

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC.
Form 10-Q
May 15, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-29440

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC.

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

77-0444317
(I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER)

39300 Civic Center Drive, Suite 160

Fremont, California 94538

(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES INCLUDING ZIP CODE)

Edgar Filing: IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(949) 250-8888

(REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

1900 Carnegie Avenue, Building B

Santa Ana, California 92705

(FORMER NAME, FORMER ADDRESS AND FORMER FISCAL YEAR, IF CHANGED SINCE LAST REPORT)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At May 9, 2014, 79,185,054 shares of common stock were outstanding, excluding 618,400 shares held in treasury.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 29 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 41 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 41 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 43 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 43 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 53 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 53 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 53 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 54 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 54 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 55 |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | 56 |

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except par value)

| | March 31, 2014 (unaudited) | December 31, 2013 (A) |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$12,030 | \$5,095 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$87 and \$131 as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 12,940 | 13,289 |
| Inventories | 10,347 | 9,098 |
| Prepaid expenses | 1,031 | 957 |
| Other current assets | 1,672 | 1,766 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | — | 2,624 |
| Total current assets | 38,020 | 32,829 |
| Property and equipment, net | 5,696 | 5,888 |
| Goodwill | 8,994 | 8,991 |
| Intangible assets, net | 9,821 | 10,184 |
| Other assets | 1,305 | 867 |
| Total assets | \$63,836 | \$58,759 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$11,173 | \$9,353 |
| Liability to related party | 1,056 | 1,073 |
| Financial liabilities | — | 2,971 |
| Deferred revenue | 504 | 729 |
| Accrued compensation and related benefits | 3,054 | 3,383 |
| Other accrued expenses and liabilities | 4,724 | 5,239 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | — | 1,630 |
| Total current liabilities | 20,511 | 24,378 |
| Long-term liability to related party | 5,537 | 5,648 |
| Long-term financial liabilities | 13,503 | 3,051 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 885 | 938 |
| Total liabilities | 40,436 | 34,015 |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 14) | | |

| | | |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Identive Group, Inc. stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value: 10,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value: 130,000 shares authorized; 78,411 and 75,079 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 78 | 75 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 352,558 | 348,845 |
| Treasury stock, 618 shares as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 | (2,777) | (2,777) |
| Accumulated deficit | (326,004) | (320,876) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,334 | 1,227 |
| Total Identive Group, Inc. stockholders' equity | 25,189 | 26,494 |
| Noncontrolling interest | (1,789) | (1,750) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 23,400 | 24,744 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$63,836 | \$58,759 |

(A) The condensed consolidated balance sheet has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2013 but does not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March, 31 | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net revenue | \$17,160 | \$15,955 |
| Cost of revenue | 10,520 | 9,202 |
| Gross profit | 6,640 | 6,753 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Research and development | 1,502 | 1,693 |
| Selling and marketing | 5,049 | 4,697 |
| General and administrative | 3,054 | 3,887 |
| Restructuring and severance | 437 | — |
| Total operating expenses | 10,042 | 10,277 |
| Loss from operations | (3,402) | (3,524) |
| Interest expense, net | (2,084) | (592) |
| Foreign currency loss, net | (93) | (178) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and noncontrolling interest | (5,579) | (4,294) |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | (64) | 114 |
| Loss from continuing operations before noncontrolling interest | (5,643) | (4,180) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 474 | (776) |
| Consolidated net loss | (5,169) | (4,956) |
| Less: Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 41 | 175 |
| Net loss attributable to Identive Group, Inc. stockholders' equity | \$(5,128) | \$(4,781) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to Identive Group, Inc. stockholders' equity: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$(0.08) | \$(0.07) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.01 | (0.01) |
| Net loss | \$(0.07) | \$(0.08) |
| Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted loss per share | 75,688 | 60,233 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Consolidated net loss | \$(5,169) | \$(4,956) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes of nil: | | |
| Unrealized gain on defined benefit plans | — | 19 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 109 | (183) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes of nil | \$109 | \$(164) |
| Consolidated comprehensive loss | (5,060) | (5,120) |
| Less: Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 39 | 238 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to Identive Group, Inc. Stockholders' equity | \$(5,021) | \$(4,882) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

(In thousands)

(unaudited)

| | Identive Group, Inc. Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Common Shares | Stock Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Noncontrolling Interest | Total Equity |
| Balances, December 31, 2013 | 75,079 | 75 | 348,845 | (2,777) | (320,876) | 1,227 | (1,750) | 24,744 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | — | (5,128) | — | (41) | (5,169) |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | 107 | 2 | 109 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with capital raise | 3,169 | 3 | 2,598 | — | — | — | — | 2,601 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with ESPP | 72 | — | 35 | — | — | — | — | 35 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with stock bonus and incentive plans | 61 | — | 54 | — | — | — | — | 54 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with exercise of options | 3 | — | 2 | — | — | — | — | 2 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 27 | — | 200 | — | — | — | — | 200 |
| Issuance of warrants in connection with secured debt facility | — | — | 824 | — | — | — | — | 824 |
| Balances, March 31, 2014 | 78,411 | \$ 78 | \$ 352,558 | \$(2,777) | \$(326,004) | \$ 1,334 | \$ (1,789) | \$ 23,400 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (5,169) | \$ (4,956) |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | (452) | - |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | - | (117) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 752 | 995 |
| Accretion of interest on related party liability | 146 | 171 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 1,734 | 139 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 200 | 475 |
| Pension charges | - | 105 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (408) | 1,833 |
| Inventories | (1,241) | (1,761) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (321) | 171 |
| Accounts payable | 2,600 | 792 |
| Liability to related party | (274) | (272) |
| Deferred revenue | (204) | 367 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,364) | 1,212 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (4,001) | (846) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (262) | (568) |
| Proceeds from sale of business | 1,286 | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 1,024 | (568) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 14,000 | - |
| Proceeds from capital raise | 2,601 | - |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under ESPP and options exercised | 37 | 56 |
| Payments on financial liabilities and debt issuance costs | (6,824) | (643) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 9,814 | (587) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash | 82 | 108 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 6,919 | (1,893) |
| Cash of continuing operations, at beginning of period | 5,095 | 6,109 |
| Add: Cash of discontinued operations, at beginning of period | 16 | 1,269 |
| Less: Cash of discontinued operations, at end of period | - | 1,437 |
| Cash of continuing operations, at end of period | \$ 12,030 | \$ 4,048 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |

Edgar Filing: IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------|--------|
| Leasehold improvements funded by lease incentives | \$ - | \$ 500 |
| Common stock issued in connection with stock bonus and incentive plans | \$ 54 | \$ - |
| Property and equipment subject to accounts payable | \$ 96 | \$ 285 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2014

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Identive Group, Inc. (“Identiv” or “the Company”) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company’s unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014 or any future period. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” “Risk Factors,” “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” and the Consolidated Financial Statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. The preparation of unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements necessarily requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the condensed consolidated balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the periods presented. In Identiv’s business overall, the Company may experience significant variations in demand for its products quarter to quarter, and overall the Company typically experiences a stronger demand cycle in the second half of its fiscal year. As a result, the quarterly results may not be indicative of the full year results.

Discontinued Operations — The financial information related to some of the subsidiaries of the Company is reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented as discussed in Note 2, Discontinued Operations. Reclassifications of prior period amounts related to discontinued operations have been made to conform to the current period presentation.

Going Concern — The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that the Company will continue as a going concern. Such assumption contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has historically incurred operating losses and has a total accumulated deficit of \$326 million as of March 31, 2014. This factor, among others, including the ongoing effects of the U.S. Government sequester and related budget uncertainty on certain parts of its business, have raised significant doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The ability to continue as a going concern is contingent upon the Company’s ability to generate revenue and cash flow to meet its obligations on a timely basis and its ability to raise financing or dispose of certain noncore assets as required. The Company’s plans may be adversely impacted if it fails to realize its assumed levels of revenues and expenses or savings from its cost reduction activities. If events, such as reductions in spending under the federal budget sequester, cause a significant adverse impact on its revenues or expenses, the Company may need to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of its development programs or obtain funds through collaborative arrangements with others that may require the Company to relinquish rights to certain of its technologies, or programs

that the Company would otherwise seek to develop or commercialize itself, and to reduce personnel related costs. The Company may resort to contingency plans to make needed cost reductions upon determination that funds will not be available in a timely matter. These contingency plans include consolidating certain functions or disposing of non-core or underperforming assets. As stated in Note 2, Discontinued Operations, the Company has sold certain non-core or underperforming businesses and will do so in the future, if needed. The Company may also need to raise additional funds through public or private offerings of additional debt or equity securities from time to time as it may deem appropriate, which might cause dilution to existing stockholders. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to raise such funds if and when they are required. Failure to obtain future funding when needed or on acceptable terms would adversely affect the Company's ability to fund operations.

Correction of Prior Period Errors — In connection with the preparation of its consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the Company identified an error related to the classification of cash paid for the interest on financial liabilities in the consolidated statements of cash flows. The cash paid for the interest on financial liabilities was presented as cash outflows from financing activities, which should have been presented as cash outflows from operating activities in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in the Company's Form 10-Q filing for the quarter ended March 31, 2013. As a result, cash used in operating activities was understated and cash used in financing activities was overstated for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 by \$0.4 million. The amounts for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 have been adjusted to correct the impact of such error. Using both quantitative and qualitative measures, the Company believes that the impact of this error is immaterial, individually and in aggregate, to the condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 and therefore an amendment to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 is not considered necessary.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-08 ("ASU 2014-08") "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity." ASU 2014-08 raises the threshold for a disposal to qualify as a discontinued operation and requires new disclosures of both discontinued operations and certain other disposals that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation. It is effective for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted but only for disposals that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact of the adoption on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists ("ASU 2013-11"). ASU 2013-11 provides guidance on financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss ("NOL") carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The updated accounting standard requires an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for an NOL or tax credit carryforward whenever the NOL or tax credit carryforward would be available to reduce the additional taxable income or tax due if the tax position is disallowed. The ASU's amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The amendments should be applied to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist as of the effective date, and may be applied retrospectively. The Company adopted this standard in the first quarter of 2014. The adoption did not result in a change to the tax provision and it did not have a significant impact to the presentation of long-term taxes payable or deferred tax assets.

2. Discontinued Operations

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board"), after reviewing strategic options, committed to a plan designed to simplify the Company's business structure and to focus on high-growth technology trends within the security market including cloud-based services and mobility. In December 2013, the Company completed the sale of its Swiss Multicard AG subsidiary, its German payment solution AG subsidiary and its Dutch Multicard Nederland BV subsidiary to Sandpiper Assets SA, an international holding company ("Sandpiper"), pursuant to a share purchase agreement whereby the Company has agreed to sell its holdings in these subsidiaries to Sandpiper for a total negative cash consideration of \$0.5 million, which was paid to Sandpiper in February 2014 subsequent to the close of the transaction. The sale of Multicard AG and payment solution AG closed on December 19, 2013 and sale of Multicard Nederland BV closed on December 31, 2013. In addition, the Company completed the sale of its

German Multicard GmbH subsidiary to an employee for the sum of one euro on December 30, 2013. Based on the carrying value of the assets and the liabilities attributed to these businesses on the date of sale, and the estimated costs and expenses incurred in connection with the sale, the Company recorded a gain of \$4.8 million, net of tax of nil, during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013 in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, which is included in the loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes line.

In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company committed to sell its Rockwest Technology Group, Inc. d/b/a/ Multicard US (“Multicard US”) subsidiary to George Levy, Matt McDaniel and Hugo Garcia (the “Buyers”), the founders and former owners of the Multicard US business. The sale of the Multicard US subsidiary was completed on February 4, 2014 and was made pursuant to a Share Purchase Agreement dated January 21, 2014 between the Company and the Buyers whereby the Company agreed to sell its holdings, consisting of 80.1% of the shares of Multicard US, to the Buyers for a cash consideration of \$1.2 million. Based on the carrying value of the assets and the liabilities attributed to Multicard US on the date of sale, and the estimated costs and expenses incurred in connection with the sale, the Company recorded a gain of \$0.5 million, net of income taxes of nil, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014, which is included in the income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes line.

In accordance with ASC Topic 205-20, Discontinued Operations (“ASC 205”), for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the results of these businesses have been presented as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and all prior periods have been reclassified to conform to this presentation. The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations have been reclassified and are segregated as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. Prior to the sale, these businesses were part of the Identity Management segment.

The key components of income (loss) from discontinued operations consist of the following (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net revenues | \$529 | \$5,109 |
| Discontinued operations: | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes of nil | 22 | (776) |
| Adjustments to amounts reported previously for gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes of nil | (51) | — |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes of nil | 503 | — |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | \$474 | \$(776) |

The following table summarizes the assets and liabilities of discontinued operations (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2013 | |
|---|----------------------|-------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 16 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 787 |
| Inventories | | 471 |
| Other current assets | | 27 |
| Property and equipment | | 13 |
| Goodwill | | 1,310 |
| Total assets of discontinued operations | \$ | 2,624 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | | 418 |
| Deferred revenue | | 966 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | 246 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| Total liabilities of discontinued operations | \$ 1,630 |
|--|----------|

3. Fair Value Measurements

The Company determines the fair values of its financial instruments based on a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The classification of a financial asset or liability within the hierarchy is based upon the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Under ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures (“ASC 820”), the fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 – Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets and liabilities in active markets;

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no assets that are measured and recognized at fair value on a recurring basis. There were no cash equivalents as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

The Company's liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis includes contingent consideration related to the acquisitions of idOnDemand. The sellers of idOnDemand are eligible to receive limited earn-out payments ("contingent consideration") in the form of shares of common stock subject to certain lock-up periods under the terms of the acquisition agreement. The fair value of the contingent consideration is based on achieving certain revenue and profit targets as defined under the agreement. These contingent payments are probability weighted and are discounted to reflect the restriction on the resale or transfer of such shares. The valuation of the contingent consideration is classified as a Level 3 measurement because it is based on significant unobservable inputs and involves management judgment and assumptions about achieving revenue and profit targets and discount rates. The unobservable inputs used in the measurement of contingent consideration are highly sensitive to fluctuations and any changes in the inputs or the probability weighting thereof could significantly change the measured value of the contingent considerations at each reporting period. The fair value of the contingent consideration is classified as a liability and is re-measured each reporting period in accordance with ASC Topic 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity ("ASC 480"). As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the maximum possible amounts payable for contingent consideration related to the acquisition of idOnDemand in April 2011 was \$5.0 million; however, the earn-out liability remains zero at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 as there is no future expectation of earn-out payments and there were no significant changes in the range of outcomes for such contingent consideration.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

Certain of the Company's assets, including intangible assets, goodwill, and privately-held investments, are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis if impairment is indicated.

Privately-held investments, which are normally carried at cost, are measured at fair value due to events and circumstances that the Company identified as significantly impacting the fair value of investments. The Company estimates the fair value of its privately-held investments using an analysis of the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, including recent financing activities and the investee's capital structure. Purchased intangible assets are measured at fair value primarily using discounted cash flow projections. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had \$0.3 million and zero, respectively, of privately-held investments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and were classified as Level 3 assets due to the absence of quoted market prices and inherent lack of liquidity. The amount of privately-held investments is included in other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no liabilities that are measured and recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value

The carrying amounts of the Company's accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other current assets, and accounts payable, and other accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities.

4. Stockholders' Equity of Identive Group, Inc.

Private Placement

On August 14, 2013, in a private placement, the Company issued 8,348,471 shares of its common stock at a price of \$0.85 per share and warrants to purchase an additional 8,348,471 share of its common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share to accredited and other qualified investors (the “Investors” or “Warrant holders”). Aggregate gross consideration was \$7.1 million and \$0.8 million in issuance costs were recorded in connection with the private placement. The private placement was made pursuant to definitive subscription agreements between the Company and each Investor. The sale was made to accredited and other qualified investors in the United States and internationally in reliance upon available exemptions from the registration requirements of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”) including Section 4(a) (2) thereof and Regulation D and Regulation S thereunder, as well as comparable exemptions under applicable state and foreign securities laws. The Company engaged a placement agent in connection with private placement outside the United States. As compensation at closing, the Company paid \$0.6 million in cash and issued 1.0 million shares of common stock to the placement agent on the same terms as those sold to Investors in the offering. In addition, the placement agent was issued warrants to purchase 1.0 million shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share as bonus compensation. The securities were issued to the placement agent in reliance upon available exemptions from the registrations requirements of the Securities Act, including Regulation S thereunder. As agreed, in September 2013 the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-19105076) with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register the resale of the shares and shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants.

The warrants have a term of four years and were not exercisable for six months following the date of issuance. Any warrants, or portion thereof, not exercised prior to the expiration date will become void and of no value and such warrants shall be terminated and no longer outstanding. The number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants is subject to adjustment for any stock dividends, stock splits or distributions by the Company, or upon any merger or consolidation or sale of assets of the Company, tender or exchange offer for the Company's common stock, or a reclassification of the Company's common stock. The Company calculated the fair value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: estimated volatility of 91.57%, risk-free interest rate of 1.08%, no dividend yield, and an expected life of four years. The fair value of the warrants is determined to be \$4.0 million. The warrants are classified as equity in accordance with ASC Topic 505, Equity ("ASC 505") as the settlement of the warrants will be in shares and are within the control of the Company.

Sale of Common Stock

On April 16, 2013, the Company entered into a purchase agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Lincoln Park Capital Fund, LLC ("LPC"), pursuant to which the Company has the right to sell to LPC up to \$20.0 million in shares of the Company's common stock, subject to certain limitations and conditions set forth in the Purchase Agreement. As consideration for entering into the Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed to issue to LPC 251,799 shares of common stock and is required to issue up to 323,741 additional shares of common stock on a pro rata basis for any additional purchases the Company requires LPC to make under the Purchase Agreement over its duration (the "Commitment Shares"). The Company will not receive any cash proceeds from the issuance of the Commitment Shares.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, upon the satisfaction of all of the conditions to the Company's right to commence sales under the Purchase Agreement, LPC initially purchased \$2.0 million in shares of common stock at \$1.14 per share on April 17, 2013. Thereafter, on any business day and as often as every other business day over the 36-month term of the Purchase Agreement, the Company has the right, from time to time, at its sole discretion and subject to certain conditions to direct LPC to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock, up to an aggregate amount of an additional \$18.0 million (subject to certain limitations). The purchase price of shares of common stock pursuant to the Purchase Agreement will be based on prevailing market prices of common stock at the time of sales without any fixed discount, and the Company will control the timing and amount of any sales of common stock to LPC, but in no event will shares be sold to LPC on a day the common stock closing price is less than \$0.50 per share, subject to adjustment. In addition, the Company may direct LPC to purchase additional amounts as accelerated purchases if on the date of a regular purchase the closing sale price of the common stock is not below \$0.75 per share. The Company used the net proceeds from this offering for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

All shares of common stock to be issued and sold to LPC under the Purchase Agreement will be issued pursuant to the Company's effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-173576), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in accordance with the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and declared effective on May 3, 2011, and the prospectus supplement thereto dated April 16, 2013. The Purchase Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and agreements of the Company and LPC, limitations and conditions to completing future sale transactions, indemnification rights and other obligations of the parties. There is no upper limit on the price per share that LPC could be obligated to pay for common stock under the Purchase Agreement. The Company has the right to terminate the Purchase Agreement at any time, at no cost or penalty. Actual sales of shares of common stock to LPC under the Purchase Agreement will depend on a variety of factors to be determined by the Company from time to time, including (among others) market conditions, the trading price of the common stock and determinations by the Company as to available and appropriate sources of funding for the Company and its operations.

On April 17, 2013, LPC initially purchased 1,754,386 shares of common stock for a net consideration of \$1.5 million after recording \$0.5 million in underwriting discounts, legal fees and issuance costs. As stipulated in the Purchase

Agreement, the Company issued 284,173 shares of common stock consisting of 251,799 as Commitment Shares and 32,374 additional pro-rated shares of common stock as Commitment Shares. Subsequent to the initial purchase, the Company directed LPC to purchase 2.5 million shares of common stock from April 17, 2013 through December 31, 2013 for a net consideration of \$1.9 million and 3.2 million shares of common stock from January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2014 for a net consideration of \$2.6 million and issued total of 72,087 additional pro-rated shares as Commitment Shares.

Common Stock Warrants (“Warrants”)

In connection with the Company’s entry into the Credit Agreement with Opus Bank (“Opus”) as discussed in Note 9, Financial Liabilities, the Company issued to Opus a warrant to purchase up to 1.0 million shares of the Company’s common stock at a per share exercise price of \$0.99. The Warrant is immediately exercisable for cash or by net exercise and will expire 5 years after the date of issuance, which is March 31, 2019. The shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant were registered in May 2014 at the request of Opus pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, entered into on March 31, 2014 by the Company and Opus.

As consideration for the third amendment of the Loan Agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (“Hercules”) as discussed in Note 9, Financial Liabilities, the Company issued warrants to purchase 1.0 million shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$0.71 per share to Hercules on August 7, 2013. The warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemptions from the registration requirements under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in accordance with Section 4(a)(2) thereof. The term of the warrants is five years and contains usual and customary terms.

The Company issued warrants to purchase 4.1 million shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$2.65 per share in a private placement to accredited and other qualified investors (the “Investors” or “Warrant Holders”) in November 2010. The warrants are exercisable beginning on the date of issuance and ending on the fifth anniversary of the date of issuance. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued 0.4 million shares of its common stock to the Warrant Holders upon exercise of the warrants.

As part of the consideration paid by the Company in connection with the acquisition of Hirsch on April 30, 2009, the Company issued 4.7 million warrants to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00, in exchange for the outstanding capital stock of Hirsch. Also, as part of the Hirsch transaction, the Company issued 0.2 million warrants to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock in exchange for outstanding Hirsch warrants at exercise prices in the range between \$2.42 and \$3.03, with a weighted average exercise price of \$2.79. All warrants issued in connection with the Hirsch transaction became exercisable for a period of two years on April 30, 2012. These warrants expired on April 30, 2014.

Below is the summary of outstanding warrants issued by the Company as of March 31, 2014:

| Warrants Type | Warrants Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Issue Date | Expiration Date |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Opus loan | 1,000,000 | \$ 0.99 | March 31, 2014 | March 31, 2019 |
| 2013 private placement | 9,348,471 | 1.00 | August 14, 2013 | August 14, 2017 |
| Hercules loan | 992,084 | 0.71 | August 7, 2013 | August 7, 2018 |
| 2010 private placement | 3,691,685 | 2.65 | November 14, 2010 | November 14, 2015 |
| Hirsch acquisition | 4,735,427 | 3.00 | April 30, 2009 | April 30, 2014 |
| Hirsch acquisition variable | 165,380 | 2.79 | April 30, 2009 | April 30, 2014 |
| Total | 19,933,047 | | | |

2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”)

In June 2011, Identiv’s stockholders approved the 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”). Initially, 2.0 million shares of common stock are reserved for issuance over the term of the ESPP, which is ten years. In addition, on the first day of each fiscal year commencing with fiscal year 2012, the aggregate number of shares reserved for issuance under the ESPP is automatically increased by a number equal to the lowest of (i) 750,000 shares, (ii) two percent of all shares outstanding at the end of the previous year, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board. Under the ESPP, eligible employees may purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the lesser of the fair market value of the Company’s common stock at the beginning of or end of the applicable offering period and each offering period lasts for six months. The plan contains an automatic reset feature under which if the fair market value of a share of common stock on any exercise date (except the final scheduled exercise date of any offering period) is lower than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the first trading day of the offering period in progress, then the offering period in progress shall end immediately following the close of trading on such exercise date, and a new

offering period shall begin on the next subsequent January 1 or July 1, as applicable, and shall extend for a 24-month period ending on December 31 or June 30, as applicable. As of January 1, 2013 and 2012, respectively, the total shares reserved for issuance under the ESPP were automatically increased by 750,000 shares each in accordance with the terms of the plan. As of March 31, 2014, there are 2,938,007 shares reserved for future grants under the ESPP. On December 18, 2013, the Compensation Committee of the Board suspended the ESPP effective January 1, 2014 and no shares will be issued under ESPP until further notice.

The following table illustrates the stock-based compensation expense resulting from the ESPP included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Cost of revenue | \$ 16 |
| Research and development | 12 |
| Selling and marketing | 19 |
| General and administrative | 17 |
| Total | \$ 64 |

Inducement Grant

The Company granted 500,000 Restricted Stock Units and options to purchase 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock as an inducement grant to its Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") in connection with entering into an employment agreement on March 13, 2014. The Restricted Stock Units will vest 25 percent after one year, with remaining shares vesting over three years in 12 equal quarterly installments. The stock options will have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on The NASDAQ Stock Market on the date of grant, vest 25 percent after one year with the remaining options vesting over three years in 36 equal monthly installments, and have a term of ten years. The stock options and Restricted Stock Units granted to the CEO were made outside of the Company's existing equity compensation plans in reliance upon NASDAQ Rule 5635(c)(4). The Company will subsequently file a Registration Statement on Form S-8 to register the shares underlying the grants. The fair value of stock options issued to the CEO was calculated using a weighted average risk-free interest rate of 1.53%, weighted average expected volatility of 90%, dividend yield of 0% and the weighted average expected term of 4.9 years. The fair value of the Company's restricted stock units is calculated based upon the fair market value of the Company's stock at the date of grant. As of March 31, 2014, there was \$0.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock units granted and \$1.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 4 years.

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company has various stock-based compensation plans to attract, motivate, retain and reward employees, directors and consultants by providing its Board or a committee of the Board the discretion to award equity incentives to these persons. The Company's stock-based compensation plans, the majority of which are stockholder approved, consist of the Director Option Plan, 1997 Stock Option Plan, 2000 Stock Option Plan, 2007 Stock Option Plan ("the 2007 Plan"), the 2010 Bonus and Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"), and the 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2011 Plan").

Stock Bonus and Incentive Plans

In June 2010, Identiv's stockholders approved the 2010 Plan, under which cash and equity-based awards may be granted to executive officers, including the CEO and CFO, and other key employees ("Participants") of the Company and its subsidiaries and members of the Company's Board, as designated from time to time by the Compensation Committee of the Board. An aggregate of 3.0 million shares of the Company's common stock was reserved for

issuance under the 2010 Plan as equity-based awards, including shares, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock or deferred stock awards. These awards provide the Company's executives and key employees with the opportunity to earn shares of common stock depending on the extent to which certain performance goals are met. For services rendered, Company executives are eligible to receive an incentive bonus in cash and shares of the Company's common stock with certain lock-up periods. In addition, the Company's executives and key employees are eligible to receive a grant of non-qualified stock options in an amount equal to 20% of the number of U.S. dollars in the participant's base salary. The Compensation Committee may make incentive awards based on such terms, conditions and criteria as it considers appropriate, including awards that are subject to the achievement of certain performance criteria. Stock awards are generally fully vested at the time of grant, but subject to a 24-month lock-up from the date of grant. Because the award of share-based payments described above represents an obligation to issue a variable number of the Company's shares determined on the basis of a monetary value derived solely on variations in an operating performance measure (and not on the basis of variations in the fair value of the entity's equity shares), the award is considered a share-based liability in accordance with ASC 480 and is remeasured to fair value each reporting period. Since the adoption of the 2011 Plan (described below), the Company utilizes shares from the 2010 Plan only for performance-based awards to Participants and all equity awards granted under the 2010 Plan are issued pursuant to the 2011 Plan.

On June 6, 2011, Identiv's stockholders approved the 2011 Plan, which is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board. The 2011 Plan provides that stock options, stock units, restricted shares, and stock appreciation rights may be granted to officers, directors, employees, consultants, and other persons who provide services to the Company or any related entity. The 2011 Plan serves as a successor plan to the Company's 2007 Plan. The Company reserved 4.0 million shares of common stock under the 2011 Plan, plus 4.6 million shares common stock that remained available for delivery under the 2007 Plan and the 2010 Plan as of June 6, 2011. In aggregate, as of June 6, 2011, 8.6 million shares were available for future grants under the 2011 Plan, including shares rolled over from 2007 Plan and 2010 Plan. From June 6, 2011 through March 31, 2014, a total of 7.4 million options and a total of 1.2 million shares have been granted pursuant to the 2011 Plan.

Stock Option Plans

The Company's stock options plans are generally time-based and expire seven to ten years from the date of grant. Vesting varies, with some options vesting 25% each year over four years; some vesting 25% after one year and monthly thereafter over three years; some vesting 100% on the date of grant; some vesting 1/12th per month over one year; some vesting 100% after one year; and some vesting monthly over four years. The Director Option Plan and 1997 Stock Option Plan both expired in March 2007. The 2000 Stock Option Plan expired in December 2010 and as noted above, the 2007 Plan was discontinued in June 2011 in connection with the approval of the 2011 Plan. As a result, options will no longer be granted under any of these plans.

As of March 31, 2014, an aggregate of 0.2 million options were outstanding under the Director Option Plan and 1997 Stock Option Plan, 0.2 million options were outstanding under the 2000 Stock Option Plan, 0.9 million options were outstanding under the 2007 Plan, and 6.5 million options were outstanding under the 2011 Plan. These outstanding options remain exercisable in accordance with the terms of the original grant agreements under the respective plans.

A summary of the activity under the Company's stock-based compensation plans for the three months ended March 31, 2014 is as follows:

| | Stock Options | | | | Stock Awards | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | Shares Available for Grant | Number Outstanding | Average Exercise Price per share | Average Intrinsic Value | Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Number Granted | Fair Value |
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | 7,769,039 | 4,048,972 | \$ 1.94 | \$825,309 | 7.43 | | |
| Authorized | — | | | | | | |
| Granted | (2,276,747) | 2,115,725 | \$ 0.76 | | | 161,022 | \$ 135,600 |
| Cancelled or Expired | (1,638,098) | (698,970) | \$ 1.87 | | | | |
| Exercised | | (188) | \$ 0.72 | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 3,854,194 | 5,465,539 | \$ 1.49 | \$49,016 | 6.93 | | |
| Authorized | — | | | | | | |
| Granted | (2,767,952) | 2,680,000 | \$ 0.88 | | | 87,952 | \$84,035 |
| Cancelled or Expired | 247,902 | (299,997) | \$ 1.64 | | | | |
| Exercised | | (2,625) | \$ 0.72 | | | | |
| Balance at March 31, 2014 | 1,334,144 | 7,842,917 | \$ 1.28 | \$1,624,221 | 7.49 | | |
| | | 6,717,775 | \$ 1.35 | \$1,265,851 | 7.14 | | |

Vested or expected to vest at
March 31, 2014

Exercisable at
March 31, 2014

| | | | |
|-----------|---------|-----------|------|
| 3,110,282 | \$ 1.94 | \$131,638 | 4.59 |
|-----------|---------|-----------|------|

15

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding as of March 31, 2014:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$ 0.52 - \$ 0.80 | 1,877,646 | 9.11 | \$ 0.65 | 198,584 | \$ 0.71 |
| \$0.81 - \$ 0.84 | 90,000 | 9.19 | 0.84 | 66,667 | 0.84 |
| \$ 0.85 - \$ 0.88 | 2,665,000 | 9.95 | 0.88 | 833 | 0.88 |
| \$ 0.89 - \$ 1.44 | 1,622,836 | 5.93 | 1.22 | 1,308,172 | 1.19 |
| \$ 1.45 - \$ 6.95 | 1,587,435 | 2.94 | 2.76 | 1,536,026 | 2.78 |
| \$ 0.52 - \$ 6.95 | 7,842,917 | 7.49 | \$ 1.28 | 3,110,282 | \$ 1.94 |

For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the weighted-average grant date fair value per option for options granted during the period was \$0.88. 2,625 options were exercised during the first quarter of 2014.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the weighted-average grant date fair value per option for options granted during the period was \$1.34. No options were exercised during the first quarter of 2013.

Restricted Stock Units

A summary of restricted stock unit (“RSUs”) activity for the three months ended March 31, 2014 is as follows:

| Unvested Restricted Stock Units | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|---------|--|
| Unvested, beginning of period | — | \$ — |
| Granted | 430,000 | 0.88 |
| Vested | — | — |
| Forfeited | — | — |
| Unvested, end of period | 430,000 | \$ 0.88 |

The fair value of the Company’s RSUs is calculated based upon the fair market value of the Company’s stock at the date of grant. As of March 31, 2014, there was \$0.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested RSUs granted, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 4 years. As of March 31, 2014, an aggregate of 0.4 million RSUs were outstanding under the 2011 Plan.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The following table illustrates the stock-based compensation expense included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cost of revenue | \$5 | \$4 |
| Research and development | 18 | 22 |
| Selling and marketing | 51 | 151 |
| General and administrative | 126 | 234 |
| Total | \$200 | \$411 |

At March 31, 2014, there was \$2.1 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures related to unvested options, that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.6 years. As of December 31, 2013, \$0.4 million was accrued for and included in the accrued compensation and related benefits in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Common Stock Reserved for Future Issuance

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has reserved an aggregate of 11.6 million shares of its common stock for future issuance under its various equity incentive plans, of which 0.9 million shares are reserved for future grants under the 2011 Plan, 7.8 million shares are reserved for future issuance pursuant to outstanding options under all other stock option and incentive plans, and 2.9 million shares are reserved for future issuance under the ESPP.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has reserved an aggregate of 3.3 million shares of common stock for future issuance in connection with its acquisition of Bluehill ID, consisting of 2.0 million shares for the outstanding options assumed at the closing of the Bluehill ID acquisition and 1.3 million shares for the noncontrolling shareholders of Bluehill ID.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has reserved an aggregate of 19.9 million shares of common stock for future issuance pursuant to outstanding warrants, of which 4.9 million shares are reserved pursuant to outstanding warrants in connection with its April 2009 acquisition of Hirsch Electronics Corporation, 3.7 million shares are reserved pursuant to outstanding warrants in connection with its November 2010 private placement, 1.0 million shares are reserved pursuant to outstanding warrants related to the third Amendment of the Loan and Security Agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. in August 2013, 9.3 million shares are reserved pursuant to outstanding warrants issued in connection with its August 2013 private placement, and 1.0 million shares are reserved pursuant to outstanding warrants related to the Credit Agreement with Opus Bank in March 2014. The warrants issued in connection with its April 2009 acquisition of Hirsch Electronics Corporation expired on April 30, 2014.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has reserved an aggregate of 4.4 million shares of common stock for future issuance for contingent consideration in connection with its acquisition of idOnDemand.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has reserved an aggregate of 4.3 million shares of common stock for future issuance to LPC under the Purchase Agreement.

Below is the summary of common stock reserved for future issuance as of March 31, 2014:

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Exercise of outstanding stock options | 7,842,917 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 2,938,007 |
| Shares of common stock available for grant under 2011 Plan | 904,144 |
| Noncontrolling interest and assumed options in Bluehill ID | 3,297,112 |
| Warrants to purchase common stock | 19,933,047 |
| Contingent consideration | 4,424,779 |
| Purchase agreement with LPC | 4,308,244 |
| Total | 43,648,250 |

Net Loss per Common Share Attributable to Identive Group, Inc. Stockholders' Equity

Basic and diluted net loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, common stock equivalents consisting of outstanding stock options, RSUs and warrants were excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share because these securities were anti-dilutive due to the net loss in the respective periods. The total number of shares excluded from diluted loss per share relating to these securities was 20,138,129 and 2,139,840 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

5. Inventories

The Company's inventories are stated at the lower of cost, or market. Inventories consist of (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Raw materials | \$3,575 | \$ 3,464 |
| Work-in-progress | 363 | 261 |
| Finished goods | 6,409 | 5,373 |
| Total | \$10,347 | \$ 9,098 |

6. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, net consists of (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Leasehold improvements | \$1,238 | \$ 1,236 |
| Furniture, fixture and office equipment | 4,345 | 4,236 |
| Machinery | 6,893 | 6,843 |
| Software | 2,164 | 2,094 |
| Total | 14,640 | 14,409 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (8,944) | (8,521) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$5,696 | \$ 5,888 |

The Company recorded depreciation expense of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. A net increase of \$0.4 million in accumulated depreciation from December 31, 2013 is due to depreciation expense of \$0.4 million recorded during the quarter.

7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

The following table presents goodwill by segment as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill (in thousands):

| | Total | Premises | Credentials | Identity | All Other |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$24,664 | \$21,891 | \$ 522 | \$1,172 | \$1,079 |
| Goodwill impairment during the period | (15,572) | (14,108) | (522) | — | (942) |
| Currency translation adjustment | (101) | — | — | 36 | (137) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 8,991 | 7,783 | — | 1,208 | — |
| Currency translation adjustment | 3 | — | — | 3 | — |
| Balance at March 31, 2014 | \$8,994 | \$7,783 | \$ — | \$1,211 | \$— |

In connection with the Company's 2014 organizational realignment, certain prior period amounts were reclassified to conform to the current period's segment presentation. Both as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the gross amount of goodwill, excluding goodwill related to discontinued operations, was \$41.8 million and accumulated goodwill impairment was \$32.8 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, the Company wrote off goodwill of \$1.3 million and \$8.0 million, respectively related to divested businesses which existed at the time of sale of these subsidiaries which was reflected in discontinued operations. Of the total goodwill, a certain amount is designated in a currency other than U. S. dollars and is adjusted each reporting period for the change in foreign exchange rates between the balance sheet dates.

In accordance with its accounting policy and ASC 350, the Company tests its goodwill and any other intangibles with indefinite lives annually for impairment and assesses whether there are any indicators of impairment on an interim basis. The Company performs interim goodwill impairment reviews between its annual reviews if certain events and circumstances have occurred, including a deterioration in general economic conditions, an increased competitive environment, a change in management, key personnel, strategy or customers, negative or declining cash flows, or a decline in actual or planned revenue or earnings compared with actual and projected results of relevant prior periods. The Company believes the methodology that it uses to review impairment of goodwill, which includes a significant amount of judgment and estimates, provides it with a reasonable basis to determine whether impairment has occurred. However, many of the factors employed in determining whether its goodwill is impaired are outside of its control and it is reasonably likely that assumptions and estimates will change in future periods. These changes could result in future impairments.

Management did not identify any impairment indicators during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The Company performed its annual impairment test for all reporting units on December 1, 2013 and concluded that there was no impairment to goodwill during the year ended December 31, 2013, other than the impairment identified in its interim assessment during the third quarter of 2013, as described below.

The Company calculates the fair value of its reporting units using a combination of the market and income approaches and in doing so relies in part upon an independent third-party valuation report. Prior to its goodwill impairment test, the Company first tests its long-lived assets for impairment and adjusts the carrying value of each asset group to its fair value and records the associated impairment charge in its condensed consolidated statements of operations. The Company then performs its analysis of goodwill impairment using a two-step method as required by ASC 350. The first step of the impairment test compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value, including the goodwill related to the respective reporting units. The market approach of fair value calculation estimates the fair value of a business based on a comparison of the Company to comparable firms in similar lines of business that are publicly traded or which are part of a public or private transaction. The income approach requires estimates of expected revenue, gross margin and operating expenses in order to discount the sum of future cash flows using each particular reporting unit's weighted average cost of capital. The Company's growth estimates are based on historical data and internal estimates developed as part of its long-term planning process. The Company tests the reasonableness of the inputs and outcomes of its discounted cash flow analysis by comparing these items to available market data. The second step of the impairment test compares the implied fair value of goodwill to the carrying value of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill value is determined, in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination, to assess the level of goodwill impairment, if any. During the second step, management estimates the fair value of the Company's tangible and intangible net assets. Intangible assets are identified and valued for each reporting unit for which the second step is performed. The difference between the estimated fair value of each reporting unit and the sum of the fair value of the identified net assets results in the implied value of goodwill. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized equal to that excess. In 2013, when the impairment test was performed, the Company had six reporting units. These reporting units include Hirsch, ID Solutions, payment solution and idOnDemand, which were the four components of the Identity Management segment, and ID Infrastructure and Transponders, which were the two components of the ID Products segment. In December 2013 and February 2014, two of the four reporting units in Identity Management segment, ID Solutions and payment solution, were related to the businesses sold and these two reporting units no longer exist as a result. In 2014, the Company now has four reporting units and four new segments as discussed in Note 10, Segment Reporting, Geographic Information and Major Customers. These reporting units include Hirsch which is the component of the Premises segment, ID Infrastructure, which is the component of the Identity segment, and Transponders and idOnDemand, which are the two components of the Credentials segment.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company began a strategic review of certain under-performing business units for potential divestiture and to simplify the Company's operations and market focus, and as a consequence

revised its forecasted revenue, gross margin and operating profit for future periods. In addition, the Company noted certain other indicators of impairment, including a change in management following the appointment of a new chief executive officer, a sustained decline in its stock price, and as a result of the U.S. Government budget sequester continued reduced performance in certain reporting units. Based on its reduced forecast and the indicators of impairment noted above, the Company performed an interim goodwill impairment analysis as part of its quarterly close as of September 30, 2013. Based on the results of step one of the goodwill impairment analysis, it was determined that the Company's net adjusted carrying value exceeded its estimated fair value for the Hirsch, ID Solutions, payment solution and idOnDemand reporting units. As a result, the Company proceeded to the second step of the goodwill impairment test for these four reporting units to determine the implied fair value of goodwill to calculate the impairment loss, if any.

Based on the results of step two of the goodwill impairment analysis, the Company concluded that the carrying value of goodwill for the Hirsch, ID Solutions, payment solution and idOnDemand reporting units was impaired and recorded an impairment charge of \$27.3 million in its consolidated statements of operations during the year ended December 31, 2013, of which \$22.6 million was recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and \$4.7 million was recorded during the three months ended December 31, 2013. Of the total impairment charge of \$27.3 million, \$15.6 million was related to continuing operations and \$11.7 million was related to the divested businesses and reflected in discontinued operations.

Intangible Assets

The following table summarizes the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for the intangible assets resulting from acquisitions (in thousands):

| Amortization period | Existing Technology 11.75 years | Customer Relationship 4 – 11.75 years | Trade Name 1 year | Total |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|-------------|
| Cost: | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 4,600 | \$ 10,732 | \$ 570 | \$ 15,902 |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | 15 | — | 15 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 4,600 | \$ 10,747 | \$ 570 | \$ 15,917 |
| Balance at March 31, 2014 | \$ 4,600 | \$ 10,747 | \$ 570 | \$ 15,917 |
| Accumulated Amortization: | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ (1,125) | \$ (2,816) | \$ (285) | \$ (4,226) |
| Amortization expense | (341) | (865) | (285) | (1,491) |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | (16) | — | (16) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ (1,466) | \$ (3,697) | \$ (570) | \$ (5,733) |
| Amortization expense | (112) | (251) | — | (363) |
| Balance at March 31, 2014 | \$ (1,578) | \$ (3,948) | \$ (570) | \$ (6,096) |
| Intangible Assets, net at December 31, 2013 | \$ 3,134 | \$ 7,050 | \$ — | \$ 10,184 |
| Intangible Assets, net at March 31, 2014 | \$ 3,022 | \$ 6,799 | \$ — | \$ 9,821 |

Of the total intangible assets, certain acquired intangible assets are designated in a currency other than U.S. dollars and are adjusted each reporting period for the change in foreign exchange rates between the balance sheet dates. Each period the Company evaluates the estimated remaining useful life of purchased intangible assets and whether events or changes in circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization and adjusts the useful life, as appropriate and amortization is prospectively adjusted over the remaining useful life. Intangible assets subject to amortization are amortized over their useful lives as shown in the table above. The Company evaluated its amortizable intangible assets for impairment at the end of each reporting periods and concluded that no indicators of impairment existed, other than the impairment identified in its interim assessment during the third quarter of 2013, as mentioned below.

As noted above, the Company began a strategic review of certain under-performing business units for potential divestiture during the third quarter of fiscal 2013. As a consequence, the Company performed an impairment analysis for intangible assets in accordance with its accounting policy for reviewing long-lived assets for impairment. As a result of this analysis, the Company identified that backlog is impaired and recorded an impairment charge in its consolidated statements of operations of \$0.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. This impairment charge is related to the divested businesses and has been included within the results of discontinued operations. The Company expects to recover the remaining balance of identified intangible assets of \$9.8 million as of March 31, 2014.

The following table illustrates the amortization expense included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousand):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cost of revenue | \$112 | \$96 |
| Selling and marketing | 251 | 339 |
| Total | \$363 | \$435 |

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets with definite lives for the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------------------------|---------|
| March 31, 2014: | |
| 2014 (remaining nine months) | \$1,091 |
| 2015 | 1,455 |
| 2016 | 1,455 |
| 2017 | 1,455 |
| 2018 | 1,455 |
| Thereafter | 2,910 |
| Total | \$9,821 |

8. Related-Party Transactions

Hirsch Acquisition – Secure Keyboards and Secure Networks. Prior to the 2009 acquisition of Hirsch Electronics Corporation (“Hirsch”) by the Company, effective November 1994, Hirsch had entered into a settlement agreement (the “1994 Settlement Agreement”) with two limited partnerships, Secure Keyboards, Ltd. (“Secure Keyboards”) and Secure Networks, Ltd. (“Secure Networks”). Secure Keyboards and Secure Networks were related to Hirsch through certain common shareholders and limited partners, including Hirsch’s then President Lawrence Midland, who is now a director and President of the Company. Following the acquisition, Mr. Midland owned 30% of Secure Keyboards and 9% of Secure Networks. Secure Networks dissolved in 2012 and now Mr. Midland owns 24.5% of Secure Keyboards.

On April 8, 2009, Secure Keyboards, Secure Networks and Hirsch amended and restated the 1994 Settlement Agreement to replace the royalty-based payment arrangement under the 1994 Settlement Agreement with a new, definitive installment payment schedule with contractual payments to be made in future periods through 2020 (the “2009 Settlement Agreement”). Prior to the acquisition of Hirsch by the Company, the Company was not a party to the 2009 Settlement Agreement. The Company has, however, provided Secure Keyboards and Secure Networks with a limited guarantee of Hirsch’s payment obligations under the 2009 Settlement Agreement (the “Guarantee”). The 2009 Settlement Agreement and the Guarantee became effective upon the acquisition of Hirsch on April 30, 2009. Hirsch’s annual payment to Secure Keyboards and Secure Networks in any given year under the 2009 Settlement Agreement is subject to increase based on the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index during the prior calendar year.

The final payment to Secure Networks was due on January 30, 2012 and the final payment to Secure Keyboards is due on January 30, 2021. Hirsch’s payment obligations under the 2009 Settlement Agreement will continue through the calendar year period ending December 31, 2020, unless Hirsch elects at any time on or after January 1, 2012 to earlier satisfy its obligations by making a lump-sum payment to Secure Keyboards. The Company does not intend to make a lump-sum payment and therefore the amount is classified as long-term liability.

The Company recognized \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of interest expense for the interest accreted on the discounted liability amount during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, which is included as a component of interest expense, net in its condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$6.6 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, were outstanding for related-party liability in connection with the Hirsch acquisition, of which \$1.1 million was shown as a current liability on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The payment amounts for related party liability in connection with the Hirsch acquisition for the next five years are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| March 31, 2014: | |
| 2014 (remaining nine months) | \$ 1,125 |
| 2015 | 1,170 |
| 2016 | 1,217 |
| 2017 | 1,266 |
| 2018 | 1,316 |
| Thereafter | 2,919 |
| Present value discount factor | (2,420) |
| Total | \$6,593 |

Payment solution Acquisition – Unsecured Loan. In connection with its acquisition of payment solution in January 2012, through its majority-owned subsidiary Bluehill ID AG, the Company assumed an unsecured loan payable to Mountain Partners AG, a significant shareholder of the Company. As discussed in Note 2, Discontinued Operations, the Company sold payment solution in December 2013 and the loan liability was sold along with the sale of the subsidiary resulting in balance of zero as of December 31, 2013. Interest expense related to this loan for the three months ended March 31, 2013 has been included within the results of discontinued operations in its condensed consolidated statements of operations.

9. Financial Liabilities

Financial liabilities consist of (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Secured note | \$— | \$ 2,971 |
| Total current liabilities | \$— | \$ 2,971 |
| Non-current liabilities: | | |
| Secured term loan | \$9,503 | \$ — |
| Secured note | — | \$ 3,051 |
| Bank revolving loan facility | 4,000 | — |
| Total non-current liabilities | \$13,503 | \$ 3,051 |
| Total | \$13,503 | \$ 6,022 |

Bank Term Loan and Revolving Loan Facility

On March 31, 2014, Identive Group, Inc. (the “Company”) entered into a Credit Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) with Opus Bank, a California commercial bank (“Opus”). The Credit Agreement provides for a term loan in aggregate principal amount of \$10.0 million (“Term Loan”) which was drawn down on March 31, 2014, and an additional \$10.0 million revolving loan facility (“Revolving Loan Facility”), of which \$4.0 million was drawn down on March 31, 2014. In connection with the closing of the Credit Agreement, the Company repaid all outstanding amounts under its Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2012, as amended from time to time (the “Secured Debt Facility”), with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. The proceeds of the Term Loan and the initial loans under the Revolving Loan Facility, after payment of fees and expenses and all outstanding amounts under the Secured Debt Facility, are approximately \$7.8 million. The obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all assets of the Company. Certain of the Company’s material domestic subsidiaries have guaranteed the credit facilities and have granted to Opus security interests in substantially all of their respective assets. Both the Term Loan and the Revolving Loan Facility mature and will become due and payable on March 31, 2017 (the “Maturity Date”). Both the principal amount of Term Loan and the principal amount outstanding under the Revolving Loan Facility bear interest at a floating rate equal to the greater of (i) the prime rate plus 2.75% and (ii) 6.00%. Interest is payable monthly beginning on May 1, 2014. The principal balance of the Term Loan is payable in 24 equal monthly installments beginning on May 1, 2015. The Company may voluntarily prepay the Term Loan and outstanding amounts under the Revolving Loan Facility, without prepayment charges, and is required to make prepayments of the

Term Loan in certain circumstances using the proceeds of asset sales or insurance or condemnation events.

The Company paid \$170,000 in customary Lender fees and expenses, including facility fees. In connection with the Company's entry into the Credit Agreement, the Company issued to Opus a warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a per share exercise price of \$0.99. The Warrant is immediately exercisable for cash or by net exercise and will expire 5 years after the date of issuance, which is March 31, 2019. The shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant were registered in May 2014 at the request of Opus pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, entered into on March 31, 2014 by the Company and Opus. The Registration Rights Agreement provides for standard S-3 and piggyback registration rights. The Company calculated the fair value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: estimated volatility of 92.09%, risk-free interest rate of 1.73%, no dividend yield, and an expected life of five years. The fair value of the warrants is determined to be \$0.8 million. The warrants are classified as equity in accordance with ASC 505 as the settlement of the warrants will be in shares and are within the control of the Company. The Company allocated the considerations, both cash and equity, paid to Opus between Bank Term Loan and Revolving Loan Facility using relative value of these loans. The Company recognized \$0.9 million in issuance costs, both cash and equity, related to the Bank Term Loan and Revolving Loan Facility. The cost consideration of \$0.5 million allocated for Bank Term Loan are recorded as discounts on Bank Term Loan and reported in the balance sheet as an adjustment to the carrying amount of the secured term loan and not presented as a deferred charge, pursuant to ASC Topic 835-30, Imputation of Interest ("ASC 835-30"). The issuance costs and discounts on secured term loan are amortized into interest expense in accordance with ASC 835-30 over the term of the loan agreement.

The Credit Agreement contains customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, limits or restrictions on the Company's ability to incur liens, incur indebtedness, make certain restricted payments, merge or consolidate and dispose of assets. The Credit Agreement also provides for customary financial covenants, including a minimum tangible net worth covenant, a maximum senior leverage ratio and a minimum asset coverage ratio. In addition, it contains customary events of default that entitle Opus to cause any or all of the Company's indebtedness under the Credit Agreement to become immediately due and payable. The events of default (some of which are subject to applicable grace or cure periods), include, among other things, non-payment defaults, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to other material indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency defaults and material judgment defaults. Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an event of default, Opus may terminate its lending commitments and/or declare all or any part of the unpaid principal of all loans, all interest accrued and unpaid thereon and all other amounts payable under the Credit Agreement to be immediately due and payable.

Secured Debt Facility

On October 30, 2012, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (the "Lender"). The Loan Agreement provides for a term loan in aggregate principal amount of up to \$10.0 million ("Maximum Term Loan Amount") with an initial drawdown of \$7.5 million and, provided certain financial and other requirements are met, an additional \$10.0 million in loan advances, all upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Loan Agreement. The initial drawdown of \$7.5 million is reflected in the Secured Term Promissory Note dated October 30, 2012 (the "Secured Note"). The Company received net proceeds of \$6.9 million after incurring \$0.6 million in issuance costs related to the Secured Note. The issuance costs were being amortized into interest expense in accordance with ASC 835-30 over the term of the loan agreement. Amongst other commitments, the Loan Agreement required the Company to maintain a certain amount of revenue, EBITDA, and current ratio on a consolidated basis ("covenants"). If any covenants were not met, the violation may constitute an event of default. The Secured Debt Note matures on November 1, 2015 and bears interest at a rate of the greater of (i) the prime rate plus 7.75% and (ii) 11.00%. Interest on the Secured Note is payable monthly beginning on November 1, 2012, and the principal balance is payable in 30 equal monthly installments beginning on May 1, 2013.

In connection with the initial advance, the Company paid a \$150,000 facility charge to the Lender, of which 50% would have been credited to the Company if all advances under the Loan Agreement were repaid on but not before maturity. The Company may prepay outstanding amounts under the Secured Note, subject to certain prepayment charges as set out in the Loan Agreement. The Company will also pay additional fees, consisting of end of term charge and success fee at the rate of 5% each of the maximum term loan amount, to the Lender in the aggregate of \$1,000,000, payable in three equal annual installments beginning on October 30, 2013. The entire amount of these fees is immediately due and payable if the Company prepays all of its obligations under the Loan Agreement or if the Lender declares all obligations due and payable after an event of default thereunder. The Company recorded interest expense on the Secured Note of \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, in its condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company and the Lender entered into a first amendment to the Loan Agreement on March 5, 2013 and as consideration for the first amendment, paid cash of \$76,268 in fees. The Company entered into a second amendment to the Loan Agreement on April 22, 2013 and as consideration for the second amendment, paid cash of \$114,397 in fees. The Company and the Lender entered into a third amendment to the Loan Agreement on August 7, 2013. As consideration for the third amendment, the Company paid cash of \$106,000 in fees and issued warrants to purchase 992,084 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$0.71 per share to Hercules. The warrants were issued in reliance upon the exemptions from the registration requirements under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in accordance with Section 4(a)(2) thereof. The term of the warrants is five years and contains usual and customary terms. The fair value of the warrants was determined to be \$0.5 million. The warrants were classified as equity in

accordance with ASC 505 as the settlement of the warrants will be in shares and are within the control of the Company.

The considerations, both cash and equity, paid for the loan agreement amendments as mentioned above were recorded as discounts on secured note and reported in the balance sheet as an adjustment to the carrying amount of the secured debt liability and not presented as a deferred charge, pursuant to ASC 835-30. The loan agreement amendments fees are amortized into interest expense pursuant to ASC 835-30 over the remaining term of the loan agreement. As mentioned above, the Company repaid all outstanding amounts under its secured debt facility with Hercules in connection with entering into the Credit Agreement with Opus as of March 31, 2014 and recorded \$1.6 million in additional interest expense during the three months ended March 31, 2014 in its condensed consolidated statements of operations. The total amount of \$1.6 million in interest expense includes \$0.9 million related to write-off of deferred costs, \$0.6 million related to write-off of discounts on the secured note and \$0.1 million related to prepayment fees as stipulated in the Loan Agreement and forfeiture of facility charge paid at the inception of the agreement.

Other Obligations

In connection with its acquisition of payment solution in January 2012, through its majority-owned subsidiary Bluehill ID AG the Company had acquired obligations for equipment financing liabilities, a bank loan and a revolving line of credit payable to a bank. As disclosed in Note 2, Discontinued Operations, the Company sold payment solution in December 2013 and all the financial liabilities were sold along with the sale of the subsidiary, resulting in balances of zero as of December 31, 2013. Interest expense related to these financial obligations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 has been included within the results of discontinued operations in its condensed consolidated statements of operations.

In connection with its acquisition of Bluehill ID, the Company had acquired an obligation for a mortgage loan and a related revolving line of credit payable to a bank. The mortgage loan and the revolving line of credit were related to Multicard Nederland BV, one of the 100%-owned subsidiaries of Bluehill ID, and were secured by the land and building to which they relate as well as total inventory, machinery, stock, products and raw materials of the subsidiary. As disclosed in above in Note 2, Discontinued Operations, the Company sold Multicard Nederland BV in December 2013 and all the loan liabilities were sold along with the sale of the subsidiary, resulting in balances of zero as of December 31, 2013. Interest expense related to this mortgage loan and revolving line of credit for the three months ended March 31, 2013 has been included within the results of discontinued operations in its condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table summarizes the Company's financial obligations for the next five years as of March 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Total |
|--|------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Bank term loan and revolving loan facility | \$ — | \$3,333 | \$5,000 | \$5,667 | \$14,000 |

10. Segment Reporting, Geographic Information and Major Customers

ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting ("ASC 280") establishes standards for the reporting by public business enterprises of information about operating segments, products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The method for determining what information to report is based on the way that management organizes the operating segments within the Company for making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. An operating segment is defined as a component of an enterprise that engages in business activities from which it may earn revenue and incur expenses and about which separate financial information is available. The Company's chief operating decision makers ("CODM") are considered to be its CEO and CFO.

Identiv's trust solutions allow people to trust their premises, information systems, and even everyday items. To deliver these solutions, the Company reorganized its operations into four reportable business segments in the first quarter of 2014 principally by product families: Premises, Identity, Credentials and All Other. As a result of the change, product families and services were organized within the four segments. To provide improved visibility and comparability, the Company reclassified segment operating results for 2013 to conform to the 2014 organizational realignments. In the Premises segment, Identiv's Trust for Premises solution secures buildings via an integrated access control system. Identiv's uTrust premises products offerings include MX controllers, Velocity management software, TS door readers, and third party products. In the Identity segment, Identiv delivers a solution to secure enterprise information including

PCs, networks, email encryption, login, and printers via delivery of smart card reader products and Identity Management via our idOnDemand service. In the Credentials segment, the Company offers standards-driven hardware products using near field communication (“NFC”), radio frequency identification (“RFID”) and smart card technologies, including inlays, tags, readers and other products. In the All Other segment, the Company offers products, including Chipdrive and Media readers. The products included in the All Other segment do not meet the quantitative thresholds for determining reportable segments in accordance with ASC 280 and therefore have been combined for reporting purposes.

The CODM reviews financial information and business performance for each operating segment. The Company evaluates the performance of its segments at the total revenue and total gross margin level. The CODM does not review operating expenses or asset information for purposes of assessing performance or allocating resources.

Edgar Filing: IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Net revenue and gross profit information by segment for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Premises: | | |
| Net revenue | \$3,467 | \$4,984 |
| Gross profit | 2,144 | 3,151 |
| Gross profit % | 62 % | 63 % |
| Identity: | | |
| Net revenue | \$5,020 | \$4,554 |
| Gross profit | 2,237 | 1,647 |
| Gross profit % | 45 % | 36 % |
| Credentials: | | |
| Net revenue | \$7,467 | \$5,479 |
| Gross profit | 1,644 | 1,665 |
| Gross profit % | 22 % | 30 % |
| All Other: | | |
| Net revenue | \$1,206 | \$938 |
| Gross profit | 615 | 290 |
| Gross profit % | 51 % | 31 % |
| Total: | | |
| Net revenue | \$17,160 | \$15,955 |
| Gross profit | 6,640 | 6,753 |
| Gross profit % | 39 % | 42 % |

Geographic revenue is based on customer's ship-to location. Information regarding revenue by geographic region is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Americas: | | |
| United States | \$8,618 | \$8,059 |
| Total Americas | 8,618 | 8,059 |
| Europe and the Middle East: | | |
| Germany | 4,698 | 4,753 |
| Total Europe and the Middle East | 4,698 | 4,753 |
| Asia-Pacific: | | |
| | 3,844 | 3,143 |
| Total Revenues | \$17,160 | \$15,955 |

Edgar Filing: IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|---|-----|---|
| Americas | 50 | % | 51 | % |
| Europe and the Middle East | 27 | % | 30 | % |
| Asia-Pacific | 23 | % | 19 | % |
| Total | 100 | % | 100 | % |

One customer represented 15% of total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2014. No customer exceeded 10% of total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2013. One customer represented 19% of the Company's accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2014. No customer represented 10% of the Company's accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2013.

The Company tracks assets by physical location. Long-lived assets by geographic location as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Property and equipment, net: | | |
| Americas: | | |
| United States | \$ 1,785 | \$ 1,693 |
| Other | 1 | 1 |
| Total Americas | 1,786 | 1,694 |
| Europe: | | |
| Germany | 1,699 | 1,839 |
| Other | — | — |
| Total Europe | 1,699 | 1,839 |
| Asia-Pacific: | | |
| Singapore | 2,119 | 2,258 |
| Other | 92 | 97 |
| Total Asia-Pacific | 2,211 | 2,355 |
| Total | \$5,696 | \$ 5,888 |

11. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”), net of related taxes, as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Foreign Currency Translation | Defined Benefit Pension Plans | Total |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 1,611 | \$ (232) | \$1,379 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | (988) | — | (988) |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI | 604 | 232 | 836 |
| Net other comprehensive (loss) income | (384) | 232 | (152) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | \$ 1,227 | \$ — | \$1,227 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | 107 | — | 107 |
| Net other comprehensive income | 107 | — | 107 |
| Balance as of March 31, 2014 | \$ 1,334 | \$ — | \$1,334 |

There were no reclassifications out of AOCI for the three month period ended March 31, 2014. The reclassifications out of AOCI for the three month period ended March 31, 2013 were immaterial and have been included within results of discontinued operations in the Company’s condensed consolidated statements of operations.

12. Restructuring and Severance

During the first quarter of 2014, certain employees related to non-core functions were terminated as part of management's efforts to simplify business operations which began in 2013. As a result, the Company recorded \$0.4 million in restructuring and severance costs related to severance paid or accrued for these employees in its condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months period ended March 31, 2014.

During the third and fourth quarters of 2013, there was a change of the Company's chief executive officer ("CEO") and chief financial officer ("CFO"), and as part of management's efforts to simplify business operations, certain non-core functions were eliminated. As a result, the Company recorded \$1.8 million in restructuring and severance costs in its consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily related to severance paid or accrued for our former CEO and CFO as well as other employees.

Of the total restructuring and severance accrual, \$1.1 million is included in the other accrued expenses and liabilities and \$0.2 million is included in the other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Below are the details related to the restructuring and severance during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and during the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Restructuring and Severance |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ — |
| Expense recorded during 2013 | 1,770 |
| Payments and changes in estimates during 2013 | (621) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | \$ 1,149 |
| Expense recorded during Q1 2014 | 437 |
| Payments and changes in estimates during Q1 2014 | (313) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2014 | \$ 1,273 |

13. Other accrued expenses and liabilities

Other accrued expenses and liabilities consist of (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Accrued restructuring | \$1,124 | \$ 909 |
| Accrued professional fees | 640 | 973 |
| Income taxes payable | 388 | 532 |
| Other accrued expenses | 2,572 | 2,825 |
| Total | \$4,724 | \$ 5,239 |

14. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases its facilities, certain equipment, and automobiles under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. Those lease agreements existing as of March 31, 2014 expire at various dates during the next five years.

The Company recognized rent expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million in its condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Purchases for inventories are highly dependent upon forecasts of customer demand. Due to the uncertainty in demand from its customers, the Company may have to change, reschedule, or cancel purchases or purchase orders from its suppliers. These changes may lead to vendor cancellation charges on these purchases or contractual commitments.

The following table summarizes the Company's principal contractual commitments as of March 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | Operating Lease | Purchase Commitments | Other Contractual Commitments | Total |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| 2014 (remaining 9 months) | \$ 1,260 | \$ 10,950 | \$ 420 | \$12,630 |
| 2015 | 1,097 | 102 | 36 | 1,235 |
| 2016 | 968 | — | 2 | 970 |
| 2017 | 753 | — | — | 753 |
| 2018 | 76 | — | — | 76 |
| Thereafter | — | — | — | — |
| | \$ 4,154 | \$ 11,052 | \$ 458 | \$15,664 |

The Company provides warranties on certain product sales, which range from 12 to 24 months, and allowances for estimated warranty costs are recorded during the period of sale. The determination of such allowances requires the Company to make estimates of product return rates and expected costs to repair or to replace the products under warranty. The Company currently establishes warranty reserves based on historical warranty costs for each product line combined with liability estimates based on the prior 12 months' sales activities. If actual return rates and/or repair and replacement costs differ significantly from the Company's estimates, adjustments to recognize additional cost of sales may be required in future periods. Historically the warranty accrual and the expense amounts have been immaterial.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and other parts of this Form 10-Q contain forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions under Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations of future events based on certain assumptions and include any statement that does not directly relate to any historical or current fact. Forward-looking statements can also be identified by words such as "will," "believe," "could," "should," "would," "may," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate," "expect," "project" or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and our actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q under the heading "Risk Factors," which are incorporated herein by reference. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. All information presented herein is based on Identiv's fiscal calendar. Unless otherwise stated, references to particular years, quarters, months or periods refer to our fiscal years ended in December and the associated quarters, months and periods of those fiscal years. We assume no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason, except as required by law.

Each of the terms the "Company," "Identiv," "we" and "us" as used herein refers collectively to Identive Group, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, unless otherwise stated.

Overview

Identiv is a global security technology company that establishes trust in the connected world, including premises, information and everyday items. Our motto is "Trust Your World." Global organizations in the government, education, retail, transportation, healthcare and other markets rely upon our trust solutions to do exactly that by reducing risk, achieving compliance and protecting brand identity.

At the beginning of September 2013, as more fully discussed in the "Recent Developments in our Business" section below, we undertook a strategic review of our business and initiated a series of actions to simplify our business structure and streamline our operations. As a result of these changes, we have put in place a new organizational structure, enhanced and broadened our management team, and are now doing business as "Identiv." We intend to seek stockholder approval to amend our certificate of incorporation and officially change the name of the Company at our 2014 annual meeting. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market in the U.S. under the symbol "INVE."

At the end of fiscal year 2013, we operated in two segments, "Identity Management Solutions & Services" (Identity Management) and "Identification Products & Components" (ID Products). Following the changes in our organizational structure, we changed our operating segments to focus on our trust solutions:

- Trust for Premises solution secures buildings via an integrated access control system.
- Trust for Information solution secures enterprise information including PCs, networks, email encryption, login, and printers via delivery of smart card reader products and Identity Management via our idondemand service.
- Trust for everyday items solution provides trust for everyday connected items, including electronic toys and other internet of things applications

The foundation of our trust solutions is a single, universal identity credential that can be used to trust any resource — premises, information, or everyday item — delivered securely and easily from our idOnDemand service. Because this solution is offered through the cloud, customers can access the service at any time from a secure web portal to issue,

manage or revoke credentials, without the complexity and cost of internal deployments.

29

To deliver these solutions, the Company reorganized its operations into four reportable business segments in the first quarter of 2014 principally by product families: Premises, Identity, Credentials and All Other. As a result of the change, product families and services were organized within the four segments:

Premises

Our uTrust premises products offerings include MX controllers, Velocity management software, TS door readers, and 3rd party products. Our modular uTrust MX controllers are designed to be scalable, allowing customers to start with a small system and expand over time. uTrust MX controllers can operate autonomously, whether as a single controller or as part of a networked system with Velocity software. The uTrust Velocity software platform enables centralized management of access and security operations across an organization, including control of doors, gates, turnstiles, elevators and other building equipment, monitoring users as they move around a facility, preventing unwanted access, maintaining compliance and providing a robust audit trail. uTrust door readers provide unique features to support a number of security environments and standards. For example, uTrust Scramblepad readers employ numerical scrambling on the keypad to protect access codes from being stolen as they are entered. uTrust TS readers support the majority of legacy card credentials with a robust next-generation platform that can help companies migrate to more secure credentials and technologies, including smart cards, NFC and government-issued credentials.

Identity

Our Identity products include uTrust readers - a broad range of contact, contactless, portable and mobile smart card readers, tokens and terminals that are utilized around the world to enable logical (i.e., Mobile, PC, network or data) access and security and identification applications, such as national ID, payment, e-Health and e-Government. To support the growing demand for solutions that provide secure access via mobile devices — often referred to as “bring your own device” (BYOD) —our uTrust iAuthenticate mobile readers allow users to securely authenticate using iOS™ or Android™ devices when they present standard credentials issued by the U.S. Government, including the PIV card and its predecessor, the Common Access Card (CAC).

The Identity products also include our idOnDemand service. idOnDemand can be used to provision (i.e., create and issue) and manage identity credentials through a cloud based service. Customers can access the service at any time from a secure web portal to issue, manage or revoke credentials, without the complexity and cost of internal deployments.

Credentials

The fastest-growing products in our portfolio are credentials: NFC and RFID products — including inlays and inlay-based cards — labels, tags and stickers, as well as other radio frequency (RF) and IC components. These products are manufactured in our state-of-the-art facility in Singapore and are used in a diverse range of identity-based applications, including electronic entertainment, loyalty schemes, mobile payment, transit and event ticketing. In addition Identiv provides a comprehensive range of user credentials under the uTrust brand, used for Premises and Information solutions access.

Leveraging our expertise in RFID and NFC technology, identity management, mobility and cloud services, we are developing new products to provide trust for everyday connected items, also known as the “Internet of Things.”

All Other

The All Other segment includes products, including Chipdrive and Media readers. The products included in the All Other segment do not meet the quantitative thresholds for determining reportable segments and therefore have been

combined for reporting purposes.

We primarily conduct our own sales and marketing activities in each of the markets in which we compete, utilizing our own sales and marketing organization to solicit prospective channel partners and customers, provide technical advice and support with respect to products, systems and services, and manage relationships with customers, distributors and/or OEMs. We sell primarily utilize indirect sales channels that may include OEMs, dealers, systems integrators, value added resellers, resellers or Internet sales, although we also sell directly to end users. In support of our sales efforts, we participate in industry events and conduct sales training courses, targeted marketing programs, and ongoing customer, channel partner and third-party communications programs.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Fremont, California. We maintain facilities in Santa Ana, California, Fremont, California, Chennai, India and Australia for research and development and in Australia, Germany, Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore and the U.S. for local operations and sales. We were founded in 1990 in Munich, Germany and incorporated in 1996 under the laws of the State of Delaware.

30

Recent Developments in our Business

In September 2013, our Board of Directors appointed Jason Hart as our new chief executive officer. Mr. Hart is a 20-year veteran of the security industry and the founder and former chief executive officer of our idOnDemand subsidiary. Following Mr. Hart's appointment, we undertook a strategic review of our business and initiated a series of actions to simplify our business structure and streamline our operations.

Organizational Restructuring

The first of these actions was to realign our organizational structure to operate as a single, unified company rather than as a group of individual businesses. This change in our structure enhances our ability to coordinate and focus our strategic and operational activities. To signal this change, we implemented a new corporate identity using the word mark and logo "Identiv" in place of "Identive Group." We also reorganized our management team and our operational activities by function (e.g., engineering, sales, marketing, customer service and information technology), allowing centralized management of key activities on a global basis. With the reorganization of and changes to our management team, we moved our executive headquarters to Fremont, California and began the process of moving our operational activities from Ismaning, Germany to our facility in Santa Ana, California.

Another important action was the divestiture of businesses that were determined to be non-core to our ongoing strategy. In December 2013 we completed the sale of our Multicard and payment solution subsidiaries in Europe and in February 2014 we completed the sale of our Multicard subsidiary in the U.S. We believe these divestitures enhance our ability to focus our resources and investments on higher-growth and more profitable opportunities in the security technology market. We have accounted for these divested businesses as discontinued operations, and the statements of operations for all periods presented reflect the discontinuance of these businesses. For more information, see Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Discontinued Operations.

Beginning in 2014, we have operated in new segments that align to our current market strategy. We reported our financial results under these segments beginning with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the first quarter of 2014.

Our Strategy

Our corporate priority in 2014 is to complete the process begun in late 2013 to simplify our business and drive revenue growth by focusing our resources and activities to deliver trust solutions to customers globally. Our trust solutions leverage core expertise from our existing product portfolio with a focus on cloud and mobile technologies, as well as our significant experience addressing customers' security challenges across multiple markets, including the U.S. Government, transportation, healthcare, education, banking, critical infrastructure, foreign governments and others.

Trends in our Business

Revenue Trends

Sales in the first quarter of 2014 were \$17.2 million, up 8% compared with \$16.0 million in the first quarter of 2013. Approximately 44% of our revenue came from our Credentials segment, which grew 36% in 2014, primarily as a result of large orders for tags and inlays to support electronic gaming, transit ticketing and other internet of things applications. Revenues from our Identity smart card readers, reader modules and tokens and our cloud-based credential provisioning and management services accounted for approximately 29% of our business, and rose 10% in 2014, reflecting continued strong demand to support information security and logical access programs worldwide. The revenue growth in these areas has been partially offset by a 30% decline in revenues of our Premises products as a

result of weak demand from U.S. Government customers for physical access control solutions. Premises products accounted for 31% of our revenues in 2013 and in 2014 comprised 20% of our business.

Gross profit margin was 39% in the first quarter of 2014, compared with 42% in the first quarter of 2013, primarily reflecting our product mix with a higher concentration of revenues from our Credential segment and lower revenues from our higher-margin business in our Premises segment, as well as a decrease in margin in the Credential segment. These were partially offset by higher revenues and stronger margins from sale of our products in the Identity segment.

Revenues in the Americas. Revenues in the Americas were \$8.6 million in the first quarter of 2014, accounting for 50% of total revenue and up 6% compared with \$8.1 million in the first quarter of 2013. Revenues from Identity readers, physical access control solutions for security programs within various U.S. Government agencies, as well as RFID and NFC products, inlays and tags comprise a significant proportion of our revenues in the Americas region, which also includes Canada and Latin America. Business in the United States accounted for 100% of business in the Americas.

Revenues from our Premises products in the Americas decreased by approximately 30% in the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of the previous year, primarily due to weak demand for physical access control solutions from federal and state agency customers.

As a general trend, U.S. Federal agencies continue to be subject to security improvement mandates under programs such as Homeland Security Presidential Directive-12 (HSPD-12) and reiterated in memoranda from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB M-11-11). We believe that our solution for Trusted Premises access remains among the most attractive offerings in the market to help agencies move towards compliance with federal directives and mandates. To expand our sales opportunities in the United States general and with U.S. Government customer in particular, in recent months we have strengthened our U.S. sales organization and reopened a sales presence in Washington DC.

Americas revenues in our Credentials segment in the first quarter of 2014 increased 120% more than doubled compared to the first quarter of 2013, primarily due to high-volume orders for electronic game toy pieces, transit ticketing, and other internet of things applications. Sales of Identity products, including readers, tokens and related products decreased in the Americas, whereas our cloud-based credential provisioning and management services, while still a small part of revenue in the Americas, more than doubled in the first quarter of 2014.

Revenues in Europe, the Middle East, Asia, and Australia. Revenues in Europe, the Middle East, Asia, and Australia were \$8.5 million in the first quarter of 2014, accounting for 50% of total revenue and up 8% compared with \$7.9 million in the first quarter of 2013. Sales of Identity readers and RFID & NFC products and tags comprise a significant proportion of our revenues in the region.

Revenues from our Identity products increased by approximately 35% in the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of the previous year, primarily due to stronger sales of reader terminals and reader products in Europe and Asia-Pacific. Identity readers comprise approximately 50% of the revenues throughout these regions in the first quarter of 2014. Revenues from our Credentials products declined by approximately 13% in the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of the previous year, including a decline in Access card sales and relatively stable sales in RFID & NFC products and tags, which comprise approximately 36% of the sales in these regions in the first quarter of 2014. Revenues from our Premises products declined by 11% in the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of the previous year, primarily driven by a weaker demand for Controllers used in physical access control solutions.

Seasonality and Other Factors. In our business overall, we may experience significant variations in demand for our offerings from quarter to quarter, and overall we typically experience a stronger demand cycle in the second half of our fiscal year. Sales of our premises solutions to U.S. Government agencies are subject to annual government budget cycles and generally are highest in the third quarter of each year; however the impact on this seasonal trend of overall budget reductions from actions such as government shutdowns and the sequester is uncertain. Sales of our identity smart card readers and reader chips, many of which are sold to government agencies worldwide, are impacted by testing and compliance schedules of government bodies as well as roll-out schedules for application deployments, both of which contribute to variability in demand from quarter to quarter. Further, this business is typically subject to seasonality based on commercial and government budget cycles, with lowest sales in the first half, and in particular the first quarter of the year, and highest sales in the second half of each year.

In addition to the general seasonality of demand, overall U.S. Government expenditure has a significant impact on demand for our products due to the significant portion of our revenues that we believe comes from U.S. Government agencies. Therefore, any significant reduction in U.S. Government spending could adversely impact our financial results and could cause our operating results to fall below any guidance we provide to the market or below the expectations of investors or securities analysts.

Operating Expense Trends

Base Operating Expenses

Our base operating expenses (i.e., research and development, selling and marketing, and general and administrative spending) decreased \$0.7 million, or 7% in the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of 2013. Research and development spending was reduced by 11% in the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of 2013, mainly due to streamlining of activities, leading to lower travel expenses, as well as lower costs for external services and contractors. In 2014, we expect research and development spending to remain relatively unchanged as a percentage of revenue as we continue to invest in products and solutions to deliver trust solutions to customers in the government, enterprise, consumer and commercial markets. Selling and marketing spending in the first quarter of 2014 was increased by \$0.4 million, or 7% compared with the first quarter of 2013, due to increase spending on selling and marketing as we invested in a more robust sales organization and put in place a global marketing organization to oversee product management and deliver new marketing programs and resources to support sales. This included the rebranding to “Identiv” and a global training seminar for the sales force. General and administrative spending in the first quarter of 2014 fell 21% from the same period in the previous year, primarily as a consequence of actions initiated in the fourth quarter of 2013

to simplify our business structure and streamline our operations , which decreased general and administrative spending. These actions are further discussed under “Simplification and Streamlining of our Business” below.

Additionally, to streamline production and operations in our credential business, we have initiated the closure of our German production plant for RFID and NFC inlays, tags, and labels in Sauerlach to consolidate in our production facility in Singapore. The move is expected to be completed in the second quarter of 2014. We have in the past expanded production capacity with the addition of production and assembly lines at our facility in California and via partnerships with external manufacturers, and we are planning to further invest in our card production capabilities. Additionally, we continue to invest in enhancements to our data center infrastructure to support the expected growth of our cloud service offerings.

Impairment

During the last two years, new developments in our business prompted us to perform interim analyses of our goodwill and long-lived assets to determine potential impairment, as required under our accounting policies. These impairment charges affected our financial condition and results of operation for the periods in which they are recorded; however, they have no impact on our day-to-day operations or liquidity and will not result in any future cash expenditures. There was no impairment in the first quarter of 2014.

Simplification and Streamlining of our Business

Following the appointment of Mr. Hart as our new chief executive officer, we undertook a strategic review of our business and initiated a series of actions to simplify our business structure and streamline our operations. As a consequence of our strategic review, in late 2013 and early 2014 we disposed of non-core or under-performing businesses, including the Multicard AG, payment solution AG, Multicard Nederland BV and Multicard U.S. subsidiaries. Additionally we ceased investment in the Tagtrail mobile services platform. We believe that these divestitures enhance our ability to focus our resources and investments on higher-growth and more profitable and global opportunities in the security market. To further simplify our business and streamline our operations, we have restructured our organization to operate as a single, unified company rather than as a group of individual businesses. This restructuring has included the realignment of our management team and our operational activities by function (for example engineering, sales, marketing, customer service and information technology), which allows us to manage key activities on a global basis. With the centralization of various functions, we have also eliminated redundant positions. Additionally, we are in the process of transferring various functions such as corporate financial accounting and reporting from Germany to the U.S. We will continue to evaluate opportunities to further reduce overhead costs and make more efficient use of our operational resources.

Restructuring and Severance

During the first quarter of 2014, certain employees related to non-core functions were terminated as part of management’s efforts to simplify business operations which began in 2013. As a result, the Company recorded \$0.4 million in restructuring and severance costs related to severance paid or accrued for these employees in its condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months period ended March 31, 2014.

During the third and fourth quarters of 2013, there was a change of the Company’s chief executive officer (“CEO”) and chief financial officer (“CFO”), and as part of management’s efforts to simplify business operations, certain non-core functions were eliminated. As a result, the Company recorded \$1.8 million in restructuring and severance costs in its consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily related to severance paid or accrued for our former CEO and CFO as well as other employees.

Results of Operations

The amounts for 2013 have been adjusted for divested businesses as discussed in Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Discontinued Operations.

33

Revenue

Summary information about our revenue by operating segment for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 is shown below (in thousands):

| | Three Months | | % Change period to period | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | Ended March 31 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Premises | | | | |
| Revenues | \$3,467 | \$4,984 | -30 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 20 | % 31 | % | |
| Identity | | | | |
| Revenues | \$5,020 | \$4,554 | 10 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 29 | % 29 | % | |
| Credentials | | | | |
| Revenues | \$7,467 | \$5,479 | 36 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 44 | % 34 | % | |
| All Other | | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,206 | \$938 | 29 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 7 | % 6 | % | |
| Total revenues | \$17,160 | \$15,955 | 8 | % |

Total revenue in the first quarter of 2014 was \$17.1 million, up 8% compared with \$16.0 million in the first quarter of 2013, primarily reflecting higher sales in our Credentials segment and in our Identity product segment. This was partially offset by lower sales of products in our Premises segment that accounted for 20% of total revenue in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to 31% in the first quarter of 2013. Sales within our Identity segment accounted for 29% of total revenue in the first quarter of 2014, which is stable compared to the first quarter of 2013, and sales within our Credentials segment accounted for 44% of total revenue in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to 34% in the first quarter of 2013.

We sell all our products to customers in the government, enterprise and commercial markets to address vertical market segments including public services administration, military and defense, law enforcement, healthcare, education, banking, industrial, retail and critical infrastructure.

In our Premises product segment, we provide solutions and services that enable the issuance, management and use of secure identity credentials in diverse markets. Our Premises segment includes products to secure buildings via an integrated access control system, and includes MX controllers, Velocity management software and TS door readers, some of which are part of the uTrust suite. Our modular uTrust MX controllers are designed to be scalable, allowing customers to start with a small system and expand over time. uTrust MX controllers can operate autonomously, whether as a single controller or as part of a networked system with Velocity software. The uTrust Velocity software platform enables centralized management of access and security operations across an organization, including control of doors, gates, turnstiles, elevators and other building equipment, monitoring users as they move around a facility, preventing unwanted access, maintaining compliance and providing a robust audit trail. uTrust door readers provide unique features to support a number of security environments and standards. For example, uTrust Scramblepad readers employ numerical scrambling on the keypad to protect access codes from being stolen as they are entered. uTrust TS readers support the majority of legacy card credentials with a robust next-generation platform that can help companies migrate to more secure credentials and technologies, including smart cards, NFC

and government-issued credentials. Because of the complex nature of the problems we address for our Premises solutions customers, pricing pressure is not prevalent in this segment.

Revenue in our Premises segment was \$3.5 million in the first quarter of 2014, a decrease of 30% from \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2013. This decrease primarily was due to lower physical access control solutions in the U.S, resulting from low demand from U.S. Government customers. Products in our Premises segment accounted for 20% of total revenues in the first quarter of 2014.

In our Identity product segment, we offer products to secure enterprise information, including PCs, networks, email encryption, login, and printers via delivery of smart card reader products, some of which are part of the uTrust suite, and Identity Management via our idondemand service. Identiv offers smart card readers - a broad range of contact, contactless and mobile smart card readers, tokens and terminals - to enable logical (i.e., Mobile, PC, network or data) access and security and identification applications, such as national ID, payment, e-Health and e-Government. Our idOnDemand service can be used to provision (i.e., create and issue) and manage identity credentials. Pricing pressure is prevalent in this segment, as embedded readers, which have a lower average selling price than external readers, grow as an overall component of reader shipments

Revenues in our Identity segment were \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2014, an increase of 10% from \$4.6 million in the first quarter of 2013. This increase is primarily a result of higher Smart card reader and token sales in the first quarter of 2014 compared to the same period of the prior year in Europe, the Middle East, and Asia-Pacific, mainly driven by higher demand from the enterprise market in Europe. Revenue from our cloud-based credential provisioning and management services also grew in the first quarter of 2014. Products in the Identity segment accounted for 29% of total revenue in the first quarter of 2014.

In our Credentials product segment, we offer access cards and RFID and NFC products, including cards, inlays, labels, tags and stickers, as well as other radio frequency (RF) components. These products are manufactured in our state-of-the-art facility in Singapore and are used in a diverse range of identity-based applications, including electronic entertainment, loyalty schemes, mobile payment, transit and event ticketing. In our RFID and NFC product business, there is a trend towards a higher overall average selling price as we sell a higher proportion of finished tickets and tags in addition to our inlays. The margins for access cards are relatively stable.

Revenues in our Credentials segment were \$7.5 million in the first quarter of 2014, up 36% from \$5.5 million in the first quarter of 2013. This growth primarily resulted from higher sales of RFID and NFC products in the U.S. during the first quarter of 2014 compared with the same period of the previous year, mainly as a result of large orders for electronic game companion toys. Access card revenues in the U.S. and Australia also increased in the first quarter of 2014 compared to the same period of the prior year. Revenues from our credentials segment accounted for approximately 44% of total revenue in the first quarter of 2014.

The All Other segment includes products including, Chipdrive brand and Digital Media readers.

Revenues in our segment All Other were \$1.2 million in the first quarter of 2014, up 8% from \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2013. Products in our All Other segment accounted for 7% of total revenue in the first quarter of 2014.

Gross Profit

The following table sets forth our gross profit and year-to-year change in gross profit by operating segment for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

| | Three Months ended March 31, | | % Change period to period | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Premises | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 3,467 | \$ 4,984 | | |
| Gross profit | 2,144 | 3,151 | -32 | % |
| Gross profit % | 62 | % 63 | % | |
| Identity | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 5,020 | \$ 4,554 | | |
| Gross profit | 2,237 | 1,647 | 36 | % |
| Gross profit % | 45 | % 36 | % | |
| Credentials | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 7,467 | \$ 5,479 | | |
| Gross profit | 1,644 | 1,665 | -1 | % |
| Gross profit % | 22 | % 30 | % | |

| | | | | |
|----------------|----------|----------|-----|---|
| All Other | | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,206 | \$938 | | |
| Gross profit | 615 | 290 | 112 | % |
| Gross profit % | 51 | % | 31 | % |
| Total: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$17,160 | \$15,955 | | |
| Gross profit | 6,640 | 6,753 | -2 | % |
| Gross profit % | 39 | % | 42 | % |

Gross profit for the first quarter of 2014 was \$6.6 million, or 39% of revenue, compared with 42% in the first quarter of 2013, primarily reflecting product mix and the lower sales in our higher-margin business in our Premises segment as well as a decrease in margin in the Credential segment, partially offset by higher sales and stronger margins from our products in our Identity segment.

In our Premises segment, gross profit margin was 62% of revenue and primarily reflected sales of physical access control solutions, including panels, controllers, and access readers. In our Identity segment, gross profit margin was 45% of revenue and primarily reflected sales of logical access readers and modules as well as cloud-based credential provisioning and management services. In our Credentials segment, gross profit margin was 22% of revenue and reflected predominantly the strong weighting of RFID & NFC inlays and tags used in electronic entertainment applications versus Access Cards. The margins were impacted by underutilization of production overhead in the period.

Gross profit for the first quarter of 2013 was \$6.8 million, or 42% of revenue. In our Premises segment, gross profit margin was 63% of revenue and primarily reflected sales of physical access control solutions, including panels, controllers, and access readers. In our Identity segment, gross profit margin was 36% of revenue and primarily reflected sales of logical access readers, including a large order to a national electronic ID program in the Middle East at lower margins. In our Credentials segment, gross profit margin was 30% of revenue and mainly driven by sales of RFID & NFC inlays and tags used in electronic entertainment applications.

We expect there will be some variation in our gross profit from period to period, as our gross profit has been and will continue to be affected by a variety of factors, including, without limitation, competition, product pricing, the volume of sales in any given quarter, manufacturing volumes, product configuration and mix, the availability of new products, product enhancements, software and services, risk of inventory write-downs and the cost and availability of components.

Operating Expenses

Information about our operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 is set forth below.

Research and Development

| | Three months ended | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|---|
| | March 31, | | % Change | |
| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | period to period | |
| Expenses | \$1,502 | \$1,693 | -11 | % |
| Percentage of revenue | 9 | % 11 | % | |

Research and development expenses consist primarily of employee compensation and fees for the development of hardware, software and firmware products. We focus the bulk of our research and development activities on the continued development of existing products and the development of new offerings for emerging market opportunities.

Research and development expenses were \$1.5 million in first quarter of 2014, comprising 9% of revenue, and decreased 11% from \$1.7 million, or 11% of revenue from the first quarter of 2013. Lower research and development expenses in the first quarter of 2014 resulted mainly from streamlining of activities, lower travel expenses as well as lower costs for external services and contractors. Research and development expenses are in line with the fourth quarter of 2013, adjusting for \$0.4 million in tax credits recognized in that quarter. Key investment areas during the first quarter of 2014 were trust solutions for premises and information, including continued development of our uTrust TS readers, our cloud-based credential provisioning and management services and the extension of our physical access control offering to meet the requirements of government standards, e.g. FICAM. We expect these projects to continue during the next several quarters.

Selling and Marketing

| (in thousands) | Three months ended | | % Change period to period |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | March 31, 2014 | March 31, 2013 | |
| Expenses | \$5,049 | \$4,697 | 7 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 29 % | 29 % | |

Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of employee compensation as well as amortization expense of certain intangible assets, tradeshow participation, advertising and other marketing and selling costs. We focus a significant proportion of our sales and marketing activities on traditional as well as new and emerging market opportunities.

Selling and marketing expenses were \$5.0 million in first quarter of 2014, comprising 29% of revenue an increase of 7% from \$4.7 million, or 29% of revenue in the first quarter of 2013. The increase represents the hiring of new sales management and additional sales and marketing personnel in Q1 2014 and late 2013 to strengthen our sales and marketing capabilities in the U.S.

General and Administrative

| (in thousands) | Three months ended | | % Change period to period |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | March 31, 2014 | March 31, 2013 | |
| Expenses | \$3,054 | \$3,887 | -21 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 18 % | 24 % | |

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation expenses for employees performing administrative functions, and professional fees arising from legal, auditing and other consulting services.

General and administrative expenses in first quarter of 2014 were \$3.1 million, or 18% of revenue, compared with \$3.9 million, or 24% of revenue in first quarter of 2013, a decrease of 21%. This decrease primarily resulted from savings achieved through our actions to streamline operations and focus the company, which were initiated in the third quarter of 2013. In addition, there were lower professional fees arising from legal and auditing and lower costs in certain other administrative areas.

Restructuring and Severance Charges

During the first quarter of 2014, certain employees related to non-core functions were terminated as part of management's efforts to simplify business operations which began in 2013. As a result, we recorded restructuring and severance charges of \$0.4 million in first quarter of 2014 primarily related to severance paid out or accrued for these employees in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months period ended March 31, 2014.

There were no restructuring and severance charges in the first quarter of 2013.

See Note 12 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Restructuring and Severance, for more information.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net consists of interest on financial liabilities and interest accretion expense for liability to a related party arising from our acquisition of Hirsch, offset by interest earned on invested cash. We recorded net interest expense of \$2.1 million and \$0.6 million in first quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively, in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Higher net interest expense in the first quarter of 2014 is due to our entry into a bank term loan and revolving loan facility on March 31, 2014 with Opus and repayment of all outstanding amounts under its secured debt facility with Hercules. We repaid all outstanding amounts under our secured debt facility with Hercules in connection with entering into the Credit Agreement with Opus as of March 31, 2014 and recorded \$1.6 million in additional interest expense during the three months ended March 31, 2014 in our condensed consolidated statement of operations. The total amount of \$1.6 million in interest expense includes \$0.9 million related to write-off of deferred costs, \$0.6 million related to write-off of discounts on the secured note and \$0.1 million related to prepayment fees as stipulated in the Hercules agreement and forfeiture of facility charge paid at the inception of the agreement.

Interest expense, net of \$2.1 million in first quarter of 2014 includes \$2.0 million related to our loan with Hercules and \$0.1 million related to interest accretion expense for a liability to a related party. Interest expense of \$2.0 million related to Hercules includes \$0.4 million in regular interest expense recorded during the three months ended March

31, 2014 and \$1.6 million in additional interest expense as mentioned above.

Interest expense, net of \$0.6 million in first quarter of 2013 includes \$0.4 million related to our loan with Hercules and \$0.2 million related to interest accretion expense for a liability to a related party.

See Note 8 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Related-Party Transactions, and Note 9 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Liabilities, for more detailed information. Interest income in the first quarter of 2014 and 2013 was immaterial.

Foreign Currency Loss, Net

We recorded net foreign currency loss of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million during the first quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively. Changes in currency valuation in the periods presented mainly were the result of exchange rate movements between the U.S. dollar and the euro and the British pound and their impact on the valuation of intercompany transaction balances. Accordingly, they are predominantly non-cash items. Our foreign currency gain and loss primarily result from the valuation of current assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the respective entity in the local financial statements.

Income Taxes

We recorded income tax provision of \$0.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and income tax benefit of \$0.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013, reflecting effective tax rates of -1.26% and 2.24% for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The effective tax rates for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013 differ from the federal statutory rate of 34% primarily due to a change in valuation allowance and, the benefit of taxable earnings in certain foreign jurisdictions, which are subject to lower tax rates.

Discontinued Operations

In November 2013, we committed to a plan to sell our Multicard U.S. business and completed the sale of this business on February 4, 2014. In December 2013, we completed the sale of our Multicard AG business in Switzerland, our payment solution AG business in Germany and our Multicard Nederland BV business in Netherland. In addition, we completed the sale of our German Multicard GmbH subsidiary to an employee in December 2013. Each of these businesses (collectively, our “divested businesses”) has been accounted for as discontinued operations in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. As a result, amounts for the first quarter of 2013 have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Revenue for the divested businesses was \$0.5 million and \$5.1 million during the three months period ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Gain from discontinued operations before income taxes was \$0.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and loss from discontinued operations before income taxes was \$0.8 million during the three months period ended March 31, 2013.

See Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Discontinued Operations, for more information.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 31, 2014, our working capital, which we have defined as current assets less current liabilities, was \$17.5 million, an increase of \$9.0 million compared to \$8.5 million as of December 31, 2013. The increase in working capital reflects a \$1.6 million decrease in current liabilities of discontinued operations resulting from the sale of non-core entities, a \$4.0 million net decrease in liability to related party, accrued compensation and related benefits, financial liabilities, deferred revenue, and other accrued expenses as well as a \$8.3 million net increase in cash, inventories and prepaid expenses, offset by a \$3.1 million decrease in current assets of discontinued operations resulting from the sale of non-core entities, accounts receivable and other current assets, as well as a \$1.8 million increase in accounts payable. As of March 31, 2014, our cash balance was \$12 million.

The following summarizes our cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$(4,001) | \$(846) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) in investing activities | 1,024 | (568) |

Edgar Filing: IDENTIVE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|---------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 9,814 | (587) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash | 82 | 108 |
| Net decrease in cash | 6,919 | (1,893) |
| Cash of continuing operations, at beginning of period | 5,095 | 6,109 |
| Add: Cash of discontinued operations, at beginning of period | 16 | 1,269 |
| Less: Cash of discontinued operations, at end of period | — | 1,437 |
| Cash of continuing operations, at end of period | \$12,030 | \$4,048 |

Significant commitments that will require the use of cash in future periods include obligations under operating leases, liability to a related party, secured note and revolver, purchase commitments and other obligations. Gross committed operating lease obligations are \$4.2 million, liability to related party is \$9.0 million, the bank term loan and revolving loan facility is \$15.9 million, and purchase commitments and other obligations are \$11.5 million at March 31, 2014. Total commitments due within one year are \$14.8 million and due thereafter are \$25.8 million at March 31, 2014.

Cash provided by investing activities primarily reflects \$1.3 million cash proceeds from sale of business, offset by \$0.3 spent for capital expenditures.

Cash provided by financing activities primarily reflects \$14.0 million net cash proceeds from issuance of debt with Opus Bank in March 2014 and \$2.6 million net cash proceeds from capital raise, offset by a cash payment of \$6.8 million for financial liabilities.

We consider the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries, if any, as of March 31, 2014, to be indefinitely reinvested and, accordingly, no U.S. income taxes have been provided thereon. Generally most of our foreign subsidiaries have accumulated deficits and cash and cash equivalents that are held outside the United States are typically not cash generated from earnings that would be subject to tax upon repatriation if transferred to the United States. We have access to the cash held outside the United States to fund domestic operations and obligations without any material income tax consequences. As of March 31, 2014, the amount of cash included at such subsidiaries was \$1.4 million. We have not, nor do we anticipate the need to, repatriate funds to the United States to satisfy domestic liquidity needs arising in the ordinary course of business, including liquidity needs associated with our domestic debt service requirements.

On October 30, 2012, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. ("Hercules"). The Loan Agreement provided for a term loan in aggregate principal amount of up to \$10.0 million with an initial drawdown of \$7.5 million. The initial drawdown of \$7.5 million was reflected in the Secured Term Promissory Note dated October 30, 2012 (the "Secured Note"). As discussed below, the Company repaid all outstanding amounts under its Loan Agreement with Hercules in connection with the closing of the Credit Agreement with Opus. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Liabilities, for more information.

On April 16, 2013, we entered into a purchase agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Lincoln Park Capital Fund, LLC ("LPC"), pursuant to which we have the right to sell to LPC up to \$20 million in shares of our common stock, subject to certain limitations and conditions set forth in the Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, LPC initially purchased 1,754,386 shares of common stock for a net consideration of \$1.5 million on April 17, 2013. Thereafter, on any business day and as often as every other business day over the 36-month term of the Purchase Agreement, and up to an aggregate amount of an additional \$18 million (subject to certain limitations) in shares of common stock, we have the right, from time to time, at our sole discretion and subject to certain conditions to direct LPC to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock. Subsequent to the initial purchase, we directed LPC to purchase 2.5 million shares of common stock from April 17, 2013 through December 31, 2013 for a net consideration of \$1.9 million and 3.2 million shares of common stock from January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2014 for a net consideration of \$2.6 million. See Note 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Stockholders' Equity of Identive Group, Inc., for more information.

On August 14, 2013, in a private placement, we issued 8,348,471 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.85 per share and warrants to purchase an additional 8,348,471 share of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share to accredited and other qualified investors (the "Investors" or "Warrant Holders"), for aggregate gross consideration of \$7.1 million. The private placement was made pursuant to definitive subscription agreements between the Company and each Investor. We engaged a placement agent in connection with private placement outside the United States. See Note 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Stockholders' Equity of Identive Group, Inc., for more information.

On March 31, 2014, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Opus Bank ("Opus"). The Credit Agreement provides for a term loan in aggregate principal amount of \$10.0 million ("Term Loan") which was drawn down on March 31, 2014, and an additional \$10.0 million revolving loan facility ("Revolving Loan Facility"), of which \$4.0 million was drawn down on March 31, 2014. In connection with the closing of the Credit Agreement, the Company prepaid all outstanding amounts under its Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2012, as amended from time to time with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. The proceeds of the Term Loan and the

initial loans under the Revolving Loan Facility, after payment of fees to Opus and expenses and all outstanding amounts under the Prior Loan Agreement, are approximately \$7.8 million. The obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all assets of the Company. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Liabilities, for more information.

We have historically incurred operating losses and negative cash flows from operating activities, and we expect to continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. As of March 31, 2014, we have total accumulated deficit of \$326.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we sustained consolidated net loss of \$5.2 million. The loss for the period included a gain from discontinued operations of \$0.5 million. We expect to use a significant amount of cash in our operations over the next twelve months for our operating activities and servicing of financial liabilities, including increased investment in marketing and sales capabilities to drive revenue growth, and continued investment in our cloud-based services, physical access control solutions, smart card reader products and RFID and NFC products. These factors, among others, including the ongoing effects of the U.S. Government sequester and related budget uncertainty on certain parts of our business, have raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. Our current plan anticipates increased revenues and improved profit margins for the twelve-month period, which we expect will reduce the levels of cash required for our operating activities as compared to historical levels of use. In addition, we are in the process of improving our working capital, including reduction in the levels of accounts receivable and discussion with several key suppliers to reduce the levels of inventory and improve payment terms.

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. This assumption contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Our continuation as a going concern is contingent upon our ability to generate revenue and cash flow to meet our obligations on a timely basis and our ability to raise financing or dispose of certain noncore assets as required. Our plans may be adversely impacted if we fail to realize our assumed levels of revenues and expenses or savings from our cost reduction activities. If events, such as reductions in spending under the federal budget sequester, cause a significant adverse impact on our revenues, expenses or savings from our cost reduction activities, we may need to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our development programs or obtain funds through collaborative arrangements with others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain of our technologies, or programs that we would otherwise seek to develop or commercialize ourselves, and to reduce personnel related costs. We may resort to contingency plans to make these needed cost reductions upon determination that funds will not be available in a timely matter. These contingency plans include consolidating certain functions or disposing of non-core or underperforming assets. As stated in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Discontinued Operations, the Company has sold certain non-core or underperforming businesses and will do so in future, if needed. We may also need to raise additional funds through public or private offerings of additional debt or equity during the course of the year or in the near term as we may deem appropriate. The sale of additional debt or equity securities may cause dilution to existing stockholders. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise such funds if and when they are required. Failure to obtain future funding when needed or on acceptable terms would adversely affect our ability to fund operations and continue as a going concern.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into off-balance sheet arrangements, or issued guarantees to third parties.

Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes expected cash requirements for contractual obligations as of March 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| Total | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 |
|-------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
|-------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|

| | | | | | Years |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Operating leases | \$4,154 | \$ 1,534 | \$1,979 | \$641 | \$— |
| Liability to related party | 9,013 | 1,125 | 2,387 | 2,582 | 2,919 |
| Bank term loan and revolving loan facility | 15,945 | 770 | 15,175 | — | — |
| Purchase commitments and other obligations | 11,510 | 11,404 | 106 | — | — |
| Total obligations | \$40,622 | \$ 14,833 | \$19,647 | \$3,223 | \$2,919 |

Our liability to related party was acquired in connection with our acquisitions of Hirsch. See Note 8 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Related-Party Transactions, for more information about this liability listed in the table above.

The bank term loan and revolving loan facility relates to a credit agreement we entered into with Opus Bank on March 31, 2014. The amounts above include payments to be made for principal and interest. See Note 9 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Liabilities, for more information about the financing liabilities listed in the table above.

The Company leases its facilities, certain equipment, and automobiles under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. Purchases for inventories are highly dependent upon forecasts of customer demand. Due to the uncertainty in demand from our customers, we may have to change, reschedule, or cancel purchases or purchase orders from our suppliers. These changes may lead to vendor cancellation charges on these purchases or contractual commitments. See Note 14 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information about operating leases, purchase commitments and other obligations listed in the table above.

The Company's consolidated balance sheet consists of other long-term liability which includes gross unrecognized tax benefits, and related gross interest and penalties. At this time, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years in connection with these tax liabilities; therefore, such amounts are not included in the contractual obligation table above.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"). The preparation of these financial statements requires management to establish accounting policies that contain estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These policies relate to revenue recognition, inventory, income taxes, goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets and stock-based compensation. We have other important accounting policies and practices; however, once adopted, these other policies either generally do not require us to make significant estimates or assumptions or otherwise only require implementation of the adopted policy and not a judgment as to the policy itself. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Despite our intention to establish accurate estimates and assumptions, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, management believes there have been no significant changes to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 of Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for a full description of the recent accounting pronouncement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has been no significant change in our exposure to market risk during the three months ended March 31, 2014. For discussion of the Company's exposure to market risk, refer to Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Attached as exhibits to this report are certifications of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). This “Controls and Procedures” section includes information concerning the controls and related evaluations referred to in the certifications and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

As of the end of the period covered in this report, we carried out an evaluation, as required in Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, under the supervision and with the participation of members of our senior management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act.

Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, due to the material weakness in our control over financial reporting as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, our internal disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Based on additional analysis and other post-closing procedures designed to ensure that our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements will be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP), management believes, notwithstanding the material weakness, that the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP.

Remediation of Material Weakness

As disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, our management identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, namely that we had an insufficient review and oversight of the recording of complex and non-routine transactions due to an insufficient number of accounting personnel with appropriate knowledge, experience or training in U.S. GAAP.

Management has developed and begun implementing a remediation plan to address this material weakness. Remediation efforts currently in process or expected to be implemented include the following:

- Hiring additional accounting personnel at the corporate level and subsidiary levels with experience in U.S.GAAP accounting; and
- Reviewing and revising, as appropriate, the Company's internal Sarbanes-Oxley resources, testing, program and schedule.

Management has developed a timetable for the implementation of the foregoing remediation efforts and will monitor the implementation. In addition, under the direction of the Audit Committee, management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the Company's internal control environment, as well as to policies and procedures to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Management believes the foregoing efforts will effectively remediate the material weakness. However, the material weakness will not be considered remediated until the applicable remedial controls operate for a sufficient period of time and management has concluded, through testing, that these controls are operating effectively, which we expect to occur within the current fiscal year.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, except for the remediation efforts management commenced in the first quarter of 2014 related to the above described material weakness.

A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurances that the objectives of the control system are met. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been or will be detected.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we could be subject to claims arising in the ordinary course of business or be a defendant in lawsuits. While the outcome of such claims or other proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, our management expects that any such liabilities, to the extent not provided for by insurance or otherwise, will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business and results of operations are subject to numerous risks, uncertainties and other factors that you should be aware of, some of which are described below. The risks, uncertainties and other factors described in these risk factors are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks, uncertainties and other factors not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. Any of the risks, uncertainties and other factors could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or product market share and could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline substantially.

Our revenues and operating results are subject to significant fluctuations and such fluctuations may lead to a reduced market price for our stock.

Our revenues and operating results have varied in the past and will likely continue to fluctuate in the future. We believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results are not necessarily meaningful, but securities analysts and investors often rely upon these comparisons as indicators of future performance. If our operating results in any future period fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, or the guidance that we provide, the market price of our securities would likely decline.

Factors that have caused our results to fluctuate in the past and which are likely to affect us in the future include the following:

- business and economic conditions overall and in our markets;
- the timing and size of customer orders that may be tied to annual or other budgetary cycles, seasonal demand, product plans or program roll-out schedules;
- the effects of the U.S. Government sequester and other changes in budget allocation or availability that create uncertainty for customers in certain parts of our business;
- the absence of significant backlog in our business;
- cancellations or delays of customer orders or the loss of a significant customer;
- the length of sales cycles associated with our product or service offerings;
- variations in the mix of products and services we sell;
- reductions in the average selling prices that we are able to charge due to competition or other factors;
- our ability to obtain an adequate supply of quality components and to deliver our products on a timely basis;
- our inventory levels and the inventory levels of our customers and indirect sales channels;
- the extent to which we invest in development, sales and marketing, and other expense categories;
- strategic acquisitions, dispositions or organizational restructuring;

fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar;

- the cost or impact of litigation; and
- the write-off of investments or goodwill.

Estimating the amount and mix of future revenues is difficult, and our failure to do so accurately could affect our ability to be profitable or reduce the market price for our stock.

Accurately estimating future revenues is difficult because the purchasing patterns of our customers vary depending upon a number of factors. We sell our smart card readers primarily through a channel of distributors who place orders on an ongoing basis depending on their customers' requirements. As a result, the size and timing of these orders can vary from quarter to quarter. The increasing market demand for RFID and NFC technology is resulting in larger program deployments of these products and

components, as well as increasing competition for these solutions. Across our business, the timing of closing larger orders increases the risk of quarter-to-quarter fluctuation in revenues. If orders forecasted for a specific group of customers for a particular quarter are not realized or revenues are not otherwise recognized in that quarter, our operating results for that quarter could be materially adversely affected. In addition, from time to time, we may experience an unexpected increase or decrease in demand for our products resulting from fluctuations in our customers' budgets, purchasing patterns or deployment schedules. These occurrences are not always predictable and can have a significant impact on our results in the period in which they occur.

Failure to accurately forecast customer demand may result in excess or obsolete inventory, which if written down might adversely impact our cost of revenues and financial condition.

In addition, our expense levels are based, in significant part, upon our expectations as to future revenues and are largely fixed in the short term. We may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected shortfall in revenues. Any significant shortfall in revenues in relation to our expectations could have an immediate and significant effect on our ability to achieve profitability for that quarter and may lead to a reduced market price for our stock.

There are doubts about our ability to continue as a going concern. If we fail to generate revenue as forecast, improve our margins, realize savings from our cost reduction activities or are unable to obtain additional capital necessary to fund our operations, our financial results, financial condition and our ability to continue as a going concern will be adversely affected.

As discussed in Liquidity and Capital Resources in Part I, Item 2 of this report, as of March 31, 2014, we have a total accumulated deficit of \$326 million. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, we sustained consolidated net loss of \$5.2 million. The loss for the year included gain from discontinued operations of \$0.5 million. These factors, among others, including the ongoing effects of the U.S. Government sequester and related budget uncertainty on certain parts of our business, have raised significant doubt about our ability to operate as a going concern.

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. We have historically incurred operating losses and negative cash flows from operating activities, and we expect to continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. We expect to use a significant amount of cash in our operations over the next twelve months for our operating activities and servicing of financial liabilities, including increased investment in marketing and sales capabilities to drive revenue growth, and continued investment in our cloud-based services, physical access control solutions, smart card reader products and RFID and NFC products.

We may need to raise additional funds through public or private offerings of additional debt or equity during the course of the year or in the near term as we may deem appropriate. The sale of additional debt or equity securities may cause dilution to existing stockholders. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful with our plans or that our future results of operations will improve. If revenue trends do not improve, our available liquidity from cash flows from operations will be adversely affected. There can be no assurance that we will be able to improve cash flows from operations, or that we will be able to access additional capital if and when required or on acceptable terms to us. Therefore, there can be no guarantee that our existing and anticipated capital resources will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements. If we are unable to address our liquidity challenges, then our financial results and financial condition would be adversely affected.

Our loan covenants may affect our liquidity or limit our ability to incur debt, make investments, sell assets, merge or complete other significant transactions.

In March 2014, we entered into a Senior Secured Credit Facility Agreement with Opus Bank. The loan agreement includes provisions that place limitations on a number of our activities, including our ability to incur additional debt, create liens on our assets or make guarantees, make certain investments or loans, pay dividends or dispose of or sell assets or enter into a merger or similar transaction. The loan agreement also contains financial covenants that require the Company to achieve certain levels of financial performance as measured periodically in terms of our tangible net worth, EBITDA, and specific asset levels as they relate to outstanding debt. If an event of default in such covenants occurs and is continuing, the lender may, among other things, accelerate the loan and seize collateral or take other actions of a secured creditor. If the loan is accelerated, we could face a substantial liquidity problem and may be forced to dispose of material assets or operations, seek to obtain equity capital, or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. Such alternative measures may not be available or successful. Also, our loan covenants may limit our ability to dispose of material assets or operations or to restructure or refinance our indebtedness. Even if we are able to restructure or refinance our indebtedness, the economic terms may not be favorable to us. All of the foregoing could have serious consequences to our financial condition and results of operations. Our ability to generate cash to meet scheduled payments with respect to our debt depends on our financial and operating performance, which in turn, is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and the other factors discussed in this Risk Factors section. If our cash flow and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we could face substantial liquidity problems and may be forced to dispose of material assets or operations, seek to obtain equity capital, or restructure or refinance our indebtedness as noted above. Such alternative measures may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations.

If we are not able to secure additional financing when needed, our business could be adversely affected.

We may seek or need to raise additional funds for general corporate and commercial purposes or for acquisitions. Our ability to obtain financing depends on our historical and expected future operating and financial performance, and is also subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If we are unable to secure additional financing when desired, our ability to fund our business operations, make capital expenditures, pursue additional expansion or acquisition opportunities, or make another discretionary use of cash could be limited, and this could adversely impact our financial results. There can be no assurance that additional capital will be available to us on favorable terms or at all. The sale of additional debt or equity securities may cause dilution to existing stockholders.

Acquisitions and strategic investments expose us to significant risks.

From time to time we may seek to acquire or make investments in companies, products or technologies that we believe complement or augment our existing business, product offerings or technology portfolio. Acquiring and integrating acquired assets into our business exposes us to certain risks.

Executing acquisition or investment transactions and assimilating personnel and operations from an acquired business may require significant attention and resources, which may divert the attention of our management and employees from day-to-day operations and disrupt our business. This may adversely impact our results of operations.

The costs associated with acquisitions may be significant, whether or not the acquisition transaction is successfully concluded. As a result, acquisition activities may reduce the amount of capital available to fund our business. To purchase another company, we may issue additional equity securities, which could dilute the value of our stockholders' shares. Acquisitions may result in the assumption of additional liabilities or debt, including unanticipated liabilities, or charges to earnings for such items as amortization of purchased intangibles or in-process research and development

expenses. Such liabilities, indebtedness or charges could result in material and adverse impact with respect to our financial condition and results of operations. Acquisitions and strategic investments may also lead to substantial increases in non-current assets, including goodwill. Write-downs of these assets due to unforeseen business developments may materially and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

45

Additionally, we have in the past acquired companies that we have since divested. In January 2010, we acquired Bluehill ID which had Swiss Multicard AG, Dutch Multicard Nederland BV and German Multicard GmbH as its 100% subsidiaries at the time of acquisition. These businesses were divested in December 2013. In April 2010, we acquired RockWest Technology Group LLC, a privately held U.S. Company that provides ID management solutions and services to the education, government, corporate, casino and healthcare markets in the U.S. This business was divested in February 2014. In July 2011, we acquired polyright SA, a privately-held provider of identity management platforms and open-ended rights and services management solutions for higher education, healthcare and industry, based in Switzerland. Effective January 2013, polyright was merged with its parent company Multicard AG. This business was divested as part of our sale of Multicard AG in December 2013. In January 2012, we acquired payment solution AG, a privately-held German company that provides cashless payment solutions for stadiums, arenas and other event venues. This business was divested in December 2013. We may in the future be required to divest other parts of our business. Divestment of businesses in this fashion could result in the loss of operational capacity and goodwill, which could materially and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Despite our best efforts, we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions or investments we make, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Organizational restructuring and rebranding may materially and adversely impact our results of operations.

We have recently realigned our organizational structure to operate as a single, unified company rather than as a group of individual businesses and have reorganized our management team and our operational activities by function (e.g., engineering, sales, marketing, customer service and information technology). These restructuring efforts may not prove successful, and could result in the material adverse impairment of our results of operations.

We have implemented a new corporate identity using the word mark and logo “Identiv” in place of “Identive Group” and are in the process of launching new product branding to the marketplace. Changing our corporate and product branding could materially and adversely impair the brand recognition on which a significant amount of our business depends.

Our business and reputation may be impacted by information technology system failures or network disruptions.

We may be subject to information technology system failures and network disruptions. These may be caused by natural disasters, accidents, power disruptions, telecommunications failures, acts of terrorism or war, computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins, or other events or disruptions. System redundancy may be ineffective or inadequate, and our disaster recovery planning may not be sufficient for all eventualities. Such failures or disruptions could compromise company or customer data, and result in delayed or cancelled orders. System failures and disruptions could also impede the manufacturing and shipping of products, delivery of online services, transactions processing and financial reporting.

Our success depends largely on the continued service and availability of key personnel.

Much of our future success depends on the continued availability and service of key personnel, including our chief executive officer, executive team and other highly skilled employees. Experienced personnel in the technology industry are in high demand and competition for their talents is intense, especially in Silicon Valley, where most of our key personnel are located.

Our business could be adversely affected by reductions or delays in the purchase of our products or services for government security programs in the United States and other countries.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from indirect sales to U.S. federal, state and local governments and government agencies, as well as from subcontracts under federal government prime contracts. Large government programs are an important market for our business, as high-security systems employing physical access, smart card, RFID or other access control technologies are increasingly used to enable applications ranging from authorizing building and network access for federal employees to paying taxes online, to citizen identification, to receiving health care. We believe that the success and growth of our business will continue to be influenced by our successful procurement of government business either directly or through our indirect sales channels. Accordingly, changes in government purchasing policies or government budgetary constraints could directly affect our financial performance. Sales to government agencies and customers primarily serving the U.S. Government, including further sales pursuant to existing contracts, may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, such as the sequester, the October 2013 federal government shutdown or other Congressional actions to reduce federal spending, and by adverse economic, political or market conditions. A reduction in current or future anticipated sales to the U.S. Government sector could harm our results of operations.

Additionally, we anticipate that an increasingly significant portion of our future revenues will come from government programs outside the U.S., such as electronic national identity, eGovernment and eHealth. We currently supply smart card readers, RFID products and cloud-based credential provisioning and management solutions for various government programs in Europe, Asia and Australia and are actively targeting additional programs in these and other areas. However, the allocation and availability of budgets for such programs are often impacted by economic or political factors over which we have no control, and which may cause delays in program implementation, which could negatively impact our sales and results of operations.

Our revenues may decline if we cannot compete successfully in an intensely competitive market.

We target our products at the rapidly evolving market for security technologies. Many of our current and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, purchasing and other resources than we do. As a result, our competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies or standards and to changes in customer requirements. Our competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of products or solutions and may be able to deliver competitive products or solutions at a lower end user price.

We also experience indirect competition from certain of our customers who currently offer alternative products or solutions or are expected to introduce competitive offerings in the future. For example, in our physical access control business, many of our dealer channel partners act as system integrators, providing installation and service, and therefore carry competitive lines of products and systems. This is a common practice within the industry as the integrators need access to multiple lines in order to support all potential service and user requirements. Depending on the technical competence of their sales forces, comfort level of their technical staff with our systems and price pressure from customers, these integrators may choose to offer a competitive system. There is also business pressure to provide some level of sales to all vendors to maintain access to a range of products and systems.

We believe that the principal competitive factors affecting the markets for our products and solutions include:

- the extent to which products and systems must support evolving industry standards and provide interoperability;
 - the extent to which products are differentiated based on technical features, quality and reliability, ease of use, strength of distribution channels and price;
 - the ability to quickly develop new products and solutions to satisfy new market and customer requirements; and
 - the total cost of ownership including installation, maintenance and expansion capability of systems.
- Increased competition and increased market volatility in our industry could result in lower prices, reduced margins or the failure of our products and services to achieve or maintain market acceptance, any of which could have a serious adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business will not be successful if we do not keep up with the rapid changes in our industry.

The market for security products and related services is characterized by rapid technological developments, frequent new product introductions and evolving industry standards. To be competitive, we have to continually improve the performance, features and reliability of our products and services, particularly in response to competitive offerings, and quickly demonstrate the value of new products and services or enhancements to existing products and services. Our failure to develop and introduce new products and services successfully on a timely basis and to achieve market acceptance for such products and services could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our increasing focus on cloud-based services presents execution and competitive risks.

An important component of our growth strategy involves the sale of our idOnDemand cloud-based services to deliver identity credential provisioning and management solutions. The market for cloud-based credentialing solutions is at an early stage of development. Customer knowledge of, and trust in the cloud-based delivery of credentialing solutions greatly depends upon suppliers' ability to demonstrate the value, security and reliability of their offerings compared both to competitive services and to traditional models of management identity credentials. We believe our expertise in cloud-based service delivery, our broad experience with relevant security standards and technologies and our investments in infrastructure provide us with a strong foundation to compete. However, if we are not able to demonstrate sufficient security and reliability, as well as differentiated value of our cloud-based solutions to potential customers, our revenue and gross profit margins could fail to grow.

Currently, our idOnDemand cloud-based services contribute a small but growing component of our overall revenue. As this component of our business grows, we will recognize an increasing portion of our revenues over the period of service subscription, rather than at the time of sale. To accelerate growth, we have made, and expect to continue to make significant investments to develop, sell and deploy our cloud-based service capabilities. These investments are focused on software development, on expanding and maintaining the secure infrastructure to support our cloud computing services, and on developing sales and distribution channels for our idOnDemand offering. If our investments outpace our revenue growth in cloud services, our operating results will be adversely affected.

Security breaches, whether or not due to our products, could result in the disclosure of sensitive government information or private personal information that could result in the loss of clients and negative publicity.

Many of the systems we sell manage private personal information or protect sensitive information related to our customers in the government or commercial markets. A well-publicized actual or perceived breach of network or computer security in one of these systems, regardless of whether such a breach is attributable to our products, could adversely affect the market's perception of us and our products, and could result in the loss of customers, have adverse effect on our reputation and reduce demand for our products.

As part of our technical support services, we agree, from time to time, to possess all or a portion of the security system database of our customers. This service is subject to a number of risks. For example, despite our security measures our systems may be vulnerable to cyber attacks by hackers, physical break-ins and service disruptions that could lead to interruptions, delays or loss of data. If any such compromise of our security were to occur, it could be very expensive to correct, could damage our reputation and could discourage potential customers from using our services. Although we have not experienced attempted cyber or physical attacks, we may experience such attempts in the future. Our systems also may be affected by outages, delays and other difficulties. Our insurance coverage may be insufficient to cover losses and liabilities that may result from such events.

Sales of our products could decline and we could be subject to legal claims for damages if our products are found to have defects.

Despite our testing efforts, our products may contain defects that are not detected until after the products have been shipped. The discovery of defects or potential defects may result in damage to our reputation, delays in market acceptance of our products and additional expenditures to resolve issues related to the products' implementation. If we are unable to provide a solution to actual or potential product defects that is acceptable to our customers, we may be required to incur substantial costs for product recall, repair and replacement, or costs related to legal or warranty claims made against us.

The global nature of our business exposes us to operational and financial risks and our results could be adversely affected if we are unable to manage them effectively.

We market and sell our products and solutions to customers in many countries around the world. To support our global sales, customer base and product development activities, we maintain company offices and/or business operations in several locations around the world, including Australia, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Japan, Singapore and the U.S. We also maintain manufacturing facilities in Germany, Singapore and California and manage contract manufacturers in multiple countries outside the U.S. Managing our global development, sales, administrative and manufacturing operations places a significant burden on our management resources and our financial processes and exposes us to various risks, including:

- longer accounts receivable collection cycles;
- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

- unexpected changes in foreign laws and regulatory requirements;
- changes in political or economic conditions and stability, particularly in emerging markets;
- difficulties managing widespread sales and manufacturing operations;
- export controls;
- less effective protection of our intellectual property; and
- potentially adverse tax consequences.

Any failure to effectively mitigate these risks and effectively manage our global operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

A significant portion of our sales is made through an indirect sales channel, and the loss of dealers, systems integrators, resellers, or other channel partners could result in decreased revenue.

We currently use an indirect sales channel that includes dealers, systems integrators, value added resellers and resellers to sell a significant portion of our products and solutions, primarily into markets or customers where the channel partner may have closer relationships or greater access than we do. Some of these channel partners also sell our competitors' products, and if they favor our competitors' products for any reason, they may fail to market our products as effectively or to devote resources necessary to provide effective sales, which would cause our sales to suffer. Indirect selling arrangements are intended to benefit both us and the channel partner, and may be long- or short-term relationships, depending on market conditions, competition in the marketplace and other factors. If we are unable to maintain effective indirect sales channels, there could be a reduction in the amount of product we are able to sell, and our revenues could decrease.

We depend upon third-party manufacturers and a limited number of suppliers, and if we experience disruptions in our supply chain or manufacturing, our business may suffer.

We rely upon a limited number of suppliers for some key components of our products, and this exposes us to various risks, including whether or not our suppliers will provide adequate quantities with sufficient quality on a timely basis, and the risk that supplier pricing may be higher than anticipated. In addition, some of the basic components used in some of our products, such as semiconductors, may at any time be in great demand. This could result in components not being available to us in a timely manner or at all, particularly if larger companies have ordered more significant volumes of those components, or in higher prices being charged for components. Disruption or termination of the supply of components or software used in our products could delay shipments of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results and could also damage relationships with current and prospective customers.

Many of our products are manufactured outside the U.S. by contract manufacturers. Our reliance on foreign manufacturing poses a number of risks, including lack of control over the manufacturing process and ultimately over the quality and timing of delivery of our products. If any of our contract manufacturers cannot meet our production requirements, we may be required to rely on other contract manufacturing sources or identify and qualify new contract manufacturers, and we may not be able to do this in a timely manner or on reasonable terms. Additionally, we may be subject to currency fluctuations, potentially adverse tax consequences, unexpected changes in regulatory requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers, export controls, or political and economic instability. Any significant delay in our ability to obtain adequate supplies of our products from our current or alternative manufacturers would materially and adversely affect our business and operating results. In addition, if we are not successful at managing the contract manufacturing process, the quality of our products could be jeopardized or inventories could be too low or too high, which could result in damage to our reputation with our customers and in the marketplace, as well as possible write-offs of excess inventory.

Our U.S. Government business depends upon the continuance of regulations that require federal agencies to implement security systems such as ours, and upon our ability to receive certain government approvals or certifications and demonstrate compliance in government audits or investigations.

While we are not able to quantify the amount of sales made to end customers in the U.S. Government market due to the indirect nature of our selling process, we believe that orders for U.S. Government agencies represent a significant portion of our revenues. The U.S. Government, suppliers to the U.S. Government and certain industries in the public sector currently fall, or may in the future fall, under particular regulations that require federal agencies to implement security systems that utilize physical and logical access control products and solutions such as ours. These regulations include, but are not limited to, Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD) 12 and Federal Information

Processing Standards (FIPS) 201 produced by National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Discontinuance of, changes in, or lack of adoption of laws or regulations pertaining to security could adversely affect our sales.

Our U.S. Government business also is dependent upon receipt of certain governmental approvals or certifications, and failure to receive such approvals or certifications could have a material adverse effect on our sales in those market segments for which such approvals or certifications are customary or required. Government agencies in the U.S. and other countries may audit our business as part of their routine audits and investigations of government orders. Based on the outcome of any such audit, if any of our costs are found to be improperly allocated to a specific order, those costs may not be reimbursed and any costs already reimbursed for such order may have to be refunded. If a government agency audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions. A negative audit could materially affect our competitive position and result in a material adverse impact to our financial results or statements of operations.

Fluctuations in the foreign exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other major currencies in which we do business may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A significant portion of our business is conducted in foreign currencies, principally the euro. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar will continue to cause currency exchange gains and losses in our reported results. If a significant portion of operating expenses are incurred in a foreign currency such as the euro, and revenues are generated in U.S. dollars, exchange rate fluctuations might have a positive or negative net financial impact on these transactions, depending on whether the U.S. dollar devalues or revalues compared to the euro. In addition, the valuation of current assets and liabilities that are denominated in a currency other than the functional currency can result in currency exchange gains and losses. For example, when one of our subsidiaries uses the euro as the functional currency, and this subsidiary has a receivable in U.S. dollars, a devaluation of the U.S. dollar against the euro of 10% would result in a foreign exchange loss of the reporting entity of 10% of the value of the underlying U.S. dollar receivable. We cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future quarterly and annual operating results. The effect of currency exchange rate changes may increase or decrease our costs and/or revenues in any given quarter, and we may experience currency losses in the future. To date, we have not adopted a hedging program to protect against risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights, which could make us less competitive and cause us to lose market share.

Our future success will depend, in part, upon our intellectual property rights and our ability to protect these rights. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions to establish, maintain and protect our proprietary rights. From time to time we may be required to use litigation to protect our proprietary technology. This may result in our incurring substantial costs and we may not be successful in any such litigation. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized third parties may copy aspects of our products, obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary, or infringe upon our patents. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary and intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S. Because many of our products are sold and a significant portion of our business is conducted outside the U.S., our exposure to intellectual property risks may be higher. Our means of protecting our proprietary and intellectual property rights may not be adequate. Additionally, there is a risk that our competitors will independently develop similar technology or duplicate our products or design around patents or other intellectual property rights. If we are unsuccessful in protecting our intellectual property or our products or technologies are duplicated by others, our competitive position could be harmed and we could lose market share.

We face risks from future claims of third parties and litigation, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

From time to time, we may be subject to claims of third parties, possibly resulting in litigation, which could include, among other things, claims regarding infringement of the intellectual property rights of third parties, product defects, employment-related claims, and claims related to acquisitions, dispositions or restructurings. Addressing any such claims or litigation may be time-consuming and costly, divert management resources, cause product shipment delays, require us to redesign our products, require us to accept returns of products and to write-off inventory, or have other adverse effects on our business. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and could require us to pay significant monetary damages.

We expect the likelihood of intellectual property infringement and misappropriation claims may increase as the number of products and competitors in the security market grows and as we increasingly incorporate third-party technology into our products. As a result of infringement claims, we could be required to license intellectual property from a third party or redesign our products. Licenses may not be offered when we need them or on acceptable terms.

If we do obtain licenses from third parties, we may be required to pay license fees or royalty payments or we may be required to license some of our intellectual property to others in return for such licenses. If we are unable to obtain a license that is necessary for us or our third-party manufacturers to manufacture our allegedly infringing products, we could be required to suspend the manufacture of products or stop our suppliers from using processes that may infringe the rights of third parties. We may also be unsuccessful in redesigning our products. Our suppliers and customers may be subject to infringement claims based on intellectual property included in our products. We have historically agreed to indemnify our suppliers and customers for patent infringement claims relating to our products. The scope of this indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorney's fees. We may periodically engage in litigation as a result of these indemnification obligations. Our insurance policies exclude coverage for third-party claims for patent infringement.

A material impairment in the carrying value of goodwill, intangible assets or other long-lived assets could negatively affect our consolidated operating results and net worth.

A significant portion of our assets consists of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets relating to acquisitions. We review goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets on an annual basis and whenever events and changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If the asset is considered impaired, it is reduced to its fair value, resulting in a non-cash charge to earnings during the period in which any impairment is determined. In 2013, the carrying value of goodwill and long-lived assets was determined to be impaired and we recorded impairment charges of \$15.8 million to goodwill and long-lived assets, excluding the impairment charge of \$11.8 million related to discontinued operations. In 2012, the carrying value of goodwill and long-lived assets was determined to be impaired and we recorded impairment charges of \$30.4 million to goodwill and long-lived assets, excluding the impairment charge of \$21.5 million related to discontinued operations. These impairment charges results in material reductions to our operating results and stockholders' equity.

Our stock price has been and is likely to remain volatile.

Over the past few years, the NASDAQ Stock Market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the market prices of the stocks of technology companies. Volatility in our stock price on either or both exchanges may result from a number of factors, including, among others:

- low volumes of trading activity in our stock;
- technical trading patterns of our stock;
- variations in our or our competitors' financial and/or operational results;
- the fluctuation in market value of comparable companies in any of our markets;
- expected or announced news about partner relationships, customer wins or losses, product announcements or organizational changes ;
- comments and forecasts by securities analysts;
- the inclusion or removal of our stock from market indices, such as groups of technology stocks or other indices;
- litigation developments; and
- general market downturns.

In the past, companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their stock have been the object of securities class action litigation. If we were the object of securities class action litigation, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

If we fail to comply with the listing requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market, the price of our common stock and our ability to access the capital markets could be negatively impacted.

Our common stock currently is listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market. There are a number of continuing requirements that must be met in order for our common stock to remain listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market, and the failure to meet these listing standards could result in the delisting of our common stock from NASDAQ. On June 11, 2013, we received notification from NASDAQ that the Company no longer met the requirement for continued listing under NASDAQ's listing rules because the minimum bid price of our common stock was below \$1.00 over a period of 30 consecutive trading days. The 180-day compliance period allowed to us in which to regain compliance with the minimum bid requirement ended December 9, 2013, and we were granted a 180-day extension, or until June 9, 2014, in which to regain compliance. While we believe our recent structural changes and current focused strategy will allow us to achieve improved financial performance and that this will increase our stock price and allow us to regain compliance within the second 180-day period allowed, we cannot guarantee that this will occur, and we may be required to take action to improve the price of our common stock, for example we are seeking approval from our

shareholders' in the 2014 annual meeting for a reverse stock split. If our common stock ceases to be listed for trading on NASDAQ for any reason, it may harm our stock price, increase the volatility of our stock price, decrease the level of trading activity and affect our ability to access the capital markets.

You may experience dilution of your ownership interests due to the future issuance of additional shares of our stock, and future sales of shares of our common stock could have an adverse effect on our stock price.

We have issued a significant number of shares of our common stock, together with warrants to purchase shares of our common stock, in connection with a number of financing transactions and acquisitions in recent years. In November 2010, in a private placement, we issued 4.1 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 4.1 shares of common stock. In May

2011, in a public offering, we issued 7.8 million shares of common stock. In April 2013 we initially issued 2.0 million shares of common stock in a private transaction with Lincoln Park Capital Fund, LLC (“LPC”), and entered into an agreement with LPC that enables us to sell up to \$18 million of additional shares of common stock in the future. Subsequent to the initial purchase, we issued additional 5.8 million shares of common stock to LPC through March 31, 2014. In August 2013, in a private placement, we issued 9.3 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 9.3 shares of common stock. In August 2013, we issued warrants to purchase 1.0 million shares of common stock in connection with the third amendment to the Loan Agreement with Hercules. Additionally, as of March 31, 2014, we issued warrants to purchase 1.0 million shares of common stock in connection with entering into the Credit Agreement with Opus. In the future, from time to time we also may issue additional previously authorized and unissued securities, resulting in the dilution of the ownership interests of our current stockholders.

In addition, we have reserved shares of common stock for various incentive plans under which equity may be issued, acquisitions made in the past and capital raise. As of March 31, 2014, 11.6 million shares of common stock are reserved for future grants and outstanding equity awards under our various equity incentive plans and an additional 12.0 million shares of common stock are reserved for future issuance in connection with other commitments, including the potential sale of shares to LPC and issuance of shares for contingent consideration. We may issue additional shares of common stock or other securities that are convertible into or exercisable for shares of common stock in connection with the hiring of personnel, future acquisitions, future private placements, or future public offerings of our securities for capital raising or for other business purposes. If we issue additional securities, the aggregate percentage ownership of our existing stockholders will be reduced. In addition, any new securities that we issue may have rights senior to those of our common stock.

The issuance of additional shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities, or the perception that such issuances could occur, may create downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock.

One of our directors indirectly holds significant amounts of our common stock and could have significant influence over the outcome of corporate actions requiring board and stockholder approval.

As of May 2, 2014, Mountain Partners AG, together with its affiliates (collectively “Mountain Partners”), had the right to vote 11% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Daniel Wenzel, a director of our Company, is a co-founder of Mountain Partners. As of May 2, 2014, the directors and officers of Identiv collectively held 4% of our common stock. Accordingly, our directors and officers could have influence over the outcome of corporate actions requiring Board and stockholder approval, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets or any other significant corporate transaction.

If current or future export laws limit or otherwise restrict our business, we could be prohibited from shipping our products to certain countries, which could cause our business, financial condition and results of operations to suffer.

Some of our products are subject to export controls or other laws restricting the sale of our products under the laws of the United States, the European Union (EU) and other governments. The export regimes and the governing policies applicable to our business are subject to changes. We cannot be certain that such export authorizations will be available to us or for our products in the future. In some cases, we rely upon the compliance activities of our prime contractors, and we cannot be certain they have taken or will take all measures necessary to comply with applicable export laws. If we or our prime contractor partners cannot obtain required government approvals under applicable regulations, we may not be able to sell our products in certain international jurisdictions.

Changes in tax laws or the interpretation thereof, adverse tax audits and other tax matters may adversely affect our future results.

A number of factors may impact our tax position, including:

- the jurisdictions in which profits are determined to be earned and taxed;
- the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities;
- changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities;
- adjustments to estimated taxes upon finalization of various tax returns;
- increases in expenses not deductible for tax purposes; and
- the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings for which we have not previously provided for U.S. taxes.

Any of these factors could make it more difficult for us to project or achieve expected tax results. An increase or decrease in our tax liabilities due to these or other factors could adversely affect our financial results in future periods.

If we fail to maintain adequate internal controls over financial reporting, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, our management must establish, maintain and make certain assessments and certifications regarding our disclosure controls and internal controls over financial reporting. We have dedicated significant resources to comply with these requirements, including significant actions to develop, evaluate, and test our internal controls. A failure to maintain adequate internal controls could result in inaccurate or late reporting of our financial results, an investigation by regulatory authorities, a loss of investor confidence, a decrease in the trading price of our common stock and exposure to costly litigation or regulatory proceedings.

As described in Controls and Procedures in Part I, Item 4 of this report, in connection with the audit of our financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013, we identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting, arising from an insufficient number of accounting personnel with appropriate knowledge, experience or training in U.S. GAAP. While we expect to complete the implementation of remediation measures and remediate our existing material weakness during the current fiscal year, there can be no assurance that such remediation efforts will be successful or that our internal control over financial reporting will be effective as a result of these efforts. In addition, we may in the future identify additional internal control deficiencies that could rise to the level of a material weakness or uncover errors in financial reporting.

The effects of new regulations relating to conflict minerals may adversely affect our business.

The SEC has adopted disclosure and reporting rules intended to improve transparency and accountability concerning the supply of certain minerals, known as conflict minerals, originating from the Democratic Republic of Congo (“DRC”) and adjoining countries. These rules require us to determine the origin of certain materials used in our products and, by no later than May 31, 2014, disclose whether we use any materials containing conflict minerals originating from the DRC and adjoining countries. If it is determined that our products contain or use any conflict minerals from the DRC or adjoining countries, additional requirements will be triggered. Compliance with conflict mineral disclosure requirements may result in increased costs of regulatory compliance, potential risks to our reputation, difficulty satisfying any customers that insist on conflict-free products and harm to our business.

Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law may delay or prevent our acquisition by another company, which could decrease the value of your shares.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law contain provisions that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us or enter into a material transaction with us without the consent of our Board. These provisions include a classified Board and limitations on actions by our stockholders by written consent. Delaware law imposes some restrictions on mergers and other business combinations between us and any holder of 15% or more of our outstanding common stock. In addition, our Board has the right to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer. These provisions will apply even if the offer were to be considered adequate by some of our stockholders. Because these provisions may be deemed to discourage a change of control, they may delay or prevent the acquisition of our Company, which could decrease the value of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On March 13, 2014, we granted 500,000 restricted stock units and options to purchase 3,000,000 shares of our common stock as an inducement grant to Jason Hart, our chief executive officer, in connection with entering into an

employment agreement. The restricted stock units will vest 25 percent after one year, with remaining shares vesting over three years in 12 equal quarterly installments. The stock options have an exercise price per share of \$0.88, the closing price of the company's common stock on the date of grant, and vest over a four-year period, with 25 percent vesting after one year with monthly vesting over the subsequent three years.

We did not receive any proceeds in connection with the issuance of the inducement awards to Mr. Hart. The inducement awards were issued pursuant to an exemption from registration provided under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits are listed on the Exhibit Index at the end of this Quarterly Report. The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K, listed on such Index in response to this Item, are incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

IDENTIVE GROUP, INC.

May 15, 2014 By: /S/ JASON HART
Jason Hart
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer and Director)

May 15, 2014 By: /S/ BRIAN NELSON
Brian Nelson
Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
(Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT |
|-------------------|---|
| 4.1 | Registration Rights Agreement between Identive Group, Inc. and Opus Bank dated March 31, 2014. (Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 4, 2014.) |
| 4.2 | Warrant issued to Opus Bank, dated March 31, 2014. (Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration Number 333-195931), filed on May 13, 2014.) |
| 10.1 | Executive Employment Agreement between Identive Group, Inc. and Jason Hart, dated March 13, 2014. (Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 19, 2014.) |
| 10.2 | Executive Employment Agreement between Identive Group, Inc. and Lawrence Midland, dated March 18, 2014. (Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 19, 2014.) |
| 10.3 | Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between Identive Group, Inc. and Manfred Mueller, dated March 18, 2014. (Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 19, 2014.) |
| 10.4 | Credit Agreement between Identive Group, Inc. and Opus Bank dated March 31, 2014. (Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 4, 2014.) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS * | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

* Pursuant to Rule 401T of Regulation S-T, the interactive files on Exhibit 101 are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement of prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.