

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP

Form 10-K

February 24, 2010

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-13782

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

25-1615902
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

1001 Air Brake Avenue
Wilmerding, Pennsylvania 15148
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(412) 825-1000
(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Class | Name of Exchange on which registered |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files) Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes No .

The registrant estimates that as of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the voting shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$1.4 billion based on the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for such stock.

As of February 22, 2010, 47,619,699 shares of Common Stock of the registrant were issued and outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2010 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 11 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 16 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 17 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 18 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 18 19 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 20 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 22 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 23 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 37 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 38 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 38 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 38 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 39 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 40 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 40 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 40 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 40 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 40 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 41 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation, doing business as Wabtec Corporation, is a Delaware corporation with headquarters at 1001 Air Brake Avenue in Wilmerding, Pennsylvania. Our telephone number is 412-825-1000, and our website is located at www.wabtec.com. All references to we, our, us, the Company and Wabtec refer to Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries. Westinghouse Air Brake Company (WABCO) was formed in 1990 when it acquired certain assets and operations from American Standard, Inc., now known as Trane (Trane). In 1999, WABCO merged with MotivePower Industries, Inc. (MotivePower) and adopted the name Wabtec.

Today, Wabtec is one of the world's largest providers of value-added, technology-based equipment and services for the global rail industry. We believe we hold approximately a 50% market share in North America for our primary braking-related equipment and a leading position in North America for most of our other product lines. Our highly engineered products, which are intended to enhance safety, improve productivity and reduce maintenance costs for customers, can be found on virtually all U.S. locomotives, freight cars, subway cars and buses. In 2009, the Company had sales of about \$1.4 billion and net income of about \$115.1 million. In 2009 sales of aftermarket parts and services represented about 54% of total sales, while sales to customers outside of the U.S. accounted for about 40% of total sales.

Industry Overview

The Company primarily serves the worldwide freight rail and passenger transit industries. As such, our operating results are largely dependent on the level of activity, financial condition and capital spending plans of the global railroad and transit industries. Many factors influence these industries, including general economic conditions; rail traffic, as measured by freight tonnage and passenger ridership; government spending on public transportation; and investment in new technologies by freight rail and passenger transit systems.

According to a 2008 study by UNIFE, the Association of the European Rail Industry, the global market for railway products and services is more than \$100.0 billion. The three largest markets, which represent about 85% of the total market, are Europe, North America and Asia-Pacific. Over the next decade, Asia-Pacific is expected to be the fastest-growing region and to surpass the North American market.

In North America, railroads carry about 43% of intercity freight, as measured by ton-miles, which is more than any other mode of transportation. They are an integral part of the continent's economy and transportation system, serving nearly every industrial, wholesale and retail sector. Through direct ownership and operating partnerships, U.S. railroads are part of an integrated network that includes railroads in Canada and Mexico, forming what is regarded as the world's most-efficient and lowest-cost freight rail service. There are more than 500 railroads operating in North America, with the largest railroads, referred to as Class I, accounting for more than 90% of the industry's revenues. Although the railroads carry a wide variety of commodities and goods, coal is the single-largest item, representing about 40% of carloadings in 2009. Intermodal traffic—the movement of trailers or containers by rail in combination with another mode of transportation—has been the railroads' fastest-growing market segment in the past 10 years. Railroads operate in a competitive environment, especially with the trucking industry, and are always seeking ways to improve safety, cost and reliability. New technologies offered by Wabtec and others in the industry can provide some of these benefits.

Demand for our freight related products and services in North America are driven by a number of factors, including:

Rail traffic. The Association of American Railroads (AAR) compiles statistics that gauge the level of activity in the freight rail industry. Two important statistics are revenue ton-miles and

Table of Contents

carloadings, which are generally referred to as rail traffic. In 2009, revenue ton-miles decreased 15.1% and carloadings decreased 16.1% as rail traffic was negatively impacted by the economic recession in the U.S. Although rail traffic was down for the year, revenue ton-miles increased 6% and carloadings increased 3% in the second half of the year, compared to the first half of the year, reflecting some improvement in the overall economy.

Demand for new locomotives. Currently, the active locomotive fleet for Class I railroads in North America is about 24,000 units. The average number of new locomotives delivered over the past 10 years was about 1,100 annually. In 2009, about 700 new, heavy-haul locomotives were delivered, compared to about 1,500 in 2008.

Demand for new freight cars. Currently, the active freight car fleet in North America is about 1.4 million. The average number of new freight cars delivered over the past 10 years was about 47,000 annually. In 2009, about 21,000 new freight cars were delivered, compared to about 60,000 cars in 2008.

In the U.S., the passenger transit industry is dependent largely on funding from federal, state and local governments, and from fare box revenues. With about 40% of the nation's passenger transit vehicles, the New York City region is the largest passenger transit market in the U.S., but most major cities also offer either rail or bus transit services.

Demand for North American passenger transit products is driven by a number of factors, including:

Government funding. The U.S. federal government provides money to local transit authorities, primarily to fund the purchase of new equipment and infrastructure for their transit systems. Under a multi-year spending bill known as SAFETEA-LU, federal government funding has increased on average by 6-8% annually since 2005. Due in part to this increased government funding, the number of cars delivered in 2009 remained well above the ten year average, at about 1,300, compared to 1,569 in 2008; and the number of new buses delivered in 2009 increased to about 5,800, compared to about 5,100 in 2008. In the past 10 years, the average number of new transit cars delivered is about 600, and the average number of new buses delivered is about 4,800. SAFETEA-LU expired in September 2009 but funding has been maintained at current levels until a new bill is completed. In February 2009, the U.S. federal government passed additional spending legislation designed to stimulate the U.S. economy. Of the \$789 billion spending package, up to \$20 billion is to be spent on freight and passenger transportation, as follows: \$8.4 billion for public transportation, \$8.0 billion for high-speed rail, \$1.5 billion for discretionary intermodal projects, and \$1.3 billion for AMTRAK. Wabtec expects to benefit from this additional spending, as transit authorities invest in expansion, new equipment and other related projects.

Ridership. Ridership provides fare box revenues to transit authorities, which use these funds, along with state and local money, primarily for equipment and system maintenance. Based on preliminary figures from the American Public Transportation Association, ridership on U.S. transit vehicles decreased about 4% in 2009, mainly due to the economic recession. Prior to 2009, ridership had increased for six consecutive years.

Outside of North America, many of the rail systems have historically been focused on passenger transit, rather than freight. In recent years, however, railroads in countries such as Australia, India and China have been investing capital to expand and improve both their freight and passenger rail systems. Throughout the world, some government-owned railroads are being sold to private owners, who often look to improve the efficiency of the rail system by investing in new equipment and new technologies. These investment programs represent opportunities for Wabtec to provide products and services.

In Europe, the majority of the rail system serves the passenger transit market, which is expected to continue growing as high fuel costs and environmental factors encourage investment in public mass transit. France,

Table of Contents

Germany, the United Kingdom and Italy are the largest transit markets, representing about two-thirds of passenger traffic in the European Union. About 75% of freight traffic in Europe is hauled by truck, while rail accounts for about 20%. The largest freight markets in Europe are Germany, Poland and the United Kingdom. According to UNIFE, the European market consists of about 33,000 locomotives, about 700,000 freight cars and about 150,000 passenger transit cars. In recent years, the European market purchased on average about 1,300 new locomotives, about 1,000 new freight cars and about 7,500 new passenger transit cars annually.

The Asia/Pacific market is expected to be the second-largest geographic segment by 2016, according to the UNIFE study. Growth is expected to be driven by the continued urbanization of countries such as China and India, and by investment in freight rail infrastructure to serve the mining and natural resources markets in those countries, as well as in Australia. According to UNIFE, this market consists of about 34,000 locomotives and about 1.0 million freight cars. In recent years, China has embarked on a major rail capital investment program, and is expected to spend a record amount of about \$120 billion in 2010.

Business Segments and Products

We provide our products and services through two principal business segments, the Freight Group and the Transit Group, both of which have different market characteristics and business drivers.

The Freight Group primarily manufactures and services components for new and existing freight cars and locomotives, builds new switcher locomotives, rebuilds freight locomotives and provides related heat exchange and cooling systems. Customers include large, publicly traded railroads, leasing companies, manufacturers of original equipment such as locomotives and freight cars and utilities. As discussed previously, demand in the freight market is primarily driven by rail traffic, and deliveries of new locomotives and freight cars. In 2009, the Freight Group accounted for 42% of our total sales, with about two-thirds of its sales in North America and the remainder to International customers. About two-thirds of the Freight Group's sales are in the aftermarket and the remainder in the original equipment market.

The Transit Group primarily manufactures and services components for new and existing passenger transit vehicles, typically subway cars and buses, builds new commuter locomotives and refurbishes subway cars. Customers include public transit authorities and municipalities, leasing companies and manufacturers of subway cars and buses around the world. As discussed previously, demand in the transit market is primarily driven by government funding at all levels and passenger ridership. In 2009, the Transit Group accounted for 58% of our total sales, with about half of its sales in North America and the remainder to International customers and about half in the aftermarket and half in the original equipment market.

Following is a summary of our leading product lines across both of our business segments:

Railway braking equipment and related components

Freight car truck components and undercarriage components

Draft gears, couplers and slack adjusters

Air compressors and dryers

Positive train control equipment and electronically controlled pneumatic braking products

Railway electronics, including event recorders, monitoring equipment and end of train devices

Friction products, including brake shoes and pads

Rail and bus door assemblies

Accessibility lifts and ramps for buses and subway cars

5

Table of Contents

Heat exchangers and cooling products for locomotives and power generation equipment

Commuter and switcher locomotives

Transit car and locomotive overhauls

We have become a leader in the rail industry by capitalizing on the strength of our existing products, technological capabilities and new product innovation, and by our ability to harden products to protect them from severe conditions, including extreme temperatures and high-vibration environments. Over the past several years, we introduced a number of significant new products including electronic braking equipment and train control equipment that encompasses onboard digital data and global positioning communication protocols. In 2007, for example, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) approved the use of our Electronic Train Management System[®], which offers safety benefits to the rail industry. In 2008, the U.S. federal government enacted a rail safety bill that mandates the use of positive train control technology on a majority of the locomotives and track in the U.S. by December 31, 2015. As the only supplier with a train control product that has received FRA approval, Wabtec is working with the railroads and transit authorities to implement this technology. Supported by our technical staff of over 475 engineers and specialists, we have extensive experience in a broad range of product lines, which enables us to provide comprehensive, systems-based solutions for our customers. We currently own over 1,250 active patents worldwide and over 500 U.S. patents. During the last three years, we have filed for more than 350 patents worldwide in support of our new and evolving product lines.

For additional information on our business segments, see Note 20 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Competitive Strengths

Our key strengths include:

Leading market positions in core products. Dating back to 1869 and George Westinghouse's invention of the air brake; we are an established leader in the development and manufacture of pneumatic braking equipment for freight and passenger transit vehicles. We have leveraged our leading position by focusing on research and engineering to expand beyond pneumatic braking components to supplying integrated parts and assemblies for the locomotive through the end of the train. We are a recognized leader in the development and production of electronic recording, measuring and communications systems, highly engineered compressors and heat exchangers for locomotives and a leading manufacturer of freight car components, including electronic braking equipment, draft gears, trucks, brake shoes and electronic end-of-train devices. We are also the leading manufacturer of commuter locomotives and a leading provider of braking equipment, door assemblies, lifts and ramps, and couplers for passenger transit vehicles.

Breadth of product offering with a stable mix of original equipment market (OEM) and aftermarket business. Our product portfolio is one of the broadest in the rail industry, as we offer a wide selection of quality parts, components and assemblies across the entire train. We believe this comprehensive product offering enables us to leverage our installed base to maintain our leadership position with OEMs and the Class I railroads. We provide our products in both the original equipment market and the aftermarket. Our substantial installed base of products with end-users such as the railroads and the passenger transit authorities is a significant competitive advantage for providing products and services to the aftermarket because these customers often look to purchase safety and performance-related replacement parts from the original equipment components supplier. In addition, as OEMs and Class I railroad operators attempt to modernize fleets with new products designed to improve and maintain safety and efficiency, these products must be designed to be interoperable with existing equipment. Over the last several years, more than 50% of our total net sales have come from our aftermarket products and services business.

Table of Contents

Leading design and engineering capabilities. We believe a hallmark of our relationship with our customers has been our leading design and engineering practice, which has, in our opinion, assisted in the improvement and modernization of global railway equipment. We believe both our customers and the government authorities value our technological capabilities and commitment to innovation, as we seek not only to enhance the efficiency and profitability of our customers, but also to improve the overall safety of the railroads through continuous improvement of product performance. The Company has an established record of product improvements and new product development. We have assembled a wide range of patented products, which we believe provides us with a competitive advantage. Wabtec currently owns over 1,250 active patents worldwide and over 500 U.S. patents. During the last three years, we have filed for more than 350 patents worldwide in support of our new and evolving product lines.

Experience with industry regulatory requirements. The U.S. rail industry is governed by the AAR and by the FRA. These groups mandate rigorous manufacturer certification and new product testing and approval processes that we believe are difficult for new entrants to meet cost-effectively and efficiently without the scale and extensive experience we possess.

Experienced management team and the Wabtec Performance System. Our executive management team has over 50 years of combined experience with the Company and has implemented numerous initiatives that enable us to manage successfully through cycles in the rail supply market. For example, the Wabtec Performance System (WPS), an ongoing program that focuses on lean manufacturing principles and continuous improvement across all aspects of our business, has been a part of the company's culture for more than 20 years. As a result, our management team has improved our cost structure, operating leverage and financial flexibility and placed the Company in an excellent position to benefit from growth opportunities.

Business strategy

Using WPS, we strive to generate sufficient cash to invest in our growth strategies and to build on what we consider to be a leading position as a low-cost producer in the industry while maintaining world-class product quality, technology and customer responsiveness. Through WPS and employee-directed initiatives such as Kaizen, a Japanese-developed team concept, we strive to improve quality, delivery and productivity continuously, and to reduce costs. These efforts enable us to streamline processes, improve product reliability and customer satisfaction, reduce product cycle times and respond more rapidly to market developments. Over time, these lean initiatives have enabled us to increase operating margins, improve cash flow and strengthen our ability to invest in the following growth strategies:

Expand globally and into new product markets. We believe that international markets represent a significant opportunity for future growth. In 2009, sales to non-U.S. customers were \$563.4 million, including export sales from the Company's U.S. operations of \$241.3 million. We intend to increase our existing international sales through strategic acquisitions, direct sales of products through our existing subsidiaries and licensees, and joint ventures with railway suppliers which have a strong presence in their local markets. We are specifically targeting markets that operate significant fleets of U.S.-style locomotives and freight cars, including Australia, China, India, Russia, South Africa, and select areas within Europe and South America. In addition, we have opportunities to sell certain products that we currently manufacture for the rail industry into other industrial markets, such as mining, off-highway and energy. These products include heat exchangers and friction materials.

Expand aftermarket sales. Historically, aftermarket sales are less cyclical than OEM sales because a certain level of aftermarket maintenance and service work must be performed, even during an industry slowdown. In 2009, Wabtec's aftermarket sales and services represented approximately 54% of the Company's total sales. Wabtec provides aftermarket parts and services for its components, and the Company is seeking to expand this business with new customers such as short-line and regional railroads, or with customers who currently perform the work in-house. In this way, we expect to take

Table of Contents

advantage of the rail industry trend toward outsourcing, as railroads and transit authorities focus on their core function of transporting goods and people, rather than maintaining and servicing their equipment.

Accelerate new product development. We continue to emphasize research and development funding to create new and improved products. We are focusing on technological advances, especially in the areas of electronics, braking products and other on-board equipment, as a means of new product growth. We seek to provide customers with incremental technological advances that offer immediate benefits with cost-effective investments. In 2008, the U.S. federal government enacted a rail safety bill that mandates the use of positive train control technology on a majority of the locomotives and track in the U.S. by December 31, 2015. As the only supplier with a train control product that has received FRA approval, Wabtec is working with the railroads and transit authorities to implement this technology.

Seek acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances. We are exploring acquisition, joint venture and alliance opportunities using a disciplined, selective approach and rigorous financial criteria. We seek companies that will help Wabtec to grow profitably and expand geographically, while helping to dampen any impact from potential cycles in the North American rail industry. In 2009, Wabtec acquired Unifin, a leading manufacturer of cooling systems and related equipment for the power generation and transmission industry.

Recent Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

Wabtec has completed certain acquisitions in support of its growth strategies. On October 1, 2009, the Company used cash to acquire Unifin International LP, and its affiliate, Cardinal Pumps and Exchangers, Inc. (Unifin), a manufacturer of cooling systems and related equipment for the power generation and transmission industry for a net purchase price of \$92.9 million.

In December 2008, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of Standard Car Truck Company (SCT), for \$302.6 million, net of cash. SCT is a manufacturer and designer of stabilization systems for freight cars, including engineered truck (undercarriage) components such as springs, friction wedges and wear plates. Its Barber® brand truck design is used throughout the world and holds a leading share of the North American market. The company also manufactures and services locomotives components, including compressors and pumps. In October 2008, the Company acquired certain assets related to the development, sale, service, and maintenance of software programs used in train management systems for \$4.5 million. In June 2008, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of POLI S.p.A. (POLI) for 55.2 million (\$87.0 million), net of cash received. POLI is a European-based manufacturer of rail braking equipment including brake discs for high-speed applications, as well as tread brake units and pneumatic brake valves that meet International Union of Railways (UIC) standards.

In June 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of Ricon Corporation (Ricon), a manufacturer of a variety of electro-mechanical wheelchair lifts and ramps and anti-graffiti windows for \$73.6 million.

During 2008, Wabtec expanded its presence in key geographic areas. Wabtec acquired the majority of Beijing Wabtec Huaxia Technology Company, Ltd, which manufactures friction products for the freight car market and invested in a joint venture in China to manufacture other braking-related components. In addition, the Company formed a joint venture in South Africa to manufacture friction products.

Backlog

The Company's backlog was about \$951 million at December 31, 2009.

In 2009, about 54% of our sales came from aftermarket orders, which typically carry lead times of less than 30 days, so they are not recorded in backlog for a significant period of time. As such, the Company's backlog is mostly an indicator of future original equipment sales, primarily for the Transit Group, and not aftermarket sales.

Table of Contents

The Company's contracts are subject to standard industry cancellation provisions, including cancellations on short notice or upon completion of designated stages. Substantial scope-of-work adjustments are common. For these and other reasons, completion of the Company's backlog may be delayed or cancelled. The railroad industry, in general, has historically been subject to fluctuations due to overall economic conditions and the level of use of alternative modes of transportation.

The backlog of firm customer orders as of December 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, and the expected year of completion are as follows:

| In thousands | Total Backlog 12/31/09 | Expected Delivery 2010 | Other Years | Total Backlog 12/31/08 | Expected Delivery 2009 | Other Years |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Freight Group | \$ 211,339 | \$ 126,177 | \$ 85,162 | \$ 195,717 | \$ 148,617 | \$ 47,100 |
| Transit Group | 739,330 | 425,517 | 313,813 | 865,005 | 417,014 | 447,991 |
| Total | \$ 950,669 | \$ 551,694 | \$ 398,975 | \$ 1,060,722 | \$ 565,631 | \$ 495,091 |

Engineering and Development

To execute our strategy to develop new products, we invest in a variety of engineering and development activities. For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, we invested about \$42.4 million, \$39.0 million and \$37.4 million, respectively, on product development and improvement activities. Sometimes we conduct specific research projects in conjunction with universities, customers and other railroad product suppliers.

Our engineering and development program is largely focused upon train control and new braking technologies, with an emphasis on applying electronics to traditional pneumatic equipment. Electronic braking has been used in the transit industry for years, and freight railroads are beginning to conduct pilot programs to test its reliability and benefits. Freight railroads have generally been slower to accept the technology due to issues over interoperability, connectivity and durability. We are proceeding with efforts to enhance the major components for existing hard-wired braking equipment and development of new electronic technologies for the freight railroads.

We use our Product Development System (PDS) to develop and monitor new product programs. The system requires the product development team to follow consistent steps throughout the development process, from concept to launch, to ensure the product will meet customer expectations and internal profitability targets.

Intellectual Property

We have more than 1,250 active patents worldwide. We also rely on a combination of trade secrets and other intellectual property laws, nondisclosure agreements and other protective measures to establish and protect our proprietary rights in our intellectual property.

Certain trademarks, among them the name WABCO®, were acquired or licensed from American Standard Inc., now known as Trane, in 1990 at the time of our acquisition of the North American operations of the Railway Products Group of Trane. Other trademarks have been developed through the normal course of business, or acquired as a part of our ongoing merger and acquisition program.

We have entered into a variety of license agreements as licensor and licensee. We do not believe that any single license agreement is of material importance to our business or either of our business segments as a whole.

We have issued licenses to the two sole suppliers of railway air brakes and related products in Japan, Nabtesco and Mitsubishi Electric Company. The licensees pay annual license fees to us and also assist us by

Table of Contents

acting as liaisons with key Japanese passenger transit vehicle builders for projects in North America. We believe that our relationships with these licensees have been beneficial to our core transit business and customer relationships in North America.

Customers

Our customers include railroads and passenger transit authorities throughout North America, as well as in the United Kingdom, Australia, Europe, Asia and South Africa; manufacturers of transportation equipment, such as locomotives, freight cars, subway vehicles and buses and lessors of such equipment.

In 2009, about 40% of our sales were to customers outside the U.S. and to approximately 100 countries throughout the world. About 54% of our sales were in the aftermarket, with a majority of our remaining sales to OEMs of locomotives, freight cars, subway vehicles and buses.

Our top customers can change from year to year. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, our top five customers, Alstom, Metrolinx (formerly GO Transit (Greater Toronto Transit Authority), Kawasaki Railcar Inc., GE Transportation Systems and HSBC Rail, accounted for 22% of our net sales. No one customer represents 10% or more of consolidated sales. We believe that we have strong relationships with all of our key customers.

Competition

We believe that we hold approximately a 50% market share in North America for our primary braking-related equipment and a leading market position in North America for most of our other product lines. On a global basis, our market shares are generally much smaller. We operate in a highly competitive marketplace. Price competition is strong because we have a relatively small number of customers and they are very cost-conscious.

In addition to price, competition is based on product performance and technological leadership, quality, reliability of delivery, and customer service and support.

Our principal competitors vary across product lines, but most competitors tend to be privately held companies. Within North America, New York Air Brake Company, a subsidiary of the German air brake producer Knorr-Bremse AG, is our principal overall OEM competitor. Our competition for locomotive, freight and passenger transit service and repair is primarily from the railroads and passenger transit authorities in-house operations, Electro-Motive Diesel, GE Transportation Systems, and New York Air Brake/Knorr. We believe our key strengths, which include leading market positions in core products, breadth of product offering with a stable mix of OEM and aftermarket business, leading design and engineering capabilities, significant barriers to entry and an experienced management team, enable us to compete effectively in this marketplace.

Employees

At December 31, 2009, we had 5,812 full-time employees, approximately 37% of whom were unionized. A majority of the employees subject to collective bargaining agreements are within North America and these agreements generally extend through 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. Agreements expiring in 2010 cover approximately 21% of the Company's workforce. We consider our relations with our employees and union representatives to be good, but cannot assure that future contract negotiations will be favorable to us.

Regulation

In the course of our operations, we are subject to various regulations of agencies and other entities. In the United States, these include principally the FRA and the AAR. The FRA administers and enforces federal laws and regulations relating to railroad safety. These regulations govern equipment and safety standards for freight

Table of Contents

cars and other rail equipment used in interstate commerce. The AAR oversees a wide variety of rules and regulations governing safety and design of equipment, relationships among railroads with respect to railcars in interchange and other matters. The AAR also certifies railcar builders and component manufacturers that provide equipment for use on railroads in the United States. New products generally must undergo AAR testing and approval processes. As a result of these regulations and those stipulated in other countries in which we derive our revenues, we must maintain certain certifications as a component manufacturer and for products we sell.

Effects of Seasonality

Our business is not typically seasonal, although the third quarter results may be impacted by vacation and plant shutdowns at several of our major customers during this period.

Environmental Matters

Information on environmental matters is included in Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Available Information

We maintain an Internet site at www.wabtec.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as the annual report to stockholders and other information, are available free of charge on this site. The Internet site and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of our Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees, our Code of Conduct, which is applicable to all employees, and our Code of Ethics for Senior Officers, which is applicable to all of our executive officers, are also available free of charge on this site and are available in print to any shareholder who requests them.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Prolonged unfavorable economic and market conditions could adversely affect our business.

Unfavorable general economic and market conditions in the United States and internationally could have a negative impact on our sales and operations. To the extent that these factors result in continued instability of capital markets, shortages of raw materials or component parts, longer sales cycles, deferral or delay of customer orders or an inability to market our products effectively, our business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

We are dependent upon key customers.

We rely on several key customers who represent a significant portion of our business. Our top customers can change from year to year. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, our top five customers, Alstom, Metrolinx (formerly GO Transit (Greater Toronto Transit Authority)), Kawasaki Railcar Inc., GE Transportation Systems and HSBC Rail, accounted for 22% of our net sales. While we believe our relationships with our customers are generally good, our top customers could choose to reduce or terminate their relationships with us. In addition, many of our customers place orders for products on an as-needed basis and operate in cyclical industries. As a result, their order levels have varied from period to period in the past and may vary significantly in the future. Such customer orders are dependent upon their markets and customers, and may be subject to delays and cancellations. As a result of our dependence on our key customers, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition if we lost any one or more of our key customers or if there is a reduction in their demand for our products.

Table of Contents

Our business operates in a highly competitive industry.

We operate in a competitive marketplace and face substantial competition from a limited number of established competitors in the United States and abroad, some of which may have greater financial resources than we do. Price competition is strong and, coupled with the existence of a number of cost conscious purchasers, has historically limited our ability to increase prices. In addition to price, competition is based on product performance and technological leadership, quality, reliability of delivery and customer service and support. There can be no assurance that competition in one or more of our markets will not adversely affect us and our results of operations.

We intend to pursue acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances that involve a number of inherent risks, any of which may cause us not to realize anticipated benefits.

One aspect of our business strategy is to selectively pursue acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances that we believe will improve our market position, and provide opportunities to realize operating synergies. These transactions involve inherent risks and uncertainties, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations, including:

difficulties in achieving identified financial and operating synergies, including the integration of operations, services and products;

diversion of Management's attention from other business concerns;

the assumption of unknown liabilities; and

unanticipated changes in the market conditions, business and economic factors affecting such an acquisition.

We cannot assure that we will be able to consummate any future acquisitions, joint ventures or other business combinations. If we are unable to identify suitable acquisition candidates or to consummate strategic acquisitions, we may be unable to fully implement our business strategy, and our business and results of operations may be adversely affected as a result. In addition, our ability to engage in strategic acquisitions will be dependent on our ability to raise substantial capital, and we may not be able to raise the funds necessary to implement our acquisition strategy on terms satisfactory to us, if at all.

As we introduce new products and services, a failure to predict and react to consumer demand could adversely affect our business.

We have dedicated significant resources to the development, manufacturing and marketing of new products. Decisions to develop and market new transportation products are typically made without firm indications of customer acceptance. Moreover, by their nature, new products may require alteration of existing business methods or threaten to displace existing equipment in which our customers may have a substantial capital investment. There can be no assurance that any new products that we develop will gain widespread acceptance in the marketplace or that such products will be able to compete successfully with other new products or services that may be introduced by competitors. In addition, we may incur additional warranty or other costs as new products are tested and used by customers.

Our revenues are subject to cyclical variations in the railway and passenger transit markets and changes in government spending.

The railway industry historically has been subject to significant fluctuations due to overall economic conditions, the use of alternate methods of transportation and the levels of federal, state and local government spending on railroad transit projects. In economic downturns, railroads have deferred, and may defer, certain expenditures in order to conserve cash in the short term. Reductions in freight traffic may reduce demand for our replacement products.

Table of Contents

The passenger transit railroad industry is also cyclical. New passenger transit car orders vary from year to year and are influenced greatly by major replacement programs and by the construction or expansion of transit systems by transit authorities. A substantial portion of our net sales have been, and we expect that a material portion of our future net sales may be, derived from contracts with metropolitan transit and commuter rail authorities and Amtrak. To the extent that future funding for proposed public projects is curtailed or withdrawn altogether as a result of changes in political, economic, fiscal or other conditions beyond our control, such projects may be delayed or cancelled, resulting in a potential loss of business for us, including transit aftermarket and new transit car orders. There can be no assurance that economic conditions will be favorable or that there will not be significant fluctuations adversely affecting the industry as a whole and, as a result, us.

A growing portion of our sales may be derived from our international operations, which exposes us to certain risks inherent in doing business on an international level.

In fiscal year 2009, approximately 40% of our consolidated net sales were to customers outside of the U.S. and we intend to continue to expand our international operations in the future. We currently conduct our international operations through a variety of wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries and joint ventures in Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Czech Republic, France, Germany, India, Italy, Macedonia, Malaysia, Mexico, Poland, Spain, South Africa, and the United Kingdom. As a result, we are subject to various risks, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on those operations and on our business as a whole, including:

lack of complete operating control;

lack of local business experience;

currency exchange fluctuations and devaluations;

foreign trade restrictions and exchange controls;

difficulty enforcing agreements and intellectual property rights;

the potential for nationalization of enterprises; and

economic, political and social instability and possible terrorist attacks against American interests.

In addition, certain jurisdictions have laws that limit the ability of non-U.S. subsidiaries and their affiliates to pay dividends and repatriate cash flows.

We may incur increased costs due to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

In the ordinary course of business, we are exposed to increases in interest rates that may adversely affect funding costs associated with variable-rate debt and changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We may seek to minimize these risks through the use of interest rate swap contracts and currency hedging agreements. There can be no assurance that any of these measures will be effective. Any material changes in interest or exchange rates could result in material losses to us.

We may have liability arising from asbestos litigation.

Claims have been filed against the Company and certain of its affiliates in various jurisdictions across the United States by persons alleging bodily injury as a result of exposure to asbestos-containing products. Most of these claims have been made against our wholly owned subsidiary, Railroad Friction Products Corporation (RFPC), and are based on a product sold by RFPC prior to the time that the Company acquired any interest in RFPC.

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

Most of these claims, including all of the RFPC claims, are submitted to insurance carriers for defense and indemnity or to non-affiliated companies that retain the liabilities for the asbestos-containing products at issue. We cannot, however, assure that all these claims will be fully covered by insurance or that the indemnitors or insurers will remain financially viable. Our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to these claims, as is the case with other pending litigation, cannot be estimated.

Table of Contents

It is Management's belief that the potential range of loss for asbestos-related bodily injury cases is not reasonably determinable at present due to a variety of factors, including: (1) the asbestos case settlement history of the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, RFPC; (2) the unpredictable nature of personal injury litigation in general; and (3) the uncertainty of asbestos litigation in particular. Despite this uncertainty, and although the results of the Company's operations and cash flows for any given period could be adversely affected by asbestos-related lawsuits, Management believes that the final resolution of the Company's asbestos-related cases will not be material to the Company's overall financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In general, this belief is based upon: (1) Wabtec's and RFPC's history of settlements and dismissals of asbestos-related cases to date; (2) the inability of many plaintiffs to establish any exposure or causal relationship to RFPC's product; and (3) the inability of many plaintiffs to demonstrate any identifiable injury or compensable loss.

More specifically, as to RFPC, Management's belief that any losses due to asbestos-related cases would not be material is also based on the fact that RFPC owns insurance which provides coverage for asbestos-related bodily injury claims. To date, RFPC's insurers have provided RFPC with defense and indemnity in these actions. The overall number of new claims being filed against RFPC has dropped significantly in recent years; however, these new claims, and all previously filed claims, may take a significant period of time to resolve. As to Wabtec and its divisions, Management's belief that asbestos-related cases will not have a material impact is also based on its position that it has no legal liability for asbestos-related bodily injury claims, and that the former owners of Wabtec's assets retained asbestos liabilities for the products at issue. To date, Wabtec has been able to successfully defend itself on this basis, including two arbitration decisions and a judicial opinion, all of which confirmed Wabtec's position that it did not assume any asbestos liabilities from the former owners of certain Wabtec assets. Although Wabtec has incurred defense and administrative costs in connection with asbestos bodily injury actions, these costs have not been material, and the Company has no information that would suggest these costs would become material in the foreseeable future.

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations.

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations governing discharges to air and water, the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous or solid waste materials and the remediation of contamination associated with releases of hazardous substances. We believe our operations currently comply in all material respects with all of the various environmental laws and regulations applicable to our business; however, there can be no assurance that environmental requirements will not change in the future or that we will not incur significant costs to comply with such requirements.

Future climate change regulation could result in increased operating costs, affect the demand for our products or affect the ability of our critical suppliers to meet our needs.

The Company has followed the current debate over climate change and the related policy discussion and prospective legislation. The potential challenges for the Company that climate change policy and legislation may pose have been reviewed by the Company. Any such challenges are heavily dependent on the nature and degree of climate change legislation and the extent to which it applies to our industry. At this time, the Company cannot predict the ultimate impact of climate change and climate change legislation on the Company's operations. Further, when or if these impacts may occur cannot be assessed until scientific analysis and legislative policy are more developed and specific legislative proposals begin to take shape. Any laws or regulations that may be adopted to restrict or reduce emissions of greenhouse gas could require us to incur increased operating costs, and could have an adverse effect on demand for our products. In addition, the price and availability of certain of the raw materials that we use could vary in the future as a result of environmental laws and regulations affecting our suppliers. An increase in the price of our raw materials or a decline in their availability could adversely affect our operating margins or result in reduced demand for our products.

Table of Contents

Our manufacturer's warranties or product liability may expose us to potentially significant claims.

We warrant the workmanship and materials of many of our products. Accordingly, we are subject to a risk of product liability or warranty claims in the event that the failure of any of our products results in personal injury or death, or does not conform to our customers' specifications. In addition, in recent years, we have introduced a number of new products for which we do not have the same level of historical warranty experience. Although we have not had any material product liability or warranty claims made against us and we currently maintain liability insurance coverage, we cannot assure that product liability claims, if made, would not exceed our insurance coverage limits or that insurance will continue to be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. The possibility exists for these types of warranty claims to result in costly product recalls, significant repair costs and damage to our reputation.

Labor disputes may have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

We collectively bargain with labor unions that represent approximately 37% of our employees. Our current collective bargaining agreements generally extend through 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. Agreements expiring in 2010 cover approximately 21% of the Company's workforce. Failure to reach an agreement could result in strikes or other labor protests which could disrupt our operations. If we were to experience a strike or work stoppage, it would be difficult for us to find a sufficient number of employees with the necessary skills to replace these employees. We cannot assure that we will reach any such agreement or that we will not encounter strikes or other types of conflicts with the labor unions of our personnel. Such labor disputes could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, could cause us to lose revenues and customers and might have permanent effects on our business.

From time to time we are engaged in contractual disputes with our customers.

From time to time, we are engaged in contractual disputes with our customers regarding routine delivery and performance issues as well as adjustments for design changes and related extra work. These disputes are generally resolved in the ordinary course of business without having a material adverse impact on us.

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our financial health.

At December 31, 2009, we had total debt of \$391.8 million. If it becomes necessary to access our available borrowing capacity under the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement, along with carrying the \$241.0 million currently borrowed under this facility and the \$150.0 million 6.875% senior notes, being indebted could have important consequences to us. For example, it could:

increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industries in which we operate;

place us at a disadvantage compared to competitors that have less debt; and

limit our ability to borrow additional funds.

The indenture for our \$150 million 6.875% senior notes due in 2013 and our 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement contain various covenants that limit our Management's discretion in the operation of our businesses.

The indenture governing the notes and our credit agreement contain various covenants that limit our Management's discretion.

Table of Contents

The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement limits the Company's ability to declare or pay cash dividends and prohibits the Company from declaring or making other distributions, subject to certain exceptions. The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement contains various other covenants and restrictions including the following limitations: incurrence of additional indebtedness; mergers, consolidations and sales of assets and acquisitions; additional liens; sale and leasebacks; permissible investments, loans and advances; certain debt payments; capital expenditures; and imposes a minimum interest expense coverage ratio and a maximum debt to cash flow ratio.

The indenture under which the senior notes were issued contains covenants and restrictions which limit among other things, the following: the incurrence of indebtedness, payment of dividends and certain distributions, sale of assets, change in control, mergers and consolidations and the incurrence of liens.

The integration of our recently completed acquisitions may not result in anticipated improvements in market position or the realization of anticipated operating synergies or may take longer to realize than expected.

In 2008 and 2009, we completed the acquisition of Poli, SCT and Unifin for a combined \$482.6 million, net of cash received. Although we believe that the acquisitions will improve our market position and realize positive operating results, including operating synergies, operating expense reductions and overhead cost savings, we cannot be assured that these improvements will be obtained. The management and acquisition of businesses involves substantial risks, any of which may result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations, including:

the uncertainty that an acquired business will achieve anticipated operating results;

significant expenses to integrate;

diversion of Management's attention;

departure of key personnel from the acquired business;

effectively managing entrepreneurial spirit and decision-making;

integration of different information systems;

unanticipated costs and exposure to unforeseen liabilities; and

impairment of assets.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. PROPERTIES****Facilities**

The following table provides certain summary information about the principal facilities owned or leased by the Company. The Company believes that its facilities and equipment are generally in good condition and that, together with scheduled capital improvements, they are adequate for its present and immediately projected needs. Leases on the facilities are long-term and generally include options to renew. The Company's corporate headquarters are located at the Wilmerding, PA site.

| Location | Primary Use | Segment | Own/Lease | Approximate Square Feet |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Domestic | | | | |
| Wilmerding, PA | Manufacturing/Service | Freight | Own | 365,000(1) |
| Lexington, TN | Manufacturing | Freight | Own | 170,000 |
| Jackson, TN | Manufacturing | Freight | Own | 150,000 |
| Chicago, IL | Manufacturing/Service | Freight | Own | 123,140 |
| Warren, OH | Manufacturing | Freight | Own | 102,650 |
| Greensburg, PA | Manufacturing | Freight | Own | 97,800 |
| Coshocton, OH | Manufacturing/Warehouse/Office | Freight | Own | 83,000 |
| Germantown, MD | Manufacturing | Freight | Own | 80,000 |
| Salem, OH | Manufacturing | Freight | Own | 20,000 |
| Gibsonia, PA | Manufacturing/Office | Freight | Own | 16,160(2) |
| Chillicothe, OH | Manufacturing/Office | Freight | Lease | 104,000 |
| Kansas City, MO | Service Center | Freight | Lease | 95,900 |
| Strongsville, OH | Manufacturing/Warehouse/Office | Freight | Lease | 92,000 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | Manufacturing | Freight | Lease | 90,000 |
| Bensenville, IL | Manufacturing/Warehouse/Office | Freight | Lease | 58,000 |
| Columbia, SC | Service Center | Freight | Lease | 40,250 |
| Cedar Rapids, IA | Manufacturing | Freight | Lease | 37,000 |
| St. Joseph, MI | Manufacturing/Warehouse | Freight | Lease | 33,625(2) |
| Chesapeake, VA | Manufacturing/Office | Freight | Lease | 22,630 |
| Carson City, NV | Service Center | Freight | Lease | 22,000 |
| Montgomery, IL | Warehouse/Office | Freight | Lease | 20,000(2) |
| Park Ridge, IL | Office | Freight | Lease | 15,150 |
| Jackson, TN | Warehouse | Freight | Lease | 6,000 |
| Oak Creek, WI | Engineering/Admin | Freight | Lease | 5,000 |
| Twinsburg, OH | Manufacturing/Office | Freight | Lease | 1,700 |
| Azle, TX | Office | Freight | Lease | 1,400 |
| Boise, ID | Manufacturing | Freight /Transit | Own | 326,000 |
| Maxton, NC | Manufacturing | Freight /Transit | Own | 105,000 |
| Willits, CA | Manufacturing | Freight /Transit | Own | 70,000 |
| Panorama City, CA | Manufacturing | Transit | Lease | 200,000 |
| Spartanburg, SC | Manufacturing/Service | Transit | Lease | 183,600 |
| Buffalo Grove, IL | Manufacturing | Transit | Lease | 115,570 |
| Plattsburgh, NY | Manufacturing | Transit | Lease | 64,000 |
| Elmsford, NY | Service Center | Transit | Lease | 28,000 |
| Spartanburg, SC | Warehouse | Transit | Lease | 20,000 |
| Green, SC | Warehouse | Transit | Lease | 17,000 |
| Elkhart, IN | Warehouse | Transit | Lease | 8,000 |
| Green, SC | Warehouse | Transit | Lease | 6,000 |
| Avondale, GA | Sales Office | Transit | Lease | 1,720 |
| San Pablo, CA | Office | Transit | Lease | 550 |

Table of Contents

| Location | Primary Use | Segment | Own/Lease | Approximate Square Feet |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| New Castle, DE | Sales Office | Transit | Lease | 400 |
| Baltimore, MD | Sales Office | Transit | Lease | 350 |
| Mountaintop, PA | Vacant Land Available for Sale | | Own | N/A |
| International | | | | |
| Wallaceburg (Ontario), Canada | Foundry | Freight | Own | 126,600 |
| San Luis Potosi, Mexico | Manufacturing/Service | Freight | Own | 73,100 |
| Skopje, Macedonia | Manufacturing/Office | Freight | Own | 20,000 |
| Shenyang City, Liaoning Province, China | Manufacturing | Freight | Lease | 290,550 |
| London (Ontario), Canada | Manufacturing | Freight | Lease | 103,540 |
| Beijing, China | Manufacturing | Freight | Lease | 75,347 |
| Stoney Creek (Ontario), Canada | Manufacturing/Service | Freight | Lease | 47,940 |
| Kolkata, India | Manufacturing | Freight | Lease | 36,965 |
| Lachine (Quebec), Canada | Service Center | Freight | Lease | 17,000 |
| Rydalmere, Australia | Office | Freight | Lease | 14,786 |
| Beijing, China | Office | Freight | Lease | 3,552 |
| Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia | Office | Freight | Lease | 2,655 |
| Calgary (Alberta), Canada | Service Center | Freight | Lease | 984 |
| Kutna Hora, Czech Republic | Warehouse | Freight | Lease | 532 |
| Kirkcaldy, UK | Office | Freight | Lease | 200 |
| Nepean (Ontario), Canada | Office | Freight | Lease | 120 |
| Doncaster, UK | Manufacturing/Service | Freight /Transit | Own | 330,000 |
| Wetherill Park, Australia | Manufacturing | Freight /Transit | Lease | 70,600 |
| Kempton Park, South Africa | Manufacturing | Freight /Transit | Lease | 11,840 |
| Avellino, Italy | Manufacturing/Office | Transit | Own | 132,495 |
| St. Laurent (Quebec), Canada | Manufacturing | Transit | Own | 106,000 |
| Recklinghausen, Germany | Manufacturing | Transit | Own | 86,390 |
| Camisano, Italy | Manufacturing/Office | Transit | Lease | 136,465 |
| Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province, China | Manufacturing | Transit | Lease | 31,032 |
| Belo Horizonte, Brazil | Manufacturing/Service | Transit | Lease | 33,992 |
| Sassuolo, Italy | Manufacturing | Transit | Lease | 30,000 |
| Droylsden, UK | Manufacturing/Office | Transit | Lease | 22,500 |
| Aachen, Germany | Office | Transit | Lease | 1,615 |
| Munich, Germany | Office | Transit | Lease | 1,615 |
| Vierzon, France | Office | Transit | Lease | 1,076 |
| Milan, Italy | Office | Transit | Lease | 1,000 |
| Derby, UK | Office | Transit | Lease | 850 |
| Warsaw, Poland | Office | Transit | Lease | 775 |
| Barcelona, Spain | Office | Transit | Lease | 110 |

- (1) Approximately 250,000 square feet are currently used in connection with the Company's corporate and manufacturing operations. The remainder is leased to third parties.
- (2) These facilities were part of the SCT purchase and the operations have been consolidated at other existing plants and are no longer in service.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information with respect to legal proceedings is included in Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The following table provides information on our executive officers. They are elected periodically by our Board of Directors and serve at its discretion.

| Officers | Age | Position |
|---------------------|------------|---|
| Albert J. Neupaver | 59 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Alvaro Garcia-Tunon | 57 | Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary |
| Raymond T. Betler | 54 | Vice President, Group Executive |
| R. Mark Cox | 42 | Vice President, Corporate Development |
| Patrick D. Dugan | 43 | Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller |
| Keith P. Hildum | 47 | Vice President and Treasurer |
| Charles F. Kovac | 53 | Vice President, Group Executive |
| Richard A. Mathes | 55 | Vice President, Group Executive |
| David M. Seitz | 45 | Vice President, Senior Counsel and Assistant Secretary |
| Scott E. Wahlstrom | 46 | Vice President, Human Resources |
| Timothy R. Wesley | 48 | Vice President, Investor Relations and Corporate Communications |

Albert J. Neupaver was named President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in February, 2006. Prior to joining Wabtec, Mr. Neupaver served in various positions at AMETEK, Inc., a leading global manufacturer of electronic instruments and electric motors. Most recently he served as President of its Electromechanical Group for nine years.

Alvaro Garcia-Tunon has been Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Company since March 2003. Mr. Garcia-Tunon was Senior Vice President, Finance of the Company from November 1999 until March 2003 and Treasurer of the Company from August 1995 until November 1999.

Raymond T. Betler has been Vice President, Group Executive since August 2008. Prior to joining Wabtec, Mr. Betler served in various positions of increasing responsibility at Bombardier Transportation since 1979. Most recently, Mr. Betler served as President, Total Transit Systems from 2004 until 2008 and before that as President, London Underground Projects from 2002 to 2004.

R. Mark Cox was named Vice President, Corporate Development in September 2006. Prior to joining Wabtec, Mr. Cox served as Director of Business Development for the Electrical Group of Eaton Corporation since 2002. Prior to joining Eaton, Mr. Cox was an investment banker with UBS Warburg, Prudential and Stephens.

Patrick D. Dugan was named Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller in January 2007. He has served as Corporate Controller since November 2003. Prior to joining Wabtec, Mr. Dugan served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CWI International, Inc. from December 1996 to November 2003. Prior to 1996, Mr. Dugan was a Manager with PricewaterhouseCoopers.

Keith P. Hildum was named Vice President and Treasurer in October 2006. He had been serving as Treasurer of the Company since 2001, and prior to that was Vice President, Finance and Administration Railroad Operations. He has been with Wabtec since 1999, having held various positions with MotivePower Industries. Prior to MotivePower, Mr. Hildum was a Senior Manager with Deloitte & Touche.

Charles F. Kovac was named Vice President, Group Executive in September 2007. Prior to joining Wabtec, Mr. Kovac served as General Manager of the Global Floor Care/Specialty Motors Division of AMETEK, Inc. since 2003. Prior to joining AMETEK, Inc., Mr. Kovac was Chief Operating Officer of The Teleios Group, LLC from 1999 to 2003.

Table of Contents

Richard A. Mathes was named Vice President, Group Executive of Wabtec in December, 2008. Prior to joining Wabtec Mr. Mathes was CEO of SCT from 1995 to 2008, having rejoined SCT in 1989 as President. Mr. Mathes had previously been in sales and marketing with SCT from 1979 through 1984 before leaving to pursue other opportunities in the rail industry. He began his 36 year career in the transportation industry in 1972 with the Missouri Pacific Railroad in St. Louis, MO.

David M. Seitz was promoted to Vice President, Senior Counsel and Assistant Secretary in January 2008. He had served as Senior Counsel and Assistant Secretary of Wabtec since 2000 and was appointed as an executive officer in 2006. Prior to joining Wabtec, Mr. Seitz was General Attorney and Assistant Secretary at Transtar, Inc., and had also been an electrical engineer with Westinghouse Electric Company.

Scott E. Wahlstrom has been Vice President, Human Resources, since November 1999. Previously, Mr. Wahlstrom was Vice President, Human Resources & Administration of MotivePower Industries, Inc. from August 1996 until November 1999.

Timothy R. Wesley has been Vice President, Investor Relations and Corporate Communications since November 1999. Previously, Mr. Wesley was Vice President, Investor and Public Relations of MotivePower Industries, Inc. from August 1996 until November 1999.

PART II**Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The Common Stock of the Company is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. As of February 22, 2010, there were 47,619,699 shares of Common Stock outstanding held by 794 holders of record. The high and low sales price of the shares and dividends declared per share were as follows:

| | High | Low | Dividends |
|----------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| 2009 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 40.74 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 0.01 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 39.94 | \$ 25.19 | \$ 0.01 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 40.18 | \$ 29.84 | \$ 0.01 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 42.91 | \$ 36.00 | \$ 0.01 |
| 2008 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 37.37 | \$ 28.72 | \$ 0.01 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 51.50 | \$ 36.49 | \$ 0.01 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 60.75 | \$ 43.29 | \$ 0.01 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 55.81 | \$ 28.86 | \$ 0.01 |

The Company's credit agreement restricts the ability to make dividend payments, with certain exceptions. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and see Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

At the close of business on February 22, 2010, the Company's Common Stock traded at \$39.36 per share.

The following performance graph and related information shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference to any future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that Wabtec specifically incorporates it by reference into such filing. The graph below compares the total stockholder return through December 31, 2009, of Wabtec's common stock, (i) the S&P 500,

Table of Contents

(ii) and our peer group of manufacturing companies consisting of the following publicly traded companies: The Greenbrier Companies, Inc., L.B. Foster Company, Trinity Industries, Portec Rail Products, Inc. and Freight Car America, Inc.

On July 31, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million of the Company's outstanding shares. On February 20, 2008 the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$100 million of the Company's outstanding shares. The Company intends to purchase these shares on the open market or in negotiated or block trades. No time limit was set for the completion of the program. The program qualifies under the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement, as applicable, as well as the 6.875% Senior Notes currently outstanding.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased 712,900 shares at an average price of \$34.29 per share, exhausting the \$50.0 million authorization made in 2006. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased 5,200 shares at an average price of \$35.97 per share. No additional shares were repurchased during the third quarter of 2008. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased 599,800 shares at an average price of \$35.22 per share. All purchases were on the open market.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company repurchased 290,000 shares at an average price of \$25.08 per share. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company repurchased 379,700 shares at an average price of \$32.61 per share. No additional shares were repurchased during the third and fourth quarters of 2009. All purchases were on the open market.

Table of Contents**Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table shows selected consolidated financial information of the Company and has been derived from audited financial statements. This financial information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and the Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

| <i>In thousands, except per share amounts</i> | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Income Statement Data | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 1,401,616 | \$ 1,574,749 | \$ 1,360,088 | \$ 1,087,620 | \$ 1,034,024 |
| Gross profit | 393,326 | 427,186 | 369,619 | 296,777 | 259,646 |
| Operating expenses | (213,294) | (214,670) | (189,878) | (166,626) | (157,717) |
| Income from operations (1) | \$ 180,032 | \$ 212,516 | \$ 179,741 | \$ 130,151 | \$ 101,929 |
| Interest expense, net | \$ (16,674) | \$ (8,508) | \$ (3,637) | \$ (2,177) | \$ (9,358) |
| Other income (expense), net | 1 | 292 | (3,650) | (1,417) | (3,055) |
| Income from continuing operations | 115,055 | 130,554 | 109,387 | 86,494 | 57,685 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations (net of tax) (2) | | (3) | 183 | (1,690) | (1,909) |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders (3) | \$ 115,055 | \$ 130,551 | \$ 109,570 | \$ 84,804 | \$ 55,776 |
| Diluted Earnings per Common Share | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 2.24 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.21 |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders (3) | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 2.24 | \$ 1.73 | \$ 1.17 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 |
| Fully diluted shares outstanding | 47,977 | 48,847 | 48,873 | 49,108 | 47,595 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,585,835 | \$ 1,507,520 | \$ 1,158,702 | \$ 972,842 | \$ 836,357 |
| Cash | 188,659 | 141,805 | 234,689 | 187,979 | 141,365 |
| Total debt | 391,780 | 387,080 | 150,250 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Shareholders' equity | 778,913 | 645,807 | 617,268 | 469,889 | 379,207 |

- (1) In 2009, includes \$3.9 million royalty charge related to the Final Award in the arbitration proceeding between Faiveley Transport Malmö AB and Wabtec.
- (2) In 2006, includes \$1.7 million relating to the sale of a non-core product division of Rütgers Rail, S.p.A. In 2005, includes \$1.6 million relating to the liquidation of the bus door joint venture in China.
- (3) In 2009, 2008 and 2006, tax benefits of \$9.7 million, \$1.0 million and \$700,000 were recognized, respectively, primarily related to resolving certain tax issues from prior years that have been closed from further regulatory examination. In 2007 and 2006, a tax benefit of \$3.1 million and \$5.3 million, respectively, was recognized related to deferred taxes, primarily due to the reversal of previously established valuation allowances on deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

Wabtec is one of the world's largest providers of value-added, technology-based products and services for the global rail industry. Our products are found on virtually all U.S. locomotives, freight cars and passenger transit vehicles, as well as in approximately 100 countries throughout the world. Our products enhance safety, improve productivity and reduce maintenance costs for customers, and many of our core products and services are essential in the safe and efficient operation of freight rail and passenger transit vehicles. Wabtec is a global company with operations in 17 countries. In 2009, about 40% of the Company's revenues came from customers outside the U.S.

Management Review and Outlook

Wabtec's long-term financial goals are to generate cash flow in excess of net income, maintain a strong credit profile while minimizing our overall cost of capital, increase margins through strict attention to cost controls, and increase revenues through a focused growth strategy, including global and market expansion, new products and technologies, aftermarket products and services, and acquisitions. In addition, Management evaluates the Company's short-term operational performance through measures such as quality and on-time delivery.

The Company monitors a variety of factors and statistics to gauge market activity. The freight rail industry is largely driven by general economic conditions, which can cause fluctuations in rail traffic. Based on those fluctuations, railroads can increase or decrease purchases of new locomotives and freight cars. In 2009, the U.S. economy, in general, and the Company specifically were impacted by the global economic recession during the year. This was particularly true for the Company's Freight Segment. In the U.S., for example, freight revenue ton-miles and carloadings were down 15.1% and 16.1%, respectively, although they did improve slightly in the second half of the year. With rail traffic down significantly, railroads put a portion of their locomotive and freight car fleets in storage, and reduced purchases of new equipment. As a result, 2009 deliveries of new freight locomotives decreased about 50% and deliveries of new freight cars decreased about 65%. Although less than 15% of the Company's revenues are directly related to deliveries of new freight locomotives and freight cars, the decline in those markets had a material impact on the Company's financial results. To mitigate this impact, Wabtec initiated a series of restructuring actions that included plant consolidations, a work force reduction and other cost reduction efforts.

The North American transit rail industry is driven by government spending and ridership. Spending increased about 6% in 2009, while ridership decreased about 4% due to the economy. In February 2009, the U.S. federal government passed new spending legislation designed to stimulate the U.S. economy. Of the \$789 billion spending package, up to \$20 billion is to be spent on freight and passenger transportation, as follows: \$8.4 billion for public transportation, \$8 billion for high-speed rail, \$1.5 billion for discretionary intermodal projects, and \$1.3 billion for AMTRAK. Wabtec expects to benefit from this additional spending, as transit authorities invest in expansion, new equipment and other related projects.

In 2010, the Company expects conditions to remain challenging in the freight rail market and generally stable in the passenger transit market. Demand for new freight cars and locomotives are expected to be lower, due to the railroads' amount of surplus equipment. In the passenger transit market, the Company believes that increases in federal funding and stable ridership will continue to have a beneficial effect on the demand for new equipment and aftermarket parts. In response to current market conditions, Wabtec may continue to take certain actions to reduce costs, including plant consolidations, work force reductions and general spending cuts. Management believes these actions will not affect the company's ability to continue to invest in its strategic growth initiatives.

Table of Contents

In 2010 and beyond, unfavorable general economic and market conditions in the United States and internationally could have a negative impact on our sales and operations. To the extent that the economy is slow to recover and results in continued instability of capital markets, shortages of raw materials or component parts, longer sales cycles, deferral or delay of customer orders or an inability to market our products effectively, our business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In addition, we face risks associated with our four-point growth strategy include the level of investment that customers are willing to make in new technologies developed by the industry and the Company, and risks inherent in global expansion. When necessary, we will modify our financial and operating strategies to reflect changes in market conditions and risks.

Wabtec downsized its Canadian operations by moving certain products to lower-cost facilities and outsourcing. In the Freight segment, Wabtec recorded charges of 4.6 million and \$3.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. No charges for these operations and this restructuring plan were recorded in the year ended December 31, 2009. For these operations cumulative expenses for restructuring and other expenses recorded since 2006 have been \$16.5 million, comprised of the \$5.7 million for employee severance costs for approximately 400 employees; \$5.5 million of pension and postretirement benefit curtailment for those employees; and \$5.3 million related to fixed asset and goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment was recorded as amortization expense and most of the other charges were recorded in cost of sales. Severance costs are contractual liabilities and payment is dependent on the waiver by or expiration of certain seniority rights of those employees. As of December 31, 2009, \$4.2 million of this amount had been paid.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table shows our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years indicated.

| <i>In millions</i> | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net sales | \$ 1,401.6 | \$ 1,574.8 | \$ 1,360.1 |
| Cost of sales | (1,008.3) | (1,147.6) | (990.5) |
| Gross profit | 393.3 | 427.2 | 369.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (161.0) | (170.6) | (148.5) |
| Engineering expenses | (42.4) | (39.0) | (37.4) |
| Amortization expense | (9.9) | (5.1) | (4.0) |
| Total operating expenses | (213.3) | (214.7) | (189.9) |
| Income from operations | 180.0 | 212.5 | 179.7 |
| Interest expense, net | (16.6) | (8.5) | (3.6) |
| Other income (expense), net | | 0.3 | (3.6) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 163.4 | 204.3 | 172.5 |
| Income tax expense | (48.3) | (73.7) | (63.1) |
| Income from continuing operations | 115.1 | 130.6 | 109.4 |
| Discontinued operations (net of tax) | | | 0.2 |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 115.1 | \$ 130.6 | \$ 109.6 |

Table of Contents**2009 COMPARED TO 2008**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the period:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Percent Change |
| Net sales | \$ 1,401,616 | \$ 1,574,749 | (11.0)% |
| Income from operations | 180,032 | 212,516 | (15.3)% |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | 115,055 | 130,551 | (11.9)% |

Net sales decreased by \$173.2 million to \$1,401.6 million in 2009 from \$1,574.8 million in 2008. The decrease is due to the recession experienced during the previous twelve months, which contributed to lower rail traffic and lower Freight Group sales. Sales related to acquisitions of \$114.9 million, partially offset the decrease. The Company realized a net sales reduction of \$35.1 million due to unfavorable effects of foreign exchange, but net earnings were generally not impacted by foreign exchange.

Net income for 2009 was \$115.1 million or \$2.39 per diluted share. Net income for 2008 was \$130.6 million or \$2.66 per diluted share. Net income decreased due to lower Freight Group sales, severance and other costs related to downsizing and consolidation actions, partially offset by cost-saving initiatives and lower income taxes. Net income was also decreased by the \$3.9 million royalty charge, included in selling, general and administrative expenses, related to the Final Award in the arbitration proceeding between Faiveley Transport Malmo AB and Wabtec.

Net sales by Segment The following table shows the Company's net sales by business segment:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Freight Group | \$ 588,399 | \$ 773,523 |
| Transit Group | 813,217 | 801,226 |
| Net sales | \$ 1,401,616 | \$ 1,574,749 |

Freight Group sales decreased by \$185.2 million or 23.9% due to lower sales of \$145.7 million for specialty products, \$107.3 million for brake products and \$21.5 million for remanufacturing, overhaul and manufacturing of locomotives. Offsetting those reductions was an increase in sales of \$103.1 million from acquisitions. Transit Group sales increased by \$12.0 million or 1.5% due to

increased sales of \$17.1 million for brake products, \$25.0 million for other transit related products and \$11.8 million from acquisitions. Offsetting those increases was a decrease in sales of \$10.0 million for remanufacturing, overhaul and build of locomotives. For the Transit Group, net sales were reduced by \$30.9 million due to unfavorable effects of foreign exchange on sales mentioned above.

Gross profit Gross profit decreased to \$393.3 million in 2009 compared to \$427.2 million in 2008. Gross profit is dependent on a number of factors including pricing, sales volume and product mix. In 2009, gross profit, as a percentage of sales, was 28.1% compared to 27.1% in 2008. The gross profit percentage increased due to realized cost savings from downsizing and consolidation actions and increased gross profit in the transit segment due to efficiencies realized on certain long-term contracts. The provision for warranty expense is generally established for specific losses, along with historical estimates of customer claims as a percentage of sales. The provision for warranty expense was \$3.4 more in 2009 compared to 2008 due primarily to specific reserves on certain transit products. The warranty reserve decreased at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 by \$1.5 million due to claims paid on certain freight products.

Table of Contents

Operating expenses The following table shows our operating expenses:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Percent Change |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 160,998 | \$ 170,597 | (5.6)% |
| Engineering expenses | 42,447 | 38,981 | 8.9% |
| Amortization expense | 9,849 | 5,092 | 93.4% |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 213,294 | \$ 214,670 | (0.6)% |

Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$9.6 million in 2009 compared to 2008 due to cost-saving initiatives, partially offset by increased expenses from acquisitions. Engineering expenses increased by \$3.4 million in 2009 compared to 2008 due to acquisitions, offset partially by cost-saving initiatives. Amortization expense increased \$4.8 million due to acquisitions. During 2009, the Company sold a facility for net cash proceeds of \$3.6 million to an unrelated third party. While certain portions of the building are being leased back, this transaction resulted in a gain of \$2.1 million and deferred gain of \$0.6 million. The deferred gain will be recognized over five years. Total operating expenses were 15.2% and 13.6% of sales for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income from operations Income from operations totaled \$180.0 million (or 12.8% of sales) in 2009 compared to \$212.5 million (or 13.5% of sales) in 2008. Income from operations decreased due to lower Freight Group sales, severance and other costs related to downsizing and consolidation actions and the royalty payment to Faiveley Transport Malmo AB, partially offset by cost-saving initiatives.

Interest expense, net Interest expense, net increased \$8.1 million in 2009 compared to 2008 due to acquisition financing.

Other expense, net Other expense, net decreased \$0.3 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The Company recorded foreign exchange expense of \$1.3 million due to the effect of currency exchange rate changes on intercompany transactions that are non U.S. dollar denominated amounts and charged or credited to earnings. This was offset by \$1.0 million of other miscellaneous income.

Income taxes The effective income tax rate was 29.6% and 36.1% in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily due to the settlement of examinations in various taxing jurisdictions during 2009.

Net income Net income for 2009 decreased \$15.5 million, compared to 2008. The decrease in net income is due primarily to lower sales.

2008 COMPARED TO 2007

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the period:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | Percent Change |
| Net sales | \$ 1,574,749 | \$ 1,360,088 | 15.8% |
| Income from operations | 212,516 | 179,741 | 18.2% |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | 130,551 | 109,570 | 19.2% |

Net sales increased by \$214.7 million to \$1,574.8 million in 2008 from \$1,360.1 million in 2007. The increase is due to internal growth from increased sales of \$55.3 million for brake products, \$36.8 million for

Table of Contents

contracts related to transit authorities, \$21.8 million in remanufacturing, overhaul and build of locomotives, and \$16.6 million for heat exchangers. Sales related to acquisitions also contributed \$59.3 million to the increase. Sales related to international expansion also contributed \$18 million to the increase. Offsetting these increases, the Company did realize a net sales decrease of \$12.2 million due to foreign exchange but net earnings are mostly not impacted by foreign exchange.

Net income for 2008 was \$130.6 million or \$2.66 per diluted share. Net income for 2007 was \$109.6 million or \$2.24 per diluted share. Net income improved primarily due to sales increases.

Net sales by Segment The following table shows the Company's net sales by business segment:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Freight Group | \$ 773,523 | \$ 734,173 |
| Transit Group | 801,226 | 625,915 |
| Net sales | \$ 1,574,749 | \$ 1,360,088 |

Freight Group sales increased by \$39.4 million or 5.4% due to increased sales from international expansion of \$18 million, increased sales from heat exchangers of \$16.6 million, sales of \$11.2 million for aftermarket brake products, and sales of \$11.1 million from an acquisition completed in the fourth quarter of 2008. Offsetting these increases were decreases of \$20.9 million in locomotive component, repair and refurbishment services. Transit Group sales increased by \$175.3 million or 28% due to increased sales of brake products of \$52.1 million, contracts related to transit authorities of \$37.6 million, increased sales of \$21.8 million related to refurbishment of transit cars, and sales of \$48 million for the full year results from acquisitions completed in 2007 and the acquisitions completed in the second quarter of 2008.

Gross profit Gross profit increased to \$427.2 million in 2008 compared to \$369.6 million in 2007. Gross profit is dependent on a number of factors including pricing, sales volume and product mix. In 2008, gross profit, as a percentage of sales, was 27.1% compared to 27.2% in 2007. The gross profit percentage was flat due to the changing mix of revenues from Freight to Transit as Transit margins tend to be lower than Freight. This was offset by ongoing efficiency and cost saving initiatives. The provision for warranty expense is generally established for specific losses, along with historical estimates of customer claims as a percentage of sales. The provision for warranty expense was \$10.7 more in 2008 compared to 2007 because of increased sales, particularly for large transit authority contracts which ramped up in 2008. The warranty reserve increased at December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007 by \$8.4 million due to \$4 million from acquisitions completed in 2008, and primarily from customer claims or transit authority contracts.

Operating expenses The following table shows our operating expenses:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | Percent Change |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 170,597 | \$ 148,437 | 14.9% |
| Engineering expenses | 38,981 | 37,434 | 4.1% |
| Amortization expense | 5,092 | 4,007 | 27.1% |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 214,670 | \$ 189,878 | 13.1% |

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$22.2 million in 2008 compared to 2007 mostly due to the acquisitions that were completed during the fourth quarter of 2008. Engineering expenses increased by \$1.5 million in 2008 compared to 2007 mostly due to those same acquisitions. Amortization expense increased \$1.0 million due to acquisitions. Total operating expenses were 13.6% and 14.0% of sales for 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

Income from operations Income from operations totaled \$212.5 million (or 13.5% of sales) in 2008 compared to \$179.7 million (or 13.2% of sales) in 2007. Income from operations improved primarily due to sales increases.

Interest expense, net Interest expense, net increased \$4.9 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to the Company's overall lower cash balances, resulting in lower interest income, and acquisition financing incurred in the fourth quarter in connection with the acquisition of Standard Car Truck.

Other expense, net Other expense, net decreased \$3.9 million in 2008 compared to 2007. The Company recorded foreign exchange expense of \$399,000 and \$3.2 million, respectively, in 2008 and 2007, due to the effect of currency exchange rate changes on intercompany transactions that are non U.S. dollar denominated amounts and charged or credited to earnings.

Income taxes The effective income tax rate was 36.1% and 36.6% in 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily the result of a \$1.0 million net tax benefit recognized in 2008 due to the expiration of statutory review periods and current examinations in various tax jurisdictions.

Net income Net income for 2008 increased \$21.0 million, compared to 2007. Net income improved primarily due to sales increases.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is provided by operating cash flow and borrowings under the Company's unsecured credit facility with a consortium of commercial banks. The following is a summary of selected cash flow information and other relevant data:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Cash provided by (used for): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 160,521 | \$ 159,384 | \$ 142,509 |
| Investing activities | (115,221) | (417,441) | (93,536) |
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from debt | 197,500 | 236,000 | |
| Payments of debt | (193,324) | (385) | (657) |
| Stock repurchase | (19,654) | (45,796) | (17,888) |
| Cash dividends | (1,917) | (1,940) | (1,944) |
| Other | 4,438 | 1,832 | 7,504 |

Operating activities. Cash provided by operations in 2009 was \$160.5 million as compared to \$159.4 million in 2008. This \$1.1 million increase in cash provided by operations resulted from a net decrease in working capital, offset by lower net income. The decrease in accounts receivables resulted in an improvement of \$101.4 million. The decrease in inventories resulted in an improvement of \$76.1 million. Accounts payable used cash of \$62.5 million due to a reduction in purchasing. These changes were the result of overall reduced volume of sales. Accrued liabilities and customer deposits used cash of \$87.7 million due to a reduction in customer deposits on contracts. Other assets and liabilities, including accrued income taxes, used cash of \$6.4 million. Net income for the Company decreased \$15.5 million primarily as a result of decreased sales, due to the economic recession.

Cash provided by operations in 2008 was \$159.4 million as compared to \$142.5 million in 2007. This \$16.9 million increase was the result of increased earnings offset by certain changes in operating assets and liabilities. Net income for the Company increased \$21.0 million primarily as a result of increased sales. Although account

Table of Contents

receivable continued to increase due to higher overall sales, the increase was less than the increase in 2007 which resulted in a \$10.8 million improvement. Inventories increased in 2008 about \$28.4 million more than 2007 due to higher inventory on hand for large contracts requirements and overall higher sales activity. Accounts payable increased less in 2008 compared to 2007 by \$18.4 million resulting in less cash generated. Customer deposits and other accruals increased compared to 2007 resulting in a cash increase of \$35.8 million. Cash was generated due to the collection of large customer deposits on certain long term contracts. Other assets and liabilities, including accrued income taxes, used cash of \$9.1 million compared to 2007.

Investing activities. In 2009 and 2008, cash used in investing activities was \$115.2 million and \$417.4 million, respectively. In 2009, Wabtec acquired Unifin International LP, and its affiliate, Cardinal Pumps and Exchangers, Inc. for \$92.9 million, net of cash received. During 2009 the Company also paid \$4.7 million as part of the working capital settlement for the Poli acquisition and \$3.4 million to acquire certain other assets. In 2009, the Company sold a facility for net cash proceeds of \$3.6 million to an unrelated third party. In 2008, Wabtec acquired 100% of the stock of Poli SpA and Standard Car Truck for \$82.3 million and \$302.9 million, respectively, net of cash received. Other investments include \$8.4 million for certain operations in China, and \$4.5 million for certain assets to support our train management business. In 2007, Wabtec acquired 100% of the stock of Ricon Corporation for \$73.6 million, net of cash received. Capital expenditures were \$18.3 million, \$19.7 million, and \$19.7 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Financing activities. In 2009, cash used for financing activities was \$13.0 million, which included \$197.5 million in proceeds from debt and \$162.5 million of repayments of debt on the revolving credit facility, \$30.8 million of debt repayments on the term loan and other debt, \$1.9 million of dividend payments and \$19.7 million of Wabtec stock repurchases. In 2008, cash provided by financing activities was \$189.7 million, which included \$236.0 million of borrowings under the new credit facility, offset by \$45.8 million of Wabtec stock repurchases.

The following table shows outstanding indebtedness at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| 6.875% senior notes, due 2013 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 |
| Term Loan Facility | 170,000 | 200,000 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 71,000 | 36,000 |
| Capital Leases | 780 | 1,080 |
| Total | 391,780 | 387,080 |
| Less current portion | 32,741 | 30,381 |
| Long-term portion | \$ 359,039 | \$ 356,699 |

Cash balance at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$188.7 million and \$141.8 million, respectively.

2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement

On November 4, 2008, the Company refinanced its existing unsecured revolving credit agreement with a consortium of commercial banks. This 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement provides the company with a \$300 million five-year revolving credit facility and a \$200 million five-year term loan facility. The Company incurred \$2.9 million of deferred financing cost related to the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement. Both facilities expire in January 2013. The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement borrowings bear variable interest rates indexed to the indices described below. At December 31, 2009 the weighted average interest rate on the Company's variable rate debt was 1.49%. At December 31, 2009, the Company had available bank borrowing capacity, net of \$28.6 million of letters of credit, of approximately \$200.4 million, subject to certain financial covenant restrictions.

Under the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement, the Company may elect a Base Rate of interest or an interest rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) of interest (the Alternate Rate). The Base Rate

Table of Contents

adjusts on a daily basis and is the greater of the PNC, N.A. prime rate, 30-day LIBOR plus 150 basis points or the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% per annum, plus a margin that ranges from 25 to 50 basis points. The Alternate rate is based on quoted LIBOR rates plus a margin that ranges from 125 to 200 basis points. Both the Base Rate and Alternate Rate margins are dependent on the Company's consolidated total indebtedness to cash flow ratios. The Base Rate margin is zero basis points and the initial Alternate Rate margin is 125 basis points. To reduce the impact of interest rate changes on a portion of this variable-rate debt, the Company entered into an interest rate swap which effectively converted a portion of the debt from variable to fixed-rate borrowing during the term of the swap contract. On December 31, 2009, the notional value of the interest rate swap outstanding was \$92.5 million and effectively changed the Company's interest rate on bank debt at December 31, 2009 from a variable rate to a fixed rate of 1.84%. The interest rate swap agreement matures in January 2010. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty. However, since only the cash interest payments are exchanged, exposure is significantly less than the notional amount. The counterparty is a large financial institution and the Company does not anticipate nonperformance.

The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement limits the Company's ability to declare or pay cash dividends and prohibits the Company from declaring or making other distributions, subject to certain exceptions. The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement contains various other covenants and restrictions including the following limitations: incurrence of additional indebtedness; mergers, consolidations, sales of assets and acquisitions; additional liens; sale and leasebacks; permissible investments, loans and advances; certain debt payments; and imposes a minimum interest expense coverage ratio of 3.0 and a maximum debt to cash flow ratio of 3.25. The Company is in compliance with these measurements and covenants and expects that these measurements will not be any type of limiting factor in executing our operating activities. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

6.875% Senior Notes Due August 2013. In August 2003, the Company issued \$150 million of Senior Notes due in 2013 (the Notes). The Notes were issued at par. Interest on the Notes accrues at a rate of 6.875% per annum and is payable semi-annually on January 31 and July 31 of each year. The proceeds were used to repay debt outstanding under the Company's existing credit agreement, and for general corporate purposes. The principal balance is due in full at maturity.

The Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company and rank pari passu with all existing and future senior debt and senior to all our existing and future subordinated indebtedness of the Company. The indenture under which the Notes were issued contains covenants and restrictions which limit among other things, the following: the incurrence of indebtedness, payment of dividends and certain distributions, sale of assets, change in control, mergers and consolidations and the incurrence of liens. The Company is in compliance with these measurements and covenants and expects that these measurements will not be any type of limiting factor in executing our operating activities.

Management believes that based on current levels of operations and forecasted earnings, cash flow and liquidity will be sufficient to fund working capital and capital equipment needs as well as meeting debt service requirements. If sources of funds were to fail to satisfy the Company's cash requirements, the Company may need to refinance our existing debt or obtain additional financing. There is no assurance that such new financing alternatives would be available, and, in any case, such new financing, if available, would be expected to be more costly and burdensome than the debt agreements currently in place.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company is obligated to make future payments under various contracts such as debt agreements, lease agreements and have certain contingent commitments such as debt guarantees. The Company has grouped these contractual obligations and off-balance sheet arrangements into operating activities, financing activities, and investing activities in the same manner as they are classified in the Statement of Consolidated Cash Flows to provide a better understanding of the nature of the obligations and arrangements and to provide a basis for comparison to historical information. The table below provides a summary of contractual obligations and off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2009:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 3 years | 3 5 years | More than 5 years |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Operating activities: | | | | | |
| Purchase obligations (1) | \$ 36,622 | \$ 31,442 | \$ 5,180 | \$ | \$ |
| Operating leases (2) | 38,192 | 6,496 | 11,105 | 8,966 | 11,625 |
| Pension benefit payments (3) | | 11,254 | 21,697 | 20,981 | |
| Postretirement benefit payments (4) | | 2,107 | 4,150 | 4,218 | |
| Financing activities: | | | | | |
| Interest payments (5) | 45,672 | 13,693 | 25,640 | 6,114 | 225 |
| Long-term debt (6) | 391,780 | 32,741 | 80,341 | 278,560 | 138 |
| Dividends to shareholders (7) | | | | | |
| Investing activities: | | | | | |
| Capital projects (8) | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | |
| Other: | | | | | |
| Standby letters of credit (9) | 28,786 | 25,519 | 460 | 2,807 | |
| Total | | \$ 148,252 | \$ 148,573 | \$ 321,646 | |

- (1) Purchase obligations for the purposes of this disclosure have been defined as a contractual obligation that is in excess of \$100,000 annually, and \$200,000 in total.
- (2) Future minimum payments for operating leases are disclosed by year in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.
- (3) Annual payments to participants are expected to continue into the foreseeable future at the amounts or ranges noted. Pension benefit payments are based on actuarial estimates using current assumptions for discount rates, expected return on long-term assets and rate of compensation increases. The Company expects to contribute about \$9.4 million to pension plan investments in 2010. See further disclosure in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.
- (4) Annual payments to participants are expected to continue into the foreseeable future at the amounts or ranges noted. Postretirement payments are based on actuarial estimates using current assumptions for discount rates and health care costs. See further disclosure in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.
- (5) Interest payments are payable January and July of each year at 6.875% of \$150 million Senior Notes due in 2013. Interest payments for the Term Loan Facility, Revolving Credit Facility and Capital Leases are based on contractual terms and the Company's current interest rates.
- (6) Scheduled principal repayments of outstanding loan balances are disclosed in Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.
- (7) Shareholder dividends are subject to approval by the Company's Board of Directors, currently at an annual rate of approximately \$1.9 million.
- (8) The annual capital expenditure budget is subject to approval by the Board of Directors. The 2010 budget amount was approved at the December 2009 Board of Directors meeting.
- (9) The Company has \$28.6 million in outstanding letters of credit for performance and bid bond purposes, which expire in various dates through 2013. Amounts include interest payments based on contractual terms and the Company's current interest rate.

Table of Contents

The above table does not reflect uncertain tax positions of \$10.0 million, the timing of which are uncertain except for \$589,000 that may become payable during 2010. Refer to Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on uncertain tax positions.

Obligations for operating activities. The Company has entered into \$36.6 million of material long-term non-cancelable materials and supply purchase obligations. Operating leases represent multi-year obligations for rental of facilities and equipment. Estimated pension funding and post retirement benefit payments are based on actuarial estimates using current assumptions for discount rates, expected return on long-term assets, rate of compensation increases and health care cost trend rates. Benefits paid for pension obligations were \$16.0 million and \$14.8 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. Benefits paid for post retirement plans were \$1.9 million and \$2.0 million in 2009 and in 2008, respectively.

Obligations for financing activities. Cash requirements for financing activities consist primarily of long-term debt repayments, interest payments and dividend payments to shareholders. The Company has historically paid quarterly dividends to shareholders, subject to quarterly approval by our Board of Directors, currently at a rate of approximately \$1.9 million annually.

The Company arranges for performance bonds to be issued by third party insurance companies to support certain long term customer contracts. At December 31, 2009 initial value of performance bonds issued on the Company's behalf is about \$153.9 million.

Obligations for investing activities. The Company typically spends approximately \$20 million to \$30 million a year for capital expenditures, primarily related to facility expansion efficiency and modernization, health and safety, and environmental control. The Company expects annual capital expenditures in the future will be within this range.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding the impact of certain recent accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements, see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Forward Looking Statements

We believe that all statements other than statements of historical facts included in this report, including certain statements under Business and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, may constitute forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Although we believe that our assumptions made in connection with the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure that our assumptions and expectations are correct.

These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us, including, among other things:

Economic and industry conditions

prolonged unfavorable economic and industry conditions in the markets served by us, including North America, South America, Europe, Australia, Asia, and South Africa;

further decline in demand for freight cars, locomotives, passenger transit cars, buses and related products and services;

reliance on major original equipment manufacturer customers;

Table of Contents

original equipment manufacturers program delays;

demand for services in the freight and passenger rail industry;

demand for our products and services;

orders either being delayed, cancelled, not returning to historical levels, or reduced or any combination of the foregoing;

consolidations in the rail industry;

continued outsourcing by our customers; industry demand for faster and more efficient braking equipment;

fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates; or

availability of credit;

Operating factors

supply disruptions;

technical difficulties;

changes in operating conditions and costs;

increases in raw material costs;

successful introduction of new products;

performance under material long-term contracts;

labor relations;

completion and integration of acquisitions; or

the development and use of new technology;

Competitive factors

the actions of competitors;

Political/governmental factors

political stability in relevant areas of the world;

future regulation/deregulation of our customers and/or the rail industry;

levels of governmental funding on transit projects, including for some of our customers;

political developments and laws and regulations;

federal and state income tax legislation; or

the outcome of our existing or any future legal proceedings, including litigation involving our principal customers and any litigation with respect to environmental, asbestos-related matters and pension liabilities; and

Transaction or commercial factors

the outcome of negotiations with partners, governments, suppliers, customers or others.

Table of Contents

Statements in this 10-K apply only as of the date on which such statements are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires Management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions regarding uncertainties that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Areas of uncertainty that require judgments, estimates and assumptions include the accounting for derivatives, environmental matters, warranty reserves, the testing of goodwill and other intangibles for impairment, proceeds on assets to be sold, pensions and other postretirement benefits, and tax matters. Management uses historical experience and all available information to make these judgments and estimates, and actual results will inevitably differ from those estimates and assumptions that are used to prepare the Company's financial statements at any given time. Despite these inherent limitations, Management believes that Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) and the financial statements and related footnotes provide a meaningful and fair perspective of the Company. A discussion of the judgments and uncertainties associated with accounting for derivatives and environmental matters can be found in Notes 2 and 19, respectively, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies is included in Note 2 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report. Management believes that the application of these policies on a consistent basis enables the Company to provide the users of the financial statements with useful and reliable information about the Company's operating results and financial condition.

| Description | Judgments and Uncertainties | Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions |
|---|---|--|
| Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: | | |
| The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts to cover anticipated losses on uncollectible accounts receivable. | The allowance for doubtful accounts receivable reflects our best estimate of probable losses inherent in our receivable portfolio determined on the basis of historical experience, specific allowances for known troubled accounts and other currently available evidence. | If our estimates regarding the collectability of troubled accounts, and/or our actual losses within our receivable portfolio exceed our historical experience, we may be exposed to the expense of increasing our allowance for doubtful accounts. |
| Inventories: | | |
| Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. | Cost is determined under the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventory costs include material, labor and overhead. | If the market value of our products were to decrease due to changing market conditions, the Company could be at risk of incurring the cost of additional reserves to adjust inventory value to a market value lower than stated cost. |

Table of Contents

| Description | Judgments and Uncertainties | Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Inventory is reviewed to ensure that an adequate provision is recognized for excess, slow moving and obsolete inventories.</p> | <p>The Company compares inventory components to prior year sales history and current backlog and anticipated future requirements. To the extent that inventory parts exceed estimated usage and demand, a reserve is recognized to reduce the carrying value of inventory. Also, specific reserves are established for known inventory obsolescence.</p> | <p>If our estimates regarding sales and backlog requirements are inaccurate, we may be exposed to the expense of increasing our reserves for slow moving and obsolete inventory.</p> |
| | | |
| Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangibles: | | |
| <p>Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles are required to be tested for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual impairment test during the fourth quarter and more frequently when indicators of impairment are present. The Company reviews goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level. The Company's reporting units are the same as its reportable segments. The evaluation of impairment involves comparing the current fair value of the business to the recorded value (including goodwill).</p> | <p>We use a combination of a guideline public company market approach and a discounted cash flow model (DCF model) to determine the current fair value of the business. A number of significant assumptions and estimates are involved in the application of the DCF model to forecast operating cash flows, including markets and market share, sales volume and pricing, costs to produce and working capital changes. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company used a discount rate for each of its reporting units ranging from 14% to 20% and a terminal growth of 3%. The difference in discount rates is based on the underlying markets and risks associated with each reporting unit.</p> | <p>Management considers historical experience and all available information at the time the fair values of its business are estimated. However, actual amounts realized may differ from those used to evaluate the impairment of goodwill.</p> <p>If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and judgments used in estimating future cash flows and asset fair values, we may be exposed to additional impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations.</p> |
| | | |
| Warranty Reserves: | | |
| <p>The Company provides warranty reserves to cover expected costs from repairing or replacing products with durability, quality or workmanship issues occurring during established warranty periods.</p> | <p>In general, reserves are provided for as a percentage of sales, based on historical experience. In addition, specific reserves are established for known warranty issues and their estimable losses.</p> | <p>If actual results are not consistent with the assumptions and judgments used to calculate our warranty liability, the Company may be at risk of realizing material gains or losses.</p> |

Table of Contents

| Description | Judgments and Uncertainties | Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Accounting for Pensions and Postretirement Benefits:</p> | <p>Significant judgments and estimates are used in determining the liabilities and expenses for pensions and other postretirement benefits.</p> | <p>If assumptions used in determining the pension and other postretirement benefits change significantly, these costs can fluctuate materially from period to period.</p> |
| <p>These amounts are determined using actuarial methodologies and incorporate significant assumptions, including the rate used to discount the future estimated liability, the long-term rate of return on plan assets and several assumptions relating to the employee workforce (salary increases, medical costs, retirement age and mortality).</p> | <p>The rate used to discount future estimated liabilities is determined considering the rates available at year-end on debt instruments that could be used to settle the obligations of the plan. The long-term rate of return is estimated by considering historical returns and expected returns on current and projected asset allocations and is generally applied to a five-year average market value of assets.</p> | |
| <p>Income Taxes:</p> | <p>The estimate of our tax obligations are uncertain because Management must use judgment to estimate the exposures associated with our various filing positions, as well as realization of our deferred tax assets.</p> | <p>Management uses its best judgment in the determination of these amounts. However, the liabilities ultimately realized and paid are dependent on various matters including the resolution of the tax audits in the various affected tax jurisdictions and may differ from the amounts recorded.</p> |
| <p>Wabtec records an estimated liability or benefit for income and other taxes based on what it determines will likely be paid in various tax jurisdictions in which it operates in accordance with ASC 740-10 Accounting for Income Taxes and Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.</p> | <p>ASC 740-10 establishes a recognition and measurement threshold to determine the amount of tax benefit that should be recognized related to uncertain tax positions.</p> | <p>An adjustment to the estimated liability would be recorded through income in the period in which it becomes probable that the amount of the actual liability differs from the recorded amount.</p> |
| <p>Revenue Recognition:</p> | <p>Revenue is recognized when products have been shipped to the respective customers, title has passed and the price for the product has been determined.</p> | <p>A deferred tax valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.</p> |
| <p>Revenue is recognized in accordance with ASC-605 Revenue Recognition.</p> | | <p>Should market conditions and customer demands dictate changes to our standard shipping terms, the Company may be impacted by longer than typical revenue recognition cycles.</p> |

Table of Contents

| Description | Judgments and Uncertainties | Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions |
|--|---|---|
| The Company recognizes revenues on long-term contracts based on the percentage of completion method of accounting. The units-of-delivery method or other input-based or output-based measures, as appropriate, are used to measure the progress toward completion of individual contracts. Contract revenues and cost estimates are reviewed and revised at a minimum quarterly and adjustments are reflected in the accounting period as such amounts are determined. | For long-term contracts, revenues and cost estimates are reviewed and revised at a minimum quarterly and adjustments are reflected in the accounting period as such amounts are determined. | Provisions are made currently for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts. |
| Certain pre-production costs relating to long term production and supply contracts have been deferred and will be recognized over the life of the contracts. | Pre-production costs are recognized over the expected life of the contract usually based on the Company's progress toward the estimated number of units expected to be delivered under the production or supply contract. | A charge to expense for unrecognized portions of pre-production costs could be realized if the Company's estimate of the number of units to be delivered changes or the underlying contract is cancelled. |

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**Interest Rate Risk**

In the ordinary course of business, Wabtec is exposed to risks that increases in interest rates may adversely affect funding costs associated with its variable-rate debt. The Company's variable rate debt represents 38% and 61% of total long-term debt at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. On an annual basis a 1% change in the interest rate for variable rate debt at December 31, 2009 would increase or decrease interest expense by \$1.5 million.

To reduce the impact of interest rate changes on a portion of this variable-rate debt, the Company entered into an interest rate swap which effectively converted a portion of the debt from variable to fixed-rate borrowing during the term of the swap contract. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty. However, since only the cash interest payments are exchanged, exposure is significantly less than the notional amount. The counterparty is a large financial institution and the Company does not anticipate nonperformance. The Company concluded that the interest rate swap agreement qualifies for special cash flow hedge accounting which permits the recording of the fair value of the interest rate swap agreement and corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had an interest rate swap agreement with a notional value of \$92.5 million and which effectively changed the Company's interest rate on bank debt at December 31, 2009 from a variable rate to a fixed rate of 1.84%. The interest rate swap agreement matures in January 2010. As of December 31, 2009, the Company recorded a current liability of \$63,000 and a corresponding offset in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$38,000, net of tax.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

The Company has entered into foreign currency forward contracts to reduce the impact of changes in currency exchange rates. Forward contracts are agreements with a counterparty to exchange two distinct currencies at a set exchange rate for delivery on a set date at some point in the future. There is no exchange of funds until the delivery date. At the delivery date the Company can either take delivery of the currency or settle on a net basis.

We occasionally enter into several types of financial instruments for the purpose of managing our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations in countries in which we have significant operations. As of December 31, 2009, we had several such instruments outstanding to hedge currency rate fluctuation in 2010.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had forward contracts for the sale of South African Rand (ZAR) and the purchase of U.S. Dollars (USD). The Company concluded that these foreign currency forward contracts qualify for cash flow hedge accounting which permits the recording of the fair value of the forward contract and corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had forward contracts with a notional value of \$10.1 million ZAR (or \$1.2 million U.S.) with an average exchange rate of \$8.21 ZAR per \$1 USD, resulting in the recording of a current liability of \$110,000 and a corresponding offset in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$70,000, net of tax.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had forward contracts for the sale of South African Rand (ZAR) and the purchase of U.S. Dollars (USD). The Company concluded that these foreign currency forward contracts qualify for cash flow hedge accounting which permits the recording of the fair value of the forward contract and corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had forward contracts with a notional value of \$5.0 million ZAR (or \$557,000 U.S.) with an average exchange rate of \$8.97 ZAR per \$1 USD, resulting in the recording of a current asset of \$41,000 and a corresponding offset in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$26,000, net of tax.

We are also subject to certain risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates to the extent our operations are conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. For the year ended December 31, 2009, approximately 60% of Wabtec's net sales were to the United States, 10% to Canada, 2% to Mexico, 4% to Australia, 2% to Germany, 10% to the United Kingdom, and 12% in other international locations. (See Note 20 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report).

Our market risk exposure is not substantially different from our exposure at December 31, 2008.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial statements and supplementary data are set forth in Item 15, of Part IV hereof.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no disagreements with our independent public accountants.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Wabtec's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer have evaluated the effectiveness of Wabtec's disclosure controls and procedures, (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of December 31, 2009. Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that

Table of Contents

Wabtec's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Wabtec in the reports filed or submitted by it under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Wabtec in such reports is accumulated and communicated to Wabtec's Management, including its principal executive officer and principal finance officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in Wabtec's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Wabtec's internal control over financial reporting. Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting and the attestation report of the registered public accounting firm are included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appears on page 44 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Ernst & Young's attestation report on internal control over financial reporting appears on page 46 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Items 10 through 14.**

In accordance with the provisions of General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, the information required by Item 10 (Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance), Item 11 (Executive Compensation), Item 12 (Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters), Item 13 (Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence) and Item 14 (Principal Accounting Fees and Services) is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2010, except for the Equity Compensation Plan Information required by Item 12, which is set forth in the table below. The definitive Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after December 31, 2009. Information relating to the executive officers of the Company is set forth in Part I.

Wabtec has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Officers which is applicable to all of our executive officers. As described in Item 1 of this report the Code of Ethics for Senior Officers is posted on our website at www.wabtec.com. In the event that we make any amendments to or waivers from this code, we will disclose the amendment or waiver and the reasons for such on our website.

This table provides aggregate information as of December 31, 2009 concerning equity awards under Wabtec's compensation plans and arrangements.

| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders | 1,119,253 | \$ 23.89 | 1,194,189 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders | | | |
| Total | 1,119,253 | \$ 23.89 | 1,194,189 |

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

The financial statements, financial statement schedules and exhibits listed below are filed as part of this annual report:

| | Page | |
|--------|-------------|---|
| (a) | | |
| (1) | | Financial Statements and Reports on Internal Control |
| | | <u>Management's Reports to Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation Shareholders</u> |
| | 44 | |
| | | <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> |
| | 45 | |
| | | <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> |
| | 46 | |
| | | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008</u> |
| | 47 | |
| | | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u> |
| | 48 | |
| | | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u> |
| | 49 | |
| | | <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u> |
| | 50 | |
| | | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| | 51 | |
| (2) | | Financial Statement Schedules |
| | | <u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> |
| | 89 | |
| | | |
| | | Filing Method |
| (b) | | |
| | | Exhibits |
| 2.1 | | Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended (originally included as Annex A to the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus) |
| | 3 | |
| 3.1 | | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company dated January 30, 1995, as amended March 30, 1995 |
| | 2 | |
| 3.2 | | Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company, effective December 13, 2007 |
| | 7 | |
| 4.1(a) | | Indenture with the Bank of New York as Trustee dated as of August 6, 2003 |
| | 5 | |
| 4.1(b) | | Resolutions Adopted July 23, 2003 by the Board of Directors establishing the terms of the offering of up to \$150,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 6.875% Notes due 2013 |
| | 5 | |
| 4.2 | | Purchase Agreement, dated July 23, 2003, by and between the Company and the initial purchasers |
| | 5 | |
| 4.3 | | Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 6, 2003 |
| | 5 | |
| 10.1 | | Indemnification Agreement dated January 31, 1995 between the Company and the Voting Trust Trustees |
| | 2 | |

Table of Contents

| | Filing Method |
|--|--------------------------|
| 10.2 Agreement of Sale and Purchase of the North American Operations of the Railway Products Group, an operating division of American Standard Inc. (now known as Trane), dated as of 1990 between Rail Acquisition Corp. and American Standard Inc. (only provisions on indemnification are reproduced) | 2 |
| 10.3 Letter Agreement (undated) between the Company and American Standard Inc. (now known as Trane) on environmental costs and sharing | 2 |
| 10.4 Purchase Agreement dated as of June 17, 1992 among the Company, Schuller International, Inc., Manville Corporation and European Overseas Corporation (only provisions on indemnification are reproduced) | 2 |
| 10.5 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of January 23, 1995 among the Company, Pulse Acquisition Corporation, Pulse Electronics, Inc., Pulse Embedded Computer Systems, Inc. and the Pulse Shareholders (Schedules and Exhibits omitted) | 2 |
| 10.6 Letter Agreement dated as of January 19, 1995 between the Company and Vestar Capital Partners, Inc. | 2 |
| 10.7 Westinghouse Air Brake Company 1995 Non-Employee Directors Fee and Stock Option Plan, as amended | 9 |
| 10.8 Letter Agreement dated as of January 1, 1995 between the Company and Vestar Capital Partners, Inc. | 2 |
| 10.9 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and Authorized Representatives | 2 |
| 10.10 Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended | 9 |
| 10.11 Asset Purchase Agreement, by and between General Electric Company, through its GE Transportation Systems business and Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation, dated as of July 24, 2001 | 4 |
| 10.12 Sale and Purchase Agreement, by and between Rütgers Rail S.p.A. and the Company, dated August 12, 2004 | 6 |
| 10.13 Amendment Agreement dated January 28, 2005 by and among Rütgers Rail S.p.A., the Company, CoFren S.r.l. and RFPC Holding Company to the Sale and Purchase Agreement dated August 12, 2004 | 6 |
| 10.14 Employment Agreement with Albert J. Neupaver, dated February 1, 2006 * | 8 |
| 10.15 Restricted Stock Agreement with Albert J. Neupaver, dated February 1, 2006 * | 8 |
| 10.16 Stock Purchase Agreement, by and among Wabtec Holding Company, certain shareholders of Schaefer Manufacturing, Inc. and CCP Limited Partnership, dated October 6, 2006 | 10 |
| 10.17 Share Purchase Agreement, by and between BBA Holding Deutschland GmbH and Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation, dated November 27, 2006 (Exhibits and Schedules omitted, but will be provided to the Commission upon request) | 11 |
| 10.18 Share Purchase Agreement dated as of June 8, 2007 among the Company, RICON Acquisition Corp., RICON Corp., CGW Southeast Partners IV, L.P. and William L. Baldwin | 12 |
| 10.19 Stock Purchase Agreement, by and between the Company and Polinvest S.r.l., dated May 16, 2008 | 13 |

Table of Contents

| | Filing Method |
|---|--------------------------|
| 10.20 Stock Purchase Agreement, by and among the Company, Standard Car Truck Company and Robelif, Inc., dated September 12, 2008 | 14 |
| 10.21 Refinancing Credit Agreement by and among the Company, the Guarantors, various lenders, PNC Bank, National Association, PNC Capital Markets LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., RBS Greenwich Capital, JP Morgan Chase Bank, Bank of America, N.A., Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania, the Bank of Nova Scotia and First Commonwealth Bank, dated as of November 4, 2008 | 1 |
| 10.22 Form of Employment Continuation Agreement entered into by the Company with Albert J. Neupaver, Alvaro Garcia-Tunon, Charles F. Kovac, Raymond T. Betler, Richard A. Mathes, R. Mark Cox, Scott E. Wahlstrom and Timothy R. Wesley * | 15 |
| 21 List of subsidiaries of the Company | 1 |
| 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP | 1 |
| 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications | 1 |
| 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications | 1 |
| 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications | 1 |
| 1 Filed herewith. | |
| 2 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 33-90866). | |
| 3 Filed as part of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-88903). | |
| 4 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 13, 2001. | |
| 5 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-110600). | |
| 6 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004. | |
| 7 Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 13, 2007. | |
| 8 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006 | |
| 9 Filed as an Annex to the Company's Schedule 14A Proxy Statement filed on April 13, 2006. | |
| 10 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006. | |
| 11 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2006. | |
| 12 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007. | |
| 13 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008. | |
| 14 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008. | |
| 15 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009. | |

* Management contract or compensatory plan.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORTS TO WABTEC SHAREHOLDERS

Management's Report on Financial Statements and Practices

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) were prepared by Management, which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. The statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and include amounts that are based on Management's best judgments and estimates. The other financial information included in the 10-K is consistent with that in the financial statements.

Management also recognizes its responsibility for conducting the Company's affairs according to the highest standards of personal and corporate conduct. This responsibility is characterized and reflected in key policy statements issued from time to time regarding, among other things, conduct of its business activities within the laws of host countries in which the Company operates and potentially conflicting outside business interests of its employees. The Company maintains a systematic program to assess compliance with these policies.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, Management has conducted an assessment, including testing, using the criteria in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's system of internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has excluded Unifin International LP, and its affiliate, Cardinal Pumps and Exchangers, Inc. (Unifin) from its assessment of internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 because Unifin was acquired by the Company in a purchase business combination effective October 1, 2009. Unifin is a wholly owned subsidiaries whose total assets represents 12.4% and net income represents 0.8%, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Based on its assessment, Management has concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the COSO. The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by Management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As explained in Note 11 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for the year ended December 31, 2007 the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, subsequently codified in Topic 740, Income Taxes, of the Accounting Standards Codification. As explained in Note 2 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for the year ended December 31, 2009 the Company adopted the December 2007 amendment to Accounting Standard Codification Topic 810, Consolidation.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 24, 2010

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation:

We have audited Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Unifin International LP, and its affiliate, Cardinal Pumps and Exchangers, Inc. (Unifin), which is included in the 2009 consolidated financial statements of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation and constituted 12.4% of total assets as of December 31, 2009, and 0.8% of net income for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Unifin.

In our opinion, Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 of Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation and our report dated February 24, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 24, 2010

Table of Contents**WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| <i>In thousands, except share and par value</i> | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 188,659 | \$ 141,805 |
| Accounts receivable | 208,260 | 273,560 |
| Inventories | 239,333 | 264,158 |
| Deferred income taxes | 40,533 | 31,133 |
| Other | 12,724 | 14,693 |
| Total current assets | 689,509 | 725,349 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 451,996 | 431,604 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (250,289) | (224,056) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 201,707 | 207,548 |
| Other Assets | | |
| Goodwill | 482,978 | 319,449 |
| Other intangibles, net | 187,630 | 236,740 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,964 | 1,052 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 19,047 | 17,382 |
| Total other assets | 694,619 | 574,623 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,585,835 | \$ 1,507,520 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 119,895 | \$ 162,633 |
| Customer deposits | 44,251 | 80,353 |
| Accrued compensation | 30,423 | 36,483 |
| Accrued warranty | 20,025 | 20,933 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 32,741 | 30,381 |
| Commitments and contingencies | 373 | 451 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 57,640 | 56,817 |
| Total current liabilities | 305,348 | 388,051 |
| Long-term debt | 359,039 | 356,699 |
| Reserve for postretirement and pension benefits | 64,078 | 69,343 |
| Deferred income taxes | 52,156 | 12,870 |
| Commitments and contingencies | 1,110 | 1,019 |
| Accrued warranty | 9,182 | 9,743 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 16,009 | 23,988 |
| Total liabilities | 806,922 | 861,713 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, 1,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 66,174,767 shares issued and 47,688,695 and 47,907,357 outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively | 662 | 662 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 329,707 | 328,587 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 18,486,072 and 18,267,410 shares, at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively | (289,137) | (276,421) |
| Retained earnings | 766,221 | 653,083 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (30,546) | (60,540) |
| Total Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation shareholders' equity | 776,907 | 645,371 |
| Non-controlling interest | 2,006 | 436 |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Total shareholders' equity | 778,913 | 645,807 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 1,585,835 | \$ 1,507,520 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| <i>In thousands, except per share data</i> | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net sales | \$ 1,401,616 | \$ 1,574,749 | \$ 1,360,088 |
| Cost of sales | (1,008,290) | (1,147,563) | (990,469) |
| Gross profit | 393,326 | 427,186 | 369,619 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (160,998) | (170,597) | (148,437) |
| Engineering expenses | (42,447) | (38,981) | (37,434) |
| Amortization expense | (9,849) | (5,092) | (4,007) |
| Total operating expenses | (213,294) | (214,670) | (189,878) |
| Income from operations | 180,032 | 212,516 | 179,741 |
| Other income and expenses | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (16,674) | (8,508) | (3,637) |
| Other income (expense), net | 1 | 292 | (3,650) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 163,359 | 204,300 | 172,454 |
| Income tax expense | (48,304) | (73,746) | (63,067) |
| Income from continuing operations | 115,055 | 130,554 | 109,387 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations (net of tax) | | (3) | 183 |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 115,055 | \$ 130,551 | \$ 109,570 |
| Earnings Per Common Share | | | |
| Basic | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 2.41 | \$ 2.69 | \$ 2.25 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | | 0.01 |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 2.41 | \$ 2.69 | \$ 2.26 |
| Diluted | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 2.24 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | | |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 2.24 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 47,499 | 48,232 | 48,263 |
| Diluted | 47,977 | 48,847 | 48,873 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| <i>In thousands</i> | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 115,055 | \$ 130,551 | \$ 109,570 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operations: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,519 | 29,826 | 29,140 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 3,620 | 10,475 | 11,252 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,391 | 4,870 | (2,278) |
| (Gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment | (2,913) | 2,647 | 4,324 |
| Excess income tax benefits from exercise of stock options | (1,906) | (1,922) | (2,098) |
| Discontinued operations | | (38) | (232) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 80,541 | (20,841) | (31,568) |
| Inventories | 33,360 | (42,726) | (14,317) |
| Accounts payable | (48,238) | 14,303 | 32,759 |
| Accrued income taxes | 841 | (511) | 13,513 |
| Accrued liabilities and customer deposits | (56,203) | 31,512 | (4,308) |
| Other assets and liabilities | (6,546) | 1,238 | (3,248) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 160,521 | 159,384 | 142,509 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (18,288) | (19,715) | (19,665) |
| Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment | 4,091 | 447 | 127 |
| Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired | (96,283) | (398,132) | (73,642) |
| Acquisition purchase price adjustments | (4,741) | (41) | (754) |
| Discontinued operations | | | 398 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (115,221) | (417,441) | (93,536) |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Proceeds from debt | 197,500 | 236,000 | |
| Payments of debt | (193,324) | (385) | (657) |
| Debt financing costs | | (2,858) | |
| Stock repurchase | (19,654) | (45,796) | (17,888) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and other benefit plans | 2,532 | 2,768 | 5,406 |
| Excess income tax benefits from exercise of stock options | 1,906 | 1,922 | 2,098 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.04 per share for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007) | (1,917) | (1,940) | (1,944) |
| Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities | (12,957) | 189,711 | (12,985) |
| Effect of changes in currency exchange rates | 14,511 | (24,538) | 10,722 |
| Increase/(decrease) in cash | 46,854 | (92,884) | 46,710 |
| Cash, beginning of year | 141,805 | 234,689 | 187,979 |
| Cash, end of year | \$ 188,659 | \$ 141,805 | \$ 234,689 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

| <i>In thousands, except share and per share data</i> | Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Treasury Stock Shares | Treasury Stock Amount | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | | 66,174,767 | \$ 662 | \$ 314,752 | (17,923,991) | \$ (232,823) | \$ 419,603 | \$ (32,305) | \$ 469,889 |
| Adjustment to Beginning Retained Earnings due to adoption of FIN 48 | | | | | | | (2,691) | | (2,691) |
| Cash dividends (\$0.04 dividend per share) | | | | | | | (1,944) | | (1,944) |
| Proceeds from treasury stock issued from the exercise of stock options and other benefit plans, net of tax | | | | (5,076) | 957,368 | 12,580 | | | 7,504 |
| Stock-based Compensation | | | | 11,252 | | | | | 11,252 |
| Net income | \$ 109,570 | | | | | | 109,570 | | 109,570 |
| Translation adjustment | 29,554 | | | | | | | 29,554 | 29,554 |
| Unrealized gains on foreign exchange contracts, net of \$475 tax | 825 | | | | | | | 825 | 825 |
| Change in pension and post retirement benefit plans, net of \$7,008 tax | 11,197 | | | | | | | 11,197 | 11,197 |
| Stock Repurchase | | | | | (509,800) | (17,888) | | | (17,888) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 151,146 | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2007 | | 66,174,767 | \$ 662 | \$ 320,928 | (17,476,423) | \$ (238,131) | \$ 524,538 | \$ 9,271 | \$ 617,268 |
| Adjustment to Beginning Retained Earnings due to adoption of FAS 158 | | | | | | | (66) | | (66) |
| Cash dividends (\$0.04 dividend per share) | | | | | | | (1,940) | | (1,940) |
| Proceeds from treasury stock issued from the exercise of stock options and other benefit plans, net of tax | | | | (2,816) | 526,913 | 7,506 | | | 4,690 |
| Stock-based Compensation | | | | 10,475 | | | | | 10,475 |
| Net income | \$ 130,551 | | | | | | 130,551 | | 130,551 |
| Translation adjustment | (59,722) | | | | | | | (59,722) | (59,722) |
| Unrealized gains on foreign exchange contracts, net of \$15 tax | 26 | | | | | | | 26 | 26 |
| Change in pension and post retirement benefit plans, net of \$4,493 tax | (10,115) | | | | | | | (10,115) | (10,115) |
| Stock Repurchase | | | | | (1,317,900) | (45,796) | | | (45,796) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 60,740 | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | | 66,174,767 | \$ 662 | \$ 328,587 | (18,267,410) | \$ (276,421) | \$ 653,083 | \$ (60,540) | \$ 645,371 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.04 dividend per share) | | | | | | | (1,917) | | (1,917) |
| Proceeds from treasury stock issued from the exercise of stock options and other benefit plans, net of tax | | | | (2,500) | 451,038 | 6,938 | | | 4,438 |
| Stock-based Compensation | | | | 3,620 | | | | | 3,620 |
| Net income | \$ 115,055 | | | | | | 115,055 | | 115,055 |
| Translation adjustment | 32,040 | | | | | | | 32,040 | 32,040 |
| Unrealized (loss) on foreign exchange contracts, net of \$55 tax | (96) | | | | | | | (96) | (96) |
| Unrealized (loss) on interest rate swap contracts, net of \$25 tax | (38) | | | | | | | (38) | (38) |
| Change in pension and post retirement benefit plans, net of \$2,583 tax | (1,912) | | | | | | | (1,912) | (1,912) |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|--|-----------|----------|--|--|--|----------|
| Stock Repurchase | | | (669,700) | (19,654) | | | | (19,654) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 145,049 | | | | | | | |

Balance, December 31, 2009 66,174,767 \$ 662 \$ 329,707 (18,486,072) \$ (289,137) \$ 766,221 \$ (30,546) \$ 776,907

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

Table of Contents

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BUSINESS

Wabtec is one of the world's largest providers of value-added, technology-based products and services for the global rail industry. Our products are found on virtually all U.S. locomotives, freight cars and passenger transit vehicles, as well as in approximately 100 countries throughout the world. Our products enhance safety, improve productivity and reduce maintenance costs for customers, and many of our core products and services are essential in the safe and efficient operation of freight rail and passenger transit vehicles. Wabtec is a global company with operations in 17 countries. In 2009, about 40% of the Company's revenues came from customers outside the U.S.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority owned subsidiaries. Such statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Sales between subsidiaries are billed at prices consistent with sales to third parties and are eliminated in consolidation.

Subsequent Events In preparing the accompanying audited consolidated financial statements, the Company has reviewed, as determined necessary by the Company's management, events that have occurred after December 31, 2009 until February 24, 2010, the issuance date of the financial statements.

Accounting Standards Codification The Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) became effective on July 1, 2009. At that date, the ASC became FASB's officially recognized source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) applicable to all public and non-public non-governmental entities, superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) and related literature. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under the authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All other accounting literature is considered non-authoritative. The switch to the ASC affects the way companies refer to U.S. GAAP in financial statements and accounting policies. Citing particular content in the ASC involves specifying the unique numeric path to the content through the Topic, Subtopic, Section and Paragraph structure.

Cash Equivalents Cash equivalents are highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The allowance for doubtful accounts receivable reflects our best estimate of probable losses inherent in our receivable portfolio determined on the basis of historical experience, specific allowances for known troubled accounts and other currently available evidence. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$7.3 million and \$5.0 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Inventories Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined under the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventory costs include material, labor and overhead.

Property, Plant and Equipment Property, plant and equipment additions are stated at cost. Expenditures for renewals and improvements are capitalized. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. The Company provides for book depreciation principally on the straight-line method. Accelerated depreciation methods are utilized for income tax purposes.

Leasing Arrangements The Company conducts a portion of its operations from leased facilities and finances certain equipment purchases through lease agreements. In those cases in which the lease term approximates the useful life of the leased asset or the lease meets certain other prerequisites, the leasing arrangement is classified as a capital lease. The remaining arrangements are treated as operating leases.

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized. Other intangibles (with definite lives) are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated economic lives. Amortizable intangible assets are reviewed for impairment when indicators of impairment are present. The Company tests goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual impairment test during the fourth quarter after the annual forecasting process is completed, and is reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Periodically, Management of the Company assesses whether or not an indicator of impairment is present that would necessitate a goodwill impairment analysis be performed.

The goodwill impairment analysis is performed by comparing the carrying amount of the reporting unit to its estimated fair value and to the extent that the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, the reporting unit's carrying value of goodwill is compared to the implied fair value of goodwill. To the extent that the carrying value of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill, impairment exists and must be recognized.

The Company reviews goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level. The Company's reporting units are the same as its reportable segments. The Company prepares its goodwill impairment analysis by comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit, using an income approach (a discounted cash flow model) as well as a market approach, with its carrying value. The income approach and the market approach are equally weighted in arriving at fair value, which the Company has applied consistently.

The discounted cash flow model requires several assumptions including future sales growth, EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes) margins and capital expenditures for the reporting units. The discounted cash flow model also requires the use of a discount rate and a terminal revenue growth rate (the revenue growth rate for the period beyond the three years forecasted by the reporting units), as well as projections of future operating margins. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company used a discount rate for each of its reporting units ranging from 14% to 20% and a terminal revenue growth rate of 3%. The difference in the discount rates is based on the underlying markets and risks associated with each of the Company's reporting units.

The market approach requires several assumptions including EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) multiples for comparable companies that operate in the same markets as the Company's reporting units. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company used EBITDA multiples for its reporting units ranging from 6.5 to 7.5. The difference in the EBITDA multiples is due to the underlying markets associated with each of the Company's reporting units.

The fair value of the Freight and Transit segments exceeded the carrying value of each reporting unit by more than 10%.

Warranty Costs Warranty costs are accrued based on Management's estimates of repair or upgrade costs per unit and historical experience. Warranty expense was \$20.4 million, \$17.1 million and \$10.4 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Warranty reserves were \$29.2 million and \$30.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income Taxes Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws. The provision for income taxes includes federal, state and foreign income taxes.

Stock-Based Compensation The Company recognizes compensation expense for stock-based compensation based on the grant date fair value. This expense must be recognized ratably over the requisite service period following the date of grant.

Table of Contents

Financial Derivatives and Hedging Activities The Company has entered into foreign currency forward contracts to reduce the impact of changes in currency exchange rates. Forward contracts are agreements with a counterparty to exchange two distinct currencies at a set exchange rate for delivery on a set date at some point in the future. There is no exchange of funds until the delivery date. At the delivery date the Company can either take delivery of the currency or settle on a net basis.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had forward contracts for the sale of South African Rand (ZAR) and the purchase of U.S. Dollars (USD). The Company concluded that these foreign currency forward contracts qualify for cash flow hedge accounting which permits the recording of the fair value of the forward contract and corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had forward contracts with a notional value of \$10.1 million ZAR (or \$1.2 million U.S.) with an average exchange rate of \$8.21 ZAR per \$1 USD, resulting in the recording of a current liability of \$110,000 and a corresponding offset in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$70,000, net of tax.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had forward contracts for the sale of South African Rand (ZAR) and the purchase of U.S. Dollars (USD). The Company concluded that these foreign currency forward contracts qualify for cash flow hedge accounting which permits the recording of the fair value of the forward contract and corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had forward contracts with a notional value of \$5.0 million ZAR (or \$557,000 U.S.) with an average exchange rate of \$8.97 ZAR per \$1 USD, resulting in the recording of a current asset of \$41,000 and a corresponding offset in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$26,000, net of tax.

To reduce the impact of interest rate changes on a portion of this variable-rate debt, the Company entered into an interest rate swap which effectively converted a portion of the debt from variable to fixed-rate borrowing during the term of the swap contract. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty. However, since only the cash interest payments are exchanged, exposure is significantly less than the notional amount. The counterparty is a large financial institution and the Company does not anticipate nonperformance. The Company concluded that the interest rate swap agreement qualifies for special cash flow hedge accounting which permits the recording of the fair value of the interest rate swap agreement and corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had an interest rate swap agreement with a notional value of \$92.5 million and which effectively changed the Company's interest rate on bank debt at December 31, 2009 from a variable rate to a fixed rate of 1.84%. The interest rate swap agreement matures in January 2010. As of December 31, 2009, the Company recorded a current liability of \$63,000 and a corresponding offset in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$38,000, net of tax.

Foreign Currency Translation Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, except for the Company's Mexican operations whose functional currency is the U.S. Dollar, are translated at the rate of exchange in effect on the balance sheet date while income and expenses are translated at the average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Foreign currency gains and losses resulting from transactions, and the translation of financial statements are recorded in the Company's consolidated financial statements based upon the provisions of ASC 830, Foreign Currency Matters. The effects of currency exchange rate changes on intercompany transactions and balances of a long-term investment nature are accumulated and carried as a component of shareholders' equity. The effects of currency exchange rate changes on intercompany transactions that are denominated in a currency other than an entity's functional currency are charged or credited to earnings. Foreign exchange transaction losses recognized in other (expense) income, net were \$1.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$3.2 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interests On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the amendment under ASC 810 Consolidation related to noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements. This amendment establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the

Table of Contents

deconsolidation of a subsidiary. The amendment clarifies that a noncontrolling interest should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements and requires net income attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest to be disclosed separately on the face of the consolidated statement of income. The presentation and disclosure requirements of the amendment require retrospective application to all prior periods presented. In accordance with ASC 810, the Company classified noncontrolling interests as equity on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was not material.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as net income and all other non-owner changes in shareholders equity. The Company's accumulated other comprehensive income consists of foreign currency translation adjustments, foreign currency hedges, foreign exchange contracts, interest rate swaps, and pension and post retirement related adjustments.

Revenue Recognition Revenue is recognized in accordance with ASC 605 Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recognized when products have been shipped to the respective customers, title has passed and the price for the product has been determined.

The Company recognizes revenues on long-term contracts based on the percentage of completion method of accounting. The units-of-delivery method or other input-based or output-based measures, as appropriate, are used to measure the progress toward completion of individual contracts. Contract revenues and cost estimates are reviewed and revised at a minimum quarterly and adjustments are reflected in the accounting period as such amounts are determined. Provisions are made currently for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts.

Certain pre-production costs relating to long-term production and supply contracts have been deferred and will be recognized over the life of the contracts. Deferred pre-production costs were \$12.1 million and \$11.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Significant Customers and Concentrations of Credit Risk The Company's trade receivables are from rail and transit industry original equipment manufacturers, Class I railroads, railroad carriers and commercial companies that utilize rail cars in their operations, such as utility and chemical companies. No one customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated net sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs All fees billed to the customer for shipping and handling are classified as a component of net revenues. All costs associated with shipping and handling is classified as a component of cost of sales.

Research and Development Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company incurred costs of approximately \$42.4 million, \$39.0 million and \$37.4 million, respectively.

Employees As of December 31, 2009, approximately 37% of the Company's workforce was covered by collective bargaining agreements. These agreements are generally effective through 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013. Agreements expiring in 2010 cover approximately 21% of the Company's workforce.

Earnings Per Share Basic and diluted earnings per common share is computed in accordance with ASC 260 Earnings Per Share. Unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method included in ASC 260-10-55. (See Note 12 Earnings Per Share included herein)

Reclassifications Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified, where necessary, to conform to the current year presentation.

Table of Contents

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts could differ from the estimates. On an ongoing basis, Management reviews its estimates based on currently available information. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in revised estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In December 2007, updates were made to ASC 805, Business Combinations and ASC 810-10-65, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements. The update to ASC 805 retains the fundamental requirements, but also broadens its scope by applying the acquisition method to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses, and requires, among other things, that assets acquired and liabilities assumed be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date, that liabilities related to contingent consideration be recognized at the acquisition date and remeasured at fair value in each subsequent reporting period, that acquisition-related costs be expensed as incurred, and that income be recognized if the fair value of the net assets acquired exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred. The update to ASC 810-10-65 establishes accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interests (i.e., minority interests) in a subsidiary, including changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary and requires, among other things, that noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries be classified as a separate component of equity. Except for the presentation and disclosure requirements of the update to ASC 810-10-65, which are to be applied retrospectively for all periods presented, the updates to ASC 805 and ASC 810-10-65 are to be applied prospectively in financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. This updated standard was effective for the Company for business combination transactions for which the acquisition date was on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of this updated standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2008, an update was made to ASC 260-10-55 Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities. The update to ASC 260-10-55 states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. ASC 260-10-55 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. Upon adoption, a company is required to retrospectively adjust its earnings per share data (including any amounts related to interim periods, summaries of earnings and selected financial data) to conform with the updates of ASC 260-10-55. The Company adopted the updated ASC 260-10-55 as of January 1, 2009, and has computed earnings per share based on the two-class method. (See Note 12 Earnings Per Share included herein).

In December 2008, an update was made to ASC 720-20-65, Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets. The update to ASC 720-20-65 amends ASC 230-10-55, Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, to provide guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The additional disclosure requirements under the updated ASC 720-20-65 include expanded disclosures about an entity's investment policies and strategies, the categories of plan assets, concentrations of credit risk and fair value measurements of plan assets. The adoption of this updated standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In May 2009, an update was made to ASC 855, Subsequent Events. The update to ASC 855 requires an entity to recognize in the financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet. For non-recognized subsequent events that must be disclosed to keep the financial statements from being misleading, an entity will be required to disclose the nature of the event as well as an estimate of its financial effect, or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made. In addition, the update to ASC 855 requires an entity to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. The company adopted the updated ASC 855 in second quarter 2009. (See Subsequent Events above).

Table of Contents

In June 2009, the FASB issued Update No. 2009-01, Topic 105-Generally Accepted Accounting Principles amendments based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168-The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (ASU 2009-01). ASU 2009-01 establishes only two levels of GAAP, authoritative and nonauthoritative. The switch to the ASC affects the way companies refer to U.S. GAAP in financial statements and accounting policies. Citing particular content in the ASC involves specifying the unique numeric path to the content through the Topic, Subtopic, Section and Paragraph structure. The Company began using the new guidelines and number system prescribed by the ASC when referring to GAAP in the third quarter 2009. As the ASC was not intended to change or alter existing GAAP, and adoption of this standard did not have any impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2009, an update was made to ASC 860 Transfers and Servicing. Among other items, the update removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and clarifies that the objective of ASC 860 is to determine whether a transferor and all of the entities included in the transferor's financial statements being presented have surrendered control over transferred financial assets. The update is effective January 1, 2010. Upon adoption, the Company does not expect this update to have a material impact on its financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2009, an update was made to ASC 810 Consolidation. This update amends the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities and is effective as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. Upon adoption, the Company does not expect this update to have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

In August 2009, the FASB issued Update No. 2009-05, Fair Value measurement and Disclosures (Topic 820) Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value (ASU 2009-05). ASU 2009-05 amends ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures to provide further guidance on how to measure the fair value of a liability. It primarily does three things: 1) sets forth the types of valuation techniques to be used to value a liability when a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, 2) clarifies that when estimating the fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate input or adjustment to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability and 3) clarifies that both a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability at the measurement date and the quoted price for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market when no adjustments to the quoted price of the asset are required are Level 1 fair value measurements. ASU 2009-05 is effective beginning fourth quarter of 2009 for the Company. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Update No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605) Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 provides amendments to the criteria in ASC 605-25 for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. As a result of those amendments, multiple-deliverable arrangements will be separated in more circumstances than under existing U.S. GAAP. ASU 2009-13: 1) establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, 2) eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method, 3) requires that a vendor determine its best estimate of selling price in a manner that is consistent with that used to determine the price to sell the deliverable on a standalone basis, 4) significantly expands the disclosures related to a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. ASU 2009-13 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2009-13.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Update No. 2009-14, Software (Topic 985) Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU 2009-14). ASU 2009-14 changes the accounting model for revenue arrangements that include both tangible

Table of Contents

products and software elements and provides additional guidance on how to determine which software, if any, relating to tangible product would be excluded from the scope of the software revenue guidance. In addition, ASU 2009-14 provides guidance on how a vendor should allocate arrangement consideration to deliverables in an arrangement that includes both tangible products and software. ASU 2009-14 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2009-14.

3. ACQUISITIONS AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On October 1, 2009, the Company used cash on hand to acquire Unifin International LP, and its affiliate, Cardinal Pumps and Exchangers, Inc. (Unifin), a manufacturer of cooling systems and related equipment for the power generation and transmission industry. The purchase price was \$92.9 million, net of cash received, resulting in preliminary additional goodwill of \$54.8 million, of which all will be deductible for tax purposes. Unifin will operate as a business of Wabtec's Freight Group. On July 22, 2009, the Company acquired certain assets for \$3.4 million.

On December 5, 2008, the Company acquired Standard Car Truck Company (SCT), a manufacturer and designer of stabilization systems for freight cars, including engineered truck (undercarriage) components such as springs, friction wedges and wear plates. Its Barber® brand truck design is used throughout the world and holds a leading share of the North American market. The company also manufactures and services locomotives components, including compressors and pumps. The purchase price was \$302.6 million, net of cash received, resulting in goodwill of \$154.7 million, of which all will be deductible for tax purposes. Included in the purchase price of \$302.6 million is \$25.0 million related to an escrow deposit, which may be released to the Company for indemnity claims in accordance with the purchase and escrow agreements. On December 5, 2008, the Company acquired a majority of Beijing Wabtec Huaxia Technology Company, Ltd. (Huaxia) for \$5.6 million. On December 31, 2008, the Company invested \$2.8 million in Shenyang CNR Wabtec Railway Brake Technology Company, Ltd. (Shenyang) for a minority interest in a joint venture Company. Huaxia and Shenyang manufactures braking equipment, including brake shoes, pads and friction linings for the freight car market in China. On October 27, 2008, the Company acquired certain assets related to the development, sale, service, and maintenance of software programs used in train management systems for \$4.5 million. On June 30, 2008, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of Poli S.p.A. (Poli), a European based manufacturer of rail braking equipment including brake discs for high speed applications, as well as tread brake units and pneumatic brake valves that meet International Union of Railways (UIC) standards. Poli will primarily operate as a business of Wabtec's Transit Group. The purchase price was \$55.2 million (about \$87.0 million USD), net of cash received, resulting in goodwill of \$38.9 million, of which none will be deductible for tax purposes. Included in the purchase price is \$11.8 million related to an escrow deposit, which may be released to the Company for indemnity claims, environmental claims or allocation of tax liabilities in accordance with the purchase and escrow agreements.

On June 8, 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of Ricon Corporation (Ricon), a manufacturer of a variety of electro-mechanical wheelchair lifts and ramps and anti-graffiti windows. The purchase price was \$73.6 million resulting in additional goodwill of \$49.7 million, of which none will be deductible for tax purposes. Included in the purchase price of \$73.6 million is \$6.5 million related to an escrow deposit, which may be released to the Company for working capital adjustments or indemnity claims in accordance with the purchase and escrow agreements.

Operating results have been included in the consolidated statement of operations from the acquisition date forward.

Table of Contents

For the Unifin, SCT and Poli acquisition, the following table summarizes the preliminary estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Unifin October 1, 2009 | SCT December 5, 2008 | Poli June 30, 2008 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 8,769 | \$ 81,639 | \$ 25,595 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 5,552 | 22,448 | 11,999 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 88,337 | 225,682 | 76,342 |
| Other assets | 4,027 | 659 | 4,236 |
| Total assets acquired | 106,685 | 330,428 | 118,172 |
| Total liabilities assumed | (13,760) | (27,813) | (31,138) |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 92,925 | \$ 302,615 | \$ 87,034 |

Of the preliminary allocation of \$33.5 million of acquired intangible assets for Unifin, exclusive of goodwill, \$14.8 million was assigned to trade name, \$16.3 million was assigned to customer relationships, \$278,000 was assigned to patents and \$2.1 million was assigned to customer backlog. The trade name is considered to have an indefinite useful life while the customer relationships average useful life is 10 years and patents average useful life is three years. The allocation of \$71.0 million of acquired intangible assets for SCT exclusive of goodwill, \$29.3 million was assigned to trade name, \$38.5 million was assigned to customer relationships, \$743,000 was assigned to patents, \$1.0 million was assigned to customer backlog and \$1.4 million was assigned to long term contracts. The trade name is considered to have an indefinite useful life while the customer relationships average useful life is 24 years and patents average useful life is nine years. The allocation of \$37.4 million of acquired intangible assets for Poli, exclusive of goodwill, \$18.8 million was assigned to trade name, \$17.8 million was assigned to customer relationships, \$449,000 was assigned to patents and \$312,000 was assigned to customer backlog. The trade name is considered to have an indefinite useful life while the customer relationships average useful life is 15 years and patents average useful life is eight years.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents income statement results as if Poli, SCT, Huaxia and Unifin had occurred January 1, 2008:

| <i>In thousands, except per share</i> | For the year ended December 31, | |
|--|--|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net sales | \$ 1,436,011 | \$ 1,875,175 |
| Gross profit | 410,084 | 511,640 |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders (1) | 125,464 | 117,106 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | |
| As reported | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.66 |
| Pro forma | \$ 2.61 | \$ 2.39 |

- (1) For the year ended December 31, 2008, net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders included \$48.5 million of expense for management incentive bonus recorded by SCT in conjunction with signing the purchase agreement.

Table of Contents**4. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES**

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Interest paid during the year | \$ 17,693 | \$ 11,449 | \$ 10,601 |
| Income taxes paid during the year, net of amount refunded | 35,766 | 62,798 | 49,841 |
| Business acquisitions: | | | |
| Fair value of assets acquired | \$ 111,583 | \$ 447,406 | \$ 95,600 |
| Liabilities assumed | 13,760 | 41,169 | 22,000 |
| Cash paid | 97,823 | 406,237 | 73,600 |
| Less cash acquired | 1,540 | 8,105 | |
| Net cash paid | \$ 96,283 | \$ 398,132 | \$ 73,600 |

On July 31, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. On February 20, 2008 the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$100 million of the Company's outstanding shares. The Company intends to purchase these shares on the open market or in negotiated or block trades. No time limit was set for the completion of the program. The program qualifies under the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement as well as the 6.875% Senior Notes currently outstanding.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased 712,900 shares at an average price of \$34.29 per share, exhausting the \$50.0 million authorization made in 2006. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased 5,200 shares at an average price of \$35.97 per share. No additional shares were repurchased during the third quarter of 2008. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased 599,800 shares at an average price of \$35.22 per share. All purchases were on the open market.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company repurchased 290,000 shares at an average price of \$25.08 per share. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company repurchased 379,700 shares at an average price of \$32.61 per share. No additional shares were repurchased during the third and fourth quarters of 2009. All purchases were on the open market.

5. RESTRUCTURING AND IMPAIRMENT CHARGES

Wabtec downsized its Canadian operations by moving certain products to lower-cost facilities and outsourcing. In the Freight segment, Wabtec recorded charges of 4.6 million and \$3.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. No charges for these operations and this restructuring plan were recorded in the year ended December 31, 2009. For these operations cumulative expenses for restructuring and other expenses recorded since 2006 have been \$16.5 million, comprised of the \$5.7 million for employee severance costs for approximately 400 employees; \$5.5 million of pension and postretirement benefit curtailment for those employees; \$5.3 million related to fixed asset and goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment was recorded as amortization expense and most of the other charges were recorded in cost of sales. Severance costs are contractual liabilities and payment is dependent on the waiver by or expiration of certain seniority rights of those employees. As of December 31, 2009, \$4.2 million of this amount had been paid.

Table of Contents**6. INVENTORIES**

The components of inventory, net of reserves, were:

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Raw materials | \$ 98,196 | \$ 95,270 |
| Work-in-process | 87,155 | 89,474 |
| Finished goods | 53,982 | 79,414 |
| Total inventories | \$ 239,333 | \$ 264,158 |

7. PROPERTY, PLANT & EQUIPMENT

The major classes of depreciable assets are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ 328,172 | \$ 308,189 |
| Buildings and improvements | 110,949 | 110,804 |
| Land and improvements | 9,448 | 9,194 |
| Locomotive leased fleet | 3,427 | 3,417 |
| PP&E | 451,996 | 431,604 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (250,289) | (224,056) |
| Total | \$ 201,707 | \$ 207,548 |

The estimated useful lives of property, plant and equipment are as follows:

| | Years |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Land improvements | 10 to 20 |
| Buildings and improvements | 20 to 40 |
| Machinery and equipment | 3 to 15 |
| Locomotive leased fleet | 4 to 15 |

Depreciation expense was \$25.7 million, \$24.7 million, and \$25.1 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

8. INTANGIBLES

Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized. Other intangibles (with definite lives) are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated economic lives. Goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets are reviewed annually during the fourth quarter for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test and more frequently when indicators of impairment are present. The fair value was determined using a combination of discounted cash flow analysis and market multiples based upon historical and projected financial information. Goodwill and indefinite live intangible assets were not impaired at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents

Goodwill was \$483.0 million and \$319.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The adjustment of \$103.5 million to Goodwill for is due to Standard Car Truck and Poli. The change in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Freight Group | Transit Group | Total |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 182,247 | \$ 137,202 | \$ 319,449 |
| Adjustment to preliminary purchase allocation | 88,211 | 15,303 | 103,514 |
| Acquisition | 55,712 | | 55,712 |
| Foreign currency impact | 2,400 | 1,903 | 4,303 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 328,570 | \$ 154,408 | \$ 482,978 |

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company's trademarks had a net carrying amount of \$96.0 million and \$75.6 million, respectively, and the Company believes these intangibles have an indefinite life. Intangible assets of the Company, other than goodwill and trademarks, consist of the following:

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Patents and other, net of accumulated amortization of \$26,135 and \$28,294 | \$ 10,832 | \$ 19,409 |
| Customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,122 and \$3,399 | 80,806 | 141,739 |
| Total | \$ 91,638 | \$ 161,148 |

The weighted average useful lives of patents, customer relationships and intellectual property were seven years, 17 years and 19 years respectively. Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$9.8 million, \$5.0 million, and \$3.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Amortization expense for the five succeeding years is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2010 | \$ 7,194 |
| 2011 | \$ 7,123 |
| 2012 | \$ 7,049 |
| 2013 | \$ 6,676 |
| 2014 | \$ 6,668 |

9. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| 6.875% senior notes, due 2013 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 |
| Term Loan Facility | 170,000 | 200,000 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 71,000 | 36,000 |
| Capital Leases | 780 | 1,080 |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| Total | 391,780 | 387,080 |
| Less current portion | 32,741 | 30,381 |
| Long-term portion | \$ 359,039 | \$ 356,699 |

Table of Contents*2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement*

On November 4, 2008, the Company refinanced its existing unsecured revolving credit agreement with a consortium of commercial banks. This 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement provides the company with a \$300 million five-year revolving credit facility and a \$200 million five-year term loan facility. The Company incurred \$2.9 million of deferred financing cost related to the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement. Both facilities expire in January 2013. The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement borrowings bear variable interest rates indexed to the indices described below. At December 31, 2009, the Company had available bank borrowing capacity, net of \$28.6 million of letters of credit, of approximately \$200.4 million, subject to certain financial covenant restrictions.

Under the 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement, the Company may elect a Base Rate of interest or an interest rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) of interest (the Alternate Rate). The Base Rate adjusts on a daily basis and is the greater of the PNC, N.A. prime rate, 30-day LIBOR plus 150 basis points or the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% per annum, plus a margin that ranges from 25 to 50 basis points. The Alternate rate is based on quoted LIBOR rates plus a margin that ranges from 125 to 200 basis points. Both the Base Rate and Alternate Rate margins are dependent on the Company s consolidated total indebtedness to cash flow ratios. The initial Base Rate margin is 25 basis points and the Alternate Rate margin is 125 basis points. At December 31, 2009 the weighted average interest rate on the Company s variable rate debt was 1.49%. To reduce the impact of interest rate changes on a portion of this variable-rate debt, the Company entered into an interest rate swap which effectively converted a portion of the debt from variable to fixed-rate borrowing during the term of the swap contract. On December 31, 2009, the notional value of the interest rate swap outstanding was \$92.5 million and effectively changed the Company s interest rate on bank debt at December 31, 2009 from a variable rate to a fixed rate of 1.84%. The interest rate swap agreement matures in January 2010. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty. However, since only the cash interest payments are exchanged, exposure is significantly less than the notional amount. The counterparty is a large financial institution and the Company does not anticipate nonperformance.

The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement limits the Company s ability to declare or pay cash dividends and prohibits the Company from declaring or making other distributions, subject to certain exceptions. The 2008 Refinancing Credit Agreement contains various other covenants and restrictions including the following limitations: incurrence of additional indebtedness; mergers, consolidations, sales of assets and acquisitions; additional liens; sale and leasebacks; permissible investments, loans and advances; certain debt payments; and imposes a minimum interest expense coverage ratio of 3.0 and a maximum debt to cash flow ratio of 3.25. The Company is in compliance with these measurements and covenants and expects that these measurements will not be any type of limiting factor in executing our operating activities.

6.875% Senior Notes Due August 2013

In August 2003, the Company issued \$150 million of Senior Notes due in 2013 (the Notes). The Notes were issued at par. Interest on the Notes accrues at a rate of 6.875% per annum and is payable semi-annually on January 31 and July 31 of each year. The proceeds were used to repay debt outstanding under the Company s existing credit agreement, and for general corporate purposes. The principal balance is due in full at maturity.

The Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company and rank pari passu with all existing and future senior debt and senior to all our existing and future subordinated indebtedness of the Company. The indenture under which the Notes were issued contains covenants and restrictions which limit among other things, the following: the incurrence of indebtedness, payment of dividends and certain distributions, sale of assets, change in control, mergers and consolidations and the incurrence of liens.

Table of Contents*Debt and Capital Leases*

Capital leases were acquired in the Poli and SCT acquisitions.

Scheduled principal repayments of debt and capital lease balances as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

| | |
|--------------|-------------------|
| 2010 | \$ 32,741 |
| 2011 | 40,233 |
| 2012 | 40,108 |
| 2013 | 278,530 |
| 2014 | 30 |
| Future years | 138 |
| Total | \$ 391,780 |

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**Defined Benefit Pension Plans**

The Company sponsors defined benefit pension plans that cover certain U.S., Canadian, German, and United Kingdom employees and which provide benefits of stated amounts for each year of service of the employee.

The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for the U.S., Canadian, German and U.K. plans. The following tables provide information regarding the Company's defined benefit pension plans summarized by U.S. and international components.

Table of Contents*Obligations and Funded Status*

| <i>In thousands</i> | 2009 | U.S. 2008 | International 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Change in projected benefit obligation | | | | |
| Obligation at beginning of year | \$ (46,408) | \$ (45,555) | \$ (100,183) | \$ (142,188) |
| Service cost | (282) | (278) | (2,775) | (3,299) |
| Interest cost | (2,745) | (2,763) | (6,864) | (7,438) |
| Employee contributions | | | (438) | (609) |
| Plan curtailments | | | (1,015) | (2,473) |
| Plan amendments | (28) | | | |
| Benefits paid | 4,028 | 3,763 | 11,981 | 11,085 |
| Expenses paid | | | 660 | 713 |
| Premiums paid | | | 186 | 243 |
| Acquisitions | | (1,100) | (6,870) | |
| Adjustments due to the adoption of measurement date provisions | | | | (559) |
| Actuarial (loss) gain | (1,592) | (475) | (10,138) | 16,655 |
| Effect of currency rate changes | | | (13,541) | 27,687 |
| Obligation at end of year | \$ (47,027) | \$ (46,408) | \$ (128,997) | \$ (100,183) |
| Change in plan assets | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 32,164 | \$ 43,444 | \$ 78,843 | \$ 126,276 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 5,152 | (9,213) | 14,984 | (21,435) |
| Employer contributions | 1,584 | 1,000 | 11,897 | 9,649 |
| Employee contributions | | | 438 | 609 |
| Benefits paid | (4,028) | (3,763) | (11,981) | (11,085) |
| Expenses paid | | | (660) | (713) |
| Premiums paid | | | (186) | (243) |
| Acquisitions | | 696 | 6,813 | |
| Adjustments due to the adoption of measurement date provisions | | | | (113) |
| Effect of currency rate changes | | | 12,454 | (24,102) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 34,872 | \$ 32,164 | \$ 112,602 | \$ 78,843 |
| Funded status | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ 34,872 | \$ 32,164 | \$ 112,602 | \$ 78,843 |
| Benefit obligations | (47,027) | (46,408) | (128,997) | (100,183) |
| Funded Status | \$ (12,155) | \$ (14,244) | \$ (16,395) | \$ (21,340) |
| Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position consist of: | | | | |
| Noncurrent assets | \$ | \$ | \$ 4,124 | \$ 2,405 |
| Current liabilities | | | (376) | (314) |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (12,155) | (14,244) | (20,143) | (23,431) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (12,155) | \$ (14,244) | \$ (16,395) | \$ (21,340) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consist of: | | | | |
| Initial net obligation | \$ | \$ | \$ (1,291) | \$ (1,731) |
| Prior service costs | (284) | (317) | (1,359) | (1,511) |
| Net actuarial loss | (29,242) | (30,925) | (25,145) | (23,932) |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net amount recognized | \$ (29,526) | \$ (31,242) | \$ (27,795) | \$ (27,174) |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|

Table of Contents

The aggregate accumulated benefit obligation for the U.S. pension plans was \$46.5 million and \$45.9 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The aggregate accumulated benefit obligation for the international pension plans was \$119.0 million and \$90.9 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | | International | |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Information for pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets: | | | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ (47,027) | \$ (46,408) | \$ (84,624) | \$ (82,306) |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | (46,472) | (45,899) | (77,767) | (74,764) |
| Fair value of plan assets | 34,872 | 32,164 | 64,105 | 58,606 |
| Information for pension plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets: | | | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ (47,027) | \$ (46,408) | \$ (84,624) | \$ (88,740) |
| Fair value of plan assets | 34,872 | 32,164 | 64,105 | 64,995 |

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | | | International | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Service cost | \$ 282 | \$ 278 | \$ 320 | \$ 2,775 | \$ 3,299 | \$ 3,638 |
| Interest cost | 2,745 | 2,763 | 2,710 | 6,864 | 7,438 | 6,992 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3,269) | (3,325) | (3,237) | (6,311) | (8,344) | (7,685) |
| Amortization of initial net obligation | | | | 180 | 250 | 268 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 62 | 59 | 59 | 287 | 351 | 463 |
| Amortization of net loss | 1,392 | 1,229 | 1,507 | 1,418 | 868 | 892 |
| Curtailed loss recognized | | | | 1,528 | 2,869 | 2,546 |
| Settlement loss recognized | | | | 2,311 | 1,943 | 306 |
| Special termination benefit recognized | | | | | | 79 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,212 | \$ 1,004 | \$ 1,359 | \$ 9,052 | \$ 8,674 | \$ 7,499 |

Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income during 2009 are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | International |
|---|----------|---------------|
| Net gain (loss) arising during the year | \$ 262 | \$ (1,464) |
| Effect of exchange rates | | (3,866) |
| Amortization, settlement, or curtailment recognition of net transition obligation | | 604 |
| Amortization or curtailment recognition of prior service cost | 62 | 376 |
| Amortization or settlement recognition of net loss | 1,392 | 3,729 |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 1,716 | \$ (621) |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 504 | \$ (9,673) |

Table of Contents

The weighted average assumptions in the following table represent the rates used to develop the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation for the year listed and also the net periodic benefit cost for the following year.

| | 2009 | U.S. 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | International 2008 | 2007 |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.75% | 6.25% | 6.35% | 6.11% | 6.69% | 5.61% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 7.34% | 7.15% | 6.70% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.00% | 3.00% | 3.00% | 3.28% | 3.47% | 3.83% |

The discount rate is based on settling the pension obligation with high grade, high yield corporate bonds, and the rate of compensation increase is based on actual experience. The expected return on plan assets is based on historical performance as well as expected future rates of return on plan assets considering the current investment portfolio mix and the long-term investment strategy.

As of December 31, 2009 the following table represents the amounts included in other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of periodic benefit costs in 2010.

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | International |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Net transition obligation | \$ | \$ 165 |
| Prior service cost | 62 | 233 |
| Net actuarial loss | 1,854 | 1,420 |
| | \$ 1,916 | \$ 1,818 |

Pension Plan Assets

The Company has established formal investment policies for the assets associated with our pension plans. Objectives include maximizing long-term return at acceptable risk levels and diversifying among asset classes. Asset allocation targets are based on periodic asset liability study results which help determine the appropriate investment strategies. The investment policies permit variances from the targets within certain parameters. The composition plan assets consist primarily of equity security funds, debt security funds, and temporary cash and cash equivalent investments. The assets held in these funds are generally passively managed and are valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of the measurement date. The balanced fund provides long-term growth and income by investing in a diversified portfolio of approximately 60% equities and 40% bonds. All plan assets are considered Level 1 based on the fair value valuation hierarchy (See Note 18 Fair Value Measurement included herein). Plan assets by asset category at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | | International | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Pension Plan Assets | | | | |
| Equity security funds | \$ 20,735 | \$ 18,655 | \$ 62,901 | \$ 44,152 |
| Debt security funds | 13,755 | 12,544 | 37,958 | 32,326 |
| Balanced fund | | | 7,101 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 382 | 965 | 4,642 | 2,365 |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ 34,872 | \$ 32,164 | \$ 112,602 | \$ 78,843 |

The U.S. and International pension plans have target asset allocations of 60% equity securities and 40% debt securities. Investment policies are determined by the respective Plan's Pension Committee and set forth in its Investment Policy. Rebalancing of the asset allocation occurs on a quarterly basis.

Table of Contents*Cash Flows*

The Company's funding methods are based on governmental requirements and differ from those methods used to recognize pension expense. The Company expects to contribute \$701,000 to the U.S. plans and \$8.7 million to the international plans during 2010.

Benefit payments expected to be paid to plan participants are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | International |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Year ended December 31, | | |
| 2010 | \$ 3,645 | \$ 7,609 |
| 2011 | 3,606 | 7,858 |
| 2012 | 3,724 | 6,509 |
| 2013 | 3,734 | 6,615 |
| 2014 | 3,692 | 6,940 |
| 2015 through 2019 | 18,420 | 38,327 |

Post Retirement Benefit Plans

In addition to providing pension benefits, the Company has provided certain unfunded postretirement health care and life insurance benefits for a portion of North American employees. The Company is not obligated to pay health care and life insurance benefits to individuals who had retired prior to 1990.

The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for all post retirement plans. The following tables provide information regarding the Company's post retirement benefit plans summarized by U.S. and international components.

Table of Contents*Obligations and Funded Status*

| <i>In thousands</i> | 2009 | U.S. 2008 | International 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Change in projected benefit obligation | | | | |
| Obligation at beginning of year | \$ (31,228) | \$ (32,472) | \$ (2,790) | \$ (3,940) |
| Service cost | (84) | (242) | (44) | (50) |
| Interest cost | (1,719) | (2,005) | (240) | (203) |
| Plan amendments | 2,744 | | | |
| Plan curtailments | 1,330 | | 324 | 41 |
| Special termination benefits | | | | (31) |
| Benefits paid | 1,736 | 1,774 | 166 | 194 |
| Acquisitions | | | (1,392) | |
| Actuarial (loss) gain | (1,839) | 1,717 | (325) | 499 |
| Effect of currency rate changes | | | (526) | 700 |
| Obligation at end of year | \$ (29,060) | \$ (31,228) | \$ (4,827) | \$ (2,790) |
| Change in plan assets | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Employer contributions | 1,736 | 1,774 | 166 | 194 |
| Benefits paid | (1,736) | (1,774) | (166) | (194) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Funded status | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Benefit obligations | (29,060) | (31,228) | (4,827) | (2,790) |
| Funded status | \$ (29,060) | \$ (31,228) | \$ (4,827) | \$ (2,790) |
| Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position consist of: | | | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ (1,753) | \$ (2,142) | \$ (354) | \$ (206) |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (27,307) | (29,086) | (4,473) | (2,584) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (29,060) | \$ (31,228) | \$ (4,827) | \$ (2,790) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consist of: | | | | |
| Initial net obligation | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (14) |
| Prior service credit | 18,422 | 18,194 | 862 | 926 |
| Net actuarial (loss) gain | (28,123) | (27,531) | 323 | 334 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (9,701) | \$ (9,337) | \$ 1,185 | \$ 1,246 |

Table of Contents*Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost*

| <i>In thousands</i> | 2009 | U.S. 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | International 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------|--------------|----------|-------|-----------------------|----------|
| Service cost | \$ 84 | \$ 242 | \$ 228 | \$ 44 | \$ 50 | \$ 124 |
| Interest cost | 1,719 | 2,005 | 2,048 | 240 | 203 | 271 |
| Amortization of initial net obligation | | | | 15 | 27 | 52 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (2,515) | (2,420) | (2,420) | (203) | (336) | (217) |
| Amortization of net loss (gain) | 1,246 | 1,657 | 1,771 | (62) | (9) | (6) |
| Curtailement gain recognized | (1,330) | | | | (1,167) | (513) |
| Special termination benefit recognized | | | | | 31 | |
| Net periodic benefit (credit) cost | \$ (796) | \$ 1,484 | \$ 1,627 | \$ 34 | \$ (1,201) | \$ (289) |

Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income during 2009 are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | International |
|---|----------|---------------|
| New prior service cost | \$ 2,744 | \$ |
| Net loss arising during the year | (1,839) | (1) |
| Effect of exchange rates | | 190 |
| Amortization, settlement, or curtailment recognition of net transition obligation | | 15 |
| Amortization or curtailment recognition of prior service cost | (2,515) | (203) |
| Amortization or settlement recognition of net loss (gain) | 1,246 | (62) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (364) | \$ (61) |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 432 | \$ (95) |

The weighted average assumptions in the following table represent the rates used to develop the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation for the year listed and also the net periodic benefit cost for the following year. The discount rate is based on settling the pension obligation with high grade, high yield corporate bonds.

| | 2009 | U.S. 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | International 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.75% | 6.25% | 6.35% | 6.40% | 7.50% | 5.50% |

As of December 31, 2009 the following table represents the amounts included in other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of periodic benefit costs in 2010.

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | International |
|----------------------|------------|---------------|
| Prior service credit | \$ (2,563) | \$ (221) |
| Net actuarial loss | 1,511 | (21) |
| | \$ (1,052) | \$ (242) |

The assumed health care cost trend rate for the U.S. plans grades from an initial rate of 7.8% to an ultimate rate of 4.5% by 2027 and for international plans from 9.3% to 4.5% by 2028. A 1% increase in the assumed health care cost trend rate will increase the service and interest cost components of the expense recognized for the U.S. and international postretirement plans by approximately \$231,000 and \$28,000,

respectively, for 2010, and increase the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation by approximately \$3.7 million and \$507,000,

Table of Contents

respectively. A 1% decrease in the assumed health care cost trend rate will decrease the service and interest cost components of the expense recognized for the U.S. and international postretirement plans by approximately \$193,000 and \$24,000, respectively, for 2010, and decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation by approximately \$3.1 million and \$435,000, respectively.

Cash Flows

Benefit payments expected to be paid to plan participants are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | U.S. | International |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Year ended December 31, | | |
| 2010 | \$ 1,753 | \$ 354 |
| 2011 | 1,738 | 379 |
| 2012 | 1,642 | 391 |
| 2013 | 1,665 | 399 |
| 2014 | 1,734 | 420 |
| 2015 through 2019 | 9,789 | 2,433 |

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company also participates in certain defined contribution plans and multiemployer pension plans. Costs recognized under these plans are summarized as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Multi-employer pension and health & welfare plans | \$ 990 | \$ 1,356 | \$ 1,290 |
| 401(k) savings and other defined contribution plans | 8,685 | 8,315 | 7,742 |
| Total | \$ 9,675 | \$ 9,671 | \$ 9,032 |

The 401(k) savings plan is a participant directed defined contribution plan that holds shares of the Company's stock as one of the investment options. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the plan held on behalf of its participants about 428,000 shares with a market value of \$17.5 million, and 484,000 shares with a market value of \$19.2 million, respectively.

Additionally, the Company has stock option based benefit and other plans further described in Note 13.

11. INCOME TAXES

The Company is responsible for filing consolidated U.S., foreign and combined, unitary or separate state income tax returns. The Company is responsible for paying the taxes relating to such returns, including any subsequent adjustments resulting from the redetermination of such tax liabilities by the applicable taxing authorities. The components of the income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes for the Company's domestic and foreign operations for the years ended December 31 are provided below:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Domestic | \$ 105,122 | \$ 145,791 | \$ 133,428 |
| Foreign | 58,237 | 58,509 | 39,026 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 163,359 | \$ 204,300 | \$ 172,454 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|

Table of Contents

No provision has been made for U.S., state, or additional foreign taxes related to undistributed earnings of \$146.6 million of foreign subsidiaries which have been or are intended to be permanently re-invested.

The consolidated provision for income taxes included in the Statement of Income consisted of the following:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Current taxes | | | |
| Federal | \$ 19,174 | \$ 44,651 | \$ 46,144 |
| State | 3,625 | 5,505 | 5,265 |
| Foreign | 18,114 | 18,719 | 14,067 |
| | \$ 40,913 | \$ 68,875 | \$ 65,476 |
| Deferred taxes | | | |
| Federal | 6,426 | 6,309 | 1,222 |
| State | 1,485 | 1,371 | 957 |
| Foreign | (520) | (2,810) | (4,457) |
| | 7,391 | 4,870 | (2,278) |
| Total provision | \$ 48,304 | \$ 73,745 | \$ 63,198 |

The consolidated income tax provision is included in the Statement of Income as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Continuing operations | \$ 48,304 | \$ 73,746 | \$ 63,067 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | (1) | 131 |
| Total provision | \$ 48,304 | \$ 73,745 | \$ 63,198 |

A reconciliation of the United States federal statutory income tax rate to the effective income tax rate on continuing operations for the years ended December 31 is provided below:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| U. S. federal statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Adjustment to prior year matters | | | 0.6 |
| Tax reserves | (5.1) | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| Change in valuation allowance | | | (1.8) |
| Deferred rate/balance adjustment | | | 0.5 |
| Foreign | (1.4) | (1.0) | (0.8) |
| Research and development credit | (0.9) | (1.0) | (2.0) |
| Other, net | (0.2) | 0.1 | 0.8 |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Effective rate | 29.6% | 36.1% | 36.6% |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|

The effective income tax rate for 2009 includes a net tax benefit of approximately \$9.7 million which is due primarily to the settlement of examinations in various tax jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes result from temporary differences in the recognition of income and expense for financial and income tax reporting purposes. These deferred income taxes will be recognized as future tax benefits or costs when the temporary differences reverse.

Components of deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Accrued expenses and reserves | \$ 11,834 | \$ 9,110 |
| Warranty reserve | 9,986 | 9,077 |
| Deferred comp/employee benefits | 9,328 | 5,812 |
| Pension and postretirement obligations | 18,802 | 22,978 |
| Inventory | 7,792 | 5,558 |
| State net operating loss | 3,125 | 3,555 |
| Foreign net operating loss | 421 | 1,638 |
| Tax credit carry forwards | 4,360 | 1,706 |
| Other | 1,330 | 2,582 |
| Gross deferred income tax assets | 66,978 | 62,016 |
| Valuation allowance | (2,121) | (138) |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 64,857 | 61,878 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | |
| Property, plant & equipment | 19,703 | 18,114 |
| Intangibles | 51,813 | 24,449 |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | 71,516 | 42,563 |
| Net deferred income tax asset/(liability) | \$ (6,659) | \$ 19,315 |

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. State and foreign net operating loss carryforwards exist in the amount of \$65.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, and are set to expire in various periods from 2011 to 2030.

Federal and foreign tax credits of approximately \$1.4 million related to Research and Experimentation credits have been fully utilized in 2009. State tax credits of approximately \$866,000 are available and consist of various Machinery & Equipment, Research and Experimentation, and Jobs related credits.

As of December 31, 2009, the liability for income taxes associated with uncertain tax positions was \$10.0 million, of which \$2.6 million, if recognized, would favorably affect the Company's effective income tax rate. As of December 31, 2008, the liability for income taxes associated with uncertain tax positions was \$17.1 million, of which \$10.1 million, if recognized, would favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of the liability for income taxes associated with uncertain tax positions follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gross liability for uncertain tax positions at beginning of year | \$ 17,102 | \$ 17,203 | \$ 13,530 |
| Gross increases uncertain tax positions in prior periods | 655 | | 3,416 |
| Gross decreases uncertain tax positions in prior periods | (562) | | (360) |
| Gross increases current period uncertain tax positions | 1,661 | 2,614 | 1,320 |
| Gross decreases audit settlements during year | (8,753) | (597) | (301) |
| Gross decreases expiration of audit statute of limitations | (122) | (2,118) | (402) |

| | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gross liability for uncertain tax positions at end of year | \$ 9,981 | \$ 17,102 | \$ 17,203 |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|

Table of Contents

The Company includes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. The total interest and penalties accrued as of December 31, 2009 are approximately \$3.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, the Company accrued interest and penalties of approximately \$4.4 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

The Internal Revenue Service is currently auditing the 2007 and 2008 tax years. With limited exception, the Company is no longer subject to examination by various U.S. and foreign taxing authorities for years before 2006.

At this time, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$3.2 million may change within the next twelve months due to the expiration of statutory review periods and current examinations.

12. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computation of earnings per share from continuing operations is as follows:

| <i>In thousands, except per share</i> | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Numerator | | | |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 115,055 | \$ 130,551 | \$ 109,570 |
| Less: dividends declared common shares and non-vested restricted stock | (1,917) | (1,940) | (1,944) |
| Undistributed earnings | 113,138 | 128,611 | 107,626 |
| Percentage allocated to common shareholders (1) | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.5% |
| | 112,572 | 127,968 | 107,088 |
| Add: dividends declared common shares | 1,908 | 1,930 | 1,934 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share | \$ 114,480 | \$ 129,898 | \$ 109,022 |
| Denominator | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per common share weighted-average shares | 47,499 | 48,232 | 48,262 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | |
| Assumed conversion of dilutive stock-based compensation plans | 478 | 614 | 611 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per common share adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversion | 47,977 | 48,846 | 48,873 |
| Per common share net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.41 | \$ 2.69 | \$ 2.26 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 2.24 |
| (1) Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding | 47,499 | 48,232 | 48,263 |
| Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding and non-vested restricted stock expected to vest | 47,724 | 48,486 | 48,509 |
| Percentage allocated to common shareholders | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.5% |

Options to purchase approximately 79,200, 32,400, and 4,400 shares of Common Stock were outstanding in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise price exceeded the average market price of the common shares.

Table of Contents**13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS**

As of December 31, 2009, the Company maintains employee stock-based compensation plans for stock options, non-vested restricted stock, and incentive stock awards as governed by the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2000 Plan). The 2000 Plan was amended effective February 16, 2006 to increase the maximum shares then available for future grants under the existing plan of 719,512 by 2,000,000 shares. As of December 31, 2009, shares available for future grants under the 2000 Plan is 1,056,189 shares. No awards may be made under the 2000 Plan subsequent to January 31, 2016. The Company also maintains a Non-Employee Directors Fee and Stock Option Plan (Directors Plan).

Stock-based compensation expense was \$3.6 million, \$10.5 million and \$11.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company recognized associated tax benefits related to the stock-based compensation plans of \$1.6 million, \$3.3 million and \$3.6 million for the respective periods. Included in the stock-based compensation expense for 2009 above is \$1.9 million of expense related to stock options, \$2.8 million related to non-vested restricted stock and \$472,000 related to awards issued for directors fees, offset by a reduction in expense of \$1.6 million related to incentive stock awards. At December 31, 2009, unamortized compensation expense related to those stock options, non-vested restricted shares and incentive stock awards expected to vest totaled \$9.8 million and will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.3 years.

Stock Options Under the 2000 Plan, stock options are granted to eligible employees at the fair market value, which is the average of the high and low Wabtec stock price on the date of grant. Generally, the options become exercisable over a three or four year vesting period and expire ten years from the date of grant.

The Directors Plan, as amended, authorizes a total of 500,000 shares of Common Stock to be issued. Generally, options issued under the plan become exercisable over a three-year vesting period and expire ten years from the date of grant. In addition, as compensation for directors fees, a total of 13,500 shares have been awarded to non-employee directors for the year ended December 31, 2009, 13,500 shares for the year ended December 31, 2008, and 12,000 shares for the year ended December 31, 2007. No awards may be made under the plan subsequent to October 31, 2016. The total number of shares issued under the plan as of December 31, 2009 was 362,000 shares.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity and related information for both the 2000 Plan and Directors Plan for the years ended December 31:

| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 1,375,654 | \$ 13.52 | 5.8 | \$ 23,198 |
| Granted | 38,000 | 32.01 | | 92 |
| Exercised | (386,658) | 12.11 | | (8,636) |
| Canceled | (17,502) | 21.98 | | (218) |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 1,009,494 | \$ 14.61 | 5.2 | \$ 20,022 |
| Granted | 269,500 | 35.93 | | 1,029 |
| Exercised | (207,000) | 13.48 | | (5,437) |
| Canceled | (17,750) | 20.37 | | (344) |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 1,054,244 | \$ 20.16 | 5.5 | \$ 20,655 |
| Granted | 313,000 | 30.23 | | 3,320 |
| Exercised | (199,879) | 13.57 | | (5,450) |
| Canceled | (48,112) | 26.16 | | (706) |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 1,119,253 | \$ 23.89 | 6.1 | \$ 16,136 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2009 | 622,537 | \$ 17.10 | 4.3 | \$ 13,204 |

Table of Contents

Options outstanding at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number of Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Number of Options Currently Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Options Currently Exercisable |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Under \$13.00 | 283,264 | \$ 10.85 | 2.6 | 283,264 | \$ 10.85 |
| 13.00 20.00 | 203,321 | 16.19 | 4.2 | 203,321 | 16.16 |
| 20.00 25.00 | 19,667 | 21.46 | 5.1 | 19,667 | 21.46 |
| 25.00 30.00 | 319,501 | 28.97 | 8.7 | 43,497 | 28.54 |
| Over 30.00 | 293,500 | 36.45 | 8.2 | 72,788 | 35.94 |
| | 1,119,253 | \$ 23.89 | | 622,537 | \$ 17.10 |

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Dividend yield | .13% | .11% | .12% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.1% | 3.5% | 4.7% |
| Stock price volatility | 43.1 | 33.9 | 40.7 |
| Expected life (years) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year | \$ 11.30 | \$ 12.24 | \$ 11.94 |

The dividend yield is based on the Company's dividend rate and the current market price of the underlying common stock at the date of grant. Expected life in years is determined from historical stock option exercise data. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury bond rates for the expected life of the option.

Non-Vested Restricted Stock and Incentive Stock Awards Under the 2000 Plan, eligible employees are granted non-vested restricted stock that generally vest over three or four years from the date of grant. In addition, the Company has issued incentive stock awards to eligible employees that vest upon attainment of certain cumulative three year performance goals. The incentive stock awards included in the table below represent the maximum number of shares that may ultimately vest. As of December 31, 2009, based on the Company's performance, we estimate that the majority of these stock awards will vest and have recorded compensation expense accordingly. If our estimate of the number of these stock awards expected to vest changes in a future accounting period, compensation expense could be reduced and will be recognized over the remaining vesting period.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the non-vested restricted stock and incentive stock awards activity and related information for the years ended December 31:

| | Non-Vested Restricted Stock | Incentive Stock Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 197,500 | 701,666 | \$ 23.63 |
| Granted | 129,000 | 244,000 | 34.08 |
| Vested | (22,500) | (210,843) | 17.97 |
| Canceled | (2,500) | (40,774) | 21.37 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 301,500 | 694,049 | \$ 29.65 |
| Granted | 79,000 | 301,000 | 35.50 |
| Vested | (83,708) | (243,913) | 20.56 |
| Canceled | (17,000) | (51,470) | 27.30 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 279,792 | 699,666 | \$ 35.12 |
| Granted | 89,500 | 174,000 | 29.00 |
| Vested | (105,833) | (170,334) | 36.39 |
| Canceled | (22,175) | (435,540) | 34.70 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 241,284 | 267,792 | \$ 31.65 |

Compensation expense for the non-vested restricted stock and incentive stock awards is based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and recognized over the applicable vesting period.

14. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were:

| <i>In thousands</i> | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Foreign currency translation (loss) gain | \$ 12,900 | \$ (19,140) |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on foreign exchange contracts, net of tax of \$40 and \$14 | (70) | 26 |
| Unrealized (loss) on interest rate swap contracts, net of tax of \$25 | (38) | |
| Pension benefit plans and post retirement benefit plans, net of tax of \$(22,499) and \$(25,081) | (43,338) | (41,426) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (30,546) | \$ (60,540) |

15. OPERATING LEASES

The Company leases office and manufacturing facilities under operating leases with terms ranging from one to 15 years, excluding renewal options.

Total net rental expense charged to operations in 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$8.5 million, \$8.1 million and \$7.5 million respectively. The amounts above are shown net of sublease rentals of zero, \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million for the years 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

Future minimum rental payments under operating leases with remaining non-cancelable terms in excess of one year are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Real Estate | Equipment | Total |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 2010 | \$ 5,608 | \$ 888 | \$ 6,496 |
| 2011 | 5,256 | 491 | 5,747 |
| 2012 | 5,046 | 312 | 5,358 |
| 2013 | 4,837 | 195 | 5,032 |
| 2014 | 3,905 | 29 | 3,934 |
| 2015 and after | 11,617 | 8 | 11,625 |

16. WARRANTIES

The following table reconciles the changes in the Company's product warranty reserve as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 30,676 | \$ 22,314 |
| Warranty expense | 20,456 | 17,085 |
| Acquisitions | 271 | 3,896 |
| Adjustment to preliminary purchase allocation | (1,095) | |
| Warranty payments | (21,101) | (12,619) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 29,207 | \$ 30,676 |

17. PREFERRED STOCK

The Company's authorized capital stock includes 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The Board of Directors has the authority to issue the preferred stock and to fix the designations, powers, preferences and rights of the shares of each such class or series, including dividend rates, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption and liquidation preferences, without any further vote or action by the Company's shareholders. The rights and preferences of the preferred stock would be superior to those of the common stock. At December 31, 2009 and 2008 there was no preferred stock issued or outstanding.

18. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and explains the related disclosure requirements. ASC 820 indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability and defines fair value based upon an exit price model.

Valuation Hierarchy. ASC 820 establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs to valuation used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on the Company's assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009, which are included in other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance sheet:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Total Carrying Value at December 31, 2009 | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2009 Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | | | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|-----------|---|
| | | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ (110) | \$ | \$ (110) | \$ | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | (63) | | (63) | | |
| Total | \$ (173) | \$ | \$ (173) | \$ | |

The following table provides the asset carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2008, which is included in other current assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance sheet:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Total Carrying Value at December 31, 2008 | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2008 Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | | | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--------------|-----------|---|
| | | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 41 | \$ | \$ 41 | \$ | |
| Total | \$ 41 | \$ | \$ 41 | \$ | |

The Company is exposed to market risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which may adversely affect our operating results and financial position. When deemed appropriate, the Company minimizes these risks through entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The foreign currency forward contracts are valued using broker quotations, or market transactions in either the listed or over-the counter markets. As such, these derivative instruments are classified within level 2.

The Company's defined benefit pension plan assets consist primarily of equity security funds, debt security funds and temporary cash and cash equivalent investments. All plan assets are considered Level 1 based on the fair value valuation hierarchy (See Note 10 Employee Benefit Plans included herein).

19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations governing discharges to air and water, the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous or solid waste materials and the remediation of contamination associated with releases of hazardous substances. The Company believes its operations currently comply in all material respects with all of the various environmental laws and regulations applicable to our business; however, there can be no assurance that environmental requirements will not change in the future or that we will not incur significant costs to comply with such requirements.

Under terms of the purchase agreement and related documents for the 1990 Acquisition, American Standard, Inc., now known as Trane (Trane), has indemnified the Company for certain items including, among other things, certain environmental claims the Company asserted prior to 2000. If Trane was unable to honor or meet these indemnifications, the Company would be responsible for such items. In the opinion of Management, Trane currently has the ability to meet its indemnification obligations.

Claims have been filed against the Company and certain of its affiliates in various jurisdictions across the United States by persons alleging bodily injury as a result of exposure to asbestos-containing products. Most of these claims have been made against our wholly owned subsidiary, Railroad Friction Products Corporation (RFPC), and are based on a product sold by RFPC prior to the time that the Company acquired any

interest in RFPC.

Table of Contents

Most of these claims, including all of the RFPC claims, are submitted to insurance carriers for defense and indemnity or to non-affiliated companies that retain the liabilities for the asbestos-containing products at issue. We cannot, however, assure that all these claims will be fully covered by insurance or that the indemnitors or insurers will remain financially viable. Our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to these claims, as is the case with other pending litigation, cannot be estimated.

It is Management's belief that the potential range of loss for asbestos-related bodily injury cases is not reasonably determinable at present due to a variety of factors, including: (1) the asbestos case settlement history of the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, RFPC; (2) the unpredictable nature of personal injury litigation in general; and (3) the uncertainty of asbestos litigation in particular. Despite this uncertainty, and although the results of the Company's operations and cash flows for any given period could be adversely affected by asbestos-related lawsuits, Management believes that the final resolution of the Company's asbestos-related cases will not be material to the Company's overall financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In general, this belief is based upon: (1) Wabtec's and RFPC's history of settlements and dismissals of asbestos-related cases to date; (2) the inability of many plaintiffs to establish any exposure or causal relationship to RFPC's product; and (3) the inability of many plaintiffs to demonstrate any identifiable injury or compensable loss.

More specifically, as to RFPC, Management's belief that any losses due to asbestos-related cases would not be material is also based on the fact that RFPC owns insurance which provides coverage for asbestos-related bodily injury claims. To date, RFPC's insurers have provided RFPC with defense and indemnity in these actions. The overall number of new claims being filed against RFPC has dropped significantly in recent years; however, these new claims, and all previously filed claims, may take a significant period of time to resolve. As to Wabtec and its divisions, Management's belief that asbestos-related cases will not have a material impact is also based on its position that it has no legal liability for asbestos-related bodily injury claims, and that the former owners of Wabtec's assets retained asbestos liabilities for the products at issue. To date, Wabtec has been able to successfully defend itself on this basis, including two arbitration decisions and a judicial opinion, all of which confirmed Wabtec's position that it did not assume any asbestos liabilities from the former owners of certain Wabtec assets. Although Wabtec has incurred defense and administrative costs in connection with asbestos bodily injury actions, these costs have not been material, and the Company has no information that would suggest these costs would become material in the foreseeable future.

The Company is subject to a RCRA Part B Closure Permit (the Permit) issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality relating to the monitoring and treatment of groundwater contamination on, and adjacent to, the MotivePower Inc. (Boise, Idaho) facility. In compliance with the Permit, the Company has completed an accelerated plan for the treatment of contaminated groundwater, and continues onsite and offsite monitoring for hazardous constituents. The Company has accruals of approximately \$468,000 at December 31, 2009, the estimated remaining costs for remediation and monitoring. The Company was in compliance with the Permit at December 31, 2009.

Foster Wheeler Energy Corporation (FWEC), the seller of the Mountaintop, Pennsylvania property to the predecessor of one of the Company's subsidiaries in 1989, agreed to indemnify the Company's predecessor and its successors and assigns against certain identified environmental liabilities for which FWEC executed a Consent Order Agreement with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) and EPA. Management believes that this indemnification arrangement is enforceable for the benefit of the Company and that FWEC has the financial resources to honor its obligations under this indemnification arrangement.

Prior to the Company's acquisition of Young Radiator, Young agreed to clean up alleged contamination on a prior production site in Mattoon, Illinois. The Company has completed the remediation of the site under the state's voluntary cleanup program and has obtained closure certification for the site from the State of Illinois.

Young ceased manufacturing operations at its Racine, Wisconsin facility in the early 1990s. Investigations prior to the acquisition of Young revealed some levels of contamination on the Racine property. The Company

Table of Contents

has completed a comprehensive site evaluation and implemented a groundwater remediation program under Wisconsin's voluntary remediation program. Site monitoring is being conducted to demonstrate attainment of Wisconsin's cleanup requirements. The Company believes the regulating authority is generally in agreement with the selected remediation approach and findings presented to-date.

The Company has other contingent obligations relating to certain sales leaseback transactions, for locomotives that were assumed in connection with the MotivePower merger in 1999, for which reserves of \$677,000 remain as of December 31, 2009.

On October 18, 2007, Faiveley Transport Malmo AB filed a request for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce alleging breach of contract and trade secret violations relating to the Company's manufacture and sale of certain components. The components at issue are limited in number and used in the transit industry. On that same day, Faiveley Malmo also filed a related proceeding against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Federal Court), requesting a preliminary injunction in aid of the arbitration. In both forums, Faiveley Malmo sought to prevent the Company from manufacturing and selling the subject components until the arbitration panel decided Faiveley Malmo's claim. In the arbitration, Faiveley Malmo also sought monetary damages.

In the Federal Court action, Faiveley Malmo's request for a preliminary injunction was initially granted, in part, on August 22, 2008. That injunction was vacated by the appellate court on March 9, 2009, and the case was remanded to the District Court for further proceedings. On remand, Faiveley Malmo renewed its request for injunctive relief. The District Court denied that request on August 31, 2009, and Faiveley Malmo has appealed that denial to the appellate court. Faiveley Malmo's appeal remains pending, but the Company has moved to dismiss the appeal based on the decision rendered in the international arbitration proceeding.

In the international arbitration proceeding Faiveley Malmo originally alleged \$128 million in damages, but later reduced its claim to \$91 million in damages. The Company has stated that Faiveley Malmo's claims were grossly overstated, not supported by the facts or circumstances surrounding the case, and frivolous in most respects. An ICC International Court of Arbitration Arbitral Tribunal heard the case during the first half of 2009 and issued an award dated December 21, 2009. Pursuant to the Award, the Company was required to make a \$3.9 million royalty payment to Faiveley Malmo, with respect to Faiveley's Malmo's claims against the Company alleging breach of contract and trade secret violations. Faiveley Malmo's parent company, Faiveley Transport, has stated that other Faiveley entities are considering filing claims against the Company arising from the same allegations. The Company will vigorously contest any such claims and does not believe that they would result in any material legal liability.

From time to time the Company is involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the ordinary course of business. As of the date hereof, the Company is involved in no litigation that the Company believes will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Wabtec has two reportable segments—the Freight Group and the Transit Group. The key factors used to identify these reportable segments are the organization and alignment of the Company's internal operations, the nature of the products and services, and customer type. The business segments are:

Freight Group manufactures products and provides services geared primarily to the production and operation of freight cars and locomotives, including braking control equipment, on-board electronic components and train coupler equipment.

Transit Group consists of products for passenger transit vehicles and locomotives (typically subways, commuter rail and buses) that include braking, coupling, monitoring systems, climate control and door equipment engineered to meet individual customer specifications, as well as commuter rail locomotives.

Table of Contents

The Company evaluates its business segments' operating results based on income from operations. Corporate activities include general corporate expenses, elimination of intersegment transactions, interest income and expense and other unallocated charges. Since certain administrative and other operating expenses and other items have not been allocated to business segments, the results in the following tables are not necessarily a measure computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and may not be comparable to other companies.

Segment financial information for 2009 is as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Freight Group | Transit Group | Corporate Activities and Elimination | Total |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Sales to external customers | \$ 588,399 | \$ 813,217 | | \$ 1,401,616 |
| Intersegment sales/(elimination) | 26,040 | 2,442 | (28,482) | |
| Total sales | \$ 614,439 | \$ 815,659 | \$ (28,482) | \$ 1,401,616 |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$ 74,101 | \$ 128,795 | \$ (22,864) | \$ 180,032 |
| Interest expense and other | | | (16,673) | (16,673) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 74,101 | \$ 128,795 | \$ (39,537) | \$ 163,359 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 22,128 | 12,940 | 451 | 35,519 |
| Capital expenditures | 9,199 | 7,791 | 1,298 | 18,288 |
| Segment assets | 1,226,145 | 929,855 | (570,165) | 1,585,835 |

Segment financial information for 2008 is as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Freight Group | Transit Group | Corporate Activities and Elimination | Total |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Sales to external customers | \$ 773,523 | \$ 801,226 | | \$ 1,574,749 |
| Intersegment sales/(elimination) | 22,558 | 2,615 | (25,173) | |
| Total sales | \$ 796,081 | \$ 803,841 | \$ (25,173) | \$ 1,574,749 |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$ 133,463 | \$ 98,792 | \$ (19,739) | \$ 212,516 |
| Interest expense and other | | | (8,216) | (8,216) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 133,463 | \$ 98,792 | \$ (27,955) | \$ 204,300 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,206 | 12,916 | 704 | 29,826 |
| Capital expenditures | 12,178 | 7,164 | 373 | 19,715 |
| Segment assets | 1,055,621 | 883,440 | (431,541) | 1,507,520 |

Segment financial information for 2007 is as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Freight Group | Transit Group | Corporate Activities and Elimination | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------|
| Sales to external customers | \$ 734,173 | \$ 625,915 | | \$ 1,360,088 |
| Intersegment sales/(elimination) | 17,720 | 936 | (18,656) | |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Total sales | \$ 751,893 | \$ 626,851 | \$ (18,656) | \$ 1,360,088 |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$ 126,530 | \$ 69,004 | \$ (15,793) | \$ 179,741 |
| Interest expense and other | | | (7,287) | (7,287) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 126,530 | \$ 69,004 | \$ (23,080) | \$ 172,454 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15,930 | 12,113 | 1,097 | 29,140 |
| Capital expenditures | 11,940 | 6,929 | 796 | 19,665 |
| Segment assets | 692,714 | 587,792 | (121,804) | 1,158,702 |

Table of Contents

The following geographic area data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, includes net sales based on product shipment destination and long-lived assets, which consist of plant, property and equipment, net of depreciation, resident in their respective countries:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Net Sales | | | Long-Lived Assets | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| United States | \$ 838,263 | \$ 930,160 | \$ 822,706 | \$ 121,427 | \$ 130,890 | \$ 104,660 |
| Canada | 134,811 | 136,282 | 158,312 | 17,203 | 14,694 | 21,063 |
| Mexico | 31,515 | 55,150 | 35,455 | 6,778 | 6,012 | 5,229 |
| United Kingdom | 139,804 | 179,861 | 153,702 | 6,490 | 5,935 | 6,857 |
| Australia | 59,016 | 72,037 | 43,267 | 5,216 | 4,529 | 6,105 |
| Germany | 33,478 | 32,348 | 24,474 | 15,242 | 15,663 | 18,329 |
| Other international | 164,729 | 168,911 | 122,172 | 29,351 | 29,825 | 20,194 |
| Total | \$ 1,401,616 | \$ 1,574,749 | \$ 1,360,088 | \$ 201,707 | \$ 207,548 | \$ 182,437 |

Export sales from the Company's United States operations were \$241.3 million, \$261.8 million and \$239.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Sales by product are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Brake Products | \$ 465,079 | \$ 545,528 | \$ 466,871 |
| Freight Electronics & Specialty Products | 333,760 | 393,288 | 358,140 |
| Remanufacturing, Overhaul & Build | 285,466 | 338,354 | 328,785 |
| Other Transit Products | 247,485 | 223,419 | 151,415 |
| Other | 69,826 | 74,160 | 54,877 |
| Total Sales | \$ 1,401,616 | \$ 1,574,749 | \$ 1,360,088 |

21. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The estimated fair values and related carrying values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Carry Value | Fair Value | Carry Value | Fair Value |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ (110) | \$ (110) | \$ 41 | \$ 41 |
| Interest rate swap agreements | (63) | (63) | | |
| 6.875% senior notes | 150,000 | 151,500 | 150,000 | 129,000 |

The fair value of the Company's foreign exchange contracts and senior notes were based on dealer quotes and represent the estimated amount the Company would pay to the counterparty to terminate the agreements.

22. GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Effective August 2003, the Company issued \$150 million of Senior Notes due in 2013 (The Notes). The obligations under the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by all U.S. subsidiaries as guarantors. In accordance with positions established by the Securities and Exchange

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

Commission, the following shows separate financial information with respect to the parent, the guarantor subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries. The principal elimination entries eliminate investment in subsidiaries and certain intercompany balances and transactions.

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet for December 31, 2009:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 12,026 | \$ 12,124 | \$ 164,509 | \$ | \$ 188,659 |
| Accounts receivable | 522 | 121,203 | 86,535 | | 208,260 |
| Inventories | | 166,638 | 72,695 | | 239,333 |
| Other current assets | 38,038 | 5,040 | 10,179 | | 53,257 |
| Total current assets | 50,586 | 305,005 | 333,918 | | 689,509 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 2,232 | 119,195 | 80,280 | | 201,707 |
| Goodwill | 7,980 | 337,603 | 137,395 | | 482,978 |
| Investment in Subsidiaries | 2,102,458 | 452,653 | 382,942 | (2,938,053) | |
| Other intangibles, net | | 127,705 | 59,925 | | 187,630 |
| Other long term assets | (1,416) | (7,360) | 32,787 | | 24,011 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,161,840 | \$ 1,334,801 | \$ 1,027,247 | \$ (2,938,053) | \$ 1,585,835 |
| Current liabilities | \$ 55,907 | \$ 158,077 | \$ 91,364 | \$ | \$ 305,348 |
| Intercompany | 907,149 | (986,903) | 79,754 | | |
| Long-term debt | 358,500 | 316 | 223 | | 359,039 |
| Other long term liabilities | 61,371 | 18,575 | 62,589 | | 142,535 |
| Total liabilities | 1,382,927 | (809,935) | 233,930 | | 806,922 |
| Stockholders' equity | 778,913 | 2,144,736 | 793,317 | (2,938,053) | 778,913 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 2,161,840 | \$ 1,334,801 | \$ 1,027,247 | \$ (2,938,053) | \$ 1,585,835 |

Balance Sheet for December 31, 2008:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 37,941 | \$ 4,272 | \$ 99,592 | \$ | \$ 141,805 |
| Accounts receivable | 396 | 180,990 | 92,174 | | 273,560 |
| Inventories | | 186,761 | 77,397 | | 264,158 |
| Other current assets | 36,300 | 4,397 | 5,129 | | 45,826 |
| Total current assets | 74,637 | 376,420 | 274,292 | | 725,349 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 1,598 | 129,092 | 76,858 | | 207,548 |
| Goodwill | 7,980 | 219,062 | 92,407 | | 319,449 |
| Investment in Subsidiaries | 1,794,010 | 323,131 | 290,783 | (2,407,924) | |
| Other intangibles, net | 561 | 194,841 | 41,338 | | 236,740 |
| Other long term assets | (2,139) | 650 | 19,923 | | 18,434 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,876,647 | \$ 1,243,196 | \$ 795,601 | \$ (2,407,924) | \$ 1,507,520 |
| Current liabilities | \$ 36,418 | \$ 248,245 | \$ 103,388 | \$ | \$ 388,051 |
| Intercompany | 776,708 | (846,466) | 69,758 | | |
| Long-term debt | 356,000 | 394 | 305 | | 356,699 |
| Other long term liabilities | 61,714 | 22,590 | 32,659 | | 116,963 |
| Total liabilities | 1,230,840 | (575,237) | 206,110 | | 861,713 |
| Stockholders' equity | 645,807 | 1,818,433 | 589,491 | (2,407,924) | 645,807 |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 1,876,647 | \$ 1,243,196 | \$ 795,601 | \$ (2,407,924) | \$ 1,507,520 |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--------------|

Table of Contents

Income Statement for the Year Ended December 31, 2009:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination (1) | Consolidated |
|---|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 1,055,493 | \$ 421,762 | \$ (75,639) | \$ 1,401,616 |
| Cost of sales | 1,662 | (726,375) | (322,833) | 39,256 | (1,008,290) |
| Gross profit (loss) | 1,662 | 329,118 | 98,929 | (36,383) | 393,326 |
| Total operating expenses | (37,045) | (118,604) | (57,645) | | (213,294) |
| (Expense) income from operations | (35,383) | 210,514 | 41,284 | (36,383) | 180,032 |
| Interest (expense) income, net | (23,207) | 5,917 | 616 | | (16,674) |
| Other (expense) income, net | 1,313 | (9,869) | 8,557 | | 1 |
| Equity earnings (loss) | 185,071 | 32,362 | | (217,433) | |
| Pretax income (loss) | 127,794 | 238,924 | 50,457 | (253,816) | 163,359 |
| Income tax expense | (12,739) | (11,880) | (23,685) | | (48,304) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 115,055 | \$ 227,044 | \$ 26,772 | \$ (253,816) | \$ 115,055 |

Income Statement for the Year Ended December 31, 2008:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination (1) | Consolidated |
|---|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 1,154,546 | \$ 527,908 | \$ (107,705) | \$ 1,574,749 |
| Cost of sales | (163) | (763,456) | (440,910) | 56,966 | (1,147,563) |
| Gross profit (loss) | (163) | 391,090 | 86,998 | (50,739) | 427,186 |
| Total operating expenses | (54,166) | (110,855) | (49,649) | | (214,670) |
| (Expense) income from operations | (54,329) | 280,235 | 37,349 | (50,739) | 212,516 |
| Interest (expense) income, net | (20,620) | 8,432 | 3,680 | | (8,508) |
| Other (expense) income, net | 43 | 5,051 | (4,802) | | 292 |
| Equity earnings (loss) | 249,331 | 35,127 | | (284,458) | |
| Pretax income (loss) | 174,425 | 328,845 | 36,227 | (335,197) | 204,300 |
| Income tax expense | (43,874) | (12,543) | (17,329) | | (73,746) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 130,551 | 316,302 | 18,898 | (335,197) | 130,554 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations (net of tax) | | | (3) | | (3) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 130,551 | \$ 316,302 | \$ 18,895 | \$ (335,197) | \$ 130,551 |

Table of Contents

Income Statement for the Year Ended December 31, 2007:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination (1) | Consolidated |
|---|------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 1,022,827 | \$ 453,607 | \$ (116,346) | \$ 1,360,088 |
| Cost of sales | 4,331 | (697,322) | (377,243) | 79,765 | (990,469) |
| Gross profit (loss) | 4,331 | 325,505 | 76,364 | (36,581) | 369,619 |
| Total operating expenses | (49,977) | (94,089) | (45,812) | | (189,878) |
| (Expense) income from operations | (45,646) | 231,416 | 30,552 | (36,581) | 179,741 |
| Interest (expense) income, net | (19,862) | 12,497 | 3,728 | | (3,637) |
| Other income (expense), net | (997) | 2,302 | (4,955) | | (3,650) |
| Equity earnings (loss) | 211,117 | 20,452 | | (231,569) | |
| Pretax income (loss) | 144,612 | 266,667 | 29,325 | (268,150) | 172,454 |
| Income tax expense | (35,712) | (14,800) | (12,555) | | (63,067) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 108,900 | 251,867 | 16,770 | (268,150) | 109,387 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations (net of tax) | 670 | (215) | (272) | | 183 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Wabtec shareholders | \$ 109,570 | \$ 251,652 | \$ 16,498 | \$ (268,150) | \$ 109,570 |

(1) Includes elimination of gross profit realized with certain intercompany transactions between Guarantor and Non-Guarantor subsidiaries.
Condensed Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2009:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|-------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | \$ (12,484) | \$ 340,765 | \$ 86,056 | \$ (253,816) | \$ 160,521 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,298) | (105,839) | (8,084) | | (115,221) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (12,133) | (227,074) | (27,566) | 253,816 | (12,957) |
| Effect of changes in currency exchange rates | | | 14,511 | | 14,511 |
| Decrease in cash | (25,915) | 7,852 | 64,917 | | 46,854 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 37,941 | 4,272 | 99,592 | | 141,805 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 12,026 | \$ 12,124 | \$ 164,509 | \$ | \$ 188,659 |

Condensed Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2008:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|--------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (214,862) | \$ 630,431 | \$ 79,012 | \$ (335,197) | \$ 159,384 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (362) | (319,198) | (97,881) | | (417,441) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 170,254 | (316,965) | 1,225 | 335,197 | 189,711 |
| Effect of changes in currency exchange rates | | | (24,538) | | (24,538) |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Increase (decrease) in cash | (44,970) | (5,732) | (42,182) | (92,884) |
| Cash, beginning of period | 82,911 | 10,004 | 141,774 | 234,689 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 37,941 | \$ 4,272 | \$ 99,592 | \$ 141,805 |

Table of Contents

Condensed Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2007:

| <i>In thousands</i> | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|-------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (10,198) | \$ 344,649 | \$ 76,208 | \$ (268,150) | \$ 142,509 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (796) | (82,105) | (10,635) | | (93,536) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (12,328) | (252,309) | (16,498) | 268,150 | (12,985) |
| Effect of changes in currency exchange rates | | | 10,722 | | 10,722 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash | (23,322) | 10,235 | 59,797 | | 46,710 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 106,233 | (231) | 81,977 | | 187,979 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 82,911 | \$ 10,004 | \$ 141,774 | \$ | \$ 234,689 |

23. OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

The components of other expense are as follows:

| <i>In thousands</i> | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Foreign currency loss | \$ (1,262) | \$ (399) | \$ (3,190) |
| Other miscellaneous income (expense) | 1,263 | 691 | (460) |
| Total other income (expense) | \$ 1 | \$ 292 | \$ (3,650) |

24. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

| <i>In thousands, except per share data</i> | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 2009 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 377,960 | \$ 334,013 | \$ 330,455 | \$ 359,188 |
| Gross profit | 107,175 | 91,663 | 94,826 | 99,662 |
| Income from operations | 55,748 | 36,727 | 45,759 | 41,798 |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | 32,666 | 30,836 | 27,348 | 24,205 |
| Basic earnings from continuing operations per common share | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.51 |
| Diluted earnings from continuing operations per common share | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.50 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 383,327 | \$ 390,194 | \$ 396,017 | \$ 405,211 |
| Gross profit | 105,215 | 108,501 | 107,674 | 105,796 |
| Income from operations | 53,886 | 55,922 | 52,454 | 50,254 |
| Income from continuing operations | 32,513 | 33,762 | 33,170 | 31,109 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations (net of tax) | (3) | | | |
| Net income attributable to Wabtec shareholders | 32,510 | 33,762 | 33,170 | 31,109 |
| Basic earnings from continuing operations per common share | \$ 0.67 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.64 |
| Diluted earnings from continuing operations per common share | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.64 |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

The Company operates on a four-four-five week accounting calendar, and accordingly, the quarters end on or about March 31, June 30 and September 30. The fiscal year ends on December 31.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE
TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

Date: February 24, 2010

By: /s/ ALBERT J. NEUPAVER
Albert J. Neupaver,

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | Signature and Title | Date |
|----|--|-------------------|
| By | /s/ ALBERT J. NEUPAVER Albert J. Neupaver, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | February 24, 2010 |
| | (Principal Executive Officer) | |
| By | /s/ ALVARO GARCIA-TUNON Alvaro Garcia-Tunon, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary | February 24, 2010 |
| | (Principal Financial Officer) | |
| By | /s/ PATRICK D. DUGAN Patrick D. Dugan, Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller | February 24, 2010 |
| | (Principal Accounting Officer) | |
| By | /s/ WILLIAM E. KASSLING William E. Kassling, Chairman of the Board and Director | February 24, 2010 |
| | Director | |
| By | /s/ EMILIO A. FERNANDEZ Emilio A. Fernandez, Director | February 24, 2010 |
| | Director | |
| By | /s/ LEE B. FOSTER, II | February 24, 2010 |

Edgar Filing: WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10-K

Lee B. Foster, II,

Director

By **/s/ BRIAN P. HEHIR** February 24, 2010
Brian P. Hehir,

Director

By **/s/ MICHAEL W. D. HOWELL** February 24, 2010
Michael W. D. Howell,

Director

Table of Contents

| | Signature and Title | Date |
|----|--|-------------------|
| By | /s/ JAMES V. NAPIER James V. Napier, | February 24, 2010 |
| | Director | |
| By | /s/ NICKOLAS W. VANDE STEEG Nickolas W. Vande Steeg, | February 24, 2010 |
| | Director | |
| By | /s/ GARY C. VALADE Gary C. Valade, | February 24, 2010 |
| | Director | |

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****For each of the three years ended December 31**

| In thousands | Balance at beginning of period | Charged/ (credited) to expense | Charged to other accounts (1) | Deductions from reserves (2) | Balance at end of period |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Warranty and overhaul reserves | \$ 30,676 | \$ 20,456 | \$ (824) | \$ 21,101 | \$ 29,207 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 4,968 | 3,432 | 693 | 1,765 | 7,328 |
| Valuation allowance-taxes | 138 | 1,983 | | | 2,121 |
| Inventory reserves | 21,789 | 8,390 | (191) | 7,512 | 22,476 |
| Merger and restructuring reserve | 2,152 | | 125 | 941 | 1,336 |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Warranty and overhaul reserves | \$ 22,314 | \$ 17,085 | \$ 3,896 | \$ 12,619 | \$ 30,676 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 4,574 | 2,676 | 986 | 3,268 | 4,968 |
| Valuation allowance-taxes | 475 | (337) | | | 138 |
| Inventory reserves | 17,130 | 7,310 | 4,251 | 6,900 | 21,789 |
| Merger and restructuring reserve | 2,028 | 2,863 | (407) | 2,332 | 2,152 |
| 2007 | | | | | |
| Warranty and overhaul reserves | \$ 17,399 | \$ 10,441 | \$ 5,293 | \$ 10,819 | \$ 22,314 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 3,615 | 2,317 | 744 | 2,102 | 4,574 |
| Valuation allowance-taxes | 5,821 | (3,125) | (2,221) | | 475 |
| Inventory reserves | 18,010 | 4,652 | 2,359 | 7,891 | 17,130 |
| Merger and restructuring reserve | 1,812 | 1,879 | 262 | 1,925 | 2,028 |

- (1) Reserves of acquired/(sold) companies; valuation allowances for state and foreign deferred tax assets; impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.
- (2) Actual disbursements and/or charges

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibits | Filing Method |
|--|----------------------|
| 2.1 Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended (originally included as Annex A to the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus) | 3 |
| 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company dated January 30, 1995, as amended March 30, 1995 | 2 |
| 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company, effective December 13, 2007 | 7 |
| 4.1(a) Indenture with the Bank of New York as Trustee dated as of August 6, 2003 | 5 |
| 4.1(b) Resolutions Adopted July 23, 2003 by the Board of Directors establishing the terms of the offering of up to \$150,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 6.875% Notes due 2013 | 5 |
| 4.2 Purchase Agreement, dated July 23, 2003, by and between the Company and the initial purchasers | 5 |
| 4.3 Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 6, 2003 | 5 |
| 10.1 Indemnification Agreement dated January 31, 1995 between the Company and the Voting Trust Trustees | 2 |
| 10.2 Agreement of Sale and Purchase of the North American Operations of the Railway Products Group, an operating division of American Standard Inc. (now known as Trane), dated as of 1990 between Rail Acquisition Corp. and American Standard Inc. (only provisions on indemnification are reproduced) | 2 |
| 10.3 Letter Agreement (undated) between the Company and American Standard Inc. (now known as Trane) on environmental costs and sharing | 2 |
| 10.4 Purchase Agreement dated as of June 17, 1992 among the Company, Schuller International, Inc., Manville Corporation and European Overseas Corporation (only provisions on indemnification are reproduced) | 2 |
| 10.5 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of January 23, 1995 among the Company, Pulse Acquisition Corporation, Pulse Electronics, Inc., Pulse Embedded Computer Systems, Inc. and the Pulse Shareholders (Schedules and Exhibits omitted) | 2 |
| 10.6 Letter Agreement dated as of January 19, 1995 between the Company and Vestar Capital Partners, Inc. | 2 |
| 10.7 Westinghouse Air Brake Company 1995 Non-Employee Directors Fee and Stock Option Plan, as amended | 9 |
| 10.8 Letter Agreement dated as of January 1, 1995 between the Company and Vestar Capital Partners, Inc. | 2 |
| 10.9 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and Authorized Representatives | 2 |
| 10.10 Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended | 9 |
| 10.11 Asset Purchase Agreement, by and between General Electric Company, through its GE Transportation Systems business and Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation, dated as of July 24, 2001 | 4 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibits | Filing Method |
|---|----------------------|
| 10.12 Sale and Purchase Agreement, by and between Rütgers Rail S.p.A. and the Company, dated August 12, 2004 | 6 |
| 10.13 Amendment Agreement dated January 28, 2005 by and among Rütgers Rail S.p.A., the Company, CoFren S.r.l. and RFPC Holding Company to the Sale and Purchase Agreement dated August 12, 2004 | 6 |
| 10.14 Employment Agreement with Albert J. Neupaver, dated February 1, 2006 * | 8 |
| 10.15 Restricted Stock Agreement with Albert J. Neupaver, dated February 1, 2006 * | 8 |
| 10.16 Stock Purchase Agreement, by and among Wabtec Holding Company, certain shareholders of Schaefer Manufacturing, Inc. and CCP Limited Partnership, dated October 6, 2006 | 10 |
| 10.17 Share Purchase Agreement, by and between BBA Holding Deutschland GmbH and Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation, dated November 27, 2006 (Exhibits and Schedules omitted, but will be provided to the Commission upon request) | 11 |
| 10.18 Share Purchase Agreement dated as of June 8, 2007 among the Company, RICON Acquisition Corp., RICON Corp., CGW Southeast Partners IV, L.P. and William L. Baldwin | 12 |
| 10.19 Stock Purchase Agreement, by and between the Company and Polinvest S.r.l., dated May 16, 2008 | 13 |
| 10.20 Stock Purchase Agreement, by and among the Company, Standard Car Truck Company and Robclif, Inc., dated September 12, 2008 | 14 |
| 10.21 Refinancing Credit Agreement by and among the Company, the Guarantors, various lenders, PNC Bank, National Association, PNC Capital Markets LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., RBS Greenwich Capital, JP Morgan Chase Bank, Bank of America, N.A., Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania, the Bank of Nova Scotia and First Commonwealth Bank, dated as of November 4, 2008 | 1 |
| 10.22 Form of Employment Continuation Agreement entered into by the Company with Albert J. Neupaver, Alvaro Garcia-Tunon, Charles F. Kovac, Raymond T. Betler, Richard A. Mathes, R. Mark Cox, Scott E. Wahlstrom and Timothy R. Wesley* | 15 |
| 21 List of subsidiaries of the Company | 1 |
| 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP | 1 |
| 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications | 1 |
| 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications | 1 |
| 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications | 1 |

1 Filed herewith.

2 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 33-90866).

3 Filed as part of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-88903).

4 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 13, 2001.

5 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-110600).

6 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004.

7 Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 13, 2007.

8 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.

9 Filed as an Annex to the Company's Schedule 14A Proxy Statement filed on April 13, 2006.

Table of Contents

- 10 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006.
- 11 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2006.
- 12 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007.
- 13 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008.
- 14 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008.
- 15 Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009.

* Management contract or compensatory plan.