Higher One Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K March 10, 2014 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(Mark One) x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 001-34779 Higher One Holdings, Inc. (Exact name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware26-30(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)(I.R.S)

26-3025501 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

115 Munson Street New Haven, CT 06511 (Address of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)

203-776-7776 (Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$0.001 Name of exchange on which registered: New York Stock Exchange Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes $x = No^{-1}$

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer "(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common equity held by non-affiliates based upon the last sale price of the common equity reported on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2013, was approximately \$414.3 million.

There were 47,199,206 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2014.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

The registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Portions of the proxy statement are incorporated herein by reference to the following parts of the Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Part III, Item 10, Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance;

Part III, Item 11, Executive Compensation;

Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters;

Part III, Item 13, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence;

Part III, Item 14, Principal Accountant Fees and Services

HIGHER ONE HOLDINGS, INC. 2013 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	1	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	16
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved</u> <u>Staff</u> Comments	29
<u>Item 2.</u>	Properties 199	29
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal</u> Proceedings	30
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety</u> Disclosures	31
PART II		31
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	31
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected</u> Financial Data	33
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
<u>Item 7A</u> .	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures	56

	<u>About Market</u> <u>Risk</u>	
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	57
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	57
<u>Item 9A.</u>	Controls and Procedures	57
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	57
PART II	58	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors. Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	58
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	58
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stackholder	58
	<u>Stockholder</u> <u>Matters</u>	
<u>Item 13.</u>		58

	Principal Accountant Fees and Services			
PART I	V	59		
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	59		
Consolidated Financial Statements		F-1		
Exhibit Index				
EX-31.1 EX-32.1 EX-101 EX-101	EX-23.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.2 INX-101.SCH .EXL-101.DEF IEXB101.PRE			

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements (as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995). For this purpose, any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, the words "believes," "anticipates," "plans," "expects," "should" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The factors discussed under "Item 1A. Risk Factors," among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by forward-looking statements made herein and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or alter our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

We use the terms the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" in this annual report on Form 10-K to refer to Higher One Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise.

PART I

Item 1. Business

We are a leading provider of technology-based refund disbursement, payment processing and data analytics services to higher education institutions and students. We also provide campus communities with convenient and student-oriented banking services, which include extensive user-friendly features, through our bank partners.

The disbursement of financial aid and other refunds to students is a highly regulated, resource-consuming and recurrent obligation of higher education institutions. The student disbursement process has historically been mainly paper-based, which is costly and inefficient at most higher education institutions. Institutions face increasing pressure to improve administrative efficiency and the quality of service provided to students while streamlining regulatory compliance in respect of financial aid refunds.

We believe our products provide significant benefits to both higher education institutions and their campus communities, including students. For our higher education institution clients, we offer our Refund Management® (formerly known as OneDisburse® Refund Management®) funds disbursement service. Our disbursement service facilitates the distribution of financial aid and other refunds to students, while simultaneously enhancing the ability of our higher education institution clients to comply with the federal regulations applicable to financial aid transactions. By using our refund disbursement service, our clients save on the cost of handling disbursements, improve related business processes, increase the speed with which students receive their refunds and help ensure their ability to comply with applicable regulations.

Students at institutions that use the Refund Management service may choose to have their refunds delivered via ACH transfer to any bank account, via paper check or via direct deposit to a OneAccount. The OneAccount is an optional Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)-insured deposit account serviced by Higher One and provided by our bank partners. Students who choose to open a OneAccount may use their Higher One Debit MasterCard® to make purchases and withdraw money from Higher One ATMs. The OneAccount is cost competitive and tailored to students, providing them with convenient and fast access to disbursement funds as well as a full range of transaction services

We offer payment transaction services through our Payment Processing (formerly known as CASHNet®) suite of payment products, which are primarily software-as-a-service solutions that facilitate electronic payment transactions allowing higher education institutions to easily and cost effectively receive electronic payments from students, parents and others for essential education-related financial transactions. Features of our payment services include online bill

presentment and online payment capabilities for tuition and other fees.

We also offer a suite of data analytics products to higher education institutions called Educational Services (formerly known as Campus Labs®) which is one of the only specialized, comprehensive assessment programs that combines data collection, reporting, organizing and campus-wide integration.

On May 7, 2013, we purchased substantially all of the assets of the Campus Solutions division of Sallie Mae, Inc.(Sallie Mae), which provides refund disbursement and payment solutions, including tuition payment plans, to higher education institutions.

Higher One, Inc., or HOI, was founded in 2000. HOI is our principal operating subsidiary and directly or indirectly runs all of our business lines. In July 2008, HOI formed Higher One Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, or HOH, which is now the holding company for all of our operations. In November 2009, we acquired Informed Decisions Corporation, or IDC, (doing business as CASHNet), which we renamed Higher One Payments, Inc. and subsequently merged into HOI. HOI owns Higher One Machines, Inc., a Delaware corporation, or HOMI, which performs certain operational functions. Higher One, Inc. also owns Higher One Real Estate, Inc., a Delaware corporation, or Real Estate Inc., and its subsidiary, Higher One Real Estate SP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, or Real Estate LLC, both of which were formed to hold certain of our real estate. In 2012, we formed Higher One Financial Technology Private Limited, an Indian entity of which HOI and HOMI collectively own 99%, to perform certain operational support functions.

Our Strategy

We believe that there is opportunity for significant future growth. We intend to continue to increase revenue and profitability by strengthening our position as a leading provider of technology services to the higher education industry. Key elements of our growth strategy include:

Expanding the number of contracted higher education institutions; Increasing OneAccount adoption and usage, including primary checking account usage rates; Cross-selling our products to existing clients to increase the number of products used by each institution; Enhancing our products and services to create new sources of revenue; and Pursuing strategic partnerships and opportunistic acquisitions.

Products and Services

We provide products and services to two distinct, but related markets: higher education institutions and students.

Products and Services for Higher Education Institutions

We provide our higher education institution clients with an integrated suite of products and services. These include our Refund Management service, our Payment Processing suite, our Educational Services and the product suites that were previously offered under the Campus Solutions brand.

Refund Management

Our Refund Management service is a turnkey solution that provides higher education institution clients with a comprehensive technology service for streamlining the student refund disbursement process. Following the payment of their tuition and other school-related expenses, many students receive residual financial aid disbursements to cover non-academic school expenses, such as living expenses, and books. Students also receive other disbursements, such as a refund following withdrawal from a course or miscellaneous fee reimbursements. Higher education institutions have typically processed these refund disbursements by preparing and distributing paper checks, which is both time consuming and costly for institutions and slow and inconvenient for students. With the Refund Management service, the institution sends the full amount of each student's disbursement to us and we then forward the funds to the student in accordance with the student's instructions. For students with OneAccounts, disbursements are generally made by electronic transfers to their OneAccounts. By partnering with us to provide refund disbursements and related processes, including the student/customer service function, our clients reduce their time and cost spent on handling disbursements, improve the related business processes and increase convenience for students. In addition to saving time and costs for our clients, the Refund Management service is designed to ensure that the refund disbursement process is compliant with all applicable federal regulations, thereby providing our clients with compliance monitoring services, which eases their administrative and regulatory burden. The Refund Management service also has a number of features that benefit students receiving refunds, including convenient and fast processing of refunds and notifications via email or text message of incoming refund disbursements. As of December 31, 2013, more than 600 campuses serving approximately 5.0 million students had contracted to use the Refund Management service.

Additionally, we offer the following related products and services:

Refund Management® ID (formerly known as OneDisburse ID). We offer our higher education institution clients the option to combine our debit card with the institution's ID cards. If an institution elects this option, we provide its students with a debit MasterCard ATM card that also serves as their official campus identification.

Campus Payroll (formerly known as OneDisburse Payroll). Our Campus Payroll product can quickly and efficiently distribute payroll and other employee-related payments through the Refund Management Platform.

PLUS Loan Refund Management (formerly known as OneDisburse PLUS). Our PLUS Loan Refund Management service enables institutions to distribute Parent PLUS loan refunds to parents on behalf of the institution.

Financial Intelligence. Our Financial Intelligence product delivers financial literacy to students at higher education institutions that can be purchased by the institution and offered directly to students through the institution's existing Higher One co-branded website. This product offers students an online class that uses game-based learning to help teach financial literacy.

Accounts Payable Solution (formerly known as VendorPay). Our Accounts Payable Solution helps institutions simplify their accounts payable disbursements by eliminating paper checks and providing increased security. 2

Payment Processing Suite

Our Payment Processing suite includes the following software-as-a-service products and services, which our higher education institution clients may purchase separately or together as a bundle.

ePayment. Our ePayment product enables higher education institutions to securely accept online payments for tuition, charges and fees from students via credit card, via pinless debit or via ACH. Our ePayment product also allows students to set up and maintain recurring payments and authorize other users such as parents to pay student-related charges on their behalf. SmartPay, a feature of ePayment, enables higher education institutions to reduce the cost of accepting credit and debit cards by passing the convenience fee to the payers.

eBill. Our eBill product enables higher education institutions to automate payer billing and processing functions performed on campus and to extend payment services. This product allows the student or authorized payer to view the bill online and enables them to make payments online. By automating the billing process and facilitating electronic payments, higher education institutions can reduce administrative and labor costs, deliver bills quickly and securely and increase student and authorized payer convenience. eBill also expedites the processing, authorization and receipt of student payments.

Tuition Payment Plans. Our Tuitions Payment Plans enable higher education institutions to personalize students' payment plans in order to better meet the individual needs of each student. In particular, Tuition Payment Plans offer campus administrators the ability to tailor payment plan rules and fees; access the status and history of each student's account; and calculate the due date and payment schedule for each student. We also offer a version of this product where we fully administer all or most aspects of payment plans on behalf of institutions.

eMarket. Our eMarket product enables higher education institutions to provide their academic, athletic and other departments with Internet e-commerce storefronts that can be used for, among other things, taking alumni donations, selling items such as event tickets, t-shirts and other merchandise, and accepting payments of event and conference registration fees. Higher education institutions can also use eMarket as an administrative portal to maintain centralized control of policy setting and reporting while allowing individual departments and entities autonomy to manage their operations. This centralized approach enables the institution to update policies related to campus commerce immediately and uniformly throughout all departmental campus storefronts.

Cashiering. Our Cashiering product enables higher education institutions to operate and manage their cashiering functions, back office payments and campus-wide departmental deposits. In particular, Cashiering allows: institutions to process walk-in and mail payments at any cashier's office on campus, departments to allocate deposits to specific general ledger accounts in a paperless environment, and multiple locations to receive any information that is downloaded into the Payment Processing database.

Educational Services Suite

Our Educational Services Suite offers the following platforms for assessment in higher education, which combine data collection, reporting, organization and campus-wide integration.

Compliance Assist. Our Compliance Assist product is a fully integrated and comprehensive online solution for managing institutional research, planning and accreditation needs. We provide institutions with innovative web solutions to organize and present planning, assessment, and accreditation reports.

Baseline. Our Baseline product provides our higher education institution clients with technology, resources, and expert consultation to create an integrated, coordinated, and comprehensive assessment approach across their campuses. Accessible to all higher education institution stakeholders, Baseline was designed to connect and translate assessment data for the purposes of improving the student experience both inside and outside the classroom. Baseline allows campuses to measure learning, document student involvement, and inform strategic directions. Through assessment and reporting tools, divisions and departments at institutions can collect direct and indirect measures of learning, benchmark with peers and use assessment results to improve programs and services.

CollegiateLink. Our CollegiateLink product provides tools for managing student organizations and encouraging growth and development as students engage in co-curricular activities. CollegiateLink can also be utilized in areas outside of student activities and across an institution in order to achieve a variety of goals related to the student experience.

Beacon. Our Beacon product helps institutions utilize data in supporting student success. Beacon is a web-based solution focusing on six factors that are the strongest predictors of student retention and persistence, asking students questions about everything from their social skills and confidence levels to their attitude toward learning. By measuring cognitive ability as well as non-cognitive skills, Beacon is able to classify each student, produce reports for students and advisors, and recommend campus-wide resources for at-risk students.

Course Evaluations. Our Course Evaluation platform provides faculty and administrators with advanced evaluation tools and reporting capabilities to easily integrate course evaluation data into program planning, decision-making, and administrative review processes.

Campus Solutions Suite

In 2013, as a result of our acquisition of the Campus Solutions division of Sallie Mae, we began providing the following products and services, which we plan to integrate into our existing products and services, to higher education institutions:

Campus Solutions NetPay. The Campus Solutions NetPay product provides electronic bill presentment and payment functionality to reduce printing and mailing costs and provides access to online billing for students and parents. It also provides electronic payment gateway services to allow any user to make a one-time credit card, debit card or ACH payment and additional services, such as payment processing for admissions applications and 1098-T support.

Campus Solutions Tuition Payment Plans. Campus Solutions Tuition Payment Plans enable students and their families to make a discrete number of regular monthly payments during the academic year or semester in lieu of paying the entire tuition amount upfront at the beginning of each academic year or semester.

• Campus Solutions Refunds. The Campus Solutions Refunds product provides a mechanism for schools to disburse funds to students and parents, enabling students to use a portion of their financial aid proceeds to buy books and for living expenses. The Refunds product incorporates multiple disbursement options, including

paper checks, ACH transactions, and reloadable debit cards. In 2013, we began converting clients using this product to the Refund Management platform, and will continue to focus our efforts on doing so during 2014.

Products and Services for Students - The OneAccount

Through our bank partners, we offer optional OneAccounts—FDIC-insured online checking accounts—to students, as well as faculty, staff and alumni. For students using the standard OneAccount, there is no monthly fee and no minimum balance requirement. We provide OneAccount holders with a debit MasterCard ATM card. Accountholders can use their debit MasterCard instead of cash or writing checks to make purchases wherever MasterCard is accepted. Many accountholders also use their debit MasterCard to pay bills automatically, send money instantly to other OneAccount holders and have access to Higher One ATMs located on or near our client institutions' campuses with no fee to OneAccount holders.

The OneAccount includes features designed to provide students with powerful, convenient, user-friendly tools to manage their finances, such as free balance updates via text messaging, mobile low balance alerts, a cash-back rewards program, a mobile banking app, a mobile deposit feature and a scan deposit feature. Other customized features of the OneAccount include: "Campus Auto-Load," which allows students to set up automatic funds transfers to campus declining balance accounts, and the "Request Money" and "Send Money" features, which allow students to request money from parents and provides parents with a mechanism to make person-to-person payments into students' OneAccounts, respectively.

We also offer OneAccount Premier and OneAccount Edge. These accounts offer different fee structures and features that are designed to provide students with more choice and incentivize primary account usage. OneAccount Premier enables accountholders to access over 38,000 Allpoint® ATMs and offers additional features and services for a monthly fee of \$5.95, which fee is waived if an accountholder sets up monthly direct deposit of at least \$300. OneAccount Edge accountholders are assessed only one monthly fee of \$4.95 and are charged no additional fees by Higher One.

As of December 31, 2013, there were approximately 2.2 million OneAccounts, inclusive of OneAccount Premier and OneAccount Edge.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing efforts separately target our two key markets: higher education institutions and students.

Higher Education Institutions

Our dedicated and experienced sales team actively markets our products and services to higher education institutions in the United States. This team identifies potential new clients through a variety of channels, including higher education regional and national tradeshows, existing client showcase events and word-of-mouth referrals. The sales process typically involves an extended solicitation period that usually includes phone conversations, in-person presentations and formal proposals to various levels of administrators. Our primary points of contact are generally an institution's chief financial officer, bursar or chief technology officer.

An important part of our sales effort is educating our potential clients about the benefits of our products and services for both the higher education institution and its students. Institutions generally are attracted to the idea of partnering with us to provide their payment functions because of the resulting operating efficiencies, compliance monitoring and the potential benefits to students, such as receiving financial aid disbursements and paying bills more quickly and conveniently.

Students

Once we enter into a contract with a higher education institution for the Refund Management service, we begin working with the institution to educate students about making a refund preference selection and Higher One's consumer products and services.

We work closely with our higher education institution clients to prepare students for the refund disbursement process. Our higher education institution clients provide us with student email addresses that we commonly use to communicate with students about their refund delivery options, which include the optional OneAccount suite. We use email and on-campus orientation events to distribute tips and other information to improve students' financial literacy, such as explaining how a checking account works, how to protect against security breaches and how to avoid excessive fees.

Customer and Client Service

We are dedicated to addressing the needs of both our higher education institution clients and our student customers and accountholders. We believe that our multi-pronged approach to providing cost-effective customer service helps make us an industry-leader in customer satisfaction.

Higher Education Institutions

We believe we enhance our sales and marketing efforts by providing reliable after-sale service. Our dedicated client-service employees are focused on servicing our higher education institution clients.

We provide higher education institution clients with a variety of service touch points, which may include a dedicated project manager and relationship manager, OneSupport (our client support for managers and administrative staff at our higher education institutions), and the Higher One User Group, or HUG, client conference we hold regularly. Our dedicated relationship managers are responsible for ensuring that we maintain a strong relationship with each of our institution clients and for assisting, supporting and providing updates on the quality and use of our services. OneSupport is designed to address a range of client issues from client-specific technical questions to client service matters that require management's attention. During our HUG conferences, clients can meet in-person with our management and staff to learn about new features and products and updates to current offerings.

Students

We have after-sales customer service representatives to assist students and others in the campus community that use our products and services. Our website provides a searchable database of frequently asked questions that we regularly update. This database helps us assist our self-service oriented customers. We also provide students with the ability to contact us via telephone, email and text message.

We systematically evaluate our performance through analysis of our internal service levels established for customer service inquiries and response and issue resolution times. We also record and analyze refund delivery cycles and seasonal variances to help identify and adapt to particularly high volume periods by, among other things, increasing ATM cash holdings for peak refund periods and increasing customer service staff during seasonally busy periods, which is typically the beginning of each semester.

Key Relationships with Third Parties

We maintain relationships with a number of third parties that provide key services for us. By partnering with third-party providers, we are able to streamline our own operations and infrastructure and provide a high level of specialized services. Our primary third-party provider relationships are with the following entities:

Bank Partners

We have multiple bank partners that provide depository services for our OneAccounts and other banking functions. We have entered into agreements with Customers Bank, a Pennsylvania state chartered bank, WEX Bank (formerly known as Wright Express Financial Services Corporation), a Utah industrial bank, or WEX, and Urban Trust Bank, a federal savings bank, or UTB. We refer to these banks collectively as our Bank Partners. In 2013, we ended our relationship with Cole Taylor Bank, an Illinois chartered bank, or Cole Taylor, and began our relationship with Customers Bank.

Under the agreements, our Bank Partners collectively perform various banking functions, including providing and maintaining demand deposit or negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, processing wire transfers, supplying cash for our ATMs, issuing cards and performing various corresponding bank services. We provide processing and other administrative services, including customer services, and maintain responsibility for the technology-related aspects of the OneAccounts. Our Bank Partners' primary compensation is to retain the investment returns earned on OneAccount deposits. We may earn from each institution a monthly processing fee based the number of OneAccounts. We are required to keep certain minimum deposit balances. Each of the respective agreements has an initial term of five years, after which each agreement will automatically renew for additional three-year terms unless either party cancels subject to customary notice periods.

We continue to monitor and assess our Bank Partner relationships and may add additional bank partners as necessary.

Fiserv Solutions, Inc.

Fiserv Solutions, Inc., or Fiserv, provides back-end account and transaction data processing for OneAccounts and debit MasterCard transactions, including core processing, ACH processing, issuance authorization and settlement, ATM driving and related services. We began our relationship with Fiserv in November 2001 and signed a new agreement in 2012 that is scheduled to expire in 2017. Thereafter, unless either party cancels, our agreement will automatically renew for successive three year terms. We pay Fiserv a monthly fee for services rendered and related software licenses.

MasterCard International Incorporated

MasterCard International Incorporated, or MasterCard, provides the payment network for our debit MasterCard ATM cards and certain other transactions, including for SmartPay. In 2012, we signed a new exclusive agreement with MasterCard for the issuance and marketing of debit cards through 2017. We arrange for the marketing of both embossed and unadorned MasterCard debit cards. We receive various incentives from MasterCard for achieving growth targets in the issuance and promotion of our cards.

Comerica Incorporated and Global Payments Inc.

Comerica Incorporated and Global Payments Inc., or Comerica and Global Payments, provide transaction processing and banking services for payment processing related to the SmartPay feature of our ePayment service. The primary function of Global Payments is to route credit card authorization requests and to settle credit card transactions.

Comerica provides acquiring sponsorship in the card payment networks related to our SmartPay service. 7

Verizon Terremark

Verizon Terremark (formerly Terremark North America, Inc.) provides web and application hosting services in secure data centers. Verizon Terremark provides various managed services including security, network, cooling, power, hardware and other services to host our proprietary applications. Verizon Terremark is certified as compliant with Payment Card Industry's, or PCI, standards and has a business continuity plan. Under our standing agreement, we occasionally purchase computer hardware and software from Verizon Terremark, and we compensate Verizon Terremark on a monthly basis for services rendered. In connection with our capitalized information technology in-sourcing project, which includes our data centers, we expect to transition away from the services that Verizon Terremark provides to us.

Sallie Mae, Inc and Sallie Mae Bank

Sallie Mae, Inc. and Sallie Mae Bank, or collectively, Sallie Mae, provides operational and other services that are critical to the continued operation of our Campus Solutions suite of products and services. These services are performed (either directly by Sallie Mae or by vendors with which Sallie Mae has contracted) pursuant to Transition Services Agreements that are scheduled to expire in 2014. We are in the process of either insourcing these functions or contracting with third parties to provide them in the future.

Technology

We have invested in establishing a secure technology platform to provide us with a flexible and scalable infrastructure. Our technology strategy is to focus our internal resources on proprietary applications while leveraging third party partnerships or purchases for more routine applications. For example, the Refund Management and OneAccount platforms include major components of internally developed software, while we partner with third parties to provide banking core processing and transaction processing. (See "Key Relationships with Third Parties" above)

The key modules of our technology platform include:

HigherOneAccount.com

Our software engineering team has developed and maintains this web application, which allows students and parents to manage their OneAccount. It offers robust, self-service online banking for our OneAccount accountholders including: viewing statements, paying bills, making electronic deposits, making electronic transfers and filing service requests. It also integrates institution-specific features, including management of payroll, financial aid refunds and automatic replenishment of campus accounts through Campus Auto-Load. This website also provides opportunities for co-branding with our higher education institution clients.

HigherOneSupport.com

We maintain this administrative website for use by our higher education institution clients and our internal staff. It offers institutions useful functions, including real-time reports, research on cards and students, access control for administrators to the website and an audit trail of all cash movement. Our internal staff performs customer service, transaction flow monitoring, access control for employees and site administration for this website.

HigherLink

HigherLink is our batch file processing engine for integrating our technology with the systems of our higher education institution clients and other external parties. It handles import and processing of cardholder demographic data, photos

and disbursement files, as well as export of card status files and other integration files.

CASHNet.com

This web application is used to administer and initiate transactions in our Payment Processing suite of products. Higher education institution administrators can change certain settings and run reports, while students and parents can perform certain functions, such as viewing electronic bills, making payments and enrolling in payment plans. 8

CampusLabs.com

The Campus Labs web application provides an integrated platform for the various Campus Labs modules to provide for a better customer experience. Interfaces include a mobile and desktop website for students and administrators. Integration with outside systems is accomplished via a number of methods including over the web.

NetPay and Tuition Payment Plans

These web applications are used to administer and initiate transactions in our NetPay payment product and Tuition Payment Plans platform. Higher education institution administrators can change certain settings and run reports, while students and parents can perform certain functions, such as viewing electronic bills, making payments and enrolling in payment plans.

Technology Audits

Our development team, consisting of both in-house and third party contractor team members, develops and tests our proprietary software applications, including our regular software releases. Since 2006, we have conducted technology audits that are designed to identify weaknesses in our information technology infrastructure and to provide recommendations for how to improve it. We incorporate the audit findings into our strategic planning process. Additionally, our Payment Processing suite was most recently certified as PCI-compliant in January 2014. Most of our critical systems have internal redundancy functions and often include secondary sites. On an annual basis, a review of the Refund Management and Payment Processing systems is performed. Type II Service Organization Control SOC 1 reports are issued, in accordance with Statement on Standards of Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 16 (Reporting on Controls at a Service Organization).

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as nondisclosure agreements and other agreements and technical measures to protect our technology and intellectual property rights, including our proprietary software.

We have four registered patents and several patent applications in the United States relating to our products and services. In addition, we use a variety of unregistered trademarks and have several registered trademarks in the United States, including Higher One® and Refund Management®. Our domain names include "HigherOne.com," "HigherOneSupport.com," "HigherOneAccount.com," "CASHNet.com", "CampusLabs.com" and our proprietary software includes both internal and customer facing applications. See "Part I, Item 1. Business—Technology" of this annual report on Form 10-K. We also license certain intellectual property from third parties.

Our issued patents expire in 2023 and 2024. Our trademark registrations have various expiration dates, but, subject to applicable law at the time, our trademark registrations generally can be renewed or otherwise extended on an ongoing basis based on proper use and formal renewals.

Although our business is not dependent on any single item of our intellectual property portfolio, and no item of our intellectual property is material to the operation of our business, we believe that our intellectual property provides a competitive advantage, and from time to time we have taken steps to enforce our intellectual property rights. See "Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings" of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Competition

We do not believe there is a competitor that provides a suite of products and services to the higher education industry that is as comprehensive, integrated and tailored as ours. However, the market for refund and payment services in the higher education industry is competitive. Other companies, including Nelnet, Inc., PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and TouchNet Information Systems, Inc., provide refund or payment software, products and services that are competitive to those that we offer. For student banking and debit card services, we compete with national and regional banks and credit unions. Companies such as TaskStream, LLC, Tk20, Inc. and Nuventive LLC offer data analytics products and services in the higher education industry.

While many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have, may in the future offer a wider range of products and services and may use advertising and marketing strategies that achieve broader brand recognition, we believe that our products and services remain competitive in their respective markets. In particular, we believe that the functionality and service provided by our Refund Management, Payment Processing and Educational Services suites of products provide us with a competitive advantage, while the pricing of, and services provided for, our retail banking products are competitive with those of other providers. We continue to enhance our offerings and augment our services through increased customization and creating more personalized options for school administrators.

Government Regulation

As a payments processor to higher education institutions that takes payment instructions from institutions and their constituents, including students and employees, and gives them to our Bank Partners, we are directly or indirectly subject to a variety of federal and state laws and regulations. The following discussion does not purport to be a complete description of all of the laws and regulations that may affect us or all aspects of those laws and regulations. To the extent statutory or regulatory provisions are described in this discussion, the description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statutory or regulatory provisions.

Our contracts with most of our higher education institution clients and our Bank Partners require us to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including, where applicable, regulations promulgated by the United States Department of Education, or ED, regarding the handling of student financial aid funds received by institutions on behalf of their students under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, or Title IV; the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1975, or FERPA; the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and Regulation E promulgated thereunder, or Regulation E; the USA PATRIOT Act and related anti-money laundering requirements; and certain federal rules regarding safeguarding personal information, including rules implementing the privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999, or GLBA.

Higher Education Regulations

Because of the services we provide to some institutions with regard to the handling of Title IV funds, we are considered a "third-party servicer" under the Title IV regulations. Those regulations require a third-party servicer annually to submit a compliance audit conducted by outside independent auditors that covers the servicer's Title IV activities. Each year we submit a "Compliance Attestation Examination of the Title IV Student Financial Assistance Programs" audit to ED, which includes a report by an independent audit firm. In addition, the yearly compliance audit submission to ED provides comfort to our higher education institution clients that we are in compliance with the third-party servicer regulations that may apply to us. We also provide this compliance audit report to clients upon request to help them fulfill their compliance audit obligations as Title IV participating institutions.

Under ED's regulations, a third party servicer that contracts with a Title IV institution acts in the nature of a fiduciary in the administration of Title IV programs. Among other requirements, the regulations provide that a third-party servicer is jointly and severally liable with its client institution for any liability to ED arising out of the servicer's violation of Title IV or its implementing regulations, which could subject us to material fines related to acts or omissions of entities beyond our control. ED is also empowered to limit, suspend or terminate the violating servicer's eligibility to act as a third-party servicer and to impose significant civil penalties on the violating servicer.

Additionally, on behalf of our higher education institution clients, we are required to comply with ED's cash management regulations regarding payment of financial aid credit balances to students and providing bank accounts to students that may be used for receiving such payments. 10

On May 1, 2012, ED published in the Federal Register a notice of intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to draft proposed regulations designed to prevent fraud through the use of electronic fund transfers to students' bank accounts, ensure proper use of federal financial aid funds, address the use of debit cards and other banking products for disbursing federal financial aid funds, and improve and streamline campus' financial aid programs. We provided written and oral comments at a hearing held by ED in connection with the negotiated rulemaking process and have provided additional information to ED. On April 16, 2013, ED announced additional topics for consideration, and in early 2014, formed a negotiated rulemaking committee. Our Chief Operating Officer, was selected by ED to serve on the committee as a primary negotiator. The committee convened in February 2014 and will have additional meetings through, at least, April 2014 to discuss new regulations addressing, among other things, cash management timeframes, methods and procedures, consumer safeguards regarding debit and prepaid cards associated with Title IV credit balance disbursements, marketing of financial products (including sending unsolicited cards to students and co-branding of the card and materials) by institutions and their preferred banks or contractors, revenue sharing arrangements, ATM access and availability and the possibility of a government-sponsored debit or prepaid card solution. Several of the views expressed at the first session were unfavorable to certain of our current business practices.

Our higher education institution clients are subject to FERPA, which provides with certain exceptions that an educational institution that receives any federal funding under a program administered by ED may not have a policy or practice of disclosing education records or "personally identifiable information" from education records, other than directory information to third parties without the student's or parent's written consent. Our higher education institution clients disclose to us certain non-directory information concerning their students, including contact information, student identification numbers and the amount of students' credit balances pursuant to one or more exceptions under FERPA.

Additionally, as we are indirectly subject to FERPA, we may not permit the transfer of any personally identifiable information to another party other than in a manner in which an educational institution may disclose it. While we believe that we have adequate policies and procedures in place to safeguard against the risk of improper disclosure of this information to third parties, a breach of this prohibition could result in a five-year suspension of our access to the related client's records. We may also be subject to similar state laws and regulations that restrict higher education institutions from disclosing certain personally identifiable information of students.

Banking Regulations

Our Bank Partners are depository institutions that perform banking-related functions, including providing and maintaining checking accounts for OneAccounts. Funds held in accounts at our Bank Partners are insured by the FDIC up to applicable limits. As FDIC-insured depository institutions, our Bank Partners are subject to comprehensive government regulation and supervision and, in the course of making their services available to our customers, we are required to assist our Bank Partners in complying with certain of their regulatory obligations. Among other laws and regulations, the anti-money laundering provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act require that customer identifying information be obtained and verified whenever a bank account is established. For example, because we facilitate the opening of checking accounts at our Bank Partners on behalf of our customers, we assist our Bank Partners in collecting the customer identification information that is necessary to open an account. In addition, both we and our Bank Partners are subject to the laws and regulations enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control, or OFAC, which prohibit U.S. persons from engaging in transactions with certain prohibited persons. As a service provider to insured depository institutions, we are required under federal law to agree to submit to examination by our Bank Partners' primary federal regulators, which are the FDIC in the case of WEX, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or the OCC, in the case of UTB and the Federal Reserve in the case of Customers Bank and Cole Taylor. We also are subject to audit by our Bank Partners to ensure that we appropriately comply with our obligations to them. Failure to comply with our responsibilities could negatively affect our operations. Our Bank

Partners are required under our respective agreements, and we rely on our Bank Partners' abilities to, comply with state and federal banking regulations.

Our Bank Partners provide depository services for OneAccounts through a private label relationship. We provide processing services for these OneAccounts. These services are subject to, among other things, the requirements of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection's, or CFPB's, Regulation E, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of ATMs, debit cards and certain other electronic banking services. Regulation E, among other things, requires initial disclosures of the terms and conditions of electronic fund transfers, dissemination of periodic statements to consumers for each monthly cycle in which an electronic fund transfer has occurred and prompt investigation and resolution of reported errors in electronic funds transfers. Regulation E also provides for limits on customer liability for transactions made with lost or stolen debit cards based upon the timeliness of the electronic banking services provided to our customers.

Regulation E prohibits a financial institution from assessing an overdraft fee for paying ATM and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw a consumer's account, unless the consumer affirmatively consents, or opts in, to the institution's payment of overdrafts for these services. We and our Bank Partners comply with this restriction, and we do not currently offer the opt-in feature to our customers for ATM or one-time debit card transactions.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, increased the already substantial regulation and oversight of the financial services industry and imposed restrictions on the ability of firms within the industry, including us, to conduct business consistent with historical practices. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act created the CFPB to regulate any person engaged in a "financial activity" in connection with a consumer financial product or service, including those, such as us, that process financial services products and services. The CFPB has assumed regulatory authority for many of the consumer protection laws to which we and our Bank Partners are subject and may have direct supervisory authority over us. The CFPB has authority to issue and enforce regulations relating to consumer financial products. In early 2013, the CFPB published a Notice and Request for Information Regarding Financial Products Marketed to Students Enrolled in Institutions could be structured to promote positive financial decision-making among young consumers and information regarding financial products and services that are offered to college students. In September 2013, the CFPB hosted a forum on this topic at which selected members of the public, including students and representatives from institutions, state government agencies and ED were invited to present; some of the participants expressed opinions that were unfavorable of us.

The Dodd-Frank Act also required changes to the manner in which merchants accept and process certain debit- and credit-card transactions. Specifically, the Dodd-Frank Act, subject to certain exemptions, requires the Federal Reserve to impose limits on debit card interchange fees tied principally to the cost of processing the transaction, which may have the result of decreasing revenue to debit card issuers and processors. On October 1, 2011, the Federal Reserve's final rule implementing these limits on debit card interchange fees became effective. Issuers such as our Bank Partners that, together with their affiliates, have less than \$10 billion in assets are exempt from the debit card interchange fee standards, although they are subject to the prohibitions on network exclusivity and routing restrictions. Nevertheless, it is anticipated that smaller issuers, such as our Bank Partners, may also be impacted. Some federal, state, and local government-administered payment programs that use debit cards are exempt from this interchange fee restriction.

Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act permits merchants to offer a discount or other incentive to encourage use of one form of payment over another. Furthermore, the Dodd-Frank Act, as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board's final rule, prohibits an issuer or payment card network from restricting the number of payment card networks over which an electronic debit transaction may be processed to fewer than two unaffiliated networks, or restricting the ability of a merchant to direct the routing of electronic debit transactions over any of the networks that an issuer has enabled to process the electronic debit transactions. The Dodd-Frank Act also allows merchants to set minimum purchase thresholds for credit card transactions, provided such thresholds do not exceed \$10, and it permits institutions of higher education and federal agencies – which constitute many of our clients – to impose maximum dollar amounts for credit-card purchases. Individual state legislatures are also reviewing interchange fees, and legislators in a number of states have proposed bills that purport to limit interchange fees or merchant discount rates or to prohibit their application to portions of a transaction.

Federal and state regulatory agencies also frequently propose and adopt changes to their regulations or change the manner in which existing regulations are applied. We cannot predict the substance or impact of pending or future legislation or regulation, or the application thereof, although changes to existing law could affect how we and our Bank Partners operate and could significantly increase costs, impede the efficiency of internal business processes and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner. 12

Privacy and Data Regulation

We are subject to laws and regulations relating to the collection, use, retention, security and transfer of personally identifiable information and data regarding our customers and their financial information. In addition, we are bound by our own privacy policies and practices concerning the collection, use and disclosure of user data, which are posted on certain of our website pages.

In conjunction with the disbursement, payroll and tuition payment services we make available through our Bank Partners, it is necessary to collect certain information from our customers (such as bank account and routing numbers) to transmit to our Bank Partners. Our Bank Partners use this information to execute the funds transfers requested by our customers. These funds transfers are accomplished primarily by means of ACH networks and other wire transfer systems, such as FedWire. To the extent the data required by these electronic funds networks change, the information that we will be required to request from our clients may also change.

We are subject, either directly or by virtue of our contractual relationship with our Bank Partners, to the privacy and security standards of the GLBA privacy regulations, as well as certain state data protection laws and regulations. The GLBA privacy regulations require that we develop, implement and maintain a written comprehensive information security program prescribing safeguards that are appropriate to our size and complexity, the nature and scope of our activities and the sensitivity of any personally identifiable information we access for processing purposes or otherwise maintain. As a service provider of our Bank Partners, we also are limited in our use and disclosure of the personal information we receive from our Bank Partners, which we may use and disclose only for the purposes for which it was provided to us, and consistent with such Bank Partner's own data privacy and security obligations. We also are subject to the standards set forth in guidance on data security issued by the Federal Financial Institution Examination Council, as well as the data security standards imposed by the card associations, including Visa, Inc. and MasterCard. In addition, we are subject to similar data security breach laws enacted by a number of states.

New legislation and regulations in this area have been proposed, both at the federal and state level. Such measures, including pending federal legislation, would potentially impose additional obligations on us, including requiring that we provide notifications to consumers and government authorities in the event of a data breach or unauthorized access or disclosure, beyond what state law already requires.

Compliance

We monitor our compliance through an internal audit program and our compliance management system. Our full-time internal auditor works with a third-party internal audit firm to conduct annual reviews to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements described above. The costs of these audits and the costs of complying with the applicable regulatory requirements are significant. Increased regulatory requirements on our products and services, such as in connection with the matters described above, could materially increase our costs or reduce revenue.

Regulatory Oversight and Inquiries

Because our technology services are provided in connection with the financial products of our Bank Partners, our activities are occasionally reviewed by regulatory agencies to ensure that we do not impermissibly engage in activities that require licensing at the state or federal level or that otherwise may be deemed to be in violation of law. In the ordinary course of business, we receive letters and inquiries concerning the nature of our business as it applies to state "money transmitter" licensing and regulations from different state regulatory agencies. To date, we have cooperated with such inquiries by explaining the nature of our business, which, to our knowledge, has satisfied the inquiring authorities. We have from time to time provided certain information regarding our business and operations to state attorneys general, congressional members and various governmental agencies.

Our operations and the operations of our Bank Partners are subject to the jurisdiction and examination of federal, state and local regulatory authorities, including the FDIC with respect to WEX, the OCC with respect to UTB and the Federal Reserve with respect to Customers Bank and Cole Taylor.

In February 2011, the New York Regional Office of the FDIC notified us that it was prepared to recommend to the Director of FDIC Supervision that an enforcement action be taken against us for alleged violations of certain applicable laws and regulations principally relating to our compliance management system and policies and practices for past overdraft charging on persistently delinquent accounts, collections and transaction error resolution. We responded to the FDIC's notification and have been in regular dialogue with the FDIC since 2010. We voluntarily initiated a plan in December 2011 that provided credits to certain current and former customers that were previously assessed certain insufficient fund fees. As a result of this plan, we recorded a reduction in our revenue of approximately \$4.7 million in 2011, which excludes the restitution of unpaid fees. The insufficient funds fees that were credited to customers under this plan were originally assessed beginning in 2008. On August 8, 2012, we received a Consent Order, Order for Restitution, and Order to Pay Civil Money Penalty, or the Consent Order, dated August 7, 2012, issued by the FDIC to settle such alleged violations. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Order, we neither admitted nor denied any charges when agreeing to the terms of the Consent Order. Under the terms of the Consent Order, we are required to, among other things, review and revise our compliance management system and, to date, we have already substantially revised our compliance management system. Additionally, the Consent Order provides for restrictions on the charging of certain fees. The Consent Order further provides that we shall make restitution to less than 2% of our customers since 2008 for fees previously assessed, which restitution has been substantially completed through the voluntary customer credit plan described above, and pay a civil money penalty of \$0.1 million. As a result of the Consent Order and completion of the related examination, we believe that all material exposure related to this matter has been recorded and we do not expect any further losses as a result of this matter.

The Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, or FRB Chicago, notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. We are cooperating with FRB Chicago in this matter. Any enforcement action arising out of this matter could include demands for civil money penalties, changes to certain of our business practices, and customer restitution. An action instituted against us that results in significant changes to our practices, the imposition on us of fines or penalties, or an obligation for us to pay restitution or civil money penalties could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 1,000 employees. In addition, during periods of peak activity, we add temporary staff to supplement our customer service department. None of our employees is a member of any labor union or subject to any collective bargaining agreement and we have never experienced any business interruption as a result of a labor dispute.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth information about individuals who currently serve, or during 2013 served, as our executive officers.

Name	Age	Title
Mark Volchek	36	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Miles Lasater	36	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Christopher Wolf	52	Chief Financial Officer
Casey McGuane	39	Chief Operating Officer

Robert Reach 57 Chief Sales Officer

Set forth below is certain biographical information for each of these individuals.

Mark Volchek is one of our founders and currently serves as Chief Executive Officer and President. From 2002 until May 2012, he served as chairman of our board, and from 2002 until June 2012, he served as our chief financial officer. From 2000 to 2002, he served as our chief executive officer. Mr. Volchek is a founding officer of the Yale Entrepreneurial Society, a not-for-profit organization that promotes entrepreneurship among Yale students, faculty and alumni and served on its board from 1999 to 2010. Since 2007, Mr. Volchek has been the chairman of the board of the Tweed New Haven Airport Authority. Other past civic roles have included positions on the New Haven Economic Development Commission and the Regional Growth Partnership strategic planning committee. Mr. Volchek holds a BA and an MA in economics from Yale University.

Miles Lasater is one of our founders and is currently chairman of our board and a part-time employee. He served as our president from 2012 until early 2014 and as our chief operations officer from 2000 until 2013. Mr. Lasater serves on the boards of Yale New Haven Hospital, SeeClickFix, Altschool and the Yale Humanist Community. He was a founding officer and board member of the Yale Entrepreneurial Society and has been a board member of the Yale Entrepreneurial Institute since 2008. Both are organizations at Yale University that promote entrepreneurship among Yale students, faculty and alumni. Mr. Lasater holds a BA in computer science from Yale University.

Christopher Wolf has served as our chief financial officer since March 2013. From 2007 to 2011, Mr. Wolf served as executive vice president and chief financial officer of publicly-traded Acxiom Corporation, where he had full responsibility for leadership of the corporate finance organization of this multinational marketing services and information management company. From 2011 to 2012, he served as executive vice president and chief financial officer of First Advantage Background Services, a privately held talent acquisition enterprise. Over the last two decades, he has held executive and senior advisory positions with Catalina Marketing Corporation and Boulder Brands Inc., among other companies. Mr. Wolf holds a BS in Accounting from Florida State University and a Master of Accounting degree from the University of North Carolina.

Casey McGuane has been our chief operating officer since July 2013. He joined Higher One in 2000 and served as our chief service officer from January 2009 until July 2013. Since July 2009, Mr. McGuane has served as a director of the Connecticut Association of Human Services, a not-for-profit organization in Hartford, Connecticut, and has served as vice president of the board since 2013. Mr. McGuane holds a BA in psychology from the University of Rhode Island.

Robert Reach has been our chief sales officer since 2009 and our vice president of sales from 2004 to 2009. From 1985 to 1990, Mr. Reach was the branch manager and national sales manager in the Financial Services Group for CompuServe and, from 1990 to 1995, he was the national sales manager in Lotus Development Corporations' One Source division. He also served as the vice president of sales for Metatec Corporation from 1995 to 1997. Additionally, from 2000 to 2001, Mr. Reach served as director of partner relations for HNC Software, an industry leader in credit card fraud prevention and analytic software. Mr. Reach holds a BA in English from Franklin and Marshall College.

Available Information

The Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including us, that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that we file with the SEC at http://www.sec.gov. We file annual reports, quarterly reports, proxy statements and other documents with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

We also make available free of charge through our website (http://ir.higherone.com) our annual report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and, if applicable, amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Information on our website is not incorporated into this report or any of our SEC filings and is not a part of them.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our financial condition and results of operations are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risk factors set forth below. The risks and uncertainties described in this report are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also affect our business. If any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occurs, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Reviews, examinations and enforcement actions by regulatory authorities under banking and consumer protection laws and regulations, and possible changes to those laws and regulations by legislative or regulatory action, may result in changes to our business practices or may expose us to the risk of fines, restitution and litigation.

Our operations and the operations of our Bank Partners are subject to the jurisdiction and examination of federal, state and local regulatory authorities, including the FDIC, which is WEX Bank's primary federal regulator, the OCC, which is UTB's primary federal regulator, and the Federal Reserve Bank, which is Customers Bank and Cole Taylor's primary federal regulator. Our business practices, including the terms of our products, are reviewed and approved by our Bank Partners and subject to both periodic and special reviews by such regulatory authorities, which can range from investigations into specific consumer complaints or concerns to broader inquiries into our practices generally. We and our Bank Partners are subject to ongoing and routine examination by the FDIC, OCC and Federal Reserve Bank. If, as part of any ongoing or future examination or review, the regulatory authorities conclude that we are not complying with applicable laws or regulations, they could request or impose a wide range of remedies, including, but not limited to, requiring changes to the terms of our products (such as decreases in fees or changes to the manner in which OneAccounts are marketed to students), the imposition of fines or penalties or the institution of enforcement proceedings or other similar actions against us alleging that our current or past practices constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices. As part of an enforcement action, the regulators can seek restitution for affected customers and impose civil money penalties. In addition, negative publicity relating to any specific inquiry or investigation or any related fine could adversely affect our stock price, our relationships with various industry participants, including our Bank Partners, or our ability to attract new clients and retain existing clients, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2011, the New York Regional Office of the FDIC notified us that it was prepared to recommend to the Director of FDIC Supervision that an enforcement action be taken against us for alleged violations of certain applicable laws and regulations principally relating to our compliance management system and policies and practices for past overdraft charging on persistently delinquent accounts, collections and transaction error resolution. We responded to the FDIC's notification, and have been in regular dialogue with the FDIC since 2010. We voluntarily initiated a plan in December 2011, which provided credits to certain current and former customers that were previously assessed certain insufficient fund fees. As a result of this plan, we recorded a reduction in our revenue of approximately \$4.7 million in 2011. On August 8, 2012, we received a Consent Order, Order for Restitution, and Order to Pay Civil Money Penalty, or the Consent Order, dated August 7, 2012, issued by the FDIC to settle such alleged violations. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Order, we neither admitted nor denied any charges when agreeing to the terms of the Consent Order. Under the terms of the Consent Order, we are required to, among other things, review and revise our compliance management system and, to date, we have substantially revised our compliance management system. Additionally, the Consent Order provides for restrictions on the charging of certain fees. The Consent Order further provides that we shall make restitution to less than 2% of our customers since 2008 for fees previously assessed, which restitution has been substantially completed through the voluntary customer credit plan described above, and pay a civil money penalty of \$0.1 million. We remain subject to the jurisdiction and examination of the FDIC and further action could be taken to the extent we do not comply with the terms of the Consent Order or if the FDIC were to identify additional violations of certain applicable laws and regulations.

The Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, or FRB Chicago, notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. We are cooperating with FRB Chicago in this matter. Any enforcement action arising out of this matter could include demands for civil money penalties, changes to certain of our business practices, and customer restitution. An action instituted against us that results in significant changes to our practices, the imposition on us of fines or penalties, or an obligation for us to pay restitution or civil money penalties could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Additionally, since 2012, we have received and responded to inquiries and information requests from certain federal legislators and regulatory agencies. These requests sought information related to our financial aid refund processing and the related services which we provide to students. Certain federal legislators have also sent communications regarding similar matters to various federal agencies, including ED and the CFPB. These inquiries or others could lead to further action by these or other governmental actors or agencies, including the introduction of legislation or new regulations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to substantial federal and state governmental regulation that could change and thus force us to make modifications to our business. Compliance with the various complex laws and regulations is costly and time consuming, and failure to comply could have a material adverse effect on our business. Additionally, increased regulatory requirements on our services may increase our costs, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a payments processor to higher education institutions that takes payment instructions from institutions and their constituents, including students and employees, and gives them to our Bank Partners, we are directly or indirectly subject to a variety of federal and state laws and regulations. Our contracts with most of our higher education institution clients and our Bank Partners require us to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, where applicable:

Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, or Title IV;
the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1975, or FERPA;
the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and Regulation E;
the USA PATRIOT Act and related anti-money laundering requirements; and
certain federal rules regarding safeguarding personal information, including rules implementing the privacy provisions of Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999, or GLBA.

Higher Education Regulations

Third-Party Servicer. Because of the services we provide to some institutions with regard to the handling of Title IV funds, we are considered a "third-party servicer" under the Title IV regulations. Those regulations require a third-party servicer annually to submit a compliance audit conducted by outside independent auditors that cover the servicer's Title IV activities. Each year we submit a "Compliance Attestation Examination of the Title IV Student Financial Assistance Programs" audit to ED, which includes a report by an independent audit firm. In addition, the yearly compliance audit submission to ED provides comfort to our higher education institution clients that we are in compliance with the third-party servicer regulations that may apply to us. We also provide this compliance audit report to clients upon request to help them fulfill their compliance audit obligations as Title IV participating institutions.

Under ED's regulations, a third party servicer that contracts with a Title IV institution acts in the nature of a fiduciary in the administration of Title IV programs. Among other requirements, the regulations provide that a third-party servicer is jointly and severally liable with its client institution for any liability to ED arising out of the servicer's violation of Title IV or its implementing regulations, which could subject us to material fines related to acts or omissions of entities beyond our control. ED is also empowered to limit, suspend or terminate the violating servicer's eligibility to act as a third-party servicer and to impose significant civil penalties on the violating servicer. Additionally, on behalf of our higher education institution clients, we are required to comply with ED's cash management regulations regarding payment of financial aid credit balances to students and providing bank accounts to students that may be used for receiving such payments. In the event ED concluded that we had violated Title IV or its implementing regulations and should be subject to one or more of these sanctions, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. There is limited enforcement and interpretive history of Title IV regulations.

On May 1, 2012, ED published in the Federal Register a notice of intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to draft proposed regulations designed to prevent fraud through the use of electronic fund transfers to students' bank accounts, ensure proper use of federal financial aid funds, address the use of debit cards and other banking products for disbursing federal financial aid funds, and improve and streamline campus' financial aid programs. We provided written and oral comments at a hearing held by ED in connection with the negotiated

rulemaking process and have provided additional information to ED. On April 16, 2013, ED announced additional topics for consideration, and in early 2014, formed a negotiated rulemaking committee. Our Chief Operating Officer was selected by ED to serve on the committee as a primary negotiator. The committee convened in February 2014 and will have additional meetings through, at least, April 2014 to discuss new regulations addressing, among other things, cash management timeframes, methods and procedures, consumer safeguards regarding debit and prepaid cards associated with Title IV credit balance disbursements, marketing of financial products (including sending unsolicited cards to students and co-branding of the card and materials) by institutions and their preferred banks or contractors, revenue sharing arrangements, ATM access and availability and the possibility of a government-sponsored debit or prepaid card solution. Several of the views expressed at the first session were unfavorable to certain of our current business practices. In the event that new rules are promulgated which alter, restrict or prohibit our ability to offer and provide our services to higher education institutions and students in the manner that we currently provide them, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

FERPA. Our higher education institution clients are subject to FERPA, which provides with certain exceptions that an educational institution that receives any federal funding under a program administered by ED may not have a policy or practice of disclosing education records or "personally identifiable information" from education records, other than directory information to third parties without the student's or parent's written consent. Our higher education institution clients that use the Refund Managements services disclose to us certain non-directory information concerning their students, including contact information, student identification numbers and the amount of students' credit balances. Additionally, our higher education institution clients that use Campus Labs products also share personally identifiable information with us. We believe that our higher education institution clients may disclose this information to us without the students' or their parents' consent pursuant to one or more exceptions under FERPA. However, if ED asserts that we do not fall into one of these exceptions or if future changes to legislation or regulations required student consent before our higher education institution clients could disclose this information to us, a sizeable number of students may cease using our products and services, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Additionally, as we are indirectly subject to FERPA, we may not permit the transfer of any personally identifiable information to another party other than in a manner in which a higher education institution may disclose it. In the event that we re-disclose student information in violation of this requirement, FERPA requires our clients to suspend our access to any such information for a period of five years. Any such suspension could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

State Laws. We may also be subject to similar state laws and regulations that restrict higher education institutions from disclosing certain personally identifiable information of students. State attorneys general and other enforcement agencies may monitor our compliance with state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to higher education and banking and conduct investigations of our business that are time consuming and expensive and could result in fines and penalties that have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, individual state legislatures may propose and enact new laws that restrict or otherwise affect our ability to offer our products and services as we currently do, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, legislatures in the States of Oregon and California have recently considered proposed legislation that would further regulate the disbursement of financial aid refunds and associated financial products and services.

Regulation of OneAccounts

Anti-Money Laundering; USA PATRIOT ACT; Office of Foreign Assets Control. Our Bank Partners, are insured depository institutions and funds held at our bank partners are insured by the FDIC up to applicable limits. As insured depository institutions, our bank partners are subject to comprehensive government regulation and supervision and, in the course of making their services available to our customers, we are required to assist our bank partners in complying with certain of their regulatory obligations. In particular, the anti-money laundering provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act require that customer identifying information be obtained and verified whenever a checking account is established. For example, because we facilitate the opening of checking accounts at our bank partners on behalf of our customers, we assist our bank partners in collecting the customer identification information that is necessary to open an account. In addition, both we and our bank partners are subject to the laws and regulations enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control, or OFAC, which prohibit U.S. persons from engaging in transactions with certain prohibited persons. Our failure to comply with any of these laws or rights could materially and adversely affect our business, financial credit and results of operations.

Compliance; Audit. As a service provider to insured depository institutions, we are required under applicable federal and state laws to agree to submit to examination by our bank partners' regulators. We also are subject to audit by our bank partners to ensure that we comply with our obligations to them appropriately. Failure to comply with our responsibilities properly could negatively affect our operations. Our bank partners are required under their respective agreements with us to, and we rely on our bank partners' ability to, comply with state and federal banking regulations. The failure of our bank partners to maintain regulatory compliance could result in significant disruptions to our business and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Electronic Fund Transfer Act; Regulation E. Our bank partners provide depository services for OneAccounts through a private label relationship. We provide processing services for OneAccounts for our bank partners. These services are subject to, among other things, the requirements of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and the CFPB's Regulation E, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of ATMs, debit cards and certain other electronic banking services. We may assist our bank partners with fulfilling their compliance obligations pursuant to these requirements. See "Fees for financial services are subject to increasingly intense legislative and regulatory scrutiny, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects for future growth" in this annual report on Form 10-K for additional discussion. Failure to comply with applicable regulations could materially and adversely affect our

business, financial condition and results of operations.

Money Transmitter Regulations. Because our technology services are provided in connection with the financial products of our bank partners, our activities are occasionally reviewed by regulatory agencies to ensure that we do not impermissibly engage in activities that require licensing at the state or federal level. In the ordinary course of business, we receive letters and inquiries concerning the nature of our business as it applies to state "money transmitter" licensing and regulations from different state regulatory agencies. If a state agency were to conclude that we are required to be licensed as a "money transmitter," we may need to undergo a costly licensing process in that state, and failure to comply could be a violation of state and potentially federal law.

Privacy and Data Regulation

We are subject to laws and regulations relating to the collection, use, retention, security and transfer of personally identifiable information and data regarding our customers and their financial information. In addition, we are bound by our own privacy policies and practices concerning the collection, use and disclosure of user data, which are posted on certain of our website pages.

In conjunction with the disbursement, payroll and tuition payment services we make available through our bank partners, it is necessary to collect certain information from our customers (such as bank account and routing numbers) to transmit to our bank partners. Our bank partners use this information to execute the funds transfers requested by our customers, which are effected primarily by means of ACH networks and other wire transfer systems, such as FedWire. To the extent the data required by these electronic funds networks change, the information that we will be required to request from our clients may also change.

We are subject, either directly or by virtue of our contractual relationship with our bank partners, to the privacy and security standards of the GLBA privacy regulations, as well as certain state data protection laws and regulations. The GLBA privacy regulations require that we develop, implement and maintain a written comprehensive information security program prescribing safeguards that are appropriate to our size and complexity, the nature and scope of our activities and the sensitivity of any personally identifiable information we access for processing purposes or otherwise maintain. As a service provider of our bank partners, we also are limited in our use and disclosure of the personal information we receive from our bank partners, which we may use and disclose only for the purposes for which it was provided to us and consistent with the bank's own data privacy and security obligations. We also are subject to the standards set forth in guidance on data security issued by the Federal Financial Institution Examination Council, as well as the data security standards imposed by the card associations, including Visa, Inc., and MasterCard. In addition, we are subject to similar data security breach laws enacted by a number of states.

Any failure or perceived failure by us to comply with any legal or regulatory requirements or orders or other federal or state privacy or consumer protection-related laws and regulations, or with our own privacy policies, could result in fines, sanctions, litigation, negative publicity, limitation of our ability to conduct our business and injury to our reputation, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

New legislation and regulations in this area have been proposed, both at the federal and state level. Such measures, including pending Federal legislation, would potentially impose additional obligations on us, including requiring that we provide notifications to consumers and government authorities in the event of a data breach or unauthorized access or disclosure, beyond what state law already requires. These laws and regulations could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices in a manner materially adverse to our business.

Compliance

We monitor our compliance through an internal audit program. Our full-time internal auditor works with a third-party internal audit firm to conduct annual reviews to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements described above. The costs of these audits and the costs of complying with the applicable regulatory requirements are significant. Increased regulatory requirements on our products and services, such as in connection with the matters described above, above, could materially increase our costs or reduce revenue.

It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any of the proposals will become law. The imposition of any new laws or regulations could make compliance more difficult and expensive and affect the manner in which we conduct business. In addition, many of these laws and regulations are evolving, unclear and inconsistent across various jurisdictions. If we were deemed to be in violation of any laws or regulations that are currently in place or that may be promulgated in the future, including but not limited to those described above, we could be exposed to financial liability and adverse publicity or forced to change our business practices or stop offering some of our products and services. We also could face significant legal fees, delays in extending our product and services offerings, and damage to our reputation that could harm our business and reduce demand for our products and services. Even if we are not required to change our business practices, we could be required to obtain licenses or regulatory approvals that could cause us to incur substantial costs and delays.

The fees that we generate through our relationships with higher education institutions and their campus communities are subject to competitive pressures and are subject to change, which may materially and adversely affect our revenue and profitability.

We generate revenue from, among other sources, the banking services fees charged to our OneAccount holders, interchange fees related to purchases made through our debit and ATM cards, which our Bank Partners charge and remit to us, convenience fees from processing tuition payments on behalf of students, fees charged to our higher education institution clients and service fees that we receive from our Bank Partners.

In an increasingly price-conscious and competitive market that is also subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny, it is possible that to maintain our competitive position with higher education institutions, we may have to decrease the fees we charge institutions for our services. Similarly, in order to maintain our competitive position with our OneAccount holders, we may need to work with our Bank Partners to reduce or otherwise alter the structure of the banking services fees charged to our OneAccount holders.

MasterCard could reduce the interchange rates, which it unilaterally sets and adjusts from time to time, and upon which our interchange revenue is dependent. In addition, OneAccount holders may modify their spending habits and increase their use of ACH relative to their use of Higher One debit MasterCard, as ACH payments are generally free, which could reduce the interchange fees remitted to us. Students may also become less willing to pay convenience fees when using our payment transaction services. If our fees are reduced as described above, our business, results of operations and prospects for future growth could be materially and adversely affected.

In July 2012, a memorandum of understanding was filed between a number of class action plaintiffs and Visa and MasterCard. The memorandum of understanding provides among other things, that all defendants in the case will pay a total of \$6.05 billion to class plaintiffs and that each network will make certain changes to network rules regarding merchant point of sale practices. The class action settlement agreement to be executed by the parties will be subject to court approval. If MasterCard makes changes to their network rules regarding merchant point of sale practices, our business, results of operations and prospects for future growth could be materially and adversely affected.

Fees for financial services are subject to increasingly intense legislative and regulatory scrutiny, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects for future growth.

A substantial portion of our revenue is generated from interchange fees, ATM fees, non-sufficient funds fees, other banking services fees and convenience fees. These fees, as well as the financial services industry in general, have undergone or may undergo substantial changes in the near future. For example, in 2013 we made certain changes to our fee schedule, including removal of a fee that was assessed to abandoned accounts, removal of a fee that was assessed to customers that have not repaid an overdraft balance within an allotted time period, institution of a maximum daily amount of non-withdrawal ATM fees and a decrease in the types of transactions that can produce an insufficient funds fee. These, and other potential changes we may make in the future, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects for future growth.

In 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act became law. The Dodd-Frank Act increased the already substantial regulation and oversight of the financial services industry and imposed restrictions on the ability of firms within the industry, including us, to conduct business consistent with historical practices. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act created the CFPB, to regulate any person engaged in a "financial activity" in connection with a consumer financial product or service, including those, such as us, that process financial services products and services. The CFPB has assumed regulatory authority for many of the consumer protection laws to which we and our Bank Partners are subject and may have direct supervisory authority over us. The CFPB also has authority to issue and enforce regulations relating to consumer financial protection designed to prevent unfair, deceptive, and abusive practices in the offering of consumer financial products. In early 2013, the CFPB issued a request for information regarding financial products marketed to students enrolled in institutions of higher education, and in September 2013, the CFPB hosted a forum on this topic at which selected members of the public, including students and representatives from institutions, state government agencies and ED were invited to present and some of the participants expressed opinions that were unfavorable of us. Additionally, in early 2014, ED convened a negotiated rulemaking committee that will work to establish new regulations on topics such as fees associated with debit cards that are marketed to students for purposes of receiving financial aid refunds. Although we cannot predict what future ED regulations will ultimately provide regarding banking fees, such new regulations or action by the CFPB could require us to make changes to our fee schedules, which could result in a material adverse effect to our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act also required changes to the manner in which merchants accept and process certain debit- and credit-card transactions. Specifically, the Dodd-Frank Act, subject to certain exemptions, requires the Federal Reserve to impose limits on debit card interchange fees tied principally to the cost of processing the transaction, which may have the result of decreasing revenue to debit card issuers and processors. On October 1, 2011, the Federal Reserve's final rule implementing these limits on debit card interchange fees became effective. Issuers such as our Bank Partners that, together with their affiliates, have less than \$10 billion in assets are exempt from the debit card interchange fee standards, although they are subject to the prohibitions on network exclusivity and routing restrictions. Nevertheless, it is anticipated that smaller issuers, such as our Bank Partners, may also be impacted. Some federal, state, and local government-administered payment programs that use debit cards are exempt from this interchange fee restriction.

Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act permits merchants to offer a discount or other incentive to encourage use of one form of payment over another. Furthermore, the Dodd-Frank Act, as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board's final

rule, prohibits an issuer or payment card network from restricting the number of payment card networks over which an electronic debit transaction may be processed to fewer than two unaffiliated networks, or restricting the ability of a merchant to direct the routing of electronic debit transactions over any of the networks that an issuer has enabled to process the electronic debit transactions. The Dodd-Frank Act also allows merchants to set minimum purchase thresholds for credit card transactions, provided such thresholds do not exceed \$10, and it permits institutions of higher education and federal agencies – which constitute many of our clients – to impose maximum dollar amounts for credit-card purchases. Individual state legislatures are also reviewing interchange fees, and legislators in a number of states have proposed bills that purport to limit interchange fees or merchant discount rates or to prohibit their application to portions of a transaction.

Federal and state regulatory agencies also frequently propose and adopt changes to their regulations or change the manner in which existing regulations are applied. We cannot predict the substance or impact of pending or future legislation or regulation, or the application thereof, although changes to existing law could affect how we and our Bank Partners operate and could significantly increase costs, impede the efficiency of internal business processes and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner.

The scope and impact of many of the Dodd-Frank Act's provisions, including those noted above, will continue to be determined through the rule making process. As a result, we cannot predict the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on us or our Bank Partners at this time, nor can we predict the impact or substance of other future legislation or regulation. However, we believe that the Dodd-Frank Act, other changes in regulation, and legislation under consideration by the states, could affect how we and our Bank Partners operate by significantly reducing the interchange fees, ATM fees, non-sufficient fund fees, other banking services fees and convenience fees charged in respect of our services and that drive our financial results. These regulatory and legislative changes could also increase our costs, impede the efficiency of our internal business processes or limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner. The occurrence of any of these risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on our Bank Partners for certain banking services, and a change in the relationships, or difficulties implementing our program with, our Bank Partners or their failure to comply with certain banking regulations could materially and adversely affect our business.

As the provider of FDIC-insured depository services for all OneAccounts, as well as other banking functions, such as supplying cash for our ATM machines, our bank partners provide third-party services that are critical to our student-oriented banking services. We have within the past few years experienced turnover with respect to our bank partners, which presents certain risks and uncertainties. For example, on February 8, 2013, we agreed to a mutual termination with Cole Taylor of our deposit Processing Services Agreement to be effective August 30, 2013 and on July 11, 2013, we entered into an agreement with Customers Bank under which Customers Bank currently provides deposit services as a bank partner. In connection with transitioning bank partners, we made certain changes to our practices and operations, and could be required to make further changes in the future. Should we encounter any difficulties in on-boarding, retaining or transitioning bank partners, we may not be able to continue offering the OneAccount in the same manner as we do now, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, in the future, if we are not able to transition the functions performed by our then current bank partners to another financial institution, or, to the extent necessary, replace a current bank partner, we may not be able to continue offering the OneAccount in the same manner as we do now, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, if any material adverse event were to affect any of our bank partners or future bank partners, including, but not limited to, a significant decline in financial condition, a decline in the quality of service, loss of deposits, a change in deposit classification related to the OneAccounts, inability to comply with applicable banking and financial service regulatory requirements, systems failure or inability to pay us fees, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. There is also a risk that the terms of our services agreement with future bank partners may not be as favorable to us as our current agreements. The aggregate impact of any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operating results may suffer because of substantial and increasing competition in the industries in which we do business.

The market for our products and services is competitive, continually evolving and, in some cases, subject to rapid technological change. Our disbursement services compete against all forms of payment, including paper-based transactions (principally cash and checks), electronic transactions such as wire transfers and Automated Clearing House, or ACH, payments and other electronic forms of payment, including card-based payment systems. Many competitors, including TouchNet Information Systems, Inc., PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and Nelnet, Inc., provide payment software, products and services that compete with those we offer. In addition, our OneAccount, which we provide through our Bank Partners, also competes with banks active in the higher education market, including U.S. Bancorp and Wells Fargo & Company and national, regional and local banks. Future competitors may begin to focus on higher education institutions in a manner similar to us.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have, may in the future offer a wider range of products and services and may use advertising and marketing strategies that achieve broader brand recognition or acceptance. In addition, our competitors may develop new products, services or technologies that render our products, services or technologies obsolete or less marketable. If we cannot continue to compete effectively against our competitors, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be materially and adversely affected.

The length and unpredictability of the sales cycle for signing potential higher education institution clients could delay new sales of our products and services, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The sales cycle between our initial contact with a potential higher education institution client and the signing of a contract with that client can be lengthy. As a result of this lengthy sales cycle, our ability to forecast accurately the timing of revenues associated with new sales is limited. Our sales cycle varies widely due to significant uncertainties, over which we have little or no control, including:

the individual decision-making processes of each higher education institution client, which typically include extensive and lengthy evaluations and require us to spend substantial time, effort and money educating each client about the value of our products and services;

the budgetary constraints and priorities and budget cycle of each higher education institution client; and the reluctance of higher education staff to change or modify existing processes and procedures.

In addition, there is no guarantee that a potential client will sign a contract with us even after we spend substantial time, effort and money on the potential client. Recently, the duration of the sales process has lengthened due in part to the current regulatory environment and the uncertainty that it presents. A delay in our ability or a failure to enter into new contracts with potential higher education institution clients could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on our relationship with higher education institutions and, in turn, student usage of our products and services for future growth of our business.

Our future growth depends, in part, on our ability to enter into agreements with higher education institutions. While we have experienced significant growth since 2002 in the number of our higher education institution clients, our contracts with these clients can generally be terminated at will and, therefore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain these clients. We may also be unable to maintain our agreements with these clients on terms and conditions acceptable to us. In addition, we may not be able to continue to establish new relationships with higher education institution clients at our historical growth rate or at all. The termination of our current client contracts or our inability to continue to attract new clients could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Not only are establishing new client relationships and maintaining current ones critical to our business, but they are also essential components of our strategy for attracting new student customers, deepening the relationship we have with existing customers and maximizing customer usage of our products and services. A reduction in enrollment, a failure to attract and maintain student customers, as well as any future demographic or other trends that reduce the number of higher education students could materially and adversely affect our capability for both revenue and cash generation and, as a result, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, since 2012, we have experienced a decrease in the proportion of OneAccounts that received a financial aid refund as well as a decrease in refund sizes compared to the prior periods. These decreases had a negative impact on our results of operations during the second half of the year ended December 31, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2013 and we continue to lack visibility into these enrollment trends, which could have a further negative impact on our results of operations if this trend continues.

Our business and future success may suffer if we are unable to cross-sell our products and services.

A significant component of our growth strategy is dependent on our ability to cross-sell products and services to new and existing higher education institution clients. In particular, our growth strategy depends on our ability to successfully cross-sell our disbursement, payments and data analytics services to clients that do not already use our entire suite of products. We may not be successful in cross-selling our products and services because our clients may find our additional products and services unnecessary or unattractive. With our acquisition of the Campus Solutions suite from Sallie Mae in May 2013, our strategy is also dependent on our ability to convert Campus Solutions clients to our own refund and payment platforms. Our failure to sell additional products and services to new and existing clients or to convert Campus Solutions clients to our own platforms could have a material adverse effect on our prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations.

There are risks associated with expanding our business and operations internationally.

In 2012, through our acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Campus Labs, we began providing and offering products and services to higher education institutions in Canada. We may look to expand our other products and services internationally in the future. We have no prior experience offering our products and services internationally. Additionally, we maintain an Indian subsidiary, Higher One Financial Technology Private Limited, to assist with certain technology development and operational support. There are a variety of risks involved in such international expansion of our business and operations, including but not limited to risks that we will not be able to successfully navigate the business, legal, regulatory or other landscapes of the foreign jurisdictions where we seek to expand and that our investments in such expansion, which may come to be significant, may not yield the return that we intend. If any of these risks were to materialize, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Global economic and other conditions may adversely affect trends in consumer spending, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A decrease in consumer confidence due to a weakened global economy may cause decreased spending among our student customers and may decrease the use of the OneAccount. Increases in college tuition alongside stagnation or reduction in available financial aid may also restrict spending among college students and the size of disbursements, reducing the use of the OneAccount and demand for our disbursement services, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. 22

Failure to manage future growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The continued expansion and development of our business may place a significant strain upon our management and administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. Our growth strategy contemplates further increasing the number of our higher education institution clients and student banking customers, but the rate at which we have been able to establish relationships with our customers in the past may not be indicative of the rate at which we will be able to establish additional customer relationships in the future.

Our success will depend in part upon the ability of our executive officers to manage growth effectively. Our ability to grow also depends upon our ability to successfully hire, train, supervise, and manage new employees, obtain financing for our capital needs, expand our systems effectively, control increasing costs, allocate our human resources optimally, maintain clear lines of communication between our operational functions and our finance and accounting functions, and manage the pressures on our management and administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. There can be no assurance that we will be able to accurately anticipate and respond to the changing demands we will face as we continue to expand our operations or that we will be able to manage growth effectively or to achieve further growth at all. If our business does not continue to grow or if we fail to effectively manage any future growth, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We depend on our founders and other key members of executive management and the loss of their services could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We have historically depended on the efforts, skill and reputations of our founders and senior executive team, including Mark Volchek (Founder, President and Chief Executive Officer), Miles Lasater (Founder and Chairman), Casey McGuane (Chief Operating Officer), Robert Reach (Chief Sales Officer) and Christopher Wolf (Chief Financial Officer). We do not currently maintain key person life insurance policies with respect to our executive officers. None of our executive officers have entered into employment agreements with us that would prevent them from terminating their involvement with us at any time and/or pursuing other opportunities. In December 2013, Messers Volchek and Lasater announced that they would be transitioning out of their roles as CEO and President, respectively, with Mr. Lasater reducing his hours to part-time and Mr. Volchek continuing as President and CEO until a new CEO is hired and a transition is complete. Our board has formed a CEO search committee, which may take an extended amount of time to recruit and hire a new CEO. The retirement of Messers Volchek and Lasater, a delay in recruiting, hiring and transitioning to a new CEO or the loss of any of our other executive officers or other members of management could have a material adverse effect on our ability to manage our company, growth prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to meet all of the continuing criteria required in order to retain the various subsidies, grants and credits we have received in connection with our rehabilitation and development project.

We have received various subsidies, grants and credits from different state and federal agencies and private entities that will offset our investment in the rehabilitation project. Many of these programs have criteria that we must meet on an ongoing basis in order to prevent forfeiture of the subsidies, grants and credits, and in some cases the imposition of a penalty. If we are not able to meet the continuing criteria, we may forfeit some or all of the incentives we have received.

The convenience fees that we charge in connection with payment transactions are subject to change.

Most credit and debit card associations and networks permit us to charge convenience fees to students, parents or other payers who make online payments to our higher education institution clients through the SmartPay feature of our ePayment product and our NetPay and Tuition Payment Plan products using a credit or debit card. In 2012, these convenience fees accounted for substantially all of our payment transaction revenue, which is a trend we expect to continue going forward. While the majority of credit and debit card associations and networks routinely permit merchants and other third parties to charge these fees, it is not a ubiquitous practice in the payment industry. If these credit and debit card associations and networks change their policies in permitting merchants and other third-parties to charge these fees or otherwise restrict our ability to do so, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

There are risks associated with charging convenience fees.

Through our SmartPay service, which we acquired in connection with our acquisition of Informed Decisions Corporation in 2009, and our NetPay and TPP services, which we acquired from Sallie Mae in May 2013, some of our higher education institution clients charge convenience fees to students, parents or other payers who make online payments using a credit or debit card. In light of the ongoing legislative efforts at financial regulatory reform, we examined the laws and regulations related to convenience fees. We found that these laws and regulations vary from state to state and certain states, including California, Massachusetts and New York, have laws that to varying degrees prohibit the imposition of a surcharge on a credit or debit cardholder who elects to use a credit or debit card in lieu of payment by cash, check or other means. The penalties for violating these laws vary from state to state and include, in certain circumstances, fines that could be significant.

We are not aware of any enforcement or civil action against a higher education institution or a third party service provider for charging convenience fees. We have nevertheless worked with our higher education institution clients to ensure that we can continue to provide the services they demand, while ensuring we are in compliance with these laws and regulations prospectively. If one or more states or other parties initiate an action against us, we could be subject to a claim for significant fines or damages. Moreover, the institution of any such action could disrupt our operations or result in negative publicity, which could diminish our ability to attract new clients and retain existing clients, and could materially and adversely affect our prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business depends on the current government financial aid regime that relies on the outsourcing of financial aid disbursements through higher education institutions.

In general, the U.S. federal government distributes financial aid to students through higher education institutions as intermediaries. Our Refund Management service provides our higher education institution clients an electronic system for improving the administrative efficiency of this refund disbursement process. If the government, through legislation or regulatory action, restructures the existing financial aid regime in such a way that reduces or eliminates the intermediary role played by financial institutions serving higher education institutions or limits or regulates the role played by service providers such as us, our business, results of operations and prospects for future growth could be materially and adversely affected.

A change in the availability of financial aid, as well as U.S. budget constraints, could materially and adversely affect our financial performance by reducing demand for our services.

The higher education industry depends heavily upon the ability of students to obtain financial aid. As part of our contracts with our higher education institution clients that use Refund Management services, students' financial aid and

other refunds are sent to us for disbursement. The fees that we charge most of our Refund Management higher education institution clients are based on the number of financial aid disbursements that we make to students. In addition, our relationships with Refund Management higher education institution clients provide us with a market for OneAccounts, from which we derive a significant proportion of our revenues. Consequently, a change in the availability or amount of financial aid that restricted client use of our Refund Management service or otherwise limited our ability to attract new higher education institution clients could materially and adversely affect our financial performance. Also, decreases in the amount of financial aid disbursements from higher education institutions to students could materially and adversely affect our financial performance. Future legislative and executive branch efforts to reduce the U.S. federal budget deficit or worsening economic conditions may require the government to severely curtail its financial aid spending, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. 24

Termination of, or changes to, the MasterCard association registration could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We and our Bank Partners, which issue our Higher One debit MasterCards, are subject to MasterCard association rules that could subject us to a variety of fines or penalties that may be levied by MasterCard for acts or omissions by us or businesses that work with us. The termination of the card association registration held by us or our Bank Partners or any changes in card association or other network rules or standards, including interpretation and implementation of existing rules or standards, that increase the cost of doing business or limit our ability to provide our products and services could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Intellectual property infringement claims against us could be costly and time-consuming to defend and if we are unsuccessful in our defense could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Third parties may assert, including by means of counter-claims against us as a result of the assertion of our intellectual property rights, that our products, services or technology, or the operation of our business, violate their intellectual property rights. As the number of competitors in our industry increases and the functionality of technology offerings further overlap, such claims and counter-claims could become more common. We cannot be certain that we do not or will not infringe third parties' intellectual property rights.

Any intellectual property claim against us, regardless of its merit, could result in significant liabilities to our business. Depending on the nature of such claim, our business may be disrupted, our management's attention and other company resources may be diverted and we may be required to redesign our products and services or to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to obtain the rights to use necessary technologies, which may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we cannot redesign our products and services or license necessary technologies, we may be subject to the risk of injunctive relief and/or significant damage awards, which are complex, subjective and hard to predict, and subsequently we may not be able to offer or sell a particular product or service, or a family of products or services.

Any intellectual property claim against us could be expensive and time consuming to defend. Insurance may not cover or be insufficient for such claim, or may not be available on terms acceptable to us. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or underinsured could result in unanticipated costs, thereby having a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Even if we have an indemnification arrangement with a third-party to indemnify us against an intellectual property claim, such indemnifying party may be unable or fail to uphold its contractual obligations to us. If any infringement or other intellectual property claim that is brought against us is successful, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

The terms of our credit agreement may restrict our current and future operations, which could adversely affect our ability to respond to changes in our business and to manage our operations.

Our credit agreement contains, and any future indebtedness of ours would likely contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to, among other things:

ereate liens; make investments and acquisitions; incur additional debt; transfer all or substantially all of our assets or enter into merger or consolidation transactions; dispose of assets;

pay dividends or make any other distributions with respect to our stock;

issue stock, warrants, options or other rights to purchase stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of stock;

• engage in any material line of business substantially different from the lines of business we currently conduct or any business substantially related or incidental thereto; and

enter into transactions with affiliates.

Our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, and any material deviations from our forecasts could require us to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of funding. We cannot be sure that such waivers, amendments or alternative sources of funding could be obtained, or if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to us.

Our credit agreement also requires us to maintain certain liquidity levels and satisfy certain financial ratios, including a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. A failure by us to comply with the covenants contained in our credit agreement could result in an event of default which could adversely affect our ability to respond to changes in our business and manage our operations. An event of default would also occur under our credit agreement if we undergo a change of control or if we experience a material adverse change in our operations, condition or prospects. In the event of any default under our credit agreement, the lender could elect to declare all amounts outstanding to be due and payable and require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these amounts. The acceleration of indebtedness under our credit agreement could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We outsource critical operations, which exposes us to risks related to our third-party vendors, and we have begun to in-source certain technology functions, which exposes us to other risks.

We have entered into contracts with third-party vendors to provide critical services, technology and software in our operations. These outsourcing partners include: Fisery, which provides back-end account and transaction data processing for OneAccounts; MasterCard, which provides the payment network for our debit MasterCard ATM cards, as well as for certain other transactions; Comerica and Global Payments, which provide transaction processing and banking services for payment processing related to the SmartPay feature of our ePayment service; and Verizon Terremark, which provides web and application hosting services in secure data centers. In the event that these service providers fail to maintain adequate levels of support, do not provide high quality service, discontinue their lines of business, terminate our contractual arrangements or cease or reduce operations, we may be required to pursue new third-party relationships, which could materially disrupt our operations and our ability to provide our products and services, and could divert management's time and resources. Replacement technology or services provided by replacement third-party vendors could be more expensive than those we have currently, while the process of transitioning services and data from one provider to another can be complicated and time consuming. If we are unable to complete a transition to a new provider on a timely basis, or at all, we could be forced to temporarily or permanently discontinue certain services, which could disrupt services to our customers and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may be unable to establish comparable new third-party relationships on as favorable terms or at all, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. With respect to the technology and operational support functions that we have in-sourced to date or that we seek to in-source, we may encounter difficulty or delays in developing and supporting an appropriate infrastructure to be able to perform these functions ourselves. We may also not realize the full value of our investments in these projects.

Breaches of security measures, unauthorized access to or disclosure of data relating to our clients, fraudulent activity, and infrastructure failures could materially and adversely affect our reputation or harm our business.

Our higher education institution clients and student OneAccount holders disclose to us certain "personally identifiable" information, including student contact information, identification numbers and the amount of credit balances, which they expect we will maintain in confidence. It is possible that hackers, customers or employees acting unlawfully or contrary to our policies, or other individuals, could improperly access our or our vendors' systems and obtain or disclose data about our customers. Further, because customer data may also be collected, stored, or processed by third-party vendors, it is possible that these vendors could intentionally, negligently or otherwise disclose data about our clients or customers.

We rely to a large extent upon sophisticated information technology systems, databases, and infrastructure, and take reasonable steps to protect them. However, due to their size, complexity, content and integration with or reliance on third-party systems they are potentially vulnerable to breakdown, malicious intrusion, natural disaster and random attack, all of which pose a risk that sensitive data may be exposed to unauthorized persons or to the public.

A cybersecurity breach of our information systems could lead to fraudulent activity, including with respect to our debit MasterCard ATM cards, such as identity theft, losses on the part of our banking customers, additional security costs, negative publicity and damage to our reputation and brand. In addition, our customers could be subject to scams that may result in the release of sufficient information concerning themselves or their accounts to allow others unauthorized access to their accounts or our systems (e.g., "phishing" and "smishing"). Claims for compensatory or other damages may be brought against us as a result of a breach of our systems or fraudulent activity. If we are unsuccessful in defending against any resulting claims against us, we may be forced to pay damages, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, a significant incident of fraud or an increase in fraud levels generally involving our products, such as our debit MasterCard ATM cards, could result in reputational damage to us, which could reduce the use of our products and services. Such incidents could also lead to a large financial loss as a result of the protection for unauthorized purchases we provide to OneAccount customers. Such incidents of fraud could also lead to regulatory intervention, which could increase our compliance costs. Compliance with the various complex laws and regulations is costly and time consuming, and failure to comply could have a material adverse effect on our business. Additionally, increased regulatory requirements on our services may increase our costs, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Accordingly, account data breaches and related fraudulent activity could have a material adverse effect on our future growth prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations.

A disruption to our systems or infrastructure could damage our reputation, expose us to legal liability, cause us to lose customers and revenue, result in the unintentional disclosure of confidential information or require us to expend significant efforts and resources or incur significant expense to eliminate these problems and address related data and security concerns. The harm to our business could be even greater if such an event occurs during a period of disproportionately heavy demand for our products or services or traffic on our systems or networks. 26

Providing disbursement services to higher education institutions is an emerging and uncertain business; if the market for our products does not continue to develop, we will not be able to grow this portion of our business.

Our continued success will depend, in part, on our ability to generate revenues by providing financial transaction services to higher education institutions and their students. The market for these services has only recently developed and the long-term viability and profitability of this market is unproven. Our business will be materially and adversely affected if we do not develop and market products and services that achieve and maintain market acceptance. Outsourcing disbursement services may not become as widespread in the higher education industry as we anticipate, and our products and services may not achieve continued commercial success. In addition, higher education institution clients could discontinue using our services and return to in-house disbursement and payment solutions. If outsourcing disbursement, our growth prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our business depends on a strong brand and a failure to maintain and develop our brand in a cost-effective manner may hurt our ability to expand our customer base.

Maintaining and developing our brands is critical to expanding and maintaining our base of higher education institution clients and OneAccount holders. We believe the importance of brand recognition will increase as competition in our market further intensifies. Maintaining and developing our brands will depend largely on our ability to continue to provide high-quality products and services at cost effective and competitive prices, as well as after-sale customer service. In 2013, we re-branded our higher education institution facing brands, which involved an overhaul of most of the brand names we had previously used. While we intend to continue investing in and updating our existing and new brands, we cannot predict the success of these investments. If we fail to maintain and enhance our existing and new brands, if our re-branding efforts are unsuccessful, or if we incur excessive expenses in this effort or if our reputation is otherwise tainted, including by association with the wider financial services industry, we may be unable to maintain loyalty among our existing customers or attract new customers, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to generate revenue could suffer if we do not continue to update and improve our existing products and services and develop new ones.

The industry for electronic financial transactions, including disbursement services, is generally subject to rapid and significant technological changes, including continuing developments of technologies in the areas of smart cards, radio frequency and proximity payment devices (such as contactless cards), electronic commerce and mobile commerce, among others. While we cannot predict how these technological changes will affect our business, we believe that disbursement services to the higher education industry will be subject to a similar degree of technological change and that new services and technologies for the industry will emerge in the medium-term. These new services and technologies may be superior to, or render obsolete, the technologies we currently use in our products and services. In addition, the products and services we develop may not be able to compete with the alternatives available to our customers. Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to adapt to technological changes and evolving industry standards.

We make substantial investments in improving our products and services, but we have no assurance that our investments will be successful. Our growth prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations will be materially and adversely affected if we do not develop products and services that achieve broad market acceptance with our current and potential customers.

Our business will suffer if we fail to successfully integrate acquired businesses and technologies or to appropriately assess the risks in transactions.

We have acquired, and may in the future acquire, businesses, technologies, services, product lines and other assets, such as our acquisition of the Campus Labs business in August 2012 and our acquisition of Sallie Mae's Campus Solutions division in May 2013. The successful integration of these businesses, or any business, technology, service, product line or other asset that we may acquire in the future, on a cost-effective basis, may be critical to our future performance. There are a number of risks and uncertainties associated with such integration, including but not limited to the following: we may not be able to achieve expected synergies and operating efficiencies regarding the acquisition within the expected time-frames or at all and to successfully integrate the acquired business operations into those of ours; such integration may be more difficult, time-consuming or costly than expected; we may not be successful in converting new clients gained through acquisitions to our own products and services or in cross-selling our products and service to such clients; revenues following the transaction may be lower than expected; operating costs, client and customer loss and business disruption (including, without limitation, difficulties in maintaining relationships with employees, customers, clients or suppliers) may be greater than expected following the transaction; we may have difficulty retaining certain key employees in the acquired business; and we may be subject to legal proceedings that may be instituted against the parties and others related to the acquisition agreement and the amount of the costs, fees, expenses and charges related to the acquisition. If we do not successfully integrate a strategic acquisition, or if the benefits of a particular transaction do not meet the expectations of financial or industry analysts, the market price of our common stock may decline. Even if we successfully integrate assets or businesses we may acquire, we may incur substantial expenses and devote significant management time and resources in seeking to complete and integrate an acquisition, the acquired business may not perform as we expect or enhance the value of our business as a whole. Additionally, we currently rely on Sallie Mae, Inc. and Sallie Mae Bank for technology hosting and the performance of other key functions, including those performed by vendors with which the Sallie Mae entities have contractual relationships. If the transition services we receive under the transition services agreements are affected or altered, or if we are unable to perform such services ourselves after the expiration of the transition services agreements or otherwise convert clients to our prior-existing technology platforms, we may not be able to continue offering the Campus Solutions products and services in the manner that we currently do today, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be liable to our customers or lose customers if we provide poor service or if our systems or products experience failures.

Because of the large amount of data we collect and manage, hardware failures and errors in our systems could result in data loss or corruption or cause the information that we collect to be incomplete or contain significant inaccuracies. For example, errors in our processing systems could delay disbursements or cause disbursements to be made in the wrong amounts or to the wrong person. Our systems may also experience service interruptions as a result of undetected errors or defects in our software, fire, natural disasters, power loss, disruptions in long distance or local telecommunications access, fraud, terrorism, accident or other similar reason, in which case we may experience delays in returning to full service, especially with regard to our data centers and customer service call centers. If problems such as these occur, our customers may seek compensation, withhold payments, seek full or partial refunds, terminate their agreements with us or initiate litigation or other dispute resolution procedures. In addition, we may be subject to claims made by third parties also affected by any of these problems.

Our ability to limit our liabilities by contract or through insurance may be ineffective or insufficient to cover our future liabilities.

We attempt to limit, by contract, our liability for damages arising from our negligence, errors, mistakes or security breaches. Contractual limitations on liability, however, may not be enforceable or may otherwise not provide

sufficient protection to us from liability for damages. For example, as we may be deemed by ED to be a third-party servicer to our higher education institution clients, we are required to agree to be held jointly and severally liable with our clients for violations of the federal regulations that govern the disbursement of financial aid refunds. Additionally, some of our public higher education institution clients are prohibited by state law from contractually indemnifying us for liability resulting from such violations. We maintain liability insurance coverage, including coverage for errors and omissions. It is possible, however, that claims could exceed the amount of our applicable insurance coverage, if any, or that this coverage may not continue to be available on acceptable terms or in sufficient amounts. Even if these claims do not result in liability to us, investigating and defending against them could be expensive and time consuming and could divert management's attention away from our operations. In addition, negative publicity caused by these events may delay market acceptance of our products and services, any of which could materially and adversely affect our reputation and our business.

If we are unable to protect or enforce our intellectual property rights, we may lose a competitive advantage and incur significant expenses.

Our business depends on certain registered and unregistered intellectual property rights and proprietary information. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as nondisclosure agreements and technical measures (such as the password protection and encryption of our data and systems) to protect our technology and intellectual property rights, including our proprietary software. Existing laws afford only limited protection for our intellectual property rights. Intellectual property rights or registrations granted to us may provide an inadequate competitive advantage to us or be too narrow to protect our products and services. Similarly, there is no guarantee that our pending applications for intellectual property protection will result in registrations or issued patents or sufficiently protect our rights. The protections outlined above may not be sufficient to prevent unauthorized use, misappropriation or disclosure of our intellectual property or technology and may not prevent our competitors from copying, infringing, or misappropriating our products and services. We cannot be certain that others will not independently develop, design around or otherwise acquire equivalent or superior technology or intellectual property rights. If we are unable to adequately protect our intellectual property rights, our business and growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

One or more of our issued patents or pending patent applications may be categorized as so-called "business method" patents. The general validity of software patents and business method patents has been challenged in a number of jurisdictions, including the United States. On June 28, 2010, the United States Supreme Court determined that a certain "business method" amounting to abstract ideas was not patentable. Although the Court's decision provides little guidance on patentability of our business methods, our patents could become less valuable or unenforceable if additional requirements are imposed that our patents do not meet.

From time to time, we seek to enforce our intellectual property rights against third parties, such as through our current litigation against TouchNet Information Systems, Inc. See "Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings" of this report. The fact that we have intellectual property rights, including registered intellectual property, may not guarantee success in our attempts to enforce these rights against third parties. Our ability and potential success in enforcing our rights is also subject to general litigation risks, as well as uncertainty as to the enforceability of our intellectual property rights. When we seek to enforce our rights, we may be subject to claims that our intellectual property rights are invalid, otherwise unenforceable, or are licensed to the party against whom we are asserting the claim. In addition, our assertions of intellectual property rights may result in the other party seeking to assert various claims against us, including its own alleged intellectual property rights, claims of unfair competition, or other claims. Furthermore, enforcing our intellectual property and other proprietary rights can be expensive. Any increase in the unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive or less profitable to do business and consequently have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a holding company, our main source of cash is distributions from our operating subsidiaries.

We conduct all of our operations through our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our main cash source is dividends and other distributions from these subsidiaries. The ability of each subsidiary to make distributions depends on the funds that a subsidiary has from its operations in excess of the funds necessary for its operations, obligations or other business plans. If our operating subsidiaries are unable to make distributions, we may not be able to implement our growth strategy, unless we are able to obtain additional debt or equity financing. In the event of a subsidiary's liquidation, there may not be assets sufficient for us to recoup our investment in the subsidiary.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

On December 30, 2011, we moved into our new corporate headquarters located at 115 Munson Street, New Haven, Connecticut. We constructed our headquarters on land that we lease at a nominal cost pursuant to a 90 year lease with a right to purchase at the end of year seven.

We have operations in Oakland, California, where we lease general office space pursuant to a lease agreement which is currently due to expire in January 2016; in Atlanta, Georgia, where we lease general office space and a data center pursuant to a lease agreement which is currently due to expire in October 2022; in Buffalo, New York, where we lease general office space pursuant to a lease agreement which is currently due to expire in 2015; and in Chennai, India, where we lease general office space pursuant to a lease agreement which is currently due to expire in 2015; and in Chennai, India, where we lease general office space pursuant to a lease agreement which is currently due to expire in August 2015.

We believe that these properties are suitable and adequate for our current use and also provide us with sufficient space to grow to meet additional business needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We, and our subsidiaries, are involved in legal proceedings concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of our business, including the matters described below. Although the outcome of such proceedings, including the matters described below, cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2011, the New York Regional Office of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, notified us that it was prepared to recommend to the Director of FDIC Supervision that an enforcement action be taken against us for alleged violations of certain applicable laws and regulations principally relating to our compliance management system and policies and practices for past overdraft charging on persistently delinquent accounts, collections and transaction error resolution. We responded to the FDIC's notification and have been in regular dialogue with the FDIC since 2010. We voluntarily initiated a plan in December 2011 that provided credits to certain current and former customers that were previously assessed certain insufficient fund fees. As a result of this plan, we recorded a reduction in our revenue of approximately \$4.7 million in 2011, which excludes the restitution of unpaid fees. The insufficient funds fees that were credited to customers under this plan were originally assessed beginning in 2008. On August 8, 2012, we received a Consent Order, Order for Restitution, and Order to Pay Civil Money Penalty, or the Consent Order, dated August 7, 2012, issued by the FDIC to settle such alleged violations. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Order, we neither admitted nor denied any charges when agreeing to the terms of the Consent Order. Under the terms of the Consent Order, we are required to, among other things, review and revise our compliance management system and, to date, we have substantially revised our compliance management system. Additionally, the Consent Order provides for restrictions on the charging of certain fees. The Consent Order further provides that we shall make restitution to less than 2% of our customers since 2008 for fees previously assessed, which restitution has been substantially completed through the voluntary customer credit plan described above, and pay a civil money penalty of \$0.1 million. As a result of the Consent Order and completion of the related examination, we believe that all material exposure related to this matter has been recorded and we do not expect any further losses as a result of this matter.

The Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, or FRB Chicago, notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. We are cooperating with FRB Chicago in this matter. Any enforcement action arising out of this matter could include demands for civil money penalties, changes to certain of our business practices, and customer restitution. An action instituted against us that results in significant changes to our practices, the imposition on us of fines or penalties, or an obligation for us to pay restitution or civil money penalties could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are a defendant in a series of putative class action lawsuits filed in 2012: Ashley Parker, et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on July 3, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi, Eastern Division; Jeanette Price et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on July 27, 2012 in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut; John Brandon Kent et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on August 17, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Division; Jonathan Lanham et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on October 2, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky, Louisville Division; Aisha DeClue et al. v. Higher One, Inc., et al., filed on November 5, 2012 in the St. Louis County Circuit Court of Missouri; and Jill Massey et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on November 6, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, East Saint Louis Division. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred all of these cases to the District of Connecticut for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. The proceedings are referred to as the "In re Higher One OneAccount Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation" or the "MDL." Plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint in the MDL that generally alleges, among other things, violations of state consumer protection statutes (predicated, in part, on alleged violations of ED rules and violations of the federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act) and various common law

claims. On April 22, 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss the case, which has not been decided.

In October 2013, we reached an agreement in principle on the key terms of a settlement that would resolve all of the above class action litigation that was filed against us in 2012. In February 2014, we executed a settlement agreement, the terms of which include a payment of \$15.0 million to a settlement fund, an agreement to pay the cost of notice to the class, and an agreement to make and/or maintain certain practice changes. On February 14, 2014, plaintiffs asked the court to preliminarily approve the settlement. The court must approve the settlement before it becomes final and binding. There is no assurance that the court will approve the settlement.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded an accrual for an estimated charge of \$16.3 million to reflect our current estimate of the resolution, inclusive of additional legal and other administrative costs, based on the agreement in principle. While this estimate is consistent with our view of the current exposure based on the signed settlement agreement, the actual loss or range of such loss could vary materially from the current estimate if the settlement is not finalized and approved.

In February 2009 and September 2010, Higher One, Inc. filed two separate complaints against TouchNet Information Systems, Inc., or TouchNet, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut alleging patent infringement related to TouchNet's offering for sale and sales of its "eRefund" product in violation of two of our patents. In the complaints, we sought judgments that TouchNet has infringed two of our patents, a judgment that TouchNet pay damages and interest on damages to compensate us for infringement, an award of our costs in connection with these actions and an injunction barring TouchNet from further infringing our patents. TouchNet answered the complaint and asserted a number of defenses and counterclaims, including that it does not infringe our patent, that our patent is invalid or unenforceable and certain allegations of unfair competition and state and federal antitrust violations. In addition, TouchNet's counterclaims sought dismissal of our claims with prejudice, declaratory judgment that TouchNet does not infringe our patent and that our patent is invalid or unenforceable, as well as an award of fees and costs related to the action, and an injunction permanently enjoining us from suing TouchNet regarding infringement of our patent. The parties are currently in the discovery stage of the proceeding. We intend to pursue the matter vigorously. There can be no assurances of our success in these proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is quoted on New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ONE." Prior to June 17, 2010, our common stock was privately held and did not trade on any exchange. The following table sets forth, for each of the periods indicated, the high and low reported sales price of our common stock at the close of trading on the NYSE.

	High	Low
Year ended December 31, 2013		
Fourth Quarter	\$10.46	\$7.46
Third Quarter	11.20	6.99
Second Quarter	11.64	8.75
First Quarter	12.11	8.87
Year ended December 31, 2012		
Fourth Quarter	\$13.40	\$8.81
Third Quarter	13.59	10.93
Second Quarter	16.44	10.44
First Quarter	18.03	14.51

As of February 28, 2014, we had 14 stockholders of record of our common stock. The closing sale price of our common stock on February 28, 2014 was \$8.00 per share.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 or 2011. The payment of future cash dividends is within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our future earnings, if any, our capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors. See Note 13 "Capital Stock – Common Stock" of the notes to our consolidated financial statements provided elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K for a description of restrictions on our ability to pay dividends.

We have outstanding options, warrants and restricted shares as detailed in Note 14 "Stock-Based Compensation" of the notes to our consolidated financial statements provided elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K. These options, warrants and restricted shares are not transferable for consideration and do not have dividend equivalent rights attached.

Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The table below sets forth the following information as of the end of December 31, 2013 for (1) all compensation plans previously approved by our stockholders and (2) all compensation plans not previously approved by our stockholders.

	Equity Compensation Plan Information				
	Number of	Number of			
	shares			shares	
	of	of common			
	common			stock	
	stock	Weighted-	Weighted-	remaining	
	to be	average	average	available	
	issued	exercise	term to	for future	
	upon	price	expiration	issuance	
	exercise of of outstanding		of	under equity	
			options	compensation	
Plan Category	options (2)	options	outstanding	plans	
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders (1)	6,429,234	\$ 9.09	6.8	1,832,707	
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders					

Reflects number of shares of common stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options under all of our

(1) equity compensation plans, including our 2000 Stock Option Plan and 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. No shares of common stock are available for future issuance under any of our equity compensation plans, except the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan.

Does not include 105,812 restricted shares outstanding that were issued under the 2010 Incentive Plan or 150,000 (2) warrants to purchase our common stock at a price of \$11.67 issued in connection with our acquisition of Campus Labs, LLC in August 2012.

Stockholder Return Performance Presentation

The following graph compares the change in the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock during the period from June 17, 2010 (the first day our stock began trading on the NYSE) through December 31, 2013, with the cumulative total return on each of the S&P 500 Index and the S&P 500 Financials Index. The comparison assumes that \$100 was invested on June 17, 2010 in our common stock and in each of the foregoing indices and assumes reinvestment of dividends, if any.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

You should read the data set forth below in conjunction with "Item 7.–Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," our consolidated financial statements and related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K. We derived the selected financial data as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 from our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K. We derived the selected financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 and as of December 31, 2011 from our audited financial statements and the related notes not included in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Consolidated Statement of Income Data

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)				
Revenue	\$77,606	\$144,969	\$176,320	\$197,720	\$211,123
Cost of revenue	26,529	51,845	67,560	80,280	88,824
Gross margin	51,077	93,124	108,760	117,440	122,299
Operating expenses	28,396	51,877	61,245	57,998	96,447
Income from operations	22,681	41,247	47,515	59,442	25,852
Other income (expense)	(537) (700)	1,302	(548)	(2,372)
Income before income taxes	22,144	40,547	48,817	58,894	23,480
Income tax expense	7,925	15,488	16,924	22,024	9,352
Net income	14,219	25,059	31,893	36,870	14,128
Less: Net income allocable to participating securities	11,477	8,910	_	_	_
Net income available and attributable to common					
shareholders	\$2,742	\$16,149	\$31,893	\$36,870	\$14,128
Net income per common share:					
Basic	\$0.29	\$0.48	\$0.58	\$0.68	\$0.30
Diluted	0.27	0.44	0.54	0.65	0.29
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					