

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/  
Form 10-K  
March 01, 2010

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

ON

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-9876

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TEXAS  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

74-1464203

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

2600 Citadel Plaza Drive  
P.O. Box 924133  
Houston, Texas  
(Address of principal executive offices)

77292-4133  
(Zip Code)

(713) 866-6000  
(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class  
Common Shares of Beneficial

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered  
New York Stock Exchange

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Interest, \$0.03 par value	
Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, \$0.03 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, \$0.03 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, \$0.03 par value	New York Stock Exchange
8.1% Notes due 2019	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES  NO .

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES  NO .

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES  NO

The aggregate market value of the common shares of beneficial interest held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2009 (based upon the closing sale price on the New York Stock Exchange of \$14.51) was \$1,586,451,735. As of June 30, 2009, there were 119,740,317 common shares of beneficial interest, \$.03 par value, outstanding.

As of January 31, 2010, there were 120,098,103 common shares of beneficial interest outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement relating to its Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2010 have been incorporated by reference to Part III of this Form 10-K.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.		Page No.
<b>PART I</b>		
1.	Business	1
1A.	Risk Factors	4
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	12
2.	Properties	13
3.	Legal Proceedings	28
4.	Reserved	28
<b>PART II</b>		
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	29
6.	Selected Financial Data	31
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	49
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	50
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	88
9A.	Controls and Procedures	89
9B.	Other Information	91
<b>PART III</b>		
10.	Trust Managers, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	91
11.	Executive Compensation	91
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	92
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trust Manager Independence	92
14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	92
<b>PART IV</b>		
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	93
	Signatures	98

## Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 10-K, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “project,” or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performances or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to, (i) disruptions in financial markets, (ii) general economic and local real estate conditions, (iii) the inability of major tenants to continue paying their rent obligations due to bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn in their business, (iv) financing risks, such as the inability to obtain equity, debt, or other sources of financing on favorable terms, (v) changes in governmental laws and regulations, (vi) the level and volatility of interest rates, (vii) the availability of suitable acquisition opportunities, (viii) changes in expected development activity, (ix) increases in operating costs, (x) tax matters, including failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust, could have adverse consequences and (xi) investments through real estate joint ventures and partnerships involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor. Accordingly, there is no assurance that our expectations will be realized. For further discussion of the factors that could materially affect the outcome of our forward-looking statements and our future results and financial condition, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors.”

For these statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this annual report on Form 10-K or the date of any document incorporated herein by reference. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-K.

## PART I

### ITEM Business

#### 1.

**General.** Weingarten Realty Investors is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) organized under the Texas Real Estate Investment Trust Act. Effective January 1, 2010, the Texas Real Estate Investment Trust Act was replaced by the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping and industrial centers we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

At December 31, 2009, we owned or operated under long-term leases, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, a total of 376 developed income-producing properties and 10 properties under various stages of construction and development. The total number of centers includes 307 neighborhood and community shopping centers located in 22 states spanning the country from coast to coast. We also owned 76 industrial projects located in California, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia and three other operating properties located in Arizona and Texas. The portfolio of properties is approximately 70.0 million square feet.

We also owned interests in 42 parcels of land held for development that totaled approximately 36.1 million square feet.

At December 31, 2009, we employed 387 full-time persons and our principal executive offices are located at 2600 Citadel Plaza Drive, Houston, Texas 77008, and our phone number is (713) 866-6000. We also have nine regional offices located in various parts of the United States.

**Investment and Operating Strategy.** Our long-term investment strategy is to focus on increasing funds from operations and shareholder value. We do this through intensive hands-on leasing, management of our portfolio of assets, selective remerchandising and renovation of properties, the acquisition and development of income-producing real estate assets where the returns on such investments exceed our blended long-term cost of capital and through the disposition of assets that no longer meet our ownership criteria. We do this while remaining committed to maintaining a conservative balance sheet, a well-staggered debt maturity schedule and strong credit agency ratings. Due to the current economy, we are focusing our current efforts on improving our operating fundamentals and increasing shareholder value. While the number of attractive acquisition and redevelopment opportunities entering the market has been minimal, we are also positioning ourselves to take advantage of any growth opportunities as they present themselves.

At December 31, 2009, neighborhood and community shopping centers generated 89.4% of total revenue and industrial properties accounted for 9.3%. We expect to continue to focus the future growth of the portfolio in neighborhood and community centers and bulk industrial properties in markets where we currently operate as well as other markets primarily throughout the United States. We do not anticipate significant investment in other classes of real estate such as multi-family or office assets.

We may either purchase or lease income-producing properties in the future, and may also participate with other entities in property ownership through partnerships, joint ventures or similar types of co-ownership. Equity investments may be subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or such financing or indebtedness may be incurred in connection with acquiring such investments.

We may invest in mortgages; however, we have traditionally invested in first mortgages to real estate joint ventures or partnerships in which we own an equity interest. We may also invest in securities of other issuers for the purpose, among others, of exercising control over such entities, subject to the gross income and asset tests necessary for REIT qualification.

Our operating strategy consists of intensive hands-on management and leasing of our properties. In acquiring and developing properties, we attempt to accumulate enough properties in a geographic area to allow for the establishment of a regional office, which enables us to obtain in-depth knowledge of the market from a leasing perspective and to have easy access to the property and our tenants from a management viewpoint.

Diversification from both a geographic and tenancy perspective is a critical component of our operating strategy. While approximately 34.4% of the building square footage of our properties is located in the State of Texas, we continue to expand our holdings outside the state. With respect to tenant diversification, our two largest tenants accounted for 2.6% and 1.7%, respectively, of our total rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009. No other tenant accounted for more than 1.4% of our total rental revenues.

We finance our growth and working capital needs in a conservative manner. Our credit ratings were BBB from Standard & Poors and Baa2 from Moody's Investor Services as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. We intend to maintain a conservative approach to managing our balance sheet, which, in turn, gives us many options to raising debt or equity capital when needed. At December 31, 2009, our ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission, not based on funds from operations, was 1.4 to 1 and our debt to total assets before depreciation was 44.1%.

Our policies with respect to the investment and operating strategies discussed above are reviewed by our Board of Trust Managers periodically and may be modified without a vote of our shareholders.

Location of Properties. Our properties are located in 23 states, primarily throughout the southern half of the country. As of December 31, 2009, of our 386 properties, which were owned or operated under long-term leases either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, 88 are located in Houston and its surrounding areas, and an additional 66 properties are located in other parts of Texas. We also have 42 parcels of land held for development, 11 of which are located in Houston and its surrounding areas and 10 of which are located in other parts of Texas. Because of our investments in Houston and its surrounding areas, as well as in other parts of Texas, the Houston and Texas economies affect, to a large degree, our business and operations.



**Economic Factors.** Most economic indicators suggest that the recession ended mid-year 2009. While credit still has limited availability than before the recession, it is available for borrowers with strong credit ratings. High unemployment has surpassed the credit crisis of 2008 as the top factor affecting the economy. This has led to decreased consumer confidence, an increased savings rate and in turn, decreased consumer spending. Though year-over-year sales level comparisons are likely to show increases throughout 2010, due to easing comparisons over 2009, they are expected to remain at relatively low levels. These decreased sales levels are likely to translate into increased vacancy rates and rental rate reductions in the commercial real estate industry. While these economic factors have affected overall consumer spending, we have seen success in retail categories catering to value seeking customers and retailers serving basic necessity-type goods and services needs, such as supermarket stores. With the majority of our shopping centers being supermarket-anchored and located in densely populated, major metropolitan areas, our portfolio has come through the recession stronger than centers anchored by tenants with more discretionary product lines. Furthermore, the majority of our portfolio is strategically positioned in geographic areas that have been less impacted by the recent economic downturn and are forecasted to come out of recession more rapidly than the remainder of the nation. Though availability of credit is easing for strong credit borrowers, it is still difficult for local owners and companies with impaired credit. Our analysis leads to expectations for more distressed properties to come to market at significantly reduced prices. To that end, we have redeployed internal resources dedicated to identifying and purchasing such assets.

**Competition.** We compete with numerous other developers and real estate companies (both public and private), financial institutions and other investors engaged in the development, acquisition and operation of shopping centers and commercial property in our trade areas. This results in competition for the acquisition of both existing income-producing properties and prime development sites. There is also competition for tenants to occupy the space that is developed, acquired and managed by our competitors or us.

We believe that the principal competitive factors in attracting tenants in our market areas are location, price, anchor tenants and maintenance of properties. We also believe that our competitive advantages include the favorable locations of our properties, knowledge of markets and customer bases, our ability to provide a retailer with multiple locations with anchor tenants and the practice of continuous maintenance and renovation of our properties.

**Materials Available on Our Website.** Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as well as Reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 regarding our officers, trust managers or 10% beneficial owners, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a), 15(d) or 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through our website ([www.weingarten.com](http://www.weingarten.com)) as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file the material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). We have also made available on our website copies of our Audit Committee Charter, Management Development and Executive Compensation Committee Charter, Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, Code of Conduct and Ethics and Governance Policies. In the event of any changes to these charters or the code or guidelines, changed copies will also be made available on our website. You may also read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 or the SEC's Internet site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Materials on our website are not part of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Financial Information.** Additional financial information concerning us is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements located on pages 50 through 88 herein.

ITEM Risk Factors

1A.

Disruptions in the financial markets could affect our liquidity and have other adverse effects on us and the market price of our common shares of beneficial interest.

The United States and global equity and credit markets can experience significant price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, which could cause market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially and the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably. These circumstances could materially impact liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in certain cases result in the unavailability of certain types of financing. Uncertainties in the equity and credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access additional financing at reasonable terms or at all, which may negatively affect our ability to complete dispositions, form joint ventures or refinance our debt. A prolonged downturn in the equity or credit markets could cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. In addition, these factors may make it more difficult for us to sell properties or adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers may experience increased costs of financing or difficulties in obtaining financing. These events in the equity and credit markets may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of our common shares of beneficial interest ("common shares") or preferred shares. These disruptions in the financial markets also may have a material adverse effect on the market value of our common shares and preferred shares and other adverse effects on us or the economy generally. There can be no assurances that government responses to the disruptions in the financial markets will restore consumer confidence, stabilize the markets or increase liquidity and the availability of equity or credit financing.

Among the market conditions that may affect the value of our common shares and preferred shares are the following:

- § The attractiveness of real estate investment trust ("REIT") securities as compared to other securities, including securities issued by other real estate companies, fixed income equity securities and debt securities;
- § Changes in revenues or earnings estimates or publication of research reports and recommendations by financial analysts or actions taken by rating agencies with respect to our securities or those of other REITs;
- § The degree of interest held by institutional investors;
- § Speculation in the press or investment community;
- § The ability of our tenants to pay rent to us and meet their other obligations to us under current lease terms;
- § Our ability to re-lease space as leases expire;
- § Our ability to refinance our indebtedness as it matures;
- § Actual or anticipated quarterly fluctuations in our operating results and financial condition;
- § Any changes in our distribution policy;
- § Any future issuances of equity securities;
- § Strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- § General market conditions and, in particular, developments related to market conditions for the real estate industry; and
- § Domestic and international economic factors unrelated to our performance.

The volatility in the stock market can create price and volume fluctuations that may not necessarily be comparable to operating performance.

The economic performance and value of our shopping centers depend on many factors, each of which could have an adverse impact on our cash flows and operating results.

The economic performance and value of our properties can be affected by many factors, including the following:

- § Changes in the national, regional and local economic climate;
- § Changes in environmental regulatory requirements, including but not limited to legislation on global warming;
- § Local conditions such as an oversupply of space or a reduction in demand for real estate in the area;
- § The attractiveness of the properties to tenants;
- § Competition from other available space;
- § Our ability to provide adequate management services and to maintain our properties;
- § Increased operating costs, if these costs cannot be passed through to tenants;
- § The expense of periodically renovating, repairing and releasing spaces;

- § Consequence of any armed conflict involving, or terrorist attack against, the United States;
- § Our ability to secure adequate insurance;
- § Fluctuations in interest rates;
- § Changes in real estate taxes and other expenses; and
- § Availability of financing on acceptable terms or at all.

Our properties consist primarily of neighborhood and community shopping centers and, therefore, our performance is linked to general economic conditions in the market for retail space. The market for retail space has been and may continue to be adversely affected by weakness in the national, regional and local economies where our properties are located, the adverse financial condition of some large retail companies, the ongoing consolidation in the retail sector, the excess amount of retail space in a number of markets and increasing consumer purchases through catalogues and the Internet. To the extent that any of these conditions occur, they are likely to affect market rents for retail space. In addition, we may face challenges in the management and maintenance of the properties or encounter increased operating costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance and utilities, which may make our properties unattractive to tenants.

Our acquisition activities may not produce the cash flows that we expect and may be limited by competitive pressures or other factors.

We intend to acquire existing commercial properties to the extent that suitable acquisitions can be made on advantageous terms. Acquisitions of commercial properties involve risks such as:

- § Our estimates on expected occupancy and rental rates may differ from actual conditions;
- § Our estimates of the costs of any redevelopment or repositioning of acquired properties may prove to be inaccurate;
- § We may be unable to operate successfully in new markets where acquired properties are located, due to a lack of market knowledge or understanding of local economies;
- § We may be unable to successfully integrate new properties into our existing operations; or
- § We may have difficulty obtaining financing on acceptable terms or paying the operating expenses and debt service associated with acquired properties prior to sufficient occupancy.

In addition, we may not be in a position or have the opportunity in the future to make suitable property acquisitions on advantageous terms due to competition for such properties with others engaged in real estate investment. Our inability to successfully acquire new properties may have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Turmoil in capital markets could adversely impact acquisition activities and pricing of real estate assets.

Volatility in capital markets could adversely affect acquisition activities by impacting certain factors including the tightening of underwriting standards by lenders and credit rating agencies and the significant inventory of unsold Collateralized Mortgage Backed Securities in the market. These factors directly affect a lender's ability to provide debt financing as well as increase the cost of available debt financing. As a result, we may not be able to obtain favorable debt financing in the future or at all. This may result in future acquisitions generating lower overall economic returns, which may adversely affect our results of operations and distributions to shareholders. Furthermore, any turmoil in the capital markets could adversely impact the overall amount of capital available to invest in real estate, which may result in price or value decreases of real estate assets.

Our dependence on rental income may adversely affect our profitability, our ability to meet our debt obligations and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

The substantial majority of our income is derived from rental income from real property. As a result, our performance depends on our ability to collect rent from tenants. Our income and funds for distribution would be negatively affected if a significant number of our tenants, or any of our major tenants (as discussed in more detail below):

§	Delay lease commencements;
§	Decline to extend or renew leases upon expiration;
§	Fail to make rental payments when due; or
§	Close stores or declare bankruptcy.

Any of these actions could result in the termination of the tenants' lease and the loss of rental income attributable to the terminated leases. In addition, lease terminations by an anchor tenant or a failure by that anchor tenant to occupy the premises could also result in lease terminations or reductions in rent by other tenants in the same shopping centers under the terms of some leases. In these events, we cannot be sure that any tenant whose lease expires will renew that lease or that we will be able to re-lease space on economically advantageous terms. The loss of rental revenues from a number of our tenants and our inability to replace such tenants, particularly in the case of a substantial tenant with leases in multiple locations, may adversely affect our profitability, our ability to meet debt and other financial obligations and our ability to make distributions to the shareholders. For the year ended December 31, 2009, 89 of our tenants either closed stores due to bankruptcy or declared bankruptcy. Such closings and bankruptcies represent 1.9% of leasable space in our portfolio.

We may be unable to collect balances due from tenants in bankruptcy.

A tenant that files for bankruptcy protection may not continue to pay us rent. A bankruptcy filing by or relating to one of our tenants or a lease guarantor would bar all efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from the tenant or the lease guarantor, or their property, unless the bankruptcy court permits us to do so. A tenant or lease guarantor bankruptcy could delay our efforts to collect past due balances under the relevant leases and could ultimately preclude collection of these sums. If a lease is rejected by a tenant in bankruptcy, we would have only a general unsecured claim for damages. As a result, it is likely that we would recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims it holds, if at all.

Our development and construction activities could adversely affect our operating results.

We intend to continue the selective development and construction of retail properties in accordance with our development and underwriting policies as opportunities arise. Our development and construction activities include risks that:

- § We may abandon development opportunities after expending resources to determine feasibility;
- § Construction costs of a project may exceed our original estimates;
- § Occupancy rates and rents at a newly completed property may not be sufficient to make the property profitable;
- § Rental rates per square foot could be less than projected;
- § Project completion may be delayed because of a number of factors, including weather, labor disruptions, construction delays or delays in receipt of zoning or other regulatory approvals, adverse economic conditions, acts of terror or other acts of violence, or acts of God (such as fires, earthquakes or floods);
- § Financing may not be available to us on favorable terms for development of a property;
- § We may not complete construction and lease-up on schedule, resulting in increased debt service expense and construction costs; and
- § We may not be able to obtain, or may experience delays in obtaining necessary zoning, land use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations.

Additionally, the time frame required for development, construction and lease-up of these properties means that we may have to wait years for a significant cash return. If any of the above events occur, the development of properties may hinder our growth and have an adverse effect on our results of operations, including additional impairment charges. In addition, new development activities, regardless of whether or not they are ultimately successful, typically require substantial time and attention from management.

There is a lack of operating history with respect to any recent acquisitions and development of properties, and we may not succeed in the integration or management of additional properties.

These properties may have characteristics or deficiencies currently unknown to us that affect their value or revenue potential. It is also possible that the operating performance of these properties may decline under our management. As we acquire additional properties, we will be subject to risks associated with managing new properties, including lease-up and tenant retention. In addition, our ability to manage our growth effectively will require us to successfully integrate any new acquisitions into our existing management structure. We may not succeed with this integration or effectively manage additional properties. Also, newly acquired properties may not perform as expected.

Real estate property investments are illiquid, and therefore we may not be able to dispose of properties when appropriate or on favorable terms.

Real estate property investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly. In addition, the federal tax code imposes restrictions on the ability of a REIT to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. Therefore, we may not be able to quickly vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms, which could cause us to incur extended losses and reduce our cash flows and adversely affect distributions to shareholders.

Our cash flows and operating results could be adversely affected by required payments of debt or related interest and other risks of our debt financing.

We are generally subject to risks associated with debt financing. These risks include:

- § Our cash flow may not satisfy required payments of principal and interest;
- § We may not be able to refinance existing indebtedness on our properties as necessary or the terms of the refinancing may be less favorable to us than the terms of existing debt;
- § Required debt payments are not reduced if the economic performance of any property declines;
- § Debt service obligations could reduce funds available for distribution to our shareholders and funds available for capital investment;
- § Any default on our indebtedness could result in acceleration of those obligations and possible loss of property to foreclosure; and
- § The risk that necessary capital expenditures for purposes such as re-leasing space cannot be financed on favorable terms.

If a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we cannot make the mortgage payments, we may have to surrender the property to the lender with a consequent loss of any prospective income and equity value from such property. Any of these risks can place strains on our cash flows, reduce our ability to grow and adversely affect our results of operations.

Property ownership through real estate partnerships and joint ventures could limit our control of those investments and reduce our expected return.

Real estate partnership or joint venture investments may involve risks not otherwise present for investments made solely by us, including the possibility that our partner or co-venturer might become bankrupt, that our partner or co-venturer might at any time have different interests or goals than us, and that our partner or co-venturer may take action contrary to our instructions, requests, policies or objectives. Other risks of joint venture investments could include impasse on decisions, such as a sale, because neither our partner or co-venturer nor we would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. These factors could limit the return that we receive from those investments or cause our cash flows to be lower than our estimates.

Our financial condition could be adversely affected by financial covenants.

Our credit facilities and public debt indentures under which our indebtedness is, or may be, issued contain certain financial and operating covenants, including, among other things, certain coverage ratios, as well as limitations on our ability to incur secured and unsecured indebtedness, restrictions on our ability to sell all or substantially all of our assets and engage in mergers and consolidations and certain acquisitions. These covenants could limit our ability to obtain additional funds needed to address cash shortfalls or pursue growth opportunities or transactions that would provide substantial return to our shareholders. In addition, a breach of these covenants could cause a default under or



accelerate some or all of our indebtedness, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

7

---

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax as a regular corporation and could have significant tax liability.

We intend to operate in a manner that allows us to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. However, REIT qualification requires us to satisfy numerous requirements (some on an annual or quarterly basis) established under highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, for which there are a limited number of judicial or administrative interpretations. Our status as a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that are not entirely within our control. Accordingly, it is not certain we will be able to qualify and remain qualified as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Even a technical or inadvertent violation of the REIT requirements could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Furthermore, Congress or the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") might change the tax laws or regulations and the courts might issue new rulings, in each case potentially having retroactive effect that could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any tax year, then:

§ We would be taxed as a regular domestic corporation, which, among other things, means that we would be unable to deduct distributions to our shareholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates;

§ Any resulting tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to shareholders, and could force us to liquidate assets or take other actions that could have a detrimental effect on our operating results; and

§ Unless we were entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we lost our qualification, and our cash available for distribution to our shareholders therefore would be reduced for each of the years in which we do not qualify as a REIT.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow. We may also be subject to certain U.S. federal, state and local taxes on our income and property either directly or at the level of our subsidiaries. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

Compliance with REIT requirements may negatively affect our operating decisions.

To maintain our status as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must meet certain requirements, on an ongoing basis, including requirements regarding our sources of income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our shareholders and the ownership of our common shares. We may also be required to make distributions to our shareholders when we do not have funds readily available for distribution or at times when our funds are otherwise needed to fund capital expenditures.

As a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual net taxable income (excluding net capital gains) to our shareholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our income for financial reporting purposes, or our net taxable income may be greater than our cash flow available for distribution to our shareholders. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, we could be required to borrow funds, sell a portion of our securities at unfavorable prices or find other sources of funds in order to meet the REIT distribution requirements.

Dividends paid by REITs generally do not qualify for reduced tax rates.

In general, the maximum U.S. federal income tax rate for dividends paid to individual U.S. shareholders is 15% (through 2010). Unlike dividends received from a corporation that is not a REIT, our distributions to individual

shareholders generally are not eligible for the reduced rates.

Our real estate investments may contain environmental risks that could adversely affect our operating results.

The acquisition of certain assets may subject us to liabilities, including environmental liabilities. Our operating expenses could be higher than anticipated due to the cost of complying with existing or future environmental laws and regulations. In addition, under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be considered an owner or operator of real property or have arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances. As a result, we may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our property.

We may also be liable for other potential costs that could relate to hazardous or toxic substances (including governmental fines and injuries to persons and property). We may incur such liability whether or not we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. Any liability could be of substantial magnitude and divert management's attention from other aspects of our business and, as a result, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, as well as our ability to make distributions to the shareholders.

An uninsured loss or a loss that exceeds the policies on our properties could subject us to lost capital or revenue on those properties.

Under the terms and conditions of the leases currently in force on our properties, tenants generally are required to indemnify and hold us harmless from liabilities resulting from injury to persons, air, water, land or property, on or off the premises, due to activities conducted on the properties, except for claims arising from our negligence or intentional misconduct or that of our agents. Tenants are generally required, at the tenant's expense, to obtain and keep in full force during the term of the lease, liability and property damage insurance policies. We have obtained comprehensive liability, casualty, property, flood and rental loss insurance policies on our properties. All of these policies may involve substantial deductibles and certain exclusions. In addition, we cannot assure the shareholders that the tenants will properly maintain their insurance policies or have the ability to pay the deductibles. Should a loss occur that is uninsured or in an amount exceeding the combined aggregate limits for the policies noted above, or in the event of a loss that is subject to a substantial deductible under an insurance policy, we could lose all or part of our capital invested in, and anticipated revenue from, one or more of the properties, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, as well as our ability to make distributions to the shareholders.

Loss of our key personnel could adversely affect the value of our common shares and operations.

We are dependent on the efforts of our key executive personnel. Although we believe qualified replacements could be found for these key executives, the loss of their services could adversely affect the value of our common shares and operations.

Policies may be changed without obtaining the approval of our shareholders.

Our shareholders do not control any policies with respect to our operating and financial policies, including our policies regarding acquisitions, dispositions, indebtedness, operations, capitalization and dividends, which are determined by our Board of Trust Managers and management.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and fire, safety and other regulations may require us to make unintended expenditures that adversely affect our cash flows.

All of our properties are required to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). The ADA has separate compliance requirements for “public accommodations” and “commercial facilities,” but generally requires that buildings be made accessible to people with disabilities. Compliance with the ADA requirements could require removal of access barriers, and noncompliance could result in imposition of fines by the U.S. government or an award of damages to private litigants, or both. While the tenants to whom we lease properties are obligated by law to comply with the ADA provisions, and typically under tenant leases are obligated to cover costs associated with compliance, if required changes involve greater expenditures than anticipated, or if the changes must be made on a more accelerated basis than anticipated, the ability of these tenants to cover costs could be adversely affected. As a result, we could be required to expend funds to comply with the provisions of the ADA, which could adversely affect the results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make distributions to shareholders. In addition, we are required to operate the properties in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes and other land use regulations, as they may be adopted by governmental agencies and bodies and become applicable to the properties. We may be required to make substantial capital expenditures to comply with those requirements, and these expenditures could have a material adverse effect on our ability to meet the financial obligations and make distributions to our shareholders.

The future composition and quarterly cash distribution rate may change.

For each of the four quarters during 2008 and the first quarter of 2009, we paid a cash distribution at a quarterly rate of \$.525 per common share (\$2.10 per common share for the year ended December 31, 2008). Commencing with our second quarter 2009 dividend payout, we paid a cash distribution at a quarterly rate of \$.25 per common share.

While we currently expect to pay future distributions in cash, we may pay up to 90% of our distributions in common shares, as permitted by a recent IRS revenue procedure that allows us to satisfy the REIT income distribution requirement by distributing up to 90% of our distributions in common shares in lieu of paying distributions entirely in cash. In the event that we pay a portion of a distribution in common shares, which we reserve the right to do, recipients would be required to pay tax on the entire amount of the distribution, including the portion paid in common shares, in which case the recipients might have to pay the tax using cash from other sources. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. holders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to all or a portion of such distribution that is payable in common shares.

The timing, amount and composition of any future distributions to our common shareholders will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Trust Managers and will depend upon a variety of factors as to which no assurance can be given. Our ability to make distributions to our common shareholders depends, in part, upon our operating results, overall financial condition, the performance of our portfolio (including occupancy levels and rental rates), our capital requirements, access to capital, our ability to qualify for taxation as a REIT and general business and market conditions.

There may be future dilution of our common shares.

The issuance of common shares in April 2009 had a dilutive effect on our earnings per share and funds from operations per share for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Additionally, our declaration of trust authorizes our Board of Trust Managers to, among other things, issue additional common or preferred shares or securities convertible or exchangeable into equity securities, without shareholder approval. We may issue such additional equity or convertible securities to raise additional capital. The issuance of

any additional common or preferred shares or convertible securities could be substantially dilutive to holders of our common shares. Moreover, to the extent that we issue restricted shares, options, or warrants to purchase our common shares in the future and those options or warrants are exercised or the restricted shares vest, our shareholders may experience further dilution. Holders of our common shares have no preemptive rights that entitle them to purchase a pro rata share of any offering of shares of any class or series and, therefore, such sales or offerings could result in increased dilution to our shareholders.

We may issue debt and equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities, any of which may be senior to our common shares as to distributions and in liquidation, which could negatively affect the value of our common shares.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by entering into unsecured or secured debt or debt-like financings, or by issuing additional debt or equity securities, which could include issuances of medium-term notes, senior notes, subordinated notes, secured debt, guarantees, preferred shares, hybrid securities, or securities convertible into or exchangeable for equity securities. In the event of our liquidation, our lenders and holders of our debt and preferred securities would receive distributions of our available assets before distributions to the holders of our common shares. Because any decision to incur debt and issue securities in future offerings may be influenced by market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing, or nature of our future financings. Further, market conditions could require us to accept less favorable terms for the issuance of our securities in the future.

Adverse global market and economic conditions may continue to adversely affect us and could cause us to recognize additional impairment charges or otherwise harm our performance.

Recent market and economic conditions have been unprecedented and challenging with tighter credit conditions. Continued concerns about the systemic impact of the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market, inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues and declining equity and real estate markets have contributed to increased market volatility and diminished expectations for the U.S. economy. The retail shopping sector has been negatively affected by these recent market and economic conditions. These conditions may result in our tenants delaying lease commencements, declining to extend or renew leases upon expiration and/or renewing at lower rates. These conditions also have forced some weaker retailers, in some cases, to declare bankruptcy and/or close stores. Certain retailers have announced store closings even though they have not filed for bankruptcy protection. Lease terminations by certain tenants or a failure by certain tenants to occupy their premises in a shopping center could result in lease terminations or significant reductions in rent by other tenants in the same shopping center under the terms of some leases, in which case we may be unable to re-lease the vacated space at attractive rents or at all, and our rental payments from our continuing tenants could significantly decrease.

We are unable to predict whether, or to what extent or for how long, these adverse market and economic conditions will persist. The continuation and/or intensification of these conditions may impede our ability to generate sufficient operating cash flow to pay expenses, maintain properties, pay dividends and refinance debt.

As a result of the ongoing market volatility and declining market conditions, we recognized non-cash impairment charges of approximately \$38.8 million and \$52.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Ongoing adverse market and economic conditions and market volatility will likely continue to make it difficult to value the properties and investments owned by us and our unconsolidated joint ventures. There may be significant uncertainty in the valuation, or in the stability of the value, of such properties and investments that could result in a substantial decrease in the value thereof. In addition, we intend to sell certain assets over the next several years. No assurance can be given that we will be able to recover the current carrying amount of all of our properties and those of our unconsolidated joint ventures and/or our goodwill in the future. Our failure to do so would require us to recognize additional impairment charges for the period in which we reached that conclusion, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Compliance with federal and state laws and regulations on climate control may reduce the value or profitability of our properties or adversely affect our cash flow.

All of our properties are required to comply with state and federal legislation and regulation that has been made or will be made regarding climate control. These matters may cause us or our tenants to incur substantial compliance, remediation and other costs, and can prohibit or severely restrict development in environmentally sensitive regions or

areas. If not addressed, climate control issues or environmental conditions could impair our ability to sell or re-lease the affected properties in the future or result in lower sales prices or rent payments.

Currently we are subject to certain regulations regarding the future replacement of roofing on our properties located in California, the City of Houston and Burbank, Illinois, which will increase the cost of replacement roofs for those properties. Compliance with these regulations is not expected to have a material affect on our operating results.



Natural disasters and severe weather conditions could have an adverse effect on our cash flow and operating results.

Changing weather patterns and climatic conditions, such as global warming, may have added to the unpredictability and frequency of natural disasters in some parts of the world and created additional uncertainty as to future trends and exposures. Our operations are located in many areas that are subject to natural disasters and severe weather conditions such as hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, droughts, floods and fires. The occurrence of natural disasters or severe weather conditions can delay new development projects, increase investment costs to repair or replace damaged properties, increase future property insurance costs, and negatively impact the tenant demand for lease space. If insurance is unavailable to us or is unavailable on acceptable terms, or if our insurance is not adequate to cover business interruption or losses from these events, our earnings, liquidity or capital resources could be adversely affected.

We are unable to predict the effect of current governmental proposals.

The current United States administration and Congress have made, or called for consideration of, several additional proposals relating to a variety of issues, including with respect to universal healthcare, financial regulation reform, including regulation of the over the counter derivatives market, climate control, executive compensation and others. We believe that these and other potential proposals could have varying degrees of impact on us ranging from minimal to material. At this time, we are unable to predict with certainty which, if any, proposals may be passed or what level of impact any such proposal could have on us.

ITEM Unresolved Staff Comments

1B.

None.

## ITEM Properties

2.

At December 31, 2009, our real estate properties consisted of 386 locations in 23 states. A complete listing of these properties, including the name, location, building area and land area, is as follows (in square feet):

Center and Location	Building Total	Land Total
<b>Retail</b>		
<b>Arizona</b>		
Arrowhead Festival S.C., 75th Ave. at W. Bell Rd., Glendale	198,458	157,000
Basha's Valley Plaza, S. McClintock at E. Southern, Tempe	145,104	570,000
Broadway Marketplace, Broadway at Rural, Tempe	82,757	347,000
Camelback Village Square, Camelback at 7th Avenue, Phoenix	234,494	543,000
Entrada de Oro, Magee Road and Oracle Road, Tucson	109,071	572,000
Fountain Plaza, 77th St. at McDowell, Scottsdale	267,271	445,000
Fry's Ellsworth Plaza, Broadway Rd. at Ellsworth Rd., Mesa	73,608	58,000
Laveen Village Market, Baseline Rd. at 51st St., Phoenix	111,644	372,274
Madera Village, Tanque Verde Rd. and Catalina Hwy, Tucson	107,326	419,000
Mohave Crossroads, Bullhead Parkway at State Route 95, Bullhead City	344,655	990,867
Monte Vista Village Center, Baseline Rd. at Ellsworth Rd., Mesa	108,551	353,000
Oracle Crossings, Oracle Highway and Magee Road, Tucson	259,711	1,307,000
Oracle Wetmore, Wetmore Road and Oracle Highway, Tucson	255,290	711,162
Palmilla Center, Dysart Rd. at McDowell Rd., Avondale	173,823	264,000
Pueblo Anozira, McClintock Dr. at Guadalupe Rd., Tempe	157,359	769,000
Raintree Ranch, Ray Road at Price Road, Chandler	136,230	714,813
Rancho Encanto, 35th Avenue at Greenway Rd., Phoenix	70,859	246,440
Red Mountain Gateway, Power Rd. at McKellips Rd., Mesa	205,568	353,000
Scottsdale Horizon, Frank Lloyd Wright Blvd. and Thompson Peak Parkway, Scottsdale	10,337	61,000
Shoppes at Bears Path, Tanque Verde Rd. and Bear Canyon Rd., Tucson	65,779	362,000
Squaw Peak Plaza, 16th Street at Glendale Ave., Phoenix	60,728	220,000
The Shoppes at Parkwood Ranch, Southern Avenue and Signal Butte Road, Mesa	89,407	569,966
<b>Arizona, Total</b>	<b>3,268,030</b>	<b>10,405,522</b>
<b>Arkansas</b>		
Markham Square, W. Markham at John Barrow, Little Rock	126,904	514,000
Markham West, 11400 W. Markham, Little Rock	178,210	769,000
Westgate, Cantrell at Bryant, Little Rock	52,626	206,000
<b>Arkansas, Total</b>	<b>357,740</b>	<b>1,489,000</b>
<b>California</b>		
580 Market Place, E. Castro Valley at Hwy. I-580, Castro Valley	100,165	444,000
Arcade Square, Watt Ave. at Whitney Ave., Sacramento	76,497	234,000
Buena Vista Marketplace, Huntington Dr. at Buena Vista St., Duarte	90,805	322,000

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Centerwood Plaza, Lakewood Blvd. at Alondra Dr., Bellflower		75,500	333,000	
Chino Hills Marketplace, Chino Hills Pkwy. at Pipeline Ave., Chino Hills		308,420	1,187,000	
Creekside Center, Alamo Dr. at Nut Creek Rd., Vacaville		116,229	400,000	
Discovery Plaza, W. El Camino Ave. at Truxel Rd., Sacramento		93,398	417,000	
El Camino Promenade, El Camino Real at Via Molena, Encinitas		129,753	451,000	
Freedom Centre, Freedom Blvd. at Airport Blvd., Watsonville		150,241	543,000	
Fremont Gateway Plaza, Paseo Padre Pkwy. at Walnut Ave., Fremont		194,601	650,000	
Greenhouse Marketplace, Lewelling Blvd. at Washington Ave., San Leandro		238,664	578,000	
Hallmark Town Center, W. Cleveland Ave. at Stephanie Ln., Madera		85,066	365,000	
Jess Ranch Marketplace, Bear Valley Road at Jess Ranch Parkway, Apple Valley	(1	)(3)	306,962	0
Jess Ranch Phase III, Bear Valley Road at Jess Ranch Parkway, Apple Valley	(1	)(3)	155,508	794,664
Marshalls Plaza, McHenry at Sylvan Ave., Modesto		78,752	218,000	

Center and Location			Building Total	Land Total
Menifee Town Center, Antelope Rd. at Newport Rd., Menifee			248,797	658,000
Prospectors Plaza, Missouri Flat Rd. at US Hwy. 50, Placerville			228,345	866,684
Rancho San Marcos Village, San Marcos Blvd. at Rancho Santa Fe Rd., San Marcos			120,829	541,000
San Marcos Plaza, San Marcos Blvd. at Rancho Santa Fe Rd., San Marcos			81,086	116,000
Shasta Crossroads, Churn Creek Rd. at Dana Dr., Redding			252,802	520,000
Silver Creek Plaza, E. Capital Expressway at Silver Creek Blvd., San Jose			199,179	573,000
Southampton Center, IH-780 at Southampton Rd., Benecia			162,799	596,000
Stony Point Plaza, Stony Point Rd. at Hwy. 12, Santa Rosa			198,528	619,000
Summerhill Plaza, Antelope Rd. at Lichen Dr., Sacramento			133,614	704,000
Sunset Center, Sunset Ave. at State Hwy. 12, Suisun City			85,238	359,000
Tully Corners Shopping Center, Tully Rd at Quimby Rd, San Jose Valley, Franklin Boulevard and Mack Road, Sacramento	(1	)(3)	115,992	430,891
Westminster Center, Westminster Blvd. at Golden West St., Westminster			417,820	1,739,000
California, Total			4,543,830	15,239,239
Colorado				
Academy Place, Academy Blvd. at Union Blvd., Colorado Springs			290,643	404,000
Aurora City Place, E. Alameda at I225, Aurora	(1	)(3)	547,283	2,260,000
CityCenter Englewood, S. Santa Fe at Hampden Ave., Englewood	(1	)(3)	359,305	452,941
Crossing at Stonegate, Jordon Rd. at Lincoln Ave., Parker	(1	)(3)	109,058	870,588
Green Valley Ranch Towne Center, Tower Rd. at 48th Ave., Denver	(1	)(3)	113,006	276,000
Lowry Town Center, 2nd Ave. at Lowry Ave., Denver	(1	)(3)	129,439	246,000
River Point at Sheridan, Highway 85 and Highway 285, Sheridan	(1	)(2)(3)	430,773	3,266,813
The Gardens on Havana, Mississippi at Havana, Aurora	(1	)(2)(3)	898,333	0
Thorncreek Crossing, Washington St. at 120th St., Thornton	(1	)(3)	386,130	1,156,863
Uintah Gardens, NEC 19th St. at West Uintah, Colorado Springs			212,638	677,000
Westminster Plaza, North Federal Blvd. at 72nd Ave., Westminster	(1	)	111,142	636,000
Colorado, Total			3,587,750	10,246,205
Florida				
Alafaya Square, Alafaya Trail, Oviedo	(1	)(3)	176,486	915,000
Argyle Village, Blanding at Argyle Forest Blvd., Jacksonville			312,447	1,329,000
Boca Lyons, Glades Rd. at Lyons Rd., Boca Raton			113,689	545,000
Clermont Landing, U.S. 27 & Steve's Road, Clermont	(1	)(2)(3)	229,905	2,119,194
Colonial Landing, East Colonial Dr. at Maguire Boulevard, Orlando	(1	)	263,267	980,000
Colonial Plaza, E. Colonial Dr. at Primrose Dr., Orlando			496,751	2,009,000
Countryside Centre, US Highway 19 at Countryside Boulevard, Clearwater			242,123	906,440
East Lake Woodlands, East Lake Road and Tampa Road, Palm Harbor	(1	)(3)	140,103	730,000
Embassy Lakes, Sheraton St. at Hiatus Rd., Cooper City			179,933	618,000

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Epic Village - St. Augustine, SR 207 at Rolling Hills Dr., St. Augustine	(1	)	53,625	773,626
Flamingo Pines, Pines Blvd. at Flamingo Rd., Pembroke Pines	(1	)(3)	126,419	707,075
Flamingo Pines, Pines Blvd. at Flamingo Rd., Pembroke Pines			236,292	739,925
Hollywood Hills Plaza, Hollywood Blvd. at North Park Rd., Hollywood			364,714	1,429,000
Indian Harbour Place, East Eau Gallie Boulevard, Indian Harbour Beach	(1	)(3)	163,521	636,000
International Drive Value Center, International Drive and Touchstone Drive, Orlando	(1	)(3)	185,664	985,000
Kendall Corners, Kendall Drive and SW 127th Avenue, Miami	(1	)(3)	96,515	365,000
Lake Washington Crossing, Wickham Rd. at Lake Washington Rd., Melbourne	(1	)(3)	118,828	580,000
Lake Washington Square, Wickham Rd. at Lake Washington Rd., Melbourne			111,811	688,000
Largo Mall, Ulmerton Rd. at Seminole Ave., Largo			575,350	1,888,000
Market at Southside, Michigan Ave. at Delaney Ave., Orlando			159,835	349,000
Marketplace at Seminole Towne Center, Central Florida Greenway and Rinehart Road, Sanford			497,261	1,743,000
Northridge, E. Commercial Blvd. at Dixie Hwy., Oakland Park	(1	)(3)	236,170	901,000
Palm Coast Center, State Road 100 & Belle Terre Parkway, Palm Coast	(1	)(3)	356,268	1,027,585
Palm Lakes Plaza, Atlantic Boulevard and Rock Island Road, Maragate	(1	)(3)	113,752	550,000

Center and Location			Building Total	Land Total
Paradise Key at Kelly Plantation, US Highway 98 and Mid Bay Bridge Rd, Destin	(1	)(3)	271,777	1,247,123
Pembroke Commons, University at Pines Blvd., Pembroke Pines	(1	)(3)	303,805	1,394,000
Phillips Crossing, Interstate 4 and Sand Lake Road, Orlando			145,704	697,000
Phillips Landing, Turkey Lake Rd., Orlando			286,038	311,000
Pineapple Commons, Us Highway 1 and Britt Rd., Stuart	(1	)(3)	249,014	762,736
Publix at Laguna Isles, Sheridan St. at SW 196th Ave., Pembroke Pines			69,475	400,000
Quesada Commons, Quesada Avenue and Toledo Blade Boulevard, Port Charlotte	(1	)(3)	58,890	312,000
Shoppes at Paradise Isle, 34940 Emerald Coast Pkwy., Destin	(1	)(3)	171,670	764,000
Shoppes at Parkland, Hillsboro Boulevard at State Road #7, Parkland	(1	)	145,652	905,000
Shoppes of Port Charlotte, Toledo Blade Boulevard and Tamiami Trail, Port Charlotte	(1	)(3)	3,921	176,720
Shoppes of Port Charlotte, Toledo Blade Boulevard and Tamiami Trail, Port Charlotte	(1	)(3)	41,011	276,000
South Dade, South Dixie Highway and Eureka Drive, Miami	(1	)(3)	219,473	1,230,000
Sunrise West Shopping Center, West Commercial Drive and NW 91st Avenue, Sunrise	(1	)(3)	76,321	540,000
Sunset 19, US Hwy. 19 at Sunset Pointe Rd., Clearwater			275,910	1,078,000
Tamiami Trail Shops, S.W. 8th St. at S.W. 137th Ave., Miami	(1	)(3)	110,867	515,000
The Marketplace at Dr. Phillips, Dr. Phillips Boulevard and Sand Lake Road, Orlando	(1	)(3)	326,250	1,495,000
The Shoppes at South Semoran, Semoran Blvd. at Pershing Ave., Orlando			101,535	451,282
TJ Maxx Plaza, 117th Avenue at Sunset Blvd., Kendall			161,572	540,000
University Palms, Alafaya Trail at McCullough Rd., Oviedo	(1	)	99,172	522,000
Venice Pines, Center Rd. at Jacaranda Blvd., Venice			97,303	525,000
Vizcaya Square, Nob Hill Rd. at Cleary Blvd., Plantation			112,410	521,000
Westland Terrace Plaza, SR 50 at Apopka Vineland Rd., Orlando			260,521	361,000
Winter Park Corners, Aloma Ave. at Lakemont Ave., Winter Park Florida, Total			9,241,417	38,937,706
<b>Georgia</b>				
Brookwood Marketplace, Peachtree Parkway at Mathis Airport Rd., Suwannee			373,594	1,459,000
Brookwood Square, East-West Connector at Austell Rd., Austell			253,448	971,000
Brownsville Commons, Brownsville Road and Hiram-Lithia Springs Road, Powder Springs			81,886	205,000
Camp Creek Marketplace II, Camp Creek Parkway and Carmla Drive, Atlanta			196,283	724,000
Cherokee Plaza, Peachtree Road and Colonial Drive, Atlanta	(1	)	99,749	336,000
Dallas Commons, US Highway 278 and Nathan Dean Boulevard, Dallas			95,262	244,000
Grayson Commons, Grayson Hwy at Rosebud Rd., Grayson			76,611	507,383
Lakeside Marketplace, Cobb Parkway (US Hwy 41), Acworth			321,688	736,000
Mansell Crossing, North Point Parkway at Mansell Rd., Alpharetta	(1	)(3)	102,931	582,833

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Perimeter Village, Ashford-Dunwoody Rd., Atlanta		387,755	1,803,820
Publix at Princeton Lakes, Carmia Drive and Camp Creek Drive, Atlanta		68,407	336,000
Reynolds Crossing, Steve Reynolds and Old North Cross Rd., Duluth		115,983	407,000
Roswell Corners, Woodstock Rd. at Hardscrabble Rd., Roswell		318,499	784,000
Sandy Plains Exchange, Sandy Plains at Scufflegrit, Marietta	(1 )	72,784	452,000
Thompson Bridge Commons, Thompson Bridge Rd. at Mt. Vernon Rd., Gainesville	(1 )	92,587	540,000
Georgia, Total		2,657,467	10,088,036
Illinois			
Burbank Station, S. Cicero Ave. at W. 78th St., Burbank		303,566	1,013,380
Illinois, Total		303,566	1,013,380
Kansas			
Kohl's, Wanamaker Rd. at S.W. 17th St., Topeka		115,716	444,000
Shawnee Village, Shawnee Mission Pkwy. at Quivera Rd., Shawnee		135,139	10,000
Kansas, Total		250,855	454,000
Kentucky			
Festival at Jefferson Court, Outer Loop at Jefferson Blvd., Louisville		218,396	1,153,000
Millpond Center, Boston at Man O'War, Lexington		151,567	773,000

Center and Location		Building Total	Land Total
Regency Shopping Centre, Nicholasville Rd.& West Lowry Lane, Lexington		182,306	590,000
Tates Creek, Tates Creek at Man O' War, Lexington Kentucky, Total		179,450	660,000
		731,719	3,176,000
<b>Louisiana</b>			
14/Park Plaza, Hwy. 14 at General Doolittle, Lake Charles		175,068	535,000
Danville Plaza, Louisville at 19th, Monroe		141,380	539,000
K-Mart Plaza, Ryan St., Lake Charles	(1 )	(3) 215,948	126,000
Manhattan Place, Manhattan Blvd. at Gretna Blvd., Harvey		281,615	718,339
Orleans Station, Paris, Robert E. Lee at Chatham, New Orleans		0	15,318
Prien Lake Plaza, Prien Lake Rd. at Nelson Rd., Lake Charles		213,618	64,950
River Marketplace, Ambassador Caffery at Kaliste Saloom, Lafayette	(1 )	(3) 334,942	1,029,415
Southgate, Ryan at Eddy, Lake Charles		170,588	511,000
Town & Country Plaza, U.S. Hwy. 190 West, Hammond		227,352	645,000
University Place, 70th St. at Youree Dr., Shreveport	(1 )	(3) 199,500	1,078,431
Westwood Village, W. Congress at Bertrand, Lafayette		141,346	942,000
Louisiana, Total		2,101,357	6,204,453
<b>Maine</b>			
The Promenade, Essex at Summit, Lewiston	(1 )	) 205,034	962,667
Maine, Total		205,034	962,667
<b>Missouri</b>			
Ballwin Plaza, Manchester Rd. at Vlasis Dr., Ballwin		200,915	653,000
Western Plaza, Hwy 141 at Hwy 30, Fenton	(1 )	(3) 56,845	654,000
Missouri, Total		257,760	1,307,000
<b>Nevada</b>			
Best in the West, Rainbow at Lake Mead Rd., Las Vegas		428,629	1,516,000
Charleston Commons, Charleston and Nellis, Las Vegas		332,539	1,314,791
College Park S.C., E. Lake Mead Blvd. at Civic Ctr. Dr., North Las Vegas		167,654	721,000
Eastern Horizon, Eastern Ave. at Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Henderson		210,287	478,000
Francisco Centre, E. Desert Inn Rd. at S. Eastern Ave., Las Vegas		148,815	639,000
Mission Center, Flamingo Rd. at Maryland Pkwy., Las Vegas		212,493	570,000
Paradise Marketplace, Flamingo Rd. at Sandhill, Las Vegas		148,713	323,556
Rainbow Plaza, Phase I, Rainbow Blvd. at Charleston Blvd., Las Vegas		136,369	514,518
Rainbow Plaza, Rainbow Blvd. at Charleston Blvd., Las Vegas		278,416	1,033,482
Rancho Towne & Country, Rainbow Blvd. at Charleston Blvd., Las Vegas		87,367	350,000
Tropicana Beltway, Tropicana Beltway at Fort Apache Rd., Las Vegas		640,798	1,466,000
Tropicana Marketplace, Tropicana at Jones Blvd., Las Vegas		144,628	309,912
Westland Fair North, Charleston Blvd. at Decatur Blvd., Las Vegas		598,213	1,008,451
Nevada, Total		3,534,921	10,244,710



## New Mexico

Eastdale, Candelaria Rd. at Eubank Blvd., Albuquerque	117,623	601,000
North Towne Plaza, Academy Rd. at Wyoming Blvd., Albuquerque	108,059	607,000
Pavillions at San Mateo, I-40 at San Mateo, Albuquerque	195,944	791,000
Wyoming Mall, Academy Rd. at Northeastern, Albuquerque	267,847	271,407
New Mexico, Total	689,473	2,270,407

## North Carolina

Avent Ferry, Avent Ferry Rd. at Gorman St., Raleigh	111,650	669,000
Bull City Market, Broad St. at West Main St., Durham	42,517	112,000
Capital Square, Capital Blvd. at Huntleigh Dr., Cary	143,063	607,000
Chatham Crossing, US 15/501 at Plaza Dr., Chapel Hill	(1)(3) 96,155	424,000
Cole Park Plaza, US 15/501 and Plaza Dr., Chapel Hill	(1)(3) 82,258	380,000

Center and Location		Building Total	Land Total
Falls Pointe, Neuce Rd. at Durant Rd., Raleigh		193,331	659,000
Galleria, Galleria Boulevard and Sardis Road, Charlotte		328,144	799,000
Harrison Pointe, Harrison Ave. at Maynard Rd., Cary		130,934	1,297,306
Heritage Station, Forestville Rd. at Rogers Rd., Wake Forest	(1 )	68,641	392,000
High House Crossing, NC Hwy 55 at Green Level W. Rd., Cary		89,997	606,000
Johnston Road Plaza, Johnston Rd. at McMullen Creek Pkwy., Charlotte		79,508	466,000
Leesville Town Centre, Leesville Rd. at Leesville Church Rd., Raleigh		114,396	904,000
Little Brier Creek, Little Brier Creek Lane and Brier Leaf Lane, Raleigh		63,011	90,000
Mineral Springs Village, Mineral Springs Rd. at Wake Forest Rd., Durham		59,859	572,000
Northwoods Market, Maynard Rd. at Harrison Ave., Cary		77,802	431,000
Parkway Pointe, Cory Parkway at S. R. 1011, Cary		80,061	461,000
Pinecrest Plaza, Hwy. 15-501 at Morganton Rd., Pinehurst		250,140	1,438,000
Ravenstone Commons, Hwy 98 at Sherron Rd., Durham		60,424	374,000
Six Forks Station, Six Forks Rd. at Strickland Rd., Raleigh		467,270	1,843,000
Steele Creek Crossing, York Rd. at Steele Creek Rd., Charlotte		77,301	491,000
Stonehenge Market, Creedmoor Rd. at Bridgeport Dr., Raleigh		188,521	669,000
Surf City Crossing, Highway 17 and Highway 210, Surf City	(2 )	50,125	434,311
Waterford Village, U.S. Hwy 17 & U.S. Hwy 74/76, Leland	(2 )	71,121	1,426,594
Whitehall Commons, NWC of Hwy. 49 at I-485, Charlotte		444,496	360,000
North Carolina, Total		3,370,725	15,905,211
<b>Oklahoma</b>			
Market Boulevard , E. Reno Ave. at N. Douglas Ave., Midwest City		35,765	142,000
Town and Country, Reno Ave at North Air Depot, Midwest City		128,231	540,000
Oklahoma, Total		163,996	682,000
<b>Oregon</b>			
Clackamas Square, SE 82nd Avenue and SE Causey Avenue, Portland	(1 )(3)	136,739	215,000
Oak Grove Market Center, SE Mcloughlin Blvd. & Oak Grove Ave., Milwaukie		97,207	292,288
Raleigh Hills Plaza, SW Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy and SW Scholls Ferry Road, Portland	(1 )(3)	39,520	165,000
Oregon, Total		273,466	672,288
<b>South Carolina</b>			
Fresh Market Shoppes, 890 William Hilton Head Pkwy., Hilton Head	(1 )(3)	86,120	436,000
South Carolina, Total		86,120	436,000
<b>Tennessee</b>			
Bartlett Towne Center, Bartlett Blvd. at Stage Rd., Bartlett		192,324	774,000
Commons at Dexter Lake Phase II, Dexter at N. Germantown, Memphis	(1 )	61,538	272,792
Commons at Dexter Lake, Dexter at N. Germantown, Memphis	(1 )	166,958	740,208
Highland Square, Summer at Highland, Memphis		14,490	84,000
	(1 )	83,847	250,000

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Mendenhall Commons, South Mendenahall Rd. and Sanderlin Avenue,  
Memphis

Ridgeway Trace, Poplar Avenue and Ridgeway Road, Memphis	(2 )	227,652	102,111
Summer Center, Summer Ave. at Waring Rd., Memphis		137,462	560,000
Tennessee, Total		884,271	2,783,111

Texas

10/Federal, I-10 at Federal, Houston	(1 )	132,472	474,000
Alabama-Shepherd, S. Shepherd at W. Alabama, Houston		56,110	176,000
Angelina Village, Hwy. 59 at Loop 287, Lufkin		248,199	1,835,000
Bayshore Plaza, Spencer Hwy. at Burke Rd., Pasadena		121,434	196,000
Bell Plaza, 45th Ave. at Bell St., Amarillo	(1 )	130,529	682,000
Bellaire Boulevard, Bellaire at S. Rice, Houston	(1 )	35,081	137,000
Boswell Towne Center, Highway 287 at Bailey Boswell Rd., Saginaw		87,835	137,000
Braeswood Square, N. Braeswood at Chimney Rock, Houston		103,336	422,000
Broadway , Broadway at 59th St., Galveston	(1 )	75,477	220,000

Center and Location		Building Total	Land Total
Broadway, S. Broadway at W. 9th St., Tyler		60,400	259,000
Calder, Calder at 24th St., Beaumont		34,641	95,000
Cedar Bayou, Bayou Rd., La Marque		45,561	51,000
Central Plaza, Loop 289 at Slide Rd., Lubbock		151,196	529,000
Centre at Post Oak, Westheimer at Post Oak Blvd., Houston		182,070	505,000
Champions Village, F.M. 1960 at Champions Forest Dr., Houston	(1 )	384,581	1,391,000
Coronado, 34th St. at Wimberly Dr., Amarillo		48,149	201,000
Crossroads, I-10 at N. Main, Vidor		115,692	484,000
Cullen Center, Cullen at Reed, Houston		7,316	30,000
Cullen Plaza, Cullen at Wilmington, Houston	(1 )	84,517	318,000
Custer Park, SWC Custer Road at Parker Road, Plano		179,603	376,000
Cypress Pointe, F.M. 1960 at Cypress Station, Houston		287,364	737,000
Eastpark, Mesa Rd. at Tidwell, Houston		1,576	85,262
Edgebrook, Edgebrook at Gulf Fwy., Houston	(1 )	78,460	360,000
Fiesta Trails, I-10 at DeZavala Rd., San Antonio		488,370	1,589,000
Fiesta Village, Quitman at Fulton, Houston	(1 )	30,249	80,000
Fondren/West Airport, Fondren at W. Airport, Houston		37,117	223,000
Food King Place, 25th St. at Avenue P, Galveston		28,062	78,000
Galveston Place, Central City Blvd. at 61st St., Galveston		210,187	828,000
Gateway Station, I-35W and McAlister Rd., Burleson	(1 )	68,500	344,286
Gillham Circle, Gillham Circle at Thomas, Port Arthur		33,134	94,000
Glenbrook Square, Telephone Road, Houston	(1 )	77,890	320,000
Griggs Road, Griggs at Cullen, Houston	(1 )	80,116	382,000
Harrisburg Plaza, Harrisburg at Wayside, Houston	(1 )	93,438	334,000
Heights Plaza, 20th St. at Yale, Houston		71,777	228,000
Horne Street Market, I-30 & Horne Street, Fort Worth		42,267	223,463
Humblewood Shopping Plaza, Eastex Fwy. at F.M. 1960, Humble		275,446	784,000
I-45/Telephone Rd. Center, I-45 at Maxwell Street, Houston	(1 )	171,789	658,586
Independence Plaza, Town East Blvd., Mesquite		155,219	787,000
Island Market Place, 6th St. at 9th Ave., Texas City		27,277	90,000
Jacinto City, Market at Baca, Houston	(1 )	49,138	134,000
Killeen Marketplace, 3200 E. Central Texas Expressway, Killeen		251,137	512,000
Kirby Strip Center, Kirby Dr, Houston		10,000	37,897
Lake Pointe Market Center, Dalrock Rd. at Lakeview Pkwy., Rowlett		121,689	218,158
Las Tiendas Plaza, Expressway 83 at McColl Rd., McAllen	(1 )(3)	500,067	910,000
Lawndale, Lawndale at 75th St., Houston	(1 )	52,127	177,000
League City Plaza, I-45 at F.M. 518, League City	(1 )	126,990	680,000
Little York Plaza, Little York at E. Hardy, Houston	(1 )	117,353	483,000
Lone Star Pavilions, Texas at Lincoln Ave., College Station		106,907	439,000
Lyons Avenue, Lyons at Shotwell, Houston	(1 )	67,629	178,000
Market at Nolana, Nolana Ave and 29th St., McAllen	(1 )(3)	245,226	181,300
Market at Sharyland Place, U.S. Expressway 83 and Shary Road, Mission	(1 )(3)	427,912	543,000
Market at Town Center, Town Center Blvd., Sugar Land		375,547	1,733,000
Market at Westchase, Westheimer at Wilcrest, Houston		84,084	318,000

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Montgomery Plaza, Loop 336 West at I-45, Conroe		305,671	1,179,000
Moore Plaza, S. Padre Island Dr. at Staples, Corpus Christi		533,577	1,491,000
North Creek Plaza, Del Mar Blvd. at Hwy. I-35, Laredo		448,756	1,251,000
North Main Square, Pecore at N. Main, Houston		18,515	64,000
North Oaks, F.M. 1960 at Veterans Memorial, Houston	(1 )	405,193	1,646,000
North Park Plaza, Eastex Fwy. at Dowlen, Beaumont	(1 )(3)	281,401	636,000
North Towne Plaza, U.S. 77 and 83 at SHFM 802, Brownsville	(1 )(2)	125,000	303,716
North Triangle, I-45 at F.M. 1960, Houston		16,060	113,000
Northbrook Center, Northwest Fwy. at W. 34th, Houston		173,288	655,000

Center and Location		Building Total	Land Total
Northcross, N. 10th St. at Nolana Loop, McAllen	(1 ) (3)	76,391	218,000
Northwest Crossing, N.W. Fwy. at Hollister, Houston	(1 ) (3)	304,064	884,000
Oak Forest, W. 43rd at Oak Forest, Houston		147,705	541,000
Oak Park Village, Nacogdoches at New Braunfels, San Antonio	(1 )	64,287	221,000
Old Navy Building, 1815 10th Street, McAllen	(1 ) (3)	15,000	62,000
Orchard Green, Gulfton at Renwick, Houston		74,983	273,000
Overton Park Plaza, SW Loop 820/Interstate 20 at South Hulen St., Ft. Worth		463,302	1,636,000
Palmer Plaza, F.M. 1764 at 34th St., Texas City		196,506	367,000
Parliament Square II, W. Ave. at Blanco, San Antonio		54,541	220,919
Parliament Square, W. Ave. at Blanco, San Antonio		64,950	263,081
Phelan West, Phelan at 23rd St., Beaumont	(1 ) (3)	82,221	88,509
Phelan, Phelan at 23rd St, Beaumont		12,000	63,000
Pitman Corners, Custer Road at West 15th, Plano		192,283	699,000
Plantation Centre, Del Mar Blvd. at McPherson Rd., Laredo		134,919	596,000
Preston Shepard Place, Preston Rd. at Park Blvd., Plano	(1 ) (3)	363,337	1,359,072
Randall's /Cypress Station, F.M. 1960 at I-45, Houston		138,974	618,000
Randall's /Kings Crossing, Kingwood Dr. at Lake Houston Pkwy., Houston	(1 )	126,397	624,000
Randall's /Norchester, Grant at Jones, Houston		107,200	475,000
Richmond Square, Richmond Ave. at W. Loop 610, Houston		93,870	135,000
River Oaks East, W. Gray at Woodhead, Houston		71,265	206,000
River Oaks West, W. Gray at S. Shepherd, Houston		276,720	609,000
Rockwall, I-30 at Market Center Street, Rockwall		209,051	933,000
Rose-Rich, U.S. Hwy. 90A at Lane Dr., Rosenberg		103,385	386,000
Sharyland Towne Crossing, Shary Rd. at Hwy. 83, Mission	(1 ) (3)	476,376	2,008,000
Sheldon Forest North, North, I-10 at Sheldon, Channelview		22,040	131,000
Sheldon Forest South, North, I-10 at Sheldon, Channelview	(1 )	75,340	328,000
Shops at Three Corners, S. Main at Old Spanish Trail, Houston	(1 )	247,129	1,007,143
South 10th St. HEB, S. 10th St. at Houston St., McAllen	(1 ) (3)	103,702	368,000
Southgate, Calder Ave. at 6th St., Beaumont		33,555	118,000
Southgate, W. Fuqua at Hiram Clark, Houston	(1 )	124,454	533,000
Spring Plaza, Hammerly at Campbell, Houston	(1 )	59,166	202,000
Starr Plaza, U.S. Hwy. 83 at Bridge St., Rio Grande City	(1 ) (3)	176,812	742,000
Stella Link , Stella Link at S. Braeswood, Houston		96,396	423,588
Studemont, Studewood at E. 14th St., Houston		28,466	91,000
Ten Blalock Square, I-10 at Blalock, Houston		97,217	321,000
Thousand Oaks, Thousand Oaks Dr. at Jones Maltsberger Rd., San Antonio	(1 )	162,882	730,000
Tomball Marketplace, FM 2920 and Future 249, Tomball	(2 )	100,341	963,246
Valley View, West Ave. at Blanco Rd., San Antonio		91,184	341,000
Village Arcade, University at Kirby, Houston		57,219	276,503
Village Arcade-Phase II, University at Kirby, Houston		28,371	60,099
Village Arcade-Phase III, University at Kirby, Houston		106,879	231,156
Westchase Center, Westheimer at Wilcrest, Houston		331,317	754,000

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Westhill Village, Westheimer at Hillcroft, Houston		130,512	479,000
Westwood Center, Culebra Road and Westwood Loop, San Antonio	(2 )	9,780	683,618
Texas, Total		15,318,193	52,895,602

Utah			
Alpine Valley Center, Main St. at State St., American Fork	(1 )	224,654	447,045
Taylorsville Town Center, West 4700 South at Redwood Rd., Taylorsville		134,214	399,000
West Jordan Town Center, West 7000 South at S. Redwood Rd., West Jordan		304,899	814,000
Utah, Total		663,767	1,660,045

Washington			
Meridian Town Center, Meridian Avenue East and 132nd Street East, Puyallup	(1 )	143,012	535,000

Center and Location			Building Total	Land Total
Mukilteo Speedway Center, Mukilteo Speedway, Lincoln Way, and Highway 99, Lynnwood	(1	)(3)	90,273	355,000
Rainer Square Plaza, Rainer Avenue South and South Charleston Street, Seattle	(1	)(3)	107,423	345,000
South Hill Center, 43rd Avenue Southwest and Meridian Street South, Puyallup	(1	)(3)	134,010	515,000
Washington, Total			474,718	1,750,000
<b>Industrial</b>				
<b>California</b>				
Siempre Viva Business Park, Siempre Viva Rd. at Kerns St., San Diego	(1	)(3)	726,766	1,760,000
California, Total			726,766	1,760,000
<b>Florida</b>				
1801 Massaro, 1801 Massaro Blvd., Tampa			159,000	337,000
Hopewell Industrial Center, Old Hopewell Boulevard and U.S. Highway 301, Tampa			224,483	486,000
Lakeland Industrial Center, I-4 at County Rd., Lakeland			600,000	1,535,000
Lakeland Interstate Industrial Park I, Interstate Drive and Kathleen Rd., Lakeland			168,400	425,000
Tampa East Industrial Portfolio, 1841 Massaro Blvd., Tampa			512,923	1,342,000
Florida, Total			1,664,806	4,125,000
<b>Georgia</b>				
6485 Crescent Drive, I-85 at Jimmy Carter Blvd., Norcross	(1	)(3)	360,460	965,000
Atlanta Industrial Park , Atlanta Industrial Pkwy. at Atlanta Industrial Dr., Atlanta			120,200	381,918
Atlanta Industrial Park II & VI, Atlanta Industrial Pkwy. at Atlanta Industrial Dr., Atlanta			382,100	1,214,068
Atlanta Industrial Parkway, Atlanta Industrial Pkwy. at Atlanta Industrial Dr., Atlanta			50,000	159,014
Kennesaw 75, 3850-3900 Kennesaw Pkwy., Kennesaw			178,467	491,000
Riverview Distribution Center, Fulton Industrial Blvd. at Camp Creek Parkway, Atlanta			265,200	1,301,791
Sears Logistics, 3700 Southside Industrial Way, Atlanta	(1	)(3)	402,554	890,000
SouthPark 3075, Anvil Block Rd and South Park Blvd., Atlanta			234,525	1,022,292
Southside Industrial Parkway, Southside Industrial Pkwy. at Jonesboro Rd., Atlanta			72,000	242,000
Westlake 125, Camp Creek Parkway and Westlake Parkway, Atlanta			154,464	422,048
Georgia, Total			2,219,970	7,089,131
<b>Tennessee</b>				
Crowfarn Drive Warehouse, Crowfarn Dr. at Getwell Rd., Memphis	(1	)(3)	158,849	315,000
Outland Business Center, Outland Center Dr., Memphis	(1	)(3)	410,438	1,215,000



Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Southpoint I & II, Pleasant Hill Rd. at Shelby Dr., Memphis		570,940	1,127,000
Tennessee, Total		1,140,227	2,657,000
Texas			
1625 Diplomat Drive, SWC Diplomat Dr. at McDaniel Dr., Carrollton		106,140	199,000
610 and 11th St. Warehouse, Loop 610 at 11th St., Houston	(1	)(3)	243,642 540,000
610 and 11th St. Warehouse, Loop 610 at 11th St., Houston		104,975	202,000
610/288 Business Park, Cannon Street, Houston	(1	)(3)	295,426 480,000
Beltway 8 Business Park, Beltway 8 at Petersham Dr., Houston		157,498	499,000
Blankenship Building, Kempwood Drive, Houston		59,729	175,000
Braker 2 Business Center, Kramer Ln. at Metric Blvd., Austin		27,359	93,000
Brookhollow Business Center, Dacoma at Directors Row, Houston		133,553	405,000
Central Plano Business Park, Klein Rd. at Plano Pkwy., Plano		137,785	415,000
Claywood Industrial Park, Clay at Hollister, Houston	(2	)	301,975 1,357,242
Corporate Center Park I and II, Putnam Dr. at Research Blvd., Austin		119,452	326,000
Crestview, Bissonnet at Wilcrest, Houston		8,970	35,000
Crosspoint Warehouse, Crosspoint, Kirby near 610, Houston		72,505	179,000
Freeport Business Center, 13215 N. Promenade Blvd., Stafford		251,385	635,000
Freeport Commerce Center, Sterling Street and Statesman Drive, Irving		50,590	196,000
Houston Cold Storage Warehouse, 7080 Express Lane, Houston		128,752	345,189
Interwest Business Park, Alamo Downs Parkway, San Antonio		219,244	742,000

Center and Location		Building Total	Land Total
Isom Business Park, 919-981 Isom Road, San Antonio		175,200	462,000
Jupiter Service Center, Jupiter near Plano Pkwy., Plano		78,480	234,000
Kempwood Industrial, Kempwood Dr. at Blankenship Dr., Houston		113,218	327,000
Kempwood Industrial, Kempwood Dr. at Blankenship Dr., Houston	(1)(3)	219,489	530,000
Lathrop Warehouse, Lathrop St. at Larimer St., Houston	(1)(3)	251,890	435,000
Manana Office Center, I-35 at Manana, Dallas		223,128	470,000
McGraw Hill Distribution Center, 420 E. Daniieldale Rd, DeSoto		417,938	888,000
Midpoint I-20 Distribution Center, New York Avenue and Arbrook Boulevard, Arlington		253,165	593,000
Midway Business Center, Midway at Boyington, Carrollton		141,246	309,000
Navigation Business Park, Navigation at N. York, Houston	(1)(3)	238,321	555,000
Newkirk Service Center, Newkirk near N.W. Hwy., Dallas		105,892	223,000
Northeast Crossing Office/Service Center, East N.W. Hwy. at Shiloh, Dallas		78,700	199,000
Northway Park II, Loop 610 East at Homestead, Houston	(1)(3)	303,483	745,000
Oak Hills Industrial Park, Industrial Oaks Blvd., Austin		89,858	340,000
O'Connor Road Business Park, O'Connor Road, San Antonio		150,091	459,000
Railwood F, Market at U.S. 90, Houston	(1)(3)	300,000	560,000
Railwood G, Mesa at U.S. 90, Houston	(1)(3)	210,850	562,665
Railwood Industrial Park, Mesa at U.S. 90, Houston	(1)(3)	497,656	1,060,000
Railwood Industrial Park, Mesa at U.S. 90, Houston		402,680	1,141,764
Randol Mill Place, Randol Mill Road, Arlington		54,639	178,000
Redbird Distribution Center, Joseph Hardin Drive, Dallas		110,839	233,000
Regal Distribution Center, Leston Avenue, Dallas		202,559	318,000
Rutland 10 Business Center, Metric Blvd. at Centimeter Circle, Austin		54,000	139,000
Sherman Plaza Business Park, Sherman at Phillips, Richardson		101,137	312,000
South Loop Business Park, S. Loop at Long Dr., Houston	(1)(3)	92,450	206,000
Southpark A,B,C, East St. Elmo Rd. at Woodward St., Austin		78,276	238,000
Southpoint Service Center, Bursleson at Promontory Point Dr., Austin		57,667	234,000
Southport Business Park 5, South Loop 610, Houston		160,653	358,000
Space Center Industrial Park, Pulaski St. at Irving Blvd., Dallas		264,582	426,000
Stonecrest Business Center, Wilcrest at Fallstone, Houston		110,624	308,000
Town & Country Commerce Center, I-10 at Beltway 8, Houston		206,056	0
West 10 Business Center II, Wirt Rd. at I-10, Houston		82,658	147,000
West Loop Commerce Center, W. Loop N. at I-10, Houston		34,256	91,000
West-10 Business Center, Wirt Rd. at I-10, Houston		102,087	331,000
Westgate Service Center, Park Row Drive at Whiteback Dr., Houston		119,786	499,000
Texas, Total		8,502,534	20,934,860
Virginia			
Enterchange at Meadowville, 2101 Bermuda Hundred Dr, Chester	(1)(3)	226,809	845,717
Enterchange at Northlake A, 11900-11998 North Lakeridge Parkway, Ashland		215,077	697,831
Enterchange at Northlake C, North Lakeridge Parkway & Northlake Park Dr, Ashland	(1)(3)	293,115	677,794

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Enterchange at Walthall A & B, 1900-1998 Ruffin Mill Rd, Colonial Heights	(1	)	(3)	606,679	1,467,536
Enterchange at Walthall C, 1936-1962 Ruffin Mill Rd, Colonial Heights	(1	)	(3)	261,922	864,840
Enterchange at Walthall D, 1700-1798 Ruffin Mill Rd, Colonial Heights				287,318	752,020
Interport Business Center A, 4800-4890 Eubank Road, Richmond	(1	)	(3)	447,412	1,037,556
Interport Business Center B, 4700-4790 Eubank Road, Richmond	(1	)	(3)	118,000	277,477
Interport Business Center C, 5300-5390 Laburnum Ave, Richmond	(1	)	(3)	54,885	154,202
Virginia, Total				2,511,217	6,774,973
Other					
Arizona					
Arcadia Biltmore Plaza, Campbell Ave. at North 36th St., Phoenix				21,122	74,000
Arizona, Total				21,122	74,000

Center and Location	Building Total	Land Total
Texas		
1919 North Loop West, Hacket Drive at West Loop 610 North, Houston	139,449	157,000
Citadel Plaza, Citadel Plaza Dr., Houston	121,000	170,931
Texas, Total	260,449	327,931
Unimproved Land		
Arizona		
Bullhead Parkway at State Route 95, Bullhead City		312,761
Lon Adams Rd at Tangerine Farms Rd, Marana		422,532
Southern Avenue and Signal Butte Road, Mesa		63,336
Arizona, Total		798,629
California		
Bear Valley Road at Jess Ranch Parkway Phase II, Apple Valley		138,956
Bear Valley Road at Jess Ranch Parkway Phase III, Apple Valley		447,361
California, Total		586,317
Colorado		
Highway 85 and Highway 285, Sheridan		1,003,187
Mississippi at Havana, Aurora		669,953
Colorado, Total		1,673,140
Florida		
SR 207 at Rolling Hills Dr, St. Augustine		228,254
State Road 100 & Belle Terre Parkway, Palm Coast		292,288
Young Pines and Curry Ford Rd, Orange County		132,422
Florida, Total		652,964
Georgia		
NWC South Fulton Parkway @ Hwy 92, Union City		3,554,496
Georgia, Total		3,554,496
Louisiana		
70th St. at Mansfield Rd., Shreveport		41,704
Ambassador Caffery at W. Congress, Lafayette		34,915
Louisiana, Total		76,619
Nevada		
SWC Highway 215 at Decatur, Las Vegas		1,103,810
Nevada, Total		1,103,810
North Carolina		
Creedmoor (Highway 50) and Crabtree Valley Avenue, Raleigh		510,959
Highway 17 and Highway 210, Surf City		2,024,233

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

U.S. 15-501 and Bruce Wood Rd, Southern Pines	1,047,000
U.S. Highway 1 at Caveness Farms Rd., Wake Forest	3,479,317
U.S. Hwy 17 & U.S. Hwy 74/76, Leland	549,727
North Carolina, Total	7,611,236
Tennessee	
Poplar Avenue and Ridgeway Road, Memphis	173,804
Tennessee, Total	173,804
Texas	
9th Ave. at 25th St., Port Arthur	243,000
Bissonnet at Wilcrest, Houston	84,629
Citadel Plaza at 610 North Loop, Houston	137,000

Center and Location	Building Total	Land Total
Culebra Road and Westwood Loop, San Antonio		403,366
East Orem, Houston		122,000
FM 1957 (Potranco Road) and FM 211, San Antonio		8,655,372
FM 2920 and Highway 249, Tomball		1,467,754
Highway 3 at Highway 1765, Texas City		201,000
Kirkwood at Dashwood Drive, Houston		322,000
Leslie Rd. at Bandera Rd., Helotes		74,052
Mesa Road at Tidwell, Houston		35,898
Nolana Ave and 29th St., McAllen		163,350
Northwest Freeway at Gessner, Houston		117,612
River Pointe Drive at Interstate 45, Conroe		118,483
Rock Prairie Rd. at Hwy. 6, College Station		2,867,990
SH 151 and Ingram Rd, San Antonio		369,389
Shary Rd. at North Hwy. 83, Mission		1,607,364
U.S. 77 and 83 at SHFM 802, Brownsville		954,835
US Hwy 281 at Wilderness Oaks, San Antonio		1,269,774
West Little York at Interstate 45, Houston		161,000
West Loop North at Interstate 10, Houston		145,000
Texas, Total		19,520,868
Utah		
South 300 West & West Paxton Avenue, Salt Lake City		324,958
Utah, Total		324,958

Property Listing Summary  
as of December 31, 2009

All Properties by State	Number of Properties	Building	Land Total
Arizona	23	3,289,152	11,278,151
Arkansas	3	357,740	1,489,000
California	29	5,270,596	17,585,556
Colorado	11	3,587,750	11,919,345
Florida	51	10,906,223	43,715,670
Georgia	23	4,877,437	20,731,663
Illinois	1	303,566	1,013,380
Kansas	2	250,855	454,000
Kentucky	4	731,719	3,176,000
Louisiana	11	2,101,357	6,281,072
Maine	1	205,034	962,667
Missouri	2	257,760	1,307,000
Nevada	12	3,534,921	11,348,520
New Mexico	4	689,473	2,270,407
North Carolina	24	3,370,725	23,516,447
Oklahoma	2	163,996	682,000
Oregon	3	273,466	672,288
South Carolina	1	86,120	436,000
Tennessee	9	2,024,498	5,613,915
Texas	154	24,081,176	93,679,261
Utah	3	663,767	1,985,003
Virginia	9	2,511,217	6,774,973
Washington	4	474,718	1,750,000
Grand Total	386	70,013,266	268,642,318
Total Retail	307	52,966,175	188,822,582
Total Industrial	76	16,765,520	43,340,964
Total Other	3	281,571	401,931
Total Unimproved Land			36,076,841

Total square footage includes 464,561 square feet of building area and 13,354,380 square feet of land leased from others.

Footnotes for detail property listing:

(1) Denotes property is held by a real estate joint venture or partnership; however, the building and land square feet figures include our partners' ownership interest in the property.

(2) Denotes property currently under development.

(3) Denotes properties that are not consolidated under generally accepted accounting principles.

NOTE: Square feet are reflective of area available to be leased. Certain listed properties may have additional square feet that are not owned by us.



General. In 2009, no single property accounted for more than 1.8% of our total assets or 1.6% of revenues. The five largest properties, in the aggregate, represented approximately 7.1% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009; otherwise, none of the remaining properties accounted for more than 1.1% of our revenues during the same period. As of December 31, 2009, the weighted average occupancy rate for all of our improved properties was 90.8% compared to 92.6% as of December 31, 2008. The average effective annual rental per square foot was approximately \$13.31 in 2009, \$13.16 in 2008, \$12.57 in 2007, \$12.12 in 2006 and \$11.38 in 2005 for retail properties and \$4.90 in 2009, \$4.98 in 2008, \$4.86 in 2007, \$4.91 in 2006 and \$4.89 in 2005 for industrial properties.

The majority of our properties are owned directly by us (subject in some cases to mortgages), although our interests in some properties are held indirectly through interests in real estate joint ventures or under long-term leases. In our opinion, our properties are well maintained and in good repair, suitable for their intended uses, and adequately covered by insurance.

We participate in 67 real estate joint ventures or partnerships that hold 145 of our properties. Our ownership interest ranges from 7.8% to 99%; we are normally the managing or operating partner and receive a fee for acting in this capacity.

We may use a DownREIT operating partnership structure in the acquisition of some real estate properties. In these transactions, a fair value purchase price is agreed upon between us, as general partner of the DownREIT, and the seller where the seller receives operating partnership units in exchange for some or all of its ownership interest in the property. Each operating partnership unit is the equivalent of one of our common shares of beneficial interest ("common shares"). These units generally allow our partners the right to put their limited partnership units' interest to us on or after the first anniversary of the entity's formation. We may acquire these limited partnership units for either cash or a fixed number of our common shares at our discretion.

Shopping Centers. At December 31, 2009, we owned or operated under long-term leases, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, a total of 298 developed income-producing properties and nine properties under various stages of construction and development, which are located in 22 states spanning the country from coast to coast.

Our shopping centers are primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers that typically range in size from 50,000 to 650,000 square feet of building area, as distinguished from large regional enclosed malls and small strip centers, which generally contain 5,000 to 25,000 square feet. Almost none of the centers have climatized common areas, but are designed to allow retail customers to park their automobiles in close proximity to any retailer in the center. Our centers are customarily constructed of masonry, steel and glass, and all have lighted, paved parking areas, which are typically landscaped with berms, trees and shrubs. They are generally located at major intersections in close proximity to neighborhoods that have existing populations sufficient to support retail activities of the types conducted in our centers.

We have approximately 6,900 separate leases with 5,000 different tenants. Included among our top revenue-producing tenants are: The Kroger Co., T.J.X. Companies, Safeway, Ross Stores, Publix, Home Depot, Office Depot, Petsmart and Gap (primarily Old Navy stores). The diversity of our tenant base is also evidenced by the fact that our largest tenant accounted for only 2.6% of rental revenues during 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, lease expirations for the next ten years, assuming tenants do not exercise renewal options, are as follows:

Year	Number of Expiring Leases	Square Feet of Expiring Leases (000's)	Percentage of Leaseable Square Feet	Annual Net Rent of Expiring Leases	
				Total (000's)	Per Square Foot
2010	946	4,331	10.56	\$ 54,418	\$ 12.56
2011	1,028	4,945	12.06	66,584	13.46
2012	867	4,590	11.19	61,402	13.38
2013	740	5,183	12.64	59,370	11.45
2014	647	4,809	11.73	55,955	11.64
2015	266	2,567	6.26	28,201	10.99
2016	116	1,467	3.58	16,791	11.45
2017	106	1,257	3.07	18,344	14.59
2018	96	1,054	2.57	15,719	14.91
2019	85	1,165	2.84	16,074	13.80

In the ordinary course of business, we have tenants who cease making payments under their leases or who file for bankruptcy protection. We are unable to predict or forecast the timing of store closings or unexpected vacancies. While we believe the effect of this will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity due to the significant diversification of our tenant base, the uncertainty in the economy and commercial credit markets could result in a negative impact.

Our shopping center leases have lease terms generally ranging from three to five years for tenant space under 5,000 square feet and from 10 to 25 years for tenant space over 10,000 square feet. Leases with primary lease terms in excess of 10 years, generally for anchor and out-parcels, frequently contain renewal options which allow the tenant to extend the term of the lease for one or more additional periods, with each of these periods generally being of a shorter duration than the primary lease term. The rental rates paid during a renewal period are generally based upon the rental rate for the primary term; sometimes adjusted for inflation, market conditions or an amount of the tenant's sales during the primary term.

Most of our leases provide for the monthly payment in advance of fixed minimum rentals, the tenants' pro rata share of ad valorem taxes, insurance (including fire and extended coverage, rent insurance and liability insurance) and common area maintenance for the center (based on estimates of the costs for these items). They also provide for the payment of additional rentals based on a percentage of the tenants' sales. Utilities are generally paid directly by tenants except where common metering exists with respect to a center. In this case we make payments for the utilities, and the tenants reimburse us on a monthly basis. Generally, our leases prohibit the tenant from assigning or subletting its space. They also require the tenant to use its space for the purpose designated in its lease agreement and to operate its business on a continuous basis. Some of the lease agreements with major tenants contain modifications of these basic provisions in view of the financial condition, stability or desirability of those tenants. Where a tenant is granted the right to assign its space, the lease agreement generally provides that the original lessee will remain liable for the payment of the lease obligations under that lease agreement.

There were no acquisitions of retail properties during 2009.

As of March 31, 2009, we contributed the final four properties to the joint venture with Hines REIT Retail Holdings, LLC with an aggregate value of approximately \$66.8 million, and aggregating approximately 0.4 million square

feet. These four shopping centers are located one each in Florida and North Carolina and two in Georgia, and we received net proceeds of approximately \$20.6 million. These contributions included loan assumptions on each of the properties, which transferred secured debt totaling approximately \$34.6 million to the consolidated joint venture.

In October 2009, we contributed four Florida properties with an aggregate value of approximately \$114.3 million, and aggregating 0.8 million square feet, to an unconsolidated real estate joint venture. We sold an 80% interest in this joint venture to an institutional investor, and we received net proceeds of \$85.9 million and realized a gain of \$10.1 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two additional properties were contributed to the unconsolidated joint venture for \$47.3 million, which included loan assumptions of \$28.1 million and net proceeds of \$14.0 million.

During 2009, we sold 12 retail properties, six of which were located in Texas and two each in Arizona, New Mexico and North Carolina. Also, we sold 11 retail buildings at seven operating properties, of which five buildings were located in Louisiana, four in Nevada and one each in Arizona and New Mexico. Gross sales proceeds from these dispositions totaled \$189.4 million and generated gains of \$63.6 million.

Impairments, related to our retail properties, of \$5.7 million, including two properties associated with the anticipated contribution to an unconsolidated joint venture, were recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009, of which \$3.5 million is included in discontinued operations.

**Industrial Properties.** At December 31, 2009, we owned, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, 76 industrial projects, including one project under construction, and three other operating properties totaling approximately 17.0 million square feet of building area. Our industrial properties consist of bulk warehouse, business distribution and office-service center assets ranging in size from 9,000 to 727,000 square feet. Similar to our shopping centers, these properties are customarily constructed of masonry, steel and glass, and have lighted, concrete parking areas and are well landscaped. The national and regional tenants in our industrial centers include Hitachi Transport Systems, Sears Logistics, Publix, Shell, Rooms to Go, UPS Supply Chain Solutions, General Electric Company, G.E. Polymershapes, Inc., Interline Brands, Inc., Rooftop Systems Inc., Wells Fargo Bank and Iron Mountain. Our properties are located in Arizona, California, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia.

There were no acquisitions of industrial properties during 2009.

During 2009, five industrial properties located in Texas were sold. Gross sales proceeds totaled \$20.0 million and generated gains of \$7.2 million.

Impairments, related to our industrial properties, of \$.3 million were recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009 and are included in discontinued operations.

**Land Held for Development.** At December 31, 2009, we owned 42 parcels of unimproved land consisting of approximately 36.1 million square feet of land area located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Nevada, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Utah. These properties include approximately 3.4 million square feet of land adjacent to certain of our existing developed properties, which may be used for expansion of these developments, as well as approximately 32.7 million square feet of land, which may be used for new development. Most of the land held for development is served by roads and utilities and are suitable for development as shopping centers or industrial projects, and we intend to emphasize the development of these parcels for such purpose. Due to our analysis of current economic considerations, including the effects of tenant bankruptcies, lack of credit available to retailers and suspension of tenant expansion plans for new development projects and declines in the real estate values, plans related to our new development properties including land held for development changed, and the use of these assets was no longer certain which brought about an impairment charge. Impairments, related to undeveloped land at our new development properties, of \$32.8 million were recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009.

New Development Properties. At December 31, 2009, we had 10 projects under construction or in preconstruction stages with an estimated final square footage of approximately 2.7 million. These properties are slated to be completed over the next one to four years, and we expect our investment on these properties to be \$175.2 million, net of proceeds from land sales and tax incentive financing of \$35.0 million.

Merchant Development. During 2009, we sold four land parcels, two of which were located in Texas and one each in Arizona and New Mexico. Also, we sold an industrial building located in Texas and an unconsolidated joint venture interest in a shopping center located in Colorado. Gross sales proceeds from these dispositions totaled \$47.9 million, which were reduced by the release of a debt obligation of \$11.7 million, and generated gains of \$18.7 million.

ITEM Legal Proceedings

3.

We are involved in various matters of litigation arising in the normal course of business. While we are unable to predict with certainty the amounts involved, our management and counsel believe that when such litigation is resolved, our resulting liability, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM Reserved

4.

28

---

## PART II

ITEM Market for Registrant's Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer  
5. Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common shares of beneficial interest ("common shares") are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "WRI." As of January 31, 2010, the number of holders of record of our common shares was 2,961. The closing high and low sale prices per common share as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, and dividends per share paid for the fiscal quarters indicated were as follows:

	High	Low	Dividends
2009:			
Fourth	\$20.86	\$18.19	\$.250
Third	22.29	13.29	.250
Second	16.58	9.18	.250
First	20.72	8.41	.525
2008:			
Fourth	\$35.08	\$10.10	\$.525
Third	40.00	27.38	.525
Second	38.71	30.32	.525
First	35.42	28.37	.525

The following table summarizes the equity compensation plans under which our common shares may be issued as of December 31, 2009:

Plan category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	4,436,143	\$ 27.44	408,429
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders			
Total	4,436,143	\$ 27.44	408,429

Performance Graph

The graph below provides an indicator of cumulative total shareholder returns for us as compared with the S&P 500 Stock Index and the NAREIT All Equity Index, weighted by market value at each measurement point. The graph assumes that on December 31, 2004, \$100 was invested in our common shares and that all dividends were reinvested by the shareholder.

Comparison of Five Year Cumulative Return

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Weingarten	98.75	125.90	90.04	64.54	67.87
S&P 500 Index	104.91	121.48	128.16	80.74	102.11
The NAREIT All Equity Index	112.16	151.49	127.72	79.53	95.00

There can be no assurance that our share performance will continue into the future with the same or similar trends depicted in the graph above. We do not make or endorse any predications as to future share performance.



## ITEM Selected Financial Data

6.

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data and should be read in conjunction with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" and the financial schedules included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

	(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)				
	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Revenues (primarily real estate rentals)	\$572,108	\$592,748	\$561,200	\$501,369	\$452,929
Expenses:					
Depreciation and amortization	147,895	149,812	122,245	111,634	101,300
Other	234,557	262,411	190,943	163,953	137,021
Total	382,452	412,223	313,188	275,587	238,321
Operating Income	189,656	180,525	248,012	225,782	214,608
Interest Expense, net	(153,207 )	(156,318 )	(156,248 )	(148,052 )	(128,565 )
Interest and Other Income, net	11,427	4,333	8,483	9,043	2,849
Gain on Redemption of Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes	25,311	12,961			
Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	5,548	12,196	19,853	14,655	6,610
Gain on Merchant Development Sales	18,688	8,342	16,385	7,166	804
(Provision) Benefit for Income Taxes	(6,338 )	10,219	(4,073 )	(1,366 )	
Income from Continuing Operations	91,085	72,258	132,412	107,228	96,306
Income from Discontinued Operations (1)	58,925	80,339	103,840	178,519	107,101
Gain on Sale of Property	25,266	1,998	4,086	22,493	22,306
Net Income	\$175,276	\$154,595	\$240,338	\$308,240	\$225,713
Net Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests	\$171,102	\$145,652	\$230,101	\$301,826	\$219,653
Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$135,626	\$109,091	\$204,726	\$291,725	\$209,552
Per Share Data - Basic:					
Income from Continuing Operations	\$0.70	\$0.34	\$1.18	\$1.29	\$1.15
Net Income	\$1.24	\$1.29	\$2.39	\$3.33	\$2.35
Weighted Average Number of Shares	109,546	84,474	85,504	87,719	89,224
Per Share Data - Diluted:					
Income from Continuing Operations	\$0.70	\$0.34	\$1.18	\$1.29	\$1.15
Net Income	\$1.23	\$1.28	\$2.35	\$3.24	\$2.31
Weighted Average Number of Shares	110,178	84,917	88,893	91,779	93,166
Property (at cost)	\$4,658,396	\$4,915,472	\$4,972,344	\$4,445,888	\$4,033,579
Total Assets	\$4,890,385	\$5,114,212	\$4,992,636	\$4,373,066	\$3,737,741

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Debt, net	\$2,531,847	\$3,148,636	\$3,131,977	\$2,899,860	\$2,348,504
Other Data:					
Cash Flows from Operating Activities	\$244,316	\$220,150	\$223,309	\$242,592	\$200,525
Cash Flows from Investing Activities	\$191,872	\$(115,391 )	\$(480,630 )	\$(314,686 )	\$(104,459 )
Cash Flows from Financing Activities	\$(341,550 )	\$(111,590 )	\$252,095	\$100,407	\$(97,791 )
Cash Dividends per Common Share	\$1.28	\$2.10	\$1.98	\$1.86	\$1.76
Funds from Operations: (2)					
Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$135,626	\$109,091	\$204,726	\$291,725	\$209,552
Depreciation and Amortization	162,644	162,035	141,150	131,792	125,742
Gain on Sale of Property	(81,010 )	(70,068 )	(86,076 )	(172,056 )	(87,561 )
Total	\$217,260	\$201,058	\$259,800	\$251,461	\$247,733

- (1) Generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) requires the operating results and gain (loss) on the sale of operating properties to be reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

- (2) The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) defines funds from operations (“FFO”) as net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets and extraordinary items, plus depreciation and amortization of operating properties, including our share of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. We calculate FFO in a manner consistent with the NAREIT definition.

Management uses FFO as a supplemental measure to conduct and evaluate our business because there are certain limitations associated with using GAAP net income by itself as the primary measure of our operating performance. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, management believes that the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that uses historical cost accounting is insufficient by itself. There can be no assurance that FFO presented by us is comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income or other measurements under GAAP as an indicator of our operating performance or to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as a measure of liquidity. FFO does not reflect working capital changes, cash expenditures for capital improvements or principal payments on indebtedness.

#### ITEM Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

7.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the comparative summary of selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and trends which might appear should not be taken as indicative of future operations. Our results of operations and financial condition, as reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related footnotes, are subject to management's evaluation and interpretation of business conditions, retailer performance, changing capital market conditions and other factors which could affect the ongoing viability of our tenants.

#### Executive Overview

Weingarten Realty Investors is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) organized under the Texas Real Estate Investment Trust Act. Effective January 1, 2010, the Texas Real Estate Investment Trust Act was replaced by the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping and industrial centers we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

We operate a portfolio of rental properties which includes neighborhood and community shopping centers and industrial properties of approximately 70.0 million square feet. We have a diversified tenant base with our largest tenant comprising only 2.6% of total rental revenues during 2009.



Our long-term strategy is to focus on increasing funds from operations (“FFO”) and shareholder value. We do this through hands-on leasing, management and selected redevelopment of the existing portfolio of properties, through disciplined growth from selective acquisitions and new developments, and through the disposition of assets that no longer meet our ownership criteria. We do this while remaining committed to maintaining a conservative balance sheet, a well-staggered debt maturity schedule and strong credit agency ratings. During 2009, the depressed economic environment and capital markets caused us to refocus our efforts on maintaining our operating properties at current levels and managing our capital resources to ensure adequate liquidity needed to address our upcoming debt maturities. Accordingly, we have taken a number of steps in 2009 as described below:

- We issued 32.2 million common shares of beneficial interest (“common shares”) resulting in additional liquidity of \$439.1 million. These proceeds were used to fund the repurchases of \$504.9 million principal of unsecured fixed rate medium term notes, 7% senior unsecured notes and 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes, significantly reducing our debt maturities for the years 2009 through 2011. Although our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes do not mature until 2026, we believe market conditions make it highly probable they will be put back to us in 2011.
  - We issued \$267.6 million of secured debt.
  - We issued \$100.0 million of unsecured notes payable.
- We entered into a joint venture to which we have contributed six properties valued at \$160.8 million and to date have received net proceeds of approximately \$99.9 million and a cash distribution of secured debt proceeds totaling \$12.9 million.
- We contributed the final four properties to a joint venture valued at approximately \$66.8 million and received net proceeds of approximately \$20.6 million.
  - We have sold operating and merchant build properties for approximately \$257.2 million.

As a result of these transactions, we have built adequate levels of liquidity and reduced our debt maturities to very manageable levels. We were able to reduce our debt maturities (including our revolver balance and the 3.95% unsecured convertible debt due in 2026 with put options in 2011) in 2009, 2010 and 2011 from \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2008 to \$463.8 million as of December 31, 2009, which, at this time, we are highly confident can be refinanced or extinguished upon maturity. In February 2010, we successfully renegotiated our revolver credit facility for \$500 million which matures in February 2013. As of February 24, 2010, no amounts were outstanding under our revolving credit facility, and we had excess cash primarily invested in short-term investments totaling \$115 million.

Looking forward to 2010, we will continue to focus our efforts on improving our operating fundamentals and increasing shareholder value. We are also positioning ourselves to take advantage of growth opportunities as the markets begin to improve. We are implementing a multifaceted approach to utilizing associates from leasing, acquisitions and new development to source these opportunities. We are also leveraging their efforts with the relationships we have in the brokerage, banking and institutional arenas. While the number of attractive acquisition and redevelopment opportunities entering the market has been minimal, we are focused on being properly positioned once the markets improve.

We strive to maintain a strong, conservative capital structure, which provides ready access to a variety of attractive capital sources. We carefully balance obtaining low cost financing with minimizing exposure to interest rate movements and matching long-term liabilities with the long-term assets acquired or developed. While the availability and pricing of capital has improved since the beginning of the year, there can be no assurance that such pricing and availability will not deteriorate in the near future.

At December 31, 2009, we owned or operated under long-term leases, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, a total of 376 developed income-producing properties and 10 properties under various stages of construction and development. The total number of centers includes 307 neighborhood and

community shopping centers, 76 industrial projects and three other operating properties located in 23 states spanning the country from coast to coast.

We also owned interests in 42 parcels of land held for development that totaled approximately 36.1 million square feet.

We had approximately 6,900 leases with 5,000 different tenants at December 31, 2009.

Leases for our properties range from less than a year for smaller spaces to over 25 years for larger tenants. Rental revenues generally include minimum lease payments, which often increase over the lease term, reimbursements of property operating expenses, including ad valorem taxes, and additional rent payments based on a percentage of the tenants' sales. The majority of our anchor tenants are supermarkets, value-oriented apparel/discount stores and other retailers or service providers who generally sell basic necessity-type goods and services. Through this challenging economic environment, we believe stability of our anchor tenants, combined with convenient locations, attractive and well-maintained properties, high quality retailers and a strong tenant mix, should ensure the long-term success of our merchants and the viability of our portfolio.

In assessing the performance of our properties, management carefully tracks the occupancy of the portfolio. The weakened economy contributed to a drop in our occupancy from 92.6% at December 31, 2008 to 90.8% at December 31, 2009. While we will continue to monitor the economy and the effects on our retailers, we believe the significant diversification of our portfolio both geographically and by tenant base and the quality of our portfolio, will allow us to maintain occupancy levels of above 90% as we move through next year, absent bankruptcies by multiple national or regional tenants. Another important indicator of performance is the spread in rental rates on a same-space basis as we complete new leases and renew existing leases. We completed 1,237 new leases or renewals during 2009 totaling 5.9 million square feet, increasing rental rates an average of 2.2% on a cash basis.

#### New Development

At December 31, 2009, we had 10 properties in various stages of development. We have funded \$179.6 million to date on these projects and, at completion, we estimate our investment to be \$175.2 million, net of proceeds from land sales and tax incentive financing of \$35.0 million. These properties are slated to be completed over the next one to four years with a projected return on investment of approximately 7.1% when completed.

We have approximately \$182.6 million, net of impairment charges, in land held for development pending improvement in economic conditions. Due to our analysis of current economic considerations, including the effects of tenant bankruptcies, lack of credit available to retailers and the suspension of tenant expansion plans for new development projects and declines in real estate values, our plans related to our new development properties including land held for development changed, and resulted in impairment charges. Impairments, primarily related to undeveloped land at our new development properties, of \$32.8 million and \$52.5 million were recognized during 2009 and 2008, respectively. While we will continue to monitor this market closely, we anticipate little if any investment in land held for development or new projects for 2010.

Merchant development is a program where we acquire or develop a project with the objective of selling all or part of it, instead of retaining it in our portfolio on a long-term basis. Disposition of land parcels are also included in this program. We generated gains from this program during 2009 of approximately \$18.7 million. Our 2010 business plan calls for no material merchant development sales.

#### Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

Acquisitions are a key component of our long-term strategy, and joint venture arrangements are key to both our current and long-term strategy. However, the turmoil in the capital markets and current economic conditions have significantly reduced transactions in the marketplace and, therefore, created uncertainty with respect to pricing. Partnering with institutional investors through real estate joint ventures enables us to acquire high quality assets in our target markets while also meeting our financial return objectives. We benefit from access to lower-cost capital, as well as leveraging our expertise to provide fee-based services, such as acquisition, leasing, property management and asset management, to the joint ventures.

There were no acquisitions of operating properties during 2009, and we do not anticipate any material purchases through the mid-year of 2010.

In October 2009, we entered into an agreement to contribute six retail properties, located in Florida and Georgia, valued at approximately \$160.8 million to an unconsolidated joint venture in which we will retain a 20% ownership interest. In 2009, we closed on four Florida properties with a total value of \$114.3 million, aggregating 0.8 million square feet and received net proceeds of approximately \$85.9 million. We sold an 80% interest in this joint venture to an institutional investor, and realized a gain of \$10.1 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two additional properties were contributed to the unconsolidated joint venture for \$47.3 million, which included loan assumptions of \$28.1 million and net proceeds of \$14.0 million.



As of March 31, 2009, we contributed the final four properties to the joint venture with Hines REIT Retail Holdings, LLC with an aggregate value of approximately \$66.8 million, and aggregating approximately 0.4 million square feet. These four shopping centers are located one each in Florida and North Carolina and two in Georgia, and we received net proceeds of approximately \$20.6 million. These contributions included loan assumptions on each of the properties, which transferred secured debt totaling approximately \$34.6 million to the consolidated joint venture.

We have a real estate limited partnership with a foreign institutional investor to purchase up to \$250 million of retail properties in various states. Our ownership in this unconsolidated real estate limited partnership is 20.1%. As of December 31, 2009, no properties had been purchased.

While we are not currently pursuing new joint ventures utilizing our current asset pool, we continue to monitor our joint venture relationships and evaluate whether new relationships could provide equity for new investments. Although we have no current plans, it is uncertain whether we will be successful in completing any additional transactions in the near future.

Joint venture fee income for the year ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$6.3 million or a decrease from the prior year of \$.9 million. This fee income is based upon revenues, net income and in some cases appraised property values. Due to decreases in these factors at our unconsolidated joint ventures, joint venture fee income has declined. We anticipate these fees may marginally improve in 2010 over our current performance.

#### Dispositions

During 2009, we sold 12 retail properties, five industrial properties and 11 retail buildings at seven operating properties, for \$209.4 million. Although the availability of debt financing for prospective acquirers has been limited in the current capital markets, we expect to continue to dispose of selected non-core properties throughout 2010 as opportunities present themselves. Dispositions are a key component of our liquidity strategy, and are also part of our ongoing management process where we prune from our portfolio, properties that do not meet our geographic or growth targets. Dispositions provide capital, which may be recycled into properties that have high barrier-to-entry locations within high growth metropolitan markets. Over time, we expect this to produce a portfolio with higher occupancy rates and stronger internal revenue growth.

#### Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and contingencies as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies require more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

#### Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, which begins the date the leasehold improvements are substantially complete, if owned by us, or the date the tenant takes control of the space, if the leasehold improvements are owned by the tenant. Revenue from tenant reimbursements of taxes, maintenance expenses and insurance is subject to our interpretation of lease provisions and is recognized in the period the related

expense is recognized. Revenue based on a percentage of tenants' sales is recognized only after the tenant exceeds their sales breakpoint. In addition, in circumstances where we would provide a tenant improvement allowance for improvements that are owned by the tenant, we would recognize the allowance as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Other revenue is income from contractual agreements with third parties, tenants or partially owned real estate joint ventures or partnerships, which is recognized as the related services are performed under the respective agreements.

### Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

To determine the method of accounting for partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships, we apply the guidelines as set forth in GAAP. Entities identified as variable interest entities are consolidated if we are determined to be the primary beneficiary of the partially owned real estate joint venture or partnership.

Partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships over which we exercise financial and operating control are consolidated in our financial statements. In determining if we exercise financial and operating control, we consider factors such as ownership interest, authority to make decisions, kick-out rights and substantive participating rights. If there are changes in these factors, these reconsideration events are assessed to determine if the consolidation treatment remains appropriate. Management analyzes and assesses reconsideration events as soon as they become aware of them. Partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships where we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but do not exercise financial and operating control, are accounted for using the equity method. Decisions regarding consolidation of partially owned entities frequently require significant judgment by our management. Errors in the assessment of consolidation could result in material changes to our consolidated financial statements.

### Property

Real estate assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method, generally over estimated useful lives of 18-40 years for buildings and 10-20 years for parking lot surfacing and equipment. Major replacements where the betterment extends the useful life of the asset are capitalized, and the replaced asset and corresponding accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. All other maintenance and repair items are charged to expense as incurred. If we do not allocate these costs appropriately or incorrectly estimate the useful lives of our real estate, depreciation expense may be misstated.

Acquisitions of properties are accounted for utilizing the acquisition method and, accordingly, the results of operations of an acquired property are included in our results of operations from the date of acquisition. Estimates of fair values are based upon future cash flows and other valuation techniques in accordance with our fair value measurements policy, which are used to record the purchase price of acquired property among land, buildings on an "as if vacant" basis, tenant improvements, other identifiable intangibles and any goodwill or gain on purchase. Other identifiable intangible assets and liabilities include the effect of out-of-market leases, the value of having leases in place ("as is" versus "as if vacant" and absorption costs), out-of-market assumed mortgages and tenant relationships. Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method, generally over estimated useful lives of 40 years for buildings and over the lease term which includes bargain renewal options for other identifiable intangible assets. The impact of these estimates, including incorrect estimates in connection with acquisition values and estimated useful lives, could result in significant differences related to the purchased assets, liabilities and resulting depreciation or amortization. Effective 2009, acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Property also includes costs incurred in the development of new operating properties and properties in our merchant development program. Merchant development is a program in which we develop a project with the objective of selling all or part of it, instead of retaining it in our portfolio on a long-term basis. Also, disposition of land parcels and non-operating properties are included in this program. These properties are carried at cost and no depreciation is recorded on these assets until the commencement of rental revenue or no later than one year from the completion of major construction. These costs include pre-acquisition costs directly identifiable with the specific project, development and construction costs, interest and real estate taxes. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits, travel and other related costs that are directly attributable to the development of the property, are also capitalized. The capitalization of such costs ceases at the earlier of one year from the completion of major construction or when the property, or any completed portion, becomes available for occupancy. The impact of the estimates related to the allocation of indirect costs and interest could result in incorrect estimates in connection with determining the asset value which could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Property also includes costs for tenant improvements paid by us, including reimbursements to tenants for improvements that are owned by us and will remain our property after the lease expires.

#### Impairment

Our properties are reviewed for impairment, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property, including any identifiable intangible assets (including site costs and capitalized interest), may not be recoverable.

If such an event occurs for our properties, a comparison is made of the current and projected operating cash flows of each such property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis to the carrying amount of such property. Our carrying amounts are adjusted, if necessary, to the estimated fair value to reflect impairment in the value of the asset. Fair values are determined by management utilizing cash flow models and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker or appraisal estimates in accordance with our fair value measurements policy.

Our analysis of current economic considerations at each reporting period, including the effects of tenant bankruptcies, lack of credit available to retailers, the suspension of tenant expansion plans for new development projects, declines in real estate values and management's plans related to our new development properties including land held for development could result in an impairment charge. Determining whether a property is impaired and, if impaired, the amount of required write-down to fair value requires a significant amount of judgment by management and is based on the best information available to management at the time of evaluation. The evaluations used in these analyses could result in incorrect estimates when determining carrying values that could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Our investments in partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships are reviewed for impairment, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of an investment may not be recoverable. The ultimate realization is dependent on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment and market conditions. We will record an impairment charge if we determine that a decline in the value of an investment is other than temporary. A considerable amount of judgment by our management is used in this evaluation. Our overall future plans for the investment, our investment partner's financial outlook and our views on current market and economic conditions may have a significant impact on the resulting factors analyzed for these purposes.

#### Interest Capitalization

Interest is capitalized on land under development and buildings under construction based on rates applicable to borrowings outstanding during the period and the weighted average balance of qualified assets under development/construction during the period. Differences in methodologies to calculate applicable interest rates and the cost of qualified assets can yield significant differences in the amounts capitalized and, as a result, the amount of depreciation recognized.

#### Fair Value Measurements

Certain financial instruments, estimates and transactions are required to be calculated, reported and/or recorded at fair value. The estimated fair values of such financial items, including: debt instruments, impairments, acquisitions, investment securities and derivatives, have been determined using a market-based measurement. This measurement is determined based on the assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which is typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value

measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. The assessed inputs used in determining any fair value measurements could result in incorrect valuations that could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

#### Deferred Charges

Debt financing costs are amortized primarily on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over the terms of the debt. Lease costs represent the initial direct costs incurred in origination, negotiation and processing of a lease agreement. Such costs include outside broker commissions and other independent third party costs, as well as salaries and benefits, travel and other internal costs directly related to completing a lease and are amortized over the life of the lease on a straight-line basis. Costs related to supervision, administration, unsuccessful origination efforts and other activities not directly related to completed lease agreements are charged to expense as incurred. Differences in methodologies to calculate and defer these costs can yield differences in the amounts deferred and, accordingly, the amount of amortization recognized.

#### Sales of Real Estate

Sales of real estate include the sale of shopping center pads, property adjacent to shopping centers, shopping center properties, merchant development properties, investments in real estate joint ventures and partnerships and partial sales to real estate joint ventures and partnerships in which we participate.

Profits on sales of real estate, including merchant development sales are not recognized until (a) a sale is consummated; (b) the buyer's initial and continuing investments are adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay; (c) the seller's receivable is not subject to future subordination; and (d) we have transferred to the buyer the usual risks and rewards of ownership in the transaction, and we do not have a substantial continuing involvement with the property. A considerable amount of judgment by our management is used in this evaluation.

We recognize gains on the sale of real estate to joint ventures and partnerships in which we participate to the extent we receive cash from the joint venture or partnership, if it meets the sales criteria in accordance with GAAP, and we do not have a commitment to support the operations of the real estate joint venture or partnership to an extent greater than our proportionate interest in the real estate joint venture or partnership.

#### Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable

Receivable balances outstanding include base rents, tenant reimbursements and receivables attributable to the straight-lining of rental commitments. An allowance for the uncollectible portion of accrued rents and accounts receivable is determined based upon an analysis of balances outstanding, historical bad debt levels, tenant credit worthiness and current economic trends. Additionally, estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims with respect to tenants in bankruptcy are considered in assessing the collectibility of the related receivables. As these factors change, the allowance is subject to revision and may impact our results of operations.

#### Income Taxes

We have elected to be treated as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income we distribute to our shareholders. To be taxed as a REIT, we must meet a number of requirements including defined percentage tests concerning the amount of our assets and revenues that come from, or are attributable to, real estate operations. As long as we distribute at least 90% of the taxable income of the REIT (without regard to capital gains or the dividends paid deduction) to our shareholders as dividends, we will not be taxed on the portion of our income we distribute as dividends.

The Tax Relief Extension Act of 1999 gave REITs the ability to conduct activities which a REIT was previously precluded from doing as long as such activities are performed in entities which have elected to be treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries under the IRS code. These activities include buying or developing properties with the express purpose of selling them. We conduct certain of these activities in taxable REIT subsidiaries that we have created. We calculate and record income taxes in our consolidated financial statements based on the activities in those entities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to

differences between our carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. These are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is established for those assets we do not consider the realization of such assets to be more likely than not. We use estimates in preparing our deferred tax amounts and if revised, these estimates could impact our results of operations.

Additionally, GAAP prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return. A tax position may only be recognized in the financial statements if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination.



## Results of Operations

## Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2009 to the Year Ended December 31, 2008

## Revenues

Total revenues were \$572.1 million for the year ended 2009 versus \$592.7 million for the year ended 2008, a decrease of \$20.6 million or 3.5%. This decrease resulted from a decrease in net rental revenues of \$24.8 million, which is offset by an increase in other income of \$4.2 million.

This decrease in net rental revenues resulted primarily from a decline in occupancy, the \$12.5 million decrease associated with the deconsolidation of four joint ventures as of December 31, 2008, and a reduction of \$3.3 million from the sale of an 80% interest in four shopping centers in October 2009. The increase in other income resulted primarily from an increase in lease cancellation income from various tenants.

Occupancy (leased space) of the portfolio as compared to the prior year was as follows:

	December 31,			
	2009		2008	
Shopping Centers	91.8	%	93.0	%
Industrial	87.8	%	91.6	%
Total	90.8	%	92.6	%

## Expenses

Total expenses for 2009 were \$382.5 million versus \$412.2 million in 2008, a decrease of \$29.7 million or 7.2%. This decrease resulted primarily from the \$17.6 million decrease in impairment losses for certain new development properties based on current economic conditions, changes in our new development business plans, the suspension in tenant expansion plans and declines in real estate values and the \$10.5 million decrease in operating expenses. The decrease in operating expenses from the prior year resulted primarily from a reduction in pre-acquisition and pre-development cost write offs and a decline in costs as a result of damage associated with Hurricane Ike in 2008. Overall, direct operating costs and expenses (operating and net ad valorem taxes) of operating our properties as a percentage of rental revenues were 31.3% and 31.8% in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## Interest Expense, net

Net interest expense totaled \$153.2 million for 2009, down \$3.1 million or 2.0% from 2008. The components of net interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Gross interest expense	\$161,015	\$175,789
Amortization of convertible bond discount	4,969	8,521
Over-market mortgage adjustment of acquired properties	(4,061 )	(7,702 )
Capitalized interest	(8,716 )	(20,290 )
Total	\$153,207	\$156,318

Gross interest expense totaled \$161.0 million in 2009, down \$14.8 million or 8.4% from 2008. The decrease in gross interest expense was due primarily to the reduction in the average debt outstanding, relating to the retirement of the

convertible notes and other unsecured debt. In 2009, the weighted average debt outstanding was \$2.8 billion at a weighted average interest rate of 5.7% as compared to \$3.2 billion outstanding at a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% in 2008. The decrease of \$3.6 million in the amortization of convertible bond discount relates to the retirement of the convertible notes. The decrease in over-market mortgage adjustment of acquired properties of \$3.6 million resulted primarily from loan payoffs in 2008. Capitalized interest decreased \$11.6 million as a result of new development stabilizations, completions and the cessation of carrying costs capitalization on several new development projects transferred to land held for development.

#### Interest and Other Income, net

Net interest and other income was \$11.4 million in 2009 versus \$4.3 million in 2008, an increase of \$7.1 million or 165.1%. This increase resulted primarily from the fair value increase of \$7.2 million in the assets held in a grantor trust related to our deferred compensation plan.

#### Gain on Redemption of Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes

The gain in 2009 of \$25.3 million resulted from the purchase and cancellation of \$402.0 million of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes at a discount to par value as compared to the \$13.0 million gain from the purchase and cancellation of \$37.8 million of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes at a discount to par value in 2008.

#### Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net

The decrease in net equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and partnerships of \$6.6 million or 54.5% is primarily attributable to an increase in our share of impairment losses totaling \$3.5 million with the remaining decrease resulting from a decline in income from our investments due to the cessation of carrying cost capitalization on several new development properties, a decline in occupancy, a note receivable write off and completions of new development and other capital activities.

#### Gain on Merchant Development Sales

Gain on merchant development sales of \$18.7 million in 2009 resulted primarily from the gain on sale of a land parcel, the sale of an unconsolidated joint venture interest in a shopping center in Colorado and the sale of an industrial building. The gain on merchant development sales of \$8.3 million in 2008 resulted primarily from the sale of 24 land parcels plus the realization of a land parcel deferred gain totaling \$2.1 million.

#### (Provision) Benefit for Income Taxes

The increase in the tax provision of \$16.6 million is attributable primarily to our taxable REIT subsidiary. The benefit in 2008 associated with impairment losses and the write off of pre-development costs was greater compared to the activities in 2009. Also, in 2009 we recorded a valuation allowance of \$9.6 million associated with impairment losses and established a \$6.3 million deferred liability associated with book-tax basis differentials. The valuation allowance was established as the realization of these losses is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income in the years the related properties are sold.

#### Gain on Sale of Property

The increase in gain on sale of property of \$23.3 million is attributable primarily to the sale of an 80% interest in four shopping centers in October 2009 and the disposition of 11 retail buildings at seven operating properties during 2009.

#### Results of Operations

##### Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2008 to the Year Ended December 31, 2007

#### Revenues

Total revenues were \$592.7 million for the year ended 2008 versus \$561.2 million for the year ended 2007, an increase of \$31.5 million or 5.6%. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in rental revenues of \$30.9 million and other income of \$.6 million.

Property acquisitions and new development activity contributed \$26.7 million of the rental income increase with \$4.2 million resulting from 1,243 renewals and new leases, comprising 6.3 million square feet at an average rental rate increase of 10.2%.

Occupancy (leased space) of the portfolio as compared to the prior year was as follows:

	December 31,			
	2008		2007	
Shopping Centers	93.0	%	95.1	%
Industrial	91.6	%	92.0	%
Total	92.6	%	94.4	%

Other income increased by \$.6 million from the prior year due from an increase in lease cancellation revenue from various tenants.

#### Expenses

Total expenses for 2008 were \$412.2 million versus \$313.2 million in 2007, an increase of \$99.0 million or 31.6%.

The increases in 2008 for depreciation and amortization expense (\$27.6 million), operating expenses (\$13.9 million) and ad valorem taxes (\$6.2 million) were primarily a result of the properties acquired and developed during the year. In addition, operating expenses increased as a result of Hurricane Ike in 2008 and depreciation expense increased as a result of redevelopment activities. In 2008, an impairment loss was recorded of \$52.5 million due primarily to our new development properties based on current economic conditions; including the lack of credit available to retailers, a suspension in tenant expansion plans and declines in the real estate values. The decrease in general and administrative expenses of \$1.2 million results primarily from a reduction in our workforce. Overall, direct operating costs and expenses (operating and ad valorem tax expense) of operating our properties as a percentage of rental revenues were 31.8% and 29.9% in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### Interest Expense, net

Net interest expense totaled \$156.3 million for 2008, up by \$.07 million or .04% from 2007. The components of net interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Gross interest expense	\$175,789	\$179,630
Amortization of convertible bond discount	8,521	8,097
Over-market mortgage adjustment of acquired properties	(7,702 )	(6,454 )
Capitalized interest	(20,290 )	(25,025 )
Total	\$156,318	\$156,248

Gross interest expense totaled \$175.8 million in 2008, down \$3.8 million or 2.1% from 2007. The decrease in gross interest expense results primarily from a net gain of \$2.8 million on the settlement of a forward starting swap in March 2008. Over-market mortgage adjustment increased \$1.2 million due primarily to a write-off of an intangible liability as a result of a loan pay off. Capitalized interest decreased \$4.7 million due to a decrease in the annualized average interest capitalization rate of 5.9% in 2008 compared to 8.4% in 2007.

#### Interest and Other Income, net

Net interest and other income was \$4.3 million in 2008 versus \$8.5 million in 2007, a decrease of \$4.2 million or 49.4%. This decrease resulted from the fair value decline in the assets held in a grantor trust related to our deferred compensation plan and a reduction in interest earned from a qualified escrow account. Offsetting this \$7.0 million decrease is the interest earned on notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships for new development activities and other receivables.

#### Gain on Redemption of Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes

The gain results from the purchase and cancellation of \$37.8 million of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes at a discount to par value.

#### Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net

Our equity in net earnings of real estate joint ventures and partnerships was \$12.2 million in 2008 versus \$19.9 million in 2007, a decrease of \$7.7 million or 38.7%. The decrease results primarily from our share of the write-off of pre-development costs of \$4.6 million and impairment losses of \$3.3 million in 2008. No such activity is present in 2007.

#### Gain on Merchant Development Sales

Gain on merchant development sales totaled \$8.3 million in 2008, which resulted primarily from the sale of 24 land parcels plus the realization of a land parcel deferred gain totaling \$2.1 million. The activity in 2007 of \$16.4 million resulted primarily from the sale of two vacant industrial buildings, the River Pointe apartments, a shopping center and 17 parcels of land.

#### (Provision) Benefit for Income Taxes

The decrease of \$14.3 million resulted primarily from the decrease in income at our taxable REIT subsidiary associated with a reduction in merchant development gains, write-off of pre-development costs and impairment charges.

#### Effects of Inflation

We have structured our leases in such a way as to remain largely unaffected should significant inflation occur. Most of the leases contain percentage rent provisions whereby we receive increased rentals based on the tenants' gross sales. Many leases provide for increasing minimum rentals during the terms of the leases through escalation provisions. In addition, many of our leases are for terms of less than 10 years, which allow us to adjust rental rates to changing market conditions when the leases expire. Most of our leases also require the tenants to pay their proportionate share of operating expenses and ad valorem taxes. As a result of these lease provisions, increases due to inflation, as well as ad valorem tax rate increases, generally do not have a significant adverse effect upon our operating results as they are absorbed by our tenants. Under the current economic climate, little to no inflation is occurring.

#### Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our primary liquidity needs are paying our common and preferred dividends, maintaining and operating our existing properties, paying our debt service costs, excluding debt maturities, and funding capital expenditures. We anticipate that cash flows from operating activities primarily in the form of rental revenues will provide all our capital needs including: common and preferred dividends, debt service costs, excluding debt maturities, and the capital necessary to maintain and operate our existing properties. While our occupancy is projected to remain around the 90% level during 2010, the operating cash flow generated at that occupancy should remain adequate to provide capital for these liquidity needs.

The primary sources of capital for funding any debt maturities and acquisitions are our revolving credit facility; proceeds from both secured and unsecured debt issuances; proceeds from common and preferred capital issuances; cash generated from the sale of property and the formation of joint ventures; and cash flow generated by our operating properties. Amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility are retired as needed with proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, common and preferred equity, cash generated from disposition of properties and cash flow generated by our operating properties. As of December 31, 2009, we had no amounts outstanding under our \$575 million revolving credit facility. In February 2010, we renegotiated a new \$500 million revolving credit facility, of which we have no outstanding amounts as of February 24, 2010.

The credit market turmoil affected our ability to obtain additional capital earlier in the year; however, we have been able to raise \$1.2 billion of new capital thereby significantly improving our overall liquidity. As described under Investing Activities and Financing Activities below, in 2009 we completed: 1) an offering for 32.2 million common shares with net proceeds totaling \$439.1 million, 2) concurrent with the offering, we reduced our quarterly dividend rate per share from \$.525 to \$.25 which commenced with the second quarter 2009 distribution; 3) contributions of property to two joint ventures that provided \$120.5 million in cash; 4) dispositions including merchant development sales of \$257.2 million; 5) secured and unsecured loans totaling \$267.6 million and \$100.0 million, respectively and

6) a cash distribution of secured debt proceeds from an unconsolidated joint venture totaling \$12.9 million. If investment opportunities present themselves, we may consider adding some additional debt as long as we maintain acceptable overall levels of leverage. There can be no assurance that we will obtain debt on terms acceptable to us. We presently have \$9.1 million of dispositions under contract or under letters of intent. There can be no assurance that these transactions can be completed as planned.



We have repositioned our future debt maturities to manageable levels. During the second quarter of 2009, we repurchased \$82.3 million principal amount of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes. In addition, we issued a tender offer for up to \$427.9 million aggregate principal amount of a series of unsecured notes and our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes. As a result of the tender offer, we repurchased \$102.9 million principal amount of our unsecured notes and \$319.7 million principal amount of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes. These transactions were primarily funded with excess cash from our April 2009 common equity issuance and our \$575 million revolving credit facility. In February 2010, we replaced our \$575 million revolving credit facility with a \$500 million three year facility. We elected to reduce the amount of the facility based on current and projected future cash needs.

Our most restrictive debt covenants including debt to assets, secured debt to assets, fixed charge and unencumbered interest coverage and debt yield ratios, limit the amount of additional leverage we can add; however, we believe the sources of capital described above are adequate to execute our current business plan and remain in compliance with our debt covenants.

We have non-recourse debt secured by acquired or developed properties held in several of our real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Off balance sheet mortgage debt for our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$429.8 million of which our ownership percentage is \$126.3 million at December 31, 2009. Scheduled principal payments on this debt at 100% are as follows (in millions):

2010	\$56.7
2011	20.3
2012	22.0
2013	50.7
2014	108.5
Thereafter	171.6
Total	\$429.8

We hedge the future cash flows of certain debt transactions, as well as changes in the fair value of our debt instruments, principally through interest rate swaps with major financial institutions. We generally have the right to sell or otherwise dispose of our assets except in certain cases where we are required to obtain our joint venture partners' consent or a third party consent for assets held in special purpose entities, which are 100% owned by us.

#### Investing Activities:

##### Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

##### Retail Properties.

There were no acquisitions of retail properties during 2009.

As of March 31, 2009, we contributed the final four properties to the joint venture with Hines REIT Retail Holdings, LLC with an aggregate value of approximately \$66.8 million, and aggregating approximately 0.4 million square feet. These four shopping centers are located one each in Florida and North Carolina and two in Georgia, and we received net proceeds of approximately \$20.6 million. These contributions included loan assumptions on each of the properties, which transferred secured debt totaling approximately \$34.6 million to the consolidated joint venture.

In October 2009, we contributed four Florida properties with an aggregate value of approximately \$114.3 million, and aggregating 0.8 million square feet, to an unconsolidated real estate joint venture. We sold an 80% interest in this joint venture to an institutional investor, and we received net proceeds of \$85.9 million and realized a gain of \$10.1 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two additional properties were contributed to the unconsolidated joint

venture for \$47.3 million, which included loan assumptions of \$28.1 million and net proceeds of \$14.0 million.

**Industrial Properties.**

There were no acquisitions of industrial properties during 2009.

#### Dispositions

##### Retail Properties.

During 2009, we sold 12 retail properties, six of which were located in Texas and two each in Arizona, New Mexico and North Carolina. Also, we sold 11 retail buildings at seven operating properties, of which five buildings were located in Louisiana, four in Nevada and one each in Arizona and New Mexico. Gross sales proceeds from these dispositions totaled \$189.4 million and generated gains of \$63.6 million.

##### Industrial Properties.

During 2009, five industrial properties located in Texas were sold. Gross sales proceeds totaled \$20.0 million and generated gains of \$7.2 million.

##### Merchant Development.

During 2009, we sold four land parcels, two of which were located in Texas and one each in Arizona and New Mexico. Also, we sold an industrial building located in Texas and an unconsolidated joint venture interest in a shopping center located in Colorado. Gross sales proceeds from these dispositions totaled \$47.9 million, which were reduced by the release of a debt obligation of \$11.7 million, and generated gains of \$18.7 million.

#### New Development and Capital Expenditures

At December 31, 2009, we had 10 projects under construction or in preconstruction stages with a total square footage of approximately 2.7 million. These properties are slated to be completed over the next one to four years, and we expect our investment on these properties to be \$175.2 million, net of proceeds from land sales and tax incentive financing of \$35.0 million.

Our new development projects are financed initially under our revolving credit facilities, using available cash generated from both secured and unsecured debt issuances, from common and preferred capital issuances and from dispositions of properties.

Capital expenditures for additions to the existing portfolio, acquisitions, new development and our share of investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$162.9 million in 2009, \$437.7 million in 2008 and \$1.1 billion in 2007. We have entered into commitments aggregating \$44.0 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months.

#### Financing Activities:

##### Debt

Total debt outstanding was \$2.5 billion and \$3.1 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Total debt at December 31, 2009 included \$2.1 billion on which interest rates are fixed and \$385.7 million, including the effect of \$352.6 million of interest rate swaps, that bears interest at variable rates. Additionally, debt totaling \$1.2 billion was secured by operating properties while the remaining \$1.3 billion was unsecured. At December 31, 2009, we had \$135.2 million invested in short-term cash instruments.

We had a \$575 million unsecured revolving credit facility held by a syndicate of banks, which was amended and restated in February 2010 as discussed below. The \$575 million unsecured revolving credit facility provided a one-year extension option available at our request. Borrowing rates float at a margin over LIBOR, plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee were priced off a grid that was tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which were 50.0 and 15.0 basis points. This facility had a competitive bid feature that allowed us to request bids for amounts up to \$287.5 million from each of the syndicate banks.

In February 2010, we entered into an amended and restated \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility expires in February 2013 and provides borrowing rates that float at a margin over LIBOR plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which are currently 275.0 and 50.0 basis points, respectively. The facility also contains a competitive bid feature that will allow us to request bids for up to \$250 million. Additionally, an accordion feature allows us to increase the new facility amount up to \$700 million. As of February 24, 2010, no amounts were outstanding under this facility. The available balance under our new revolving credit facility was \$491.7 million at February 24, 2010, which is net of \$8.3 million in outstanding letters of credit.

We believe we were in full compliance with all our covenants as of December 31, 2009. Our five most restrictive covenants include debt to assets, secured debt to assets, fixed charge and unencumbered interest coverage and debt yield ratios. These ratios as defined in our agreements were as follows at December 31, 2009:

Covenant	Restriction	Actual
Debt to Asset Ratio	Less than 60.0%	46.4%
Secured Debt to Asset Ratio	Less than 30.0%	23.0%
Fixed Charge Ratio	Greater than 1.5	2.03
Unencumbered Interest Ratio	Greater than 2.0	3.33
Unencumbered Debt Yield Ratio	Greater than 13.0%	21.3%

In December 2009, we entered into 11 interest rate swap contracts with a total notional amount of \$302.6 million, which have various maturities scheduled through February 2014. These contracts have been designated as fair value hedges, and we have determined that they are highly effective in limiting our risk of changes in the fair value of fixed-rate notes attributable to changes in variable interest rates. In February 2010, we settled \$7 million of these swaps in conjunction with the repurchase of the related debt, which is not anticipated to have a material impact to our consolidated financial results.

At December 31, 2009, we had 13 interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges with an aggregate notional amount of \$352.6 million that convert fixed interest payments at rates ranging from 4.2% to 7.5% to variable interest payments ranging from .3% to 6.1%. At December 31, 2008, we had two interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges with an aggregate notional amount of \$50.0 million that convert fixed interest payments at rates of 4.2% to variable interest payments of 2.0%. We could be exposed to losses in the event of nonperformance by the counter-parties; however, management believes such nonperformance is unlikely.

During 2009, we entered into several secured notes, including the following:

- A \$103 million secured loan from a major life insurance company. The loan is approximately 8.5 years at an interest rate of 7.49% and is collateralized by four properties.
- A \$70.8 million secured loan from a major life insurance company. The loan is for seven years at a fixed interest rate of 7.4% and is collateralized by five properties.
- A \$57.5 million secured loan from a major life insurance company. The loan is for 10 years at a fixed interest rate of 7.0% and is collateralized by 10 properties.
- A \$26.6 million secured loan from a major bank. The loan is for a four year term with a one year extension option at a floating interest rate of 375 basis points over LIBOR with a 1.50% LIBOR floor. This loan is collateralized by two properties.

The net proceeds received from these transactions were used to primarily reduce amounts outstanding under our \$575 million revolving credit facility.

In August 2009, we sold \$100 million of unsecured senior notes with a coupon of 8.1% which will mature September 15, 2019. We may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, on or after September 15, 2014, at our option, at a redemption price equal to 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The notes were issued in denominations of \$20 and integral multiples thereof and are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol

“WRD”. The net proceeds of \$97.5 million were used to reduce amounts outstanding under our \$575 million revolving credit facility.

During 2009, we elected to repurchase a portion of the 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes due 2026 in the open market. We purchased and subsequently retired a face value of \$82.3 million for \$70.4 million, including accrued interest. We originally issued \$575 million of these notes in 2006 and the debentures are convertible under certain circumstances for our common shares at an initial conversion rate of 20.3770 common shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures (an initial conversion price of \$49.075). Upon the conversion of debentures, we will deliver cash for the principal return, as defined, and cash or common shares, at our option, for the excess of the conversion value, as defined, over the principal return. The debentures are redeemable for cash at our option beginning in 2011 for the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the debentures have the right to require us to repurchase their debentures for cash equal to the principal of the debentures plus accrued and unpaid interest in 2011, 2016 and 2021 and in the event of a change in control.

Also, we completed a cash tender offer in 2009 for \$422.6 million face value on a series of unsecured notes and our convertible senior unsecured notes. We purchased at par \$20.6 million of unsecured fixed rate medium term notes, with a weighted average interest rate of 7.54% and a weighted average maturity of 1.6 years, and \$82.3 million of 7% senior unsecured notes due in 2011. In addition, we purchased \$319.7 million face value of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes for \$311.1 million, including accrued interest and expenses. The repurchases of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes resulted in gains of \$25.3 million in 2009, of which \$135.2 million remain outstanding at December 31, 2009.

#### Equity

Common and preferred dividends decreased to \$168.6 million in 2009 compared to \$213.6 million in 2008. The quarterly dividend rate for our common shares was \$.525 for the first quarter of 2009 and \$.25 for the remaining quarters of 2009. Our dividend payout ratio on common equity for 2009, 2008 and 2007 approximated 62.5%, 88.5% and 65.1%, respectively, based on FFO for the respective period. These ratios are inclusive of the non-cash transactions including impairment charges and the gain on the redemption of the convertible senior unsecured notes in the respective periods. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase to our quarterly dividend rate to \$.26 per share.

In April 2009, we issued 32.2 million common shares at \$14.25 per share. Net proceeds from this offering were \$439.1 million and were used to repay indebtedness outstanding under our revolving credit facilities and for other general corporate purposes. Additionally, we invested approximately \$110 million of the proceeds in short-term cash instruments.

In July 2007, our Board of Trust Managers authorized a common share repurchase program as part of our ongoing investment strategy. Under the terms of the program, we could purchase up to a maximum value of \$300 million of our common shares during the following two years. This program expired in July 2009, and no additional shares were repurchased during 2009.

In December 2008, we filed a universal shelf registration which is effective for three years. We will continue to closely monitor both the debt and equity markets and carefully consider our available financing alternatives, including both public and private placements.

#### Contractual Obligations

We have debt obligations related to our mortgage loans and unsecured debt, including our credit facilities. We have shopping centers that are subject to non-cancelable long-term ground leases where a third party owns and has leased the underlying land to us to construct and/or operate a shopping center. In addition, we have non-cancelable operating leases pertaining to office space from which we conduct our business. The table below excludes obligations related to our new development projects because such amounts are not fixed or determinable. We have entered into commitments aggregating \$44.0 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months. The following table summarizes our primary contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Total
Mortgages and Notes							
Payable: (1)							
Unsecured Debt	\$ 118,080	\$ 195,244	\$ 253,498	\$ 222,398	\$ 342,158	\$ 595,015	\$ 1,726,393
Secured Debt	137,814	161,932	205,234	219,703	208,568	666,342	1,599,593
	3,586	3,570	3,382	3,352	3,118	126,761	143,769

Ground Lease  
Payments

Other Obligations

(2)	39,919	32,004	470				72,393
-----	--------	--------	-----	--	--	--	--------

Total Contractual

Obligations	\$299,399	\$392,750	\$462,584	\$445,453	\$553,844	\$1,388,118	\$3,542,148
-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------

(1) Includes principal and interest with interest on variable-rate debt calculated using rates at December 31, 2009, excluding the effect of interest rate swaps.

(2) Other obligations include income and ad valorem tax payments, commitments associated with our secured debt, contributions to our retirement plan and other employee payments. Severance and change in control agreements have not been included as the amounts and payouts are not anticipated.



### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2009, none of our off balance sheet arrangements had a material effect on our liquidity or availability of, or requirement for, our capital resources. Letters of credit totaling \$7.2 million and \$10.1 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We have entered into several unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Under many of these agreements, we and our joint venture partners are required to fund operating capital upon shortfalls in working capital. We have also committed to fund the capital requirements of several new development joint ventures. As operating manager of most of these entities, we have considered these funding requirements in our business plan.

Reconsideration events could cause us to consolidate these joint ventures and partnerships. We evaluate reconsideration events as we become aware of them. Some triggers to be considered are additional contributions required by each partner and each partners' ability to make those contributions. Under certain of these circumstances, we may purchase our partner's interest. Our material unconsolidated real estate joint ventures are with entities which appear sufficiently stable to weather the current market crisis; however, if market conditions were to continue to deteriorate and our partners are unable to meet their commitments, there is a possibility we may have to consolidate these entities. If we were to consolidate all of our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures, we would still be in compliance with our debt covenants, and we believe there would not be a material change in our credit ratings.

Related to our investment in a redevelopment project in Sheridan, Colorado that is held in an unconsolidated real estate joint venture, we, our joint venture partner and the joint venture have each provided a guaranty for the payment of any debt service shortfalls on bonds issued in connection with the project. The Sheridan Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") issued \$97 million of Series A bonds used for an urban renewal project. The bonds are to be repaid with incremental sales and property taxes and a public improvement fee ("PIF") to be assessed on current and future retail sales, and, to the extent necessary, any amounts we may have to provide under a guaranty. The incremental taxes and PIF are to remain intact until the earlier of the bond liability has been paid in full or 2030 (unless such date is otherwise extended by the Agency). At inception on February 27, 2007, we evaluated and determined that the fair value of the guaranty was nominal to us as the guarantor. However, a liability was recorded by the joint venture equal to net amounts funded under the bonds.

In connection with the above project, we and our joint venture partner were also signatories to a completion guaranty that required, among other things, certain infrastructure to be substantially completed and occupants of 75% of the retail space to be open for regular business as of December 31, 2008. Under specified circumstances, the completion guaranty allowed for extension of the completion date until June 30, 2009. At inception on February 27, 2007, we evaluated the guaranty and determined that its then fair value was nominal. By a letter dated December 1, 2008, the guarantors requested extension of the completion date pursuant to the terms of the guaranty. On December 16, 2008, one of the parties benefited by the guaranty filed a lawsuit against us alleging that we were not entitled to the extension and was seeking \$97 million in liquidated damages together with other relief. In July 2009, we settled the lawsuit. Among the obligations performed or to be performed by us under the terms of the settlement are to cause the joint venture to purchase a portion of the bonds in the amount of \$51.3 million at par plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of such purchase, and to the extent that the outstanding letter of credit supporting additional bonds totaling \$45.7 million with a current term of 20 months is not replaced by an alternate letter of credit issued by another qualified provider on or before July 21, 2010, we will be obligated to provide up to 103% of the outstanding stated amount of the letter of credit as additional collateral in the form of either cash or a back-to-back letter of credit.

On July 22, 2009, as part of the settlement agreement, among other things, the lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice; we loaned \$52.0 million including accrued interest and fees to the joint venture which then purchased the bonds; and the completion guaranty was terminated.

Also in connection with the Sheridan, Colorado joint venture and the issuance of the related Series A bonds, we, our joint venture partner and the joint venture have also provided a performance guaranty on behalf of the Sheridan Redevelopment Agency for the satisfaction of all obligations arising from two interest rate swap agreements for the combined notional amount of \$97 million that matures in December 2029. We evaluated and determined that the fair value of the guaranty both at inception and December 31, 2009 was nominal.

We have evaluated the remaining outstanding guaranties and have determined that the fair value of these guaranties is nominal.

In December 2009, an unconsolidated joint venture became a variable interest entity through the issuance of a secured loan since the lender has the ability to make decisions that could have a significant impact on the success of the entity. The unconsolidated joint venture's maximum exposure to loss is limited to the venture's outstanding debt, which is approximately \$68.7 million at December 31, 2009.

In July 2008, a 47.75%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture acquired an 83.34% interest in a joint venture owning a 919,000 square foot new development property to be constructed in Aurora, Colorado. The acquired joint venture is a variable interest entity to the unconsolidated joint venture since it provided a guaranty on debt obtained by the acquired joint venture, which was approximately \$44.3 million at December 31, 2009. We have evaluated and determined that the fair value of the guaranty both at inception and December 31, 2009 was nominal.

In August 2008, we executed a real estate limited partnership with a foreign institutional investor to purchase up to \$250 million of retail properties in various states. Our ownership in this unconsolidated real estate limited partnership is 20.1%. As of December 31, 2009, no properties had been purchased.

#### Funds from Operations

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") defines FFO as net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets and extraordinary items, plus depreciation and amortization of operating properties, including our share of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. We calculate FFO in a manner consistent with the NAREIT definition.

Management uses FFO as a supplemental measure to conduct and evaluate our business because there are certain limitations associated with using GAAP net income by itself as the primary measure of our operating performance. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, management believes that the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that uses historical cost accounting is insufficient by itself. There can be no assurance that FFO presented by us is comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income or other measurements under GAAP as an indicator of our operating performance or to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as a measure of liquidity. FFO does not reflect working capital changes, cash expenditures for capital improvements or principal payments on indebtedness.

FFO is calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$ 135,626	\$ 109,091	\$ 204,726
Depreciation and amortization	144,211	150,137	129,946
Depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships	18,433	11,898	11,204
Gain on sale of property	(81,006 )	(70,066 )	(83,907 )
Gain on sale of property of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships	(4 )	(2 )	(2,169 )
Funds from operations	217,260	201,058	259,800
Funds from operations attributable to operating partnership units			4,407
Funds from operations assuming conversion of operating partnership units	\$ 217,260	\$ 201,058	\$ 264,207
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	109,546	84,474	85,504
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Share options and awards	632	443	891
Operating partnership units			2,498
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	110,178	84,917	88,893

#### Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17 (“ASU 2009-17”), “Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities.” ASU 2009-17 updated ASC 810, “Consolidations” and was intended to improve an organization’s variable interest entity reporting. It will require a change in the analysis used to determine whether an entity has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. The analysis will be used to identify the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The holder of the variable interest will be defined as the primary beneficiary if it has both the power to influence the entity’s significant economic activities and the obligation to absorb potentially significant losses or receive potentially significant benefits. ASU 2009-17 also requires additional disclosures about an entity’s variable interest entities. The update is effective for us on January 1, 2010. We expect the adoption of this update to the codification will not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 (“ASU 2010-06”), “Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements.” ASU 2010-06 provides for new disclosures as well as, clarification of existing disclosures on fair value measurements including employers’ disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. The update is effective for us beginning January 1, 2010, and we do not expect the adoption of ASU 2010-06 to materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

#### ITEM Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

##### 7A.

We use fixed and floating-rate debt to finance our capital requirements. These transactions expose us to market risk related to changes in interest rates. Derivative financial instruments are used to manage a portion of this risk, primarily interest rate swap agreements with major financial institutions. These swap agreements expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counter-parties to the swaps. We do not engage in the trading of derivative financial instruments in the normal course of business. At December 31, 2009, we had fixed-rate debt of

\$2.1 billion and variable-rate debt of \$385.7 million, after adjusting for the net effect of \$352.6 million notional amount of interest rate swaps. In the event interest rates were to increase 100 basis points and holding all other variables constant, annual net income and cash flows for the following year would decrease by approximately \$3.9 million associated with our variable-rate debt, including the effect of the interest rate swaps. The effect of the 100 basis points increase would decrease the fair value of our variable-rate and fixed-rate debt by approximately \$12.5 million and \$91.1 million, respectively.

ITEM Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  
8.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trust Managers and Shareholders of  
Weingarten Realty Investors  
Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Weingarten Realty Investors and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Weingarten Realty Investors and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, on January 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting for noncontrolling interests and for convertible and exchangeable senior debentures and retrospectively adjusted all periods presented in the consolidated financial statements.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 1, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Houston, Texas  
March 1, 2010



STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Revenues:</b>			
Rentals, net	\$554,107	\$578,960	\$548,050
Other	18,001	13,788	13,150
Total	572,108	592,748	561,200
<b>Expenses:</b>			
Depreciation and amortization	147,895	149,812	122,245
Operating	102,966	113,493	99,607
Ad valorem taxes, net	70,678	70,618	64,357
Impairment loss	34,983	52,539	
General and administrative	25,930	25,761	26,979
Total	382,452	412,223	313,188
Operating Income	189,656	180,525	248,012
Interest Expense, net	(153,207 )	(156,318 )	(156,248 )
Interest and Other Income, net	11,427	4,333	8,483
Gain on Redemption of Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes	25,311	12,961	
Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	5,548	12,196	19,853
Gain on Merchant Development Sales	18,688	8,342	16,385
(Provision) Benefit for Income Taxes	(6,338 )	10,219	(4,073 )
Income from Continuing Operations	91,085	72,258	132,412
Operating Income from Discontinued Operations	3,160	11,617	20,181
Gain on Sale of Property from Discontinued Operations	55,765	68,722	83,659
Income from Discontinued Operations	58,925	80,339	103,840
Gain on Sale of Property	25,266	1,998	4,086
Net Income	175,276	154,595	240,338
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	(4,174 )	(8,943 )	(10,237 )
Net Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests	171,102	145,652	230,101
Dividends on Preferred Shares	(35,476 )	(34,711 )	(25,375 )
Redemption Cost of Preferred Shares		(1,850 )	
Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$ 135,626	\$ 109,091	\$ 204,726
<b>Earnings Per Common Share - Basic:</b>			
Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$0.70	\$0.34	\$1.18
Income from discontinued operations	0.54	0.95	1.21
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.29	\$ 2.39
<b>Earnings Per Common Share - Diluted:</b>			
Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$0.70	\$0.34	\$1.18
Income from discontinued operations	0.53	0.94	1.17
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.28	\$ 2.35



<b>Comprehensive Income:</b>			
Net Income	\$ 175,276	\$ 154,595	\$ 240,338
<b>Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):</b>			
Unrealized loss on derivatives			(5,014 )
Loss on derivatives		(7,204 )	
Amortization of loss on derivatives	2,481	2,095	878
Minimum pension liability adjustment	3,237	(9,092 )	1,161
Total	5,718	(14,201 )	(2,975 )
Comprehensive Income	180,994	140,394	237,363
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	(4,174 )	(8,943 )	(10,237 )
Comprehensive Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests	\$ 176,820	\$ 131,451	\$ 227,126

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Property	\$4,658,396	\$4,915,472
Accumulated Depreciation	(856,281 )	(812,323 )
Property, net	3,802,115	4,103,149
Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	315,248	357,634
Total	4,117,363	4,460,783
Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships	317,838	232,544
Unamortized Debt and Lease Costs, net	103,396	119,464
Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10,380 in 2009 and \$12,412 in 2008)	96,372	103,873
Cash and Cash Equivalents	153,584	58,946
Restricted Deposits and Mortgage Escrows	12,778	33,252
Other, net	89,054	105,350
Total	\$4,890,385	\$5,114,212
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Debt, net	\$2,531,847	\$3,148,636
Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses	137,727	179,432
Other, net	114,155	90,461
Total	2,783,729	3,418,529
Commitments and Contingencies		41,000
Equity:		
Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest - par value, \$.03 per share; shares authorized: 10,000		
6.75% Series D cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 100 shares issued and outstanding in 2009 and 2008; liquidation preference \$75,000	3	3
6.95% Series E cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 29 shares issued and outstanding in 2009 and 2008; liquidation preference \$72,500	1	1
6.5% Series F cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 140 shares issued and outstanding in 2009 and 2008; liquidation preference \$350,000	4	4
Common Shares of Beneficial Interest - par value, \$.03 per share; shares authorized: 150,000; shares issued and outstanding: 120,098 in 2009 and 87,102 in 2008	3,615	2,625
Accumulated Additional Paid-In Capital	1,958,975	1,514,940
Net Income Less Than Accumulated Dividends	(37,350 )	(37,245 )
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(23,958 )	(29,676 )
Shareholders' Equity	1,901,290	1,450,652
Noncontrolling Interest	205,366	204,031

Total Equity	2,106,656	1,654,683
Total	\$4,890,385	\$5,114,212

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>			
Net Income	\$ 175,276	\$ 154,595	\$ 240,338
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	151,888	157,894	134,676
Write-off of pre-development/acquisition costs		11,724	
Impairment loss	38,836	52,539	
Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net	(5,548 )	(12,196 )	(19,853 )
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount	6,083	13,496	12,452
Gain on merchant development sales	(18,688 )	(8,342 )	(16,385 )
Gain on sale of property	(81,031 )	(70,720 )	(87,745 )
Gain on redemption of convertible senior unsecured notes	(25,311 )	(12,961 )	
Distributions of income from unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships	2,841	3,602	6,251
Changes in accrued rent and accounts receivable, net	(568 )	(11,255 )	(22,276 )
Changes in other assets, net	(10,309 )	(29,669 )	(31,349 )
Changes in accounts payable and accrued expenses	147	(36,397 )	4,852
Other, net	10,700	7,840	2,348
Net cash provided by operating activities	244,316	220,150	223,309
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>			
Investment in property	(108,914 )	(294,886 )	(753,462 )
Proceeds from sale and disposition of property, net	333,412	265,421	341,383
Change in restricted deposits and mortgage escrows	20,480	2,688	56,331
Notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships and other receivables:			
Advances	(100,800 )	(150,064 )	(145,735 )
Collections	22,301	46,254	82,852
Real estate joint ventures and partnerships:			
Investments	(5,247 )	(4,759 )	(78,794 )
Distributions of capital	30,640	19,955	16,795
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	191,872	(115,391 )	(480,630 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of:			
Debt	367,640	258,060	33,092
Common shares of beneficial interest, net	439,272	101,016	4,010
Preferred shares of beneficial interest, net		117,891	387,678
Purchase of marketable securities in connection with the legal defeasance of mortgage notes payable			(22,536 )
Repurchase of preferred shares of beneficial interest, net		(195,824 )	
Repurchase of common shares of beneficial interest, net			(103,366 )
Principal payments of debt	(578,390 )	(296,902 )	(89,419 )
Changes in unsecured revolving credit facility	(383,000 )	128,000	237,000

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Common and preferred dividends paid	(168,583 )	(213,569 )	(194,492 )
Debt issuance costs paid	(6,446 )	(6,822 )	(1,451 )
Other, net	(12,043 )	(3,440 )	1,579
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(341,550 )	(111,590 )	252,095
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	94,638	(6,831 )	(5,226 )
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	58,946	65,777	71,003
Cash and cash equivalents at December 31	\$153,584	\$58,946	\$65,777

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED EQUITY

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

Year Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

	Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest	Common Shares of Beneficial Interest	Treasury Shares of Beneficial Interest	Accumulated Additional Paid-In Capital	Net Income (Less Than) in Excess of Accumulated Dividends	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interests (4)	Total
Balance, January 1, 2007	\$ 4	\$ 2,582	\$ -	\$ 1,179,950	\$ (3,970 )	\$ (12,500 )	\$ 87,680	\$ 1,253,746
Net income					230,101		10,237	240,338
Issuance of Series F preferred shares	2			193,972				193,974
Issuance of Series G preferred shares	2			193,548				193,550
Shares issued in exchange for non-controlling interests (4)		17		13,848			(13,865 )	-
Shares issued under benefit plans		8		7,747				7,755
Dividends declared – common shares (1)					(169,117 )			(169,117 )
Dividends declared – preferred shares (2)					(25,375 )			(25,375 )
Shares repurchased (3)			(41 )	(49,966 )				(50,007 )
Shares repurchased and cancelled		(42 )		(53,317 )				(53,359 )
Distributions to noncontrolling interests							(10,912 )	(10,912 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests							27,934	27,934
Other comprehensive loss						(2,975 )		(2,975 )
Other, net (4)				(286 )			(4,189 )	(4,475 )
Balance, December 31, 2007	8	2,565	(41 )	1,485,496	31,639	(15,475 )	96,885	1,601,077

## Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Net income				145,652			8,943	154,595
Issuance of Series F preferred shares	2		116,949	883				117,834
Redemption of Series G preferred shares	(2 )		(193,548 )	(1,850 )				(195,400 )
Shares issued in exchange for non-controlling interests (4)	1		1,093			(1,094 )	-	
Issuance of common shares	90		97,971					98,061
Shares issued under benefit plans	9		8,703					8,712
Dividends declared – common shares (1)				(177,975 )				(177,975 )
Dividends declared – preferred shares (2)				(35,594 )				(35,594 )
Sale of properties with noncontrolling interests							116,541	116,541
Treasury shares cancelled (3)	(41 )	41						-
Purchase and cancellation of convertible senior unsecured notes				(3,926 )				(3,926 )
Distributions to noncontrolling interests							(9,962 )	(9,962 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests							634	634
Other comprehensive loss						(14,201 )		(14,201 )
Other, net (4)	1		2,202			(7,916 )		(5,713 )
Balance, December 31, 2008	8	2,625	-	1,514,940	(37,245 )	(29,676 )	204,031	1,654,683
Net income				171,102			4,174	175,276
Shares issued in exchange for noncontrolling interests	15		14,236			(14,251 )	-	
Issuance of common shares	966		438,089					439,055
	9		5,147					5,156

Shares issued under benefit plans									
Dividends declared – common shares (1)				(135,731 )					(135,731 )
Dividends declared – preferred shares (5)				(32,852 )					(32,852 )
Sale of properties with noncontrolling interests							23,521		23,521
Distributions to noncontrolling interests							(16,368 )		(16,368 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests							4,518		4,518
Purchase and cancellation of convertible senior unsecured notes				(16,110 )					(16,110 )
Other comprehensive income							5,718		5,718
Other, net				2,673	(2,624 )		(259 )		(210 )
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 8	\$ 3,615	\$ -	\$ 1,958,975	\$ (37,350 )	\$ (23,958 )	\$ 205,366		\$ 2,106,656

(1) Common dividend per share was \$1.275, \$2.10 and \$1.98 for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

(2) Series D, E, F and G preferred dividend per share was \$50.63, \$173.75, \$162.50 and \$73.73, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$50.63, \$173.75, \$142.64 and \$34.88, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2007.

(3) A total of 1.4 million common shares of beneficial interest were purchased in 2007 and subsequently retired on January 11, 2008.

(4) Certain amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

(5) Series D, E and F preferred dividend per share was \$50.63, \$173.75 and \$162.50 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements



## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Business

Weingarten Realty Investors is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) organized under the Texas Real Estate Investment Trust Act. Effective January 1, 2010, the Texas Real Estate Investment Trust Act was replaced by the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping and industrial centers we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

We operate a portfolio of properties that include neighborhood and community shopping centers and industrial properties of approximately 70.0 million square feet. We have a diversified tenant base with our largest tenant comprising only 2.6% of total rental revenues during 2009.

We currently operate, and intend to operate in the future, as a REIT.

#### Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our subsidiaries and certain partially owned real estate joint ventures or partnerships which meet the guidelines for consolidation. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”). Such statements require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts on our consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, which begins the date the leasehold improvements are substantially complete, if owned by us, or the date the tenant takes control of the space, if the leasehold improvements are owned by the tenant. Revenue from tenant reimbursements of taxes, maintenance expenses and insurance is subject to our interpretation of lease provisions and is recognized in the period the related expense is recognized. Revenue based on a percentage of tenants' sales is recognized only after the tenant exceeds their sales breakpoint. In addition, in circumstances where we provide a tenant improvement allowance for improvements that are owned by the tenant, we recognize the allowance as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Other revenue is income from contractual agreements with third parties, tenants or partially owned real estate joint ventures or partnerships, which is recognized as the related services are performed under the respective agreements.

#### Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

To determine the method of accounting for partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships, we apply the guidelines as set forth in GAAP. Entities identified as variable interest entities are consolidated if we are determined to be the primary beneficiary of the partially owned real estate joint venture or partnership.

Partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships over which we exercise financial and operating control are consolidated in our financial statements. In determining if we exercise financial and operating control, we consider factors such as ownership interest, authority to make decisions, kick-out rights and substantive participating rights. Partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships where we have the ability to exercise significant

influence, but do not exercise financial and operating control, are accounted for using the equity method.

### Property

Real estate assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method, generally over estimated useful lives of 18-40 years for buildings and 10-20 years for parking lot surfacing and equipment. Major replacements where the betterment extends the useful life of the asset are capitalized and the replaced asset and corresponding accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. All other maintenance and repair items are charged to expense as incurred.

Acquisitions of properties are accounted for utilizing the acquisition method and, accordingly, the results of operations of an acquired property are included in our results of operations from the date of acquisition. Estimates of fair values are based upon future cash flows and other valuation techniques in accordance with our fair value measurements policy, which are used to record the purchase price of acquired property among land, buildings on an "as if vacant" basis, tenant improvements, other identifiable intangibles and any goodwill or gain on purchase. Other identifiable intangible assets and liabilities include the effect of out-of-market leases, the value of having leases in place ("as is" versus "as if vacant" and absorption costs), out-of-market assumed mortgages and tenant relationships. Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method, generally over estimated useful lives of 40 years for buildings and over the lease term which includes bargain renewal options for other identifiable intangible assets. Effective 2009, acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Property also includes costs incurred in the development of new operating properties and properties in our merchant development program. Merchant development is a program in which we develop a project with the objective of selling all or part of it, instead of retaining it in our portfolio on a long-term basis. Also, disposition of land parcels and non-operating properties are included in this program. These properties are carried at cost and no depreciation is recorded on these assets until the commencement of rental revenue or no later than one year from the completion of major construction. These costs include preacquisition costs directly identifiable with the specific project, development and construction costs, interest and real estate taxes. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits, travel and other related costs that are directly attributable to the development of the property, are also capitalized. The capitalization of such costs ceases at the earlier of one year from the completion of major construction or when the property, or any completed portion, becomes available for occupancy.

Property also includes costs for tenant improvements paid by us, including reimbursements to tenants for improvements that are owned by us and will remain our property after the lease expires.

Some of our properties are held in single purpose entities. A single purpose entity is a legal entity typically established at the request of a lender solely for the purpose of owning a property or group of properties subject to a mortgage. There may be restrictions limiting the entity's ability to engage in an activity other than owning or operating the property, assuming or guaranteeing the debt of any other entity, or dissolving itself or declaring bankruptcy before the debt has been repaid. Most of our single purpose entities are 100% owned by us and are consolidated in our financial statements.

### Impairment

Our properties are reviewed for impairment, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property, including any identifiable intangible assets (including site costs and capitalized interest), may not be recoverable.

If such an event occurs for our properties, a comparison is made of the current and projected operating cash flows of each such property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis to the carrying amount of such property. Our carrying amounts are adjusted, if necessary, to the estimated fair value to reflect impairment in the value of the asset. Fair values are determined by management utilizing cash flow models and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker or appraisal estimates in accordance with our fair value measurements policy.



Due to our analysis of current economic considerations at each reporting period, including the effects of tenant bankruptcies, lack of credit available to retailers, the suspension of tenant expansion plans for new development projects and declines in real estate values, plans related to our new development properties including land held for development changed, and resulted in an impairment charge. Impairments, primarily related to undeveloped land at our new development properties, of \$38.8 million and \$52.5 million were recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. Determining whether a property is impaired and, if impaired, the amount of required write-down to fair value requires a significant amount of judgment by management and is based on the best information available to management at the time of evaluation. If market conditions continue to deteriorate or management's plans for certain properties change, additional write-downs could be required in the future.

Our investments in partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships are reviewed for impairment, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of an investment may not be recoverable. The ultimate realization is dependent on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment and market conditions. We will record an impairment charge if we determine that a decline in the value of an investment is other than temporary. Based on our analysis of the facts and circumstances at each reporting period, no impairment on these investments was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. However, due to the current credit and real estate market conditions, there is no certainty that impairments would not occur in the future.

#### Interest Capitalization

Interest is capitalized on land under development and buildings under construction based on rates applicable to borrowings outstanding during the period and the weighted average balance of qualified assets under development/construction during the period.

#### Fair Value Measurements

Certain financial instruments, estimates and transactions are required to be calculated, reported and/or recorded at fair value. The estimated fair values of such financial items, including: debt instruments, impairments, acquisitions, investment securities and derivatives, have been determined using a market-based measurement. This measurement is determined based on the assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which is typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. The fair value of such financial instruments estimates and transactions was determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies as prescribed by GAAP.

Deferred Charges

Debt financing costs are amortized primarily on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over the terms of the debt. Lease costs represent the initial direct costs incurred in origination, negotiation and processing of a lease agreement. Such costs include outside broker commissions and other independent third party costs, as well as salaries and benefits, travel and other internal costs directly related to completing a lease and are amortized over the life of the lease on a straight-line basis. Costs related to supervision, administration, unsuccessful origination efforts and other activities not directly related to completed lease agreements are charged to expense as incurred.

#### Sales of Real Estate

Sales of real estate include the sale of shopping center pads, property adjacent to shopping centers, shopping center properties, merchant development properties, investments in real estate joint ventures and partnerships and partial sales to real estate joint ventures and partnerships in which we participate.

Profits on sales of real estate, including merchant development sales are not recognized until (a) a sale is consummated; (b) the buyer's initial and continuing investments are adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay; (c) the seller's receivable is not subject to future subordination; and (d) we have transferred to the buyer the usual risks and rewards of ownership in the transaction, and we do not have a substantial continuing involvement with the property.

We recognize gains on the sale of real estate to joint ventures and partnerships in which we participate to the extent we receive cash from the joint venture or partnership, if it meets the sales criteria in accordance with GAAP and we do not have a commitment to support the operations of the real estate joint venture or partnership to an extent greater than our proportionate interest in the real estate joint venture or partnership.

#### Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable, net

Receivable balances outstanding include base rents, tenant reimbursements and receivables attributable to the straight-lining of rental commitments. An allowance for the uncollectible portion of accrued rents and accounts receivable is determined based upon an analysis of balances outstanding, historical bad debt levels, tenant credit worthiness and current economic trends. Additionally, estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims with respect to tenants in bankruptcy are considered in assessing the collectibility of the related receivables. Management's estimate of the collectibility of accrued rents and accounts receivable is based on the best information available to management at the time of evaluation.

#### Restricted Deposits and Mortgage Escrows

Restricted deposits and mortgage escrows consist of escrow deposits held by lenders primarily for property taxes, insurance and replacement reserves and restricted cash that is held for a specific use or in a qualified escrow account for the purposes of completing like-kind exchange transactions. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had \$1.6 million and \$22.5 million of restricted cash, respectively, and \$11.1 million and \$10.8 million held in escrow related to our mortgages, respectively.

#### Other Assets, net

Other assets in our consolidated financial statements include investments held in grantor trusts, prepaid expenses, the value of above-market leases and the related accumulated amortization, deferred tax assets and other miscellaneous receivables. Investments held in grantor trusts are adjusted to fair value at each period end with changes included in our Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income. Above-market leases are amortized as adjustments to rental revenues over terms of the acquired leases.

## Per Share Data

Earnings per common share – basic is computed using net income attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average shares outstanding. Earnings per common share – diluted include the effect of potentially dilutive securities. Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders includes gain on sale of property in accordance with SEC guidelines. Earnings per common share – basic and diluted components for the periods indicated are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Numerator:</b>			
Net income attributable to common shareholders – basic	\$ 135,626	\$ 109,091	\$ 204,726
Income attributable to operating partnership units			4,407
Net income attributable to common shareholders – diluted	\$ 135,626	\$ 109,091	\$ 209,133
<b>Denominator:</b>			
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic	109,546	84,474	85,504
<b>Effect of dilutive securities:</b>			
Share options and awards	632	443	891
Operating partnership units			2,498
Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted	110,178	84,917	88,893

Options to purchase common shares of beneficial interest (“common shares”) of 3.1 million in 2009, 2.4 million in 2008 and .5 million in 2007, were not included in the calculation of net income per common share - diluted as the exercise prices were greater than the average market price for the year. For the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, 2.0 million and 2.4 million, respectively, of operating partnership units were not included in the calculation of net income per common share – diluted because these units had an anti-dilutive effect.

## Income Taxes

We have elected to be treated as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income we distribute to our shareholders. To be taxed as a REIT, we must meet a number of requirements including defined percentage tests concerning the amount of our assets and revenues that come from, or are attributable to, real estate operations. As long as we distribute at least 90% of the taxable income of the REIT (without regard to capital gains or the dividends paid deduction) to our shareholders as dividends, we will not be taxed on the portion of our income we distribute as dividends unless we have ineligible transactions.

The Tax Relief Extension Act of 1999 gave REITs the ability to conduct activities which a REIT was previously precluded from doing as long as such activities are performed in entities which have elected to be treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries under the IRS code. These activities include buying or developing properties with the express purpose of selling them. We conduct certain of these activities in taxable REIT subsidiaries that we have created. We calculate and record income taxes in our consolidated financial statements based on the activities in those entities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between our carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. These are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is established for those assets we do not consider the realization of such assets to be more likely than not.



Additionally, GAAP prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return. A tax position may only be recognized in the financial statements if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination. We believe it is more likely than not that our tax positions will be sustained in any tax examinations.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are primarily held at major financial institutions in the United States. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents in certain financial institutions in excess of federally insured levels. We have diversified our cash and cash equivalents amongst several banking institutions in an attempt to minimize exposure to any one of these entities. We believe we are not exposed to any significant credit risk and regularly monitor the financial stability of these financial institutions.

### Cash Flow Information

We issued common shares valued at \$14.3 million, \$2.3 million and \$13.6 million during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, in exchange for interests in real estate joint ventures and partnerships, which had been formed to acquire properties. We also accrued \$10.7 million, \$25.8 million and \$15.5 million during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with the construction of property. Cash payments for interest on debt, net of amounts capitalized, of \$156.5 million, \$154.8 million and \$153.2 million were made during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Cash payments of \$3.1 million, \$5.1 million and \$.8 million for income taxes were made during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In connection with the sale of improved properties, we received notes receivable totaling \$.2 million and a mortgage of \$9.1 million was assumed by the purchaser during 2009. In connection with the sale of an 80% interest in four properties, we retained a 20% unconsolidated investment of \$19.1 million. Also, our investment in real estate joint ventures and a non-cash contingent liability was reduced by \$41 million as result of the cash settlement associated with a lawsuit in 2009.

In association with property acquisitions and investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures, items assumed were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Debt	\$	\$	\$99,428
Obligations Under Capital Leases			12,888
Noncontrolling Interest		634	27,932
Net Assets and Liabilities		8,450	14,322

In connection with the sale of improved properties, we received notes receivable totaling \$6.0 million during 2008. Net assets and liabilities were reduced by \$68.3 million during 2008 from the reorganization of four joint ventures, which were previously consolidated. In addition, we recorded a \$41 million non-cash contingent liability as an increase to our investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships and accrued \$8.5 million for property damages associated with Hurricane Ike.

In conjunction with the disposition of properties completed during 2007, we defeased three mortgage loans totaling \$22.2 million and transferred marketable securities totaling \$22.5 million in connection with the legal defeasance of these three loans. Also, we settled a \$12.9 million capital lease obligation. Net assets and liabilities were reduced by \$59.8 million during 2007 from the reorganization of three joint ventures, two of which were previously consolidated, to tenancy-in-common arrangements where we have a 50% interest. This net reduction from the reorganization of three joint ventures was offset by the assumption of debt totaling \$33.2 million.

### Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

As of December 31, 2009, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss relating to derivatives and our retirement liability was \$14.4 million and \$9.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss relating to derivatives and our retirement liability was \$16.9 million and \$12.8 million, respectively.

#### Reclassifications

The reclassification of prior years' operating results for certain properties to discontinued operations was made to conform to the current year presentation. We also reclassified in our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows prior years' amortization of deferred financing costs from changes in other assets, net to amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount to conform to the current year presentation. Also for conformity, the activity of the unsecured revolving credit facility was reclassified from debt proceeds and principal payments of debt to changes in unsecured revolving credit facility. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income, earnings per share, the consolidated balance sheet or cash flows from operating or financing activities.

#### Retrospective Application of Accounting Principles

The retrospective application of adopting new accounting principles on prior years' consolidated financial statements was made to conform to the current year presentation. The impact of these changes is described in Note 2.

#### Subsequent Events

We have evaluated the effect of subsequent events to our consolidated financial statements.

#### Note 2. Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") update to ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", which deferred the provisions of implementation of fair value reporting relating to nonfinancial assets and liabilities, and delayed implementation by us until January 1, 2009. Adoption of the update to this codification has not materially affected our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued an update to ASC 805, "Business Combinations." The update expands the original guidance's definition of a business. It broadens the fair value measurement and recognition to all assets acquired, liabilities assumed and interests transferred as a result of business combinations. The revision requires expanded disclosures to improve the ability to evaluate the nature and financial effects of business combinations. The update is effective for us for business combinations made on or after January 1, 2009. Due to current economic conditions, we did not have any acquisitions in 2009, thereby upon adoption, there was no material effect. However, this update could have a material effect on our accounting for the future acquisition of properties.

In December 2007, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, "Consolidation." The update requires that, in most cases, a noncontrolling interest in a consolidated entity be reported as equity and any losses in excess of a consolidated entity's equity interest be recorded to the noncontrolling interest. The statement requires fair value measurement of any noncontrolling equity investment retained in a deconsolidation. This update was effective for us on January 1, 2009, and many provisions required retrospective application, which had a significant impact on previously reported amounts. The adoption has resulted in an increase to equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008 of \$204.0 million for the reclassification of minority interest to equity for noncontrolling interest in consolidated entities. Net income in the Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 has increased by \$8.9 million and \$10.2 million, respectively, for the reclassification of income allocated to minority interests; however, net income attributable to common shareholders and earnings per common share – basic and diluted were not affected by this reclassification. Additional disclosures due to the implementation are included in Note 21.

In March 2008, the FASB issued an update to ASC 815, "Derivative and Hedging." The update requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities. The update was effective for us on January 1, 2009. Implementation has resulted in additional disclosures included in Note 4.



In May 2008, the FASB issued updates to ASC 470 “Debt” and ASC 505 “Equity.” The updates require that the initial debt proceeds from the sale of our convertible and exchangeable senior debentures be allocated between a liability component and an equity component in a manner that will reflect our effective nonconvertible borrowing rate. The resulting debt discount will be amortized using the effective interest method over the period the debt is expected to be outstanding as additional interest expense. The updates were effective for us on January 1, 2009 and required retroactive application, which had a significant impact on previously reported amounts. Upon adoption, the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008 was adjusted to reflect a reduction in net unamortized debt and lease costs of \$.5 million, a reduction in debt of approximately \$22.9 million for the unamortized debt discount; accumulated additional paid-in capital increased by approximately \$39.5 million; and net income less than accumulated dividends increased by approximately \$17.1 million. The Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were adjusted for incremental interest expense of \$8.3 million and \$7.9 million, respectively. Also, the Statement of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2008 was adjusted for an incremental gain on redemption of convertible senior unsecured notes of \$2.3 million. Earnings per common share – basic for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were reduced by approximately \$.07 and \$.10, respectively, while earnings per common share – diluted for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were reduced by approximately \$.08 and \$.09, respectively.

In May 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC 855, “Subsequent Events,” which establishes general standards of accounting and disclosure for events that occur subsequent to the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Implementation has resulted in an additional disclosure included in Note 1.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17 (“ASU 2009-17”), “Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities.” ASU 2009-17 updated ASC 810, “Consolidations” and was intended to improve an organization’s variable interest entity reporting. It will require a change in the analysis used to determine whether an entity has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. The analysis will be used to identify the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The holder of the variable interest will be defined as the primary beneficiary if it has both the power to influence the entity’s significant economic activities and the obligation to absorb potentially significant losses or receive potentially significant benefits. ASU 2009-17 also requires additional disclosures about an entity’s variable interest entities. The update is effective for us on January 1, 2010. We expect the adoption of this update to the codification will not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-05 (“ASU 2009-05”), “Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value.” ASU 2009-05 provides clarification for valuing liabilities in which a quoted market price in an active market for an identical liability is not available. The guidance also provides required techniques to determine a liability’s fair value in this circumstance. The update was effective for us beginning October 1, 2009, and adoption of ASU 2009-05 did not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 (“ASU 2010-06”), “Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements.” ASU 2010-06 provides for new disclosures as well as, clarification of existing disclosures on fair value measurements including employers’ disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. The update is effective for us beginning January 1, 2010, and we do not expect the adoption of ASU 2010-06 to materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

### Note 3. Variable Interest Entities

Management determines whether an entity is a variable interest entity (“VIE”) and, if so, determines which party is the primary beneficiary by analyzing which party absorbs a majority of the expected losses or a majority of the expected residual returns of the VIE, or both. Significant judgments and assumptions inherent in this analysis include the

design of the entity structure, the nature of the entity's operations, future cash flow projections, the entity's financing and capital structure, and contractual relationships and terms. We consolidate a VIE when we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary. Assets held by VIEs which are currently consolidated approximate \$291.6 million and \$241.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Entities for which we are the primary beneficiary and we consolidate are described below.

In March 2008, we contributed 18 neighborhood/community shopping centers located in Texas with an aggregate value of approximately \$227.5 million, and aggregating more than 2.1 million square feet, to a joint venture. The activities of this venture principally consist of owning and operating these shopping centers. We sold an 85% interest in this joint venture to AEW Capital Management on behalf of one of its institutional clients and received proceeds of approximately \$216.1 million. Financing totaling \$154.3 million was placed on the properties and guaranteed solely by us for tax planning purposes. This venture is deemed to be a variable interest entity and, due to our guaranty of the debt, we are the primary beneficiary and have consolidated this joint venture. Our maximum exposure to loss associated with this joint venture is primarily limited to our guaranty of the debt, which was approximately \$95.1 million at December 31, 2009.

We also contributed eight neighborhood/community shopping centers with an aggregate value of approximately \$205.1 million, and aggregating approximately 1.1 million square feet, to a joint venture in November 2008. Four of these shopping centers are located in Texas, two in Tennessee and one each in Florida and Georgia. The activities of this venture principally consist of owning and operating these shopping centers. We sold a 70% interest in this joint venture to Hines REIT Retail Holdings, LLC and received proceeds of approximately \$121.8 million. Financing totaling \$100.0 million was placed on the properties and guaranteed solely by us for tax planning purposes.

During the first quarter of 2009, we contributed the final four properties to the joint venture with Hines REIT Retail Holdings, LLC with an aggregate fair value of approximately \$66.8 million, and aggregating approximately 0.4 million square feet. These four shopping centers are located one each in Florida and North Carolina and two in Georgia, and we received net proceeds of approximately \$20.6 million. These contributions included loan assumptions on each of the properties, which transferred secured debt totaling approximately \$34.6 million to the joint venture and guaranteed solely by us. This venture is deemed to be a VIE and, due to our guaranty of the debt, we are the primary beneficiary and have consolidated this joint venture. Our maximum exposure to loss associated with this joint venture is primarily limited to our guaranty of the debt, which was approximately \$113.9 million at December 31, 2009.

Restrictions on the use of these assets are significant because they are secured as collateral for their debt, and we would be required to obtain our partners' approval in accordance with the partnership agreements on any major transactions. The impact of these transactions on our consolidated financial statements has been limited to changes in noncontrolling interest and reductions in debt from our partners' contributions.

In addition, we have an unconsolidated joint venture with an interest in an entity which is deemed to be a VIE. In July 2008, a 47.75%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture acquired an 83.34% interest in a joint venture owning a 919,000 square foot new development property to be constructed in Aurora, Colorado. The unconsolidated joint venture provided a guaranty on debt obtained by the acquired joint venture. The unconsolidated joint venture's maximum exposure to loss is limited to the guaranty of the debt, which was approximately \$44.3 million at December 31, 2009.

In December 2009, an unconsolidated joint venture became a VIE through the issuance of a secured loan since the lender has the ability to make decisions that could have a significant impact on the success of the entity. Our maximum exposure to loss is limited to the outstanding debt of the venture, of which the total is approximately \$68.7 million at December 31, 2009.



## Note 4. Derivatives and Hedging

Our policy is to manage interest cost using a mixture of fixed-rate and variable-rate debt. To manage our interest rate risk, we occasionally hedge the future cash flows of our debt transactions, as well as changes in the fair value of our debt instruments, principally through interest rate swaps with major financial institutions. Interest rate swaps that meet specific criteria are accounted for as either assets or liabilities as a fair value or cash flow hedge. We have designated our current interest rate swaps as fair value hedges of fixed rate borrowings. Changes in the fair value of interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges, as well as changes in the fair value of the related debt being hedged, are recorded in earnings each reporting period. For the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, these changes in fair value offset.

In December 2009, we entered into 11 interest rate swap contracts with a total notional amount of \$302.6 million, which have various maturities scheduled through February 2014. These contracts have been designated as fair value hedges, and we have determined that they are highly effective in limiting our risk of changes in the fair value of fixed-rate notes attributable to changes in variable interest rates. In February 2010, we settled \$7 million of these swaps in conjunction with the repurchase of the related debt, which is not anticipated to have a material impact to our consolidated financial results.

As of December 31, 2009, we had 13 interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges with an aggregate notional amount of \$352.6 million that convert fixed interest payments at rates ranging from 4.2% to 7.5% to variable interest payments ranging from .3% to 6.1%. At December 31, 2008, we had two interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges with an aggregate notional amount of \$50.0 million that convert fixed interest payments at rates of 4.2% to variable interest payments of 2.0%. We have determined that they are highly effective in limiting our risk of changes in the fair value of fixed-rate notes attributable to changes in variable interest rates.

A summary of the offsetting loss or gain on the interest rate swaps is as follows (in thousands):

Income Statement Classification	Gain (Loss) on Swaps	Gain (Loss) on Borrowings
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2009:</b>		
Interest expense, net	\$(5,642 )	\$ 5,642
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2008:</b>		
Interest expense, net	\$5,427	\$(5,427 )
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2007:</b>		
Interest expense, net	\$2,373	\$(2,373 )

The interest rate swap contracts at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were reported at their fair values as follows (in thousands):

Period	Assets		Liabilities	
	Balance Sheet Location	Amount	Balance Sheet Location	Amount
December 31, 2009	Other Assets, net	\$ 2,601	Other Liabilities, net	\$ 4,634
December 31, 2008	Other Assets, net	\$ 4,625		

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss relating to settled cash flow interest rate contracts was \$14.4 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. Amounts amortized to net interest expense were \$2.5 million in 2009, \$2.1 million in 2008 and \$.9 million in 2007. Within the next 12 months, approximately \$2.5 million of the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss is expected to be amortized to net interest expense.

The interest rate swaps decreased net interest expense and increased net income by \$2.1 million and decreased the average interest rate of our debt by .08% in 2009. The interest rate swaps decreased net interest expense and increased net income by \$.8 million and decreased the average interest rate of our debt by .03% in 2008. In 2007, the interest rates swaps increased net interest expense and decreased net income by \$.6 million and increased the average rate of our debt by .02%. We could be exposed to losses in the event of nonperformance by the counter-parties; however, management believes such nonperformance is unlikely.

A summary of our derivatives is as follows (in thousands):

Derivatives Hedging Relationships	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2009:</b>				
Cash Flow Interest Rate Contracts	Interest expense, net	\$ (2,481 )		
Fair Value Interest Rate Contracts			Interest expense, net	\$ (5,642 )
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2008:</b>				
Cash Flow Interest Rate Contracts	Interest expense, net	\$ (2,095 )		
Fair Value Interest Rate Contracts			Interest expense, net	\$ 5,427
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2007:</b>				
Cash Flow Interest Rate Contracts	Interest expense, net	\$ (878 )		
Fair Value Interest Rate Contracts			Interest expense, net	\$ 2,373

On March 20, 2008, the cash flow hedge was completed through the issuance of \$154.3 million of fixed-rate long-term debt issued by a joint venture that is consolidated by us. A loss of \$12.8 million was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss based on the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts on that date. On March 27, 2008, the interest rate swap contracts were settled resulting in a loss of \$10.0 million. For the period between the completion of the cash flow hedge and the settlement of the swap contracts, a gain of \$2.8 million was recognized as a reduction of net interest expense.

#### Note 5. Debt

Our debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,
	2009                      2008

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-K

Debt payable to 2030 at 4.5% to 8.8%	\$2,506,069	\$2,732,574
Unsecured notes payable under revolving credit agreements		383,000
Obligations under capital leases	23,115	29,725
Industrial revenue bonds payable to 2015 at 0.3% to 2.4%	2,663	3,337
Total	\$2,531,847	\$3,148,636

The grouping of total debt between fixed and variable-rate as well as between secured and unsecured is summarized below (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
<b>As to interest rate (including the effects of interest rate swaps):</b>		
Fixed-rate debt	\$2,146,133	\$2,699,609
Variable-rate debt	385,714	449,027
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,531,847</b>	<b>\$3,148,636</b>
<b>As to collateralization:</b>		
Unsecured debt	\$1,306,802	\$2,116,491
Secured debt	1,225,045	1,032,145
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,531,847</b>	<b>\$3,148,636</b>

We had a \$575 million unsecured revolving credit facility held by a syndicate of banks, which was amended and restated in February 2010 as discussed below. The \$575 million unsecured revolving credit facility provided a one-year extension option available at our request. Borrowing rates float at a margin over LIBOR, plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee were priced off a grid that was tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which were 50.0 and 15.0 basis points. This facility had a competitive bid feature that allowed us to request bids for amounts up to \$287.5 million from each of the syndicate banks.

In February 2010, we entered into an amended and restated \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility expires in February 2013 and provides borrowing rates that float at a margin over LIBOR plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which are currently 275.0 and 50.0 basis points, respectively. The facility also contains a competitive bid feature that will allow us to request bids for up to \$250 million. Additionally, an accordion feature allows us to increase the new facility amount up to \$700 million.

At December 31, 2009, no amounts under our revolving credit facility were outstanding. At December 31, 2008, the balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility was \$383.0 million at a variable interest rate of 1.6%. Also at December 30, 2008, we had an agreement for a \$30 million unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility with a bank that we used for cash management purposes, of which no amounts were outstanding at December 31, 2008. Letters of credit totaling \$7.2 million and \$10.1 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The available balance under our revolving credit agreement was \$567.8 million and \$181.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During 2009, the maximum balance and weighted average balance outstanding under both facilities combined were \$423.0 million and \$168.7 million, respectively, at a weighted average interest rate of 1.5%. During 2008, the maximum balance and weighted average balance outstanding under both facilities combined were \$503.0 million and \$362.0 million, respectively, at a weighted average interest rate of 3.4%.

In May 2009, we entered into a \$103 million secured loan from a major life insurance company. The loan is approximately 8.5 years at a fixed interest rate of 7.49% and is collateralized by four properties. The net proceeds received were invested in short-term investments and subsequently used to settle the June tender offer discussed below.

In July 2009, we entered into a \$70.8 million secured loan from a major life insurance company. The loan is for seven years at a fixed interest rate of 7.4% and is collateralized by five properties. In September 2009, we entered into a \$57.5 million secured loan from a major life insurance company. The loan is for 10 years at a fixed interest rate of 7.0% and is collateralized by 10 properties. The net proceeds received from both transactions were used to reduce amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

In August 2009, we sold \$100 million of unsecured senior notes with a coupon of 8.1% which will mature September 15, 2019. We may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, on or after September 15, 2014, at our option, at a redemption price equal to 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The net proceeds of \$97.5 million were used to reduce amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

In the second quarter of 2009, we repurchased and retired \$82.3 million face value of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes for \$70.4 million, including accrued interest. Also in 2009, we completed a cash tender offer for \$422.6 million face value on a series of unsecured notes and our convertible senior unsecured notes. We purchased at par \$20.6 million of unsecured fixed rate medium term notes, with a weighted average interest rate of 7.54% and a weighted average maturity of 1.6 years, and \$82.3 million of 7% senior unsecured notes due in 2011. In addition, we purchased \$319.7 million face value of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes for \$311.1 million, including accrued interest and expenses. The repurchases of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes resulted in gains of \$25.3 million in 2009.

In October 2009, we entered into a \$26.6 million secured loan from a major bank. The loan is for a four year term with a one year extension option at a floating interest rate of 375 basis points over LIBOR with a 1.50% LIBOR floor. This loan is collateralized by two properties.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we have \$135.2 million and \$537.2 million face value of 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes outstanding due 2026, respectively. These bonds are recorded at a discount of \$3.4 million and \$22.9 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, resulting in an effective rate for both periods of 5.75%, which will be amortized through 2011. Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The debentures are convertible under certain circumstances for our common shares at an initial conversion rate of 20.3770 common shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures (an initial conversion price of \$49.075). In addition, the conversion rate may be adjusted if certain change in control transactions or other specified events occur on or prior to August 4, 2011. Upon the conversion of debentures, we will deliver cash for the principal return, as defined, and cash or common shares, at our option, for the excess of the conversion value, as defined, over the principal return. The debentures are redeemable for cash at our option beginning in 2011 for the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the debentures have the right to require us to repurchase their debentures for cash equal to the principal of the debentures plus accrued and unpaid interest in 2011, 2016 and 2021 and in the event of a change in control. Net interest expense associated with this debt for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$19.5 million, \$33.3 million and \$33.1 million, respectively, which includes the amortization of the discount totaling \$5.0 million, \$8.5 million and \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The carrying value of the equity component as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$23.4 million and \$39.5 million, respectively.

In November 2008, we contributed assets to a joint venture with Hines REIT Retail Holdings, LLC. In conjunction with this transaction, the joint venture issued \$100.0 million of fixed-rate secured long-term debt with a five year term at a rate of 6.0% that we guaranteed. The net proceeds received from the issuance of this debt were distributed to us and used to reduce amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

In March 2008, we contributed assets to a joint venture with AEW Capital Management on behalf of one of its institutional clients. In conjunction with this transaction, the joint venture issued \$154.3 million of fixed-rate secured long-term debt with an average life of 7.3 years at an average rate of 5.4% that we guaranteed. We received all of the proceeds from the issuance of this debt and such proceeds were used to reduce amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

In January 2008, we elected to repay at par a fixed-rate 8.33% mortgage totaling \$121.8 million that was collateralized by 19 supermarket-anchored shopping centers in California.

Various leases and properties, and current and future rentals from those lease and properties, collateralize certain debt. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying value of such property aggregated \$2.0 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively.



Scheduled principal payments on our debt (excluding \$21.0 million of certain capital leases, (\$2.0) million fair value of interest rate swaps, (\$6.0) million discount on bonds, and \$19.6 million of non-cash debt-related items) are due during the following years (in thousands):

2010	\$ 108,644
2011	219,918
2012	340,657
2013	443,777
2014	384,475
2015	253,992
2016	215,850
2017	119,005
2018	55,040
2019	53,962
Thereafter	303,970
Total	\$ 2,499,290

Our various debt agreements contain restrictive covenants, including minimum interest and fixed charge coverage ratios, minimum unencumbered interest coverage ratios, minimum net worth requirements and maximum total debt levels. We believe we were in compliance with all restrictive covenants as of December 31, 2009.

#### Note 6. Preferred Shares

In June and July of 2008, we redeemed \$120 million and \$80 million of depositary shares, respectively, retiring all of the Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares. Each depositary share represented one-hundredth of a Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share. These depositary shares were redeemed, at our option, at a redemption price of \$25 multiplied by a graded rate per depositary share based on the date of redemption plus any accrued and unpaid dividends thereon. Upon the redemption of these shares, the related original issuance costs of \$1.9 million were reported as a deduction in arriving at net income attributable to common shareholders. The Series G Preferred Shares paid a variable-rate quarterly dividend through July 2008 calculated on the period's three-month LIBOR rate plus a percentage determined by the number of days outstanding.

We issued \$150 million and \$200 million of depositary shares on June 6, 2008 and January 30, 2007, respectively. Each depositary share represents one-hundredth of a Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share. The depositary shares are redeemable, in whole or in part, on or after January 30, 2012 at our option, at a redemption price of \$25 per depositary share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends thereon. The depositary shares are not convertible or exchangeable for any of our other property or securities. The Series F Preferred Shares pay a 6.5% annual dividend and have a liquidation value of \$2,500 per share. Series F Preferred Shares issued in June 2008 were issued at a discount, resulting in an effective rate of 8.25%. Net proceeds of \$117.8 million and \$194.0 million from the issuance in June 2008 and January 2007, respectively, were used to repay amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facilities and for other general corporate purposes. Subsequent to the 2008 issuance, our revolving credit facilities were used to finance the partial redemption of the Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares as described above.

In July 2004, we issued \$72.5 million of depositary shares with each share representing one-hundredth of a Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share. The depositary shares are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, for cash at a redemption price of \$25 per depositary share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends thereon. The depositary shares are not convertible or exchangeable for any of our other property or securities. The Series E preferred shares pay a 6.95% annual dividend and have a liquidation value of \$2,500 per share.

In April 2003, \$75 million of depositary shares were issued with each share representing one-thirtieth of a Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share. The depositary shares are currently redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, for cash at a redemption price of \$25 per depositary share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends thereon. The depositary shares are not convertible or exchangeable for any of our property or securities. The Series D preferred shares pay a 6.75% annual dividend and have a liquidation value of \$750 per share.

Currently, we do not anticipate redeeming either the Series E or Series D preferred shares due to current market conditions; however, no assurance can be given if conditions change.

Note 7. Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

In April 2009, we issued 32.2 million common shares at \$14.25 per share. Net proceeds from this offering were \$439.1 million and were used to repay indebtedness outstanding under our revolving credit facilities and for other general corporate purposes.

In April 2009, our Board of Trust Managers authorized a reduction of our quarterly dividend rate per share of \$.525 to \$.25 commencing with the second quarter 2009 distribution. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase to our quarterly dividend rate to \$.26 per share.

In October 2008, we sold 3.0 million common shares at \$34.20 per share. Net proceeds from this offering were \$98.1 million and were used to repay indebtedness outstanding under our revolving credit facilities and for other general corporate purposes.

In July 2007, our Board of Trust Managers authorized a common share repurchase program as part of our ongoing investment strategy. Under the terms of the program, we could purchase up to a maximum value of \$300 million of our common shares during the following two years. This program expired in July 2009, and no additional shares were repurchased during 2009.

Note 8. Property

Our property consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Land	\$896,010	\$964,982
Land held for development	182,586	118,078
Land under development	32,709	101,587
Buildings and improvements	3,437,578	3,488,385
Construction in-progress	109,513	242,440
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,658,396</b>	<b>\$4,915,472</b>

The following carrying charges were capitalized (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Interest	\$8,716	\$20,290	\$25,025
Ad valorem taxes	1,428	2,730	1,985
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,144</b>	<b>\$23,020</b>	<b>\$27,010</b>

During 2009, we invested \$42.6 million in new development projects, and we sold 12 shopping centers, five industrial properties and 11 retail buildings at seven operating properties. Gross sales proceeds from these dispositions totaled \$209.4 million and generated gains of \$70.9 million.

An impairment charge, as described in Note 1, of \$38.8 million and \$52.5 million was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and none for 2007.

In October 2009, we entered into an agreement to contribute six retail properties, located in Florida and Georgia, valued at approximately \$160.8 million to an unconsolidated joint venture in which we will retain a 20% ownership interest. In 2009, we closed on four Florida properties with a total value of \$114.3 million, aggregating 0.8 million square feet and received net proceeds of approximately \$85.9 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two additional properties were contributed to the unconsolidated joint venture for \$47.3 million, which included loan assumptions of \$28.1 million.

Note 9. Discontinued Operations

During 2009, we sold 12 shopping centers and five industrial properties, of which 11 were located in Texas and two each in Arizona, New Mexico and North Carolina. In 2008, we sold one industrial center located in Texas and nine shopping centers, five of which were located in Texas, one in California and three in Louisiana. The operating results of these properties, as well as any gains on the respective disposition, have been reclassified and reported as discontinued operations in the Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income. Revenues recorded in operating income from discontinued operations totaled \$16.9 million in 2009, \$30.0 million in 2008 and \$48.8 million in 2007. Included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2008 were \$144.5 million of property and \$49.5 million of accumulated depreciation related to properties sold during 2009.

In 2009, one sold property had outstanding debt of \$9.1 million, which was assumed by the purchaser, and discontinued operations reported in 2008 had no debt that was required to be repaid upon any disposition. In 2007, we incurred a net loss of \$.4 million on the defeasance of three loans totaling \$22.2 million that were required to be settled upon the disposition of the related properties. These defeasance costs were recognized as net interest expense and have been reclassified and reported as discontinued operations.

We do not allocate other consolidated interest to discontinued operations because the interest savings to be realized from the proceeds of the sale of these operations was not material.

An impairment loss of \$3.8 million relating to four properties was reported in discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2009. No impairment was recognized in 2008 and 2007.

Note 10. Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We have ownership interests in a number of real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Notes receivable from these entities bear interest ranging from 2.1% to 12.0% at December 31, 2009 and 2.8% to 10.0% at December 31, 2008. These notes are due at various dates through 2012 and are generally secured by real estate assets. We believe these notes are fully collectible, and no allowance has been recorded at December 31, 2009 and 2008. We recognized interest income on these notes as follows, in millions: \$4.8 in 2009, \$4.0 in 2008 and \$1.5 in 2007.

Note 11. Related Parties

Through our management activities and transactions with our real estate joint venture and partnerships, we had accounts receivable of \$4.3 million and \$2.0 million outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We also had accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$10.5 million and \$10.2 million outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded joint venture fee income of \$5.7 million, \$5.9 million and \$5.0 million, respectively.

In October 2009, we entered into an agreement to contribute six retail properties, located in Florida and Georgia, valued at approximately \$160.8 million to an unconsolidated joint venture in which we will retain a 20% ownership interest. We closed on four properties with a total value of \$114.3 million, aggregating 0.8 million square feet and received net proceeds of approximately \$85.9 million. We sold an 80% interest in this joint venture to an institutional investor, and realized a gain of \$10.1 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two additional properties were contributed to the unconsolidated joint venture for \$47.3 million, which included loan assumptions of \$28.1 million and net proceeds of \$14.0 million.

In 2007, we sold a 12.6% interest in a shopping center located in Lafayette, Louisiana to our outside partner. Sales proceeds and the gain generated totaled \$4.4 million and \$.8 million, respectively.



## Note 12. Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We own interests in real estate joint ventures or limited partnerships and have tenancy-in-common interests in which we exercise significant influence, but do not have financial and operating control. We account for these investments using the equity method, and our interests range from 7.8% to 75%. Combined condensed financial information of these ventures (at 100%) is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Combined Condensed Balance Sheets		
Property	\$2,082,316	\$1,951,771
Accumulated depreciation	(191,478 )	(129,227 )
Property, net	1,890,838	1,822,544
Other assets, net	240,387	256,688
Total	\$2,131,225	\$2,079,232
Debt, net (primarily mortgages payable)	\$505,462	\$472,486
Amounts payable to Weingarten Realty Investors	335,622	248,969
Other liabilities, net	88,913	149,265
Total	929,997	870,720
Accumulated equity	1,201,228	1,208,512
Total	\$2,131,225	\$2,079,232

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Combined Condensed Statements of Income			
Revenues, net	\$174,595	\$162,737	\$146,642
Expenses:			
Depreciation and amortization	56,018	41,146	38,574
Interest, net	31,017	20,424	23,093
Operating	33,385	37,592	22,396
Ad valorem taxes, net	21,213	18,739	15,767
General and administrative	5,357	6,055	1,243
Impairment loss	6,923	5,151	
Total	153,913	129,107	101,073
Gain on merchant development sales		933	1,295
Gain on sale of property	11	13	5,422

Net income	\$20,693	\$34,576	\$52,286
------------	----------	----------	----------

Our investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships, as reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, differs from our proportionate share of the entities' underlying net assets due to basis differentials, which arose upon the transfer of assets to the joint ventures. The basis differentials, which totaled \$11.8 million and \$12.1 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are generally amortized over the useful lives of the related assets.



Our real estate joint ventures and partnerships determined that the carrying amount of certain properties was not recoverable and that the properties should be written down to fair value. Our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships recorded an impairment charge of \$6.9 million and \$5.2 million related to undeveloped land at new development properties for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and no impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Fees earned by us for the management of these real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$5.7 million in 2009, \$5.9 million in 2008 and \$5.0 million in 2007.

In April 2009, we sold an unconsolidated joint venture interest in a property located in Colorado with gross sales proceeds of approximately \$15.0 million, which were reduced by the release of a debt obligation of \$11.7 million.

In October 2009, we entered into an agreement to contribute six retail properties, located in Florida and Georgia, valued at approximately \$160.8 million to an unconsolidated joint venture in which we will retain a 20% ownership interest. We closed on four properties with a total value of \$114.3 million, aggregating 0.8 million square feet. In December 2009, this joint venture entered into a \$68.7 million secured loan, and subsequent to December 31, 2009, two additional properties were contributed to the unconsolidated joint venture for \$47.3 million, which included loan assumptions of \$28.1 million.

During 2008, a 25%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture acquired a 4,000 square foot building located in Port Charlotte, Florida. A 50%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture was formed for the purposes of developing an industrial building in Houston, Texas, while a 32%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture commenced construction of a retail property in Salt Lake City, Utah.

In July 2008, a 47.75%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture acquired an 83.34% interest in a joint venture owning a 919,000 square foot new development to be constructed in Aurora, Colorado.

In August 2008, we executed a real estate limited partnership with a foreign institutional investor to purchase up to \$250 million of retail properties in various states. Our ownership in this unconsolidated real estate limited partnership is 20.1%. As of December 31, 2009, no properties have been purchased.

In December 2008, a 50%-owned real estate joint venture was executed related to the redevelopment project in Sheridan, Colorado. The joint venture entered into a financing arrangement totaling \$6.7 million, which matures in December 2038 and is secured by its property.

Effective December 31, 2008, four previously consolidated joint venture agreements were amended, which triggered a reconsideration event and resulted in the deconsolidation of these entities from our consolidated financial statements.

#### Note 13. Federal Income Tax Considerations

We qualify as a REIT under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, and therefore, no tax is imposed on our taxable income distributed to shareholders. To maintain our REIT status, we must distribute at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders and meet certain income source and investment restriction requirements. Our shareholders must report their share of income distributed in the form of dividends.

Taxable income differs from net income for financial reporting purposes principally because of differences in the timing of recognition of depreciation, rental revenue, compensation expense, impairment losses and gain from sales of property. As a result of these differences, the book value of our net fixed assets exceeds the tax basis by \$119 million at December 31, 2009 and \$220 million at December 31, 2008.



The following table reconciles net income to REIT taxable income for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests	\$ 171,102	\$ 145,652	\$ 230,101
Net loss (income) of taxable REIT subsidiaries included above	8,966	34,803	(6,352 )
Net income from REIT operations	180,068	180,455	223,749
Book depreciation and amortization including discontinued operations	151,888	157,893	134,676
Tax depreciation and amortization	(133,537 )	(144,816 )	(98,238 )
Book/tax difference on gains/losses from capital transactions	(6,137 )	35,891	(76,054 )
Deferred/prepaid/above and below market rents, net	(12,489 )	(20,113 )	(7,349 )
Impairment loss from REIT operations	21,862	31,461	
Other book/tax differences, net (1)	28,097	(25,238 )	17,963
REIT taxable income	229,752	215,533	194,747
Dividends paid deduction	(229,752 )	(215,533 )	(194,747 )
Dividends paid in excess of taxable income	\$-	\$-	\$-

(1) Certain amounts in prior periods have been restated to conform to the current year presentation.

The dividends paid deduction in 2009, 2008 and 2007 includes designated dividends of \$61.2 million from 2010, \$4.7 million from 2009 and \$10.9 million from 2008, respectively.

For federal income tax purposes, the cash dividends distributed to common shareholders are characterized as follows:

	2009		2008		2007	
Ordinary income	68.1	%	45.5	%	85.6	%
Capital gain distributions	31.9	%	54.5	%	14.4	%
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

Our taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. We have recorded a federal income tax provision (benefit) of \$4.4 million, \$(12.1) million and \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Also, a current tax receivable of \$2.8 million and a current tax obligation of \$.6 million have been recorded at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, in association with this tax.

Our deferred tax assets and liabilities, including a valuation allowance, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:		
Impairment loss	\$ 13,945	\$ 9,936
Allowance on other assets	1,428	1,363
Interest expense	3,643	861
Other	1,956	174
Total deferred tax assets	20,972	12,334

Valuation allowance	(9,605 )	
Total deferred tax assets, net of allowance	\$11,367	\$12,334
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Straight-line rentals	\$506	\$152
Book-tax basis differential	6,346	
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$6,852	\$152

We have recorded a net deferred tax asset of \$11.4 million; including the benefit of \$13.9 million of impairment losses, which will not be recognized until the related properties are sold. Realization is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income in the year the property is sold. Management believes it is more likely than not that a portion of this impairment loss deferred tax asset will not be realized and in 2009 established a valuation allowance of \$9.6 million. However, the amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable could be reduced if estimates of future taxable income are reduced.

In addition, we are subject to the State of Texas business tax (“Texas Franchise Tax”), which is determined by applying a tax rate to a base that considers both revenues and expenses. Therefore, the Texas Franchise Tax is considered an income tax and is accounted accordingly.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded a provision for the Texas Franchise Tax of \$1.9 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The deferred tax assets associated with this tax each totaled \$.1 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the deferred tax liabilities totaled \$.1 million and \$.2 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Also, a current tax obligation of \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million has been recorded at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, in association with this tax.

Note 14. Leasing Operations

The terms of our leases range from less than one year for smaller tenant spaces to over 25 years for larger tenant spaces. In addition to minimum lease payments, most of the leases provide for contingent rentals (payments for taxes, maintenance and insurance by lessees and an amount based on a percentage of the tenants' sales). Future minimum rental income from non-cancelable tenant leases at December 31, 2009, in millions, is: \$400.2 in 2010; \$337.0 in 2011; \$277.5 in 2012; \$219.9 in 2013; \$163.2 in 2014; and \$608.2 thereafter. The future minimum rental amounts do not include estimates for contingent rentals. Such contingent rentals, in millions, aggregated \$119.5 in 2009, \$131.7 in 2008 and \$126.3 in 2007.

Note 15. Commitments and Contingencies

We are engaged in the operation of shopping centers, which are either owned or, with respect to certain shopping centers, operated under long-term ground leases. These ground leases expire at various dates through 2069, with renewal options. Space in our shopping centers is leased to tenants pursuant to agreements that provide for terms ranging generally from one month to 25 years and, in some cases, for annual rentals subject to upward adjustments based on operating expense levels, sales volume, or contractual increases as defined in the lease agreements.

Scheduled minimum rental payments under the terms of all non-cancelable operating leases in which we are the lessee, principally for shopping center ground leases, for the subsequent five years and thereafter ending December 31, are as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$3,586
2011	3,570
2012	3,382
2013	3,352
2014	3,118
Thereafter	126,761
Total	\$143,769

Rental expense (including insignificant amounts for contingent rentals) for operating leases was, in millions: \$5.0 in 2009; \$4.0 in 2008 and \$3.4 in 2007.



The scheduled future minimum revenues under subleases, applicable to the ground lease rentals above, under the terms of all non-cancelable tenant leases, assuming no new or renegotiated leases or option extensions for the subsequent five years and thereafter ending December 31, are as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$35,212
2011	31,327
2012	27,743
2013	24,253
2014	19,178
Thereafter	93,107
Total	\$230,820

Property under capital leases that is included in buildings and improvements consisted of three shopping centers totaling \$19.1 million at December 31, 2009 and four shopping centers totaling \$29.1 million at December 31, 2008. Amortization of property under capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense, and the balance of accumulated depreciation associated with these capital leases at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$11.0 million and \$15.4 million, respectively. Future minimum lease payments under these capital leases total \$39.6 million, with annual payments due, in millions, \$1.8 in each of 2010, 2011 and 2012; \$1.9 in each of 2013 and 2014; and \$30.4 thereafter. The amount of these total payments representing interest is \$16.5 million. Accordingly, the present value of the net minimum lease payments was \$23.1 million at December 31, 2009.

We participate in six real estate ventures structured as DownREIT partnerships that have properties in Arkansas, California, Georgia, North Carolina, Texas and Utah. As a general partner, we have operating and financial control over these ventures and consolidate their operations in our consolidated financial statements. These ventures allow the outside limited partners to put their interest to the partnership for our common shares or an equivalent amount in cash. We may acquire any limited partnership interests that are put to the partnership, and we have the option to redeem the interest in cash or a fixed number of our common shares, at our discretion. We also participate in a real estate venture that has a property in Texas that allows its outside partner to put operating partnership units to us. We have the option to redeem these units in cash or a fixed number of our common shares, at our discretion. In 2009 and 2008, we issued common shares valued at \$14.3 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, in exchange for certain of these limited partnership interests or operating partnership units. The aggregate redemption value of the operating partnership units was approximately \$33 million and \$46 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In January 2007, we acquired two retail properties in Arizona. This purchase transaction includes an earnout provision of approximately \$29 million that is contingent upon the subsequent development of space by the property seller. This contingency agreement expires in 2010. We have an estimated obligation of \$4.7 million and \$3.9 million recorded as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Since inception of this obligation, \$12.5 million has been paid. Amounts paid or accrued under such earnouts are treated as additional purchase price and capitalized to the related property.

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations in the areas where we own or operate properties. We are not aware of any material contamination, which may have been caused by us or any of our tenants that would have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

As part of our risk management activities, we have applied and been accepted into state sponsored environmental programs which will limit our expenses if contaminants need to be remediated. We also have an environmental insurance policy that covers us against third party liabilities and remediation costs.

While we believe that we do not have any material exposure to environmental remediation costs, we cannot give absolute assurance that changes in the law or new discoveries of contamination will not result in increased liabilities to us.



Related to our investment in a redevelopment project in Sheridan, Colorado that is held in an unconsolidated real estate joint venture, we, our joint venture partner and the joint venture have each provided a guaranty for the payment of any debt service shortfalls on bonds issued in connection with the project. The Sheridan Redevelopment Agency (“Agency”) issued \$97 million of Series A bonds used for an urban renewal project. The bonds are to be repaid with incremental sales and property taxes and a public improvement fee (“PIF”) to be assessed on current and future retail sales, and, to the extent necessary, any amounts we may have to provide under a guaranty. The incremental taxes and PIF are to remain intact until the earlier of the bond liability has been paid in full or 2030 (unless such date is otherwise extended by the Agency). At inception on February 27, 2007, we evaluated and determined that the fair value of the guaranty was nominal to us as the guarantor. However, a liability was recorded by the joint venture equal to net amounts funded under the bonds.

In connection with the above project, we and our joint venture partner were also signatories to a completion guaranty that required, among other things, certain infrastructure to be substantially completed and occupants of 75% of the retail space to be open for regular business as of December 31, 2008. Under specified circumstances, the completion guaranty allowed for extension of the completion date until June 30, 2009. At inception on February 27, 2007, we evaluated the guaranty and determined that its then fair value was nominal. By a letter dated December 1, 2008, the guarantors requested extension of the completion date pursuant to the terms of the guaranty. On December 16, 2008, one of the parties benefited by the guaranty filed a lawsuit against us alleging that we were not entitled to the extension and was seeking \$97 million in liquidated damages together with other relief. In July 2009, we settled the lawsuit. Among the obligations performed or to be performed by us under the terms of the settlement are to cause the joint venture to purchase a portion of the bonds in the amount of \$51.3 million at par plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of such purchase, and to the extent that the outstanding letter of credit supporting additional bonds totaling \$45.7 million with a current term of 20 months is not replaced by an alternate letter of credit issued by another qualified provider on or before July 21, 2010, we will be obligated to provide up to 103% of the outstanding stated amount of the letter of credit as additional collateral in the form of either cash or a back-to-back letter of credit.

On July 22, 2009, as part of the settlement agreement, among other things, the lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice; we loaned \$52.0 million including accrued interest and fees to the joint venture, which then purchased the bonds; and the completion guaranty was terminated. This increased our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships and reduced both our investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships and the contingent liability of \$41 million recorded at December 31, 2008.

Also in connection with the Sheridan, Colorado joint venture and the issuance of the related Series A bonds, we, our joint venture partner and the joint venture have also provided a performance guaranty on behalf of the Sheridan Redevelopment Agency for the satisfaction of all obligations arising from two interest rate swap agreements for the combined notional amount of \$97 million that matures in December 2029. We evaluated and determined that the fair value of the guaranty both at inception and December 31, 2008 was nominal.

We have evaluated the remaining outstanding guaranties and have determined that the fair value of these guaranties is nominal.

We are also involved in various matters of litigation arising in the normal course of business. While we are unable to predict with certainty the amounts involved, our management and counsel are of the opinion that, when such litigation is resolved, any additional liability, if any, will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## Note 16. Identified Intangible Assets and Liabilities

Identified intangible assets and liabilities associated with our property acquisitions are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
<b>Identified Intangible Assets:</b>		
Above-Market Leases (included in Other Assets, net)	\$17,278	\$17,921
Above-Market Leases – Accumulated Amortization	(11,471 )	(9,771 )
Below-Market Assumed Mortgages (included in Debt, net)	2,072	2,072
Below-Market Assumed Mortgages – Accumulated Amortization	(805 )	(525 )
Valuation of In Place Leases (included in Unamortized Debt and Lease Cost, net)	57,610	64,027
Valuation of In Place Leases – Accumulated Amortization	(32,361 )	(29,104 )
	<b>\$32,323</b>	<b>\$44,620</b>
<b>Identified Intangible Liabilities:</b>		
Below-Market Leases (included in Other Liabilities, net)	\$36,951	\$38,712
Below-Market Leases – Accumulated Amortization	(21,794 )	(18,265 )
Above-Market Assumed Mortgages (included in Debt, net)	52,171	53,895
Above-Market Assumed Mortgages – Accumulated Amortization	(31,329 )	(28,284 )
	<b>\$35,999</b>	<b>\$46,058</b>

These identified intangible assets and liabilities are amortized over the applicable lease terms or the remaining lives of the assumed mortgages, as applicable.

The net amortization of above-market and below-market leases increased rental revenues by \$2.5 million, \$3.5 million and \$3.2 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated net amortization of these intangible assets and liabilities will increase rental revenues for each of the next five years as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$1,859
2011	1,359
2012	1,115
2013	926
2014	788

The amortization of the in place lease intangible assets recorded in depreciation and amortization, was \$8.2 million, \$8.5 million and \$8.3 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated amortization of this intangible asset will increase depreciation and amortization for each of the next five years as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$5,447
2011	4,251
2012	3,412
2013	2,627
2014	2,138



The amortization of above-market and below-market assumed mortgages decreased net interest expense by \$4.4 million, \$8.0 million and \$6.7 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated amortization of these intangible assets and liabilities will decrease net interest expense for each of the next five years as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$3,561
2011	2,416
2012	1,242
2013	798
2014	826

#### Note 17. Fair Value Measurements

##### Recurring Fair Value Measurements:

##### Investments held in grantor trusts

These assets are valued based on publicly quoted market prices for identical assets.

##### Derivative instruments

We use interest rate swaps with major financial institutions to manage our interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined based on assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing, using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The fair values of our interest rate swaps have been determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counter-party's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral, thresholds and guarantees.

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by ourselves and our counter-parties. However, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that the derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value at December 31, 2009
---	---	--	---------------------------------------

1)

Assets:						
Derivative instruments		\$	2,601	\$	2,601	
Investments in grantor trusts	\$	13,894			13,894	
Total	\$	13,894	\$	2,601	\$	16,495
Liabilities:						
Derivative instruments		\$	4,634	\$	4,634	
Deferred compensation plan obligations	\$	13,894			13,894	
Total	\$	13,894	\$	4,634	\$	18,528

78

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value at December 31, 2008
<b>Assets:</b>				
Derivative instruments		\$ 4,625		\$ 4,625
Investments in grantor trusts	\$ 25,595			25,595
Total	\$ 25,595	\$ 4,625		\$ 30,220
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Deferred compensation plan obligations	\$ 11,172			\$ 11,172

**Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements:****Property Impairments**

Property is reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property, including any identifiable intangible assets, site costs and capitalized interest, may not be recoverable. In such an event, a comparison is made of the current and projected operating cash flows of each such property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis to the carrying amount of such property. Fair values are determined by management utilizing cash flow models and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker valuation estimates, appraisals, bona fide purchase offers or the expected sales price of an executed sales agreement in accordance with our fair value measurements policy.

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during 2009, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Input (Level 3)	Fair Value	Total Gains (Losses)
Property		\$49,200	\$ 101,826	\$ 151,026	\$(34,983 )

In accordance with our policy of evaluating and recording impairments on the disposal of long-lived assets, property with a carrying amount of \$184.8 million was written down to its fair value of \$151.0 million, less \$1.2 million of costs associated with the anticipated contribution of two properties to an unconsolidated retail joint venture, resulting in a loss of \$35.0 million, which was included in earnings for the period.

**Fair Value Disclosures:**

Unless otherwise described below, all other financial instruments are carried at amounts which approximate their fair values.

**Debt**

The fair values of our financial instruments approximate their carrying value in our financial statements except for debt. We estimated the fair value of our debt based on quoted market prices for publicly-traded debt and on the discounted estimated future cash payments to be made for other debt. The discount rates used approximate current lending rates for loans or groups of loans with similar maturities and credit quality, assumes the debt is outstanding

through maturity and considers the debt's collateral (if applicable). We have utilized market information as available or present value techniques to estimate the amounts required to be disclosed. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument. Fixed-rate debt with carrying values of \$2.1 billion and \$2.7 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, has fair values of approximately \$2.0 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively. Variable-rate debt with carrying values of \$385.7 million and \$449.0 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, has fair values of approximately \$373.4 million and \$432.1 million, respectively.

## Note 18. Share Options and Awards

We had an Employee Share Option Plan that granted options to purchase 100 common shares to every employee, excluding officers, upon completion of each five-year interval of service. This plan was terminated effective January 1, 2008, and .01 million awards remain outstanding as of December 31, 2009. Options granted under this plan were exercisable immediately.

We also had an Incentive Share Option Plan that provided for the issuance of up to 3.9 million common shares, either in the form of restricted shares or share options. This plan expired in 2002, and .9 million awards remain outstanding as of December 31, 2009. The share options granted to non-officers vest over a three-year period beginning after the grant date, and for officers vest over a seven-year period beginning two years after the grant date.

We have a Long-Term Incentive Plan for the issuance of options and share awards. In 2006, the maximum number of common shares issuable under this plan was increased to 4.8 million common shares, of which .4 million is available for the future grant of options or awards at December 31, 2009. This plan expires in 2011. The share options granted to non-officers vest over a three-year period beginning after the grant date, and share options and restricted shares for officers vest over a five-year period after the grant date. Restricted shares granted to trust managers and options or awards granted to retirement eligible employees are expensed immediately.

The grant price for the Employee Share Option Plan was equal to the closing price of our common shares on the date of grant. The grant price of the Long-Term Incentive Plan is calculated as an average of the high and low of the quoted fair value of our common shares on the date of grant. In both plans, these options expire upon the earlier of termination of employment or 10 years from the date of grant. In the Long-Term Incentive Plan, restricted shares for officers and trust managers are granted at no purchase price. Our policy is to recognize compensation expense for equity awards ratably over the vesting period, except for retirement eligible amounts. Compensation expense, net of forfeitures, associated with share options and restricted shares totaled \$4.2 million in 2009, \$4.9 million in 2008 and \$5.1 million in 2007, of which \$1.2 million was capitalized in 2009 and \$1.3 million was capitalized in both 2008 and 2007.

The fair value of share options and restricted shares is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing method based on the expected weighted average assumptions in the following table. The dividend yield is an average of the historical yields at each record date over the estimated expected life. We estimate volatility using our historical volatility data for a period of 10 years, and the expected life is based on historical data from an option valuation model of employee exercises and terminations. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve. The fair value and weighted average assumptions are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2009		2008		2007	
Fair value per share option	\$1.99		\$3.07		\$4.29	
Dividend yield	5.2	%	5.1	%	5.5	%
Expected volatility	31.3	%	18.8	%	18.1	%
Expected life (in years)	6.2		6.2		6.0	
Risk-free interest rate	1.7	%	2.8	%	4.1	%



Following is a summary of the option activity for the three years ended December 31, 2009:

	Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, January 1, 2007	3,147,153	\$31.99
Granted	7,821	42.63
Forfeited or expired	(73,156 )	35.78
Exercised	(241,528 )	23.24
Outstanding, December 31, 2007	2,840,290	32.66
Granted	832,106	32.22
Forfeited or expired	(174,376 )	35.85
Exercised	(180,365 )	21.99
Outstanding, December 31, 2008	3,317,655	32.96
Granted	1,182,252	11.85
Forfeited or expired	(54,364 )	26.90
Exercised	(9,400 )	18.05
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	4,436,143	\$27.44

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$.02 million in 2009, \$2.2 million in 2008 and \$5.0 million in 2007. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there was approximately \$3.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share options, which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average of 2.5 years and 1.7 years, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about share options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number	Outstanding			Exercisable			
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	
				(000's)			(000's)	
11.85 - \$17.78	1,160,530	9.2 years	\$11.85					
17.79 - \$26.69	873,517	2.0 years	\$22.16	873,517	\$22.16	2.0 years		
26.70 - \$40.05	1,920,208	6.3 years	\$34.25	1,245,421	\$35.03	5.4 years		
40.06 - \$49.62	481,888	6.9 years	\$47.46	314,227	\$47.46	6.9 years		
Total	4,436,143	6.3 years	\$27.44	\$-	2,433,165	\$32.01	4.4 years	\$-



A summary of the status of unvested restricted shares for the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

	Unvested Restricted Share Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding, January 1, 2009	167,402	\$36.54
Granted	292,519	12.29
Vested	(91,297 )	28.07
Forfeited	(5,388 )	19.52
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	363,236	\$19.40

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there was approximately \$4.6 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted shares, which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average of 2.7 years and 2.3 years, respectively.

#### Note 19. Employee Benefit Plans

We have a Savings and Investment Plan pursuant to which eligible employees may elect to contribute from 1% of their salaries to the maximum amount established annually by the Internal Revenue Service. Employee contributions are matched by us at the rate of \$.50 per \$1.00 for the first 6% of the employee's salary. The employees vest in the employer contributions ratably over a five year period. Compensation expense related to the plan was \$.8 million in 2009 and \$1.0 million in both 2008 and 2007.

We also have an Employee Share Purchase Plan under which 562,500 of our common shares have been authorized. These shares, as well as common shares purchased by us on the open market, are made available for sale to employees at a discount of 15% from the quoted market price as defined by the plan. Shares purchased by the employee under the plan are restricted from being sold for two years from the earlier of the date of purchase or until termination of employment. A total of 61,783, 36,116 and 30,437 common shares were purchased for the employees at an average per share price of \$10.98, \$24.52 and \$33.49 during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Effective April 1, 2002, we converted a noncontributory pension plan to a noncontributory cash balance retirement plan ("Retirement Plan") under which each participant received an actuarially determined opening balance. Annual additions to each participant's account include a service credit ranging from 3-5% of compensation, depending on years of service, and an interest credit based on the ten-year US Treasury Bill rate not to be less than 2.05%. Vesting generally occurs after three years of service. Certain participants were grandfathered under the prior pension plan formula. In addition to the plan described above, effective September 1, 2002, we established two separate and independent nonqualified supplemental retirement plans ("SRP") for certain employees. These unfunded plans provide benefits in excess of the statutory limits of our noncontributory cash balance retirement plan. Annual additions to each participant's account include a service credit ranging from 3-5% of compensation, depending on years of service, and an interest credit of 7.5%. Vesting generally occurs after three years of service. We have elected to use the actuarial present value of the vested benefits to which the participant is entitled if the participant separates immediately from the SRP, as permitted by GAAP.

The estimated net loss, prior service cost, and transition obligation that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are \$703,000, (\$117,000) and zero, respectively.

The following tables summarize changes in the benefit obligation, the plan assets and the funded status of our pension plans as well as the components of net periodic benefit costs, including key assumptions. The measurement dates for plan assets and obligations were December 31, 2009 and 2008.

	Fiscal Year End	
	2009	2008
<b>Change in Projected Benefit Obligation:</b>		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$46,148	\$41,083
Service cost	3,571	2,414
Interest cost	2,931	2,639
Actuarial loss	422	1,093
Benefit payments	(1,739 )	(1,081 )
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$51,333	\$46,148
<b>Change in Plan Assets:</b>		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$15,472	\$20,434
Actual return on plan assets	4,219	(5,946 )
Employer contributions	5,557	2,065
Benefit payments	(1,739 )	(1,081 )
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$23,509	\$15,472
<b>Unfunded Status at End of Year:</b>		
	\$27,824	\$30,676
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$50,732	\$45,052
<b>Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss consist of:</b>		
Net loss	\$9,908	\$13,262
Prior service credit	(352 )	(470 )
Total amount recognized	\$9,556	\$12,792

The following is the required information for other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income:

	2009	2008	2007
Net (gain) loss	\$(2,407 )	\$9,231	\$(925 )
Amortization of net gain	(947 )	(256 )	(353 )
Amortization of prior service cost	117	117	117
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	\$(3,237 )	\$9,092	\$(1,161 )
<b>Total recognized in net periodic benefit costs and other comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$2,705</b>	<b>\$12,093</b>	<b>\$3,511</b>

The following is the required information for plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets at each year end:

2009	2008
------	------

Projected benefit obligation	\$51,333	\$46,148
Accumulated benefit obligation	50,732	45,052
Fair value of plan assets	23,509	15,472

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Retirement Plan was underfunded by \$4.6 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, and is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses. The SRP was underfunded by \$23.2 million and \$20.0 million, respectively, and is included in other net liabilities.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for both plans are as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Service cost	\$3,571	\$2,414	\$3,846
Interest cost	2,931	2,639	2,175
Expected return on plan assets	(1,391 )	(1,832 )	(1,500 )
Prior service cost	(117 )	(117 )	(117 )
Recognized loss (gain)	947	(104 )	269
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,941</b>	<b>\$3,000</b>	<b>\$4,673</b>

The assumptions used to develop periodic expense for both plans are shown below:

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate – Retirement Plan and SRP	6.00 %	6.25 %	5.75 %
Salary scale increases – Retirement Plan	4.00 %	4.00 %	4.00 %
Salary scale increases – SRP	5.00 %	5.00 %	5.00 %
Long-term rate of return on assets – Retirement Plan	8.00 %	8.50 %	8.50 %

The selection of the discount rate is made annually after comparison to yields based on high quality fixed-income investments. The salary scale is the composite rate which reflects anticipated inflation, merit increases, and promotions for the group of covered participants. The long-term rate of return is a composite rate for the trust. It is derived as the sum of the percentages invested in each principal asset class included in the portfolio multiplied by their respective expected rates of return. We considered the historical returns and the future expectations for returns for each asset class, as well as the target asset allocation of the pension portfolio. This analysis resulted in the selection of 8.00% as the long-term rate of return assumption for 2009.

The assumptions used to develop the actuarial present value of the benefit obligations at year-end for both plans are shown below:

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate – Retirement Plan and SRP	5.82 %	6.00 %	6.25 %
Salary scale increases – Retirement Plan	4.00 %	4.00 %	4.00 %
Salary scale increases – SRP	5.00 %	5.00 %	5.00 %

The expected contribution to be paid for the Retirement plan by us during 2010 is approximately \$.7 million. The expected benefit payments for the next ten years for both plans are as follows, in millions: \$1.8 in 2010, \$1.9 in 2011; \$4.6 in 2012; \$2.1 in 2013, \$2.8 in 2014 and \$21.4 in 2015 through 2019.

The participant data used in determining the liabilities and costs for the Retirement Plan was collected as of January 1, 2009, and no significant changes have occurred through December 31, 2009. The participant data used in determining the liabilities and costs for the SRP was collected as of December 31, 2009.

Our investment policy for our plan assets has been to set forth to determine the objectives for structuring a retirement savings program suitable to the long-term needs and risk tolerances of participants, to select appropriate investments to be offered by the plan and to establish procedures for monitoring and evaluating the performance of the investments of the plan. Our overall plan objectives for selecting and monitoring investment options are to promote and optimize retirement wealth accumulation; to provide a full range of asset classes and investment options that are intended to help diversify the portfolio to maximize return within reasonable and prudent levels of risk; to control costs of administering the plan; and to manage the investments held by the plan.



The selection of investment options is determined using criteria based on the following characteristics: fund history, relative performance, investment style, portfolio structure, manager tenure, minimum assets, expenses and operation considerations. Investment options selected for use in the plan are reviewed on at least a semi-annual basis in order to evaluate material changes from the selection criteria. Asset allocation is used to determine how the investment portfolio should be split between stocks, bonds and cash. The asset allocation decision is influenced by time horizon; risk tolerance and investment return objectives. The primary factor for consideration of asset allocation is demographics of the plan, including, attained age and future service. The allocation is based on a broad market diversification model and the percentage allocation to each investment category will vary depending upon market conditions. Rebalancing of the allocation of plan assets occurs semi-annually.

At December 31, 2009, our investment asset allocation compared to our benchmarking allocation model was as follows:

	Portfolio %		Benchmark %	
Cash	8	%	13	%
US Stocks	37	%	52	%
Non-US Stocks	21	%	9	%
Bonds	33	%	26	%
Other	1	%		
Total	100	%	100	%

The fair value of plan assets was determined based on publicly quoted market prices for identical assets which are classified as Level 1 observable inputs. The allocation of the fair value of plan assets was as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,			
	2009		2008	
Cash and short-term investments	3	%	4	%
Mutual funds – equity	61	%	61	%
Mutual funds – fixed income	36	%	35	%
Total	100	%	100	%

Concentrations of risk within our equity portfolio are investments classified within the financial services sector and the healthcare sector representing approximately 17% and 13% of total equity investments, respectively.

We also have a deferred compensation plan for eligible employees allowing them to defer portions of their current cash salary or share-based compensation. Deferred amounts are deposited in a grantor trust, which are included in other net assets, and are reported as compensation expense in the year service is rendered. Cash deferrals are invested based on the employee's investment selections from a mix of assets based on a broad market diversification model. Deferred share-based compensation cannot be diversified, and distributions from this plan are made in the same form as the original deferral. See Note 17 for the disclosures associated with the fair value of the deferred compensation plan.

Note 20. Segment Information

The reportable segments presented are the segments for which separate financial information is available, and for which operating performance is evaluated regularly by senior management in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. We evaluate the performance of the reportable segments based on net operating income, defined as total revenues less operating expenses and ad valorem taxes. Management does not consider the effect of gains or losses from the sale of property in evaluating segment operating performance.

The shopping center segment is engaged in the acquisition, development and management of real estate, primarily anchored neighborhood and community shopping centers located in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah and Washington. The customer base includes supermarkets, discount retailers, drugstores and other retailers who generally sell basic necessity-type commodities. The industrial segment is engaged in the acquisition, development and management of bulk warehouses and office/service centers. Its properties are located in California, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia, and the customer base is diverse. Included in "Other" are corporate-related items, insignificant operations and costs that are not allocated to the reportable segments.

Information concerning our reportable segments is as follows (in thousands):

	Shopping Center	Industrial	Other	Total
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2009:</b>				
Revenues	\$511,541	\$53,070	\$7,497	\$572,108
Net Operating Income (Loss)	362,145	36,917	(598 )	398,464
Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	4,949	967	(368 )	5,548
Capital Expenditures	84,252	9,388	3,917	97,557
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2008:</b>				
Revenues	\$529,628	\$54,314	\$8,806	\$592,748
Net Operating Income (Loss)	370,169	38,611	(143 )	408,637
Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	15,012	1,428	(4,244 )	12,196
Capital Expenditures	247,723	22,315	29,052	299,090
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2007:</b>				
Revenues	\$500,151	\$50,165	\$10,884	\$561,200
Net Operating Income	357,817	34,886	4,533	397,236
Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	18,309	1,348	196	19,853
Capital Expenditures	771,590	91,881	24,874	888,345
<b>As of December 31, 2009:</b>				
Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	\$277,130	\$38,118	\$-	\$315,248
Total Assets	3,335,198	353,736	1,201,451	4,890,385
<b>As of December 31, 2008:</b>				
Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	\$318,003	\$39,631	\$-	\$357,634
Total Assets	3,747,037	348,691	1,018,484	5,114,212



Net operating income reconciles to income from continuing operations as shown on the Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Total Segment Net Operating Income	\$398,464	\$408,637	\$397,236
Depreciation and Amortization	(147,895 )	(149,812 )	(122,245 )
Impairment Loss	(34,983 )	(52,539 )	-
General and Administrative	(25,930 )	(25,761 )	(26,979 )
Interest Expense, net	(153,207 )	(156,318 )	(156,248 )
Interest and Other Income, net	11,427	4,333	8,483
Gain on Redemption of Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes	25,311	12,961	-
Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net	5,548	12,196	19,853
Gain on Merchant Development Sales	18,688	8,342	16,385
(Provision) Benefit for Income Taxes	(6,338 )	10,219	(4,073 )
Income from Continuing Operations	\$91,085	\$72,258	\$132,412

Note 21. Noncontrolling Interests

The following table summarizes the effect of changes in our ownership interest in subsidiaries on the equity attributable to us as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests	\$171,102	\$145,652	\$230,101
Transfers from the noncontrolling interests:			
Increase in equity for operating partnership units	14,251	1,094	13,865
Change from net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests and transfers from the noncontrolling interests	\$185,353	\$146,746	\$243,966

## Note 22. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data is as follows (in thousands):

	First	Second	Third	Fourth
<b>2009:</b>				
Revenues (3)	\$ 144,382	\$ 142,437	\$ 143,098	\$ 142,191
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	33,146	39,238	(9,384 ) (2)	72,626 (1)
Earnings per common share – basic	0.38	0.35	(0.08 ) (2)	0.61 (1)
Earnings per common share – diluted	0.38	0.35	(0.08 ) (2)	0.60 (1)
<b>2008:</b>				
Revenues (3)	\$ 146,512	\$ 148,130	\$ 152,444	\$ 145,662
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	26,718	64,921 (1)	26,936	(9,484 ) (2)
Earnings per common share – basic	0.32	0.78 (1)	0.32	(0.11 ) (2)
Earnings per common share – diluted	0.32	0.76 (1)	0.32	(0.11 ) (2)

(1) The quarter results include significant gains on the sale of properties.

(2) The quarter results include significant impairment charges.

(3) Revenues from the sale of operating properties have been reclassified and reported in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

## ITEM Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

9.

Not applicable.

ITEM Controls and Procedures  
9A.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 31, 2009. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2009.

There has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Weingarten Realty Investors and its subsidiaries ("WRI") maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act, which is a process designed under the supervision of WRI's principal executive officer and principal financial officer and effected by WRI's Board of Trust Managers, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

WRI's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

§ Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of WRI's assets;

§ Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of WRI are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and trust managers of WRI; and

§ Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of WRI's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

WRI's management has responsibility for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for WRI. Management, with the participation of WRI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of WRI's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Based on their evaluation of WRI's internal control over financial reporting, WRI's management along with the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers believe that WRI's internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2009.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, WRI's independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules included in this Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of WRI's internal control over financial reporting.

March 1, 2010

89

---



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trust Managers and Shareholders of  
Weingarten Realty Investors  
Houston, Texas

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Weingarten Realty Investors and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of trust managers, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and trust managers of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, of the Company and our report dated March 1, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and included an

explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's adoption of two new accounting standards.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Houston, Texas  
March 1, 2010

90

---

ITEM Other Information

9B.

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM Trust Managers, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

10.

Information with respect to our trust managers and executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to the "Proposal One - Election of Trust Managers - Nominees," "Executive Officers" and "Share Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management—Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" sections of our definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 6, 2010.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of business and ethics for trust managers, officers and employees, known as the Code of Conduct and Ethics. The Code of Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at [www.weingarten.com](http://www.weingarten.com). Shareholders may request a free copy of the Code of Conduct and Ethics from:

Weingarten Realty Investors  
Attention: Investor Relations  
2600 Citadel Plaza Drive, Suite 125  
Houston, Texas 77008  
(713) 866-6000  
[www.weingarten.com](http://www.weingarten.com)

We have also adopted a Code of Conduct for Financial Managers setting forth a code of ethics applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and financial managers, which is available on our website at [www.weingarten.com](http://www.weingarten.com). Shareholders may request a free copy of the Code of Conduct for Financial Managers from the address and phone number set forth above.

Governance Guidelines

We have adopted Trust Managers Governance Guidelines, which are available on our website at [www.weingarten.com](http://www.weingarten.com). Shareholders may request a free copy of the Trust Managers Governance Guidelines from the address and phone number set forth above under "Code of Conduct and Ethics."

ITEM Executive Compensation

11.

Information with respect to executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the "Executive Compensation," "Proposal One - Election of Trust Managers," "Compensation Committee Report," "Summary Compensation Table" and "Trust Manager Compensation Table" sections of our definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 6, 2010.



ITEM Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters  
12.

The "Share Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" section of our definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 6, 2010 is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table summarizes the equity compensation plans under which our common shares of beneficial interest may be issued as of December 31, 2009:

Plan category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	4,436,143	\$27.44	408,429
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders			
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,436,143</b>	<b>\$27.44</b>	<b>408,429</b>

ITEM Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trust Manager Independence  
13.

The "Governance of Our Company," "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" and "Certain Transactions" sections of our definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 6, 2010 are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM Principal Accounting Fees and Services  
14.

The "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees" section within "Proposal Four – Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" of our definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 6, 2010 is incorporated herein by reference.

## PART IV

## ITEM Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

15.

<b>(a)</b>	<b>Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules:</b>	<b>Page</b>
	<b>(1) (A) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</b>	<b>50</b>
	<b>(B) Financial Statements</b>	
	<b>(i) Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</b>	<b>51</b>
	<b>(ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008</b>	<b>52</b>
	<b>(iii) Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</b>	<b>53</b>
	<b>(iv) Statements of Consolidated Equity for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</b>	<b>54</b>
	<b>(v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</b>	<b>55</b>
	<b>(2) Financial Statement Schedules:</b>	
	<b>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Schedules:</b>	<b>100</b>
	<b>II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</b>	<b>101</b>
	<b>III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</b>	<b>102</b>
	<b>IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate</b>	<b>112</b>

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

<b>(b)</b>	<b>Exhibits:</b>	
3.1	— Restated Declaration of Trust (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 19, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).	
3.2	— Amendment of the Restated Declaration of Trust (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 19, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).	
3.3	— Second Amendment of the Restated Declaration of Trust (filed as Exhibit 3.3 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 19, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).	
3.4	— Third Amendment of the Restated Declaration of Trust (filed as Exhibit 3.4 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 19, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).	
3.5	— Fourth Amendment of the Restated Declaration of Trust dated April 28, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 3.5 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).	
3.6	—	

Fifth Amendment of the Restated Declaration of Trust dated April 20, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 3.6 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 3.7 — Amended and Restated Bylaws of WRI (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to WRI's Form 8-A dated February 23, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.8 — Amendment of Bylaws-Direct Registration System, Section 7.2(a) dated May 3, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 3.8 to WRI's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.9 — Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Weingarten Realty Investors (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to WRI's Form 8-K on February 26, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 4.1 — Form of Indenture between Weingarten Realty Investors and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (successor in interest to JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, formerly Texas Commerce Bank National Association) (filed as Exhibit 4(a) to WRI's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 33-57659) dated February 10, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.2 — Form of Indenture between Weingarten Realty Investors and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (successor in interest to JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, formerly Texas Commerce Bank National Association) (filed as Exhibit 4(b) to WRI's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 33-57659) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.3 — Form of Fixed Rate Senior Medium Term Note (filed as Exhibit 4.19 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.4 — Form of Floating Rate Senior Medium Term Note (filed as Exhibit 4.20 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.5 — Form of Fixed Rate Subordinated Medium Term Note (filed as Exhibit 4.21 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.6 — Form of Floating Rate Subordinated Medium Term Note (filed as Exhibit 4.22 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.7 — Statement of Designation of 6.75% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to WRI's Form 8-A dated April 17, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.8 — Statement of Designation of 6.95% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to WRI's Form 8-A dated July 8, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.9 — Statement of Designation of 6.50% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 29, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.10 — 6.75% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to WRI's Form 8-A dated April 17, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.11 — 6.95% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to WRI's Form 8-A dated July 8, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.12 — 6.50% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 29, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.13 — Form of Receipt for Depositary Shares, each representing 1/30 of a share of 6.75% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, par value \$.03 per share (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to WRI's Form 8-A dated April 17, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.14 — Form of Receipt for Depositary Shares, each representing 1/100 of a share of 6.95% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, par value \$.03 per share (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to WRI's Form 8-A dated July 8, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.15 — Form of Receipt for Depositary Shares, each representing 1/100 of a share of 6.50% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, par value \$.03 per share (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to WRI's Form 8-A dated January 29, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.16 — Form of 7% Notes due 2011 (filed as Exhibit 4.17 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.17 — Form of 3.95% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to WRI's Form 8-K on August 2, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.18 — Form of 8.10% Note due 2019 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to WRI's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 14, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.1† — The 1993 Incentive Share Plan of WRI (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to WRI's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 33-52473) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.2† — 1999 WRI Employee Share Purchase Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3† —



2001 Long Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to WRI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).

10.4 — Master Promissory Note in the amount of \$20,000,000 between WRI, as payee, and Chase Bank of Texas, National Association (formerly, Texas Commerce Bank National Association), as maker, effective December 30, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 4.15 to WRI&#821