

WELLPOINT INC
Form 10-Q
April 22, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period ended March 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-16751

WELLPOINT, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

INDIANA

(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

120 MONUMENT CIRCLE;

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (317) 488-6000

35-2145715

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

46204-4903

(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for at least the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

| Title of Each Class | Outstanding at April 15, 2009 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | 484,639,194 shares |

Table of Contents

WellPoint, Inc.
Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q
For the Period Ended March 31, 2009
Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2008</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 20 |
| ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | 47 |
| ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 47 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 48 |
| ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS | 49 |
| ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS | 50 |
| ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES | 51 |
| ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | 51 |
| ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION | 51 |
| ITEM 6. EXHIBITS | 51 |
| SIGNATURES | 52 |
| INDEX TO EXHIBITS | E-1 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****WellPoint, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

| <i>(In millions, except share data)</i> | March 31, 2009 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2008 |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,378.1 | \$ 2,183.9 |
| Investments available-for-sale, at fair value: | | |
| Fixed maturity securities (amortized cost of \$1,664.6 and \$1,538.6) | 1,774.2 | 1,564.8 |
| Equity securities (cost of \$951.9 and \$1,293.0) | 810.3 | 1,088.0 |
| Other invested assets, current | 16.8 | 23.6 |
| Accrued investment income | 164.6 | 172.8 |
| Premium and self-funded receivables | 3,525.4 | 3,042.9 |
| Other receivables | 1,400.5 | 1,373.9 |
| Income tax receivable | | 159.9 |
| Securities lending collateral | 461.6 | 529.0 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 730.8 | 779.0 |
| Other current assets | 1,220.9 | 1,212.2 |
| Total current assets | 12,483.2 | 12,130.0 |
| Long-term investments available-for-sale, at fair value: | | |
| Fixed maturity securities (amortized cost of \$13,031.7 and \$12,401.3) | 12,537.1 | 11,808.4 |
| Equity securities (cost of \$33.8 and \$34.7) | 29.0 | 30.7 |
| Other invested assets, long-term | 705.3 | 703.2 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,051.8 | 1,054.5 |
| Goodwill | 13,460.3 | 13,461.3 |
| Other intangible assets | 8,761.7 | 8,827.2 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 386.4 | 387.9 |
| Total assets | \$ 49,414.8 | \$ 48,403.2 |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Policy liabilities: | | |
| Medical claims payable | \$ 6,168.0 | \$ 6,184.7 |
| Reserves for future policy benefits | 63.4 | 64.5 |
| Other policyholder liabilities | 1,677.7 | 1,626.8 |
| Total policy liabilities | 7,909.1 | 7,876.0 |
| Unearned income | 1,153.1 | 1,087.7 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 3,003.6 | 2,856.5 |
| Income taxes payable | 196.0 | |
| Security trades pending payable | 27.8 | 5.8 |
| Securities lending payable | 474.1 | 529.0 |
| Short-term borrowings | 100.0 | 98.0 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 710.5 | 909.7 |
| Other current liabilities | 1,766.6 | 1,657.6 |
| Total current liabilities | 15,340.8 | 15,020.3 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 8,527.1 | 7,833.9 |
| Reserves for future policy benefits, noncurrent | 662.8 | 664.7 |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | 2,118.5 | 2,098.9 |

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 1,257.6 | 1,353.7 |
| Total liabilities | 27,906.8 | 26,971.5 |
| Commitments and contingencies Note 9 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, without par value, shares authorized 100,000,000; shares issued and outstanding none | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01, shares authorized 900,000,000; shares issued and outstanding: 486,347,468 and 503,230,575 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 16,278.1 | 16,843.0 |
| Retained earnings | 5,970.6 | 5,479.4 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (745.5) | (895.7) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 21,508.0 | 21,431.7 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 49,414.8 | \$ 48,403.2 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

WellPoint, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31 | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | | |
| Premiums | \$ 14,203.2 | \$ 14,234.4 |
| Administrative fees | 941.5 | 969.6 |
| Other revenue | 154.0 | 162.6 |
| Total operating revenue | 15,298.7 | 15,366.6 |
| Net investment income | 197.1 | 232.7 |
| Net realized losses on investments | (352.5) | (45.6) |
| Total revenues | 15,143.3 | 15,553.7 |
| Expenses | | |
| Benefit expense | 11,588.2 | 12,116.5 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense: | | |
| Selling expense | 432.0 | 444.3 |
| General and administrative expense | 1,933.1 | 1,804.3 |
| Total selling, general and administrative expense | 2,365.1 | 2,248.6 |
| Cost of drugs | 112.4 | 118.9 |
| Interest expense | 116.1 | 119.0 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 67.9 | 71.5 |
| Total expenses | 14,249.7 | 14,674.5 |
| Income before income tax expense | 893.6 | 879.2 |
| Income tax expense | 313.2 | 291.1 |
| Net income | \$ 580.4 | \$ 588.1 |
| Net income per share | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.17 | \$ 1.08 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.16 | \$ 1.07 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**WellPoint, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)***(In millions)*

| | Three Months Ended March 31 | |
|--|--|----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 580.4 | \$ 588.1 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Net realized losses on investments | 352.5 | 45.6 |
| Loss on disposal of assets | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Deferred income taxes | (10.5) | (6.0) |
| Amortization, net of accretion | 109.6 | 118.9 |
| Depreciation expense | 26.1 | 25.8 |
| Share-based compensation | 24.8 | 37.0 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (0.7) | (11.1) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of business combinations: | | |
| Receivables, net | (486.1) | (454.0) |
| Other invested assets | 13.8 | 22.3 |
| Other assets | (7.5) | (135.8) |
| Policy liabilities | 31.2 | 502.1 |
| Unearned income | 65.4 | 36.4 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 120.3 | (48.3) |
| Other liabilities | 16.8 | 23.6 |
| Income taxes | 347.1 | 289.0 |
| Other, net | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,192.0 | 1,041.2 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchases of fixed maturity securities | (2,051.0) | (1,742.9) |
| Proceeds from fixed maturity securities: | | |
| Sales | 869.5 | 1,938.8 |
| Maturities, calls and redemptions | 289.5 | 642.7 |
| Purchases of equity securities | (31.3) | (744.9) |
| Proceeds from sales of equity securities | 168.4 | 377.4 |
| Purchases of other invested assets | (18.8) | (61.8) |
| Proceeds from sales of other invested assets | 0.9 | 18.3 |
| Changes in securities lending collateral | 54.9 | 97.5 |
| Purchases of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired | (1.1) | (0.4) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (68.9) | (79.0) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 0.2 | 4.5 |
| Other, net | (3.2) | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (790.9) | 450.2 |
| Financing activities | | |
| Net (repayments of) proceeds from commercial paper borrowings | (273.1) | 188.1 |
| Repayment of long-term borrowings | (228.1) | (2.7) |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings | 990.3 | |
| Net proceeds from short-term borrowings | 2.0 | |
| Changes in securities lending payable | (54.9) | (97.5) |
| Changes in bank overdrafts | 19.5 | 144.7 |

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Repurchase and retirement of common stock | (681.2) | (2,008.6) |
| Proceeds from exercise of employee stock options and employee stock purchase plan | 17.9 | 57.7 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 0.7 | 11.1 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (206.9) | (1,707.2) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 194.2 | (215.8) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 2,183.9 | 2,767.9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 2,378.1 | \$ 2,552.1 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**WellPoint, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity****(Unaudited)**

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Common Stock | | | | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Par Value | Additional Paid-in Capital | | | | |
| January 1, 2009 | 503.2 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 16,843.0 | \$ 5,479.4 | \$ (895.7) | \$ 21,431.7 | |
| Net income | | | | 580.4 | | 580.4 | |
| Change in net unrealized losses on investments | | | | | 152.6 | 152.6 | |
| Change in net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges | | | | | (2.2) | (2.2) | |
| Change in net periodic pension and postretirement costs | | | | | (0.2) | (0.2) | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 730.6 | |
| Repurchase and retirement of common stock | (17.7) | (0.2) | (591.8) | (89.2) | | (681.2) | |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans, net of related tax benefits | 0.8 | | 26.9 | | | 26.9 | |
| March 31, 2009 | 486.3 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 16,278.1 | \$ 5,970.6 | \$ (745.5) | \$ 21,508.0 | |
| January 1, 2008 | 556.2 | \$ 5.6 | \$ 18,441.1 | \$ 4,387.6 | \$ 156.1 | \$ 22,990.4 | |
| Net income | | | | 588.1 | | 588.1 | |
| Change in net unrealized gains on investments | | | | | (161.3) | (161.3) | |
| Change in net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges | | | | | (0.1) | (0.1) | |
| Change in net periodic pension and postretirement costs | | | | | (0.8) | (0.8) | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 425.9 | |
| Repurchase and retirement of common stock | (29.7) | (0.3) | (988.1) | (1,020.2) | | (2,008.6) | |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans, net of related tax benefits | 1.5 | | 97.7 | | | 97.7 | |
| Adoption of EITF 06-4 | | | | (1.3) | | (1.3) | |
| March 31, 2008 | 528.0 | \$ 5.3 | \$ 17,550.7 | \$ 3,954.2 | \$ (6.1) | \$ 21,504.1 | |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

WellPoint, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

March 31, 2009

(In Millions, Except Per Share Data or Otherwise Stated Herein)

1. Organization

References to the terms we, our, us, WellPoint or the Company used throughout these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements refer to WellPoint, Inc., an Indiana corporation, and unless the context otherwise requires, its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

We are the largest health benefits company in terms of medical membership in the United States, serving 34.6 medical members as of March 31, 2009. We offer a broad spectrum of network-based managed care plans to large and small employer, individual, Medicaid and senior markets. Our managed care plans include preferred provider organizations, or PPOs; health maintenance organizations, or HMOs; point-of-service, or POS, plans; traditional indemnity plans and other hybrid plans, including consumer-driven health plans, or CDHPs; hospital only and limited benefit products. In addition, we provide a broad array of managed care services to self-funded customers, including claims processing, underwriting, stop loss insurance, actuarial services, provider network access, medical cost management, disease management, wellness programs and other administrative services. We also provide an array of specialty and other products and services such as life and disability insurance benefits, pharmacy benefit management, or PBM, specialty pharmacy, dental, vision, behavioral health benefit services, radiology benefit management, analytics-driven personal health care guidance, long-term care insurance and flexible spending accounts. We are licensed to conduct insurance operations in all 50 states through our subsidiaries.

We are an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, or BCBSA, an association of independent health benefit plans. We serve our members as the Blue Cross licensee for California and as the Blue Cross and Blue Shield, or BCBS, licensee for: Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri (excluding 30 counties in the Kansas City area), Nevada, New Hampshire, New York (as the BCBS licensee in 10 New York City metropolitan and surrounding counties, and as the Blue Cross or BCBS licensee in selected upstate counties only), Ohio, Virginia (excluding the Northern Virginia suburbs of Washington, D.C.) and Wisconsin. In a majority of these service areas we do business as Anthem Blue Cross, Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield or Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield (in our New York service areas). We also serve customers throughout the country as UniCare.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, for interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of the consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 have been recorded. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2009. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our Form 10-K.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Table of Contents**3. Investments**

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, we classify the fixed maturity and equity securities in our investment portfolio as available-for-sale or trading and report those securities at fair value. We classify our investments in available-for-sale fixed maturity securities as either current or noncurrent assets based on their contractual maturities. Certain investments, which we intend to sell within the next twelve months, are carried as current without regard to their contractual maturities. Additionally, certain of our investments, which are used to satisfy contractual, regulatory or other requirements, continue to be classified as long-term, without regard to contractual maturity. The unrealized gains or losses on both our current and long-term fixed maturity and equity securities classified as available-for-sale are included in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders' equity, unless the decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary and we do not have the intent and ability to hold such securities until their full cost can be recovered, in which case such securities are written down to fair value and the loss is charged to net realized losses on investments. We evaluate our investment securities for other-than-temporary declines based on quantitative and qualitative factors. We recorded realized losses from other-than-temporary impairments of \$305.0 and \$76.9 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. There were no individually significant other-than-temporary impairments of investments by issuer during the three months ended March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, we had approximately \$933.6 of gross unrealized losses on investments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, \$773.8 of which related to fixed maturity securities and \$159.8 of which related to equity securities. We continue to review our investment portfolios under our impairment review policy. Given the current market conditions and the significant judgments involved, there is a continuing risk that further declines in fair value may occur and additional material other-than-temporary impairments may be recorded in future periods.

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Staff Position, or FSP, No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, or the FSP. The FSP is intended to provide greater clarity to investors about the credit and noncredit component of an other-than-temporary impairment event and to more effectively communicate when an other-than-temporary impairment event has occurred. The FSP applies to fixed maturity securities only and requires separate display of losses related to credit deterioration and losses related to other market factors. When an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that an entity will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it must recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. In addition, upon adoption of the FSP, an entity will be required to record a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. The FSP will be effective for us for the quarter ending June 30, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting the FSP.

Table of Contents**4. Fair Value**

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Level inputs, as defined by FAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, are as follows:

| Level Input: | Input Definition: |
|---------------------|--|
| Level I | Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date. |
| Level II | Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level I that are observable for the asset or liability through corroboration with market data at the measurement date. |
| Level III | Unobservable inputs that reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. |

A summary of fair value measurements by level for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis is as follows:

| | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| March 31, 2009: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 1,864.7 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,864.7 |
| Investments available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 536.1 | 13,458.5 | 316.8 | 14,311.4 |
| Equity securities | 788.9 | 43.8 | 6.6 | 839.3 |
| Other invested assets, current | 16.8 | | | 16.8 |
| Securities lending collateral | 208.4 | 253.2 | | 461.6 |
| Derivatives (reported with other noncurrent assets) | | 112.1 | | 112.1 |
| Total | \$ 3,414.9 | \$ 13,867.6 | \$ 323.4 | \$ 17,605.9 |
| December 31, 2008: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 1,544.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,544.0 |
| Investments available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 309.9 | 12,716.8 | 346.5 | 13,373.2 |
| Equity securities | 1,029.7 | 77.8 | 11.2 | 1,118.7 |
| Other invested assets, current | 23.6 | | | 23.6 |
| Securities lending collateral | 235.5 | 293.5 | | 529.0 |
| Derivatives (reported with other noncurrent assets) | | 122.1 | | 122.1 |
| Total | \$ 3,142.7 | \$ 13,210.2 | \$ 357.7 | \$ 16,710.6 |

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level III inputs is as follows:

| | Level III Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | Fixed Maturity Securities | Equity Securities | Total |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2009: | | | |
| Beginning balance at January 1, 2009 | \$ 346.5 | \$ 11.2 | \$ 357.7 |
| Total gains (losses) | | | |
| Realized in net income | (32.9) | (0.4) | (33.3) |
| Unrealized in accumulated other comprehensive income | 4.0 | 0.7 | 4.7 |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | (18.5) | (4.9) | (23.4) |
| Transfers into Level III | 18.9 | | 18.9 |
| Transfers out of Level III | (1.2) | | (1.2) |
| Ending balance at March 31, 2009 | \$ 316.8 | \$ 6.6 | \$ 323.4 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to assets still held for the three months ended March 31, 2009 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2008: | | | |
| Beginning balance at January 1, 2008 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 7.0 |
| Total gains (losses) | | | |
| Realized in net income | | | |
| Unrealized in accumulated other comprehensive income | | | |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | | | |
| Transfers into Level III | 257.0 | | 257.0 |
| Ending balance at March 31, 2008 | \$ 257.9 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 264.0 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to assets still held for the three months ended March 31, 2008 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

During the first quarter of 2009 and 2008, certain mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities were thinly traded due to concerns in the securities markets and resulting lack of liquidity. Consequently, observable inputs were not always available and the fair values of these securities were estimated using internal estimates for inputs including, but not limited to, prepayment speeds, credit spreads, default rates and benchmark yields.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments only in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). There were no assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, or FSP 157-4. FSP 157-4 provides additional authoritative guidance to assist both issuers and users of financial statements in determining whether a market is active or inactive, and whether a transaction is distressed. The FSP will be effective for us for the quarter ending June 30, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP 157-4 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, or FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1. FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 requires disclosures about fair

Table of Contents

value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 will be effective for us for the quarter ending June 30, 2009. The adoption of FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

5. Income Taxes

As of March 31, 2009, as further described below, certain of our tax years are being examined by the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, and various state and local authorities. In addition, we continue to discuss certain industry issues with the IRS.

As of March 31, 2009, the examinations of our 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 tax years are nearing conclusion. In addition, there are several years with ongoing disputes related to pre-acquisition companies that are nearing conclusion. Many of the issues in open tax years have been resolved; however, several of the examinations still require approval from the Joint Committee on Taxation before they can be finalized.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we recognized income tax expense of \$313.2 and \$291.1, respectively, which represents effective tax rates of 35.0% and 33.1%, respectively. The 190 basis point increase in the effective tax rate in 2009 was primarily due to settlements of audit issues and associated amounts during 2008. Our anticipated full year effective tax rate is expected to be 35.2%, based on our projections, and includes the anticipated impact of recently adopted New York legislation. During April 2009, the New York state legislature passed provisions, retroactive to January 1, 2009, that will require us to pay additional premium taxes in that state while reducing state income tax payments.

6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In March 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or FAS 161. FAS 161 requires expanded disclosures regarding the location and amounts of derivative instruments in an entity's financial statements, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or FAS 133, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, operating results and cash flows. We adopted FAS 161 on January 1, 2009. The adoption of FAS 161 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In accordance with FAS 133, all investments in derivatives are recorded as assets or liabilities at fair value. A derivative is typically defined as an instrument whose value is derived from an underlying instrument, index or rate, has a notional amount, requires little or no initial investment and can be net settled. We typically invest in the following types of derivative financial instruments: interest rate swaps, forward contracts, call options, credit default swaps, embedded derivatives and warrants. Derivatives embedded within non-derivative instruments (such as options embedded in convertible fixed maturity securities) are bifurcated from the host instrument when the embedded derivative is not clearly and closely related to the host instrument.

Our use of derivatives is limited by statutes and regulations promulgated by the various regulatory bodies to which we are subject, and by our own derivative policy.

We have exposure to economic losses due to interest rate risk arising from changes in the level or volatility of interest rates. We attempt to mitigate our exposure to interest rate risk through active portfolio management, which includes rebalancing our existing portfolios of assets and liabilities, as well as changing the characteristics of investments to be purchased or sold in the future. In addition, derivative financial instruments are used to modify the interest rate exposure of certain liabilities or forecasted transactions. These strategies include the use of interest rate swaps and forward contracts, which are used to lock interest rates or to hedge (on an economic

Table of Contents

basis) interest rate risks associated with variable rate debt. We have used these types of instruments as designated hedges against specific liabilities.

If certain correlation, hedge effectiveness and risk reduction criteria are met, a derivative may be specifically designated as a hedge of exposure to changes in fair value or cash flow. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and the nature of any hedge designation thereon. Amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, if any, as well as the ineffective portion of the gain or loss, are reported in results of operations immediately. If the derivative is not designated as a hedge, the gain or loss resulting from the change in the fair value of the derivative is recognized in results of operations in the period of change.

We discontinue hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that one of the following has occurred: (i) the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; (iii) the derivative is no longer designated as a hedge instrument because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur; (iv) a hedged firm commitment no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment; or (v) we otherwise determine that the designation of the derivative as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate.

If hedge accounting is discontinued, the derivative will continue to be carried in our consolidated balance sheets at its fair value. When hedge accounting is discontinued because the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective fair value hedge, the related hedged asset or liability will no longer be adjusted for fair value changes. When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, the accumulated unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income will be recognized immediately in results of operations. When hedge accounting is discontinued because the hedged item no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment, any asset or liability that was recorded pursuant to the firm commitment will be removed from the balance sheet and recognized as a gain or loss in current period results of operations. In all other situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized in current period results of operations.

Credit exposure associated with non-performance by the counterparties to derivative instruments is generally limited to the uncollateralized fair value of the asset related to instruments recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. We attempt to mitigate the risk of non-performance by selecting counterparties with high credit ratings and monitoring their creditworthiness and by diversifying derivatives among multiple counterparties. At March 31, 2009, we believe there were no material concentrations of credit risk with any individual counterparty.

Our derivative agreements do not contain any credit support provisions that require us to post collateral if there are declines in the derivative value or our credit rating.

The contractual or notional amounts for derivatives are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these instruments. Interest rates and equity prices may affect the fair value of derivatives. The fair values generally represent the estimated amounts that we would expect to receive or pay upon termination of the contracts at the reporting date. Fair values of options embedded in convertible debt securities are generally based on quoted market prices in active markets. Fair values of interest rate swaps are based on the quoted market prices by the financial institution that is the counterparty to the swap. We independently verify prices provided by the counterparties using valuation models that incorporate market observable inputs for similar interest rate swaps.

Table of Contents

A summary of the aggregate contractual or notional amounts, balance sheet location and estimated fair values of derivative financial instruments at March 31, 2009 is as follows:

| | Contractual/ Notional Amount | Balance Sheet Location | Estimated Fair Value Asset | (Liability) |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Hedging instruments | | | | |
| Swaps | \$ 880.0 | Other noncurrent assets | \$ 112.1 | \$ |
| Non-hedging instruments | | | | |
| Derivatives embedded in convertible debt securities | 301.5 | Fixed maturity securities | 52.9 | |
| Credit default swaps | 13.6 | Fixed maturity securities | 3.8 | |
| Options | | Equity securities | 0.2 | |
| Futures | | Equity securities | 4.2 | |
| Subtotal non-hedging | 315.1 | | 61.1 | |
| Total derivatives | \$ 1,195.1 | | \$ 173.2 | \$ |

Fair Value Hedges

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we entered into two fair value hedges with a total notional value of \$440.0. The first hedge is a \$240.0 notional amount interest rate swap agreement to receive a fixed 6.800% rate and pay a LIBOR-based floating rate and expires on August 1, 2012. The second hedge is a \$200.0 notional amount interest rate swap agreement to receive a fixed 5.000% rate and pay a LIBOR-based floating rate and expires on December 15, 2014.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we entered into two fair value hedges with a total notional value of \$660.0, which was subsequently reduced to \$440.0 during 2008. The first hedge is a \$240.0 notional amount interest rate swap agreement to exchange a fixed 6.800% rate for a LIBOR-based floating rate and expires on August 1, 2012. The second hedge is a \$200.0 notional amount interest rate swap agreement to exchange a fixed 5.000% rate for LIBOR-based floating rate and expires December 15, 2014.

A summary of the effect of fair value hedges on our income statement for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is as follows:

| Type of Fair Value Hedge | Income Statement Location of Derivative Gain (Loss) | Hedge Gain (Loss) Recognized | Hedged Item | Income Statement Location of Hedged Item Gain (Loss) | Hedged Item Gain (Loss) Recognized |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Swaps | Interest expense | \$ 8.1 | Fixed rate debt | Interest expense | \$ (8.1) |

Cash Flow Hedges

In January 2009, we entered into forward starting pay fixed swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$800.0. The objective of these hedges was to eliminate the variability of cash flows in the interest payments on the debt securities issued in February 2009. These swaps were terminated in February 2009, and we paid a net \$3.2, the net fair value at the time of termination. In addition, we recorded a loss of \$2.1, net of tax, in other comprehensive income. Following the February 5, 2009 issuance of debt securities, the unamortized fair value of the forward starting pay fixed swaps included in accumulated other comprehensive income began amortizing into earnings, as an increase to interest expense. In addition, we have amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income for certain forward starting pay fixed swaps that were terminated in prior years. The hedged debt securities have maturity dates ranging from 2009 to 2036.

Table of Contents

The unrecognized losses for all cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$10.7 and \$8.5, respectively. As of March 31, 2009, the total amount of amortization over the next twelve months for all cash flow hedges will increase interest expense by approximately \$0.3.

A summary of the effect of cash flow hedges on our financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is as follows:

| Type of Cash Flow Hedge | Pretax Hedge Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income | Effective Portion | Hedge Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Ineffective Portion | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | Income Statement Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | | Income Statement Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized | Hedge Gain (Loss) Recognized |
| Forward starting pay fixed swaps | \$ (3.2) | Interest expense | \$ 0.1 | None | \$ |

We test for cash flow hedge effectiveness at hedge inception and re-assess at the end of each reporting period. No amounts were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

Non-Hedging Derivatives

A summary of the effect of non-hedging derivatives on our income statement for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is as follows:

| Type of Non-hedging Derivatives | Income Statement Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized | Derivative Gain (Loss) Recognized |
|---|--|---|
| Derivatives embedded in convertible debt securities | Net realized gain (loss) on investments | \$ 9.4 |
| Credit default swaps | Net realized gain (loss) on investments | 2.0 |
| Options | Net realized gain (loss) on investments | 2.8 |
| Futures | Net realized gain (loss) on investments | (3.4) |
| Total | | \$ 10.8 |

7. Retirement Benefits

The components of net periodic benefit (credit) cost included in the consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Service cost | \$ 5.5 | \$ 7.6 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1.5 |
| Interest cost | 22.7 | 24.9 | 7.9 | 8.2 |
| Expected return on assets | (35.5) | (38.7) | (0.6) | (0.9) |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 0.6 | | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (0.2) | (0.2) | (2.4) | (2.4) |

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|
| Net periodic benefit (credit) cost | \$ (6.9) | \$ (6.4) | \$ 8.4 | \$ 7.7 |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|

-12-

Table of Contents

For the year ending December 31, 2009, no material contributions are expected to be necessary to meet the Employee Retirement Income Securities Act, or ERISA, required funding levels; however, we may elect to make discretionary contributions up to the maximum amount deductible for income tax purposes. No contributions to retirement benefit plans were made during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

8. Debt

In March 2009, we repurchased \$400.0 of our \$1,090.0 face value due at maturity zero coupon notes. The notes were issued in August 2007 in a private placement transaction. We paid cash of \$199.3 to repurchase the notes, which have a remaining carrying value of \$342.2 at March 31, 2009 and are classified in current portion of long-term debt.

On February 5, 2009, we issued \$400.0 of 6.000% notes due 2014 and \$600.0 of 7.000% notes due 2019 under our shelf registration statement. The proceeds from this debt issuance are expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including, but not limited to, repayment of current maturities of long-term debt and repurchasing shares of our common stock. The notes have a call feature that allows us to repurchase the notes at any time at our option and a put feature that allows a note holder to require us to repurchase the notes upon the occurrence of both a change of control event and a downgrade of the notes.

We have a senior revolving credit facility, or the facility, with certain lenders for general corporate purposes. The facility, as amended, provides credit up to \$2,392.0, which matures on September 30, 2011. The interest rate on this facility is based on either (i) the LIBOR rate plus a predetermined percentage rate based on our credit rating at the date of utilization, or (ii) a base rate as defined in the facility agreement. Our ability to borrow under this facility is subject to compliance with certain covenants. There were no amounts outstanding under this facility as of March 31, 2009 or during the three months then ended. At March 31, 2009, we had \$2,392.0 available under this facility.

We have an authorized commercial paper program of up to \$2,500.0, the proceeds of which may be used for general corporate purposes. At March 31, 2009, we had \$624.5 outstanding under this program. Commercial paper borrowings have been classified as long-term debt at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 in accordance with FAS 6, *Classification of Short-Term Obligations Expected to be Refinanced*, as our practice and intent is to replace short-term commercial paper outstanding at expiration with additional short-term commercial paper for an uninterrupted period extending for more than one year or our ability to redeem our commercial paper with borrowings under the senior credit facility described above.

We are a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis, or FHLBI, and as a member we have the ability to obtain cash advances subject to certain requirements. In order to obtain cash advances, we are required to pledge securities as collateral to the FHLBI, initially equal to a certain percentage of the cash borrowings, depending on the type of securities pledged as collateral. The market value of the collateral is monitored daily by the FHLBI, and if it falls below the required percentage of the cash borrowings, we are required to pledge additional securities as collateral or repay a portion of the outstanding cash advance balance. In addition, our borrowings cannot exceed twenty times our investment in FHLBI common stock. Our investment in FHLBI common stock at March 31, 2009 totaled \$5.0, which is reported in Investments available-for-sale Equity securities on the consolidated balance sheets. At March 31, 2009, \$100.0 of cash advances from the FHLBI was outstanding and is reported in Short-term borrowings on the consolidated balance sheets. Securities, primarily certain U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities, with a fair value of \$123.4 at March 31, 2009 have been pledged as collateral. The securities pledged are reported in Investments available-for-sale Fixed maturity securities on the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**9. Commitments and Contingencies*****Litigation***

In July 2005, we entered into a settlement agreement with representatives of more than 700,000 physicians nationwide to resolve certain cases brought by physicians. The cases resolved were known as the CMA Litigation, the Shane Litigation, the Thomas Litigation (Kenneth Thomas, M.D., et al. vs. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association, et al.) and certain other similar cases brought by physicians. Final monetary payments were made in October 2006. Following its acquisition in 2005, WellChoice was merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of WellPoint. Since the WellChoice transaction closed on December 28, 2005, after we reached settlement with the plaintiffs, WellChoice continued to be a defendant in the Thomas (now known as Love) Litigation and was not affected by the prior settlement between us and plaintiffs. The Love Litigation alleged that the BCBSA and the Blue Cross and Blue Shield plans violated the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, or RICO. On April 27, 2007, we, along with 22 other Blue Cross and Blue Shield plans and the BCSBA, announced a settlement of the Love Litigation. The Court granted final approval of the settlement on April 20, 2008. An appeal of the settlement remains. The settlement will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Prior to the acquisition of WellPoint Health Networks Inc., or WHN, the group benefit operations, or GBO, of John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company, or John Hancock, John Hancock entered into a number of reinsurance arrangements, including with respect to personal accident insurance and the occupational accident component of workers' compensation insurance, a portion of which was originated through a pool managed by Unicoover Managers, Inc. Under these arrangements, John Hancock assumed risks as a reinsurer and transferred certain of such risks to other companies. Similar reinsurance arrangements were entered into by John Hancock following WHN's acquisition of the GBO of John Hancock. These various arrangements have become the subject of disputes, including a number of legal proceedings to which John Hancock is a party. We were in arbitration with John Hancock regarding these arrangements. The arbitration panel's Phase I ruling addressed liability. In April 2007, the arbitration panel issued a Phase II ruling stating the amount we owe to John Hancock for losses and expenses John Hancock paid through June 30, 2006. The panel further outlined a process for determining our liability for losses and expenses paid after June 30, 2006, which liability has not yet been determined. We filed a Petition to Confirm, which was granted by the Court. John Hancock filed a notice of appeal with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals. The matter has been fully briefed and is pending before the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals. We believe that the liability that may result from this matter is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In various California state courts, we are defending a number of individual lawsuits, including one filed by the Los Angeles City Attorney, and four purported class actions alleging the wrongful rescission of individual insurance policies. The suits name WellPoint as well as Blue Cross of California, or BCC, and BC Life & Health Insurance Company, or BCL&H (which name changed to Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company in July 2007), both WellPoint subsidiaries. The lawsuits generally allege breach of contract, bad faith and unfair business practices in a purported practice of rescinding new individual members following the submission of large claims. The parties have agreed to mediate most of these lawsuits and the mediation has resulted in the resolution of some of these lawsuits. In addition, the California Department of Managed Health Care and California Department of Insurance conducted investigations of the allegations. In June 2007, the California Department of Insurance issued its final report in which it issued a number of citations alleging violations of fair-claims handling laws.

In various California state courts, several hospitals have filed suits against BCC and WHN for payment of claims denied where the member's insurance policy was rescinded. In addition, a purported class action has been filed against BCC, BCL&H and WHN in a California state court on behalf of hospitals. This suit also seeks to recover for payment of claims denied where the member was rescinded.

Table of Contents

On July 11, 2008, preliminary approval of a class settlement was granted by the court in the purported class actions filed in California state court against BCC, BCL&H and WHN on behalf of California hospitals. The settlement with the hospital plaintiffs received final approval on October 6, 2008. On July 17, 2008 a settlement was reached with the California Department of Managed Health Care regarding the Department's investigation of rescission practices. Pursuant to the settlement, BCC will offer prospective coverage, without medical underwriting, to approximately 1,770 rescinded members. BCC also agreed to a procedure whereby these individuals could, under certain circumstances, be reimbursed for past medical expenses. BCC also agreed to pay a \$10.0 fine, which was paid on August 12, 2008. On February 10, 2009, a settlement was reached with the California Department of Insurance regarding its audit of rescission practices. Pursuant to the settlement, BCL&H will offer prospective coverage, without medical underwriting, to approximately 2,330 former insureds. BCL&H also agreed to reimburse eligible out of pocket medical expenses of the former insureds. BCL&H also agreed to pay a \$1.0 fine. None of these settlements, individually or collectively, is expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

On February 12, 2008, Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield, along with 15 other health benefit companies, was served with a subpoena by the New York Attorney General. The subpoena was part of an industry-wide investigation of how insurance companies use databases maintained by Ingenix, Inc., or Ingenix, a wholly-owned subsidiary of UnitedHealth Group, in determining out-of-network reimbursement. Since the beginning of the investigation, we have been cooperating fully with the Attorney General's office and have complied with the Attorney General's requests for information regarding out-of-network reimbursement in New York. On February 18, 2009, we announced that we have reached an agreement with the New York Attorney General regarding the manner in which out-of-network reimbursement to providers will be determined. We have agreed to discontinue the use of the Ingenix database, which some of our subsidiaries use in determining out-of-network reimbursement for certain products and in certain states. We also have agreed to contribute \$10.0 towards the funding of a not-for-profit entity that will develop a database of provider charges that can be accessed both by health care plans and their members. The settlement will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We currently are a defendant in five putative class actions relating to out-of-network reimbursement. The first lawsuit (*American Dental Association v. WellPoint Health Networks, Inc. and Blue Cross of California*) was brought in March 2002 by the American Dental Association and three individual dentists on behalf of a putative class of out-of-network dentists. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The plaintiffs in that lawsuit allege that the defendants breached the plaintiffs' patients' rights under their ERISA health plans by paying out-of-network dental providers less than both the usual and customary allowances for services and the dentists' billed charges. The second lawsuit (*Darryl and Valerie Samsell v. WellPoint, Inc., WellPoint Health Networks, Inc. and Anthem, Inc.*) was filed in February 2009 by two former members on behalf of a putative class of members who received out-of-network services for which the defendants paid less than billed charges. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The plaintiffs in that case allege that the defendants violated RICO, the Sherman Antitrust Act, ERISA, and federal regulations by relying on databases provided by Ingenix in determining out-of-network reimbursement. The third lawsuit (*AMA et al. v. WellPoint, Inc.*) was brought in March 2009 by the American Medical Association, four state medical associations and two individual physicians on behalf of a putative class of out-of-network physicians. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The fourth lawsuit (*Roberts v. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. et al.*) was brought in March 2009 by a WellPoint member as a putative class action on behalf of all persons or entities who have paid premiums for out-of-network health insurance coverage. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The fifth lawsuit (*JBW v. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. et al.*) was brought in April 2009 by a WellPoint member as a putative class action on behalf of all persons who have paid premiums for out-of-network health insurance coverage. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. We intend to vigorously defend these suits; however, their ultimate outcome cannot be presently determined.

Table of Contents

Other Contingencies

From time to time, we and certain of our subsidiaries are parties to various legal proceedings, many of which involve claims for coverage encountered in the ordinary course of business. We, like HMOs and health insurers generally, exclude certain health care and other services from coverage under our HMO, PPO and other plans. We are, in the ordinary course of business, subject to the claims of our enrollees arising out of decisions to restrict or deny reimbursement for uncovered services. The loss of even one such claim, if it results in a significant punitive damage award, could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, the risk of potential liability under punitive damage theories may increase significantly the difficulty of obtaining reasonable settlements of coverage claims.

In addition to the lawsuits described above, we are also involved in other pending and threatened litigation of the character incidental to our business transacted, arising out of our operations, our 2001 demutualization and our revision of earnings guidance in 2008, and are from time to time involved as a party in various governmental investigations, audits, reviews and administrative proceedings. These investigations, audits and reviews include routine and special investigations by state insurance departments, state attorneys general and the U.S. Attorney General. Such investigations could result in the imposition of civil or criminal fines, penalties and other sanctions. We believe that any liability that may result from any one of these actions, or in the aggregate, is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

On March 31, 2009, we entered into an agreement with Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. to provide certain print and mailroom services that were previously performed in-house. Our commitment under this agreement at March 31, 2009 was \$440.0 over a seven year period.

We have entered into certain agreements with International Business Machines Corporation to provide information technology infrastructure services. These services were previously performed in-house. Our remaining commitment under these contracts at March 31, 2009 is approximately \$822.6 over a four year period. We have the ability to terminate these agreements upon the occurrence of certain events, subject to certain early termination fees.

10. Capital Stock

Stock Repurchase Program

Under our Board of Directors' authorization, we maintain a common stock repurchase program. Repurchases may be made from time to time at prevailing market prices, subject to certain restrictions on volume, pricing and timing. The repurchases are effected from time to time in the open markets through negotiated transactions and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 17.7 shares at an average per share price of \$38.55, for an aggregate cost of \$681.2. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, we repurchased and retired approximately 29.7 shares at an average per share price of \$67.64, for an aggregate cost of \$2,008.6. The excess of cost of the repurchased shares over par value is charged on a pro rata basis to additional paid-in capital and retained earnings. On March 5, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of \$1,500.0 in our stock repurchase program. As of March 31, 2009, \$1,841.0 remained authorized for future repurchases. Subsequent to March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 1.7 shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$66.2, leaving approximately \$1,774.8 for authorized future repurchases at April 15, 2009. Our stock purchase program is discretionary as we are under no obligation to repurchase shares. We repurchase shares under the program when we believe it is a prudent use of capital.

Table of Contents**Stock Incentive Plans**

A summary of stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Option Price per Share | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2009 | 24.2 | \$ 62.36 | | |
| Granted | 6.7 | 30.15 | | |
| Exercised | (0.3) | 20.41 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (0.5) | 68.34 | | |
| Outstanding at March 31, 2009 | 30.1 | 55.43 | 5.8 | \$ 90.1 |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2009 | 18.1 | 60.25 | 5.2 | \$ 33.8 |

A summary of the status of nonvested restricted stock activity, including restricted stock units, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is as follows:

| | Restricted Stock Shares And Units | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Nonvested at January 1, 2009 | 1.3 | \$ 71.15 |
| Granted | 3.8 | 30.19 |
| Vested | (0.5) | 30.32 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Nonvested at March 31, 2009 | 4.6 | 36.69 |

11. Earnings per Share

The denominator for basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share — weighted average shares | 496.0 | 542.7 |
| Effect of dilutive securities — employee and director stock options and non-vested restricted stock awards | 2.2 | 4.9 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | 498.2 | 547.6 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, weighted average shares related to certain stock options of 21.1 and 11.6, respectively, were excluded from the denominator for diluted earnings per share because the stock options were anti-dilutive.

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we issued approximately 3.8 restricted stock units under our stock incentive plans, 0.7 of whose vesting is contingent upon us meeting specified annual operating gain targets for 2009. The 0.7 restricted stock units have been excluded from the denominator for diluted earnings per share and will be included only if and when the contingency is met.

-17-

Table of Contents

12. Segment Information

Based on our organizational structure, we are organized around three reportable segments: Commercial, Consumer and Other. Our Commercial and Consumer segments both offer a diversified mix of managed care products, including PPOs, HMOs, traditional indemnity benefits and POS plans, as well as a variety of hybrid benefit plans, including CDHPs, hospital only and limited benefit products.

Our Commercial segment includes Local Group (including UniCare), National Accounts and certain other ancillary business operations (dental, vision, life and disability and workers' compensation). Business units in the Commercial segment offer fully-insured products and provide a broad array of managed care services to self-funded customers, including claims processing, underwriting, stop loss insurance, actuarial services, provider network access, medical cost management, disease management, wellness programs and other administrative services.

Our Consumer segment includes Senior, State-Sponsored and Individual business. Senior business includes services such as Medicare Part D, Medicare Advantage, and Medicare Supplement, while State-Sponsored business includes our managed care alternatives for the Medicaid and State Children's Health Insurance Plan programs.

Our Other segment includes the Comprehensive Health Solutions Business unit, or CHS, that brings together our resources focused on optimizing the quality of health care and cost of care management. CHS includes provider relations, care and disease management, employee assistance programs, including behavioral health, radiology benefit management, analytics-driven personal health care guidance and our PBM business, which includes NextRx, and our specialty pharmacy, PrecisionRx Specialty Solutions. Our Other segment also includes results from our Federal Government Solutions, or FGS, business. FGS business includes the Federal Employee Program and National Government Services, Inc., which acts as a Medicare contractor in several regions across the nation. The Other segment also includes other businesses that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for an operating segment as defined in FAS 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, as well as intersegment sales and expense eliminations and corporate expenses not allocated to the other reportable segments.

On April 13, 2009 we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our NextRx subsidiaries to Express Scripts, Inc., or Express Scripts, one of the largest PBM companies in North America, for \$4,675.0, consisting of at least \$3,275.0 in cash, subject to customary working capital and indebtedness adjustments, and the balance in Express Scripts common stock. In connection with the agreement, at closing, we will enter into a 10-year contract for Express Scripts to provide PBM services to us following the close of the transaction. The transaction is expected to close in the second half of 2009, subject to, customary closing conditions, the expiration of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act and any other required regulatory approvals. Our NextRx subsidiaries, for purposes of this transaction, had total assets of \$1.7 billion at both March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and total liabilities of \$1.1 billion at both March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Assets primarily include rebates receivable from pharmaceutical manufacturers, intercompany receivables and prescription drug inventories. Liabilities primarily include rebates payable, both to WellPoint affiliated health plans as well as other unaffiliated health plans.

As of December 31, 2008, we had a liability of \$36.7 for future payments of employee termination costs related to cost-reduction initiatives implemented during 2008. We released \$1.6 of the liability and made payments of \$4.3 during the three months ended March 31, 2009 related to these employee termination costs. As of March 31, 2009, a liability of \$30.8 remained for future payments of employee termination costs.

Table of Contents

Financial data by reportable segment for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| | Commercial | Consumer | Other and Eliminations | Total |
|---|------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Operating revenue from external customers | \$ 9,367.5 | \$ 4,035.4 | \$ 1,895.8 | \$ 15,298.7 |
| Intersegment revenue | | | 715.2 | 715.2 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenue | | | (715.2) | (715.2) |
| Operating gain | 902.7 | 218.7 | 111.6 | 1,233.0 |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Operating revenue from external customers | \$ 9,488.2 | \$ 4,100.0 | \$ 1,778.4 | \$ 15,366.6 |
| Intersegment revenue | | | 613.5 | 613.5 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenue | | | (613.5) | (613.5) |
| Operating gain (loss) | 908.8 | (120.2) | 94.0 | 882.6 |

A reconciliation of reportable segments operating revenues to total revenues reported in the consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31 | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Reportable segments operating revenues | \$ 15,298.7 | \$ 15,366.6 |
| Net investment income | 197.1 | 232.7 |
| Net realized losses on investments | (352.5) | (45.6) |
| Total revenues | \$ 15,143.3 | \$ 15,553.7 |

A reconciliation of reportable segments operating gain to income before income tax expense included in the consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31 | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Reportable segments operating gain | \$ 1,233.0 | \$ 882.6 |
| Net investment income | 197.1 | 232.7 |
| Net realized losses on investments | (352.5) | (45.6) |
| Interest expense | (116.1) | (119.0) |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | (67.9) | (71.5) |
| Income before income tax expense | \$ 893.6 | \$ 879.2 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

References to the terms we, our or us used throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, or MD&A, refer to WellPoint, Inc., an Indiana corporation, and unless the context otherwise requires, its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

The structure of our MD&A is as follows:

- I. Executive Summary
- II. Overview
- III. Significant Transactions
- IV. Membership – March 31, 2009 Compared to March 31, 2008
- V. Cost of Care
- VI. Results of Operations – Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008
- VII. Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates
- VIII. Liquidity and Capital Resources
- IX. Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This MD&A should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the MD&A included in our 2008 Form 10-K, and in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2009 included in this Form 10-Q. Results of operations, cost of care trends, investment yields and other measures for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results and trends that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2009.

I. Executive Summary

We are the largest health benefits company in terms of medical membership in the United States, serving 34.6 million medical members as of March 31, 2009. We are an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, or BCBSA, an association of independent health benefit plans. We serve our members as the Blue Cross licensee in California and as the Blue Cross and Blue Shield, or BCBS, licensee for: Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri (excluding 30 counties in the Kansas City area), Nevada, New Hampshire, New York (as BCBS in 10 New York City metropolitan and surrounding counties, and as Blue Cross or BCBS in selected upstate counties only), Ohio, Virginia (excluding Northern Virginia suburbs of Washington, D.C.) and Wisconsin. In a majority of these service areas we do business as Anthem Blue Cross, Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield or Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield (in our New York service areas). We also serve customers throughout the country as UniCare. We are licensed to conduct insurance operations in all 50 states through our

subsidiaries.

Operating revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$15.3 billion, a decrease of \$0.1 billion, or less than 1%, over the three months ended March 31, 2008. This decrease was primarily due to fully-insured membership declines in our Local Group and UniCare businesses and our exit from the Ohio Medicaid programs. These decreases were partially offset by premium rate increases for all medical lines of business and increased reimbursement in the Federal Employees Program, or FEP.

Table of Contents

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$580.4 million, a 1% decrease over the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decline in net income was primarily driven by net realized losses on investments and increased administrative expense, partially offset by improvements in benefit expense. Our fully-diluted earnings per share, or EPS, was \$1.16 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, which included \$0.46 per share of net realized investment losses and was an 8% increase over the EPS of \$1.07 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in EPS resulted primarily from the lower number of shares outstanding in 2009 due to share buy back activity under our share repurchase program, partially offset by slightly lower net income.

Operating cash flow for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$1.2 billion, or 2.0 times net income. Operating cash flow for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$1.0 billion, or 1.8 times net income. The increase in operating cash flow from 2008 was driven primarily by decreased incentive compensation payments and decreased tax payments, partially offset by a slight increase in claims payments.

II. Overview

We manage our operations through three reportable segments: Commercial; Consumer; and Other.

Our Commercial and Consumer segments both offer a diversified mix of managed care products, including preferred provider organizations, or PPOs; health maintenance organizations, or HMOs; traditional indemnity benefits and point-of-service plans, or POS plans; as well as a variety of hybrid benefit plans, including consumer-driven health plans, or CDHPs, hospital only and limited benefit products.

Our Commercial segment includes Local Group (including UniCare), National Accounts and certain other ancillary business operations (dental, vision, life and disability and workers' compensation). Business units in the Commercial segment offer fully-insured products and provide a broad array of managed care services to self-funded customers, including claims processing, underwriting, stop loss insurance, actuarial services, provider network access, medical cost management, disease management, wellness programs and other administrative services.

Our Consumer segment includes Senior, State-Sponsored and Individual businesses. Senior business includes services such as Medicare Part D, Medicare Advantage and Medicare Supplement, while State-Sponsored business includes our managed care alternatives for the Medicaid and State Children's Health Insurance Plan programs.

The Other segment includes our Comprehensive Health Solutions Business unit, or CHS, that brings together our resources focused on optimizing the quality of health care and cost of care management. CHS includes provider relations, care and disease management, employee assistance programs, including behavioral health, radiology benefit management, analytics-driven personal health care guidance and our pharmacy benefit management, or PBM, business, which includes NextRx, and our specialty pharmacy, PrecisionRx Specialty Solutions. Our Other segment also includes results from our Federal Government Solutions, or FGS, business. FGS business includes the FEP and National Government Services, Inc., or NGS, which acts as a Medicare contractor in several regions across the nation. The Other segment also includes other businesses that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for an operating segment as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, as well as intersegment sales and expense eliminations and corporate expenses not allocated to the other reportable segments.

Our operating revenue consists of premiums, administrative fees and other revenue. Premium revenue comes from fully-insured contracts where we indemnify our policyholders against costs for covered health and life benefits. Administrative fees come from contracts where our customers are self-insured, or where the fee is based on either processing of transactions or a percent of network discount savings realized. Additionally, we

Table of Contents

earn administrative fee revenues from our Medicare processing business and from other health-related businesses, including disease management programs. Other revenue is principally generated from member co-payments and deductibles associated with the mail-order sale of drugs by our PBM companies.

Our benefit expense primarily includes costs of care for health services consumed by our members, such as outpatient care, inpatient hospital care, professional services (primarily physician care) and pharmacy benefit costs. All four components are affected both by unit costs and utilization rates. Unit costs include the cost of outpatient medical procedures per visit, inpatient hospital care per admission, physician fees per office visit and prescription drug prices. Utilization rates represent the volume of consumption of health services and typically vary with the age and health status of our members and their social and lifestyle choices, along with clinical protocols and medical practice patterns in each of our markets. A portion of benefit expense recognized in each reporting period consists of actuarial estimates of claims incurred but not yet paid by us. Any changes in these estimates are recorded in the period the need for such an adjustment arises. While we offer a diversified mix of managed care products, including PPO, HMO, POS and CDHP products, our aggregate cost of care can fluctuate based on a change in the overall mix of these products.

Our selling expense consists of external broker commission expenses and generally varies with premium volume. Our general and administrative expense consists of fixed and variable costs. Examples of fixed costs are depreciation, amortization and certain facilities expenses. Other costs are variable or discretionary in nature. Certain variable costs, such as premium taxes, vary directly with premium volume. Other variable costs, such as salaries and benefits, do not vary directly with changes in premium, but are more aligned with changes in membership. The acquisition or loss of a significant block of business would likely impact staffing levels, and thus associate compensation expense. Examples of discretionary costs include professional and consulting expenses and advertising. Other factors can impact our administrative cost structure, including systems efficiencies, inflation and changes in productivity.

Our cost of drugs consists of the amounts we pay to pharmaceutical companies for the drugs we sell via mail order through our PBM and specialty pharmacy companies. This amount excludes the cost of drugs related to affiliated health customers recorded in benefit expense. Our cost of drugs can be influenced by the volume of prescriptions at our PBM companies, as well as cost changes, driven by prices set by pharmaceutical companies and the mix of drugs sold.

Our results of operations depend in large part on our ability to accurately predict and effectively manage health care costs through effective contracting with providers of care to our members and our medical management programs. Several economic factors related to health care costs, such as regulatory mandates of coverage as well as direct-to-consumer advertising by providers and pharmaceutical companies, have a direct impact on the volume of care consumed by our members. While we price our business so that premium yield exceeds total cost trends, the potential effect of escalating health care costs as well as any changes in our ability to negotiate competitive rates with our providers may impose further risks to our ability to profitably underwrite our business, and may have a material impact on our results of operations.

III. Significant Transactions

Announcement to Sell PBM Operations

On April 13, 2009 we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our NextRx subsidiaries to Express Scripts, Inc., or Express Scripts, one of the largest PBM companies in North America, for \$4.675 billion, consisting of at least \$3.275 billion in cash, subject to customary working capital and indebtedness adjustments, and the balance in Express Scripts common stock. We expect to use the net after-tax proceeds from the sale for a variety of purposes, including share repurchases, repayment of current maturities of long-term debt and other general corporate purposes. In connection with the agreement, at closing, we will enter into a 10-year contract for Express Scripts to provide PBM services to us following the close of the Express Scripts transaction.

Table of Contents

The Express Scripts transaction is expected to close in the second half of 2009, subject to, customary closing conditions, the expiration of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act and any other required regulatory approvals.

Acquisition of DeCare Dental, LLC

On April 9, 2009, we completed our acquisition of DeCare Dental, LLC, or DeCare, a wholly-owned subsidiary of DeCare International. DeCare is one of the country's largest administrators of dental benefit plans, managing benefits for approximately 4.0 million members as of March 31, 2009. DeCare provides services directly and through partnerships and administrative agreements with 10 dental insurance brands, primarily as a third party administrator. DeCare also operates DeCare Systems Ireland, which offers custom enterprise software solutions, e-business applications and application performance tuning and is also the first American company to offer dental benefits in Ireland as Vhi DeCare Dental.

The acquisition will be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the results of operations of DeCare will be included in our consolidated results for periods following April 9, 2009.

Bond Issue

On February 5, 2009 we issued \$400.0 million of 6.000% notes due 2014 and \$600.0 million of 7.000% notes due 2019 under our shelf registration statement. The proceeds from this debt issuance are expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including, but not limited to, repayment of the current maturities of long-term debt and repurchasing shares of our common stock. The notes have a call feature that allows us to repurchase the notes at any time at our option and a put feature that allows a note holder to require us to repurchase the notes upon the occurrence of both a change of control event and a downgrade of the notes.

Suspension by The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Over the past several months, we have been working with The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to resolve issues identified as a result of our internal compliance audits and findings from a 2008 CMS audit. Our work included detailed action plans to remediate such findings. Where appropriate, our proposed action plans have been reviewed and accepted by CMS. In addition, we engaged an independent third party to provide CMS with on-going assessments regarding our compliance, including verification of systems, processes and procedures. On January 12, 2009, CMS notified us that we were suspended from marketing to and enrolling new patients in our Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D prescription drug plans until remediation efforts have been fully implemented and confirmed. This decision does not affect our current members enrolled in our Medicare products. We are in the process of validating our remediation efforts with CMS.

Stock Repurchase Program

Under our Board of Directors' authorization, we maintain a common stock repurchase program. Repurchases may be made from time to time at prevailing market prices, subject to certain restrictions on volume, pricing and timing. The repurchases are effected from time to time in the open market, through negotiated transactions and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 17.7 million shares at an average share price of \$38.55, for an aggregate cost of \$681.2 million. On March 5, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of \$1.5 billion in our stock repurchase program. As of March 31, 2009, \$1.8 billion remained authorized for future repurchases. Subsequent to March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 1.7 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$66.2 million, leaving approximately \$1.8 billion for authorized future repurchases at April 15, 2009. Our stock repurchase program is discretionary as we are under no obligation to repurchase shares. We repurchase shares under the program when we believe it is a prudent use of capital.

Table of Contents

IV. Membership March 31, 2009 Compared to March 31, 2008

Our medical membership includes seven different customer types: Local Group, Individual, National Accounts, BlueCard, Senior, State-Sponsored and FEP. BCBSA-branded business generally refers to members in our service areas licensed by the BCBSA. Non-BCBSA-branded business refers to UniCare members predominately outside of our BCBSA service areas.

Local Group consists of those employer customers with less than 1,000 employees eligible to participate as a member in one of our health plans, as well as customers with generally 1,000 or more eligible employees with less than 5% of eligible employees located outside of the headquarter state. In addition, Local Group includes UniCare local group members.

Individual consists of individual customers under age 65 (including UniCare) and their covered dependents.

Beginning January 1, 2008, we revised our definition of National accounts to correspond with our current organizational structure. National Accounts customers now are generally multi-state employer groups primarily headquartered in a WellPoint service area with 2,500 or more eligible employees, of which at least 5% are located outside of the headquarter state. Some exceptions are allowed based on broker relationships. Service area is defined as the geographic area in which we are licensed to sell BCBS products.

BlueCard host members represent enrollees of Blue Cross and/or Blue Shield plans not owned by WellPoint who receive health care services in our BCBSA licensed markets. BlueCard membership consists of estimated host members using the national BlueCard program. Host members are generally members who reside in or travel to a state in which a WellPoint subsidiary is the Blue Cross and/or Blue Shield licensee and who are covered under an employer-sponsored health plan issued by a non-WellPoint controlled BCBSA licensee (i.e., the home plan). We perform certain administrative functions for BlueCard members, for which we receive administrative fees from the BlueCard members' home plans. Other administrative functions, including maintenance of enrollment information and customer service, are performed by the home plan. Host members are computed using, among other things, the average number of BlueCard claims received per month.

Senior members are Medicare-eligible individual members age 65 and over who have enrolled in Medicare Advantage, a managed care alternative for the Medicare program, or who have purchased Medicare Supplement benefit coverage.

State-Sponsored membership represents eligible members with State-Sponsored managed care alternatives in Medicaid and State Children's Health Insurance Plan programs.

FEP members consist of United States government employees and their dependents within our geographic markets through our participation in the national contract between the BCBSA and the U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

In addition to reporting our medical membership by customer type, we report by funding arrangement according to the level of risk that we assume in the product contract. Our two funding arrangement categories are fully-insured and self-funded. Fully-insured products are products in which we indemnify our policyholders against costs for health benefits. Self-funded products are offered to customers, generally larger employers, who elect to retain most or all of the financial risk associated with their employees' health care costs. Some self-funded customers choose to purchase stop-loss coverage to limit their retained risk.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our medical membership by customer type, funding arrangement and reportable segment as of March 31, 2009 and 2008. Also included below are other businesses' key metrics, including prescription volume for our PBM companies and other membership by product. The medical membership and other businesses' metrics presented are unaudited and in certain instances include estimates of the number of members represented by each contract at the end of the period.

| | March 31 | | | |
|---|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | % Change |
| <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Medical Membership | | | | |
| Customer Type | | | | |
| Local Group | 16,071 | 16,691 | (620) | (4)% |
| Individual | 2,235 | 2,368 | (133) | (6) |
| National: | | | | |
| National Accounts | 7,034 | 6,743 | 291 | 4 |
| BlueCard | 4,836 | 4,726 | 110 | 2 |
| Total National | 11,870 | 11,469 | 401 | 3 |
| Senior | 1,256 | 1,301 | (45) | (3) |
| State-Sponsored | 1,742 | 2,158 | (416) | (19) |
| FEP | 1,385 | 1,386 | (1) | 0 |
| Total Medical Membership by Customer Type | 34,559 | 35,373 | (814) | (2) |
| Funding Arrangement | | | | |
| Self-Funded | 18,646 | 18,354 | 292 | 2 |
| Fully-Insured | 15,913 | 17,019 | (1,106) | (6) |
| Total Medical Membership by Funding Arrangement | 34,559 | 35,373 | (814) | (2) |
| Reportable Segment | | | | |
| Commercial | 28,141 | 28,421 | (280) | (1) |
| Consumer | 5,033 | 5,566 | (533) | (10) |
| Other | 1,385 | 1,386 | (1) | 0 |
| Total Medical Membership by Reportable Segment | 34,559 | 35,373 | (814) | (2) |
| Other Membership | | | | |
| Behavioral Health | 23,525 | 23,373 | 152 | 1 |
| Life and Disability | 5,470 | 5,594 | (124) | (2) |
| Dental | 4,374 | 4,805 | (431) | (9) |
| Vision | 2,782 | 2,542 | 240 | 9 |
| Medicare Part D | 1,705 | 1,836 | (131) | (7) |
| PBM Prescription Volume Paid (Quarterly) | | | | |
| Retail Scripts | 59,391 | 61,722 | (2,331) | (4) |
| Mail Order Scripts ¹ | 6,269 | 6,601 | (332) | (5) |
| Specialty Pharmacy Scripts | 200 | 146 | 54 | 37 |
| Total Scripts | 65,860 | 68,469 | (2,609) | (4) |

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

Mail order scripts generally cover a 60 or 90 day supply with a weighted average supply of approximately 86 days. The mail order script volume shown in the above table has been adjusted to reflect a 30 day supply.

-25-

Table of Contents

Medical Membership

During the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, total medical membership decreased approximately 814,000, or 2%, primarily due to decreases in Local Group, State-Sponsored and Individual businesses, partially offset by increases in our National Accounts and BlueCard membership.

Self-funded medical membership increased 292,000, or 2%, primarily due to an increase in self-funded National Account membership resulting from additional sales, BlueCard growth and ongoing conversions to self-funded arrangements, partially offset by the loss of the Connecticut Medicaid program and declines in self-funded Local Group membership.

Fully-insured membership decreased by 1,106,000 members, or 6%, primarily due to declines in fully-insured Local Group membership, our exit from the Ohio Medicaid programs and ongoing conversions to self-funded arrangements.

Local Group membership decreased 620,000, or 4%, primarily due to membership declines in our BCBSA-branded business, particularly in California, and the loss of members in our UniCare business.

Individual membership decreased 133,000, or 6%, due to competitive pricing pressures and overall economic conditions. The decline was evenly distributed between our UniCare and BCBSA-branded businesses.

National Accounts membership increased 291,000, or 4%, primarily driven by additional sales, reflective of our extensive and cost-effective provider networks and a broad and innovative product portfolio. These increases were partially offset by lapses due to the recent economic downturn.

BlueCard membership increased 110,000, or 2%, primarily due to increased utilization by other BCBSA licensee members who reside in or travel to our licensed areas.

Senior membership decreased 45,000, or 3%, primarily due to the loss of membership at UniCare resulting from product portfolio changes with Medicare Advantage plans.

State-Sponsored membership decreased 416,000, or 19%, primarily due to our exit from the Ohio Medicaid programs and the loss of the Connecticut Medicaid program.

Other Membership

Our Other products are often ancillary to our health business, and can therefore be impacted by growth in our medical membership.

Behavioral health membership increased 152,000, or 1%, primarily due to new sales of our employee assistance programs, which include behavioral health products.

Life and disability membership decreased 124,000, or 2%, primarily due to overall membership declines from a very competitive marketplace, reduction of members following employment declines at certain large customers and lapse due to the current economic environment. Life and disability products are generally offered as a part of Commercial medical fully-insured membership sales.

Dental membership decreased 431,000, or 9%, primarily due to the loss of several large customers and sales continuing to lag due to a slowing economy.

Vision membership increased 240,000, or 9%, primarily due to continued market penetration of our Blue View vision product.

Table of Contents

Medicare Part D membership decreased 131,000, or 7%, primarily due to decreases in standalone external Medicare Part D products.

PBM Prescription Volume

Prescription volume in our PBM companies decreased by 2,609,000, or 4%, due to a decrease in retail scripts resulting from lower membership and lower utilization of our mail order business resulting primarily from the current economic conditions and the exit from a joint venture in the Northeast.

V. Cost of Care

The following discussion summarizes our aggregate underlying cost of care trends for the rolling 12 months ended March 31, 2009 for our Local Group fully-insured business only. As previously discussed, these costs are influenced by our mix of managed care products, including PPO, HMO, POS and CDHP products, in addition to changes in the unit costs and utilization levels.

Our cost of care trends are calculated by comparing the year-over-year change in average per member per month claim costs, including member co-payments and deductibles. While our cost of care trend varies by geographic location, based on underlying medical cost trends, we believe our 2009 cost of care trend estimate of 8.0%, plus or minus 50 basis points, is appropriate.

Overall, our medical cost trend continued to be driven by unit costs. Inpatient hospital trend was in the low double digit range and was primarily related to increases in cost per admission. These unit cost increases were driven partially by elevated average case acuity (intensity) as well as rate increases with hospitals. Continued re-contracting and clinical management efforts are serving to mitigate the inpatient trend increases. Key efforts in managing unit cost trends include enterprise-wide enhanced *360° Health* care management programs as well as more focused review of neo-natal intensive care unit cases, spinal surgery cases and enhanced clinical management of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease cases. New pilot programs are being introduced for readmission management as well as focused utilization management at high cost facilities. Inpatient admission counts (per thousand members) remained relatively flat compared to the prior year.

Cost trends for outpatient services were in the low double digit range. Outpatient costs are a collection of different types of expenses, such as outpatient facilities, labs, x-rays, emergency room, and occupational and physical therapy. The cost increases were primarily driven by higher per visit costs with a much smaller contribution from utilization increases. Price increases within certain provider contracts as well as more procedures being performed during each visit, particularly emergency room visits, continued to apply upward pressure on per visit costs. Key efforts to mitigate cost per visit trends include encouraging appropriate utilization of outpatient services, more specifically, emergency room management programs and initiatives to help optimize site of service decisions. We continued to see the positive impact of our expanding radiology management services through our American Imaging Management, Inc., or AIM, subsidiary. Incorporating their technology allows us to achieve even greater efficiencies in this high trend area while ensuring that consumers receive the quality tests they need. Additionally, we are mitigating trend increases by expanding AIM's platform to nuclear cardiology management and specialty pharmacy reviews.

Physician services trend was in the mid-single digit range and was approximately 50% cost driven and 50% utilization driven. Increases in the physician care category were partially driven by contracting changes. We continue to collaborate with physicians to improve quality of care through pay-for-performance programs.

Pharmacy trend was in the mid-single digit range and was approximately 70% unit cost (cost per prescription) related and 30% utilization (prescriptions per member per year) driven. The increased use of specialty drugs was a primary driver of the higher unit cost trend. Specialty drugs, also known as biotech drugs, are generally higher cost and are being utilized more frequently. Our PrecisionRx Specialty Solutions pharmacy

Table of Contents

in Indianapolis, Indiana manages over 1,000 different drugs for 14 diseases including hemophilia, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, hepatitis C and cancer. We have a technologically advanced specialty pharmacy staffed with certified pharmacy technicians, registered nurses and clinical pharmacists to better manage both the quality and cost of care for our members. The increases in cost per prescription were mitigated by increases in our generic usage rates, benefit plan design changes, and continued management of contracting arrangements and fee schedules.

In response to cost trends, we continue to pursue contracting and plan design changes, promote and implement performance-based contracts that reward clinical outcomes and quality, and expand our radiology management, disease management and advanced care management programs. Expansion continues on *360° Health*, the industry's first program to integrate all care management programs and tools into a centralized, consumer-friendly resource. *360° Health* assists patients in navigating the health care system, using their health benefits and accessing the most comprehensive and appropriate care available. Additionally, our Resolution Health subsidiary is allowing us to fully integrate their suite of products to extend the range and quality of services for our members, as well as members across the health plan system. As a leading data analytics-driven personal health care guidance company, Resolution Health continues to expand its efforts to improve healthcare quality and reduce healthcare costs. In addition to these efforts, we are broadening our specialty pharmacy programs and continuously evaluate our drug formulary to ensure the most effective pharmaceutical therapies are available for our members.

Table of Contents**VI. Results of Operations Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008**

Our consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are discussed in the following section.

| <i>(In millions, except per share data)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31 | | \$ Change | % Change |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Premiums | \$ 14,203.2 | \$ 14,234.4 | \$ (31.2) | 0% |
| Administrative fees | 941.5 | 969.6 | (28.1) | (3) |
| Other revenue | 154.0 | 162.6 | (8.6) | (5) |
| Total operating revenue | 15,298.7 | 15,366.6 | (67.9) | 0 |
| Net investment income | 197.1 | 232.7 | (35.6) | (15) |
| Net realized losses on investments | (352.5) | (45.6) | (306.9) | NM ¹ |
| Total revenues | 15,143.3 | 15,553.7 | (410.4) | (3) |
| Benefit expense | 11,588.2 | 12,116.5 | (528.3) | (4) |
| Selling, general and administrative expense: | | | | |
| Selling expense | 432.0 | 444.3 | (12.3) | (3) |
| General and administrative expense | 1,933.1 | 1,804.3 | 128.8 | 7 |
| Total selling, general and administrative expense | 2,365.1 | 2,248.6 | 116.5 | 5 |
| Cost of drugs | 112.4 | 118.9 | (6.5) | (5) |
| Interest expense | 116.1 | 119.0 | (2.9) | (2) |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 67.9 | 71.5 | (3.6) | (5) |
| Total expenses | 14,249.7 | 14,674.5 | (424.8) | (3) |
| Income before income tax expense | 893.6 | 879.2 | 14.4 | 2 |
| Income tax expense | 313.2 | 291.1 | 22.1 | 8 |
| Net income | \$ 580.4 | \$ 588.1 | \$ (7.7) | (1) |
| Average diluted shares outstanding | 498.2 | 547.6 | (49.4) | (9)% |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 1.16 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 0.09 | 8% |
| Benefit expense ratio ² | 81.6% | 85.1% | | (350)bp ³ |
| Selling, general and administrative expense ratio ⁴ | 15.5% | 14.6% | | 90bp ³ |
| Income before income taxes as a percentage of total revenue | 5.9% | 5.7% | | 20bp ³ |
| Net income as a percentage of total revenue | 3.8% | 3.8% | | 0bp ³ |

Certain of the following definitions are also applicable to all other results of operations tables in this discussion:

¹ NM = Not meaningful

² Benefit expense ratio = Benefit expense ÷ Premiums.

³ bp = basis point; one hundred basis points = 1%.

⁴ Selling, general and administrative expense ratio = Total selling, general and administrative expense ÷ Total operating revenue.

Premiums decreased \$31.2 million, or less than 1%, to \$14.2 billion in 2009, primarily due to membership declines in our Local Group and UniCare businesses and our exit from the Ohio Medicaid programs. These decreases were partially offset by premium rate increases for all medical lines of business and increased reimbursement in the FEP program.

Table of Contents

Administrative fees decreased \$28.1 million, or 3%, to \$941.5 million in 2009, primarily due to lower revenues in our BlueCard and NGS Medicare businesses, partially offset by self-funded membership growth in National Accounts.

Other revenue is comprised principally of co-payments and deductibles associated with the sale of mail-order prescription drugs by our PBM companies, which provide services to members of our Commercial and Consumer segments and third party clients. Other revenue decreased \$8.6 million, or 5%, to \$154.0 million in 2009, primarily due to decreased mail order script volume from third parties, partially offset by increased prescription volume in our specialty pharmacy companies.

Net investment income decreased \$35.6 million, or 15%, to \$197.1 million in 2009, primarily resulting from reduced investment balances and lower yields on short-term investments.

A summary of our net realized losses on investments for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31 | | |
|--|--|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change |
| Net realized (losses) gains from the sale of fixed maturity securities | \$ (9.9) | \$ 8.6 | \$ (10.1) |
| Net realized (losses) gains from the sale of equity securities | (37.3) | 22.1 | (51.2) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments equity securities | (169.7) | (43.7) | (126.0) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments interest rate related fixed maturity securities | (8.3) | (4.6) | (3.7) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments credit related fixed maturity securities | (127.0) | (28.6) | (98.4) |
| Other realized (losses) gains on investments | (0.3) | 0.6 | (17.5) |
| Net realized losses on investments | \$ (352.5) | \$ (45.6) | \$ (306.9) |

Net realized losses in 2009 were primarily driven by other-than-temporary impairments related to the deterioration in equity markets and, to a lesser extent, other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturity securities, primarily due to deterioration in credit ratings. Net realized losses in 2008 were primarily driven by other-than-temporary impairments related to the deterioration in equity markets and, to a lesser extent, other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturity securities, partially offset by net realized gains from the sale of equity and fixed maturity securities, as well as other realized gains. See *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates* within this MD&A for a discussion of our investment impairment review process.

Benefit expense decreased \$528.3 million, or 4%, to \$11.6 billion in 2009, primarily due to fully-insured membership declines in our Local Group and UniCare businesses, lower benefit expense in our Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D businesses and our exit from the Ohio Medicaid programs. The lower benefit expense in Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D in 2009 resulted from less favorable prior year reserve development in the first quarter of 2008 as compared to the first quarter of 2009. These decreases were partially offset by increases in benefit costs in our Local Group and FEP businesses. We receive reimbursement for FEP costs plus a fee.

Our benefit expense ratio decreased 350 basis points to 81.6% in 2009, due to improvements in both our Consumer and Commercial segments. The benefit expense ratio decline in our Consumer segment was primarily driven by benefit expense in our Medicare Advantage business as well as overall lower incurred medical costs in all other lines of business. The benefit expense ratio for Medicare Advantage improved as we implemented benefit design changes and modified pricing for 2009. Improvements in the Commercial segment's benefit expense ratio were primarily due to our Local Group business. The year-over-year improvement in the Local Group benefit expense ratio was driven by less favorable prior year reserve development that was recognized in the first quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$116.5 million, or 5%, to \$2.4 billion in 2009 primarily due to higher overall compensation costs, outside services and assessments required under the New York Deficit Reduction Plan and Budget passed into law in February 2009. These higher expenses were partially offset by lower advertising and selling expenses. Our selling, general and administrative expense ratio increased 90 basis points to 15.5% in 2009. The increase in our selling, general and administrative expense ratio was primarily due to the higher costs mentioned above.

Cost of drugs sold decreased \$6.5 million, or 5%, to \$112.4 million in 2009, primarily due to decreased mail order prescription volume, partially offset by increased prescription volume from third parties in our specialty pharmacy companies.

Interest expense decreased \$2.9 million, or 2%, to \$116.1 million in 2009, primarily due to a reduced use of commercial paper, mostly offset by the issuance of \$1.0 billion of long-term debt in February 2009.

Amortization of other intangible assets decreased \$3.6 million, or 5%, to \$67.9 million in 2009, primarily due to reductions in amortization of certain intangibles acquired in prior years.

Income tax expense increased \$22.1 million, or 8%, to \$313.2 million in 2009. The effective tax rates in 2009 and 2008 were 35.0% and 33.1%, respectively. The 190 basis point increase in the effective tax rate in 2009 was primarily due to the settlement of audit issues and associated amounts in 2008. Our anticipated full year effective tax rate is expected to be 35.2%, based on our projections, and includes the anticipated impact of recently adopted New York legislation. During April 2009, the New York state legislature passed provisions, retroactive to January 1, 2009, that will require us to pay additional premium taxes in that state while reducing state income tax payments.

Our net income as a percentage of total revenue remained constant at 3.8% in 2009 as compared to 2008 as a result of all factors discussed above.

Reportable Segments

We use operating gain to evaluate the performance of our reportable segments, as described in FAS 131, which are Commercial, Consumer and Other. Operating gain is calculated as total operating revenue less benefit expense, selling, general and administrative expense and cost of drugs. It does not include net investment income, net realized gains or losses on investments, interest expense, amortization of other intangible assets or income taxes, as these items are managed in a corporate shared service environment and are not the responsibility of operating segment management. For additional information, see Note 12 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2009 included in this Form 10-Q. The discussions of segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 presented below are based on operating gain, as described above, and operating margin, which is calculated as operating gain divided by operating revenue. Our definitions of operating gain and operating margin may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Our reportable segments' results of operations for 2008 have been reclassified to conform to the 2009 presentation.

Commercial

Our Commercial segment's summarized results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31 | | \$ Change | % Change |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Operating revenue | \$ 9,367.5 | \$ 9,488.2 | \$ (120.7) | (1)% |
| Operating gain | \$ 902.7 | \$ 908.8 | \$ (6.1) | (1)% |
| Operating margin | 9.6% | 9.6% | | 0bp |

Table of Contents

Operating revenue decreased \$120.7 million, or 1%, to \$9.4 billion in 2009, primarily due to membership declines in our Local Group and UniCare businesses as well as revenue declines associated with our ancillary products, including dental and life and disability. These decreases were partially offset by premium rate increases for all medical lines of business and increased revenue for self-funded National business.

Operating gain decreased \$6.1 million, or 1%, to \$902.7 million in 2009 primarily due to higher administrative costs, including higher overall compensation costs. These higher administrative costs were partially offset by improved results in our Local Group business, including UniCare, primarily due to overall lower incurred medical costs in 2009 that resulted from the first quarter of 2008 having less favorable prior year reserve development than the first quarter of 2009.

The operating margin in 2009 remained constant at 9.6% primarily due to the factors discussed in the preceding two paragraphs.

Consumer

Our Consumer segment's summarized results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Three Months Ended | | \$ Change | % Change |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31 | | | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Operating revenue | \$ 4,035.4 | \$ 4,100.0 | \$ (64.6) | (2)% |
| Operating gain (loss) | \$ 218.7 | \$ (120.2) | \$ 338.9 | NM ¹ |
| Operating margin | 5.4% | (2.9)% | | 830 bp |

¹ NM = Not meaningful

Operating revenue decreased \$64.6 million, or 2%, to \$4.0 billion in 2009, primarily due to our exit from the Ohio Medicaid programs and slight declines in our fully-insured Individual membership, partially offset by premium rate increases in all lines of business.

The Consumer segment had an operating gain of \$218.7 million in 2009 compared to an operating loss of \$120.2 million in 2008, or a change of \$338.9 million, primarily due to improved results in our Medicare Advantage business as we implemented benefit design changes and modified pricing for 2009. In addition, overall lower incurred medical costs in all other lines of business added to the improved results. The overall lower incurred medical costs resulted from the first quarter of 2008 having less favorable prior year reserve development than the first quarter of 2009.

Other

Our Other segment's summarized results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Three Months Ended | | \$ Change | % Change |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31 | | | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Operating revenue | \$ 1,895.8 | \$ 1,778.4 | \$ 117.4 | 7% |
| Operating gain | \$ 111.6 | \$ 94.0 | \$ 17.6 | 19% |

Operating revenue increased \$117.4 million, or 7%, to \$1.9 billion in 2009, primarily due to higher premiums in our FEP business, slightly offset by lower administrative fees in our NGS Medicare business.

Operating gain increased \$17.6 million, or 19%, to \$111.6 million in the first quarter of 2009. This increase was due to improved results in our PBM business, primarily with respect to our specialty pharmacy operations, and our disease management business.

Table of Contents**VII. Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. Application of GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes and within this MD&A. We consider some of our most important accounting policies that require estimates and management judgment to be those policies with respect to liabilities for medical claims payable, income taxes, goodwill and other intangible assets, investments and retirement benefits, which are discussed below. Our significant accounting policies are summarized in Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our 2008 Form 10-K.

We continually evaluate the accounting policies and estimates used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. In general, our estimates are based on historical experience, evaluation of current trends, information from third party professionals and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the known facts and circumstances.

Medical Claims Payable

The most judgment-based accounting estimate in our consolidated financial statements is our liability for medical claims payable. At March 31, 2009, this liability was \$6.2 billion and represented 22% of our total consolidated liabilities. We record this liability and the corresponding benefit expense for incurred but not paid claims, including the estimated costs of processing such claims. Incurred but not paid claims include (1) an estimate for claims that are incurred but not reported, as well as claims reported to us but not yet processed through our systems, which approximated 98%, or \$6.0 billion, of our total medical claims liability as of March 31, 2009; and (2) claims reported to us and processed through our systems but not yet paid, which approximated 2%, or \$153.0 million, of the total medical claims liability as of March 31, 2009. The level of claims payable processed through our systems but not yet paid may fluctuate from one period end to the next, from 1% to 5% of our total medical claims liability, due to timing of when claim payments are made.

Liabilities for both claims incurred but not reported and reported but not yet processed through our systems are determined in aggregate, employing actuarial methods that are commonly used by health insurance actuaries and meet Actuarial Standards of Practice. Actuarial Standards of Practice require that the claim liabilities be adequate under moderately adverse circumstances. We determine the amount of the liability for incurred but not paid claims by following a detailed actuarial process that entails using both historical claim payment patterns as well as emerging medical cost trends to project our best estimate of claim liabilities. Under this process, historical data of paid claims is formatted into claim triangles, which compare claim incurred dates to the dates of claim payments. This information is analyzed to create completion factors that represent the average percentage of total incurred claims that have been paid through a given date after being incurred. Completion factors are applied to claims paid through the period end date to estimate the ultimate claim expense incurred for the period. Actuarial estimates of incurred but not paid claim liabilities are then determined by subtracting the actual paid claims from the estimate of the ultimate incurred claims.

For the most recent incurred months (generally the most recent two months), the percentage of claims paid for claims incurred in those months is generally low. This makes the completion factor methodology less reliable for such months. Therefore, incurred claims for recent months are not projected from historical completion and payment patterns; rather they are projected by estimating the claims expense for those months based on recent claims expense levels and health care trend levels, or trend factors.

Because the reserve methodology is based upon historical information, it must be adjusted for known or suspected operational and environmental changes. These adjustments are made by our actuaries based on their knowledge and their estimate of emerging impacts to benefit costs and payment speed. Circumstances to be considered in developing our best estimate of reserves include changes in utilization levels, unit costs, mix of business, benefit plan designs, provider reimbursement levels, processing system conversions and changes, claim

Table of Contents

inventory levels, claim processing patterns, claim submission patterns and operational changes resulting from business combinations. A comparison of prior period liabilities to re-estimated claim liabilities based on subsequent claims development is also considered in making the liability determination. In our comparison of prior year, the methods and assumptions are not changed as reserves are recalculated; rather, the availability of additional paid claims information drives our changes in the re-estimate of the unpaid claim liability. To the extent appropriate, changes in such development are recorded as a change to current period benefit expense.

In addition to incurred but not paid claims, the liability for medical claims payable includes reserves for premium deficiencies, if appropriate. Premium deficiencies are recognized when it is probable that expected claims and administrative expenses will exceed future premiums on existing medical insurance contracts without consideration of investment income. Determination of premium deficiencies for longer duration life and disability contracts includes consideration of investment income. For purposes of premium deficiencies, contracts are grouped in a manner consistent with our method of acquiring, servicing and measuring the profitability of such contracts.

We regularly review and set assumptions regarding cost trends and utilization when initially establishing claim liabilities. We continually monitor and adjust the claims liability and benefit expense based on subsequent paid claims activity. If it is determined that our assumptions regarding cost trends and utilization are significantly different than actual results, our income statement and financial position could be impacted in future periods. Adjustments of prior year estimates may result in additional benefit expense or a reduction of benefit expense in the period an adjustment is made. Further, due to the considerable variability of health care costs, adjustments to claim liabilities occur each quarter and are sometimes significant as compared to the net income recorded in that quarter. Prior period development is recognized immediately upon the actuary's judgment that a portion of the prior period liability is no longer needed or that an additional liability should have been accrued. That determination is made when sufficient information is available to ascertain that the re-estimate of the liability is reasonable.

While there are many factors that are used as a part of the estimation of our medical claims payable liability, the two key assumptions having the most significant impact on our incurred but not paid liability as of March 31, 2009 were the completion and trend factors. As discussed above, these two key assumptions can be influenced by other operational variables including system changes, provider submission patterns and business combinations.

There is variation in the reasonable choice of completion factors by duration for durations of three months through 12 months where the completion factors have the most significant impact. As previously discussed, completion factors tend to be less reliable for the most recent months and therefore are not specifically utilized for months one and two. In our analysis for the claim liabilities at March 31, 2009, the variability in months three to five was estimated to be between 60 and 140 basis points, while months six through twelve have much lower variability ranging from 10 to 40 basis points.

The difference in completion factor assumptions, assuming moderately adverse experience, results in variability of 4%, or approximately \$247.0 million, in the March 31, 2009 incurred but not paid claim liability, depending on the completion factors chosen. It is important to note that the completion factor methodology inherently assumes that historical completion rates will be reflective of the current period. However, it is possible that the actual completion rates for the current period will develop differently from historical patterns and therefore could fall outside the possible variations described herein.

The other major assumption used in the establishment of the March 31, 2009 incurred but not paid claim liability was the trend factors. In our analysis for the period ended March 31, 2009, there was a 1.2 percentage point differential in the high and low trend factors assuming moderately adverse experience. This range of trend factors would imply variability of 15%, or approximately \$942.0 million, in the incurred but not paid claims liability, depending upon the trend factors used. Because historical trend factors are often not representative of current claim trends, the trend experience for the most recent six to nine months, plus knowledge of recent events

Table of Contents

likely affecting current trends, have been taken into consideration in establishing the incurred but not paid claim liability at March 31, 2009. As we look at the year-over-year claim trend for the prior period compared to the current period, the trend used in our reserve models has increased.

Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our 2008 Form 10-K provides historical information regarding the accrual and payment of our medical claims liability. Components of the total incurred claims for each year include amounts accrued for current year estimated claims expense as well as adjustments to prior year estimated accruals. In Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements, the line labeled "Net incurred medical claims: Prior years redundancies" accounts for those adjustments made to prior year estimates. The impact of any reduction of "Net incurred medical claims: Prior years redundancies" claims may be offset as we establish the estimate of "Net incurred medical claims: Current year". Our reserving practice is to consistently recognize the actuarial best estimate of our ultimate liability for our claims. When we recognize a release of the redundancy, we disclose the amount that is not in the ordinary course of business, if material. We believe we have consistently applied our methodology in determining our best estimate for unpaid claims liability at each reporting date.

We have not provided an unpaid claims progression for the three months ended March 31, 2009, as we believe insufficient data is available to provide a meaningful progression of the prior year ending liability. Consistent with past practices, we expect to provide this information in our second quarter, third quarter and annual filings.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with FAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This standard requires, among other things, the separate recognition of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities. Such deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities represent the tax effect of temporary differences between financial reporting and tax reporting measured at tax rates enacted at the time the deferred tax asset or liability is recorded. A valuation allowance must be established for deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that all or a portion may be unrealized. Our judgment is required in determining an appropriate valuation allowance.

At each financial reporting date, we assess the adequacy of the valuation allowance by evaluating each of our deferred tax assets based on the following:

the types of temporary differences that created the deferred tax asset;

the amount of taxes paid in prior periods and available for a carry-back claim;

the forecasted future taxable income, and therefore, likely future deduction of the deferred tax item; and

any significant other issues impacting the likely realization of the benefit of the temporary differences.

We, like other companies, frequently face challenges from tax authorities regarding the amount of taxes due. These challenges include questions regarding the timing and amount of deductions that we have taken on our tax returns. In evaluating any additional tax liability associated with various positions taken in our tax return filings, we record additional liabilities for potential adverse tax outcomes. Based on our evaluation of our tax positions, we believe we have appropriately accrued for uncertain tax benefits, as required by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. To the extent we prevail in matters we have accrued for, our future effective tax rate would be reduced and net income would increase. If we are required to pay more than accrued, our future effective tax rate would increase and net income would decrease. Our effective tax rate and net income in any given future period could be materially impacted.

In the ordinary course of business, we are regularly audited by federal and other tax authorities, and from time to time, these audits result in proposed assessments. We believe our tax positions comply with applicable

Table of Contents

tax law and intend to defend our positions vigorously through the federal, state and local appeals processes. We believe we have adequately provided for any reasonable foreseeable outcome related to these matters. Accordingly, although their ultimate resolution may require additional tax payments, we do not anticipate any material impact on our results of operations from these matters. As of March 31, 2009, the examinations of our 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 tax years are being concluded by the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS. In addition, we have several tax years for which there are ongoing disputes related to pre-acquisition companies that are being concluded by the IRS. We joined the IRS Compliance Assurance Process, or CAP, in 2007 and continue to remain a participant. The objective of CAP is to reduce taxpayer burden and uncertainty while assuring the IRS of the accuracy of tax returns prior to filing, thereby reducing or eliminating the need for post-filing examinations. Administrative tax appeals and proceedings also continue for certain subsidiaries for tax years prior to being included in our consolidated tax return.

For additional information, see Note 5 to our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our Form 10-K.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Our consolidated goodwill at March 31, 2009 was \$13.5 billion and other intangible assets were \$8.8 billion. The sum of goodwill and intangible assets represented 45% of our total consolidated assets and 103% of our consolidated shareholders' equity at March 31, 2009.

We follow guidance provided by FAS 141R, *Business Combinations*, and FAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FAS 141R specifies the types of acquired intangible assets that are required to be recognized and reported separately from goodwill. Under FAS 142, goodwill and other intangible assets (with indefinite lives) are not amortized but are tested for impairment at least annually. Furthermore, goodwill and other intangible assets are allocated to reporting units in accordance with FAS 142 for purposes of the annual impairment test. Our impairment tests require us to make assumptions and judgments regarding the estimated fair value of our reporting units, including goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives. In addition, certain other intangible assets with indefinite lives, such as trademarks, are also tested separately. Fair value is calculated using a blend of a projected income and market value approach. The income approach is developed using assumptions about future revenue, expenses and net income derived from our internal planning process. Our assumed discount rate is based on our industry's weighted average cost of capital and reflects volatility associated with the cost of equity capital. Market valuations are based on observed multiples of certain measures including membership, revenue, EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) and net income as well as market capitalization analysis of WellPoint and other comparable companies.

We completed our annual impairment tests of existing goodwill and other intangible assets (with indefinite lives) for each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and based upon these tests we have not incurred any impairment losses related to any goodwill and other intangible assets (with indefinite lives).

In addition, we performed an impairment review of our goodwill balances during the three months ended March 31, 2008 as a result of experiencing higher than anticipated medical costs, lower than expected fully-insured enrollment and the changing economic environment. No impairments were noted and no impairment charges were recorded. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, we were notified by the state of California that premium increases for our Medi-Cal business were being repealed due to budgetary constraints. As a result of this notification, we also performed an impairment review of our intangible assets related to the State-Sponsored reporting unit. No impairments were noted and no impairment charges were recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

While we believe we have appropriately allocated the purchase price of our acquisitions, this allocation requires many assumptions to be made regarding the fair value of assets and liabilities acquired. In addition, estimated fair values developed based on our assumptions and judgments might be significantly different if other

Table of Contents

reasonable assumptions and estimates were to be used. If estimated fair values are less than the carrying values of goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives in future annual impairment tests, or if significant impairment indicators are noted relative to other intangible assets subject to amortization, we may be required to record impairment losses against future income.

For additional information, see Note 8 to our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Investments

Current and long-term available-for-sale investment securities were \$15.2 billion at March 31, 2009 and represented 31% of our total consolidated assets at March 31, 2009. In accordance with FAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, we classify fixed maturity and equity securities in our investment portfolio as available-for-sale or trading and report those securities at fair value. We classify our investments in available-for-sale fixed maturity securities as either current or noncurrent assets based on their contractual maturities. Certain investments, which we intend to sell within the next twelve months, are carried as current without regard to their contractual maturities. Additionally, certain of our investments, which are used to satisfy contractual, regulatory or other requirements, continue to be classified as long-term, without regard to contractual maturity. The unrealized gains or losses on both our current and long-term fixed maturity and equity securities classified as available-for-sale are included in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders' equity, unless the decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary and we do not have the intent and ability to hold such securities until their full cost can be recovered, in which case such securities are written down to fair value and the loss is charged to income. Investment income is recorded when earned, and realized gains or losses, determined by specific identification of investments sold, are included in income when securities are sold.

We maintain various rabbi trusts to account for the assets and liabilities under certain deferred compensation plans. Under these deferred compensation plans, the participants can defer certain types of compensation and elect to receive a return on the deferred amounts based on the changes in fair value of various investment options, primarily a variety of mutual funds. We also generally purchase corporate-owned life insurance policies on participants in the deferred compensation plans. The cash surrender value of the corporate-owned life insurance policies is reported in Other invested assets, long-term in the consolidated balance sheets. The change in cash surrender value is reported as an offset to the premium expense of the policies, classified as general and administrative expenses.

In addition to available-for-sale investment securities, we held additional long-term investments of \$705.3 million, or 1% of total consolidated assets, at March 31, 2009. These long-term investments consist primarily of real estate, cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies and certain other investments. Due to their less liquid nature, these investments are classified as long-term.

We review investment securities to determine if declines in fair value below cost are other-than-temporary. This review is subjective and requires a high degree of judgment. We conduct this review on a quarterly basis, using both quantitative and qualitative factors, to determine whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary. Such factors considered include the length of time and the extent to which a security's market value has been less than its cost, financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, recommendations of investment advisors, and forecasts of economic, market or industry trends. If any declines are determined to be other-than-temporary, we charge the losses to income when that determination is made. We have a committee of certain accounting and investment associates and management that is responsible for managing the impairment review process. The current economic environment and volatility of securities markets increase the difficulty of assessing investment impairment and the same influences tend to increase the risk of potential impairment of these assets. We recorded charges for other-than-temporary impairment of securities of \$305.0 million and \$76.9 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. There were no individually significant other-than-temporary

Table of Contents

impairments of investments by issuer during the three months ended March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, we had approximately \$933.6 million of gross unrealized losses on investments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, \$773.8 million of which related to fixed maturity securities and \$159.8 million of which related to equity securities.

We believe we have adequately reviewed our investment securities for impairment and that our investment securities are carried at fair value. However, over time, the economic and market environment may provide additional insight regarding the fair value of certain securities, which could change our judgment regarding impairment. This could result in realized losses relating to other-than-temporary impairments being charged against future income. Given the current market conditions and the significant judgments involved, there is continuing risk that further declines in fair value may occur and additional, material other-than-temporary impairments may be recorded in future periods.

A primary objective in the management of fixed maturity and equity portfolios is to maximize total return relative to underlying liabilities and respective liquidity needs. In achieving this goal, assets may be sold to take advantage of market conditions or other investment opportunities as well as tax considerations. Sales will generally produce realized gains and losses. In the ordinary course of business, we may sell securities at a loss for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to: (i) changes in the investment environment; (ii) expectation that the fair value could deteriorate further; (iii) desire to reduce exposure to an issuer or an industry; (iv) changes in credit quality; or (v) changes in expected cash flow.

We participate in securities lending programs whereby marketable securities in our investment portfolio are transferred to independent brokers or dealers based on, among other things, their creditworthiness in exchange for cash collateral initially equal to at least 102% of the value of the securities on loan and is thereafter maintained at a minimum of 100% of the market value of the securities loaned (calculated as the ratio of initial market value of cash collateral to current market value of the securities on loan). Accordingly, the market value of the securities on loan to each borrower is monitored daily and the borrower is required to deliver additional cash collateral if the market value of the securities on loan exceeds the cash collateral delivered. Under the guidance provided in FAS 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, we recognize the collateral as an asset, which is reported as securities lending collateral on our consolidated balance sheets and we record a corresponding liability for the obligation to return the collateral to the borrower, which is reported as securities lending payable. The securities on loan are reported in the applicable investment category on the consolidated balance sheets.

Through our investing activities, we are exposed to financial market risks, including those resulting from changes in interest rates and changes in equity market valuations. We manage the market risks through our investment policy, which establishes credit quality limits and limits of investments in individual issuers. Ineffective management of these risks could have an impact on our future earnings and financial position. Our investment portfolio includes fixed maturity securities with a fair value of \$14.3 billion at March 31, 2009. The weighted-average credit rating of these securities was AA as of March 31, 2009. Included in this balance are investments in fixed maturity securities of states, municipalities and political subdivisions, mortgage-backed securities and corporate securities of \$1.7 billion, \$42.0 million and \$7.3 million, respectively, that are guaranteed by third parties. With the exception of seven securities with a fair value of \$34.2 million, these securities are all investment-grade and carry a weighted-average credit rating of AA as of March 31, 2009 with the guarantee by the third party. The securities are guaranteed by a number of different guarantors and we do not have any significant exposure to any single guarantor (neither indirect through the guarantees, nor direct through investment in the guarantor). Further, due to the high underlying credit rating of the issuers, the weighted-average credit rating of these securities without the guarantee was AA as of March 31, 2009 for the securities for which such information is available.

Fair values of available-for-sale fixed maturity and equity securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. These fair values are obtained primarily from third party pricing services, which generally use

Table of Contents

Level I or Level II inputs for the determination of fair value in accordance with FAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or FAS 157. Third party pricing services normally derive the security prices through recently reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information. For securities not actively traded, the third party pricing services may use quoted market prices of comparable instruments or discounted cash flow analyses, incorporating inputs that are currently observable in the markets for similar securities. Inputs that are often used in the valuation methodologies include, but are not limited to, broker quotes, benchmark yields, credit spreads, default rates and prepayment speeds. As we are responsible for the determination of fair value, we perform monthly analysis on the prices received from third parties to determine whether the prices are reasonable estimates of fair value. Our analysis includes a review of month to month price fluctuations and, as needed, a comparison of pricing services valuations to other pricing services valuations for the identical security.

In certain circumstances, it may not be possible to derive pricing model inputs from observable market activity, and therefore, such inputs are estimated internally. Such securities are designated Level III in accordance with FAS 157. Securities designated Level III at March 31, 2009 totaled \$323.4 million and represented 2% of our total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Our Level III securities primarily consist of certain mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities that have been thinly traded due to concerns in the securities markets and the resulting lack of liquidity. In addition, our Level III securities also include certain inverse floating rate securities that were not actively trading in their market. Consequently, observable inputs were not always available and the fair values of these securities were estimated using internal estimates for inputs including, but not limited to, prepayment speeds, credit spreads, default rates and benchmark yields.

For additional information, see Part II, Item 7A, *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk* and Notes 3 and 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Retirement Benefits**Pension Benefits**

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans for our employees. These plans are accounted for in accordance with FAS 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions*, as amended by FAS 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)*, which requires that amounts recognized in financial statements be determined on an actuarial basis. As permitted by FAS 87, we calculate the value of plan assets as described below. Further, the difference between our expected rate of return and the actual performance of plan assets, as well as certain changes in pension liabilities, are amortized over future periods.

An important factor in determining our pension expense is the assumption for expected long-term return on plan assets. As of our December 31, 2008 measurement date, we selected a long-term rate of return on plan assets of 8.00% for all plans, which is consistent with our prior year assumption of 8.00%. We use a total portfolio return analysis in the development of our assumption. Factors such as past market performance, the long-term relationship between fixed maturity and equity securities, interest rates, inflation and asset allocations are considered in the assumption. The assumption includes an estimate of the additional return expected from active management of the investment portfolio. Peer data and historical returns are also reviewed for appropriateness of the selected assumption. The expected long-term rate of return is calculated by the geometric averaging method, which calculates an expected multi-period return, reflecting volatility drag on compound returns. We believe our assumption of future returns is reasonable. However, if we lower our expected long-term return on plan assets, future contributions to the pension plan and pension expense would likely increase.

This assumed long-term rate of return on assets is applied to a calculated value of plan assets, which recognizes changes in the fair value of plan assets in a systematic manner over three years, producing the

Table of Contents

expected return on plan assets that is included in the determination of pension expense. The difference between this expected return and the actual return on plan assets is deferred and amortized over the average remaining service of the workforce as a component of pension expense. The net deferral of past asset gains or losses affects the calculated value of plan assets and, ultimately, future pension expense.

The discount rate reflects the current rate at which the pension liabilities could be effectively settled at the end of the year based on our most recent measurement date (December 31, 2008). The selected discount rate for all plans is 5.64%, which was developed using a yield curve approach. Using yields available on high-quality fixed maturity securities with various maturity dates, the yield curve approach provides a customized rate, which is meant to match the expected cash flows of our specific benefit plans. The net effect of changes in the discount rate, as well as the net effect of other changes in actuarial assumptions and experience, have been deferred and amortized as a component of pension expense in accordance with FAS 87.

In managing the plan assets, our objective is to be a responsible fiduciary while minimizing financial risk. Plan assets include a diversified mix of investment grade fixed maturity securities, equity securities and alternatives across a range of sectors and levels of capitalization to maximize the long-term return for a prudent level of risk. In addition to producing a reasonable return, the investment strategy seeks to minimize the volatility in our expense and cash flow. As of our December 31, 2008 measurement date, we had approximately 43% of plan assets invested in equity securities, 50% in fixed maturity securities and 7% in other assets. No plan assets were invested in WellPoint common stock as of the measurement date.

For the year ending December 31, 2009, no material contributions are expected to be necessary to meet the Employee Retirement Income Securities Act, or ERISA, required funding levels; however, we may elect to make discretionary contributions up to the maximum amount deductible for income tax purposes. No contributions to retirement benefit plans were made during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

At March 31, 2009 our consolidated pension liabilities were \$226.9 million, including liabilities of \$64.5 million for certain supplemental plans. We recognized consolidated pre-tax pension benefit of \$6.9 million and \$6.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other Postretirement Benefits

We provide most associates with certain life, medical, vision and dental benefits upon retirement. We use various actuarial assumptions including a discount rate and the expected trend in health care costs to estimate the costs and benefit obligations for our retiree benefits. We recognized a postretirement benefit liability of \$539.9 million at March 31, 2009.

We recognized consolidated pre-tax other postretirement expense of \$8.4 million and \$7.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

At our December 31, 2008 measurement date, the selected discount rate for all plans was 5.73%. We developed this rate using a yield curve approach as described above.

The assumed health care cost trend rates used to measure the expected cost of other benefits at our December 31, 2008 measurement dates was 8.00% for 2009 with a gradual decline to 5.00% by the year 2015. These estimated trend rates are subject to change in the future. The health care cost trend rate assumption has a significant effect on the amounts reported.

For additional information regarding retirement benefits, see Note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents***New Accounting Pronouncements***

In March 2008, the FASB issued FAS 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. FAS 161 requires expanded disclosures regarding the location and amounts of derivative instruments in an entity's financial statements, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, operating results and cash flows. FAS 161 was effective for us on January 1, 2009. The adoption of FAS 161 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. For additional information regarding our disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities, see Note 6 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2009 included in this Form 10-Q.

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Staff Position, or FSP, No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, or the FSP. The FSP is intended to provide greater clarity to investors about the credit and noncredit component of an other-than-temporary impairment event and to more effectively communicate when an other-than-temporary impairment event has occurred. The FSP applies to fixed maturity securities only and requires separate display of losses related to credit deterioration and losses related to other market factors. When an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that an entity will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it must recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. In addition, upon adoption of the FSP, an entity will be required to record a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. The FSP will be effective for us for the quarter ending June 30, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting the FSP.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, or FSP 157-4. FSP 157-4 provides additional authoritative guidance to assist both issuers and users of financial statements in determining whether a market is active or inactive, and whether a transaction is distressed. The FSP will be effective for us for the quarter ending June 30, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP 157-4 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, or FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1. FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 will be effective for us for the quarter ending June 30, 2009. The adoption of FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

There were no other new accounting pronouncements issued during the first three months of 2009 that had a material impact on our financial position, operating results or disclosures.

VIII. Liquidity and Capital Resources***Introduction***

Our cash receipts result primarily from premiums, administrative fees, investment income, other revenue, proceeds from the sale or maturity of our investment securities, proceeds from borrowings, and proceeds from exercise of stock options and our employee stock purchase plan. Cash disbursements result mainly from claims payments, administrative expenses, taxes, purchases of investment securities, interest expense, payments on long-term borrowings, capital expenditures and repurchases of our common stock. Cash outflows fluctuate with the amount and timing of settlement of these transactions. Any future decline in our profitability would likely have some negative impact on our liquidity.

Table of Contents

We manage our cash, investments and capital structure so we are able to meet the short and long-term obligations of our business while maintaining financial flexibility and liquidity. We forecast, analyze and monitor our cash flows to enable investment and financing within the overall constraints of our financial strategy.

A substantial portion of the assets held by our regulated subsidiaries are in the form of cash and cash equivalents and investments. After considering expected cash flows from operating activities, we generally invest cash that exceeds our near term obligations in longer term marketable fixed maturity securities, to improve our overall investment income returns. Our investment strategy is to make investments consistent with insurance statutes and other regulatory requirements, while preserving our asset base. Our investments are generally available-for-sale to meet liquidity and other needs. Our subsidiaries pay out excess capital annually in the form of dividends to their respective parent companies for general corporate use, as permitted by applicable regulations.

The availability of financing in the form of debt or equity is influenced by many factors including our profitability, operating cash flows, debt levels, debt ratings, contractual restrictions, regulatory requirements and market conditions. Credit markets have experienced a tightening of available liquidity, primarily as a result of uncertainty surrounding mortgage-backed securities. In October 2008 the Federal government approved a bill that is aimed at addressing liquidity issues in the financial markets and is working to provide other initiatives designed to help relieve the credit crisis. In addition, governments around the world have developed their own plans to provide liquidity and security in the credit markets and to ensure adequate capital in certain financial institutions.

We have a \$2.5 billion commercial paper program. The commercial paper markets have experienced increased volatility and disruption, resulting in higher costs to issue commercial paper. We continue to monitor the commercial paper markets and will act in a prudent manner. Should commercial paper issuance be unavailable, we intend to use a combination of cash on hand and/or our \$2.4 billion senior credit facility to redeem our commercial paper when it matures. While there is no assurance in the current economic environment, we believe the lenders participating in our credit facility will be willing and able to provide financing in accordance with their legal obligations. In addition to the \$2.4 billion senior credit facility, we expect to receive approximately \$2.4 billion of ordinary dividends from our subsidiaries during 2009, which also provides further operating and financial flexibility.

The table below outlines the cash flows provided by or used in operating, investing and financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

| <i>(In millions)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31 | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 1,192.0 | \$ 1,041.2 |
| Investing activities | (790.9) | 450.2 |
| Financing activities | (206.9) | (1,707.2) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 194.2 | \$ (215.8) |

Liquidity Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2008

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$1,192.0 million, compared to \$1,041.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, an increase of \$150.8 million. This increase resulted primarily from decreased incentive compensation payments and decreased tax payments, partially offset by a slight increase in claims payments.

Table of Contents

Net cash flow used in investing activities was \$790.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$450.2 million of cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease in cash flow provided by investing activities of \$1,241.1 million between the two periods primarily resulted from increases in net purchases of investments and decreases in securities lending collateral, partially offset by decreases in net purchases of property and equipment.

Net cash flow used in financing activities was \$206.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$1,707.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease in cash flow used in financing activities of \$1,500.3 million primarily resulted from decreases in the repurchase of common stock and increases in net proceeds from borrowings, including commercial paper and other long-term borrowings.

Financial Condition

We maintained a strong financial condition and liquidity position, with consolidated cash, cash equivalents and investments, including long-term investments, of \$18.3 billion at March 31, 2009. Since December 31, 2008, total cash, cash equivalents and investments, including long-term investments, increased by \$0.9 billion primarily due to cash generated from operations and additional long-term borrowings.

Many of our subsidiaries are subject to various government regulations that restrict the timing and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to their respective parent companies. In addition, we have agreed to certain undertakings to regulatory authorities, including the requirement to maintain certain capital levels in our California and Georgia subsidiaries.

At March 31, 2009, we held at the parent company approximately \$1.0 billion of cash and cash equivalents and investments, which is available for general corporate use, including investment in our businesses, acquisitions, share and debt repurchases and interest payments.

Our consolidated debt-to-total capital ratio (calculated as the sum of debt divided by the sum of debt plus shareholders' equity) was 30.3% as of March 31, 2009 and 29.2% as of December 31, 2008.

Our senior debt is rated A- by Standard & Poor's, A- by Fitch, Inc., Baa1 by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. and bbb+ by AM Best Company. We intend to maintain our senior debt investment grade ratings. A significant downgrade in our debt ratings could adversely affect our borrowing capacity and costs.

Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity

On April 13, 2009 we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our NextRx subsidiaries to Express Scripts, Inc., or Express Scripts, one of the largest PBM companies in North America, for \$4.675 billion, consisting of at least \$3.275 billion in cash, subject to customary working capital and indebtedness adjustments, and the balance in Express Scripts common stock. We expect to use the net after-tax proceeds from the sale for a variety of purposes, including share repurchases, repayment of current maturities of long-term debt and other general corporate purposes. In connection with the agreement, at closing, we will enter into a 10-year contract for Express Scripts to provide PBM services to us following the close of the Express Scripts transaction. The Express Scripts transaction is expected to close in the second half of 2009, subject to, customary closing conditions, the expiration of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act and any other required regulatory approvals.

On December 12, 2008, we filed an updated shelf registration statement with the SEC to register an unlimited amount of any combination of debt or equity securities in one or more offerings. Specific information regarding terms and securities being offered will be provided at the time of an offering. Proceeds from future offerings are expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of debt, capitalization of our subsidiaries, repurchases of our common stock or the financing of possible acquisitions or business expansion.

Table of Contents

We are a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis, or FHLBI, and as a member we have the ability to obtain cash advances subject to certain requirements. In order to obtain cash advances, we are required to pledge securities as collateral to the FHLBI, initially equal to a certain percentage of the cash borrowings, depending on the type of securities pledged as collateral. The market value of the collateral is monitored daily by the FHLBI, and if it falls below the required percentage of the cash borrowings, we are required to pledge additional securities as collateral or repay a portion of the outstanding cash advance balance. In addition, our borrowings cannot exceed twenty times our investment in FHLBI common stock. Our investment in FHLBI common stock at March 31, 2009 totaled \$5.0 million, which is reported in Investments available-for-sale Equity securities on the consolidated balance sheets. At March 31, 2009, \$100.0 million of cash advances from the FHLBI was outstanding and is reported in Short-term borrowings on the consolidated balance sheets. Securities, primarily certain U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities, with a fair value of \$123.4 million at March 31, 2009 have been pledged as collateral. The securities pledged are reported in Investments available-for-sale Fixed maturity securities on the consolidated balance sheets.

On November 29, 2005, we entered into a senior revolving credit facility, or the facility, with certain lenders for general corporate purposes. The facility, as amended, provides credit up to \$2.4 billion and matures on September 30, 2011. The interest rate on this facility is based on either: (i) the LIBOR rate plus a predetermined percentage rate based on our credit rating at the date of utilization, or (ii) a base rate as defined in the facility agreement. Our ability to borrow under this facility is subject to compliance with certain covenants. There were no amounts outstanding under this facility as of March 31, 2009 or during the three months then ended. At March 31, 2009, we had \$2.4 billion available under this facility.

We have Board of Directors approval to borrow up to \$2.5 billion under our commercial paper program. Proceeds from any issuance of commercial paper may be used for general corporate purposes, including the repurchase of our debt and common stock. Commercial paper notes are short-term senior unsecured notes, with a maturity not to exceed 270 days from date of issuance. When issued, the notes bear interest at the then current market rates. We had \$624.5 million of borrowings outstanding under this commercial paper program as of March 31, 2009. As previously discussed in Introduction to Liquidity and Capital Resources, the commercial paper markets have experienced increased volatility and disruption. We will continue to monitor the commercial paper markets and will act in a prudent manner. We continue to classify our commercial paper as long-term debt given our intent to issue commercial paper or our ability to redeem our commercial paper using our \$2.4 billion senior credit facility.

As discussed in Financial Condition above, many of our subsidiaries are subject to various government regulations that restrict the timing and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid. Based upon these requirements, we are currently estimating approximately \$2.4 billion of ordinary dividends to be paid to the parent company during 2009. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, no dividends were paid by our subsidiaries.

Under our Board of Directors authorization, we maintain a common stock repurchase program. Repurchases may be made from time to time at prevailing market prices, subject to certain restrictions on volume, pricing and timing. The repurchases are effected from time to time in the open market, through negotiated transactions and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 17.7 million shares at an average per share price of \$38.55, for an aggregate cost of \$681.2 million. On March 5, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of \$1.5 billion in our stock repurchase program. As of March 31, 2009, we had \$1.8 billion of authorization remaining under this program. Subsequent to March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 1.7 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$66.2 million, leaving approximately \$1.8 billion for authorized future repurchases at April 15, 2009. Our stock repurchase program is discretionary as we are under no obligation to repurchase shares. We repurchase shares when we believe it is a prudent use of capital.

Our current pension funding strategy is to fund an amount at least equal to the minimum required funding as determined under ERISA with consideration of maximum tax deductible amounts. For the year ending

Table of Contents

December 31, 2009, no material required contributions are expected to be necessary to meet the ERISA required funding levels; however, we may elect to make discretionary contributions up to the maximum amount deductible for income tax purposes.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

We believe that funds from future operating cash flows, cash and investments and funds available under our credit agreement or from public or private financing sources will be sufficient for future operations and commitments, and for capital acquisitions and other strategic transactions.

For additional information regarding our estimated contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2008, see *Contractual Obligations and Commitments* included in the *Liquidity and Capital Resources* section in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Risk-Based Capital

Our regulated subsidiaries states of domicile have statutory risk-based capital, or RBC, requirements for health and other insurance companies largely based on the NAIC's RBC Model Act. These RBC requirements are intended to measure capital adequacy, taking into account the risk characteristics of an insurer's investments and products. The NAIC sets forth the formula for calculating the RBC requirements, which are designed to take into account asset risks, insurance risks, interest rate risks and other relevant risks with respect to an individual insurance company's business. In general, under this Act, an insurance company must submit a report of its RBC level to the state insurance department or insurance commissioner, as appropriate, at the end of each calendar year. Our risk-based capital as of December 31, 2008, which was the most recent date for which reporting was required, was in excess of all mandatory RBC thresholds. In addition to exceeding the RBC requirements, we are in compliance with the liquidity and capital requirements for a licensee of the BCBSA and with the tangible net worth requirements applicable to certain of our California subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**IX. Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995**

This document contains certain forward-looking information about us that is intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not generally historical facts. Words such as expect(s), feel(s), believe(s), will, may, anticipate(s), intend, estimate, project and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not historical in nature. These statements include, but are not limited to, financial projections and estimates and their underlying assumptions; statements regarding plans, objectives and expectations with respect to future operations, products and services; and statements regarding future performance. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and generally beyond our control, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking information and statements. These risks and uncertainties include: those discussed and identified in public filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, made by us; increased government participation in or regulation of health benefits, managed care and PBM operations; trends in health care costs and utilization rates; our ability to secure sufficient premium rate increases; our ability to contract with providers consistent with past practice; competitor pricing below market trends of increasing costs; reduced enrollment, as well as a negative change in our health care product mix; risks and uncertainties regarding the Medicare Part C and Medicare Part D Prescription Drug benefits programs, including those related to CMS sanctions, potential uncollectability of receivables resulting from processing and/or verifying enrollment (including facilitated enrollment), inadequacy of underwriting assumptions, inability to receive and process correct information, uncollectability of premium from members, increased medical or pharmaceutical costs, and the underlying seasonality of the business; a downgrade in our financial strength ratings; litigation and investigations targeted at health benefits companies and our ability to resolve litigation and investigations within estimates; our ability to meet expectations regarding repurchases of shares of our common stock; decreased revenues following the Express Scripts transaction; increased operating costs, customer loss and business disruption, including, without limitation, difficulties in maintaining relationships with employees, customers, clients or suppliers, that may be greater than expected following the Express Scripts transaction; our ability to consummate or realize the ultimate expected value of the Express Scripts transaction; the failure to receive regulatory approvals required for the Express Scripts transaction on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule; our ability to meet expectations regarding the accounting and tax treatments of the Express Scripts transaction and the value of the Express Scripts transaction consideration; funding risks with respect to revenue received from participation in Medicare and Medicaid programs; non-compliance with the complex regulations imposed on Medicare and Medicaid programs; events that result in negative publicity for us or the health benefits industry; failure to effectively maintain and modernize our information systems and e-business organization and to maintain good relationships with third party vendors for information system resources; events that may negatively affect our license with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association; possible impairment of the value of our intangible assets if future results do not adequately support goodwill and other intangible assets; intense competition to attract and retain employees; unauthorized disclosure of member sensitive or confidential information; changes in the economic and market conditions, as well as regulations, that may negatively affect our investment portfolios and liquidity needs; possible restrictions in the payment of dividends by our subsidiaries and increases in required minimum levels of capital and the potential negative affect from our substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness; general risks associated with mergers and acquisitions; various laws and our governing documents may prevent or discourage takeovers and business combinations; future bio-terrorist activity or other potential public health epidemics; and general economic downturns. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements that speak only as of the date hereof. Except to the extent otherwise required by federal securities law, we do not undertake any obligation to republish revised forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures in our SEC reports.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As a result of our investing and borrowing activities, we are exposed to financial market risks, including those resulting from changes in interest rates and changes in equity market valuations. Our investment portfolio is exposed to three primary risks: credit quality risk, interest rate risk and market valuation risk. Our long-term debt has fixed interest rates and the fair value of these instruments is affected by changes in market interest rates. We use derivative financial instruments, specifically interest rate swap agreements, to hedge exposure in interest rate risk on our borrowings. No material changes to any of these risks have occurred since December 31, 2008.

For a more detailed discussion of our market risks relating to these activities, refer to Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, included in our 2008 Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation as of March 31, 2009, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In addition based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

We continue the process of evaluating and implementing enhancements to certain internal controls within our Senior business in part in response to CMS requests for corrective action plans in connection with our temporary suspension from the marketing of and enrollment in the Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D prescription plans. While we are enhancing certain internal controls related to our Senior business, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS****Material Developments**

In various California state courts, we are defending a number of individual lawsuits, including one filed by the Los Angeles City Attorney, and four purported class actions alleging the wrongful rescission of individual insurance policies. The suits name WellPoint as well as Blue Cross of California, or BCC, and BC Life & Health Insurance Company, or BCL&H (which name changed to Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company in July 2007), both WellPoint subsidiaries. The lawsuits generally allege breach of contract, bad faith and unfair business practices in a purported practice of rescinding new individual members following the submission of large claims. The parties have agreed to mediate most of these lawsuits and the mediation has resulted in the resolution of some of these lawsuits. In addition, the California Department of Managed Health Care and California Department of Insurance conducted investigations of the allegations. In June 2007, the California Department of Insurance issued its final report in which it issued a number of citations alleging violations of fair-claims handling laws. On February 10, 2009, a settlement was reached with the California Department of Insurance regarding its audit of rescission practices. Pursuant to the settlement, BCL&H will offer prospective coverage, without medical underwriting, to approximately 2,330 former insureds. BCL&H also agreed to reimburse eligible out of pocket medical expenses of the former insureds. BCL&H also agreed to pay a \$1.0 million fine. This settlement is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

On February 12, 2008, Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield, along with 15 other health benefit companies, was served with a subpoena by the New York Attorney General. The subpoena was part of an industry-wide investigation of how insurance companies use databases maintained by Ingenix, Inc., or Ingenix, a wholly-owned subsidiary of UnitedHealth Group, in determining out-of-network reimbursement. Since the beginning of the investigation, we have been cooperating fully with the Attorney General's office and have complied with the Attorney General's requests for information regarding out-of-network reimbursement in New York. On February 18, 2009, we announced that we have reached an agreement with the New York Attorney General regarding the manner in which out-of-network reimbursement to providers will be determined. We have agreed to discontinue the use of the Ingenix database, which some of our subsidiaries use in determining out-of-network reimbursement for certain products and in certain states. We also have agreed to contribute \$10 million towards the funding of a not-for-profit entity that will develop a database of provider charges that can be accessed both by health care plans and their members. The settlement will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We currently are a defendant in five putative class actions relating to out-of-network reimbursement. The first lawsuit (*American Dental Association v. WellPoint Health Networks, Inc. and Blue Cross of California*) was brought in March 2002 by the American Dental Association and three individual dentists on behalf of a putative class of out-of-network dentists. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The plaintiffs in that lawsuit allege that the defendants breached the plaintiffs' patients' rights under their ERISA health plans by paying out-of-network dental providers less than both the usual and customary allowances for services and the dentists' billed charges. The second lawsuit (*Darryl and Valerie Samsell v. WellPoint, Inc., WellPoint Health Networks, Inc. and Anthem, Inc.*) was filed in February 2009 by two former members on behalf of a putative class of members who received out-of-network services for which the defendants paid less than billed charges. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The plaintiffs in that case allege that the defendants violated RICO, the Sherman Antitrust Act, ERISA, and federal regulations by relying on databases provided by Ingenix in determining out-of-network reimbursement. The third lawsuit (*AMA et al. v. WellPoint, Inc.*) was brought in March 2009 by the American Medical Association, four state medical associations and two individual physicians on behalf of a putative class of out-of-network physicians. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for

Table of Contents

the Central District of California. The fourth lawsuit (*Roberts v. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. et al.*) was brought in March 2009 by a WellPoint member as a putative class action on behalf of all persons or entities who have paid premiums for out-of-network health insurance coverage. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The fifth lawsuit (*JBW v. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. et al.*) was brought in April 2009 by a WellPoint member as a putative class action on behalf of all persons who have paid premiums for out-of-network health insurance coverage. The suit is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. We intend to vigorously defend these suits; however, their ultimate outcome cannot be presently determined.

There have been no other material developments with respect to our material legal proceedings that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

Other Contingencies

From time to time, we and certain of our subsidiaries are parties to various legal proceedings, many of which involve claims for coverage encountered in the ordinary course of business. We, like HMOs and health insurers generally, exclude certain health care and other services from coverage under our HMO, PPO and other plans. We are, in the ordinary course of business, subject to the claims of our enrollees arising out of decisions to restrict or deny reimbursement for uncovered services. The loss of even one such claim, if it results in a significant punitive damage award, could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, the risk of potential liability under punitive damage theories may increase significantly the difficulty of obtaining reasonable settlements of coverage claims.

In addition to the lawsuits described above and in Note 9 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2009 included in this Form 10-Q, we are also involved in other pending and threatened litigation of the character incidental to our business transacted, arising out of our operations, our 2001 demutualization and our revision of earnings guidance in 2008, and are from time to time involved as a party in various governmental investigations, audits, reviews and administrative proceedings. These investigations, audits and reviews include routine and special investigations by state insurance departments, state attorneys general and the U.S. Attorney General. Such investigations could result in the imposition of civil or criminal fines, penalties and other sanctions. We believe that any liability that may result from any one of these actions, or in the aggregate, is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISKFACTORS

Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The risks associated with the proposed divestiture of our pharmacy benefit management operations may adversely affect our business and profitability.

On April 13, 2009, we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our NextRx subsidiaries to Express Scripts, Inc., or Express Scripts, for \$4.675 billion. In connection with the agreement, at closing, we will enter into a 10-year contract for Express Scripts to provide PBM services to us following the close of the transaction. Risks associated with the proposed divestiture of our NextRx subsidiaries include the following:

we may be unable to close the transaction in a timely manner, or at all, because of delays in carving-out the PBM business, failure to obtain regulatory approvals or satisfy other closing requirements, which could result in substantial additional costs or could unfavorably affect the ultimate value of the sale;

we may be unable to realize the expected accounting and tax benefits of the transaction, which could unfavorably affect the ultimate value of the sale;

Table of Contents

the divestiture and provision of transition services may disrupt our ongoing business, distract management, divert resources and create challenges in maintain our current customer service levels, business standards, controls and procedures, including complying with federal and state laws and regulations related to the operation of Internet and mail-service pharmacies;

we may experience a reduction in the number of customers and related PBM prescription volume;

pharmaceutical manufacturers, retail pharmacies, or other vendors or suppliers may seek to modify or terminate their business relationships with us;

a portion of the sale price may be paid in the form of Express Scripts common stock, which will expose us to fluctuations in Express Scripts' stock price that could unfavorably affect the ultimate value of the sale;

Express Scripts' systems may not interface properly with our systems, which could result in disruptions in operations;

as part of the long-term service contract, our members will become highly reliant on Express Scripts' successful administration of our PBM business; if Express Scripts is unsuccessful, it could materially affect our relationship with our members; and

we may be unable to retain the existing employees of our PBM business or attract additional qualified employees to meet current and future needs.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table presents information related to our repurchases of common stock for the periods indicated.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ¹ | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs ² | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Programs |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| <i>(In millions, except share and per share data)</i> | | | | |
| January 1, 2009 to January 31, 2009 | 3,559,193 | \$ 39.40 | 3,557,800 | \$ 882 |
| February 1, 2009 to February 28, 2009 | 5,971,645 | 41.83 | 5,971,300 | 632 |
| March 1, 2009 to March 31, 2009 | 8,335,202 | 35.65 | 8,138,800 | 1,841 |
| | 17,866,040 | | 17,667,900 | |

Edgar Filing: WELLPOINT INC - Form 10-Q

Total number of shares purchased includes 198,140 shares delivered to or withheld by us in connection with employee payroll tax withholding upon exercise or vesting of stock awards. Stock grants to employees and directors and stock issued for stock option plans and stock purchase plans in the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity are shown net of these shares purchased.

² Represents the number of shares repurchased through our repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased approximately 17.7 million shares at a cost of \$681.2 million under the program. On March 5, 2009, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of \$1.5 billion in our stock repurchase program. Remaining authorization under the program was \$1.8 billion as of March 31, 2009.

-50-

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits: A list of exhibits required to be filed as part of this Form 10-Q is set forth in the Index to Exhibits, which immediately precedes such exhibits, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WELLPOINT, INC.

Registrant

Date: April 22, 2009

By: /s/ WAYNE S. DEVEYDT
Wayne S. DeVeydt
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

Date: April 22, 2009

By: /s/ MARTIN L. MILLER
Martin L. Miller
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and
Controller (Chief Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

| Number | Exhibit |
|---------------|---|
| 2.1 | Stock and Interest Purchase Agreement, dated April 9, 2009, by and between the Company and Express Scripts, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 13, 2009. |
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of the Company, as amended effective May 17, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2007. |
| 3.2 | By-Laws of the Company, amended and restated effective May 21, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 22, 2008. |
| 4.1 | Upon the request of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company will furnish copies of any other instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company or its subsidiaries. |
| 10.4* | (a) Amendment to the WellPoint, Inc. Executive Agreement Plan, effective as of April 1, 2009. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act Rules, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act Rules, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Indicates management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.