt amortization and recurring capital expenditures. Capital requirements for significant acquisitions and development expenditures may require funding from borrowings and/or equity offerings.

We may from time to time purchase or retire outstanding debt or equity securities. Such purchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements and other factors. The amounts involved in connection with these transactions could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

We may determine to raise capital for future real estate acquisitions through an institutional investment fund. We would serve as the general partner of the fund and would also expect to be a limited partner of the fund and have the potential to earn certain incentives based on the fund s performance. The fund may serve as our exclusive investment vehicle for a limited period of time for all investments that fit within the fund s investment parameters. If we determine to raise capital through a fund, the partnership interests offered would not be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and could not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration under that act or an applicable exemption from those registration requirements.

Acquisitions and Investments

We did not make any significant investments in real estate during 2009.

Financings

In April 2009, we sold 17,250,000 common shares, including underwriters—over-allotment, in an underwritten public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement at an initial public offering price of \$43.00 per share. We received net proceeds of \$710,226,000, after underwriters—discount and offering expenses and contributed the net proceeds to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 17,250,000 Class A units of the Operating Partnership.

On September 30, 2009, we completed a public offering of \$460,000,000 principal amount of 7.875% callable senior unsecured 30-year notes (NYSE: VNOD) due October 1, 2039. The notes were sold to the public at par and may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, beginning in October 2014 at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. We received net proceeds of approximately \$446,000,000 from the offering which were used to repay debt and for general corporate purposes.

During 2009, we purchased \$1,912,724,000 (aggregate face amount) of our convertible senior debentures and \$352,740,000 (aggregate face amount) of our senior unsecured notes for \$1,877,510,000 and \$343,694,000 in cash, respectively. This debt was acquired through tender offers and in the open market and has been retired. We also repaid \$650,285,000 of existing property level debt and completed \$277,000,000 of property level financings. In connection with the above, we recognized an aggregate net loss of \$25,915,000 from the early extinguishment of debt on our consolidated statement of income

We continue to evaluate plans to renovate and reposition the Springfield mall; given current economic conditions, that may require us to renegotiate the terms of the existing debt and, accordingly, we have requested that the debt be placed with the special servicer.

Dispositions

On September 1, 2009, we sold 1999 K Street, a newly developed 250,000 square foot office building in Washington s Central Business District, for \$207,800,000 in cash, which resulted in a net gain of \$41,211,000, which is included as a component of income from discontinued operations on our consolidated statement of income.

During 2009, we sold 15 retail properties in separate transactions for an aggregate of \$55,000,000 in cash, which resulted in net gains aggregating \$4,073,000, which is included as a component of income from discontinued operations on our consolidated statement of income.

Mezzanine Loans

On June 1, 2009, we were repaid the entire \$41,758,000 balance of the Charles Square Hotel loan including accrued interest. This loan was scheduled to mature in September 2009.

On January 28, 2010, we were repaid the entire \$99,314,000 balance of the Equinox loan including accrued interest. This loan, which we acquired in 2006 for \$57,500,000, was scheduled to mature in February 2013.

Certain Future Cash Requirements

Development and Redevelopment Expenditures

We are currently engaged in various development/redevelopment projects for which we have budgeted approximately \$200,000,000. Of this amount, \$78,118,000 was expended prior to 2009 and \$50,513,000 was expended during 2009. Substantially all of the estimated costs to complete our development projects aggregating approximately \$71,000,000 are anticipated to be expended during 2010, of which approximately \$18,000,000 is expected to be funded by existing construction loans.

Other Capital Expenditures

The following table summarizes other anticipated 2010 capital expenditures.

(Amounts in millions			New	York	Washi	ngton, D	C		Merchai	ndise (Other
except square foot data)	To	tal	Offi	ce	Office		Reta	il	Mart	(1)
Expenditures to											
maintain assets	\$	72.0	\$	25.0	\$	23.0	\$	5.0	\$7.0	\$	12.0
Tenant improvements		105.0		42.0		29.0		14.0	18.0		2.0
Leasing commissions		33.0		13.0		7.0		8.0	4.0		1.0
Total Tenant											
Improvements and											
Leasing Commissions		138.0		55.0		36.0		22.0	22.0		3.0
Per square foot			\$	45.00	\$	22.50	\$	18.00	\$22.00	(2) \$	70.00

Per square foot per annum Total Capital		\$ 5.50	\$	3.00	\$ 2.50	\$3.50	(2)\$	8.00
Expenditures and								
Leasing	•			-		***		
Commissions	\$ 210.0	\$ 80.0	\$	59.0	\$ 27.0	\$29.0	\$	15.0
Square feet budgeted to be leased								
(in thousands)		950		1,700	1,200	1,000		
Weighted average lease term		8.0		7.0	7.5	6.5		

⁽¹⁾ Primarily 555 California Street, Hotel Pennsylvania and Warehouses.

The table above excludes anticipated capital expenditures of non-consolidated entities, including Alexander s, Toys and Lexington, as these entities fund their capital expenditures without additional equity contributions from us.

Dividends

On January 13, 2010, we declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.65 per common share, payable all in cash on February 22, 2010. This dividend policy, if continued for all of 2010, would require approximately \$507,000,000 of cash in the aggregate for common share dividends. In addition, we expect to pay cash dividends on outstanding preferred shares during 2010 aggregating approximately \$57,000,000.

⁽²⁾ Tenant improvements and leasing commissions per square foot budgeted for 2010 leasing activity are \$70.50 (\$4.50 per annum) and \$13.50 (\$2.50 per annum) for Merchandise Mart office and showroom space, respectively.

Financing Activities and Contractual Obligations

We believe that we have complied with the financial covenants required by our revolving credit facilities and our senior unsecured notes and that as of December 31, 2009 we have the ability to incur a substantial amount of additional indebtedness. We have an effective shelf registration for the offering of our equity securities and debt securities that is not limited in amount due to our status as a well-known seasoned issuer.

Our credit facilities contain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum interest coverage and maximum debt to market capitalization ratios, and provides for higher interest rates in the event of a decline in our ratings below Baa3/BBB. Our credit facilities also contain customary conditions precedent to borrowing, including representations and warranties and also contain customary events of default that could give rise to accelerated repayment, including such items as failure to pay interest or principal.

Below is a schedule of our contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2009.

(Amounts in thousands)										
Contractual Cash Obligations			Le	ess than						
(principal and interest ⁽¹⁾):	To	otal	1 .	Year	1	3 Years	3	5 Years	Tł	nereafter
Mortgages and Notes Payable	\$	10,443,320	\$	873,329	\$	3,186,529	\$	2,269,631	\$	4,113,831
Senior Unsecured Notes due 2039										
(PINES)		1,537,694		36,225		108,675		108,675		1,284,119
Operating leases		1,172,119		27,113		54,048		54,492		1,036,466
Revolving Credit Facilities		866,536		6,733		859,803				
Exchangeable Senior Debentures										
due 2025		544,381		19,374		525,007				
Convertible Senior Debentures due										
2026		467,020		15,852		451,168				
Senior Unsecured Notes due 2010		154,794		154,794						
Senior Unsecured Notes due 2011		124,781		6,574		118,207				
Purchase obligations, primarily										
construction commitments		98,021		98,021						
Convertible Senior Debentures due										
2027		23,921		641		23,280				
Capital lease obligations		20,960		707		1,413		1,413		17,427
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$	15,453,547	\$	1,239,363	\$	5,328,130	\$	2,434,211	\$	6,451,843
Commitments:										
Communicities.	\$	90,406	\$	90,406	\$		\$		\$	
	Ψ	, , , , , ,	Ψ	, , , , , ,	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Capital commitments to partially owned entities

Owned chilles				
Standby letters of credit	37,333	32,852	4,481	
Other guarantees	146		146	
Total Commitments	\$ 127,885	\$ 123,258	\$ 4,627	\$ \$

⁽¹⁾ Interest on variable rate debt is computed using rates in effect at December 31, 2009.

Financing Activities and Contractual Obligations continued

Insurance

We maintain general liability insurance with limits of \$300,000,000 per occurrence and all risk property and rental value insurance with limits of \$2.0 billion per occurrence, including coverage for terrorist acts, with sub-limits for certain perils such as floods. Our California properties have earthquake insurance with coverage of \$150,000,000 per occurrence, subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property, and a \$150,000,000 annual aggregate.

Penn Plaza Insurance Company, LLC (PPIC), our wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, acts as a re-insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage and as a direct insurer for coverage for acts of terrorism, including nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological (NBCR) acts, as defined by TRIPRA. Coverage for acts of terrorism (excluding NBCR acts) is fully reinsured by third party insurance companies and the Federal government with no exposure to PPIC. Our coverage for NBCR losses is up to \$2 billion per occurrence, for which PPIC is responsible for a deductible of \$3,200,000 and 15% of the balance of a covered loss and the Federal government is responsible for the remaining 85% of a covered loss. We are ultimately responsible for any loss borne by PPIC.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism. However, we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years.

Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties which are non-recourse to us, senior unsecured notes, exchangeable senior debentures, convertible senior debentures and revolving credit agreements contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs in the future. Further, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain it could adversely affect our ability to finance and/or refinance our properties and expand our portfolio.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Our mortgage loans are non-recourse to us. However, in certain cases we have provided guarantees or master leased tenant space. These guarantees and master leases terminate either upon the satisfaction of specified circumstances or repayment of the underlying loans. As of December 31, 2009, the aggregate dollar amount of these guarantees and master leases is approximately \$135,000,000.

At December 31, 2009, \$37,232,000 of letters of credit were outstanding under our \$0.965 billion revolving credit facility. Our credit facilities contain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum interest coverage and maximum debt to market capitalization ratios, and provide for higher interest rates in the event of a decline in our ratings below Baa3/BBB. Our credit facilities also contain customary conditions precedent to borrowing, including representations and warranties and also contain customary events of default that could give rise to accelerated repayment, including such items as failure to pay interest or principal.

Each of our properties has been subjected to varying degrees of environmental assessment at various times. The environmental assessments did not reveal any material environmental contamination. However, there can be no assurance that the identification of new areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, the discovery of additional sites, or changes in cleanup requirements would not result in significant costs to us.

We are committed to fund additional capital to certain of our partially owned entities aggregating approximately \$90,406,000. Of this amount, \$71,788,000 is committed to the India Property Fund and is pledged as collateral to its lender.

Litigation

We are from time to time involved in legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters, including the matters referred to below, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On January 8, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a complaint with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (USDC-NJ) claiming that we had no right to reallocate and therefore continue to collect the \$5,000,000 of annual rent from Stop & Shop pursuant to the Master Agreement and Guaranty, because of the expiration of the East Brunswick, Jersey City, Middletown, Union and Woodbridge leases to which the \$5,000,000 of additional rent was previously allocated. Stop & Shop asserted that a prior order of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York dated February 6, 2001, as modified on appeal to the District Court for the Southern District of New York on February 13, 2001, froze our right to reallocate which effectively terminated our right to collect the additional rent from Stop & Shop. On March 3, 2003, after we moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, Stop & Shop voluntarily withdrew its complaint. On March 26, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a new complaint in New York State Supreme Court, asserting substantially the same claims as in its USDC-NJ complaint. We removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In January 2005 that court remanded the action to the New York State Supreme Court. On February 14, 2005, we served an answer in which we asserted a counterclaim seeking a judgment for all the unpaid additional rent accruing through the date of the judgment and a declaration that Stop & Shop will continue to be liable for the additional rent as long as any of the leases subject to the Master Agreement and Guaranty remain in effect. On May 17, 2005, we filed a motion for summary judgment. On July 15, 2005, Stop & Shop opposed our motion and filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. On December 13, 2005, the Court issued its decision denying the motions for summary judgment. Both parties appealed the Court s decision and on December 14, 2006, the Appellate Court division issued a decision affirming the Court s decision. On January 16, 2007, we filed a motion for the reconsideration of one aspect of the Appellate Court s decision which was denied on March 13, 2007. Discovery is now complete. On October 19, 2009, Stop & Shop filed a motion for leave to amend its pleadings to assert new claims for relief, including a claim for damages in an unspecified amount, and an additional affirmative defense. The motion was argued and submitted for decision on December 18, 2009. The course of future proceedings will depend upon the outcome of Stop & Shop s motion, but we anticipate that a trial date will be set for some time in 2010. We intend to vigorously pursue our claims against Stop & Shop. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On May 24, 2007, we acquired a 70% controlling interest in 1290 Avenue of the Americas and the 555 California Street complex. Our 70% interest was acquired through the purchase of all of the shares of a group of foreign companies that own, through U.S. entities, the 1% sole general partnership interest and a 69% limited partnership interest in the partnerships that own the two properties. The remaining 30% limited partnership interest is owned by Donald J. Trump. In August 2005, Mr. Trump brought a lawsuit in the New York State Supreme Court against, among others, the general partners of the partnerships referred to above relating to a dispute over the sale of properties located on the former Penn Central rail yards between West 59th and 72nd Streets in Manhattan which were formerly owned by the partnerships. In decisions issued in 2006, 2007 and 2009, the New York State Supreme Court dismissed all of Mr. Trump s claims, and those decisions were affirmed by the Appellate Division. Mr. Trump cannot further appeal those decisions.

In July 2005, we acquired H Street Building Corporation (H Street) which has a subsidiary that owns, among other things, a 50% tenancy in common interest in land located in Arlington County, Virginia, known as "Pentagon Row," leased to two tenants. In April 2007, H Street acquired the remaining 50% interest in that fee. In April 2007, we received letters from those tenants, Street Retail, Inc. and Post Apartment Homes, L.P., claiming they had a right of first offer triggered by each of those transactions. On September 25, 2008, both tenants filed suit against us and the former owners. The claim alleges the right to purchase the fee interest, damages in excess of \$75,000,000 and punitive damages. We believe this claim is without merit and regardless of merit, in our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, this claim will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

101

Litigation 210

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Property rental income is our primary source of cash flow and is dependent upon the occupancy and rental rates of our properties. Other sources of liquidity to fund cash requirements include proceeds from debt financings, including mortgage loans, senior unsecured borrowings, and our revolving credit facilities; proceeds from the issuance of common and preferred equity; and asset sales. Our cash requirements include property operating expenses, capital improvements, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, distributions to common and preferred shareholders, as well as acquisition and development costs. Our cash and cash equivalents were \$535,479,000 at December 31, 2009, a \$991,374,000 decrease over the balance at December 31, 2008. This decrease was the result of the acquisition of our convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes during 2009, partially offset by cash flows from operating activities as discussed below.

Our consolidated outstanding debt was \$10,939,615,000 at December 31, 2009, a \$1,498,308,000 decrease over the balance at December 31, 2008. This decrease resulted primarily from the acquisition of our convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes during 2009. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, \$852,218,000 and \$358,468,000, respectively, was outstanding under our revolving credit facilities. During 2010 and 2011, \$538,458,000 and \$2,448,053,000 of our outstanding debt matures, respectively. We may refinance such debt or choose to repay all or a portion, using existing cash balances or our revolving credit facilities.

Our share of debt of unconsolidated subsidiaries was \$3,149,640,000 at December 31, 2009, a \$46,945,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2008.

Cash flows provided by operating activities of \$633,579,000 was comprised of (i) net income of \$128,450,000, (ii) \$620,523,000 of non-cash adjustments, including depreciation and amortization expense, non-cash impairment losses, the effect of straight-lining of rental income, equity in net income of partially owned entities and (iii) distributions of income from partially owned entities of \$30,473,000, partially offset by (iv) the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$145,867,000.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$242,201,000 was comprised of (i) development and redevelopment expenditures of \$465,205,000, (ii) additions to real estate of \$216,669,000, (iii) purchases of marketable equity securities of \$90,089,000, (iv) purchases of short-term investments of \$55,000,000, (v) investments in partially owned entities of \$38,266,000, partially offset by, (vi) proceeds from the sale of real estate (primarily 1999 K Street) of \$367,698,000, (vii) proceeds from restricted cash of \$111,788,000, (viii) proceeds from the sale of marketable securities of \$64,355,000, (ix) proceeds received from repayments on mezzanine loans receivable of \$47,397,000, (x) proceeds from maturing short-term investments of \$15,000,000 and (xi) distributions of capital from partially owned entities of \$16,790,000.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$1,382,752,000 was primarily comprised of (i) acquisition and retirement of convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes of \$2,221,204,000, (ii) repayment of borrowings of \$2,075,236,000, (iii) dividends paid on common shares of \$262,397,000, (iv) dividends paid on preferred shares of \$57,078,000, (v) distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$42,449,000, (vi) repurchase of shares related to stock compensation arrangements and related tax withholdings of \$32,203,000, (vii) redemption of redeemable noncontrolling interests of \$24,330,000, (viii) debt issuance and other costs of \$30,186,000, partially offset by, (ix) proceeds from borrowings of \$2,648,175,000 and (xi) proceeds from issuance of common shares of \$710,226,000.

Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures consist of expenditures to maintain assets, tenant improvements and leasing commissions. Recurring capital improvements include expenditures to maintain a property s competitive position within the market and tenant improvements and leasing commissions necessary to re-lease expiring leases or renew or extend existing leases. Non-recurring capital improvements include expenditures completed in the year of acquisition and the following two years that were planned at the time of acquisition as well as tenant improvements and leasing commissions for space that was vacant at the time of acquisition of a property. Our development and redevelopment expenditures include all hard and soft costs associated with the development or redevelopment of a property, including tenant improvements, leasing commissions and capitalized interest and operating costs until the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use.

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 continued

Below are the details of capital expenditures, leasing commissions and development and redevelopment expenditures and a reconciliation of total expenditures on an accrual basis to the cash expended in the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Amounts in thousands) Capital Expenditures (accrual basis):	Total	New York Office	Washingto DC Office	n, Retail	Merchandise Mart	Other
Expenditures to maintain assets	\$ 41,858	\$15,559	\$ 17,185	\$ 3,406 \$	5,708 \$	
Tenant improvements Leasing commissions	76,514 28,913	44,808 15,432	18,348 10,040	4,190 1,710	9,168 1,731	
Non-recurring capital expenditures	35,917	20,741		53		15,123
Total capital expenditures and leasing commissions (accrual basis) Adjustments to reconcile to cash basis: Expenditures in the	183,202	96,540	45,573	\$ 9,359 \$	16,607 \$	15,123
current year applicable to prior periods Expenditures to be made in future periods for the	138,590	67,903	60,208	4,293	5,224	962
current period Total capital	(75,397)	(40,516)	(21,627)	(5,244)	(5,900)	(2,110)
expenditures and leasing commissions (cash basis)	\$246,395	\$123,927	\$84,154	\$ 8,408	\$ 15,931 \$	13,975
Tenant improvements and leasing commissions:						
Per square foot per annum	\$ 2.65	\$5.45	\$ 2.10	\$ 0.82 \$	1.64 \$	
Percentage of initial rent	7.1%	10.5%	5.2%	3.5%	5.7%	

Development and Redevelopment Expenditures:

Expenditures:						
West End 25	\$ 64,865	\$	\$ 64,865	\$	\$	\$
Bergen Town Center	57,843			57,843		
Wasserman Venture	49,586					49,586
220 20th Street	39,256		39,256			
1999 K Street (sold in	31,874		31,874			
September 2009)	31,674		31,674			
North Bergen, New	25,764			25,764		
Jersey	23,704			23,704		
Manhattan Mall	21,459			21,459		
Poughkeepsie, New	20,280			20,280		
York	20,280			20,200		
Garfield, New Jersey	16,577			16,577		
1540 Broadway	15,544			15,544		
2101 L Street	12,923		12,923			
Beverly Connection	12,854			12,854		
40 East 66th Street	10,520					10,520
One Penn Plaza	9,839	9,839				
Other	76,021	11,790	22,849	28,438	6,409	6,535
	\$ 465,205	\$21,629	\$ 171,767	\$ 198,759	\$ 6,409	\$ 66,641

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2008

Cash and cash equivalents were \$1,526,853,000 at December 31, 2008, a \$372,258,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2007. This increase resulted from \$817,812,000 of net cash provided by operating activities and \$7,677,000 of net cash provided by financing activities, partially offset by \$453,231,000 of net cash used in investing activities.

Our consolidated outstanding debt was \$12,437,923,000 at December 31, 2008, a \$718,946,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2007. This increase resulted primarily from debt associated with property refinancings. As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$358,468,000 and \$405,656,000, respectively, was outstanding under our revolving credit facilities.

Our share of debt of unconsolidated subsidiaries was \$3,196,585,000 at December 31, 2008, a \$93,288,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2007.

Cash flows provided by operating activities of \$817,812,000 was comprised of (i) net income of \$411,445,000, (ii) \$401,571,000 of non-cash adjustments, including depreciation and amortization expense, non-cash impairment losses, the effect of straight-lining of rental income, equity in net income of partially owned entities, and (iii) distributions of income from partially owned entities of \$44,690,000, partially offset by (iv) the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$39,894,000.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$453,231,000 was primarily comprised of (i) development and redevelopment expenditures of \$598,688,000, (ii) additions to real estate of \$207,885,000, (iii) investments in partially owned entities of \$156,227,000, (iv) purchases of marketable equity securities of \$164,886,000, partially offset by, (v) proceeds from the sale of real estate (primarily Americold and Tysons Dulles Plaza) of \$390,468,000, (vi) distributions of capital from partially owned entities of \$218,367,000, (vii) proceeds received from repayments on mezzanine loans receivable of \$52,470,000 and (viii) proceeds from the sale of marketable securities of \$51,185,000.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$7,677,000 was primarily comprised of (i) proceeds from borrowings of \$1,721,974,000 and (ii) proceeds received from exercises of employee stock options of \$29,377,000, partially offset by, (iii) repayments of borrowings of \$993,665,000, (iv) dividends paid on common shares of \$561,981,000, (v) distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$85,419,000 and (vi) dividends paid on preferred shares of \$57,112,000.

Liquidity and Capital Resources continued

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2008 continued

Below are the details of capital expenditures, leasing commissions and development and redevelopment expenditures and a reconciliation of total expenditures on an accrual basis to the cash expended in the year ended December 31, 2008.

(Amounts in thousands) Capital Expenditures	Total	New York Office	Washingto DC Office	on,	Retail	Merchano Mart	dise	Other	
(accrual basis): Expenditures to maintain assets Tenant improvements Leasing commissions	\$ 50,137 57,573 29,642	\$23,380 23,433 16,037	\$ 10,341 17,223 6,385	\$	4,024 7,881 3,145	\$ 10,730 9,036 4,075	\$	1,662	
Non-recurring capital expenditures Total capital expenditures and leasing commissions (accrual basis)	70,860	28,773	20,888		4,109	11,146		5,944	
Adjustments to reconcile to cash basis: Expenditures in the current year applicable to prior	208,212	91,623	54,837		19,159	34,987		7,606	
periods Expenditures to be made in future periods for the current period	114,778 (78,614)	57,001 (33,571)	15,539 (22,076))	9,590 (15,135)	28,576 (7,729)		(103)
Total capital expenditures and leasing commissions (cash basis) Tenant improvements and	\$ 244,376	\$115,053	\$48,300	\$	13,614	\$ 55,834	\$	11,575	
leasing commissions: Per square foot per annum Percentage of initial rent	\$ 3.03 7.0%	\$5.35 7.5%	\$ 2.16 5.6%	\$	2.03 5.3%	\$ 2.63 9.4%	\$		
Development and Redevelopment Expenditures: Bergen Town Center Wasserman Venture	\$ 126,673 61,867	\$	\$	\$	126,673	\$	\$	61,867	

Manhattan Mall	51,474			51,474		
1999 K Street (sold in 2009)	45,742		45,742			
40 East 66th Street	41,827					41,827
220 20th Street	36,014		36,014			
220 Central Park South	30,533					30,533
West End 25	24,002		24,002			
478-486 Broadway	17,182			17,182		
Hotel Pennsylvania	15,591					15,591
2101 L Street	14,992		14,992			
Springfield Mall	12,948			12,948		
Garfield, New Jersey	12,775			12,775		
North Bergen, New Jersey	10,749			10,749		
Poughkeepsie, New York	10,404			10,404		
Green Acres Mall	3,914			3,914		
Other	82,001	25,959	27,106	20,226	8,710	
	\$ 598,688	\$25,959	\$ 147,856	\$ 266,345	\$ 8,710	\$ 149,818

Liquidity and Capital Resources continued

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2007

Cash and cash equivalents were \$1,154,595,000 at December 31, 2007, a \$1,078,722,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2006. This decrease resulted from \$3,067,704,000 of net cash used in investing activities, primarily for real estate acquisitions, partially offset by \$1,291,657,000 of net cash provided by financing activities and \$697,325,000 of net cash provided by operating activities.

Our consolidated outstanding debt was \$11,718,977,000 at December 31, 2007, a \$3,316,022,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2006. This increase resulted primarily from debt associated with asset acquisitions, property financings and refinancings and from the issuance of \$1.0 billion of senior unsecured convertible debentures during 2007. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, \$405,656,000 and \$0, respectively, was outstanding under our revolving credit facilities.

Our share of debt of unconsolidated subsidiaries was \$3,289,873,000 at December 31, 2007, a \$33,134,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2006.

Cash flows provided by operating activities of \$697,325,000 was comprised of (i) net income of \$607,833,000, (ii) adjustments for non-cash items of \$211,074,000, and (iii) distributions of income from partially owned entities of \$24,044,000, partially offset by, (iv) a net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$145,626,000. The adjustments for non-cash items were primarily comprised of (i) depreciation and amortization of \$545,885,000, (ii) a non-cash mezzanine loan loss accrual of \$57,000,000, (iii) net loss on early extinguishment of debt and write-off of unamortized financing costs of \$7,670,000, partially offset by (iv) net gains on derivatives of \$113,503,000 (primarily McDonald s), (v) equity in net income of partially owned entities, including Alexander s and Toys, of \$69,656,000, (vi) the effect of straight-lining of rental income of \$77,699,000, (vii) net gains on sale of real estate of \$64,981,000, (viii) net gains on dispositions of wholly-owned and partially owned assets other than real estate of \$39,493,000 and (ix) amortization of below market leases, net of above market leases of \$83,250,000.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$3,067,704,000 was primarily comprised of (i) acquisitions of real estate and other of \$2,849,709,000, (ii) development and redevelopment expenditures of \$358,748,000, (iii) investments in partially owned entities of \$271,423,000, (iv) investments in mezzanine loans receivable of \$217,081,000, (v) purchases of marketable securities of \$152,683,000, (vi) capital expenditures of \$166,319,000, partially offset by, (vii) proceeds from settlement of derivative positions of \$260,764,000, (viii) repayments received on mezzanine loans receivable of \$241,289,000, (ix) proceeds from the sale of real estate of \$297,234,000, (x) proceeds from the sale of marketable securities of \$112,779,000 and (xi) distributions of capital from partially owned entities of \$22,541,000.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$1,291,657,000 was primarily comprised of (i) proceeds from borrowings of \$2,954,497,000, partially offset by, (ii) repayments of borrowings of \$868,055,000, (iii) dividends paid on common shares of \$524,719,000, (iv) purchases of marketable securities in connection with the legal defeasance or mortgage notes payable of \$109,092,000, (v) distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$81,065,000 and (vi) dividends paid on preferred shares of \$57,236,000.

Liquidity and Capital Resources continued

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 continued

Below are the details of capital expenditures, leasing commissions and development and redevelopment expenditures and a reconciliation of total expenditures on an accrual basis to the cash expended in the year ended December 31, 2007.

				w York	DC	7	ngton,					-	chandise			
(Amounts in thousands)	Te	otal	Off	ïce	Oi	ffice	:	Re	eta	il	M	art	t		O	ther
Capital Expenditures																
(accrual basis):																
Expenditures to maintain																
assets	\$	46,549	\$	15,162		\$	15,725		\$	2,626		\$	10,625		\$	2,411
Tenant improvements		100,939		43,677			20,890			3,176			33,196			
Leasing commissions		43,163		28,626			7,591			2,773			4,173			
Non-recurring capital																
expenditures		10,974					6,717			1,280						2,977
Total capital expenditures																
and leasing commissions																
(accrual basis)		201,625		87,465			50,923			9,855			47,994			5,388
Adjustments to reconcile to	O															
cash basis:																
Expenditures in the current	t															
year applicable to prior																
periods		76,117		17,416			40,019			8,263			8,982			1,437
Expenditures to be made in	1															
future periods for the																
current period		(88,496)		(46,845)		(13,763)		(5,542)		(21,203)		(1,143)
Total capital expenditures																
and leasing commissions																
(cash basis)	\$	189,246	\$	58,036		\$	77,179		\$	12,576		\$	35,773		\$	5,682
Tenant improvements and																
leasing commissions:																
Per square foot per annum	. \$	2.91	\$	5.17		\$	1.72		\$	1.11		\$	3.15		\$	
Percentage of initial rent	Ψ	6.79	,	7.0	%	Ψ	4.4	%	Ψ	2.8	%		11.8	%		
1 3. 33 mage of minut rent		0.7 /		,.0	,0		,	70		2.0	70		11.0	/ (_	

Development and Redevelopment Expenditures:

Bergen Town Center	\$ 52,664	\$	\$	\$ 52,664	\$	\$
2101 L Street	46,664		46,664			
Wasserman Venture	43,260					43,260
Green Acres Mall	32,594			32,594		
Crystal Mall Two	29,552		29,552			
North Bergen, New Jersey	19,925			19,925		
40 East 66th Street	13,544					13,544
1999 K Street (sold in						
2009)	11,245		11,245			
Springfield Mall	6,055			6,055		
Other	103,245	11,728	30,515	27,124	693	33,185
	\$ 358,748	\$ 11,728	\$ 117,976	\$ 138,362	\$ 693	\$ 89,989

Funds From Operations (FFO)

FFO attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions was \$583,596,000, or \$3.36 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$813,064,000 or \$4.97 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2008. FFO attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions was \$20,000 or \$0.00 per diluted share for the three months ended December 31, 2009 compared to negative FFO of \$88,154,000, or \$0.57 per diluted share for the three months ended December 31, 2008. Details of certain items that affect comparability are discussed in the financial results summary of our Overview.

(Amounts in thousands except per share amounts)	_	r The Year ded Decemb	oer 3	31,		For The Three Months Ended December 31,						
Reconciliation of our net income (loss) to FFO	•		• •		• •			• • •				
(Negative FFO):	20	09	200	08	20	09		200)8			
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado	\$	106,169	\$	359,297	\$	(136,923)	\$	(212,680))		
Depreciation and amortization of real property		508,572		509,367		133,023			129,305			
Net gains on sale of real estate		(45,282)		(57,523)	(2,629)					
Proportionate share of adjustments to equity in net												
income of Toys to arrive at FFO:												
Depreciation and amortization of real property		65,358		66,435		15,527			15,533			
Net gains on sale of real estate		(164)		(719)				(555)		
Income tax effect of above adjustments		(22,819)		(23,223)	(5,435)		(5,242)		
Proportionate share of adjustments to equity in net												
income of												
partially owned entities, excluding Toys, to arrive												
at FFO:												
Depreciation and amortization of real property		75,200		49,513		22,692			13,735			
Net gains on sale of real estate		(1,188)		(8,759)	(3)		(528)		
Noncontrolling interests share of above												
adjustments		(45,344)		(49,683)	(11,963)		(13,451)		

FFO (Negative FFO) Preferred share dividends	640,502 (57,076)	844,705 (57,091)	14,289 (14,269)	(73,883 (14,271	-
FFO (Negative FFO) attributable to common	(37,070)	(37,071	,	(14,20)	,	(14,271	,
shareholders	583,426	787,614		20		(88,154)
Interest on 3.875% exchangeable senior debentures		25,261					
Convertible preferred dividends	170	189					
FFO (Negative FFO) attributable to common							
shareholders plus assumed conversions	\$ 583,596	\$ 813,064	\$	20		\$ (88,154)
Reconciliation of Weighted Average Shares:							
Weighted average common shares outstanding	171,595	153,900		179,832		154,590	
Effect of dilutive securities: Employee stock options and restricted share							
awards	1,908	4,219		2,627			
3.875% exchangeable senior debentures	,	5,559		,			
Convertible preferred shares	75	81					
Denominator for FFO (Negative FFO) per diluted share	173,578	163,759		182,459		154,590	
Silare	173,376	105,759		102,439		134,390	
FFO (Negative FFO) attributable to common							
conversions per diluted share	\$ 3.36	\$ 4.97	\$	0.00		\$ (0.57)
FFO (Negative FFO) attributable to common shareholders plus assumed	\$	\$	\$	·		\$ •)

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have exposure to fluctuations in market interest rates. Market interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control. Our exposure to a change in interest rates on our consolidated and non-consolidated debt (all of which arises out of non-trading activity) is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	2009		Effect of 1% Change	2008	
	December 31,	Weighted Average	In Base	December 31,	Weighted Average
	Balance	O	Rates	Balance	Interest Rate
Consolidated debt:					
Variable rate	\$2,657,972	1.67%	\$26,579	\$2,002,381	2.71%
Fixed rate	8,281,643	5.89%		10,435,542	5.76%
	\$10,939,615	4.86%	26,579	\$12,437,923	5.27%
Pro-rata share of debt of non-					
consolidated entities (non-recourse):					
Variable rate excluding Toys	\$331,980	2.87%	3,319	\$282,752	3.63%
Variable rate Toys	852,040	3.45%	8,520	819,512	3.68%
Fixed rate (including \$1,077,919 and					
\$1,175,310 of Toys debt in					
2009 and 2008)	1,965,620	7.16%		2,094,321	6.51%
	\$3,149,640	5.70%	11,839	\$3,196,585	5.53%
Redeemable noncontrolling interest					
share of above			(3,112)	
Total change in annual net income			\$35,306		
Per share-diluted			\$0.20		

We may utilize various financial instruments to mitigate the impact of interest rate fluctuations on our cash flows and earnings, including hedging strategies, depending on our analysis of the interest rate environment and the costs and risks of such strategies. As of December 31, 2009, variable rate debt with an aggregate principal amount of \$507,750,000 and a weighted average interest rate of 2.49% was subject to LIBOR caps. These caps are based on a notional amount of \$507,750,000 and cap LIBOR at a weighted average rate of 5.39%.

As of December 31, 2009, we have investments in mezzanine loans with an aggregate carrying amount of \$203,286,000 that are based on variable interest rates which partially mitigate our exposure to a change in interest rates on our variable rate debt.

Fair Value of Our Debt

The estimated fair value of our debt at December 31, 2009 was less than its aggregate carrying amount by approximately \$501,467,000 based on current market prices and discounted cash flows at the current interest rates at which we believe similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for the remaining term of such debt.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Page 111
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008	112
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007	113
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007	114
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007	117
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	119
110	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Shareholders and Board of Trustees

Vornado Realty Trust

New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Vornado Realty Trust (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Vornado Realty Trust at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, on January 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting for debt with conversion options and noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries and retrospectively adjusted all periods presented in the consolidated financial statements.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 23, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s

internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Parsippany, New Jersey

February 23, 2010

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts) ASSETS	Dec 200	ember 31, 9	Dec 200	eember 31, 8
Real estate, at cost:				
Land	\$	4,606,065	\$	4,598,111
Buildings and improvements		12,902,086		12,136,272
Development costs and construction in progress		313,310		966,676
Leasehold improvements and equipment		128,056		118,620
Total		17,949,517		17,819,679
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(2,494,441)		(2,167,403)
Real estate, net		15,455,076		15,652,276
Cash and cash equivalents		535,479		1,526,853
Short-term investments		40,000		
Restricted cash		293,950		375,888
Marketable securities		380,652		334,322
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$46,708 and				
\$32,834		157,325		201,566
Investments in partially owned entities, including Alexander s of \$193,174 a	nd			
\$137,305		799,832		790,154
Investment in Toys R Us		409,453		293,096
Mezzanine loans receivable, net of allowance of \$190,738 and \$46,700		203,286		472,539
Receivable arising from the straight-lining of rents, net of allowance of				
\$4,680 and \$5,773		681,526		592,432
Deferred leasing and financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of				
\$183,224 and \$168,714		311,825		304,125
Assets related to discontinued operations				172,818
Due from officers		13,150		13,185
Other assets		903,918		688,794
	\$	20,185,472	\$	21,418,048
LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS				
AND EQUITY				
Notes and mortgages payable	\$	8,445,766	\$	8,761,640
Convertible senior debentures		445,458		2,221,743
Senior unsecured notes		711,716		617,816
Exchangeable senior debentures		484,457		478,256
Revolving credit facility debt		852,218		358,468
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		475,242		515,607
Deferred credit		682,384		764,774
Deferred compensation plan		80,443		69,945
Deferred tax liabilities		17,842		19,895
Liabilities related to discontinued operations				73,747
Other liabilities		88,912		143,527
Total liabilities		12,284,438		14,025,418
Commitments and contingencies		. , .		. ,
Redeemable noncontrolling interests:				

Class A units 13,892,313 and 14,627,005 units outstanding		971,628	882,740
Series D cumulative redeemable preferred units 11,200,000 units outstanding	ng	280,000	280,000
Series B convertible preferred units 444,559 units outstanding in 2008			15,238
Total redeemable noncontrolling interests		1,251,628	1,177,978
Vornado shareholders equity:			
Preferred shares of beneficial interest: no par value per share; authorized			
110,000,000			
shares; issued and outstanding 33,952,324 and 33,954,124 shares		823,686	823,807
Common shares of beneficial interest: \$.04 par value per share; authorized,			
250,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 181,214,161 and 155,285,903			
shares		7,218	6,195
Additional capital		6,961,007	6,025,976
Earnings less than distributions		(1,577,591)	(1,047,340)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		28,449	(6,899)
Total Vornado shareholders equity		6,242,769	5,801,739
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries		406,637	412,913
Total equity		6,649,406	6,214,652
	\$	20,185,472 \$	21,418,048

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	Ye. 200	ar Ended Deco)9	embe 200		2007	2007		
REVENUES:								
Property rentals	\$	2,222,285	\$	2,207,399	\$	1,972,230		
Tenant expense reimbursements		361,982		357,986		323,075		
Fee and other income		158,311		127,301		109,938		
Total revenues		2,742,578		2,692,686		2,405,243		
EXPENSES:								
Operating		1,087,785		1,069,445		950,487		
Depreciation and amortization		539,503		536,820		440,224		
General and administrative		231,688		194,023		189,024		
Impairment and other losses		87,823		81,447		10,375		
Total expenses		1,946,799		1,881,735		1,590,110		
Operating income		795,779		810,951		815,133		
Income applicable to Alexander s		53,529		36,671		50,589		
Income (loss) applicable to Toys R Us		92,300		2,380		(14,337)	
(Loss) income from partially owned entities		(73,439)		(195,878)		31,891		
Interest and other investment (loss) income, net		(116,330)		(2,682)		226,425		
Interest and debt expense (including amortization of								
deferred financing		(624.202.)		(625.724.)		(500.004	`	
costs of \$17,691, \$17,507, and \$15,182)		(634,283)		(635,724)		(599,804)	
Net (loss) gain on early extinguishment of debt		(25,915)		9,820				
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets								
		5,641		7,757		39,493		
other than depreciable real estate Income before income taxes		97,282		33,295		549,390		
Income tax (expense) benefit		(20,737)		204,537		(9,179	`	
Income from continuing operations		76,545		237,832		540,211)	
Income from discontinued operations		51,905		173,613		67,622		
Net income		128,450		411,445		607,833		
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests,		120,430		711,773		007,033		
including unit distributions		(22,281)		(52,148)		(66,294)	
Net income attributable to Vornado		106,169		359,297		541,539	,	
Preferred share dividends		(57,076)		(57,091)		(57,177)	
NET INCOME attributable to common shareholders	\$	49,093	\$	302,206	\$	484,362	,	
	Ψ	.,,,,,,	Ψ	202,200	Ψ	.0.,002		
INCOME PER COMMON SHARE BASIC:								
Income from continuing operations, net	\$	0.00	\$	0.94	\$	2.78		
Income from discontinued operations, net		0.28		1.02		0.40		
Net income per common share	\$	0.28	\$	1.96	\$	3.18		
Weighted average shares		171,595		153,900		151,949		

Income from continuing operations, net	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.91	\$ 2.66
Income from discontinued operations, net	0.28	1.00	0.39
Net income per common share	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.91	\$ 3.05
Weighted average shares	173,503	158,119	158,558
See notes to consolidated financial statements.			

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

	Preferred Shares	Common Shares	Additional Capital	Earnings Less Than Distribution	Accumulated Other Comprehens Income as(Loss)		lling Total Equity
(Amounts in thousands) Balance, December							
31, 2006 Net Income Dividends paid on common		\$ 6,083	\$ 4,776,515	\$ (716,716 541,539)\$ 92,963	\$ 19,091 (3,494	\$ 5,006,596) 538,045
shares				(524,719)		(524,719)
Dividends paid on preferred shares Conversion of Series A preferred				(57,177)		(57,177)
shares to common shares Deferred compensation	(3,565) 4	3,561				
shares and options Common shares issued: Under employees		(17	(36,422)			(36,439)
share option plan Upon redemption of Class A Operating		30	34,617				34,647
Partnership units, at redemption value In connection with dividend		39	116,046				116,085
reinvestment plan Change in unrealized net gain or loss on securities		1	2,030				2,031
available-for-sale					(38,842))	(38,842)
Sale of securities available-for-sale					(36,563) 895)	(36,563) 895

Change in pension									
plans									
Adjustments to									
redeemable Class A									
Operating									
Partnership units	464,11	4							464,114
Equity component									
of \$1.4 billion									
convertible senior									
debentures	130,71	4							130,714
Acquisition of									
noncontrolling									
interests							398,386		398,386
Other	(63)	(104)	11,319		2,315		13,467
Balance, December \$ 825,095 \$ 6,140	¢ 5 401 °	112 ¢	(757 17	7 \ 0	20.772	Φ	416 200	Φ	6 011 240
31, 2007 \$ 823,093 \$ 6,140	\$ 3,491,	112 ф	(/3/,1/	1)\$	29,112	\$	416,298	\$	6,011,240
See notes to consolidated financial statements	. .								

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY - CONTINUED

	Prefer Share			Common Shares	dditional apital	Le	arnings ess Than estributions	O C In	ccumulated ther omprehens come Loss)	ive No	oncontroll terests	_	otal Equity
(Amounts in thousands) Balance,					•			`	,				1 0
December 31, 2007 Net Income Dividends paid on common	\$ 825	,095	5 \$	6 6,140	\$ 5,491,112	\$	(757,177 359,297)\$	29,772	\$	416,298 3,263	\$	6,011,240 362,560
shares Dividends paid on							(561,981)					(561,981)
preferred shares Conversion of Series A preferred							(57,091)					(57,091)
shares to common shares Deferred compensation shares	(1,3	312)	2	1,310								
and options Common shares issued: Under employees				1	11,410								11,411
share option plan Upon redemption of Class A Operating Partnership units, at				7	26,897		(30,345)					(3,441)
redemption value In connection with dividend				40	82,290								82,330
reinvestment plan Change in unrealized net gain or loss on securities				1	2,373								2,374
available-for-sale Sale of securities									(20,150)				(20,150)
available-for-sale									6,128 3,251				6,128 3,251

Change in pension

plans

Adjustments to

redeemable Class A

Operating

Partnership units 400,647 400,647

Conversion of

Series F-1

preferred units 4 9,996 10,000

Other 24 (59) (43) (25,900) (6,648) (32,626)

Balance,

December 31, 2008 \$ 823,807 \$ 6,195 \$ 6,025,976 \$ (1,047,340)\$ (6,899) \$ 412,913 \$ 6,214,652

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY - CONTINUED

	Preferred	Common	Additional	Earnings Less Than	Accumulate Other Comprehens Income		ı.
	Shares	Shares	Capital	Distributions	(Loss)	Interests T	otal Equity
(Amounts in thousands)							
Balance, December 31, 2008 Net Income Dividends paid on common		\$ 6,195	\$ 6,025,976	\$ (1,047,340 106,169)\$ (6,899)	\$ 412,913 \$ (2,839)	6,214,652 103,330
shares Dividends paid on		258	285,338	(547,993)		(262,397)
preferred shares Proceeds from the issuance of				(57,076)		(57,076)
common shares Conversion of Series A preferred shares to common		690	709,536				710,226
shares Deferred compensation shares	(89)	89				
and options Common shares issued: Under employees share		1	13,091				13,092
option plan Upon redemption of Class A Operating Partnership units, at		4	1,713	(31,355)		(29,638)
redemption value Change in unrealized net gain or loss on securities		70	90,885				90,955
available-for-sale					6,147 7,715		6,147 7,715

Sale of securities											
available-for-sale											
Our share of											
partially owned											
entities											
OCI adjustments							22,052				22,052
Adjustments to											
redeemable Class A											
Operating											
Partnership units				(167,049))						(167,049)
Voluntary surrender											
of equity awards											
on March 31, 2009				32,588							32,588
Allocation of cash											
paid to the equity											
component upon											
repurchase of											
convertible senior											
debentures				(30,159))						(30,159)
Other	(32)		(1,001))	4	(566)	(3,437)	(5,032)
Balance, December											
31, 2009	\$ 823,686	\$	7,218	\$ 6,961,007	\$	(1,577,591)\$	28,449	\$	406,637	\$	6,649,406

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLDIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008						2007				
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:											
Net income	\$	128,450		\$	411,445		\$	607,833			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided	•	,		_	,		7	,			
by operating activities:											
Depreciation and amortization, including amortization of											
debt issuance costs		559,053			577,338			545,885			
Mezzanine loan loss accrual (reversal)		190,738			(10,300)		57,000			
Straight lining of rental income		(98,355)		(91,060)		(77,699)		
Impairment and other losses		87,823			81,447			10,375			
Equity in income of partially owned entities, including		,			,			•			
Alexander s and Toys		(90,210)		(47,460)		(69,656)		
Amortization of below-market leases, net		(72,481)		(96,176)		(83,250)		
Net gains on sale of real estate		(45,284)		(57,523)		(64,981)		
Distributions of income from partially owned entities		30,473			44,690			24,044			
Loss (gain) on early extinguishment of debt and write-off											
of unamortized											
financing costs		25,915			(9,820)		7,670			
Impairment losses partially owned entities		17,820			203,919						
Net gain on dispositions of wholly owned and partially											
owned assets											
other than depreciable real estate		(5,641)		(7,757)		(39,493)		
Impairment loss marketable equity securities		3,361			76,35	2					
Reversal of H Street deferred tax liability					(222,174)					
Net gain on sale of Americold Realty Trust					(112,690)					
Net loss (gain) from derivative positions					33,740			(113,503)		
Other non-cash adjustments, including stock-based											
compensation		47,784			83,735			38,726			
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:											
Accounts receivable, net		15,383			(1,646)		(25,877)		
Prepaid assets		(90,519)		(12,449)		2,380			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(3,606)		(5,207)		(89,961)		
Other assets		(61,878)		(27,382)		(54,858)		
Other liabilities		(5,247)		6,790			22,690			
Net cash provided by operating activities		633,579			817,812			697,325			
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:											
Development costs and construction in progress		(465,205)		(598,688)		(358,748)		
Proceeds from sales of real estate		367,698			390,468			297,234			
Additions to real estate		(216,669)		(207,885)		(166,319)		
Purchases of marketable securities		(90,089)		,)		(152,683)		
Cash restricted, including mortgage escrows		111,788			12,004			11,652			
Proceeds from sales of, and return of investment in,											
marketable securities		64,355			51,185			112,779			

Purchases of short-term investments	(55,000)			
Proceeds received from repayment of mezzanine loans					
receivable	47,397		52,470		241,289
Investments in partially owned entities	(38,266)	(156,227)	(271,423)
Distributions of capital from partially owned entities	16,790		218,367		22,541
Proceeds from maturing short-term investments	15,000				
Acquisitions of real estate and other			(42,642)	(2,849,709)
Investments in mezzanine loans receivable			(7,397)	(217,081)
Proceeds received on settlement of derivatives					260,764
Repayment of officers loans					2,000
Net cash used in investing activities	(242,201)	(453,231)	(3,067,704)
See notes to consolidated financial statements.					

CONSOLDIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS- CONTINUED

(Amounts in thousands)	Yea 200	ar Ended D	ece	mbe 20(200	17
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:	200	9		200	J O	200)
		2 6 4 9 1 7 5			1 721 074		2.054.407
Proceeds from borrowings		2,648,175			1,721,974		2,954,497
Acquisition and retirement of convertible senior debentures							
and senior		(2.221.20	4.				
unsecured notes		(2,221,204)			(000 665)		(0.60.055)
Repayments of borrowings		(2,075,236	5)		(993,665)		(868,055)
Proceeds from issuance of common shares		710,226					/ / / - / - / - / - / - / - / - /
Dividends paid on common shares		(262,397)		(561,981)		(524,719)
Dividends paid on preferred shares		(57,076)		(57,112)		(57,236)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests		(42,451)		(85,419)		(81,065)
Repurchase of shares related to stock compensation							
arrangements and							
related tax withholdings		(32,203)		(31,198)		(43,396)
Redemption of redeemable noncontrolling interests		(24,330)				
Debt issuance and other costs		(30,186)		(14,299)		(14,360)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests		2,180					
Proceeds received from exercise of employee share options		1,750			29,377		35,083
Purchase of marketable securities in connection with the							
legal defeasance							
of mortgage notes payable							(109,092)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(1,382,752	2)		7,677		1,291,657
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents		(991,374			372,258		(1,078,722)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		1,526,853			1,154,595		2,233,317
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	535,479		\$	1,526,853	\$	1,154,595
	_	,		_	-,,	•	-, ,,-,-
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:							
Cash payments for interest (including capitalized interest of							
\$17,256, \$63,063, and \$53,648)	\$	648,829		\$	658,376	\$	653,811
Cash payments for income taxes	\$	21,775		\$	22,005	\$	36,489
cush payments for meome taxes	Ψ	21,773		Ψ	22,003	Ψ	30,107
Non Cash Transactions:							
Adjustments to reflect redeemable Class A operating							
partnership units at redemption value	\$	(167,049)	\$	400,647	\$	464,114
Dividends paid in common shares	Ψ	285,596	,	Ψ	400,047	Ψ	404,114
Conversion of redeemable Class A operating partnership		265,590					
units to common shares,							
·		00.055			92.220		116.005
at redemption value		90,955			82,330		116,085
Unit distributions paid in redeemable Class A Operating		22.076					
Partnership units		23,876			(20.150)		(20.042
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale		6,147			(20,150)		(38,842)
Financing assumed in acquisitions							1,405,654
							109,092

Marketable securities transferred in connection with the		
legal defeasance		
of mortgage notes payable		
Mortgage notes payable legally defeased		104,571
Operating Partnership units issued in connection with		
acquisitions		62,059
Increase in assets and liabilities resulting from the		
consolidation of		
investments previously accounted for on the equity method		
(Beverly		
Connection in November 2008 and H Street in April 2007):		
Real estate, net	197,600	342,764
Restricted cash	2,287	369
Other assets	3,393	11,648
Notes and mortgages payable	100,000	55,272
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,069	3,101
Deferred credit		2,407
Deferred tax liabilities		112,797
Other liabilities		71

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Business

Vornado Realty Trust (Vornado) is a fully integrated real estate investment trust (REIT) and conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership). Vornado is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 92.5% of the common limited partnership interest in, the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2009. All references to we, us, our, the Company and Vornado refer to Vornado Realty Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership.

On May 14, 2009, our Board of Trustees executed its long-planned management succession strategy and elected Michael D. Fascitelli, as our Chief Executive Officer, succeeding Steven Roth, who continues to serve as Chairman of the Board.

As of December 31, 2009, we own directly or indirectly:

Office Properties:

- (i) all or portions of 28 properties aggregating 16.2 million square feet in the New York City metropolitan area (primarily Manhattan);
- (ii) all or portions of 84 properties aggregating 18.6 million square feet in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia areas;
- (iii) a 70% controlling interest in 555 California Street, a three-building complex aggregating 1.8 million square feet in San Francisco s financial district;

Retail Properties:

(iv) 162 properties aggregating 22.6 million square feet, including 3.9 million square feet owned by tenants on land leased from us, primarily in Manhattan, the northeast states, California and Puerto Rico;

Merchandise Mart Properties:

(v) 8 properties aggregating 8.9 million square feet of showroom and office space, including the 3.5 million square foot Merchandise Mart in Chicago;

Toys R Us, Inc. (Toys):

(vi) a 32.7% interest in Toys which owns and/or operates 1,567 stores worldwide, including 851 stores in the United States and 716 stores internationally;

Other Real Estate Investments:

- (vii) 32.4% of the common stock of Alexander s, Inc. (NYSE: ALX), which has seven properties in the greater New York metropolitan area;
- (viii) the Hotel Pennsylvania in New York City;
- (ix) mezzanine loans on real estate; and
- (x) other real estate and investments, including marketable securities.

119

Retail Properties: 245

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Vornado Realty Trust and its majority-owned subsidiary, Vornado Realty L.P. All significant inter-company amounts have been eliminated. We account for unconsolidated partially owned entities on the equity method of accounting. Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. Certain prior year balances have been reclassified in order to conform to current year presentation.

On July 1, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) established the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) as the primary source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Although the establishment of the ASC did not change current GAAP, it did change the way we refer to GAAP throughout this document to reflect the updated referencing convention.

Impact of Retrospective Application of New Accounting Pronouncements

During 2009, we paid quarterly dividends to our common shareholders in a combination of cash and stock and retrospectively adjusted weighted average common shares outstanding in the computations of income per share to include the additional common shares resulting from these dividends in the earliest periods presented in each of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009 and our Current Report on Form 8-K, issued on October 13, 2009, in which we elected to recast our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 1) for the year ended December 31, 2008. On December 2, 2009, the FASB ratified the consensus reached in EITF 09-E, *Accounting for Distribution to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash (EITF 09-E)* as codified through Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-1 to ASC 505, *Equity*. EITF 09-E requires an entity to include the additional common shares resulting from the stock portion of these distributions prospectively in the periods following their issuance in all computations of income per share rather than retrospectively as we had previously done. As a result, we have adjusted our computations of income per share presented herein to exclude the additional shares resulting from these dividends in periods prior to their issuance. Below is a reconciliation of previously reported income per share to the amounts presented herein.

	For the	Year Ended D				
	As Repo	rted	EIT	F 09-E	As Ac	djusted
Income per common share basic:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.92	\$	0.02	\$	0.94
Net income		1.89		0.07		1.96
Income per common share diluted:						
Income from continuing operations		0.90		0.01		0.91
Net income		1.84		0.07		1.91
	For the	Year Ended D	ecembe	er 31, 2007		
	As Repo	rted	EIT	F 09-E	As Ac	djusted
Income per common share basic:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	2.70	\$	0.08	\$	2.78
Net income		3.07		0.11		3.18
Income per common share diluted:						
Income from continuing operations		2.59		0.07		2.66
Net income		2.95		0.10		3.05

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies - continued

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of ASC 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, which was required to be applied retrospectively. The adoption affected the accounting for our convertible and exchangeable senior debentures by requiring the initial proceeds from their sale to be allocated between a debt component and an equity component in a manner that results in interest expense on the debt component at our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate on the date of issue. The initial debt components of our \$1.4 billion Convertible Senior Debentures, \$1 billion Convertible Senior Debentures and \$500 million Exchangeable Senior Debentures were \$1,241,286,000, \$926,361,000 and \$457,699,000, respectively, based on the fair value of similar nonconvertible instruments issued at that time. The aggregate initial debt discount of \$216,655,000 after original issuance costs allocated to the equity component was recorded in additional capital in our consolidated statement of changes in equity. The discount is amortized using the effective interest method over the period the debt is expected to remain outstanding (i.e., the earliest date the holders may require us to repurchase the debentures), which resulted in \$39,546,000 and \$30,418,000 of additional interest expense in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In December 2007, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, *Consolidation*, which requires a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary to be reported as equity and the amount of consolidated net income specifically attributable to the noncontrolling interest to be identified in the consolidated financial statements. It also calls for consistency in the manner of reporting changes in the parent sownership interest and requires fair value measurement of any noncontrolling equity investment retained in a deconsolidation. The amended guidance became effective on January 1, 2009 and resulted in (i) the reclassification of minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries, a component of permanent equity on our consolidated balance sheets, (ii) the reclassification of minority interest expense to net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, on our consolidated statements of income, and (iii) additional disclosures, including a consolidated statement of changes in equity in quarterly reporting periods.

In December 2007, the FASB issued an update to ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, which applies to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. It also broadens the fair value measurement and recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and interests transferred as a result of business combinations; and acquisition related costs will generally be expensed rather than included as part of the basis of the acquisition. The amended guidance also expands required disclosures to improve the ability to evaluate the nature and financial effects of business combinations. The amended guidance became effective for all transactions entered into on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements because there have been no acquisitions during 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued an update to ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, which requires enhanced disclosures related to derivative instruments and hedging activities, including disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and the impact of

derivative instruments and related hedged items on an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. It also provided a new two-step model to be applied in determining whether a financial instrument or an embedded feature is indexed to an issuer s own stock. The amended guidance became effective on January 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued an update to ASC 260, *Earnings Per Share*, which requires companies to treat unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents as participating securities and include such securities in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method as described in ASC 260. The amended guidance became effective on January 1, 2009 and required all prior period earnings per share data presented, to be adjusted retroactively. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not have a material effect on our computation of income per share.

In April 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the guidance for other than temporary impairments (OTTI) of investments in debt securities, which changes the presentation of OTTI in financial statements. Under this guidance, if an OTTI debt security is intended to be sold or required to be sold prior to the recovery of its carrying amount, the full amount of the impairment loss is charged to earnings. Otherwise, losses on debt securities must be separated into two categories, the portion which is considered credit loss, which is charged to earnings, and the portion due to other factors, which is charged to other comprehensive income (loss), a component of balance sheet equity. When an unrealized loss on a fixed maturity security is not considered OTTI, the unrealized loss continues to be charged to other comprehensive income (loss) and not to earnings. The adoption of this guidance on April 1, 2009 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies - continued

In June 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, *Consolidation*, which modifies the existing quantitative guidance used in determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE) by requiring entities to qualitatively assess whether an enterprise is a primary beneficiary, based on whether the entity has (i) power over the significant activities of the VIE, and (ii) an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Significant Accounting Policies

Real Estate: Real estate is carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Betterments, major renewals and certain costs directly related to the improvement and leasing of real estate are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. For redevelopment of existing operating properties, the net book value of the existing property under redevelopment plus the cost for the construction and improvements incurred in connection with the redevelopment are capitalized to the extent the capitalized costs of the property do not exceed the estimated fair value of the redeveloped property when complete. If the cost of the redeveloped property, including the undepreciated net book value of the property carried forward, exceeds the estimated fair value of redeveloped property, the excess is charged to expense. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives which range from 7 to 40 years. Tenant allowances are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lives of the related leases, which approximate the useful lives of the assets. Additions to real estate include interest expense capitalized during construction of \$17,256,000 and \$63,063,000, for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired assets (including land, buildings and improvements, identified intangibles such as acquired above and below-market leases and acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships) and acquired liabilities and we allocate purchase price based on these assessments. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions.

Our properties, including any related intangible assets, are individually reviewed for impairment each quarter, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. An

impairment exists when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the aggregate projected future cash flows over the anticipated holding period on an undiscounted basis. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the property s carrying amount over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on our current plans, intended holding periods and available market information at the time the analyses are prepared. If our estimates of the projected future cash flows, anticipated holding periods, or market conditions change, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is subjective and is based, in part, on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results. Plans to hold properties over longer periods decrease the likelihood of recording impairment losses. The table below summarizes non-cash impairment and other losses recognized in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

(Amounts in thousands)	Fo	,				
	200	09	200	8	200)7
Undeveloped land	\$	38,347	\$	12,500	\$	
Real estate development related		28,820		40,668		
Condominium units held for sale (see page 125)		13,667		23,625		
Other real estate assets		6,989		1,645		
Cost of real estate acquisitions not consummated				3,009		10,375
	\$	87,823	\$	81,447	\$	10,375

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies continued

Identified Intangibles: We record acquired intangible assets (including acquired above-market leases, tenant relationships and acquired in-place leases) and acquired intangible liabilities (including below market leases) at their estimated fair value separate and apart from goodwill. We amortize identified intangibles that have finite lives over the period they are expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the property or business acquired. Intangible assets that are subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of an intangible asset, including related real estate, if appropriate, is not recoverable and its carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of identified intangible assets, a component of other assets on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$442,510,000 and \$522,719,000, respectively. In addition, the carrying amounts of identified intangible liabilities, a component of deferred credit on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$633,492,000 and \$719,822,000, respectively.

Partially Owned Entities: In determining whether we have a controlling interest in a partially owned entity and the requirement to consolidate the accounts of that entity, we consider factors such as ownership interest, board representation, management representation, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners/members as well as whether the entity is a variable interest entity in which we have power over significant activities of the entity and the obligation to absorb a majority of the entity s expected losses, if they occur, or receive a majority of the expected residual returns, if they occur, or both. We have concluded that we do not control a partially owned entity if the entity is not considered a variable interest entity and the approval of all of the partners/members is contractually required with respect to major decisions, such as operating and capital budgets, the sale, exchange or other disposition of real property, the hiring of a chief executive officer, the commencement, compromise or settlement of any lawsuit, legal proceeding or arbitration or the placement of new or additional financing secured by assets of the venture. This is the case with respect to our 50% interests in Monmouth Mall, MartParc Wells, MartParc Orleans, 968 Third Avenue, West 57th Street properties and 825 Seventh Avenue. We account for investments on the equity method when the requirements for consolidation are not met, and we have significant influence over the operations of the investee. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost and subsequently adjusted for our share of net income or loss and cash contributions and distributions made during the year. Investments that do not qualify for consolidation or equity method accounting are accounted for on the cost method.

Our investments in partially owned entities are reviewed for impairment each quarter, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of our investments may not be recoverable. The ultimate realization of our investments in partially owned entities is dependent on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment and market conditions. We will record an impairment loss if we determine that a decline in the value of an investment is other-than-temporary. The table below summarizes non-cash impairment losses recognized on investments in partially owned entities in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
(Amounts in thousands)	2009	2008	2008				
Investment in Lexington Realty Trust	\$	\$	107,882	\$			
Other	17,820		96,037				
	\$ 17,820	\$	203,919	\$			

Mezzanine Loans Receivable: We invest in mezzanine loans to entities which have significant real estate assets. These investments, which are subordinate to the mortgage loans secured by the real property, are generally secured by pledges of the equity interests of the entities owning the underlying real estate. We record these investments at the stated principal amount net of any unamortized discount or premium. We accrete or amortize any discounts or premiums over the life of the related loan receivable utilizing the effective interest method, or straight-line method if the result is not materially different.

We evaluate the collectibility of both interest and principal of each of our loans each quarter, if circumstances warrant, to determine whether they are impaired. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the investment to the estimated fair value of the loan or, as a practical expedient, to the value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Interest on impaired loans is recognized when received in cash. In the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2007, we recorded loss accruals aggregating \$190,738,000 and \$57,000,000, respectively. In 2008, upon sale of a sub-participation in a loan, we reversed \$10,300,000 of the \$57,000,000 loss accrual recognized in 2007. Loss accruals are based on our continuing review of these loans and while management believes it uses the best information available to establish these allowances, future adjustments may become necessary if there are changes in economic conditions or specific circumstances.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies continued

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents are held at major commercial banks which may at times exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limit. To date, we have not experienced any losses on our invested cash.

Short-term Investments: Short-term investments consist of certificates of deposit placed through an account registry service (CDARS) with original maturities of 91 to 180 days. These investments are FDIC insured and classified as available-for-sale.

Restricted Cash: Restricted cash consists of security deposits, cash restricted in connection with our deferred compensation plan and cash escrowed under loan agreements for debt service, real estate taxes, property insurance and capital improvements.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: We periodically evaluate the collectibility of amounts due from tenants and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments under the lease agreements. We also maintain an allowance for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents. This receivable arises from earnings recognized in excess of amounts currently due under the lease agreements. Management exercises judgment in establishing these allowances and considers payment history and current credit status in developing these estimates. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had \$46,708,000 and \$32,834,000, respectively, in allowances for doubtful accounts. In addition, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had \$4,680,000 and \$5,773,000, respectively, in allowances for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents.

Deferred Charges: Direct financing costs are deferred and amortized over the terms of the related agreements as a component of interest expense. Direct costs related to successful leasing activities are capitalized and amortized on a straight line basis over the lives of the related leases. All other deferred charges are amortized on a straight line basis, which approximates the effective interest rate method, in accordance with the terms of the agreements to which they relate.

Revenue Recognition: We have the following revenue sources and revenue recognition policies:

- Base Rent income arising from tenant leases. These rents are recognized over the non-cancelable term of the related leases on a straight-line basis which includes the effects of rent steps and rent abatements under the leases. We commence rental revenue recognition when the tenant takes possession of the leased space and the leased space is substantially ready for its intended use. In addition, in circumstances in which we provide a tenant improvement allowance for improvements that are owned by the tenant, we recognize the allowance as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.
- Percentage Rent income arising from retail tenant leases that is contingent upon the sales of the tenant exceeding a defined threshold. These rents are recognized only after the contingency has been removed (i.e., sales thresholds have been achieved).
- Hotel Revenue income arising from the operation of the Hotel Pennsylvania which consists of rooms revenue, food and beverage revenue, and banquet revenue. Income is recognized when rooms are occupied. Food and beverage and banquet revenue is recognized when the services have been rendered.
- Trade Shows Revenue income arising from the operation of trade shows, including rentals of booths. This revenue is recognized when the trade shows have occurred.
- Expense Reimbursements revenue arising from tenant leases which provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate taxes of the respective property. This revenue is accrued in the same periods as the expenses are incurred.
- Management, Leasing and Other Fees income arising from contractual agreements with third parties or with partially owned entities. This revenue is recognized as the related services are performed under the respective agreements.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies continued

Condominium Units Held For Sale: Condominium units held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or expected net sales proceeds. As of December 31, 2009, condominiums held for sale, which are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet, aggregate \$187,050,000 and consist of substantially completed units at our 40 East 66th Street property in Manhattan, The Bryant in Boston and Granite Park in Pasadena. Revenue from individual condominium unit sales are recognized upon closing of the sale (the completed contract method), as all conditions for full profit recognition have been met at that time. We use the relative sales value method to allocate costs. Net gains on sales of condominiums units are included in net gains on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets other than depreciable real estate on our consolidated statements of income. During 2009 and 2008, we recognized non-cash impairment losses related to certain of these condominiums aggregating \$13,667,000 and \$23,625,000, respectively, based on our assessments of the expected net sales proceeds associated with these condominium projects. These losses are included in impairment and other losses on our consolidated statements of income.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities: ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, as amended, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, our derivative instruments consisted of interest rate caps which did not have a material affect on our consolidated financial statements. As required by ASC 815, we record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (loss) (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

Income Per Share: Basic income per share is computed based on weighted average shares outstanding. Diluted income per share considers the effect of all potentially dilutive share equivalents, including outstanding employee stock options, restricted shares and convertible or redeemable securities.

Stock-Based Compensation: Stock-based compensation consists of awards to certain employees and officers and consists of stock options, restricted stock, restricted Operating Partnership units and out-performance plan awards. We account for all stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies continued

Income Taxes: We operate in a manner intended to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Under those sections, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income as a dividend to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. We distribute to shareholders 100% of taxable income and therefore, no provision for Federal income taxes is required. Dividend distributions for the year ended December 31, 2009, were characterized, for Federal income tax purposes, as 63.9% ordinary income, 0.9% long-term capital gain and 35.2% return of capital. Dividend distributions for the year ended December 31, 2008 were characterized, for Federal income tax purposes, as 70.8% ordinary income and 29.2% return of capital. Dividend distributions for the year ended December 31, 2007 were characterized, for Federal income tax purposes, as 61.6% ordinary income and 38.4% long-term capital gain.

We have elected to treat certain consolidated subsidiaries, and may in the future elect to treat newly formed subsidiaries, as taxable REIT subsidiaries pursuant to an amendment to the Internal Revenue Code that became effective January 1, 2001. Taxable REIT subsidiaries may participate in non-real estate related activities and/or perform non-customary services for tenants and are subject to Federal and State income tax at regular corporate tax rates. Our taxable REIT subsidiaries had a combined current income tax liability of approximately \$21,481,000 and \$20,837,000 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and have immaterial differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities.

In connection with purchase accounting for H Street, in July 2005 and April 2007 we recorded an aggregate of \$222,174,000 of deferred tax liabilities representing the differences between the tax basis and the book basis of the acquired assets and liabilities multiplied by the effective tax rate. We were required to record these deferred tax liabilities because H Street and its partially owned entities were operated as C Corporations at the time they were acquired. As of January 16, 2008, we had completed all of the actions necessary to enable these entities to elect REIT status effective for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2008. Consequently, in the first quarter of 2008, we reversed the deferred tax liabilities and recognized an income tax benefit of \$222,174,000 in our consolidated statement of income.

The following table reconciles net income attributable to common shareholders to estimated taxable income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

(Amounts in thousands)	200	09	200	08	200	07
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$	49,093	\$	302,206	\$	484,362
Book to tax differences (unaudited):						
Depreciation and amortization		247,023		233,426		145,131
Mezzanine loans receivable		171,380		(51,893))	51,682
Straight-line rent adjustments		(83,959))	(82,901)	(70,450)
Earnings of partially owned entities		(82,382))	(50,855))	12,093
Stock options		(32,643))	(71,995))	(88,752)
Sale of real estate		3,923		3,687		(57,386)
Reversal of deferred tax liability				(202,267))	
Derivatives				43,218		131,711
Other, net		81,936		171,763		13,256
Estimated taxable income	\$	354,371	\$	294,389	\$	621,647

The net basis of our assets and liabilities for tax reporting purposes is approximately \$3.1 billion lower than the amount reported in our consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities

Toys

As of December 31, 2009, we own 32.7% of Toys. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys fourth quarter net income accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. We account for our investment in Toys under the equity method and because Toys fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest January 31, we record our 32.7% share of Toys net income or loss on a one-quarter lag basis. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying amount of our investment in Toys does not differ materially from our share of the equity in net assets of Toys on a purchase accounting basis.

During 2009, we recognized \$13,946,000 for our share of income from the reversal of previously recognized deferred financing cost amortization expense, which we initially recorded as a reduction of the basis of our investment in Toys. During 2008, in connection with an audit of Toys purchase accounting basis financial statements for its fiscal years 2006 and 2007, it was determined that the purchase accounting basis income tax expense was understated. Accordingly, we recognized \$14,900,000 of income tax expense for our share of this non-cash charge. This non-cash charge had no effect on cash actually paid for income taxes or Toys previously issued Recap basis consolidated financial statements.

Below is a summary of Toys latest available financial information presented on a purchase accounting basis:

(Amounts in thousands)

Balance Sheet:	As of	As of October 31, 2009		November 1, 2008
Assets	\$	12,589,000	\$	12,410,000
Liabilities		11,198,000		11,393,000
Noncontrolling interests		112,000		88,000
Toys R Us, Inc. equity		1,279,000		929,000

For the Twelve Months Ended

Income Statement: **October 31, 2009 November 1, 2008 November 3, 2007** Total revenue \$ 13,172,000 \$ 14,090,000 \$ 13,646,000

Net income (loss) 216,000 (13,000 attributable to Toys) (65,000)

Alexander s, Inc. (NYSE: ALX) (Alexander s)

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we owned 32.4% and 32.5%, respectively, of the outstanding common shares of Alexander s. We manage, lease and develop Alexander s properties pursuant to the agreements described below which expire in March of each year and are automatically renewable. At December 31, 2009 the market value (fair value pursuant to ASC 820) of our investment in Alexander s, based on Alexander s December 31, 2009 closing share price of \$304.42, was \$503,531,000, or \$310,357,000 in excess of the carrying amount on our consolidated balance sheet.

As of December 31, 2009, the carrying amount of our investment in Alexander's excluding amounts owed to us, exceeds our share of the equity in the net assets of Alexander's by approximately \$61,261,000. The majority of this basis difference resulted from the excess of our purchase price for the Alexander's common stock acquired over the book value of Alexander's net assets. Substantially all of this basis difference was allocated, based on our estimates of the fair values of Alexander's assets and liabilities, to real estate (land and buildings). We are amortizing the basis difference related to the buildings into earnings as additional depreciation expense over their estimated useful lives. This depreciation is not material to our share of equity in Alexander's net income or loss. The basis difference related to the land will be recognized upon disposition of our investment.

127

Toys 261

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - contin

Management and Development Agreements

We receive an annual fee for managing Alexander s and all of its properties equal to the sum of (i) \$3,000,000, (ii) 3% of the gross income from the Kings Plaza Regional Shopping Center, (iii) \$0.50 per square foot of the tenant-occupied office and retail space at 731 Lexington Avenue and (iv) \$241,000, escalating at 3% per annum, for managing the common area of 731 Lexington Avenue.

In addition, we are entitled to a development fee of 6% of development costs, as defined, with a minimum guaranteed payment of \$750,000 per annum. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized \$2,710,000, \$4,101,000 and \$4,482,000, respectively, of development fee income.

Leasing Agreements

We provide Alexander s with leasing services for a fee of 3% of rent for the first ten years of a lease term, 2% of rent for the eleventh through twentieth year of a lease term and 1% of rent for the twenty-first through thirtieth year of a lease term, subject to the payment of rents by Alexander s tenants. In the event third-party real estate brokers are used, our fee increases by 1% and we are responsible for the fees to the third-parties. We are also entitled to a commission upon the sale of any of Alexander s assets equal to 3% of gross proceeds, as defined, for asset sales less than \$50,000,000, or 1% of gross proceeds, as defined, for asset sales of \$50,000,000 or more. The total of these amounts is payable to us in annual installments in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 with interest on the unpaid balance at one-year LIBOR plus 1.0% (3.02% at December 31, 2009).

Other Agreements

Building Maintenance Services (BMS), our wholly-owned subsidiary, supervises the cleaning, engineering and security services at Alexander s 731 Lexington Avenue and Kings Plaza properties for an annual fee of the costs for such services plus 6%. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized \$2,083,000, \$2,083,000 and \$3,016,000 of income, respectively, under these agreements.

Below is a summary of Alexander s latest available financial information:

(Amounts i	in	thousands)	
------------	----	------------	--

Balance Sheet:	As of 1	December 31, 2009	As of December 31, 2008			
Assets	\$	1,704,000	\$	1,604,000		
Liabilities		1,389,000		1,423,000		
Noncontrolling interests		2,000		2,000		
Equity		313,000		179,000		

For the Year Ended

Income Statement:	Decembe	r 31, 2009 December 31, 2008 Decem			ember 31, 2007	
Total revenue	\$	224,000	\$	211,000	\$	208,000
Net income attributable to		133,000		76,000		
Alexander s						114,000

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

Lexington Realty Trust (Lexington) (NYSE: LXP)

Prior to October 28, 2008, we owned 8,149,592 limited partnership units of Lexington Master Limited Partnership which were exchangeable on a one-for-one basis into Lexington common shares, or a 7.7% limited partnership interest. On October 28, 2008, we acquired 8,000,000 Lexington common shares for \$5.60 per share, or \$44,800,000. The purchase price consisted of \$22,400,000 in cash and a \$22,400,000 margin loan recourse only to the 8,000,000 shares acquired. In addition, we exchanged our existing limited partnership units in Lexington MLP for 8,149,592 Lexington common shares. As of December 31, 2009, we own 18,468,969 Lexington common shares, or approximately 15.2% of Lexington s common equity. We account for our investment in Lexington on the equity method because we believe we have the ability to exercise significant influence over Lexington s operating and financial policies, based on, among other factors, our representation on Lexington s Board of Trustees and the level of our ownership in Lexington compared to that of other shareholders. We record our pro rata share of Lexington s net income or loss on a one-quarter lag basis because we file our consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K and 10-Q prior to the time that Lexington files its financial statements.

Based on Lexington's December 31, 2009 closing share price of \$6.08, the market value (fair value pursuant to ASC 820) of our investment in Lexington was \$112,291,000, or \$57,185,000 in excess of the carrying amount on our consolidated balance sheet. During 2009, we recognized \$19,121,000 for our share of impairment losses recorded by Lexington related to its investment in Concord Debt Holdings LLC. During 2008, we concluded that our investment in Lexington was other-than-temporarily impaired and recognized an aggregate non-cash impairment loss of \$107,882,000. Our conclusion was based on the deterioration in the capital and financial markets and our inability to forecast a recovery in the near-term. These losses are included as a component of (loss) income from partially owned entities, on our consolidated statements of income.

As of December 31, 2009, the carrying amount of our investment in Lexington was less than our share of the equity in the net assets of Lexington by approximately \$87,579,000. This basis difference resulted primarily from the aggregate of \$107,882,000 of non-cash impairment losses recognized during 2008. The remainder of the basis difference related to purchase accounting for our acquisition of an additional 8,000,000 common shares of Lexington in October 2008, of which the majority relates to our estimate of the fair values of Lexington s real estate (land and buildings) as compared to their carrying amounts in Lexington s consolidated financial statements. We are amortizing the basis difference related to the buildings into earnings as additional depreciation expense over their estimated useful lives. This depreciation is not material to our share of equity in Lexington s net income or loss. The basis difference attributable to the land will be recognized upon disposition of our investment.

Below is a summary of Lexington s latest available financial information:

(Amounts in thousands)

	AS OI		AS OI			
Balance Sheet:	Septe	ember 30, 2009	September 30, 2008			
Assets	\$	3,702,000	\$	4,294,000		
Liabilities		2,344,000		2,745,000		
Noncontrolling interests		94,000		625,000		
Shareholders equity		1,264,000		924,000		

For the Twelve Months Ended

Income Statement:	September 30, 2009		Septem	ber 30, 2008	September 30, 2007		
Total revenue	\$	399,000	\$	447,000	\$	387,000	
Net (loss) income attributable		(177,000)		49,000			
to Lexington						62,000	

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

GMH

In June 2008, pursuant to the sale of GMH s military housing division and the merger of its student housing division with American Campus Communities, Inc. (ACC) (NYSE: ACC), we received an aggregate of \$105,180,000, consisting of \$82,142,000 in cash and 753,126 shares of ACC common stock valued at \$23,038,000 based on ACC s then closing share price of \$30.59, in exchange for our entire interest in GMH. We subsequently sold all of the ACC common shares. The above transactions resulted in a net gain of \$2,038,000 which is included as a component of net gains on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets other than depreciable real estate in our consolidated statement of income.

Real Estate Joint Ventures Development Costs

During 2008, we recognized non-cash losses aggregating \$96,037,000, for the write-off of our share of certain partially owned entities—development costs, as these projects were either deferred or abandoned. These losses include \$37,000,000 for our share of costs in connection with the redevelopment of the Downtown Crossing property in Boston and \$23,000,000 for our share of costs in connection with the abandonment of the—arena move /Moynihan East portions of the Farley project. These losses are included as a component of—(loss) income from partially owned entities,—on our consolidated statement of income.

Condensed Combined Financial Information of Partially Owned Entities

The following is a summary of combined financial information for all of our partially owned entities, including Toys, Alexander s and Lexington, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

(Amounts in thousands)		Decemb	er 31,						
Balance Sheet:		2009			2008				
Assets		\$	23,188,000		\$	23	3,694,000		
Liabilities	18,164,000				18,787,00				
Noncontrolling interests	227,000				739,000				
Equity			4,797,000			4,	168,000		
	For the Ye	ars Ended De	cember 31,						
Income Statement:	20	009	2008		2007				
Total revenue	\$	14,337,000	\$	15,313,000		\$	14,821,000		
Net loss 130		(51,000)		(54,000)		(144,000)	

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities continued

Investments in partially owned entities as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and income recognized from these investments for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

	Percentage Ownership as of	As	of December	31,	
Investments:	December 31,				
(Amounts in thousands)	2009	200)9	200	08
Toys (see page 127)	32.7%	\$	409,453	\$	293,096
Alexander s (see page 127)	32.4%	\$	193,174	\$	137,305
Partially owned office buildings (1)	(1)		158,444		157,468
India real estate ventures	4%-36.5%		93,322		88,858
Lexington (see page 129)	15.2%		55,106		80,748
Other equity method investments (2)	(2)		299,786		325,775
		\$	799,832	\$	790,154

Our Share of Net Income (Loss):	For	the Years E	inded 1	Dece	mber 31,				
(Amounts in thousands)	2009)	200	8		200′	7		
Toys:									
32.7% share of:									
Equity in net income (loss) before income taxes	\$	58,416	(3)	\$	53,867		\$	(31,855)
Income tax benefit (expense)		13,185			(44,752)		10,898	
Equity in net income (loss)		71,601			9,115			(20,957)
Non-cash purchase price accounting adjustments		13,946			(14,900)			
Interest and other income		6,753			8,165			6,620	
	\$	92,300		\$	2,380		\$	(14,337)
Alexander s:					·				
32.4% share in 2009, 32.5% in 2008 and 32.8% in	1								
2007 of:									
Equity in net income before reversal of stock									
appreciation rights compensation expense	\$	31,659	(4)	\$	17,484		\$	23,044	
Reversal of stock appreciation rights									
compensation expense		11,105			6,583			14,280	
Equity in net income		42,764			24,067			37,324	
Management and leasing fees		8,055			8,503			8,783	
Development fees		2,710			4,101			4,482	
•	\$	53,529		\$	36,671		\$	50,589	
		ŕ			•			,	
Lexington (see page 129)	\$	(25,665)(5)	\$	(105,630)(6)	\$	2,211	
India Real Estate Ventures - 4% - 36.5% share			•			•			
of equity in net losses		(1,636)		(3,336)			

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K							
GMH (see page 130) Other (46,138) ⁽⁷⁾ (86,912) ⁽⁸⁾ 23,217 \$ (73,439) \$ (195,878) \$ 31,891							
(1) Includes interests in 330 Madison Avenue (25%), 825 Seventh Avenue (50%), Fairfax Square (20%), Kaempfe equity interests in three office buildings (2.5% to 5.0%), Rosslyn Plaza (46%) and West 57th Street properties (50%).							
(2) Includes interests in Monmouth Mall, Verde Realty Operating Partnership (Verde) 85 th Avenue Associates and redevelopment ventures including Harlem Park and Farley.							
(3) Includes \$10,200 for our share of income from a litigation settlement.							
(4) Includes \$13,668 for our share of an income tax benefit.							
(5) 2009 includes \$19,121 for our share of impairment losses recorded by Lexington on its investment in Concord Debt Holdings LLC.							
(6) 2008 includes \$107,882 of impairment losses on our investment in Lexington.							
(7) Includes \$17,820 of impairment losses, substantially all of which is on our investment in Verde, and \$7,650 of expense for our share of the Downtown Crossing, Boston lease termination payment.							
(8) Includes \$96,037 of non-cash charges for the write-off of our share of certain partially owned entities development costs, including \$37,000 for Downtown Crossing, Boston and \$23,000 for the arena move /Moynihan East portions of the Farley project.							
131							

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

Below is a summary of the debt of partially owned entities as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, none of which is recourse to us.

	100% of Partially O	Oebt at
(Amounts in thousands)	31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Toys (32.7% interest) (as of October 31, 2009 and November 1, 2008, respectively): 10.75% senior unsecured notes, due 2017 (Face value \$950,000) ⁽¹⁾ \$1.3 billion senior credit facility ⁽¹⁾	\$925,931	\$ 1,300,000
\$2.0 billion credit facility, due 2012, LIBOR plus 1.00% 4.25% ²⁾ Mortgage loan, due 2010, LIBOR plus 1.30% (1.55% at December 31, 2009) (3)	418,777 800,000	367,000 800,000
\$804 million secured term loan facility, due 2012, LIBOR plus 4.25% (4.48% at December 31, 2009) Senior U.K. real estate facility, due 2013, with interest at 5.02%	797,911 578,982	797,000 568,000
7.625% bonds, due 2011 (Face value \$500,000) 7.875% senior notes, due 2013 (Face value \$400,000)	490,613 381,293	486,000 377,000
7.375% senior notes, due 2018 (Face value \$400,000) 4.51% Spanish real estate facility, due 2013 \$181 million unsecured term loan facility, due 2013, LIBOR plus 5.00%	338,989 191,436	335,000 167,000
(5.23% at December 31, 2009) Japan bank loans, due 2011 2014, 1.20% 2.85%	180,456 172,902	180,000 158,000
Japan borrowings, due 2010 2011 (weighted average rate of 0.92% at December 31, 2009) European and Australian asset-based revolving credit facility, due 2012, LIBOR/EURIBOR plus 4.00% (4.52% at December 31, 2009)	168,720 102,760	289,000
6.84% Junior U.K. real estate facility, due 2013 4.51% French real estate facility, due 2013	101,861 92,353	101,000 81,000
8.750% debentures, due 2021 (Face value \$22,000) Other	21,022 136,206	21,000 73,000
Alexander s (32.4% interest): 731 Lexington Avenue mortgage note payable collateralized by the office space,	5,900,212	6,100,000
due in February 2014, with interest at 5.33% (prepayable without penalty after December 2013) 731 Lexington Avenue mortgage note payable, collateralized by the retail space,	362,989	373,637
due in July 2015, with interest at 4.93% (prepayable without penalty after December 2013) Rego Park construction loan payable, due in December 2010, LIBOR plus 1.20% (1.48% at December 31, 2009)	320,000 266,411	320,000 181,695
(1.40% at December 31, 2009)	183,319	199,537

Kings Plaza Regional Shopping Center mortgage note payable, due in June 2011,		
with interest at 7.46% (prepayable without penalty after December 2010)		
Rego Park mortgage note payable, due in March 2012 (prepayable without penalty) (4)	78,246	78,386
Paramus mortgage note payable, due in October 2011, with interest at 5.92%		
(prepayable without penalty)	68,000	68,000
	1,278,965	1,221,255
Lexington (15.2% interest) (as of September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively)		
Mortgage loans collateralized by the trust s real estate, due from 2010 to 2037, with a weighted		
average interest rate of 5.63% at September 30, 2009 (various prepayment terms)	2,132,253	2,486,370

(1) On July 9, 2009, Toys issued \$950 million aggregate principal amount of 10.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2017. The proceeds from the issuance, along with existing cash, were used to repay the outstanding balance under its

\$1.3 billion senior credit facility, which was subsequently terminated.

- (3) This debt was refinanced with the proceeds of a \$725 million 8.50% senior secured note offering due 2017.
- (4) On March 10, 2009, the \$78,246 outstanding balance of the Rego Park I mortgage loan, which was scheduled to mature in June 2009, was repaid and simultaneously refinanced in the same amount. The new loan bears interest at 75 basis points, is secured by the property and is 100% cash collateralized. The proceeds of the new loan were placed in a non-interest bearing restricted mortgage escrow account.

⁽²⁾ On June 24, 2009, Toys extended this credit facility, which was to expire in July 2010, to May 2012. The borrowing capacity under the amended facility will remain at \$2.0 billion through the original maturity date in July 2010 and will continue at \$1.5 billion thereafter. The interest rate is LIBOR plus 3.20%, which may vary based on availability, through July 2010 and LIBOR plus 4.00%, subject to usage, thereafter.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

3. Investments in Partially Owned Entities continued

(Amounts in thousands) Partially owned office buildings:	100% of Partially Entities December 31, 2009	
Kaempfer Properties (2.5% and 5.0% interests in two partnerships) mortgage notes payable,		
collateralized by the partnerships real estate, due 2011, with a weighted		
average interest rate of 5.83% at December 31, 2009 (various prepayment terms)	\$141,547	\$143,000
100 Van Ness, San Francisco office complex (9% interest) up to \$132 million construction loan payable, due in July 2013, LIBOR plus 2.75% (2.98% at December 31, 2009) with an interest rate floor of		
6.50% and interest rate cap of 7.00%	85,249	85,249
330 Madison Avenue (25% interest) \$150,000 mortgage note payable, due in June 2015, LIBOR		
plus 1.50% (1.78% at December 31, 2009)	150,000	70,000
Fairfax Square (20% interest) mortgage note payable, due in December 2014, with interest at 7.00%	70.500	(2.015
(prepayable without penalty after July 2014)	72,500	62,815
Rosslyn Plaza (46% interest) mortgage note payable, due in December 2011, LIBOR plus 1.0%	56 690	56,680
(1.24% at December 31, 2009) West 57th Street (50% interest) mortgage note payable with interest at 4.94% (1)	56,680 29,000	29,000
825 Seventh Avenue (50% interest) mortgage note payable, due in October 2014,	29,000	29,000
with interest at 8.07% (prepayable without penalty after April 2014)	20,773	21,426
India Real Estate Ventures:	20,773	21,420
TCG Urban Infrastructure Holdings (25% interest) mortgage notes payable, collateralized by the		
entity s real estate, due from 2010 to 2022, with a weighted average interest rate of 13.52% at		
December 31, 2009 (various prepayment terms)	178,553	148,792
India Property Fund L.P. (36.5% interest) \$120 million secured revolving credit facility, due in	170,555	110,772
March 2010, LIBOR plus 5.00% (5.23% at December 31, 2009)	77,000	90,500
Waterfront Associates, LLC (2.5% interest) construction and land loan up to \$250 million payable,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,
due in September 2011 with a six month extension option, LIBOR plus 2.00% - 3.50%		
(2.48% at December 31, 2009)	183,742	57,600
Verde Realty Operating Partnership (8.5% interest) mortgage notes payable,		
collateralized by the partnerships real estate, due from 2010 to 2025, with a weighted average		
interest rate of 5.89% at December 31, 2009 (various prepayment terms)	607,089	559,840
Green Courte Real Estate Partners, LLC (8.3% interest) (as of September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008,		
respectively) mortgage notes payable, collateralized by the partnerships real estate, due from 2009 to		
2017, with a weighted average interest rate of 5.24% at December 31, 2009 (various prepayment		
terms)	304,481	307,098
Monmouth Mall (50% interest) mortgage note payable, due in September 2015, with interest		
at 5.44% (prepayable without penalty after July 2015)	165,000	-
	132,570	132,128

San Jose, California Ground-up Development (45% interest) construction loan, due in March 2010,
\$100 million fixed at 3.30%, balance at LIBOR plus 2.54% (2.79% at December 31, 2009)
Wells/Kinzie Garage (50% interest) mortgage note payable, due in December 2013, with interest at 6.87%
Orleans Hubbard Garage (50% interest) mortgage note payable, due in December 2013, with interest at
6.87%
10,101 10,200
Other
425,717 468,559

Based on our ownership interest in the partially owned entities above, our pro rata share of the debt of these partially owned entities was \$3,149,640,000 and \$3,196,585,000 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

⁽¹⁾ On February 19, 2010, this loan was refinanced in the amount of \$23,200 for a three-year term with interest fixed at 4.94%.

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

4. Marketable Securities

We classify equity securities that we intend to buy and sell on a short-term basis as trading securities; debt securities and mandatorily redeemable preferred stock investments that we have the intent and ability to hold to maturity as held-to-maturity securities; and debt and equity securities we intend to hold for an indefinite period of time as available-for-sale securities. Trading securities are presented at fair value at the end of each reporting period, with any unrealized gains or losses included in earnings; held-to-maturity securities are presented at amortized cost at the end of each reporting period and unrealized gains and losses are not recognized; and available-for-sale marketable equity securities are presented at fair value at the end of each reporting period, with any unrealized gains or losses included as a separate component of equity (i.e., as an element of other comprehensive income). Realized gains and losses on debt and equity securities are recognized in earnings upon the sale of the securities and are recorded based on the weighted average cost of such securities.

We evaluate our portfolio of marketable securities for impairment each reporting period. For each of the securities in our portfolio with unrealized losses, we review the underlying cause of the decline in value and the estimated recovery period, as well as the severity and duration of the decline. In our evaluation, we consider our ability and intent to hold these investments for a reasonable period of time sufficient for us to recover our cost basis. We also evaluate the near-term prospects for each of these investments in relation to the severity and duration of the decline.

During 2009 and 2008, we concluded that certain of our investments in marketable securities were other-than-temporarily impaired and recognized an aggregate of \$3,361,000 and \$76,352,000, respectively, of non-cash impairment losses. These charges are included as a component of interest and other investment (loss) income, net on our consolidated statements of income. Our conclusions were based on the severity and duration of the decline in the market value of these securities and our inability to forecast a recovery in the near term. No impairment losses were recognized in the year ended December 31, 2007.

The carrying amount of marketable securities on consolidated balance sheets and their corresponding fair values at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	As of December 31, 2009			As	of December	31, 200	8	
	Ca	rrying	Fai	r	Ca	rrying	Fa	ir
(Amounts in thousands)	An	nount	Val	ue	An	nount	Va	lue
Marketable equity securities	\$	79,925	\$	79,925	\$	118,438	\$	118,438
Debt securities held-to-maturity		300,727		319,393		215,884		164,728

380,652 \$ 399,318 \$ 334,322

At December 31, 2009, aggregate unrealized gains and losses were \$13,026,000 and \$1,223,000, respectively. At December 31, 2008, aggregate unrealized gains and losses were \$164,000 and \$2,225,000, respectively.

\$

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we sold certain of our investments in marketable securities for an aggregate of \$64,355,000, \$51,185,000, and \$112,779,000 in cash, respectively. In connection therewith, we recognized \$3,834,000, \$2,028,000 and \$39,493,000, respectively, of net gains from the sale of such securities, which are included as a component of net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets other than depreciable real estate on our consolidated statements of income.

134

283,166

NOTES TO CONSOLDIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

5. Mezzanine Loans Receivable

The following is a summary of our investments in mezzanine loans as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)		Interest Rate as of December 31,		rying Amoun	it as of		ember 31,	
Mezzanine Loans Receivable:	Maturity	2009	2009)		200	8	
Equinox (1)	02/13	14.00%	\$	97,968		\$	85,796	
Tharaldson Lodging Companies (2)	04/10	4.47%		74,701			76,341	
Riley HoldCo Corp. (3)	02/15	10.00%		74,437			74,381	
280 Park Avenue (4)	06/16	10.25%		73,750			73,750	
Charles Square Hotel, Cambridge								
(5)	(5)	(5)					41,796	
Other, net	7/13-8/15	5.86%-8.40%		73,168			167,175	
				394,024			519,239	
Valuation allowance (6)				(190,738)		(46,700)
			\$	203,286		\$	472,539	

- (1) On January 28, 2010, Equinox pre-paid the entire balance of this loan plus accrued interest. We received \$99,314, including accrued interest, for our 50% interest in the loan which we acquired in 2006 for \$57,500.
- On June 16, 2006, we acquired an 81.5% interest in a \$95,968 mezzanine loan to Tharaldson Lodging Companies for \$78,166 in cash. The loan is secured by a 107 hotel property portfolio with brands including Fairfield Inn, Residence Inn, Comfort Inn and Courtyard by Marriott. The loan is subordinate to \$671,778 of debt and is senior to approximately \$192,000 of other debt and equity. The loan provides for a 0.75% placement fee and bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.25% (4.47% at December 31, 2009). The borrower has a one-year extension option.
- (3) In 2005, we made a \$135,000 loan to Riley HoldCo Corp., consisting of a \$60,000 mezzanine loan and a \$75,000 fixed rate unsecured loan. During 2006, we were repaid the \$60,000 balance of the mezzanine loan.
- (4) On June 30, 2006, we made a \$73,750 mezzanine loan secured by the equity interests in 280 Park Avenue, a 1.2 million square foot office building, located between 48th and 49th Streets in Manhattan. The loan bears interest at

10.25% and matures in June 2016. The loan is subordinate to \$1.036 billion of other debt and is senior to approximately \$260,000 of equity and interest reserves.

- (5) On June 1, 2009, this loan, which was scheduled to mature in September 2009, was repaid.
- (6) Represents loan loss accruals on certain mezzanine loans based on our estimate of the net realizable value of each loan. Our estimates are based on the present value of expected cash flows, discounted at each loan s effective interest rate, or if a loan is collateralized, based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, adjusted for estimated costs to sell. The excess of the carrying amount over the net realizable value of a loan is recognized as a reduction of interest and other investment (loss) income, net in our consolidated statement of income.

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

6. Identified Intangible Assets

The following summarizes our identified intangible assets (primarily acquired above-market leases) and intangible liabilities (primarily acquired below-market leases) as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

(Amounts in thousands) Identified intangible assets (included in other assets):	 nce as of mber 31,		December 31, 2008		
Gross amount	\$ 755,467		\$	780,476	
Accumulated amortization	(312,957)		(257,757)
Net	\$ 442,510		\$	522,719	
Identified intangible liabilities (included in deferred credit):					
Gross amount	\$ 942,968		\$	998,179	
Accumulated amortization	(309,476)		(278,357)
Net	\$ 633,492		\$	719,822	

Amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of acquired above-market leases resulted in an increase to rental income of \$72,481,000, \$96,176,000 and \$83,274,000 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Estimated annual amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of acquired above-market leases for each of the five succeeding years is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
2010	\$ 62,740
2011	58,697
2012	54,404
2013	46,471
2014	40,512

Amortization of all other identified intangible assets (a component of depreciation and amortization expense) was \$64,529,000, \$86,388,000 and \$45,654,000 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated annual amortization of all other identified intangible assets, including acquired in-place leases, customer

relationships and third party contracts for each of the five succeeding years is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
2010	\$ 54,069
2011	51,775
2012	46,446
2013	38,957
2014	20.149

We are a tenant under ground leases for certain properties. Amortization of these acquired below-market leases resulted in an increase to rent expense of \$1,831,000, \$2,654,000 and \$1,565,000 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Estimated annual amortization of these below market leases for each of the five succeeding years is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
2010	\$ 2,157
2011	2,157
2012	2,157
2013	2,157
2014	2,157

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

7. Debt

The following is a summary of our debt:

(Amounts in thousands)			Balance at	
		Interest Rate		
		at		
	Maturity	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,
Notes and mortgages payable:	(1)	2009	2009	2008
Fixed rate:				
New York Office:				
1290 Avenue of the Americas	01/13	5.97%	\$ 434,643	\$ 444,667
350 Park Avenue	01/12	5.48%	430,000	430,000
770 Broadway	03/16	5.65%	353,000	353,000
888 Seventh Avenue	01/16	5.71%	318,554	318,554
Two Penn Plaza	02/11	4.97%	282,492	287,386
909 Third Avenue	04/15	5.64%	210,660	214,074
Eleven Penn Plaza	12/11	5.20%	203,198	206,877
Washington, DC Office:				
Skyline Place	02/17	5.74%	678,000	678,000
Warner Building	05/16	6.26%	292,700	292,700
River House Apartments	04/15	5.43%	195,546	195,546
1215 Clark Street, 200 12th Street				
and 251 18th Street	01/25	7.09%	113,267	115,440
Bowen Building	06/16	6.14%	115,022	115,022
Reston Executive I, II and III	01/13	5.57%	93,000	93,000
1101 17th, 1140 Connecticut, 173			•	,
M and 1150 17th Street	08/10	6.74%	85,910	87,721
1550 and 1750 Crystal Drive	11/14	7.08%	81,822	83,912
Universal Buildings (2)	04/14	6.33%	106,630	59,728
1235 Clark Street	07/12	6.75%	53,252	54,128
2231 Crystal Drive	08/13	7.08%	48,533	50,394
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue	06/12	7.26%	45,877	46,570
241 18 th Street	10/10	6.82%	45,609	46,532
2011 Crystal Drive (3)	08/17	7.30%	82,178	38,338
1225 Clark Street	08/13	7.08%	28,925	30,145
1800, 1851 and 1901 South Bell	20, 10			20,210
Street	12/11			
Succi	14/11			