

BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/
Form 10-Q
November 02, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2012

or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number:
1-6523

Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter:

Bank of America Corporation

State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization:

Delaware

IRS Employer Identification Number:

56-0906609

Address of Principal Executive Offices:

Bank of America Corporate Center

100 N. Tryon Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28255

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(704) 386-5681

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one).

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
--	--	--	--

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Yes No

On October 31, 2012, there were 10,778,078,165 shares of Bank of America Corporation Common Stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

Bank of America Corporation
 September 30, 2012
 Form 10-Q

INDEX Page

Part I. Financial Information

<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income</u>	<u>154</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>155</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	<u>156</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity</u>	<u>158</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	<u>159</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>160</u>
<u>1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Principles</u>	<u>160</u>
<u>2 - Trading Account Assets and Liabilities</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>3 - Derivatives</u>	<u>162</u>
<u>4 - Securities</u>	<u>172</u>
<u>5 - Outstanding Loans and Leases</u>	<u>178</u>
<u>6 - Allowance for Credit Losses</u>	<u>197</u>
<u>7 - Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities</u>	<u>199</u>
<u>8 - Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees</u>	<u>209</u>
<u>9 - Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u>	<u>219</u>
<u>10 - Commitments and Contingencies</u>	<u>220</u>
<u>11 - Shareholders' Equity</u>	<u>226</u>
<u>12 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	<u>226</u>
<u>13 - Earnings Per Common Share</u>	<u>228</u>
<u>14 - Pension, Postretirement and Certain Compensation Plans</u>	<u>229</u>
<u>15 - Fair Value Measurements</u>	<u>231</u>
<u>16 - Fair Value Option</u>	<u>248</u>
<u>17 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments</u>	<u>250</u>
<u>18 - Mortgage Servicing Rights</u>	<u>253</u>
<u>19 - Business Segment Information</u>	<u>255</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Executive Summary</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Balance Sheet Overview</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Supplemental Financial Data</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Business Segment Operations</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Consumer & Business Banking</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Consumer Real Estate Services</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Global Banking</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Global Markets</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Global Wealth & Investment Management</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>All Other</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Regulatory Matters</u>	<u>69</u>

<u>Managing Risk</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Strategic Risk Management</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Capital Management</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Liquidity Risk</u>	<u>78</u>

Table of Contents

<u>Credit Risk Management</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Non-U.S. Portfolio</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>Provision for Credit Losses</u>	<u>129</u>
<u>Allowance for Credit Losses</u>	<u>130</u>
<u>Market Risk Management</u>	<u>135</u>
<u>Trading Risk Management</u>	<u>135</u>
<u>Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities</u>	<u>139</u>
<u>Mortgage Banking Risk Management</u>	<u>143</u>
<u>Compliance Risk Management</u>	<u>143</u>
<u>Operational Risk Management</u>	<u>144</u>
<u>Complex Accounting Estimates</u>	<u>145</u>
<u>Glossary</u>	<u>150</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>153</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>153</u>
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>	<u>260</u>
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>260</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>260</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>260</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>261</u>
<u>Signature</u>	<u>262</u>
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	<u>263</u>

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This report on Form 10-Q, the documents that it incorporates by reference and the documents into which it may be incorporated by reference may contain, and from time to time Bank of America Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, the Corporation) and its management may make certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements often use words such as "expects," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "targets," "intends," "plans," "goal" and other similar expressions and future or conditional verbs such as "will," "may," "might," "should," "would" and "could." The forward-looking statements may represent the current expectations, plans or forecasts of the Corporation regarding the Corporation's future results and revenues, and future business and economic conditions more generally, including statements concerning: the achievement of cost savings in certain noninterest expense categories as the Corporation continues to streamline workflows, simplify processes and align expenses with its overall strategic plan and operating principles; with regard to Phase 1 of Project New BAC, the Corporation expects to realize more than \$1 billion of cost savings in 2012 and \$5 billion of annualized cost savings by the fourth quarter of 2013 with the full impact realized in 2014; the Corporation expects that Phase 2 of Project New BAC will result in an additional \$3 billion of annualized cost savings by mid-2015; that during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the second quarter of 2013 the Corporation will redeem \$5.1 billion liquidation amount of trust preferred securities issued by various unconsolidated trusts, that redemption of these trust preferred securities will result in a pre-tax charge of approximately \$100 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, and pre-tax net interest income savings of approximately \$50 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and approximately \$300 million for 2013; that the Corporation may conduct additional redemptions, tender offers, exercises and other transactions in the future depending on prevailing market conditions, liquidity, regulatory and other factors; the expectation that the Corporation would record a charge to income tax expense of approximately \$400 million if the income tax rate were reduced to 22 percent by 2014 as suggested in U.K. Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance; the resolution of representations and warranties repurchase and other claims; that there will likely be additional requests for loan files in the future leading to repurchase claims; the final resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, including that ongoing costs incurred in connection with the BNY Mellon Settlement will be in line with current expectations; the final resolution of the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement; the estimates of liability and range of possible loss for various representations and warranties claims; the possibility that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for those exposures; the expectation that unresolved repurchase claims will continue to increase, including those from Fannie Mae and private-label securitization trustees and sponsors; the Corporation's expected response to repurchase requests for which it concludes that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist and the possibility of future settlement actions; that the expiration and mutual non-renewal of certain contractual delivery commitments and variances with Fannie Mae will not have a material impact on our CRES business, as the Corporation expects to rely on other sources of liquidity to actively extend mortgage credit to customers including continuing to deliver such products into Freddie Mac mortgage-backed securities pools; that there continues to be a backlog of foreclosure inventory in judicial states; the ability to resolve mortgage insurance rescission notices with the mortgage insurance companies before the expiration of the appeal period prescribed by the Fannie Mae announcement; the disposition and resolution of servicing matters; beliefs and expectations concerning the servicing National Mortgage Settlement, including expectations about the amounts of credits to be generated by various programs, the effects on annual interest income and the fair value of loans in the programs and whether loans modified under programs will be accounted for as troubled debt restructurings, and the likelihood that the Corporation will fail to meet commitments and be required to make additional cash payments, whether material or not; the impacts of foreclosure delays; that implementation of uniform servicing standards will incrementally increase costs associated with the servicing process, but it will not result in material delays or dislocation in the performance of mortgage servicing obligations, including the completion of foreclosures; the Corporation's belief that default-related servicing costs peaked during the third quarter of 2012 and the expectation that they will decline in the fourth quarter of 2012, with the decline accelerating in 2013; the

expectation that the Corporation will comply with the final Basel 3 rules when issued and effective; that, if the Corporation's analytical models for capital measurement under Basel 3 are not approved by the U.S. regulatory agencies, it would likely lead to an increase in the Corporation's risk-weighted assets, which in some cases could be significant; that the Market Risk Amendment and the Basel 3 Advanced Approach, if adopted as proposed, are expected to substantially increase the Corporation's capital requirements; the intention to build capital through retaining earnings, actively managing the Corporation's portfolios and implementing other capital-related initiatives, including focusing on reducing both higher risk-weighted assets and assets proposed to be deducted from capital under Basel 3; the expectations that the Corporation will be required to submit its 2013 capital plan in early January 2013, that it will be required to use stress scenario assumptions provided by the Federal Reserve in the fourth quarter, and that results will be received from the Federal Reserve in the first half of 2013; the Corporation's belief that it can quickly obtain cash for certain securities, even in stressed market conditions, through repurchase agreements or outright sales; the Corporation's liquidity risk management strategies; that funding trading activities in broker/dealer subsidiaries is more cost efficient and less sensitive to changes in credit ratings than unsecured financing; that VaR model results will be supplemented if risks associated with positions that are illiquid and/or unobservable are material; the cost and availability of unsecured funding; the Corporation's belief that a portion of structured liability obligations will remain outstanding beyond the earliest put or redemption date; the Corporation's anticipation that debt levels will continue to decline, both from maturities and liability management, through 2013; that, of the loans in the pay option portfolio at September 30, 2012 that have not already experienced a payment reset, one percent are expected to reset during the remainder of 2012 and 22 percent thereafter, and that eight percent are expected to prepay and 69 percent are expected to default prior to being reset, most of which were severely delinquent as of September 30, 2012; that the

Table of Contents

sale of the GWIM international wealth management business is not expected to have a significant impact on the Corporation's balance sheet, results of operations or capital ratios, and the expected timing of the closings of the transaction; effects of the ongoing debt crisis in Europe, including the expectation of continued volatility as long as challenges remain, the expectation that the Corporation will continue to support client activities in the region and that exposures may vary over time as the Corporation monitors the situation and manages its risk profile; that, absent unexpected deterioration in the economy, the Corporation expects that reductions in the allowance for loan and lease losses, excluding the valuation allowance for PCI loans, will continue in the near term, though at a slower pace than in 2011; and other matters relating to the Corporation and the securities that it may offer from time to time. The foregoing is not an exclusive list of all forward-looking statements the Corporation makes. These statements are not guarantees of future results or performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and are often beyond the Corporation's control. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, any of these forward-looking statements.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should consider the following uncertainties and risks, as well as the risks and uncertainties more fully discussed elsewhere in this report, under Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in any of the Corporation's subsequent Securities and Exchange Commission filings: the Corporation's resolution of differences with Fannie Mae regarding representations and warranties repurchase claims, including with respect to mortgage insurance rescissions, and foreclosure delays; the Corporation's ability to resolve representations and warranties claims made by monolines and private-label and other investors, including as a result of any adverse court rulings, and the chance that the Corporation could face related servicing, securities, fraud, indemnity or other claims from one or more of the monolines or private-label and other investors; if future representations and warranties losses occur in excess of the Corporation's recorded liability and estimated range of possible loss for its representations and warranties exposures; uncertainties about the financial stability of several countries in the EU, the increasing risk that those countries may default on their sovereign debt or exit the EU and related stresses on financial markets, the Euro and the EU and the Corporation's exposures to such risks, including direct, indirect and operational; the uncertainty regarding the timing and final substance of any capital or liquidity standards, including the final Basel 3 requirements and their implementation for U.S. banks through rulemaking by the Federal Reserve, including anticipated requirements to hold higher levels of regulatory capital, liquidity and meet higher regulatory capital ratios as a result of final Basel 3 or other capital or liquidity standards; the negative impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act on the Corporation's businesses and earnings, including as a result of additional regulatory interpretation and rulemaking and the success of the Corporation's actions to mitigate such impacts; the Corporation's satisfaction of its borrower assistance programs under the National Mortgage Settlement with federal agencies and state Attorneys General; adverse changes to the Corporation's credit ratings from the major credit rating agencies; estimates of the fair value of certain of the Corporation's assets and liabilities; unexpected claims, damages and fines resulting from pending or future litigation and regulatory proceedings; the Corporation's ability to fully realize the cost savings and other anticipated benefits from Project New BAC, including in accordance with currently anticipated timeframes; and other similar matters.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Corporation undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the date the forward-looking statement was made.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements referred to in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) are incorporated by reference into the MD&A. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation. Throughout the MD&A, the Corporation uses certain acronyms and abbreviations which are defined in the Glossary.

The Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 as supplemented by a Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2012 to reflect reclassified business segment information is referred to herein as the 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Business Overview

The Corporation is a Delaware corporation, a bank holding company and a financial holding company. When used in this report, “the Corporation” may refer to the Corporation individually, the Corporation and its subsidiaries, or certain of the Corporation’s subsidiaries or affiliates. Our principal executive offices are located in Charlotte, North Carolina. Through our banking and various nonbanking subsidiaries throughout the U.S. and in international markets, we provide a diversified range of banking and nonbanking financial services and products through five business segments: Consumer & Business Banking (CBB), Consumer Real Estate Services (CRES), Global Banking, Global Markets and Global Wealth & Investment Management (GWIM), with the remaining operations recorded in All Other. Effective January 1, 2012, the Corporation changed its basis of presentation from six to the above five segments. For more information on this realignment, see Business Segment Operations on page 32. At September 30, 2012, the Corporation had approximately \$2.2 trillion in assets and approximately 272,600 full-time equivalent employees.

As of September 30, 2012, we operated in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and more than 40 countries. Our retail banking footprint covers approximately 80 percent of the U.S. population and we serve more than 55 million consumer and small business relationships with approximately 5,500 banking centers, 16,300 ATMs, nationwide call centers, and leading online and mobile banking platforms. We offer industry-leading support to more than three million small business owners. We are a global leader in corporate and investment banking and trading across a broad range of asset classes serving corporations, governments, institutions and individuals around the world.

Table of Contents

Table 1 provides selected consolidated financial data for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, and at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 1
Selected Financial Data

(Dollars in millions, except per share information)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Income statement				
Revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis) ⁽¹⁾	\$20,657	\$28,702	\$65,344	\$69,280
Net income (loss)	340	6,232	3,456	(545)
Net income, excluding goodwill impairment charge ⁽²⁾	340	6,232	3,456	2,058
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share ⁽³⁾	0.00	0.56	0.22	(0.15)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share, excluding goodwill impairment charge ⁽²⁾	0.00	0.56	0.22	0.11
Dividends paid per common share	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03
Performance ratios				
Return on average assets	0.06	% 1.07	% 0.21	% n/m
Return on average assets, excluding goodwill impairment charge ⁽²⁾	0.06	1.07	0.21	0.12 %
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity ⁽¹⁾	0.84	17.03	2.89	n/m
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity, excluding goodwill impairment charge ^(1, 2)	0.84	17.03	2.89	1.83
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis) ⁽¹⁾	84.93	61.37	82.23	87.69
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis), excluding goodwill impairment charge ^(1, 2)	84.93	61.37	82.23	83.93
Asset quality				
Allowance for loan and lease losses at period end			\$26,233	\$35,082
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding at period end ⁽⁴⁾			2.96	% 3.81 %
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties at period end ⁽⁴⁾			\$24,925	\$29,059
Net charge-offs ⁽⁵⁾	\$4,122	\$5,086	11,804	16,779
Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases outstanding ^(4, 5)	1.86	% 2.17	% 1.77	% 2.41 %
Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases outstanding excluding purchased credit-impaired loans ⁽⁴⁾	1.93	2.25	1.83	2.50
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at period end to annualized net charge-offs ⁽⁵⁾	1.60	1.74	1.66	1.56
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at period end to annualized net charge-offs excluding purchased credit-impaired loans	1.17	1.33	1.21	1.20
			September 30	December 31
			2012	2011
Balance sheet				
Total loans and leases			\$893,035	\$926,200

Total assets	2,166,162	2,129,046		
Total deposits	1,063,307	1,033,041		
Total common shareholders' equity	219,838	211,704		
Total shareholders' equity	238,606	230,101		
Capital ratios				
Tier 1 common capital	11.41	% 9.86	%	
Tier 1 capital	13.64	12.40		
Total capital	17.16	16.75		
Tier 1 leverage	7.84	7.53		

(1) Fully taxable-equivalent (FTE) basis, return on average tangible shareholders' equity and the efficiency ratio are non-GAAP financial measures. Other companies may define or calculate these measures differently. For additional information on these measures and ratios, and a corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

(2) Net income, diluted earnings per common share, return on average assets, return on average tangible shareholders' equity and the efficiency ratio have been calculated excluding the impact of the goodwill impairment charge of \$2.6 billion in the second quarter of 2011, and accordingly, these are non-GAAP measures. For additional information on these measures and for a corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

(3) Due to a net loss applicable to common shareholders for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the impact of antidilutive equity instruments was excluded from diluted earnings (loss) per share and average diluted common shares.

(4) Balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. For additional exclusions from nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties, see Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity on page 106 and corresponding Table 45, and Nonperforming Commercial Loans, Leases and Foreclosed Properties Activity on page 118 and corresponding Table 54.

(5) Net charge-offs exclude \$1.7 billion of write-offs in the Countrywide home equity purchased credit-impaired portfolio for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. These write-offs decreased the purchased credit-impaired valuation allowance included as part of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

n/m = not meaningful

Table of Contents

Third Quarter 2012 Economic and Business Environment

In the U.S., the slow pace of economic growth experienced in Spring 2012 continued through the third quarter. Consumer spending grew slowly, as household deleveraging continued, vehicle sales remained firm and store sales rebounded. Employment rose moderately despite considerable domestic and foreign uncertainty and the unemployment rate ended the quarter at 7.8 percent. Business spending on equipment and software, as well as structures, sustained further weakening. The housing market continued its recent improvement with a sixth consecutive quarterly rise in residential building activity and a rise in home prices. Equity markets partially reversed losses from the previous quarter and ended the third quarter just below their high for the year. Stock prices benefited from an easing in the Eurozone crisis, with the European Central Bank announcing its willingness to intervene in sovereign debt markets under specified conditions, and the announcement in mid-September of further monetary easing by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve). Nevertheless, financial market uncertainty was elevated by recession and political anxieties in Europe, and the scheduled year-end expiration of income tax cuts, extended unemployment insurance, the temporary payroll tax cut and the steadily approaching deadline for automatic federal spending reductions agreed to in last year's debt ceiling bill (referred to as the "fiscal cliff") in the U.S. Consumer confidence ended the quarter slightly higher than a year earlier and business confidence reversed its early-year gains.

The Federal Reserve announced at its mid-September meeting that, while continuing its program of extending the average maturity of its portfolio by buying longer term U.S. Treasury securities and selling short-term holdings, it would commence a new program in which it would purchase \$40 billion per month in agency mortgage-backed securities until substantial labor market improvement was achieved subject to maintaining the Federal Reserve's price stability objective. In addition, the Federal Reserve modified its forward guidance on interest rates, anticipating that exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate would likely be warranted at least through mid-2015. This monetary easing helped push down longer term U.S. Treasury and secondary market mortgage yields. Concerns regarding federal tax and spending policies also continued ahead of the anticipated fiscal cliff.

Recent Events

Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement

On September 28, 2012, the Corporation announced an agreement, subject to the execution of a written settlement agreement and court approval, to settle a class action lawsuit brought in 2009 on behalf of investors who purchased or held Bank of America securities at the time we announced plans to acquire Merrill Lynch (the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement).

Under the terms of the proposed Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement, we will pay a total of \$2.4 billion and institute and/or continue certain corporate governance policies until January 1, 2015. The amount to be paid under the proposed Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement will be covered by litigation reserves at September 30, 2012. For additional information, see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Capital and Liquidity Related Matters

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we repurchased certain of our debt and trust preferred securities with an aggregate carrying value of \$6.0 billion resulting in a pre-tax charge of \$25 million.

On October 4, 2012, we announced that during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the second quarter of 2013, we will redeem \$5.1 billion liquidation amount of trust preferred securities issued by various unconsolidated trusts. We expect that redemption of these trust preferred securities will result in a pre-tax charge of approximately \$100 million in the

fourth quarter of 2012, and pre-tax net interest income savings of approximately \$50 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and approximately \$300 million for 2013.

We may conduct additional redemptions, tender offers, exercises and other transactions in the future depending on prevailing market conditions, liquidity, regulatory and other factors.

Weather Events

In the last few days in October, the mid-Atlantic and northeast regions of the U.S. experienced a major storm resulting in wide-spread flooding, power outages, transportation and telecommunication service interruptions and other impacts including but not limited to closures of the New York City based securities exchanges. Certain services have been restored and others will require longer periods of recovery time. Our operations in the affected areas have been impacted, including certain branch closures. We are continuing to support the needs of our clients and customers during this difficult time.

Table of Contents

Performance Overview

Summary results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are presented in Table 2. Certain selected items that affected pre-tax income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were the following: provision for credit losses of \$1.8 billion and \$6.0 billion which included reserve reductions of \$2.3 billion and \$5.8 billion, net gains of \$1.7 billion on repurchases of debt and trust preferred securities for the nine-month period, and \$339 million and \$1.5 billion of gains on sales of debt securities. These items were offset by negative fair value adjustments of \$1.3 billion and \$4.7 billion on structured liabilities related to improvement in our own credit spreads, debit valuation adjustment (DVA) losses on derivatives of \$583 million and \$2.2 billion, net of hedges, litigation expense of \$1.6 billion and \$3.3 billion, which included the incremental litigation reserves recorded during the third quarter of 2012 for the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement, and annual retirement-eligible incentive compensation costs of \$892 million recorded in the first quarter of 2012. In addition, the representations and warranties provision increased \$29 million to \$307 million for the three-month period and decreased \$14.3 billion to \$984 million for the nine-month period as the prior-year nine-month period included \$8.6 billion related to the agreement entered into with the Bank of New York Mellon (BNY Mellon Settlement) and \$6.7 billion related to other non-government-sponsored enterprise (GSE) exposures, and to a lesser extent, GSE exposures. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, income tax expense included a \$788 million charge to remeasure certain deferred tax assets due to decreases in the U.K. corporate tax rate compared to a similar charge of \$782 million for the same periods in 2011.

Table 2
Summary Income Statement

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net interest income (FTE basis) ⁽¹⁾	\$10,167	\$10,739	\$31,002	\$34,629
Noninterest income	10,490	17,963	34,342	34,651
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis) ⁽¹⁾	20,657	28,702	65,344	69,280
Provision for credit losses	1,774	3,407	5,965	10,476
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	2,603
All other noninterest expense	17,544	17,613	53,733	58,149
Income (loss) before income taxes	1,339	7,682	5,646	(1,948)
Income tax expense (benefit) (FTE basis) ⁽¹⁾	999	1,450	2,190	(1,403)
Net income (loss)	340	6,232	3,456	(545)
Preferred stock dividends	373	343	1,063	954
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$(33)	\$5,889	\$2,393	\$(1,499)
Per common share information				
Earnings (loss)	\$0.00	\$0.58	\$0.22	\$(0.15)
Diluted earnings (loss) ⁽²⁾	0.00	0.56	0.22	(0.15)

⁽¹⁾ FTE basis is a non-GAAP financial measure. For additional information on this measure and for a corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

Due to a net loss applicable to common shareholders for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the impact of antidilutive equity instruments was excluded from diluted earnings (loss) per share and average diluted common shares.

Table of Contents

Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent (FTE) basis decreased \$572 million to \$10.2 billion, and \$3.6 billion to \$31.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The decreases were primarily driven by lower consumer loan balances and yields. Lower trading-related net interest income also negatively impacted the results. These were partially offset by reductions in long-term debt balances and lower rates paid on deposits. The net interest yield on a FTE basis was 2.32 percent and 2.35 percent for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2.32 percent and 2.50 percent for the same periods in 2011.

Noninterest income decreased \$7.5 billion to \$10.5 billion, and \$309 million to \$34.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The most significant contributors to the decreases were negative fair value adjustments on structured liabilities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to positive fair value adjustments for the same periods in 2011, a decrease in equity investment income and net DVA losses. For the nine-month period, these were partially offset by a significantly lower representations and warranties provision and net gains on repurchases of certain debt and trust preferred securities in 2012. For additional information on the repurchases and exchanges, see Liquidity Risk on page 78.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$1.6 billion to \$1.8 billion, and \$4.5 billion to \$6.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The improvement was primarily in the home equity and residential mortgage loan portfolios due to improved portfolio trends and an improved home price outlook in our purchased credit-impaired (PCI) loan portfolios. The provision for credit losses was \$2.3 billion and \$5.8 billion lower than net charge-offs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, resulting in a reduction in the allowance for credit losses. This compared to reductions of \$1.7 billion and \$6.3 billion in the allowance for credit losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. For more information on the provision for credit losses, see Provision for Credit Losses on page 129.

Noninterest expense was relatively unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and decreased \$7.0 billion to \$53.7 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The decline for the nine-month period was driven by a decrease in other general operating expense primarily related to lower litigation expense and mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays, and a decrease in personnel expense. The decrease in noninterest expense for the nine-month period was also the result of a \$2.6 billion non-cash, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge recorded during the second quarter of 2011 as well as \$537 million of merger and restructuring charges recorded during the nine-month period in 2011.

Income tax expense on a FTE basis was \$999 million on pre-tax income of \$1.3 billion, and \$2.2 billion on pre-tax income of \$5.6 billion for three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to income tax expense of \$1.5 billion on a pre-tax income of \$7.7 billion and a benefit of \$1.4 billion on a pre-tax loss of \$1.9 billion for same periods in 2011. For more information, see Financial Highlights – Income Tax Expense on page 14.

Table of Contents

Segment Results

Table 3
Business Segment Results

	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	Total Revenue ⁽¹⁾		Net Income (Loss)		Total Revenue ⁽¹⁾		Net Income (Loss)	
(Dollars in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Consumer & Business Banking (CBB)	\$7,070	\$8,127	\$1,285	\$1,664	\$21,819	\$25,274	\$3,893	\$6,204
Consumer Real Estate Services (CRES)	3,096	2,822	(877)	(1,121)	8,291	(6,430)	(2,786)	(18,023)
Global Banking	4,147	3,951	1,295	1,206	12,882	13,311	4,292	4,709
Global Markets	3,106	3,294	(359)	(553)	10,664	12,980	900	1,753
Global Wealth & Investment Management (GWIM)	4,278	4,238	542	362	12,954	13,229	1,639	1,424
All Other	(1,040)	6,270	(1,546)	4,674	(1,266)	10,916	(4,482)	3,388
Total FTE basis	20,657	28,702	340	6,232	65,344	69,280	3,456	(545)
FTE adjustment	(229)	(249)	—	—	(670)	(714)	—	—
Total Consolidated	\$20,428	\$28,453	\$340	\$6,232	\$64,674	\$68,566	\$3,456	\$(545)

Total revenue is net of interest expense and is on a FTE basis which for consolidated revenue is a non-GAAP

⁽¹⁾ financial measure. For more information on this measure and for a corresponding reconciliation to a GAAP financial measure, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

The following discussion provides an overview of the results of our business segments and All Other for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. For additional information on these results, see Business Segment Operations on page 32.

CBB net income decreased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Revenue decreased in both periods driven by the impact of the Durbin Amendment, lower average loan balances, the continued low rate environment and the net impact of charges related to our consumer protection products. Revenue for the nine-month period also decreased driven by the net impact of portfolio sales. The provision for credit losses decreased in the three-month period due to improvements in delinquencies and bankruptcies and increased in the nine-month period as portfolio trends began to stabilize during 2012. Noninterest expense declined due to lower Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and operating expenses, partially offset by an increase in litigation expense in the nine-month period.

CRES net loss decreased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 primarily driven by an increase in noninterest income and lower provision for credit losses. Noninterest income increased for the three-month period driven by improved mortgage servicing rights (MSR) results, net of hedges, and increases in production revenue. Noninterest income increased in the nine-month period primarily because the prior-year period included provisions recorded in connection with the BNY Mellon Settlement. The provision for credit losses decreased in both periods driven by improved portfolio trends in the home equity portfolio. Noninterest expense increased in the three-month period due to an increase in default-related servicing costs and litigation expense. This was partially offset by lower production expenses and a reduction in mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays. Noninterest expense decreased in the nine-month period due to the absence of a goodwill impairment charge, a decline in litigation expense and lower mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays, partially offset by higher default-related servicing costs.

Table of Contents

Global Banking net income increased in the three months ended and decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Revenues for the three-month period increased primarily due to gains on fair value option loans compared to the same period in 2011. Revenues for the nine-month period decreased as a result of lower investment banking fees, lower net interest income as a result of spread compression and benefits from accretion on certain acquired portfolios in the year-ago period, partially offset by the impact of higher average loan and deposit balances and gains from certain legacy portfolios. The provision for credit losses increased in both periods primarily driven by stabilization in asset quality and core commercial loan growth in the portfolio. Noninterest expense decreased in both periods primarily due to lower personnel expense and operating costs.

Global Markets net loss decreased in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Excluding net DVA, net income increased primarily driven by higher sales and trading revenue, higher investment banking fees from an increase in capital markets underwriting activity and lower noninterest expense due to decreased personnel-related expenses and operational costs. Net income decreased in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Excluding net DVA, net income increased primarily driven by higher sales and trading revenue as well as lower personnel-related expenses, brokerage, clearing and exchange fees, and operational costs.

GWIM net income increased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 primarily due to lower noninterest expense driven by lower FDIC expense, lower support and personnel costs, and other expense reductions. Revenue increased in the three-month period due to higher all other income driven by market origination revenue and higher net interest income, partially offset by lower investment and brokerage services revenue. Revenue decreased in the nine-month period due to lower investment and brokerage services revenue resulting from lower transactional activity and lower net interest income. In addition, the provision for credit losses declined for the three- and nine-month periods due to lower delinquencies and improving portfolio trends within the residential mortgage portfolio.

All Other decreased to a net loss in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to net income in the same periods in 2011 primarily due to negative fair value adjustments on structured liabilities for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to positive fair value adjustments on structured liabilities for the same periods in 2011 and a decrease in equity investment income, partially offset by a reduction in the provision for credit losses and net gains resulting from the repurchase of certain debt and trust preferred securities in the nine-month period. In addition, for the three- and nine-month periods, the provision for credit losses decreased primarily due to continued improvement in credit quality in the residential mortgage portfolio and noninterest expense increased due to higher litigation expense related to the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement and other litigation.

Table of Contents

Financial Highlights

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a FTE basis decreased \$572 million to \$10.2 billion, and \$3.6 billion to \$31.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The decreases were primarily driven by lower consumer loan balances and yields. Lower trading-related net interest income also negatively impacted the results. These were partially offset by ongoing reductions in long-term debt balances and lower rates paid on deposits. The net interest yield on a FTE basis was 2.32 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. The net interest yield on a FTE basis decreased 15 basis points (bps) to 2.35 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 as the yield continued to be under pressure due to the aforementioned items and the low rate environment.

Noninterest Income

Table 4

Noninterest Income

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Card income	\$1,538	\$1,911	\$4,573	\$5,706
Service charges	1,934	2,068	5,780	6,112
Investment and brokerage services	2,781	3,022	8,504	9,132
Investment banking income	1,336	942	3,699	4,204
Equity investment income	238	1,446	1,371	4,133
Trading account profits	1,239	1,604	5,078	6,417
Mortgage banking income (loss)	2,019	1,617	5,290	(10,949)
Insurance income (loss)	(138)) 190	(71)) 1,203
Gains on sales of debt securities	339	737	1,491	2,182
Other income (loss)	(790)) 4,511	(1,321)) 6,729
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings on AFS debt securities	(6)) (85)) (52)) (218)
Total noninterest income	\$10,490	\$17,963	\$34,342	\$34,651

Noninterest income decreased \$7.5 billion to \$10.5 billion, and \$309 million to \$34.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The following highlights the significant changes.

Card income decreased \$373 million and \$1.1 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily driven by the implementation of interchange fee rules under the Durbin Amendment, which became effective on October 1, 2011.

Investment and brokerage services income decreased \$241 million to \$2.8 billion, and \$628 million to \$8.5 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily driven by lower transactional volumes.

Investment banking income increased \$394 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 driven by an increase in capital markets underwriting, partially offset by lower advisory fees. Investment banking income for the nine-month period decreased \$505 million primarily driven by lower advisory and equity underwriting fees, and an overall decline in global fee pools.

Equity investment income decreased \$1.2 billion and \$2.8 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The three-month period a year ago included a \$3.6 billion gain from the sale of a portion of our investment in China Construction Bank Corporation (CCB), partially offset by \$2.2 billion of net losses related to equity and strategic investments other than CCB. The nine-month period in 2011 also included an \$836 million CCB dividend, \$500 million of additional impairment write-downs on our merchant services joint venture and a \$377 million gain related to the sale of an equity investment.

Table of Contents

Trading account profits decreased \$365 million and \$1.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Net DVA losses on derivatives were \$583 million and \$2.2 billion in the current-year periods compared to net DVA gains of \$1.7 billion and \$1.5 billion for the same periods in 2011. Excluding net DVA, trading account profits increased \$1.9 billion and \$2.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 as credit markets improved and volatility declined.

Mortgage banking income increased \$402 million and \$16.2 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase for the three-month period was primarily driven by an increase in servicing income. The nine-month increase was driven by a \$14.3 billion decrease in the representations and warranties provision as the prior-year period included \$15.3 billion in provision related to the agreement to resolve nearly all legacy Countrywide Financial Corporation (Countrywide)-issued first-lien non-GSE residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) repurchase exposures, other non-GSE exposures, and to a lesser extent, GSE exposures.

Insurance income decreased \$328 million and \$1.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The three-month decrease was driven by provision in the current-year period related to payment protection insurance in the U.K. and the nine-month decrease was also due to the impact of the sale of Balboa Insurance Company's lender-placed insurance business (Balboa) in the second quarter of 2011.

Other income decreased \$5.3 billion and \$8.1 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily driven by negative fair value adjustments on our structured liabilities of \$1.3 billion and \$4.7 billion compared to positive fair value adjustments of \$4.5 billion and \$4.1 billion for the same periods in 2011. The nine months ended September 30, 2012 also included \$1.7 billion of gains related to debt repurchases and exchanges of trust preferred securities. The nine-month period in 2011 included a net gain of \$752 million on the sale of Balboa.

Provision for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses decreased \$1.6 billion to \$1.8 billion, and \$4.5 billion to \$6.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the provision for credit losses was \$2.3 billion and \$5.8 billion lower than net charge-offs, resulting in reductions in the allowance for credit losses compared to reductions of \$1.7 billion and \$6.3 billion in the allowance for credit losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The reduction in the allowance for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included \$435 million of reserves on the loans forgiven as a part of the National Mortgage Settlement. For more information on the National Mortgage Settlement, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management on page 86. The provision for credit losses related to the PCI loan portfolios was a benefit of \$166 million and an expense of \$327 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The provision for credit losses related to our consumer portfolio decreased \$1.9 billion to \$1.6 billion, and \$5.2 billion to \$6.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The improvement was primarily in the home equity and residential mortgage loan portfolios due to improved portfolio trends and an improved home price outlook in our PCI portfolios. This was partially offset by the impact of new regulatory guidance regarding the treatment of loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. The provision for credit losses related to our commercial portfolio, including the provision benefit for unfunded lending commitments, increased \$256 million to \$197 million, and \$706 million to \$11 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 due to stabilization in the credit quality of the core commercial portfolio.

Net charge-offs totaled \$4.1 billion, or 1.86 percent, and \$11.8 billion, or 1.77 percent of average loans and leases for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$5.1 billion, or 2.17 percent, and \$16.8 billion, or 2.41 percent, for the same periods in 2011. Included in net charge-offs in the three and nine months ended September

30, 2012 were \$478 million of charge-offs related to the impact of new regulatory guidance regarding the treatment of loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy and \$435 million of charge-offs related to loans forgiven as a part of the National Mortgage Settlement. The decrease in net charge-offs was primarily driven by fewer delinquent loans and lower bankruptcy filings across the U.S. credit card and unsecured consumer lending portfolios, as well as lower net charge-offs in the consumer real estate and core commercial portfolios in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. For more information on the provision for credit losses, see Provision for Credit Losses on page 129.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Expense

Table 5

Noninterest Expense

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Personnel	\$8,431	\$8,865	\$27,348	\$28,204
Occupancy	1,160	1,183	3,419	3,617
Equipment	561	616	1,718	1,815
Marketing	479	556	1,393	1,680
Professional fees	873	937	2,578	2,349
Amortization of intangibles	315	377	955	1,144
Data processing	640	626	2,188	1,964
Telecommunications	410	405	1,227	1,167
Other general operating	4,675	3,872	12,907	15,672
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	2,603
Merger and restructuring charges	—	176	—	537
Total noninterest expense	\$17,544	\$17,613	\$53,733	\$60,752

Noninterest expense was relatively unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as an increase in other general operating expense primarily related to costs associated with the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement and other litigation was offset by a decrease in personnel expense as the company continued to streamline processes and achieve cost savings. Noninterest expense decreased \$7.0 billion to \$53.7 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 with the decrease primarily driven by a \$2.8 billion decrease in other general operating expense primarily related to lower litigation expense and mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays and an \$856 million decrease in personnel expense as we continue to streamline processes and achieve cost savings. The nine months ended September 30, 2011 also included a \$2.6 billion non-cash non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge as well as \$537 million of merger and restructuring charges.

In connection with Project New BAC, we expect to continue to achieve cost savings in certain noninterest expense categories as we continue to further streamline workflows, simplify processes and align expenses with our overall strategic plan and operating principles. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we continued implementation of Phase 1 initiatives, completed Phase 2 evaluations and began implementation of certain Phase 2 initiatives. With regard to Phase 1, we expect to realize more than \$1 billion of cost savings in 2012 and \$5 billion of annualized cost savings by the fourth quarter of 2013 with the full impact realized in 2014. We expect that Phase 2 will result in an additional \$3 billion of annualized cost savings by mid-2015.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$770 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$1.2 billion for the same period in 2011 and resulted in an effective tax rate of 69.4 percent compared to 16.2 percent in the year-ago quarter. Income tax expense was \$1.5 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to an income tax benefit of \$2.1 billion for the same period in 2011 and resulted in an effective tax rate of 30.5 percent compared to 79.5 percent in the year-ago period.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily driven by the \$788 million impact of the U.K. corporate income tax rate reduction, as described in the next paragraph, partially offset by our recurring

tax preference items and by tax benefits related to certain non-U.S. jurisdictions, including an increase in our accumulated earnings presumed to be permanently reinvested offshore. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was driven by a \$619 million valuation allowance reduction, a \$593 million benefit for the recognition of certain deferred tax related assets and recurring tax preference items, partially offset by the \$782 million impact of the U.K. corporate income tax rate reduction that was enacted in July 2011. The effective tax rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were primarily driven by the same factors described in the three-month discussion above and, for the prior-year period, included the impact of the \$2.6 billion non-deductible goodwill impairment charge.

Table of Contents

On July 17, 2012, the U.K. 2012 Finance Bill was enacted, which reduced the U.K. corporate income tax rate by two percent to 23 percent. The first one percent reduction was effective on April 1, 2012 and the second reduction will be effective April 1, 2013. These reductions favorably affect income tax expense on future U.K. earnings, but also required us to remeasure our U.K. net deferred tax assets using the lower tax rates. If the corporate income tax rate is reduced to 22 percent by 2014 as suggested in U.K. Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance, we would record a charge to income tax expense of approximately \$400 million in the period of enactment.

Balance Sheet Overview

Table 6
Selected Balance Sheet Data

(Dollars in millions)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	Average Balance		Nine Months Ended	
			Three Months Ended September 30 2012	2011	September 30 2012	2011
Assets						
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	\$ 234,034	\$ 211,183	\$234,955	\$256,143	\$234,058	\$247,635
Trading account assets	211,090	169,319	177,075	180,438	177,846	195,931
Debt securities	345,847	311,416	340,773	344,327	336,939	338,512
Loans and leases	893,035	926,200	888,859	942,032	900,650	939,848
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(26,233)	(33,783)	(29,478)	(36,429)	(31,377)	(38,632)
All other assets	508,389	544,711	561,128	614,943	566,858	642,938
Total assets	\$ 2,166,162	\$ 2,129,046	\$2,173,312	\$2,301,454	\$2,184,974	\$2,326,232
Liabilities						
Deposits	\$ 1,063,307	\$ 1,033,041	\$ 1,049,697	\$ 1,051,320	\$ 1,037,610	\$ 1,036,905
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	273,900	214,864	287,142	261,830	274,395	281,476
Trading account liabilities	72,179	60,508	77,528	87,841	78,041	89,302
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	35,291	35,698	37,881	41,404	37,981	56,107
Long-term debt	286,534	372,265	291,684	420,273	329,320	431,902
All other liabilities	196,345	182,569	193,341	216,376	192,901	201,155
Total liabilities	1,927,556	1,898,945	1,937,273	2,079,044	1,950,248	2,096,847
Shareholders' equity	238,606	230,101	236,039	222,410	234,726	229,385
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,166,162	\$ 2,129,046	\$2,173,312	\$2,301,454	\$2,184,974	\$2,326,232

Period-end balance sheet amounts may vary from average balance sheet amounts due to liquidity and balance sheet management activities, primarily involving our portfolios of highly liquid assets, that are designed to ensure the adequacy of capital while enhancing our ability to manage liquidity requirements for the Corporation and our customers, and to position the balance sheet in accordance with the Corporation's risk appetite. The execution of these activities requires the use of balance sheet and capital-related limits including spot, average and risk-weighted asset limits, particularly within the market-making activities of our trading businesses. One of our key regulatory metrics, Tier 1 leverage ratio, is calculated based on adjusted quarterly average total assets.

Table of Contents

Assets

At September 30, 2012, total assets were approximately \$2.2 trillion, an increase of \$37.1 billion, or two percent, from December 31, 2011. This increase was driven by trading account assets due to increases in U.S. Treasuries, EMEA sovereign debt and hedges in leveraged credit trading; debt securities primarily driven by net purchases of agency mortgage-backed securities (MBS); and federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell to cover increases in client short positions, customer financing activities through the matched book and collateral requirements. These increases were partially offset by lower consumer loan balances due to continued run-off in targeted portfolios, lower card balances and asset sales, as well as lower cash and cash equivalents.

Average total assets decreased \$128.1 billion and \$141.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The decreases were driven by lower consumer loan balances as described above and the sale of strategic investments. The nine-month comparison to the same period in 2011 was also impacted by reduced trading account assets.

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

At September 30, 2012, total liabilities were approximately \$1.9 trillion, an increase of \$28.6 billion, or two percent, from December 31, 2011 primarily driven by an increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase due to funding of trading inventory resulting from customer demand and funding of higher trading account assets and securities, and an increase in deposits. Partially offsetting this increase were planned reductions in long-term debt.

Average total liabilities decreased \$141.8 billion and \$146.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. The decreases were primarily driven by planned reductions in long-term debt, lower short-term borrowings due to the Corporation's reduced use of commercial paper and master notes, and lower trading account liabilities. The three-month comparison to the same period in 2011 was also impacted by an increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase due to funding trading inventory resulting from customer demand and funding of trading account assets and securities, and matched book activity.

At September 30, 2012, shareholders' equity was \$238.6 billion, an increase of \$8.5 billion, or four percent, from December 31, 2011 due to earnings, an increase in unrealized gains on available-for-sale (AFS) debt securities in other comprehensive income (OCI), common stock issued under employee plans and exchanges of preferred and trust preferred securities, and a gain on curtailment of the Corporation's Qualified Pension Plans.

Average shareholders' equity increased \$13.6 billion and \$5.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 driven by earnings, common stock issued under employee plans, and the sale of preferred stock and related warrants to Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. in the third quarter of 2011. The nine-month increase was also impacted by exchanges of preferred and trust preferred securities and a gain on curtailment of the Corporation's Qualified Pension Plans. These increases were partially offset by lower unrealized gains on AFS debt securities in accumulated OCI in 2012.

Table of ContentsTable 7
Selected Quarterly Financial Data

(In millions, except per share information)	2012 Quarters			2011 Quarters	
	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third
Income statement					
Net interest income	\$9,938	\$9,548	\$10,846	\$10,701	\$10,490
Noninterest income	10,490	12,420	11,432	14,187	17,963
Total revenue, net of interest expense	20,428	21,968	22,278	24,888	28,453
Provision for credit losses	1,774	1,773	2,418	2,934	3,407
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	581	—
Merger and restructuring charges	—	—	—	101	176
All other noninterest expense ⁽¹⁾	17,544	17,048	19,141	18,840	17,437
Income before income taxes	1,110	3,147	719	2,432	7,433
Income tax expense	770	684	66	441	1,201
Net income	340	2,463	653	1,991	6,232
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	(33)	2,098	328	1,584	5,889
Average common shares issued and outstanding	10,776	10,776	10,651	10,281	10,116
Average diluted common shares issued and outstanding	10,776	11,556	10,762	11,125	10,464
Performance ratios					
Return on average assets	0.06	% 0.45	% 0.12	% 0.36	% 1.07
Four quarter trailing return on average assets ⁽²⁾	0.25	0.51	n/m	0.06	n/m
Return on average common shareholders' equity	n/m	3.89	0.62	3.00	11.40
Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity ⁽³⁾	n/m	5.95	0.95	4.72	18.30
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity ⁽³⁾	0.84	6.16	1.67	5.20	17.03
Total ending equity to total ending assets	11.02	10.92	10.66	10.81	10.37
Total average equity to total average assets	10.86	10.73	10.63	10.34	9.66
Dividend payout	n/m	5.60	34.97	6.60	1.73
Per common share data					
Earnings	\$0.00	\$0.19	\$0.03	\$0.15	\$0.58
Diluted earnings	0.00	0.19	0.03	0.15	0.56
Dividends paid	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Book value	20.40	20.16	19.83	20.09	20.80
Tangible book value ⁽³⁾	13.48	13.22	12.87	12.95	13.22
Market price per share of common stock					
Closing	\$8.83	\$8.18	\$9.57	\$5.56	\$6.12
High closing	9.55	9.68	9.93	7.35	11.09
Low closing	7.04	6.83	5.80	4.99	6.06
Market capitalization	\$95,163	\$88,155	\$103,123	\$58,580	\$62,023
Average balance sheet					
Total loans and leases	\$888,859	\$899,498	\$913,722	\$932,898	\$942,032
Total assets	2,173,312	2,194,563	2,187,174	2,207,567	2,301,454
Total deposits	1,049,697	1,032,888	1,030,112	1,032,531	1,051,320
Long-term debt	291,684	333,173	363,518	389,557	420,273
Common shareholders' equity	217,273	216,782	214,150	209,324	204,928
Total shareholders' equity	236,039	235,558	232,566	228,235	222,410
Asset quality ⁽⁴⁾					

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Allowance for credit losses ⁽⁵⁾	\$26,751	\$30,862	\$32,862	\$34,497	\$35,872	
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties ⁽⁶⁾	24,925	25,377	27,790	27,708	29,059	
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding ⁽⁶⁾	2.96	% 3.43	% 3.61	% 3.68	% 3.81	%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases ⁽⁶⁾	111	127	126	135	133	
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases excluding the PCI loan portfolio ⁽⁶⁾		90	91	101	101	
Amounts included in allowance that are excluded from nonperforming loans ⁽⁷⁾	\$13,978	\$16,327	\$17,006	\$17,490	\$18,317	
Allowance as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases excluding the amounts included in the allowance that are excluded from nonperforming loans ⁽⁷⁾	52	% 59	% 60	% 65	% 63	%
Net charge-offs ⁽⁸⁾	\$4,122	\$3,626	\$4,056	\$4,054	\$5,086	
Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases outstanding ^(6, 8)	1.86	% 1.64	% 1.80	% 1.74	% 2.17	%
Nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding ⁽⁶⁾	2.68	2.70	2.85	2.74	2.87	
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties as a percentage of total loans, leases and foreclosed properties ⁽⁶⁾	2.81	2.87	3.10	3.01	3.15	
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at period end to annualized net charge-offs ⁽⁸⁾	1.60	2.08	1.97	2.10	1.74	
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at period end to annualized net charge-offs, excluding the PCI loan portfolio	1.17	1.46	1.43	1.57	1.33	
Capital ratios (period end)						
Risk-based capital:						
Tier 1 common	11.41	% 11.24	% 10.78	% 9.86	% 8.65	%
Tier 1	13.64	13.80	13.37	12.40	11.48	
Total	17.16	17.51	17.49	16.75	15.86	
Tier 1 leverage	7.84	7.84	7.79	7.53	7.11	
Tangible equity ⁽³⁾	7.85	7.73	7.48	7.54	7.16	
Tangible common equity ⁽³⁾	6.95	6.83	6.58	6.64	6.25	

(1) Excludes merger and restructuring charges and goodwill impairment charges.

(2) Calculated as total net income for four consecutive quarters divided by annualized average assets for four consecutive quarters.

Tangible equity ratios and tangible book value per share of common stock are non-GAAP financial measures.

(3) Other companies may define or calculate these measures differently. For additional information on these ratios and for corresponding reconciliations to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19 and Table 9 on pages 20 through 21.

(4) For more information on the impact of the PCI loan portfolio on asset quality, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management on page 86.

(5) Includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

Balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. For additional exclusions from nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties, see Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity on page 106 and corresponding Table 45, and Nonperforming Commercial Loans, Leases and Foreclosed Properties Activity on page 118 and corresponding Table 54.

Amounts included in allowance that are excluded from nonperforming loans primarily include amounts allocated
(7) to the U.S. credit card and unsecured consumer lending portfolios in CBB, PCI loans and the non-U.S. credit card portfolio in All Other.

Net charge-offs exclude \$1.7 billion of write-offs in the Countrywide home equity PCI portfolio for the three
(8) months ended September 30, 2012. These write-offs decreased the PCI valuation allowance included as part of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

n/m = not meaningful

Table of Contents

Table 8
Selected Year-to-Date Financial Data

(In millions, except per share information)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011
Income statement		
Net interest income	\$30,332	\$33,915
Noninterest income	34,342	34,651
Total revenue, net of interest expense	64,674	68,566
Provision for credit losses	5,965	10,476
Goodwill impairment	—	2,603
Merger and restructuring charges	—	537
All other noninterest expense ⁽¹⁾	53,733	57,612
Income (loss) before income taxes	4,976	(2,662)
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,520	(2,117)
Net income (loss)	3,456	(545)
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	2,393	(1,499)
Average common shares issued and outstanding	10,735	10,096
Average diluted common shares issued and outstanding	10,827	10,096
Performance ratios		
Return on average assets	0.21	% n/m
Return on average common shareholders' equity	1.48	n/m
Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity ⁽²⁾	2.26	n/m
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity ⁽²⁾	2.89	n/m
Total ending equity to total ending assets	11.02	10.37 %
Total average equity to total average assets	10.74	9.86
Dividend payout	13.79	n/m
Per common share data		
Earnings (loss)	\$0.22	\$(0.15)
Diluted earnings (loss)	0.22	(0.15)
Dividends paid	0.03	0.03
Book value	20.40	20.80
Tangible book value ⁽²⁾	13.48	13.22
Market price per share of common stock		
Closing	\$8.83	\$6.12
High closing	9.93	15.25
Low closing	5.80	6.06
Market capitalization	\$95,163	\$62,023
Average balance sheet		
Total loans and leases	\$900,650	\$939,848
Total assets	2,184,974	2,326,232
Total deposits	1,037,610	1,036,905
Long-term debt	329,320	431,902
Common shareholders' equity	216,073	212,512
Total shareholders' equity	234,726	229,385
Asset quality ⁽³⁾		
Allowance for credit losses ⁽⁴⁾	\$26,751	\$35,872
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties ⁽⁵⁾	24,925	29,059
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding ⁽⁵⁾	2.96	% 3.81 %

Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases ⁽⁵⁾	111		133	
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases excluding the PCI loan portfolio ⁽⁵⁾	81		101	
Amounts included in allowance that are excluded from nonperforming loans ⁽⁶⁾	\$13,978		\$18,317	
Allowance as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases excluding the amounts included in the allowance that are excluded from nonperforming loans ⁽⁶⁾	52	%	63	%
Net charge-offs ⁽⁷⁾	\$11,804		\$16,779	
Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases outstanding ^(5, 7)	1.77	%	2.41	%
Nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding ⁽⁵⁾	2.68		2.87	
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties as a percentage of total loans, leases and foreclosed properties ⁽⁵⁾	2.81		3.15	
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at period end to annualized net charge-offs ⁽⁷⁾	1.66		1.56	
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at period end to annualized net charge-offs, excluding the PCI loan portfolio	1.21		1.20	

(1) Excludes merger and restructuring charges and goodwill impairment charges.

Tangible equity ratios and tangible book value per share of common stock are non-GAAP financial measures.

(2) Other companies may define or calculate these measures differently. For additional information on these ratios and for corresponding reconciliations to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19 and Table 9 on pages 20 through 21.

(3) For more information on the impact of the PCI loan portfolio on asset quality, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management on page 86.

(4) Includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

Balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. For additional exclusions from nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties, see Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity on page 106 and corresponding Table 45, and Nonperforming Commercial Loans, Leases and Foreclosed Properties Activity on page 118 and corresponding Table 54.

(6) Amounts included in allowance that are excluded from nonperforming loans primarily include amounts allocated to the U.S. credit card and unsecured consumer lending portfolios in CBB, PCI loans and the non-U.S. credit card portfolio in All Other.

(7) Net charge-offs exclude \$1.7 billion of write-offs in the Countrywide home equity PCI portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. These write-offs decreased the PCI valuation allowance included as part of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

n/m = not meaningful

Table of Contents

Supplemental Financial Data

We view net interest income and related ratios and analyses on a FTE basis, which when presented on a consolidated basis, are non-GAAP financial measures. We believe managing the business with net interest income on a FTE basis provides a more accurate picture of the interest margin for comparative purposes. To derive the FTE basis, net interest income is adjusted to reflect tax-exempt income on an equivalent before-tax basis with a corresponding increase in income tax expense. For purposes of this calculation, we use the federal statutory tax rate of 35 percent. This measure ensures comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources.

Certain performance measures including the efficiency ratio and net interest yield utilize net interest income (and thus total revenue) on a FTE basis. The efficiency ratio measures the costs expended to generate a dollar of revenue, and net interest yield measures the bps we earn over the cost of funds.

We also evaluate our business based on certain ratios that utilize tangible equity, a non-GAAP financial measure. Tangible equity represents an adjusted shareholders' equity or common shareholders' equity amount which has been reduced by goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities. These measures are used to evaluate our use of equity. In addition, profitability, relationship and investment models all use return on average tangible shareholders' equity (ROTE) as key measures to support our overall growth goals. These ratios are as follows:

Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity measures our earnings contribution as a percentage of adjusted common shareholders' equity. The tangible common equity ratio represents adjusted common shareholders' equity divided by total assets less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities.

ROTE measures our earnings contribution as a percentage of adjusted average total shareholders' equity. The tangible equity ratio represents adjusted total shareholders' equity divided by total assets less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities.

Tangible book value per common share represents adjusted ending common shareholders' equity divided by ending common shares outstanding.

The aforementioned supplemental data and performance measures are presented in Tables 7 and 8.

In addition, we evaluate our business segment results based on measures that utilize return on economic capital, a non-GAAP financial measure, including the following:

Return on average economic capital for the segments is calculated as net income, adjusted for cost of funds and earnings credits and certain expenses related to intangibles, divided by average economic capital.

Economic capital represents allocated equity less goodwill and a percentage of intangible assets (excluding MSRs).

Table of Contents

Certain of the information presented in Table 9 excludes the impact of a goodwill impairment charge of \$581 million recorded in the fourth quarter of 2011 and certain of the information presented in Table 10 excludes the impact of a goodwill impairment charge of \$2.6 billion recorded in the second quarter of 2011. Accordingly, these are non-GAAP financial measures. Tables 9, 10 and 11 provide reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures to GAAP financial measures. We believe the use of these non-GAAP financial measures provides additional clarity in assessing the results of the Corporation and our segments. Other companies may define or calculate these measures and ratios differently.

Table 9

Quarterly Supplemental Financial Data and Reconciliations to GAAP Financial Measures

(Dollars in millions, except per share information)	2012 Quarters			2011 Quarters	
	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third
Fully taxable-equivalent basis data					
Net interest income	\$10,167	\$9,782	\$11,053	\$10,959	\$10,739
Total revenue, net of interest expense	20,657	22,202	22,485	25,146	28,702
Net interest yield	2.32 %	2.21 %	2.51 %	2.45 %	2.32 %
Efficiency ratio	84.93	76.79	85.13	77.64	61.37

Performance ratios, excluding goodwill impairment charge

(1)	
Per common share information	
Earnings	\$0.21
Diluted earnings	0.20
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	75.33 %
Return on average assets	0.46
Four quarter trailing return on average assets ⁽²⁾	0.20
Return on average common shareholders' equity	4.10
Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity	6.46
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity	6.72

(1) Performance ratios are calculated excluding the impact of the goodwill impairment charge of \$581 million recorded during the fourth quarter of 2011.

(2) Calculated as total net income for four consecutive quarters divided by annualized average assets for four consecutive quarters.

Table of Contents

Table 9

Quarterly Supplemental Financial Data and Reconciliations to GAAP Financial Measures (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	2012 Quarters			2011 Quarters	
	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third
Reconciliation of net interest income to net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis					
Net interest income	\$9,938	\$9,548	\$10,846	\$10,701	\$10,490
Fully taxable-equivalent adjustment	229	234	207	258	249
Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis	\$10,167	\$9,782	\$11,053	\$10,959	\$10,739
Reconciliation of total revenue, net of interest expense to total revenue, net of interest expense on a fully taxable-equivalent basis					
Total revenue, net of interest expense	\$20,428	\$21,968	\$22,278	\$24,888	\$28,453
Fully taxable-equivalent adjustment	229	234	207	258	249
Total revenue, net of interest expense on a fully taxable-equivalent basis	\$20,657	\$22,202	\$22,485	\$25,146	\$28,702
Reconciliation of total noninterest expense to total noninterest expense, excluding goodwill impairment charge					
Total noninterest expense	\$17,544	\$17,048	\$19,141	\$19,522	\$17,613
Goodwill impairment charge	—	—	—	(581)	—
Total noninterest expense, excluding goodwill impairment charge	\$17,544	\$17,048	\$19,141	\$18,941	\$17,613
Reconciliation of income tax expense to income tax expense on a fully taxable-equivalent basis					
Income tax expense	\$770	\$684	\$66	\$441	\$1,201
Fully taxable-equivalent adjustment	229	234	207	258	249
Income tax expense on a fully taxable-equivalent basis	\$999	\$918	\$273	\$699	\$1,450
Reconciliation of net income to net income, excluding goodwill impairment charge					
Net income	\$340	\$2,463	\$653	\$1,991	\$6,232
Goodwill impairment charge	—	—	—	581	—
Net income, excluding goodwill impairment charge	\$340	\$2,463	\$653	\$2,572	\$6,232
Reconciliation of net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders to net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders, excluding goodwill impairment charge					
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$(33)	\$2,098	\$328	\$1,584	\$5,889
Goodwill impairment charge	—	—	—	581	—
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders, excluding goodwill impairment charge	\$(33)	\$2,098	\$328	\$2,165	\$5,889
Reconciliation of average common shareholders' equity to average tangible common shareholders' equity					

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Common shareholders' equity	\$217,273	\$216,782	\$214,150	\$209,324	\$204,928
Goodwill	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,967)	(70,647)	(71,070)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,194)	(7,533)	(7,869)	(8,566)	(9,005)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,556	2,626	2,700	2,775	2,852
Tangible common shareholders' equity	\$142,659	\$141,899	\$139,014	\$132,886	\$127,705
Reconciliation of average shareholders' equity to average tangible shareholders' equity					
Shareholders' equity	\$236,039	\$235,558	\$232,566	\$228,235	\$222,410
Goodwill	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,967)	(70,647)	(71,070)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,194)	(7,533)	(7,869)	(8,566)	(9,005)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,556	2,626	2,700	2,775	2,852
Tangible shareholders' equity	\$161,425	\$160,675	\$157,430	\$151,797	\$145,187
Reconciliation of period-end common shareholders' equity to period-end tangible common shareholders' equity					
Common shareholders' equity	\$219,838	\$217,213	\$213,711	\$211,704	\$210,772
Goodwill	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,967)	(70,832)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,030)	(7,335)	(7,696)	(8,021)	(8,764)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,494	2,559	2,628	2,702	2,777
Tangible common shareholders' equity	\$145,326	\$142,461	\$138,667	\$136,418	\$133,953
Reconciliation of period-end shareholders' equity to period-end tangible shareholders' equity					
Shareholders' equity	\$238,606	\$235,975	\$232,499	\$230,101	\$230,252
Goodwill	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,967)	(70,832)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,030)	(7,335)	(7,696)	(8,021)	(8,764)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,494	2,559	2,628	2,702	2,777
Tangible shareholders' equity	\$164,094	\$161,223	\$157,455	\$154,815	\$153,433
Reconciliation of period-end assets to period-end tangible assets					
Assets	\$2,166,162	\$2,160,854	\$2,181,449	\$2,129,046	\$2,219,628
Goodwill	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,976)	(69,967)	(70,832)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,030)	(7,335)	(7,696)	(8,021)	(8,764)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,494	2,559	2,628	2,702	2,777
Tangible assets	\$2,091,650	\$2,086,102	\$2,106,405	\$2,053,760	\$2,142,809

Table of Contents

Table 10

Year-to-Date Supplemental Financial Data and Reconciliations to GAAP Financial Measures

	Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2012		2011	
(Dollars in millions, except per share information)				
Fully taxable-equivalent basis data				
Net interest income	\$31,002		\$34,629	
Total revenue, net of interest expense	65,344		69,280	
Net interest yield	2.35	%	2.50	%
Efficiency ratio	82.23		87.69	
Performance ratios, excluding goodwill impairment charge ⁽¹⁾				
Per common share information				
Earnings	\$0.22		\$0.11	
Diluted earnings	0.22		0.11	
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	82.23	%	83.93	%
Return on average assets	0.21		0.12	
Return on average common shareholders' equity	1.48		0.70	
Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity	2.26		1.11	
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity	2.89		1.83	
Reconciliation of net interest income to net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis				
Net interest income	\$30,332		\$33,915	
Fully taxable-equivalent adjustment	670		714	
Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis	\$31,002		\$34,629	
Reconciliation of total revenue, net of interest expense to total revenue, net of interest expense on a fully taxable-equivalent basis				
Total revenue, net of interest expense	\$64,674		\$68,566	
Fully taxable-equivalent adjustment	670		714	
Total revenue, net of interest expense on a fully taxable-equivalent basis	\$65,344		\$69,280	
Reconciliation of total noninterest expense to total noninterest expense, excluding goodwill impairment charge				
Total noninterest expense	\$53,733		\$60,752	
Goodwill impairment charge	—		(2,603)
Total noninterest expense, excluding goodwill impairment charge	\$53,733		\$58,149	
Reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit) to income tax expense (benefit) on a fully taxable-equivalent basis				
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$1,520		\$(2,117)
Fully taxable-equivalent adjustment	670		714	
Income tax expense (benefit) on a fully taxable-equivalent basis	\$2,190		\$(1,403)
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to net income, excluding goodwill impairment charge				
Net income (loss)	\$3,456		\$(545)
Goodwill impairment charge	—		2,603	
Net income, excluding goodwill impairment charge	\$3,456		\$2,058	
Reconciliation of net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders to net income applicable to common shareholders, excluding goodwill impairment charge				
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$2,393		\$(1,499)
Goodwill impairment charge	—		2,603	
Net income applicable to common shareholders, excluding goodwill impairment charge	\$2,393		\$1,104	

Reconciliation of average common shareholders' equity to average tangible common shareholders' equity		
Common shareholders' equity	\$216,073	\$212,512
Goodwill	(69,973)	(72,903)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,531)	(9,386)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,627	2,939
Tangible common shareholders' equity	\$141,196	\$133,162
Reconciliation of average shareholders' equity to average tangible shareholders' equity		
Shareholders' equity	\$234,726	\$229,385
Goodwill	(69,973)	(72,903)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)	(7,531)	(9,386)
Related deferred tax liabilities	2,627	2,939
Tangible shareholders' equity	\$159,849	\$150,035

(1) Performance ratios have been calculated excluding the impact of the goodwill impairment charge of \$2.6 billion recorded during the second quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents

Table 11

Segment Supplemental Financial Data Reconciliations to GAAP Financial Measures

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Consumer & Business Banking				
Reported net income	\$1,285	\$1,664	\$3,893	\$6,204
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	3	6	10	15
Adjusted net income	\$1,288	\$1,670	\$3,903	\$6,219
Average allocated equity	\$53,982	\$52,381	\$53,462	\$52,875
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(30,447)	(30,600)	(30,485)	(30,650)
Average economic capital	\$23,535	\$21,781	\$22,977	\$22,225
Consumer Real Estate Services				
Reported net loss	\$(877)	\$(1,121)	\$(2,786)	\$(18,023)
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—
Goodwill impairment charge	—	—	—	2,603
Adjusted net loss	\$(877)	\$(1,121)	\$(2,786)	\$(15,420)
Average allocated equity	\$13,332	\$14,240	\$14,077	\$16,688
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles (excluding MSRs)	—	—	—	(1,804)
Average economic capital	\$13,332	\$14,240	\$14,077	\$14,884
Global Banking				
Reported net income	\$1,295	\$1,206	\$4,292	\$4,709
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	1	2	3	5
Adjusted net income	\$1,296	\$1,208	\$4,295	\$4,714
Average allocated equity	\$46,223	\$47,682	\$45,967	\$47,820
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(24,852)	(24,724)	(24,856)	(24,529)
Average economic capital	\$21,371	\$22,958	\$21,111	\$23,291
Global Markets				
Reported net income (loss)	\$(359)	\$(553)	\$900	\$1,753
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	2	3	7	9
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$(357)	\$(550)	\$907	\$1,762
Average allocated equity	\$17,068	\$21,609	\$17,504	\$23,636
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(4,651)	(4,655)	(4,636)	(4,616)
Average economic capital	\$12,417	\$16,954	\$12,868	\$19,020
Global Wealth and Investment Management				
Reported net income	\$542	\$362	\$1,639	\$1,424
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	6	7	18	23
Adjusted net income	\$548	\$369	\$1,657	\$1,447

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Average allocated equity	\$18,871	\$17,826	\$18,027	\$17,772
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(10,600)	(10,691)	(10,620)	(10,708)
Average economic capital	\$8,271	\$7,135	\$7,407	\$7,064

⁽¹⁾ Represents cost of funds, earnings credit and certain expenses related to intangibles.

Table of Contents

Table 11

Segment Supplemental Financial Data Reconciliations to GAAP Financial Measures (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Consumer & Business Banking				
Deposits				
Reported net income	\$207	\$280	\$702	\$1,063
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	—	1	1	2
Adjusted net income	\$207	\$281	\$703	\$1,065
Average allocated equity	\$25,047	\$23,819	\$24,078	\$23,692
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(17,920)	(17,947)	(17,926)	(17,952)
Average economic capital	\$7,127	\$5,872	\$6,152	\$5,740
Card Services				
Reported net income	\$994	\$1,267	\$2,962	\$4,783
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	3	5	9	13
Adjusted net income	\$997	\$1,272	\$2,971	\$4,796
Average allocated equity	\$20,463	\$20,755	\$20,553	\$21,302
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(10,429)	(10,561)	(10,461)	(10,603)
Average economic capital	\$10,034	\$10,194	\$10,092	\$10,699
Business Banking				
Reported net income	\$84	\$117	\$229	\$358
Adjustment related to intangibles ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—
Adjusted net income	\$84	\$117	\$229	\$358
Average allocated equity	\$8,472	\$7,807	\$8,831	\$7,881
Adjustment related to goodwill and a percentage of intangibles	(2,098)	(2,092)	(2,098)	(2,095)
Average economic capital	\$6,374	\$5,715	\$6,733	\$5,786

⁽¹⁾ For footnote see page 23.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income Excluding Trading-related Net Interest Income

We manage net interest income on a FTE basis and excluding the impact of trading-related activities. As discussed in Global Markets on page 49, we evaluate our sales and trading results and strategies on a total market-based revenue approach by combining net interest income and noninterest income for Global Markets. An analysis of net interest income, average earning assets and net interest yield on earning assets, all of which adjust for the impact of trading-related net interest income from reported net interest income on a FTE basis, is shown below. We believe the use of this non-GAAP presentation in Table 12 provides additional clarity in assessing our results.

Table 12

Net Interest Income Excluding Trading-related Net Interest Income

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
	September 30		September 30		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Net interest income (FTE basis)					
As reported ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,167	\$ 10,739	\$ 31,002	\$ 34,629	
Impact of trading-related net interest income ⁽²⁾	(847)	(929)	(2,296)	(2,824)	
Net interest income excluding trading-related net interest income ⁽³⁾	\$ 9,320	\$ 9,810	\$ 28,706	\$ 31,805	
Average earning assets					
As reported	\$ 1,750,275	\$ 1,841,135	\$ 1,763,600	\$ 1,851,736	
Impact of trading-related earning assets ⁽²⁾	(446,934)	(445,431)	(438,640)	(456,102)	
Average earning assets excluding trading-related earning assets ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,303,341	\$ 1,395,704	\$ 1,324,960	\$ 1,395,634	
Net interest yield contribution (FTE basis) ⁽⁴⁾					
As reported ⁽¹⁾	2.32	% 2.32	% 2.35	% 2.50	%
Impact of trading-related activities ⁽²⁾	0.53	0.48	0.54	0.54	
Net interest yield on earning assets excluding trading-related activities ⁽³⁾	2.85	% 2.80	% 2.89	% 3.04	%

Net interest income and net interest yield include fees earned on overnight deposits placed with the Federal

⁽¹⁾ Reserve and, beginning in the third quarter of 2012, deposits, primarily overnight, placed with certain foreign central banks of \$48 million and \$147 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$38 million and \$150 million for the same periods in 2011.

⁽²⁾ Represents the impact of trading-related amounts included in Global Markets.

⁽³⁾ Represents a non-GAAP financial measure.

⁽⁴⁾ Calculated on an annualized basis.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, net interest income excluding trading-related net interest income decreased \$490 million to \$9.3 billion, and \$3.1 billion to \$28.7 billion compared to the same periods in 2011. The declines were primarily driven by lower consumer loan balances and yields, partially offset by reductions in long-term debt balances and lower rates paid on deposits. The three-month comparison also reflects lower hedge ineffectiveness in the third quarter of 2012.

Average earning assets excluding trading-related earning assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased \$92.4 billion to \$1,303.3 billion, and \$70.7 billion to \$1,325.0 billion compared to the same periods in 2011. The decreases were due to declines in consumer loans, securities purchased under agreement to resell, time deposits placed and loans held-for-sale (LHFS).

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, net interest yield on earning assets excluding trading-related activities increased five bps to 2.85 percent and decreased 15 bps to 2.89 percent compared to the same periods in the prior year. The three-month increase was primarily due to lower hedge ineffectiveness and the nine-month decrease was primarily due to the factors noted above for net interest income excluding trading-related net interest income. These impacts include a significant flattening of the yield curve driven by lower long-term rates compared to the same periods in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Table 13

Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis

(Dollars in millions)	Third Quarter 2012			Second Quarter 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Earning assets						
Time deposits placed and other short-term investments ⁽¹⁾	\$15,849	\$58	1.47 %	\$27,476	\$64	0.94 %
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	234,955	353	0.60	234,148	360	0.62
Trading account assets	177,075	1,243	2.80	180,694	1,302	2.89
Debt securities ⁽²⁾	340,773	2,036	2.39	342,244	1,907	2.23
Loans and leases ⁽³⁾ :						
Residential mortgage ⁽⁴⁾	250,505	2,317	3.70	255,349	2,462	3.86
Home equity	116,184	1,097	3.77	119,657	1,090	3.66
Discontinued real estate	10,956	95	3.45	11,144	94	3.36
U.S. credit card	93,292	2,353	10.04	95,018	2,356	9.97
Non-U.S. credit card	13,329	385	11.48	13,641	396	11.68
Direct/Indirect consumer ⁽⁵⁾	82,635	704	3.39	84,198	733	3.50
Other consumer ⁽⁶⁾	2,654	40	6.03	2,565	41	6.41
Total consumer	569,555	6,991	4.89	581,572	7,172	4.95
U.S. commercial	201,072	1,752	3.47	199,644	1,742	3.51
Commercial real estate ⁽⁷⁾	36,929	329	3.54	37,627	323	3.46
Commercial lease financing	21,545	202	3.75	21,446	216	4.02
Non-U.S. commercial	59,758	401	2.67	59,209	369	2.50
Total commercial	319,304	2,684	3.35	317,926	2,650	3.35
Total loans and leases	888,859	9,675	4.34	899,498	9,822	4.38
Other earning assets	92,764	792	3.40	88,508	719	3.26
Total earning assets ⁽⁸⁾	1,750,275	14,157	3.22	1,772,568	14,174	3.21
Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾	122,716	48		116,025	52	
Other assets, less allowance for loan and lease losses	300,321			305,970		
Total assets	\$2,173,312			\$2,194,563		

For this presentation, fees earned on overnight deposits placed with the Federal Reserve are included in the cash and cash equivalents line, consistent with the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet presentation of these deposits. In addition, beginning in the third quarter of 2012, fees earned on deposits, primarily overnight, placed with certain foreign central banks, which are included in the time deposits placed and other short-term investments line in prior periods, have been included in the cash and cash equivalents line. Net interest income and net interest yield are calculated excluding these fees.

(1) Yields on AFS debt securities are calculated based on fair value rather than the cost basis. The use of fair value does not have a material impact on net interest yield.

(2) Nonperforming loans are included in the respective average loan balances. Income on these nonperforming loans is recognized on a cost recovery basis. PCI loans were recorded at fair value upon acquisition and accrete interest income over the remaining life of the loan.

(3) Includes non-U.S. residential mortgage loans of \$92 million, \$89 million and \$86 million in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$88 million and \$91 million in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively.

(4) Includes non-U.S. consumer loans of \$7.8 billion, \$7.8 billion and \$7.5 billion in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$8.4 billion and \$8.6 billion in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively.

(6)

Includes consumer finance loans of \$1.5 billion, \$1.6 billion and \$1.6 billion in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$1.7 billion and \$1.8 billion in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively; other non-U.S. consumer loans of \$997 million, \$895 million and \$903 million in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$959 million and \$932 million in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively; and consumer overdrafts of \$158 million, \$108 million and \$90 million in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$107 million in both the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively.

(7) Includes U.S. commercial real estate loans of \$35.4 billion, \$36.0 billion and \$37.4 billion in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$38.7 billion and \$40.7 billion in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively; and non-U.S. commercial real estate loans of \$1.5 billion, \$1.6 billion and \$1.8 billion in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$1.9 billion and \$2.2 billion in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively.

Interest income includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which decreased interest income on the underlying assets by \$136 million, \$366 million and \$106 million in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$427 million and \$1.0 billion in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively. Interest expense (8) includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which decreased interest expense on the underlying liabilities by \$454 million, \$591 million and \$658 million in the third, second and first quarters of 2012, and \$763 million and \$631 million in the fourth and third quarters of 2011, respectively. For further information on interest rate contracts, see Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities on page 139.

Table of Contents

Table 13

Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	First Quarter 2012			Fourth Quarter 2011			Third Quarter 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Earning assets									
Time deposits placed and other short-term investments ⁽¹⁾	\$31,404	\$65	0.83 %	\$27,688	\$85	1.19 %	\$26,743	\$87	1.31 %
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	233,061	460	0.79	237,453	449	0.75	256,143	584	0.90
Trading account assets	175,778	1,399	3.19	161,848	1,354	3.33	180,438	1,543	3.40
Debt securities ⁽²⁾	327,758	2,732	3.33	332,990	2,245	2.69	344,327	1,744	2.02
Loans and leases ⁽³⁾ :									
Residential mortgage ⁽⁴⁾	260,573	2,489	3.82	266,144	2,596	3.90	268,494	2,856	4.25
Home equity	122,933	1,164	3.80	126,251	1,207	3.80	129,125	1,238	3.81
Discontinued real estate	12,082	103	3.42	14,073	128	3.65	15,923	134	3.36
U.S. credit card	98,334	2,459	10.06	102,241	2,603	10.10	103,671	2,650	10.14
Non-U.S. credit card	14,151	408	11.60	15,981	420	10.41	25,434	697	10.88
Direct/Indirect consumer ⁽⁵⁾	88,321	801	3.65	90,861	863	3.77	90,280	915	4.02
Other consumer ⁽⁶⁾	2,617	40	6.24	2,751	41	6.14	2,795	43	6.07
Total consumer	599,011	7,464	5.00	618,302	7,858	5.06	635,722	8,533	5.34
U.S. commercial	195,111	1,756	3.62	196,778	1,798	3.63	191,439	1,809	3.75
Commercial real estate ⁽⁷⁾	39,190	339	3.48	40,673	343	3.34	42,931	360	3.33
Commercial lease financing	21,679	272	5.01	21,278	204	3.84	21,342	240	4.51
Non-U.S. commercial	58,731	391	2.68	55,867	395	2.80	50,598	349	2.73
Total commercial	314,711	2,758	3.52	314,596	2,740	3.46	306,310	2,758	3.58
Total loans and leases	913,722	10,222	4.49	932,898	10,598	4.52	942,032	11,291	4.77
Other earning assets	86,382	743	3.46	91,109	904	3.95	91,452	814	3.54
Total earning assets ⁽⁸⁾	1,768,105	15,621	3.55	1,783,986	15,635	3.49	1,841,135	16,063	3.47
Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾	112,512	47		94,287	36		102,573	38	
Other assets, less allowance for loan and lease losses	306,557			329,294			357,746		
Total assets	\$2,187,174			\$2,207,567			\$2,301,454		

For footnotes see page 26.

Table of Contents

Table 13

Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	Third Quarter 2012			Second Quarter 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Interest-bearing liabilities						
U.S. interest-bearing deposits:						
Savings	\$41,581	\$11	0.10 %	\$42,394	\$14	0.13 %
NOW and money market deposit accounts	465,679	173	0.15	460,788	188	0.16
Consumer CDs and IRAs	94,140	172	0.73	96,858	171	0.71
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other deposits	19,587	30	0.61	21,661	35	0.65
Total U.S. interest-bearing deposits	620,987	386	0.25	621,701	408	0.26
Non-U.S. interest-bearing deposits:						
Banks located in non-U.S. countries	13,883	19	0.56	14,598	25	0.69
Governments and official institutions	1,019	1	0.31	895	1	0.37
Time, savings and other	52,175	78	0.59	52,584	85	0.65
Total non-U.S. interest-bearing deposits	67,077	98	0.58	68,077	111	0.65
Total interest-bearing deposits	688,064	484	0.28	689,778	519	0.30
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings	325,023	893	1.09	318,909	943	1.19
Trading account liabilities	77,528	418	2.14	84,728	448	2.13
Long-term debt	291,684	2,243	3.07	333,173	2,534	3.05
Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁸⁾	1,382,299	4,038	1.16	1,426,588	4,444	1.25
Noninterest-bearing sources:						
Noninterest-bearing deposits	361,633			343,110		
Other liabilities	193,341			189,307		
Shareholders' equity	236,039			235,558		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$2,173,312			\$2,194,563		
Net interest spread			2.06 %			1.96 %
Impact of noninterest-bearing sources			0.25			0.24
Net interest income/yield on earning assets ⁽¹⁾		\$10,119	2.31 %		\$9,730	2.20 %

For footnotes see page 26.

Table of Contents

Table 13

Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	First Quarter 2012			Fourth Quarter 2011			Third Quarter 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Interest-bearing liabilities									
U.S. interest-bearing deposits:									
Savings	\$40,543	\$14	0.14 %	\$39,609	\$16	0.16 %	\$41,256	\$21	0.19 %
NOW and money market deposit accounts	458,649	186	0.16	454,249	192	0.17	473,391	248	0.21
Consumer CDs and IRAs	100,044	194	0.78	103,488	220	0.84	108,359	244	0.89
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other deposits	22,586	36	0.64	22,413	34	0.60	18,547	5	0.12
Total U.S. interest-bearing deposits	621,822	430	0.28	619,759	462	0.30	641,553	518	0.32
Non-U.S. interest-bearing deposits:									
Banks located in non-U.S. countries	18,170	28	0.62	20,454	29	0.55	21,037	34	0.65
Governments and official institutions	1,286	1	0.41	1,466	1	0.36	2,043	2	0.32
Time, savings and other	55,241	90	0.66	57,814	124	0.85	64,271	150	0.93
Total non-U.S. interest-bearing deposits	74,697	119	0.64	79,734	154	0.77	87,351	186	0.85
Total interest-bearing deposits	696,519	549	0.32	699,493	616	0.35	728,904	704	0.38
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings	293,056	881	1.21	284,766	921	1.28	303,234	1,152	1.51
Trading account liabilities	71,872	477	2.67	70,999	411	2.29	87,841	547	2.47
Long-term debt	363,518	2,708	2.99	389,557	2,764	2.80	420,273	2,959	2.82
Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁸⁾	1,424,965	4,615	1.30	1,444,815	4,712	1.29	1,540,252	5,362	1.39
Noninterest-bearing sources:									
Noninterest-bearing deposits	333,593			333,038			322,416		
Other liabilities	196,050			201,479			216,376		
Shareholders' equity	232,566			228,235			222,410		
	\$2,187,174			\$2,207,567			\$2,301,454		

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity				
Net interest spread		2.25 %	2.20 %	2.08 %
Impact of noninterest-bearing sources		0.25	0.24	0.23
Net interest income/yield on earning assets ⁽¹⁾	\$11,006	2.50 %	\$10,923	2.44 %
				\$10,701
				2.31 %

For footnotes see page 26.

Table of Contents

Table 14

Year-to-Date Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30						
	2012			2011			
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate		Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Earning assets							
Time deposits placed and other short-term investments ⁽¹⁾	\$24,877	\$187	1.01 %		\$28,428	\$281	1.33 %
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	234,058	1,173	0.67		247,635	1,698	0.92
Trading account assets	177,846	3,944	2.96		195,931	4,788	3.26
Debt securities ⁽²⁾	336,939	6,675	2.64		338,512	7,357	2.90
Loans and leases ⁽³⁾ :							
Residential mortgage ⁽⁴⁾	255,458	7,268	3.79		265,345	8,500	4.27
Home equity	119,579	3,351	3.74		132,308	3,834	3.87
Discontinued real estate	11,392	292	3.41		14,951	373	3.32
U.S. credit card	95,540	7,168	10.02		106,569	8,205	10.29
Non-U.S. credit card	13,706	1,189	11.59		26,767	2,236	11.17
Direct/Indirect consumer ⁽⁵⁾	85,042	2,238	3.52		89,927	2,853	4.24
Other consumer ⁽⁶⁾	2,612	121	6.23		2,764	135	6.47
Total consumer	583,329	21,627	4.95		638,631	26,136	5.47
U.S. commercial	198,618	5,250	3.53		191,091	5,562	3.89
Commercial real estate ⁽⁷⁾	37,912	991	3.49		45,664	1,179	3.45
Commercial lease financing	21,557	690	4.27		21,419	797	4.96
Non-U.S. commercial	59,234	1,161	2.62		43,043	987	3.07
Total commercial	317,321	8,092	3.41		301,217	8,525	3.78
Total loans and leases	900,650	29,719	4.41		939,848	34,661	4.93
Other earning assets	89,230	2,254	3.37		101,382	2,602	3.43
Total earning assets ⁽⁸⁾	1,763,600	43,952	3.33		1,851,736	51,387	3.72
Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾	117,105	147			118,792	150	
Other assets, less allowance for loan and lease losses	304,269				355,704		
Total assets	\$2,184,974				\$2,326,232		

For this presentation, fees earned on overnight deposits placed with the Federal Reserve are included in the cash and cash equivalents line, consistent with the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet presentation of these deposits. In addition, beginning in the third quarter of 2012, fees earned on deposits, primarily overnight, placed with certain foreign central banks, which are included in the time deposits placed and other short-term investments line in prior periods, have been included in the cash and cash equivalents line. Net interest income and net interest yield are calculated excluding these fees.

(2) Yields on AFS debt securities are calculated based on fair value rather than the cost basis. The use of fair value does not have a material impact on net interest yield.

Nonperforming loans are included in the respective average loan balances. Income on these nonperforming loans is recognized on a cost recovery basis. PCI loans were recorded at fair value upon acquisition and accrete interest income over the remaining life of the loan.

(4) Includes non-U.S. residential mortgage loans of \$89 million and \$92 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

(5) Includes non-U.S. consumer loans of \$7.7 billion and \$8.5 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

- Includes consumer finance loans of \$1.6 billion and \$1.8 billion, other non-U.S. consumer loans of \$932 million
- (6) and \$851 million, and consumer overdrafts of \$119 million and \$88 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.
- (7) Includes U.S. commercial real estate loans of \$36.3 billion and \$43.3 billion, and non-U.S. commercial real estate loans of \$1.7 billion and \$2.4 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.
- Interest income includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which decreased interest income on the underlying assets by \$608 million and \$2.2 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.
- (8) Interest expense includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which decreased interest expense on the underlying liabilities by \$1.7 billion and \$1.9 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. For further information on interest rate contracts, see Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities on page 139.

Table of Contents

Table 14

Year-to-Date Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30					
	2012			2011		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Interest-bearing liabilities						
U.S. interest-bearing deposits:						
Savings	\$41,506	\$39	0.12 %	\$40,618	\$84	0.28 %
NOW and money market deposit accounts	461,720	547	0.16	476,002	868	0.24
Consumer CDs and IRAs	97,003	537	0.74	113,428	825	0.97
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other deposits	21,273	101	0.63	15,478	86	0.74
Total U.S. interest-bearing deposits	621,502	1,224	0.26	645,526	1,863	0.39
Non-U.S. interest-bearing deposits:						
Banks located in non-U.S. countries	15,544	72	0.62	20,600	109	0.71
Governments and official institutions	1,067	3	0.37	2,159	6	0.35
Time, savings and other	53,328	253	0.63	63,212	408	0.86
Total non-U.S. interest-bearing deposits	69,939	328	0.63	85,971	523	0.81
Total interest-bearing deposits	691,441	1,552	0.30	731,497	2,386	0.44
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings	312,376	2,717	1.16	337,583	3,678	1.46
Trading account liabilities	78,041	1,343	2.30	89,302	1,801	2.70
Long-term debt	329,320	7,485	3.03	431,902	9,043	2.80
Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁸⁾	1,411,178	13,097	1.24	1,590,284	16,908	1.42
Noninterest-bearing sources:						
Noninterest-bearing deposits	346,169			305,408		
Other liabilities	192,901			201,155		
Shareholders' equity	234,726			229,385		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$2,184,974			\$2,326,232		
Net interest spread			2.09 %			2.30 %
Impact of noninterest-bearing sources			0.24			0.19
Net interest income/yield on earning assets ⁽¹⁾		\$30,855	2.33 %		\$34,479	2.49 %

For footnotes see page 30.

Table of Contents

Business Segment Operations

Segment Description and Basis of Presentation

We report the results of our operations through five business segments: CBB, CRES, Global Banking, Global Markets and GWIM, with the remaining operations recorded in All Other. Effective January 1, 2012, we changed the basis of presentation from six segments to the above five segments. The former Deposits and Card Services segments, as well as Business Banking, which was included in the former Global Commercial Banking segment, are now reflected in CBB. The former Global Commercial Banking segment was combined with the Global Corporate and Investment Banking business, which was included in the former Global Banking & Markets (GBAM) segment, to form Global Banking. The remaining global markets business of GBAM is now reported as a separate Global Markets segment. In addition, certain management accounting methodologies and related allocations were refined. Prior period results have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

We prepare and evaluate segment results using certain non-GAAP financial measures. For additional information, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

The management accounting and reporting process derives segment and business results by utilizing allocation methodologies for revenue and expense. The net income derived for the businesses is dependent upon revenue and cost allocations using an activity-based costing model, funds transfer pricing, and other methodologies and assumptions management believes are appropriate to reflect the results of the business.

Total revenue, net of interest expense, includes net interest income on a FTE basis and noninterest income. The adjustment of net interest income to a FTE basis results in a corresponding increase in income tax expense. The segment results also reflect certain revenue and expense methodologies that are utilized to determine net income. The net interest income of the businesses includes the results of a funds transfer pricing process that matches assets and liabilities with similar interest rate sensitivity and maturity characteristics. For presentation purposes, in segments where the total of liabilities and equity exceeds assets, which are generally deposit-taking segments, we allocate assets to match liabilities. Net interest income of the business segments also includes an allocation of net interest income generated by certain of our asset and liability management (ALM) activities.

Our ALM activities include an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of various derivatives and cash instruments to manage fluctuations in earnings and capital that are caused by interest rate volatility. Our goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity so that movements in interest rates do not significantly adversely affect earnings and capital. The majority of our ALM activities are allocated to the business segments and fluctuate based on performance. ALM activities include external product pricing decisions including deposit pricing strategies, the effects of our internal funds transfer pricing process and the net effects of other ALM activities.

Certain expenses not directly attributable to a specific business segment are allocated to the segments. The most significant of these expenses include data and item processing costs and certain centralized or shared functions. Data processing costs are allocated to the segments based on equipment usage. Item processing costs are allocated to the segments based on the volume of items processed for each segment. The costs of certain other centralized or shared functions are allocated based on methodologies that reflect utilization.

We allocate economic capital to the business segments and related businesses using a risk-adjusted methodology incorporating each segment's credit, market, interest rate, strategic and operational risk components. See Managing Risk and Strategic Risk Management on page 70 for more information on the nature of these risks. A business segment's allocated equity includes this economic capital allocation and also includes the portion of goodwill and intangibles specifically assigned to the business segment. We benefit from the diversification of risk across these

components which is reflected as a reduction to allocated equity for each segment. The risk-adjusted methodology is periodically refined and such refinements are reflected as changes to allocated equity in each segment.

For more information on selected financial information for the business segments and reconciliations to consolidated total revenue, net income (loss) and period-end total assets, see Note 19 – Business Segment Information to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Consumer & Business Banking

Three Months Ended September 30

	Deposits		Card Services		Business Banking		Total Consumer & Business Banking		% Change
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
(Dollars in millions)									
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$1,882	\$1,987	\$2,479	\$2,820	\$290	\$342	\$4,651	\$5,149	(10)%
Noninterest income:									
Card income	—	—	1,325	1,720	—	—	1,325	1,720	(23)
Service charges	1,012	1,073	—	—	91	131	1,103	1,204	(8)
All other income (loss)	63	60	(100)	(42)	28	36	(9)	54	n/m
Total noninterest income	1,075	1,133	1,225	1,678	119	167	2,419	2,978	(19)
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	2,957	3,120	3,704	4,498	409	509	7,070	8,127	(13)
Provision for credit losses	60	52	836	1,037	74	43	970	1,132	(14)
Noninterest expense	2,568	2,623	1,290	1,444	203	280	4,061	4,347	(7)
Income before income taxes	329	445	1,578	2,017	132	186	2,039	2,648	(23)
Income tax expense (FTE basis)	122	165	584	750	48	69	754	984	(23)
Net income	\$207	\$280	\$994	\$1,267	\$84	\$117	\$1,285	\$1,664	(23)

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Net interest yield (FTE basis)	1.71	% 1.87	% 8.95	% 8.97	% 2.57	% 3.06	% 3.74	% 4.25	%
Return on average allocated equity	3.29	4.67	19.33	24.22	3.89	5.93	9.47	12.60	
Return on average economic capital	11.60	19.01	39.54	49.50	5.17	8.11	21.77	30.42	
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	86.82	84.07	34.79	32.09	50.03	54.95	57.43	53.48	
Balance Sheet									
Average Total loans and leases	n/m	n/m	\$109,707	\$123,547	\$23,375	\$27,258	\$133,881	\$151,492	(12)
Total earning assets ⁽¹⁾	\$437,234	\$420,876	110,233	124,766	44,974	44,342	494,485	480,312	3
Total assets ⁽¹⁾	463,248	447,620	116,760	129,170	51,929	52,394	533,981	519,512	3
Total deposits	436,688	422,331	n/m	n/m	43,294	41,622	480,342	464,256	3
Allocated equity	25,047	23,819	20,463	20,755	8,472	7,807	53,982	52,381	3
Economic capital	7,127	5,872	10,034	10,194	6,374	5,715	23,535	21,781	8

⁽¹⁾ For presentation purposes, in segments and businesses where the total of liabilities and equity exceeds assets, we allocate assets to match liabilities. As a result, total earning assets and total assets of the businesses may not equal total CBB.

n/m = not meaningful

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30								% Change
	Deposits		Card Services		Business Banking		Total Consumer & Business Banking		
(Dollars in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$5,916	\$6,473	\$7,575	\$8,737	\$945	\$1,089	\$14,436	\$16,299	(11)%
Noninterest income:									
Card income	—	—	3,934	4,983	—	—	3,934	4,983	(21)
Service charges	2,972	2,964	—	—	277	415	3,249	3,379	(4)
All other income (loss)	194	177	(79)	342	85	94	200	613	(67)
Total noninterest income	\$3,166	3,141	3,855	5,325	362	509	7,383	8,975	(18)
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	9,082	9,614	11,430	14,062	1,307	1,598	21,819	25,274	(14)
Provision for credit losses	151	116	2,566	1,934	261	143	2,978	2,193	36
Noninterest expense	7,819	7,821	4,171	4,584	682	886	12,672	13,291	(5)
Income before income taxes	1,112	1,677	4,693	7,544	364	569	6,169	9,790	(37)
Income tax expense (FTE basis)	410	614	1,731	2,761	135	211	2,276	3,586	(37)
Net income	\$702	\$1,063	\$2,962	\$4,783	\$229	\$358	\$3,893	\$6,204	(37)
	1.83	% 2.05	% 8.90	% 9.06	% 2.76	% 3.43	% 3.93	% 4.52	%

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Net interest yield (FTE basis)									
Return on average allocated equity	3.89	6.00	19.25	30.02	3.47	6.08	9.73	15.69	
Return on average economic capital	15.27	24.82	39.32	59.92	4.55	8.28	22.69	37.42	
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	86.09	81.35	36.49	32.60	52.15	55.42	58.08	52.59	
Balance Sheet									
Average Total loans and leases	n/m	n/m	\$112,689	\$127,755	\$23,998	\$27,423	\$137,431	\$155,829	(12)
Total earning assets ⁽¹⁾	\$430,837	\$421,530	113,659	128,904	45,640	42,475	490,393	482,003	2
Total assets ⁽¹⁾	457,011	447,926	119,741	131,172	52,802	50,807	529,811	518,998	2
Total deposits	431,516	422,452	n/m	n/m	42,562	40,103	474,409	462,851	2
Allocated equity	24,078	23,692	20,553	21,302	8,831	7,881	53,462	52,875	1
Economic capital	6,152	5,740	10,092	10,699	6,733	5,786	22,977	22,225	3
Period end	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	
Total loans and leases	n/m	n/m	\$109,358	\$120,668	\$23,150	\$25,006	\$133,308	\$146,378	(9)
Total earning assets ⁽¹⁾	\$442,960	\$419,215	109,865	121,991	44,532	46,516	499,604	480,972	4
Total assets ⁽¹⁾	468,885	446,274	116,921	127,623	52,207	53,950	540,260	521,097	4
Total deposits	442,875	421,871	n/m	n/m	43,055	41,519	486,857	464,264	5

(1) For presentation purposes, in segments and businesses where the total of liabilities and equity exceeds assets, we allocate assets to match liabilities. As a result, total earning assets and total assets of the businesses may not equal total CBB.

n/m = not meaningful

CBB, which is comprised of Deposits, Card Services and Business Banking, offers a diversified range of credit, banking and investment products and services to consumers and businesses. Our customers and clients have access to a franchise network that stretches coast to coast through 32 states and the District of Columbia. The franchise network includes approximately 5,500 banking centers, 16,300 ATMs, nationwide call centers, and online and mobile platforms.

The Federal Reserve adopted a final rule with respect to the Durbin Amendment, which became effective October 1, 2011, that established the maximum allowable interchange fees a bank can receive for a debit card transaction. The interchange fee rules resulted in a reduction of debit card revenue of approximately \$420 million in each of the first three quarters of 2012 when compared to the same periods in 2011. For more information on the Durbin Amendment and the final interchange rules, see Regulatory Matters on page 43 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

CBB Results

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for CBB decreased \$379 million to \$1.3 billion primarily due to lower revenue, partially offset by lower noninterest expense and provision for credit losses. Net interest income decreased \$498 million to \$4.7 billion due to lower average loan balances primarily in Card Services as well as compressed deposit spreads due to the continued low rate environment. Noninterest income decreased \$559 million to \$2.4 billion primarily due to a decline in Card Services. The provision for credit losses decreased \$162 million to \$970 million due to improvements in delinquencies and bankruptcies primarily in Card Services. Noninterest expense decreased \$286 million to \$4.1 billion primarily due to lower FDIC and operating expenses.

The return on average economic capital decreased primarily due to lower net income.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for CBB decreased \$2.3 billion to \$3.9 billion primarily due to lower revenue and higher provision for credit losses, partially offset by lower noninterest expense. Net interest income decreased \$1.9 billion to \$14.4 billion and noninterest income decreased \$1.6 billion to \$7.4 billion. These changes were driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above. The provision for credit losses increased \$785 million to \$3.0 billion with the increase largely in Card Services. Noninterest expense decreased \$619 million to \$12.7 billion primarily due to lower FDIC and operating expenses, partially offset by an increase in litigation expense.

The return on average economic capital decreased due to the same factor as described in the three-month discussion above. For more information regarding economic capital, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

Deposits

Deposits includes the results of consumer deposit activities which consist of a comprehensive range of products provided to consumers and small businesses. Our deposit products include traditional savings accounts, money market savings accounts, CDs and IRAs, noninterest- and interest-bearing checking accounts, as well as investment accounts and products. Deposit products provide a relatively stable source of funding and liquidity for the Corporation. We earn net interest spread revenue from investing this liquidity in earning assets through client-facing lending and ALM activities. The revenue is allocated to the deposit products using our funds transfer pricing process that matches assets and liabilities with similar interest rate sensitivity and maturity characteristics.

Deposits also generates fees such as account service fees, non-sufficient funds fees, overdraft charges and ATM fees, as well as investment and brokerage fees from Merrill Edge accounts. Merrill Edge is an integrated investing and banking service targeted at clients with less than \$250,000 in total assets. Merrill Edge provides team-based investment advice and guidance, brokerage services, a self-directed online investing platform and key banking capabilities including access to the Corporation's network of banking centers and ATMs. Deposits includes the net impact of migrating customers and their related deposit balances between Deposits and GWIM as well as other client-managed businesses. For more information on the migration of customer balances to or from GWIM, see GWIM on page 52.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for Deposits decreased \$73 million to \$207 million primarily driven by lower revenue, partially offset by lower noninterest expense. Net interest income declined \$105 million, or five percent, to \$1.9 billion driven by

compressed deposit spreads due to the continued low rate environment, partially offset by a customer shift to higher spread liquid products and continued pricing discipline. Noninterest income decreased \$58 million, or five percent, to \$1.1 billion primarily due to a decrease in service charges. Noninterest expense decreased \$55 million, or two percent, to \$2.6 billion as lower FDIC expense was partially offset by higher operating expense.

Average deposits increased \$14.4 billion driven by a customer shift to more liquid products in a low rate environment as checking, traditional savings and money market savings grew \$24.3 billion. Growth in liquid products was partially offset by a decline in average time deposits of \$9.9 billion. As a result of the shift in the mix of deposits and our continued pricing discipline, rates paid on average deposits declined by five bps to 20 bps.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for Deposits decreased \$361 million to \$702 million primarily driven by lower net interest income. Net interest income declined \$557 million, or nine percent, to \$5.9 billion driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion. Noninterest income of \$3.2 billion and noninterest expense of \$7.8 billion remained relatively unchanged. Average deposits increased \$9.1 billion driven by the same factor as described in the three-month discussion.

Table of Contents

Key Statistics

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Total deposit spreads (excludes noninterest costs) ⁽¹⁾	1.75	% 2.09	% 1.86	% 2.14	%
Period end					
Client brokerage assets (in millions)			\$75,852	\$61,918	
Online banking active accounts (units in thousands)			29,809	29,917	
Mobile banking active accounts (units in thousands)			11,097	8,531	
Banking centers			5,540	5,715	
ATMs			16,253	17,752	

⁽¹⁾ Total deposit spreads include the Deposits and Business Banking businesses.

Mobile banking customers increased 2.6 million from a year ago reflecting a change in our customers' banking preferences. The number of banking centers declined 175 and ATMs declined 1,499 as we continue to improve our cost-to-serve and optimize our consumer banking network.

Card Services

Card Services is one of the leading issuers of credit and debit cards to consumers and small businesses in the U.S. In addition to earning net interest spread revenue on its lending activities, Card Services generates interchange revenue from credit and debit card transactions as well as annual credit card fees and other miscellaneous fees.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for Card Services decreased \$273 million to \$994 million primarily driven by a decrease in revenue, partially offset by a decrease in the provision for credit losses and lower noninterest expense. Net interest income decreased \$341 million, or 12 percent, to \$2.5 billion driven by lower average loan balances. Noninterest income decreased \$453 million, or 27 percent, to \$1.2 billion primarily due to lower interchange fees as a result of implementing the Durbin Amendment and the net impact of charges related to our consumer protection products. For information on the Durbin Amendment, see page 34.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$201 million to \$836 million due to improvements in delinquencies and bankruptcies. For more information, see Provision for Credit Losses on page 129. Noninterest expense decreased \$154 million, or 11 percent, to \$1.3 billion primarily due to lower operating expenses.

Average loans decreased \$13.8 billion, or 11 percent, driven by the impact of portfolio sales, charge-offs and continued run-off of non-core portfolios.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for Card Services decreased \$1.8 billion to \$3.0 billion primarily driven by a decrease in revenue and an increase in the provision for credit losses, partially offset by lower noninterest expense. Net interest income decreased \$1.2 billion, or 13 percent, to \$7.6 billion driven by lower average loan balances and yields. The net interest yield decreased 16 bps to 8.90 percent due to charge-offs and paydowns of higher interest rate products. Noninterest income decreased \$1.5 billion, or 28 percent, to \$3.9 billion driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above as well as the net impact of portfolio sales.

The provision for credit losses increased \$632 million, or 33 percent, to \$2.6 billion as portfolio trends began to stabilize during 2012. Noninterest expense decreased \$413 million, or nine percent, to \$4.2 billion primarily due to lower operating expenses, partially offset by an increase in litigation expense.

Average loans decreased \$15.1 billion, or 12 percent, driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion.

Table of Contents

Key Statistics

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
U.S. credit card				
Gross interest yield	10.04	% 10.14	% 10.02	% 10.29
Risk-adjusted margin	7.66	6.08	7.23	5.51
New accounts (in thousands)	857	851	2,421	2,238
Purchase volumes	\$48,189	\$48,547	\$141,872	\$141,457
Debit card purchase volumes	64,121	62,774	192,146	186,819

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the U.S. credit card risk-adjusted margin increased 158 bps and 172 bps from the same periods in 2011, reflecting improvement in credit quality in the portfolio. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, U.S. credit card new accounts grew by 183,000 accounts to 2.4 million accounts compared to the same period in 2011. U.S. credit card purchase volumes remained relatively unchanged for the three- and nine-month periods resulting from the net impact of portfolio sales and higher consumer spending. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, debit card purchase volumes increased \$1.3 billion to \$64.1 billion, and \$5.3 billion to \$192.1 billion compared to the same periods in 2011, reflecting higher levels of consumer spending.

Business Banking

Business Banking provides a wide range of lending-related products and services, integrated working capital management and treasury solutions to clients through our network of offices and client relationship teams along with various product partners. Our clients include U.S.-based companies generally with annual sales of \$1 million to \$50 million. Our lending products and services include commercial loans, lines of credit and real estate lending. Our capital management and treasury solutions include treasury management, foreign exchange and short-term investing options. Business Banking also includes the results of our merchant services joint venture.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for Business Banking decreased \$33 million to \$84 million primarily driven by lower revenue and an increase in the provision for credit losses, partially offset by lower noninterest expense. Net interest income decreased \$52 million, or 15 percent, to \$290 million driven by lower average loan balances. Noninterest income decreased \$48 million, or 29 percent, to \$119 million primarily due to the transfer of certain processing activities to our merchant services joint venture. The provision for credit losses increased \$31 million to \$74 million due to an increase in projected losses. Noninterest expense decreased \$77 million, or 28 percent, to \$203 million driven by lower FDIC and merchant processing expenses.

Average loans decreased \$3.9 billion, or 14 percent, primarily driven by the net transfer of certain loans to other businesses, higher prepayments and continued run-off of non-core portfolios. Average deposits increased \$1.7 billion, or four percent, due to the current client preference for liquidity and the net transfer of certain deposits from other businesses.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income for Business Banking decreased \$129 million to \$229 million driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above. Average loans decreased \$3.4 billion and average deposits increased \$2.5 billion driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

Table of Contents

Consumer Real Estate Services

	Three Months Ended September 30						% Change
	Home Loans		Legacy Assets & Servicing		Total Consumer Real Estate Services		
(Dollars in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$336	\$446	\$393	\$476	\$729	\$922	(21)%
Noninterest income:							
Mortgage banking income	853	656	1,339	1,144	2,192	1,800	22
Insurance income	1	23	—	—	1	23	(96)
All other income (loss)	(11)	42	185	35	174	77	126
Total noninterest income	843	721	1,524	1,179	2,367	1,900	25
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	1,179	1,167	1,917	1,655	3,096	2,822	10
Provision for credit losses	(23)	50	287	868	264	918	(71)
Noninterest expense	783	1,068	3,441	2,758	4,224	3,826	10
Income (loss) before income taxes	419	49	(1,811)	(1,971)	(1,392)	(1,922)	(28)
Income tax expense (benefit) (FTE basis)	155	18	(670)	(819)	(515)	(801)	(36)
Net income (loss)	\$264	\$31	\$(1,141)	\$(1,152)	\$(877)	\$(1,121)	(22)
Net interest yield (FTE basis)	2.37	%2.57	% 2.45	%2.35	% 2.41	%2.45	%
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	66.41	91.52	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	

Balance Sheet

Average

Total loans and leases	\$49,561	\$54,858	\$54,147	\$65,221	\$103,708	\$120,079	(14)
Total earning assets	56,285	68,815	63,863	80,362	120,148	149,177	(19)
Total assets	57,370	71,422	84,409	111,421	141,779	182,843	(22)
Allocated equity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13,332	14,240	(6)
Economic capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13,332	14,240	(6)

n/m = not meaningful

n/a = not applicable

Table of Contents

(Dollars in millions)	Home Loans		Legacy Assets & Servicing		Total Consumer Real Estate Services		% Change
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$1,013	\$ 1,444	\$ 1,205	\$ 954	\$ 2,218	\$ 2,398	(8)%
Noninterest income:							
Mortgage banking income (loss)	2,394	1,873	3,440	(12,396)	5,834	(10,523)	n/m
Insurance income	8	753	—	—	8	753	(99)
All other income (loss)	(22)	871	253	71	231	942	(75)
Total noninterest income (loss)	2,380	3,497	3,693	(12,325)	6,073	(8,828)	n/m
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	3,393	4,941	4,898	(11,371)	8,291	(6,430)	n/m
Provision for credit losses	(5)	171	962	3,352	957	3,523	(73)
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	2,603	—	2,603	n/m
All other noninterest expense	2,430	3,837	9,248	10,782	11,678	14,619	(20)
Income (loss) before income taxes	968	933	(5,312)	(28,108)	(4,344)	(27,175)	(84)
Income tax expense (benefit) (FTE basis)	357	346	(1,915)	(9,498)	(1,558)	(9,152)	(83)
Net income (loss)	\$611	\$ 587	\$(3,397)	\$(18,610)	\$(2,786)	\$(18,023)	(85)
Net interest yield (FTE basis)	2.37	%2.65	% 2.35	%1.46	% 2.36	%2.00	%
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	71.62	77.66	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	

Balance Sheet

Average

Total loans and leases	\$50,598	\$ 54,785	\$56,453	\$ 65,987	\$107,051	\$ 120,772	(11)
Total earning assets	57,206	72,765	68,498	87,214	125,704	159,979	(21)
Total assets	58,202	73,705	92,984	122,931	151,186	196,636	(23)
Allocated equity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14,077	16,688	(16)
Economic capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14,077	14,884	(5)

Period end	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	
Total loans and leases	\$48,865	\$ 52,371	\$51,025	\$ 59,988	\$99,890	\$ 112,359	(11)
Total earning assets	56,137	58,819	58,088	73,562	114,225	132,381	(14)
Total assets	57,335	59,647	82,031	104,065	139,366	163,712	(15)

n/m = not meaningful

n/a = not applicable

CRES operations include Home Loans and Legacy Assets & Servicing. This alignment allows CRES management to lead the ongoing home loan business while also providing greater focus on legacy mortgage issues and servicing activities. Effective January 1, 2012, servicing activities previously recorded in Home Loans were moved to Legacy Assets & Servicing, and results of MSR activities, including net hedge results, and goodwill were moved from what was formerly referred to as Other within CRES to Legacy Assets & Servicing. Prior period amounts have been

reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

CRES generates revenue by providing an extensive line of consumer real estate products and services to customers nationwide. CRES products offered by Home Loans include fixed- and adjustable-rate first-lien mortgage loans for home purchase and refinancing needs, home equity lines of credit (HELOCs) and home equity loans. First mortgage products are either sold into the secondary mortgage market to investors, while we retain MSRMs and the Bank of America customer relationships, or are held on our balance sheet in All Other for ALM purposes. HELOC and home equity loans are retained on the CRES balance sheet in Home Loans and Legacy Assets & Servicing. CRES, through Legacy Assets & Servicing, services mortgage loans, including those loans it owns, loans owned by other business segments and All Other, and loans owned by outside investors.

The financial results of the on-balance sheet loans are reported in the business segment that owns the loans or All Other. CRES is not impacted by the Corporation's first mortgage production retention decisions as CRES is compensated for loans held for ALM purposes on a management accounting basis, with a corresponding offset recorded in All Other, and is also compensated for servicing loans owned by other business segments and All Other.

CRES includes the impact of transferring customers and their related loan balances between GWIM and CRES based on client segmentation thresholds. For more information on the migration of customer balances, see GWIM on page 52.

Table of Contents

CRES Results

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

The net loss for CRES decreased \$244 million to \$877 million primarily driven by lower provision for credit losses and higher mortgage banking income, partially offset by higher Legacy Assets & Servicing expenses. Mortgage banking income increased \$392 million due to more favorable MSR results, net of hedges, and higher production income. The provision for credit losses decreased \$654 million due to improved portfolio trends in both the non-PCI and PCI home equity loan portfolios in Legacy Assets & Servicing. Noninterest expense increased \$398 million primarily due to higher expenses in Legacy Assets & Servicing, partially offset by lower production expenses in Home Loans.

Average economic capital decreased six percent due to a reduction in credit risk driven by lower loan balances in the home equity portfolio.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

The net loss for CRES decreased \$15.2 billion to \$2.8 billion primarily driven by higher mortgage banking income due to a significantly lower representations and warranties provision, lower provision for credit losses and a decline in noninterest expense. Mortgage banking income increased \$16.4 billion primarily due to a \$14.3 billion decrease in representations and warranties expense in Legacy Assets & Servicing. The provision for credit losses decreased \$2.6 billion due to improved portfolio trends in both the non-PCI and PCI home equity loan portfolios. Noninterest expense, excluding goodwill impairment, decreased \$2.9 billion due to lower expenses in Legacy Assets & Servicing and lower production and insurance expenses in Home Loans.

Average economic capital decreased five percent primarily due to a reduction in operational risk driven by the sale of Balboa and a reduction in credit risk. For more information regarding economic capital, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

Home Loans

Home Loans products are available to our customers through our retail network of approximately 5,500 banking centers, mortgage loan officers in approximately 400 locations and a sales force offering our customers direct telephone and online access to our products. These products were also offered through our correspondent lending channel which we exited in the second half of 2011 and the reverse mortgage origination business which we exited in the first half of 2011. These strategic changes were made to allow greater focus on our direct-to-consumer channels, deepen relationships with existing customers and use mortgage products to acquire new relationships.

Home Loans includes ongoing loan production activities and the CRES home equity portfolio not originally selected for inclusion in the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio. Home Loans also included insurance operations through June 30, 2011, when the ongoing insurance business was transferred to CBB following the sale of Balboa.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Home Loans net income increased \$233 million to \$264 million primarily driven by lower noninterest expense, higher mortgage banking income and lower provision for credit losses, partially offset by lower net interest income. Net interest income decreased \$110 million primarily driven by lower LHFS balances due to our exit from the correspondent lending channel. Mortgage banking income increased \$197 million driven by an increase in core loan production due to higher retail originations and margins. The provision for credit losses decreased \$73 million driven

by improved portfolio trends. Noninterest expense decreased \$285 million primarily due to lower production expense driven largely by our exit from the correspondent lending channel and cost efficiencies in the retail channel.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Home Loans net income increased \$24 million to \$611 million primarily driven by decreases in noninterest expense and the provision for credit losses, offset by lower revenue. The \$1.5 billion decline in revenue was the result of \$745 million lower insurance income as a result of the Balboa sale and a \$431 million decline in net interest income driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above. In addition, a net \$752 million gain on the sale of Balboa in 2011 contributed to the decline in revenue. These drivers were offset by an increase of \$521 million in mortgage banking income as higher retail margins more than offset lower originations. The \$176 million decline in the provision for credit losses and \$1.4 billion decline in noninterest expense were driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above. Also contributing to the decline in noninterest expense was lower insurance expense as a result of the sale of Balboa.

Table of Contents

Legacy Assets & Servicing

Legacy Assets & Servicing is responsible for servicing the residential, home equity and discontinued real estate loan portfolios, including owned loans and loans serviced for others. Legacy Assets & Servicing is also responsible for managing mortgage-related legacy exposures, including exposures related to selected owned residential mortgage, home equity and discontinued real estate loan portfolios (collectively, the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio). For additional information, see Legacy Assets & Servicing Portfolio below.

Legacy Assets & Servicing results reflect the net cost of legacy exposures that are included in the results of CRES, including representations and warranties provision, litigation costs, financial results of the CRES home equity portfolio selected as part of the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio, the financial results of the servicing operations and the results of MSR activities, including net hedge results, together with any related assets or liabilities used as economic hedges. The financial results of the servicing operations reflect certain revenues and expenses on loans serviced for others, including owned loans serviced for Home Loans and All Other. Legacy Assets & Servicing is compensated for servicing such loans on a management accounting basis with a corresponding offset recorded in Home Loans and All Other.

Servicing activities include collecting cash for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers, and disbursing customer draws for lines of credit and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to investors and escrow payments to third parties along with responding to customer inquiries. Our home retention efforts, including single point of contact resources, are also part of our servicing activities, along with supervising foreclosures and property dispositions. In an effort to help our customers avoid foreclosure, Legacy Assets & Servicing evaluates various workout options prior to foreclosure sales which, combined with our temporary halt of foreclosures announced in October 2010, has resulted in elongated default timelines. Although we have resumed foreclosure proceedings in all states, there continues to be a backlog of foreclosure inventory in judicial states. For additional information on our servicing activities, including the impact of foreclosure delays, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Servicing Matters and Foreclosure Processes on page 67 and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Other Mortgage-related Matters on page 40 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

The Legacy Assets & Servicing results were relatively unchanged at a net loss of \$1.1 billion as a \$345 million increase in noninterest income and a \$581 million reduction in the provision for credit losses were largely offset by a \$683 million increase in noninterest expense. Noninterest income increased due to higher mortgage banking income of \$195 million and an increase of \$150 million in other income due to gains on the sales of certain ancillary servicing business units. The provision for credit losses decreased due to improved portfolio trends in the non-PCI home equity portfolio and an improved home price outlook in the home equity PCI loan portfolio, partially offset by the impact of new regulatory guidance regarding the treatment of loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. For more information on the new regulatory guidance, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management on page 86.

Noninterest expense increased \$683 million primarily due to an increase of \$768 million in default-related servicing expenses and a \$134 million increase in litigation expense, partially offset by a \$219 million decline in mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays. We believe default-related servicing costs peaked during the third quarter of 2012 and we expect them to decline in the fourth quarter of 2012, with the decline accelerating in 2013. However, unexpected foreclosure delays in 2013 could impact the rate of decline. Default-related servicing costs include costs related to resources needed for implementing new servicing standards mandated for the industry, including as part of the National Mortgage Settlement, other operational changes and operational costs due to delayed foreclosures.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

The Legacy Assets & Servicing net loss decreased \$15.2 billion to \$3.4 billion driven by a \$15.8 billion increase in mortgage banking income, a \$4.1 billion decrease in noninterest expense and a \$2.4 billion decrease in the provision for credit losses. The increase in mortgage banking income was due to a decrease of \$14.3 billion in representations and warranties expense as the provision of \$15.3 billion in the year-ago period included \$8.6 billion in provision and other expenses related to the BNY Mellon Settlement to resolve nearly all of the legacy Countrywide-issued first-lien non-GSE repurchase exposures, and \$6.7 billion in provision related to other non-GSE, and to a lesser extent, GSE exposures. The provision for credit losses decreased due to the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

Noninterest expense decreased \$4.1 billion primarily due to a \$2.6 billion goodwill impairment charge in 2011, a \$2.2 billion decline in litigation expense and \$1.4 billion lower mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays. These declines were partially offset by an increase of \$2.1 billion in default-related servicing expenses due to resources needed to implement new servicing standards mandated for the industry, including as part of the National Mortgage Settlement, other operational changes and costs due to delayed foreclosures.

Table of Contents

Legacy Assets & Servicing Portfolio

The Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio includes owned residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and discontinued real estate loans that would not have been originated under our underwriting standards at December 31, 2010. The Countrywide PCI portfolio as well as certain loans that met a pre-defined delinquency status or probability of default threshold as of January 1, 2011 are also included in the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio. The residential mortgage and discontinued real estate loans are held primarily on the balance sheet of All Other and the home equity loans are held in Legacy Assets & Servicing. Since determining the pool of owned loans to be included in the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio as of January 1, 2011, the criteria have not changed for this portfolio. However, the criteria for inclusion of certain assets and liabilities in the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio will continue to be evaluated over time.

The total owned loans in the Legacy Assets & Servicing portfolio decreased \$18.2 billion to \$136.7 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to \$154.9 billion at December 31, 2011, of which \$51.0 billion of the September 30, 2012 balance was reflected on the balance sheet of Legacy Assets & Servicing within CRES and the remainder held on the balance sheet of All Other. The decline was primarily related to paydowns and payoffs, but also reflects forgiveness of loans in connection with the National Mortgage Settlement, and charge-offs recorded on loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy under new regulatory guidance issued during the third quarter of 2012. For more information on the National Mortgage Settlement and the new regulatory guidance, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management on page 86.

Mortgage Banking Income

CRES mortgage banking income is categorized into production and servicing income. Core production income is comprised of revenue from the fair value gains and losses recognized on our interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) and LHFS, the related secondary market execution, and costs related to representations and warranties in the sales transactions along with other obligations incurred in the sales of mortgage loans. Ongoing costs related to representations and warranties and other obligations that were incurred in the sales of mortgage loans in prior periods are also included in production income.

Servicing income includes income earned in connection with servicing activities and MSR valuation adjustments, net of economic hedge activities. The costs associated with our servicing activities are included in noninterest expense.

The table below summarizes the components of mortgage banking income.

Mortgage Banking Income

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Production income (loss):				
Core production revenue	\$942	\$803	\$2,756	\$2,295
Representations and warranties provision	(307)	(278)	(984)	(15,328)
Total production income (loss)	635	525	1,772	(13,033)
Servicing income:				
Servicing fees	1,088	1,538	3,622	4,700
Impact of customer payments ⁽¹⁾	(346)	(664)	(1,149)	(2,009)
Fair value changes of MSRs, net of economic hedge results ⁽²⁾	560	360	948	(510)
Other servicing-related revenue	255	41	641	329
Total net servicing income	1,557	1,275	4,062	2,510

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Total CRES mortgage banking income (loss)	2,192	1,800	5,834	(10,523)
Eliminations ⁽³⁾	(173) (183) (544) (426)
Total consolidated mortgage banking income (loss)	\$2,019	\$1,617	\$5,290	\$(10,949)

(1) Represents the change in the market value of the MSR asset due to the impact of customer payments received during the period.

(2) Includes gains (losses) on sales of MSRs.

(3) Includes the effect of transfers of mortgage loans from CRES to the ALM portfolio in All Other.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

CRES first mortgage loan originations declined \$14.9 billion, or 49 percent, primarily as a result of our exit from the correspondent lending channel in 2011. Core production revenue increased \$139 million to \$942 million due to higher direct retail originations and margins. CRES retail first mortgage loan originations were \$15.6 billion compared to \$14.5 billion, excluding correspondent lending, reflecting a drop in estimated retail market share as the overall market for mortgages increased. Our decline in market share was primarily due to our decisions to price loan products in order to manage demand. The decline in mortgage originations was offset by the increase in margins on retail originations primarily as a result of these pricing strategies and a change in the mix of loan products. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, 83 percent of our first mortgage production volume was for refinance originations and 17 percent was for purchase originations compared to 53 percent and 47 percent in 2011.

Net servicing income increased \$282 million to \$1.6 billion primarily due to the reduced impact of customer payments driven by a lower MSR asset, and improved MSR results, net of hedges. The improved MSR results, net of hedges, were partially offset by lower servicing fees primarily due to a reduction in the size of the servicing portfolio and lower servicing fee rates.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

CRES first mortgage loan originations declined \$79.3 billion, or 65 percent, primarily as a result of our exit from the correspondent lending channel in 2011 and a decline in retail production. Core production revenue increased \$461 million to \$2.8 billion due to higher direct retail margins. CRES retail first mortgage loan originations were \$42.0 billion compared to \$56.2 billion, excluding correspondent lending, reflecting a drop in estimated retail market share as the overall market for mortgages increased. Our decline in market share was primarily due to our decisions to price loan products in order to manage demand. The impact of our exit from the correspondent lending channel and the decline in retail originations were offset by higher retail margins primarily driven by our pricing strategies and a change in the mix of loan products. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, 83 percent of our first mortgage production volume was for refinance originations and 17 percent was for purchase originations compared to 59 percent and 41 percent in 2011. Since March 31, 2012, the estimated retail market share for CRES has increased primarily due to expanded fulfillment capacity.

The representations and warranties provision decreased \$14.3 billion to \$984 million as the provision of \$15.3 billion in the year-ago period included \$8.6 billion in provision and other expenses related to the BNY Mellon Settlement to resolve nearly all of the legacy Countrywide-issued first-lien non-GSE repurchase exposures, and \$6.7 billion in provision related to other non-GSE, and to a lesser extent, GSE exposures.

Net servicing income increased \$1.6 billion to \$4.1 billion primarily due to the factors described in the three-month discussion above. For additional information, see Note 18 – Mortgage Servicing Rights to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Key Statistics

(Dollars in millions, except as noted)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Loan production				
Total Corporation ⁽¹⁾ :				
First mortgage	\$20,315	\$33,038	\$53,558	\$130,142
First mortgage (excluding correspondent lending)	20,315	17,131	53,558	65,159
Home equity	933	847	2,623	3,629
CRES:				
First mortgage	\$15,566	\$30,448	\$41,957	\$121,220
First mortgage (excluding correspondent lending)	15,566	14,541	41,957	56,237
Home equity	746	660	2,067	3,114
Period end			September 30 2012	December 31 2011
Mortgage servicing portfolio (in billions) ⁽²⁾			\$1,476	\$1,763
Mortgage loans serviced for investors (in billions)			1,142	1,379
Mortgage servicing rights:				
Balance			5,087	7,378
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights (% of loans serviced for investors)			45 bps	54 bps

⁽¹⁾ In addition to loan production in CRES, the remaining first mortgage and home equity loan production is primarily in GWIM.

⁽²⁾ Servicing of residential mortgage loans, HELOCs, home equity loans and discontinued real estate mortgage loans.

Retail first mortgage production for the total Corporation was \$20.3 billion and \$53.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$17.1 billion and \$65.2 billion for the same periods in 2011, excluding correspondent lending. The increase of \$3.2 billion for the three-month period was primarily driven by increased volume in the mortgage market. The decrease of \$11.6 billion for the nine-month period was primarily driven by a strategic decision to price loan products in order to manage our fulfillment capacity.

Home equity production was \$933 million and \$2.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$847 million and \$3.6 billion for the same periods in 2011 primarily due to our decision to exit the reverse mortgage origination business.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

At September 30, 2012, the consumer MSR balance was \$5.1 billion, which represented 45 bps of the related unpaid principal balance compared to \$7.4 billion or 54 bps of the related unpaid principal balance at December 31, 2011. The consumer MSR balance decreased \$621 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 primarily driven by lower mortgage rates, which resulted in higher forecasted prepayment speeds and the change in the MSR asset value due to customer payments received during the period. Fair value changes of MSRs, net of economic hedge results, were \$560 million and \$948 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the positive hedge results more than offset the market valuation decline. The hedges outperformed the MSRs due to significant upward price movements in the MBS market near the end of the third quarter. For more information, see Executive Summary – Third Quarter 2012 Economic and Business Environment on page 7. For additional information on our servicing activities, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Servicing Matters and Foreclosure Processes on page 67. For additional information on

MSRs, see Note 18 – Mortgage Servicing Rights to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Global Banking

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$2,264	\$2,323	(3)	\$6,847	\$7,181	(5)
Noninterest income:						
Service charges	796	828	(4)	2,418	2,618	(8)
Investment banking fees	662	616	7	1,945	2,431	(20)
All other income	425	184	131	1,672	1,081	55
Total noninterest income	1,883	1,628	16	6,035	6,130	(2)
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	4,147	3,951	5	12,882	13,311	(3)
Provision for credit losses	68	(182)	n/m	(283)	(862)	(67)
Noninterest expense	2,023	2,217	(9)	6,364	6,748	(6)
Income before income taxes	2,056	1,916	7	6,801	7,425	(8)
Income tax expense (FTE basis)	761	710	7	2,509	2,716	(8)
Net income	\$1,295	\$1,206	7	\$4,292	\$4,709	(9)
Net interest yield (FTE basis)	2.92	% 3.06	%	3.02	% 3.34	%
Return on average allocated equity	11.15	10.03		12.47	13.17	
Return on average economic capital	24.14	20.87		27.18	27.06	
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	48.74	56.12		49.40	50.70	

Balance Sheet

Average

Total loans and leases	\$267,390	\$268,174	—	\$270,747	\$261,766	3
Total earning assets	308,357	301,384	2	302,493	287,388	5
Total assets	355,670	348,087	2	348,461	333,995	4
Total deposits	252,226	246,395	2	243,028	236,151	3
Allocated equity	46,223	47,682	(3)	45,967	47,820	(4)
Economic capital	21,371	22,958	(7)	21,111	23,291	(9)

Period end

	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	
Total loans and leases	\$272,052	\$278,177	(2)
Total earning assets	308,370	301,662	2
Total assets	355,417	348,773	2
Total deposits	260,030	246,360	6

n/m = not meaningful

Global Banking, which includes Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking, and Investment Banking, provides a wide range of lending-related products and services, integrated working capital management and treasury solutions to clients, underwriting and advisory services through our network of offices and client relationship teams along with various product partners. Our lending products and services include commercial loans, leases, commitment facilities, trade finance, real estate lending, asset-based lending and indirect consumer loans. Our treasury solutions business includes treasury management, foreign exchange and short-term investing options. We also work with our clients to provide investment banking products such as debt and equity underwriting and distribution, and

merger-related and other advisory services. Underwriting debt and equity issuances, fixed-income and equity research, and certain market-based activities are executed through our global broker/dealer affiliates which are our primary dealers in several countries. Within Global Banking, Global Commercial Banking clients generally include middle-market companies, commercial real estate firms, auto dealerships, federal and state governments, and municipalities. Global Corporate Banking includes large global corporations, financial institutions and leasing clients.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income increased \$89 million to \$1.3 billion driven by an increase in revenue of \$196 million, or five percent, primarily due to gains on the fair value option loan book and lower noninterest expense, partially offset by an increase in the provision for credit losses.

The provision for credit losses was \$68 million compared to a benefit of \$182 million for the same period in 2011 primarily driven by stabilization in asset quality and core commercial loan growth in the portfolio.

Noninterest expense decreased \$194 million, or nine percent, to \$2.0 billion primarily due to lower personnel expenses and operational costs.

Average loans and leases were relatively unchanged as managed reductions in the commercial real estate portfolio and run-off of a liquidating auto loan portfolio were partially offset by growth in U.S. and non-U.S. commercial and industrial loans. Average deposits increased \$5.8 billion, or two percent, as balances continued to grow from excess market liquidity, growth in international balances and limited alternative investment options.

The return on average economic capital increased due to a decrease in average economic capital and higher net income. Average economic capital decreased seven percent primarily due to a reduction in credit risk.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income decreased \$417 million to \$4.3 billion driven by an increase in the provision for credit losses and a decrease in revenue, partially offset by lower noninterest expense. Revenue decreased \$429 million, or three percent, primarily due to lower investment banking fees, lower net interest income as a result of spread compression and the benefit from accretion on certain acquired portfolios in the prior-year period, partially offset by the impact of higher average loan and deposit balances and gains from certain legacy portfolios.

The provision for credit losses was a benefit of \$283 million compared to a benefit of \$862 million for the same period in 2011 primarily driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above as well as the accelerated rate of loan resolutions in the commercial real estate portfolio in the prior-year period.

Noninterest expense decreased \$384 million, or six percent, to \$6.4 billion primarily driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

Average loans and leases increased \$9.0 billion, or three percent, primarily driven by growth in U.S. and non-U.S. commercial and industrial loans in large corporate, middle market and trade finance, partially offset by managed reductions in commercial real estate. Average deposits increased \$6.9 billion, or three percent, primarily driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

The return on average economic capital increased marginally as a decrease in average economic capital was partially offset by lower net income. Average economic capital decreased primarily due to a reduction in credit risk. For more information regarding economic capital, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

Table of Contents

Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking

Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking includes Global Treasury Services and Business Lending activities. Global Treasury Services includes deposit, treasury management, credit card, foreign exchange, short-term investment and custody solutions to corporate and commercial banking clients. Business Lending includes various loan-related products and services including commercial loans, leases, commitment facilities, trade financing, real estate lending, asset-based lending and indirect consumer loans. The table below presents a summary of Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking results.

Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30					
	Global Corporate Banking		Global Commercial Banking		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue						
Global Treasury Services	\$649	\$617	\$881	\$864	\$1,530	\$1,481
Business Lending	779	775	1,137	1,161	1,916	1,936
Total revenue, net of interest expense	\$1,428	\$1,392	\$2,018	\$2,025	\$3,446	\$3,417
Average						
Total loans and leases	\$107,300	\$106,369	\$159,206	\$160,779	\$266,506	\$267,148
Total deposits	116,064	114,130	136,128	132,215	252,192	246,345
	Nine Months Ended September 30					
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue						
Global Treasury Services	\$1,913	\$1,875	\$2,731	\$2,649	\$4,644	\$4,524
Business Lending	2,509	2,547	3,400	3,750	5,909	6,297
Total revenue, net of interest expense	\$4,422	\$4,422	\$6,131	\$6,399	\$10,553	\$10,821
Average						
Total loans and leases	\$109,526	\$98,129	\$160,577	\$162,501	\$270,103	\$260,630
Total deposits	110,148	109,311	132,851	126,792	242,999	236,103
Period end						
Total loans and leases	\$107,897	\$110,752	\$162,541	\$161,811	\$270,438	\$272,563
Total deposits	122,551	106,394	137,433	130,115	259,984	236,509

Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking revenue was relatively unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and decreased \$268 million to \$10.6 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011.

Global Treasury Services revenue increased \$32 million in Global Corporate Banking and \$17 million in Global Commercial Banking for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as growth in U.S. and non-U.S. deposit volumes was offset by the impact of the low rate environment. Global Treasury Services revenue increased \$38 million in Global Corporate Banking and \$82 million in Global Commercial Banking for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily driven by the same factors.

Business Lending revenue in Global Corporate Banking was relatively unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as gains in the fair value option loan book were offset by lower net interest income. Business Lending revenue in Global Corporate Banking declined \$38 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from lower net interest income impacted by the low rate environment and lower accretion on acquired portfolios, partially offset by the gains in the fair value option loan book. Business Lending revenue declined \$24 million and \$350 million in Global Commercial Banking for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 as managed reductions of commercial real estate criticized assets and run-off of a liquidating auto loan portfolio, and lower accretion on acquired portfolios were partially offset by increases in the commercial and industrial loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

Average loans and leases in Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking were relatively unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and increased four percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Growth in U.S. and non-U.S. commercial and industrial loans driven by continued international demand and improved domestic momentum was partially offset by managed reductions of commercial real estate criticized assets and run-off of a liquidating auto loan portfolio. Average deposits in Global Corporate and Global Commercial Banking increased two and three percent for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 as balances continued to grow due to excess market liquidity, international growth and limited alternative investment options.

Investment Banking

Client teams and product specialists underwrite and distribute debt, equity and other loan products, and provide advisory services and tailored risk management solutions. The economics of certain investment banking and underwriting activities are shared primarily between Global Banking and Global Markets based on the contribution by and involvement of each segment. To provide a complete discussion of our consolidated investment banking income, the table below presents total Corporation investment banking income as well as the portion attributable to Global Banking.

Investment Banking Fees

	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	Global Banking		Total Corporation		Global Banking		Total Corporation	
(Dollars in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Products								
Advisory	\$206	\$260	\$221	\$273	\$710	\$918	\$764	\$975
Debt issuance	341	227	867	511	935	1,034	2,291	2,294
Equity issuance	115	129	279	320	300	479	776	1,191
Gross investment banking fees	662	616	1,367	1,104	1,945	2,431	3,831	4,460
Self-led	(5)	(76)	(31)	(162)	(30)	(113)	(132)	(256)
Total investment banking fees	\$657	\$540	\$1,336	\$942	\$1,915	\$2,318	\$3,699	\$4,204

Total Corporation investment banking fees, excluding self-led deals, increased \$394 million, or 42 percent, and decreased \$505 million, or 12 percent, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Fees for the three-month period increased primarily due to strong performance in capital markets underwriting activity during the quarter. Fees for the nine-month period decreased primarily driven by lower equity underwriting and advisory fees due and an overall decline in global fee pools.

Table of Contents

Global Markets

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$846	\$925	(9)%	\$2,294	\$2,819	(19)%
Noninterest income:						
Investment and brokerage services	425	584	(27)	1,380	1,788	(23)
Investment banking fees	553	438	26	1,546	1,788	(14)
Trading account profits	1,238	1,420	(13)	4,981	6,048	(18)
All other income (loss)	44	(73)	n/m	463	537	(14)
Total noninterest income	2,260	2,369	(5)	8,370	10,161	(18)
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	3,106	3,294	(6)	10,664	12,980	(18)
Provision for credit losses	21	3	n/m	(13)	(38)	(66)
Noninterest expense	2,545	2,966	(14)	8,333	9,343	(11)
Income before income taxes	540	325	66	2,344	3,675	(36)
Income tax expense (FTE basis)	899	878	2	1,444	1,922	(25)
Net income (loss)	\$(359)	\$(553)	(35)	\$900	\$1,753	(49)
Return on average allocated equity	n/m	n/m		6.87	% 9.92	%
Return on average economic capital	n/m	n/m		9.42	12.39	
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	81.95	% 90.02	%	78.15	71.97	

Balance Sheet

Average

Total trading-related assets ⁽¹⁾	\$462,138	\$489,172	(6)	\$456,932	\$481,925	(5)
Total earning assets ⁽¹⁾	446,934	445,431	—	438,640	456,102	(4)
Total assets	584,332	604,333	(3)	574,993	603,083	(5)
Allocated equity	17,068	21,609	(21)	17,504	23,636	(26)
Economic capital	12,417	16,954	(27)	12,868	19,020	(32)

Period end

	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	
Total trading-related assets ⁽¹⁾	\$455,161	\$397,876	14
Total earning assets ⁽¹⁾	445,210	372,851	19
Total assets	583,203	501,824	16

⁽¹⁾ Trading-related assets include assets which are not considered earning assets (i.e., derivative assets).

Global Markets offers sales and trading services, including research, to institutional clients across fixed-income, credit, currency, commodity and equity businesses. Global Markets product coverage includes securities and derivative products in both the primary and secondary markets. Global Markets provides market-making, financing, securities clearing, settlement and custody services globally to our institutional investor clients in support of their investing and trading activities. We also work with our commercial and corporate clients to provide risk management products using interest rate, equity, credit, currency and commodity derivatives, foreign exchange, fixed-income and mortgage-related products. As a result of our market-making activities in these products, we may be required to manage risk in government securities, equity and equity-linked securities, high-grade and high-yield corporate debt securities, commercial paper, MBS, commodities and asset-backed securities (ABS). In addition, the economics of

certain investment banking and underwriting activities are shared primarily between Global Markets and Global Banking based on the activities performed by each segment. Global Banking originates certain deal-related transactions with our corporate and commercial clients that are executed and distributed by Global Markets. For additional information on investment banking fees on a consolidated basis, see page 48.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

The net loss decreased \$194 million to \$359 million. Net DVA losses were \$582 million compared to net DVA gains of \$1.7 billion. Excluding net DVA, the current-year period reflected net income of \$8 million, an increase of \$1.6 billion primarily driven by higher sales and trading revenue. Investment banking fees rose \$115 million to \$553 million due to an increase in capital markets underwriting activity. Noninterest expense decreased \$421 million, or 14 percent, to \$2.5 billion due to lower personnel-related expenses and operational costs. Income tax expense included a \$781 million charge for remeasurement of certain deferred tax assets due to decreases in the U.K. corporate tax rate compared to a similar charge of \$774 million in the prior-year period.

Average economic capital decreased due to a decline in trading risk primarily due to lower trading-related balances.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income decreased \$853 million to \$900 million. Net DVA losses were \$2.2 billion compared to net DVA gains of \$1.5 billion. Excluding net DVA, net income increased \$1.4 billion to \$2.3 billion primarily driven by higher sales and trading revenue. Investment banking fees decreased \$242 million to \$1.5 billion primarily driven by lower equity underwriting fees due to an overall decline in the global fee pools. Noninterest expense decreased \$1.0 billion, or 11 percent, to \$8.3 billion due to a reduction in personnel-related expenses, brokerage, clearing and exchange fees, and operational costs.

Average earning assets decreased \$17.5 billion to \$438.6 billion driven by the movement of certain equity securities to non-earning trading-related assets. At September 30, 2012, period-end earning assets were \$445.2 billion, an increase of \$72.4 billion from December 31, 2011 primarily due to client activity resulting in increases in trading-related assets and securities borrowed transactions.

Average economic capital decreased due to the same factor as described in the three-month discussion above. The return on average economic capital decreased due primarily to lower net income. For more information regarding economic capital, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

Sales and Trading Revenue

Sales and trading revenue includes unrealized and realized gains and losses on trading and other assets, net interest income, and fees primarily from commissions on equity securities. The table below and related discussion present total sales and trading revenue, substantially all of which is in Global Markets with the remainder in Global Banking. Sales and trading revenue is segregated into fixed income (investment and non-investment grade corporate debt obligations, commercial mortgage-backed securities, RMBS and collateralized debt obligations (CDOs)), currencies (interest rate and foreign exchange contracts), commodities (primarily futures, forwards, swaps and options) and equity income from equity-linked derivatives and cash equity activity.

Sales and Trading Revenue ^(1, 2)

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30 2012	2011	September 30 2012	2011
Sales and trading revenue				
Fixed income, currencies and commodities	\$2,000	\$2,058	\$7,261	\$8,089
Equity income	664	957	2,330	3,273
Total sales and trading revenue	\$2,664	\$3,015	\$9,591	\$11,362

Sales and trading revenue, excluding net DVA				
Fixed income, currencies and commodities	\$2,534	\$553	\$9,219	\$6,800
Equity income	712	753	2,544	3,087
Total sales and trading revenue, excluding net DVA	\$3,246	\$1,306	\$11,763	\$9,887

Includes a FTE adjustment of \$58 million and \$163 million for the three and nine months ended September 30,

(1) 2012 compared to \$46 million and \$145 million for the same periods in 2011. For additional information on sales and trading revenue, see Note 3 – Derivatives to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Includes Global Banking sales and trading revenue of \$111 million and \$473 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$159 million and \$170 million for the same periods in 2011.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Fixed income, currencies and commodities (FICC) revenue decreased \$58 million, or three percent, to \$2.0 billion including net DVA. Net DVA losses included in FICC revenue were \$534 million compared to net DVA gains of \$1.5 billion in the year-ago period. Excluding net DVA, FICC revenue increased \$2.0 billion to \$2.5 billion due to an improved global economic climate resulting in tightening of spreads in the credit markets and increased investor confidence. Equity income decreased \$293 million, or 31 percent, to \$664 million including net DVA. Net DVA losses included in equity income were \$48 million compared to net DVA gains of \$204 million in the year-ago period. Excluding net DVA, equity income decreased \$41 million, or five percent, to \$712 million as volumes remained at low levels impacting commissions. Sales and trading revenue included total commissions and brokerage fee revenue of \$425 million (\$409 million from equities and \$16 million from FICC) for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$584 million (\$557 million from equities and \$27 million from FICC) for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The \$159 million decrease in commissions and brokerage fee revenue was primarily due to lower market volumes.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

FICC revenue decreased \$828 million, or 10 percent, to \$7.3 billion including net DVA. Net DVA losses included in FICC revenue were \$2.0 billion compared to net DVA gains of \$1.3 billion in the year-ago period. Excluding net DVA, FICC revenue increased \$2.4 billion, or 36 percent, to \$9.2 billion primarily driven by our rates and currencies business as a result of stronger client flows and improved positioning, a gain on the sale of an equity investment in our mortgage business as well as improvement in the credit markets and trading environment due to the same factors described in the three-month discussion above. This was partially offset by our exit from the stand-alone proprietary trading business in June 2011. Equity income decreased \$943 million, or 29 percent, to \$2.3 billion including net DVA in the year-ago period. Net DVA losses included in equity income were \$214 million compared to net DVA gains of \$186 million in the year-ago period. Excluding net DVA, equity income decreased \$543 million, or 18 percent, to \$2.5 billion driven by the same factors described in the three-month discussion above. Sales and trading revenue included total commissions and brokerage fee revenue of \$1.4 billion (\$1.3 billion from equities and \$41 million from FICC) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$1.8 billion (\$1.7 billion from equities and \$83 million from FICC) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The \$408 million decrease was due to the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

Table of Contents

Global Wealth & Investment Management

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$1,458	\$1,412	3 %	\$4,482	\$4,555	(2)%
Noninterest income:						
Investment and brokerage services	2,293	2,364	(3)	6,922	7,120	(3)
All other income	527	462	14	1,550	1,554	—
Total noninterest income	2,820	2,826	—	8,472	8,674	(2)
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	4,278	4,238	1	12,954	13,229	(2)
Provision for credit losses	61	162	(62)	154	280	(45)
Noninterest expense	3,355	3,500	(4)	10,201	10,702	(5)
Income before income taxes	862	576	50	2,599	2,247	16
Income tax expense (FTE basis)	320	214	50	960	823	17
Net income	\$542	\$362	50	\$1,639	\$1,424	15
Net interest yield (FTE basis)	2.22	% 2.07	%	2.29	% 2.23	%
Return on average allocated equity	11.42	8.06		12.14	10.72	
Return on average economic capital	26.31	20.55		29.88	27.40	
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis)	78.45	82.58		78.75	80.90	

Balance Sheet

Average

Total loans and leases	\$106,092	\$102,786	3	\$104,416	\$101,953	2
Total earning assets	261,219	271,207	(4)	261,148	272,523	(4)
Total assets	280,840	290,974	(3)	280,893	292,562	(4)
Total deposits	253,942	255,882	(1)	252,595	256,667	(2)
Allocated equity	18,871	17,826	6	18,027	17,772	1
Economic capital	8,271	7,135	16	7,407	7,064	5

Period end	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	
Total loans and leases	\$107,500	\$103,460	4
Total earning assets	263,674	263,501	—
Total assets	283,949	284,062	—
Total deposits	256,114	253,264	1

GWIM consists of two primary businesses: Merrill Lynch Global Wealth Management (MLGWM) and U.S. Trust, Bank of America Private Wealth Management (U.S. Trust).

MLGWM's advisory business provides a high-touch client experience through a network of financial advisors focused on clients with over \$250,000 in total investable assets. MLGWM provides tailored solutions to meet our clients' needs through a full set of brokerage, banking and retirement products in both domestic and international locations.

U.S. Trust, together with MLGWM's Private Banking & Investments Group, provides comprehensive wealth management solutions targeted to wealthy and ultra-wealthy clients with investable assets of more than \$5 million, as

well as customized solutions to meet clients' wealth structuring, investment management, trust and banking needs, including specialty asset management services.

Table of Contents

In the third quarter of 2012, the Corporation entered into an agreement to sell the GWIM international wealth management business with approximately \$84 billion in client balances. The sale is subject to regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions with the first of a series of closings expected in the first quarter of 2013. The transaction is not expected to have a significant impact on the Corporation's balance sheet, results of operations or capital ratios.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income increased \$180 million to \$542 million driven by lower noninterest expense, lower provision for credit losses and higher revenue. Revenue increased \$40 million, or one percent, to \$4.3 billion primarily due to higher all other income driven by market origination revenue and higher net interest income driven by ALM activities. These were partially offset by lower investment and brokerage services revenue due to lower transactional activity. Noninterest expense decreased \$145 million, or four percent, to \$3.4 billion driven by lower FDIC expense, lower support and personnel costs, and other expense reductions, partially offset by continued investment in the business.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$101 million to \$61 million due to lower delinquencies and improving portfolio trends within the residential mortgage portfolio.

Revenue from MLGWM was \$3.6 billion, up one percent, driven by higher net interest income due to ALM activities. Revenue from U.S. Trust was relatively unchanged at \$627 million, as higher net interest income was largely offset by lower noninterest income.

The return on average economic capital increased as higher net income more than offset the increase in average economic capital. Average economic capital was higher primarily due to loan growth.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net income increased \$215 million to \$1.6 billion driven by lower noninterest expense and lower provision for credit losses, partially offset by lower revenue. Revenue decreased \$275 million, or two percent, to \$13.0 billion driven by lower investment and brokerage services revenue due to lower transactional activity and lower net interest income driven by the impact of the continued low rate environment. Noninterest expense decreased \$501 million, or five percent, to \$10.2 billion driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$126 million to \$154 million due to the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

Revenue from MLGWM was \$11.0 billion, down two percent, and revenue from U.S. Trust was \$1.9 billion, down four percent, driven by lower noninterest income due to lower transactional revenue, and lower net interest income.

The return on average economic capital increased due to the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above. For more information regarding economic capital, see Supplemental Financial Data on page 19.

Migration Summary

GWIM results are impacted by the migration of clients and their related deposit and loan balances to or from CBB, CRES and the ALM portfolio, as presented in the table below. Migration in 2011 included the movement of balances to Merrill Edge, which is in CBB. Subsequent to the date of the migration, the associated net interest income, noninterest income and noninterest expense are recorded in the business to which the clients migrated.

Migration Summary

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Average				
Total deposits — GWIM from / (to) CBB	\$456	\$(2,195) \$242	\$(1,870)
Total loans — GWIM to CRES and the ALM portfolio	(281) (231) (192) (139)
Period end				
Total deposits — GWIM from / (to) CBB	\$5	\$(438) \$656	\$(2,938)
Total loans — GWIM to CRES and the ALM portfolio	(58) (65) (281) (254)

Table of Contents

Client Balances

The table below presents client balances which consist of assets under management (AUM), client brokerage assets, assets in custody, client deposits, and loans and leases.

Client Balances by Type

(Dollars in millions)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011
Assets under management	\$ 707,769	\$ 647,126
Brokerage assets	1,070,785	1,024,193
Assets in custody	115,356	107,989
Deposits	256,114	253,264
Loans and leases ⁽¹⁾	110,862	106,672
Total client balances	\$ 2,260,886	\$ 2,139,244

(1) Includes margin receivables which are classified in customer and other receivables on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The increase of \$121.6 billion, or six percent, in client balances was driven primarily by higher market levels and inflows into long-term AUM.

Table of Contents

All Other

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Net interest income (FTE basis)	\$219	\$8	n/m	\$725	\$1,377	(47)%
Noninterest income:						
Card income	93	72	29 %	264	375	(30)
Equity investment income	165	1,380	(88)	519	3,935	(87)
Gains on sales of debt securities	327	697	(53)	1,393	1,996	(30)
All other income (loss)	(1,844)	4,113	n/m	(4,167)	3,233	n/m
Total noninterest income (loss)	(1,259)	6,262	n/m	(1,991)	9,539	n/m
Total revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	(1,040)	6,270	n/m	(1,266)	10,916	n/m
Provision for credit losses	390	1,374	(72)	2,172	5,380	(60)
Merger and restructuring charges	—	176	n/m	—	537	n/m
All other noninterest expense	1,336	581	130	4,485	2,909	54
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,766)	4,139	n/m	(7,923)	2,090	n/m
Income tax benefit (FTE basis)	(1,220)	(535)	128	(3,441)	(1,298)	165
Net income (loss)	\$(1,546)	\$4,674	n/m	\$(4,482)	\$3,388	n/m

Balance Sheet

Average

Loans and leases:

Residential mortgage	\$211,018	\$230,094	(8)	\$216,652	\$227,732	(5)
Non-U.S. credit card	13,329	25,434	(48)	13,706	26,767	(49)
Discontinued real estate	9,948	11,871	(16)	10,322	12,403	(17)
Other	15,536	19,354	(20)	16,387	20,723	(21)
Total loans and leases	249,831	286,753	(13)	257,067	287,625	(11)
Total assets ⁽¹⁾	276,710	355,705	(22)	299,630	380,958	(21)
Total deposits	26,742	52,742	(49)	32,518	50,201	(35)
Allocated equity ⁽²⁾	86,563	68,672	26	85,689	70,594	21

Period end	September 30		
	2012	2011	

Loans and leases:

Residential mortgage	\$207,300	\$224,654	(8)
Non-U.S. credit card	13,319	14,418	(8)
Discontinued real estate	9,876	11,095	(11)
Other	15,760	17,455	(10)
Total loans and leases	246,255	267,622	(8)
Total assets ⁽¹⁾	263,967	309,578	(15)
Total deposits	24,960	32,834	(24)

⁽¹⁾ For presentation purposes, in segments where the total of liabilities and equity exceeds assets, which are generally deposit-taking segments, we allocate assets from All Other to those segments to match liabilities (i.e., deposits) and allocated equity. Such allocated assets were \$539.0 billion and \$522.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$509.8 billion and \$499.3 billion for the same periods in 2011, and \$539.0 billion

and \$495.3 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Represents the economic capital assigned to All Other as well as the remaining portion of equity not specifically
(2) allocated to the business segments. Allocated equity increased due to the disposition of certain assets, as previously disclosed.

n/m = not meaningful

Table of Contents

All Other consists of ALM activities, equity investments, liquidating businesses and other. ALM activities encompass the whole-loan residential mortgage portfolio and investment securities, interest rate and foreign currency risk management activities including the residual net interest income allocation, gains/losses on structured liabilities, and the impact of certain allocation methodologies and accounting hedge ineffectiveness. For more information on our ALM activities, see Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities on page 139. Equity investments includes Global Principal Investments (GPI) which is comprised of a diversified portfolio of equity, real estate and other alternative investments. These investments are made either directly in a company or held through a fund with related income recorded in equity investment income. Equity investments also includes strategic investments, which include our investment in CCB in which we currently hold approximately one percent of the outstanding common shares, and certain other investments. Other includes certain residential mortgage and discontinued real estate loans that are managed by Legacy Assets & Servicing within CRES.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

The decrease to a net loss of \$1.5 billion compared to net income of \$4.7 billion was primarily due to negative fair value adjustments on structured liabilities of \$1.3 billion related to the improvement in our credit spreads during the 2012 period compared to positive fair value adjustments of \$4.5 billion in the same period in 2011 and a \$1.2 billion decrease in equity investment income. Partially offsetting these items was a \$1.0 billion reduction in the provision for credit losses. Equity investment income decreased as the year-ago quarter included a \$3.6 billion gain on the sale of a portion of our investment in CCB, partially offset by \$2.2 billion of net losses related to equity and strategic investments other than CCB.

Noninterest expense increased \$755 million due to higher litigation expense primarily related to the costs associated with the previously announced Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement and other litigation. For additional information, see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Excluding litigation expense, noninterest expense decreased compared to the same period in 2011. There were no merger and restructuring expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$176 million in the same period in 2011.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$1.0 billion to \$390 million primarily driven by continued improvement in credit quality in the residential mortgage portfolio, as well as the sale of the Canadian consumer credit card portfolio in the same period in 2011 and improvements in delinquencies in the remaining non-U.S. credit card portfolio.

The income tax benefit was \$1.2 billion compared to a benefit of \$535 million and changed primarily as a result of a pre-tax loss compared to pre-tax income, partially offset by the absence of prior-year benefits for a valuation allowance reduction and the recognition of certain deferred tax assets and recurring tax preference items.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

The decrease to a net loss of \$4.5 billion compared to net income of \$3.4 billion was primarily due to negative fair value adjustments on structured liabilities of \$4.7 billion related to the improvement in our credit spreads during the 2012 period compared to \$4.1 billion of positive fair value adjustments in the same period in 2011 and a \$3.4 billion decrease in equity investment income. Partially offsetting these items was a \$3.2 billion reduction in the provision for credit losses and \$1.7 billion of net gains resulting from repurchases of certain debt and trust preferred securities in 2012. The decrease in equity investment income was a result of the same factors described in the three-month discussion above and also included an \$836 million CCB dividend and a \$377 million gain on the sale of an equity investment, partially offset by an additional impairment write-down of \$500 million on our merchant services joint venture in the nine-month period in 2011.

Noninterest expense increased \$1.6 billion driven by the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above, partially offset by a decrease in personnel expense. There were no merger and restructuring expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$537 million in the same period in 2011.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$3.2 billion to \$2.2 billion driven by the same factors described in the three-month discussion above as well as lower provision related to the Countrywide PCI discontinued real estate and residential mortgage portfolios.

The income tax benefit was \$3.4 billion compared to a benefit of \$1.3 billion and changed primarily due to the same factors as described in the three-month discussion above.

Table of Contents

Equity Investment Activity

The tables below present the components of equity investments in All Other at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and also a reconciliation to the total consolidated equity investment income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Equity Investments

(Dollars in millions)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011
Global Principal Investments	\$3,674	\$5,659
Strategic and other investments	1,847	1,343
Total equity investments included in All Other	\$5,521	\$7,002

Equity Investment Income

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Global Principal Investments	\$156	\$(1,580)	\$422	\$188
Strategic and other investments	9	2,960	97	3,747
Total equity investment income included in All Other	165	1,380	519	3,935
Total equity investment income included in the business segments	73	66	852	198
Total consolidated equity investment income	\$238	\$1,446	\$1,371	\$4,133

Equity investments included in All Other decreased \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, with the decrease due to sales in the GPI portfolio. In connection with the Corporation's strategy to reduce risk-weighted assets, we sold certain investments, including related commitments. GPI had unfunded equity commitments of \$237 million and \$710 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 related to certain investments. The increase in equity investment income in the business segments for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily driven by gains on the sale of an equity investment in Global Markets.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we owned 2.0 billion shares representing approximately one percent of CCB. In the three months ended September 30, 2012, because the sales restrictions on these shares will expire within one year, these securities were accounted for as AFS marketable equity securities and carried at fair value. As a result, a pre-tax unrealized gain of \$512 million, or \$323 million after-tax, is reflected in accumulated OCI. At September 30, 2012, the cost basis was \$716 million and the carrying value and the fair value were \$1.2 billion. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we sold 13.1 billion common shares of our investment in CCB and recorded a gain of \$3.6 billion.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations to make future payments on debt and lease agreements. Additionally, in the normal course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements whereby we commit to future purchases of products or services from unaffiliated parties. For additional information on our obligations and commitments, see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations on page 33 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as Note 13 – Long-term Debt and Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Representations and Warranties

We securitize first-lien residential mortgage loans generally in the form of MBS guaranteed by the GSEs or by Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) in the case of the Federal Housing Administration (FHA)-insured, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)-guaranteed and Rural Housing Service-guaranteed mortgage loans. In addition, in prior years, legacy companies and certain subsidiaries sold pools of first-lien residential mortgage loans and home equity loans as private-label securitizations (in certain of these securitizations, monolines or financial guarantee providers insured all or some of the securities) or in the form of whole loans. In connection with these transactions, we or certain of our subsidiaries or legacy companies make or have made various representations and warranties. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies to the GSEs, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) with respect to FHA-insured loans, VA, whole-loan investors, securitization trusts, monoline insurers or other financial guarantors (collectively, repurchases). In all such cases, we would be exposed to any credit loss on the repurchased mortgage loans after accounting for any mortgage insurance (MI) or mortgage guarantee payments that we may receive.

Subject to the requirements and limitations of the applicable sales and securitization agreements, these representations and warranties can be enforced by the GSEs, HUD, VA, the whole-loan investor, the securitization trustee or others as governed by the applicable agreement or, in certain first-lien and home equity securitizations where monoline insurers or other financial guarantee providers have insured all or some of the securities issued, by the monoline insurer or other financial guarantor. In the case of loans sold to parties other than the GSEs or GNMA, the contractual liability to repurchase typically arises only if there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor, or investors, in the loan, or of the monoline insurer or other financial guarantor (as applicable). Contracts with the GSEs do not contain equivalent language, while GNMA generally limits repurchases to loans that are not insured or guaranteed as required.

For additional information about accounting for representations and warranties and our representations and warranties claims and exposures, see Complex Accounting Estimates – Representations and Warranties on page 149. Additionally, see Note 9 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees and Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K and Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Representations and Warranties Bulk Settlement Actions

We have settled, or entered into agreements to settle, certain bulk representations and warranties claims with a trustee (the Trustee) for certain legacy Countrywide private-label securitization trusts (the BNY Mellon Settlement); with two monoline insurers, (1) Assured Guaranty Ltd. (the Assured Guaranty Settlement), and (2) Syncora Guarantee Inc. and Syncora Holdings, Ltd. (the Syncora Settlement); and with each of the GSEs (the GSE Agreements). We have vigorously contested any request for repurchase when we conclude that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist and

will continue to do so in the future. However, in an effort to resolve these legacy mortgage-related issues, we have reached bulk settlements, or agreements for bulk settlements, including settlement amounts which have been material, with the above-referenced counterparties in lieu of a loan-by-loan review process. We may reach other settlements in the future if opportunities arise on terms we believe to be advantageous. However, there can be no assurance that we will reach future settlements or, if we do, that the terms of past settlements, such as the Syncora Settlement, can be relied upon to predict the terms of future settlements. For a summary of the larger bulk settlement actions taken in 2010 and 2011 and the related impact on the representations and warranties provision and liability, see Note 9 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees and Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. These bulk settlements generally did not cover all transactions with the relevant counterparties or all potential claims that may arise, including in some instances securities law, fraud and servicing claims, and our liability in connection with the transactions and claims not covered by these settlements could be material.

Table of Contents

Recent Developments Related to the BNY Mellon Settlement

The BNY Mellon Settlement is subject to final court approval and certain other conditions. Under an order entered by the state court in connection with the BNY Mellon Settlement, potentially interested persons had the opportunity to give notice of intent to object to the settlement (including on the basis that more information was needed) until August 30, 2011. Approximately 44 groups or entities appeared prior to the deadline; seven of those groups or entities have subsequently withdrawn from the proceeding and one motion to intervene was denied. Certain of these groups or entities filed notices of intent to object, made motions to intervene, or both filed notices of intent to object and made motions to intervene. The parties filing motions to intervene include the Attorneys General of the states of New York and Delaware; the Attorneys General's motions were granted on June 6, 2012.

Certain of the motions to intervene and/or notices of intent to object allege various purported bases for opposition to the settlement. These include challenges to the nature of the court proceeding and the lack of an opt-out mechanism, alleged conflicts of interest on the part of the institutional investor group and/or the Trustee, the inadequacy of the settlement amount and the method of allocating the settlement amount among the 525 legacy Countrywide first-lien and five second-lien non-GSE securitization trusts (the Covered Trusts), while other motions do not make substantive objections but state that they need more information about the settlement. Parties who filed notices stating that they wished to obtain more information about the settlement include the FDIC and the Federal Housing Finance Agency.

An investor opposed to the settlement removed the proceeding to federal district court, and the federal district court denied the Trustee's motion to remand the proceeding to state court. On February 27, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals issued an opinion reversing the district court denial of the Trustee's motion to remand the proceeding to state court and ordered that the proceeding be remanded to state court. On April 24, 2012, a hearing was held on threshold issues, at which the court denied the objectors' motion to convert the proceeding to a plenary proceeding. Several status hearings on discovery and other case administration matters have taken place. On August 10, 2012, the Court issued an order setting a schedule for discovery and other proceedings, and setting May 2, 2013 as the date for the final court hearing on the settlement to begin. We are not a party to the proceeding.

It is not currently possible to predict how many of the parties who have appeared in the court proceeding will ultimately object to the BNY Mellon Settlement, whether the objections will prevent receipt of final court approval or the ultimate outcome of the court approval process, which can include appeals and could take a substantial period of time. In particular, conduct of discovery and the resolution of the objections to the settlement and any appeals could take a substantial period of time and these factors could materially delay the timing of final court approval. Accordingly, it is not possible to predict when the court approval process will be completed.

If final court approval is not obtained by December 31, 2015, we and legacy Countrywide may withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement, if the Trustee consents. The BNY Mellon Settlement also provides that if Covered Trusts representing an unpaid principal balance exceeding a specified amount are excluded from the final BNY Mellon Settlement, based on investor objections or otherwise, we and legacy Countrywide have the option to withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement pursuant to the terms of the BNY Mellon Settlement agreement.

There can be no assurance that final court approval of the BNY Mellon Settlement will be obtained, that ongoing costs we will incur in connection with the BNY Mellon Settlement process will not be higher than we currently anticipate, that all conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement will be satisfied or, if certain conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement permitting withdrawal are met, that we and legacy Countrywide will not determine to withdraw from the settlement. If final court approval is not obtained or if we and legacy Countrywide determine to withdraw from the BNY Mellon Settlement in accordance with its terms, our future representations and warranties losses could be substantially different than existing accruals and the estimated range of possible loss over existing accruals as described under Experience with Investors Other than Government-sponsored Enterprises on page 65. For more

information about the risks associated with the BNY Mellon Settlement, see Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Syncora Settlement

On July 17, 2012, we, including certain of our affiliates, entered into an agreement with Syncora Guarantee Inc. and Syncora Holdings, Ltd. (Syncora) to resolve all of the monoline insurer's outstanding and potential claims related to alleged representations and warranties breaches involving eight first- and six second-lien private-label securitization trusts where Syncora provided financial guarantee insurance. The agreement, among other things, also resolves historical loan servicing issues and other potential liabilities to Syncora with respect to these trusts. The agreement covers the five second-lien private-label securitization trusts that were the subject of litigation and nine other first- and second-lien private-label securitization trusts, which had an original principal balance of first-lien mortgages of approximately \$9.6 billion and second-lien mortgages of approximately \$7.7 billion. As of June 30, 2012, \$3.0 billion of loans in these first-lien trusts and \$1.4 billion of loans in these second-lien trusts had defaulted or were 180 days or more past due (severely delinquent). The agreement provided for a cash payment of \$375 million to Syncora. In addition, the parties entered into securities transfers and purchase transactions in connection with the settlement in order to terminate certain other relationships among the parties. The total cost to the Corporation was approximately \$400 million and was fully accrued by the Corporation at June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Unresolved Claims Status

Unresolved Repurchase Claims

At September 30, 2012, the total notional amount of our unresolved representations and warranties repurchase claims was approximately \$25.5 billion compared to \$12.6 billion at December 31, 2011. These repurchase claims do not include any repurchase claims related to the Covered Trusts. Unresolved repurchase claims represent the notional amount of repurchase claims made by counterparties, typically the outstanding principal balance or the unpaid principal balance at the time of default. For a table of unresolved repurchase claims, see Note 8 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In the case of first-lien mortgages, the claim amount is often significantly greater than the expected loss amount due to the benefit of collateral and, in some cases, mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments. Claims received from a counterparty remain outstanding until the underlying loan is repurchased, the claim is rescinded by the counterparty, or the claim is otherwise resolved. When a claim is denied and we do not receive a response from the counterparty, the claim remains in the unresolved claims balance until resolution. We expect unresolved repurchase claims to continue to increase due to, among other things, our differences with Fannie Mae (FNMA) regarding our interpretation of the governing contracts, ongoing litigation with monoline insurers, the continuing submission of claims related to private-label securitizations, combined with the quality of such claims, and the lack of an established process to resolve disputes related to such claims.

The notional amount of unresolved GSE repurchase claims totaled \$12.3 billion at September 30, 2012. We continued to experience elevated levels of new claims from FNMA, including claims related to loans on which borrowers have made a significant number of payments (e.g., at least 25 payments) and, to a lesser extent, loans which defaulted more than 18 months prior to the repurchase request. Unresolved claims from FNMA totaled \$11.5 billion at September 30, 2012, including \$8.6 billion of claims related to loans on which the borrower has made at least 25 payments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we received \$8.7 billion of claims from FNMA, including \$7.1 billion of claims related to loans originated between 2005 and 2007. For the claims related to originations between 2005 and 2007, \$5.8 billion were related to loans on which the borrower had made at least 25 payments, including \$2.9 billion related to loans on which the borrower had made at least 37 payments. Historically, for those claims that have been approved for repurchase from the GSEs, our loss severity rate on loans originated between 2004 and 2008 has averaged approximately 55 percent of the claim amount, which may or may not be predictive of future loss severity rates. We continue to believe that our interpretation of the governing contracts is consistent with past practices between the parties and our contractual obligations.

The notional amount of unresolved monoline repurchase claims totaled \$2.6 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to \$3.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The decrease in unresolved claims was driven by resolution of claims through the Syncora Settlement. We have had limited loan-level repurchase claims experience with monoline insurers due to ongoing litigation. We have reviewed and declined to repurchase \$2.4 billion of the unresolved claims at September 30, 2012 based on an assessment of whether a breach exists that materially and adversely affected the insurer's interest in the mortgage loan and are still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$183 million of these claims. Further, in our experience, the monolines have been generally unwilling to withdraw repurchase claims, regardless of whether and what evidence was offered to refute a claim. Substantially all of the unresolved monoline claims pertain to second-lien loans and are currently the subject of litigation.

The notional amount of unresolved claims from private-label securitization trustees, third-party securitization sponsors, whole-loan investors and others increased to \$10.5 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to \$3.3 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase in the notional amount of unresolved claims is primarily due to increases in the submission of claims by private-label securitization trustees and a third-party securitization sponsor, claim quality and the lack of an established process to resolve disputes related to these claims. We anticipated an increase in aggregate

non-GSE claims at the time of the BNY Mellon Settlement in June 2011, and such increase in aggregate non-GSE claims was taken into consideration in developing the increase in our representations and warranties liability at that time. We expect unresolved repurchase claims related to private-label securitizations to continue to increase as claims continue to be submitted by private-label securitization trustees and third-party securitization sponsors and there is not an established process for the ultimate resolution of claims on which there is a disagreement. At least 25 payments have been made on approximately 64 percent of the defaulted and severely delinquent loans sold to non-GSE securitizations or as whole loans between 2004 and 2008.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we received \$5.0 billion in new repurchase claims, including \$2.7 billion submitted by the GSEs for both legacy Countrywide originations not covered by the GSE Agreements and legacy Bank of America originations, \$1.0 billion from whole-loan investors, primarily third-party securitization sponsors, \$983 million submitted by private-label securitization trustees and \$237 million submitted by monolines. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, \$2.2 billion in claims were resolved, primarily with the GSEs and through the Syncora Settlement. Of the claims resolved, \$1.9 billion were resolved through rescissions and \$322 million were resolved through mortgage repurchases and make-whole payments.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we received \$17.9 billion in new repurchase claims, including \$10.1 billion submitted by the GSEs for both legacy Countrywide originations not covered by the GSE Agreements and legacy Bank of America originations, \$6.2 billion submitted by private-label securitization trustees, \$1.3 billion from whole-loan investors, primarily third-party securitization sponsors, and \$295 million submitted by monolines. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, \$5.0 billion in claims were resolved, primarily with the GSEs and through the Syncora Settlement. Of the claims resolved, \$3.5 billion were resolved through rescissions and \$1.5 billion were resolved through mortgage repurchases and make-whole payments. For more information on repurchase claims received from the GSEs, monoline insurers, private-label securitization trustees, whole-loan investors and others, and the resolution of such claims, see Note 8 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition and not included in total unresolved repurchase claims of \$25.5 billion, we have received repurchase demands from private-label securitization investors and a master servicer where we believe the claimants have not satisfied the contractual thresholds to direct the securitization trustee to take action and/or that these demands are otherwise procedurally or substantively invalid. The total amounts outstanding of such demands were \$1.7 billion at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011, the \$1.7 billion of demands outstanding were related to the BNY Mellon Settlement of which \$1.4 billion were subsequently resolved through the dismissal of a lawsuit as discussed below. At September 30, 2012, the outstanding demands were comprised of \$1.4 billion in claims received during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and approximately \$300 million related to the BNY Mellon Settlement. We do not believe that the \$1.7 billion in demands outstanding at September 30, 2012 are valid repurchase claims, and therefore it is not possible to predict the resolution with respect to such demands.

A claimant, Walnut Place (11 entities with the common name Walnut Place, including Walnut Place LLC, and Walnut Place II LLC through Walnut Place XI LLC) had filed two lawsuits against the Corporation relating to \$1.4 billion of the \$1.7 billion in demands outstanding at December 31, 2011. Following determination by the courts that the governing agreements bar repurchase claims by certificateholders and the consequent dismissal of Walnut Place's first lawsuit, the parties stipulated in July 2012 to the dismissal of Walnut Place's second lawsuit (and these demands were accordingly removed from our outstanding demands balance). If the BNY Mellon Settlement is approved by the court, the remaining repurchase demands related to loans underlying securitizations included in the BNY Mellon Settlement will be resolved by the settlement.

Open Mortgage Insurance Rescission Notices

In addition to repurchase claims, we receive notices from mortgage insurance companies of claim denials, cancellations or coverage rescission (collectively, MI rescission notices) and the amount of such notices has remained elevated. At September 30, 2012, we had approximately 111,000 open MI rescission notices compared to 90,000 at December 31, 2011. As of September 30, 2012, 29 percent of the MI rescission notices received have been resolved. Of those resolved, 21 percent were resolved through our acceptance of the MI rescission, 54 percent were resolved through reinstatement of coverage or payment of the claim by the mortgage insurance company, and 25 percent were resolved on an aggregate basis through settlement, policy commutation or similar arrangement. As of September 30, 2012, 71 percent of the MI rescission notices we have received have not yet been resolved. Of those not yet resolved, 44 percent are implicated by ongoing litigation where no loan-level review is currently contemplated nor required to preserve our legal rights. In this litigation, the litigating mortgage insurance companies are also seeking bulk rescission of certain policies, separate and apart from loan-by-loan denials or rescissions. We are in the process of reviewing 37 percent of the remaining open MI rescission notices, and we have reviewed and are contesting the MI rescission with respect to 63 percent of these remaining open MI rescission notices. Of the remaining open MI rescission notices, 37 percent are also the subject of ongoing litigation; although, at present, these MI rescissions are being processed in a manner generally consistent with those not affected by litigation. For additional information, see Note 8 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees to the Consolidated Financial

Statements.

61

Table of Contents

In 2011, FNMA issued an announcement requiring servicers to report all MI rescission notices with respect to loans sold to FNMA and confirmed FNMA's view of its position that a mortgage insurance company's issuance of a MI rescission notice constitutes a breach of the lender's representations and warranties and permits FNMA to require the lender to repurchase the mortgage loan or promptly remit a make-whole payment covering FNMA's loss even if the lender is contesting the MI rescission notice. According to FNMA's announcement, through June 30, 2012, lenders had 90 days to appeal FNMA's repurchase request and 30 days (or such other time frame specified by FNMA) to appeal after that date. We also expect that in many cases, particularly in the context of individual or bulk rescissions being contested through litigation, we will not be able to resolve MI rescission notices with the mortgage insurance companies before the expiration of the appeal period prescribed by the FNMA announcement. We have informed FNMA that we do not believe that the new policy is valid under our contracts with FNMA, and that we do not intend to repurchase loans under the terms set forth in the new policy. Our pipeline of unresolved repurchase claims from the GSEs resulting solely from MI rescission notices increased to \$2.2 billion at September 30, 2012 from \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2011. Approximately 76 percent of this increase relates to loans for which the borrower has made at least 25 payments. If we are required to abide by the terms of FNMA's stated policy regarding MI rescission notices, the amount of loans we are required to repurchase could increase, and if it does, our representations and warranties liability will increase. For additional information on the FNMA policy, see Note 8 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Government-sponsored Enterprises Experience on page 37 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Representations and Warranties Liability

The liability for representations and warranties and corporate guarantees is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet and the related provision is included in mortgage banking income. Our estimates of the liability for representations and warranties exposure and the corresponding range of possible loss are based on currently available information, significant judgment and a number of other factors that are subject to change. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of the liability and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for any particular period. For additional information, see Note 8 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees to the Consolidated Financial Statements and, for information related to the sensitivity of the assumptions used to estimate our liability for obligations under representations and warranties, see Complex Accounting Estimates – Representations and Warranties on page 149.

The liability for obligations under representations and warranties with respect to GSE and non-GSE exposures and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss for these representations and warranties exposures do not consider any losses related to litigation matters disclosed in Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements or Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, nor do they include any separate foreclosure costs and related costs, assessments and compensatory fees or any other possible losses related to potential claims for breaches of performance of servicing obligations, except as such losses are included as potential costs of the BNY Mellon Settlement, potential securities law or fraud claims or potential indemnity or other claims against us, including claims related to loans insured by the FHA. We are not able to reasonably estimate the amount of any possible loss with respect to any such servicing, securities law, fraud or other claims against us, except to the extent reflected in the aggregate range of possible loss for litigation and regulatory matters disclosed in Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements, however, such loss could be material.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability for representations and warranties and corporate guarantees was \$16.3 billion and \$15.9 billion. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the representations and warranties and corporate guarantees provision was \$307 million and \$984 million compared to

\$278 million and \$15.3 billion for the same periods in 2011. The provision in the three months ended September 30, 2012 included provision related to non-GSE exposures where it was determined that the loss was probable based on recent activity with certain counterparties and, to a lesser extent, GSE exposures. The decrease in the provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to a higher provision in the prior-year period attributable to the BNY Mellon Settlement, other non-GSE exposures, and to a lesser extent, GSE exposures. For additional information, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Representations and Warranties Liability on page 35 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Estimated Range of Possible Loss

Our estimated liability at September 30, 2012 for obligations under representations and warranties is necessarily dependent on, and limited by, a number of factors, including our historical claims experience with the GSEs, our understanding of our agreements with the GSEs and, for private-label securitizations, the implied repurchase experience based on the BNY Mellon Settlement, as well as certain other assumptions and judgmental factors. Accordingly, future provisions associated with obligations under representations and warranties may be materially impacted if actual experiences are different from historical experience or our understandings, interpretations or assumptions.

Table of Contents

Over time, FNMA's repurchase requests, standards for rescission of repurchase requests and resolution processes have become inconsistent with its own past conduct and with the Corporation's interpretation of the parties' contractual obligations. While we are seeking to resolve our differences with FNMA, whether we will be able to achieve a resolution of these differences on acceptable terms and the timing and cost thereof continues to be subject to significant uncertainty. Due to this uncertainty, we have not previously been able to reasonably estimate the range of possible loss in excess of the recorded liability for GSE loans. However, as a result of continued dialogue and discussions with FNMA, we have obtained additional information from which we are able to determine a reasonable estimate of a range of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures in excess of our recorded representations and warranties liability for the GSEs as of September 30, 2012.

In the case of private-label securitizations, our estimate of the representations and warranties liability and the corresponding range of possible loss considers, among other things, repurchase experience based on the BNY Mellon Settlement with the Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, adjusted to reflect differences between the 525 legacy Countrywide first-lien and five second-lien non-GSE securitization trusts and the remainder of the population of private-label securitizations, and assumes that the conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement will be met. Where relevant, we also take into account more recent experience, such as increased claims and other facts and circumstances, such as bulk settlements, as we believe appropriate.

We believe that our representations and warranties liability recorded as of September 30, 2012 provides for a substantial portion of our representations and warranties exposures. However, it is reasonably possible that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for these exposures. In addition, we have not recorded any representations and warranties liability for certain potential private-label securitization and whole-loan exposures where we have little to no claim experience. We currently estimate that the range of possible loss for all representations and warranties exposures could be up to \$6 billion over accruals at September 30, 2012 compared to \$5 billion over accruals at June 30, 2012 for only non-GSE representations and warranties exposures. The increase in the range of possible loss from June 30, 2012 is the net impact of, among other changes, updated assumptions, the inclusion of GSE representations and warranties exposures and other developments. The estimated range of possible loss related to these representations and warranties exposures does not represent a probable loss, and is based on currently available information, significant judgment and a number of assumptions that are subject to change. For additional information about the methodology used to estimate the representations and warranties liability and the corresponding range of possible loss, see Note 8 – Representations and Warranties Obligations and Corporate Guarantees to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Future provisions and/or ranges of possible loss for representations and warranties may be significantly impacted if actual experiences are different from our assumptions in our predictive models, including, without limitation, those regarding continued differences with FNMA concerning each party's interpretation of the requirements of the governing contracts, ultimate resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, estimated repurchase rates, economic conditions, estimated home prices, consumer and counterparty behavior, and a variety of other judgmental factors. Adverse developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the liability for representations and warranties and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss could result in significant increases to future provisions and/or the estimated range of possible loss. For example, if courts, in the context of claims brought by private-label securitization trustees, were to disagree with our interpretation that the underlying agreements require a claimant to prove that the representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss, it could significantly impact the estimated range of possible loss. Additionally, if court rulings related to monoline litigation, including one related to us, that have allowed sampling of loan files instead of requiring a loan-by-loan review to determine if a representations and warranties breach has occurred, are followed generally by the courts, private-label securitization counterparties may view litigation as a more attractive alternative compared to a loan-by-loan review. For additional information regarding these issues, see MBIA litigation in Litigation and Regulatory Matters in Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report

on Form 10-K. Finally, although we believe that the representations and warranties typically given in non-GSE transactions are less rigorous and actionable than those given in GSE transactions, we do not have significant experience resolving loan-level claims in non-GSE transactions to measure the impact of these differences on the probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased.

Government-sponsored Enterprises Experience

Our current repurchase claims experience with the GSEs is concentrated in the 2004 through 2008 vintages where we believe that our exposure to representations and warranties liability is most significant. Our repurchase claims experience related to loans originated prior to 2004 has not been significant and we believe that changes made to our operations and underwriting policies have reduced our exposure related to loans originated after 2008.

Table of Contents

Bank of America and legacy Countrywide sold approximately \$1.1 trillion of loans originated from 2004 through 2008 to the GSEs. As of September 30, 2012, 12 percent of the original funded balance of loans in these vintages have defaulted or are 180 days or more past due (severely delinquent). At least 25 payments have been made on approximately 66 percent of severely delinquent or defaulted loans. As of September 30, 2012, we have received \$41.4 billion in repurchase claims associated with these vintages, representing approximately four percent of the original funded balance of loans sold to the GSEs in these vintages. We have resolved \$28.8 billion of these claims with a net loss experience of approximately 30 percent, after considering the effect of collateral. Our collateral loss severity rate on approved repurchases has averaged approximately 55 percent. In addition, \$839 million of claims were extinguished as a result of the agreement with Freddie Mac (FHLMC) in 2010.

Table 15 highlights our experience with the GSEs related to loans originated from 2004 through 2008.

Table 15
Overview of GSE Balances - 2004-2008 Originations

(Dollars in billions)	Legacy Originator		Total	Percent of Total	
	Countrywide	Other			
Original funded balance	\$846	\$272	\$1,118		
Principal payments	(493)	(171)	(664)		
Defaults	(71)	(12)	(83)		
Total outstanding balance at September 30, 2012	\$282	\$89	\$371		
Outstanding principal balance 180 days or more past due (severely delinquent)	\$40	\$10	\$50		
Defaults plus severely delinquent	111	22	133		
Payments made by borrower					
Less than 13			\$15	11	%
13-24			30	23	
25-36			33	25	
More than 36			55	41	
Total payments made by borrower			\$133	100	%
Unresolved GSE representations and warranties claims (all vintages)					
As of December 31, 2011			\$6.3		
As of September 30, 2012			12.3		
Cumulative GSE representations and warranties losses (2004-2008 vintages)			\$9.8		

We continued to experience elevated levels of new claims from FNMA, including claims on loans on which borrowers have made a significant number of payments (e.g., at least 25 payments) and, to a lesser extent, loans which defaulted more than 18 months prior to the repurchase request. Over time the criteria and the processes by which FNMA is ultimately willing to resolve claims have changed in ways that are unfavorable to us. In light of our disagreements with FNMA, we have adopted repurchase guidelines in order to be more consistent with past practices between the parties and with our understanding of our contractual obligations. These developments have resulted in an increase in claims outstanding from the GSEs to \$12.3 billion at September 30, 2012 from \$6.3 billion at December 31, 2011. Outstanding claims related to loans on which the borrower had made at least 25 payments totaled \$9.2 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to \$3.7 billion at December 31, 2011. We expect outstanding claims to continue to increase until we have resolved our differences with FNMA. While we are seeking to resolve our differences with FNMA, whether we will be able to achieve a resolution of these differences on acceptable terms, and the timing and cost thereof, continues to be subject to significant uncertainty. We have repurchased and continue to

repurchase loans to the extent required under the contracts that govern our relationships with the GSEs. For additional information, see Open Mortgage Insurance Rescission Notices on page 61.

Table of Contents

Beginning in February 2012, we stopped delivering purchase money and non-Making Home Affordable (MHA) refinance first-lien residential mortgage products into FNMA MBS pools because of the expiration and mutual non-renewal of certain contractual delivery commitments and variances that permit efficient delivery of such loans to FNMA. While we continue to have a valid agreement with FNMA permitting the delivery of purchase money and non-MHA refinance first-lien residential mortgage products without such contractual variances, the delivery of such products without contractual delivery commitments and variances would involve time and expense to implement the necessary operational and systems changes and otherwise presents practical operational issues. The non-renewal of these variances was influenced, in part, by our ongoing differences with FNMA in other contexts, including repurchase claims, as discussed above. We do not expect this change to have a material impact on our CRES business, as we expect to rely on other sources of liquidity to actively extend mortgage credit to our customers including continuing to deliver such products into FHLMC MBS pools. Additionally, we continue to deliver MHA refinancing products into FNMA MBS pools and continue to engage in dialogue to attempt to address our ongoing differences with FNMA.

Experience with Investors Other than Government-sponsored Enterprises

As detailed in Table 16, legacy companies and certain subsidiaries sold pools of first-lien mortgage loans and home equity loans as private-label securitizations or in the form of whole loans originated from 2004 through 2008 with an original principal balance of \$963 billion to investors other than GSEs (although the GSEs are investors in certain private-label securitizations), of which approximately \$524 billion in principal has been paid and \$245 billion has defaulted or is severely delinquent at September 30, 2012. For additional information, see Experience with Investors Other than Government-sponsored Enterprises on page 38 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table 16 details the population of loans originated between 2004 and 2008 and the population of loans sold as whole loans or in non-agency securitizations by entity and product together with the defaulted and severely delinquent loans stratified by the number of payments the borrower made prior to default or becoming severely delinquent as of September 30, 2012. As shown in Table 16, at least 25 payments have been made on approximately 64 percent of the defaulted and severely delinquent loans. We believe many of the defaults observed in these securitizations have been, and continue to be, driven by external factors like the substantial depreciation in home prices, persistently high unemployment and other negative economic trends, diminishing the likelihood that any loan defect (assuming one exists at all) was the cause of a loan's default. As of September 30, 2012, approximately 25 percent of the loans sold to non-GSEs that were originated between 2004 and 2008 have defaulted or are severely delinquent. Of the original principal balance for Countrywide, \$409 billion is included in the BNY Mellon Settlement and of this amount \$113 billion is defaulted or severely delinquent at September 30, 2012.

Table 16

Overview of Non-Agency Securitization and Whole Loan Balances

(Dollars in billions)	Principal Balance		Defaulted or Severely Delinquent Outstanding			Borrower Made Less than 13 Payments	Borrower Made 13 to 24 Payments	Borrower Made 25 to 36 Payments	Borrower Made More than 36 Payments
	Original Principal Balance	Outstanding Principal Balance September 30, 2012	Principal Balance 180 Days or More Past Due	Defaulted Principal Balance	Defaulted or Severely Delinquent				
By Entity									
Bank of America	\$100	\$24	\$4	\$6	\$10	\$1	\$2	\$2	\$5
Countrywide	716	215	66	124	190	24	44	45	77

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Merrill Lynch	65	17	4	13	17	3	4	3	7
First Franklin	82	18	6	22	28	5	6	4	13
Total ^(1, 2)	\$963	\$274	\$80	\$165	\$245	\$33	\$56	\$54	\$102
By Product									
Prime	\$302	\$88	\$13	\$21	\$34	\$2	\$6	\$7	\$19
Alt-A	172	61	17	33	50	7	12	12	19
Pay option	150	46	22	35	57	5	14	15	23
Subprime	245	66	26	57	83	16	19	16	32
Home equity	88	13	—	18	18	2	5	4	7
Other	6	—	2	1	3	1	—	—	2
Total	\$963	\$274	\$80	\$165	\$245	\$33	\$56	\$54	\$102

⁽¹⁾ Excludes transactions sponsored by Bank of America and Merrill Lynch where no representations or warranties were made.

⁽²⁾ Includes exposures on third-party sponsored transactions related to legacy entity originations.

Table of Contents

Monoline Insurers

Legacy companies sold \$184.5 billion of loans originated between 2004 and 2008 into monoline-insured securitizations, which are included in Table 16, including \$103.9 billion of first-lien mortgages and \$80.6 billion of second-lien mortgages. Of these balances, \$48.0 billion of the first-lien mortgages and \$51.3 billion of the second-lien mortgages have been paid in full and \$35.1 billion of the first-lien mortgages and \$17.5 billion of the second-lien mortgages have defaulted or are severely delinquent at September 30, 2012. At least 25 payments have been made on approximately 58 percent of the defaulted and severely delinquent loans. Of the first-lien mortgages sold, \$39.1 billion, or 38 percent, were sold as whole loans to other institutions which subsequently included these loans with those of other originators in private-label securitization transactions in which the monolines insured one or more securities. As of September 30, 2012, we have received \$6.0 billion of representations and warranties claims associated with these vintages from the monoline insurers related to the monoline-insured transactions, predominately second-lien transactions. Of these repurchase claims, \$2.4 billion were resolved through the Assured Guaranty and Syncora Settlements, \$816 million were resolved through repurchase or indemnification with losses of \$708 million, and \$126 million were rescinded by the monoline insurers or paid in full. Our limited experience with most of the monoline insurers has varied in terms of process, and experience with these counterparties has not been predictable. Our limited claims experience with the monoline insurers, other than Assured Guaranty, in the repurchase process is a result of these monoline insurers having instituted litigation against legacy Countrywide and/or Bank of America, which limits our ability to enter into constructive dialogue with these monolines to resolve the open claims.

At September 30, 2012, for loans originated between 2004 and 2008, the unpaid principal balance of loans related to unresolved monoline repurchase claims was \$2.6 billion. We have reviewed and declined to repurchase \$2.4 billion based on an assessment of whether a material breach exists and are still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$183 million of these claims. At September 30, 2012, the unpaid principal balance of loans in these vintages for which the monolines had requested loan files for review but for which no repurchase claim had been received was \$5.3 billion, excluding loans that had been paid in full or resolved through settlements. There will likely be additional requests for loan files in the future leading to repurchase claims. In addition, we have received claims from private-label securitization trustees and a third-party securitization sponsor related to first-lien third-party sponsored securitizations that include monoline insurance.

It is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate probable future repurchase obligations with respect to those monolines with whom we have limited repurchase experience and, therefore, no representations and warranties liability has been recorded in connection with these monolines, other than a liability for repurchase claims where we have determined that there are valid loan defects and determined that there is a breach of a representation and warranty and that any other requirements for repurchase have been met. Outside of the standard quality control process that is an integral part of our loan origination process, we do not generally review loan files until we receive a repurchase claim, including with respect to monoline exposures. For additional information, see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Whole Loans and Private-label Securitizations

Legacy entities, and to a lesser extent Bank of America, sold loans to investors as whole loans or via private-label securitizations. The majority of the loans sold were included in private-label securitizations, including third-party sponsored transactions. The loans sold with total principal balance of \$778.2 billion, included in Table 16, were originated between 2004 and 2008, of which \$424.4 billion have been paid in full and \$192.4 billion are defaulted or severely delinquent at September 30, 2012. We provided representations and warranties to the whole-loan investors and these investors may retain those rights even when the whole loans were aggregated with other collateral into private-label securitizations sponsored by the whole-loan investors. At least 25 payments have been made on approximately 65 percent of the defaulted and severely delinquent loans. We have received approximately \$16.2

billion of representations and warranties claims from whole-loan investors, including third-party sponsors, and private-label securitization investors and trustees related to these vintages, including \$8.2 billion from private-label securitization trustees, \$7.2 billion from whole-loan investors and \$817 million from one private-label securitization counterparty. In private-label securitizations, certain presentation thresholds need to be met in order for investors to direct a trustee to assert repurchase claims. Recent increases in new private-label claims are primarily related to repurchase requests received from trustees and third-party sponsors for private-label securitization transactions not included in the BNY Mellon Settlement, including claims related to first-lien third-party sponsored securitizations that include monoline insurance. Over time, there has been an increase in requests for loan files from certain private-label securitization trustees, as well as requests for tolling agreements to toll the applicable statutes of limitation relating to representations and warranties claims, and we believe it is likely that these requests will lead to an increase in repurchase claims from private-label securitization trustees with standing to bring such claims.

Table of Contents

We have resolved \$6.2 billion of the claims received from whole-loan investors and private-label securitization investors and trustees with losses of \$1.5 billion. The majority of these resolved claims were from third-party whole-loan investors. Approximately \$2.9 billion of these claims were resolved through repurchase or indemnification and \$3.3 billion were rescinded by the investor. At September 30, 2012, for loans originated between 2004 and 2008, the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims submitted by private-label securitization trustees and whole-loan investors was \$10.1 billion. We have performed an initial review with respect to \$9.4 billion of these claims and do not believe a valid basis for repurchase has been established by the claimant and are still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$662 million of these claims.

Certain whole-loan investors have engaged with us in a consistent repurchase process and we have used that and other experience to record the liability related to existing and future claims from such counterparties. The BNY Mellon Settlement and recent activity with certain counterparties led to the determination that we had sufficient experience to record a liability related to our exposure on certain private-label securitizations but did not provide sufficient experience related to certain private-label securitizations sponsored by third-party whole-loan investors. As it relates to the other private-label securitizations sponsored by third-party whole-loan investors and certain other whole loan sales, it is not possible to determine whether a loss has occurred or is probable and, therefore, no representations and warranties liability has been recorded in connection with these transactions. Until we receive a repurchase claim, we generally have not reviewed loan files related to private-label securitizations sponsored by third-party whole-loan investors (and are not required by the governing documents to do so). Our estimated range of possible loss related to representations and warranties exposures as of September 30, 2012 included possible losses related to these whole loan sales and private-label securitizations sponsored by third-party whole-loan investors.

Private-label securitization investors generally do not have the contractual right to demand repurchase of loans directly or the right to access loan files. We have received repurchase demands totaling \$1.7 billion from private-label securitization investors and a master servicer where in each case we believe the claimant has not satisfied the contractual thresholds to direct the securitization trustee to take action and/or that the demands are otherwise procedurally or substantively invalid.

Servicing Matters and Foreclosure Processes

We service a large portion of the loans we or our subsidiaries have securitized and also service loans on behalf of third-party securitization vehicles and other investors. Servicing agreements with the GSEs generally provide the GSEs with broader rights relative to the servicer than are found in servicing agreements with private investors. For example, each GSE typically claims the right to demand that the servicer repurchase loans that breach the seller's representations and warranties made in connection with the initial sale of the loans even if the servicer was not the seller. The GSEs also claim that they have the contractual right to demand indemnification or loan repurchase for certain servicing breaches. In addition, the GSEs' first mortgage seller/servicer guides provide for timelines to resolve delinquent loans through workout efforts or liquidation, if necessary, and purport to require the imposition of compensatory fees if those deadlines are not satisfied except for reasons beyond the control of the servicer, although we believe that the governing contracts and legal principles should inform resolution of these matters. Under our servicing agreements for loans serviced on behalf of third parties, we are also required to provide certain advances for foreclosure costs which are not reimbursable. Foreclosure delays resulting in high delinquencies have resulted and are likely to continue to result in elevated advances and reserves. In addition, we service VA loans that are partially guaranteed. In the event that a loss on a VA loan exceeds the guarantee, we may be responsible for the loss in excess of the guarantee.

Many non-agency RMBS and whole-loan servicing agreements require the servicer to indemnify the trustee or other investor for or against failures by the servicer to perform its servicing obligations or acts or omissions that involve willful malfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence in the performance of, or reckless disregard of, the servicer's duties.

It is not possible to reasonably estimate our liability with respect to certain potential servicing-related claims. While we have recorded certain accruals for servicing-related claims, the amount of potential liability in excess of existing accruals could be material. For additional information, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Servicing Matters and Foreclosure Processes on page 40 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We continue to be subject to additional borrower and non-borrower litigation and governmental and regulatory scrutiny related to our past and current origination, servicing and foreclosure activities, including those claims not covered by the settlement agreement reached on March 12, 2012 between the Corporation, 49 state Attorneys General, HUD and certain federal agencies (National Mortgage Settlement). This scrutiny may extend beyond our pending foreclosure matters to issues arising out of alleged irregularities with respect to previously completed foreclosure activities. The current environment of heightened regulatory scrutiny may subject us to inquiries or investigations that could significantly adversely affect our reputation and result in material costs to us.

Table of Contents

Servicing Resolution Agreements

The National Mortgage Settlement was entered by the court as a consent judgment (Consent Judgment) on April 5, 2012. The National Mortgage Settlement provides for the establishment of certain uniform servicing standards, upfront cash payments of approximately \$1.9 billion to the state and federal governments and for borrower restitution, approximately \$7.6 billion in borrower assistance in the form of, among other things, credits earned for principal reduction, short sales, deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure and approximately \$1.0 billion of credits earned for interest rate reduction modifications. In addition, the settlement with HUD provides for an upfront cash payment of \$500 million to settle certain claims related to FHA-insured loans. We will also be obligated to provide additional cash payments of up to \$850 million if we fail to earn an additional \$850 million of credits stemming from incremental first-lien principal reductions over a three-year period. The liability for upfront payments totaling approximately \$2.4 billion was included in our litigation reserves at March 31, 2012 and these payments were made in April 2012.

The borrower assistance program is not expected to result in any incremental credit provision, as we believe that the existing allowance for credit losses is adequate to absorb any costs that have not already been recorded as charge-offs. Under the National Mortgage Settlement, the interest rate modification program will consist of interest rate reductions on first-lien loans originated prior to January 1, 2009 that have a current loan-to-value (LTV) ratio greater than 100 percent and that meet certain eligibility criteria, including the requirement that all payments due for the last twelve months have been made in a timely manner. This program commits us to forego future interest payments that we may not otherwise have agreed to forego, and no loss has been recognized in the financial statements related to such forgone interest. Due to the time required to receive borrower documentation and underwrite the modified loans, a significant number of modifications have not yet been completed. The interest rate modification program is expected to include approximately 20,000 to 25,000 loans with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$5.4 billion to \$6.8 billion. Assuming an average interest rate reduction of approximately two percent, the modifications are expected to result in a reduction of annual interest income of approximately \$100 million to \$130 million when the program is complete. Assuming a weighted-average loan life of approximately eight years, the fair value of loans in the program is expected to decrease by approximately \$700 million to \$900 million as a result of the interest rate reductions. The financial impact will vary depending on final terms of modifications offered and the rate of borrower acceptance. We do not expect loans modified under the program to be accounted for as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). If the program is expanded to include loans that do not meet specified underwriting criteria, such as maximum debt-to-income ratios or minimum FICO scores, the modifications of such loans will be accounted for as TDRs.

We could be required to make additional payments if we fail to meet our borrower assistance and rate reduction modification commitments over a three-year period, in an amount equal to 125 percent to 140 percent of the shortfall, dependent on the two- and three-year commitment target. We also entered into agreements with several states under which we committed to perform certain minimum levels of principal reduction and related activities within those states as part of the National Mortgage Settlement, and under which we could be required to make additional payments if we fail to meet such minimum levels.

We believe that it is likely that we will meet all borrower assistance, rate reduction modification and principal reduction commitments required under the National Mortgage Settlement and, therefore, do not expect to be required to make additional cash payments. Although it is reasonably possible that the cost of fulfilling the commitments could increase, leading to an incremental credit provision, the amount of any such incremental provision is not reasonably estimable. Although we may incur additional operating costs such as servicing costs to implement parts of the National Mortgage Settlement in future periods, we do not expect that those costs will be material.

Under the terms of the National Mortgage Settlement, the federal and participating state governments agreed to release us from further liability for certain alleged residential mortgage origination, servicing and foreclosure deficiencies. In settling origination issues related to FHA-guaranteed loans originated on or before April 30, 2009, the

FHA provides us and our affiliates with a release from further liability for all origination claims with respect to such loans if an insurance claim had been submitted to the FHA prior to January 1, 2012 and a release of multiple damages and penalties, but not single damages, if no such claim had been submitted.

The National Mortgage Settlement does not cover certain claims arising out of origination, securitization (including representations made to investors with respect to MBS), criminal claims, private claims by borrowers, claims by certain states for injunctive relief or actual economic damages to borrowers related to the Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS), and claims by the GSEs (including repurchase demands), among other items. For additional information on MERS, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. on page 42 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Impact of Foreclosure Delays

Foreclosure delays impact our default-related servicing costs. We believe default-related servicing costs peaked during the third quarter of 2012 and we expect them to decline in the fourth quarter of 2012, with the decline accelerating in 2013. However, unexpected foreclosure delays in 2013 could impact the rate of decline. Default-related servicing costs include costs related to resources needed for implementing new servicing standards mandated for the industry, including as part of the National Mortgage Settlement, other operational changes and operational costs due to delayed foreclosures and do not include mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays.

Other areas of our operations are also impacted by foreclosure delays. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded \$131 million and \$530 million of mortgage-related assessments, waivers and similar costs related to foreclosure delays. We continue to disagree with the GSEs' attempt to make retroactive changes to the criteria for calculating and assessing compensatory fees for foreclosure delays. The GSEs have claimed compensatory fees significantly in excess of the amounts that we believe can be claimed under the governing contracts and legal principles. It is also possible that the delays in foreclosure sales may result in additional costs and expenses, including costs associated with the maintenance of properties or possible home price declines while foreclosures are delayed. Finally, the time to complete foreclosure sales may continue to be protracted, which may result in a greater number of nonperforming loans and increased servicing advances, and may impact the collectability of such advances and the value of our MSR asset, MBS and real estate owned properties. Accordingly, the ultimate resolution of disagreements with counterparties, delays in foreclosure sales beyond those currently anticipated, and any issues that may arise out of alleged irregularities in our foreclosure process could significantly increase the costs associated with our mortgage operations.

Mortgage-related Settlements – Servicing Matters

In connection with the BNY Mellon Settlement, Bank of America, N.A. (BANA) has agreed to implement certain servicing changes. The Trustee and BANA have agreed to clarify and conform certain servicing standards related to loss mitigation. In particular, the BNY Mellon Settlement clarifies that it is permissible to apply the same loss mitigation strategies to the Covered Trusts as are applied to BANA affiliates' held-for-investment (HFI) portfolios. This portion of the agreement was effective in the second quarter of 2011 and is not conditioned on final court approval. In connection with the National Mortgage Settlement, BANA has agreed to implement certain additional servicing changes. The uniform servicing standards established under the National Mortgage Settlement are broadly consistent with the residential mortgage servicing practices imposed by the OCC consent order; however, they are more prescriptive and cover a broader range of our residential mortgage servicing activities. Implementation of these uniform servicing standards is expected to incrementally increase costs associated with the servicing process, but is not expected to result in material delays or dislocation in the performance of our mortgage servicing obligations, including the completion of foreclosures. For additional information, see Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations – Mortgage-related Settlements – Servicing Matters on page 42 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Regulatory Matters

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Financial Reform Act) requires that all bank holding companies (BHCs) with assets greater than \$50 billion submit a resolution plan to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, and file an updated plan annually. The resolution plan is a detailed roadmap for the orderly liquidation of the BHC and material entities under a hypothetical scenario. We submitted our resolution plan to the Federal Reserve and FDIC on June 29, 2012.

The Financial Reform Act provides for new Federal regulation of the derivatives markets. As of October 12, 2012, swaps dealers conducting dealing activity with U.S. persons above a certain threshold will be required to register with the U.S. Commodity Trading Futures Commission (CFTC) on or before December 31, 2012. Upon registration, swap dealers will become subject to additional CFTC rules as and when such rules take effect. Those rules include, but are not limited to, measures that require clearing and exchange trading of certain derivatives, new capital and margin requirements for certain market participants, new reporting requirements and new business conduct requirements for derivatives under the jurisdiction of CFTC. There remains some uncertainty as to whether non-U.S. entities will be required to register as swap dealers because CFTC has not yet adopted final cross-border guidance. The ultimate impact of these regulations, and the time it will take to comply, continues to remain uncertain. The final regulations will impose additional operational and compliance costs on us and may require us to restructure certain businesses and negatively impact our revenues and results of operations.

For information regarding other significant regulatory matters, see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein, Regulatory Matters on page 43 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Managing Risk

Risk is inherent in every material business activity that we undertake. Our business exposes us to strategic, credit, market, liquidity, compliance, operational and reputational risks. We must manage these risks to maximize our long-term results by ensuring the integrity of our assets and the quality of our earnings.

We take a comprehensive approach to risk management. We have a defined risk framework and clearly articulated risk appetite which is approved annually by the Corporation's Board of Directors (the Board). Risk management planning is integrated with strategic, financial and customer/client planning so that goals and responsibilities are aligned across the organization. Risk is managed in a systematic manner by focusing on the Corporation as a whole as well as managing risk across the enterprise and within individual business units, products, services and transactions, and across all geographic locations. We maintain a governance structure that delineates the responsibilities for risk management activities, as well as governance and oversight of those activities. For a more detailed discussion of our risk management activities, see pages 45 through 97 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Strategic Risk Management

Strategic risk is embedded in every business and is one of the major risk categories along with credit, market, liquidity, compliance, operational and reputational risk. It is the risk that results from adverse business decisions, ineffective or inappropriate business plans, failure to respond to changes in the competitive environment, business cycles, customer preferences, product obsolescence, regulatory environment, business strategy execution and/or other inherent risks of the business including reputational and operational risk. In the financial services industry, strategic risk is elevated due to changing customer, competitive and regulatory environments. Our appetite for strategic risk is assessed within the context of the strategic plan, with strategic risks selectively and carefully considered in the context of the evolving marketplace. Strategic risk is managed in the context of our overall financial condition and assessed, managed and acted on by the Chief Executive Officer and executive management team. Significant strategic actions, such as material acquisitions or capital actions, require review and approval from the Board.

For more information on our Strategic Risk Management activities, see page 48 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Capital Management

Bank of America manages its capital position to maintain sufficient capital to support our business activities and maintain capital, risk and risk appetite at levels that are commensurate with one another. Additionally, we seek to maintain safety and soundness under adverse scenarios, take advantage of growth and strategic opportunities, maintain ready access to financial markets, remain a source of strength for its subsidiaries, and satisfy current and future regulatory capital requirements.

To determine the appropriate level of capital, we assess the results of our Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP), the current economic and market environment, and feedback from investors, rating agencies and regulators. Based upon this analysis, we set capital guidelines for Tier 1 common capital and Tier 1 capital to maintain an adequate capital position, including in a severe adverse economic scenario. We also target to maintain capital in excess of the capital required per our economic capital measurement process. For additional information, see Economic Capital on page 76. Management and the Board annually approve a comprehensive capital plan which documents the ICAAP and related results, analysis and support for the capital guidelines, and planned capital actions.

Capital management is integrated into the risk and governance processes, as capital is a key consideration in the development of the strategic plan, risk appetite and risk limits. Economic capital is allocated to each business unit and used to perform risk-adjusted return analysis at the business unit, client relationship and transaction levels.

Table of Contents

Regulatory Capital

As a financial services holding company, we are subject to the risk-based capital guidelines (Basel 1) issued by federal banking regulators. At September 30, 2012, we operated banking activities primarily under two national bank charters: BANA and FIA Card Services, N.A. (FIA). Under these guidelines, the Corporation and its affiliated banking entities measure capital adequacy based on Tier 1 common capital, Tier 1 capital and Total capital (Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital). Capital ratios are calculated by dividing each capital amount by risk-weighted assets. Additionally, Tier 1 capital is divided by adjusted quarterly average total assets to derive the Tier 1 leverage ratio.

The Corporation has issued notes to certain unconsolidated corporate-sponsored trust companies which issued qualifying trust preferred securities (Trust Securities) and hybrid securities. In accordance with Federal Reserve guidance, Trust Securities continue to qualify as Tier 1 capital with revised quantitative limits. As a result, at September 30, 2012, the Corporation included qualifying Trust Securities in the aggregate amount of \$10.5 billion (approximately 88 bps of Tier 1 capital) in Tier 1 capital. Under one of three notices of proposed rulemaking on Basel 3 issued by U.S. banking regulatory agencies (collectively, the Basel 3 NPRs), outstanding Trust Securities will be excluded from Tier 1 capital, with the exclusion to be phased in incrementally over a four-year period, beginning January 1, 2013.

For additional information on these and other regulatory requirements, see Capital Management – Regulatory Capital on page 49 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K and Note 18 – Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Federal Reserve requires BHCs to submit a capital plan and requests for capital actions on an annual basis, consistent with the rules governing the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR). The CCAR is the central element to the Federal Reserve's approach to ensuring large BHCs have thorough and robust processes for managing their capital. On January 6, 2012, we submitted our 2012 capital plan, and received results on March 13, 2012. The submitted capital plan included the ICAAP and related results, analysis and support for the capital guidelines and planned capital actions. The Federal Reserve's stress scenario projections for the Corporation estimated a minimum Tier 1 common capital ratio of 5.9 percent under severe adverse economic conditions with all proposed capital actions through the end of 2013, exceeding the five percent reference rate for all institutions involved in the CCAR. The capital plan submitted by the Corporation to the Federal Reserve did not include a request to return capital to stockholders in 2012 above the current dividend rate. The Federal Reserve did not object to our capital plan. We expect to be required to submit our 2013 capital plan in early January 2013. Consistent with the 2012 capital plan, we expect to be required to use stress scenario assumptions the Federal Reserve will provide in the fourth quarter of 2012. Results from the Federal Reserve are expected to be received in the first half of 2013.

Capital Composition and Ratios

Tier 1 common capital was \$136.4 billion at September 30, 2012, an increase of \$9.7 billion from December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily driven by earnings eligible to be included in capital, which positively impacted the Tier 1 common capital ratio by approximately 52 bps, including the impact of repurchases of certain of our debt and Trust Securities. The Tier 1 common capital ratio also benefited seven bps from the issuance of common stock in lieu of cash for a portion of employee incentive compensation. Total capital decreased \$9.9 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 primarily due to a reduction in subordinated debt from repurchases and a reduction in Trust Securities from repurchases and exchanges.

Risk-weighted assets decreased \$88.7 billion to \$1,196 billion at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily driven by lower loan levels and decreases in derivatives, letters of credit and other

assets. These decreases positively impacted Tier 1 common, Tier 1 and Total capital ratios by 78 bps, 96 bps and 125 bps, respectively. The Tier 1 leverage ratio increased 31 bps at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 primarily driven by the increase in Tier 1 capital.

Table of Contents

Table 17 presents Bank of America Corporation's capital ratios and related information at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 17

Bank of America Corporation Regulatory Capital

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2012 Actual			December 31, 2011 Actual		
	Ratio	Amount	Minimum Required (1)	Ratio	Amount	Minimum Required (1)
Tier 1 common capital ratio	11.41	% \$136,406	n/a	9.86	% \$ 126,690	n/a
Tier 1 capital ratio	13.64	163,063	\$71,743	12.40	159,232	\$ 77,068
Total capital ratio	17.16	205,172	119,572	16.75	215,101	128,447
Tier 1 leverage ratio	7.84	163,063	83,198	7.53	159,232	84,557

	September 30 2012	December 31 2011
Risk-weighted assets (in billions)	\$ 1,196	\$ 1,284
Adjusted quarterly average total assets (in billions) (2)	2,080	2,114

(1) Dollar amount required to meet guidelines for well capitalized institutions.

(2) Reflects adjusted average total assets for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

n/a = not applicable

Table 18 presents the capital composition at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 18

Capital Composition

(Dollars in millions)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011
Total common shareholders' equity	\$ 219,838	\$ 211,704
Goodwill	(69,976)	(69,967)
Nonqualifying intangible assets (includes core deposit intangibles, affinity relationships, customer relationships and other intangibles)	(5,231)	(5,848)
Net unrealized gains on AFS debt and marketable equity securities and net losses on derivatives recorded in accumulated OCI, net-of-tax	(2,824)	682
Unamortized net periodic benefit costs recorded in accumulated OCI, net-of-tax	3,285	4,391
Fair value adjustment related to structured liabilities (1)	3,883	944
Disallowed deferred tax asset	(14,286)	(16,799)
Other	1,717	1,583
Total Tier 1 common capital	136,406	126,690
Qualifying preferred stock	15,850	15,479
Trust preferred securities	10,467	16,737
Noncontrolling interests	340	326
Total Tier 1 capital	163,063	159,232
Long-term debt qualifying as Tier 2 capital	25,373	38,165
Allowance for loan and lease losses	26,233	33,783
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	518	714
Allowance for loan and lease losses exceeding 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets	(11,615)	(18,159)

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

45 percent of the pre-tax net unrealized gains on AFS marketable equity securities	234	1
Other	1,366	1,365
Total capital	\$ 205,172	\$ 215,101

(1) Represents loss on structured liabilities, net-of-tax, that is excluded from Tier 1 common capital, Tier 1 capital and Total capital for regulatory capital purposes.

72

Table of Contents

Regulatory Capital Changes

We manage regulatory capital to adhere to internal capital guidelines and regulatory standards of capital adequacy based on our current understanding of the rules and the application of such rules to our business as currently conducted. The regulatory capital rules continue to evolve.

We currently measure and report our capital ratios and related information in accordance with Basel 1. See Capital Management on page 70 for additional information. In December 2007, U.S. banking regulators published final Basel 2 rules (Basel 2). We measure and report our capital ratios and related information under Basel 2 on a confidential basis to U.S. banking regulators during the required parallel period. In June 2012, U.S. banking regulators issued the final Market Risk Amendment that amends the Basel 1 Market Risk rules (Market Risk Amendment) effective January 1, 2013. U.S. banking regulators also issued the Basel 3 NPRs in June 2012 to implement the Basel 3 regulatory capital reforms from the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee) and changes required by the Financial Reform Act. Under the Basel 3 NPRs, we are subject to the Advanced Approach for measuring risk-weighted assets (Basel 3 Advanced Approach). The Basel 3 Advanced Approach also requires approval by the U.S. regulatory agencies of analytical models used as part of capital measurement. If these models are not approved, it would likely lead to an increase in our risk-weighted assets, which in some cases could be significant. The Market Risk Amendment and Basel 3 Advanced Approach, if adopted as proposed, are expected to substantially increase our capital requirements.

Portions of the Basel 3 Advanced Approach are proposed to be effective on specific dates from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2018, based on specific transition provisions in the rules. Under the Basel 3 Advanced Approach, Trust Securities will no longer be included in Tier 1 capital on a transition basis from a 25 percent exclusion starting on January 1, 2013, to full exclusion on January 1, 2016 and thereafter. The Basel 3 NPRs also propose material changes to the deduction of certain assets from capital, new minimum capital ratios and buffer requirements, significant changes to the calculation of credit and counterparty credit risk and utilization of a Standardized Approach, as defined, in lieu of Basel 1 that provides a floor to the calculation of risk-weighted assets. Many of the changes to capital deductions are subject to a transition period where the impact is recognized in 20 percent increments beginning on January 1, 2014 through January 1, 2018. The majority of the other aspects of the Basel 3 Advanced Approach are proposed to become effective on January 1, 2013. The phase-in period for the new minimum capital requirements and related buffers is proposed to occur between 2013 and 2019.

Based on Basel 2, the final Market Risk Amendment and our current understanding of the Basel 3 Advanced Approach issued by U.S. regulatory agencies, we estimated our Basel 3 Advanced Approach Tier 1 common capital ratio, on a fully phased-in basis, to be 8.97 percent at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, we estimated that our Tier 1 common capital would be \$135 billion and total risk-weighted assets would be \$1,501 billion, also on a fully phased-in basis. This assumes the approval by U.S. banking regulators of our internal analytical models, excluding the removal of the surcharge applicable to the Comprehensive Risk Model (CRM). The CRM is used to determine the risk-weighted assets for correlation trading positions. Under the Basel 3 NPRs, Tier 1 common capital includes components that exhibit heightened sensitivity to changes in interest rates, such as the cumulative change in the fair value of AFS debt securities and at least 10 percent of the fair value of MSRs recognized on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet. As a result, our estimates of total risk-weighted assets under the Basel 3 Advanced Approach are sensitive to interest rate and credit quality volatility.

Important differences between Basel 1 and Basel 3 include capital deductions related to our MSRs, deferred tax assets and defined benefit pension assets, and the inclusion of unrealized gains and losses on debt and equity securities recognized in accumulated OCI, each of which will be impacted by future changes in both interest rates as well as overall earnings performance. Our estimates under the Basel 3 Advanced Approach will be refined over time as our businesses change, as we continue to refine our models, as our and the industry's understanding and interpretation of

the rules evolve, and as a result of further rulemaking or clarification by U.S. regulating agencies. Preparing for the implementation of the new capital rules is a top strategic priority, and we expect to comply with the final rules when issued and effective. We intend to continue to build capital through retaining earnings, actively managing our portfolios and implementing other capital related initiatives, including focusing on reducing both higher risk-weighted assets and assets proposed to be deducted from capital under the Basel 3 Advanced Approach.

Table of Contents

Basel 3 regulatory capital metrics are non-GAAP measures until they are fully adopted and required by U.S. regulatory agencies. Table 19 presents a reconciliation of our Basel 1 Tier 1 common capital and risk-weighted assets to our Basel 3 estimates at September 30, 2012, assuming fully phased-in measures according to the Basel 3 Advanced Approach.

Table 19

Basel 1 to Basel 3 Reconciliation

(Dollars in millions)	September 30 2012
Regulatory capital – Basel 1 to Basel 3 (fully phased-in)	
Basel 1 Tier 1 capital	\$163,063
Deduction of preferred stock, non-qualifying preferred stock and minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries	(26,657)
Basel 1 Tier 1 common capital	136,406
Deduction of defined benefit pension assets	(1,709)
Change in deferred tax asset and other threshold deductions (MSRs and significant investments)	(1,102)
Change in all other deductions, net	1,040
Basel 3 (fully phased-in) Tier 1 common capital	\$134,635
Risk-weighted assets – Basel 1 to Basel 3 (fully phased-in)	
Basel 1	\$1,195,720
Net change in credit and other risk-weighted assets	216,246
Increase due to market risk amendment	88,881
Basel 3 (fully phased-in)	\$1,500,847
Tier 1 common capital ratios	
Basel 1	11.41 %
Basel 3 (fully phased-in)	8.97

Additionally, capital requirements for global, systemically important financial institutions, including the methodology for measuring systemic importance, the additional capital required (the SIFI buffer), and the arrangements by which they will be phased in were proposed by the Basel Committee in 2011. As proposed, the SIFI buffer would increase minimum capital requirements for Tier 1 common capital from one percent to 2.5 percent, and in certain circumstances, 3.5 percent. This is proposed to be phased in from 2016 through 2018. U.S. banking regulators have not yet provided similar rules for the U.S. implementation of a SIFI buffer.

On December 20, 2011, the Federal Reserve issued proposed rules to implement enhanced supervisory and prudential requirements and the early remediation requirements established under the Financial Reform Act. The enhanced standards include risk-based capital and leverage requirements, liquidity standards, requirements for overall risk management, single-counterparty credit limits, stress test requirements and a debt-to-equity limit for certain companies determined to pose a threat to financial stability. The final rules are likely to influence our regulatory capital and liquidity planning process, and may impose additional operational and compliance costs on us.

For additional information regarding Basel 2, the Market Risk Amendment, Basel 3 and other proposed regulatory capital changes, see Note 18 – Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Bank of America, N.A. and FIA Card Services, N.A. Regulatory Capital

Table 20 presents regulatory capital information for BANA and FIA at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 20

Bank of America, N.A. and FIA Card Services, N.A. Regulatory Capital

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Ratio	Amount	Minimum Required ⁽¹⁾	Ratio	Amount	Minimum Required ⁽¹⁾
Tier 1 capital ratio						
Bank of America, N.A.	12.78 %	\$ 119,507	\$56,123	11.74 %	\$ 119,881	\$61,245
FIA Card Services, N.A.	16.41	21,244	7,769	17.63	24,660	8,393
Total capital ratio						
Bank of America, N.A.	15.18	141,973	93,538	15.17	154,885	102,076
FIA Card Services, N.A.	17.70	22,924	12,948	19.01	26,594	13,989
Tier 1 leverage ratio						
Bank of America, N.A.	8.76	119,507	68,213	8.65	119,881	69,318
FIA Card Services, N.A.	13.47	21,244	7,885	14.22	24,660	8,669

⁽¹⁾ Dollar amount required to meet guidelines for well capitalized institutions.

BANA's Tier 1 capital ratio increased 104 bps to 12.78 percent and the Total capital ratio increased one bp to 15.18 percent at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. The Tier 1 leverage ratio increased 11 bps to 8.76 percent at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. The increase in the Tier 1 capital ratio was driven by a decrease in risk-weighted assets of \$85.4 billion compared to December 31, 2011 and earnings eligible to be included in capital of \$3.0 billion and \$9.9 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in the Total capital ratio was driven by the same factor as discussed for the Tier 1 capital ratio above, largely offset by repurchases of subordinated debt of \$2.9 billion and \$10.1 billion in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in the Tier 1 leverage ratio was driven by a decrease in adjusted quarterly average total assets of \$22.1 billion, partially offset by a decrease in Tier 1 capital.

FIA's Tier 1 capital ratio decreased 122 bps to 16.41 percent and the Total capital ratio decreased 131 bps to 17.70 percent at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. The Tier 1 leverage ratio decreased 75 bps to 13.47 percent at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. The decrease in the Tier 1 capital and Total capital ratios was driven by returns of capital of \$600 million and \$6.6 billion to Bank of America Corporation during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, partially offset by earnings eligible to be included in capital of \$1.0 billion and \$3.0 billion. The decrease in the Tier 1 leverage ratio was driven by the decrease in Tier 1 capital, partially offset by a decrease in adjusted quarterly average total assets of \$15.7 billion.

Broker/Dealer Regulatory Capital

The Corporation's principal U.S. broker/dealer subsidiaries are Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith (MLPF&S) and Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp (MLPCC). MLPCC is a fully-guaranteed subsidiary of MLPF&S that provides clearing and settlement services. Both entities are subject to the net capital requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 15c3-1. Both entities are also registered as futures commission merchants and are subject to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission Regulation 1.17.

MLPF&S has elected to compute the minimum capital requirement in accordance with the Alternative Net Capital Requirement as permitted by SEC Rule 15c3-1. At September 30, 2012, MLPF&S's regulatory net capital as defined by Rule 15c3-1 was \$10.6 billion and exceeded the minimum requirement of \$738 million by \$9.9 billion. MLPCC's net capital of \$1.7 billion exceeded the minimum requirement of \$264 million by \$1.4 billion.

In accordance with the Alternative Net Capital Requirements, MLPF&S is required to maintain tentative net capital in excess of \$1.0 billion, net capital in excess of \$500 million and notify the SEC in the event its tentative net capital is less than \$5.0 billion. At September 30, 2012, MLPF&S had tentative net capital and net capital in excess of the minimum and notification requirements.

Table of Contents

Economic Capital

Our economic capital measurement process provides a risk-based measurement of the capital required for unexpected credit, market and operational losses over a one-year time horizon at a 99.97 percent confidence level. Economic capital is allocated to each business unit, and is used for capital adequacy, performance measurement and risk management purposes. The strategic planning process utilizes economic capital with the goal of allocating risk appropriately and measuring returns consistently across all businesses and activities. Economic capital allocation plans are incorporated into the Corporation's financial plan which is approved by the Board on an annual basis. For additional information regarding economic capital, credit risk capital, market risk capital and operational risk capital, see page 52 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Common Stock Dividends

Table 21 is a summary of our declared quarterly cash dividends on common stock during 2012 and through November 2, 2012.

Table 21

Common Stock Cash Dividend Summary

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
October 24, 2012	December 7, 2012	December 28, 2012	\$0.01
July 11, 2012	September 7, 2012	September 28, 2012	0.01
April 11, 2012	June 1, 2012	June 22, 2012	0.01
January 11, 2012	March 2, 2012	March 23, 2012	0.01

Table of Contents

Preferred Stock Dividends

Table 22 is a summary of our cash dividend declarations on preferred stock during the third quarter of 2012 and through November 2, 2012. For additional information on preferred stock, see Note 15 – Shareholders' Equity to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table 22

Preferred Stock Cash Dividend Summary

Preferred Stock	Outstanding Notional Amount (in millions)	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Per Annum Dividend Rate	Dividend Per Share
Series B ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1	July 11, 2012	October 11, 2012	October 25, 2012	7.00	% \$1.75
		October 24, 2012	January 11, 2013	January 25, 2013	7.00	1.75
Series D ⁽²⁾	\$ 654	July 3, 2012	August 31, 2012	September 14, 2012	6.204	% \$0.38775
		October 1, 2012	November 30, 2012	December 14, 2012	6.204	0.38775
Series E ⁽²⁾	\$ 317	July 3, 2012	July 31, 2012	August 15, 2012	Floating	\$0.25556
		October 1, 2012	October 31, 2012	November 15, 2012	Floating	0.25556
Series F	\$ 141	July 3, 2012	August 31, 2012	September 17, 2012	Floating	\$1,022.22
		October 1, 2012	November 30, 2012	December 17, 2012	Floating	1,011.11
Series G	\$ 493	July 3, 2012	August 31, 2012	September 17, 2012	Adjustable	\$1,022.22
		October 1, 2012	November 30, 2012	December 17, 2012	Adjustable	1,011.11
Series H ⁽²⁾	\$ 2,862	July 3, 2012	July 15, 2012	August 1, 2012	8.20	% \$0.51250
		October 1, 2012	October 15, 2012	November 1, 2012	8.20	0.51250
Series I ⁽²⁾	\$ 365	July 3, 2012	September 15, 2012	October 1, 2012	6.625	% \$0.41406
		October 1, 2012	December 15, 2012	January 2, 2013	6.625	0.41406
Series J ⁽²⁾	\$ 951	July 3, 2012	July 15, 2012	August 1, 2012	7.25	% \$0.45312
		October 1, 2012	October 15, 2012	November 1, 2012	7.25	0.45312
Series K ^(3, 4)	\$ 1,544	July 3, 2012	July 15, 2012	July 30, 2012	Fixed-to-Floating	\$40.00
Series L	\$ 3,080	September 17, 2012	October 1, 2012	October 30, 2012	7.25	% \$18.125
Series M ^(3, 4)	\$ 1,310	October 1, 2012	October 31, 2012	November 15, 2012	Fixed-to-Floating	\$40.625
Series T ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,000	September 17, 2012	September 24, 2012	October 10, 2012	6.00	% \$1,500.00

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Series 1 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 98	July 3, 2012	August 15, 2012	August 28, 2012	Floating	\$0.18750
		October 1, 2012	November 15, 2012	November 28, 2012	Floating	0.18750
Series 2 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 299	July 3, 2012	August 15, 2012	August 28, 2012	Floating	\$0.19167
		October 1, 2012	November 15, 2012	November 28, 2012	Floating	0.19167
Series 3 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 653	July 3, 2012	August 15, 2012	August 28, 2012	6.375	% \$0.39843
		October 1, 2012	November 15, 2012	November 28, 2012	6.375	0.39843
Series 4 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 210	July 3, 2012	August 15, 2012	August 28, 2012	Floating	\$0.25556
		October 1, 2012	November 15, 2012	November 28, 2012	Floating	0.25556
Series 5 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 422	July 3, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 21, 2012	Floating	\$0.25556
		October 1, 2012	November 1, 2012	November 21, 2012	Floating	0.25556
Series 6 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 59	July 3, 2012	September 14, 2012	September 28, 2012	6.70	% \$0.41875
		October 1, 2012	December 14, 2012	December 28, 2012	6.70	0.41875
Series 7 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 17	July 3, 2012	September 14, 2012	September 28, 2012	6.25	% \$0.39062
		October 1, 2012	December 14, 2012	December 28, 2012	6.25	0.39062
Series 8 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2,673	July 3, 2012	August 15, 2012	August 28, 2012	8.625	% \$0.53906
		October 1, 2012	November 15, 2012	November 28, 2012	8.625	0.53906

(1) Dividends are cumulative.

(2) Dividends per depositary share, each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of preferred stock.

(3) Initially pays dividends semi-annually.

(4) Dividends per depositary share, each representing a 1/25th interest in a share of preferred stock.

(5) Dividends per depositary share, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of preferred stock.

(6) Dividends per depositary share, each representing a 1/40th interest in a share of preferred stock.

Table of Contents

Enterprise-wide Stress Testing

As a part of our core risk management practices, we conduct enterprise-wide stress tests on a periodic basis to better understand balance sheet, earnings, capital and liquidity sensitivities to certain economic and business scenarios, including economic and market conditions that are more severe than anticipated. These enterprise-wide stress tests provide an understanding of the potential impacts from our risk profile on our balance sheet, earnings, capital and liquidity and serve as a key component of our capital, liquidity and risk management practices. Scenarios are selected by a group comprised of senior business, risk and finance executives. Impacts to each business from each scenario are then determined and analyzed, primarily by leveraging the models and processes utilized in everyday management routines. Impacts are assessed along with potential mitigating actions that may be taken. Analysis from such stress scenarios is compiled for and reviewed through our Chief Financial Officer Risk Committee (CFORC), Asset Liability and Market Risk Committee (ALMRC) and the Board's Enterprise Risk Committee (ERC) and serves to inform decision making by management and the Board.

Liquidity Risk

Funding and Liquidity Risk Management

We define liquidity risk as the potential inability to meet our contractual and contingent financial obligations, on- or off-balance sheet, as they come due. Our primary liquidity objective is to provide adequate funding for our businesses throughout market cycles, including periods of financial stress. To achieve that objective, we analyze and monitor our liquidity risk, maintain excess liquidity and access diverse funding sources including our stable deposit base. We define excess liquidity as readily available assets, limited to cash and high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities that we can use to meet our funding requirements as those obligations arise.

Global funding and liquidity risk management activities are centralized within Corporate Treasury. We believe that a centralized approach to funding and liquidity risk management enhances our ability to monitor liquidity requirements, maximizes access to funding sources, minimizes borrowing costs and facilitates timely responses to liquidity events. For additional information regarding global funding and liquidity risk management, see Funding and Liquidity Risk Management on page 53 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Global Excess Liquidity Sources and Other Unencumbered Assets

We maintain excess liquidity available to Bank of America Corporation, or the parent company, and selected subsidiaries in the form of cash and high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities. These assets, which we call our Global Excess Liquidity Sources, serve as our primary means of liquidity risk mitigation. Our cash is primarily on deposit with the Federal Reserve and central banks outside of the U.S. We limit the composition of high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities to U.S. government securities, U.S. agency securities, U.S. agency MBS and a select group of non-U.S. government and supranational securities. We believe we can quickly obtain cash for these securities, even in stressed market conditions, through repurchase agreements or outright sales. We hold our Global Excess Liquidity Sources in entities that allow us to meet the liquidity requirements of our global businesses, and we consider the impact of potential regulatory, tax, legal and other restrictions that could limit the transferability of funds among entities.

Our Global Excess Liquidity Sources were \$380 billion and \$378 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and were maintained as presented in Table 23.

Table 23

Global Excess Liquidity Sources

(Dollars in billions)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	Average for Three Months Ended September 30, 2012
Parent company	\$ 102	\$ 125	\$103
Bank subsidiaries	254	222	257
Broker/dealers	24	31	27
Total global excess liquidity sources	\$ 380	\$ 378	\$387

As shown in Table 23, parent company Global Excess Liquidity Sources totaled \$102 billion and \$125 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The decrease in parent company liquidity was primarily due to long-term debt maturities, partially offset by dividends and capital repayments from subsidiaries. Typically, parent company cash is deposited overnight with BANA.

Table of Contents

Global Excess Liquidity Sources available to our bank subsidiaries totaled \$254 billion and \$222 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The increase in liquidity available to our bank subsidiaries was primarily due to a reduction in loan balances and an increase in deposits. These amounts are distinct from the cash deposited by the parent company presented in Table 23. In addition to their Global Excess Liquidity Sources, our bank subsidiaries hold other unencumbered investment-grade securities that we believe could also be used to generate liquidity. Our bank subsidiaries can also generate incremental liquidity by pledging a range of other unencumbered loans and securities to certain Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBs) and the Federal Reserve Discount Window. The cash we could have obtained by borrowing against this pool of specifically-identified eligible assets was approximately \$212 billion and \$189 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. We have established operational procedures to enable us to borrow against these assets, including regularly monitoring our total pool of eligible loans and securities collateral. Due to regulatory restrictions, liquidity generated by the bank subsidiaries can only be used to fund obligations within the bank subsidiaries and can only be transferred to the parent company or nonbank subsidiaries with prior regulatory approval.

Global Excess Liquidity Sources available to our broker/dealer subsidiaries totaled \$24 billion and \$31 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Our broker/dealers also held other unencumbered investment-grade securities and equities that we believe could also be used to generate additional liquidity. Liquidity held in a broker/dealer subsidiary is available to meet the obligations of that entity and can only be transferred to the parent company or to any other subsidiary with prior regulatory approval due to regulatory restrictions and minimum requirements.

Table 24 presents the composition of Global Excess Liquidity Sources at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 24

Global Excess Liquidity Sources Composition

(Dollars in billions)	September 30	December 31
	2012	2011
Cash on deposit	\$ 59	\$ 79
U.S. treasuries	23	48
U.S. agency securities and mortgage-backed securities	281	228
Non-U.S. government and supranational securities	17	23
Total global excess liquidity sources	\$ 380	\$ 378

Time to Required Funding and Stress Modeling

We use a variety of metrics to determine the appropriate amounts of excess liquidity to maintain at the parent company and our bank and broker/dealer subsidiaries. One metric we use to evaluate the appropriate level of excess liquidity at the parent company is “Time to Required Funding.” This debt coverage measure indicates the number of months that the parent company can continue to meet its unsecured contractual obligations as they come due using only its Global Excess Liquidity Sources without issuing any new debt or accessing any additional liquidity sources. We define unsecured contractual obligations for purposes of this metric as maturities of senior or subordinated debt issued or guaranteed by Bank of America Corporation or Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Merrill Lynch). These include certain unsecured debt instruments, primarily structured liabilities, which we may be required to settle for cash prior to maturity. The Corporation has established a target minimum for Time to Required Funding of 21 months. Our Time to Required Funding was 35 months at September 30, 2012. For purposes of calculating Time to Required Funding at September 30, 2012, we have also included in the amount of unsecured contractual obligations the \$8.6 billion liability related to the BNY Mellon Settlement and the \$2.4 billion liability related to the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement. The Time to Required Funding at September 30, 2012 does not include the \$5.0 billion carrying value of redemptions of trust preferred securities announced on October 4, 2012. The BNY Mellon Settlement and the Merrill

Lynch Class Action Settlement are subject to final court approval and certain other conditions, and the timing of payment is not certain.

We utilize liquidity stress models to assist us in determining the appropriate amounts of excess liquidity to maintain at the parent company and our bank and broker/dealer subsidiaries. These models are risk sensitive and have become increasingly important in analyzing our potential contractual and contingent cash outflows beyond those outflows considered in the Time to Required Funding analysis. We evaluate the liquidity requirements under a range of scenarios with varying levels of severity and time horizons. The scenarios we consider and utilize incorporate market-wide and Corporation-specific events, including potential credit rating downgrades for the parent company and our subsidiaries, and are based on historical experience, regulatory guidance, and both expected and unexpected future events.

Table of Contents

The types of potential contractual and contingent cash outflows we consider in our scenarios may include, but are not limited to, upcoming contractual maturities of unsecured debt and reductions in new debt issuance; diminished access to secured financing markets; potential deposit withdrawals and reduced rollover of maturing term deposits by customers; increased draws on loan commitments, liquidity facilities and letters of credit, including Variable Rate Demand Notes; additional collateral that counterparties could call if our credit ratings were downgraded further; collateral, margin and subsidiary capital requirements arising from losses; and potential liquidity required to maintain businesses and finance customer activities. Changes in certain market factors, including but not limited to credit rating downgrades, could negatively impact potential contractual and contingent outflows and the related financial instruments, and in some cases these impacts could be material to our financial results.

For additional information on Time to Required Funding and liquidity stress modeling, see page 54 of the MD&A of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Basel 3 Liquidity Standards

In December 2010, the Basel Committee proposed two measures of liquidity risk which are considered part of Basel 3. The first proposed liquidity measure is the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR), which is calculated as the amount of a financial institution's unencumbered, high-quality, liquid assets relative to the net cash outflows the institution could encounter under an acute 30-day stress scenario. The second proposed liquidity measure is the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR), which measures the amount of longer-term, stable sources of funding employed by a financial institution relative to the liquidity profiles of the assets funded and the potential for contingent calls on funding liquidity arising from off-balance sheet commitments and obligations over a one-year period. The Basel Committee expects the LCR requirement to be implemented in January 2015 and the NSFR requirement to be implemented in January 2018, following an observation period that began in 2011. We continue to monitor the development and the potential impact of these proposals, and assuming adoption by U.S. banking regulators, we expect to meet the final standards within the regulatory timelines.

Diversified Funding Sources

We fund our assets primarily with a mix of deposits and secured and unsecured liabilities through a globally coordinated funding strategy. We diversify our funding globally across products, programs, markets, currencies and investor groups.

We fund a substantial portion of our lending activities through our deposits, which were \$1.06 trillion and \$1.03 trillion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Deposits are primarily generated by our CBB, GWIM and Global Banking segments. These deposits are diversified by clients, product type and geography, and the majority of our U.S. deposits are insured by the FDIC. We consider a substantial portion of our deposits to be a stable, low-cost and consistent source of funding. We believe this deposit funding is generally less sensitive to interest rate changes, market volatility or changes in our credit ratings than wholesale funding sources. Our lending activities may also be financed through secured borrowings, including securitizations with GSEs, the FHA and private-label investors, as well as FHLB loans.

Our trading activities in broker/dealer subsidiaries are primarily funded on a secured basis through securities lending and repurchase agreements and these amounts will vary based on customer activity and market conditions. We believe funding these activities in the secured financing markets is more cost efficient and less sensitive to changes in our credit ratings than unsecured financing. Repurchase agreements are generally short-term and often overnight. Disruptions in secured financing markets for financial institutions have occurred in prior market cycles which resulted in adverse changes in terms or significant reductions in the availability of such financing. We manage the liquidity risks arising from secured funding by sourcing funding globally from a diverse group of counterparties, providing a

range of securities collateral and pursuing longer durations, when appropriate.

We reduced unsecured short-term borrowings at the parent company and broker/dealer subsidiaries, including commercial paper and master notes, to relatively insignificant amounts during the third quarter of 2011. For average and period-end balance discussions, see Balance Sheet Overview on page 15. For more information, see Note 12 – Federal Funds Sold, Securities Borrowed or Purchased Under Agreements to Resell and Short-term Borrowings to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Table 25 presents information on short-term borrowings.

Table 25

Short-term Borrowings

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	Amount		Rate		Amount		Rate	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Average during period								
Federal funds purchased	\$211	\$1,495	0.05 %	0.05 %	\$229	\$2,072	0.05 %	0.08 %
Securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	286,931	260,334	0.95	1.39	274,166	279,403	1.04	1.35
Commercial paper ⁽¹⁾	10	2,653	0.15	(2.27)	8	11,704	1.69	0.51
Other short-term borrowings	37,871	38,752	2.16	2.62	37,973	44,404	2.07	2.43
Total	\$325,023	\$303,234	1.09	1.51	\$312,376	\$337,583	1.16	1.46
Maximum month-end balance during period								
Federal funds purchased	\$207	\$1,382			\$331	\$4,133		
Securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	291,093	258,286			291,093	293,519		
Commercial paper	—	5,836			172	21,212		