

ECHELON CORP
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

000-29748

(Commission file number)

ECHELON CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0203595
(IRS Employer

Identification Number)

550 Meridian Avenue

San Jose, CA 95126

(Address of principal executive office and zip code)

(408) 938-5200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of October 31, 2011, 42,509,954 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

ECHELON CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

INDEX

	Page
Part I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	48
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	49
Part II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	50
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	50
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	50
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	51
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	52
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	53

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. federal securities laws that involve risks and uncertainties. Certain statements contained in this report are not purely historical including, without limitation, statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, intentions, anticipations, commitments or strategies regarding the future that are forward-looking. These statements include those discussed in Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, including Critical Accounting Estimates, Results of Operations, Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Other Critical Contractual Obligations, Liquidity and Capital Resources, and Recently Issued Accounting Standards, and elsewhere in this report.

In this report, the words may, could, would, might, will, should, plan, forecast, anticipate, believe, expect, intend, estimate, predict, potential, continue, future, moving toward or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions also identify forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those forward-looking statements contained in this report as a result of a number of risk factors including, but not limited to, those set forth in the section entitled Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations and elsewhere in this report. You should carefully consider these risks, in addition to the other information in this report and in our other filings with the SEC. All forward-looking statements and reasons why results may differ included in this report are made as of the date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statement or reason why such results might differ.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
ECHELON CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,685	\$ 7,675
Short-term investments	36,998	56,957
Accounts receivable, net ⁽¹⁾	27,051	25,102
Inventories	10,638	8,993
Deferred cost of goods sold	1,848	2,588
Other current assets	5,365	3,962
Total current assets	105,585	105,277
Property and equipment, net	28,161	31,020
Goodwill	8,327	8,316
Other long-term assets	696	957
Total assets	\$ 142,769	\$ 145,570
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 13,207	\$ 10,399
Accrued liabilities	7,510	6,713
Current portion of lease financing obligations	1,835	1,731
Deferred revenues	6,965	9,175
Total current liabilities	29,517	28,018
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:		
Lease financing obligations, excluding current portion	20,672	22,062
Other long-term liabilities	1,726	1,501
Total long-term liabilities	22,398	23,563
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock	457	452
Additional paid-in capital	344,203	338,521
Treasury stock	(28,130)	(28,130)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	544	561
Accumulated deficit	(226,220)	(217,415)

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

Total stockholders' equity	90,854	93,989
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 142,769	\$ 145,570

⁽¹⁾ Includes related party amounts of \$578 as of September 30, 2011 and \$0 as of December 31, 2010. See Note 11 for additional information on related party transactions.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ECHELON CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues:				
Product	\$ 43,010	\$ 26,441	\$ 113,215	\$ 69,544
Service	817	683	2,737	2,683
Total revenues ⁽²⁾	43,827	27,124	115,952	72,227
Cost of revenues:				
Cost of product ⁽¹⁾	25,419	14,083	63,037	38,397
Cost of service ⁽¹⁾	501	567	1,661	1,870
Total cost of revenues	25,920	14,650	64,698	40,267
Gross profit	17,907	12,474	51,254	31,960
Operating expenses:				
Product development ⁽¹⁾	7,533	8,438	26,005	24,598
Sales and marketing ⁽¹⁾	5,885	6,003	19,183	18,463
General and administrative ⁽¹⁾	3,747	4,756	13,408	13,115
Total operating expenses	17,165	19,197	58,596	56,176
Income (loss) from operations	742	(6,723)	(7,342)	(24,216)
Interest and other income (expense), net	390	(559)	(123)	380
Interest expense on lease financing obligations	(363)	(390)	(1,111)	(1,188)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	769	(7,672)	(8,576)	(25,024)
Income tax expense	114	170	229	252
Net income (loss)	\$ 655	\$ (7,842)	\$ (8,805)	\$ (25,276)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.61)
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.61)
Shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	42,232	41,560	42,040	41,311
Diluted	42,987	41,560	42,040	41,311

⁽¹⁾ See Note 4 for summary of amounts included representing equity compensation expense.

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

- ⁽²⁾ Includes related party amounts of \$2,001 and \$1,536 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$4,969 and \$3,477 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. See Note 11 for additional information on related party transactions.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ECHELON CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (8,805)	\$ (25,276)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,530	5,021
Reduction of allowance for doubtful accounts	(13)	(12)
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	92	5
Reduction of (increase in) accrued investment income	64	(28)
Stock-based compensation	6,744	9,328
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(1,920)	8,947
Inventories	(1,619)	(1,200)
Deferred cost of goods sold	762	806
Other current assets	(1,147)	972
Accounts payable	2,998	(119)
Accrued liabilities	892	1,303
Deferred revenues	(1,975)	(1,304)
Deferred rent	(41)	(59)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	562	(1,616)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of available-for-sale short-term investments	(38,978)	(45,873)
Proceeds from maturities and sales of available-for-sale short-term investments	58,862	56,885
Change in other long-term assets	(10)	6
Capital expenditures	(1,965)	(1,442)
Net cash provided by investing activities	17,909	9,576
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Principal payments of lease financing obligations	(1,286)	(1,180)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	945	537
Repurchase of common stock from employees for payment of taxes on vesting of restricted stock units and upon exercise of stock options	(2,093)	(1,846)
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,434)	(2,489)
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	(27)	(341)
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	16,010	5,130
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:		
Beginning of period	7,675	17,206
End of period	\$ 23,685	\$ 22,336

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid for interest on lease financing obligations	\$ 1,104	\$ 1,182
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 297	\$ 33

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ECHELON CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Echelon Corporation (the Company), a Delaware corporation, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

While the financial information furnished is unaudited, the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which the Company considers necessary for the fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods covered and of the financial condition of the Company at the date of the interim balance sheet. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K.

There have been no material changes to the Company's significant accounting policies as compared to the significant accounting policies described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's operations and performance depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions and their impact on purchases of the Company's products, as well as the ability of suppliers to provide the Company with products and services in a timely manner. The impact of any of the matters described below could have an adverse affect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's sales are currently concentrated with a relatively small group of customers, as approximately 67% of net revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011, and 66% of net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, were derived from four customers. The Company could experience unexpected reductions in demand for its products from a significant customer, resulting in either the loss of a significant customer or a notable decrease in the level of sales to a significant customer. In addition, if any of these customers were unable to obtain the necessary capital to operate its business, it might be unable to satisfy its payment obligations to the Company on a timely basis, or at all.

The Company utilizes third-party contract electronic manufacturers to manufacture, assemble, and test its products. As a result of current credit market conditions, if any of these third-parties were unable to obtain the necessary capital to operate their business, they may be unable to provide the Company with timely services or to make timely deliveries of products. In addition, these third-parties can increase the prices they charge the Company, which can negatively affect the Company's gross margins.

Toshiba, a manufacturer of the Neuron Chip, which is a component used by the Company and its customers in control network devices, has informed the Company that they will no longer manufacture the Neuron Chip due to earthquake damage suffered in March 2011 at the semiconductor manufacturing facility that produced the Neuron Chip. As an alternative, Neuron Chips can be purchased from a second manufacturer, Cypress Semiconductor (Cypress), the sole remaining supplier of 5 volt Neuron Chips. Due to Toshiba's inability to manufacture parts and satisfy demand, Cypress recently informed the Company that it had experienced a sharp increase in Neuron Chip demand. Cypress has reported to the Company that it intends to add additional manufacturing capacity to support this increase in demand. However, in the near term, the Company or its customers may experience longer lead times and higher pricing for these parts, which could result in reduced orders for the Company's products from these same customers.

Due to the continuing worldwide economic instability, coupled with the fact that the Company's Utility customers generally procure products that have been customized to meet their requirements, the Company has limited visibility into ultimate product demand, which makes sales forecasting more difficult. As a result, anticipated demand may not materialize, which could subject the Company to increased levels of excess and obsolete inventories.

From time to time, the Company has experienced shortages or interruptions in supply for certain products or components used in the manufacture of the Company's products that have been or will be discontinued. In order to ensure an adequate supply of these items, the Company has occasionally purchased quantities of these items that are in excess of the Company's then current estimate of short-term requirements. If the long-term requirements do not materialize as originally expected, and the Company is not otherwise able to dispose of these excess products or components, it could subject the Company to increased levels of excess and obsolete inventories. For example, to ensure supply, the Company procured a substantial quantity of a certain component used in one of its Utility products. If the long-term requirements do not materialize as originally expected, or if the Company develops alternative solutions that no longer employ these items and the Company is not able to dispose of these excess products or components at their cost, it could subject the Company to increased levels of excess and obsolete inventories.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make judgments, assumptions, and estimates that affect amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and judgments are used for revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory valuation, allowance for warranty costs, income taxes, and other loss contingencies. In order to determine the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources, the Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience, and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially from management's estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's revenues are derived from the sale and license of its products and to a lesser extent, from fees associated with training, technical support, and custom software design services offered to its customers. Product revenues consist of revenues from hardware sales and software licensing arrangements. Service revenues consist of product technical support (including software post-contract support services), training, and custom software development services.

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery (and acceptance, as applicable) has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, collectability is probable, and there are no post-delivery obligations. For non-distributor hardware sales, including sales to third party manufacturers, these criteria are generally met at the time of shipment, delivery, or the customer's acceptance of the products, depending on contractual terms or other factors. For sales made to the Company's distributor partners, these criteria are generally met at the time the distributor sells the products through to its end-use customer. Service revenue is recognized as the training services are performed, or ratably over the term of the support period.

The Company accounts for the rights of return, price protection, rebates, and other sales incentives offered to distributors of its products as a reduction in revenue. With the exception of sales to distributors, the Company's customers are generally not entitled to return products for a refund. For sales to distributors, due to contractual rights of return and other factors that impact our ability to make a reasonable estimate of future returns and other sales incentives, revenues are not recognized until the distributor has shipped its products to the end customer.

The Company's multiple deliverable revenue arrangements are primarily related to sales of its Utility products, which may include, within a single arrangement, electricity meters and data concentrators (collectively, the Hardware); NES System software; Element Manager software; post-contract customer support (PCS) for the NES System and Element Manager software; extended warranties for the Hardware; and, occasionally, specified enhancements or upgrades to software used in the NES System. For arrangements originating or materially modified after December 31, 2009, with the exception of the NES System software, each of these deliverables is considered a separate unit of accounting. The NES System software functions together with an electricity meter to deliver its essential functionality and any related software license fee is charged for on a per meter basis. Therefore, the NES System software and an electricity meter are combined and considered a single unit of accounting. The Element Manager software is not considered to be part of an electricity meter's essential functionality and, therefore, Element Manager software and any related PCS continues to be accounted for under industry specific software revenue recognition guidance. However, all other NES System deliverables are no longer within the scope of industry specific software revenue recognition guidance.

The Company allocates revenue to each element in a multiple-element arrangement based upon their relative selling price. The Company determines the selling price for each deliverable using vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of selling price or third-party evidence (TPE) of selling price, if it exists. If neither VSOE nor TPE of selling price exists for a deliverable, the Company uses its best estimated selling price (BESP) for that deliverable. Since the use of the residual method is eliminated under the new accounting standards, any discounts offered by the Company are allocated to each of the deliverables. Revenue allocated to each element is then recognized when the basic revenue recognition criteria is met for the respective element so long as such revenue is not contingent upon the delivery of other undelivered elements.

Consistent with its methodology under previous accounting guidance, if available, the Company determines VSOE of fair value for each element based on historical stand-alone sales to third parties or from the stated renewal rate for the elements contained in the initial contractual arrangement. The Company currently estimates selling prices for its PCS and extended warranties based on VSOE of fair value.

In many instances, the Company is not currently able to obtain VSOE of fair value for all deliverables in an arrangement with multiple elements. This may be due to the Company infrequently selling each element separately or not pricing products within a narrow range. When VSOE cannot be established, the Company attempts to estimate the selling price of each element based on TPE. TPE would consist of competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company's offerings contain significant differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine the stand-alone selling prices for similar products of its competitors. Therefore, the Company is typically not able to obtain TPE of selling price.

When the Company is unable to establish a selling price using VSOE or TPE, which is generally the case for the Hardware and certain specified enhancements or upgrades to the Company's NES software, the Company uses its BESP in determining the allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. BESP is generally used for offerings that are not typically sold on a stand-alone basis or for new or highly customized offerings.

The Company establishes pricing for its products and services by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, geographies, market conditions, competitive landscape, internal costs, gross margin objectives, and industry pricing practices. The determination of pricing also includes consultation with and formal approval by the Company's management, taking into consideration the Company's go-to-market strategy. These pricing practices apply to both the Company's Hardware and software products.

Based on an analysis of pricing stated in contractual arrangements for its Hardware products in historical multiple-element transactions and, to a lesser extent, historical standalone transactions, the Company has concluded that it typically prices its Hardware within a narrow range of discounts when compared to the price listed on the Company's standard pricing grid for similar deliverables (i.e., similar configuration, volume, geography, etc.). Therefore, the Company has determined that, for its current Hardware for which VSOE or TPE is not available, the Company's BESP is generally comprised of prices based on a narrow range of discounts from pricing stated in its pricing grid.

When establishing BESP for the Company's specified software enhancements or upgrades, the Company considers multiple factors including, but not limited to, the relative value of the features and functionality being delivered by the enhancement or upgrade as compared to the value of the software product to which the enhancement or upgrade relates, as well as the Company's pricing practices for NES System software PCS packages, which may include rights to the specified enhancements or upgrades.

The Company regularly reviews VSOE and has established a review process for TPE and BESP. The Company maintains internal controls over the establishment and updates of these estimates. There were no material impacts during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 resulting from changes in VSOE, TPE, or BESP, nor does the Company expect a material impact from such changes in the near term.

For multiple element arrangements that were entered into prior to January 1, 2010 and that include NES System software and/or Element Manager software, the Company defers the recognition of all revenue until all software required under the arrangement has been delivered to the customer. Once the software has been delivered, the Company recognizes revenues for the Hardware and software royalties upon customer acceptance of the Hardware based on a constant ratio of meters to data concentrators, which is determined on a contract-by-contract basis. To the extent actual deliveries of either meters or data concentrators is disproportionate to the expected overall ratio for any given arrangement, revenue for the excess meters or data concentrators is deferred until such time as additional deliveries of meters or data concentrators has occurred. Revenues for PCS on the NES System and Element Manager software, as well as for extended warranties on Utility Hardware products, are recognized ratably over the associated service period, which generally commences upon the later of the delivery of all software, or the customer's acceptance of any given Hardware shipment.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately \$3.2 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, of the Company's Utility revenue was deferred. Of the \$3.7 million deferred as of December 31, 2010, approximately \$1.5 million related to arrangements entered into prior to January 1, 2010. All of the \$3.2 million of deferred revenue at September 30, 2011 relates to arrangements that were entered into subsequent to December 31, 2009.

Deferred Revenue and Deferred Cost of Goods Sold

Deferred revenue and deferred cost of goods sold result from transactions where the Company has shipped product or performed services for which all revenue recognition criteria have not yet been met. Deferred cost of goods sold related to deferred product revenues includes direct product costs and applied overhead. Deferred cost of goods sold related to deferred service revenues includes direct labor costs and applied overhead. Once all revenue recognition criteria have been met, the deferred revenues and associated cost of goods sold are recognized.

2. Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and lease financing obligations. The carrying value of the Company's financial instruments approximates fair value. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of investments, which are classified as either cash equivalents or short-term, and trade receivables. With respect to its investments, the Company has an investment policy that limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution and restricts placement of the Company's investments to financial institutions independently evaluated as highly creditworthy. With respect to its trade receivables, the Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of each of its customers' financial condition. For a customer whose credit worthiness does not meet the Company's minimum criteria, the Company may require partial or full payment prior to shipment. Alternatively, prior to shipment, customers may be required to provide the Company with an irrevocable letter of credit or arrange for some other form of coverage to mitigate the risk of uncollectibility, such as a bank guarantee. Additionally, the Company establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts and sales return allowances based upon factors surrounding the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends, and other available information.

On a recurring basis, the Company measures certain of its financial assets, namely its cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments, at fair value. The Company does not have any financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis was determined using the following inputs at September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Total	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Money market funds ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,227	\$ 5,227	\$	\$
Fixed income available-for-sale securities: ⁽²⁾				
U.S. government securities	45,998		45,998	
Total fixed income available-for-sale securities	45,998		45,998	
Total	\$ 51,225	\$ 5,227	\$ 45,998	\$

The fair value of the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis was determined using the following inputs at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Total	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Money market funds ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,246	\$ 5,246	\$	\$
Fixed income available-for-sale securities: ⁽²⁾				
U.S. government securities	56,957		56,957	
Total fixed income available-for-sale securities	56,957		56,957	
Total	\$ 62,203	\$ 5,246	\$ 56,957	\$

(1) Included in cash and cash equivalents in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets

(2) Included in either cash and cash equivalents or short-term investments in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. Cash equivalents consist of either investments with remaining maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase, or money market funds for which the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The Company's fixed income available-for-sale securities consist of U.S. government securities with a minimum and weighted average credit rating of A-1+. The Company values these securities based on pricing from pricing vendors, who may use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 inputs) or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable either directly or indirectly (Level 2 inputs) in determining fair value. However, the Company classifies all of its fixed income available-for-sale securities as having Level 2 inputs. The valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of the Company's financial instruments having Level 2 inputs were derived from non-binding market consensus prices that are corroborated by observable market data, quoted market prices for similar instruments, or pricing models, such as discounted cash flow techniques. Our procedures include controls to ensure that appropriate fair values are recorded such as comparing prices obtained from multiple independent sources.

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2011, the Company's available-for-sale short-term investments had contractual maturities from three to twelve months and an average remaining term to maturity of two months. As of September 30, 2011, the amortized cost basis, aggregate fair value, and gross unrealized holding gains and losses of the Company's short-term investments by major security type were as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Aggregate Fair Value	Unrealized Holding Gains	Unrealized Holding Losses
U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 36,992	\$ 36,998	\$ 6	\$ 0
Total investments in debt securities	\$ 36,992	\$ 36,998	\$ 6	\$ 0

Market values were determined for each individual security in the investment portfolio. Any decline in value of these investments is primarily related to changes in interest rates and is considered to be temporary in nature. The Company reviews its investments on a regular basis to evaluate whether or not any have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair value.

3. Earnings Per Share

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income (loss) per share computations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income (loss) (Numerator):				
Net income (loss), basic & diluted	\$ 655	\$ (7,842)	\$ (8,805)	\$ (25,276)
Shares (Denominator):				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	42,232	41,560	42,040	41,311
Shares used in basic computation	42,232	41,560	42,040	41,311
Common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options (treasury stock method)	755			
Shares used in diluted computation	42,987	41,560	42,040	41,311
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.61)
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.61)

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, potentially dilutive securities representing 2,645,797 shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share. Of these 2,645,797 potentially dilutive securities, 2,410,114 were excluded because their effect would have been antidilutive, while the remaining 235,683 were excluded because their issuance was contingent upon meeting certain financial based performance criteria. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, and the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the diluted net loss per share calculation is equivalent to the basic net loss per share calculation as there were no potentially dilutive stock options due to the Company's net loss position. The number of stock options, stock appreciation rights, and restricted stock units (RSUs) excluded from this calculation for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was 7,952,175; and for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was 6,129,039, and 7,952,175, respectively.

4. Stockholders' Equity and Employee Stock Option Plans:

Common Stock

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

In April 2008, the Company's board of directors approved a stock repurchase program, which authorized the Company to repurchase up to 3.0 million shares of the Company's common stock. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company did not repurchase any shares under the repurchase program. Since inception, the Company repurchased a total of 750,000 shares under the program at a cost of \$8.9 million. The stock repurchase program expired in April 2011.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and its allocation within the condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Cost of revenues:				
Cost of product	\$ 221	\$ 320	\$ 627	\$ 882
Cost of service	29	31	62	94
Operating expenses:				
Product development	1,017	1,104	2,811	3,171
Sales and marketing	307	642	1,510	2,227
General and administrative	(403)	1,004	1,734	2,954
Total	\$ 1,171	\$ 3,101	\$ 6,744	\$ 9,328

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss for the Company consists of net loss plus the effect of unrealized holding gains or losses on investments classified as available-for-sale and foreign currency translation adjustments. Comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$ 655	\$ (7,842)	\$ (8,805)	\$ (25,276)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(696)	883	(6)	(361)
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	(10)	(5)	(11)	22
Comprehensive loss	\$ (51)	\$ (6,964)	\$ (8,822)	\$ (25,615)

Stock Award Activity

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was approximately \$86,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was approximately \$89,000 and \$749,000, respectively. The intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the market value on the date of exercise and the exercise price of the shares.

The total fair value of RSUs vested and released during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was approximately \$742,000 and \$4.6 million, respectively. The total fair value of RSUs vested and released during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was approximately \$1.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The fair value is calculated by multiplying the fair market value of the Company's stock on the vesting date by the number of shares vested.

Equity Compensation Expense for RSUs with Financial or other Performance-Based Vesting Requirements

As of September 30, 2011, there were 643,703 non-vested RSUs and restricted stock awards (RSAs) that were subject to service-based vesting conditions as well as certain financial or other performance-based vesting requirements that must be achieved before vesting can occur. The following table contains pertinent information regarding these outstanding awards as of September 30, 2011 (in thousands except for number of awards granted):

Grant Date	# of Awards Granted	Fair Value on Grant Date (\$)	Cumulative Expense Recognized (\$)	Unearned Compensation Expense (\$)	Latest Date Achievement of Performance Condition Could be Met
May 2009	97,019	\$ 715	\$ 595	\$ 120	May 2014
Aug 2010	330,000	2,449	1,229	1,220	April 2015
April 2011	10,000	89	58	31	Dec 2011
April 2011	35,000	311	100	211	April 2015
May 2011	40,000	425	114	311	April 2015
Aug 2011	131,684	1,192	279	913	March 2012
Total	643,703	\$ 5,181	\$ 2,375	\$ 2,806	

Through September 30, 2011, cumulative compensation expense of \$2.4 million associated with these 643,703 unvested RSUs and RSAs has been recognized because the Company believes it is probable that the associated performance requirements will be achieved. If such requirements are not met, no compensation cost will be recognized and any previously recognized compensation cost will be reversed. For these 643,703 awards that are considered probable of achievement, the unearned compensation expense of \$2.8 million is expected to be recognized over estimated service and performance periods ranging from 3 months to 2.25 years. To the extent the Company's estimate of the timing of achievement of the performance requirements changes in the future, the timing of recognition of the related compensation expense may change.

5. Significant Customers:

The Company markets its products and services throughout the world to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and systems integrators in the building, industrial, transportation, utility/home, and other automation markets. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company had four customers that accounted for a significant portion of its revenues: EBV Elektronik GmbH and Avnet Europe Comm VA (EBV/Avnet), the Company's primary distributors of its Commercial products in Europe, Duke Energy Corporation (Duke), a U.S. utility company; and Eltel Networks A/S (Eltel) and Telvent Energia y Medioambiente SA (Telvent), value added resellers of the Company's Utility products. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the percentage of the Company's revenues attributable to sales made to these customers was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Duke	32.7%	1.9%	28.5%	3.0%
Eltel	10.3%	27.3%	12.6%	27.9%
Telvent	15.3%	4.0%	14.4%	3.4%
EBV/Avnet	8.8%	13.8%	10.3%	14.9%
Total	67.1%	47.0%	65.8%	49.2%

In April 2011, the Company's distributor agreement with EBV was assigned from EBV to Avnet Europe Comm VA, a limited partnership organized under the laws of Belgium (Avnet). Each of EBV and Avnet are indirect subsidiaries of Avnet, Inc., a New York corporation, which is a distributor of electronic parts, enterprise computing and storage products and embedded subsystems. At the time of the assignment, the term of the distributor agreement was extended and will now expire in June 2014.

6. Commitments and Contingencies:

Legal Actions

In April 2009, the Company received notice that the receiver for two companies that filed for the Italian law equivalent of bankruptcy protection in May 2004, Finmek Manufacturing SpA and Finmek Access SpA (collectively, the Finmek Companies), had filed a lawsuit under an Italian claw back law in Padua, Italy against the Company, seeking the return of approximately \$16.7 million in payments received by the Company in the ordinary course of business for components sold by the Company to the Finmek Companies prior to the bankruptcy filing. The Finmek Companies were among Enel s third party meter manufacturers, and from time to time through January 2004, the Company sold components to the Finmek Companies that were incorporated into the electricity meters that were manufactured by the Finmek Companies and sold to Enel SpA for the Enel Project. The Company believes that the Italian claw back law is not applicable to its transactions with the Finmek Companies, and the claims of the Finmek Companies receiver are without merit, and it is defending the lawsuit. As such, no loss associated with this action is considered probable or reasonably possible at this time.

From time to time, in the ordinary course of business, the Company may be subject to other legal proceedings, claims, investigations, and other proceedings, including claims of alleged infringement of third-party patents and other intellectual property rights, and commercial, employment, and other matters. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the Company makes a provision for a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. While the Company believes it has adequately provided for such contingencies as of September 30, 2011, the amounts of which were immaterial, it is possible that the Company's results of operations, cash flows, and financial position could be harmed by the resolution of any such outstanding claims.

Line of Credit

The Company maintains a \$10.0 million line of credit with its primary bank, which expires on July 1, 2012. The letter of credit contains certain financial covenants requiring the Company to maintain an overall minimum tangible net worth level and to maintain a minimum level of liquid assets. As of September 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. As of September 30, 2011, the Company's primary bank has issued, against the line of credit, one standby letter of credit totaling \$113,000. Other than issuing standby letters of credit, the Company has never drawn against the line of credit, nor have amounts ever been drawn against the standby letters of credit issued by the bank.

7. Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market and include material, labor and manufacturing overhead. When required, provisions are made to reduce excess and obsolete inventories to their estimated net realizable value. Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Purchased materials	\$ 3,864	\$ 4,306
Work-in-process	141	48
Finished goods	6,633	4,639
	\$ 10,638	\$ 8,993

8. Accrued Liabilities:

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Accrued payroll and related costs	\$ 5,342	\$ 3,727
Warranty reserve	1,064	877
Payments received toward design and development expenses	0	300
Restructuring charges	49	1,158
Accrued taxes	148	167
Customer deposits	682	197
Other accrued liabilities	225	287
	\$ 7,510	\$ 6,713

9. Segment Disclosure:

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing business performance. The Company's chief operating decision-making group is the Executive Staff, which is comprised of the Chief Executive Officer and his direct reports.

The Company operates in one principal industry segment, its reportable segment: the design, manufacture and sale of products for the controls network industry, and markets its products primarily to the building automation, industrial automation, transportation, and utility/home automation markets. The Company's products provide the infrastructure and support required to implement and deploy open, interoperable, control network solutions. For the electric utility industry, the Company has developed a smart grid system called the NES System. The NES System provides a two-way information and control path between the utility and its customer, which is designed to enable utilities to reduce operating costs; improve customer service; offer multiple tariff plans, including time-of-use metering and prepay metering; promote energy efficiency; better utilize distribution assets; improve grid quality and reliability; control loads and reduce peak demand; and respond more rapidly to changing customer and regulatory requirements. Most of the Company's Hardware products either incorporate or operate with the Neuron[®] Chip and/or the LonWorks protocol. The Company also provides a range of services to its customers that consist of technical support, training courses covering its LonWorks network technology and products, and custom software development. Any given customer purchases a small subset of such products and services that are appropriate for that customer's application.

The Company operates in three main geographic areas: the Americas; Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA); and Asia Pacific / Japan (APJ). Each geographic area provides products and services to the Company's customers located in the respective region. The Company's long-lived assets include property and equipment, goodwill, purchased technology, and deposits on its leased facilities. Long-lived assets are attributed to geographic areas based on the country where the assets are located. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, long-lived assets of approximately \$34.1 million and \$37.0 million, respectively, were domiciled in the United States. Long-lived assets for all other locations are not material to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company has three primary product lines: Utility, Commercial, and products and services sold to Enel. Summary revenue information by product line for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Utility	\$ 29,171	\$ 13,041	\$ 73,053	\$ 31,991
Commercial	12,655	12,547	37,929	36,759
Enel	2,001	1,536	4,970	3,477
Total	\$ 43,827	\$ 27,124	\$ 115,952	\$ 72,227

In the Americas, the Company sells its products primarily through a direct sales organization in North America and select third-party electronics representatives. Outside North America, the Company sells its products through direct sales organizations in EMEA and APJ, value-added resellers, and local distributors. Revenues are attributed to geographic areas based on the country where the products are shipped or the services are delivered. Summary revenue information by geography for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Americas	\$ 19,038	\$ 5,381	\$ 46,494	\$ 17,052
EMEA	20,704	18,997	58,730	47,664
APJ	4,085	2,746	10,728	7,511
Total	\$ 43,827	\$ 27,124	\$ 115,952	\$ 72,227

For information regarding the Company's major customers, please refer to Note 5, Significant Customers.

10. Income Taxes:

The provision for income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$114,000 and \$170,000, respectively. The provision for income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$229,000 and \$252,000, respectively. The difference between the statutory rate and the Company's effective tax rate is primarily due to the impact of foreign taxes, changes in the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, and changes in the accruals related to unrecognized tax benefits.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$4.1 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, of which \$776,000 and \$773,000, respectively, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate on income from continuing operations. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$216,000 and \$219,000, respectively, for interest and penalties. The \$407,000 reduction in gross unrecognized tax benefits during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to the expiration of the statute of limitations in certain foreign jurisdictions.

11. Related Parties:

The law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C. acts as principal outside counsel to the Company. Mr. Sonsini, a director of the Company, is a member of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C.

From time to time, the Company's former Executive Chairman, M. Kenneth Oshman, used his private plane or charter aircraft for Company business for himself and any employees that accompanied him. In August 2008, the Company's board of directors approved a reimbursement arrangement whereby the Company would reimburse Mr. Oshman for 50% of the costs incurred for his private plane or charter aircraft travel used while on Company business. The Compensation Committee of the board of directors reaffirmed this arrangement in February 2011. Such costs included flight charges (subject to any discounted rate that may apply), fuel, fuel surcharges, landing fees, crew costs and related expenses. This arrangement was discontinued upon Mr. Oshman's death in August 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company incurred no expenses pursuant to the reimbursement arrangement. While the arrangement was in effect, the Audit Committee of the board of directors regularly reviewed these reimbursements.

In June 2000, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with Enel pursuant to which Enel purchased 3.0 million newly issued shares of the Company's common stock for \$130.7 million. The closing of this stock purchase occurred on September 11, 2000. At the closing, Enel had agreed that it would not, except under limited circumstances, sell or otherwise transfer any of those shares for a specified time period. That time period expired September 11, 2003. To the Company's knowledge, Enel has not disposed of any of its 3.0 million shares. Under the terms of the stock purchase agreement, Enel has the right to nominate a member of the Company's board of directors. Enel nominated Mr. Livio Gallo as its representative and Mr. Gallo was elected to the Company's board of directors in June 2011.

At the time the Company entered into the stock purchase agreement with Enel, it also entered into a research and development agreement with an affiliate of Enel (the R&D Agreement). Under the terms of the R&D Agreement, the Company cooperated with Enel to integrate its LONWORKS technology into Enel's remote metering management project in Italy, the Contatore Elettronico. The Company completed the sale of its components and products for the deployment phase of the Contatore Elettronico project during 2005. During 2006, the Company supplied Enel and its designated manufacturers with limited spare parts for the Contatore Elettronico system. In October 2006, the Company entered into a new development and supply agreement and a software enhancement agreement with Enel. Under the development and supply agreement, Enel and its contract manufacturers purchase additional electronic components and finished goods from the Company. Under the software enhancement agreement, the Company provides software enhancements to Enel for use in its Contatore Elettronico system. The software enhancement agreement expires in December 2011 and the development and supply agreement expires in December 2012, although delivery of products and services can extend beyond those dates and the agreements may be extended under certain circumstances.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized revenue from products and services sold to Enel and its designated manufacturers of approximately \$2.0 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized revenue from products and services sold to Enel and its designated manufacturers of approximately \$5.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, \$578,000 and \$0, respectively, of the Company's total accounts receivable balance related to amounts owed by Enel and its designated manufacturers.

12. Restructuring

In December 2010, in order to adjust the Company's operating cost structure to more closely align with its 2011 operating plan, the Company initiated a restructuring program consisting of a headcount reduction of 31 full-time employees worldwide. In connection with this restructuring plan, in the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company recorded restructuring charges of approximately \$1.2 million related to termination benefits for these personnel.

The following table sets forth a summary of restructuring activities related to the restructuring program (in thousands):

	December 31, 2010	Costs Incurred	Cash Payments	September 30, 2011
Termination benefits	\$ 1,158	\$	\$ (1,109)	\$ 49

Accrued restructuring charges of approximately \$49,000 as of September 30, 2011 comprise the remaining liability balance and are reflected in accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company expects to pay these accrued termination benefits prior to March 31, 2012.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. The following discussion contains predictions, estimates, and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties about our business. These statements may be identified by the use of words such as we believe, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, goal, continues, may and similar expressions. In addition, forward-looking statements include statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances. Such statements are based on our current expectations and could be affected by the uncertainties and risk factors described throughout this filing and particularly in the Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations section. Therefore, our actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to review or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Echelon Corporation was incorporated in California in February 1988 and reincorporated in Delaware in January 1989. We are based in San Jose, California, and maintain offices in eleven foreign countries throughout Europe and Asia. We develop, market, and sell energy control networking solutions, a critical element of incorporating action-oriented intelligence into the utility grid, buildings, streetlights, and other energy devices—all components of the evolving smart grid, which encompasses everything from the power plant to the plug. Echelon's products can be used to make the management of electricity over the smart grid cost effective, reliable, survivable and instantaneous. Our products enable everyday devices—such as air conditioners, appliances, electricity meters, light switches, thermostats, and valves—to be made smart and inter-connected.

Since partnering with Enel in 2000 and supplying them with critical components for use in their Contatore Elettronico electricity meter management project in Italy, we have been investing heavily in the development of hardware and software products for use in the smart grid. These hardware devices and associated software are used by electric utilities, including Enel, in their smart metering and distribution automation systems. To date, we have generated revenues of approximately \$653.3 million from these investments. We refer to this revenue as Utility revenue when these products and services are sold to utilities and value-added resellers other than Enel, and as Enel Project revenue when sold to Enel and Enel's designated manufacturers. We refer to revenue derived from products and services sold to commercial OEMs and systems integrators in the building, industrial, transportation, utility/home, and other automation markets as Commercial revenue. These products include intelligent components (such as microprocessors, transceivers, and control modules), networking infrastructure products (such as routers and network interfaces), intelligent devices that provide a point of data collection and control at the edge, where control systems and the Internet connect (such as our SmartServer energy managers), and management software (such as our LNS[®] network operating system and our Lon Maker[®] Integration tool).

Our total revenues increased by 61.6% during the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. This increase in revenues was not enough to offset headwinds we are facing in our manufacturing costs, which resulted in a 5.1 percentage point reduction in overall gross margins. Our operating expenses decreased by \$2.0 million between the two periods, driven primarily from \$1.0 million and \$905,000 reductions in our general and administrative and product development expenses, respectively. However, the reduction in our general and administrative expenses was due to a non-recurring event associated with the passing of our former Executive Chairman, Ken Oshman, who died in August 2011. At the time of his death, Mr. Oshman had outstanding and unvested equity compensation awards for which cumulative compensation expense of approximately \$976,000 had been recognized as of June 30, 2011. That cumulative expense was reversed in the third quarter of 2011.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, total revenues increased by 60.5% as compared to the same period in 2010. Higher revenues and improved margins on our Utility products for the first nine months of 2011 helped us to maintain gross margin parity with the comparable period from 2010. Operating expenses between the two nine-month periods increased by \$2.4 million. Taken together, these factors resulted in a \$16.5 million, or 65.2%, reduction in our net loss between the two periods.

The following tables provide an overview of key financial metrics for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 that our management team focuses on in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance (in thousands, except percentages).

	Three Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change
	2011	2010		
Net revenues	\$ 43,827	\$ 27,124	\$ 16,703	61.6%
Gross margin	40.9%	46.0%		(5.1 ppt)
Operating expenses	\$ 17,165	\$ 19,197	\$ (2,032)	(10.6%)
Net income (loss)	\$ 655	\$ (7,842)	\$ 8,497	108.4%

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change
	2011	2010		
Net revenues	\$ 115,952	\$ 72,227	\$ 43,725	60.5%
Gross margin	44.2%	44.2%		0.0 ppt
Operating expenses	\$ 58,596	\$ 56,176	\$ 2,420	4.3%
Net loss	\$ (8,805)	\$ (25,276)	\$ (16,471)	(65.2%)

	Balance as of		\$ Change	% Change
	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010		
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 60,683	\$ 64,632	\$ (3,949)	(6.1%)

Net revenues: Our total revenues increased by \$16.7 million, or 61.6%, during the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. This increase was driven primarily by a \$16.1 million, or 123.7%, increase in sales of our Utility products, and to a lesser extent by increases in our Enel Project and Commercial revenues of \$465,000 and \$108,000, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our total net revenues increased by \$43.7 million, or 60.5%, as compared to the same period in 2010. Again, the improvement was due primarily to an increase in Utility revenues, which rose by \$41.1 million, or 128.4%, between the two periods. Also contributing to the increase between the two nine month periods were increases of \$1.5 million and \$1.2 million in our Enel Project and Commercial revenues, respectively.

While these improvements are encouraging signs that the severe economic downturn that began in late 2008 and has continued since may be abating, we are not yet convinced that worldwide macro-economic conditions and corresponding demand for our products have improved to pre-recession levels. Utilities are the ultimate customer for our Utility products. We believe that utilities have limited their capital expenditures in response to reduced cash flow arising from the worldwide recession. With respect to our Commercial product line, many of our customers produce products used in commercial or industrial buildings. The markets for these products were adversely affected by the recession. However, we continue to see emerging strength in energy saving markets such as street-lighting controls. The increase in our Enel Project revenues was due principally to an anticipated increase in the level of orders placed by Enel's meter manufacturers for metering kits under the 2006 development and supply agreement.

Gross margin: Our gross margin decreased during the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010, dropping from 46.0% in the third quarter of 2010 to 40.9% in the third quarter of 2011. For the comparable nine month periods, gross margins remained flat at 44.2%. The reduction in gross margin during the third quarter of 2011 was primarily attributable to increased pricing that Jabil, one of our primary contract electronic manufacturers (CEMs), implemented at the beginning of the third quarter. In June 2011, Jabil notified us that they intended to increase the prices they charge us for manufacturing our Utility products. The new pricing became effective July 1, 2011, and was based on increased fees for Jabil's overhead and profit, cost increases for commodities contained in our products, and higher labor rates for Jabil's production personnel. The impact of these cost increases began to phase in during the third quarter of 2011 and will be fully effective at the beginning of the fourth quarter of this year. Partially offsetting the negative impact of the Jabil pricing increase were the benefit of higher overall revenues. As a percentage of revenues, indirect costs during 2011 have come down, which has improved gross margins and helped to offset some of the Jabil pricing impact.

Operating expenses: As compared to the same periods in 2010, our operating expenses decreased by \$2.0 million, or 10.6%, during the third quarter of 2011, and they increased by \$2.4 million, or 4.3%, during the first nine months of 2011. These changes, which are described more fully in the following Results of Operations discussion, were driven by fluctuations in all three spending categories.

Net income (loss): We generated net income of \$655,000 in the third quarter of 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our net loss decreased by \$16.5 million, or 65.2%, from the same period in 2010. The elimination of our loss for the quarter, and the reduction in our net loss between the two nine month periods was primarily due to increased revenues.

Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments: During the first nine months of 2011, our cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investment balance decreased by 6.1%, from \$64.6 million at December 31, 2010 to \$60.7 million at September 30, 2011. This \$3.9 million reduction was primarily the result of cash used for taxes we paid in conjunction with equity compensation awards of \$2.1 million and for capital asset acquisitions of \$2.0 million, partially offset by cash provided by operating activities of \$562,000.

We believe that during 2011, we will continue to experience revenue growth in our Utility and Commercial product lines, as well as increased revenues from the Enel Project. This belief is dependent on many macro-economic factors, including continued market improvement for the products our Commercial customers sell that incorporate our technology, continued easing of world-wide credit markets our Utility customers rely on to fund their projects, and timely resolution of regulatory processes. Our management team remains focused on working to ensure that our company is properly positioned to capitalize on existing customer relationships, as well as new opportunities as they become available. For example, we continue to invest strategically in the development of new technologies and products to increase our share of the network infrastructure market, including technologies and products specifically aimed at the smart grid and other green initiatives. We also continue to enhance our sales and marketing efforts in a variety of ways, including hiring new employees to cover critical areas, adding to our existing base of third party value added resellers, and initiating new sales channel programs.

Japan Earthquake and Tsunami. On March 11, 2011, the northeast coast of Japan experienced a severe earthquake followed by a tsunami, with continuing aftershocks. These geological events caused significant damage in the region, and have impacted Japan's electricity distribution and other infrastructure as well as its economy. Shortly after the event, Toshiba, a manufacturer of the Neuron Chip, which is a component used by our company and our customers in control network devices, informed us that they would no longer manufacture the Neuron Chip due to earthquake damage suffered at the semiconductor manufacturing facility that produced the Neuron Chip. Toshiba had previously informed us that they were exiting the Neuron business and would stop accepting orders for Neuron Chips in September 2011 for deliveries through December 2012. In light of this development, we have been working with Toshiba's customers to provide them with a smooth migration path to our new Neuron 5000 processor. Alternatively our customers can purchase Neuron Chips from a second manufacturer, Cypress Semiconductor, the sole remaining supplier of 5 volt Neuron Chips. However, the abrupt termination of Toshiba's Neuron Chip manufacturing capability may cause some short-term disruption in these plans, and in the meantime could negatively affect our customer's ability to manufacture control network devices until such time as they complete their transition work. Consequently, there is a risk that the events in Japan could ultimately reduce demand for certain of our transceiver products that are used in conjunction with Neuron Chips in developing control network devices by our customers, for at least the short term. Such a reduction in demand could negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, due to Toshiba's inability to manufacture parts and satisfy demand, Cypress recently informed us that it has experienced a sharp increase in Neuron Chip demand. To address this, Cypress told us that they intend to add additional manufacturing capacity. However, in the near term, we or our customers may experience longer lead times and higher pricing for these parts, which could result in reduced orders for our products from these same customers.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in March 2011, describes the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to our revenues, stock-based compensation, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventories, and commitments and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, there were no material changes to our critical accounting policies or in the matters for which we make critical accounting estimates in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements as compared to those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table reflects the percentage of total revenues represented by each item in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues:				
Product	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	96.3%
Service	1.9	2.5	2.4	3.7
Total revenues	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cost of revenues:				
Cost of product	58.0	51.9	54.4	53.2
Cost of service	1.1	2.1	1.4	2.6
Total cost of revenues	59.1	54.0	55.8	55.8
Gross profit	40.9	46.0	44.2	44.2
Operating expenses:				
Product development	17.2	31.1	22.4	34.0
Sales and marketing	13.4	22.1	16.5	25.6
General and administrative	8.6	17.6	11.6	18.2
Total operating expenses	39.2	70.8	50.5	77.8
Income (loss) from operations	1.7	(24.8)	(6.3)	(33.6)
Interest and other income (expense), net	0.9	(2.1)	(0.1)	0.5
Interest expense on lease financing obligations	(0.8)	(1.4)	(1.0)	(1.6)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	1.8	(28.3)	(7.4)	(34.7)
Income tax expense	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3

Edgar Filing: ECHELON CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss)	1.5%	(28.9%)	(7.6%)	(35.0%)
-------------------	------	---------	--------	---------

Revenues*Total revenues*

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Total revenues	\$ 43,827	\$ 27,124	\$ 16,703	61.6%

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Total revenues	\$ 115,952	\$ 72,227	\$ 43,725	60.5%

The \$16.7 million increase in total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was the result of a \$16.1 million increase in Utility revenues, a \$465,000 increase in Enel Project revenues, and a \$108,000 increase in Commercial revenues. The \$43.7 million increase in total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was the result of a \$41.1 million increase in Utility revenues, a \$1.5 million increase in Enel Project revenues, and a \$1.2 million increase in Commercial revenues.

Utility revenues

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Utility revenues	\$ 29,171	\$ 13,041	\$ 16,130	123.7%

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Utility revenues	\$ 73,053	\$ 31,991	\$ 41,062	128.4%

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, our Utility revenues were derived primarily from a relatively small number of customers who have undertaken large-scale deployments of our NES System products. These deployments generally come to fruition after an extended and complex sales process, and each is relatively substantial in terms of its revenue potential. They vary significantly from one another in terms of, among other things, the overall size of the deployment, the duration of time over which the products will be sold, the mix of products being sold, the timing of delivery of those products, and the ability to modify the timing or size of those projects. This relative uniqueness among each deployment results in significant variability and unpredictability in our Utility revenues.

The increases in Utility revenues of \$16.1 million and \$41.1 million during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2010 were due to an overall increase in the level of large-scale deployments of our NES System products. In particular, the increase was attributable primarily to increased shipments of our Utility products for projects at Duke Energy and in Finland. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in products shipped for our projects in Denmark.

Our ability to recognize revenue on shipments of our Utility products depends on several factors, including, but not limited to, the impact on delivery dates of any modifications to existing shipment schedules included in the contracts that have been awarded to us thus far, and certain contractual provisions, such as customer acceptance. In addition, the revenue recognition rules relating to products such as our NES System may require us to defer some or all of the revenue associated with NES product shipments until certain conditions are met in a future period.

Our Utility revenues have historically been concentrated with a relatively few customers. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, approximately 86.4%, 85.4%, and 93.1%, respectively, of our Utility revenues were attributable to five customers. While our Utility customers are expected to change over time, given the nature of the Utility market, we expect our future Utility revenues will continue to be concentrated among a limited number of customers.

We expect that our full year 2011 Utility revenues will increase over 2010 levels, due primarily to increased shipments of our Utility products for projects at Duke Energy and in Finland.

Commercial revenues

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Commercial revenues	\$ 12,655	\$ 12,547	\$ 108	0.9%

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Commercial revenues	\$ 37,929	\$ 36,759	\$ 1,170	3.2%

Our Commercial revenues are primarily comprised of sales of our hardware and software products, and to a lesser extent, revenues we generate from our customer support and training offerings.

The \$108,000 increase in Commercial revenues for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to a \$291,000 increase in Commercial revenues from the APJ region; partially offset by a \$179,000 reduction in sales in the Americas. The \$1.2 million increase in Commercial revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to a \$1.6 million increase in Commercial revenues from the EMEA region and a \$1.2 million increase in APJ revenues; partially offset by a \$1.6 million reduction in sales in the Americas. During the first quarter of 2010, Commercial revenues from the Americas were unusually high due to a concentration with one customer. That unusually high level of revenue was not repeated during the remainder of 2010, or during the first three quarters of 2011. Within the Commercial family of products, the year-over-year increase was primarily attributable to increased sales of our Control and Connectivity products; partially offset by a decrease in sales of our SmartServer products.

Our future Commercial revenues will continue to be subject to fluctuations in the exchange rates between the United States dollar and the foreign currencies in which we sell our Commercial products and services. In general, if the dollar were to weaken against these currencies, our revenues from those foreign currency sales, when translated into United States dollars, would increase. Conversely, if the dollar were to strengthen against these currencies, our revenues from those foreign currency sales, when translated into United States dollars, would decrease. The extent of this exchange rate fluctuation increase or decrease will depend on the amount of sales conducted in these currencies and the magnitude of the exchange rate fluctuation from year to year. The portion of our Commercial revenues conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, principally the Japanese Yen, was about 6.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 7.3% for the same period in 2010. To date, we have not hedged any of these foreign currency risks. We do not currently expect that, in the near future, the amount of our Commercial revenues conducted in these foreign currencies will fluctuate significantly from prior year levels. Given the historical and expected future level of sales made in foreign currencies, we do not currently plan to hedge against these currency rate fluctuations. However, if the portion of our revenues conducted in foreign currencies were to grow significantly, we would re-evaluate these exposures and, if necessary, enter into hedging arrangements to help minimize these risks.

We currently expect that our full year 2011 Commercial revenues will continue to increase modestly over amounts generated in 2010, due in large part to our expectation that world-wide macro-economic conditions and associated credit markets, which were severely impacted by the world-wide recession that began in late 2008 and continued through 2009 and much of 2010, will continue to improve.

Enel Project revenues

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010% Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Enel Project revenues	\$ 2,001	\$ 1,536	\$ 465	30.3%

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010% Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Enel Project revenues	\$ 4,970	\$ 3,477	\$ 1,493	42.9%

Under our development and supply agreement with Enel, Enel is purchasing additional metering kit and data concentrator products from us. Under our software enhancement agreement with Enel, we are providing software enhancements to Enel for use in its Contatore Elettronico system. Enel Project revenues recognized during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related primarily to shipments under the development and supply agreement, and to a lesser extent, from revenues attributable to the software enhancement agreement. The software enhancement agreement expires in December 2011 and the development and supply agreement expires in December 2012, although delivery of products and services can extend beyond that date and the agreements may be extended under certain circumstances. We currently expect that revenues from the Enel Project during 2011 will be higher than those generated in 2010 as we expect Enel to order higher quantities of metering kit products in 2011.

We sell our products to Enel and its designated manufacturers in U.S. dollars. Therefore, the associated revenues are not subject to foreign currency risks.

Gross Profit and Gross Margin

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Gross Profit	\$ 17,907	\$ 12,474	\$ 5,433	43.6%
Gross Margin	40.9%	46.0%		(5.1)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Gross Profit	\$ 51,254	\$ 31,960	\$ 19,294	60.4%
Gross Margin	44.2%	44.2%		0.0

Gross profit is equal to revenues less cost of goods sold. Cost of goods sold for product revenues includes direct costs associated with the purchase of components, subassemblies, and finished goods, as well as indirect costs such as allocated labor and overhead; costs associated with the packaging, preparation, and shipment of products; and charges related to excess and obsolete inventory reserves, warranty costs, and purchase price variances. Cost of goods sold for service revenues consists of employee-related costs such as salaries and fringe benefits as well as other direct and indirect costs incurred in providing training, customer support, and custom software development services. Gross margin is equal to gross profit divided by revenues.

Gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was approximately 5.1 percentage points lower than the same period in 2010. This reduction was primarily due to the fact that in June 2011, Jabil, one of our primary CEMs, notified us that they intended to increase the prices they charge us for manufacturing our Utility products. The new pricing became effective July 1, 2011, and was based on increased fees for Jabil's overhead and profit, cost increases for commodities contained in our products, and higher labor rates for Jabil's production personnel. The impact of these cost increases began to phase in during the third quarter of 2011 and will be fully effective at the beginning of the fourth quarter of this year. This negative impact is in addition to other cost increases we've observed recently. In an effort to mitigate the effects of these price increases and thus improve our gross margins within the foreseeable future, we plan to commence work on certain design modifications for these products intended to reduce their cost to manufacture. We are also working closely with Jabil to identify other opportunities to reduce their manufacturing costs associated with our products.

Also contributing to the reduction in gross margins during the third quarter of 2011 was the accounting treatment effect for a software deliverable we have committed to a customer but that was not yet delivered as of September 30, 2011. In this case, we have committed to provide a particular customer with a software upgrade for certain meters that we began delivering to them during the quarter ended September 30, 2011. This software upgrade will enable certain incremental hardware included in these meters to become fully functional. Because this incremental hardware is not separable from the meters, we are expensing its cost once the customer accepts the corresponding meter. However, we are deferring the associated revenue for this additional hardware functionality until such time as we deliver the promised software upgrade, which we currently expect will be in the first quarter of 2012. While this accounting treatment had a minor negative impact on gross margins during the third quarter of 2011, we expect it will have a more significant impact on gross margins during the fourth quarter of 2011, when we expect to ship the customer more meters with this particular hardware configuration and therefore will be expensing additional hardware costs but will continue to defer the associated revenue until the required software upgrade is delivered. At the time the software upgrade is delivered, we will recognize the deferred revenue for which the cost has already been recognized, which will result in increased gross margin in that period.

Gross margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was unchanged from the same period in 2010. However, as discussed above, we expect the increased pricing from Jabil as well as the revenue deferral for the undelivered software upgrade will further reduce margins during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Partially offsetting the negative gross margin trends for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as described above was the impact of higher overall revenues. While our indirect costs increased modestly during both these periods as compared to the same periods in 2010, when expressed as a percentage of revenues, these costs decreased, which improved gross margins.

In addition to the impact of the Jabil cost increases, our future gross margins will continue to be affected by several factors, including, but not limited to: overall revenue levels, changes in the mix of products sold, periodic charges related to excess and obsolete inventories, warranty expenses, introductions of cost reduced versions of our Utility and Commercial products, changes in the average selling prices of the products we sell, purchase price variances, and fluctuations in the level of indirect overhead spending that is capitalized in inventory. In addition, the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations and labor rates may affect our gross margins in the future. We currently outsource the manufacturing of most of our products requiring assembly to CEMs located primarily in China. To the extent labor rates were to rise further, or to the extent the dollar were to weaken against the Chinese currency, or other currencies used by our CEMs, our costs for the products they manufacture could rise, which would negatively affect our gross margins. Lastly, many of our products, particularly our Utility products, contain significant amounts of certain commodities, such as silver, copper, and cobalt. Prices for these commodities have been volatile, which in turn have caused fluctuations in the prices we pay for the products in which they are incorporated.

Operating Expenses*Product Development*

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Product Development	\$ 7,533	\$ 8,438	\$ (905)	(10.7%)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Product Development	\$ 26,005	\$ 24,598	\$ 1,407	5.7%

Product development expenses consist primarily of payroll and related expenses for development personnel, facility costs, expensed material, fees paid to third party service providers, depreciation and amortization, and other costs associated with the development of new technologies and products.

During 2010 and through the first nine months of 2011, our product development expenses have been impacted by a contractual arrangement whereby a third party is making payments to us in connection with certain design and development activities we are performing. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we completed efforts worth \$1.9 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, for which payments from the third party were used to offset our product development expenses during those respective periods. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, we completed additional milestones worth \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. These amounts were also used to offset our product development expenses in these periods. Excluding the impact of these offsetting payments, our product development expenses decreased \$1.6 million and \$993,000 during the three and nine month periods ending September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2010.

The \$1.6 million reduction in product development expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to a \$734,000 reduction in out-of-pocket costs we incurred in connection with the design and development project discussed above, and to a lesser extent by a \$590,000 reduction in compensation related expenses, which was primarily attributable to the restructuring program that reduced our product development headcount by approximately 10% in early 2011. The remaining reduction came from miscellaneous other spending categories. The \$993,000 reduction in product development expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to a \$633,000 reduction in fees paid to third party service providers who assist in our product development activities, a \$553,000 reduction in compensation related expenses, and a \$535,000 reduction in expensed materials used in our product development activities; all of which was partially offset by a \$574,000 increase in out-of-pocket costs we incurred in connection with the design and development activities we are performing as discussed above and increases of \$154,000 in other miscellaneous spending categories.

In April 2010, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a restoration of salary for all of our employees who had been affected by the structured salary reduction we implemented in 2009. The salary restoration took effect on May 1, 2010, and as a result, compensation expense for product development personnel would have been expected to increase for the full year 2011. However, in December 2010, we initiated a restructuring program that reduced our product development headcount by approximately 10% in early 2011. When coupled with the reduction in offsetting third party payments as discussed above, we expect our overall product development expenses will increase modestly in 2011 as compared to 2010.

Sales and Marketing

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Sales and Marketing	\$ 5,885	\$ 6,003	\$ (118)	(2.0%)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Sales and Marketing	\$ 19,183	\$ 18,463	\$ 720	3.9%

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of payroll and related expenses for sales and marketing personnel, including commissions to sales personnel, travel and entertainment, facilities costs, advertising and product promotion, and other costs associated with our sales and support offices.

Our sales and marketing expenses remained relatively flat during the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The \$720,000 increase in sales and marketing expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was comprised of the following: a \$699,000 increase in fees paid to recruiters, consultants and other third party service providers; a \$260,000 increase in dues paid for memberships in certain trade organizations; and a \$153,000 increase in travel and related expenses; all of which was partially offset by a \$329,000 reduction in compensation expense; and \$63,000 of decreases in other miscellaneous spending categories. The \$329,000 reduction in compensation expense was principally comprised of a \$717,000 reduction in non-cash equity compensation charges and a \$226,000 reduction in salaries, commissions, and other general compensation related expenses, partially offset by a \$600,000 increase in bonus expenses primarily associated with our 2011 management bonus plan. The management bonus plan provides for payments only in the event certain targets for revenue generation and expense control are achieved.

Also contributing to the increase between the two nine month periods was the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and the local currencies in several of the foreign countries in which we operate, including the Euro, the British Pound Sterling, and the Japanese Yen. Approximately \$325,000, or 45.1%, of the \$720,000 increase was the result of these foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Excluding the impact of these exchange rate fluctuations, sales and marketing expenses increased by approximately 2.1% between the two periods.

Our sales personnel were not affected by the structured salary reduction program we implemented in May 2009, although our U.S. based marketing personnel were. Therefore, our full year 2011 compensation costs for our marketing personnel will increase due to the May 2010 salary restoration discussed above. We also intend to invest more in our sales and marketing efforts during 2011, including the hiring of additional personnel in our sales and marketing organization. This will also likely increase our sales and marketing expenses over historical levels.

In addition, our future sales and marketing expenses will continue to be affected by fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the foreign currencies where we operate. If the United States dollar were to weaken against these currencies, our sales and marketing expenses could increase. Conversely, if the dollar were to strengthen against these currencies, it would have a favorable impact on our sales and marketing expenses.

General and Administrative

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
General and Administrative	\$ 3,747	\$ 4,756	\$ (1,009)	(21.2%)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over	2011 over
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	2010 \$ Change	2010 % Change
General and Administrative	\$ 13,408	\$ 13,115	\$ 293	2.2%

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of payroll and related expenses for executive, finance, and administrative personnel, professional fees for legal and accounting services rendered to the company, facility costs, insurance, and other general corporate expenses.

The \$1.0 million decrease in general and administrative expenses during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily the result of a \$1.4 million reduction in non-cash equity compensation expense which was partially offset by a \$395,000 increase in bonus expenses associated with our 2011 management bonus plan. The \$1.4 million reduction in equity compensation expense was primarily the result of the passing of our former Executive Chairman, Ken Oshman, who died in August 2011. At the time of his death, Mr. Oshman had outstanding and unvested equity compensation awards for which cumulative compensation expense of approximately \$976,000 had been recognized as of June 30, 2011. This cumulative compensation expense was reversed in the third quarter of 2011. The management bonus plan provides for payments only in the event certain targets for revenue generation and expense control are achieved.

The \$293,000 increase in general and administrative expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to an \$432,000 increase in fees paid to third party service providers, partially offset by a \$170,000 decrease in compensation expense. The decrease in compensation expenses was principally comprised of a \$1.2 million decrease in equity compensation expenses (which was due primarily to the August passing of our former Executive Chairman as discussed above) partially offset by an \$852,000 increase in bonus expense associated with our 2011 management bonus plan and a \$186,000 increase in salaries and associated payroll expenses.

We currently expect our 2011 general and administrative expenses will increase modestly over 2010 levels.

Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over	2011 over
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	2010 \$ Change	2010 % Change
Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net	\$ 390	\$ (559)	\$ 949	169.8%

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over	2011 over
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	2010 \$ Change	2010 % Change
Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net	\$ (123)	\$ 380	\$ (503)	(132.4%)

Interest and other income, net primarily reflects interest earned by our company on cash and short-term investment balances as well as foreign exchange translation gains and losses related to short-term intercompany balances.

The \$949,000 increase in interest and other income, net during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily attributable to the fact that, during the third quarter of 2011, we recognized approximately \$436,000 of foreign currency translation gains, whereas in the third quarter of 2010, we recognized foreign currency translation losses of approximately \$603,000. These fluctuations are attributable to our foreign currency denominated short-term intercompany balances. We account for translation gains and losses associated with these balances by reflecting these amounts as either other income or loss in our consolidated statements of operations. During periods when the U.S. dollar strengthens in value against these foreign currencies, as it did during the third quarter of 2011, the associated translation gains favorably impact other income. Conversely, when the U.S. dollar weakens, as it did during the second quarter of 2010, the resulting translation losses negatively impact other income.

The \$503,000 decrease in interest and other income, net during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was similarly due primarily to foreign exchange translation. During the first nine months of 2011, we recognized foreign currency translation losses of \$108,000, whereas during the same period in 2010, we recognized foreign currency translation gains of \$239,000.

We do not currently anticipate interest income on our investment portfolio will improve during 2011 as we expect interest rates to remain historically low. Future gains or losses associated with translating our foreign currency denominated short-term intercompany balances will depend on exchange rates in effect at the time of translation.

Interest Expense on Lease Financing Obligations

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Interest Expense on Lease Financing Obligations	\$ 363	\$ 390	\$ (27)	(6.9%)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Interest Expense on Lease Financing Obligations	\$ 1,111	\$ 1,188	\$ (77)	(6.5%)

The monthly rent payments we make to our landlord under the lease agreements for our San Jose headquarters site are recorded in our financial statements partially as land lease expense, with the remainder being allocated to principal and interest on the financing liability. Interest expense on lease financing obligations reflects the portion of our monthly lease payments that is allocated to interest expense.

The decreases of \$27,000 and \$77,000 in interest expense on lease financing obligations during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same periods in 2010 were a result of the nature of this expense. As with any amortizing fixed rate loan, payments made earlier in the term of the loan are comprised primarily of interest expense with little being allocated to principal repayment. Payments made later in the term of the loan, however, have an increasing proportion of principal repayment, with less being attributable to interest expense. Accordingly, as we continue to make payments in accordance with our lease obligation, we expect a higher proportion of the payments we make in the future will be allocated to principal repayment and less will be allocated to interest expense.

Income Tax Expense

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Income Tax Expense	\$ 114	\$ 170	\$ (56)	(32.9%)

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine Months Ended		2011 over 2010 \$ Change	2011 over 2010 % Change
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010		
Income Tax Expense	\$ 229	\$ 252	\$ (23)	(9.1%)

The income tax expense for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$114,000 and \$170,000, respectively. The income tax expense for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$229,000 and \$252,000, respectively. The difference between the statutory rate and our effective tax rate is primarily due to the impact of foreign taxes, changes in the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, and changes in the accruals related to unrecognized tax benefits.

OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements. We have not entered into any transactions with unconsolidated entities whereby we have financial guarantees, subordinated retained interests, derivative instruments, or other contingent arrangements that expose our company to material continuing risks, contingent liabilities, or any other obligation under a variable interest in an unconsolidated entity that provides financing, liquidity, market risk, or credit risk support to us.

Lease Commitments. In December 1999, we entered into a lease agreement with a real estate developer for our existing corporate headquarters in San Jose, California. In October 2000, we entered into a second lease agreement with the same real estate developer for an additional building at our headquarters site. These leases were scheduled to expire in 2011 and 2013, respectively.

Effective June 2008, the building leases were amended resulting in an extension of the lease term for both buildings through March 2020. The extended leases require minimum lease payments through March 2020 totaling approximately \$48.9 million. Both leases permit us to exercise an option to extend the respective lease for two sequential five-year terms.

In addition, we lease facilities under operating leases for our sales, marketing, and product development personnel located elsewhere within the United States and in eleven foreign countries throughout Europe and Asia, including a land lease for accounting purposes associated with our corporate headquarters facilities. These operating leases expire on various dates through 2020, and in some instances are cancelable with advance notice. Lastly, we also lease certain equipment and, for some of our sales personnel, automobiles. These operating leases are generally less than five years in duration.

Purchase Commitments. We utilize several contract manufacturers who manufacture and test our products requiring assembly. These contract manufacturers acquire components and build product based on demand information supplied by us in the form of purchase orders and demand forecasts. These purchase orders and demand forecasts generally cover periods up to twelve months, and in rare cases, up to eighteen months. We also obtain individual components for our products from a wide variety of individual suppliers. We generally acquire these components through the issuance of purchase orders, and in some cases through demand forecasts, both of which cover periods up to twelve months.

We also utilize purchase orders when procuring capital equipment, supplies, and services necessary for our day-to-day operations. These purchase orders generally cover periods ranging up to twelve months, but in some instances cover a longer duration.

Indemnifications. In the normal course of business, we provide indemnifications of varying scope to customers against claims of intellectual property infringement made by third parties arising from the use of our products. Historically, costs related to these indemnification provisions have not been significant. However, we are unable to estimate the maximum potential impact of these indemnification provisions on our future results of operations.

As permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was serving, at our request in such capacity. The indemnification period covers all pertinent events and occurrences during the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, we have director and officer insurance coverage that would enable us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements in excess of the applicable insurance coverage is minimal.

Royalties. We have certain royalty commitments associated with the shipment and licensing of certain products. Royalty expense is generally based on a U.S. dollar amount per unit shipped or a percentage of the underlying revenue. Royalty expense, which was recorded as cost of products revenue in our consolidated statements of income, was approximately \$130,000 during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, and \$120,000 for the same period in 2010, and \$398,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and \$477,000 for the same period in 2010.

We will continue to be obligated for royalty payments in the future associated with the shipment and licensing of certain of our products. While we are currently unable to estimate the maximum amount of these future royalties, such amounts will continue to be dependent on the number of units shipped or the amount of revenue generated from these products.

Taxes. We conduct our operations in many tax jurisdictions throughout the world. In many of these jurisdictions, non-income based taxes such as property taxes, sales and use taxes, and value-added taxes are assessed on Echelon's operations in that particular location. While we strive to ensure compliance with these various non-income based tax filing requirements, there have been instances where potential non-compliance exposures have been identified. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we make a provision for these exposures when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the exposure can be reasonably estimated. To date, such provisions have been immaterial, and we believe that, as of September 30, 2011, we have adequately provided for such contingencies. However, it is possible that our results of operations, cash flows, and financial position could be harmed if one or more non-compliance tax exposures are asserted by any of the jurisdictions where we conduct our operations.

Legal Actions. In April 2009, the Company received notice that the receiver for two companies that filed for the Italian law equivalent of bankruptcy protection in May 2004, Finmek Manufacturing SpA and Finmek Access SpA (collectively, the Finmek Companies), had filed a lawsuit under an Italian claw back law in Padua, Italy against Echelon, seeking the return of approximately \$16.7 million in payments received by Echelon in the ordinary course of business for components we sold to the Finmek Companies prior to the bankruptcy filing. The Finmek Companies were among Enel's third party meters manufacturers, and from time to time through January 2004, we sold components to the Finmek Companies that were incorporated into the electricity meters that were manufactured by the Finmek Companies and sold to Enel SpA for the Enel Project. We believe that the Italian claw back law is not applicable to our transactions with the Finmek Companies, and the claims of the Finmek Companies' receiver are without merit and we are defending the lawsuit. As such, no loss associated with this action is considered probable or reasonably possible at this time.

From time to time, in the ordinary course of business, we are subject to legal proceedings, claims, investigations, and other proceedings, including claims of alleged infringement of third-party patents and other intellectual property rights, and commercial, employment, and other matters. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we make a provision for a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. While we believe we have adequately provided for such contingencies as of September 30, 2011, it is possible that our results of operations, cash flows, and financial position could be harmed by the resolution of any such outstanding claims.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Since our inception, we have financed our operations and met our capital expenditure requirements primarily from the sale of preferred stock and common stock, although during the years 2002 through 2004, we were also able to finance our operations through operating cash flow. From inception through September 30, 2011, we raised \$295.0 million from the sale of preferred stock and common stock, including the exercise of stock options and warrants from our employees and directors.

In April 2008, our board of directors approved a new stock repurchase program, which authorized us to repurchase up to 3.0 million shares of our common stock, in accordance with Rule 10b-18 and other applicable laws, rules and regulations. There were no repurchases under the new stock repurchase program during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Since inception, we repurchased a total of 750,000 shares under the program at a cost of \$8.9 million. The stock repurchase program expired in April 2011.

The following table presents selected financial information as of September 30, 2011, and for each of the last three fiscal years (dollars in thousands):

	September 30,		December 31,	
	2011	2010	2009	2008
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 60,683	\$ 64,632	\$ 80,116	\$ 87,316
Trade accounts receivable, net	27,051	25,102	21,496	23,480
Working capital	76,068	77,259	96,357	108,811
Stockholders' equity	90,854	93,989	115,898	132,571

As of September 30, 2011, we had \$60.7 million in cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments, a decrease of \$3.9 million as compared to December 31, 2010. Historically, our primary source of cash, other than stock sales, has been receipts from revenue, and to a lesser extent, proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants by our employees and directors. Our primary uses of cash have been cost of product revenue, payroll (salaries, commissions, bonuses, and benefits), general operating expenses (costs associated with our offices such as rent, utilities, and maintenance; fees paid to third party service providers such as consultants, accountants, and attorneys; travel and entertainment; equipment and supplies; advertising; and other miscellaneous expenses), acquisitions, capital expenditures, and purchases under our stock repurchase programs.

Cash flows from operating activities. Cash flows from operating activities have historically been driven by net income (loss) levels; adjustments for non-cash charges such as stock-based compensation, depreciation, and amortization; changes in accrued investment income; and fluctuations in operating asset and liability balances. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$562,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, an increase in cash inflows of approximately \$2.2 million as compared to the same period in 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of stock-based compensation expenses of \$6.7 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$4.5 million, partially offset by our net loss of \$8.8 million and changes in our operating assets and liabilities of \$2.0 million. The primary components of the \$2.0 million net change in our operating assets and liabilities were a \$2.0 million decrease in deferred revenues; a \$1.9 million increase in accounts receivable; a \$1.6 million increase in inventories; and a \$1.1 million increase in other current assets; the impact of which was partially offset by a \$3.0 million increase in accounts payable; an \$892,000 increase in accrued liabilities; and a \$762,000 decrease in deferred cost of goods sold. Deferred revenues decreased due primarily to the timing of products shipped. Accounts receivable increased primarily as a result of increased revenues during the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2010. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, total revenues were \$43.8 million compared to \$38.8 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2010. Inventories increased primarily to an increase in finished goods levels required for anticipated increased product shipments during the fourth quarter of 2011 as compared to the first quarter of 2011, and to a lesser extent due to the timing of customer shipments during the latter part of the third quarter that had not yet reached their destination. During the third quarter of 2011, the amount of product shipped in the latter part of the quarter for which customer acceptance had not yet been received was higher than what was observed in the fourth quarter of 2010. Other current assets increased primarily due to an increase in unbilled receivables. Accounts payable increased due to an overall increase in the level of purchasing activity due to higher revenues in the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, as well as the timing of expenditures during the third quarter of 2011. Accrued liabilities increased primarily due to amounts accrued for our 2011 management bonus program, amounts accrued for commissions, and increased provisions for warranty expenses, partially offset by the payment of termination benefits that were accrued as part of our restructuring program in the fourth quarter of 2010. Deferred cost of goods sold decreased in conjunction with a decrease in deferred revenues.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net cash used in operating activities was primarily the result of our net loss of \$25.3 million, partially offset by stock-based compensation expenses of \$9.3 million, changes in our operating assets and liabilities of \$9.3 million, and depreciation and amortization expense of \$5.0 million. The primary components of the \$9.3 million change in our operating assets and liabilities were a \$8.9 million decrease in accounts receivable, a \$1.3 million increase in accrued liabilities, a \$972,000 decrease in other current assets, and an \$806,000 reduction in deferred cost of goods sold; the benefits of which were partially offset by a \$1.3 million decrease in deferred revenues, a \$1.2 million increase in inventories, and a \$119,000 decrease in accounts payable. Accounts receivable decreased primarily as a result of reduced revenues during the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, total revenues were \$27.1 million compared to \$38.8 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. Accrued liabilities increased primarily as a result of a \$1.2 million increase in customer deposits, of which \$300,000 related to funding received for design and development of a new product prior to completion of certain development efforts. Other current assets decreased primarily due to a reduction in unbilled receivables. Deferred cost of goods sold decreased in conjunction with a decrease in deferred revenues. Inventories increased in preparation for increased revenue levels in the fourth quarter of 2010 as compared to the first quarter of 2010.

Cash flows from investing activities. Cash flows from investing activities have historically been driven by transactions involving our short-term investment portfolio, capital expenditures, changes in our long-term assets, and acquisitions. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$17.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, an increase in cash inflows of \$8.3 million from the same period in 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net cash provided by investing activities was primarily the result of proceeds from maturities and sales of available-for-sale short-term investments of \$58.9 million, partially offset by purchases of available-for-sale short-term investments of \$39.0 million and capital expenditures of \$2.0 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net cash provided by investing activities was primarily the result of proceeds from maturities and sales of available-for-sale short-term investments of \$56.9 million, partially offset by purchases of available-for-sale short-term investments of \$45.9 million and capital expenditures of \$1.4 million.

Cash flows from financing activities. Cash flows from financing activities have historically been driven by the proceeds from issuance of common and preferred stock offset by transactions under our stock repurchase programs and principal payments on our lease financing obligations. Net cash used in financing activities was \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, a \$55,000 decrease in cash outflows as compared to the same period in 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net cash used in financing activities was primarily the result of \$2.1 million worth of shares repurchased from employees for payment of employee taxes on vesting of performance shares and upon exercise of stock options and \$1.3 million in principal payments on our building lease financing obligations, partially offset by proceeds of \$945,000 from the exercise of stock options by our employees.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net cash used in financing activities was primarily the result of \$1.8 million worth of shares repurchased from employees for payment of employee taxes on vesting of performance shares and upon exercise of stock options and \$1.2 million in principal payments on our building lease financing obligations, partially offset by proceeds of \$537,000 from the exercise of stock options by our employees.

We use well-regarded investment managers to manage our invested cash. Our portfolio of investments managed by these investment managers is primarily composed of highly rated U.S. government securities, and to a lesser extent, money market funds. All investments are made according to guidelines and within compliance of policies approved by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

We maintain a \$10.0 million line of credit with our primary bank, which expires on July 1, 2012. The letter of credit contains certain financial covenants requiring us to maintain an overall minimum tangible net worth level and to maintain a minimum level of liquid assets. As of September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these covenants. As of September 30, 2011, our primary bank had issued, against the line of credit, one standby letter of credit totaling \$113,000. Other than issuing standby letters of credit, we have never drawn against the line of credit, nor have amounts ever been drawn against the standby letters of credit issued by the bank.

In the future, our cash reserves may be used to strategically acquire other companies, products, or technologies that are complementary to our business. In addition, our combined cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments balances could be negatively affected by various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks detailed in this Quarterly Report in section titled *Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations*. For example, any continued weakening of economic conditions or changes in our planned cash outlay could negatively affect our existing cash reserves.

Based on our current business plan and revenue prospects, we believe that our existing cash reserves will be sufficient to meet our projected working capital and other cash requirements for at least the next twelve months. However, we currently expect that our combined cash, cash equivalent, and short-term investment balance will decline during 2011. We expect that cash requirements for our payroll and other operating costs will continue at about current levels. We also expect that we will continue to acquire capital assets such as computer systems and related software, office and manufacturing equipment, furniture and fixtures, and leasehold improvements, as the need for these items arises. In the event that we require additional financing, such financing may not be available to us in the amounts or at the times that we require, or on acceptable terms. If we fail to obtain additional financing, when and if necessary, our business would be harmed.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C. acts as principal outside counsel to our company. Mr. Sonsini, a director of our company, is a member of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C.

From time to time, our former Executive Chairman, M. Kenneth Oshman, used his private plane or charter aircraft for Company business for himself and any employees that accompanied him. In August 2008, our board of directors approved a reimbursement arrangement whereby our company would reimburse Mr. Oshman for 50% of the costs incurred for his private plane or charter aircraft travel used while on company business. The Compensation Committee of our board of directors reaffirmed this arrangement in February 2011. Such costs included flight charges (subject to any discounted rate that may apply), fuel, fuel surcharges, landing fees, crew costs and related expenses. This arrangement was discontinued upon Mr. Oshman's death in August 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company incurred no expenses pursuant to the reimbursement arrangement. While the arrangement was in effect, the Audit Committee of the board of directors regularly reviewed these reimbursements.

In June 2000, we entered into a stock purchase agreement with Enel pursuant to which Enel purchased 3.0 million newly issued shares of our common stock for \$130.7 million. The closing of this stock purchase occurred on September 11, 2000. At the closing, Enel had agreed that it would not, except under limited circumstances, sell or otherwise transfer any of those shares for a specified time period. That time period expired September 11, 2003. To our knowledge, Enel has not disposed of any of its 3.0 million shares. Under the terms of the stock purchase agreement, Enel has the right to nominate a member of our board of directors. Enel nominated Mr. Livio Gallo as its representative and he was appointed to our board of directors in June 2011.

At the time we entered into the stock purchase agreement with Enel, we also entered into a research and development agreement with an affiliate of Enel (the R&D Agreement). Under the terms of the R&D Agreement, we cooperated with Enel to integrate our LonWorks technology into Enel's remote metering management project in Italy, the Contatore Elettronico. We completed the sale of our components and products for the deployment phase of the Contatore Elettronico project during 2005. During 2006, we supplied Enel and its designated manufacturers with limited spare parts for the Contatore Elettronico system. In October 2006, we entered into a new development and supply agreement and a software enhancement agreement with Enel. Under the development and supply agreement, Enel and its contract manufacturers purchase additional electronic components and finished goods from us. Under the software enhancement agreement, we provide software enhancements to Enel for use in its Contatore Elettronico system. The software enhancement agreement expires in December 2011 and the development and supply agreement expires in December 2012, although delivery of products and services can extend beyond those dates and the agreements may be extended under certain circumstances.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized revenue from products and services sold to Enel and its designated manufacturers of approximately \$2.0 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized revenue from products and services sold to Enel and its designated manufacturers of approximately \$5.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, \$578,000 and \$0, respectively, of our total accounts receivable balance related to amounts owed by Enel and its designated manufacturers.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued updated guidance that allows companies the option of how to present the components of, and a total, for net income, the components of, and a total, for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income as either one continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. There will no longer be the option to present items of other comprehensive income in the statement of stockholders' equity. The updated accounting guidance is effective for public entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 on a retrospective basis. Early application is permitted. We will adopt the updated guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. Since the updated guidance only requires a change in the placement of information already disclosed in our consolidated financial statements, we do not expect the adoption will have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated fair value accounting guidance that clarifies how a principal market is determined, addresses the fair value measurement of instruments with offsetting market or counterparty credit risks and the concept of valuation premise and highest and best use, extends the prohibition on blockage factors to all three levels of the fair value hierarchy, and requires additional disclosures. The updated accounting guidance is effective for public entities for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 on a prospective basis. Early application is not permitted. We will adopt the updated guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting the updated fair value guidance, but we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Interested persons should carefully consider the risks described below in evaluating our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently consider immaterial, may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock would likely decline. Before deciding to purchase, hold or sell our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described in this section. This section should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our Utility revenues may not be predictable, which could cause volatility in the price of our stock.

We and our partners sell our smart metering and distribution automation products to utilities. For several reasons, sales cycles with utility companies can be extended and unpredictable. Utilities generally have complex budgeting, purchasing, and regulatory processes that govern their capital spending. In addition, in many instances, a utility may require one or more field trials of a smart grid system (such as one based on our NES System) before moving to a volume deployment. There is also generally an extended development and integration effort required in order to incorporate a new technology into a utility's existing infrastructure. A number of other factors may also need to be addressed before the utility decides to engage in a full-scale deployment of our NES System, including:

regulatory factors, including public utility commission or similar approvals; standards compliance; or internal utility requirements that may affect the AMI system or the timing of its deployment;

the time it takes for utilities to evaluate multiple competing bids, negotiate terms, and award contracts for large scale metering system deployments;

the deployment schedule for projects undertaken by our utility or systems integrator customers; and

delays in installing, operating, and evaluating the results of a smart grid field trial that is based on our NES System. As a result, we can often spend up to two years working either directly or through a reseller to make a sale to a utility. At the end of that lengthy sales process, there is no guarantee that we will be selected by the utility.

In addition, shipment of Utility products to a particular jurisdiction or customer is generally dependent on either obtaining regulatory approval for the NES meter or other products, including modifications thereto, from a third party for the relevant jurisdiction, or satisfying the customer's internal testing requirements, or both. This certification approval process is often referred to as homologation. Further, shipment of Utility products into some jurisdictions requires our contract manufacturers to pass certain tests and meet various standards related to the production of our NES meters. Failure to receive any such approval on a timely basis or at all, or failure to maintain any such approval, would have a material adverse impact on our ability to ship our Utility products, and would therefore have an adverse affect on our results of operations and our financial condition.

Once a utility decides to move forward with a large-scale deployment of a smart grid project that is based on our NES System, the timing of and our ability to recognize revenue on our Utility product shipments will depend on several factors. These factors, some of which may not be under our control, include shipment schedules that may be delayed or subject to modification, other contractual provisions, such as customer acceptance of all or any part of the NES System, our ability to manufacture and deliver quality products according to expected schedules, and customer cancellation rights. For example, in October 2011, Duke Energy elected to cancel certain orders for products that would have been delivered in late 2012 and beyond. In addition, the revenue recognition rules relating to products such as our NES System may also require us to defer some of our Utility revenues until certain conditions are met in a future period.

As a consequence of these long sales cycles, unpredictable delay factors, and revenue recognition policies, our ability to predict the amount of Utility revenues that we may expect to recognize in any given fiscal quarter is likely to be limited. As Utility revenues account for an increasing percentage of our overall revenues, we are likely to have increasing difficulty in projecting our overall financial results. Our inability to accurately forecast future revenues is likely to cause our stock price to be volatile.

Sales of our Utility products may fail to meet our financial targets, which would harm our results of operations.

We have invested and intend to continue to invest significant resources in the development and sales of our Utility products, including our newest additions to our NES portfolio of products, the Echelon Control Operating System, or COS, and the Edge Control Node. Our long-term financial goals include expectations for a reasonable return on these investments. However, to date the revenues generated from sales of our Utility products have not yielded gross margins in line with our long term goals for this product line, although our operating expenses have increased significantly.

In order to achieve our financial targets, we must meet the following objectives:

Increase market acceptance of our Utility products worldwide in order to increase Utility revenues;

Increase gross margin from our Utility revenues by continuing to reduce the cost of manufacturing our Utility products, while at the same time managing manufacturing cost pressures associated with commodity prices and foreign exchange fluctuations;

Manage the manufacturing transition to reduced-cost Utility products; and

Manage our operating expenses to a reasonable percentage of revenues.

We cannot assure you that we will meet any or all of these objectives to the extent necessary to achieve our financial goals and, if we fail to achieve our goals, our results of operations are likely to be harmed.

Because we depend on a limited number of key suppliers and in certain cases, a sole supplier, the failure of any key supplier to produce timely and compliant products could result in a failure to ship products, or could subject us to higher prices, which would harm our results of operations and financial position.

Our future success will depend significantly on our ability to timely manufacture our products cost effectively, in sufficient volumes, and in accordance with quality standards. For most of our products requiring assembly, we rely on a limited number of contract electronic manufacturers (CEMs), principally Jabil and TYCO. These CEMs procure material and assemble, test, and inspect the final products to our specifications. This strategy involves certain risks, including reduced control over quality, costs, delivery schedules, availability of materials, components, finished products, and manufacturing yields. As a result of these and other risks, our CEMs could demand price increases for manufacturing our products. For example, in June 2011, Jabil notified us that they intended to increase the prices they charge us for manufacturing our Utility products. The new pricing became effective July 1, 2011, and was based on increased fees for Jabil's overhead and profit, cost increases for commodities contained in our products, and higher labor rates for Jabil's production personnel. In addition, CEMs can experience turnover, instability, and lapses in manufacturing or component quality, exposing us to additional risks as well as missed commitments to our customers.

We also maintain manufacturing agreements with a limited number of semiconductor manufacturers for the production of key products, including those used in our Utility products. The Neuron Chip is an important component that we and our customers use in control network devices. In addition to those sold by Echelon, the Neuron Chip is currently manufactured and distributed only by Cypress Semiconductor. The other former producer of the Neuron Chip, Toshiba, ceased production due to earthquake damage at its factory in Japan in March 2011. Cypress has recently informed us that it has experienced a sharp increase in Neuron Chip demand due to Toshiba's inability to manufacture parts and satisfy demand. To address this, Cypress has informed us that they intend to add additional manufacturing capacity. However, in the near term, we or our customers may experience longer lead times and higher pricing for these parts, which could result in reduced orders for our products from these same customers.

We also have sole source relationships with third party foundries for the production of certain other key products, including STMicroelectronics, who produces our power line smart transceivers, and Open-Silicon, which is the foundry for our new Neuron 5000 processor. In addition, we currently purchase several key products and components from sole or limited source suppliers with which we do not maintain signed agreements that would obligate them to supply to us on negotiated terms. Any sole source relationship could make us vulnerable to price increases, particularly where we do not maintain long-term supply agreements with the supplier.

We are continuing to review the impact that the ongoing worldwide financial crisis is having on our suppliers. Some of these suppliers are large, well capitalized companies, while others are smaller and more highly leveraged. In order to mitigate these risks, we may take actions such as increasing our inventory levels and/or adding additional sources of supply. Such actions may increase our costs and increase the risk of excess and obsolete inventories. Even if we undertake such actions, there can be no assurance that we will be able to prevent any disruption in the supply of goods and services we receive from these suppliers.

We may also elect to change any of these key suppliers. For example, in 2009 we completed the process of ending our relationship with a former CEM partner, Flextronics. As part of this transition, we moved the production of products Flextronics built for us to alternative CEMs. We were also required to purchase certain raw material and in-process inventory from Flextronics that Flextronics procured in anticipation of our production requirements. In addition, if any of our key suppliers were to stop manufacturing our products or supplying us with our key components, it could be expensive and time consuming to find a replacement. Also, as our Utility business grows, we will be required to expand our business with our key suppliers or find additional sources of supply. There is no guarantee that we would be able to find acceptable alternative or additional sources. Additional risks that we face if we must transition between CEMs include:

moving raw material, in-process inventory, and capital equipment between locations, some of which may be in different parts of the world;

reestablishing acceptable manufacturing processes with a new work force; and

exposure to excess or obsolete inventory held by contract manufacturers for use in our products.

The failure of any key manufacturer to produce a sufficient number of products on time, at agreed quality levels, and fully compliant with our product, assembly and test specifications could result in our failure to ship products, which would adversely affect our revenues and gross profit, and could result in claims against us by our customers, which could harm our results of operations and financial position.

We face financial and operational risks associated with our international operations.

We have operations located in eleven countries and our products are sold in many more countries around the world. Revenues from international sales, which include both export sales and sales by international subsidiaries, accounted for about 78.1%, 74.9%, and 76.8% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. We expect that international sales will continue to constitute a significant portion of our total net revenues.

Changes in the value of currencies in which we conduct our business relative to the U.S. dollar have caused and could continue to cause fluctuations in our reported financial results. The three primary areas where we are exposed to foreign currency fluctuations are revenues, cost of goods sold, and operating expenses.

In general, we sell our products to foreign customers primarily in U.S. dollars. As such, fluctuations in exchange rates have had, and could continue to have, an impact on revenues. If the value of the dollar rises, our products will become more expensive to our foreign customers, which could result in their decision to postpone or cancel a planned purchase.

With respect to the relatively minimal amount of our revenues generated in foreign currencies, our historical foreign currency exposure has been related primarily to the Japanese Yen and has not been material to our consolidated results of operations. However, in the future, we expect that some foreign utilities may require us to price our Utility products in the utility's local currency, which will increase our exposure to foreign currency risk.

We are collaborating with a Chinese partner to develop smart metering products for the Chinese market. In addition, for our cost of goods sold, our products are generally assembled by CEMs in China. Although our transactions with these companies are presently denominated in U.S. dollars, in the future they may require us to pay in their local currency, or demand a U.S. dollar price adjustment or other payment to address a change in exchange rates, which would increase our cost to procure our products. This is particularly a risk in China, where any future revaluations of the Chinese currency against the U.S. dollar could result in significant cost increases. In addition, increases in labor costs in the markets where our products are manufactured could also result in higher costs to procure our products. For example, China has recently experienced overall wage increases, which our CEMs have generally passed along to us.

We use the local currency to pay for our operating expenses in the various countries where we have operations. If the value of the U.S. dollar declines as compared to the local currency where the expenses are incurred, our expenses, when translated back into U.S. dollars, will increase.

To date, we have not hedged any of our foreign currency exposures and currently do not maintain any hedges to mitigate our foreign currency risks. Consequently, any resulting adverse foreign currency fluctuations could significantly harm our revenues, cost of goods sold, or operating expenses.

Additional risks inherent in our international business activities include the following:

the imposition of tariffs or other trade barriers on the importation of our products;

timing of and costs associated with localizing products for foreign countries and lack of acceptance of non-local products in foreign countries;

inherent challenges in managing international operations;

the burdens of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws; the applicability of foreign laws that could affect our business or revenues, such as laws that purport to require that we return payments that we received from insolvent customers in certain circumstances; and unexpected changes in regulatory requirements, tariffs, and other trade barriers;

potentially adverse tax consequences, including restrictions on repatriation of earnings;

economic and political conditions in the countries where we do business;

differing vacation and holiday patterns in other countries, particularly in Europe;

labor actions generally affecting individual countries, regions, or any of our customers, which could result in reduced demand for, or could delay delivery or acceptance of, our products; and

international terrorism.

Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, results of operations, and our financial condition.

Because our products use components or materials that may be subject to price fluctuations, shortages, interruptions of supply, or discontinuation, we may be unable to ship our products in a timely fashion, which would adversely affect our revenues, harm our reputation and negatively impact our results of operations.

We may be vulnerable to price increases for products, components, or materials, such as silver, copper, and cobalt. We generally do not enter into forward contracts or other methods of hedging against supply risk for these items. In addition, we have in the past and may in the future occasionally experience shortages or interruptions in supply for certain of these items, including products or components that have been or will be discontinued, which can cause us to delay shipments beyond targeted or announced dates. Such shortages or interruptions could result from events outside our control, as was the case with the earthquake and tsunami in Japan in March 2011. To help address these issues, we may decide from time to time to purchase quantities of these items that are in excess of our estimated requirements. As a result, we could be forced to increase our excess and obsolete inventory reserves to provide for these excess quantities, which could harm our operating results. In addition, if a component or other product goes out of production, we may be required to requalify substitute components or products, or even redesign our products to incorporate an alternative component or product.

If we experience any shortage of products or components of acceptable quality, or any interruption in the supply of these products or components, or if we are not able to procure them from alternate sources at acceptable prices and within a reasonable period of time, our revenues, gross profits or both could decrease. In addition, under the terms of some of our contracts with our customers, we may also be subject to penalties if we fail to deliver our products on time.

Liabilities resulting from defects in or misuse of our products, whether or not covered by insurance, may delay our revenues and increase our liabilities and expenses.

Our products may contain or may be alleged to contain undetected errors or failures, including relating to actual or potential security breaches. In addition, our customers or their installation partners may improperly install or implement our products, which could delay completion of a deployment or hinder our ability to win a subsequent award. Furthermore, because of the low cost and interoperable nature of our Commercial products, LONWORKS technology could be used in a manner for which it was not intended.

Even if we determine that an alleged error or failure in our products does not exist, we may incur significant expense and shipments and revenue may be delayed while we analyze the alleged error or failure. If errors or failures are found in our products, we may not be able to successfully correct them in a timely manner, or at all, and our reputation may suffer. Such errors or failures could delay our product shipments and divert our engineering resources while we attempt to correct them. In addition, we could decide to extend the warranty period, or incur other costs outside of our normal warranty coverage, to help address any known errors or failures in our products and mitigate the impact on our customers. This could delay our revenues and increase our expenses.

To address these issues, the agreements we maintain with our customers may contain provisions intended to limit our exposure to potential errors and omissions claims as well as any liabilities arising from them. However, our customer contracts may not effectively protect us against the liabilities and expenses associated with errors or failures attributable to our products.

Defects in our products may also cause us to be liable for losses in the event of property damage, harm or death to persons, claims against our directors or officers, and the like. Such liabilities could harm our reputation, expose our company to liability, and adversely affect our operating results and financial position.

To help reduce our exposure to these types of liabilities, we currently maintain property, general commercial liability, errors and omissions, directors and officers, and other lines of insurance. However, it is possible that such insurance may not be available in the future or, if available, may be insufficient in amount to cover any particular claim, or we might not carry insurance that covers a specific claim. In addition, we believe that the premiums for the types of insurance we carry will continue to fluctuate from period to period. Significant cost increases could also result in increased premiums or reduced coverage limits. Consequently, if we elect to reduce our coverage, or if we do not carry insurance for a particular type of claim, we will face increased exposure to these types of claims.

If we are not able to develop or enhance our products in a timely manner, our revenues will suffer.

Due to the nature of development efforts in general, we often experience delays in the introduction of new or improved products beyond our original projected shipping date for such products. Historically, when these delays have occurred, we experienced an increase in our development costs and a delay in our ability to generate revenues from these new products. In addition, such delays could impair our relationship with any of our customers that were relying on the timely delivery of our products in order to complete their own products or projects, or could cause them to cancel orders or to seek alternate sources of supply or other remedies. We believe that similar new product introduction delays in the future could also increase our costs and delay our revenues. We could also be adversely affected by delays or cost increases experienced by third parties that are developing products on our behalf.

We are exposed to credit risk and payment delinquencies on our accounts receivable, and this risk has been heightened during the ongoing decline in economic conditions.

We only recognize revenue when we believe collectability is reasonably assured. However, only a relatively small percentage of our outstanding accounts receivables are covered by collateral, credit insurance, or acceptable third-party guarantees. In addition, our standard terms and conditions require payment within a specified number of days following shipment of product, or in some cases, after the customer's acceptance of our products. While we have procedures to monitor and limit exposure to credit risk on our receivables, there can be no assurance such procedures will effectively limit our credit risk and avoid losses. Additionally, when one of our resellers makes a sale to a utility, we face further credit risk, and we may defer revenue, due to the fact that the reseller may not be able to pay us until it receives payment from the utility. This risk could become more magnified during a particular fiscal period if the resellers facing credit issues represent a significant portion of our accounts receivable during that period. As economic conditions change and worsen, certain of our direct or indirect customers may face liquidity concerns and may be unable to satisfy their payment obligations to us or our resellers on a timely basis or at all, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our executive officers and technical personnel are critical to our business.

Our success depends substantially on the performance of our executive officers and key employees. Due to the specialized technical nature of our business, we are particularly dependent on our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, as well as our technical personnel. For example, in November 2009, we announced that our then Chairman and CEO would step down as CEO for health reasons. At the same time, we announced that one of our existing directors would become our CEO on an interim basis, while we conducted a search for a new CEO. Our search was completed and our new CEO joined Echelon in August 2010. Our future success will depend on our ability to attract, integrate, motivate and retain qualified executive, managerial, technical, sales, and operations personnel.

Competition for qualified personnel in our business areas is intense, and we may not be able to continue to retain qualified executive officers and key personnel and attract new officers and personnel when necessary. Our product development and marketing functions are largely based in Silicon Valley, which is a highly competitive marketplace. It may be particularly difficult to recruit, relocate and retain qualified personnel in this geographic area. Moreover, the cost of living, including the cost of housing, in Silicon Valley is known to be high. Because we are legally prohibited from making loans to executive officers, we will not be able to assist potential key personnel as they acquire housing or incur other costs that might be associated with joining our company. In addition, if we lose the services of any of our key personnel and are not able to find suitable replacements in a timely manner, our business could be disrupted, other key personnel may decide to leave, and we may incur increased operating expenses in finding and compensating their replacements.

Because the markets for our products are highly competitive, we may lose sales to our competitors, which would harm our revenues and results of operations.

Competition in our markets is intense and involves rapidly changing technologies, evolving industry standards, frequent new product introductions, rapid changes in customer or regulatory requirements, and localized market requirements. In each of our existing and new target markets, we compete with a wide array of manufacturers, vendors, strategic alliances, systems developers and other businesses.

The principal competitive factors that affect the markets for our products include the following:

our ability to anticipate changes in customer or regulatory requirements and to develop, have developed, or improve our products to meet these requirements in a timely manner;

the price and features of our products such as adaptability, scalability, functionality, ease of use, and the ability to integrate with other products;

our product reputation, quality, performance, and conformance with established industry standards;

our ability to expand our product line to address our customers' requirements, such as adding additional electricity meter form factors;

our ability to meet a customer's required delivery schedules;

our customer service and support;

warranties, indemnities, and other contractual terms; and

customer relationships and market awareness.

Competitors for our Utility products include Aclara, Elster, Enel, GE, IBM, Iskraemeco, Itron, Kamstrup, Landis+Gyr (a subsidiary of Toshiba), Siemens, and Silver Spring Networks, which directly or through IT integrators such as IBM or telecommunications companies such as Telenor, offer metering systems that compete with our Utility offerings.

For our Commercial products, our competitors include some of the largest companies in the electronics industry, operating either alone or together with trade associations and partners. Key company competitors include companies such as Digi, STMicroelectronics, Maxim, Texas Instruments, and Siemens. Key industry standard and trade group competitors include BACnet, DALI, and Konnex in the buildings industry; DeviceNet, HART, and Profibus in the industrial control market; DLMS in the utility industry; Echonet, ZigBee and the Z-Wave alliance in the home control market; and the Train Control Network (TCN) in the rail transportation market. Each of these standards and/or alliances is backed by one or more competitors. For example, the ZigBee alliance includes over 300 member companies with promoter members such as Ember, Emerson, Freescale, Itron, Kroger, Landis+Gyr (a subsidiary of Toshiba), Philips, Reliant Energy, Schneider Electric, STMicroelectronics, Tendril, and Texas Instruments.

Many of our competitors, alone or together with their trade associations and partners, have significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, service and other resources, significantly greater name recognition, and broader product offerings. In addition, the utility metering market is experiencing a trend towards consolidation. As a result, these competitors may be able to devote greater resources to the development, marketing, and sale of their products, and may be able to respond more quickly to changes in customer requirements or product technology. Some of our competitors may also be eligible for stimulus money, which could give them an additional financial advantage. If we are unable to compete effectively in any of the markets we serve, our revenues, results of operations, and financial position would be harmed.

If we are unable to obtain additional funds when needed, our business could suffer.

We currently expect that our combined cash, cash equivalent, and short-term investment balance will decline during 2011. We expect that cash requirements for our payroll and other operating costs will continue at about existing levels. We also expect that we will continue to acquire capital assets such as computer systems and related software, office and manufacturing equipment, furniture and fixtures, and leasehold improvements, as the need for these items arises.

In the future, to the extent that our revenues grow, we may experience higher levels of inventory and accounts receivable, which will also use our cash balances. In addition, our cash reserves may be used to strategically acquire or invest in other companies, products, or technologies that are complementary to our business. Lastly, our combined cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investment balances could be negatively affected by the various risks and uncertainties that we face. For example, any continued weakening of economic conditions or changes in our planned cash outlay could negatively affect our existing cash reserves.

While we do not currently depend on access to the credit markets to finance our operations, there can be no assurance that the current state of the financial markets will not impair our ability to obtain financing in the future, including, but not limited to, our ability to draw on funds under our existing credit facilities or our ability to incur indebtedness or sell equity if that became necessary or desirable. If we were not able to obtain additional financing when needed, or on acceptable terms, our ability to invest in additional research and development resources and sales and marketing resources could be adversely affected, which could hinder our ability to sell competitive products into our markets on a timely basis and harm our business.

We have limited ability to protect our intellectual property rights.

Our success depends significantly upon our intellectual property rights, which can vary significantly from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, non-disclosure agreements and other contractual provisions to establish, maintain and protect these intellectual property rights, all of which afford only limited protection, particularly in those countries that lack robust or accessible enforcement mechanisms. If any of our patents fail to protect our technology, or if we do not obtain patents in certain countries, our competitors may find it easier to offer equivalent or superior technology. In addition, our trade secrets or other intellectual property that we license to third parties could be used improperly or otherwise in violation of the license terms.

We have also registered or applied for registration for certain trademarks, and will continue to evaluate the registration of additional trademarks as appropriate. If we fail to properly register or maintain our trademarks, or to otherwise take all necessary steps to protect our trademarks, the value associated with the trademarks may diminish. In addition, if we fail to protect our trade secrets or other intellectual property rights, we may not be able to compete as effectively in our markets.

Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or services or use information that we regard as proprietary, or it may not be economically feasible to enforce them. Any of our patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets, or intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. In addition, we cannot assure you that we have taken or will take all necessary steps to protect our intellectual property rights. Third parties may also independently develop similar technology without breach of our trade secrets or other proprietary rights. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries, including several in which we operate or sell our products, do not protect proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States, and it may take longer to receive a remedy from a court outside of the United States. Also, some of our products are licensed under shrink-wrap license agreements that are not signed by licensees and therefore may not be binding under the laws of certain jurisdictions.

From time to time, litigation may be necessary to defend and enforce our proprietary rights. As a result, we could incur substantial costs and divert management resources, which could harm our business, regardless of the final outcome. Despite our efforts to safeguard and maintain our proprietary rights both in the United States and abroad, we may be unsuccessful in doing so. Also, the steps that we take to safeguard and maintain our proprietary rights may be inadequate to deter third parties from infringing, misusing, misappropriating, or independently developing our technology or intellectual property rights, or to prevent an unauthorized third party from misappropriating our products or technology.

If we do not maintain adequate distribution channels, our revenues will be harmed.

We market our Utility products directly, as well as through selected VARs and integration partners. We believe that a significant portion of our Utility sales will be made through our VARs and integration partners, rather than directly by us. To date, our VARs and integration partners have greater experience in overseeing projects for utilities. As a result, if our relationships with our VARs and integration partners are not successful, or if we are not able to create similar distribution channels for our Utility products with other companies in other geographic areas, revenues from sales of our Utility products may not meet our financial targets, which will harm our operating results and financial condition.

Historically, significant portions of our Commercial revenues have been derived from sales to distributors, including EBV, the primary independent distributor of our products to OEMs in Europe. In April 2011, our distributor agreement with EBV was assigned from EBV to Avnet Europe Comm VA, a limited partnership organized under the laws of Belgium (Avnet). Both EBV and Avnet are indirect subsidiaries of Avnet, Inc., a New York corporation, which is a distributor of electronic parts, enterprise computing and storage products and embedded subsystems. At the time of the assignment, the term of our distributor agreement with Avnet was extended and will now expire in June 2014. If the transition of the distributor relationship from EBV to Avnet is not successful, our business, revenues, and financial results will suffer.

Agreements with our other distributor partners are generally renewed on an annual basis. If any of these agreements are not renewed, we would be required to locate another distributor or add our own distribution capability to meet the needs of our end-use customers. Any replacement distribution channel could prove less effective than our current arrangements. In addition, if any of our distributor partners fail to dedicate sufficient resources to market and sell our products, our revenues would suffer. Furthermore, if they significantly reduce their inventory levels for our products, service levels to our end-use customers could decrease.

Voluntary and/or industry standards and governmental regulatory actions in our markets could limit our ability to sell our products.

Standards bodies, which are formal and informal associations that attempt to set voluntary, non-governmental product standards, are influential in many of our target markets. We participate in many voluntary and/or industry standards organizations around the world in order to help prevent the adoption of exclusionary standards as well as to promote standards for our products. However, we do not have the resources to participate in all standards processes that may affect our markets and our efforts to influence the direction of those standards bodies in which we do participate may not be successful. Many of our competitors have significantly more resources focused on standards activities and may influence those standards in a way that would be disadvantageous to our products.

Many of our products and the industries in which they are used are subject to U.S. and foreign regulation. For example, the power line medium, which is the communications medium used by some of our products, is subject to special regulations in North America, Europe and Japan. In general, these regulations limit the ability of companies to use power lines as a communication medium. In addition, some of our competitors have attempted to use regulatory actions to reduce the market opportunity for our products or to increase the market opportunity for their own products.

In addition, the markets for our Utility and Commercial products may experience a movement towards standards based protocols driven by governmental action, such as those being considered in the U.S. by NIST and in Europe by those related to the EU 441 mandate. We are also attempting to gain adoption for our Open Smart Grid Protocol, which is used by smart meters and other devices within our NES System. To the extent that we do not adopt such protocols or do not succeed in achieving adoption of our own protocols as standards or de facto standards, sales of our Utility and Commercial products may be adversely affected. Moreover, if our own protocols are adopted as standards, we run the risk that we could lose business to competing implementations.

The adoption of voluntary and/or industry standards or the passage of governmental regulations, for example by state utility commissions or national regulatory bodies such as FERC in the United States and PTB or BSI in Germany, that are incompatible with our products or technology could limit the market opportunity for our products, which could harm our revenues, results of operations, and financial condition.

We may be unable to promote and expand acceptance of our open, interoperable control systems over competing protocols, standards, or technologies.

LONWORKS technology is open, meaning that many of our technology patents are broadly licensed without royalties or license fees. As a result, our Commercial customers are able to develop hardware and software solutions that compete with some of our products. Because some of our customers are OEMs that develop and market their own control systems, these customers in particular could develop competing products based on our open technology. For instance, we have published all of the network management commands required to develop software that competes with our LNS software.

In addition, many of our Commercial competitors are dedicated to promoting closed or proprietary systems, technologies, software and network protocols or product standards that differ from or are incompatible with ours. We also face strong competition from large trade associations that promote alternative technologies and standards for particular vertical applications or for use in specific countries. These include BACnet, DALI, and KNX in the buildings market; DeviceNet, HART, and ProfiBus in the industrial controls market; TCN in the rail transportation market; DLMS in the electric metering market; and Echonet, ZigBee, and Z-Wave in the home control market.

Our technologies, protocols, or standards may not be successful or we may not be able to compete with new or enhanced products or standards introduced by our Commercial product line competitors, which would have a material adverse affect on our revenues, results of operations, and financial condition.

Adverse changes in general economic or political conditions in any of the major countries in which we do business could adversely affect our business or operating results.

Our business can be affected by a number of factors that are beyond our control, such as general geopolitical, economic, and business conditions. The ongoing economic slowdown and the uncertainty over its breadth, depth and duration continue to put pressure on the global economy, which has a negative effect on our business. Further, the recent worldwide financial and credit crisis has hampered the availability of liquidity and credit to fund the continuation and expansion of business operations worldwide. The shortage of liquidity and credit, combined with losses in worldwide equity markets, has continued to contribute to the recent world-wide economic recession.

In addition, there could be a number of follow-on effects from the credit crisis on our business, such as the insolvency of certain of our key customers, which could impair our distribution channels or result in the inability of our customers to obtain credit to finance purchases of our products.

This uncertainty about future economic and political conditions makes it difficult for us to forecast operating results and to make decisions about future investments. We continue to see the effects of the economic slowdown on both our Utility and Commercial revenues. If economic activity in the U.S. and other countries economies remains weak, many customers may continue to delay, reduce, or even eliminate their purchases of networking technology products. This could result in reductions in sales of our products, longer sales cycles, slower adoption of our technologies, increased price competition, and increased exposure to excess and obsolete inventory. For example, distributors could decide to reduce inventories of our products. Also, the inability to obtain credit could cause a utility to postpone its decision to move forward with a large scale deployment of our Utility products. If conditions in the global economy, U.S. economy or other key vertical or geographic markets we serve remain uncertain or continue to be weak, we would experience material negative impacts on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, capital resources, and liquidity.

Because we may incur penalties, be liable for damages, or otherwise subject to adverse contractual provisions with respect to sales of our Utility products, we could incur unanticipated liabilities or suffer other negative impacts to our business or operating results.

The agreements governing the sales of our NES System products may expose us to penalties, damages, order cancellations, and other liabilities in the event of, among other things, late deliveries, late or improper installations or operations, failure to meet product specifications or other product failures, failure to achieve performance specifications, indemnities, or other compliance issues. Even in the absence of such contractual provisions, we may agree, or may be required by law, to assume certain liabilities for the benefit of our customers. In addition, the contractual provisions governing sales of our Utility or other products could give our customers cancellation rights, even in the absence of a material failure by our company, such as upon the failure of conditions that are outside of our control. Such liabilities or rights could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

If we sell our NES System products directly to a utility, we may face additional risks.

When we sell our NES System products to a utility directly, we may be required to assume responsibility for installing the NES System in the utility's territory, integrating the NES System into the utility's operating and billing system, overseeing management of the combined system, working with other of the utility's contractors, and undertaking other activities. To date, we do not have any significant experience with providing these types of services. As a result, when we sell directly to a utility, it may be necessary for us to contract with third parties to satisfy these obligations. We cannot assure you that we would find appropriate third parties to provide these services on reasonable terms, or at all. Assuming responsibility for these or other services would add to the costs and risks associated with NES System installations, and could also negatively affect the timing of our revenues and cash flows related to these transactions.

The sales cycle for our Commercial products is lengthy and unpredictable.

The sales cycle between initial Commercial customer contact and execution of a contract or license agreement with a customer or purchaser of our products, can vary widely. Initially, we must educate our customers about the potential applications of and cost savings associated with our products. If we are successful in this effort, OEMs typically conduct extensive and lengthy product evaluations before making a decision to design our products into their offerings. Once the OEM decides to incorporate our products, volume purchases of our products are generally delayed until the OEM's product development, system integration, and product introduction periods have been completed. In addition, changes in our customer's budgets, or the priority they assign to control network development, could also affect the sales cycle.

We generally have little or no control over these factors, any of which could prevent or substantially delay our ability to complete a transaction and could adversely affect the timing of our revenues and results of operations.

Our business may suffer if it is alleged or found that our products infringe the intellectual property rights of others, or if we are unable to secure rights to use the intellectual property rights of others on reasonable terms.

We may be contractually obligated to indemnify our customers or other third parties that use our products in the event our products are alleged to infringe a third party's intellectual property rights. From time to time, we may also receive notice that a third party believes that our products may be infringing patents or other intellectual property rights of that third party. Responding to those claims, regardless of their merit, can be time consuming, result in costly litigation, divert management's attention and resources, and cause us to incur significant expenses. We do not insure against infringement of a third party's intellectual property rights.

As the result of such a claim, we may elect or be required to redesign our products that are alleged to infringe the third party's patents or other intellectual property rights, which could cause those product offerings to be delayed. Or we could be required to cease distributing those products altogether. In the alternative, we could seek a license to the third party's intellectual property. Even if our products do not infringe, we may elect to take a license or settle to avoid incurring litigation costs. However, it is possible that we would not be able to obtain such a license or settle on reasonable terms, or at all.

In some cases, even though no infringement has been alleged, we may attempt to secure rights to use the intellectual property rights of others that would be useful to us. We cannot guarantee that we would be able to secure such rights on reasonable terms, or at all.

Lastly, our customers may not purchase our products if they are concerned our products may infringe third party intellectual property rights. This could reduce the market opportunity for the sale of our products and services.

Any of the foregoing risks could have a material adverse affect on our revenues, results of operations, and financial condition.

Fluctuations in our operating results may cause our stock price to decline.

Our quarterly and annual results have varied significantly from period to period, and we have sometimes failed to meet securities analysts expectations. Moreover, we have a history of losses and cannot assure you that we will achieve sustained profitability in the future. Our future operating results will depend on many factors, many of which are outside of our control, including the following:

the mix of products and services that we sell may change to a less profitable mix;

shipment, payment schedules, and product acceptance may be delayed;

our products may not be purchased by utilities, OEMs, systems integrators, service providers and end-users at the levels we project;

we may be required to modify or add to our Utility product offerings to meet a utility's requirements, which could delay delivery and/or acceptance of our products;

the revenue recognition rules relating to products such as our NES System could require us to defer some or all of the revenue associated with Utility product shipments until certain conditions, such as delivery and acceptance criteria for our software and/or hardware products, are met in a future period;

our CEMs may not be able to provide quality products on a timely basis, especially during periods where capacity in the CEM market is limited;

our products may not be manufactured in accordance with specifications or our established quality standards, or may not perform as designed;

downturns in any customer's or potential customer's business, or declines in general economic conditions, could cause significant reductions in capital spending, thereby reducing the levels of orders from our customers;

we may incur costs associated with any future business acquisitions; and

any future impairment charges related to goodwill, other intangible assets, and other long-lived assets required under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States may negatively affect our earnings and financial condition.

Any of the above factors could, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and our financial condition, which could cause our stock price to decline.

We are subject to numerous governmental regulations concerning the manufacturing and use of our products. We must stay in compliance with all such regulations and any future regulations. Any failure to comply with such regulations, and the unanticipated costs of complying with future regulations, may adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We manufacture and sell products that contain electronic components that may contain materials that are subject to government regulation in the locations in which our products are manufactured and assembled, as well as the locations where we sell our products. Since we operate on a global basis, maintaining compliance with regulations concerning the materials used in our products is a complex process that requires continual monitoring of regulations and ongoing compliance procedures. While we do not currently know of any proposed regulations regarding components in our products that would have a material impact on our business, the adoption of any unanticipated new regulations that significantly impact the various components we use or require that we use more expensive components would have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our manufacturing processes, including the processes used by our suppliers, are also subject to numerous governmental regulations that cover both the use of various materials as well as environmental concerns. Since we and our suppliers operate on a global basis, maintaining compliance with regulations concerning our production processes is also a complex process that requires continual monitoring of regulations and ongoing compliance procedures. For example, environmental issues such as pollution and climate change have seen significant legislative and regulatory interest on a global basis. Changes in these areas could directly increase the cost of energy, which may have an impact on the way we or our suppliers manufacture products or use energy to produce our products. In addition, any new regulations or laws in the environmental area might increase the cost of raw materials we use in our products. We are currently unable to predict how any such changes will impact us and if any such impact could be material to our business. Any new law or regulation that significantly increases our costs of manufacturing or causes us or our suppliers to significantly alter the way that our products are manufactured would have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If our Utility solutions become subject to cyber-attacks, or if public perception is that they are vulnerable to cyber-attacks, our reputation and business would suffer.

We have integrated security technologies into our Utilities solutions that are designed to prevent and monitor unauthorized access, misuse, modification or other activity. However, we could be subject to liability or our reputation could be harmed if those technologies fail to prevent cyber-attacks, or if our partners or utility customers fail to safeguard the systems with security policies that conform to industry best practices. In addition, because some of the information collected by our Utilities solutions is or could be considered confidential consumer information in some jurisdictions, a cyber-attack could cause a violation of applicable privacy, consumer or security laws, which could cause our company to face financial or legal liability. In addition, any cyber-attack or security breach that affects a competitor's products could lead to the negative perception that our solutions are or could be subject to similar attacks or breaches.

Natural disasters, power outages, and other factors outside of our control such as widespread pandemics could disrupt our business.

We must protect our business and our network infrastructure against damage from earthquake, flood, hurricane and similar events, as well as from power outages. A natural disaster, power outage, or other unanticipated problem could also adversely affect our business by, among other things, harming our primary data center or other internal operations, limiting our ability to communicate with our customers, limiting our ability or our partners' or customers' ability to sell or use our products, affecting our third party developer's ability to complete developments on schedule or at all, or affecting our suppliers' ability to provide us with components or products. For example, the recent earthquake and tsunami in Japan may adversely impact our revenues from customers located in Japan and/or our ability to source parts from companies located in Japan. Shortly after the earthquake, we received notice from Toshiba (one of two manufacturers of the Neuron Chip—an important component that we and our customers use in control network devices), that they are no longer able to manufacture Neuron Chips due to earthquake damage suffered at the semiconductor manufacturing facility that produced the Neuron Chips. Toshiba had previously informed us that they were exiting the Neuron business and would stop accepting orders for Neuron Chips in September 2011 for deliveries through December 2012. In light of this development, we have been working with Toshiba's customers to provide them with a smooth migration path to our new Neuron 5000 processor. However, the abrupt termination of Toshiba's Neuron Chip manufacturing capability may cause some short-term disruption in these plans, and in the meantime could negatively affect our customer's ability to manufacture control network devices until such time as they complete their transition work. Consequently, there is a risk that the events in Japan could ultimately reduce demand for certain of our transceiver products, which are used in conjunction with Neuron Chips in developing control network devices by our customers. Such a reduction in demand could negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. We do not insure against several natural disasters, including earthquakes.

Any outbreak of a widespread communicable disease pandemic, such as the outbreak of the H1N1 influenza virus in 2009, could similarly impact our operations. Such impact could include, among other things, the inability for our sales and operations personnel located in affected regions to travel and conduct business freely, the impact any such disease may have on one or more of the distributors for our products in those regions, and increased supply chain costs. Additionally, any future health-related disruptions at our third-party contract manufacturers or other key suppliers could affect our ability to supply our customers with products in a timely manner, which would harm our results of operations.

Our existing stockholders control a significant percentage of our stock, which will limit other stockholders' ability to influence corporate matters.

As of October 31, 2011, our directors and executive officers, together with certain entities affiliated with them (including, for this purpose, Enel), beneficially owned 17.0% of our outstanding stock.

When we sold 3.0 million newly issued shares of our common stock to Enel on September 11, 2000, we granted Enel the right to nominate a director to our board of directors. Enel nominated Mr. Livio Gallo as its representative and he was elected to our board of directors in June 2011. In connection with the stock sale, our directors and our Chief Financial Officer agreed to enter into a voting agreement with Enel in which each of them agreed to vote in favor of Enel's nominee to our board of directors. In addition, Enel agreed to vote for our board's recommendations for the election of directors, approval of accountants, approval of Echelon's equity compensation plans, and certain other matters. As a result, our directors and executive officers, together with certain entities affiliated with them, may be able to control substantially all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of all directors and approval of certain other corporate matters.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

We have not experienced any material change in our exposure to interest rate and foreign currency risks since the date of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Market Risk Disclosures. The following discussion about our market risk disclosures involves forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We do not use derivative financial instruments to hedge these exposures.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. We maintain a short-term investment portfolio consisting mainly of fixed income securities with a weighted average maturity of less than one year. These available-for-sale securities are subject to interest rate risk and will fall in value if market interest rates increase. If market rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 10% from levels at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of the portfolio would decline by an immaterial amount. We currently intend to hold our fixed income investments until maturity, and therefore we would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be affected to any significant degree by a sudden change in market interest rates. However, if necessary, we may sell short-term investments prior to maturity to meet the liquidity needs of the company.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk. We have international subsidiaries and operations and are, therefore, subject to foreign currency rate exposure. To date, our exposure to exchange rate volatility has not been significant. If foreign exchange rates were to fluctuate by 10% from rates at September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, our financial position and results of operations would not be materially affected. However, we could experience a material impact in the future.

In addition, for our cost of goods sold, our products are generally assembled by CEMs in China, although our transactions with these vendors have historically been denominated in U.S. dollars. These vendors may require us to pay in their local currency, or demand a U.S. dollar price adjustment or other payment to address a change in exchange rates, which would increase our cost to procure our products. This is particularly a risk in China, where any future revaluations of the Chinese currency against the U.S. dollar could result in significant cost increases.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have designed our disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such terms are defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a discussion regarding our legal proceedings and matters, please refer to the Legal Actions section of Note 6, Commitments and Contingencies, to our condensed consolidated financial statements included under Item 1 of Part I, Financial Information, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

A restated description of the risk factors associated with our business is included under Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. This description includes any material changes to and supersedes the description of the risk factors associated with our business previously disclosed in Item 1A of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In April 2008, our board of directors approved a new stock repurchase program, which authorizes us to repurchase up to 3.0 million shares of our common stock, in accordance with Rule 10b-18 and other applicable laws, rules and regulations. There were no repurchases under the new stock repurchase program during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Since inception, we repurchased a total of 750,000 shares under the program at a cost of \$8.9 million. The new stock repurchase program expired in April 2011.

The following table provides information about the repurchase of our common stock during the quarter ended September 30, 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
July 1- July 30	25,087	\$ 9.28		
August 1- August 31	24,576	\$ 8.61		
September 1- September 30	18,317	\$ 7.63		
Total	67,980	\$ 8.59		

- (1) Shares purchased that were not part of our publicly announced repurchase program represent those shares surrendered to us by employees in order to satisfy stock-for-stock option exercises and/or withholding tax obligations related to stock-based compensation.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit	
No.	Description of Document
31.1	Certificate of Echelon Corporation Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certificate of Echelon Corporation Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
101.INS+	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

+ The financial information contained in these XBRL documents is unaudited and is furnished, not filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ECHELON CORPORATION

Date: November 4, 2011

By: /s/ Oliver R. Stanfield
Oliver R. Stanfield,

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	
No.	Description of Document
31.1	Certificate of Echelon Corporation Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certificate of Echelon Corporation Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
101.INS+	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

+ The financial information contained in these XBRL documents is unaudited and is furnished, not filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.