KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO Form 10-Q July 29, 2011 Table of Contents

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
	1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 or

 For t	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 the transition period from to
Com	nmission File Number 2-40764

## KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Missouri 44-0308260
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

3520 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri 64111-2565

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

816-753-7000

Registrant s telephone number, including area code

#### Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Dat
File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (o
for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

	Yes x	N	lo "	
•	0	,	*	erated filer, or a smaller reporting in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange A
Large accelerated filer " Indicate by check mark whether th	Accelerated filer x se registrant is a shell company	Non-accelerated (as defined in Rule 12		naller reporting company " ct).
	Yes "	No	о х	
Indicate the number of shares outs	tanding of each of the issuer s	classes of common sto	ock, as of the latest pra	cticable date.

Common Stock, \$1.25 par Class 11,466,905 shares Outstanding June 30, 2011 Act.

## KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I. Financial Information	3
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Consolidated Statements of Income	4
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	41
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	78
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	78
Part II: Other Information	79
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	79
Item 1A. Risk Factors	79
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	80
Item 5. Other Information	81
Item 6. Exhibits	84
Signatures .	85

## **Part I. Financial Information**

## **Item 1. Financial Statements**

Amounts in thousands, except share data, or as otherwise noted

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	June 30 2011		December 31 2010
Lagrang	(Unaudite	d)	
ASSETS			
Investments:	0.616	27.1	2 (40 000
Fixed maturity securities available for sale, at fair value	\$ 2,616,		,,
Equity securities available for sale, at fair value		631	38,321
Mortgage loans	625,		559,167
Real estate	121,		119,909
Policy loans		172	84,281
Short-term investments		691	15,713
Other investments	4,	354	5,009
Total investments	3,525,	719	3,471,288
Cash	6.	289	5,445
Accrued investment income		801	35,742
Deferred acquisition costs	195.		192,943
Reinsurance receivables	188.		187,123
Property and equipment		143	23,514
Other assets		389	78,018
Separate account assets	345,		339,029
Total assets	\$ 4,392.	200 \$	4,333,102
LIABILITIES			
Future policy benefits	\$ 881.	525 \$	884,380
Policyholder account balances	2,082,	485	2,065,878
Policy and contract claims	34,	447	43,866
Other policyholder funds	149,	601	145,560
Other liabilities	192.		174,917
Separate account liabilities	345,	306	339,029
Total liabilities	3,686,	066	3,653,630
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
Common stock, par value \$1.25 per share			
Authorized 36,000,000 shares, issued 18,496,680 shares	23,	121	23,121
Additional paid in capital	41,	093	41,085

Retained earnings	776,899	767,126
Accumulated other comprehensive income	24,702	7,807
Treasury stock, at cost (2011 - 7,029,775 shares; 2010 - 7,029,575 shares)	(159,681)	(159,667)
Total stockholders equity	706,134	679,472
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 4,392,200	\$ 4,333,102

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## **Consolidated Statements of Income**

	Ju	ter Ended ane 30	Jun	ix Months Ended June 30		
	2011	2010 audited)	2011	2010 udited)		
REVENUES	(Olla	audited)	(Ulla	udited)		
Insurance revenues:						
Premiums, net	\$ 30,801	\$ 34,165	\$ 64,426	\$ 69,148		
Contract charges	23,752	26,668	49,986	53,342		
Total insurance revenues	54,553	60,833	114,412	122,490		
Investment revenues:						
Net investment income	44,893	43,272	90,284	86,576		
Realized investment gains, excluding impairment losses	1,893	1,493	2,905	2,816		
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings:						
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(238)	(1,458)	(507)	(3,049)		
Portion of impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive						
income	56	134	114	139		
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(182)	(1,324)	(393)	(2,910)		
Total investment revenues	46,604	43,441	92,796	86,482		
Other revenues	2,666	2,306	5,074	4,690		
Total revenues	103,823	106,580	212,282	213,662		
NEW PARKET AND ENDINGER						
BENEFITS AND EXPENSES	20.065	10.600	0.4.120	00.415		
Policyholder benefits	38,865	42,629	84,139	90,415		
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	20,766	21,540	41,247	42,740		
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	705	2,178	10,289	11,125		
Operating expenses	26,498	24,398	52,363	50,580		
Total benefits and expenses	86,834	90,745	188,038	194,860		
Income before income tax expense	16,989	15,835	24,244	18,802		
Income tax expense	5,816	5,775	8,280	7,779		
medic tax expense	3,010	3,773	0,200	1,119		
NET INCOME	\$ 11,173	\$ 10,060	\$ 15,964	\$ 11,023		
Comprehensive income, net of taxes:						
Change in net unrealized gains on securities available for sale	\$ 16,793	\$ 30,358	\$ 16,895	\$ 46,114		
Other comprehensive income	16,793	30,358	16,895	46,114		

	\$		,
Basic and diluted earnings per share:  Net income \$ 0.97	\$ 0.88	\$ 1.39	\$ 0.96

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	
COMMON STOCK, beginning and end of period	\$	23,121
ADDITIONAL PAID IN CAPITAL		
Beginning of period		41,085
Excess of proceeds over cost of treasury stock sold		8
End of period		41,093
RETAINED EARNINGS		7(7.10(
Beginning of period Net income		767,126 15,964
Stockholder dividends of \$0.54 per share		(6,191)
End of period		776,899
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, net of taxes		
Beginning of period		7,807
Other comprehensive income		16,895
End of period		24,702
TREASURY STOCK, at cost		/4 = 0 < <=>
Beginning of period Cost of 672 shares acquired		(159,667)
Cost of 14 shares sold		(21) 7
Cost of 14 shares sold		,
End of period		(159,681)
TOTAL OTO CALVO DEDG. DOLLAND	Φ.	50 ( 10 <i>(</i>
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$	706,134

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

8

Net cash used

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	Six Months Ended			
	June 30 2011 2010			
		(Unaudited)	2010	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(Ollaudited)		
Net income	\$ 15,964	\$	11,023	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	ψ 13,704	Ψ	11,023	
Amortization of investment premium	1,656		1,315	
Depreciation	1,468		1,483	
Acquisition costs capitalized	(17,998)		(17,507)	
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	10,289		11,125	
Realized investment (gains) losses	(2,512)		94	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(2,312)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Reinsurance receivables	(1,485)		(3,001)	
Future policy benefits	(6,249)		2,862	
Policyholder account balances	(5,029)		(11,139)	
Income taxes payable and deferred	3,468		9,762	
Other, net	4,859		14,611	
outer, net	1,000		11,011	
Net cash provided	4,431		20,628	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Purchases of investments:	(100.576)		(200,040)	
Fixed maturity securities	(102,576)		(209,849)	
Equity securities	(1,398)		(401)	
Mortgage loans	(105,223)		(25,856)	
Real estate	(4,514)		(7,069)	
Policy loans	(6,970)		(8,622)	
Other investments	-		(644)	
Sales of investments:	51 507		14000	
Fixed maturity securities	51,527		14,888	
Equity securities	14		198	
Other investments	(20.079)		858	
Net sales (purchases) of short-term investments	(20,978)		56,251	
Maturities and principal paydowns of investments:	120.056		124 594	
Fixed maturity securities	120,856		124,584	
Equity securities  Metagga lange	200		10.494	
Mortgage loans	39,111		19,484	
Policy loans	9,079		9,330	
Net disposition of property and equipment	(71)		(166)	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

6

(27,014)

(20,943)

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)**

	Six Months Ended June 30			
	2011 201			2010
	(Unaudited)			
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from borrowings	\$	-	\$	3,000
Repayment of borrowings		-		(3,000)
Deposits on policyholder account balances	1.	21,982		110,518
Withdrawals from policyholder account balances	(10	00,727)		(101,463)
Net transfers from separate accounts		2,134		2,408
Change in other deposits		164		8,155
Cash dividends to stockholders		(6,191)		(6,208)
Net acquisition of treasury stock	(6)			(3,065)
Net cash provided		17,356		10,345
Increase in cash		844		3,959
Cash at beginning of year		5,445		4,981
Cash at end of period	\$	6,289	\$	8,940

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

#### 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Kansas City Life Insurance Company include the accounts of the consolidated entity (the Company), which primarily consists of three life insurance companies. Kansas City Life Insurance Company (Kansas City Life) is the parent company. Sunset Life Insurance Company of America (Sunset Life) and Old American Insurance Company (Old American) are wholly-owned subsidiaries.

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial reporting and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulations S-K, S-X, and other applicable regulations. Accordingly, they do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. As such, these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s 2010 Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, and all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position as of June 30, 2011 and the results of operations for all periods presented have been made. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the Company s operating results for a full year.

Significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation and certain immaterial reclassifications have been made to the prior period results to conform with the current period s presentation.

The preparation of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. These estimates are inherently subject to change and actual results could differ from these estimates.

#### **Significant Accounting Policies**

#### **Deferred Acquisition Costs**

Deferred acquisition costs (DAC), principally agent commissions and other selling, selection and issue costs, which vary with and are directly related to the production of new business, are capitalized as incurred. At least annually, the Company reviews its DAC capitalization policy and the specific items which are capitalized under existing guidance. These deferred costs for life insurance products are generally deferred and amortized over the premium paying period. Policy acquisition costs that relate to interest sensitive and variable insurance products are deferred and amortized with interest in relation to the estimated gross profits to be realized over the lives of the contracts.

For interest sensitive and variable insurance products, estimated gross profits are composed of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality gains and losses. At the issuance of policies, projections of estimated gross profits are made which are then replaced by actual gross profits over the lives of the policies. In addition to other factors, emerging experience may lead to a revised outlook for the remaining estimated gross profits. Accordingly, DAC may be recalculated using these new assumptions and any resulting adjustment is included in income. The Company considers the following assumptions to be of significance when evaluating future estimated gross profits: mortality, interest rates and spreads, surrender and withdrawal rates, expense margins and premium persistency.

DAC is also reviewed on an ongoing basis to evaluate whether the unamortized portion exceeds the expected recoverable amounts. If it is determined from emerging experience that the premium margins or expected gross profits are insufficient to amortize deferred acquisition costs, then the asset will be adjusted downward with the adjustment recorded as an expense in the current period. No impairment adjustments have been recorded in the periods presented. The DAC asset is also adjusted at each reporting date to reflect the impact of unrealized gains and losses on fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale as though such gains and losses had been realized.

8

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The Company may consider refinements in estimates due to improved capabilities resulting from administrative or actuarial system upgrades. The Company considers such enhancements to determine whether and to what extent they are associated with prior periods or simply improvements in the projection of future expected gross profits due to improved functionality. To the extent they represent such improvements, these items are applied to the appropriate financial statement line items in a manner similar to unlocking adjustments.

The amortization of DAC decreased \$1.5 million or 68% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to one year ago. The amortization of DAC decreased \$0.8 million in the six months of 2011 versus the prior year. This decrease was primarily the result of an unlocking of the Company s assumptions on certain universal life and deposit type products. The Company considered its assumptions associated with this business, along with the impact of reinsurance, where applicable. The Company unlocked assumptions in the second quarter of 2011, resulting in an increase in the DAC asset of \$8.2 million. The unlocking was the result of several factors, the largest of which was associated with future mortality experience including the use of a new industry mortality table and the corresponding reinsurance.

The Company also had an unlocking in the second quarter of 2010 that resulted in an increase to the DAC asset and a corresponding decrease in the amortization of DAC during the second quarter 2010 in the amount of \$5.8 million. This unlocking primarily related to a change in the estimated future gross profits associated with the mortality assumption for certain universal life and variable universal life products. The 2010 unlocking adjustment reflected actual experience from mortality results that had emerged and which had been better than assumed in expected future profits previously established. The unlocking of the 2010 mortality assumption on the variable universal life product also included a change to a more recent industry mortality table. In addition, the Company also unlocked an interest rate assumption on selected fixed deferred annuity products in the second quarter of 2010.

In addition to unlocking, the Company had an adjustment in the amortization of DAC associated with a software enhancements to its DAC modeling system and plan specific refinements. These refinements impacted the calculation of future gross profit assumptions and the DAC amortization. The effect of the change in estimate was a decrease in the DAC asset and an increase in current period DAC amortization of \$0.5 million in the second quarter of 2011.

Also in the second quarter of 2010, the Company refined its estimate as a result of the implementation of an actuarial system upgrade. This upgrade allowed the Company to refine its projection of future expected gross profits on investment-type contracts which impacted the calculation of DAC amortization. The effect of the change in estimate was an increase in the DAC asset and a decrease in current period DAC amortization of \$1.1 million.

The following table identifies the effect of the DAC change in estimate and unlocking in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the six months ended June 30.

	2	2011	2	2010
Change in estimate	\$	(459)	\$	1,118
Unlocking		8,235		5,831
Total	\$	7,776	\$	6,949

#### Value of Business Acquired

When a new block of business is acquired or when an insurance company is purchased, a portion of the purchase price is allocated to a separately identifiable intangible asset, called the value of business acquired (VOBA). VOBA is established as the actuarially determined present value of future gross profits of the business acquired and is amortized with interest in proportion to future premium revenues or the expected future profits, depending on the type of business acquired. VOBA is reported as a component of other assets with related amortization included in operating expenses. Amortization of VOBA occurs with interest over the anticipated lives of the underlying business to which it relates, initially 15 to 30 years. Similar to DAC, the assumptions regarding future experience can affect the carrying value of VOBA, including interest

spreads, mortality, expense margins and policy and premium persistency experience. Significant changes in these assumptions can impact the carrying balance of VOBA and produce changes that are reflected in the current period s income as an unlocking adjustment. Profit expectations are based upon assumptions of future interest spreads, mortality margins, expense margins and policy and premium persistency experience. These assumptions involve judgment and are compared to actual experience on an ongoing basis. If it is determined that the assumptions related to the profit expectations for interest sensitive and variable insurance products should be revised, the impact of the change is reported in the current period s income as an unlocking adjustment.

9

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

At least annually, a review is performed of the models and the assumptions used to develop expected future profits, based upon management s current view of future events. Management s view primarily reflects Company experience but can also reflect emerging trends within the industry. Short-term deviations in experience affect the amortization of VOBA in the period, but do not necessarily indicate that a change to the long-term assumptions of future experience is warranted. If it is determined that it is appropriate to change the assumptions related to future experience, then an unlocking adjustment is recognized for the block of business being evaluated. Certain assumptions, such as interest spreads and surrender rates, may be interrelated. As such, unlocking adjustments often reflect revisions to multiple assumptions. The VOBA balance is immediately impacted by any assumption changes, with the change reflected through the income statement as an unlocking adjustment in the amount of VOBA amortized. These adjustments can be positive or negative with adjustments reducing amortization limited to amounts previously deferred plus interest accrued through the date of the adjustment.

In addition, the Company may consider refinements in estimates due to improved capabilities resulting from administrative or actuarial system upgrades. The Company considers such enhancements to determine whether and to what extent they are associated with prior periods or simply improvements in the projection of future expected gross profits due to improved functionality. To the extent they represent such improvements, these items are applied to the appropriate financial statement line items in a manner similar to unlocking adjustments.

VOBA is also reviewed on an ongoing basis to determine that the unamortized portion does not exceed the expected recoverable amounts. If it is determined from emerging experience that the premium margins or gross profits are insufficient to amortize deferred acquisition costs, then the asset will be adjusted downward with the adjustment recorded as an expense in the current period. No impairment adjustments have been recorded in the periods presented.

The amortization of VOBA increased \$1.0 million in both the second quarter and six months of 2011 compared to the same periods in the prior year. This increase was due primarily to an unlocking adjustment on interest-sensitive products, which increased VOBA amortization \$0.9 million. The unlocking adjustment primarily related to interest spreads. There was no VOBA unlocking in 2010.

#### Contract Charges

Contract charges consist of cost of insurance, expense loads, the amortization of unearned revenues, and surrender charges. Cost of insurance relates to charges for mortality. These charges are applied to the excess of the mortality benefit over the account value for universal life policies. Expense loads are amounts that are assessed against the policyholder balance as consideration for origination and maintenance of the contract. Surrender charges are fees on policyholder account balances upon cancellation or withdrawal of policyholder account balances consistent with policy terms.

An additional component of contract charges is the recognition over time of the deferred revenue liability (DRL) for certain universal life policies. This liability arises from front-end loads on such policies and is recognized into the Consolidated Statements of Income in a manner, similar to the amortization of DAC.

Unlocking or other events may also have an impact on future expected gross profits on products and policies. If it is determined that it is appropriate to change the assumptions of future experience, then an unlocking adjustment is recognized for the block of business being evaluated. Certain assumptions, such as interest spreads and surrender rates, may be interrelated. As such, unlocking adjustments often reflect revisions to multiple assumptions. In addition, the Company may also consider refinements in estimates for other unusual or one-time occurrences for events such as administrative or actuarial system upgrades. These items are applied to the appropriate financial statement line items similar to unlocking adjustments.

At least annually, a review is performed regarding the assumptions related to future expected gross profits on products and policies consistent with those performed for DAC and VOBA. If it is determined that the assumptions should be revised, an adjustment may be recorded to contract charge deferred revenues in the current period as an unlocking adjustment. The Company had an unlocking in the DRL in both the second quarters of 2011 and 2010. In 2011, the unlocking was the result of several factors, the largest of which was associated with future mortality experience due to the use of a new industry mortality table and the corresponding impact of reinsurance. The impact of the unlocking in 2011 was an increase in the DRL liability and a reduction in contract charges in the amount of \$1.8 million. The 2010 unlocking adjustment reflected actual experience from mortality results, premium persistency, and surrender rates that had emerged. The impact of the unlocking on DRL was a

decrease in the liability and a corresponding increase in the recognition of deferred revenue in the second quarter of 2010 in the amount of \$1.1 million.

The Company s refinement in methodology in 2011 was less than \$0.1 million. However, in 2010, the Company had a refinement in methodology that resulted in a change in estimate. The Company refined its methodology, primarily as a result of the implementation of an actuarial system upgrade. This upgrade allowed the Company to refine its calculation of the DRL liability. The effect of the refinement in estimate on the DRL was an increase in the liability and a reduction to contract charges of \$0.5 million.

10

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table identifies the effect of the deferred revenue change in estimate and unlocking recognized in contract charges in the Consolidated Statements of Income for six months ended June 30.

	2011	2010		
Change in estimate	\$ 29	\$	(530)	
Unlocking	(1,769)		1,107	
Total	\$ (1,740)	\$	577	

For a full discussion of these significant accounting policies, please refer to the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

#### 2. New Accounting Pronouncements and Other Regulatory Activity

For a full discussion of new accounting pronouncements and other regulatory activity and their impact of the Company, please refer to the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued amended guidance concerning creditor s determinations of when a restructuring is considered to be a troubled debt restructuring. In making the determination, a creditor must evaluate and conclude that the restructuring constitutes a concession and that the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amended guidance provides clarifications as to whether a concession has been made and provides additional guidance on a creditor s evaluation of whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. This guidance is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2011 and retrospective application to the beginning of the annual period of adoption is required. The Company is currently evaluating this new guidance and its materiality to the consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued new guidance concerning repurchase agreements. This guidance amends previously provided guidance as to when an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repurchase agreements. That determination was previously based upon whether the entity has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. One of the relevant considerations for assessing effective control is the transferor s ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets before maturity. This update removes the assessment of effective control. The update is effective for interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating this new guidance and its materiality to the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued new guidance concerning fair value measurements and disclosure. The new guidance is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to develop a single, converged fair value framework on how to measure fair value and the necessary disclosures concerning fair value measurements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and no early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating this new guidance and its materiality to the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued revised guidance as the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in the financial statements. This guidance removes the previous presentation options and provides that entities must report comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income nor does it require incremental disclosures in addition to those previously required. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating this new guidance and its impact to the consolidated financial statements.

#### 3. Fair Value Measurements

#### Fair Values Hierarchy

The Company groups its financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the inputs and assumptions used to determine the fair value. These levels are as follows:

Level 1 Valuations are based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

11

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Level 2 Valuations are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market. Valuations are obtained from third-party pricing services or inputs that are observable or derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Valuations are generated from techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company s assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include the use of discounted cash flow models, spread-based models, and similar techniques, using the best information available in the circumstances.

#### **Determination of Fair Value**

Under U.S. GAAP, fair value represents the price that would be received to sell an asset (exit price) or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. It is the Company s policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. Accordingly, the Company utilizes a primary independent third-party pricing service to determine the majority of its fair values on investment securities available for sale.

The Company reviews prices received from service providers for unusual fluctuations but generally accepts the price identified from the primary pricing service. However, if the primary pricing service does not provide a price, the Company utilizes the price provided by the second pricing service if a price is available. In the event a price is not available from either third-party pricing service, the Company pursues external pricing from brokers. Generally, the Company pursues and utilizes only one broker quote per security. In doing so, the Company solicits only brokers which have previously demonstrated knowledge and experience of the subject security. If a broker price is not available, the Company determines a fair value through various valuation techniques that include discounted cash flows, spread-based models or similar techniques, depending upon the specific security to be priced. These techniques are primarily applied to private placement securities. The Company utilizes available market information, wherever possible, to identify inputs into the fair value determination, primarily including prices and spreads on comparable securities.

The Company performs an analysis on the prices received from third-party security pricing services and independent brokers to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. The Company corroborates and validates the primary pricing sources through a variety of procedures that include but are not limited to comparison to additional independent third-party pricing services or brokers, where possible, a review of third-party pricing service methodologies, back testing and comparison of prices to actual trades for specific securities where observable data exists. In addition, the Company analyzes the primary third-party pricing service s methodologies and related inputs and also evaluates the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy.

The Company owned two issues of similar securities for which values were not provided from the Company s primary pricing service as of June 30, 2011. The Company received a broker price indication for one of these securities. The Company used the most recent trade information available for the other security. Since these securities are similar, the Company utilized the mid-point of these prices to determine the fair value for these two securities.

Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data are calculated using the Company s own estimates, based on current interest rates, credit spreads, liquidity premium or discount, the economic and competitive environment, unique characteristics of the asset or liability and other pertinent factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique. Further, changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results of current or future values.

The Company s own estimates of fair value are derived in a number of ways, including but not limited to: 1) pricing provided by brokers, where the price indicates reliability as to value; 2) fair values of comparable securities incorporating a spread adjustment for maturity differences, collateralization, credit quality, liquidity, and other items, if applicable; 3) discounted cash flow models and margin spreads; 4) bond yield curves; 5) observable market prices and exchange transaction information not provided by external pricing services; and 6) statement values provided to the Company by fund managers.

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value and for estimating fair value for financial instruments not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is disclosed.

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Assets

Securities Available for Sale

Fixed maturities and equity securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon unadjusted quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are determined as described in the preceding paragraphs.

Short-Term Financial Assets

Short-term financial assets include cash and other short-term investments and are carried at historical cost. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of the fair value because of the relatively short time between the purchase of the instrument and its expected repayment or maturity.

Loans

The Company does not record loans at fair value. As such, valuation techniques discussed herein for loans are primarily for estimating fair value for purpose of disclosure.

Fair values of mortgage loans on real estate properties are calculated by discounting contractual cash flows, using discount rates based on current industry pricing or the Company s estimate of an appropriate risk-adjusted discount rate for loans of similar size, type, remaining maturity, likelihood of prepayment and repricing characteristics.

The Company also has loans made to policyholders. These loans cannot exceed the cash surrender value of the policy. Carrying value of policy loans approximates fair value.

Liabilities

Investment-Type Liabilities Included in Policyholder Account Balances and Other Policyholder Funds

Fair values for liabilities under investment-type insurance contracts are based upon account value. The fair values of investment-type insurance contracts included with policyholder account balances for fixed deferred annuities and other policyholder funds for supplementary contracts without life contingencies are estimated to be their cash surrender values. The fair values of deposits with no stated maturity are equal to the amount payable on demand at the measurement date.

Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefits (GMWB)

The Company offers a GMWB rider that can be added to new or existing variable annuity contracts. The rider provides an enhanced withdrawal benefit that guarantees a stream of income payments to an owner or annuitant, regardless of the contract account value. Fair value for GMWB rider contracts is a Level 3 valuation, as it is based on models which utilize significant unobservable inputs. These models require actuarial and financial market assumptions, which reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the contract, including adjustments for volatility, risk and issuer non-performance.

Notes Payable

The Company had no borrowings as of June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Categories Reported at Fair Value

The following tables present categories reported at fair value on a recurring basis.

		June 30, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Asset:					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.					
Government	\$ 11,698	\$ 115,478	\$ 2,118	\$ 129,294	
Federal agencies <sup>1</sup>	-	26,663	-	26,663	
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed					
securities 1	-	128,848	-	128,848	
Subtotal	11,698	270,989	2,118	284,805	
Corporate obligations:					
Industrial	-	406,044	26,255	432,299	
Energy	-	164,583	2,276	166,859	
Communications and technology	-	188,967	-	188,967	
Financial	-	327,633	2,787	330,420	
Consumer	-	430,738	24,839	455,577	
Public utilities	-	307,188	-	307,188	
Subtotal	-	1,825,153	56,157	1,881,310	
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed					
securities	-	184,666	-	184,666	
Municipal securities	-	150,124	5,255	155,379	
Other	-	98,107	289	98,396	
Redeemable preferred stocks	11,818	-	-	11,818	
Fixed maturity securities	23,516	2,529,039	63,819	2,616,374	
Equity securities	3,911	34,635	1,085	39,631	
Total	\$ 27,427	\$ 2,563,674	\$ 64,904	\$ 2,656,005	
Percent of total	1%	97%	2%	100%	
recent of total	170	91%	270	100%	
T1 1992					
Liabilities:					
Other policyholder funds Guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits	¢	¢	¢ (0.721)	¢ (2.721)	
Guaranteed minimum withdrawar benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,731)	\$ (2,731)	
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,731)	\$ (2,731)	

<sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

14

#### **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

December 31, 2010 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Total Assets: U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government \$11,544 119,624 \$ 3,974 135,142 Federal agencies 1 26,095 26,095 Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities 1 138,056 138,056 Subtotal 11.544 283,775 3,974 299,293 Corporate obligations: Industrial 430,283 2,235 432,518 176,220 178,511 2,291 Energy Communications and technology 172,946 172,946 347,884 2,775 Financial 350,659 Consumer 408,592 21,912 430,504 Public utilities 324,800 324,800 Subtotal 1,860,725 29,213 1,889,938 Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities 195,055 195,055 5,748 Municipal securities 146,083 151,831 98,002 Other 81,136 16,866 14,769 Redeemable preferred stocks 14,769 Fixed maturity securities 26,313 2,566,774 55,801 2,648,888 Equity securities 3,871 33,270 38,321 1,180 Total \$30,184 \$ 2,600,044 56,981 \$ 2,687,209 Percent of total 1% 97% 2% 100% Liabilities: Other policyholder funds Guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits \$ \$ (2,799)\$ (2,799)(2,799)Total \$ (2,799)

Table of Contents 24

15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table presents the fair value of fixed maturities and equity securities available for sale by pricing source and fair value hierarchy level.

		June		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Fixed maturities available for sale:				
Priced from external pricing service	\$ 23,516	\$ 2,481,031	\$ -	\$ 2,504,547
Priced from independent brokers	-	48,008	-	48,008
Priced from internal matrices and calculations	-	-	63,819	63,819
Subtotal	23,516	2,529,039	63,819	2,616,374
Equity securities available for sale:				
Priced from external pricing service	3,911	-	-	3,911
Priced from independent brokers	-	-	-	-
Priced from internal matrices and calculations	-	34,635	1,085	35,720
Subtotal	3,911	34,635	1,085	39,631
Total	\$ 27,427	\$ 2,563,674	\$ 64,904	\$ 2,656,005
Percent of total	1%	97%	2%	100%
		Decemb	ner 31 2010	
	Level 1		per 31, 2010 Level 3	Total
Fixed maturities available for sale:	Level 1	Decemb Level 2	per 31, 2010 Level 3	Total
Fixed maturities available for sale: Priced from external pricing service		Level 2	Level 3	
Fixed maturities available for sale: Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers	Level 1 \$ 26,313		Level 3	Total \$ 2,563,600 29,487
Priced from external pricing service	\$ 26,313	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287	Level 3	\$ 2,563,600
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers	\$ 26,313	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287	Level 3	\$ 2,563,600 29,487
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations	\$ 26,313 - -	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287 29,487	Level 3 \$ - 55,801	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations	\$ 26,313 - -	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287 29,487	Level 3 \$ - 55,801	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations  Subtotal  Equity securities available for sale:	\$ 26,313 - -	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287 29,487	Level 3 \$ - 55,801	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations Subtotal	\$ 26,313 - - 26,313	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287 29,487 - 2,566,774	Level 3 \$ - 55,801	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801 2,648,888
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations  Subtotal  Equity securities available for sale: Priced from external pricing service	\$ 26,313 - - 26,313	Level 2 \$ 2,537,287 29,487 - 2,566,774	Level 3 \$ - 55,801	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801 2,648,888
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations  Subtotal  Equity securities available for sale: Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers	\$ 26,313 - - 26,313 3,871	Level 2  \$ 2,537,287	Level 3  \$ - 55,801	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801 2,648,888
Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations  Subtotal  Equity securities available for sale: Priced from external pricing service Priced from independent brokers Priced from internal matrices and calculations	\$ 26,313 26,313 3,871	Level 2  \$ 2,537,287	Level 3  \$ - 55,801  55,801  - 1,180	\$ 2,563,600 29,487 55,801 2,648,888 10,996 27,325

16

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The changes in Level 1 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and year ended December 31, 2010 are summarized below. The Company did not have any debt issuances in either period presented.

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2011					
	Assets					
	Fixed maturities	Equity	y securities			
	available	av	ailable			
	for sale	fe	or sale	Total		
Beginning balance	\$ 26,384	\$	3,901	\$ 30,285		
Included in earnings	(58)		-	(58)		
Included in other comprehensive income	265		10	275		
Purchases, sales and other dispositions:						
Purchases	-		-	-		
Sales	(1,250)		-	(1,250)		
Other dispositions	(1,825)		-	(1,825)		
Transfers into Level 1	-		-	-		
Transfers out of Level 1	-		-	-		
Ending balance	\$ 23,516	\$	3,911	\$ 27,427		
-						
Net unrealized gains	\$ 223	\$	10	\$ 233		

	Six M Fixed maturities available for sale	F see av	nded June 30, 2 Assets Equity curities vailable or sale	2011 Total
Beginning balance	\$ 26,313	\$	3,871	\$ 30,184
Included in earnings	(59)		-	(59)
Included in other comprehensive income	337		40	377
Purchases, sales and other dispositions:				
Purchases	-		-	-
Sales	(1,250)		-	(1,250)
Other dispositions	(1,825)		-	(1,825)
Transfers into Level 1	-		-	-
Transfers out of Level 1	-		-	-
Ending balance	\$ 23,516	\$	3,911	\$ 27,427
Net unrealized gains	\$ 295	\$	40	\$ 335

17

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Assets Fixed maturities Equity securities available available for sale Total for sale \$ 26,940 Beginning balance \$23,540 \$ 3,400 Included in earnings (5) (5) Included in other comprehensive income 1,335 298 1,633 Purchases and dispositions 145 173 318 Net transfers in 1,298 1,298 Ending balance \$ 26,313 \$ 3,871 \$30,184 Net unrealized gains \$ 1,469 298 \$ 1,767

The changes in Level 2 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and year ended December 31, 2010 are summarized below. The Company did not have any debt issuances in either period presented.

	Qua Fixed maturities available	Equity	ed June 30, 20 Assets securities vailable	11
	for sale	fo	or sale	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 2,560,276	\$	34,208	\$ 2,594,484
Included in earnings	2,023		-	2,023
Included in other comprehensive income	35,818		73	35,891
Purchases, sales and other dispositions:				
Purchases	23,422		368	23,790
Sales	(36,831)		(14)	(36,845)
Other dispositions	(57,248)		-	(57,248)
Transfers into Level 2	1,634		-	1,634
Transfers out of Level 2	(55)		-	(55)
Ending balance	\$ 2,529,039	\$	34,635	\$ 2,563,674
Net unrealized gains	\$ 38,998	\$	73	\$ 39,071

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Six Months	Ended	Inne	30	2011
SIA MOHUIS	Lilucu	June	50,	2011

		A	Assets	
	Fixed maturities available	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		
	for sale	fo	or sale	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 2,566,774	\$	33,270	\$ 2,600,044
Included in earnings	2,621		-	2,621
Included in other comprehensive income	35,504		(19)	35,485
Purchases, sales and other dispositions:				
Purchases	100,746		1,398	102,144
Sales	(37,834)		(14)	(37,848)
Other dispositions	(127,718)		-	(127,718)
Transfers into Level 2	18,500		-	18,500
Transfers out of Level 2	(29,554)		-	(29,554)
Ending balance	\$ 2,529,039	\$	34,635	\$ 2,563,674
Net unrealized gains (losses)	\$ 39,131	\$	(19)	\$ 39,112

#### Year Ended December 31, 2010

Tour Ended December 51, 2010					
	Fixed	]	Equity		
	maturities	se	curities		
	available	a	vailable		
	for sale	f	or sale	Total	
Beginning balance	\$ 2,393,258	\$	32,439	\$ 2,425,697	
Included in earnings	254		2	256	
Included in other comprehensive income	107,131		116	107,247	
Purchases and dispositions	72,999		713	73,712	
Net transfers out	(6,868)		-	(6,868)	
Ending balance	\$ 2,566,774	\$	33,270	\$ 2,600,044	
Net unrealized gains	\$ 103,635	\$	189	\$ 103,824	

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and year ended December 31, 2010 are summarized below:

		_	rter Ended Ju	ine 30, 2011	* * 1 ***
	Fixed maturities		ssets securities		Liabilities
	available		ilable		
	for sale		r sale	Total	GMWB
Beginning balance	\$ 65,004	\$	1,070	\$ 66,074	\$ (2,931)
Included in earnings	(17)		1	(16)	143
Included in other comprehensive income	1,195		14	1,209	-
Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions:					
Purchases	-		-	-	-
Issuances	-		-	-	12
Sales	-		-	-	-
Other dispositions	(784)		-	(784)	45
Transfers into Level 3	55		-	55	-
Transfers out of Level 3	(1,634)		-	(1,634)	-
Ending balance	\$ 63,819	\$	1,085	\$ 64,904	\$ (2,731)
Net unrealized gains	\$ 1,195	\$	14	\$ 1,209	
100 amounized game	Ψ 1,170	Ψ		ψ 1 <b>,2</b> 03	
		C. 14		T 20 2011	
				June 30, 2011	T :=1::1:2:==
	Fived	A	ssets	June 30, 2011	Liabilities
	Fixed	A: Ec	ssets quity	June 30, 2011	Liabilities
	maturities	A Ec sec	ssets quity urities	June 30, 2011	Liabilities
	maturities available	As Ec sect ava	ssets quity urities iilable		
	maturities available for sale	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities iilable r sale	Total	GMWB
Beginning balance	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801	As Ec sect ava	ssets quity urities iilable r sale 1,180	Total \$ 56,981	GMWB \$ (2,799)
Included in earnings	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27)	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities iilable r sale 1,180 92	Total \$ 56,981 65	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68)
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities iilable r sale 1,180	Total \$ 56,981	GMWB \$ (2,799)
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions:	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68)
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) -
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases Issuances	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) -
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases Issuances Sales	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) - - 13
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases Issuances Sales Other dispositions	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) - 13 - 123
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases Issuances Sales Other dispositions Transfers into Level 3	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488 - - (3,684) 29,554	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) - - 13
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases Issuances Sales Other dispositions	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) - 13 - 123
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income Purchases, issuances, sales and other dispositions: Purchases Issuances Sales Other dispositions Transfers into Level 3	maturities available for sale \$ 55,801 (27) 475	A: Ec sec ava for	ssets quity urities tilable r sale 1,180 92 13	Total \$ 56,981 65 488 - - (3,684) 29,554	GMWB \$ (2,799) (68) - 13 - 123

20

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

	Fixed maturities available	A Equity	Ended Decer assets securities ailable	mber 31, 2010	Liabilities
	for sale	fc	or sale	Total	GMWB
Beginning balance	\$ 52,474	\$	1,037	\$ 53,511	\$ (1,642)
Included in earnings	(4)		-	(4)	(1,217)
Included in other comprehensive income	920		143	1,063	-
Purchases and dispositions	(3,159)		-	(3,159)	60
Net transfers in	5,570		-	5,570	-
Ending balance	\$ 55,801	\$	1,180	\$ 56,981	\$ (2,799)
Net unrealized gains	\$ 922	\$	143	\$ 1,065	

The Company did not exclude any realized or unrealized gains or losses on items transferred into Level 3. Depending upon the availability of Level 1 or Level 2 pricing, specific securities may transfer into or out of Level 3.

The table below is a summary of fair value estimates as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for financial instruments. The Company has not included assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments in this disclosure. The total of the fair value calculations presented do not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

	June 30 Carrying	June 30, 2011 Carrying		r 31, 2010
	Value	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value
Assets:				
Investments:				
Fixed maturities available for sale	\$ 2,616,374	\$ 2,616,374	\$ 2,648,888	\$ 2,648,888
Equity securities available for sale	39,631	39,631	38,321	38,321
Mortgage loans	625,275	656,548	559,167	593,418
Policy loans	82,172	82,172	84,281	84,281
Cash and short-term investments	42,980	42,980	21,158	21,158
Separate account assets	345,306	345,306	339,029	339,029
Liabilities:				
Individual and group annuities	1,061,320	1,041,028	1,037,331	1,017,135
Supplementary contracts without life contingencies	57,601	56,361	58,012	56,514
Separate account liabilities	345,306	345,306	339,029	339,029

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

#### 4. Investments

#### **Securities by Asset Class**

The following table provides amortized cost and fair value of securities by asset class as of June 30, 2011.

	Gross			
	Amortized	Unrea	lized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government	\$ 121,521	\$ 7,937	\$ 164	\$ 129,294
Federal agencies <sup>1</sup>	24,453	2,210	-	26,663
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities <sup>1</sup>	118,694	10,156	2	128,848
Subtotal	264,668	20,303	166	284,805
Corporate obligations:				
Industrial	402,980	30,851	1,532	432,299
Energy	149,814	17,101	56	166,859
Communications and technology	177,755	11,406	194	188,967
Financial	314,505	17,959	2,044	330,420
Consumer	424,210	32,688	1,321	455,577
Public utilities	279,863	28,874	1,549	307,188
Subtotal	1,749,127	138,879	6,696	1,881,310
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities	190,887	2,531	8,752	184,666
Municipal securities	151,343	5,013	977	155,379
Other	104,151	2,940	8,695	98,396
Redeemable preferred stocks	11,735	285	202	11,818
Fixed maturity securities	2,471,911	169,951	25,488	2,616,374
Equity securities	37,569	2,187	125	39,631
Total	\$ 2,509,480	\$ 172,138	\$ 25,613	\$ 2,656,005

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table provides amortized cost and fair value of securities by asset class as of December 31, 2010.

	Gross			
	Amortized	Unrea	lized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government	\$ 128,280	\$ 7,180	\$ 318	\$ 135,142
Federal agencies <sup>1</sup>	24,144	1,951	-	26,095
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities <sup>1</sup>	128,318	9,740	2	138,056
Subtotal	280,742	18,871	320	299,293
Corporate obligations:				
Industrial	409,193	26,255	2,930	432,518
Energy	163,237	15,498	224	178,511
Communications and technology	164,499	9,243	796	172,946
Financial	341,520	14,161	5,022	350,659
Consumer	404,152	28,725	2,373	430,504
Public utilities	298,626	27,640	1,466	324,800
	1 501 005	121 522	12.011	1 000 020
Subtotal	1,781,227	121,522	12,811	1,889,938
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities	209,529	2,352	16,826	195,055
Municipal securities	153,813	1,319	3,301	151,831
Other	100,548	5,193	7,739	98,002
Redeemable preferred stocks	14,866	343	440	14,769
Fixed maturity securities	2,540,725	149,600	41,437	2,648,888
Equity securities	36,293	2,165	137	38,321
Equity securities	30,293	2,103	137	36,321
Total	\$ 2,577,018	\$ 151,765	\$ 41,574	\$ 2,687,209

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

#### **Contractual Maturities**

The following table provides the distribution of maturities for fixed maturity securities available for sale as of June 30, 2011. Expected maturities may differ from these contractual maturities since borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

	June 30, 2011		
	Amortized	Fair	
	Cost	Value	
Due in one year or less	\$ 75,480	\$ 77,327	
Due after one year through five years	597,228	639,444	
Due after five years through ten years	913,384	988,302	
Due after ten years	475,527	490,602	

Securities with variable principal payments	398,557	408,881
Redeemable preferred stocks	11,735	11,818
	\$ 2,471,911	\$ 2,616,374

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

#### Realized Gains (Losses)

The following table provides detail concerning realized investment gains and losses by asset class for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	Quarter Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross gains resulting from:				
Sales of investment securities	\$ 3,341	\$ 621	\$ 3,652	\$ 1,624
Investment securities called and other	387	868	1,250	1,166
Total gross gains	3,728	1,489	4,902	2,790
Gross losses resulting from:				
Sales of investment securities	(1,590)	-	(1,590)	-
Investment securities called and other	(125)	(67)	(179)	(155)
Mortgage loans	-	-	(3)	-
Total gross losses	(1,715)	(67)	(1,772)	(155)
Amortization of DAC and VOBA	(120)	71	(225)	181
Net realized investment gains, excluding impairment losses	1,893	1,493	2,905	2,816
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings:				
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(238)	(1,458)	(507)	(3,049)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	56	134	114	139
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(182)	(1,324)	(393)	(2,910)
-		·		
Realized investment gains (losses)	\$ 1,711	\$ 169	\$ 2,512	\$ (94)

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Proceeds From Sales of Investment Securities

The table below provides information regarding sales of fixed maturity and equity securities, excluding maturities and calls, for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	•	er Ended ne 30	Six Months Ended June 30		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Proceeds	\$ 41,398	\$ 2,357	\$ 51,541	\$ 15,086	
Gross realized gains	3,341	621	3,652	1,624	
Gross realized losses	(1,590)	-	(1,590)	-	

#### **Unrealized Losses on Investments**

The Company reviews all security investments, with particular attention given to those having unrealized losses. Further, the Company specifically assesses all investments with greater than 10% declines in fair value below amortized cost and, in general, monitors all security investments as to ongoing risk. These risks are fundamentally evaluated through both a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the issuer. The Company also prepares a formal review document no less often than quarterly of all investments where fair value is less than 80% of amortized cost for six months or more and selected investments that have changed significantly from a previous period and that have a decline in fair value greater than 10% of amortized cost.

The Company has a policy and process in place to identify securities that could potentially have an impairment that is other-than-temporary. This process involves monitoring market events and other items that could impact issuers. The evaluation includes but is not limited to such factors as the issuer s stated intent and ability to make all principal and interest payments when due, near-term business prospects, cash flow and liquidity, credit ratings, business climate, management changes and litigation and government actions. This process also involves monitoring several factors, including late payments, downgrades by rating agencies, key financial ratios, financial statements, revenue forecasts, asset quality and cash flow projections, as indicators of credit issues.

The Company considers relevant facts and circumstances in evaluating whether the impairment of a security is other-than-temporary. Relevant facts and circumstances considered are described in the Valuation of Investments section of Note 1 Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies of the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

To the extent the Company determines that a fixed maturity security is deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the portion of the impairment that is deemed to be due to credit is charged to the Consolidated Statements of Income and the cost basis of the underlying investment is reduced. The portion of such impairment that is determined to be non-credit-related is deducted from net realized loss in the Consolidated Statements of Income and reflected in other comprehensive income and accumulated other comprehensive income, which is a component of stockholders equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

There are a number of significant risks and uncertainties inherent in the process of monitoring impairments, determining if an impairment is other-than-temporary and determining the portion of an other-than-temporary impairment that is due to credit. These risks and uncertainties are described in the Valuation of Investments Section of Note 1 of the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

Once a security is determined to have met certain of the criteria for consideration as being other-than-temporarily impaired, further information is gathered and evaluated pertaining to the particular security. If the security is an unsecured obligation, the additional research is a top-down approach with particular emphasis on the likelihood of the issuer to meet the contractual terms of the obligation. If the security is secured by an asset or guaranteed by another party, the value of the underlying secured asset or the financial ability of the third-party guarantor is evaluated as a secondary source of repayment. Such research is based upon a top-down approach, narrowing to the specific estimates of value and cash flow of the underlying secured asset or guarantor. If the security is a collateralized obligation, such as a mortgage-backed or other asset-backed

instrument, research is also conducted to obtain and analyze the performance of the collateral relative to expectations at the time of acquisition and with regard to projections for the future. Such analyses are based upon historical results, trends, comparisons to collateral performance of similar securities and analyses performed by third parties. This information is used to develop projected cash flows that are compared to the amortized cost of the security.

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

If a determination is made that an unsecured security, secured security or security with a guaranty of payment by a third-party is other-than-temporarily impaired, an estimate is developed of the portion of such impairment that is due to credit. The estimate of the portion of impairment due to credit is based upon a comparison of ratings and maturity horizon for the security and relative historical default probabilities from one or more nationally recognized rating organizations. When appropriate for any given security, sector or period in the business cycle, the historical default probability is adjusted to reflect periods or situations of distress by adding to the default probability increments of standard deviations from mean historical results. The credit impairment analysis is supplemented by estimates of potential recovery values for the specific security, including the potential impact of the value of any secured assets, in the event of default. This information is used to determine the Company s best estimate, derived from probability-weighted cash flows.

The Company has exposure to the municipal bond market. The Company s investments in municipal bonds present unique considerations in evaluating other-than-temporary impairments. Judgments regarding whether a municipal debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired include analyzing a number of rather unique characteristics pertaining to the issuer. Municipalities possess unique powers, along with special legal standing and protections. These powers include the sovereign power to tax, access to one-time revenue sources, capacity to issue or restructure debt and the ability to shift spending to other authorities. In addition, state governments often provide secondary support to local governments in times of financial stress and the federal government has also provided assistance to state governments.

The evaluation of loan-backed and similar asset-backed securities, particularly including residential mortgage-backed securities, with significant indications of potential other-than-temporary impairment requires considerable use of estimates and judgment. Specifically, the Company performs discounted future cash flow projections on these securities to evaluate whether the value of the investment is expected to be fully realized. Projections of expected future cash flows are based upon considerations of the performance of the actual underlying assets, including historical delinquencies, defaults, severity of losses incurred, and prepayments, along with the Company s estimates of future results for these factors. The Company s estimates of future results are based upon actual historical performance of the underlying assets relative to historical, current and expected general economic conditions, specific conditions related to the underlying assets, industry data, and other factors that are believed to be relevant. If the present value of the projected expected future cash flows are determined to be below the Company s carrying value, the Company recognizes an other-than-temporary impairment on the portion of the carrying value that exceeds the projected expected future cash flows. To the extent that the loan-backed or other asset-backed securities remain high quality investments and do not otherwise demonstrate characteristics of impairment, the Company performs other initial evaluations to determine whether other-than-temporary cash flow evaluations need to be performed.

The discounted future cash flow calculation typically becomes the primary determinant of whether any portion and to what extent an unrealized loss is due to credit on loan-backed and similar asset-backed securities with significant indications of potential other-than-temporary impairment. Such indications typically include below investment grade ratings and significant unrealized losses for an extended period of time, among other factors. The Company identified 13 and 12 non-U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities that had such indications as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Discounted future cash flow analysis was performed for each of these securities to determine if any portion of the impairment was due to credit and deemed to be other-than-temporary. The discount rate used in calculating the present value of future cash flows was the investment yield at the time of purchase for each security. The initial default rates were assumed to remain constant over a 24-month time frame and grade down thereafter, reflecting the general perspective of a more stabilized residential housing environment in the future.

For loan-backed and similar asset-backed securities, the determination of any amount of impairment that is due to credit is based upon the present value of projected future cash flows being less than the amortized cost of the security. This amount is recognized as a realized loss in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Income, and the carrying value of the security is written down by the same amount. The portion of an impairment that is determined not to be due to credit is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As part of the required accounting for unrealized gains and losses, the Company also adjusts the DAC and VOBA assets to recognize the adjustment to those assets as if the unrealized gains and losses from securities classified as available-for-sale actually had been realized.

26

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table provides information regarding fixed maturity and equity security investments available for sale with unrealized losses by length of time as of June 30, 2011.

	Less Than			s or Longer	Total Fair Unrealized		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of							
U.S. Government	\$ 7,743	\$ 138	\$ 2,014	\$ 26	\$ 9,757	\$ 164	
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed							
securities <sup>1</sup>	-	-	296	2	296	2	
Subtotal	7,743	138	2,310	28	10,053	166	
Corporate obligations:							
Industrial	56,997	1,414	4,354	118	61,351	1,532	
Energy	6,807	56	-	-	6,807	56	
Communications and technology	15,897	194	-	-	15,897	194	
Financial	14,192	329	17,693	1,715	31,885	2,044	
Consumer	36,981	597	7,203	724	44,184	1,321	
Public utilities	14,895	614	10,916	935	25,811	1,549	
Total corporate obligations	145,769	3,204	40,166	3,492	185,935	6,696	
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed							
securities	22,243	105	89,647	8,647	111,890	8,752	
Municipal securities	28,802	668	4,469	309	33,271	977	
Other	5,355	118	51,362	8,577	56,717	8,695	
Redeemable preferred stocks	-	-	3,479	202	3,479	202	
Fixed maturity securities	209,912	4,233	191,433	21,255	401,345	25,488	
Equity securities	-	-	2,046	125	2,046	125	
Total	\$ 209,912	\$ 4,233	\$ 193,479	\$ 21,380	\$ 403,391	\$ 25,613	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table provides information regarding fixed maturity and equity security investments available for sale with unrealized losses by length of time as of December 31, 2010.

	Less Than	12 Months	12 Month	s or Longer	Total		
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of							
U.S. Government	\$ 7,663	\$ 286	\$ 2,206	\$ 32	\$ 9,869	\$ 318	
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed							
securities <sup>1</sup>	16	1	281	1	297	2	
Subtotal	7,679	287	2,487	33	10,166	320	
Corporate obligations:							
Industrial	76,795	2,825	3,023	105	79,818	2,930	
Energy	7,848	224	-	-	7,848	224	
Communications and technology	38,762	796	-	-	38,762	796	
Financial	50,744	900	38,170	4,122	88,914	5,022	
Consumer	67,690	1,444	14,931	929	82,621	2,373	
Public utilities	24,165	1,204	4,394	262	28,559	1,466	
Total corporate obligations	266,004	7,393	60,518	5,418	326,522	12,811	
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed							
securities	-	-	96,581	16,826	96,581	16,826	
Municipal securities	81,799	2,537	7,145	764	88,944	3,301	
Other	5,379	182	54,488	7,557	59,867	7,739	
Redeemable preferred stocks	618	8	4,333	432	4,951	440	
Fixed maturity securities	361,479	10,407	225,552	31,030	587,031	41,437	
Equity securities	-	-	2,034	137	2,034	137	
Total	\$ 361,479	\$ 10,407	\$ 227,586	\$ 31,167	\$ 589,065	\$ 41,574	

In addition, the Company also considers as part of its monitoring and evaluation process the length of time the fair value of a security is below amortized cost. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had unrealized losses on its investment portfolio for fixed maturities and equity securities as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

Gross unrealized losses on fixed maturity and equity security investments attributable to securities having gross unrealized losses of 12 months or longer was \$21.4 million as of June 30, 2011, a decrease from \$31.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The largest component of this decrease was from the corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities category, which decreased \$8.2 million during the first six months of 2011.

68 security issues representing 60% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 93% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for less than one year;

15 security issues representing 13% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 60% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for one year or more and less than three years; and

31 security issues representing 27% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 52% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for three years or more.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had unrealized losses on its investment portfolio for fixed maturities and equity securities as follows:

130 security issues representing 69% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 94% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for less than one year;

18 security issues representing 10% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 56% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for one year or more and less than three years; and

39 security issues representing 21% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 49% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for three years or more.

28

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table provides the distribution of maturities for fixed maturity securities available for sale with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2011. Expected maturities may differ from these contractual maturities since borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

	June 30, 2011				
	Gr				
	Fair	Unrealized			
	Value	Losses			
Fixed maturity securities available for sale:					
Due in one year or less	\$ 28	\$ -			
Due after one year through five years	22,394	630			
Due after five years through ten years	127,367	3,172			
Due after ten years	135,889	12,730			
Total	285,678	16,532			
Securities with variable principal payments	112,188	8,754			
Redeemable preferred stocks	3,479	202			
Total	\$ 401,345	\$ 25,488			

The following table provides a reconciliation of credit losses recognized in earnings on fixed maturity securities held by the Company for which a portion of the other-than-temporary loss was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

	J	rter Ended June 30 2011	Six Months End June 30 2011		
Credit losses on securities held at beginning of period in accumulated other comprehensive income	\$	11,774	\$	11,567	
Additions for credit losses not previously recognized in other-than-temporary impairment		7		7	
Additions for increases in the credit loss for which an other-than- temporary impairment previously was recognized and there was no intent to sell the					
security before recovery of its amortized cost basis		175		386	
Reductions for securities sold during the period (realized)		-		-	
Reductions for securities previously recognized in other comprehensive income because of intent to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis		_		_	
Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life of the security		(4)		(8)	
Credit losses on securities held at the end of period in accumulated other comprehensive income	\$	11,952	\$	11,952	

**Mortgage Loans** 

The Company invests in commercial mortgage loans that are secured by real estate on an ongoing basis. At June 30, 2011, the Company had 18% of its invested assets in commercial mortgage loans, up from 16% at December 31, 2010. In addition to the subject collateral underlying the mortgage, the Company typically requires some amount of recourse from borrowers as another potential source of repayment. The recourse requirement is determined as part of the underwriting requirements of each loan. The Company added 47 new loans to the portfolio during the first six months of 2011, and 81% of these loans had some amount of recourse requirement. The Company purchased 22 mortgage loans totaling \$72.3 million from another institutional lender during the second quarter of 2011. The purchased loans are seasoned performing loans having characteristics of property type, geographical diversification, term, underwriting and cash flows that are similar to the Company s portfolio of originated loans. During 2011, the Company originated 25 new loans totaling \$27.6 million and 100% of these loans included some amount of recourse. The average loan to value ratio for the overall portfolio was 48% and 49% at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, based upon the underwriting and appraisal of value at the time the loan was originated or acquired.

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table summarizes the amount of mortgage loans held by the Company as of June 30, 2011, segregated by year of origination. Purchased loans are shown in the year acquired by the Company, although the individual loans were initially originated in prior years.

	Carrying	%
	Amount	of Total
Prior to 2002	\$ 38,580	7%
2003	45,357	7%
2004	34,576	6%
2005	57,828	9%
2006	48,803	8%
2007	40,063	6%
2008	45,185	7%
2009	55,787	9%
2010	147,977	24%
2011	114,529	18%
Allowance for loss	(3,410)	(1%)
Total	\$ 625,275	100%

The tables below identify mortgage loans by geographic location and property type as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	June 30		De	cember 31
		2011		2010
		Carrying	(	Carrying
		Amount		Amount
Geographic region:				
Pacific	\$	142,301	\$	134,892
West north central		132,962		122,228
West south central		110,803		106,093
Mountain		78,694		72,871
South atlantic		61,238		50,454
East north central		34,003		30,905
Middle atlantic		46,107		22,975
East south central		22,577		22,159
Allowance for loss		(3,410)		(3,410)
T 1	Φ	(25.275	¢	550.167
Total	\$	625,275	\$	559,167
Property type:				
Industrial	\$	257,045	\$	263,621
Office	Ψ	261,076	Ψ	227,772
Medical		47,546		35,223
Other		63,018		35,961

Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Allowance for loss	(3,410)	(3,410)
Total	\$ 625,275	\$ 559,167

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table identifies the concentration of mortgage loans by state greater than 5% as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	June 20		December 31 2010		
	Carrying	• •		%	
	Amount	of Total	Amount	of Total	
California	\$ 124,039	20%	\$ 115,766	21%	
Texas	93,303	15%	81,903	15%	
Minnesota	67,285	11%	56,537	10%	
Florida	28,064	4%	28,770	5%	
All others	315,994	51%	279,601	50%	
Allowance for loss	(3,410)	(1%)	(3,410)	(1%)	
Total	\$ 625,275	100%	\$ 559,167	100%	

The table below identifies the carrying amount of mortgage loans by maturity as of June 30, 2011.

	J	June 30 2011
Mortgage loans by maturity:		
Due in one year or less	\$	22,464
Due after one year through five years		169,218
Due after five years through ten years		252,147
Due after ten years		184,856
Allowance for loss		(3,410)
Total	\$	625,275

Commercial mortgage loans in California accounted for 20% of the Company s commercial mortgage loan portfolio at June 30, 2011. The next largest concentration by state was 15% in Texas. Through this concentration in California, along with other states included in the pacific region, the Company is exposed to potential losses from a regional economic downturn and certain catastrophes, such as earthquakes and fires that may affect certain areas of the region. The Company requires borrowers to maintain fire insurance coverage to provide reimbursement for any losses due to fire. The Company diversifies its commercial mortgage loan portfolio both geographically and by property type to reduce certain catastrophic and economic exposure. However, diversification may not always sufficiently mitigate the risk of such losses. Historically, the delinquency rate of the Company s pacific region commercial mortgage loans has been substantially below the industry average and consistent with the Company s experience in other states. The Company does not require earthquake insurance for properties on which it makes commercial mortgage loans. However, the Company does consider the potential for earthquake loss if the property lies within areas believed by the Company to be seismically active submarkets and structural information specific to each property. The Company does not expect catastrophe or earthquake damage or economic downturn in the pacific region to have a material adverse effect on its business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, the Company cannot provide assurance that such risks could not have such material adverse effects.

Under the laws of certain states, environmental contamination of a property may result in a lien on the property to secure recovery of the costs of cleanup. In some states, such a lien has priority over the lien of an existing mortgage against such property. As a commercial mortgage lender, the Company customarily conducts environmental assessments prior to making commercial mortgage loans secured by real estate and before

taking title on real estate. Based on the Company s environmental assessments, the Company believes that any compliance costs associated with environmental laws and regulations or any remediation of affected properties would not have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, the Company cannot provide assurance that material compliance costs will not be incurred.

In the normal course of business, the Company commits to fund commercial mortgage loans generally up to 120 days in advance. The Company had commitments to originate mortgage loans of \$15.4 million at June 30, 2011 with fixed interest rates ranging from 5.625% to 6.375%. These commitments generally have fixed expiration dates. A small percentage of commitments expire due to the borrower s failure to deliver the requirements of the commitment by the expiration date. In these cases, the Company will retain the commitment fee.

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

In the second quarter of 2010 the Company issued a second construction-to-permanent loan in the amount of \$1.8 million. At June 30, 2011, \$17.8 million had been disbursed for the two construction loans, with no remaining commitments. One project has been completed and has been transitioned to permanent loan status. Construction on the other loan has been completed, but the loan has not yet transitioned to permanent status. In addition, in the first quarter of 2011, the Company issued a third construction-to-permanent loan in the amount of \$2.8 million. At June 30, 2011, \$0.1 million had been disbursed. At completion and fulfillment of occupancy requirements, the loans will convert to long-term, fixed rate permanent loans.

### 5. Financing Receivables

The Company has financing receivables as defined in Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. To qualify as a financing receivable, a receivable must have both a specific maturity date, either on demand or on a fixed or determinable date, and it must be recognized as an asset in the Company s statement of financial position. In addition, certain investments in mortgage loans and policy loans were evaluated to determine whether they meet the definition of a financing receivable.

The table below identifies the Company s financing receivables by classification amount as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	J	Tune 30 2011	Dec	2010
Receivables:				
Agent receivables, net (allowance \$1,644; \$644 - 2010)	\$	2,124	\$	2,677
Investment-related financing receivables:				
Mortgage loans, net (allowance \$3,410; \$3,410 - 2010)		625,275		559,167
Total financing receivables	\$	627,399	\$	561,844

## **Agent Receivables**

The Company has agent receivables which are classified as financing receivables and which are reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts. These receivables are long-term in nature, are trade receivables with the Company s sales force, contain specifically agreed contracts and are specifically assessed as to the collectability of each receivable. The Company s gross agent receivables totaled \$3.7 million as of June 30, 2011 and the Company maintained an allowance for doubtful accounts totaling \$1.6 million. Gross agent receivables totaled \$3.3 million with an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.6 million at December 31, 2010. The Company has two types of agent receivables included in this category as follows:

Agent specific loans. As of June 30, 2011, these loans totaled \$0.5 million with a minimal allowance for doubtful accounts. As of December 31, 2010, agent specific loans totaled \$0.3 million and also had a minimal allowance for doubtful accounts. Various agent commission advances and other commission receivables. Gross agent receivables in this category totaled \$3.2 million, and the Company maintained an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.6 million as of June 30, 2011. Gross agent receivables totaled \$3.0 million and the allowance for doubtful accounts was \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2010.

### **Mortgage Loans**

The Company considers its mortgage loan portfolio to be long-term financing receivables. Mortgage loans are stated at cost, net of allowance for potential future losses. Mortgage loan interest income is recognized on an accrual basis with any premium or discount amortized over the life of the loan. Prepayment and late fees are recorded on the date of collection. Loans in foreclosure, loans considered impaired or loans past due 90 days or more are placed on a non-accrual status.

If a mortgage loan is determined to be on non-accrual status, the Company does not accrue interest income. The loan is independently monitored and evaluated as to potential impairment or foreclosure. This evaluation includes assessing the probability of receiving future cash flows, along with consideration of many of the factors described below. If delinquent payments are made and the loan is brought current, then the Company returns the loan to active status and accrues income accordingly.

32

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

Generally, the Company considers its mortgage loans to be a portfolio segment. The Company considers its primary class to be property type. The Company primarily uses loan-to-value as its credit risk quality indicator but also monitors additional secondary risk factors, such as geographic distribution both on a regional and specific state basis. The mortgage loan portfolio segment is presented by property-type in a table in this section. In addition, geographic distributions for both regional and significant state concentrations are also presented. These measures are also supplemented with various other analytics to provide additional information concerning mortgage loans and management s assessment of financing receivables.

The following table presents an aging schedule for delinquent payments for both principal and interest as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, by property type.

			Amount of Payments Past Due					
June 30, 2011	Boo	k Value	30-59 Days	60-89	Days	> 90	Days	Total
Industrial	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Medical		-	-		-		-	-
Office		3,018	29		-		-	29
Other		-	-		-		-	-
Total	\$	3,018	\$ 29	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 29
December 31, 2010								
Industrial	\$	1,187	\$ 11	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 11
Medical		-	-		-		-	-
Office		2,219	22		-		-	22
Other		-	-		-		-	-
Total	\$	3,406	\$ 33	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 33

As of June 30, 2011, there were two mortgage loans that were 30 days past due. Subsequently, payment was received on both of these loans and they were brought current in July 2011. As of December 31, 2010, there were two mortgage loans that were 30 days past due. Subsequently, payment was received on both of these loans and they were brought current in January 2011.

The allowance for losses on mortgage loans is maintained at a level believed by management to be adequate to absorb estimated credit losses. Management s periodic evaluation and assessment of the adequacy of the reserve is based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, historical and industry data, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. A loan is considered impaired if it is probable that contractual amounts due will not be collected. The Company s allowance for credit losses was \$3.4 million at June 30, 2011.

The Company monitors and evaluates the allowance for losses on mortgage loans using a process that includes many factors, as detailed in the Financial Receivable Mortgage Loans section of Note 3 Investments of the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

There are a number of significant risks and uncertainties inherent in the process of monitoring impairments on loans. These risks include but are not limited to:

The risk that the Company s assessment of a borrower to meet all of its contractual obligations will change based on changes in the credit characteristics of the borrower or property;

The risk that the economic outlook will be worse than expected or have more of an impact on the borrower than anticipated;

The risk that the performance of the underlying property could deteriorate in the future;

The risk that fraudulent, inaccurate or misleading information could be provided to the Company;

The risk that the methodology or assumptions used to develop estimates of the portion of the impairment of the loan prove over time to be inaccurate; and

The risk that other facts and circumstances change such that it becomes more likely than not that the Company will not obtain all of it contractual payments.

33

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

To the extent the Company s review and valuation determines a loan is impaired, that amount will be charged to the allowance for loss and the loan balance will be reduced. In the event the property is foreclosed upon, the carrying value will be written down to the lesser of the current fair value or book value of the property with a charge to the allowance for loss and a corresponding reduction to the mortgage loan asset.

Over the past three years, the Company has had one mortgage loan default, which occurred in the fourth quarter of 2010. The Company completed the foreclosure on this loan in the fourth quarter of 2010 with no impairment recorded due to the fair value of the property being greater than its book value. Based in part on the above factors, the Company has determined that it does not have any impairments in its portfolio. The Company had no loans that were restructured or modified in 2011.

The following table details the activity of the collectively evaluated allowance for losses on mortgage loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	June 30 2011		December 31 2010		
Beginning of year	\$	3,410	\$	3,410	
Additions		-		-	
Deductions		-		-	
End of year	\$	3,410	\$	3,410	

### 6. Variable Interest Entities

The Company invests in certain affordable housing and real estate joint ventures which are considered to be variable interest entities (VIEs) and are included in Real Estate in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The assets held in affordable housing real estate joint venture VIEs are primarily residential real estate properties that are restricted to provide affordable housing under federal or state programs for varying periods of time. The restrictions primarily apply to the rents that may be paid by tenants residing in the properties during the term of an agreement to remain in the affordable housing program. Investments in real estate joint ventures are equity interests in partnerships or limited liability corporations that may or may not participate in profits or residual value. In certain cases, the Company may issue fixed-rate senior mortgage loan investments secured by properties controlled by VIEs. These investments are classified as mortgage loans in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the income received from such investments is recorded as investment income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Investments in the affordable housing real estate and real estate joint ventures are interests that will absorb portions of the VIE s expected losses or receive portions of expected residual returns of the VIE s net assets exclusive of variable interests. The Company makes an initial assessment of whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time of the initial investment and on an ongoing basis thereafter. The Company considers many factors when making this determination based upon a review of the underlying investment agreement and other information related to the specific investment. The first factor is whether the Company has the ability to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance. The power to direct the activities of the VIE is generally vested in the managing general partner or managing member of the VIE, which is not the position held by the Company in these investments. Other factors include the entity s equity investment at risk, decision-making abilities, obligations to absorb economic risks and the right to receive economic rewards of the entity; and the extent to which the Company shares in the VIE s expected losses and residual returns.

Most of the Company s investment interests in VIEs not in the form of a fixed-rate senior mortgage debt investment are recorded using the equity method, with cash distributions from the VIE and cash contributions to the VIE recorded as decreases or increases, respectively, in the carrying value of the VIE. Certain other equity investments in VIEs, where permitted, are recorded on an amortized cost basis. The operating performance of investments in the VIE is recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income as investment income or as a component of income tax expense, depending upon the nature and primary design of the investment. The Company evaluates the carrying value of VIEs for

impairment on an ongoing basis to assess whether the carrying value is expected to be realized during the anticipated life of the investment. Fixed-rate senior mortgage debt investments secured by properties controlled by VIEs are classified as commercial mortgages, and income received from such investments is recorded as investment income.

34

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following table presents the carrying amount and maximum exposure to loss relating to VIEs for which the Company holds a variable interest, but is not the primary beneficiary, and which have not been consolidated at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The table includes investments in 11 real estate joint ventures and 28 affordable housing real estate joint ventures as of June 30, 2011 and investments in 10 real estate joint ventures and 28 affordable housing real estate joint ventures as of December 31, 2010.

		June 30 2011		December 31 2010		
		Maximum		Maximum		
	Carrying	Exposure	Carrying	Exposure		
	Amount	to Loss	Amount	to Loss		
Real estate joint ventures	\$ 35,527	\$ 35,527	\$ 35,089	\$ 35,089		
Affordable housing real estate joint ventures	21,049	63,735	21,129	63,444		
Total	\$ 56,576	\$ 99,262	\$ 56,218	\$ 98,533		

The maximum exposure to loss relating to the real estate joint ventures and affordable housing real estate joint ventures, as shown in the table above, is equal to the carrying amounts plus any unfunded equity commitments, exposure to potential recapture of tax credits, guarantees of debt or other obligations of the VIE with recourse to the Company. Unfunded equity and loan commitments typically require financial or operating performance by other parties and have not yet become due or payable but which may become due in the future. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$7.9 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, in fixed-rate senior mortgage loan commitments outstanding to the benefit of entities that are also real estate joint venture VIEs. The loan commitments are included in the discussion of commitments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for both periods. The Company also has contingent commitments to fund additional equity contributions and operating support to certain real estate joint venture VIEs, which could result in additional exposure to loss. However, the Company is not able to quantify the amount of these contingent commitments.

In addition, the maximum exposure to loss on affordable housing joint ventures as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 includes \$13.7 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, of losses which could be realized if the tax credits received by the VIEs were recaptured. Recapture events would cause the Company to reverse some or all of the benefit previously recognized by the Company or third parties to whom the tax credit interests were transferred. A recapture event can occur at any time during a 15-year required compliance period. The principal causes of recapture include financial default and non-compliance with affordable housing program requirements by the properties controlled by the VIE. The potential exposure due to recapture may be mitigated by guarantees from the managing member or managing partner in the VIE, insurance contracts, or changes in the residual value accruing to the Company s interests in the VIEs.

# 7. Comprehensive Income

Table of Contents

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes the unrealized investment gains or losses on securities available for sale (net of adjustments for realized investment gains or losses) net of adjustments to DAC, VOBA and policyholder account balances. In addition, other comprehensive income includes the change in the liability for benefit plan obligations. Other comprehensive income reflects these items net of tax.

35

56

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The table below provides information about comprehensive income for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	Quar	Six Mo	Six Months Ended				
	Ju	ine 30	Jı	June 30			
	2011	2010	2011		2010		
Net unrealized gains (losses) arising during the year	\$ 39,191	\$ 74,414	\$ 39,073	\$	114,221		
Less:							
Net realized investment gains (losses), excluding							
impairment losses	2,013	1,422	3,133		2,635		
Other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized							
in earnings	(238)	(1,458)	(507)		(3,049)		
Other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized							
in other comprehensive income	56	134	114		139		
Net unrealized gains (losses) excluding impairment							
losses	37,360	74,316	36,333		114,496		
Effect on DAC and VOBA	(6,897)	(20,842)	(6,830)		(34,901)		
Policyholder account balances	(4,628)	(6,769)	(3,511)		(8,650)		
Deferred income taxes	(9,042)	(16,347)	(9,097)		(24,831)		
Other comprehensive income	16,793	30,358	16,895		46,114		
Net income	11,173	10,060	15,964		11,023		
Comprehensive income	\$ 27,966	\$ 40,418	\$ 32,859	\$	57,137		

The following table provides accumulated balances related to each component of accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2011.

	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Non-Impaired Securities	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Impaired Securities	Benefit Plan Obligations	DAC/ VOBA Impact	Policyholder Account Balances	Tax Effect	Total
Beginning of year	\$ 122,422	\$ (12,231)	\$ (55,980)	\$ (35,538)	\$ (7,430)	\$ (3,436)	\$ 7,807
Other comprehensive income	35,114	1,220	-	(6,830)	(3,511)	(9,098)	16,895
End of period	\$ 157,536	\$ (11,011)	\$ (55,980)	\$ (42,368)	\$ (10,941)	\$ (12,534)	\$ 24,702

### 8. Notes Payable

The Company had no notes payable at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

As a member of the FHLB with a capital investment of \$4.9 million, the Company has the ability to borrow on a collateralized basis from the FHLB. The Company received dividends on the capital investment of less than \$0.1 million in the second quarter and \$0.1 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011. Dividends received were less than \$0.1 million in the second quarter and \$0.1 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

The Company has unsecured revolving lines of credit of \$60.0 million with two major commercial banks with no balances outstanding and which are at variable interest rates based upon short-term indices. These lines of credit will expire in June of 2012. The Company anticipates renewing these lines as they come due.

#### 9. Income Per Share

Due to the Company s capital structure and the absence of other potentially dilutive securities, there is no difference between basic and diluted earnings per common share for any of the periods reported. The average numbers of shares outstanding were 11,466,948 and 11,477,127 for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The average numbers of shares outstanding were 11,467,044 and 11,502,565 for six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

36

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

#### 10. Income Taxes

The second quarter income tax expense was \$5.8 million or 34% of income before tax for 2011, versus \$5.8 million or 36% of income before tax for the prior year period. The income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$8.3 million or 34% of income before tax, versus \$7.8 million or 41% of income before tax for the prior year period.

The effective income tax rate in the second quarter of 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was less than the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences, including the dividends-received deduction, which resulted in a tax benefit of approximately 1% of income before tax.

The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2010 exceeded the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35%. Favorable permanent differences, primarily from the dividends-received deduction, and a decrease in the tax contingency resulted in a benefit of approximately 4% of income before tax. The favorable differences were offset by expense of approximately 5% of income before tax related to the Company s investments in affordable housing.

The effective income tax rate in the six months ended June 30, 2010 exceeded the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35%, primarily due to additional tax expense incurred with respect to affordable housing investments. Affordable housing investments increased the tax rate by \$1.9 million or 10% of income before tax and include tax credit recapture events. Permanent differences, primarily from the dividends-received deduction, and a decrease in the tax contingency partially offset the adjustments related to affordable housing and resulted in a benefit of approximately 4% of income before tax.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had a \$1.5 million current tax liability and a \$62.4 million deferred tax liability compared to a \$0.2 million current tax liability and a \$53.3 million deferred tax liability as of December 31, 2010.

Federal income taxes paid during the first six months of 2011 and 2010 were \$6.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

#### 11. Segment Information

The Company has three reportable business segments, which are defined based on the nature of the products and services offered: Individual Insurance, Group Insurance and Old American. The Individual Insurance segment consists of individual insurance products for both Kansas City Life and Sunset Life. The Individual Insurance segment is marketed through a nationwide sales force of independent general agents and third-party marketing arrangements. The Group segment consists of sales of group life, dental, vision and long-term and short-term disability products. This segment is marketed through a nationwide sales force of independent general agents, group brokers and third-party marketing arrangements. The Old American segment consists of individual insurance products designed largely as final expense products. These products are marketed through a nationwide general agency sales force with exclusive territories, using direct response marketing to supply agents with leads.

Separate investment portfolios are maintained for each of the three life insurance companies. However, investment assets and income are allocated to the Group Insurance segment based upon its cash flows and future policy benefit liabilities. Most home office functions are fully integrated for all segments in order to maximize economies of scale. Therefore, operating expenses are allocated to the segments based upon internal cost studies, which are consistent with industry cost methodologies.

Inter-segment revenues are not material. The Company operates solely in the United States and no individual customer accounts for 10% or more of the Company s revenue.

Table of Contents 59

37

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

The following schedule provides the financial performance of each of the three reportable operating segments of the Company.

	Individual Insurance	Group Insurance	Old American	Intercompany Eliminations <sup>1</sup>	Consolidated	d
2011	\$ 25,542	\$ 12,246	\$ 16,899	\$ (134)	\$ 54,553	3
2010	31,812	12,955	16,198	(132)	60,833	3
2011	56,274	24,800	33,607	(269)	114,412	2
2010	65,340	25,203	32,210	(263)	122,490	)
	ŕ	·	,	· · ·	·	
2011	\$ 41,654	\$ 142	\$ 3,097	\$ -	\$ 44,893	3
2010	40,077	156	3,039	-	43,272	2
2011	83,767	287	6,230	-	90,284	Į.
2010	80,172	307	6,097	-	86,576	5
2011	\$ 11,145	\$ (360)	\$ 388	\$ -	\$ 11,173	3
2010	9,338	(338)	1,060	-	10,060	)
2011	17,250	(760)	(526)	-	15,964	ļ
2010	11,238	(872)	657	-	11,023	3
	2010 2011 2010 2011 2010 2011 2010 2011 2010 2011	Insurance  2011 \$ 25,542 2010 31,812 2011 56,274 2010 65,340  2011 \$ 41,654 2010 40,077 2011 83,767 2010 80,172  2011 \$ 11,145 2010 9,338 2011 17,250	Insurance Insurance  2011 \$ 25,542 \$ 12,246 2010 31,812 12,955 2011 56,274 24,800 2010 65,340 25,203  2011 \$ 41,654 \$ 142 2010 40,077 156 2011 83,767 287 2010 80,172 307  2011 \$ 11,145 \$ (360) 2010 9,338 (338) 2011 17,250 (760)	Insurance Insurance American  2011 \$ 25,542 \$ 12,246 \$ 16,899  2010 31,812 12,955 16,198  2011 56,274 24,800 33,607  2010 65,340 25,203 32,210  2011 \$ 41,654 \$ 142 \$ 3,097  2010 40,077 156 3,039  2011 83,767 287 6,230  2010 80,172 307 6,097  2011 \$ 11,145 \$ (360) \$ 388  2010 9,338 (338) 1,060  2011 17,250 (760) (526)	Insurance         Insurance         American         Eliminations 1           2011         \$ 25,542         \$ 12,246         \$ 16,899         \$ (134)           2010         31,812         12,955         16,198         (132)           2011         56,274         24,800         33,607         (269)           2010         65,340         25,203         32,210         (263)           2011         \$ 41,654         \$ 142         \$ 3,097         \$ -           2010         40,077         156         3,039         -           2011         83,767         287         6,230         -           2010         80,172         307         6,097         -           2011         \$ 11,145         \$ (360)         \$ 388         \$ -           2010         9,338         (338)         1,060         -           2011         17,250         (760)         (526)         -	Insurance         Insurance         American         Eliminations 1         Consolidated           2011         \$ 25,542         \$ 12,246         \$ 16,899         \$ (134)         \$ 54,553           2010         31,812         12,955         16,198         (132)         60,833           2011         56,274         24,800         33,607         (269)         114,412           2010         65,340         25,203         32,210         (263)         122,490           2011         \$ 41,654         \$ 142         \$ 3,097         \$ -         \$ 44,893           2010         40,077         156         3,039         -         43,272           2011         83,767         287         6,230         -         90,284           2010         80,172         307         6,097         -         86,576           2011         \$ 11,145         \$ (360)         \$ 388         \$ -         \$ 11,173           2010         9,338         (338)         1,060         -         10,060           2011         17,250         (760)         (526)         -         15,964

Elimination entries to remove intercompany transactions for life and accident and health insurance that the Company purchases for its employees and agents were as follows: insurance revenues from the Group Insurance segment and operating expenses from the Individual Insurance segment to arrive at Consolidated Statements of Income.

## 12. Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits

The following tables provide the components of net periodic benefit cost for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

		n Benefits er Ended	Other Benefits  Quarter Ended				
		ne 30		June 30			
	2011	2010	2011	2	2010		
Service cost	\$ -	\$ 473	\$ 161	\$	205		
Interest cost	1,871	1,819	387		460		
Expected return on plan assets Amortization of:	(2,342)	(2,159)	(9)		(11)		
Unrecognized actuarial gain (loss)	896	1,034	4		(57)		
Unrecognized prior service cost	-	(142)	(68)		(59)		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 425	\$ 1,025	\$ 475	\$	538		

38

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

	Six M	Pension Benefits Six Months Ended June 30			Other Benefits Six Months Ended June 30			
	2011	2010	2011	2011 201				
Service cost	\$ -	\$ 945	\$ 321	\$	409			
Interest cost	3,742	3,637	774		917			
Expected return on plan assets	(4,684)	(4,318)	(18)		(22)			
Amortization of:								
Unrecognized actuarial gain (loss)	1,792	2,068	9		(113)			
Unrecognized prior service cost	-	(283)	(136)		(118)			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 850	\$ 2,049	\$ 950	\$	1,073			

The postretirement plan disclosures included herein do not include the potential impact from the Medicare Act (the Act) that became law in December 2003. The Act introduced a new federal subsidy to sponsors of certain retiree healthcare plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare. Since the Company does not provide benefits that are actuarially equivalent to Medicare, the Act did not impact the Company s disclosures.

#### 13. Share-Based Payment

The Company has a long-term incentive plan for senior management that provides a cash award to participants for the increase in the share price of the Company s common stock through units (phantom shares) assigned by the Board of Directors. The cash award is calculated over a three-year interval on a calendar year basis. At the conclusion of each three-year interval, participants will receive a cash award based on the increase in the share price during a defined measurement period, times the number of units. The increase in the share price will be determined based on the change in the share price from the beginning to the end of the three-year interval. Dividends are accrued and paid at the end of each three-year interval to the extent that they exceed negative stock price appreciation. Plan payments are contingent on the continued employment of the participant unless termination is due to a qualifying event such as death, disability or retirement. The Company does not make payments in shares, warrants or options.

No payments were made under this plan during the first six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

At each reporting period, an estimate of the share-based compensation expense is accrued, utilizing the share price at the period end. The change in accrual for share-based compensation that reduced operating expense in the second quarter of 2011 was \$0.1 million, net of tax. The cost of share-based compensation accrued as an operating expense in the second quarter of 2010 was less than \$0.1 million, net of tax. The change in accrual for share-based compensation that reduced operating expense in the first six months of 2011 was less than \$0.1 million, net of tax. The cost of compensation accrued as an operating expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$0.1 million, net of tax.

### 14. Separate Accounts

Separate account assets and liabilities arise from the sale of variable universal life insurance and variable annuity products. The separate account represents funds segregated for the benefit of certain policyholders who assume the investment risk. The assets are legally segregated and are not subject to claims which may arise from any other business of the Company. The separate account assets and liabilities, which are equal, are recorded at fair value based upon net asset value (NAV). Policyholder account deposits and withdrawals, investment income and realized investment gains and losses are excluded from the amounts reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Revenues to the Company from separate accounts consist principally of contract charges, which include maintenance charges, administrative fees and mortality and risk charges.

The Company has a guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit (GMWB) rider that can be added to new or existing variable annuity contracts. The rider provides an enhanced withdrawal benefit that guarantees a stream of income payments to an owner or annuitant, regardless of the contract account value. The value of variable annuity separate accounts with the GMWB rider was \$88.2 million at June 30, 2011 (December 31, 2010 -

\$80.3 million) and the guarantee liability was (\$2.7) million at June 30, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - (\$2.8) million). The value of the GMWB rider is recorded at fair value. The change in this value is included in policyholder benefits in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The value of variable

39

## **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Continued

annuity separate accounts with the GMWB rider is recorded in separate account liabilities and the value of the rider is included in other policyholder funds in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The determination of fair value of the GMWB liability requires models that use actuarial and financial market assumptions, which reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the contract, including adjustments for risk and issuer non-performance.

Guarantees are offered under variable universal life and variable annuity contracts: a guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) rider is available on certain variable universal life contracts and GMDB are provided on all variable annuities. The GMDB rider for variable universal life and variable annuity contracts guarantees the death benefit for specified periods of time, regardless of investment performance, provided cumulative premium requirements are met. The total reserve held for the variable annuity GMDB at June 30, 2011 was \$0.2 million (December 31, 2010 - \$0.3 million).

## 15. Commitments

In the normal course of business, the Company has open purchase and sale commitments. At June 30, 2011, the Company had purchase commitments to fund mortgage loans and other investments of \$23.7 million and sales of real estate investments for \$0.2 million. At June 30, 2011, the Company also had a commitment to fund one construction-to-permanent loan of \$2.7 million that is subject to the borrower s performance.

Subsequent to June 30, 2011, the Company has funded \$0.1 million of the remaining commitment on the construction-to-permanent loan that was outstanding as of June 30, 2011.

#### 16. Contingent Liabilities

The life insurance industry, including the Company and its subsidiaries, has been subject to an increase in litigation in recent years. Such litigation has been pursued on behalf of purported classes of insurance purchasers, often questioning the conduct of insurers in the marketing of their products.

Similarly, the Company s retail broker-dealer subsidiary is in an industry that also involves substantial risks of liability. In recent years, litigation and arbitration proceedings involving actions against registered representatives and securities products (including mutual funds, variable annuities, and alternative investments such as real estate investment products, oil and gas investments, etc.) have continued to increase. Given the significant decline in the major market indices beginning in 2008, and the generally poor performance of investments that have historically been considered safe and conservative, there is the potential for an increase in the number of proceedings to which a broker-dealer may be named as a party.

In addition to the above, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in, or subject to, other claims or legal actions related to insurance and investment products. Some of these claims and legal actions are in jurisdictions where juries are given substantial latitude in assessing damages, including punitive damages.

Although no assurances can be given and no determinations can be made at this time, management believes that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions would not have a material effect on the Company s business, results of operations or financial position.

#### 17. Guarantees and Indemnifications

The Company is subject to various indemnification obligations issued in conjunction with certain transactions, primarily assumption reinsurance agreements, stock purchase agreements, mortgage servicing agreements, tax credit assignment agreements, construction and lease guarantees and borrowing agreements whose terms range in duration and often are not explicitly defined. Generally, a maximum obligation is not explicitly stated. Therefore, the overall maximum amount of the obligation under the indemnifications cannot be reasonably estimated. The Company is unable to estimate with certainty the ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to these indemnifications. The Company believes that the

likelihood is remote that material payments would be required under such indemnifications and therefore such indemnifications would not result in a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations.

# 18. Subsequent Events

On July 25, 2011, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.27 per share that will be paid August 10, 2011 to stockholders of record as of August 4, 2011.

40

# Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Amounts are stated in thousands, except share data, or as otherwise noted.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is intended to provide in narrative form the perspective of the management of Kansas City Life Insurance Company (the Company) on its financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect its future results. The following is a discussion and analysis of the results of operations for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and the financial condition of the Company as of June 30, 2011. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this document, as well as the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

#### Overview

Kansas City Life Insurance Company is a financial services company that is predominantly focused on the underwriting, sales, and administration of life and annuity insurance products. The consolidated entity (the Company) primarily consists of three life insurance companies. Kansas City Life Insurance Company (Kansas City Life) is the parent company. Sunset Life Insurance Company of America (Sunset Life) and Old American Insurance Company (Old American) are wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Kansas City Life markets individual insurance products, including traditional, interest sensitive and variable products through a nationwide sales force of independent general agents and third-party marketing arrangements. Kansas City Life also markets group insurance products, which include life, dental, vision and disability products through its sales force of independent general agents, group brokers and third-party marketing arrangements. Kansas City Life operates in 48 states and the District of Columbia.

Sunset Life is a life insurance company that maintains its current block of business, but does not solicit new sales. Sunset Life is included in the Individual Insurance segment and its individual insurance products include traditional and interest sensitive products. Sunset Life operates in 43 states and the District of Columbia.

Old American focuses on selling final expense life insurance products to the senior market. Old American markets its products nationwide through a general agency system, with exclusive territories, using direct response marketing to supply agents with leads. Old American s administrative and accounting operations are part of the Company s home office but it operates and maintains a separate marketing function and independent field force. Old American operates in 47 states and the District of Columbia.

The Company offers investment products and broker dealer services through its subsidiary Sunset Financial Services, Inc. (SFS) for both proprietary and non-proprietary variable insurance products, mutual funds and other securities.

The Company operates in the life insurance sector of the financial services industry in the United States. This industry is highly competitive with respect to pricing, selection of products and quality of service. No single competitor or any small group of competitors dominates any of the markets in which the Company operates.

The Company earns revenues primarily from premiums received from the sale of life, immediate annuity and accident and health policies, from earnings on its investment portfolio and from the sale of investment assets. Revenues from the sale of traditional life insurance, immediate annuity products and accident and health products are reported as premium income for financial statement purposes. Considerations for supplementary contracts with life contingencies are reported as part of other revenues. However, deposits received from the sale of interest sensitive products, namely universal life insurance products, fixed deferred annuities, variable universal life, variable annuities and supplementary contracts without life contingencies, are not reported as premium revenues. These are instead reported as additions to the policyholders account balances and are reflected as deposits in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Accordingly, revenues on these products are recognized over time in the form of contract charges assessed against policyholder account balances, charges assessed on the early surrender of policyholder account balances and other charges deducted from policyholders balances.

The Company s profitability depends on many factors, which include but are not limited to:

The sale of life, annuity, and accident and health products;

The rate of mortality, lapse and surrenders of future policy benefits and policyholder account balances;

The rate of morbidity, disability and incurrence of other policyholder benefits;

41

Persistency of existing insurance policies;

Interest rates credited to policyholders;

The effectiveness of reinsurance programs;

The amount of investment assets under management;

Investment spreads earned on policyholder account balances;

The ability to maximize investment returns and minimize risks such as interest rate risk, credit risk and equity risk;

Timely and cost-effective access to liquidity; and

Management of distribution costs and operating expenses.

Strong sales competition, highly competitive products and a challenging economic environment present significant challenges to the Company from a new sales perspective. The Company s primary emphasis is on expanding sales of individual life insurance products. The Company s continued focus is on delivering competitive products for a reasonable cost, prompt customer service, excellent financial strength and effective sales and marketing support to the field force.

The Company generates cash largely through premiums collected from the sale of insurance products, deposits through the sale of universal life-type and deposit-type products and through investment activity. The principal uses of cash are for the insurance operations, including the purchase of investments, payment of insurance benefits and withdrawals from policyholder accounts, operating expenses, premium taxes, and costs related to acquiring new business. In addition, cash is used to pay income taxes and stockholder dividends, as well as to fund potential acquisition opportunities.

General economic conditions may affect future results. Interim results are not indicative of results for the entire year and should be read in conjunction with the Company s 2010 Form 10-K. Market fluctuations, often extreme in nature, in recent periods have significantly impacted the financial markets and the Company s investments and revenues. The interest rate and credit environments have presented significant challenges to the financial markets as a whole and specifically to companies invested in fixed maturity and equity securities. These conditions have improved in the most recent reporting periods, but the improvements continue to be uneven and the stressed economic and market environment may persist into the future. The Company is broadly diversified and has high quality investments, as 93% of all fixed maturity securities were rated by national rating organizations as investment grade as of June 30, 2011.

## **Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information**

This report reviews the Company s financial condition and results of operations, and historical information is presented and discussed. Where appropriate, factors that may affect future financial performance are also identified and discussed. Certain statements made in this report include forward-looking statements that fall within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate or imply future results, performance or achievements rather than historical facts and may contain words like believe, expect, estimate, project, forecast, anticipate, plan, will, shall, and other words, phrases or similar meaning.

Actual results may differ materially from those included in the forward-looking statements as a result of risks and uncertainties. Those risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risk factors listed in Item 1A. Risk Factors and Cautionary Factors that may Affect Future Results as filed in the Company s 2010 Form 10-K.

#### **Consolidated Results of Operations**

#### Summary of Results

The Company s net income in the second quarter of 2011 was \$11.2 million, an increase of \$1.1 million from the same quarter in the prior year. Net income per share was \$0.97 per share versus \$0.88 per share in the second quarter of 2010. Net income for the first six months of 2011 was \$16.0 million, an increase of \$4.9 million or 45% compared to last year. Net income per share was \$1.39, an increase of \$0.43 per share versus the same period one year earlier.

Net income increased in the second quarter of 2011 due to several factors. First, net investment income increased \$1.6 million. Second, realized investment gains increased \$1.5 million. Third, the amortization of deferred acquisition costs (DAC) decreased \$1.5 million. Fourth, interest credited on policyholder account balances decreased \$0.8 million. Finally, the Company experienced a decrease in benefit and contract reserves of \$5.7 million. Partially offsetting these factors were decreases in immediate annuities sales of \$3.5 million and contract charges of \$2.9 million, as well as increases in death benefits of \$2.2 million and the amortization of the VOBA of \$1.0 million.

42

Contributing to the increase in net income for the six months were increases in both net investment income of \$3.7 million and realized investment gains of \$2.6 million. Decreases in interest credited on policyholder account balances of \$1.5 million and a decrease in benefit and contract reserves of \$11.8 million also contributed to the increase in net income. Partially offsetting these favorable items was an increase in death benefits of \$5.7 million and declines in immediate annuities sales of \$5.9 million, and contract charges of \$3.4 million.

#### Sales

The Company measures sales in terms of new premiums and deposits. Sales of traditional life insurance, immediate annuities and accident and health products are reported as premium income for financial statement purposes. Deposits received from the sale of interest sensitive products, including universal life insurance, fixed deferred annuities, variable universal life, variable annuities and supplementary contracts without life contingencies are reflected as deposits in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The Company s marketing plan for individual products primarily focuses on three main aspects; providing financial security with respect to life insurance; the accumulation of long-term value; and future retirement income needs. The primary emphasis is on the growth of individual life insurance business, including new premiums for individual life products and new deposits for universal life and variable universal life products.

Sales are primarily made through the Company s existing sales force. The Company emphasizes growth of the sales force with the addition of new general agents and agents. The Company believes that increased sales will result through both the number and productivity of general agents and agents. In addition, the Company has placed an emphasis on training and direct support to the field force to assist new agents in their start-up phase, support existing agents to stay abreast of the ever changing regulatory environment, and introduce agents to new products and enhanced features of existing products. On occasion, the Company may also selectively utilize third-party marketing arrangements to enhance its sales objectives. This allows the Company flexibility to identify niches or pursue unique avenues in the existing market environment and to react quickly to take advantage of opportunities when they occur.

The Company also markets a series of group products. These products include group life, dental, disability, and vision products. The primary growth strategies for these products include increased productivity of the existing group representatives, planned expansion of the group distribution system and also to selectively utilize third-party marketing arrangements. Further, growth is to be supported by the addition of new products to the portfolio, particularly voluntary-type products.

The following tables present gross premiums by new and renewal business, less reinsurance ceded, as included in insurance revenues, for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. New premiums are detailed by product.

	Quarter Ended					
	June 30					
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change	
New premiums:						
Individual life insurance	\$ 4,313	5	\$	4,094	20	
Immediate annuities	1,037	(77)		4,562	178	
Group life insurance	453	(9)		498	54	
Group accident and health insurance	3,367	5		3,207	35	
Total new premiums	9,170	(26)		12,361	59	
Renewal premiums	36,509	2		35,890	1	
Total premiums	45,679	(5)		48,251	12	
Reinsurance ceded	(14,878)	6		(14,086)	6	
Premiums, net	\$ 30,801	(10)	\$	34,165	14	

Table of Contents 70

43

	Six Months Ended					
		Jui	ne 30			
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change	
New premiums:						
Individual life insurance	\$ 8,724	9	\$	8,028	20	
Immediate annuities	3,746	(62)		9,948	66	
Group life insurance	947	(16)		1,127	45	
Group accident and health insurance	6,991	7		6,534	37	
Total new premiums	20,408	(20)		25,637	41	
Renewal premiums	71,955	2		70,543	(1)	
Total premiums	92,363	(4)		96,180	7	
Reinsurance ceded	(27,937)	3		(27,032)	5	
Premiums, net	\$ 64,426	(7)	\$	69,148	8	

Consolidated total premiums decreased \$2.6 million or 5% in the second quarter of 2011 versus the same period in the prior year, as total new premiums decreased \$3.2 million or 26%. However, total renewal premiums increased \$0.6 million or 2%. The decrease in new premiums was due to a \$3.5 million or 77% decrease in immediate annuities. This decrease was largely the result of elevated sales of this product in 2010 due to the demand of guaranteed benefits by consumers at that time. New individual life insurance premiums increased \$0.2 million or 5%, primarily reflecting a 10% increase in new premiums in the Old American segment. The increase in new premiums from the Old American segment primarily reflects greater field force productivity and improvement in the expansion of targeted distribution opportunities. New group accident and health premiums increased \$0.2 million or 5%, primarily due to increased sales of short-term disability products. The group segment has expanded the use of a third-party marketing organization, specifically in the short-term disability market, which has resulted in increased new sales of this product. The increase in renewal premiums was primarily due to a \$0.5 million or 2% increase in individual life sales, largely from the Old American segment.

Consolidated total premiums decreased \$3.8 million or 4% for the six months of 2011 versus the same period in the prior year, reflecting a \$6.2 million or 62% decrease in new immediate annuity sales. However, total renewal premiums increased \$1.4 million or 2% in the six months. The decrease in new immediate annuities was largely the result of elevated sales of this product in 2010 due to the demand of guaranteed benefits by consumers at that time. New individual life insurance premiums increased \$0.7 million or 9%, primarily reflecting a 13% increase in new premiums in the Old American segment. The increase in new premiums from the Old American segment primarily reflects the continued results of greater field force productivity and improved targeted distribution opportunities. New group accident and health premiums increased \$0.5 million or 7%, primarily due to increased sales of short-term disability products. The group segment expanded the use of a third-party marketing organization in 2011, specifically in the short-term disability market, which has resulted in increased new sales of this product. However, the segment has also significantly reinsured the risk associated with this product. The increase in renewal premiums was due to a \$0.7 million or 1% increase in individual life sales, primarily from the Old American segment and a \$0.5 million or 3% increase in group accident and health premiums from both long-term and short-term disability products.

The following tables reconcile deposits with the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and provides detail by new and renewal deposits for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. New deposits are also detailed by product.

	Quarter Ended June 30					
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change	
New deposits:						
Universal life insurance	\$ 3,750	22	\$	3,062	44	
Variable universal life insurance	268	35		199	(32)	
Fixed deferred annuities	18,025	58		11,435	(62)	
Variable annuities	6,142	10		5,594	14	
Total new deposits	28,185	39		20,290	(46)	
Renewal deposits	36,333	-		36,500	16	

Total deposits \$64,518 14 \$ 56,790 (18)

44

	Six Months Ended June 30						
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change		
New deposits:							
Universal life insurance	\$ 6,562	1	\$	6,498	64		
Variable universal life insurance	493	12		440	(37)		
Fixed deferred annuities	32,917	47		22,443	(50)		
Variable annuities	9,979	(13)		11,517	48		
Total new deposits	49,951	22		40,898	(29)		
Renewal deposits	72,031	3		69,620	7		
Total deposits	\$ 121,982	10	\$	110,518	(10)		

Total new deposits increased \$7.9 million or 39% in the second quarter of 2011 compared with the prior year. New deposits for all of the Company's primary deposit products increased in the second quarter of 2011, led by a \$6.6 million or 58% increase in new fixed deferred annuity deposits. In addition, new deposits of universal life products increased \$0.7 million or 22% and variable life and annuity deposits increased \$0.6 million or 11%. The increase in new fixed deferred annuity deposits can be largely attributed to the availability of a new rider offered by the Company, which added to sales during the first half of 2011. Total renewal deposits were flat for the second quarter of 2011 compared with the same period one year ago. Fixed deferred annuity renewal deposits increased \$0.2 million or 2%, which was offset by a decrease in renewal variable annuity deposits.

Total new deposits increased \$9.1 million or 22% in the first six months of 2011 compared with the prior year. This increase was driven by fixed deferred annuity sales, which increased \$10.5 million or 47% in the first half of 2011. Universal life and variable universal life products increased 1% and 12%, respectively, for the six months of 2011. However, new variable annuity deposits decreased \$1.5 million or 13%. Total renewal deposits increased \$2.4 million or 3% in the first six months of 2011. The increase in renewal deposits was also driven by the increase in deposits of fixed deferred annuities, which increased \$2.2 million or 14%, and by variable annuity deposits, which increased \$0.7 million or 13%. New universal life deposits were flat and variable universal life deposits decreased \$0.4 million or 6% in the first half of 2011.

#### Insurance Revenues

Insurance revenues consist of premiums, net of reinsurance, and contract charges. In the second quarter of 2011, total insurance revenues decreased \$6.3 million or 10%, reflecting a \$3.4 million or 10% decrease in net premiums and a \$2.9 million or 11% decrease in contract charges. Total immediate annuity premiums decreased \$3.5 million or 77%, however, total individual life premiums and total accident and health premiums increased \$0.8 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, versus the prior year. In addition, reinsurance ceded increased \$0.8 million or 6% in the second quarter, largely from new short-term disability sales.

Insurance revenues for the six months of 2011 decreased \$8.1 million or 7%, largely from a decrease in immediate annuities of \$5.9 million or 60% and a decrease in contract charges of \$3.4 million or 6% compared to the first half of 2010. Total individual life premiums increased \$1.4 million or 2%, primarily reflecting an increase from the Old American segment. Accident and health premiums increased \$0.8 million or 3%, largely driven from disability sales from the Group segment. Partially offsetting the increase in life and accident and health sales was an increase in reinsurance ceded. Reinsurance ceded increased largely due to an increase in Group accident and health disability sales.

Contract charges consist of cost of insurance, expense loads, amortization of unearned revenues, and surrender charges. Certain contract charges for universal life, deposit or investment products are not recognized in income immediately but are deferred and amortized into income in proportion to the expected future gross profits of the business, in a manner similar to DAC. Profit expectations are based upon assumptions of future interest spreads, mortality margins, expense margins and policy and premium persistency experience. At least annually, a review is performed of the assumptions related to profit expectations. If it is determined the assumptions should be revised, the impact is recorded as a change in the revenue reported in the current period as an unlocking adjustment. Unlocking adjustments occurred in both the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 and are discussed below.

Total contract charges on all blocks of business decreased \$2.9 million or 11% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010. In addition, total contract charges decreased \$3.4 million or 6% in the first half of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Contract charges are impacted by the sales of new products and the persistency of both existing and closed blocks of business. In addition, contract charges, specifically deferred revenues, can be impacted by unlocking adjustments. The results in both the second quarter and the six months of 2011 were impacted by all three factors.

Contract charges on closed blocks of business decreased \$0.5 million or 6% in the second quarter and \$0.9 million or 5% for the first six months. Surrender charges from the closed blocks declined slightly in both the second quarter and six months, reflecting reduced surrenders over this period on these closed blocks. Surrender charges on ongoing blocks also decreased over the same periods. The cost of insurance charges and expense loads were flat for the second quarter and six months on ongoing business and down slightly on closed blocks. These closed blocks of business reflect policies and companies that the Company has purchased but to which the Company is not actively pursuing marketing efforts to generate new sales and has the intent of servicing to achieve long-term purchased profit streams. Total contract charges on these closed blocks were approximately one-third of total consolidated contract charges for both the second quarter and six months of both periods presented.

An additional component of contract charges is the recognition over time of the deferred revenue liability (DRL) from certain universal life policies. This liability arises from front-end loads on such policies and is recognized into the Consolidated Statements of Income in concert with the future expected gross profits, similar to the amortization of DAC.

Unlocking or other events may also have an impact on future expected gross profits on products and policies. If it is determined that it is appropriate to change the assumptions of future experience, then an unlocking adjustment is recognized for the block of business being evaluated. Certain assumptions, such as interest spreads and surrender rates, may be interrelated. As such, unlocking adjustments often reflect revisions to multiple assumptions. In addition, the Company may also consider refinements in estimates for other unusual or one-time occurrences for events such as administrative or actuarial system upgrades. These items are applied to the appropriate financial statement line items similar to unlocking adjustments.

At least annually, a review is performed regarding the assumptions related to future expected gross profits on products and policies consistent with those performed for DAC and VOBA. If it is determined that the assumptions should be revised, an adjustment may be recorded to contract charge deferred revenues in the current period as an unlocking adjustment. The Company had an unlocking in the DRL in both the second quarters of 2011 and 2010. In 2011, the unlocking was the result of several factors, the largest of which was associated with future mortality experience. This included the use of a new industry mortality table and the corresponding impact of reinsurance. The impact of the unlocking in 2011 was an increase in the DRL liability and a reduction in contract charges in the amount of \$1.8 million. The 2010 unlocking adjustment reflected actual experience from mortality results, premium persistency, and surrender rates that had emerged. The impact of the unlocking on DRL was a decrease in the liability and a corresponding increase in the recognition of deferred revenue in the second quarter of 2010 in the amount of \$1.1 million.

The Company s refinement in methodology in 2011 was less than \$0.1 million. However, in 2010, the Company had a refinement in methodology that resulted in a change in estimate. The Company refined its methodology, primarily as a result of the implementation of an actuarial system upgrade. This upgrade allowed the Company to refine its calculation of the DRL liability. The effect of the refinement in estimate on the DRL was an increase in the liability and a reduction to contract charges of \$0.5 million.

The Company uses reinsurance as a means to mitigate its risks and to reduce the earnings volatility from claims. Reinsurance ceded increased \$0.8 million or 6% in the second quarter as compared to the same period in 2010. Reinsurance ceded for the six months increased \$0.9 million or 3% compared with the prior year. Reinsurance ceded for the Individual Insurance segment decreased 1% in both the second quarter and six months. The Group segment experienced a \$1.0 million or 45% increase in reinsurance ceded in the second quarter, largely due to increased disability sales from a third-party arrangement where the risk is 100% reinsured. Reinsurance ceded for the Old American segment declined 16% in the second quarter of 2011 and 12% for the six months of 2011, reflecting the continued runoff of a large closed block of reinsured business.

## Investment Revenues

Gross investment income is largely composed of interest, dividends and other earnings on fixed maturity securities, equity securities, short-term investments, mortgage loans, real estate and policy loans. Gross investment income increased \$1.6 million or 4% in the second quarter of 2011, compared with the same period in 2010. Gross investment income for the six months increased \$4.0 million or 4% versus 2010. This overall improvement resulted from both an increase in average invested assets and higher yields earned, including increased mortgage loan holdings in 2011 and an improvement in the market value of an alternative investment fund.

Fixed maturity securities provided a majority of the Company s investment income during the second quarter ended June 30, 2011. Income on these investments declined \$0.4 million in both the second quarter and six months, as the variance from rate declines were partially offset by a slight increase in average volume.

46

Investment income from mortgage loans increased approximately \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Investment income from mortgage loans increased \$3.1 million for the six months compared to the six months of 2010. These improvements were largely the result of higher mortgage loan portfolio holdings in 2011 compared to 2010, as the Company significantly increased the mortgage loan balance through purchases made during both the second through fourth quarters of 2010 and in the second quarter of 2011. During 2010, the Company purchased approximately \$84.6 million in mortgage loans, and the Company purchased another \$72.3 million in mortgage loans during the second quarter of 2011. The purchased loans are seasoned performing loans having characteristics of property type, geographical diversification, term, underwriting and cash flows that are similar to the Company s portfolio of originated loans.

In addition, the market value improved on an alternative investment fund, which resulted in an increase of investment income of \$0.3 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year and \$0.9 million for the six months of 2011 versus the prior year.

Net investment income is stated net of investment expenses. Investment expenses decreased less than \$0.1 million or 1% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 and increased \$0.3 million in the six months of 2011 versus the same period of the prior year. These variances can largely be attributed to real estate expenses. In the second quarter real estate expenses were flat. For the six months, real estate expenses increased as a result of additional expense associated with tenant improvements earlier in 2011.

The following table provides detail concerning realized investment gains and losses for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	Quarter Ended June 30			Six Months Ended June 30		
	2011		2010	2011		2010
Gross gains resulting from:						
Sales of investment securities	\$ 3,341	\$	621	\$ 3,652	\$	1,624
Investment securities called and other	387		868	1,250		1,166
Total gross gains	3,728		1,489	4,902		2,790
Gross losses resulting from:						
Sales of investment securities	(1,590)		-	(1,590)		-
Investment securities called and other	(125)		(67)	(179)		(155)
Mortgage loans	-		-	(3)		-
Total gross losses	(1,715)		(67)	(1,772)		(155)
Amortization of DAC and VOBA	(120)		71	(225)		181
Net realized investment gains, excluding impairment losses	1,893		1,493	2,905		2,816
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings:						
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(238)		(1,458)	(507)		(3,049)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	56		134	114		139
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(182)		(1,324)	(393)		(2,910)
Realized investment gains (losses)	\$ 1,711	\$	169	\$ 2,512	\$	(94)

The Company recorded a net realized investment gain of \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared with a \$0.2 million net realized investment gain in the second quarter of 2010. During the second quarter of 2011, investment losses of \$0.2 million were recorded due to write-downs of investment securities that were considered other-than-temporarily impaired. These were offset by \$3.3 million in gains from the sale of investment securities and \$0.4 million in gains from investment securities called and other. Of the gains on sale of investment securities, \$2.6 million was realized on the sale of two securities that had been previously written down due to other-than-temporary impairment. In the above table, investment securities called and other includes, but is not limited to, principal paydowns and sinking funds.

# Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Net realized investment gains for the first six months totaled \$2.5 million in 2011 compared to a \$0.1 million net investment loss in 2010. Investment losses of \$0.4 million were due to write-downs of investment securities that were considered other-than-temporarily impaired during the six months, including \$0.2 million from the second quarter and \$0.2 million from the first quarter of 2011. These were offset by \$3.7 million in gains from the sale of investment securities and \$1.2 million in gains from investment securities called and other.

The Company s analysis of securities for the second quarter ended June 30, 2011 resulted in the determination that six fixed-maturity securities had other-than-temporary impairments and were written down by a combined \$0.2 million due to credit impairments. Four of these six securities accounted for all of the other-than-temporary impairments in the first quarter of 2011. All of the securities with other-than-temporary impairments in both the second quarter and six months were residential mortgage-backed securities that had incremental losses, reflecting deterioration in the present value of expected future cash flows. The additional losses from these residential mortgage-backed securities totaled \$0.3 million in the first quarter and \$0.2 million in the second quarter of 2011, including \$0.1 million in both the first and second quarters of 2011 that were determined to be non-credit and recognized in other comprehensive income. The total fair value of the affected securities after the write-downs was \$49.3 million.

The following tables summarize securities with other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings by business segment during the first two quarters and six months of 2011 and 2010 by asset class:

	Ma	er Ended arch 31 2011	Ju	er Ended ne 30	Jun	ths Ended e 30
Bonds:						
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Individual Insurance	\$	188	\$	164	\$	352
Old American		23		18	·	41
Total		211		182		393
Segment detail:						
Individual Insurance		188		164		352
Old American		23		18		41
Consolidated total	\$	211	\$	182	\$	393
	Quarter Ended March 31 2010		Quarter Ended June 30 2010		En Jun	Months ded e 30
Bonds:						
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Individual Insurance	\$	737	\$	366	\$	1,103
Old American		109		18		127
Other:						
Individual Insurance		740		807		1,547
Old American		-		133		133
Total		1,586		1,324		2,910
Segment detail:						
Individual Insurance		1,477		1,173		2,650
Old American		109		151		260
Consolidated total	\$	1,586	\$	1,324	\$	2,910

48

The following table provides detail regarding six individual investment securities that were written down through earnings during the first six months of 2011 by business segment, none of which exceeded \$0.5 million on a consolidated basis.

		Impairment L	oss	
	Individual	Old		
Security	Insurance	American	Consolidated	Description
Other - 6 securities	352	41	393	
Total	\$352	\$41	\$393	

The following table provides detail regarding 12 individual investment securities that were written down through earnings during the first six months of 2010 by business segment, of which one security exceeded \$0.5 million on a consolidated basis.

		Impairment L	oss	
	Individual	Old		
Security	Insurance	American	Consolidated	Description
Securitization of U.S. government	\$599	\$-	\$599	Liquidation of the security by the trustees, at
guaranteed student loans				the direction of a majority of bondholders.
Other - 11 securities	2,051	260	2,311	
Total	\$2,650	\$260	\$2,910	

#### Investment Accounting Policy and Analysis of Investments

The Company seeks to protect policyholders benefits and achieve a desired level of organizational profitability by optimizing risk and return on an ongoing basis through managing asset and liability cash flows, monitoring credit risk, avoiding high levels of investments that may be redeemed by the issuer, maintaining sufficiently liquid investments and avoiding undue asset concentrations through diversification, among other things. The Company has three primary sources of investment risk:

Credit risk, relating to the uncertainty associated with the continued ability of a given obligor to make timely payments of principal and interest:

Interest rate risk, relating to the market price and/or cash flow associated with changes in market yields and curves; and Liquidity risk, relating to the risk that investments cannot be converted into cash when needed or that the terms for conversion have a negative effect on the Company.

The Company s ability to manage these risks is essential to the success of the organization. In particular, the Company devotes considerable resources to the credit analysis of each new investment and the ongoing credit positions. The majority of the Company s investments are exposed to varying degrees of credit risk. Credit risk is the risk that the value of the investment may decline due to deterioration in the financial strength of the issuer and that the timely or ultimate payment of principal or interest might not occur. A default by an issuer usually involves some loss of principal to the investor. Losses can be mitigated by timely sales of affected securities or by active involvement in a restructuring process. However, there can be no assurance that the efforts of an investor will lead to favorable outcomes in a bankruptcy or restructuring. Credit risk is managed primarily through industry, issuer, and structure diversification.

The following table provides information regarding fixed maturity and equity securities by asset class as of June 30, 2011.

	Total Fair Value	% of Total	Fair Value of Securities with Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Gains	Fair Value of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Losses
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.	ф. 120.204	r or	ф. 110.52 <b>7</b>	Ф 7.027	Φ 0.757	Φ 164
Government	\$ 129,294	5%	\$ 119,537	\$ 7,937	\$ 9,757	\$ 164
Federal agencies <sup>1</sup>	26,663	1%	26,663	2,210	-	-
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed						
securities <sup>1</sup>	128,848	5%	128,552	10,156	296	2
Subtotal	284,805	11%	274,752	20,303	10,053	166
Corporate obligations:	,,,,,,		,,,,	.,	1,111	
Industrial	432,299	16%	370,948	30,851	61,351	1,532
Energy	166,859	6%	160,052	17,101	6,807	56
Communications and technology	188,967	7%	173,070	11,406	15,897	194
Financial	330,420	12%	298,535	17,959	31,885	2,044
Consumer	455,577	17%	411,393	32,688	44,184	1,321
Public utilities	307,188	12%	281,377	28,874	25,811	1,549
Subtotal	1,881,310	70%	1,695,375	138,879	185,935	6,696
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed	, , .		,,.		,	2,22
securities	184,666	7%	72,776	2,531	111,890	8,752
Municipal securities	155,379	6%	122,108	5,013	33,271	977
Other	98,396	4%	41,679	2,940	56,717	8,695
Redeemable preferred stocks	11,818	-	8,339	285	3,479	202
•						
Fixed maturity securities	2,616,374	98%	2,215,029	169,951	401,345	25,488
Equity securities	39,631	2%	37,585	2,187	2,046	125
Total	\$ 2,656,005	100%	\$ 2,252,614	\$ 172,138	\$ 403,391	\$ 25,613

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

The following table provides information regarding fixed maturity and equity securities by asset class as of December 31, 2010.

	Total Fair Value	% of Total	Fair Value of Securities with Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Gains	Fair Value of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Losses
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.						
Government	\$ 135,142	5%	\$ 125,273	\$ 7,180	\$ 9,869	\$ 318
Federal agencies <sup>1</sup>	26,095	1%	26,095	1,951	-	-
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed						
securities <sup>1</sup>	138,056	5%	137,759	9,740	297	2
Subtotal	299,293	11%	289,127	18,871	10,166	320
Corporate obligations:						
Industrial	432,518	16%	352,700	26,255	79,818	2,930
Energy	178,511	7%	170,663	15,498	7,848	224
Communications and technology	172,946	6%	134,184	9,243	38,762	796
Financial	350,659	13%	261,745	14,161	88,914	5,022
Consumer	430,504	16%	347,883	28,725	82,621	2,373
Public utilities	324,800	12%	296,241	27,640	28,559	1,466
Subtotal	1,889,938	70%	1,563,416	121,522	326,522	12,811
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed	, ,		, ,	,	ĺ	
securities	195,055	7%	98,474	2,352	96,581	16,826
Municipal securities	151,831	6%	62,887	1,319	88,944	3,301
Other	98,002	4%	38,135	5,194	59,867	7,739
Redeemable preferred stocks	14,769	1%	9,818	342	4,951	440
Fixed maturity securities	2,648,888	99%	2,061,857	149,600	587,031	41,437
Equity securities	38,321	1%	36,287	2,165	2,034	137
· ·	•		•	-	•	
Total	\$ 2,687,209	100%	\$ 2,098,144	\$ 151,765	\$ 589,065	\$ 41,574

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had \$41.6 million in gross unrealized losses on investment securities which were offset by \$151.8 million in gross unrealized gains. As of June 30, 2011, the Company s unrealized losses on investment securities had decreased to \$25.6 million and were offset by \$172.1 million in gross unrealized gains. As of June 30, 2011, 26% of the gross unrealized losses were in the category of corporate obligations. The financial sector was the single largest contributor to this category, reflecting the direct and indirect impact of the troubled residential real estate and mortgage markets. In addition, 34% of the gross unrealized losses were in the category of corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities, also due to the troubled residential real estate and mortgage markets. As of June 30, 2011, 85% of the total fair value of the fixed maturities portfolio had unrealized gains, up from 78% at December 31, 2010.

The following table identifies fixed maturity securities available for sale by rating.

	June 30,	2011	December 31, 2010		
	Fair	%	Fair	%	
Equivalent S&P Rating	Value	of Total	Value	of Total	
AAA	\$ 476,361	18%	\$ 511,854	19%	
AA	297,832	11%	278,850	11%	
A	757,790	29%	780,919	30%	
BBB	908,778	35%	905,540	34%	
Total investment grade	2,440,761	93%	2,477,163	94%	
BB	46,756	2%	56,973	2%	
B and below	128,857	5%	114,752	4%	
Total below investment grade	175,613	7%	171,725	6%	
	-,-		,		
	\$ 2,616,374	100%	\$ 2,648,888	100%	

As of June 30, 2011, 93% of all fixed maturity securities were investment grade. This is a decline from 94% at December 31, 2010.

## Analysis of Unrealized Losses on Securities

The Company reviews all security investments, and particular attention is given to those having unrealized losses. Further, the Company specifically assesses all investments with greater than 10% declines in fair value below amortized cost and, in general, monitors all security investments as to ongoing risk. These risks are fundamentally evaluated through both a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the issuer. The Company also prepares a formal review document no less often than quarterly of all investments where fair value is less than 80% of amortized cost for six months or more, as well as selected investments that have experienced significant changes in fair value from a previous period and that have a decline in fair value greater than 10% of amortized cost.

The Company has a policy and process in place to identify securities that could potentially have an impairment that is other-than-temporary. This process involves monitoring market events and other items that could impact issuers such as:

Intent and ability to make all principal and interest payments when due;

Near-term business prospects;

Cash flow and liquidity;

Credit ratings;

Business climate;

Management changes;

Litigation and government actions; and

Other similar factors.

This process also involves monitoring several factors including late payments, downgrades by rating agencies, asset quality, key financial ratios, financial statements, revenue forecasts and cash flow projections as indicators of credit issues.

All securities are reviewed to determine whether other-than-temporary impairments should be recorded. This process includes an assessment of the credit quality of each investment in the entire securities portfolio. Additional reporting and review procedures are conducted for those securities where fair value is less than 90% of amortized cost. Further, detailed analysis is performed for each issue or issues having experienced a formal restructuring or where the security has experienced material deterioration in fair value or where the fair value is less than 80% of amortized cost for six months or more.

The Company considers relevant facts and circumstances in evaluating whether the impairment of a security is other-than-temporary. Relevant facts and circumstances considered include but are not limited to:

# Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

The current fair value of the security as compared to cost;

The credit rating of the security;

The extent and the length of time the fair value has been below amortized cost;

The financial position of the issuer, including the current and future impact of any specific events, material declines in the issuer s revenues, margins, cash positions, liquidity issues, asset quality, debt levels and income results;

52

Significant management or organizational changes;

Significant uncertainty regarding the issuer s industry;

Violation of financial covenants;

Consideration of information or evidence that supports timely recovery;

The Company s intent and ability to hold an equity security until it recovers in value;

Whether the Company intends to sell a debt security and whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of the amortized cost basis; and

Other business factors related to the issuer s industry.

To the extent the Company determines that a fixed maturity security is deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the portion of the impairment that is deemed to be due to credit is charged to the Consolidated Statements of Income and the cost basis of the underlying investment is reduced. The portion of such impairment that is determined to be non-credit-related is deducted from net realized loss in the Consolidated Statements of Income and reflected in other comprehensive income and accumulated other comprehensive income, which is a component of stockholders equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

There are a number of significant risks and uncertainties inherent in the process of monitoring impairments, determining if an impairment is other-than-temporary and determining the portion of an other-than-temporary impairment that is due to credit. These risks and uncertainties include but are not limited to:

The risk that the Company s assessment of an issuer s ability to meet all of its contractual obligations will change based on changes in the credit characteristics of that issuer;

The risk that the economic outlook will be worse than expected or have more of an impact on the issuer than anticipated;

The risk that the performance of the underlying collateral for securities could deteriorate in the future and the Company s credit enhancement levels and recovery values do not provide sufficient protection to the Company s contractual principal and interest;

The risk that fraudulent, inaccurate or misleading information could be provided to the Company s credit, investment and accounting professionals who determine the fair value estimates and accounting treatment for securities;

The risk that actions of trustees, custodians or other parties with interests in the security may have an unforeseen adverse impact on the Company s investments;

The risk that new information obtained by the Company or changes in other facts and circumstances may lead the Company to change its intent to sell the security before it recovers in value;

The risk that the facts and circumstances change such that it becomes more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investment before recovery of the amortized cost basis; and

The risk that the methodology or assumptions used to develop estimates of the portion of impairments due to credit prove, over time, to be inaccurate or insufficient.

Any of these situations could result in a charge to income in a future period.

The Company may selectively determine that it no longer intends to hold a specific issue to its maturity. If the Company makes this determination and the fair value is less than the cost basis, the investment is written down to the fair value and an other-than-temporary impairment is recorded on this particular position. Subsequently, the Company seeks to obtain the best possible outcome available for this specific issue and records an investment gain or loss at the disposal date.

The Company has exposure to the municipal bond market. The Company s investments in municipal bonds present unique considerations in evaluating other-than-temporary impairments. Judgments regarding whether a municipal debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired include analyzing a number of rather unique characteristics pertaining to the issuer. Municipalities possess unique powers, along with special legal standing and protections. These powers include the sovereign power to tax, access to one-time revenue sources, capacity to issue or restructure debt and the ability to shift spending to other authorities. In addition, state governments often provide secondary support to local governments in times of financial stress and the federal government has also provided assistance to state governments.

The evaluation of loan-backed and similar asset-backed securities, particularly including residential mortgage-backed securities, with significant indications of potential other-than-temporary impairment requires considerable use of estimates and judgment. Specifically, the Company performs discounted cash flow projections on these securities to evaluate whether the value of the investment is expected to be fully realized. Projections of expected future cash flows are based upon

53

considerations of the performance of the actual underlying assets, including historical delinquencies, defaults, severity of losses incurred, and prepayments, along with the Company s estimates of future results for these factors. The Company s estimates of future results are based upon actual historical performance of the underlying assets relative to historical, current and expected general economic conditions, specific conditions related to the underlying assets, industry data, and other factors that are believed to be relevant. If the present value of the projected expected future cash flows are determined to be below the Company s carrying value, the Company recognizes an other-than-temporary impairment on the portion of the carrying value that exceeds the projected expected future cash flows. To the extent that the loan-backed or other asset-backed securities remain high quality investments and do not otherwise demonstrate characteristics of impairment, the Company performs other initial evaluations to determine whether other-than-temporary cash flow evaluations need to be performed.

The discounted future cash flow calculation typically becomes the primary determinant of whether any portion and to what extent an unrealized loss is due to credit on loan-backed and similar asset-backed securities with significant indications of potential other-than-temporary impairment. Such indications typically include below investment grade ratings and significant unrealized losses for an extended period of time, among other factors. The Company identified 13 and 12 non-U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities that had such indications as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Discounted future cash flow analysis was performed for each of these securities to determine if any portion of the impairment was due to credit and deemed to be other-than-temporary. The discount rate used in calculating the present value of future cash flows was the investment yield at the time of purchase for each security. The initial default rates were assumed to remain constant over a 24-month time frame and grade down thereafter, reflecting the general perspective of a more stabilized residential housing environment in the future.

The following tables present the range of significant assumptions used in projecting the future cash flows as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company believes that the assumptions below are reasonable because they are based upon the actual results of the underlying security collateral.

			June 30	), 2011		
	Initial Def	ault Rate	Initial Sev	erity Rate	Prepayme	ent Speed
Vintage	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
2004	4.6%	6.2%	40%	52%	10.0%	13.0%
2005	5.2%	13.1%	39%	84%	6.0%	11.0%
2006	15.0%	15.0%	87%	87%	8.0%	8.0%
2007	8.6%	8.6%	58%	58%	8.0%	8.0%
			December	31, 2010		
	Initial Def	ault Rate	Initial Sev	erity Rate	Prepayme	ent Speed
Vintage	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
2004	4.6%	4.6%	45%	45%	10.0%	10.0%
2005	4.9%	12.3%	46%	69%	6.0%	11.0%
2006	18.0%	18.0%	84%	84%	8.0%	8.0%
2007	8.7%	8.7%	60%	60%	8.0%	8.0%

For loan-backed and similar asset-backed securities, the determination of any amount of impairment that is due to credit is based upon the present value of projected future cash flows being less than the amortized cost of the security. This amount is recognized as a realized loss in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Income and the carrying value of the security is written down by the same amount. The portion of an impairment that is determined not to be due to credit is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Following is a summary of the results of the analysis of present values of projected cash flows for non-U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities as part of the analysis of potential other-than-temporary-impairment of securities as of June 30, 2011.

					No	mulative n-Credit pairment
	Amortized Co	st as OT	ΓΙ Cι	ımulative	Rec	orded in
	of June 30	,				
	2011	Recogn	nized	OTTI	AO	CI as of
	After OTT	T During	2011 Re	ecognized	June	30, 2011
Written down	\$ 76,3	312 \$	393 \$	17,309	\$	13,590
Not written down	\$ 139,0	097 \$	- \$	-	\$	(3,157)

Following is a summary of the results of the analysis of present values of projected cash flows for non-U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities as part of the analysis of potential other-than-temporary-impairment of securities as of December 31, 2010.

							Cui	mulative
							No	n-Credit
							Imp	pairment
	Amort	ized Cost as	(	ITTC	Cum	ulative	Rec	corded in
	of Decei	mber 31, 2010	Recognized		OTTI		AOCI as of	
	Aft	er OTTI	Dur	ing 2010	Reco	gnized	Decem	ber 31, 2010
Written down	\$	68,274	\$	1,936	\$ 1	16,802	\$	13,476
Not written down	\$	167,044	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,046

Significant unrealized losses on securities can continue for extended periods of time, particularly for certain individual securities. While this can be an indication of potential credit impairments, it can also be an indication of illiquidity in a particular sector or security. In addition, the fair value of an individual security can be heavily influenced by the complexities of varying market sentiment or uncertainty regarding the prospects for an individual security. This has been the situation in the non-U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities market in recent periods. Based upon the process described above, the Company is best able to determine if and to what extent credit impairment may exist in these securities by performing present value calculations of projected future cash flows at the conclusion of each reporting period. By reviewing the most recent data available regarding the security and other relevant industry and market factors, the Company can modify assumptions used in the cash flow projections and determine the best estimate of the portion of any impairment that is due to credit at the conclusion of each period.

The Company closely monitors its investments in securities classified as subprime. Subprime securities include all bonds or portions of bonds where the underlying collateral is made up of home equity loans or first mortgage loans to borrowers whose credit scores at the time of origination were lower than the level recognized in the market as prime. The Company s classification of subprime does not include Alt-A or jumbo loans, unless the collateral otherwise meets the preceding definition. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of investments with subprime residential mortgage exposure was \$18.6 million with a related \$4.5 million unrealized loss. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had investments with subprime residential mortgage exposure of \$19.6 million and a related \$4.9 million unrealized loss. This exposure amounted to less than 1% of the Company s invested assets as of both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These investments are included in the Company s process for evaluation of other-than-temporarily impaired securities.

The Company has a significant level of non-U.S. Agency structured securities. Structured securities include asset-backed, residential mortgage-backed securities, along with collateralized debt obligations, collateralized mortgage obligations and other collateralized obligations. The Company monitors these securities through a combination of an analysis of vintage, credit ratings and other means.

55

Identified below are tables that divide these investment types among vintage and credit ratings as of June 30, 2011.

	Fair Value	Amortized Cost		nrealized ns (Losses)
Residential & Non-agency MBS 1				
Investment Grade:				
Vintage 2003 and earlier	\$ 46,759	\$ 45,355	\$	1,404
2004	31,843	30,826		1,017
2005	4,186	4,400		(214)
2006	· -	-		-
2007	-	-		-
Total investment grade	82,788	80,581		2,207
Č				
Below Investment Grade:				
Vintage 2003 and earlier	-	-		-
2004	36,074	36,665		(591)
2005	74,149	85,195		(11,046)
2006	7,477	7,638		(161)
2007	4,488	5,330		(842)
Total below investment grade	122,188	134,828		(12,640)
C	,	,		
Other Structured Securities:				
Investment grade	60,674	59,614		1,060
Below investment grade	16,159	18,578		(2,419)
Bolow investment grade	10,139	10,570		(2,719)
Total other	76,833	78,192		(1,359)
1 Otal Ottle	10,033	70,192		(1,339)
Tatal storage and a societies	¢ 201 000	¢ 202 601	¢	(11.702)
Total structured securities	\$ 281,809	\$ 293,601	\$	(11,792)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This chart accounts for all vintages owned by the Company.

Identified below are tables that divide these investment types among vintage and credit ratings as of December 31, 2010.

	Fair Value	Amortized Cost		nrealized ns (Losses)
Residential & Non-agency MBS <sup>1</sup>	, arac	Cost	Gun	is (Eosses)
Investment Grade:				
Vintage 2003 and earlier	\$ 57,811	\$ 55,929	\$	1,882
2004	72,031	74,725	Ψ	(2,694)
2004	4,107	4,559		(452)
2006	4,107	4,337		(432)
2007	-	-		-
2007	<u>-</u>	-		-
Total investment grade	133,949	135,213		(1,264)
Below Investment Grade:				
Vintage 2003 and earlier	-	-		-
2004	-	-		-
2005	70,721	86,382		(15,661)
2006	6,314	8,079		(1,765)
2007	4,812	5,644		(832)
Total below investment grade	81,847	100,105		(18,258)
Other Structured Securities:				
Investment grade	55,189	53,347		1,842
Below investment grade	20,143	19,229		914
Total other	75,332	72,576		2,756
Total structured securities	\$ 291,128	\$ 307,894	\$	(16,766)

Total unrealized losses on non-U.S. Agency structured securities totaled \$11.8 million as of June 30, 2011, compared to \$16.8 million as of December 31, 2010. Total unrealized losses on these securities as a percent of total amortized cost totaled 4% as of June 30, 2011, a slight improvement from 5% as of year-end 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This chart accounts for all vintages owned by the Company.

The following table provides information regarding fixed maturity and equity security investments available for sale with unrealized losses by length of time, as of June 30, 2011.

	Less Than	12 Months	12 Month	s or Longer	Te	otal
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.						
Government	\$ 7,743	\$ 138	\$ 2,014	\$ 26	\$ 9,757	\$ 164
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed						
securities 1	_	_	296	2	296	2
Subtotal	7,743	138	2,310	28	10,053	166
Corporate obligations:						
Industrial	56,997	1,414	4,354	118	61,351	1,532
Energy	6,807	56	-	-	6,807	56
Communications and technology	15,897	194	-	-	15,897	194
Financial	14,192	329	17,693	1,715	31,885	2,044
Consumer	36,981	597	7,203	724	44,184	1,321
Public utilities	14,895	614	10,916	935	25,811	1,549
Total corporate obligations	145,769	3,204	40,166	3,492	185,935	6,696
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed						
securities	22,243	105	89,647	8,647	111,890	8,752
Municipal securities	28,802	668	4,469	309	33,271	977
Other	5,355	118	51,362	8,577	56,717	8,695
Redeemable preferred stocks	-	-	3,479	202	3,479	202
Fixed maturity securities	209,912	4,233	191,433	21,255	401,345	25,488
•	,	ŕ	,	,	,	,
Equity securities	_	-	2,046	125	2,046	125
			,			
Total	\$ 209,912	\$ 4,233	\$ 193,479	\$ 21,380	\$ 403,391	\$ 25,613

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

The following table provides information regarding fixed maturity and equity security investments available for sale with unrealized losses by length of time, as of December 31, 2010.

	Less Than	12 Months	12 Month	s or Longer	To	otal
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.						
Government	\$ 7,663	\$ 286	\$ 2,206	\$ 32	\$ 9,869	\$ 318
Federal agency issued residential mortgage-backed						
securities <sup>1</sup>	16	1	281	1	297	2
Subtotal	7,679	287	2,487	33	10,166	320
Corporate obligations:						
Industrial	76,795	2,825	3,023	105	79,818	2,930
Energy	7,848	224	-	-	7,848	224
Communications and technology	38,762	796	-	-	38,762	796
Financial	50,744	900	38,170	4,122	88,914	5,022
Consumer	67,690	1,444	14,931	929	82,621	2,373
Public utilities	24,165	1,204	4,394	262	28,559	1,466
Total corporate obligations	266,004	7,393	60,518	5,418	326,522	12,811
Corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed						
securities	-	-	96,581	16,826	96,581	16,826
Municipal securities	81,799	2,537	7,145	764	88,944	3,301
Other	5,379	182	54,488	7,557	59,867	7,739
Redeemable preferred stocks	618	8	4,333	432	4,951	440
Fixed maturity securities	361,479	10,407	225,552	31,030	587,031	41,437
•	,	,	,	,	,	,
Equity securities	_	_	2,034	137	2,034	137
1 5			,,,,		,	
Total	\$ 361,479	\$ 10,407	\$ 227,586	\$ 31,167	\$ 589,065	\$ 41,574

Gross unrealized losses on fixed maturity and equity security investments attributable to securities having gross unrealized losses of 12 months or longer was \$21.4 million as of June 30, 2011, a decrease from \$31.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The largest component of this decrease was from the corporate private-labeled residential mortgage-backed securities category, which decreased \$8.1 million during the first six months of 2011.

In addition, the Company considers as part of its monitoring and evaluation process the length of time the fair value of a security is below amortized cost. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had unrealized losses on its investment portfolio for fixed maturities and equity securities as follows:

68 security issues representing 60% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 93% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for less than one year;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal agency securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

<sup>15</sup> security issues representing 13% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 60% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for one year or more and less than three years; and

<sup>31</sup> security issues representing 27% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 52% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for three years or more.

## Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had unrealized losses on its investment portfolio for fixed maturities and equity securities as follows:

130 security issues representing 69% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 94% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for less than one year;

18 security issues representing 10% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 56% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for one year or more and less than three years; and

39 security issues representing 21% of the issues with unrealized losses, including 49% being rated as investment grade, were below cost for three years or more.

59

The total number of fixed maturities and equity securities with unrealized losses decreased from 187 as of December 31, 2010 to 114 as of June 30, 2011. These results were primarily due to two factors. First, the Company continues to purchase high quality investments. Second, the economy and financial markets have continued to improve and interest rates have moved lower since December 31, 2010.

The following tables summarize the Company s investments in securities available for sale with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

		June 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Securities owned without realized impairment:			
Unrealized losses of 10% or less	\$ 299,636	\$ 291,963	\$ 7,673
Unrealized losses of 20% or less and greater than 10%	43,371	38,705	4,666
Subtotal	343,007	330,668	12,339
Unrealized losses greater than 20%:			
Investment grade			
Less than twelve months	-	-	-
Twelve months or greater	908	615	293
Total investment grade	908	615	293
Below investment grade			
Less than twelve months	4,195	3,205	990
Twelve months or greater	- 1,175		-
Twelve months of greater			
Total below investment grade	4,195	3,205	990
Unrealized losses greater than 20%	5,103	3,820	1,283
Subtotal	348,110	334,488	13,622
Securities owned with realized impairment:			
Unrealized losses of 10% or less	27,847	25,891	1,956
Unrealized losses of 20% or less and greater than 10%	29,235	25,398	3,837
Subtotal	57,082	51,289	5,793
H P H 2000			
Unrealized losses greater than 20%: Investment grade			
Less than twelve months			
Twelve months or greater	-	-	-
I weive months of greater	-	-	-
Total investment grade	-	-	-
Below investment grade			
Less than twelve months	12,703	9,441	3,262
Twelve months or greater	11,109	8,173	2,936

Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Total below investment grade	23,812	17,614	6,198
Unrealized losses greater than 20%	23,812	17,614	6,198
Subtotal	80,894	68,903	11,991
Total	\$ 429,004	\$ 403,391	\$ 25,613

	December 31, 2010			
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Securities owned without realized impairment:				
Unrealized losses of 10% or less	\$ 480,498	\$ 465,414	\$ 15,084	
Unrealized losses of 20% or less and greater than 10%	71,101	61,718	9,383	
Subtotal	551,599	527,132	24,467	
Unrealized losses greater than 20%:				
Investment grade				
Less than twelve months	-	-	-	
Twelve months or greater	5,908	4,458	1,450	
Total investment grade	5,908	4,458	1,450	
Below investment grade				
Less than twelve months	-	-	-	
Twelve months or greater	-	-	-	
Total below investment grade	-	-	-	
Unrealized losses greater than 20%	5,908	4,458	1,450	
Subtotal	557,507	531,590	25,917	
Securities owned with realized impairment:				
Unrealized losses of 10% or less	5,642	5,217	425	
Unrealized losses of 20% or less and greater than 10%	16,073	14,009	2,064	
	,	•	,	
Subtotal	21,715	19,226	2,489	
Unrealized losses greater than 20%:				
Investment grade				
Less than twelve months	-	-	-	
Twelve months or greater	-	-	-	
Total investment grade	-	-	-	
Below investment grade				
Less than twelve months	13,366	10,629	2,737	
Twelve months or greater	38,051	27,620	10,431	
Total below investment grade	51,417	38,249	13,168	
Unrealized losses greater than 20%	51,417	38,249	13,168	
Subtotal	73,132	57,475	15,657	
Total	\$ 630,639	\$ 589,065	\$ 41,574	

61

The following table provides information on fixed maturity securities with gross unrealized losses by rating as of June 30, 2011.

			Gross	
	Fair	% of	Unrealized	%
Equivalent S&P Rating	Value	Total	Losses	of Total
AAA	\$ 67,393	17%	\$ 4,179	17%
AA	69,036	17%	2,817	11%
A	56,352	14%	1,689	7%
BBB	73,787	18%	2,657	10%
Total investment grade	266,568	66%	11,342	45%
BB	20,441	5%	871	3%
B and below	114,336	29%	13,275	52%
Total below investment grade	134,777	34%	14,146	55%
	\$ 401,345	100%	\$ 25,488	100%

The following table provides information on fixed maturity securities with gross unrealized losses by rating as of December 31, 2010.

			Gross	
	Fair	% of	Unrealized	%
Equivalent S&P Rating	Value	Total	Losses	of Total
AAA	\$ 101,883	17%	\$ 5,105	12%
AA	99,017	17%	4,260	10%
A	113,304	19%	4,486	11%
BBB	156,809	27%	6,881	17%
Total investment grade	471,013	80%	20,732	50%
BB	16,456	3%	1,399	3%
B and below	99,562	17%	19,306	47%
Total below investment grade	116,018	20%	20,705	50%
	\$ 587,031	100%	\$ 41,437	100%

As of June 30, 2011, 66% of the fair value of fixed maturity securities with gross unrealized losses was investment grade compared to 80% at December 31, 2010. In addition, 45% of gross unrealized losses on fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses were from investment grade securities as of June 30, 2011, compared to 50% as of December 31, 2010.

The following table provides the distribution of maturities for fixed maturity securities available for sale with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2011. Expected maturities may differ from these contractual maturities since borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

	June 3	30, 2011
		Gross
	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses
Fixed maturity securities available for sale:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 28	\$ -
Due after one year through five years	22,394	630
Due after five years through ten years	127,367	3,172
Due after ten years	135,889	12,730
·		
Total	285,678	16,532
Securities with variable principal payments	112,188	8,754
Redeemable preferred stocks	3,479	202
•		
Total	\$ 401,345	\$ 25,488

The following is a discussion of all non-residential mortgage-backed securities whose fair value had been less than 80% of amortized cost for at least six consecutive months as of June 30, 2011. The Company has considered a wide variety of factors to determine that these positions were not other-than-temporarily impaired.

	Security	Description
Financial institution		Institution impacted by housing and mortgage crisis. The security continues to perform within contractual obligations.

Collateralized debt obligation

Impacted by delinquencies and foreclosures in subprime and Alt-A markets and extreme declines in market valuations regardless of individual security performance. There continues to be overcollateralization within the structure and the investment continues to perform within contractual obligations.

The Company has written down certain investments in previous periods. Securities written down and continuing to be owned as of June 30, 2011 had a fair value of \$77.9 million with a net unrealized loss of \$11.0 million.

The Company evaluated the current status of all investments previously written-down to determine whether the Company believes that these investments continue to be credit-impaired to the extent previously recorded. The Company s evaluation process is similar to its impairment evaluation process. If evidence exists that the Company believes that it will receive all or a materially greater portion of its contractual maturities from securities previously written down, the accretion of income is adjusted. The Company did not change its evaluation of any investments under this process during the first six months of 2011 or 2010.

The Company does not have a material amount of direct or indirect guarantees for the securities in its investment portfolio. The Company did not have any direct exposure to financial guarantors as of June 30, 2011. The Company s indirect exposure to financial guarantors totaled \$38.9 million, which was 1% of the Company s investment assets as of June 30, 2011. The unrealized losses on these investments totaled \$0.1 million as of June 30, 2011.

## Other Revenues

Other revenues consist of supplementary contract considerations, policyholder dividends left with the Company to accumulate, income received on the sale of low income housing tax credits (LIHTC), investments by a subsidiary of the Company and fees charged on products and sales from the Company s broker dealer subsidiary. Other revenues increased \$0.4 million in both the second quarter and six months of 2011 compared

# Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

to the same periods one year earlier, primarily due to increased revenues from the broker dealer subsidiary.

63

## Policyholder Benefits

Policyholder benefits consist of death benefits (mortality), immediate annuity benefits, accident and health benefits, surrenders, interest, other benefits and the associated increase or decrease in reserves for future policy benefits. The largest component of policyholder benefits was death benefits for the periods presented. Death benefits reflect mortality results, after consideration of the impact of reinsurance. Mortality will fluctuate from period-to-period, however it has generally remained within pricing expectations for the periods presented.

Policyholder benefits decreased \$3.8 million or 9% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period one year earlier. The reduction in 2011 largely resulted from a decrease in benefit and contract reserves, which declined \$5.7 million compared to one year earlier. The change in benefit and contract reserves can largely be attributed to two factors, including reserves released as a result of increased net death benefits and a decrease in sales of immediate annuities of \$3.5 million. Death benefits, net of reinsurance ceded, increased \$2.2 million.

Policyholder benefits decreased \$6.3 million or 7% in the six months of 2011 versus the prior year. This reduction was largely due to a decrease in benefit and contract reserves, which declined \$11.8 million compared to the same period one year ago. The decrease in benefit and contract reserves is primarily due to a reduction in sales of immediate annuities of \$5.9 million and reserves released on increased net death benefits. Death benefits, net of reinsurance ceded increased \$5.7 million versus the prior year.

The Company has a GMWB rider for variable annuity contracts that is considered to be a financial derivative and, as such, is accounted for at fair value. The Company determines the fair value of the GMWB rider using a risk-neutral valuation method. The value of the riders will fluctuate depending on market conditions. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of the liability increased \$0.1 million compared to the fair value as of December 31, 2010. However, compared to the change in fair value during the first six months of the prior year, the impact of the change in fair value during the first six months of 2011 was a decrease in liability of \$0.7 million. These fluctuations are the result of returns in the capital markets and declines in risk-free swap rates offset by increases in issuer discount spreads. In addition, the Company has a guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) on certain products. The benefit reserve for GMDB was \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2011, down slightly from December 31, 2010.

## Interest Credited to Policyholder Account Balances

Interest is credited to policyholder account balances according to terms of the policies or contracts. Interest is credited to policyholder account balances for universal life, fixed deferred annuities and other investment-type products. There are minimum levels of interest crediting assumed in certain policies or contracts, as well as allowances for adjustments to be made to reflect current market conditions in certain policies or contracts. Accordingly, the Company reviews and adjusts crediting rates as necessary and appropriate. Amounts credited are a function of account balances and current period crediting rates. As account balances fluctuate, so will the amount of interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased \$0.8 million or 4% in the second quarter of 2011 compared with the same period one year earlier. Interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased \$1.5 million for the six months versus the prior year. The decline in interest credited for both periods was due to reduced crediting rates.

#### Amortization of Deferred Acquisition Costs

Deferred acquisition costs (DAC), principally agent commissions and other selling, selection and issue costs, which vary with and are directly related to the production of new business, are capitalized as incurred. At least annually, the Company reviews its DAC capitalization policy and the specific items which are capitalized with existing guidance. These deferred costs for life insurance products are generally deferred and amortized over the premium paying period. Policy acquisition costs that relate to interest sensitive and variable insurance products are deferred and amortized with interest in relation to the estimated gross profits to be realized over the lives of the contracts.

For interest sensitive and variable insurance products, estimated gross profits are composed of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality gains and losses. At the issuance of policies, projections of estimated gross profits are made which are then replaced by actual gross profits over the lives of the policies. In addition to other factors, emerging experience may lead to a revised outlook for the remaining estimated gross profits. Accordingly, DAC may be recalculated using these new assumptions and any resulting adjustment is included in income. The Company considers the following assumptions to be of significance when evaluating future estimated gross profits: mortality, interest rates and spreads, surrender and withdrawal rates and expense margins.

64

## Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

## **Table of Contents**

DAC is also reviewed on an ongoing basis to determine that the unamortized portion does not exceed the expected recoverable amounts. If it is determined from emerging experience that the premium margins or expected gross profits are insufficient to amortize deferred acquisition costs, then the asset will be adjusted downward with the adjustment recorded as an expense in the current period. No impairment adjustments have been recorded in the years presented. The DAC asset is also adjusted at each reporting date to reflect the impact of unrealized gains and losses on fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale as though such gains and losses had been realized.

The Company may consider refinements in estimates due to improved capabilities resulting from administrative or actuarial system upgrades. The Company considers such enhancements to determine whether and to what extent they are associated with prior periods or simply improvements in the projection of future expected gross profits due to improved functionality. To the extent they represent such improvements, these items are applied to the appropriate financial statement line items in a manner similar to unlocking adjustments.

The amortization of DAC decreased \$1.5 million or 68% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to one year ago. The amortization of DAC decreased \$0.8 million in the six months of 2011 versus the prior year. This decrease was primarily the result of an unlocking of the Company s assumptions on certain universal life and deposit type products. The Company considered its assumptions associated with this business, along with the impact of reinsurance, where applicable. The Company unlocked assumptions in the second quarter of 2011, resulting in an increase in the DAC asset of \$8.2 million. The unlocking was the result of several factors, the largest of which was associated with future mortality experience including the use of a new industry mortality table and the corresponding reinsurance.

The Company also had an unlocking in the second quarter of 2010 that resulted in an increase to the DAC asset and a corresponding decrease in the amortization of DAC during the second quarter 2010 in the amount of \$5.8 million. This unlocking primarily related to a change in the estimated future gross profits associated with the mortality assumption for certain universal life and variable universal life products. The 2010 unlocking adjustment reflected actual experience from mortality results that had emerged and which had been better than assumed in expected future profits previously established. The unlocking of the 2010 mortality assumption on the variable universal life product also included a change to a more recent industry mortality table. In addition, the Company also unlocked an interest rate assumption on selected fixed deferred annuity products in the second quarter of 2010.

In addition to unlocking, the Company had an adjustment in the amortization of DAC associated with a software enhancements to its DAC modeling system and plan specific refinements. These refinements impacted the calculation of future gross profit assumptions and the DAC amortization. The effect of the change in estimate was a decrease in the DAC asset and an increase in current period DAC amortization of \$0.5 million in the second quarter of 2011.

Also in the second quarter of 2010, the Company refined its estimate as a result of the implementation of an actuarial system upgrade. This upgrade allowed the Company to refine its projection of future expected gross profits on investment-type contracts which impacted the calculation of DAC amortization. The effect of the change in estimate was an increase in the DAC asset and a decrease in current period DAC amortization of \$1.1 million.

## **Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses consist of incurred commission expense from the sale of insurance products, net of the deferral of certain commissions and certain expenses directly associated with the attainment of new business, expenses from the Company s operations, the amortization of value of business acquired, and other expenses. Capitalized commissions consist primarily of commissions and non-recurring expenses related to the successful sales of certain products. As such, not all commissions are capitalized and are thus an expense in the period. Commissions in excess of capitalized commissions were \$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared with \$1.2 million in 2010 and were \$3.3 million in the six months of 2011 compared with \$2.7 million in 2010. Home office operating expenses increased \$0.5 million or 2% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to last year. This increase was primarily the result of a \$0.3 million increase in an allowance for doubtful accounts on agent receivables, a \$0.6 million increase in agent meeting and support expenses, a \$0.3 million increase in software and depreciation costs and a \$0.2 million increase in expenses related to third party administration costs associated with certain group products. Partially offsetting these items, pension expense decreased \$0.7 million and expenses related to required statutory triennial insurance examinations and guaranty associate payments decreased \$0.4 million. Home office operating expenses in the six months decreased \$0.2 million or less than 1% compared to the same period in the prior year. Decreases in salaries and benefits were largely offset by agent benefits, including a \$0.9 million increase in an allowance for doubtful accounts on agent receivables. In addition, reductions in taxes, licenses and fees were offset by an increase in legal fees, agent meeting expenses and expenses from third party administration costs associated with certain group products.

The amortization of VOBA is included in operating expenses. VOBA is amortized in concert with each purchased block of business. Generally, as policies run off, the amortization will decline over time. In addition, VOBA is evaluated on an ongoing basis for unlocking adjustments. If necessary, adjustments are made in the current period VOBA amortization. The amortization of VOBA in the second quarter and six months of 2011 increased \$1.0 million or 67% and \$1.0 million or 32%, respectively. The Company had an unlocking adjustment on certain interest sensitive products which increased the amortization of VOBA \$0.9 million in both the second quarter and the six months. The unlocking adjustment reflected changes in interest rates, premiums and persistency of the closed block of business. There were no VOBA unlocking adjustments in the second quarter or six months of 2010.

#### **Income Taxes**

The second quarter income tax expense was \$5.8 million or 34% of income before tax for 2011, versus \$5.8 million or 36% of income before tax for the prior year period. The income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$8.3 million or 34% of income before tax, versus \$7.8 million or 41% of income before tax for the prior year period.

The effective income tax rate in the second quarter of 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was less than the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences, including the dividends-received deduction, which resulted in a tax benefit of approximately 1% of income before tax.

The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2010 exceeded the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35%. Favorable permanent differences, primarily from the dividends-received deduction and a decrease in the tax contingency resulted in a benefit of approximately 4% of income before tax. The favorable differences were offset by expense of approximately 5% of income before tax related to the Company s investments in affordable housing.

The effective income tax rate in the six months ended June 30, 2010 exceeded the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35%, primarily due to additional tax expense incurred with respect to affordable housing investments. Affordable housing investments increased the tax rate by \$1.9 million or 10% of income before tax and include tax credit recapture events. Permanent differences, primarily from the dividends-received deduction and a decrease in the tax contingency partially offset the adjustments related to affordable housing and resulted in a benefit of approximately 4% of income before tax.

## **Operating Results by Segment**

The Company has three reportable business segments, which are defined based on the nature of the products and services offered: Individual Insurance, Group Insurance and Old American. The Individual Insurance segment consists of individual insurance products for both Kansas City Life and Sunset Life. The Individual Insurance segment is marketed through a nationwide sales force of independent general agents and third-party marketing arrangements. The Group Insurance segment consists of sales of group life, group disability, dental, and vision products. This segment is marketed through a nationwide sales force of independent general agents, group brokers and third-party marketing arrangements. Old American consists of individual insurance products designed largely as final expense products. These products are marketed through a nationwide general agency sales force with exclusive territories, using direct response marketing to supply agents with leads. For more information, refer to Note 11 - Segment Information in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

66

#### Individual Insurance

The following table presents financial data of the Individual Insurance business segment for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Quarter Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30			
	2011		2010	2011		2010
Insurance revenues:						
Premiums, net	\$ 1,790	\$	5,144	\$ 6,288	\$	11,998
Contract charges	23,752		26,668	49,986		53,342
Total insurance revenues	25,542		31,812	56,274		65,340
Investment revenues:						
Net investment income	41,654		40,077	83,767		80,172
Realized investment gains, excluding impairment losses	2,017		1,367	2,940		2,307
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings:						
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(216)		(1,302)	(450)		(2,844)
Portion of impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive						
income	52		129	98		194
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(164)		(1,173)	(352)		(2,650)
	,			,		( ) )
Total investment revenues	43,507		40,271	86,355		79,829
Other revenues	2,620		2,266	4,986		4,608
	_,		_,,	1,5 0 0		1,000
Total revenues	71,669		74,349	147,615		149,777
Total revenues	71,009		74,547	147,013		149,777
	20.120		22.715	45.004		71 (14
Policyholder benefits	20,139		23,715	45,024		51,614
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	20,766		21,540	41,247		42,740 5,001
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	(2,214)		(705)	3,483		
Operating expenses	16,062		15,121	31,607		31,310
	·		<b>50 (51</b>	101041		120 667
Total benefits and expenses	54,753		59,671	121,361		130,665
Income before income tax expense	16,916		14,678	26,254		19,112
Income tax expense	5,771		5,340	9,004		7,874
-						

Net income for this segment was \$11.1 million in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of \$1.8 million from the second quarter of 2010. The largest factors in this improvement were increases in net investment income of \$1.6 million, realized investment gains of \$1.7 million, and decreases in benefit and contract reserves of \$5.6 million, decreases in the amortization of DAC of \$1.5 million, and operating expenses of \$0.3 million. Partially offsetting these favorable items were decreases in the sales of immediate annuities of \$3.5 million and contract charges of \$2.9 million, an increase in death benefits, net of reinsurance of \$1.3 million, and the amortization of VOBA of \$1.1 million.

Net income for this segment was \$17.3 million in the first six months of 2011, an increase of \$6.0 million from the first six months of 2010. The largest factors in this improvement were increases in net investment income of \$3.6 million, realized investment gains of \$2.9 million, and decreases in benefit and contract reserves of \$11.4 million, interest credited to policyholder account balances of \$1.5 million, and decreases in the amortization of DAC of \$1.5 million and operating expenses of \$0.5 million. Partially offsetting these favorable items were decreases in the

# Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

sales of immediate annuities of \$5.9 million, reduced contract charges of \$3.4 million, an increase in death and other benefits, net of reinsurance of \$3.3 million, reduced amortization of VOBA of \$1.2 million, and lower income tax expense of \$1.1 million.

## Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

## **Table of Contents**

Total insurance revenues for this segment decreased \$6.3 million or 20% in the second quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in the prior year. Total premiums, net of reinsurance, decreased \$3.4 million or 65%, reflecting a \$3.5 million or 77% decline in immediate annuities in the second quarter of 2011 versus the same period last year. Contract charges decreased \$2.9 million or 11%, primarily due to an unlocking adjustment which increased the Company s deferred revenue liability by \$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2011. The Company changed an estimated future gross profit assumption pertaining to the mortality assumption of a product line, which prompted the unlocking adjustment. In 2010, the Company decreased its deferred revenue liability \$0.6 million, as a result of both a system conversion adjustment and an unlocking of assumptions of the estimated future gross profits. The unlocking in 2010 was primarily associated with the mortality assumption of a product line

Insurance revenues for this segment decreased \$9.1 million for the six months, primarily due to two factors. First, sales of immediate annuities declined \$5.9 million in the six months compared to the prior year. Second, contract charges declined \$3.4 million, largely due to the unlocking adjustment on deferred revenues of \$1.8 million in the second quarter. In addition, to the unlocking adjustment, contract charges on closed blocks decreased \$0.9 million in the six months, reflecting the continued runoff of these blocks of business. In addition, surrender charges on open blocks of business declined \$0.4 million in the six months. The unlocking changes noted above, also impact the six months results in the same fashion.

An additional component of contract charges is the recognition over time of the deferred revenue liability (DRL) from certain universal life policies. This liability arises from front-end loads on such policies and is recognized into the Consolidated Statements of Income in concert with the future expected gross profits, similar to the amortization of DAC.

Unlocking or other events may also have an impact on future expected gross profits on products and policies. If it is determined that it is appropriate to change the assumptions of future experience, then an unlocking adjustment is recognized for the block of business being evaluated. Certain assumptions, such as interest spreads and surrender rates, may be interrelated. As such, unlocking adjustments often reflect revisions to multiple assumptions. In addition, the Company may also consider refinements in estimates for other unusual or one-time occurrences for events such as administrative or actuarial system upgrades. These items are applied to the appropriate financial statement line items similar to unlocking adjustments.

At least annually, a review is performed regarding the assumptions related to future expected gross profits on products and policies consistent with those performed for DAC and VOBA. If it is determined that the assumptions should be revised, an adjustment may be recorded to contract charge deferred revenues in the current period as an unlocking adjustment. The Company had an unlocking in the DRL in the second quarters of both 2011 and 2010. In 2011, the unlocking was the result of several factors, the largest of which was associated with future mortality experience. This included the use of a new industry mortality table and the corresponding impact of reinsurance. The impact of the unlocking in 2011 was an increase in the DRL liability and a reduction in contract charges in the amount of \$1.8 million. The 2010 unlocking adjustment reflected actual experience from mortality results, premium persistency, and surrender rates that had emerged. The impact of the unlocking on DRL was a decrease in the liability and a corresponding increase in the recognition of deferred revenue in the second quarter of 2010 in the amount of \$1.1 million.

The Company s refinement in methodology in 2011 was less than \$0.1 million. However, in 2010, the Company had a refinement in methodology that resulted in a change in estimate. The Company refined its methodology, primarily as a result of the implementation of an actuarial system upgrade. This upgrade allowed the Company to refine its calculation of the DRL liability. The effect of the refinement in estimate on the DRL was an increase in the liability and a reduction to contract charges of \$0.5 million.

68

The following tables present gross premiums by new and renewal business, less reinsurance ceded, as included in insurance revenues, for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. New premiums are detailed by product.

	2011	-	er Ended ne 30 2010	% Change
New premiums:	2011	70 Change	2010	% Change
Individual life insurance	\$ 1,219	(5)	\$ 1,281	(4)
Immediate annuities	1,037	(77)	4,562	178
	·	, ,	·	
Total new premiums	2,256	(61)	5,843	97
Renewal premiums	10,607	1	10,463	-
•				
Total premiums	12,863	(21)	16,306	21
Reinsurance ceded	(11,073)	(1)	(11,162)	4
Premiums, net	\$ 1,790	(65)	\$ 5,144	87
,	,	. ,	,	
		Six Mo	nths Ended	
			nths Ended	
		Ju	nths Ended ne 30	%
	2011	Ju %		% Change
New premiums:	2011	Ju	ne 30	% Change
New premiums: Individual life insurance	2011 \$ 2,589	Ju %	ne 30	
		Ju % Change	ne 30 2010	Change
Individual life insurance	\$ 2,589	Ju % Change -	2010 \$ 2,588	Change (2)
Individual life insurance	\$ 2,589	Ju % Change -	2010 \$ 2,588	Change (2)
Individual life insurance Immediate annuities	\$ 2,589 3,746	Ju % Change - (62)	2010 \$ 2,588 9,948	(2) 66
Individual life insurance Immediate annuities  Total new premiums	\$ 2,589 3,746 6,335	Ju % Change - (62) (49)	2010 \$ 2,588 9,948	(2) 66
Individual life insurance Immediate annuities  Total new premiums	\$ 2,589 3,746 6,335	Ju % Change - (62) (49)	2010 \$ 2,588 9,948	(2) 66
Individual life insurance Immediate annuities  Total new premiums Renewal premiums	\$ 2,589 3,746 6,335 21,028	Ju % Change - (62) (49) 1	\$ 2,588 9,948 12,536 20,755	Change (2) 66 45 1
Individual life insurance Immediate annuities  Total new premiums Renewal premiums  Total premiums	\$ 2,589 3,746 6,335 21,028	Ju % Change  - (62) (49) 1 (18)	\$ 2,588 9,948 12,536 20,755 33,291	Change (2) 66 45 1

Total new premiums for this segment decreased \$3.6 million or 61% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period one year earlier. This decline was the result of a \$3.5 million or 77% decrease in new immediate annuity sales. This decrease was largely the result of elevated sales of this product in 2010, due to the demand of guaranteed benefits by consumers at that time. Immediate annuity receipts can have sizable fluctuations, as receipts from policyholders result from significant one-time premiums rather than recurring premiums, as is the case on traditional life insurance products. Total renewal premiums increased 1% compared to last year, reflecting an increase in individual life premiums.

Total new premiums for this segment decreased \$6.2 million or 49% for the six months of 2011 compared to the same period last year. This decline was driven from a \$6.2 million or 62% decrease in immediate annuity sales. Total renewal premiums increased 1% compared to one year earlier, reflecting an increase in immediate annuity sales.

The following tables provide detail by new and renewal deposits for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. New deposits are also detailed by product.

Quarter Ended June 30

	Julie 30				
	2011	% Change	2010	% Change	
New deposits:					
Universal life insurance	\$ 3,750	22	\$ 3,062	44	
Variable universal life insurance	268	35	199	(32)	
Fixed deferred annuities	18,025	58	11,435	(62)	
Variable annuities	6,142	10	5,594	14	
Total new deposits	28,185	39	20,290	(46)	
Renewal deposits	36,333	-	36,500	16	
Total deposits	\$ 64,518	14	\$ 56,790	(18)	

		Six Months Ended				
		June 30				
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change	
New deposits:						
Universal life insurance	\$ 6,562	1	\$	6,498	64	
Variable universal life insurance	493	12		440	(37)	
Fixed deferred annuities	32,917	47		22,443	(50)	
Variable annuities	9,979	(13)		11,517	48	
Total new deposits	49,951	22		40,898	(29)	
Renewal deposits	72,031	3		69,620	7	
Total deposits	\$ 121,982	10	\$	110,518	(10)	

Total new deposits increased \$7.9 million or 39% in the second quarter of 2011 compared with the prior year. New deposits for all of the Company's primary deposit products increased in the second quarter of 2011, led by a \$6.6 million or 58% increase in new fixed deferred annuity deposits. In addition, new deposits of universal life products increased \$0.7 million or 22% and variable life and annuity deposits increased \$0.6 million or 11%. The increase in new fixed deferred annuity deposits can be largely attributed to the availability of a new rider offered by the Company, which added to sales during the first half of 2011. Total renewal deposits were flat for the second quarter of 2011 compared with the same period one year ago. Fixed deferred annuity renewal deposits increased \$0.2 million or 2%, offset by a decrease in renewal variable annuity deposits.

Total new deposits increased \$9.1 million or 22% in the first six months of 2011 compared with the prior year. This increase was driven by the fixed deferred annuity sales, which increased \$10.5 million or 47% in the first half of 2011. Universal life and variable universal life products increased 1% and 12%, respectively, for the six months of 2011. However, new variable annuity products decreased \$1.5 million or 13%. Total renewal deposits increased \$2.4 million or 3% in the first six months of 2011. The increase in renewal deposits was also driven by an increase in deposits of fixed deferred annuities, which increased \$2.2 million or 14%. Variable annuity renewal deposits increased \$0.7 million or 13%.

Net investment income increased \$1.6 million or 4% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010. This overall improvement resulted from both an increase in average invested assets and higher yields earned, including increased mortgage loan holdings in 2011 and an improvement in the market value of an alternative investment fund. This segment experienced a net realized investment gain of \$1.9 million in the second quarter of 2011, an increase compared to a net realized investment gain of \$0.2 million in the second quarter of 2010.

This segment experienced a \$3.6 million or 4% increase in net investment income for the six months of 2011 versus the same period one year ago. This increase was the result of both higher yields earned on investments and an increase in the average invested assets. In addition, this segment had realized investment gains of \$2.6 million in the six months of 2011, an improvement compared to a realized investment loss of \$0.3 million one year earlier.

The Company s analysis of securities for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 resulted in the determination that six fixed-maturity securities had other-than-temporary impairments affecting the Individual Insurance segment and were written down by a combined \$0.2 million due to credit impairments. All of these securities were residential mortgage-backed securities having been previously written down, and incremental credit impairments were recognized. The incremental credit impairments reflected deterioration in the present value of projected future cash flows. The additional losses from these residential mortgage-backed securities were \$0.2 million in the second quarter of 2011, including less than \$0.1 million that was determined to be non-credit and was recognized in other comprehensive income. The total fair value of the affected securities after the write-downs was \$44.8 million.

Please see Consolidated Results of Operations in Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a table that provides securities that were written down through earnings by business segment for the first two quarters of 2011 and 2010. This section also contains a table that provides detail regarding individual investment securities by business segment that were written down through earnings during the first six months of 2011 and 2010.

Other revenues increased \$0.4 million in both the second quarter and six months of 2011 compared to the same periods one year earlier. Increased revenue from the broker dealer subsidiary was the primary reason for this increase.

70

Policyholder benefits decreased \$3.6 million or 15% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year. This decline was primarily attributable to a decrease in benefit and contract reserves of \$5.6 million. The change in benefit and contract reserves can largely be attributed to two factors; reserves released as a result of increased net death benefits and a decrease in sales of immediate annuities. Death benefits, net of reinsurance ceded, increased \$1.3 million. This change reflected reduced reinsurance on death benefits. In addition, immediate annuity sales declined \$3.5 million.

Policyholder benefits decreased \$6.6 million or 13% in the six months of 2011 compared to one year earlier. This decline was primarily attributable to a decrease in benefit and contract reserves, which declined \$11.4 million. The change in benefit and contract reserves is primarily due to two factors. First, reserves released as a result of increased net death benefits and second a decrease in sales of immediate annuities. Death benefits, net of reinsurance ceded, increased \$3.3 million. This change reflected less favorable mortality for the six months. In addition, immediate annuity sales declined \$5.9 million.

The Company has a GMWB rider for variable annuity contracts that is considered to be a financial derivative and, as such, is accounted for at fair value. The Company determines the fair value of the GMWB rider using a risk-neutral valuation method. The value of the riders will fluctuate depending on market conditions. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of the liability increased \$0.1 million compared to the fair value as of December 31, 2010. However, compared to the change in fair value during the first six months of the prior year, the impact of the change in fair value during the first six months of 2011 was a decrease in liability of \$0.7 million. These fluctuations are the result of returns in the capital markets and declines in risk-free swap rates offset by increases in issuer discount spreads. In addition, the Company has a guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) on certain products. The benefit reserve for GMDB was \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2011, down slightly from December 31, 2010.

Interest credited to policyholder account balances declined \$0.8 million or 4% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period one year earlier. While total policyholder account balances increased over the preceding 12 months, this was offset by declines in crediting rates. Interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased \$1.5 million or 3% in the six months compared to one year ago. This decrease was the result of declines in crediting rates.

The amortization of DAC decreased \$1.5 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to one year ago. The amortization of DAC decreased \$1.5 million in the six months of 2011 versus the prior year. This decrease was primarily the result of an unlocking of the Company s assumptions on certain universal life and deposit type products. The Company considered its assumptions associated with this business, along with the impact of reinsurance, where applicable. The Company unlocked assumptions in the second quarter of 2011, resulting in an increase in the DAC asset of \$8.2 million. The unlocking was the result of several factors, the largest of which was associated with future mortality experience including the use of a new industry mortality table and the corresponding reinsurance.

The Company also had an unlocking in the second quarter of 2010 that resulted in an increase to the DAC asset and a corresponding decrease in the amortization of DAC during the second quarter 2010 in the amount of \$5.8 million. This unlocking primarily related to a change in the estimated future gross profits associated with the mortality assumption for certain universal life and variable universal life products. The 2010 unlocking adjustment reflected actual experience from mortality results that had emerged and which had been better than assumed in expected future profits previously established. The unlocking of the 2010 mortality assumption on the variable universal life product also included a change to a more recent industry mortality table. In addition, the Company also unlocked an interest rate assumption on selected fixed deferred annuity products in the second quarter of 2010.

In addition to unlocking, the Company had an adjustment in the amortization of DAC associated with a software enhancements to its DAC modeling system and plan specific refinements. These refinements impacted the calculation of future gross profit assumptions and the DAC amortization. The effect of the change in estimate was a decrease in the DAC asset and an increase in current period DAC amortization of \$0.5 million in the second quarter of 2011.

Also in the second quarter of 2010, the Company refined its estimate as a result of the implementation of an actuarial system upgrade. This upgrade allowed the Company to refine its projection of future expected gross profits on investment-type contracts which impacted the calculation of DAC amortization. The effect of the change in estimate was an increase in the DAC asset and a decrease in current period DAC amortization of \$1.1 million.

Operating expenses consist of incurred commissions, net of the capitalization of commissions, expenses from the Company s operations, the amortization of VOBA, and other expenses. Capitalized commissions consist primarily of commissions and non-recurring expenses related to the sale of business. The Segment s operating expenses increased \$1.0 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared with the prior year, primarily reflecting an increase in the amortization of VOBA as described below. Home office operating expenses declined 2% reflecting a decline in employee benefits largely from a decrease in pension plan expense. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in agent expenses associated with an

### **Table of Contents**

increase in an allowance for doubtful accounts on selectively identified agent receivables and an increase in both depreciation on software and legal fees. The Company continually monitors its agent-related receivables and if it determines that any receivable may be entirely or in-part uncollectible it immediately records an increase or a charge to the allowance.

Operating expenses increased \$0.3 million in the six months compared with one year ago. This increase was large the result of an increase in the amortization of VOBA. Home office operating expenses partially offset this increase was a decrease employee benefit expense reductions, primarily from reduced pension expense, fees from state insurance regulators pertaining to required examinations and guaranty associations and outside consulting fees. These home office expense reductions were partially offset by increases in agent expenses associated with an increase in an allowance for doubtful accounts on agent receivables, along with an increase in legal fees.

The amortization of VOBA is included in operating expenses. VOBA is amortized in concert with each purchased block of business. Generally, as policies run off, the amortization will decline over time. In addition, VOBA is evaluated on an ongoing basis for unlocking adjustments. If necessary, adjustments are made in the current period VOBA amortization. The amortization of VOBA in the second quarter of 2011 increased \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million for the six months compared to the same periods one year earlier. During the second quarter, the Company had an unlocking adjustment on certain interest sensitive products which increased the amortization of VOBA \$0.9 million in both the second quarter and the six months. The unlocking adjustment reflected changes in interest rates, a reduction in premiums and decreased persistency of the closed block of business. There were no VOBA unlocking adjustments in the second quarter or six months of 2010.

72

## **Group Insurance**

The following table presents financial data of the Group Insurance business segment for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Quart Ju	Six Months Ended June 30			
	2011	2010	2011	20	10
Insurance revenues:					
Premiums, net	\$ 12,246	\$ 12,955	\$ 24,800	\$	25,203
Total insurance revenues	12,246	12,955	24,800		25,203
Investment revenues:					
Net investment income	142	156	287		307
Other revenues	38	39	75		79
Total revenues	12,426	13,150	25,162		25,589
Policyholder benefits	7,477	8,479	15,084		16,774
Operating expenses	5,504	5,191	11,248		10,774
Total benefits and expenses	12,981	13,670	26,332		26,931
Loss before income tax benefit	(555)	(520)	(1,170)		(1,342)
Income tax benefit	(195)	(182)	(410)		(470)
Net loss	\$ (360)	\$ (338)	\$ (760)	\$	(872)

The net loss for this segment totaled \$0.4 million in the second quarter compared to \$0.3 million net loss in the prior year. The net loss for the first six months of 2011 was \$0.8 million compared to a \$0.9 million net loss for the first six months of 2010. The improvement in this segment s results for the second quarter and six months is attributable to improved policyholder benefits. In addition, this segment has been focused on improved profitability through more selective sales. Accordingly, sales have decreased as this segment has instituted modifications to its pricing practices for the dental product line. In addition direct disability sales have increased largely in the short-term product line, but the risk on these sales are reinsured.

The following tables present premiums included in insurance revenues and provides detail by new and renewal business for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. New premiums are also detailed by product.

		Quarter Ended June 30						
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change			
New premiums:								
Group life insurance	\$ 453	(9)	\$	498	54			
Group dental insurance	1,001	(52)		2,080	23			
Group disability insurance	2,332	114		1,088	60			
Other group insurance	34	(13)		39	290			

Edgar Filing: KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Total new premiums	3,820	3	3,705	37
Renewal premiums	11,640	1	11,474	5
Total premiums	15,460	2	15,179	11
Reinsurance ceded	(3,214)	45	(2,224)	23
Premiums, net	\$ 12,246	(5)	\$ 12,955	10

Six Months Ended June 30 2011 % Change 2010 % Change New premiums: \$ 947 \$ Group life insurance (16)1.127 45 2,379 (44)4,240 19 Group dental insurance 90 Group disability insurance 4,542 106 2,200 Other group insurance 70 (26)94 31 Total new premiums 7,938 4 7,661 38 Renewal premiums 22,494 3 21,878 (4) Total premiums 30,432 3 29,539 4 Reinsurance ceded 30 19 (5,632)(4,336)Premiums, net \$ 24,800 (2)25,203 2

Net premiums decreased \$0.7 million or 5% in the second quarter and \$0.4 million or 2% in the six months compared with the prior year. The decline was due to an increase in reinsurance ceded, which resulted from an increase in disability premiums sold through an arrangement with an independent marketing organization where the risks are 100% reinsured. This was partially offset by a decrease in dental product sales. The Company is purposefully being less aggressive in the pricing of new dental sales, while implementation of a new pricing methodology is established. The revised methodology is based upon increased information about local market pricing and service utilization, and it is expected to improve financial performance of the product line. The Company is implementing the new pricing methodology throughout 2011.

Total new premiums increased \$0.1 million or 3% in the second quarter and \$0.3 million or 4% in the six months, while total renewal premiums increased \$0.2 million or 1% in the second quarter and \$0.6 million 3% in the six months. New disability premiums increased \$1.2 million or 114% in the second quarter and \$2.3 million or 106% in the six months. These were partially offset by decreases in new dental premiums of \$1.1 million or 52% in the second quarter and \$1.9 million or 44% in the six months. The improvement in new group disability premiums continues to reflect results from the expanded distribution from a third-party arrangement pertaining primarily to disability products. This arrangement accounted for approximately 50% of total new premiums. The increase in renewal premiums was primarily driven by renewals from the short-term disability product.

Policyholder benefits consist of death benefits (mortality), accident and health benefits and the associated increase or decrease in reserves for future policy benefits. Policyholder benefits declined \$1.0 million or 12% in the second quarter and \$1.7 million or 10% in the six months compared to the prior year. The improvement was largely due to reductions in the claims ratios primarily in the disability and dental product lines.

Operating expenses consist of commissions, fees to third-party marketing and administrative organizations, and expenses from the Company s operations. Operating expenses increased \$0.3 million or 6% in the second quarter and \$1.1 million or 11% in the six months. Higher commission expenses associated with increased sales of the short-term disability product and an increase in payments to third-party administrators resulted in the increased operating expenses.

Improvement efforts for this segment in 2011 will continue to be focused on increased sales of disability products, improved profitability of the dental product line, and improvements in administrative efficiency.

### Old American

The following table presents financial data for the Old American business segment for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

		er Ended ine 30	Six Months Ended June 30		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Insurance revenues:					
Premiums, net	\$ 16,899	\$ 16,198	\$ 33,607	\$ 32,210	
Total insurance revenues	16,899	16,198	33,607	32,210	
Investment revenues:	2.007	2.020	6.220	6.007	
Net investment income	3,097	3,039	6,230	6,097	
Realized investment gains (losses), excluding impairment losses	(124)	126	(35)	509	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings:					
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(22)	(156)	(57)	(205)	
Portion of impairment losses recognized in other					
comprehensive income	4	5	16	(55)	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(18)	(151)	(41)	(260)	
Total investment revenues	2,955	3,014	6,154	6,346	
Other revenues	8	1	13	3	
Total revenues	19,862	19,213	39,774	38,559	
Policyholder benefits	11,249	10,435	24,031	22,027	
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	2,919	2,883	6,806	6,124	
Operating expenses	5,066	4,218	9,777	9,376	
Total benefits and expenses	19,234	17,536	40,614	37,527	
·					
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	628	1,677	(840)	1,032	
Income tax expense (benefit)	240	617	(314)	375	
Net income (loss)	\$ 388	\$ 1,060	\$ (526)	\$ 657	

Net income for this segment was \$0.4 million in the second quarter compared to \$1.1 million in the prior year. The decrease in net income for the second quarter reflected \$0.8 million increases in both policyholder benefits and increase in operating expenses. These were partially offset by a \$0.7 million increase in insurance revenues. The net loss for the first six months 2011 was \$0.5 million, compared to \$0.7 million in net income for the first six months of 2010. The decline in net income reflected a \$2.0 million increase in policyholder benefits, a \$0.7 million increase in amortization of DAC and a \$0.4 million increase in operating expenses. These were partially offset by a \$1.4 million increase in insurance revenues and a \$0.7 million decrease in income tax expense.

The following tables present gross premiums included in insurance revenues and provides detail by new and renewal business for the second quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

		Quarter Ended				
		Ju	ne 30			
	2011	% Change		2010	% Change	
New individual life premiums	\$ 3,094	10	\$	2,813	35	
Renewal premiums	14,396	2		14,085	(1)	
Total premiums	17,490	4		16,898	4	
Reinsurance ceded	(591)	(16)		(700)	(11)	
Premiums, net	\$ 16,899	4	\$	16,198	5	

	Six Months Ended					
	June 30					
		%			%	
	2011	Change		2010	Change	
New individual life premiums	\$ 6,135	13	\$	5,440	35	
Renewal premiums	28,702	2		28,173	(1)	
Total premiums	34,837	4		33,613	4	
Reinsurance ceded	(1,230)	(12)		(1,403)	(14)	
Premiums, net	\$ 33,607	4	\$	32,210	4	

C:-- M - -- 41- - E -- 4 - 4

Insurance revenues increased 4% in the second quarter and 4% in the six months compared with the prior year. Total new premiums increased \$0.3 million or 10% in the second quarter and \$0.7 million or 13% in the six months, while total renewal premiums increased \$0.3 million or 2% in the second quarter and \$0.5 million or 2% in the six months. The increase in new premiums reflects a combination of expanded distribution efforts and improved agency productivity. Old American continues to experience favorable results from a focus on the recruitment and development of new agencies and agents, along with improved production from existing agencies and agents.

Net investment income increased \$0.1 million or 2% in both the second quarter and first six months of 2011 compared with the prior year. This improvement reflected an increase in average investment assets and higher yields earned on the investment portfolio.

Old American had a net realized investment loss of \$0.1 million in both the second quarter and six months of 2011. This compares to a net realized investment loss of less than \$0.1 million in the second quarter and a \$0.2 million net realized investment gain in the six months of 2010.

The Company s analysis of securities for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 resulted in the determination that two fixed-maturity securities had other-than-temporary impairments affecting the Old American segment, and these securities were written down by less than \$0.1 million. The total fair value of the affected securities after the write-down was \$4.5 million.

Please see Consolidated Results of Operations in Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a table that provides securities that were written down through earnings by business segment for the first two quarters of 2011 and 2010. This section also contains a table that provides detail regarding individual investment securities by business segment that were written down through earnings during the first six months of 2011 and 2010.

Policyholder benefits increased \$0.8 million or 8% in the second quarter and \$2.0 million or 9% in the six months versus last year. The increase was primarily due to an increase in death benefits compared with the prior year. Mortality fluctuations occur each period. The Company monitors these fluctuations in relation to its pricing expectations. While death benefits increased during the second quarter and six months of 2011, the results remained within expectations.

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs increased less than \$0.1 million or 1% in the second quarter and \$0.7 million or 11% in the six months compared to a year ago. The increase for the six months was primarily due an increase in the number of policy terminations experienced in the first quarter of 2011.

Operating expenses consist of commissions, net of the capitalization of commissions, expenses from the Company s operations, the amortization of value of business acquired, and other expenses. Capitalized commissions consist primarily of commissions and non-recurring expenses related to the sale of new policies. Operating expenses increased \$0.8 million or 20% in the second quarter and \$0.4 million or 4% in the six months compared to a year ago. These increases were due to increased agent meeting and lead production expenses, as well as increased commissions and allowances, which reflect the increase in new premiums. Operating expenses for the first six months were partially offset by capitalized commissions and amortization of VOBA.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

### Liquidity

Statements made in the Company s 2010 Form 10-K remain pertinent, as the Company s liquidity position is materially unchanged from year-end 2010.

Management believes that the Company has sufficient sources of liquidity and capital resources to satisfy operational requirements and to finance expansion plans and strategic initiatives for 2011. Primary sources of cash flow are premiums, other insurance considerations and deposits, receipts for policyholder accounts, investment sales and maturities, and investment income. In addition, the Company has access to credit facilities that are available for additional working capital needs or investment opportunities. The principal uses of cash are for the insurance operations, including the purchase of investments, payment of insurance benefits, operating expenses, policyholder and shareholder dividends, income taxes, withdrawals from policyholder accounts, and costs related to acquiring new business. There can be no assurance that the Company will continue to generate cash flows at or above current levels or that the ability to borrow under the current credit facilities will be maintained.

The Company performs cash flow testing and adds various levels of stress testing to potential surrender and policy loan levels in order to assess current and near-term cash and liquidity needs. In the event of increased surrenders and other cash needs, the Company has several sources of cash flow, as mentioned above, to meet these needs.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to net cash provided of \$20.6 million for the same period in 2010. This reflected a decrease in premium receipts and an increase in claim payments and federal income taxes paid. These were partially offset by an increase in investment income.

Net cash used for investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$20.9 million, down from net cash used of \$27.0 million for the same period in 2010. The Company s new investments in fixed maturity and equity securities were \$104.0 million for the six months, a 51% decrease from \$210.3 million in the prior year. New investments in mortgage loans were \$105.2 million, compared with \$25.9 million last year. Purchases of real estate totaled \$4.5 million, down from \$7.1 million in 2010. Sales and maturities of fixed maturity and equity securities totaled \$172.6 million for the first six months of 2011, a 24% increase versus \$139.7 million a year ago. Mortgage loan maturities and principal paydowns totaled \$39.1 million, compared to \$19.5 million last year.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$17.4 million for the first six months of 2011, compared with net cash provided of \$10.3 million a year ago. This change was primarily the result of three items. First, deposits net of related withdrawals from policyholder account balances, provided \$21.3 million in 2011, compared with \$9.1 million during the same period in 2010. Second, change in other deposits provided \$0.2 million compared to \$8.2 million in the prior year. Third, the Company s net acquisition of treasury stock was less than \$0.1 million compared to net acquisitions of \$3.1 million through the first six months of 2010.

The above information excludes net proceeds from variable insurance products. These proceeds are segregated into separate accounts and are not held in the Company s general investments because the policyholders, rather than the Company, assume the underlying investment risks.

### Debt and Short-term Borrowing

The Company and certain subsidiaries have access to borrowing capacity through their membership affiliation with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (FHLB). As of June 30, 2011, there were no outstanding balances with the FHLB, and there were no outstanding balances at year-end 2010. The Company has access to unsecured revolving lines of credit of \$60.0 million with two major commercial banks with no balances outstanding. These lines of credit will expire on June 30, 2012. The Company anticipates renewing these lines of credit as they come due.

77

### Capital Resources

The Company considers existing capital resources to be adequate to support the current level of business activities. In addition, the Company s statutory equity exceeds the minimum capital deemed necessary to support its insurance business, as determined by the risk-based capital calculations and guidelines established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners. The Company believes these statutory limitations impose no practical restrictions on its dividend payment plans.

The following table shows the capital adequacy for the Company.

	June 30	December 31 2010	
	2011		
Total assets, excluding separate accounts	\$ 4,046,894	\$	3,994,073
Total stockholders equity	706,134		679,472
Ratio of stockholders equity to assets, excluding separate			
accounts	17%		17%

The ratio of equity to assets less separate accounts remained 17% through the six months ended June 30, 2011. Unrealized investment gains on available for sale securities, which are included as a part of stockholders—equity (net of securities losses, related taxes, policyholder account balances and deferred acquisition costs), totaled \$60.6 million as of June 30, 2011. This represents an increase of \$16.9 million in net unrealized gains from the \$43.7 million in net unrealized investment gains at year-end 2010. Stockholders—equity increased \$26.7 million from year-end 2010. This improvement was largely due to unrealized investment gains and growth in retained earnings.

In January 2011, the stock repurchase program was extended by the Board of Directors through January 2012 to permit purchase of up to one million of the Company s shares on the open market. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company made no purchases of stock under this plan. Through the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company purchased 96,931 shares under the stock repurchase program for \$3.0 million

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the employee stock ownership plan purchased 657 shares of treasury stock and sold 243 shares for a net change in treasury stock of less than \$0.1 million. The employee stock ownership plan held 28,027 shares of the Company s stock as of June 30, 2011.

On July 25, 2011, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.27 per share, unchanged from the prior year. This dividend will be paid on August 10, 2011 to stockholders of record as of August 4, 2011. Total stockholder dividends paid were \$3.1 million for both of the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

## **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

In the most recent reporting periods, financial market volatility and liquidity have shown continued improvement. While the improvement has been fairly broad-based, normal market conditions have not yet returned in all sectors or markets. Periods of volatility and market uncertainty represent a heightened risk for all financial institutions. Such events could negatively affect the Company and policyholder activity, such as a reduction in sales, increased policy surrenders, increased policy loans and reduced earnings. The Company has factored these risks into its risk management processes and its disclosures of financial condition.

Please refer to the Company s 2010 Form 10-K for a more complete discussion of quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk.

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

As required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b), Kansas City Life Insurance Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. As required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d), Kansas City Life Insurance Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of the Company s internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any

changes occurred during the period covered by this report materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there has been no such change during the period covered by this report.

78

## **Part II: Other Information**

## **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The life insurance industry, including the Company and its subsidiaries, has been subject to an increase in litigation in recent years. Such litigation has been pursued on behalf of purported classes of insurance purchasers, often questioning the conduct of insurers in the marketing of their products.

Similarly, the Company s retail broker-dealer subsidiary is in an industry that also involves substantial risks of liability. In recent years, litigation and arbitration proceedings involving actions against registered representatives and securities products (including mutual funds, variable annuities, and alternative investments such as real estate investment products, oil and gas investments, etc.) have continued to increase. Given the significant decline in the major market indices beginning in 2008, and the generally poor performance of investments that have historically been considered safe and conservative, there is the potential for an increase in the number of proceedings to which a broker-dealer may be named as a party.

In addition to the above, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in, or subject to, other claims or legal actions related to insurance and investment products. Some of these claims and legal actions are in jurisdictions where juries are given substantial latitude in assessing damages, including punitive damages.

Although no assurances can be given and no determinations can be made at this time, management believes that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions would not have a material effect on the Company s business, results of operations or financial position.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

The operating results of life insurance companies have historically been subject to significant fluctuations. The factors which could affect the Company s future results include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions and the known trends and uncertainties are discussed more fully in the Company s Risk Factors included in Part I, Item 1A of the Company s 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Identified below is an additional risk factor since the filing of the Company s 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

A failure, or the perceived risk of a failure, to raise the statutory debt limit of the United States could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

The U.S. Treasury has estimated that, on August 2, 2011, the United States is expected to reach its statutory debt limit. Unless Congress and the President can agree to raise the statutory debt limit, the United States may be unable to pay its obligations, including U. S. Treasury securities, as they become due. In light of that risk, rating agencies have publicly warned of the possibility of a downgrade to the United States—credit rating. The impact of a failure to raise the statutory debt limit is inherently unpredictable. The failure to raise the debt limit or an increase in the perceived risk that such a failure may occur could have a material adverse effect on domestic and global financial markets and economic conditions. In turn, this could have a material adverse effect on the Company—s business, financial condition and results of operations. In particular, these events could have a material adverse effect on the value and liquidity of financial assets, including assets in the Company—s investment portfolio. The Company—s investment portfolio at June 30, 2011 is described in the Note 3 Fair Value Measurements and in Note 4 Investments to the consolidated financial statements in this report.

# **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

# **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

	Total Number of Shares Purchased Open Market/	Average Purchase Price	Total Number of Shares Purchased as a Part of Publicly Announced	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans
Period	Benefit Plans	Paid per Share	Plans or Programs	or Programs
1/1/11 - 1/31/11	_ 1	\$ -	-	1,000,000
	15 <sup>2</sup>	32.44		
2/1/11 - 2/28/11	_1	-	-	1,000,000
	_ 2	-		
3/1/11 - 3/31/11	_1	-	-	1,000,000
	_ 2	-		
4/1/11 - 4/30/11	_1	-	-	1,000,000
	657 <sup>2</sup>	31.98		
5/1/11 - 5/31/11	_1	-	-	1,000,000
	_ 2	-		, ,
6/1/11 - 6/30/11	_ 1	-	-	1,000,000
	_2	-		
Total	672		-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On January 24, 2011, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 1,000,000 shares of its common stock through January 22, 2012.

Included in this column are the total shares purchased from the employee stock ownership plan sponsored by the Company during the consecutive months of January through June 2011.

## **Item 5. Other Information**

3520 Broadway, Kansas City, MO 64111

Contact: Tracy W. Knapp, Chief Financial Officer,

(816) 753-7299, Ext. 8216

For Immediate Release: July 29, 2011, press release reporting financial results for the second quarter of 2011.

## **Kansas City Life Announces Second Quarter 2011 Results**

Kansas City Life Insurance Company recorded net income of \$11.2 million or \$0.97 per share in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of \$1.1 million or \$0.09 per share from the same quarter in the prior year. The increase in earnings was primarily due to a \$3.2 million increase in investment revenues, a \$4.5 million decrease in total benefits, and a \$1.5 million decrease in the deferred acquisition costs (DAC). Partially offsetting these improvements was a \$6.3 million decrease in insurance revenues and a \$1.0 million increase in the amortization of the value of business acquired (VOBA).

Net income for the six months of 2011 was \$16.0 million or \$1.39 per share, an increase of \$4.9 million or \$0.43 per share compared with the same period in the prior year. This increase was driven from an improvement in investment revenues of \$6.3 million and a \$7.8 million decrease in total benefits. Partially offsetting these favorable factors was a decrease in insurance revenues of \$8.1 million and an increase of \$1.0 million in the amortization of VOBA.

Total premiums, net of reinsurance ceded, decreased \$3.4 million or 10% for the second quarter compared to the prior year, primarily the result of reduced immediate annuity premiums of \$3.5 million. New individual life insurance premiums increased \$0.2 million or 5% versus the same period one year ago, largely due to a \$0.3 million or 10% increase in new sales in the Old American segment. In addition, new group accident and health premiums increased \$0.2 million or 5% in the second quarter. The growth in accident and health premiums reflected an increase in group disability products that was greater than a decline in group dental premiums.

Total premiums, net of reinsurance ceded, decreased \$4.7 million or 7% for the six months compared to the same period one year ago. New individual life premiums increased \$0.7 million or 9% but were more than offset by a \$6.2 million or 62% decrease in new immediate annuity sales. New group accident and health premiums increased \$0.5 million or 7%, reflecting an increase in group short-term disability sales. Renewal premiums increased \$1.4 million or 2% in the six months.

New deposits increased \$7.9 million or 39% in the second quarter versus the same period one year earlier. The growth in new deposits was driven by a \$6.6 million or 58% increase in new fixed deferred annuity sales. In addition, universal life deposits increased \$0.7 million or 22% and new variable deposits increased \$0.6 million or 11%. Renewal deposits were flat for the second quarter.

Total deposits increased \$11.5 million or 10% for the six months compared with one year ago. This increase was largely the result of a \$10.5 million increase in new fixed deferred annuity deposits compared to last year. New universal life deposits increased 1%. However, new variable annuity deposits decreased \$1.5 million versus the six months of last year. Renewal deposits increased \$2.4 million versus one year earlier.

Total investment revenues increased \$3.2 million or 7% for the second quarter versus one year earlier and net investment revenues increased \$6.3 million for the six months versus one year ago. Net investment revenues are comprised of two components, net investment income and realized investment gains or losses. Gross investment income increased \$1.6 million in the second quarter and \$4.0 million for the six months versus the prior year. The increase in both periods was primarily the result of an increased allocation to commercial mortgage investments and improved returns from an alternative investment. Income from fixed maturity securities decreased slightly, as portfolio yields declined. The Company recorded net realized investment gains of \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2011, an improvement of \$1.5 million compared with the prior year. In addition, the Company recorded net realized investment gains of \$2.5 million for the six months, compared with a \$0.1 million loss in the first six months of last year.

Policyholder benefits and interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased \$4.5 million or 7% in the second quarter compared to the same period one year earlier. These same benefits decreased \$7.8 million or 6% in the six months versus one year ago. These decreases were primarily the result of a decline in benefit and contract reserves, including declines of \$5.7 million for the second quarter and \$11.8 million for the six months. Reduced benefit and contract reserves resulted from a decline in immediate annuity premiums of \$3.5 million and \$5.9 million for the second quarter and six months, respectively, as well as the result of greater reserves released from higher net death benefits. Net death

benefits increased \$2.2 million in the second quarter and \$5.7 million in the six months, due to unfavorable changes in mortality relative to the prior year. In addition, interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased \$0.8 million in the second quarter and \$1.5 million for the six months versus the prior year.

### **Table of Contents**

The amortization of DAC decreased \$1.5 million or 68% for the second quarter. For the six months, the amortization of DAC decreased \$0.8 million versus the prior year. These decreases reflect an unlocking of assumptions on interest sensitive products and are primarily related to changes in mortality tables and assumptions regarding reinsurance ceded. The Company also increased its VOBA amortization \$1.0 million for both the second quarter and six months, consistent with the unlocking of assumptions associated with Company s experience on these closed blocks of business.

On July 25, 2011, the Kansas City Life Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.27 per share that will be paid on August 10, 2011 to stockholders of record on August 4, 2011.

During the depth of the most recent challenging economic environment, the Company held fast to its core commitments of providing value-oriented products and long-term support to policyholders, along with consistently sound investment practices. These practices are intended to generate loyalty among agents and policyholders, financial stability, and future growth opportunities for the organization. The Company has held fast to its identity of providing Security Assured, delivering value and peace of mind to all of those we serve.

Kansas City Life Insurance Company (NASDAQ: KCLI) was established in 1895 and is based in Kansas City, Missouri. The Company s primary business is providing financial protection through the sale of life insurance and annuities. The Company s revenues were \$431.4 million in 2010, and assets and life insurance in force were \$4.3 billion and \$29.7 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. The Company operates in 49 states and the District of Columbia. For more information, please visit <a href="https://www.kclife.com">www.kclife.com</a>.

82

# **Kansas City Life Insurance Company**

## **Condensed Consolidated Income Statement (Unaudited)**

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

		Quarter Ended June 30			Six Months Ended June 30			
		2011		2010		2011		2010
Revenues	\$	103,823	\$	106,580	\$	212,282	\$	213,662
Net income	\$	11,173	\$	10,060	\$	15.064	\$	
Net ilicome	Ф	11,175		10,000	Ф	15,964		11,023
Net income per share, basic and diluted	\$	0.97	\$	0.88	\$	1.39	\$	0.96
Dividends paid	\$	0.27	\$	0.27	\$	0.54	\$	0.54
Average number of shares outstanding	11	1,466,948		11,477,127	1	1,467,044		11,502,565

# **Item 6. Exhibits**

- (a) Exhibits:
- 31(a) Section 302 Certification.
- 31(b) Section 302 Certification.
- 32 Section 1350 Certification.

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101 PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

84

## **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

# KANSAS CITY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

(Registrant)

/s/ R. Philip Bixby

R. Philip Bixby President, Chief Executive Officer

and Chairman of the Board

/s/ Tracy W. Knapp

Tracy W. Knapp Senior Vice President, Finance

Date: July 29, 2011

85