

NL INDUSTRIES INC
Form 10-Q
August 03, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010
Commission file number 1-640

NL INDUSTRIES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-5267260
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1700
Dallas, Texas 75240-2697
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (972) 233-1700

Indicate by check mark:

Whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). * Yes No

* The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: NL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No X

Number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding on July 30, 2010: 48,630,934.

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

| | Page number | |
|--|--|----|
| Part I. | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2009; June 30, 2010 (unaudited) | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)- Three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2010 | 5 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Loss - Six months ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited) | 6 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) - Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2010 | 7 |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 9 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 23 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 42 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 42 |
| Part II. | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 44 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 45 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 45 |
| Items 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Part II are omitted because there is no information to report | | |

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

| ASSETS | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 (unaudited) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$24,555 | \$ 8,944 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 7,157 | 8,208 |
| Marketable securities | 5,225 | - |
| Accounts and other receivables, net | 17,053 | 19,113 |
| Inventories, net | 16,266 | 17,963 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 1,349 | 1,979 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5,039 | 5,038 |
| Total current assets | 76,644 | 61,245 |
| Other assets: | | |
| Marketable equity securities | 85,073 | 84,610 |
| Investment in Kronos Worldwide, Inc. | 112,766 | 130,615 |
| Goodwill | 44,316 | 44,311 |
| Assets held for sale | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| Other assets, net | 17,026 | 16,690 |
| Total other assets | 261,981 | 279,026 |
| Property and equipment: | | |
| Land | 12,368 | 12,388 |
| Buildings | 34,261 | 34,169 |
| Equipment | 126,203 | 126,783 |
| Construction in progress | 1,180 | 1,082 |
| | 174,012 | 174,422 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 109,646 | 112,560 |
| Net property and equipment | 64,366 | 61,862 |
| Total assets | \$402,991 | \$402,133 |

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)

(In thousands)

| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 (unaudited) |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$- | \$3,300 |
| Accounts payable | 6,664 | 8,597 |
| Accrued liabilities | 26,549 | 15,508 |
| Accrued environmental costs | 8,328 | 5,792 |
| Income taxes | 332 | 127 |
| Total current liabilities | 41,873 | 33,324 |
| Non-current liabilities: | | |
| Long-term debt | 42,540 | 64,730 |
| Accrued environmental costs | 37,518 | 36,916 |
| Accrued pension costs | 12,233 | 11,622 |
| Accrued postretirement benefit (OPEB) costs | 8,307 | 8,129 |
| Deferred income taxes | 55,750 | 58,381 |
| Other | 19,112 | 19,062 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 175,460 | 198,840 |
| Equity: | | |
| NL Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock | 6,076 | 6,078 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 311,939 | 299,469 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | - | - |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (143,411) | (146,325) |
| Total NL stockholders' equity | 174,604 | 159,222 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | 11,054 | 10,747 |
| Total equity | 185,658 | 169,969 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$402,991 | \$402,133 |

Commitments and contingencies (Notes 10 and 11)

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (unaudited) | | | |
| Net sales | \$29,239 | \$34,384 | \$57,715 | \$67,184 |
| Cost of sales | 22,993 | 25,529 | 46,695 | 49,231 |
| Gross margin | 6,246 | 8,855 | 11,020 | 17,953 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 6,451 | 6,037 | 12,130 | 13,341 |
| Other operating income (expense): | | | | |
| Insurance recoveries | 1,989 | 96 | 2,714 | 18,271 |
| Litigation settlement gain | 11,313 | - | 11,313 | - |
| Litigation settlement expense | - | - | - | (32,174) |
| Assets held for sale write-down | (717) | - | (717) | - |
| Other income (expense), net | (8) | 237 | (39) | 215 |
| Corporate expense | (4,956) | (2,689) | (9,322) | (7,344) |
| Income (loss) from operations | 7,416 | 462 | 2,839 | (16,420) |
| Equity in net income (loss) of Kronos Worldwide, Inc. | (7,868) | 6,941 | (17,422) | 22,337 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest and dividends | 692 | 641 | 1,415 | 1,247 |
| Interest expense | (293) | (303) | (616) | (500) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | (53) | 7,741 | (13,784) | 6,664 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,297 | 3,228 | 484 | 4,571 |
| Net income (loss) | (2,350) | 4,513 | (14,268) | 2,093 |
| Noncontrolling interest in net income (loss) of subsidiary | (206) | 223 | (281) | 98 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to NL stockholders | \$(2,144) | \$ 4,290 | \$(13,987) | \$1,995 |
| Amounts attributable to NL stockholders: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share | \$(.04) | \$.09 | \$(.29) | \$(.01) |
| Cash dividend per share | \$.125 | \$.125 | \$.25 | \$.25 |

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Basic and diluted average shares outstanding | 48,609 | 48,626 | 48,605 | 48,622 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Six months ended June 30, 2010

(In thousands)

| | NL Stockholders' Equity | | | | | Total equity | Comprehensive loss |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | Common stock | Additional paid-in capital | Retained earnings (deficit) | Accumulated other comprehensive loss (unaudited) | Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$6,076 | \$311,939 | \$- | \$ (143,411) | \$ 11,054 | \$185,658 | |
| Net income | - | - | 1,995 | - | 98 | 2,093 | \$ 2,093 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net | - | - | - | (2,914) | (10) | (2,924) | (2,924) |
| Issuance of NL common stock | 2 | 131 | - | - | - | 133 | |
| Dividends | - | (10,162) | (1,995) | - | (404) | (12,561) | |
| Other, net | - | (2,439) | - | - | 9 | (2,430) | |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$6,078 | \$299,469 | \$- | \$ (146,325) | \$ 10,747 | \$169,969 | |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | \$ (831) |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(14,268) | \$2,093 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,250 | 3,953 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,689) | 4,251 |
| Equity in net (income) loss of Kronos Worldwide, Inc. | 17,422 | (22,337) |
| Benefit plan expense greater (less) than cash funding: | | |
| Defined benefit pension expense | 387 | 391 |
| Other postretirement benefit expense | 186 | 129 |
| Litigation settlement gain | (11,313) | - |
| Litigation settlement expense: | | |
| Accrued | - | 32,174 |
| Settlement payments made | - | (19,012) |
| Assets held for sale write-down | 717 | - |
| Other, net | 805 | 530 |
| Change in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts and other receivables, net | 8,533 | (4,817) |
| Inventories, net | 3,634 | (2,098) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 1,272 | (625) |
| Accrued environmental costs | (3,381) | (3,138) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (3,444) | (817) |
| Income taxes | (1,461) | (60) |
| Accounts with affiliates | 305 | 3,200 |
| Other, net | (1,235) | (768) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 720 | (6,951) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (1,245) | (1,211) |
| Proceeds from real estate-related litigation settlement gain | 11,800 | - |
| Change in restricted cash equivalents and marketable debt securities, net | (62) | 4,174 |
| Collections of loans to affiliates | 5,590 | - |
| Collection of note receivable | 261 | - |
| Proceeds from disposal of marketable securities | 61 | - |
| Purchase of: | | |
| Kronos common stock | (139) | - |
| Valhi common stock | (33) | - |

| | | |
|---|--------|-------|
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 16,233 | 2,963 |
|---|--------|-------|

- 7 -

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

(In thousands)

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Cash dividends paid | \$(12,152) | \$(12,157) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests in subsidiary | (403) | (404) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 84 | 68 |
| Repurchase of noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | - | (6,988) |
| Indebtedness: | | |
| Borrowings | - | 7,800 |
| Repayments | (750) | - |
| Deferred financing costs paid | (96) | (28) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (13,317) | (11,709) |
| Cash and cash equivalents - net change from: | | |
| Operating, investing and financing activities | 3,636 | (15,697) |
| Currency translation | 4 | 86 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 16,450 | 24,555 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$20,090 | \$8,944 |
| Supplemental disclosures: | | |
| Cash paid (received) for: | | |
| Interest | \$870 | \$185 |
| Income taxes, net | 2,787 | (2,077) |
| Non-cash investing activity: | | |
| Accrual for capital expenditures | 136 | 58 |
| Non-cash financing activity: | | |
| Promissory note payable incurred in connection with litigation settlement | - | 18,000 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 8 -

NL INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2010

(unaudited)

Note 1 - Organization and basis of presentation:

Organization - We are majority-owned by Valhi, Inc. (NYSE: VHI), which owns approximately 83% of our outstanding common stock at June 30, 2010. Valhi is majority-owned by subsidiaries of Contran Corporation. Substantially all of Contran's outstanding voting stock is held by trusts established for the benefit of certain children and grandchildren of Harold C. Simmons (for which Mr. Simmons is the sole trustee) or is held directly by Mr. Simmons or other persons or entities related to Mr. Simmons. Consequently, Mr. Simmons may be deemed to control Contran, Valhi and us.

Basis of presentation - Consolidated in this Quarterly Report are the results of our majority-owned subsidiary, CompX International Inc. We also own 36% of Kronos Worldwide, Inc. which we account for by the equity method. CompX (NYSE: CIX) and Kronos (NYSE: KRO) each file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

The unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report have been prepared on the same basis as the audited Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 that we filed with the SEC on March 9, 2010 (the "2009 Annual Report"). In our opinion, we have made all necessary adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) in order to state fairly, in all material respects, our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of the dates and for the periods presented. We have condensed the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009 contained in this Quarterly Report as compared to our audited Consolidated Financial Statements at that date, and we have omitted certain information and footnote disclosures (including those related to the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009) normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Our results of operations for the interim period ended June 30, 2010 may not be indicative of our operating results for the full year. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report should be read in conjunction with our 2009 Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our 2009 Annual Report.

Unless otherwise indicated, references in this report to "NL," "we," "us" or "our" refer to NL Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries and Kronos, taken as a whole.

Note 2 – Accounts and other receivables, net:

| | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Trade receivables | \$12,204 | \$17,261 |
| Accrued insurance recoveries | 465 | 92 |
| Other receivables | 133 | 106 |
| Receivable from affiliates: | | |
| Income taxes from Valhi | 2,880 | 424 |
| Other | 8 | 2 |
| Refundable income taxes | 1,844 | 1,627 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (481) | (399) |
| Total | \$17,053 | \$19,113 |

Note 3 – Inventories, net:

| | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Raw materials | \$4,830 | \$6,414 |
| Work in process | 6,151 | 6,800 |
| Finished products | 5,285 | 4,749 |
| Total | \$16,266 | \$17,963 |

Note 4 - Marketable securities:

| | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Current assets (available-for-sale)- Restricted debt securities | \$5,225 | \$- |
| Noncurrent assets (available-for-sale): | | |
| Valhi common stock | \$66,930 | \$59,121 |
| TIMET common stock | 18,143 | 25,489 |
| Total | \$85,073 | \$84,610 |

| | Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|---|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |
| (in thousands) | | | |
| December 31, 2009: | | | |
| Current assets (available-for-sale)- | | | |
| Restricted debt securities | \$5,225 | \$- | \$5,225 |
| Noncurrent assets (available-for-sale): | | | |
| Valhi common stock | \$66,930 | \$66,930 | \$- |
| TIMET common stock | 18,143 | 18,143 | - |
| Total | \$85,073 | \$85,073 | \$- |
| June 30, 2010: | | | |
| Noncurrent assets (available-for-sale): | | | |
| Valhi common stock | \$59,121 | \$59,121 | \$- |
| TIMET common stock | 25,489 | 25,489 | - |
| Total | \$84,610 | \$84,610 | \$- |

We held no level 3 securities at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. Restricted debt securities at December 31, 2009 collateralized certain of our outstanding letters of credit. Such investments matured during the first half of 2010 and are now held in investments classified as restricted cash equivalents at June 30, 2010.

Our investments in related parties' Valhi and Titanium Metals Corporation ("TIMET") common stock are accounted for as available-for-sale marketable equity securities carried at fair value based on quoted market prices, a Level 1 input as defined by Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 820-10-35, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. We held approximately 4.2% of Valhi's outstanding common stock and .8% of TIMET's outstanding common stock at June 30, 2010. At December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, we owned approximately 4.8 million shares of Valhi common stock and 1.4 million shares of TIMET common stock. At June 30, 2010, the quoted market price of Valhi's and TIMET's common stock was \$12.34 and \$17.59 per share, respectively. At December 31, 2009, such quoted market prices were \$13.97 and \$12.52 per share, respectively.

Note 5 – Investment in Kronos Worldwide, Inc.:

At December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, we owned approximately 17.6 million shares of Kronos common stock. At June 30, 2010, the quoted market price of Kronos' common stock was \$19.50 per share, or an aggregate market value of \$343.4 million. At December 31, 2009, the quoted market price was \$16.25, or an aggregate market value of \$286.2 million. We have pledged certain shares of our Kronos common stock (and a nominal number of shares of our CompX common stock), as indicated in Note 11.

The change in the carrying value of our investment in Kronos during the first six months of 2010 is summarized below:

| | Amount (In millions) |
|---|-------------------------|
| Balance at the beginning of the period | \$ 112.8 |
| Equity in net income of Kronos | 22.3 |
| Other, principally equity in other comprehensive loss items of Kronos | (4.5) |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$ 130.6 |

Selected financial information of Kronos is summarized below:

| | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Current assets | \$529.9 | \$ 534.7 |
| Property and equipment, net | 499.7 | 437.9 |
| Investment in TiO2 joint venture | 98.7 | 97.2 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 196.7 | 192.6 |
| Total assets | \$1,325.0 | \$1,262.4 |
| Current liabilities | \$215.4 | \$203.0 |
| Long-term debt | 611.1 | 530.3 |
| Accrued pension and postretirement benefits | 131.7 | 114.1 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 54.3 | 52.9 |
| Stockholders' equity | 312.5 | 362.1 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$1,325.0 | \$1,262.4 |

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (In millions) | | (In millions) | |
| Net sales | \$282.0 | \$380.1 | \$530.1 | \$699.8 |
| Cost of sales | 267.9 | 294.9 | 511.8 | 554.1 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (21.9) | 38.8 | (48.2) | 60.5 |
| Net income (loss) | (21.8) | 19.3 | (48.4) | 62.1 |

Note 6 – Intangible and other noncurrent assets:

June 30,

Edgar Filing: NL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | December 31, 2009 | 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Promissory note receivable | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |
| Patents and other intangible assets, net | 1,408 | 1,113 |
| Other | 618 | 577 |
| Total | \$17,026 | \$16,690 |

- 12 -

Note 7 – Accrued liabilities:

| | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Current: | | |
| Employee benefits | \$7,561 | \$8,008 |
| Professional fees and legal settlements | 6,747 | 2,776 |
| Payable to affiliates: | | |
| Accrued interest payable to TIMET | - | 579 |
| Other | 583 | 1,322 |
| Reserve for uncertain tax positions | 59 | - |
| Other | 11,599 | 2,823 |
| Total | \$26,549 | \$15,508 |
| Noncurrent: | | |
| Reserve for uncertain tax positions | \$16,936 | \$16,937 |
| Insurance claims and expenses | 659 | 630 |
| Other | 1,517 | 1,495 |
| Total | \$19,112 | \$19,062 |

Note 8 – Long-term debt:

| | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| NL: | | |
| Promissory note payable to Valhi | \$- | \$2,800 |
| Promissory note issued in conjunction with litigation settlement | - | 18,000 |
| Subtotal | - | 20,800 |
| Subsidiary debt: | | |
| CompX credit facility | - | 5,000 |
| CompX promissory note payable to TIMET | 42,540 | 42,230 |
| Subtotal | 42,540 | 47,230 |
| Total debt | 42,540 | 68,030 |
| Less current maturities | - | 3,300 |
| Total long-term debt | \$42,540 | \$64,730 |

Edgar Filing: NL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

NL - In June 2010, we entered into a promissory note with Valhi that allows us to borrow up to \$40 million. Our borrowings from Valhi under the revolving note are unsecured, bear interest at prime rate plus 2.75% (6.00% at June 30, 2010) with all principal due on demand, but in any event no later than December 31, 2011. The amount of the outstanding borrowings at any time is solely at the discretion of Valhi.

The \$18.0 million promissory note is discussed in Note 11.

- 13 -

CompX - During the first six months of 2010, CompX borrowed \$5.0 million under its revolving bank credit facility that matures in January 2012. The average interest rate on this outstanding borrowing at June 30, 2010 was 3.6%.

Note 9 – Employee benefit plans:

Defined benefit plans - The components of net periodic defined benefit pension cost (income) are presented in the table below.

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Interest cost | \$705 | \$736 | \$1,428 | \$1,456 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (822) | (838) | (1,640) | (1,684) |
| Recognized actuarial losses | 302 | 308 | 599 | 621 |
| Total | \$185 | \$206 | \$387 | \$393 |

Postretirement benefits - The components of net periodic postretirement benefits other than pension cost are presented in the table below.

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Interest cost | \$138 | \$110 | \$276 | \$219 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (45) | (45) | (90) | (90) |
| Total | \$93 | \$65 | \$186 | \$129 |

Contributions – We expect our 2010 contributions for our pension and other postretirement benefit plans to be consistent with the amount disclosed in our 2009 Annual Report.

Note 10 - Income tax provision (benefit):

| | Six months ended | |
|--|------------------|-------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Expected tax provision (benefit) at U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% | \$(4.8) | \$2.3 |
| Non-U.S. tax rates | - | (.2) |
| Incremental U.S. tax and rate differences on equity in earnings of non-tax group companies | 4.7 | 2.4 |
| U.S. state income taxes, net | .2 | .1 |
| Change in reserve for uncertain tax positions, net | .3 | - |
| Nondeductible expenses | .1 | .1 |

| | | |
|------------|------|-------|
| Other, net | - | (.1) |
| Total | \$.5 | \$4.6 |

Tax authorities are examining certain of our U.S. and non-U.S. tax returns and have or may propose tax deficiencies, including penalties and interest. We cannot guarantee that these tax matters will be resolved in our favor due to the inherent uncertainties involved in settlement initiatives and court and tax proceedings. We believe we have adequate accruals for additional taxes and related interest expense which could ultimately result from tax examinations. We believe the ultimate disposition of tax examinations should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. We currently estimate that our unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by approximately \$.1 million during the next twelve months due to certain statutes of limitations.

- 14 -

Under GAAP, we are required to recognize a deferred income tax liability with respect to the incremental U.S. (federal and state) and non-U.S. withholding taxes that would be incurred when undistributed earnings of a non-U.S. subsidiary are subsequently repatriated, unless management has determined that those undistributed earnings are permanently reinvested for the foreseeable future. Prior to March 31, 2010, we had not recognized a deferred income tax liability related to incremental income taxes on the pre-2005 undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary, as those earnings were deemed to be permanently reinvested. GAAP requires us to reassess the permanent reinvestment conclusion on an ongoing basis to determine if our intentions have changed. At the end of March 2010, and based primarily upon changes in our cash management plans, we determined that all of the undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary can no longer be considered to be permanently reinvested in Taiwan. Accordingly, in the first quarter of 2010 we recognized an aggregate \$1.9 million provision for deferred income taxes on the pre-2005 undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary. Consequently, all of the undistributed earnings of CompX's non-U.S. operations are now considered to be not permanently reinvested.

Note 11 – Commitments and contingencies:

Lead pigment litigation

Our former operations included the manufacture of lead pigments for use in paint and lead-based paint. We, other former manufacturers of lead pigments for use in paint and lead-based paint (together, the “former pigment manufacturers”), and the Lead Industries Association (“LIA”), which discontinued business operations in 2002, have been named as defendants in various legal proceedings seeking damages for personal injury, property damage and governmental expenditures allegedly caused by the use of lead-based paints. Certain of these actions have been filed by or on behalf of states, counties, cities or their public housing authorities and school districts, and certain others have been asserted as class actions. These lawsuits seek recovery under a variety of theories, including public and private nuisance, negligent product design, negligent failure to warn, strict liability, breach of warranty, conspiracy/concert of action, aiding and abetting, enterprise liability, market share or risk contribution liability, intentional tort, fraud and misrepresentation, violations of state consumer protection statutes, supplier negligence and similar claims.

The plaintiffs in these actions generally seek to impose on the defendants responsibility for lead paint abatement and health concerns associated with the use of lead-based paints, including damages for personal injury, contribution and/or indemnification for medical expenses, medical monitoring expenses and costs for educational programs. To the extent the plaintiffs seek compensatory or punitive damages in these actions, such damages are generally unspecified. In some cases, the damages are unspecified pursuant to the requirements of applicable state law. A number of cases are inactive or have been dismissed or withdrawn. Most of the remaining cases are in various pre-trial stages. Some are on appeal following dismissal or summary judgment rulings in favor of either the defendants or the plaintiffs. In addition, various other cases (in which we are not a defendant) are pending that seek recovery for injury allegedly caused by lead pigment and lead-based paint. Although we are not a defendant in these cases, the outcome of these cases may have an impact on cases that might be filed against us in the future.

We believe that these actions are without merit, and we intend to continue to deny all allegations of wrongdoing and liability and to defend against all actions vigorously. We do not believe it is probable that we have incurred any liability with respect to all of the lead pigment litigation cases to which we are a party, and liability to us that may result, if any, in this regard cannot be reasonably estimated, because:

- we have never settled any of the market share, risk contribution, intentional tort, fraud, nuisance, supplier negligence, strict liability, breach of warranty, conspiracy, misrepresentation, aiding and abetting, enterprise liability, or statutory cases,
 - no final, non-appealable adverse verdicts have ever been entered against us, and
- we have never ultimately been found liable with respect to any such litigation matters.

Accordingly, we have not accrued any amounts for any of the pending lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation cases. New cases may continue to be filed against us. We cannot assure you that we will not incur liability in the future in respect of any of the pending or possible litigation in view of the inherent uncertainties involved in court and jury rulings. The resolution of any of these cases could result in recognition of a loss contingency accrual that could have a material adverse impact on our net income for the interim or annual period during which such liability is recognized and a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition and liquidity.

Environmental matters and litigation

Our operations are governed by various environmental laws and regulations. Certain of our businesses are and have been engaged in the handling, manufacture or use of substances or compounds that may be considered toxic or hazardous within the meaning of applicable environmental laws and regulations. As with other companies engaged in similar businesses, certain of our past and current operations and products have the potential to cause environmental or other damage. We have implemented and continue to implement various policies and programs in an effort to minimize these risks. Our policy is to maintain compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations at all of our plants and to strive to improve environmental performance. From time to time, we may be subject to environmental regulatory enforcement under U.S. and non-U.S. statutes, the resolution of which typically involves the establishment of compliance programs. It is possible that future developments, such as stricter requirements of environmental laws and enforcement policies, could adversely affect our production, handling, use, storage, transportation, sale or disposal of such substances. We believe that all of our facilities are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws.

Certain properties and facilities used in our former operations, including divested primary and secondary lead smelters and former mining locations, are the subject of civil litigation, administrative proceedings or investigations arising under federal and state environmental laws. Additionally, in connection with past operating practices, we are currently involved as a defendant, potentially responsible party (“PRP”) or both, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (“CERCLA”), and similar state laws in various governmental and private actions associated with waste disposal sites, mining locations, and facilities we or our predecessors currently or previously owned, operated or were used by us or our subsidiaries, or their predecessors, certain of which are on the United States Environmental Protection Agency’s (“EPA”) Superfund National Priorities List or similar state lists. These proceedings seek cleanup costs, damages for personal injury or property damage and/or damages for injury to natural resources. Certain of these proceedings involve claims for substantial amounts. Although we may be jointly and severally liable for these costs, in most cases we are only one of a number of PRPs who may also be jointly and severally liable, and among whom costs may be shared or allocated. In addition, we are also a party to a number of personal injury lawsuits filed in various jurisdictions alleging claims related to environmental conditions alleged to have resulted from our operations.

Environmental obligations are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including the:

- complexity and differing interpretations of governmental regulations,
- number of PRPs and their ability or willingness to fund such allocation of costs,
 - financial capabilities of the PRPs and the allocation of costs among them,
 - solvency of other PRPs,
 - multiplicity of possible solutions,
- number of years of investigatory, remedial and monitoring activity required and
- number of years between former operations and notice of claims and lack of information and documents about the former operations.

In addition, the imposition of more stringent standards or requirements under environmental laws or regulations, new developments or changes regarding site cleanup costs or allocation of costs among PRPs, solvency of other PRPs, the results of future testing and analysis undertaken with respect to certain sites or a determination that we are potentially responsible for the release of hazardous substances at other sites, could cause our expenditures to exceed our current estimates. Because we may be jointly and severally liable for the total remediation cost at certain sites, the amount for which we are ultimately liable may exceed our accruals due to, among other things, the reallocation of costs among PRPs or the insolvency of one or more PRPs. We cannot assure you that actual costs will not exceed accrued amounts or the upper end of the range for sites for which estimates have been made, and we cannot assure you that costs will not be incurred for sites where no estimates presently can be made. Further, additional environmental matters may arise in the future. If we were to incur any future liability, this could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements, results of operations and liquidity.

We record liabilities related to environmental remediation obligations when estimated future expenditures are probable and reasonably estimable. We adjust our environmental accruals as further information becomes available to us or as circumstances change. Such further information or changed circumstances could include, among other things, new assertions of liability, revised expectations regarding the nature, timing and extent of any remediation required or revised estimates of the allocation of remediation costs among PRPs, and such further information or changed circumstances could result in an increase or reduction in our accrued environmental costs. We generally do not discount estimated future expenditures to their present value due to the uncertainty of the timing of the pay out. We recognize recoveries of remediation costs from other parties, if any, as assets when their receipt is deemed probable. At June 30, 2010, we have not recognized any receivables for recoveries.

We do not know and cannot estimate the exact time frame over which we will make payments for our accrued environmental costs. The timing of payments depends upon a number of factors including the timing of the actual remediation process; which in turn depends on factors outside of our control. At each balance sheet date, we estimate the amount of our accrued environmental costs which we expect to pay within the next twelve months, and we classify this estimate as a current liability. We classify the remaining accrued environmental costs as a noncurrent liability.

Changes in the accrued environmental costs during the first six months of 2010 are as follows:

| | Amount (In thousands) |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Balance at the beginning of the period | \$45,846 |
| Reductions charged against expense, net | (883) |
| Payments, net | (2,255) |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$42,708 |
| Amounts recognized in the balance sheet at the end of the period: | |
| Current liability | \$ 5,792 |
| Noncurrent liability | 36,916 |
| Total | \$42,708 |

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate the potential range of our liability at sites where we have been named as a PRP or defendant, including sites for which our wholly-owned environmental management subsidiary, NL Environmental Management Services, Inc., (“EMS”), has contractually assumed our obligations. At June 30, 2010, we had accrued approximately \$43 million, related to approximately 50 sites, which are environmental matters that we believe are at the present time and/or in their current phase reasonably estimable. The upper end of the range of reasonably possible costs to us for sites for which we believe it is possible to estimate costs is approximately \$78 million, including the amount currently accrued. We have not discounted these estimates to present value.

We believe that it is not possible to estimate the range of costs for certain sites. At June 30, 2010, there were approximately 5 sites for which we are not currently able to estimate a range of costs. For these sites, generally the investigation is in the early stages, and we are unable to determine whether or not we actually had any association with the site, the nature of our responsibility, if any, for the contamination at the site and the extent of contamination at and cost to remediate the site. The timing and availability of information on these sites is dependent on events outside of our control, such as when the party alleging liability provides information to us. At certain of these previously inactive sites, we have received general and special notices of liability from the EPA and/or state agencies alleging that we, sometimes with other PRPs, are liable for past and future costs of remediating environmental contamination allegedly caused by former operations. These notifications may assert that we, along with any other alleged PRPs, are liable for past and/or future clean-up costs that could be material to us if we are ultimately found liable.

In July 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with another PRP pursuant to which, among other things, the other PRP reimbursed us for certain remediation costs we had previously incurred for certain sites related to one of our former business units, and PRP also affirmed its full responsibility to indemnify us for all claims (environmental or otherwise) with respect to certain specified sites related to such former business unit as well as indemnify us for any future claims that may arise related to such former business unit. As a result of the July 2010 settlement agreement, in the third quarter of 2010 we expect to recognize a litigation settlement gain of \$5.2 million, consisting of \$3.1 million related to the PRP’s cash reimbursement of prior remediation costs and \$2.1 million related to a reduction in our accrued environmental remediation costs resulting from the PRP’s agreement to indemnify us.

Insurance coverage claims

We are involved in certain legal proceedings with a number of our former insurance carriers regarding the nature and extent of the carriers' obligations to us under insurance policies with respect to certain lead pigment and asbestos lawsuits. The issue of whether insurance coverage for defense costs or indemnity or both will be found to exist for our lead pigment and asbestos litigation depends upon a variety of factors, and we cannot assure you that such insurance coverage will be available.

We have agreements with two former insurance carriers pursuant to which the carriers reimburse us for a portion of our future lead pigment litigation defense costs, and one such carrier reimburses us for a portion of our future asbestos litigation defense costs. We are not able to determine how much we will ultimately recover from these carriers for defense costs incurred by us because of certain issues that arise regarding which defense costs qualify for reimbursement. While we continue to seek additional insurance recoveries, we do not know if we will be successful in obtaining reimbursement for either defense costs or indemnity. Accordingly, these insurance recoveries are recognized when the receipt is probable and the amount is determinable.

We recognize insurance recoveries in income only when receipt of the recovery is probable and we are able to reasonably estimate the amount of the recovery.

For a complete discussion of certain litigation involving us and certain of our former insurance carriers, refer to our 2009 Annual Report.

Other litigation

In June 2010, the case captioned Contran Corporation, et al. v. Terry S. Casey, et al. (Case No. 07-04855, 192nd Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas) was dismissed with prejudice in accordance with the previously-reported settlement agreement. In May 2010, pursuant to such agreement, we paid \$26.0 million in cash and we issued an \$18.0 million long-term promissory note. The note bears interest, payable quarterly, at the prime rate. Fifty percent of the principal amount will be payable on each of December 1, 2011 and December 1, 2012. The note is collateralized by shares of Kronos and CompX common stock, owned by us, having an aggregate market value of at least 200% of the outstanding principal amount of the promissory note. Under certain conditions, we have agreed to prepay up to \$4.0 million principal amount of such indebtedness.

For financial reporting purposes, we classified \$32.2 million of the aggregate amount payable under the settlement agreement as a litigation settlement expense in respect of certain claims made by plaintiffs in the litigation. We had insurance coverage for a portion of such litigation settlement, and a substantial portion of the insurance recoveries we recognized in the first quarter of 2010 relates to such coverage. With respect to the other claim of the plaintiffs as it relates to the repurchase of their EMS noncontrolling interest, the resulting \$2.5 million increase over our previous estimate of such payment is accounted for as a reduction in additional paid-in capital in accordance with GAAP.

We have been named as a defendant in various lawsuits in several jurisdictions, alleging personal injuries as a result of occupational exposure primarily to products manufactured by our former operations containing asbestos, silica and/or mixed dust. In addition, some plaintiffs allege exposure to asbestos from working in various facilities previously owned and/or operated by NL. There are approximately 1,226 of these types of cases pending, involving a total of approximately 2,670 plaintiffs. In addition, the claims of approximately 7,500 plaintiffs have been administratively dismissed or placed on the inactive docket in Ohio, Indiana and Texas state courts. We do not expect these claims will be re-opened unless the plaintiffs meet the courts' medical criteria for asbestos-related claims. We have not accrued any amounts for this litigation because of the uncertainty of liability and inability to reasonably estimate the liability, if any. To date, we have not been adjudicated liable in any of these matters. Based on information available to us, including:

- facts concerning historical operations,
 - the rate of new claims,
- the number of claims from which we have been dismissed and
 - our prior experience in the defense of these matters,

we believe that the range of reasonably possible outcomes of these matters will be consistent with our historical costs (which are not material). Furthermore, we do not expect any reasonably possible outcome would involve amounts material to our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. We have sought and will continue to vigorously seek, dismissal and/or a finding of no liability from each claim. In addition, from time to time, we have received notices regarding asbestos or silica claims purporting to be brought against former subsidiaries, including notices provided to insurers with which we have entered into settlements extinguishing certain insurance policies. These insurers may seek indemnification from us.

CompX

On February 10, 2009, Humanscale Corporation filed a complaint with the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") requesting that the ITC commence an investigation pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to evaluate allegations concerning the unlawful importation of certain adjustable keyboard related products into the U.S. by CompX's Canadian subsidiary. The products were alleged to infringe certain claims under a U.S. patent held by Humanscale. The complaint sought as relief the barring of future imports of the products into the U.S. until the expiration of the related patent in March 2011. The ITC hearing was completed on December 4, 2009. On July 9, 2010, the ITC issued its final judgment that CompX had not infringed on the Humanscale patents and that the patents are invalid. The final judgment is subject to appeal.

On February 13, 2009, Humanscale filed a complaint for patent infringement in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, against CompX and its Canadian subsidiary involving the identical patent in question in the ITC case. On March 30, 2009, CompX filed for a stay in the U.S. District Court Action pending the completion of the related case before the ITC. On May 19, 2009, the court granted CompX's motion to stay the Humanscale claim of patent infringement. With the issuance of the final determination in the ITC case on July 9, 2010, Humanscale may now proceed with its claim in U.S. District Court unless it chooses to appeal the ITC judgment. While the ITC determined that CompX did not infringe the patents and that the patents in question are invalid, the U.S. District Court is not bound by that determination.

In conjunction with CompX's filing of a stay of Humanscale's patent infringement claim in the U.S. District Court on March 30, 2009, CompX filed a counterclaim of patent infringement against Humanscale for infringement of certain of our keyboard support arm patents by Humanscale's models 2G, 4G and 5G support arms. A jury trial was completed on February 25, 2010 relating to CompX's counterclaims with the jury finding that Humanscale infringed on our patents and awarded damages to CompX in excess of \$19 million for past royalties. We anticipate the judge to issue a final judgment in August 2010. The verdict is subject to appeal. Due to the uncertain nature of the ongoing legal proceedings we have not accrued a receivable for the amount of the award.

For a discussion of other legal proceedings to which we are a party, refer to our 2009 Annual Report.

In addition to the litigation described above, we and our affiliates are also involved in various other environmental, contractual, product liability, patent (or intellectual property), employment and other claims and disputes incidental to present and former businesses. In certain cases, we have insurance coverage for these items, although we do not expect additional material insurance coverage for environmental claims.

We currently believe that the disposition of all of these various other claims and disputes, individually or in the aggregate, should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity beyond the accruals already provided.

Note 12 - Financial instruments:

See Note 4 for information on how we determine fair value of our marketable securities.

The following table presents the financial instruments that are not carried at fair value but which require fair value disclosure at December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010:

| | December 31, 2009 | | June 30, 2010 | |
|--|-------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, current restricted cash equivalents and current marketable securities | \$36.9 | \$36.9 | \$17.2 | \$17.2 |
| Promissory note receivable | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Notes payable to affiliates | 42.2 | 42.2 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| CompX bank credit facility | - | - | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Promissory note payable | - | - | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Noncontrolling interest in CompX common stock | 11.1 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 16.0 |
| NL stockholders' equity | 174.6 | 337.4 | 159.2 | 296.6 |

The fair value of our noncurrent marketable equity securities, restricted marketable debt securities, noncontrolling interest in CompX and NL stockholder's equity are based upon quoted market prices at each balance sheet date, which represent Level 1 inputs. The fair value of our promissory note receivable and our variable interest rate debt is deemed to approximate book value. Due to their near-term maturities, the carrying amounts of accounts receivable and accounts payable are considered equivalent to fair value. The fair values of our promissory note receivable, long-term debt and notes payable to affiliates are Level 2 inputs as defined by ASC Topic 820-10-35.

Note 13 – Earnings per share:

Earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. A reconciliation of the numerator used in the calculation of earnings (loss) per share is presented in the following table:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to NL stockholders | \$(2,144 |) \$4,290 | \$(13,987 |) \$1,995 |
| Paid-in capital adjustment | - | - | - | (2,513 |
| Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to NL Stockholders | \$(2,144 |) \$4,290 | \$(13,987 |) \$(518 |

The paid-in capital adjustment is discussed in Note 11.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Business and results of operations overview

We are primarily a holding company. We operate in the component products industry through our majority-owned subsidiary, CompX International Inc. We also own a non-controlling interest in Kronos Worldwide, Inc. Both CompX (NYSE: CIX) and Kronos (NYSE: KRO) file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

CompX is a leading manufacturer of security products, precision ball bearing slides and ergonomic computer support systems used in the office furniture, transportation, tool storage and a variety of other industries. CompX is also a leading manufacturer of stainless steel exhaust systems, gauges and throttle controls for the performance boat industry.

We account for our 36% non-controlling interest in Kronos by the equity method. Kronos is a leading global producer and marketer of value-added titanium dioxide pigments ("TiO₂"). TiO₂ is used for a variety of manufacturing applications including plastics, paints, paper and other industrial products.

Forward-looking information

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not historical facts are forward-looking in nature. Statements found in this report including, but not limited to, the statements found in Item 2 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," are forward-looking statements that represent our beliefs and assumptions based on currently available information. In some cases you can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words such as "believes," "intends," "may," "should," "could," "anticipates," "expected" or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategies or trends. Although we believe the expectations reflected in forward-looking statements are reasonable, we do not know if these expectations will be correct. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact expected results. Actual future results could differ materially from those predicted. While it is not possible to identify all factors, we continue to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause our actual future results to differ materially from those described herein are the risks and uncertainties discussed in this Quarterly Report and those described from time to time in our other filings with the SEC, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Future supply and demand for our products,
- The extent of the dependence of certain of our businesses on certain market sectors,
 - The cyclical nature of our businesses (such as Kronos' TiO₂ operations),
- Customer inventory levels (such as the extent to which Kronos' customers may, from time to time, accelerate purchases of TiO₂ in advance of anticipated price increases or defer purchases of TiO₂ in advance of anticipated price decreases),
 - Changes in raw material and other operating costs (such as energy and steel costs),
- General global economic and political conditions (such as changes in the level of gross domestic product in various regions of the world and the impact of such changes on demand for, among other things, TiO₂ and component products),
- Possible disruption of our business or increases in the cost of doing business resulting from terrorist activities or global conflicts,
- Competitive products and prices, including increased competition from low-cost manufacturing sources (such as China),
 - Customer and competitor strategies,
 - Potential consolidation or solvency of our competitors,
 - Demand for office furniture,
 - Demand for high performance marine components,
 - Substitute products,
 - The impact of pricing and production decisions,
 - Competitive technology positions,
 - The introduction of trade barriers,
 - Service industry employment levels,
- Fluctuations in currency exchange rates (such as changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and each of the euro, the Norwegian krone, the Canadian dollar and the New Taiwan dollar),
- Operating interruptions (including, but not limited to, labor disputes, leaks, natural disasters, fires, explosions, unscheduled or unplanned downtime and transportation interruptions),
 - The timing and amounts of insurance recoveries,
 - Our ability to maintain sufficient liquidity,
 - The extent to which our subsidiaries were to become unable to pay us dividends,
 - CompX's and Kronos' ability to renew or refinance credit facilities,
 - CompX's ability to comply with covenants contained in its revolving bank credit facility,
 - The ultimate outcome of income tax audits, tax settlement initiatives or other tax matters,
 - Potential difficulties in integrating completed or future acquisitions,
 - Decisions to sell operating assets other than in the ordinary course of business,
 - Uncertainties associated with the development of new product features,
- Our ability to utilize income tax attributes or changes in income tax rates related to such attributes, the benefits of which have been recognized under the more-likely-than-not recognition criteria,
- Environmental matters (such as those requiring compliance with emission and discharge standards for existing and new facilities or new developments regarding environmental remediation at sites related to our former operations),
- Government laws and regulations and possible changes therein (such as changes in government regulations which might impose various obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigment and lead-based paint, including us, with respect to asserted health concerns associated with the use of such products),
 - The ultimate resolution of pending litigation (such as our lead pigment and environmental matters) and
 - Possible future litigation.

Should one or more of these risks materialize or if the consequences of such a development worsen, or should the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results could differ materially from those currently forecasted or expected. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement whether as a result of changes in information, future events or otherwise.

Results of Operations

Net Income (Loss) Overview

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Our net income attributable to NL stockholders was \$4.3 million, or \$.09 per share, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net loss of \$2.1 million, or \$.04 per share, in the second quarter of 2009. As more fully described below, our income per share increased from 2009 to 2010 due primarily to the net effect of:

- equity in net income from Kronos in 2010 as compared to equity in losses in 2009,
 - a pre-tax litigation settlement gain of \$11.3 million in 2009,
- income from operations from component products in 2010 as compared to a loss in 2009,
 - lower corporate expenses of \$2.3 million in 2010,
 - an asset held for sale write-down of \$.7 million in 2009, and
 - lower insurance recoveries in 2010.

Our 2009 net loss attributable to NL stockholders includes:

- a litigation settlement gain of \$.15 per share related to the settlement of condemnation proceedings on real property we owned,
 - income of \$.03 per share related to certain insurance recoveries, and
 - a write-down of assets held for sale of \$.01 per share.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Our net income attributable to NL stockholders was \$2.0 million, or \$.01 loss per share, in the first six months of 2010 compared to a net loss of \$14.0 million, or \$.29 per share, in the first six months of 2009. As more fully described below, our income per share increased from 2009 to 2010 primarily due to the net effect of:

- equity in net income from Kronos in 2010 as compared to equity in losses in 2009,
 - a pre-tax litigation settlement gain of \$11.3 million in 2009,
- income from operations from component products in 2010 as compared to a loss in 2009,
 - a litigation settlement expense in 2010 as discussed below,
 - lower corporate expenses of \$2.0 million in 2010,
 - an asset held for sale write-down of \$.7 million in 2009, and
- higher insurance recoveries in 2010 primarily related to the litigation settlement expense.

Our 2010 net income attributable to NL stockholders includes:

- income included in our equity in earnings of Kronos of \$.17 per share related to an income tax benefit recognized by Kronos in the first quarter related to a European Court ruling that resulted in the favorable resolution of certain German income tax issues,
 - income of \$.24 per share related to certain insurance recoveries we recognized,
 - a charge of \$.43 per share related to a litigation settlement expense, and
- a charge of \$.03 per share, net of noncontrolling interest, related to recognition of a deferred income tax liability associated with a determination that certain undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary can no longer be considered to be permanently reinvested.

Edgar Filing: NL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Our 2009 net loss attributable to NL stockholders includes:

- a litigation settlement gain of \$.15 per share related to the settlement of condemnation proceedings on real property we owned,
- income of \$.04 per diluted share related to certain insurance recoveries, and
 - a write-down of assets held for sale of \$.01 per diluted share.

Income (loss) from Operations

The following table shows the components of our income (loss) from operations.

| | Three months ended | | | % Change | Six months ended | | | % Change |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | June 30, (In millions) | | 2009 | 2010 | June 30, (In millions) | |
| CompX | \$(1.0) | \$3.0 | 400 | % | \$(1.9) | \$4.7 | 348 | % |
| Insurance recoveries | 2.0 | .1 | (95 |)% | 2.7 | 18.3 | (573 |)% |
| Litigation settlement expense | - | - | - | | - | (32.2) | 100 | % |
| Litigation settlement gain | 11.3 | - | (100 |)% | 11.3 | - | (100 |)% |
| Corporate expense and other, net | (4.9) | (2.6) | (47 |)% | (9.3) | (7.2) | (23 |)% |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$7.4 | \$5 | | | \$2.8 | \$(16.4) | | |

Amounts attributable to CompX relate to its components products business, while the other amounts generally relate to NL. Each of these items is further discussed below.

CompX International Inc.

| | Three months ended | | | % Change | Six months ended | | | % Change |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | June 30, (In millions) | | 2009 | 2010 | June 30, (In millions) | |
| Net sales | \$29.2 | \$34.4 | 18 | % | \$57.7 | \$67.2 | 16 | % |
| Cost of sales | 23.0 | 25.5 | 11 | % | 46.7 | 49.2 | 5 | % |
| Gross margin | \$6.2 | \$8.9 | | | \$11.0 | \$18.0 | | |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$(1.0) | \$3.0 | 400 | % | \$(1.9) | \$4.7 | 348 | % |
| Percentage of net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 79 | % | 74 | % | 81 | % | 73 | % |
| Income from operations | (3 |)% | 8 | % | (3 |)% | 7 | % |

Net sales – Net sales increased 18% in the second quarter of 2010 and 16% in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the same periods of 2009. Net sales increased due to an increase in order rates from our customers resulting from

improving economic conditions in North America. For the six month period comparison, CompX's Furniture Components, Security Products and Marine Components businesses accounted for approximately 51%, 35% and 14%, respectively, of the total increase in sales. Furniture Components sales was a greater percentage of the total increase because this business experienced a greater contraction in demand during the economic downturn in 2009, resulting in a greater increase as customer demand began to return. The Marine business accounted for a smaller percentage of the total increase due to its smaller sales volume.

- 26 -

Cost of sales and gross margin – Cost of sales as a percentage of sales decreased by 4% in the second quarter and 8% in the first six months compared to the same periods in 2009. As a result, gross margin increased over the same periods. The resulting increase in gross margin is primarily due to improved coverage of overhead and fixed manufacturing costs from higher sales volume and the related efficiency gains from the increase in capacity utilization. During 2010, primarily due to an increase in raw materials cost, the gross margin percentage is slightly lower in the second quarter compared to the six month period

Income (loss) from operations - Our component products income (loss) from operations improved to income for both the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared to losses in the same periods in 2009. Operating income improved primarily due to the impact of higher sales, partially offset by \$.9 million higher patent litigation expenses in 2010 related to CompX's Furniture Components business and the negative impact of relative changes in currency exchange rates.

Assets held for sale - During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded an assets held for sale write-down of \$717,000, which is included in income from operations.

Currency – CompX's Furniture Components business has substantial operations and assets located outside the United States (in Canada and Taiwan). The majority of sales generated from our non-U.S. operations are denominated in the U.S. dollar, with the remainder denominated in non-U.S. currencies, principally the Canadian dollar and the New Taiwan dollar. Most raw materials, labor and other production costs for our non-U.S. operations are denominated primarily in local currencies. Consequently, the translated U.S. dollar values of our non-U.S. sales and operating results are subject to currency exchange rate fluctuations which may favorably or unfavorably impact reported earnings and may affect comparability of period-to-period operating results. CompX's Furniture Component business's net sales were positively impacted while its income from operations was negatively impacted by currency exchange rates in the following amounts as compared to the currency exchange rates in effect during the corresponding periods in the prior year:

Impact of changes in currency exchange rates
Three months ended June 30, 2010 vs June 30, 2009

| | Transaction gains/(losses) | | | Translation gain/loss- impact of rate | Total currency impact 2010 vs. 2009 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|--|---|
| | 2009 | 2010 | Change | changes | |
| (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Impact on: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 272 | \$ 272 |
| Income from operations | (14) | 122 | 136 | (498) | (362) |

Impact of changes in currency exchange rates
Six months ended June 30, 2010 vs June 30, 2009

| | Transaction gains/(losses) | | | Translation gain/loss- impact of rate | Total currency impact 2010 vs. 2009 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|--|---|
| | 2009 | 2010 | Change | changes | |
| (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Impact on: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 743 | \$ 743 |
| Income from operations | (14) | 67 | 81 | (1,133) | (1,052) |

The positive impact on net sales relates to sales denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies translated into higher U.S. dollar sales due to a strengthening of the local currency in relation to the U.S. dollar. The negative impact on income from operations results from the U.S. dollar denominated sales of non-U.S. operations converted into lower local currency amounts due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar. This negatively impacted CompX's gross margin as it results in less local currency generated from sales to cover the costs of non-U.S. operations which are denominated in local currency.

Edgar Filing: NL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Results by Reporting Unit

The key performance indicator for CompX's reporting units is income from operations.

| | Three months ended June 30, | | % | Change (dollars in thousands) | Six months ended June 30, | | % | Change |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | | | 2009 | 2010 | | |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Security Products | \$15,430 | \$17,354 | 12 | % | \$30,712 | \$34,016 | 11 | % |
| Furniture Components | 11,694 | 14,271 | 22 | % | 23,589 | 28,386 | 20 | % |
| Marine Components | 2,115 | 2,759 | 30 | % | 3,414 | 4,782 | 40 | % |
| Total net sales | \$29,239 | \$34,384 | 18 | % | \$57,715 | \$67,184 | 16 | % |
| Gross margin: | | | | | | | | |
| Security Products | \$4,524 | \$5,319 | 18 | % | \$8,275 | 10,846 | 31 | % |
| Furniture Components | 1,526 | 3,036 | 99 | % | 3,057 | 6,384 | 109 | % |
| Marine Components | 196 | 500 | 155 | % | (312) | 723 | 332 | % |
| Total gross margin | \$6,246 | \$8,855 | 42 | % | \$11,020 | \$17,953 | 63 | % |
| Income (loss) from operations: | | | | | | | | |
| Security Products | \$2,528 | \$3,199 | 27 | % | \$4,104 | 6,581 | 60 | % |
| Furniture Components | (981) | 1,078 | 210 | % | (1,001) | 1,085 | 208 | % |
| Marine Components | (439) | (78) | 82 | % | (1,590) | (447) | 72 | % |
| Corporate operating expense | (2,057) | (1,261) | 39 | % | (3,400) | (2,545) | 25 | % |
| Total income (loss) from operations | \$(949) | \$2,938 | 410 | % | \$(1,887) | \$4,674 | 348 | % |
| Gross margin as a percentage of net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Security Products | 29 | % | 31 | % | 27 | % | 32 | % |
| Furniture Components | 13 | % | 21 | % | 13 | % | 23 | % |
| Marine Components | 9 | % | 18 | % | (9) | % | 15 | % |
| Total gross margin | 21 | % | 26 | % | 19 | % | 27 | % |
| Income from operations margin: | | | | | | | | |
| Security Products | 16 | % | 18 | % | 13 | % | 19 | % |
| Furniture Components | (8) | % | 8 | % | (4) | % | 4 | % |
| Marine Components | (21) | % | (3) | % | (47) | % | (9) | % |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|----|---|---|----|----|---|---|
| Total income from operations margin | (3 |)% | 9 | % | (3 |)% | 7 | % |
|-------------------------------------|----|----|---|---|----|----|---|---|

Security Products. Security Products net sales increased 12% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period last year, and increased 11% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period last year. The increase in sales is primarily due to an increase in customer order rates from most customers resulting from improved economic conditions in North America. Compared to the same periods in 2009, gross margin percentage increased approximately 1 percentage point for the quarter and 5 percentage points for the six month period. The increase in gross margin percentage for the quarter was primarily achieved as a result of the positive impact of improved coverage of fixed manufacturing costs from higher sales volume. The increase in gross margin percentage for the six month period was primarily achieved as a result of the positive impact of (i) a prior year comparative increase of 3 percentage points in our variable contribution margin due to lower comparative material costs (primarily during the first quarter of 2010) and through more efficient use of labor and overhead due to the higher sales in 2010 and (ii) a prior year comparative increase of 2 percentage points relating to improved coverage of fixed manufacturing costs from higher sales volume. As a result, income from operations percentage for Security Products increased 2 percentage points for the second quarter and 6 percentage points for the six month period as compared to the same periods in the prior year.

Furniture Components. Furniture Components net sales increased 22% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period last year, and increased 20% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in sales is primarily due to an increase in customer order rates from most customers resulting from improved economic conditions in North America. Gross margin percentage increased approximately 8 percentage points for the quarter and 10 percentage points for the six month comparative period. The increase in gross margin percentage was primarily achieved as a result of the positive impact of (i) a prior year comparative increase of 4 percentage points for the quarter and 5 percentage points for the six month period in our variable contribution margin through more efficient use of labor and overhead due to the higher sales in 2010 and lower material costs and (ii) a prior year comparative increase of 4 percentage points for the quarter and 4 percentage points for the six month period relating to improved coverage of fixed manufacturing costs from higher sales volume each net of the negative impact of changes in currency exchange rates. With respect to income from operations, the improved gross margin was partially offset by a \$971,000 increase in litigation expenses in the year-to-date period. See Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. As a result, income from operations percentage for Furniture Components increased 16 percentage points for the second quarter and 8 percentage points for the six month period as compared to the same periods in the prior year.

Marine Components. Marine Components net sales increased 30% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period last year, and increased 40% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period last year. The increase in sales is primarily due to an increase in customer order rates resulting from improved economic conditions in North America. As a result of the improved labor efficiency and coverage of overhead and fixed cost from the higher sales, gross margin percentage increased approximately 9 percentage points for the quarter and 24 percentage points for the six month comparative period. Consequently, the loss from operations decreased to \$78,000 in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$439,000 in the same period last year, and decreased to \$447,000 in the first six months of 2010 compared to \$1.6 million in the same period in the prior year.

Outlook. Demand for CompX's products has increased as conditions in the overall economy have improved, although there is still uncertainty as to the sustainability of the related increase in sales. While changes in market demand are not within its control, CompX is focused on the areas that it can impact. Staffing levels are continuously being evaluated in relation to sales order rates resulting in headcount adjustments, to the extent possible, to match staffing levels with demand. CompX expects its lean manufacturing and cost improvement initiatives to continue to positively impact productivity and result in a more efficient infrastructure that we are beginning to leverage as growth in demand returns. Additionally, CompX continues to seek opportunities to gain market share in markets that it currently serves, to expand into new markets and to develop new product features in order to mitigate the impact of changes in demand as well as broaden its sales base.

In addition to challenges with overall demand, volatility in the cost of raw materials is ongoing. The cost of commodity raw materials began to increase during the first half of 2010 as compared to the end of 2009 and we currently expect these costs to continue to be volatile during the remainder of 2010. CompX generally seeks to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in raw material costs on its margins through improvements in production efficiencies or other operating cost reductions as well as through occasional larger quantity tactical spot buys of raw materials which may result in higher inventory balances for a period of time. In the event we are unable to offset raw material cost increases with other cost reductions, it may be difficult to recover those cost increases through increased product selling prices or raw material surcharges due to the competitive nature of the markets served by our products. Consequently, overall operating margins may be affected by raw material cost pressures.

As discussed in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, a competitor has filed claims against CompX for patent infringement. CompX has denied the allegations of patent infringement and is defending the lawsuits vigorously. While we currently believe the disposition of these claims should not have a material, long-term adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity, we expect to continue to incur costs defending against such claims during the short term that are likely to be material.

General corporate and other items

Insurance recoveries – We have agreements with certain insurance carriers pursuant to which the carriers reimburse us for a portion of our past lead pigment and asbestos litigation defense costs. Insurance recoveries include amounts we received from these insurance carriers.

The agreements with certain of our insurance carriers also include reimbursement for a portion of our future litigation defense costs. We are not able to determine how much we will ultimately recover from these carriers for defense costs incurred by us because of certain issues that arise regarding which defense costs qualify for reimbursement. Accordingly, these insurance recoveries are recognized when the receipt is probable and the amount is determinable. See Note 11 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition to insurance recoveries discussed above, our insurance recoveries in the first six months of 2010 include an insurance recovery recognized in the first quarter in connection with the litigation settlement discussed in Note 11 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We had insurance coverage for a portion of the litigation settlement expense, and a substantial portion of the insurance recoveries we recognized in the first quarter of 2010 relates to such coverage.

Litigation settlement expense and corporate expense – The \$32.2 million litigation settlement expense is discussed in Note 11 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Corporate expenses were \$2.7 million in the second quarter of 2010, \$2.3 million or 46% lower than in the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to lower litigation and related costs and lower environmental expense in 2010. Included in corporate expense are:

- litigation and related costs of \$2.0 million in 2010 compared to \$2.8 million in 2009 and
- an environmental credit of \$981,000 in 2010, compared to an expense of \$150,000 in 2009.

Corporate expenses were \$7.3 million in the first six months of 2010, \$2.0 million or 21% lower than in the first six months of 2009 primarily due to lower litigation and related costs and lower environmental expense in 2010. Included in corporate expense are:

- litigation and related costs (exclusive of the litigation settlement discussed above) of \$4.9 million in 2010 compared to \$5.3 million in 2009 and
 - an environmental credit of \$883,000 in 2010, compared to an expense of \$229,000 in 2009.

The level of our litigation and related expenses varies from period to period depending upon, among other things, the number of cases in which we are currently involved, the nature of such cases and the current stage of such cases (e.g. discovery, pre-trial motions, trial or appeal, if applicable). See Note -----11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Obligations for environmental remediation costs are difficult to assess and estimate, and it is possible that actual costs for environmental remediation will exceed accrued amounts or that costs will be incurred in the future for sites in which we cannot currently estimate our liability. If these events were to occur in the remainder of 2010, our corporate expenses would be higher than we currently estimate. In addition, we adjust our environmental accruals as further information becomes available to us or as circumstances change. Such further information or changed circumstances could result in an increase or reduction in our accrued environmental costs. See Note -----11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with another PRP pursuant to which, among other things, the other PRP reimbursed us for certain remediation costs we had previously incurred for certain sites related to one of our former business units, and PRP also affirmed its full responsibility to indemnify us for all claims (environmental or otherwise) with respect to certain specified sites related to such former business unit as well as indemnify us for any future claims that may arise related to such former business unit. As a result of the July 2010 settlement agreement, in the third quarter of 2010 we expect to recognize a litigation settlement gain of \$5.2 million, consisting of \$3.1 million related to the PRP's cash reimbursement of prior remediation costs and \$2.1 million related to a reduction in our accrued environmental remediation costs resulting from the PRP's agreement to indemnify us.

Provision for income taxes – We recognized an income tax expense of \$4.6 million in the first six months of 2010 as compared to \$484,000 in the first six months of 2009. Our income tax expense in the first six months of 2010 includes an aggregate \$1.9 million provision for deferred income taxes on the pre-2005 undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary. See Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary - Noncontrolling interest in net income (loss) of subsidiary increased \$379,000 in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the first six months of 2009 due to higher earnings of CompX in 2010.

Edgar Filing: NL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Equity in net income (loss) of Kronos Worldwide, Inc.

| | Three months ended June 30, | | % Change | Six months ended June 30, | | % Change | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----|---|
| | 2009 (In millions) | 2010 (In millions) | | 2009 (In millions) | 2010 (In millions) | | | |
| Kronos: | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$282.0 | \$380.1 | 35 | % | \$530.1 | \$699.8 | 32 | % |
| Cost of sales | 267.9 | 294.9 | 10 | % | 511.8 | 554.1 | 8 | % |
| Gross margin | \$14.1 | \$85.2 | | | \$18.3 | \$145.7 | | |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$(21.9) | \$38.8 | | | \$(48.2) | \$60.5 | | |
| Other, net | .1 | - | | | .1 | - | | |
| Interest expense | (10.3) | (9.7) | | | (20.0) | (20.1) | | |
| Income tax benefit | (32.1) | 29.1 | | | (68.1) | 40.4 | | |
| Net income (loss) | (10.3) | 9.8 | | | (19.7) | (21.7) | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(21.8) | \$19.3 | | | \$(48.4) | \$62.1 | | |
| Percentage of net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 95 | % | 78 | % | 96 | % | 79 | % |
| Income (loss) from operations | (8) |)% | 10 | % | (9) |)% | 9 | % |
| Equity in net income (loss) of Kronos Worldwide, Inc. | \$(7.9) | \$6.9 | | | \$(17.4) | \$22.3 | | |
| TiO2 operating statistics: | | | | | | | | |
| Sales volumes* | 114 | 148 | 30 | % | 211 | 270 | 28 | % |
| Production volumes* | 87 | 134 | 54 | % | 151 | 258 | 71 | % |
| Change in TiO2 net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| TiO2 product pricing | | | 6 | % | | | 3 | % |
| TiO2 sales volumes | | | 30 | % | | | 28 | % |
| TiO2 product mix | | | 1 | % | | | - | % |
| Changes in currency exchange rates | | | (2) |)% | | | 1 | % |
| Total | | | 35 | % | | | 32 | % |

* Thousands of metric tons

The key performance indicators for Kronos are TiO2 average selling prices and TiO2 sales and production volumes.

Net sales – Kronos' net sales increased 35% or \$98.1 million compared to the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to a 30% increase in sales volumes along with a 6% increase in average TiO2 selling prices, partially offset by the negative impact of currency exchange rates. Kronos estimates the unfavorable effect of changes in currency exchange rates decreased net sales by approximately \$6 million, or 2%, as compared to the same period in 2009.

Kronos' net sales increased 32% or \$169.7 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to a 28% increase in sales volumes along with a 3% increase in average TiO2 selling prices and the positive impact of currency exchange rates. Kronos estimates the favorable effect of changes in currency exchange rates increased net sales by approximately \$4 million, or 1%, as compared to the same period in 2009. TiO2 selling prices will increase or decrease generally as a result of competitive market pressures and changes in the relative level of supply and demand. Kronos currently expects average selling prices in the second half of 2010 to be higher than the average selling prices in the first six months of 2010.

Kronos' record sales volumes in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 increased 30% and 28%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 due to higher demand across all market segments resulting from the improvement in current economic conditions. Kronos expects that demand will continue to remain above 2009 levels for the remainder of the year.

Cost of sales – Kronos' cost of sales increased \$27 million or 10% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to 2009 due to the net impact of a 30% increase in sales volumes, a 54% increase in TiO₂ production volumes, lower utility costs of \$2.3 million and an increase in maintenance costs of \$6.2 million. In addition, cost of sales in the second quarter of 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$4 million as a result of higher production costs in 2010 at Kronos' ilmenite mines in Norway. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales decreased to 78% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to 95% in the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to the significantly higher production volumes in 2010, as Kronos implemented temporary plant curtailments during the first half of 2009 in order to reduce finished goods inventories to an appropriate level. Such temporary plant curtailments resulted in approximately \$30 million of unabsorbed fixed production costs which were charged directly to cost of sales in the second quarter of 2009.

Kronos' cost of sales increased \$42.3 million or 8% in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period 2009 due to the net impact of a 28% increase in sales volumes, a 71% increase in TiO₂ production volumes, lower raw material costs of \$7.4 million, lower utility costs of \$11.7 million and an increase in maintenance costs of \$10.9 million. In addition, cost of sales in the first six months of 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$8 million as a result of higher production costs in 2010 at Kronos' ilmenite mines in Norway. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales decreased to 79% in the first six months of 2010 compared to 96% in the same period 2009 primarily due to the significantly higher production volumes in 2010, as Kronos implemented temporary plant curtailments during the first half of 2009 in order to reduce its finished goods inventories to an appropriate level and due to higher selling prices in the second quarter of 2010. Such temporary plant curtailments resulted in approximately \$80 million of unabsorbed fixed production costs which were charged directly to cost of sales in the first six months of 2009.

Income (loss) from operations – Kronos' income (loss) from operations increased by \$60.7 million from an operating loss of \$21.9 million in the second quarter of 2009 to operating income of \$38.8 million in the second quarter of 2010. Income (loss) from operations as a percentage of net sales increased to 10% in the second quarter of 2010 from (8)% in the same period for 2009. This increase is driven by the improvement in gross margin, which increased to 22% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to 5% in the second quarter of 2009. Kronos' gross margin increased primarily because of higher sales volumes and lower manufacturing costs per ton resulting from higher production volumes. However, changes in currency rates have negatively affected gross margin and income from operations. Kronos estimates that changes in currency exchange rates decreased income from operations by approximately \$12 million in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009.

Kronos' income (loss) from operations increased by \$108.7 million from an operating loss of \$48.2 million in the first six months of 2009 to operating income of \$60.5 million in the first six months of 2010. Income (loss) from operations as a percentage of net sales increased to 9% in the first six months of 2010 from (9)% in the same period for 2009. This increase is driven by the improvement in gross margin, which increased to 21% for the first six months of 2010 compared to 4% for the first six months of 2009. Kronos' gross margin has increased primarily because of higher sales volumes, higher selling prices and lower manufacturing costs per ton resulting from higher production volumes. However, changes in currency exchange rates have negatively affected gross margin and income from operations. Kronos estimates that changes in currency exchange rates decreased income from operations by approximately \$20 million in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009.

Interest expense – Kronos' interest expense decreased \$.6 million from \$10.3 million in the second quarter of 2009 to \$9.7 million in the second quarter of 2010 and was comparable in the first six months of 2010 to 2009 due to decreased average borrowings under its revolving credit facilities which offset the effect of higher interest rates on its European credit facility. The interest expense Kronos recognizes will vary with fluctuations in the euro exchange rate.

Provision for income taxes – Kronos' provision for income taxes was \$9.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to an income tax benefit of \$10.3 million in the same period last year. This increase is primarily due to improved income from operations in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009.

Kronos' income tax benefit was \$21.7 million in the first six months of 2010 compared to an income tax benefit of \$19.7 million in the same period last year. Kronos' income tax benefit in 2010 includes a \$35.2 million income tax benefit related to a European Court ruling that resulted in the favorable resolution of certain income tax issues in Germany and an increase in the amount of its German corporate and trade tax net operating loss carryforwards.

Kronos has substantial net operating loss carryforwards in Germany (the equivalent of \$941 million for German corporate purposes and \$288 million for German trade tax purposes at December 31, 2009), which amounts exclude the adjustment to such carryforwards recognized in the first quarter of 2010. At June 30, 2010, Kronos has concluded that no deferred income tax asset valuation allowance is required to be recognized with respect to such carryforwards, principally because (i) such carryforwards have an indefinite carryforward period, (ii) Kronos has utilized a portion of such carryforwards during the most recent three-year period and (iii) Kronos currently expects to utilize the remainder of such carryforwards over the long term. However, prior to the complete utilization of such carryforwards, particularly if Kronos were to generate losses in its German operations for an extended period of time, it is possible that Kronos might conclude that the benefit of such carryforwards would no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition criteria, at which point Kronos would be required to recognize a valuation allowance against some or all of the then-remaining tax benefit associated with the carryforwards.

Currency - Kronos has substantial operations and assets located outside the United States (primarily in Germany, Belgium, Norway and Canada). The majority of its sales from non-U.S. operations are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, principally the euro, other major European currencies and the Canadian dollar. A portion of Kronos' sales generated from its non-U.S. operations is denominated in the U.S. dollar. Certain raw materials used worldwide, primarily titanium-containing feedstocks, are purchased in U.S. dollars, while labor and other production costs are purchased primarily in local currencies. Consequently, the translated U.S. dollar value of its non-U.S. sales and operating results are subject to currency exchange rate fluctuations which may favorably or unfavorably impact reported earnings and may affect the comparability of period-to-period operating results. In addition to the impact of the translation of sales and expenses over time, Kronos' non-U.S. operations also generate currency transaction gains and losses which primarily relate to the difference between the currency exchange rates in effect when non-local currency sales or operating costs are initially accrued and when such amounts are settled with the non-local currency.

Overall, Kronos estimates that fluctuations in currency exchange rates had the following effects on sales and income from operations for the periods indicated.

| Impact of changes in currency exchange rates | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------|--------|--|------------------------------------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2010 vs June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
| | Transaction gains/(losses) recognized | | | Translation gain/loss-impact of rate changes | Total currency impact 2010 vs 2009 |
| | 2009 | 2010 | Change | (in millions) | |
| Impact on: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$(6) | \$(6) |
| Income (loss) From operations | 1 | (3) | (4) | (8) | (12) |

| Impact of changes in currency exchange rates | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------|--------|--|------------------------------------|
| Six months ended June 30, 2010 vs June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
| | Transaction gains/(losses) recognized | | | Translation gain/loss-impact of rate changes | Total currency impact 2010 vs 2009 |
| | 2009 | 2010 | Change | (in millions) | |
| Impact on: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$4 | \$4 |
| Income (loss) From operations | 7 | (1) | (8) | (12) | (20) |

Outlook - During 2009 and to-date in 2010, Kronos has announced various TiO₂ price increases, a portion of which were implemented during the second half of 2009 and the first six months of 2010, with portions of the remainder expected to be implemented during the second half of 2010. Kronos' average TiO₂ selling prices were 3% higher in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009, and average selling prices at the end of the first half of 2010 were 5% higher as compared to the end of 2009. Based on an expected continuation of strong demand levels, Kronos anticipates that its average selling prices will continue to increase during the remainder of 2010.

In response to the worldwide economic slowdown and weak consumer confidence, Kronos reduced its production volumes during the first half of 2009 in order to reduce its finished goods inventory, improve liquidity and match production to market demand. Overall industry pigment demand has been and is expected to continue to be higher in 2010 as compared to 2009 as a result of improving worldwide economic conditions. While Kronos operated its facilities at approximately 58% of capacity during the first half of 2009, it increased its capacity utilization to approximately 94% during the second half of 2009. Kronos operated its plants at near full capacity utilization during the first half of 2010 and currently expects to continue to operate its facilities at near full capacity levels during the remainder of 2010. Kronos' expected capacity utilization levels could be adjusted upwards or downwards to match changes in demand for its products. Kronos also expects raw material, energy and freight costs will relatively increase during the remainder of 2010 and a portion of any future price increases would compensate for such increases in its operating costs.

Kronos expects that income from operations will be higher in 2010 as compared to 2009, as the favorable effects of the worldwide economic recovery and improving consumer confidence will continue to improve demand in all of its key market segments. The expected increase in sales volumes for 2010 should allow Kronos to maintain its near full capacity utilization for the remainder of the year. With such improved capacity utilization levels and higher expected selling prices, Kronos expects to report improved operating and financial performance in 2010.

- 36 -

Overall, Kronos expects to report net income in 2010 as compared to reporting a net loss in 2009 due to higher expected income from operations in 2010 as well as the impact of the \$35.2 million non-cash income tax benefit recognized in the first quarter of 2010, as discussed above.

Kronos' expectations as to the future of the TiO₂ industry are based upon a number of factors beyond its control, including worldwide growth of gross domestic product, competition in the marketplace, solvency and continued operation of competitors, unexpected or earlier than expected capacity additions or reductions and technological advances. If actual developments differ from its expectations, Kronos' results of operations could be unfavorably affected.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Consolidated cash flows

Operating activities

Trends in cash flows from operating activities, excluding the impact of deferred taxes and relative changes in assets and liabilities, are generally similar to trends in our income from operations. Cash flows used in operating activities were \$7.0 million in the first six months of 2010 compared to cash flows provided by operating activities of \$720,000 in the first six months of 2009.

The \$7.7 million increase in cash used in operating activities includes the net effect of:

- higher income from operations in 2010 of \$6.0 million (excluding the impact of the litigation settlement and related insurance recoveries in the first six months of 2010, and the litigation settlement gain and the non-cash write-down on assets held for sale in the first six months of 2009),
 - the \$19.0 million paid in 2010 in relation to the litigation settlement expense;
- a higher amount of net cash used by changes in receivables, inventories, payables and accrued liabilities in 2010 of \$16.5 million primarily due to the impact of CompX's increase in sales on accounts receivable, inventories and payables during the first six months of 2010,
 - lower cash paid for income taxes in 2010 of \$4.9 million due to the timing of tax payments, and
 - lower cash paid for interest in 2010 of \$.7 million.

We do not have complete access to CompX's cash flows in part because we do not own 100% of CompX. A detail of our consolidated cash flows from operating activities is presented in the table below. Intercompany dividends have been eliminated. The reference to NL Parent in the table below is a reference to NL Industries, Inc., as the parent company of CompX and our other wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Six months ended
June 30,
2009 2010
(In millions)

| | | |
|--|--------|----------|
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| CompX | \$5.5 | \$(5.3) |
| NL Parent and wholly-owned subsidiaries | (2.1) | 1.0 |
| Eliminations | (2.7) | (2.7) |
| Total | \$.7 | \$(7.0) |

Relative changes in working capital can have a significant effect on cash flows from operating activities. As shown below, CompX's average days sales outstanding increased from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010. The increase in average days' sales outstanding was the result of the increase in sales during the first six months of 2010. Historically, CompX's December 31 days sales outstanding are low due to the timing of sales and collections in the fourth quarter. Overall, June 30, 2010 days sales outstanding is comparable to June 30, 2009.

For comparative purposes we have provided comparable prior year numbers below.

| | December 31, 2008 | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2010 |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Days sales outstanding | 41 days | 44 days | 37 days | 45 days |
| D Days in Inventory | 70 days | 74 days | 64 days | 64 days |

Investing and financing activities

Net cash provided by investing activities totaled \$3.0 million in the first six months of 2010 compared to \$16.2 million in the first six months of 2009.

During 2010:

- we reduced restricted cash and restricted marketable securities by a total of \$5.1 million due to the release of funds to us from escrow related to a litigation settlement and due to the reduction of one of our letters of credit,
- we reduced restricted cash by \$.4 million due to payments made on an environmental remediation project, and
 - we had \$1.2 million in capital expenditures, substantially all of which related to CompX.

During 2009:

- we received \$11.8 million from the second closing contained in a settlement agreement related to condemnation proceedings on certain real property we formerly owned in New Jersey,
 - we collected \$5.6 million on notes receivable from affiliates,
 - we incurred \$1.2 million of capital expenditures, substantially all of which relates to CompX, and
- we purchased approximately 2,800 shares of Valhi in open-market transactions for an aggregate amount of \$33,000, and we purchased approximately 14,000 shares of Kronos in open-market transactions for an aggregate amount of \$139,000. See Notes 4 and 5 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$11.7 million in the first six months of 2010 compared to \$13.3 million in the first six months of 2009.

During 2010:

- we paid \$12.2 million or \$.25 per share in dividends,
- we paid \$7.0 million for the repurchase of noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary's stock,
 - we borrowed \$2.8 million on a promissory note with Valhi,
- CompX paid \$.4 million in dividends to shareholders other than us, and
 - CompX borrowed \$5.0 million under its credit facility.

During 2009:

- we paid \$12.2 million or \$.25 per share in dividends,
- CompX paid \$.4 million in dividends to shareholders other than us, and
 - CompX paid \$.8 million on its promissory note with TIMET.

In June 2010, we entered into a promissory note with Valhi that allows us to borrow up to \$40 million. Our borrowings from Valhi under the revolving note are unsecured, bear interest at prime rate plus 2.75% (6.00% at June 30, 2010) with all principal due on demand, but in any event no later than December 31, 2011. The amount of the outstanding borrowings at any time is solely at the discretion of Valhi.

CompX and Kronos are in compliance with all of their debt covenants at June 30, 2010. Our ability and the ability of our affiliates to borrow funds under credit facilities in the future will, in some instances, depend in part on our ability to comply with specified financial ratios and satisfy certain financial covenants contained in the applicable credit agreement.

Provisions contained in CompX's revolving credit facility could result in the acceleration of any outstanding indebtedness prior to its stated maturity for reasons other than defaults from failing to comply with typical financial covenants. For example, CompX's revolving credit facility allows the lender to accelerate the maturity of the indebtedness upon a change of control (as defined) of the borrower. The terms of the revolving credit facility could result in the acceleration of all or a portion of the indebtedness following a sale of assets outside of the ordinary course of business. Although there are no current expectations to borrow on the revolving credit facility to fund working capital, capital expenditures, debt service or dividends (if declared), lower future operating results could reduce or eliminate our amount available to borrow and restrict future dividends.

We believe that Kronos will be able to comply with its financial covenants contained in all of its credit facilities through the maturity of the respective facilities; however if future operating results differ materially from our expectations Kronos may be unable to maintain compliance.

Future cash requirements

Liquidity

Our primary source of liquidity on an ongoing basis is our cash flow from operating activities. We generally use these amounts to (i) fund capital expenditures, (ii) pay ongoing environmental remediation and legal expenses and (iii) provide for the payment of short-term indebtedness and dividends (if declared).

At June 30, 2010, there was \$5 million outstanding under CompX's \$37.5 million revolving credit facility that matures in January 2012. Although CompX's bank credit facility has a remaining capacity of \$32.5 million, only \$21 million is currently available to borrow due to debt covenant restrictions. CompX expects to repay the \$5.0 million currently

outstanding, as cash flows permit, prior to the maturity of the facility in January 2012.

- 39 -

At June 30, 2010, we had an aggregate of \$17.2 million of restricted and unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. A detail by entity is presented in the table below.

| | Amount (In millions) |
|---|----------------------------|
| CompX | \$7.6 |
| NL Parent and wholly-owned subsidiaries | 9.6 |
| Total | \$17.2 |

In addition, at June 30, 2010 we owned 4.8 million shares of Valhi common stock and 1.4 million shares of TIMET common stock with an aggregate market value of \$84.6 million. See Note 4 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We routinely compare our liquidity requirements and alternative uses of capital against the estimated future cash flows we expect to receive from our subsidiaries and affiliates. As a result of this process, we have in the past sought, and may in the future seek to raise additional capital, incur debt, repurchase indebtedness in the market or otherwise, modify our dividend policies, consider the sale of our interests in our subsidiaries, affiliates, business units, marketable securities or other assets, or take a combination of these and other steps, to increase liquidity, reduce indebtedness and fund future activities. Such activities have in the past and may in the future involve related companies.

We periodically evaluate acquisitions of interests in or combinations with companies (including related companies) perceived by management to be undervalued in the marketplace. These companies may or may not be engaged in businesses related to our current businesses. We intend to consider such acquisition activities in the future and, in connection with this activity, may consider issuing additional equity securities and increasing indebtedness. From time to time, we also evaluate the restructuring of ownership interests among our respective subsidiaries and related companies.

Based upon our expectations of our operating performance, and the anticipated demands on our cash resources we expect to have sufficient liquidity to meet our short-term obligations (defined as the twelve-month period ending June 30, 2011). If actual developments differ from our expectations, our liquidity could be adversely affected. In this regard, during 2010 we have borrowed and expect to continue to borrow funds from Valhi in order to meet our cash requirements, and Valhi (at its sole discretion) has agreed to loan us up to \$40 million (\$2.8 million outstanding at June 30, 2010).

Capital Expenditures

Firm purchase commitments for capital projects in process at June 30, 2010 approximated \$308,000. CompX's 2010 capital investments are limited to those expenditures required to meet expected customer demand and those required to properly maintain our facilities.

Dividends

Because our operations are conducted primarily through subsidiaries and affiliates, our long-term ability to meet parent company-level corporate obligations is largely dependent on the receipt of dividends or other distributions from our subsidiaries and affiliates. CompX currently pays a regular quarterly dividend of \$.125 per share. At that rate, and based on the 10.8 million shares of CompX we held at June 30, 2010, we would receive annual dividends from CompX of \$5.4 million. In addition, Valhi pays regular quarterly dividends of \$.10 per share. Based on the 4.8 million shares of Valhi we held at June 30, 2010, we would receive annual dividends from Valhi of \$1.9 million.

Investments in our subsidiaries and affiliates and other acquisitions

We have in the past purchased, and may in the future purchase, the securities of our subsidiaries and affiliates or third-parties in market or privately-negotiated transactions. We base our purchase decisions on a variety of factors, including an analysis of the optimal use of our capital, taking into account the market value of the securities and the relative value of expected returns on alternative investments. In connection with these activities, we may consider issuing additional equity securities or increasing our indebtedness. We may also evaluate the restructuring of ownership interests of our businesses among our subsidiaries and related companies.

Off-balance sheet financing arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet financing agreements other than the operating leases discussed in our 2009 Annual Report.

Commitments and contingencies

We are subject to certain commitments and contingencies, as more fully described in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements or in Part II, Item 1 of this report. In addition to those legal proceedings described in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, various legislation and administrative regulations have, from time to time, been proposed that seek to (i) impose various obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigment and lead-based paint (including us) with respect to asserted health concerns associated with the use of such products and (ii) effectively overturn court decisions in which we and other pigment manufacturers have been successful. Examples of such proposed legislation include bills which would permit civil liability for damages on the basis of market share, rather than requiring plaintiffs to prove that the defendant's product caused the alleged damage, and bills which would revive actions barred by the statute of limitations. While no legislation or regulations have been enacted to date that are expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity, enactment of such legislation could have such an effect.

Recent accounting pronouncements

There have been no recent accounting pronouncements during the first six months of 2010.

Critical accounting policies and estimates

For a discussion of our critical accounting policies, refer to Part I, Item 7 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2009 Annual Report. There have been no changes in our critical accounting policies during the first six months of 2010.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk, including currency exchange rates, interest rates and security prices. For a discussion of such market risk items, refer to Part I, Item 7A. - "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk" in our 2009 Annual Report and Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. There have been no material changes in these market risks during the first six months of 2010.

CompX has substantial operations located outside the United States for which the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. As a result, the reported amounts of our assets and liabilities related to our non-U.S. operations, and therefore our consolidated net assets, will fluctuate based upon changes in currency exchange rates.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures - We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e), means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit to the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information we are required to disclose in the reports we file or submit to the SEC under the Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions to be made regarding required disclosure. Each of Harold C. Simmons, our Chief Executive Officer, and Gregory M. Swalwell, our Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. Based upon their evaluation, these executive officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of June 30, 2010.

Internal control over financial reporting - We also maintain internal control over financial reporting. The term "internal control over financial reporting," as defined by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), means a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of an unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As permitted by the SEC, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting excludes (i) internal control over financial reporting of equity method investees and (ii) internal control over the preparation of our financial statement schedules required by Article 12 of Regulation S-X. However, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting with respect to equity method investees did include our controls over the recording of amounts related to our investment that are recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, including controls over the selection of accounting methods for our investments, the recognition of equity method earnings and losses and the determination, valuation and recording of our investment account balances.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting - There has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In addition to the matters discussed below, refer to Note 11 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, to our 2009 Annual Report and to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Thomas v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Circuit Court, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Case No. 99-CV-6411). In May 2010, the appellate court lifted the stay.

County of Santa Clara v. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al. (Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara, Case No. CV788657). In July 2010, the California Supreme Court ruled that public entities could pursue this public nuisance case assisted by private counsel on a contingent fee basis after revising the respective retention agreements to conform with the requirements set forth in the Supreme Court's opinion. The Supreme Court (1) clarified that the government attorneys overseeing the external, contingency fee counsel must, at a minimum, retain complete control over the course and conduct of the case; retain veto power over any decisions made by outside counsel; and be personally involved in overseeing the litigation and (2) remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its opinion.

Lauren Brown v. NL Industries, Inc., et al. (Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, County Department, Law Division, Case No. 03L 012425). In June 2010, the case was dismissed with prejudice.

Hess, et al. v. NL Industries, Inc., et al. (Missouri Circuit Court 22nd Judicial Circuit, St. Louis City, Cause No. 052-11799). In June 2010, the case was dismissed with prejudice.

Circuit Court Cases in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. In April 2010, Gibson, one of the four cases removed to federal court, was scheduled for trial in April 2012. In June 2010, defendant ARCO's motion for summary judgment was granted.

Brown et al. v. NL Industries, Inc. et al. (Circuit Court Wayne County, Michigan, Case No. 06-602096 CZ). We filed a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict and in June 2010, the court denied the motion.

United States of America v. Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., et al. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Civil Action No. 07-cv-03795). In July 2010, this matter was resolved in NL's favor, with no expectation of future liability to us.

Brown, et al. v. NL Industries, Inc. et al. (Circuit Court Wayne County, Michigan, Case No. 09-002458 CE). In May 2010, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to appeal the dismissal of the case.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection v. Occidental Chemical Corp., et al. (L-009868-05, Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County). In June 2010, the court lifted the stay on discovery as to all third-party defendants.

Raritan Baykeeper, Inc. d/b/a NY/NJ Baykeeper et al. v. NL Industries, Inc. et al. (United States District Court, District of New Jersey, Case No. 3:09-cv-04117). In May 2010, the court granted our Motion to Dismiss. In June 2010, plaintiffs filed an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Malone Superfund Site, Texas City, Texas. In July 2010, this matter was resolved in NL's favor, with no expectation of future liability to NL.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

For a discussion of the risk factors related to our businesses, refer to Part I, Item 1A., "Risk Factors," in our 2009 Annual Report. There have been no material changes to such risk factors during the first six months of 2010.

Item 6. Exhibits

10.1 - Reinstated and Amended Settlement Agreement and Release, dated June 26, 2008, by and among NL Industries, Inc., NL Environmental Management Services, Inc., the Sayreville Economic and Redevelopment Agency, Sayreville Seaport Associates, L.P., and the County of Middlesex.

31.1 - Certification

31.2 - Certification

32.1 - Certification

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NL INDUSTRIES, INC.
(Registrant)

Date August 3, 2010

/s/ Gregory M. Swalwell
Gregory M. Swalwell
(Vice President, Finance and
Chief Financial Officer,
Principal Financial Officer)

Date August 3, 2010

/s/ Tim C. Hafer
Tim C. Hafer
(Vice President and Controller,
Principal Accounting Officer)

