

DATA I/O CORP
Form 10-Q
November 13, 2009

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- (X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarter ended **September30, 2009**

OR

- () TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Or the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. **0-10394**

DATA I/O CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

91-0864123
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

6464 185th Ave NE, Suite 101, Redmond, Washington, 98052
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(425) 881-6444

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

8,953,135 shares of no par value of the Registrant's Common Stock were issued and outstanding as of November 4, 2009.

DATA I/O CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009

INDEX

Part I - Financial Information		<u>Page</u>
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	17
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	17
 Part II - Other Information		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	17
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	18
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	18
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	18
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	18
Item 5.	Other Information	18

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

Item 6. Exhibits

18

Signatures

19

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements****DATA I/O CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	Sept. 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Dec. 31, 2008
(in thousands, except share data)		
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,331	\$13,304
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$173 and \$142	3,495	5,659
Inventories	4,272	5,039
Other current assets	266	408
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	23,364	24,410
Property and equipment - net	1,725	2,290
Other assets	105	111
TOTAL ASSETS	\$25,194	\$26,811
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$881	\$1,005
Accrued compensation	838	1,476
Deferred revenue	1,544	1,541
Other accrued liabilities	854	1,100
Accrued costs of business restructuring	126	389
Income taxes payable	180	59
Notes payable	130	125
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	4,553	5,695
Long-term other liabilities	102	57
Long-term debt	123	219
COMMITMENTS	-	-
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred stock - Authorized, 5,000,000 shares, including		

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

200,000 shares of Series A Junior Participating		
Issued and outstanding, none	-	-
Common stock, at stated value -		
Authorized, 30,000,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding, 8,938,135		
and 8,869,245 shares	21,631	21,331
Accumulated deficit	(2,117)	(1,301)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	902	810
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	20,416	20,840
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$25,194	\$26,811

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

DATA I/O CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	Sept. 30, 2008	Sept. 30, 2009	Sept. 30, 2008
(in thousands, except per share data)				
Net sales	\$5,317	\$7,807	\$13,593	\$22,018
Cost of goods sold	2,327	3,143	6,278	9,035
Gross margin	2,990	4,664	7,315	12,983
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	1,055	1,093	3,096	3,358
Selling, general and administrative	1,577	2,231	4,760	6,545
Net provision for business restructure	23	-	203	7
Total operating expenses	2,655	3,324	8,059	9,910
Gain on sale	13	-	15	2,115
Operating income (loss)	348	1,340	(729)	5,188
Non-operating income (expense):				
Interest income	3	29	21	115
Interest expense	(4)	(7)	(16)	(23)
Foreign currency exchange	80	(66)	139	(88)
Total non-operating income (expense)	79	(44)	144	4
Income (loss) before income taxes	427	1,296	(585)	5,192
Income tax expense (benefit)	96	90	231	142
Net income (loss)	\$331	\$1,206	(\$816)	\$5,050
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$0.04	\$0.14	(\$0.09)	\$0.57
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$0.04	\$0.13	(\$0.09)	\$0.56
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	8,933	8,839	8,906	8,806
Weighted average and potential shares outstanding - diluted	8,983	9,167	8,906	9,097

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

DATA I/O CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

	Sept. 30, 2009	Sept. 30, 2008
For the nine months ended (in thousands)		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	(\$816)	\$5,050
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	711	700
Write-off of property, plant and equipment	-	2
Gain on sale of assets	(14)	(2,115)
Equipment transferred to cost of goods sold	30	205
Share-based compensation	238	302
Net change in:		
Deferred revenue	(10)	(109)
Trade accounts receivable	2,195	(2,621)
Inventories	700	(322)
Other current assets	153	146
Accrued costs of business restructuring	(180)	(8)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(868)	1,036
Deposits and other long-term assets	-	(1)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	2,139	2,265
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(239)	(858)
Net proceeds from sale of patents	-	2,115
Net proceeds from sale of assets	19	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(220)	1,257
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	62	195
Payment of capital lease obligation	(90)	(83)

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(28)	112
Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,891	3,634
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash	136	285
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	13,304	7,637
Cash and cash equivalents at end of quarter	\$15,331	\$11,556

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

DATA I/O CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

Data I/O prepared the financial statements as of September 30, 2009 and 2008, according to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). These statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments and accruals) necessary to present fairly the results for the periods presented. The balance sheet at December 31, 2008 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date. We have condensed or omitted certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America according to such SEC rules and regulations. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual audited financial statements and the accompanying notes included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Revenue Recognition

Data I/O recognizes revenue at the time of shipment. When arrangements include multiple elements, we use objective evidence of fair value to allocate revenue to the elements and recognize revenue when the criteria for revenue recognition have been met for each element. The amount of revenue recognized is affected by our judgments as to the collectability of the transaction or whether an arrangement includes multiple elements and if so, whether specific objective evidence of fair value exists for those elements.

Installation that is considered perfunctory includes any installation that can be performed by other parties, such as distributors, other vendors, or in most cases the customers themselves. This takes into account the complexity, skill, and training needed as well as customer expectations regarding installation. The revenue related to products requiring installation that is perfunctory is recognized at the time of shipment provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, shipment has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. We measure the standalone fair value of the product versus the service installation value component by the amount paid to independent representative service groups or the amount of additional discount given to independent distributors to provide the service installation.

We record revenue from the sale of service and update contracts as deferred revenue and we recognize it on a straight-line basis over the contractual period, which is typically one year. We establish a reserve for sales returns based on historical trends in product returns and estimates for new items. We have a stated return policy that customers can return standard products for any reason within 30 days after delivery, provided that the returned product is received in its original condition, including all packaging materials, for a refund of the price paid less a restocking charge of 30% of the total amount invoiced for the product returned, unless such restocking charge is waived in writing by Data I/O.

Data I/O's software products are not normally sold separately from sales of programming systems. However, on those occasions where we sell software separately, we recognize revenue when a sales agreement exists, when delivery has occurred, when the fee is fixed or determinable, and when collection is probable.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Data I/O measures and recognizes compensation expense as required for all share-based payment awards, including employee stock options, stock awards, and employee stock purchases, based on estimated fair values on the grant dates. Total share-based compensation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$51,000 and \$238,000, respectively. Total share-based compensation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$102,000 and \$302,000, respectively.

Income Tax

Historically when accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, Data I/O has not incurred any interest or penalties associated with tax matters and no interest or penalties were recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. However, the Company has adopted a policy whereby amounts related to interest and penalties associated with tax matters are classified as general and administrative expense when incurred.

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

Data I/O has incurred net operating losses in certain past years. We continue to maintain a valuation allowance for the full amount of the net deferred tax asset balance associated with our net operating losses, as sufficient uncertainty exists regarding our ability to realize such tax assets in the future. There was \$79,000 of unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions as of September 30, 2009.

Tax years that remain open for examination include 2006, 2007, and 2008 in the United States of America. In addition, tax years from 1999 to 2005 may be subject to examination in the event that the Company utilizes the NOL's or other carry forwards from those years in its current or future year tax return.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, an update was made by Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB") to software revenue recognition. According to this update, tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality are no longer within the scope of the software revenue guidance. This standard requires that hardware components of a tangible product containing software components always be excluded from the software revenue guidance. It provides additional guidance on how to determine which software, if any, relating to the tangible product also would be excluded from the scope of the software revenue guidance. This standard will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. This standard shall be adopted in the same period using the same transition method as indicated in the update to revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. We are currently assessing the potential impact that adoption of this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, an update was made by FASB to revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. It provides amendments to the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. This standard establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. The selling price used for each deliverable will be based on vendor-specific objective evidence if available, third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, or estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific objective evidence nor third-party evidence is available. This standard also replaces the term *fair value* in the revenue allocation guidance with *selling price* to clarify that the allocation of revenue is based on entity-specific assumptions rather than assumptions of a market participant. It also eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangements to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. This standard will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact that adoption of this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued guidance related to employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. It provides guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets, including: how investment allocation decisions are made and factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies; the major categories of plan assets; the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets; the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period, and significant concentrations of risks within plan assets. This standard is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. We are currently assessing the disclosure requirements that adoption of this standard may have on the footnotes of our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The impact of adoption of this had no financial effect on the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. We have evaluated subsequent events up through November 13, 2009.

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

In June 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The adoption of this standard changes how the Company references various elements of GAAP when preparing its financial statement disclosures, but will have no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

NOTE 2 - RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain prior period balances may have been reclassified to conform to the presentation used in the current period.

NOTE 3 - INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following components (in thousands):

	Sept. 30, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
Raw material	\$2,043	\$2,631
Work-in-process	1,160	1,155
Finished goods	1,069	1,253
Inventories	\$4,272	\$5,039

NOTE 4 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment consisted of the following components (in thousands):

	Sept. 30, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
Leasehold improvements	\$394	\$393
Equipment	8,052	8,931
	8,446	9,324
Less accumulated depreciation	6,721	7,034
Property and equipment - net	\$1,725	\$2,290

NOTE 5 – BUSINESS RESTRUCTURING

The restructuring activities started during the second half of 2006 to reduce expenses and improve margins continued during the first and second quarters of 2007, to further improve our operating results and the effectiveness of our sales and marketing organization and sales channels. These actions included re-engineering some internal processes, integrating some activities, transferring some activities to our lower cost base of operations in China, reducing resources applied to declining legacy products, moving some engineering positions to production, reducing the number of taxable entities, outsourcing some functions such as payroll, combining some positions, eliminating some functions, and shifting some responsibilities and resources to our channels. During 2007, restructuring charges totaled \$725,000 and were primarily severance related, along with some facility related exit costs.

As a result of the business downturn we were experiencing in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the uncertain business outlook, additional actions to reduce expenses were taken. This resulted in a restructuring charge of \$535,000 during the fourth quarter, primarily related to severance, and totaled \$542,000 for the year. During the first quarter of 2009, restructure activities resulted in net additional charges of \$22,000 representing severance and costs associated with terminating vehicle leases. During the second quarter we consolidated our operations into a smaller portion

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

of our leased space, resulting in a lease abandonment restructure charge of \$208,000, partially offset by reductions in previously accrued personnel, automobile lease and legal restructuring costs. During the third quarter we had additional charges of \$23,000 in severance related costs. At September 30, 2009, \$209,000 remains accrued and is expected to be paid out during 2009, 2010 and 2011. Of that amount, \$83,000 in lease abandonment period amounts are accrued as other long-term liabilities and will be fully paid out in July 2011.

An analysis of the restructuring is as follows (in thousands):

Description	Reserve			Reserve			Reserve
	Balance	2008	2008	Balance	2009	2009	
	12/31/07	Expense	Payments/ Write-offs	12/31/08	Expense	Payments/ Write-offs	9/30/09
Downsizing US operations:							
Employee severance	\$-	\$162	\$82	\$80	\$34	\$114	\$-
Facility & other costs	-	18	11	7	209	32	184
Downsizing foreign operations:							
Employee severance	5	347	63	289	(59)	223	7
Facility & other costs	3	15	5	13	20	15	18
Total	\$8	\$542	\$161	\$389	\$204	\$384	\$209

NOTE 6 – OTHER ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Other accrued liabilities consisted of the following components (in thousands):

	Sept. 30, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
Product warranty liability	\$338	\$400
Sales return reserve	137	197
Accrued rent	134	156
Other taxes	130	186
Other	115	161
Other accrued liabilities	\$854	\$1,100

The changes in Data I/O's product warranty liability are as follows (in thousands):

	Sept. 30, 2009	
Liability, beginning balance		\$400
Net expenses	451	
Warranty claims	(451)	
Accrual revisions	(62)	
Liability, ending balance		\$338

NOTE 7 – OPERATING LEASE AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

Data I/O has purchase obligations for inventory and production costs as well as other obligations such as capital expenditures, service contracts, marketing, and development agreements. Arrangements are considered purchase obligations if a contract specifies all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased, a pricing structure and approximate timing of the transaction. Most arrangements are cancelable without a significant penalty, and with short notice, typically less than 90 days. At September 30, 2009, the purchase and other obligations totaled \$340,000. Data I/O also has commitments under non-cancelable operating leases and other agreements, primarily for factory and office space, with initial or remaining terms of one year or more as follows:

Future annual lease payments at September 30, 2009 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

	Operating Leases	
2009		\$328
2010		1,154
2011	654	
2012	116	
2013	111	
Thereafter	141	
Total		\$2,504

NOTE 8 – CONTINGENCIES

As of September 30, 2009, Data I/O was not a party to any legal proceedings, the adverse outcome of which in management's opinion, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position.

NOTE 9 – LONG-TERM DEBT

On September 27, 2006, the Company entered into a five year capital lease agreement in the amount of \$591,145. The imputed interest rate is 7.69%. At September 30, 2009 scheduled maturities of the capital lease obligation for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$34
2010	141
2011	94
Total minimum lease payments	269
Less: Amount representing interest	(16)
Present value of capital lease obligation	253
Current portion long-term debt	(130)
Non-current portion long-term debt	\$123

NOTE 10 – EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on these same weighted average shares outstanding plus the effect of potential shares issuable upon assumed exercise of stock options based on the treasury stock method. Potential shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share to the extent their effect would be antidilutive.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Net income (loss)	\$331	\$1,206	(\$816)	\$5,050
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share -				
weighted-average shares	8,933	8,839	8,906	8,806
Employee stock options and awards	50	328	-	291
Denominator for diluted earnings per share -				
adjusted weighted-average shares and				
assumed conversions of stock options	8,983	9,167	8,906	9,097
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:				
Total basic earnings (loss) per share	\$0.04	\$0.14	(\$0.09)	\$0.57
Total diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$0.04	\$0.13	(\$0.09)	\$0.56

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

The computation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 excludes 521,793 and 774,695 options, respectively, to purchase common stock as their effect is antidilutive. The computation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 excludes 183,000 and 98,062 options, respectively, to purchase common stock as their effect is antidilutive.

NOTE 11 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The impact on our results of operations of recording share-based compensation for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Cost of goods sold	\$ 4	\$ 7	\$ 17	\$ 18
Research and development	6	12	20	37
Selling, general and administrative	41	83	201	247
Total share-based compensation	\$51	\$102	\$238	\$302
Impact on net income (loss) per share:				
Basic and diluted	(\$0.01)	(\$0.01)	(\$0.03)	(\$0.03)

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

The fair value of share-based awards for employee stock options was estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The following weighted average assumptions were used to calculate the fair value of stock options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Risk-free interest rates	-	-	2.43%	3.11%
Volatility factors	-	-	.61	.54
Expected life of the option in years	-	-	4.00	4.70
Expected dividend yield	None	None	None	None

At September 30, 2009, there remained approximately \$641,662 of unamortized expected future compensation expense associated with unvested option grants and restricted stock awards, with a remaining weighted average amortization period of 2.83 years.

NOTE 12 – COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, total comprehensive income (loss) was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$331	\$1,206	(\$816)	\$5,050
Foreign currency translation gain (loss)	120	(157)	92	56
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$451	\$1,049	(\$724)	\$5,106

NOTE 13 – PATENT SALE

On March 18, 2008, the Company completed the sale of selected patents and patent applications to Leannoux Properties AG L.L.C. Net proceeds were approximately \$3.3 million with a net gain of approximately \$2.1 million.

NOTE 14 – FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION AND DERIVATIVES

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

Data I/O translates assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date. We translate revenues, costs and expenses of foreign subsidiaries at average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. We charge our translation adjustments resulting from this process to other comprehensive income (a component of stockholders' equity), net of taxes. Realized and unrealized gains and losses resulting from the effects of changes in exchange rates on assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are included in non-operating expense as foreign currency transaction gains and losses.

Data I/O accounts for its hedging activities and derivative instruments, recognizing derivatives as assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position, with measurement of those instruments at fair value.

Data I/O utilizes forward foreign exchange contracts to reduce the impact of foreign currency exchange rate risks where natural hedging strategies cannot be effectively employed. All hedging instruments held by us are fair value hedges. Generally, these contracts have maturities less than one year and require us to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. dollars at maturity. At September 30, 2009, we had closed out all hedges and had no foreign exchange contracts outstanding.

Data I/O does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The purpose of our hedging activities is to reduce the risk that the valuation of the underlying assets, liabilities and firm commitments will be adversely affected by changes in exchange rates. Our derivative activities do not create foreign currency exchange rate risk because fluctuations in the value of the instruments used for hedging purposes are offset by fluctuations in the value of the underlying exposures being hedged.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This Act provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information about themselves as long as they identify these statements as forward-looking and provide meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ from the projected results. All statements other than statements of historical fact made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking. In particular, statements herein regarding industry prospects or trends; expected revenues; expected level of expense; future results of operations, restructuring implications; breakeven point, or financial position; changes in gross margin; economic conditions and capital spending outlook; market acceptance of our newly introduced or upgraded products; development, introduction and shipment of new products; and any other guidance on future periods are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. Although Data I/O believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, achievements, or other future events. Moreover, neither Data I/O nor anyone else assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these forward-looking statements. Data I/O is under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this report. The reader should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The discussions above and in the section in Item 1A., Risk Factors "Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results" in the Company's Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 describe some, but not all, of the factors that could cause these differences.

OVERVIEW

We continued to focus on our primary goal of managing the business to achieve profitable operations in these difficult economic times, while developing, launching and enhancing products to drive revenue and earnings growth. The difficult economic conditions of the past year, the downward trend in capital spending and the more recent mixed economic signals have combined to intensify the usual challenges of operating in a highly cyclical industry environment such as ours.

We experienced in the fourth quarter of 2008 a significant decline in business, which together with an uncertain economic outlook caused us to determine that additional cost and expense reduction measures were necessary. We took a restructuring charge of \$535,000 in the fourth quarter of 2008, primarily related to severance, to further lower the revenue breakeven point for Data I/O. This business decline continued during the first and second quarters of 2009 and we took additional restructuring actions that resulted in a net charge of \$22,000 and \$158,000, respectively. During the third quarter of 2009, we incurred an additional charge of \$23,000 severance-related costs. We are continuing our efforts to balance business geography shifts, increasing costs and strategic investments in our business with the level of demand and mix of business we expect. Complicating these efforts is the continued current economic uncertainty. With operating costs at approximately \$2.6 million, we expect our current quarterly revenue breakeven point to be around \$4.7 million.

We are focusing our research and development efforts in our strategic growth markets, namely, new programming technology and automated programming systems for the manufacturing environment. We continue to focus on extending the capabilities and support for our FlashCORE architecture, and the ProLINE-RoadRunner, FLX, PS, and FlashPAK product lines. Our applications innovation strategy provides complete solutions to target customer's business problems. These solutions generally have a larger software element, may involve third-party components, and in many cases, will be developed to address a specific customer's requirements. We believe by adding these features to our strategic product

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

platforms, we will be able to set ourselves apart from other product suppliers and elevate our relationships with our customers. This year we have introduced and shipped the next generation of our programmer architecture, FlashCORE III, and a new member of our PS family of automated programming systems, the PS 388. During the third quarter we received repeat orders for these new products and have launched and begun shipping FlashCORE III in our other product families.

Our key customer focus is on strategic high volume manufacturers in key market segments such as wireless, automotive, industrial controls and programming centers. Our strategy includes supporting new NAND Flash and microcontrollers on our newer products to gain new customers and expand into newer areas. For example, our new PS 388 with FlashCORE III focuses on users of large density flash memory devices like wireless handset manufacturers and our new ProLINE-RoadRunner XLF provides a new solution for the types of microcontrollers used in the automotive market. We have continued to expand our China operations to take advantage of the growth of manufacturing in China and to operate close to our customers. During 2007, 2008 and 2009, we have continued to address the effectiveness of our sales and marketing organization and sales channels by adding, training and changing channels. We believe that these changes have helped us grow our business in China and Asia while allowing us to convert some of our fixed selling expenses to variable costs. In the

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

second half of 2008 and during 2009, we have further focused on broadening our sales coverage in the Americas and have added and trained additional sales representative channels, again expanding our use of a variable cost model.

On March 18, 2008, the Company completed the sale of selected patents and patent applications to Leannoux Properties AG L.L.C. Net proceeds were approximately \$3.3 million with a net gain of approximately \$2.1 million.

BUSINESS RESTRUCTURING

The restructuring activities started during the second half of 2006 to reduce expenses and improve margins continued during the first and second quarters of 2007, to further improve our operating results and the effectiveness of our sales and marketing organization and sales channels. These actions included re-engineering some internal processes, integrating some activities, transferring some activities to our lower cost base of operations in China, reducing resources applied to declining legacy products, moving some engineering positions to production, reducing the number of taxable entities, outsourcing some functions such as payroll, combining some positions, eliminating some functions, and shifting some responsibilities and resources to our channels. During 2007, restructuring charges totaled \$725,000 and were primarily severance related, along with some facility related exit costs.

As a result of the business downturn we were experiencing in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the uncertain business outlook, additional actions to reduce expenses were taken. This resulted in a restructuring charge of \$535,000 during the fourth quarter, primarily related to severance, and total of \$542,000 for the year. During the first quarter of 2009, restructure activities resulted in net additional charges of \$22,000 representing severance and costs associated with terminating vehicle lease. During the second quarter we consolidated our operations into a smaller portion of our leased space, resulting in a lease abandonment restructure charge of \$208,000, partially offset by reductions in previously accrued personnel, automobile leases and legal restructuring costs. During the third quarter, we had additional charges of \$23,000 in severance related costs. At September 30, 2009, \$209,000 remains accrued and is expected to be paid out during 2009, 2010 and 2011. This includes \$83,000 in lease abandonment period amounts accrued as other long-term liabilities, which will be fully paid out in July 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICY JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES

The Company's critical accounting policies are disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and have not materially changed as of September 30, 2009.

Results of Operations

NET SALES

(in thousands)

Three Months Ended

Nine Months Ended

Sept. 30,

Sept. 30,

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

Net sales by product line	2009	% Change	2008	2009	% Change	2008
Automated programming systems	\$3,135	(35.9%)	\$4,893	\$8,284	(38.1%)	\$13,378
Non-automated programming systems	2,182	(25.1%)	2,914	5,309	(38.6%)	8,640
Total programming systems	\$5,317	(31.9%)	\$7,807	\$13,593	(38.3%)	\$22,018

Net sales by location	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Sept. 30, 2009	% Change	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	% Change	2008
United States	\$ 627	(48.6%)	\$1,219	\$ 1,588	(53.8%)	\$ 3,438
% of total	11.8%		15.6%	11.7%		15.6%
International	\$4,690	(28.8%)	\$6,588	\$12,005	(35.4%)	\$18,580
% of total	88.2%		84.4%	88.3 %		84.4 %

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

The revenue decrease of \$2.5 million or 31.9% for the third quarter of 2009 compared to the third quarter of 2008 is primarily due to the current economic downturn with the associated industry excess capacity and general reduction in capital spending. We experienced decreased sales in all geographies and in each of our product lines. However, revenues did include shipments of our new FlashCORE III programmers. The backlog of orders totaled \$876,000 at the end of the third quarter of 2009, a decrease compared to the backlog at September 30, 2008 of \$1.3 million.

For the first nine months of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008, sales decreased by approximately 38% and we experienced sales declines in all geographies and for all of our product lines, which we primarily attribute to the economic conditions described above.

Typically we have experienced higher seasonal sales in the second half of the year, especially for capacity related sales, which may not be the case this year given the excess capacity caused by the economic downturn. Our trend in orders booked, while down substantially from the first, second and third quarters of last year in all geographies, showed third quarter growth over the second quarter of 2009 in all geographies. Orders from Asia were up over 44% from the second quarter of 2009, with orders in Europe up 74% and orders in the Americas up 7%. At the start of the fourth quarter, we are seeing progressively stronger worldwide sales funnels. We expect our new products, including FlashCORE III, to provide revenue growth and expect new revenues from our new sales channels as they gain training and experience with our product lines.

GROSS MARGIN

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(in thousands)	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Gross Margin	\$2,990	\$4,664	\$7,315	\$12,983
Percentage of net sales	56.2%	59.7%	53.8%	59.0%

Gross margins during the third quarter of 2009 decreased in both dollars and as a percentage of sales compared to the third quarter of 2008. The overall gross margin decrease in both dollars and percentage was primarily due to the lower sales volume, with the percentage decline a result of the lower sales volume relative to fixed factory costs.

Gross margin as a percentage of sales for the third quarter improved 8 percentage points compared to the second quarter of 2009's 48.3%. The improvement was primarily due to the higher third quarter sales volume relative to fixed factory costs, as well as a more favorable mix of price and product cost. Direct materials as a percentage of sales improved in the third quarter of 2009 compared to both the third quarter of 2008 and the second quarter of 2009. This indicates that our actual product material cost margins improved, which has been a major thrust of our restructuring actions, especially utilizing lower cost operations in China to manufacture adapters and source certain inventory.

For the first nine months of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008, the lower gross margin dollars and gross margin as a percentage of sales are due to the same factors as those described for the third quarter of 2009, along with the unfavorable inventory adjustments that had occurred during the second quarter of 2009.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
(in thousands)				
Research and development	\$1,055	\$1,093	\$3,096	\$3,358
Percentage of net sales	19.9%	14.0%	22.8%	15.3%

Research and development (“R&D”) spending for the third quarter of 2009 decreased by approximately \$38,000 compared to the third quarter of 2008. This was primarily due to the restructure actions and the re-engineering of internal processes. R&D as a percentage of net sales increased, however, primarily due to the decrease in sales for the third quarter of 2009. We have completed the transition of the majority of device support operations to our China team. New products include FlashCORE III, our new programming architecture, and the PS 388, a new member of the PS family of automated programming system incorporating FlashCORE III as well as FlashPAK III and FlashCORE III upgrades.

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

R&D spending for the first nine months of 2009 compared to the first nine months of 2008 decreased by approximately \$262,000 due primarily to the same factors described above.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Selling, general & administrative	\$1,577	\$2,231	\$4,760	\$6,545
Percentage of net sales	29.7%	28.6%	35.0%	29.7%

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses decreased approximately \$653,000 for the third quarter of 2009 compared to the third quarter of 2008. This was due primarily to \$180,000 lower bonus expense in the third quarter of 2009 compared to \$300,000 for bonus expense for the third quarter of 2008 and approximately \$275,000 personnel and other savings from restructure actions, as well as lower: bad debt expense, marketing expense, depreciation, travel cost, facilities expense, employee benefit charges and investor relations expense.

During the first nine months of 2009 compared with the same period in 2008, SG&A expense decreased approximately \$1,785,000. This was due primarily to \$544,000 lower bonus expense in the first nine months of 2009 compared to \$698,000 for bonus expense for the first nine months of 2008 and approximately \$680,000 personnel and other savings from restructure actions, as well as lower bad debt expense, marketing expense, depreciation, travel cost, facilities expense, employee benefit charges and investor relations expense.

INTEREST

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Interest income	\$3	\$29	\$21	\$115
Interest expense	(\$4)	(\$7)	(\$16)	(\$23)

Interest income decreased during the third quarter of 2009 and for the first nine months of 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 due to the lower yields on investments despite the higher cash balances. Interest expense decreased in the third quarter of 2009 and the first nine months of 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 due to the lower balance on the equipment capital lease.

INCOME TAXES

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(in thousands)	Sept. 30, 2009	2008	Sept. 30, 2009	2008
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$96	\$90	\$231	\$142

Income tax expense recorded for the third quarter of 2009 and 2008 resulted from foreign and state taxes. The effective tax rate differed from the statutory tax rate primarily due to the effect of valuation allowances and state taxes. Data I/O has a valuation allowance of \$9,725,000 as of September 30, 2009. Our deferred tax assets and valuation allowance are reduced by approximately \$79,000 associated with the requirements of accounting for uncertain tax positions as of September 30, 2009.

Financial Condition

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

	Sept. 30, 2009	Change	Dec. 31, 2008
Working capital	\$18,811	\$96	\$18,715

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

At September 30, 2009, Data I/O's principal sources of liquidity consisted of existing cash and cash equivalents which continued to increase during the quarter. Our working capital increased by \$96,000 from December 31, 2008 and our current ratio increased from 4.3 at December 31, 2008 to 5.1 at September 30, 2009.

Our cash and cash equivalents increased by approximately \$2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to collection of customer receivables and inventory reductions, offset in part by the loss from operations and payment of accrued liabilities. Cash provided by operations primarily included a \$2.2 million decrease in accounts receivable due the lower sales volume and improved collections and a \$700,000 decrease in inventories.

We expect that we will continue to make capital expenditures to support our business. Capital expenditures are expected to be funded by existing and internally generated funds or lease financing.

As a result of our significant product development, customer support, international expansion and selling and marketing efforts, we have required substantial working capital to fund our operations. Over the last few years, we restructured our operations to lower our costs and operating expenditures in some geographic regions, while investing in other regions, and to lower the level of revenue required for our net income breakeven point, to preserve our cash position and to focus on profitable operations. We believe that we have sufficient working capital available under our operating plan to fund our operations and capital requirements through at least the next one-year period. Any substantial inability to achieve our current business plan could have a material adverse impact on our financial position, liquidity, or results of operations and may require us to reduce expenditures and/or seek additional financing.

LONG-TERM DEBT

(in thousands)	Sept. 30, 2009	Change	Dec. 31, 2008
Long-term debt	\$123	(\$96)	\$219

During the third quarter of 2006, the Company entered into a five-year capital lease agreement in the amount of \$591,145. The lease was used to fund new equipment and installation associated with our move to the new facility in July of 2006. See Note 9, "Long-Term Debt."

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Except as noted above in Note 7, "Operating Lease and Other Commitments" and in Note 14, "Foreign Currency Translation and Derivatives," Data I/O had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Edgar Filing: DATA I/O CORP - Form 10-Q

In October 2009, an update was made by Financial Accounting Standard Board (“FASB”) to software revenue recognition. According to this update, tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product’s essential functionality are no longer within the scope of the software revenue guidance. This standard requires that hardware components of a tangible product containing software components always be excluded from the software revenue guidance. It provides additional guidance on how to determine which software, if any, relating to the tangible product also would be excluded from the scope of the software revenue guidance. This standard will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. This standard shall be adopted in the same period using the same transition method as indicated in the update to revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. We are currently assessing the potential impact that adoption of this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, an update was made by FASB to revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. It provides amendments to the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. This standard establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. The selling price used for each deliverable will be based on vendor-specific objective evidence if available, third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, or estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific objective evidence nor third-party evidence is available. This standard also replaces the term *fair value* in the revenue allocation guidance with *selling price* to clarify that the allocation of revenue is based on entity-specific assumptions rather than assumptions of a market participant. It also eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangements to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. This standard will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact that adoption of this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued guidance related to employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. It provides guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets, including: how investment allocation decisions are made and factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies; the major categories of plan assets; the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets; the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period, and significant concentrations of risks within plan assets. This standard is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. We are currently assessing the disclosure requirements that adoption of this standard may have on the footnotes of our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The impact of adoption this had no financial effect on the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. We have evaluated subsequent events up through November 13, 2009.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The adoption of this standard changes how the Company references various elements of GAAP when preparing its financial statement disclosures, but will have no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

Item 3. **Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Not applicable.

Item 4. **Controls and Procedures**

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Data I/O evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable level of assurance. Disclosure Controls are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

There were no changes made in our internal controls during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Our restructuring actions decreased the number of personnel and reallocated tasks but we did not change our internal controls. However, these restructure actions may have an impact on our internal controls' operation which we will continue to monitor and evaluate.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As of September 30, 2009, Data I/O was not a party to any legal proceedings, the adverse outcome of which in management's opinion, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position.

From time to time, we may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business.

Item 1A. **Risk Factors**

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results. There are no material changes to the Risk Factors described in our Annual Report.

Item 2. **Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

None

Item 3. **Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None

Item 4. **Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None

Item 5. **Other Information**

None

Item 6. **Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits

None added

31 Certification – Section 302:

- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification

32 Certification – Section 906:

- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DATA I/O CORPORATION
(REGISTRANT)

DATED: November 13, 2009

By: //S//Joel S. Hatlen

Joel S. Hatlen

Vice President - Finance

Chief Financial Officer

Secretary and Treasurer

(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

By: //S//Frederick R. Hume

Frederick R. Hume

President

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

Exhibit 31.1

Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Frederick R. Hume, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Data I/O Corporation;
- 2) Based upon my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: November 13, 2009

/s/ Frederick R. Hume

Frederick R. Hume

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Exhibit 31.2

Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Joel S. Hatlen, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Data I/O Corporation;
- 2) Based upon my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purpose in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: November 13, 2009

/s/ Joel S. Hatlen

Joel S. Hatlen

Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Exhibit 32.1

Certification by Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

As Adopted Pursuant to

Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Data I/O Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Frederick R. Hume, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Frederick R. Hume

Frederick R. Hume

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

November 13, 2009

Exhibit 32.2

Certification by Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

As Adopted Pursuant to

Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Data I/O Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Joel S. Hatlen, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Joel S. Hatlen

Joel S. Hatlen

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

November 13, 2009