

OPTI INC
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

- x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2008
- .. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-21422

OPTi Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in Its charter)

CALIFORNIA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporated or organization)

77-0220697
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: OPTI INC - Form 10-Q

3430 W. Bayshore Road, Suite 103 Palo Alto, California
(Address of principal executive office)

94303
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (650) 213-8550

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if smaller

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of October 31, 2008 was 11,641,903.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

INDEX

<u>PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
ITEM 1: <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
ITEM 2: <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	10
Overview	
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	14
ITEM 3: <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	14
ITEM 4: <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	15
<u>PART II: OTHER INFORMATION</u>	16
ITEM 1: <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	16
ITEM 1A: <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	16
ITEM 2: <u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	17
ITEM 3: <u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	17
ITEM 4: <u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	18
ITEM 5: <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	18
ITEM 6: <u>EXHIBITS</u>	18
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	19

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****OPTi INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands including share amounts)

	September 30, 2008 (unaudited)	March 31, 2008* (Note 1)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,732	\$ 6,843
Short term investments	375	
Accounts receivable	3,955	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	92	68
Total current assets	8,154	6,911
Property and equipment, at cost		
Machinery and equipment	48	48
Furniture and fixtures	17	17
Total property and equipment at cost	65	65
Accumulated depreciation	(56)	(52)
Total property and equipment, net	9	13
Other assets	18	18
Investments long term, net	3,445	3,850
Total assets	\$ 11,626	\$ 10,792
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,686	\$ 402
Accrued expenses	553	578
Total current liabilities	2,239	980
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value Authorized shares 5,000 No shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock, no par value Authorized shares 50,000 Issued and outstanding 11,642 at September 30, and March 31, 2008	13,539	13,539
Accumulated deficit	(3,872)	(3,477)
Other comprehensive loss	(280)	(250)
Total stockholders equity	9,387	9,812
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 11,626	\$ 10,792

Edgar Filing: OPTI INC - Form 10-Q

- * The balance sheet as of March 31, 2008 has been derived from the audited financial statements.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OPTi INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except per share amounts)****(unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
License and other revenue	\$ 3,750	\$	\$ 3,750	\$
Total revenue	3,750		3,750	
Operating expenses:				
General and administrative	2,798	838	4,489	1,912
Total operating expenses	2,798	838	4,489	1,912
Income (loss) from operations	952	(838)	(739)	(1,912)
Interest income and other	249	168	344	348
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	1,201	(670)	(395)	(1,564)
Income tax provision		1		1
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,201	\$ (671)	\$ (395)	\$ (1,565)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.13)
Diluted	0.10	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.13)
Weighted-average shares used in computing net income (loss) per common share				
Basic	11,642	11,642	11,642	11,642
Diluted	11,644	11,642	11,642	11,642
Cash dividend declared per common share	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.50

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OPTi INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (395)	\$ (1,565)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	4	4
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(3,955)	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(24)	(6)
Accounts payable	1,284	(314)
Accrued expenses	(25)	56
Accrued employee compensation		(292)
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,111)	(2,117)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of auction rate securities		(2,000)
Net cash used in investing activities		(2,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Cash dividend		(5,821)
Net cash used in financing activities		(5,821)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(3,111)	(9,938)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	6,843	18,173
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,732	\$ 8,235

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The information at September 30, 2008 and for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, is unaudited, but include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which the Company's management believes to be necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2008, which are included in the annual report on Form 10-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 (SFAS 157), Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value, and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. In February 2008, the FASB approved FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP 157-2) which allows companies to elect a one-year delay in applying SFAS 157 to certain fair value measurements of non-financial instruments, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on at least an annual basis. We elected the delayed adoption date for the portions of SFAS 157 impacted by FSP 157-2 and, as a result, we partially adopted SFAS 157 on April 1, 2008. The partial adoption of SFAS 157 was prospective and did not have a significant effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. See Note 5 for information about fair value measurements. We are currently evaluating the impact of applying the deferred portion of SFAS 157 to the nonrecurring fair value measurements of our non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. In accordance with FSP 157-2, the fair value measurements for these items will be adopted April 1, 2009.

On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active. This FASB Staff Position clarifies the application of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurement, in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. The adoption of Staff Position 157-3 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operation.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 (SFAS 159), *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*. SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. It is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007.

Table of Contents**2. Net Income (Loss) Per Share**

Basic net income (loss) per share and diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months ended September 30,		Six Months ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,201	\$ (671)	\$ (395)	\$ (1,565)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	11,642	11,642	11,642	11,642
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.13)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	11,642	11,642	11,642	11,642
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options	2			
Denominator for diluted net income (loss) per share	11,644	11,642	11,642	11,642
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.13)

The Company has excluded options for the purchase of 108,000 shares of common stock from the calculation of diluted net loss per share in the three month period ended September 30, 2007 and the six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, because all such securities are anti-dilutive for the respective periods.

3. Taxes

The Company recorded no tax provision for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and \$1,000 for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2007. The Company's effective tax rate differed from the federal and state statutory rates during all periods presented due to the utilization of net operating losses in profitable periods and the full valuation recorded against net operating loss deferred tax assets in loss periods because of the uncertainty of the Company maintaining profitability.

Due to uncertainty associated with our prospective ability to realize the benefits of our tax assets, we have fully reserved the value of our deferred tax assets. In addition, utilization of the net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and similar state provisions. The annual limitations may result in the expiration of net operating loss carryforwards before utilization.

Table of Contents**4. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Total comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and other comprehensive income or loss. During the three and six-month period ended September 30, 2008, the Company recorded an unrealized investment gain of \$70,000 and a loss of approximately \$(30,000), respectively, relating to its investments in auction rate securities. Total comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$1,271,000, \$(671,000), \$(425,000) and \$(1,565,000), respectively.

5. Cash equivalents and auction rate securities

The following is a summary as of September 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Gains	Estimated Fair Value
Cash	\$ 3,732	\$	\$	\$ 3,732
Short-term ARS (1)	375			375
Auction Rate Securities	3,725	(280)		3,445
	\$ 7,832	\$ (280)	\$	\$ 7,552

Reported as:

Cash	\$ 3,732	\$	\$	\$ 3,732
Investments Short term	375			375
Investments Long term	3,725	(280)		3,445
	\$ 7,832	\$ (280)	\$	\$ 7,552

(1) On October 6, 2008, the Company received a redemption of \$375,000 from the issuer of an auction rate security. The following is a summary as of March 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Gains	Estimated Fair Value
Cash	\$ 6,843	\$	\$	\$ 6,843
Auction Rate Securities	4,100	(250)		3,850
	\$ 10,943	\$ (250)	\$	\$ 10,693

Reported as:

Cash	\$ 6,843	\$	\$	\$ 6,843
Investments-Long term	4,100	(250)		3,850
	\$ 10,943	\$ (250)	\$	\$ 10,693

SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, SFAS No. 157 establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: (Level I) observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; (Level II) inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or

Edgar Filing: OPTI INC - Form 10-Q

indirectly; and (Level III) unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop its own assumptions. This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. On a recurring basis, the Company measures its investments and marketable securities at fair value.

Table of Contents

The majority of the Company's cash and investment instruments are classified within Level I of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices, market prices for similar securities, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. The types of instruments valued based on quoted market prices in active markets include the Company's money market funds. Such instruments are generally classified within Level I of the fair value hierarchy.

The types of instruments valued based on unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data and the unobservable inputs are significant to the fair value measurement includes the Company's auction rate securities, or ARS and are classified within Level III of the fair value hierarchy. The Company has approximately \$4.1 million (at amortized cost) in auction rate securities as of September 30, 2008. Auction rate securities are structured with short-term interest rate reset dates of generally less than ninety days but with contractual maturities that can be well in excess of ten years. Remaining contractual maturities of our securities ranged from 23 to 39 years. At the end of each reset period, which occurs every seven to thirty-five days, investors can sell or continue to hold the securities at par. In the first half of calendar 2008 certain auction rate securities failed auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders as a result of credit crunch and other factors. Our auction rate securities primarily consist of investments that are backed by pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. Department of Education and other asset-backed securities. We believe that the credit quality of these securities is high based on these guarantees. In October 2008, the Company received a put option from one of the investment bankers that it had purchased auction rate securities from. The put option allows the following: (1) the Company can instruct its Financial Advisor when it wants to exercise its rights and sell its eligible ARS to the investment bank during the period January 2, 2009 through January 4, 2011, at par value, or (2) the acceptance of the put offer allows the investment bank to purchase the eligible ARS at par value, or to sell them on the Companies behalf at any time in its sole discretion and without prior notice to the Company, from the date of the acceptance of the put option offer through January 4, 2011. The Company signed the agreement to exercise the put option in November 2008. The acceptance of the offer will result in a third quarter fiscal 2009 credit to income for the difference between the value of the offer and the quoted price of the ARS held by that investment bank. As of September 30, 2008, that amount would be approximately \$250,000. Simultaneously, the Company will elect a one-time transfer of these ARS from available-for-sale to trading and recognize an other-than-temporary charge to income for the difference between the quoted price and the par value of the ARS, which was approximately \$250,000 as of September 30, 2008. We also expect to hold our other securities until they return to par value and therefore we have recorded temporary impairment within other comprehensive loss, instead of other income (loss) in the Statements of Operations related to these auction rate securities. As of September 30, 2008, the total amount of temporary impairment within accumulated comprehensive loss is approximately \$280,000. Our valuation of these securities incorporated our assumptions about the anticipated term and the yield that a market participant would require to purchase such a security in the marketplace.

In accordance with SFAS 157, the following table represents the Company's fair value hierarchy for its marketable securities measured at fair value as of September 30, 2008 (In thousands):

	September 30, 2008			Total
	Level I	Level II	Level III	
Money market funds	\$ 3,732			\$ 3,732
Short-term auction rate securities	375			375
Long-term auction rate securities			3,445	3,445
Total available-for-sale investments	\$ 4,107	\$	\$ 3,445	\$ 7,552

Unrealized losses associated with Level III financial instruments were classified as Accumulated Other Comprehensive loss in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets under Stockholders' Equity. Unrealized losses associated with Level III financial instruments were approximately \$280,000 as of September 30, 2008.

Note 6 Subsequent Events

During the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company accepted an offer from its investment bank, UBS, which gives the Company a non-transferable right to require the bank to purchase, at par value, \$2.1 million in ARS investments between January 2, 2009 and January 4, 2011. Refer to *Note 5 Cash equivalents and auction rate securities* for further detail regarding the non-transferable right.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the change in balance sheet carrying value associated with Level III financial instruments carried at fair value during the six-months ended September 30, 2008 (In thousands):

	March 31, 2008	Six Months Ended September 30, 2008			September 30, 2008
		Payment, Purchases (Sales), Net	Transfers In (Out), Net	Gains (Losses) Realized Unrealized*	
Auction Rate Securities	\$ 3,850	\$	\$	\$ \$ (30)	\$ 3,820

* Recorded in other comprehensive loss under Stockholders' Equity on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Information set forth in this report constitutes and includes forward looking information made within the meaning of Section 27A of the Security Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including the Company's ongoing efforts to enforce its intellectual property rights including its current litigation efforts, the willingness of the parties it believes are infringing its patents to settle its claims against them, the amount of litigation costs the Company must incur in pursuing its patent infringement claims, the degree to which technology subject to the Company's intellectual property rights is used by other companies in the personal computer and semiconductor industries and our ability to obtain license revenues from them, changes in intellectual property law in such industries and in general and other matters. Readers are encouraged to refer to "Factors Affecting Earnings and Stock Price".

OPTi was founded in 1989 as an independent supplier of semiconductor products to the personal computer market. During fiscal 2003, the Company sold its product fabrication, distribution and sales operations to Opti Technologies, Inc., an unrelated third party. As a result of this transaction all future revenues for the Company are expected to be generated through royalties or from the licensing of the Company's intellectual property. The Company received approximately \$52,000 of royalties during the first quarter of fiscal 2005 from Opti Technologies, Inc. and does not expect to receive additional significant revenue other than through the pursuit of its patent infringement cases and associated licensing efforts.

The Company's current strategy is to pursue licensing opportunities to resolve potential infringement of its proprietary intellectual property in the core logic area. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2000, the Company entered into a one-time licensing arrangement for \$13,311,000 on the core logic technology that the Company had developed during its existence. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company also entered into a one-time license arrangement for \$425,000 on its patented technology. The Company believes that there may be additional companies that may be infringing its patents. The Company is actively working to explore all possible arrangements to settle such infringements.

On August 3, 2006, the Company entered into a license agreement with NVIDIA (the "License Agreement"). Under the License Agreements the Company agreed to dismiss its patent infringement lawsuit against NVIDIA and licensed certain patents to NVIDIA. NVIDIA made a non-refundable, non-creditable fully earned payment of \$11 million to the Company. There was no future performance obligation. In accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policy \$11 million was recorded as revenue during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 as persuasive evidence that an agreement existed, delivery had occurred and there were no future performance obligations, fees were fixed or determinable and collectibility was reasonably assured.

Table of Contents

The License Agreement also provides that the Company shall receive quarterly royalty payments of \$750,000 from NVIDIA, so long as NVIDIA continues to use the Company's Predictive Snoop technology, commencing in February 2007 up to a maximum of 12 such payments in exchange for a license for future use of the Pre-Snoop patents. As an alternative to the quarterly payments, at any time prior to or on January 31, 2008, NVIDIA could elect to pay the Company a lump sum of \$7,000,000 less any quarterly royalty payments already paid. Royalties will be recorded as revenue when earned and collection is deemed reasonably assured.

On February 5, 2007 the Company announced that it received a letter from NVIDIA stating that NVIDIA has discontinued the use of the Predictive Snooping technology that it had licensed from the Company pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement. The letter from NVIDIA also stated that NVIDIA will not be remitting to the Company the quarterly royalty payment originally scheduled for February 2007.

On October 17, 2007 the Company initiated arbitration against NVIDIA because the Company believed that NVIDIA breached the terms of the License Agreement. The Company was seeking payment for the past due quarters that OPTi believes NVIDIA continued to use the Pre-Snoop technology. The Company received the arbitrator ruling on September 19, 2008. In the ruling the arbitrator found that NVIDIA had continued to use the pre-snoop technology for the five quarters beginning February 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008. The total award that the Company received from the arbitrator was \$3,750,000 for quarterly royalties and \$205,000 for interest on the award. The Company received the payment from NVIDIA in late October 2008.

On November 15, 2006, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD) for infringement of three U.S. patents relating to its Predictive Snooping technology. See Item 3 Legal Proceedings below. The AMD case itself is a continuing part of the Company's strategy for pursuing its patent infringement claims and its outcome will have a significant effect on the Company's ability to realize ongoing licensing revenue through its intellectual property licensing efforts.

On January 16, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Apple Inc. (Apple) for infringement of three U.S. patents. The three patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,710,906, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,036 and U.S. Patent No. 6,405,291, which are all entitled Predictive Snooping of Cache Memory for Master-Initiated Accesses . The Company alleges that Apple has infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering for sale desktop and portable computers and servers incorporating Predictive Snooping technology. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter.

On July 3, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against eight companies for infringement of two U.S. patents. The two patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,944,807 and U.S. Patent No. 6,098,141, both entitled Compact ISA-Bus Interface . The Company alleges that Advanced Micro Devices, Atmel Corporation, Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc., SMSC, STMicroelectronics and VIA Technologies, Inc. have infringed that patents by making, selling, and offering one or more of the following products: core logic chipsets, Super I/O devices, Trusted Platform Modules, certain flash memory devices, certain I/O controllers and other semiconductor products incorporating Compact ISA-Bus Interface technology. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

General. Our discussions and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires that we make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Other than the adoption of SFAS 157 as discussed below, we believe that there have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the critical accounting policies and estimates disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2008.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but rather eliminates inconsistencies in guidance found in various prior accounting pronouncements and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007.

Beginning July 1, 2008, assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheet are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with inputs used to measure their fair value. SFAS 157 defines a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted market prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument's anticipated life.

Level 3 Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model.

We classify inputs to derive fair values for money market funds available-for-sale as Level 1.

We classify inputs to calculate fair value of auction rate securities as Level 3. The fair value for these instruments is calculated by our investment bankers using a model that incorporates their assumptions about the anticipated term and the yield that a market participant would require to purchase such a security in the marketplace. Changes to the model's inputs are not changes to valuation methodologies, but instead reflect direct or indirect impacts from changes in market conditions. Accordingly, results from the valuation model in one period may not be indicative of future period measurements.

In October 2008, the Company received a put option from one of the investment bankers that it had purchased auction rate securities from. The put option allows the following: (1) the Company can instruct its Financial Advisor when it wants to exercise its rights and sell its eligible ARS to the investment bank during the period January 2, 2009 through January 4, 2011, at par value, or (2) the acceptance of the put offer allows the investment bank to purchase the eligible ARS at par value, or to sell them on the Companies behalf at any time in its sole discretion and without prior notice to the Company, from the date of the acceptance of the put option offer through January 4, 2011.

The Company signed the agreement to exercise the put option in November 2008. The acceptance of the offer will result in a third quarter fiscal 2009 credit to income for the difference between the value of the offer and the quoted price of the ARS held by that investment bank. As of September 30, 2008, that amount would be approximately \$250,000. Simultaneously, the Company will elect a one-time transfer of these ARS from available-for-sale to trading and recognize an other-than-temporary charge to income for the difference between the quoted price and the par value of the ARS, which was approximately \$250,000 as of September 30, 2008.

For a further discussion regarding fair value measurements, see Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2009 Compared to 2008

Revenues

The Company had \$3,750,000 in revenue for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and no revenue for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2007. The increase in revenue for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 relates to the arbitration award of five quarters of royalty from NVIDIA. The Company's future revenues depend on the success of our strategy of pursuing license claims on our intellectual property position.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the three-months ended September 30, 2008 were \$2,798,000 as compared to \$838,000 for the three-months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in general and administrative costs for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the comparable period ended September 30, 2007 was mainly attributable to increased litigation costs relating to the preparation for the AMD litigation and the NVIDIA arbitration. General and administrative expenses for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 were \$4,489,000 as compared to \$1,912,000 for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007. The increase in general and administrative costs for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the six-month period ended September 30, 2007 was mainly attributable to increased legal fees and litigation costs relating to the AMD litigation and the NVIDIA arbitration.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Net interest and other income for the three-month period ending September 30, 2008 was \$249,000 as compared to \$168,000 for the three-months ended September 30, 2007. The increase in net interest and other income in the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the comparable period in 2007 was due to interest awarded in the NVIDIA arbitration of approximately \$205,000, offset, in part, by a decrease in interest income due to lower average cash balances and lower interest rates during the three-months ended September 30, 2008. Net interest and other income for the six-month period ending September 30, 2008 was \$344,000 as compared to \$348,000 for the six-months ended September 30, 2007. The decrease in net interest and other income in the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the comparable period in 2007 was due to a decrease in interest income due to lower average cash balances and lower interest rates during the six-month period ended September 30, 2008, offset, in part, by interest awarded in the NVIDIA arbitration.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded no tax provision for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and \$1,000 for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2007. The Company's effective tax rate differed from the federal and state statutory rates during all periods presented due to the utilization of net operating losses in profitable periods and the full valuation recorded against net operating loss deferred tax assets in loss periods because of the uncertainty of the Company maintaining profitability.

Due to uncertainty associated with our prospective ability to realize the benefits of our tax assets, we have fully reserved the value of our deferred tax assets. In addition, utilization of the net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and similar state provisions. The annual limitations may result in the expiration of net operating loss carryforwards before utilization.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents decreased to \$3.7 million at September 30, 2008 from \$6.8 million at March 31, 2008. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.1 million from March 31, 2008 to September 30, 2008, primarily relates to an increase in accounts receivable of approximately \$4.0 million, offset, in part, by an increase in accounts payable. Working capital as of September 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008 was \$5.9 million. During the first six-months of fiscal 2009, operating activities used approximately \$3.1 million of cash. Cash used in operating activities was due to the net loss during the six-month period of \$0.4 million and increased accounts receivable of \$4.0 million, offset in part, by an increase in accounts payable of \$1.3 million. The Company had no investing activity in the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 and \$2.0 million of investment activity for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007. This investing activity relates to the purchase of auction rate securities. The Company used approximately \$5.8 million in financing activities during the six-month period ended September 30, 2007, relating to a \$0.50 per share cash dividend paid on April 9, 2007.

As of September 30, 2008, the Company's principal sources of liquidity included cash, cash equivalents of approximately \$3.7 million and working capital of approximately \$5.9 million. The Company believes that the existing sources of liquidity will satisfy the Company's projected working capital and other cash requirements through at least the next twelve months.

The Company's current building lease agreement is scheduled to end on December 31, 2009. The total remaining commitment under the lease at September 30, 2008 is approximately \$133,000.

Contractual Obligations

There was no material change as of September 30, 2008, of our contractual obligations as compared to those at March 31, 2008 as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2008.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We maintain our cash and cash equivalents primarily in money market funds. We do not have any derivative financial instruments. As of September 30, 2008, all of our investments mature in less than one month. Accordingly, we do not believe that our investments have significant exposure to interest rate risk.

Auction Rate Securities

Auction rate securities are securities that are structured with short-term interest rate reset dates of generally less than ninety days but with contractual maturities that can be well in excess of ten years. At the end of each reset period, which occurs every seven to thirty-five days, investors can sell or continue to hold the securities at par. In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008, certain of our auction rate securities failed auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders. In the first quarter of 2009, we continued to see deterioration in the market for these types of securities. Our auction rate securities primarily consist of investments that are backed by pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. Department of Education and other asset-backed securities. We believe that the credit quality of these securities is high based on these guarantees. Based on an analysis of other-than-temporary impairment factors, we recorded a temporary gain within other accumulated comprehensive loss of approximately \$70,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. As of September 30, 2008, the total accumulated comprehensive loss related to these auction rate securities that we have recorded is approximately \$280,000. Our marketable securities portfolio as of September 30, 2008 was \$4.1 million, at cost. The portfolio includes approximately \$4.1 million (at cost)

Table of Contents

invested in auction rate securities of which, all are currently associated with failed auctions as of September 30, 2008, all of which have been in a loss position for less than 12 months. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, the underlying securities have matured or are recalled by the issuer. Given the recent disruptions in the credit markets and the fact that the liquidity for these types of securities remains uncertain, we have classified substantially all of our auction rate securities that were not liquidated subsequent to September 30, 2008 as long-term assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

In October 2008, the Company received a put option from one of the investment bankers that it had purchased auction rate securities from. The put option allows the following: (1) the Company can instruct its Financial Advisor when it wants to exercise its rights and sell its eligible ARS to the investment bank during the period January 2, 2009 through January 4, 2011, at par value, or (2) the acceptance of the put offer allows the investment bank to purchase the eligible ARS at par value, or to sell them on the Companies behalf at any time in its sole discretion and without prior notice to the Company, from the date of the acceptance of the put option offer through January 4, 2011.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) The Securities and Exchange Commission defines the term "disclosure controls and procedures" to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 as of the end of the Company's fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2008. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer along with our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

(b) There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting for the three months ended September 30, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance, and not absolute assurance, that the objectives of the system are met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals in all future circumstances. We intend to review and evaluate the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures on an ongoing basis and to improve our controls and procedures over time and to correct any deficiencies that we may discover in the future. Our goal is to ensure that our senior management has timely access to all material financial and non-financial information concerning our business. While we believe the present design of our disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve our goal, future events affecting our business may cause us to significantly modify our disclosure controls and procedures.

The Company signed the agreement to exercise the put option in November 2008. The acceptance of the offer will result in a third quarter fiscal 2009 credit to income for the difference between the value of the offer and the quoted price of the ARS held by that investment bank. As of September 30, 2008, that amount would be approximately \$250,000. Simultaneously, the Company will elect a one-time transfer of these ARS from available-for-sale to trading and recognize an other-than-temporary charge to income for the difference between the quoted price and the par value of the ARS, which was approximately \$250,000 as of September 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On November 15, 2006, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. for infringement of three U.S. patents relating to its Predictive Snooping technology. The three patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,710,906, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,036 and U.S. Patent No. 6,405,291; all entitled Predictive Snooping of Cache Memory for Master-Initiated Accesses . The Company alleges that AMD has infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering for sale desktop and portable computers and servers incorporating Predictive Snooping technology. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter and is seeking damages. The trial is currently scheduled for February 2009.

On January 16, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Apple Inc. for infringement of three U.S. patents. The three patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,710,906, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,036 and U.S. Patent No. 6,405,291; all entitled Predictive Snooping of Cache Memory for Master-Initiated Accesses . The Company alleges that Apple has infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering for sale desktop and portable computers and servers incorporating Predictive Snooping technology. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter and is seeking damages.

On July 3, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against eight companies for infringement of two U.S. patents. The two patents at issue in the lawsuit are U.S. Patent No. 5,944,807 and U.S. Patent No. 6,098,141, both entitled Compact ISA-Bus Interface . The Company alleges that Advanced Micro Devices, Atmel Corporation, Broadcom Corporation, Renesas Technology America, Inc., Silicon Storage Technology, Inc., SMSC, STMicroelectronics and VIA Technologies, Inc. have infringed the patents by making, selling, and offering one or more of the following products: core logic chipsets, Super I/O devices, Trusted Platform Modules, certain flash memory devices, certain I/O controllers and other semiconductor products incorporating Compact ISA-Bus Interface technology. The Company has requested a jury trial in this matter and is seeking damages.

The AMD, Apple and the Compact ISA-Bus Interface cases are a continuing part of the Company s strategy for pursuing its patent infringement claims and their outcomes will have a significant effect on the Company s ability to realize ongoing licensing revenue through its intellectual property licensing efforts.

Please see Item 2 for discussion regarding the arbitration against NVIDIA and the recent ruling.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors are material changes to the risk factors set forth in our Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2008.

Uncertain Revenue Stream

Although the Company has commenced legal action and continues to pursue license revenues relating to the unauthorized use of its intellectual property, there can be no assurances whether or when revenues will result from the pursuit of such claims.

In addition, the Company s focus on pursuing claims related to its intellectual property position can result in one time payments that may increase revenues during a single fiscal period but may not be repeated in future periods. For example, in the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company

Table of Contents

reached a settlement of certain claims and counterclaims with NVIDIA Corporation that included, among other things, a one-time cash payment to the Company. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company was to receive future payments from NVIDIA if they continued to use the patented technology. Consequently, settlements of these claims will cause our operating results to fluctuate from period to period and revenues that we may receive from such a settlement should not be viewed as indicative of future trends in our operating results.

Outcome of Future Royalties from NVIDIA

The Company had an arbitration hearing the last week of June 2008 with NVIDIA, as the Company believes NVIDIA breached the terms of the License Agreement. The Company was seeking payment for the past due quarters that OPTi believes NVIDIA continued to use the Pre-Snoop technology. The Company received the arbitrator ruling on September 19, 2008. In the ruling the arbitrator found that NVIDIA had continued to use the pre-snoop technology for the five quarters beginning February 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008. The total award that the Company received from the arbitrator was \$3,750,000 for quarterly royalties and \$205,000 for interest on the award. The Company received the payment from NVIDIA in late October 2008.

The ruling of the arbitrator may not be indicative of the Company's ability to collect future royalties from NVIDIA.

Our investments in adjustable rate securities are subject to risks which may cause losses and affect the liquidity of these investments.

As of September 30, 2008, we held \$4.1 million of auction rate securities, classified as short-term and long-term investments, with an auction reset feature whose underlying assets were in student loans and other asset back securities and that had a AAA credit rating. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, auctions began to fail for these securities and each auction since then has failed. As a result, our ability to liquidate and fully recover the carrying value of our adjustable rate securities in the near term does not exist.

In the first six-months of fiscal 2009, we recorded an unrealized gain of \$70,000 related to these auction rate securities. If the issuers of the auction rate securities are unable to successfully close future auctions or refinance their debt in the near term and their credit ratings deteriorate, we may be required to record an impairment charge on these investments and may liquidate these investments for less than their face value. Such impairment charge would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Uncertainty of Future Distributions to Shareholders

From time to time, the Company has made distributions to its shareholders of funds that it believed unlikely to be required for the pursuit of its legal strategy. On April 9, 2007 the Company paid a dividend of \$0.50 per share of common stock to its shareholders. Its most recent previous cash distribution had occurred in 2002. The amount and frequency of future distributions to shareholders depends upon a number of factors including the Company's ability to achieve future revenues from its patent infringement claims, the amount of the Company's legal, operating and compensation costs, tax treatment of such dividends and changes to the Company's intellectual property position or strategy. Accordingly, there can be no assurance regarding the amount or frequency of future distributions or whether they may occur at all.

Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable and has been omitted.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable and has been omitted.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Shareholders

Not applicable and has been omitted.

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable and has been omitted

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 and 31.2 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in accordance with Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 and 32.2 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in accordance with 8 U.S. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

OPTi Inc.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

OPTi Inc.

Date: November 14, 2008

By:

/s/ Michael Mazzoni
Michael Mazzoni
Signed on behalf of the Registrant and as

Chief Financial Officer