

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC
Form 10-K
March 15, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 0-24020

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

101 Bullitt Lane, Suite 450

61-1321992
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

(502) 329-2000

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

Louisville, Kentucky 40222
(Address of principal executive

(Registrant's telephone number,

offices, including zip code)

including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

(Title of Each Class)
Common Stock, \$.01 par value

(Name of each exchange on which registered)
The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (July 4, 2010) was \$42,491,784.

There were 19,574,307 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of March 4, 2011.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement to be delivered to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 10, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III to the extent described therein.

Table of Contents**Table of Contents**

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Part I | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 8 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 15 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 16 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 17 |
| Item 4. <u>[Removed and Reserved]</u> | 18 |
| Part II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 19 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 19 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 28 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 29 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 61 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 61 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 61 |
| Part III | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 62 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 62 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 62 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | 63 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 63 |
| Part IV | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 64 |

Signature Page

71

In this Form 10-K, Sypris, SYPR, the Company, we, us and our refer to Sypris Solutions, Inc. and its subsidiaries and predecessors, collectively. Sypris Solutions and Sypris are our trademarks. All other trademarks, servicemarks or trade names referred to in this Form 10-K are the property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents

PART I

**Item 1. Business
General**

We were formed as a Delaware corporation in 1997. We are a diversified provider of outsourced services and specialty products. We perform a wide range of manufacturing, engineering, design and other technical services, typically under multi-year, sole-source contracts with corporations and government agencies principally in the markets for industrial manufacturing and aerospace and defense electronics.

We focus on those markets where we believe we have the expertise, qualifications and leadership position to sustain a competitive advantage. We target our resources to support the needs of industry participants that embrace multi-year contractual relationships as a strategic component of their supply chain management. These contracts, many of which are sole-source by part number and are for terms of up to five years, enable us to invest in leading-edge processes or technologies to help our customers remain competitive. The productivity, flexibility and economies of scale that can result offer an important opportunity for differentiating ourselves from the competition when it comes to cost, quality, reliability and customer service.

Industrial Manufacturing. We are a significant supplier of forged and machined components, serving the commercial vehicle, off highway vehicle, light truck and energy markets in North America. We produce drive train components including axle shafts, gear sets, differential cases, steer axle forgings, and other components under multi-year, sole-source contracts with ArvinMeritor, Inc. (ArvinMeritor) and Dana Holding Corporation (DHC), the two primary providers of drive train assemblies for use by the leading truck manufacturers, including Ford Motor Company (Ford), Freightliner LLC (Freightliner), Mack Trucks, Inc. (Mack), Navistar International Corporation (Navistar), PACCAR, Inc. (PACCAR) and Volvo Truck Corporation (Volvo). We also supply ArvinMeritor with trailer axle beams for use by the leading trailer manufacturers, including Great Dane Limited Partnership (Great Dane), Hyundai Motor Company (Hyundai), Stoughton Trailers, LLC (Stoughton), Utility Trailer Manufacturing Company (Utility) and Wabash National Corporation (Wabash). We continue to support our customers' strategies to outsource non-core operations by supplying additional components and providing additional value added operations for drive train assemblies. During 2008 and 2009, the commercial vehicle industry experienced a severe recession highlighted by an unprecedented plunge in industry volumes. The industry was significantly affected by deteriorating global economic conditions, unstable credit markets and declining consumer confidence. The economic crisis drove a 38% year-over-year revenue drop within our Industrial Group in 2009. As a result, we embarked upon a significant restructuring plan which included adjusting our overhead and infrastructure to cope with the downturn and beginning to diversify our customers. Our diversification strategy resulted in the recent addition of new long-term agreements in 2010 with Eaton Corporation and American Axle, under which we supply forgings.

Aerospace & Defense Electronics. The Electronics Group is organized around two primary business lines: Information Security Solutions (ISS) and Electronic Manufacturing Services (EMS).

Information Security Solutions (ISS). Our ISS business provides solutions in cyber security, secure communications, global electronic key management, Sypris Data Systems branded products, and product design and development to the United States Government, both defense and civilian agencies, international government agencies, as well as worldwide defense and aerospace prime organizations. This group has several long-term contracts with the Department of Defense to design and build information assurance products, including link encryptors, data recording products and electronic key fill devices.

Electronic Manufacturing Services (EMS). Our EMS business is focused on circuit card and full box build manufacturing, dedicated space and high reliability manufacturing, integrated design and engineering services, systems assembly and integration, design for manufacturability, and design to specification work. A sampling of our customers include Honeywell International, Inc. (Honeywell),

Table of Contents

Lockheed Martin Corporation (Lockheed), Northrop Grumman Corporation (Northrop Grumman) and Raytheon Company (Raytheon).

The proposed U.S. defense budget for fiscal 2011 contains provisions to increase spending for activities related to Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C4ISR), cyber defense and education and secure communications. However, defense spending appears likely to be relatively flat during 2011. With few new starts and an increase in the Operations & Maintenance (O&M) and Procurement accounts, we believe there will be a focus on Reset, Maintenance Repair and Overhaul (MRO), Recapitalization and Service Life Extension Programs. These activities would focus on extending the useful life of current platforms either through basic repairs to structures, modernizing electronics in a system or complete overhauls of platforms. There continues to be increased support for spending and government provisions for cyber and irregular warfare activities, specifically related to cyber security research, education and training; network defense, secure computing, cloud computing and certification and accreditation training, all of which are expected to create significant potential opportunities for our ISS business over the long term. Our aerospace and defense electronics business accounted for approximately 28% of net revenue in 2010.

Industry Overview

We believe the trend toward outsourcing is continuing across a wide range of industries and markets as outsourcing specialists assume a strategic role in the supply chain of companies of all types and sizes. We expect the growth in outsourcing expenditures to continue increasing at a rate far higher than the expansion in the overall economy.

We believe the trend toward outsourcing is continuing because outsourcing frequently represents a more efficient, lower cost means for manufacturing a product or delivering a service when compared to more vertically integrated alternatives. While the rate of acceptance of the outsourcing model may vary by industry, we believe the following benefits of outsourcing are driving this general trend.

Reduced Total Operating Costs and Invested Capital. Outsourcing specialists are frequently able to produce products and/or deliver services at a reduced total cost relative to that of their customers because of the ability to allocate the expense for a given set of fixed capacity, including assets, people and support systems, across multiple customers with diversified needs. In turn, these outsourcing specialists can achieve higher utilization of their resources and achieve greater productivity, flexibility and economies of scale.

Access to Advanced Manufacturing Capabilities and Processes and Increased Productivity. The ability to use a fixed set of production assets for a number of customers enables outsourcing specialists to invest in the latest technology as a means to further improve productivity, quality and cycle times. The magnitude of these investments can be prohibitive absent the volume and reliability of future orders associated with having a broad array of customers for the use of those assets.

Focus on Core Competencies. Companies are under intense competitive pressure to constantly rationalize their operations, invest in and strengthen areas in which they can add the greatest value to their customers and divest or outsource areas in which they add lesser value. By utilizing the services of outsourcing specialists, these companies can react more quickly to changing market conditions and allocate valuable capital and other resources to core activities, such as research and development, sales and marketing or product integration.

Improved Supply Chain Management. We believe that the trend in outsourcing favors specialists that have the financial, managerial and capital resources to assume an increasingly greater role in the management of the supply chain for the customer. By utilizing fewer and more capable suppliers, companies are able to greatly simplify the infrastructure required to manage these suppliers, thereby reducing their costs, risks and logistical complexity, while improving margins, supply chain reliability, flexibility and long-term strategic planning.

Our Markets

Industrial Manufacturing. The industrial manufacturing markets include truck components and assemblies, trailer components and specialty closures. The truck components and assemblies market which consists of the original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, including Chrysler Group LLC, Ford, Freightliner, General

Table of Contents

Motors Company, Mack, Navistar, PACCAR and Volvo, and an extensive supply chain of companies of all types and sizes that are classified into different levels or tiers. The trailer market consists of OEMs including Great Dane, Wabash, Utility, Hyundai, Vanguard and Stoughton. Tier I companies represent the primary suppliers to the OEMs and include ArvinMeritor, DHC, Delphi Automotive LLP, Eaton Corporation and Visteon Corporation (Visteon), among others. Many of the Tier I companies are confronted with excess capacity, high hourly wage rates, costly benefit packages and aging capital equipment. Below this group of companies reside numerous suppliers that either supply the OEMs directly or supply the Tier I companies. In all segments of the truck components and assemblies and the trailer markets, however, suppliers are under intense competitive pressure to improve product quality and to reduce capital expenditures, production costs and inventory levels. The specialty closures market consists primarily of oil and gas pipelines, which are also facing significant pressures to improve quality, reduce costs and defer capital expenditures.

During 2009, the commercial vehicle industry experienced a severe recession highlighted by an unprecedented plunge in industry volumes. Deteriorating global economic conditions, unstable credit markets, rising unemployment and declining consumer confidence have all led to weakened OEMs, many of which were experiencing financial distress prior to 2009. Along with the general economic decline, the industry continues to experience declining U.S. production volumes, reduced U.S. domestic OEM market share, intense global competition, volatile commodity prices and significant pricing pressures. Although production volumes have increased in fiscal year 2010 as compared to the prior year, they are still below historically normal levels.

In an attempt to combat the deteriorating market, many OEMs are aggressively developing strategies to reduce costs, which includes reducing the number of suppliers they utilize. These manufacturers are choosing stronger relationships with fewer suppliers that are capable of investing to support their operations. In response to this trend, many suppliers have combined with others to gain the critical mass required to support these needs. As a result, the number of Tier I suppliers is being reduced, but in many cases, the aggregate production capacity of these companies has yet to be addressed. We believe that as Tier I suppliers seek to eliminate excess capacity, they will increasingly choose outsourcing as a means to enhance their financial performance, and as a result, companies such as Sypris will be presented with new business and acquisition opportunities.

Aerospace & Defense Electronics. The consolidation of defense contractors over the past decade has added to the increased demand for outsourcing specialists. The consolidated companies, some of which have developed highly leveraged balance sheets as a result of mergers and acquisitions, have been motivated to seek new ways to raise margins, increase profitability and enhance cash flow. Accordingly, outsourcing specialists, including Sypris, have been successful in building new relationships with organizations that previously relied more on internal resources. We believe this trend will continue, and that our extensive experience, capabilities, certifications and qualifications in the development of security products and services across our businesses will serve to differentiate us from many of the more traditional outsource suppliers. We also believe that we are well positioned to take advantage of additional outsourcing activity that may flow from the prime contractors that are awarded contracts related to increased defense appropriations and expenditures as a result of increased focus on national defense, homeland security and cyber security.

The market conditions for our ISS business are expected to be favorable over the long term, given the growing cyber security and intelligence markets. Our EMS business, dedicated to the aerospace and defense market, faces various market conditions. The nature of providing outsourced manufacturing services to the aerospace and defense electronics industry differs substantially from the traditional commercial outsourced manufacturing services industry. The cost of failure can be extremely high, the manufacturing requirements are typically complex and products are produced in relatively small quantities. Companies that provide these manufacturing services are required to maintain and adhere to a number of strict and comprehensive certifications, security clearances and traceability standards.

Our Business Strategy

Our objective is to improve our position in each of our core markets by increasing the number of multi-year contracts with customers and investing in highly automated production capacity to remain competitive on a global scale. We intend to serve our customers and achieve this objective by continuing to:

Table of Contents

Concentrate on our Core Markets. We are a significant supplier of forged and machined components, serving the commercial vehicle, off highway vehicle, light truck and energy markets in North America. We have been an established supplier of manufacturing and technical services to major aerospace and defense companies and agencies of the U.S. Government for over 40 years. We will continue to focus on those markets where we have the expertise, qualifications and opportunity for market share to sustain a competitive advantage.

Dedicate our Resources to Support Strategic Partnerships. We will continue to dedicate our resources to support the needs of industry leaders that embrace multi-year contractual relationships as a strategic component of their supply chain management and have the potential for long-term growth. We prefer contracts that are sole-source by part number so we can work closely with the customer to the mutual benefit of both parties. DHC and ArvinMeritor have awarded us with sole-source supply agreements for certain parts that run through 2014 and 2015, respectively. Historically, we entered into multi-year manufacturing services agreements with Boeing, Honeywell, Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman and Raytheon. Our success in establishing outsourcing partnerships with key customers has historically led to additional contracts, and we believe that if we continue to successfully perform on current contracts, we should have additional growth opportunities with these and other customers.

Pursue the Strategic Acquisition of Assets. Over the long term, we will continue to target the strategic acquisition of assets that serve to consolidate our position in our core markets, expand our presence outside the U.S., create or strengthen our relationships with leading companies and expand our range of value-added services in return for multi-year supply agreements. We intend to acquire assets that can be integrated with our core businesses and that can be used to support other customers, thereby improving asset utilization and achieving greater productivity, flexibility and economies of scale.

Grow Through the Addition of New Value-Added Services. We hope to grow through the addition of new value-added manufacturing capabilities and the introduction of additional components in the supply chain that enable us to provide a more complete solution by improving quality and reducing product cost, inventory levels and cycle times for our customers. In many instances, we offer a variety of state-of-the-art machining capabilities to our customers in the industrial manufacturing markets that enable us to reduce labor and shipping costs and minimize cycle times for our customers over the long-term, providing us with additional growth opportunities in the future. Successfully migrating from design and manufacturing of complex circuit card assemblies to box builds would increase product content with our customers and would allow us to be a more significant player in the aerospace and defense market.

We believe that the number and duration of our strategic relationships enable us to invest in our business with greater certainty and with less risk than others that do not benefit from the type of longer term contractual commitments we receive from many of our major customers. The investments we make in support of these contracts are targeted to provide us with the productivity, flexibility, technological edge and economies of scale that we believe will help to differentiate us from the competition in the future when it comes to cost, quality, reliability and customer service.

Our Services and Products

We are a diversified provider of outsourced services and specialty products. Our services consist of manufacturing, technical and other services and products that are delivered as part of our customers' overall supply chain management. We provide our customers with services that include software development, design services, prototype development, product re-engineering, feature enhancement, product ruggedization, cost reduction, product miniaturization and electro-magnetic interference and shielding. We also apply our core technologies to the development and production of our own product line of high assurance security components, including cryptographic key management programs and data encryption and recording products for our U.S. Government and defense customers. The information below is representative of the types of products we manufacture, services we provide and the customers and industries for which we provide such products or services.

Table of Contents

Industrial Manufacturing:

| | |
|------------------|---|
| ArvinMeritor | Axle shafts and drive train components for medium and heavy-duty trucks as well as axle beams for trailers. |
| DHC | Drive train components (including axle shafts, differential cases, gear sets, full float tubes) and steer axle components for use in light, medium and heavy-duty trucks. |
| Eaton | Transmission shafts for heavy-duty trucks. |
| Jamison Products | Specialty closures for oil and gas pipelines. |

Aerospace & Defense Electronics:

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Honeywell | Complex circuit cards for the color display systems used in military aircraft. |
| U.S. Government | Secure communications equipment, global key management solutions and data recording systems. |
| Raytheon | Complex circuit cards for use in a missile guidance system and an integrated air defense network. |

Manufacturing Services

Our manufacturing services typically involve the fabrication or assembly of a product or subassembly according to specifications provided by our customers. We purchase raw materials or components from our customers and independent suppliers in connection with performing our manufacturing services. We strive to enhance our manufacturing capabilities by advanced quality and manufacturing techniques, lean manufacturing, just-in-time procurement and continuous flow manufacturing, statistical process control, total quality management, stringent and real-time engineering change control routines and total cycle time reduction techniques.

Industrial Manufacturing Services. We provide our customers with a wide range of capabilities, including automated forging, extruding, machining, induction hardening, heat-treating and testing services to meet the exacting requirements. We also design and fabricate production tooling, manufacture prototype products and provide other value-added services for our customers. Our manufacturing services contracts for the truck components and assemblies markets are generally sole-source by part number. Part numbers may be specified for inclusion in a single model or a range of models. Where we are the sole-source provider by part number, we are the exclusive provider to our customer of the specific parts and for any replacements for these parts that may result from a design or model change for the duration of the manufacturing contract.

Electronics Manufacturing Services. We provide our customers with a broad variety of solutions, from low-volume prototype assembly to high-volume turnkey manufacturing. We employ a multi-disciplined engineering team that provides comprehensive manufacturing and design support to customers. The manufacturing solutions we offer include design conversion and enhancement, materials procurement, system assembly, testing and final system configuration. Our manufacturing services contracts for the aerospace and defense electronics market are generally sole-source by part number.

Products

In addition to our outsourced services, we provide some of our customers with specialized products including digital and analog data systems and encryption devices used in military applications and specialty closures and joints used in pipeline and chemical systems. As we look to grow our business, emphasis will be placed on funding of new products to broaden our portfolio and meet the needs of our customers.

Our Customers

Our customers include large, established companies and agencies of the federal government. We provide some customers with a combination of outsourced services and products, while other customers may be in a single category of our service or product offering. Our five largest customers in 2010 were DHC, ArvinMeritor, Honeywell, Lockheed Martin, and Sistemas, which in the aggregate accounted for 73% of net revenue in 2010. Our

Table of Contents

five largest customers in 2009 were DHC, ArvinMeritor, Honeywell and CPU Tech and Northrop Grumman, which accounted for 66% of net revenue in 2009. In 2010, DHC and ArvinMeritor represented approximately 49% and 13% of our net revenue, respectively. In 2009, DHC and ArvinMeritor represented approximately 40% and 7% of our net revenue, respectively. In addition, U.S. governmental agencies accounted for 12% and 16% of net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The change in customer mix from 2009 to 2010 is partially the result of a higher concentration of revenues from our Industrial Group. There was no loss of any major customer in 2010.

Geographic Areas

Our operations are located in the U.S. and Mexico. Our Mexican subsidiaries and affiliates are a part of our Industrial Group and manufacture and sell a number of products similar to those the Industrial Group produces in the U.S. In addition to normal business risks, operations outside the U.S. may be subject to a greater risk of changing political, economic and social environments, changing governmental laws and regulations, currency revaluations and market fluctuations. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have historically impacted our earnings only to the extent of remeasurement gains or losses related to U.S. dollar denominated accounts of our foreign subsidiary, because the vast majority of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars. For the year ended December 31, 2010, other income, net includes foreign currency translation losses of \$0.7 million. For 2009, other income, net included foreign currency translation gains of \$0.1 million.

Consolidated net revenues from Mexican operations were \$63.8 million, or 24%, and \$52.6 million, or 20%, of our consolidated net revenues in 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2010, net income from our Mexican operations was \$6.8 million as compared to a consolidated loss from continuing operations of \$9.7 million. In 2009, net income from our Mexican operations was \$13.3 million as compared to a consolidated loss from continuing operations of \$5.3 million. You can find more information about our regional operating results, including our export sales, in Note 20 Segment Information in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Sales and Business Development

Our principal sources of new business originate from the expansion of existing relationships, referrals and direct sales through senior management, direct sales personnel, domestic and international sales representatives, distributors and market specialists. We supplement these selling efforts with a variety of sales literature, advertising in numerous trade media and participation in trade shows. We also utilize engineering specialists extensively to facilitate the sales process by working with potential customers to reduce the cost of the service they need. Our specialists achieve this objective by working with the customer to improve their product's design for ease of manufacturing, reducing the amount of set-up time or material that may be required to produce the product or by developing software that can automate the test and/or certification process. The award of contracts or programs can be a lengthy process, which in some circumstances can extend well beyond 12 months. We may need to commit resources to potential contracts or programs that we ultimately do not win.

Our objective is to increase the value of the services we provide to the customer on an annual basis beyond the contractual terms that may be contained in a supply agreement. To achieve this objective, we commit to the customer that we will continuously look for ways to reduce the cost, improve the quality, reduce the cycle time and improve the life span of the products and/or services we supply the customer. Our ability to deliver on this commitment over time is expected to have a significant impact on customer satisfaction, loyalty and follow-on business.

Competition

The markets that we serve are highly competitive, and we compete against numerous domestic companies in addition to the internal capabilities of some of our customers. In the truck components and assemblies market, we compete primarily against companies including Mid-West Forge, Inc., Spencer Forge and Machine, Inc. and Traxle, which serve as suppliers to many Tier I and smaller companies. In the aerospace and defense electronics market, we compete primarily against companies including Celestica Inc., Jabil Circuit, Inc., LaBarge, Inc. and Safenet, Inc. We may face new competitors in the future as the outsourcing industry evolves and existing or start-up companies develop capabilities similar to ours. In addition, we will face new competitors as we continue to increase and expand our business.

Table of Contents

We believe that the principal competitive factors in our markets include the availability of capacity, technological capability, flexibility, financial strength and timeliness in responding to design and schedule changes, price, quality and delivery. Although we believe that we generally compete favorably with respect to each of these factors, some of our competitors are larger and have greater financial and operating resources than we possess. Some of our competitors, as compared to us, have a greater geographic breadth and range of services. We also face competition from manufacturing operations of our current and potential customers that continually evaluate the relative benefits of internal manufacturing compared to outsourcing. We believe our competitive position to be good, and the barriers to entry to be high in a significant portion of the markets we serve.

Suppliers

For significant portions of our business, we purchase raw materials and component parts from our customers or from suppliers chosen by our customers, at prices negotiated by our customers. When these suppliers increase their prices, cause delays in production schedules or fail to meet our customers' quality standards, our customers have contractually agreed to reimburse us for the costs associated with such price increases and not to charge us for costs caused by such delays or quality issues. Accordingly, our risks are largely limited to accurate inspections of such materials, timely communications and the collection of such reimbursements or charges, along with any additional costs incurred by us due to delays in, interruptions of, or non-optimal scheduling of, production schedules. However, for a growing part of our business, we arrange our own suppliers and assume the additional risks of price increases, quality concerns and production delays.

Raw steel and fabricated steel parts are a major component of our cost of sales and net revenue for the truck components and assemblies business. We purchase a significant portion of our steel for use in this business at the direction of our customers, with any periodic changes in the price of steel being reflected in the prices we are paid for our services. Increases in the costs of steel or other supplies can increase our working capital requirements, scrap expenses and borrowing costs.

There can be no assurance that supply interruptions or price increases will not slow production, delay shipments to our customers or increase costs in the future, any of which could adversely affect our financial results. Delays, interruptions or non-optimal scheduling of production related to interruptions in raw materials supplies can be expected to increase our costs.

Research and Development

Our research and development activities are mainly related to our product lines that serve the aerospace and defense electronics market. Process improvement expenditures related to our outsourced services are not reflected in research and development expense. Accordingly, our research and development expense represents a relatively small, but growing, percentage of our net revenue. We invested \$3.2 million and \$2.8 million in research and development in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

We own and are licensed under a number of patents and trademarks, however our business as a whole is not materially dependent upon any one patent, trademark, license or technologically related group of patents or licenses.

We regard our manufacturing processes and certain designs as proprietary trade secrets and confidential information. We rely largely upon a combination of trade secret laws, non-disclosure agreements with customers, suppliers and consultants, and our internal security systems, confidentiality procedures and employee confidentiality agreements to maintain the trade secrecy of our designs and manufacturing processes.

Government Regulation

Our operations are subject to compliance with regulatory requirements of federal, state and local authorities, both in the U.S. and in Mexico, including regulations concerning financial reporting and controls, labor relations, minimum pension funding levels, export and import matters, health and safety matters and protection of the environment. While compliance with applicable regulations has not adversely affected our operations in the

Table of Contents

past, there can be no assurance that we will continue to be in compliance in the future or that these regulations will not change or that the costs of compliance will not be material to us.

We must comply with detailed government procurement and contracting regulations and with U.S. Government security regulations, certain of which carry substantial penalty provisions for nonperformance or misrepresentation in the course of negotiations. Our failure to comply with our government procurement, contracting or security obligations could result in penalties or our suspension or debarment from government contracting, which would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

We are required to maintain U.S. Government security clearances in connection with certain activities of our Electronics Group. These clearances could be suspended or revoked if we were found not to be in compliance with applicable security regulations. Any such revocation or suspension would delay our delivery of products to customers. Although we have adopted policies directed at ensuring our compliance with applicable regulations, and there have been no suspensions or revocations at our facilities, there can be no assurance that the approved status of our facilities or personnel will continue without interruption.

We are also subject to comprehensive and changing federal, state and local environmental requirements, both in the U.S. and in Mexico, including those governing discharges to air and water, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes and the remediation of contamination associated with releases of hazardous substances. We use hazardous substances in our operations and, as is the case with manufacturers in general, if a release of hazardous substances occurs on or from any properties that we may own or operate, we may be held liable and may be required to pay the cost of remedying the condition. The amount of any resulting liability could be material.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we had a total of 1,133 employees, of which 1,090 are full-time employees, 844 of our employees are engaged in manufacturing and providing our technical services, 17 are engaged in sales and marketing, 111 are engaged in engineering and 161 engaged in administration. Approximately 445 of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with various unions that expire on various dates through 2013. Excluding certain Mexico employees covered under an annually ratified agreement, collective bargaining agreements covering 102 employees expire within the next 12 months. Although we believe overall that our relations with our labor unions are positive, there can be no assurance that present and future issues with our unions will be resolved favorably, that negotiations will be successful or that we will not experience a work stoppage, which could adversely affect our consolidated results of operations.

Internet Access

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through our website (www.sypris.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file the material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors **Risks Related to Our Business and Forward-Looking Statements**

This annual report, and our other oral or written communications, may contain forward-looking statements. These statements may include our expectations or projections about the future of our industries, business strategies, potential acquisitions or financial results and our views about developments beyond our control including domestic or global economic conditions, trends and market forces. These statements are based on management's views and assumptions at the time originally made and we undertake no obligation to update these statements, even if, for example, they remain available on our website after our outlook has changed. There can be no assurance that our expectations, projections or views will come to pass, and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

A number of significant risk factors could materially affect our specific business operations, and cause our performance to differ materially from any future results projected or implied by our prior statements, including those described below. Many of these risk factors are also identified in connection with the more specific descriptions contained throughout this report.

Customers

We need to generate new business revenues supported by a sustainable competitive advantage.

Our businesses generally require a higher level of new business revenues in order to operate profitably. Unless we can develop and offer new products and services with a sustainable competitive advantage, we may be unable to maintain the critical mass of capital investments or talented employees that are needed to succeed in our chosen markets. In the truck components and assemblies markets, our revenues are highly dependent upon the overall demand for new vehicles. In the aerospace and defense markets, our revenues are highly dependent upon new product development, effective marketing and sales activities, the development of additional profitable capacity (especially in our space engineering programs) and the profitable management of our legacy products and services.

Customer contracts could be less profitable than expected.

We generally bear the risk that our contracts could be unprofitable or less profitable than planned, despite our estimates of revenues and future costs to complete such contracts.

A material portion of our business is conducted under multi-year contracts, which generally include fixed prices or periodic price reductions without minimum purchase requirements. Over time, our revenues may not cover our increasing operating costs which could adversely impact our results. Our financial results are at greater risk when we accept contractual responsibility for raw material or component prices, when we cannot offset price reductions and cost increases with operating efficiencies or other savings, when we must submit contract bid prices before all key design elements are finalized or when we are subjected to other competitive pressures which erode our margins. The profitability of our contracts also can be adversely affected by unexpected start-up costs on new programs, operating inefficiencies, ineffective capital investments, inflationary pressures or inaccurate forecasts of future unit costs.

In the past, we have signed long-term supply agreements with DHC and ArvinMeritor and acquired their facilities in Morganton, North Carolina and Toluca, Mexico, among other manufacturing assets. Although most of these acquired facilities have well-established product markets, these customers or their products may not continue to be successful, product enhancements may not be made in a timely fashion, our long-term pricing agreements could generate lower margins than anticipated and there can be no assurance that we will successfully restructure or integrate these operations, including necessary plant shutdowns or transfers of business. In addition, our failure to identify potential liabilities with respect to certain indemnified environmental and other conditions, or our assertion of related claims, could adversely affect our operating results, our ability to dispose of idle plant properties or our customer relationships. Our efforts to restructure, relocate and consolidate a significant number of the operations in these plants could cause certain of these facilities to operate at underutilized levels which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Unexpected changes in our customers' demand levels have harmed our operating results in the past and could do so in the future. Many of our customers will not commit to firm production or delivery schedules. Disagreements over pricing, quality, delivery, capacity, exclusivity or trade credit terms could disrupt order schedules. Orders also fluctuate due to changing global capacity and demand, new products, changes in market share, reorganizations or bankruptcies, material shortages, labor disputes or other factors that discourage outsourcing. These forces could increase, decrease, accelerate, delay or cancel our delivery schedules.

Inaccurate forecasting of our customers' requirements can disrupt the efficient utilization of our manufacturing capacity, inventories or workforce. If we lose anticipated revenues, we might not succeed in redeploying our substantial capital investment and other fixed costs, potentially forcing additional plant closures, impairments of long-lived and other assets or increased losses. If we receive unanticipated orders or rapid increases in demand, these incremental volumes could be unprofitable due to the higher costs of operating above our optimal capacity.

Table of Contents

We depend on a few key customers in challenging industries for most of our revenues.

Our five largest customers in 2010 were DHC, ArvinMeritor, Honeywell, Lockheed Martin and Sistemas, collectively accounting for 73% of net revenue. Our five largest customers in 2009 were DHC, ArvinMeritor, Honeywell, CPU Tech and Northrop Grumman, collectively accounting for 66% of net revenue. In addition, U.S. governmental agencies accounted for 12% and 16% of net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The truck components and assemblies industry has experienced credit risk, highly cyclical market demand, labor unrest, rising steel costs, bankruptcy and other obstacles, while the aerospace and defense electronics industry has seen consolidation, increased competition, disruptive new technologies and uncertain funding.

We depend on the continued growth and financial stability of these customers and our core markets, as well as general economic conditions. Adverse changes affecting these customers, markets or general conditions could harm our operating results. The truck components and assemblies market is highly cyclical, due in part to regulatory deadlines, the availability or scarcity of available credit, fluctuations in oil prices and pent-up demand for replacement vehicles.

Rising costs of steel or component parts could increase our inventory and working capital levels and present challenges to our customers who seek to pass those costs on to their customers. Many of our customers' labor disputes, financial difficulties and restructuring needs have created rising uncertainty and risk, which could increase our costs or impair our business model.

The aerospace and defense industry is pressured by cyclical, rapid technological change, shortening product life cycles, decreasing margins, unpredictable funding levels and government procurement and certification processes. Our aerospace and defense business faces an aging portfolio of legacy products and services which must be replenished with new technologies if we are to successfully maintain or expand our market shares. Our failure to address any of these factors, particularly in our secured electronic communications or space engineering programs, could impair our business model.

There can be no assurance that any of our customers will not default on, delay or dispute payment of, or seek to reject our outstanding invoices in bankruptcy or otherwise.

Congressional budgetary constraints or reallocations can reduce our government sales.

Our Electronics Group sells manufacturing services and products to a number of U.S. government agencies, which in the aggregate represented approximately 12% and 16% of our net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. We also serve as a contractor for large aerospace and defense companies such as Boeing, Honeywell, Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman and Raytheon, typically under federally funded programs, which represented approximately 11% and 15% of net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Our government contracts have many inherent risks that could adversely impact our financial results. These contracts depend upon the continuing availability of Congressional appropriations. The budget appropriations process in the United States Congress has at times become highly politicized and unpredictable, including the growing use of continuing resolutions as a temporary approach to the resolution of disputes over funding levels. Future levels of governmental spending, including delays, declines or reallocations in the funding of certain programs could adversely affect our financial results, if we are unable to offset these changes with new business or cost reductions.

Suppliers

Interruptions in the supply of key components could disrupt production.

Some of our manufacturing services or products require one or more components that are available from a limited number of providers or from sole-source providers. In the past, some of the materials we use, including steel, certain forgings or castings, capacitors and memory and logic devices, have been subject to industry-wide shortages or capacity allocations. As a result, suppliers have been forced to allocate available quantities among their customers, and we have not been able to obtain all of the materials desired. More recently, the tightening of credit markets has threatened the financial viability of an increasing number of suppliers of key components and raw materials, and forced unanticipated shutdowns. Our inability to reliably obtain these or any other materials when

Table of Contents

and as needed could slow production or assembly, delay shipments to our customers, impair the recovery of our fixed costs and increase the costs of recovering to customers' schedules, including overtime, expedited freight, equipment maintenance, operating inefficiencies, higher working capital and the obsolescence risks associated with larger buffer inventories. Each of these factors could reduce operating results.

Shortages or increased costs of utilities could harm our business and our customers.

We and our customers depend on a constant supply of electricity and natural gas from utility providers for the operation of our respective businesses and facilities. In the past, we have experienced power outages which reduced our ability to deliver products and meet our customers demand for those products. If we or our customers experience future interruptions in service from these providers, our production and/or delivery of products could be negatively affected. Additionally, due to the heavy consumption of energy in our production process and the businesses of our customers, if the cost of energy significantly increases, our results of operations, and those of our customers, could be negatively impacted.

Execution

Contract terminations or delays could harm our business.

We often provide manufacturing services and products under contracts that contain detailed specifications, quality standards and other terms. If we are unable to perform in accordance with such terms, our customers might seek to terminate such contracts, demand price concessions or other financial consideration or downgrade our past performance rating, an increasingly critical factor in federal procurement competitions. Moreover, many of our contracts are subject to termination for convenience or upon default. These provisions could provide only limited recoveries of certain incurred costs or profits on completed work, and could impose liability for our customers' costs in procuring undelivered items from another source. If any of our significant contracts were to be terminated or not renewed, we would lose substantial revenues and our operating results as well as prospects for future business opportunities could be adversely affected.

We are subject to various audits, reviews and investigations, including private party whistleblower lawsuits, relating to our compliance with federal and state laws. Should our business be charged with wrongdoing, or determined not to be a presently responsible contractor, we could be temporarily suspended or debarred for up to three or more years from receiving new government contracts or government-approved subcontracts.

We must operate more efficiently, or our results could suffer.

If we are unable to improve the cost, efficiency and yield of our operations, our costs could increase and our financial results could suffer. A number of major obstacles could include: the loss of substantial revenues due to a sluggish economic recovery; inflationary pressures; increased borrowing due to declining sales, changes in anticipated product mix and the associated variances in our profit margins; efforts to increase our manufacturing capacity and launch new programs; efforts to migrate, restructure or move business operations from one location to another; the breakdown of critical machinery or equipment; the need to identify and eliminate our root causes of scrap; our ability to achieve expected annual savings or other synergies from past and future business combinations; inventory risks due to shifts in market demand; obsolescence; price erosion of raw material or component parts; shrinkage, or other factors affecting our inventory valuations; and an inability to successfully manage growth, contraction or competitive pressures in our primary markets.

Our management or systems could be inadequate to support our existing or future operations, especially as we downsize our operating staff to reduce expenses in any extended economic downturn. Growth in our business could require us to invest in additional equipment to improve our efficiency. We may have limited experience or expertise in installing or operating such equipment, which could negatively impact our ability to deliver products on time or with acceptable costs. In addition, a material portion of our manufacturing equipment requires significant maintenance to operate effectively and we may experience maintenance and repair issues. Our efforts to restructure, relocate and consolidate a significant number of the operations, especially in our truck component manufacturing plants, could cause certain of these facilities to operate at underutilized levels, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. In our electronics business, the risk of technical failures, nonconformance with customer specifications, an inability to deliver next generation products or other quality concerns could materially impair our operating results.

Table of Contents

Our growth strategies could be ineffective due to the risks of further acquisitions.

Our growth strategy has included acquiring complementary businesses. We could fail to identify, finance or complete suitable acquisitions on acceptable terms and prices. Acquisition efforts could increase a number of risks, including: diversion of management's attention; difficulties in integrating systems, operations and cultures; potential loss of key employees and customers of the acquired companies; lack of experience operating in the geographic market of the acquired business; an increase in our expenses and working capital requirements; risks of entering into markets or producing products where we have limited or no experience, including difficulties in integrating purchased technologies and products with our technologies and products; our ability to improve productivity and implement cost reductions; our ability to secure collective bargaining agreements with employees; and exposure to unanticipated liabilities.

Our discovery of, or failure to discover, material issues during due diligence investigations of acquisition targets, either before closing with regard to potential risks of the acquired operations, or, after closing with regard to the timely discovery of breaches of representations or warranties, or of certain indemnified environmental conditions, could seriously harm our business.

Competition

Increasing competition could limit or reduce our market share.

We operate in highly competitive environments that include our customers' internal capabilities. We believe that the principal competitive factors in our markets include the availability of manufacturing capacity, technological strength, speed and flexibility in responding to design or schedule changes, price, quality, delivery, cost management and financial strength. Our earnings could decline if our competitors or customers can provide comparable speed and quality at a lower cost, or if we fail to adequately invest in the range and quality of manufacturing services and products our customers require.

Some of our competitors have greater financial and organizational resources, customer bases and brand recognition than we do. As a result, our competitors may respond more quickly to technological changes or customer needs, consume lower fixed and variable unit costs, negotiate reduced component prices, and obtain better terms for financing growth. If we fail to compete in any of these areas, we may lose market share and our business could be seriously harmed. There can be no assurance that we will not experience increased competition or that we will be able to maintain our profitability if our competitive environment changes.

Our technologies could become obsolete, reducing our revenues and profitability.

The markets for our products and services are characterized by changing technology and continuing process development. The future of our business will depend in large part upon the continuing relevance of our technological capabilities. We could fail to make required capital investments, develop or successfully market services and products that meet changing customer needs and anticipate or respond to technological changes in a cost-effective and timely manner. Our inability to successfully launch or sustain new or next generation programs or product features, especially in accordance with budgets or committed delivery schedules could materially adversely affect our financial results. We could encounter competition from new or revised technologies that render our technologies and equipment less profitable or obsolete in our chosen markets, and our operating results may suffer.

Access to Capital

An inability to obtain favorable financing could impair our growth.

Our operating results could be materially adversely impacted by the costs and supply of debt, equity capital or insurance. Our future liquidity and capital requirements are difficult to predict because they depend on numerous factors, including the pace at which we grow our business and acquire new facilities or the loss of anticipated revenues due to the effects of any extended economic downturn. One method we have used to obtain multi-year supply agreements is to buy a customer's non-core manufacturing assets and produce products for them. We may need to raise substantial additional funds in order to grow this business. We cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all. Additional equity financing could result in dilution to

Table of Contents

existing holders. If additional financing is obtained in the form of debt, the terms of the debt could place restrictions on our ability to operate or increase the financial risk of our capital structure. Our ability to borrow under our current credit facility is conditioned upon our compliance with various financial covenants. We could lose our access to such financing if we experience adverse changes in our operations, poor financial results, increased risk profiles of our businesses, declines in our credit ratings, any actual or alleged breach of our debt covenants, insurance conditions or similar agreements or any adverse regulatory developments. In any extended economic downturn, we may need to raise capital through the sale of core or non-core assets or businesses and our inability to successfully do so could materially adversely impact our operating results or access to sufficient capital.

Any inability to raise additional funds as needed could impair our ability to operate and grow our business. Such financing could be subject to a number of factors, including market conditions, our operating performance and investor sentiment. These factors may make the timing, amount, terms and conditions of additional financing unattractive for us.

We may be unable to comply with the covenants in our amended Revolving Credit Facility and Senior Notes.

The financial covenants in our amended Revolving Credit Facility and Senior Notes agreements require us to achieve certain financial covenants regarding: quarterly minimum net worth and liquidity levels, cumulative quarterly EBITDAR levels (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and restructuring costs), cumulative quarterly fixed charge ratios and cumulative quarterly debt to EBITDAR ratios, among others. The agreements also commit the Company to obtain the consent of the Banks and the Noteholders before making any dividend payments and impose certain fees and interest rate increases. To the extent that collateral is sold outside of the ordinary course of business, the agreements also provide for certain prepayments to the Banks and the Noteholders. No assurances can be given that changing business, regulatory or economic conditions might not cause the Company to violate one or more covenants which could result in default or acceleration of any debt under the Agreements.

Labor Relations

We must attract and retain qualified employees while successfully managing related costs.

Our future success in a changing business environment, including during rapid changes in the size, complexity or skills required of our workforce, will depend to a large extent upon the efforts and abilities of our executive, managerial and technical employees. The loss of key employees, especially in a recovering economic environment, could have a material adverse effect on our operations. Our future success will also require an ability to attract and retain qualified employees. Labor disputes or changes in the cost of providing pension and other employee benefits, including changes in health care costs, investment returns on plan assets and discount rates used to calculate pension and related liabilities or other requirements to accelerate the level of our pension fund contributions to reduce or eliminate underfunded liabilities, could lead to increased costs or disruptions of operations in any of our business units.

Disputes with labor unions could disrupt our business plans.

We currently have collective bargaining agreements covering approximately 445 employees, or approximately 39% of total employees, of which agreements covering 102 employees expire within the next 12 months. We could experience a work stoppage or other disputes which could disrupt our operations or the operations of our customers and could harm our operating results.

Regulatory

Environmental, health and safety risks could expose us to potential liability.

We are subject to a variety of environmental regulations relating to the use, storage, discharge and disposal of hazardous chemicals and substances used in our operations. If we fail to comply with present or future regulations, we could be forced to alter, suspend or discontinue our manufacturing processes, and pay substantial fines or penalties.

Groundwater and other contamination has occurred at certain of our current and former facilities during the operation of those facilities by their former owners, and this contamination may occur at future facilities we operate

Table of Contents

or acquire. There is no assurance that environmental indemnification agreements we have secured from former owners of these properties will be adequate to protect us from liability.

Our Marion, Ohio facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds, semi-volatile and volatile organic compounds, certain metals, PCBs and other contaminants, some of which exceed the state voluntary action program standards applicable to the site. We continue to test and assess this site to determine the extent of this contamination by the prior owners of the facility. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, DHC has agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, certain environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified Dana prior to December 31, 2002, subject to certain other conditions involving Dana's release of, or continuing right to seek indemnity from, Eaton Corporation, from which Dana acquired the property.

A leased facility we formerly occupied in Tampa, Florida is subject to remediation activities related to groundwater contamination involving methyl chloride and other volatile organic compounds, which occurred prior to our use of the facility, and such contamination extends beyond the boundaries of the facility. The prior operator of the facility has entered into a consent order with the State of Florida and agreed to remediate the contamination, the full scope of which has not yet been determined. In addition, certain claims which have been made against the Company and the former owners or operators of the facility have been fully indemnified by such former owners and operators, who have assumed the defense of such claims.

We previously acquired certain business assets formerly located at a leased facility in Littleton, Colorado, where chlorinated solvents had been disposed of on site by a prior owner of the business at the site, contaminating the groundwater at and around the site. The seller of the assets to us is operating a remediation system on the site approved by the State of Colorado and has entered into a consent order with the EPA providing for additional investigation at the site. In addition, Sypris has been contractually indemnified by the prior owners of the facility.

Our Morganton, North Carolina facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds, certain metals and other contaminants, some of which may exceed the State of North Carolina standards applicable to the site. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, Dana had agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified Dana prior to December 31, 2005. However, such amounts due from Dana have been released in conjunction with Dana's Chapter 11 filing and the Company's comprehensive settlement with Dana. The Company is aware of no current litigation, material remediation claims or other proceedings with respect to this facility.

Our Toluca, Mexico facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds and volatile organic compounds, among other concerns. We continue to test and assess this site to determine the extent of any contamination by the prior owners of the facility. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, DHC has agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified Dana prior to June 30, 2006, subject to certain other conditions involving Dana's release of, or continuing right to seek indemnity from, Eaton Corporation, from which Dana acquired the property.

Our Kenton, Ohio facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds, volatile organic compounds, certain metals, PCBs and other contaminants. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, Meritor Heavy Vehicle Systems agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified ArvinMeritor prior to May 2, 2006.

Adverse regulatory developments or litigation could harm our business.

Our businesses operate in heavily regulated environments. We must successfully manage the risk of changes in or adverse actions under applicable law or in our regulatory authorizations, licenses and permits, governmental security clearances or other legal rights to operate our businesses, to manage our work force or to import and export goods and services as needed. Our business activities expose us to the risks of litigation with respect to our customers, suppliers, creditors, stockholders or from product liability, environmental or asbestos-related matters. Potential liabilities associated with discontinued operations, including post-closing indemnifications or claims related to business or asset dispositions could adversely affect our financial results. We also face the risk

Table of Contents

of other adverse regulatory actions, compliance costs or governmental sanctions, as well as the costs and risks related to our ongoing efforts to design and implement effective internal controls.

Other Risks

We face other factors which could seriously disrupt our operations.

Many other risk factors beyond our control could seriously disrupt our operations, including: risks relating to war, future terrorist activities, computer hacking or other cyber attacks, political uncertainties or natural disasters which could shut down our domestic or foreign facilities, disrupt transportation of products or supplies, increase the costs under our self insurance program or change the timing and availability of funding in our aerospace and defense electronics markets; risks inherent in operating abroad, including foreign currency exchange rates, adverse regulatory developments, and miscommunications or errors due to inaccurate foreign language translations or currency exchange rates; risks relating to natural disasters or other casualties; or our failure to anticipate or to adequately insure against other risks and uncertainties present in our businesses including unknown or unidentified risks.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Properties**

Our principal manufacturing services operations are engaged in electronics manufacturing services for our aerospace and defense customers and industrial manufacturing services for our truck components and assemblies customers. The following chart indicates the significant facilities that we own or lease, the location and size of each such facility and the manufacturing certifications that each facility possesses. The facilities listed below (other than the corporate office) are used principally as manufacturing facilities.

| Location | Market Served | Own or Lease (Expiration) | Approximate Square Feet | Certifications |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Corporate Office: | | | | |
| Louisville, Kentucky | | Lease (2014) | 21,600 | |
| Manufacturing and Service Facilities: | | | | |
| Kenton, Ohio* | Truck Components & Assemblies | Own | 550,000 | TS 16949 |
| Louisville, Kentucky | Truck Components & Assemblies; Specialty Closures | Own | 450,000 | QS 9000 TS 16949 |
| Marion, Ohio** | Truck Components & Assemblies | Own | 255,000 | TS 16949 |
| Morganton, North Carolina | Truck Components & Assemblies | Own | 360,000 | TS 16949 ISO 14001 |
| Tampa, Florida | Aerospace & Defense Electronics | Lease (2016) | 318,000 | ISO 9001 ISO 14001 AS 9100 NASA-STD-8739 IPC-A-610, Rev D, Class 3 J-STD-001, Rev D, Class 3 CMMI Level 3 |
| Toluca, Mexico | Truck Components & Assemblies | Own | 217,000 | TS 16949 |

* Location closed in 2009.

** Location targeted for closure in 2011.

Below is a listing and description of the various manufacturing certifications or specifications that we utilize at our facilities.

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| Certification/Specification | Description |
|------------------------------------|--|
| AS 9100 | A quality management system developed by the aerospace industry to measure supplier conformance with basic common acceptable aerospace quality requirements. |
| IPC-A-610 | A certification process for electronics assembly manufacturing which describes materials, methods and verification criteria for producing high quality electronic products. Class 3 specifically includes high performance or performance-on-demand products where equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required. |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------|--|
| J-STD-001 | A family of voluntary standards of industry-accepted workmanship criteria for electronics assemblies. |
| CMMI Level-3 | An internationally recognized measure of an organization's engineering process maturity. |
| ISO 9001 | A certification process comprised of quality system requirements to ensure quality in the areas of design, development, production, installation and servicing of products. |
| ISO 14001 | A family of voluntary standards and guidance documents defining specific requirements for an Environmental Management System. |
| NASA-STD-8739 | A specification for space programs designated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. |
| QS 9000 | A certification process developed by the nation's major automakers that focuses on continuous improvement, defect reduction, variation reduction and elimination of waste. |
| TS 16949 | A quality certification system developed within the automotive sector. Using ISO 9001:2000 as its foundation, ISO/TS 16949:2002 specifies the quality management system (QMS) requirements for the design, development, production, installation and servicing of automotive related products. |

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved from time to time in routine litigation and other legal or environmental proceedings incidental to our business. There are currently no material pending legal proceedings to which we are a party. Ongoing environmental matters include the following:

Our Marion, Ohio facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds, semi-volatile and volatile organic compounds, certain metals, PCBs and other contaminants, some of which exceed the State of Ohio voluntary action program standards applicable to the site. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, DHC has agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified Dana prior to December 31, 2002, to the extent of any indemnification owed to DHC by Eaton Corporation (Eaton) or any other matters for which DHC has released Eaton.

A leased facility we formerly occupied in Tampa, Florida is currently subject to remediation activities related to groundwater contamination involving methylene chloride and other volatile organic compounds which occurred prior to our use of the facility. The contamination extends beyond the boundaries of the facility. In December 1986, Honeywell, a prior operator of the facility, entered into a consent order with the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation under which Honeywell agreed to remediate the contamination, the full scope of which has not yet been determined. We purchased the assets of a business formerly located on this leased site and operated that business from 1993 until December 1994. Philips Electronics America Corporation (Philips Electronics), the seller of those assets, has agreed to indemnify us with respect to environmental matters arising from groundwater contamination at the site prior to our use of the facility. On November 3, 2004, Sypris Electronics was served as a co-defendant with Honeywell and Phillips Electronics in an environmental lawsuit filed in the Circuit Court of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Hillsborough County, Florida by Helen Jones and other surrounding landowners, alleging various damages caused by such contamination. Philips Electronics has agreed to pay for our defense costs.

In December 1992, we acquired certain business assets formerly located at a leased facility in Littleton, Colorado. Certain chlorinated solvents disposed of on the site by Honeywell, a previous owner of the business, have contaminated the groundwater at and around the site. Alliant Techsystems, from which we acquired the business assets, operates a remediation system approved by the State of Colorado and

Table of Contents

has also entered into a consent order with the EPA providing for additional investigation at the site. Alliant Techsystems has agreed to indemnify us with respect to these matters.

Our Morganton, North Carolina facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds, certain metals and other contaminants, some of which exceed the State of North Carolina notification standards applicable to the site. No litigation or other proceedings are underway with respect to this site.

Our Toluca, Mexico facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds and volatile organic compounds, among other concerns. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, DHC has agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified Dana prior to June 30, 2006, to the extent of any indemnification owed to DHC by Eaton or any other matters for which DHC has released Eaton.

Our Kenton, Ohio facility is subject to soil and groundwater contamination involving petroleum compounds, volatile organic compounds, certain metals, PCBs and other contaminants. Under our purchase agreement for this facility, Meritor Heavy Vehicle Systems has agreed to indemnify us for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the site as of closing and as to which we notified ArvinMeritor prior to May 2, 2006.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

We are a smaller reporting company as defined in Item 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K and thus are not required to provide the Performance graph required in paragraph (e) of Item 201 of Regulation S-K.

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol SYPR. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing sale prices per share of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market.

| | High | Low |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Year ended December 31, 2009: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 1.80 | \$ 0.61 |
| Second Quarter | 1.50 | 0.50 |
| Third Quarter | 2.85 | 1.10 |
| Fourth Quarter | 3.48 | 2.09 |
| Year ended December 31, 2010: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 3.37 | \$ 2.55 |
| Second Quarter | 4.87 | 3.40 |
| Third Quarter | 4.19 | 3.05 |
| Fourth Quarter | 4.37 | 3.06 |

As of March 4, 2011, there were 838 holders of record of our common stock. No cash dividends were declared during 2010 or 2009.

Dividends may be paid on common stock only when, as and if declared by our Board of Directors in its sole discretion. The Company's debt agreements require the Company to obtain the consent of the banks and noteholders before making any dividend payments.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

We are a smaller reporting company as defined in Item 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K and thus are not required to report the selected financial data in Item 301 of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition should be read together with the other financial information and consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from the results anticipated in the forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors, including those discussed in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a diversified provider of outsourced services and specialty products. We perform a wide range of manufacturing, engineering, design, testing and other technical services, typically under multi-year, sole-source contracts with major companies and government agencies in the markets for aerospace and defense electronics and truck components and assemblies.

We are organized into two business groups, the Industrial Group and the Electronics Group. The Industrial Group is comprised of Sypris Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries, which generates revenue primarily from the sale of manufacturing services to customers in the market for truck components and assemblies and from the sale of products to the energy and chemical markets. Revenue for the Electronics Group is derived primarily from the sale of manufacturing services, technical services and products to customers in the market for aerospace and defense electronics.

Our objective is to become the leading outsourcing specialist in each of our core markets for aerospace and defense electronics, and truck components and assemblies. We have focused our efforts on establishing long-term relationships with industry leaders who embrace multi-year contractual relationships as a strategic component of their supply chain management.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported. Changes in facts and circumstances could have a significant impact on the resulting estimated amounts included in our consolidated financial statements. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more complex judgments and estimates. We also have other policies that we consider to be key accounting policies, such as our policies for revenue recognition in the Industrial Group, including cost of sales; however, these policies do not meet the definition of critical accounting estimates because they do not generally require us to make estimates or judgments that are difficult or subjective.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We establish reserves for uncollectible accounts receivable based on overall receivable aging levels, a specific evaluation of accounts for customers with known financial difficulties and evaluation of customer chargebacks, if any. These reserves and corresponding write-offs could significantly increase if our customers experience deteriorating financial results or in the event we receive a significant chargeback, which is deemed uncollectible.

Goodwill. Goodwill is tested for impairment during the fourth quarter or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that would warrant such a review. The Company assesses recoverability using several methodologies, including a discounted cash flow analysis and comparisons of multiples of enterprise values to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). The analysis is based upon available information regarding expected future cash flows of each reporting unit discounted at rates consistent with the cost of capital specific to the reporting unit. A growth rate is used to calculate the terminal value of the reporting unit and is added to the present value of the forecasted cash flows. The growth rate is the expected rate at which a reporting unit's cash flow is projected to grow beyond the period covered by the long-range plan. The cash flow analysis requires judgment in our evaluation of the business and establishing an appropriate discount rate and terminal value to apply in the calculation. In selecting these and other assumptions for each business, we consider historical performance, forecasted operating results, general market conditions and industry considerations specific to the business. We make significant assumptions and estimates about the extent and timing of future cash flows,

Table of Contents

growth rates and discount rates. The cash flows are estimated over a future period of time, which makes those estimates and assumptions subject to a high degree of uncertainty. The sum of the calculated fair values of each reporting unit is then reconciled and compared to our total market capitalization, allowing for a reasonable control premium. If the discounted cash flow analysis yields a fair value estimate less than the reporting unit's carrying value, we proceed to step two of the impairment process. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, we conducted the required annual test of goodwill for impairment. There were no indicators of impairment for the Electronics Group, which is the only remaining reporting unit with goodwill. While revenue for this reporting unit decreased year over year, profit margins improved to significantly offset the impact of the net revenue decline. The fair value estimate for the Electronics Group exceeded its carrying value by approximately 30%. Key assumptions used to determine the fair value estimate of our Electronics Group during the fourth quarter were the expected after-tax cash flows for the period from 2011 to 2013, a terminal growth rate of 3.0% and a weighted average cost of capital of 18.2%. The terminal rate is consistent with the prior year growth rate of 3.0%. Our analysis included a comparison of our market capitalization to the fair value of the entire enterprise.

We believe that the assumptions and estimates used to determine the fair values of each of our reporting units were reasonable. However, different assumptions could materially affect the results.

Net Revenue and Cost of Sales. Net revenue of products and services under commercial terms and conditions are recorded upon delivery and passage of title, or when services are rendered. Related shipping and handling costs, if any, are included in costs of sales. Net revenue under service-type contracts is recorded as costs are incurred.

Net revenue under long-term, fixed-price contracts with aerospace and defense companies and agencies of the U.S. Government is recognized upon shipment. Contract profits are taken into earnings based on actual cost of sales for units shipped. Prior to a system conversion in 2009, estimated contract profits were recognized based on the ratio of costs incurred to estimated total costs at completion. The change to recognizing costs on an actual basis from an estimated basis did not have a material impact to our financial statements and result of operations. Amounts representing contract change orders or claims are included in revenue when such costs are invoiced to the customer.

Long-lived asset impairment. We perform periodic impairment analysis on our long-lived amortizable assets whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. When indicators are present, we compare the estimated future undiscounted net cash flows of the operations to which the assets relate to their carrying amount. If the operations are determined to be unable to recover the carrying amount of their assets, the long-lived assets are written down to their estimated fair value. Fair value is determined based on discounted cash flows, third party appraisals or other methods that provide appropriate estimates of value. A considerable amount of management judgment and assumptions are required in performing the impairment test, principally in determining whether an adverse event or circumstance has triggered the need for an impairment review. We recorded an immaterial amount of impairment charges in 2010. We recorded impairment charges of \$1.3 million in 2009 within the Industrial Group. While we believe our judgments and assumptions were reasonable, changes in assumptions underlying these estimates could result in a material impact to our consolidated financial statements in any given period. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for further details.

Pension Plan Funded Status. The calculation of pension assets and liabilities involve complex estimation processes dependent on assumptions developed by us in consultation with our outside advisors such as actuaries. The assumptions used, including discount rates and return on plan assets, have a significant impact on plan expenses and obligations. Changes in these rates could significantly impact the actuarially determined amounts recorded in the statements of financial position. If actual experience differs from expectations, our financial position and results of operations in future periods could be affected. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for further details.

Table of Contents

A change in the assumed pension discount rate of 25 basis points would result in a change in our pension obligation as of December 31, 2010 of approximately \$0.9 million. A 25 basis point change in the assumed rate of return would change the 2011 pension expense by approximately \$0.1 million.

Discount rates are based upon the construction of a theoretical bond portfolio, adjusted according to the timing of expected cash flows for the future obligations. A yield curve is based on a subset of these fixed income investments. The projected cash flows are matched to this yield curve and a present value is developed which is then calibrated to develop a single equivalent discount rate. Pension benefits are funded through deposits with trustees that satisfy, at a minimum, the applicable funding regulations. Expected investment rates of return are based upon input from the plan's investment advisors and actuary regarding our expected investment portfolio mix, historical rates of return on those assets, projected future asset class returns and long-term market conditions and inflation expectations. We believe that the long-term asset allocation on average will approximate the targeted allocation, and we regularly review the actual asset allocation to periodically rebalance the investments to the targeted allocation when appropriate.

Actuarial gains or losses may result from changes in assumptions or when actual experience is different from that expected. Under applicable standards, those gains and losses are not required to be immediately recognized as expense, but instead may be deferred as part of accumulated other comprehensive income and amortized into expense over future periods.

Reserve for Excess, Obsolete and Scrap Inventory. We record inventory at the lower of cost, determined under the first-in, first-out method, or market, and we reserve for excess, obsolete or scrap inventory. These reserves are primarily based upon management's assessment of the salability of the inventory, historical usage of raw materials, historical demand for finished goods and estimated future usage and demand. An improper assessment of salability or improper estimate of future usage or demand, or significant changes in usage or demand could result in significant changes in the reserves and a positive or a negative impact on our consolidated results of operations in the period the change occurs.

Stock-based Compensation. We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions using the Black-Scholes option-pricing method, which requires the input of several subjective assumptions. These assumptions include estimating the length of time employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them (expected term), the estimated volatility of our common stock price over the expected term and the number of options that will ultimately not complete their vesting requirements (forfeitures). Changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes as required by the provisions of ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities measured using enacted tax rates.

Management judgment is required in determining income tax expense and the related balance sheet amounts. In addition, under ASC 740-10, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, judgments are required concerning the ultimate outcome of uncertain income tax positions. Actual income taxes paid may vary from estimates, depending upon changes in income tax laws, actual results of operations and the final audit of tax returns by taxing authorities. Tax assessments may arise several years after tax returns have been filed. We believe that our recorded income tax liabilities adequately provide for the probable outcome of these assessments.

Deferred tax assets are also recorded for operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. However, ASC 740 requires that a valuation allowance be recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. This assessment is largely dependent upon projected near-term profitability including the effects of tax planning. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined separately for each tax jurisdiction in which we conduct our operations or otherwise incur taxable income or losses. We have recorded valuation allowances against deferred tax assets in the U.S. and Mexico where realization has been determined to be uncertain. However, our Mexican operation, which has historically generated taxable income and expects to continue to be profitable for the foreseeable future, also has certain deferred tax assets that are expected to be realized and therefore no valuation allowance has been recorded against such assets as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Since future financial results may differ from previous estimates, periodic adjustments to our valuation allowance may be necessary.

Results of Operations

We operate in two segments, the Industrial Group and the Electronics Group. The table presented below, which compares our segment and consolidated results of operations from one year to another, presents the results for each year, the change in those results from one year to another in both dollars and percentage change and the results for each year as a percentage of net revenue. The first two data columns in the table show the absolute results for each year presented. The columns entitled *Year Over Year Change* and *Year Over Year Percentage Change* show the change in results, both in dollars and percentages. These two columns show favorable changes as positive and unfavorable changes as negative. For example, when our net revenue increases from one year to the next, that change is shown as a positive number in both columns. Conversely, when expenses increase from one year to the next, that change is shown as a negative number in both columns. The last two columns in the table show the results for each period as a percentage of net revenue. In these two columns, the cost of sales and gross profit for each are given as a percentage of that segment's net revenue. These amounts are shown in italics. In addition, as used in this table, *NM* means not meaningful.

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

| | Years Ended December 31, | | Year Over Year Change | Year Over Year Percentage Change | Results as Percentage of Net Revenue for the Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | Favorable (Unfavorable) | 2010 | 2009 |
| <i>(in thousands, except percentage data)</i> | | | | | | |
| Net revenue: | | | | | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 191,153 | \$ 152,021 | \$ 39,132 | 25.7% | 71.7% | 57.2% |
| Electronics Group | 75,501 | 113,879 | (38,378) | (33.7) | 28.3 | 42.8 |
| Total net revenue | 266,654 | 265,900 | 754 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of sales: | | | | | | |
| Industrial Group | 182,150 | 155,682 | (26,468) | (17.0) | 95.3 | 102.4 |
| Electronics Group | 58,637 | 94,200 | 35,563 | 37.8 | 77.7 | 82.7 |
| Total cost of sales | 240,787 | 249,882 | 9,095 | 3.6 | 90.3 | 94.0 |
| Gross profit (loss): | | | | | | |
| Industrial Group | 9,003 | (3,661) | 12,664 | 345.9 | 4.7 | (2.4) |
| Electronics Group | 16,864 | 19,679 | (2,815) | (14.3) | 22.3 | 17.3 |
| Total gross profit | 25,867 | 16,018 | 9,849 | 61.5 | 9.7 | 6.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 27,721 | 28,192 | 471 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 10.6 |
| Research and development | 3,150 | 2,801 | (349) | (12.5) | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 113 | 114 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Restructuring expense, net | 2,296 | 7,696 | 5,400 | 70.2 | 0.9 | 2.9 |
| Operating loss | (7,413) | (22,785) | 15,372 | 67.5 | (2.8) | (8.6) |
| Interest expense, net | 2,379 | 4,289 | 1,910 | 44.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| (Gain) on sale of marketable securities | | (18,255) | (18,255) | NM | 0.0 | (6.9) |
| Other income, net | (1,088) | (351) | 737 | 210.0 | (0.4) | (0.1) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | (8,704) | (8,468) | (236) | (2.8) | (3.3) | (3.2) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1,004 | (3,160) | (4,164) | NM | 0.4 | (1.2) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (9,708) | (5,308) | (4,400) | (82.9) | (3.6) | (2.0) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (496) | 7,998 | (8,494) | NM | (0.2) | 3.0 |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----|--------|------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ (10,204) | \$ 2,690 | \$ (12,894) | NM% | (3.8)% | 1.0% |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----|--------|------|

Table of Contents

Net Revenue. The Industrial Group derives its revenue from manufacturing services and product sales. Net revenue in the Industrial Group increased \$39.1 million to \$191.2 million in 2010. Increased volumes for medium and heavy-duty commercial truck components contributed to increased revenue of approximately \$32.2 million. Increased volumes for trailer axle beams also resulted in a \$6.7 million net revenue increase from the prior year. Manufacturing services for a new commercial vehicle customer resulted in increased revenue of \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Additionally, sales of our specialty closure products increased \$1.0 million. Partially offsetting the volume increase was a decline of \$2.8 million due to the discontinued sale of axle shafts to a light truck customer.

The Electronics Group derives its revenue from product sales and technical outsourced services. Net revenue in the Electronics Group decreased \$38.4 million to \$75.5 million in 2010. The decrease is primarily a result of the completion of shipments of certain products and the completion of several EMS programs, partially offset by an increase in sales of certain data recording products. The completed EMS programs primarily consisted of circuit board assembly services provided to certain contractors for the U.S. government. Although the Electronics Group continues to provide electronic manufacturing services to U.S. prime government contractors and other subcontractors, we are pursuing those programs which align with our high reliability capabilities for aerospace and defense customers and typically involve manufacturing lower volume, higher mix components. Revenues for our Electronics Group are expected to remain relatively flat in the near-term.

Gross Profit. The Industrial Group's gross profit increased \$12.7 million to a profit of \$9.0 million in 2010 as compared to a loss of \$3.7 million in the prior year. The increase in sales volume resulted in an increase in gross profit of approximately \$7.2 million. The Industrial Group also realized an increase in gross profit of \$5.8 million as a result of productivity improvements attributable to restructuring activities and an increase of \$1.7 million as a result of favorable employment benefit rates. Partially offsetting this was a \$0.7 million cost increase due to the strengthening of the Mexican peso as compared to the prior year. Additionally, the discontinuation of axle shaft sales to a light truck customer reduced gross profit by \$1.2 million from the prior year.

The Electronics Group's gross profit decreased \$2.8 million to \$16.9 million in 2010 primarily as a result of lower revenues. The Electronics Group also recognized sustaining engineering charges of approximately \$1.0 million during 2010 related to design changes on certain products shipped during the year. Our continuous improvement, Lean and Six Sigma quality initiatives drove cost reductions which served to partially offset the gross profit change attributable to the volume decline and engineering charges. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for 2010 increased to 22.3% from 17.3% in 2009, reflecting a change in mix from lower margin programs within the EMS business to higher margin product and aerospace sales during the period.

Selling, General and Administrative. Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$0.5 million in 2010 primarily due to reductions in compensation and employee benefit costs partially offset by additional selling efforts within our Electronics Group.

Research and Development. Research and development costs increased \$0.3 million in 2010 in support of the Electronics Group's self-funded product and technology development activities.

Restructuring Expense, Net. As a result of the Company's restructuring program, we recorded \$2.3 million and \$7.7 million related to these initiatives during 2010 and 2009, respectively. The charge for the year ended 2010 consisted of \$0.4 million for employee severance and benefit costs, \$0.2 million in equipment relocation costs and \$1.7 million in other various charges, primarily related to mothball costs associated with closed or partially closed facilities and charges related to the consolidation of two Electronics Group locations. The charge for the year ended 2009 consisted of \$1.0 million for employee severance and benefit costs, \$1.7 million in deferred contract costs, \$1.6 million in equipment relocation costs, \$1.3 million in non-cash asset impairments, and \$2.1 million in other various charges. In 2011, we expect to incur approximately \$0.7 million in additional equipment relocation costs, and approximately \$0.2 million in other exit costs. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K.

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased \$1.9 million primarily due to a decrease in the weighted average debt outstanding, partially offset by an increase in the weighted average interest rates. Our weighted average debt outstanding decreased to \$20.2 million during 2010 from \$64.3 million during 2009. The weighted average interest rate increased to 9.3% in 2010 from 7.4% in 2009.

Table of Contents

Interest expense incurred on the debt required to be repaid from the net proceeds of the sale of Sypris Test & Measurement was allocated to discontinued operations. During the year ended December 31, 2009, \$2.5 million of interest expense was allocated to discontinued operations based on the \$34.0 million in debt required to be repaid as a result of the transaction.

Other Income, Net. Other income, net increased \$0.7 million to \$1.1 million for 2010 from \$0.4 million in 2009, primarily due to the sale of idle equipment within the Industrial Group for a gain of \$1.3 million. This was partially offset by foreign currency translation losses of \$0.7 million in 2010 as compared to translation gains of \$0.1 million in 2009.

Income Taxes. The 2010 income tax provision consists of current and deferred tax expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. The current tax expense is primarily attributable to certain minimum taxes required to be paid by our Mexican subsidiary. The deferred tax expense includes an increase in the valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets of \$3.5 million offset by a decrease in the valuation allowance on our foreign deferred tax assets of \$3.1 million. The domestic valuation allowance increase is primarily due to the transfer of a liability and related deferred tax asset from our Mexican subsidiary to a domestic subsidiary for which all deferred tax assets are fully reserved. The foreign valuation allowance decrease is primarily due to the receipt of an income tax refund by our Mexican subsidiary related to prior years which was previously reserved. The 2009 income tax provision includes a benefit of \$5.1 million recorded due to the required intraperiod tax allocation resulting from the loss from continuing operations and income from discontinued operations. Additionally, the Company recorded an additional valuation allowance of approximately \$0.9 million and state taxes of \$0.1 million related to the sale of Sypris Test & Measurement during 2009. The remaining provision recorded in 2009 is associated with our foreign subsidiaries and includes minimum taxes required to be paid in Mexico.

Discontinued Operations. On October 26, 2009, the Company sold all of the outstanding stock of its wholly owned subsidiary, Sypris Test & Measurement, for approximately \$39.0 million. In accordance with requirements of ASC 205-20-45 (formerly SFAS No. 144 *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*), the results of the Test & Measurement segment have been reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented. This business was previously included within the Electronics Group. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company was made aware of a potential warranty claim from a former customer of Sypris Test & Measurement. As of December 31, 2010, the Company estimates that its total liability arising from this claim will not exceed \$0.5 million, which was charged to income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax for the year ended December 31, 2010 in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. There can be no assurance that similar potential claims will not emerge in the future or that relevant facts and circumstances will not change, necessitating future changes to the estimated liability.

Table of Contents**Quarterly Results**

The following table presents our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations data for each of the eight quarters in the two-year period ended December 31, 2010. The quarterly results are presented on a 13-week period basis. We have prepared this data on the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements and, in our opinion, have included all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of this information. You should read these unaudited quarterly results in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this annual report. The consolidated results of operations for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any subsequent period.

| | 2010 | | | | 2009 | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 44,106 | \$ 46,571 | \$ 52,737 | \$ 47,739 | \$ 37,498 | \$ 36,941 | \$ 37,164 | \$ 40,418 |
| Electronics Group | 18,797 | 16,535 | 20,675 | 19,494 | 30,211 | 32,437 | 25,552 | 25,679 |
| Total net revenue | 62,903 | 63,106 | 73,412 | 67,233 | 67,709 | 69,378 | 62,716 | 66,097 |
| Cost of sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Group | 41,652 | 44,285 | 50,403 | 45,810 | 40,200 | 38,571 | 37,060 | 39,851 |
| Electronics Group | 15,240 | 13,986 | 15,541 | 13,870 | 26,955 | 26,364 | 20,434 | 20,447 |
| Total cost of sales | 56,892 | 58,271 | 65,944 | 59,680 | 67,155 | 64,935 | 57,494 | 60,298 |
| Gross profit (loss): | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Group | 2,454 | 2,286 | 2,334 | 1,929 | (2,702) | (1,630) | 104 | 567 |
| Electronics Group | 3,557 | 2,549 | 5,134 | 5,624 | 3,256 | 6,073 | 5,118 | 5,232 |
| Total gross profit | 6,011 | 4,835 | 7,468 | 7,553 | 554 | 4,443 | 5,222 | 5,799 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 6,575 | 6,983 | 7,120 | 7,043 | 7,746 | 6,994 | 6,861 | 6,591 |
| Research and development | 153 | 320 | 496 | 2,181 | 959 | 844 | 664 | 334 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 28 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 30 |
| Restructuring expense, net | 413 | 1,002 | 626 | 255 | 1,981 | 1,732 | 1,528 | 2,455 |
| Operating loss | (1,158) | (3,498) | (803) | (1,954) | (10,160) | (5,155) | (3,859) | (3,611) |
| Interest expense, net | 601 | 583 | 612 | 583 | 711 | 1,449 | 1,828 | 300 |
| Gain on sale of marketable securities | | | | | | | | (18,255) |
| Other expense (income), net | 466 | (688) | (177) | (689) | 307 | (384) | (7) | (267) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations, before tax | (2,225) | (3,393) | (1,238) | (1,848) | (11,178) | (6,220) | (5,680) | 14,611 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 199 | 571 | 457 | (223) | 355 | 413 | (3,776) | (151) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (2,424) | (3,964) | (1,695) | (1,625) | (11,533) | (6,633) | (1,904) | 14,762 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (300) | (196) | | 188 | (145) | 135 | 7,820 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (2,424) | \$ (4,264) | \$ (1,891) | \$ (1,625) | \$ (11,345) | \$ (6,778) | \$ (1,769) | \$ 22,582 |
| Basic income (loss) per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.63) | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.74 |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations | | (0.02) | (0.01) | | 0.01 | (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.62) | \$ (0.37) | \$ (0.09) | \$ 1.16 |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

Diluted income (loss) per share:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.63) | \$ (0.36) | (0.10) | 0.73 |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations | | (0.02) | (0.01) | | 0.01 | (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.62) | \$ (0.37) | \$ (0.09) | \$ 1.15 |

Table of Contents

Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Condition

There are numerous risks and uncertainties relating to the global economy and the commercial vehicle and aerospace and defense industries that could materially affect our financial condition, future results of operations and liquidity. These risks and uncertainties could result in decreased sales, limited access to credit, rising costs, increased competition, customer or supplier bankruptcies, delays in customer payment terms and acceleration of supplier payments, growing inventories and failure to meet debt covenants.

As a result of a decline in the overall economy, we took significant actions during 2009 and 2010 to reduce our cost base and improve profitability, including various plant shutdowns and other workforce reductions. Based on our current forecast for 2011, we expect to be able to meet the financial covenants of our amended credit agreements and have sufficient liquidity to finance our operations. However, changing business, regulatory and economic conditions may mean that actual results will vary from our forecasts. See our risk factors discussed in Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Our ability to service our indebtedness will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate this cash will depend largely on future operations. Based upon our current level of operations and our 2011 business plan, we believe that cash flow from operations, available cash and available borrowings under our amended credit agreements will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for at least the next twelve months.

On October 26, 2009, the Company amended its Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes agreements. The Loan Amendment extends the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Agreement from January 15, 2010 through January 15, 2012, while the Note Amendments implement the same maturity date for the Senior Notes. The Company used certain net proceeds from the sale of the Test & Measurement business and of the Company's holdings of Dana Holding Corporation common stock to reduce the lending commitments under the Revolving Credit Agreement from \$50.0 million to approximately \$21.0 million and under the Senior Notes from \$30.0 million to approximately \$13.3 million. The Amendments substituted new financial covenants regarding: quarterly minimum net worth and liquidity levels, cumulative quarterly EBITDAR levels (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and restructuring costs), cumulative quarterly fixed charge ratios and cumulative quarterly debt to EBITDAR ratios, among others. The Amendments also commit the Company to obtain the consent of the banks and the noteholders before making any dividend payments and impose certain fees and interest rate increases. To the extent that marketable securities or other collateral is sold outside of the ordinary course of business, the Amendments also provide for certain prepayments to the banks and the noteholders. The Company expects to be able to comply with the amended covenants. However, no assurances can be given that changing business, regulatory or economic conditions might not cause the Company to violate one or more covenants which could result in default or acceleration of any debt under the Agreements.

In 2011, we anticipate refinancing the Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes that mature in January 2012 with a new debt facility, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to on terms favorable to us.

Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations was \$1.9 million in 2010, as compared to \$0.7 million in 2009. The cash flow associated with our accounts receivable and inventory during 2010 reflects the change in net revenue mix between our Industrial and Electronics Groups. The Industrial Group's net revenue increase of \$39.1 million resulted in cash investments in accounts receivable and inventory of \$5.4 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. The Electronics Group's net revenue decrease of \$38.4 million generated cash from accounts receivable and inventory of \$1.9 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. The impact on accounts payable from the change in revenue mix was a source of cash for the Industrial Group of \$4.2 million and a use of cash by the Electronics Group of \$0.9 million. Other current assets decreased in 2010 and provided \$3.6 million primarily due to a \$3.2 million tax refund received by our Mexican subsidiary and the timing of prepaid items. Accounts payable increased in 2010 and provided \$3.1 million primarily due to increased purchases by our Industrial Group and the timing of payments to our suppliers. Accrued and other liabilities decreased in 2010 and used \$1.2 million primarily as a result of the payout of state taxes related to the gain on discontinued operations recognized in 2009 and the payout of various restructuring accruals. This was partially offset by various payroll and benefit related accruals.

Net cash used by investing activities of continuing operations was \$0.7 million in 2010 as compared to net cash provided of \$50.8 million in 2009. Net cash used by investing activities of continuing operations included

Table of Contents

\$2.2 million of capital expenditures. Additionally, the Industrial Group sold certain idle equipment during the year, which generated \$1.4 million of cash. If revenues continue to increase within the Industrial Group, the Company expects capital expenditures will increase in future periods to support the growth. Net cash provided by investing activities in 2009 includes net proceeds of \$34.4 million from the sale of the Test & Measurement business. Additionally, we liquidated our holding of DHC common stock during the fourth quarter of 2009 for approximately \$21.0 million in net cash proceeds.

The Company's financing activities were cash neutral for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$51.2 million in 2009, primarily due to principal paydowns to reduce the outstanding debt under the Revolving Credit Agreements and Senior Notes. Additionally, we paid \$1.1 million in financing fees in conjunction with modifications of our debt in 2009.

We had total borrowings under our revolving credit facility of \$10.0 million at December 31, 2010, and an unrestricted cash balance of \$16.6 million. Approximately \$11.2 million of the unrestricted cash balance relates to our Mexican subsidiaries. Maximum borrowings on the Revolving Credit Agreement are \$20.9 million. Standby letters of credit up to a maximum of \$15.0 million may be issued under the Credit Agreement of which \$1.9 million were issued at December 31, 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the respective dates of adoption and effects on our results of operations and financial condition.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are a smaller reporting company as defined in Item 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K and thus are not required to report the quantitative and qualitative measures of market risk specified in Item 305 of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | 30 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 31 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 32 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | 33 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 34 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 35 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u> | 36 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 37 |

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Sypris Solutions, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to Sypris management and its Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published consolidated financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to the accuracy of consolidated financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we assessed the effectiveness of Sypris Solutions, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making our assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, we concluded that as of December 31, 2010, Sypris' internal control over financial reporting is effective based on these criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP, our independent auditors and a registered public accounting firm, has audited and reported on the consolidated financial statements of Sypris Solutions, Inc. and on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. The reports of Ernst & Young LLP are contained in this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sypris Solutions, Inc.

We have audited Sypris Solutions, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Sypris Solutions, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Sypris Solutions, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Sypris Solutions, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and our report dated March 15, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Louisville, Kentucky

March 15, 2011

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sypris Solutions, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sypris Solutions, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sypris Solutions, Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Sypris Solutions, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 15, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Louisville, Kentucky

March 15, 2011

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except for per share data)**

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net revenue: | | |
| Outsourced services | \$ 221,587 | \$ 207,814 |
| Products | 45,067 | 58,086 |
| Total net revenue | 266,654 | 265,900 |
| Cost of sales: | | |
| Outsourced services | 206,795 | 206,237 |
| Products | 33,992 | 43,645 |
| Total cost of sales | 240,787 | 249,882 |
| Gross profit | 25,867 | 16,018 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 27,721 | 28,192 |
| Research and development | 3,150 | 2,801 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 113 | 114 |
| Restructuring expense, net | 2,296 | 7,696 |
| Operating loss | (7,413) | (22,785) |
| Interest expense, net | 2,379 | 4,289 |
| Gain on sale of marketable securities | | (18,255) |
| Other income, net | (1,088) | (351) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | (8,704) | (8,468) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1,004 | (3,160) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (9,708) | (5,308) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (496) | 7,998 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (10,204) | \$ 2,690 |
| Basic income (loss) per share: | | |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ (0.52) | \$ (0.29) |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations | (0.03) | 0.43 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.55) | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted income (loss) per share: | | |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ (0.52) | \$ (0.29) |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations | (0.03) | 0.43 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.55) | \$ 0.14 |
| Cash dividends per common share | \$ | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except for share data)

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,592 | \$ 15,608 |
| Restricted cash - current | 3,000 | 74 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 41,434 | 38,317 |
| Inventory, net | 30,264 | 29,042 |
| Other current assets | 5,717 | 6,406 |
| Total current assets | 97,007 | 89,447 |
| Restricted cash | | 3,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 68,590 | 80,280 |
| Goodwill | 6,900 | 6,900 |
| Other assets | 7,195 | 10,320 |
| Total assets | \$ 179,692 | \$ 189,947 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 39,488 | \$ 36,185 |
| Accrued liabilities | 22,763 | 22,279 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 2,000 | 4,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 64,251 | 62,464 |
| Long-term debt | 21,305 | 19,305 |
| Other liabilities | 34,338 | 41,960 |
| Total liabilities | 119,894 | 123,729 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 975,150 shares authorized; no shares issued | | |
| Series A preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 24,850 shares authorized; no shares issued | | |
| Common stock, non-voting, par value \$0.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 30,000,000 shares authorized; 19,964,348 shares issued and 19,663,229 outstanding in 2010 and 20,015,128 shares issued and 19,472,499 outstanding in 2009 | 199 | 200 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 148,555 | 147,644 |
| Retained deficit | (74,629) | (64,434) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (14,324) | (17,187) |
| Treasury stock, 301,119 and 542,629 shares in 2010 and 2009, respectively | (3) | (5) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 59,798 | 66,218 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 179,692 | \$ 189,947 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (10,204) | \$ 2,690 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (496) | 7,998 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (9,708) | (5,308) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,724 | 15,190 |
| Gain on sale of marketable securities | | (18,255) |
| Deferred income taxes | 604 | (3,887) |
| Non-cash compensation | 1,062 | 1,016 |
| Deferred revenue recognized | (6,112) | (6,279) |
| Deferred loan costs recognized | 382 | 1,248 |
| Non-cash restructuring charges and asset impairment charges | 4 | 3,062 |
| Provision for excess and obsolete inventory | (1,871) | 979 |
| Other noncash items | 725 | 2,199 |
| Contributions to pension plans | (821) | (98) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (3,261) | (181) |
| Inventory | 660 | 16,686 |
| Other current assets | 3,626 | 2,590 |
| Accounts payable | 3,138 | (5,993) |
| Accrued and other liabilities | (1,231) | (2,259) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities - continuing operations | 1,921 | 710 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities - discontinued operations | (196) | 2,584 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,725 | 3,294 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (2,233) | (5,507) |
| Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations | | 34,442 |
| Proceeds from sale of marketable securities | | 21,024 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 1,446 | 133 |
| Changes in nonoperating assets and liabilities | 46 | 673 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities - continuing operations | (741) | 50,765 |
| Net cash used in investing activities - discontinued operations | | (964) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (741) | 49,801 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net change in debt under revolving credit agreements | | (33,000) |
| Payments on Senior Notes | | (16,695) |
| Debt modification costs | | (1,123) |
| Cash dividends paid | | (386) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | (51,204) |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 984 | 1,891 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 15,608 | 13,717 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 16,592 | \$ 15,608 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

(in thousands, except for share data)

| | Common Stock | | | Retained (Deficit) Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-In Capital | | | |
| January 1, 2009 balance | 19,296,003 | \$ 195 | \$ 146,741 | \$ (67,205) | \$ (19,744) | \$ (2) |
| Net income | | | | 2,690 | | |
| Employee benefit related | | | | | 1,324 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 1,233 | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | 2,690 | 2,557 | |
| Restricted common stock grant | 721,000 | 5 | (7) | | | 2 |
| Noncash compensation | 31,200 | | 935 | 81 | | |
| Treasury stock | (554,212) | | 5 | | | (23) |
| Retire treasury stock | (21,492) | | (30) | | | 18 |
| December 31, 2009 balance | 19,472,499 | 200 | 147,644 | (64,434) | (17,187) | (5) |
| Net loss | | | | (10,204) | | |
| Employee benefit related | | | | | 103 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 2,760 | |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | | | | (10,204) | 2,863 | |
| Restricted common stock grant | 302,000 | | (5) | | | 3 |
| Noncash compensation | 31,200 | | 1,053 | 9 | | |
| Treasury stock | (91,690) | | | | | (1) |
| Retire treasury stock | (50,780) | (1) | (137) | | | |
| December 31, 2010 balance | 19,663,229 | \$ 199 | \$ 148,555 | \$ (74,629) | \$ (14,324) | \$ (3) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(1) Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation Policy

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sypris Solutions, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, Sypris or the Company) and have been prepared by the Company in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company's operations are domiciled in the United States (U.S.) and Mexico and serve a wide variety of domestic and international customers. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Nature of Business

Sypris is a diversified provider of outsourced services and specialty products. The Company performs a wide range of manufacturing, engineering, design and other technical services, typically under multi-year, sole-source contracts with corporations and government agencies in the markets for truck components and assemblies and aerospace and defense electronics. The Company provides such services through its Industrial and Electronics Groups (Note 20).

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported. Changes in facts and circumstances could have a significant impact on the resulting estimated amounts included in our consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Discontinued Operations

The Company classifies a business component that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale as a discontinued operation if the cash flows of the component has been or will be eliminated from ongoing operations and the Company will no longer have any significant continuing involvement in the component. The results of operations of the discontinued operations through the date of sale, including any gains or losses on disposition, are aggregated and presented on one line on the statement of operations. See Note 2 for additional information regarding discontinued operations.

Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

Cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased. Restricted cash includes money held in escrow pursuant to the sale of Sypris Test & Measurement in connection with certain customary representations, warranties, covenants and indemnifications of the Company. Restricted cash in 2009 also includes amounts funded to the Company by a landlord under a lease agreement signed in 2006. Under the terms of the lease, the funds were required to be expended on leasehold improvements prior to June 2010.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value. Costs for raw materials, work in process and finished goods, excluding contract inventory included in the Electronics Group, is determined under the first-in, first-out method. Indirect inventories, which include perishable tooling, repair parts and other materials consumed in the manufacturing process but not incorporated into finished products are classified as raw materials.

Costs on long-term contracts and programs in progress represent recoverable costs incurred for production or contract-specific materials and equipment, allocable operating overhead, advances to suppliers and where appropriate, pre-contract engineering and design expenses. General administrative expenses are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

The Company's reserve for excess and obsolete inventory is primarily based upon forecasted demand for its product sales, and any change to the reserve arising from forecast revisions is reflected in cost of sales in the period the revision is made.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment is generally computed using the straight-line method over their estimated economic lives. For land improvements, buildings and building improvements, the estimated economic life is generally 40 years. Estimated economic lives range from three to fifteen years for machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their economic life or the respective lease term using the straight-line method. Expenditures for maintenance, repairs and renewals of minor items are expensed as incurred. Major rebuilds and improvements are capitalized.

Interest cost is capitalized for qualifying assets during the period in which the asset is being installed and prepared for its intended use. Capitalized interest cost is amortized on the same basis as the related depreciation.

Long-lived Assets

The Company reviews the carrying value of amortizable long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If facts and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset or groups of assets, as applicable, is impaired, the long-lived asset or groups of long-lived assets are written down to their estimated fair value.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment during the fourth quarter or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that would warrant such a review. The Company assesses recoverability using several methodologies, including a discounted cash flow analysis and comparisons of multiples of enterprise values to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). The analysis is based upon available information regarding expected future cash flows of each reporting unit discounted at rates consistent with the cost of capital specific to the reporting unit. A growth rate is used to calculate the terminal value of the reporting unit and is added to the present value of the forecasted cash flows. The growth rate is the expected rate at which a reporting unit's cash flow is projected to grow beyond the period covered by the long-range plan. The cash flow analysis requires judgment in our evaluation of the business and establishing an appropriate discount rate and terminal value to apply in the calculation. In selecting these and other assumptions for each business, we consider historical performance, forecasted operating results, general market conditions and industry considerations specific to the business. We make significant assumptions and estimates about the extent and timing of future cash flows, growth rates and discount rates. The cash flows are estimated over a future period of time, which makes those estimates and assumptions subject to a high degree of uncertainty. The sum of the calculated fair values of each reporting unit is then reconciled and compared to our total market capitalization, allowing for a reasonable control premium. If the discounted cash flow analysis yields a fair value estimate less than the reporting unit's carrying value, we proceed to step two of the impairment process. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. The Company tested goodwill for impairment as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. There were no indicators of impairment at December 31, 2010 and 2009. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying value of goodwill for the Electronics Group was \$6,900,000.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue for the Electronics Group is recorded when payments are received in advance for service agreements and extended warranties on certain products and is amortized into revenue on a straight-line basis over the contractual term. Deferred revenue for the Industrial Group is generally associated with the Dana Holding Corporation (DHC) settlement (Note 3) and will be amortized into income on a units-of-production basis over the

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

term of the related supply agreement. See Notes 10 and 11 for the amount of deferred revenue included in accrued liabilities and other liabilities.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements, using the statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets unless it is more likely than not that such assets will be realized. The Company recorded an increase to the valuation allowance of \$3,486,000 and \$868,000 through income tax expense for its domestic operations in 2010 and 2009, respectively (Note 18).

In the ordinary course of business there is inherent uncertainty in quantifying the Company's income tax positions. The Company assesses its income tax positions and records tax benefits for all years subject to examination based upon management's evaluation of the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting dates. For those tax positions where it is more-likely-than-not that a tax benefit will be sustained, the Company has recorded the largest amount of tax benefit with a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. For those income tax positions where it is not more-likely-than-not that a tax benefit will be sustained, no tax benefit has been recognized in the financial statements. Where applicable, associated interest has also been recognized.

The Company recognizes liabilities or assets for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets or liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. Penalties, if incurred, would be recognized as a component of income tax expense.

Net Revenue and Cost of Sales

Net revenue of products and services under commercial terms and conditions are recorded upon delivery and passage of title, or when services are rendered. Related shipping and handling costs, if any, are included in costs of sales. Net revenue under service-type contracts is recorded as costs are incurred.

Net revenue under long-term, fixed-price contracts with aerospace and defense companies and agencies of the U.S. Government is recognized upon shipment. Contract profits are taken into earnings based on actual cost of sales for units shipped. Prior to a system conversion in 2009, estimated contract profits were recognized based on the ratio of costs incurred to estimated total costs at completion. The change from recognizing costs on an actual basis from an estimated basis did not have a material impact to our financial statements and result of operations. Amounts representing contract change orders or claims are included in revenue when such costs are invoiced to the customer.

Product Warranty Costs

The provision for estimated warranty costs is recorded at the time of sale and is periodically adjusted to reflect actual experience. The Company's warranty liability, which is included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, was \$866,000 and \$1,008,000, respectively. The Company's warranty expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$525,000 and \$136,000, respectively.

Additionally, the Company sells three and five-year extended warranties for one of its link encryption products. The revenue from the extended warranties is deferred and recognized ratably over the contractual term. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had deferred \$2,076,000 and \$1,558,000, respectively, related to extended warranties. At December 31, 2010, \$491,000 is included in accrued liabilities and \$1,585,000 is included in other liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets. At December 31, 2009, the entire balance is included in other liabilities.

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially expose the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of accounts receivable. The Company's customer base consists of a number of customers in diverse industries across geographic areas, primarily in North America and Mexico, various departments or agencies of the U.S. Government, and aerospace and defense companies under contract with the U.S. Government. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and does not require collateral on its commercial accounts receivable. Credit losses are provided for in the consolidated financial statements and consistently have been within management's expectations. Approximately 54% and 53% of accounts receivable outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively are due from the Company's two largest customers. More specifically, Dana Holding Corporation (DHC) and ArvinMeritor, Inc. (ArvinMeritor) comprise 36% and 18%, respectively, of December 31, 2010 outstanding accounts receivables. Similar amounts at December 31, 2009 were 41% and 12%, respectively.

The Industrial Group's largest customers for the year ended December 31, 2010 were DHC and ArvinMeritor, which represented approximately 49% and 13%, respectively, of the Company's total net revenue. DHC and ArvinMeritor were the Company's largest customers for the year ended December 31, 2009, which represented approximately 40% and 7%, respectively, of the Company's total net revenue. The Company recognized revenue from contracts with the U.S. Government and its agencies approximating 12% and 16% of net revenue for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. No other single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's total net revenue for the years ended December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Risks and Uncertainties

There are numerous risks and uncertainties relating to the global economy, weakened capital markets and the automotive industry that could materially affect the Company's future financial performance and liquidity. These risks and uncertainties could result in decreased sales, limited access to credit, rising costs, increased competition, customer or supplier bankruptcies, delays in customer payment terms and acceleration of supplier payments, growing inventories and failure to meet debt covenants.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency for the Company's Mexican subsidiary is the Mexican peso. Assets and liabilities are translated at the period end exchange rate, and income and expense items are translated at the period end weighted average exchange rate. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded in comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Remeasurement gains or losses for U.S. dollar denominated accounts of the Company's Mexican subsidiary are included in other expense, net.

Collective Bargaining Agreements

Approximately 445, or 39% of the Company's employees, all of which are in the Industrial Group, are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Excluding certain Mexico employees covered under an annually ratified agreement, collective bargaining agreements covering 102 employees, or 9% of the Company's workforce, expire within the next 12 months. Certain Mexico employees are covered by an annually ratified collective bargaining agreement and represent approximately 303 employees, or 27% of the Company's workforce.

Adoption of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued accounting guidance which amends the criteria for allocating a contract's consideration to individual services or products in multiple-deliverable arrangements. The guidance requires that the best estimate of selling price be used when vendor specific objective or third-party evidence for deliverables cannot be determined. This guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this update is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED***Reclassifications*

Certain amounts in the Company's 2009 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation.

(2) Discontinued Operations

On October 26, 2009, the Company sold all of the stock of its wholly owned subsidiary, Sypris Test & Measurement, for \$39,000,000, of which \$3,000,000 was deposited in an 18-month escrow account in connection with certain customary representations, warranties, covenants and indemnifications of the Company. During 2010, the Company was made aware of a potential warranty claim from a former customer of Sypris Test & Measurement. The Company estimates that its total liability arising from this claim will not exceed, \$496,000, of which \$196,000 has been paid as of December 31, 2010. The remaining amount has been reserved in accrued liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. There can be no assurance that similar potential claims will not emerge in the future or that relevant facts and circumstances will not change, necessitating future changes to an estimated liability. This charge is included in discontinued operations, net in the consolidated statement of operations.

The Test & Measurement business provided technical services for the calibration, certification and repair of test and measurement equipment in and outside the U.S., and prior to the sale was a part of the Company's Electronics Group. The Company used proceeds of \$34,000,000 from the sale to reduce the amounts outstanding under its Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes.

The results of the Test & Measurement segment have been reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 205-20-45-6 (formerly *Allocation of Interest to Discontinued Operations* EITF 87-24), interest expense incurred on the debt required to be repaid from the net proceeds of the sale has been allocated to discontinued operations. During the year ended December 31, 2009, interest expense allocated to discontinued operations was \$2,455,000, based on the \$34,000,000 in debt required to be repaid as a result of the transaction.

The key components of income from discontinued operations related to the Test & Measurement segment were as follows (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Net revenue | \$ | \$ 41,126 |
| Cost of sales and operating expense | (496) | (38,504) |
| Allocated interest expense | | (2,455) |
| Gain from disposition | | 12,917 |
| Income before taxes | (496) | 13,084 |
| Income taxes | | 5,086 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ (496) | \$ 7,998 |

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

(3) Dana Settlement Agreement

On March 3, 2006, the Company's largest customer, Dana Corporation (Dana), and 40 of its U.S. subsidiaries, filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. On August 7, 2007, the Company entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement with Dana (the Settlement Agreement) to resolve all outstanding disputes between the parties, terminate previously approved arbitration payments and replace three existing supply agreements with a single, revised contract running through 2014. In addition, Dana provided the Company with an allowed general unsecured non-priority claim in the face amount of \$89,900,000 (the Claim).

The Claim provided to Sypris was agreed to by Sypris and Dana as consideration for the aggregate economic impact of the various elements the two parties were negotiating. After the aggregate Claim value of \$89,900,000 was established, Sypris recorded the claim at the estimated fair value of \$76,483,000 and allocated the estimated fair value to each commercial issue negotiated. Each of those issues requiring the Company's continued involvement was deferred and will be recognized over the applicable period of the involvement.

The Claim entitled the Company to receive an initial distribution of 3,090,408 shares of common stock in Dana Holding Corporation (DHC), the right to participate in additional distributions of reserved shares of common stock of DHC if certain disputed matters are ultimately resolved for less than Dana's reserves for those matters (estimated by the Company to represent an additional 739,000 shares) and the right to receive a distribution of cash of \$6,891,000.

During 2008, the Company received distributions of DHC common stock totaling 3,742,381 shares and a cash distribution of \$6,891,000. As of December 31, 2010, the Company has received approximately 98% of the total common shares it expects to receive.

The Company determined that its investment in DHC common stock was other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2008. Accordingly, the Company recorded a \$66,758,000 non-cash impairment charge during the fourth quarter of 2008 based on DHC's closing stock price of \$0.74 per share on December 31, 2008.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company liquidated its holdings in DHC common stock for approximately \$21,024,000 in net cash proceeds. The Company recognized a gain of \$18,255,000 on the sale.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's right to participate in additional distributions of DHC common stock, presently estimated to be 87,000 additional shares, is carried at \$64,000 in other assets. Had these shares been received at December 31, 2010, the Company would have recorded a \$1,434,000 unrealized holding gain to other comprehensive loss.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED****4) Restructuring, Impairments and Nonrecurring Charges**

As announced during the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company committed to a restructuring program, which included the closure of its Kenton, Ohio facility, significant reductions in the workforce in its Marion, Ohio facility and the integration of its Electronics Group subsidiaries. The purpose of the restructuring program is to reduce fixed costs, accelerate integration efficiencies, exit certain unprofitable product lines and significantly improve operating earnings on a sustained basis. As a result of these initiatives, the Company recorded restructuring charge of \$2,296,000, and \$7,696,000, in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of the \$2,296,000 recorded, \$964,000 was recorded within the Industrial Group and \$1,332,000 was recorded within the Electronics Group. Of these costs, \$346,000 was for severance and benefit-related costs, \$227,000 related to equipment relocation costs, \$4,000 represented non-cash impairment costs and \$1,719,000 represented other costs, primarily related to mothball costs associated with closed or partially closed facilities and the consolidation of facilities within the Electronics Group. Of the expected aggregate \$55,955,000 of pre-tax costs for the total program, the Company expects \$16,454,000 will be cash expenditures, the majority of which has been spent at December 31, 2010.

A summary of the pre-tax restructuring charges is as follows (in thousands):

| | Total Program | Costs Incurred | | Remaining Costs to be Recognized |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | | 2010 | Total Recognized to date | |
| Severance and benefit-related costs | \$ 4,046 | \$ 346 | \$ 4,046 | \$ |
| Asset impairments | 13,521 | 4 | 13,521 | |
| Deferred contract costs write-offs | 17,798 | | 17,798 | |
| Inventory related charges | 7,895 | | 7,895 | |
| Equipment relocation costs | 2,799 | 227 | 2,091 | 708 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 1,501 | | 1,501 | |
| Contract termination costs | 3,209 | | 3,209 | |
| Other | 5,186 | 1,719 | 5,017 | 169 |
| | \$ 55,955 | \$ 2,296 | \$ 55,078 | \$ 877 |

A summary of restructuring activity and related reserves at December 31, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Accrued Balance at Dec. 31, 2009 | 2010 Charge | Cash Payments or Asset Write-Offs | Accrued |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | Balance at Dec. 31, 2010 |
| Severance and benefit related costs | \$ 211 | \$ 346 | \$ (290) | \$ 267 |
| Asset impairments | | 4 | (4) | |
| Equipment relocation costs | | 227 | (227) | |
| Asset retirement obligations | 1,395 | | (222) | 1,173 |
| Contract termination costs | 918 | | (459) | 459 |
| Other | | 1,719 | (1,719) | |
| | \$ 2,524 | \$ 2,296 | \$ (2,921) | \$ 1,899 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

A summary of total charges by reportable segment is as follows (in thousands):

| | Industrial Group | Electronics Group | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Severance and benefit-related costs | \$ 2,562 | \$ 1,484 | \$ 4,046 |
| Asset impairments | 13,521 | | 13,521 |
| Deferred contract costs write-offs | | 17,798 | 17,798 |
| Inventory related charges | | 7,895 | 7,895 |
| Equipment relocation costs | 2,069 | 22 | 2,091 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 1,501 | | 1,501 |
| Contract termination costs | 1,868 | 1,341 | 3,209 |
| Other | 1,386 | 3,631 | 5,017 |
| | \$ 22,907 | \$ 32,171 | \$ 55,078 |

The total pre-tax costs of \$55,955,000 expected to be incurred includes \$23,784,000 within the Industrial Group and \$32,171,000 within the Electronics Group. The Company expects to incur additional pre-tax costs of \$877,000, all within the Industrial Group.

(5) Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commercial | \$ 35,389 | \$ 35,854 |
| U.S. Government | 6,598 | 2,820 |
| | 41,987 | 38,674 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (553) | (357) |
| | \$ 41,434 | \$ 38,317 |

Accounts receivable from the U.S. Government includes amounts due under long-term contracts, all of which are billed at December 31, 2010 and 2009, of \$6,598,000 and \$2,820,000 respectively.

(6) Inventory

Inventory consists of the following (in thousands):

December 31,

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 4,758 | \$ 3,916 |
| Work in process | 6,171 | 5,933 |
| Finished goods | 3,729 | 2,899 |
| Costs relating to long-term contracts and programs | 16,431 | 17,288 |
| Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory | (825) | (994) |
| | \$ 30,264 | \$ 29,042 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED****(7) Other Current Assets**

Other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deferred contract costs | \$ 1,094 | \$ 1,463 |
| Prepaid expenses | 1,444 | 1,296 |
| Other | 3,179 | 3,647 |
| | \$ 5,717 | \$ 6,406 |

Included in other current assets are deferred taxes and income taxes refundable for the Company's Mexican subsidiary and other items, none of which exceed 5% of total current assets.

(8) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Land and land improvements | \$ 3,906 | \$ 3,789 |
| Buildings and building improvements | 26,528 | 26,796 |
| Machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures | 167,580 | 167,121 |
| Construction in progress | 2,093 | 3,683 |
| | 200,107 | 201,389 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (131,517) | (121,109) |
| | \$ 68,590 | \$ 80,280 |

Depreciation expense totaled approximately \$14,611,000 and \$15,076,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, there were capital expenditures of approximately \$152,000 and \$46,000 included in accounts payable or accrued liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(9) Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Intangible assets: | | |
| Gross carrying value: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 800 | \$ 800 |
| Electronics Group | 125 | 125 |
| Total gross carrying value | 925 | 925 |
| Accumulated amortization: | | |
| Industrial Group | (593) | (504) |
| Electronics Group | (110) | (85) |
| Total accumulated amortization | (703) | (589) |
| Intangible assets, net | 222 | 336 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 4,854 | 7,373 |
| Prepaid benefit cost | | 62 |
| Other | 2,119 | 2,549 |
| | \$ 7,195 | \$ 10,320 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

Intangible assets consist primarily of long-term supply agreements in the Industrial Group and software rights in the Electronics Group. The weighted average amortization period for intangible assets was 8 years at December 31, 2010 and 2009. Deferred tax assets, net relate to the Company's Mexico operations and resulted primarily from deferred revenue related to the DHC settlement agreement. Other at December 31, 2010 includes unamortized loan costs for the Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes of approximately \$241,000 and \$164,000, respectively. Unamortized loan costs at December 31, 2009 were \$442,000 and \$315,000, respectively. Amortization expense for intangible assets is expected to be \$103,000, \$89,000 and \$30,000 in each of the three fiscal years subsequent to December 31, 2010, respectively.

(10) Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Salaries, wages, employment taxes and withholdings | \$ 5,615 | \$ 3,608 |
| Employee benefit plans | 1,747 | 2,515 |
| Income, property and other taxes | 457 | 1,435 |
| Deferred revenue | 8,097 | 6,521 |
| Restructuring accruals | 1,899 | 2,524 |
| Other | 4,948 | 5,676 |
| | \$ 22,763 | \$ 22,279 |

Included in other accrued liabilities are accrued operating expenses, accrued warranty expenses, accrued interest and other items, none of which exceed 5% of total current liabilities. Deferred revenue at December 31, 2010 and 2009 includes \$6,884,000 and \$6,111,000, respectively, related to the Dana settlement.

(11) Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deferred revenue | \$ 26,134 | \$ 32,991 |
| Noncurrent pension liability | 7,730 | 8,504 |
| Other | 474 | 465 |
| | \$ 34,338 | \$ 41,960 |

Included in other liabilities is deferred compensation and other items, none of which exceed 5% of total liabilities. Deferred revenue at December 31, 2010 and 2009 includes \$24,549,000 and \$31,433,000, respectively, related to the Dana settlement and will be amortized through 2014.

(12) Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revolving Credit Agreement | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| Senior notes | 13,305 | 13,305 |
| | 23,305 | 23,305 |
| Less current portion | 2,000 | 4,000 |
| | \$ 21,305 | \$ 19,305 |

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

In March 2009, the Company's Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes were amended to, among other things, i) waive the defaults as of December 31, 2008, ii) limit total borrowings, iii) revise the maturity date for the Credit Agreement and Senior Notes to January 15, 2010, iv) revise certain financial covenants, v) restrict the payment of dividends, vi) require mandatory prepayment to the extent that marketable securities or other collateral is sold, and vii) increase the interest rate structure.

On October 26, 2009, the Company amended its Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes agreements. The Loan Amendment extends the maturity date of the Revolving Credit Agreement from January 15, 2010 through January 15, 2012, while the Note Amendments implement the same maturity date for the Senior Notes. The Company used certain net proceeds from the sale of the Test & Measurement business and of the Company's holdings of DHC common stock to reduce the lending commitments under the Revolving Credit Agreement from \$50,000,000 to approximately \$20,965,000 and under the Senior Notes from \$30,000,000 to approximately \$13,305,000. The Amendments substituted new financial covenants regarding: quarterly minimum net worth and liquidity levels, cumulative quarterly EBITDAR levels (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and restructuring costs), cumulative quarterly fixed charge ratios and cumulative quarterly debt to EBITDAR ratios, among others. The Amendments also commit the Company to obtain the consent of the Banks and the Noteholders before making any dividend payments and impose certain fees and interest rate increases. To the extent that marketable securities or other collateral is sold outside of the ordinary course of business, the Amendments also provide for certain prepayments to the Banks and the Noteholders.

As a result of the aforementioned modifications, the Company deferred \$1,123,000 of loan costs, which are being amortized from other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Under the terms of the Revolving Credit Agreement, interest rates are determined at the time of borrowing and are based on the London Interbank Offered Rate plus a margin of 5.75% through December 31, 2010 and 7.75% thereafter. The Company also pays a fee of 0.50% on the unused portion of the aggregate commitment.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had total availability for borrowings and letters of credit under the Revolving Credit Agreement of \$9,079,000 along with an unrestricted cash balance of \$16,592,000 which provides for total cash and borrowing capacity of \$25,671,000. Approximately \$11,204,000 of the unrestricted cash balance relates to the Company's Mexican subsidiaries. Standby letters of credit up to a maximum of \$15,000,000 may be issued under the Revolving Credit Agreement of which \$1,886,000 and \$2,291,000 were issued at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The weighted average interest rate for outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2010 was 8.6%. The weighted average interest rates for borrowings during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were 9.3% and 7.4%, respectively. Interest incurred during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled approximately \$2,458,000 and \$6,795,000, respectively, including amounts allocated to discontinued operations. The Company had no capitalized interest in 2010 or 2009. Interest paid during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled approximately \$1,922,000 and \$4,714,000, respectively.

Based on the current forecast for 2011, the Company expects to be able to meet the financial covenants of its amended debt agreements and has sufficient liquidity to finance its operations. Although the Company believes the assumptions underlying its current forecast are realistic, the Company has considered the possibility of lower revenues and other risk factors. If the Company experiences lower revenues than anticipated, the Company believes it can still comply with the amended debt covenants and satisfy the liquidity needs of the business during 2011. However, there is a high degree of instability in the current environment, and it is possible that certain scenarios would result in the Company's non-compliance with financial covenants under the Revolving Credit Facility and Senior Notes.

Non-compliance with the covenants would provide the debt holders with the ability to demand immediate repayment of all outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility and Senior Notes. Accordingly, the inability to comply with covenants, obtain waivers for non-compliance, or obtain alternative financing would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

Based upon the Company's current level of operations, and its 2011 business plan, the Company believes that cash flow from operations, available cash and available borrowings under its amended credit agreements will be adequate to meet its liquidity needs for at least the next twelve months.

The Revolving Credit Agreement and Senior Notes are secured by substantially all domestic assets of the Company and a security interest in the stock of its foreign affiliates.

(13) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reflected in the consolidated financial statements at their carrying amount which approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of those instruments. The fair value for the Senior Notes exceeded the carrying value by approximately \$965,000 at December 31, 2010. The carrying amount of debt outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009 under the Credit Agreement approximates fair value because borrowings are for terms of less than six months and have rates that reflect currently available terms and conditions for similar debt.

(14) Employee Benefit Plans

The Industrial Group sponsors noncontributory defined benefit pension plans (the Pension Plans) covering certain of its employees. The Pension Plans covering salaried and management employees provide pension benefits that are based on the employees' highest five-year average compensation within ten years before retirement. The Pension Plans covering hourly employees and union members generally provide benefits at stated amounts for each year of service. All of the Company's pension plans are frozen to new participants and certain plans are frozen to additional benefit accruals. The Company's funding policy is to make the minimum annual contributions required by the applicable regulations. The Pension Plans' assets are primarily invested in equity securities and fixed income securities. The following table details the components of pension (income) expense (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | \$ 44 | \$ 52 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 2,204 | 2,357 |
| Net amortizations and deferrals | 532 | 759 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,594) | (2,340) |
| | \$ 186 | \$ 828 |

The following are summaries of the changes in the benefit obligations and plan assets and of the funded status of the Pension Plans (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Change in benefit obligation: | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 41,423 | \$ 38,914 |
| Service cost | 44 | 52 |
| Interest cost | 2,204 | 2,357 |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Actuarial loss | 1,255 | 2,728 |
| Benefits paid | (2,832) | (2,628) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 42,094 | \$ 41,423 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Change in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 32,898 | \$ 29,838 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 3,477 | 5,590 |
| Company contributions | 821 | 98 |
| Benefits paid | (2,832) | (2,628) |
| | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 34,364 | \$ 32,898 |
| | | |
| Underfunded status of the plans | \$ (7,730) | \$ (8,525) |
| | | |
| Balance sheet assets (liabilities): | | |
| Other assets | \$ | \$ 62 |
| Accrued liabilities | | (83) |
| Other liabilities | (7,730) | (8,504) |
| | | |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (7,730) | \$ (8,525) |
| | | |
| Pension plans with accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets: | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 42,094 | \$ 39,907 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 42,068 | 39,829 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 34,364 | 31,319 |
| Projected benefit obligation and net periodic pension cost assumptions: | | |
| Discount rate | 5.70% | 6.35% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 8.25 | 8.25 |
| Weighted average asset allocation: | | |
| Equity securities | 63% | 59% |
| Debt securities | 37 | 41 |
| | | |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

Investments in our defined benefit plans are stated at fair value. The fair values of our pension plan assets as of December 31, 2010, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices In Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| Asset categories: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 380 | \$ |
| Equity investments: | | |
| U.S. Large Cap | | 15,225 |
| U.S. Mid Cap | 1,167 | |
| U.S. Small Cap | 1,041 | |
| World Equity | 4,145 | |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

Fixed income securities

12,406

Total Plan Assets

\$ 19,139

\$ 15,225

The Company uses December 31 as the measurement date for the Pension Plans. Total estimated contributions expected to be paid to the plans during 2010 ranges from \$600,000 to \$800,000, which represents the minimum funding amounts required by federal law. The expected long-term rates of return on plan assets for determining net periodic pension cost for 2010 and 2009 were chosen by the Company from a best estimate range determined by applying anticipated long-term returns and long-term volatility for various assets categories to the

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

target asset allocation of the plan. The target asset allocation of plan assets is equity securities ranging 55-65% and fixed income securities ranging 35-45% of total investments.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2010 includes \$14,401,000 of unrecognized actuarial losses that have not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost: The actuarial loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss and expected to be recognized in net periodic pension cost during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 is \$557,000.

At December 31, 2010, the benefits expected to be paid in each of the next five fiscal years, and in aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-----------|-----------|
| 2011 | 3,074 |
| 2012 | 3,215 |
| 2013 | 3,188 |
| 2014 | 3,225 |
| 2015 | 3,236 |
| 2016-2020 | 15,714 |
| | \$ 31,652 |

The Company sponsors a defined contribution plan (the Defined Contribution Plan) for substantially all employees of the Company. The Defined Contribution Plan is intended to meet the requirements of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Defined Contribution Plan allows the Company to match participant contributions and provide discretionary contributions. Effective March 2009, the Company suspended the participant match for all participants other than those covered by a union contract. Effective October 2010, the Company reinstated a 1% match for those same participants. Contributions to the Defined Contribution Plan in 2010 and 2009 totaled approximately \$332,000 and \$587,000, respectively.

The Company has self-insured medical plans (the Medical Plans) covering substantially all domestic employees. The number of employees participating in the Medical Plans was approximately 693 and 696 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Medical Plans limit the Company's annual obligations to fund claims to specified amounts per participant. The Company is adequately insured for amounts in excess of these limits. Employees are responsible for payment of a portion of the premiums. During 2010 and 2009, the Company charged approximately \$4,771,000 and \$6,820,000, respectively, to operations related to medical claims incurred and estimated, reinsurance premiums, and administrative costs for the Medical Plans.

In addition, certain of the Company's non-U.S. employees are covered by various defined benefit and defined contribution plans. The Company's expenses for these plans related to continuing operations totaled approximately \$225,000 and \$212,000 in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The aggregate benefit plan assets and accumulated benefit obligation of these plans are not significant.

(15) Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases certain of its real property and certain equipment, vehicles and computer hardware under operating leases with terms ranging from month-to-month to ten years and which contain various renewal and rent escalation clauses. Future minimum annual lease commitments under operating leases that have initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| 2011 | 2,578 |
| 2012 | 2,000 |
| 2013 | 2,035 |
| 2014 | 1,806 |
| 2015 | 1,710 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 1,749 |
| | \$ 11,878 |

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled approximately \$2,494,000 and \$3,733,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding purchase commitments of approximately \$22,850,000 primarily for the acquisition of inventory and manufacturing equipment.

The Company bears insurance risk as a member of a group captive insurance entity for certain general liability, automobile and workers compensation insurance programs, a self insured worker's compensation program and a self-insured employee health program. The Company records estimated liabilities for its insurance programs based on information provided by the third-party plan administrators, historical claims experience, expected costs of claims incurred but not paid, and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. The Company monitors its estimated insurance-related liabilities on a quarterly basis. As facts change, it may become necessary to make adjustments that could be material to the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The Company believes that its present insurance coverage and level of accrued liabilities are adequate.

The Company is involved in certain litigation and contract issues arising in the normal course of business. While the outcome of these matters cannot, at this time, be predicted in light of the uncertainties inherent therein, management does not expect that these matters will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company. For example, the Company has purchased certain plants with various potential environmental issues under purchase agreements which include indemnification provisions for, among other things, environmental conditions that existed on the sites at closing.

(16) Stock Option and Purchase Plans

The Company's stock compensation program provides for the grant of restricted stock (including performance-based restricted stock), unrestricted stock, stock options and stock appreciation rights. A total of 3,000,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the 2004 Equity Plan. On May 11, 2010, the 2004 Equity Plan was replaced with the 2010 Sypris Omnibus Plan. A total of 3,655,088 shares of common stock were registered for issuance under the Plan. Additionally, awards under the 2004 Plan that are cancelled without having been fully exercised or vested are available again for new awards under the Omnibus Plan. The aggregate number of shares available for future grant as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was 3,356,731 and 725,972, respectively.

The 2004 Equity Plan provides for restrictions which lapse after one, two, three or four years for certain grants or for certain other shares, one-third of the restriction is removed after three, five and seven years, respectively. The 2010 Omnibus Plan provides for restrictions which lapse after three years. During the restricted period, which is commensurate with each vesting period, the recipient has the right to receive dividends and voting rights for the shares. Generally, if a recipient leaves the Company before the end of the restricted period or if performance requirements, if any, are not met, the shares will be forfeited.

The Company has certain stock compensation plans under which options to purchase common stock may be granted to officers, key employees and non-employee directors. Options may be granted at not less than the market price on the date of grant. Stock option grants under the 2004 Equity Plan include both six and ten year lives along with graded vesting over three, four and five years of service. Stock option grants under the 2010 Omnibus Plan include a six year life along with graded vesting over three, four and five years of service.

Compensation expense is measured based on the fair value at the date of grant and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Fair value for restricted shares is equal to the stock price on the date of grant, while the fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing method. The Company uses historical Company and industry data to estimate the expected price volatility, the expected option life, the expected forfeiture rate and the expected dividend yield. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the estimated life of the option. The following weighted average assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model:

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Expected life (years) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Expected volatility | 90.7% | 65.5% |
| Risk-free interest rates | 2.22% | 1.97% |
| Expected dividend yield | | |

On February 25, 2009, the Company granted 296,000 restricted stock awards under a long-term incentive program. Fifty percent of the awards vest on each of the first and second anniversaries of the grant date. Additionally, the Company granted 405,000 restricted stock awards under a special incentive key employee award program. These shares vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. The Company also granted 300,000 options on February 25, 2009 with a five year life and cliff vesting at three years of service.

Effective as of March 2, 2009, the Company's Compensation Committee exercised its discretion under a long-term incentive program to cancel 336,201 shares of previously awarded, Performance Restricted Stock. As the performance requirements for these awards had not been probable, no additional expense was recognized during the period.

On March 2, 2010, the Company granted 302,000 restricted stock awards under a long-term incentive program, with cliff vesting at three years of service. The Company also granted 131,889 options on March 2, 2010 with a five year life and cliff vesting at three years of service. The Company also granted 27,500 options through administrative grants during 2010. The grants did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements during the current period.

A summary of the restricted stock activity is as follows (excluding performance restricted stock):

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Nonvested shares at January 1, 2010 | 920,920 | \$ 2.99 |
| Granted | 302,000 | 2.85 |
| Vested | (140,246) | 2.86 |
| Forfeited | (67,187) | 2.22 |
| Nonvested shares at December 31, 2010 | 1,015,487 | \$ 3.02 |

The total fair value of shares vested during 2010 and 2009 was \$384,000 and \$183,000, respectively. In conjunction with the vesting of restricted shares and payment of taxes thereon, the Company received into treasury 50,780 and 21,492 restricted shares, respectively, at an average price of \$2.74 and \$1.41 per share, respectively, the closing market price on the date the restricted stock vested. Such repurchased shares were immediately cancelled.

A summary of the performance restricted stock activity is as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Nonvested shares at January 1, 2010 | 40,493 | \$ 5.80 |
| Forfeited | (29,003) | 6.11 |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|----|------|
| Nonvested shares at December 31, 2010 | 11,490 | \$ | 5.03 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|----|------|

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

The following table summarizes option activity for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted- average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted- average Remaining Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 1,226,275 | \$ 5.45 | | |
| Granted | 159,389 | 3.11 | | |
| Forfeited | (19,600) | 4.67 | | |
| Expired | (54,045) | 10.74 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 1,312,019 | \$ 4.96 | 2.28 | \$ 1,494,000 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 619,830 | \$ 7.69 | 0.86 | \$ 2,000 |

The weighted average grant date fair value based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model for options granted in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.03 and \$0.51 per share, respectively. There were no options exercised in 2010 or 2009.

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$2,233,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost, after estimated forfeitures, related to unvested share-based compensation granted under the plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years. The total fair value of option shares vested was \$146,000 and \$432,000 during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(17) Stockholders Equity

The Company has a stockholder rights plan, under which each stockholder owns one right for each outstanding share of common stock owned. Each right entitles the holder to purchase one one-thousandth of a share of a new series of preferred stock at an exercise price of \$63.00. The rights trade along with, and not separately from, the shares of common stock unless they become exercisable. If any person or group acquires or makes a tender offer for 15% or more of the common stock of the Company (except in transactions approved by the Company's Board of Directors in advance) the rights become exercisable, and they will separate, become tradable, and entitle stockholders, other than such person or group, to acquire, at the exercise price, preferred stock with a market value equal to twice the exercise price. If the Company is acquired in a merger or other business combination with such person or group, or if 50% of its earning power or assets are sold to such person or group, each right will entitle its holder, other than such person or group, to acquire, at the exercise price, shares of the acquiring company's common stock with a market value of twice the exercise price. The rights will expire on October 23, 2011, unless redeemed or exchanged earlier by the Company, and will be represented by existing common stock certificates until they become exercisable.

As of December 31, 2010, 24,850 shares of the Company's preferred stock were designated as Series A Preferred Stock in connection with the adoption of the stockholder rights plan. There are no shares of Series A Preferred Stock currently outstanding. The holders of Series A Preferred Stock will have voting rights, be entitled to receive dividends based on a defined formula and have certain rights in the event of the Company's dissolution. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock shall not be redeemable. However, the Company may purchase shares of Series A Preferred Stock in the open market or pursuant to an offer to a holder or holders.

The Company's accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of employee benefit related adjustments and foreign currency translation adjustments.

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

The components of comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, are as follows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (10,204) | \$ 2,690 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 2,760 | 1,233 |
| Pension adjustments U.S. | 160 | 1,281 |
| Pension adjustments Mexico | (57) | 43 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (7,341) | \$ 5,247 |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | \$ (1,944) | \$ (4,704) |
| Employee benefit related adjustments, net of tax of \$2,512 U.S. | (11,889) | (12,049) |
| Employee benefit related adjustments Mexico | (491) | (434) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (14,324) | \$ (17,187) |

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, other expense, net includes foreign currency remeasurement losses of \$652,000 and remeasurement gains of \$15,000, respectively.

(18) Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method. Accordingly, deferred income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between the recognition of revenue and expenses for financial and income tax reporting purposes and between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements.

The components of loss from continuing operations before taxes are as follows (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|----------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Domestic | \$ (13,307) | \$ (21,915) |
| Foreign | 4,603 | 13,447 |
| | \$ (8,704) | \$ (8,468) |

The components of income tax (benefit) expense applicable to continuing operations are as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Current: | | |
| Federal | \$ (219) | \$ |
| State | (64) | 198 |
| Foreign | 683 | 529 |
| Total current income tax expense | 400 | 727 |
| Deferred: | | |
| Federal | 3,067 | (3,003) |
| State | 419 | (518) |
| Foreign | (2,882) | (366) |
| Total deferred income tax expense (benefit) | 604 | (3,887) |
| | \$ 1,004 | \$ (3,160) |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

Income tax expense/benefit for each year is allocated to continuing operations, discontinued operations, extraordinary items, other comprehensive income, the cumulative effects of accounting changes, and other charges or credits recorded directly to shareholders' equity. ASC 740-20-45 *Income Taxes, Intra-period Tax Allocation, Other Presentation Matters* (formerly FAS 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes, Par.140*) includes an exception to the general principle of intra-period tax allocations. The codification source states that the tax effect of pretax income or loss from continuing operations generally should be determined by a computation that considers only the tax effects of items that are included in continuing operations. The exception to that incremental approach is that all items (i.e. extraordinary items, discontinued operations, etc.) be considered in determining the amount of tax benefit that results from a loss from continuing operations and that benefit should be allocated to continuing operations. That is, when a company has a current period loss from continuing operations, management must consider income recorded in other categories in determining the tax benefit that is allocated to continuing operations. This includes situations in which a company has recorded a full valuation allowance at the beginning and end of the period, and the overall tax provision for the year is zero. The intra-period tax allocation is performed once the overall tax provision has been computed and allocates that provision to various income statement (continuing operations, discontinued operations), OCI and balance sheet captions. While the intra-period tax allocation does not change the overall tax provision, it results in a gross-up of the individual components. Additionally, tax jurisdictions must be considered separately; therefore the allocation to the U.S. and Mexico must be looked at separately.

As the Company experienced a loss from continuing operations in the U.S. for the year ended December 31, 2009 and income from discontinued operations due to the sale of Sypris Test & Measurement during 2009, the Company has allocated income tax expense against the discontinued operations income in 2009 using a 38.9% effective tax rate. Income tax benefit related to continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 includes a benefit of \$5,085,000 due to the required intra-period tax allocation. Conversely, income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 includes a charge of \$5,085,000. The Company does not expect any U.S. federal taxes to be paid for 2009 or 2010 given the available NOL carryforwards for U.S. federal tax purposes.

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return which includes all domestic subsidiaries. State income taxes paid in the U.S. during 2010 and 2009 totaled \$591,000 and \$150,000, respectively. Foreign income taxes paid during 2010 and 2009 totaled \$1,313,000 and \$301,000, respectively. Foreign refunds received in 2010 and 2009 were \$3,200,000 and \$2,869,000, respectively. The 2010 foreign refund included \$724,000 of interest and inflationary adjustments. The Company received federal refunds of \$261,000 in 2010. No federal refunds were received in 2009. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$96,291,000 of federal net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future federal taxable income, which will expire in various amounts from 2024 to 2030. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$30,493,000 of state net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future state taxable income, the majority of which relates to Florida. Such carryforwards reflect income tax losses incurred which will expire on December 31 of the following years (in thousands):

| | |
|------|-----------|
| 2011 | \$ 5,999 |
| 2018 | 464 |
| 2026 | 627 |
| 2027 | 3,520 |
| 2028 | 8,316 |
| 2029 | 3,544 |
| 2030 | 8,023 |
| | \$ 30,493 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

The following is a reconciliation of income tax benefit applicable to continuing operations to that computed by applying the federal statutory rate to loss from continuing operations before income taxes (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Federal tax benefit at the statutory rate | \$ (3,046) | \$ (2,964) |
| Current year permanent differences | (251) | 108 |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax impact | (519) | (303) |
| Deemed dividend from foreign subsidiary | | 4,026 |
| Mexican minimum taxes | 252 | |
| Effect of tax rates of foreign subsidiaries | (1,395) | (1,633) |
| Currency translation effect on temporary differences | (364) | (467) |
| Provision to return reconciliation | | (154) |
| Valuation allowance | 6,639 | (1,591) |
| Other | (312) | (182) |
| | \$ 1,004 | \$ (3,160) |

As discussed in Note 3, in 2009, the Company liquidated its holding in DHC common stock for \$21,024,000 in net cash proceeds. The Company's Mexican subsidiary recorded an intercompany receivable for \$11,504,000, which represented its share of the sale proceeds. However, all cash proceeds remained in the U.S., including the portion allocated to the Mexican subsidiary, therefore the intercompany receivable is reportable as a foreign deemed dividend in the U.S. as Subpart F income under IRS Section 956. The \$11,504,000 deemed dividend was included in the calculation of 2009 U.S. taxable income (see \$4,026,000 amount in the above reconciliation). The current tax that would be payable as a result of this dividend will be applied against existing U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. This item is not considered to be a temporary difference and no deferred taxes were calculated on this item. Future cash distributions from the Mexican subsidiary to the U.S. will be excluded from taxable income up to the amount of this deemed dividend reported in 2009.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Compensation and benefit accruals | \$ 2,884 | \$ 2,631 |
| Inventory valuation | 3,436 | 7,606 |
| Federal and state net operating loss carryforwards | 39,133 | 29,176 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,789 | 2,389 |
| Accounts receivable allowance | 215 | 139 |
| Contract provisions | | 138 |
| Defined benefit pension plan | 2,427 | 2,696 |
| Foreign deferred revenue and other provisions | 11,190 | 17,091 |
| AMT credits | 185 | 431 |
| Other | 668 | 1,537 |
| | 66,927 | 63,834 |
| Domestic valuation allowance | (50,756) | (40,865) |
| Foreign valuation allowance | (4,320) | (7,441) |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Total deferred tax assets | 11,851 | 15,528 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | (4,981) | (5,878) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (4,981) | (5,878) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 6,870 | \$ 9,650 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

ASC 740, Income Taxes, (formerly SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*), requires that a valuation allowance be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The loss incurred in the year ended December 31, 2010, and the net cumulative loss for the current and prior two years, represents negative evidence under the provisions of ASC 740 requiring the Company to establish a valuation allowance against domestic deferred tax assets. This valuation allowance offsets assets associated with future tax deductions, carryforward items and impairment of marketable securities. Until an appropriate level and characterization of profitability is attained, the Company expects to continue to maintain a valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets related to future U.S. and certain non-U.S. tax benefits.

The Company generated \$9,912,000 in deferred tax assets at its Mexican subsidiary associated with the impairment of marketable securities in 2008. These deferred tax assets were the result of losses recorded for book purposes on the portion of such marketable securities allocated to the Mexican subsidiary. During 2009, the marketable securities appreciated in value and were sold at a gain compared to the December 31, 2008 impaired book value. This sale resulted in a change in the related Mexican deferred tax asset of \$2,471,000 in 2009. In 2010, the Company was able to recover a portion of the taxes paid in 2008 related to the receipt of the marketable securities, resulting in a change of \$3,121,000 in the Mexican deferred tax asset. The remaining Mexican deferred tax asset of \$4,320,000 has been fully reserved as of December 31, 2010. The net deferred tax asset balances of \$6,870,000 and \$9,650,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are attributable to the Mexican subsidiary. The Company has been profitable in Mexico in the past and anticipates continuing profitability in the future.

The ASC Income Tax topic includes guidance for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial. Specifically, the guidance prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the total amounts of gross unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of period | \$ 200 | \$ 200 |
| Increases based on tax positions of prior years | | |
| Decreases based on tax positions of prior years | | |
| Increases based on tax positions related to the current year | | |
| Lapse in statute of limitations | | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at end of period | \$ 200 | \$ 200 |

If the Company's positions are sustained by the taxing authority in favor of the Company, the entire balance at December 31, 2010 would reduce the Company's effective tax rate. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company does not have an accrual for the payment of tax-related interest and penalties.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is not currently examining the Company's U.S. income tax returns for 2006 through 2010, for which the statute has yet to expire. In addition, open tax years related to state and foreign jurisdictions remain subject to examination but are not considered material.

The Company intends to indefinitely reinvest foreign earnings outside the U.S., and has not provided an estimate for any U.S. or additional foreign taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that might be payable if these earnings were repatriated. However, the Company believes that U.S. foreign tax credits would, for the most part, eliminate any additional U.S. tax.

Table of Contents

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED

(19) Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of ASC 260-10-45-61A which requires that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends be considered participating securities. Participating securities are required to be included in the earnings per share calculation pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that treats a participating security as having rights to earnings that would otherwise have been available to common shareholders. Unvested restricted stock granted by the Company is considered a participating security since it contains a non-forfeitable right to dividends. The following table presents information necessary to calculate earnings (loss) per common share under the two class method (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Earnings attributable to stockholders: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to stockholders | \$ (9,708) | \$ (5,308) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | (496) | 7,998 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (10,204) | \$ 2,690 |
| Less distributed and undistributed earnings allocable to restricted award holders | | (119) |
| Net income (loss) allocable to common stockholders | \$ (10,204) | \$ 2,571 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share attributable to stockholders: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (0.52) | \$ (0.29) |
| Discontinued operations | (0.03) | 0.43 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (0.55) | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to stockholders: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (0.52) | \$ (0.29) |
| Discontinued operations | (0.03) | 0.43 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (0.55) | \$ 0.14 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 18,605 | 18,473 |
| Weighted average additional shares assuming conversion of potential common shares | | 41 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 18,605 | 18,514 |

Our potentially dilutive securities include potential common shares related to our stock options and restricted stock. Diluted earnings per share considers the impact of potentially dilutive securities except in periods in which there is a loss because the inclusion of the potential common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect. Diluted earnings per share excludes the impact of potential common shares related to our stock options in periods in which the option exercise price is greater than the average market price of our common stock for the period. All potential common shares were excluded from earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2010 because the effect of inclusion would be anti-dilutive. There were 1,174,000 potential common shares excluded from diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(20) Segment Information

The Company is organized into two business groups, the Industrial Group and the Electronics Group. The segments are each managed separately because of the distinctions between the products, services, markets, customers, technologies, and workforce skills of the segments. The Industrial Group provides manufacturing services for a variety of customers that outsource forged and finished steel components and subassemblies. The Industrial Group also manufactures high-pressure closures and other fabricated products. The Electronics Group provides manufacturing and technical services as an outsourced service provider and manufactures complex data storage systems. Revenue derived from outsourced services for the Industrial Group accounted for 67% and 53% of total net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Revenue derived from outsourced services for the Electronics

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

Group accounted for 16% and 25% of total net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. There was no intersegment net revenue recognized for any year presented.

The following table presents financial information for the reportable segments of the Company (in thousands):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net revenue from unaffiliated customers: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 191,153 | \$ 152,021 |
| Electronics Group | 75,501 | 113,879 |
| | \$ 266,654 | \$ 265,900 |
| Gross profit: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 9,003 | \$ (3,661) |
| Electronics Group | 16,864 | 19,679 |
| | \$ 25,867 | \$ 16,018 |
| Restructuring expense, net: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 964 | \$ 4,014 |
| Electronics Group | 1,332 | 3,682 |
| | \$ 2,296 | \$ 7,696 |
| Operating (loss) income: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ (1,083) | \$ (16,644) |
| Electronics Group | 1,964 | 2,194 |
| General, corporate and other | (8,294) | (8,335) |
| | \$ (7,413) | \$ (22,785) |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 12,477 | \$ 12,217 |
| Electronics Group | 2,048 | 2,689 |
| General, corporate and other | 199 | 284 |
| | \$ 14,724 | \$ 15,190 |
| Non-cash restructuring charges and asset impairment charges: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 4 | \$ 1,366 |
| Electronics Group | | 1,696 |
| | \$ 4 | \$ 3,062 |
| Capital expenditures: | | |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Industrial Group | \$ 1,255 | \$ 3,959 |
| Electronics Group | 978 | 1,493 |
| General, corporate and other | | 55 |
| | \$ 2,233 | \$ 5,507 |

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total assets: | | |
| Industrial Group | \$ 127,432 | \$ 126,347 |
| Electronics Group | 42,910 | 46,742 |
| General, corporate and other | 9,350 | 16,858 |
| | \$ 179,692 | \$ 189,947 |

Table of Contents**SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTINUED**

The Company's export sales from the U.S. totaled \$28,488,000 and \$26,725,000 in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Approximately \$63,805,000 and \$52,589,000 of net revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$21,298,000 and \$22,079,000 of long lived assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, relate to the Company's international operations.

(21) Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

The following is an analysis of certain items in the consolidated statements of operations by quarter for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | | | | 2009 | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| | (in thousands, except for per share data) | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 62,903 | \$ 63,106 | \$ 73,412 | \$ 67,233 | \$ 67,709 | \$ 69,378 | \$ 62,716 | \$ 66,097 |
| Gross profit | 6,011 | 4,835 | 7,468 | 7,553 | 554 | 4,443 | 5,222 | 5,799 |
| Operating loss | (1,158) | (3,498) | (803) | (1,954) | (10,160) | (5,155) | (3,859) | (3,611) |
| Net income (loss) continuing operations | (2,424) | (3,964) | (1,695) | (1,625) | (11,533) | (6,633) | (1,904) | 14,762 |
| Net income (loss) discontinued operations | | (300) | (196) | | 188 | (145) | 135 | 7,820 |
| Net income (loss) | (2,424) | (4,264) | (1,891) | (1,625) | (11,345) | (6,778) | (1,769) | 22,582 |
| Basic income (loss) per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.63) | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.74 |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations | | (0.02) | (0.01) | | 0.01 | (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.62) | \$ (0.37) | \$ (0.09) | \$ 1.16 |
| Diluted income (loss) per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.63) | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.73 |
| Income (loss) per share from discontinued operations | | (0.02) | (0.01) | | 0.01 | (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.62) | \$ (0.37) | \$ (0.09) | \$ 1.15 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

(22) Subsequent Events

In January 2011, the Company recognized a gain of \$3,000,000 in connection with the settlement of a dispute regarding prior year volumes with one of its customers.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (the CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Sypris Solutions, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Additionally, Ernst & Young LLP, our independent auditors and a registered public accounting firm, has issued a report on Sypris Solutions, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting, which is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from sections of the Company's Proxy Statement titled Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Governance of the Company Committees of the Board of Directors, Governance of the Company Audit and Finance Committee, Proposal One, Election of Directors, and Executive Officers, which Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to instruction G(3) of the General Instructions to Form 10-K.

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct that applies to all of its directors, officers (including its chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief accounting officer and any person performing similar functions) and employees. The Company has made the Code of Business Conduct available on its website at www.sypris.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from sections of the Company's Proxy Statement titled 2010 Director Compensation, Governance of the Company, Summary Compensation Table, and Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2010, which Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to instruction G(3) of the General Instructions to Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from the section of the Company's Proxy Statement titled Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners which Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to instruction G(3) of the General Instructions to Form 10-K.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2010 with respect to shares of Sypris common stock that may be issued under our equity compensation plans.

| Plan Category | Number of Securities To be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options (a) | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (b) | Number of Securities Remaining Available For Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c) |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders | 1,312,019(1) | \$ 4.96 | 3,356,731(2) |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders | | | |
| Total | 1,312,019 | \$ 4.96 | 3,356,731 |

- (1) Consists of (a) 189,095 outstanding options under the 1994 Stock Option Plan for Key Employees (1994 Key Plan), which Plan expired on October 27, 2004, (b) 69,827 outstanding options under the 1994 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan, which Plan expired on October 27, 2004, (c) 1,025,597 outstanding options under the 2004 Equity Plan, (d) and 27,500 outstanding under the 2010 Omnibus

Plan.

- (2) Shares remaining available for issuance under the 2010 Omnibus Plan.

Table of Contents

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from the sections of the Company's Proxy Statement titled "Governance of the Company," "Transactions with Related Persons," and "Governance of the Company - Independence," which Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to instruction G(3) of the General Instructions to Form 10-K.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from the section of the Company's Proxy Statement titled "Relationship with Independent Public Accountants," which Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to instruction G(3) of the General Instructions to Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. Financial Statements

The financial statements as set forth under Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K are included.

2. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2004 filed on August 3, 2004 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed May 9, 2002 (No. 333-87880)). |
| 4.1 | Specimen common stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998 filed on March 5, 1999 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 4.2 | Rights Agreement dated as of October 23, 2001 between the Company and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Rights Agent, including as Exhibit A the Form of Certificate of Designation and as Exhibit B the Form of Right Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on October 23, 2001 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 4.3 | Notice of Removal of Rights Agent and Appointment of Successor Rights Agent and Amendment No. 1 to the Rights Agreement effective as of September 8, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 28, 2008 filed on November 5, 2008 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 4.4 | Notice of Removal of Rights Agent and Appointment of Successor rights Agent and Amendment to the Right Agreement effective as of October 26, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended April 4, 2010 filed on May 18, 2010 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.1 | Purchase and Sale Agreement among Honeywell Inc., Defense Communications Products Corporation (prior name of Group Technologies Corporation) and Group Financial Partners, Inc. dated May 21, 1989 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed May 18, 1994 (Registration No. 33-76326)). |
| 10.2 | Purchase and Sale Agreement among Alliant Techsystems Inc., MAC Acquisition I, Inc. and Group Technologies Corporation dated December 31, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed May 18, 1994 (Registration No. 33-76326)). |
| 10.3 | Purchase and Sale Agreement among Philips Electronic North America Corporation and Group Technologies Corporation dated June 25, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed May 18, 1994 (Registration No. 33-76326)). |
| 10.4 | Asset Purchase Agreement dated April 6, 2001 by and between Tube Turns Technologies, Inc. and Dana Corporation as amended by a First Amendment dated May 4, 2001 and as amended by a Second Amendment on May 15, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2001 filed on July 30, 2001 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.5 | Asset Purchase Agreement between Sypris Technologies, Inc. and Dana Corporation dated December 8, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 filed on February 12, 2004 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6 | 1999 Amended and Restated Loan Agreement between Bank One, Kentucky, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Bell Technologies, Inc., Tube Turns Technologies, Inc., Group Technologies Corporation and Metrum-Datatape, Inc. dated October 27, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999 filed on February 25, 2000 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.1 | 2000A Amendment to Loan Documents between Bank One, Kentucky, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Bell Technologies, Inc., Tube Turns Technologies, Inc., Group Technologies Corporation and Metrum-Datatape, Inc. dated November 9, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 filed on March 2, 2001 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.2 | 2001A Amendment to Loan Documents between Bank One, Kentucky, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Bell Technologies, Inc., Tube Turns Technologies, Inc., Group Technologies Corporation and Metrum-Datatape, Inc. dated February 15, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended April 1, 2001 filed on April 30, 2001 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.3 | 2002A Amendment to Loan Documents between Bank One, Kentucky, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc. and Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC dated December 21, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.3 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 filed on January 31, 2002 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.4 | 2002B Amendment to Loan Documents between Bank One, Kentucky, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc. and Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC dated July 3, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2002 filed on July 29, 2002 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.5 | 2003A Amendment to Loan Documents between Bank One, Kentucky, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc. and Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC dated October 16, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 28, 2003 filed on October 29, 2003 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.6 | 2005A Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated March 10, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.6 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed on March 11, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.7 | 2005B Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated May 10, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|--|
| 10.6.8 | 2005C Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated August 3, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.9 | 2006A Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated February 28, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.9 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 filed on March 15, 2006 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.10 | Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of April 6, 2007 between Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC, Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc., Sypris Technologies Mexican Holdings, LLC; and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank National Association, and National City Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on April 11, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.11 | 2007A Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated September 17, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 2, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.12 | 2009A Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated April 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q/A filed on November 20, 2009 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.6.13 | 2009B Amendment to Loan Documents between JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC and Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc. dated October 26, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.13 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 filed on March 23, 2010 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.7 | Note Purchase Agreement between The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Life Insurance Company of North America, Jefferson Pilot Financial Insurance Company, Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company, Jefferson Pilot LifeAmerica Insurance Company, and Sypris Solutions, Inc. dated as of June 10, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2004 filed on August 3, 2004 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.7.1 | First Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement between The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Life Insurance Company of North America, Jefferson Pilot Financial Insurance Company, Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company, Jefferson Pilot LifeAmerica Insurance Company, and Sypris Solutions, Inc. dated as of August 3, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.7.2 | Second Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement between The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Life Insurance Company of North America, Jefferson Pilot Financial Insurance Company, Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company, Jefferson Pilot LifeAmerica Insurance Company, and Sypris Solutions, Inc. dated as of March 13, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 filed on March 15, 2006 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.7.3 | Third Amendment to the Note Purchase Agreement dated as of April 6, 2007 between Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC, Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc., Sypris Technologies Mexican Holdings, LLC; and The Guardian Life Insurance Company Of America, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Life Insurance Company of North America, Jefferson Pilot Financial Insurance Company, Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on April 11, 2007(Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.7.4 | Fourth Amendment to the Note Purchase Agreement dated as of April 1, 2009 between Sypris Solutions, Inc., Sypris Test & Measurement, Inc., Sypris Technologies, Inc., Sypris Electronics, LLC, Sypris Data Systems, Inc., Sypris Technologies Marion, LLC, Sypris Technologies Kenton, Inc., Sypris Technologies Mexican Holdings, LLC; and The Guardian Life Insurance Company Of America, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Life Insurance Company of North America, Jefferson Pilot Financial Insurance Company, Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q/A filed on November 20, 2009 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.7.5 | Security Interest Agreement dated April 6, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on April 11, 2007(Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.8 | Lease between John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and Honeywell, Inc. dated April 27, 1979; related Notice of Assignment from John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company to Sweetwell Industrial Associates, L.P., dated July 10, 1986; related Assignment and Assumption of Lease between Honeywell, Inc. and Defense Communications Products Corporation (prior name of Group Technologies Corporation) dated May 21, 1989; and related Amendment I to Lease Agreement between Sweetwell Industries Associates, L.P. and Group Technologies Corporation dated October 25, 1991, regarding Tampa industrial park property (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed May 18, 1994 (Registration No. 33-76326)). |
| 10.8.1 | Agreement related to Fourth Renewal of Lease between Sweetwell Industries Associates, L.P. and Group Technologies Corporation dated November 1, 2000, regarding Tampa industrial park property (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 filed on March 2, 2001 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.8.2 | Agreement related to Fifth Renewal of Lease between Sweetwell Industries Associates, L.P. and Group Technologies Corporation dated October 12, 2006, regarding Tampa industrial park property (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 14, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.8.3 | Agreement related to Sixth Renewal of Lease between Sweetwell Industries Associates, L.P. and Group Technologies Corporation dated August 13, 2008, regarding Tampa industrial park property (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 28, 2008 filed on November 5, 2008 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.9 | Lease between Metrum-Datatape, Inc. (assignee of Metrum, Inc.) and Alliant Techsystems, Inc. dated March 29, 1993 and amended July 29, 1993, May 2, 1994, November 14, 1995, December 4, 1996 and February 12, 1998 regarding 4800 East Dry Creek Road Property (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 28, 1998 filed on August 4, 1998 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.10* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. 1994 Stock Option Plan for Key Employees as Amended and Restated effective February 26, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Form S-8 filed on May 9, 2002 (Registration No. 333-87880)). |
| 10.11* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Share Performance Program For Stock Option Grants dated July 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 28, 1998 filed on August 4, 1998 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.12* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Independent Directors Stock Option Plan as Amended and Restated effective February 26, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Form S-8 filed on May 9, 2002 (Registration No. 333-87882)). |
| 10.13* | Sypris Solutions, Inc., Directors Compensation Program As Amended and Restated Effective February 24, 2004 and as amended December 15, 2004, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2004 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.14* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Directors Compensation Program adopted on September 1, 1995 Amended and Restated on March 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 3, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.15* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Directors Compensation Program adopted on September 1, 1995 Amended and Restated on February 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 14, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.16* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Directors Compensation Program adopted on September 1, 1995 Amended and Restated on December 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 filed on March 31, 2009 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.17* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Executive Bonus Plan, effective as of January 1, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 30, 2003 filed on April 30, 2003 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.18* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Incentive Bonus Plan, effective as of January 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed on March 11, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.19* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Incentive Bonus Plan, effective as of January 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 3, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.20* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Incentive Bonus Plan, effective as of January 1, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on April 9, 2008 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.21* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Incentive Bonus Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 30, 2008 filed on April 30, 2008 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.22* | Form of 2009 Sypris Three-Year Bonus Agreement, effective as of May 12, 2009. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended July 5, 2009 filed on August 18, 2009 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.23* | 2004 Sypris Equity Plan effective as of April 27, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004 filed on April 30, 2004 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.24* | 2010 Sypris Omnibus Plan effective as of May 11, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on May 19, 2010 (Commission File No. 333-166951)). |
| 10.25* | Form of Amendment to Stock Option Agreements to Accelerate Vesting Periods for Certain Underwater Options for grants to executive officers and other key employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed on March 11, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.26* | Employment Agreement by and between Metrum-Datatape, Inc. and G. Darrell Robertson dated February 28, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 filed on March 2, 2001 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.27 | Underwriting Agreement dated March 20, 2002 among Sypris Solutions, Inc., Needham & Company, Inc. and A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002 filed on April 29, 2002 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.28 | Underwriting Agreement dated March 11, 2004 among Sypris Solutions, Inc. and Needham & Company, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004 filed on April 30, 2004 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.29* | Amendment to Stock Option Agreements to David D. Johnson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 6, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.30* | Sypris Solutions, Inc. Incentive Bonus Plan (July 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 27, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.31* | Long-term Incentive Program and Form of Long-term Incentive Award Agreements for Grants to Executive Officers and Other Key Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 27, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.32* | Amended Executive Long-Term Incentive Program and Alternate Form of Executive Long-Term Incentive Award Agreements for Grants to Executive Officers and Other Key Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2005 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.33* | Amended 2007 Executive Long-Term Incentive Program and Alternate Form of Executive Long-Term Incentive Award Agreements for Grants to Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.34* | Amended 2009 Executive Long-Term Incentive Program and Alternate Form of Executive Long-Term Incentive Award Agreements for Grants to Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 filed on March 31, 2009 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.35* | Amended 2010 Executive Long-Term Incentive Program and Alternate Form of Executive Long-Term Incentive Award Agreements for Grants to Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 18, 2010 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.36* | Form of Amendment to Stock Option Agreements to Accelerate Vesting Periods for Certain Underwater Options for grants to executive officers and other key employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2006 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.37* | Form of Employment Agreement between Sypris Solutions, Inc. and participants in the Sypris Solutions, Inc. Executive Long-Term Incentive Program for 2009 dated March 9, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 13, 2009 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.38* | Form of Employment Agreement between Sypris Solutions, Inc. and participants in the Sypris Solutions, Inc. Executive Long-Term Incentive Program for 2010 dated March 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 18, 2010 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.39* | Form of Employment Agreement between Sypris Solutions, Inc. and participants in the Sypris Solutions, Inc. Executive Long-Term Incentive Program for 2011 dated March 2, 2011. |
| 10.40 | Preliminary Settlement Agreement between Sypris Solutions, Inc. and Dana Corporation (Debtor in Possession) dated May 10, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2006 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.41* | Form of Refund Agreement to Award Cash Incentive Grants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on January 17, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.42* | Form of Standard Terms of Executive Awards Granted Under the 2007 Stock Option Exchange Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.43* | Form of Standard Terms of Executive Awards Granted Under the 2008 Stock Option Exchange Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2008 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.44 | Redacted copy of Settlement Agreement with Dana Corporation signed on July 24, 2007 and effective as of August 7, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 2, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.45 | Settlement Agreement with Dana Corporation signed on July 24, 2007 and effective as of August 7, 2007, replaces redacted copy of Settlement Agreement with Dana Corporation signed on July 24, 2007 and effective as of August 7, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2008 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 10.46 | Redacted copy of Supply Agreement with Dana Corporation signed on July 24, 2007 and effective as of August 7, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 2, 2007 (Commission File No. 000-24020)). |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Company |
| 23 | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP |
| 31.1 | CEO certification pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | CFO certification pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | CEO and CFO certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 15, 2011.

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.
(Registrant)

/s/ Jeffrey T. Gill
(Jeffrey T. Gill)

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 15, 2011:

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| /s/ Robert E. Gill | Chairman of the Board |
| (Robert E. Gill) | |
| /s/ Jeffrey T. Gill | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| (Jeffrey T. Gill) | |
| /s/ Brian A. Lutes | Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| (Brian A. Lutes) | (Principal Financial Officer) |
| /s/ Rebecca R. Eckert | Controller |
| (Rebecca R. Eckert) | (Principal Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ John F. Brinkley | Director |
| (John F. Brinkley) | |
| /s/ William G. Ferko | Director |
| (William G. Ferko) | |
| /s/ R. Scott Gill | Director |
| (R. Scott Gill) | |
| /s/ William L. Healey | Director |
| (William L. Healey) | |
| /s/ Sidney R. Petersen | Director |

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-K

(Sidney R. Petersen)

/s/ Robert Sroka

Director

(Robert Sroka)

71