

ARDENT MINES LTD
Form 10-K
October 06, 2009

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended - June 30, 2009

Commission file number 000-50994

ARDENT MINES LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEVADA
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

88-0471870
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Tuuletee 18, Tabasalu PK
Harjumaa
Estonia 76901

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code.)

44-20-7993-6505

(telephone number, including area code)

Securities pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:
NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
Common Stock, \$0.00001 Par Value (and rights attached thereto)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act:
Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant(1) has filed all reports required by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 day. **Yes [X] No []**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section

232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). **Yes** **No**

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulations S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 if the Exchange Act.

	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	
filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Large Accelerated	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).
Yes **No**

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of **June 30, 2009 \$3,500,000**.

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: **14,257,650** as of **October 6, 2009**.

Number of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of **October 6, 2009: 14,257,650**.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

See Exhibit Index.

- 2 -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

	Page
Item 1. Business.	4
Item 1A. Risk Factors.	12
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.	14
Item 2. Properties.	14
Item 3. Legal Proceedings.	14
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	14

PART II

Item 5. Market For Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters.	15
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	16
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition or Plan of Operation.	16

PART III

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.	21
--	----

Edgar Filing: ARDENT MINES LTD - Form 10-K

Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	21
Item 9.	Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	31
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	31
Item 9B.	Other Information	33
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	33
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	35
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	37
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	39
PART IV		
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	39
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.	40

- 3 -

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

We were incorporated in the State of Nevada on July 27, 2000. We were engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mining properties. Our exploration target is to find an ore body containing gold.

We maintain our statutory registered agent's office at Nevada Corporate Headquarter, 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 and our business office is located at Tuuletee 18, Tabasalu PK, Harjumaa, Estonia 76901. This is our mailing address as well. Our telephone number is 44-20-7993-6505. Urmas Turu, our president, supplies this office space on a rent-free basis.

Background

In August 2000, we acquired the right to prospect one mineral property containing eight mining claims in British Columbia, Canada by arranging the staking of the same through James Thom, a non-affiliated third party. The property was located on Copperkettle Creek, approximately three miles upstream from its confluence with Kettle

Creek. This claim is located on the eastern slope of Beaverdale Range of the Monashee Mountains. The claims lie approximately thirty miles east of the town of Penticton, British Columbia. Each claim is 500 meters by 500 meters or 25 hectares. We did not find an ore body and the claims expired by operation of law on August 26, 2006. We allowed the claims to lapse. Accordingly, we have no right to prospect the property.

From August 26, 2006 to December 11, 2006, we did not conduct any operations. During that period, we intended to identify an acquisition or merger candidate with ongoing operations in any field. However in December 2006 we decided to acquire the right to explore a new property in British Columbia and returned to the business of mineral exploration.

In December 2006, Taras Chebountchak, our former president and member of the board of directors, acquired one mining claim containing eleven cells in British Columbia, Canada from Lloyd C. Brewer by paying Mr. Brewer US\$7,500. Mr. Brewer is a geologist and a non-affiliated third party. A claim is a grant from the Canadian Crown of the available land within the cells to the holder to remove and sell minerals. A cell is an area which appears electronically on the British Columbia Internet Minerals Titles Online Grid. The online grid is the geographical basis for the cell. Mr. Brewer is a self-employed contract staker, field worker and professional geologist residing in British Columbia.

On April 30, 2009, the Company decided not to renew the Gold Ridge or WGR claims due to a lack of capital. The Company instead plans to solely focus on developing the GRN claim.

- 4 -

Mining in British Columbia

Canadian jurisdictions allow a mineral explorer to claim a portion of available Crown lands as its exclusive area for exploration by registering the claim area on the British Columbia Mineral Titles Online system. The Mineral Titles Online system is the Internet-based British Columbia system used to register, maintain and manage the claims. A cell is an area which appears electronically on the British Columbia Internet Minerals Titles Online Grid and was formerly called a claim. A claim is a grant from the Crown of the available land within the cells to the holder to remove and sell minerals. The online grid is the geographical basis for the cell. Formerly, the claim was established by sticking stakes in the ground to define the area and then recording the staking information. The staking system is now antiquated in British Columbia and has been replaced with the online grid. Mr. Chebountchak paid Mr. Brewer \$7,500 to transfer and register the claim. No additional payments were made or are due Mr. Brewer for his services.

Under British Columbia law title to British Columbia mining cells can only be held by British Columbia residents. In the case of corporations, title must be held by a British Columbia corporation. In order to comply with the law we would have to incorporate a British Columbia wholly owned subsidiary corporation and obtain audited financial statements. We believe those costs would be a waste of our money at this time.

The cells were recorded in Mr. Chebountchak's name to avoid incurring additional costs at this time. The additional fees would be for incorporation of a British Columbia corporation and legal and accounting fees related to the incorporation. On January 2, 2007, Mr. Chebountchak executed a declaration of trust acknowledging that he holds the property in trust for us and he will not deal with the property in any way, except to transfer the property to us. In the event that Mr. Chebountchak transfers title to a third party, the declaration of trust will be used as evidence that he breached his fiduciary duty to us. Mr. Chebountchak has not provided us with a signed or executed bill of sale in our favor.

In the event that we find mineralized material and the mineralized material can be economically extracted, we will form a wholly owned British Columbia subsidiary corporation and Mr. Chebountchak will convey title to the property to the wholly owned subsidiary corporation. Should Mr. Chebountchak transfer title to another person and that deed is recorded before we record our documents, that other person will have superior title and we will have none. If that event occurs, we will have to cease or suspend operations. However, Mr. Chebountchak will be liable to us for monetary damages for breaching the terms of his oral agreement with us to transfer his title to a subsidiary corporation we create. To date we have not performed any work on the property. All Canadian lands and minerals which have not been granted to private persons are owned by either the federal or provincial governments in the name of Her Majesty Elizabeth II. Ungranted minerals are commonly known as Crown minerals. Ownership rights to Crown minerals are vested by the Canadian Constitution in the province where the minerals are located. In the case of the Company property, that is the province of British Columbia.

On April 30, 2009, the Company decided not to renew the Gold Ridge or WGR claims due to a lack of capital. The Company instead plans to solely focus on developing the GRN claim.

- 5 -

The Property

The property lies one mile north of the town of Beaverdell and approximately 180 miles east of Vancouver, British Columbia. The property is located at the southern flank of King Solomon Mountain, within the Kettle River Valley on the nose of an outcrop ridge that is formed at the junction of Beaverdell Creek with the West Kettle River. The following is a list of tenure numbers, cells, date of recording and expiration date of the cells:

Tenure No.	Document Description	Date of Recording	Date of Expiration
509278	GRN	March 19, 2006	October 15, 2009

Our property is recorded in Mr. Chebountchak's name. In order to maintain our property we must pay a fee of CND\$100 per year per cell.

The property is unencumbered and there are no competitive conditions which affect the property. Further, there is no insurance covering the property and we believe that no insurance is necessary since the property is unimproved and contains no buildings or improvements.

To date we have not performed any work on the property. We are presently in the exploration stage and we cannot guarantee that a commercially viable mineral deposit, a reserve, exists in the property until further exploration is done and a comprehensive evaluation concludes economic and legal feasibility.

There are no native land claims that affect title to the property. We have no plans to try to interest other companies in the property if mineralization is found. If mineralization is found, we will try to develop the property ourselves.

Location and Access

By traveling north on paved Highway 33 (Rock Creek - Kelowna Highway), our cells are accessible. The cells are approximately one mile from Beaverdell. This route accesses the western part of the cells. Access to the eastern side is from the Beaverdell Creek Road. The property is centered at approximately 49°27'N North latitude and 119°05' West

longitude. There are numerous dirt/gravel logging roads which branch from the highway and travel throughout the property. These are passable by a 4-wheel drive vehicle.

Physiography

The property is located within the center of the Okanagan Highlands physiographic division of the Interior Plateau. This area is typified by rounded mountains and ridges, and gentle slopes. It lies on the north-trending, ridge-shaped southern end of King Solomon Mountain between the elevations of 2,370 feet and 3,700 feet above sea level. Slopes within the cells are moderately steep, as the main part of the property lies on a ridge between two rivers. Vegetation consists of second growth fir and pine, with fairly extensive meadows between the trees. There is water available from Kettle River and Beaverdell Creek, although the rest of the property is relatively dry. Both water and timber are available to support all phase of exploration.

- 6 -

Exploration work can be carried out on the property on a year-round basis. The property is snow free from November to May. During the snow-free season all phases of exploration work could be efficiently carried out. During the winter months it is recommended that only diamond drilling and underground exploration/development be carried out.

Regional Geology

The property lies within the Omineca Crystalline Belt. This geologic province is distinguished by the presence of medium to high-grade gneiss and schist of the Monashee Group. It stretches west from the town of Nelson to Penticton and from north-central Washington State to central British Columbia.

Within the Omineca Crystalline Belt there are Nelson Pluton rocks of Cretaceous age. In the Beaverdell area these intrusive rocks are of granodiorite or quartz diorite composition. These are the principal host rocks for quartz veining and sulphide mineralization on the property.

Approximately 5% of the area contains remnants of Anarchist Formation rocks. This unit overlies and has been intruded by the Nelson Batholith in several localities near the property. The Anarchist Formation is the oldest unit in the area and consists of limestone, volcanics and clastic sediments, deposited in a eugeosyncline of Permian to Triassic age.

Property Geology

The cells that comprise the property are predominantly (95%) underlain by the West Kettle Intrusive of the Nelson Batholith, which is of quartz diorite composition. These quartz diorites are well fractured, with dominant fracture direction being N80°E (080°). Two other sets of fractures are at approximately N60°E (060°) and N130°E (130°). The quartz diorites show weak argillic and pervasive chloritic alteration. In the northern portion of the cells and further to the north Anarchist Group volcanic rocks are exposed. Two quartz veins in associated shears have been observed on the southern portion of the property. These veins strike approximately N80°E (080°) and dip vertically. The associated minerals include sericite, chlorite, minor epidote and potassium feldspar. With the exception of minor amounts of pyrite, these veins do not contain any sulphides. Strong alteration in the host rocks occurs in close proximity to mineralized veins and appears to be associated with the mineralization. The rocks on the rest of the property show little indication of strong alteration. Minor smaller quartz veins and quartz stock-works have also been found on the

property. These are also reported to contain minor mineralization.

- 7 -

MAP 1

Exploration

We do not claim to have any minerals or reserves whatsoever at this time on any of the property. We do not know if we will find mineralized material. We believe that activities occurring on adjoining properties are not material to our activities. The reason is that what ever is located under adjoining property may or may not be located under the property.

We must conduct exploration to determine what amount of minerals, if any, exist on our properties and if any minerals which are found can be economically extracted and profitably processed.

We do not intend to interest other companies in the property if we find mineralized materials. We intend to try to develop the reserves ourselves through the use of consultants. We have no plans to interest other companies in the property if we do not find mineralized material.

Our Proposed Exploration Program

Our exploration target is to find an ore body containing gold. Our success depends upon finding mineralized material. This includes a determination by our consultant if the property contains reserves. We have not selected a consultant as of the date of this report. Mineralized material is a mineralized body, which has been delineated by appropriate spaced drilling or underground sampling to support sufficient tonnage and average grade of metals to justify removal. If we do not find mineralized material or we cannot remove mineralized material, either because we do not have the money to do it or because it is not economically feasible to do it, we will cease.

In addition, we may not have enough money to complete our exploration of the property. If it turns out that we have not raised enough money to complete our exploration program, we may have to cease operations. At the present time, we have not made any plans to raise additional money and there is no assurance that we would be able to raise additional money in the future.

The property is undeveloped raw land. To our knowledge, the property has never been mined. The only event that has occurred is the registering the property by Mr. Brewer and a physical examination of the property by Mr. Chebountchak, our former president and director. The cost of registering the claim was included in the \$7,500 paid to Mr. Brewer. Before minerals retrieval can begin, we must explore for and find mineralized material. After that has occurred we have to determine if it is economically feasible to remove the mineralized material. Economically feasible means that the costs associated with the removal of the mineralized material will not exceed the price at which we can sell the mineralized material. We can predict what that will be until we find mineralized material.

We intend to implement an exploration program which consists of core sampling. Core sampling is the process of drilling holes to a depth of up to 100 feet in order to extract a sample of earth. Mr. Chebountchak, after confirming with our consultant, will determine where drilling will occur on the property. Mr. Chebountchak will not receive fees for his services. The samples will be tested to determine if mineralized material is located on the property. Based upon the tests of the core samples, we will determine if we will terminate operations; proceed with additional exploration of the property; or develop the property. We only have enough money to conduct core sampling and testing. We intend to take our core samples to analytical chemists, geochemists and registered assayers located in Vancouver, British Columbia. We have not selected any of the foregoing as of the date of this report.

We estimate the cost of drilling will be \$20 per foot drilled. We intend to drill approximately 1,000 linear feet or 10 holes to depth of 100 feet. We estimate that it will take one month to drill 10 holes to a depth of 100 feet each. We will pay a consultant for his services to supervise the exploration. The total cost for analyzing the core samples will be \$3,000. We expect to begin exploration in the spring of 2010.

The foregoing breakdowns were made in consultation with Mr. Brewer.

We cannot provide you with a more detailed discussion of how our exploration program will work and what we expect will be our likelihood of success. That is because we have a piece of raw land and we intend to look for mineralized material. We may or may not find any mineralized material. We hope we do, but it is impossible to predict the likelihood of such an event.

If we are unable to complete exploration because we do not have enough money, we will cease operations until we raise more money.

Supplies

Competition and unforeseen limited sources of supplies in the industry could result in occasional spot shortages of supplies, such as dynamite, and certain equipment such as bulldozers and excavators that we might need to conduct exploration. We have not attempted to locate or negotiate with any suppliers of products, equipment or materials. We will attempt to locate products, equipment and materials on an as needed basis. If we cannot find the products and equipment we need, we will have to suspend our exploration plans until we do find the products and equipment we need.

Competitive Factors

The gold mining industry is fragmented, that is there are many, many gold prospectors and producers, small and large. We do not compete with anyone. That is because there is no competition for the exploration or removal of minerals from the property. We will either find gold on the property or not. If we do not, we will cease or suspend operations. We are one of the smallest exploration companies in existence. We are an infinitely small participant in the gold mining market. Readily available gold markets exist in Canada and around the world for the sale of gold. Therefore, we will be able to sell any gold that we are able to recover.

Regulations

Our property is registered on British Columbia Mineral Titles Online system. We are also subject to the British Columbia Mineral Exploration Code which tells us how and where we can explore for minerals.

This act sets forth rules for

- * locating claims
- * posting claims
- * working claims
- * reporting work performed

We can explore for minerals on the property and are in compliance with the Code rules and regulations. The Code rules and regulations will not adversely affect our operations.

Environmental Law

We are also subject to the Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines in British Columbia. This code deals with environmental matters relating to the exploration and development of mining properties. Its goals are to protect the environment through a series of regulations affecting:

1. Health and Safety
2. Archaeological Sites
3. Exploration Access

We are responsible to provide a safe working environment, not disrupt archaeological sites, and conduct our activities to prevent unnecessary damage to the property.

We will secure all necessary permits for exploration and, if development is warranted on the property, will file final plans of operation before we start any mining operations. We anticipate no discharge of water into active stream, creek, river, lake or any other body of water regulated by environmental law or regulation. No endangered species will be disturbed. Restoration of the disturbed land will be completed according to law. All holes, pits and shafts will be sealed upon abandonment of the property. It is difficult to estimate the cost of compliance with the environmental law since the full nature and extent of our proposed activities cannot be determined until we start our operations and know what that will involve from an environmental standpoint.

We are in compliance with the act and will continue to comply with the act in the future. We believe that compliance with the act will not adversely affect our business operations in the future.

Exploration stage companies have no need to discuss environmental matters, except as they relate to exploration activities. The only cost and effect of compliance with environmental regulations in British Columbia is returning the surface to its previous condition upon abandonment of the property. We believe the cost of reclaiming the property will not be substantial.

Employees and Employment Agreements

At present, we have no full-time employees. Our sole officer and director is a part-time employee and devotes about 10% of his time or four hours per week to our operation. Our sole officer and director, does not have an employment agreement with us. We presently do not have pension, health, annuity, insurance, stock options, profit sharing or similar benefit plans; however, we may adopt plans in the future. There are presently no personal benefits available to our sole officer and director. Mr. Turu will handle our administrative duties. Because our sole officer and director is not experienced with exploration, he will hire qualified persons to perform the surveying, exploration, and excavating of the property. As of today, we have not looked for or talked to any geologists or engineers who will perform work for us in the future.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

1. *We may not have sufficient funds to stay in business. If we do not we will have to suspend or cease operations.*

Our auditors have issued a going concern opinion. This means that there is substantial doubt that we can continue as an ongoing business for the next twelve months. As such, we may have to cease operations and you could lose your investment.

2. Our plan of operation is limited to finding an ore body. As such we have no plans for revenue generation. Accordingly, you should not expect any revenues from operations.

Our plan of operation and the funds we raise will be used for exploration of the property to determine if there is an ore body beneath the surface. Exploration does not contemplate removal of the ore. We have no plans or funds for ore removal.

3. The probability of an individual prospect ever having reserves is extremely remote, any funds spent on exploration will probably be lost.

The probability of an individual prospect ever having reserves is extremely remote. In all probability the property does not contain any reserves. As such, any funds spent on exploration will probably be lost.

4. We have a poor operating history. We were incorporated in July 2000 and have yet to generate any revenues. We have losses which we expect to continue into the future. As a result, we may have to suspend or cease operations.

We were incorporated on July 27, 2000, and have not realized any revenues. We were unsuccessful in located mineralized material on our first property and used all of our money on the exploration of the first property. Our operating history is one of failure. Our net loss since inception is approximately \$506,000. To achieve and maintain profitability and positive cash flow we are dependent upon:

- * our ability to locate a profitable mineral property
- * our ability to generate revenues
- * our ability to reduce exploration costs.

Based upon current plans, we expect to incur operating losses in future periods. This will happen because there are expenses associated with the exploration of our mineral properties. As a result, we may not generate revenues in the future. Failure to generate revenues will cause us to suspend or cease operations.

5. Our management does not have technical training or experience in exploring for, starting, and operating an exploration program, we will have to hire qualified personnel. If we cannot locate qualified personnel, we may have to suspend or cease operations.

Because our management is inexperienced with exploring for, starting, and operating an exploration program, we will have to hire qualified persons to perform surveying, exploration, and excavation of the property. Our management has no direct training or experience in these areas and as a result may not be fully aware of many of the specific requirements related to working within the industry. Management decisions and choices may not take into account standard engineering or managerial approaches, mineral exploration companies commonly use. Consequently our

operations, earnings and ultimate financial success could suffer irreparable harm due to management lack of experience in this industry. As a result we may have to suspend or cease operations.

6. The title to our property is held in the name of our former officer, if he transfers the property to someone other than us, we will cease operations.

Record title to the property upon which we intend to conduct exploration activities is not held in our name. Record title to the property is recorded in the name of Mr. Chebountchak, our former president. If he transfers the property to a third person, the third person will obtain good title and we will have nothing. If that happens we will be harmed in that we will not own any property and we will have to cease operations. Under British Columbia law title to British Columbia mining claims can only be held by British Columbia residents. In the case of corporations, title must be held by a British Columbia corporation. In order for us to own record title to the property, we would have to incorporate a British Columbia wholly-owned subsidiary corporation and obtain audited financial statements. We believe those costs would be a waste of our money at this time since the legal costs of incorporating a subsidiary corporation, the accounting costs of audited financial statements for the subsidiary corporation, together with the legal and accounting costs of expanding this registration statement would cost several thousands of dollars. Accordingly, we have elected not to create the subsidiary at this time, but will do so if mineralized material is discovered on the property.

7. We are small and do not have any capital, we may have to limit our exploration activity.

Our company is small and does not have any capital, we must limit our exploration activity. As such we may not be able to complete an exploration program that is as thorough as we would like. In that event, an existing ore body may go undiscovered. Without an ore body, we cannot generate revenues.

8. Weather interruptions in the province of British Columbia may affect and delay our proposed exploration operations and as a result, there may be delays in generating revenues.

Our proposed exploration work can only be performed approximately five to six months out of the year. This is because rain and snow cause the roads leading to our claim to be impassible during six to seven months of the year. When roads are impassible, we are unable to conduct exploration operations on the property which will delay the generation of possible revenues by us.

9. Because Mr. Turu has other outside business activities, he will only be devoting 10% of his time, or four hours per week to our operations. As a result, our operations may be sporadic which may result in periodic interruptions or suspensions of exploration.

- 13 -

Because Mr. Turu, our sole officer and director, has other outside business activities, he will only be devoting 10% of his time, or four hours per week, to our operations. As a result, our operations may be sporadic and occur at times which are convenient to Mr. Turu. As a result, exploration of the property may be periodically interrupted or suspended.

10. If our sole officer and director resigns or dies without having found a replacement, our operations will be suspended or cease.

We have only one officer and director. We are entirely dependent upon him to conduct our operations. If he should resign or die there will be no one to run us. Further, we do not have key man insurance. If that should occur, until we find another person to run us, our operations will be suspended or cease entirely.

11. We are a penny stock.

Our common stock is defined as a "penny stock" under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and its rules. Because we are a penny stock, you may be unable to resell our shares. Also, the Exchange Act and the penny stock rules impose additional sales practice and disclosure requirements on broker/dealers who sell our securities to persons other than certain accredited investors. As a result, fewer broker/dealers are willing to make a market in our stock and it may affect the level of news coverage you receive.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our business office is located at Tuuletee 18, Tabasalu PK, Harjumaa, Estonia 76901.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are currently not involved in any litigation that we believe could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. There is no action, suit, proceeding, inquiry or investigation before or by any court, public board, government agency, self-regulatory organization or body pending or, to the knowledge of the executive officers of our company or any of our subsidiaries, threatened against or affecting our company, our common stock, any of our subsidiaries or of our companies or our subsidiaries' officers or directors in their capacities as such, in which an adverse decision could have a material adverse effect.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

During the fourth quarter, there were no matters submitted to a vote of our shareholders.

- 14 -

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Edgar Filing: ARDENT MINES LTD - Form 10-K

Our common stock began quotation on the Bulletin Board operated by the National Association of Securities Dealers on September 3, 2004, and is currently quoted under the symbol "ADNT." The following sets forth the high and low bid quotations for the common stock as reported on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for each quarter since July 1, 2004. These quotations reflect prices between dealers do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns, and commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Fiscal Year	High Bid	Low Bid
2009		
Fourth Quarter 04-1-09 to 06-30-09	.08	.08
Third Quarter 01-1-09 to 03-31-09	.12	.08
Second Quarter 10-1-08 to 12-31-08	.30	.12
First Quarter 07-1-08 to 09-30-08	.80	.15

Fiscal Year	High Bid	Low Bid
2008		
Fourth Quarter 04-1-08 to 6-30-08	\$.96	\$.16
Third Quarter 01-1-08 to 03-31-08	\$.40	\$.20
Second Quarter 10-1-07 to 12-31-07	\$.51	\$.13
First Quarter 07-1-07 to 09-30-07	\$.25	\$.18

All of the 14,257,650 shares of common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2009 may be resold by the shareholders subject only to compliance with the restrictions, if any, imposed by Rule 144.

At June 30, 2009, there were 26 holders of record.

Dividends

We have not declared any cash dividends, nor do we intend to do so. We are not subject to any legal restrictions respecting the payment of dividends, except that they may not be paid to render us insolvent. Dividend policy will be based on our cash resources and needs and it is anticipated that all available cash will be needed for our operations in the foreseeable future.

Section Rule 15(g

) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Our company's shares are issued under Section 15(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended that imposes additional sales practice requirements on broker/dealers who sell such securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouses). For transactions covered by the Rule, the broker/dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchase and have received the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction prior to the sale. Consequently, the Rule may affect the

ability of broker/dealers to sell our securities and also may affect your ability to sell your shares in the secondary market.

Section 15(g) also imposes additional sales practice requirements on broker/dealers who sell penny securities. These rules require a one page summary of certain essential items. The items include the risk of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary marketing; terms important to in understanding of the function of the penny stock market, such as "bid" and "offer" quotes, a dealers "spread" and broker/dealer compensation; the broker/dealer compensation, the broker/dealers duties to its customers, including the disclosures required by any other penny stock disclosure rules; the customers rights and remedies in causes of fraud in penny stock transactions; and, the FINRA's toll free telephone number and the central number of the North American Administrators Association, for information on the disciplinary history of broker/dealers and their associated persons.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

We do not have any equity compensation plans and accordingly we have no securities authorized for issuance thereunder.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and are not required to provide the information required under this item.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL AND RESULT OF OPERATIONS.

This section of this annual report includes a number of forward-looking statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. Forward-looking statements are often identified by words like: believe, expect, estimate, anticipate, intend, project and similar expressions, or words which, by their nature, refer to future events. You should not place undue certainty on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of our report. These forward-looking states are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or our predictions. We are a shell company and have not yet generated or realized any revenues.

Business

From Inception on July 27, 2000 to December 11, 2006

In August 2000, we acquired one mineral property containing eight mining claims in British Columbia, Canada by arranging the staking of the same through James Thom, a non affiliated third party. The property was located on Copperkettle Creek, approximately three miles upstream from its confluence with Kettle Creek. It was on the eastern slope of Beaverdale Range of the Monashee Mountains. The claims were located approximately thirty miles east of the town of Pentiction, British Columbia. Each claim was 500 meters by 500 meters or 25 hectares. Canadian jurisdictions allow a

mineral explorer to claim a portion of available Crown lands as its exclusive area for exploration by depositing posts or other visible markers to indicate a claimed area. The process of posting the area was known as staking. Mr. Anderson, our former president, paid Mr. Thom \$1,282 to stake the claims. The claims were recorded in Mr. Thom's name to avoid paying additional fees, and he has provided the company with a signed and executed Bill of Sale in our favor.

Part of the first phase exploration program was completed on the Sun #100 - Sun #800 claims during the period from August 14 to August 24, 2004. The program consisted of the emplacement of a survey control grid, the collection of soil & rock samples, prospecting and geological mapping and was completed by Gerard Gallissant, B.A., and a field assistant. We did not find an ore body and the claims expired by operation of law on August 26, 2006. From then until December 11, 2006, we owned no property or the right to conduct exploration activities on any property.

From August 26, 2006 to December 11, 2006, we did not conduct any operations. During that period, we intended to identify an acquisition or merger candidate with ongoing operations in any field, however in December, 2006, we decided to acquire the right to explore a new property in British Columbia and returned to the business of mineral exploration.

Current Business - After December 11, 2006

We are a development exploration stage corporation and have not yet generated or realized any revenues from our business operations.

Our auditors have issued a going concern opinion. This means that there is substantial doubt that we can continue as an on-going business for the next twelve months unless we obtain additional capital to pay our bills. This is because we have not generated any revenues and no revenues are anticipated until we begin removing and selling minerals. There is no assurance we will ever reach this point. Accordingly, we must raise cash from sources other than the sale of minerals found on the property. Our only other source for cash at this time is investments by others. We must raise cash to implement our project and stay in business.

In December 2006, Taras Chebountchak, our former president and a member of the board of directors acquired one mining claim containing eleven cells in British Columbia, Canada from Lloyd C. Brewer by paying Mr. Brewer \$7,500. Mr. Brewer is a geologist and a non affiliated third party. No additional payments were made or are due to Mr. Brewer for his services. A claim is a grant from the Canadian Crown of the available land within the cells to the holder to remove and sell minerals. A cell is an area which appears electronically on the British Columbia Internet Minerals Titles Online Grid. The online grid is the geographical basis for the cell. Mr. Brewer is a self-employed contract staker, field worker and professional geologist residing in British Columbia.

Under British Columbia law title to British Columbia mining cells can only be held by British Columbia residents. In the case of corporations, title must be held by a British Columbia corporation. In order to comply with the law we would have to incorporate a British Columbia wholly owned subsidiary corporation and obtain audited financial statements. We believe those costs would be a waste of our money at this time.

The cells were recorded in Mr. Chebountchak's name to avoid incurring additional costs at this time. The additional fees would be for incorporation of a British Columbia corporation and legal and accounting fees related to the incorporation. On January 2, 2007, Mr. Chebountchak executed a declaration of trust acknowledging that he holds the property in trust for us and he will not deal with the property in any way, except to transfer the property to us. In the event that Mr. Chebountchak transfers title to a third party, the declaration of trust will be used as evidence that he breached his fiduciary duty to us. Mr. Chebountchak has not provided us with a signed or executed bill of sale in our favor.

To date we have not performed any work on the property. We are presently in the exploration stage and we cannot guarantee that a commercially viable mineral deposit, a reserve, exists in the property until further exploration is done and a comprehensive evaluation concludes economic and legal feasibility.

We will be conducting research in the form of exploration of the property. Our exploration program is explained in as much detail as possible. We are not going to buy or sell any plant or significant equipment during the next twelve months.

The property is undeveloped raw land. Exploration will not begin until spring of 2009. To our knowledge, the property has never been mined. The only event that has occurred is the acquisition of the property from Mr. Brewer, registering the property in the name of Mr. Chebountchak, and a physical examination of the property by Mr. Brewer.

Mineralized material is a mineralized body, which has been delineated by appropriate spaced drilling or underground sampling to support sufficient tonnage and average grade of metals to justify removal before minerals retrieval can begin, we must explore for and find mineralized material. After that has occurred we have to determine if it is economically feasible to remove the mineralized material. Economically feasible means that the costs associated with the removal of the mineralized material will not exceed the price at which we can sell the mineralized material. We can predict what that will be until we find mineralized material.

We do not know if we will find mineralized material. We believe that activities occurring on adjoining properties are not material to our activities. The reason is that what ever is located under adjoining property may or may not be located under the property.

We do not claim to have any minerals or reserves whatsoever at this time on any of the property. We intend to implement an exploration program which consists of core sampling. Core sampling is the process of drilling holes to a depth of up to 100 feet in order to extract samples of earth. Mr. Turu, after confirming with our consultant, will determine where drilling will occur on the property. Mr. Turu will not receive fees for his services.

The samples will be tested to determine if mineralized material is located on the property. Based upon the tests of the core samples, we will determine if we will terminate operations; proceed with additional exploration of the property; or develop the property. The proceeds from our private placement are designed to only fund the costs of core sampling and testing. We intend to take our core samples to analytical chemists, geochemists and registered assayers located in British Columbia. We have not selected any of the foregoing as of the date of this report.

We estimate the cost of drilling will be \$20 per foot drilled. We intend to drill approximately 1,000 linear feet or 10 holes to depth of 100 feet. We estimate that it will take one month to drill 10 holes to a depth of 100 feet each. We will pay a consultant \$5,000 for his services to supervise the exploration. The total cost for analyzing the core samples will be \$3,000. We will begin exploration in the Spring of 2010, weather permitting.

In the event that we find mineralized material and the mineralized material can be economically extracted, we will form a wholly owned British Columbia subsidiary corporation and Mr. Chebountchak will convey title to the property to the wholly owned subsidiary corporation. Should Mr. Chebountchak transfer title to another person and that deed is recorded before we record our documents, that other person will have superior title and we will have none. If that event occurs, we will have to cease or suspend operations. However, Mr. Chebountchak will be liable to us for monetary damages for breaching the terms of his oral agreement with us to transfer his title to a subsidiary corporation we create. To date we have not performed any work on the property. All Canadian lands and minerals which have not been granted to private persons are owned by either the federal or provincial governments in the name of Her Majesty Elizabeth II. Ungranted minerals are commonly known as Crown minerals. Ownership rights to Crown minerals are vested by the Canadian Constitution in the province where the minerals are located. In the case of the Company property, that is the province of British Columbia.

We do not intend to sell interests to other companies in the property if we find mineralized materials. We intend to try to develop the reserves ourselves through the use of consultants. We have no plans to interest other companies in the property if we find mineralized material. To pay the consultant and develop the reserves, we will have to raise additional funds through a second public offering, a private placement or through loans. As of the date of this report, we have no plans to raise additional funds. Further, there is no assurance we will be able to raise any additional funds even if we discover mineralized material and a have a defined ore body.

We do not intend to hire additional employees at this time. All of the work on the property will be conduct by unaffiliated independent contractors that we will hire. The independent contractors will be responsible for surveying, geology, engineering, exploration, and excavation. The geologists will evaluate the information derived from the exploration and excavation and the engineers will advise us on the economic feasibility of removing the mineralized material.

On April 30, 2009, the Company decided not to renew the Gold Ridge or WGR claims due to a lack of capital. The Company instead plans to solely focus on developing the GRN claim.

Property

The property lies one mile north of the town of Beaverdell and approximately 180 miles east of Vancouver, British Columbia. The property is located at the southern flank of King Solomon Mountain, within the Kettle River Valley on the nose of an outcrop ridge that is formed at the junction of Beaverdell Creek with the West Kettle River. The following is a list of tenure numbers, cells, date of recording and expiration date of the cells:

- 19 -

Tenure No.	Document Description	Date of Recording	Date of Expiration
509278	GRN	March 19, 2005	October 15, 2009

Our property is recorded in Mr. Chebountchak's name. In order to maintain our property we must pay a fee of CND\$100 per year per cell.

The property is unencumbered and there are no competitive conditions which affect the property. Further, there is no insurance covering the property and we believe that no insurance is necessary since the property is unimproved and contains no buildings or improvements.

There are no native land claims that affect title to the property. We have no plans to try to interest other companies in the property if mineralization is found. If mineralization is found, we will try to develop the property ourselves.

Limited Operating History; Need for Additional Capital

There is no historical financial information about us upon which to base an evaluation of our performance. We are an exploration stage corporation and have not generated any revenues from operations.

To become profitable and competitive, we have to conduct exploration on the property and find mineralized material. We will be seeking equity financing to provide for the capital required to implement our research and exploration phases. On July 27, 2007 we completed our private placement. We raised \$82,432 by selling 8,243,200 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.01 per share to twelve shareholders. The proceeds of the offering have been used to sustain operations until the date of this Annual Report. As of the date hereof we have no additional capital to sustain operations.

We have no assurance that future financing will be available to us on acceptable terms. If financing is not available on satisfactory terms, we may be unable to continue, develop or expand our operations. Equity financing could result in additional dilution to existing shareholders.

Results of Operations

From Inception on July 27, 2000 to June 30, 2009

We acquired the right to prospect on one property containing eight claims. Part of the first phase exploration program was completed on the Sun #100 - Sun #800 claims during the period of August 14th to August 24th, 2004. The program consisted of the emplacement of a survey control grid, the collection of soil & rock samples, prospecting and geological mapping and was completed by Gerard Gallissant, B.A., and a field assistant. We did not find an ore body and the claims expired by operation of law. Accordingly, we own no property or the right to conduct exploration activities on any property.

- 20 -

On December 12, 2006, we acquired the right to prospect on three properties containing eleven claims. We are in the process of implementing our mining exploration program as discussed in detail in the business section of our public offering.

On April 30, 2009, the Company decided not to renew the Gold Ridge or WGR claims due to a lack of capital. The Company instead plans to solely focus on developing the GRN claim.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of the date of this report, we have yet to generate any revenues from our business operations.

Edgar Filing: ARDENT MINES LTD - Form 10-K

We issued 8,243,200 shares through a private placement for a total of \$82,432. The shares were issued pursuant to Regulation S of the Securities Act of 1933 to twelve investors.

As of June 30, 2009, our total assets were \$494 and our total liabilities were \$45,909.

Recent accounting pronouncements

Certain accounting pronouncements have been issued by the FASB and other standard setting organizations which are not yet effective and have not yet been adopted by the Company. The impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations from adoption of these standards is not expected to be material.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and are not required to provide the information required under this item.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

	<u>Index</u>
Report of Independent Registered Accounting Firm	F-1
Balance Sheets	F-2
Statements of Expenses	F-3
Statements of Stockholders' Deficit	F-4
Statements of Cash Flows	F-5
Notes to Financial Statements	F-6

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors
Ardent Mines Limited
(An exploration stage company)

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Ardent Mines Limited ("Ardent Mines") as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of expenses, changes in stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and for the period from July 27, 2000 (inception) through June 30, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of Ardent Mines' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Ardent Mines is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Ardent Mines's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ardent Mines as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from July 27, 2000 (inception) through June 30, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that Ardent Mines will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, Ardent Mines has suffered recurring losses from operations and has negative working capital, which raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters are described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

MALONE & BAILEY, PC

www.malone-bailey.com
Houston, Texas

October 6, 2009

F-1

- 22 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED

(An Exploration Stage Company)

BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 494	\$ -
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Assets	\$ 494	\$ -

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

Current Liabilities

Accounts payable	\$ 45,409	\$ 3,126
Due to related party	500	16,129
	<u>45,909</u>	<u>19,255</u>
Total Current Liabilities	45,909	19,255

STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

Common stock, \$0.00001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 14,257,650 shares issued and outstanding	142	142
Additional paid-in capital	460,025	460,025
Deficit accumulated during exploration stage	(505,582)	(479,422)
	<u>(45,415)</u>	<u>(19,255)</u>
Total Stockholders' Deficit	(45,415)	(19,255)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	<u>\$ 494</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements

F-2

- 23 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED

(An Exploration Stage Company)
STATEMENTS OF EXPENSES

For the Year Ended June 30, 2009	For the Year Ended June 30, 2008	From July 27, 2000 (inception) through June 30, 2009
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Operating expenses:			
Consulting fees	\$ 9,795	\$ 20,184	\$ 303,027
Filing and incorporation fees	-	-	3,053
Other general and administrative	1,362	1,557	37,580
Legal and accounting	15,003	25,429	136,505
Mining exploration	-	-	14,588
Travel	-	-	9,539
	<u>26,160</u>	<u>47,170</u>	<u>504,292</u>
Total operating expenses			
Interest expense	-	-	1,290
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,290</u>
NET LOSS	\$ (26,160)	\$ (47,170)	\$ (505,582)
	<u>(26,160)</u>	<u>(47,170)</u>	<u>(505,582)</u>
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)	
	<u>(0.00)</u>	<u>(0.00)</u>	
Weighted average shares outstanding	14,257,650	13,534,958	
	<u>14,257,650</u>	<u>13,534,958</u>	

See accompanying notes to financial statements
F-3

- 24 -

ARDENT MINES LTD

(An Exploration Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

For the period from July 27, 2000 (Inception) Through June 30, 2009

	Common Stock		Additional	Deficit	
	Shares	\$	Paid-in	accumulated	Total
			Capital	during	
				exploration	
				Stage	
Shares issued for services	5,000,000	\$ 50	\$ 274,950	\$ -	\$ 275,000
Net Loss	-	-	-	(288,255)	(288,255)
Balances at June 30, 2001	5,000,000	50	274,950	(288,255)	(13,255)
Net Loss	-	-	-	(9,982)	(9,982)
Balance at June 30, 2002	5,000,000	50	274,950	(298,237)	(23,237)
Net Loss	-	-	-	(1,719)	(1,719)
Balance at June 30, 2003	5,000,000	50	274,950	(299,956)	(24,956)
Shares issued for cash	1,014,450	10	101,435	-	101,445
Net Loss	-	-	-	(62,793)	(62,793)
Balance at June 30, 2004	6,014,450	60	376,385	(362,749)	13,696
Net loss	-	-	-	(16,740)	(16,740)
Balance at June 30, 2005	6,014,450	60	376,385	(379,489)	(3,044)
Net loss	-	-	-	(12,464)	(12,464)
Balance at June 30, 2006	6,014,450	60	376,385	(391,953)	(15,508)
	-	-	1,290	-	1,290

Imputed interest on related party payable

Net loss	-	-	-	(40,299)	(40,299)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at	6,014,450	60	377,675	(432,252)	(54,517)
June 30, 2007					
Shares issued for cash	8,243,200	82	82,350	-	82,432
Net loss	-	-	-	(47,170)	(47,170)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at June 30, 2008	14,257,650	142	460,025	(479,422)	(19,255)
Net loss	-	-	-	(26,160)	(26,160)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at June 30, 2009	<u>14,257,650</u>	<u>\$ 142</u>	<u>\$ 460,025</u>	<u>\$ (505,582)</u>	<u>\$ (45,415)</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements
F-4

- 25 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED

(An Exploration Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Year Ended June 30, 2009	For the Year Ended June 30, 2008	From July 27, 2000 (inception) through June 30, 2009
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net loss	\$ (26,160)	\$ (47,170)	\$ (505,582)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities			
Imputed interest on related party payable	-	-	1,290
Stock issued for services	-	-	275,000
Change in accounts payable	26,154	(709)	29,280

Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(6)	(47,879)	(200,12)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Proceeds from sales of common stock	-	-	183,877
Advances from a related party	500	-	16,629
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities	500	-	200,506
Net Change in Cash	494	(47,879)	494
Cash - Beginning of Period	-	47,879	-
Cash - End of Period	\$ 494	\$ -	\$ 494
Supplemental Disclosures			
Interest paid	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Income tax paid	-	-	-

See accompanying notes to financial statements

F-5

- 26 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business.

Ardent Mines Limited (Ardent Mines) was incorporated in Nevada on July 27, 2000. Ardent Mines' principal business plan is to acquire, explore and develop mineral properties and to ultimately seek earnings by exploiting the mineral claims.

Ardent Mines has been in the exploration stage since its formation on July 27, 2000 and has not yet realized any revenues from its planned operations. It is primarily engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mining properties. Upon location of a commercial mineable reserve, Ardent Mines will actively prepare the site for

extraction and enter a development stage. At present, management devotes most of its activities to raise sufficient funds to further explore and develop its mineral properties. Planned principal activities have not yet begun.

Use of Estimates.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents.

Investments with an original maturity date of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents and are stated at cost.

Income Taxes.

Ardent Mines recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to be recovered. Ardent Mines provides a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets for which it does not consider realization of such assets to be more likely than not.

Exploration and Development Costs.

Ardent Mines has been in the exploration stage since its formation and has not yet realized any revenues from its planned operations. It is primarily engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mining properties. Mineral exploration costs are expensed as incurred. When it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed as a result of establishing proven and probable reserves, the costs incurred to develop such property, are capitalized. Such costs will be amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable reserve.

Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share.

Basic and diluted net loss per share calculations are presented in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Statement 128, and are calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. They include the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents in years with net income. Basic and diluted loss per share are the same due to the absence of common stock equivalents.

F-6

- 27 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncement.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*. FAS 165 sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for

potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which any entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. FAS 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and applies prospectively. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of Ardent Mines. Ardent Mines evaluated all events and transactions after June 30, 2009 up through October 6, 2009, the date these financial statements were issued. During this period, Ardent Mines did not have any material recognizable subsequent events. However, Ardent Mines did have a nonrecognizable subsequent event related to advances received from its president (see also Note 4).

Ardent Mines does not expect the adoption of any other recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on their results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

NOTE 2 - GOING CONCERN

From July 27, 2000 (date of inception) to June 30, 2009, Ardent Mines has incurred a loss of \$505,582 and has a negative working capital of \$45,415 at June 30, 2009. The ability of Ardent Mines to emerge from the exploration stage with respect to any planned principal business activity is dependent upon its successful efforts to raise additional equity financing and/or attain profitable mining operations. Management has plans to seek additional capital through a private placement and public offering of its common stock. There is no guarantee that Ardent Mines will be able to complete any of the above objectives. These factors raise substantial doubt regarding the Ardent Mines' ability to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 3 - MINERAL PROPERTIES

In September 2000, Ardent Mines acquired eight mining claims in the Greenwood Mining Division, British Columbia, Canada.

In August 2004, Ardent Mines acquired two additional mining claims in the Greenwood Mining Division, British Columbia, Canada.

Payments of these claims were paid by the shareholders and repaid by Ardent Mines in the form of stock. Ardent Mines has expensed this cost, as there is no evidence showing proven and probable reserves.

The claims expired on August 27, 2005 and were subject to a renewal fee of \$100 per year per claim.

F-7

- 28 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In December 2006, Ardent Mines acquired three mining claims for \$7,500 which are located in the Beaverdell area of the Greenwood Mining Division in British Columbia. The expiration date of the claims is October 15, 2009. In order to maintain the claims the Company must pay a fee of CDN\$100 per year, per claim. The claims are being held by the former President of the Company under a declaration of trust. Ardent Mines has expensed this cost, as there is no evidence showing proven and probable reserves.

On April 30, 2009, the Company decided not to renew the Gold Ridge or WGR claims due to a lack of capital. The Company instead plans to solely focus on developing the GRN claim.

NOTE 4 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As of June 30, 2009, Ardent Mines has a payable to Urmas Turu, president for \$500 that was used for payment of expenses on behalf of the Company. The amount has no terms of repayment, is unsecured, and bears no interest. Ardent Mines also leases its business office from Mr. Turu for free.

As of June 30, 2009, Christopher Wilson, the Company's former president, loaned Ardent Mines \$19,025 to cover operating expenses. As of June 30, 2009, this amount is reported as part of accounts payable since Christopher Wilson is no longer considered a related party.

As of June 30, 2008, the Company is indebted to its former president, Taras Chebountchak, in the amount of \$16,129 for payment of expenses on the Company's behalf. The amount has no terms of repayment, is unsecured, and bears no interest. As of June 30, 2009, this amount is reported as part of accounts payable since Taras Chebountchak is no longer considered a related party.

On August 11, 2009, Urmas Turu, the Company's president, loaned Ardent Mines \$5,490 to cover operating expenses. The amount has no terms of repayment, is unsecured, and bears no interest.

NOTE 5 - COMMON STOCK

A chronological history of Ardent Mines' stock transactions is as follows:

July 27, 2000 - Ardent Mines incorporated in Nevada. Ardent Mines is authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of its \$0.00001 par value common stock.

August 1, 2000 - Ardent Mines issued 2,500,000 shares of common stock to each of Ardent Mines' President and Secretary and Treasurer for services rendered. This was accounted for as compensation of \$273,048 and advances and reimbursement expenses of \$1,952.

February 8, 2002 - The former President of Ardent Mines sold his 2,500,000 shares to the new President and Chief Executive Officer of Ardent Mines.

F-8

- 29 -

ARDENT MINES LIMITED
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended June 30, 2004, Ardent Mines issued 1,014,450 shares of common stock at \$0.10 per share pursuant to an SB-2 Registration Statement.

Edgar Filing: ARDENT MINES LTD - Form 10-K

During the year ended June 30, 2007 a Registration S agreement was filed and \$82,432 was raised under the terms of this agreement at June 30, 2007. The shares were issued in August 2007.

On February 12, 2009, Urmaz Turu, Ardent Mine's sole officer and director, purchased 625,000 shares from four shareholders for \$1,250. After the transaction was completed, Mr. Turu owned 2,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock which is equal to 17.5% of the issued and outstanding common stock.

NOTE 7 - INCOME TAXES

The Company is subject to United States federal and state income taxes at an approximate rate of 35%. The reconciliation of the provision for income taxes at the United States federal statutory rate compared to the Company's income tax expense as reported is as follows:

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Net loss before income taxes per financial statements	\$ 26,160	\$ 47,170
Income tax rate	35%	35%
Income tax recovery	(9,156)	(16,510)
Permanent differences	-	-
Valuation allowance change	9,156	16,510
<hr/>		
Provision for income taxes	\$ -	\$ -
<hr/>		

The significant components of deferred income tax assets at June 30, 2009 are as follows:

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 177,100	\$ 168,000
Valuation allowance	(177,100)	(168,000)
<hr/>		
Net deferred income tax asset	\$ -	\$ -
<hr/>		

The Company has recognized a valuation allowance for the deferred income tax asset since the Company cannot be assured that it is more likely than not that such benefit will be utilized in future years. The valuation allowance is reviewed annually. When circumstances change and which cause a change in management's judgment about the realizability of deferred income tax assets, the impact of the change on the valuation allowance is generally reflected in current income.

The cumulative net operating loss carry-forward is approximately \$506,000 at June 30, 2009, and will expire in the years 2021 to 2029.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2009 covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as required under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009 using the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, the Company determined that there were control deficiencies that constituted material weaknesses, as described below.

1. We do not employ an Audit Committee - While not being legally obligated to have an audit committee, it is the Company's Management view that such a committee, including a financial expert member, is an utmost important entity-level control over the Company's financial statements. Currently the Board of Directors consists of a sole member who is not independent of management and lacks sufficient financial expertise for overseeing financial reporting responsibilities. As a result, no effective Audit Committee can be established until the number of directors is increased and qualified members appointed.

2. Dual Signatures of Checks- The Company's check signing authority is with its sole officer and director only. Management feels that the lack of dual signatures on checks can increase the likelihood of misappropriation of assets given the fact that there is only one authorized signer.

3. We did not maintain proper segregation of duties for the preparation of our financial statements - As of June 30, 2009, the majority of the preparation of financial statements was carried out by one person, who is an independent consultant to the Company. Additionally, the Company currently only has one officer/director having oversight on all transactions. This has resulted in several deficiencies including:

a)

Journal entries were prepared and approved by the same person, without being checked or approved by any other personnel within the Company. Additionally approval of certain material transactions was not documented as approved by the Company's Board of Directors.

b)

Lack of control over preparation of financial statements, and proper application of accounting policies.

Accordingly, the Company concluded that these control deficiencies resulted in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by the Company's internal controls.

As a result of the material weaknesses described above, management has concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009 based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

Malone & Bailey, PC, an independent registered public accounting firm, was not required to and has not issued a report concerning the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009.

Continuing Remediation Efforts to address deficiencies in Company's Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company is currently engaged in the review, documentation and remediation of its disclosure controls and procedures. Once the Company has sufficient personnel available, then our Board of Directors, in particular and in connection with the aforementioned deficiencies, will establish the following remediation measures:

1. Our Board of Directors will nominate an audit committee and audit committee financial expert.
2. We will appoint additional personnel to assist with the preparation of the Company's financial statements; which will allow for proper segregation of duties, as well as additional manpower for proper documentation.
3. Our Board of Directors will appoint a member of management to act as the secondary authorized signatory on the Company's bank account; to decrease the likelihood of misappropriation of the Company's assets.
4. We will establish policies to ensure that all significant transactions resulting in non- standard journal entries are reviewed and approved by the Company's Board of Directors and that approval be documented in the Company's corporate records.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT.

The name, age and position held by each of the directors and officers of our company are as follows:

Name and Address	Age	Position(s)
Christopher Wilson 9110 Irvine Center Drive Irvine, California 92618 (resigned)	48	President, Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and sole member of the Board of Directors.
Urmas Turu Tuuletee 18 Tabasalu PK Harjumaa, Estonia 76901	49	President, Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and sole member of the Board of Directors.

All directors have a term of office expiring at the next annual general meeting of our company, unless re-elected or earlier vacated in accordance with our Bylaws. All officers have a term of office lasting until their removal or replacement by the board of directors.

Background of Officers and Directors

On February 12, 2009, Mr. Urmas Turu was appointed president, principal accounting officer, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, secretary, treasurer.

Since 2004, Mr. Turu has been an investor in real estate, hospitality industry and public equities. Mr. Turu was then appointed our president, principal executive officer, secretary, treasurer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To our knowledge, during the past five years, our officers and directors: have not filed a petition under the federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law, nor had a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer appointed by a court for the business or present of such a person, or any partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two years

before the time of such filing, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer within two years before the time of such filing; were not convicted in a criminal proceeding or named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses); were not the subject of any order,

- 33 -

judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him from or otherwise limiting the following activities: (i) acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment advisor, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director of any investment company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity; (ii) engaging in any type of business practice; (iii) engaging in any activity in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of federal or state securities laws or federal commodity laws; were not the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any federal or state authority barring, suspending or otherwise limiting for more than 60 days the right of such person to engage in any activity described above under this Item, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity; were not found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Securities and Exchange Commission to have violated any federal or state securities law and the judgment in subsequently reversed, suspended or vacate; and were not found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated.

Compliance with Section 16 (a) of the Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock are required by Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish to us copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely upon review of the copies of such reports received or written representations from the reporting persons, we believe that during our 2009 fiscal year our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock filed all reports required by section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Audit Committee and Charter

Although we have adopted an audit committee charter, our board of directors consists of only one director and accordingly we have not created an effective audit committee. Our audit committee is comprised of all of our officers and directors. Further, none of directors are deemed independent. Our sole director also holds all of our executive officer positions. Our audit committee, when established, will be responsible for: (1) selection and oversight of our independent accountant; (2) establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls and auditing matters; (3) establishing procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding accounting and auditing matters; (4) engaging outside advisors; and, (5) funding for the outside auditory and any outside advisors engagement by the audit committee.

- 34 -

Audit Committee Financial Expert

We have no financial expert. We believe the cost related to retaining a financial expert at this time is prohibitive. Further, because of our start-up operations, we believe the services of a financial expert are not warranted.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a corporate code of ethics. We believe our code of ethics is reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct; provide full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in public reports; comply with applicable laws; ensure prompt internal reporting of code violations; and provide accountability for adherence to the code.

Disclosure Committee and Charter

We have a disclosure committee and disclosure committee charter. Our disclosure committee is comprised of all of our officers and directors. The purpose of the committee is to provide assistance to the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer in fulfilling their responsibilities regarding the identification and disclosure of material information about us and the accuracy, completeness and timeliness of our financial reports.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The following table sets forth information with respect to compensation paid by us to our officers during the last three completed fiscal years. Our fiscal year end is June 30.

Summary Compensation Table

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Name and Principal Position [1]	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value & Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Totals (\$)
Christopher Wilson President and Treasurer (resigned 02/12/09)	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Urmas Turu President and Treasurer	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

We paid no salaries in 2007, 2008 and 2009, and we do not anticipate paying any salaries at any time in 2009. We will not begin paying salaries until we have adequate funds to do so.

- 35 -

The following table sets forth information with respect to compensation paid by us to our directors during the last completed fiscal year. Our fiscal year end is June 30.

Director Compensation Table

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Christopher Wilson (resigned 02/12/09)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Urmas Turu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

All compensation received by the officers and directors has been disclosed.

There are no stock option, retirement, pension, or profit sharing plans for the benefit of our officers and directors.

Employment Contracts

We have no employment contracts with any of our officers.

Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards

We do not have any long-term incentive plans.

Compensation of Directors

We do not pay our directors any money and we have no plans to pay our directors any money in the future.

Indemnification

Under our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of the corporation, we may indemnify an officer or director who is made a party to any proceeding, including a law suit, because of his position, if he acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in our best interest. We may advance expenses incurred in defending a proceeding. To the extent that the officer or director is successful on the merits in a proceeding as to which he is to be indemnified, we must indemnify him against all expenses incurred, including attorney's fees. With respect to a derivative action, indemnity may be made only for expenses actually and reasonably incurred in defending the proceeding, and if the

officer or director is judged liable, only by a court order. The indemnification is intended to be to the fullest extent permitted by the laws of the State of Nevada.

- 36 -

Regarding indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, which may be permitted to directors or officers under Nevada law, we are informed that, in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, indemnification is against public policy, as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth, as of October 6, 2009, the beneficial shareholdings of persons or entities holding five percent or more of our common stock, each director individually, each named executive officer and all of our directors and officers as a group. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock shown, and all ownership is of record and beneficial.

Name and Address Beneficial Ownership [1][2]	Number of Shares	Percentage of Ownership
Urmaz Turu Tuuletee 18, Tabasalu PK, Harjumaa, Estonia 76901	2,500,000	17.5%
Corporate Resource Group, Inc. 124A 1030 Denman Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canada V6G 2M6	993,200	6.97%
Total	3,493,200	24.47%
Changes in Control		

To the knowledge of management, there are no present arrangements or pledges of our securities which may result in a change in our control of the company.

On June 7, 2008, Mr. Taras Chebountchak resigned as our sole officer and director and Mr. Christopher Wilson was appointed president and principal executive officer.

On February 12, 2009, Mr. Urmaz Turu was appointed president, principal accounting officer, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, secretary, treasurer.

Since 2004, Mr. Turu has been an investor in real estate, hospitality industry and public equities. Mr. Turu was then appointed our president, principal executive officer, secretary, treasurer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

In August 2000, we issued a total of 2,500,000 shares of restricted common stock to Reid Anderson, our former president and a member of the board of directors and 2,500,000 shares of restricted common stock to Taras Chebountchak, an officer and director of our company at the time. This was accounted for as a compensation expense of \$273,048 and advances and reimbursement expenses of \$1,952. On February 8, 2002, Mr. Anderson transferred his shares to Reg Handford, our former president and a member of the board of directors. On December 13, 2004, Reg Handford, the owner of 2,500,000 shares of common stock, transferred the same to Taras Chebountchak in consideration of US \$12,500. Prior the exchange, there were 6,014,450 shares of common stock outstanding and Mr. Handford and Mr. Chebountchak each owned a total of 2,500,000 shares of common stock or 41.57% of the total shares of common stock outstanding. After Mr. Handford transferred his shares to Mr. Chebountchak, Mr. Handford owned no shares and Mr. Chebountchak owned 5,000,000 shares of our common stock or 83.13% of the total outstanding shares. On December 16, 2004, Mr. Handford resigned as an officer and director and Mr. Chebountchak was appointed president and principal executive officer of the Company.

Mr. Anderson also caused our property, comprised of eight claims, to be staked at a cost of \$1,282. The claims were staked by James Thom for \$1,282. The terms of the transaction with Mr. Thom were at arm's length and Mr. Thom was not an affiliate.

On August 12, 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission declared our Form SB-2 Registration Statement effective, file number was 333-50994, permitting us to offer up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.10 per share.

We did not have enough funds to implement our mineral exploration program on our mining claims and we sought additional funding. On July 27, 2007, we completed a private placement offering by issuing 8,243,200 shares and raising \$82,432. We have used these proceeds in full to sustain operations until the date of this report.

On February 12, 2009, Urmas Turu, our sole officer and director, purchased 625,000 shares from four shareholders for \$1,250, totaling \$5,000. After the transaction was completed, Mr. Turu owns 2,500,000 shares of our common stock which is equal to 17.5% of our issued and outstanding common stock. The shares of common stock were purchased using personal funds.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Audit fees

The aggregate fees billed for the two most recently completed fiscal periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 for professional services rendered by Malone & Bailey, PC, registered public accountants, for the audit of our annual financial statements, quarterly reviews of our interim financial statements and services normally provided by the independent accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for these fiscal periods were as follows:

	Year Ended	Year Ended
	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Audit Fees	\$11,500	\$12,500
Audit Related Fees	-	-
Tax Fees	-	-
All Other Fees	-	-
Total	\$11,500	\$12,500

In the above table, "audit fees" are fees billed by our company's external auditor for services provided in auditing our company's annual financial statements for the subject year along with reviews of interim quarterly financial statements and involvement with various in arrears filing earlier in 2009. "Audit-related fees" are fees not included in audit fees that are billed by the auditor for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit review of our company's financial statements. "Tax fees" are fees billed by the auditor for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. "All other fees" are fees billed by the auditor for products and services not included in the foregoing categories.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Effective May 6, 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules that require that before our auditor is engaged by us to render any auditing or permitted non-audit related service, the engagement be:

-approved by our audit committee; or

-entered into pursuant to pre-approval policies and procedures established by the audit committee, provided the policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service, the audit committee is informed of each service, and such policies and procedures do not include delegation of the audit committee's responsibilities to management.

We do not have an independent audit committee. Our entire board of directors pre-approves all services provided by our independent auditors.

The pre-approval process has just been implemented in response to the new rules. Therefore, our board of directors does not have records of what percentage of the above fees were pre-approved. However, all of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the entire board of directors either before or after the respective services were rendered.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

The following Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference. Such exhibits are incorporated herein by reference pursuant to Rule 12b-32:

Exhibit	Document Description	Incorporated by reference			Filed herewith
		Form	Date	Number	
3.1	Articles of Incorporation	SB-2	11/30/00	3.1	
3.2	Bylaws	SB-2	11/30/00	3.2	
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate	SB-2	11/30/00	4.1	
10.1	Trust Agreement between Taras Chebountchak and Ardent Mines Limited	8-K	01/07/07	10.1	
10.2	Consulting Agreement between Ardent Mines Limited and Natasha Lysiak, Independent Consultant	10-KSB	09/28/07		
14.1	Code of Ethics	10-KSB	10/14/03	14.1	
16.1	Letter from Williams & Webster, P.S., Certified Public Accountants	SB-2	03/24/03	16.1	
16.2	Letter from Manning Elliott, Chartered Accountants	SB-2	03/24/03	16.2	
16.3	Letter from Morgan & Company	SB-2	08/08/03	16.3	
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	10-K			X
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer)	10-K			X
99.2	Audit Committee Charter	10-KSB	10/14/03	99.1	
99.3	Disclosure Committee Charter	10-KSB	10/14/03	99.2	
99.4	Agreement and Release between Ardent Mines Limited, Taras Chebountchak and Reg Handford	8-K	12/22/04	99.1	
99.5	Resignation of Reg Handford	8-K	12/22/04	99.2	

- 40 -

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following person on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities on this 6th day of October, 2009 at Harjumaa, Estonia.

ARDENT MINES LIMITED
(Registrant)

BY: URMAS TURU
Urmaz Turu,

President, Principal Executive Officer,
Principal Accounting Officer, Principal
Financial Officer, Secretary/Treasurer, and sole
member of the Board of Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following person on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities.

Signature	Title	Date
<u>URMAS TURU</u>	President, Principal Executive Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Secretary/Treasurer, and sole member of the Board of Director	October 6, 2009
Urmaz Turu		

- 41 -

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Document Description	Incorporated by reference			Filed herewith
		Form	Date	Number	
3.1	Articles of Incorporation	SB-2	11/30/00	3.1	
3.2	Bylaws	SB-2	11/30/00	3.2	
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate	SB-2	11/30/00	4.1	
10.1	Trust Agreement between Taras Chebountchak and Ardent Mines Limited	8-K	01/07/07	10.1	
10.2	Consulting Agreement between Ardent Mines Limited and Natasha Lysiak, Independent Consultant	10-KSB	09/28/07		

Edgar Filing: ARDENT MINES LTD - Form 10-K

14.1	Code of Ethics	10-KSB	10/14/03	14.1	
16.1	Letter from Williams & Webster, P.S., Certified Public Accountants	SB-2	03/24/03	16.1	
16.2	Letter from Manning Elliott, Chartered Accountants	SB-2	03/24/03	16.2	
16.3	Letter from Morgan & Company	SB-2	08/08/03	16.3	
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	10-K			X
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Office and Chief Financial Officer)	10-K			X
99.2	Audit Committee Charter	10-KSB	10/14/03	99.1	
99.3	Disclosure Committee Charter	10-KSB	10/14/03	99.2	
99.4	Agreement and Release between Ardent Mines Limited, Taras Chebountchak and Reg Handford	8-K	12/22/04	99.1	
99.5	Resignation of Reg Handford	8-K	12/22/04	99.2	