

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
November 13, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-35522

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

04-3639825

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

18500 Von Karman Ave, Suite 1100, Irvine, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

92612

(Zip Code)

(949) 236-5211

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

As of October 31, 2012 the registrant had outstanding 10,684,185 shares of voting common stock and 1,100,754 shares of Class B non-voting common stock.

Table of Contents

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.

Form 10-Q Quarterly Report

Index

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I - Financial Information | |
| Item 1 <u>Financial Statements</u> | 4 |
| Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 55 |
| Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 67 |
| Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 68 |
| PART II - Other Information | |
| Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 69 |
| Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u> | 69 |
| Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 70 |
| Item 3 <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 71 |
| Item 4 <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 71 |
| Item 5 <u>Other Information</u> | 71 |
| Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u> | 72 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 77 |

Table of Contents

Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

When used in this report and in public shareholder communications, in other documents of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (the Company, we, us and our) filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases believe, will, should, will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, project, plans, guidance or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. These statements may relate to our future financial performance, strategic plans or objectives, revenue, expense or earnings projections, or other financial items. By their nature, these statements are subject to numerous uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the statements.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated or projected include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the merger agreement for the Company's pending acquisition of the Private Bank of California (PBOC); (ii) the outcome of any legal proceedings that may be instituted against the Company or PBOC; (iii) the inability to complete the PBOC transaction due to the failure to satisfy such transaction's conditions to completion, including the receipt of regulatory approvals and the approval of the merger agreement by PBOC's shareholders; (iv) risks that the proposed PBOC transaction, or the Company's recently completed acquisitions of Beach Business Bank and Gateway Bancorp, may disrupt current plans and operations, the potential difficulties in customer and employee retention as a result of the transactions and the amount of the costs, fees, expenses and charges related to the transactions; (v) continuation or worsening of current recessionary conditions, as well as continued turmoil in the financial markets; (vi) the credit risks of lending activities, which may be affected by further deterioration in real estate markets and the financial condition of borrowers, may lead to increased loan and lease delinquencies, losses and nonperforming assets in our loan portfolio, and may result in our allowance for loan and lease losses not being adequate to cover actual losses and require us to materially increase our loan and lease loss reserves; (vii) the quality and composition of our securities portfolio; (viii) changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas; (ix) continuation of the historically low short-term interest rate environment, changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short- and long-term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; (x) fluctuations in the demand for loans and leases, the number of unsold homes and other properties and fluctuations in commercial and residential real estate values in our market area; (xi) results of examinations of us by regulatory authorities and the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require us to increase our allowance for loan and lease losses, write-down asset values, increase our capital levels, or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; (xii) legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business, including changes in regulatory capital or other rules; (xiii) our ability to control operating costs and expenses; (xiv) staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our work force and potential associated charges; (xv) errors in our estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which may result in significant declines in valuation; (xvi) the network and computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach; (xvii) our ability to attract and retain key members of our senior management team; (xviii) costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; (xix) increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; (xx) changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits; (xxi) adverse changes in the securities markets; (xxii) earthquake, fire or other natural disasters affecting the condition of real estate collateral; (xxiii) the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; (xxiv) inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; (xxv) changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board or their application to our business or final audit adjustments, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; (xxvi) war or terrorist activities; and (xxvii) other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services and the other risks described in this report and from time to time in other documents that we file with or furnish to the SEC. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, and we undertake no obligation to update any such statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition****(In thousands of dollars except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 8,867 | \$ 6,755 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 104,638 | 37,720 |
| Federal funds sold | 8,555 | |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 122,060 | 44,475 |
| Time deposits in financial institutions | 5,621 | |
| Securities available for sale | 122,271 | 101,616 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and other bank stock, at cost | 8,842 | 6,972 |
| Originated loans and leases receivable, net of allowance of \$11,663 at September 30, 2012 and \$12,257 at December 31, 2011 | 798,347 | 716,377 |
| Purchased loans and leases receivable, net of allowance of \$716 at September 30, 2012 and \$523 at December 31, 2012 | 404,648 | 59,232 |
| Loans held for sale | 110,291 | |
| Servicing rights, net | 2,170 | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 5,312 | 3,569 |
| Other real estate owned (OREO), net | 8,704 | 14,692 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 15,492 | 10,585 |
| Bank owned life insurance investment | 18,649 | 18,451 |
| Prepaid FDIC assessment | 1,622 | 2,405 |
| Deferred income tax | 7,441 | 7,643 |
| Goodwill | 7,039 | |
| Other intangible assets, net | 5,841 | |
| Other assets | 25,382 | 13,024 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,669,732 | \$ 999,041 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 88,616 | \$ 20,039 |
| Interest-bearing demand | 183,516 | 68,578 |
| Money market accounts | 253,557 | 188,658 |
| Savings accounts | 162,979 | 39,176 |
| Certificates of deposit | 639,553 | 469,883 |
| Total deposits | 1,328,221 | 786,334 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 86,000 | 20,000 |
| Notes payable, net | 34,018 | |
| Reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans | 2,665 | |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 27,089 | 8,212 |
| Total liabilities | 1,477,993 | 814,546 |

Commitments and contingent liabilities

Table of Contents

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, \$1,000 per share liquidation preference for a total of \$32,000; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 32,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 | 31,925 | 31,934 |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 196,863,844 shares authorized; 11,900,952 shares issued and 10,683,327 shares outstanding at September 30, 2012; 11,756,636 shares issued and 10,581,704 shares outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 119 | 117 |
| Class B non-voting non-convertible Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 3,136,156 shares authorized; 1,090,061 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 1,054,991 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 11 | 11 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 153,467 | 150,786 |
| Retained earnings | 31,477 | 27,623 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (September 30, 2012-1,217,625 shares, December 31, 2011-1,174,932 shares) | (25,638) | (25,037) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss), net | 378 | (939) |
| Total shareholders equity | 191,739 | 184,495 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 1,669,732 | \$ 999,041 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income/(Loss)****(In thousands of dollars except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|---|--------------|--|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest and dividend income | | | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 15,928 | \$ 7,757 | \$ 35,060 | \$ 22,936 |
| Securities | 708 | 1,017 | 2,139 | 3,263 |
| Dividends and other interest-earning assets | 86 | 49 | 226 | 155 |
| Federal funds sold | | | | |
| Total interest and dividend income | 16,722 | 8,823 | 37,425 | 26,354 |
| Interest expense | | | | |
| Savings | 211 | 95 | 233 | 283 |
| NOW | 102 | 18 | 162 | 50 |
| Money market | 166 | 62 | 557 | 189 |
| Certificates of deposit | 1,099 | 1,072 | 3,333 | 3,225 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 74 | 92 | 266 | 960 |
| Capital leases | 2 | | 4 | |
| Notes payable | 660 | | 1,155 | |
| Total interest expense | 2,314 | 1,339 | 5,710 | 4,707 |
| Net interest income | 14,408 | 7,484 | 31,715 | 21,647 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses on originated loans | 894 | 823 | 1,808 | 1,274 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses on purchased loans | 137 | | 193 | |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 13,377 | 6,661 | 29,714 | 20,373 |
| Noninterest income | | | | |
| Customer service fees | 543 | 396 | 1,282 | 1,107 |
| Mortgage loan prepayment penalties | 46 | 54 | 62 | 80 |
| Loan servicing income | 146 | | 146 | |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 69 | 77 | 198 | 221 |
| Net gain/(loss) on sales of securities available for sale | (12) | 1,450 | (83) | 2,887 |
| Net gain on sale of loans | 59 | | 204 | |
| Net gain on mortgage banking activities | 5,546 | | 5,546 | |
| Bargain purchase gain | 12,055 | | 12,055 | |
| Other | 1,060 | 35 | 1,244 | 119 |
| Total noninterest income | 19,512 | 2,012 | 20,654 | 4,414 |
| Noninterest expense | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 13,613 | 3,251 | 23,657 | 9,488 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 2,473 | 730 | 4,793 | 1,926 |
| Advertising | 395 | 71 | 848 | 182 |
| Professional fees | 3,788 | 667 | 5,318 | 1,416 |
| Stationery paper, supplies, and postage | 286 | 105 | 582 | 336 |
| Data processing | 1,037 | 356 | 1,946 | 972 |
| ATM costs | 93 | 81 | 277 | 223 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| FDIC assessments | 291 | 222 | 971 | 997 |
| Provision for loss reimbursements on sold loans | 172 | | 172 | |
| Loan servicing and foreclosure expense | 83 | 327 | 788 | 783 |
| Operating loss on equity investment | 76 | 79 | 229 | 235 |
| OREO valuation allowance | 36 | 1,329 | 205 | 1,887 |
| Net (gain)/loss on sales of other real estate owned | 42 | 105 | (466) | 924 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 329 | | 329 | |
| Other general and administrative | 1,742 | 338 | 2,968 | 1,107 |
| Total noninterest expense | 24,456 | 7,661 | 42,617 | 20,476 |

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income before income taxes | 8,433 | 1,012 | 7,751 | 4,311 |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | (1,110) | 368 | (1,430) | 1,425 |
| Net income | \$ 9,543 | \$ 644 | \$ 9,181 | \$ 2,886 |
| Preferred stock dividends | \$ 328 | \$ 138 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 138 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 9,215 | \$ 506 | \$ 8,139 | \$ 2,748 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.27 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.27 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss), before tax: | | | | |
| Change in net unrealized gains/(losses) on securities: | | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains arising during the period | 817 | (932) | 2,155 | (2,408) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for (gains)/losses included in net income | 12 | (1,450) | 83 | (2,887) |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses), net of reclassification adjustments | 829 | (2,382) | 2,238 | (5,295) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income/(loss) | 341 | (978) | 921 | (2,179) |
| Total other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax | 488 | (1,404) | 1,317 | (3,116) |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | \$ 10,031 | \$ (760) | \$ 10,498 | \$ (230) |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Shareholder s Equity****(In thousands of dollars, except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

| | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Unearned ESOP | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net | Total |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ | \$ 109 | \$ 123,170 | \$ 35,773 | \$ (25,135) | \$ (507) | \$ 2,599 | \$ 136,009 |
| Net Loss | | | | (2,728) | | | | (2,728) |
| Other comprehensive (loss), net | | | | | | | (3,538) | (3,538) |
| Forfeiture and retirement of shares and common stock | | | 13 | | (13) | | | |
| Stock option compensation expense | | | 816 | | | | | 816 |
| ESOP forfeitures used to reduce ESOP contribution | | | 7 | | | | | 7 |
| Stock awards earned | | | 412 | | | | | 412 |
| Issuance of stock awards | | | (611) | | 107 | | | (504) |
| Purchase of 5,224 shares of treasury stock | | | | | (55) | | | (55) |
| Employee stock ownership plan shares earned | | | 98 | | | 507 | | 605 |
| Tax benefit/(loss) of restricted share awards vesting | | | (4) | | | | | (4) |
| Dividends declared (\$.45 per common share) | | | 516 | (4,888) | | | | (4,372) |
| Repurchase of warrants TARP | | | (1,003) | | | | | (1,003) |
| Tax effect of ESOP | | | 256 | | | | | 256 |
| Tax effect of options redeemed | | | 147 | | | | | 147 |
| Reissuance of ESOP shares | | | (59) | | 59 | | | |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (534) | | | | (534) |
| Issuance of 32,000 shares of preferred stock, net of issuance costs of \$66 | 31,934 | | | | | | | 31,934 |
| Net proceeds from stock issuance | | 19 | 27,028 | | | | | 27,047 |

Table of Contents

| | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Unearned ESOP Income | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net | Total |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---|------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 31,934 | 128 | 150,786 | 27,623 | (25,037) | | (939) | 184,495 |
| Net Income | | | | 9,181 | | | | 9,181 |
| Other comprehensive income, net | | | | | | | 1,317 | 1,317 |
| Forfeiture and retirement of 200 shares and common stock | | | 3 | | (120) | | | (117) |
| Forfeited share option | | | (387) | | | | | (387) |
| Stock option compensation expense | | | 774 | | | | | 774 |
| RRP option compensation expense | | | 161 | | | | | 161 |
| Stock awards earned | | | 644 | | | | | 644 |
| Severance payment | | | (129) | | | | | (129) |
| Issuance of common stock | | 2 | (2) | | | | | |
| Purchase of 42,693 shares of treasury stock | | | | | (481) | | | (481) |
| Dividends declared (\$0.36 per common share) | | | 632 | (4,285) | | | | (3,653) |
| Purchase of preferred stock | (9) | | | | | | | (9) |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (1,042) | | | | (1,042) |
| Tax benefit/(loss) of RRP shares vesting | | | (17) | | | | | (17) |
| Warrants issued with Beach Business Bank purchase | | | 1,009 | | | | | 1,009 |
| Capital raising expenses | | | (7) | | | | | (7) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 31,925 | \$ 130 | \$ 153,467 | \$ 31,477 | \$ (25,638) | \$ | \$ 378 | \$ 191,739 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands of dollars)****(Unaudited)**

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 9,181 | \$ 2,886 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities | | |
| Bargain purchase gain | (12,055) | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,001 | 1,274 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 329 | |
| Provision for loss reimbursements on sold loans | 172 | |
| Net gain on mortgage banking activities | (5,546) | |
| Gain on sale of loans | (204) | |
| Net amortization/(accretion) of securities | 861 | (364) |
| Net amortization of debt | 76 | |
| Depreciation | 1,035 | 451 |
| Employee stock ownership plan compensation expense | | 482 |
| Stock incentive compensation expense | 805 | 744 |
| Fair value adjustments of mortgage servicing rights | (290) | |
| Bank owned life insurance income | (198) | (221) |
| Operating loss on equity investment | 229 | 235 |
| Net (gain)/loss on sales of securities available-for-sale | 83 | (2,887) |
| Net (gain)/loss on sales of other real estate owned | (466) | 924 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit)/expense | 1,113 | (2,136) |
| Decrease in valuation allowances on other real estate owned | (851) | (1,942) |
| Originations of loans held for sale | (166,250) | |
| Proceeds from loans held for sale | 128,804 | |
| Net change in: | | |
| Deferred loan costs | 377 | 545 |
| Premiums and discounts on purchased loans | (1,231) | |
| Accrued interest receivable | (3,951) | 311 |
| Other assets | 9,630 | 133 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 3,979 | 3,735 |
| Net cash from operating activities | (32,367) | 4,170 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale | 7,712 | 50,846 |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal repayments of securities available-for-sale | 34,512 | 19,470 |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale | (56,088) | (84,483) |
| Net cash acquired through acquisitions | 43,670 | |
| Funding of equity investment | (543) | |
| Loan originations and principal collections, net | (107,421) | (44,589) |
| Purchase of loans | (66,528) | |
| Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 702 | 1,013 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 11,672 | 8,281 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 27,713 | |
| Additions to premises and equipment | (4,492) | (3,492) |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Net cash from investing activities | (109,091) | (52,954) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|

Table of Contents

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|--|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Repurchase of warrants, TARP | | (1,003) |
| Net increase in deposits | 126,625 | 65,301 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (30,053) | (55,000) |
| Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 96,000 | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | | 26,850 |
| Purchase of preferred stock | (7) | 31,940 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of debt | 31,680 | |
| Purchase of stock | (490) | (4) |
| Tax benefit/(loss) from RRP shares vesting | (17) | (1) |
| Tax effect of ESOP | | 148 |
| Tax effect of options redeemed | | 147 |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | (1,042) | (138) |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (3,653) | (3,456) |
| Net cash from financing activities | 219,043 | 64,784 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 77,585 | 16,000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 44,475 | 59,100 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 122,060 | \$ 75,100 |
| Supplemental cash flow information | | |
| Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds | \$ 5,636 | \$ 4,745 |
| Income taxes paid | | 950 |
| Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities(1) | | |
| Transfer from loans to other real estate owned, net | 3,750 | 20,808 |
| Equipment acquired under capital leases | 365 | |

(1) See Note 3 for non-cash transactions included in the merger with Beach Business Bank and acquisition of Gateway Business Bank.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2012

(Amounts in thousands of dollars, except share and per share data)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Pacific Trust Bank and Beach Business Bank (collectively, the Banks, and individually the Bank, as the context may indicate) and PTB Property Holdings, LLC, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, except that the accounts of Beach Business Bank were not included for amounts prior to July 1, 2012. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) are not included herein. These interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The December 31, 2011 balance sheet presented herein has been derived from the audited financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, but does not include all of the disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Interim financial statements are subject to possible adjustment in connection with the annual audit of the Company's financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2012. In the opinion of management of the Company, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect all of the adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations for the periods presented. Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior period financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

The results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, the Banks and PTB Property Holdings, LLC. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Nature of Operations: The principal business of the Company is the ownership of the Banks. Pacific Trust Bank is a federally chartered stock savings bank and Beach Business Bank is a California state chartered commercial bank. The Banks are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) system, and maintain insurance on deposit accounts with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The Banks are engaged in the business of retail banking, with operations conducted through nineteen banking offices serving San Diego, Los Angeles, Orange and Riverside Counties, California and twenty-three loan production offices in California, Arizona, Oregon and Washington. There are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer. However, the customers' ability to repay their loans is significantly dependent on the real estate market and general economic conditions in the area.

On April 5, 2012, the Company announced that the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the OCC) terminated the August 2009 Memorandum of Understanding between the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) which was succeeded as Pacific Trust Bank's primary regulator effective July 21, 2011 by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Pacific Trust Bank, effective April 4, 2012. The Memorandum of Understanding subjected Pacific Trust Bank to additional oversight by its regulator and placed certain restrictions on its business.

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are based upon U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and conform to predominant practices within the banking industry. Significant accounting policies followed by the Company are presented below.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and disclosures provided, and actual results could differ. The allowance for loan and lease losses, reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans, servicing rights, other real estate owned, realization of deferred tax assets, goodwill, other intangible assets, mortgage banking derivatives, fair value estimate of private label residential mortgage-backed securities, and the fair value of financial instruments are particularly subject to change and such change could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Securities: Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. The investment securities portfolio is evaluated for OTTI by segregating the portfolio into two general segments and applying the appropriate OTTI model. Investment securities classified as available for sale or held-to-maturity are generally evaluated for OTTI under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Accounting Standards Codification ASC 320, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. However, certain purchased beneficial interests, including non-agency mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, and collateralized debt obligations, that had credit ratings at the time of purchase of below AA are evaluated using the model outlined in ASC 325, *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests that Continue to be Held by a Transfer in Securitized Financial Assets*.

In determining OTTI under the ASC 320 model, management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether OTTI exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

The second segment of the portfolio uses the OTTI guidance provided by ASC 325 that is specific to purchased beneficial interests that, on the purchase date, were rated below AA. Under the ASC 325 model, the Company compares the present value of the remaining cash flows as estimated at the preceding evaluation date to the current expected remaining cash flows. An OTTI is deemed to have occurred if there has been an adverse change in the remaining expected future cash flows.

When OTTI occurs under either model, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether the Company intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss. If the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss, the OTTI is recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period loss, the OTTI is separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment.

Table of Contents

Affordable Housing Fund: The Company has two equity investments in affordable housing funds originally totaling \$9.2 million for purposes of obtaining tax credits and for Community Reinvestment Act purposes. These investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method of accounting, the Company recognizes its ownership share of the profits and losses of the fund. The Company obtains tax credits from these investments which reduce income tax expense for a period of 10 years. These investments are regularly evaluated for impairment by comparing the carrying value to the remaining tax credits expected to be received. For the nine month periods ending September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company's share of the funds' operating losses was \$229 thousand and \$235 thousand, respectively. The balance of the investments at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$6.3 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, and is included in other assets.

Concentration of Credit Risk: Most of the Company's business activity is with customers located within San Diego, Los Angeles, Orange and Riverside Counties, California. Therefore, the Company's exposure to credit risk is significantly affected by economic conditions in those areas.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: The allowance for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level considered adequate by management to provide for probable incurred credit losses. The allowance is increased by provisions charged against income, while loan and lease losses are charged against the allowance when management deems a loan balance to be uncollectible. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. The Company performs an analysis of the adequacy of the allowance on a monthly basis. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan and lease loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans and leases, but the entire allowance is available for any loan or lease that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans and leases that are individually classified as impaired. A loan or lease is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan or lease agreement. The Company evaluates all impaired loans and leases individually under the guidance of ASC 310, primarily through the evaluation of collateral values and cash flows. Loans and leases for which the terms have been modified and where the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans and leases that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan or lease and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. If a loan or lease is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan or lease is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's or lease's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Troubled debt restructurings are also measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's or lease's effective rate at inception or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Troubled debt restructurings are separately identified for impairment disclosures and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's or lease's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan or lease, the loan or lease is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Company determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Loans that were acquired during the third quarter of 2012 from Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank that were considered credit impaired were written down at acquisition date in purchase accounting to an amount estimated to be collectible and the related allowance for loan and lease losses was not carried over to the First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s allowance. Any losses on such loans are charged against the nonaccretable difference established in purchase accounting and are not reported as charge-offs until such non-accretable difference is fully utilized. See further discussion below under Purchased Credit Impaired Loans.

Table of Contents

The general component of the allowance for loan and lease losses covers loans and leases that are not impaired and is determined by portfolio segment and is based on actual loss history experienced by the Company. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans and leases; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans and leases; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; effects of changes in credit concentrations and other factors. The historical loss analysis is also combined with a comprehensive loan or lease to value analysis to analyze the associated risks in the current loan and lease portfolio. For 2011 and 2012, the Company used a three year loss look back for determining the level of its allowance for loan and lease losses. Prior to this, the Company used a one year look back. This change was made to better reflect the improving state of the loan and lease portfolio as delinquencies have declined and loan and lease losses have leveled. An updated loan or lease to value analysis is obtained from an independent firm semi-annually, most recently in May 2012. Management uses available information to recognize loan and lease losses, however, future loan and lease loss provisions may be necessary based on changes in the above mentioned factors. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan and lease losses and may require the Bank to recognize additional provisions based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following portfolio segments have been identified: commercial and industrial, commercial real estate mortgage, multi-family, land, SBA, construction, lease financing residential real estate one-to four- family first mortgage, residential real estate one-to four- family junior lien mortgage, and other revolving credit and installment loans. The Company categorizes loans and leases into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans and leases individually by classifying the loans and leases as to credit risk. This analysis includes all loans and leases delinquent over 60 days and non-homogenous loans and leases such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. Classification of problem one-to-four family-residential loans is performed on a monthly basis while analysis of non-homogenous loans is performed on a quarterly basis.

Loans secured by multi-family and commercial real estate properties generally involve a greater degree of credit risk than one-to four- family residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by multi-family and commercial real estate properties are often dependent on the successful operation or management of the properties, repayment of these loans may be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. Commercial business loans are also considered to have a greater degree of credit risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans due to the fact commercial business loans are typically made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself (which, in turn, is often dependent in part upon general economic conditions). SBA business loans are similar to commercial business loans, but have additional credit enhancement provided by the governmental agency, U.S. Small Business Administration, for up to 75% of the loan balance. Commercial equipment leases are also similar to commercial business loans in that the leases are typically made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial equipment leases may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself (which, in turn, is often dependent in part upon general economic conditions). Consumer and other real estate loans may entail greater risk than do one- to four- family residential mortgage loans given that collection of these loans is dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability and, thus, are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness, or personal bankruptcy. Negatively amortizing and interest only loans are also considered to carry a higher degree of credit risk due to their unique cash flows. Credit risk on this asset class is also managed through the completion of regular re-appraisals of the underlying collateral and monitoring of the borrower's usage of this account to determine if the borrower is making monthly payments from external sources or draw downs on their line. In cases where the property values have declined to levels less than the original loan-to-value, or other levels deemed prudent by the Bank, the Bank may freeze the line and/or require monthly payments or principal reductions to bring the loan in balance.

Classified Assets: Federal regulations provide for the classification of loans, leases, and other assets, such as debt and equity securities considered by the OCC to be of lesser quality, as substandard, doubtful or loss. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. The Bank includes in its classification of Substandard Assets loans and leases that are performing under terms of a TDR, but where the borrower has yet to make twelve or more payments under the TDR, and where the loan or lease remains impaired, as well as loans and leases where the borrower is current in his or her payments on the subject classified loan or lease but may be a guarantor on another loan or lease that is classified as a result of weakness in the credit or collateral (Relationship). TDR loans and leases that have continued to make payments for twelve months or more, but where the collateral remains impaired, retain a Substandard classification. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank had \$5.3 million of TDR loans and leases classified as substandard with less than twelve months of payment performance and \$6.7 million of TDR loans and leases classified as substandard with payment performance for more than twelve months. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as either substandard or doubtful, it may establish general or specific allowances for loan and lease losses in an amount deemed prudent by management and approved by the Board of Directors. General allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as loss, it is required either to charge off such amount. An institution's determination as to the classification of its assets and the amount of its valuation allowances is subject to review by the OCC, which may order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances.

Table of Contents

In connection with the filing of the Bank's periodic reports with the OCC and in accordance with our classification of assets policy, the Bank regularly reviews the problem assets in its portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations.

Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans and Leases: The Company purchases loans and leases with and without evidence of credit quality deterioration since origination. Evidence of credit quality deterioration as of the purchase date may include statistics such as prior loan or lease modification history, updated borrower credit scores and updated loan or lease-to-value (LTV) ratios, some of which are not immediately available as of the purchase date. Purchased loans and leases with evidence of credit quality deterioration where the Company estimates that it will not receive all contractual payments are accounted for as purchased credit impaired loans and leases (PCI loans and leases). The excess of the cash flows expected to be collected on PCI loans and leases, measured as of the acquisition date, over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan or lease using a level yield methodology. The difference between contractually required payments as of the acquisition date and the cash flows expected to be collected is referred to as the nonaccretable difference. PCI loans and leases that have similar risk characteristics, primarily credit risk, collateral type and interest rate risk, are pooled and accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows.

The Company estimates cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan or lease using management's best estimate of current key assumptions such as default rates, loss severity and payment speeds. If, upon subsequent evaluation, the Company determines it is probable that the present value of the expected cash flows have decreased, the PCI loan or lease is considered further impaired which will result in a charge to the provision for loan and lease losses and a corresponding increase to a valuation allowance included in the allowance for loan and lease losses. If, upon subsequent evaluation, it is probable that there is an increase in the present value of the expected cash flows, the Company will reduce any remaining valuation allowance. If there is no remaining valuation allowance, the Company will recalculate the amount of accretable yield as the excess of the revised expected cash flows over the current carrying value resulting in a reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield. The present value of the expected cash flows for PCI purchased loan pools is determined using the PCI loans' effective interest rate, adjusted for changes in the PCI loans' interest rate indexes. The present value of the expected cash flows for PCI loans and leases acquired through mergers with other banks includes, in addition to the above, an evaluation of the credit worthiness of the borrower. Loan and lease dispositions, which may include sales of loans and leases, receipt of payments in full from the borrower or foreclosure, result in removal of the loan or lease from the PCI loan pool. Write-downs are not recorded on the PCI loan or lease pool until actual losses exceed the remaining nonaccretable difference. To date, no write-downs have been recorded for the PCI loans and leases held by the Company, all of which were purchased by the Company during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Loans Held for Sale: Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the fair value as of each balance sheet date, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors or what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. The fair value includes the servicing value of the loans as well as any accrued interest. Mortgage loans held for sale are generally sold with servicing rights released. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold.

SBA loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated market value in the aggregate. Net unrealized losses are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income. Gains or losses realized on the sales of SBA loans are recognized at the time of sale and are determined by the difference between the net sales proceeds and the carrying value of the loans sold, adjusted for any servicing asset or liability. Gains and losses on sales of SBA loans are included in noninterest income.

The Bank has elected to measure its residential mortgage loans held for sale at fair value, with changes in fair value during the period reflected in operations. Origination fees and costs are recognized in earnings at the time of origination for newly originated loans held for sale.

Table of Contents

All of the Bank's mortgage loans held for sale are GSE or Government-eligible at September 30, 2012. These loans have reliable market price information and the fair value of these loans at September 30, 2012 was based on quoted market prices of similar assets and included the value of loan servicing.

In scenarios of market disruptions where the current secondary market prices generally relied on to value, GSE-eligible mortgage loans may not be readily available. In these circumstances, the Company may consider other factors, including: 1) quoted market prices for to-be-announced securities (for agency-eligible loans); 2) recent transaction settlements or traded but unsettled transactions for similar assets; 3) recent third party market transactions for similar assets; and 4) modeled valuations using assumptions the Bank believes would be used by market participants in estimating fair value (assumptions may include prepayment rates, interest rates, volatilities, mortgage spreads and projected loss rates).

Adjustments to reflect unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in fair value and realized gains and losses upon ultimate sale of the loans are classified as part of net gain on mortgage banking activities included in noninterest income in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss).

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities: The Company records its derivative instruments at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition. The change in derivative instruments fair value is recorded in current earnings.

The Company enters into commitments to originate mortgage loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is set prior to funding (interest rate lock commitments, or IRLCs). Interest rate lock commitments on mortgage loans (loan commitments) that are intended to be sold are considered derivatives and are recorded at fair value on the consolidated statements of financial condition with the change in fair value recorded in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss).

The estimated fair value is based on current market prices for similar instruments.

The Bank hedges the risk of the overall change in the fair value of loan commitments to borrowers by selling forward contracts on securities of GSE. Forward contracts on securities are considered derivative instruments. The Bank has not formally designated these derivatives as a qualifying hedge relationship and accordingly, accounts for such forward contracts as freestanding derivatives with changes in fair value recorded to earnings each period.

Servicing Rights - Mortgage (Fair Value Method): A servicing asset or liability is recognized when undertaking an obligation to service a financial asset under a mortgage servicing contract in certain situations, including a transfer of the servicer's financial assets that meet the requirements for sale accounting. Such servicing asset or liability is initially measured at fair value with the income statement effect recorded in net gain on mortgage banking activities on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss).

Fair value based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternatively, is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income.

Under the fair value measurement method, the Company measures servicing rights at fair value at each reporting date and reports changes in fair value of servicing assets in earnings in the period in which the changes occur, and are included with net gain on mortgage banking activities on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss). The fair values of servicing rights are subject to significant fluctuations as a result of changes in estimates and actual prepayment speeds and default rates and losses. Currently the Company does not hedge the income statement effects of changes in fair value of the servicing assets.

Servicing fee income, which is reported on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss) as loan servicing income, is recorded for fees earned for servicing loans. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal; or a fixed amount per loan and are recorded as income when earned. Servicing fees totaled \$146 thousand for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$0 for the same periods in 2011. Late fees and ancillary fees related to loan servicing are not material.

For other servicing assets related to SBA servicing, the Bank records periodic amortization expense and assesses mortgage servicing rights for impairment.

Servicing Rights Related to Small Business Administration Loans: As a general course of business, the Bank originates and sells the guaranteed portion of its SBA loans. To calculate the gain/(loss) on sales of SBA loans, the Bank's investment in the loan is allocated among the retained portion of the loan, the servicing retained, the interest-only strip and the sold portion of the loan, based on the relative fair market value of each portion. The gain/(loss) on the sold portion of the loan is recognized at the time of sale based on the difference between sale proceeds and the

amount of the allocated investment to the sold portion of the loan.

Table of Contents

The portion of the servicing fees that represent contractually specified servicing fees (contractual servicing) is reflected as a servicing asset and is amortized over the estimated life of the servicing; in the event future prepayments exceed management's estimates and future expected cash flows are inadequate to cover the servicing asset, impairment is recognized. The portion of servicing fees in excess of contractual servicing fees are reflected as interest-only (I/O) strips receivable, which is included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition. The I/O strips receivable are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss). Fair value adjustments were not significant at September 30, 2012.

Mortgage Loan Repurchase Obligations and Reserve for Loss Reimbursements on Sold Loans: In the ordinary course of business, as loans held for sale are sold, the Bank makes standard industry representations and warranties about the loans. The Bank may have to subsequently repurchase certain loans or reimburse certain investor losses due to defects that occurred in the origination of the loans. Such defects include documentation or underwriting errors. In addition, certain investor contracts require the Bank to repurchase loans from previous whole loan sale transactions that experience early payment defaults. If there are no such defects or early payment defaults, the Bank has no commitment to repurchase loans that it has sold. The level of reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans is an estimate that requires considerable management judgment. The Bank's reserve is based upon the expected future repurchase trends for loans already sold in whole loan sale transactions and the expected valuation of such loans when repurchased, and include first and second trust deed loans. At the point the loans are repurchased, the associated reserves are transferred to the allowance for loan and lease losses. At the point when loss reimbursements are made directly to the investor, the reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans is charged for the losses incurred. At September 30, 2012 the reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans was \$2.7 million.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill resulting from business combinations is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but are periodically evaluated for impairment at the reporting unit level. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values.

In accordance with ASU 2011-08 *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other* (Topic 350), an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. In other words, before the first step of the existing guidance, the entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that the fair value of goodwill is less than carrying value. The qualitative assessment includes adverse events or circumstances identified that could negatively affect the reporting unit's fair value as well as positive and mitigating events. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant change in legal factors or in the general business climate; significant change in the Company's stock price and market capitalization; unanticipated competition; and an action or assessment by a regulator. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step process is unnecessary. The entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment step for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to the first step of the exiting two-step process. The entity can resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

The first step of the goodwill impairment test is performed, when considered necessary, by comparing the reporting unit's aggregate fair value to its carrying value. Absent other indicators of impairment, if the aggregate fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill is not considered impaired and no additional analysis is necessary. If the carrying value of the reporting unit were to exceed the aggregate fair value, a second step would be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. To measure any impairment loss the implied fair value would be determined in the same manner as if the reporting unit were being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill an impairment charge would be recorded for the difference. No goodwill impairment charges were required for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2012, the Company's qualitative assessment concluded that a two-step impairment test of goodwill was not necessary. Even though there was no goodwill impairment at September 30, 2012, adverse events may impact the recoverability of goodwill and could result in a future impairment charge which could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Goodwill is the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on our consolidated statements of financial condition.

Other intangible assets consist of core deposit intangibles and trade name intangibles arising from whole bank and their subsidiaries acquisitions, and are amortized on an accelerated method over their estimated useful lives of 2-7 years and 1-20 years, respectively.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is more likely than not that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the more likely than not test, no tax benefit is recorded. The Company had a \$7.0 million and \$1.3 million valuation allowance for its net deferred tax asset at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. See further discussion in Note 17, Income Taxes, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to examination by U.S. Federal taxing authorities for years before 2008 and for all state income taxes before 2007.

The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The Company had \$0 accrued for interest and penalties at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Comprehensive Income/(Loss): Comprehensive income/(loss) consists of net income/(loss) and other comprehensive income or loss. Other comprehensive income or loss includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, which is recognized as a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Operating Segments: While the chief decision makers monitor the revenue streams of the various products and services, the identifiable segments are not material and operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Operating segments are aggregated into one as operating results for all segments are similar. Accordingly, all of the financial services operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior period financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Reclassifications had no effect on prior period net income or shareholders' equity.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards: In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standards update to increase the prominence of items included in other comprehensive income and facilitate the convergence of U.S. and International accounting principles. The update prohibits continued exclusive presentation of other comprehensive income in the statement of shareholders' equity. The update requires that all non-owner changes in shareholders' equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of income and comprehensive income or in two separate but continuous statements. The amendments in the update became effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the amendments changed the presentation of the components of comprehensive income for the Company from being presented as part of the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity to being included in a single continuous statement with the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss).

In May 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. and International accounting principles. Overall, the guidance is consistent with existing U.S. accounting principles; however, there are some amendments that change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The standard was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The effect of adopting this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated operating results or financial condition, but the additional disclosures are included in Note 6.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update to the requirements of the annual testing of goodwill for impairment. The amendments in the update allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under these amendments, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The amendments include a number of events and circumstances for an entity to consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of the amendments did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements or disclosures.

Effective January 2012, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-03, *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements* (ASU 2011-03). ASU 2011-03 is intended to improve financial reporting of repurchase agreements and refocus the assessment of effective control on a transferor's contractual rights and obligations rather than practical ability to perform those rights and obligations. The guidance in ASU 2011-03 was effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a material

impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* (ASU 2011-11). The amendments in ASU 2011-11 require the disclosure of information on offsetting and related arrangements for financial and derivative instruments to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. Amendments under ASU 2011-11 will be applied retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after January 1, 2013. The Company is evaluating the effect, if any, adoption of ASU 2011-11 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards: In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* (ASU 2011-11). This update increases the disclosure requirements surrounding derivative instruments that are offset within the balance sheet pursuant to the provisions of current GAAP. The objective of the update is to provide greater comparability between issuers reporting under U.S. GAAP versus IFRS and provide users the ability to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on a company's financial statements. The provisions of the update are effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and are not expected to add to the Company's current level of disclosures.

NOTE 3 BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**Beach Business Bank Merger**

Effective July 1, 2012, the Company merged with Beach Business Bank (Beach) pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) dated August 30, 2011, as amended October 31, 2011. At the effective time of the Merger, a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub) merged with and into Beach, with Beach continuing as the surviving entity in the Merger and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Pursuant and subject to the terms of the Merger Agreement, each outstanding share of common stock, no par value, of Beach (other than specified shares owned by the Company, Merger Sub or Beach, and other than in the case of shares in respect of, or underlying, certain Beach options and other equity awards, which will be treated as set forth in the Merger Agreement) was converted into the right to receive \$9.21415 in cash and one Warrant. Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase 0.33 of a share of Company Common Stock at an exercise price of \$14.00 per share of Company Common Stock for a period of one year. The aggregate cash consideration paid to Beach shareholders in the Merger was approximately \$39.1 million. In addition, Beach shareholders received in aggregate the equivalent of 1,401,959 warrants of the Company's common stock with an estimated fair value of \$1.0 million.

Beach operates branches in Manhattan Beach, Long Beach, and Costa Mesa, California. Beach also has a division named The Doctors Bank[®], which serves physicians and dentists nationwide. Additionally, Beach provides loans to small businesses based on SBA lending programs. Beach's consolidated assets and equity (unaudited) as of June 30, 2012 totaled \$311.9 million and \$33.3 million, respectively, and its consolidated net income (unaudited) totaled \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$0.6 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 (unaudited). The acquired assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition and were reflected in the September 30, 2012 financial statements as such. The fair value estimates included in these financial statements are based on preliminary valuations. The Company does not expect material variances from these estimates and expects that final valuation estimates will be completed during the fourth quarter of 2012.

In accordance with GAAP guidance for business combinations, the Company has expensed approximately \$1.7 million of direct acquisition costs and recorded \$7.0 million of goodwill and \$4.5 million of other intangible assets. The other intangible assets are primarily related to core deposits and are being amortized on an accelerated basis over 2-7 years. For tax purposes purchase accounting adjustments, including goodwill are all non-taxable and/or non-deductible. The following table summarizes the fair value of the total consideration transferred as a part of the Beach merger as well as the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the effective date of the transaction.

| | July 1, 2012 |
|--|---------------------|
| Consideration: | |
| Payment to Beach shareholders | \$ 39,145 |
| Value of stock warrants paid to Beach shareholders | 1,009 |
| Fair Value of Total Consideration Transferred | 40,154 |
| Recognized Amounts of Identifiable Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed: | |
| Cash and due from banks | 5,867 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 55,478 |

Table of Contents

| | July 1, 2012 |
|--|---------------------|
| Interest-bearing time deposits in financial institutions | 4,674 |
| Securities available for sale | 5,661 |
| Loans | 229,722 |
| Stock in FHLB and other bank stock, at cost | 1,554 |
| Premises and equipment | 709 |
| Other real estate owned | 114 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 4,470 |
| Trade name intangible | 25 |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets | 3,719 |
| Deposits | (271,320) |
| Other borrowings | (2,315) |
| Accrued interest and other liabilities | (5,243) |
| Total Identifiable Net Assets | 33,115 |
| Goodwill | \$ 7,039 |

This acquisition was consistent with the Company's strategy to build a regional presence in Southern California. The acquisition offers the Company the opportunity to increase profitability by introducing existing products and services to the acquired customer base as well as add new customers in the expanded region.

Loans that were acquired from Beach Business Bank that were considered credit impaired were written down at the acquisition date in purchase accounting to an amount estimated to be collectible. In addition, the allowance for loan losses for all Beach loans was not carried over to First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s allowance for loan and lease losses.

Gateway Bancorp Acquisition

Effective August 18, 2012, the Company acquired Gateway Bancorp, the holding company of Gateway Business Bank (Gateway) pursuant to the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) dated June 3, 2011, as amended on November 28, 2011, February 24, 2012, June 30, 2012, and July 31, 2012. The acquisition was accomplished by the merger of Gateway into PacTrust Bank. Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the Company purchased all of the issued and outstanding shares of Gateway Bancorp for \$15.5 million in cash.

Gateway operated branches in Lakewood and Laguna Hills, California. As part of the acquisition, Mission Hills Mortgage Bankers (a division of Gateway), including its 22 loan production offices in California, Arizona, Oregon and Washington, became a division of PacTrust Bank. Gateway's consolidated assets and equity (unaudited) as of August 17, 2012 totaled \$175.2 million and \$25.8 million, respectively, and its consolidated net loss (unaudited) totaled \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 and consolidated net income (unaudited) of \$4.2 million for the year to date period ended August 17, 2012. The acquired assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition and were reflected in the September 30, 2012 financial statements as such. The fair value estimates included in these financial statements are based on preliminary valuations. The Company is aware of a potential receivable from the Internal Revenue Service, but the amount cannot be estimated at this time. With the exception of this item, the Company does not expect material variances from these estimates and expects that final valuation estimates will be completed during the fourth quarter of 2012.

In accordance with GAAP guidance for business combinations, the Company has expensed approximately \$1.5 million of direct acquisition costs and recorded \$12.1 million of bargain purchase gain and \$1.7 million of other intangible assets. The other intangible assets are related to \$720 thousand of core deposits, which are being amortized on an accelerated basis over 4-6 years, and \$955 thousand of trade name intangible which is being amortized on an accelerated basis over 20 years. For tax purposes the purchase accounting adjustments and bargain purchase gain are non-taxable and/or non-deductible. Due to circumstances that Gateway Bancorp's subsidiary faced at the time the acquisition was negotiated, which include regulatory orders and operating losses, the terms negotiated included a purchase price that was \$5 million lower than the Gateway's equity book value. The discount was further increased to \$6.5 million in exchange for the elimination of any contingent liability to the shareholder of Gateway Bancorp related to mortgage repurchase risk. Due to delays in obtaining regulatory approval, the deal closed 9 months later than originally expected. This passage of time allowed Gateway to eliminate all regulatory orders, return to profitability and improve asset quality, increasing the book value of equity and reducing the expected discount on assets. As a result, the bargain purchase gain at the time of purchase grew to \$12.1 million. The following table summarizes the fair value of the total consideration transferred as a part of the Gateway acquisition as well as the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the effective date of the transaction.

Table of Contents

| | August 18, 2012 |
|--|-----------------|
| Consideration: | |
| Cash paid to Gateway shareholders | \$ 15,500 |
| Fair Value of Total Consideration Transferred | 15,500 |
| Recognized Amounts of Identifiable Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed: | |
| Cash and due from banks | 2,070 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 35,090 |
| Securities available for sale | 76 |
| Loans | 128,468 |
| Stock in FHLB of Indianapolis and other restricted stock, at cost | 1,018 |
| Premises and equipment | 741 |
| Trade name intangible | 955 |
| Core deposit intangible | 720 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,636 |
| Derivative asset | 2,877 |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets | 3,918 |
| Deposits | (142,964) |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | (7,050) |
| Total Identifiable Net Assets | 27,555 |
| Bargain Purchase Gain | \$ (12,055) |

This acquisition was consistent with the Company's strategy to build a regional presence in Southern California. The acquisition offers the Company the opportunity to increase profitability by introducing existing products and services to the acquired customer base as well as add new customers in the expanded region.

Loans that were acquired from Gateway Business Bank that were considered credit impaired were written down at the acquisition date in purchase accounting to an amount estimated to be collectible and the related allowance for loan losses was not carried over to First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s allowance for loan and lease losses.

Pro Forma Information

The following table presents unaudited pro forma information as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2011 after giving effect to certain adjustments. The unaudited pro forma information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, includes adjustments for interest income on loans and securities acquired, amortization of intangibles arising from the transaction, interest expense on deposits and borrowings acquired, and the related income tax effects. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred had the transaction been effected on the assumed date.

| | Pro Forma Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | Pro Forma Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 |
|---|---|---|
| SUMMARIZED INCOME STATEMENT DATA: | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 15,302 | \$ 12,245 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses expense | 1,091 | 1,478 |
| Non-interest income | 16,305 | 11,032 |
| Non-interest expense | 31,202 | 20,555 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (686) | 1,244 |
| Income tax expense | 593 | 465 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----|---------|----|-----|
| Net income (loss) | \$ | (1,279) | \$ | 779 |
|-------------------|----|---------|----|-----|

| | Pro Forma | | Pro Forma |
|---|---------------------------|--------|---------------------------|
| | Nine Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended |
| | September 30, 2012 | | September 30, 2011 |
| SUMMARIZED INCOME STATEMENT DATA: | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ | 42,515 | \$ 35,879 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses expense | | 2,911 | 2,615 |

Table of Contents

| | Pro Forma Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | Pro Forma Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Non-interest income | 38,532 | 27,585 |
| Non-interest expense | 77,515 | 58,474 |
| Income before income taxes | 621 | 2,375 |
| Income tax expense | 1,109 | 612 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (488) | \$ 1,763 |

The above unaudited pro forma financial information related to 2011 and 2012 includes acquisition/integration costs. The included acquisition integration costs totaled \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and \$2.1 million and \$3.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, on a pre-tax basis. Excluded from the above pro forma financials for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 is a revenue of \$12.1 million related to the bargain purchase gain for the Gateway acquisition. The above unaudited pro forma financial information includes the provision for loan and lease losses recognized by Beach and Gateway prior to acquisition of \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$0 and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

NOTE 4 EMPLOYEE STOCK COMPENSATION***Stock Appreciation Rights Plan***

A Stock Appreciation Rights Plan (SARs) provides for the issuance of shares to directors, and officers. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the rights based on the fair value as calculated using Black Scholes as of September 30, 2012, and adjusted each quarter. The SARs are to be settled in cash. One third of the SARs vested on the grant date and one third will vest on the first and second anniversaries of the grant date such that the SARs will be fully vested on the second anniversary of the grant date. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, 500,000 shares were issued to an executive officer with a base price of \$12.12 per share and a term of ten years. The Company did not issue any SARs prior to the third quarter of 2012. The weighted-average grant date fair value was \$3.75 per share, or \$1.9 million and an adjusted fair value as of September 30, 2012 of \$3.67 per share or \$1.8 million and was awarded on August 12, 2012. Compensation expense recognized for the SARs was \$675.0 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, there was \$1.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested shares granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2 years. The grant date and September 30, 2012 fair value of the SARs was determined using the following assumptions.

| | Grant Date August 21, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| SARs granted | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Estimated fair value per share of SARs granted | \$ 3.90 | \$ 3.67 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.80% | 1.80% |
| Expected term | 10 years | 10 years |
| Expected stock price volatility | 42.71% | 38.30% |
| Dividend yield | 3.15% | 3.51% |

Separation and Settlement Agreement

The Company entered into a separation and settlement agreement with the Chief Executive Officer in which the Company agreed to severance pay in the amount of \$832.0 thousand payable in 24 equal monthly installments of \$34.7 thousand commencing on the first business day coincident with or next following the 60th day following the resignation date and on each one-month anniversary of the date of first payment until paid in full. In addition, the Company agreed to pay consideration for the cancellation of all of the Executive's equity awards for a lump sum cash payment of \$503.8 thousand. Under this agreement the Company recognized severance pay compensation expense totaling \$1.2 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, and \$129,000 was previously recognized as stock option plan expense.

NOTE 5 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per common share were computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders after subtracting preferred stock dividends by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share were computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect, if any, of the outstanding stock options, restricted stock awards and warrants to purchase common stock. Computations for basic and diluted earnings per common share are provided below.

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 9,543 | \$ 644 | \$ 9,181 | \$ 2,886 |
| Less: Dividends and accretion on preferred stock | 328 | 138 | 1,042 | 138 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 9,215 | \$ 506 | \$ 8,139 | \$ 2,748 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 11,702,974 | 11,542,752 | 11,677,532 | 10,326,009 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.27 |
| Diluted | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 9,215 | \$ 506 | \$ 8,139 | \$ 2,748 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share | 11,702,974 | 11,542,752 | 11,677,532 | 10,326,009 |
| Add: Dilutive effects of stock options | | | | 228 |
| Add: Dilutive effects of stock awards | 397 | 1,390 | 356 | 3,034 |
| Add: Dilutive effects of warrants | | | | |
| Average shares and dilutive potential common shares | 11,703,371 | 11,544,142 | 11,677,888 | 10,329,271 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.27 |

Stock options totaling 528,569 shares, 1,635,000 warrants, 152,740 stock awards, and 1,401,959 warrants were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 because they were anti-dilutive. Options totaling 453,569 shares and 11,652 stock awards were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, because they were anti-dilutive. They were anti-dilutive since the exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common stock.

NOTE 6 FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair Value Hierarchy. ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The topic describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Securities Available for Sale. The fair values of securities available for sale are generally determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1), or by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3). The fair values of the Company's Level 3 securities are determined by the Company and an independent third-party provider using a discounted cash flow methodology. The methodology uses discount rates that are based upon observed market yields for similar securities. Prepayment speeds are estimated based upon the prepayment history of each bond and a detailed analysis of the underlying collateral. Gross weighted average coupon, geographic concentrations, loan to value, FICO and seasoning are among the different loan attributes that are factored into our prepayment curve. Default rates and severity are estimated based upon geography of the collateral, delinquency,

modifications, loan to value ratios, FICO scores, and past performance.

Table of Contents

Impaired Loans and Leases. The fair value of impaired loans and leases with specific allocations of the allowance for loan and lease losses based on collateral values is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company charged off \$226 thousand and \$2.5 million, respectively, which primarily consisted of specific valuation allowance allocations related to changes in reporting requirements for OCC regulated thrifts which no longer permit the use of these specific valuation allowances for collateral dependent loans. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company experienced \$439 thousand in specific allowance allocation expense and a specific allowance recovery of \$2.0 million for these loans, respectively.

Loans Held for Sale. The fair value of loans held for sale is based on commitments outstanding from investors as well as what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. Therefore, loans held for sale subjected to recurring fair value adjustments are classified as Level 2.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities. The Company's derivative assets and liabilities are carried at fair value as required by GAAP and are accounted for as freestanding derivatives. The derivative assets are IRLCs with prospective residential mortgage borrowers whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding and the borrowers have locked in that interest rate. These commitments are determined to be derivative instruments in accordance with GAAP. The derivative liabilities are hedging instruments (typically TBA securities) used to hedge the risk of fair value changes associated with changes in interest rates relating to its mortgage loan origination operations. The Company hedges the period from the interest rate lock (assuming a fall-out factor) to the date of the loan sale. The estimated fair value is based on current market prices for similar instruments. Given the meaningful level of secondary market activity for derivative contracts, active pricing is available for similar assets and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2.

Servicing Rights - Other. The fair value of servicing rights related to non mortgage loans serviced for others is determined by an internal model developed by management that calculates the present value of the expected net servicing income from the portfolio. Because of the significance of unobservable inputs, these servicing rights are classified as Level 3.

Servicing Rights - Mortgage. The Bank retains servicing on some of its mortgage loans sold and elected the fair value option for valuation of these mortgage servicing rights. The value is based on a model that calculates the present value of the expected net servicing income from the portfolio. Because of the significance of unobservable inputs, these servicing rights are classified as Level 3.

I/O Strips Receivable. The fair value is determined by discounting future cash flows using discount rates and prepayment assumptions that market participants would use for similar financial instruments. Because of the significance of unobservable inputs, the I/O strips receivable are classified as Level 3.

Other Real Estate Owned Assets. Other real estate owned assets (OREO) are recorded at the fair value less estimated costs to sell at the time of foreclosure. The fair value of other real estate owned assets is generally based on recent real estate appraisals adjusted for estimated selling costs. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Only OREO with a valuation allowance are considered to be carried at fair value. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company experienced \$36 thousand and \$205 thousand in valuation allowance expense for those assets, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company experienced \$1.3 million and \$1.9 million in valuation allowance expense for those assets, respectively.

Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring and Non Recurring Basis

Available for sale securities, loans held for sale, derivative assets and liabilities, servicing rights - other, servicing rights - mortgage, and I/O strips receivable are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, whereas impaired loans and other real estate owned are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Table of Contents

| | Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012 Using | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Carrying Value | Assets (Level One) | Observable Inputs (Level Two) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| US government-sponsored agency securities (recurring) | \$ 2,718 | \$ | \$ 2,718 | \$ |
| State and Municipal securities (recurring) | 9,954 | | 9,954 | |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring) | 50,280 | | | 50,280 |
| Agency residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring) | 59,319 | | | 59,319 |
| Loans held for sale (recurring) | 110,291 | | 110,291 | |
| Derivative assets (recurring) | 3,370 | | 3,370 | |
| Servicing rights other (recurring) | 561 | | | 561 |
| Servicing rights mortgage (recurring) | 1,609 | | | 1,609 |
| I/O strips receivable (recurring) | 29 | | | 29 |
| Other real estate owned assets (non recurring) | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 345 | | | 345 |
| Multi-family | 2,480 | | | 2,480 |
| Land | 2,427 | | | 2,427 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities (recurring) | 3,051 | | 3,051 | |

The table below presents a reconciliation of the investment securities available-for-sale measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012. Servicing rights other, servicing rights mortgage, and I/O strips receivable are also measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), and are reconciled in Note 11 Servicing Rights.

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended September 30, 2012 Private label residential mortgage-backed securities | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 Private label residential mortgage-backed securities |
|--|--|---|
| Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at beginning of period | \$ 57,318 | \$ 76,203 |
| Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings realized | (12) | (83) |
| Included in earnings unrealized | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 514 | (9) |
| Amortization of premium/discount | (33) | (160) |
| Purchases | | |
| Sales, issuances and settlements | (7,507) | (25,671) |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | |
| Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at September 30, 2012 | \$ 50,280 | \$ 50,280 |

| | Three months ended September 30, 2012 Agency residential mortgage-backed securities | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 Agency residential mortgage-backed securities |
|--|---|--|
| Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at beginning of period | \$ 52,824 | \$ 15,659 |
| Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings realized | | |
| Included in earnings unrealized | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 161 | 2,057 |
| Amortization of premium/discount | (396) | (707) |
| Purchases | 13,832 | 54,863 |
| Sales, issuances and settlements | (7,102) | (12,553) |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | |
| Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at September 30, 2012 | \$ 59,319 | \$ 59,319 |

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Other real estate owned which is measured at fair value less costs to sell having a valuation allowance, had a net carrying amount of \$5.3 million, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$8.5 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$3.2 million at September 30, 2012.

| | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Using | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Significant Other Identical | Observable Inputs (Level Two) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three) |
| | Carrying Value (Level One) | Assets (Level Two) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three) |
| Assets | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities and agency securities (recurring) | \$ 4,038 | \$ 4,038 | \$ |
| Municipal securities (recurring) | 5,713 | 5,713 | |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring) | 76,203 | | 76,203 |

Table of Contents

| | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Using | | |
|---|--|---|--------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Significant Other Identical Observable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three) | |
| | Carrying Value (Level One) (Level Two) | 3 | 15,659 |
| Agency residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring) | 15,662 | 3 | 15,659 |
| Impaired loans: (non recurring) | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 6,893 | | 6,893 |
| Multi-family | 1,638 | | 1,638 |
| Land | 1,164 | | 1,164 |
| Other real estate owned assets: (non recurring) | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 8,224 | | 8,224 |
| Multi-family | 2,480 | | 2,480 |
| Land | 3,988 | | 3,988 |

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011:

| | Three months ended September 30, 2011 | Nine months ended September 30, 2011 |
|--|--|--|
| | Investment Securities Available-for-sale | Investment Securities Available-for-sale |
| Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at beginning of period | \$ 57,042 | \$ 54,246 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings realized | 1,278 | 2,699 |
| Included in earnings unrealized | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income (loss) | (3,081) | (6,073) |
| Purchases | 37,830 | 69,033 |
| Sales, issuances and settlements | (31,471) | (58,307) |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | |
| Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at September 30, 2011 | \$ 61,598 | \$ 61,598 |

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Impaired loans measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis with specific allowances allocations are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans. These loans totaled \$12.6 million and had a carrying amount of \$9.7 million, net of specific allowance allocations of \$2.9 million at December 31, 2011.

Other real estate owned which is measured at fair value less costs to sell having a valuation allowance, had a net carrying amount of \$14.7 million, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$18.8 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$4.1 million at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table presents quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements at September 30, 2012:

| | Fair value | Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Input(s) | Range (Weighted Average) |
|--|------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Private label residential mortgage backed securities (recurring) | \$ 50,280 | Discounted cash flow | Voluntary prepayment rate | 0.83 to 22.77 (10.93) |
| | | | Collateral default rate | 0.03 to 23.57 (5.05) |
| | | | Loss severity at default | 9.97 to 103.74 (52.14) |
| Agency residential mortgage based securities (recurring) | 59,319 | Discounted cash flow | Voluntary prepayment rate | 0.0% to 50.16% (21.24%) |
| Servicing rights-other (recurring) | 561 | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 7.25 to 15.0% |
| | | | Estimated life | 36 to 72 months |
| Servicing rights-mortgage (recurring) | 1,609 | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 10.5% |
| | | | Prepayment rate | 14.8% |
| Servicing rights-I/O strips receivable (recurring) | 29 | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 10.5% |
| | | | Prepayment rate | 13.7% |
| Other real estate owned assets 1-4 family first mortgage (non-recurring) | 345 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | 0% to 0% (0%) |
| | | | Other real estate owned assets Multi-family (non-recurring) | 2,480 |
| Other real estate owned assets Land (non-recurring) | 2,427 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | -37.7% to -7.50% (18.42%) |

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's private label and agency residential mortgage backed securities are prepayment rates, collateral default rates, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases/(decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower/(higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the collateral default rates is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's servicing rights include the discount and estimated cash flows. There may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012 Using | | | |
|--|----------------|---|-----------|-------------|------------|
| | | Level One | Level Two | Level Three | Total |
| Financial assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 122,060 | \$ 122,060 | \$ | \$ | \$ 122,060 |
| Time deposits in financial institutions | 5,621 | 5,621 | | | 5,621 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 122,271 | | 12,672 | 109,599 | 122,271 |
| FHLB stock | 8,842 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Loans receivable, net, including loans held for sale | 1,202,995 | | | 1,214,636 | 1,214,636 |
| Loans held for sale | 110,291 | | 110,291 | | 110,291 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 5,312 | 11 | 78 | 5,223 | 5,312 |
| Derivative assets | 3,370 | | 3,370 | | 3,370 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| I/O strips receivable | 29 | | | 29 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,328,221 | 688,668 | 635,803 | 1,324,471 |
| Advances from FHLB | 86,000 | | 86,146 | 86,146 |
| Notes payable | 34,018 | 35,923 | | 35,923 |
| Derivative liabilities | 2,767 | | 2,767 | 2,767 |
| Accrued interest payable | 770 | 525 | 245 | 770 |

28

Table of Contents

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Using | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | Level One | Level Two | Level Three | |
| Financial assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 44,475 | \$ 44,475 | \$ | \$ | \$ 44,475 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 101,616 | | 9,754 | 91,862 | 101,616 |
| FHLB stock | 6,972 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Loans receivable, net | 775,609 | | | 777,053 | 777,053 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,569 | 3 | 8 | 3,558 | 3,569 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | 786,334 | 316,451 | 472,509 | | 788,960 |
| Advances from FHLB | 20,000 | | 20,095 | | 20,095 |
| Accrued interest payable | 217 | 1 | 216 | | 217 |

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that re-price frequently and fully. The methods for determining the fair values for securities available for sale, derivative assets and liabilities, and I/O strips were described previously. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent re-pricing or re-pricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair value of long-term debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance-sheet items is not considered material (or is based on the current fees or costs that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements) and is not presented.

NOTE 7 SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The following tables summarize the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and the corresponding amounts of gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2012 | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities and agency securities | \$ 2,712 | \$ 6 | \$ | \$ 2,718 |
| State and Municipal securities | 9,660 | 294 | | 9,954 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities | 50,296 | 274 | (290) | 50,280 |
| Agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 58,960 | 472 | (113) | 59,319 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 121,628 | \$ 1,046 | \$ (403) | \$ 122,271 |

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2011 | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities and agency securities | \$ 4,000 | \$ 38 | \$ | \$ 4,038 |
| Municipal securities | 5,641 | 88 | (16) | 5,713 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities | 78,029 | 27 | (1,853) | 76,203 |
| Agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 15,541 | 121 | | 15,662 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 103,211 | \$ 274 | \$ (1,869) | \$ 101,616 |

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale securities portfolio are shown by expected maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | September 30, 2012 | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Maturity | | |
| Available-for-sale | | |
| Within one year | \$ 712 | \$ 713 |
| One to five years | 4,524 | 4,577 |
| Five to ten years | 887 | 947 |
| Greater than ten years | 6,249 | 6,435 |
| Private label residential mortgage backed and agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 109,256 | 109,599 |
| Total | \$ 121,628 | \$ 122,271 |

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity.

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2012 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ 7,439 | \$ (40) | \$ 18,051 | \$ (250) | \$ 25,490 | \$ (290) |
| Agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 15,222 | (113) | | | 15,222 | (113) |
| Total available-for-sale | \$ 22,661 | \$ (153) | \$ 18,051 | \$ (250) | \$ 40,712 | \$ (403) |

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2011 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Municipal securities | \$ 1,072 | \$ (16) | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,072 | \$ (16) |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities | 64,911 | (1,763) | 8,145 | (90) | 73,056 | (1,853) |
| Total available-for-sale | \$ 65,983 | \$ (1,779) | \$ 8,145 | \$ (90) | \$ 74,128 | \$ (1,869) |

As of September 30, 2012, the Company's securities available for sale portfolio consisted of eighty-one securities, twenty-seven of which were in an unrealized loss position. The unrealized losses are related to the Company's private label and agency residential mortgage-backed securities as discussed below.

The Company's private label residential mortgage-backed securities that are in an unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$25.5 million with unrealized losses of \$290 thousand at September 30, 2012. The Company's agency residential mortgage-backed securities that are in an unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$15.2 million with unrealized losses of \$113 thousand at September 30, 2012. The Company's

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

residential mortgage-backed securities were rated AA or above at purchase and are not within the scope of ASC 325. The Company monitors to insure it has adequate credit support and as of September 30, 2012, the Company believes there is no other than temporary impairment (OTTI) and it does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is not likely that it will be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery. Of the Company's \$122.3 million securities portfolio at September 30, 2012, \$112.9 million were rated AAA, AA or A, and \$6.5 million were rated BBB based on the most recent credit rating as of September 30, 2012. One municipal security totaling \$2.9 million was not rated at September 30, 2012. The Company considers the lowest credit rating for identification of OTTI. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company sold one downgraded security for a net loss of \$39 thousand and during the second quarter of 2012, the Company sold two downgraded securities for a net loss of \$32 thousand. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company sold one downgraded security for a net loss of \$12 thousand.

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company determined that no securities were other-than-temporarily impaired.

NOTE 8 LOANS AND LEASES

Loans and leases receivable consist of the following:

| | Originated Loans Receivable Outstanding (1) | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Commercial: | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 17,926 | \$ 9,019 |
| Real estate mortgage | 198,932 | 124,013 |
| Multi-family | 23,481 | 27,596 |
| Land | 909 | 2,375 |
| SBA | 3,341 | |
| Construction | | |
| Lease financing | | |
| Consumer: | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 548,650 | 546,760 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 8,250 | 9,219 |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 7,789 | 8,604 |
| Total | 809,278 | 727,586 |
| Net deferred loan costs | 732 | 1,048 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (11,663) | (12,257) |
| Loans and leases receivable, net | \$ 798,347 | \$ 716,377 |

| | Purchased Loans and Leases Receivable Outstanding (1) and Credit-Impaired Loan and Lease Portfolio | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 56,521 | \$ | \$ 7,290 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | 80,613 | | 22,829 | |
| Multi-family | 81,876 | 59,694 | 852 | |
| Land | 27 | | 327 | |
| SBA | 40,573 | | 6,817 | |
| Construction | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 25,784 | | 66,354 | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 5,609 | | 59 | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 90 | | | |
| Total | 300,836 | 59,694 | 104,528 | |

Table of Contents

| | Purchased Loans and Leases Receivable Outstanding | | Credit-Impaired Loan and Lease Portfolio | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Net deferred loan costs | | 61 | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (716) | (523) | | |
| Loans and leases receivable, net | \$ 300,120 | \$ 59,232 | \$ 104,528 | \$ |

(1) Does not include purchased credit-impaired loans and leases.

At September 30, 2012, the Company had a total of \$376.1 million in interest only mortgage loans and \$20.5 million in loans with potential for negative amortization. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a total of \$382.0 million in interest only mortgage loans and \$23.4 million in loans with potential for negative amortization. These loans pose a potentially higher credit risk because of the lack of principal amortization and potential for negative amortization, however, management believes the risk is mitigated through the Company's loan terms and underwriting standards, including its policies on loan-to-value ratios.

Activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses is summarized as follows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 11,448 | \$ 8,431 | \$ 12,780 | \$ 14,637 |
| Loans charged off | (226) | (382) | (2,547) | (7,117) |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off | 126 | 121 | 145 | 199 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,031 | 823 | 2,001 | 1,274 |
| Balance at September 30 | \$ 12,379 | \$ 8,993 | \$ 12,379 | \$ 8,993 |

Table of Contents

The following tables present the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses and the recorded investment in loans and leases by portfolio segment, and is based on the impairment method for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Recorded investment in loans and leases excludes accrued interest receivable of \$4.7 million at September 30, 2012, and accrued interest receivable and net deferred loan costs totaled \$3.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively at December 31, 2011, as they are not considered to be material.

| | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Real Estate Mortgage | Multi- family | Land | SBAC Construction | Lease financing | Real Estate 1-4 family first mortgage | Real Estate 1-4 junior lien mortgage | Other Revolving Credit and Installment | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|---|--|---|-----------|
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 128 | \$ 3,302 | \$ 1,292 | \$ 11 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,528 | \$ 64 | \$ 123 | \$ 11,448 |
| Charge-offs | | | | | | | (226) | | | (226) |
| Recoveries | | | | | | | 126 | | | 126 |
| Provision | 185 | 363 | 181 | 97 | 82 | 67 | 4 | 55 | (3) | 1,031 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$ 313 | \$ 3,665 | \$ 1,473 | \$ 108 | \$ 82 | \$ 67 | \$ 6,432 | \$ 119 | \$ 120 | \$ 12,379 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 128 | \$ 1,998 | \$ 1,541 | \$ 236 | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,635 | \$ 110 | \$ 132 | \$ 12,780 |
| Charge-offs | | | | (236) | | | (2,304) | | (7) | (2,547) |
| Recoveries | | | | | | | 143 | | 2 | 145 |
| Provision | 185 | 1,667 | (68) | 108 | 82 | 67 | (42) | 9 | (7) | 2,001 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$ 313 | \$ 3,665 | \$ 1,473 | \$ 108 | \$ 82 | \$ 67 | \$ 6,432 | \$ 119 | \$ 120 | \$ 12,379 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2011 | \$ 68 | \$ 655 | \$ 692 | \$ 278 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,161 | \$ 360 | \$ 217 | \$ 8,431 |
| Charge-offs | | | 68 | (57) | | | (275) | (108) | (10) | (382) |
| Recoveries | | | | 1 | | | 116 | | 4 | 121 |
| Provision | | 558 | (218) | (210) | | | 784 | 17 | (108) | 823 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2011 | \$ 68 | \$ 1,213 | \$ 542 | \$ 12 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,786 | \$ 269 | \$ 103 | \$ 8,993 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 50 | \$ 332 | \$ 2,389 | \$ 1,067 | \$ | \$ | \$ 10,191 | \$ 258 | \$ 350 | \$ 14,637 |
| Charge-offs | | | (2,068) | (1,900) | | | (3,021) | (108) | (20) | (7,117) |
| Recoveries | | | | 24 | | | 165 | | 10 | 199 |
| Provision | 18 | 881 | 221 | 821 | | | (549) | 119 | (237) | 1,274 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2011 | \$ 68 | \$ 1,213 | \$ 542 | \$ 12 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,786 | \$ 269 | \$ 103 | \$ 8,993 |

Table of Contents

| | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Real Estate Mortgage | Multi- family | Land | SBA | Construction | Lease Financing | Real Estate 1-4 family first mortgage | Real Estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | Other Revolving Credit and Installment | TOTAL |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Originated individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ 380 | \$ 613 | \$ 98 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 458 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,549 |
| Originated collectively evaluated for impairment | 313 | 3,285 | 211 | 10 | 82 | | | 5,974 | 119 | 120 | 10,114 |
| Purchased individually evaluated for impairment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased collectively evaluated for impairment | | | 649 | | | | 67 | | | | 716 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 313 | \$ 3,665 | \$ 1,473 | \$ 108 | \$ 82 | \$ | \$ 67 | \$ 6,432 | \$ 119 | \$ 120 | \$ 12,379 |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Originated individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ 3,038 | \$ 5,455 | \$ 334 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 13,960 | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ 22,790 |
| Originated collectively evaluated for impairment | 17,855 | 195,137 | 17,971 | 573 | 3,343 | | | 536,300 | 8,255 | 7,786 | 787,220 |
| Purchased individually evaluated for impairment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased collectively evaluated for impairment | 56,521 | 80,613 | 81,876 | 27 | 40,573 | 4,712 | 5,031 | 25,784 | 5,609 | 90 | 300,836 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 7,290 | 22,829 | 852 | 327 | 6,817 | | | 66,354 | 59 | | 104,528 |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ 81,666 | \$ 301,617 | \$ 106,154 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 50,733 | \$ 4,712 | \$ 5,031 | \$ 642,398 | \$ 13,923 | \$ 7,879 | \$ 1,215,374 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|----|-----|----|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-------|-------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Originated individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ | \$ | 663 | \$ | 236 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 2,815 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 3,714 |
| Originated collectively evaluated for impairment | 128 | 1,998 | 355 | | | | | | 5,820 | 110 | 132 | | 8,543 | |

Table of Contents

| | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Real Estate Mortgage | Multi- family | Land | SBA Construction | Lease Financing | Real Estate 1-4 family first mortgage | Real Estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | Other Revolving Credit and Installment | TOTAL |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|------------|
| Purchased individually evaluated for impairment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased collectively evaluated for impairment | | | 523 | | | | | | | 523 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 128 | \$ 1,998 | \$ 1,541 | \$ 236 | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,635 | \$ 110 | \$ 132 | \$ 12,780 |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Originated individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,001 | \$ 1,887 | | | \$ 20,650 | \$ | \$ | \$ 27,538 |
| Originated collectively evaluated for impairment | 9,019 | 124,013 | 22,595 | 488 | | | 526,110 | 9,219 | 8,604 | 700,048 |
| Purchased individually evaluated for impairment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased collectively evaluated for impairment | | | 59,694 | | | | | | | 59,694 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ 9,019 | \$ 124,013 | \$ 87,290 | \$ 2,375 | \$ | \$ | \$ 546,760 | \$ 9,219 | \$ 8,604 | \$ 787,280 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans and leases as of September 30, 2012. The recorded investment included represents customer balances net of any partial charge-offs recognized on the loans and leases, net of any deferred fees and costs. Recorded investment excludes accrued interest receivable, as it is not considered to be material.

Originated loans:

| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated | Average Recorded Investment YTD | Interest Income Recognized YTD | Cash Basis Interest Recognized YTD |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| SBA | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 5,435 | 5,068 | | 5,167 | 184 | 181 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 3 | 3 | | 4 | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Real estate mortgage | 3,040 | 3,038 | 380 | 3,048 | 84 | 84 |
| Multi-family | 5,456 | 5,455 | 613 | 5,471 | 240 | 221 |
| Land | 334 | 334 | 98 | 333 | 14 | 14 |
| SBA | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 8,920 | 8,892 | 458 | 8,892 | 286 | 69 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 23,188 | \$ 22,790 | \$ 1,549 | \$ 22,915 | \$ 808 | \$ 569 |

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average of individually impaired loans during the period | \$ 23,091 | \$ 36,176 | \$ 22,915 | \$ 40,925 |
| Interest income recognized during impairment | 265 | 244 | 808 | 662 |
| Cash-basis interest income recognized | 192 | 38 | 569 | 250 |

Table of Contents

Purchased credit impaired loans:

| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated | Average Recorded Investment YTD | Interest Income Recognized YTD | Cash Basis Interest Recognized YTD |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 2,268 | \$ 1,909 | \$ | \$ 1,909 | \$ 47 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | 1,944 | 1,447 | | 1,447 | 14 | |
| Multi-family | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| SBA | 1,182 | 963 | | 963 | 135 | 135 |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| SBA | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,394 | \$ 4,319 | \$ | \$ 4,319 | \$ 196 | \$ 135 |

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average of individually impaired loans during the period | \$ 4,319 | \$ | \$ 4,319 | \$ |
| Interest income recognized during impairment | 196 | | 196 | |
| Cash-basis interest income recognized | 135 | | 135 | |

Purchased credit impaired loans evaluated as a pool are not included in the tables above.

The following table presents loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans and leases as of December 31, 2011. The recorded investment included represents customer balances net of any partial charge-offs recognized on the loans and leases, net of any deferred fees and costs and accrued interest.

Table of Contents

| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated | Average Recorded Investment YTD | Interest Income Recognized YTD | Cash Basis Interest Recognized YTD |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | | | | | | |
| Land | 487 | 488 | | 493 | 28 | 28 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 6,849 | 6,915 | | 6,872 | 92 | 39 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | 2 | | 74 | 19 | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | | | |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | | | | | | |
| Land | 5,001 | 5,013 | 663 | 5,030 | 134 | 43 |
| Land | 1,400 | 1,686 | 236 | 1,608 | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 13,801 | 13,964 | 2,815 | 13,831 | 402 | 218 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 27,538 | \$ 28,068 | \$ 3,714 | \$ 27,908 | \$ 675 | \$ 328 |

Nonaccrual loans and leases and loans and leases past due 90 days still on accrual were as follows as of the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Loans and leases past due over 90 days still on accrual | \$ | \$ |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases | \$ 16,181 | \$ 19,254 |

Nonaccrual loans and leases consisted of the following as of the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Commercial: | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | |
| Real estate mortgage | 3,038 | |
| Multi-family | 5,455 | 3,090 |
| Land | 334 | 1,887 |
| SBA | | |
| Construction | | |
| Lease financing | | |
| Consumer: | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 7,316 | 14,272 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 36 | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 2 | 5 |
| Total | \$ 16,181 | \$ 19,254 |

Table of Contents

Nonaccrual loans and leases at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of \$14.7 million and \$16.3 million were net of specific allowance allocations of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. All nonaccrual loans and leases are originated. There are no purchased non-PCI loans or leases on nonaccrual.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in originated past due loans and leases as of September 30, 2012 by class of loans, excluding accrued interest:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 89 Days Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Gross Financing Receivables | Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|---|
| September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 11 | \$ | \$ | \$ 11 | \$ 17,844 | \$ 17,855 | \$ 2,370 |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | 198,175 | 198,175 | 197 |
| Multi-family | | | | | 23,426 | 23,426 | |
| Land | | | | | 907 | 907 | |
| SBA | | | | | 3,343 | 3,343 | |
| Construction | | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 10,315 | 1,117 | 1,443 | 12,875 | 537,385 | 550,260 | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | 36 | 36 | 8,219 | 8,255 | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 18 | 3 | | 21 | 7,768 | 7,789 | |
| Total | \$ 10,344 | \$ 1,120 | \$ 1,479 | \$ 12,943 | \$ 797,067 | \$ 810,010 | \$ 2,567 |

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in purchased past due loans and leases (excluding purchased impaired loans and leases) as of September 30, 2012 by class of loans, excluding accrued interest:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 89 Days Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Gross Financing Receivables | Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|--|
| September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 56,521 | \$ 56,521 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | 2,306 | | | 2,306 | 78,307 | 80,613 | |
| Multi-family | 270 | | | 270 | 81,606 | 81,876 | |
| Land | | | | | 27 | 27 | |
| SBA | 1,126 | | | 1,126 | 39,447 | 40,573 | |
| Construction | | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | 273 | | 273 | 25,511 | 25,784 | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | 5,609 | 5,609 | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | 90 | 90 | |
| Total | \$ 3,702 | \$ 273 | \$ | \$ 3,975 | \$ 296,861 | \$ 300,836 | \$ |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the aging of the principal balances in originated past due loans and leases as of December 31, 2011 by class of loans, excluding accrued interest:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 89 Days Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Financing Receivables | Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,019 | \$ 9,019 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | 291 | | | 291 | 123,722 | 124,013 | |
| Multi-family | | | | | 27,596 | 27,596 | |
| Land | | | 1,400 | 1,400 | 975 | 2,375 | 487 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 8,133 | 2,536 | 6,385 | 17,054 | 529,706 | 546,760 | 3,760 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | 9,219 | 9,219 | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 4 | | 5 | 9 | 8,595 | 8,604 | |
| Total | \$ 8,428 | \$ 2,536 | \$ 7,790 | \$ 18,754 | \$ 708,832 | \$ 727,586 | \$ 4,247 |

The following table presents the aging of the principal balances in purchased past due loans and leases as of December 31, 2011 by class of loans, excluding accrued interest:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 89 Days Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Financing Receivables | Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | | | | | 59,694 | 59,694 | |
| Land | | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 59,694 | \$ 59,694 | \$ |

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

The Company has allocated \$783 thousand and \$2.1 million of specific allowance allocations to customers whose loan or lease terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Company did not have any commitments to lend to customers with outstanding loans or leases that are classified as troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the terms of two loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loan included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

Table of Contents

The Company did not make any loan or lease modifications other than the two troubled debt restructurings discussed above during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. There were no such loans or leases with defaults during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The following table presents loans and leases by class modified as troubled debt restructurings for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Pre-Modification Outstanding Number of Loans | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Pre-Modification Outstanding Number of Loans | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted: | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | |
| Real estate mortgage | 1 | \$ 487 | 1 | \$ 487 |
| Multi-family | | | | |
| Land | | | | |
| SBA | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | |
| Total | 1 | \$ 487 | 1 | \$ 487 |

A loan or lease is considered to be in payment default once it is 30 days contractually past due under the modified terms.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's internal underwriting policy.

Troubled debt restructured loans and leases consist of the following (in thousands):

Originated loans and leases:

| | At September 30, 2012 | At December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial: | | |
| Commercial and industrial | | |
| Real estate mortgage | 290 | |
| Multi-family | 3,090 | 3,090 |
| Land | 334 | 487 |
| SBA | | |
| Construction | | |
| Lease financing | | |

Table of Contents

| | At September 30, 2012 | At December 31, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Consumer: | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 12,079 | 14,613 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 2 | 2 |
| Total | \$ 15,795 | \$ 18,192 |

Purchased loans and leases:

| | Purchased Loans | | Purchased Credit Impaired Loans | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | At September 30, 2012 | At December 31, 2011 | At September 30, 2012 | At December 31, 2011 |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,239 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | | | 1,264 | |
| Multi-family | | | | |
| Land | | | 110 | |
| SBA | | | 194 | |
| Construction | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,807 | \$ |

Troubled debt restructured loans and leases at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaling \$17.8 million and \$16.1 million were net of specific allowance allocations of \$783 thousand and \$2.1 million, respectively.

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans and leases into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company performs an historical loss analysis that is combined with a comprehensive loan or lease to value analysis to analyze the associated risks in the current loan and lease portfolio. The Company analyzes loans and leases individually by classifying the loans and leases as to credit risk. This analysis includes all loans and leases delinquent over 60 days and non-homogenous loans and leases such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. Classification of problem single family residential loans is performed on a monthly basis while analysis of non-homogenous loans and leases is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans and leases classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or lease or of the Company's credit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans and leases classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans and leases so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans and leases classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Table of Contents

Loans and leases not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans and leases. Consumer loans are evaluated based on payment history.

The following table displays the Company's risk categories for originated loans as of September 30, 2012.

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Not Rated | TOTAL |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 17,844 | \$ | \$ 11 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 17,855 |
| Real estate mortgage | 194,237 | | 3,938 | | | | 198,175 |
| Multi-family | 17,971 | | 5,455 | | | | 23,426 |
| Land | 573 | | 334 | | | | 907 |
| SBA | 3,343 | | | | | | 3,343 |
| Construction | | | | | | | |
| Lease financing | | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 529,974 | 8,488 | 11,798 | | | | 550,260 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 7,878 | 165 | 212 | | | | 8,255 |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 7,721 | 65 | 3 | | | | 7,789 |
| Total | \$ 779,541 | \$ 8,718 | \$ 21,751 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 810,010 |

The following table displays the Company's risk categories for purchased loans and leases (excluding purchased impaired loans and leases) as of September 30, 2012.

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Not Rated | TOTAL |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 56,521 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 56,521 |
| Real estate mortgage | 80,611 | 2 | | | | | 80,613 |
| Multi-family | 81,876 | | | | | | 81,876 |
| Land | 27 | | | | | | 27 |
| SBA | 40,477 | 77 | 19 | | | | 40,573 |
| Construction | 4,712 | | | | | | 4,712 |
| Lease financing | | | | | | 5,031 | 5,031 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 25,491 | 293 | | | | | 25,784 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 5,609 | | | | | | 5,609 |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 90 | | | | | | 90 |
| Total | \$ 295,414 | \$ 372 | \$ 19 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,031 | \$ 300,836 |

Table of Contents

The loans and leases not rated totaled \$5.0 million at September 30, 2012 and represented loans or leases that were purchased during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

The following tables display the Company's non-performing and performing substandard loan and lease portfolio (using recorded investment).

| | Originated Loans September 30, 2012 | |
|--|--|------------------|
| | # of Loans | Balance |
| Substandard Loans and Leases: | | |
| Non-Performing | 5 | \$ 1,524 |
| Performing: | | |
| TDR | 8 | 9,185 |
| Rated substandard due to borrower relationship to distressed loans or leases | 6 | 5,691 |
| Rated substandard due to other credit factors | 14 | 5,351 |
| Total Performing: | 28 | \$ 20,227 |
| Total Substandard Loans and Leases | 33 | \$ 21,751 |

| | Purchased Loans September 30, 2012 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | # of Loans | Balance |
| Substandard Loans and Leases: | | |
| Non-Performing | | \$ |
| Performing: | | |
| TDR | | |
| Rated substandard due to borrower relationship to distressed loans or leases | | |
| Rated substandard due to other credit factors | 2 | 19 |
| Total Performing: | 2 | \$ 19 |
| Total Substandard Loans and Leases | 2 | \$ 19 |

The following table displays the Company's risk categories for originated loans and leases as of December 31, 2011.

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Not Rated | TOTAL |
|---|----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|------|-----------|----------|
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 9,019 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,019 |
| Real estate mortgage | 108,841 | 11,463 | 3,709 | | | | 124,013 |
| Multi-family | 22,098 | 497 | 5,001 | | | | 27,596 |
| Land | 488 | | 1,887 | | | | 2,375 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 498,794 | 26,381 | 21,585 | | | | 546,760 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 8,177 | 698 | 344 | | | | 9,219 |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 8,385 | 215 | 4 | | | | 8,604 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|------------|
| Total | \$ 655,802 | \$ 39,254 | \$ 32,530 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 727,586 |
|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|------------|

Table of Contents

The following table displays the Company's risk categories for purchased loans and leases as of December 31, 2011.

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Not Rated | TOTAL |
|---|------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | 59,694 | | | | | | 59,694 |
| Land | | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 59,694 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 59,694 |

The following table displays the Company's non-performing and performing substandard loan and lease portfolio (using unpaid principal balance) as of December 31, 2011.

| | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| | # of Loans | Balance |
| Substandard Loans and Leases: | | |
| Non-Performing | 16 | \$ 7,788 |
| Performing: | | |
| TDR | 22 | 13,271 |
| Rated substandard due to borrower relationship to distressed loans or leases | 13 | 7,811 |
| Rated substandard due to other credit factors | 7 | 3,660 |
| Total Performing: | 42 | \$ 24,742 |
| Total Substandard Loans and Leases | 58 | \$ 32,530 |

Purchased Credit Impaired Loans and Leases:

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company purchased loans and leases for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. The carrying amount of those loans and leases at September 30, 2012 is as follows. There were no such loans or leases in 2011.

| | September 30, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------|
| Commercial: | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 7,290 |
| Real estate mortgage | 22,829 |
| Multi-family | 852 |
| Land | 327 |
| SBA | 6,817 |
| Construction | |
| Consumer: | |
| Consumer - Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 66,354 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--|------------|
| Consumer - Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 59 |
| Other revolving credit and installment | |
| Outstanding balance | \$ 104,528 |
| Carrying amount, net of allowance of \$0 | \$ 104,528 |

Table of Contents

The loans or leases identified as purchased with credit impairments were approximately \$163.4 million as of the acquisition date. A credit discount of approximately \$32.7 million was recorded and an additional \$31.2 million of yield discount was also recorded. The yield discount is being recognized on a method that approximates a level yield over the expected life of the loan or lease. The Company does not accrete the credit discount into income until such time as the loan or lease is paid off. The only exception would be on a case-by-case basis when a material event that significantly improves the quality of the loans or leases and reduces the risk to the Bank such that management believes it would be prudent to start recognizing some of the credit discount in interest income.

Accretable yield, or income expected to be collected, is as follows:

| | Accretable Yield |
|---|-------------------------|
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 6,608 |
| New loans or leases purchased | 28,852 |
| Accretion of income | (318) |
| Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference | |
| Disposals | |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 35,142 |
| | |
| | Accretable Yield |
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | \$ |
| New loans or leases purchased | 35,892 |
| Accretion of income | (750) |
| Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference | |
| Disposals | |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 35,142 |

Loans and leases purchased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for which it was probable at acquisition that all contractually required payments would not be collected are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 |
|--|--|---|
| Commercial: | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 4,807 | \$ 4,807 |
| Real estate mortgage | 26,007 | 26,007 |
| Multi-family | 1,222 | 1,222 |
| Land | 237 | 237 |
| SBA | 15,767 | 15,767 |
| Construction | | |
| Consumer: | | |
| Consumer - Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 76,396 | 115,399 |
| Consumer - Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | | |
| | \$ 124,436 | \$ 163,439 |
| Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition | \$ 98,131 | \$ 128,030 |
| Fair value of acquired loans at acquisition | \$ 79,565 | \$ 102,395 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

For those purchased loans and leases disclosed above, no allowance for loan or lease losses was recorded during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012. No allowances for loan or lease losses were reversed during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Loans and leases that were acquired from Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank as part of the mergers that were considered credit impaired were written down at acquisition date in purchase accounting to an amount estimated to be collectible and the related allowance for loan and lease losses was not carried over to First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s allowance.

Table of Contents

Income is not recognized on certain purchased loans and leases if the Company cannot reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected. The Company held no such loans or leases during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012.

NOTE 9 RISK MANAGEMENT AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company originates residential real estate mortgage loans and generates revenues from the origination and sale of these loans. Although management closely monitors market conditions, such activities are sensitive to fluctuations in prevailing interest rates and real estate markets. During the last 12 months, approximately 70% of all properties securing loans held for sale are located in California. A change in the underlying economic conditions of the California residential real estate market could have an adverse impact on the Company's operations.

The Bank uses derivative instruments and other risk management techniques to reduce its exposure to adverse fluctuations in interest rates in accordance with its risk management policy as determined by its Board of Directors. The Bank utilizes forward contracts and investor commitments to economically hedge mortgage banking products and may from time to time use interest rate swaps as hedges against certain liabilities.

In connection with mortgage banking activities, if interest rates increase, the value of the Bank's loan commitments to borrowers and fixed rate mortgage loans held-for-sale are adversely impacted. The Bank attempts to economically hedge the risk of the overall change in the fair value of loan commitments to borrowers and mortgage loans held for sale by selling forward contracts on securities of GSEs and by entering into IRLCs with investors in loans underwritten according to investor guidelines.

Forward contracts on securities of GSEs and loan commitments to borrowers are non-designated derivative instruments and the gains and losses resulting from these derivative instruments are included in net gain on mortgage banking activities in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss). At September 30, 2012, the resulting derivative asset of approximately \$3.4 million and liability of approximately \$3.1 million, are included in other assets and accrued expenses and other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition related to loan commitments and forward contracts. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had outstanding forward commitments totaling \$213.2 million. At September 30, 2012, the Bank was committed to fund loans for borrowers of approximately \$119.2 million.

The net losses relating to free-standing derivative instruments used for risk management were \$1.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Prior to the third quarter of 2012, the Company held no derivatives.

The following table reflects the amount and market value of mortgage banking derivatives included in the consolidated statements of financial condition as of September 30, 2012:

| | Notional Amount | Fair Value |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Included in assets: | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 105,410 | \$ 4,881 |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 111,598 | 3,322 |
| Mandatory forward commitments | 19,617 | 48 |
| Total included in assets | \$ 236,625 | \$ 8,251 |
| Included in other liabilities: | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ 7,616 | \$ 99 |
| Mandatory forward commitments | 143,633 | 2,668 |
| Other liabilities (best efforts commitments) | 49,976 | 284 |
| Total included in other liabilities | \$ 201,225 | \$ 3,051 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 10 OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Activity in other real estate owned was as follows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Beginning of period | \$ 14,692 | \$ 6,562 |
| Additions | 3,750 | 20,808 |
| Sales and net direct write-downs | (10,589) | (8,760) |
| Net change in valuation allowance | 851 | 1,941 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 8,704 | \$ 20,551 |

Activity in the other real estate owned valuation allowance was as follows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Beginning of period | \$ 4,081 | \$ 3,379 |
| Additions charged to expense | 205 | 1,887 |
| Net direct write-downs or removal upon sale | (1,056) | (3,828) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 3,230 | \$ 1,438 |

Expenses related to foreclosed assets included in loan servicing and foreclosure expenses on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss) were as follows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Net (gain)/loss on sales of other real estate owned | \$ (466) | \$ 924 |
| Operating expenses, net of rental income | 650 | 783 |
| | \$ 184 | \$ 1,707 |

Loans provided for sales of other real estate owned, included in other assets on the consolidated statements of financial condition, and deferred gain on real estate sold on contract, included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition were as follows at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Loans provided for sales of other real estate owned on contract | \$ 917 | \$ 1,145 |
| Deferred gain on other real estate owned sold on contract | \$ 10 | \$ 50 |

NOTE 11 SERVICING RIGHTS

The Company retains mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) from certain of its sales of residential mortgage loans. MSRs on residential mortgage loans are reported at fair value. Income earned by the Company on its MSRs is derived primarily from contractually specified mortgage servicing fees and late fees, net of curtailment costs. Prior to the acquisitions of Gateway and Beach, the Company did not have any MSRs. The Bank retains servicing rights in connection with its SBA loan operations. Income earned from servicing rights for the three and nine months

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

ended September 30, 2012 was \$146 thousand. This amount is reported in loan servicing income in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss). At September 30, 2012, servicing rights are comprised of the following:

| | September 30, 2012 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mortgage servicing rights | \$ 1,609 |
| SBA servicing rights | 561 |
| | \$ 2,170 |

Mortgage loans serviced for others are not reported as assets. These loans are subserviced by a 3rd party. The principal balances of these loans at September 30, 2012 were as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Mortgage loans portfolios serviced for: | |
| FHLMC | \$ |
| FNMA | 202,000 |
| GNMA | |
| | \$ 202,000 |

Table of Contents

Custodial escrow balances maintained in connection with serviced loans were \$1.6 million at September 30, 2012.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Following is a summary of the key characteristics, inputs and economic assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Company's MSR as of September 30, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Fair value of retained MSR | \$ 1,609 |
| Decay (prepayment/default) | 18.64% |
| Discount rate | 10.50% |
| Weighted-average life (in years) | 14.81 |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 |
|--|--|
| Mortgage Servicing Rights: | |
| Balance at acquisition on August 18, 2012 | \$ 1,534 |
| Additions | 244 |
| Disposals | |
| Changes in fair value resulting from valuation inputs or assumptions | (107) |
| Other loans paid off | (62) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 1,609 |

Mortgage Loan Repurchase Obligations

Following is a summary of activity in the reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012:

| | Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 |
|---|---|
| Balance at acquisition on August 18, 2012 | \$ 2,493 |
| Provision for loss reimbursements on sold loans | 172 |
| Payments made for loss reimbursements on sold loans | |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 2,665 |

SBA Servicing Rights

The Company used a discount rate range of 7.25% to 15% to calculate the present value of cash flows and an estimated prepayment speed based on prepayment data available. Discount rates and prepayment speed are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as appropriate.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 |
|------------------------------|--|
| SBA Servicing Rights: | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Balance at acquisition on July 1, 2012 and August 18, 2012 | \$ | 658 |
| Additions | | 30 |
| Amortization, including prepayments | | (127) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ | 561 |
| Interest-Only Strip: | | |
| Balance at acquisition on August 18, 2012 | \$ | 30 |
| Additions | | |
| Amortization, including prepayments | | (1) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ | 29 |

There was no valuation allowance as of September 30, 2012 for SBA servicing rights or the I/O strips.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12 LOAN COMMITMENTS AND OTHER RELATED ACTIVITIES**

Some financial instruments such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Risk of credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amount of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows as of the dates indicated:

| | Contract Amount | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
| | Fixed Rate | Variable Rate | Fixed Rate | Variable Rate |
| Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 49,061 | \$ 3,114 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 579 |
| Unused lines of credit | 10,072 | 101,320 | 6,249 | 36,472 |
| Standby letters of credit | 10 | 1,420 | 10 | 10 |

Commitments to make loans are generally made for periods of 30 days or less.

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Bank to concentrations of credit risk include interest-bearing deposit accounts in other financial institutions, and loans. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Bank had interest-bearing deposit accounts with balances totaling approximately \$118.8 million and \$37.7 million, respectively, in other financial institutions.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company had total commitments to sell loans of \$111.6 million. Total commitments were outstanding for the loans held for sale portfolio and IRLCs were \$93.6 million and \$17.8 million, respectively. For the loans held for sale commitments, \$61.1 million and \$32.6 million were mandatory commitments and best efforts commitments, respectively. Generally speaking, best efforts commitments do not have a financial penalty for non-delivery. The IRLCs consisted of \$0.4 million of mandatory commitments and \$17.4 million of best efforts commitments.

NOTE 13 PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Land and improvements | \$ 1,638 | \$ 1,638 |
| Buildings | 8,392 | 8,363 |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | 8,354 | 4,753 |
| Leasehold improvements | 3,639 | 1,714 |
| Construction in process | 948 | 618 |
| Total | 22,971 | 17,086 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (7,479) | (6,501) |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$ 15,492 | \$ 10,585 |

Depreciation expense was \$1.0 million and \$451 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating Leases: The Company leases certain branch properties under operating leases. Total rent expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 amounted to \$980 thousand and \$1.9 million, respectively. Total rent expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 amounted to \$135 thousand and \$345 thousand, respectively.

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Capital Leases: The Company leases certain equipment under capital leases. The lease arrangements require monthly payments through 2017.

The Company has included these leases in capital lease assets as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | \$ 365 | \$ |

Table of Contents

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (24) | |
| Capital lease assets, net | \$ 341 | \$ |

Depreciation expense was \$13 thousand and \$24 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

NOTE 14 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET

The Company recorded goodwill and intangible assets during the third quarter of 2012 as a result of the Beach merger and Gateway acquisition discussed above in Note 3 Business Combinations. At September 30, 2012, the Company had recorded goodwill of \$7.0 million.

Acquired intangible assets were as follows at September 30, 2012:

| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Amortized intangible assets: | | |
| Trade name | \$ 980 | \$ 11 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 5,190 | 318 |
| Total | \$ 6,170 | \$ 329 |

Aggregate amortization expense was \$329 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

NOTE 15 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ADVANCES

At September 30, 2012, all of the Company's advances from the FHLB are fixed and had interest rates ranging from 0.28% to 0.82% with a weighted average rate of 0.42%. At December 31, 2011, all of the Company's advances from the FHLB are fixed and had interest rates ranging from 1.66% to 1.85% with a weighted average rate of 1.79%. The contractual maturities by year of the Bank's advances are as follows at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 2012 | 61,000 | 20,000 |
| 2013 | | |
| 2014 | 10,000 | |
| 2015 | 15,000 | |
| Total advances | \$ 86,000 | \$ 20,000 |

Each advance is payable at its maturity date. Two advances totaling \$61 million matured in October 2012. Advances paid early are subject to a prepayment penalty. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company's investment of capital stock of the FHLB of San Francisco was \$8.4 million and \$7.0 million, respectively. Based on this collateral and eligible mortgage loan collateral, the Company was eligible to borrow an additional \$176.8 million at September 30, 2012. In addition, the Company has an available line of credit totaling \$121.8 million with the Federal Reserve Bank at September 30, 2012.

NOTE 16 LONG TERM DEBT

On April 23, 2012, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$33.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020 (the "Notes") at a price to the public of \$25.00 per Note (the "Notes Offering"). Net proceeds after discounts were approximately \$31.7 million. The Notes Offering was completed pursuant to the Prospectus, filed as a part of the Company's Registration Statement on Form

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

S-3 with the SEC, dated November 23, 2010, as supplemented by a prospectus supplement in preliminary form dated April 10, 2012 and in final form dated April 18, 2012 and two free writing prospectuses dated April 10, 2012 and April 18, 2012. In connection with the Notes Offering, the Company entered into a Purchase Agreement, dated April 18, 2012, with Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P., as representative of the several underwriters named therein (the Purchase Agreement). The Notes were sold to the underwriters at a price of \$24.00 per Note. The Notes were issued under the Senior Debt Securities Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012 (the Base Indenture), as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012 (the Supplemental Indenture, and together with the Base Indenture, the Indenture), between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the Trustee).

Table of Contents

The Notes are the Company's senior unsecured debt obligations and rank equally with all of the Company's other present and future unsecured unsubordinated obligations. The Notes bear interest at a per-annum rate of 7.50%. The Company will make interest payments on the Notes quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on July 15, 2012. Interest on the Notes will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

The Notes will mature on April 15, 2020. However, the Company may, at the Company's option, on April 15, 2015, or on any scheduled interest payment date thereafter, redeem the Notes in whole or in part on not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' prior notice. The Notes will be redeemable at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

The Indenture contains several covenants which, among other things, restrict the Company's ability and the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to dispose of or incur liens on the voting stock of certain subsidiaries and also contains customary events of default.

NOTE 17 INCOME TAXES

The Company recorded income tax expense / (benefit) of (\$1.1 million) and \$368 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively and (\$1.4 million) and \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The effective tax rates equaled (13.2%) and 36.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively and (18.4)% and 33.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The components of the net deferred tax asset were as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 5,094 | \$ 5,260 |
| Section 475 mark to market adjustment | 276 | |
| Stock option plan | 471 | 364 |
| Deferred California tax | 1 | 1 |
| Investment in partnership | 155 | 135 |
| Depreciation | | 14 |
| AMT and general business credit carry forward | 1,397 | 1,038 |
| OREO write-downs | 1,336 | 1,679 |
| RRP plan | 416 | 56 |
| Stock appreciation rights | 278 | |
| Nonaccrual loans | 438 | 469 |
| Federal net operating loss | 1,811 | |
| California net operating loss | 2,209 | 945 |
| Net unrealized loss on available for sale securities | | 656 |
| Accrued compensation | 886 | 109 |
| Accrued expenses | 748 | |
| Reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans | 1,097 | |
| Purchase accounting adjustments | 785 | |
| Other | 882 | 203 |
| | 18,280 | 10,929 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred loan costs | (696) | (457) |
| FHLB stock dividends | (529) | (567) |
| Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale | (265) | |
| Section 475 mark to market adjustment | | (683) |
| Servicing rights | (603) | |
| Loans held-for-sale | (1,050) | |
| Depreciation | (93) | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Other | (565) | (250) |
| | (3,801) | (1,957) |
| Valuation allowance | (7,038) | (1,329) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 7,441 | \$ 7,643 |

Table of Contents

The activity in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 |
|--|---|--|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,329 | \$ |
| Purchase accounting adjustment for Beach and Gateway | 4,859 | |
| Change in valuation allowance | 850 | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 7,038 | \$ |

The Company accounts for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities based upon temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of its assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more-likely-than-not that a portion or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. Each quarter, the Company reviews its analysis of whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against its net deferred tax assets. Accounting literature states that a deferred tax asset should be reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of all available evidence, it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion or the entire net deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of whether a net deferred tax asset is realizable is based on weighting all available evidence, including both positive and negative evidence. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. Although realization is not assured, the Company believes that the realization of all but approximately 49% of the recognized net deferred tax asset (DTA) at September 30, 2012 is more likely than not based upon available tax planning strategies and our current estimates of expectations as to future taxable income. At September 30, 2012, the Company had a net deferred tax asset of \$7.4 million, net of a \$7.0 million valuation allowance. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a net deferred tax asset of \$7.6 million, net of a \$1.3 million valuation allowance.

As a result of net losses incurred year to date in 2012, excluding the \$12.1 million nontaxable bargain purchase gain, and in 2011 and 2009, the Company is in a three-year cumulative pretax loss position at September 30, 2012. This three-year cumulative loss position is considered significant negative evidence in assessing the need to establish a valuation allowance for the net DTA. The Company has concluded that there is sufficient positive evidence to overcome a portion of this negative evidence. While significant positive evidence is present, management believes that given the weight of the evidence from the three-year cumulative loss it is appropriate to establish a \$7.0 million DTA valuation allowance as of September 30, 2012. The positive evidence includes the fact that the cumulative pre-tax loss was a result of the Company's discontinued builder lending business. The Company believes that the losses incurred in 2009 and 2011 were primarily due to its construction lending and land loan program which is no longer a part of the Company's business strategy since 2008. The majority of loan losses during 2007 through 2011 were specifically tied to six construction and land loans. Of these, two are no longer on the books and the other four have been foreclosed on and are actively listed OREO properties. The remaining balance of construction lending and land loans totals approximately \$6 million as of September 30, 2012 and none are considered to be nonperforming.

Management believes that these facts provide evidence indicating that further losses from this previous lending program are not likely to approach the historical loss levels; for 2012, with the recent loan growth in 2011, the Company has built its net interest margin to an earnings rate that achieves the 2012 budget, and the Company forecasts sufficient taxable income in the next three years (2013-2015) to utilize at least 51% of the net DTA balance as of September 30, 2011. The 2013 to 2015 forecast assumes similar trends in asset quality improvement as experienced by the Company in 2011 and 2012 and by the banking industry at large. The banking industry has seen increased profitability in 2011 and 2012 due to lower provisions for loan losses due to reductions in nonperforming loans. The large amount of excess capital the Company maintains as of September 30, 2012 allows it to take advantage of future loan funding opportunities while many other banks find themselves constrained by asset concentration and regulatory capital limits. The Company expects future earnings to improve significantly when compared to its 2011 and 2012 results. Recent events point to a stabilization and eventual increase in earnings fundamentals, with further enhancement as a result of the Beach and Gateway acquisitions. This includes the launch of the Company's commercial real estate lending platform as well as the re-launch of the Company's residential lending platform and the opening of new branches to support deposit growth. As a result, the Company believes that with its strong capital position and the introduction of a new management team, the Company's core earnings fundamentals have shifted to the positive given the drive for organic growth and management believes the Company will be able to generate sufficient taxable income in 2013-2015 to utilize at least 51% of the net DTA as of September 30, 2012, and as such, the 49% DTA valuation allowance established as of September 30, 2012 is deemed appropriate. In estimating future taxable income, management stress tested the forecasts and discounted the results by 5% for 2013, 10% for 2014, and 15% for 2015. Despite the DTA valuation allowance, the deferred tax assets remain available to offset future taxable income. The DTA valuation allowance may be reversed to income in future years to the extent the

related deferred tax assets are realized or the DTA valuation allowance is no longer required.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2012, federal net operating loss carryforwards total \$5.3 million and will begin to expire in 2016, if unused. The federal net operating losses were acquired through the stock acquisition of Gateway Bancorp, Inc. on August 18, 2012. Gateway Bancorp, Inc. is considered to have undergone a change in control under IRS Code Section 382. As such, the federal net operating loss carryforwards are subject to an annual Section 382 limitation of \$474 thousand. Gateway Bancorp, Inc. had undergone a previous change in control which carries over a Section 382 limitation from pre-2002 tax years in the amount \$188 thousand. Federal net operating losses incurred for pre-2012 change in controls are subject to an additional annual limitation amount of \$286 thousand. Therefore, the maximum amount of net operating loss carryforwards that may be utilized in any tax year post August 18, 2012 is \$474 thousand, which includes \$188 thousand of pre-2002 losses and the remaining \$286 thousand of pre-2012 losses.

As of September 30, 2012, California net operating loss carryforwards total approximately \$31.8 million and will begin to expire in 2017, if unused. California conforms to the federal Code Section 382. The net operating loss carryforwards include losses carried over from the stock acquisition of Gateway Bancorp, Inc. in the amount of \$12.1 million; losses carried over from the merger of Beach Business Bank in the amount of \$8.0 million; and remaining \$11.7 million were created by First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. prior to the acquisitions. Both acquisitions are considered to have undergone a change in control for California purposes; as such, Beach California net operating losses are subject to an annual limitation of \$1.3 million; and Gateway California net operating losses are subject to an annual limitation of \$474 thousand. Due to these annual Section 382 limitations, the Company determined, based on current estimates, approximately \$2.9 million of Gateway's California net operating losses will expire prior to utilization. As such, the deferred tax asset associated with the net operating loss benefit was reduced to the maximum amount currently projected to be realizable.

As of September 30, 2012, AMT tax credit carryforwards total \$161 thousand and they do not expire. As of September 30, 2012, general business credits carryforwards total \$1.2 million and will begin to expire in 2027, if unused.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax, as well as, income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to examination by U.S. federal taxing authorities for tax years before 2009, and by state income taxing authorities for years before 2008.

NOTE 18 MERGER AGREEMENTS AND OTHER EVENTS

On August 21, 2012, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) by and among the Company, Beach Business Bank, and The Private Bank of California, a California corporation and state-chartered bank (PBOC). The Merger Agreement provides that, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, PBOC will merge with and into Beach (the Merger), with Beach continuing as the surviving corporation. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, each outstanding share of PBOC common stock will be converted into the right to receive (i) a pro rata share of 2,083,333 shares of common stock of the Company (Company Common Stock), subject to the payment of cash in lieu of fractional shares, and (ii) a pro rata share of \$24,887,513 in cash ((i) and (ii), the Merger Consideration). If the value of the Merger Consideration would otherwise exceed an amount equal to 1.30 times PBOC's tangible common equity (measured pursuant to a balance sheet of PBOC as of the last business day of the calendar month immediately preceding the closing of the Merger (the Closing)), after subtracting from tangible common equity certain unaccrued one-time PBOC Merger-related costs and expenses, the cash portion of the Merger Consideration will be adjusted downward until the value of the Merger Consideration is equal to such amount. For the purposes of determining the value of the Merger Consideration for purposes of the foregoing calculation, the value of the Company Common Stock to be issued in the Merger will be deemed to be \$12.00 per share. In the Merger, each outstanding share of PBOC preferred stock (the PBOC Preferred Stock), which has been issued in connection with U.S. Department of the Treasury's Small Business Lending Fund program, will be converted into one share of a new series of Company preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, to be designated Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, stated liquidation amount \$1,000 per share (the Company Series B Preferred Stock). The Company Series B Preferred Stock will have rights (including with respect to dividends), preferences, privileges, voting powers, limitations and restrictions that are equivalent to the rights, preferences, privileges, voting powers, limitations and restrictions of the PBOC Preferred Stock. Completion of the Merger is subject to certain customary conditions, including (1) approval of the Merger Agreement by PBOC's shareholders, (2) receipt of required regulatory approvals, (3) the absence of any law or order prohibiting the consummation of the Merger, (4) approval of the listing on the Nasdaq Global Market of the Company Common Stock to be issued in the Merger and (5) the effectiveness of the registration statement for the Company Common Stock to be issued in the Merger. No assurance can be given as to when or whether these conditions will be met. The Company has incurred \$21 thousand of costs related to this merger that would be expensed if the transaction does not close. The acquisition will be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting and is expected to close in the second quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

On March 5, 2012, the Company moved its corporate headquarters to Irvine, California. The Company also opened new branches in Santa Monica and Tustin, California during March 2012 and a new branch in Newport Beach, California during September 2012.

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion compares the consolidated financial condition of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (the Company or Bancorp), at September 30, 2012, to its financial condition at December 31, 2011, and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 to the same periods in 2011. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and footnotes included herein.

The Company is a multi-bank holding company of Pacific Trust Bank and Beach Business Bank (collectively, the Banks). The Company derives substantially all of its revenue from the banking services provided by the Banks. As of September 30, 2012, the Banks operate 19 banking offices in San Diego, Riverside, Orange, and Los Angeles Counties, CA and 23 loan production offices in California, Arizona, Oregon and Washington. The Company's assets consist primarily of loans and investment securities, which are funded by deposits, borrowings and capital. The primary source of revenue is net interest income, the difference between interest income on loans and investments, and interest expense on deposits and borrowed funds. The Company also generates non-interest income by providing fee based banking services and by the origination and sale of conventional conforming and FHV/VA residential mortgage loans to the secondary market. The Company's basic strategy is to maintain and grow net interest income and non-interest income by the retention of its existing customer base and the expansion of its core businesses and branch offices within its current market and plans to expand its banking offices further into southern California and its loan offices throughout the western United States. The Company's primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk and credit risk.

Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Assets. The Company's total assets increased by \$670.7 million, or 67.1%, to \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2012 from \$999.0 million at December 31, 2011 primarily due to the acquisitions of Beach Business Bank, on July 1, 2012, and Gateway Business Bank, on August 18, 2012. Beach and Gateway added a combined total of \$442.0 million in assets, net of cash paid in the acquisitions. The balance of the asset growth was largely accounted for by loan originations and purchases which partially accounted for the \$427.4 million increase in the balance of the Company's loans receivables, net of allowance and an increase in the Company's loans held for sale, which stood at \$110.3 million at September 30, 2012. The Company also saw an increase in total cash and cash equivalents of \$77.6 million, an increase of \$20.7 million in securities available for sale, an increase of \$12.9 million in goodwill and other intangible assets from the Beach and Gateway acquisitions, and an increase of \$12.4 million in the balance of other assets. These increases in total assets were partially reduced by a \$6.0 million decline in balance of OREO.

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$77.6 million, or 174.4%, to \$122.1 million at September 30, 2012 from \$44.5 million at December 31, 2011. The acquisitions of Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank added \$98.5 million in cash and cash equivalents during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 without considering the \$54.6 million of cash paid for the acquisitions. A portion of this cash was used to fund an increase in loans held for sale of \$37.5 million.

The Company also acquired from Beach Business Bank time deposits in financial institutions totaling \$4.7 million, which grew to \$5.6 million at September 30, 2012.

Loans. Originated loans receivable, net of allowance, increased by \$82.0 million, or 11.4%, to \$798.3 million at September 30, 2012 from \$716.4 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was due to loan originations exceeding net loan principal repayments, charge-offs and foreclosures during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company transferred a total of \$3.8 million of loans to other real estate owned.

The Company also increased its loan portfolio through purchases. At September 30, 2012, purchased loan receivables totaled \$404.6 million, net of allowance, an increase of \$345.4 million when compared to the \$59.2 million balance at December 31, 2011. The largest component was from the acquisitions of Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank during the quarter ended September 30, 2012, which added \$285.4 million of loans receivable balances. The loan balances acquired from Beach and Gateway exclude \$72.8 million in loans held for sale, which are discussed below. The Banks also purchased other loans, including the purchase of \$66.2 million of credit impaired loans.

Table of Contents

Loans held for sale. Through the acquisition of Gateway Business Bank, Pacific Trust Bank acquired \$72.8 million in mortgage loans held for sale on August 18, 2012. Since that date, loans held for sale increased by \$37.5 million to \$110.3 million at September 30, 2012.

Investments. Securities classified as available-for-sale of \$122.3 million at September 30, 2012 increased \$20.7 million from December 31, 2011. Agency residential mortgage-backed securities and municipal securities totaling \$56.1 million were purchased during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. In addition, the Company sold securities for \$7.8 million and recognized a net loss on sale of \$83 thousand during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Acquisition Related Intangible Assets. The acquisitions of Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank resulted in an increase in intangible assets including \$7.0 million in goodwill due to the acquisition of Beach Business Bank, \$5.8 million in other intangible assets including \$5.2 million in core deposit intangibles from the acquisitions of Beach and Gateway and \$1.0 million for the Mission Hills Mortgage Bankers trade name acquired from Gateway and the Doctors Bank trade name acquired with the acquisition of Beach Business Bank, and \$2.2 million in SBA and mortgage servicing rights from the Beach and Gateway acquisitions.

Premises and Equipment. Premises and equipment, net increased \$4.9 million, or 46.4%, to \$15.5 million at September 30, 2012 from \$10.6 million at December 31, 2011 primarily due to additions to premises and equipment due to the acquisition of Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank, the move to a new corporate headquarters in Irvine, California and the opening of three new branches in Newport Beach, Santa Monica and Tustin, California.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses. The Company maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based on ongoing assessment of the estimated probable losses presently inherent in the loan portfolio. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan and lease losses, management considers the types of loans and leases and the amount of loans and leases in the portfolio, peer group information, historical loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. This methodology takes into account many factors, including the Company's own historical and peer loss trends, loan and lease-level credit quality ratings, loan and lease specific attributes along with a review of various credit metrics and trends. The process involves subjective as well as complex judgments. The Company uses a three year loss experience of the Company and its peers in analyzing an appropriate reserve factor for all loans. In addition, the Company uses adjustments for numerous factors including those found in the Interagency Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, which include current economic conditions, loan and lease seasoning, underwriting experience, and collateral value changes among others. The Company evaluates all impaired loans and leases individually using guidance from ASC 310 primarily through the evaluation of cash flows or collateral values. During the third quarter 2012, the Company acquired Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank and their loans and leases are treated under ASC 805, accounting for acquisitions. None of the acquired loans and leases are included in the allowances for loan and lease losses at the present time, but might be in the future should there be deterioration in the condition of the loans or leases after the purchase dates of Beach and Gateway. The acquired loans and leases include loans and leases that are accounted for under SOP 03-3, accounting for purchase credit impaired loans and leases. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Bank acquired three pools of loans which are SOP 03-3 loans. Those purchased credit impaired loan pools are not included in the allowances for loan and lease losses at the present time, but might be in the future should there be further deterioration in these loans after the purchase date should the impairment exceed the non-accretable yield. Loans and leases that were acquired from Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank as part of the mergers that were considered credit impaired were written down at the acquisition dates in purchase accounting to an amount estimated to be collectible and a discount for accretable yield and the related allowance for loan and lease losses was not carried over to First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s allowance.

The allowance for loan and lease losses on originated loans receivable at September 30, 2012 was \$11.7 million, which represented 1.4% of the gross originated loans, as compared to \$12.3 million, or 1.7%, of the gross originated loans outstanding at December 31, 2011. Of the \$11.7 million allowance, \$1.5 million were specific allowance allocations to impaired loans and leases, including loans and leases which are subject to troubled debt restructurings, with \$10.1 million serving as a general allocation of allowance for loan and lease losses on originated loans and leases. The reduction in the allowance partially resulted from the Company charging off \$2.5 million of previously established specific allowance allocations, primarily as a result of changes in reporting requirements for thrifts regulated by the OCC. Of the \$2.5 million total specific allowance allocation charged off during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, \$2.3 million related to one-to four-family first mortgage loans and \$236 thousand related to land loans. The remaining charges-offs related to other revolving credit and installment loans. The Company's non-performing loans and leases, including non-performing and restructured loans and leases, also reflected the improved credit quality in the portfolio with a \$3.1 million decline in gross non-performing loans and leases from \$19.3 million at December 31, 2011 to \$16.2 million at September 30, 2012. The Company provided \$2.0 million to its provision for loan and lease losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 related primarily to new commercial real estate mortgage loan production.

Table of Contents

Non-Performing Assets. The following table is a summary of our non-performing assets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisting of non-performing loans and leases and other real estate owned as of such dates. Non-performing loans and leases include all nonaccrual loans and leases that are past due 90 days or more, including troubled debt restructured loans and leases on nonaccrual, and loans and leases past due 90 days and still accruing interest, of which there were none at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Non-performing loans and leases at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaled \$14.7 million and \$16.3 million net of specific allowance allocations of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. Other real estate owned at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaled \$8.7 million and \$14.7 million net of valuation allowances of \$3.2 million and \$4.1 million, respectively.

| | At September 30, 2012 | At December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Nonperforming loans and leases | | |
| Commercial: | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ |
| Real estate mortgage | 3,038 | |
| Multi-family | 5,455 | 3,090 |
| Land | 334 | 1,887 |
| SBA | | |
| Lease financing | | |
| Consumer: | | |
| Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage | 7,316 | 14,272 |
| Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage | 36 | |
| Other revolving credit and installment | 2 | 5 |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases | 16,181 | 19,254 |
| Other real estate owned | 8,704 | 14,692 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 24,885 | \$ 33,946 |

Ratios

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Non-performing loans and leases to total gross loans and leases, excluding loans held for sale | 1.33% | 2.45% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 1.49% | 3.40% |

Troubled Debt Restructured Loans (TDRs). As of September 30, 2012, the Company had 34 loans and leases with an aggregate balance of \$18.6 million classified as TDRs compared to loans and leases with an aggregate balance of \$18.2 million at December 31, 2011 classified as TDRs. Specific allowance allocations totaling \$783 thousand have been established for these loans and leases as of September 30, 2012 compared to \$2.1 million at December 31, 2011. The difference in specific allowance allocations was largely due to charge offs during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and were largely related to changes in reporting requirements for thrifts regulated by the OCC. When a loan or lease becomes a TDR the Company ceases accruing interest, and classifies it as non-accrual until the borrower demonstrates that the loan or lease is again performing.

As of September 30, 2012, of the 34 loans classified as TDRs, all 34 loans totaling \$18.6 million are making payments according to their modified terms and are less than 90 days delinquent. Of the performing TDRs at September 30, 2012, \$12.1 million are secured by single family residences, \$0.4 million are secured by land, \$0.2 million are SBA, \$3.1 million are secured by multi-family residences, \$1.6 million are secured by commercial real estate, \$1.2 million are commercial and industrial and the remaining is comprised of an unsecured \$2 thousand consumer revolving credit and installment.

Other Real Estate Owned Assets (OREO). During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company foreclosed on 10 single family residential properties totaling \$3.8 million. Additionally, the Company sold 19 single family residential properties. The Company also recorded a \$205 thousand write-down of its OREO properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

All Other Assets. All other assets, including Other Assets increased \$15.1 million, or 29.2% to \$67.2 million at September 30, 2012 from \$52.1 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily the result of, a \$4.8 million net increase in an affordable housing fund investment, a \$3.4 million increase in derivative assets related to mortgage loans held for sale, a \$1.6 million increase in taxes receivable, and various increases from other operational activities.

Deposits. Total deposits increased by \$541.9 million, or 68.9%, to \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2012 from \$786.3 million at December 31, 2011. The Beach and Gateway acquisitions accounted for \$414.3 million of this increase. Demand accounts increased \$183.5 million, certificates of deposit increased \$169.7 million, savings accounts increased \$123.8 million, and money market accounts increased \$64.9 million. Additional growth was achieved primarily from the opening of three new branches during the period as well as the formation of new customer relationships and the attraction of additional funds from existing customers. Total core deposits (total deposits less CDs) increased by 117.6% to \$688.7 million at September 30, 2012, compared to \$316.5 million at December 31, 2011. The Bank completed the opening of three new branches in Santa Monica, Tustin and Newport Beach, California during 2012, which the Company anticipates will contribute to future growth. The Bank is actively seeking additional production offices in core Southern California banking markets including Orange County and the Los Angeles area.

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) Advances. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, \$30.0 million of FHLB advances matured and \$96.0 million of FHLB advances were obtained, resulting in a 330.0% increase to \$86.0 million at September 30, 2012, from \$20.0 million at December 31, 2011. The \$86.0 million of advances have an average current yield of 0.42% and mature between 2012 and 2015.

Notes Payable. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$33 million aggregate principal amount of its 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020. At September 30, 2012, the balance of the notes payable, net of discount was \$31.8 million. Notes payable also includes secured borrowings from Beach Business Bank totaling \$2.2 million. These notes are secured by 2 loans on the balance sheet of Beach Business Bank.

Reserve for loss reimbursements on sold loans. The acquisition of Gateway Business Bank included a \$2.7 million reserve established to reimburse parties who previously purchased loans from Gateway for losses that may have resulted for which Gateway could be held responsible for. This includes current known cases and an estimate of possible future cases based on historical claims against Gateway. The Company also establishes a reserve on all new loans that are originated conventional conforming and FHA/VA mortgage loans that are for sale to the secondary markets.

Shareholders Equity. Shareholders equity increased \$7.2 million, or 3.9%, to \$191.7 million at September 30, 2012 from \$184.5 million at December 31, 2011. Shareholders equity increased due to a \$12.1 million bargain purchase gain from the Gateway Business Bank acquisition which was accounted for as part of current year-to-date net income of \$9.2 million. The balance of the change in shareholders equity primarily due to a \$1.3 million increase in the fair value of securities available-for-sale, a \$1.0 million increase to recognize the value of the warrants issued to Beach shareholders as part of the consideration paid to Beach shareholders, and stock awards compensation of \$0.9 million among other items, offset partially by the declaration of common stock cash dividends of \$3.7 million and preferred stock cash dividends of \$1.0 million.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

General. Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2012 increased \$8.9 million, to \$9.5 million from \$644 thousand in the same period of the prior year, as a result of the factors discussed below.

Interest and Dividend Income. Interest and dividend income increased by \$7.9 million, or 89.5%, to \$16.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$8.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as described below.

Interest income on loans increased \$8.2 million, or 105.3%, to \$15.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$7.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$506.1 million increase in the average balance of loans and leases receivable from \$679.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to \$1.2 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2012 due to growth in loan originations and loans purchased during the period. The increase in interest income on loans and leases receivable was further increased by an 81 basis point increase in the average yield on loans and leases receivable to 5.38% due primarily to the increase in commercial real estate mortgage loan production yielding higher rates and the higher yield on purchased loans, including loans acquired from Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank.

Table of Contents

Interest income on securities decreased \$309 thousand to \$708 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Although there was a \$45.9 million increase in the average balance of securities to \$136.3 million, there was a 242 basis point decline in the average yield on the portfolio from 4.50% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to 2.08% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decline in the average yield on the portfolio was due to the sale of higher yielding securities in the prior year as the Company sought the opportunity to improve the quality of its securities portfolio and to divest several of its private label residential mortgage-backed securities.

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates. Also presented is the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets, rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant net interest spread for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. No tax equivalent adjustments were made. All average balances are daily average balances. Non-accruing loans have been included in the table as loans carrying a zero yield.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|--------------------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Average Yield/ Cost | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Average Yield/ Cost |
| <u>INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS</u> | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases receivable(1) | \$ 1,185,277 | \$ 15,928 | 5.38% | \$ 679,199 | \$ 7,757 | 4.57% |
| Securities(2) | 136,307 | 708 | 2.08% | 90,454 | 1,017 | 4.50% |
| Other interest-earning assets(3) | 110,860 | 86 | 0.31% | 59,347 | 49 | 0.33% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,432,444 | 16,722 | 4.68% | 829,000 | 8,823 | 4.24% |
| Non-interest earning assets(4) | 88,293 | | | 75,738 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,520,737 | | | \$ 904,738 | | |
| <u>INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES</u> | | | | | | |
| Savings | \$ 161,512 | 211 | 0.52% | \$ 134,589 | 95 | 0.28% |
| NOW | 246,156 | 102 | 0.17% | 65,582 | 18 | 0.11% |
| Money market | 221,208 | 166 | 0.30% | 86,722 | 62 | 0.29% |
| Certificates of deposit | 571,555 | 1,099 | 0.77% | 415,887 | 1,072 | 1.03% |
| FHLB advances | 64,978 | 74 | 0.46% | 20,326 | 92 | 1.81% |
| Capital lease | 255 | 2 | 3.49% | | | |
| Long-term debt | 34,024 | 660 | 7.76% | | | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,299,688 | 2,314 | 0.72% | 723,106 | 1,339 | 0.76% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 28,474 | | | 8,137 | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,328,162 | | | 731,243 | | |
| Total shareholders equity | 192,575 | | | 173,495 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 1,520,737 | | | \$ 904,738 | | |
| Net interest income/spread | | \$ 14,408 | 3.96% | | \$ 7,484 | 3.48% |
| Net interest margin(5) | | | 4.02% | | | 3.61% |
| | 110.21% | | | 114.64% | | |

Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average
interest-bearing liabilities

- (1) Average balances of nonperforming loans are included in the above amounts. Calculated net of deferred fees, premiums/discounts, and loss reserves.
- (2) Calculated based on average amortized cost.
- (3) Includes average FHLB stock at cost and average time deposits with other financial institutions.

Table of Contents

- (4) Includes average balance of bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) investments of \$18.6 million in 2012 and \$18.3 million in 2011.
 (5) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume, which are changes in volume multiplied by the old rate, and (2) changes in rate, which are changes in rate multiplied by the old volume. Changes attributable to both rate and volume which cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | Total Change | Change Due To Volume (In thousands) | Change Due To Rate |
| <u>Income from interest earning assets:</u> | | | |
| Loans, gross | \$ 8,171 | \$ 26,439 | \$ (18,268) |
| Securities | (309) | 1,525 | (1,834) |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 37 | 160 | (123) |
| Total interest income from interest earning assets | 7,899 | 28,124 | (20,225) |
| <u>Expense on interest bearing liabilities:</u> | | | |
| Savings | 116 | 88 | 28 |
| NOW | 84 | 289 | (205) |
| Money market | 104 | 403 | (299) |
| Certificates of Deposit | 27 | 1,362 | (1,335) |
| FHLB Advances | (18) | 358 | (376) |
| Capital lease | 2 | 2 | |
| Long-term debt | 660 | 660 | |
| Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities | 975 | 3,162 | (2,187) |
| Net interest income | \$ 6,924 | \$ 24,962 | \$ (18,038) |

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$1.0 million or 72.8% to \$2.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Interest expense on deposits increased \$331 thousand, or 26.5%, to \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$497.7 million increase in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits to \$1.2 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$702.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, and an 18 basis point decline in the Company's overall average cost of interest-bearing deposits to 0.53% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from 0.71% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, due to lower market interest rates.

Interest expense on FHLB advances decreased \$18 thousand, or 19.6%, to \$74 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$92 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Although there was a \$44.7 million increase in the average balance of FHLB advances to \$65.0 million, there was a 135 basis point decline in the average cost on the portfolio from 1.81% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to 0.46% for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 also included interest on the Company's senior notes totaling \$660 thousand at a 7.76% average cost for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Net Interest Income. As a result of the combined effect of the factors mentioned above, net interest income before the provision for loan and lease losses increased \$6.9 million, or 92.5%, to \$14.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$7.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The Company's net interest margin increased 41 basis points from 3.61% for the three months ended

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2011 to 4.02% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Continued improvement in the Bank's liability mix and average cost of interest-bearing deposits resulted in an 18 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits from 0.71% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to 0.53% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. These benefits were enhanced by a 81 basis point increase in the average yield on the Bank's loan portfolio from 4.57% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to 5.38% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to the change in mix of loans. The Company also experienced declines in the average yield on securities from 4.50% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to 2.08% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as the Company diluted the high yields on its core securities portfolio by selling some of the portfolio and investing excess liquidity into shorter term lower yielding securities.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses. The Company maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses to absorb probable incurred losses presently inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. The allowance is based on ongoing assessments of the estimated probable losses presently inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan and lease losses, management considers the types of loans and leases and the amount of loans and leases in the loan portfolio, peer group information, historical loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. The Company currently takes into account many factors, including the Company's own historical and peer loss trends, loan and lease-level credit quality ratings, loan and lease specific attributes along with a review of various credit metrics and trends. In addition, the Company uses adjustments for numerous factors including those found in the Interagency Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, which include current economic conditions, loan and lease seasoning, underwriting experience, and collateral value changes among others. The Company evaluates all impaired loans and leases individually, primarily through the evaluation of cash flows or collateral values. Management uses available information to recognize loan and lease losses, however, future loan and lease loss provisions may be necessary based on changes in the above mentioned factors. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan and lease losses and may require the Bank to recognize additional provisions based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination. The allowance for loan and lease losses as of September 30, 2012 was maintained at a level that represented management's best estimate of incurred losses in the loan and lease portfolio to the extent they were both probable and reasonably estimable as of the balance sheet date. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events change. During the third quarter 2012, the Company acquired Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank and their loans and leases are treated under ASC 805, accounting for acquisitions. None of the impaired loans and leases from these acquisitions are included in the allowance for loan and lease losses at the present time as they are SOP 03-3 loans and leases, but might be in the future should there be further deterioration in those loans and leases after the purchase dates of Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank by the Company. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company acquired three pools of loans which are SOP 03-3 loans. Those purchased credit impaired loan pools are not included in the allowance for loan and lease losses at the present time, but might be in the future should there be further deterioration in these loans after the purchase date should the impairment exceed the non-accretable yield.

Provisions for loan and lease losses are charged to operations at a level required to reflect probable incurred credit losses in the loan and lease portfolio. In this regard, a majority of the Company's loans and leases are to individuals and businesses in Southern California. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company provided \$1.0 million to its provision for loan and lease losses related primarily to increased general reserve allocations on new loan and lease originations compared to providing \$823 thousand during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Non-accrual loans, decreased by \$0.7 million and totaled \$14.7 million, net of specific loss allocations of \$1.5 million as of September 30, 2012, compared to \$15.4 million at June 30, 2012, net of specific loss allocations of \$1.5 million. Net charge-offs totaled \$100 thousand for the third quarter of 2012. These levels compare to \$261 thousand in net charge-offs in the prior year's third quarter.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased \$17.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$19.5 million compared to \$2.0 million for the same period of the prior year primarily due to a \$12.1 million bargain purchase gain from the Gateway acquisition and the acquisition of \$5.5 million of mortgage banking related income and \$146 thousand of loan servicing income when compared to none in the prior year period.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased \$16.8 million, or 219.2% to \$24.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$7.7 million for the same period of the prior year. This net increase was primarily the result of a \$10.4 million increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$3.1 million increase in professional fees, a \$324 thousand increase in advertising expense, a \$681 thousand increase in data processing expense, a \$172 thousand increase in expense for provision for loss reimbursements on sold loans and a \$329 thousand increase in expense for amortization of intangible assets, and a \$1.7 million increase in occupancy and equipment expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.3 million decrease in OREO valuation allowance expense, a \$63 thousand decrease in the net gain/loss on sales of other real estate owned, and a \$244 thousand decrease in loan servicing and foreclosure expense.

Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits represented 55.7% and 42.4% of total noninterest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$10.4 million, or 318.7%, to \$13.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$3.3 million for the same period in 2011, due to additional compensation expense related to the acquired companies, \$0.7 million of expense for stock appreciation rights and \$1.2 million compensation expense related to the departure of an executive officer.

The OREO valuation allowance expense decreased \$1.3 million or 97.3% to \$36 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$1.3 million for the same period in the prior year.

Total professional fees increased \$3.1 million, or 467.9% to \$3.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$667 thousand for the same period in 2011, due to increased consulting and legal fees primarily related to the two acquisitions.

Net gain/loss on sales of other real estate owned decreased \$63 thousand to a net loss of \$42 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to a net loss of \$105 thousand for the same period of the prior year.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$1.7 million, or 238.8% to \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$730 thousand in the same period in 2011 primarily due to increased building and equipment maintenance, primarily related to the relocation of the Company's headquarters to Irvine and the newly acquired branch locations from the Beach and Gateway acquisitions which occurred during the period.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit). An income tax benefit of \$1.1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to income tax expense of \$368 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The effective tax rate for the three month period ending September 30, 2012 of negative 13.2% was in accordance with the guidelines of ASC 740 and compares to an effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 of 36.4%. Were it not for the \$12.1 million nontaxable bargain purchase gain during the third quarter of 2012, the effective tax rate would have been (30.6%) for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

General. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$9.2 million, reflecting a \$6.3 million increase over net income of \$2.9 million in the same period of the prior year. The increase was as a result of the factors discussed below.

Interest and Dividend Income. Interest and dividend income increased by \$11.1 million, or 42.0% to \$37.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$26.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as described below.

Interest income on loans increased \$12.1 million, or 52.9%, to \$35.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$22.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$268 million increase in the average balance of loans and leases receivable from \$673.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to \$941.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in interest income on loans receivable was further increased by a 43 basis point increase in the average yield on loans receivable to 4.97% due primarily to the increase in commercial real estate loan production yielding higher rates and the purchased loans and the higher yield on purchased loans, including loans acquired from Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank.

Interest income on securities decreased \$1.1 million to \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Although there was a \$37.7 million increase in the average balance of investments to \$116.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there was a 307 basis point decline in the average yield on the portfolio from 5.51% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to 2.44% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decline in the average yield on the portfolio was due to the sale of higher yielding securities in the prior year as the Company sought the opportunity to improve the quality of its securities portfolio and to divest several of its private label residential mortgage-backed securities.

Table of Contents**Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid**

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates. Also presented is the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets, rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant net interest spread for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. No tax equivalent adjustments were made. All average balances are daily average balances. Non-accruing loans have been included in the table as loans carrying a zero yield.

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|---|--------------------|-----------|---|
| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Average Yield/ Cost | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Average Yield/ Cost |
| <u>INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS</u> | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases receivable(1) | \$ 941,399 | \$ 35,060 | 4.97% | \$ 672,977 | \$ 22,936 | 4.54% |
| Securities(2) | 116,680 | 2,139 | 2.44% | 78,963 | 3,263 | 5.51% |
| Other interest-earning assets(3) | 88,454 | 226 | 0.34% | 51,085 | 155 | 0.40% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,146,533 | 37,425 | 4.35% | 803,025 | 26,354 | 4.37% |
| Non-interest earning assets(4) | 90,036 | | | 67,474 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,236,569 | | | \$ 870,499 | | |
| <u>INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES</u> | | | | | | |
| Savings | \$ 81,752 | 233 | 0.38% | \$ 132,682 | 283 | 0.28% |
| NOW | 130,327 | 162 | 0.17% | 63,699 | 50 | 0.10% |
| Money market | 217,728 | 557 | 0.34% | 88,085 | 189 | 0.29% |
| Certificates of deposit | 527,200 | 3,333 | 0.84% | 382,560 | 3,225 | 1.12% |
| FHLB advances | 45,996 | 266 | 0.77% | 46,630 | 960 | 2.75% |
| Capital lease | 133 | 4 | 4.32% | | | |
| Long-term debt | 19,405 | 1,155 | 7.94% | | | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,022,541 | 5,710 | 0.75% | 713,656 | 4,707 | 0.88% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 16,857 | | | 7,483 | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,039,398 | | | 721,139 | | |
| Total shareholders equity | 197,171 | | | 149,360 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 1,236,569 | | | \$ 870,499 | | |
| Net interest income/spread | | \$ 31,715 | 3.60% | | \$ 21,647 | 3.49% |
| Net interest margin(5) | | | 3.69% | | | 3.59% |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | 112.13% | | | 112.52% | | |

(1) Average balances of nonperforming loans are included in the above amounts. Calculated net of deferred fees, premiums/discounts, and loss reserves.

(2) Calculated based on average amortized cost.

(3) Includes average FHLB stock at cost and average time deposits with other financial institutions.

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- (4) Includes average balance of bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) investments of \$18.5 million in 2012 and \$18.3 million in 2011.
- (5) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents**Rate/Volume Analysis**

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume, which are changes in volume multiplied by the old rate, and (2) changes in rate, which are changes in rate multiplied by the old volume. Changes attributable to both rate and volume which cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|
| | Total Change | Change Due To Volume (In thousands) | Change Due To Rate |
| <u>Income from interest earning assets:</u> | | | |
| Loans, gross | \$ 12,124 | \$ 13,089 | \$ (965) |
| Securities | (1,124) | 1,544 | (2,668) |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 71 | 131 | (60) |
| Total interest income from interest earning assets | 11,071 | 14,764 | (3,693) |
| <u>Expense on interest bearing liabilities:</u> | | | |
| Savings | (50) | (197) | 147 |
| NOW | 112 | 136 | (24) |
| Money market | 368 | 922 | (554) |
| Certificates of Deposit | 108 | 2,229 | (2,121) |
| FHLB Advances | (694) | (18) | (676) |
| Capital lease | 4 | 4 | |
| Long term debt | 1,155 | 1,155 | |
| Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities | 1,003 | 4,231 | (3,228) |
| Net interest income | \$ 10,068 | \$ 10,533 | \$ (465) |

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$1.0 million or 21.3% to \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$4.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Interest expense on deposits increased \$538 thousand, or 14.4% to \$4.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$3.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$290.0 million increase in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits to \$957.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$667.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, partially offset by a 15 basis point decrease in the Company's overall average cost of interest-bearing deposits to 0.60% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from 0.75% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, due to lower market interest rates.

Interest expense on FHLB advances decreased \$694 thousand, or 72.3% to \$266 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$960 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Although there was a \$634 thousand decrease in the average balance of FHLB advances from \$46.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to \$46.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there was a 198 basis point reduction in the average cost of advances to 0.77% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from 2.75% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Net Interest Income. As a result of the combined effect of the factors mentioned above, net interest income before the provision for loan and lease losses increased \$10.1 million, or 46.5%, to \$31.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$21.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company's net interest margin increased 10 basis points from 3.59% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to 3.69% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Continued improvement in the Bank's deposit mix and average cost of deposits resulted in a 15 basis point decrease in the average cost of deposits from 0.75% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to 0.60% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. These benefits were enhanced by a 43 basis point increase in the average yield on the Bank's loan portfolio from 4.54% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to 4.97% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to the change in mix of the loan portfolio and reversal of accrued interest on a number of loans delinquent more than 90 days during the prior year.

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

period. The Company also experienced declines in the average yield on securities from 5.51% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to 2.44% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as the Company diluted the high yields on its core securities portfolio by selling some of the portfolio and investing excess liquidity into shorter term lower yielding securities.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses. Provisions for loan and lease losses are charged to operations at a level required to reflect probable incurred credit losses in the loan and lease portfolio. In this regard, a majority of the Company's loans and leases are to individuals and businesses in Southern California. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company provided \$2.0 million to its provision for loan and lease losses related primarily to increased general reserves on new loan and lease originations, compared to providing \$1.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase was largely due to the increase in the loan and lease portfolio.

Table of Contents

Non-accrual loans, decreased by \$1.6 million and totaled \$14.7 million, net of specific allocations of \$1.5 million as of September 30, 2012, compared to \$16.3 million at December 31, 2011, net of specific allocations of \$2.9 million. Net charge-offs totaled \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 which primarily resulted from the charge-off of specific allowance allocations for various one-to four- family properties and were largely related to changes in reporting requirements for thrifts regulated by the OCC. These levels compare to \$6.9 million in net charge-offs in the same period of the prior year.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased \$16.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$20.7 million compared to \$4.4 million for the same period of the prior year primarily due to a \$12.1 million bargain purchase gain from the Gateway acquisition, and in addition, the Gateway acquisition resulted in of \$5.5 million of mortgage banking related income and \$146 thousand of loan servicing income when compared to none in the prior year period.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased \$22.1 million, or 108.1% to \$42.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$20.5 million for the same period of the prior year. This net increase was primarily the result of a \$14.2 million increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$3.9 million increase in professional fees, and a \$2.9 million increase in occupancy and equipment expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.7 million decrease in OREO valuation allowance expense, a \$1.4 million decrease in loss on sale of other real estate owned, and a \$666 thousand increase in advertising expense, a \$974 thousand increase in data processing expense, a \$172 thousand increase in expense for provision for loss reimbursements on sold loans and a \$329 thousand increase in expense for amortization of other intangible assets.

Salaries and employee benefits represented 55.5% and 46.3% of total noninterest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$14.2 million, or 149.3%, to \$23.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$9.5 million for the same period in 2011, due to additional compensation expense related to the acquisitions of Beach and Gateway and the hiring of new officers, production and branch personnel and support staff needed to execute the Company's growth strategy, \$0.7 million of expense for stock appreciation rights granted, and \$1.2 million compensation expense related to the departure of an executive officer.

The OREO valuation allowance expense decreased \$1.7 million, or 89.1% to \$205 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$1.9 million for the same period in the prior year. The decline was due to the reduction of OREO properties held.

Total professional fees increased \$3.9 million, or 275.6% to \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$1.4 million for the same period in 2011, due to increased consulting and legal fees primarily related to growth activities, including the acquisitions of Beach and Gateway.

Net gain/loss on sales of other real estate owned decreased \$1.4 million due to a net gain of \$466 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to a net loss of \$924 thousand for the same period of the prior year.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$2.9 million, or 148.9% to \$4.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$1.9 million in the same period in 2011 primarily due to increased building and equipment maintenance, primarily related to the newly acquired branch locations from Beach and Gateway and the move of the corporate headquarter to Irvine and the opening of three new branch locations during the period.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit). An income tax benefit of \$1.4 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to income tax expense of \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The effective tax rate for the nine month period ending September 30, 2012 of negative 18.4% was in accordance with the guidelines of ASC 740 and compares to an effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of 33.1%. Were it not for the \$12.1 million nontaxable bargain purchase gain during the third quarter of 2012, the effective tax rate would have been (33.2%) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Liquidity and Commitments

The Banks are required to have enough liquid assets in order to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure a safe and sound operation. Liquidity may increase or decrease depending upon availability of funds and comparative yields on investments in relation to the return on loans. Historically, the Banks have maintained liquid assets above levels believed to be adequate to meet the requirements of normal operations, including potential deposit outflows. Cash flow projections are regularly reviewed and updated to ensure that adequate liquidity is maintained.

Table of Contents

The Banks' liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents and securities available for sale, is a product of its operating, investing, and financing activities. The Banks' primary sources of funds are deposits, payments and maturities of outstanding loans and investment securities; and other short-term investments and funds provided from operations. While scheduled payments from the amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities and maturing securities and short-term investments are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. In addition, the Banks invest excess funds in short-term interest-earning assets, which provide liquidity to meet lending requirements. The Banks also generate cash through borrowings. The Banks utilize Federal Home Loan Bank advances to leverage its capital base, to provide funds for its lending activities, as a source of liquidity, and to enhance its interest rate risk management. The Banks also have the ability to obtain brokered certificates of deposit, however, historically the Bank has not issued significant amounts.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. Any excess liquidity would be invested in federal funds or authorized investments such as mortgage-backed or U.S. Agency securities. On a longer-term basis, the Bank maintains a strategy of investing in various lending products. The Banks use their sources of funds primarily to meet their ongoing commitments, to pay maturing certificates of deposit and savings withdrawals, to fund loan commitments, and to maintain its portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and investment securities. At September 30, 2012, there were \$52.2 million of approved loan origination commitments. At the same date, unused lines of credit were \$111.4 million and outstanding letters of credit totaled \$1.4 million. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year at September 30, 2012, totaled \$486.2 million. Based on the competitive rates offered and on historical experience, management believes that a significant portion of maturing deposits will remain with the Bank, although no assurance can be given in this regard. In addition, the Banks have the ability at September 30, 2012 to borrow an additional \$176.8 million from the FHLB and \$121.8 million from the Federal Reserve Bank to meet commitments and for liquidity purposes. The Banks have FHLB advances of \$61.0 million maturing within the next 12 months. The Banks intend to replace these advances with new borrowings from the FHLB, Federal Reserve Bank or deposits, as and to the extent needed and depending on market conditions.

Capital

Consistent with its goals to operate a sound and profitable financial organization, the Banks actively seek to maintain a well capitalized institution in accordance with regulatory standards. Pacific Trust Bank's total equity was \$158.4 million at September 30, 2012, or 11.7% of its total assets on that date. As of September 30, 2012, Pacific Trust Bank exceeded all capital requirements of the OCC. Pacific Trust Bank's regulatory capital ratios at September 30, 2012 were as follows: core capital 11.2%; Tier I risk-based capital, 16.1%; and total risk-based capital 17.4%. The Company's regulatory capital ratios at September 30, 2012 were as follows: core capital 11.5%; tier I risk-based capital, 14.4%; and total risk-based capital 15.6%.

Beach Business Bank's total equity was \$44.5 million at September 30, 2012 or 14.3% of its total assets on that date. As of September 30, 2012, Beach Business Bank exceeded all capital requirements of the FDIC. Beach Business Bank's regulatory capital ratios at September 30, 2012 were as follows: core capital 10.8%; Tier I risk-based capital, 14.2%; and total risk-based capital 14.4%.

The regulatory capital requirements for the Banks to be considered well capitalized are 5.0%, 6.0% and 10.0%, respectively.

Impact of Inflation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles. These principles require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

The Company's primary assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on the Company's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates, however, do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the price of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation. In a period of rapidly rising interest rates, the liquidity and maturities structures of the Company's assets and liabilities are critical to the maintenance of acceptable performance levels.

The principal effect of inflation, as distinct from levels of interest rates, on earnings is in the area of noninterest expense. Such expense items as employee compensation, employee benefits, and occupancy and equipment costs may be subject to increases as a result of inflation. An additional effect of inflation is the possible increase in the dollar value of the collateral securing loans that the Company has made. The Company is unable to determine the extent, if any, to which properties securing our loans have appreciated in dollar value due to inflation.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The rates of interest we earn on assets and pay on liabilities generally are established contractually for a period of time. Market interest rates change over time. Accordingly, our results of operations, like those of other financial institutions, are impacted by changes in interest rates and the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities. The risk associated with changes in interest rates and our ability to adapt to these changes is known as interest rate risk and is our most significant market risk.

As part of our attempt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates and comply with applicable regulations, we monitor our interest rate risk. In monitoring interest rate risk we continually analyze and manage assets and liabilities based on their payment streams and interest rates, the timing of their maturities, and their sensitivity to actual or potential changes in market interest rates.

In order to manage the potential for adverse effects of material and prolonged increases in interest rates on our results of operations, we adopted asset and liability management policies to better align the maturities and repricing terms of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. These policies are implemented by the asset and liability management committee. The asset and liability management committee is chaired by the treasurer and is comprised of members of our senior management. The asset and liability management committee establishes guidelines for and monitors the volume and mix of assets and funding sources taking into account relative costs and spreads, interest rate sensitivity and liquidity needs. The objectives are to manage assets and funding sources to produce results that are consistent with liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk and profitability goals. The asset and liability management committee meets periodically to review, among other things, economic conditions and interest rate outlook, current and projected liquidity needs and capital position, anticipated changes in the volume and mix of assets and liabilities and interest rate risk exposure limits versus current projections pursuant to our net present value of portfolio equity analysis. At each meeting, the asset and liability management committee recommends appropriate strategy changes based on this review. The treasurer or his designee is responsible for reviewing and reporting on the effects of the policy implementations and strategies to the board of directors on a monthly basis.

In order to manage our assets and liabilities and achieve the desired liquidity, credit quality, interest rate risk, profitability and capital targets, we have focused our strategies on:

originating and purchasing adjustable-rate mortgage loans,

originating shorter-term consumer loans,

managing our deposits to establish stable deposit relationships,

using FHLB advances to align maturities and repricing terms, and

attempting to limit the percentage of fixed-rate loans in our portfolio.

At times, depending on the level of general interest rates, the relationship between long- and short-term interest rates, market conditions and competitive factors, the asset and liability management committee may determine to increase the Company's interest rate risk position somewhat in order to maintain its net interest margin.

As part of its procedures, the asset and liability management committee regularly reviews interest rate risk by forecasting the impact of alternative interest rate environments on net interest income and market value of portfolio equity, which is defined as the net present value of an institution's existing assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, and evaluating such impacts against the maximum potential changes in net interest income and market value of portfolio equity that are authorized by the Board of Directors of the Company.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the projected change in Pacific Trust Bank's net portfolio value at June 30, 2012 that would occur upon an immediate change in interest rates based on independent analysis, but without giving effect to any steps that management might take to counteract that change. The net portfolio value analysis was unable to produce results for the minus 200 basis point scenario.

Interest Rate Sensitivity of Net Portfolio Value (NPV)

| Change in Rates | Net Portfolio Value | | | NPV as a % of PV of Assets | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|
| | \$ Amount | \$ Change | % Change | NPV Ratio | Change |
| + 400 bp | \$ 145,265 | \$ (15,545) | (9.7)% | 13.77% | (55)bp |
| + 300 bp | 151,041 | (9,769) | (6.1)% | 14.07% | (25)bp |
| + 200 bp | 155,660 | (5,150) | (3.2)% | 14.27% | (5)bp |
| + 100 bp | 158,716 | (2,094) | (1.3)% | 14.33% | 1bp |
| 0 bp | 160,810 | | | 14.32% | |
| - 100 bp | 159,143 | (1,667) | (1.0)% | 14.07% | (25)bp |

The following table presents the pro-forma projected change in the net portfolio value of Pacific Trust Bank combined with Beach Business Bank and Gateway Business Bank at June 30, 2012 that would occur upon an immediate change in interest rates based on independent analysis, but without giving effect to any steps that management might take to counteract that change.

Interest Rate Sensitivity of Net Portfolio Value (NPV)

| Change in Rates | Net Portfolio Value | | | NPV as a % of PV of Assets | |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|
| | \$ Amount | \$ Change | % Change | NPV Ratio | Change |
| + 400 bp | \$ 208,520 | \$ (8,877) | (4.1)% | 13.74% | 29bp |
| + 300 bp | 213,043 | (4,354) | (2.0)% | 13.80% | 35bp |
| + 200 bp | 216,228 | (1,169) | (0.5)% | 13.78% | 33bp |
| + 100 bp | 217,757 | 360 | 0.2% | 13.66% | 21bp |
| 0 bp | 217,397 | | | 13.45% | |
| - 100 bp | 216,380 | (1,017) | (0.5)% | 13.25% | (20)bp |

The Bank does not maintain any securities for trading purposes. The Bank does not currently engage in trading activities. The Company does use derivative instruments to hedge its mortgage banking risks. In addition, interest rate risk is the most significant market risk affecting the Bank. Other types of market risk, such as foreign currency exchange risk and commodity price risk, do not arise in the normal course of the Bank's business activities and operations.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Section 13(a)-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")) as of September 30, 2012 was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other members of the Company's senior management. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2012, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13(a)-15(f) under the Act) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we are involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. We do not anticipate incurring any material liability as a result of such currently pending litigation.

As previously reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, on December 14, 2011, CMG Financial Services, Inc. (CMG) initiated a patent lawsuit against the Bank in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (styled *CMG Financial Services, Inc. v. Pacific Trust Bank, F.S.B., et al.*, Case No. 2:11-cv-10344-PSG-MRW) (the Action) alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,627,509 (the 509 Patent) of limited number of financial products previously offered by the Company. The 509 Patent relates to the origination and servicing of loans with characteristics similar to the Bank's Green Accounts. The Company and its counsel believe the asserted claim is without merit and the resolution of the matter is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations, though no assurance can be given in this regard.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes to the risk factors that appeared in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, which completely updated and restated the risk factors that appeared in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, or in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

We may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of our pending acquisition of PBOC.

On August 21, 2012, we entered into a merger agreement to acquire PBOC through the merger of PBOC with and into our wholly owned subsidiary, Beach Business Bank. The closing of the transaction is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including the receipt of all required regulatory approvals and the approval of the transaction by the shareholders of PBOC. No assurance can be given as to when or whether these approvals will be received. The success of our pending acquisition of PBOC will depend on, among other things, our ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of PBOC and Beach Business Bank in a manner that does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of either institution or result in decreased revenues from our respective customers. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the transaction may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected.

PBOC and Beach Business Bank have operated and, until the completion of the merger of the two institutions, will continue to operate, independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each institution's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. Integration efforts between the two institutions will also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on us following completion of the transaction.

We may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of our recently completed acquisition of Gateway Bancorp.

On August 18, 2012, we completed our acquisition of Gateway Bancorp, the holding company for Gateway Business Bank. Immediately following the completion of the acquisition of Gateway Bancorp, Gateway Business Bank was merged into Pacific Trust Bank. The success of our acquisition of Gateway Bancorp will depend on, among other things, our ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of the Bank and Gateway Business Bank in a manner that does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of either institution or result in decreased revenues from our respective customers. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected.

Prior to completion of the acquisition, Pacific Trust Bank and Gateway Business Bank operated independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each institution's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. Integration efforts will also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents

One of the anticipated benefits of the Gateway Bancorp acquisition is the diversification of our revenue stream through non-interest income realized from the mortgage banking operations of Mission Hills Mortgage Bankers, a division of Gateway Business Bank. Most of the revenues generated by Mission Hills come from gains on the sale of single-family mortgage loans pursuant to programs currently offered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and investors other than government sponsored enterprises on a servicing-released basis. These entities account for a substantial portion of the secondary market in residential mortgage loans. Any future changes in these programs, reduction in number of entities to sell to, eligibility to participate in such programs, the criteria for loans to be accepted or laws that significantly affect the activity of such entities could, in turn, materially reduce the revenues generated by Mission Hills. Further, in a rising or higher interest rate environment, originations of mortgage loans may decrease, resulting in fewer loans that are available to be sold to investors. This would result in a decrease in mortgage banking revenues and a corresponding decrease in our non-interest income. Our results of operations also will be affected by the amount of non-interest expense associated with mortgage banking activities, such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, equipment and data processing expense and other operating costs. During periods of reduced loan demand, our results of operations may be adversely affected to the extent that we are unable to reduce expenses commensurate with the decline in loan originations.

Our income property loans, consisting of commercial and multi-family real estate loans, involve higher principal amounts than other loans and repayment of these loans may be dependent on factors outside our control or the control of our borrowers.

We originate commercial and multi-family real estate loans for individuals and businesses for various purposes, which are secured by commercial properties. These loans typically involve higher principal amounts than other types of loans, and repayment is dependent upon income generated, or expected to be generated, by the property securing the loan in amounts sufficient to cover operating expenses and debt service, which may be adversely affected by changes in the economy or local market conditions. For example, if the cash flow from the borrower's project is reduced as a result of leases not being obtained or renewed, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Commercial and multifamily real estate loans also expose us to greater credit risk than loans secured by residential real estate because the collateral securing these loans typically cannot be sold as easily as residential real estate. In addition, many of our commercial and multifamily real estate loans are not fully amortizing and contain large balloon payments upon maturity. Such balloon payments may require the borrower to either sell or refinance the underlying property in order to make the payment, which may increase the risk of default or non-payment.

If we foreclose on a commercial and multi-family real estate loan, our holding period for the collateral typically is longer than for residential mortgage loans because there are fewer potential purchasers of the collateral. Additionally, commercial and multi-family real estate loans generally have relatively large balances to single borrowers or related groups of borrowers. Accordingly, if we make any errors in judgment in the collectability of our commercial and multi-family real estate loans, any resulting charge-offs may be larger on a per loan basis than those incurred with our residential or consumer loan portfolios. As of September 30, 2012, our commercial and multi-family real estate loans totaled \$490.3 million, or 40.4% of our total gross loan portfolio, as compared to \$220.3 million, or 28.0%, of our total gross loan portfolio as of December 31, 2011.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

- (c) The following table sets forth information for the three months ended September 30, 2012 with respect to the repurchase of outstanding common stock.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | Total # of shares Purchased | Average price paid per share | Total # of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced program | Maximum # of shares that may yet be purchased |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| 07/1/12-07/31/12 | | | | 1,000,000 |
| 08/1/12-08/31/12 | 12,544 | \$ 12.0597 | 12,544 | 987,456 |
| 09/1/12-09/30/12 | | | | 987,456 |

The Company currently has a stock buyback plan and purchased 12,544 shares in August 2012 at an average price of \$12.0597 with a total cost of \$151,799.11, including fees. In addition, the Company also purchased shares during the quarter related to tax liability sales for employee stock benefit plans, consistent with past practices.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibits

| Regulation S-K | | Reference to Prior Filing or Exhibit Number Attached Hereto |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Exhibit Number | Document | |
| 2.1 | Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (sometimes referred to below as the Registrant or the Company), Gateway Bancorp, Inc. (Gateway), each of the stockholders of Gateway and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative) | (a) |
| 2.1A | Amendment No. 1, dated as of November 28, 2011, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative) | (a)(1) |
| 2.2B | Amendment No. 2, dated as of February 24, 2012, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative) | (a)(2) |
| 2.2C | Amendment No. 3, dated as of June 30, 2012, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative) | (a)(3) |
| 2.2D | Amendment No. 4, dated as of July 31, 2012, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative) | (a)(4) |
| 2.3 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 30, 2011, by and between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Beach Business Bank, as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto dated as of October 31, 2011 | (b) |
| 2.4 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 21, 2012, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Beach Business Bank and The Private Bank of California | (c) |
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. | (d) |
| 3.2 | Articles of Amendment to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. | (e) |
| 3.3 | Articles supplementary to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. containing the terms of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A | (f) |
| 3.4 | Articles supplementary to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. containing the terms of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock | (g) |
| 3.5 | Articles of Amendment to Articles Supplementary to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. containing the terms of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock | (h) |
| 3.6 | Bylaws of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. | (i) |
| 4.1 | Warrant to purchase up to 240,000 shares of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. common stock originally issued on November 1, 2010 | (g) |
| 4.2 | Warrant to purchase up to 1,395,000 shares of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. common stock originally issued on November 1, 2010 | (g) |

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- 4.3 Senior Debt Securities Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (q)
- 4.4 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to the Registrant's 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020 and form of 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020 (q)

Table of Contents

| Regulation S-K | | Reference to Prior Filing or Exhibit Number Attached Hereto |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Exhibit Number | Document | |
| 4.5A | Warrant Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2012 (the Warrant Agreement), by and between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Registrar and Transfer Company, as Warrant Agent | (i) |
| 4.5B | Form of Warrant Certificate (included as Exhibit A to the Warrant Agreement filed as Exhibit 4.5A) | (i) |
| 10.1 | Change in Control Severance Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2002, by and between Pacific Trust Bank and Hans R. Ganz | (d) |
| 10.2 | Reserved | |
| 10.3 | Change in Control Severance Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2002, by and between Pacific Trust Bank and James P. Sheehy | (d) |
| 10.4 | Change in Control Severance Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2002, by and between Pacific Trust Bank and Regan J. Lauer (substantially identical to form of Change in Control Severance Agreement with James P. Sheehy) | (d) |
| 10.5 | Form of Agreement to Modify Severance Benefits by and between Pacific Trust Bank and each of Hans R. Ganz, James P. Sheehy, and Regan Lauer | (j) |
| 10.6 | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2010, between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Gregory A. Mitchell (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Mitchell pursuant to his Employment Agreement) | (g) |
| 10.6A | Separation and Settlement Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, by and between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Gregory A. Mitchell | (k) |
| 10.7 | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 17, 2010, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Pacific Trust Bank and Richard Herrin (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Herrin pursuant to his Employment Agreement) | (l) |
| 10.7A | Incentive Bonus Award Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, supplementing and amending the Employment Agreement with Richard Herrin | 10.7A |
| 10.7B | Second Amendment, dated as of September 25, 2012, to Employment Agreement with Richard Herrin | 10.7B |
| 10.8 | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 23, 2010, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Pacific Trust Bank and Matthew Bonaccorso (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Bonaccorso pursuant to his Employment Agreement) | (m) |
| 10.8A | Incentive Bonus Award Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, supplementing and amending the Employment Agreement with Matthew Bonaccorso | 10.8A |
| 10.9 | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 23, 2010, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Pacific Trust Bank and Gaylin Anderson (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Anderson pursuant to his Employment Agreement) | (m) |
| 10.9A | Incentive Bonus Award Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, supplementing and amending the Employment Agreement with Gaylin Anderson | 10.9A |
| 10.9B | Second Amendment, dated as of September 25, 2012, to Employment Agreement with Gaylin Anderson | 10.9B |

Table of Contents

| Regulation S-K | | Reference to Prior Filing or Exhibit Number Attached Hereto |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Exhibit Number | Document | |
| 10.10 | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2012, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Pacific Trust Bank and Chang Liu (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Liu pursuant to his Employment Agreement) | (m) |
| 10.10A | Incentive Bonus Award Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, supplementing and amending the Employment Agreement with Chang Liu | 10.10A |
| 10.10B | Second Amendment, dated as of September 25, 2012, to Employment Agreement with Chang Liu | 10.14B |
| 10.11 | Employment Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Pacific Trust Bank and Marangal I. Domingo (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Domingo pursuant to his Employment Agreement) | (n) |
| 10.11A | Incentive Bonus Award Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, supplementing and amending the Employment Agreement with Marangal I. Domingo | 10.11A |
| 10.12 | Employment Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2012, by and between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Steven Sugarman | 10.12 |
| 10.12A | Stock Appreciation Right Grant to Steven Sugarman dated August 21, 2012 | 10.12A |
| 10.13 | Employment Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2012, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Pacific Trust Bank and Beach Business Bank and Robert M. Franko | 10.13A |
| 10.13A | Mutual Termination and Release Letter Agreement, dated September 25, 2012, relating to Executive Employment Agreement, dated June 1, 2003, between Doctors Bancorp, predecessor-in-interest to Beach Business Bank, and Robert M. Franko | 10.13A |
| 10.14A | Employment Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2012, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and John C. Grosvenor | 10.14A |
| 10.14B | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 5, 2012, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Ron Nicolas | 10.14B |
| 10.14C | Employment Agreement, dated as of November 14, 2012, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Lonny Robinson | 10.14C |
| 10.15 | Registrant s 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan | (o) |
| 10.15A | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan | (r) |
| 10.15B | Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan | (r) |
| 10.15C | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan | (r) |
| 10.16 | Registrant s 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan | (p) |
| 10.17 | Registrant s 2003 Recognition and Retention Plan | (p) |
| 10.18 | Small Business Lending Fund-Securities Purchase Agreement, dated August 30, 2011, between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and the Secretary of the United States Treasury | (f) |
| 11.0 | Statement regarding computation of per share earnings | None |
| 15.0 | Letter re unaudited interim financial information | None |
| 18.0 | Letter regarding change in accounting principles | None |
| 19.0 | Report furnished to security holders | None |
| 22.0 | Published Report regarding matters submitted to vote of security holders | None |

24.0

Power of Attorney

None

74

Table of Contents

| Regulation S-K | | Reference to Prior Filing or Exhibit Number Attached Hereto |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Exhibit Number | Document | |
| 31.1 | Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Executive Officer)(Principal Executive Officer) | 31.1 |
| 31.2 | Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (President) | 31.2 |
| 31.3 | Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Financial Officer)(Principal Financial Officer) | 31.3 |
| 31.4 | Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Principal Accounting Officer) | 31.4 |
| 32.0 | Rule 13(a)-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. 1350 Certification | 32.0 |
| 101.0 | The following financial statements and footnotes from the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income/(Loss); (iii) Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | 101.0 |

- * (a) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 9, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(1) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 1, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 28, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(3) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(4) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (b) Filed as Appendix A to the proxy statement/prospectus included in the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on November 1, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (c) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 27, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (d) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on March 28, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (e) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 4, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (f) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (g) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on November 16, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- (h) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference
- (i) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (j) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on December 20, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (k) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (l) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (m) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (n) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (o) Filed as an appendix to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on April 25, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference

Table of Contents

- (p) Filed as an appendix to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on March 21, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (q) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (r) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.

Date: November 13, 2012

/s/ Steven A. Sugarman
Steven A. Sugarman
Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 13, 2012

/s/ Robert M. Franko
Robert M. Franko
President

Date: November 13, 2012

/s/ Marangal I. Domingo
Marangal I. Domingo
Executive Vice President/ Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 13, 2012

/s/ Regan J. Lauer
Regan J. Lauer
Senior Vice President/ Controller