

BOSTON BEER CO INC
Form DEF 14A
April 15, 2015
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14a-6(E)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to ss.240.14a-12

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11
(set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

April 15, 2015

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

It is my pleasure to invite you to attend our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 27, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, at our Samuel Adams Brewery, located at 30 Germania Street, Boston, Massachusetts.

At the Annual Meeting you will be asked to elect three Class A Directors and cast an advisory vote on executive compensation. As the sole holder of Class B Common Stock, I will elect five Class B Directors and cast a vote to ratify the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm.

At the Annual Meeting each year, it is always a joy for me to share with you news about our company and samples of the beers that will support our long-term growth. More importantly, the meeting is an opportunity for you to ask questions and express opinions about the company, regardless of the number of shares that you own.

The Proxy Statement and Boston Beer's Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 are available at www.bostonbeer.com.

On behalf of the Board of Directors and Boston Beer's management team, I thank you for your continued confidence and support of Boston Beer and our beers.

Cheers!

Jim Koch

*Founder, Brewer, and
Chairman of the Board of Directors*

**Notice of the 2015
Annual Meeting of Stockholders**

May 27, 2015

**9:00 A.M., Eastern Time
Samuel Adams Brewery, 30 Germania Street, Boston, Massachusetts**

To our Stockholders:

The 2015 Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of The Boston Beer Company, Inc. (“Boston Beer”, the “Company”, “we”, or “us”) will be held on Wednesday, May 27, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. at the Samuel Adams Brewery located at 30 Germania Street, Boston, Massachusetts.

The Class A Stockholders will meet for the following purposes:

1. For the election of three (3) Class A Directors, each to serve for a term of one (1) year;
2. To conduct an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers; and
3. To consider and act upon any other business that may properly come before the meeting.

The sole holder of Class B Stock will attend for the following purposes:

1. For the election of five (5) Class B Directors, each to serve for a term of one (1) year;
2. To ratify the selection of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“Deloitte”) as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015 fiscal year; and
3. To consider and act upon any other business that may properly come before the meeting.

These items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice. The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 30, 2015 as the Record Date for the

meeting. Only stockholders of record on that date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR PROXY OR VOTING INSTRUCTIONS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. You may submit your proxy: (1) by mail using a traditional proxy card; (2) by calling the toll-free number listed on your proxy card; or (3) through the internet, as described in the enclosed materials. If you receive more than one proxy because you own shares registered in different names or addresses, each proxy should be voted. This Proxy Statement and accompanying proxy are being distributed on or about April 15, 2015.

April 15, 2015 **Kathleen H. Wade**
Corporate Secretary

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 27, 2015

The Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, and the Annual Report to Stockholders (the "Proxy Materials") are available on the internet. You may access the Proxy Materials at <http://www.bostonbeer.com>.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

Whether or not you plan to attend our Annual Meeting, please vote as soon as possible. Under New York Stock Exchange rules, your broker will NOT be able to vote your shares unless they receive specific instructions from you. We strongly encourage you to vote.

We have been advised that many states are strictly enforcing escheatment laws and requiring shares held in “inactive” accounts to be escheated to the state in which the shareholder was last known to reside. One way you can ensure that your account remains active is to vote your shares.

We encourage you to vote by the internet or telephone. It is convenient for you and saves us significant postage and processing costs. To vote by the internet, go to <http://www.envisionreports/sam> and follow the steps outlined on the secured website. To vote by telephone, call toll free at 1-800-652-8683. Internet and telephone voting for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m. ET on May 26, 2015.

Table of Contents

<u>PROXY SUMMARY</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>NOMINEES FOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Executive Summary</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Compensation Philosophy and Objectives</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Role of the Compensation Committee</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Components of Executive Compensation and Determination of Compensation Mix</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>How Executive Pay Levels are Determined</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Additional Compensation Policies and Practices</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Fiscal Year 2014 Named Executive Officer Compensation and Performance</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2014</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year End</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2014</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment, and Change in Control Agreements</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>AUDIT INFORMATION</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>VOTING MATTERS FOR 2015 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>46</u>

[Back to Content](#)

Proxy Summary

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. This summary does not contain all of the information you should consider regarding the proposals being presented at the Annual Meeting. We recommend that you read the entire Proxy Statement before casting your vote.

Online Availability of Proxy Materials

Your proxy is being solicited for the Annual Meeting. A Notice of the Online Availability of Proxy Materials has been mailed to all stockholders of record advising that they can: (1) view all Proxy Materials online; or (2) request a paper or email copy of the Proxy Materials free of charge. We encourage stockholders to access their Proxy Materials online to reduce the environmental impact and cost of our proxy solicitation.

Eligibility to Vote

Only stockholders of record are eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting. You can vote if you held shares of Class A or Class B Common Stock as of the close of business on March 30, 2015. Each outstanding share of Boston Beer's Class A and Class B Common Stock entitles the stockholder to one (1) vote on each matter properly brought before the Class.

2014 Business Highlights

Boston Beer's business goal is to become the leading supplier in the "Better Beer" and Hard Cider categories by creating and offering high quality full-flavored beers and hard ciders. With the support of a large, well-trained sales organization and world-class brewers, we strive to achieve this goal by offering great beers and hard ciders and increasing brand availability and awareness through distribution, advertising, point-of-sale, promotional programs, and drinker education.

In late 2013, our Board of Directors and Executive Officers established several strategic and financial goals designed to increase sales and profitability, aggressively manage price and costs to achieve delivered gross margin and earnings goals, invest in our supply chain to meet demand and deliver great beers and hard ciders at competitive economics, and build an organization capable of driving growth and operating our breweries safely, while improving operational efficiencies, optimizing costs, and reducing risk. To that end, in 2014 our significant accomplishments included:

- Net revenue of \$903.0 million, an increase of \$163.9 million, or 22%, from 2013
- Earnings per diluted share of \$6.69, an increase of \$1.51, or 29%, compared to 2013 earnings per diluted share
- Depletions (sales by our wholesalers to retailers) growth of slightly below 22%
- Shipments (our sales to our wholesalers) growth of 20%
- Cash and cash equivalents on hand as of the end of the 2014 fiscal year of \$76.4 million
- Capital expenditures of approximately \$151.8 million to expand our capacity, strengthen our organizational capability, and support the growth and increasing complexity of our business

Voting Matters and Board Recommendations

Item #	Voting Matters	Board Recommendation
Item 1	The election of each of the nominees for Class A Director, to be decided by plurality vote of the holders of Class A Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy.	FOR each Director Nominee
Item 2	The non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, to be voted on by the holders of Class A Common Stock present in person or by proxy.	FOR
Item 3	The election of each of the nominees for Class B Director, to be decided by the affirmative vote of the sole holder of the outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock.	FOR each Director Nominee
Item 4	The ratification of Deloitte as our independent registered public accounting firm, to be decided by the affirmative vote of the Class B Stockholder.	FOR

[Back to Content](#)**Board Nominees****Class A Director Nominees**

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation	Committees
David A. Burwick	53	2005	President and CEO of Peet's Coffee & Tea, Inc.	Nom/Gov (Chair), Comp
Pearson C. Cummin, III	72	1995	Managing Member of Grey Fox Associates, LLC	Comp (Chair), Audit
Jean-Michel Valette*	54	2003	Chairman, Select Comfort Corporation	Audit, Nom/Gov

Class B Director Nominees

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation	Committees
Cynthia A. Fisher	54	2012	Founder, Managing Director of WaterRev, LLC	-
C. James Koch	65	1995	Founder and Chairman of Boston Beer	-
Jay Margolis	66	2006	Chairman of Intuit Consulting LLC	Audit, Comp, Nom/Gov
Martin F. Roper	52	1999	President/CEO of Boston Beer	-
Gregg A. Tanner	58	2007	CEO of Dean Foods Company	Audit (Chair)

Abbreviations: Audit=Audit Committee; Comp=Compensation Committee; Nom/Gov=Nominating/Governance Committee

* *Lead Director*

Named Executive Officers

For the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014, Boston Beer's "Named Executive Officers" consisted of President and Chief Executive Officer Martin F. Roper, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer William F. Urich, and the next four most-highly compensated Executive Officers, namely Founder and Chairman C. James Koch, Vice President of Operations Thomas W. Lance, Vice President of Sales John C. Geist, and Vice President of Brand Development Robert P. Pagano.

Executive Compensation

Boston Beer's executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate, reward, and retain highly competent executives, with a focus on pay for performance through bonuses linked to company and individual performance targets and equity awards with performance-based vesting linked to depletions growth. Overall, Boston Beer believes it should provide competitive pay to its executives and align compensation with achieving its strategic goals and

delivering strong company performance, both in terms of depletions growth and long-term stockholder value. Our compensation philosophy is to provide employees with an overall compensation package that provides strong performers with the opportunity to earn competitive compensation over the long term through a combination of base salary, cash incentives, and equity awards. As shown in the charts below, the pay mix of our CEO and of our other Named Executive Officers in 2014 is consistent with these goals. For example, in 2014, cash incentives and equity awards provided approximately 59% of the total potential compensation of our CEO and approximately 49% of the potential compensation, in the aggregate, of our other Named Executive Officers.

** Of the total potential compensation of our other Named Executive Officers, salary constituted 38% to 67%, bonuses earned (paid in 2015 based on 2014 performance) constituted 16% to 32%, and equity compensation, all of which was in the form of stock options, constituted 0% to 32%.*

[Back to Content](#)

Further, the chart below shows the correlation of Company performance and the compensation of our CEO over the last three fiscal years:

While the CEO's salary increased 3.2% from 2013 to 2014, his total compensation decreased year-over-year because he earned 40.3% of his bonus potential in 2014, as compared to 72.2% of his bonus potential in 2013. Overall, the Company's anticipated growth was higher in 2014 than in 2013, which ultimately resulted in more aggressive bonus goals for 2014. As a result, Company performance and change in CEO compensation were not directly aligned from 2013 to 2014.

Note: 2012 EPS growth shown above is calculated based on adjusted EPS of \$3.73 for 2011, which excludes the favorable impact of settlements of \$1.08 per diluted share in 2011, compared to reported unadjusted EPS of \$4.81 for 2011.

The actual compensation paid to each of our Named Executive Officers is discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, section of this Proxy Statement.

[Back to Content](#)

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

This Proxy Statement is provided in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Boston Beer for use at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and at any adjournments thereof.

1. When and where is the Annual Meeting and who may attend?

The Annual Meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 27, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. ET at the Samuel Adams Brewery located at 30 Germania Street, Boston, Massachusetts. The Brewery will be open at approximately 8:30 a.m. ET. Stockholders who are entitled to vote may attend the meeting, as well as our invited guests. Each stockholder is permitted to bring one guest.

DIRECTIONS TO THE BREWERY

FROM THE SOUTH OF BOSTON

Take 93N to exit 18 (Mass Ave and Roxbury Exit). Go straight down Melnea Cass Blvd toward Roxbury. Once on Melnea Cass Blvd you will go through seven lights. At the eighth light take a left on Tremont St (*Landmark: Northeastern University and Ruggles T Station will be on your right when you turn onto Tremont St. Note: Tremont St eventually becomes Columbus Ave*). Follow Tremont St through seven lights. Take a right on Amory St (*Landmark: look for a big, powder blue Muffler Mart shop on the right – directly after Centre Street*). Follow Amory St through 2 lights. After the 2nd light take a left on Porter St (*Landmark: Directly after Boylston St*). Go to the end of Porter St and the Brewery is on the right.

FROM THE NORTH OF BOSTON

Take 93S to exit 18 (*Mass Ave and Roxbury exit*) and follow the above directions.

FROM THE SUBWAY

Take the Orange Line outbound toward Forest Hills. Exit at the Stony Brook stop. Above ground take a left onto Boylston St. Take your first right onto Amory St. Then take your first left onto Porter St to Brewery gate (*the Brewery will be at the end of Porter St on your right*).

2. Who is eligible to vote?

You can vote if you held shares of Class A or Class B Common Stock as of the close of business on March 30, 2015 (the “Record Date”). Each outstanding share of Boston Beer’s Class A and Class B Common Stock entitles the stockholder to one (1) vote on each matter properly brought before the Class. On the Record Date, we had outstanding and entitled to vote 9,745,880 shares of Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, and 3,617,355 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share.

3. What is the difference between holding shares as a “Stockholder of Record” and as a “Beneficial Owner”?

If your shares are registered in your name on the books and records of Computershare, our registrar and transfer agent, you are a “Stockholder of Record” (also sometimes referred to as a “Registered Stockholder”). If you are a Stockholder of Record, we sent the Notice directly to you.

If your shares are held by your broker or bank on your behalf, your shares are held in “Street Name” and you are considered a “Beneficial Owner.” If this is the case, the Notice has been forwarded to you by your broker, bank, or other holder of record.

4. I am eligible to vote and want to attend the Annual Meeting. What do I need to bring? Do I need to contact Boston Beer in advance of the Annual Meeting?

Stockholders of Record. If you are a Stockholder of Record and plan to attend the meeting, please bring your Admission Ticket, Notice, other evidence of ownership if you voted by mail, or the Notice and photo identification if you voted by phone or internet. Stockholders of Record who do not present a Notice at the meeting will be admitted only upon verification of ownership at the admission counter.

Beneficial Owners. If you are a Beneficial Owner and plan to attend the meeting, you must present proof of ownership of Boston Beer shares as of March 30, 2015, such as the Notice you received from your broker or a brokerage account statement, and photo identification.

In either case, you do not need to contact us in advance to inform us that you will be attending.

[Back to Content](#)

5. I am a Stockholder of Record. How do I vote?

By Internet or Telephone: You may vote your proxy by the internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided in the Notice. To vote by the internet, go to <http://www.envisionreports/sam> and follow the steps outlined on the secured website. To vote by telephone, call toll free at 1-800-652-8683. Internet and telephone voting for Stockholders of Record will be available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m. ET on May 26, 2015.

By Mail: If you received the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card, and the Annual Report to Stockholders (the “Proxy Materials”), or if you requested that the Proxy Materials be sent to you by mail, you may vote by completing, signing, and dating the Proxy Card and returning it in the prepaid envelope.

In Person at the Annual Meeting: You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you voted via proxy before the meeting, you must revoke it in order to vote in person. If you need to revoke your proxy, please consult with a Boston Beer representative upon admission to the Annual Meeting.

6. I am a Beneficial Owner. How do I vote?

As the Beneficial Owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank, or other holder of record on how to vote your shares by mail using the voting instruction card included in the mailing. You will receive instructions from your broker, bank, or other holder of record regarding how to provide direction on the voting of your shares. If you are a Beneficial Owner and wish to vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, you must bring a Legal Proxy provided by your bank, broker, or other holder of record.

7. Why did I receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instead of printed Proxy Materials?

As permitted by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and as a way to reduce printing and mailing costs, we make the Proxy Materials available to our stockholders electronically via the internet. Unless you previously asked to receive the printed Proxy Materials, we mailed you a Notice containing instructions on how to access the Proxy Materials online, as well as how you may submit your proxy over the internet or by telephone. If you would like a printed copy of our Proxy Materials, please follow the instructions contained in the Notice.

8. What is a “proxy” and what is a “proxy statement”?

A “proxy” is the legal designation of another person to vote the shares you own. That other person is called your proxy. If you designate someone as your proxy in a written document, that document is also called a proxy or a proxy card. A “proxy statement” is a document that SEC regulations require us to give you when we ask you to sign a proxy card

designating individuals to vote on your behalf.

9. As a Class A Stockholder, what are my voting choices for each of the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

Item 1: Election of Three Class A Director Nominees

Voting Choices

- Vote in favor of all nominees;
- Vote in favor of specific nominees and withhold a favorable vote for specific nominees; or
- Withhold authority to vote for all nominees.

The Board Recommends a Vote FOR Each of the Nominees.

Item 2: Non-binding Advisory Vote to Approve Boston Beer's Named Executive Officer Compensation

Voting Choices

- Vote in favor of the proposal;
- Vote against the proposal; or
- Abstain from voting for the proposal.

The Board Recommends a Vote, in an Advisory Manner, FOR Approval of the 2014 Compensation of Boston Beer's Named Executive Officers and the Compensation Policies and Procedures as Described in this Proxy Statement.

10. How many Class A votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

The holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of each class of Common Stock are required to be present in person or to be represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting in order to constitute a “quorum” to vote on the matters coming before their respective Class.

Abstentions and “withheld” votes will be counted as present in determining whether the quorum requirement is satisfied. Votes withheld with respect to the election of Class A Directors will have the same effect as negative votes. Similarly, abstentions will have the same effect as negative votes on the advisory vote of Class A stockholders regarding the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

11. What if I do not specify a choice for a matter when returning a proxy?

If you sign and return the proxy card without indicating your instructions, your shares will be voted FOR each of the agenda items for which you are entitled to vote and have not clearly indicated votes. In addition, if other matters come before the meeting, your proxy will have discretion to vote on these matters in accordance with their best judgment.

[Back to Content](#)

12. What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice?

If you received multiple Notices, it means that you hold your shares in different ways (for example, some shares held directly, some beneficially or in a trust, in custodial accounts, or by joint tenancy) or in multiple accounts. Each Notice you receive should be voted separately by internet, telephone, or mail.

13. May stockholders ask questions at the Annual Meeting?

Yes. There will be a question and answer period after the formal business of the meeting has concluded. In order to provide an opportunity for everyone who wishes to ask a question, stockholders may be limited to two minutes each to present their question. When speaking, stockholders must direct questions to the Chairman and confine their questions to matters that relate directly to the business of the meeting.

14. When will Boston Beer announce the voting results?

We will announce the preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting. We will report the final results in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days after the meeting.

15. I lost my Notice or Proxy Materials. How am I able to vote?

You will need the control number found on the bottom of your Notice to be able to vote your shares. If you are a Stockholder of Record and you have not received your Notice or Proxy Materials by May 4, 2015, or have lost or misplaced your Notice or Proxy Materials, please contact Computershare, at 800-652-8683 or www.computershare.com, to get your control number. If you are a Beneficial Owner, please contact your bank, broker, or other holder of record.

16. Can I revoke or change my proxy?

You may revoke or change your proxy at any time before it is exercised by: (1) delivering to Boston Beer a signed proxy card with a date later than your previously delivered proxy; (2) voting in person at the Annual Meeting after revoking your proxy; (3) granting a subsequent proxy through the internet or telephone; or (4) sending a written revocation to our Corporate Secretary, Kathleen H. Wade. Your most current proxy is the one that will be counted.

17. Who incurs the expenses of the proxy solicitation?

All reasonable proxy soliciting expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting will be borne by Boston Beer. Our officers and employees may solicit proxies by mail, telephone, fax, or personal contact, without being additionally compensated. In addition, Boston Beer has retained Georgeson Inc., a professional proxy solicitation firm, to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a fee of approximately \$7,500, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

18. How can I contact Boston Beer?

Our corporate headquarters are located at One Design Center Place, Suite 850, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. Our main telephone number is (617) 368-5000. Our investor website is www.bostonbeer.com.

[Back to Content](#)**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock as of March 30, 2015, by:

- Each person (or group of affiliated persons) known by us to be the beneficial owner(s) of more than 5% of our outstanding Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock;
- Our current Directors, all of whom are nominees for reelection as Directors;
- Our Named Executive Officers; and
- All our current Directors and Executive Officers as a group.

The address of all our Directors and Executive Officers is c/o The Boston Beer Company, Inc., One Design Center Place, Suite 850, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. The information provided in the table is based on our records, information on file with the SEC, and information provided to us, except as otherwise noted.

Beneficial ownership is determined under the rules of the SEC and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under those rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which an individual has sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares that the individual has the right or option to acquire under certain circumstances. Unless otherwise indicated, each person named below held sole voting and investment power over the shares listed. All shares are Class A Common Stock, except for shares of Class B Common Stock, all of which are held by C. James Koch. Ownership percentages shown below are percentages of all outstanding Class A Common Stock, except in the case of C. James Koch, which shows the percentage of all outstanding Class A and Class B Common Stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	
	Number	Percent
Directors and Named Executive Officers:		
C. James Koch ⁽¹⁾	3,832,535	28.7 %
Martin F. Roper ⁽²⁾	181,030	1.9 %
Cynthia A. Fisher ⁽³⁾	109,081	1.1 %
David A. Burwick ⁽⁴⁾	35,646	*
Jean-Michel Valette ⁽⁵⁾	35,625	*
Gregg A. Tanner ⁽⁶⁾	33,241	*
Jay Margolis ⁽⁷⁾	25,241	*
Pearson C. Cummin, III ⁽⁸⁾	24,664	*
William F. Urich ⁽⁹⁾	18,029	*
Robert P. Pagano ⁽¹⁰⁾	4,928	*

Thomas W. Lance ⁽¹¹⁾	3,840	*	
John C. Geist ⁽¹²⁾	496	*	
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (15 people)	4,258,427	31.9	%
Owners of 5% or More of the Company's Outstanding Shares:			
FMR LLC ⁽¹³⁾ 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109	1,080,073	11.6	%
Black Rock, Inc. ⁽¹⁴⁾ 40 E. 52 nd Street New York, NY 10022	724,179	7.8	%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁵⁾ 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355	601,006	6.4	%
Neuberger Berman Group LLC ⁽¹⁶⁾ Neuberger Berman LLC Neuberger Berman Management LLC Neuberger Berman Equity Funds 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158	590,835	6.3	%

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. 2015 Proxy Statement **13**

Back to Content

* Represents holdings of less than one percent (1%).

(1) Includes 92,132 shares of Class A Common Stock directly held by Mr. Koch; 3,617,355 shares of Class B Common Stock, constituting all of the outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock; options to acquire 44,126 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days; 23,486 shares of Class A Common Stock held by Mr. Koch as custodian for the benefit of his minor children, and 5,000 shares of Class A Common Stock held as trustee in a trust of which Mr. Koch is the sole beneficiary. Also includes 50,436 shares of Class A Common Stock reported as beneficially owned by Cynthia A. Fisher, Mr. Koch's spouse, consisting of 3,656 shares of Class A Common Stock held as custodian for the benefit of her minor children, 2,532 shares of Class A Common Stock held as trustee of irrevocable trusts for the benefit of her minor children, and 44,248 shares of Class A Common Stock held in a collection of generation skipping trusts, as to which Ms. Fisher has sole voting and investment power and as to which Mr. Koch disclaims beneficial ownership.

(2) Includes options to acquire 180,000 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(3) Includes options to acquire 7,722 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days. Also includes 3,656 shares of Class A Common Stock held by Ms. Fisher as custodian for the benefit of her minor children; 2,532 shares of Class A Common Stock held by Ms. Fisher as trustee of irrevocable trusts for the benefit of her minor children; 44,248 shares of Class A Common Stock held by Ms. Fisher as trustee of a collection of generation-skipping trusts; and 27,437 shares of Class A Common Stock held in trust by a limited liability company of which Ms. Fisher is the manager and to which Ms. Fisher disclaims beneficial ownership. Also includes 23,486 shares of Class A Common Stock reported as beneficially owned by C. James Koch, Ms. Fisher's spouse, as custodian for the benefit of their minor children, for which Mr. Koch has sole voting and investment power and as to which Ms. Fisher disclaims beneficial ownership.

(4) Includes options to acquire 35,241 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(5) Includes options to acquire 19,125 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(6) Consists of options to acquire 33,241 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(7) Includes options to acquire 22,741 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(8) Includes options to acquire 17,741 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(9) Includes options to acquire 14,000 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days and 396 shares of Class A Common Stock purchased under the Company's Investment Share Program which are not yet vested.

(10) Consists of options to acquire 4,928 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days.

(11) Includes options to acquire 498 shares of Class A Common Stock exercisable currently or within sixty (60) days and 487 shares of Class A Common Stock purchased under the Company's Investment Share Program which are

not yet vested.

(12) *Consists of 496 shares of Class A Common Stock purchased under the Company's Investment Share Program which are not yet vested.*

(13) *Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2015 by FMR LLC, which reported sole voting power with respect to 30,651 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 1,080,073 shares.*

(14) *Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 26, 2015 by BlackRock, Inc., which reported sole voting power with respect to 705,869 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 724,179 shares.*

(15) *Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 9, 2015 by The Vanguard Group, Inc., which reported sole voting power with respect to 11,428 shares, shared dispositive power with respect to 10,728 shares, and sole dispositive power with respect to 590,278 shares.*

Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 12, 2015 by Neuberger Berman Group LLC, Neuberger Berman LLC, Neuberger Berman Management LLC and Neuberger Berman Equity Funds, which reported that these entities may be deemed to beneficially own all of the shares reported in the table. Neuberger Berman Group LLC and Neuberger Berman LLC each reported shared voting power with respect to 589,235 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 590,835 shares. Neuberger Berman Management LLC reported shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 537,591 shares. Neuberger Berman Equity Funds reported shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 479,519 shares. These entities also reported that the holdings of Neuberger Berman Trust Co N.A., Neuberger Berman Trust Co of Delaware N.A., NB Alternatives Advisers LLC, Neuberger Berman Fixed Income LLC and NB Alternative Investment Management LLC, affiliates of Neuberger Berman LLC, are also aggregated to comprise the shares reported.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our Directors and Executive Officers and persons who own more than 10% of our outstanding Class A Common Stock to file reports regarding their beneficial ownership of our stock with the SEC. Based solely upon a review of those filings furnished to us and written representations in the case of our Directors and Executive Officers, we believe all reports required to be filed under Section 16(a) with the SEC were timely filed in 2014.

[Back to Content](#)

NOMINEES FOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Nominees for our Board of Directors have been proposed in accordance with our Articles of Organization, By-Laws, and Corporate Governance Guidelines. Below are the nominees for election as Class A and Class B Directors, respectively, for a one-year term ending at the close of the 2016 Annual Meeting. As outlined in more detail below, each nominee has extensive business and senior management experience, and together they represent a diverse group of individuals with particular skills and experience in the areas that we consider to be the most critical to our business and prospects, including knowledge of and experience in the alcohol beverage industry, marketing and brand development, operations and supply chain management, finance, sales, corporate governance, entrepreneurship, and general enterprise management.

Nominees for Class A Director

We recommend that holders of Class A Common Stock vote “FOR” each nominee listed.

David A. Burwick

Independent Class A Director Nominee

Age: 53

Director Since: 2005

Committees: Nominating/Governance Committee (Chair), Compensation Committee

Other Public Company Directorships: None

In December 2012, Mr. Burwick was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Peet’s Coffee & Tea, Inc., a specialty coffee and tea company based in California. Prior to this role, starting in April 2010, Mr. Burwick served as President, North America of Weight Watchers International, Inc., a publicly-held company based in New York City and a leading provider of weight management services. Mr. Burwick previously had been Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer of PepsiCo North American Beverages, headquartered in Purchase, New York, until

September 2009. Before assuming that position in April 2008, he had been Executive Vice President, Commercial, of PepsiCo International and President of Pepsi-QTG Canada, headquartered in Toronto, a position he held from November 2005 to March 2008. Mr. Burwick held several positions with Pepsi-Cola North America, including serving as Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer from June 2002 until immediately prior to his move to Pepsi-QTG Canada.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Mr. Burwick has extensive experience in marketing consumer products. His significant experience in the beverage industry has also been integral in helping shape our overall brand development strategies. Mr. Burwick's broad senior management experience is also an asset to our Compensation Committee, on which he has served since May 2005, including as Chair from May 2006 to May 2013, and our Nominating/Governance Committee, on which he has served since May 2005. Mr. Burwick holds an MBA from Harvard Business School.

Pearson C. Cummin, III

Independent Class A Director Nominee

Age: 72

Director Since: 1995

Committees: Compensation Committee (Chair), Audit Committee

Other Public Company Directorships: None

Mr. Cummin has been active as a private investor for many years and is currently the Managing Member of Grey Fox Associates, LLC, a private investment company headquartered in Greenwich, Connecticut. He served as a Director of Pacific Sunwear of California, Inc., a California-based specialty apparel retailer, from 1988 through March 2010, where he also had served as chair of its Compensation Committee and a member of its Audit and Nominating/Governance Committees. Mr. Cummin also served as the Secretary/Treasurer of the American Diabetes Association in 2012.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Mr. Cummin is an experienced investor and venture capitalist with extensive experience in finance, public company corporate governance, and executive compensation matters. He has served on our Audit Committee since 1995, having served as its Chair from May 1996 to May 2013, and on our Compensation Committee since May 1997. He qualifies as a financial expert, having an MBA from Harvard Business School, as the former general partner of a venture capital firm, and as a former member of the Audit Committee of Pacific Sunwear, a publicly-traded company.

[Back to Content](#)

Jean-Michel Valette

Independent Class A Director Nominee

Age: 54

Director Since: 2003

Committees: Nominating/Governance Committee, Audit Committee

Other Public Company Directorships: Select Comfort Corporation

Mr. Valette currently serves as an independent advisor to select branded consumer companies. Until November 2012 he was Chairman of the Board and a member of the Audit and Nominating/Governance Committees of Peet's Coffee & Tea Inc., a California-based specialty coffee company; since then he is a Director and Chairman of its Audit and Valuation Committees. He is also Chairman of the Board and a member of the Audit Committee of Select Comfort Corporation (NASDAQ: SCSS), a Minneapolis-based bedding company. Until October 2006, he was also Chairman of Robert Mondavi Winery, a California wine company. Prior to assuming that position, he had served as President and Managing Director of Robert Mondavi Winery from October 2004 to January 2005. From May 2003 through May 2006, Mr. Valette served as a Class B Director of Boston Beer.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Mr. Valette has over twenty-five years of experience in management, public company corporate governance, strategic planning, and finance, with extensive experience in the alcohol beverage industry. He holds an MBA from Harvard Business School. He also serves as a director of several private companies. Mr. Valette served as the Chair of our Nominating/ Governance Committee from May 2004 until May 2013 and has served as a member of our Audit Committee since May 2003. He was named Boston Beer's Lead Director in May 2013.

Nominees for Class B Director

Cynthia A. Fisher

Class B Director Nominee

Age: 54

Director Since: 2012

Committees: None

Other Public Company Directorships: Easterly Government Properties, Inc.

In 2011, Ms. Fisher founded WaterRev, LLC, an investment company located in Newton, Massachusetts, focused on innovative technology companies that enable sustainable practices of water use. She is an independent investor and consults to corporate boards and executive management teams. She also serves on the Board of Directors of Easterly Government Properties, Inc. (NYSE: DEA), a publicly-held real estate investment trust. In 1992, Ms. Fisher founded ViaCord, Inc., a cord blood stem cell banking company, and served as CEO of Viacord, Inc. from 1993 to 2000. In 2000, she co-founded ViaCell, Inc., a cellular medicines company and successor to ViaCord, which went public in 2005. Ms. Fisher served as ViaCell's President from 2000 to 2001 and as a member of its Board of Directors until 2002. Ms. Fisher is the spouse of C. James Koch, Boston Beer's Founder and Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Ms. Fisher serves on the Board of Directors of a public company and on the Board of Directors of several not-for-profit businesses, including Water.org and Ursinus College, the Advisory Board of Harvard Medical School for Systems Biology, and the Board of Advisors for the Micheli Center for Sports Injury Prevention. Ms. Fisher holds an MBA from Harvard Business School and an Honorary Doctorate of Science from Ursinus College. She brings significant entrepreneurial experience, as well as insight in business strategy, operations, and consumer marketing to the Board's overall business perspective.

C. James Koch

Class B Director Nominee

Age: 65

Director Since: 1995

Committees: None

Other Public Company Directorships: None

Mr. Koch founded Boston Beer in 1984 and currently serves as its Chairman. Until January 2001, Mr. Koch also served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer. He also served as the Company's Secretary/Clerk until May 2010. Prior to starting Boston Beer, he had worked as a consultant for an international consulting firm, with a focus on manufacturing.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

His thirty-one years at the helm of Boston Beer, during which it has grown from a small start-up company to its current position as a leading craft brewer, is a testament to his skill in brewing, strategy, brand development, and industry leadership.

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. 2015 Proxy Statement 16

[Back to Content](#)

Jay Margolis

Independent Class B Director Nominee

Age: 66

Director Since: 2006

Committees: Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating/ Governance Committee

Other Public Company Directorships: None

Mr. Margolis is Chairman of Intuit Consulting LLC, a consulting firm specializing in retail, fashion, and consumer products located in Watermill, New York. From February 2013 to March 2015, Mr. Margolis was Chairman and CEO of Caché, Inc., a publicly-held specialty chain of women's apparel stores headquartered in New York. From August 2008 to April 2014, he served on the Board of Directors of Godiva Chocolatier Inc., a privately-held, high-end specialty chocolate manufacturer and retailer, with its North American headquarters located in New York, New York. From October 2005 through July 2007, Mr. Margolis served as the President and CEO of the Apparel Group of Limited Brands located in Ohio. Before assuming that position, he had been President and Chief Operating Officer of Massachusetts-based Reebok, Inc. since 2001, where he also served as a Director.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Mr. Margolis has significant knowledge in consumer products retailing, merchandising, consumer insights, strategic planning, and public company corporate governance. His extensive senior management experience has been an asset to the Board since he became a Director in 2006. Mr. Margolis has served on our Compensation and Nominating/Governance Committees since May 2006 and re-joined the Audit Committee in May 2013, after having previously served on the Audit Committee from May 2006 to December 2007.

Martin F. Roper

Class B Director Nominee

Age: 52

Director Since: 1999

Committees: None

Other Public Company Directorships: Lumber Liquidators, Inc.

Mr. Roper is Boston Beer's President and Chief Executive Officer, a position he has held since January 2001. Mr. Roper joined Boston Beer as Vice President of Manufacturing and Business Development in September 1994, became the Chief Operating Officer in April 1997, and became President and Chief Operating Officer in December 1999. In April 2006, Mr. Roper joined the Board of Directors of Lumber Liquidators, Inc. (NYSE: LL), a Virginia-based hardwood flooring retailer, where he serves as Chair of its Compensation Committee and a member of its Audit Committee. Mr. Roper holds a master's degree in manufacturing from Cambridge University, as well as an MBA from Harvard Business School. Prior to joining Boston Beer, he worked as a strategy consultant and led small manufacturing companies in turn-around situations.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Mr. Roper's experience, both prior to and since joining Boston Beer, provides strength in operations, strategy, finance, public company corporate governance, and general management.

Gregg A. Tanner

Independent Class B Director Nominee

Age: 58

Director Since: 2007

Committees: Audit Committee (Chair)

Other Public Company Directorships: Dean Foods Company

Mr. Tanner is currently Chief Executive Officer of Dean Foods Company (NYSE: DF), a leading food and beverage company and the largest processor and direct-to-store distributor of fluid milk and other dairy and dairy case products in the United States, located in Dallas, Texas, a position he has held since November 2012. Prior to serving as CEO, Mr. Tanner served as the Chief Supply Chain Officer and President of its Fresh Dairy Direct division since November 2007. From July 2006 through October 2007, Mr. Tanner was Senior Vice President of Global Operations for The Hershey Company of Hershey, Pennsylvania. He was with ConAgra Foods of Omaha, Nebraska from September 2001 through July 2005, holding the position of Senior Vice President, Retail Supply Chain from June 2002 through July 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Tanner held positions of increasing responsibility at the Quaker Oats Company and Ralston Purina Company.

Specific qualifications and experience of particular relevance to Boston Beer

Mr. Tanner has over thirty years of operations, supply chain management, and general management experience in the food and beverage industry, with significant experience in risk management. He also qualifies as a financial expert in that he has overseen profits, losses, and balance sheets in senior executive roles for S&P 500 companies. Mr. Tanner has been a member of our Audit Committee since he joined the Board in December 2007.

[Back to Content](#)

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We are committed to having effective corporate governance and high ethical standards because we believe that these values support our long-term performance. Our Articles of Organization, By-Laws, Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of the Board's committees, and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics provide the framework of our corporate governance standards. These documents are available on our investor website, www.bostonbeer.com, and are also available in print by request. Requests should be directed to our Investor Relations Department, The Boston Beer Company, Inc., One Design Center Place, Suite 850, Boston, Massachusetts 02210.

Director Independence

The Board currently consists of eight Directors, comprised of three Directors who were elected by the Class A Stockholders and five Directors who were elected by the sole Class B Stockholder. All three of the individuals standing for reelection as Class A Directors – David A. Burwick, Pearson C. Cummin III, and Jean-Michel Valette – and two of the five individuals standing for reelection as Class B Directors – Jay Margolis and Gregg A. Tanner – constituting a majority of the Board of Directors, have no material relationship with Boston Beer (either directly or as a partner, stockholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) and are independent, as determined in accordance with the director independence standards of the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) and the SEC. Only independent Directors serve as members of the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating/Governance Committees.

Board Leadership Structure

Since 2001, Boston Beer has separated the roles of CEO and Chairman. We believe that this strengthens the Company by allowing the CEO to focus on the day-to-day management of the business and the Chairman to focus on leadership of the Board of Directors, issues of beer quality and innovation, and overall brand strategy and awareness. The Chairman continues to be active in our business, but with more focus in critical areas of the business and outreach, including participation in industry trade associations. Both the Chairman and the CEO participate fully in deliberations of the Board of Directors.

On May 29, 2013, upon the recommendation of the Nominating/Governance Committee, the non-management members of the Board of Directors voted to establish a position of Lead Director and adopted a charter for the position. The non-management members of the Board of Directors then appointed Jean-Michel Valette as the Lead Director. The role of the Lead Director is to serve in a lead capacity to coordinate the activities of the other non-management Directors, including but not limited to: (i) presiding at meetings of the Board in the absence of, or upon the request of, the Chairman; (ii) presiding over all executive meetings of non-management Directors and reporting to the Board concerning such meetings; (iii) reviewing Board agendas in collaboration with the Chairman and CEO and recommending matters for the Board to consider; (iv) serving as a liaison between Directors and the

Chairman and CEO without inhibiting direct communications between the Chairman, CEO, and other Directors; (v) serving as the principal liaison for consultation and communication between Directors and stockholders; and (vi) advising the Chairman concerning the retention of advisors and consultants who report directly to the Board.

Executive Sessions of the Board

The independent Directors regularly meet in scheduled executive sessions without management. A portion of each executive session includes the Chairman and the one non-management Director who is not independent, and another portion includes only the independent Directors. The Lead Director leads these sessions, and at the conclusion of each executive session reports back to the Chairman and the CEO on the executive session discussions. The independent Directors met formally in executive sessions four times during the Company's 2014 fiscal year.

Board Risk Oversight

The Board as a whole has ultimate responsibility for risk oversight. It exercises this oversight function through its standing committees, each of which has primary risk oversight responsibility with respect to all matters within the scope of its responsibilities set forth in its charter. As further described below under the headings "Audit Committee" and "Compensation Committee," the Audit Committee and management discuss policies regarding Boston Beer's risk assessment and risk management programs and processes and the Compensation Committee reviews the risks associated with Boston Beer's compensation practices.

[Back to Content](#)

Review of Related Party Transactions

Under our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Officers, Directors, and employees are required to report any proposed related-party transactions to our Compliance Officer, who will bring them to the attention of the Audit Committee. Since the beginning of the last fiscal year, we have had no transactions with our Officers, Directors, their immediate family members, or any stockholder owning 5% or more of our outstanding stock, nor do we currently have any proposed transactions, in which Boston Beer is or was a participant and in which any such related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Board Meetings and Attendance

We believe that all members of the Board of Directors should attend and actively participate in meetings of the Board and of its committees. Directors are also strongly encouraged to attend meetings of stockholders.

During the Company's 2014 fiscal year, there were four regular meetings of the Board of Directors. Three regular meetings were held at our headquarters in Boston, Massachusetts, and one regular meeting was held in Los Angeles, California.

All Directors attended the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which was held at our brewery in Boston, Massachusetts. At this meeting, the Directors had the opportunity to meet directly with several of our individual stockholders, many of whom have held stock since our initial public offering in 1995.

During the 2014 fiscal year, each of our Directors attended all of the meetings of the Board of Directors in person or by telephone. Additionally, each of our Directors attended all of the meetings of the committees on which the Director served, either in person or by telephone.

Board Committee Structure

There are three standing committees of the Board of Directors: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating/Governance Committee. Membership on these committees is limited to independent Directors. Membership on the Committees of the Board of Directors currently is:

Director	Audit	Compensation	Nom/Gov
David A. Burwick*		X	Chair
Pearson C. Cummin, III*	X	Chair	
Cynthia A. Fisher			
C. James Koch			
Jay Margolis*	X	X	X
Martin F. Roper			
Gregg A. Tanner*		Chair	
Jean-Michel Valette*	X		X

**Independent Director*

Ms. Fisher, Mr. Koch, and Mr. Roper are not independent Directors.

Each of the committees operates under a written charter adopted by the Board and reviews these charters annually and makes recommendations for revisions to the Board. On February 12, 2014, the Board voted to amend the Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Charters for the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating/Governance Committees. Effective as of October 8, 2014, the Board again amended the Compensation Committee Charter. Copies of the respective charters, as amended, are available on Boston Beer's investor website, www.bostonbeer.com. The function of each committee and attendance during 2014 are described below.

[Back to Content](#)

Audit Committee

In accordance with its charter, the Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee management's conduct of Boston Beer's financial reporting process, including overseeing the financial reports and other financial information provided by the Company's internal accounting and financial control systems and the annual independent audit of the Company's financial statements. The Audit Committee appoints, evaluates, and determines the compensation of the Company's independent auditors; reviews and approves the scope of the annual audit of the Company's financial statements and the fees for such audit; pre-approves all other audit and non-audit services provided to the Company by the independent auditors; reviews the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, internal controls, and corporate policies relating to financial information and earnings guidance; and reviews other risks that may have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements. Each year, the Audit Committee reviews its charter and its performance and prepares the Audit Committee Report for inclusion in the annual proxy statement.

The Audit Committee is also responsible for the oversight of operational, governance, and other risks that could adversely affect Boston Beer's business. To fulfill these oversight responsibilities, at each of its regular meetings, the Audit Committee meets with Boston Beer's Director of Risk Management and Review, who is also responsible for the internal audit function, and with representatives of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. At its regular meetings, the Audit Committee also reviews and discusses potential material risks to the Company, and asks for and receives regular updates on steps taken by management to address those risks. Areas of focus in 2014 included safety, product quality, regulatory and legal compliance, scalability for growth and complexity, and brand image. The Audit Committee reports any risks that it believes could have a material adverse impact on the Company to the full Board of Directors.

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined under SEC rules. The Audit Committee met six times in 2014, with all members being in attendance and participating at all of those meetings. Except for a special meeting held on December 9, 2014, the Chairman, CEO, and CFO attended each of the meetings, but recused themselves when the Audit Committee met in executive sessions with the Director of Risk Management and Review or with the Company's independent registered public accountants. Neither the Chairman nor the CEO attended the December 9, 2014 special meeting.

The Audit Committee Report is included in the Audit Information section of this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee handles the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of Boston Beer's Officers and Directors, exercising overall responsibility for evaluating and approving the Company's compensation programs and policies relating to Officers and Directors. The Committee provides general oversight of Boston Beer's

compensation structure, including the Company's equity compensation plans; reviews and makes recommendations to the Board concerning policies or guidelines with respect to employment arrangements involving Executive Officers and Directors of the Company; reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chairman and CEO and other executives; evaluates the performance of the Chairman and the CEO and other executives in light of those goals and objectives; and sets the compensation level for the Chairman, the CEO, and the other Executive Officers.

Members of the Compensation Committee perform an annual evaluation of the performance of the Chairman and the CEO, including obtaining feedback from other Executive Officers and a select group of senior managers. The Compensation Committee also considers areas of risk that may arise from Boston Beer's compensation practices, not only relating to executives, but with respect to the Company as a whole. In carrying out its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee reports to the Board of Directors on a regular basis; reviews its own performance; reviews and reassesses the adequacy of its charter and recommends any proposed changes to the Board of Directors for its approval; and issues an annual report, including a discussion and analysis of executive compensation, for inclusion in the Proxy Statement.

In February 2013, the Compensation Committee considered and recommended to the Board the adoption of equity ownership guidelines for Directors and Executive Officers of the Company, which guidelines are more specifically discussed in the CD&A section of this Proxy Statement. It also reviewed and supported the recommendation of the Nominating/ Governance Committee regarding the adoption of a new, formal policy that bans hedging or pledging of Boston Beer stock by all Directors, Executive Officers, and other employees who are privy to material non-public information. Both policies were unanimously adopted by the Board in February 2013 and later incorporated into the Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Compensation Committee Charter. The Compensation Committee has subsequently reviewed the progress made on the equity ownership guidelines on two separate occasions, which progress is discussed in more detail under the heading "Additional Compensation Policies and Practices" in this Proxy Statement.

The Compensation Committee amended the Employee Equity Incentive Plan, or our "EEIP", effective January 1, 2014, to clarify the vesting of "Investment Shares" (as defined under the heading "Investment Shares" below) owned by employees who are age 64 or older. Under the amended plan, Investment Shares issued on or after January 1, 2014 to an employee who is age 64 or older will vest on the first anniversary of issuance if the plan participant is still employed by the Company on that date. Investment Shares issued prior to January 1, 2014 that have not otherwise vested under the standard five-year vesting schedule will fully vest when the participant turns 65 if the participant is still then employed by the Company on that date.

[Back to Content](#)

On February 12, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved certain changes to the cash component of the non-management Director compensation schedule, effective as of the 2014 Annual Meeting, as described under the heading “Director Compensation – Compensation Summary” in this Proxy Statement. Following the appointment of the Lead Director in May 2013, the Compensation Committee established the compensation for that position.

On October 8, 2014, the Compensation Committee restated the EEIP to formally clarify certain procedures and practices followed with respect to restricted stock grants and discretionary options and to set a limit on the number of shares that may be issued annually under the EEIP. More specifically, the amendment set forth performance measures to be used for restricted stock grants or discretionary options granted to certain Executive Officers and senior managers that are designed to qualify for the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. A copy of the currently effective EEIP was included as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 24, 2015.

The Compensation Committee met three times in 2014. All members of the Compensation Committee attended and participated in all of the meetings. The CEO also attended each of the meetings.

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the Report of the Compensation Committee are included in this Proxy Statement.

Nominating/Governance Committee

The Nominating/Governance Committee assists the Board by recommending to the Board nominees for election as Directors and nominees for each Board committee, evaluating the Board’s leadership structure, developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance principles, and overseeing an annual evaluation of the Board.

The Nominating/Governance Committee, acting independently and with the sole Class B Stockholder, who elects the majority of the Board under Boston Beer’s Articles of Organization, periodically assesses the size and composition of the Board, including the existing experience, qualifications, attributes, and skills represented by the current Board members and those that could enhance the overall breadth and strength of the Board. The Committee also reviews director independence and any potential conflicts of interest; examines and discusses the analyses of Boston Beer’s corporate governance standards by proxy advisory firms; considers votes cast by stockholders; reviews communications with stockholders in order to ensure the adequacy of our corporate governance practices and policies; and makes recommendations to management and/or the Board of Directors for improvements.

Each year, the Nominating/Governance Committee formally reviews its charter and its performance as well as the adequacy of Boston Beer's Corporate Governance Guidelines, recommending any necessary changes to the full Board for approval.

The Nominating/Governance Committee met two times in 2014, with all members attending and participating in each of the meetings.

In February 2015, the Nominating/Governance Committee reviewed and approved a revised Insider Trading Policy for the Company, applicable to all Directors, Officers, and employees. The revised policy clarifies: (1) the permissibility of trading during certain open trading windows for Company insiders; and (2) the use of approved Rule 10b5-1 Plans for permissible trading outside those windows.

Consideration of Nominees for Director

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for the Board of Directors

The Nominating/Governance Committee employs a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director. The Committee identifies those attributes, qualifications, skills, and experience that Committee members believe should be reflected on the Board as a whole. Then, the Committee reviews the characteristics of the then-current Board and seeks to identify any particular perceived weakness or imbalance. In doing so, the Nominating/Governance Committee takes into consideration the results of the annual self-assessments performed by the Board and each of the standing committees and seeks input from the Board. The Committee also meets with the sole Class B Stockholder, who elects a majority of the Board pursuant to Boston Beer's Articles of Organization.

The Nominating/Governance Committee has discussed the issue of term limits and concluded that establishing formal Director term limits is not in the best interests of the Company. The Committee has weighed the potential advantage of bringing "new blood" to the Board versus the disadvantage of losing valuable contributions by Directors who have developed expansive knowledge concerning the Company and its operations, which has historically resulted in increased contributions as a whole. The Committee believes that the Board's annual self-evaluation serves as an appropriate alternative to term limits.

While the Board does not have a formal policy on diversity, the Nominating/ Governance Committee's assessment of Board development takes experience, judgment, and diversity in all aspects of our business into account, all in the context of the perceived needs of the Board at the relevant time. Most recently, the Nominating/Governance Committee worked with the sole Class B Stockholder to assess the candidacy of Cynthia A. Fisher. As the Nominating/ Governance Committee anticipated, Ms. Fisher's significant entrepreneurial experience has broadened the Board's overall business perspective and diversity.

Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating/Governance Committee through a number of sources, including current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders, or other persons. Candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of the Nominating/Governance Committee and may be considered at any point during the year. In making their evaluation, members of the Nominating/Governance Committee include a review of a candidate's directorships in other public companies, as well as involvement in any regulatory or legal proceedings, or any sanctions or orders imposed by any self-regulatory organization.

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. *2015 Proxy Statement* **21**

[Back to Content](#)

Stockholder Nominees

The policy of the Nominating/Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted stockholder nominations for candidates for membership on the Board as described in the above section. The same process is used for evaluating a director candidate submitted by a stockholder as is used in the case of any other potential nominee. Any stockholder nominations proposed for consideration by the Nominating/Governance Committee should include the nominee's name and qualifications for Board membership and should be addressed to:

Chair, Nominating/Governance Committee
The Boston Beer Company, Inc.

One Design Center Place, Suite 850
Boston, Massachusetts 02210

If Boston Beer receives a communication from a stockholder nominating a candidate that is not submitted as described above, it will forward such communication to the Chair of the Nominating/Governance Committee.

Response to 2014 Annual Meeting and Stockholder Feedback

In 2014, all of Boston Beer's Directors were elected by a majority of votes cast. In fact, as reported in our Form 8-K dated June 4, 2014, Mr. Burwick received a favorable vote of 99.2% of the votes cast, Mr. Valette received a favorable vote of 98.2% of the votes cast, and Mr. Cummin received a favorable vote of 98.2% of the votes cast.

Following the 2012 and 2013 Annual Meetings of Stockholders, we made efforts to improve communication in the 2013 and 2014 Proxy Statements, particularly related to the roles of the Class A and Class B Stockholders as governed by the Company's Articles of Organization, board meeting attendance, executive compensation and the expenditure of non-audit related fees. We also strengthened our corporate governance through the adoption of additional policies and procedures, including the adoption of a policy banning hedging or pledging of Boston Beer stock and the establishment of equity ownership guidelines for our Executive Officers and Directors. We intend to continue these efforts to maintain a strong corporate governance structure and engage in open communications with our stockholders.

Communications with the Board

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board of Directors or any individual Director by submitting an email to the Company's Board at bod@bostonbeer.com. All Directors have access to this email address. Communications that are intended specifically for non-management Directors should be sent to the email address above to the attention of the Chairman of the Nominating/Governance Committee.

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. *2015 Proxy Statement* **22**

[Back to Content](#)**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****Compensation Summary**

After a review of the compensation paid to non-management Directors, the Board of Directors, acting on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, approved certain changes to the cash component of non-management Director compensation, effective as of the 2014 Annual Meeting. The changes eliminated per-meeting attendance fees and increased certain annual retainer fees. These changes were approved by the sole Class B Stockholder. The Board of Directors anticipates reviewing the compensation paid to non-management Directors biannually, with the next review to be completed in advance of the 2016 Annual Meeting. A summary of the elements of compensation for non-management Directors, before and after giving effect to the recently-approved changes, is set forth below:

Applies to	Payment For	Compensation in Effect Prior to 2014 Annual Meeting	Compensation in Effect After 2014 Annual Meeting	Payable⁽¹⁾
All Non-Management Directors	One-time Award	Option for shares of Class A Common Stock valued at \$115,000 as of the date of grant	⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ Same	Upon first-time election to the Board
All Non-Management Directors	Annual Award	Option for shares of Class A Common Stock valued at \$115,000 as of the date of grant	⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ Same	Upon each election to the Board
All Non-Management Directors	Annual Retainer	\$ 12,500	\$ 30,000	Upon election to the Board
Lead Director	Annual Retainer	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	Upon appointment
Chair, Audit Committee	Annual Retainer	\$ 13,000	\$ 15,000	Upon appointment
Chair, Compensation Committee	Annual Retainer	\$ 6,000	\$ 10,000	Upon appointment
Chair, Nominating/Governance Committee	Annual Retainer	\$ 4,500	\$ 9,000	Upon appointment
Members of Audit Committee (other than Chair)	Annual Retainer	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	Upon appointment to the Audit Committee
	Annual Retainer	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	

Members of Other Standing Committees (other than Chair)				Upon appointment to a standing committee other than the Audit Committee
All Non-Management Directors	Attendance at Regular Board Meeting in person	\$ 4,000	\$ 0	After attendance at the meeting
All Non-Management Directors	Attendance at Regular Board Meeting by telephone	\$ 2,000	\$ 0	After attendance at the meeting
All Non-Management Directors	Attendance at Special Board Meetings by telephone	\$ 1,000	\$ 0	After attendance at the meeting
All Committee Members	Attendance at Committee Meeting in person	\$ 1,000	\$ 0	After attendance at the meeting
All Committee Members	Attendance at Committee Meeting by telephone	\$ 750	\$ 0	After attendance at the meeting

(1) *All retainers and the annual option grant are pro-rated if the non-management Director is appointed after the annual meeting of stockholders.*

(2) *All option awards to non-management Directors are granted under our Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated (the "Director Option Plan"). As provided in the Director Option Plan, options carry an exercise price equal to the closing price on the trading day prior to the grant date, are immediately fully vested, and expire ten (10) years after the date of grant or three (3) years after the grantee ceases to be a Director of the Company, whichever occurs sooner. The number of shares of Class A Common Stock registered under the Director Option Plan is 550,000 shares, with 108,573 remaining shares available for issuance as of December 27, 2014.*

(3) *The number of shares of Class A Common Stock granted under the option was the greatest number of whole shares with a value of \$115,000 as computed using the binomial option-pricing model and the closing price on the last trading date before the date of grant as the fair market value of the underlying shares.*

[Back to Content](#)**Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014**

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the compensation of all Directors who are not Named Executive Officers for the Company's fiscal year ended December 27, 2014. Information regarding the compensation of our Directors who also served as Named Executive Officers may be found under the CD&A and Executive Compensation sections of this Proxy Statement.

Name	Fees		All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
	Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		
David A. Burwick	\$47,000	\$114,948	\$ 0	\$161,948
Pearson C. Cummin, III	\$57,750	\$114,948	\$ 0	\$172,698
Cynthia A. Fisher	\$34,000	\$114,948	\$ 0	\$148,948
Jay Margolis	\$52,750	\$114,948	\$ 0	\$167,698
Gregg A. Tanner	\$51,750	\$114,948	\$ 0	\$166,698
Jean-Michel Valette	\$59,750	\$114,948	\$ 0	\$174,698

Reflects the dollar amount of the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted during the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 as computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation ("ASC 718"). The methods and assumptions used in valuing the stock option awards in accordance (1) with ASC 718 are described in Notes B and M to Boston Beer's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 included in Boston Beer's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 24, 2015. Included in the assumptions used is the closing price on the last trading date before the grant as the fair market value of the underlying shares.

On June 4, 2014, upon election to the Board of Directors, each non-management Director was granted an option under the Director Option Plan to purchase 1,116 shares of Boston Beer's Class A Common Stock at an exercise (2) price of \$214.83, the closing price on the last trading date before the date of grant. All options are fully vested as of the date of grant. As of December 27, 2014, the aggregate number of shares subject to stock options held by Directors who are not Named Executive Officers is shown below:

Name	Number of Option Shares
David A. Burwick	35,241
Pearson C. Cummin, III	20,241
Cynthia A. Fisher	7,722
Jay Margolis	22,741
Gregg A. Tanner	33,241
Jean-Michel Valette	20,241

[Back to Content](#)

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Information about our Executive Officers is set forth below. Our Executive Officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors, or upon joining Boston Beer at others times during the year, and hold office until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal.

C. James Koch, 65, currently serves as our Chairman. Mr. Koch founded Boston Beer in 1984 and was the Chief Executive Officer from that time until January 2001.

Martin F. Roper, 52, was appointed Boston Beer's Chief Executive Officer in January 2001, and has been President of the Company since December 1999, after having served as our Chief Operating Officer since April 1997. He joined Boston Beer as Vice President of Manufacturing and Business Development in September 1994.

William F. Urich, 58, was appointed Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Boston Beer in September 2003. Prior to joining Boston Beer, Mr. Urich had been the Chief Financial Officer of Acirca, Inc., a producer of organic foods and beverages, from 2001 to 2003. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Urich served as Vice President Finance and Business Development for United Distillers & Vintners, a subsidiary of Diageo, PLC, and from 1995 to 1998 as its Vice President Finance and Treasurer.

John C. Geist, 55, was appointed Boston Beer's Vice President of Sales in February 2007, after serving as our National Sales Manager since December 1998. Mr. Geist joined the Company in 1997 from a large alcohol beverage distributor where he had been a sales manager.

David L. Grinnell, 57, was appointed Boston Beer's Vice President of Brewing effective January 2008, after serving as the Company's Director of Quality and Brewing since 2001. Mr. Grinnell joined Boston Beer in 1988 from New Amsterdam Brewing Company, where he was a founding member.

Thomas W. Lance, 61, joined Boston Beer as Vice President of Operations in January 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Lance had served as Executive Vice President of Ken's Foods, Inc., a food product manufacturer located in Marlborough, MA, from January 2001 to January 2007. Prior to joining Ken's Foods, Mr. Lance held a number of positions in operations with Bausch and Lomb, a manufacturer of vision care products located in Rochester, NY.

Ai-Li Lim, 45, is our Vice President of Human Resources. Prior to joining Boston Beer in February 2012, Ms. Lim had served as Senior Director of Human Resources at Vistaprint USA, Inc., an online provider of marketing products and services located in Lexington, MA for over three years. She served as Director of Management Effectiveness at Fidelity Human Resources Service at FMR LLC, part of the Fidelity group of companies, from 2007 to 2008. Before that, Ms. Lim worked for the consulting firm Monitor Group for 13 years, serving during the last three years there as Global Human Asset Manager. Monitor Group is now part of Deloitte.

Robert P. Pagano, 64, joined Boston Beer in February 2011 as Vice President of Brand Development. Mr. Pagano had previously served as Managing Director of the brand strategy firm Red Sky Insights, LLC, located in Sudbury, Massachusetts and New York, New York. From 2000 to 2006, he served as a partner of Monitor Group. In 1986, Mr. Pagano co-founded the advertising firm Pagano Schenck & Kay, Inc. In 1997, he sold his interest in the agency to become Senior Vice President and Group Account Director at Mullen Advertising, where he served until 2000.

Kathleen H. Wade, 65, joined Boston Beer in 1999 as Corporate Legal Director and Corporate Secretary. She became Secretary of the Company in 2010 and was appointed Vice President-Legal and Corporate Secretary in March 2012.

[Back to Content](#)

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

In this section of the Proxy Statement, we will describe the important components of our executive compensation program for our Named Executive Officers. In 2014, our Named Executive Officers were:

- Martin F. Roper, President and Chief Executive Officer
- C. James Koch, Founder and Chairman
- William F. Urich, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer
- John C. Geist, Vice President of Sales
- Thomas W. Lance, Vice President of Operations
- Robert P. Pagano, Vice President of Brand Development

This section of the Proxy Statement also provides an overview of our executive compensation program and explains how and why the Compensation Committee determined the specific compensation policies and decisions involving the Named Executive Officers.

Executive Summary

The key objectives of our executive compensation programs are to attract, motivate, and retain executives who drive the Company's success.

2014 Business Highlights

Boston Beer's business goal is to become the leading supplier in the "Better Beer" and Hard Cider categories by creating and offering high quality full-flavored beers and hard ciders. With the support of a large, well-trained sales organization and world-class brewers, we strive to achieve this goal by offering great beers and hard ciders and increasing brand availability and awareness through distribution, advertising, point-of-sale, promotional programs, and drinker education.

In late 2013, our Board of Directors and Executive Officers established several strategic and financial goals designed to increase sales and profitability, aggressively manage price and costs to achieve delivered gross margin and earnings goals, invest in our supply chain to meet demand and deliver great beers and hard ciders at competitive economics, and build an organization capable of driving growth and operating our breweries safely, while improving operational efficiencies, optimizing costs, and reducing risk. To that end, in 2014 our significant accomplishments included:

- Net revenue of \$903.0 million, an increase of \$163.9 million, or 22%, from 2013
- Earnings per diluted share of \$6.69, an increase of \$1.51, or 29%, compared to 2013 earnings per diluted share
- Depletions (sales by our wholesalers to retailers) growth of slightly below 22%
- Shipments (our sales to our wholesalers) growth of 20%
- Cash and cash equivalents on hand at the end of the 2014 fiscal year of \$76.4 million
- Capital expenditures of approximately \$151.8 million to expand our capacity, strengthen our organizational capability, and support the growth and increasing complexity of our business

[Back to Content](#)

Alignment of Company Performance with Named Executive Officer Compensation

We have three primary elements of total direct compensation for our Named Executive Officers: salary, annual cash incentives, and equity-based incentives, primarily in the form of stock options.

As a result of the significant equity grant made to the CEO in 2008, he has received no additional equity compensation since that time. As shown in the chart below on the left, in 2014 only 41% of the CEO's potential total direct compensation was salary, with the balance of his potential cash compensation being performance-based. In 2014, 51% of the other Named Executive Officers' total potential compensation in the aggregate was salary, with the balance being performance-based, as shown in the chart below on the right.

**Of the total potential compensation of our other Named Executive Officers, salary constituted 38% to 67%, bonuses earned (paid in 2015 based on 2014 performance) constituted 16% to 32%, and equity compensation, all of which was in the form of stock options, constituted 0% to 32%.*

Further, the chart below shows the correlation of Company performance and the compensation of our CEO over the last three fiscal years:

While the CEO's salary increased 3.2% from 2013 to 2014, his total compensation decreased year-over-year because he earned 40.3% of his bonus potential in 2014, as compared to 72.2% of his bonus potential in 2013. Overall, the Company's anticipated growth was higher in 2014 than in 2013, which ultimately resulted in more aggressive bonus goals for 2014. As a result, Company performance and change in CEO compensation were not directly aligned from 2013 to 2014.

Note: 2012 EPS growth shown above is calculated based on adjusted EPS of \$3.73 for 2011, which excludes the favorable impact of settlements of \$1.08 per diluted share in 2011, compared to reported unadjusted EPS of \$4.81 for 2011.

**Mr. Roper did not receive equity compensation in fiscal years 2012, 2013, or 2014. As discussed in more detail below, Boston Beer granted Mr. Roper a significant time-based option in 2008 that requires stock price appreciation*

in excess of the general market indices, with vesting beginning in 2014.

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. *2015 Proxy Statement* **27**

[Back to Content](#)

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Boston Beer's executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate, reward, and retain highly competent executives, with a focus on pay for performance through bonuses linked to company and individual performance and equity awards with performance-based vesting linked to depletions growth. Overall, Boston Beer believes it should provide competitive pay to our executives and align compensation with achieving the Company's strategy and goals and delivering strong company performance, both in terms of depletions growth and long-term stockholder value. Our compensation philosophy is to provide employees with a distinctive overall compensation package that provides strong performers with the opportunity to earn competitive compensation over the long term through a combination of base salary, cash incentives, and equity awards.

These compensation packages are designed to:

- provide executives with competitive cash and stock compensation with a significant portion of total compensation contingent on both company and individual performance, thereby increasing stockholder value;
- provide higher compensation to high-value contributors and high performers in the most critical areas of the Company's business; and
- encourage executives to act as owners with an equity stake in the Company, while reducing risk from its compensation practices that would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company by basing variable compensation on a range of performance criteria that have a mix of short-term and long-term implications.

In keeping with these objectives, the structure of our executive compensation program is described below:

Base Salary

Base salaries are designed to provide a fixed level of competitive income that reflects the individual's level and scope of responsibility and level of performance.

Cash Incentive Bonus (Short-term Incentive)

Cash incentive bonuses are designed to motivate, focus, and reward achievements based on specific individual and company-wide performance goals approved annually by the Compensation Committee.

Equity Incentive Awards (Long-term Incentive)

Equity incentive awards are designed to provide an incentive for delivering long-term stockholder value, to align the interests of all of our Executive Officers with the interests of our stockholders, and to retain executives and other key employees. Equity incentive awards for Executive Officers are primarily in the form of stock option grants, which vest over a number of years, and typically have a term of ten years. Most of the options granted in recent years provide that vesting is contingent on meeting certain initial performance standards and once the number of shares that was eligible to vest was determined, the eligible shares vested over the five-year period commencing on the date of grant. On occasion, options with time-based vesting have been granted to Executive Officers and senior managers, with vesting delayed for a number of years as an incentive to remain with the Company.

In addition, some executives and key employees receive restricted stock awards that typically vest over a five-year period. Further, certain Boston Beer employees, including Executive Officers other than the Chairman and CEO, are eligible to participate in the Company's Investment Share Program, or the "ISP," where our stock can be purchased at a discount based on tenure, encouraging equity ownership in the Company. The ISP only applies to certain eligible Boston Beer employees. To be eligible for the ISP, employees generally must have: (1) been employed by Boston Beer for at least one year; and (2) entered into an Employment Agreement with Boston Beer ("ISP Eligible Employees").

Our employee stock option grants and restricted stock awards, together with the ISP, form the framework of our EEIP.

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for evaluating and approving Boston Beer's compensation programs and policies relating to Officers and Directors, including the EEIP. This also includes reviewing the competitiveness of executive compensation programs, evaluating the performance of our Executive Officers, and approving their annual compensation and equity awards. The Committee reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chairman, the CEO, and the other Executive Officers of the Company; evaluates their performance in light of those goals and objectives taking into consideration the recommendations of the CEO; and sets the compensation level of the Chairman, the CEO, and the other Executive Officers based on this evaluation.

Consistent with Boston Beer's objectives of attracting, rewarding, motivating, and retaining top-performing executives, the Compensation Committee endeavors to develop compensation structures for individual Executive Officers that reflect the responsibilities of their respective positions and are appropriate in light of market compensation levels for executives with comparable responsibilities, consider past achievements with the Company and the compensation awarded to them in the past, and provide financial incentives for superior performance in meeting the challenges

facing the Company.

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. 2015 Proxy Statement 28

[Back to Content](#)

Components of Executive Compensation and Determination of Compensation Mix

Total potential compensation of our executives is substantially weighted towards performance-based compensation. Salary typically constitutes between 35% and 70% of the total compensation of our Executive Officers, including our Named Executive Officers, with the balance being a mix of cash incentive bonuses and equity incentive awards.

In 2008, the CEO was granted a significant time-based option that requires stock price appreciation in excess of the general market indices, with vesting beginning 2014, and consequently no options have been granted to him in subsequent years. Similarly, in 2011 three executives were awarded time-based options in amounts much larger than usual, with the expectation that options would not be granted in the immediate future years, so the relationship of salary to total compensation for those Executive Officers from 2011 to 2014 is skewed and not representative of the relationship of annual salary to total compensation over the longer term. Those three executives were each awarded contingent options on January 1, 2015. For other executives and senior managers of the Company, the proportion of compensation provided by equity and the proportion of variable, performance-based compensation increases with the individual's level of responsibility and ability to have an impact on the value of the Company's business.

Base Salary

Base salaries are determined by a variety of factors, including the executive's scope of responsibilities and tenure, performance, and a comparison of salaries paid to peers within the Company and to those with similar roles at other companies. Base salaries are set at levels that allow us to attract and retain superior managers and that will enable us to deliver on our business goals. Base salaries are reviewed annually and may be adjusted after considering the above factors.

The Compensation Committee determines the base salaries of the Chairman and the CEO, taking individual and Company performance, responsibilities, and market data regarding peer group compensation into account. The Chairman makes a recommendation to the Compensation Committee for the base salary of the CEO. The CEO, in turn, makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee for base salaries of each Executive Officer, excluding the Chairman and the CEO. When setting the base salaries of each of these Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee, while considering the recommendations of the CEO and the Chairman, makes the final determination based on the factors listed above and its assessment of each Executive Officer's performance during the previous year.

Cash Incentive Bonus

Executives have the opportunity to earn cash incentive bonuses tied to a percentage of their respective base salaries. Criteria for these cash incentive bonuses include a combination of qualitative and quantitative performance goals

established each year for each executive. These goals vary for each executive based on his or her responsibilities and role within the Company and may include financial or strategic measures, including, among others, sales, profitability, brand health, quality, cost reductions, developing organizational capabilities, and other strategic initiatives. The goals are intended to require performance that, if achieved, will result in matching or exceeding Boston Beer's business and financial plans for the fiscal year in question, as well as impact the long-term growth and viability of the Company. Individual bonus awards reflect the individual's achievement of his or her performance goals for the year, as well as the overall performance of the Company.

The CEO makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee, which have been reviewed and agreed to by the Chairman, for company-wide performance goals, the bonus opportunities tied to these goals, and the weighting of these goals for each Executive Officer, including those of the CEO and Chairman. The CEO also provides the Compensation Committee with his assessment of the performance of each executive against his or her bonus goals and proposed bonus payout. When determining the bonus structure and goals and the bonus payout for Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee, while considering the recommendations of the CEO and input from the Chairman, makes the final determination based on the above factors, each Executive Officer's performance, and that of the department that he or she led during the year relative to the performance-based goals. On occasion, additional discretionary bonuses separate from those otherwise payable under the bonus and goal structure have been given to Executive Officers, including the Named Executive Officers, by the Compensation Committee in recognition of exceptional performance during the year.

[Back to Content](#)

Equity Incentive Awards and the ISP

Discretionary Stock Options

Under our EEIP, certain employees are eligible to receive stock option grants. While generally granted on an annual basis in January, all option grants are discretionary and may be granted by the Board upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee at any time. For example, although infrequent, options may be granted at other times during the year under certain circumstances, such as the hiring of a new Executive Officer, as a part of a performance review, or in connection with a promotion or mid-year compensation adjustment. Such options may have vesting and performance criteria that differ from the annual grants.

Historically, most of the options granted by the Company vest in equal annual installments over a five-year period, conditioned on continued employment with Boston Beer, and have a term of ten years. On occasion, options may vest over a shorter or longer period of time. During the last several years, options have been granted only to Executive Officers and select senior managers of the Company and most are contingent on the Company achieving certain performance criteria, such as target depletion goals in the fiscal year immediately following the date of grant. By way of illustration, the number of shares, if any, as to which a performance-based option may subsequently become exercisable as a result of the tenure-based conditions is dependent upon the Company's performance measured against a benchmark set by the Board of Directors on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee. These performance-based options frequently have two tiers of criteria and provide that, in the event the criteria in either tier or both tiers are not met, the option lapses as to a portion or all of the shares that would have vested had the performance criteria been satisfied.

The Compensation Committee believes that stock option grants are an effective way to reward executives and senior managers and align their interests with the interests of Boston Beer's stockholders, as they provide significant equity compensation only if the value of the Company's stock increases. In addition, through the use of performance-based vesting, the Committee endeavors to assure that receipt of significant equity-based compensation requires that the Company's performance exceeds appropriate benchmarks. Through the use of vesting over a number of years, the Committee endeavors to create an incentive for retention of the executives and senior managers and to align their rewards with the interests of stockholders. The Compensation Committee has also, on occasion, granted time-based vesting options to certain executives to encourage retention or to provide appropriate incentives for new employees.

In October of each year, the CEO makes preliminary recommendations to the Compensation Committee, after consultation with the Chairman, for stock option grants to Executive Officers and senior managers, taking into account his assessment of each executive's expected future contributions to the Company, as well as past performance. The CEO also makes recommendations as to the criteria to be met for performance-based options to vest. In December, the CEO makes final recommendations, after consultation with the Chairman. At that time, the Chairman also discusses with the Compensation Committee the status of the equity incentives in place for the CEO. The Compensation Committee makes its decisions, and submits them to the Board of Directors for ratification and approval, with grants effective January 1 of the following year and priced at the fair market value as of January 1.

When determining the number of shares to be subject to a stock option grant and the vesting criteria, the Compensation Committee, while considering the recommendations of the CEO, makes its determination based on the various factors mentioned above. On occasion, options are granted at other times of the year and vesting is contingent on other specific targets relative to the Executive Officer's or senior manager's areas of responsibility within the Company. Vesting may also be time-based to encourage long-term retention.

In 2014, the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, granted the Chairman and three Executive Officers performance-based contingent-vesting options for a total of 7,090 shares. These options were contingent upon the Company meeting certain pre-established depletions goals, with 100% of the option shares vesting in five equal annual installments if the Company's percentage increase in depletions in 2014 over 2013 equaled or exceeded 18%, and 50% of the option shares vesting in five equal annual installments if the Company's percentage increase in depletions in 2014 over 2013 equaled or exceeded 13% but did not equal or exceed 18%. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance criteria for these options had been met and all the shares will vest at 20% per year, beginning in 2015.

Restricted Stock Awards

As with discretionary options, restricted stock awards are generally granted on an annual basis on January 1. These shares of restricted stock generally vest over a five-year period, at the rate of 20% per year. Vesting is generally tied only to continued employment and not to any performance criteria. The Company does not grant restricted stock awards to its Named Executive Officers, as Boston Beer currently believes that their equity compensation should be more closely tied to the future performance of the Company through stock options as described above and should not have value if the share price decreases. Similarly, restricted stock awards are generally not granted to other Executive Officers, with limited exceptions. Restricted stock awards are generally granted to senior managers and other key employees. Restricted stock has value even if the share price decreases after the date of the award, and therefore is a more effective retention tool for these employees.

Grants of restricted stock awards are generally made annually by the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee. In making its recommendations, the Compensation Committee takes into account recommendations from the CEO and Chairman. As with stock options, restricted stock awards generally are effective January 1 of the following year and are valued at fair market value as of January 1. On occasion, grants of restricted stock awards are made at other times, such as upon the hiring of a new executive.

In 2014, the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, granted 16,432 shares of Restricted Stock Awards to 93 employees, including one Executive Officer.

Investment Shares

Under our Investment Share Program, ISP Eligible Employees may purchase such number of shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock ("Investment Shares") that have a value, as determined pursuant to the EEIP, of no greater than 10% of their annual base salary and bonus received in the immediately preceding year, up to a maximum investment of \$17,500. After two full years of service, Investment Shares may be purchased at a discount. The amount of the discount is tied to years of service; the maximum discount is 40% after four full years of service. ISP

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. 2015 Proxy Statement 30

[Back to Content](#)

Eligible Employees have the opportunity to purchase Investment Shares in January each year, with the purchase price based on the fair market value of the shares at January 1. Investment Shares generally vest at the rate of 20% per year over the five-year period commencing on the effective date of purchase, contingent solely on continued employment with the Company.

The EEIP was amended, effective January 1, 2014, to clarify the vesting of Investment Shares owned by employees who are age 64 or older, as described more fully under the heading “Compensation Committee” above.

While the Chairman and the CEO of the Company are precluded from participation in the ISP, other Executive Officers are permitted to participate. In 2014, Boston Beer employees purchased a total of 8,516 shares under the ISP, of which 360 shares were purchased by Named Executive Officers.

Executive Benefits

In 2014, the Company’s executives were eligible for the same level and offering of benefits, including the Company’s 401(k) plan and welfare benefit programs, as were made available to other employees. The Company provides no additional benefits to its executives.

How Executive Pay Levels are Determined

The Compensation Committee considers a number of factors in determining executive compensation, including but not limited to individual performance, responsibility level, role within the Company, tenure, and a comparison of salaries paid to peers within the Company and to those with similar roles at other companies.

The Compensation Committee also uses tally sheets that ascribe dollar amounts to the components of Executive Officer compensation, including salary, bonus, and equity grants. It also tabulates gains made by the Executive Officers through the exercise of options, unrealized gains in vested options, and potential gains from unvested options at current market prices.

Each year, the Compensation Committee, taking into consideration the recommendations of the CEO and the Chairman, determines the appropriate level of equity compensation for each Executive Officer. The Company emphasizes differentiation in executive compensation, with greatest emphasis on high performers and individuals who significantly impact, or who have the potential to significantly impact, Boston Beer’s business.

Compensation Assessments

The Compensation Committee has the authority to select, retain, and compensate outside executive compensation consultants and other experts as it determines necessary to carry out its responsibilities.

As one element in its assessment of the competitiveness of executive compensation packages established for fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee applied knowledge gained through an executive compensation competitive assessment relating to certain selected Executive Officers prepared by Frederick W. Cook & Co., Inc., or F.W. Cook, in 2013 (the “F.W. Cook Assessment”). At that time, the Compensation Committee assessed the independence of F.W. Cook and determined that F.W. Cook was independent and that no conflicts of interest existed. F.W. Cook reported directly to the Compensation Committee and did not provide services to, or on behalf of, any other part of our business.

F.W. Cook’s task was to analyze Boston Beer’s compensation programs and compensation strategies, confirm the appropriateness of the strategies, develop an updated peer group for use as a competitive frame of reference, and provide the Committee with benchmarking information for Boston Beer’s Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee reviewed the peer group suggested by F.W. Cook, considering criteria such as financial similarity (primarily revenue and market capitalization), industry similarity, and number of employees. After discussion, the Committee in 2013 approved the following companies as Boston Beer’s peer group:

BOSTON BEER PEER GROUP

B&G Foods	Iconix Brand Group Inc.	Prestige Brands Holdings
Boulder Brands Inc.	J&J Snack Foods Corp.	Smith & Wesson Holding Corp.
Cal-Maine Foods Inc.	Lancaster Colony Corp.	Tootsie Roll Industries Inc.
Calavo Growers Inc.	Madden Steven Ltd.	Tumi Holdings Inc.
Diamond Foods Inc.	Movado Group, Inc.	Vera Bradley Inc.
Hain Celestial Group	National Beverage	WD-40 Co.

[Back to Content](#)

Once this updated peer group was established, F.W. Cook used multiple data sources to assess Boston Beer's executive compensation plan going forward, including but not limited to the compensation paid to the CEO and other named executive officers of the peer group companies, as derived from the most recent proxy statements filed by the peer group companies and third-party surveys. The information learned from the F.W. Cook Assessment and a prior assessment helped the Compensation Committee better understand market practices and provided perspective for the Committee's determinations regarding Named Executive Officer 2014 compensation packages. However, while competitive market practices are considered, the Committee continues to believe that individual and Company performance, the impact of an Executive Officer's role and function within the Company, and the Executive Officer's contribution to the Company's growth are more important drivers of total compensation decisions than comparisons against the peer group.

In 2014, of the total compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, salary constituted 38% to 67%, bonuses (paid in 2015 based on 2014 performance) constituted 16% to 32%, and equity compensation, all of which was in the form of stock options, constituted 0% to 32%.

Additional Compensation Policies and Practices

Executive Compensation Recovery Policy

In December 2006, the Compensation Committee adopted an executive compensation recovery policy that applies to Executive Officers and the Corporate Controller. Under this policy, the Company may recover incentive income that was based on achievement of quantitative performance targets if an Executive Officer or the Corporate Controller engaged in intentional misconduct that resulted in an increase in his or her incentive income. Incentive income includes income related to annual bonuses, discretionary options, and restricted stock awards.

Equity Ownership Guidelines for Directors and Executive Officers

To foster a culture of ownership and further align the long-term interests of the executives and Directors with those of stockholders, in February 2013, the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of both the Compensation Committee and the Nominating/Governance Committee, adopted guidelines setting target stock ownership of six times annual cash salary for the Chairman and CEO and of six times annual cash compensation for the non-management Directors, and retention of a portion of the net shares received upon exercise of options for a period of time. Under the guidelines, the Compensation Committee in the future may establish equity ownership guidelines for other Executive Officers.

Because target ownership for the Chairman has already been met through his ownership of Class B Stock, the following additional requirements apply to all new equity grants awarded to him after February 7, 2013: (i) retention of 75% of net shares on exercise of options for six months after exercise, and (ii) retention of 50% of net shares on exercise of options for one year after exercise.

The CEO and the non-management Directors have an indefinite period to achieve the target ownership, but for all new equity grants received after February 7, 2013, they must retain 100% of net shares until the target has been achieved, and if not achieved within five years, they must retain 75% of net shares on any equity granted prior to February 7, 2013 and exercised or vested after February 7, 2018. After their respective targets have been achieved, the same retention requirements that apply to the Chairman apply to them for all new equity grants awarded after February 7, 2013.

For the purposes of these guidelines, “net shares” means shares acquired by an individual upon the exercise of an option or the vesting of a restricted stock grant or purchase, after the payment of the exercise price for exercising the option and all taxes payable as a result of the exercise or vesting, assuming that the individual’s liability for the exercise price and taxes is met through the delivery of shares.

Ownership requirements for employees lapse on: (i) the first anniversary of the voluntary termination of employment or of the termination of employment by the Company for cause; or (ii) the involuntary termination of employment other than for cause, his or her death, or, for individuals other than C. James Koch, a change in the ownership of the Company’s Class B Common Stock, such that Mr. Koch or members of his immediate family no longer beneficially own a majority of the outstanding Class B Common Stock, whichever first occurs. Ownership requirements for each non-management Director lapse on: (i) the first anniversary of the voluntary resignation of the Director from the Board or his or her removal from the Board for cause; or (ii) the involuntary resignation or removal of the Director from the Board other than for cause, his or her death, or a change in the ownership of the Company’s Class B Common Stock, such that C. James Koch or members of his immediate family no longer beneficially own a majority of the outstanding Class B Common Stock, whichever first occurs.

The Compensation Committee reviewed the progress made on the equity ownership guidelines at its meeting on February 23, 2015, and determined that the Chairman and three of the non-management Directors had achieved their respective share ownership targets, while the CEO and the other three non-management Directors had yet to achieve their respective share ownership targets.

[Back to Content](#)

Tax Deductibility under Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code limits the tax deductibility by a corporation of compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to the Chief Executive Officer and any other of its Named Executive Officers. However, compensation that qualifies as “performance-based” is excluded from the \$1,000,000 limit if, among other requirements, the compensation is payable only upon the attainment of pre-established, objective performance goals under a plan approved by stockholders. The amendment to the EEIP approved in October 2014 set forth performance measures to be used for Restricted Stock Grants or Discretionary Options granted to certain Executive Officers and senior managers that are designed to qualify for the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m). The bonuses and stock options granted to the Named Executive Officers have been approved, in accordance with the requirements of Section 162(m) and the EEIP, by the sole holder of the Company’s Class B Common Stock, who acts with sole authority on such matters.

To date, total annual cash compensation paid to any individual executive that has not been performance-based has not exceeded \$1,000,000. The Compensation Committee will continue to monitor the compensation levels potentially payable under Boston Beer’s compensation programs, but intends to retain the flexibility necessary to provide total compensation in line with competitive practice, Boston Beer’s compensation philosophy, and the Company’s best interests. Boston Beer has not adopted a policy that all executive compensation be fully deductible.

2014 Say-on-Pay Results

In June 2014, the holders of our Class A Common Stock cast an advisory vote to approve our Named Executive Officer compensation as disclosed in the Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Over 97% of the shares voted on the matter were cast in support of our Named Executive Officer compensation. The Compensation Committee considered this result, as well as the results of the assessment performed by F.W. Cook in 2013, and determined that the Company’s compensation policies remained appropriate.

At the 2015 Annual Meeting, we will again hold an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the results of these annual advisory votes in evaluating our executive compensation policies.

Fiscal Year 2014 Named Executive Officer Compensation and Performance

Compensation of Martin F. Roper, Chief Executive Officer

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the compensation paid to our CEO, Martin F. Roper. For fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee approved a 3.2% increase to Mr. Roper's 2013 base salary, increasing his base salary to \$764,000.

In December 2013, the Compensation Committee established Mr. Roper's 2014 bonus opportunity at 80% of his 2014 salary, with an incremental opportunity equal to 64% of his 2014 salary tied to achieving certain goals that would require substantial outperformance of the Company's financial plan for the year (the "Stretch Goals"). Specific 2014 quantitative and qualitative performance goals and the weightings established by the Compensation Committee to measure and reward Mr. Roper's performance in 2014, including the Stretch Goals, and the performance achieved relative to these goals, were as follows:

2014 BASE BONUS GOALS FOR MARTIN F. ROPER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER:

Fiscal 2014 Base Bonus Performance Goal	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Base Bonus Opportunity Awarded	
Depletions growth of at least 16%.	15	% Target achieved.	15	%
Depletions growth of at least 18%.	20	% Target achieved.	20	%
Delivered gross profit of at least \$400 million and delivered gross profit margin of at least 45.4%, after adjusting for commodity and mix impact from plan levels.	25	% Target not achieved.	0	%
Significant reduction in "out of stock" and improvement in service. Addition of capacity and capability to support projected growth in 2014 and anticipated 2015 growth. Implementation of optimized changeover capabilities, minimize brewery inventory, and maximize live loading.	25	% Target partially achieved.	12.5	%
Combined savings of \$7.5 million from delivered gross margin and SG&A efficiency initiatives by the end of 2014. Improve operational and freight costs.	10	% Target partially achieved.	5	%
Freshest Beer Program successfully implemented for wholesalers covering at least 75% of the Company's volume by the end of 2014.	5	% Target not achieved.	0	%
TOTAL	100	%	52.5	%

[Back to Content](#)**2014 STRETCH GOALS FOR MARTIN F. ROPER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER:**

Fiscal 2014 Stretch Goal	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Stretch Goal Bonus Opportunity Awarded
Total depletions growth of 20%.	20	% Target achieved.	20 %
Total depletions growth of 22%.	30	% Target not achieved.	0 %
Improvements in service levels, finished goods inventory reduction, tank utilization, and planning.	30	% Target not achieved.	0 %
TOTAL	80	%	20 %

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed Mr. Roper's achievements against his 2014 bonus opportunities, as detailed above, determined that he satisfied 52.5% of his base bonus goals and 20% of his Stretch Goals, and approved a bonus of \$443,120 for his 2014 performance, equivalent to 58% of his 2014 base salary.

In December 2007, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors approved a long-term variable price option grant to Mr. Roper for 753,864 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock, effective January 1, 2008. This option, part of a long-term compensation strategy to provide the CEO with compensation comparable to that which he could receive elsewhere, had a value of approximately \$6.34 million at the date of grant. Since the exercise price of the option is indexed to the broader market, subject to a cap of the value that can be achieved, and has value only to the extent that the market price of Boston Beer's Class A Common Stock exceeds the index, the Compensation Committee believes that this provides Mr. Roper with significant incentive to cause the Company to outperform other companies over the long term and provide him with a corresponding opportunity to benefit from long-term outperformance of Boston Beer's stock price. The option vested 20% on January 1 in each of 2014 and 2015, and will vest an additional 20% in each of the years 2016 through 2018, contingent on Mr. Roper's continued employment with Boston Beer. The option provides for certain acceleration of vesting in the event of a change of control of the Company's Class B Common Stock. To the extent that the option then becomes exercisable pursuant to a change in control, Mr. Roper has the right to participate in a transaction giving rise to such a change in control. Mr. Roper has not received any additional equity grants since the January 1, 2008 option was granted.

Taking into account information from a number of sources, including the competitive assessment prepared by F. W. Cook in 2013, the Compensation Committee believes that Mr. Roper's compensation is appropriate based on his responsibilities, performance level, and contribution to Boston Beer, and that it is structured in a way that provides Mr. Roper with appropriate incentives and rewards for superior performance and increase in stockholder value. Additionally, with its long-term focus, Mr. Roper's compensation package does not reward decisions that might entail imprudent levels of risk.

The Summary Compensation Table included in this Proxy Statement sets forth all compensation received by Mr. Roper during fiscal year 2014. There is no company-sponsored retirement program for Mr. Roper other than the

Company's 401(k) plan, and he receives no benefits or perquisites from Boston Beer other than the benefits generally available to our employees. Mr. Roper does not have a change of control arrangement, other than: (i) an acceleration of the vesting of the options granted under the EEIP (which is applied equally to all EEIP participants); and (ii) his January 1, 2008 option agreement, which is explained in more detail below in the section entitled "Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment, and Change in Control Agreements." Mr. Roper does not have a severance arrangement with the Company.

[Back to Content](#)**Compensation of C. James Koch, Chairman**

The Compensation Committee also reviews and approves the compensation paid to C. James Koch, the Chairman and a full-time employee of Boston Beer. The Compensation Committee did not increase Mr. Koch's base salary for 2014, maintaining his salary at \$395,000.

The Compensation Committee established Mr. Koch's 2014 bonus opportunity at 100% of his 2014 salary. Specific 2014 quantitative and qualitative performance goals and the weightings established by the Compensation Committee to measure and reward Mr. Koch's performance in 2014, and the performance achieved relative to these goals, were as follows:

2014 BONUS GOALS FOR C. JAMES KOCH, CHAIRMAN:

Fiscal 2014 Bonus Performance Goal	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Bonus Awarded
Total depletions growth of at least 16%.	15	% Target achieved.	15 %
Total depletions growth of at least 18%.	20	% Target achieved.	20 %
Total depletions growth of at least 20%.	15	% Target achieved.	15 %
Depletions growth greater than that of total craft beer category (benchmark to be based on best available syndicated data and approved by Compensation Committee).	10	% Target achieved.	10 %
Delivered gross profit of at least \$400 million and delivered gross profit margin of at least 45.4%, after adjusting for commodity and mix impact from plan levels.	20	% Target not achieved.	0 %
Freshest Beer Program successfully implemented for wholesalers covering at least 75% of the Company's volume by the end of 2014.	10	% Target not achieved.	0 %
Reduction of finished inventory at breweries, warehouses, and U.S. wholesalers.			
Investment of time and resources in craft industry initiatives which support the category and the Company.	10	% Target achieved.	10 %
TOTAL	100	%	70 %

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed Mr. Koch's achievements against his 2014 cash incentive bonus opportunities, as detailed above. They determined that Mr. Koch had satisfied 70% of his performance goals, and approved a bonus of \$276,500 for his 2014 performance, equivalent to 70% of his 2014 base salary.

Mr. Koch was granted an option effective January 1, 2014 for 2,140 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock, with vesting contingent on the Company's depletions growth, as fully described under the heading "Discretionary Stock Options" above. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance criteria for that option had been met and all the shares will vest at 20% in each of the years 2015 through 2019 in accordance with the option agreement.

The Summary Compensation Table included in this Proxy Statement sets forth all compensation received by Mr. Koch during fiscal year 2014. There is no company-sponsored retirement program for Mr. Koch other than the Company's 401(k) plan, and he receives no benefits or perquisites from the Company other than the benefits generally available to our employees. Mr. Koch does not have a change of control arrangement other than an acceleration of the vesting of options granted under the EEIP nor does he have a severance arrangement with the Company.

[Back to Content](#)**Compensation of Named Executive Officers Other than the CEO and Chairman**

The following table shows the 2014 base salary and the corresponding percentage increase above the 2013 base salary level of each of these Named Executive Officers.

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2014 Base Salary	% Increase to Fiscal 2013 Base Salary	
William F. Urich	\$428,000	4.9	%
John C. Geist	\$428,000	7.0	%
Thomas W. Lance	\$367,000	2.5	%
Robert P. Pagano	\$348,000	3.6	%

The Compensation Committee considered recommendations made by the CEO for 2014 salary adjustments for the Named Executive Officers and concluded that the recommended base salary for each of these Named Executive Officers, as adjusted, was within the appropriate range for his experience and job responsibilities. Mr. Geist's salary increase in 2014 reflected the Compensation Committee's assessment of his performance and contribution to the Company's growth. Mr. Pagano was not a Named Executive Officer in 2013.

For fiscal year 2014, the overall cash incentive bonus potential for the Named Executive Officers other than the CEO and the Chairman was 50% of their respective base salaries, with between 20% and 30% of the bonus potential based on the achievement of company-wide goals and between 70% and 80% based on the achievement of goals specifically set for each of these Named Executive Officers. The shared 2014 company-wide goals consisted of achieving depletions growth of at least 18% over 2013, \$7.5 million in resource efficiencies and cost savings, and maintaining brand health.

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed performance and achievement against the shared company-wide goals and determined that those goals had been achieved. The Compensation Committee also reviewed the 2014 performance of the Named Executive Officers against their individual bonus goals and approved bonus payments as described below.

Specific fiscal year 2014 quantitative and qualitative performance goals, the weightings established by the Compensation Committee to measure and reward the performance of each Named Executive Officer in 2014, and the performance achieved relative to these goals were as follows:

2014 BONUS GOALS FOR WILLIAM F. URICH, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER:

Fiscal 2014	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Bonus Awarded	
Bonus Performance Goal				
Shared Company-Wide Goals	30 %	Target achieved.	30 %	
Individual Goals:				
Deliver \$2.5 million of resource efficiency improvements outside of Delivered Gross Margin.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
Support the Operations group in identifying and executing against a 2014 Delivered Gross Margin goal to achieve \$5 million of savings/efficiencies by year-end 2014.	7.5 %	Target achieved.	7.5 %	
Lead the Operations/Brewing performance improvement measurements, KPI's and financial reporting. Drive focus on key measurable and continuous financial improvement. Support the analysis of capacity constraints and develop with operations team a path forward for future capacity requirements and alternatives.	7.5 %	Target partially achieved.	5 %	
Through effective use of procurement department, identify and execute 2% savings for non-contracted procurement spend and deliver \$1.0 million savings. Identify and execute \$1.5 million of Delivered Gross Margin savings for full year 2014.	5 %	Target achieved.	5 %	
Develop meaningful cider product extensions and source concentrate to support future volume projections.	5 %	Target achieved.	5 %	
Develop required back office support system to support projects of Alchemy and Science ("A&S"), including start-up of regional breweries and tracking/support for new brands. Support due diligence on new business development or potential acquisitions.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
Improve departmental or functional talent bench strength and depths, especially in key positions, and drive culture of high performance. Support projects to improve project management capabilities of the organization.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
Improve effectiveness, productivity, business impact and efficiency of IT department. Lead IT efforts for the breweries and Boston Operations to support growth and increase service levels over 2013. Work with Boston operations to identify IT requirements and change process to become a more effective service group to our customers through enhancements in the planning and execution systems.	15 %	Target partially achieved.	12.5 %	
TOTAL	100 %		95 %	

In February 2015, reflecting this performance assessment, the Compensation Committee approved a bonus payment to Mr. Urich in the amount of \$203,300, equivalent to 95% of his 2014 cash incentive bonus potential.

[Back to Content](#)**2014 BONUS GOALS FOR JOHN C. GEIST, VICE PRESIDENT OF SALES:**

Fiscal 2014	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Bonus Awarded	
Bonus Performance Goal:				
Shared Company-Wide Goals	30 %	Target achieved.	30 %	
Individual Goals:				
Samuel Adams depletions growth of at least 9%.	10 %	Target not achieved.	0 %	
Twisted Tea depletions growth of at least 17%.	5 %	Target achieved.	5 %	
Angry Orchard depletions growth of at least 50%.	5 %	Target achieved.	5 %	
Total depletions growth including A&S of at least 18%.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
Price adjustments of greater than 2%.	10 %	Target achieved.	8 %	
Achieve draft-handle distribution year-high goals for Boston Lager, Seasonals, Rebel, Angry Orchard, and A&S.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
Achieve at least 70% of 2013 Samuel Adams Seasonal distribution within designated time period within each season.	6 %	Target partially achieved.	4.5 %	
Surpass 2013 performance on display execution during key holiday periods (measured by percentage of stores with displays and cases per store on display in surveyed stores).	2 %	Target partially achieved.	1 %	
500,000 drinkers sampled.	2 %	Target not achieved.	0 %	
Improve departmental or functional talent bench strength and depths, especially in key positions, and drive culture of high performance.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
TOTAL	100 %		83.5 %	

In February 2015, reflecting this performance assessment, the Compensation Committee approved a bonus payment to Mr. Geist in the amount of \$178,690, equivalent to 83.5% of his 2014 cash incentive bonus potential.

2014 BONUS GOALS FOR THOMAS W. LANCE, VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS:

Fiscal 2014	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Bonus Awarded	
Bonus Performance Goal:				
Shared Company-Wide Goals	20 %	Target achieved.	20 %	
Individual Goals:				
Assure safe work environments and effective safety training/program that results in better than industry average incident rates.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %	
Continuously improve aggregate quality scores while assuring that no bad beer leaves the brewery.	10 %	Target partially achieved.	8 %	
Significantly improve manufacturing and supply chain capabilities and service levels and reduce "out of stocks" at wholesaler level.	20 %	Target achieved.	10 %	

Install and activate required capacity to support high-side projected volumes and mix for 2014-2015, while supplementing peak loads with contract manufacturing support. Update mid and long range capacity planning to assure cost effective product supply over 3-5 year horizon.	20	%	Target achieved.	20	%
Decrease brewery, freight and warehouse costs by \$10 million over 2013 levels and plan for 2015 savings.	15	%	Target partially achieved.	7	%
Continue to build high performance organization culture that results in continuous improvement throughout the supply chain and delivers \$5m in Delivered Gross Margin improvement.	5	%	Target achieved.	5	%
TOTAL	100	%		81	%

In February 2015, reflecting this performance assessment, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Lance had achieved 81% (or \$148,635) of his bonus goals. Additionally, the Compensation Committee deducted 5% of his bonus earnings, or \$7,432, due to brewery processing errors. As a result, the Committee approved a total bonus payment to Mr. Lance in the amount of \$141,203, equivalent to 77.0% of his 2014 cash incentive bonus potential.

Mr. Lance was granted an option effective January 1, 2014 for 2,140 shares of our Class A Common Stock, with vesting contingent on our depletions growth in 2014, as fully described under the heading “Discretionary Stock Options” above. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance criteria for this option had been met and the shares will vest at 20% in each of the years 2015 through 2019 pursuant to the option agreement.

[Back to Content](#)**2014 BONUS GOALS FOR ROBERT P. PAGANO, VICE PRESIDENT OF BRAND DEVELOPMENT:**

Fiscal 2014	Weighting	2014 Performance	% of Bonus Awarded
Bonus Performance Goal:			
Shared Company-Wide Goals	20 %	Target achieved.	20 %
Individual Goals:			
Samuel Adams Boston Lager depletions growth of at least 5%.	15 %	Target not achieved.	0 %
Samuel Adams Seasonals depletions growth of at least 8%.	10 %	Target partially achieved.	5 %
Proactively execute media portion of 2014 Brand Plan to ensure that all programs are successful.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %
Proactively trade execution portion of 2014 Brand Plan to ensure that all programs are successful.	10 %	Target partially achieved.	6 %
Develop 2015 Product Plan for Samuel Adams by end Q1 and Brand Plan by end of Q2.	15 %	Target partially achieved.	10 %
Demonstrate effectiveness of Collaboration and Execution on at least three projects. Improve team-building, collaboration and problem-solving skill. Develop a survey that measures progress of employee engagement. Work with team to improve employee engagement.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %
Total depletions of Angry Orchard and Twisted Tea to plan targets.	10 %	Target achieved.	10 %
TOTAL	100 %		71 %

In February 2015, reflecting this performance assessment, the Compensation Committee approved a bonus payment to Mr. Pagano in the amount of \$123,540, equivalent to 71% of his 2014 cash incentive bonus potential.

Mr. Pagano was granted an option effective January 1, 2014 for 2,140 shares of our Class A Common Stock, with vesting contingent on our depletions growth in 2014, as fully described under the heading “Discretionary Stock Options” above. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance criteria for this option had been met and all the shares will vest at 20% in each of the years 2015 through 2019 in accordance with the option agreement.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on that review and those discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that

the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 27, 2015, and incorporated by reference in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014.

Pearson C. Cummin, III, *Chair*
David A. Burwick
Jay Margolis

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of the Compensation Committee was an officer or employee of Boston Beer or any of its subsidiaries during fiscal year 2014. None of the Company's Executive Officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of our Compensation Committee. In addition, none of our Executive Officers serves as a member of the compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of Boston Beer's Board of Directors.

[Back to Content](#)**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table summarizes the compensation of the 2014 Named Executive Officers for the Company's fiscal years ended December 27, 2014, December 28, 2013, and December 29, 2012. Mr. Pagano was not a Named Executive Officer in 2012 or 2013.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Non-Equity	All Other	Total (\$)
					Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	
Martin F. Roper President & Chief Executive Officer	2014	\$ 764,000	—	—	\$ 443,120	\$ 10,091	\$ 1,217,211
	2013	\$740,000	—	—	\$ 769,600	\$ 9,941	\$1,519,541
	2012	\$714,500	—	—	\$ 328,670	\$ 9,323	\$1,052,493
William F. Urich Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer	2014	\$428,000	—	—	\$ 203,300	\$ 10,091	\$641,391
	2013	\$407,880	—	—	\$ 199,861	\$ 9,941	\$617,682
	2012	\$396,000	—	—	\$ 194,040	\$ 9,323	\$599,363
C. James Koch Chairman	2014	\$395,000	—	\$ 236,256 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 276,500	\$ 10,091	\$917,847
	2013	\$395,000	—	\$273,372 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 316,000	\$ 9,941	\$994,313
	2012	\$390,000	—	\$223,626 ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 195,000	\$ 9,323	\$817,949
John C. Geist Vice President of Sales	2014	\$428,000	—	—	\$ 178,690	\$ 10,091	\$616,781
	2013	\$400,000	\$ 25,000	—	\$ 162,500	\$ 9,941	\$597,441
	2012	\$355,000	\$30,000	—	\$ 133,125	\$ 9,323	\$527,448
Thomas W. Lance Vice President of Operations	2014	\$367,000	—	\$236,256 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 141,203	\$ 10,091	\$754,550
	2013	\$358,000	\$10,000	\$273,372 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 136,040	\$ 9,941	\$787,353
	2012	\$348,000	—	\$223,626 ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 140,505	\$ 9,323	\$721,454
Robert P. Pagano Vice President of Brand Development	2014	\$348,000	—	\$236,256 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 123,540	\$ 10,091	\$717,887

(1) Included in this column are amounts earned, though not necessarily received, during the corresponding fiscal year.

(2) The Compensation Committee, on occasion, awards Executive Officers additional discretionary bonus payments outside of the scope of the Executive Officer's incentive bonus goal plan, in recognition of exceptional performance.

(3) Reflects the dollar amount of the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted during each fiscal year as computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation ("ASC 718"). The methods and assumptions used in valuing the stock option awards in accordance with ASC 718 are

described in Notes B and M to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 24, 2015.

- (4) *Includes annual group life insurance premium and Company matching contributions under the Company's 401(k) plan paid in the respective year.*

- (5) *Grant contains performance-based vesting conditions based on depletions growth as fully described under the heading "Discretionary Stock Options" above; the value reported above reflects the value of the award at the grant date and is consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under ASC 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that 100% of the performance criteria had been achieved and 100% of the shares eligible to vest under the option commenced vesting in March 2015.*

- (6) *Grant contains performance-based vesting conditions based on depletions growth; the value reported above reflects the value of the award at the grant date and is consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under ASC 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. In February 2014, the Compensation Committee determined that 100% of the performance criteria had been achieved and 100% of the shares eligible to vest under the option commenced vesting in March 2014.*

- (7) *Grant contains performance-based vesting conditions based on depletions growth; the value reported above reflects the value of the award at the grant date and is consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under ASC 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. In February 2013, the Compensation Committee determined that 100% of the performance criteria had been achieved and 100% of the shares eligible to vest under the option commenced vesting in March 2013.*

Boston Beer has not paid or provided any perquisites to any of its Executive Officers, either individually or in the aggregate, in excess of \$10,000. Not included in the above Summary Compensation Table are Investment Shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock purchased by Executive Officers at a discount under the ISP. The Chairman and the CEO are not eligible for the ISP, and other Executive Officers receive no additional benefit under the ISP as other ISP Eligible Employees. On December 27, 2014, Messrs. Geist, Lance, and Urich held unvested Investment Shares.

[Back to Content](#)**Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2014**

The following table describes the potential range of annual cash incentive awards and potential payouts under equity incentive awards for fiscal 2014 performance, the actual stock options to purchase Class A Common Stock granted during fiscal 2014, and the grant date fair value of the option awards.

Name	Grant Date	Approval Date ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Exercise or Base Price of Option	Closing Price on Date of Grant	Grant Date Fair Value of Awards
				Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
Martin F. Roper	—	—	\$ 1,100,160	—	—	—	—	—	—
William F. Urich	—	—	\$ 214,000	—	—	—	—	—	—
C. James Koch	1/1/14	12/10/13	\$ 395,000	1,070 ⁽⁴⁾	1,070 ⁽⁴⁾	2,140 ⁽⁴⁾	\$241.79 ⁽¹⁾	\$241.79	\$236,256
John C. Geist	—	—	\$ 214,000	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thomas W. Lance	1/1/14	12/10/13	\$ 183,500	1,070 ⁽⁴⁾	1,070 ⁽⁴⁾	2,140 ⁽⁴⁾	\$241.79 ⁽¹⁾	\$241.79	\$236,256
Robert P. Pagano	1/1/14	12/10/13	\$ 174,000	1,070 ⁽⁴⁾	1,070 ⁽⁴⁾	2,140 ⁽⁴⁾	\$241.79 ⁽¹⁾	\$241.79	\$236,256

At the December 10, 2013 meeting of the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors granted the options effective as of January 1, 2014, with an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's stock on the NYSE on the last trading day immediately prior to the effective date of the option grant.

There are no threshold levels for these awards. The amount reflects the maximum payout for full achievement of the performance goals. Nevertheless, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust the actual payout upon evaluation of overall achievement.

Reflects the dollar amount of the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted during the fiscal year as computed in accordance with ASC 718. The method and assumptions used in valuing the stock option awards in accordance with ASC 718 are described in Notes B and M to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2015.

Each option vests at 20% per year provided certain criteria are met. The vesting of each option is contingent on the Company achieving certain performance criteria. If the Company's depletions in 2014 increased by at least 13%, but less than 18%, over 2013 depletions, 50% of the number of shares would be eligible to vest in accordance with the vesting schedule ("Threshold"). If the Company's depletions in 2014 increased by 18% or more

over 2013 depletions, 100% of the number of shares shall be eligible to vest in accordance with the vesting schedule (“Maximum”). In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that the Maximum had been achieved and 2,140 shares are eligible for vesting. As a result, 20% of the eligible shares vested on March 1, 2015 and, so long as the Optionee continues to be employed by the Company or an affiliate of the Company as of each indicated date, the Option shall become incrementally exercisable as to 20% of the eligible shares on January 1 in each of the years 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019.

[Back to Content](#)**Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year End**

The following table sets forth information regarding equity awards granted to the Named Executive Officers that were outstanding at December 27, 2014. Those performance-based awards that had not either vested or lapsed as of December 27, 2014 are considered unexercisable and unearned.

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards		
	No. of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	No. of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	No. of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	No. of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	No. of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares that Have Not Vested (\$)
Martin F. Roper	25,000 ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	\$22.425	6/28/2015	—	—
	180,000 ⁽²⁾	—	—	—	\$43.550	8/11/2017	—	—
	—	603,092 ⁽³⁾	—	—	\$37.650 ⁽³⁾	12/31/2018 ⁽³⁾	—	—
William F. Urich	10,200 ⁽⁴⁾	3,800 ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	\$46.600	12/31/2019	—	—
	—	65,000 ⁽⁵⁾	—	—	\$95.090	12/31/2020	—	—
C. James Koch	15,000 ⁽⁶⁾	—	—	—	\$26.070	1/1/2016	—	—
	12,000 ⁽⁷⁾	—	—	—	\$35.980	1/1/2017	—	—
	7,600 ⁽⁴⁾	1,900 ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	\$46.600	12/31/2019	—	—
	1,500 ⁽⁸⁾	1,000 ⁽⁸⁾	—	—	\$95.090	12/31/2020	—	—
	1,920 ⁽⁹⁾	2,880 ⁽⁹⁾	—	—	\$108.560	12/31/2021	—	—
	945 ⁽¹⁰⁾	3,780 ⁽¹⁰⁾	—	—	\$134.450	12/31/2022	—	—
John C. Geist	—	—	2,140 ⁽¹¹⁾	—	\$241.790	1/1/2024	—	—
	—	3,800 ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	\$46.600	12/31/2019	—	—
	—	80,000 ⁽¹²⁾	—	—	\$95.090	12/31/2020	—	—
Thomas W. Lance	40,000 ⁽¹³⁾	—	—	—	\$18.510	3/12/2019	—	—
	2,250 ⁽⁸⁾	1,500 ⁽⁸⁾	—	—	\$95.090	12/31/2020	—	—
	1,920 ⁽⁹⁾	2,880 ⁽⁹⁾	—	—	\$108.560	12/31/2021	—	—
	945 ⁽¹⁰⁾	3,780 ⁽¹⁰⁾	—	—	\$134.450	12/31/2022	—	—
	—	—	2,140 ⁽¹¹⁾	—	\$241.790	1/1/2024	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Robert P. Pagano	4,500 ⁽¹⁴⁾	27,000 ⁽¹⁴⁾	—	—	\$86.78	3/10/2021	—	—
	—	—	2,140 ⁽¹¹⁾	—	\$241.79	1/1/2024	—	—

(1) Option granted June 28, 2005 and fully vested as of May 1, 2008.

(2) Option granted August 13, 2007 and fully vested as of August 13, 2013.

(3) Option granted January 1, 2008. The option will vest at the rate of 20% on January 1 in each of the years 2014 through 2018, contingent on Mr. Roper's continued employment with the Company. The exercise price is determined by multiplying \$42.00 by the aggregate change in the DJ Wilshire 5000 Index from and after January

1, 2008 through the close of business on the trading date next preceding each date on which the option is exercised. The exercise price will not be less than \$37.65 per share and the excess of the fair value of the Company's Class A Common Stock cannot exceed \$70 per share over the exercise price. On December 27, 2014, the exercise price would have been \$225.74. Of the remaining unexercised option, 452,319 shares expire on December 31, 2017 and 150,773 shares expire on December 31, 2018.

- (4) Option granted January 1, 2010 and shares are vesting at the rate of 20% per year due to certain performance criteria being met as of March 1, 2011.
- (5) Option granted January 1, 2011. The option will vest on January 1, 2016 contingent on Mr. Urich's continued employment with the Company.
- (6) Option granted February 16, 2006 and fully vested as of January 1, 2011.
- (7) Option granted January 1, 2007 and fully vested as of January 1, 2012.
- (8) Option granted January 1, 2011 and shares are vesting at the rate of 20% per year due to certain performance criteria being met as of March 1, 2012.
- (9) Option granted January 1, 2012 and shares are vesting at the rate of 20% per year due to certain performance criteria being met as of March 1, 2013.
- (10) Option granted January 1, 2013 and shares are vesting at the rate of 20% per year due to certain performance criteria being met as of March 1, 2014.
- (11) Option granted January 1, 2014 and shares are vesting at the rate of 20% per year due to certain performance criteria being met as of March 1, 2015.
Option granted January 1, 2011. 60% of shares will vest on January 1, 2016 and the remaining shares will vest
- (12) annually in equal numbers over the following four years contingent on Mr. Geist's continued employment with the Company.
- (13) Option granted March 13, 2009 and fully vested as of March 1, 2013.
- (14) Option granted March 11, 2011. The option will vest at the rate of 33.3% per year starting on March 11, 2014 contingent on Mr. Pagano's continued employment with the Company.

[Back to Content](#)**Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2014**

The following table sets forth information regarding options exercised and shares of Class A Common Stock acquired on vesting of restricted stock awards by the Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014, as well as information regarding the value realized on such exercise or vesting. No Named Executive Officers have been granted any restricted stock awards that vested during the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014.

Name	Option Awards	
	No. of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)
Martin F. Roper	215,772	\$25,812,435
William F. Urich	30,000	\$6,834,079
C. James Koch	—	—
John C. Geist	3,800	\$681,526
Thomas W. Lance	16,000	\$3,349,474
Robert P. Pagano	8,500	\$1,282,593

Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment, and Change in Control Agreements

A Stockholder Rights Agreement between Boston Beer and our initial stockholders provides that so long as C. James Koch remains an employee of Boston Beer: (1) he will devote such time and effort, as a full-time, forty (40) hours-per-week occupation, as may be reasonably necessary for the proper performance of his duties and to satisfy the business needs of the Company; (2) Boston Beer will provide Mr. Koch with benefits no less favorable than those formerly provided to him by the Boston Beer Company Limited Partnership; and (3) Boston Beer will purchase and maintain in effect term life insurance on the life of Mr. Koch.

Except for employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement (“CBA”), all full-time employees of Boston Beer, including each of the Named Executive Officers, are required to enter into a non-competition agreement with Boston Beer that prohibits the employee from accepting employment with a competitor for a period of one year after leaving Boston Beer. Nevertheless, all employees of Boston Beer not covered by a CBA are employed “at-will.”

With the exception of the option granted to Martin Roper on January 1, 2008, which is subject to limited acceleration pursuant to a defined schedule in the event of a change of ownership of our Class B Stock, all options granted under the EEIP, including those granted to the Named Executive Officers, become immediately exercisable in full in the event that C. James Koch and/or members of his family cease to control a majority of Boston Beer’s issued and

outstanding Class B Common Stock (a “Change of Control”). The option agreements do not discriminate in scope or terms of operation for Executive Officers or other salaried employees.

In the hypothetical event that a Change of Control occurred on December 27, 2014, Mr. Roper would have had 301,546 option shares immediately vest pursuant to his January 1, 2008 Option Agreement. Pursuant to that agreement, said options would have been exercisable at a price of \$225.74. On that date, the closing market price of Boston Beer stock was \$295.74. In the hypothetical event that Mr. Roper exercised all such shares and immediately sold such shares at the closing market price, he would have received gross income of \$21,108,220.

[Back to Content](#)**AUDIT INFORMATION**

Ernst & Young LLP (“EY”) has audited our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013.

Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee’s policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by Boston Beer’s independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee pre-approved all such audit and non-audit services provided by EY during 2014. In 2013 and 2014, the services provided by EY included audit services, audit-related services, tax services, and other services and resulted in the fees set forth below:

	2014	2013
Audit Fees	\$753,602	\$539,500
Audit-Related Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$25,376	\$37,600
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾	\$67,000	\$77,890
TOTAL	\$845,978	\$654,990

(1) Boston Beer paid EY \$25,376 for audit fees relating to the Company’s 401(k) plans in 2014 and \$37,600 for audit fees relating to the Company’s 401(k) plans in 2013.

(2) Tax fees in 2014 consisted of \$60,000 for federal and state tax return compliance assistance, \$7,000 for other tax-related advisory services. Tax fees in 2013 consisted of \$58,000 for federal and state tax return compliance assistance, \$6,365 for federal and state examination review assistance and \$13,525 for other tax-related advisory services.

Change of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

In late 2014 through February 2015, we extended requests for proposals to several independent registered public accountants, including EY and Deloitte. After performing a full analysis of the responses to these proposals, senior management determined that Deloitte was the best candidate to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015 fiscal year, and made a corresponding recommendation to the Audit Committee.

At its meeting on February 19, 2015, the Audit Committee discussed senior management’s recommendations. The Committee then sought further input from the full Board and, on February 27, 2015, the Chairman of the Audit Committee, acting with authority on behalf of the Committee, terminated the engagement of EY as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm and engaged Deloitte to serve as its independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company’s financial statements for the 2015 fiscal year.

During our two most recent fiscal years and through the date of engagement, we did not consult Deloitte with respect to the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that Deloitte might render on our financial statements.

Representatives of EY are expected to be present at the 2015 Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and are expected to be available to respond to questions. Representatives of Deloitte are not expected to be present at the 2015 Annual Meeting and therefore are not expected to be available to respond to questions or make a statement.

Audit Committee Report⁽¹⁾

The Audit Committee, which is comprised of four independent Directors, each of whom qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” in accordance with applicable SEC rules based on his relevant experience, oversees Boston Beer’s financial reporting process on behalf of the Board. In that regard, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed our audited consolidated financial statements with our management and EY. The Audit Committee has also discussed with EY the matters required to be discussed pursuant to applicable standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”). The Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from EY required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountant’s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with EY their independence.

In addition, the Audit Committee met with senior management periodically during 2014 and reviewed key initiatives and programs aimed at strengthening the effectiveness of our internal and disclosure control structure. As part of this process, the Audit Committee continued to monitor the scope and steps taken to implement recommended improvements in internal procedures and controls. The Audit Committee met privately with our independent registered public accounting firm, our internal auditor, and other members of our management, each of whom has unrestricted access to the Audit Committee.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements should be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2014 filed with the SEC.

Gregg A. Tanner, *Chair*
Pearson C. Cummin, III
Jay Margolis
Jean-Michel Valette

The material in this report is not “soliciting material,” is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange (1) Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. 2015 Proxy Statement 43

[Back to Content](#)

Auditor Independence

Neither the report of EY on our financial statements for 2013 nor its report on our financial statements for 2014 contained an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were such reports qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

During our two most recent fiscal years, there were no disagreements with EY on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which, if not resolved to such accountants' satisfaction, would have caused such accountants to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its reports. During our two most recent fiscal years, there were no reportable events as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC.

VOTING MATTERS FOR 2015 ANNUAL MEETING

Item 1: Election of Class A Directors by Class A Stockholders

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating/Governance Committee, has nominated David A. Burwick, Pearson C. Cummin III, and Jean-Michel Valette, each of whom is an incumbent Director, for reelection to the Board as a Class A Director for a one-year term. Provided a quorum is present and it is an uncontested election, these Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast by the Class A Stockholders at the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends that the Class A Stockholders vote "FOR" all nominees for Class A Director.

Item 2: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation by Class A Stockholders

At Boston Beer's 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a non-binding advisory vote was taken on the frequency of future advisory votes regarding Named Executive Officer compensation. Consistent with the recommendation of the Board of Directors, a majority of the shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock cast on the matter were in favor of holding such an advisory vote on an annual basis. After consideration of the 2011 voting results, and based upon its prior recommendation, the Board determined that Boston Beer will conduct future advisory votes regarding compensation awarded to its Named Executive Officers on an annual basis. While the holders of Class A Common Stock have only limited voting rights, consistent with the intent of the Dodd-Frank Act and SEC rules, the Board is providing the holders of Class A Common Stock with the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of Boston Beer's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC.

The compensation of Boston Beer's Named Executive Officers is disclosed in the CD&A, the compensation tables, and the related disclosures contained in this Proxy Statement. As described in the CD&A, Boston Beer has adopted an executive compensation philosophy designed to deliver competitive total compensation upon the achievement of financial and/or strategic performance objectives, which will attract, motivate, and retain leaders who will drive the creation of stockholder value. In order to implement that philosophy, the Compensation Committee has established a disciplined process for the adoption of executive compensation programs and individual Executive Officer pay actions. Boston Beer believes that its compensation policies and decisions are focused on pay-for-performance principles, are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders, and provide an appropriate balance between risks and incentives. Stockholders are urged to read the CD&A section of this Proxy Statement, which discusses in greater detail how Boston Beer's compensation policies and procedures implement its executive compensation philosophy. The Board of Directors asks the stockholders to indicate their support for the Named Executive Officer compensation, as described in this Proxy Statement, by approval of the following resolution:

“RESOLVED, that the compensation policies and procedures followed by Boston Beer and the Compensation Committee of Boston Beer's Board of Directors and the level and mix of compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, resulting from such policies and procedures are hereby determined to be appropriate for Boston Beer and are accordingly approved.”

[Back to Content](#)

The vote on this Item 2 is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee, or the Board. The vote will not be construed to create or imply any change to the fiduciary duties of the Company or the Board, or to create or imply any additional fiduciary duties for the Company or the Board. However, the Board and the Compensation Committee value input from stockholders and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future executive compensation decisions.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present or represented and entitled to vote either in person or by proxy is required to approve this Item 2.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote “FOR” the adoption of the foregoing resolution approving Boston Beer’s executive compensation policies and procedures and the 2014 compensation paid to its Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the CD&A, the compensation tables, and related narratives in this Proxy Statement.

Item 3: Election of Class B Directors by Class B Stockholder

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating/ Governance Committee, has nominated Cynthia Fisher, C. James Koch, Jay Margolis, Martin F. Roper, and Gregg A. Tanner, each of whom is an incumbent Director, for reelection to the Board as a Class B Director for a one-year term. Provided a quorum is present and it is an uncontested election, these Directors are elected by the sole Class B Stockholder at the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends that the Class B Stockholder vote “FOR” all nominees for Class B Director.

Item 4: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm by Class B Stockholder

Deloitte has been selected by the Audit Committee to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015 fiscal year.

Although our By-Laws do not require stockholder ratification or other approval of the retention of our independent registered public accounting firm, as a matter of good corporate governance, the Board is requesting that the Class B Stockholder ratify the selection of Deloitte as our independent registered accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 26, 2015.

Under Boston Beer’s Articles of Organization, voting rights regarding matters other than a limited number of specific issues solely rest with the Company’s Class B Common Stock. Accordingly, an affirmative vote of the sole Class B

Stockholder is required to approve this Item 4.

The Board of Directors recommends that the Class B Stockholder vote “FOR” the ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of Deloitte as our independent registered public accounting firm for Boston Beer’s fiscal year ending December 26, 2015.

Stockholder Proposals for 2015 Annual Meeting

Stockholders interested in submitting a proposal intended for inclusion in the Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2016 may do so by following the procedures set forth in Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. To be eligible for inclusion, stockholder proposals must be received at the Company’s principal executive offices in Boston, Massachusetts on or before December 11, 2015.

If a stockholder wishes to present a proposal at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders but not have it included in the Company’s Proxy Materials for that meeting, the proposal must be received by the Company no later than March 11, 2016, and it must relate to subject matter which could not be excluded from a proxy statement under any rule promulgated by the SEC.

[Back to Content](#)

OTHER INFORMATION

Annual Report

A copy of the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K as required to be filed with the SEC, excluding exhibits, is incorporated by reference, and will be mailed to stockholders without charge upon written request to: Investor Relations, The Boston Beer Company, Inc., One Design Center Place, Suite 850, Boston, Massachusetts 02210.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Kathleen H. Wade

Corporate Secretary

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. 2015 Proxy Statement 46

[Back to Content](#)

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC.

ONE DESIGN CENTER PLACE, SUITE 850 BOSTON MA 02210 | PH 617.368.5000

WWW.BOSTONBEER.COM

[Back to Content](#)

[Back to Content](#)