CONAGRA BRANDS INC. Form 8-K July 25, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO

SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): July 19, 2017

Conagra Brands, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) 1-7275 (Commission File Number) 47-0248710 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Suite 1300

Chicago, Illinois (Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(312) 549-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c)) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Item 5.02. Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers.

On July 19, 2017 (the *Effective Date*), Conagra Brands, Inc. (formerly known as ConAgra Foods, Inc.) (the *Company*) adopted the First Amendment (the *First Amendment*) to the ConAgra Foods, Inc. 2008 Performance Share Plan (as amended by the First Amendment, the *Amended Plan*). Except as described herein, the terms of the Amended Plan (which will now be known as the Conagra Brands, Inc. 2008 Performance Share Plan) are materially consistent with the terms of the ConAgra Foods, Inc. 2008 Performance Share Plan filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K on July 18, 2008. The key changes implemented by the First Amendment are described in the paragraphs that follow.

Awards granted on or after the Effective Date (*New Awards*) will be subject to vesting on death, disability, retirement and certain other involuntary terminations as follows:

If a participant dies, such participant s New Awards will vest in full at the target level.

If a participant s employment is terminated due to disability (as defined in the Amended Plan), such participant s New Awards will vest at the target level, pro-rated based on days of service completed during the performance period.

If a participant s employment is terminated due to normal retirement or early retirement (as each term is defined in the Amended Plan), such participant s New Awards will vest based on actual performance for the full performance period (but, in the case of early retirement, the award will be pro-rated based on days of service during the performance period).

If a participant experiences an involuntary termination of employment that results in severance or supplemental unemployment payments from the Company, such participant s New Awards will vest based on actual performance for the full performance period, pro-rated based on days of service completed during the performance period.

For all New Awards, as well as any performance share awards outstanding as of the Effective Date, in the event of a change of control of the Company (as defined in the Amended Plan), the earned portion of a participant s award will be determined as of the change of control, using a share valuation methodology further described in the Amended Plan and based on the greater of target performance and actual performance through the end of the Company s fiscal period that ends immediately prior to the change of control (the *Change of Control Value*). If no replacement award meeting the requirements set forth in the Amended Plan is provided, a participant will vest in a cash payment equal to the Change of Control Value. If a qualifying replacement award is provided, it will generally take the form of a time-based, stock-settled award with a value equal to the Change of Control Value and will generally vest, subject to continued employment, at the end of the performance period applicable to the original performance share award. Following a change of control, a replacement award will also vest in full if the participant dies or, within two years of the change of control, becomes retirement eligible (only for awards granted prior to the Effective Date) or terminates employment due to normal or early retirement (only for awards granted on or after the Effective Date), is terminated without cause (as defined in the Amended Plan) or resigns for good reason (as defined in the Amended Plan), or is terminated due to disability.

The description set forth above relating to the First Amendment does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the First Amendment, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 10.1 hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	First Amendment to ConAgra Foods, Inc. 2008 Performance Share Plan

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

CONAGRA BRANDS, INC.

By: /s/ Colleen Batcheler Name: Colleen Batcheler

Title: Executive Vice President, General Counsel and

Corporate Secretary

Date: July 25, 2017

-3-

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number Des	cription
10.1 First Amendment to ConAgra Foods, Inc. 2008 P	erformance Share Plan
-4-	
T: -18pt; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0pt" align="left">Item 2.	
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition a	and Results of Operations 13
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	22
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	22
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	24
Item 1A. Risk Factors	28
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	28
Item 3. <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	28
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	28
Item 5. Other Information	28

Item 6. Exhibits

28

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements
FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

Assets	June (Una	30, 2014 udited)	Dec	ember 31, 2013
Current assets:	\$	20.550	¢	24.500
Cash and cash equivalents	Э	20,559	\$	24,598
Accounts receivable, net Inventories		254 80		50 34
		239		150
Prepaid expenses and other current assets Total current assets		21,132		24,832
		862		953
Property and equipment, net				
Intangible assets, net Goodwill		1,192 306		1,333 306
Investments		1,000		500
Other non-current assets		23		23
Total Assets	\$	24,515	\$	27,947
Total Assets	Ф	24,313	φ	21,941
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	1,030	\$	495
Accounts payable - related parties		13		15
Accrued expenses		957		336
Accrued income taxes		4		4
Other current liabilities		15		35
Total current liabilities		2,019		885
Deferred tax liabilities		35		39
Total Liabilities	\$	2,054	\$	924
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders' Equity				
Preferred stock - \$0.0001 par value; 10,000,000 shares				
authorized; no shares issued and outstanding				
at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2013		-		-
Common stock - \$0.0001 par value; 1,000,000,000 shares				
authorized (See Note 9); 22,402,953 and 22,368,453 shares				
issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31,				
2013		2		2
Additional paid-in capital		22,237		21,546

Retained earnings	222	5,475
Total Stockholders' Equity	22,461	27,023
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 24,515	\$ 27,947

See accompanying Notes to these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

	F	For the Thre 2014	e Montl	hs End	ded June 30, 2013	,	For the Six 2014	Month	s Ende	ed June 30, 2013	
Revenues	\$	636		\$	198		\$ 810		\$	198	
Cost of revenues	Ċ	332			148		466		·	148	
Gross profit		304			50		344			50	
Operating Expenses:											
Selling, general and											
administrative		3,564			1,493		6,687			2,339	
Transaction costs		-			790		-			790	
Total operating expenses		3,564			2,283		6,687			3,129	
Loss from operations		(3,260)		(2,233)	(6,343)		(3,079)
Other Income					1.000		1.000			1.000	
Gain on settlement		-			1,000		1,000			1,000	
Other income		5			17		17			17	
Interest income		6			31		74			111	
Total other income		11			1,048		1,091			1,128	
Loss before provision											
for income taxes		(3,249)		(1,185)	(5,252)		(1,951)
D :: 6 :		(2	,		7		1			7	
Provision for income taxes		(2)		7		1			7	
Net Loss	\$	(3,247)	\$	(1,192)	\$ (5,253)	\$	(1,958)
		,			,		,			,	
Net Loss Per Share:											
Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.06)	\$ (0.23)	\$	(0.09)
Weighted Average Number of											
Common Shares											
Outstanding:											
Basic and Diluted		22,378,64	6		21,093,38	4	22,373,57	78		20,843,37	19

See accompanying Notes to these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

Six Months Ended June 30,

	2014		(2013 Unaudited)	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities					
Net loss	\$ (5,253)	\$	(1,958)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash					
used in operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	253			37	
Stock-based compensation expense	618			465	
Deferred tax liability	(4)		-	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Accounts receivable	(204)		(112)
Inventories	(46)		(16)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(89)		(108)
Other assets	-			(23)
Accrued expenses	622			(521)
Accounts payable	535			(1,891)
Accounts payable - related parties	(2)		-	
Other current liabilities	(20)		-	
Accrued income taxes	-			(25,318)
Total Adjustments	1,663			(27,487)
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(3,590)		(29,445)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities					
Investment in limited partnership venture					
capital fund	(500)		-	
Cash acquired through merger with Converted	·	·			
Organics	-			63	
Proceeds of notes receivable acquired through					
merger with Converted Organics	-			517	
Purchases of property and equipment	(19)		(10)
1 1 7 1 1	,	ĺ			
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Investing					
Activities	(519)		570	
	· ·	ĺ			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities					
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	70			_	
Repayment of loan from Former Parent	-			(33,943)
Repurchase of common stock	-			(204)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	70			(34,147)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(4,039)		(63,022)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning	24,598	,		91,545	,
Cash and Cash Equivalence Desiming	21,570			71,575	

Edgar Filing: CONAGRA BRANDS INC. - Form 8-K

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Ending	\$ 20,559	\$ 28,523
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Income Taxes	\$ _	\$ 25,325
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Purchase of Property and Equipment	\$ 2	\$ -
Dividend of investments to Parent	\$ -	\$ 12,784

3

See accompanying Notes to these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 – THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Finjan Holdings, Inc. (the "Company", or "Finjan Holdings"), a Delaware corporation (formerly Converted Organics, Inc.), has two reportable business segments: a web and network security technology segment focused on licensing and enforcing its technology patent portfolio, operated by its wholly-owned subsidiary Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan"), and an organic fertilizer segment operated by another wholly-owned subsidiary, Converted Organics of California, LLC ("Converted Organics").

On June 3, 2013, Converted Organics, Inc. entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with Finjan. Effective June 3, 2013 and pursuant to the Merger Agreement, a wholly owned subsidiary merged with and into Finjan and Finjan became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Converted Organics, Inc. (the "Merger"). The transaction was accounted for as a reverse acquisition under the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations, with Finjan being treated as the acquiring company in the Merger for accounting purposes. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities and the historical operations that are reflected in Finjan Holdings condensed consolidated financial statements are those of Finjan and are recorded at the historical cost basis of Finjan. The results of operations of the acquired Converted Organics business have been included in the condensed consolidated statement of operations since the date of Merger.

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, references to "Finjan Holdings," or "the Company" refer to Finjan Holdings, Inc., and its consolidated subsidiaries. Disclosures relating to the pre-merger business of Finjan Holdings, Inc., unless noted as being the business of Converted Organics prior to the Merger, pertain to the business of Finjan prior to the Merger.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared following the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or "SEC", for interim reporting. As permitted under those rules, certain footnotes and other financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") can be condensed or omitted. The information included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2013 which were included in the annual report on Form 10-K filed by the Company on March 14, 2014.

In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company and include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position and operating results. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the year ending December 31, 2014, for any other interim period or for any future period.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to stock-based compensation expense, impairment of intangible assets, the determination of the economic useful life of property and equipment and intangible assets, income taxes and valuation allowances against net deferred tax assets, and the application of the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Management bases its estimates on historical experience or on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

4

NOTE 1 – THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Finjan Holdings and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents in financial institutions located in the United States. At times, the Company's cash and cash equivalent balances may be uninsured or in deposit accounts that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, substantially all of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are uninsured.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, approximately 16% (Customer A), 20% (Customer B) and 33% (Customer C) of the revenues generated by the Company were from three customers compared to 22% (Customer D), 25% (Customer B) and 37% (Customer C) during the comparable period in 2013. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 approximately 13% (Customer A), 16% (Customer B) and 33% (Customer C) of the revenues generated by the Company were from three customers compared to 22% (Customer D), 25% (Customer B) and 37% (Customer C) during the comparable period in 2013. Accounts receivable from these customers was not material to the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic net loss per common share is based upon the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per common share is based on the weighted-average number of common share outstanding and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding.

Potentially dilutive common shares from employee equity plans and warrants are determined by applying the treasury stock method to the assumed exercise of warrants and share options and are excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive and consist of the following:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Options	1,497,032	1,625,476
Warrants*	_	_
Total	1,497,032	1,625,476

^{*}Warrants are currently exercisable for less than one share of common stock, and therefore anti-dilutive, as a result of the 1-for-10 reverse stock split that the Company effected on November 8, 2011, the 1-for-500 reverse stock split that the Company effected on March 5, 2012, the 1-for-500 reverse stock split that the Company effected on June 3, 2013 and the 1-for-12 reverse stock split the Company effected on August 22, 2013. The warrants are subject to further adjustments in the future, which may have the effect of increasing or decreasing the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 – THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET ADOPTED

On June 19, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments when the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. This update further clarifies that compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is expected not to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which amends the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 is based on principles that govern the recognition of revenue at an amount an entity expects to be entitled to when products and services are transferred to customers. ASU 2014-09 will be effective for the Company beginning in its first quarter of 2017. Early adoption is not permitted. The new revenue standard may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the new revenue standard on its consolidated financial statements.

Other recent accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements upon adoption.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has evaluated subsequent events or transactions occurring through the date on which the financial statements were issued. See Note 9.

NOTE 2 – PRO-FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

As described in Note 1, the Company completed the Merger on June 3, 2013. The following unaudited pro-forma information presents the combined results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30 2013 as if the Merger with Converted Organics, Inc. had been completed on January 1, 2013. The pro-forma financial information includes adjustments to reflect one-time charges and amortization of fair value adjustments in the appropriate pro-forma periods as though the companies were combined as of the beginning of 2013. These adjustments include:

- An increase in amortization and depreciation expense of \$75,000 and \$149,000 for the three and six months period ended June 30, 2013, respectively.
- The exclusion of transaction-related expenses of \$790,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively

The unaudited pro-forma results do not reflect operating efficiencies or potential cost savings which may have been implemented after the Merger.

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30		
	2013			2013		
		(In thousa	nds, exce	ept per s	share data)	
Revenue	\$	1,664		\$	2,065	
Net loss	\$	(943)	\$	(3,035)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	\$	0.00		\$	(0.01)
Weighted average shares outstanding, basic and diluted		21,093,3	84		20,843,37	79

NOTE 3 – BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS

INVENTORIES

The components of inventories were as follows:

	June 30	December 31, 2013		
		(In thousands)		
Raw materials	\$ 33	\$ 13		
Finished goods	47	21		
Inventories	\$ 80	\$ 34		

ACCRUED EXPENSES

The components of accrued expenses were as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
	(In th	ousands)
Legal	\$ 661	\$ 238
Compensation	193	78
Professional fees	103	20
Other Accrued expenses	\$ 957	\$ 336

NOTE 4 – COMMITMENTS

Operating Leases

The Company leases a production facility in California. Under the terms of the lease, the Company owes minimum annual rent of \$125,202, payable in monthly installments of \$10,433, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the lease. The annual rental rate is subject to increase on each annual anniversary of the commencement of the immediately preceding rental year by 3% of the rent paid during the immediately preceding year. This lease expires in 2018.

On September 9, 2013, the Company entered into a lease for its new corporate headquarters for a period of five years beginning October 1, 2013. Under the terms of the lease, the Company owes an initial annual rent of \$138,952, payable in monthly installments of \$11,579, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the lease. The annual rental rate is subject to an increase on a cumulative basis after the first lease year at the rate of 2.5% per annum compounded annually.

On March 20, 2014, the Company received the consent of the master landlord for a sublease agreement dated March 10, 2014, pursuant to which the Company subleased office space in Menlo Park, California through November 30, 2017. From the commencement date, the Company owes an initial annual rent of \$164,619, payable in equal monthly installments, unless earlier terminated by either party in accordance with the lease. The annual rental rate is subject to an approximately 3.0% increase at each anniversary of the commencement date during the term.

The following table sets forth the Company's aggregate future minimum payments under its operating lease commitments as of June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

Year ending December 31,	
2014 (remaining)	\$ 211
2015	445
2016	457
2017	448
2018	127
	\$ 1 688

The Company accounts for its leases under the straight-line method of accounting. Deferred rent payable was \$42,121 as of June 30, 2014. Deferred rent as of December 31, 2013 was not material.

Rent expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$110,072 and \$185,126, respectively, and \$26,960 and \$32,792 in the comparable periods in 2013.

Capital Commitments

On November 21, 2013, the Company made a \$5 million commitment to invest in an Israel-based limited partnership venture capital fund seeking to invest in early-stage cyber technology companies. If and when the Company funds the entire amount of the investment, it will be less than a 10% limited partnership interest in which the Company will not be able to exercise control over the fund. Accordingly, the Company has accounted for this investment under the cost method of accounting. During the quarter ended June 30, 2014, the fund made a capital call of \$0.5 million. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had a \$4 million outstanding capital commitment to the venture capital fund.

NOTE 5 – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company estimates the fair values of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, and 2013 the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model were as follows:

	Employee	e Non-E	mployee	
	Grants	ants		
	Three			
	and Six			
	Months			
	Ended Ended June 30,			
	June 30,			
	2013	2013	2014	
Weighted-average grant date fair value	\$1.44	\$0.12	\$1.44	
Weighted-average Black-Scholes option pricing model assumptions:				
Volatility	50.6%	50.6%	53.03%	
Expected term (in years)	6.0	10.0	10.0	
Risk-free rate	1.0 %	1.8 %	2.38 %	
Expected dividend yield	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	
Forfeiture rate	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	

The risk-free interest rate is the United States Treasury rate for the day of the grant having a term equal to the life of the equity instrument. The volatility is a measure of the amount by which the Company's share price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. Since the Company's common stock was not publicly traded at the time of certain grants to employees in 2013, an average of the historic volatility of comparative companies was used. The dividend yield is 0% as the Company has not made any dividend payment and does not anticipate paying a dividend in the near future. An increase or decrease in the risk free rate or volatility could increase or decrease the fair value of our equity instruments.

As of June 30, 2014, the remaining number of shares available for issuance under the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan was 611,360. Management does not anticipate any additional issuances under the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, no options were granted, 34,500 options were exercised for cash proceeds of approximately \$70,000 and 93,944 options forfeited. Approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million of stock-based compensation expense was recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. Approximately \$0.5 million of stock-based compensation expense was recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months period ended June 30,

2013. The stock-based compensation expense was related to the amortization of the value of the options granted to certain employees, consultants, and members of the Board of Directors (the "Board") after the Merger.

As of June 30, 2014, total compensation cost not yet recognized related to unvested stock options under the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan was \$0.9 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

NOTE 6 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the course of business, the Company obtains legal services from a firm in which the Company's executive chairman is a partner. The Company incurred approximately \$43,000 and \$81,000 in legal fees payable to the firm during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and approximately \$40,000 and \$90,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company has balances due to this firm amounting to \$12,530 and \$17,000 respectively.

NOTE 7 – SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has two operating segments, namely, a web and network security technology segment and an organic fertilizer segment. The Company's operating segments are each reportable segments because their activities are not economically similar. Presented below are the revenues and net loss for each segment for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

For the Three Months Ended June												
		30,					Fo	r the Six N	Months	End	ed June 3	0,
		2014			2013			2014			2013	
	(in thousands)											
Revenue:												
Web and network security												
technology	\$	-		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-	
Organic fertilizer		636			198			810			198	
Total Revenue	\$	636		\$	198		\$	810		\$	198	
Net (Loss) Income:												
Web and network security												
technology	\$	(3,322)	\$	(757)	\$	(5,116)	\$	(1,523)
Organic fertilizer		75			(435)		(137)		(435)
Total Net Loss:	\$	(3,247)	\$	(1,192)	\$	(5,253)	\$	(1,958)
Other Income:												
Web and network security												
technology	\$	5		\$	1,017		\$	1,005		\$	1,017	
Organic fertilizer		-			-			12			-	
Total Other Income	\$	5		\$	1,017		\$	1,017		\$	1,017	
Interest Income:												
Web and network security												
technology	\$	6		\$	30		\$	74		\$	110	
Organic fertilizer		-			1			-			1	
Total Interest Income	\$	6		\$	31		\$	74		\$	111	
Depreciation and Amortization:												
Web and network security												
technology	\$	4		\$	-		\$	7		\$	-	
Organic fertilizer		112			37			246			37	
Total Depreciation and												
Amortization:	\$	116		\$	37		\$	253		\$	37	

As of June 30, 2014, total assets held by the web and network security technology segment and organic fertilizer segment were \$23.6 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

NOTE 8 - CONTINGENCIES

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against FireEye, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 8, 2013, asserting that FireEye, Inc. is infringing U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 8,079,086, 7,975,305, 8,225,408, 7,058,822, 7,647,633 and 6,154,844.

Table of Contents

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Blue Coat Systems, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on August 28, 2013, asserting that Blue Coat Systems, Inc. is infringing U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 6,965,968, 7,058,822, 7,418,731, and 7,647,633.

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Websense, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on September 23, 2013, asserting that Websense, Inc. is infringing U.S. Patent Nos. 7,058,822, 7,647,633, 8,141,154, and 8,225,408. Finjan filed a separate suit against Websense, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 24, 2014, asserting that Websense, Inc. is infringing U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494.

Finjan appealed a District Court Decision in a prior patent case with defendants Symantec Corp., Websense, Inc., and Sophos Inc., where there was a finding of no liability for U.S. Patent Nos. 6,092,194 and 6,480,962. The Appeal Brief was filed on December 10, 2013, at the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and the case is pending.

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on December 16, 2013, asserting that Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. are infringing U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,058,822, 7,613,918, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, 8,141,154, and 8,225,408.

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Sophos Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 14, 2014, asserting that Sophos Inc. is infringing U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,757,289, 8,141,154, 8,566,580, and 8,677,494.

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Symantec Corp. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 30, 2014, asserting that Symantec Corp. is infringing U.S. Patent Nos. 7,756,996, 7,757,289, 7,930,299, 8,015,182, and 8,141,154.

Patent litigation is inherently subject to uncertainties. As such, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful with its oral arguments in front of the Court or in litigating and /or settling all these claims.

The Company is not currently aware of any threatened litigations, inbound cases filed against the Company, or counterclaims that could result in any material adverse impact to the financial statements as of June 30, 2014.

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company's stockholders approved the Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2014 Plan") at the annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, pursuant to which 2,196,836 shares of common stock are authorized for issuance. Upon shareholder approval of the 2014 Plan, the Company issued a total of 244,504 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") and options to purchase an aggregate of 25,000 Shares of our common stock that had been previously approved by the Board and the Compensation Committee, subject to stockholder approval of the 2014 Plan, to certain non-executive employees and non-executive directors. These equity grants include 24,390 RSUs to each of Messrs. Daniel, Kellogg and Southworth, who were newly appointed to the Board and Audit Committee in April, and Mr. Benhamou, who was newly appointed as Chair of the Audit Committee in April. The equity grants also include 146,944 RSUs and 25,000 options granted to non-executive employees. For each grant of RSUs, one-third of the RSUs are scheduled to vest on the one year anniversary of the grant date or employee start date, and an additional 8.33% of the RSUs are scheduled to vest on the one-year anniversary of the employee start date, and an additional 6.25% of the options are scheduled to vest every three calendar months thereafter. For each grant of options, one-fourth of the options are scheduled to vest every three calendar months thereafter.

Upon shareholder approval of the Plan, the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan were terminated, other than respect to the 1,489,532 shares of common stock underlying options outstanding under such plan. The Company did not recognize any compensation expenses related to the RSU or stock option grants under the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan for the three and six months period ended June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

The Company's stockholders also approved several charter amendments, including the amendment to decrease the number of authorized shares of common stock from 1,000,000,000 to 80,000,000, effective on July 10, 2014 upon the filing with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. The Board also adopted Amended and Restated Bylaws.

Subsequent to June 30, 2014, the Company received approximately \$17,000 in cash proceeds from exercising 10,000 stock options to purchase the Company's common stock.

12

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations

The following discussion includes forward-looking statements about the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, including discussions about management's expectations for the business. These statements include statements regarding our expectations, intentions, beliefs and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts or by the use of words such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project," "potential," "should," "will," "will be," "would," and the negative of these terms and similar expressions, but not an exclusive way of identifying such statements. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. Our actual results, performance and achievements may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements contained in this report as a result of various risks, uncertainties and other factors. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, without limitation, our ability to execute our business plan, the outcome of pending or future enforcement actions, our ability to expand our technology portfolio, the enforceability of our patents, the continued use of our technology in the market, the development of a liquid trading market for our securities and other factors described under Item 1A. "Risk Factors," as set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any subsequent quarterly or current reports. The following discussion should also be read in conjunction with the audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements, and unaudited condensed financial statements including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The Company will continue to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the dates specified in such filings. Except as expressly required under federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after any such date, whether as a result of new information or future events or otherwise. You should not place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements included in this report or that may be made elsewhere from time to time by us, or on our behalf. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

Overview

We operate two businesses, each of which constitutes a separate reportable segment. Our two reportable segments include: our web and network security technology segment, which we operate through Finjan, and our organic fertilizer segment, which we operate through Converted Organics. The results of operations of our organic fertilizer segment have been included in our assets and liabilities and our historical operations since June 3, 2013, the date Converted Organics, Inc. entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with Finjan. Effective June 3, 2013 and pursuant to the Merger Agreement, a wholly owned subsidiary merged with and into Finjan and Finjan became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Converted Organics, Inc. (the "Merger").

Our web and network security technology business is our principal line of business. We are evaluating whether to continue our organic fertilizer business as currently conducted. There can be no assurance that we will continue to operate our organic fertilizer business as previously operated or at all.

Web and Network Security Technology Segment

We operate our web and network security technology business through Finjan. Through Finjan, we own a portfolio of patents related to software that proactively detects malicious code and thereby protects end users from identity and data theft, spyware, malware, phishing, trojans and other online threats. Founded in 1997, Finjan developed and patented technology that is capable of detecting previously unknown and emerging threats on a real-time,

behavior-based, basis, in contrast to signature-based methods of intercepting only known threats to computers, which were standard in the online security industry during the 1990s. As the network, web and endpoint security industries have transitioned to behavior-based detection of malicious code, we believe that our technology is widely used by third parties. We intend to maximize the economic benefits of our technology through further licensing and to broaden our technology and patent holdings through acquisitions and strategic partnerships.

Table of Contents

As a core element of our continued patent licensing and enforcement business, our management team, having expertise in technology and IP monetization, alongside early company executives, monitors a number of markets and assesses and observes the adoption of our patented technology in these markets. Our management team, in conjunction with outside legal, technical, and financial experts conclude on a case-by-case basis whether or not they believe that Finjan's patented technology is being used. Based on these observations, we continue to believe our patented technologies are relevant in specific technology areas including endpoint/cloud software, web gateway/internet infrastructure, and networking equipment markets. From that basis, we pursue unlicensed entities through licensing, assertion of claims or both.

Since the sale of its hardware and software operations in 2009, Finjan's primary source of income and related cash flows has been the enforcement of its patent rights against unauthorized use and, to a lesser extent, income derived from intellectual property licenses granted to third parties for the use of patented technologies that are owned by Finjan. Although we are actively pursuing negotiated licenses apart from litigation settlements, we have not entered into a license agreement outside of a settlement since our 2012 negotiated license agreement with Trustwave Holdings, Inc.

Finjan's operating expenses consist primarily of general and administrative expenses. Finjan did not have any full-time employees from 2009 until 2013, shortly after the Merger. Instead, Finjan relied on outside legal counsel, technology consultants and other professionals to conduct operations during that period, some of whom are former investors and executives of Finjan. Accordingly, Finjan's general and administrative expenses consisted primarily of legal fees and other expenses paid to third-party consultants. As of August 6, 2014, we have approximately 11 employees, most of whom joined the Company following the Merger. We intend to hire or engage additional full-time employees and/or consultants to pursue our growth strategy, although there can be no assurance that we will be able to attract or retain qualified personnel on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Our management team and additional personnel that we may hire in the future will be primarily responsible for establishing and pursuing our licensing and enforcement strategy, including analyzing licensing and enforcement opportunities, making tactical decisions related to our strategy, identifying new applications for our existing technology and pursuing opportunities to invest in new technologies through strategic partnerships and acquisitions. We nonetheless expect to continue to utilize outside legal counsel and other professionals to execute aspects of our strategy for the foreseeable future, such as trial counsel to prosecute enforcement actions, although our management will control our overall litigation strategy and our strategy for each case we litigate.

Organic Fertilizer Segment

We operate a processing facility in Gonzales, CA that uses food and agricultural waste as raw materials to manufacture organic fertilizer and soil amendment products combining nutritional and disease suppression characteristics for sale to our agribusiness market. The Gonzales, CA facility is our production facility that services the West Coast agribusiness customer base through established distribution channels. This facility uses proprietary technology and process known as High Temperature Liquid Composting, or HTLC, which processes various biodegradable waste products into liquid and food waste-based fertilizer and a limited amount of solids that could be further processed into a useable form for use in agriculture, retail, and professional turf markets.

We are evaluating whether to continue our organic fertilizer business. There can be no assurance that we will continue to operate our organic fertilizer business as previously operated or at all.

Recent Developments

Appointments and Re-appointments of Executive Officers

On July 10, 2014, our Board of Directors (the "Board") appointed Daniel Chinn as Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors. In light of Mr. Chinn's appointment as Executive Chairman, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which was previously comprised of Daniel Chinn and Michael Eisenberg, was reconstituted to consist of Michael Eisenberg and Alex Rogers, each of whom are independent under applicable NASDAQ rules.

Table of Contents

On July 10, 2014, our President, Philip Hartstein, was re-appointed President and named Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and our Chief Financial Officer, Shimon Steinmetz was re-appointed Chief Financial Officer and named Treasurer.

2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

We held our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders on July 10, 2014. At the annual meeting, each of the fourteen proposals submitted to the Company's stockholders was approved. The proposals related to the following:

Election of Directors

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders re-elected each of our Class 1 directors, Eric Benhamou, Daniel Chinn and Michael Southworth, and each of our Class 2 directors, Alex Rogers and Glenn Daniel. Our Class 3 directors will be up for election at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.

Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders ratified the appointment of Marcum LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

2014 Incentive Compensation Plan

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders approved the Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan, pursuant to which 2,196,836 shares of common stock are authorized for issuance, and a total of 244,504 RSUs and 25,000 stock options are outstanding. Upon shareholder approval of the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan, the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan was terminated, other than respect to the 1,489,532 shares of common stock underlying options outstanding under such plan. A summary of the material terms of the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan are set forth in our Definitive Proxy Statement filed on June 11, 2014.

Amended and Restated Charter

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders approved each of the amendments to the Company's certificate of incorporation described in proposals 4A through 4I of our Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 11, 2014. The charter amendments, including the amendment to decrease the number of authorized shares of common stock from 1,000,000,000 to 80,000,000, became effective on July 10, 2014 upon the filing with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. A summary of the material charter amendments that are contained in the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation are set forth in our Definitive Proxy Statement filed on June 11, 2014.

Amended and Restated Bylaws

Following the annual meeting, on July 10, 2014, the Board adopted the Amended and Restated Bylaws, effective as of July 10, 2014. The Amended and Restated Bylaws are intended primarily to conform the Company's bylaws to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, update the Company's bylaws to reflect statutory and case law developments since the previous bylaws were adopted and to include provisions commonly found in the bylaws of public Delaware corporations similar to the Company. A summary of the material amendments to the provisions of the prior bylaws, including changes to shareholder advance notice requirements for certain communications with the

Company, that are contained in the Amended and Restated Bylaws are set forth in our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on July 14, 2014.

Table of Contents

Non-Binding Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders approved the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Non-Binding Advisory Vote on Frequency of Vote on Executive Compensation

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders voted to hold a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation every three years.

The proposals above are described in more detail in our Definitive Proxy Statement for the annual meeting filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 11, 2014. A detailed description of the voting results are also set forth in our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on July 14, 2014.

Patent Litigation

On April 17, 2014, the matter entitled Finjan, Inc. v. Websense, Inc. 5:13-cv-04398-JSW, was reassigned to the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman and was designated as 5:13-cv-04398-BLF (N.D. Cal).

On May 16, 2014, the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman granted the parties' Motion and Stipulation to relate Finjan, Inc. v. Websense, Inc., 5:13-cv-04398-BLF with Finjan, Inc. v. Websense, Inc., 5:14-cv-01353-JSW, the latter of which was re-designated as 5:14-cv-01353-BLF. On June 23, 2014, Judge Freeman consolidated the two actions; the consolidated case number is 5:13-cv-04398-BLF, (N.D. Cal.).

On June 6, 2014, the Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong entered an Order Granting Motion to Stay Pending Reexamination of certain Finjan patents asserted in Finjan, Inc. v. FireEye, Inc., 4:13-cv-03133 SBA (N.D. Cal.).

On June 30, 2014, our subsidiary Finjan, Inc. filed a new action against Symantec Corp. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging infringement of Finjan patents relating to endpoint, web, and network security technologies. The case designation for this matter is 3:14-cv-02998-RS (N.D. Cal.). See "Part II Other Information Item 1. Legal Proceedings."

On July 24, 2014, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit entered its Oral Argument Order for our appeal entitled Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Websense, Inc., Sophos Inc., No. 2013-1682. Subject to revision of the calendar by the Court, our appeal is scheduled for oral argument on September 9, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On June 19, 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments when the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. This update further clarifies that compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is expected not to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which amends the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 is based on principles that govern the recognition of revenue at an amount an entity expects to be entitled to when products and services are transferred to customers. ASU 2014-09 will be effective for the Company beginning in its first quarter of 2018. Early adoption is not permitted. The new revenue standard may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the new revenue standard on its consolidated financial statements.

Other recent accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements upon adoption.

Comparability to Future Results

We have set forth below selected factors that we believe have had, or can be expected to have, a significant effect on the comparability of our recent or future results. In addition to the factors described below, please see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 for additional factors that may affect our operating results.

Fluctuation of Income, Expenses and Cash Flows Related to Licensing and Enforcement

Our licenses and judgments may not be recurring, and are not necessarily indicative of the income or cash flows that we expect to generate in the future from our existing technology portfolio or otherwise. We expect income, expenses and cash flows related to patent enforcement to be unpredictable and to fluctuate significantly from period to period. A number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, may affect the timing and amount of our income and cash flows related to patent licensing and enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, trial dates, the strength of our claims and likelihood of achieving an acceptable license on settlement, the timing and nature of any appeals and our ability to collect on any favorable judgments. Significant fluctuations in our income and cash flows may make our business difficult to manage and adversely affect our business and operating results. We do not recognize income from our licensing and enforcement actions until we actually receive the proceeds of licensing activities or litigation (whether resolved at trial or in a settlement).

Our expenses, principally with respect to litigation costs, may also vary significantly from period to period depending upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, whether fees of outside legal counsel are paid on an hourly, contingent or other basis, the timing of depositions, discovery and other elements of litigation, costs of expert witnesses and other consultants and other costs incurred in support of enforcement actions.

As a result of the factors described above and other known and unknown risks affecting our business, our historical operating performance may not be indicative of our future results.

Public Company Expenses

As a result of the Merger, Finjan became a subsidiary of a public company on June 3, 2013 and our common stock began trading on The NASDAQ Capital Market in May 2014. Finjan's operating results as a private company do not reflect certain increased expenses that we incur, and will continue to incur, as a public company with listed securities, including legal and accounting fees and other general and administrative expenses related to among other things, establishing and maintaining more comprehensive compliance and governance functions, establishing and maintaining

internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and complying with federal securities laws. We have also incurred additional costs associated with compensation of non-employee directors and costs associated with the retention of full-time executives, employees and consultants to operate our web and network security technology business and to comply with our obligations as a public company. In addition, the cost of director and officer liability insurance has increased compared to costs incurred by Finjan prior to becoming a public company. Any of the foregoing costs could continue to increase as we pursue our growth strategy. In light of these costs and the changes in our management, business and growth strategy that resulted from the Merger, the costs that we incurred prior to the Merger may not be indicative of the costs we incur as a post-Merger public company and will continue to incur in the future.

Stock-Based and Other Executive Compensation

Prior to the Merger with Converted Organics Inc. on June 3, 2013, Finjan had outstanding options to purchase an aggregate of 77 shares of Finjan common stock, all of which were awarded in May 2013. Following the Merger, our Board of Directors adopted the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan, pursuant to which 1,489,532 options to purchase shares of our common stock are outstanding, at exercise prices ranging from \$1.66 to \$5.90. Our Board of Directors also adopted the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan, which our shareholders approved at our 2014 annual meeting of Stockholders on July 10, 2014 pursuant to which 2,196,836 shares of common stock are authorized for issuance. A total of 244,504 RSUs and 25,000 stock options are outstanding under the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan. Because shareholders approved the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan, the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan terminated effective July 10, 2014, other than with respect to the 1,489,532 options awarded thereunder, and no further grants will be made under the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan. We expect that future equity-based awards will be made under the 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan to our directors, officers and other employees and consultants. As a result, to the extent relevant, we may incur non-cash, stock-based compensation expenses in future periods that may not be comparable to past periods.

In April 2013, Finjan engaged Philip Hartstein and Shimon Steinmetz as its President and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, pursuant to consulting agreements. Messrs. Hartstein and Steinmetz were appointed President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company on July 8, 2013, and additionally acquired the titles of Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer, respectively, on July 10, 2014. During the first six months of 2014, we also hired several senior management employees and we intend to hire additional employees and/or consultants in the future to expand our business. Since the Merger, we have hired a total of 11 (eleven) employees in the web and network security business. Accordingly, we will continue to incur compensation expenses in future periods that Finjan did not incur during the historical period presented in its financial statements.

Finjan Reorganization

Until May 2, 2013, Finjan was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Finjan Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation, which we refer to as "FSI." In April 2013, Finjan distributed securities of two unaffiliated entities which it previously held to FSI, and made a payment of cash in an amount sufficient to repay and satisfy in full an intercompany loan from FSI to Finjan. Following that distribution, the Board of Directors and stockholders of FSI approved the dissolution of, and a plan of liquidation for, FSI that resulted in, among other things, the distribution of Finjan common stock to certain of FSI's stockholders, each of whom received shares of our common stock in the Merger.

Financing Activities Prior to the Merger

In connection with the Merger, we redeemed, cancelled or otherwise retired all of the notes and derivative securities previously issued by Converted Organics, Inc., other than warrants that are exercisable for a de minimis number of shares of our common stock.

Results of Operations

The following table presents the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		for the Six	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(in thousands)				
Revenue:					
Web and network security technology	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	
Organic fertilizer	636	198	810	198	
Total Revenue	\$636	\$198	\$810	\$198	
Cost of Revenues:					
Web and network security technology	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Organic fertilizer	332	148	466	148	
Total Cost of Revenues	\$332	\$148	\$466	\$148	
Gross Profit:	\$304	\$50	\$344	\$50	
Operating Expense:					
Web and network security technology	\$3,331	\$2,233	\$6,189	\$3,079	
Organic fertilizer	233	50	498	50	
Total Operating Expenses:	\$3,564	\$2,283	\$6,687	\$3,129	
Loss from operations:	\$(3,260) \$(2,233) \$(6,343) \$(3,079)	
Other Income, Net:					
Web and network security technology	\$-	\$1,017	\$1,000	\$1,017	
Organic fertilizer	5	-	17	-	
Total Other Income:	\$5	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017	
Interest Income:					
Web and network security technology	\$6	\$31	\$74	\$111	
Organic fertilizer	-	-	-	-	
Total Interest Income:	\$6	\$31	\$74	\$111	
Net loss before Provision for Income Taxes:	\$(3,249) \$(1,185) \$(5,252) \$(1,951)	
Income Tax Expense:	\$(2) \$7	\$(1) \$7	
Net Loss:	\$(3,247) \$(1,192) \$(5,253) \$(1,958)	

19

Table of Contents

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, total revenue increased by \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million or 221.2% and 309.6%, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The increase was due to the recognition of a full three months of revenue from the fertilizer business in 2014 compared to less than a month of revenue in 2013 due to the timing of the Merger.

Cost of revenues includes production and material costs incurred by the Company's organic fertilizer business after the Merger date. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, cost of revenues increased by \$0.2 million or 124.3%, and \$0.3 million or 214.9%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2013. The increase was due to the recognition of a full three months of costs from the fertilizer business in 2014 compared to less than a month of costs in 2013 due to the timing of the Merger.

The Company's operating expenses consist primarily of general and administrative expenses, including stock-based compensation and consulting, accounting, legal and other professional fees. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, total operating expenses increased by \$1.3 million and \$3.6 million or 56.1% and 113.7%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2013. The increased costs for the three months ended June 30, 2014 were primarily due to increase in legal and consultancy fees for patent enforcement activities of \$1.1 million. \$0.4 million increase in compensation expenses, \$0.3 million in costs related to public company expenses and additional increase of \$0.4 million various general and administration costs offset by a reduction of \$0.8 million in transactions costs compared to the same period in 2013. The increased costs for the six months period ended June 30, 2014 were primarily due to increases in legal and consultancy fees for patent enforcement activities of \$1.2 million, \$1.1 million in compensation expenses due to additional employees in 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, \$0.5 million in costs related to public company expenses and an additional \$1.0 million in various costs related to opening a new office in California, evaluation of strategic transactions, franchise taxes and directors and officers insurance.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, other income decreased by \$1.0 million and \$0.01 million or 100.0% and 1.2%, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The Company received the second installment payment of \$1.0 million associated with a licensing agreement entered into in 2012 during the three and six months period ended 30 June 2013. No such settlement was received during the three and six months period ended June 30, 2014.

The Merger in June 2013 was accounted for as a business combination. As a result, the associated consideration was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on management's estimate of fair value using the information available at the date of the Merger. The excess of purchase price over the fair value amounts assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed represents goodwill from the acquisition.

Interest income decreased due to the lower average cash balance on hand during the 2014 periods compared to the same periods in 2013. Income tax expense decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 by approximately \$9,000 and \$6,000, respectively, due to limited cumulative taxable operations of the Company in certain local jurisdictions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Our cash requirements are, and will continue to be, dependent upon a variety of factors. We expect to continue devoting significant capital resources to the litigations in process and any other litigation we pursue. We also expect to require significant capital resources to maintain our issued patents, prosecute our patent applications, and acquire new technologies as part of our growth strategy and to attract and retain qualified personnel on a full-time basis. On November 21, 2013, we made a \$5 million commitment to invest in an Israel-based limited partnership venture capital

fund seeking to invest in early-stage cyber technology companies of which \$4.0 million remains outstanding. We expect to make payments to honor this commitment if and when capital calls are made by the fund.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations, principally historical proceeds from licenses, settlements and judgments in connection with our patent enforcement activities. Based on current forecasts and assumptions, management believes that our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. We may, however, encounter unforeseen difficulties that may deplete our capital resources more rapidly than anticipated. Even without such difficulties, we may seek to raise additional capital to grow our business. Any efforts to seek additional funding could be made through issuances of equity or debt, or other external financing. However, additional funding may not be available on favorable terms, or at all.

Table of Contents

We had approximately \$20.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$19.1 million of working capital as of June 30, 2014. The decrease in our cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$4.0 million from December 31, 2013 is primarily attributable to approximately \$3.6 million used in operations inclusive of \$1.0 million received from a licensing agreement enter into in 2012, \$0.5 million capital commitment called by the Israel-based limited partnership venture capital fund and approximately \$0.1 million received from exercise of stock options by certain former employees.

Our Converted Organics subsidiary does not have funds to build additional facilities and we have no plans to raise such funds or allocate funds generated from our web and network security technology business for that purpose.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Finjan's net cash used in operating activities decreased by \$25.9 million to \$3.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. This reduction was primarily attributable to the payment of accrued income taxes during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Accrued income taxes paid during the six months ended 2014 were not material.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, cash flow from investing activities decreased by \$1.1 million. Cash used by investing activities was approximately \$0.5 million compared \$0.6 million cash flow from investing activities during the comparable period in 2013. Cash used in investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2014 related to a \$0.5 million capital commitment called by the Israel-based limited partnership venture capital fund. The principal source of cash in the six months ended June 30, 2013 was the proceeds from notes receivable and cash acquired through the Merger.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, cash flows from financing activities were approximately \$0.07 million attributable to exercise of stock options by certain former employees. During the comparable period in 2013, Finjan used approximately \$34.1 million in financing activities. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was attributable to a loan repayment of \$33.9 million made to Finjan's former parent in February 2013 along with the repurchase of common stock for \$204,000 in May 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments when the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. This update further clarifies that compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is expected

not to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which amends the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 is based on principles that govern the recognition of revenue at an amount an entity expects to be entitled to when products and services are transferred to customers. ASU 2014-09 will be effective for the Company beginning in its first quarter of 2018. Early adoption is not permitted. The new revenue standard may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the new revenue standard on its consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our holdings of cash and cash equivalents. Our cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2014 totaled \$20.6 million and consisted primarily of cash and money market funds with original maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest income sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of the interest rates in the United States. However, because of the short-term nature of the instruments in our portfolio, a sudden change in market interest rates of 10% would not be expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. We do not have any foreign currency or other derivative financial instruments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

As previously disclosed in Item 9A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, management concluded that there were material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting related to the calculation and recording of cost of goods sold at the transaction level, insufficient control activities to ensure that all sales transactions were recorded at Converted Organics and insufficient controls over the revenue process at the Finjan entity, primarily due to a lack of readily available documentation to support the computations utilized in the Company's fair value assessment of the component elements of its litigation settlements and judgment award entered into its favor during the year ended December 31, 2013. Remedial actions have been implemented to address these controls, including adherence to existing control procedures and implementation of the following new enhanced controls related to:

Finjan Revenue

- During 2013 and six months ended June 2014, management hired individuals
 with the necessary technical accounting expertise to ensure that complex
 revenue transactions are recorded in accordance with Generally Accepted
 Accounting Principles in the United States.
- Management has implemented a process in which all relevant data required to establish and support the fair value components of the litigation settlements and judgments is properly reviewed, approved and maintained.

Converted Organics Cost of Revenue

 Management developed and implemented a process during the first quarter of 2014 whereby Cost of Revenue is calculated based on standard costs and applied to each sale made during the period at the time of sale.

Table of Contents

• Management has enhanced inventory reporting to include detailed inventory reports that are reviewed and approved by management.

Converted Organics Revenue

Management has implemented the use of a sales order log which is reconciled
to sales recorded at the end of each period to ensure that all revenue earned
during the period has been recorded.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (the principal executive and principal financial officer, respectively) evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Management believes that the controls implemented during the period are sufficient. However, they have concluded that such controls have not been in place for a sufficient period of time in order to conclude that the identified material weaknesses described above have been fully remediated. Therefore, based on this evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (the principal executive and principal financial officer, respectively) have concluded that as of June 30, 2014, our disclosure controls were not effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Except as has been described above, there has been no material change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2014, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

A. United States District Court Actions

Finjan, Inc. v. FireEye, Inc., 4:13-cv-03133SBA, (N.D. Cal):

We filed a patent infringement lawsuit against FireEye, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 8, 2013, asserting that FireEye, Inc. is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 8,079,086, 7,975,305, 8,225,408, 7,058,822, and 7,647,633. We amended our Complaint on August 16, 2013 to add U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 to the list of asserted patents, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to FireEye's Threat Protection Platform, including the FireEye Malware Protection System, the FireEye Dynamic Threat Intelligence, and the FireEye Central Management System. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc. and FireEye, Inc. We seek entry of judgment that FireEye has infringed, is infringing, and has induced infringement of the above-listed patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the above-listed patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages no less than a reasonably royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. FireEye answered our Amended Complaint on September 3, 2013, by denying our allegations of infringement and counterclaiming that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112. Both parties have demanded a jury trial. On June 6, 2014, the Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong entered an Order Granting Motion to Stay Pending Reexamination of certain Finjan patents asserted in Finjan, Inc. v. FireEye, Inc., 4:13-cv-03133-SBA (N.D. Cal.). Accordingly, this action is off calendar until the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office completes its administrative reexamination proceedings. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat, Inc., Case 5:13-cv-03999-BLF, (N.D. Cal.):

We filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Blue Coat Systems, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on August 28, 2013, asserting that Blue Coat Systems, Inc. is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 6,965,968, 7,058,822, 7,418,731, and 7,647,633 patents, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to Blue Coat's ProxyAV Appliances and Software, Blue Coat's ProxySG Appliances and Software, and Blue Coat's WebPulse Service. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc. and Blue Coat, Inc. We seek entry of judgment that Blue Coat has infringed, is infringing, has induced infringement of the above-listed patents, and contributorily infringes the above-listed patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the above-listed patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages no less than a reasonably royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Blue Coat answered our Complaint on November 26, 2013, by denying our allegations of infringement and counterclaiming that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112. Both parties have demanded a jury trial. The Court has scheduled a claim construction or "Markman" Hearing in this matter on August 22, 2014. Trial for this action is scheduled for July 20, 2015. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Websense, Inc., Case 5:13-cv-04398-BLF, (N.D. Cal.)(consolidated):

As previously stated in Part I, Recent Developments, Patent Litigation, above, the two patent infringement matters we filed against Websense, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on September 23, 2013 (5:13-cv-04398-BLF (N.D. Cal.), and March 24, 2014 (5:14-cv-01353-BLF (N.D. Cal.), were consolidated into one matter on June 23, 2014. The consolidated case number is 5:13-cv-04398-BLF, (N.D. Cal.). We assert that Websense, Inc. is directly and indirectly infringing one or more claims of our U.S. Patent Nos. 7,058,822, 7,647,633, 8,141,154, 8,225,408, and 8,677,494, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to Websense TRITON Products, Web Security Gateway Products, Data Security Products, CSI Service, and Websense products and services using ACE or ThreatSeeker. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc. and Websense, Inc. We seek entry of judgment that Websense has infringed, is infringing, and has induced infringement of the above-listed patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the above-listed patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages no less than a reasonably royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Websense answered our Complaints, filed on November 26, 2013 and April 21, 2014, respectively, by denying our allegations of infringement and asserting various "Defenses," including non-infringement and invalidity of the asserted patents under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, and/or 112, Prosecution Disclaimer and Prosecution History Estoppel, Estoppel and Laches. Finjan has demanded a jury trial. Further, the Court has scheduled a claim construction or "Markman" Hearing for this matter on November 21, 2014. Trial for this action is scheduled for October 5, 2015. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc., Case 5:13-cv-05808-BLF, (N.D. Cal.):

We filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Proofpoint, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Armorize Technologies, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on December 16, 2013, asserting that Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies ("Defendants") collectively and separately are directly and indirectly infringing one or more claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,058,822, 7,613,918, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, 8,141,154, and 8,225,408, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to Proofpoint Enterprise Protection, Proofpoint's Malvertising Protection, Proofpoint's SafeImpressions, Proofpoint's Targeted Attack Protection, Proofpoint Essentials, Proofpoint Protection Server, Proofpoint Messaging Security Gateway, HackAlert Anti-Malware, Codesecure, SmartWAF, SafeImpressions, and Malvertising Protection. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc., Proofpoint, Inc., and Armorize Technologies, Inc. We seek entry of judgment that Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. have infringed and are infringing the above-listed patents, a judgment that Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. have induced infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,058,822, 7,613,918, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, and 8,225,408, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages no less than a reasonably royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. On April 27, 2014, this action was reassigned to the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman. On June 30, 2014, Proofpoint filed a Motion to Stay pending reexamination of two of eight of our asserted patents by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; we filed our Response to Proofpoint's Motion to Stay on July 14, 2014; and Proofpoint filed its Reply In Support of its Motion to Stay on July 21, 2014. The Hearing on the Motion to Stay is set for August 21, 2014. Further, the Court has scheduled a claim construction or "Markman" Hearing for this matter on May 8, 2015. Trial for this action is scheduled for January 11, 2016. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos Inc., Case 3:14-cv-01197-WHO (N.D. Cal.):

We filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Sophos Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 14, 2014, asserting that Sophos Inc. is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,757,289, and 8,141,154. We amended our Complaint on April 8, 2014, to add U.S. Patent Nos. 8,677,494 and 8,566,580 to the list of asserted patents. This action was reassigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick on April 10, 2014. We assert infringement against Sophos Inc. through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including by not limited to Enduser Protection Suites, Endpoint Antivirus, Endpoint Antivirus - Cloud, Sophos Cloud, Unified Threat Management, Next-Gen Firewall, Secure Web Gateway, Secure Email Gateway, Web Application Firewall, Network Storage Antivirus, Virtualization Security, SharePoint Security, Secure VPN, Secure Wi-Fi and Server Security. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc. and Sophos Inc. We seek entry of judgment that Sophos Inc. has infringed and is infringing the above-listed patents, a judgment that Sophos Inc. has induced infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,757,289, 6,154,844, and 8,667,494, a judgment that Sophos Inc. has contributorily infringed U.S. Patent No. 8,566,580, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, inducing, or contributorily infringing the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages no less than a reasonably royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Sophos Inc. filed its Answer to our First Amended Complaint on May 9, 2014. Both parties demanded a jury trial. Sophos Inc. filed a Motion to Transfer Venue to Delaware on May 9, 2014; we filed our Opposition to Sophos Inc.'s Motion to Transfer Venue on May 23, 2014; Sophos Inc. filed its Reply In Support of Motion to Transfer Venue on May 30, 2014. Sophos Inc. filed its Amended Answer to the Complaint on May 30, 2014. The Hearing on the Motion to Transfer Venue to Delaware was held on June 18, 2014, and the Delaware Court entered its Order Denying Sophos Inc.'s Motion to Transfer Venue on June 20, 2014. Further, Judge Orrick has scheduled a claim construction or "Markman" Hearing for this matter on February 13,

2015. The Court has not yet calendared a trial date. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Case 3:14-cv-02998-RS (N.D. Cal.):

We filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Symantec Corp. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 30, 2014, asserting that Symantec Corp. is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of our U.S. Patent Nos. 7,756,996, 7,757,289, 7,930,299, 8,015,182, and 8,141,154. On July 7, 2014, this action was reassigned to the Honorable Richard Seeborg. We assert infringement against Symantec Corp. through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including by not limited to systems and methods that utilize SONAR with Insight, Disarm, Norton Safe Web, Norton Safe Search and Symantec Endpoint Protection Manager including without limitation Messaging Gateway, Message Gateway for Service Providers, Message Gateway Small Business Edition, Symantec Endpoint Protection, Symantec Endpoint Protection Small Business Edition, Network Access Control, Norton Internet Security, Norton Anti-Virus, Norton 360, and Safe-Web Lite. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc. and Symantec Corp. We seek entry of judgment that Symantec Corp. has infringed and is infringing the above-listed patents, a judgment that Symantec Corp. has induced infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,756,996, 7,757,289, and 7,930,299, a judgment that Symantec Corp. has contributorily infringed U.S. Patent No. 8,015,182, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, inducing, or contributorily infringing the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages no less than a reasonably royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Symantec Corp. has not yet filed its Answer to our Complaint. We demanded a jury trial. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

B. Appellate Court Actions:

Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Websense, Inc., Sophos Inc., No. 2013-1682, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit:

On December 10, 2013, we appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit") the final judgment entered by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 10-CV-593-GMS, in favor of defendants-appellees, Symantec Corporation, Websense, Inc., and Sophos Inc., where there was a finding of no liability for U.S. Patent Nos. 6,092,194 and 6,480,962. The issue presented by us on appeal was whether the District Court erred in allowing to stand the jury's verdict that the patents-in-suit are invalid, whether the District Court erred in not granting our request for a new trial. Defendants-Appellees filed their brief on February 24, 2014, and we filed our Reply Brief thereto on April 9, 2014. Subject to revision of the calendar by the Federal Circuit, oral argument has been scheduled for September 9, 2014. There can be no assurance that we will be successful with our oral arguments before the Federal Circuit.

C. Proceedings before the United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO)

1. Ex Parte Reexamination Proceedings:

As defined by the USPTO, an "Ex Parte Reexamination is a "proceeding in which any person may request reexamination of a U.S. Patent based on one or more prior patents or printed publications. A requester who is not the patent owner has limited participation rights in the proceedings."

U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A first third party request for ex parte reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 was filed on October 7, 2013, on behalf of FireEye, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,015. The USPTO denied FireEye's request on November 19, 2013, and the reexamination proceedings terminated on January 14, 2014.

A second third party request by FireEye, Inc., for ex parte reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 was filed on February 7, 2014, and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,147. The USPTO denied FireEye's second request on March 27, 2014, and the reexamination proceedings terminated on April 29, 2014.

U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third party request for ex parte reexamination of claims 1-7 and 28-33 of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 was filed on October 7, 2013, on behalf of FireEye, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,016. The request for reexamination was granted and a non-final Office Action was mailed November 19, 2013. The non-final Office Action included rejections of claims 1-7 and 28-33 under various prior art (including previously considered and disclosed prior art) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. An in-person Examiner interview was conducted at the USPTO on February 4, 2014, and a timely response to non-final Office Action was filed on February 19, 2014. The response to non-final Office Action included, inter alia: arguments and a supporting declaration by us showing commercial success, industry praise, and copying by others of products covered by pending claims; declaration by a technology expert rebutting improper technical interpretations of the prior art and the invention; and additional new claims for consideration. Additionally, a petition to accept an unintentionally delayed priority claim was also submitted. The case is currently awaiting USPTO action. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge to claims 1-7 and 28-33 before the USPTO.

U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third party request for ex parte reexamination of claims 1-8 and 16-27 of U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 was filed on October 7, 2013, on behalf of FireEye, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,017. The request for reexamination was granted and a non-final Office Action was mailed December 6, 2013. The non-final Office Action included rejections of claims 1-8 and 16-27 under various prior art (including previously considered and disclosed prior art) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. An in-person Examiner interview was conducted at the USPTO on February 4, 2014, and a timely response to non-final Office Action was filed on March 6, 2014. The response to non-final Office Action included, inter alia: arguments and a supporting declaration by us showing commercial success, industry praise, and copying by others of products covered by pending claims; declaration by a technology expert rebutting improper technical interpretations of the prior art and the invention; and additional new claims for consideration. Additionally, a petition to accept an unintentionally delayed priority claim was also submitted. The case is currently awaiting USPTO action. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge to claims 1-8 and 16-27 before the USPTO.

As defined by the USPTO, an "Inter Partes Reexamination is a "proceeding in which any person who is not the patent owner and is not otherwise estopped may request examination of a U.S. Patent issued from an original application filed on or after November 29, 1999, based on one or more prior patents or printed publications. Both patent owner and third party requester have participation rights throughout the proceeding, including appeal rights."

U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third party request for inter partes reexamination of all claims 1-55 of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 was filed on November 29, 2011, on behalf of Symantec Corporation, and assigned Reexamination Control Number 95/001,836. The request for reexamination was granted and a non-final Office Action was mailed January 25, 2012. The non-final Office Action included rejections of claims 1-55 under numerous prior art references and combinations of such references (including previously considered and disclosed prior art) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. We timely filed a response to non-final Office Action, as did the third party requester and the USPTO mailed an Action Closing Prosecution (ACP) on October 2, 2013. We timely responded to the ACP on December 2, 2013, which included proposed claim amendments for consideration. The third party requester subsequently responded on January 2, 2014. On June 27, 2014, the USPTO stated that the proposed claim amendments would not be entered and issued a Right of Appeal Notice. On July 1, 2014, we filed a notice of appeal of the rejection of Claims 1-55. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge to claims 1-55 before the USPTO.

Except for the foregoing disclosures, we are not presently aware of any other material pending legal proceedings, to which we or any of our subsidiaries are a party or of which any of its property is the subject.

Litigation, including patent litigation, is inherently subject to uncertainties. As such, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful with its oral arguments in front of the court or in litigating and/or settling all these claims.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

No Material Changes.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed as part of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 7, 2014

Finjan Holdings, Inc. (Registrant)

/s/ Philip Hartstein
Philip Hartstein
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Shimon Steinmetz
Shimon Steinmetz
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)

29

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 3, 2013, by and among Converted Organics, Inc. (now known as Finjan Holdings, Inc.) (the "Company"), COIN Merger Sub, Inc., and Finjan, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed June 3, 2013)
2.2	Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and United Organic Products, LLC, dated January 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to our current report Exhibit 2.02 on Form 8-K filed January 29, 2008)
2.3	Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and Waste Recovery Industries, LLC, dated January 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.03 to our current report on Form 8-K filed January 29, 2008)
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed July 14, 2014)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed July 14, 2014)
10.1	Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan#*
10.2	Form of Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement#*
10.3	Form of Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement#*
10.4	Summary of Director Compensation (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 8, 2014#
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section

906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002†*

101.INS XBRL Instance Document***

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document***

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document***

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document***

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document***

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document***

- † This certification is being furnished and shall not be deemed "filed" with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.
- *** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.
- # Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

30

^{*} Filed herewith.