

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 09, 2012

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 0-13358

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Florida**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**59-2273542**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**217 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida**

(Address of principal executive office)

**32301**

(Zip Code)

**(850) 402-7000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if smaller reporting  
company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

At July 31, 2012, 17,199,913 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, were outstanding.

---

---

---

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.  
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q  
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2012  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>PART I Financial Information</u></b>		<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u></b>	
	<b><u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u></b>	4
	<b><u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u></b>	5
	<b><u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareowners' Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u></b>	6
	<b><u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u></b>	7
	<b><u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u></b>	8
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	25
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Controls and Procedures</u></b>	43
<b><u>PART II Other Information</u></b>		
<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Legal Proceedings</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 1A.</u></b>	<b><u>Risk Factors</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Mine Safety Disclosure</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 5.</u></b>	<b><u>Other Information</u></b>	43
<b><u>Item 6.</u></b>	<b><u>Exhibits</u></b>	44
<b><u>Signatures</u></b>		45

**INTRODUCTORY NOTE**  
**Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. The words may, could, should, would, believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, intend, plan, target, goal, and similar words are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements.

Our ability to achieve our financial objectives could be adversely affected by the factors discussed in detail in Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the following sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the 2011 Form 10-K): (a) Introductory Note in Part I, Item 1. Business; (b) Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A., as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q, and (c) Introduction in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in Part II, Item 7 as well as:

- § our need and our ability to incur additional debt or equity financing;
- § the accuracy of our financial statement estimates and assumptions, including the estimates used for our loan loss provision and deferred tax asset valuation allowance;
- § continued depression of the market value of the Company that could result in an impairment of goodwill;
- § the frequency and magnitude of foreclosure of our loans;
- § the effects of our lack of a diversified loan portfolio, including the risks of geographic and industry concentrations;
- § our ability to successfully manage interest rate risk, liquidity risk, and other risks inherent to our industry;
- § legislative or regulatory changes, including the Dodd-Frank Act and Basel III;
- § the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct operations;
- § restrictions on our operations, including the inability to pay dividends without our regulators' consent;
- § the effects of the health and soundness of other financial institutions, including the FDIC's need to increase Deposit Insurance Fund assessments;
- § our ability to declare and pay dividends;
- § changes in the securities and real estate markets;
- § changes in monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government;
- § inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations;
- § the effects of harsh weather conditions, including hurricanes, and man-made disasters;
- § our ability to comply with the extensive laws and regulations to which we are subject;
- § our ability to comply with the laws of each jurisdiction where we operate;
- § the willingness of clients to accept third-party products and services rather than our products and services and vice versa;
- § increased competition and its effect on pricing;
- § technological changes;
- § negative publicity and the impact on our reputation;

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- § the effects of security breaches and computer viruses that may affect our computer systems;
- § changes in consumer spending and saving habits;
- § growth and profitability of our noninterest income;
- § changes in accounting principles, policies, practices or guidelines;
- § the limited trading activity of our common stock;
- § the concentration of ownership of our common stock;
- § anti-takeover provisions under federal and state law as well as our Articles of Incorporation and our Bylaws;
- § other risks described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and
- § our ability to manage the risks involved in the foregoing.

However, other factors besides those referenced also could adversely affect our results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, except as required by applicable law.

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION**

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>	<b>Unaudited June 30, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and Due From Banks	\$ 57,477	\$ 54,953
Federal Funds Sold and Interest Bearing Deposits	434,814	330,361
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	492,291	385,314
Investment Securities, Available-for-Sale	280,753	307,149
Loans Held For Sale	16,969	21,225
Loans, Net of Unearned Income	1,539,267	1,607,458
Allowance for Loan Losses	(29,929)	(31,035)
Loans, Net	1,526,307	1,597,648
Premises and Equipment, Net	110,302	110,991
Goodwill	84,811	84,811
Other Intangible Assets	458	673
Other Real Estate Owned	58,059	62,600
Other Assets	92,869	92,126
Total Assets	\$ 2,645,850	\$ 2,641,312
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Deposits:		
Noninterest Bearing Deposits	\$ 623,130	\$ 618,317
Interest Bearing Deposits	1,527,256	1,554,202
Total Deposits	2,150,386	2,172,519
Short-Term Borrowings	69,449	43,372
Subordinated Notes Payable	62,887	62,887
Other Long-Term Borrowings	38,846	44,606
Other Liabilities	75,260	65,986
Total Liabilities	2,396,828	2,389,370
<b>SHAREOWNERS EQUITY</b>		
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding		
Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 90,000,000 shares authorized; 17,197,879 and 17,160,274 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	172	172
Additional Paid-In Capital	38,260	37,838
Retained Earnings	234,573	237,461
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax	(23,983)	(23,529)
Total Shareowners Equity	249,022	251,942
Total Liabilities and Shareowners Equity	\$ 2,645,850	\$ 2,641,312

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>INTEREST INCOME</b>				
Interest and Fees on Loans	\$ 21,359	\$ 24,305	\$ 43,364	\$ 48,252
Taxable Securities	729	824	1,524	1,676
Tax Exempt Securities	105	193	210	412
Federal Funds Sold	244	145	469	316
Total Interest Income	22,437	25,467	45,567	50,656
<b>INTEREST EXPENSE</b>				
Deposits	556	1,083	1,199	2,341
Short-Term Borrowings	48	110	56	221
Subordinated Notes Payable	372	343	754	683
Other Long-Term Borrowings	396	492	832	986
Total Interest Expense	1,372	2,028	2,841	4,231
<b>NET INTEREST INCOME</b>	21,065	23,439	42,726	46,425
Provision for Loan Losses	5,743	3,545	10,536	7,678
Net Interest Income After Provision For Loan Losses	15,322	19,894	32,190	38,747
<b>NONINTEREST INCOME</b>				
Service Charges on Deposit Accounts	6,313	6,309	12,622	12,292
Data Processing	680	764	1,355	1,738
Asset Management Fees	1,020	1,080	2,035	2,160
Retail Brokerage Fees	884	939	1,642	1,668
Mortgage Banking Fees	864	568	1,712	1,185
Bank Card Fees	2,784	2,558	5,555	5,054
Other	1,361	2,230	2,571	6,685
Total Noninterest Income	13,906	14,448	27,492	30,782
<b>NONINTEREST EXPENSE</b>				
Salaries and Associate Benefits	16,117	16,000	32,960	32,577
Occupancy, Net	2,276	2,447	4,542	4,843
Furniture and Equipment	2,245	2,117	4,446	4,343
Intangible Amortization	107	107	215	460
Other Real Estate	3,460	3,033	6,973	6,710
Other	8,088	7,463	15,754	15,565
Total Noninterest Expense	32,293	31,167	64,890	64,498
<b>(LOSS) INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	(3,065)	3,175	(5,208)	5,031
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense	(1,339)	1,030	(2,320)	1,576
<b>NET (LOSS) INCOME</b>	\$ (1,726)	\$ 2,145	\$ (2,888)	\$ 3,455
<b>BASIC NET (LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE</b>	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.20
<b>DILUTED NET (LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE</b>	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.20
Average Basic Shares Outstanding	17,191,739	17,127,302	17,186,536	17,124,468
Average Diluted Shares Outstanding	17,191,739	17,139,234	17,186,536	17,134,520
Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income:				
Change in Net Unrealized Gain On Available-For-Sale Securities (net of tax)	(207)	657	(454)	691
Total Comprehensive (Loss) Income	\$ (1,933)	\$ 2,802	\$ (3,342)	\$ 4,146

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*





**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREOWNERS EQUITY**  
**(Unaudited)**

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Taxes	Total
<b>Balance, January 1, 2012</b>	17,160,274	\$ 172	\$ 37,838	\$ 237,461	\$ (23,529)	\$ 251,942
Comprehensive Income:						
Net Loss				(2,888)		(2,888)
Change in Net Unrealized Gain on Available-for-Sale Securities (net of tax benefit of \$276)					(454)	(454)
Total Comprehensive Loss						(3,342)
Stock Performance Plan Compensation			87			87
Issuance of Common Stock	37,605		335			335
<b>Balance, June 30, 2012</b>	17,197,879	\$ 172	\$ 38,260	\$ 234,573	\$ (23,983)	\$ 249,022

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Taxes	Total
<b>Balance, January 1, 2011</b>	17,100,081	\$ 171	\$ 36,920	\$ 237,679	\$ (15,751)	\$ 259,019
Comprehensive Income:						
Net Income				3,455		3,455
Change in Net Unrealized Gain on Available-for-Sale Securities (net of tax expense of \$267)					691	691
Total Comprehensive Income						4,146
Cash Dividends (\$0.20 per share)				(3,425)		(3,425)
Stock Performance Plan Compensation			391			391
Issuance of Common Stock	27,239		413			413
<b>Balance, June 30, 2011</b>	17,127,320	\$ 171	\$ 37,724	\$ 237,709	\$ (15,060)	\$ 260,544

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (2,888)	\$ 3,455
Adjustments to Reconcile Net (Loss) Income to Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Provision for Loan Losses	10,536	7,678
Depreciation	3,388	3,464
Amortization of Premiums, Discounts, and Fees (net)	1,614	1,896
Amortization of Intangible Assets	215	460
Net Decrease (Increase) in Loans Held-for-Sale	4,256	(13)
Stock-Based Compensation	87	391
Deferred Income Taxes	(1,577)	417
Loss on Sales and Write-Downs of Other Real Estate Owned	4,408	4,533
Net Decrease in Other Assets	1,110	8,204
Net Increase in Other Liabilities	9,274	7,241
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	30,423	37,726
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Securities Available-for-Sale:		
Purchases	(59,735)	(42,238)
Sales	805	
Payments, Maturities, and Calls	82,883	46,824
Net Decrease in Loans	45,439	36,176
Proceeds From Sales of Other Real Estate Owned	11,342	15,314
Purchases of Premises and Equipment	(2,699)	(685)
Net Cash Provided By In Investing Activities	78,035	55,391
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Decrease in Deposits	(22,133)	(2,965)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Short-Term Borrowings	18,893	(27,691)
Increase in Other Long-Term Borrowings	3,070	789
Repayment of Other Long-Term Borrowings	(1,646)	(1,694)
Dividends Paid		(3,425)
Issuance of Common Stock	335	413
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(1,481)	(34,573)
<b>NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>106,977</b>	<b>58,544</b>
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	385,314	236,193
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 492,291	\$ 294,737
Supplemental Disclosure:		
Loans Transferred to Other Real Estate Owned	\$ 11,209	\$ 22,926
Transfer of Current Portion of Long-Term Borrowings	\$ 7,184	\$

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Nature of Operations.* Capital City Bank Group, Inc. ( CCBG or the Company ) provides a full range of banking and banking-related services to individual and corporate clients through its subsidiary, Capital City Bank, with banking offices located in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions, is subject to regulation by certain government agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

*Basis of Presentation.* The consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include the accounts of CCBG, and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Capital City Bank ( CCB or the Bank and together with the Company). All material inter-company transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2011 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**NOTE 2 - INVESTMENT SECURITIES**

*Investment Portfolio Composition.* The amortized cost and related market value of investment securities available-for-sale were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2012</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Market Value</b>
U.S. Treasury	\$ 122,153	\$ 849	\$	\$ 123,002
U.S. Government Agency States and Political Subdivisions	31,465	85	42	31,508
Mortgage-Backed Securities	65,466	161	54	65,573
Other Securities <sup>(1)</sup>	49,116	657	74	49,699
Total Investment Securities	11,571	600	770	10,971
	\$ 279,771	\$ 1,752	\$ 770	\$ 280,753

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Market Value</b>
U.S. Treasury	\$ 168,001	\$ 1,463	\$ 48	\$ 169,464
U.S. Government Agency States and Political Subdivisions	14,758	27	38	14,737
Mortgage-Backed Securities	58,946	186	87	59,094
Other Securities <sup>(1)</sup>	51,775	809	600	52,497
Total Investment Securities	11,957	773	773	11,357
	\$ 305,437	\$ 2,485	\$ 773	\$ 307,149

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock recorded at cost of \$6.1 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, at June 30, 2012 and \$6.5 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Securities with an amortized cost of \$149.9 million and \$102.1 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes.

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ( FHLB ), is required to own capital stock in the FHLB based generally upon the balances of residential and commercial real estate loans, and FHLB advances. FHLB stock which is included in other securities is pledged to secure FHLB advances. No ready market exists for this stock, and it has no quoted market value. However, redemption of this stock has historically been at par value.

*Maturity Distribution.* As of June 30, 2012, the Company's investment securities had the following maturity distribution based on contractual maturities:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Market Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 105,935	\$ 106,277
Due after one through five years	162,148	163,381
Due after five through ten years	117	124
Due over ten years		
No Maturity	11,571	10,971
Total Investment Securities	\$ 279,771	\$ 280,753

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

*Other Than Temporarily Impaired Securities.* The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses aggregated by major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012					
	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Market Value	Unrealized Losses	Market Value	Unrealized Losses	Market Value	Unrealized Losses
U.S. Treasury	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
U.S. Government Agency	10,480	33	3,122	9	13,602	42
States and Political Subdivisions	22,807	53	549	1	23,356	54
Mortgage-Backed Securities	7,930	59	3,391	15	11,321	74
Other Securities			600	600	600	600
Total Investment Securities	\$ 41,217	\$ 145	\$ 7,662	\$ 625	\$ 48,879	\$ 770

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	December 31, 2011					
	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Market Value	Unrealized Losses	Market Value	Unrealized Losses	Market Value	Unrealized Losses
U.S. Treasury	\$ 9,698	\$ 48	\$	\$	\$ 9,698	\$ 48
U.S. Government Agency						
States and Political Subdivisions	14,597	38			14,597	38
Mortgage-Backed Securities	11,612	87	37		11,649	87
Other Securities			600	600	600	600
Total Investment Securities	\$ 35,907	\$ 173	\$ 637	\$ 600	\$ 36,544	\$ 773

Management evaluates securities for other than temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to: 1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, 2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and 3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in cost. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by rating agencies have occurred, regulatory issues, and analysts' reports.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2012, the Company had securities of \$280.8 million with net pre-tax unrealized gains of \$1.0 million on these securities, of which \$48.9 million have unrealized losses totaling \$0.8 million. Approximately \$41.2 million of these securities, with an unrealized loss of \$0.1 million, have been in a loss position for less than 12 months. Approximately \$7.1 million of these securities, with an unrealized loss of approximately \$25,000 have been in a loss position for greater than 12 months. These securities are primarily in a loss position because they were acquired when the general level of interest rates was lower than that on June 30, 2012. The Company believes that the losses in these securities are temporary in nature and that the full principal will be collected as anticipated. Because the declines in the market value of these investments are attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until there is a recovery in fair value, which may be at maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012. One preferred bank stock issue for \$0.6 million has also been in a loss position for greater than 12 months. The Company continues to closely monitor the fair value of this security as the subject bank continues to experience negative operating trends.

### NOTE 3 LOANS, NET

*Loan Portfolio Composition.* The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 136,736	\$ 130,879
Real Estate - Construction	42,616	18,892
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	605,819	639,140
Real Estate - Residential <sup>(1)</sup>	346,054	385,621
Real Estate - Home Equity	242,929	244,263
Real Estate - Loans Held-for-Sale	16,969	21,225
Consumer	165,113	188,663
Loans, Net of Unearned Income	\$ 1,556,236	\$ 1,628,683

(1) Includes loans in process with outstanding balances of \$5.8 million and \$12.5 million for June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Net deferred fees included in loans were \$1.6 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

*Loan Portfolio Aging.* A loan is defined as a past due loan when one full payment is past due or a contractual maturity is over 30 days past due ( DPD ).

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>30-59 DPD</b>	<b>60-89 DPD</b>	<b>Over 90 DPD</b>	<b>Total Past Due</b>	<b>Total Current</b>	<b>Total Loans</b>
<b>June 30, 2012</b>						
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 521	\$ 175	\$	\$ 696	\$ 135,225	\$ 136,736
Real Estate - Construction					40,421	46,803
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	7,240	288		7,528	558,590	605,819
Real Estate - Residential	3,966	1,254		5,220	325,173	353,199
Real Estate - Home Equity	1,681	182		1,863	236,874	242,929
Consumer	1,174	214		1,388	168,486	170,750
Total Past Due Loans	\$ 14,582	\$ 2,113	\$	\$ 16,695	\$ 1,464,769	\$ 1,556,236
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 307	\$ 49	\$ 46	\$ 402	\$ 129,722	\$ 130,879
Real Estate - Construction					26,034	26,367
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	3,070	646		3,716	592,604	639,140
Real Estate - Residential	7,983	3,031	58	11,072	350,133	386,877
Real Estate - Home Equity	1,139	500	95	1,734	238,246	244,263
Consumer	2,355	345	25	2,725	197,272	201,157
Total Past Due Loans	\$ 14,854	\$ 4,571	\$ 224	\$ 19,649	\$ 1,534,011	\$ 1,628,683



## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

*Nonaccrual Loans.* Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectability of the principal and/or interest to be doubtful. Loans are returned to accrual status when the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current or when future payments are reasonably assured.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days and still on accrual by class of loans:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Nonaccrual	Over 90 Days	Nonaccrual	Over 90 Days
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 815	\$	\$ 755	\$ 46
Real Estate - Construction	6,382		334	
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	39,701		42,820	
Real Estate - Residential	22,805		25,671	58
Real Estate - Home Equity	4,192		4,283	95
Consumer	875		1,160	25
<b>Total Nonaccrual Loans</b>	<b>\$ 74,770</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 75,023</b>	<b>\$ 224</b>

*Impaired Loans.* Loans are deemed to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts due (principal and interest payments), according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans, for which the terms have been modified, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Related Allowance
	<b>June 30, 2012:</b>			
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,560	\$ 1,018	\$ 542	\$ 86
Real Estate - Construction	6,559	2,768	3,791	395
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	62,383	28,193	34,190	4,032
Real Estate - Residential	29,434	5,181	24,253	4,046
Real Estate - Home Equity	3,569	653	2,916	1,033
Consumer	70	14	56	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 103,575</b>	<b>\$ 37,827</b>	<b>\$ 65,748</b>	<b>\$ 9,603</b>
<b>December 31, 2011:</b>				
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,653	\$ 671	\$ 982	\$ 311
Real Estate - Construction	511		511	68
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	65,624	19,987	45,637	5,828
Real Estate - Residential	36,324	6,897	29,427	4,702
Real Estate - Home Equity	3,527	645	2,882	239
Consumer	143	90	53	26
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 107,782</b>	<b>\$ 28,290</b>	<b>\$ 79,492</b>	<b>\$ 11,174</b>

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the average recorded investment and interest income recognized by class of impaired loans:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Average Recorded Investment	Total Interest Income	Average Recorded Investment	Total Interest Income	Average Recorded Investment	Total Interest Income	Average Recorded Investment	Total Interest Income
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,684	22	\$ 1,563	13	\$ 1,606	42	\$ 1,707	47
Real Estate - Construction	3,913	67	1,950	2	3,535	71	1,922	10
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	66,140	689	46,145	316	64,003	1,170	42,450	631
Real Estate - Residential	31,630	261	30,782	147	32,880	496	33,804	435
Real Estate - Home Equity	3,462	37	2,844	9	3,548	62	2,815	34
Consumer	58	19	108	7	107	23	112	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 106,887</b>	<b>1,095</b>	<b>\$ 83,392</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>\$ 105,679</b>	<b>1,864</b>	<b>\$ 82,810</b>	<b>1,178</b>

*Troubled Debt Restructurings ( TDRs )*. TDRs are loans in which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the Company has granted an economic concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. In these instances, as part of a work-out alternative, the Company will defer cash payments required as part of the loan agreement through either a principal moratorium or extension of the loan term. The impact of the TDR modifications and defaults are factored into the allowance for loan losses on a loan-by-loan basis as all TDRs are, by definition, impaired loans. Thus, specific reserves are established based upon the results of either a discounted cash flow analysis or the underlying collateral value, if the loan is deemed to be collateral dependent. In the limited circumstances that a loan is removed from TDR classification, it is the Company's policy to also remove it from the impaired loan category, but to continue to individually evaluate loan impairment based on the contractual terms specified by the loan agreement.

The following table presents loans classified as TDRs:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Accruing	Nonaccruing
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 943	\$ 200	\$ 694	\$
Real Estate - Construction	177	813	178	-
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	24,626	9,932	20,062	12,029
Real Estate - Residential	12,052	2,625	15,553	947
Real Estate - Home Equity	874	-	1,161	-
Consumer	62	-	27	-
<b>Total TDRs</b>	<b>\$ 38,734</b>	<b>\$ 13,570</b>	<b>\$ 37,675</b>	<b>\$ 12,976</b>

Loans classified as TDRs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are presented in the table below. The modifications made during the reporting period involved either an extension of the loan term or a principal moratorium and the financial impact of these modifications was not material.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012			2012		
	Number of Contracts	Pre- Modified Recorded Investment	Post- Modified Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre- Modified Recorded Investment	Post- Modified Recorded Investment
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural		\$	\$	4	\$ 656	\$ 660
Real Estate - Construction	4	807	814	4	807	814
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	14	3,979	4,049	27	8,545	8,745
Real Estate - Residential	12	1,635	1,649	20	2,493	2,557
Real Estate - Home Equity						
Consumer	2	19	44	2	19	44
<b>Total TDRs</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>\$ 6,440</b>	<b>\$ 6,556</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>\$ 12,520</b>	<b>\$ 12,820</b>



Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011			Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Number of Contracts	Pre- Modified Recorded Investment	Post- Modified Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre- Modified Recorded Investment	Post- Modified Recorded Investment
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>						
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	2	\$ 134	\$ 134	4	\$ 229	\$ 229
Real Estate - Construction	1	177	155	1	177	155
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	10	2,973	3,032	23	8,565	8,421
Real Estate - Residential	27	2,268	2,390	48	5,185	5,499
Real Estate - Home Equity	2	52	52	4	177	189
Consumer	1	4	4	2	23	22
Total TDRs	43	\$ 5,608	\$ 5,767	82	\$ 14,356	\$ 14,515

Loans modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months that have subsequently defaulted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are presented in the table below.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	Number of Contracts	Post-Modified Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Post-Modified Recorded Investment
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>				
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural		\$		\$
Real Estate - Construction				
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	1	910	5	2,800
Real Estate - Residential	2	699	8	1,409
Real Estate - Home Equity	1	21	2	178
Consumer				
Total TDRs	4	\$ 1,630	15	\$ 4,387

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	Number of Contracts	Post-Modified Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Post-Modified Recorded Investment
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>				
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	2	\$ 161	2	\$ 161
Real Estate - Construction				
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	3	976	4	1,472
Real Estate - Residential	3	978	3	978
Real Estate - Home Equity				
Consumer				
Total TDRs	8	\$ 2,115	9	\$ 2,611

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

*Credit Risk Management.* The Company has adopted comprehensive lending policies, underwriting standards and loan review procedures designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management and the Board of Directors review and approve these policies and procedures on a regular basis (at least annually).

Reporting systems have been implemented to monitor loan originations, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and nonperforming loans and potential problem loans. Management and the Credit Risk Oversight Committee periodically review our lines of business to monitor asset quality trends and the appropriateness of credit policies. In addition, total borrower exposure limits are established and concentration risk is monitored. As part of this process, the overall composition of the portfolio is reviewed to gauge diversification of risk, client concentrations, industry group, loan type, geographic area, or other relevant classifications of loans. Specific segments of the loan portfolio are monitored and reported to the Board on a quarterly basis and have strategic plans in place to supplement Board approved credit policies governing exposure limits and underwriting standards. Detailed below are the categories of loans within the Company's loan portfolio and risk characteristics unique to each.

**Commercial, Financial, and Agricultural** Loans in this category are primarily made based on identified cash flows of the borrower with consideration given to underlying collateral and personal or other guarantees. Lending policy establishes debt service coverage ratio limits that require a borrower's cash flow to be sufficient to cover principal and interest payments on all new and existing debt. The majority of these loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable, inventory, or equipment. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy guidelines.

**Real Estate Construction** Loans in this category consist of short-term construction loans, revolving and non-revolving credit lines and construction/perm loans made to individuals and investors to finance the acquisition, development, construction or rehabilitation of real property. These loans are primarily made based on identified cash flows of the borrower or project and generally secured by the property being financed, including 1-4 family residential properties and commercial properties that are either owner-occupied or investment in nature. These properties may include either vacant or improved property. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy guidelines.

**Real Estate Commercial Mortgage** Loans in this category consists of commercial mortgage loans secured by property that is either owner-occupied or investment in nature. These loans are primarily made based on identified cash flows of the borrower or project with consideration given to underlying real estate collateral and personal guarantees. Lending policy establishes debt service coverage ratios and loan to value ratios specific to the property type. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations.

**Real Estate Residential** Residential mortgage loans held in the Company's loan portfolio are made to borrowers that demonstrate the ability to make scheduled payments with full consideration to underwriting factors such as current income, employment status, current assets, and other financial resources, credit history, and the value of the collateral. Collateral consists of mortgage liens on 1-4 family residential properties. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. The Company does not originate sub-prime loans.

**Real Estate Home Equity** Home equity loans and lines are made to qualified individuals for legitimate purposes generally secured by senior or junior mortgage liens on owner-occupied 1-4 family homes or vacation homes. Borrower qualifications include favorable credit history combined with supportive income and debt ratio requirements and combined loan to value ratios within established policy guidelines. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations.

**Consumer Loans** This loan portfolio includes personal installment loans, direct and indirect automobile financing, and overdraft lines of credit. The majority of the consumer loan portfolio consists of indirect and direct automobile loans. Lending policy establishes maximum debt to income ratios, minimum credit scores, and includes guidelines for verification of applicants' income and receipt of credit reports.

*Credit Quality Indicators.* As part of the ongoing monitoring of the Company's loan portfolio quality, management categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment performance, credit documentation, and current economic/market trends, among other factors. Risk ratings are assigned to each loan and revised as needed through established monitoring procedures for individual loan relationships over a predetermined amount and review of smaller balance homogenous loan pools. The Company uses the definitions noted below for categorizing and managing its criticized loans. Loans categorized as "Pass" do not meet the criteria set forth for the Special Mention, Substandard, or Doubtful categories and are not considered criticized.

**Special Mention** Loans in this category are presently protected from loss, but weaknesses are apparent which, if not corrected, could cause future problems. Loans in this category may not meet required underwriting criteria and have no mitigating factors. More than the ordinary amount of attention is warranted for these loans.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

**Substandard** Loans in this category exhibit well-defined weaknesses that would typically bring normal repayment into jeopardy. These loans are no longer adequately protected due to well-defined weaknesses that affect the repayment capacity of the borrower. The possibility of loss is much more evident and above average supervision is required for these loans.

**Doubtful** Loans in this category have all the weaknesses inherent in a loan categorized as Substandard, with the characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

The following table presents the risk category of loans by segment:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Commercial, Financial, Agriculture</b>	<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>June 30, 2012</b>				
Special Mention	\$ 3,560	\$ 45,787	\$ 258	\$ 49,605
Substandard	13,033	209,337	1,319	223,689
Doubtful		5,378		5,378
Total Criticized Loans	\$ 16,593	\$ 260,502	\$ 1,577	\$ 278,672

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Commercial, Financial, Agriculture</b>	<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
Special Mention	\$ 4,883	\$ 43,787	\$ 79	\$ 48,749
Substandard	9,804	202,734	1,699	214,237
Doubtful	111	7,763		7,874
Total Criticized Loans	\$ 14,798	\$ 254,284	\$ 1,778	\$ 270,860

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

*Allowance for Loan Losses.* The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses within the existing portfolio of loans. Loans are charged-off to the allowance when losses are deemed to be probable and reasonably quantifiable.

The following table details the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio class for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agricultural	Real Estate Construction	Real Estate Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
<b>Three Months Ended</b>								
<b>June 30, 2012</b>								
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,493	\$ 1,761	\$ 10,432	\$ 12,225	\$ 2,725	\$ 1,589	\$ 992	\$ 31,217
Provision for Loan								
Losses	(198)	1,190	1,595	2,785	414	(51)	8	5,743
Charge-Offs	(57)	(275)	(3,519)	(3,894)	(425)	(550)		(8,720)
Recoveries	82	27	42	969	116	453		1,689
Net Charge-Offs	25	(248)	(3,477)	(2,925)	(309)	(97)		(7,031)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,320	\$ 2,703	\$ 8,550	\$ 12,085	\$ 2,830	\$ 1,441	\$ 1,000	\$ 29,929
<b>Six Months Ended</b>								
<b>June 30, 2012</b>								
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,534	\$ 1,133	\$ 10,660	\$ 12,518	\$ 2,392	\$ 1,887	\$ 911	\$ 31,035
Provision for Loan								
Losses	(39)	1,818	2,761	4,296	1,621	(10)	89	10,536
Charge-Offs	(325)	(275)	(5,051)	(5,861)	(1,317)	(1,282)		(14,111)
Recoveries	150	27	180	1,132	134	846		2,469
Net Charge-Offs	(175)	(248)	(4,871)	(4,729)	(1,183)	(436)		(11,642)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,320	\$ 2,703	\$ 8,550	\$ 12,085	\$ 2,830	\$ 1,441	\$ 1,000	\$ 29,929
<b>Three Months Ended</b>								
<b>June 30, 2011</b>								
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,439	\$ 1,504	\$ 10,037	\$ 15,537	\$ 2,634	\$ 1,777	\$ 945	\$ 33,873
Provision for Loan								
Losses	603	250	1,217	668	501	251	55	3,545
Charge-Offs	(301)	(14)	(2,808)	(2,371)	(944)	(606)		(7,044)
Recoveries	43	5	115	113	57	373		706
Net Charge-Offs	(258)	(9)	(2,693)	(2,258)	(887)	(233)		(6,338)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,784	\$ 1,745	\$ 8,561	\$ 13,947	\$ 2,248	\$ 1,795	\$ 1,000	\$ 31,080
<b>Six Months Ended</b>								
<b>June 30, 2011</b>								
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,544	\$ 2,060	\$ 8,645	\$ 17,046	\$ 2,522	\$ 2,612	\$ 1,007	\$ 35,436
Provision for Loan								
Losses	1,156	(315)	3,027	2,556	1,565	(304)	(7)	7,678
Charge-Offs	(1,022)	(14)	(3,238)	(5,828)	(1,932)	(1,226)		(13,260)
Recoveries	106	14	127	173	93	713		1,226
Net Charge-Offs	(916)		(3,111)	(5,655)	(1,839)	(513)		(12,034)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,784	\$ 1,745	\$ 8,561	\$ 13,947	\$ 2,248	\$ 1,795	\$ 1,000	\$ 31,080

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table details the amount of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio class disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agricultural	Real Estate Construction	Real Estate Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
<b>June 30, 2012</b>								
Period-end amount allocated to:								
Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 86	\$ 395	\$ 4,227	\$ 4,046	\$ 1,033	\$ 11		\$ 9,798
Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	1,234	2,308	4,323	8,039	1,797	1,430	1,000	20,131
Ending Balance	\$ 1,320	\$ 2,703	\$ 8,550	\$ 12,085	\$ 2,830	\$ 1,441	\$ 1,000	\$ 29,929

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agricultural	Real Estate Construction	Real Estate Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
<b>June 30, 2011</b>								
Period-end amount allocated to:								
Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 591	\$ 487	\$ 3,582	\$ 5,800	\$ 506	\$ 41		\$ 11,007
Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	1,193	1,258	4,979	8,147	1,742	1,754	1,000	20,073
Ending Balance	\$ 1,784	\$ 1,745	\$ 8,561	\$ 13,947	\$ 2,248	\$ 1,795	\$ 1,000	\$ 31,080

The Company's recorded investment in loans related to each balance in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio class and disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agricultural	Real Estate Construction	Real Estate Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
<b>June 30, 2012</b>								
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 1,560	\$ 6,559	\$ 64,399	\$ 29,434	\$ 3,569	\$ 70		\$ 105,591
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	135,176	40,244	541,420	323,764	239,361	170,680		1,450,645
Total	\$ 136,736	\$ 46,803	\$ 605,819	\$ 353,198	\$ 242,930	\$ 170,750		\$ 1,556,236
<b>June 30, 2011</b>								
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 1,730	\$ 1,311	\$ 42,531	\$ 29,829	\$ 2,351	\$ 79		\$ 77,831
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	148,100	29,556	617,526	365,297	245,878	203,414		1,609,771
Total	\$ 149,830	\$ 30,867	\$ 660,057	\$ 395,126	\$ 248,229	\$ 203,493		\$ 1,687,602

**NOTE 4 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The Company had net intangible assets of \$85.3 million and \$85.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Intangible assets were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Core Deposit Intangibles	\$ 47,176	\$ 47,037	\$ 47,176	\$ 46,918
Goodwill	84,811		84,811	
Customer Relationship Intangible	1,867	1,548	1,867	1,452
Total Intangible Assets	\$ 133,854	\$ 48,585	\$ 133,854	\$ 48,370

*Net Core Deposit Intangibles:* As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had net core deposit intangibles of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Amortization expense for the first six months of 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Estimated annual amortization expense for 2012 is \$0.3 million. All of our core deposit intangibles will be fully amortized in January 2013.

*Goodwill:* As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had goodwill of \$84.8 million.

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis, or more often if impairment indicators exist. A goodwill impairment test consists of two steps. Step One compares the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value, Step Two is performed by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit's implied goodwill to the carrying value of goodwill. If the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the estimated fair value, an impairment charge is recorded equal to the excess.

As of June 30, 2012, the book value of the Company's equity exceeded its market capitalization, and as such the Company performed goodwill impairment testing. The Step One test indicated that the carrying amount (including goodwill) of the Company's reporting unit exceeded its estimated fair value. The Step Two test indicated the estimated fair value of our reporting unit's implied goodwill exceeded its carrying amount. Based on the results of the Step Two analysis, the Company concluded that goodwill was not impaired as of June 30, 2012. The Company will continue to evaluate goodwill for impairment as defined by ASC Topic 350.

*Other:* As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had a customer relationship intangible asset, net of accumulated amortization, of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. This intangible asset was recorded as a result of the March 2004 acquisition of trust customer relationships. Amortization expense for the first six months of 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$96,000. Estimated annual amortization expense is approximately \$0.2 million based on use of a 10-year useful life.

**NOTE 5 - DEPOSITS**

The composition of the Company's interest bearing deposits were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
NOW Accounts	\$ 789,103	\$ 828,990
Money Market Accounts	288,352	276,910
Savings Deposits	178,388	158,462
Other Time Deposits	271,413	289,840
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	\$ 1,527,256	\$ 1,554,202

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

**NOTE 6 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all full-time and eligible part-time associates and a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ( SERP ) covering its executive officers.

The components of the net periodic benefit costs for the Company's qualified benefit pension plan were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service Cost	\$ 1,750	\$ 1,550	\$ 3,500	\$ 3,100
Interest Cost	1,375	1,325	2,750	2,650
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(1,700)	(1,650)	(3,400)	(3,300)
Prior Service Cost Amortization	100	125	200	250
Net Loss Amortization	850	550	1,700	1,100
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ 2,375	\$ 1,900	\$ 4,750	\$ 3,800
Discount Rate	5.00%	5.55%	5.00%	5.55%
Long-Term Rate of Return on Assets	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%

The components of the net periodic benefit costs for the Company's SERP were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest Cost	\$ 46	\$ 40	\$ 92	\$ 80
Prior Service Cost Amortization	48	45	96	90
Net Gain Amortization	(98)	(98)	(196)	(196)
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ (4)	\$ (13)	\$ (8)	\$ (26)
Discount Rate	5.00%	5.55%	5.00%	5.55%

**NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*Lending Commitments.* The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its clients. These financial instruments consist of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit.

The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss under standby letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in establishing commitments and issuing letters of credit as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The amounts associated with the Company's off-balance sheet obligations were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Fixed	Variable	Total	Fixed	Variable	Total
Commitments to Extend Credit <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 43,992	\$ 252,645	\$ 296,637	\$ 38,432	\$ 257,081	\$ 295,513
Standby Letters of Credit	12,957		12,957	10,920		10,920
Total	\$ 56,949	\$ 252,645	\$ 309,594	\$ 49,352	\$ 257,081	\$ 306,433

<sup>(1)</sup> *Commitments include unfunded loans, revolving lines of credit, and other unused commitments.*

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities. In general, management does not anticipate any material losses as a result of participating in these types of transactions. However, any potential losses arising from such transactions are reserved for in the same manner as management reserves for its other credit facilities.





## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

For both on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments, the Company requires collateral to support such instruments when it is deemed necessary. The Company evaluates each client's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies, but may include deposits held in financial institutions; U.S. Treasury securities; other marketable securities; real estate; accounts receivable; property, plant and equipment; and inventory.

*Contingencies.* The Company is a party to lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. In management's opinion, there are no known pending claims or litigation, the outcome of which would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on the consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows of the Company.

*Indemnification Obligation.* The Company is a member of the Visa U.S.A. network. Visa U.S.A. believes that its member banks are required to indemnify it for potential future settlement of certain litigation (the "Covered Litigation") that relates to several antitrust lawsuits challenging the practices of Visa and MasterCard International. In 2008, the Company, as a member of the Visa U.S.A. network, obtained Class B shares of Visa, Inc. upon its initial public offering. Since its initial public offering, Visa, Inc. has funded a litigation reserve for the Covered Litigation resulting in a reduction in the Class B shares held by the Company. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company sold its remaining Class B shares resulting in a \$3.2 million pre-tax gain. Associated with this sale, the Company entered into a swap contract with the purchaser of the shares that requires a payment to the counterparty in the event that Visa, Inc. makes subsequent revisions to the conversion ratio for its Class B shares.

In July 2012, Visa and MasterCard International entered into a memorandum of understanding to enter into a settlement agreement to resolve the aforementioned covered litigation matter. Visa's share of the claim is to be paid from the litigation reserve account. Subsequent to the memorandum of understanding, Visa increased the litigation reserve by \$150 million and revised the conversion ratio for the Class B shares resulting in a \$56,000 payment by the Company under the swap contract. The Company does not expect to make any additional payments to the counterparty other than certain fixed charges included in the liability, which are payable until the settlement is finalized.

### **NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction occurring in the principal market (or most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market) for such asset or liability. In estimating fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. Such valuation techniques are consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques include the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

*Level 1 Inputs* - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

*Level 2 Inputs* - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from, or corroborated, by market data by correlation or other means.

*Level 3 Inputs* - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

#### **Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

*Securities Available for Sale.* U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

The Company reviews the prices supplied by the independent pricing service, as well as their underlying pricing methodologies, for reasonableness to ensure such prices are aligned with traditional pricing matrices. In general, the Company does not purchase securities that have a complicated structure. The Company's entire portfolio consists of traditional investments, nearly all of which are U.S. Treasury obligations, federal agency bullet or mortgage pass-through securities, or general obligation or revenue based municipal bonds. Pricing for such instruments is fairly generic and is easily obtained. From time to time, the Company will validate, on a sample basis, prices supplied by the independent pricing service by comparison to prices obtained from third-party sources or derived using internal models.



## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

*Fair Value Swap.* The Company entered into a stand-alone derivative contract with the purchaser of its Visa Class B shares. The valuation represents an internally developed estimate of the exposure based upon probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses and related carrying cost obligations required under the contract.

A summary of fair values for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value consisted of the following:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Total Fair Value
<b>June 30, 2012</b>				
<b>ASSETS:</b>				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 123,002	\$	\$	\$ 123,002
U.S. Government Agency	25,792	5,716		31,508
States and Political Subdivisions		65,573		65,573
Mortgage-Backed Securities		49,699		49,699
Other Securities		10,971		10,971
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>				
Fair Value Swap				
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
<b>ASSETS:</b>				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 169,464	\$	\$	\$ 169,464
U.S. Government Agency	14,737			14,737
States and Political Subdivisions		59,094		59,094
Mortgage-Backed Securities		52,497		52,497
Other Securities		11,357		11,357
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>				
Fair Value Swap			572	572
<b>Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis</b>				

Certain assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis; that is, the assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets measured on a non-recurring basis.

*Impaired Loans.* Loans deemed to be impaired are valued based upon the lower of cost or the fair value of the underlying collateral or discounted cash flow analysis. The fair value of collateral is determined by an independent valuation or professional appraisal in conformance with banking regulations. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs due to the volatility in the real estate market, and the judgment and estimation involved in the real estate appraisal process. The inputs used in performing discounted cash flow analyses are not observable and therefore is considered a Level 3 input. Impaired loans had a carrying value of \$103.6 million with a valuation allowance of \$9.6 million at June 30, 2012 and \$107.8 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

*Loans Held for Sale.* Loans held for sale were \$17.0 million and \$21.2 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are adjusted to fair value on a non-recurring basis. Fair value is based on observable markets rates for comparable loan products, which is considered a Level 2 fair value measurement.

*Other Real Estate Owned.* During the first six months of 2012, certain foreclosed assets, upon initial recognition, were measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for possible loan losses based on the fair value of the foreclosed asset. The fair value of the foreclosed asset is determined by an independent valuation or professional appraisal in conformance with banking regulations. The fair value of foreclosed assets is estimated using Level 3 inputs due to the volatility of the real estate market, and judgment and estimation involved in the real estate valuation process. Foreclosed assets measured at fair value upon initial recognition totaled \$11.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company disposed of \$13.5 million in foreclosed assets and recognized subsequent write-downs totaling \$2.2 million for properties that were re-valued during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The carrying value of foreclosed assets was \$58.1 million at June 30, 2012.

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

**Assets and Liabilities Disclosed at Fair Value**

The Company is required to disclose the estimated fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, for which it is practical to estimate fair value and the following is a description of valuation methodologies used for those assets and liabilities.

**Cash and Short-Term Investments** - The carrying amount of cash and short-term investments is used to approximate fair value, given the short time frame to maturity and as such assets do not present unanticipated credit concerns.

**Loans** - The loan portfolio is segregated into categories and the fair value of each loan category is calculated using present value techniques based upon projected cash flows and estimated discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risks inherent in each loan category. The calculated present values are then reduced by an allocation of the allowance for loan losses against each respective loan category.

**Deposits** - The fair value of Noninterest Bearing Deposits, NOW Accounts, Money Market Accounts and Savings Accounts are the amounts payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using present value techniques and rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

**Subordinated Notes Payable** - The fair value of each note is calculated using present value techniques, based upon projected cash flows and estimated discount rates as well as rates being offered for similar obligations.

**Short-Term and Long-Term Borrowings** - The fair value of each note is calculated using present value techniques, based upon projected cash flows and estimated discount rates as well as rates being offered for similar debt.

A summary of estimated fair values of significant financial instruments consisted of the following:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2012</b>			
	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>Level 1 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 2 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 3 Inputs</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>				
Cash	\$ 57,477	\$ 57,477	\$	\$
Short-Term Investments	434,814	434,814		
Investment Securities	280,753	148,794	131,959	
Loans, Net of Allowance for Loan Losses	1,526,307			1,395,960
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>				
Deposits	\$ 2,150,386	\$	\$ 2,150,961	\$
Short-Term Borrowings	69,449		68,066	
Subordinated Notes Payable	62,887		62,886	
Long-Term Borrowings	38,846		42,028	
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>Level 1 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 2 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 3 Inputs</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>				
Cash	\$ 54,953	\$ 54,953	\$	\$
Short-Term Investments	330,361	330,361		
Investment Securities	307,149	184,201	122,948	
Loans, Net of Allowance for Loan Losses	1,597,648			1,485,813
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>				
Deposits	\$ 2,172,519	\$	\$ 2,173,331	\$
Short-Term Borrowings	43,372		42,021	
Subordinated Notes Payable	62,887		62,858	
Long-Term Borrowings	44,606		47,770	

All non-financial instruments were excluded from the above table. The disclosures also do not include certain intangible assets such as client relationships, deposit base intangibles and goodwill. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

**NOTE 9 ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATES**

*ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs.* ASU 2011-04 amends Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to converge the fair value measurement guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, changes certain principles in Topic 820 and requires additional fair value disclosures. ASU 2011-04 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2012, and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

*ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income.* ASU 2011-05 amends Topic 220, Comprehensive Income, to require that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present, on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement or statements where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity was eliminated. ASU 2011-03 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2012, however, certain provisions related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments have been deferred by ASU 2011-12 *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*, as further discussed below. In connection with the application of ASU 2011-05, the Company's financial statements now include one continuous statement of comprehensive income.

*ASU 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) - Testing Goodwill for Impairment.* ASU 2011-08 amends Topic 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other, to give entities the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. ASU 2011-08 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2012, and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

*ASU 2011-12 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05.* ASU 2011-12 defers changes in ASU No. 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments to allow the FASB time to re-deliberate whether to require presentation of such adjustments on the face of the financial statements to show the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-12 allows entities to continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before ASU No. 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 are not affected by ASU No. 2011-12. ASU 2011-12 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2012, and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	2012			2011			2010		
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First <sup>(1)</sup>	Fourth	Third	
<b>Summary of Operations:</b>									
Interest Income	\$ 22,437	\$ 23,130	\$ 23,912	\$ 24,891	\$ 25,467	\$ 25,189	\$ 26,831	\$ 27,576	
Interest Expense	1,372	1,469	1,515	1,791	2,208	2,203	2,473	2,792	
Net Interest Income	21,065	21,661	22,397	23,100	23,439	22,986	24,358	24,784	
Provision for Loan Losses	5,743	4,793	7,600	3,718	3,545	4,133	3,783	5,668	
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	15,322	16,868	14,797	19,382	19,894	18,853	20,575	19,449	
Noninterest Income	13,906	13,586	13,873	14,193	14,448	16,334	14,735	13,449	
Noninterest Expense	32,293	32,597	31,103	30,647	31,167	33,331	33,540	32,363	
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes	(3,065)	(2,143)	(2,433)	2,928	3,175	1,856	1,770	202	
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense	(1,339)	(981)	(1,898)	951	1,030	546	(148)	(199)	
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (1,726)	\$ (1,162)	\$ (535)	\$ 1,977	\$ 2,145	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,918	\$ 401	
Net Interest Income (FTE)	\$ 21,219	\$ 21,833	\$ 22,560	\$ 23,326	\$ 23,704	\$ 23,257	\$ 24,654	\$ 25,116	
<b>Per Common Share:</b>									
Net (Loss) Income Basic	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.02	
Net (Loss) Income Diluted	(0.10)	(0.07)	(0.03)	0.12	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.02	
Dividends Declared	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	
Diluted Book Value	14.48	14.60	14.68	15.20	15.20	15.13	15.15	15.25	
<b>Market Price:</b>									
High	8.73	9.91	11.11	11.18	13.12	13.80	14.19	14.24	
Low	6.35	7.32	9.43	9.81	9.94	11.87	11.56	10.76	
Close	7.37	7.45	9.55	10.38	10.26	12.68	12.60	12.14	
<b>Selected Average Balances:</b>									
Loans, Net	\$ 1,570,827	\$ 1,596,480	\$ 1,646,715	\$ 1,667,720	\$ 1,704,348	\$ 1,730,330	\$ 1,782,916	\$ 1,807,483	
Earning Assets	2,262,847	2,268,307	2,146,463	2,202,927	2,258,931	2,278,602	2,218,049	2,273,198	
Total Assets	2,624,417	2,636,907	2,509,915	2,563,251	2,618,287	2,643,017	2,576,793	2,626,758	
Deposits	2,135,653	2,161,388	2,032,975	2,061,913	2,107,301	2,125,379	2,115,867	2,172,165	
Shareowners' Equity	252,644	254,447	264,276	263,902	262,371	261,603	262,622	263,742	
<b>Common Equivalent Average Shares:</b>									
Basic	17,192	17,181	17,160	17,152	17,127	17,122	17,095	17,087	
Diluted	17,192	17,181	17,161	17,167	17,139	17,130	17,096	17,088	
<b>Performance Ratios:</b>									
Return on Average Assets	(0.26)%	(0.18)%	(0.08)%	0.31%	0.33%	0.20%	0.30%	0.06%	
Return on Average Equity	(2.75)	(1.84)	(0.80)	2.97	3.28	2.03	2.90	0.60	
Net Interest Margin (FTE)	3.77	3.87	4.17	4.20	4.21	4.14	4.41	4.38	
Noninterest Income as % of Operating Revenue	39.88	38.64	38.34	38.14	38.13	41.54	37.69	35.17	
Efficiency Ratio	90.88	91.73	85.08	81.40	81.41	83.30	83.75	82.08	
<b>Asset Quality:</b>									
Allowance for Loan Losses	\$ 29,929	\$ 31,217	\$ 31,035	\$ 29,658	\$ 31,080	\$ 33,873	\$ 35,436	\$ 37,720	
Allowance for Loan Losses to Loans	1.93%	1.98%	1.91%	1.79%	1.84%	1.98%	2.01%	2.10%	
Nonperforming Assets (NPA's)	132,829	136,826	137,623	114,592	122,092	129,318	123,637	125,376	
NPA's to Total Assets	5.02	5.14	5.21	4.54	4.70	4.86	4.72	4.86	
NPA's to Loans + OREO	8.23	8.36	8.14	6.67	6.98	7.31	6.81	6.77	
Allowance to Non-Performing Loans	40.03	39.65	41.37	55.54	50.89	45.80	53.94	50.86	
Net Charge-Offs to Average Loans	1.80	1.16	1.50	1.22	1.49	1.33	1.35	1.40	
<b>Capital Ratios:</b>									
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	14.17%	14.17%	13.96%	14.05%	13.83%	13.46%	13.24%	12.93%	
Total Capital Ratio	15.54	15.54	15.32	15.41	15.19	14.82	14.59	14.29	
Leverage Ratio	9.60	9.71	10.26	10.20	9.95	9.74	10.10	9.75	
Tangible Capital Ratio	6.40	6.42	6.51	7.19	6.96	6.73	6.82	6.98	

(1) Includes a \$2.6 million (net) pre-tax gain from sale of Visa shares \$3.2 million gain less \$0.6 million related swap liability.

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Management's discussion and analysis ( MD&A ) provides supplemental information, which sets forth the major factors that have affected our financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. The MD&A is divided into subsections entitled Business Overview, Financial Overview, Results of Operations, Financial Condition, Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Critical Accounting Policies. The following information should provide a better understanding of the major factors and trends that affect our earnings performance and financial condition, and how our performance during 2012 compares with prior years. Throughout this section, Capital City Bank Group, Inc., and subsidiaries, collectively, are referred to as CCBG, Company, we, us, or our.

In this MD&A, we present an operating efficiency ratio which is not calculated based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ), but that we believe provides important information regarding our results of operations. Our calculation of the operating efficiency ratio is computed by dividing noninterest expense less intangible amortization, by the sum of tax equivalent net interest income and noninterest income. Management uses this non-GAAP measure as part of its assessment of its performance in managing noninterest expenses. We believe that excluding intangible amortization and merger expenses in our calculations better reflect our periodic expenses and is more reflective of normalized operations.

Although we believe the above-mentioned non-GAAP financial measure enhances investors' understanding of our business and performance this non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered an alternative to GAAP. In addition, there are material limitations associated with the use of this non-GAAP financial measure such as the risks that readers of our financial statements may disagree as to the appropriateness of items included or excluded in this measure and that our measure may not be directly comparable to other companies that calculate this measure differently. Our management compensates for this limitation by providing a detailed reconciliation between GAAP information and the non-GAAP financial measure as detailed below.

Reconciliation of operating efficiency ratio to efficiency ratio:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Efficiency ratio	91.18%	92.03%	81.69%	91.60%	82.97%
Effect of intangible amortization expense	(0.30)%	(0.30)%	(0.28)%	(0.29)%	(0.60)%
Operating efficiency ratio	90.88%	91.73%	81.41%	91.31%	82.37%

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

### CAUTION CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this MD&A section, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. The words may, could, should, would, believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, target, goal, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements. Please see the Introductory Note and *Item 1A. Risk Factors* of our 2011 Report on Form 10-K, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q, and in our other filings made from time to time with the SEC after the date of this report.

However, other factors besides those listed in our Quarterly Report or in our Annual Report also could adversely affect our results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, except as required by applicable law.

### BUSINESS OVERVIEW

#### Our Business

We are a bank holding company headquartered in Tallahassee, Florida, and we are the parent of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Capital City Bank (the Bank or CCB). The Bank offers a broad array of products and services through a total of 70 full-service offices located in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. The Bank offers commercial and retail banking services, as well as trust and asset management, retail securities brokerage and data processing services.

Our profitability, like most financial institutions, is dependent to a large extent upon net interest income, which is the difference between the interest received on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, principally deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by the provision for loan losses, operating expenses such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and other operating expenses including income taxes, and noninterest income such as service charges on deposit accounts, asset management and trust fees, retail securities brokerage fees, mortgage banking fees, bank card fees, and data processing fees.

A detailed discussion regarding the economic conditions in our markets and our long-term strategic objectives is included as part of the MD&A section of our 2011 Form 10-K.



## FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

A summary overview of our financial performance is provided below.

### Results of Operations

- § Net loss of \$1.7 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share for the second quarter of 2012 compared to a net loss of \$1.2 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share in the first quarter of 2012, and net income of \$2.1 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, we realized a net loss of \$2.9 million, or \$0.17 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$3.5 million, or \$0.20 per diluted share, for the comparable period of 2011. Performance in 2011 reflects the sale of our Visa stock which resulted in a net pre-tax gain of \$2.6 million.
- § Total credit costs (loan loss provision plus other real estate owned ( OREO ) costs) were \$9.2 million, \$8.3 million, and \$6.6 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, and June 30, 2011, respectively. Total credit costs for the first half of 2012 were \$17.5 million compared to \$14.4 million for the same period of 2011.
- § Tax equivalent net interest income for the second quarter of 2012 was \$21.2 million compared to \$21.8 million for the first quarter of 2012 and \$23.7 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the first half of 2012, tax equivalent net interest income totaled \$43.1 million compared to \$47.0 million in 2011. The reduction from all prior periods was due to a reduction in loan income primarily attributable to declining loan balances and unfavorable asset repricing, partially offset by a reduction in interest expense and a lower level of foregone interest on loans.
- § Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$13.9 million, an increase of \$0.3 million, or 2.4%, over the first quarter of 2012 and a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 3.8%, from the second quarter of 2011. The increase/decrease compared to both prior periods was due to higher/lower gains from the sale of OREO properties. For the first six months of 2012, noninterest income totaled \$27.5 million, a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 10.7%, from the same period of 2011 attributable to a \$3.2 million gain from the sale of our Visa stock realized in 2011.
- § Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$32.3 million, a decrease of \$0.3 million, or 0.9%, from the first quarter of 2012 and an increase of \$1.1 million, or 3.6%, over the second quarter of 2011. The decrease from the first quarter of 2012 was primarily attributable to lower compensation expense. Higher OREO costs, professional fees, and miscellaneous expense drove the increase over the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, noninterest expense totaled \$64.9 million, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 0.6%, over the same period of 2011 primarily attributable to higher compensation expense of \$0.4 million.

### Financial Condition

- § Average earning assets were \$2.263 billion for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$116.4 million, or 5.4%, over the fourth quarter of 2011 reflective of a higher level of overnight funds driven by higher deposit balances, primarily public funds.
- § Nonperforming assets totaled \$132.8 million at June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$4.8 million from December 31, 2011 driven by a reduction in our OREO balance, reflecting continued progress in disposing of properties. Nonperforming assets represented 5.02% of total assets at June 30, 2012 compared to 5.21% at December 31, 2011.
- § As of June 30, 2012, we are well-capitalized with a risk based capital ratio of 15.54% and a tangible common equity ratio of 6.40% compared to 15.32% and 6.51%, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Net Income**

For the second quarter of 2012, we realized a net loss of \$1.7 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share, compared to a net loss of \$1.2 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2012, and net income of \$2.1 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, we realized a net loss of \$2.9 million, or \$0.17 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$3.5 million, or \$0.20 per diluted share for the same period in 2011.

Compared to the first quarter of 2012, performance reflects lower operating revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income) of \$0.3 million and a higher loan loss provision of \$0.9 million, partially offset by lower noninterest expense of \$0.3 million and income taxes of \$0.4 million.

Compared to the second quarter of 2011, the reduction in earnings was due to lower operating revenues of \$2.9 million, a higher loan loss provision of \$2.2 million, and an increase in noninterest expense of \$1.1 million, partially offset by lower income taxes of \$2.4 million.

The decrease in earnings for the first half of 2012 is attributable to lower operating revenues of \$7.0 million, a higher loan loss provision of \$2.9 million, and an increase in noninterest expense of \$0.3 million, partially offset by lower income taxes of \$3.8 million. Earnings for the first half of 2011 reflect the sale of our Visa Class B shares of stock which resulted in a net pre-tax gain of \$2.6 million (\$3.2 million pre-tax gain included in noninterest income and recognition of a \$0.6 million swap liability included in noninterest expense).

A condensed earnings summary of each major component of our financial performance is provided below:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Interest Income	\$ 22,437	\$ 23,130	\$ 25,467	\$ 45,567	\$ 50,656
Taxable equivalent Adjustments	154	172	265	326	536
Total Interest Income (FTE)	22,591	23,302	25,732	45,893	51,192
Interest Expense	1,372	1,469	2,028	2,841	4,231
Net Interest Income (FTE)	21,219	21,833	23,704	43,052	46,961
Provision for Loan Losses	5,743	4,793	3,545	10,536	7,678
Taxable Equivalent Adjustments	154	172	265	326	536
Net Interest Income After provision for Loan Losses	15,322	16,868	19,894	32,190	38,747
Noninterest Income	13,906	13,586	14,448	27,492	30,782
Noninterest Expense	32,293	32,597	31,167	64,890	64,498
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes	(3,065)	(2,143)	3,175	(5,208)	5,031
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense	(1,339)	(981)	1,030	(2,320)	1,576
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (1,726)	\$ (1,162)	\$ 2,145	\$ (2,888)	\$ 3,455
Basic Net (Loss) Income Per Share	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.20
Diluted Net (Loss) Income Per Share	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.20
Return on Average Equity	(2.75)%	(1.84)%	3.28%	(2.29)%	2.66%
Return on Average Assets	(0.26)%	(0.18)%	0.33%	(0.22)%	0.26%

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents our single largest source of earnings and is equal to interest income and fees generated by earning assets less interest expense paid on interest bearing liabilities. This information is provided on a taxable equivalent basis to reflect the tax-exempt status of income earned on certain loans and investments, the majority of which are state and local government debt obligations. We provide an analysis of our net interest income including average yields and rates in Table I on page 42.

Tax equivalent net interest income for the second quarter of 2012 was \$21.2 million compared to \$21.8 million for the first quarter of 2012 and \$23.7 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, tax equivalent net interest income totaled \$43.1 million compared to \$47.0 million for the same period of 2011.

The declines of \$0.6 million and \$2.5 million in tax equivalent net interest income from the first quarter of 2012 and second quarter of 2011, respectively, were due to a reduction in loan income primarily attributable to declining loan balances and continued unfavorable asset repricing, partially offset by a reduction in interest expense and a lower level of foregone interest on loans. The lower interest expense is primarily attributable to certificates of deposit and reflects both lower balances and favorable repricing.

Tax equivalent interest income for the second quarter of 2012 was \$22.6 million compared to \$23.3 million for the first quarter of 2012 and \$25.7 million for the second quarter of 2011. The decrease when compared to both periods is specifically attributable to both the shift in earning asset mix and lower yields. The declining loan portfolio has resulted in the higher yielding earning assets being replaced with lower yielding federal funds or investment securities. Additionally, low yields on new loan and investment production and loan portfolio repricing continue to unfavorably affect net interest income.

Interest expense for the second quarter of 2012 was \$1.4 million compared to \$1.5 million for the first quarter of 2012 and \$2.0 million for the second quarter in 2011. The lower cost of funds when compared to both periods was a result of continued rate reductions on all deposit products except savings accounts. The rate reductions on deposits reflect our response to a historically low interest rate environment and desire to continue our focus on core banking relationships.

The decline in the loan portfolio, coupled with the low rate environment continues to put pressure on our net interest income. The loan portfolio yield has been declining because the average rate on new loans is lower than the loans being paid off and the existing variable rate loans reprice lower. Lowering our cost of funds, to the extent we can, and continuing to shift the mix of our deposits will help to partially mitigate the unfavorable impact of weak loan demand and repricing, although the impact is expected to be minimal.

The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2012 was 3.77%, a decrease of 10 basis points from the first quarter of 2012 and a decline of 44 basis points from the second quarter of 2011. Year-to-date net interest margin of 3.82% declined 35 basis points from the comparable period in 2011. The decrease in the margin for all comparable periods is attributable to the shift in our earning asset mix and unfavorable asset repricing, partially offset by a lower average cost of funds.

As experienced since at least 2010, historically low interest rates, foregone interest, lower loan fees, unfavorable asset repricing without the flexibility to significantly adjust deposit rates, and core deposit growth (which has strengthened our liquidity position, but contributed to an unfavorable shift in our earning asset mix), have all placed pressure on our net interest margin. Our current strategy, as well as our historic strategy, is to not accept greater interest rate risk by reaching further out the curve for yield, particularly given the fact that short term rates are at historical lows. We continue to maintain short duration portfolios on both sides of the balance sheet and believe we are well positioned to respond to changing market conditions. As this strategy in the current environment has unfavorably impacted our net interest margin, over time this strategy has consistently resulted in our net interest margins significantly exceeding those in our peer group comparisons. Given the unfavorable asset repricing and low rate environment, we anticipate continued pressure on the margin for the remainder of 2012.

**Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2012 was \$5.7 million compared to \$4.8 million in the first quarter of 2012 and \$3.5 million for the second quarter of 2011. The increase over both periods was driven by higher loan loss experience and the associated impact on our general reserve needs. For the first six months of 2012, the loan loss provision totaled \$10.5 million compared to \$7.7 million for the same period in 2011 with the increase primarily attributable to an increase in impaired loans. Net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$7.0 million, or 1.80% (annualized), of average loans compared to \$4.6 million, or 1.16%, for the first quarter of 2012 and \$6.3 million, or 1.49%, for the second quarter of 2011. For the first half of 2012, net charge-offs totaled \$11.6 million, or 1.48% (annualized), of average loans compared to \$12.0 million, or 1.41%, for the same period of 2011. At quarter-end, the allowance for loan losses of \$29.9 million was 1.93% of outstanding loans (net of overdrafts) and provided coverage of 40% of nonperforming loans compared to 1.98% and 40%, respectively, at March 31, 2012, and 1.91% and 41%, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

Charge-off activity for the respective periods is set forth below:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
<b>CHARGE-OFFS</b>					
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 57	\$ 268	\$ 301	\$ 325	\$ 1,022
Real Estate - Construction	275		14	275	14
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	3,519	1,532	2,808	5,051	3,238
Real Estate - Residential	3,894	1,967	2,371	5,861	5,828
Real Estate - Home Equity	425	892	944	1,317	1,932
Consumer	550	732	606	1,282	1,226
Total Charge-offs	8,720	5,391	7,044	14,111	13,260
<b>RECOVERIES</b>					
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	83	67	43	150	106
Real Estate - Construction	27		5	27	14
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	42	138	115	180	127
Real Estate - Residential	969	163	113	1,132	173
Real Estate - Home Equity	116	18	57	134	93
Consumer	452	394	373	846	713
Total Recoveries	1,689	780	706	2,469	1,226
Net Charge-offs	\$ 7,031	\$ 4,611	\$ 6,338	\$ 11,642	\$ 12,034
Net Charge-offs (Annualized) as a percent of Average Loans Outstanding, Net of Unearned Interest	1.80%	1.16%	1.49%	1.48%	1.41%

**Noninterest Income**

Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$13.9 million, an increase of \$0.3 million, or 2.4%, over the first quarter of 2012 and a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 3.8%, from the second quarter of 2011. The increase over the first quarter of 2012 was driven primarily by higher retail brokerage fees of \$0.1 million and an increase in other income of \$0.2 million, primarily gains from the sale of OREO properties. Compared to the second quarter of 2011, the decrease primarily reflects a \$0.9 million reduction in other income attributable to a lower level of gains realized from the sale of OREO properties partially offset by higher mortgage banking fees of \$0.3 million and bank card fees of \$0.2 million.

For the first six months of 2012, noninterest income totaled \$27.5 million, a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 10.7%, from the same period of 2011 attributable to the Visa gain realized in the first quarter of 2011. Higher deposit fees, mortgage banking fees, and bank card fees partially offset by lower data processing fees and a reduction in other income, primarily lower gains from the sale of OREO properties, also contributed to the variance.

Noninterest income represented 39.88% of operating revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income) in the second quarter of 2012 compared to 38.64% in the first quarter of 2012 and 38.13% in the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, noninterest income represented 39.3% of operating revenues compared to 39.9% for the same period of 2011. The decrease for the first six months of 2012 compared to 2011 is attributable to the gain from the sale of our Visa stock in the 2011. In addition to the Visa gain, this metric has also been impacted by the lower level of net interest income.

The table below reflects the major components of noninterest income.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
<b>Noninterest Income:</b>					
Service Charges on Deposit Accounts	\$ 6,313	\$ 6,309	\$ 6,309	\$ 12,622	\$ 12,292
Data Processing Fees	680	675	764	1,355	1,738
Asset Management Fees	1,020	1,015	1,080	2,035	2,160
Retail Brokerage Fees	884	758	939	1,642	1,668
Mortgage Banking Fees	864	848	568	1,712	1,185
Interchange Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	1,580	1,526	1,443	3,106	2,803
ATM/Debit Card Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	1,204	1,245	1,115	2,449	2,251
Gain on Visa Stock					3,172
Other	1,361	1,210	2,230	2,571	3,513
<b>Total Noninterest Income</b>	<b>\$ 13,906</b>	<b>\$ 13,586</b>	<b>\$ 14,448</b>	<b>\$ 27,492</b>	<b>\$ 30,782</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Together referred to as *Bank Card Fees*

Significant components of noninterest income are discussed in more detail below.

*Service Charges on Deposit Accounts.* Deposit service charge fees for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$6.3 million, comparable to both the first quarter of 2012 and second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, deposit service charge fees totaled \$12.6 million, an increase of \$330,000, or 2.7%, over the comparable period in 2011 driven by a lower level of overdraft charge-offs.

*Data Processing Fees.* Fees from data processing services for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$680,000, comparable to the first quarter of 2012. Fees decreased by \$84,000, or 11.0%, compared to the second quarter of 2011, attributable to lower processing volume for our state government contract. For the first six months of 2012, fees totaled \$1.4 million, a decrease of \$383,000, or 22.0%, primarily due to a reduction in the number of banks that we provide processing services to as two of our user banks were acquired and discontinued service in mid 2011.

*Retail Brokerage Fees.* Fees from the sale of retail investment and insurance products totaled \$884,000 for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$126,000, or 16.6%, over the first quarter of 2012 and a decrease of \$55,000, or 5.9%, from the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, fees totaled \$1.6 million, a \$26,000 decrease from the comparable period of 2011. The change for each period reflects fluctuation in trading activity by our clients.

*Mortgage Banking Fees.* Mortgage banking fees totaled \$864,000 for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$15,000, or 1.8%, over the first quarter of 2012 and \$296,000, or 52.1%, over the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, fees totaled \$1.7 million, an increase

of \$528,000, or 44.5%, over the same period of 2011. The increase for all respective periods is attributable to increased home purchase activity in our markets and an increase in refinance activity due to the lower rate environment. The mix of refinance and home purchase new loan production for the first half of 2012 was 38% and 62%, respectively, compared to 30% and 70%, respectively, for the same period of 2011.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

*Asset Management Fees.* Fees from asset management activities totaled \$1.0 million for the second quarter of 2012, which is comparable to the first quarter of 2012, and a decrease of \$60,000, or 5.6%, from the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, fees totaled \$2.0 million, which is a decrease of \$125,000, or 5.8%, from the same period of 2011. The decrease from the second quarter of 2011 reflects fluctuation in asset values for accounts under discretionary management for which fees are based on the account value at quarter-end. The decline in fees for the six month period was driven by both lower asset values for managed accounts as well as a decline in estate management fees. At June 30, 2012, assets under management totaled \$668.4 million compared to \$660.6 million at December 31, 2011 and \$677.1 million at June 30, 2011.

*Bank Card Fees.* Bank Card Fees (including interchange fees and ATM/debit card fees) totaled \$2.8 million for the second quarter of 2012, which is comparable to the first quarter of 2012, and an increase of \$226,000, or 8.9%, over the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, fees totaled \$5.6 million, which represents an increase of \$501,000, or 9.9%, over the same period of 2011. The increase over both periods in 2011 reflects higher card utilization as well as growth in active cards due to an increase in the number of deposit accounts.

*Other.* Other income totaled \$1.4 million for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$151,000, or 12.5%, over the first quarter of 2012, and a decrease of \$869,000, or 39.0%, from the second quarter of 2011. The changes compared to both prior periods are attributable to higher or lower gains from the sale of OREO properties. For the first six months of 2012, other income totaled \$2.6 million, a decrease of \$4.1 million, from the same period of 2011. Compared to the first half of 2011, the decline in other income reflects the \$3.2 million gain realized from the sale of our Visa stock as well as a lower level of gains from the sale of OREO properties.

### **Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$32.3 million, a decrease of \$0.3 million, or 0.9%, from the first quarter of 2012 and an increase of \$1.1 million, or 3.6%, over the second quarter of 2011. The decrease compared to the first quarter of 2012 reflects a reduction in compensation expense of \$0.7 million partially offset by a \$0.4 million increase in the other expense category. The decline in compensation expense was primarily due to lower pension plan and unemployment tax expense. The increase in other expense reflects higher advertising costs and an increase in miscellaneous expense partially offset by lower professional fees. Compared to the second quarter of 2011, the increase was attributable to higher compensation expense of \$0.1 million and other expense of \$1.1 million. Higher pension plan expense drove the increase in compensation while the other expense category increased due to higher OREO costs, professional fees, and miscellaneous expense.

For the first six months of 2012, noninterest expense totaled \$64.9 million, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 0.6%, over the same period of 2011 primarily attributable to higher compensation expense of \$0.4 million and other expense of \$0.2 million partially offset by lower occupancy expense of \$0.2 million. The increase in compensation expense reflects higher expense for our pension plan partially offset by lower performance compensation. Higher professional fees and OREO costs partially offset by lower advertising costs, FDIC insurance fees, and intangible amortization drove the increase in the other expense category.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The table below reflects the major components of noninterest expense.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
<b>Noninterest Expense:</b>					
Salaries	\$ 12,296	\$ 12,742	\$ 12,509	\$ 25,038	\$ 25,445
Associate Benefits	3,821	4,101	3,491	7,922	7,132
Total Compensation	16,117	16,843	16,000	32,960	32,577
Premises	2,276	2,266	2,447	4,542	4,843
Equipment	2,245	2,201	2,117	4,446	4,343
Total Occupancy	4,521	4,467	4,564	8,988	9,186
Legal Fees	1,093	1,104	1,049	2,197	2,155
Professional Fees	1,184	1,321	910	2,504	1,755
Processing Services	1,016	963	939	1,979	1,889
Advertising	609	395	664	1,004	1,222
Travel and Entertainment	222	184	200	406	411
Printing and Supplies	282	289	284	571	632
Telephone	455	479	498	934	939
Postage	444	445	386	889	861
Insurance - Other	1,018	1,033	1,077	2,051	2,456
Intangible Amortization	107	108	107	215	460
Other Real Estate	3,460	3,513	3,033	6,973	6,710
Miscellaneous	1,765	1,453	1,456	3,219	3,245
Total Other	11,655	11,287	10,603	22,942	22,735
Total Noninterest Expense	\$ 32,293	\$ 32,597	\$ 31,167	\$ 64,890	\$ 64,498

Significant components of noninterest expense are discussed in more detail below.

*Compensation.* Compensation expense totaled \$16.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$726,000, or 4.3%, from the first quarter of 2012 and an increase of \$117,000, or 0.7%, over the second quarter of 2011. The decrease compared to the first quarter of 2012 reflects lower salaries of \$445,000 and associate benefits of \$280,000. The decrease in the salary expense category was primarily due to lower unemployment tax expense as a majority of this liability is paid in the first quarter. Associate benefit expense decreased due to lower pension plan expense. Compared to the second quarter of 2011, the increase was attributable to higher associate benefit expense related to our pension plan reflecting utilization of a lower discount rate in 2012 due to lower long-term bond rates.

For the first six months of 2012, compensation expense totaled \$33.0 million, an increase of \$383,000, or 1.2%, over the same period of 2011 driven by higher expense for associate benefits partially offset by lower salary expense. The increase in associate benefit expense reflects the aforementioned increase in the expense for our pension plan and the decrease in salaries was due to lower performance compensation.

*Occupancy.* Occupancy expense (including premises and equipment) totaled \$4.5 million for the second quarter of 2012, comparable to the first quarter of 2012 and second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, occupancy expense totaled \$9.0 million, a \$198,000, or 2.2%, decrease from the same period in 2011, attributable to lower building maintenance costs.

*Other.* Other noninterest expense totaled \$11.7 million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$11.3 million for the first quarter of 2012 and \$10.6 million for the second quarter of 2011. The \$368,000, or 3.3%, increase over the first quarter of 2012 was due to higher advertising costs of \$214,000 and miscellaneous expense of \$312,000 partially offset by lower professional fees of \$137,000. The increase in advertising costs reflects a higher level of promotional activities and the increase in miscellaneous expense was due to severance costs related to the closing of four banking offices and the outsourcing of our items processing function. Professional fees declined due to lower consulting fees and audit costs. The \$1.1 million, or 9.9%, increase over the second quarter of 2011 was driven by higher OREO costs of \$427,000, professional fees of \$274,000, and miscellaneous expense of \$309,000. The increase in OREO cost was due to a higher level of valuation adjustments for our OREO portfolio. Higher consulting fees drove the increase in professional fees. The increase in miscellaneous expense reflects the aforementioned severance costs.



## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

For the first six months of 2012, other noninterest expense totaled \$22.9 million, an increase of \$207,000, or 0.9%, over the same period of 2011 primarily attributable to higher professional fees of \$749,000 and OREO expense of \$263,000, partially offset by lower advertising expense of \$218,000, FDIC insurance fees of \$405,000, and intangible amortization expense of \$245,000. Professional fees increased due to higher audit fees and consulting fees. OREO expense increased due to higher carrying costs. Advertising expense declined due to improved cost controls over advertising for our free checking account products and a lower level of promotional activity. FDIC insurance fees declined due to a lower premium rate reflective of the revised rate structure implemented in mid-2011. The reduction in intangible amortization expense reflects the full amortization of certain core deposit intangibles from past acquisitions.

Our operating efficiency ratio (expressed as noninterest expense, excluding intangible amortization expense, as a percent of the sum of taxable-equivalent net interest income plus noninterest income) was 90.88% for the second quarter of 2012 compared to 91.73% for the first quarter of 2012 and 81.41% for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, this ratio was 91.31% compared to 82.37% for the comparable period of 2011. Lower noninterest expense drove the decrease in this ratio compared to the first quarter of 2012. The increase in this ratio over both prior periods in 2011 reflects a declining level of net interest income contribution to operating revenues as well as higher noninterest expense. The increase when compared to the first six months of 2011 also reflects the gain on sale of Visa stock realized in 2011. During 2012, we have continued to realize an elevated level of costs related to the management and resolution of nonperforming assets. We continue to review and evaluate opportunities to optimize our operations and reduce operating costs as well as better manage our discretionary expenses.

### Income Taxes

We realized an income tax benefit of \$1.3 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to a benefit of \$1.0 million for the first quarter of 2012 and income tax expense of \$1.0 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, we realized an income tax benefit of \$2.3 million compared to income tax expense of \$1.6 million for the same period in 2011. A lower level of book taxable income drove the variance compared to all prior periods.

### FINANCIAL CONDITION

Average assets totaled approximately \$2.624 billion for the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$12.5 million, or 0.5%, from the first quarter of 2012, and an increase of \$114.5 million, or 4.6%, from the fourth quarter of 2011. Average earning assets were \$2.263 billion for the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$5.5 million, or 0.2%, from the first quarter of 2012, and an increase of \$116.4 million, or 5.4%, over the fourth quarter of 2011. We discuss these variances in more detail below.

### Investment Securities

In the second quarter of 2012, our average investment portfolio decreased \$18.1 million, or 6.1%, from the first quarter of 2012 and decreased \$27.2 million, or 8.8%, from the fourth quarter of 2011. As a percentage of average earning assets, the investment portfolio represented 12.4% in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 13.2% in the prior quarter and 14.3% in the fourth quarter of 2011. The decrease in the average balance of the investment portfolio compared to the prior periods was primarily attributable to declines in U.S. Treasury and mortgage-backed securities, partially offset by increases to municipal bonds and U.S. Agencies. Deposits which require collateralization declined during the comparable periods which allowed for maturing U.S. Treasuries not to be replaced. When appropriate, we will continue to look to deploy a portion of the overnight funds position in the investment portfolio during the remainder of 2012.

The investment portfolio is a significant component of our operations and, as such, it functions as a key element of liquidity and asset/liability management. As of June 30, 2012, all securities are classified as available-for-sale, which offers management full flexibility in managing our liquidity and interest rate sensitivity without adversely impacting our regulatory capital levels. It is neither management's intent nor practice to participate in the trading of investment securities for the purpose of recognizing gains and therefore we do not maintain a trading portfolio. Securities in the available-for-sale portfolio are recorded at fair value with unrealized gains and losses associated with these securities recorded net of tax, in the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) component of shareholders' equity.

At June 30, 2012, the investment portfolio maintained a net pre-tax unrealized gain of \$1.0 million compared to \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The decrease in the unrealized gains for both periods resulted from declines in U.S. Treasuries, and to a lesser degree, mortgage backed securities. Yields increased slightly on the short-end of the Treasury curve during both periods, resulting in lower prices.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

At quarter end, there were 102 positions with unrealized losses totaling approximately \$0.2 million. The positions consisted of Ginnie Mae mortgage-backed securities (GNMA MBS), Small Business Administration (SBA) securities, a Federal Farm Credit Bank bond (FFCB), and municipal bonds. Both the GNMA and SBA securities carry the full faith and credit guarantee of the U.S. Government and float to the prime rate. The FFCB bond remains AAA rated by Moody's, and the municipal bonds carry a minimum rating of AA-. All positions with unrealized losses are not considered impaired, and are expected to mature at par. We also maintain a \$0.6 million unrealized loss on a preferred stock investment that maintained a zero book value as of June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011. No additional impairment has been recorded in 2012, but we continue to closely monitor the fair value of this security as the issuer of this security continues to experience negative operating trends.

The average maturity of the total portfolio at June 30, 2012 was 1.53 years compared to 1.41 years and 1.39 years for the March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 periods, respectively. The average life of the total portfolio in the second quarter of 2012 extended slightly compared to both periods as Government agency positions with an average life of 2.70 years were added during the quarter.

### Loans

Average loans declined (a portion of which is attributable to problem loan resolution) by \$25.7 million, or 1.6%, from the first quarter of 2012 and \$75.9 million, or 4.6%, from the fourth quarter of 2011. Loan balances continued to decline throughout the portfolio, as all loan categories have experienced declines with the reduction primarily in the commercial real estate and residential categories. Our core loan portfolio continues to be impacted by monthly amortization and payoffs that have outpaced our new loan production. New loan production continues to be impacted by weak loan demand attributable to the trend toward consumers and businesses deleveraging, the lack of consumer confidence, and a persistently sluggish economy.

Several new lending programs were introduced during the first half of 2012 to mitigate the impact that consumer and business deleveraging is having on our portfolio. These programs primarily used in our business and commercial real estate lending areas have had a positive impact, as the rate of decline has slowed during the quarter.

The resolution of problem loans (which has the effect of lowering the loan portfolio as loans are either charged off or transferred to other real estate OREO) also contributed to the overall decline. During the second quarter of 2012, loan charge-offs and loans transferred to OREO accounted for \$15.9 million, or 70%, of the net reduction in total loans of \$22.6 million from the first quarter of 2012. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2011, loan resolution accounted for \$25.3 million, or 35%, of the net reduction in loans of \$72.4 million. The problem loan resolutions and reductions in portfolio balances previously noted are based on as of balances, not averages.

Efforts to stimulate new loan growth remain ongoing and while we strive to identify opportunities to increase loans outstanding and enhance our loan portfolio's overall contribution to earnings, we will only do so by adhering to sound lending principles applied in a prudent and consistent manner. Thus, we will not relax our underwriting standards in order to achieve designated growth goals and, where appropriate, have adjusted our standards to reflect risks inherent in the current economic environment.

### Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets (nonaccrual loans and OREO) totaled \$132.8 million at the end of the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$136.8 million at the end of the first quarter of 2012 and \$137.6 million at the end of the fourth quarter of 2011. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$74.8 million, a decrease of \$3.9 million from the first quarter of 2012 and \$0.3 million from the fourth quarter of 2011, reflective of loan charge-offs and the migration of loans to OREO, which outpaced gross additions. Gross additions declined for the second straight quarter and represented the lowest quarterly amount thus far in this cycle. The balance of OREO totaled \$58.1 million at the end of the second quarter, comparable to the prior quarter and a \$4.5 million decrease from the fourth quarter of 2011. We continue to experience progress in our efforts to dispose of OREO by selling properties totaling \$13.1 million during the first half of the year. Nonperforming assets represented 5.02% of total assets at June 30, 2012 compared to 5.14% at March 31, 2012 and 5.21% at December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Nonaccruing Loans:</b>			
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 815	\$ 808	\$ 755
Real Estate - Construction	6,382	943	334
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	39,701	46,886	42,820
Real Estate - Residential	22,805	25,445	25,671
Real Estate - Home Equity	4,192	4,098	4,283
Consumer	875	546	1,160
Total Nonperforming Loans ( NPLs <sup>(1)</sup> )	\$ 74,770	\$ 78,726	\$ 75,023
Other Real Estate Owned	58,059	58,100	62,600
Total Nonperforming Assets ( NPAs )	\$ 132,829	\$ 136,826	\$ 137,623
<b>Past Due Loans</b>			
Past Due Loans 30 - 89 Days	\$ 16,695	\$ 9,193	\$ 19,425
Past Due Loans 90 Days or More (accruing)		25	224
Performing TDR s	38,734	37,373	37,675
Nonperforming TDR <sup>(3)</sup>	13,570	12,774	12,976
<b>Nonperforming Ratios</b>			
Nonperforming Loans/Loans	4.80%	4.99%	4.61%
Nonperforming Assets/Total Assets	5.02	5.14	5.21
Nonperforming Assets/Loans Plus OREO	8.23	8.36	8.14
Nonperforming Assets/Capital <sup>(2)</sup>	47.62	48.52	48.63
Allowance/Nonperforming Loans	40.03%	39.65%	41.37%

<sup>(1)</sup> Nonperforming TDR s are included in the Nonaccrual/NPL totals

<sup>(2)</sup> For computation of this percentage, Capital refers to shareowners equity plus the allowance for loan losses. Activity within our nonperforming asset portfolio is provided in the table below.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>NPA Beginning Balance:</b>	\$ 136,826	\$ 129,318	\$ 137,623	\$ 123,637
<b>Change in Nonaccrual Loans:</b>				
Beginning Balance	78,726	73,954	75,023	65,700
Additions	13,270	14,020	32,954	45,113
Charge-Offs	(7,258)	(6,436)	(11,981)	(12,602)
Transferred to OREO	(5,023)	(12,908)	(8,748)	(21,821)
Paid Off/Payments	(2,880)	(3,015)	(5,929)	(4,900)
Restored to Accrual	(2,065)	(4,539)	(6,549)	(10,414)
Ending Balance	74,770	61,076	74,770	61,076
<b>Change in OREO:</b>				
Beginning Balance	58,100	55,364	62,600	57,937
Additions	7,132	13,848	11,209	22,926
Valuation Write-downs	(1,554)	(946)	(2,240)	(2,124)
Sales	(5,190)	(7,154)	(13,080)	(17,626)
Other	(429)	(96)	(430)	(97)
Ending Balance	58,059	61,016	58,059	61,016
<b>NPA Net Change</b>	(3,997)	(7,226)	(4,794)	(1,545)
<b>NPA Ending Balance</b>	\$ 132,829	\$ 122,092	\$ 132,829	\$ 122,092

## Allowance for Loan Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to provide for the estimated loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Credit losses arise from borrowers' inability or unwillingness to repay, and from other risks inherent in the lending process, including collateral risk, operations risk, concentration risk and economic risk. All related risks of lending are considered when assessing the adequacy of the loan loss reserve. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes collection of the principal is unlikely. The allowance for loan losses is based on management's judgment of overall loan quality. This is a significant estimate based on a detailed analysis of the loan portfolio. The balance can and will change based on changes in the assessment of the loan portfolio's overall credit quality. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis.

The allowance for loan losses was \$29.9 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$31.2 million at March 31, 2012 and \$31.0 million at December 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses was 1.93% of outstanding loans and provided coverage of 40% of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2012 compared to 1.98% and 40%, respectively, at March 31, 2012 and 1.91% and 41%, respectively, at December 31, 2011. Compared to both prior periods, the allowance balance declined as net charge-offs slightly outpaced the loan loss provision reflecting lower loss content for newly identified impaired loans. It is management's opinion that the allowance at June 30, 2012 is adequate to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio at quarter-end.

## Deposits

Average total deposits were \$2.136 billion for the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$25.7 million, or 1.2%, from the first quarter of 2012 and an increase of \$102.7 million, or 5.1%, over the fourth quarter of 2011. The decrease in deposits when compared to the first quarter of 2012 resulted from lower public funds, certificates of deposit and noninterest bearing accounts partially offset by growth in regular savings and money market accounts. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2011, the increase was driven primarily by higher public fund balances, savings and noninterest bearing deposits. This was partially offset by a reduction of certificates of deposit. Although public funds are seasonal in nature they continue to represent a larger component of our deposit mix.

Our mix of deposits continues to change as higher cost certificates of deposit are replaced with lower rate non-maturity deposits and noninterest bearing demand accounts. Prudent pricing discipline will continue to be the key to managing our mix of deposits. Therefore, we do not attempt to compete with higher rate paying competitors for deposits.

During the second half of 2012, we may realize some attrition in noninterest bearing deposit balances due to the unlimited government guarantee on noninterest bearing accounts, which if not extended, is set to expire at year-end 2012. Our average noninterest bearing deposits are approximately 27.9% of our total deposits.

## MARKET RISK AND INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

### Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity

*Overview.* Market risk management arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices, and equity prices. We have risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk and do not participate in activities that give rise to significant market risk involving exchange rates, commodity prices, or equity prices. In asset and liability management activities, our policies are designed to minimize structural interest rate risk.

*Interest Rate Risk Management.* Our net income is largely dependent on net interest income. Net interest income is susceptible to interest rate risk to the degree that interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price on a different basis than interest-earning assets. When interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price more quickly than interest-earning assets in a given period, a significant increase in market rates of interest could adversely affect net interest income. Similarly, when interest-earning assets mature or re-price more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could result in a decrease in net interest income. Net interest income is also affected by changes in the portion of interest-earning assets that are funded by interest-bearing liabilities rather than by other sources of funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits and shareowners' equity.

We have established a comprehensive interest rate risk management policy, which is administered by management's Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO). The policy establishes limits of risk, which are quantitative measures of the percentage change in net interest income (a measure of net interest income at risk) and the fair value of equity capital (a measure of economic value of equity (EVE) at risk) resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates for maturities from one day to 30 years. We measure the potential adverse impacts that changing interest rates may have on our short-term earnings, long-term value, and liquidity by employing simulation analysis through the use of computer modeling. The simulation model captures optionality factors such as call features and interest rate caps and floors imbedded in investment and loan portfolio contracts. As with any method of gauging interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent in the interest rate modeling methodology used by us. When interest rates change, actual movements in different categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing

liabilities, loan prepayments, and withdrawals of time and other deposits, may deviate significantly from assumptions used in the model. Finally, the methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan clients' ability to service their debts, or the impact of rate changes on demand for loan, and deposit products.

## Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

We prepare a current base case and three alternative simulations, at least once per quarter, and report the analysis to the Board of Directors. In addition, more frequent forecasts may be produced when interest rates are particularly uncertain or when other business conditions so dictate.

Our interest rate risk management goal is to avoid unacceptable variations in net interest income and capital levels due to fluctuations in market rates. Management attempts to achieve this goal by balancing, within policy limits, the volume of floating-rate liabilities with a similar volume of floating-rate assets, by keeping the average maturity of fixed-rate asset and liability contracts reasonably matched, by maintaining our core deposits as a significant component of our total funding sources, and by adjusting pricing rates to market conditions on a continuing basis.

The balance sheet is subject to testing for interest rate shock possibilities to indicate the inherent interest rate risk. Average interest rates are shocked by plus or minus 100, 200, and 300 basis points ( bp ), although we may elect not to use particular scenarios that we determined are impractical in a current rate environment. It is management's goal to structure the balance sheet so that net interest earnings at risk over a 12-month period and the economic value of equity at risk do not exceed policy guidelines at the various interest rate shock levels.

We augment our interest rate shock analysis with alternative external interest rate scenarios on a quarterly basis. These alternative interest rate scenarios may include non-parallel rate ramps.

*Analysis.* Measures of net interest income at risk produced by simulation analysis are indicators of an institution's short-term performance in alternative rate environments. These measures are typically based upon a relatively brief period, usually one year. They do not necessarily indicate the long-term prospects or economic value of the institution.

### ESTIMATED CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME <sup>(1)</sup>

Changes in Interest Rates	+300 bp	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp
Policy Limit (±)	10.0%	7.5%	5.0%	5.0%
June 30, 2012	0.3%	2.1%	2.2%	-0.8%
March 31, 2012	0.1%	1.7%	2.1%	-0.6%

The net interest income at risk position improved for the second quarter of 2012, when compared to the first quarter of 2012, for all rising rate scenarios. Our largest exposure in the rising rate scenarios is at the up 300 bp level, with a measure of 0.3%, which is still within our policy limit of +/-10.0%. This is an improvement over the prior quarter reflecting higher levels of repricing assets, primarily overnight funds, coupled with a lower level of interest bearing non-maturity deposit account balances. All measures of net interest income at risk are within our prescribed policy limits.

The measures of equity value at risk indicate our ongoing economic value by considering the effects of changes in interest rates on all of our cash flows, and discounting the cash flows to estimate the present value of assets and liabilities. The difference between these discounted values of the assets and liabilities is the economic value of equity, which, in theory, approximates the fair value of our net assets.

### ESTIMATED CHANGES IN ECONOMIC VALUE OF EQUITY <sup>(1)</sup>

Changes in Interest Rates	+300 bp	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp
Policy Limit (±)	12.5%	10.0%	7.5%	7.5%
June 30, 2012	5.0%	7.9%	7.0%	-4.4%
March 31, 2012	3.9%	7.6%	7.1%	-4.7%

Our risk profile, as measured by EVE, improved for the second quarter of 2012 when compared to the first quarter of 2012 with the exception of the up 100 bp scenario, which reported a slight decline. In the rising rate scenarios, our largest exposure is at the up 300 bp scenario, with a measure of 5.0%, which is still within our policy limit of +/-12.5%. The variances from the prior quarter were attributable to changes in both Treasury and FHLB curves, reflecting declines in the market value of loans, offset by increases in the market values of deposits and FHLB borrowings. All measures of economic value of equity are within our prescribed policy limits.

(1) Down 200 and 300 bp scenarios have been excluded due to the current historically low interest rate environment.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Liquidity

In general terms, liquidity is a measurement of our ability to meet our cash needs. Our objective in managing our liquidity is to maintain our ability to meet loan commitments, purchase securities or repay deposits and other liabilities in accordance with their terms, without an adverse impact on our current or future earnings. Our liquidity strategy is guided by policies that are formulated and monitored by our ALCO and senior management, and which take into account the marketability of assets, the sources and stability of funding and the level of unfunded commitments. We regularly evaluate all of our various funding sources with an emphasis on accessibility, stability, reliability and cost-effectiveness. Our principal source of funding has been our client deposits, supplemented by our short-term and long-term borrowings, primarily from securities sold under repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased and FHLB borrowings. We believe that the cash generated from operations, our borrowing capacity and our access to capital resources are sufficient to meet our future operating capital and funding requirements.

As of June 30, 2012, we have the ability to generate \$630.4 million in additional liquidity through all of our available resources. In addition to primary borrowing outlets mentioned above, we also have the ability to generate liquidity by borrowing from the Federal Reserve Discount Window and through brokered deposits. We recognize the importance of maintaining liquidity and have developed a Contingency Liquidity Plan, which addresses various liquidity stress levels and our response and action based on the level of severity. We periodically test our credit facilities for access to the funds, but also understand that as the severity of the liquidity level increases that certain credit facilities may no longer be available. The liquidity available to us is considered sufficient to meet our ongoing needs.

We view our investment portfolio as a source of liquidity and have the option to pledge the portfolio as collateral for borrowings or deposits, and/or sell selected securities. The portfolio consists of debt issued by the U.S. Treasury, U.S. governmental and federal agencies, and municipal governments. The weighted average life of the portfolio is approximately 1.53 years and as of quarter-end had a net unrealized pre-tax gain of \$1.0 million.

Our average liquidity (defined as funds sold plus interest bearing deposits with other banks less funds purchased) was \$411.4 million during the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$373.0 million in the first quarter of 2012 and \$191.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. The higher overnight funds balance was primarily a result of the shift in earning asset mix, partially offset by the net decline in the deposit and borrowings portfolios. The shift in the mix of earning assets continued as the loan and investment portfolio declined when compared to the first quarter of 2012. Higher public fund balances were also a significant contributor to the increase when compared to the fourth quarter of 2011.

Capital expenditures are expected to approximate \$3.0 million over the next 12 months, which consist primarily of office remodeling, office equipment and furniture, and technology purchases. We believe that these capital expenditures will be funded with existing resources without impairing our ability to meet our on-going obligations.

### Borrowings

At June 30, 2012, advances from the FHLB consisted of \$45.8 million in outstanding debt consisting of 47 notes. During the first six months of 2012, the Bank made FHLB advance payments totaling approximately \$1.6 million, paid off two advances totaling approximately \$0.2 million and obtained two new FHLB advances totaling \$3.2 million. The FHLB notes are collateralized by a blanket floating lien on all of our 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate mortgage loans, and home equity mortgage loans.

We have issued two junior subordinated deferrable interest notes to our wholly-owned Delaware statutory trusts. The first note for \$30.9 million was issued to CCBG Capital Trust I in November 2004. The second note for \$32.0 million was issued to CCBG Capital Trust II in May 2005. The interest payment for the CCBG Capital Trust I borrowing is due quarterly and adjusts quarterly to a variable rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 1.90%. This note matures on December 31, 2034. The interest payment for the CCBG Capital Trust II borrowing is due quarterly and will adjust annually to a variable rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 1.80%. This note matures on June 15, 2035. The proceeds of these borrowings were used to partially fund acquisitions. Under the terms of each trust preferred securities note, in the event of default or if we elect to defer interest on the note, we may not, with certain exceptions, declare or pay dividends or make distributions on our capital stock or purchase or acquire any of our capital stock. As of February 2012, in consultation with the Federal Reserve, we elected to defer the interest payments on the notes. We will, however, continue the accrual of the interest on the notes in accordance with our contractual obligations.

In accordance with a regulatory agreement (discussed in further detail in our 2011 Form 10-K Holding Company Resolution ), CCBG must receive approval from the Federal Reserve prior to incurring new debt, refinancing existing debt, or making interest payments on its trust preferred securities.

## Capital

Equity capital was \$249.0 million as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$250.8 million as of March 31, 2012 and \$251.9 million as of December 31, 2011. For the same periods, our leverage ratio was 9.60%, 9.71%, and 10.26%, respectively, and our tangible capital ratio was 6.40%, 6.42%, and 6.51%, respectively. Our risk-adjusted capital ratio of 15.54% at June 30, 2012, exceeds the 10% threshold to be designated as well-capitalized under the risk-based regulatory guidelines.

During the first six months of 2012, shareowners' equity decreased by approximately \$2.9 million, or 2.3%, on an annualized basis. During this same period, shareowners' equity was negatively impacted by a net loss of \$2.9 million and a \$0.4 million decline in the net unrealized gain on securities. Shareowners' equity was positively impacted by the issuance of stock totaling \$0.3 million and stock compensation of \$0.1 million.

At June 30, 2012, our common stock had a book value of \$14.48 per diluted share compared to \$14.60 at March 31, 2012 and \$14.68 at December 31, 2011. Book value is impacted by changes in the amount of our net unrealized gain or loss on investment securities available-for-sale and changes to the amount of our unfunded pension liability both of which are recorded through other comprehensive income. At June 30, 2012, the net unrealized gain on investment securities available for sale was \$0.6 million and the amount of our unfunded pension liability was \$24.6 million.

State and federal regulations place certain restrictions on the payment of dividends by both CCBG and the Bank. Florida law and Federal regulations limit the amount of dividends that the Bank can pay annually to us. Pursuant to a regulatory agreement (discussed in further detail within our 2011 Form 10-K Federal Reserve Resolutions ), without prior approval, CCBG is prohibited from paying dividends to shareowners and CCB is prohibited from paying dividends to CCBG.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not currently engage in the use of derivative instruments to hedge interest rate risks. However, we are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our clients.

At June 30, 2012, we had \$296.6 million in commitments to extend credit and \$13.0 million in standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party. We use the same credit policies in establishing commitments and issuing letters of credit as we do for on-balance sheet instruments.

If commitments arising from these financial instruments continue to require funding at historical levels, management does not anticipate that such funding will adversely impact its ability to meet on-going obligations. In the event these commitments require funding in excess of historical levels, management believes current liquidity, advances available from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve, and investment security maturities provide a sufficient source of funds to meet these commitments.



## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2011 Form 10-K. The preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ) and reporting practices applicable to the banking industry requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and to disclose contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

We have identified accounting for (i) the allowance for loan losses, (ii) valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, and (iii) pension plans as our most critical accounting policies and estimates in that they are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results, and they require our subjective and complex judgment as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. These accounting policies, including the nature of the estimates and types of assumptions used, are described in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our 2011 Form 10-K.

*Goodwill.* Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of acquired businesses over the fair market value of their identifiable net assets. We perform an impairment review on an annual basis during the fourth quarter or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment testing requires management to make significant judgments and estimates relating to the fair value of its reporting unit. Significant changes to our estimates, when and if they occur, could result in a non-cash impairment charge and thus have a material impact on our operating results for any particular reporting period. A goodwill impairment charge would not adversely affect the calculation of our risk based and tangible capital ratios.

Because the book value of our equity exceeded our market capitalization as of June 30, 2012, we considered the guidelines set forth in ASC Topic 350 to discern whether further testing for potential impairment was needed. Based on this assessment, we performed an interim impairment test which consists of two steps. Step One compares the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value, Step Two is performed by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit's implied goodwill to the carrying value of goodwill. If the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the estimated fair value, an impairment charge is recorded equal to the excess. A more detailed discussion of the methodology and key assumptions utilized in this step process is included in Part II, Item 7, Critical Accounting Policies section of our 2011 Form 10-K.

The Step One test we performed indicated that the carrying amount (including goodwill) of our reporting unit exceeded its estimated fair value. The Step Two test indicated the estimated fair value of our reporting unit's implied goodwill exceeded its carrying amount by approximately 7%, therefore, we concluded that goodwill was not impaired as of June 30, 2012. Future circumstances and/or conditions may result in an impairment of our goodwill, which could have a material adverse affect on our results of operations in a future period. Such circumstances and/or conditions could include, but are not limited to, further decline in our stock price, revision to our internal financial forecasts, adverse changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities, and/or market information indicating a decline in the fair value of comparable financial institutions used to estimate the fair value of the Company. We will continue to evaluate goodwill as defined by ASC Topic 350.

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

**TABLE I**  
**AVERAGE BALANCES & INTEREST RATES**

(Taxable Equivalent Basis - Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30,						Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2012		2011		2012		2011		2012		2011	
Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
	Balances	Interest	Rate	Balances	Interest	Rate	Balances	Interest	Rate	Balances	Interest	Rate
<b>Assets:</b>												
Loans, Net of Unearned Interest <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$ 1,570,827	\$ 21,456	5.49%	\$ 1,704,348	\$ 24,465	5.76%	\$ 1,583,654	\$ 43,577	5.53%	\$ 1,717,267	\$ 48,566	5.76%
Taxable Investment Securities <sup>(2)</sup>	216,952	730	1.35	244,487	825	1.35	229,716	1,524	1.35	237,857	1,676	1.41
Tax-Exempt Investment Securities	63,715	161	1.01	60,963	297	1.95	60,014	323	1.08	67,558	634	1.88
Funds Sold	411,353	244	0.24	249,133	145	0.23	392,193	469	0.24	246,030	316	0.23
Total Earning Assets	2,262,847	22,591	4.01%	2,258,931	25,732	4.57%	2,265,577	45,893	4.07%	2,268,712	51,192	4.55%
Cash & Due From Banks	47,711			47,465			48,569			49,194		
Allowance For Loan Losses	(31,599)			(32,993)			(31,491)			(33,903)		
Other Assets	345,458			344,884			348,007			346,581		
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 2,624,417</b>			<b>\$ 2,618,287</b>			<b>\$ 2,630,662</b>			<b>\$ 2,630,584</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>												
NOW Accounts	\$ 809,172	\$ 167	0.08%	\$ 782,698	\$ 259	0.13%	\$ 816,289	\$ 359	0.09%	\$ 784,806	\$ 520	0.13%
Money Market Accounts	280,371	63	0.09	284,411	136	0.19	278,964	137	0.10	281,503	267	0.19
Savings Accounts	174,923	21	0.05	152,599	16	0.04	170,263	42	0.05	148,633	34	0.05
Other Time Deposits	274,497	305	0.45	338,723	672	0.80	279,314	661	0.48	349,589	1,520	0.88
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,538,963	556	0.15	1,558,431	1,083	0.28	1,544,830	1,199	0.16	1,564,531	2,341	0.30
Short-Term Borrowings	57,983	48	0.33	76,754	110	0.58	51,814	56	0.22	81,982	221	0.54
Subordinated Note Payable	62,887	372	2.34	62,887	343	2.16	62,887	754	2.37	62,887	683	2.16
Other Long-Term Borrowings	40,617	396	3.92	49,650	492	3.97	42,451	832	3.94	49,995	986	3.98
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	1,700,450	1,372	0.32%	1,747,722	2,028	0.47%	1,701,982	2,841	0.34%	1,759,395	4,231	0.48%
Noninterest Bearing Deposits	596,690			548,870			603,691			551,759		
Other Liabilities	74,633			59,324			71,444			57,440		
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>2,371,773</b>			<b>2,355,916</b>			<b>2,377,117</b>			<b>2,368,594</b>		
<b>TOTAL SHAREOWNERS EQUITY</b>	<b>252,644</b>			<b>262,371</b>			<b>253,545</b>			<b>261,990</b>		
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 2,624,417</b>			<b>\$ 2,618,287</b>			<b>\$ 2,630,662</b>			<b>\$ 2,630,584</b>		
Interest Rate Spread			3.69%			4.10%			3.73%			4.07%
Net Interest Income	\$ 21,219			\$ 23,704			\$ 43,052			\$ 46,961		
Net Interest Margin <sup>(3)</sup>			3.77%			4.21%			3.82%			4.17%

(1) Average balances include nonaccrual loans. Interest income for the periods in this table was \$393,000 and \$795,000, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 versus \$392,000 and \$758,000 for the comparable periods ended June 30, 2011.

(2) Interest income includes the effects of taxable equivalent adjustments using a 35% tax rate.

(3) Taxable equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets.

**Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

See Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, above, which is incorporated herein by reference. Management has determined that no additional disclosures are necessary to assess changes in information about market risk that have occurred since December 31, 2011.

**Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of June 30, 2012, the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer each concluded that as of June 30, 2012, the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we maintained effective disclosure controls and procedures.

**Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has reviewed our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). There have been no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are party to lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business. In management's opinion, there is no known pending litigation, the outcome of which would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2011 Form 10-K, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports. The risks described in our 2011 Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(A) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned Chief Financial Officer hereunto duly authorized.

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.  
(Registrant)

/s/ J. Kimbrough Davis  
J. Kimbrough Davis  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Mr. Davis is the Principal Financial Officer and has  
been duly authorized to sign on behalf of the  
Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2012

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
32.2	Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document