

ARROW ELECTRONICS INC  
Form 10-Q  
October 26, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

For the quarterly period ended October 1, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-4482

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

11-1806155  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

50 Marcus Drive, Melville, New York  
(Address of principal executive offices)

11747  
(Zip Code)

(631) 847-2000  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

No Changes  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Edgar Filing: ARROW ELECTRONICS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

There were 111,732,608 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of October 21, 2011.

---

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

INDEX

	Page
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>4</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>5</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>24</u>
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>32</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>33</u>
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>34</u>
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>34</u>
Item 6. Exhibits	<u>35</u>
Signature	<u>36</u>

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Sales	\$5,186,857	\$4,657,841	\$15,949,791	\$13,506,514
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	4,475,718	4,049,047	13,745,997	11,771,311
Selling, general and administrative expenses	467,325	390,727	1,422,835	1,133,352
Depreciation and amortization	25,804	19,210	74,748	55,447
Restructuring, integration, and other charges	8,848	14,338	23,676	27,424
Settlement of legal matter	—	—	5,875	—
	4,977,695	4,473,322	15,273,131	12,987,534
Operating income	209,162	184,519	676,660	518,980
Equity in earnings of affiliated companies	2,179	1,633	4,800	4,566
Gain on bargain purchase	—	—	1,755	—
Loss on prepayment of debt	—	—	—	1,570
Interest and other financing expense, net	25,225	18,921	77,528	57,362
Income before income taxes	186,116	167,231	605,687	464,614
Provision for income taxes	53,738	48,729	180,501	142,878
Consolidated net income	132,378	118,502	425,186	321,736
Noncontrolling interests	162	—	464	(5
Net income attributable to shareholders	\$132,216	\$118,502	\$424,722	\$321,741
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$1.17	\$1.01	\$3.70	\$2.71
Diluted	\$1.15	\$1.00	\$3.64	\$2.68
Average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	113,378	116,958	114,680	118,813
Diluted	114,940	118,235	116,557	120,270

See accompanying notes.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands except par value)

	October 1, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$544,566	\$926,321
Accounts receivable, net	4,174,050	4,102,870
Inventories	2,193,792	1,908,953
Other current assets	189,265	147,690
Total current assets	7,101,673	7,085,834
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land	23,776	24,213
Buildings and improvements	143,658	136,732
Machinery and equipment	922,188	863,773
	1,089,622	1,024,718
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(538,908	) (519,178
Property, plant and equipment, net	550,714	505,540
Investments in affiliated companies	59,095	59,455
Cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired	1,470,600	1,336,351
Other assets	686,645	613,358
Total assets	\$9,868,727	\$9,600,538
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$3,235,394	\$3,644,988
Accrued expenses	634,554	637,045
Short-term borrowings, including current portion of long-term debt	431,635	61,210
Total current liabilities	4,301,583	4,343,243
Long-term debt	1,791,714	1,761,203
Other liabilities	238,500	244,897
Equity:		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$1:		
Authorized - 160,000 shares in 2011 and 2010		
Issued - 125,382 and 125,337 shares in 2011 and 2010, respectively	125,382	125,337
Capital in excess of par value	1,070,783	1,063,461
Treasury stock (13,651 and 10,690 shares in 2011 and 2010, respectively), at cost	(437,513	) (318,494
Retained earnings	2,598,869	2,174,147
Foreign currency translation adjustment	187,633	207,914
Other	(14,626	) (1,170
Total shareholders' equity	3,530,528	3,251,195
Noncontrolling interests	6,402	—
Total equity	3,536,930	3,251,195
Total liabilities and equity	\$9,868,727	\$9,600,538

See accompanying notes.



ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Consolidated net income	\$425,186	\$321,736
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash used for operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	74,748	55,447
Amortization of stock-based compensation	30,280	24,992
Amortization of deferred financing costs and discount on notes	2,332	1,686
Equity in earnings of affiliated companies	(4,800	) (4,566
Deferred income taxes	(478	) 29,027
Restructuring, integration, and other charges	16,831	19,146
Settlement of legal matter	3,609	—
Gain on bargain purchase	(1,078	) —
Loss on prepayment of debt	—	964
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements	(7,521	) (1,740
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquired businesses:		
Accounts receivable	136,451	(351,362
Inventories	(109,633	) (595,588
Accounts payable	(508,391	) 243,797
Accrued expenses	(63,481	) 89,250
Other assets and liabilities	(19,676	) (74,058
Net cash used for operating activities	(25,621	) (241,269
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Cash consideration paid for acquired businesses	(523,330	) (460,001
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	(88,267	) (83,373
Proceeds from sale of properties	—	16,971
Net cash used for investing activities	(611,597	) (526,403
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Change in short-term and other borrowings	391,844	(902
Proceeds from long-term bank borrowings, net	197,000	360,400
Repayment of bank term loan	(200,000	) —
Repayment of senior notes	—	(69,545
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	46,618	3,196
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements	7,521	1,740
Repurchases of common stock	(196,802	) (131,266
Net cash provided by financing activities	246,181	163,623
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	9,282	(23,234
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(381,755	) (627,283
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	926,321	1,137,007
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$544,566	\$509,724

See accompanying notes.





ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

Note A – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Arrow Electronics, Inc. (the "company") were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position and results of operations at and for the periods presented. The consolidated results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

These consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information or notes necessary for a complete presentation and, accordingly, should be read in conjunction with the company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended July 2, 2011 and April 2, 2011, as well as the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2010, as filed in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition

Effective January 1, 2011, the company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements" ("ASU No. 2009-13") and Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-14, "Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements" ("ASU No. 2009-14"). ASU No. 2009-13 amends guidance included within Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 605-25 to require an entity to use an estimated selling price when vendor specific objective evidence or acceptable third party evidence does not exist for any products or services included in a multiple element arrangement. The arrangement consideration should be allocated among the products and services based upon their relative selling prices, thus eliminating the use of the residual method of allocation. ASU No. 2009-13 also requires expanded qualitative and quantitative disclosures regarding significant judgments made and changes in applying this guidance. ASU No. 2009-14 amends guidance included within ASC Topic 985-605 to exclude tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality. Entities that sell joint hardware and software products that meet this scope exception will be required to follow the guidance of ASU No. 2009-13. The adoption of the provisions of ASU No. 2009-13 and ASU No. 2009-14 did not materially impact the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Quarter End

The company operates on a quarterly reporting calendar that closes on the Saturday following the end of the calendar quarter.

Reclassification

Certain prior period amounts were reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Note B – Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs" ("ASU No. 2011-04"), which amends current guidance to result in common fair value measurement and disclosures between accounting principles generally

accepted in the United States and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments explain how to measure fair value. They do not require additional fair value measurements and are not intended to establish valuations standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. The amendments change the wording used to describe fair value measurement requirements and disclosures, but often do not result in a change in the application of current guidance. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The company does not believe that the adoption of the provisions of ASU No. 2011-04 will have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income" ("ASU No. 2011-05"), which improves the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and increases the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income ("OCI") by eliminating the option to present components of OCI as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in this standard require that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

Under either method, an entity is required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from OCI to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of OCI are presented. The amendments in this standard do not change the items that must be reported in OCI, when an item of OCI must be reclassified to net income, or change the option for an entity to present components of OCI gross or net of the effect of income taxes. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-05 are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and are to be applied retrospectively. The adoption of the provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 will not have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08, "Testing Goodwill for Impairment" ("ASU No. 2011-08"), which allows entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU No. 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU No. 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the provisions of ASU No. 2011-08.

#### Note C – Acquisitions

In June 2011, the company announced an agreement to acquire all the assets and operations of the distribution business of Seed International Ltd. ("Seed"). Seed is a value-added distributor of embedded products with 14 offices across China. Seed is primarily focused on Texas Instruments products, and is a technical service provider with digital signal processor specialization. Seed is headquartered in Beijing, China, and has approximately 200 employees, including 100 value-added engineers. Seed's annual sales were approximately \$90,000. The acquisition is subject to customary regulatory approval and is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2011.

The results of operations of the following acquisitions were included in the company's consolidated results from their respective dates of acquisition:

#### 2011 Acquisitions

On March 1, 2011, the company acquired all the assets and operations of the RF, Wireless and Power Division ("RFPD") of Richardson Electronics, Ltd. ("Richardson") for a purchase price of \$235,973. Richardson RFPD is a leading value-added global component distributor and provider of engineered solutions serving the global radio frequency and wireless communications market, with approximately 400 employees. Richardson RFPD's product set includes devices for infrastructure and wireless networks, power management and alternative energy markets.

On January 3, 2011, the company acquired Nu Horizons Electronics Corp. ("Nu Horizons") for a purchase price of \$161,125, which included cash acquired of \$18,085 and debt paid at closing of \$26,375. Nu Horizons is a leading global distributor of advanced technology semiconductor, display, illumination, and power solutions to a wide variety of commercial original equipment manufacturers and electronic manufacturing services providers in the components business. Nu Horizons has sales facilities and logistics centers throughout the world, serving a wide variety of end markets including industrial, military, networking, and data communications, and has over 700 employees globally.

The fair value of the net assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets, relating to the Nu Horizons acquisition was approximately \$162,880, which exceeds the purchase price discussed above of \$161,125. Accordingly, the company recognized the excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over purchase price paid of \$1,755 (\$1,078 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) as a gain on bargain purchase. Prior to recognizing the gain, the company reassessed the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition. The company believes it was able to acquire Nu Horizons for less than the fair value of its net assets due to Nu Horizons' stock trading below its book value for an extended period of time prior to the announcement of the acquisition. The company offered a purchase price per share for Nu Horizons that was above the prevailing stock price thereby representing a premium to the shareholders. The acquisition of Nu Horizons by the company was approved by Nu Horizons' shareholders. The company continues to evaluate the purchase price allocation and may be required to adjust the recorded gain.

Since the dates of the acquisitions, Richardson RFPD and Nu Horizons' sales for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 of \$231,738 and \$661,134, respectively, were included in the company's consolidated results of operations.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

During the first nine months of 2011, the company also acquired Pansystem S.r.l. ("Pansystem"), a distributor of high-performance wire, cable and interconnect products in Italy; Cross Telecom Corporation ("Cross"), a North American service provider of converged and internet protocol technologies and unified communications; the North American IT consulting and professional services division of InScope International, Inc. and INSI Technology Innovation, Inc. (collectively "InScope"), a provider of managed services, enterprise storage management, IT virtualization, disaster recovery, data center migration and consolidation, and cloud computing services; LWP GmbH, ("LWP"), a value-added distributor of computing solutions and services in Germany; and Chip One Stop, Inc. ("C1S"), a supplier of electronic components to design engineers throughout Japan. The impact of these acquisitions were not individually significant to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

The following table summarizes the company's unaudited consolidated results of operations for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, as well as the unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations of the company, as though the Richardson RFPD, Nu Horizons, Pansystem, Cross, InScope, LWP, and C1S acquisitions occurred on January 1:

	Quarter Ended October 1, 2011		Nine Months Ended October 1, 2011	
	As Reported	Pro Forma	As Reported	Pro Forma
Sales	\$5,186,857	\$5,200,002	\$15,949,791	\$16,114,096
Net income attributable to shareholders	132,216	132,128	424,722	428,486
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$1.17	\$1.17	\$3.70	\$3.74
Diluted	\$1.15	\$1.15	\$3.64	\$3.68

The unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations do not purport to be indicative of the results obtained had these acquisitions occurred as of the beginning of 2011, or of those results that may be obtained in the future. Additionally, the above table does not reflect any anticipated cost savings or cross-selling opportunities expected to result from these acquisitions.

## 2010 Acquisitions

On December 16, 2010, the company acquired all of the assets and operations of INT Holdings, LLC, doing business as Intechra ("Intechra"), which provides fully customized information technology asset disposition services to many Fortune 1000 customers throughout the world. Intechra's product offerings include legislative compliance, data security and destruction, risk management, redeployment, remarketing, lease return, logistics management, and environmentally responsible recycling of all types of information technology.

On September 8, 2010, the company acquired Shared Technologies Inc. ("Shared"), which sells, installs, and maintains communications equipment in North America, including the latest in unified communications, voice and data technologies, contact center, network security, and traditional telephony.

On June 1, 2010, the company acquired PCG Parent Corp., doing business as Converge ("Converge"), a global provider of reverse logistics services in the Americas, Europe, and the Asia Pacific region.

During 2010, the company also acquired Verical Incorporated ("Verical"), an e-commerce business geared towards meeting the end-of-life components and parts shortage needs of customers; Sphinx Group Limited ("Sphinx"), a United Kingdom-based value-added distributor of security and networking products; Transim Technology Corporation ("Transim"), a leading service provider of online component design and engineering solutions for technology manufacturers; Eshel Technology Group, Inc. ("Eshel"), a leading solid-state lighting distributor and value-added service provider; and Diasa Informática, S.A. ("Diasa"), a leading European value-added distributor of servers, storage, software, and networking products in Spain and Portugal. The impacts of these acquisitions were not individually significant to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

## ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the company's unaudited consolidated results of operations for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, as well as the unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations of the company, as though the Richardson RFPD, Nu Horizons, Pansystem, Cross, InScope, LWP, C1S, Intechra, Shared, Converge, Verical, Sphinx, Transim, Eshel, and Diasa acquisitions occurred on January 1:

	Quarter Ended October 2, 2010		Nine Months Ended October 2, 2010	
	As Reported	Pro Forma	As Reported	Pro Forma
Sales	\$4,657,841	\$5,077,977	\$13,506,514	\$15,025,717
Net income attributable to shareholders	118,502	126,422	321,741	346,620
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$1.01	\$1.08	\$2.71	\$2.92
Diluted	\$1.00	\$1.07	\$2.68	\$2.88

The unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations do not purport to be indicative of the results obtained had these acquisitions occurred as of the beginning of 2010, or of those results that may be obtained in the future. Additionally, the above table does not reflect any anticipated cost savings or cross-selling opportunities expected to result from these acquisitions.

## Other

Amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets was \$9,268 and \$25,431 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 and \$5,342 and \$14,636 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively.

In March 2010, the company made a payment of \$3,060 to increase its ownership in a majority-owned subsidiary. The payment was recorded as a reduction to capital in excess of par value, partially offset by the carrying value of the noncontrolling interest.

## Note D – Cost in Excess of Net Assets of Companies Acquired

Cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired, allocated to the company's business segments, is as follows:

	Global Components	Global ECS	Total
December 31, 2010	\$670,871	\$665,480	\$1,336,351
Acquisitions	84,893	50,685	135,578
Other (primarily foreign currency translation)	(1,407	) 78	(1,329
October 1, 2011	\$754,357	\$716,243	\$1,470,600

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The company tests goodwill for impairment annually as of the first day of the fourth quarter, or more frequently if indicators of potential impairment exist.

During the third quarter of 2011, due to a temporary decline in the company's market capitalization below the company's carrying value of its net assets, the company performed an interim impairment test of goodwill. The first

step of the impairment test requires the identification of the company's reporting units and comparison of the fair values of each of these reporting units to their respective carrying values. Based upon the results of this step-one analysis, no indicators of impairment existed as the fair values of each of the company's reporting units were higher than their carrying values and, therefore, a step-two analysis was not required. For purposes of this analysis, the company estimated the fair value for each reporting unit utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology. The assumptions included in the discounted cash flow methodology included forecasted revenues, gross profit margins, operating income margins, working capital cash flow, perpetual growth rates, and long-term discount rates, among others, all of which require significant judgments by management. Subsequently, the company's market capitalization recovered such that it was in excess of the carrying value of its net assets.



ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
 (Unaudited)

During the third quarter of 2011, the company also tested indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment by comparing the fair value of these assets to their respective carrying values. Based upon the results of such tests, the company's indefinite-lived intangible assets were not impaired.

Note E – Investments in Affiliated Companies

The company owns a 50% interest in several joint ventures with Marubun Corporation (collectively "Marubun/Arrow") and a 50% interest in Arrow Altech Holdings (Pty.) Ltd. ("Altech Industries"), a joint venture with Allied Technologies Limited. These investments are accounted for using the equity method.

The following table presents the company's investment in Marubun/Arrow and the company's investment and long-term note receivable in Altech Industries:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Marubun/Arrow	\$44,421	\$41,971
Altech Industries	14,674	17,484
	\$59,095	\$59,455

The equity in earnings of affiliated companies consists of the following:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Marubun/Arrow	\$1,686	\$1,262	\$3,678	\$3,739
Altech Industries	493	371	1,122	827
	\$2,179	\$1,633	\$4,800	\$4,566

Under the terms of various joint venture agreements, the company is required to pay its pro-rata share of the third party debt of the joint ventures in the event that the joint ventures are unable to meet their obligations. At October 1, 2011, the company's pro-rata share of this debt was approximately \$12,450. The company believes that there is sufficient equity in each of the joint ventures to meet their obligations.

Note F – Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable, net, consists of the following:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Accounts receivable	\$4,223,027	\$4,140,868
Allowances for doubtful accounts	(48,977)	(37,998)
Accounts receivable, net	\$4,174,050	\$4,102,870

The company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. The allowances for doubtful accounts are determined using a combination of

factors, including the length of time the receivables are outstanding, the current business environment, and historical experience.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

Note G – Debt

Short-term borrowings, including current portion of long-term debt, consists of the following:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Asset securitization program	\$400,000	\$—
Short-term borrowings in various countries	31,635	61,210
	\$431,635	\$61,210

Short-term borrowings in various countries are primarily utilized to support the working capital requirements of certain international operations. The weighted average interest rates on these borrowings at October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were 3.7% and 1.9%, respectively.

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Revolving credit facility	\$197,000	\$—
Bank term loan, due 2012	—	200,000
6.875% senior notes, due 2013	362,086	349,833
3.375% notes, due 2015	261,143	249,155
6.875% senior debentures, due 2018	198,607	198,450
6.00% notes, due 2020	299,925	299,918
5.125% notes, due 2021	249,258	249,199
7.5% senior debentures, due 2027	197,855	197,750
Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges	—	14,082
Other obligations with various interest rates and due dates	25,840	2,816
	\$1,791,714	\$1,761,203

The 7.5% senior debentures are not redeemable prior to their maturity. The 6.875% senior notes, 3.375% notes, 6.875% senior debentures, 6.00% notes, and 5.125% notes may be called at the option of the company subject to "make whole" clauses.

The estimated fair market value, using quoted market prices, is as follows:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
6.875% senior notes, due 2013	\$371,000	\$385,000
3.375% notes, due 2015	255,000	243,000
6.875% senior debentures, due 2018	218,000	218,000
6.00% notes, due 2020	321,000	306,000
5.125% notes, due 2021	247,500	238,000
7.5% senior debentures, due 2027	232,000	204,000

The carrying amount of the company's short-term borrowings in various countries, revolving credit facility, asset securitization program, and other obligations approximate their fair value.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

During the second quarter of 2010, the company sold a property and was required to repay the related collateralized debt with a face amount of \$9,000. For the first nine months of 2010, the company recognized a loss on prepayment of debt of \$1,570 (\$964 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

During the third quarter of 2010, the company repaid the \$69,545 principal amount of its 9.15% senior notes upon maturity.

In August 2011, the company entered into a \$1,200,000 revolving credit facility, maturing in August 2016. This new facility may be used by the company for general corporate purposes including working capital in the ordinary course of business, letters of credit, repayment, prepayment or purchase of long-term indebtedness and acquisitions, and as backup for a commercial paper program, as applicable. This agreement replaces the company's \$800,000 revolving credit facility which was scheduled to expire in January 2012. The company also had a \$200,000 term loan which was due in January 2012. The company repaid the term loan in full in August 2011. Interest on borrowings under the revolving credit facility is calculated using a base rate or a euro currency rate plus a spread based on the company's credit ratings (1.275% at October 1, 2011). The facility fee related to the revolving credit facility is .225%. At October 1, 2011, the company had \$197,000 in outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. There were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2010.

The company has a \$600,000 asset securitization program collateralized by accounts receivable of certain of its United States subsidiaries which expires in April 2012. The asset securitization program is conducted through Arrow Electronics Funding Corporation ("AFC"), a wholly-owned, bankruptcy remote subsidiary. The asset securitization program does not qualify for sale treatment. Accordingly, the accounts receivable and related debt obligation remain on the company's consolidated balance sheets. Interest on borrowings is calculated using a base rate or a commercial paper rate plus a spread, which is based on the company's credit ratings (.50% at October 1, 2011). The facility fee is .50%.

At October 1, 2011, there was \$400,000 outstanding under the asset securitization program, which was included in "Short-term borrowings, including current portion of long-term debt" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, and total collateralized accounts receivable of approximately \$1,322,721 were held by AFC and were included in "Accounts receivable, net" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Any accounts receivable held by AFC would likely not be available to other creditors of the company in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings before repayment of any outstanding borrowings under the asset securitization program. There were no outstanding borrowings under the asset securitization program at December 31, 2010.

Both the revolving credit facility and asset securitization program include terms and conditions that limit the incurrence of additional borrowings, limit the company's ability to pay cash dividends or repurchase stock, and require that certain financial ratios be maintained at designated levels. The company was in compliance with all covenants as of October 1, 2011 and is currently not aware of any events that would cause non-compliance with any covenants in the future.

Interest and other financing expense, net, includes interest income of \$2,224 and \$5,003 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 and \$1,635 and \$3,255 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively.

Note H – Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The company utilizes a fair value hierarchy, which maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value hierarchy has three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

The following table presents assets/(liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis at October 1, 2011:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash equivalents	\$99,064	\$—	\$—	\$99,064
Available-for-sale securities	46,181	—	—	46,181
Interest rate swaps	—	(287	) —	(287
Foreign exchange contracts	—	280	—	280
	\$145,245	\$(7	) \$—	\$145,238

The following table presents assets/(liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2010:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash equivalents	\$254,296	\$282,900	\$—	\$537,196
Available-for-sale securities	68,746	—	—	68,746
Interest rate swaps	—	14,082	—	14,082
Foreign exchange contracts	—	(494	) —	(494
	\$323,042	\$296,488	\$—	\$619,530

#### Available-For-Sale Securities

The company has a 2.0% equity ownership interest in WPG Holdings Co., Ltd. ("WPG") and an 8.4% equity ownership interest in Marubun Corporation ("Marubun"), which are accounted for as available-for-sale securities.

The fair value of the company's available-for-sale securities is as follows:

	October 1, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Marubun	WPG	Marubun	WPG
Cost basis	\$10,016	\$10,798	\$10,016	\$10,798
Unrealized holding gain (loss)	(146	) 25,513	3,726	44,206
Fair value	\$9,870	\$36,311	\$13,742	\$55,004

The company concluded that the decline in its Marubun investment is temporary and, accordingly, has not recognized a loss in the consolidated statements of operations. In making this determination, the company considered its intent and ability to hold the investment until the cost is recovered, the financial condition and near-term prospects of Marubun, the magnitude of the loss compared to the investment's cost, and publicly available information about the industry and geographic region in which Marubun operates. In addition, the fair value of the Marubun investment has been below the cost basis for less than twelve months.

The fair value of these investments are included in "Other assets" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, and the related unrealized holding gains or losses are included in "Other" in the shareholders' equity section in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

#### Derivative Instruments

The company uses various financial instruments, including derivative financial instruments, for purposes other than trading. Derivatives used as part of the company's risk management strategy are designated at inception as hedges and

measured for effectiveness both at inception and on an ongoing basis.



ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
 (Unaudited)

The fair values of derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	Asset/(Liability) Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Derivative instruments designated as hedges:			
Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges	Other assets	\$—	\$14,756
Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges	Other liabilities	—	(674 )
Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges	Other liabilities	(287 )	—
Foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges	Other current assets	120	271
Foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges	Accrued expenses	(541 )	(177 )
Total derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments		(708 )	14,176
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	4,396	1,778
Foreign exchange contracts	Accrued expenses	(3,695 )	(2,366 )
Total derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments		701	(588 )
Total		\$(7 )	\$13,588

The effect of derivative instruments on the consolidated statement of operations is as follows:

	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income			
	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges:				
Foreign exchange contracts (a)	\$510	\$(4,608 )	\$(2,667 )	\$(1,171 )
Total	\$510	\$(4,608 )	\$(2,667 )	\$(1,171 )

	Quarter Ended October 1, 2011			Nine Months Ended October 1, 2011		
	Effective Portion	Ineffective Portion	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income	Effective Portion	Ineffective Portion	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income
Cash Flow Hedges:						
Foreign exchange contracts (b)	\$(1,150 )	\$(116 )	\$—	\$(435 )	\$(77 )	\$—
Interest rate swaps (c)	(287 )	—	—	(287 )	—	—
Total	\$(1,437 )	\$(116 )	\$—	\$(722 )	\$(77 )	\$—



## ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended October 2, 2010			Nine Months Ended October 2, 2010		
	Effective Portion	Ineffective Portion		Effective Portion	Ineffective Portion	
	Gain/(Loss)	Recognized in Gain/(Loss) Other Comprehensive Income	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income	Gain/(Loss)	Recognized in Gain/(Loss) Other Comprehensive Income	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income
Cash Flow Hedges:						
Foreign exchange contracts (b)	\$1,257	\$83	\$—	\$637	\$(7)	\$—
Total	\$1,257	\$83	\$—	\$637	\$(7)	\$—
Net Investment Hedges:						
Cross-currency swaps (c)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$52,158	\$—	\$(91)
Total	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$52,158	\$—	\$(91)

(a) The amount of gain/(loss) recognized in income on derivatives is recorded in "Cost of sales" in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

(b) Both the effective and ineffective portions of any gain/(loss) reclassified or recognized in income are recorded in "Cost of sales" in the company's consolidated statements of operations.

(c) Both the effective and ineffective portions of any gain/(loss) reclassified or recognized in income are recorded in "Interest and other financing expense, net" in the company's consolidated statements of operations.

## Interest Rate Swaps

The company enters into interest rate swap transactions that convert certain fixed-rate debt to variable-rate debt or variable-rate debt to fixed-rate debt in order to manage its targeted mix of fixed- and floating-rate debt. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges is recorded as a change to the carrying value of the related hedged debt, and the effective portion of the change in fair value of interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges is recorded in the shareholders' equity section in the company's consolidated balance sheets in "Other." The ineffective portion of the interest rate swap, if any, is recorded in "Interest and other financing expense, net" in the company's consolidated statements of operations.

In June 2004 and November 2009, the company entered into interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$275,000. The swaps modified the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting a portion of the fixed 6.875% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 4.37% at December 31, 2010), through its maturity. The swaps were classified as fair value hedges and had a fair value of \$14,756 at December 31, 2010. In September 2011, \$275,000 notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements were terminated for proceeds of \$12,203, net of accrued interest. The proceeds of the swap terminations, less accrued interest, were reflected as a premium to the underlying debt and will be amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the underlying debt.

In December 2010, the company entered into interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$250,000. The swaps modified the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 3.375% notes to a floating rate, based on the three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of approximately 1.38% at December 31, 2010), through its maturity. The swaps were classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value

of \$674 at December 31, 2010. In September 2011, \$250,000 notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements were terminated for proceeds of \$11,856, net of accrued interest. The proceeds of the swap terminations, less accrued interest, were reflected as a premium to the underlying debt and will be amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the underlying debt.

In September 2011, the company entered into a ten-year forward-starting interest rate swap (the "2011 swap") locking in a treasury rate of 2.6255% with an aggregate notional amount of \$175,000. This swap manages the risk associated with changes in treasury rates and the impact of future interest payments. The 2011 swap relates to the interest payments for anticipated debt issuances. Such anticipated debt issuances are expected to replace the outstanding debt maturing in July 2013. The 2011 swap is classified as a cash flow hedge and had a negative fair value of \$287 at October 1, 2011.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

### Cross-Currency Swaps

The company occasionally enters into cross-currency swaps to hedge a portion of its net investment in euro-denominated net assets. The company's cross-currency swaps are derivatives designated as net investment hedges. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of derivatives designated as net investment hedges is recorded in "Foreign currency translation adjustment" included in the company's consolidated balance sheets and any ineffective portion is recorded in "Interest and other financing expense, net" in the company's consolidated statements of operations. As the notional amounts of the company's cross-currency swaps are expected to equal a comparable amount of hedged net assets, no material ineffectiveness is expected. The company uses the hypothetical derivative method to assess the effectiveness of its net investment hedges on a quarterly basis.

### Foreign Exchange Contracts

The company enters into foreign exchange forward, option, or swap contracts (collectively, the "foreign exchange contracts") to mitigate the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These contracts are executed to facilitate the hedging of foreign currency exposures resulting from inventory purchases and sales and generally have terms of no more than six months. Gains or losses on these contracts are deferred and recognized when the underlying future purchase or sale is recognized or when the corresponding asset or liability is revalued. The company does not enter into foreign exchange contracts for trading purposes. The risk of loss on a foreign exchange contract is the risk of nonperformance by the counterparties, which the company minimizes by limiting its counterparties to major financial institutions. The fair value of the foreign exchange contracts, which are nominal, are estimated using market quotes. The notional amount of the foreign exchange contracts at October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$370,601 and \$297,868, respectively.

### Other

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, net, and accounts payable approximate their fair value due to the short maturities of these financial instruments.

Cash equivalents consist primarily of overnight time deposits and institutional money market funds with quality financial institutions. These financial institutions are located in many different geographical regions, and the company's policy is designed to limit exposure with any one institution. As part of its cash and risk management processes, the company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of these financial institutions.

### Note I – Restructuring, Integration, and Other Charges

During the third quarters of 2011 and 2010, the company recorded restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$8,848 (\$6,048 net of related taxes or \$.05 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and \$14,338 (\$9,506 net of related taxes or \$.08 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), respectively.

During the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, the company recorded restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$23,676 (\$16,831 net of related taxes or \$.15 and \$.14 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively) and \$27,424 (\$19,146 net of related taxes or \$.16 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), respectively.

The following table presents the components of the restructuring, integration, and other charges:

Edgar Filing: ARROW ELECTRONICS INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Restructuring charges - current period actions	\$6,065	\$8,432	\$14,697	\$19,419
Restructuring and integration charges (credits) - actions taken in prior periods	12	314	(1,354	) 1,407
Acquisition-related expenses	2,771	5,592	10,333	6,598
	\$8,848	\$14,338	\$23,676	\$27,424

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

### 2011 Restructuring Charge

The following table presents the components of the 2011 restructuring charge of \$14,697 and activity in the related restructuring accrual for the first nine months of 2011:

	Personnel Costs	Facilities	Other	Total
Restructuring charge	\$10,943	\$3,240	\$514	\$14,697
Payments	(5,915	) (1,009	) (447	) (7,371
Foreign currency translation	7	—	—	7
October 1, 2011	\$5,035	\$2,231	\$67	\$7,333

The restructuring charge of \$14,697 for the first nine months of 2011 primarily includes personnel costs of \$10,943 and facilities costs of \$3,240. The personnel costs are related to the elimination of approximately 160 positions within the global ECS business segment and approximately 140 positions within the global components business segment. The facilities costs are related to exit activities for 10 vacated facilities in the Americas and Europe due to the company's continued efforts to streamline its operations and reduce real estate costs. These initiatives are due to the company's continued efforts to lower cost and drive operational efficiency, primarily related to the integration of recently acquired businesses.

### 2010 Restructuring Charge

The following table presents the activity in the restructuring accrual for the first nine months of 2011 related to the 2010 restructuring:

	Personnel Costs	Facilities	Other	Total
December 31, 2010	\$2,084	\$1,322	\$974	\$4,380
Restructuring charge (credit)	13	434	(21	) 426
Payments	(1,849	) (1,130	) (1,010	) (3,989
Foreign currency translation	47	(16	) 57	88
October 1, 2011	\$295	\$610	\$—	\$905

### Restructuring and Integration Accruals Related to Actions Taken Prior to 2010

The following table presents the activity in the restructuring and integration accruals for the first nine months of 2011 related to restructuring and integration actions taken prior to 2010:

	Personnel Costs	Facilities	Other	Total
December 31, 2010	\$2,020	\$6,237	\$1,410	\$9,667
Restructuring and integration credits	(517	) (1,162	) (101	) (1,780
Payments	(818	) (2,061	) —	) (2,879
Foreign currency translation	23	104	—	127
October 1, 2011	\$708	\$3,118	\$1,309	\$5,135

Restructuring and Integration Accrual Summary

In summary, the restructuring and integration accruals aggregate \$13,373 at October 1, 2011, all of which is expected to be spent in cash, and are expected to be utilized as follows:

The accruals for personnel costs of \$6,038 to cover the termination of personnel are primarily expected to be spent within one year.



ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
 (Unaudited)

The accruals for facilities totaling \$5,959 relate to vacated leased properties that have scheduled payments of \$925 in 2011, \$2,500 in 2012, \$1,272 in 2013, \$509 in 2014, \$364 in 2015, and \$389 thereafter.

Other accruals of \$1,376 are expected to be utilized over several years.

#### Acquisition-Related Expenses

Included in restructuring, integration, and other charges are acquisition-related expenses of \$2,771 and \$10,333 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 and \$5,592 and \$6,598 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively, primarily consisting of professional fees directly related to recent acquisition activity.

#### Note J – Net Income per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of net income per share on a basic and diluted basis (shares in thousands):

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Net income attributable to shareholders	\$132,216	\$118,502	\$424,722	\$321,741
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	113,378	116,958	114,680	118,813
Net effect of various dilutive stock-based compensation awards	1,562	1,277	1,877	1,457
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	114,940	118,235	116,557	120,270
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$1.17	\$1.01	\$3.70	\$2.71
Diluted (a)	\$1.15	\$1.00	\$3.64	\$2.68

Stock-based compensation awards for the issuance of 1,815 and 1,017 shares for the third quarter and first nine (a) months of 2011 and 4,455 and 3,281 shares for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively, were excluded from the computation of net income per share on a diluted basis as their effect was anti-dilutive.

#### Note K – Shareholders' Equity

##### Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Consolidated net income	\$132,378	\$118,502	\$425,186	\$321,736
Foreign currency translation adjustments (a)	(133,806)	) 131,040	(20,281)	) (405)
Other (b)	(8,719)	) 6,867	(13,456)	) 6,947
Comprehensive income (loss)	(10,147)	) 256,409	391,449	328,278
	138	—	440	—

Comprehensive income attributable to  
noncontrolling interests

Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(10,285	)	\$256,409	\$391,009	\$328,278
---	-----------	---	-----------	-----------	-----------

(a) The foreign currency translation adjustments have not been tax effected as investments in international affiliates are deemed to be permanent.

(b) Other includes unrealized gains or losses on securities and other employee benefit plan items. Each of these items is net of related taxes.

## ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

## Share-Repurchase Program

In February 2011, the company announced its Board of Directors (the "Board") approved the repurchase of up to \$50,000 of the company's common stock through a share-repurchase program. In July 2011, the company's Board approved an additional repurchase of up to \$100,000 of the company's common stock. As of October 1, 2011, the company repurchased 4,345,841 shares under these plans with a market value of \$149,699 at the dates of repurchase.

On October 24, 2011, the Board approved an additional repurchase of up to \$150,000 of the company's common stock.

## Note L – Employee Benefit Plans

The company maintains supplemental executive retirement plans and a defined benefit plan. The components of the net periodic benefit costs for these plans are as follows:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Components of net periodic benefit costs:				
Service cost	\$381	\$411	\$1,143	\$1,233
Interest cost	2,274	2,248	6,822	6,744
Expected return on plan assets	(1,656)	(1,498)	(4,968)	(4,494)
Amortization of unrecognized net loss	858	967	2,574	2,901
Amortization of prior service cost	11	20	33	60
Amortization of transition obligation	—	7	—	21
Net periodic benefit costs	\$1,868	\$2,155	\$5,604	\$6,465

## Note M - Contingencies

## Settlement of Legal Matter

During the first quarter of 2011, the company recorded a charge of \$5,875 (\$3,609 net of related taxes or \$.03 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) in connection with the settlement of a legal matter, inclusive of related legal costs. This matter related to a customer dispute that originated in 1997. The company had successfully defended itself in a trial, but the verdict was subsequently overturned, in part, by an appellate court and remanded for a new trial. The company ultimately decided to settle this matter to avoid further legal expense and the burden on management's time that such a trial would entail.

## Tekelec Matter

In 2000, the company purchased Tekelec Europe SA ("Tekelec") from Tekelec Airtronic SA ("Airtronic") and certain other selling shareholders. Subsequent to the closing of the acquisition, Tekelec received a product liability claim in the amount of €11,333. The product liability claim was the subject of a French legal proceeding started by the claimant in 2002, under which separate determinations were made as to whether the products that are subject to the claim were defective and the amount of damages sustained by the purchaser. The manufacturer of the products also participated in this proceeding. The claimant has commenced legal proceedings against Tekelec and its insurers to recover damages

in the amount of €3,742 and expenses of €312 plus interest. The company believes that any amount in addition to the amount accrued by the company would not materially adversely impact the company's consolidated financial position, liquidity, or results of operations.

#### Environmental and Related Matters

##### Wyle Claims

In connection with the 2000 purchase of Wyle from the VEBA Group ("VEBA"), the company assumed certain of the then outstanding obligations of Wyle, including Wyle's 1994 indemnification of the purchasers of its Wyle Laboratories division for environmental clean-up costs associated with any then existing contamination or violation of environmental regulations. Under

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

the terms of the company's purchase of Wyle from VEBA, VEBA agreed to indemnify the company for costs associated with the Wyle environmental indemnities, among other things. The company is aware of two Wyle Laboratories facilities (in Huntsville, Alabama and Norco, California) at which contaminated groundwater was identified. Each site will require remediation, the final form and cost of which is undetermined.

Wyle Laboratories has demanded indemnification from the company with respect to the work at both sites (and in connection with the litigation discussed below), and the company has, in turn, demanded indemnification from VEBA. VEBA merged with a publicly-traded, German conglomerate in June 2000. The combined entity, now known as E.ON AG, remains responsible for VEBA's liabilities. E.ON AG acknowledged liability under the terms of the VEBA contract in connection with the Norco and Huntsville sites and made an initial, partial payment. Neither the company's demands for subsequent payments nor its demand for defense and indemnification in the related litigation and other costs associated with the Norco site were met.

#### Related Litigation

In October 2005, the company filed suit against E.ON AG in the Frankfurt am Main Regional Court in Germany. The suit seeks indemnification, contribution, and a declaration of the parties' respective rights and obligations in connection with the Riverside County litigation (discussed below) and other costs associated with the Norco site. In its answer to the company's claim filed in March 2009 in the German proceedings, E.ON AG filed a counterclaim against the company for approximately \$16,000. The company believes it has reasonable defenses to the counterclaim and plans to defend its position vigorously. The company believes that the ultimate resolution of the counterclaim will not materially adversely impact the company's consolidated financial position, liquidity, or results of operations. The litigation is currently suspended while the company engages in a court-facilitated mediation with E.ON AG. The mediation commenced in December 2009 and is ongoing.

The company was named as a defendant in several suits related to the Norco facility, all of which were consolidated for pre-trial purposes. In January 2005, an action was filed in the California Superior Court in Riverside County, California (Gloria Austin, et al. v. Wyle Laboratories, Inc. et al.). Approximately 90 plaintiff landowners and residents sued a number of defendants under a variety of theories for unquantified damages allegedly caused by environmental contamination at and around the Norco site. Also filed in the Superior Court in Riverside County were Jimmy Gandara, et al. v. Wyle Laboratories, Inc. et al. in January 2006, and Lisa Briones, et al. v. Wyle Laboratories, Inc. et al. in May 2006; both of which contain allegations similar to those in the Austin case on behalf of approximately 20 additional plaintiffs. All of these matters have now been resolved to the satisfaction of the parties.

The company was also named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in September 2006 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (Apollo Associates, L.P., et anno. v. Arrow Electronics, Inc. et al.) in connection with alleged contamination at a third site, an industrial building formerly leased by Wyle Laboratories, in El Segundo, California. The lawsuit was settled, though the possibility remains that government entities or others may attempt to involve the company in further characterization or remediation of groundwater issues in the area.

#### Environmental Matters - Huntsville

Characterization of the extent of contaminated soil and groundwater continues at the site in Huntsville, Alabama. Under the direction of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management, approximately \$3,000 was spent to date. The pace of the ongoing remedial investigations, project management and regulatory oversight is likely to increase somewhat and though the complete scope of the activities is not yet known, the company currently estimates

additional investigative and related expenditures at the site of approximately \$500 to \$750. The nature and scope of both feasibility studies and subsequent remediation at the site has not yet been determined, but assuming the outcome includes source control and certain other measures, the cost is estimated to be between \$3,000 and \$4,000.

Despite the amount of work undertaken and planned to date, the company is unable to estimate any potential costs in addition to those discussed above because the complete scope of the work is not yet known, and, accordingly, the associated costs have yet to be determined.

#### Environmental Matters - Norco

In October 2003, the company entered into a consent decree with Wyle Laboratories and the California Department of Toxic Substance Control (the "DTSC") in connection with the Norco site. In April 2005, a Remedial Investigation Work Plan was

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

approved by DTSC that provided for site-wide characterization of known and potential environmental issues. Investigations performed in connection with this work plan and a series of subsequent technical memoranda continued until the filing of a final Remedial Investigation Report early in 2008. The development of a final Remedial Action Work Plan is ongoing. Approximately \$30,000 was expended to date on project management, regulatory oversight, and investigative and feasibility study activities. The company currently estimates that the additional cost of project management and regulatory oversight will range from \$200 to \$300. Project management and regulatory oversight include costs incurred by Wyle Laboratories and project consultants for project management and costs billed by DTSC to provide regulatory oversight. Ongoing remedial investigations (including costs related to soil and groundwater investigations), and the preparation of a final remedial investigation report are projected to cost between \$250 to \$500.

Work is under way pertaining to the remediation of contaminated groundwater at certain areas on the Norco site and of soil gas in a limited area immediately adjacent to the site. In 2008, a hydraulic containment system was installed to capture and treat groundwater before it moves into the adjacent offsite area. Approximately \$11,000 was expended on remediation to date, and it is anticipated that these activities, along with the initial phases of the treatment of contaminated groundwater in the offsite area and remaining Remedial Action Work Plan costs, will give rise to an additional estimated \$12,500 to \$24,250.

Despite the amount of work undertaken and planned to date, the company is unable to estimate any potential costs in addition to those discussed above because the complete scope of the work under the consent decree is not yet known, and, accordingly, the associated costs have yet to be determined.

#### Impact on Financial Statements

The company believes that any cost which it may incur in connection with environmental conditions at the Norco, Huntsville, and El Segundo sites and the related litigation is covered by the contractual indemnifications (except, under the terms of the environmental indemnification, for the first \$450), discussed above. The company believes that the recovery of costs incurred to date associated with the environmental clean-up of the Norco and Huntsville sites, is probable. Accordingly, the company increased the receivable for amounts due from E.ON AG by \$4,003 during 2011 to \$48,206. The company's net costs for such indemnified matters may vary from period to period as estimates of recoveries are not always recognized in the same period as the accrual of estimated expenses.

As successor-in-interest to Wyle, the company is the beneficiary of various Wyle insurance policies that covered liabilities arising out of operations at Norco and Huntsville. Certain of the insurance carriers implicated in the Riverside County litigation have undertaken substantial portions of the defense of the company, and the company has recovered approximately \$13,000 from them to date. However, the company has sued certain other umbrella liability policy carriers because they have yet to make payment on claims filed by the company. These disputes generally relate to the umbrella liability policy carriers' proportional share of the total liability as opposed to the applicability of coverage.

The company believes strongly in the merits of its positions regarding the E.ON AG indemnity and the liabilities of the insurance carriers.

Also included in the proceedings against E.ON AG is a claim for the reimbursement of pre-acquisition tax liabilities of Wyle in the amount of \$8,729 for which E.ON AG is also contractually liable to indemnify the company. E.ON AG has specifically acknowledged owing the company not less than \$6,335 of such amounts, but its promises to make

payments of at least that amount were not kept. The company also believes that the recovery of these amounts is probable.

In connection with the acquisition of Wyle, the company acquired a \$4,495 tax receivable due from E.ON AG (as successor to VEBA) in respect of certain tax payments made by Wyle prior to the effective date of the acquisition, the recovery of which the company also believes is probable.

The receivable for amounts due from E.ON AG for the previously mentioned tax and environmental matters and related litigation are included in "Other Assets" on the company's consolidated balance sheets. The company's basis for the conclusion that recovery of these amounts are probable is based upon its determination that it has appropriate legal rights to seek reimbursement under the indemnification agreement with E.ON AG, as well as the company's ability to seek reimbursement under the various Wyle insurance policies. The timing of the collection of these amounts is contingent upon resolution of the court-facilitated mediation or litigation with E.ON AG, the completion of settlement agreements with certain insurers, and the resolution of litigation currently pending with certain other insurance carriers. The resolution of these matters could likely take several years.



## ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

## Other

From time to time, in the normal course of business, the company may become liable with respect to other pending and threatened litigation, environmental, regulatory, labor, product, and tax matters. While such matters are subject to inherent uncertainties, it is not currently anticipated that any such matters will materially impact the company's consolidated financial position, liquidity, or results of operations.

## Note N – Segment and Geographic Information

The company is a global provider of products, services, and solutions to industrial and commercial users of electronic components and enterprise computing solutions. The company distributes electronic components to original equipment manufacturers and contract manufacturers through its global components business segment and provides enterprise computing solutions to value-added resellers through its global ECS business segment. As a result of the company's philosophy of maximizing operating efficiencies through the centralization of certain functions, selected fixed assets and related depreciation, as well as borrowings, are not directly attributable to the individual operating segments and are included in the corporate business segment.

Sales and operating income (loss), by segment, are as follows:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Sales:				
Global components	\$3,648,858	\$3,437,632	\$11,410,789	\$9,824,670
Global ECS	1,537,999	1,220,209	4,539,002	3,681,844
Consolidated	\$5,186,857	\$4,657,841	\$15,949,791	\$13,506,514
Operating income (loss):				
Global components	\$194,178	\$196,803	\$647,094	\$533,405
Global ECS	53,710	35,479	156,480	102,415
Corporate (a)	(38,726)	(47,763)	(126,914)	(116,840)
Consolidated	\$209,162	\$184,519	\$676,660	\$518,980

Includes restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$8,848 and \$23,676 for the third quarter and first nine (a) months of 2011 and \$14,338 and \$27,424 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively. Also included in the first nine months of 2011 is a charge of \$5,875 related to the settlement of a legal matter.

Total assets, by segment, are as follows:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Global components	\$6,882,169	\$5,862,386
Global ECS	2,406,890	2,836,006
Corporate	579,668	902,146
Consolidated	\$9,868,727	\$9,600,538



ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Sales, by geographic area, are as follows:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Americas (b)	\$2,577,865	\$2,278,632	\$7,698,152	\$6,424,651
EMEA (c)	1,630,990	1,364,472	5,239,577	4,009,187
Asia/Pacific	978,002	1,014,737	3,012,062	3,072,676
Consolidated	\$5,186,857	\$4,657,841	\$15,949,791	\$13,506,514

(b) Includes sales related to the United States of \$2,376,928 and \$7,057,773 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 and \$2,078,575 and \$5,798,499 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively.

(c) Defined as Europe, the Middle East, and Africa.

Net property, plant and equipment, by geographic area, is as follows:

	October 1, 2011	December 31, 2010
Americas (d)	\$473,630	\$431,066
EMEA	57,573	55,607
Asia/Pacific	19,511	18,867
Consolidated	\$550,714	\$505,540

(d) Includes net property, plant and equipment related to the United States of \$472,548 and \$429,922 at October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Arrow Electronics, Inc. (the "company") is a global provider of products, services, and solutions to industrial and commercial users of electronic components and enterprise computing solutions. The company provides one of the broadest product offerings in the electronic components and enterprise computing solutions distribution industries and a wide range of value-added services to help customers reduce time to market, introduce innovative products through demand creation opportunities, lower their total cost of ownership, and enhance their overall competitiveness. The company has two business segments, the global components business segment and the global enterprise computing solutions ("ECS") business segment. The company distributes electronic components to original equipment manufacturers and contract manufacturers through its global components business segment and provides enterprise computing solutions to value-added resellers through its global ECS business segment. For the first nine months of 2011, approximately 72% of the company's sales were from the global components business segment, and approximately 28% of the company's sales were from the global ECS business segment.

The company's financial objectives are to grow sales faster than the market, increase the markets served, grow profits faster than sales, and increase return on invested capital. To achieve its objectives, the company seeks to capture significant opportunities to grow across products, markets, and geographies. To supplement its organic growth strategy, the company continually evaluates strategic acquisitions to broaden its product offerings, increase its market penetration, and/or expand its geographic reach. Cash flow needed to fund this growth is primarily expected to be generated through continuous corporate-wide initiatives to improve profitability and increase effective asset utilization.

On March 1, 2011, the company acquired all of the assets and operations of the RF, Wireless and Power Division ("RFPD") of Richardson Electronics, Ltd. ("Richardson") for a purchase price of \$236.0 million. On January 3, 2011, the company acquired Nu Horizons Electronics Corp. ("Nu Horizons") for a purchase price of \$161.1 million, which included cash acquired of \$18.1 million and debt paid at closing of \$26.4 million. On December 16, 2010, the company acquired all of the assets and operations of INT Holdings, LLC, doing business as Intechra ("Intechra") for a purchase price of \$101.1 million, which included cash acquired of \$.1 million. On September 8, 2010, the company acquired Shared Technologies Inc. ("Shared") for a purchase price of \$252.8 million, which included debt paid at closing of \$61.9 million. On June 1, 2010, the company acquired PCG Parent Corp., doing business as Converge ("Converge") for a purchase price of \$138.4 million, which included cash acquired of \$4.8 million and debt paid at closing of \$27.5 million.

During the first nine months of 2011, the company also acquired Pansystem S.r.l. ("Pansystem"), Cross Telecom Corporation ("Cross"), the North American IT consulting and professional services division of InScope International, Inc. and INSI Technology Innovation, Inc. (collectively "InScope"), LWP GmbH ("LWP"), and Chip One Stop, Inc. ("C1S"). During 2010, the company also acquired Verical Incorporated ("Verical"), Sphinx Group Limited ("Sphinx"), Transim Technology Corporation ("Transim"), Eshel Technology Group, Inc. ("Eshel"), and Diasa Informática, S.A. ("Diasa"). The impacts of these acquisitions were not individually significant to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Results of operations of Richardson RFPD, Nu Horizons, Pansystem, C1S, Intechra, Converge, Verical, Transim, and Eshel are included within the company's global components business segment and the results of operations of Shared, Cross, InScope, LWP, Sphinx, and Diasa are included within the company's global ECS business segment.

Consolidated sales for the third quarter of 2011 increased by 11.4%, compared with the year-earlier period, due to an 6.1% increase in the global components business segment sales and a 26.0% increase in the global ECS business segment sales. The translation of the company's international financial statements into U.S. dollars resulted in an increase in consolidated sales of \$169.0 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared with the year-earlier period, due to a weaker U.S. dollar. Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, the company's consolidated sales decreased by .9% for the third quarter of 2011.

Net income attributable to shareholders increased to \$132.2 million in the third quarter of 2011, compared with net income attributable to shareholders of \$118.5 million in the year-earlier period. The following items impacted the comparability of the company's results:

Third quarter of 2011 and 2010:

restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$8.8 million (\$6.0 million net of related taxes) in 2011 and \$14.3 million (\$9.5 million net of related taxes) in 2010.

First nine months of 2011 and 2010:

restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$23.7 million (\$16.8 million net of related taxes) in 2011 and \$27.4 million (\$19.1 million net of related taxes) in 2010;

a charge of \$5.9 million (\$3.6 million net of related taxes) related to the settlement of a legal matter in 2011;

a gain on bargain purchase of \$1.8 million (\$1.1 million net of related taxes) in 2011; and

a loss on prepayment of debt of \$1.6 million (\$1.0 million net of related taxes) in 2010.

Excluding the above-mentioned items, the increase in net income attributable to shareholders for the third quarter of 2011 was primarily the result of the sales increases in both the global components business segment and the global ECS business segment and increased gross profit margins. This was offset, in part, by increased selling, general and administrative expenses primarily attributable to acquisitions and the increase in sales, increased interest expense due to higher average debt outstanding primarily to fund acquisitions, and increased depreciation and amortization expense due primarily to increased acquisition activity.

Substantially all of the company's sales are made on an order-by-order basis, rather than through long-term sales contracts. As such, the nature of the company's business does not provide for the visibility of material forward-looking information from its customers and suppliers beyond a few months.

#### Sales

Following is an analysis of net sales by reportable segment (in millions):

	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010	% Change	
Third Quarter Ended:				
Global components	\$3,649	\$3,438	6.1	%
Global ECS	1,538	1,220	26.0	%
Consolidated	\$5,187	\$4,658	11.4	%
Nine Months Ended:				
Global components	\$11,411	\$9,825	16.1	%
Global ECS	4,539	3,682	23.3	%
Consolidated	\$15,950	\$13,507	18.1	%

Consolidated sales for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 increased by \$529.0 million, or 11.4%, and \$2.44 billion, or 18.1%, respectively, compared with the year-earlier periods. The increase for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 was driven by an increase in the global components business segment sales of \$211.2 million, or 6.1%, and \$1.59 billion, or 16.1%, respectively, and an increase in the global ECS business segment sales of \$317.8 million, or 26.0%, and \$857.2 million, or 23.3%, respectively, compared with the year-earlier periods. The translation of the company's international financial statements into U.S. dollars resulted in an increase in consolidated sales of \$169.0 million and \$492.0 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, compared with the year-earlier periods, due to a weaker U.S. dollar. Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, the company's consolidated sales decreased by .9% and increased by 3.8% for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.

The growth in the global components business segment for the third quarter of 2011 was primarily driven by increased demand for the company's products in the EMEA region (defined as Europe, the Middle East, and Africa), as well as the impact of acquisitions. Pro forma for acquisitions, the sales increase for the third quarter of 2011 in EMEA was 9.3%, offset by a sales decrease due to a softening of demand in the Americas region and the Asia/Pacific region of

4.1% and 11.2% , respectively. The growth in the global components business segment for the first nine months of 2011 was primarily driven by increased demand for the company's products in the EMEA region and the Americas region, as well as the impact of acquisitions. Pro forma for acquisitions, the sales increase for the first nine months of 2011 in EMEA and the Americas was 24.5% and 3.5%, respectively, offset by a sales decrease in the Asia/Pacific region of 9.6%. Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, the company's global components business segment sales decreased by 5.8% and increased by 1.7% for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.

In the global ECS business segment, the sales for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 increased due to higher demand for products in both North America and EMEA. The increase in sales for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 was due

to growth in storage, software, services, industry standard servers, and proprietary servers. Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, the company's global ECS business segment sales increased by 13.0% and 9.6% for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.

#### Gross Profit

The company recorded gross profit of \$711.1 million and \$2.20 billion in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, compared with \$608.8 million and \$1.74 billion in the year-earlier periods. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to the aforementioned 11.4% and 18.1% increase in sales during the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. The gross profit margin for the third quarter of 2011 increased by approximately 60 basis points compared with the year-earlier period due primarily to higher margins attributable to recent acquisitions. The gross profit margin for the first nine months of 2011 increased by approximately 100 basis points compared with the year-earlier period due primarily to higher margins attributable to recent acquisitions, improved pricing, and a favorable mix towards higher profit margin products in both the global components and global ECS businesses. Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, gross profit margins were flat and increased approximately 30 basis points, respectively, for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011.

#### Restructuring, Integration, and Other Charges

##### 2011 Charges

The company recorded restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$8.8 million (\$6.0 million net of related taxes or \$.05 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and \$23.7 million (\$16.8 million net of related taxes or \$.15 and \$.14 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively) for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. Included in the restructuring, integration, and other charges for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 are restructuring charges of \$6.1 million and \$14.7 million, respectively, related to initiatives taken by the company to improve operating efficiencies, primarily related to the integration of recently acquired businesses. Also included in the restructuring, integration, and other charges for first nine months of 2011 is a credit of \$1.4 million related to restructuring and integration actions taken in prior periods and acquisition-related expenses of \$2.8 million and \$10.3 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.

The restructuring charges of \$6.1 million and \$14.7 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, primarily include personnel costs of \$5.5 million and \$10.9 million and facilities costs of \$.3 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. The personnel costs are related to the elimination of approximately 160 positions within the global ECS business segment and approximately 140 positions within the global components business segment. The facilities costs are related to exit activities for 10 vacated facilities in the Americas and Europe due to the company's continued efforts to streamline its operations and reduce real estate costs. These initiatives are due to the company's continued efforts to lower cost and drive operational efficiency.

##### 2010 Charges

The company recorded restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$14.3 million (\$9.5 million net of related taxes or \$.08 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and \$27.4 million (\$19.1 million net of related taxes or \$.16 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively. Included in the restructuring, integration, and other charges for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 are restructuring charges of \$8.4 million and \$19.4 million, respectively, related to initiatives taken by the company to improve operating efficiencies. Also included in the restructuring, integration, and other charges for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 are charges of \$.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, related to restructuring and integration actions taken in prior periods and acquisition-related expenses of \$5.6 million and \$6.6 million, respectively.



The restructuring charges of \$8.4 million and \$19.4 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 primarily includes personnel costs of \$3.4 million and \$12.4 million and facilities costs of \$2.2 million and \$2.4 million, respectively. The personnel costs are related to the elimination of approximately 160 positions within the global ECS business segment and approximately 90 positions within the global components business segment. The facilities costs are related to exit activities for 7 vacated facilities in Europe and North America due to the company's continued efforts to streamline its operations and reduce real estate costs. These initiatives are due to the company's continued efforts to lower cost and drive operational efficiency.

As of October 1, 2011, the company does not anticipate there will be any material adjustments relating to the aforementioned restructuring plans. Refer to Note I, "Restructuring, Integration, and Other Charges," of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the company's restructuring and integration activities.

### Settlement of Legal Matter

During the first quarter of 2011, the company recorded a charge of \$5.9 million (\$3.6 million net of related taxes or \$.03 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) in connection with the settlement of a legal matter, inclusive of related legal costs. This matter related to a customer dispute that originated in 1997. The company had successfully defended itself in a trial, but the verdict was subsequently overturned, in part, by an appellate court and remanded for a new trial. The company ultimately decided to settle this matter to avoid further legal expense and the burden on management's time that such a trial would entail.

### Operating Income

The company recorded operating income of \$209.2 million, or 4.0% of sales, and \$676.7 million, or 4.2% of sales, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, as compared with operating income of \$184.5 million, or 4.0% of sales, and \$519.0 million, or 3.8% of sales, in the year-earlier periods. Included in operating income for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 were the previously discussed restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$8.8 million and \$23.7 million, respectively. Also included in operating income for the first nine months of 2011 was the previously discussed charge of \$5.9 million related to the settlement of a legal matter. Included in operating income for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 were the previously discussed restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$14.3 million and \$27.4 million, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$76.6 million, or 19.6%, in the third quarter of 2011 on a sales increase of 11.4% compared with the third quarter of 2010 and \$289.5 million, or 25.5%, for the first nine months of 2011 on a sales increase of 18.1% compared with the first nine months of 2010. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in excess of the sales increase for both the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 was driven by certain recent acquisitions which have a higher operating cost structure relative to the company's other businesses and was offset by higher gross profit margins for those businesses. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales for the third quarters of 2011 and 2010 increased to 9.0% from 8.4%, respectively, and to 8.9% from 8.4% for the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$6.6 million, or 34.3%, and \$19.3 million, or 34.8%, for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, compared with the year-earlier periods, primarily due to acquisitions.

Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, operating expenses (which include both selling, general and administrative expenses and depreciation and amortization expense) for the third quarter of 2011 decreased 1.2% on a sales decrease of .9%, as compared with the year-earlier period. Excluding the impact of foreign currency and pro forma for acquisitions, operating expenses for the first nine months of 2011 increased 1.6% on a sales increase of 3.8%, as compared with the year-earlier period, due to the company's ability to efficiently manage operating costs.

### Gain on Bargain Purchase

During the first quarter of 2011, the company acquired Nu Horizons for less than the fair value of its net assets due to Nu Horizons' stock trading below its book value for an extended period of time prior to the announcement of the acquisition. The company offered a purchase price per share for Nu Horizons that was above the prevailing stock price thereby representing a premium to the shareholders. The acquisition of Nu Horizons by Arrow was approved by Nu Horizons' shareholders. The excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over the purchase price paid of \$1.8 million (\$1.1 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) was recognized as a gain on bargain purchase.

#### Loss on Prepayment of Debt

During the second quarter of 2010, the company sold a property and was required to repay the related collateralized debt with a face amount of \$9.0 million. For the first nine months of 2010, the company recognized a loss on prepayment of debt of \$1.6 million (\$1.0 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The loss was offset by a gain on the sale of this property of \$1.7 million included in restructuring, integration, and other charges in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

#### Interest and Other Financing Expense, Net

Net interest and other financing expense increased by \$6.3 million, or 33.3%, and \$20.2 million, or 35.2%, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, compared with the year-earlier periods, due primarily to higher average debt outstanding primarily to fund acquisitions.

## Income Taxes

The company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$53.7 million and \$180.5 million (an effective tax rate of 28.9% and 29.8%) for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. The company's provision for income taxes and effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 was impacted by the previously discussed restructuring, integration, and other charges, charge related to the settlement of a legal matter, and the gain on bargain purchase. Excluding the impact of the above-mentioned items, the company's effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 was 29.0% and 29.8%, respectively.

The company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$48.7 million and \$142.9 million (an effective tax rate of 29.1% and 30.8%) for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, respectively. The company's provision for income taxes and effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 was impacted by the previously discussed restructuring, integration, and other charges and loss on the prepayment of debt. Excluding the impact of the above-mentioned items, the company's effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 was 29.5% and 30.7%, respectively.

The company's provision for income taxes and effective tax rate are impacted by, among other factors, the statutory tax rates in the countries in which it operates and the related level of income generated by these operations.

## Net Income Attributable to Shareholders

The company recorded net income attributable to shareholders of \$132.2 million and \$424.7 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, compared with net income attributable to shareholders of \$118.5 million and \$321.7 million in the year-earlier periods. Included in net income attributable to shareholders for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 were the previously discussed restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$6.0 million and \$16.8 million, respectively. Also included in net income attributable to shareholders for the first nine months of 2011 was a charge of \$3.6 million related to the settlement of a legal matter and a gain on bargain purchase of \$1.1 million. Included in net income attributable to shareholders for the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 were the previously discussed restructuring, integration, and other charges of \$9.5 million and \$19.1 million, respectively. Also included in net income attributable to shareholders for the first nine months of 2010 was the previously discussed loss on prepayment of debt of \$1.0 million. Excluding the above-mentioned items, the increase in net income attributable to shareholders for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 was primarily the result of the sales increases in both the global components business segment and the global ECS business segment and increased gross profit margins. This was offset, in part, by increased selling, general and administrative expenses primarily attributable to acquisitions and the increase in sales, increased interest expense due to higher average debt outstanding primarily to fund acquisitions, and increased depreciation and amortization expense due primarily to increased acquisition activity.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the company had cash and cash equivalents of \$544.6 million and \$926.3 million, respectively, of which \$526.1 million and \$592.2 million, respectively, were held outside the United States. Liquidity is affected by many factors, some of which are based on normal ongoing operations of the company's business and some of which arise from fluctuations related to global economics and markets. Cash balances are generated and held in many locations throughout the world. It is the company's current intent to permanently reinvest these funds outside the United States and its current plans do not demonstrate a need to repatriate them to fund its United States operations. If these funds were to be needed for the company's operations in the United States, it would be required to record and pay significant United States income taxes to repatriate these funds. Additionally, local government regulations may restrict the company's ability to move cash balances to meet

cash needs under certain circumstances. The company currently does not expect such regulations and restrictions to impact its ability to make acquisitions or to pay vendors and conduct operations throughout the global organization.

During the first nine months of 2011, the net amount of cash used for the company's operating activities was \$25.6 million, the net amount of cash used for investing activities was \$611.6 million, and the net amount of cash provided by financing activities was \$246.2 million. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was an increase of \$9.3 million.

During the first nine months of 2010, the net amount of cash used for the company's operating activities was \$241.3 million, the net amount of cash used for investing activities was \$526.4 million, and the net amount of cash provided by financing activities was \$163.6 million. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was a decrease of \$23.2 million.

### Cash Flows from Operating Activities

The company maintains a significant investment in accounts receivable and inventories. As a percentage of total assets, accounts receivable and inventories were approximately 64.5% and 62.6% at October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The net amount of cash used for the company's operating activities during the first nine months of 2011 was \$25.6 million and was primarily due to a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses and an increase in inventories. This was offset, in part, by earnings from operations, adjusted for non-cash items, and a decrease in accounts receivable.

The net amount of cash used for the company's operating activities during the first nine months of 2010 was \$241.3 million and was primarily due to an increase in accounts receivable and inventory offset, in part, by earnings from operations, adjusted for non-cash items, and an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Working capital as a percentage of sales was 15.1% in the third quarter of 2011 compared with 13.7% in the third quarter of 2010.

### Cash Flows from Investing Activities

The net amount of cash used for investing activities during the first nine months of 2011 was \$611.6 million, primarily reflecting \$523.3 million of cash consideration paid for acquired businesses and \$88.3 million for capital expenditures. Included in capital expenditures for the first nine months of 2011 is \$43.7 million related to the company's global enterprise resource planning ("ERP") initiative.

During the first nine months of 2011, the company acquired Richardson RFPD, a leading value-added global component distributor and provider of engineered solutions serving the global radio frequency and wireless communications market; Nu Horizons, a leading global distributor of advanced technology semiconductor, display, illumination, and power solutions; Pansystem, a distributor of high-performance wire, cable, and interconnect products in Italy; Cross, a North American service provider of converged and internet protocol technologies and unified communications; InScope, a provider of managed services, enterprise storage management, IT virtualization, disaster recovery, data center migration and consolidation, and cloud computing services; LWP, a value-added distributor of computing solutions and services in Germany; and C1S, a supplier of electronic components to design engineers throughout Japan, for aggregate cash consideration of \$523.3 million.

The net amount of cash used for investing activities during the first nine months of 2010 was \$526.4 million, primarily reflecting \$460.0 million of cash consideration paid for acquired businesses and \$83.4 million for capital expenditures, offset, in part, by proceeds from the sale of properties of \$17.0 million. Included in capital expenditures for the first nine months of 2010 is \$46.1 million related to the company's global ERP initiative.

During the first nine months of 2010, the company acquired Shared, a leading North American unified communications and managed services provider; Converge, a leading provider of reverse logistics services; Verical, an ecommerce business geared towards meeting the end-of-life components and parts shortage needs of customers; Sphinx, a United Kingdom-based value-added distributor of security and networking solutions; and Transim, a leading service provider of online component design and engineering solutions for technology manufacturers, for aggregate cash consideration of \$456.9 million. In addition the company made a payment of \$3.1 million to increase its ownership interest in a majority-owned subsidiary.

The company has initiated a global ERP effort to standardize processes worldwide and adopt best-in-class capabilities. Implementation is expected to be phased-in over the next several years. For the full year 2011, the estimated cash flow impact of this initiative is expected to be in the \$50 to \$60 million range with the impact remaining flat in 2012. The company expects to finance these costs with cash flows from operations.

#### Cash Flows from Financing Activities

The net amount of cash provided by financing activities during the first nine months of 2011 was \$246.2 million. The primary sources of cash from financing activities during the first nine months of 2011 were \$391.8 million of proceeds from short-term and other borrowings, \$197.0 million of proceeds from long-term bank borrowings, \$46.6 million of proceeds from the exercise of stock options, and \$7.5 million related to excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements. The primary use of cash from financing activities included a \$200.0 million repayment of bank term loan and \$196.8 million of repurchases of common stock.

The net amount of cash provided by financing activities during the first nine months of 2010 was \$163.6 million. The primary sources of cash from financing activities during the first nine months of 2010 were \$360.4 million of proceeds from long-term

bank borrowings, \$3.2 million of proceeds from the exercise of stock options, and \$1.7 million related to excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements. The primary use of cash for financing activities included \$131.3 million of repurchases of common stock, a \$69.5 million repayment of senior notes, and a \$.9 million decrease in short-term and other borrowings.

In August 2011, the company entered into a \$1,200.0 million revolving credit facility, maturing in August 2016. This new facility may be used by the company for general corporate purposes including working capital in the ordinary course of business, letters of credit, repayment, prepayment or purchase of long-term indebtedness and acquisitions, and as backup for a commercial paper program, as applicable. This agreement replaces the company's \$800.0 million revolving credit facility which was scheduled to expire in January 2012. The company also had a \$200.0 million term loan which was due in January 2012. The company repaid the term loan in full in August 2011. Interest on borrowings under the revolving credit facility is calculated using a base rate or a euro currency rate plus a spread based on the company's credit ratings (1.275% at October 1, 2011). The facility fee related to the revolving credit facility is .225%. At October 1, 2011, the company had \$197.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. There were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2010. During the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, the average daily balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility was \$244.8 million and \$87.3 million, respectively.

The company has a \$600.0 million asset securitization program collateralized by accounts receivable of certain of its United States subsidiaries which expires in April 2012. Interest on borrowings is calculated using a base rate or a commercial paper rate plus a spread, which is based on the company's credit ratings (.50% at October 1, 2011). The facility fee is .50%. At October 1, 2011, the company had \$400.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the asset securitization program. There were no outstanding borrowings under the asset securitization program at December 31, 2010. During the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, the average daily balance outstanding under the asset securitization program was \$361.1 million and \$56.7 million, respectively.

Both the revolving credit facility and asset securitization program include terms and conditions that limit the incurrence of additional borrowings, limit the company's ability to pay cash dividends or repurchase stock, and require that certain financial ratios be maintained at designated levels. The company was in compliance with all covenants as of October 1, 2011 and is currently not aware of any events that would cause non-compliance with any covenants in the future.

Management believes that the company's current cash availability, its current borrowing capacity under its revolving credit facility and asset securitization program, its expected ability to generate future operating cash flows, and the company's access to capital markets are sufficient to meet its projected cash flow needs for the foreseeable future. The company continually evaluates its liquidity requirements and would seek to amend its existing borrowing capacity or access the financial markets as deemed necessary.

#### Contractual Obligations

The company has contractual obligations for short-term and long-term debt, interest on short-term and long-term debt, capital leases, operating leases, purchase obligations, and certain other long-term liabilities that were summarized in a table of Contractual Obligations in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Since December 31, 2010, there were no material changes to the contractual obligations of the company, outside the ordinary course of the company's business, except as follows:

• In August 2011, the company repaid a \$200.0 million bank term loan which had an original maturity date of January 2012;



at October 1, 2011, the company had \$197.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility which matures in August 2016; and  
at October 1, 2011, the company had \$400.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the asset securitization program which matures in April 2012.

#### Share-Repurchase Program

In February 2011, the company announced its Board of Directors (the "Board") approved the repurchase of up to \$50 million of the company's common stock through a share-repurchase program. In July 2011, the company's Board approved an additional repurchase of up to \$100 million of the company's common stock. As of October 1, 2011, the company repurchased 4,345,841 shares under these plans with a market value of \$149.7 million at the dates of repurchase.

On October 24, 2011, the Board approved an additional repurchase of up to \$150 million of the company's common stock.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The company has no off-balance sheet financing or unconsolidated special purpose entities.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the company to make significant estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The company evaluates its estimates on an ongoing basis. The company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed reasonable under the circumstances; the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

There were no significant changes during the first nine months of 2011 to the items disclosed as Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

See Note B of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the anticipated dates of adoption and the effects on the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

#### Information Relating to Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements that are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, which could cause actual results or facts to differ materially from such statements for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to: industry conditions, the company's implementation of its new enterprise resource planning system, changes in product supply, pricing and customer demand, competition, other vagaries in the global components and global ECS markets, changes in relationships with key suppliers, increased profit margin pressure, the effects of additional actions taken to become more efficient or lower costs, and the company's ability to generate additional cash flow. Forward-looking statements are those statements, which are not statements of historical fact. These forward-looking statements can be identified by forward-looking words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "may," "will," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," and similar expressions. Shareholders and other readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. The company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any of the forward-looking statements.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There were no material changes in market risk for changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates from the information provided in Item 7A – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, except as follows:

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The notional amount of the foreign exchange contracts at October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$370.6 million and \$297.9 million, respectively. The fair values of foreign exchange contracts, which are nominal, are estimated using market quotes. The translation of the financial statements of the non-United States operations is impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The change in consolidated sales and operating income was impacted by the translation of the company's international financial statements into U.S. dollars. For the first nine months of 2011, the translation of the company's international financial statements into U.S. dollars resulted in an increase in sales and operating income of \$492.0 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, compared with the year-earlier periods, due to a weaker U.S. dollar. Sales and operating income would decrease by approximately \$524.5 million and \$24.7 million, respectively, if average foreign exchange rates declined by 10% against the U.S. dollar in the first nine months of 2011. These amounts were determined by considering the impact of a hypothetical foreign exchange rate on the sales and operating income of the company's international operations.

#### Interest Rate Risk

At October 1, 2011, approximately 72% of the company's debt was subject to fixed rates, and 28% of its debt was subject to floating rates. A one percentage point change in average interest rates would not materially impact net interest and other financing expense for the first nine months of 2011. This was determined by considering the impact of a hypothetical interest rate on the company's average floating rate on investments and outstanding debt. This analysis does not consider the effect of the level of overall economic activity that could exist. In the event of a change in the level of economic activity, which may adversely impact interest rates, the company could likely take actions to further mitigate any potential negative exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that might be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no changes in the company's financial structure.

In June 2004 and November 2009, the company entered into interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$275.0 million. The swaps modified the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting a portion of the fixed 6.875% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 4.37% at December 31, 2010), through its maturity. The swaps were classified as fair value hedges and had a fair value of \$14.8 million at December 31, 2010. In September 2011, \$275.0 million notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements were terminated for proceeds of \$12.2 million, net of accrued interest. The proceeds of the swap terminations, less accrued interest, were reflected as a premium to the underlying debt and will be amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the underlying debt.

In December 2010, the company entered into interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$250.0 million. The swaps modified the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 3.375% notes to a floating rate, based on the three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of approximately 1.38% at December 31, 2010), through its maturity. The swaps were classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$.7 million at December 31, 2010. In September 2011, \$250.0 million notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements were terminated for proceeds of \$11.9 million, net of accrued interest. The proceeds of the swap terminations, less accrued interest, were reflected as a premium to the underlying debt and will be amortized as a

reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the underlying debt.

In September 2011, the company entered into a ten-year forward-starting interest rate swap (the "2011 swap") locking in a treasury rate of 2.6255% with an aggregate notional amount of \$175.0 million. This swap manages the risk associated with changes in treasury rates and the impact of future interest payments. The 2011 swap relates to the interest payments for anticipated debt issuances. Such anticipated debt issuances are expected to replace the outstanding debt maturing in July 2013. The 2011 swap is classified as a cash flow hedge and had a negative fair value of \$.3 million at October 1, 2011.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The company's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of October 1, 2011 (the "Evaluation"). Based upon the Evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective.

##### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as set forth below, there were no material changes to the company's risk factors as discussed in Item 1A - Risk Factors in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Add the following risk factor:

The recent earthquake and tsunami, and other collateral events, in Japan may negatively impact our supply of products or cause shortages of some components, which could have a material adverse effect on the company's business.

As a result of the effects of the earthquake and tsunami that recently occurred in Japan, including the resultant nuclear crisis, certain of the company's vendors may be unable to deliver sufficient quantities of components or deliver them in a timely manner. Further, depending on the length of these disruptions, we may need to locate alternate suppliers to fulfill our customers' needs. While it is too early to predict what impact this crisis will have, it could have a material adverse affect on the company's business.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

In February 2011, the company announced its Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$50 million of the company's common stock through a share-repurchase program. In July 2011, the company's Board of Directors approved an additional repurchase of up to \$100 million of the company's common stock.

On October 24, 2011, the company's Board of Directors approved an additional repurchase of up to \$150 million of the company's common stock.

The following table shows the share-repurchase activity for the quarter ended October 1, 2011:

Month	Total Number of Shares Purchased(1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program(2)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Program
July 3 through July 31, 2011	3,680	\$37.29	—	\$100,000,802
August 1 through August 31, 2011	3,246,164	30.72	3,245,528	300,608
September 1 through October 1, 2011	2,803	29.48	—	300,608
Total	3,252,647		3,245,528	

Includes share repurchases under the share-repurchase program and those associated with shares withheld from (1) employees for stock-based awards, as permitted by the plan, in order to satisfy the required tax withholding obligations.

(2) The difference between the "total number of shares purchased" and the "total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced program" for the quarter ended October 1, 2011 is 7,119 shares, which relate to shares withheld from employees for stock-based awards, as permitted by the plan, in order to satisfy the required tax withholding obligations. The purchase of these shares were not made pursuant to any publicly announced

repurchase plan.

34

---

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
10(a)	Five-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of August 19, 2011, among Arrow Electronics, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, as borrowers, the lenders from time to time party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and BNP Paribas, Bank of America, N.A., The Bank of Nova Scotia and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. as syndication agents.
31(i)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31(ii)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32(i)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32(ii)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Documents
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document

XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.



SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

Date: October 26, 2011

By: /s/ Paul J. Reilly  
Paul J. Reilly  
Executive Vice President, Finance and Operations, and  
Chief Financial Officer