

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarter ended June 30, 2012

OR
.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from [] to []

Commission file number 1-9876

Weingarten Realty Investors
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TEXAS 74-1464203
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2600 Citadel Plaza Drive
P.O. Box 924133
Houston, Texas 77292-4133
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(713) 866-6000
(Registrant's telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal
year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES " NO ý

As of July 31, 2012, there were 121,259,414 common shares of beneficial interest of Weingarten Realty Investors, \$.03 par value, outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PART I. | Financial Information: | Page Number |
|----------|---|-------------|
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited):</u> | |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>5</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>7</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>30</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>43</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>43</u> |
| PART II. | Other Information: | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>43</u> |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>43</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>43</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>44</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>44</u> |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>44</u> |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>44</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>45</u> |
| | <u>Exhibit Index</u> | <u>46</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I-FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Rentals, net | \$ 123,005 | \$ 117,843 | \$ 241,724 | \$ 232,511 |
| Other | 2,615 | 5,482 | 5,330 | 8,020 |
| Total | 125,620 | 123,325 | 247,054 | 240,531 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,332 | 33,457 | 68,431 | 66,154 |
| Operating | 24,315 | 23,646 | 47,103 | 44,925 |
| Real estate taxes, net | 14,744 | 13,736 | 28,801 | 27,914 |
| Impairment loss | 4,293 | 18,891 | 11,145 | 19,661 |
| General and administrative | 6,378 | 6,600 | 14,684 | 13,136 |
| Total | 84,062 | 96,330 | 170,164 | 171,790 |
| Operating Income | 41,558 | 26,995 | 76,890 | 68,741 |
| Interest Expense, net | (29,311) | (37,036) | (60,740) | (73,649) |
| Interest and Other Income, net | 582 | 1,423 | 2,968 | 3,478 |
| Gain on Sale of Real Estate Joint Venture and Partnership Interests | — | — | 5,562 | — |
| Equity in (Losses) Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | (15,695) | 3,579 | (11,620) | 6,976 |
| Benefit for Income Taxes | 220 | 190 | 242 | 506 |
| (Loss) Income from Continuing Operations | (2,646) | (4,849) | 13,302 | 6,052 |
| Operating Income from Discontinued Operations | 4,059 | 7,652 | 6,675 | 12,879 |
| Gain on Sale of Property from Discontinued Operations | 31,264 | — | 34,898 | — |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | 35,323 | 7,652 | 41,573 | 12,879 |
| Gain on Sale of Property | 84 | 136 | 524 | 1,196 |
| Net Income | 32,761 | 2,939 | 55,399 | 20,127 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | (1,342) | (1,236) | (2,783) | (2,328) |
| Net Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests | 31,419 | 1,703 | 52,616 | 17,799 |
| Dividends on Preferred Shares | (8,869) | (8,869) | (17,738) | (17,738) |
| Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Common Shareholders | \$ 22,550 | \$ (7,166) | \$ 34,878 | \$ 61 |
| Earnings Per Common Share - Basic: | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.11) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.11 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.06) | \$ 0.29 | \$ — |
| Earnings Per Common Share - Diluted: | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.11) |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.11 | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$0.19 | \$(0.06 |) \$0.29 | \$— | |
| Comprehensive Income: | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$32,761 | \$2,939 | \$55,399 | \$20,127 | |
| Net unrealized loss on derivatives | (148 |) (401 |) (132 |) (290 |) |
| Amortization of loss on derivatives | 662 | 619 | 1,321 | 1,238 | |
| Comprehensive Income | 33,275 | 3,157 | 56,588 | 21,075 | |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | (1,342 |) (1,236 |) (2,783 |) (2,328 |) |
| Comprehensive Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests | \$31,933 | \$1,921 | \$53,805 | \$18,747 | |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

Table of ContentsWEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Property | \$4,345,891 | \$4,688,526 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | (1,000,138 |) (1,059,531 |
| Property Held for Sale, net | 63,747 | 73,241 |
| Property, net * | 3,409,500 | 3,702,236 |
| Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | 317,781 | 341,608 |
| Total | 3,727,281 | 4,043,844 |
| Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships | 89,363 | 149,204 |
| Unamortized Debt and Lease Costs, net | 113,578 | 115,191 |
| Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9,777 in 2012 and \$11,301 in 2011) * | 71,267 | 86,530 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents * | 15,097 | 13,642 |
| Restricted Deposits and Mortgage Escrows | 33,211 | 11,144 |
| Other, net | 168,356 | 168,671 |
| Total Assets | \$4,218,153 | \$4,588,226 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Debt, net * | \$2,194,685 | \$2,531,837 |
| Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses | 114,660 | 124,888 |
| Other, net | 111,539 | 107,919 |
| Total Liabilities | 2,420,884 | 2,764,644 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | — | — |
| Equity: | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest - par value, \$.03 per share; shares authorized: 10,000 | | |
| 6.75% Series D cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 100 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011; liquidation preference \$75,000 | 3 | 3 |
| 6.95% Series E cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 29 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011; liquidation preference \$72,500 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.5% Series F cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 140 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011; liquidation preference \$350,000 | 4 | 4 |
| Common Shares of Beneficial Interest - par value, \$.03 per share; shares authorized: 275,000; shares issued and outstanding: 121,249 in 2012 and 120,844 in 2011 | 3,653 | 3,641 |
| Additional Paid-In Capital | 1,993,351 | 1,983,978 |
| Net Income Less Than Accumulated Dividends | (339,904 |) (304,504 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | (26,554 |) (27,743 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 1,630,554 | 1,655,380 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | 166,715 | 168,202 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Total Equity | 1,797,269 | 1,823,582 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$4,218,153 | \$4,588,226 |
| * Consolidated Variable Interest Entities' Assets and Liabilities included in the above balances (See Note 17): | | |
| Property, net | \$227,094 | \$230,159 |
| Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable, net | 7,071 | 8,564 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 9,360 | 11,382 |
| Debt, net | 277,880 | 279,301 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

| | Six Months Ended | | |
|--|------------------|----------|---|
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net Income | \$55,399 | \$20,127 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 73,359 | 78,562 | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount | 316 | 2,079 | |
| Impairment loss | 15,074 | 22,550 | |
| Equity in losses (earnings) of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | 11,620 | (6,976) |) |
| Gain on acquisition | — | (4,559) |) |
| Gain on sale of property | (35,422) | (1,196) |) |
| Gain on sale of real estate joint venture and partnership interests | (5,562) | — | |
| Distributions of income from real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | 1,562 | 1,500 | |
| Changes in accrued rent and accounts receivable, net | 10,064 | 17,541 | |
| Changes in other assets, net | (15,193) | (14,692) |) |
| Changes in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities, net | (9,458) | (16,142) |) |
| Other, net | 7,686 | 5,687 | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 109,445 | 104,481 | |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Acquisition of real estate and land | (116,960) | (45,293) |) |
| Development and capital improvements | (45,585) | (36,799) |) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and real estate equity investments, net | 419,370 | 43,013 | |
| Change in restricted deposits and mortgage escrows | (22,010) | (21,795) |) |
| Notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships and other receivables: | | | |
| Advances | (4,865) | (2,251) |) |
| Collections | 73,563 | 4,375 | |
| Real estate joint ventures and partnerships: | | | |
| Investments | (7,129) | (12,626) |) |
| Distributions of capital | 7,568 | 8,373 | |
| Proceeds from tax increment revenue bonds | — | 16,545 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 303,952 | (46,458) |) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of: | | | |
| Debt | — | 15,750 | |
| Common shares of beneficial interest, net | 3,212 | 3,650 | |
| Principal payments of debt | (384,116) | (87,303) |) |
| Changes in unsecured revolving credit facilities | 62,500 | 97,850 | |
| Common and preferred dividends paid | (86,704) | (82,833) |) |
| Debt issuance costs paid | (1,685) | (356) |) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (7,333) | (7,259) |) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | 2,123 | 3,717 | |
| Other, net | 61 | (724) |) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (411,942) | (57,508) |) |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,455 | 515 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at January 1 | 13,642 | 23,859 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at June 30 | \$15,097 | \$24,374 |
| Interest paid during the period (net of amount capitalized of \$1,594 and \$654, respectively) | \$61,959 | \$70,863 |
| Income taxes paid during the period | \$1,548 | \$1,578 |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. | | |

5

Table of ContentsWEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest | Common Shares of Beneficial Interest | Additional Paid-In Capital | Net Income Less Than Accumulated Dividends | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Noncontrolling Interests | Total |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2011 | \$8 | \$3,630 | \$1,969,905 | \$(151,780) | \$ (21,774) | \$ 180,268 | \$1,980,257 |
| Net income | | | | 17,799 | | 2,328 | 20,127 |
| Shares issued under benefit plans | | 10 | 7,516 | | | | 7,526 |
| Dividends declared – common shares (1) | | | | (66,407) | | | (66,407) |
| Dividends declared – preferred shares (2) | | | | (16,426) | | | (16,426) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | (7,259) | (7,259) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | | | | | | 3,717 | 3,717 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 948 | | 948 |
| Other, net | | | 2,864 | (1,312) | | (2,449) | (897) |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | \$8 | \$3,640 | \$1,980,285 | \$(218,126) | \$ (20,826) | \$ 176,605 | \$1,921,586 |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$8 | \$3,641 | \$1,983,978 | \$(304,504) | \$ (27,743) | \$ 168,202 | \$1,823,582 |
| Net income | | | | 52,616 | | 2,783 | 55,399 |
| Shares issued under benefit plans | | 12 | 8,264 | | | | 8,276 |
| Dividends declared – common shares (1) | | | | (70,278) | | | (70,278) |
| Dividends declared – preferred shares (2) | | | | (16,426) | | | (16,426) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | (7,333) | (7,333) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | | | | | | 2,123 | 2,123 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 1,189 | | 1,189 |
| Other, net | | | 1,109 | (1,312) | | 940 | 737 |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$8 | \$3,653 | \$1,993,351 | \$(339,904) | \$ (26,554) | \$ 166,715 | \$1,797,269 |

(1) Common dividend per share was \$0.58 and \$0.55 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(2) Series D, E and F preferred dividend per share was \$25.31, \$86.88 and \$81.25, respectively, for both the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business

Weingarten Realty Investors is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) organized under the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping centers and other properties we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

We operate a portfolio of rental properties, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers, that totals approximately 63.8 million square feet. We have a diversified tenant base with our largest tenant comprising only 3.3% of total rental revenues during the first six months of 2012.

We currently operate, and intend to operate in the future, as a REIT.

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our subsidiaries, certain partially owned real estate joint ventures or partnerships and variable interest entities (“VIEs”) which meet the guidelines for consolidation. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report are unaudited; however, amounts presented in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 are derived from our audited financial statements at that date. In our opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements have been included. Such adjustments consisted of normal recurring items. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by Form 10-Q and certain information included in our annual financial statements and notes has been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). Such statements require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts on our consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates. We have evaluated subsequent events for recognition or disclosure in our consolidated financial statements.

Reportable Segments

Our primary focus is to lease space to tenants in shopping centers or other properties that we own, lease or manage. Historically, we reviewed operating and financial information for each property by commercial use and on an individual basis. Each commercial use or each property represents an individual operating segment.

We evaluate the performance of the reportable segments based on net operating income, defined as total revenues less operating expenses and real estate taxes. Management does not consider the effect of gains or losses from the sale of property or interests in real estate joint ventures and partnerships in evaluating segment operating performance.

With the sale of our industrial portfolio in May 2012, we no longer analyze our properties by commercial use. Further, no individual property constitutes more than 10% of our revenues or net operating income, and we have no operations outside of the United States of America. Therefore, our properties have been aggregated into one reportable segment since the properties share similar economic and operating characteristics.

Restricted Deposits and Mortgage Escrows

Restricted deposits and mortgage escrows consist of escrow deposits held by lenders primarily for property taxes, insurance and replacement reserves and restricted cash that is held for a specific use or in a qualified escrow account for the purposes of completing like-kind exchange transactions.

Table of Contents

Our restricted deposits and mortgage escrows consist of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Restricted cash | \$26,120 | \$3,169 |
| Mortgage escrows | 7,091 | 7,975 |
| Total | \$33,211 | \$11,144 |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Our accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Derivatives | \$8,827 | \$10,016 |
| Retirement liability | 17,727 | 17,727 |
| Total | \$26,554 | \$27,743 |

Reclassifications

The reclassification of prior years' operating results for certain properties classified as discontinued operations was made to conform to the current year presentation (see Note 10 for additional information). Also, we have disaggregated certain line items in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to conform to the current year presentation. Prior years' distribution to noncontrolling interests and contributions from noncontrolling interests was reclassified from other, net, and the acquisition of real estate and land was segregated from development and capital improvements (which was previously titled investment in property). These items had no impact on previously reported net income, earnings per share, the consolidated balance sheet or cash flows.

Note 2. Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs," which amends previous guidance resulting in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments both clarify the application of existing fair value measurement requirements and changes certain principles or requirements for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The provisions of this update were effective for us at January 1, 2012. The adoption of this update did not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 ("ASU 2011-05"), "Presentation of Comprehensive Income," which amends previous guidance by requiring all nonowner changes in equity to be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In addition, an entity will be required to present on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, "Deferral of Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05," which primarily defers the provision of ASU 2011-05 requiring the presentation on the face of the financial statements other comprehensive income reclassification adjustments. All other provisions of ASU 2011-05 were effective for us at January 1, 2012. As of December 31, 2011, our early adoption of this update did not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Note 3. Property

Our property consists of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$870,826 | \$918,627 |
| Land held for development | 126,616 | 124,528 |
| Land under development | 15,545 | 20,281 |
| Buildings and improvements | 3,267,219 | 3,557,173 |
| Construction in-progress | 65,685 | 67,917 |
| Total | \$4,345,891 | \$4,688,526 |

The following carrying charges were capitalized (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | June 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest | \$811 | \$317 | \$1,594 | \$654 |
| Real estate taxes | 123 | 72 | 246 | 83 |
| Total | \$934 | \$389 | \$1,840 | \$737 |

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we acquired two shopping centers and other retail property for approximately \$118.3 million.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we sold 13 shopping centers, 53 industrial properties and other retail property. We also assigned a 75% consolidated joint venture interest to our partner. Aggregate gross sales proceeds, including the assumption of debt by the buyer, from these transactions totaled \$444.1 million and generated gains of \$35.4 million.

Also, seven properties totaling \$84.0 million and \$94.8 million before accumulated depreciation have been classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. See Note 10 for additional information.

Table of Contents

Note 4. Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We own interests in real estate joint ventures or limited partnerships and have tenancy-in-common interests in which we exercise significant influence, but do not have financial and operating control. We account for these investments using the equity method, and our interests range from 10% to 75% for the 2012 periods presented and 7.8% to 75% for the 2011 periods presented. Combined condensed financial information of these ventures (at 100%) is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Combined Condensed Balance Sheets | | | | |
| Property | \$1,933,796 | | \$2,108,745 | |
| Accumulated depreciation | (310,024 |) | (296,496 | |
| Property, net | 1,623,772 | | 1,812,249 | |
| Other assets, net | 169,644 | | 173,130 | |
| Total | \$1,793,416 | | \$1,985,379 | |
| Debt, net (primarily mortgages payable) | \$551,012 | | \$556,920 | |
| Amounts payable to Weingarten Realty Investors and affiliates | 106,952 | | 170,007 | |
| Other liabilities, net | 41,837 | | 41,907 | |
| Total | 699,801 | | 768,834 | |
| Accumulated equity | 1,093,615 | | 1,216,545 | |
| Total | \$1,793,416 | | \$1,985,379 | |
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Combined Condensed Statements of Operations | | | | |
| Revenues, net | \$50,026 | \$50,866 | \$99,873 | \$102,192 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15,481 | 16,913 | 31,617 | 34,544 |
| Interest, net | 8,961 | 9,573 | 18,047 | 18,837 |
| Operating | 8,571 | 8,936 | 17,196 | 17,830 |
| Real estate taxes, net | 6,159 | 6,128 | 12,397 | 12,606 |
| General and administrative | 225 | 877 | 586 | 1,969 |
| Provision for income taxes | 95 | 116 | 168 | 201 |
| Impairment loss | 96,498 | — | 96,498 | 2,058 |
| Total | 135,990 | 42,543 | 176,509 | 88,045 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of property | 246 | — | 246 | (21 |
| Net (loss) income | \$(85,718 |) | \$8,323 | \$(76,390 |
| | | |) | \$14,126 |

Table of Contents

Our investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships, as reported in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, differs from our proportionate share of the entities' underlying net assets due to basis differences, which arose upon the transfer of certain assets to the joint ventures. The net basis differences, which totaled \$5.7 million and \$7.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, are generally amortized over the useful lives of the related assets.

At June 30, 2012, our real estate joint ventures and partnerships determined that the carrying amount of certain properties was not recoverable and that the properties should be written down to fair value. For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships recorded an impairment charge of \$96.5 million on various properties that are being marketed for sale. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships recorded an impairment charge of \$2.1 million. Fees earned by us for the management of these real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$3.3 million and \$3.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In February 2012, we sold a 47.8% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Colorado development project to our partner with gross sales proceeds totaling \$29.1 million, which includes the assumption of our share of debt, generating a gain of \$3.5 million.

In April 2011, we acquired a 50%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture interest in three shopping centers for approximately \$11.6 million. We also acquired our partner's 50% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Florida development property that we had previously accounted for under the equity method. This transaction resulted in the consolidation of the property in our consolidated financial statements.

Note 5. Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We have ownership interests in a number of real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Notes receivable from these entities bear interest ranging from approximately 2.9% to 10.0% at June 30, 2012 and 2.8% to 10.0% at December 31, 2011. These notes are due at various dates through 2014 and are generally secured by underlying real estate assets. We believe these notes are fully collectible, and no allowance has been recorded. Interest income recognized on these notes was \$.7 million and \$.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$1.4 million and \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In February 2012, we received \$59.2 million in payment of our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships, in conjunction with the sale of our interest in an unconsolidated real estate joint venture. See Note 15 for additional information.

In April 2011, we eliminated \$21.9 million of our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships upon the purchase of our partner's 50% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Florida development property.

Note 6. Debt

Our debt consists of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Debt payable to 2038 at 2.6% to 8.8% | \$1,869,191 | \$2,268,668 |
| Debt service guaranty liability | 74,075 | 74,075 |
| Unsecured notes payable under revolving credit facilities | 229,000 | 166,500 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| Industrial revenue bonds payable to 2015 at 2.4% | 1,419 | 1,594 |
| Total | \$2,194,685 | \$2,531,837 |

Table of Contents

The grouping of total debt between fixed and variable-rate as well as between secured and unsecured is summarized below (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| As to interest rate (including the effects of interest rate contracts): | | |
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ 1,819,541 | \$ 2,014,834 |
| Variable-rate debt | 375,144 | 517,003 |
| Total | \$ 2,194,685 | \$ 2,531,837 |
| As to collateralization: | | |
| Unsecured debt | \$ 1,257,125 | \$ 1,510,932 |
| Secured debt | 937,560 | 1,020,905 |
| Total | \$ 2,194,685 | \$ 2,531,837 |

Effective September 30, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The facility expires in September 2015 and provides for a one-year extension upon our request and borrowing rates that float at a margin over LIBOR plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee, which are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, are currently 125.0 and 25.0 basis points, respectively. The facility also contains a competitive bid feature that will allow us to request bids for up to \$250 million. Additionally, an accordion feature allows us to increase the facility amount up to \$700 million.

Effective May 2010, we entered into an agreement with a bank for an unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility totaling \$99 million that we intend to maintain for cash management purposes. The facility provides for fixed interest rate loans at a 30 day LIBOR rate plus a borrowing margin based on market liquidity.

The following table discloses certain information regarding our unsecured notes payable under our revolving credit facilities (in thousands, except percentages):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| Unsecured revolving credit facility: | | | | |
| Balance outstanding | \$ 170,000 | \$ 145,000 | | |
| Available balance | 326,571 | 351,571 | | |
| Letter of credit outstanding under facility | 3,429 | 3,429 | | |
| Variable interest rate (excluding facility fee) | 1.2 | % 1.3 | | % |
| Unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility: | | | | |
| Balance outstanding | \$ 59,000 | \$ 21,500 | | |
| Variable interest rate | 1.5 | % 1.5 | | % |
| Both facilities: | | | | |
| Maximum balance outstanding during the year | \$ 281,700 | \$ 330,700 | | |
| Weighted average balance | 169,746 | 151,814 | | |
| Year-to-date weighted average interest rate (excluding facility fee) | 1.3 | % 1.5 | | % |

Related to a development project in Sheridan, Colorado, we have provided a guaranty for the payment of any debt service shortfalls until a coverage rate of 1.4x is met on tax increment revenue bonds issued in connection with the project. The bonds are to be repaid with incremental sales and property taxes and a public improvement fee ("PIF") to be assessed on current and future retail sales and, to the extent necessary, any amounts we may have to provide under a guaranty. The incremental taxes and PIF are to remain intact until the earlier of the date the bond liability has been paid in full or 2040, as extended by the Sheridan Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") in April 2011. Therefore, a debt service guaranty liability equal to the fair value of the amounts funded under the bonds was recorded. For both periods ended at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had \$74.1 million outstanding for the debt service guaranty liability.

Table of Contents

On August 29, 2011, we entered into a \$200 million unsecured term loan of which the proceeds were used to pay down amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility. The initial term of the loan was one year, which we repaid at par after nine months on May 31, 2012 at our option. In addition, a \$115 million 5.3% fixed-rate medium term note matured in May 2012.

Various leases and properties, and current and future rentals from those leases and properties, collateralize certain debt. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value of such assets aggregated \$1.6 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively.

Scheduled principal payments on our debt (excluding \$229.0 million due under our revolving credit facilities, \$21.0 million of certain capital leases, \$10.8 million fair value of interest rate contracts, \$1.6 million net premium/(discount) on debt, \$9.6 million of non-cash debt-related items, and \$74.1 million debt service guaranty liability) are due during the following years (in thousands):

| | |
|----------------|--------------|
| 2012 remaining | \$ 103,354 |
| 2013 | 315,056 |
| 2014 | 473,804 |
| 2015 | 239,346 |
| 2016 | 231,661 |
| 2017 | 142,096 |
| 2018 | 64,441 |
| 2019 (1) | 153,724 |
| 2020 | 3,746 |
| 2021 | 2,763 |
| Thereafter (2) | 118,575 |
| Total | \$ 1,848,566 |

(1) Includes \$100.0 million of our 8.1% senior unsecured notes due 2019 which may be redeemed by us at any time on or after September 2014 at our option.

(2) Includes \$54.1 million of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes outstanding due 2026, which may be called by us at any time and have future put options in 2016 and 2021.

Our various debt agreements contain restrictive covenants, including minimum interest and fixed charge coverage ratios, minimum unencumbered interest coverage ratios, minimum net worth requirements and maximum total debt levels. We believe we were in compliance with our public debt and revolving credit facility covenants as of June 30, 2012.

Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging

The fair value of all our interest rate contracts is reported as follows (in thousands):

| | Assets | | Liabilities | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------|
| | Balance Sheet Location | Amount | Balance Sheet Location | Amount |
| Designated Hedges: | | | | |
| June 30, 2012 | Other Assets, net | \$ 10,854 | Other Liabilities, net | \$ 783 |
| December 31, 2011 | Other Assets, net | 10,816 | Other Liabilities, net | 674 |

Cash Flow Hedges:

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had three active interest rate contracts designated as cash flow hedges with an aggregate notional amount of \$26.8 million and \$27.1 million, respectively. These contracts have maturities through September 2017 and either fix or cap interest rates ranging from 2.3% to 5.0%. We have determined that these contracts are highly effective in offsetting future variable interest cash flows.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss relating to cash flow interest rate contracts was \$8.8 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, and will be reclassified to net interest expense as interest payments are made on our fixed-rate debt. Within the next 12 months, approximately \$2.9 million of the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss is expected to be amortized to net interest expense related to settled interest rate contracts.

A summary of cash flow interest rate contract hedging activity is as follows (in thousands):

| Derivatives Hedging Relationships | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Derivative (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | \$ 148 | Interest expense, net | \$ (662) | Interest expense, net | \$— |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | 132 | Interest expense, net | (1,321) | Interest expense, net | — |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | 420 | Interest expense, net | (619) | Interest expense, net | (19) |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | 302 | Interest expense, net | (1,238) | Interest expense, net | (12) |

Fair Value Hedges:

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had four interest rate contracts, maturing through October 2017, with an aggregate notional amount of \$118.7 million and \$119.3 million, respectively, that were designated as fair value hedges and convert fixed interest payments at rates from 4.2% to 7.5% to variable interest payments ranging from 0.5% to 4.4%. We have determined that our fair value hedges are highly effective in limiting our risk of changes in the fair value of fixed-rate notes attributable to changes in interest rates.

A summary of the changes in fair value of our interest rate contracts is as follows (in thousands):

| | Gain (Loss) on Contracts | Gain (Loss) on Borrowings | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | |
| Interest expense, net | \$591 | \$(591) | \$— |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 61 | (61) | — |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 2,000 | (2,000) | — |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 495 | (495) | — |

A summary of our fair value interest rate contract hedges impact on net income is as follows (in thousands):

| Derivatives Hedging Relationships | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | |

| | | on Derivative | Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---|---|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Interest expense, net | \$ 1,589 | Interest expense, net | \$— |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Interest expense, net | 2,060 | Interest expense, net | — |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Interest expense, net | 3,042 | Interest expense, net | — |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Interest expense, net | 2,572 | Interest expense, net | — |

Table of Contents

Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests

The following table summarizes the effect of changes in our ownership interest in subsidiaries on the equity attributable to us as follows (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests | \$52,616 | \$17,799 |
| Transfers from the noncontrolling interests: | | |
| Net increase in equity for the acquisition of noncontrolling interests | — | 1,668 |
| Change from net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests and transfers from the noncontrolling interests | \$52,616 | \$19,467 |

Note 9. Impairment

The following impairment charges were recorded on the following assets based on the difference between the carrying amount of the assets and the estimated fair value (see Note 19 for additional fair value information) (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Continuing operations: | | | | |
| Property marketed for sale or sold (1) | \$4,293 | \$154 | \$4,537 | \$924 |
| Investments in real estate joint ventures and partnerships (2) | — | — | 6,608 | — |
| Tax increment revenue bonds (4) | — | 18,737 | — | 18,737 |
| Total reported in continuing operations | 4,293 | 18,891 | 11,145 | 19,661 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Property held for sale or sold (3) | 758 | 2,434 | 3,929 | 2,889 |
| Total impairment charges | 5,051 | 21,325 | 15,074 | 22,550 |
| Other financial statement captions impacted by impairment: | | | | |
| Equity in losses of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | 19,889 | — | 19,889 | 110 |
| Net impact of impairment charges | \$24,940 | \$21,325 | \$34,963 | \$22,660 |

These charges resulted from changes in management's plans for these properties, primarily the marketing of these (1) properties for sale. Also included in this caption are impairments associated with dispositions that did not qualify to be reported in discontinued operations.

(2) Amounts reported in 2012 are based on third party offers to buy our interests in industrial real estate joint ventures.

(3) Amounts reported in 2012 are based on third party offers.

During 2011, the tax increment revenue bonds were remarketed by the Agency. All of the outstanding bonds were (4) recalled, and new bonds were issued. We recorded an \$18.7 million net credit loss on the exchange of bonds associated with our investment in the subordinated tax increment revenue bonds.

Note 10. Discontinued Operations

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we sold 13 shopping centers, 53 industrial properties, and we assigned a 75% consolidated joint venture interest to our partner, of which 47 were located in Texas, six each in Georgia and Florida, two each in Louisiana and Virginia and one each in Kansas, North Carolina, Maine and Tennessee. We classified seven shopping centers, of which four are located in Texas and one each in Arizona, Illinois and Oklahoma, as held for sale. As part of these 2012 dispositions, we sold in May 2012 a portfolio of 52 wholly-owned industrial properties in order to exit the industrial real estate market and further align and strengthen our position solely as a retail REIT.

As of June 30, 2012, the seven properties classified as held for sale consisted of property and accumulated depreciation totaling \$84.0 million and \$20.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

During 2011, we sold three industrial properties, of which two were located in Georgia and one in Texas, and eight shopping centers, of which five were located in Texas and one each in Florida, Kansas and North Carolina. As of December 31, 2011, we classified as held for sale seven shopping centers with a net book value of \$73.2 million, of which three were located in Texas and one each in Arizona, Florida, Illinois and North Carolina.

Included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2011 were \$588.1 million of property and \$139.2 million of accumulated depreciation related to retail and industrial properties that were either sold during 2012 or classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2012.

The operating results of these properties, which includes the seven properties held for sale, have been reclassified and reported as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | June 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues, net | \$11,422 | \$18,478 | \$27,638 | \$36,398 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (177) | (6,356) | (4,928) | (12,408) |
| Operating expenses | (2,584) | (3,296) | (5,511) | (6,487) |
| Real estate taxes, net | (1,549) | (2,669) | (4,138) | (5,411) |
| Impairment loss | (758) | (2,434) | (3,929) | (2,889) |
| General and administrative | (1,946) | (12) | (1,961) | (32) |
| Interest expense, net | (76) | (288) | (223) | (521) |
| Gain on acquisition (see Note 18) | — | 4,559 | — | 4,559 |
| Provision for income taxes | (273) | (330) | (273) | (330) |
| Operating income from discontinued operations | 4,059 | 7,652 | 6,675 | 12,879 |
| Gain on sale of property from discontinued operations | 31,264 | — | 34,898 | — |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$35,323 | \$7,652 | \$41,573 | \$12,879 |

We do not allocate other consolidated interest to discontinued operations because the interest savings to be realized from the proceeds of the sale of these operations is not material.

Table of Contents

Note 11. Cash Flow Information

Non-cash investing and financing activities are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accrued property construction costs | \$6,931 | \$5,279 |
| Increase in equity for the acquisition of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate joint ventures | — | 1,668 |
| Reduction of debt service guaranty liability | — | (22,925) |
| Property acquisitions and investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures: | | |
| Increase in debt, net | — | 24,383 |
| Decrease in property, net | (2,532) | (3,812) |
| Increase in security deposits | 1,116 | 22 |
| Increase in noncontrolling interests | 968 | — |
| Decrease in real estate joint ventures and partnerships - investments | — | (153) |
| Sale of property and property interest: | | |
| Decrease in debt, net due to debt assumption | (3,366) | — |
| Decrease in restricted deposits and mortgage escrows | (204) | — |
| Decrease in property, net | (2,855) | — |
| Decrease in real estate joint ventures and partnerships - investments | (95) | — |
| Decrease in noncontrolling interests | (95) | — |
| Decrease in security deposits | (11) | — |
| Consolidation of joint ventures (see Note 18): | | |
| Decrease in notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships | — | (21,872) |
| Increase in property, net | — | 32,307 |
| Decrease in real estate joint ventures and partnerships - investments | — | (10,092) |

Table of Contents

Note 12. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per common share – basic is computed using net income attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Earnings per common share – diluted include the effect of potentially dilutive securities. Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders includes gain on sale of property in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines. Earnings per common share – basic and diluted components for the periods indicated are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Continuing Operations: | | | | |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | \$(2,646 |) \$(4,849 |) \$13,302 | \$6,052 |
| Gain on sale of property | 84 | 136 | 524 | 1,196 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1,342 |) (1,236 |) (2,783 |) (2,328 |
| Preferred share dividends | (8,869 |) (8,869 |) (17,738 |) (17,738 |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders – basic and diluted | \$(12,773 |) \$(14,818 |) \$(6,695 |) \$(12,818 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders – basic and diluted | \$35,323 | \$7,652 | \$41,573 | \$12,879 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – basic | 120,661 | 120,345 | 120,571 | 120,244 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Share options and awards | 1,034 | — | 991 | 967 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted | 121,695 | 120,345 | 121,562 | 121,211 |
| Anti-dilutive securities, which are excluded from the calculation of net income per common share – diluted, are as follows (in thousands): | | | | |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Share options (1) | 2,362 | 2,383 | 2,362 | 2,384 |
| Operating partnership units | 1,582 | 1,628 | 1,583 | 1,636 |
| Share options and awards | — | 980 | — | — |
| Total anti-dilutive securities | 3,944 | 4,991 | 3,945 | 4,020 |

(1) Exclusion results as exercise prices were greater than the average market price for each respective period.

Note 13. Share Options and Awards

In November 2011, we announced changes to the long-term incentive program under our Amended and Restated 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Future grants of awards will incorporate service-based and two market-based measures for restricted share awards to promote share ownership among the participants and to emphasize the importance of total shareholder return. The terms of each grant vary depending upon the participant's responsibilities and position within the Company. We categorize these share awards as either service-based share awards or market-based share awards. All awards were valued at the fair market value on the date of grant and earn dividends throughout the vesting period. Compensation expense is measured at the grant date and recognized over the vesting period. All share awards are awarded subject to the participant's continued employment with us.

Table of Contents

The share awards are subject to a three-year cliff vesting basis. Service-based share and market-based share awards are subject to the achievement of select performance goals as follows:

Service-based awards and accumulated dividends vest upon the third anniversary of the grant date. These grants are subject only to continued employment and not dependent on future performance measures. Accordingly, if such vesting criteria are not met, compensation cost previously recognized would be reversed.

Market-based awards vest based upon the performance metrics at the end of a three-year period. These awards are based 50% on our three-year relative total shareholder return (“TSR”) as compared to the FTSE NAREIT U.S. Shopping Center Index. The other 50% is tied to our three-year absolute TSR. At the end of a three year period, the performance measures are analyzed; the actual number of shares earned is determined and the earned shares and the accumulated dividends vest. The probability of meeting the market criteria is considered when calculating the estimated fair value on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation. These awards are accounted for as awards with market criteria, with compensation cost recognized over the service period, regardless of whether the market criteria are achieved and the awards are ultimately earned and vest.

The fair value of the market-based share awards was estimated on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo valuation model based on the following assumptions:

| | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------|--|---|
| | June 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| Dividend yield | 0.0 | % 4.4 | | % |
| Expected volatility | 27.7 | % 51.6 | | % |
| Expected life (in years) | — | 3 | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.1 | % 0.4 | | % |

A summary of the status of unvested restricted share awards for the six months ended June 30, 2012 is as follows:

| | Unvested Restricted Share Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|---|--|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2012 | 407,328 | \$20.43 |
| Granted: | | |
| Service-based awards | 129,813 | 24.91 |
| Market-based awards relative to FTSE NAREIT U.S. Shopping Center Index | 57,650 | 26.45 |
| Market-based awards relative to three-year absolute TSR | 57,650 | 27.65 |
| Vested | (116,135 |) 20.19 |
| Forfeited | (595 |) 24.91 |
| Outstanding, June 30, 2012 | 535,711 | \$22.99 |

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$6.7 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted shares, which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average of 2.4 years and 2.3 years, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 14. Employee Benefit Plans

We sponsor a noncontributory qualified retirement plan and a separate and independent nonqualified supplemental retirement plan for certain employees. The components of net periodic benefit cost for both plans are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$491 | \$789 | \$1,389 | \$1,931 |
| Interest cost | 969 | 724 | 2,067 | 1,890 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (667) | (405) | (1,769) | (1,225) |
| Prior service cost | (35) | (21) | (93) | (70) |
| Recognized loss | 467 | 151 | 856 | 462 |
| Total | \$1,225 | \$1,238 | \$2,450 | \$2,988 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we contributed \$2.5 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, to the qualified retirement plan. Currently, we do not anticipate making any additional contributions to this plan during 2012.

Note 15. Related Parties

Through our management activities and transactions with our real estate joint ventures and partnerships, we had net accounts receivable of \$1.5 million and \$2.2 million outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We also had accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$7.6 million and \$8.2 million outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded joint venture fee income of \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded joint venture fee income of \$3.3 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

In February 2012, we sold our 47.8% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Colorado development project to our partner with gross sales proceeds totaling \$29.1 million, which includes the assumption of our share of debt, generating a gain of \$3.5 million.

Note 16. Commitments and Contingencies

As of June 30, 2012, we participate in four real estate ventures structured as DownREIT partnerships that have properties in Arkansas, California, North Carolina, Texas and Utah. As a general partner, we have operating and financial control over these ventures and consolidate them in our consolidated financial statements. These ventures allow the outside limited partners to exchange their interest in the partnership for our common shares or an equivalent amount in cash. We may acquire any limited partnership interests that are put to the partnership, and we have the option to redeem the interest in cash or a fixed number of our common shares, at our discretion. We also participate in a real estate venture that has a property in Texas that allows its outside partner to put operating partnership units to us. We have the option to redeem these units in cash or a fixed number of our common shares, at our discretion. No common shares were issued in exchange for any of these interests during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The aggregate redemption value of these interests was approximately \$42 million and \$35 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations in the areas where we own or operate properties. We are not aware of any contamination which may have been caused by us or any of our tenants that would have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

As part of our risk management activities, we have been accepted into state sponsored environmental programs which will limit our expenses if contaminants need to be remediated. We also have an environmental insurance policy that covers us against third party liabilities and remediation costs.

While we believe that we do not have any material exposure to environmental remediation costs, we cannot give absolute assurance that changes in the law or new discoveries of contamination will not result in additional liabilities

to us.

20

Table of Contents

During 2011, we filed a lawsuit against our joint venture partner in connection with a development project in Sheridan, Colorado for failure to perform on the joint venture's past due intercompany note payable to us, which has been eliminated within our consolidated financial statements. We are also involved in a consolidated and two unconsolidated joint ventures with this partner. To date, we are unable to determine the outcome of the lawsuit or its potential effects on our other joint ventures with this partner.

As of June 30, 2012, we have entered into commitments aggregating \$67.3 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months.

We are also involved in various matters of litigation arising in the normal course of business. While we are unable to predict with certainty the amounts involved, our management and counsel are of the opinion that, when such litigation is resolved, any additional liability, if any, will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 17. Variable Interest Entities

Consolidated VIEs:

Two of our real estate joint ventures whose activities principally consist of owning and operating 30 neighborhood/community shopping centers, of which 22 are located in Texas, three in Georgia, two each in Tennessee and Florida and one in North Carolina, were determined to be VIEs. These VIEs have financing agreements that are guaranteed solely by us for tax planning purposes. We have determined that we are the primary beneficiary and have consolidated these joint ventures.

A summary of our consolidated VIEs is as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Maximum Risk of Loss (1) | \$ 137,645 | \$ 138,176 |
| Assets held by VIEs | 295,987 | 309,387 |
| Assets held as collateral for debt | 243,525 | 250,105 |

(1) The maximum risk of loss has been determined to be limited to our guaranties of debt for each real estate joint venture.

Restrictions on the use of these assets are significant because they are collateral for the VIEs' debt, and we would generally be required to obtain our partners' approval in accordance with the joint venture agreements for any major transactions. Transactions with these joint ventures on our consolidated financial statements have been limited to changes in noncontrolling interests and reductions in debt from our partners' contributions. We and our partners are subject to the provisions of the joint venture agreements which include provisions for when additional contributions may be required including operating cash shortfalls and unplanned capital expenditures. We have not provided any additional support to the VIEs as of June 30, 2012.

Unconsolidated VIEs:

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, two unconsolidated real estate joint ventures were determined to be VIEs through the issuance of secured loans, of which \$21.2 million of debt associated with a tenancy-in-common arrangement is recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, since the lenders have the ability to make decisions that could have a significant impact on the success of the entities. At December 31, 2011, we had one unconsolidated real estate joint venture with an interest in an entity, which was deemed to be a VIE since the unconsolidated joint venture provided a guaranty for the entity's debt; however, in February 2012, our unconsolidated joint venture interest associated with these entities was sold. A summary of our unconsolidated VIEs is as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net (1) | \$ 30,090 | \$ 30,377 |
| Maximum Risk of Loss (2) | 33,517 | 75,274 |

-
- (1) The carrying amount of the investments represents our contributions to the real estate joint ventures net of any distributions made and our portion of the equity in earnings of the joint ventures.
- (2) The maximum risk of loss has been determined to be limited to our debt exposure for each real estate joint venture.

Table of Contents

We and our partners are subject to the provisions of the joint venture agreements that specify conditions, including operating shortfalls and unplanned capital expenditures, under which additional contributions may be required.

Note 18. Business Combinations

Effective April 13, 2011, we acquired our partner's 50% interest in an unconsolidated joint venture related to a development property in Florida, which resulted in the consolidation of this property. Management has determined that this transaction qualified as a business combination to be accounted for under the acquisition method. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of this transaction were recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at its estimated fair value as of the effective date, with any applicable partner's share of the resulting net change included in noncontrolling interests. Fair value of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and equity interests was estimated using market-based measurements, including cash flow and other valuation techniques. The fair value measurement is based on both significant inputs for similar assets and liabilities in comparable markets and significant inputs that are not observable in the markets in accordance with our fair value measurements accounting policy. Key assumptions include third-party broker valuation estimates, discount rate of 8%, a terminal capitalization rate for similar properties, and factors that we believe market participants would consider in estimating fair value. The result of this transaction is included in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income beginning April 13, 2011.

The following table summarizes the transaction related to the business combination, including the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as indicated (in thousands):

| | | |
|--|-------------------|---|
| | April 13, 2011 | |
| Fair value of our equity interest before business combinations | \$7,578 | |
| Fair value of consideration transferred (1) | \$11,462 | |
| Amounts recognized for assets and liabilities assumed: | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Property | \$32,807 | |
| Unamortized debt and lease costs | 2,421 | |
| Accrued rent and accounts receivable | 211 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1,402 | |
| Other, net | 694 | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (137 |) |
| Other, net | (318 |) |
| Total net assets | \$37,080 | |
| Noncontrolling interests of the real estate joint venture | \$— | |

(1) Consideration included \$.5 million of cash and \$11.0 million in debt reimbursement.

As a result of the above business combination, we recognized a gain of \$4.6 million which is attributable to the realization upon consolidation of our preferred return on equity. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, this gain is included in discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as the property was sold during December 2011.

Table of Contents

During 2012, we have acquired two shopping centers located in California and Texas. The following table summarizes the transactions related to these acquisitions, including the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as indicated (in thousands):

| | |
|--|------------------|
| | June 30, 2012 |
| Fair value of consideration transferred | \$ 104,460 |
| Amounts recognized for assets and liabilities assumed: | |
| Assets: | |
| Property | \$97,703 |
| Unamortized debt and lease costs | 7,910 |
| Other, net | 531 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (1,812) |
| Other, net | (1,824) |
| Total net assets | \$ 102,508 |
| Acquisition costs (included in operating expenses) | \$ 398 |

The following table summarizes the impact to revenues and net income attributable to common shareholders from our acquisitions as follows (in thousands):

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
| Increase in revenues | \$209 | \$242 |
| Increase in net income attributable to common shareholders | 133 | 148 |

The following table summarizes the pro forma impact of these transactions as if they had been consolidated or acquired on January 1, 2011, the earliest year presented, as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | Pro Forma 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | Pro Forma 2011 ⁽¹⁾ | Pro Forma 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | Pro Forma 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Revenues | \$127,489 | \$125,405 | \$250,967 | \$245,549 |
| Net income | 33,871 | 3,713 | 57,720 | 21,720 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | 23,660 | (6,392) | 37,199 | 1,654 |
| Earnings per share – basic | 0.20 | (0.05) | 0.31 | 0.01 |
| Earnings per share – diluted | 0.19 | (0.05) | 0.31 | 0.01 |

(1) There are no non-recurring pro forma adjustments included within or excluded from the amounts in the preceding table.

Table of Contents

Note 19. Fair Value Measurements

Recurring Fair Value Measurements:

Investments Held in Grantor Trusts

These assets are valued based on publicly quoted market prices for identical assets.

Derivative Instruments

We use interest rate contracts with major financial institutions to manage our interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined based on assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing, using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The fair values of our interest rate contracts have been determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counter-party's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral, thresholds and guarantees. In conjunction with the FASB's fair value measurement guidance, an accounting policy election was made to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by ourselves and our counter-parties. However, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that the derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in grantor trusts | \$ 15,692 | | | \$ 15,692 |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 10,854 | | 10,854 |
| Total | \$ 15,692 | \$ 10,854 | \$— | \$ 26,546 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 783 | | \$ 783 |
| Deferred compensation plan obligations | \$ 15,692 | | | 15,692 |
| Total | \$ 15,692 | \$ 783 | \$— | \$ 16,475 |

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in grantor trusts | \$ 14,693 | | | \$ 14,693 |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 10,816 | | 10,816 |
| Total | \$ 14,693 | \$ 10,816 | \$— | \$ 25,509 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 674 | | \$ 674 |
| Deferred compensation plan obligations | \$ 14,693 | | | 14,693 |
| Total | \$ 14,693 | \$ 674 | \$— | \$ 15,367 |

A reconciliation of the outstanding balance of the subordinate tax increment revenue bonds using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) is as follows (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2011 | \$ 10,700 |
| Settlement of recalled bonds (1) | (10,700) |

Outstanding, December 31, 2011

\$—

Settlement of recalled bonds represents the recall of previously issued subordinated tax increment revenue bonds (1) that were available for sale and were replaced with held to maturity subordinated tax increment revenue bonds associated with the exchange transaction in April 2011.

25

Table of Contents

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements:

Property and Property Held for Sale Impairments

Property is reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property, including any identifiable intangible assets, site costs and capitalized interest, may not be recoverable. In such an event, a comparison is made of the current and projected operating cash flows of each such property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis to the carrying amount of such property. If we conclude that an impairment may have occurred, estimated fair values are determined by management utilizing cash flow models, market capitalization rates and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker valuation estimates, appraisals, bona fide purchase offers or the expected sales price of an executed sales agreement in accordance with our fair value measurements accounting policy. Market capitalization rates and market discount rates are determined by reviewing current sales of similar properties and transactions, and utilizing management's knowledge and expertise in property marketing.

Investments in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships Impairments

The fair value of our investment in partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships is estimated by management based on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment, the life and other terms of the investment, holding periods, market conditions, cash flow models, market capitalization rates and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker valuation estimates, appraisals and bona fide purchase offers in accordance with our fair value measurements accounting policy. Market capitalization rates and market discount rates are determined by reviewing current sales of similar properties and transactions, and utilizing management's knowledge and expertise in property marketing. We recognize an impairment loss if we determine the fair value of an investment is less than its carrying amount and that loss in value is other than temporary.

Subordinate Tax Increment Revenue Bonds Impairment

Investments in tax increment revenue bonds are reviewed for impairment if changes in circumstances or forecasts indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, and if it is uncertain if the investment will be held to maturity. In such an event, a comparison is made of the projected recoverability of cash flows from the tax increment revenue bonds to the carrying amount of each investment. If we conclude that an impairment may have occurred, fair values are determined by management utilizing third-party sales revenue projections until the maturity of the bonds and discounted cash flow models.

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2012, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value | Total Gains (Losses) (1) |
|---|--|---|--|------------|-----------------------------|
| Property (2) | | | \$46,375 | \$46,375 | \$(4,293) |
| Property held for sale (3) | | \$39,131 | | 39,131 | (3,929) |
| Investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships (4) | | 24,231 | | 24,231 | (6,608) |
| Total | \$— | \$63,362 | \$46,375 | \$109,737 | \$(14,830) |

(1) Total gains (losses) exclude impairments on disposed assets because they are no longer held by us.

(2) In accordance with our policy of evaluating and recording impairments on the disposal of long-lived assets, property with a carrying amount of \$50.7 million was written down to a fair value of \$46.4 million, resulting in a loss of \$4.3 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these

properties was determined using Level 3 inputs. See the quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used for our Level 3 fair value measurements table below.

- (3) Property held for sale with a carrying amount of \$42.2 million was written down to a fair value of \$39.1 million less costs to sell of \$0.8 million, resulting in a loss of \$3.9 million, which was included in discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these properties was determined using bona fide purchase offers for the Level 2 inputs.
- (4) Our net investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships with a carrying amount of \$30.8 million was written down to a fair value of \$24.2 million, resulting in a loss of \$6.6 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of this investment was determined using the weighted average of the bona fide purchase offers received for the Level 2 inputs.

Table of Contents

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2011, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value | Total Gains (Losses) (1) |
|---|--|---|--|------------|-----------------------------|
| Property | | \$ 389 | \$ 98,207 | \$ 98,596 | \$(36,907) |
| Property held for sale | | 43,657 | 1,500 | 45,157 | (13,799) |
| Investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships | | | 6,311 | 6,311 | (1,752) |
| Subordinate tax increment revenue bonds | | | 26,723 | 26,723 | (18,737) |
| Total | \$— | \$44,046 | \$132,741 | \$176,787 | \$(71,195) |

(1) Total gains (losses) are reflected throughout 2011 and exclude impairments on disposed assets because they are no longer held by us.

In accordance with our policy of evaluating and recording impairments on the disposal of long-lived assets, property with a carrying amount of \$135.5 million was written down to a fair value of \$98.6 million, resulting in a loss of \$36.9 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these properties was determined using the expected sales price of an executed agreement for the Level 2 input and using third party broker valuations, bona fide purchase offers, cash flow models and discount rates ranging from 8% to 13% for the Level 3 inputs.

Property held for sale with a carrying amount of \$57.0 million was written down to a fair value of \$45.2 million less costs to sell of \$2.0 million, resulting in a loss of \$13.8 million, which was included in discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these properties was determined using the expected sales price of executed agreements for the Level 2 inputs and a cash flow model using a discount rate of 10% for the Level 3 input.

Our net investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships with a carrying amount of \$8.1 million was written down to a fair value of \$6.3 million, resulting in a loss of \$1.8 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these investments was determined using the life and other terms of the investment, our partner's financial condition, cash flow models and capitalization rates ranging from 7% to 9% for the Level 3 inputs.

A net credit loss on the exchange of bonds of \$18.7 million was recognized upon the recall and replacement of our investment in tax increment revenue bonds by the Agency in April 2011. The exchange transaction resulted in us receiving approximately \$16.5 million in cash proceeds and \$57.7 million in new subordinated bonds replacing the face value of our \$51.3 million of senior bonds and \$22.4 million of subordinate bonds, which had been previously written down to a fair value of \$10.7 million. The carrying value of the \$57.7 million subordinated bonds received in the exchange were written down to their fair value of \$26.7 million, of which a loss of \$11.7 million was previously recognized in December 2010. The net credit loss resulted as management did not expect to recover the par value of the bonds based upon changes in terms of the bonds and future sales tax revenue projections of the development project through their maturity. Management's estimates of the fair value of these investments were determined using third-party sales revenue projections, future growth rates ranging from 1% to 4% and inflation rates ranging from 1% to 2% for the Level 3 inputs.

Fair Value Disclosures:

Unless otherwise described below, short-term financial instruments and receivables are carried at amounts which approximate their fair values based on their highly-liquid nature, short-term maturities and/or expected interest rates for similar instruments.

27

Table of Contents

Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We estimated the fair value of our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships based on quoted market prices for publicly-traded notes and on the discounted estimated future cash receipts. We obtain quoted market prices from a third-party mortgage banking firm or other financial institutions, who we believe through experience and accessibility to the market, has provided measurements within the fair value guidance. The discount rates used approximate current lending rates for a note or groups of notes with similar maturities and credit quality, assumes the note is outstanding through maturity and considers the note's collateral (if applicable). We have utilized market information as available or present value techniques to estimate the amounts required to be disclosed. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument.

Schedule of the fair value of our notes is as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Carrying value | \$89,363 | \$149,204 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 91,177 | 153,532 |

Tax Increment Revenue Bonds

We estimated the fair value of our held to maturity tax increment revenue bonds, which were issued by the Agency in connection with our investment in a development project in Sheridan, Colorado, based on assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing, using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis based on the expected future sales tax revenues of the development project. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the bonds, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, such as market discount rates and unobservable market-based inputs, such as future growth and inflation rates. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument.

Schedule of the fair value of our tax increment revenue bonds is as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Carrying value | \$26,505 | \$26,505 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 26,505 | 26,505 |

A reconciliation of the credit loss recognized on our tax increment revenue bonds at June 30, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Credit Loss Recognized |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2011 | \$11,717 |
| Additions | 19,305 |
| Ending balance, December 31, 2011 | 31,022 |
| Additions | — |
| Ending Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$31,022 |

Table of Contents

Debt

We estimated the fair value of our debt based on primarily quoted market prices for publicly-traded debt and on the discounted estimated future cash payments to be made for other debt. We obtain quoted market prices from a third-party mortgage banking firm or other financial institutions, who we believe through experience and accessibility to the market, has provided measurements within the fair value guidance. For inactively traded debt, our third-party provider establishes a benchmark for all REIT securities based on the largest, most liquid and most frequent investment grade securities in the REIT bond market. This benchmark is then adjusted to consider how a market participant would be compensated for risk premiums such as, longevity of maturity dates, lack of liquidity and credit quality of the issuer. The discount rates used approximate current lending rates for loans or groups of loans with similar maturities and credit quality, assumes the debt is outstanding through maturity and considers the debt's collateral (if applicable). We have utilized market information as available or present value techniques to estimate the amounts required to be disclosed. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument.

Schedule of the fair value of our debt is as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Fixed-rate debt: | | |
| Carrying value | \$1,819,541 | \$2,014,834 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 1,958,305 | 2,054,670 |
| Variable-rate debt: | | |
| Carrying value | \$375,144 | \$517,003 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 388,486 | 531,353 |

The quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used for our Level 3 fair value measurements as of June 30, 2012 reported in the above tables, is as follows:

| Description | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Inputs | Range | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----|--------|---|-------------------------------------|-----|---|-----------------------------|-----|---|-------------------------|-----|---|------------------|-----|---|------------------|------|---|------------------------------|---------|--|---|---------|--|---------------|-----|---|
| | | | | Minimum | Maximum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impaired property | \$46,375 | Broker valuation estimate | Indicative bid (1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Bona fide purchase offers | Contract prices (1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Discounted cash flows | Discount rates | Capitalization rates | Holding period (years) | 9.5 | % 10.0 | % | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Expected future inflation rates (2) | 3.0 | % | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Market rent growth rate (2) | 3.0 | % | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Expense growth rate (2) | 3.0 | % | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Vacancy rate (2) | 5.0 | % | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Renewal rate (2) | 75.0 | % | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average market rent rate (2) | \$10.52 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average leasing costs per square foot (2) | \$16.50 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Discount rate | 2.8 | % |

Notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships 91,177

Discounted cash flows

Discount rate

2.8 %

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----|--------|
| Tax increment revenue bonds | 26,505 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate | 7.5 | % |
| | | | Expected future growth rates | 1.0 | %4.0 % |
| | | | Expected future inflation rates | 1.0 | %2.0 % |
| Fixed-rate debt | 1,958,305 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rates | 1.0 | %6.4 % |
| Variable-rate debt | 388,486 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rates | 1.4 | %5.0 % |

(1) These fair values were developed by third parties, subject to our corroboration for reasonableness.

(2) Only applies to one property valuation.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performances or achievements. As described in "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to, (i) disruptions in financial markets, (ii) general economic and local real estate conditions, (iii) the inability of major tenants to continue paying their rent obligations due to bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn in their business, (iv) financing risks, such as the inability to obtain equity, debt, or other sources of financing on favorable terms, (v) changes in governmental laws and regulations, (vi) the level and volatility of interest rates, (vii) the availability of suitable acquisition opportunities, (viii) the ability to dispose properties, (ix) changes in expected development activity, (x) increases in operating costs, (xi) tax matters, including failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust, could have adverse consequences and (xii) investments through real estate joint ventures and partnerships involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor. Accordingly, there is no assurance that our expectations will be realized. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the comparative summary of selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and trends which might appear should not be taken as indicative of future operations. Our results of operations and financial condition, as reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related footnotes, are subject to management's evaluation and interpretation of business conditions, retailer performance, changing capital market conditions and other factors which could affect the ongoing viability of our tenants.

Executive Overview

Weingarten Realty Investors is a REIT organized under the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping centers and other properties we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

We operate a portfolio of rental properties, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers, that totals approximately 63.8 million square feet. We have a diversified tenant base with our largest tenant comprising only 3.3% of total rental revenues during the first six months of 2012.

Our long-term strategy is to focus on improving our core operations and increasing shareholder value. We accomplish this through hands-on leasing and management, selective redevelopment of the existing portfolio of properties, disciplined growth from strategic acquisitions and new developments, as well as dispositions of assets that no longer meet our ownership criteria. We remain committed to maintaining a conservatively leveraged balance sheet, a well-staggered debt maturity schedule and strong credit agency ratings.

During 2011, we announced our intentions to dispose of over \$600 million of non-core operating properties over the next few years, which will recycle capital for growth opportunities, strengthen our operating fundamentals and allow for further deleveraging of our balance sheet. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we have successfully disposed of \$93.6 million, both directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships and excluding the

industrial portfolio agreement referred to below. We have approximately \$189.3 million currently under contracts or letters of intent. Upon the completion of this program, we believe our remaining portfolio of retail properties will be among the strongest in our sector.

30

Table of Contents

In addition to the capital recycling described above, in May 2012, we sold a portfolio of wholly-owned industrial properties for a gross selling price of \$382.4 million to DRA Fund VII LLC, an affiliate of DRA Advisors LLC. The portfolio consisted of 52 industrial properties, aggregating approximately 9.6 million square feet located in Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia. We are actively marketing our remaining industrial assets which are all held in unconsolidated joint ventures, and we expect to dispose of our interests during 2012. By exiting the industrial real estate market, we have successfully accomplished our goal of positioning ourselves as a REIT dedicated to the retail real estate market.

As we are generally selling lower tier, non-core assets, access to capital remains an issue. Even with these conditions, we continue to believe we will successfully execute our disposition plan; although continued weakness in the CMBS debt markets and a downturn in the economy could impact our ability to execute this plan.

Despite the substantial competition for quality acquisition opportunities, we have been able to close on \$118.3 million during the first six months of 2012. We will continue to identify selected properties that meet our return hurdles and to actively evaluate other opportunities as they enter the market.

We strive to maintain a strong, conservative capital structure which provides ready access to a variety of attractive long and short-term capital sources. We carefully balance lower cost short-term financing with long-term liabilities associated with acquired or developed long-term assets. The funds from the industrial transaction allowed us to significantly reduce our debt levels. Specifically, we paid off our \$200 million term loan, a \$115 million 5.3% fixed-rate medium term note and reduced amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility which strengthens our balance sheet and further enhances our access to various sources of capital. While the availability of capital has improved over the past year, there can be no assurance that such pricing and availability will not deteriorate in the future.

At June 30, 2012, we owned or operated under long-term leases, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, a total of 316 developed income-producing properties and 11 properties under various stages of construction and development. The total number of centers includes 301 neighborhood and community shopping centers and 26 other operating properties located in 21 states spanning the country from coast to coast. We also owned interests in 39 parcels of land held for development that totaled approximately 29.2 million square feet.

We had approximately 6,900 leases with 4,700 different tenants at June 30, 2012.

Leases for our properties range from less than a year for smaller spaces to over 25 years for larger tenants. Rental revenues generally include minimum lease payments, which often increase over the lease term, reimbursements of property operating expenses, including real estate taxes, and additional rent payments based on a percentage of the tenants' sales. Our anchor tenants are supermarkets, value-oriented apparel/discount stores and other retailers or service providers who generally sell basic necessity-type goods and services. Through this challenging economic environment, we believe the stability of our anchor tenants, combined with convenient locations, attractive and well-maintained properties, high quality retailers and a strong tenant mix, should ensure the long-term success of our merchants and the viability of our portfolio.

Operational Metrics

In assessing the performance of our properties, management carefully monitors various operating metrics of the portfolio. Below are performance metrics associated with our occupancy, same property net operating income ("SPNOI") growth and leasing activity on a pro rata basis.

| | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | |
|------------|------------------|--------|---|
| Occupancy: | | | |
| Retail | 93.7 | % 92.4 | % |
| Total | 93.4 | % 91.2 | % |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| SPNOI Growth: (1) | | | |
| Retail | 2.9 | % 3.8 | % |
| Total | 2.9 | % 3.7 | % |

(1) See Non-GAAP Financial Measures for a definition of the measurement of SPNOI and a reconciliation to operating income.

| | Number of Leases | Square Feet | Average New Rent per Square Foot (\$) | Average Prior Rent per Square Foot (\$) | Average Cost of Tenant Improvements per Square Foot (\$) | Change in Base Rent on Cash Basis | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---|---|--|--|----|
| Leasing Activity: | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Retail new leases (1) | 86 | 219 | \$18.74 | \$17.81 | \$23.25 | 5.2 | % |
| Retail renewals | 217 | 572 | 17.51 | 16.98 | 0.15 | 3.1 | % |
| Other | 7 | 68 | 4.51 | 4.46 | 0.48 | 1.2 | % |
| Not comparable spaces | 86 | 481 | | | | | |
| Total | 396 | 1,340 | \$16.80 | \$16.20 | \$6.06 | 3.7 | % |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Retail new leases (1) | 157 | 474 | \$17.05 | \$16.25 | \$19.12 | 4.9 | % |
| Retail renewals | 464 | 1,816 | 15.11 | 14.46 | 0.05 | 4.5 | % |
| Other | 35 | 527 | 4.69 | 4.72 | 0.56 | (0.6) |)% |
| Not comparable spaces | 149 | 660 | | | | | |
| Total | 805 | 3,477 | \$13.49 | \$12.94 | \$3.35 | 4.2 | % |

(1) Average lease commissions per square foot for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$3.41 and \$3.88, respectively.

The operating metrics of our retail portfolio continue to strengthen as the economy continues to stabilize. Our retail portfolio delivered exceptional operating results with:

- an increase in occupancy of 1.3% over the second quarter of 2011;
- an increase of 1.0% in small shop (spaces less than 10,000 square feet) occupancy over the first quarter of 2012, as well as, a 1.5% increase over the second quarter of 2011;
- an increase of 3.8% SPNOI over the the first six months of 2011; and
- rental rate increases through six months of 4.2%, which includes an increase of 4.9% on new leases.

Table of Contents

While we will continue to monitor the economy and the effects on our tenants, we believe the significant diversification of our portfolio, both geographically and by tenant base, and the quality of our portfolio will allow us to maintain similar occupancy levels as we move through the remainder of 2012, assuming no bankruptcies by multiple national or regional tenants. A stabilization in economic conditions and a reduction in quality retail space available contributed to the increase in overall rental rates on a same-space basis as we completed new leases and renewed existing leases, primarily from our retail portfolio. While we have achieved a 4.9% growth in rental rates for the first six months of this year on new retail leases, maintaining this level of positive rental growth on new leases for the remainder of 2012 is not assured.

New Development

At June 30, 2012, we had 11 properties in various stages of construction and development. We have funded \$160.5 million to date on these projects, and we estimate our investment upon completion to be \$194.0 million, after consideration of proceeds from anticipated land sales and tax incentive financing which is estimated to be \$24.6 million. Overall, the average projected stabilized return on investment for these properties is approximately 7.1% upon completion.

We have approximately \$126.6 million in land held for development at June 30, 2012. We continue to experience greater levels of interest compared to previous years in our land held for development from retailers and other market participants. Also, certain tracts of land have been designated for transition to land under development as additional phases of existing developments become feasible. We continue to pursue additional development and redevelopment opportunities in multiple markets; however, finding the right opportunities remains very challenging.

Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

Acquisitions are a key component of our long-term strategy. The availability of quality acquisition opportunities in the market remains sporadic. Competition for the highest quality core properties is intense which has in many cases driven pricing to pre-recession highs. We remain disciplined in approaching these opportunities, pursuing only those that provide appropriate risk-adjusted returns.

The use of joint venture arrangements is another facet of our long-term strategy. Partnering with institutional investors through real estate joint ventures enables us to acquire high quality assets in our target markets while also meeting our financial return objectives. Under these arrangements, we benefit from access to lower-cost capital, as well as leveraging our expertise to provide fee-based services, such as acquisition, leasing, property management and asset management, to the joint ventures.

An analysis of our equity method investments in real estate joint ventures and partnerships, specifically our industrial real estate joint ventures, resulted in an impairment charge of \$6.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 based on third party offers to buy our interest in these joint ventures. Also, we recognized an impairment charge of \$19.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 on various properties in our real estate joint ventures and partnerships as these properties are being marketed for sale.

Fee income from joint venture and third party managed operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$3.4 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. This fee income is based upon revenues, net income, collected cash and in some cases appraised property values. In 2012, we anticipate these fees will be consistent with our 2011 performance until we exit our industrial joint venture interests; which would result in a reduction of approximately \$.3 million.

Dispositions

Dispositions are also a key component of our ongoing management process where we selectively prune properties from our portfolio that no longer meet our geographic or growth targets. Dispositions provide capital, which may be recycled into properties that have high barrier-to-entry locations within high growth metropolitan markets, and thus have higher long-term growth potential. Additionally, proceeds from dispositions may be used to reduce outstanding debt, further deleveraging our balance sheet. Over time, we expect this will produce a portfolio with higher occupancy rates and stronger internal revenue growth.

Our disposition program may be impacted by market pricing conditions and debt financing available to prospective purchasers. After specifically identifying potential disposition properties and analyzing current market data, we recognized an impairment charge of \$8.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 on properties which we

believe are probable to sell as part of this initiative.

Table of Contents

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and contingencies as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

A disclosure of our critical accounting policies which affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during 2012, and there are no accounting pronouncements that have been issued, but not yet adopted, that we believe will have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

The following table is a summary of certain items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, which we believe represent items that significantly changed during the second quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Change | % Change | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | |
| Revenues | \$125,620 | \$123,325 | \$2,295 | 1.9 | % |
| Real estate taxes, net | 14,744 | 13,736 | 1,008 | 7.3 | % |
| Impairment loss | 4,293 | 18,891 | (14,598) | (77.3) |)% |
| Interest expense, net | 29,311 | 37,036 | (7,725) | (20.9) |)% |
| Equity in (losses) earnings of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | (15,695) |) 3,579 | (19,274) | (538.5) |)% |

Revenues

The increase in revenues of \$2.3 million is primarily attributable to an increase in net rental revenues of \$5.2 million associated with an increase in occupancy and rental rates, the acquisition of three properties in 2012 and two properties in 2011, as well as new development completions. Offsetting this net rental revenue increase is a decrease in other income of \$2.9 million, which was attributable to a decline in lease cancellation and miscellaneous revenue.

Real Estate Taxes, net

The increase in real estate taxes results primarily from rate and valuation changes.

Impairment Loss

The impairment loss in 2012 of \$4.3 million is largely attributable to four properties being marketed for sale, while the impairment loss in 2011 of \$18.9 million related primarily to the net credit loss on the exchange of the tax increment revenue bonds.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense, net

Net interest expense decreased \$7.7 million or 20.9%. The components of net interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross interest expense | \$30,472 | \$37,354 |
| Amortization of convertible bond discount | — | 572 |
| Over-market mortgage adjustment | (350 |) (573 |
| Capitalized interest | (811 |) (317 |
| Total | \$29,311 | \$37,036 |

Gross interest expense totaled \$30.5 million in the second quarter of 2012, down \$6.9 million or 18.4% from the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in gross interest expense was due primarily to the refinancing of notes and mortgages through our revolving credit facility. In the second quarter of 2012, the weighted average debt outstanding was \$2.4 billion at a weighted average interest rate of 5.1% as compared to \$2.5 billion outstanding at a weighted average interest rate of 6.1% in 2011. The amortization of convertible bond discount ceased in July 2011.

Equity in (Losses) Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net

The decrease of \$19.3 million is mainly due to impairment losses in 2012, which totaled \$19.9 million.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

The following table is a summary of certain items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, which we believe represent items that significantly changed during the first six months of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | % Change | |
| Revenues | \$247,054 | \$240,531 | \$6,523 | 2.7 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 68,431 | 66,154 | 2,277 | 3.4 | % |
| Operating expenses | 47,103 | 44,925 | 2,178 | 4.8 | % |
| Impairment loss | 11,145 | 19,661 | (8,516 |) (43.3 |)% |
| General and administrative expenses | 14,684 | 13,136 | 1,548 | 11.8 | % |
| Interest expense, net | 60,740 | 73,649 | (12,909 |) (17.5 |)% |
| Gain on sale of real estate joint venture and partnership interests | 5,562 | — | 5,562 | — | % |
| Equity in (losses) earnings of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | (11,620 |) 6,976 | (18,596 |) (266.6 |)% |

Revenues

The increase in revenues of \$6.5 million is primarily attributable to an increase in net rental revenues of \$9.2 million associated with an increase in occupancy and rental rates, the acquisition of three properties in 2012 and two properties in 2011, as well as new development completions. Offsetting this net rental revenue increase is a decrease in other income of \$2.7 million, which was attributable to a decline in lease cancellation and miscellaneous revenue.

Depreciation and Amortization

The increase of \$2.3 million is due to new development completions, the acquisition of three properties in 2012 and two properties in 2011 and other capital activities.

Operating Expenses

The increase in operating expenses of \$2.2 million is primarily attributable to an increase in maintenance repair expenses of the portfolio totaling \$1.1 million. Also, management fees have increased \$.6 million due to the fair value increase of \$.2 million in the assets held in a grantor trust related to our deferred compensation plan and an increase in salary expense related to our long-term incentive plan.

Table of Contents**Impairment Loss**

The impairment loss in 2012 of \$11.1 million is mainly attributable to four properties being marketed for sale, an equity interest in an unconsolidated real estate joint venture that owns industrial properties, and an unimproved land parcel. The impairment loss in 2011 of \$19.7 million related primarily to the net credit loss on the exchange of the tax increment revenue bonds, a purchase option on a medical building and three tracts of undeveloped land.

General and Administrative Expenses

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$1.5 million resulted primarily from the 2012 issuance of share awards to newly retirement eligible individuals.

Interest Expense, net

Net interest expense decreased \$12.9 million or 17.5%. The components of net interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross interest expense | \$62,796 | \$74,519 |
| Amortization of convertible bond discount | — | 1,144 |
| Over-market mortgage adjustment | (462 |) (1,360 |
| Capitalized interest | (1,594 |) (654 |
| Total | \$60,740 | \$73,649 |

Gross interest expense totaled \$62.8 million in the first six months of 2012, down \$11.7 million or 15.7% from the first six months of 2011. The decrease in gross interest expense was due primarily to the reduction in interest rates as a result of refinancing notes and mortgages through the revolving credit facility. For the first six months of 2012, the weighted average debt outstanding was \$2.4 billion at a weighted average interest rate of 5.1% as compared to \$2.6 billion outstanding at a weighted average interest rate of 5.8% in 2011. The amortization of convertible bond discount ceased in July 2011.

Gain on Sale of Real Estate Joint Venture and Partnership Interests

The increase of \$5.6 million is attributable to the sale of an interest in three unconsolidated real estate joint ventures during 2012.

Equity in (Losses) Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net

The decrease of \$18.6 million is mainly due to impairment losses in 2012, which totaled \$19.9 million.

Effects of Inflation

We have structured our leases in such a way as to remain largely unaffected should significant inflation occur. Most of the leases contain percentage rent provisions whereby we receive increased rentals based on the tenants' gross sales. Many leases provide for increasing minimum rental rates during the terms of the leases through escalation provisions. In addition, many of our leases are for terms of less than 10 years, allowing us to adjust rental rates to changing market conditions when the leases expire. Most of our leases also require the tenants to pay their proportionate share of operating expenses and real estate taxes. As a result of these lease provisions, increases due to inflation, as well as real estate tax rate increases, generally do not have a significant adverse effect upon our operating results as they are absorbed by our tenants. Under the current economic climate, little to no inflation is occurring.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our primary liquidity needs are paying our common and preferred dividends, maintaining and operating our existing properties, paying our debt service costs, excluding debt maturities, and funding capital expenditures. Under our 2012 business plan, cash flows from operating activities are expected to meet these planned capital needs.

Table of Contents

The primary sources of capital for funding any debt maturities and acquisitions are our revolving credit facility; proceeds from both secured and unsecured debt issuances; proceeds from common and preferred equity issuances; cash generated from the sale of property and the formation of joint ventures; and cash flow generated by our operating properties. Amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility are retired as needed with proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, common and preferred equity, cash generated from the disposition of properties and cash flow generated by our operating properties.

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$229.0 million outstanding under our credit facilities and our debt maturities remaining in 2012 totaled \$103.4 million. We believe proceeds from our disposition program for 2012, including the wholly-owned industrial portfolio sale, combined with our available capacity under the revolving credit facilities, will provide adequate liquidity to fund our capital needs. In the event our disposition program does not progress as expected, we believe other debt and equity alternatives are available to us. Although external market conditions are not within our control, we do not currently foresee any reasons that would prevent us from entering the capital markets if needed.

For the first six months of 2012, we have received gross sales proceeds of \$476.0 million related to dispositions. These proceeds were used to pay down our \$200.0 million unsecured term loan and a \$115.0 million 5.3% fixed-rate medium term note that matured in May 2012, and to fund \$118.3 million in acquisitions. Operating cash flows from discontinued operations are included in net cash from operating activities in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, while proceeds from discontinued operations are included as investing activities. Currently, discontinued operations represent 14.2% of our net cash from operating activities, and we would expect future net cash from operating activities to decrease accordingly when compared to prior periods.

We have non-recourse debt secured by acquired or developed properties held in several of our real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Off balance sheet mortgage debt for our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$551.0 million, of which our ownership percentage is \$196.1 million at June 30, 2012. Scheduled principal mortgage payments on this debt, excluding non-cash related items totaling \$1.5 million, at 100% are as follows (in millions):

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| 2012 remaining | \$23.8 |
| 2013 | 55.4 |
| 2014 | 116.4 |
| 2015 | 41.6 |
| 2016 | 98.0 |
| Thereafter | 214.3 |
| Total | \$549.5 |

We hedge the future cash flows of certain debt transactions, as well as changes in the fair value of our debt instruments, principally through interest rate contracts with major financial institutions. We generally have the right to sell or otherwise dispose of our assets except in certain cases where we are required to obtain our joint venture partners' consent or a third party consent for assets held in special purpose entities, which are 100% owned by us.

Investing Activities:**Acquisitions and Joint Ventures**

During 2012, we acquired two shopping centers and other retail property for approximately \$118.3 million.

Dispositions

During 2012, we sold 13 shopping centers, 53 industrial properties and other retail property, either directly or through our interests in real estate joint ventures and partnerships. We also sold three unconsolidated real estate joint venture interests and assigned a 75% consolidated joint venture interest to our partner. Aggregate gross sales proceeds including the assumption of debt from these transactions totaled \$476.0 million and generated gains of \$41.1 million.

New Development

At June 30, 2012, we had 11 projects under various stages of construction and development with a total square footage of approximately 2.1 million. Overall, we expect our investment in these properties upon completion to be \$194.0 million, net of future proceeds from land sales and tax incentive financing of \$24.6 million.

Table of Contents

Our new development projects are financed initially under our revolving credit facility, as it is our practice not to use third party construction financing. Management monitors amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and periodically pays down such balances using cash generated from operations, from both secured and unsecured debt issuances, from common and preferred share issuances and from dispositions of properties.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures for additions to the existing portfolio, acquisitions, new development and our share of investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships are as follows (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Acquisitions | \$ 122,217 |
| New Development | 15,984 |
| Redevelopment | 1,672 |
| Other | 34,666 |
| Total | \$ 174,539 |

For the year, we anticipate our acquisitions to total between \$200 million and \$250 million. Our new development investment for the year is estimated to be approximately \$75 million to \$100 million of which completed investment amounts of these projects is discussed above. Further, we have entered into commitments aggregating \$67.3 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months and anticipated to be funded under our revolving credit facility.

Financing Activities:Debt

Total debt outstanding was \$2.2 billion at June 30, 2012 and included \$1.8 billion on which interest rates are fixed and \$375.1 million, including the effect of \$118.7 million of interest rate contracts, which bears interest at variable rates. Additionally, of our total debt, \$937.6 million was secured by operating properties while the remaining \$1.3 billion was unsecured.

We have a \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility, which expires in September 2015 and provides borrowing rates that float at a margin over LIBOR plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee, which are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, are currently 125.0 and 25.0 basis points, respectively. The facility also contains a competitive bid feature that will allow us to request bids for up to \$250 million. Additionally, an accordion feature allows us to increase the facility amount up to \$700 million. As of July 31, 2012, we had \$175.0 million outstanding, and the available balance was \$322.6 million, net of \$2.4 million in outstanding letters of credit. We also have an agreement with a bank for an unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility totaling \$99 million that we maintain for cash management purposes. The facility provides for fixed interest rate loans at a 30 day LIBOR rate plus a borrowing margin based on market liquidity. As of July 31, 2012, we had \$45.2 million outstanding under this facility, and the available balance was \$53.8 million.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the maximum balance and weighted average balance outstanding under both facilities combined were \$281.7 million and \$169.7 million, respectively, at a weighted average interest rate of 1.3%. We used the proceeds from the sale of our wholly-owned industrial properties to pay off our \$200 million term loan, a \$115 million 5.3% fixed-rate medium term note that matured in May 2012, and reduced amounts outstanding under our \$500 million revolving credit facility.

Our five most restrictive covenants include debt to assets, secured debt to assets, fixed charge and unencumbered interest coverage and debt yield ratios. We believe we were in full compliance with our public debt and revolving credit facility covenants as of June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Our public debt covenant ratios as defined in our indenture agreement were as follows at June 30, 2012:

| Covenant | Restriction | Actual |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Debt to Asset Ratio | Less than 60.0% | 42.1% |
| Secured Debt to Asset Ratio | Less than 40.0% | 17.9% |
| Fixed Charge Ratio | Greater than 1.5 | 2.8 |
| Unencumbered Asset Test | Greater than 100% | 273.8% |

At June 30, 2012, we had four interest rate contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$118.7 million that were designated as fair value hedges and convert fixed interest payments at rates ranging from 4.2% to 7.5% to variable interest payments ranging from 0.5% to 4.4%.

We also have three interest rate contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$26.8 million that were designated as cash flow hedges. These contracts have maturities through September 2017 and either fix or cap interest rates ranging from 2.3% to 5.0%. We have determined that these contracts are highly effective in offsetting future variable interest cash flows.

We could be exposed to losses in the event of nonperformance by the counter-parties related to our interest rate contracts; however, management believes such nonperformance is unlikely.

Equity

In February 2012, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase in our quarterly dividend rate for our common shares from \$0.275 to \$0.290 per share commencing with the first quarter 2012 distribution. Common and preferred dividends paid totaled \$86.7 million during the first six months of 2012. Our dividend payout ratio (as calculated as dividends paid on common shares divided by funds from operations (“FFO”) - basic) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 approximated 63.6%, respectively, which is inclusive of non-cash transactions including impairment charges and other non-cash items.

We will continue to closely monitor both the debt and equity markets and carefully consider our available financing alternatives, including both public offerings and private placements.

Contractual Obligations

We have debt obligations related to our mortgage loans and unsecured debt, including any draws on our revolving credit facilities. We have shopping centers that are subject to non-cancelable long-term ground leases where a third party owns and has leased the underlying land to us to construct and/or operate a shopping center. In addition, we have non-cancelable operating leases pertaining to office space from which we conduct our business. The table below excludes obligations related to our new development projects because such amounts are not fixed or determinable, and commitments aggregating \$67.3 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months. The following table summarizes our primary contractual obligations as of June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Remaining 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Thereafter | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Mortgages and Notes Payable (1) | | | | | | | |
| Unsecured Debt | \$107,334 | \$218,355 | \$339,571 | \$338,442 | \$93,651 | \$285,596 | \$1,382,949 |
| Secured Debt | 63,688 | 191,618 | 198,675 | 182,004 | 181,193 | 335,129 | 1,152,307 |
| Lease Payments | 1,794 | 3,548 | 3,184 | 2,956 | 2,623 | 121,295 | 135,400 |
| Other Obligations (2) | 26,433 | 37,734 | | | | | 64,167 |
| Total Contractual Obligations | \$199,249 | \$451,255 | \$541,430 | \$523,402 | \$277,467 | \$742,020 | \$2,734,823 |

(1) Includes principal and interest with interest on variable-rate debt calculated using rates at June 30, 2012, excluding the effect of interest rate swaps. Also, excludes a \$74.1 million debt service guaranty liability.

(2) Other obligations may include income and real estate tax payments, commitments associated with our secured debt, contributions to our retirement plan and other employee payments. No amounts have been included in the table for contributions related to our retirement plan as the required contribution for 2012 has been fully funded.

Table of Contents

Related to our investment in a development project in Sheridan, Colorado, we, our joint venture partner and the joint venture have each provided a guaranty for the payment of any debt service shortfalls on tax increment revenue bonds issued in connection with the project. In 2011, the Agency reissued \$74.1 million of Series A bonds used for an urban renewal project. The bonds are to be repaid with incremental sales and property taxes and a PIF to be assessed on current and future retail sales and, to the extent necessary, any amounts we may have to provide under a guaranty. The incremental taxes and PIF are to remain intact until the earlier of the payment of the bond liability in full or 2040. The debt associated with this guaranty has been recorded in our consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2012.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2012, none of our off balance sheet arrangements had a material effect on our liquidity or availability of, or requirement for, our capital resources. Letters of credit totaling \$3.4 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2012.

We have entered into several unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Under many of these agreements, we and our joint venture partners are required to fund operating capital upon shortfalls in working capital. We have also committed to fund the capital requirements of several new development joint ventures. As operating manager of most of these entities, we have considered these funding requirements in our business plan.

Reconsideration events, including changes in variable interests, could cause us to consolidate these joint ventures and partnerships. We continuously evaluate these events as we become aware of them. Some triggers to be considered are additional contributions required by each partner and each partner's ability to make those contributions. Under certain of these circumstances, we may purchase our partner's interest. Our material unconsolidated real estate joint ventures are with entities which appear sufficiently stable; however, if market conditions were to continue to deteriorate and our partners are unable to meet their commitments, there is a possibility we may have to consolidate these entities. If we were to consolidate all of our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures, we would still be in compliance with our debt covenants.

As of June 30, 2012, two unconsolidated real estate joint ventures were determined to be VIEs through the issuance of secured loans, since the lenders have the ability to make decisions that could have a significant impact on the profitability of the entities. Our maximum risk of loss associated with these VIEs was limited to \$33.5 million at June 30, 2012.

We have a real estate limited partnership agreement with a foreign institutional investor to purchase up to \$280 million of retail properties in various states. Our ownership in this unconsolidated real estate limited partnership is 51%. To date, no properties have been purchased.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Certain of our key performance indicators are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Management uses these measures along with our GAAP financial statements in order to evaluate our operating results. We believe these additional measures provided users of our financial information additional comparable indicators of our industry, as well as, our performance.

Funds from Operations

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") defines FFO as net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items and gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets and interests in real estate equity investments, plus depreciation and amortization of operating properties and impairment of depreciable real estate and in substance real estate equity investments, including our share of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. We calculate FFO in a manner consistent with the NAREIT definition.

Table of Contents

We believe FFO is a widely recognized measure of REIT operating performance which provides our shareholders with a relevant basis for comparison among other REITs. Management uses FFO as a supplemental internal measure to conduct and evaluate our business because there are certain limitations associated with using GAAP net income by itself as the primary measure of our operating performance. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, management believes that the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that uses historical cost accounting is insufficient by itself. There can be no assurance that FFO presented by us is comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income or other measurements under GAAP as an indicator of our operating performance or to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as a measure of liquidity. FFO does not reflect working capital changes, cash expenditures for capital improvements or principal payments on indebtedness.

FFO is calculated as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | June 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$22,550 | \$(7,166) | \$34,878 | \$61 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 33,321 | 37,999 | 70,940 | 74,927 |
| Depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships | 5,363 | 5,629 | 11,007 | 11,593 |
| Impairment of operating properties and real estate equity investments | 5,051 | 2,473 | 14,830 | 3,235 |
| Impairment of operating properties of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships | 19,889 | — | 19,889 | 411 |
| Gain on acquisition | — | (4,559) | — | (4,559) |
| Gain on sale of property and interests in real estate equity investments | (31,334) | (90) | (40,907) | (1,150) |
| (Gain) loss on sale of property of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships | (123) | — | (123) | 10 |
| Funds from operations – basic and diluted | \$54,717 | \$34,286 | \$110,514 | \$84,528 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – basic | 120,661 | 120,345 | 120,571 | 120,244 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Share options and awards | 1,034 | — | 991 | 967 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted | 121,695 | 120,345 | 121,562 | 121,211 |
| Funds from operations per share – basic | \$0.45 | \$0.28 | \$0.92 | \$0.70 |
| Funds from operations per share – diluted | \$0.45 | \$0.28 | \$0.91 | \$0.70 |
| Same Property Net Operating Income | | | | |

We consider SPNOI to be a key indicator of our financial performance as it provides a better indication of the recurring cash return on our properties by excluding certain non-cash revenues and expenses, as well as other infrequent or one-time items. We believe a pro rata basis is the most useful measurement as it provides our proportional share of SPNOI from all owned properties, including our share of SPNOI from unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships, which cannot be readily determined under GAAP measurements and presentation. Although SPNOI is a widely used measure among REITs, there can be no assurance that SPNOI presented by us is comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs.

Table of Contents

Properties are included in the SPNOI calculation if they are owned and operated for the entirety of the most recent two fiscal year periods, except for properties for which significant redevelopment or expansion occurred during either of the periods presented and properties classified as discontinued operations. While there is judgment surrounding changes in designations, we move new development and redevelopment properties once they have stabilized, which is typically upon attainment of 90% occupancy. A rollforward of the properties included in our same property designation is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Beginning of the period | 356 | 354 |
| Properties added: | | |
| Acquisitions | — | 8 |
| New Developments | — | 6 |
| Redevelopments | — | 3 |
| Properties removed: | | |
| Dispositions | (61 |) (66 |
| Redevelopments | — | (10 |
| End of the period | 295 | 295 |

SPNOI is calculated using operating income as defined by GAAP excluding property management fees, certain non-cash revenues and expenses such as straight-line rental revenue and the related reversal of such amounts upon early lease termination, depreciation, amortization, impairment losses, general and administrative expenses and other one-time items such as lease cancellation revenue, environmental abatement costs and demolition expenses.

Consistent with the capital treatment of such costs, tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other direct leasing costs are excluded from SPNOI.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating Income | \$41,558 | \$26,995 | \$76,890 | \$68,741 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Straight-line rents | 997 | 915 | 1,829 | 3,412 |
| Lease cancellations | 136 | 1,246 | 155 | 1,264 |
| Add: | | | | |
| Property management fees | 675 | 686 | 1,612 | 1,639 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,332 | 33,457 | 68,431 | 66,154 |
| Impairment loss | 4,293 | 18,891 | 11,145 | 19,661 |
| General and administrative | 6,378 | 6,600 | 14,684 | 13,136 |
| Other (1) | (9 |) (665 |) 234 | 273 |
| Net Operating Income | 86,094 | 83,803 | 171,012 | 164,928 |
| Less: NOI related to consolidated entities not defined as same property and noncontrolling interests | (11,394 |) (11,046 |) (21,233 |) (20,170 |
| Add: Pro rata share of unconsolidated entities defined as same property | 10,319 | 9,895 | 20,454 | 19,466 |
| Same Property Net Operating Income | \$85,019 | \$82,652 | \$170,233 | \$164,224 |

(1) Other includes items such as environmental abatement costs and demolition expenses.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We use fixed and floating-rate debt to finance our capital requirements. These transactions expose us to market risk related to changes in interest rates. Derivative financial instruments are used to manage a portion of this risk, primarily interest rate contracts with major financial institutions. These agreements expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counter-parties. We do not engage in the trading of derivative financial instruments in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2012, we had fixed-rate debt of \$1.8 billion and variable-rate debt of \$375.1 million, after adjusting for the net effect of \$118.7 million notional amount of interest rate contracts. In the event interest rates were to increase 100 basis points and holding all other variables constant, annual net income and cash flows for the following year would decrease by approximately \$3.8 million associated with our variable-rate debt, including the effect of the interest rate contracts. The effect of the 100 basis points increase would decrease the fair value of our variable-rate and fixed-rate debt by approximately \$13.9 million and \$65.4 million, respectively.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of June 30, 2012. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

There has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II-OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various matters of litigation arising in the normal course of business. While we are unable to predict with certainty the amounts involved, our management and counsel believe that when such litigation is resolved, our resulting liability, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

We have no material changes to the risk factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Repurchases of our common shares of beneficial interest for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 are as follows:

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased (1) | (b) Average Price Paid Per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program | (d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Program |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| May 1, 2012 to May 31, 2012 | 1,632 | \$26.41 | | |
| June 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012 | 9,895 | \$26.34 | | |

(1) Shares repurchased are associated with employee share options exercised during this period.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None.

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.

ITEM 5. Other Information
Not applicable.

ITEM 6. Exhibits
The exhibits required by this item are set forth on the Exhibit Index attached hereto.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Andrew M. Alexander
Andrew M. Alexander
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Joe D. Shafer
Joe D. Shafer
Senior Vice President/Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

DATE: August 9, 2012

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| | |
|-----------|---|
| (a) | Exhibits: |
| 31.1* | — Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer). |
| 31.2* | — Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer). |
| 32.1** | — Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer). |
| 32.2** | — Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer). |
| 101.INS** | — XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

* Filed with this report.

** Furnished with this report.

† Management contract or compensation plan or arrangement.