Rice Energy Inc. Form DEF 14A April 15, 2016 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement.
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).
- x Definitive Proxy Statement.
- " Definitive Additional Materials.
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12.

Rice Energy Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

X	No fee required.				
••	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.				
	(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:				
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:				
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):				
	(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:				
	(5) Total fee paid:				
	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.				
	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.				
	(1) Amount Previously Paid:				
	(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:				
	(3) Filing Party:				

(4) Date Filed:

NOTICE OF 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

AND PROXY STATEMENT

To Be Held On June 1, 2016

RICE ENERGY INC.

2200 Rice Drive

Canonsburg, PA 15317

(724) 271-7200

To the Stockholders of Rice Energy Inc.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Rice Energy Inc. s 2016 annual meeting of stockholders will be held at 10:00 a.m. local time on Wednesday, June 1, 2016, at the Hilton Garden Inn, 1000 Corporate Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317 (the Annual Meeting). The terms *Rice Energy*, *the Company*, *we*, *our*, *us*, and similar terms refer to Rice Energy I its subsidiaries.

At the meeting, we will ask stockholders to consider and act upon the following matters:

- 1. The election of three (3) Class II directors nominated by our board of directors to serve until the Company s 2019 annual meeting of stockholders;
- 2. Advisory approval of the Company s executive compensation; and
- 3. Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as Rice Energy s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

We plan to hold a brief business meeting focused on these items, at which time we will address any other proper business that may arise.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE IN FAVOR OF **PROPOSALS 1, 2 AND 3.** These proposals are further described in the proxy statement.

Only Rice Energy stockholders of record at the close of business on April 4, 2016 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. For ten days prior to the Annual Meeting, a list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available for inspection at Rice Energy s corporate

offices located at 2200 Rice Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDERS MEETING TO BE HELD ON JUNE 1, 2016: The Proxy Statement, form of proxy, Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 and related materials are available at www.proxyvote.com or can be obtained by contacting the Investor Relations Department at the address and phone number of Rice Energy noted above.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

William E. Jordan Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

April 15, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT RICE ENERGY S ANNUAL MEETING	1
BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ON PROPOSALS AND APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS	2
VOTING AND PROXY PROCEDURE	3
CERTAIN MATTERS RELATING TO PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL REPORT	4
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF CLASS II DIRECTORS	5
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING	8
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS	9
The Board of Directors	9
The Board of Directors and its Committees	10
Board Leadership Structure	10
Board and Committee Membership	11
Board s Role in Risk Management Oversight	15
Codes of Ethics	16
<u>Director Compensation in 2015</u>	16
Additional Information Regarding Our Director Compensation Table	17
Stockholders and Other Interested Parties Communications with the Board of Directors	18
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	18
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	20
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	20
Compensation Committee Report	27
Compensation and Risk	27
Summary Compensation Table in 2015, 2014 and 2013	27
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2015	29
Additional Information Regarding Our Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables	29
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015	32
Stock Vested in 2015	37
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	39
Additional Information Regarding the Tables Relating to Potential Payments Upon Employment	
Termination or Change in Control	42
PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	43
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION TABLE	44
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	45
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	48
POLICY WITH RESPECT TO RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS	48
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS	49
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	51
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	52
PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC	
ACCOUNTING FIRM	53

PROXY STATEMENT

For 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of

Rice Energy Inc.

To Be Held On

June 1, 2016

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT RICE ENERGY S ANNUAL MEETING

The board of directors of Rice Energy is soliciting proxies to be voted on the stockholders behalf at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting). This document includes information about the issues to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting.

It is anticipated that the proxy materials will first be sent to stockholders on or about April 15, 2016. The proxy statement and the form of proxy relating to the 2016 Annual Meeting will first be made available to stockholders on or about April 15, 2016 at www.proxyvote.com. In accordance with United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) rules, the website www.proxyvote.com provides complete anonymity with respect to a stockholder accessing the website.

The Annual Meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 1, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. local time, at the Hilton Garden Inn, 1000 Corporate Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317. Directions to the meeting are provided in the proxy card.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Anyone who owns (of record) Rice Energy common stock as of the close of business on April 4, 2016, which our board of directors has determined to be the record date for the Annual Meeting, is entitled to one vote per share owned. There were 136,474,696 shares outstanding as of April 4, 2016.

Who is soliciting my proxy to vote my shares?

Rice Energy s board of directors is soliciting your *proxy*, which is your authorization for our representatives to vote your shares as you direct. Your proxy will be effective for the June 1, 2016 Annual Meeting on any matter properly brought before the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment, postponement or continuation of the meeting.

What is the cost of soliciting proxies, and who pays that cost?

Rice Energy is bearing the entire cost of soliciting proxies. Proxies will be solicited principally through the mail, but may also be solicited personally or by telephone, facsimile, or special letter by Rice Energy s directors, officers, and regular employees for no additional compensation. Rice Energy will reimburse banks, brokerage firms, and other custodians, nominees, and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy materials to their customers or principals who are the beneficial owners of shares of common stock.

Who can attend the meeting?

Subject to space availability, all stockholders as of the record date, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the Annual Meeting. If you are not a stockholder of the Company, you will be admitted only if you have a valid legal proxy, form of photo identification and you sign in at the registration desk. Since seating is limited, admission to the meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration will begin at 9:30 a.m. If you attend, please note that you may be asked to present valid photo identification, such as a driver s license or passport. The use of cell phones, smartphones, pagers, recording and photographic equipment and/or computers is not permitted at the Annual Meeting.

Please also note that if you hold your shares in *street name* (that is, through a broker or other nominee), you must bring a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date and sign in at the registration desk at the meeting.

What constitutes a quorum?

Except as otherwise provided by applicable law or by our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. The chairman of our board of directors or a majority of the shares so represented may adjourn the meeting, whether or not there is a quorum. If a quorum is present, the stockholders present at the Annual Meeting may continue to transact business until adjournment, notwithstanding the withdrawal of enough stockholders to leave less than a quorum.

1

BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ON PROPOSALS AND APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS

Delaware law, the rules and regulations of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), Rice Energy s amended and restated certificate of incorporation and its amended and restated bylaws govern the vote on each of the proposals. A description of each proposal to be considered at the Annual Meeting is provided in this proxy statement, along with the board of directors voting recommendation with respect to such proposal. The board of directors recommendations and the approval requirements with respect to each proposal are summarized below:

PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF CLASS II DIRECTORS

The first proposal item to be voted on is the election of three Class II directors. The board of directors has nominated these persons to serve as directors, each of whom is currently serving as a director of Rice Energy.

You may vote in favor of all nominees, withhold your votes as to all nominees, or withhold your votes as to specific nominees. Assuming a quorum is present, each Class II director will be elected by a plurality of the votes validly cast at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders may not cumulate their votes.

For more information regarding this proposal, see *Proposal 1 Election of Class II Directors*.

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR each Class II director nominee.

PROPOSAL 2. ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The second proposal item to be voted on is an advisory approval of the Company s executive compensation.

You may vote in favor of the proposal, vote against the proposal or abstain from voting. Assuming a quorum is present, the advisory vote to approve the compensation paid to our executive officers as reported in this proxy statement will pass if approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the matter.

As an advisory vote, your vote will not be binding on the Company or the board of directors. However, our board of directors and our compensation committee, which is responsible for designing and administering the Company s executive compensation program, value the opinions of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the compensation paid to our executive officers in 2015, the compensation committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address stockholders—concerns when making future compensation decisions.

For more information regarding this proposal, see *Proposal 2 Advisory Approval of the Company s Executive Compensation*.

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR advisory approval of the Company s executive compensation.

PROPOSAL 3. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The third proposal item to be voted on is the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as Rice Energy s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

You may vote in favor of the proposal, vote against the proposal or abstain from voting. Assuming a quorum is present, the proposal will pass if approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the matter.

For more information regarding this proposal, see *Proposal 3 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.*

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as Rice Energy s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

2

Other Matters

The board of directors is not aware of any other business to be presented for vote of the stockholders at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly presented for vote, the people named herein as proxies will have discretionary authority, to the extent permitted by law, to vote on such matters according to their best judgment.

The chairman of the Annual Meeting may refuse to allow presentation of a proposal or nominee for the board of directors if the proposal or nominee was not properly submitted. The requirements for submitting proposals and nominations for next year s annual meeting are described in the section entitled *Stockholder Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting*.

VOTING AND PROXY PROCEDURE

What are the voting rights of holders of Rice Energy common stock?

Each outstanding share of Rice Energy common stock will be entitled to one vote on each matter considered at the Annual Meeting.

How do I vote?

You may vote your shares in four different ways:

- 1. VIA INTERNET. You may vote your shares via the Internet by following the instructions on the proxy card. If you own your shares in *street name* or in a nominee account, you may place your vote through the Internet by following the instructions on the proxy card provided by your broker, bank or other holders of record.
- 2. VIA TELEPHONE. You may vote your shares by telephone by calling the toll-free telephone number provided on the proxy card. If you own your shares in *street name* or in a nominee account, you may place your vote by telephone by following the instructions on the proxy card provided by your broker, bank or other holders of record.
- 3. BY MAIL. Mark your voting instructions on, and date, the proxy card and return it to Rice Energy s Corporate Secretary, William E. Jordan, at the Company s principal executive offices located at 2200 Rice Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317. The board of directors recommends that you vote by proxy even if you plan on attending the meeting. If you mail your proxy card, we must receive it before the polls close at the meeting.
- 4. IN PERSON. You may deliver your completed proxy in person at the meeting. If you own your shares in *street name* or in a nominee account, you may vote at the meeting by obtaining a proxy form from the institution that holds your shares.

What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card?

It means that you have multiple accounts at the transfer agent or with stock brokers or other nominees. Please complete and provide your voting instructions for all proxy cards that you receive.

How do I revoke my proxy or change my voting instructions?

You may revoke your proxy or change your voting instructions in four different ways:

- 1. SUBMIT VOTING INSTRUCTIONS AGAIN BY TELEPHONE OR THE INTERNET. If you are a *street name* stockholder, you must follow instructions found on the voting instruction card provided by your broker or other *street* nominee, or contact your broker or other *street* nominee in order to revoke your previously given proxy.
- 2. SUBMIT A NEW PROXY CARD BEARING A LATER DATE THAN THE ONE YOU WISH TO REVOKE. A valid later-dated proxy will automatically revoke any proxy previously submitted by you. If you own your shares in *street name*, because your broker or other *street* nominee is actually the record owner, you must obtain a new proxy card from the broker or other *street* nominee. If you are a holder of record, then you must obtain a new proxy card from Rice Energy s transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, at (800) 937-5449. We must receive your new proxy card prior to completion of voting at the Annual Meeting.
- 3. WRITE TO RICE ENERGY S CORPORATE SECRETARY, WILLIAM E. JORDAN, at the Company s principal executive offices located at 2200 Rice Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317. Your letter should contain the name in which your shares are registered, your control number, the date of the proxy you wish to revoke or change, your new voting instructions, if applicable, and your signature. We must receive your letter before the Annual Meeting begins.

3

4. ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTE IN PERSON AS DESCRIBED ABOVE (OR BY PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE WITH AN APPROPRIATE PROXY). Attendance at the meeting will not by itself revoke a previously granted proxy; you must also vote your shares during the meeting.

How will proxies be voted if I give my authorization?

The board of directors has selected Daniel J. Rice IV and William E. Jordan, and each of them, to act as proxies with full power of substitution. All properly executed proxy cards delivered by stockholders, and not previously revoked, will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the directions given. IF NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS ARE GIVEN WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS TO BE VOTED UPON, THE SHARES REPRESENTED BY A PROPERLY EXECUTED PROXY CARD WILL BE VOTED (i) FOR ELECTION OF ALL CLASS II DIRECTOR NOMINEES (PROPOSAL 1); (ii) FOR ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL 2); and (iii) FOR RATIFICATION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL 3). Management knows of no other matters that may come before the Annual Meeting for consideration by the stockholders. However, if any other matter properly comes before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote upon such matters in accordance with the recommendation of the board of directors or, in the absence of such a recommendation, in accordance with their best judgment.

How will votes be counted?

The inspector of elections appointed by the board of directors for the Annual Meeting and the Company s General Counsel will calculate affirmative votes, negative votes, abstentions and broker non-votes. Under Delaware law, for matters requiring a majority of votes present and in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on such matters (a majority vote standard), abstentions will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote on the matters. For Proposals 2 (advisory approval of the Company s executive compensation) and 3 (ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm), which have a majority vote standard pursuant to Rice Energy s bylaws, abstentions have the same effect as a vote against the particular proposal. In the case of Proposal 1 (election of Class II directors), which has a plurality vote standard pursuant to Rice Energy s bylaws, shareholders may withhold authority to vote their shares in favor of the candidate.

You, as beneficial owner, own your shares in *street name* if your broker or other *street* nominee is actually the record owner. Under NYSE rules, brokers or other *street* nominees have authority to vote in their discretion on *routine* matters such as the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016 (Proposal 3) if they have not received voting instructions from their clients who are the beneficial owners of such shares at least ten days before the date of the meeting. When the broker or other *street* nominee does not receive voting instructions from clients with respect to *non-routine* matters, such as the election of Class II directors (Proposal 1) and the advisory approval of the Company s executive compensation (Proposal 2), this results in a *broker non-vote*. Broker non-votes are included in the calculation of the number of votes considered to be present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, but otherwise will not affect the voting outcome of the proposals.

Where do I find voting results of the Annual Meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. Preliminary or final voting results will also be published in Rice Energy s Current Report on Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC on or about June 7, 2016. You may receive a copy of such Form 8-K by contacting Rice Energy s Investor Relations at (724) 271-7200, or the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for the location of its nearest public reference room. You may also access a copy on the Internet at

www.riceenergy.com or through EDGAR, the SEC s electronic data system, at www.sec.gov.

CERTAIN MATTERS RELATING TO PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL REPORT

Householding

The Company has adopted a procedure approved by the SEC called *householding*. Under this procedure, beneficial stockholders who have the same address and last name and who do not participate in electronic delivery or Internet access of proxy materials will receive only one copy of the Company s annual report and proxy

4

statement, unless one or more of these stockholders notifies the Company that they wish to continue receiving individual copies. This procedure is designed to reduce duplicate mailings and save significant printing and processing costs, as well as natural resources. Each stockholder who participates in householding will continue to receive a separate proxy card or notice. Your consent to householding is perpetual unless you withhold or revoke it. You may revoke your consent at any time by contacting Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., either by calling toll-free at (866) 540-7095 or writing to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. You will be removed from the householding program within 30 days of receipt of your response, after which you will receive an individual copy of the proxy materials. Additionally, the Company will deliver promptly upon written or oral request a separate copy of the annual report or proxy statement, as applicable, to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the documents was delivered. Any such notice should be addressed to the Investor Relations Department of Rice Energy Inc., 2200 Rice Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317, or by telephone at (724) 271-7200.

Incorporation by Reference

Neither the compensation committee report nor the audit committee report shall be deemed soliciting material or filed with the SEC and neither of them shall be deemed incorporated by reference into any prior or future filings made by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), except to the extent that we specifically incorporate such information by reference. In addition, this document includes several website addresses. These website addresses are intended to provide inactive, textual references only. The information on these websites is not part of this document.

Availability of SEC Filings, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Codes of Ethics and Committee Charters

Copies of our reports on Forms 10-K (including the financial statements and financial statement schedules), 10-Q, 8-K and all amendments to those reports filed with the SEC, and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Financial Code of Ethics, and the charters of the audit, compensation, health, safety and environmental and nominating and governance committees, and any reports of beneficial ownership of our common stock filed by executive officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our outstanding common stock are posted on, and may be obtained through, our website, www.riceenergy.com, or may be requested in print, at no cost, by telephone at (724) 271-7200 or by mail at: Rice Energy Inc., 2200 Rice Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317, Attention: Investor Relations Department.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF CLASS II DIRECTORS

The Company s amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides for a classified board of directors with classes to be filled by election at each annual meeting and to be composed of as many directors as are designated from time to time by the board of directors. Currently, there are eight directors divided into three classes designated as *Class I* (Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV and James W. Christmas), *Class II* (Messrs. Robert F. Vagt, Toby Z. Rice, and Steven C. Dixon) and *Class III* (Messrs. Daniel J. Rice III, Scott A. Gieselman and John McCartney). The term for Class II directors expires at the Annual Meeting. The current term of office of our Class III directors expires at the 2017 annual meeting, and the term for Class I directors expires at the 2018 annual meeting.

Class II Director Nominees

Upon recommendation by the nominating and governance committee of the board, the board proposes that Messrs. Robert F. Vagt, Toby Z. Rice and Steven C. Dixon, current Class II Directors, be elected for new terms of three (3) years, each until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Messrs. Vagt, Rice and Dixon have

consented to serve if elected. If any of Messrs. Vagt, Rice or Dixon becomes unavailable to serve as a director, the board may designate a substitute nominee, or the board may decide to reduce the number of directors. In the case of a substitute nominee, the persons named as proxies will vote for the substitute nominee designated by the board.

Robert F. Vagt, **69**, has served as the chairman of our board of directors since January 2014 and currently serves as chairman of the compensation committee and a member of the audit committee. Mr. Vagt has also served as a member of the board of directors of Rice Midstream Management LLC (Rice Midstream Management), a subsidiary of Rice Energy and general partner of Rice Midstream Partners LP (NYSE: RMP) (RMP), since August 2014 and as the chairman of its board of directors since December 2014. Mr. Vagt currently serves as a member of the audit committee of the board of directors of Rice Midstream Management. Mr. Vagt has served as a

5

member of the board of directors of Kinder Morgan, Inc. (NYSE: KMI) since May 2012, where he serves as a member of the audit committee. Mr. Vagt served as a member of the board of directors of El Paso Corporation from May 2005 until June 2012, where he was a member of the compensation and health, safety and environmental committees. From January 2008 until January 2014, Mr. Vagt was the President of The Heinz Endowments. Prior to his tenure at The Heinz Endowments, Mr. Vagt served as President of Davidson College from July 1997 to August 2007. Mr. Vagt served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Seagull Energy Corporation from 1996 to 1997. From 1992 to 1996, he served as President, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Global Natural Resources. Mr. Vagt served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Adobe Resources Corporation (Adobe) from 1989 to 1992. Prior to 1989, he served in various positions with Adobe and its predecessor entities.

Qualifications. Mr. Vagt s professional background in both the public and private sectors make him an important advisor and member of our board of directors. Mr. Vagt brings to the board operations and management expertise in both the public and private sectors. In addition, Mr. Vagt provides the board with diversity of perspective gained from service as president of The Heinz Endowments, as well as from service as the president of an independent liberal arts college.

Toby Z. Rice, **34**, has served as our President and Chief Operating Officer and a member of our board of directors since October 2013, and currently serves as a member of the health, safety and environmental committee. Mr. Rice has served in a number of positions with Rice Energy, its affiliates and predecessor entities since February 2007, including as President and Chief Executive Officer of a predecessor entity from February 2008 through September 2013. From September 2005 until March 2008, he served as founder and President of ZFT LLC, a consulting company specializing in the application of new hydraulic fracturing technologies for unconventional shale and tight sandstone reservoirs. Mr. Rice holds a BS in Chemistry from Rollins College. He is the son of Mr. Daniel J. Rice III and the brother of Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV and Derek A. Rice.

Mr. Rice was initially elected to our board pursuant to a stockholders agreement, dated as of January 29, 2014, entered into by the Company, Rice Energy Holdings LLC (Rice Holdings), NGP Rice Holdings, LLC (NGP Holdings), Rice Energy Family Holdings, LP (Rice Partners, and the rights of which have been assigned to the Rice Energy Irrevocable Trust (the Rice Trust)) and Alpha Natural Resources, Inc. (Alpha) (the Stockholders Agreement).

Qualifications. Mr. Rice s considerable operational experience brings important and valuable skills to the board of directors.

Steven C. Dixon, **57**, has served as a member of our board of directors since December 2014 and currently serves as a member of the nominating and governance committee and as chairman of the health, safety and environmental committee. Mr. Dixon served as Chief Executive Officer of Chesapeake Energy Corporation, an oil and natural gas company (NYSE: CHK) (Chesapeake), from April 2013 to August 2013, prior to which he served in various roles at Chesapeake, including as Executive Vice President Operations and Geosciences and Chief Operating Officer from February 2010 until August 2013, Executive Vice President Operations and Chief Operating Officer from 2006 to February 2010, Senior Vice President Production from 1995 to 2006, and Vice President Exploration from 1991 to 1995.

Qualifications. Mr. Dixon s extensive operational experience in the energy industry, including his experience as an executive officer of a large publicly traded oil and natural gas producer, provides the board with significant operational insight into the Company s business.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH CLASS II DIRECTOR NOMINEE NAMED ABOVE

Other Directors Not Standing for Election at this Annual Meeting

The five remaining directors of the board are:

Daniel J. Rice IV, 35, has served as our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of directors since October 2013, and currently serves as a member of the health, safety and environmental committee. Mr. Rice has served in a number of positions with Rice Energy, its affiliates and predecessor entities since October 2008, including as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from October 2008 through September 2013 and Chief Operating Officer from October 2012 through September 2013. Mr. Rice also has served as the Chief Executive Officer of RMP and as a member of the board of directors of Rice Midstream Management since August 2014. Prior

6

to joining Rice Energy, he served as an investment banker for Tudor Pickering Holt & Co., LLC, an integrated energy investment bank in Houston, Texas, from February 2008 to October 2008. Prior to his employment at Tudor Pickering, he served as a senior analyst of corporate planning for Transocean Inc., responsible for mergers and acquisitions and business development, from March 2005 to February 2008. Mr. Rice holds a BS in Finance from Bryant University. He is the son of Mr. Daniel J. Rice III and the brother of Messrs. Toby A. Rice and Derek A. Rice. Mr. Rice was initially elected to our board pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement.

Qualifications. Mr. Rice s considerable financial and operational experience brings important and valuable skills to the board of directors.

Daniel J. Rice III, 64, has served as a member of our board of directors since October 2013 and currently serves as a member of the health, safety and environmental committee. He also served as Managing General Partner of Rice Partners from February 2007 until its dissolution in November 2014. Mr. Rice has also served as a member of the board of directors of Rice Midstream Management since August 2014. Since January 2013, Mr. Rice has served as Lead Portfolio Manager for GRT Capital senergy division. From January 2005 to December 2012, Mr. Rice served as a managing director and portfolio manager for BlackRock, Inc. (NYSE: BLK) (BlackRock) and was a member of BlackRock s Global Resources team, responsible for small cap and all cap energy funds. Prior to joining BlackRock in 2005, he was a Senior Vice President and portfolio manager at State Street Research & Management (State Street Research), responsible for the small cap energy and all cap energy global resources funds. Prior to joining State Street Research in 1984, he was a Vice President and portfolio manager with Fred Alger Management. Earlier in his career, Mr. Rice was a Vice President and analyst with EF Hutton and an analyst with Loomis Sayles and Co. He began his career in 1975 as an auditor with Price Waterhouse & Co. He earned a BS from Bates College in 1973 and an MBA from New York University in 1975. He is the father of Messrs. Toby Z. Rice, Daniel J. Rice IV and Derek A. Rice. Mr. Rice was initially elected to our board pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement.

Qualifications. Mr. Rice s considerable financial and energy investing experience, including more than 30 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry, brings important and valuable skills to the board of directors.

James W. Christmas, 68, has served as a member of our board of directors since January 2014 and currently serves as chairman of the audit committee and a member of the compensation committee. Mr. Christmas has served as a member of the board of directors of Halcón Resources Corporation since February 2012 and currently serves as Halcón s lead outside director and as chairman of the audit committee. Mr. Christmas began serving as a director of Petrohawk Energy Corporation (Petrohawk) in July 2006, effective upon the merger of KCS Energy, Inc. (KCS) into Petrohawk, He continued to serve as a director, and as vice chairman of the board of directors, for Petrohawk until BHP Billiton acquired Petrohawk in August 2011 and, after the acquisition, at the request of BHP Billiton, he served as a director and chairman of the financial reporting committee of Petrohawk until September 2014. He also served on the audit committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee of Petrohawk. Mr. Christmas also serves on the advisory board of the Tobin School of Business of St. John s University and as a director and member of the audit and compensation committees of Yuma Energy, Inc. (NYSE MKT: YUMA). He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of KCS from 1988 until April 2003 and chairman of the board and Chief Executive Officer of KCS until its merger into Petrohawk. Mr. Christmas was a certified public accountant in New York and was with Arthur Andersen & Co. from 1970 until 1978 before leaving to join National Utilities & Industries (NUI), a diversified energy company, as Vice President and Controller. He remained with NUI until 1988, when NUI spun out its unregulated activities that ultimately became part of KCS. As an auditor and audit Manager, Controller and in his role as Chief Executive Officer of KCS, Mr. Christmas was directly or indirectly responsible for financial reporting and compliance with SEC regulations, and as such has extensive experience in reviewing and evaluating financial reports, as well as in evaluating executive and board performance and in recruiting directors.

Qualifications. Mr. Christmas prior experience as an executive and director, and his past audit, accounting and financial reporting experience provide significant contributions to our board of directors.

Scott A. Gieselman, 53, has served as a member of our board of directors since April 2013 and currently serves as a member of the compensation committee and as chairman of the nominating and governance committee.

Mr. Gieselman has been a managing director of Natural Gas Partners since April 2007. From 1988 to April 2007, Mr. Gieselman worked in various positions in the investment banking energy group of Goldman, Sachs & Co. (NYSE: GS), where he became a partner in 2002. Mr. Gieselman received a BS from the Boston College Carroll School of Management in 1985 and an MBA from the Boston College Carroll Graduate School of Management in 1988. Mr. Gieselman was initially elected to our board pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement.

7

Qualifications. Mr. Gieselman s considerable financial and energy investment banking experience, as well as his experience on the boards of numerous private energy companies, brings important and valuable skills to the board of directors.

John McCartney, 63, has served as a member of our board of directors since March 2015 and currently serves as a member of the audit and nominating and governance committees. Mr. McCartney has served on the board of Huron Consulting Group, a healthcare and educational consulting company, since October 2004 and as the non-executive chairman of the Huron Consulting Group board since May 2010. He also serves on the audit committee of Huron Consulting Group and was the chairman of the audit committee from October 2004 to February 2010. Since August 1998, Mr. McCartney has served on the board of directors of Weston Group, Inc., a specialty distributor of networking and communications equipment, and for which he served as chairman from March 2011 until September 2013 and previously as chairman from January 2001 until March 2009. From 1998 until March 2015, Mr. McCartney served on the board of directors of A.M. Castle & Co. (NYSE: CAS), a global distributor of specialty metal and plastic products, and as chairman of that board from January 2007 until April 2010. In July 2007, Mr. McCartney was appointed as a director of Datatec Limited, a networking technology and services company (Datatec), where he serves as chairman of the remuneration committee. He had previously served as vice chairman of the board of directors of Datatec from October 1998 until May 2004. In August 2011, Mr. McCartney joined the board of Transco Inc., a Chicago-based company that provides solutions to customers in the railroad, electric utility, process and manufacturing industries. From May 2009 until February 2015, he served on the board of Covance Inc., a drug development services company. From July 2005 until April 2010, Mr. McCartney served on the board of Federal Signal Corporation (NYSE: FSS), a safety and security products manufacturer. From June 1997 to March 1998, he held the position of President of 3Com Corporation s Client Access Unit. Mr. McCartney joined the executive management team of U.S. Robotics in March 1984 as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and served there in various executive capacities until serving as President and Chief Operating Officer of U.S. Robotics from January 1996 until its merger with 3Com Corporation in June 1997.

Qualifications. Mr. McCartney has served as chairman and vice chairman of the boards of several public and private companies, as well as of an institution of higher education. His deep knowledge of accounting and his prior experience as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of a public company have prepared Mr. McCartney to assist the Company and the board.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING

Inclusion of Proposals in Our Proxy Statement and Proxy Card under SEC Rules. From time to time, stockholders may present proposals that are proper subjects for inclusion in the proxy statement and for consideration at an annual meeting. Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act, in order to be included in the Company s proxy statement for the 2017 annual meeting, such proposals must be received by Rice Energy at our principal executive offices no later than December 16, 2016, unless the date of our 2017 annual meeting is changed by more than 30 days from June 1, 2017, in which case the proposal must be received at our principal executive offices a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials.

Bylaw Requirements for Stockholder Submissions of Nominations and Proposals. Pursuant to Rice Energy s bylaws, stockholders of record may present proposals that are proper subjects for consideration at an annual meeting and/or nominate persons to serve on our board of directors at an annual meeting or special meeting at which directors are to be elected. Rice Energy s bylaws require all stockholders who intend to make proposals at an annual stockholders meeting or special stockholders meeting to provide a written notice, including the information specified in Rice Energy s bylaws (which information is summarized in *Corporate Governance and Related Matters Nominating and Governance Committee Stockholder Director Nominations*), to our Corporate Secretary at our

principal executive offices located at 2200 Rice Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317, not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day and not later than the 90th day prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year s annual meeting. To be eligible for consideration at the 2017 annual meeting, notices must be received by Rice Energy between February 1, 2017, and March 3, 2017. In the event that the date of the 2017 annual meeting is changed by more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after the one-year anniversary of the 2016 Annual Meeting, as set forth in this proxy statement, stockholder notice must be received no earlier than the close of

8

business on the 120th day prior to the 2017 annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the date that is the later of the (i) 90th day prior to the 2017 annual meeting or (ii) if the first public announcement of the 2017 annual meeting is less than 100 days prior to such meeting, the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the 2017 annual meeting is first made. In the event that the number of directors to be elected to the board is increased and there is no public announcement by the Company naming all of the nominees for director or specifying the size of the increased board at least 100 days prior to the first anniversary of the 2016 Annual Meeting, a stockholder s notice required by the bylaws will also be considered timely, but only with respect to nominees for any new positions created by such increase, if it shall be delivered to the Company s Corporate Secretary at the Company s principal executive offices not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which such public announcement is first made by the Company.

Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement, we and Rice Holdings, NGP Holdings, Alpha and Rice Partners (the rights of which have been assigned to the Rice Trust) previously had agreed to appoint individuals designated by Rice Holdings, NGP Holdings and Alpha to our board of directors and nominate such persons for election at each annual meeting of our stockholders, subject to the following:

Rice Holdings has the right to nominate three members of our board of directors, provided that such number of nominees shall be reduced to two and zero if Rice Holdings and its affiliates, which includes Rice Trust and Daniel J. Rice III, collectively own less than 15% and 5%, respectively, of the outstanding shares of our common stock;

NGP Holdings has the right to nominate two members of our board of directors, provided that such number of nominees shall be reduced to one and zero if NGP Holdings and its affiliates collectively own less than 15% and 5%, respectively, of the outstanding shares of our common stock (NGP Holdings currently has the right to nominate one director to our board of directors); and

Alpha previously had the right to nominate one member of our board of directors, which right terminated upon the reduction in ownership by Alpha and its affiliates to less than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Furthermore, as described in greater detail below, Alpha is no longer a party to the Stockholders Agreement.

The Stockholders Agreement also requires the parties thereto to take all necessary actions, including voting their shares of common stock, for the election of the nominees designated by such principal stockholders. The Stockholders Agreement will terminate on the earlier of the date that (i) none of our principal stockholders beneficially own at least 2.5% of our outstanding common stock or (ii) we receive written notice from each principal stockholder requesting the termination of the Stockholders Agreement. The Stockholders Agreement terminates with respect to a particular principal stockholder party thereto when such principal stockholder beneficially owns less than 2.5% of our outstanding common stock. Alpha is no longer a party to the Stockholders Agreement, as it and its affiliates collectively hold less than 2.5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

The Board of Directors

Our board of directors currently consists of eight members: Messrs. Robert F. Vagt (Chairman), Daniel J. Rice IV, Toby Z. Rice, Daniel J. Rice III, James W. Christmas, Steven C. Dixon, Scott A. Gieselman and John McCartney.

We closed our initial public offering (the IPO) in January 2014, and ceased to be a *controlled company* within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards in August 2014. As a result, we are required to have a majority of independent board members and audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees composed wholly of independent directors.

Our directors will hold office until the earlier of death, resignation, retirement, disqualification or removal or until successors have been duly elected and qualified. In evaluating potential board candidates, we specifically consider their relevant skills and qualifications, independence, business judgment, services on boards of other companies, personal and professional integrity (including commitment to the Company s core values), openness, ability to work as part of a team, willingness to commit the required time to serve as board members, and familiarity with Rice Energy and the industry.

9

The board of directors is divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms. Class I, Class II and Class III directors serve until our annual meetings of stockholders in 2018, 2016 and 2017, respectively. Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV and Christmas are assigned to Class I, Messrs. Toby Z. Rice, Vagt and Dixon are assigned to Class II and Messrs. Daniel J. Rice III, Gieselman and McCartney are assigned to Class III. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors will be elected to succeed the class of directors whose terms have expired.

Our nominating and governance committee undertook an annual review of director and director nominee independence in February 2016. The purpose of this review was to determine whether any relationships or transactions involving directors and director nominees, their family members and affiliates were inconsistent with a determination that the director or director nominee is independent under the independence standards set forth in the NYSE rules and our corporate governance guidelines and, with respect to audit committee members and nominees, under the independence standards for audit committee members adopted by the SEC. Based on that review, our board of directors determined that each of Messrs. Vagt, Christmas, Dixon, Gieselman and McCartney qualify as *independent* under the independence standards set forth in the NYSE rules, and that each of Messrs. Vagt, Christmas and McCartney qualify as *independent* under the independence standards for audit committee members adopted by the SEC.

The Board of Directors and its Committees

Our board of directors has four standing committees (i) audit committee; (ii) compensation committee; (iii) nominating and governance committee; and (iv) health, safety and environmental committee and we may form such other committees as the board of directors shall determine from time to time in the future. Each of the committees has adopted a charter. Stockholders may obtain copies of the charters of each committee on Rice Energy s website at www.riceenergy.com, or upon written request at no cost. From time to time, our board of directors may also form special ad hoc committees to which it may delegate certain authority to administer particular duties of the board.

The board of directors held nine meetings in 2015, either in person or by telephone. Each currently serving director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the board of directors in 2015 (held during the periods for which he served as a director) and the total number of meetings held by each committee on which he served in 2015 (during the period that he served). See *Board and Committee Membership* below for information regarding the committees on which each of our directors sit and the number of committee meetings held by each committee in 2015. Under Rice Energy s corporate governance guidelines, directors are encouraged to attend annual stockholder meetings.

In connection with each of the quarterly board of directors meetings, the non-management directors meet in executive session without any members of management present. If the board of directors convenes a special meeting, the non-management directors may meet in executive session if the circumstances warrant. The chairman of the board presides at each board executive session of non-management directors.

Board Leadership Structure

Mr. Daniel J. Rice IV serves as our Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Robert F. Vagt, an independent board member, serves as the chairman of the board. As our corporate governance guidelines state, the board of directors has no policy with respect to the separation of the offices of the Chief Executive Officer and the chairman of the board. Rice Energy closed its IPO in January 2014 and, at that time, the board of directors evaluated the appropriate leadership structure for our Company and relevant corporate governance trends and determined that the board should have an independent board chairman. The board, however, believes that this issue is part of the succession planning process and that it is in the best interests of the Company for the board to make a determination regarding this issue each time it elects a new

Chief Executive Officer or otherwise determines that it is appropriate to revisit the board s leadership structure in its discretion.

10

Board and Committee Membership

The table below identifies the standing board committees on which each of our directors currently sit:

Name	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Governance Committee	Health, Safety and Environmental Committee
Robert F. Vagt ⁽¹⁾	X	$X^{(2)}$		
Toby Z. Rice				X
Daniel J. Rice III				X
Steven C. Dixon			X	$\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$
Scott A. Gieselman		X	$X^{(2)}$	
James W. Christmas	$\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$	X		
John McCartney	X		X	
Daniel J. Rice IV				X

⁽¹⁾ Chairman of the board.

Below is the number of meetings the standing board committees held in 2015:

	No. of Meetings
Board Committees	Held
Audit Committee	5
Compensation Committee	6
Nominating and Governance Committee	6
Health, Safety and Environmental Committee	5

The principal responsibilities and functions of the standing board of directors committees are as follows:

Audit Committee

We have an audit committee comprised of three directors. Our audit committee consists of Messrs. Christmas (Chair), McCartney and Vagt, each of whom is independent under the rules of the SEC and the listing standards of the NYSE. Our board has also determined that Messrs. Christmas, McCartney and Vagt satisfy the definition of an *audit committee financial expert*.

The audit committee charter sets forth the committee s roles and responsibilities which include assisting the board in its oversight of the: (i) integrity of the Company s financial statements, (ii) Company s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) qualifications, independence, and performance of the Company s independent auditors, and (iv) effectiveness and performance of the Company s internal audit function.

Compensation Committee

⁽²⁾ Committee chairman.

We have a compensation committee comprised of three directors who meet the independence and experience standards established by the NYSE. Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. Vagt (Chair), Gieselman and Christmas, each of whom is independent under the listing standards of the NYSE.

The compensation committee charter sets forth the committee s roles and responsibilities, which are to (i) review, evaluate, and approve the agreements, plans, policies and programs of the Company to compensate executive officers and directors, (ii) review and discuss with the Company s management disclosures to be included in the Company s annual proxy statement or annual report, as applicable, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, (iii) produce the Compensation Committee Report, (iv) discharge the board s responsibilities relating to compensation of the Company s executive officers and directors, and (v) perform such other functions as the board may assign to the compensation committee from time to time. Under its charter, our compensation committee is authorized to delegate its responsibilities to any one of its members or any subcommittee it may form subject to, and in accordance with, restrictions set forth in its charter. Under the terms of the Rice Energy Inc. Amended and Restated 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP), our compensation committee is authorized to administer the plan and may delegate its authority thereunder to another committee of the board or to an appropriate officer of Rice Energy, in accordance with law and the terms of such plan. Our compensation committee has delegated to our Chief Executive Officer the authority to administer, grant, and determine the amount of certain equity awards awarded to non-executive employees under the LTIP.

Committee Meetings

The compensation committee meets at scheduled times during the year, typically prior to quarterly board meetings. Typically, the Chief Executive Officer is invited to attend compensation committee meetings; however, neither the Chief Executive Officer nor any other officers of the Company attend any executive sessions held by the compensation committee. The independent compensation consultant attends certain portions of committee meetings. The attendance of the Chief Executive Officer and certain other officers allows the compensation committee to make inquiries into matters for which it is responsible and assists the committee in making informed decisions. After each meeting of the compensation committee, the committee chairman reports on actions taken, and recommendations, at the next regularly scheduled board meeting.

Role of Management in Determining Executive Compensation

Our compensation committee works with our management team in reviewing compensation matters, as more fully described herein and in *Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis*.

Annual Process for Determining Compensation of Executive Officers

As more fully described in *Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis*, our compensation committee, together with the independent compensation consultants engaged by the compensation committee, conducts an annual review of our overall compensation program for executive officers and directors. With respect to executive officer compensation, our compensation committee reviews, among other items, each of the key components of compensation base salary and short- and long-term incentives both within the Company and as compared to peer data to determine whether each of these components is in line with our compensation philosophy and the Company s related goals and objectives. Upon the recommendation of our Chief Executive Officer with respect to the compensation of each other executive officer, and, following its review of the findings of its independent compensation consultants, our compensation committee determines the compensation for all key executives and recommends ratification, in the case of our Chief Executive Officer s compensation, to the independent members of our board.

Process for Determining Non-Employee Director Compensation

The compensation committee conducts an annual review of director compensation and benefits, including cash, equity-based awards and other compensation, and makes a recommendation to the board regarding the form and amount of non-employee director compensation. In making a recommendation, the compensation committee will consider whether a director s independence may be jeopardized if: (i) director compensation and perquisites exceed customary levels, (ii) the Company makes substantial charitable contributions to organizations with which a director is affiliated and/or (iii) the Company enters into consulting contracts with (or provides other indirect forms of compensation to) a director or an organization with which the director is affiliated.

In determining non-employee director compensation, our compensation committee seeks advice from the independent compensation consultants who are retained by the committee to, among other functions, analyze compensation and develop initial recommendations as to the amount and form of compensation to be paid to the Company s non-employee directors, including pay mix. If, after the annual review of non-employee director compensation by our compensation committee, the committee determines that any changes should be made to such program, it will recommend them to our board for approval. After the committee s review of this information with the consultant, the committee recommended, and the board approved, the compensation paid to non-employee directors for the 2015 fiscal year.

Directors who are employees of the Company or who are designees of Rice Holdings or NGP Holdings may not receive any compensation for service on the board.

Independent Compensation Consultants

The compensation committee has the authority to engage the services of outside advisors and, the Company, at the direction of the compensation committee, retained Alvarez & Marsal Taxand, LLC (A&M), a global professional services firm, to assist the compensation committee with its review of the Company s executive and non-employee director compensation programs including, without limitation: (i) recommending the most appropriate peer group, (ii) examining competitive levels of base salary, annual incentives, total cash compensation, long-term incentives, and total direct compensation of the Company s executive officers against the chosen peer group, (iii) comparing the compensation of Rice Energy s executive team to market data to determine current

12

posture relative to the competitive market, (iv) analyzing how peer companies effectively deliver compensation to their executive officers in terms of overall mix and program design, (v) developing initial recommendations as to the amount and form of compensation to be paid to Rice Energy s executive officers, (vi) developing initial recommendations as to the design/construct of Rice Energy s annual incentive (bonus) and long-term incentive programs and (vii) assisting in the implementation of the short- and long-term incentive programs, including addressing any ongoing concerns.

To maintain the consultants independence from management, the consultants did not provide any services to the Company, other than services provided to the compensation committee. On an annual basis, the committee reviews the following with A&M: (i) whether A&M provided other services to Rice Energy, (ii) the amount of fees received from the Company by A&M as a percentage of the total revenue of A&M, (iii) policies and procedures of A&M that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest, (iv) any business or personal relationships of the consultants or A&M with members of the compensation committee or Rice Energy s executive officers, and (v) any Rice Energy stock owned by the consultants. In each case, the committee has found that A&M and the A&M consultants did not have any such relationships with Rice Energy or own stock in the Company.

The independent compensation consultants reported directly to the compensation committee and, with the consent of the compensation committee, coordinated and gathered information with which to advise the compensation committee from members of management and human resources personnel. The work of A&M for the committee did not present any conflicts of interest that required the compensation committee s consideration.

For more information regarding our compensation committee s processes for determining executive officer compensation and the role of our independent compensation consultants in executive compensation matters, see *Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis*.

Nominating and Governance Committee

We have a nominating and governance committee comprised of three directors who meet the independence standards established by the NYSE. Our nominating and governance committee consists of Messrs. Gieselman (Chair), Dixon and McCartney. The responsibilities of the committee are to: (i) advise the board and make recommendations regarding appropriate corporate governance practices and assist the board in implementing those practices, (ii) assist the board by identifying individuals qualified to become members of the board, consistent with the criteria approved by the board and recommend director nominees to the board for election at the annual meetings of stockholders or to fill vacancies on the board, (iii) advise the board about the appropriate composition of the board and its committees, (iv) lead the board in its annual performance evaluation and that of its committees, and of management, (v) direct all matters relating to the succession of the Chief Executive Officer and (vi) perform such other functions as the board may assign to the committee from time to time.

Director Nomination and Qualification Criteria

Except where Rice Energy is legally required by contract or otherwise to provide third parties with the ability to nominate directors (including pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement), our nominating and governance committee reviews the qualifications of proposed director nominees to serve on our board of directors and recommends nominees to our board. Our board is ultimately responsible for proposing a slate of nominees to the stockholders for election to the board, using information provided by the committee.

Prior to recommending to the board that an existing director be nominated for election as a director at the annual meeting of stockholders, the committee will consider and review the director s:

past board and committee meeting attendance and performance;

length of board service;

personal and professional integrity, including commitment to the Company s core values;

relevant experience, skills, qualifications and contributions that the existing director brings to the board; and

independence under applicable standards.

13

Prior to recommending to the board that a person be elected to fill a vacancy on the board, the nominating and governance committee will consider and review the candidate s:

relevant skills, qualifications and experience;
independence under applicable standards;
business judgment;
service on boards of directors of other companies;
personal and professional integrity, including commitment to the Company s core values;
openness and ability to work as part of a team;
willingness to commit the required time to serve as a board member; and

familiarity with the Company and its industry.

The Company does not maintain a separate policy regarding the diversity of its board members. However, the board seeks nominees with distinct professional backgrounds, experience and perspectives so that the board as a whole has the range of skills and viewpoints necessary to fulfill its responsibilities.

Senior management, members of our nominating and governance committee, and other members of our board of directors are sources for the identification of prospective director nominees. Our nominating and governance committee also has authority to retain third-party search firms to identify director candidates. The committee may consider proposed nominees that are identified by stockholders in the manner prescribed by our bylaws, as described below.

While our nominating and governance committee does not have a formal process for evaluating proposed nominees, the members of the committee generally will review the résumé or biography of a proposed nominee and consult the proposed nominee s personal references. Members of our nominating and governance committee will also personally interview (and, as appropriate, suggest that other members of our board interview) the proposed nominee, if the committee considers the proposed nominee suitable for consideration. After completing this evaluation, our nominating and governance committee makes a recommendation to the full board as to the persons who should be nominated by our board, and the board determines the nominees after considering the recommendation and report of the committee.

Messrs. Robert F. Vagt, Toby Z. Rice and Steven C. Dixon were each recommended by our nominating and governance committee to our board of directors for nomination for election as Class II directors at the Annual

Meeting. These nominees are current directors of the Company.

The nominating and governance committee will treat recommendations for directors that are received from the Company's stockholders equally with recommendations received from any other source; *provided*, however, that in order for such stockholder recommendations to be considered, the recommendations must comply with the procedures outlined herein and in our bylaws.

Stockholder Director Nominations

Section 2.9 of our bylaws describes the process by which stockholders of the Company may submit director nominations at an annual meeting or at a special meeting at which directors are to be elected. Any stockholder of the Company who is entitled to vote at a meeting, who has complied with the notice procedures set forth in Section 2.9 and who was a stockholder of record at the time such notice is delivered to the Company s Corporate Secretary may propose a director nomination. The procedures for a stockholder to nominate a director include the following:

The stockholder must have given timely written notice, in proper form, to the Corporate Secretary of the Company including, without limitation, the stockholder s name and address, all information regarding the stockholder s ownership of Rice Energy securities and a representation that the stockholder is entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to bring such nomination before the meeting. The deadlines for providing notice to the Company of a proposed director nomination at our next annual meeting are set forth in our bylaws and summarized in *Stockholder Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting*.

The notice provided to the Company s Corporate Secretary must include all information relating to a director nominee that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings (including such person s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director, if elected).

14

The notice provided to the Company s Corporate Secretary must include a description of all direct and indirect compensation and other material monetary agreements, arrangements and understandings during the past three years, and any other material relationships, between or among any stockholder proponent and each proposed director nominee.

The notice provided to the Company s Corporate Secretary must include a written questionnaire with respect to the background and qualification of a director nominee and the background of any other person or entity on whose behalf the nomination is being made.

Rice Energy may also require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as may reasonably be required by the Company to determine the eligibility of such proposed nominee to serve as an independent director of the Company or that could be material to a reasonable stockholder s understanding of the independence, or lack thereof, of such nominee.

The foregoing summary of our stockholder director nomination procedures is not complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of our bylaws, which has been publicly filed with the SEC and is available at www.sec.gov.

For a description of our Stockholders Agreement in which we and certain principal stockholders have agreed to appoint certain director nominees, among other matters, under certain conditions, see *Stockholder Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting*.

Health, Safety and Environmental Committee

We have a health, safety and environmental committee. Our health, safety and environmental committee consists of Messrs. Dixon (Chair), Toby Z. Rice, Daniel J. Rice IV and Daniel J. Rice III. This committee s responsibilities include oversight of the Company s: (i) compliance with safety, health, environmental and sustainability-related laws and other regulatory requirements, (ii) initiatives to enhance sustainable business practices and Rice Energy s reputation as a responsible corporate citizen, (iii) plans, programs and processes to evaluate and manage safety, health, environmental and sustainability risks to its business and reputation, including crisis response, and (iv) responses to significant safety, health, environmental and sustainability-related public policy, regulatory and social issues and trends that may affect the Company, its reputation and performance.

Board s Role in Risk Management Oversight

Our management team is responsible for the management and assessment of risk at the Company and communicating those risks to our board. Through regular presentations to the board and the appropriate committees (as determined by the subject matter of the particular risk), management identifies and discusses the risks affecting the Company and our business. In February 2016, our management team performed a comprehensive risk analysis that included a review of the material risks that could affect the Company and communicated the results of the analysis to the full board.

The board is charged with assessing major risks facing the Company and reviewing options for their mitigation with the assistance of the various committees. Even when a risk has been delegated to a particular committee, the board as a whole continues to monitor such risk through its receipt and review of reports provided by the respective committee chairpersons at each regularly-scheduled board meeting.

The audit committee assists the board in its general oversight of, among other things, the Company s practices regarding risk assessment and risk management. As part of this endeavor, the audit committee reviews and assesses the Company s significant financial risk exposures and the actions that management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. The audit committee also reviews and assesses the quality and integrity of our public reporting, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the performance and independence of our independent auditors, the performance of our internal audit department, and the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our health, safety and environmental committee addresses various risks associated with health, safety and the environment and reviews: (i) the Company s health, safety, security and environmental policies, (ii) any material pending or threatened administrative, regulatory or judicial proceedings regarding health, safety or environmental

15

matters, (iii) any material non-compliance issues with management as to health, safety, security and environmental laws, and (iv) the scope and management s plans for conducting audits of the Company s safety, health, environmental and sustainability practices.

Our nominating and governance committee addresses risks associated with our management structure by reviewing, among other matters, the qualifications and backgrounds of our executives and directors, and reviewing succession planning to ensure that we have the right talent leading our Company.

Finally, our compensation committee reviews and develops our executive and director incentive programs to drive performance without incentivizing excessive risk-taking. See *Compensation and Risk* below for a discussion of the compensation committee s findings and conclusions with respect to the Company s compensation policies and practices.

Codes of Ethics

Our board of directors has adopted a financial code of ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller and other senior financial officers, and a corporate code of business conduct and ethics applicable to our employees, directors and officers, in accordance with applicable U.S. federal securities laws and the corporate governance rules of the NYSE (the Codes). Any waiver of the Codes may be made only by our board of directors (or a committee thereof) and will be promptly disclosed if required by applicable U.S. federal securities laws and the corporate governance rules of the NYSE.

Director Compensation in 2015

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation paid by us to our directors for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert F. Vagt	250,000	250,005	500,005
James W. Christmas	100,000	170,006	270,006
Scott A. Gieselman			
Daniel Rice III			
Steven C. Dixon	100,000	170,006	270,006
John McCartney	$72,925^{(2)}$	204,370	277,295

⁽¹⁾ Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV and Toby Z. Rice, our Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer, respectively, are also on our board of directors. The compensation of both officers is reported in the Summary Compensation Table and the other tables set forth herein. Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV and Toby Z. Rice do not receive any additional compensation in connection with their service on our board of directors or for service on the boards of directors of our affiliates. Messrs. Gieselman and Daniel J. Rice III, as directors who are designees of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings, respectively, do not receive any compensation for their service on our board of directors.

(2)

- Mr. McCartney was appointed to our board of directors on March 10, 2015. This amount represents the director fee of \$90,000, on a pro-rated basis, for Mr. McCartney s service during 2015.
- (3) The values set forth in this column relate to restricted stock unit awards granted on: March 10, 2015
 Mr. McCartney received an award of 2,146 restricted stock units with an aggregate grant date fair value of
 \$39,357; June 3, 2015 Messrs. Vagt, Christmas, McCartney and Dixon each received an award of 11,216, 7,627,
 7,403 and 7,627 restricted stock units, respectively, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$250,005,
 \$170,006, \$165,013 and \$170,006, respectively. The values in this column are based on the aggregate grant date
 fair value of awards computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting
 Standards Codification, (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718). A
 discussion of the relevant assumptions made in the valuation of these awards is provided

16

in Rice Energy's Annual Report on Form 10-K (Note 15) for the year ended December 31, 2015, which was filed on February 25, 2016 (the Form 10-K). As of December 31, 2015, Messrs. McCartney, Vagt, Christmas and Dixon each held an aggregate of 9,549, 11,216, 7,627 and 7,627 restricted stock units, respectively. Messrs. Gieselman and Rice do not hold any restricted stock units in Rice Energy.

Additional Information Regarding Our Director Compensation Table

Director Compensation Arrangements

The table below sets forth the current compensatory arrangements with non-employee directors of the Company (other than the designees of Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings, who do not receive director compensation from the Company):

Dollar Value of

Type of Compensation	Board Compensation
Annual Cash Retainer (not Board or Committee Chairmen)	\$90,000
Board Chairman Annual Cash Retainer	\$250,000
Committee Chairmen Annual Cash Retainer	\$100,000
Annual Equity Compensation (not Board or Committee	equity worth \$165,000 in the form of restricted stock
Chairmen)	units
Board Chairman Annual Equity Compensation	equity worth \$250,000 in the form of restricted stock units
Committee Chairmen Annual Equity Compensation	equity worth \$170,000 in the form of restricted stock units

Officers and employees of Rice Energy and members of the Rice family who also serve on the board of directors of Rice Midstream Management, RMP s general partner, do not receive additional compensation for such service. Generally, compensation for directors of Rice Midstream Management consists of (i) an annual cash retainer of \$250,000 for the chairman of the board, \$100,000 for committee chairmen, and \$90,000 for all other such directors, each to be paid on a quarterly basis and (ii) an annual equity award valued at approximately \$250,000 for the chairman of the board, \$170,000 for committee chairmen, and \$165,000 for all other such directors. During 2015, Mr. Vagt was eligible, but declined, to receive compensation for his service on Rice Midstream Management s board.

We do not pay any additional fees for attendance at Rice Energy s or Rice Midstream Management s board or committee meetings, but we do reimburse each director for travel and miscellaneous expenses to attend such meetings.

Restricted Stock Units

Non-employee directors annual equity awards are currently in the form of restricted stock units. These awards are granted pursuant to restricted stock unit agreements that generally provide for vesting on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. The awards are subject to forfeiture in the event a director ceases to serve as a member of our board except as a result of death or disability, as defined in the restricted stock unit agreement.

No Hedging/Pledging Policies

Our insider trading policy prohibits hedging transactions and certain transactions involving derivatives in Rice Energy securities, such as short selling, transactions in debt that may be convertible into Company common stock, trading in Company-based option contracts, transactions in straddles or collars, and writing puts or calls.

Our insider trading policy also prohibits employees, officers and directors from (i) purchasing of Rice Energy securities on margin or (ii) pledging Rice Energy securities as collateral for a loan, each without the prior consent of the board.

The independent directors of the board reviewed and consented to the transactions described below by the Rice Trust, which was formed for the benefit of the descendants of Mr. Daniel Rice III, including Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV, Toby Z. Rice and Derek A. Rice, and in which his spouse is one of the trustees. In December 2014, the Rice Trust entered into line of credit and financial assets security agreements with Morgan Stanley Private Bank, National Association (Morgan Stanley), for up to \$50,000,000, pursuant to which the Rice Trust pledged 6,750,000 shares of the Company's common stock as security for its obligations under the line of credit agreement. As of December 31, 2015, the Morgan Stanley line of credit was repaid in full and the shares were released from the

17

pledge. The Rice Trust also holds 13,000,000 shares of Rice Energy common stock in a brokerage account with UBS Financial Services Inc. (UBS). Pursuant to UBS s standard client account agreement, UBS holds a lien on all assets in the account (including the 13,000,000 shares of Rice Energy common stock) as security for amounts borrowed from time to time on margin.

Stockholders and Other Interested Parties Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may contact the board of directors as a group, or an individual director, by the following means:

Mail: Board of Directors Attn: General Counsel Rice Energy Inc. 2200 Rice Drive Canonsburg, PA 15317

Stockholder and any other interested parties should mark the envelope containing each communication as *Stockholder Communication with Directors* and clearly identify the intended recipient(s) of the communication. The Company s General Counsel will review each communication received from stockholders and other interested parties and will forward the communication, as expeditiously as reasonably practicable, to the addressees if: (i) the communication complies with the requirements of any applicable policy adopted by the board relating to the subject matter of the communication and (ii) the communication falls within the scope of matters generally considered by the board. If the subject matter of a communication relates to matters that have been delegated by the board to a committee or to an executive officer of the Company, then the Company s General Counsel may forward the communication to the executive officer or chairman of the committee to which the matter has been delegated. The acceptance and forwarding of communications to the members of the board or an executive officer does not imply or create any fiduciary duty of the board members or executive officer to the person submitting the communications.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following is a description of the background of the executive officers of Rice Energy who are not directors as of April 15, 2016:

Derek A. Rice, **31**, has served as Rice Energy s Executive Vice President of Exploration since December 2014 and has served with Rice Energy and its affiliates as Vice President of Exploration & Geology from August 2009 through November 2014. Prior to joining Rice Energy and its affiliates, from June 2007 to September 2007 and from June 2008 until September 2008, he worked as a wellbore geologist for Schlumberger, a large oilfield service company, where he analyzed the Marcellus, Haynesville, and Barnett shales. Mr. Rice holds a BS in geological sciences from Tufts University and a MS in geology from the University of Houston. He is the son of Mr. Daniel J. Rice III and the brother of Messrs. Daniel J. Rice IV and Toby Z. Rice.

Grayson T. Lisenby, **29**, has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 2015 and, prior to that, had served as our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from October 2013. Mr. Lisenby joined Rice Energy and its affiliates in February 2013, initially serving as our Vice President of Finance. Mr. Lisenby also serves as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of RMP. Prior to joining Rice Energy and its affiliates, Mr. Lisenby was an investment professional at Natural Gas Partners (NGP) from July 2011 to January 2013 and concentrated on transaction analysis and execution, as well as the monitoring of active portfolio companies.

Mr. Lisenby was involved in NGP s original \$100 million investment into Rice Energy and spent a significant amount of his time monitoring and advising the Company during his tenure at NGP. Prior to his employment at NGP, he served as an investment banker for Barclays Capital Inc. s energy group in Houston, Texas from August 2009 to July 2011. Mr. Lisenby has also served on the advisory board of Edge Natural Resources LLC, a private equity firm focused on small-cap investments in the North American energy industry, since March 2015. Mr. Lisenby holds a BBA in Finance from the University of Texas, where he was a member of the Business Honors Program.

James W. Rogers, 35, has served as our Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting & Administrative Officer and Treasurer since February 2015, and, prior to that, had served as our Vice President, Chief Accounting & Administrative Officer and Treasurer from October 2013. Mr. Rogers also serves as the Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting & Administrative Officer and Treasurer of RMP. Mr. Rogers joined Rice Energy and its affiliates in April 2011 as Controller and subsequently served as our Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer from January

18

2012 through October 2012 and our Chief Financial Officer from November 2012 through September 2013. Prior to joining Rice Energy and its affiliates, Mr. Rogers served as a financial specialist with EQT Corporation (EQT), working in the Corporate Accounting Group, from May 2010 to March 2011. Prior to EQT, Mr. Rogers served as an assurance manager for Ernst & Young LLP in their Pittsburgh office from September 2007 to April 2010. He began his career in 2002 as an auditor with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, in its Pittsburgh office. Mr. Rogers is a certified public accountant in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and holds a BSBA in accounting from the University of Pittsburgh. He is also a member of the American Institute of CPAs.

William E. Jordan, 36, has served as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since February 2015, and, prior to that, had served as our Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary from January 2014. Mr. Jordan also serves as the Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of RMP. From September 2005 through December 2013, Mr. Jordan practiced corporate law at Vinson & Elkins L.L.P., representing public and private companies in capital markets offerings and mergers and acquisitions, primarily in the oil and natural gas industry. He is a graduate of Davidson College with a BA in Mathematics and a graduate of the Duke University School of Law with a JD degree.

Robert R. Wingo, 37, has served as our Senior Vice President, Midstream and Marketing since February 2015 and, prior to that, had served as our Vice President of Midstream and Marketing from June 2014. Mr. Wingo also serves as the Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of RMP and as a member of the board of directors of Rice Midstream Management. Prior to joining Rice Energy, he served as director, corporate development for Copano Energy, LLC, a Houston-based midstream energy company (Copano), from January 2010 until May 2013, where he helped lead the company s pursuit of mergers, acquisitions and greenfield projects. From March 2008 to January 2010, he served as director, business development and field services in Copano s Denver office and was responsible for acquisitions, business development and field services in the Rocky Mountain region. From 2006 to 2008, Mr. Wingo served as manager, corporate development for Copano, focusing on mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Wingo began his career in 2002 as a Project Engineer and Operations Manager for Copano and managed all engineering, construction and operations activities for over 1,400 miles of natural gas and NGL pipelines. Mr. Wingo holds a BS in Aerospace Engineering from the University of Texas.

19

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis section is designed to provide our stockholders with an explanation of the Company s executive compensation philosophy and objectives, our 2015 executive compensation program and the compensation paid by us to the following named executive officers (NEOs):

Name Principal Executive Position(s)
Daniel J. Rice IV Chief Executive Officer (the CEO)

Grayson T. Lisenby Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (the CFO)

Toby Z. Rice President and Chief Operating Officer (the COO)

Derek A. Rice Executive Vice President of Exploration (the EVP of Exploration)

William E. Jordan Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary (the General Counsel) Following the initial public offering of common units of RMP in late 2014, certain of the NEOs of the Company have managerial responsibility for two public companies. More specifically, Rice Energy owns a 39.1% limited partner interest and the non-economic general partner interest in RMP. The NEOs only received compensation in 2015 for their services from Rice Energy. Rice Energy, in turn, allocates a portion of its compensation costs to RMP in accordance with an agreement with the organization. This proxy statement sets forth the entire compensation paid by Rice Energy and its subsidiaries to each of the NEOs.

Business Performance and Impact on Pay

We are providing information regarding our business performance and the impact of such business performance upon pay actually realized by our NEOs in order to enable our stockholders to better understand our executive compensation program and the key business factors that impact the design and ultimate payments made under our executive compensation program.

Business Performance

We delivered solid results, despite weathering seasonally weaker natural gas prices during 2015, including as follows:

Increased average production from 2014 pro forma production volumes to 552 million cubic feet equivalent per day in 2015, a 101% increase.

Achieved significant growth in average midstream throughput to 894 thousand decatherms per day, a 122% increase above 2014.

Closed successful drop down of water services businesses to RMP for \$200.0 million.

Formed Utica Shale midstream joint venture in Ohio in February 2016 with Gulfport Energy Corporation.

Consummated strategic equity investment of up to \$500.0 million in Rice Midstream Holdings LLC in February 2016.

Increased proved reserves 30% to 1.7 trillion cubic feet equivalents in 2015, as compared to 2014.

Increased the borrowing base of our senior secured revolving credit facility from \$550.0 million to \$750.0 million.

Issued \$400.0 million of 7.25% senior notes due 2023.

Increased 2016 hedge position to 566 billion British thermal units per day at a weighted average floor price of \$3.11 per million British thermal units.

Completed first Pennsylvania Utica well in Greene County, Pennsylvania.

Renegotiated gas gathering agreement for acreage acquired from Chesapeake Appalachia, L.L.C. in August 2014 with third party to increase dedication to RMP by 19,000 gross acres.

20

2015 Say-on-Pay Vote

At Rice Energy s 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, the Company submitted its executive compensation programs to an advisory stockholder vote. The stockholders overwhelmingly approved the Company s executive compensation policies and programs, with over 99% of votes cast voting in favor of the proposal. The compensation committee has interpreted this vote to mean that Rice Energy s stockholders are supportive of the Company s executive compensation philosophy and programs and that no significant changes were needed in light of the vote.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives of Our Compensation Program

Rice Energy strives to recruit individuals who will support the Company s mission, vision and values. To accomplish this overarching goal, the Company s executive compensation philosophy aims to properly motivate management with an easy to comprehend compensation package that seeks to provide the NEOs with base salaries, annual bonus and long-term incentive opportunities that are competitive with our peers.

We are committed to *paying for performance* and our executive compensation philosophy seeks to achieve this overarching objective with the following goals:

Motivate employees to perform at the highest level consistent with the Company s values of environmental stewardship, commitment to neighbors and operational safety;

Reward high performing employees; and

Retain key employees and attract new employees to join Rice Energy.

Implementing Our Objectives

Compensation Committee s and Management s Role in Determining Executive Compensation

The compensation committee approves the design and payment of executive compensation. Each year, the compensation committee reviews, modifies (if necessary) and approves the Company s executive compensation program in light of corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the CEO and the Company s other executive officers.

The compensation committee sets the compensation of the CEO, in consultation with its compensation consultant, and the Company s other executive officers, in consultation with the CEO and its compensation consultant, based on the committee s and the CEO s evaluation of their respective performance, contributions to Rice Energy and a comparative review of similarly situated executives at peer companies (as described below).

After reviewing relevant market data and surveys within our industry, our CEO typically provides recommendations to the compensation committee regarding the compensation levels for our NEOs (excluding himself) and our executive compensation program as a whole. After considering these recommendations, the compensation committee meets in executive session and determines and/or adjusts base salary levels, cash bonus awards and approves equity grants for each of our NEOs and, in the case of our CEO, submits his compensatory arrangements for ratification by the board. While the compensation committee gives considerable weight to the CEO s recommendations on

compensation matters as to the other NEOs, the compensation committee has the final decision-making authority on all executive compensation matters.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant

As described above, the Company, at the direction of the compensation committee, engaged A&M as the committee s compensation consultant to provide recommendations regarding our executive compensation arrangements. The compensation consultant reports directly to the compensation committee and, with the consent of the committee, coordinates and gathers information with which to advise the committee from members of management.

Ultimately, decisions about the amount and form of executive compensation are made by the compensation committee and may reflect factors and considerations other than the information and advice provided by our compensation consultants or management, as more fully described herein.

21

Peer Group Benchmarking

In connection with determining the 2015 compensatory arrangements of our NEOs, the compensation committee, in consultation with its compensation consultant, used a peer group composed of the following companies (the Peer Group):

Bonanza Creek Energy, Inc.

Gulfport Energy Corporation

BreitBurn Energy Partners L.P.

Halcón Resources Corporation

Ultra Petroleum Corporation

Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC

Denbury Resources, Inc.

Oasis Petroleum Inc.

WPX Energy, Inc.

Diamondback Energy, Inc. PDC Energy, Inc.

The Peer Group was developed based on a review of size (as measured by revenue, total assets and market capitalization), geographic region of operations, life cycle or age of the company, economic stability given current market conditions, and related factors. Each year, the compensation committee reviews, modifies (if necessary) and approves the Company s peer companies for purposes of evaluating the Company s compensation competitiveness and establishing the appropriate competitive positioning for the levels and mix of compensation elements.

Elements of Compensation

Pay Mix

The compensation committee used the Peer Group for purposes of establishing the mix of compensation elements. Our NEOs compensation includes the following key components:

Base salary;

Annual cash bonus; and

Long-term, equity-based incentive awards.

The approximate allocation of compensation elements in the 2015 compensation packages for each NEO is as follows:

				EVP of	General
	CEO*	CFO	COO*	Exploration*	Counsel
Base Salary	14.55%	17.78%	14.55%	14.55%	21.47%
Annual Incentive Bonus (at Target)	11.64%	14.22%	11.64%	11.64%	16.12%
Restricted Stock Units	14.55%	17.78%	14.55%	14.55%	21.47%
Performance Stock Unit (at Target)	59.27%	50.22%	59.27%	59.27%	40.94%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

* Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

Base Salaries

Each NEO s annual base salary is a fixed component of compensation that is related to performing specific job duties and functions. The compensation committee establishes the annual base salary rate for each of the NEOs at a level necessary to retain the individual s services in a competitive marketplace. The compensation committee annually reviews the NEOs base salaries and makes adjustments upon consideration of factors that it deems relevant, including but not limited to: (i) any increase or decrease in an executive s responsibilities, (ii) the executive s job performance, and (iii) the level of compensation paid to executives of other companies with which we compete for executive talent, as estimated based on publicly available information, with the assistance of A&M in collecting the information, the recommendations of the CEO as to NEOs other than himself, and the experience of members of the compensation committee.

For 2015 base salaries, the compensation committee analyzed the appropriateness of the base salary for each of our NEOs in light of the base salaries of other executives in the Peer Group, both on a stand-alone basis and as a component of total compensation. In connection with this review, the committee determined that the annual base salaries of each of our CEO, CFO, COO, and EVP of Exploration would be \$400,000, and the General Counsel s salary would be \$365,000.

22

2015 Annual Incentive Awards

Description of 2015 Program and Goals

The compensation committee established annual bonus awards for the NEOs to reward performance against critical 2015 strategic goals. The awards provided for a range of pay-outs between 0% to 200% of the target opportunity, depending on the Company s results for the year relative to the performance measures.

For 2015, the compensation committee approved the following annual incentive target bonus opportunities for each of the NEOs:

Named Executive Officer	2015 T	arget Bonus
CEO	\$	320,000
CFO	\$	320,000
COO	\$	320,000
EVP of Exploration	\$	320,000
General Counsel	\$	274,000

In determining the goals, the compensation committee considered the Company s growth objectives and competitive challenges for the year. The mix of 2015 award metrics included Net Production (Bcf), Drilling and Completions per Feet (Gross) (D&C) and Safety, as described below. For each NEO, award amounts are based on an equal weighting of the award metrics. The Net Production and D&C metrics were chosen because they align the interests of the NEOs with those of our stockholders by incentivizing continued economic growth. As the Company is committed to Safety, the compensation committee believes it is important to tie a meaningful portion of each NEO s annual incentive award to Safety performance.

The threshold, target and maximum goals associated with the Net Production, D&C and Safety metrics are set forth below:

2015 Corporate Performance Measures

Net					
Performance Levels	Production (Bcf)	Ø&C/	Ft (Gross)(2)	Safety ⁽³⁾	
Threshold	160.6	\$	1,400	-10% improvement	
Target	175.2	\$	1,300	0% improvement	
Maximum	189.8	\$	1,150	10% improvement	

- (1) Net Production is calculated as the full fiscal year net production, but excludes production from wells acquired during the fiscal year and working interest adjustments.
- D&C is measured solely with respect to the costs and lateral feet associated with wells brought on-line and with sales during the fiscal year.
- (3) Safety performance was measured against 2014 safety performance and determined based on the recordable incident rate (RIR) for each of construction (incidents/sites constructed) (10%), drilling (incidents/total measured feet drilled) (10%), completions (incidents/fracking stages) (10%), production (incidents/producing well days) (10%), midstream (incidents/pipeline miles laid) (10%), and all Company employees (total RIR) (50%). These

measures were reviewed on a cumulative basis, with overall performance considered with a threshold of -10% improvement in RIR, a target of 0% improvement in RIR and a maximum of 10% improvement in RIR. The compensation committee designed the performance goals and payout relationships to ensure that performance that significantly outperformed the target goals would be rewarded with above target payout levels up to the cap established by the committee. Similarly, performance that did not meet the goals could reduce bonus payouts to as low as 0%. In setting the target goals, the compensation committee sought to establish challenging and difficult goals that would motivate and reward the NEOs for the delivery of strong growth in business results, without encouraging excessive risk-taking.

Payout of 2015 Annual Incentive Bonus

In January 2016, the compensation committee determined, after assessing the Company s performance against Net Production, D&C and Safety, that the 2015 annual bonus awards had been earned by each of the NEOs as follows:

2015 Net Production was achieved at 197 Bcf, which resulted in a payout, after interpolation, on this metric of 200% of target;

2015 D&C was achieved at \$1,252 per feet (Gross), which resulted in a payout, after interpolation, on this metric of 132% of target; and

2015 Safety was achieved at a 2.5% improvement over 2014, which resulted in a payout, after interpolation, on this metric of 125% of target. The 2.5% improvement represents the weighted average of the 2015 RIR factors listed above, as measured against the 2014 RIRs, for which (i) drilling, completions and production had at least a 10% RIR improvement, (ii) construction and midstream had at least a 10% RIR regression and (iii) RIR for all Company employees was 3.0%.

Based on the weightings of the goals, a payout of approximately 152.3% of target was determined as to each of the NEOs, as illustrated in the following tables:

				Weighted
				Payout as
				% of
				Target
				Bonus
	Actual	Payout as % of		Opportunity
Performance Goals	Performance	Target (A)	Weighting (B)	$(\mathbf{A}) \times (\mathbf{B})$
Net Production	197 Bcf	200%	33 1/3%	66.7%
D&C/Ft (Gross)	\$ 1,252	132%	33 1/3%	44.0%
Safety	2.5% improvement	125%	33 1/3%	41.6%
Total		152.3%	100%	152.3%

Individual payouts for each NEO of their respective 2015 annual incentive awards were as follows:

		2015 Actual	
		Performance as	
		a Percent of	
	2015 Target	Target	
	Incentive	Bonus	2015 Bonus
Officer	Opportunity(A)	Opportunity (B)	Payout (A) x (B)
CEO	\$ 320,000	152.3%	\$ 487,360
CFO	\$ 320,000	152.3%	\$ 487,360

Edgar Filing: Rice Energy Inc. - Form DEF 14A

COO	\$ 320,000	152.3%	\$ 487,360
EVP of Exploration	\$ 320,000	152.3%	\$ 487,360
General Counsel	\$ 274,000	152.3%	\$ 417,302

Long-Term Incentive Awards

In order to incentivize management, our board of directors adopted the LTIP. Our NEOs are eligible to participate in the LTIP, which provides for the grant of restricted stock, restricted stock units, options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, performance awards, annual incentive awards and other stock-based awards that are intended to align the interests of key employees (including the NEOs) with those of our stockholders. In February 2015, our compensation committee approved grants of performance stock units and restricted stock units to each of our NEOs.

Rice Energy s 2015 long-term incentive program was designed to place significant emphasis on performance, with more than a majority of executives target long-term incentive opportunity awarded in performance stock units and the remaining awarded in restricted stock units. The compensation committee approved the following 2015 target long-term incentive opportunities for the NEOs (values are rounded to the nearest dollar):

24

Officer	L	015 Target ong-Term entive Award Value
CEO	\$	2,030,000
CFO	\$	1,530,000
COO	\$	2,030,000
EVP of Exploration	\$	2,030,000
General Counsel	\$	1,061,000

Performance Stock Unit Awards

The design of the 2015 performance stock unit awards aligns the interests of our executives with stockholders by tying the vesting of the awards to two equally-weighted goals of: (i) achievement of a compound annual growth rate of the Company s common stock and (ii) relative performance of the Company s common stock against a peer group of companies, in each case, over approximately a three-year performance period (the PSU Goals). The potential payouts of the awards can range from 0% to 200% of the target award, depending on the performance of Rice Energy s common stock.

The performance levels for the PSU Goals for 2015 are summarized in the table below:

	Compound Annual				
	Relative Performance of Growth Rate of the				
	the Company s CommonCompany s				
Performance Levels	Stock(1)	Stock	Award Earned		
Threshold	25th Percentile	8%	50%		
Target	50th Percentile	10%	100%		
Maximum	Top Performer ⁽²⁾	12%	200%		

- (1) Determined based upon the performance of the Company's common stock as compared to the following companies: Antero Resources Corporation, Range Resources Corporation, EQT Corporation, Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation, Southwestern Energy Company, Rex Energy Corporation, Magnum Hunter Resources Corporation, Gulfport Energy Corporation and National Fuel Gas Company.
- Top Performer means the highest return among the peer group.
 For more information regarding the performance stock units granted to our NEOs in 2015, see the Summary Compensation Table in 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2015, and Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015 tables in this proxy statement.

Restricted Stock Units

The 2015 restricted stock unit awards granted to our NEOs were awarded primarily for retention purposes and vest in three equal annual installments, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date. The restricted stock units also link the NEOs compensation to future stock price performance and, as they are stock-settled upon vesting, increase the NEOs stock ownership. For more information regarding the restricted stock units granted to our NEOs in 2015, see the *Summary Compensation Table in 2015*, 2014 and 2013, *Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2015*, and the *Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table 2015* in this proxy statement.

Other Benefits

Health and Welfare Benefits

Our NEOs are eligible to participate in broad-based retirement and health and welfare plans. These arrangements include medical, dental and disability insurance, as well as health savings accounts. These benefits are provided in order to ensure that we are able to competitively attract and retain officers and other employees. This is a fixed component of compensation, and these benefits are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees.

25

Retirement Benefits

We currently maintain a retirement plan intended to provide benefits under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), whereby employees, including our NEOs, are allowed to contribute portions of their compensation (which includes all compensation reported on Form W-2 for the year) to a tax-qualified retirement account. Under our 401(k) plan, we provide matching contributions equal to 100% of the first 6% of employees eligible compensation contributed to the plan. Historically, we have not maintained, and do not currently maintain, a defined benefit pension plan or a nonqualified deferred compensation plan providing for retirement benefits.

Employment, Severance or Change in Control Agreements

In connection with the IPO, our NEOs entered into employment agreements with us. Under these agreements, each of our NEOs may be entitled to certain cash severance and other benefits if their employment is terminated by the Company without *cause*, by the NEO for *good reason*, or in connection with death or disability. The employment agreements also provide for additional cash severance in the event an NEO is terminated without *cause* or terminates for *good reason* in connection with a change in control. The agreements provide that in the event a NEO s employment is terminated under those circumstances, the unvested portion of his equity awards granted under the LTIP will vest. For more information regarding the terms and provisions of the NEOs employment agreements, see *Potential Payments Upon Employment Termination or Change in Control*.

The employment agreements with our NEOs do not provide for payment to cover excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Code. Rather, payments due upon a change of control will be reduced to the extent necessary to avoid such excise tax, unless it is determined that the net after tax benefits to an NEO would be greater if such reductions were not imposed.

The compensation committee believes these provisions in the NEOs employment agreements are consistent with market practice, are necessary to retain the NEOs, will ensure that their actions and recommendations with respect to change in control transactions are in Rice Energy s and our stockholders best interests, and will reduce the distraction regarding the impact of such potential transactions on the employment status of a NEO.

No Hedging/Pledging Policies

Our insider trading policy prohibits hedging transactions and transactions involving derivative securities in Rice Energy securities, such as short selling, transactions in debt that may be convertible into Company common stock, trading in Company-based option contracts, transactions in straddles or collars, and writing puts or calls. Our insider trading policy also prohibits the purchasing by employees, officers and directors of Rice Energy securities on margin or pledging Rice Energy securities as collateral for a loan, without the prior consent of the board.

Section 162(m) Deductibility

With certain exceptions, Section 162(m) of the Code (Section 162(m)) limits the Company's deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain covered employees (generally our CEO and three next highest-paid executive officers, other than the CFO). Compensation paid to covered employees is not subject to the deduction limitation if it is considered *qualified performance-based compensation* within the meaning of Section 162(m). Our compensation committee reserves the discretion to provide compensation that is both market and performance-based. While our compensation committee considers the tax impact of any compensation arrangement, the committee evaluates such impact in light of our overall compensation objectives. Our compensation committee reserves the right to approve non-deductible compensation if it believes it is in the best interests of our stakeholders.

Additionally, if any provision of a plan or award that is intended to be performance-based, within the meaning of Section 162(m), is later found to not satisfy the conditions of Section 162(m), our ability to deduct such compensation may be limited.

For a corporation that first becomes a publicly held corporation, the \$1 million deduction limitation imposed by Section 162(m) does not apply to remuneration paid under a plan or agreement that existed during the period in which the corporation was not publicly held. This exemption may be relied upon until the earlier of: (i) the expiration of the plan or agreement, (ii) a material modification of the plan or agreement, (iii) the issuance of all employer stock and other compensation that has been allocated under the plan, or (iv) the first meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected that occurs after the close of the third calendar year following the

26

calendar year in which the IPO occurred. Accordingly, deduction limitations imposed by Section 162(m) will not apply to compensation payable under our compensatory plans and agreements to the extent any such plan or agreement satisfies the applicable exemption requirements.

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section of this proxy statement with management. Based on its review and discussion with management, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section be included in this proxy statement.

Robert F. Vagt, *Chairman* James W. Christmas Scott A. Gieselman

Compensation and Risk

The Company s compensation program is designed to motivate and reward our executive officers and other employees for their performance during the fiscal year and over the long-term and for taking appropriate business risks. Based on its review of the risk mitigating components of the Company s compensation programs, the compensation committee and management concluded that the Company s compensation programs do not encourage executives or other employees to take inappropriate risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Our compensation program for our executives and other key employees includes the following features, which reduce incentives for excessive risk taking and mitigate incentives to maximize short-term results at the expense of long-term value for stockholders:

Balanced Pay Mix: The target compensation mix is heavily weighted towards long-term incentive compensation.

Mix of Performance Metrics: We do not rely on a single performance metric to determine payouts for performance-based awards. Instead, performance targets are tied to a variety of metrics, including Net Production, Safety, D&C, compound annual growth rate of the Company s common stock, and relative performance of the Company s common stock against a peer group of companies.

Calculation and Verification of Performance: Controls are in place to ensure accuracy of calculations as to actual performance against metrics.

Cap on Incentive Payouts: Payouts are capped at 200% of the target award to protect against excessive payouts.

Performance Period and Vesting Schedules: The performance period and vesting schedules for long-term incentives overlap and, therefore, reduce the motivation to maximize performance in any one period.

Summary Compensation Table in 2015, 2014 and 2013

The following table provides compensation information regarding Rice Energy s: (i) principal executive officer (Mr. Daniel J. Rice IV), (ii) principal financial officer (Mr. Grayson T. Lisenby), and (iii) the next three most highly compensated executives during 2015 (Messrs. Toby Z. Rice, Derek A. Rice and William E. Jordan). We refer to these executives as our *named executive officers* or *NEOs*.

In reviewing the table, and particularly the Stock Awards column for 2014, you should note that most of the disclosed amounts do not relate to compensation paid or to be paid by the Company. In particular, amounts in the NGP Holdings Incentive Units and Rice Holdings Incentive Units columns represent compensation paid or to be paid by Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings to our NEOs, in accordance with terms of incentive units issued by Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings. See *Additional Information Regarding Our Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables Incentive Units* for further information.

27

Stock Awards

					•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-				ľ
ıd l Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Rice Energy (LTIP Awards) (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Rice Midstream Partners (Phantom Units) (\$)(2)	NGP Holdings Incentive Units (\$)	Rice Holdings Incentive Units (\$)	(Rice Energy	e Non-Equity Incentive	All Other	ion T
Rice, IV	2015	400,000		2,364,169				(.,	487,360	18,000	3,2
ecutive	2014 2013	377,692 110,000	65,000	2,575,410		7,596,971	7,557,616	261,981	· ·	11,592 2,200	19,1
Т.	2015	400,000		1,761,662					487,360		2,6
ice f	2014 2013	350,462 126,389	145,000	1,908,112	250,008	14,695,747	10,796,594	378,671	493,120	108	28,8
Rice	2015 2014 2013	400,000 377,692	65 000	2,364,169 2,575,410		11,420,993	4,318,637	158,881	487,360 493,120	18,000 16,479 3,850	3,2
erating	2013	110,000	65,000							3,850	
Rice e Vice ration	2015 2014	400,000 377,692		2,364,169 2,575,410		7,596,971	7,557,616	261,981	487,360 493,120	12,000 11,592	3,2 19,1
E. Jordan ice , Counsel orate	2015	365,000		1,203,663					417,302	18,000	2,0

The amounts shown in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock unit and performance stock unit awards granted under the LTIP, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of the restricted stock unit awards and performance stock unit awards granted to the NEOs in 2015 is as follows:

	Restri	cted Stock	Performance Stoc		
	Unit	Unit Awards		nit Awards	
Daniel J. Rice IV	\$	399,997	\$	1,964,172	

Edgar Filing: Rice Energy Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Grayson T. Lisenby	\$ 399,997	\$ 1,361,665
Toby Z. Rice	\$ 399,997	\$ 1,964,172
Derek A. Rice	\$ 399,997	\$ 1,964,172
William E. Jordan	\$ 364,996	\$ 838,667

See Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in the Form 10-K for information regarding the assumptions used in determining the grant date fair values of the LTIP awards.

Assuming that the highest level of performance conditions will be achieved, the maximum payout amounts for the performance stock unit awards are as follows: Mr. Daniel Rice IV, \$3,260,000; Mr. Lisenby, \$2,260,000; Mr. Toby Rice, \$3,260,000; Mr. Derek Rice, \$3,260,000; and Mr. Jordan, \$1,391,964.

The amounts shown in the 2014 row of this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the RMP phantom units under the Rice Midstream Partners LP 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the RMP LTIP), computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Form 10-K for information regarding the assumptions used in determining the grant date fair values of the RMP phantom units.

28

- (3) This column includes annual bonuses earned for 2015 based on performance against metrics as described in *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation 2015 Annual Incentive Awards.*
- ⁽⁴⁾ For 2015, amounts reported in the All Other Compensation column reflect company matching contributions to the NEOs 401(k) plan accounts.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2015

The following table sets forth each NEO s non-equity and equity incentive plan awards made in 2015.

	Type of			ed Possible Under Incentive I	Payouts Plan Awards Maximum T	Under Ed	ed Future quity Ince Awards Target	Payouts ntive Plan Maximum	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
Name	Award ⁽¹⁾	Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$)
Daniel J. Rice IV		2/9/2015 2/9/2015	160,000	320,000	640,000	51,825	103,650	207,300	21,108	1,964,172 399,997
Grayson T. Lisenby	AIP PSU RSU	2/9/2015 2/9/2015	160,000	320,000	640,000	35,928	71,856	143,711	21,108	1,361,665 399,997
Toby Z. Rice	AIP PSU RSU	2/9/2015 2/9/2015		320,000	640,000	51,825	103,650	207,300	21,108	1,964,172 399,997
Derek A. Rice	AIP PSU RSU	2/9/2015 2/9/2015		320,000	640,000	51,825	103,650	207,300	21,108	1,964,172 399,997
William E. Jordan	AIP PSU RSU	2/9/2015 2/9/2015		274,000	548,000	22,128	44,257	88,514	19,262	838,667 364,996

⁽¹⁾ The awards indicated in this column are the following: Annual Incentive Plan award opportunity for the annual incentive bonus under the LTIP (AIP); performance stock unit awards granted under our LTIP (PSU); and restricted stock units granted under our LTIP (RSU).

Additional Information Regarding Our Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables

Employment Agreements

In connection with our IPO, each of our executive officers then employed by us entered into employment agreements with us on January 29, 2014 (the Employment Agreements). We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Wingo in February 2015. The terms of the Employment Agreements automatically renew for successive annual terms unless terminated by the executive officer or us in advance of the end of the initial term or any renewal term.

Pursuant to the Employment Agreements, each NEO is entitled to the following: (i) a minimum annual base salary (\$400,000 for each of our CEO, COO and EVP of Exploration, \$300,000 for each of our CFO and General Counsel), (ii) participation in the annual incentive bonus program, (iii) participation in our long-term incentive plans, including equity incentive plans, (iv) reimbursement of business expenses, and (v) three weeks of paid time off, or such greater amount of vacation as may be determined in accordance with our vacation policy as in effect from time to time.

29

Each executive officer is also entitled to certain payments upon the occurrence of a change in control or certain employment termination events, including termination by the executive officer for good reason and termination by the Company without cause. These events and the related payouts to which each executive officer may be entitled to are discussed under *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Incentive Units

The Summary Compensation Table includes, for 2014, compensation information with regard to NGP Holdings Incentive Units, Rice Holdings Incentive Units, and Daniel J. Rice III Incentive Burden (Rice Energy Shares). This information is included in the Summary Compensation Table as required by SEC regulations. However, as explained below, it does not represent compensation paid or payable by us. Instead, these amounts reflect, respectively, obligations of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings with respect to incentive units they issued to certain NEOs (the CEO, CFO, COO and EVP of Exploration), and shares of our common stock previously transferred by Daniel J. Rice III to certain NEOs in connection with the extinguishment of an incentive burden attributable to him.

Prior to our IPO in January 2014, we did not provide long-term incentives to our NEOs. Instead, Rice Energy Appalachia LLC (Rice Appalachia) provided incentive units (the REA Incentive Units) to certain NEOs. The REA Incentive Units, which were granted in January 2012 and in April and June 2013, had no value for tax purposes on the respective dates on which they were granted. They represented an interest in future Rice Appalachia profits that would be realized only if the underlying assets of Rice Appalachia (principally, its equity interest in the Company) achieved specified levels of growth and provided specified returns to investors in Rice Appalachia. The REA Incentive Units were intended to provide the holders with the ability to benefit from the growth in our operations and business, because such growth would be expected to (i) increase the value of Rice Appalachia s stockholdings in our Company, (ii) provide a return to investors in Rice Appalachia and (iii) if the return was large enough, provide distributions to holders of the REA Incentive Units (including the NEOs).

In connection with our IPO and the related corporate reorganization, certain NEOs (and other holders of REA Incentive Units) contributed their REA Incentive Units (except for those exchanged for shares of our common stock in connection with the extinguishment of an incentive burden attributable to Daniel J. Rice III, described below) to Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings (which acquired the common stock of our Company previously held by REA other than shares acquired by Daniel J. Rice III, as described below) in return for incentive units in such entities that, in the aggregate, were substantially similar to the REA Incentive Units they previously held (except with respect to the incentive burden attributable to Daniel J. Rice III). As a result, Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings, in accordance with their respective limited liability company agreements, became obligated to provide certain NEOs a portion of the respective distributions made by NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings, but only after substantial distributions were first made to investors in those entities.

In 2014, NGP Holdings made distributions to its investors of the net proceeds of sales of a portion of our common stock that it held. Because the aggregate amount of distributions to the investors exceeded certain amounts (which we refer to below as distribution thresholds) set forth in the NGP Holdings limited liability company agreement, specified classes (tiers) of NGP Holdings incentive units became entitled to participate in NGP Holdings distributions. As a result, NGP Holdings began making cash distributions to holders of incentive units; distributions to the CEO, CFO, COO, and EVP of Exploration in 2014 were \$1,291,285, \$2,301,904, \$2,010,826, and \$1,291,285, respectively. As a result of additional sales of our common stock by NGP Holdings in August 2015, NGP Holdings made additional cash distributions to its members, including holders of incentive units; distributions to the CEO, CFO, COO, and EVP of Exploration totaled \$2,042,401, \$3,708,309, \$2,942,616 and \$2,042,401, respectively.

Similarly, the limited liability company agreement of Rice Holdings entitles holders of incentive units to a portion of distributions made by Rice Holdings once specified distribution thresholds have been reached. In August 2014, in accordance with the terms of the Rice Holdings limited liability company agreement, Rice Holdings made a notional distribution of approximately one-quarter of the shares of our common stock that it held to Rice Partners (constituting a specified number of shares already held by Rice Partners that were deemed to be distributed under the terms of the Rice Holdings limited liability company agreement). Due to Rice Partners priority rights (which are now held by the Rice Trust as successor to Rice Partners), no distributions were made to holders of the Rice

Holdings incentive units. In September 2015, Rice Holdings made an additional distribution of approximately one-third of the remaining shares that it held (also including a specified number of shares already held by Rice Partners that were deemed to be distributed under the terms of the Rice Holdings limited liability company agreement). In connection with this distribution, the distribution threshold with regard to one of Rice Holdings tiers of incentive units was satisfied, and Rice Holdings made additional distributions of shares of our common stock to its members, including, for the first time, holders of incentive units; distributions of shares of our common stock to the CEO, CFO, COO, and EVP of Exploration in 2015 were 10,560 shares, 15,085 shares, 6,034 shares, and 10,560 shares, respectively.

Based upon the \$19.24 closing market price per share of our common stock on September 18, 2015 (the date upon which the number of shares to be distributed was determined) the value of the shares received by the CEO, CFO, COO, and EVP of Exploration was \$203,174, \$290,235, \$116,094, and \$203,174. Rice Holdings will make additional distributions to its members, including deemed distributions to the Rice Trust and distributions to holders of the incentive units with respect to approximately one-half and all of its then remaining distributable shares of our common stock in September 2016 and September 2017, respectively. Because we are not a party to the limited liability company agreements of Rice Holdings or NGP Holdings, we cannot be certain that the terms of the profits interest units will not change in the future.

Under the terms of the limited liability company agreements of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings, a portion of each tier of incentive units that exceeded a distribution threshold was not vested. Nevertheless, the Company is aware that each of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings have provided distributions to holders of those unvested units. See *Stock Vested in 2015* for additional information.

For information regarding the priority distributions required to be made to the investors in NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings before distribution thresholds for additional tiers of incentive units are satisfied, the percentage of distributions to be made with respect to each tier of incentive units, and certain NEOs respective percentage interest in each tier of incentive units, see footnotes 3 and 4 to the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015 table. Unless otherwise determined by the board of managers of the relevant entity, a holder of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings incentive units will forfeit incentive units, whether or not vested, if the holder s employment with us is terminated for any reason.

The obligations relating to the incentive units are pre-IPO legacy obligations initially provided by Rice Appalachia, the predecessor, to Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings (and not those of Rice Energy). Rice Energy is not obligated to, or responsible for, any cash or Rice Energy share distributions in connection with the incentive units. All such distributions are to be made either by NGP Holdings or Rice Holdings.

Despite the fact that distributions of cash and our common stock under the incentive units have been or will be made to holders of the incentive units by NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings, and not by us, the Summary Compensation Table treats the incentive units as if they constitute our compensation. This is because SEC regulations require that we report all compensation paid to the NEOs, including compensation paid by third parties, for all services rendered by the NEOs in all capacities to us. Moreover, as a result of the IPO and corporate reorganization, the incentive units, which previously were accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 710, were deemed to be modified and, therefore, subsequently were accounted for as equity compensation under ASC Topic 718. In accordance with ASC Topic 718, we treated the modified instrument as newly granted, with a new grant date being deemed to occur on January 29, 2014 (the date of our corporate reorganization). As a result, we treated the incentive units as equivalent to stock awards and included the *grant date* fair value of the NGP Holdings Incentive Units and the Rice Holdings Incentive Units (i.e., the fair value on the January 29, 2014 effective date of the corporate reorganization) in the 2014 row of their respective sub-columns under the *Stock Awards* column in the Summary Compensation Table. This value

is significantly in excess of the fair value of the predecessor REA Incentive Units on the respective dates they initially were granted. Moreover the value is significantly in excess of the actual distributions made to the NEOs through the end of 2015.

Therefore, in reviewing the 2014 row of the Stock Awards columns of the Summary Compensation Table and related tables, please note the following considerations with respect to the incentive units:

The incentive units are not our obligations;

All distributions under the incentive units have been or will be made by Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings none have been, or will be, made by us;

31

The incentive units are pre-IPO legacy obligations initially provided by Rice Appalachia, the predecessor to Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings, in January 2012, April 2013 and June 2013 not in 2014 or 2015;

The incentive units were designed to reward the NEOs and others in the event that a significant return on investment was achieved by Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings, which, due to those entities holdings in our common stock, would indirectly result from the NEOs efforts to expand our operations and business;

Our liquidity was not, and will not be, affected by distributions to holders of the incentive units; and

Our stockholders will not be diluted by distributions of our common stock to holders of the incentive units. As noted above, in addition to certain NEOs contribution of REA Incentive Units to Rice Holdings and NGP Holdings in return for incentive units in such entities, the NEOs exchanged the remaining portion of their REA Incentive Units for shares of our common stock in connection with the extinguishment of an incentive burden attributable to Daniel J. Rice III. Specifically, in connection with our IPO and the related corporate reorganization, Daniel J. Rice III exchanged his membership interest in Rice Appalachia for shares of our common stock. In computing the number of shares to exchange, we referenced a valuation of Rice Appalachia prior to our IPO and a calculation of the distributions that would be made to members of Rice Appalachia, assuming a concurrent liquidation of Rice Appalachia. In connection with the assumed liquidation, distributions would have been made both to the investors in Rice Appalachia (including Daniel J. Rice III), and holders of the REA Incentive Units, Therefore, the number of shares of our common stock that we ultimately issued to Daniel J. Rice III in exchange for his membership interest in Rice Appalachia was net of a number of shares (the Reduction Shares) that reflected his pro rata share (as among the investors in Rice Appalachia, based on the amounts of their respective capital accounts) of the distributions that would be made to holders of REA Incentive Units in the assumed liquidation. We issued to holders of the REA Incentive Units (including the NEOs), in exchange for the REA Incentive Units they continued to hold after contributing most of their REA Incentive Units to NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings, a number of shares of our common stock equal to the number of Reduction Shares, which are included in the 2014 row of the Daniel J. Rice III Incentive Burden (Rice Energy Shares) sub-column under the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table.

The Summary Compensation Table also treats the Reduction Shares as if they constitute our compensation. Please note, however, that our issuance of the Reduction Shares to the holders of REA Incentive Units, including the NEOs (i) is, in essence, in lieu of distribution rights the holders of REA Incentive Units otherwise would have had under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Agreement of Rice Appalachia and (ii) was offset by the reduction in the number of shares we delivered to Daniel J. Rice III in exchange for his interest in Rice Appalachia.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held by our NEOs at December 31, 2015.

			Stock Awa	rds	
Name	Type of	Number of Shares	Market Value of	Equity Incentive Plan	quity Incentive Plan
	$\mathbf{Award}^{(1)}$	or Units of	Shares or	Awards:	Awards:
		Stock	Units	Number of	Market or

Edgar Filing: Rice Energy Inc. - Form DEF 14A

		That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽¹⁰⁾			Payout Value of earned Shares, Un or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(11)
Daniel J. Rice IV	RSU NGPIU RHIU RMPPU PSU	30,288 ⁽²⁾ 190,589 ⁽³⁾ 147,778 ⁽⁴⁾ 15,152 ⁽⁵⁾	330,139 ⁽⁶⁾ 870,494 ⁽⁷⁾ 209,153 ⁽⁸⁾ 204,400 ⁽⁹⁾	178,535	1,946,027
Grayson T. Lisenby	RSU NGPIU RHIU RMPPU PSU	30,288 ⁽²⁾ 653,241 ⁽³⁾ 211,112 ⁽⁴⁾ 15,152 ⁽⁵⁾	330,139 ⁽⁶⁾ 1,610,761 ⁽⁷⁾ 298,847 ⁽⁸⁾ 204,400 ⁽⁹⁾	123,769	1,349,086
Toby Z. Rice	RSU NGPIU RHIU RMPPU PSU	30,288 ⁽²⁾ 470,679 ⁽³⁾ 84,445 ⁽⁴⁾ 15,152 ⁽⁵⁾	330,139 ⁽⁶⁾ 1,372,556 ⁽⁷⁾ 119,539 ⁽⁸⁾ 204,400 ⁽⁹⁾	178,535	1,946,027

Table of Contents					
Derek A. Rice	RSU	30,288(2)	330,139(6)		
	NGPIU	190,589(3)	$870,494^{(7)}$		
	RHIU	$147,778^{(4)}$	$209,153^{(8)}$		
	RMPPU	$15,152^{(5)}$	$204,400^{(9)}$		
	PSU			178,535	1,946,027
William E. Jordan	RSU	51,448(2)	560,783(6)		
	NGPIU				
	RHIU				
	RMPPU	$24,242^{(5)}$	$327,025^{(9)}$		
	PSU			76,232	830,929

- (1) The awards indicated in this column are the following: restricted stock units granted under our LTIP (RSU); incentive units issued under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of NGP Holdings (NGPIU); incentive units issued under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings (RHIU); RMP phantom units granted under the RMP LTIP (RMPPU); and performance stock unit awards granted under our LTIP (PSU).
- (2) The table below shows the vesting dates for the respective restricted stock units listed in the above table:

				EVP of	
Vesting Date	CEO	CFO	COO	Exploration	General Counsel
2/9/2016	7,036	7,036	7,036	7,036	6,420
5/8/2016	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,188
1/29/2017					23,810
2/9/2017	7,036	7,036	7,036	7,036	6,420
5/8/2017	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,189
2/9/2018	7,036	7,036	7,036	7,036	6,421

(3) The amount shown is the number of unvested NGP Holdings incentive units. Because the value of an incentive unit does not increase or decrease in proportion to increases or decreases in the price of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock underlying the incentive units will change as the market price of our common stock changes.

The following table provides information regarding the number of unvested incentive units of each tier of NGP Holdings incentive units held by each NEO at December 31, 2015:

	NGP Holdings Incentive Units							
	Legacy	Legacy	Legacy	New	New	New	New	
	Tier I Units	Tier II Units	Tier III Units	Tier I Units	Tier II Units	Tier III Units	Tier IV Units	
Name	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	
Daniel J. Rice IV	7,778	70,000	70,000	42,811				
Grayson T. Lisenby	11,112	100,000	100,000	62,864	75,853	151,706	151,706	
Toby Z. Rice	4,445	40,000	40,000	54,916	66,264	132,527	132,527	
Derek A. Rice	7,778	70,000	70,000	42,811				

William E. Jordan

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 88.88% of the NGP Holdings Legacy Tier I Units have vested; the remaining Legacy Tier I Units vest, in monthly increments, over the following four months (through April 18, 2016). The Legacy Tier II Units will vest when NGP RE Holdings L.L.C. (NGP I), one of the investors in NGP Holdings, has received additional distributions of \$51,172,142.76 (as noted below, this amount constitutes a threshold above which holders of Legacy Tier II Units will begin participating in distributions). The Legacy Tier III Units will vest upon NGP I receiving additional distributions of \$152,177,994.60 (including the amount set forth in the previous sentence); as noted below, this amount constitutes a threshold above which holders of

Legacy Tier III Units will begin to participate in distributions. Fifty percent of the New Tier I Units and New Tier II Units have vested; the remaining New Tier I Units and New Tier II Units each vest, in monthly increments, over the following 30 months (through June 11, 2018). The New Tier III Units will vest when NGP RE Holdings II, L.L.C. (NGP II), the other investor in NGP Holdings, has received an additional \$70,177,230 in distributions from NGP Holdings; as noted below, this amount constitutes a threshold above which holders of New Tier III Units will begin participating in distributions. The New Tier IV Units will vest when NGP II receives an additional \$170,177,230 in distributions form NGP Holdings (including the amount set forth in the preceding sentence); as noted below, this amount constitutes a threshold above which holders of New Tier IV Units will begin participating in distributions.

Under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of NGP Holdings, distributions subsequent to December 31, 2015 will be made concurrently in two discrete portions as follows:

1. With respect to 41.1953092% of the NGP Holdings distributions, NGP I and the holders of the Legacy Tier Units will participate as indicated below:

	NGP I	Legacy Tier I Units	Legacy Tier II Units	Legacy Tier III Units
As of December 31, 2015	90%	10%		
Upon the Receipt by NGP I of Additional				
Distributions Totaling				
Above \$51,172,142.76 to \$152,177,994.60	80%	10%	10%	
Above \$152,177,994.60	70%	10%	10%	10%

2. With respect to 58.8046908% of the distributions, NGP II and the holders of the New Tier Units will participate as indicated below:

	NGP II	New Tier I Units	New Tier II Units	New Tier III Units	New Tier IV Units
As of December 31, 2015	75%	20%	5%		
Upon the Receipt by NGP II of					
Additional Distributions Totaling					
Above \$70,177,230 to \$170,177,230	70%	20%	5%	5%	
Above \$170,177,230	65%	20%	5%	5%	5%

The percentage interest of each NEO in the various tiers of NGP Holdings incentive units as of December 31, 2015 was as follows:

Edgar Filing: Rice Energy Inc. - Form DEF 14A

	NGP Holdings Incentive Units						
	Legacy Tier I Units	Legacy Tier II Units	Legacy Tier III Units	New Tier I Units	New Tier II Units	New Tier III Units	New Tier IV Units
Name	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Daniel J. Rice IV	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	8.56%			
Grayson T. Lisenby	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	12.57%	15.17%	15.17%	15.17%
Toby Z. Rice	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	10.98%	13.25%	13.25%	13.25%
Derek A. Rice	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	8.56%			
William E. Jordan							

(4) The amount shown is the number of unvested Rice Holdings incentive units. Because the value of an incentive unit does not increase or decrease in proportion to increases or decreases in the price of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock underlying an incentive unit will change as the market price of our common stock changes.

The following table provides information regarding the number of unvested incentive units of each tier of Rice Holdings incentive units held by each NEO at December 31, 2015:

	Rice Hol	Rice Holdings Incentive Units			
	Tier I	Tier I Tier II Tie			
	Units	Units	Units		
Name	(#)	(#)	(#)		
Daniel J. Rice IV	7,778	70,000	70,000		
Grayson T. Lisenby	11,112	100,000	100,000		
Toby Z. Rice	4,445	40,000	40,000		
Derek A. Rice	7,778	70,000	70,000		
William E. Jordan					

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 88.88% of the Rice Holdings Tier I Units have vested; the remaining Tier I Units vest, in monthly increments, over the following four months (through April 18, 2016). The Tier II Units will vest following the receipt by the Rice Trust of additional distributions from Rice Holdings, in the form of cash or shares of Rice Energy common stock valued, in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, at \$204,564,640.04; as noted below, this amount constitutes a threshold above which holders of Tier II Units will begin participating in distributions. The Tier III Units will vest upon the receipt by the Rice Trust of additional distributions, in the form of cash or shares of Rice Energy common stock valued, in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, at \$431,968,846.72 (including the amount set forth in the previous sentence); as noted below, this amount constitutes a threshold above which holders of Tier III Units will begin participating in distributions. Under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, for purposes of determining if vesting thresholds have been achieved, distributions of our common stock are valued based on the volume-weighted average trading price of a share of our common stock on the NYSE over the 30-trading day period ending on and including the trading day immediately preceding such date of determination.

Under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, additional distributions will be made in September 2016 and 2017. The Rice Trust and the holders of the several tiers of incentive units will participate as follows:

	Rice Trust	Tier I	Tier II	Tier III
As of December 31, 2015	90%	10%		
Upon the Receipt by the Rice Trust of				
Additional Distributions Totaling				
Above \$204,564,640.04 to \$431,968,846.72	80%	10%	10%	
Above \$431,968,846.72	70%	10%	10%	10%

The percentage interest of each NEO in the three tiers of Rice Holdings incentive units as of December 31, 2015 was as follows:

Rice Holdings Incentive Units

	Rice Holdings		
	Tier I	Rice Holdings	Rice Holdings
	Units	Tier II Units	Tier III Units
Name	(%)	(%)	(%)
Daniel J. Rice IV	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%
Grayson T. Lisenby	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
Toby Z. Rice	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
Derek A. Rice	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%
William E. Jordan			

- (5) The amount shown is the number of unvested RMP phantom units granted under the RMP LTIP. All RMP phantom units vest on December 19, 2016.
- (6) The market value of the restricted stock units is based on the \$10.90 closing market price per underlying share of our common stock on December 31, 2015, as reported by the NYSE.
- There is no trading market for the NGP Holdings incentive units. In determining market value for purposes of the table, we assumed the sale of all shares of our common stock held by NGP Holdings at the \$10.90 per share closing price on December 31, 2015, and distribution of the proceeds of the sale in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of NGP Holdings. Due to the decline in the market value of our common stock in 2015, no distributions would have been made to holders of Legacy Tier II Units, Legacy Tier III Units, New Tier III Units and New Tier IV Units. Therefore, those incentive units are treated as having no value.
- (8) There is no trading market for the Rice Holdings incentive units. In determining *market value* for purposes of the table, we assumed the distribution (or deemed distribution) on December 31, 2015 by Rice Holdings of shares of our common stock, in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, in addition to, where applicable, the number of shares allocated to such vesting incentive units as a result of previous distributions. Under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, for purposes of determining if vesting thresholds have been achieved, distributions of our common stock are valued based on the volume-weighted average trading price of a share of our common stock on the NYSE over the 30-trading day period ending on and including the trading day immediately preceding such date of determination. After determining the number of shares that would have been distributed to each NEO with respect to the assumed distribution under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, we valued the shares of our common stock assumed to be distributed at the \$10.90 per share closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015. Due to the decline in the market value of our common stock in 2015, no distributions would have been made to holders of Tier II Units and Tier III Units. Therefore, those incentive units are treated as having no value.
- (9) The market value of the RMP phantom units is based on the \$13.49 closing market price per unit on December 31, 2015, as reported by the NYSE.
- (10) This column shows the number of unvested performance stock units as of December 31, 2015. The performance stock units will vest, based on performance achieved during the 2014-2016 performance period and the 2015-2017 performance period, respectively, on the date that the compensation committee certifies the performance achievement and, for purposes of this table, assumes that performance goals were achieved at target levels.
- (11) The amounts in this column are based on the \$10.90 per share closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, as reported by the NYSE and assuming performance goals were achieved at target levels.

36

Stock Vested in 2015

The following table provides information regarding the Rice Energy restricted stock units and NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings incentive units that vested in 2015, in accordance with the terms of the applicable limited liability company agreement, and the values realized (calculated in the manner described in the footnotes to the table).

Name	Stock Awards Number of Shares/Units Acquired on Vesting (#) Rice NGP Rice Energy Holdings Holdings			Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽³⁾
	(LTIP Awards)	Incentive Units ⁽¹⁾	Incentive Units ⁽²⁾	
Daniel J. Rice IV	4,590	40,458	23,333	2,453,005
Grayson T. Lisenby	4,590	88,819	33,333	3,884,780
Toby Z. Rice	4,590	61,804	13,333	2,427,297
Derek A. Rice	4,590	40,458	23,333	2,453,005
William E. Jordan	4,188			102,606

(1) Includes the following tiers of NGP Holdings incentive units that vested during 2015:

Name	NGP Holdings Legacy Tier I Units	NGP Holdings New Tier I Units	NGP Holdings New Tier II Units
Daniel J. Rice IV	23,334	17,124	
Grayson T. Lisenby	33,333	25,145	30,341
Toby Z. Rice	13,333	21,966	26,505
Derek A. Rice	23,334	17,124	
William E. Jordan			

NGP Holdings Legacy Tier I Units, New Tier I Units and New Tier II Units vested in monthly increments; the amounts shown constitute the aggregate number of units that vested during 2015. See footnote 3 to the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015 table for additional information.

⁽²⁾ All Rice Holdings incentive units that vested in 2015 are Tier I Units that vested in monthly increments. See footnote 4 to the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015 table for additional information.

⁽³⁾ For purposes of computing the value realized upon vesting with respect to Rice Energy restricted stock units, we used the closing price per share of Rice Energy common stock on the vesting date of May 8, 2015 (\$24.50). For purposes of computing the value realized upon vesting with regard to NGP incentive units, we assumed that, on

each vesting date, all of the shares of our common stock held by NGP Holdings were sold, and proceeds distributed, in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of NGP Holdings on the applicable dates of vesting (for this purpose, we used the actual proceeds realized in connection with sales of our common stock by NGP Holdings prior to a vesting date, and the closing price per share of our common stock on the applicable vesting date in connection with unsold shares held by NGP Holdings). For purposes of computing the value realized upon vesting with regard to the Rice Holdings incentive units, we assumed that, on each vesting date, all of the shares of our common stock held by Rice Holdings (including the shares held by the Rice Trust that would be deemed distributed to the Rice Trust) were distributed in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings on the applicable dates of vesting (for this purpose, we determined the number of shares of our common stock distributed based on actual distributions (or deemed distributions) made prior to a vesting date, and, with respect to shares not yet distributed (or deemed to be distributed), based on the volume-weighted average trading price of a share of our common stock on the NYSE over the 30-trading day period ending on and including the trading day immediately preceding the applicable vesting date; for purposes of the table above, we then valued such shares, based on the closing price of a share of our common stock on the applicable vesting date). In each case, we determined the portion of the distribution that would have been allocable to the incentive units that vested on the particular vesting date. The amounts

shown in the table represent the sum of distributions that would have been allocable to the vesting incentive units, in addition to the number of shares allocated to such vesting incentive units as a result of previous distributions, on each applicable vesting date. The amounts shown below show the value realized upon vesting with respect to each of Rice Energy restricted stock units, NGP incentive units and Rice Holdings incentive units.

	Value Realized			
	on Vesting			
Name		(\$)		
	Rice	NGP	Rice	
	Energy	Holdings	Holdings	
	(LTIP	Incentive	Incentive	
	Awards)	Units	Units	
Daniel J. Rice IV	112,455	1,227,460	1,113,090	
Constant I Licenter				
Grayson T. Lisenby	112,455	2,182,196	1,590,129	
Toby Z. Rice	112,455 112,455	2,182,196 1,678,791	1,590,129 636,051	

Under the terms of the limited liability company agreements of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings, a portion of the each tier of incentive units that exceeded a distribution threshold was not vested. Nevertheless, the Company is aware that each of NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings have provided distributions to holders of those unvested units. In essence, the holders of unvested incentive units have received distributions as if their incentive units were, in fact, vested. If all of the incentive units had, in fact, been vested from the date of the corporate reorganization (i.e., January 29, 2014), the values realized on vesting by the NEOs holding incentive units, based on the methodology described above in this footnote (3) (except as noted below), would have been as follows:

	Value Real	ized on		
	Assumed January 29, 2014 Ve			
Name	(\$)			
	NGP	Rice		
	Holdings	Holdings		
	Incentive	Incentive		
	Units	Units		
Daniel J. Rice IV	7,431,189	6,517,186		
Grayson T. Lisenby	14,082,917	9,310,265		
Toby Z. Rice	10,848,110	3,724,106		
Derek A. Rice	7,431,189	6,517,186		
William E. Jordan				

Under the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rice Holdings, for purposes of determining the allocation of shares of our common stock among members of Rice Holdings, including holders of incentive units, in connection with distributions by Rice Holdings, our common stock is valued based on the volume-weighted average trading price of a share of our common stock on the NYSE over the 30-trading day period ending on and including the trading day immediately preceding the date of determination. However, because the January 29, 2014 corporate reorganization occurred in connection with our IPO, and there is insufficient trading history to establish a 30-trading day period volume-weighted average trading price, we assumed a volume-weighted average trading price of \$23.31, which was the closing price of a share of our common stock on January 29, 2014, the

date of our corporate reorganization.

You should note that the values listed in the table above do not represent actual distributions from NGP Holdings and Rice Holdings received or to be received by our NEOs who hold incentive units. The amount of actual distributions made to holders of the incentive units have been and will be based upon actual proceeds of sales of our common stock received by NGP Holdings, and the 30-trading day volume weighted average trading price of our common stock preceding a distribution determination date for Rice Holdings. See *Additional Information Regarding Our Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables Incentive Units* for information on actual distributions made to the NEOs who hold incentive units.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following tables set forth information concerning the change in control and severance payments to be made to each of our NEOs in connection with a change in control or termination of employment, presuming a termination or change in control date of December 31, 2015 and a valuation of our common stock based on its closing market price on December 31, 2015 of \$10.90 per share. The below tables only include information for employment termination or change in control events that trigger vesting or severance-related payments, and assume that each executive will take all action necessary or appropriate for such person to receive the maximum available benefit, such as execution of a release of claims and compliance with restrictive covenants described below. Additional descriptions of the terms of our agreements, plans and arrangements with our NEOs are set forth in *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* and *Additional Information Regarding Our Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables*.

The payments and benefits detailed in the tables below are in addition to any payments and benefits under our plans or arrangements which are offered or provided generally to all salaried employees on a non-discriminatory basis and any accumulated vested benefits for each NEO.

The definitions of terms used in the agreements, plans and arrangements described below are set forth in *Definitions* under *Additional Information Regarding the Tables Relating to Potential Payments Upon Employment Termination or Change in Control*.

Employment Agreements

Under the Employment Agreements, each NEO is entitled to receive the following amounts upon a termination by the executive for *good reason* (as such term is defined below) or by the Company without *cause* (as such term is defined below): (i) accrued and earned amounts, (ii) a prorated annual bonus for the year of termination, (iii) a severance payment equal to one times (two times in the event of a qualifying termination within the 12-month period following a *change in control* (as such term is defined below)) the sum of the executive s base salary on the date of termination and the average annual bonus for the three prior calendar years, and (iv) vesting of the unvested portion of any outstanding LTIP awards. The NEOs are also entitled to continued coverage under our group health plan for the COBRA period (up to 18 months) elected for the executive and the executive s spouse and eligible dependents, at no greater premium cost than that which applies to our active senior executive employees.

The Employment Agreements with our NEOs do not provide for payment to cover excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Code (e.g. no change in control gross-up payments). Rather, payments due upon a change of control will be reduced to the extent necessary to avoid such excise tax, unless it is determined that the net after tax benefits to an NEO would be greater if such reductions were not imposed.

In the event of a termination of employment due to death or disability, the unvested portion of an NEO s LTIP awards will vest, and he (or his estate) will receive any accrued amounts.

These provisions generally provide that: (i) NEOs will refrain, both during and after termination of employment, from publishing any oral or written statements about the Company, or its affiliates, customers, suppliers or consultants (A) that are disparaging, slanderous, libelous, or defamatory, (B) disclose confidential information, or (C) place the Company and such persons in a false light before the public and (ii) for a period of one year following employment termination, they will (A) refrain from carrying on or engaging in, directly or indirectly, any business in competition with the Company or its affiliates, (B) not engage or employ, or solicit or contact with a view to the engagement or employment of, any person who is an officer or employee of the Company or any of its affiliates, or (C) not canvass,

solicit, approach, or entice away, or cause to be canvassed, solicited, approached, or enticed away, from the Company or any of its affiliates any person who or which is a customer of any of such entities during the period during which the NEO was employed by the Company.

39

Daniel J. Rice IV*

	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Termination in Connection with a Change in Control (\$)(1)	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)
Compensation				
Cash Severance	748,493	1,496,987		
Pro-Rata Bonus	487,360	487,360		
Long Term Incentives				
Restricted Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated	330,139	330,139	330,139	330,139
Performance Stock Units Univested &				
Accelerated ⁽²⁾	3,260,000	3,260,000	3,260,000	3,260,000
Benefits and Perquisites				
Health Insurance ⁽³⁾	18,150	18,150	18,150	18,150
Total	4,844,142	5,592,636	3,608,289	3,608,289

^{*} Footnotes follow the last table in this section of the proxy statement.

Grayson T. Lisenby*

	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Termination in Connection with a Change in Control (\$)(1)	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)
Compensation				
Cash Severance	775,160	1,550,320		
Pro-Rata Bonus	487,360	487,360		
Long Term Incentives				
Restricted Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated	330,139	330,139	330,139	330,139
Performance Stock Units Univested & Accelerated ⁽²⁾	2,260,000	2,260,000	2,260,000	2,260,000
Benefits and Perquisites	2,200,000	2,200,000	2,200,000	2,200,000
Health Insurance ⁽³⁾	18,150	18,150	18,150	18,150
Total	3,870,809	4,645,969	2,608,289	2,608,289

Toby Z. Rice*

	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Termination in Connection with a Change in Control (\$)^{(1)}	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)
Compensation				
Cash Severance	748,493	1,496,987		
Pro-Rata Bonus	487,360	487,360		
Long Term Incentives				
Restricted Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated	330,139	330,139	330,139	330,139
Performance Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated ⁽²⁾	3,260,000	3,260,000	3,260,000	3,260,000
Benefits and Perquisites				
Health Insurance ⁽³⁾	18,150	18,150	18,150	18,150
Total	4,844,142	5,592,636	3,608,289	3,608,289

^{*} Footnotes follow the last table in this section of the proxy statement.

^{*} Footnotes follow the last table in this section of the proxy statement.

Derek A. Rice*

	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Termination in Connection with a Change in Control (\$)^{(1)}	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)
Compensation				
Cash Severance	748,493	1,496,987		
Pro-Rata Bonus	487,360	487,360		
Long Term Incentives				
Restricted Stock Units Univested &				
Accelerated	330,139	330,139	330,139	330,139
Performance Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated ⁽²⁾	3,260,000	3,260,000	3,260,000	3,260,000
Benefits and Perquisites				
Health Insurance ⁽³⁾	6,051	6,051	6,051	6,051
Total	4,832,043	5,580,537	3,596,190	3,596,190

^{*} Footnotes follow the last table in this section of the proxy statement.

William E. Jordan*

	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Termination in Connection with a Change in Control (\$)^{(1)}	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)
Compensation				
Cash Severance	812,344	1,624,688		
Pro-Rata Bonus	444,716	444,716		
Long Term Incentives				
Restricted Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated	560,783	560,783	560,783	560,783
Performance Stock Units Unvested &				
Accelerated ⁽²⁾	1,391,982	1,391,982	1,391,982	1,391,982
Benefits and Perquisites				
Health Insurance ⁽³⁾	18,150	18,150	18,150	18,150
Total	3,227,975	4,040,319	1,970,915	1,970,915

- (1) If a change in control occurred and the NEO s employment did not terminate, the NEO would not be entitled to additional payments or benefits. The narrative following these tables contains a description of events that constitute a *change in control*.
- (2) The amounts reflect an assumption that performance was achieved at the target award level.
- (3) *Health Insurance* benefit expense reflects cumulative COBRA costs for the applicable continuation period based on 2016 and expected 2017 COBRA rates.

41

Additional Information Regarding the Tables Relating to Potential Payments Upon Employment Termination or Change in Control

The following narrative provides additional information of the plans, arrangements, and agreements that relate to the potential payments set forth in the tables above. The definitions of terms used in such plans, arrangements and agreements are set forth below under *Definitions*. This summary, however, does not purport to be a complete description of all the provisions of the agreements with the executives.

Vesting Provisions Regarding Rice Energy Performance Stock Unit and Restricted Stock Unit Awards, and Rice Midstream Partners Phantom Unit Awards

With respect to performance stock unit awards, except as otherwise provided in another Company plan or agreement or described below, in the event of a participant s employment termination during the applicable performance period or the participant s breach of certain confidentiality covenants set forth in the award agreement, any performance stock units, whether earned or unearned, will automatically be cancelled and forfeited in their entirety. Generally, if the participant ceases to be employed by us during the performance period as a result of the participant s disability or death, the participant will vest in full and the number of shares earned pursuant to the award will be determined at the end of the performance period.

With respect to restricted stock unit awards, except as otherwise provided in another Company plan or agreement or described below, in the event a participant s employment is terminated, any unvested restricted stock units will be automatically forfeited. In the event a participant s termination is due to death or disability, all unvested restricted stock units will vest.

With respect to RMP phantom unit awards, in the event a participant experiences a separation from service with RMP and its affiliates, any unvested phantom units will be automatically forfeited.

Definitions

The following terms are defined under the Employment Agreements or the award agreements:

Cause is defined under the Employment Agreements generally to mean a determination by the board of directors (or its delegates) that the executive (i) has engaged in gross negligence, gross incompetence, or misconduct in the performance of the executive s duties to us, (ii) has failed without proper legal reason to perform the executive s duties and responsibilities to us, (iii) has breached any material provision of the Employment Agreement or any written agreement or corporate policy or code of conduct established by us, (iv) has engaged in conduct that is, or could reasonably expected to be, materially injurious to us, (v) has committed an act of theft, fraud, embezzlement, misappropriation, or breach of a fiduciary duty to us, or (vi) has been convicted of, pleaded no contest to, or received adjudicated probation or deferred adjudication in connection with a crime involving fraud, dishonesty, or moral turpitude or any felony (or a crime of similar import in a foreign jurisdiction).

Good Reason is defined under the Employment Agreements generally to mean (i) a material diminution in the executive s base salary, other than as a part of one or more decreases that (A) does not exceed, in the aggregate, more than 10% of the base salary as in effect on the date immediately prior to such decrease, and

(B) are applied similarly to all of our similarly situated executives; (ii) a material diminution in the executive s authority, duties, or responsibilities; or (iii) the involuntary relocation of the geographic location of the executive s principal place of employment by more than 75 miles from the location of the executive s principal place of employment as of the effective date of the Employment Agreement.

Change in Control is defined under the Employment Agreements generally to mean (i) a merger, consolidation, or sale of all or substantially all of our assets if (A) our stockholders prior to the transaction do not continue to own equity securities with 50% or more of the voting power eligible to be cast in the election of directors after the transaction in substantially the same proportion or (B) the members of our board immediately prior to the transaction do not constitute at least a majority of the board of directors of the resulting entity immediately after the transaction; (ii) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company; (iii) when any person, entity, or group, other than certain persons, acquires or gains ownership or control of more than 50% of the combined

42

voting power of the outstanding securities of the Company, or (iv) as a result of, or in connection with, a contested election of directors, the persons who were members of our board immediately before such election cease to constitute a majority of the board.

Disability is defined under the award agreements to mean a disability that entitles an employee to disability benefits under the Company s long-term disability plan.

PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The second proposal item to be voted on is the advisory approval of the Company s executive compensation.

As described in detail in *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*, our executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate and retain key executives who drive our success and industry leadership. We achieve these goals by being committed to *paying for performance*.

The compensation committee continually reviews the compensation programs for our executive officers to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders interests and current market practices. Please read *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*, which describes the Company s executive compensation program and the decisions made by the compensation committee in 2015 in more detail, including information about the fiscal year 2015 compensation paid to our NEOs.

The Company has delivered solid financial results for our stockholders since our IPO in January 2014. For a discussion of the Company s recent significant achievements, see *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*. We believe that our executive compensation programs have played a material role in our ability: (i) to drive the strong financial results described in *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* and (ii) to attract and retain a highly experienced, successful team to manage the Company.

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for the compensation paid to our NEOs as described in this proxy statement. This proposal gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on the compensation paid to our executive officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation paid to our executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement.

Accordingly, we ask our stockholders to vote FOR, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our executive officers by adopting the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*, compensation tables and narrative discussion is hereby APPROVED.

As an advisory vote, your vote will not be binding on the Company or the board of directors. However, our board of directors and our compensation committee, which is responsible for designing and administering the Company s executive compensation program, value the opinions of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the compensation paid to our executive officers, we will consider our stockholders concerns and the compensation committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE

ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AS DISCLOSED

UNDER COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS AND THE ACCOMPANYING

COMPENSATION TABLES UNDER EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION CONTAINED IN

THIS PROXY STATEMENT

43

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION TABLE

This table provides information about our common stock subject to our equity compensation plan as of December 31, 2015:

			Number of
			Securities
]	Remaining Available
			for Future
	Number of		Issuance
	Securities to be	Weighted-Average	Under Equity
	Issued Upon	Exercise Price of	Compensation
	Exercise of	Outstanding	Plans
	Outstanding	Options,	(Excluding
	Options,	Warrants	Securities
	Warrants	and	Reflected
	and Rights	Rights	in Column (a))
Plan Category	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans			
approved by security holders	1,405,404 ⁽¹⁾		15,971,811 ⁽²⁾
Equity compensation plans not			
approved by security holders			
Total	1,405,404 ⁽¹⁾		15,971,811

- (1) Of this total related to the LTIP, 702,669 are restricted stock units and 702,735 are performance stock units (assuming performance at a target level).
- (2) The total number of shares authorized for issuance under the LTIP is 17,500,000. The awards under the LTIP may be granted in the form of bonus stock, restricted stock, restricted stock units, options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, performance awards, annual incentive awards and other stock-based awards.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table and accompanying footnotes show information as of April 4, 2016 (except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes), regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

each person who is known by us to own beneficially more than five percent of our common stock;

each member of our board of directors and each of our NEOs; and

all members of our board of directors and our executive officers as a group.

The table also presents the ownership of common units of RMP owned of record or beneficially as of April 4, 2016, by:

each member of our board of directors and each of our NEOs; and

all members of our board of directors and our executive officers as a group.

The Company owns a majority interest in RMP. The number of shares and percentages of beneficial ownership set forth below are based on 42,163,749 common units of RMP and 136,474,696 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of April 4, 2016.

		Rice Ene	ergy Inc.	
Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Owned ⁽¹⁾	Right to Acquire ⁽²⁾	Total	Percentage
5% Stockholders:				
Rice Energy Irrevocable Trust ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾				
2200 Rice Drive				
Canonsburg, PA 15317	29,800,000		29,800,000	21.84%
Rice Energy Holdings LLC ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾				
2200 Rice Drive				
Canonsburg, PA 15317	5,168,885		5,168,885	3.79%
NGP Rice Holdings LLC ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾				
5221 N. O Connor Boulevard, Suite 1100				
Irving, TX 75039	14,337,725		14,337,725	10.51%
Citadel Advisors LLC ⁽⁷⁾				
c/o Citadel LLC, 131 S. Dearborn Street,				
32nd Floor				
Chicago, IL 60603	10,716,453		10,716,453	7.85%
	7,266,922		7,266,922	5.32%

Integrated Core Strategies (US) LLC⁽⁸⁾ 666 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10103

Wellington Management Group LLP ⁽⁹⁾			
280 Congress Street			
Boston, MA 02210	7,033,260	7,033,260	5.15%

	Number of Shares	Rice Energ	y Inc.		Rice Mid Partner Number Be Common Units Beneficial	rs LP rcentage of Common Units
Name of Beneficial Owner	Owned ⁽¹⁾	Acquire(2)	Total	%	Owned ⁽¹⁷⁾	Owned
Directors and Named Executive Officers:						
Daniel J. Rice IV ⁽¹⁰⁾	29,436	4,590	34,026	*	1,000	*
Grayson T. Lisenby ⁽¹¹⁾	39,401	4,590	43,991	*	16,000	*
Toby Z. Rice ⁽¹²⁾	42,447	4,590	47,037	*	12,500	*
Derek A. Rice ⁽¹³⁾	29,436	4,590	34,026	*		*
William E. Jordan ⁽¹⁴⁾	7,366	4,188	11,554	*	5,000	*
Robert F. Vagt	25,869	11,216	37,085	*		
Daniel J. Rice III	2,556,844		2,556,844	1.87%		
Scott A. Gieselman	40,000		40,000	*		
James W. Christmas ⁽¹⁵⁾	187,694	7,627	195,321	*		
Steven C. Dixon	13,684	7,627	21,311	*		
John McCartney	4,146	7,403	11,549	*		
All Executive Officers and Directors of						
Rice Energy as a Group (13 persons)	3,005,818	64,454	3,070,272	$2.20^{(16)}\%$	57,000	*

- * Less than 1% of shares outstanding
- (1) The shares of our common stock beneficially owned are reported on the basis of regulations of the SEC governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under the rules of the SEC, a person is deemed to be a *beneficial owner* of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote, or direct the voting of, such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of, or to direct the disposition of, such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing such person s ownership percentage, but not for purposes of computing any other person s percentage. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which such person has no economic interest. Except as otherwise indicated in these footnotes, each of the beneficial owners has, to our knowledge, sole voting and investment power with respect to the indicated shares of common stock.
- (2) The numbers in this column represent shares underlying restricted stock units which may be settled within 60 days of April 4, 2016.
- (3) The Company, Rice Holdings, NGP Holdings, and the Rice Trust currently are parties to the Stockholders Agreement with regard to the shares of common stock held by each applicable stockholder. Among other things, the Stockholders Agreement requires the parties thereto to take all necessary actions, including voting their shares of common stock, for the election of the nominees designated by such principal stockholders. The Stockholders Agreement will terminate on the earlier of the date on which (i) none of our principal stockholders beneficially own at least 2.5% of our outstanding common stock and (ii) we receive written notice from each principal stockholder requesting the termination of the Stockholders Agreement. The Stockholders Agreement terminates with respect to a particular principal stockholder party thereto when such principal stockholder beneficially owns less than 2.5% of our outstanding common stock. Pursuant to the information contained in the most recently filed

Schedules 13G and/or Schedules 13D of each party, in the aggregate, the parties to the Stockholder Agreement share voting power with respect to 49,306,610 shares of our common stock and thus beneficially own, in the aggregate, approximately 36.13% of the common stock of the Company. Details on the amounts held by each of the Rice Trust, Rice Holdings and NGP are set forth in footnotes 4, 5 and 6, respectively, to this beneficial ownership table. For more information regarding the Stockholders Agreement, see *Stockholder Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting*.

- Based solely on the Schedule 13D/A filed on March 25, 2016 by the Rice Trust, and Kathleen L. Peto and Jay D. Rosenbaum, as co-trustees of the Rice Trust, in which the Rice Trust reported that it had (i) sole voting power with respect to zero shares of our common stock, (ii) shared voting power with respect to 49,306,610 shares of our common stock, (iii) sole dispositive power with respect to zero shares of our common stock and (iv) shared dispositive power with respect to 29,800,000 shares of our common stock. Mr. Jay D. Rosenbaum and Ms. Kathleen L. Peto are co-trustees of the Rice Trust. Ms. Kathleen L. Peto is the spouse of Mr. Daniel J. Rice III. The Rice Trust also holds 13,000,000 shares of Rice Energy common stock in a margin account at a brokerage firm, subject to the broker s lien on all of the account assets. An additional 6,750,000 shares previously were pledged as security for a bank loan, which loan was paid in full in December 2015, resulting in the release of all shares from the pledge.
- (5) Based solely on the Schedule 13D/A filed on March 25, 2016 by Rice Holdings, in which it reported that it had (i) sole voting power with respect to zero shares of our common stock, (ii) shared voting power with respect to 49,306,610 shares of our common stock, (iii) sole dispositive power with respect to 5,168,885 shares of our common stock and (iv) shared dispositive power with respect to zero shares of our common stock.
- Based solely on the Schedule 13D/A filed on March 25, 2016 by NGP Holdings, NGP Natural Resources X, L.P., NGP X Parallel Holdings, L.P., G.F.W. Energy X, L.P., GFW X, L.L.C., Natural Gas Partners IX, L.P., NGP IX Offshore Holdings, L.P., G.F.W. Energy IX, L.P., GFW IX, L.L.C., and NGP Energy Capital Management, L.L.C. in which the entities reported that they had (i) sole voting power with respect to zero shares of our common stock, (ii) shared voting power with respect to 49,306,610 shares of our common stock, (iii) sole dispositive power with respect to zero shares of our common stock and (iv) shared dispositive power with respect to 14,337,725 shares of our common stock.
- Based solely on the Schedule 13G/A filed on February 16, 2016 by Citadel Advisors LLC, Citadel Advisors Holdings II LP, Citadel GP LLC and Kenneth Griffin, in which the reporting persons indicated that they had (i) sole voting power with respect to zero shares of our common stock, (ii) shared voting power with respect to 10,716,453 shares of our common stock, (iii) sole dispositive power with respect to zero shares of our common stock and (iv) shared dispositive power with respect to 10,716,453 shares of our common stock.
- (8) Based solely on the Schedule 13G filed on February 17, 2016 by Integrated Core Strategies (US) LLC, Integrated Assets, Ltd., Millennium International Management LP, Millennium International Management GP LLC, Millennium Management LLC and Israel A. Englander, in which the reporting persons indicated that they had (i) sole voting power with respect to zero shares of our common stock, (ii) shared voting power with respect to 7,266,922 shares of our common stock, (iii) sole dispositive power with respect to zero shares of our common stock and (iv) shared dispositive power with respect to 7,266,922 shares of our common stock.
- ⁽⁹⁾ Based solely on the Schedule 13G filed on February 11, 2016 by Wellington Management Group LLP, Wellington Group Holdings LLP, Wellington Investment Advisors Holdings LLP and Wellington Management Company LLP, in which the reporting persons indicated that they had (i) sole voting power with respect to zero shares of our common stock, (ii) shared voting power with respect to 4,266,523 shares of our common stock, (iii) sole dispositive power with respect to zero shares of our common stock and (iv) shared dispositive power with respect to 7,033,260 shares of our common stock.
- (10) This number does not include 63,255 restricted stock units or any unearned performance stock units that have been issued to Mr. Daniel J. Rice IV under the LTIP.
- (11) This number does not include 63,255 restricted stock units or any unearned performance stock units that have been issued to Mr. Lisenby under the LTIP.
- (12) Includes an aggregate of 14,460 shares and restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of April 4, 2016 that are held by Mr. Toby Z. Rice s spouse. This number does not include 63,255 restricted stock units or any unearned performance stock units that have been issued to Mr. Toby Z. Rice under the LTIP.
- (13) This number does not include 63,255 restricted stock units or any unearned performance stock units that have been issued to Mr. Derek A. Rice under the LTIP.

Table of Contents

(14)

This number does not include 81,531 restricted stock units or any unearned performance stock units that have been issued to Mr. Jordan under the LTIP.

47

- (15) This number includes 75,000 shares for which Mr. Christmas disclaims beneficial ownership, as these shares are held in trusts which have previously been established for his children.
- (16) This number does not include an aggregate of 210,026 unvested restricted stock units granted to other executive officers of the Company.
- (17) The numbers in this column do not include 15,152 RMP phantom units received by each of Daniel J. Rice IV, Grayson T. Lisenby, Toby Z. Rice and Derek A. Rice and 24,242 RMP phantom units received by William E. Jordan, or 4,546 RMP phantom units received by James W. Christmas. As of April 4, 2016, the directors and executive officers of the Company owned, as a group, 128,790 RMP phantom units.

Brokerage account agreements may grant security interests in securities held at the broker to secure payment and performance obligations of the brokerage account holder in the ordinary course. Shares shown in the table for directors, director nominees and executive officers may be subject to this type of security interest.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The Company s directors and certain officers are required to file reports with the SEC when they engage in transactions in the Company s securities pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act. Although the Company may generally assist its directors and Section 16 officers in preparing and filing the required reports, directors and Section 16 officers retain responsibility for the reports. Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by it, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Forms 5 were required for those persons, Rice Energy believes that all reporting requirements under Section 16(a) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, were met in a timely manner by its directors, executive officers, and greater than ten percent beneficial owners, except that Mr. Wingo filed a Form 3 on February 11, 2015 that inadvertently omitted 1,695 restricted stock units, which was corrected with the filing of an amended Form 3 on April 10, 2015, and Mr. Toby Rice filed a late Form 4 on June 9, 2015 for 2,111 restricted stock units that his spouse received on February 9, 2015.

POLICY WITH RESPECT TO RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Our board approved and adopted a written policy which details the procedures for the review, approval and monitoring of transactions involving us and *related persons* (directors or director nominees of the Company; senior officers of the Company, which, among others, includes Section 16 officers; stockholders owning more than 5% of the Company or its controlled affiliates, any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons, or an entity that is owned or controlled by someone listed above, or an entity in which someone listed above has a substantial ownership interest or control of the entity). The policy covers any related person transaction where the Company is a participant that, in the aggregate, involves or may be expected to exceed \$120,000 in any calendar year (an Interested Transaction).

Approval Procedures

Prior to entering into an Interested Transaction, the audit committee should review the material facts of the Interested Transaction and either approve or disapprove of the entry into the Interested Transaction, unless standing pre-approval exists for the Interested Transaction. If advance committee approval of an Interested Transaction is not feasible, then the Interested Transaction should be considered and ratified (if the audit committee determines it to be appropriate) at the audit committee s next regularly scheduled meeting. In determining whether to approve or ratify an Interested Transaction, the audit committee will take into account, among other factors it deems appropriate:

whether the Interested Transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances,

the extent of the related person s interest in the transaction, and

whether the Interested Transaction is material to the Company.

In addition, the audit committee s chairman has been delegated the authority to pre-approve or ratify (as applicable) any Interested Transaction with a related person in which the aggregate amount involved is expected to be less than \$120,000.

48

Standing Pre-Approval for Certain Interested Transactions

The audit committee has reviewed the types of Interested Transactions described below and determined that each are deemed to be pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) by the audit committee, even if the aggregate amount involved exceeds or will exceed \$120,000:

Employment of Executive Officers. Any employment by the Company of an executive officer of the Company is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) if: (i) the related compensation is required to be reported in the Company s proxy statement or (ii) the executive officer is not an immediate family member of another executive officer or director of the Company, the related compensation would be reported in the Company s proxy statement if the executive officer was a *named executive officer* and the compensation committee approved (or recommended that the board approve) the compensation.

Director Compensation. Any compensation paid to a director is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) if the compensation is required to be reported in the Company s proxy statement and the compensation is approved by the board or a committee thereof.

Certain Transactions with Other Companies. Any transaction with another company at which a related person s only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), director or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that company s shares is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1.0 million or 5% of that company s total annual revenues.

Certain Company Charitable Contributions. Any charitable contribution, grant or endowment by the Company to a charitable organization, foundation or university at which a related person s only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or a director is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the lesser of \$200,000 or 5% of the charitable organization s total annual receipts.

Transactions where All Stockholders Receive Proportional Benefits. Any transaction where the related person s interest arises solely from the ownership of the Company s common stock and all holders of the Company s common stock received the same benefit on a pro rata basis (e.g., dividends) is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable).

Transactions Involving Competitive Bids. Any transaction involving a related person where the rates or charges involved are determined by competitive bids is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable).

Regulated Transactions. Any transaction with a related person involving the rendering of services as a common or contract carrier, or public utility, at rates or charges fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable).

Certain Banking-Related Services. Any transaction with a related person involving services as a bank depositary of funds, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture or similar services is pre-approved or ratified (as applicable).

Ongoing Transactions

In connection with each regularly scheduled meeting of the audit committee, a summary of each new Interested Transaction deemed pre-approved and each new Interested Transaction pre-approved by the chairman should be provided to the audit committee for its review.

RELA TED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Bates Colonel LLC

Bates Colonel LLC (Bates Colonel), a subsidiary of the Rice Trust, currently owns approximately 90 shallow wells in Washington County, Pennsylvania, certain of which have been operated by the Rice Trust for the purposes of holding by production related natural gas leases of Rice Energy. The Rice Trust beneficially holds more than five percent of the outstanding shares of our common stock. In exchange, Rice Energy has performed maintenance and back office services pertaining to the shallow wells for no cost. In 2015, excluding the benefit

49

received by Rice Energy as a result of certain of its natural gas leases being held by production, which amount is not readily ascertainable, it is estimated that the services provided by Rice Energy for the benefit of the Rice Trust and attributable to Bates Colonel amounted to approximately \$188,000 per year. The audit committee has reviewed the related party transaction and is evaluating opportunities to mitigate the impact of, or eliminate entirely, this related party transaction on an ongoing basis.

Registration Rights Agreement

In connection with the closing of our IPO, we entered into a registration rights agreement with Rice Holdings, Rice Partners, Daniel J. Rice III, NGP Holdings and an affiliate of Alpha, (collectively, the Initial Holders). Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, we have agreed to register the sale of shares of our common stock under certain circumstances.

Demand Rights

Subject to the limitations set forth below, any Initial Holder (or their permitted transferees) has the right to require us by written notice to prepare and file a registration statement registering the offer and sale of a number of their shares of common stock. Generally, we are required to provide notice of the request within five business days following the receipt of such demand request to all additional holders of our common stock, who may, in certain circumstances, participate in the registration. In no event shall more than one demand registration occur during any six-month period or within 180 days (with respect to our IPO) or 90 days (with respect to any public offering other than our IPO) after the effective date of a final annual report we file. Further, we are not obligated to effect:

(i) through December 31, 2016, more than a total of three demand registrations or (ii) on or after January 1, 2017, more than a total of one demand registration per calendar year at the request of Rice Holdings;

more than one demand registration for Daniel J. Rice III;

(i) through December 31, 2016, more than a total of three demand registrations or (ii) on or after January 1, 2017, more than a total of one demand registration per calendar year at the request of NGP Holdings; or

more than one demand registration for Alpha (which occurred in August 2014).

We are also not obligated to affect any demand registration in which the anticipated aggregate offering price included in such offering is less than \$30 million. Once we are eligible to affect a registration on Form S-3, any such demand registration may be for a shelf registration statement. Any demand for an underwritten offering pursuant to an effective shelf registration statement shall constitute a demand request subject to the limitations set forth above. We will be required to maintain the effectiveness of any such registration statement until the earlier of 180 days (or two years if a *shelf registration* is requested) after the effective date and the consummation of the distribution by the participating holders.

Piggy-back Rights

If, at any time, we propose to register an offering of common stock (subject to certain exceptions) for our own account, then we must give at least five business days notice to all holders of the right addressed in the registration rights agreement to allow them to include a specified number of their shares in that registration statement.

Conditions and Limitations; Expenses

These registration rights are subject to certain conditions and limitations, including the right of the underwriters to limit the number of shares to be included in a registration and our right to delay or withdraw a registration statement under certain circumstances. We will generally pay all registration expenses in connection with our obligations under the registration rights agreement, regardless of whether a registration statement is filed or becomes effective.

Rice Family Employees

Ryan Rice, brother of the Rice brothers (the CEO, COO and EVP of Exploration) and son of Daniel J. Rice III, is employed by Rice Energy as a Petroleum Engineer. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, Rice Energy paid Ryan Rice aggregate compensation in the amount of \$170,000, including the value of Rice Energy equity awards.

50

Aileen Rice, spouse of Toby Z. Rice, COO of Rice Energy, sister-in-law of the Rice brothers (CEO and EVP of Exploration) and daughter-in-law of Daniel J. Rice III, is employed with Rice Energy as the Senior Land Manager, Appalachia. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, Rice Energy paid Aileen Rice aggregate compensation in the amount of \$266,000, including the value of Rice Energy equity awards.

Ryan Rice and Aileen Rice continue to be employees of Rice Energy in 2016 with similar compensatory arrangements as in 2015.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The audit committee of the Company s board of directors is currently composed of three non-employee directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors. The committee charter is available on the Company s web site (www.riceenergy.com).

The Company s management is responsible for the Company s financial reporting processes, including the system of internal controls. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements and for issuing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with United States generally accepted accounting principles. The independent registered public accounting firm is also responsible for performing an audit of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and expressing an opinion on internal control over financial reporting. The audit committee oversees the Company s financial reporting processes on behalf of the board of directors.

In this context, the audit committee has met and held discussions with management, the Company s internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm. Management represented to the audit committee that the Company s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, and the audit committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee has also discussed internal control over financial reporting with management and the independent registered public accounting firm matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, Communications with Audit Committees, issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, regarding the independent accountant s communications with the audit committee concerning independence, the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements.

In addition, the audit committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by the applicable requirements of the Public Accounting Oversight Board and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the firm s independence from the Company and its management. In concluding that the firm is independent, the audit committee considered, among other factors, whether any non-audit services provided by the firm were compatible with its independence.

The audit committee discussed with the Company s independent registered public accounting firm and the Company s internal auditors the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The audit committee meets with the independent registered public accounting firm at least quarterly, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their audit, their evaluations of the Company s internal controls and the overall quality of the Company s financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors, and the board of directors has approved, that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the

Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for filing with the SEC. The audit committee approved, and the board of directors ratified, the selection of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.

James W. Christmas, *Chairman* Robert F. Vagt John McCartney

51

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The following table presents fees billed for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP (E&Y) for the audit of Rice Energy s annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and fees for other services rendered by E&Y during those periods.

Fees of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

For work performed in regard to fiscal years 2015 and 2014, Rice Energy paid E&Y the following fees for services, as categorized:

	al 2015 ⁽⁴⁾ housands)	al 2014 ⁽⁴⁾ nousands)
Audit fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,694	\$ 2,027
Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾	\$	\$ 75
Tax fees ⁽³⁾	\$ 20	\$
All other fees	\$	\$
Total	\$ 1,714	\$ 2,102

- (i) For fiscal years 2014 and 2015, includes E&Y fees for professional services provided in connection with (i) audit of our financial statements, (ii) review of our quarterly consolidated financial statements and (iii) review of our filings with the SEC, including review of registration statements, comfort letters and consents.
- (2) Includes fees for internal control procedures.
- (3) Tax fees primarily represent advice related to state tax planning.
- (4) The amounts and types of fees paid by RMP to E&Y for its fiscal years 2015 and 2014 are set forth and described in its Annual Reports on Form 10-K for such years filed on February 25, 2016 and March 13, 2015, respectively.

Policy for Approval of Audit and Permitted Non-Audit Services

Before the independent registered public accounting firm is engaged by the Company or its subsidiaries to render audit or non-audit services, the audit committee must pre-approve the engagement. Audit committee pre-approval of audit and non-audit services is not required if the engagement for the services is entered into pursuant to pre-approval policies and procedures established by the audit committee. The chairman of the audit committee has the authority to grant pre-approvals, provided such approvals are within the pre-approval policy and presented to the audit committee at a subsequent meeting. In 2014 and 2015, all of E&Y s professional fees were pre-approved in accordance with the Company s pre-approval policies then in place.

Table of Contents 106

52

PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The third proposal item to be voted on is to ratify the appointment of E&Y as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

The audit committee approved, and the board of directors has ratified, that E&Y act as Rice Energy s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016. The board of directors has directed that such appointment be submitted to Rice Energy s stockholders for further ratification at the Annual Meeting.

Stockholder ratification of the appointment of E&Y as Rice Energy s independent registered public accounting firm is not required. The board of directors, however, is submitting the appointment to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the audit committee will reconsider whether to retain E&Y.

Representatives of E&Y are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire. They will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2016.

53

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. WE URGE YOU TO VOTE IN ANY ONE OF THE FOLLOWING THREE WAYS:

VIA THE INTERNET

USE THE TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE NUMBER

MARK, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN A PROXY CARD BY MAIL

Any proxy may be revoked at any time before your shares are voted at the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

William E. Jordan
Senior Vice President, General Counsel

and Corporate Secretary

April 15, 2016

Canonsburg, Pennsylvania

VOTE BY INTERNET - <u>www.proxyvote.com</u> or scan the QR Barcode above

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 31, 2016. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by Rice Energy Inc. in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 31, 2016. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Rice Energy Inc., c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

E06821-P76876 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

RICE ENERGY INC.	For Withhold	For All	To withhold authority to vote for any			
The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the following:	All All	Except	individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.			
1. The election of three (3) Clas II directors nominated by our Board of Directors to serve until the Company s 2019 annual meeting of stockholders;						
Nominees:						
 01) Robert F. Vagt 02) Toby Z. Rice 03) Steven C. Dixon The Board of Directors recommend	mends a vote FO	R the follo	wing proposals:	For	Against	Abstain
2. Advisory approval of the Cor			.			
3. Ratification of Ernst & Youn accounting firm for the fiscal						
NOTE: Such other business as r adjournment or postponement th		ne before the	e meeting or any			
For address changes, please chec write them on the back where in						

Table of Contents 110

Please indicate if you plan to

Yes

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When

fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should

corporation, company or partnership, please sign in full corporate, company or partnership name by authorized

signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other

each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a

No

attend this meeting.

officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Date

Signature (Joint Owners)Date

2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Wednesday, June 1, 2016

10:00 AM EDT

Hilton Garden Inn

1000 Corporate Drive

Canonsburg, PA 15317

Driving Directions:

From Pittsburgh International Airport -

Take Airport Parkway - Merge onto I-376 East for 10.3 miles. Merge onto I-79 South via Exit 64A Washington. Travel for approximately 13 miles. Take exit 48(Southpointe/Hendersonville). Turn right off the exit, then right onto Corporate Drive. The Hilton Garden Inn is on the right.

From I-79 southbound -

Take exit 48 (Southpointe/Hendersonville). Turn right off the exit, then right onto Corporate Drive. The Hilton Garden Inn is on the right.

From I-79 northbound -

Take exit 48 (Southpointe/Hendersonville). Turn left off the exit. At Corporate Drive turn right. The Hilton Garden Inn is on the right.

From I-70 east/west -

Follow signs for I-79 north. Take exit 48 (Southpointe/Hendersonville). Turn left off the exit. At Corporate Drive turn right.

The Hilton Garden Inn is on the right.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2016 Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com.

E06822-P76876

RICE ENERGY INC.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

June 1, 2016, 10:00 AM

This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of Rice Energy Inc.

The stockholder(s) hereby appoint(s) Daniel J. Rice IV and William E. Jordan, or either of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, or as otherwise properly presented, all of the shares of common stock of RICE ENERGY INC. that the stockholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 10:00 AM, EDT, on June 1, 2016, at The Hilton Garden Inn, 1000 Corporate Drive, Canonsburg, PA 15317, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED BY THE PROXIES AS DIRECTED, OR IF NO DIRECTION IS INDICATED, WILL BE VOTED (i) FOR ELECTION OF ALL CLASS II DIRECTOR NOMINEES (PROPOSAL 1); (ii) FOR ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL 2); AND (iii) FOR RATIFICATION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL 3); AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROXIES BEST JUDGMENT UPON SUCH OTHER BUSINESS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING OR ANY ADJOURNMENT OR POSTPONEMENT THEREOF.

ddress Changes:				
	_			
(If you noted any Addr	ess Changes abov	e. please mark o	corresponding bo	ox on the reverse si

Continued and to be signed on reverse side