

Semler Scientific, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 26, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
X 1934**

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2015

Or

**..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from: _____ to _____

SEMLER SCIENTIFIC, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **001-36305** **26-1367393**
(State or Other Jurisdiction (Commission (I.R.S. Employer
of Incorporation or Organization) File Number) Identification No.)

2330 NW Everett St.

Portland, Oregon 97210

(Address of Principal Executive Office) (Zip Code)

(877) 774-4211

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	The NASDAQ Capital Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

..

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$9,243,653 as of June 30, 2015, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of February 24, 2016 was 5,123,568.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND INDUSTRY DATA

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include those that express plans, anticipation, intent, contingency, goals, targets or future development and/or otherwise are not statements of historical fact. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and projections about future events and they are subject to risks and uncertainties known and unknown that could cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements.

In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology, such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “estimates,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “may,” “should,” “continue,” “could” or the negative of such terms or other similar expressions. Accordingly, these statements involve estimates, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in them. Any forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the factors discussed throughout this annual report on Form 10-K.

You should read this annual report on Form 10-K and the documents that we reference herein and therein and have filed as exhibits, completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. You should assume that the information appearing in this annual report on Form 10-K is accurate as of the date on the front cover of this annual report only. Because the risk factors referred to herein could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties, along with others, are described under the heading “Risk Factors.” Further, any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict which factors will arise. In addition, we cannot assess the impact of each factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. We qualify all of the information presented in this annual report on Form 10-K, and particularly our forward-looking statements, by these cautionary statements.

This annual report on Form 10-K includes statistical and other industry and market data that we obtained from industry publications and research, surveys and studies conducted by third parties. Industry publications and third party research, surveys and studies generally indicate that their information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, although they do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information. While we believe these industry publications and third party research, surveys and studies are reliable, we have not independently verified such data.

2015 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	12
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	27
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	27
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	27
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure</u>	27
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	28
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	28
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	35
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	35
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	35
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	35
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	36
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	37
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	42
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	47
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	49
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	51
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	52
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

We are an emerging growth company providing technology solutions to improve the clinical effectiveness and efficiency of healthcare insurers and physician groups. Our mission is to develop, manufacture and market innovative proprietary products and services that assist our customers in evaluating and treating chronic diseases. In 2011, we began commercializing our first patented and U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, cleared product, which measured arterial blood flow in the extremities to aid in the diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease, or PAD. In March 2015, we received FDA 510(k) clearance for the next generation product, QuantaFlo™, which we began commercializing in August 2015. In April 2015, we launched our multi-test service platform, WellChec™, to more comprehensively evaluate our customers' patients for chronic disease. We believe the combination of our proprietary PAD test, QuantaFlo™, and our multi-test service platform, WellChec™, position us to provide valuable information to our insurance company and physician customers, which in turn permit them to guide patient care and close the gap between the cost of patient care and compensation for providing that care.

In the year ended December 31, 2015 we had total revenue of \$7,001,000 and a net loss of \$8,501,000 compared to total revenue of \$3,635,000 and a net loss of \$4,515,000, in 2014.

We were originally formed as an Oregon corporation in 2007, by our co-founder and Chairman, Dr. Semler, who invented the technology behind our products. In 2013, prior to our initial public offering, we reincorporated in Delaware.

Our Products and Services

We currently market only one patented and FDA-cleared product, QuantaFlo™, and our multi-test service platform, WellChec™, to our customers. QuantaFlo™ is a four-minute in-office blood flow test. Healthcare providers can use blood flow measurements as part of their examinations of a patient's vascular condition, including assessments of patients who have vascular disease. The following diagram illustrates the use of QuantaFlo™:

QuantaFlo™ features a sensor clamp that is placed on the toe or finger much like current pulse oximetry devices. Infrared light emitted from the clamp on the dorsal surface of the digit is scattered and reflected by the red blood cells coursing through the area of illumination. Returning light is 'sensed' by the sensor. A blood flow waveform is instantaneously constructed by our proprietary software algorithm and displayed on the video monitor. Both index fingers and both large toes are interrogated, which takes about 30 seconds for each. A

Table of Contents

hardcopy report form is generated that displays four waveforms and the ratio of each leg measurement compared with the arms. Results are classified as Flow Obstruction or No Flow Obstruction.

We have primarily developed a license model rather than an outright sales model for QuantaFlo™. This license model eliminates the need to make a capital equipment sale. Consequently, we generally require no down payment or long-term commitment from our customers. QuantaFlo™ has an expected average lifetime of at least three years. We intend to reevaluate the monthly price periodically in consideration of the revenue generation associated with QuantaFlo™. To date, we roughly estimate that routine office usage of the QuantaFlo™ has ranged from a few tests per week up to 10 tests per day. We also offer contracts in which we invoice on a per test basis for use of QuantaFlo™, or as part of the WellChec™ multi-test platform.

QuantaFlo™ is also the centerpiece of our wellness diagnostic testing service, known as WellChec™. WellChec™ provides testing equipment and third-party personnel to perform tests and examinations of patients for our customers. We invoice on a fee per test or per examination basis for this service. The tests performed are for chronic disease states, which include respiratory disease, vascular disease, eye disease, bone disease, heart disease, neuropathy and diabetes.

We have placed our QuantaFlo™ product with cardiologists, internists, nephrologists, endocrinologists, podiatrists and family practitioners and organizations including healthcare insurance plans, integrated delivery networks, independent physician groups and companies contracting with the healthcare industry. Our WellChec™ service platform is generally targeted to health insurance plans and other healthcare organizations.

Other Blood Flow Testing Methods

Blood flow is the amount of blood delivered to a given region per unit time, whereas blood pressure is the force exerted by circulating blood on the walls of arteries. Given a fixed resistance, blood flow and blood pressure are proportional. The traditional ankle brachial index, or ABI, with Doppler test uses a blood pressure cuff to measure the systolic blood pressure in the lower legs and in the arms. A blood pressure cuff is inflated proximal to the artery in question. Using a Doppler device, the inflation continues until the pulse in the artery ceases. The blood pressure cuff is then slowly deflated. When the artery's pulse is re-detected through the Doppler probe the pressure in the cuff at that moment indicates the systolic pressure of that artery. The test is repeated on all four extremities. Well-established criteria for the ratio of the blood pressure in a leg compared to the blood pressure in the arms are used to assess the presence or absence of flow obstruction. Generally these tests take 15 minutes to perform and require a vascular technician to be done properly. Like QuantaFlo™, the traditional analog ABI test with Doppler is a non-invasive physiologic measurement that may be abnormal in the presence of PAD. Alternatively, primary care physicians may palpate the pedal pulses to assess blood flow in the lower extremities. However, pulse palpation is generally not sensitive for the detection of vascular disease. Other options to detect arterial obstructions are imaging systems that use ultrasound, x-ray technology or magnetic resonance to obtain anatomic information about blood vessels in the

legs. However, as compared to QuantaFlo™, imaging tests are much more expensive and are performed by specialists in special laboratories or offices.

Market Opportunity

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively, the Health Care Reform Law, was signed in March 2010. This sweeping law is intended to broaden access to health insurance, reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare spending, enhance remedies against fraud and abuse, add new transparency requirements for the healthcare and health insurance industries, impose new taxes and fees on the health industry and impose additional health policy reforms. This legislation includes reforms and reductions that have affected Medicare reimbursements and health insurance coverage for certain services and treatments. The Health Care Reform Law has brought a new way of doing business for providers and health insurance plans. We believe that fee-for-service programs will be reduced in favor of capitated programs that pay a monthly fee per patient.

Fee-for-service is a payment model where services are unbundled and paid for separately. In health care, it gives an incentive for physicians to provide more treatments because payment is dependent on the quantity of care, rather than quality of care. Capitation is a payment arrangement that pays a physician or group of physicians a set amount for each enrolled person assigned to them, per period of time, whether or not that person seeks care. The amount of remuneration is based on the average expected healthcare utilization of that patient, with greater payment for patients with significant medical history. For Medicare Advantage patients, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid

Table of Contents

Services, or CMS, pays a fee per patient, also known as capitation. CMS uses risk adjustment to adjust capitation payments to health plans, either higher or lower, to account for the differences in expected health costs of individuals. Accordingly, under CMS guidelines, risk factor adjustments per patient will provide payment that is higher for sicker patients who have conditions that are codified. Accordingly, there is a financial incentive to identify those Medicare Advantage patients that are sicker, including those that have undiagnosed ailments such as PAD.

The coding system used by CMS for the Medicare Advantage program is a hierarchical condition category, or HCC, diagnostic classification system that begins by classifying over 14,000 diagnosis codes into 805 diagnostic groups, or DXGs. Each code maps to exactly one DXG, which represents a well-specified medical condition, such as DXG 96.01 precerebral or cerebral arterial occlusion with infarction. DXGs are further aggregated into 189 condition categories, or CCs. CCs describe a broader set of similar diseases. Diseases within a CC are related clinically and with respect to cost. An example is CC96 Ischemic or Unspecified Stroke, which includes DXGs 96.01 and 96.02 acute but ill-defined cerebrovascular disease. We believe that quality of care measured by completeness and wellness is an economic benefit. These changes are already in place for the approximately 17 million participants in the Medicare Advantage program and are expected to expand to more types of insured patients as healthcare reform is deployed.

Undiagnosed vascular disease of the legs has been called a major under-diagnosed health problem in the United States by the National Institute of Health and the Wall Street Journal. We believe vascular disease in leg arteries is undiagnosed in 75% of cases, which is about 12 million Americans. Known as peripheral artery disease, or PAD, this condition is a common and deadly cardiovascular disease that is often undiagnosed. PAD develops when the arteries in the legs become clogged with plaque — fatty deposits — that limit blood flow to the legs. As with clogged arteries in the heart, clogged arteries in the legs place patients at an increased risk of heart attack and stroke. Published studies have shown that persons with PAD are four times more likely to die of heart attack, and two-three times more likely to die of stroke. According to a study by P.G. Steg published in the JAMA, patients with PAD have a 21% event rate of cardiovascular death, heart attack, stroke or cardiovascular hospitalization within 12 months. The SAGE Group has estimated that as many as 18 million people are affected with PAD in the United States alone and A.T. Hirsch et al. in a JAMA published article further estimate that only 11% have claudication (pain on exertion), a classic symptom of PAD. One can lower the risks associated with PAD if the disease is detected, with early detection providing the greatest benefit.

Many people affected with PAD do not have noticeable symptoms. When symptoms of PAD are present, they often include fatigue, heaviness, cramping or pain in the legs during activity, leg or foot pain, sores, wounds or ulcers on the toes, feet, or legs, which are slow to heal. Persons with PAD may become disabled and not be able to work, and can even lead to amputations. According to the SAGE Group, there are approximately 160,000 amputations due to PAD per year and, according to the National Limb Loss Information Center, an estimated 2 million Americans are amputees.

Risk factors for developing PAD include:

- Age (over 50 years)
- Race (African-American)

- History of smoking
- Diabetes
- High blood pressure
- High blood cholesterol
- Personal history of vascular disease, heart attack, or stroke.

We believe medical personnel who care for those older than 50 years are the target market for QuantaFlo™, along with those insurance plans that have a high number of Medicare Advantage patients. Based on U.S. Census data, we believe there are more than 80 million older Americans who could be evaluated for the presence of PAD.

According to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, there are over 200,000 internists, family practitioners and gerontologists in the United States. In addition, based on American Heart Association data, there are over 20,000 cardiologists and 7,500 vascular and cardiovascular surgeons. Also, there are millions of diabetic patients seen routinely by endocrinologists. Many podiatrists who see patients with these problems and orthopedic surgeons may see value in screening patients for circulation issues prior to leg procedures. Neurologists may need a tool to differentiate leg pain from vascular versus neurologic etiology. Nephrologists see patients with kidney disease, who have a higher frequency of PAD. Wound care centers need to know the adequacy of limb perfusion. We expect that each physician will have many patient visits annually from people older than 50 years. While, it is

Table of Contents

standard practice to ask about symptoms of PAD and to feel for diminished pulses on physical exam, we believe that it is often the case in busy practices, that the questions go unasked.

Generally speaking, individual products are not specifically approved by name under a third-party payor code. Physicians who seek reimbursement for PAD testing procedures are likely to use codes that describe non-invasive physiologic testing of extremities. We do not track directly how physicians code for and receive payment for such procedures.

Strategy

Our mission is to develop, manufacture and market proprietary products and services that assist healthcare providers in evaluating and treating chronic diseases. We intend to do this by:

Targeting customers with patients at risk of developing PAD. Healthcare providers use blood flow measurements as part of their assessment of a patient's vascular condition. Our strategy is to keep marketing QuantaFlo™ on a license-based model to insurance plans and medical personnel who care for those older than 50, including cardiologists, internists, nephrologists, endocrinologist, podiatrists, and family practitioners. Specifically, we believe there are more than 250,000 physicians and other potential customers in the United States alone, many of whom care for patients will be more than 50 years old and at increased risk of developing PAD. Based on U.S. Census data, the evaluable patient population for QuantaFlo™ is estimated to be more than 80 million patients in the United States annually.

Expanding the tools available to internists and non-peripheral vascular experts. Our intention is to provide a tool to internists and non-peripheral vascular experts, for whom it was previously impractical to conduct a blood flow measurement unless in a specialized vascular laboratory. For vascular specialists, QuantaFlo™ does not require the use of blood pressure cuffs (which should not be used on some breast cancer patients), and measures without blood pressure in obese patients and patients with non-compressible, hard, calcified arteries. Currently, these patients often are unable to be measured satisfactorily with traditional analog ABI devices.

Developing additional product and service offerings that allow healthcare providers to deliver cost-effective wellness and receive increased compensation for their services. We recently received FDA 510(k) clearance of our product, QuantaFlo™, reflecting several updates and modifications to the original model that were developed in conjunction with our consultant engineering groups. We are also exploring potential new product and service offerings, and also launched WellChec™ in April 2015. These product and service offerings are designed to provide cost-effective wellness solutions for our growing, established customer base. Our goal is to achieve a reputation for outstanding service and the provision of cost-effective wellness solutions, while leveraging our gains in the marketplace for such product and service offerings.

Sales and Marketing

We provide our QuantaFlo™ product and WellChec™ services to our customers through our salespersons and our QuantaFlo™ product through our co-exclusive distributor, Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., or Bard, a large medical device company with a worldwide presence in both interventional cardiology and dialysis. We began a co-exclusive supply and distribution arrangement with Bard in late 2012 in an effort to increase our sales and marketing reach, which arrangement accounted for less than 20% of our revenues in each of 2014 and 2015. With certain exceptions, we appointed Bard on a co-exclusive basis to license QuantaFlo™ to certain customers, and we retained the right to license directly to such customers as well. In addition to our co-exclusive distributor, we have direct sales and marketing representatives, who have experience selling products and services to our anticipated market.

We deliver our vascular testing product directly to our customers, and in-service training to the customer is provided either on-line or in person. Because QuantaFlo™ is relatively easy to use training can generally be accomplished in less than one day.

Customers who have licensed our QuantaFlo™ product may pay by credit card or check on the 15th of each month as an advance for usage during the next 30 days. In some cases, customers prefer an annual license paid in advance. We provide technical support daily, coupled directly to the manufacturing operation so that replacement products, if needed, can be shipped overnight directly to the customer. The majority of the support

Table of Contents

is over the telephone and focuses on software and connectivity issues, rather than hardware. We plan to upgrade QuantaFlo™ operating systems as appropriate by direct shipments.

In addition to the license model, which we have done historically, we have recently begun exploring other options to generate revenue from our QuantaFlo™ product. We have contracts that use a fee-per-test model, in which we invoice on a per test basis for use of QuantaFlo™. We have sold QuantaFlo™ equipment and license the QuantaFlo™ software.

WellChec™ services are similarly marketed and sold by our direct sales and marketing team to the same group of potential customers. Generally, each test or examination that is performed has a contracted rate for which the customer is invoiced on a periodic basis.

Manufacturing

We manufacture our product, QuantaFlo™ through an independent contractor. We entered into our service and supply agreement with the contract manufacturer in April 2011 and pay our manufacturer for finished goods. The contract provides for subassemblies, product final assembly, test, serialization, finished goods, inventory and shipping operations. Our current contract will remain in force until terminated by us upon three months written notice, or until terminated by either party for cause. Although we believe we have a good working relationship with our current contract manufacturer, there are many such qualified contract manufacturers available around the country should we need to replace them or if they are not able to meet demand as we grow our business as anticipated. We believe QuantaFlo™ is relatively easy to manufacture. We employ a consultant vendor qualification expert to monitor and test the quality controls and quality assurance procedures of our contract manufacturer.

Competition

The principal competitor for QuantaFlo™ is the standard blood pressure cuff ankle-brachial index, or ABI, device. QuantaFlo™ does not include a blood pressure cuff. We are not aware of another product that performs “digital ABI” without the use of a blood pressure cuff. There are several companies that manufacture the traditional ABI device, which range in price from \$2,500 to \$20,000. Some of these companies are much larger than us and have more financial resources and their own distributor network. The traditional ABI devices are differentiated by the degree of automation designed into each product. ABI devices that rely more heavily on operator assessment (*i.e.*, listening to the return of pulse while decreasing cuff pressure), are thought to have less objectivity in their measurement. We know of no direct ‘digital ABI’ competitor to QuantaFlo™. Because standard ABI devices require a better trained operator, the products are usually sold to specialized vascular labs that are supervised by a vascular surgeon, with the tests performed by a licensed vascular technician. It is not uncommon for such ABI devices to be marketed to the

offices of internists, podiatrists, endocrinologists or most cardiologists.

Our intention is to provide a tool to internists and non-peripheral vascular experts, for whom it was previously impractical to conduct a blood flow measurement unless in a specialized vascular laboratory. For vascular specialists, QuantaFlo™ does not require the use of blood pressure cuffs (which should not be used on some breast cancer patients), and measures without blood pressure in obese patients and patients with non-compressible, hard, calcified arteries. Currently, these patients often are unable to be measured with traditional analog ABI devices.

The list of potential competitors for WellChec™ services is quite extensive as any medical practitioner can perform tests and examinations. Such practitioners might be in solo or group practices or employed by a larger entity, such as a company or a medical center. Our intention is provide a comprehensive, premium delivery of diagnostic information some of which is obtained with our proprietary QuantaFlo™ product. Most of the information that is collected in the WellChec™ service can be obtained by competitors using available technology or products.

Research and Development Program

We have dedicated, engineering consultants that are well integrated into our overall business, ranging from customer requirements to technical support. The engineering group uses our in-house quality system as its framework for new product development and release. The majority of the engineering is circuit design and

Table of Contents

software development, as QuantaFlo™ is PC-based. We are currently developing several updates and modifications to QuantaFlo™ in conjunction with our consultant engineering groups, as well as exploring potential new product and service offerings. These product and service offerings are being designed to provide cost-effective wellness solutions for our growing, established customer base. The new products and service offerings under development or that may be developed may incorporate some of our current technology or new technology. We are also directing much of our activity to building our patent portfolio and protecting proprietary positions.

We have sponsored several studies of our blood flow measurement products. One of these studies, the results of which were compiled in 2012 and published in a peer reviewed journal in 2013, sought to determine the frequency of finding undiscovered vascular disease in primary care practices using our vascular testing product. In the study of 632 patients at 19 office practices, the frequency of flow obstruction was 12% and of these patients, 75% did not have classic symptoms of PAD. Among other limitations of the study, the publication mentions the study's retrospective design, no direct comparison to other vascular tests, and passive data collection such that 8% of patients had one or more missing data fields.

Another study we sponsored was designed to assess the side by side performance of our vascular testing product compared with traditional analog ABI with Doppler measurements in medical practices. In the study of 181 limbs from 121 patients at 5 medical practices during 2012 and 2013, three techniques were used on all limbs: our test, traditional analog ABI with Doppler, and Duplex ultrasound imaging. Traditional analog ABI with Doppler was unable to perform a conclusive study in 8.7% of limbs. In the remaining limbs, our vascular testing product and the ABI with Doppler measurements were in agreement, or in other words concordant, in 78% of limbs. Among the discordant limbs, Duplex imaging judged that the true positive rate of our vascular testing product was significantly higher than that of ABI with Doppler by a 2 to 1 margin. The results of the study have not been submitted for publication in a peer reviewed journal and are available as a white paper that may be shown to potential customers or other interested parties. Among other limitations of the study, the study had a small sample size, was conducted at specialty practices not primary care practices, had a retrospective design with incomplete collection of demographic information and clinical characteristics of the population, was not peer reviewed and was sponsored by us.

Another study also was designed to assess the side by side performance of our vascular testing product compared with traditional analog ABI with Doppler measurements in medical practices. In this prospective study at five medical practices during 2013 through 2015, 360 limbs from 180 patients were examined with three techniques: Our vascular testing product, traditional analog ABI with Doppler, and Duplex ultrasound imaging as a gold standard. Results demonstrated that our test demonstrated greater sensitivity, greater accuracy and equivalent specificity compared to ABI with Doppler measurements. The results of the study are available as a white paper. Among limitations of the study are that it had a small sample size, was conducted at a mix of primary care and specialty practices, had no formal tracking of consecutive patients, and was sponsored by us.

Patents and Licenses

We have been issued one patent for our apparatus, U.S. Patent No. 7,628,760, which expires December 11, 2027. Three other U.S. patent applications are pending. Other patents are in process.

Governmental Regulation

Our vascular testing product received FDA 510(k) clearance in February 2010 as a Class II Medical Device. Advanced Vascular Technologies, or AVD, an entity formerly affiliated with our co-founder and Chairman, Dr. Semler, applied for and obtained for the 510(k) clearance. However, any interests it may have had in such 510(k) clearance were subsequently assigned to us and AVD did not manufacture any products for our company. In March 2015, we received FDA 510(k) clearance for the next generation version of this product, QuantaFlo™. The Class II Medical Device designation means that QuantaFlo™ is a commercial device and is currently being sold in the United States. Class II devices are subject to FDA's general controls, and any other special controls as deemed necessary by FDA to provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device. Pre-market review and clearance by FDA for Class II devices are generally accomplished through the 510(k) pre-market notification procedure. Pre-market notification submissions are subject to user fees, unless a specific exemption applies.

Table of Contents

As our business is subject to extensive federal, state, local and foreign regulations, we currently employ an established regulatory consultant specializing in medical devices to maintain our regulatory filings, monitor our on-going activities, and ensure compliance with all federal and state regulations.

Some of the pertinent laws have not been definitively interpreted by the regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provisions are open to a variety of subjective interpretations. In addition, these laws and their interpretations are subject to change. Both federal and state governmental agencies continue to subject the healthcare industry to intense regulatory scrutiny, including heightened civil and criminal enforcement efforts. We believe that we have structured our business operations and relationships with our customers to comply with all applicable legal requirements. However, it is possible that governmental entities or other third parties could interpret these laws differently and assert otherwise. We discuss below the statutes and regulations that are most relevant to our business.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration Regulation

QuantaFlo™ is a medical device subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other federal, state, local and foreign regulatory bodies. FDA regulations govern, among other things, the following activities that we or our partners perform and will continue to perform:

- product design and development;
- product testing;
- product manufacturing;
- product safety;
- post-market adverse event reporting;
- post-market surveillance;
- product labeling;

- product storage;
- record keeping;
- pre-market clearance or approval;
- post-market approval studies;
- advertising and promotion; and
- product sales and distribution.

FDA's Pre-market Clearance and Approval Requirements

To commercially distribute in the United States, QuantaFlo™ or any future medical device we develop requires or will require either prior 510(k) clearance or prior approval of a premarket approval, or PMA, application from the FDA. The FDA classifies medical devices into one of three classes. Devices deemed to pose lower risk are placed in either class I or II, which requires the manufacturer to submit to the FDA a pre-market notification requesting permission for commercial distribution. This process is known as 510(k) clearance. Some low risk devices are exempt from this requirement. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device are placed in class III, requiring approval of a PMA application. Both pre-market clearance and PMA applications are subject to the payment of user fees, paid at the time of submission for FDA review. The FDA can also impose restrictions on the sale, distribution or use of devices at the time of their clearance or approval, or subsequent to marketing.

510(k) Clearance Pathway

To obtain 510(k) clearance, a medical device manufacturer must submit a pre-market notification demonstrating that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA has not yet called for the

Table of Contents

submission of PMA applications. The FDA's 510(k) clearance pathway usually takes from three to 12 months from the date the application is completed, but it can take significantly longer and clearance is never assured. Although many 510(k) pre-market notifications are cleared without clinical data, in some cases, the FDA requires significant clinical data to support substantial equivalence. In reviewing a pre-market notification, the FDA may request additional information, including clinical data, which may significantly prolong the review process. After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, will require a new 510(k) clearance or could require a PMA application. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer's determination. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer's determination regarding whether a new pre-market submission is required for the modification of an existing device, the FDA can require the manufacturer to cease marketing and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or approval of a PMA application is obtained. If the FDA requires us to seek 510(k) clearance or approval of a PMA application for any modifications to QuantaFlo™ we may be required to cease marketing or recall the modified device until we obtain this clearance or approval. Also, in these circumstances, we may be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties for failure to submit the requisite PMA application(s). We have made and plan to continue to make minor additional product enhancements that we believe do not require new 510(k) clearances. In addition, the FDA is currently evaluating the 510(k) clearance process and may make substantial changes to industry requirements, including which devices are eligible for 510(k) clearance, the ability to rescind previously granted 510(k) clearance and additional requirements that may significantly impact the process.

Pre-market Approval Pathway

A PMA application must be submitted if the device cannot be cleared through the 510(k) clearance process and requires proof of the safety and effectiveness of the device to the FDA's satisfaction. Accordingly, a PMA application must be supported by extensive data including, but not limited to, technical information regarding device design and development, preclinical and clinical trials, data and manufacturing and labeling to support the FDA's determination that the device is safe and effective for its intended use. After a PMA application is complete, the FDA begins an in-depth review of the submitted information, which generally takes between one and three years, but may take significantly longer. During this review period, the FDA may request additional information or clarification of information already provided. Also during the review period, an advisory panel of experts from outside the FDA may be convened to review and evaluate the application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to the approvability of the device. In addition, the FDA will conduct a preapproval inspection of the manufacturing facility to ensure compliance with Quality System Regulations, or QSRs, which impose elaborate design development, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures in the design and manufacturing process. The FDA may approve a PMA application with post-approval conditions intended to ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device including, among other things, restrictions on labeling, promotion, sale and distribution and collection of long-term follow-up data from patients in the clinical study that supported approval. Failure to comply with the conditions of approval can result in materially adverse enforcement action, including the loss or withdrawal of the approval. New PMA applications or PMA application supplements are required for significant modifications to the manufacturing process, labeling and design of a device that is approved through the PMA process. PMA supplements often require submission of the same type of information as a PMA application, except that the supplement is limited to information needed to support any changes from the device covered by the original PMA application, and may not require as extensive clinical data or the convening of an advisory panel.

Pervasive and Continuing FDA Regulation

After a device is placed on the market, regardless of its classification or pre-market pathway, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include, but are not limited to:

- establishing registration and device listings with the FDA;

quality system regulation, which requires manufacturers to follow stringent design, testing, process control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures;

labeling regulations, which prohibit the promotion of products for uncleared or unapproved, *i.e.*, “off-label,” uses and impose other restrictions on labeling;

Table of Contents

medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur;

corrections and removal reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA field corrections and product recalls or removals if undertaken to reduce a risk to health posed by the device or to remedy a violation of the U.S. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, that may present a risk to health; and

- requirements to conduct post-market surveillance studies to establish continued safety data.

The FDA enforces these requirements by inspection and market surveillance. Failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can result in enforcement action by the FDA, which may include any of the following sanctions:

- untitled letters or warning letters;
- fines, injunctions and civil penalties;
- recall or seizure of our products;
- operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production;
- refusing our request for 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval of new products;
- withdrawing 510(k) clearance or pre-market approvals that are already granted; and
- criminal prosecution.

We are subject to unannounced device inspections by the FDA and the California Food and Drug Branch. These inspections may include our suppliers' facilities.

Sales and Marketing Commercial Compliance

Federal anti-kickback laws and regulations prohibit, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for, or to induce either the referral of an individual, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any good or service paid for under federal healthcare programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Possible sanctions for violation of these anti-kickback laws include monetary fines, civil and criminal penalties, exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid programs and forfeiture of amounts collected in violation of such prohibitions.

In addition, federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false statement to get a false claim paid. Off-label promotion has been pursued as a violation of the federal false claims laws. Pursuant to FDA regulations, we can only market our products for cleared or approved uses. Although surgeons are permitted to use medical devices for indications other than those cleared or approved by the FDA based on their medical judgment, we are prohibited from promoting products for such off-label uses. Additionally, the majority of states in which we market our products have similar anti-kickback, false claims, anti-fee splitting and self-referral laws, which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers, and violations may result in substantial civil and criminal penalties.

To enforce compliance with the federal laws, the U.S. Department of Justice, or DOJ, has increased its scrutiny of interactions between healthcare companies and healthcare providers, which has led to an unprecedented level of investigations, prosecutions, convictions and settlements in the healthcare industry. Dealing with investigations can be time-and resource-consuming. Additionally, if a healthcare company settles an investigation with the DOJ or other law enforcement agencies, the company may be required to agree to additional compliance and reporting requirements as part of a consent decree or corporate integrity agreement.

The U.S. and foreign government regulators have increased regulation, enforcement, inspections and governmental investigations of the medical device industry, including increased U.S. government oversight and enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Whenever a governmental authority concludes that we are not in compliance with applicable laws or regulations, that authority can impose fines, delay or suspend regulatory clearances, institute proceedings to detain or seize our products, issue a recall, impose operating restrictions, enjoin future violations and assess civil penalties against us or our officers or employees and can recommend

Table of Contents

criminal prosecution. Moreover, governmental authorities can ban or request the recall, repair, replacement or refund of the cost of devices we distribute.

Additionally, the commercial compliance environment is continually evolving in the healthcare industry as some states, including California, Massachusetts and Vermont, mandate implementation of corporate compliance programs, along with the tracking and reporting of gifts, compensation and other remuneration to physicians. The Health Care Reform Law also imposed new reporting and disclosure requirements on device manufacturers for any “transfer of value” made or distributed to prescribers and other healthcare providers, effective March 30, 2013. Such information is now made publicly available in a searchable format and device manufacturers are now required to report and disclose any investment interests held by physicians and their family members during the preceding calendar year. Failure to submit required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to an aggregate of \$150,000 per year (and up to an aggregate of \$1 million per year for “knowing failures”), for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests not reported in an annual submission. The shifting compliance environment and the need to build and maintain robust and expandable systems to comply in multiple jurisdictions with different compliance and/or reporting requirements increases the possibility that a healthcare company may run afoul of one or more of the requirements.

Third-Party Coverage and Reimbursement

We cannot control whether or not providers who use QuantaFlo™ will seek third-party coverage for such procedures or reimbursement. If providers intend to seek third-party coverage or reimbursement for use of QuantaFlo™, the success of our product could become dependent on the availability of coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, such as governmental programs including Medicare and Medicaid, private insurance plans and managed care programs. Reimbursement is contingent on established coding for a given procedure, coverage of the codes by the third-party payors and adequate payment for the resources used.

Physician coding for procedures is established by the American Medical Association. CMS, the agency responsible for administering Medicare, and the National Center for Health Statistics, are jointly responsible for overseeing changes and modifications to billing codes used by hospitals for reporting inpatient procedures, and many private payors use coverage decisions and payment amounts determined by CMS for Medicare as guidelines in setting their coverage and reimbursement policies. All physician and hospital coding is subject to change, which could impact coverage and reimbursement and physician practice behavior. We do not track denial of requests for reimbursement made by the users of QuantaFlo™. It is our belief that such denials have occurred and might occur in the future with more or less frequency. We are not in the business of performing QuantaFlo™ measurements that require us to seek reimbursement from third-party payors. Many of our customers are third-party payors who pay us directly for use of our product and services.

Independent of the coding status, third-party payors may deny coverage based on their own criteria, such as if they believe that the clinical efficacy of a device or procedure is not well established and is deemed experimental or investigational, is not the most cost-effective treatment available, or is used for an unapproved indication. We will continue to provide the appropriate resources to patients, physicians, hospitals and insurers in order to promote the best in patient care and clarity regarding reimbursement and work to reverse any non-coverage policies. For some governmental programs, such as Medicaid, coverage and reimbursement differ from state to state, and some state Medicaid programs may not pay an adequate amount for the procedures performed with our products, if any payment is made at all. As the portion of the U.S. population over the age of 65 and eligible for Medicaid continues to grow, we may be more vulnerable to coverage and reimbursement limitations imposed by CMS. National and regional coverage policy decisions are subject to unforeseeable change and have the potential to impact physician behavior. For example, if CMS decreases the monthly payment for a 65 year old patient, then the provider will have to decide which steps to eliminate from his or her routine office visits in order to maintain a profitable business model. If the time of an office visit will need to be reduced to maintain a profitable business, a provider may decide to eliminate certain services or conducting certain procedures, such as deciding not to use a thermometer, take someone's blood pressure or use a QuantaFlo™ to run an ABI test. Thus, reimbursement limitations imposed by CMS on providers may affect their decision making about which services to provide during an office visit, which could affect our company.

Particularly in the United States, third-party payors carefully review, have undertaken cost-containment initiatives, and increasingly challenge, the prices charged for procedures and medical products as well as any technology that they, in their own judgment, consider experimental or investigational. In addition, an increasing percentage of insured individuals are receiving their medical care through managed care programs, which monitor and often require pre-approval or pre-authorization of the services that a member will receive. Many managed care

Table of Contents

programs are paying their providers on a capitated basis, which puts the providers at financial risk for the services provided to their patients by paying them a predetermined amount per member per month. The percentage of individuals covered by managed care programs is expected to grow in the United States over the next decade.

There can be no assurance that third-party coverage and reimbursement will be available or adequate, or that future legislation, regulation, or coverage and reimbursement policies of third-party payors will not adversely affect the demand for our products or our ability to sell these products on a profitable basis. The unavailability or inadequacy of third-party payor coverage or reimbursement could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Healthcare Fraud and Abuse

Healthcare fraud and abuse laws apply to our business when a customer submits a claim for an item or service that is reimbursed under Medicare, Medicaid or most other federally-funded healthcare programs. The federal Anti-Kickback Law prohibits unlawful inducements for the referral of business reimbursable under federally-funded healthcare programs, such as remuneration provided to physicians to induce them to use certain tissue products or medical devices reimbursable by Medicare or Medicaid. The Anti-Kickback Law is subject to evolving interpretations. For example, the government has enforced the Anti-Kickback Law to reach large settlements with healthcare companies based on sham consultant arrangements with physicians or questionable joint venture arrangements. The majority of states also have anti-kickback laws, which establish similar prohibitions that may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers. Further, the recently enacted Health Care Reform Law, among other things, amends the intent requirement of the federal anti-kickback and criminal healthcare fraud statutes. A person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it. In addition, the Health Care Reform Law provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal anti-kickback statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims statutes.

If a governmental authority were to conclude that we are not in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, we and our officers and employees could be subject to severe criminal and civil penalties including, for example, exclusion from participation as a supplier of product to beneficiaries covered by Medicare or Medicaid.

Additionally, the civil False Claims Act prohibits knowingly presenting or causing the presentation of a false, fictitious or fraudulent claim for payment to the U.S. government. Actions under the False Claims Act may be brought by the Attorney General or as a qui tam action by a private individual in the name of the government. Violations of the False Claims Act can result in very significant monetary penalties and treble damages. The federal government is using the False Claims Act, and the accompanying threat of significant liability, in its investigations of healthcare providers and suppliers throughout the country for a wide variety of Medicare billing practices, and has obtained

multi-million and multi-billion dollar settlements in addition to individual criminal convictions. Given the significant size of actual and potential settlements, it is expected that the government will continue to devote substantial resources to investigating healthcare providers' and suppliers' compliance with the healthcare reimbursement rules and fraud and abuse laws.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 37 employees, 36 were full time employees and one was a part time employee. These employees included two executive officers and 24 employees dedicated to sales and marketing of our product and service. Subsequent to year end we implemented a work force reduction as part of our cost containment measures. As of the date of this annual report, we have 25 employees, 24 are full time employees and one is a part time employee. Our current employees include two executive officers and 16 employees dedicated to sales and marketing of our product and service. None of our employees is represented by a labor union, and we consider our relationship with our employees to be good. We also regularly engage consultants and subcontractors on an as-needed basis.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Any investment in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Investors should carefully consider the risks described below and all of the information contained in this annual report on Form 10-K before deciding whether to purchase our common stock. Our business, financial condition or results of operations and trading price or value of our securities could be materially adversely affected by these risks if any of them actually occur. This annual report on Form 10-K also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including the risks we face as described below and elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to our Business

We have incurred significant losses since inception. There is no assurance that we will ever achieve or maintain profitability.

Since inception, we have incurred significant operating losses. Our net loss was \$8,501,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$4,515,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2015, we had an accumulated deficit of \$22,368,000. To date, we have financed our operations primarily through the sale of our equity securities and, to a limited extent, bank financing and the issuance of promissory notes. In the current economic environment, financing for technology and medical device companies has become increasingly difficult to obtain. Additional financing may not be available in the amount that we need or on terms favorable to us, if at all. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership of us by our stockholders would be diluted. In addition, in order to raise additional funds we may have to issue equity or debt securities that have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our existing securities. We have devoted substantially all of our financial resources and efforts to research and development and marketing of our vascular testing products. There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve or maintain profitability.

Our independent registered public accounting firm's report for the year ended December 31, 2015 includes a "going concern" explanatory paragraph.

As noted above, we have incurred recurring losses since inception and expect to continue to incur losses as a result of costs and expenses related to our marketing and other promotional activities, research and continued development of our QuantaFlo™ product. Our limited capital resources and operations to date have been funded primarily through sales of our equity securities and, to a limited extent, bank financing and revenue from leasing our QuantaFlo™ product. As of December 31, 2015, we had negative working capital of \$2,356,000, cash of \$405,000, stockholders' deficit of

\$1,072,000 and an accumulated deficit of approximately \$22,368,000. As our revenue grows, our operating expenses will continue to grow and, as a result, we will need to generate significant additional revenues to achieve profitability. As a result, our auditor's report for year ended December 31, 2015 includes an explanatory paragraph that expresses substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a "going concern." In the event that we are unable to generate sufficient cash from our operating activities or raise additional funds, we may be required to delay, reduce or severely curtail our operations or otherwise impede our on-going business efforts, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition and long-term prospects.

If we do not successfully implement our business strategy, our business and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Our business strategy was formed based on assumptions about the peripheral arterial disease, or PAD, market that might prove wrong. We believe that various demographics and industry-specific trends, including the aging of the general population, growth of capitated payment programs, numbers of undiagnosed patients with PAD and the importance of codifying vascular disease will help drive growth in the PAD market and our risk assessment business. However, these demographics and trends, and our assumptions about them, are uncertain. Actual demand for our products and service offerings could differ materially from projected demand if our assumptions regarding these factors prove to be incorrect or do not materialize, or if alternatives to our products or other risk assessment service providers gain widespread acceptance.

In addition, we may not be able to successfully implement our business strategy. To implement our business strategy, we need to (among other things) find new applications for and improve our products and service offerings and educate healthcare providers and plans about the clinical and cost benefits of our products, all of which we believe could increase acceptance of our products by physicians. In addition, we are seeking to

Table of Contents

increase our sales and, in order to do so, will need to expand our direct and distributor sales forces in existing and new territories, all of which could result in our becoming subject to additional or different regulatory requirements, with which we may not be able to comply. Moreover, even if we successfully implement our business strategy, our operating results may not improve or may decline. We may decide to alter or discontinue aspects of our business strategy and may adopt different strategies due to business or competitive factors not currently foreseen, such as new medical technologies that would make our products obsolete. Any delay or failure to implement our business strategy may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We currently actively market only one FDA-cleared product, a vascular testing product; vascular testing may not achieve broad market acceptance or be commercially successful.

We currently actively market only one product, QuantaFlo™, and expect that revenues from our vascular testing product will account for the vast majority of our revenues for at least the next several years. Our vascular testing product may not gain broad market acceptance unless we continue to convince physicians and plans of its benefits. Moreover, even if physicians understand the benefits of vascular testing, they still may elect not to use our product for a variety of reasons, such as the familiarity of the physician with other devices and approaches. We may not be successful in gaining market acceptance of a technique measuring comparative blood flows using our proprietary algorithm to indicate flow obstruction as opposed to existing techniques that measure comparative blood pressures using well-accepted criteria to indicate flow obstruction, or imaging techniques that visualize anatomy of the arteries. Physicians may also object to renting an examining tool with on-going monthly payments rather than making a one-time capital purchase, or be reluctant to pay monthly fees for tools in the examining room when they have many such tools, such as thermometer and stethoscope, that only required one-time minimal purchases.

If physicians do not perceive our vascular testing product as an attractive alternative to other products, procedures and techniques, we will not achieve significant market penetration or be able to generate significant revenues. To the extent that our product is not commercially successful or is withdrawn from the market for any reason, our revenues will be adversely impacted, and our business, operating results and financial condition will be harmed.

Physicians may not widely adopt our products unless they determine, based on experience, long-term clinical data and published peer reviewed journal articles, that the use of our products provides a safe and effective alternative to other existing ABI devices.

We believe that physicians will not widely adopt our vascular testing product or our other products in development unless they determine, based on experience, long-term clinical data and published peer reviewed journal articles, that the use of such product provides a safe and effective alternative to other existing ABI devices.

We cannot provide any assurance that the data collected from our past, current and any future clinical trials will be sufficient to demonstrate that our products are an attractive alternative to other ABI devices or procedures. If we fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy that is at least comparable to other ABI devices that are available on the market, our ability to successfully market our products will be significantly limited. Even if the data collected from clinical studies or clinical experience indicate positive results, each physician's actual experience with our products will vary. We also believe that published peer-reviewed journal articles and recommendations and support by influential physicians regarding our vascular testing product and our other products in development will be important for market acceptance and adoption, and we cannot assure you that we will receive these recommendations and support, or that supportive articles will be published. Accordingly, there is a risk that our products may not be adopted by many physicians, which would negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If healthcare providers are unable to obtain adequate coverage and reimbursement either for procedures performed using our product or patient care incorporating the use of our product, it is unlikely that our product will gain widespread acceptance.

Maintaining and growing revenues from our products and service offerings depends on the availability of adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, including government programs such as Medicare and Medicaid, private insurance plans and managed care programs. Healthcare providers that use medical devices such as our vascular testing product to test their patients generally rely on third-party payors to pay for all or part of the costs and fees associated with the procedures performed with these devices, or to compensate them for their patient care services. The existence of adequate coverage and reimbursement for the

Table of Contents

procedures or patient care performed with our vascular testing product by government and private insurance plans is central to the acceptance of our vascular testing product and any future products. During the past several years, third-party payors have undertaken cost-containment initiatives including different payment methods, monitoring healthcare expenditures, and anti-fraud initiatives. We may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability if third-party payors deny coverage or reduce their current levels of payment, or if our costs of production increase faster than increases in reimbursement levels. Further, many private payors use coverage decisions and payment amounts determined by CMS, which administers the Medicare program, as guidelines in setting their coverage and reimbursement policies. Future action by CMS or other government agencies may diminish payments to physicians, outpatient centers and/or hospitals. Those private payors that do not follow the Medicare guidelines may adopt different coverage and reimbursement policies for procedures or patient care performed with our vascular testing product. For some governmental programs, such as Medicaid, coverage and reimbursement differ from state to state, and some state Medicaid programs may not pay an adequate amount for the procedures or patient care performed with our vascular testing product if any payment is made at all. As the portion of the U.S. population over the age of 65 and eligible for Medicare continues to grow, we may be more vulnerable to coverage and reimbursement limitations imposed by CMS. Furthermore, the healthcare industry in the United States has experienced a trend toward cost containment as government and private insurers seek to control healthcare costs by imposing lower payment rates and negotiating reduced contract rates with service providers. Therefore, we cannot be certain that the procedures or patient care performed with our product will be reimbursed at a cost-effective level.

Our vascular testing product is generally but not specifically approved for reimbursement under any third-party payor codes; if third-party payors refuse to reimburse our customers for their use of our product, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our vascular testing product is licensed by healthcare providers. They may bill various third-party payors, including governmental healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, private insurance plans and managed care programs for procedures in which our vascular testing product is used. Reimbursement is a significant factor considered by healthcare providers in determining whether to license medical devices or systems such as our vascular testing product. We cannot control whether or not providers who use our vascular testing product will seek reimbursement. Therefore, our ability to successfully commercialize our vascular testing product could depend on the adequacy of coverage and reimbursement from these third-party payors.

Currently, our vascular testing product is generally but not specifically approved for any particular reimbursement code. Although most of our customers report being covered and reimbursed by third-party payors consistently for procedures using a variety of different reimbursement codes, there is a risk that third-party payors may disagree with the reimbursement under a particular code. In addition, some potential customers have deferred renting our product given the uncertainty regarding reimbursement. We do not track denial of requests for reimbursement made by the users of our product. It is our belief that such denials have occurred and might occur in the future with more or less frequency. Even if our product and procedures are often currently covered and reimbursed by third-party payors and Medicare, problems for customers to receive reimbursement or adverse changes in payors' coverage and reimbursement policies that affect our product could harm our ability to market our vascular testing product. Obtaining approval for a particular reimbursement code is time consuming and can be costly. Accordingly, at this

time, and given the way we intend our vascular testing product to be used, we do not intend to pursue formal approval for our vascular testing product for any particular code.

Moreover, we are unable to predict what changes will be made to the reimbursement methodologies used by third-party payors. We cannot be certain that under current and future payment systems, in which healthcare providers may be reimbursed a set amount based on the type of procedure performed, such as those utilized by Medicare and in many privately managed care systems, the cost of our product will be justified and incorporated into the overall cost of the procedure.

We have limited experience marketing our vascular testing product and may not be able to generate anticipated sales.

Because we launched our vascular testing product in the first quarter of 2011, and QuantaFlo™ in August 2015, we have limited experience marketing our vascular testing product. As of the date of this annual report we only had 16 employees dedicated to sales and marketing of our product. In August 2012, we began a co-exclusive supply and distribution arrangement with Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., a large medical device company, to distribute our vascular testing product. Our operating results are directly dependent upon our sales and marketing efforts and to a lesser extent, the efforts of our co-exclusive contract distributor. While we expect our sales and marketing force and our co-exclusive contract distributor to develop long-lasting relationships with

Table of Contents

the physicians and healthcare providers they serve and provide services in accordance with our standards. However, we do not control our co-exclusive contract distributor, and it operates and oversees its own daily operations. There is a risk that our co-exclusive contract distributor will not always act consistent with our best interests. If our co-exclusive contract distributor fails to adequately promote and market our vascular testing product, our revenues could decrease and we might not be able to achieve or maintain profitability and it could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We face challenges and risk in managing and maintaining our distribution network and the parties who make up that network.

We face significant challenges and risks in managing our distribution network and retaining the parties who make up that network. If any of our sales or marketing force were to resign us, or if our co-exclusive distributor were to cease to do business with us, our sales could be adversely affected. Our co-exclusive distributor accounted for less than 20% of our revenue for each of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. If our co-exclusive distributor were to cease to distribute our product, it would slow down our efforts to gain widespread market acceptance of our vascular testing product. Although we have a good relationship with our co-exclusive distributor and have no reason to believe that our current contract will not be renewed when it expires at the end of December 2019 or that our co-exclusive distributor will terminate our arrangement prior to expiration (which it is permitted to do upon 90 days' notice under our contract), we may need to seek out alternatives, such as increasing our direct sales and marketing force or contracting with external independent sales representatives or enter another distributor relationship. There is no guarantee that we would be successful in our efforts to find independent sales representatives or another large distributor, or that we would be able to negotiate contract terms favorable to us. Failure to hire or retain qualified direct sales and marketing personnel or independent distributors would prevent us from expanding our business and generating revenues, which would have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

To adequately commercialize our products, we may need to increase our sales and marketing network, which will require us to hire, train, retain and supervise employees and other independent contractors.

We are currently exploring other sales models to generate revenue from our products in addition to the leasing model. These include our recently launched WellChec™ multi-test platform. As we increase our marketing efforts to pursue these new strategies, and expand our efforts to target insurance plans that serve Medicare Advantage members, we may need to increase our sales and marketing network. Our future success will depend largely on our ability to continue to hire, train, retain and motivate skilled direct sales representatives, independent sales representatives or distributors with significant technical knowledge about our product, in addition to coordinating networks of contract medical assistants and other personnel to staff health and wellness fairs and physicians' offices in fee-for-service models. New hires and independent contractors require training, supervision and take time to achieve full productivity. If we fail to train and supervise new hires adequately, or if we experience high turnover in our sales force or trained professionals in the future, we cannot be certain that we will maintain or increase our sales. If we are unable to expand our sales and marketing capabilities, we may not be able to effectively commercialize our vascular testing

product or our other products and service offerings in development, which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We do not require our customers to enter into long-term licenses or maintenance contracts for our products or services and may therefore lose customers on short notice.

Our business is primarily based on a leasing model rather than an outright sale of our products. Our pricing is based on data collected on use rates and third-party payment rates to physicians and facilities for the use of our product. We require no down payment, long-term commitment or maintenance contract or fees from our customers and replace damaged products free of charge in the service model. If we lose current customers on short notice, we may not be able to find new customers to replace them with in a timely manner and that could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, our business model of replacing damaged products free of charge may prove to be costly and affect the profitability of our service model.

We rely heavily upon the talents of our Chief Executive Officer, the loss of whom could severely damage our business.

Our performance depends to a large extent on a small number of key scientific, technical, managerial and marketing personnel. In particular, we believe our success is highly dependent upon the services and reputation of our Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Douglas Murphy-Chutorian. We do not have key

Table of Contents

man insurance for Dr. Murphy-Chutorian. The loss of Dr. Murphy-Chutorian's services could still severely damage our business prospects, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on a sole independent supplier and single facility for the manufacturing of our vascular testing product. Any delay or disruption in the supply of the product or facility, may negatively impact our operations.

We manufacture our vascular testing product through a sole independent contractor. The loss or disruption of our relationships with outside vendors could subject us to substantial delays in the delivery of our product to customers. Significant delays in the delivery of our product could result in possible cancellation of orders and the loss of customers. Although we expect our vendor to comply with our contract terms, we do not have control over our vendor. Our inability to provide a product that meets delivery schedules could have a material adverse effect on our reputation in the industry, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Further, we manufacture our vascular testing product through this sole contract manufacturer in one single facility. If an event occurred that resulted in material damage to this manufacturing facility or our manufacturing contractor lacked sufficient labor to fully operate the facility, we may be unable to transfer the manufacture of our vascular testing product to another facility or location in a cost-effective or timely manner, if at all. This potential inability to transfer production could occur for a number of reasons, including but not limited to a lack of necessary relevant manufacturing capability at another facility, or the regulatory requirements of the FDA or other governmental regulatory bodies. Even if there are many qualified contract manufacturers available around the country and our product is relatively easy to manufacture, such an event could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Because we operate in an industry with significant product liability risk, and we may not be sufficiently insured against this risk, we may be subject to substantial claims against our product or services that we may provide.

The development, manufacture and sale, lease or use of products or provision of services in a medical setting entails significant risks of product liability or other negligence or malpractice claims. Although we maintain insurance to cover us in the event of liability claims, and as of the date of this this annual report on Form 10-K, no such claims have been asserted or threatened against us, our insurance may not be sufficient to cover all possible future liabilities regarding our product, or from performing tests with our product or other non-proprietary products. Accordingly, we may not be adequately protected from any liabilities, including any adverse judgments or settlements, we might incur in connection with the development, clinical testing, manufacture and sale, lease or use of our products or the provision of services. A successful product liability claim or negligence or medical malpractice claim or series of claims brought against us that result in an adverse judgment against or settlement by us in excess of any insurance coverage could seriously harm our financial condition or reputation. Moreover, even if no judgments, fines, damages

or liabilities are imposed on us, our reputation could suffer, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, product liability and other malpractice insurance is expensive and may not always be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all.

We may implement a product recall or voluntary market withdrawal or stop shipment of our product due to product defects or product enhancements and modifications, which would significantly increase our costs.

The manufacturing and marketing of our vascular testing product and any future products that we may develop involves an inherent risk that our products may prove to be defective. In that event, we may voluntarily implement a recall or market withdrawal or stop shipment, or may be required to do so by a regulatory authority. A recall of our vascular testing product or one of our future products, or a similar product manufactured by another manufacturer, could impair sales of the products we market as a result of confusion concerning the scope of the recall or as a result of the damage to our reputation for quality and safety. Further any product recall, voluntary market withdrawal or shipment stoppage of our product could significantly increase our costs and have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to properly manage our anticipated growth, our business could suffer.

Our growth has placed, and will continue to place, a significant strain on our management and on our operational and financial resources and systems. Failure to manage our growth effectively could cause us to over-invest or under-invest, and result in losses or weaknesses. Additionally, our anticipated growth will

Table of Contents

increase the demands placed on our supplier, resulting in an increased need for us to carefully monitor for quality assurance. Any failure by us to manage our growth effectively could have an adverse effect on our ability to achieve our development and commercialization goals.

Fluctuations in insurance cost and availability could adversely affect our profitability or our risk management profile.

We hold a number of insurance policies, including product liability insurance, directors' and officers' liability insurance, and workers' compensation insurance. If the costs of maintaining adequate insurance coverage increase significantly in the future, our operating results could be materially adversely affected. Likewise, if any of our current insurance coverage should become unavailable to us or become economically impractical, we would be required to operate our business without indemnity from commercial insurance providers. If we operate our business without insurance, we could be responsible for paying claims or judgments against us that would have otherwise been covered by insurance, which could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

We will need to generate significant revenues to become and remain profitable.

We intend to increase our operating expenses substantially as we add sales representatives to increase our geographic sales coverage, increase our marketing capabilities, pursue research and new product and service offering development and increase our general and administrative functions to support our growing operations. We will need to generate significant sales to achieve and maintain profitability and we might not be able to do so. Even if we do generate significant sales, we might not be able to become profitable or sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. If our sales grow more slowly than we anticipate or if our operating expenses exceed our expectations, our financial performance will likely be adversely affected.

Our future financial performance will depend in part on the successful improvements and software updates to our vascular testing product on a cost-effective basis.

Our future financial performance will depend in part on our ability to influence, anticipate, identify and respond to changing consumer preferences and needs and the technologies relating to the care and treatment of vascular problems. We can provide no assurances that our vascular testing product will achieve significant commercial success and that it will gain meaningful market share. We may not correctly anticipate or identify trends in consumer preferences or needs, or may identify them later than competitors do. In addition, difficulties in manufacturing or in obtaining regulatory approvals may delay or prohibit improvements to our vascular testing product or our other products in development. Further, we may not be able to develop improvements and software updates to our vascular

testing product at a cost that allows us to meet our goals for profitability. Service costs relating to our product may be greater than anticipated, rentals may be returned prior to the end of the license term, and we may be required to devote significant resources to address any quality issues associated with our vascular testing product.

Failure to successfully introduce improve or update our products on a cost-effective basis, or delays in customer decisions related to the evaluation of our products could cause us to lose market acceptance and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We operate in an intensely competitive and rapidly changing business environment, and there is a substantial risk our products or service offerings could become obsolete or uncompetitive.

The market for medical systems, equipment and other devices and services is highly competitive. We compete with many medical service companies in the United States and internationally in connection with our vascular testing product and products under development. We face competition from numerous companies in the diagnostic area, as well as competition from academic institutions, government agencies and research institutions. Most of our current and potential competitors have, and will continue to have, substantially greater financial, technological, research and development, regulatory and clinical, manufacturing, marketing and sales, distribution and personnel resources than we do. There can be no assurance that we will have sufficient resources to successfully commercialize our vascular testing product or any other future products, if and when they are approved for sale or license, or service offerings that we may develop. Our future success will depend largely upon our ability to anticipate and keep pace with developments and advances. Current or future competitors could develop alternative technologies or products or service offerings that are more effective, easier to use or more economical than what we or any potential licensee develop. If our technologies or products or service offerings become obsolete or uncompetitive, our related revenue would decrease. This would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

One of our business strategies is developing additional products and service offerings that allow healthcare providers to deliver cost-effective wellness and receive increased compensation for their services. The development of new products and service offerings involves time and expense and we may never realize the benefits of this investment.

As part of our business strategy, we intend to develop additional products and service offerings that allow healthcare providers to deliver cost-effective wellness and receive increased compensation for their services. Such product and service offering development may require substantial investments and we may commit significant resources and time before knowing whether our efforts will translate into profits for our company. It is possible that our development efforts will not be successful and that we will not be able to develop new products or service offerings, or if developed that we will obtain the necessary regulatory approvals for commercialization. Even if we receive necessary regulatory approvals, there is no guarantee that such approved products or any new service offerings will achieve market acceptance and we may never realize the benefits of any investment in this strategy.

Risks Related to Our Legal and Regulatory Environment

Our business is subject to many laws and government regulations governing the manufacture and sale of medical devices, including the FDA's 510(k) clearance process, and laws and regulations governing patient data and information, among others.

Our vascular testing product and any future medical devices that we may develop or services that we may offer are subject to extensive regulation in the United States by the federal government, including by the FDA. The FDA regulates virtually all aspects of a medical device's design, development, testing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, record keeping, adverse event reporting, sale, promotion, distribution and shipping. We must report to the FDA when evidence suggests that one of our devices may have caused or contributed to death or serious injury or has malfunctioned and the device or a similar device would be likely to cause or contribute to death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur. If such adverse event occurred, we could incur substantial expense and harm to our reputation and our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Before a new medical device can be marketed in the United States, it must first receive either premarket approval or 510(k) clearance from the FDA, unless an exemption exists. The same rule applies when a manufacturer plans to market a medical device for a new use. The process can be costly and time-consuming. The FDA is expected to respond to a section 510(k) notification in 90 days, but often takes much longer. The premarket approval process usually takes six months to three years, but may take longer. We cannot assure that any new medical devices or new uses or modifications for our vascular testing product that we develop will be cleared or approved in a timely or cost-effective manner, if cleared or approved at all. Even if such clearances or approvals are received, they may not be for all indications. Because medical devices may only be marketed for cleared or approved indications, this could

significantly limit the market for that product and may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our vascular testing product was initially cleared through the 510(k) clearance process in February 2010, and in March 2015 we received FDA clearance of the next generation version, QuantaFlo™. However, any further modification to a cleared 510(k) device that could significantly affect its safety or efficacy, or that would constitute a significant change in its intended use, will require a new clearance process. The FDA requires device manufacturers to make their own determination regarding whether a modification requires a new clearance; however, the FDA can review and invalidate a manufacturer's decision not to file for a new clearance. We cannot guarantee that the FDA will agree with our decisions not to seek clearances for particular device modifications or that we will be successful in obtaining 510(k) clearances for modifications. Any such additional clearance processes with the FDA could delay our ability to market a modified product and may adversely affect our results of operations.

Moreover, as we explore other opportunities to generate revenue, which include performing risk assessment testing for physicians or insurance plans on their patient pools, we are subject to additional laws and regulations regarding the provision of such services. Although we intend to subcontract for qualified and licensed professionals to use our vascular testing product, among others, to provide risk assessment services to our customers' patients, the provision of such services is subject to a number of laws and regulations, including with respect to patient data and other information.

The FDA may change its policies, adopt additional regulations, or revise existing regulations, in particular relating to the 510(k) clearance process.

Table of Contents

The FDA also may change its policies, adopt additional regulations, or revise existing regulations, each of which could prevent or delay premarket approval or 510(k) clearance of a device, or could impact our ability to market our currently cleared device. We anticipate significant changes in the near future that will affect the way the 510(k) clearance program will operate. On August 3, 2010, the FDA released for public comment two internal working group reports with numerous recommendations to improve the 510(k) clearance process and utilize science in regulatory decision making to encourage innovation yet maintain predictability of the clearance process. In July, 2011, the Institute of Medicine, which was asked by the FDA to evaluate and make recommendations on the 510(k) clearance program released its report entitled “Medical Devices and the Public’s Health, The FDA 510(k) Clearance Process.” The report contained numerous and broad recommendations that, if followed, will have a significant impact on the medical device industry. Also in July, 2011, the FDA issued a draft guidance titled “510(k) Device Modifications: Deciding When to Submit a 510(k) for a Change to an Existing Device.” This draft guidance document was withdrawn on July 17, 2012 in accordance with Section 510(n)(2)(B) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act as amended by the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act. An existing 1997 guidance on the same topic therefore remains in effect, but any future reforms could require us to file new 510(k) clearances and could increase the total number of 510(k) clearance to be filed. We cannot predict what effect these reforms will have on our ability to obtain 510(k) clearances in a timely manner. We also cannot predict the nature of other regulatory reforms and their resulting effects on our business.

Our business is subject to unannounced inspections by FDA to determine our compliance with FDA requirements.

FDA inspections can result in inspectional observations on FDA’s Form-483, warning letters or other forms of more significant enforcement action. More specifically, if FDA concludes that we are not in compliance with applicable laws or regulations, or that our vascular testing product or any future medical device we develop is ineffective or pose an unreasonable health risk, the FDA could:

- require us to notify health professionals and others that our devices present unreasonable risk of substantial harm to public health;
- order us to recall, repair, replace or refund the cost of any medical device that we manufactured or distributed;
- detain, seize or ban adulterated or misbranded medical devices;
- refuse to provide us with documents necessary to export our product;
- refuse requests for 510(k) clearance or premarket approval of new products or new intended uses;

- withdraw 510(k) clearances that are already granted;
- impose operating restrictions, including requiring a partial or total shutdown of production;
- enjoin or restrain conduct resulting in violations of applicable law pertaining to medical devices; and/or
- assess criminal or civil penalties against our officers, employees or us.

If the FDA concludes that we failed to comply with any regulatory requirement during an inspection, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. We could incur substantial expense and harm to our reputation, and our ability to introduce new or enhanced products in a timely manner could be adversely affected.

Although part of our business strategy is based on new payment provisions enacted under the current government healthcare reform, we also face significant uncertainty in the industry regarding the implementation of the Health Care Reform Law.

Political, economic and regulatory influences are subjecting the healthcare industry to fundamental changes. In March 2010, President Obama signed into law the Health Care Reform Law. The Health Care Reform Law has brought a new way of doing business for providers and health insurance plans. We believe that fee for service programs will be reduced in favor of capitated programs that pay a monthly fee per patient. Risk factor adjustments per patient will provide payment that is higher for sicker patients who have conditions that are codified. Quality of care measured by completeness and wellness may be economically beneficial. These

Table of Contents

changes are already in place for 17 million participants in the Medicare Advantage program and are expected to expand to more types of insured patients as healthcare reform is deployed. Although we expect these measures to be mainly positive for our business given the ability of our vascular testing product to measure blood flow in an in-office setting, which can assist doctors and other providers to suspect PAD and other vascular diseases, due to uncertainties regarding the ultimate features of the new federal legislation and its implementation, we cannot predict what impact the Health Care Reform Law may have on us, our customers or our industry. If the Health Care Reform Law is not implemented as we anticipate, or if changes are made in the implementation of the Health Care Reform Law such that there are no incentives for identifying sicker patients, it would negatively affect our business prospects and strategy, and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the Health Care Reform Law imposes a 2.3% excise tax on the sale, lease, rental or use of any taxable human medical device after December 31, 2012, subject to certain exclusions, by the manufacturer, producer or importer of such device. Generally, the lease of a taxable medical device by the manufacturer will be treated as a sale for purposes of the medical device excise tax, and the medical device excise tax will be imposed on the portion of the lease payment that relates to the use of the taxable medical device (subject to limitation in certain circumstances). The total cost to the industry is expected to be approximately \$30 billion over ten years. This new and significant tax burden could have a negative impact on our results of our operations. Although this tax was suspended as of January 1, 2016 for a two-year period ending December 31, 2017, the potential tax burden could still be significant at the end of the suspension period. Further, the Health Care Reform Act encourages hospitals and physicians to work collaboratively through shared savings programs, such as accountable care organizations, as well as other bundled payment initiatives, which may ultimately result in the reduction of medical device acquisitions and the consolidation of medical device suppliers used by hospitals. While passage of the Health Care Reform Law may ultimately expand the pool of potential patients for vascular testing with our product and encourage the use of health risk assessment devices and services, the above-discussed changes could adversely affect our financial results and business.

The applicable healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations, along with the increased enforcement environment, may lead to an enforcement action targeting us, which could adversely affect our business.

We are subject to healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations including, but not limited to, the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, state anti-kickback statutes, the Federal False Claims Act, and state false claims acts. Additionally, to the extent we maintain financial relationships with physicians and other healthcare providers, we may be subject to Federal and state physician payment sunshine laws and regulations, which require us to track and disclose these financial relationships. These and other laws regulate interactions amongst health care entities and with sources of referrals of business, among other things. The Federal Anti-Kickback Statute is a criminal statute that imposes substantial penalties on persons or entities that offer, solicit, pay or receive payments in return for referrals, recommendations, purchases or orders of items or services that are reimbursable by Federal healthcare programs. The False Claims Act imposes liability, including treble damages and per claim penalties, on any person or entity that submits or causes to be submitted a claim to the Federal government that he or she knows (or should know) is false. The Health Care Reform Law further provides that a claim submitted for items or services, the provision of which resulted from a violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute, is “false” under the False Claims Act and certain other false claims statutes.

We may be subject to liability under these laws and may also be subject to liability for any future conduct that is deemed by the government or the courts to violate these laws. Additionally, over the past ten years, partially as the result of the passage of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and of the Health Care Reform Law, the government has pursued an increasing number of enforcement actions. This increased enforcement environment may increase scrutiny of us, directly or indirectly, and could increase the likelihood of an enforcement action targeting us. We have entered into a supply and distribution agreement with Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., as well as purchase agreements with a number of our customers, and intend to start offering risk assessment services to our customers. These customers include parties that bill Federal healthcare programs for use of our product, all of whom may be subject to government scrutiny. Finally, to the extent that any of the agreements are breached or terminated, our business may experience a decrease in revenues. In addition, to the extent that our customers, many of whom are providers, may be affected by this increased enforcement environment, our business could correspondingly be affected. It is possible that a review of our business practices or those of our customers by courts or government authorities could result in a determination with an adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict the effect of possible future enforcement actions on our business.

Table of Contents

Changes in, or interpretations of, tax rules and regulations may adversely affect our effective tax rates.

We are subject to income and other taxes in the United States. Significant judgment is required in evaluating our provision for income taxes. During the ordinary course of business, there are many transactions for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. For example, there could be changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities or changes in the relevant tax, accounting, and other laws, regulations, principles and interpretations. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits and any related litigation could be materially different from our historical income tax provisions and accruals. The results of an audit or litigation, or the effects of a change in tax policy in the United States, could have a material effect on our operating results in the period or periods for which that determination is made.

We are an “emerging growth company,” and the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies may make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an “emerging growth company,” as defined in the JOBS Act, and may remain an emerging growth company for up to five years from our first sale of securities pursuant to an effective registration statement. For so long as we remain an emerging growth company, we are permitted and intend to rely on exemptions from certain disclosure requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies. These exemptions include:

· being permitted to provide only two years of audited financial statements, in addition to any required unaudited interim financial statements, with correspondingly reduced “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” disclosure;

· not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements in the assessment of our internal control over financial reporting;

· not being required to comply with any requirement that may be adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor’s report providing additional information about the audit and the financial statements;

· reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation; and

· exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved.

We have taken advantage of reduced reporting burdens in this annual report on Form 10-K. We cannot predict whether investors will find our common stock less attractive if we rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile. In addition, the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This allows an emerging growth company to delay the adoption of these accounting standards until they would otherwise apply to private companies. We have elected to avail ourselves of this exemption and, therefore, we will not be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies. As a result of this election, our financial statements may not be comparable to other companies that comply with public company effective dates.

We have incurred and will continue to incur increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management has been and will continue to be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives and corporate governance practices.

As a newly public company, we have incurred and will continue to incur increased costs, and our management has been and will continue to be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives and corporate governance practices. Moreover, after we are no longer an emerging growth company, and in particular if we are no longer a smaller reporting company, we will incur additional significant legal, accounting and other expenses to address compliance and corporate governance. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the listing requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market and other applicable securities rules and regulations impose various requirements on public companies, including establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and corporate governance practices. Although we are currently both an emerging growth company and smaller reporting

Table of Contents

company, our management and other personnel will nevertheless need to continue to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, the currently applicable rules and regulations have already increased our legal and financial compliance costs and made some activities more time-consuming and costly.

We are continuing to evaluate these rules and regulations, and cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These rules and regulations are often subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices.

Although we are now required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting, as both a smaller reporting company and an emerging growth company, we are not yet required to include an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm. To achieve compliance with Section 404, we will need to engage in a process to document and evaluate our internal control over financial reporting, which might be both costly and challenging. In this regard, we will need to continue to dedicate internal resources, potentially engage outside consultants and adopt a detailed work plan to assess and document the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting, continue steps to improve control processes as appropriate, validate through testing that controls are functioning as documented and implement a continuous reporting and improvement process for internal control over financial reporting.

We have identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. If we identify additional material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting in the future, or if our former material weaknesses recur, it could have an adverse effect on our company.

In connection with their evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2015, our management identified certain material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. These material weaknesses related to a lack of segregation of duties, a lack of formal review processes for key accounting transactions and responsibilities, and a lack of technical accounting competence. Our independent registered public accounting firm is not required to attest to our management's evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting. Had our independent registered public accounting firm performed such an attestation of on our management's evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting, additional control deficiencies may have been identified by management or our independent registered public accounting firm, and those control deficiencies could have also represented one or more material weaknesses.

Although we implemented measures in 2016 to remedy these material weaknesses, we cannot assure you that we have identified all or that we will not in the future have additional material weaknesses. Accordingly, material weaknesses may still exist when we report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. If we have

additional material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting in the future, it could have an adverse effect on our company.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

Our success largely depends on our ability to obtain and protect the proprietary information on which we base our product.

Our success depends in large part upon our ability to establish and maintain the proprietary nature of our technology through the patent process, as well as our ability to license from others patents and patent applications necessary to develop our product. If our patent or any future patents are successfully challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or our right or ability to manufacture our product was to be limited, our ability to continue to manufacture and market our product could be adversely affected. In addition to patents, we rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how, which we seek to protect, in part, through confidentiality and proprietary information agreements. The other parties to these agreements may breach these provisions, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. Additionally, our trade secrets could otherwise become known to or be independently developed by competitors.

As of December 31, 2015, we have been issued, or have rights to, one U.S. patent. In addition, we have filed three U.S. patent applications that are still pending. The patent we hold may be successfully challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or we may otherwise be unable to rely on this patent. These risks are also present for the process we use for manufacturing our product. In addition, our competitors, many of whom have substantial resources and have made substantial investments in competing technologies, may apply for and obtain patents that prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to make, use and sell our product, either in the

Table of Contents

United States or in international markets. The medical device industry has been characterized by extensive litigation regarding patents and other intellectual property rights. We may institute, become party to, or be threatened with, future adversarial proceedings or litigation regarding intellectual property rights with respect to our product and technology, including interference or derivation proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO. Third parties may assert infringement claims against us based on existing patents or patents that may be granted in the future. If we are found to infringe a third party's intellectual property rights, we could be required to obtain a license from such third party to continue developing and marketing our product and technology. However, we may not be able to obtain any required license on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Even if we were able to obtain a license, it could be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors access to the same technologies licensed to us. We could be forced, including by court order, to cease commercializing the infringing technology or product. In addition, we could be found liable for monetary damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees if we are found to have willfully infringed a patent. A finding of infringement could prevent us from commercializing our product or force us to cease some of our business operations, which could materially harm our business. Claims that we have misappropriated the confidential information or trade secrets of third parties could have a similar negative impact on our business. The defense and prosecution of intellectual property suits, USPTO proceedings and related legal and administrative proceedings are both costly and time consuming. Any litigation or interference proceedings involving us may require us to incur substantial legal and other fees and expenses and may require some of our employees to devote all or a substantial portion of their time to the proceedings.

We may need to license intellectual property from third parties, and such licenses may not be available or may not be available on commercially reasonable terms.

A third party may hold intellectual property, including patent rights that are important or necessary to the development of our vascular testing product or any future products. It may be necessary for us to use the patented or proprietary technology of a third party to commercialize our own technology or products, in which case we would be required to obtain a license from such third party. A license to such intellectual property may not be available or may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We may be subject to claims by third parties asserting that our employees or we have misappropriated their intellectual property, or claiming ownership of what we regard as our own intellectual property.

Although we try to ensure that we and our employees and independent contractors do not use the proprietary information or know-how of others in their work for us, we may be subject to claims that we or that these employees or independent contractors have used or disclosed intellectual property in violation of the rights of others. These claims may cover a range of matters, such as challenges to our trademarks, as well as claims that our employees or independent contractors are using trade secrets or other proprietary information of any such employee's former employer or independent contractors. Although we do not expect the resolution of the proceeding to have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition, litigation to defend ourselves against claims can be both costly

and time consuming, and divert management's attention away from growing our business.

In addition, while it is our policy to require our employees and independent contractors who may be involved in the development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may be unsuccessful in executing such an agreement with each party who in fact develops intellectual property that we regard as our own. Our and their assignment agreements may not be self-executing or may be breached, and we may be forced to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of what we regard as our intellectual property.

If we fail in prosecuting or defending any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. Even if we are successful in prosecuting or defending against such claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

Intellectual property litigation could cause us to spend substantial resources and distract our personnel from their normal responsibilities.

Even if resolved in our favor, litigation or other legal proceedings relating to intellectual property claims may cause us to incur significant expenses, and could distract our technical and management personnel from their normal responsibilities. In addition, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments and if securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a substantial adverse effect on the price of our common stock. Such litigation

Table of Contents

or proceedings could substantially increase our operating losses and reduce the resources available for development activities or any future sales, marketing or distribution activities. We may not have sufficient financial or other resources to conduct such litigation or proceedings adequately. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their greater financial resources. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could compromise our ability to compete in the marketplace.

If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our trade secrets, our business and competitive position would be harmed.

In addition to seeking patents for some of our technology and product, we also rely on trade secrets, including unpatented know-how, technology and other proprietary information, to maintain our competitive position. We seek to protect these trade secrets, in part, by entering into non-disclosure and confidentiality agreements with parties who have access to them, such as our employees, corporate collaborators, outside scientific collaborators, contract manufacturers, consultants, advisors and other third parties. We also generally enter into confidentiality and invention or patent assignment agreements with our employees and consultants. Despite these efforts, any of these parties may breach the agreements and disclose our proprietary information, including our trade secrets, and we may not be able to obtain adequate remedies for such breaches. Enforcing a claim that a party infringed a patent or illegally disclosed or misappropriated a trade secret is difficult, expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, some courts inside and outside the United States are less willing or unwilling to protect trade secrets. If any of our trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor, we would have no right to prevent them, or those to whom they communicate it, from using that technology or information to compete with us. If any of our trade secrets were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our competitive position would be harmed.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

Our executive officers and directors, if they choose to act together, have the ability to control all matters submitted to stockholders for approval.

Our executive officers and directors beneficially own in the aggregate shares representing approximately 26.5% of our common stock as of December 31, 2015. If these stockholders choose to act together, they are able to control all matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, as well as our management and affairs. For example, these persons, if they choose to act together, can control the election of directors and approval of any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. This concentration of ownership control may:

·delay, defer or prevent a change in control;

·entrench our management and the board of directors; or

·impede a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us that other stockholders may desire.

Provisions in our corporate charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of our company, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our corporate charter and our bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control of our company that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares. These provisions could also limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. In addition, because our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors. Among other things, these provisions:

·allow the authorized number of our directors to be changed only by resolution of our board of directors;

·establish advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals that can be acted on at stockholder meetings and nominations to our board of directors;

·limit who may call stockholder meetings.

Table of Contents

Moreover, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a person who owns in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

An active trading market for our common stock may not develop.

Prior to our initial public offering, there was no public market for our common stock. Although our common stock has traded on the NASDAQ since February 2014, and an active trading market for our shares may never develop or be sustained. If an active market for our common stock does not develop, it may be difficult for you to sell our shares without depressing the market price for the shares or at all.

Our common stock may be delisted from the NASDAQ Capital Market and begin trading in the over-the-counter markets if we are not successful in regaining compliance with the NASDAQ's listing standards, which may negatively impact the price of our common stock and our ability to access the capital markets.

On August 11, 2015, we received a notice from the Nasdaq Stock Market, or NASDAQ, indicating that as of June 30, 2015, our reported stockholders' equity did not meet the minimum required to maintain continued listing under its rules, and that as of August 10, 2015, we did not meet the alternative requirements of market value of listed securities or net income from continuing operations. Although we submitted a compliance plan and were granted 180-days to comply, in light of market conditions, we have not undertaken an equity or other capital raise to increase our stockholders' equity. Accordingly, as of February 8, 2016, we were not in compliance with Rule 5550(b)(1). As a result, on February 9, 2016 we received an official notice of delisting. Although we have requested a hearing, which stays the delisting, we cannot be certain of any outcome or if we will be given additional time to regain compliance. If we are not successful, we anticipate that our common stock may begin trading on the over-the-counter market. Delisting from NASDAQ and trading on the over-the-counter market could adversely affect the liquidity of our common stock. Stocks traded on the over-the-counter market generally have limited trading volume and exhibit a wider spread between the bid/ask quotation, as compared to securities listed on a national securities exchange. Consequently, you may not be able to liquidate your investment in the event of an emergency or for any other reason.

If our common stock is delisted from the NASDAQ, we could face significant material adverse consequences, including:

· A limited availability of market quotations for our common stock;

- A reduced amount of news and analyst coverage for us;
- A decreased ability to issue additional securities or obtain additional financing in the future;
- Reduced liquidity for our stockholders;
- Potential loss of confidence by partners and employees; and
- Loss of institutional investor interest and fewer business development opportunities.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and fluctuate substantially, which could result in substantial losses for purchasers of our common stock.

Our stock price has been and is likely to continue to be volatile. The stock market in general and the market for smaller medical device companies in particular have experienced extreme volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. This volatility is even more prevalent in the over-the-counter markets. As a result of this volatility, you may not be able to sell your common stock. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

- the success of competitive products, services or technologies;
- regulatory or legal developments in the United States and other countries;
- developments or disputes concerning patent applications, issued patents or other proprietary rights;
- the recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- actual or anticipated changes in estimates as to financial results, development timelines or recommendations by securities analysts;
- variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;

Table of Contents

- changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;
- market conditions in the medical device sector;
- general economic, industry and market conditions; and
- the other factors described in this “Risk Factors” section.

Because we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future, capital appreciation, if any, will be your sole source of gain.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We anticipate that we will retain our earnings, if any, for future growth and therefore do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the future. As a result, only appreciation of the price of our common stock will provide a return to stockholders.

You may experience future dilution as a result of future equity offerings.

In order to raise additional capital or pursue strategic acquisition opportunities, we may in the future offer additional shares of our common stock or other securities convertible into or exchangeable for our common stock. We cannot assure you that we will be able to sell shares or other securities in any other offering at a price per share that is equal to or greater than the price per share paid by investors in such an offering, and investors purchasing shares or other securities in the future could have rights superior to existing stockholders.

The price per share at which we sell or issue additional shares of our common stock or other securities convertible into or exchangeable for our common stock in future transactions may be higher or lower than the price at which you purchased your shares.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Because we outsource our manufacturing to a “turn-key” manufacturer and have a geographically dispersed sales force and distributor arrangement, we have minimal needs for office space to conduct our day-to-day business operations. We currently use space for our corporate headquarters on a rent-free basis in a building located at 2330 NW Everett St., Portland, OR, that is owned by our Chairman and co-founder, Dr. Herbert Semler. We have also leased other facilities on an as-needed basis for our sales and marketing operations. For example, we leased a sales office in Menlo Park, CA, which we have since sublet, as well as an operations fulfillment space in Campbell, CA. See Note 8 to our audited financial statements, appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K for a description of our Menlo Park, CA lease.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any litigation the outcome of which, if determined adversely to us, would individually or in the aggregate be reasonably expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows or financial condition.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market Information**

Our common stock has been listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “SMLR” beginning February 21, 2014. Prior to our initial listing on NASDAQ, there was no public trading market for shares of our common stock. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the range of quarterly high and low closing sales prices for our common stock.

	High	Low
2015		
First Quarter	\$6.00	\$1.96
Second Quarter	\$3.90	\$3.17
Third Quarter	\$3.54	\$2.42
Fourth Quarter	\$3.49	\$1.78

Holders

On February 24, 2016, the closing sale price of a share of our common stock was \$2.24 per share and there were 5,123,568 shares of our common stock outstanding. On that date, our shares of common stock were held by approximately 28 stockholders of record. The number of record holders was determined from the records of our transfer agent and does not include beneficial owners of our common stock whose shares are held in the names of various security brokers, dealers, and registered clearing agencies.

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock, and we do not anticipate declaring or paying cash dividends for the foreseeable future. We are not subject to any legal restrictions respecting the payment of dividends, except that we may not pay dividends if the payment would render us insolvent. Any future determination

as to the payment of cash dividends on our common stock will be at our Board of Directors' discretion and will depend on our financial condition, operating results, capital requirements and other factors that our Board of Directors considers to be relevant.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Information about our equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to Part III, Item 12 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Purchases of Equity Securities

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we did not purchase any of our equity securities.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion and analysis should be read together with our financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements reflecting our current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties. See "Forward-Looking Statements" for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements. Actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those discussed in our forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those set forth under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are an emerging growth company providing technology solutions to improve the clinical effectiveness and efficiency of healthcare insurers and physician groups. Our mission is to develop, manufacture and market innovative proprietary products and services that assist our customers in evaluating and treating chronic diseases. In 2011, we began commercializing our first patented and U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, cleared product, which measured arterial blood flow in the extremities to aid in the diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease, or PAD. In March 2015, we received FDA 510(k) clearance for the next generation version of our product, QuantaFlo™, which we began commercializing in August 2015. In April 2015, we launched our multi-test service platform, WellChec™, to more comprehensively evaluate our customers' patients for chronic disease. We believe the combination of our proprietary PAD test, QuantaFlo™, and our multi-test service platform, WellChec™, position us to provide valuable information to our insurance company and physician customers, which in turn permit them to guide patient care and close the gap between the cost of patient care and compensation for providing that care.

In the year ended December 31, 2015 we had total revenue of \$7,001,000 and a net loss of \$8,501,000 compared to total revenue of \$3,635,000 and a net loss of \$4,515,000, in 2014.

Sources of Revenues and Expenses

Revenue

We generate revenue primarily from the rental or license of our vascular testing product, QuantaFlo, or providing diagnostic testing services, through our WellChec service, to our customers. We recognize revenue from the licensing

of our vascular testing product pursuant to agreements that typically automatically renew each month with revenue recognized on a daily convention basis. Our arrangements with customers for our vascular testing product are normally on a month-to-month basis with fees billed at the rates established in the customer agreement. We recognize revenue for providing diagnostic testing services on a per test basis to customers, as earned.

Cost of revenue

Our cost of revenue for our vascular testing product consists primarily of four components: the depreciation expense of our vascular testing product for lease; the write-off of the residual value of our vascular testing products retired from active leasing; manufacturing oversight personnel costs; and other miscellaneous items, such as freight, that are not directly related to product production. Each vascular testing product unit has a depreciation schedule based on the cost of the unit. The cost of each unit is depreciated on a straight-line basis over 36 months. Each unit has its own cost of production, which varies from time to time. We believe that the cost of each unit is a function of manufacturing efficiencies, supply costs and fixed overhead expense as affected by volume of units produced, which change from time to time. When cost of production is lower, the new units have a lower monthly depreciation and decrease the average depreciation per unit per month, which means our cost of revenue is lower. Similarly, if cost of production is higher, the new units will have a higher monthly depreciation and increase the average depreciation per unit per month, which means our cost of revenue is higher. We believe growth in the number of monthly depreciation charges is predominately due to our sales and marketing efforts, which add new customers to an established customer base. The retirement of units from active leasing is primarily a function of the aggregate number of vascular testing units rented and the occurrence from time to time of system upgrades. The other costs of revenue vary primarily as a function of the aggregate number of vascular testing units rented and changes in operations such as manufacturing, delivery or maintenance.

Table of Contents

Our cost of revenue for testing services consists primarily of expense for personnel conducting the tests and examinations, travel costs, venue costs, depreciation of equipment, shipping, storage and supplies.

Engineering and product development expense

Our engineering and product development expense consists of costs associated with the design, development, testing and enhancement of our vascular testing product and other products in development. We also include salaries and related employee benefits, research-related overhead expenses and fees paid to external service providers in our engineering and product development expense.

Sales and marketing expense

Our sales and marketing expense consists primarily of sales commissions and support costs, salaries and related employee benefits, travel, education, trade show and marketing costs.

General and administrative expense

Our general and administrative expense consists primarily of salaries and related employee benefits, professional service fees, associated travel costs and depreciation and amortization expense.

Total other income (expense)

Our total other income (expense) primarily reflects other taxes and fees as well as interest income and expense.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures in the financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those accounting policies that may be material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change, and that have a material impact on financial condition or operating performance. While we base our estimates and judgments on our experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies used in the preparation of our financial statements require significant judgments and estimates. For additional information relating to these and other accounting policies, see Note 3 to our audited financial statements, appearing elsewhere in this this annual report on Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition

We generate revenue primarily from the rental or license of our vascular testing product, or providing diagnostic testing services to our customers. We recognize revenue from the licensing of our vascular testing product pursuant to agreements that typically automatically renew each month with revenue recognized on a daily convention basis. Our arrangements with customers for our vascular testing product are normally on a month-to-month basis with fees billed at the rates established in the customer agreement. We recognize revenue for providing diagnostic testing services on a per test basis to customers, as earned.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize compensation expense in an amount equal to the estimated grant date fair value of each option grant, or stock award over the estimated period of service and vesting. This estimation of the fair value of each stock-based grant or issuance on the date of grant involves numerous assumptions by management. Although we calculate the fair value under the Black Scholes option pricing model, which is a standard option pricing model, this model still requires the use of numerous assumptions, including, among others, the expected life (turnover), volatility of the underlying equity security, a risk free interest rate and expected dividends. The model and assumptions also attempt to account for changing employee behavior as the stock price changes and capture the observed pattern of increasing rates of exercise as the stock price increases. The use of different values by management in connection with these assumptions in the Black Scholes option pricing model could produce substantially different results.

Accounting for Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes result primarily from temporary differences between financial and tax reporting. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement basis and

Table of Contents

tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. Future tax benefits are subject to a valuation allowance when management is unable to conclude that our deferred tax assets will more-likely-than-not be realized from the results of operations. Our estimate for the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets requires management to make significant estimates and judgments about projected future operating results. If actual results differ from these projections or if management's expectations of future results change, it may be necessary to adjust the valuation allowance.

Emerging Growth Company Elections

The JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company, such as our company, can take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This allows an emerging growth company to delay the adoption of these accounting standards until they would otherwise apply to private companies. We have elected to avail ourselves of this exemption. As a result, our financial statements may not be comparable to other public companies that comply with public company effective dates. In the future, we may elect to opt out of the extended period for adopting new accounting standards. If we do so, we would need to disclose such decision and it would be irrevocable.

Factors Affecting Future Results

We have not identified any factors that have a recurring effect that are necessary to understand period to period comparisons as appropriate, nor any one-time events that have an effect on the financials. Also, given our relatively limited operating history, we have not yet identified any seasonality.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Revenue

We had revenue of \$7,001,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$3,366,000, or 92.6%, compared to \$3,635,000 in 2014. Our revenue is primarily generated from per-use fees or leasing of our vascular testing product. We also began generating fees for testing services with our WellChec™ platform in the second quarter of 2015. For

licenses, we recognize revenue monthly for each unit installed with a customer. The average amount recognized each month per unit of product in the field is affected by the mix of units rented by direct customers or distributors, by price changes and by discounts. The primary reason for the increase in revenue was that the total number of installed units in the field generating monthly revenue grew 43.9%, and the average amount of revenue recognized per unit grew 0.9%. We believe that growth in the number of monthly invoices is predominately due to our sales and marketing efforts, which add new customers to an established customer base. Growth in the average amount of revenue recognized per unit was due to changes in the mix of customers renting units. We recognized \$1,814,000 of revenue from per-use fees, testing services, and other equipment sales during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Operating expenses

We had total operating expenses of \$15,420,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$7,444,000, or 93.3%, compared to \$7,976,000 in 2014. One of the primary reasons for the change in operating expenses was increased stock-based compensation expense, which accounted for approximately \$2,415,000 of the increase, as a result of various grants made in July 2015 and December 2015 (including accelerated vesting in December 2015 of prior grants) in connection with agreements to defer payments. The remaining increase was a result of the changes in the various components of our operating expenses, which are described below.

Cost of revenue

We had cost of revenue of \$2,847,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$2,155,000, or 311.4%, from \$692,000 for 2014. The primary reason for the increase was \$1,768,000 of additional start-up and operational costs in 2015 associated with the provision of WellChec™ services by third-party vendors and employees who oversee manufacturing operations and fulfillment of both services and products. The total increase of \$2,155,000 is composed of the following categories. A portion of the increase is due to the fact that aggregate depreciation of our vascular testing products for lease increased \$77,000, or 39.7%, in 2015 compared to 2014 as there was a 43.9% increase in the number of installed units in the field incurring monthly depreciation charges corresponding to the 43.9% increase in number of installed units in the field generating monthly

Table of Contents

revenue, partially offset by a decrease in average depreciation per unit per month of 2.9%. Other reasons for the \$2,155,000 increase include \$1,415,000 of headcount related expenses, \$356,000 of WellChec™ event expenses, \$109,000 total depreciation (including increase in depreciation of assets for lease of \$77,000 as previously described, and additional depreciation of \$32,000 for capital equipment purchased to support WellChec™), \$107,000 for freight and shipping, \$66,000 higher cost of units that were retired in 2015 compared to 2014, \$63,000 for other expenses, and \$39,000 for building lease.

Engineering and product development expense

We had engineering and product development expense of \$1,436,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$323,000, or 29.0%, compared to \$1,113,000 in 2014. The increase was primarily due to higher salaries of \$737,000, higher stock-based compensation expense of \$100,000, higher clinical studies cost of \$48,000, higher other expenses of \$25,000, and higher travel costs and other expenses of \$15,000 partially offset by lower costs for new product development of \$602,000.

Sales and marketing expense

We had sales and marketing expense of \$6,266,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$2,543,000, or 68.3%, compared to \$3,723,000 in 2014. The increase was primarily due to higher stock-based compensation expense of \$1,166,000, higher salary expense of \$865,000 associated with having an expanded sales team as compared to the prior period, higher travel expenses of \$347,000, higher rent of \$160,000, higher other expenses of \$101,000 and higher trade show expense of \$68,000, partially offset by \$164,000 of lower sales commissions.

General and administrative expense

We had general and administrative expense of \$4,871,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$2,423,000, or 99.0%, compared to \$2,448,000 in the same period in 2014. The increase was primarily due to higher stock-based compensation expense of \$1,147,000, higher salaries and fees for employees, directors, and consultants of \$589,000, medical device excise tax, state and local tax, audit and tax preparation expenses of \$227,000, an increase in uncollectible accounts of \$143,000, higher merchant fees and other expenses of \$126,000, higher insurance premiums of \$105,000, added costs associated with being publicly traded company of \$53,000, higher patent and legal expenses of \$26,000, higher technical support costs of \$4,000, and higher travel costs of \$3,000.

Other expense

We had other expense of \$82,000 for 2015, a decrease of \$92,000, or 52.9%, compared to \$174,000 in 2014. The decrease was primarily due to lower interest expense of \$101,000, partially offset by an increase in other expense of \$9,000.

Net loss

For the foregoing reasons, we had a net loss of \$8,501,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$3,986,000, or 88.3%, compared to a net loss of \$4,515,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had cash of \$405,000 at December 31, 2015 compared to cash and restricted cash of \$6,256,000 (which included \$2,100,000 of restricted cash) at December 31, 2014, and total current liabilities of \$4,108,000 at December 31, 2015 compared to \$4,064,000 at December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2015 we had negative working capital of approximately \$2,356,000.

On February 26, 2014, we closed the initial public offering of our common stock, pursuant to which we sold an aggregate 1,430,000 shares of our common stock at a price to the public of \$7.00 per share, and received gross proceeds of approximately \$10,010,000 before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses. During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, we sold an aggregate 117,500 shares of our common stock to Mr. William H.C. Chang, an accredited investor and significant stockholder, pursuant to separate stock purchase agreements for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$498,600. During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, we issued and sold an aggregate of 143,000 shares of our common stock to an accredited investor, pursuant to a stock

Table of Contents

purchase agreement for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$500,500. During the quarter ended December 31, 2015, we issued and sold an aggregate of 140,000 shares of our common stock to an accredited investor, pursuant to a stock purchase agreement for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$373,800, and also issued a 2-year warrant to acquire 28,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share for a purchase price of \$1.00. In January 2016, we borrowed an aggregate of \$1,500,000 from Mr. William H.C. Chang pursuant to two separate promissory notes and also issued two 2-year warrants to acquire an aggregate 228,572 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share (see “—Description of Indebtedness”).

We have incurred recurring losses since inception and expect to continue to incur losses as a result of costs and expenses related to our marketing and other promotional activities, research and continued development of our products and services. Our principal sources of cash have included the issuance of equity, including our February 2014 initial public offering of common stock, and to a lesser extent, recent private placement offerings of common stock, borrowings under loan agreements, the issuance of promissory notes, and revenue from leasing our product and selling our testing services. We expect that our operating expenses will continue to grow in order to grow our revenues and, as a result, we will need to generate significant additional net revenues to achieve profitability. For these reasons, our independent registered public accountants’ report for the year ended December 31, 2015 includes an explanatory paragraph that expresses substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a “going concern.”

Although we do not have any current capital commitments, we expect that we may increase our expenditures to continue our efforts to grow our business and commercialize our products and services. Accordingly, we currently expect to make additional expenditures in both sales and marketing, and invest in our corporate infrastructure. We also expect to invest in our research and development efforts. We do not have any definitive plans as to the exact amounts or particular uses at this time, and the exact amounts and timing of any expenditure may vary significantly from our current intentions. However, in order to execute on our business plan, and given our current available cash, we anticipate that we will need to raise additional capital. To improve operating cash flow, in 2015, we implemented measures to reduce expenses and renegotiated longer payment terms in our existing contracts. There is no assurance that additional financing will be available when needed or that management will be able to obtain financing on acceptable terms or whether or not we will generate sufficient revenues to become profitable and have positive operating cash flow. If we are unable to raise sufficient additional funds when necessary, we may need to curtail making additional expenditures and could be required to scale back our business plans, or make other changes until sufficient additional capital is raised to support further operations. There can be no assurance that such a plan will be successful.

Operating activities

We used \$4,135,000 of net cash in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015. Non-cash adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities were \$3,391,000 in the year ended December 31, 2015. These non-cash adjustments primarily reflect stock-based compensation expense of \$2,605,000, depreciation of \$315,000, allowance for doubtful accounts of \$272,000, loss on disposal of assets for lease of \$144,000, and

amortization of deferred financing fees of \$55,000. Changes in operating assets and liabilities provided \$975,000 of net cash. These changes in operating assets and liabilities included accrued expenses of \$997,000, accounts payable of \$750,000, deferred revenue of \$340,000, and prepaid expenses of \$83,000, all partially offset by use of cash for trade accounts receivable of \$1,195,000.

We used \$3,822,000 of net cash in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2014. Non-cash adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities were \$739,000 of cash in the year ended December 31, 2014. These non-cash adjustments primarily reflect depreciation of \$196,000, stock-based compensation expense of \$190,000, amortization of deferred financing costs of \$147,000, allowance for doubtful accounts of \$128,000, and loss on disposal of assets for lease of \$78,000. Changes in operating assets and liabilities used \$46,000 of net cash. These changes in operating assets and liabilities included trade accounts receivable of \$255,000, accounts payable of \$167,000, and net cash used due to prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$106,000, all partially offset by deferred revenue of \$246,000 and accrued expenses of \$236,000.

Table of Contents

Investing activities

We generated \$996,000 of net cash in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to a change in restricted cash, partially offset by purchases of assets for lease of \$572,000 as well as fixed asset purchases of \$532,000 for support of our WellChec™ platform and general purposes.

We used \$2,541,000 of net cash in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to a change in restricted cash of \$2,100,000, purchases of assets for lease of \$432,000, with the remainder of \$9,000 for purchases of capital assets.

Financing activities

We used \$612,000 of net cash from financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to payments of loans payable of \$2,000,000, partially offset by proceeds from sales of equity of \$1,388,000 (\$1,374,000 from equity sales to investors and \$14,000 from stock option exercises).

We generated \$9,785,000 of net cash from financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily from proceeds of \$10,014,000 from the sale of shares of our common stock in our February 2014 initial public offering, and proceeds from loans payable of \$2,000,000, partially offset by offering costs of \$1,959,000, and payment of the current portion of our long-term liabilities of \$270,000.

Description of Indebtedness

On September 30, 2014 we entered into a revolving credit line with First Republic Bank, which gave us the right to borrow up to \$2,000,000 for a 12-month term at a variable annual interest rate based on First Republic's Prime less a spread of 2.0% p.a. The initial interest rate was 1.25% p.a. We agreed to make monthly payments consisting of \$2,000 of interest, and an annual payment consisting of \$2,000,000 principal plus any accrued by unpaid interest. The line of credit agreement provided for customary events of default and was secured by a collateral cash account at First Republic. As of September 30, 2015, we had repaid the revolving line of credit in full and it is no longer outstanding. See Note 8 to our audited financial statements appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K for description of our outstanding indebtedness.

In January 2016, we borrowed an aggregate of \$1.5 million from William H.C. Chang, a significant stockholder, pursuant to two separate 2-year promissory notes. The notes bear simple interest (\$1.0 million at a rate of 10% per annum, and \$0.5 million at 5% per annum) and mature in two years, with all interest payable at maturity. We may prepay the notes at any time prior to maturity without penalty. The notes must be repaid prior to maturity in the event of default, and we agreed not to incur additional indebtedness in excess of \$50,000 without the lender's prior consent, which is not to be unreasonably withheld. In connection therewith, we issued the Chang Family Trust a two-year warrant to purchase an aggregate of 228,372 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share. The warrants may not be exercised, however, absent receipt of stockholder approval, if after such exercise the holder would be the beneficial owner of more than 19.99% of our common stock.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of each of December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Commitments and Contingencies

As of each of December 31, 2015 and 2014, other than employment/consulting agreements with our executive officers, we had no material commitments other than the liabilities reflected in our financial statements.

JOBS Act

In April 2012, the JOBS Act was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. Thus, an emerging growth company can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected to avail ourselves of this extended transition period, and, as a result, we will not adopt new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for other public companies.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data required by this item are included in Part IV, Item 15 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and our vice president, finance, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K. Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and our vice president, finance concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective, at the reasonable assurance level, as of the end of the period covered by this report to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and our vice president, finance as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, due to the existence of the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. An internal control material weakness is a significant deficiency, or aggregation of deficiencies, that does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that material misstatements in financial statements will be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees in the normal course of their work. An internal control significant deficiency, or aggregation of deficiencies, is one that could result in a misstatement of the financial statements that is more than inconsequential. In making its assessment of internal control over financial reporting management used the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control—Integrated Framework. Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, and determined that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective due to the following material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting: a lack of segregation of duties, a lack of formal review processes for key accounting transactions and responsibilities, and a lack of technical accounting competence.

In an effort to remediate these material weaknesses, in early 2016 we adopted and implemented policies and procedures with respect to the review, supervision, and monitoring of our accounting and reporting functions. We will continue assessing our procedures to improve our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting during our fourth fiscal quarter of 2015. However, subsequent to year end, we implemented the remedial measures described above.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

36

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE****Board of Directors and Executive Officers**

The following are our directors and executive officers and their respective ages and positions as of the date of this annual report on Form 10-K:

Name	Age	Position	Director Since	Term Expires
Herbert J. Semler, M.D.	87	Chairman of the Board	November 2007	2017
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D.	61	Chief Executive Officer and Director	September 2012	2018
Daniel E. Conger	39	Principal Financial Officer	N/A	N/A
Bruce J Barclay	59	Director	May 2014	2016
Aidan M. Collins	53	Director	July 2014	2018
Greg S. Garfield	52	Director	November 2013	2016
Arthur “Abbie” Leibowitz, M.D., F.A.A.P.	68	Director	June 2014	2017
Wayne T. Pan, M.D., Ph.D.	52	Director	May 2014	2017
Shirley L. Semler	80	Director	November 2007	2016

Board of Directors

Herbert J. Semler, M.D. — Dr. Herbert J. Semler co-founded Semler Scientific, Inc. in 2007 and has served as chairman of the board of directors since that time. Dr. Semler also served as our chief executive officer until October 31, 2012. Over his 45 years of medical practice, Dr. Semler has developed, manufactured, and marketed products for three cardiovascular companies. As a board certified cardiologist, Dr. Semler holds multiple patents and patent applications for cardiovascular products. He has experience with Holter monitoring, telemedicine, cardiac telemetry, pacemaker surveillance, cardiac event monitoring, including development of the “King of Hearts” device. Dr. Semler also invented a femoral vascular hemostatic device, which has been used on over fifteen million patients. Dr. Semler has had a distinguished career in medicine including the following accomplishments: he has served as Professor of Cardiology at Oregon Health Sciences University where he founded and funded The Dr. Herbert and Shirley Semler Cardiovascular Institute; he is a Fellow of the American College of Cardiology, American College of Physicians, Society of Cardiac Interventions and Angiography, and the American Heart Association; and he has published over 90 articles in the field of cardiovascular medicine. Dr. Semler is also the chairman of the Shirley & Herbert Semler Foundation and until March 2008 was the chairman of Advanced Vascular Dynamics. Dr. Semler is currently the

chief executive officer of Semler Health Perks, Inc., a private medical consumer software applications company founded by Dr. Semler in October 2012. Dr. Semler is the husband of our director and co-founder, Shirley L. Semler. Dr. Semler's extensive experience in the fields of cardiology and medical device companies, and his experience and knowledge as a founder and executive of our company qualify him to be a director of our company.

Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D. — Dr. Douglas Murphy-Chutorian has served as a member of our board of directors since September 2012 and as our chief executive officer since October 31, 2012. Dr. Murphy-Chutorian has had broad, diverse career experience in healthcare over the past 30 years, stretching from clinician, academician, inventor, entrepreneur, chief executive officer, chairman of the board, and consultant to financial firms. Since April 15, 2005, he has been managing director of Select Healthcare Capital, LLC. Dr. Murphy-Chutorian is a named inventor on more than 30 patents, and has guided more than 50 products through various regulatory approval processes. His business career has included extensive involvement in all facets of the medical industry from financial, research and development, manufacturing, marketing and sales, regulatory, reimbursement, and clinical trials. His breadth of healthcare experience includes all major sectors of the industry: medical devices, health services, pharmaceuticals, biotechnology and managed care. He received his B.A. and M.D. from Columbia University. He completed his internal medicine residency at New York University/Bellevue Medical Center and his fellowship in cardiology at Stanford University Medical Center. He has served as a faculty member in interventional cardiology at both Stanford and Montefiore Medical Center. Dr. Murphy-Chutorian's experience as a

Table of Contents

cardiologist, inventor and executive, in particular serving as our Chief Executive Officer, qualify him to be a director of our company.

Bruce J Barclay — Mr. Bruce J Barclay has served as a member of our board of directors since May 2014. Mr. Barclay has over 35 years of experience in the healthcare industry, with nearly 15 years of that leading medical device companies. From 2010 to 2014 Mr. Barclay was president and chief executive officer, and a member of the board of directors, of Hansen Medical (NASDAQ: HNSN), a developer, manufacturer and global seller of intravascular robotics. From 2005 to 2010 he was president and chief executive officer, and a member of the board of directors, of SurModics (NASDAQ: SRDX), a provider of drug delivery and surface modifications technologies to the healthcare industry, having previously served as its president and chief operating officer from 2003 to 2005. Prior to joining SurModics, from 2000 to 2003, Mr. Barclay served as president and chief executive officer and a member of the board of directors of Vascular Architects, a medical device company that developed, manufactured and sold products to treat peripheral vascular disease. Prior to Vascular Architects, he was an officer and senior vice president of Guidant Corporation from 1994 to 2000. Before Guidant he held several positions of increasing responsibility at Eli Lilly and Company from 1978 to 1994. Mr. Barclay received a J.D. from Indiana University School of Law, and a B.S. in Chemistry and a B.A. in Biology, both from Purdue University. He is also a registered patent attorney. Mr. Barclay's extensive record of high achievement in managing research, product development, operations, as well as domestic and international commercial teams in multiple markets and his deep knowledge of the medical device industry qualify him to be a director of our company.

Aidan M. Collins — Mr. Aidan M. Collins has served as a member of our board of directors since July 2014. Mr. Collins currently serves as the chief executive officer and founder of ControlMetric, Inc., a consulting and software company focused on bringing data-driven, fact-based approaches to operational risk management. Mr. Collins is responsible for all aspects of company operations, including business development, marketing and external relations. Prior to ControlMetric, Mr. Collins served as a partner at Bain & Company, from 2007 to 2010, where he led client relationships and consulting projects covering a range of strategic and operations issues, including IT strategy, cost reduction, post-merger integration and operations improvement. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Collins was a partner in the advisory services practice at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where he was a leader in the information technology effectiveness and healthcare payer practices in Northern California. Prior to that, Mr. Collins served as the senior vice president, sales and marketing in the healthcare group at Perot Systems, from 2002 to 2004, where he was responsible for leading sales and business development efforts for large healthcare organizations nationwide, focused on selling business process and technology outsourcing services to large healthcare payers. From 1992 to 2002, Mr. Collins served as partner with the enterprise risk services group at Deloitte & Touche LLP, where his responsibilities included leading the firm's national practice related to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or HIPAA, and the information security services practice in Northern California and Hawaii. Mr. Collins holds an M.B.A. from The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, an M.H.A. from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a B.E. from University of Limerick in Ireland. We believe Mr. Collins' extensive leadership and business experience qualify him to be a director of our company.

Greg S. Garfield — Mr. Greg S. Garfield has served as a member of our board of directors since November 2013. Mr. Garfield serves as a director on the boards of a number of private companies in the healthcare industry. From 2006 to

2011, he had various roles at Acclarent, Inc., a medical technology company, including chief operating officer. Acclarent, Inc. was acquired by Johnson and Johnson at a valuation of approximately \$800 million cash in January 2010. From 1995 to 2006, Mr. Garfield had various roles at Guidant Corporation, a medical technology company. Guidant was acquired by Boston Scientific Corporation in 2006 at a valuation of approximately \$27 billion in cash and stock. Mr. Garfield has a J.D. from McGeorge School of Law, University of the Pacific and a B.S. from California Polytechnic State University. We believe Mr. Garfield's significant business experience at other medical technology companies qualifies him to be a director of our company.

Arthur "Abbie" Leibowitz, M.D., F.A.A.P. — Dr. Arthur "Abbie" Leibowitz has served as a member of our board of directors since June 2014. Dr. Leibowitz has over 40 years of experience in healthcare, with more than 25 years in leading positions with several healthcare companies. From 2001 to 2015, Dr. Leibowitz was chief medical officer and executive vice president at Health Advocate, Inc., a health advocacy and assistance company he co-founded that provides support and helps consumers navigate the healthcare system. In June 2014, Health Advocate, Inc. became a wholly owned subsidiary of the West Corporation, a publicly traded telecommunications and health services company. Health Advocate Inc.'s clients include more than 11,000 small, medium, and large sized companies, not-for-profit organizations and associations, schools, colleges and universities, unions, health plans, and third party administrators across the United States. Prior to his role at Health Advocate, Inc., Dr. Leibowitz served as executive vice president of digital health strategies and a member of the board of directors at Medicologic,

Table of Contents

Inc., where he was responsible for developing healthcare data, information services and strategies targeted at users of the company's electronic medical record system, as well as data customers including payors, pharmaceutical companies, employers, regulatory and government agencies. Dr. Leibowitz served as vice president, medical delivery systems and chief medical officer at Aetna U.S. Healthcare, from 1996 to 2000, where he directed medical affairs and policies for one of the largest health benefits companies in the nation. In this role he was responsible for clinical policy development, technology assessment, patient management activities, and quality improvement programs. From 1993 to 1996, Dr. Leibowitz was the vice president, health delivery, corporate medical director at U.S. Healthcare, where he coordinated the expansion of medical programs regionally into eight new markets. Dr. Leibowitz had also served as vice president, health delivery, and a network medical director at U.S. Healthcare, from 1987 to 1993. From 1975 to 1987, Dr. Leibowitz was the senior physician at Drexel Hill Pediatric Associates, where he established seven physician pediatric group practice serving a large and diverse urban/suburban patient population. Dr. Leibowitz has authored many articles in the medical literature and including revising his chapter on Health System Navigation in the recently published Second Edition of Population Health, Creating a Culture of Wellness, edited by David Nash and others. Dr. Leibowitz received both his B.A. and M.D. degrees from Temple University. We believe Dr. Leibowitz's extensive background, experience and knowledge of the healthcare industry qualify him to be a director of our company.

Wayne T. Pan, M.D., Ph.D., MBA — Dr. Wayne T. Pan has served as a member of our board of directors since May 2014. Dr. Pan has over 20 years of broad healthcare industry experience from clinical medicine, to managed care, and health information technology. Dr. Pan is currently the chief medical officer at Applied Research Works, a healthcare software technology company based in Palo Alto, offering health plans and integrated delivery systems, a cloud-based platform providing timely, actionable clinical data to providers at the point of care. From October 2014 to April 2015, Dr. Pan served as medical director in the technology group of Clover Health Labs, a start-up integrated healthcare delivery system based on the East Coast that includes a hospital system, a medical group and affiliated independent physicians, and a Medicare and Medicaid health plan. From June 2014 to April 2015 he served as the Chief Medical Officer at Santa Clara County IPA (SCCIPA), a large independent physician association in Santa Clara County, California with 800 multi-specialty physicians with 80,000 covered lives in commercial (HMO/ACO) and Medicare Advantage (HMO/ACO) programs. From August 2012 to May 2014 Dr. Pan served as chief medical officer at Thrasys, Inc., a global healthcare technology company that provides a cloud-based platform upon which healthcare delivery systems and provider organizations can build high quality, person-centered accountable care communities. Between October 2010 and July 2012, Dr. Pan was concurrently the chief medical informatics officer for Health Access Solutions, a health care software development company and chief medical officer of Pacific Partners Management Services, Inc., a medical management services company serving medical groups in northern California with over 50,000 covered lives. Prior to that, between September 2009 and February 2010, he served as chief medical officer for Affinity Medical Solutions, LLC, a medical management services organization serving independent physicians association clients and managing commercial and Medicare Advantage members. Dr. Pan has also served as chief medical officer between June 2008 and August 2009 for Alameda Alliance for Health, a local initiative health plan with Medicaid, Medicare Advantage Dual Eligible SNP and IHSS plans, and as an advisory chief medical officer at a data analytics start-up focused on big data issues in healthcare in 2007-2008. Dr. Pan holds an M.B.A. from The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, and an M.D. and Ph.D. from the Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, and a B.S. in Biology from Johns Hopkins University. We believe Dr. Pan's extensive healthcare-related business experience qualifies him to be a director of our company.

Shirley L. Semler — Mrs. Shirley L. Semler is our co-founder and has served as a member of our board of directors since our formation in 2007. Mrs. Semler also served as an executive vice president until December 2009. Mrs. Semler is the holder of the patent on the Compressar hemostatic product that has been used on over 15 million patients. She was the co-founder and president of Instromedix, Inc., a medical product company that was acquired by Alares, Inc. She was also co-founder and president of Advanced Vascular Dynamics before it was sold. She attended Stephens College in Columbus, Missouri and the University of Colorado. Mrs. Semler is the wife of our chairman of the board and co-founder, Dr. Herbert J. Semler. Mrs. Semler's experience in the medical device business, and her experience and knowledge as a founder and executive of our company qualify her to be a director of our company.

Other than as described above in the biographies, there are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Table of Contents

Executive Officer

Daniel E. Conger — Mr. Daniel E. Conger has served as our Vice President of Finance since October 2010. From September 2008 until joining our company, Mr. Conger worked at Bacchus Vascular and its acquirer Covidien, Inc., a medical device, supplies and pharmaceuticals company, where he was the Plant Controller for the San Jose plant. At Covidien, Mr. Conger was responsible for creation of a \$130 million annual budget, leading a team of six people. He had sole responsibility for preparation of monthly and quarterly financial statements, and presented quarterly results to executive management of the global business unit. Mr. Conger has been working in the medical device, start-up and biotechnology industries since 2006, and has experience designing internal control systems, implementing such systems, and running finance in a business centered manner. He received his B.S. in Business Administration from Humboldt State University in May 2001 and an MBA-Accounting Option from California State University East Bay in June 2010.

Director Independence

Applicable NASDAQ rules require a majority of a listed company's board of directors to be comprised of independent directors within one year of listing. In addition, the NASDAQ rules require that, subject to specified exceptions, each member of a listed company's audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees be independent and that audit committee members also satisfy independence criteria set forth in Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Under applicable NASDAQ rules, a director will only qualify as an "independent director" if, in the opinion of the listed company's board of directors, that person does not have a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. In order to be considered independent for purposes of Rule 10A-3, a member of an audit committee of a listed company may not, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the audit committee, the board of directors, or any other board committee, accept, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the listed company or any of its subsidiaries or otherwise be an affiliated person of the listed company or any of its subsidiaries.

During 2015, our board of directors reviewed its composition and that of its committees and the independence of each director. Based upon information requested from and provided by each director concerning his or her background, employment and affiliations, including family relationships, our board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Barclay, Collins and Garfield and Drs. Leibowitz and Pan are "independent directors" as defined under applicable NASDAQ rules, including the heightened independence standards applicable to audit committee and compensation committee members, as applicable. In making such determinations, our board of directors considered the relationships that each such director has with our company and all other facts and circumstances that our board of directors deemed relevant in determining his independence, including the beneficial ownership of our capital stock by each director.

Changes to Procedures for Recommending Nominees to Board of Directors

None.

Audit Committee

Our board of directors has established a separately-designated standing audit committee, which is currently comprised of Messrs. Barclay and Collins and Dr. Pan. Mr. Collins serves as the chairman of the audit committee and our board of directors has also determined that Mr. Collins is an “audit committee financial expert” as defined in applicable SEC rules.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and other equity securities. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, during the year ended December 31, 2015, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were complied with, other than a late Form 4 filing by Mr. Collins.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer (our chief executive officer), our principal accounting officer (our vice president of finance) and other officers performing similar functions, which we refer to as the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at <http://www.semlescscientific.com> under the Corporate Governance section of the Investors portion of our website. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is designed to meet the requirements of Item 406 of Regulation S-K. We will promptly disclose on our website (i) the nature of any amendment to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to any covered person, and (ii) the nature of any waiver, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is granted to one of the covered persons.

Table of Contents**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the information as to compensation paid to or earned by our (i) chief executive officer, (ii) our most highly compensated executive officer other than our chief executive officer who was serving as an executive officer as of December 31, 2015 and (iii) two additional individuals for whom disclosure would have been provided but for the fact that the individuals were not serving as executive officers as of December 31, 2015. These individuals are referred to in this annual report on Form 10-K as our named executive officers. As none of our named executive officers received any stock awards, non-equity incentive plan compensation or nonqualified deferred compensation earnings during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we have omitted those columns from the table.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Award(s) (\$) ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Total (\$)
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D., director and chief executive officer	2015	\$350,000	\$209,907	\$613,940	\$25,069	\$1,198,916
	2014	192,000	0	117,069	155,006	464,075
Robert G. McRae ⁽⁴⁾ chief technical officer	2015	195,479	0	39,562	21,914	256,955
	2014	218,295	68,217	34,432	21,471	342,415
James Walker former chief financial officer ⁽⁵⁾	2015	110,500	0	0	0	110,500
	2014	85,625	0	0	0	85,625
Daniel E. Conger, vice president, finance ⁽⁵⁾	2015	150,000	20,000	39,562	15,313	224,875
	2014	121,272	37,898	6,886	14,832	180,888

(1) Represents aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For more information regarding assumptions used for computation of fair value, see Note 10 to our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

(2) For Dr. Murphy-Chutorian, represents aggregate of sales commissions in 2014.

(3) For Dr. Murphy-Chutorian (in 2015), Mr. McRae (in 2015 and 2014) and Mr. Conger (in 2015 and 2014), represents payment of health insurance premiums pursuant to the terms of employment agreements.

(4) Effective October 29, 2015, our Board of Directors determined that Mr. McRae should no longer be designated as an executive officer. Mr. McRae continued serving as the chief operating officer through December 31, 2015, and is now our chief technical officer.

(5) For Mr. Walker, represents payments made to The Brenner Group pursuant to our consulting agreement. Includes payments made during 2014 prior to Mr. Walker's appointment as chief financial officer. Effective February 18, 2016,

Mr. Walker became a consultant to our company and Mr. Conger, our vice president, finance, was named our principal accounting officer.

Named Executive Officer Compensation Arrangements

We enter into individually negotiated compensation arrangements with each of our named executive officers. Our named executive officers may receive salary, bonus and other benefits, such as the payment of health insurance premiums or other individually negotiated health benefits pursuant to the terms of their negotiated compensation package. We may also grant our named executive officers awards under our equity incentive plans.

Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D.

At the time he joined our company as a director, and subsequently as our chief executive officer, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian did not have a formal employment agreement with our company. We engaged Dr. Murphy-Chutorian as an independent contractor, and he received sales commissions, and then later, a monthly stipend of \$16,000, in addition to such sales commissions. In September 2012, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian became a director and, effective October 31, 2012, our chief executive officer. On November 11, 2013, we entered into an at-will employment agreement with Dr. Murphy-Chutorian. Under the terms of this agreement, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian can be terminated at any time and his job titles, salaries and benefits may be modified from time to time as we deem necessary. In 2014, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian's compensation arrangement provided for the payment of \$16,000 per month, for his services as chief executive officer and a commission of \$15 per month for each successfully-installed product that has an active and effective service agreement in place. Dr. Murphy-Chutorian was also eligible for awards under our equity incentive plans. Accordingly, in 2014, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian was granted a stock option to acquire 85,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.10 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date. In October 2014, our board of directors, upon the recommendation of its compensation

Table of Contents

committee, approved the following compensation arrangement for Dr. Murphy-Chutorian effective January 1, 2015: base salary of \$350,000, target incentive equal to 50% of base salary, and a grant of 75,000 stock options under our 2014 stock option plan. The payment of any target incentive will be at the discretion of the compensation committee and will be based on achievement of performance goals by Dr. Murphy-Chutorian. Dr. Murphy-Chutorian will no longer receive commissions based upon installed products. Accordingly, on January 1, 2015, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian was granted a stock option to acquire 75,000 shares of our common stock at \$1.96 per share pursuant to his employment agreement, which option has a term of 10-years, and is subject to monthly vesting over four years such that it is vested in full on the four-year anniversary of the grant date.

In July 2015, in connection with his agreement to defer payment until August 2016 of consulting fees owed, as well as deferring payment of any bonus for 2015 that may be payable to him, the board approved the grant to Dr. Murphy-Chutorian of a stock option to acquire 180,000 shares of our common stock at \$3.44 per share, which option expires 10 years from the grant date, and was originally subject to monthly vesting over one year. The grant was made subject to stockholder approval, which was obtained in October 2015. In December 2015, in connection with his agreement to further extend payment to December 2016, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian was granted an additional stock option to acquire 60,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.59 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and was fully vested on the grant date. Our board also accelerated the vesting of the July 2015 stock option that was contingent upon shareholder approval, which approval was received on October 29, 2015, such that such option is now fully vested.

Robert G. McRae

On November 1, 2010, we entered into an at-will employment agreement with Mr. McRae, who is currently our chief technical officer. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. McRae can be terminated at any time and his job titles, salaries and benefits may be modified from time to time as we deem necessary. In 2014, Mr. McRae's compensation arrangement provided for the payment of \$18,191 per month as salary, an annual bonus of \$68,217 and \$1,789 per month of health benefits (consisting of insurance premiums paid on his behalf). Mr. McRae was also eligible for awards under our equity incentive plans. Accordingly, in 2014, Mr. McRae was granted a stock option to acquire 25,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.10 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date. Effective April 1, 2015, Mr. McRae reduced his work schedule to 32 hours per week and agreed to a reduced base salary of \$180,000 with no target incentive. In July 2015, Mr. McRae was granted a stock option to acquire 10,000 shares of our common stock at \$3.44 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date. In December 2015, Mr. McRae was granted a stock option to acquire 10,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.59 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date.

James M. Walker

Mr. Walker provided services as our chief financial officer pursuant to a consulting agreement with The Brenner Group, which was amended and restated effective as of June 18, 2014 to reflect Mr. Walker's appointment as our chief financial officer. Under this consulting agreement, we agreed to pay The Brenner Group for Mr. Walker's services a monthly fee of \$10,000 and reimburse Mr. Walker for all travel and out of pocket expenses incurred in connection therewith. Effective August 1, 2015, we modified the arrangement with The Brenner Group for Mr. Walker's services such that his hours would be reduced and we would pay a monthly fee of \$8,000 and reimburse Mr. Walker for all travel and out of pocket expenses incurred in connection therewith. The consulting agreement has a minimum term until March 31, 2016 and may be terminated by either party upon 30 days written notice. Effective February 18, 2016, we revised our arrangement with Mr. Walker such that he is no longer our chief financial officer, but instead will act as an outside consultant, through The Brenner Group, on an as-needed basis.

Daniel E. Conger

On October 18, 2010, we entered into an at-will employment agreement with Mr. Conger, our vice president of finance. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Conger can be terminated at any time and his job titles, salaries and benefits may be modified from time to time as we deem necessary. In 2014, Mr. Conger's compensation arrangement provided for the payment of \$10,106 per month as salary, an annual bonus of \$37,898

Table of Contents

and \$1,236 per month of health benefits (consisting of insurance premiums paid on his behalf). Mr. Conger was also eligible for awards under our equity incentive plans. Accordingly, in 2014, Mr. Conger was granted a stock option to acquire 5,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.10 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date. Effective January 1, 2015, Mr. Conger's base salary was raised to \$150,000 with target incentive of \$20,000. In July 2015, Mr. Conger was granted a stock option to acquire 10,000 shares of our common stock at \$3.44 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date. In December 2015, Mr. Conger was granted a stock option to acquire 10,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.59 per share, which expires 10 years from the grant date and is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date. Effective February 18, 2016, our board appointed Mr. Conger our principal accounting officer.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information about the number of outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers at December 31, 2015. We have omitted certain columns from the table as we do not have any outstanding stock awards.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian ⁽¹⁾	20,000	0	\$ 0.52	11/21/2022
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian ⁽²⁾	23,021	61,979	\$ 2.10	11/08/2024
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian ⁽²⁾	17,188	57,812	\$ 1.96	12/31/2024
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian ⁽¹⁾	180,000	0	\$ 3.44	07/20/2025
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian ⁽¹⁾	60,000	0	\$ 2.59	12/31/2025
Robert G. McRae ⁽¹⁾	20,000	0	\$ 0.52	11/1/2020
Robert G. McRae ⁽¹⁾	20,000	0	\$ 0.52	6/10/2021
Robert G. McRae ⁽¹⁾	20,000	0	\$ 0.52	1/5/2022
Robert G. McRae ⁽¹⁾	20,000	0	\$ 0.52	11/21/2022
Robert G. McRae ⁽²⁾	6,771	18,229	\$ 2.10	11/08/2024
Robert G. McRae ⁽²⁾	1,042	8,958	\$ 3.44	07/20/2025
Robert G. McRae ⁽²⁾	0	10,000	\$ 2.59	12/31/2025
Daniel E. Conger ⁽¹⁾	6,500	0	\$ 0.52	11/1/2020
Daniel E. Conger ⁽¹⁾	6,500	0	\$ 0.52	6/10/2021
Daniel E. Conger ⁽¹⁾	6,500	0	\$ 0.52	1/5/2022
Daniel E. Conger ⁽¹⁾	10,000	0	\$ 0.52	11/21/2022

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

Daniel E. Conger ⁽²⁾	1,354	3,646	\$ 2.10	11/08/2024
Daniel E. Conger ⁽²⁾	1,042	8,958	\$ 3.44	07/20/2025
Daniel E. Conger ⁽²⁾	0	10,000	\$ 2.59	12/31/2025

(1) The option is fully vested.

(2) The option is subject to monthly vesting over four years (1/48 per month) such that it will be vested in full on the four-year anniversary of its grant date.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation**

The following table shows the compensation earned in the year ended December 31, 2015 by our non-employee directors. Our non-employee directors received only director fees and option awards in 2015, so we have omitted certain columns from the table. The compensation information for Dr. Murphy-Chutorian, our chief executive officer and a director, is set forth in “—Summary Compensation Table.”

Name	Fiscal Year	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Herbert J. Semler, M.D. ⁽³⁾	2015	\$ 42,500	\$ 73,594	\$ 116,094
Bruce J Barclay ⁽³⁾	2015	47,500	65,064	112,564
Aidan M. Collins ⁽³⁾	2015	45,000	74,832	119,832
Greg S. Garfield ⁽³⁾	2015	40,000	67,744	107,744
Arthur “Abbie” Leibowitz, M.D., F.A.A.P. ⁽³⁾	2015	30,000	53,568	83,568
Wayne T. Pan, M.D., Ph.D. ⁽³⁾	2015	39,500	67,034	106,534
Shirley L. Semler ⁽³⁾	2015	30,000	45,161	75,161

(1) Represents fees earned. For 2015, effective August 1, 2015, cash fees are paid annually, and will be payable August 2016. In addition, Mssrs. Collins and Garfield and Drs. Leibowitz and Pan agreed to defer payment of non-employee director compensation due to them in August 2016 until no later than December 31, 2016.

(2) Represents aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For more information regarding assumptions used for computation of fair value, see Note 10 to our audited financial statements appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

(3) The aggregate number of options outstanding at December 31, 2015 by each director is as follows: Dr. Semler (192,500), Mr. Barclay (38,750), Mr. Collins (45,000), Mr. Garfield (41,667), Dr. Leibowitz (35,000), Dr. Pan (41,333) and Mrs. Semler (30,000).

Non-Employee Director Compensation Policy

Prior to the adoption of our non-employee director compensation program in July 2014, we did not have a formal compensation plan for our directors. We did not pay our directors attendance fees, or grant them equity or other compensation for service on our board.

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

In July 2014, our board of directors approved a non-employee director compensation program, and in July 2015, increased the annual retainer for the chairman from \$30,000 to \$55,000. Accordingly, our non-employee director compensation program is now as follows:

All non-employee directors are entitled to receive an annual \$30,000 retainer for service as a board member (\$55,000 for chairman of the board) and an annual retainer for each committee on which they serve as a member:

- \$15,000 per year for service as chairman of the audit committee or \$7,500 per year for service as a member of the audit committee;

- \$10,000 per year for service as chairman of the compensation committee or \$5,000 per year for service as a member of the compensation committee;

- \$5,000 per year for service as chairman of the nominating committee or \$2,000 per year for service as a member of the nominating committee;

Although cash payments to non-employee directors were originally to be paid quarterly in arrears, effective August 1, 2015, such sums are paid annually, after a year of service. Cash payments will be pro-rated for directors who join the board or a board committee mid-year.

All non-employee directors will be entitled to receive the following equity compensation for their services:

- initial grant of options to acquire 10,000 shares of common stock, which options will be fully vested on the grant date; and

- annual grant of options to acquire 5,000 shares of common stock, which options will be fully vested on the grant date

Table of Contents

Annual grant amounts will be pro-rated for directors who join the board mid-year.

In connection with the agreement to modify the payment terms for the cash fees to provide for payment in August 2016, in July 2015, our non-employee directors received stock options to acquire an aggregate of 143,500 shares of our common stock, all of which originally vested monthly over one year, and were contingent upon stockholder approval, which was received in October 2015. In December 2015, the board accelerated the vesting of such options such that they are all now vested in full.

On December 31, 2015, Msrs. Collins and Garfield and Drs. Leibowitz and Pan agreed to defer payment of non-employee director compensation due to them in August 2016 until no later than December 31, 2016. In consideration for their agreement to further defer payment of compensation, such non-employee directors received fully vested options to purchase an aggregate 25,750 shares of our common stock, all of which have an exercise price of \$2.56 (the closing price on the grant date) and a term of 10-years.

Compensation-Related Risk

Our board of directors is responsible for the oversight of our risk profile, including compensation-related risks. Our compensation committee monitors our compensation policies and practices as applied to our employees to ensure that these policies and practices do not encourage excessive and unnecessary risk-taking. In 2015, at the direction of our compensation committee, our management conducted a review of our compensation programs, including our executive compensation program, and, based on this review, determined that the level of risk associated with these programs is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company.

Table of Contents**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of January 31, 2016 of:

- each person who is known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock;
- each of our directors;
- each of our named executive officers; and
- all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC and includes voting or investment power with respect to our common stock and is based on 5,123,568 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of January 31, 2016. Shares of our common stock subject to options or warrants that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after January 31, 2016 are considered outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding the options or warrants for the purpose of calculating the percentage ownership of that person but not for the purpose of calculating the percentage ownership of any other person. Except as otherwise noted, the persons and entities in the following table have sole voting and investing power with respect to all of the shares of our common stock beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws, where applicable. Information with respect to beneficial ownership by 5% stockholders has been based on information filed with the SEC pursuant to Section 13(d) or Section 13(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as company records. Except as otherwise set forth in the footnotes to the following table, the address of each beneficial owner is c/o Semler Scientific, Inc., 2330 NW Everett St. Portland, OR 97210.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned	
5% Stockholders:			
William H.C. Chang ⁽¹⁾	1,193,878	19.9	%
Eric Semler	568,221	11.1	%
Glenhill Advisors, LLC ⁽²⁾	509,459	9.9	%
Green Park & Golf Ventures, LLC ⁽³⁾	253,686	5.0	%
Executive Officers and Directors:			
Dr. & Mrs. Semler ⁽⁴⁾	700,564	13.1	%
Bruce J Barclay ⁽⁵⁾	38,750	*	%

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

Aidan M. Collins ⁽⁶⁾	45,000	*	%
Greg S. Garfield ⁽⁷⁾	53,667	1.0	%
Dr. Arthur N. Leibowitz ⁽⁸⁾	35,000	*	%
Dr. Douglas Murphy-Chutorian ⁽⁹⁾	719,785	12.7	%
Dr. Wayne T. Pan ⁽¹⁰⁾	41,333	*	%
Robert G. McRae ⁽¹¹⁾	90,625	1.7	%
Daniel E. Conger ⁽¹²⁾	33,458	*	%
James M. Walker	0	—	
<u>All directors and officers as a group (11 persons)</u>	1,648,390	26.5	%

*less than 1%

(1) Represents (i) 965,306 shares of common stock and (ii) warrants to acquire 228,572 shares of common stock. Mr. Chang holds his securities in a family trust over which he his co-Trustee with his spouse, and with whom he shares voting and investment power over such securities. Mr. Chang's warrants are currently exercisable; however, Mr. Chang may not exercise the warrants absent receipt of stockholder approval if upon exercise, Mr. Chang would beneficially own in excess of 19.99% of our common stock.

(2) Represents (i) 481,459 shares of common stock and (ii) warrants to purchase 28,000 shares of common stock held by Glenhill Advisors, LLC, Glenn J. Krevlin, Glenhill Capital Advisors, LLC, Glenhill Capital Management, LLC and Glenhill Concentrated Long Masterfund, LLC. Glenn J. Krevlin, is the managing member and control person of Glenhill Advisors, LLC, and is the sole shareholder of Krevlin Management, Inc. Krevlin Management, Inc. is the managing member of Glenhill Capital Advisors, LLC, which is the investment manager of Glenhill Concentrated Long Master Fund, LLC. Glenhill Advisors, LLC is the managing member of

Table of Contents

Glenhill Capital Management, LLC. Glenhill Capital Management, LLC is the managing member of Glenhill Concentrated Long Master Fund, LLC. The address of each of Glenhill Advisors, LLC, Glenn J. Krevlin, Glenhill Capital Advisors, LLC, Glenhill Capital Management, LLC and Glenhill Concentrated Long Masterfund, LLC is Fifth Avenue, 11th Floor, New York, NY 10020. All warrants are currently exercisable; however, such warrants may not be exercised if such exercise would result in beneficial ownership in excess of 9.9% of our common stock.

(3) Represents (i) 214,736 shares held directly by GPG SSF Investments LLC, or GPG SSF and (ii) 38,950 shares held directly by Green Park & Golf Ventures, LLC, or Green Park & Golf. Green Park & Golf is the managing partner of GPG SSF and consequently may be deemed to have voting control and investment discretion over securities owned by GPG SSF. Clay M. Heighten, M.D. and Carl D. Soderstrom are each a managing director of Green Park & Golf. As a result, Dr. Heighten and Mr. Soderstrom may each be deemed to be the beneficial owner of any shares deemed to be beneficially owned by Green Park & Golf and/or GPG SSF. Each of Green Park & Golf, Dr. Heighten and Mr. Soderstrom disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities directly owned by GPG SSF, except to the extent of its or his pecuniary interests therein. Each of Dr. Heighten and Mr. Soderstrom disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities directly owned by Green Park & Golf, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein. The principal business address of each Reporting Person is c/o Green Park & Golf Ventures, LLC, 5910 N. Central Expressway, Suite 200, Dallas, Texas, 75206.

(4) Represents (i) 478,064 issued shares of our common stock, (ii) options to purchase 192,500 shares of our common stock held by Dr. Semler and (iii) options to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock held by Mrs. Semler. Shares of common stock are held in family trusts, including the Semler Family Trust, over which Dr. and Mrs. Semler are co-Trustees and together share voting and investment power over such securities, as well as the Herbert J. Semler Trust.

(5) Represents options to acquire 38,750 shares of our common stock.

(6) Represents options to acquire 45,000 shares of our common stock.

(7) Represents (i) options to acquire 41,667 shares of our common stock and (ii) warrants to purchase 12,000 shares of our common stock. Mr. Garfield holds his warrants in a family trust over which he is co-Trustee with his spouse, and with whom he shares voting and investment power over such securities.

(8) Represents options to acquire 35,000 shares of our common stock.

(9) Represents (i) 63,571 shares of our common stock, (ii) options to purchase 310,208 shares of our common stock, and (iii) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 236,214 shares of our common stock. Options are held by Dr. Murphy-Chutorian. Other securities are held in a family trust over which Dr. Murphy-Chutorian is co-Trustee with his spouse, and with whom he shares voting and investment power over such securities.

(10) Represents options to acquire 41,333 shares of our common stock.

(11) Represents options to acquire 90,625 shares of our common stock.

(12) Represents options to acquire 33,458 shares of our common stock.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Securityholders: 2014 Stock Incentive Plan	1,510,411	\$ 2.97	621,179	(1)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Securityholders: 2007 Key Person Stock Option Plan	399,500	\$ 1.10	0	
Total	1,909,911	\$ 4.07	621,179	

(1) On October 29, 2015, the stockholders approved an increase of 1,500,000 shares available under the plan. In addition, the amount of shares available under this plan automatically increases on January 1st of each year in an amount equal to 4% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding on December 31st of the preceding calendar year. Accordingly, effective January 1, 2016, the number of securities remaining available for issuance increased by 209,943 shares.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The following includes a summary of transactions since January 1, 2015 to which we have been a party in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed the lesser of (x) \$120,000 or (y) 1% of our average total assets at year end for the last two completed fiscal years, and in which any of our directors, executive officers or, to our knowledge, beneficial owners of more than 5% of our capital stock or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than equity and other compensation, termination, change in control and other arrangements, which are described under “Management — Summary Compensation Table — Named Executive Officer Compensation Arrangements.” We also describe below certain other transactions with our directors, executive officers and stockholders.

Financings

On February 24, 2015, a family trust of which William H.C. Chang, a former director and one of our principal stockholders, is a co-Trustee acquired an aggregate of 55,000 shares of our common stock in a private placement pursuant to a stock purchase agreement with us dated February 24, 2015, at a price per share of \$4.52, the consolidated closing bid price on the date of the agreement. Such shares were acquired using personal funds (approximately \$248,600).

On March 2, 2015, a family trust of which William H.C. Chang, a former director and one of our principal stockholders, is a co-Trustee acquired an aggregate of 62,500 shares of our common stock in a private placement pursuant to a stock purchase agreement with us dated March 2, 2015, at a price per share of \$4.10. Such shares were acquired using personal funds (approximately \$250,000).

On December 29, 2015, Glenhill Concentrated Long Master Fund, LLC, one of our principal stockholders, acquired an aggregate of 140,000 shares of our common stock in a private placement pursuant to a stock purchase agreement with us dated December 29, 2015, at a price per share of \$2.67, or approximately \$374,000. We also issued such investor a 2-year warrant to acquire an additional 28,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 for an aggregate total purchase price of \$1.00. Although immediately exercisable, such warrant may not be exercised if, upon such exercise, the holder would be the beneficial owner of more than 9.99% of our common stock.

On January 15, 2016, we issued a \$1.0 million promissory note to a family trust of which William H.C. Chang, a former director and one of our principal stockholders, is a co-Trustee. The note bears simple interest at a rate of 10% per annum and matures in two years, with all interest payable at maturity. We may prepay the note at any time prior to

maturity without penalty. The note must be repaid prior to maturity in the event of default, and we agreed not to incur additional indebtedness in excess of \$50,000 without the lender's prior consent, which is not to be unreasonably withheld. In connection therewith, we issued the Chang Family Trust a two-year warrant to purchase 114,286 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share. The warrant may not be exercised, however, absent receipt of stockholder approval, if after such exercise the holder would be the beneficial owner of more than 19.99% of our common stock.

On January 21, 2016, we issued a \$500,000 promissory note to a family trust of which William H.C. Chang, a former director and one of our principal stockholders, is a co-Trustee. The note bears simple interest at a rate of 5% per annum and matures in two years, with all interest payable at maturity. We may prepay the note at any time prior to maturity without penalty. The note must be repaid prior to maturity in the event of default, and we agreed not to incur additional indebtedness in excess of \$50,000 without the lender's prior consent, which is not to be unreasonably withheld. In connection therewith, we issued the Chang Family Trust a two-year warrant to purchase 114,286 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share. The warrant may not be exercised, however, absent receipt of stockholder approval, if after such exercise the holder would be the beneficial owner of more than 19.99% of our common stock.

Consulting Fees for Services Provided

Prior to becoming a director and then chief executive officer of our company, Dr. Murphy-Chutorian performed consulting services for us. These consulting services included managing finance, sales, marketing, operational and strategic planning for our company, as well as assistance and strategic guidance in securing financing. Between November 3, 2010 and September 17, 2012, and prior to his appointment to our board of directors (and later as our

Table of Contents

chief executive officer), Dr. Murphy-Chutorian invoiced us an aggregate amount of \$722,026 in consulting fees in connection with these consulting services provided to our company (\$75,000, \$165,000, \$482,026 recorded in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively), payment of which was deferred by Dr. Murphy-Chutorian. We paid Dr. Murphy-Chutorian \$150,000 of his receivable following the closing of our initial public offering, and began making installment payments of \$30,000 per month beginning August 2014. These installment payments ceased as of August 1, 2015 due to a deferral arrangement entered into by Dr. Murphy-Chutorian in which he agreed to be paid the remaining amount owed in one lump-sum of \$227,000 on August 1, 2016. On December 29, 2015 Dr. Murphy-Chutorian agreed to further defer this lump-sum payment until December 31, 2016. In connection with the agreement to defer payment of these fees, we granted Dr. Murphy-Chutorian options to acquire an aggregate of 180,000 shares of our common stock (in July 2015, contingent upon stockholder approval, which was obtained in October 2015) and 60,000 shares of our common stock (in December 2015). These options are now fully vested and exercisable and expire 10-years after the grant date and have an exercise price equal to the fair market value on the grant date.

Registration Rights

We are party to an investor rights agreement with those holders who held our common stock prior to our initial public offering, and those who held our convertible preferred stock prior to our initial public offering (all of which converted into common stock in our initial public offering). Accordingly, our directors and principal stockholders who held our securities prior to our initial public offering are parties to this agreement. This agreement provides for certain rights relating to the registration of their shares of common stock that was issued upon conversion of their convertible preferred stock. The registration rights will terminate five years following the completion of our initial public offering, or for any particular holder with registration rights, at such time when all securities held by that stockholder subject to registration rights may be sold pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act during any 90-day period.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

Our board of directors has adopted a written related person transaction policy setting forth the policies and procedures for the review and approval or ratification of related-person transactions. This policy covers, with certain exceptions set forth in Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Act, any transaction, arrangement or relationship, or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships in which we were or are to be a participant, where the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and a related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, including, without limitation, purchases of goods or services by or from the related person or entities in which the related person has a material interest, indebtedness, guarantees of indebtedness and employment by us of a related person. In reviewing and approving any such transactions, our audit committee is tasked to consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, whether the transaction is on terms comparable to those that could be obtained in an arm's length transaction and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction. All of the transactions described in this section occurred prior to the adoption of this policy.

Table of Contents**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by BDO USA, LLP, or BDO, for the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. In addition to retaining BDO to conduct an audit of the financial statements, we engage the firm from time to time to perform other services. The following table sets forth all fees incurred in connection with professional services rendered to us by BDO during each of the last two fiscal years.

Fee Type	Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Audit Fees	\$206,000	\$199,100
Audit-Related Fees	0	0
Tax Fees	32,000	28,000
All Other Fees	0	0
Total	\$238,000	\$227,100

Audit Fees. This category consists of the annual audit of our financial statements, the interim reviews of the quarterly financial statements, and services performed in conjunction with our registration statements.

Audit-Related Fees. None.

Tax Fees. This category includes all fees associated with preparation of our tax returns for both state and federal jurisdictions and preparation research and development credit and carryover calculations as well as reimbursable expenses for the same.

All Other Fees. None.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee charter provides that the audit committee will approve the fees and other significant compensation to be paid to our independent auditors, and pre-approve all audit services and all non-audit services of

independent auditors permitted under applicable law. The charter also provides that the audit committee may establish other pre-approval policies and procedures for the engagement of independent auditors to render services to us, including without limitation policies that would allow the delegation of pre-approval authority to one or more members of the audit committee, provided that any pre-approval decision is reported to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting. The audit committee has approved all audit and audit-related work covered by the audit fees, tax fees and all other fees.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

(1) Financial Statements:

Financial statements are shown in the Index to Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Financial statement schedules have been omitted because either they are not applicable or the required information is included in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

Exhibit

No.	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2015).
3.2	Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013).

- 4.2 Form of Investor Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.1 Form of Series A, Series A-1 and Series A-2 Preferred Stock Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.2 Form of Representative's Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.3† 2007 Key Person Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.4† Form of 2007 Key Person Stock Option Plan Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2015).
- 10.5† At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Robert G. McRae, dated November 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.6† At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Daniel E. Conger, dated October 18, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).

Table of Contents

- 10.7† At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D., dated November 11, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.8† Sales Representative Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D. effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013).
- 10.9† 2014 Stock Incentive Plan, dated August 26, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 2, 2014).
- 10.10† Form of 2014 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2015).
- 10.11 Form of Indemnification Agreement, approved and entered into between the Company and each of the Company's directors and executive officers as of July 24, 2014 (incorporated by referenced to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 29, 2014).
- 10.12† Amended and Restated Consulting Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and The Brenner Group, Inc., effective as of June 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 19, 2014).
- 10.13† Warrant Amendment (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 21, 2015).
- 10.14† 2015 Employee Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2015).
- 10.15* Form of Warrant, dated December 30, 2015.
- 10.16 Promissory Note, dated January 15, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 20, 2016).
- 10.17 Form of Warrant, dated January 15, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 20, 2016).

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.18 Promissory Note, dated January 21, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 25, 2016).
- 10.19 Form of Warrant, dated January 21, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 25, 2016).
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 23.1* Consent of BDO USA, LLP dated February 26, 2016
- 31.1* Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2* Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1* Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to

Table of Contents

Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2* Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith

† Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Annual Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-3
<u>Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-4
<u>Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-5
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-7

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders
Semler Scientific, Inc.
Portland, Oregon

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Semler Scientific, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related statements of operations, redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Semler Scientific, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has negative working capital, a deficit in stockholders' equity, recurring losses from operations and expects continuing future losses that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

New York, New York
February 26, 2016

F-2

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Balance Sheets**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

	For the years ended December 31	
	2015	2014
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash	\$405	\$4,156
Restricted cash	-	2,100
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$183 and \$51 respectively	1,278	355
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	69	135
Total current assets	1,752	6,746
Assets for lease, net	830	673
Property and equipment, net	497	9
Long-term deposits	-	17
Deferred financing costs	-	55
Total assets	\$3,079	\$7,500
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$839	\$89
Accrued expenses	2,317	1,363
Deferred revenue	952	612
Loans payable, current portion	-	2,000
Total current liabilities	4,108	4,064
Long-term liabilities:		
Deferred rent	43	-
Total long-term liabilities	43	-
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 5,148,568, and 4,741,017 shares issued, and 5,123,568 and 4,716,017 shares outstanding (treasury shares of 25,000 and 25,000, respectively)	5	5
Additional paid-in capital	21,291	17,298
Accumulated deficit	(22,368)	(13,867)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,072)	3,436
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$3,079	\$7,500

(See accompanying notes to financial statements)

F-3

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Statements of Operations**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

	For the years ended December 31	
	2015	2014
Revenue	\$7,001	\$3,635
Total Revenue	7,001	3,635
Operating expenses:		
Cost of revenue	2,847	692
Engineering and product development	1,436	1,113
Sales and marketing	6,266	3,723
General and administrative	4,871	2,448
Total operating expenses	15,420	7,976
Loss from operations	(8,419)	(4,341)
Other expense:		
Interest expense	(74)	(175)
Other expense	(8)	1)
Other expense	(82)	(174)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$(8,501)	\$(4,515)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$(1.72)	\$(1.10)
Weighted average number of shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share	4,928,881	4,105,754

(See accompanying notes to financial statements)

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

	Convertible Preferred Stock						Common Stock	Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	
	Series A	Series A Amount	Series A- 1	Series A- 1 Amount	Series A- 2	Series A- 2 Amount	Shares Issued	Common Stock Amount	Shares			
Balance at January 1, 2014	1,468,402	\$6,020	293,750	\$482	250,000	\$208	811,750	\$1	(25,000)	\$-	\$2,346	\$(9,000)
Conversion of all preferred stock classes into common stock at IPO	(1,468,402)	(6,020)	(293,750)	(482)	(250,000)	(208)	2,491,267	3	-	-	6,707	-
IPO Funding	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,430,000	1	-	-	10,010	-
Offering Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,959)	-
Stock Option Exercise	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,000	-	-	-	4	-
Stock-based Compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	190	-
Net loss for 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4,000)
Balance at December 31, 2014	-	\$-	-	\$-	-	\$-	4,741,017	\$5	(25,000)	\$-	\$17,298	\$(13,000)
Common Stock private placements	-	-	-	-	-	-	400,500	-	-	-	1,374	-
Stock Option Exercises	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,051	-	-	-	14	-

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

Stock-based Compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,605	-
Net loss for 2015	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)
Balance at December 31, 2015	-	\$-	-	\$-	-	\$-	5,148,568	\$5	(25,000)	\$-	\$21,291	\$(2)

(See accompanying notes to financial statements)

F-5

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Statements of Cash Flows**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

	For the years ended December 31	
	2015	2014
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net Loss	\$(8,501)	\$(4,515)
Reconciliation of Net Loss to Net Cash Used in Operating Activities:		
Amortization of deferred financing costs	55	147
Depreciation	315	196
Loss on disposal of assets for lease	144	78
Allowance for doubtful accounts	272	128
Stock-based compensation expense	2,605	190
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	(1,195)	(255)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	83	(106)
Accounts payable	750	(167)
Accrued expenses	997	236
Deferred revenue	340	246
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(4,135)	(3,822)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Additions to property and equipment	(532)	(9)
Change in restricted cash	2,100	(2,100)
Purchase of assets for lease	(572)	(432)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities	996	(2,541)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Issuance of common stock	1,374	10,010
Proceeds from stock option exercise	14	4
Offering costs	-	(1,959)
Proceeds from loans payable	-	2,000
Payments of loans payable	(2,000)	(158)
Payments of equipment leases	-	(112)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(612)	9,785
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	(3,751)	3,422
CASH, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	4,156	734

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

CASH, END OF PERIOD	\$405	\$4,156
Cash paid for income taxes	\$-	\$1
Cash paid for interest	\$19	\$28
Supplemental disclosure of noncash financing activity:		
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	\$-	\$6,707

(See accompanying notes to financial statements)

F-6

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

1. The Company

Semler Scientific, Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated in the State of Oregon on August 9, 2007, established C-corporation status in 2012, and reincorporated as a Delaware corporation during 2013. The Company is an emerging growth company providing technology solutions to improve the clinical effectiveness and efficiency of healthcare insurers and physician groups. Its innovative and proprietary products and services assist its customers in evaluating and treating chronic diseases. In 2011, the Company began commercializing its first patented and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) cleared product, which measured arterial blood flow in the extremities to aid in the diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease (“PAD”). In March 2015, the Company received FDA 510(k) clearance for the next generation version of its product, QuantaFlo™, which the Company commercially launched in August 2015. In April 2015, the Company launched its multi-test service platform, WellChec™, to more comprehensively evaluate its customers’ patients for chronic disease. The Company has one operating segment and generates revenue domestically primarily through direct licensing to direct customers. The Company is based in Portland, Oregon.

2. Going Concern

The Company has incurred recurring losses since inception and expects to continue to incur losses as a result of costs and expenses related to the Company’s marketing and other promotional activities, research and continued development of its product. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has negative working capital of \$2,356, cash of \$405 and stockholders’ deficit of \$1,072. The Company’s principal sources of cash have included the issuance of equity securities, and to a lesser extent, borrowings under loan agreements and revenue from leasing its product. To increase revenues, the Company’s operating expenses will continue to grow and, as a result, the Company will need to generate significant additional revenues to achieve profitability.

The Company’s financial statements as of December 31, 2015 have been prepared under the assumption that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company’s ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to attain further operating efficiencies and, ultimately, to generate additional revenue. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. The Company can give no assurances that additional capital that the Company is able to obtain, if any, will be sufficient to meet the Company’s needs. The foregoing conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

3.Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates

Basis for Presentation

The Company's financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP").

Initial Public Offering

In February 2014, the Company completed its initial public offering ("IPO") in which it issued and sold 1,430,000 shares of its common stock at a public offering price of \$7.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$7,403 after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$848 and other offering expenses of approximately \$1,759. The Company incurred \$648 of the offering expenses in 2013, and incurred \$1,959 of such expenses in the first quarter of 2014. The Company granted the underwriter an option to acquire an additional 214,500 shares of its common stock, which expired April 6, 2014 unexercised, and issued the underwriter warrants to acquire an aggregate of 71,500 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$8.75 per share, which become exercisable February 20, 2015 and expire February 20, 2019. Upon the closing of the IPO, all shares of the Company's then-outstanding Series A convertible Preferred Stock (1,468,402), Series A-1 convertible Preferred Stock (293,750) and Series A-2 convertible Preferred Stock (250,000) automatically converted into an aggregate of 2,012,152 shares of common stock. In addition, the Company's then outstanding warrants to acquire an aggregate of 1,067,210 shares of Series A convertible Preferred Stock and 228,656 shares of Series A-1 convertible Preferred Stock were cashlessly exercised at the IPO price for an aggregate of 479,115 shares of common stock. All other outstanding warrants of the Company became exercisable for common stock effective upon the IPO in accordance with their terms.

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the accompanying financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses, and related disclosures during the reporting period. Significant items subject to such estimates include revenue recognition, legal contingencies, allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of equipment on lease, deferred tax asset valuation allowance, stock-based compensation and valuation of warrants, common and convertible preferred stock. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's best estimates and judgment. Management regularly evaluates its estimates and assumptions using historical experience and other factors; however, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue primarily from the rental or license of its vascular testing product, or providing diagnostic testing service to its customers. The Company recognizes revenue from the licensing of its vascular testing product pursuant to agreements that typically automatically renew each month with revenue recognized on a daily convention basis. The Company's arrangements with customers for its vascular testing product are normally on a month-to-month basis with fees billed at the rates established in the customer agreement. The Company recognizes revenue for providing diagnostic testing services on a per test basis to customers, as earned.

Restricted Cash

On September 30, 2014 the Company entered into a revolving credit line with First Republic Bank. Per the terms of this line of credit, the Company is required to keep a collateral cash account open with First Republic Bank. The cash balance of this collateral cash account must be a minimum of 105% of the total principal balance outstanding on the line of credit. As of December 31, 2015, the line of credit has been repaid.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount, net of allowances for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the collectability of accounts. The Company regularly reviews the adequacy of this allowance for doubtful accounts by considering historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, the credit quality of the customers, current economic conditions, and other factors that may affect customers' ability to pay to determine whether a specific allowance is appropriate. Accounts receivable deemed uncollectable are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts when identified.

Assets for Lease

Assets for lease are recorded at cost. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, assets for lease consisted of vascular testing devices, which are leased to customers. The cost of such assets for lease is depreciated on a straight-line basis over 36 months for the units outstanding and recorded as cost of revenue.

The Company regularly reviews whether facts and circumstances exist which indicate that the carrying amounts of assets, may not be recoverable or that the useful life of assets are shorter or longer than originally estimated. The Company assesses the recoverability of its assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flows associated with the related asset over their estimated remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. The Company considers factors such as estimated usage and expected lives of its assets for lease in this analysis. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no impairment indicators.

Property and Equipment

Capital assets are recorded at cost. The cost of such capital assets is depreciated on a straight-line basis over a term depending on the assigned category (described below) and recorded as cost of revenue for WellChec capital assets and depreciation for all other capital assets.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, capital assets are classified into one of the following categories:

<u>Category Name</u>	<u>Description</u>
Machinery & Equipment	Manufacturing, R&D, or other non-office equipment
Computer Equipment & Software	Software, computers, monitors, printers and other related equipment.
Furniture & Fixtures	Office equipment and furniture owned by the company

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, capital assets are depreciated based on the following assumed useful life for each category:

<u>Account Name</u>	<u>Useful Life</u>
Machinery & Equipment	Five years
Computer Equipment & Software	Three years
Furniture & Fixtures	Five years

The Company regularly reviews whether facts and circumstances exist that indicate that the carrying amounts of capital assets, may not be recoverable or that the useful life of assets are shorter or longer than originally estimated. The Company assesses the recoverability of its assets by comparing the projected fair value of the related asset over the estimated remaining life against the respective carrying amounts. The Company considers factors such as estimated usage and expected lives of its capital assets in this analysis. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no impairment indicators.

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or an exit price paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 820, *Fair Value Measurement*, are described as follows:

Level 1 — Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 — Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level I that are observable, unadjusted quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data; and

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, which requires the Company to develop its own models.

The financial instruments of the Company consist primarily of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and loans payable of the Company. The carrying amounts of these items are considered a reasonable estimate of fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014 due to their short term nature and their market interest rates, which represents level 2 valuations.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue represents amounts billed to or collected from customers for which the related revenues have not been recognized because one or more of the revenue recognition criteria have not been met. The full amount is

expected to be recognized as revenues within one year from the balance sheet date and, therefore, such deferred amounts have been classified as current liabilities in the balance sheets presented. The Company generally invoices its clients in advance of a rental period with payment due upon receipt of the invoice.

Deferred Financing Costs

In 2011, certain of the Company's Directors personally guaranteed various loans or leases for the Company from First Republic Bank and U.S. Bancorp Business Equipment Finance Group, see Note 8. In consideration for the personal guarantees, these directors were given the opportunity to purchase fully vested warrants exercisable for common stock, which were determined to have a fair value of \$425 at issuance. The deferred financing costs are the fair value of the related warrants less the purchase price of the warrants. These financing costs were deferred and were being amortized over the term of the loan or lease obligation. The amount amortized to interest expense was \$55 and \$147 in 2015 and 2014, respectively. The leases were paid off early due to the opening of a new line of credit, resulting in acceleration of the expensing of the outstanding deferred financing costs in 2014. See Note 8.

Research and Development

The Company expenses costs related to the research and development associated with the design, development, testing and enhancement of its products and services. Such expenses include salaries and related employee benefits, and fees paid to external service providers.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense is measured based on the grant-date fair value of the stock-based awards. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense for the portion of each option grant or stock award that is expected to vest over the estimated period of service and vesting. The estimation of the fair value of each stock-based grant on the date of grant involves numerous assumptions by management. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the method for determining the estimated grant-date fair value of stock options. The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions which determine the fair value of stock-based awards, including the option's expected volatility and the price of the underlying stock. In addition, the Company

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

estimates the forfeiture rate of such awards during the requisite service period. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the grant.

Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a savings plan that qualifies under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. There were no matching or discretionary employer contributions made to this plan during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method to account for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected tax consequences attributable to the differences between financial reporting and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities and operating loss carry forwards, and they are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded for loss carry-forwards and other deferred tax assets where it is more likely than not that such loss carry-forward and deferred tax asset will not be realized. The estimate for the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets requires management to make significant estimates and judgments about projected future operating results. If actual results differ from these projections or if management's expectations of future results change, it may be necessary to adjust the valuation allowance.

Presentation of Prior Year Data

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform prior year data to the current presentation.

Net Loss per Share

Basic and diluted net loss per common share is calculated by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by dividing the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the periods, respectively, without consideration for outstanding common stock equivalents because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. Common stock equivalents are included in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share only if their effect is dilutive. For the periods presented, the Company's outstanding common stock equivalents consisted of options and warrants to purchase shares of common stock, all of which are antidilutive, and therefore were not included in the calculation for diluted loss per share.

Excise Tax Liability on Medical Devices

Recognition of the excise tax liability falls under ASC 450, *Contingencies*, because the tax is assessed on revenues. The Company recognizes the excise tax when a rental payment is invoiced. Based on the guidance in ASC 605-45-50-3 and 50-4, these excise taxes are presented on a gross basis, included in general and administrative expenses. The excise tax is not an income tax.

4. Assets for lease, net

Assets for lease consist of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2015	2014
Assets for lease	\$ 1,280	\$ 956
Less: accumulated depreciation	(450)	(283)
Assets for lease, net	\$ 830	\$ 673

Depreciation expense amounted to \$271 and \$194 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Reduction to accumulated depreciation for returned items was \$104 and \$87 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

5. Property and Equipment, net

Capital assets consist of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2015	2014
Capital assets	\$ 542	\$ 13
Less: accumulated depreciation	(45)	(4)
Capital assets, net	\$ 497	\$ 9

Depreciation expense amounted to \$44 and \$2 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

F-10

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Notes to Financial Statements**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

6. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	As of	
	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Offering Costs	\$227	\$407
Compensation	1,093	721
Miscellaneous Accruals	997	235
Total Accrued Expenses	\$2,317	\$1,363

The accumulated offering costs that were accrued pertain to consulting fees associated with securing equity financing for the Company prior to the IPO. Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”), the Company’s current CEO performed consulting services for the Company, which included managing finance, sales, marketing, operational and strategic planning for our company, as well as assistance and strategic guidance in securing financing.

7. Concentration of Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss from amounts owed by the financial counterparties. Credit risk can occur at multiple levels; as a result of broad economic conditions, challenges within specific sectors of the economy, or from issues affecting individual companies. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to credit risk consist of cash and accounts receivable.

The Company maintains cash with major financial institutions. The Company’s cash consist of bank deposits held with banks that, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company limits its credit risk by dealing with counterparties that are considered to be of high credit quality and by performing periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of these financial institutions.

Concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited due to the large number of customers comprising the payer base. Management periodically monitors the creditworthiness of its customers and believes that it has adequately provided for any exposure to potential credit loss. Two customers accounted for 14.2% and 11.8% of revenue, respectively, in the year ended December 31, 2015, and 12.9% and 11.7%, respectively, in 2014. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were two customers representing 18.6% and 11.7% of invoicing, respectively, in 2015, and 19.8% and 12.1% of invoicing, respectively, in 2014. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were customers with accounts receivable balances of 19.4% and 13.3%, respectively, in 2015, and 31.4%, 13.2% and 10.3%, respectively, in 2014.

The Company maintains a reserve against its accounts receivable equivalent to the ending balance 90 days or greater. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014 the reserve was \$183 and \$51, respectively.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Facilities Leases

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recognized \$209 in facilities lease expense. The Company had no material facilities leases for the year ended December 31, 2014 and had no rent expense for such period.

On September 23, 2014, the Company entered into a 36-month lease agreement for office space for the sales and marketing team located in Menlo Park, CA. The lease term commenced February 1, 2015 and is effective through January 31, 2018. Payments required under the terms of the lease are \$17.0 per month from February 2015 to January 2016, \$17.5 per month from February 2016 to January 2017, and \$18.0 per month from February 2017 to January 2018. The Company anticipates total future lease payments of \$209.1 for the year ended December 31, 2016; \$215.4 for the year ended December 31, 2017; and \$18.0 for the year ended December 31, 2018. On July 15, 2015, the Company entered into a 30-month sublease agreement for the Menlo Park office space, which commenced August 1, 2015 and is effective through the term of the lease, January 31, 2018. Payments required to the Company under the terms of the sublease are \$15.5 per month from August 2015 to July 2016, \$16.0 per month from August 2016 to July 2017, and \$16.5 per month from August 2017 to January 2018. The Company anticipates receipt of total future sublease payments of \$188.6 for the year ended December 31, 2016; \$194.4 for

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

the year ended December 31, 2017; and \$16.5 for the year ended December 31, 2018. The Company recorded an expense of \$50, which represented the difference between estimated cash payments on the lease and cash receipts from the sublease. The Company recorded the resulting long-term liability as accrued rent on the Company's balance sheet. The cease use date is July 31, 2015.

Equipment Leases and Loans Payable

On February 9, 2011, the Company entered into an Equipment Finance Agreement with U.S. Bancorp Business Equipment Finance Group. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company obtained a \$39 secured loan for a 48-month term that had an annual fixed interest rate of 13%. The loan was secured by the related leased equipment. Under the agreement, the Company made monthly payments consisting of \$1 of principal plus any accrued interest. The agreement provided for customary events of default. This loan was personally guaranteed by a Company director and a principal stockholder of the Company. This facility was retired in September 2014.

On May 27, 2011, the Company entered into an Equipment Finance Agreement with U.S. Bancorp Business Equipment Finance Group. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company obtained a \$109 secured loan for a 60-month term that had an annual fixed interest rate of 6%. The loan was secured by the related leased equipment. Under the Agreement, the Company made monthly payments consisting of \$2 of principal plus any accrued interest. The Agreement provided for customary events of default. This loan was personally guaranteed by a Company director and a principal stockholder of the Company. This facility was retired in September 2014.

At various dates in 2011, the Company entered into Lease Agreements with Lease Corporation of America. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company obtained an aggregate amount of \$66 for a 60-month term that had variable annual interest rates of approximately 14%. The leases were secured by the related leased equipment. Under the agreements, the Company made monthly payments of approximately \$1 of principal plus any accrued interest. The agreements provided for customary events of default. The leases were personally guaranteed by a principal stockholder of the Company. This facility was retired in September 2014.

On June 17, 2011, the Company entered into a loan agreement with First Republic Bank. Pursuant to the loan agreement, the Company obtained a \$150 secured loan for a 60-month term that had a variable interest rate based on First Republic's Prime plus a spread of 1.75% p.a. and a floor of 3.25% p.a. The initial interest rate was 5% p.a. Under the loan agreement, the Company made monthly payments consisting of \$3 of principal plus any accrued interest. The loan agreement provided for customary events of default. This loan was personally guaranteed by a principal stockholder of the Company. This loan agreement was retired in September 2014.

On September 13, 2011, the Company entered into an additional loan agreement with First Republic Bank. Pursuant to the loan agreement, the Company obtained a \$150 loan for a 60-month term that had a variable annual interest rate based on First Republic's Prime plus a spread of 1.75% and a floor of 3.25%. The initial interest rate was 5%. Under the loan agreement, the Company made monthly payments consisting of \$3 of principal plus any accrued interest. The loan agreement provided for customary events of default. This loan was personally guaranteed by a principal stockholder of the Company. This loan agreement was retired in September 2014.

On September 30, 2014, the Company entered into a revolving line of credit with First Republic Bank. Pursuant to the line of credit agreement, the Company could borrow up to \$2,000 for a 12-month term that had a variable annual interest rate based on First Republic's Prime less a spread of 2.0% p.a. The initial interest rate was 1.25% p.a. Under the line of credit agreement, the Company made monthly payments consisting of \$2 of interest, and an annual payment consisting of \$2,002 principal plus any accrued interest. The line of credit agreement provided for customary events of default. This line of credit was secured by a \$2,100 collateral cash account in the Company's name at First Republic. The line of credit was retired and the collateral cash account was closed in September 2015.

Interest expense under these obligations for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$19 and \$28, respectively.

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

Indemnification Obligations

The Company enters into agreements with customers, partners, lenders, consultants, lessors, contractors, sales representatives and parties to certain transactions in the ordinary course of the Company's business. These agreements may require the Company to indemnify the other party against third party claims alleging that its product infringes a patent or copyright. Certain of these agreements require the Company to indemnify the other party against losses arising from: a breach of representations or covenants, claims relating to property damage, personal injury or acts or omissions of the Company, its employees, agents or representatives. The Company has also agreed to indemnify the directors and certain of the officers and employees in accordance with the by-laws of the Company. These indemnification provisions will vary based upon the nature and terms of the agreements. In many cases, these indemnification provisions do not contain limits on the Company's liability, and the occurrence of contingent events that will trigger payment under these indemnities is difficult to predict. As a result, the Company cannot estimate its potential liability under these indemnities. The Company believes that the likelihood of conditions arising that would trigger these indemnities is remote and, historically, the Company had not made any significant payment under such indemnification provisions. Accordingly, the Company has not recorded any liabilities relating to these agreements. In certain cases, the Company has recourse against third parties with respect to the aforesaid indemnities, and the Company believes it maintains adequate levels of insurance coverage to protect the Company with respect to potential claims arising from such agreements.

9. Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

Authorized Capital

In connection with the conversion to a Delaware corporation, during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the Company's certificate of incorporation was amended and restated to authorize the Company to issue up to 54,000,000 shares, of which 50,000,000 shares were designated as common stock with par value of \$0.001 per share and 4,000,000 shares were designated as convertible preferred stock with par value of \$0.001 par value per share. The authorized preferred stock for all periods presented is as follows: (i) 2,800,000 shares of Series A convertible Preferred Stock, (ii) 800,000 shares of Series A-1 convertible Preferred Stock, and (iii) 400,000 shares of Series A-2 convertible Preferred Stock.

A. Common Stock

Issuance of Common Stock

In February 2014, the Company completed its IPO in which it issued and sold 1,430,000 shares of its common stock at a public offering price of \$7.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$7,403 after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$848 and other offering expenses of approximately \$1,759. The Company incurred \$648 of the offering expenses in 2013, and incurred \$1,959 of such expenses in the first quarter of 2014. Upon the closing of the IPO, all shares of the Company's then-outstanding Series A convertible Preferred Stock (1,468,402), Series A-1 convertible Preferred Stock (293,750) and Series A-2 convertible Preferred Stock (250,000) automatically converted into an aggregate of 2,012,152 shares of common stock.

In February 2015, the Company completed a private placement in which it issued and sold 55,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$4.52 per share. The Company received proceeds of \$248. In March 2015, the Company completed a private placement in which it issued and sold 62,500 shares of its common stock at a price of \$4.00 per share. The Company received proceeds of \$250. In April 2015, the Company completed a private placement in which it issued and sold 143,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. The Company received proceeds of \$501. In December 2015, the Company completed a private placement in which it issued and sold 140,000 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase 28,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for proceeds of \$374.

Voting Rights of Common Stock

Each holder of shares of Common Stock is entitled to one vote for each share held.

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

Common Stock Warrants

In February 2014, in connection with the closing of the IPO, the Company's then outstanding warrants to acquire an aggregate of 1,067,210 shares of Series A convertible Preferred Stock and 228,656 shares of Series A-1 convertible Preferred Stock were cashlessly exercised at the IPO price for an aggregate of 479,115 shares of common stock. All other then outstanding warrants of the Company became exercisable for 288,214 shares of common stock effective upon the IPO in accordance with their terms. In addition, the Company issued the underwriter for its IPO warrants to acquire an aggregate of 71,500 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$8.75 per share, which become exercisable February 20, 2015 and expire February 20, 2019.

In September 2015, the Company amended the terms of all of its outstanding warrants to acquire shares of its common stock (other than the underwriter warrants to acquire an aggregate of 71,500 shares) to provide that all such warrants expire July 31, 2023. The Company has recorded an expense of \$363 as it relates to stock based compensation during the year ended December 31, 2015 for this change in expiration terms.

In December 2015, in connection with the closing of a private placement described above, the Company sold a 2-year warrant to acquire 28,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share.

Common Stock

For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, a total of 2,297,625 and 1,009,214 shares of common stock, respectively, were reserved for issuance upon (i) exercise of common stock warrants, and (ii) the exercise of outstanding stock options, as follows:

Year ended December	
31,	
2015	2014

Common stock warrants	387,714	359,714
Options	1,909,911	649,500
Total	2,297,625	1,009,214

B. Offering Costs Associated With IPO

During the year ended December 31, 2014 the Company incurred a total of \$1,959 of offering costs associated with IPO efforts all of which have been paid as of the date of this report.

10. Stock Option Plan

The Company's stock-based compensation program is designed to attract and retain employees while also aligning employees' interests with the interests of its stockholders. Stock options have been granted to employees under the stockholder-approved 2007 Key Person Stock Option Plan ("2007 Plan") or the stockholder-approved 2014 Stock Incentive Plan ("2014 Plan"). Stockholder approval of the 2014 Plan became effective in September 2014. The 2014 Plan originally provided that the aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the 2014 Plan may not exceed 450,000 shares (the "Share Reserve"), however in October 2015, the stockholders approved a 1,500,000 increase to the Share Reserve. In addition, the Share Reserve automatically increases on January 1st of each year, for a period of not more than 10 years, beginning on January 1st of the year following the year in which the 2014 Plan became effective and ending on (and including) January 1, 2024, in an amount equal to 4% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding on December 31st of the preceding calendar year. The Company's Board of Directors may act prior to January 1st of a given year to provide that there will be no January 1st increase in the Share Reserve for such year or that the increase in the Share Reserve for such year will be a lesser number of shares of common stock than would otherwise occur. The Share Reserve is currently 2,138,640 shares for the year ending December 31, 2015.

In light of stockholder approval of the 2014 Plan, the Company no longer grants equity awards under the 2007 Plan. As of December 31, 2015, 0 shares of an aggregate total of 407,500 shares were available for future

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Notes to Financial Statements**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

stock-based compensation grants under the 2007 Plan and 621,179 shares of an aggregate total of 2,138,640 shares were available for future stock-based compensation grants under the 2014 Plan.

Aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the closing market value as of December 31, 2015 of the underlying common stock and the exercise price of outstanding, in-the-money options. A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	Options Outstanding		Weighted	Aggregate
	Number of	Weighted	Average	Intrinsic Value
	Stock	Average	Remaining	(in thousands)
	Options	Exercise Price	Contractual	
	Outstanding		Term (In Years)	
Balance, January 1, 2014	337,500	\$ 0.52	6.16	\$ 2,693
Options granted	320,000	2.48		
Options exercised	(8,000)	0.52		\$ 17
Balance, December 31, 2014	649,500	\$ 1.49	7.44	\$ 474
Options granted	1,308,017	3.15		
Options exercised	(7,051)	2.10		\$ 17
Options forfeited/cancelled	(40,555)	2.29		
Balance, December 31, 2015	1,909,911	\$ 2.58	8.56	\$ 813
Exercisable as of December 31, 2014	399,552	\$ 1.10	6.01	\$ 474
Exercisable as of December 31, 2015	1,464,189	\$ 2.60	8.32	\$ 713

The total compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards not yet recognized was \$771 and \$332 as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The weighted average period over which the total unrecognized compensation cost related to these unvested stock awards will be recognized is 3.0 years. The total estimated grant date fair value of options vested during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$2,242 and \$190, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2015 is \$2.08 per share or an aggregate grant date fair value of \$2,722. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2014 is \$1.63 per share or an aggregate grant date fair value of \$523. The weighted average grant date fair value of options forfeited during the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$61. There were no forfeitures in 2014.

On July 24, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors granted 70,000 stock options under the 2007 Plan. These options were 100% vested and exercisable at the date of issue. The Company recorded \$178 of stock based compensation expense associated with these grants. During 2014, there were 70,000 grants, 8,000 exercised, no forfeitures, and no cancellations of stock options under the 2007 Plan.

On November 11, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors granted options to acquire an aggregate of 250,000 shares under the 2014 Plan. The options vest monthly over 48 months such that they are vested in full on the four-year anniversary of the grant date. The Company has recorded \$12 of stock based compensation expense associated with these grants.

On January 1, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors granted an option to acquire an aggregate of 75,000 shares under the 2014 Plan. On May 1, 2015 the Company's Board of Directors granted options to acquire an aggregate of 50,000 shares under the 2014 Plan. On July 21, 2015, the Company's Compensation Committee granted options to acquire an aggregate of 111,300 shares under the 2014 Plan. All of these options vest monthly over 48 months such that they are vested in full on the four-year anniversary of the grant date.

On July 21, 2015, the Company's Compensation Committee approved the grant of options to acquire an aggregate of 730,500 shares under the 2014 Plan, contingent upon receipt of stockholder approval of an increase of 1,500,000 shares in the 2014 Plan Share Reserve (which approval was received on October 29, 2015). These

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Notes to Financial Statements**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

options originally vested monthly over 12 months such that they are vested in full on the one-year anniversary of the October 29, 2015 grant effectiveness date. Then, on July 31, 2015, the Company's Compensation Committee granted options to the Board of Directors to acquire an aggregate of 35,000 shares under the 2014 Plan, which options vested in full on the grant date.

On December 31, 2015, the Company's Compensation Committee granted options to the Board of Directors to acquire an aggregate of 306,217 shares under the 2014 plan. Of these, 106,800 options vest monthly over 48 months such that they are vested in full on the four-year anniversary of the grant date. The remaining 199,417 options vested immediately upon grant. As of December 31, 2015 there were 1,558,017 grants, 7,051 exercises, 40,555 forfeitures and cancellations of stock options under the 2014 Plan.

In December 2015, the Company accelerated the vesting on 730,500 outstanding options such that they were vested in full as of December 31, 2015. The Company has recorded an expense of \$1,062 as it relates to stock based compensation during the year ended December 31, 2015 for this change in vesting schedule.

Determining the Fair Value of Stock Options

The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of the grant. The fair value of the options granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following assumptions for the periods presented:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Expected term (in years)	5	5
Risk-free interest rate	1.5 – 1.76 %	1.6 – 1.67 %
Expected volatility	80.7 – 83.3%	82.2 – 83.0%
Expected dividend rate	0 %	0 %

The assumptions are based on the following for each of the years presented:

Valuation Method — The Company estimates the fair value of its stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Expected Term — The Company estimates the expected term consistent with the simplified method identified by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The Company elected to use the simplified method because of its limited history of stock option exercise activity and its stock options meet the criteria of the “plain-vanilla” options as defined by the SEC. The simplified method calculates the expected term as the average of the vesting and contractual terms of the award.

Volatility — Because the Company has limited trading history by which to determine the volatility of its own common stock price, the expected volatility being used is derived from the historical stock volatilities of a representative industry peer group of comparable publicly listed companies over a period approximately equal to the expected term of the options.

Risk-free Interest Rate — The risk-free interest rate is based on median U.S. Treasury zero coupon issues with remaining terms similar to the expected term on the options.

Expected Dividend — The Company has never declared or paid any cash dividends and does not plan to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future, and therefore, used an expected dividend yield of zero in the valuation model.

Forfeiture — The Company estimates forfeitures at the time of grant and revises those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting forfeitures and records stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. All stock-based payment awards are amortized on a straight-line basis over the

Table of Contents**Semler Scientific, Inc.****Notes to Financial Statements**

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

requisite service periods of the awards, which are generally the vesting periods. If the Company's actual forfeiture rate is materially different from its estimate, the stock-based compensation expense could be significantly different from what the Company has recorded in the current period.

The Company has recorded an expense of \$2,605 and \$190 as it relates to stock-based compensation for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which was allocated as follows based on the role and responsibility of the recipient in the Company:

	Year ended	
	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Cost of Revenue	\$2	\$1
Engineering and Product Development	101	1
Sales and Marketing	1,172	4
General and Administrative	1,330	184
Total	\$2,605	\$190

11. Income Taxes

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	2015	2014
Current tax provision:		
Federal	\$ —	\$ —
State	6	9
Deferred tax provision:		
Federal	—	—
State	—	—
Total	\$ 6	\$ 9

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

A summary of the differences between the Company's effective income tax rate and the Federal statutory income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	2014	2013
Federal statutory rate	34.00 %	34.00 %
State income tax rate, net of federal benefit	(0.05)%	(0.13)%
Change in valuation allowance	(33.95)%	(33.62)%
Other	(0.07)%	(0.45)%
Effective income tax rate	(0.07)%	(0.20)%

Deferred tax assets are comprised of the following at December 31:

	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$4,732	\$2,966
Deferred Revenue	453	233
Depreciation and amortization	18	47
Stock-based compensation	1,105	163
Accrual and reserve	132	25
Research and Development Credits	130	106
Total gross deferred tax assets	6,570	3,540
Less valuation allowance	(6,570)	(3,540)
Net deferred tax assets	\$—	\$—

F-17

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

As of December 31, 2015, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$13,400 for Federal and \$4,800 for California, which begin to expire in 2032. The Company also has Federal research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$100 at December 31, 2015 which begin to expire in 2032.

ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes*, prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

The Company's ability to use operating loss carryforwards and tax credits to offset future taxable income is subject to restrictions under Section 382 of the United States Internal Revenue Code (the "Internal Revenue Code"). These restrictions may limit the future use of future operating loss carryforwards and tax credits if certain ownership changes described in the Internal Revenue Code occur. The Company's pre-IPO net operating losses and tax credits are limited under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 as a result of an ownership change defined under Section 382. Future changes in stock ownership may occur that would create further limitations on the Company's use of its operating loss carryforwards and tax credits. In such a situation, the Company may be required to pay income taxes, even though significant operating loss carryforwards and tax credits might exist.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits and no adjustments to liabilities or operations were required for uncertain tax positions under ASC 740-10. The Company's practice is to recognize interest and penalty expenses related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense, which was zero for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal and several state tax jurisdictions.

The Company's tax years beginning 2012 remain open for examination by the federal and state tax authorities for three and four years, respectively. Tax years beginning 2012 will remain open for examination from the date of utilization of any net operating loss or credits. The Company does not have any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible the total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease within 12 months of the year-ended December 31, 2015.

12. Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net loss	\$ (8,501)	\$ (4,515)
Weighted average shares outstanding	4,928,881	4,105,754
Basic and diluted loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$ (1.72)	\$ (1.10)

Because the Company was in a loss position for each of the periods presented, diluted net loss per share is the same as basic net loss per share for each period as the inclusion of all potential common shares outstanding would have been anti-dilutive. The following weighted average shares outstanding of common stock equivalents were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share for the periods presented because including them would have been anti-dilutive:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Convertible preferred stock	—	—
Convertible preferred stock warrants	—	—
Common stock warrants	359,868	304,373
Options	1,122,197	403,662
Total	1,482,065	708,035

Table of Contents

Semler Scientific, Inc.

Notes to Financial Statements

(In thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data)

13. Subsequent Events

On January 15, 2016, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Chang Family Trust. Pursuant to the loan agreement, the Company obtained a \$1,000 unsecured loan for a 24-month term that had a fixed interest rate of 10% p.a. Under the loan agreement, the Company will make one payment consisting of \$1,000 of principal plus all accrued interest. Chang Family Trust was issued a warrant to purchase 114,286 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$1.75 per share associated with this loan. As of the date of this filing, the Company is in compliance with all terms of this loan.

On January 15, 2016, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Chang Family Trust. Pursuant to the loan agreement, the Company obtained a \$500 unsecured loan for a 24-month term that had a fixed interest rate of 5% p.a. Under the loan agreement, the Company will make one payment consisting of \$500 of principal plus all accrued interest. Chang Family Trust was issued a warrant to purchase 114,286 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$1.75 per share associated with this loan. As of the date of this filing, the Company is in compliance with all terms of this loan.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 26, 2016

Semler Scientific, Inc.

By: /s/ Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D.
Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D.
Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Douglas Murphy-Chutorian and Daniel Conger, and each of them, as his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this annual report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, or their or his substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D. Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D.	Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 26, 2016

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

/s/ Daniel Conger Daniel Conger	Vice-President, Finance (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 26, 2016
/s/ Herbert J. Semler, M.D. Herbert J. Semler, M.D.	Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 26, 2016
/s/ Bruce J Barclay Bruce J Barclay	Director	February 26, 2016
/s/ Aidan M. Collins Aidan M. Collins	Director	February 26, 2016
/s/ Greg S .Garfield Greg S. Garfield	Director	February 26, 2016
/s/ Arthur N. Leibowitz, M.D., F.A.A.P. Arthur N. Leibowitz, M.D., F.A.A.P.	Director	February 26, 2016
/s/ Wayne T. Pan, M.D., Ph.D. Wayne T. Pan, M.D., Ph.D.	Director	February 26, 2016
/s/ Shirley L. Semler Shirley L. Semler	Director	February 26, 2016

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2015).
3.2	Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013).
4.2	Form of Investor Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
10.1	Form of Series A, Series A-1 and Series A-2 Preferred Stock Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
10.2	Form of Representative's Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
10.3†	2007 Key Person Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
10.4†	Form of 2007 Key Person Stock Option Plan Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2015).
10.5†	At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Robert G. McRae, dated November 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
10.6†	At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Daniel E. Conger, dated October 18, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.7† At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D., dated November 11, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
- 10.8† Sales Representative Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and Douglas Murphy-Chutorian, M.D. effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013).
- 10.9† 2014 Stock Incentive Plan, dated August 26, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 2, 2014).

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description
No.	
10.10†	Form of 2014 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2015).
10.11	Form of Indemnification Agreement, approved and entered into between the Company and each of the Company's directors and executive officers as of July 24, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 29, 2014).
10.12†	Amended and Restated Consulting Agreement between Semler Scientific, Inc. and The Brenner Group, Inc., effective as of June 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 19, 2014).
10.13†	Warrant Amendment (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 21, 2015).
10.14†	2015 Employee Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2015).
10.15*	Form of Warrant, dated December 30, 2015.
10.16	Promissory Note, dated January 15, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 20, 2016).
10.17	Form of Warrant, dated January 15, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 20, 2016).
10.18	Promissory Note, dated January 21, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 25, 2016).
10.19	Form of Warrant, dated January 21, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 25, 2016).
14.1	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 of our Form S-1 Registration Statement, as amended (File No. 333-192362), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2013).
23.1*	Consent of BDO USA, LLP dated February 26, 2016
31.1*	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Edgar Filing: Semler Scientific, Inc. - Form 10-K

32.1* Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2* Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

Table of Contents

Exhibit

Description

No.

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

*

Filed herewith

†

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement