

DYNEGY INC.  
Form DFAN14A  
January 21, 2011

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

(Rule 14a-101)

**INFORMATION REQUIRED IN CONSENT STATEMENT**

**SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

**Consent Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the**  
**Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

**Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

**Dynegy Inc.**

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC. - Form DFAN14A

**Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P.**

**Seneca Capital, L.P.**

**Seneca Capital Investments, L.P.**

**Seneca Capital Investments, LLC**

**Seneca Capital International GP, LLC**

**Seneca Capital Advisors, LLC**

**Douglas A. Hirsch**

(Name of Person(s) Filing Consent Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY INC. - Form DFAN14A

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

SAVING DYNEGY:  
FOR ALL SHAREHOLDERS  
Seneca Capital  
JANUARY 21, 2011

2  
DISCLAIMER  
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS; STATEMENT OF SENECA CAPITAL BELIEFS; FORECASTS  
This  
presentation  
contains  
statements,

including  
Seneca  
Capital s  
beliefs  
as  
to  
valuation,  
which  
are  
forward  
looking  
statements  
about  
future  
events  
and  
sets  
forth  
a  
presentation  
of  
our  
beliefs.

The  
forward-looking  
statements  
are  
not  
guarantees  
of  
future  
performance,  
and  
we  
caution  
you  
not  
to  
rely  
unduly  
on  
them.

You  
should  
be  
aware  
that  
any  
forward-

looking statements are based on certain assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties that exist in the business

environment  
that  
could  
render  
actual  
outcomes  
and  
results  
that  
are  
materially  
different.

We  
have  
based  
many  
of  
these  
forward-looking statements on our beliefs, expectations and assumptions about future events that may prove to be inaccurate. While we consider these beliefs, expectations and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, regulatory and other risks, contingencies and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to anticipate and many of which are beyond our control.

We  
caution  
you  
that  
the  
forward-looking  
statements  
are inherently uncertain and necessarily involve risks that may affect Dynegy Inc.'s ( Dynegy ) business prospects and performance, causing actual results to differ from those discussed

or  
presented  
in  
this  
presentation.

Without  
limiting  
the

generality of the foregoing, Seneca Capital's beliefs as to future value are based on a variety of assumptions as to the future that Seneca Capital believes constitute a reasonable, potential valuation scenario that could develop within the next several years for Dynegy but which are nonetheless subject to risks and uncertainties that exist in the business environment that could render actual outcomes and results materially different than anticipated. Seneca Capital's beliefs as to current value are based on a variety of assumptions, including as to the future, that Seneca Capital believes constitute reasonable assumptions but which are nonetheless subject to risks and uncertainties that exist in the business environment that could render actual outcomes and results that are materially different.

#### THIRD-PARTY INFORMATION

This presentation is based on, and contains references to, third-party sources of information, and we make no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness thereof. Unless otherwise provided, no such third party has (a) participated in the preparation of this presentation, (b) other than as to Ventyx and the Big 4 Accounting Firm, consented to the inclusion of such information in this presentation, or (c) endorsed the views expressed herein. Neither Ventyx nor the Big 4 Accounting Firm have provided any representation or warranty as to the accuracy of information provided in their reports and you may not rely on their reports.



3  
DISCLAIMER (CONT D)  
CERTAIN  
INFORMATION  
CONCERNING  
THE  
PARTICIPANTS

Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P., Seneca Capital, L.P., Seneca Capital Investments, L.P., Seneca Capital Invest  
Seneca Capital International GP, LLC, Seneca Capital Advisors, LLC and Douglas A. Hirsch (together with each of the forego  
jointly made a preliminary filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) of a consent statement and a consent  
replace two members of the Dynegy Board of Directors and to adopt certain other proposals set forth in the consent statement.

SENECA ADVISES ALL STOCKHOLDERS OF DYNEGY TO READ THE CONSENT STATEMENT AND OTHER CON  
MATERIALS AS THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. SU  
AVAILABLE

AT  
NO  
CHARGE  
ON  
THE  
SEC S  
WEBSITE  
AT  
[HTTP://WWW.SEC.GOV.](http://www.sec.gov)  
IN  
ADDITION  
THE  
PARTICIPANTS  
IN  
THE  
CONSENT  
SOLICITATION  
WILL  
PROVIDE  
COPIES  
OF  
THE  
DEFINITIVE  
CONSENT  
STATEMENT,  
ONCE  
AVAILABLE,  
WITHOUT  
CHARGE  
UPON  
REQUEST.  
REQUESTS  
FOR  
COPIES  
SHOULD  
BE  
DIRECTED  
TO  
THE  
PARTICIPANTS  
CONSENT  
SOLICITOR  
AT

THE  
TELEPHONE

NUMBER INCLUDED IN THE DEFINITIVE CONSENT STATEMENT, ONCE AVAILABLE.

Each of Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P., Seneca Capital, L.P., Seneca Capital Investments, L.P., Seneca Capital  
LLC, Seneca Capital International GP, LLC, Seneca Capital Advisors, LLC and Douglas A. Hirsch is a participant in this solicitation.  
Hirsch is the managing member of each of Seneca Capital Investments, LLC, Seneca Capital International GP, LLC and Seneca  
LLC. The principal occupation of Mr. Hirsch is investment management. Seneca Capital Investments, LLC is the general partner

Investments,

L.P.

Seneca

Capital

International

GP,

LLC

is

the

general

partner

of

Seneca

Capital

International

Master

Fund,

L.P.,

and

Seneca

Capital Advisors, LLC is the general partner of Seneca Capital, L.P. The principal business address of Mr. Hirsch, Seneca Cap

LLC, Seneca Capital Investments, L.P., Seneca Capital International GP, LLC, Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P.

Advisors,

LLC

and

Seneca

Capital,

L.P.

is

c/o

Seneca

Capital

Investments,

LP,

590

Madison

Avenue,

28th

Floor,

New

York,

New

York

10022.

As of January 20, 2011, Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P. beneficially owned 7,712,100 shares of Dynegy's common stock at \$0.01 per share ( "Shares" ), representing beneficial ownership of approximately 6.4% of the Shares. As of January 20, 2011, Seneca Capital Advisors, LLC beneficially owned 3,514,400 Shares, representing beneficial ownership of approximately 2.9% of the Shares. Each of Seneca Capital Advisors, L.P., Seneca Capital Investments, LLC, and Mr. Hirsch may be deemed to beneficially own 11,226,500 Shares, representing beneficial ownership of approximately 9.3% of the Shares, held in the aggregate by Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P. Seneca Capital International GP, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own 7,712,100 Shares, representing beneficial ownership of approximately 6.4% of the Shares, held by Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P. Seneca Capital Advisors, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own 3,514,400 Shares, representing beneficial ownership of approximately 2.9% of the Shares, held by Seneca Capital Advisors, L.P. As of January 20, 2011, Seneca Capital International Master Fund, L.P. and Seneca Capital, L.P. held European-style call options with a right to purchase 2,331,400 and 1,059,600 shares, respectively at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share by delivery of notice of exercise on or before January 15, 2011.

WE URGE YOU NOT TO TENDER  
YOUR SHARES AT \$5.50 / SHARE

We  
believe  
Dynegy  
is  
worth

\$7.50

-

\$8.50

/

share

today

and

\$18

-

\$20

/

share

in

a

recovery

Big 4 Accounting Firm studied cost cutting / Ventyx analyzed power prices

Premier vehicle to play power recovery given operational / financial gearing and

asymmetric upside to natural gas

Significant flexibility in debt structure and cost cutting provide levers to support equity

value independent of commodity markets movements

Stock underperformed IPP peers by ~30% and S&P by ~40% since announcement of

reverse split on 3/12/10

Special

Committee

decided

to

sell

BEFORE

even

beginning

careful

standalone

restructuring

analysis

promised

to

investors

on

November

23

rd

Significant

positive

catalysts

upcoming

with

potential

EPA

HAPS/MACT

rules

in  
March,  
PJM capacity auction in May and potential MISO capacity structure by June  
\$36mm management change of control severance payments (~6% of equity value)  
largely irrespective of deal price and a Board that has purchased only 16,000 shares

Go-Shop  
conducted over Christmas unlikely to maximize participation with restrictive  
confidentiality arrangements  
IEP \$0.50/sh increase represents less than 2% of enterprise value versus two  
Blackstone deals that were overwhelmingly rejected by shareholders

4  
WRONG  
PRICE  
WRONG  
TIME  
WRONG  
REASONS

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future  
however, substantial risks and uncertainties exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's f

82%

10

AFTER THE TRAGIC  
UNDERPERFORMANCE

5

(1)

Includes CPN, NRG and GEN.



\$2.50  
\$3.00  
\$3.50  
\$4.00  
\$4.50  
\$5.00  
\$5.50  
\$6.00  
\$6.50  
\$7.00  
\$7.50  
\$8.00  
\$8.50  
\$9.00  
3/12  
4/11  
5/11  
6/10  
7/10  
8/9  
9/8  
10/8  
11/7  
12/7  
1/6  
32%  
42%  
52%  
62%  
72%  
112%  
Current Icahn Bid  
S&P 500  
IPP Index  
(1)  
3/12: 5 for 1  
Reverse stock  
split proposed  
5/25: 5 for 1  
Reverse stock  
split effective  
8/13: Blackstone  
\$4.50/share proposed  
merger announced close  
to virtual all-time low  
11/17: Blackstone  
increases offer to  
\$5.00/share in face  
of defeat  
11/23: Blackstone and Dynege

terminate proposed merger after only  
26% of shareholders voting in support  
12/15: IEP announces \$5.50/share  
cash tender (\$1.10 pre-split)

DYN

~

30%

Under-  
performance

AND SERIAL ATTEMPTS TO SELL WITHOUT  
A CAREFUL STANDALONE REVIEW

6

Blackstone Transaction #1 (Aug. 12, 2010 @ \$4.50 per share)

Cash deal at 1/3 of replacement cost at low point in the cycle after sharp and anomalous  
stock price underperformance

Granted exclusive rights to 1/3 of Dynegy assets

\$50mm / \$16mm break-up fees and Blackstone right to match  
Blackstone Transaction #2 (Nov. 16, 2010 @ \$5.00 per share)

Virtually  
unprecedented

Recess  
of  
shareholder

meeting  
Additional \$16mm break-up fee in the face of defeat of transaction

Only 26% voted in favor of sale at \$5.00 per share

Post-Blackstone

(Nov.  
23,  
2010

Dec.  
15,  
2010)

Poison pill to freeze top shareholders

Abandoned promise to carefully review its standalone restructuring alternatives

Icahn Enterprises Transaction (Dec. 15, 2010 @ \$5.50 per share)

Rushed to sell company for low price (deferred due diligence to post-signing)

Yet another \$16mm break-up fee

Gained pledge of largest holder (IEP) not to support pending consent solicitation for directors

Willing

to  
spend  
more  
than  
\$100mm

(15%  
of  
equity  
value)

to  
sell  
the  
company

(1)

(1)

Includes (a) \$16.3 mm break-up fee + \$10 mm expense reimbursement per the Proposed Blackstone Merger, plus (b) \$23 mm per the proposed IEP Merger, plus (d) \$36 mm change of control arrangements.

A DIFFERENCE IN ALIGNMENT  
DRIVES A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION  
VALUE

-

Seller at \$4.50/\$5.00/\$5.50 per share  
(\$0.90/\$1.00/\$1.10 pre-reverse split)

-

Bought nearly 30% of stock in August  
09 from LS Power at \$9.65 per share

-

12% economic interest in Dynegy  
(9.3% voting stock)

-

Only motivation is increasing  
shareholder  
value

fully

aligned

Dynegy

Seneca

STRATEGY

FIDUCIARY DUTY

-

Board purchased only 16,000 shares  
with own money

-

\$36mm change of control severance  
available to management

ALIGNMENT

-

Value at \$7.50 \$8.50/sh today  
increasing to \$18-\$20/sh in recovery

-

Supported by Big 4 cost cutting study  
and Ventyx power price analysis

-

Sell at \$4.50/\$5.00/\$5.50 per share  
as quickly as possible

-

Abandoned promise of careful  
standalone restructuring analysis

-

DO NOT SELL \$4.50/\$5.00/\$5.50

-

Believes in aligning directors/mgmt w/  
shareholders

-

Should explore value enhancing steps  
to optimize balance sheet, costs

-

Offer incremental break fees of  
\$32mm to IEP/Blackstone combined

-

BOD owning stock limits objectivity

-

Poison pill to freeze top holders from

adding equity at greater than \$5.50

-

Reject IEP \$5.50 per share tender

-

Many of existing BOD should resign  
given lack of alignment

-

Waive pill to enable shareholders to  
buy at greater than \$5.50

7

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future  
however, substantial risks and uncertainties exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's f





2010  
Maint  
Unlevered  
Net  
Valuation  
EBITDA  
(1)  
Capex  
(2)  
FCF  
MWs  
\$/KW  
\$MMs  
Valuation Commentary and Assumptions  
Midwest Coal  
Scrubbed Coal  
(\$45)  
2,241  
\$700  
\$1,569  
Based on DCF Analysis  
(3)  
, including NPV ~\$300mm of environmental capex  
Unscrubbed Coal  
446  
\$250  
\$112

DCF

(3)

assumes retirement in 2015; Zero value for Trona

Mothballed Coal

(4)

(\$9)

457

Zero site value

Total Midwest Coal

\$288

(\$54)

\$234

3,144

\$534

\$1,680

Implied Unlevered Free Cash Flow Yield

13.9%

Midwest CCGT

Kendall

(\$11)

1,200

\$500

\$600

DCF is supported by Casco Bay valuation of \$500/kw (NRG Deal)

Ontelaunee

(\$5)

580

\$800

\$464

Based on DCF Analysis

(3)

; MAAC cleared at \$226/mwd in latest RPM auction

Total Midwest CCGT

\$113

(\$16)

\$96

1,780

\$598

\$1,064

Implied Unlevered Free Cash Flow Yield

9.1%

Midwest Peaking

Midwest Peaking/Other

\$18

(\$1)

\$16

164

\$250

\$41  
Seneca Capital estimate of capacity value  
Implied Unlevered Free Cash Flow Yield  
NM  
West  
Moss Landing / Morro / Oakland  
(5)  
(\$30)  
3,344  
\$336  
\$1,125  
NRG bid price plus ~\$40mm incremental from increased CA power prices  
Other Western Gas  
(\$3)  
352  
\$250  
\$88  
Seneca Capital estimate of capacity value  
Total West  
\$144  
(\$33)  
\$111  
3,696  
\$328  
\$1,213  
Implied Unlevered Free Cash Flow Yield  
9.1%  
Northeast  
Casco Bay  
(\$5)  
540  
\$509  
\$275  
NRG bid price; Implies 12% UFCF  
Independence  
(\$10)  
1,064  
\$600  
\$638  
Based on DCF Analysis  
(3)  
; Includes value of ConEd contract  
Roseton / Danskammer  
(\$15)  
1,693  
\$200  
\$339  
Based on DCF Analysis  
(3)  
; Assumes coal retires in 2015

Total Northeast

\$190

(\$30)

\$160

3,297

\$380

\$1,252

Implied Unlevered Free Cash Flow Yield

12.8%

Low End of Incremental Identified Cost Savings

\$96

6x \$16mm low end incr. potential cost savings identified by Big 4 Accounting Firm

Corporate SG&A

(\$135)

(\$450)

6x \$75mm of corporate SG&A netting out all announced cost cuts

Total

\$617

(\$134)

12,081

\$4,896

Net Debt

(\$3,307)

As of 9/30/10 and adjusted to reflect 1/5/11 cash balance

NPV of Lease

(\$649)

As disclosed by Dynegy

Estimated Equity Value

\$940

All segments include regional overhead and tie to guidance when totaled

Shares

120.6

Estimated Equity Value / Share

~\$8.00

(7)

(6)

**BUILDING BLOCKS IN PLACE**

**FOR DYNEGY S VALUE TODAY**

8

(\$ in millions)

Dynegy

trades at less

than 1/3rd of

replacement

cost

(1)

Dynegy public disclosure.

(2)

Assumes maintenance capex of \$20/kw-year for coal plants and \$9/kw-year for gas plants based on Seneca Capital estimates; t

(3)

See appendix for DCF assumptions.

(4)

Dynegy announced its intention to mothball Vermillion 1-2 on 12/29/10 and has indicated its intention to mothball Hennepin.

(5)

CA power prices increased ~\$6/mwh since 10/29/10 reflecting expected future costs of carbon credits (2012+).

(6)

EBITDA adds back \$50mm lease expense & \$50mm non-cash amortization disclosed by Dynegy.

(7)

Valuation and capacity totals exclude Plum Point (140MW).

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's forecasts (see page 2 for full disclaimer). See

FOLLOW THE CASH FLOW  
ROADMAP TO EQUITY VALUE  
Using **EBITDA multiples**  
understates  
Dynegy value  
because low maintenance capex  
and lack of taxes result in higher

cash flow to equity

Using **book value of debt**

doesn't capture

significant

flexibility of Dynegy debt as

reflected in market prices

Illustrative impact of EPA-driven

capacity uplift demonstrates the

power of Dynegy's operational

leverage

to the upside

9

(1)

Net debt includes cash posted for collateral in broker margin account and excludes NPV of lease. EBITDA includes \$50mm of

(2)

Based on market prices of debt as of 1/14/11.

(3)

EV@Market

Price

equals market value of debt per Bloomberg plus market value of equity.

(4)

Amortization of intangible asset related to capacity agreement with ConEdison, as described in Note 11 of Dynegy 2006 10-K,

(5)

Assumes

the

\$85mm

per

year

Market

Recovery

Assumption

(as

noted

in

the

Dynegy

Presentation

to

Proxy

Advisory

Firms

dated

October

27,

2010

page

19)

is

reduced

by

the  
change  
between (a) 2013  
forecasted  
EBITDA  
(as  
noted  
in  
Dynege s  
14D-9  
filed  
on  
December  
30,2010)  
and (b)  
the  
2013  
forecasted  
EBITDA  
in  
original  
Merger  
Proxy  
for  
Proposed  
Blackstone  
Deal.

(6)  
Based on 2,241 MW of scrubbed coal capacity and 1,200 MW of combined cycle gas capacity (Kendall). \$150/MW-day is for

2011

2012

2013

Stock Price

\$5.50

\$5.50

\$5.50

Shares

121

121

121

Equity Value

\$663

\$663

\$663

Net Debt as of 9/30/10

(1)

\$3,307

\$3,307

\$3,307

Enterprise Value



\$3,970  
 \$3,970  
 \$3,970  
 Market Price vs. Book Value of Debt  
 (2)  
 (\$889)  
 (\$889)  
 (\$889)  
 EV @ Market Price  
 (3)  
 \$3,081  
 \$3,081  
 \$3,081  
 Adj  
 EBITDA in Merger Proxy  
 \$418  
 \$308  
 \$483  
 Sithe  
 Purchase Accounting Adjustment  
 (4)  
 \$50  
 \$50  
 \$50  
 Removal of Market Recovery Assumption  
 (5)  
  
 (\$30)  
 Adj  
 Cash EBITDA Without Market Recovery  
 \$468  
 \$358  
 \$503  
 Maintenance Capex  
 in proxy  
 (\$119)  
 (\$113)  
 (\$119)  
 Unlevered Free Cash Flow  
 \$349  
 \$245  
 \$384  
 Benefit of \$150/MWd Increase in MISO/RTO  
 (6)  
 \$188  
 \$188  
 \$188  
 Cash EBITDA with Illustrative Capacity Uplift  
 \$656

\$546

\$691

Unlevered Free Cash Flow with Illustrative Capacity Uplift

\$537

\$433

\$572

Without Capacity Uplift:

Unlevered Free Cash Flow / EV @ Mkt

Price

11.3%

8.0%

12.5%

EV @ Market Price / Cash EBITDA

6.6x

8.6x

6.1x

Unlevered Free Cash Flow / Enterprise Value

8.8%

6.2%

9.7%

Enterprise Value / Cash EBITDA

8.5x

11.1x

7.9x

With Illustrative Capacity Uplift:

Unlevered Free Cash Flow / EV @ Mkt

Price

17.4%

14.1%

18.6%

EV @ Market Price / Cash EBITDA

4.7x

5.6x

4.5x

Unlevered Free Cash Flow / Enterprise Value

13.5%

10.9%

14.4%

Enterprise Value / Cash EBITDA

6.0x

7.3x

5.7x

A BIG 4

ACCOUNTING FIRM

SEES FURTHER COST CUTTING

Seneca retained a Big Four accounting / consulting firm to analyze the potential cost cutting opportunity at Dynegy ( Big 4 Report )

Utilized various benchmarking techniques and publicly available information on Dynegy and industry peers in a Top Down

analysis (see appendix for fuller description of methodology)

Big

4

Report

identified

between

\$82mm

and

\$157mm

of

incremental

cost

cutting

potential

starting from 9/30/10 financials (12 months ending 9/30/10)

Big

4

Report

states

that

this

implies

an

additional

\$16mm

\$103mm

of

cost

savings

potential

on

top of the cost structure that Dynegy utilized in their proxy forecast; applying a 6x multiple yields

~\$1 -

\$5/share potential value from incremental cost cutting

10

Cost Reductions Could Provide Meaningful Value to Dynegy Equity

(\$ in millions)

~\$1-

\$5 / Share

Potential Value

From Addl.

Cost Cutting

(1)

Includes O&M Expense and SG&A Expense for the 12 months ending 9/30/10.

(2)

Base

Case

uses

average

of  
2013

2015  
O&M  
and  
SG&A  
costs,  
Stretch  
Case  
uses  
average  
of  
2012

-  
2015.  
Calculated  
as  
the  
difference  
between  
Gross  
Margin  
and  
Adjusted  
EBITDA

in  
Dynege s  
Merger  
Proxy.

Disclaimer:

Seneca  
Capital s  
beliefs  
regarding  
current  
and  
future  
value  
are  
based  
upon  
assumptions,  
including  
as  
to  
the  
future,  
that  
Seneca

Capital  
believes

to  
be  
reasonable,  
however,  
substantial  
risks  
and  
uncertainties  
exist

such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's forecasts (see page 2 for full disclaimer).

Disclaimer: Big 4 Report has been provided in preliminary form, and notes further investigation, validation and diligence is required to be  
established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and firm has not otherwise verified the information obtained.

Potential Incremental Cost Savings

Base Case

Stretch Case

Non-Fuel O&M

\$48

\$94

SG&A

\$28

\$51

Fuel Procurement

\$6

\$11

Total Potential Savings Identified by Big 4 Accounting Firm

\$82

\$157

12

Months

Ending

9/30/10

(Baseline

for

Big

4

Report)

(1)

\$631

Pro Forma Cost Base According to Big 4 Report

\$549

\$474

Average Cost Base Assumed in Proxy

(2)

\$566

\$577

Savings Potential Incremental to the Current Business Forecasts in proxy

\$16

\$103

VENTYX ANALYSIS DEMONSTRATES  
THE POWER OF PLANT RETIREMENTS

11  
New  
EPA  
Clean  
Air

Rules  
Are  
A  
Massive  
Potential  
Value  
Driver  
for  
Dynergy  
Seneca retained Ventyx (Energy Velocity) to analyze the impact of the EPA's potential  
HAPS/MACT  
rules  
on  
Dynergy's  
MISO/PJM  
coal  
and  
CCGT  
plants  
Ventyx  
used  
its  
Fall  
2010  
Reference  
Case  
and  
dynamic  
dispatch  
model  
(5)  
Reduced natural gas prices to match market prices as of January 5, 2011  
Modeled  
coal  
plant  
retirements  
based  
upon  
Credit  
Suisse  
mid-case  
(4)  
Ventyx  
provided  
plant-level  
EBITDA  
forecast  
through  
2020  
(5)



Seneca  
applied  
long-term  
DCF  
analysis  
to  
Ventyx  
plant  
EBITDA

Applied company disclosed assumptions on maintenance and environmental capital expenditures to arrive at implied \$/KW valuations above

(1)

Ventyx Case refers to Seneca application of long-term DCF to Ventyx plant EBITDA calculations.

(2)

See sum of the parts valuation on page 8.

(3)

Only includes Wood River 4-5 and assumes Hennepin and Vermillion 1-2 are retired.

(4)

See

Credit

Suisse

Report

Growth

From

Subtraction

dated

September

23,

2010

and

appendix

for

more

detail.

(5)

Ventyx plant-by-plant output and assumptions included in Appendix.

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future. Substantial risks and uncertainties exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's forecasts.

Net

\$/KW Valuation (DCF Based)

Uplift to Base Valuation

MWs

Ventyx

Case

(1)

Base Case

(2)

Difference

\$MMs

\$/Share

Scrubbed Coal

2,241

\$1,320

\$700

\$620

\$1,390

\$11.52

Unscrubbed

Coal

(3)

446

\$877

\$250

\$627

\$278

\$2.31

Kendall

1,200

\$557

\$500

\$57

\$68

\$0.56

Ontelaunee

580

\$867

\$800

\$67

\$39

\$0.32

Additional Upside Identified Above Base Case

\$1,775

~\$15.00

Base Case

(2)

\$7.50 -

\$8.50

Total

Dynegy

Value

Implied

in

Ventyx

Case

\$22.50 -

\$23.50

(-)

(=)

(=)

(x)

12  
WITH STEPS LEADING TO  
DYNEGY'S VALUE IN A RECOVERY

(1)

Ventyx

Case refers to Seneca application of long-term DCF to Ventyx plant EBITDA calculations.

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future substantial risks and uncertainties exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's forecasts (disclaimer regarding Big 4 Report).

Ventyx

MACT Analysis Exceeds Recovery Valuation Case

(1)

\$22.50 -

\$23.50

\$20.25

\$2.50

\$17.75

\$1.25

\$3.00

\$2.00

\$3.50

\$8.00

\$ 0

\$ 5

\$ 10

\$ 15

\$ 20

\$ 25

Base Valuation

CCGT Newbuild

Increased to

\$1,000/kw &

Market

Recovery

Accelerated

Delivered Coal

Cost Reduced

\$0.25/MMBTU

Versus Base

Case

Realization of

High End of Big

4 Accounting

Firm Cost

Savings

\$500mm Asset

Sale Proceeds

Applied to Debt

Reduction at

Current Market

Prices

Recovery

Valuation

Excluding

Improvement in

Natural Gas

Price Forecast

Gas Recovery

(\$1/mmbtu)

Recovery

Valuation

Ventyx

Case

Valuation

\$5.50/Share Tender Price

Dynegy's capital structure provides very significant flexibility, with limited secured debt and ample liquidity options. Unsecured debt has minimal covenant protections:

No limitation on asset sales

No limitation on restricted payments

No limitation on debt or lien incurrence

No change of control provisions

Facing this flexibility and lack of protections, Dynegy's unsecured debt trades in the market at a substantial discount to its face value:

13	
(\$ in millions)	
Amount	
Market	
Outstanding	
Value	
Discount	
Secured Debt (funded)	
\$68	
\$68	
\$0	
Sithe/Independence Bonds	
225	
225	
0	
Unsecured Debt	
3,462	
2,676	
786	
Subordinated Debt (SKIs)	
200	
97	
103	
Total	
\$3,955	
\$3,066	
\$889	
Discount per DYN Share	
\$7.37	

**A FLEXIBLE DEBT STRUCTURE  
MAKES ALL THE DIFFERENCE**

Dynegy's Flexible Capital Structure is A Major Differentiator Versus its Peers

Note: Market value based on Bloomberg prices as of 1/14/2011.

NATURAL GAS EXPOSURE IS  
SKEWED TO THE UPSIDE

14

Gas Price

Coal Price

Greater Profits

at Gas Plants



DYN Forward Gross Margins

Increased Despite Lower Gas Prices

90%

95%

100%

105%

110%

8/12

9/12

10/12

11/12

12/12

2012 CIN On-Peak is HIGHER

2012 NYMEX Gas

Forwards are Lower

2012 CAPP Coal is HIGHER

CIN On-Peak Driven Up By CAPP Coal Prices

Change in DYN Gross Margin due to Power/Gas Curve Shifts

\$ in millions, 12/31/10 vs. 8/12/10

(1)

2011 - 15

Coal Plants (3,514 MW)

\$14

Combined Cycle Gas Plants (4,404 MW)

109

Total

+123

vs.

Average Change in NYMEX Gas (\$ / MMBtu)

(0.26)

(1)

Based on commodity curves from BofA Merrill Lynch. See Appendix for detailed plant-by-plant assumptions.

Comparative Power Plant Economics (2012)

8/12/2010

12/31/2010

Kendall /

Coal Plant

Kendall /

Coal Plant

Ontelaunee

(CAPP)

Ontelaunee

(CAPP)

Fuel price

5.57

77.28

5.38

83.70

+/-

basis/transportation

0.08  
15.00  
0.08  
15.00  
Delivered price  
\$/MMBtu  
5.65  
\$/ton  
92.28  
\$/MMBtu  
5.46  
\$/MMBtu  
98.70  
\$/ MMBtu  
5.65  
3.85  
5.46  
4.11  
Heat rate  
7,250  
10,500  
7,250  
10,500  
Cost of fuel (\$/MWh)  
40.96  
40.37  
39.56  
43.18  
Cost  
Advantage  
Kendall  
/  
Ontelaunee  
vs.  
CAPP  
Coal  
Plant (\$ / MWh)  
3.62  
(0.58)

DYNEGY SHOULD BE A LEADING  
BENEFICIARY OF COAL RETIREMENTS

15

% Change in Equity Value from 100 bps change in EV/Replacement Cost Valuation

% Change in Equity Value from \$25/MW-Day Change in PJM RTO / MISO Surviving Capacity

(1)

5%  
10%  
15%  
20%  
25%  
DYN  
GEN  
NRG  
CPN  
(1)

Equals EBITDA uplift on unregulated capacity of CCGTs, scrubbed coal, nuclear and hydro in PJM RTO and MISO capitalization as of 1/14/2011. Dynegy market capitalization based on \$5.50 IEP offer price.

5.0%  
10.0%  
15.0%  
20.0%  
25.0%  
30.0%  
35.0%  
40.0%  
45.0%  
DYN  
AYE  
FE  
GEN  
AEE  
EXC  
EIX

PENDING CATALYSTS TO DRIVE  
MARKET SIGNALS

March 2011

HAPS/MACT RULE:

(1)

Under federal court consent decree, EPA is to issue a  
proposed rule as soon as March 2011 with a final rule as soon as

November 2011

May

2011

PJM

2014/15

RPM

AUCTION

:

Could

begin

to

illustrate

the

impact

of

HAPS/MACT rules as some generators will potentially signal costs

of environmental rules

Q2

2011

MISO

Capacity:

MISO

is

likely

to

file

detailed

plans

for

a

PJM-style

capacity

auction with FERC providing an important mechanism to measure EPA rule impacts

16

Given forward dark spreads, we expect that up to 10GW of older/inefficient coal capacity should be retired before the '14/'15 without accounting for EPA-driven retirements

-

Angie Storzynski, Macquarie 1/10/11

We

continue

to

predict

roughly

25,000

MWs

in

PJM

will

have

to

choose  
between  
investing  
in  
environmental  
equipment  
or  
retiring

[Modeling  
assumptions  
include]

Coal  
units  
under  
400MWs  
with  
no  
scrubber  
installed  
will  
be  
retired.

Also,  
early  
vintage  
scrubbers  
(built  
prior  
to 1985)

will not comply with upcoming EPA regulation.

We assume coal plant retirements from upcoming EPA rules; CATR and HAPS MACT will be phased in over a 3-year time with a 15% effect in the May 2011 auction, a 50% effect in the May 2012 auction, and a 100% effect in the May 2013 auction.

-  
Brian Chin, Citi  
Investment Research 1/18/11

MISO  
will  
likely  
file  
for  
a  
capacity  
auction  
at  
FERC  
in  
2Q,  
with  
an  
auction

in  
early  
13  
for  
the  
13/ 14  
delivery  
period  
At  
MISO,  
we  
primarily  
discussed the likelihood and timeframe for implementation of a formal capacity auction. While vertically integrated regulated utilities are  
relatively averse to the idea, MISO's  
initiative to develop a capacity auction stems from recent deliverability requirements demanded by FERC. As a  
consequence,  
MISO  
has  
indicated  
to  
FERC  
that  
it  
intends  
to  
make  
a  
formal  
tariff  
filing  
for  
a  
capacity  
auction  
by  
2Q11.  
The  
first  
auction  
is  
anticipated  
to  
take  
place  
in  
early  
2013,  
for  
delivery  
in



the  
2013/2014  
delivery  
year  
(June  
1st  
2013

May  
31st  
2014).

-

Julien

Dumoulin-Smith, UBS 12/23/10 (After a December trip that included a meeting with MISO)

(1)

See Appendix for additional detail on EPA HAPS/MACT rule.

\$7.00  
\$1.70  
\$0.29  
\$0.77  
\$0.31  
\$1.71  
\$2.78

\$1.00  
\$2.00  
\$3.00  
\$4.00  
\$5.00  
\$6.00  
\$7.00  
\$8.00  
\$9.00

Pre-Deal Stock Price

New Cost Cut

Disclosure Presented  
in Merger Proxy

Increase in Free Cash  
Flow Guidance Since  
Deal Announcement

Improvement in  
Commodity Prices  
Since Deal

Announcement

Friction Costs

Associated With

Failed Transaction

Uplift in Wall Street

View of Asset Value  
from NRG Bid

Total of Quantifiable  
Factors

~\$8/SH if Dynegy had traded in line with merchant peers since 3/12

DEFEAT OF TENDER SHOULD

REMOVE OVERHANG

17

Several materially positive developments since the stock was trading near its all time lows on  
August

12

th

-

in

addition

to

the

three

bids

for

the

company

by

two

different

parties

After

shareholders

rejected

Blackstone

bid

Dynegy

stock

has

been

resilient

despite

management's

dire

warning

that

shareholders

could

lose

significant

value

(2)

\$50mm cost cuts

disclosed in

merger proxy x 6 -

\$25mm costs to

achieve & 25%

discount

2010 FCF

guidance improved

\$38mm from 8/6 to

11/8

NPV of change

gross margin for

the 2011-15 period

from 8/12/10

through 12/31/10

Assumes \$35mm

costs related to

deal expenses,

and severance

Based on Citi

Investment

Research

valuation

(1)

Could be Substantial Shareholder Interest Once Tender is Defeated

(1)

Citi

Investment Research valuation of Dynegy dated February 25, 2010. Total plants sold to NRG valued at \$1,157mm and corres

(2)

Source: Dynegy October 2010 Investor Presentation.

Disclaimer:

Seneca

Capital s

beliefs

regarding

current

and

future

value

are

based

upon

assumptions,

including

as

to

the

future,

that

Seneca

Capital

believes

to

be

reasonable,

however,

substantial

risks

and

uncertainties

exist

such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital s forecasts (see page 2 for full disclaimer).

MARKET PARTICIPANTS HAVE  
BEGUN TO TAKE NOTICE

If shareholders reject the BX revised offer, we believe a newly composed board and new management will likely take a rational, long-term and creative approach to breaking up the company (potentially taking several years) and realize a value closer to our \$9 per share break-apart value.

-

Charles Fishman, Pritchard Capital Partners, 11/17/10

18

Icahn's recent proposal to refinance DYN's credit facilities under amended terms should assuage concerns over the liquidity impact of asset sales/maintenance covenants. Seneca also recently proposed an additional four new board members,

all

of

whom

have

highly

relevant

backgrounds,

including

a

former

senior

rail

executive

We

have

incorporated

DYN's latest disclosures into our projections, adjusting our EBITDA outlook and increasing our cash flow burn through 15 to \$2.3 Bn. In contrast, DYN's sizeable operational and financial leverage could push equity value to \$12/sh on a power recovery and debt restructuring to mrkt value.

-

Julien Dumoulin-Smith, UBS 11/16/10

I believe that, even at \$5.00 per share, the proposed Blackstone acquisition undervalues Dynegy. Today's \$.50 per share

increase,

coming

only

one

day

after

Blackstone

stated

that

the

\$4.50

price

is

a

full

and

fair

valuation

reassures

my

belief that the Dynegy/Blackstone transaction leaves too much shareholder value on the table for Blackstone. I have also considered that in a November 15, 2010 report JP Morgan stated that it is introducing a December 2011 price target of \$7, up from our prior December price target of \$5.00.

-  
Carl Icahn, 11/16/10 (In a statement filed with the SEC on a Schedule 13D/A)



REJECTING THE TENDER IS THE  
ENABLING EVENT

Do not tender your shares for \$5.50 / share

WRONG PRICE at the WRONG TIME for the WRONG REASONS

We believe Dynegy is worth \$7.50 -

\$8.50 per share today and \$18-\$20 per share

in a recovery valuation -

trading at less than 1/3 of its replacement value

Board rushed to sell the company BEFORE conducting promised careful standalone review

\$36mm management change of control severance payments and a Board that has purchased only 16,000 shares creates misalignment with shareholders

Dynegy is the premier vehicle to participate in a power market recovery with substantial value creation levers independent of commodity prices

Industry leading leverage to EPA-driven retirements (validated by Ventyx model)

Positive asymmetric exposure to natural gas

Flexible debt structure reflected in ~\$7/sh market value discount of debt

Big 4 Accounting Firm validated cost cutting potential

19

Defeat of Tender Can Enable Investors to Participate in Dynegy Value Creation

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future risks and uncertainties exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's forecasts (see page 2 of Report).

APPENDIX  
20

EPA CLEAN AIR RULES CAN  
CHANGE MARKET DYNAMICS

Upcoming EPA rules may force coal generators to either invest in expensive control technologies or shut down

Stringent Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Rules could require compliance as early as 2014

Environmental controls can be expensive and unlikely for many plants if

the current power price environment persists

(1)

Pursuant to the Midwest Consent Decree, Dynegy will have spent \$730 mm (out of a total of \$960 mm) of environmental capital expenditures for the Midwest fleet by the end of 2010 and will have substantially completed its environmental capital expenditure program by 2013.

(3)

21

(1)

Source

(including

for

the

table):

Credit

Suisse

report,

Growth

From

Subtraction

dated

September

23,

2010.

(2)

Ability of TrONA to meet compliance standards is still under discussion.

(3)

Source: Dynegy 2009 10-K and Merger Proxy for Proposed Blackstone Merger, page 55.

Install

Incremental

Fuel Type

Required Technology

Cost (\$/kw)

Cost (\$/MWh)

Eastern Coal

FGD + SCR

\$450 -

\$700

\$3 -

\$4

Western Coal

TrONA + Baghouse

(2)

\$150

\$5 -

\$6

**EPA RULES: HAZARDOUS AIR  
POLLUTANT HAPS/MACT**

The Clean Air Act requires the EPA to develop an emission control program for hazardous pollutants, including mercury and acid gases

The EPA is mandated pursuant to consent decree to draft a proposed Maximum Achievable Control Technology (HAPS/MACT) rule as soon as March 16, 2011 and to finalize it as soon as November 16, 2011

HAPS/MACT rule will apply to all existing and future coal and oil fired capacity  
HAPS/MACT requires achieving emissions levels as good as the average of the  
top 12% of existing representative sources

Credit Suisse estimates that for mercury emissions, this could require a 90% removal  
rate

Affected plants would have 3 years to comply (i.e., 2014 or 2015), assuming no  
case by case waivers or an exemption granted by the President

In

a

more

moderate

scenario,

the

EPA

could

propose

different

sets

of

standards

based on sub-categories, such as:

Size

Boiler pressure / temperature

Coal mix

No trading between plants

22

**CREDIT SUISSE MID-CASE FOR  
COAL PLANT RETIRMENTS**

According to Credit Suisse, 66% of the coal capacity in the US have NOT installed (or announced plans to install)



both  
scrubbers

and  
SCRs

and  
30%

have  
no

environmental  
controls at all

Smaller coal plants are less likely to invest in environmental controls as the capital cost is significantly

higher  
on

a  
\$

/  
kw

basis.  
More

than  
50

GW  
of

small  
coal

plants  
have

no  
environmental controls installed

Credit Suisse base case assumes that 60GW of coal capacity will be retired including all units below 300MW without any pollution control equipment

23

Coal Plant Capacity by Emission Control (Incl. Planned)

(1)

FGD &

FGD

SCR

Region

SCR

Only

Only

None

Total

CAISO

-

135

46

461

642

ERCOT

9,393

5,287

1,928

2,296

18,904

MISO

20,468

12,270

11,952

32,341

77,031

NEPOOL

1,343

214

666

652

2,875

NYISO

998

223

1,063

718

3,002

PJM

35,634

8,119

16,405

19,553

79,711

SPP

3,631

4,002

2,201

16,087

25,921

WECC

3,323

23,561

211

7,469

34,564

SERC

34,079

8,832

21,435

21,787

86,133

Other

5,940

2,331

2,318

1,448

12,037

114,809

64,974

58,225

102,812

340,820

Percent of Total

33.7%

19.1%

17.1%

30.2%

100.0%

Small (<300 MW) Coal Plant Capacity by Emission Control (Incl. Planned)

(1)

FGD &

FGD

SCR

Region

SCR

Only

Only

None

Total

CAISO

-

135

46

461

642

ERCOT

184

349

8

12

553

MISO

2,756

2,289

3,774

15,985

24,803

NEPOOL

355

214

666

252

1,486

NYISO

343

223

1,063

718

2,347

PJM

4,940

2,375

4,865

9,841

22,021

SPP

-

569

318

3,646

4,533

WECC

554

3,605

211

3,785

8,154

SERC

4,819

3,700

7,484

14,877

30,880

Other

1,090

409

251

1,008

2,757

15,040

13,867

18,685

50,584

98,176

Percent of Total

4.4%

4.1%

5.5%

14.8%

28.8%

(1)

Source: Credit Suisse report, Growth From Subtraction dated September 23, 2010.

24  
AND DYNEGY'S ASSETS ARE  
PRIMED TO BENEFIT  
(1)  
Assumptions  
used  
for

cost  
estimates  
are  
per  
Credit  
Suisse  
report Growth  
from  
Subtraction  
dated September 23, 2010.

Dynegy is extremely well positioned to benefit from EPA Clean Air rules given its substantial investment in pollution control equipment

Its largest, most efficient plants will have been scrubbed

We estimate pollution control equipment for Dynegy's coal fleet will have a replacement value of more than \$1.7bn upon completion

A  
MAJOR  
COMPETITIVE  
ADVANTAGE

Source:  
Dynegy  
Investor  
Presentation,  
Q2 10

**BIG 4 ACCOUNTING FIRM  
COST STUDY**

A Big 4 accounting firm analyzed Dynegy's public data to determine a baseline level of costs, for which they utilized Dynegy's LTM financial results as of Sept. 30, 2010

O&M Costs

SG&A Costs

Cost of sales / fuel procurement

Analyzed public disclosures of selected relevant public comparable companies to determine industry benchmarks for each cost category

GenOn, NRG Energy, Calpine, Constellation, AES and Public Service Enterprise Group

Compared Dynegy results to comparable company benchmarks in order to determine where cost savings

would

be

possible

within

Dynegy

relative

to

its

baseline

cost

level

in

a

base

case

and

in

a

stretch case

Reviewed financial forecasts from Dynegy's preliminary proxy statement (January 10, 2011) to determine cost levels assumed by management, including cost savings plans already announced and being implemented by management

Used gross margin less Adjusted EBITDA as a proxy for SG&A + O&M

costs

Compared baseline level of costs and total savings potential to 2012-2015 forecast period and 2013-2015 forecast period costs to determine incremental savings potential for Dynegy for 2011+

\$16 mm of potential incremental savings in base case

\$103 mm of potential incremental savings in stretch case

25

Disclaimer: Big 4 Report has been provided in preliminary form, and notes further investigation, validation and diligence is required. This report is not intended to be used as a substitute for an audit, examination or review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The auditors have not verified the information obtained or presented in their report.



**VENTYX POWER ANALYSIS**

Ventyx (Energy Velocity) calculated a dynamic plant-by-plant fundamental production cost model based on their Fall Reference Case that integrates commodity price assumptions, resource additions and retirements

Load forecast based on utility filings

Build-out of all known and reasonably foreseeable transmission projects

Renewable additions to meet existing state standards

MISO and PJM capacity payments reach Cost of New Entry assumptions when reserve margins drop below 15%

Coal and transportation costs are based on Ventyx Coal Sub-Module that utilizes a least cost algorithm that includes variable cost of coal producers and different modes of transport

Natural gas prices based upon NYMEX strip as of January 5, 2011

Plant-level assumptions for heat rates, capacity factors and O&M are based on

Ventyx's Energy Velocity database (based on FERC data where available)

Applied Credit Suisse Mid-Case

(1)

(60GW of retirements) MACT retirement assumptions

Retirement of coal fired units smaller than 300MW without environmental controls

Compliance with all other existing environmental legislation (CATR, RGGI, EPA Tailoring Rule, etc.)

26

(1)

Source: Credit Suisse report, "Growth From Subtraction" dated September 23, 2010.

VENTYX PLANT MARGIN

RESULTS

27

Year

2011

2012

2013

2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020

Assumption Summary

NYMEX Gas

\$4.57  
\$5.00  
\$5.24  
\$5.44  
\$5.64  
\$5.83  
\$6.00  
\$6.19  
\$6.37  
\$6.56

CIN ATC Power

\$33.10  
\$36.09  
\$40.07  
\$42.41  
\$47.37  
\$51.77  
\$53.18  
\$55.08  
\$56.75  
\$58.38

PJM ATC Power

\$33.27  
\$36.11  
\$40.04  
\$42.49  
\$47.28  
\$51.41  
\$52.92  
\$55.18  
\$56.87  
\$58.63

Baldwin

MWs

1,784  
1,784  
1,784  
1,784  
1,784  
1,784  
1,784

1,784

1,784

1,784

GWh

11,814

11,812

11,813

11,811

11,814

11,825

11,825

11,850

11,862

11,874

Energy Revenue

\$383

\$406

\$449

\$491

\$553

\$609

\$628

\$654

\$675

\$697

Capacity Revenue

\$3

\$18

\$18

\$200

\$208

\$210

\$213

\$213

\$215

Fuel Costs

(\$164)

(\$168)

(\$174)

(\$214)

(\$219)

(\$234)

(\$245)

(\$253)

(\$266)

(\$273)

O&M Costs

(\$65)

(\$68)

(\$70)

(\$73)

(\$74)

(\$76)

(\$78)

(\$80)

(\$82)

(\$84)

EBITDA

\$153

\$173

\$223

\$222

\$460

\$507

\$515

\$533

\$541

\$554

Havana 6

MWs

441

441

441

441

441

441

441

441

441

441

GWh

2,872

2,839

2,825

2,858

2,869

2,878

2,878

2,884

2,887

2,890

Energy Revenue

\$93

\$98

\$108

\$119

\$135

\$148

\$153

\$159  
\$164  
\$170  
Capacity Revenue

\$1  
\$4  
\$4  
\$49  
\$52  
\$52  
\$53  
\$53  
\$53  
Fuel Costs

(\$46)  
(\$47)  
(\$51)  
(\$54)  
(\$56)  
(\$59)  
(\$62)  
(\$64)  
(\$67)  
(\$69)  
O&M Costs

(\$17)  
(\$19)  
(\$18)  
(\$18)  
(\$19)  
(\$19)  
(\$20)  
(\$20)  
(\$21)  
(\$21)

EBITDA  
\$30  
\$32  
\$43  
\$51  
\$110  
\$121  
\$123  
\$127  
\$129  
\$132

VENTYX PLANT MARGIN  
RESULTS (CONT'D)

28

Year

2011

2012

2013



2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020

Wood River 4-5

MWs

444  
444  
360  
360  
360  
360  
360  
360  
360  
360  
360

GWh

2,904  
2,871  
2,353  
2,377  
2,377  
2,380  
2,380  
2,384  
2,387  
2,389

Energy Revenue

\$94  
\$100  
\$90  
\$99  
\$111  
\$122  
\$126  
\$132  
\$136  
\$140

Capacity Revenue

\$1  
\$4  
\$4  
\$40  
\$42  
\$42  
\$43

\$43  
\$43  
Fuel Costs  
(\$50)  
(\$51)  
(\$41)  
(\$42)  
(\$43)  
(\$45)  
(\$47)  
(\$48)  
(\$51)  
(\$52)  
O&M Costs  
(\$17)  
(\$19)  
(\$16)  
(\$17)  
(\$17)  
(\$18)  
(\$18)  
(\$19)  
(\$19)  
(\$20)  
EBITDA  
\$28  
\$30  
\$36  
\$44  
\$91  
\$102  
\$104  
\$107  
\$109  
\$112  
Kendall  
MWs  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
1,224  
GWh  
670  
624

903  
934  
1,217  
1,389  
1,321  
1,213  
1,220  
1,174  
Energy Revenue  
\$38  
\$40  
\$68  
\$71  
\$97  
\$122  
\$119  
\$117  
\$120  
\$119  
Capacity Revenue  
\$78  
\$49  
\$12  
\$12  
\$61  
\$109  
\$117  
\$118  
\$116  
\$123  
Fuel Costs  
(\$24)  
(\$24)  
(\$36)  
(\$39)  
(\$53)  
(\$62)  
(\$60)  
(\$58)  
(\$60)  
(\$59)  
O&M Costs  
(\$18)  
(\$18)  
(\$19)  
(\$20)  
(\$21)  
(\$22)  
(\$22)  
(\$22)

(\$23)

(\$24)

EBITDA

\$74

\$47

\$25

\$24

\$85

\$147

\$154

\$155

\$153

\$160

Ontelaunee

MWs

574

574

574

574

574

574

574

574

574

574

GWh

2,600

2,767

2,839

2,732

2,615

2,606

2,596

2,612

2,679

2,628

Energy Revenue

\$122

\$147

\$169

\$145

\$149

\$159

\$162

\$167

\$174

\$175

Capacity Revenue

\$37

\$23

\$47  
\$47  
\$51  
\$54  
\$55  
\$58  
\$61  
\$64  
Fuel Costs  
(\$89)  
(\$104)  
(\$111)  
(\$111)  
(\$110)  
(\$113)  
(\$116)  
(\$120)  
(\$127)  
(\$128)  
O&M Costs  
(\$13)  
(\$14)  
(\$15)  
(\$15)  
(\$15)  
(\$15)  
(\$15)  
(\$15)  
(\$16)  
(\$16)  
(\$17)  
EBITDA  
\$56  
\$52  
\$90  
\$67  
\$75  
\$85  
\$86  
\$89  
\$92  
\$94

#### VENTYX BIOGRAPHY

Ventyx is a business solutions provider offering software, data and advisory services to energy, utility, communications, and other asset-intensive commercial industries. Ventyx was formed in 2007 by the merger of MDSI and Indus International and the acquisition of Global Energy Decisions and New Energy Associates during that same year. Each of these companies traces their roots to the 1970 s and have been providing clients in the energy sector with energy

planning, decision support, energy trading and operations solutions. In 2010 Ventyx was purchased by ABB, a global leader in power and automation technologies.

The Ventyx Advisory Services Practice, which performed part of the analysis in this presentation, traces its roots to the legacy Global Energy Decisions and New Energy Associates companies. The keystone product of the Ventyx Advisors Practice is the Ventyx Reference Case which is a semi-annual forecast of North American power and fuel markets. The Ventyx reference case is utilized by utilities, power generators, investment bankers and market regulators as an independent view of the current and expected state of power and fuel markets. Over the lifetime of this product offering the Ventyx reference case has become widely recognized with a large subscriber base.

29

30

**KEY DCF VALUATION  
ASSUMPTIONS**

All DCF-based valuation metrics used in the Sum Of the Parts valuation on page 8 and elsewhere in this presentation included the following assumptions:

Capacity factors based on historical plant-by-plant data and management guidance from public presentations



Strip gas prices through 2015 as of 10/29/10 with a flat \$6 long-term natural gas price thereafter

Long-term CAPP coal of \$70/ton and PRB of \$12.50/ton

Increased coal transportation costs upon contract expiration

Near

term

MISO

power

prices

set

by

natural

gas

approximately

15%

of

the

time

until

equilibrium at which point gas sets MISO power prices for all on-peak hours

Dynegy unscrubbed coal plants retired in 2015

Baldwin plant-to-hub basis normalized in 2014 as a result of plant shutdowns

\$850/kw

CCGT

and

\$625/kw

peaker

newbuild

economics

by

2016

-

2018

driven

by

plant

retirements from POTENTIAL EPA HAPS/MACT rules

10% WACC on unlevered cash flows

Taxes calculated on a corporate level

All relevant capital expenditures (including environmental expenditures) included in DCFs on

an NPV basis

Plant by plant model is calibrated to tie to 2010 guidance and therefore incorporates all

SG&A costs (including regional overhead expenses)

Disclaimer: Seneca Capital's beliefs regarding current and future value are based upon assumptions, including as to the future

however, substantial risks and uncertainties exist such that actual performance may deviate materially from Seneca Capital's f

ASSUMPTIONS FOR ESTIMATING  
CHANGE IN FORWARD GROSS MARGINS

31  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014

2015

Capacity (MW)

Midwest Coal

3,144

2,980

2,980

2,687

2,687

Danskammer

370

370

370

370

370

Ontelaunee

580

580

580

580

580

Kendall

1,200

1,200

1,200

1,200

1,200

Casco Bay

540

540

540

540

540

Independence

1,064

1,064

1,064

1,064

1,064

Moss Landing 1&2

1,020

1,020

1,020

1,020

1,020

Capacity Factor

Midwest Coal

85.0%

85.0%

85.0%

85.0%

85.0%

Danskammer

85.0%

85.0%

85.0%

85.0%

85.0%

Ontelaunee

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

Kendall

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

Casco Bay

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

Independence

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

47.6%

Moss Landing 1&2

57.1%

57.1%

57.1%

57.1%

57.1%

DYN Hedge Assumption

Midwest Coal

100%

15%

Danskammer

100%

40%

Ontelaunee

100%

15%

Kendall

100%

23%

23%

23%

23%

Casco Bay

100%

40%

Independence

100%

70%

70%

64%

Moss Landing 1&2

100%

50%

ASSUMPTIONS FOR ESTIMATING  
CHANGE IN FORWARD GROSS MARGINS

32  
(1)  
2011  
2012  
2013

2014

2015

Power Price / Spark Spread (\$/MWh as of 8/12/2010)

Midwest Coal

\$32.05

\$34.21

\$36.97

\$40.37

\$44.09

Danskammer

\$48.42

\$50.30

\$53.16

\$56.40

\$58.68

Ontelaunee

\$18.03

\$17.47

\$18.65

\$20.17

\$22.90

Kendall

\$1.57

\$0.39

\$0.56

\$1.65

\$3.94

Casco Bay

\$8.37

\$8.80

\$10.18

\$12.28

\$14.75

Independence

(\$0.26)

(\$2.02)

(\$1.84)

(\$3.25)

(\$1.69)

Moss Landing 1&2

\$8.03

\$10.01

\$11.68

\$15.21

\$16.20

Power Price / Spark Spread (\$/MWh as of 12/31/2010)

Midwest Coal

\$32.39

\$35.04

\$37.82

\$40.78

\$43.29

Danskammer

\$49.02

\$50.53

\$52.58

\$54.41

\$56.37

Ontelaunee

\$20.58

\$20.60

\$21.54

\$22.40

\$23.97

Kendall

\$3.48

\$2.38

\$2.91

\$4.15

\$5.28

Casco Bay

\$10.62

\$9.97

\$10.54

\$11.20

\$11.81

Independence

\$2.46

\$1.99

\$2.33

\$2.60

\$2.77

Moss Landing 1&2

\$8.69

\$16.33

\$20.68

\$21.43

\$22.18

Change in Gross Margin (\$ mm)

Midwest Coal

\$16

\$19

\$8

(\$16)

Danskammer

\$0

(\$2)

(\$5)



(\$6)  
Ontelaunee

\$6  
\$7  
\$5  
\$3

Kendall

\$8  
\$9  
\$10  
\$5

Casco Bay

\$2  
\$1  
(\$2)  
(\$7)

Independence

\$5  
\$6  
\$9  
\$20

Moss Landing 1&2

\$3  
\$8  
\$6  
\$5  
(1)

Assumes that DYN captures 18% of the spark spread improvement in 2012+ due to requirement to purchase carbon credits.