GREAT ATLANTIC & PACIFIC TEA CO INC

Form 10-Q July 21, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Mark	One						
[X]	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO ACT OF 1934	SECTION 13 OR 15(d)	OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE				
	For the quarterl	y period ended June	14, 2008				
		OR					
[]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	TO SECTION 13 OR 1	5(d) OF THE SECURITIES				
	For the transition period from to						
	Commission File Number 1-4141						
	THE GREAT ATLANTIC & PACIFIC TEA COMPANY, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)						
	Maryland		13-1890974				
-	(State or other jurisdiction o incorporation or organization		r.R.S. Employer				
		Paragon Drive e, New Jersey 07645					
	(Address of pr	incipal executive of	fices)				
	(201) 573-9700					
	(Registrant's teleph	one number, includir	g area code)				
	cate by check mark whether the e filed by Section 13 or 15(d)	-					

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES [X] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	[]									Accelera
Non-accelerated filer	[]	(Do	not	check	if	smaller	reporting	company)	Smaller	reportin

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in

Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES [] NO [X]

As of July 15, 2008 the Registrant had a total of 57,641,586 shares of common stock - \$1 par value outstanding.

1

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 - Financial Statements

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

		16 We
		June 14, 2008
Sales Cost of merchandise sold	\$	2,922,665 (2,039,079)
Gross margin Store operating, general and administrative expense		883,586 (881,495)
Income (loss) from operations Loss on sale of Canadian operations Gain on sale of Metro, Inc. Nonoperating income Interest expense Interest and dividend income Equity in earnings of Metro, Inc.		2,091 - 48,597 (45,949) 410
Income from continuing operations before income taxes Provision for income taxes		5,149 (1,384)
Income from continuing operations Discontinued operations:		3,765
Loss from operations of discontinued businesses, net of tax benefor both the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007, respectively Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations, net of tax k For both the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, respectively		(4,163) 2,639
Loss from discontinued operations		(1,524)
Net income (loss)	 \$ ===	2,241
Net income (loss) per share - basic: Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$	0.08
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$	0.05

Net (loss) income per share - diluted: Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$ (0.48) (0.03)
Net loss per share - diluted	\$ (0.51)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Basic	9,786,027
Diluted	 8,156,654

See Notes to Quarterly Report

2

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Dollars in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock			Additional		Retained Earnings	
		Amount					
16 Week Period Ended June 14, 2008							
Balance at beginning of period Net income Other comprehensive loss Conversion features related to	57,100,955	\$	57,101	\$ 447,103	\$	16,423 2,241	
convertible debt Stock options exercised Other share based awards	104,536 435,600		104 436	4,410			
Balance at end of period	57,641,091 =======	\$	57 , 641	\$ •		18,664 ======	
16 Week Period Ended June 16, 2007							
Balance at February 24, 2007, as previously reported Impact of the adoption of change in measurement date under	41,589,195	\$	41,589	\$ 212,868	\$	153,325	
FAS 158 Cumulative impact of the adoption of FIN 48						(643) 24,421	
Balance at beginning of period, as adjusted Net loss	41,589,195		41,589	 212,868		177,103 (65,142)	
Other comprehensive income Stock options exercised Tax benefit on stock options Other share based awards	324,431		325	5,299 1,701 2,821			

Balance at end of period	•	13,626 \$		41,914 =====	\$ 222 , 689		111 , 961
	Compr (Loss	lated Other ehensive) Income	(Call Options	Total cockholders Equity	s' 	
16 Week Period Ended June 14, 2008							
Balance at beginning of period Net income Other comprehensive loss Conversion features related to	\$	(28,975)	\$	(73,509)	\$ 2,2		
convertible debt Stock options exercised Other share based awards					 18,2 2,1 4,8	L75 346	
Balance at end of period	\$	(29,315)	\$	(73,509)	\$ 445,3	306	
16 Week Period Ended June 16, 2007							
Balance at February 24, 2007, as previously reported Impact of the adoption of change n measurement date under	\$	22,888	\$	-	\$ 430,6	570	
FAS 158 Cumulative impact of the adoption of FIN 48					24,4	543) 121	
Balance at beginning of period, as adjusted Net loss		22,888		-	 454,4 (65,1		
Other comprehensive income Stock options exercised Tax benefit on stock options Other share based awards		137,408			137,4 5,6 1,7 2,8	108 524 701 321	
Balance at end of period	\$	160,296		-	\$ 536,8	360	

See Notes to Quarterly Report

3

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Dollars in thousands)

(Unaudited)

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

	June 14, 2008	June 16,
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,241	\$ (65
Foreign currency translation adjustment Net unrealized gain on investment securities, net of tax Net unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of tax Pension and other post-retirement benefits, net of tax	 - - - (340)	16 121
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(340)	137
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,901 =======	\$ 72 ======

Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income Balances

	Fo Cu: Trai	Gain on		Unrealized (Loss) Income on Marketable		r 	
Balance at February 23, 2008 Current period change	\$	- -	\$		\$	- -	\$
Balance at June 14, 2008	\$ ====		\$ ====		\$ ====		 \$ ==
Balance at February 24, 2007 Current period change	\$	9,710 16,045			\$	(22) 22	\$
Balance at June 16, 2007	\$	25 , 755	\$ 123	1,740	\$ ====	-	\$

See Notes to Quarterly Report

4

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Dollars in thousands except share amounts)

ASSETS	(Ur	naudited)
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	129,053
Restricted cash		3,781
Restricted marketable securities		7 , 119
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of		

June 14, 2008

<pre>\$9,693 and \$6,152 at June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008, respectively Inventories</pre>	176,594 522,632
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	98,630
Total current assets	937,809
Non-current assets:	
Property:	1 710 121
Property owned, net Property leased under capital leases, net	1,718,131 143,693
Property, net	1,861,824
Goodwill	447,682
Intangible assets, net	231,240
Other assets	249,348
Total assets	\$ 3,727,903
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Current liabilities:	
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 17,473
Current portion of obligations under capital leases	11,608
Current portion of other financial liabilities	-
Accounts payable Book overdrafts	268,934 53,640
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	139,519
Accrued taxes	48,619
Other accruals	248,951
Total current liabilities	788,744
Non-current liabilities:	
Long-term debt	843,594
Long-term obligations under capital leases	154,254
Long-term real estate liabilities	346,128
Deferred real estate income	79,669
Other financial liabilities Other non-current liabilities	112,216
Other non-current Habilities	957 , 992
Total liabilities	3,282,597
Commitments and contingencies Stockholders' equity:	
Preferred stockno par value; authorized - 3,000,000 shares; issued - none Common stock\$1 par value; authorized - 80,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding - 57,641,091 and 57,100,955 shares	-
at June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008, respectively	57 , 641
Call options	(73,509)
Additional paid-in capital	471,825
Accumulated other comprehensive loss Retained earnings	(29,315) 18,664
notarnoa carningo	10,004
Total stockholders' equity	445,306
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,727,903

See Notes to Quarterly Report

5

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: Asset disposition initiatives Depreciation and amortization (Gain) loss on disposal of owned property and write-down of property, net (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations Other property impairments Loss on sale of Canadian operations Nonoperating income Other share based awards Equity in earnings of Metro, Inc. Gain on sale of shares of Metro, Inc. Other changes in assets and liabilities: (Increase) decrease in receivables (Increase) decrease in inventories Increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets Increase in other assets Increase (decrease) in accounts payable (Decrease) increase in accrued salaries, wages and benefits, and taxes Increase (decrease) in other accruals (Decrease) increase in other non-current liabilities Other operating activities, net Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Expenditures for property Proceeds from disposal of property Disposal related expenditures for sale of Canadian operations Increase in restricted cash Proceeds from the sale of shares of Metro, Inc. Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds under revolving lines of credit Principal payments on revolving lines of credit Proceeds under line of credit Principal payments on line of credit Settlement of Series A warrants Long-term real estate liabilities

7

(

June

\$

Principal payments on capital leases Increase in book overdrafts Deferred financing fees Tax benefit on stock options Proceeds from stock options exercised

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: Cash paid during the year for interest

Cash paid during the year for income taxes

See Notes to Quarterly Report

6

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive (Loss) Income, and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, and the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008 of The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. ("We," "Our," "Us" or "Our Company") are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments that are of a normal and recurring nature necessary for a fair statement of financial position and results of operations for such periods. The consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Fiscal 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our Company and all subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

As discussed in Note 8 - Discontinued Operations, the criteria necessary to classify the operations for the Midwest and the Greater New Orleans area as discontinued were satisfied in fiscal 2007 and as such, have been reclassified in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to current year presentation.

2. Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (our year ending February 28, 2009). In February 2008, the FASB also issued Staff Position No. 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements that Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" ("FSP FAS 157-1"). FSP FAS 157-1 excludes FASB Statement No. 13, "Accounting for Leases" ("SFAS 13"), as well as other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements on lease classification or measurement under Statement 13, from the scope of SFAS 157. FSP FAS 157-1 is effective upon the initial adoption of SFAS 157.

In addition, in February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157" ("FSP FAS 157-2"). FSP FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. FSP FAS 157-2 states that a measurement is recurring if it happens at least annually and defines nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as all assets and liabilities other

7

than those meeting the definition of a financial asset or financial liability in FASB Statement No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." FSP FAS 157-2 is effective upon issuance. Our Company adopted SFAS No. 157 as of February 24, 2008, with the exception of the application of the statement to nonrecurring nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities. Refer to Note 6 - Fair Value Measurements for further discussion.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The provisions of SFAS 159 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (our year ending February 28, 2009). The adoption of the provisions of SFAS 159 had no effect on our Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of FASB Statement No. 133 with the intent to provide users of financial statement with an enhanced understanding of (i.) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii.) how derivative instruments and the related hedged items are accounted for under FASB Statement No. 133 and its related interpretations, and (iii.) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect and entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 (our year ended February 27, 2010). The effect of adopting SFAS 161 is not expected to have a significant effect on our reported financial position or earnings.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP 142-3, Determining the Useful Life of Intangible Assets ("FSP 142-3"). FSP 142-3 amends the factors to be considered in determining the useful life of intangible assets. Its intent is to improve

the consistency between the useful life of an intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure its fair value. FSP 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 (our year ended February 27, 2010). Our Company is currently assessing the impact of FSP 142-3 on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" ("SFAS 162"). The new standard is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for nongovernmental entities. Prior to the issuance of SFAS 162, GAAP hierarchy was defined in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SAS 69 has been criticized because it is directed to the auditor rather than the entity. SFAS 162 addresses these issues by establishing that the GAAP hierarchy should be directed to entities because it is the entity, not its auditor, that is responsible for selecting accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP.

SFAS 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing amendments to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. It is only effective for nongovernmental entities; therefore, the

8

GAAP hierarchy will remain in SAS 69 for state and local governmental entities and federal governmental entities. We have evaluated the provisions of SFAS 162 and the guidance will not have an impact on our Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments that May be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion ("FSP APB 14-1"). FSP APB 14-1 requires that the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) be separately accounted for in a manner that reflects an issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 (our year ended February 27, 2010), and interim periods within those fiscal years; however, early adoption is not permitted. Retrospective application to all periods presented is required except for instruments that were not outstanding during any of the periods that will be presented in the annual financial statements for the period of adoption but were outstanding during an earlier period. We are currently assessing the impact of adopting FSP APB 14-1 on our financial condition and results of operations.

3. Acquisition of Pathmark Stores, Inc.

On December 3, 2007, our Company completed the acquisition of 100% of Pathmark for \$1.4 billion in cash, stock, assumed or retired debt, warrants and options, in a transaction accounted for under SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141"). Pathmark is a regional supermarket chain with supermarkets in the New York, New Jersey and Philadelphia metropolitan areas.

Consent Agreement

On November 27, 2007, our Company announced that the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") accepted a proposed consent agreement relating to our acquisition of Pathmark. The terms of the consent agreement required the divestiture of six

stores located in the state of New York which were subsequently sold for a gain of \$19.4\$ million in fiscal 2007.

Included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007 are the sales and operating results of the five A&P stores that were divested. The sixth divested store was a Pathmark location and accordingly the results of operations of that store were not included in our results of operations. The results of the five A&P store operations are as follows:

	16 weeks ended				
	June 14, 2008	June 16, 2007			
Sales	\$ - 	\$ 34,057			
Income from operations	\$ - =======	\$ 343 ======			

Preliminary Purchase Price Allocation

The application of purchase accounting under SFAS 141 requires that the purchase price paid is allocated to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the basis of their fair values on the transaction date. The allocation of the purchase price and its impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations may differ depending on the final fair values assigned to amortizing assets and

9

liabilities and their related actual remaining useful lives. Identified intangible assets, which are included in the consolidated balance sheets, consisted of the following:

			At Jı	June 14, 2008		
	Weighted Average Amortization Period (years)	(1		mulated tization	
Loyalty card customer relationships In-store advertiser relationships Pharmacy payor relationships Pathmark trade name	7 20 13 Indefinite	\$	19,200 14,720 75,000 127,300	\$	1,477 396 3,107	
Total		\$	236 , 220	\$ =====	4,980 =====	

Amortization of intangible assets for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 was approximately \$2.8 million.

The following table summarizes the estimated future amortization expense:

2008	\$ 6,402
2009	9,248
2010	9,248
2011	9,248
2012	9,248
Thereafter	60,546

We have determined that the Pathmark trade name has an indefinite life, and accordingly, is not subject to amortization. The allocation of the purchase price to assets which will not be amortized may also impact classification on the balance sheet depending on the final fair values assigned.

Under the purchase method of accounting, the assets and liabilities of Pathmark were recorded at their respective fair values at the date of acquisition. Simultaneously, we recorded a preliminary amount to goodwill of \$380.0 million. During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we increased our preliminary amount of goodwill from \$380.0 million to \$440.1 million at June 14, 2008. This increase primarily related to a Pathmark transportation agreement which is unfavorable to market based upon information which existed as of the acquisition. We are performing additional analyses of this information which may result in future adjustments. Based upon the information received to date, we recorded an adjustment of approximately \$59.0 million to our preliminary amount of goodwill. This adjustment also resulted in a \$2.2 million reduction of operating expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 relating to prior year.

We have preliminarily valued property, net, intangible assets, and certain other assets and liabilities. As this transaction was completed subsequent to our third quarter ended December 1, 2007, the values of certain assets and liabilities are based on preliminary valuations and are subject to adjustment as additional information is obtained. Changes to the valuation of property may result in adjustments to the fair value of certain identifiable intangible assets acquired, and when finalized, material adjustments to goodwill may result.

10

The following table summarizes the preliminary estimated fair values of the Pathmark assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

Current assets Goodwill Intangible assets Property, net* Other assets	\$ 352.4 440.1 236.2 1,200.5 148.7
Total assets acquired	\$ 2,377.9
Current liabilities Long-term debt Long-term obligations under capital leases Long-term financing liabilities Deferred taxes** Other non-current liabilities	(325.2) (1.2) (130.5) (64.1) (58.6) (385.8)

Total liabilities assumed	\$ (965.4)
Net assets acquired	\$ 1,412.5
	=======

- * In fiscal 2007, we acquired net favorable lease rights relating to the acquisition of Pathmark in the amount of \$457.0 million which is included in Property, net and other non-current liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 14, 2008. The Company's net favorable lease rights are amortized on a straight-line basis until the end of the lease options but not more than 25 years. The weighted average life remaining of the net favorable lease rights at June 14, 2008 is 21.3 years. Amortization expense related to the net favorable lease rights is \$7.0 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008.
- ** The estimated fair values reflect recognition of a significant portion of A&P's net deferred tax assets, including net operating loss carry forwards, which existed at the date of acquisition.

The preliminary amount of goodwill and intangibles are approximately \$440.1 million and \$236.2 million, respectively, resulting from the Pathmark acquisition. The goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. Due to the recent nature of the acquisition we have not determined the reporting units to which the goodwill related to the Pathmark acquisition will be allocated.

4. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average shares outstanding for the reporting period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution, using the treasury stock method, and assumes that the convertible debt, stock options, restricted stock, warrants, and other potentially dilutive financial instruments were converted into common stock upon issuance, if dilutive.

Weighted average common shares of 1,030,969 and nil for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, respectively, related to options outstanding under our Company's stock award plan were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the effect would be antidilutive.

Weighted average common shares of 10,000 for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 related to restricted stock units outstanding under our Company's stock option plans were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the effect would be antidilutive.

Weighted average common shares of 4,969,401 for the 16 weeks ended June 14,2008 related to warrants outstanding under our Company's stock award plan were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the effect would be antidilutive.

11

Weighted average common shares of 11,278,988 for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 related to convertible debt outstanding were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the effect would be antidilutive.

Weighted average common shares of 8,134,002 for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 related to the share lending agreement were excluded from the computation of earning per share.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per

share:

	16 Weeks Ended June 14, 2008			
Income (loss) from continuing operations Adjustments on Convertible Warrants	\$	3,765 (27,119)		
Loss from continuing operations-diluted	\$	(23,354)		
Weighted average common shares outstanding Restricted stock options Share lending agreement		57,606,833 313,196 (8,134,002)		
Common shares outstanding-basic		49,786,027		
Effect of dilutive securities: Options to purchase common stock Convertible warrants		243,721 (1,873,094)		
Common shares outstanding-diluted		48,156,654 ======		

5. Cash, Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash and Restricted Marketable Securities

At June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008, we had \$3.8 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, in restricted cash which represented monies held in escrow for services which our Company is required to perform in connection with the sale of our real estate properties.

At June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008, our restricted marketable securities of \$18.2 million and \$19.4 million, respectively, were held by Bank of America in the Columbia Fund. On December 6, 2007, Bank of America froze the Columbia Fund as a result of the increased risk in subprime asset backed securities. During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we received distributions from the Columbia Fund in the amount of \$1.0 million at an amount less than 100% of the net asset value of the fund resulting in realized losses of \$0.03 million. In addition, we recorded a realized loss of \$0.3 million based on the ending net asset value of the Columbia Fund as the decline in net asset value is considered other than temporary at June 14, 2008 and will not be recovered in future distributions from the fund.

Effective March 13, 2007, in accordance with SFAS 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," we record changes in the fair value of Metro, Inc. as unrealized gains or losses, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in our Consolidated Balance Sheets based on the close price of Metro, Inc. at the end of our reporting period.

12

The following is a summary of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and restricted marketable securities as of June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008:

				June 1	1, 200
		mortized Costs	Gr	ross lized	Unr L
Classified as:					
Cash	\$	126,995	\$	-	\$
Cash equivalents: Money market funds		2,058		-	
Total cash and cash equivalents		129,053		-	
Destruction and speak		2 701			
Restricted cash Restricted marketable securities		3,781 7,119		-	
Restricted marketable securities included in other assets		11,071		-	
Total cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted marketable securities		151,024	\$		 \$
Securities available-for-sale:	====		=====	====	===
Maturing within one year	\$	7,119			
Maturing greater than one year		11,071			
				ebruary	23, 2
		 mortized Costs	Gr Unrea	oss	23, 2 Unr
		mortized	Gr Unrea	oss lized	Unr
Classified as:		mortized	Gr Unrea	oss lized	Unr
Cash		mortized	Gr Unrea	oss lized	Unr
	A	mortized Costs 98,382 2,351	Gr Unrea Ga	ross lized ins	Unr L
Cash cquivalents:	A \$	mortized Costs 	Gr Unrea Ga	ross lized ins	Unr L
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash	A \$	mortized Costs 98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713	Gr Unrea Ga	ross lized ins	Unr L
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents	A \$	98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713 6,796	Gr Unrea Ga	ross lized ins	Unr L
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Restricted marketable securities Restricted marketable securities included in other assets	\$	mortized Costs 98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713	Gr Unrea Ga	ross lized ins	Unr L
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Restricted marketable securities Restricted marketable securities	\$ \$	98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713 6,796 12,622	Gr Unrea Ga \$	ross llized ins	Unr L
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Restricted marketable securities Restricted marketable securities included in other assets Total cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and	\$ \$	mortized Costs 98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713 6,796 12,622 123,864	Gr Unrea Ga \$	ross llized ins	Unr L \$
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Restricted marketable securities Restricted marketable securities included in other assets Total cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted marketable securities	\$ ====	mortized Costs 98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713 6,796 12,622 123,864 6,796	Gr Unrea Ga \$	ross llized ins	Unr L
Cash Cash equivalents: Money market funds Total cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Restricted marketable securities Restricted marketable securities included in other assets Total cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted marketable securities Securities available-for-sale:	\$ \$ ==== \$;	mortized Costs 98,382 2,351 100,733 3,713 6,796 12,622 123,864	Gr Unrea Ga \$	ross llized ins	Unr L

Gross realized losses on sales of investments were \$0.03 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and gross realized gains on sales of investments were \$78.5 million for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007.

13

6. Fair Value Measurements

SFAS 157 defines and establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands related disclosures. This Statement applies to all assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis. Our Company adopted SFAS 157 for our financial assets and financial liabilities beginning in fiscal 2008. As discussed in Note 2 - Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements, SFAS 157-2 deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 (our year ended February 27, 2010).

SFAS 157 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which classifies the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Our Company's Level 1 assets and liabilities include cash equivalents that are traded in an active exchange market.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Our Company's Level 2 liabilities primarily include freestanding derivatives (conversion features and financing warrants) and warrants whose value is determined using the Black Scholes pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flows, or similar methodologies, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. Our Company's Level 3 assets include restricted marketable securities for which there is limited market activity.

A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of June 14, 2008:

> Fair Value Measurements at Signific Quoted Prices Other Observa Total Carrying in Active Markets Input

Value at June 14, 2008 (Level 1)

(Level

	=====		====		======	:=
Total	\$	20,248	\$	2,058	\$	
Restricted marketable securities	Ş	18,190	Ą	2 , 036 -	ų	
Assets: Cash equivalents	Ċ	2,058	Ċ	2,058	Ċ	ı

14

			Fair	Value Mea	surer	ments a
	Total Carrying Value at June 14, 2008		Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)		Signif Oth Observ Inpu (Leve	
Liabilities:						
Conversion feature of 5.125% Convertible						
Senior Notes	\$	8,533	\$	-	\$	8,5
Conversion feature of 6.75% Convertible						
Senior Notes		_		_		
Financing warrants		24,699		_		24,6
Warrant Series B		78 , 983		_		78,9
Total	\$	112,215	\$		\$	112,2
	=====		=====		===	

Level 3 Valuation Techniques:

Financial assets are considered Level 3 when their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flows or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial assets include our restricted marketable securities for which there is limited market activity such that the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. At June 14, 2008, these securities were valued primarily using broker pricing models that incorporate transaction details such as contractual terms, maturity, timing and amount of future cash inflows, as well as assumptions about liquidity.

The table below provides a summary of the changes in fair value, including net transfers in and/or out, of all financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period February 24, 2008 to June 14, 2008:

Fair Value Measu Significant Unobse (Level

Restric Marketa

Beginning Balance
Total realized and unrealized losses included in:
Earnings (1)
Comprehensive income
Settlements
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3

Ending Balance

Losses recorded in Earnings attributable to the change in unrealized losses relating to Level 3 assets still held at June 14, 2008

(1) Amounts are recorded in Store operating, general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

15

As discussed in Note 5 - Cash, Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash and Restricted Marketable Securities, on June 14, 2008, we had \$18.2 million invested in a short-term fixed income fund held by Bank of America (the "Columbia Fund"). Due to market liquidity conditions, cash redemptions from the Columbia Fund were restricted. As a result of this restriction on cash redemptions, we did not consider the Columbia Fund to be traded in an active market with observable pricing on February 24, 2008 and these amounts were categorized as Level 3.

7. Valuation of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," we review the carrying values of our long-lived assets for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. Such review is primarily based upon groups of assets and the undiscounted estimated future cash flows from such assets to determine if the carrying value of such assets is recoverable from their respective cash flows. If such review indicates an impairment exists, we measure such impairment on a discounted basis using a probability-weighted approach and a 7 year U.S. Treasury risk-free rate.

During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, we recorded impairment losses on long-lived assets of \$0.8 million and \$50.2 million, respectively, as follows:

Impairments due to closure or conversion in the normal course of business

We review assets in stores planned for closure or conversion for impairment upon determination that such assets will not be used for their intended useful life. During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, we recorded impairment losses on property, plant and equipment of \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, related to stores that were or will be closed or converted in the normal course of business. This amount was included in "Store operating, general and administrative expense" in our Consolidated Statements of

Impairments related to our discontinued operations

Operations.

\$ 19,4 (2 (9 -----\$ 18,1 ======

Securit

During the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007, we recorded impairment losses of \$49.7 million related to stores closed as a result of our exit of the Greater New Orleans and Midwest markets as discussed in Note 8 - Discontinued Operations. This amount was included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations under the caption "Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations, net of tax".

The effects of changes in estimates of useful lives were not material to ongoing depreciation expense.

8. Discontinued Operations

We have had multiple transactions throughout the years which met the criteria for discontinued operations. These events are described based on the year the transaction was initiated.

2007 Events

On May 30, 2007, our Company announced advanced negotiations for the sale of our non-core stores located within the Greater New Orleans area, including inventory related to these stores. Our Company ceased sales operations in all stores not sold as of November 1, 2007. Planned sale transactions for these stores have been completed resulting in a loss on disposal of \$16.5 million.

16

On April 24, 2007, based upon unsatisfactory operating trends and the need to devote resources to our expanding Northeast core business, our Company announced negotiations for the sale of our non-core stores within our Midwest operations, including inventory related to these stores. Our Company ceased sales operations in all stores not sold as of July 7, 2007. Planned sale transactions for these stores have been completed resulting in a loss on disposal of \$34.3 million.

2005 Event

During the first quarter of fiscal 2005, we announced plans for a major strategic restructuring that would consolidate efforts in the Midwest. Thus, we initiated efforts to close a total of 35 stores in the Midwest. All of which were closed as of February 25, 2006.

2003 Events

During fiscal 2003, we adopted a formal plan to exit the Wisconsin markets through the sale and/or disposal of these assets. In February 2003, we announced the sale of a portion of our non-core assets, including seven stores in Madison, Wisconsin and 23 stores in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Also in fiscal 2003, we announced an initiative to close 6 stores and convert 13 stores to our Food Basics banner in the Detroit, Michigan and Toledo, Ohio markets.

Summarized below are the operating results for these discontinued businesses, which are included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations, under the captions "Loss from operations of discontinued businesses, net of tax" and "Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued businesses, net of tax" for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007.

For the 16 weeks ended

June 14, 2008

June 16,

Loss from operations of discontinued businesses					
Sales		\$	-	\$	4
Loss from operations of discontinued businesses, before Tax benefit	ore tax	=====	(4,163) - 		 (
Loss from operations of discontinued operations, net	of tax	\$	(4,163)	\$ ====	(
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations Property impairments Gain on sale of fixed assets		\$	_ 2,639	\$	(
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations, before Tax benefit	ore tax		2 , 639 -		(
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations, net	of tax	\$	2 , 639	\$	(

17

Summarized below is a reconciliation of the liabilities related to restructuring obligations resulting from these activities, pursuant to SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" and SFAS No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits."

	For the 16 weeks ended June 14,									
	Balance at 2/23/2008				Adjustments(2)		Util			
2007 Events										
Occupancy Severance	\$	62,873 58,520	\$	2 , 876 –	\$	914	\$			
2007 events total		121,393		2,876		914				
2005 Event										
Occupancy		66,882		993		(906)				
2003 Events										
Occupancy		21,579		407		(1,559)				
Fiscal 2008 total	\$	209,854		4,276	\$	(1,551)	\$			

	 	Fiscal 2007				
	alance at 2/24/2007		terest etion (1)	Adjı	ıstments(2)	Util
2007 Events						
Occupancy Severance	\$ - -	\$	2,865 -	\$	81,234 81,642	\$
2007 events total	-		2,865		162,876	
2005 Event						
Occupancy	83,111		3,457		(7,117)	
2003 Events						
Occupancy	 22,262		1,269		1,141	
Fiscal 2007 total	\$ 105,373	\$	7 , 591	\$	156 , 900	\$

- (1) The additions to occupancy and severance represents the interest accretion on future occupancy costs and future obligations for early withdrawal from multi-employer union pension plans which were recorded at present value at the time of the original charge. Interest accretion is recorded as a component of "Loss from operations of discontinued business" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (2) At each balance sheet date, we assess the adequacy of the balance of the remaining liability to determine if any adjustments are required as a result of changes in circumstances and/or estimates. Adjustments are recorded as a component of "Loss from operations of discontinued business" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008

The charge to occupancy for the 2007 events represents adjustments for additional occupancy related costs for our properties of \$0.9 million due to changes in our estimation of such future costs. We also recorded adjustments for a reduction in occupancy related costs of \$0.9 million and \$1.6 million for the 2005 event and the 2003 events, respectively, due to changes in our estimation of such future costs.

18

Fiscal 2007

The charge to occupancy for the 2007 events represents charges related to the closures of 39 stores in fiscal 2007 in conjunction with our decision to close and/or sell stores in the Midwest and the Greater New Orleans area. The charge to severance and benefits of \$81.6 million for

the 2007 events related to (i.) individual severings and retention incentives that were accrued as earned of \$24.6 million as a result of the sale or closing of these facilities and (ii.) future obligations for early withdrawal from multi-employer union pension plans of \$57.0 million. During fiscal 2007, we also recorded adjustments for the 2005 event for a reduction in occupancy related costs for our properties of \$7.1 million due to (i.) changes in our estimation of such future costs of \$6.4 million and (ii.) a new sublease agreement for one property of \$0.7 million. We recorded adjustments for the 2003 events for additional occupancy related costs for our properties of \$1.1 million due to changes in our estimation of such future costs.

(3) Occupancy utilization represents payments made during those periods for rent, common area maintenance and real estate taxes. Severance utilization represents payments made to terminated employees during the period.

Summarized below are the payments made to date from the time of the original charge and expected future payments related to these events:

		2007 Events		2005 Event	
Total severance payments made to date Expected future severance payments	\$	24,195 57,447	\$	2 , 650 -	\$
Total severance payments expected to be incurred		81,642		2,650	\$
Total occupancy payments made to date Expected future occupancy payments, excluding interest accretion Total occupancy payments expected to be incurred,		30,053 57,836		39 , 220 63 , 921	
excluding interest accretion		87 , 889		103,141	
Total severance and occupancy payments made to date Expected future severance and occupancy payments, excluding interest accretion Total severance and occupancy payments expected to be incurred, excluding interest accretion		54,248 115,283 - 169,531	•	41,870 63,921 - 105,791	

Payments to date were primarily for occupancy related costs such as rent, common area maintenance, real estate taxes, lease termination costs, severance, and benefits. The remaining obligation relates to expected future payments under long term leases and expected future payments for early withdrawal from multi-employer union pension plans. The expected completion dates for the 2007, 2005, and 2003 events are 2026, 2022, and 2022, respectively.

Summarized below are the amounts included in our balance sheet captions on our Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets related to these events:

June 14, 200

	2007 Events		2005 Event			
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	\$	440	\$	_	\$	
Other accruals	\$	23,684	\$	10,485	\$	
Other non-current liabilities	\$	91,159	\$	53,436	\$	

19

				February	23, 2
		2007 Events		2005 Event	
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	\$	1,513	\$	_	\$
Other accruals Other non-current liabilities	\$ \$	24,733 95,147	\$ \$	10,985 55,897	\$ \$

We evaluated the reserve balances as of June 14, 2008 based on current information and have concluded that they are adequate to cover future costs. We will continue to monitor the status of the vacant and subsidized properties, severance and benefits, and pension withdrawal liabilities, and adjustments to the reserve balances may be recorded in the future, if necessary.

9. Asset Disposition Initiatives

In addition to the events described in Note 8 - Discontinued Operations, there were restructuring transactions which were not primarily related to our discontinued operations businesses. These events are referred to based on the year the transaction was initiated, as described below.

Restructuring charges relate principally to employee severance and occupancy costs resulting from the closure of facilities and other workforce reductions attributable to our efforts to reduce costs. The costs of these reductions have been and will be funded through cash from operations. Occupancy costs represent facility consolidation and lease termination costs associated with our decision to consolidate and close duplicative or excess warehouse and office facilities, unproductive and excess facilities and the continued softening of real estate markets, which resulted in lower than expected sublease income.

2005 Event

During fiscal 2005, our Company sold our U.S. distribution operations and some warehouse facilities and related assets to C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc. The Asset Purchase Agreement included the assignment of our leases in Central Islip, New York and Baltimore, Maryland, and a warranty deed for our owned facilities in Dunmore, Pennsylvania.

2001 Event

During the third quarter of fiscal 2001, our Company determined that certain underperforming operations, including 39 stores (30 in the United States and 9 in Canada) and 3 warehouses (2 in the United States and 1 in Canada) should be closed and/or sold, and certain administrative streamlining should take place.

1998 Event

In May 1998, we initiated an assessment of our business operations in order to identify the factors that were impacting our performance. As a result of this assessment, in fiscal 1998 and 1999, we announced a plan to close two warehouse facilities and a coffee plant in the U.S., a bakery plant in Canada and 166 stores (156 in the United States and 10 in Canada) including the exit of the Richmond, Virginia and Atlanta, Georgia markets.

20

Summarized below is a reconciliation of the liabilities related to restructuring obligations resulting from these activities, pursuant to SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" and SFAS No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits."

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 20

	Balance at 2/23/2008				Adjustments(2)		Util	
2005 Event								
Continuing Operations Occupancy Severance		1,231 1,686		15 _		(91) -	\$	
2005 event total		2 , 917		15		(91)		
2001 Event								
Continuing Operations Occupancy		6 , 755		113		(501)		
Discontinued Operations Occupancy		12,281		219		(166)		
2001 event total		19,036		332		(667)		
1998 Event								
Continuing Operations Occupancy Severance		6,958 1,000		108		268		
Discontinued Operations Occupancy		1,093		19		(8)		
1998 event total		9,051		127		260		
Fiscal 2008 total	•	31,004	•	474		(498)	 \$ ====	

					Fisca	al 2007		
	Balance at 2/24/2007				Adjustments(2)		Util	
2005 Event								
Continuing Operations								
Occupancy	\$	1,453	\$	51	\$	200	\$	
Severance		876		_		2,366		
Discontinued Operations								
Occupancy		3 , 997		92		(3,197)		
2005 event total		6 , 326		143		(631)		
2001 Event								
Continuing Operations								
Occupancy		7,338		401		10		
Discontinued Operations								
Occupancy		13,248		747		-		
2001 event total		20,586				10		
1998 Event								
Continuing Operations								
Occupancy		9,438		429		(351)		
Severance		1,210		-		_		
Discontinued Operations								
Occupancy		1,598		79		-		
1998 event total		12,246		508		(351)		
Fiscal 2007 total	 \$	39,158	\$		\$	(972)	 \$	
	===		=======		=====		====	

- (1) Represents the interest accretion on future occupancy costs which were recorded at present value at the time of the original charge. These adjustments are recorded to "Store operating, general and administrative expense" for continuing operations and "Loss from operations of discontinued operations" for discontinued operations on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (2) At each balance sheet date, we assess the adequacy of the balance to determine if any adjustments are required as a result of changes in circumstances and/or estimates. These adjustments are recorded to "Store operating, general and administrative expense" for continuing operations and "Loss from operations of discontinued operations" as noted for discontinued operations on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, we recorded adjustments for a reduction in occupancy related costs of \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million for the 2005 event and the 2001 event, respectively, due to changes in our estimation of such future costs. We also recorded an adjustment for additional occupancy related costs of \$0.3 million for the 1998 event due to changes in our estimation of such future costs.

Fiscal 2007

During fiscal 2007, adjustments to occupancy costs related to changes in our estimation of such future costs. We recorded additions to severance of \$2.4 million for the 2005 event for health and welfare benefits for warehouse retirees of \$1.7 million and pension withdrawal costs of \$0.7 million.

22

(3) Occupancy utilization represents payments made during those periods for rent. Severance and benefits utilization represents payments made to terminated employees during the period.

Summarized below are the payments made to date from the time of the original charge and expected future payments related to these events:

		2005	2001	1998
		Event	 Event	 Event
Total severance payments made to date Expected future severance payments Total severance payments expected		48,142 1,477	\$ 28 , 205 –	\$ 30 , 514 950
to be incurred		49,619	 28,205	 31,464
Total occupancy payments made to date Expected future occupancy payments.		13,789	59 , 573	113,025
Expected future occupancy payments, excluding interest accretion Total occupancy payments expected		1,143	18,148	7,358
to be incurred, excluding interest accretion		14,932	 77,721	 120,383
Total severance and occupancy payments made to date Expected future severance and	\$	61,931	\$ 87 , 778	\$ 143,539
occupancy payments, excluding interest accretion Total severance and occupancy		2,620	18,148	8,308
<pre>payments expected to be incurred, excluding interest accretion</pre>	\$	64,551 ======	105 , 926	151,847 ======

Payments to date were primarily for occupancy related costs such as rent, common

area maintenance, real estate taxes, lease termination costs, severance, and benefits. The remaining obligation relates to expected future payments under long-term leases and expected future payments for early withdrawal from multi-employer union pension plans. The expected completion dates for the 2005, 2001 and 1998 events are 2021, 2022 and 2020, respectively.

Summarized below are the amounts included in our balance sheet captions on our Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets related to these events:

J	u	n	е	1	4	,	2	0	0	8		
					_	_				_		

		04110 117 2000						
	2005 Event			2001 Event		1998 Event	Τ	
Other accruals	\$	404	\$	2,760	\$	2,797	\$	
Other non-current liabilities	\$	2,216	\$	15 , 388	\$	5,511	\$	

23

February	22	2008	
renrijarv	/ 3 -	/ U.U.A	

	2005 Event		2001 Event		1998 Event				
Other accruals	\$	434	\$	2,754	\$	2,827	\$		
Other non-current liabilities	\$	2,483	\$	16,282	\$	6,224	\$		

We evaluated the reserve balances as of June 14, 2008 based on current information and have concluded that they are adequate to cover future costs. We will continue to monitor the status of the vacant and subsidized properties, severance and benefits, and pension withdrawal liabilities, and adjustments to the reserve balances may be recorded in the future, if necessary.

Indebtedness and Other Financial Liabilities

Series A and B Warrants

As part of the acquisition of Pathmark on December 3, 2007, we issued 4,657,378 and 6,965,858 roll-over stock warrants in exchange for Pathmark's 2005 Series A and Series B warrants, respectively. The number of warrants issued was computed based on the conversion factor of 0.46296. The Series A warrants were exercisable at \$18.36 and expired on June 9, 2008 and the Series B warrants are exercisable at \$32.40 and expire on June 9, 2015. These warrants were originally valued using the price of A&P common stock of \$30.05 per common share, the quoted market price of A&P common stock on November 30, 2007, the last trading day before the transaction closing date. The Tengelmann stockholders have the right to approve any issuance of common stock under these warrants upon exercise (assuming Tengelmann's outstanding interest is at least 25% and subject to liquidity impairments defined within the Tengelmann Stockholder Agreement). In addition, Tengelmann has the ability to exercise a "Put Right" whereby it has the ability to require A&P to purchase A&P stock held by Tengelmann to settle

these warrants. Based on the rights provided to Tengelmann, A&P does not have sole discretion to determine whether the payment upon exercise of these warrants will be settled in cash or through issuance of an equivalent portion of A&P shares. Therefore, these warrants are recorded as liabilities and marked-to-market each reporting period based on A&P's current stock price.

On May 7, 2008, the 4,657,378 Series A warrants were exercised by Yucaipa Corporate Initiatives Fund I, L.P., Yucaipa American Alliance Fund I, L.P. and Yucaipa American Alliance (Parallel) Fund I, L.P. We opted to settle the Series A warrants in cash totaling \$45.7 million rather than issuing additional common shares.

Included in "Nonoperating Income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, is a loss of \$1.2 million for the Series A warrants through the settlement date of May 7, 2008 and a gain of \$27.1 million for the Series B warrants market value adjustment. The value of the Series B warrants were \$79.0 million as of June 14, 2008 and is included in "Other financial liabilities" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following assumptions and estimates were used in the Black-Scholes model:

Series B

Expected life
Volatility
Dividend yield range
Risk-free interest rate range

7.0 years 51.8% 0% 3.95%

24

Public Debt Obligations

On December 18, 2007, we completed a public offering and issued \$165 million 5.125% convertible senior notes due 2011 and \$255 million 6.75% convertible senior notes due 2012. The 2011 notes are not redeemable at our option at any time. The 2012 notes are redeemable at our option on or after December 15, 2010, at a redemption price of 102.70% and on or after December 15, 2011, at a redemption price of 101.35%. The initial conversion price of the 2011 notes is \$36.40 representing a 30.0% premium to the offering price of \$28.00 and the initial conversion price of the 2012 notes is \$37.80 representing a 35.0% premium to the offering price of \$28.00 at maturity, and at our option, the notes are convertible into shares of our stock, cash, or a combination of stock and cash. Concurrent with this offering, we entered into call options and financing warrant transactions with financial institutions that are affiliates of the underwriters of the notes to effectively increase the conversion price of these notes and to reduce the potential dilution upon future conversion. Conversion prices were effectively increased to \$46.20 or a 65% premium and \$49.00 or a 75% premium for the 2011 and 2012 notes, respectively.

As of December 18, 2007, our Company did not have sufficient authorized shares to provide for all potential issuances of common stock. Therefore, in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", our Company accounted for the conversion features as freestanding instruments. The notes were recorded with a discount equal to the value of the conversion features at the transaction date and will be accreted to the par value of the notes over the life of the notes. The value of the conversion features were determined utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model and

recorded as a long term liability. The portion of the conversion features for which there are not shares available for settlement of conversions is marked to market each balance sheet date. During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, the gain that was recorded in "Nonoperating Income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the conversion features of the 5.125% and 6.75% convertible senior notes was \$11.1 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. Based on an increase in available shares primarily due to the exercise of our Series A warrants, \$3.6 million and \$14.7 million for the conversion features of the 5.125% and 6.75% convertible senior notes, respectively, were reclassified to "Additional paid-in-capital" on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity and Comprehensive (Loss) Income. The fair value of the conversion features classified as a liability as of June 14, 2008 was \$8.5 million and nil for the 5.125% and 6.75% convertible notes, respectively. The following assumptions and estimates were used in the Black-Scholes model:

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008

Expected life
Volatility
Dividend yield range
Risk-free interest rate range

3.0 years - 4.5 years 33.0% 0% 3.38% - 3.73%

Financing Warrants

Concurrent with the issuance of the convertible senior notes, our Company issued financing warrants in conjunction with the call options recorded as equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheet to effectively increase the conversion price of these notes and reduce the potential dilution upon future conversion. The financing warrants allow holders to purchase common shares at \$46.20 with respect to the 5.125% notes and \$49.00 with respect to the 6.75% notes. The financing warrants were valued at \$36.8 million at the issuance date. At the issuance date our Company did not have sufficient authorized shares to provide all potential issuances of common stock. Therefore, the financing warrants are accounted for as freestanding derivatives, required to be settled in cash until sufficient shares are available and are recorded as a long-

25

term liability in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The financing warrants are marked to market each reporting period utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model and are valued at \$24.7 million as of June 14, 2008. During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, we recorded a gain of \$6.5 million included in "Nonoperating income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The following assumptions and estimates were used in the Black-Scholes model:

For the 16 weeks ended
June 14, 2008

3.3 years - 4.8 years 33.0%

Expected life Volatility

Dividend yield range 0% Risk-free interest rate range 3.38% - 3.73%

11. Interest Expense

Interest expense is comprised of the following:

	J [.]	une 14, 2008
Credit Agreement	\$	3,414
7.75% Notes, due April 15, 2007 9.125% Senior Notes, due December 15, 2011		- 360
5.125% Convertible Senior Notes, due June 15, 2011		2,595
6.750% Convertible Senior Notes, due December 15, 2012		5,282
9.375% Notes, due August 1, 2039		5 , 753
Capital Lease Obligations and Real Estate Liabilities		17 , 079
Amortization of Deferred Financing Fees and Discounts		5 , 852
Other		5,614
Total	\$	45 , 949
	===	

12. Retirement Plans and Benefits

Defined Benefit Plans

We provide retirement benefits to certain non-union and union employees under various defined benefit plans. Our defined benefit pension plans are non-contributory and benefits under these plans are generally determined based upon years of service and, for salaried employees, compensation. We fund these plans in amounts consistent with the statutory funding requirements. We use our fiscal year end as the measurement date. The components of net pension cost were as follows:

	For the 16 Weeks End			
	June 14, 2008	June 20		
Service cost	\$ 1 , 534	\$ 1		
Interest cost	7,590	3		
Expected return on plan assets	(9,066)	(4		
Amortization of unrecognized net prior service cost	74			
Amortization of unrecognized net loss	36			
Administrative expenses and other	_			
Net pension cost	\$ 168	\$ 1		
	======			

For the

Contributions

We previously disclosed in our consolidated financial statements for the year ended February 23, 2008, that we expected to contribute \$4.5 million in cash to our defined benefit plans in fiscal 2008. As of June 14, 2008, we contributed approximately \$2.2 million to our defined benefit plans. We plan to contribute approximately \$2.3 million to our plans during the remainder of fiscal 2008.

Postretirement Benefits

We provide postretirement health care and life insurance benefits to certain union and non-union employees. We recognize the cost of providing postretirement benefits during employees' active service periods. We use our fiscal year end as the measurement date for our postretirement benefits. The components of net postretirement benefits cost (income) were as follows:

	For the 16	Weeks Ende
	June 14, 2008	June 20
Service cost	\$ 312	\$
Interest cost	705	
Amortization of gain	_	
Prior service credit	(414)	
Net postretirement benefits cost (income)	\$ 603	 \$
	======	

13. Stock Based Compensation

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, compensation expense related to share-based incentive plans was \$4.9 million, after tax, compared to \$2.9 million, after tax, during the first quarter of fiscal 2007. Included in share-based compensation expense recorded during the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 was \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, related to expensing of stock options, \$4.1 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, relating to expensing of restricted stock, and \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, relating to expensing of common stock granted to our Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

At June 14, 2008, we had two stock-based compensation plans, the 1998 Long Term Incentive and Share Award Plan and the 2004 Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan. The general terms of each plan are reported in our Fiscal 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

27

Stock options

The following is a summary of the stock option activity during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	We P Re Cor Term
Outstanding at February 23, 2008 Granted Canceled or expired Exercised	1,827,529 128,434 (40,863) (104,536)	26.07 30.74	
Outstanding at June 14, 2008	1,810,564	24.39	====
Exercisable at: June 14, 2008	1,582,485	\$ 23.88	====
Nonvested at: June 14, 2008	228,079	\$ 27.94	

Fair values for each stock option grant were estimated using a Black-Scholes valuation model which utilized assumptions as detailed in the following table for expected life based upon historical option exercise patterns, historical volatility for a period equal to the stock option's expected life, and risk-free rate based on the U.S. Treasury constant maturities in effect at the time of grant. Our stock options have a contractual term of 10 years. The following assumptions were in place for grants that occurred during the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007:

	16 weeks ended June 14, 2008	16 weeks ended June 16, 2007			
Expected life	7 years	7 years			
Volatility	52%	54% - 55%			
Risk-free interest rate	2.96%	4.46% - 4.57%			

The weighted average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007 was \$14.64 and \$19.47, respectively.

As of June 14, 2008, approximately \$2.8 million, after tax, of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock option awards will be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.1 years.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008 and June 14, 2007 was \$0.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively.

The amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options during the first quarter of fiscal 2008 was approximately \$2.2 million.

Performance Restricted Stock Units

The following is a summary of the performance restricted stock units activity during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value		
Nonvested at February 23, 2008 Granted	1,905,427 424,782	\$	22.60 26.07	
Canceled or expired Vested	(29,536) (435,600)		27.32 12.47	
Nonvested at June 14, 2008	1,865,073	\$	25.68	

Performance restricted stock units are granted at the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant, adjusted by an estimated forfeiture rate.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, fifty percent of our performance restricted units granted in fiscal 2005, vested on February 24, 2008 (the first day of our fiscal year) and the remaining fifty percent will vest on the first day of fiscal 2009, in accordance with and subject to all other terms, conditions, limitations, restrictions and eligibility requirements. No units vested under our 2006 and 2007 grants during the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

The total fair value of shares vested during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008 was \$12.1 million. No shares vested during the first quarter ended June 16, 2007.

Performance restricted stock units issued during fiscal 2008 are earned based on our Company achieving certain operating targets in fiscal 2010 and are 100% vested in fiscal 2010, respectively, upon achievement of those targets.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, our Company granted 424,782 shares and 488,746 shares of performance restricted stock units to selected employees, respectively, for a total grant date fair value of \$11.1 million and \$16.2 million, respectively. Approximately \$24.4 million of unrecognized fair value compensation expense relating to these performance restricted stock units, and those issued in the previous year are expected to be recognized through fiscal 2011 based on estimates of attaining vesting criteria.

14. Income Taxes

The income tax provisions recorded for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007 reflects our estimated expected annual tax rates applied to our respective domestic and foreign financial results.

SFAS No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS 109") provides that a deferred tax asset is recognized for temporary differences that will result in deductible amounts in future years and for carryforwards. In addition, SFAS 109 requires

that a valuation allowance be recognized if, based on existing facts and circumstances, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Based upon our continued assessment of the realization of our net deferred tax asset and our historic cumulative losses, we concluded that it was appropriate to record a valuation allowance in an amount that would reduce our net deferred tax asset to zero. For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, the valuation allowance increased by \$46.2 million to reflect the increase in deferred income tax assets

29

recorded relating to the purchase price allocation adjustment discussed in Note 3 - Acquisition of Pathmark Stores, Inc., as well as the generation of additional net operating losses. For the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007, the valuation allowance decreased by \$7.0 million. To the extent that our operations generate sufficient taxable income in future periods, we will reverse the income tax valuation allowance. In future periods, we will continue to record a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets that are created by losses until such time as the certainty of future tax benefits can be reasonably assured.

As of June 14, 2008, there have been no material changes to the Company's uncertain tax positions disclosures as discussed in Note 11 of the Company's Fiscal 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company does not anticipate that total unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next 12 months.

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, no amounts were recorded for interest and penalties within "Provision for income taxes" in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Our Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax, as well as income tax in multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. As of June 14, 2008, we were subject to examination in the U.S. federal tax jurisdiction for the 1997 to 2006 tax years and we were also subject to examination in most state jurisdictions for the 1997 to 2006 tax years as well.

The effective tax rate on continuing operations of 26.9% for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 varied from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the recording of state and local income taxes, recording additional valuation allowance offset by a permanent difference related to nonoperating income from the fair value adjustments related to the conversion features, financing warrants and Series B warrants.

The effective tax rate on continuing operations of 4.9% for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 varied from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the recording of state and local income taxes and a reduction of our valuation allowance as a result of taxes provided on other comprehensive income and cumulative translation adjustments.

As of June 14, 2008 we had \$406.0 million in federal NOL carryforwards that expire beginning in 2023, some of which are subject to an annual limitation. Management believes such limitations will not have a material impact on the Company's ability to utilize such losses.

At June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008, we had a net current deferred tax asset which is included in "Prepaid expenses and other current assets" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$54.4 million and \$64.8 million, respectively, a net non-current deferred tax asset which is included in "Other Assets" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$48.4 million and \$38.0 million, respectively, and a non-current tax liability for uncertain tax positions which is included in

"Other non-current liabilities" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$156.3 million and \$156.3 million, respectively.

15. Operating Segments

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our chief operating decision maker is our President and Chief Executive Officer.

30

In the fourth quarter of 2007, we acquired Pathmark and we revised our reporting segments to be consistent with the manner in which our chief operating decision maker currently manages the business. Accordingly during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008, we operated under seven reportable segments: North, Central, South, Pathmark, Gourmet, Other and our investment in Metro, Inc. The Other segment includes our Food Basics and Liquor businesses. Our investment in Metro, Inc. represents our economic interest in Metro, Inc. and is required to be reported as an operating segment in accordance with SFAS No. 131, "Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" as our investment was greater than 10% of our Company's combined assets of all operating segments and the investment generated operating income during the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The criteria necessary to classify the Midwest and Greater New Orleans areas as discontinued have been satisfied and these operations have been reclassified as such in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007. Refer to Note 8 - Discontinued Operations for further discussion. Prior year information has been restated to conform to current year presentation.

The accounting policies for these segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies included in our Fiscal 2007 Annual Report. We measure segment performance based upon segment income (loss). Reconciling amounts between segment income (loss) and income (loss) from operations include corporate-level activity not specifically attributed to a segment, which includes 1) the purchase of all merchandise (including the design and production of private label merchandise sold in our retail stores), 2) real estate management and 3) information technology, finance and other corporate administrative personnel, as well as, other reconciling items primarily attributed to nonrecurring activities.

Assets and capital expenditures are not allocated to segments for internal reporting presentations.

Interim information on segments is as follows:

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 20

Grocery (1) Meat (2) Produce (3) C

Sales by Category \$ 2,035,849 \$ 549,755 \$ 337,061 \$

For the 16 weeks ended June 16, 20

	 Gr	rocery (1)	Mea	at (2)	Proc	duce (3)	0
Sales by Category	\$	1,116,336	\$	328 , 233	\$	229 , 845	\$ ====

- (1) The grocery category includes grocery, frozen foods, dairy, general merchandise/health and beauty aids, liquor and pharmacy.
- (2) The meat category includes meat, deli, bakery and seafood.
- (3) The produce category includes produce and floral.
- (4) Other includes sales from an information technology services agreement with Metro, Inc.

31

		June 14, 2008	
			-
Sales			
North	\$	596,220	\$
Central		407,241	
South		496,916	
Pathmark		1,254,195	
Gourmet		94,479	
Other		73,614	
Investment in Metro, Inc.		-	
Total sales	\$ =====	2,922,665	\$
Segment income (loss)			
North	\$	28,571	Ş
Central		5 , 879	
South		(3,689)	
Pathmark		25,734	
Gourmet		7,709	
Other		446	
Total segment income		64,650	
Corporate		(48,463)	
Reconciling items *		(14,096)	
Income (loss) from operations		2 , 091	_
Loss on sale of Canadian operations		-	
Gain on sale of Metro, Inc.		-	
Nonoperating income		48,597	
Interest expense		(45,949)	
Interest and dividend income		410	
Equity earnings in Metro, Inc.		_	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$	5 , 149	Ş

	For the 16 weeks June 14, 2008		
Segment depreciation and amortization - continuing operations			
North	\$	11,000	\$
Central		8,688	
South		9,526	
Pathmark		29 , 379	
Gourmet		3,404	
Other		1,129	
Total segment depreciation and amortization - continuing operations		63,126	
Corporate		16,901	
Total depreciation and amortization - continuing operations Discontinued operations		80 , 027 -	
Total company depreciation and amortization	\$	80 , 027	\$

^{*} Reconciling items which are not included in segment income (loss) include LIFO reserve adjustment, stock awards expense, restructuring events, real-estate related activity, integration costs and other nonrecurring adjustments.

32

16. Investment in Metro, Inc.

On March 13, 2007, in connection with our agreement to acquire Pathmark Stores, Inc., our Company sold 6,350,000 shares of our holdings in Metro, Inc. for proceeds of approximately \$203.5 million resulting in a net gain of \$78.4 million. Of the proceeds received, \$190 million was held as restricted cash collateralizing letters of credit under our Letter of Credit Agreement and was designated to be used to fund a portion of our acquisition of Pathmark Stores, Inc.

On November 26, 2007, also in connection with our agreement to acquire Pathmark Stores, Inc., our Company sold the remaining 11,726,645 shares of our holdings in Metro, Inc. for proceeds of approximately \$345.3 million, resulting in a net gain of \$103.6 million. The proceeds were held to fund a portion of our acquisition of Pathmark Stores, Inc. As a result of these sales, our Company no longer holds Class A subordinate shares of Metro, Inc. as our investment has been fully liquidated as of the balance sheet date.

Through March 13, 2007, we recorded our pro-rata equity earnings relating to our equity investment in Metro, Inc. on about a three-month lag period as permitted by APB 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock." Thus, during the first quarter ended June 16, 2007, we recorded \$7.9 million in equity earnings relating to our equity investment in Metro, Inc. and included this amount in "Equity in earnings of Metro, Inc." on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. In accordance with SFAS 115, we recorded dividend income of \$1.3 million based on Metro, Inc.'s dividend declaration on April 17, 2007 and included this amount in "Interest and dividend income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the first quarter ended June 16, 2007.

Metro, Inc.'s summarized financial information, derived from its unaudited second quarter ended March 17, 2007 financial statements, is as follows (in millions):

	Weeks Ended ch 17, 2007
Income statement: Net sales	\$ 2,096.5
Cost of sales and operating expenses	\$ 1,967.1
Net income	\$ 55.0

17. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Assignment

On August 14, 2007, Pathmark entered into a leasehold assignment contract for the sale of its leasehold interests in one of its stores to CPS Operating Company LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("CPS"). Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Pathmark was to receive \$87 million for assigning and transferring to CPS all of Pathmark's interest in the lease and CPS was to have assumed all of the duties and obligations of Pathmark under the lease. CPS deposited \$6 million in escrow as a deposit against the purchase price for the lease, which is non-refundable to CPS, except as otherwise expressly provided in the agreement. The assignment of the lease was scheduled to close on December 28, 2007. On December 27, 2007, CPS issued a notice terminating the agreement for reason of a purported breach of the agreement, which, if proven, would require the return of the escrow. We are disputing the validity of CPS's notice of termination as we believe CPS's position is without merit. Because we are challenging the validity of CPS's December 27, 2007 notice of termination, we issued our own notice to CPS on December 31, 2007, asserting CPS's breach of the agreement as a result of their failure to close on December 28, 2007. CPS's

33

breach, if proven, would entitle us to keep the escrow. Both parties have taken legal action to obtain the \$6 million deposit held in escrow.

LaMarca et al v. The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc ("Defendants")

On June 24, 2004, a class action complaint was filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York against The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., d/b/a A&P, The Food Emporium, and Waldbaum's alleging violations of the overtime provisions of the New York Labor Law. Three named plaintiffs, Benedetto Lamarca, Dolores Guiddy, and Stephen Tedesco, alleged on behalf of a class that our Company failed to pay overtime wages to full-time hourly employees who were either required or permitted to work more than 40 hours per week.

In April 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. In July 2007, the Court granted the plaintiffs' motion and certified the class as follows: All full-time hourly employees of Defendants who were employed in Defendants' supermarket stores located in the State of New York, for any of the period from June 24, 1998 through the date of the commencement of the action,

whom Defendants required or permitted to perform work in excess of 40 hours per week without being paid overtime wages. The Court also ruled that the issue of whether to include an "opt-in" or "opt-out" provision is premature and can be decided after discovery has been had.

As discovery on the prospective plaintiffs comprising the class has yet to be conducted, neither the number of class participants nor the sufficiency of their respective claims can be determined at this time.

Other

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, which arise in the ordinary course of business. We are also subject to certain environmental claims. While the outcome of these claims cannot be predicted with certainty, Management does not believe that the outcome of any of these legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

34

ITEM 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis is intended to help the reader understand the financial position, operating results, and cash flows of The Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Company, Inc. It should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and the accompanying notes ("Notes"). It discusses matters that Management considers relevant to understanding the business environment, financial position, results of operations and our Company's liquidity and capital resources. These items are presented as follows:

- o Basis of Presentation a discussion of our Company's results during the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007.
- Overview a general description of our business; the value drivers of our business; measurements; opportunities; challenges and risks; and initiatives.
- o Outlook a discussion of certain trends or business initiatives for the remainder of fiscal 2008 to assist in understanding the business.
- o Review of Continuing Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources -- a discussion of results for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 compared to the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007; current and expected future liquidity; and the impact of various market risks on our Company.
- o Critical Accounting Estimates -- a discussion of significant estimates made by Management.
- o Market Risk a discussion of the impact of market changes on our consolidated financial statements.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007 are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments that are of a normal and recurring nature necessary for a fair statement of financial position and results of operations for such periods. The consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Fiscal 2007 Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our Company and all subsidiaries.

OVERVIEW

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., based in Montvale, New Jersey, operates conventional supermarkets, combination food and drug stores and discount food stores in 8 U.S. states and the District of Columbia. Our Company's business consists strictly of our retail operations, which totaled 446 stores as of June 14, 2008.

For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, we operated in seven reportable segments: North, Central, South, Pathmark, Gourmet, Other and our investment in Metro, Inc. The Other segment includes our Discount and Liquor businesses. Our investment in Metro, Inc. represents our economic interest in Metro, Inc. The criteria necessary to classify the Midwest and Greater New Orleans area as discontinued were satisfied in

35

fiscal 2007 and these operations have been reclassified as such in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007.

RECENT ANNOUNCEMENTS

On March 7, 2008, our Company entered into a definitive agreement with C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc. ("C&S") whereby C&S will provide warehousing, logistics, procurement and purchasing services (the "Services") in support of the Company's entire supply chain. This agreement replaces and supersedes three (3) separate wholesale supply agreements under which the parties have been operating. The term of the agreement is ten and one-half (10-1/2) years, which includes a six-month "ramp-up" period during which the parties will transition to the new contractual terms and conditions. The agreement provides that the actual costs of performing the services shall be reimbursed to C&S on an "open-book" or "cost-plus" basis, whereby the parties will negotiate annual budgets that will be reconciled against actual costs on a periodic basis. The parties will also annually negotiate services specifications and performance standards that will govern warehouse operations. The agreement defines the parties' respective responsibilities for the procurement and purchase of merchandise intended for use or resale at the Company's stores, as well as the parties' respective remuneration for warehousing and procurement/purchasing activities. In consideration for the services it provides under the agreement, C&S will be paid an annual fee and will have incentive income opportunities based upon A&P's cost savings and increases in retail sales volume.

On May 7, 2008, the 4,657,378 Series A warrants, scheduled to expire on June 9, 2008, were exercised by Yucaipa Corporate Initiatives Fund I, L.P., Yucaipa American Alliance Fund I, L.P. and Yucaipa American Alliance (Parallel) Fund I, L.P. Our Company opted to settle the Series A warrants in cash totaling \$45.7 million rather than issuing additional common shares.

OPERATING RESULTS

A&P's transformation and operating improvement continued moving forward in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. In addition, ongoing strategic, operating, merchandising, store development and cost control initiatives remained underway.

Our Company concentrated on effectively completing the comprehensive Pathmark integration plan, as many of the milestones set thus far were achieved. The integration provides our Company with the lead market share position in the Northeast, while also significantly increasing the combined Company's share in the greater Philadelphia area. Additionally, events to achieve a significant portion of the anticipated \$150 million integration synergies have been finalized.

In late June, our Company announced another critical phase in our strategic transformation mission. As part of our long-standing commitment to improve market share, sales and sustainable profitability, the majority of SuperFresh store locations in the Philadelphia market will be converted to the recently premiered Price Impact format under the Pathmark Sav-A-Center banner. These conversions will take place over the next year and focus on providing customers with an improved value proposition and a one-stop-shopping experience. As such, the majority of the format conversions in this area will be to the new Pathmark Sav-A-Center Price Impact format. Further, the existing Pathmark stores in this market will also be upgraded to the new Price Impact format. A number of SuperFresh locations will remain and retain the Fresh format with significant upgrades.

With integration of Pathmark completed and conversion plans underway in the Greater Philadelphia market, A&P now has:

36

- Decisive market share leadership in metropolitan New York and New Jersey, and an improved outlook for the greater share in our Philadelphia markets.
- o A comprehensive plan in place to achieve all identified synergy savings through consolidation of the Pathmark business.
- o An improved cost model and solid financial and investment platform.

Alongside the conclusion of the strategic transformation, we maintained the ongoing improvement of operating and merchandising execution, which combined with the growing impact of our new Fresh remodels, to drive continued, strong year-over-year sales improvement in our Company's Northeast operations.

Accordingly, ongoing improvement from core operations was driven by the continued sales improvement in those markets, more consistent operating discipline and cost controls, and positive results in our Discount operations.

During the first quarter, we completed the remodel of A&P Fresh in Holmdel, New Jersey to the updated Fresh format, and began remodeling additional stores. We continue to experience increased volume and customer traffic in our remodeled stores, especially in our Fresh categories.

Our Company also debuted the new Price Impact format in our Irvington and Edison Pathmark's with much positive feedback. Both stores have shown significant increased sales and customer traffic.

The Discount operations again returned sound results, as they provided customers in certain markets with an excellent value alternative. In combination with the mainstream Fresh stores and Gourmet concept that continued to evolve in New York, this development stream continues to advance the multi-tier marketing strategy initiated in 2005.

In summary, strategic accomplishments for the last quarter include the following:

- o Debuted the new Price Impact format in two Pathmark locations
- o Completed one Fresh remodel
- o Continued strong sales trends in core Northeast operating markets
- o Maintained earnings momentum in our Northeast operations
- o Improved Pathmark sales trends
- o Improved contribution from Discount and Gourmet operations.
- o Completed comprehensive Pathmark integration strategy

OUTLOOK

Management's objectives for the remainder of fiscal 2008 are to progress further toward operating profitability in the existing core Northeast business by: continuing operating and merchandising

37

improvements behind established strategies; maintaining cost control and reduction disciplines throughout the business; and ensuring the continuity of Pathmark store operations, with emphasis on customer communication and retention.

Chief among the pre-existing corporate and retail strategies in place are the ongoing improvement of merchandising and operating performance, the execution of capital improvement projects for maximum return, and general adherence to cost control disciplines.

Key elements are:

- o Continue development of merchandising, promotion and pricing strategies to drive profitable sales growth.
- o Execute core market capital plan for conversion of conventional locations to fresh, price impact or discount formats, continue to drive gourmet format development.
- o Ongoing disposition of closed store leaseholds.

The comprehensive plan for the integration of Pathmark operations is designed to achieve:

- o Continuity of all retail operations during integration process.
- o Effective execution of the new price impact format in designated locations.
- O Achievement of significant synergies identified as result of merging the two businesses.
- O Consumer communication regarding the continuation of both the A&P-operated and Pathmark banners and store formats, and related marketing and promotional efforts.

Overall, we will continue to reflect both continuity and change, as management focuses on sustaining the improvement of our operations — and executing a seamless transition of Pathmark operations into the Company, to maintain retail continuity and ensure the capture of all identified financial synergies as scheduled within the first 18 to 24 months of the acquisition.

Various factors could cause us to fail to achieve these goals. These include, among others, the following:

Our retail food business and the grocery retailing industry continues to experience fierce competition from mass merchandisers, warehouse clubs, drug stores, convenience stores, discount merchandisers, dollar stores, restaurants, other retail chains, nontraditional competitors and emerging alternative formats in the markets where we have retail operations. Competition with these outlets is based on price, store location, advertising and promotion, product mix, quality and service. Some of these competitors may have greater financial resources, lower merchandise acquisition costs and lower operating expenses than we do, and we may be unable to compete successfully in the future. Price-based competition has also, from time to time, adversely affected our operating margins. Competitors' greater financial strengths enable them to participate in aggressive pricing strategies selling inventory below costs to drive overall increased sales. Our continued success is dependent upon our ability to effectively compete in this industry and to reduce operating expenses, including managing health care and pension costs contained in our collective bargaining agreements. The competitive practices and pricing in the food industry

38

generally and particularly in our principal markets may cause us to reduce our prices in order to gain or maintain our market share of sales, thus reducing margins.

- Our in-store pharmacy business is also subject to intense competition. In particular, an adverse trend for drug retailing has been significant growth in mail-order and internet-based prescription processors. Pharmacies are exposed to risks inherent in the packaging and distribution of pharmaceuticals and other healthcare products. In addition, the conversion of various prescription drugs to over-the-counter medications, the withdrawal of certain drugs from the market and changes in third party reimbursement levels for prescription drugs, including changes in Medicare Part D or state Medicaid programs, may have a material adverse effect on our business. Failure to properly adhere to Federal, State and local government rules and regulations, applicable Medicare and Medicaid regulations could result in the imposition of civil as well as criminal penalties.
- The retail food and food distribution industries, and the operation of our businesses, specifically in the New York -- New Jersey and Philadelphia regions, are sensitive to a number of economic conditions and other factors such as (i.) food price deflation or inflation, (ii.) softness in local and national economies, (iii.) increases in commodity prices, (iv.) the availability of favorable credit and trade terms, (v.) changes in business plans, operations, results and prospects, (vi.) potential delays in the development, construction or start-up of planned projects, and (vii.) other economic conditions that may affect consumer buying habits. Any one or more of these economic conditions can affect our retail sales, the demand for products we distribute to our retail customers, our operating costs and other aspects of our business.

- Acts of war, threats of terror, acts of terror or other criminal activity directed at the grocery or drug store industry, the transportation industry, or computer or communications systems, could increase security costs, adversely affect our operations, or impact consumer behavior and spending as well as customer orders. Other events that give rise to actual or potential food contamination, drug contamination, or food-borne illness could have an adverse effect on our operating results.
- o We could be adversely affected if consumers lose confidence in the safety and quality of the food supply chain. Adverse publicity about these types of concerns, whether or not valid, could discourage consumers from buying products in our stores. The real or perceived sale of contaminated food products by us could result in a loss of consumer confidence and product liability claims, which could have a material adverse effect on our sales and operations.
- Our operations subject us to various laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous materials and the cleanup of contaminated sites. Under some environmental laws, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, also known as CERCLA or the Superfund law, and similar state statues, responsibility for the entire cost of cleanup of a contaminated site can be imposed upon any current or former site owners or operators, or upon any party who sent waste to the site, regardless of the lawfulness of the original activities that led to the contamination. From time to time we have been named as one of many potentially responsible parties at Superfund sites, although our share of liability has typically been de minimis. Although we believe that we are currently in substantial compliance with applicable environmental requirements, future developments such as more aggressive enforcement policies, new laws or discoveries of unknown conditions may require expenditures that may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

39

- Our capital expenditures could differ from our estimate if development and remodel costs vary from those budgeted, or if performance varies significantly from expectations or if we are unsuccessful in acquiring suitable sites for new stores.
- Our ability to achieve our profit goals will be affected by (i.) our success in executing category management and purchasing programs that we have underway, which are designed to improve our gross margins and reduce product costs while making our product selection more attractive to consumers, (ii.) our ability to achieve productivity improvements and reduce shrink in our stores, (iii.) our success in generating efficiencies in our supporting activities, and (iv.) our ability to eliminate or maintain a minimum level of supply and/or quality control problems with our vendors.
- The majority of our employees are members of labor unions. While we believe that our relationships with union leaderships and our employees are satisfactory, we operate under collective bargaining agreements which periodically must be renegotiated. In the coming year, we have several contracts expiring and under negotiation. In each of these negotiations, rising health care and pension costs will be an important issue, as will the nature and structure of work rules. We are hopeful, but cannot be certain, that we can reach satisfactory agreements without work stoppages

in these markets. However, the actual terms of the renegotiated collective bargaining agreements, our future relationships with our employees and/or a prolonged work stoppage affecting a substantial number of stores could have a material effect on our results.

- The amount of contributions made to our pension and multi-employer plans will be affected by the performance of investments made by the plans and the extent to which trustees of the plans reduce the costs of future service benefits.
- Our Company is currently required to acquire a majority of our saleable inventory from one supplier, C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc. Although there are a limited number of distributors that can supply our stores, we believe that other suppliers could provide similar product on reasonable terms. However, a change in suppliers could cause a delay in distribution and a possible loss of sales, which would affect operating results adversely.
- o We have estimated our exposure to claims, administrative proceedings and litigation and believe we have made adequate provisions for them, where appropriate. Unexpected outcomes in both the costs and effects of these matters could result in an adverse effect on our earnings.
- The success of the merger with Pathmark will depend, in part, on the combined company's ability to realize the anticipated benefits from combining the businesses of A&P and Pathmark, including, anticipated annual integration synergies within two years, through cost reductions in overhead, greater efficiencies, increased utilization of support facilities and the adoption of mutual best practices between the two companies. These integration matters could have a material adverse effect on our business.
- Following the closing of the acquisition of Pathmark, Tengelmann, A&P's former majority stockholder, owned beneficially and of record a substantial percentage of our common stock on a fully diluted basis. As a result of this equity ownership and our stockholder agreement with Tengelmann, Tengelmann has the power to significantly influence the results of stockholder votes and the election of our board of directors, as well as transactions involving a potential change of control of our Company. Tengelmann may support strategies and directions for our Company which are in its best interests but which are opposed to other stockholder interests.

40

Our substantial indebtedness could impair our financial condition and our ability to fulfill our debt obligations. Our indebtedness could make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations, which could in turn result in an event of default on our obligations, require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to debt service payments, thereby reducing the availability of cash for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate purposes or other purposes, impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate purposes or other purposes, diminish our ability to withstand a downturn in our business, the industry in which we operate or the economy generally, limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate, and place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to certain competitors that have proportionately less debt. Our New Credit Agreement ("Credit Agreement") contains restrictive covenants customary for facilities of that type which limit our ability to incur additional debt, pay dividends, grant additional liens, make investments and take other actions. These restrictions may

limit our flexibility to undertake future financings and take other actions. If we are unable to meet our debt service obligations, we could be forced to restructure or refinance our indebtedness, seek additional equity capital or sell assets. We may be unable to obtain financing or sell assets on satisfactory terms, or at all. In addition, our Credit Agreement bears interest at a variable rate. If market interest rates increase, such variable-rate debt will have higher debt service requirements, which could adversely affect our cash flow. While we may enter into agreements limiting our exposure to higher interest rates, any such agreements may not offer complete protection from this risk.

- o We are the primary obligor for a significant amount of closed stores and warehouses under long-term leases. Our ability to sublet or assign these leases depends on the economic conditions of the real estate markets in which these leases are located. We have estimated our obligation under these leases, net of expected subleases and we have reserved for them, where appropriate. Unexpected changes in the marketplace or with individual sublessors could result in an adverse effect on our cash flow and earnings.
- o Fluctuating fuel costs may adversely affect our operating costs since we incur the cost of fuel in connection with the transportation of goods from our warehouse and distribution facilities to our stores. In addition, operations at our stores are sensitive to rising utility fuel costs due to the amount of electricity and gas required to operate our stores. We may not be able to recover these rising utility and fuel costs through increased prices charged to our customers. Our profitability is particularly sensitive to the cost of oil. Oil prices directly affect our product transportation costs and fuel costs due to the amount of electricity and gas required to operate our stores as well as our utility and petroleum-based supply costs; including plastic bags for example.

41

- We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to zoning, land use, environmental protection, work place safety, public health, community right-to-know, beer and wine sales, pharmaceutical sales and gasoline station operations. A number of states and local jurisdictions regulate the licensing of supermarkets, including beer and wine license grants. In addition, under certain local regulations, we are prohibited from selling beer and wine in certain of our stores. Employers are also subject to laws governing their relationship with employees, including minimum wage requirements, overtime, working conditions, disabled access and work permit requirements. Compliance with these laws could reduce the revenue and profitability of our supermarkets and could otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, any changes in these laws or regulations could significantly increase our compliance costs and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.
- o We have large, complex information technology systems that are important to business operations. We could encounter difficulties developing new systems and encounter difficulties maintaining, upgrading or securing our existing systems. Such difficulties could lead to significant expenses or losses due to disruption in our business operations.
- Our articles of incorporation permit our board of directors to issue preferred shares without first obtaining stockholder approval. If we issued preferred shares, these additional securities may have dividend or liquidation preferences senior to our common stock. If we issue convertible preferred shares, a subsequent conversion may dilute the current common

stockholders' interest. Issuance of such preferred stock could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Other factors and assumptions not identified above could also cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking information. Accordingly, actual events and results may vary significantly from those included in or contemplated or implied by forward-looking statements made by us or our representatives.

RESULTS OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS AND LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our consolidated financial information presents the results related to our operations of discontinued businesses separate from the results of our continuing operations. The discussion and analysis that follows focus on continuing operations. All amounts are in millions, except share and per share amounts.

16 WEEKS ENDED JUNE 14, 2008 COMPARED TO THE 16 WEEKS ENDED JUNE 16, 2007

OVERALL

Sales for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 were \$2,922.7 million, compared with \$1,679.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 due primarily to the acquisition of Pathmark; comparable store sales, which includes stores that have been in operation for two full fiscal years and replacement stores, increased 3.2%. Income from continuing operations decreased from \$61.4 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 to \$3.8 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 primarily due to the absence of the gain on sale of Metro, Inc. of \$78.4 million. Loss from discontinued operations of \$126.5 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 decreased to a loss from discontinued operations of \$1.5 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 due to the absence of the sale and closure of stores in the Midwest and the sale of our stores in the Greater New Orleans area. Net income per share - basic for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 was \$0.05 and net loss per share - diluted for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 was \$0.51, compared to net loss per share - basic & diluted of \$1.56 and \$1.54, respectively, for the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

42

	16 Weeks 16 Weeks Ended Ended June 14, 2008 June 16, 2007		Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Sales	\$ 2,922.7	\$ 1,679.2	\$ 1,243.5	
Increase in comparable store sales	3.2%	1.0%	NA	
Income from continuing operations	3.8	61.4	(57.6)	
Loss from discontinued operations	(1.5)	(126.5)	125.0	
Net income (loss)	2.2	(65.1)	67.3	
Net income (loss) per share - basic	0.05	(1.56)	1.61	
Net loss per share - diluted	(0.51)	(1.54)	1.03	

Average weekly sales per supermarket were approximately \$429,900 for the first

quarter of fiscal 2008 versus \$348,700 for the corresponding period of the prior year, an increase of 23.3% primarily due to the acquisition of Pathmark's larger supermarkets in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

SALES

	For th	ne 16 weeks
	June 14, 20)08 Ju
North	\$ 596,22	20 \$
Central	407,24	:1
South	496,91	. 6
Pathmark	1,254,19	5
Gourmet	94,47	9
Other	73,61	. 4
Investment in Metro, Inc.		_
Total sales	\$ 2,922,66	 55 \$
	=========	

Sales increased from \$1,679.2 million for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 to \$2,922.7 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 primarily due to the acquisition of Pathmark in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 contributing \$1,254.2 million in sales as well as an increase in comparable stores sales of \$48.1 million, offset by the absence of sales from store closures of \$64.8 million. The increases in sales in our North and Gourmet segments of \$11.4 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, are primarily due to comparable store sales increases. Central sales decreased \$25.6 million or 5.9% as a result of store closures from the beginning of the second quarter of fiscal 2007 through the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Sales in our South segment decreased by \$3.9 million or 0.8%, which is primarily the result of store closures. The sales increase of \$5.8 million, or 8.6%, in our Other segment, representing Discount and Liquor, is primarily due to an increase in comparable store sales driven by our remodel program and our acquisition of Best Cellars, offset partially by store closures. The decrease in sales of \$4.8 million, or 100%, in our Metro Segment is due to the expiration of our information technology agreement with Metro, Inc. during fiscal 2007.

GROSS MARGIN

Gross margin of \$883.6 million decreased 92 basis points as a percentage of sales to 30.23% for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 from gross margin of \$523.0 million or 31.15% for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 driven primarily by the inclusion of Pathmark in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 (64 basis points) and the expiration of our information technology agreement with Metro, Inc. (28 basis points.) Before considering the impact of the Pathmark acquisition, we achieved gross margin of \$515.4 million or 30.89% as a

43

percentage of sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 which is comparable to results from the first quarter of fiscal 2007, excluding the margin related to our information technology agreement with Metro, Inc.

The following table details the dollar impact of items affecting the gross

margin dollar increase (decrease) from the first quarter of fiscal 2007 to the first quarter of fiscal 2008 (in millions):

	Sal	es Volume	Gross N	Margin Rate	Total
Total Company	\$	387.3	\$	(26.7)	\$ 360.6

STORE OPERATING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE

The following table presents store operating, general and administrative expense ("SG&A"), in dollars and as a percentage of sales for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 compared to the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007. SG&A expense was \$881.5 million or 30.16% for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to \$529.4 million or 31.52% for the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The increase in SG&A was primarily related to the acquisition of Pathmark of \$340.9 million.

Included in SG&A for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 were certain charges as follows:

- o net losses on real estate activity of \$1.1 million (4 basis points); and
- Pathmark acquisition related costs of \$14.0 million (48 basis points).

Partially offset by:

o Reversal of net restructuring activity of \$0.2 million (1 basis point).

Included in SG&A for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 were certain charges as follows:

- o costs relating to the closing of our owned warehouses in Edison, New Jersey and Bronx, New York of \$0.8 million (5 basis points) that were not sold as part of the sale of our U.S. distribution operations and some warehouse facilities and related assets to C&S Wholesale Grocers as discussed in Note 9 Asset Disposition Initiatives;
- o net losses on real estate activity of \$2.2 million (14 basis points); and
- o Pathmark acquisition related costs of \$0.4 million (3 basis points).

Excluding the items listed above, SG&A as a percentage of sales decreased by 171 basis points during the first quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2007 primarily due to the acquisition of Pathmark which contributed higher sales productivity.

During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 and June 16, 2007, we recorded impairment losses on long-lived assets for impairments due to closure or conversion of stores in the normal course of business of 0.8 million and 0.5 million, respectively.

The effects of changes in estimates of useful lives were not material to ongoing depreciation expense. If current operating levels do not improve, there may be a need to take further actions which may result in additional future impairments on long-lived assets, including the potential for impairment of assets that are held and used.

	For the 16 weeks ended				
	June	June 14, 2008		June 16, 2007	
North	\$	28 , 571	\$	27 , 951	
Central		5 , 879		6,549	
South		(3,689)		(5 , 877)	
Pathmark		25,734			
Gourmet		7,709		5 , 728	
Other		446		(1,301)	
Total segment income	\$	64 , 650	\$	33 , 050	

Segment income increased \$31.6 million from \$33.1 million for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 to \$64.7 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008. First quarter of fiscal 2008 results include segment income of \$25.7 million from the Pathmark business acquired in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. Our North segment experienced an increase in segment income of \$0.6 million from a combination of increased sales of \$11.4 million and decreased costs, mainly in labor and administration. Segment income declined \$0.7 million in our Central segment as a result of a decrease in sales of \$25.6 million due to the divestiture of 5 stores in fiscal 2007. Our South segment results improved from a Segment loss of \$5.9 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2007 to a loss of \$3.7 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. This improvement is primarily due to the closure of certain under performing stores. Segment income from our Gourmet business improved by \$2.0 million primarily as a result of an improved gross margin rate partially offset by additional operating and administrative costs. The increase in segment income of \$1.7 million in our Other segment, representing Discount and Liquor, is primarily due to improving sales and margin rates in both businesses. Refer to Note 15 - Operating Segments for further discussion of our reportable operating segments.

GAIN ON SALE OF METRO, INC.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we sold 6,350,000 shares of our holding in Metro, Inc. resulting in a gain of \$78.4 million. There were no such gains during the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense of \$45.9 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 increased from the prior year of \$19.7 million due primarily to the higher level of indebtedness related to our acquisition of Pathmark including the issuance of \$165 million 5.125% convertible senior notes due 2011 and \$255 million 6.75% convertible senior notes due 2012 resulting in an increase in interest expense of \$11.6 million (\$3.7 million of which were non-cash costs), increased borrowings on our Line of Credit and Credit Agreement of \$5.7 million and an increase in interest expense related to Pathmark of \$7.4 million primarily due to interest on capital leases.

NONOPERATING INCOME

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we recorded \$48.6 million in fair value

adjustments for (i.) our Series A and Series B warrants acquired in connection with our purchase of Pathmark, (ii.) our conversion feature of the 5.125% convertible senior notes and the 6.75% convertible senior notes, and (iii.) our financing warrants recorded in connection with the issuance of our convertible senior notes. There were no such gains during the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

45

EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF METRO, INC.

We used the equity method of accounting to account for our investment in Metro, Inc., through March 13, 2007, because we exerted significant influence over substantive operating decisions made by Metro, Inc. through our membership on Metro, Inc.'s Board of Directors and its committees and through an information technology services agreement with Metro, Inc. During the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007, we recorded \$7.9 million in equity earnings relating to our equity investment in Metro, Inc.

During fiscal 2007, we sold all of our holdings in Metro, Inc. Thus, there were no such equity earnings during the first quarter ended June 14, 2008.

INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes from continuing operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 was \$1.4 million compared to \$3.1 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2007. Consistent with prior year, we continue to record a valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.

The effective tax rate on continuing operations of 26.9% for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 varied from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the recording of state and local income taxes, recording additional valuation allowance offset by a permanent difference related to nonoperating income from the fair value adjustments related to the conversion features, financing warrants and Series B warrants.

The effective tax rate on continuing operations of 4.9% for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 varied from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the recording of state and local income taxes and a reduction of our valuation allowance as a result of taxes provided on other comprehensive income and cumulative translation adjustments.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2002 and in the early part of the first quarter of fiscal 2003, we decided to sell our operations located in Northern New England and Wisconsin, as well as our Eight O'Clock Coffee business. These asset sales are now complete. However, our Company continues to pay occupancy costs for operating leases on closed locations.

On April 24, 2007, based upon unsatisfactory operating trends and the need to devote resources to our expanding Northeast core business, our Company announced negotiations for the sale of our non-core stores within our Midwest operations, including inventory related to these stores. Our Company ceased sales operations in all stores as of July 7, 2007. Planned sale transactions for these stores have been completed.

On May 30, 2007, our Company announced advanced negotiation for the sale of our

non-core stores located within the Greater New Orleans area, including inventory related to these stores. Our Company ceased sales operations in all stores not sold as of November 1, 2007. Planned sale transactions for these stores have been completed.

The loss from operations of discontinued businesses, net of tax, for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 of \$4.2 million decreased from a loss from operations of discontinued businesses, net of tax, of \$79.8 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 primarily due to a decrease in vacancy related costs that were recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 due to the closure of stores in the Midwest and the Greater New Orleans area. The gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of tax, for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 of \$2.6 million relates to

46

the sale of our Eight O'Clock Coffee business in fiscal 2003. This gain was a result of the settlement of a contingent note and the value and payment was based upon certain elements of the future performance of the Eight O'Clock Coffee business and was not originally recorded in the gain during fiscal 2003. The loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net of tax, for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 of \$46.8 million is primarily due to impairment losses recorded on the property, plant and equipment in the Midwest and the Greater New Orleans area as we recorded the assets' fair market value based upon the proceeds received less costs to sell.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

CASH FLOWS

The following table presents excerpts from our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

	16 weeks end		
	Jur	ne 14, 2008	
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$	(5,415)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	\$	(25,670)	
Net cash provided by (used in) by financing activities	\$	59,409	

Net cash used in operating activities of \$5.4 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 primarily reflected our net income of \$2.2 million, adjusted for non-cash charges for (i.) depreciation and amortization of \$80.0 million, (ii.) other share based awards of \$4.8 million, partially offset by, (iii.) the reversal of charges related to our asset disposition initiatives of \$1.8 million, (iv.) gain on disposal of discontinued operations of \$2.6 million, and (v.) nonoperating income related to marked to market adjustments for financial instruments of \$48.6 million. Further, cash was provided by an increase in

accounts payable of \$47.0 million mainly due to the timing of payments partially offset by a decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$14.7 million, an increase in other assets of \$6.4 million, a decrease in accrued salaries, wages and benefits, and taxes of \$27.6 million, a decrease in other non-current liabilities of \$35.9 million primarily due to payments on closed locations. Refer to Working Capital below for discussion of changes in working capital items. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$27.6 million for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 primarily reflected our net loss of \$65.1 million, adjusted for non-cash charges for (i.) depreciation and amortization of \$56.3 million, and (ii.) losses on the disposal of owned property of \$1.2 million, (iii.) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, primarily related to our Midwest operations, of \$46.8 million, partially offset by (iv.) our equity in earnings of Metro, Inc. of \$7.9 million, and (v.) the gain on sale of shares of Metro, Inc. of \$78.4 million. Further, cash was provided by a decrease in accounts receivable of \$27.9 million, a decrease in inventories of \$29.0 million partially offset by an increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$7.2 million, an increase in other assets of \$8.4 million, a decrease in accounts payable of \$11.9 million and a decrease in other accruals of \$6.8 million mainly due to timing of payments.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$25.7 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 primarily reflected property expenditures totaling \$29.7 million, which included 1 major remodel, 1 major enlargement and 3 minor remodels partially offset by proceeds from disposal of property of \$3.1 million. For fiscal 2008, we have planned capital expenditures of approximately \$200 million, which relate primarily to enlarging or remodeling supermarkets and converting supermarkets to more optimal formats. Net cash provided by investing activities of \$32.9 million for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 primarily reflected

47

cash received from the sale of shares of Metro, Inc. of \$203.5 million, and net sales of marketable securities of \$20.4 million partially offset by an increase in restricted cash of \$142.9 million and property expenditures totaling \$50.9 million, which included 2 new supermarkets, 4 major remodels and 3 minor remodels.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$59.4 million for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008 primarily reflected net proceeds under our revolving lines of credit of \$81.1 million, net proceeds under line of credit of \$5.5 million, an increase in book overdrafts of \$17.2 million, partially offset by the settlement of Series A warrants of \$45.7 million. Net cash used in financing activities of \$52.5 million for the 16 weeks ended June 16, 2007 primarily reflected principal payments on long term borrowings of \$31.9 million and net principal payments on revolving lines of credit of \$30.0 million, partially offset by proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$5.6 million.

We operate under an annual operating plan which is reviewed and approved by our Board of Directors and incorporates the specific operating initiatives we expect to pursue and the anticipated financial results of our Company. Our plan for fiscal 2008 at this time has been approved and we believe that our present cash resources, including invested cash on hand, available borrowings from our Credit Agreement and other sources, are sufficient to meet our needs.

Profitability, cash flow, asset sale proceeds and timing can be impacted by certain external factors such as unfavorable economic conditions, competition, labor relations and fuel and utility costs which could have a significant impact on cash generation. If our profitability and cash flow do not improve in line with our plans or if the taxing authorities do not affirm the adequacy of our Company's Domestic Reinvestment Plan, we anticipate that we would be able to

modify the operating plan in order to ensure that we have appropriate resources.

WORKING CAPITAL

We had working capital of \$149.1 million at June 14, 2008 compared to working capital of \$117.1 million at February 23, 2008. We had cash and cash equivalents aggregating \$129.1 million at June 14, 2008 compared to \$100.7 million at February 23, 2008. The increase in working capital was attributable primarily to the following:

- o An increase in cash and cash equivalents as detailed in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows;
- o A decrease in current portion of other financial liabilities due to the exercise of Series A warrants by Yucaipa Corporate Initiatives Fund I, L.P., Yucaipa American Alliance Fund I, L.P. and Yucaipa American Alliance (Parallel) Fund I, L.P., and
- o A decrease in accrued salaries, wages and benefits primarily due to payments made in connection with our annual incentive programs.

Partially offset by the following:

o An increase in accounts payable (inclusive of book overdrafts) due to the timing of payments.

48

LINE OF CREDIT

On January 16, 2008, we entered into a secured line of credit agreement with Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C. This agreement enables us to borrow funds on a revolving basis subject to invested cash balances. Each borrowing bears interest at a rate per annum equal to the BBA Libor Daily Floating Rate plus 0.10%. At June 14, 2008 and February 23, 2008, we had borrowings outstanding under this line of credit agreement of \$17.2 million and \$11.6 million, respectively. This agreement expires December 31, 2008. These loans are collateralized by a first priority perfected security interest in our ownership interest in the Columbia Fund. See Note 5 - Cash, Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash and Restricted Marketable Securities, for further discussion on the Columbia Fund.

CREDIT AGREEMENT

On December 3, 2007, the 2005 Revolving Credit Agreement and Letter of Credit Agreement were refinanced pursuant to a new \$675 million Credit Agreement ("Credit Agreement"), with Banc of America Securities LLC, Bank of America, N.A. Subject to borrowing base requirements, the Credit Agreement provides for a five-year term loan of \$82.9 million and a five-year revolving credit facility of \$592.1 million enabling us to borrow funds and issue letters of credit on a revolving basis. The Credit Agreement includes a \$100 million accordion feature which gives us the ability to increase commitments from \$675 million to \$775 million. The Credit Agreement is collateralized by all assets of the company, including, but not limited to, inventory, certain accounts receivable, pharmacy scripts, owned real estate and certain Pathmark leaseholds. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest based on LIBOR or Prime interest rate pricing. Subject to certain conditions, we are permitted to pay cumulative cash dividends on common shares as well as make bond repurchases. At June 14, 2008, there were \$251.0 million of loans and \$236.4 million in letters of credit outstanding under this agreement. As of June 14, 2008, after reducing availability for borrowing base requirements, we had \$178.4 million available under the Credit Agreement.

On December 27, 2007, in order to facilitate the syndication of the Credit Agreement under current market conditions, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, whereby a portion of the revolving commitment was converted into a \$50 million term loan tranche which was collateralized by certain real estate assets at an increased margin rate. This agreement expires in December 2012.

SERIES A AND SERIES B WARRANTS

As part of the acquisition of Pathmark on December 3, 2007, we issued 4,657,378 and 6,965,858 roll-over stock warrants in exchange for Pathmark's 2005 Series A and Series B warrants, respectively. The number of warrants issued was computed based on the conversion factor of 0.46296. The Series A warrants were exercisable at \$18.36 and expired on June 9, 2008 and the Series B warrants are exercisable at \$32.40 and expire on June 9, 2015. These warrants were originally valued using the price of A&P common stock of \$30.05 per common share, the quoted market price of A&P common stock on November 30, 2007, the last trading day before the transaction closing date. The Tengelmann stockholders have the right to approve any issuance of common stock under these warrants upon exercise (assuming Tengelmann's outstanding interest is at least 25% and subject to liquidity impairments defined within the Tengelmann Stockholder Agreement). In addition, Tengelmann has the ability to exercise a "Put Right" whereby it has the ability to require A&P to purchase A&P stock held by Tengelmann to settle these warrants. Based on the rights provided to Tengelmann, A&P does not have sole discretion to determine whether the payment upon exercise of these warrants will be settled in cash or through issuance of an equivalent portion of A&P shares. Therefore, these warrants are recorded as liabilities and marked-to-market each reporting period based on A&P's current stock price.

49

On May 7, 2008, the 4,657,378 Series A warrants were exercised by Yucaipa Corporate Initiatives Fund I, L.P., Yucaipa American Alliance Fund I, L.P. and Yucaipa American Alliance (Parallel) Fund I, L.P. We opted to settle the Series A warrants in cash totaling \$45.7 million rather than issuing additional common shares.

Included in "Nonoperating Income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, is a loss of \$1.2 million for the Series A warrants through the settlement date of May 7, 2008 and a gain of \$27.1 million for the Series B warrants market value adjustment. The value of the Series B warrants were \$79.0 million as of June 14, 2008 and is included in "Other financial liabilities" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following assumptions and estimates were used in the Black-Scholes model:

Series B

Expected life
Volatility
Dividend yield range
Risk-free interest rate range

7.0 years 51.8% 0% 3.95%

PUBLIC DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Outstanding notes totaling \$590.4 million at June 14, 2008 consisted of \$12.8

million of 9.125% Senior Notes due December 15, 2011, \$142.4 million of 5.125% Convertible Senior Notes due June 15, 2011, \$235.2 million of 6.75% Convertible Senior Notes due December 15, 2012 and \$200.0 million of 9.375% Notes due August 1, 2039. Interest is payable quarterly on the 9.375% Notes and semi-annually on the 9.125%, 5.125% and 6.75% Notes. The 9.375% Notes are now callable at par (\$25 per bond) and the 9.125% Notes are callable at a premium to par (103.042%). The 9.375% Notes are unsecured obligations and were issued under the terms of our senior debt securities indenture, which contains among other provisions, covenants restricting the incurrence of secured debt. The 9.375% Notes are effectively subordinate to the Credit Agreement and do not contain cross default provisions. All covenants and restrictions for the 9.125% Senior Notes have been eliminated in connection with the cash tender offer in fiscal 2005. Our notes are not guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the outstanding principal amount of our 7.75% Notes of \$31.9 million due April 15, 2007 matured and was paid in full.

On December 18, 2007, we completed a public offering and issued \$165 million 5.125% convertible senior notes due 2011 and \$255 million 6.75% convertible senior notes due 2012. The 2011 notes are not redeemable at our option at any time. The 2012 notes are redeemable at our option on or after December 15, 2010, at a redemption price of 102.70% and on or after December 15, 2011, at a redemption price of 101.35%. The initial conversion price of the 2011 notes is \$36.40 representing a 30.0% premium to the offering price of \$28.00 and the initial conversion price of the 2012 notes is \$37.80 representing a 35.0% premium to the offering price of \$28.00 at maturity, and at our option, the notes are convertible into shares of our stock, cash, or a combination of stock and cash. Concurrent with this offering, we entered into call options and financing warrant transactions with financial institutions that are affiliates of the underwriters of the notes to effectively increase the conversion price of these notes and to reduce the potential dilution upon future conversion. Conversion prices were effectively increased to \$46.20 or a 65% premium and \$49.00 or a 75% premium for the 2011 and 2012 notes, respectively.

As of December 18, 2007, our Company did not have sufficient authorized shares to provide for all potential issuances of common stock. Therefore, in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative

50

Instruments and Hedging Activities", our Company accounted for the conversion features as freestanding instruments. The notes were recorded with a discount equal to the value of the conversion features at the transaction date and will be accreted to the par value of the notes over the life of the notes. The value of the conversion features were determined utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model, recorded as a long term liability. The portion of the conversion feature for which there are not available shares is marked to market each balance sheet date. During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, the gain that was recorded in "Nonoperating Income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the conversion features of the 5.125% and 6.75% convertible senior notes was \$11.1 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. The fair value of the conversion features classified as a liability as of June 14, 2008 was \$8.5 million and nil for the 5.125% and 6.75% convertible notes, respectively. The following assumptions and estimates were used in the Black-Scholes model:

For the 16 weeks ended
June 14, 2008

Expected life
Volatility
Dividend yield range
Risk-free interest rate range

3.0 years - 4.5 years 33.0% 0% 3.38% - 3.73%

SHARE LENDING AGREEMENTS

We have entered into share lending agreements, dated December 12, 2007, with certain financial institutions, under which we have agreed to loan up to 11,278,988 shares of our common stock (subject to certain adjustments set forth in the share lending agreements). These borrowed shares must be returned to us no later than December 15, 2012 or sooner if certain conditions are met. If an event of default should occur under the stock lending agreement and a legal obstacle exists that prevents the Borrower from returning the shares, the Borrower shall, upon written request of our Company, pay our Company, using available funds, in lieu of the delivery of loaned shares, to settle its obligation.

These financial institutions will sell the "borrowed shares" to investors to facilitate hedging transactions relating to the issuance of our 5.125% and 6.75% Convertible Notes. Pursuant to these agreements, we loaned 8,134,002 shares of our stock of which 6,300,752 shares were sold to the public on December 18, 2007 in a public offering. We did not receive any proceeds from the sale of the borrowed shares. We received a nominal lending fee from the financial institutions pursuant to the share lending agreements.

Any shares we loan will be issued and outstanding. Investors that purchase borrowed shares will be entitled to the same voting and dividend rights as any other holders of our common stock; however, the financial institutions will not have such rights pursuant to the share lending agreements. The obligation of the financial institutions to return the borrowed shares has been accounted for as a prepaid forward contract and, accordingly, shares underlying this contract are removed from the computation of basic and dilutive earnings per share. On a net basis, this transaction will have no impact on earnings per share.

51

CALL OPTION AND FINANCING WARRANT

Concurrent with our issuance of the convertible senior notes, we entered into call option and financing warrant transactions with financial institutions that are affiliates of the underwriters to reduce the potential dilution upon future conversion of the notes and to effectively increase the conversion price of the notes. The call options allow our Company to purchase common shares at \$36.40 with respect to the 5.125% notes and \$37.80 with respect to the 6.75% notes. These purchased shares would be used to satisfy the conversion of the convertible senior notes. The call options are accounted for as free standing derivatives and \$73.5 million is recorded as equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The financing warrants allow holders to purchase common shares at \$46.20 with respect to the 5.125% notes and \$49.00 with respect to the 6.75% notes. The financing warrants were valued at \$36.8 million at the issuance date. At the issuance date our Company did not have sufficient authorized shares to provide all potential issuances of common stock. Therefore, the financing warrants are accounted for as freestanding derivatives, required to be settled in cash until sufficient shares are available and are recorded as a long-term liability in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The financing warrants are marked to market each reporting period utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model and are valued

at \$24.7 million as of June 14, 2008. During the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008, we recorded a gain of \$6.5 million included in "Nonoperating income" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The following assumptions and estimates were used in the Black-Scholes model:

> For the 16 weeks ended June 14, 2008

Expected life Volatility Dividend yield range Risk-free interest rate range 3.38% - 3.73%

3.3 years - 4.8 years 33.0% 0%

OTHER

We are the guarantor of a loan of \$1.3 million related to a shopping center, which will expire in 2011.

In the normal course of business, we have assigned to third parties various leases related to former operating stores (the "Assigned Leases"). When the Assigned Leases were assigned, we generally remained secondarily liable with respect to these lease obligations. As such, if any of the assignees were to become unable to continue making payments under the Assigned Leases, we could be required to assume the lease obligation. As of June 14, 2008, 233 Assigned Leases remain in place. Assuming that each respective assignee became unable to continue to make payments under an Assigned Lease, an event we believe to be remote, we estimate our maximum potential obligation with respect to the Assigned Leases to be approximately \$722.2 million, which could be partially or totally offset by reassigning or subletting such leases.

Our existing senior debt rating was Caal with stable outlook with Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") as of June 14, 2008. Our existing senior debt rating was B with positive outlook and was removed from CreditWatch with Standard & Poor's Ratings Group ("S&P") as of June 14, 2008. Also S&P assigned B- ratings to our \$165 million 5.125% convertible senior notes due 2011 and our \$255 million 6.75% convertible senior notes due 2012. Moody's assigned a Caal rating to our \$165 million 5.125% convertible senior notes due 2011 and our \$255 million 6.75% convertible senior notes due 2012.

Our liquidity rating was SGL3 with Moody's as of June 14, 2008. Our recovery rating was 5 with S&P as of June 14, 2008 indicating a modest expectation of 10%-30% recovery of our senior debt to our lenders. Future rating changes could affect the availability and cost of financing to our Company.

52

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Critical accounting estimates are those accounting estimates that we believe are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles

generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Self-Insurance Reserves

Our Consolidated Balance Sheets include liabilities with respect to self-insured workers' compensation and general liability claims. We estimate the required liability of such claims on a discounted basis, utilizing an actuarial method, which is based upon various assumptions, which include, but are not limited to, our historical loss experience, projected loss development factors, actual payroll, legal costs and other data. Legal expenses incurred in connection with workers' compensation and general liability claims are charged to the specific claim to which costs pertain. The required liability is also subject to adjustment in the future based upon the changes in claims experience, including changes in the number of incidents (frequency) and changes in the ultimate cost per incident (severity).

Long-Lived Assets

We review assets in stores planned for closure or conversion for impairment upon determination that such assets will not be used for their intended useful life. The value of the assets is determined based on estimates of future cash flows. Any impairment amounts are included in SG&A in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The effects of changes in estimates of useful lives were not material to ongoing depreciation expense. If current operating levels do not improve, there may be a need to take further actions which may result in future impairments on long-lived assets, including the potential for impairment of assets that are held and used.

Closed Store and Closed Warehouse Reserves

For closed stores and warehouses that are under long-term leases, we adjust the charges originally accrued for these events for 1) interest accretion, 2) settlements on leases or sold properties, and 3) changes in estimates in future sublease rental assumptions. Net adjustments, all of which have been disclosed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, for changes have been cumulatively approximately 5% from the date of inception, with the most significant adjustments being made prior to 2000. Adjustments are predominantly due to fluctuations in the real estate market from the time the original charges are incurred until the properties were actually settled.

As of June 14, 2008, we had recorded liabilities for estimated probable obligations of \$187 million. Of this amount, \$19 million relates to stores closed in the normal course of business, \$27 million relates to stores and warehouses closed as part of the asset disposition initiatives (see Note 9 of our Consolidated Financial Statements), and \$141 million relates to stores closed as part of our discontinued operations (see Note 8 of our Consolidated Financial Statements). Due to the long-term nature of the lease commitments, it is possible that current accruals, which are based on estimates of vacancy costs and sublease income, will change in the future as economic conditions change in the real estate market; however, we are unable to estimate the impact of such changes at this time and the existing obligations are management's best estimate of these obligations at this time.

53

Conversion Feature, Financial Warrants, and Warrant Liability We have issued several financial instruments which are recorded as liabilities in our financial statements and marked to market each reporting period using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The value of these liabilities may change as

a result of changes in A&P's stock price, the remaining time until maturity, and the current interest rate.

Employee Benefit Plans

The determination of our obligation and expense for pension and other postretirement benefits is dependent, in part, on our selection of certain assumptions used by our actuaries in calculating these amounts. These assumptions include the weighted average discount rate at which obligations can be effectively settled, the anticipated rate of future increases in compensation levels, the expected long-term rate of return on assets, increases or trends in health care cost, and certain employee related factors, such as turnover, retirement age and mortality.

The discount rate is determined by taking into account the actual pattern of maturity of the benefit obligations. To generate the year-end discount rate, a single rate is developed using a yield curve which is derived from multiple high quality corporate bonds, discounting each future year's projected cash flow, and determining the equivalent single discount rate. A discount rate of 5.75% was selected for the February 23, 2008 disclosures. We use independent actuaries to assist us in determining the discount rate assumption and measuring our plans' obligations.

The rate of compensation increase is determined based upon a scale of merit and promotional increases according to duration plus an economic increase per year.

Our long-term rate of return is developed by taking into account the target allocations contained in each plan's investment policy, as of the beginning of the year, and reflecting long term historical data, with greater weight given to recent years. Under this approach, separate analyses are performed to determine the expected long-term rate of inflation, real rates of return for each asset class, and the correlations among the returns for the various asset classes. We use independent actuaries to assist us in determining our long-term rate of return assumptions.

We believe that our current assumptions used to estimate plan obligations and annual expense are appropriate in the current economic environment. However, if economic conditions change, we may need to change some of our assumptions, and the resulting changes may materially affect our pension and other postretirement obligations in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and our future expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Actual results that differ from our Company's assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods into the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Inventories

We evaluate inventory shrinkage throughout the year based on actual physical counts and record reserves based on the results of these counts to provide for estimated shrinkage between the store's last inventory and the balance sheet date. Physical inventory counts are taken every period for fresh inventory, approximately twice per fiscal year on a staggered basis for the remaining merchandise inventory in stores, and annually for inventory in distribution centers and supplies. The average shrinkage rate resulting from the physical inventory counts is applied to the ending inventory balance in each store as of the balance sheet date to provide for estimated shrinkage from the date of the last physical inventory count for that location. Adjustments to the stock loss reserve based on physical inventories have not been material.

54

Income Taxes

As discussed in Note 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, our Company

recorded a valuation allowance for the entire U.S. net deferred tax asset since, in accordance with SFAS 109, it was more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset would not be utilized based on historical cumulative losses. Under SFAS 109, this valuation allowance could be reversed in future periods if our Company experiences improvement in our U.S. operations.

We adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109 ("FIN 48") as of February 25, 2007. The cumulative effect of the adoption of the recognition and measurement provisions of FIN 48 resulted in a \$24.4 million increase to the February 25, 2007 balance of retained earnings. Results of prior periods have not been restated. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 requires that we determine whether the benefits of our tax positions are more likely than not of being sustained upon audit based on the technical merits of the tax position. For tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

For tax positions that are not more likely than not of being sustained upon audit, we do not recognize any portion of the benefit in our Consolidated Financial Statements. Our policy for interest and penalties under FIN 48 related to income tax exposures was not impacted as a result of the adoption of the recognition and measurement provisions of FIN 48. Therefore, we continue to recognize interest and penalties as incurred within "Benefit from (provision for) income taxes" in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Our Company makes estimates of the potential liability based on its assessment of all potential tax exposures. In addition, we use factors such as applicable tax laws and regulations, current information and past experience with similar issues to make these adjustments. The increase in our liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption of approximately \$165 million was due mostly to our assessment of potential exposure concerning a deduction taken in the Company's fiscal 2005 federal income tax return. Despite the Company's belief that its tax return position is supportable, the Company believes that the position may not be fully sustained upon review by tax authorities. Such amount was adjusted to approximately \$154 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 in connection with the Company's fiscal 2006 tax return to provision reconciliation. As we were in a full valuation allowance position, the approximate \$11 million adjustment had no effect on the Company's earnings. In addition, the acquisition of Pathmark Stores Inc. increased this balance to the current \$164 million. Our Consolidated Balance Sheet has been adjusted to reflect the liabilities for uncertain tax positions and deferred tax assets for net operating losses, since such losses are available to absorb the taxable income attributable to the unrecognized tax benefits. Thus, there was no impact on the Company's retained earnings resulting from the increase in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

55

ITEM 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of loss from adverse market changes that may impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Among other possible market risks, we are exposed to such risk in the areas of

interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

From time to time, we may enter hedging agreements in order to manage risks incurred in the normal course of business including forward exchange contracts to manage our exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Interest Rates

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our debt obligations. We do not have cash flow exposure due to rate changes on our Notes as of June 14, 2008 of \$592.9 million because they are at fixed interest rates. However, we do have cash flow exposure on our committed bank lines of credit of \$268.2 million due to our variable floating rate pricing. Accordingly, during the first quarters of fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, a presumed 1% change in the variable floating rate would have impacted interest expense by \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Foreign Exchange Risk

We are exposed to foreign exchange risk to the extent of adverse fluctuations in the Canadian dollar. As we have approximately \$1.0 million in assets denominated in foreign currency, we do not believe that a change in the Canadian currency of 10% will have a material effect on our Consolidated Statements of Operations or Cash Flows.

ITEM 4 - Controls and Procedures

We have established and maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our Company's management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Company's management, including our Company's President and Chief Executive Officer along with our Company's Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon the foregoing, our Company's President and Chief Executive Officer along with our Company's Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the period covered by this report.

There have been no changes during our Company's fiscal quarter ended June 14, 2008 in our Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our Company's internal control over financial reporting.

CAUTIONARY NOTE

This presentation may contain forward-looking statements about the future performance of our Company, and is based on our assumptions and beliefs in light of information currently available. We assume no

obligation to update this information. These forward-looking statements are subject to uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements including but not limited to: competitive practices and pricing in the food industry generally and particularly in our principal markets; our relationships with our employees; the terms of future collective bargaining agreements; the costs and other effects of lawsuits and administrative proceedings; the nature and extent of continued consolidation in the food industry; changes in the financial markets which may affect our cost of capital or the ability to access capital; supply or quality control problems with our vendors; and changes in economic conditions, which may affect the buying patterns of our customers.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 - Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 17 - Commitments and Contingencies for discussion of our legal proceedings.

ITEM 1A - Risk Factors

Refer to ITEM 1A - Risk Factors in our Fiscal 2007 Form 10-K

ITEM 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

ITEM 3 - Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

ITEM 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

ITEM 5 - Other Information

None

57

ITEM 6 - Exhibits

(a) Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

EXHIBIT NO.

DESCRIPTION

10.50

Warehousing, Distribution and Related Services Agreement dated March 7, 2008 by and between the Company and C&S
Wholesale Grocers, Inc. **

- 31.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

 31.2* Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

 32* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- * Filed with this 10-Q
- ** Confidential treatment has been requested for certain portions thereof pursuant to a confidential treatment request filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on July 18, 2008. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

58

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE GREAT ATLANTIC & PACIFIC TEA COMPANY, INC.

Dated: July 21, 2008 By: /s/ Melissa E. Sungela

Melissa E. Sungela, Vice President, Corporate Controller (Chief Accounting Officer)

59