

STORAGE COMPUTER CORP
Form 10-K
April 14, 2004
Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 or

Transaction Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 1-13616

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11 Riverside Street, Nashua, New Hampshire
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

02-0450593
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

03062-1373
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (603) 880-3005

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the act:

<u>Title of each Class</u>	<u>Name of each Exchange on which Registered</u>
Common Stock \$0.001 par value	American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the act:

None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in a definitive proxy or information statement incorporated in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) Yes No

The aggregate market value of the common stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates was approximately \$13,801,000 as of June 30, 2003, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. On April 14, 2004 there were issued and outstanding 38,900,125 shares of the registrant's common stock, with a par value of \$0.001.

Because the calculation of shares of registrant's voting stock held by non-affiliates requires a calculation of the number of shares held by affiliates, such figure, as shown on the cover page hereof, such calculation has been made solely on the basis of information, reports and notices filed by affiliates of the registrant under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All outstanding shares beneficially owned by executive officers and directors of the registrant or by any shareholder beneficially owning more than 5% of registrant's common stock, as disclosed herein, were considered solely for purposes of this disclosure to be held by affiliates.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED

BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates by reference portions of the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on July 15, 2004 which the Company intends to file within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION**

Securities and Exchange Commission

<u>Item Numbers and Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
ITEM 1 <u>Business</u>	2
ITEM 2 <u>Properties</u>	7
ITEM 3 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	7
ITEM 4 <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	8
<u>PART II</u>	
ITEM 5 <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	8
ITEM 6 <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	9
ITEM 7 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	10
ITEM 7A <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	22
ITEM 8 <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	23
ITEM 9 <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	23
ITEM 9A <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	23
<u>PART III</u>	
ITEM 10 <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	24
ITEM 11 <u>Executive Compensation</u>	24
ITEM 12 <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	24
ITEM 13 <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	24
ITEM 14 <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	24
<u>PART IV</u>	
ITEM 15 <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	24

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company

Storage Computer Corporation (the Company SCC or we), a pioneer in RAID (Redundant Array of Independent Disks) technology is a provider of high performance storage software solutions focused on developing advanced storage architectures to address the emerging needs of high-bandwidth and other performance-impaired applications. Storage Computer s technology supports a variety of applications including advanced database activities, wide are network storage and sophisticated business continuity topologies including:

Networked Attached Storage

Storage Area Networks

Direct Attached Storage

Storage Wide Area Networking

Based upon advanced architectures, parallel processing hardware, and embedded, real-time operating system, we believe we have the industry s most versatile collection of connectivity options. A single storage system can simultaneously support multiple heterogeneous hosts through any combination of connectivity interfaces, including NAS, SAN, FibreChannel, SCSI and IP. These high-end solutions also entail peer-to-peer connectivity, allowing high-speed volume connectivity and data transfer or replication over Fiber and OC12/48.

Through our Cyber product lines and patented storage software, these solutions support multiple open systems servers and are modular and scalable for future growth.

Our performance-optimized Virtual Storage Architecture, product line combines intelligent controller, disk drive, and memory technology with patented memory mapping techniques and a powerful real-time operating system to deliver high-performance and data protection across the mix of applications found in today s open system environments.

Company History

The Company was incorporated in Delaware in 1991. Initial work began in late 1984 with the development of RAID technologies within a predecessor company Cab-Tek, Inc. From 1984 to 1990, products at RAID levels 3, 4, 5 and 6 were developed and tested. Development then

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

commenced on the Virtual Storage Architecture to overcome the performance bottlenecks inherent in other RAID implementations and to achieve fault tolerant storage without impeding performance. The resulting patented technology was transferred to the newly established Storage Computer Corporation in August 1991.

Products based upon the Company's unique RAID technology began shipping to customer production sites in the second half of 1992. The Company pioneered the RAID 7 (patented) technology incorporated in our Virtual Storage Architecture, which formed the basis for our StorageSuite product family and is found in our OmniRaid products. Based upon this performance-optimized architecture, the product line combines high-end controller technology, disk drives, scalable centralized and distributed memory mechanisms, proprietary memory mapping techniques, and a real-time operating system to deliver high-performance, fault-tolerant storage solutions.

In September 2000, Storage Computer Corporation acquired CyberStorage Systems Corporation. This acquisition enabled us to expand the development of our advanced storage and server solutions to migrate into the Optical Networking world and brought us extensive technical expertise in the area of networking, optical interfaces, and advanced media delivery architectures. Founded in 1998, Cyber Storage Systems emerged as a strong provider of innovative Information Management Solutions for the Digital Communications marketplace and Hardware/Software, IP based, Multimedia (IVOD, Multicast, Telemedicine, Distance Learning, Training, Video Conferencing) solutions.

Beginning in 2001 and continuing through 2002, we began integrating the Virtual Storage Architecture onto the next-generation, advanced storage and server platforms. We are now in a position to rapidly advance into the next dimension of networked storage and server solutions. With product lines addressing the NAS (network attached storage), SAN (storage area networks), and DAS (direct attached storage) market spaces as well as solutions based on those product lines targeted to reduce the stress associated with performance-impaired applications.

Table of Contents

With new products now in place and having the benefit of owning potentially lucrative intellectual property patent rights the Company is implementing its plan to form strategic alliances with distribution partners. With these alliances in place the Company anticipates that the resulting revenue growth will return the Company to profitability.

Industry Overview

Although Internet usage and demand continues to grow significantly, the last several years have been tumultuous for the technology sector markets. Generally, telecommunications companies had several weak years with large companies declaring losses and even bankruptcy in a few cases. Technology companies have terminated tens of thousands of employees and have taken huge restructuring charges. Despite this, demand for data storage and manageability of that data continues to be on the forefront of corporate needs. Over the past 5+ years all markets have undergone a dramatic shift to new information processing modes, such as client/server computing incorporating enterprise databases, data warehousing, image processing, multi-media, video-on-demand, virtual reality processing and Internet/Intranet services. These application modes are continuing to increase demand for data storage that is scalable in terms of capacity, performance, connectivity and manageability.

The data storage market has itself gone through turmoil during the past several years. The events which occurred on September 11, 2001, created a strong focus on Business Continuance practices while the economy in general has forced companies to become more cost conscious within their IT data centers. Host computing platforms that continue to make quantum leaps in processing performance drive the market for high performance storage. We believe that users and networks will continue to demand high-performance storage systems to eliminate performance bottlenecks and to take full advantage of increased server/workstation processing power.

Significant market shifts have occurred over the past several years with increased focus on networking elements. Momentum has been gained in the deployment of Storage Area Networks (SAN) and Network Attached Storage (NAS). Momentum in these areas is based on the implementation of advanced high speed networking technologies. With the increased deployment of Gigabit Ethernet as well as Optical networks, storage methods based on IP (Internet Protocol) networks is gaining strength. We believe this model will create an optimal purchasing dynamic for customers, where storage will be purchased on demand and result in reduced cost of acquiring and managing storage.

Market Positioning

The Company is directly focused on the networked storage market. Our NAS (Network Attached Storage) appliance is now generally available. During 2000, we introduced the CyberBorg storage system, which is a high speed, high performance SAN (Storage Area Network) component with various connectivity options. Industry research has shown that over the next several years market adoption will increasingly focus on converged storage systems, those which combine the best elements of NAS and SAN topologies.

The Company has focused its development efforts on building converged storage product offerings. By integrating our Virtual Storage Architecture into integrated NAS and SAN hardware platforms we will achieve an extremely high level of flexibility and functionality for our customer base. Our storage platforms entail a diverse set of interconnectivity options including OC12 and OC48 (Optical Carrier) which yields a position in Storage Wide Area Networking (SWAN). Although the telecommunications industry was in turmoil over the last few years, the Internet and optical backbones are stronger than ever. With increased demand for streamlining the efficiency of corporate wide area networks as well as an increase in metro optical area build outs, we believe that our product and market positioning associated with SWAN is positioned for not only addressing near term customer needs, but for the future.

Product Line

CyberNAS:

The CyberNAS is a Network Attached Storage software package, which is modular and scalable and can be targeted to a wide range of end users. The product combines a disk storage subsystem, RAID implementation and file server all in one. The file system supports Microsoft Windows environment (CIFS), Unix (NFS) and AppleShare. The CyberNAS also has a diverse set of connectivity options including Ethernet, Gigabit Ethernet (wire speed) and OC12/48 (optical carrier).

CyberVSA Storage Operating System CyberVSA has proven its ability to double, triple, even quintuple the performance of database applications in over 3,000 customer installations by providing Virtual Solid State disk capability. The CyberVSA was ported from proprietary hardware to open systems hardware, and renamed CyberVSA Storage Operating System to reflect its open systems capabilities. The CyberVSA virtual storage architecture product takes advanced high performance storage solutions to the next generation. The CyberVSA's central intelligence and storage management software can manage a network of intelligent heterogeneous server and storage devices to enable real-time optimization of storage operations. This capability, combined with the ability to logically distribute data streams across any number or combination of physical disks creates optimal efficiency while enabling the highest attainable performance in an enterprise storage environment. Sold as software as well as integrated hardware/software solutions through partners, the CyberVSA offers a full range of advanced state of the art storage solutions including Virtualization, High Performance Throughput, Dynamic Storage Growth, extensive Storage Resource Management and more. The CyberVSA has been architected to accommodate all existing storage interconnectivity standards, thus allowing it to function within existing data center structures. Employing a patented paging memory technology along with an RTOS kernel, the CyberVSA has been demonstrated to be the fastest storage management solution available.

Legacy Products (Available by customer order only)

Storage Computer Cyber Ultra

The Cyber Ultra Series is designed for low to mid tier storage hardware applications. The unit delivers multi-channel 160MB/sec Ultra160 SCSI-3 throughput to both host and devices with an option for two Dual Loop Fibre to the Host channels. This is offered in a broad range of capacity configurations featuring sophisticated array management tools and intelligent, new, backplane, SCA cabinetry designed to provide enhanced data reliability and configuration flexibility.

The system doubles, and sometimes triples, the performance of traditional Ultra SCSI-3 RAID systems, is seamlessly compatible with older SCSI technology, and is ready to take full advantage of an upgrade to Fibre Channel technology at the host level. The Cyber Ultra is configurable from 72GB to 16TB with half height 18GB, 36GB, 73GB, 147GB, and 15,000-RPM Ultra160 SCSI-3 drives. The Ultra is scalable to multi-terabyte capacities.

Table of Contents

Storage Computer CyberFibre

The CyberFibre is a SAN ready hardware solution entailing multiple host connections and flexibility to adapt to the most taxable storage applications. The system supports online dynamic addition of disk capacity to existing RAID set(s). With support for 18GB, 36GB, 73GB, 147GB and greater 10,000 and 15,000RPM drive configurations and up to 512 MB of cache per controller, the Storage Computer CyberFibre scales to 120 disk drives on a single controller. Systems are scalable to Multi-Terabyte configurations. New rackmount cabinets feature environmental monitoring and alert systems, with redundant hot swappable power supplies and cooling fans. Utilizing 15,000RPM fiber drives, high-performance systems can be initially configured with as few as 5 disk drives, and expanded to 120 disk drives on a single controller as capacity requirements grow. Remote access capability allows system setup, diagnostics and maintenance procedures to be performed from remote locations. An interactive host-based Graphical User Interface provides local monitoring and control of system performance. User-selectable remote notification mechanisms include e-mail, pagers and text files to alert designated personnel of system changes. SNMP-based remote monitoring and control of system operations is optional.

Customers and Applications

The Company has a worldwide customer list. Products based upon the Virtual Storage Architecture have been sold to customers across a broad range of industries including banking and financial services, education, technology, telecommunications, military/aerospace, general services, government, and manufacturing. It is our goal to continue to market to existing customers to leverage our multiple product offerings and to continue to expand our customer base for high-performance storage solutions.

Customer Service And Support

We offer our customers a full array of customizable support options and programs. Customers have the option to decide how they want their service and support structured, so that the maintenance of the customer's data storage equipment fits into the customer's business model. Our technical services organization comprises a group of skilled support personnel, located at our corporate headquarters in Nashua, New Hampshire and in field locations in the United States.

In addition to our own support engineers and technicians, our strategic service alliances with third-party service providers enable us to offer comprehensive, high-quality programs to support customers on a worldwide basis. Our strategic service alliances are formed with third-party providers that are some of the most respected organizations in the service industry. All in-house and third-party service technicians supporting our customers are trained by our personnel, and service parts are generally stocked in local service offices. Service technicians are backed by a technical support hotline staffed by support analysts at our facilities. Our personnel always take the initial service call, determine the logistics of the support plan, and manage the process. Onsite services may be tailored to customer requirements in terms of hours covered, response times and onsite hardware service providers.

Competition

The information storage market is extremely competitive. Companies such as EMC Corporation, IBM Corporation, Hewlett-Packard, NCR Corporation, Storage Technology, Sun Microsystems, and more than 100 other public and private companies provide disk arrays for a wide variety of computer systems, workstations and PCs. Although we are currently unaware of any other vendor offering identical product offerings,

we cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully against existing companies or future entrants to the marketplace. While we believe that the price-performance characteristics of our products are currently competitive, increased competition including the introduction of new products by our competitors, could result in price reductions, reduced gross margin and loss of market share, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. Many of our current and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than us. As a result, they may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion, sale and support of their products than us. In addition, current and potential competitors have established or may establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share.

Licensing

During 2001 and 2002, we entered into three agreements concerning the licensing of our intellectual property. In December 2001, we entered into an agreement with XIOTech Corporation and its parent company, Seagate Technology, Inc. under which we granted to XIOTech a fully paid up, non-exclusive license in one of our patents in return for a one-time royalty payment of \$2,500,000. At the same time we also entered into a royalty free cross license agreement with XIOTech covering all of XIOTech's and the Company's respective patents. In October 2002, we entered into an agreement with Hitachi, Ltd. pursuant to which we granted to Hitachi a fully paid up, non-exclusive license to use our patents and to sell Hitachi products that may be subject to a claim in any of our patents. In return for the license grant, Hitachi agreed not to oppose an appeal we filed in the Court of Appeal in London. During March 2001 we filed legal actions against Hitachi Data Systems Limited in the United Kingdom for infringement of two of the European patents in our intellectual property portfolio. Hitachi filed a counterclaim against the Company alleging that these two patents were invalid. The trial was completed in July 2002 and in August 2002 the Judge ruled that neither of our patents was shown to be valid in the United Kingdom or infringed by Hitachi. On October 17, 2002, the judgment for the defendant, Hitachi, on their counterclaim was entered and our European Patent (UK) 0,294,287 (287) and European Patent (UK) 0,539,494 were revoked. The Order for the revocation of the 287 Patent was stayed pending appeal and we were granted permission to appeal the judgment so far as it concerns the 287 Patent. It was also ordered by consent of the

Table of Contents

parties that there be no order as to costs. On October 31, 2002 we filed an appeal with the Court of Appeal seeking that the judgment with respect to the 287 Patent be set aside and that the Court of Appeal find that the claims of the 287 Patent are valid, that Hitachi infringes the claims of the patent and that there be a certificate of contested validity in respect of the claims of the 287 Patent. On July 30, 2003, the Court of Appeals in London dismissed our appeal. See Item 3-Legal Proceedings.

In addition, in November 2002, we entered into a licensing agreement with EMC Benelux BV under which we granted to EMC Benelux BV and its affiliates a fully paid up, non-exclusive license to use our patents to make, use, lease and sell any EMC Benelux BV and its affiliates products that may be subject to a claim in any of our patents. In return for this license grant, EMC Benelux BV paid us a one-time royalty payment of \$3,000,000. Although we are continuing to pursue additional license arrangements where appropriate, there can be no assurances that we will be able to secure additional license fees, during the coming 12 months. Any failure to secure additional licensing revenue would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Sales and Marketing

Domestic. Our products are sold domestically through a combination of direct sales personnel, value-added resellers and other distributors. Our direct sales organization coordinates the activities of our resellers and distributors and seeks to actively participate with them in selling efforts in order to enable us to establish strong direct ties with our customers and end users.

International. We have established several different operational methods in order to develop international markets. While we have temporarily closed the operations of our European sales organizations, in 2004 we plan to use distributors and value-added resellers to penetrate certain international markets and maximize returns on our marketing and sales efforts.

The remaining international markets served by us are coordinated and supported from the United States through the use of our independent distributor network. Our distributors are responsible for penetrating and developing their respective markets, providing support and maintenance services and maintaining an inventory of spare parts. The distributors are also responsible for establishing relationships with value-added resellers, who sell our products to final end users.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, product sales of \$459,000 to one customer, Compuserve, were in excess of 10% of consolidated revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2002, license fees received from EMC Benelux BV and from XIOTech Corporation during the year ended December 31, 2001, each represented in excess of 10% of total consolidated revenues in those years. In addition, product sales of \$1,350,000 to one customer, World Domination, Inc. were in excess of 10% of 2001 consolidated revenue.

Revenues by geographic area are summarized as follows:

	<u>United States</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Total</u>
2003			
Revenues			
Products and services	\$ 1,045,038	\$	\$ 1,045,038

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

License fees

	\$ 1,045,038	\$	\$ 1,045,038
2002			
Revenues			
Products and services	\$ 1,842,273	\$ 529,837	\$ 2,372,110
License fees	3,000,000		3,000,000
	\$ 4,842,273	\$ 529,837	\$ 5,372,110
2001			
Revenues			
Products and services	\$ 5,310,011	\$ 1,237,616	\$ 6,547,627
License fees	2,500,000		2,500,000
	\$ 7,810,011	\$ 1,237,616	\$ 9,047,627

Research and Development

Since our inception, we have made substantial investments in research and development. We believe that our future performance will depend in large part on our ability to maintain and enhance our current products, develop new products that achieve market acceptance, maintain technological competitiveness and meet an expanding range of customer requirements. Our future growth depends substantially upon the success of our current product line and related products as well as new products that

Table of Contents

may be developed; however, we cannot assure you that our products will attain broad market acceptance. Due to the complexity of the engineering effort required to produce new data storage subsystem products, the development and commercial exploitation of new products are subject to significant technical risks. We cannot assure you that new products will be introduced on a timely basis or at all. If new products are delayed or do not achieve market acceptance, our business, operating results and financial condition will be materially adversely affected. In addition, we cannot assure you that customers will not defer orders in anticipation of new product introductions by our competitors or us.

Our product may contain undetected software errors or failures when first introduced or as new versions are released. We cannot assure you that, despite testing by us and by current and potential customers, errors will not be found in new products until after commencement of commercial shipments, resulting in loss of or delay in market acceptance, which could have a material adverse effect upon our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our total expenses for research and development for fiscal years 2003, 2002, and 2001 were \$971,000, \$2,759,000, and \$4,335,000, respectively. Research and development efforts in 2004 are expected to be at a lower level than prior years and are expected to be focused on increasing the individual capabilities and performance of existing products and developing new value added software products to provide our installed base with greater functionality, as well as to attempt to expand that installed base.

Proprietary Rights

Our policy is to protect our technology by, among other things, filing patent applications with respect to technology considered important to the development of our business. We have been awarded certain U.S. patents and have additional U.S. patent applications pending. Foreign counterparts of certain of these applications have been filed or may be filed at the appropriate time. We decide on a case-by-case basis whether and in what countries we will file foreign counterparts of a U.S. patent application.

We believe that our products, trademarks and service marks do not infringe on the proprietary rights of third parties. We cannot assure you, however, third parties will not assert infringement claims against us in the future. If such a claim is made, we will evaluate the claim as it relates to its products and, if appropriate, may seek a license to use the protected technology. We cannot assure you that we would be able to obtain a license to use any such protected technology or that any such license could be obtained on terms that would not have a material adverse effect on us. If we, or our suppliers, are unable to license any such protected technology, we could be prohibited from incorporating or marketing such products. We could also incur substantial costs to redesign our products or to defend any legal action taken against them. In the event our products are found to infringe protected technology, we could be required to pay damages to the infringed party or be enjoined from manufacturing and selling such products.

We require all employees, and technical and other consultants and advisors to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships with us. These agreements generally provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual during the course of the individual's relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. All of our key technical employees have also entered into agreements providing for the assignment of rights to inventions made by them while in our employment. Although we continue to take protective measures to protect our proprietary technology, we cannot assure you that these measures will be successful. In addition, the laws of certain foreign countries may not protect our rights to the same extent as U.S. law.

We believe that there are products being sold that infringe our patents and we intend to vigorously enforce our intellectual patent property rights. We will be seeking royalties for the past infringement, in addition to licensing agreements for the future production and sale of such infringing

products. See Item 3 Legal Proceedings.

Employees

As of December 31, 2003, we had 17 full time employees. Our ability to develop and market our products and to establish and maintain a competitive position in our industry will depend, in large part, upon our ability to attract and retain qualified technical, marketing and managerial personnel, of which there can be no assurance. We consider our relations with our employees to be good. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We currently lease from an affiliate a 35,000 sq. ft. facility that is occupied by our research and development and administrative operations in Nashua, New Hampshire. In 2003, we paid annual rental of \$311,904 to lease this facility. In December 2000, we executed a five-year operating lease for these facilities, which provides for annual adjustments to the monthly rental payment based upon the previous year's consumer price index. The lease has a five-year renewal option, exercisable at our election, and does not provide us with a purchase option for the property. The current monthly rental is \$ 25,992, which we believe was comparable to rentals of similar properties in the area and indicative of the fair market rental that could be obtained from an unrelated third party in an arm's-length transaction, as of the date we entered into such lease. See Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions. We believe that all our properties and premises are adequately protected by insurance coverage. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for our current needs and that additional space will be available as needed.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In December 2001, Marketlink Technologies, LLC filed a civil action against the Company in the Circuit Court for Oakland County, Michigan, alleging that the Company owed them a \$156,000 termination payment under the terms of a manufacturers representative agreement that the Company terminated for cause in April 2001 because of Marketlink's inability to sell the Company's products and perform the services required by the agreement. In January 2002, the Company filed counterclaims against Marketlink in this matter, including a claim for breach of contract. The case was tried in December 2003. Following the trial, the Court entered its order finding that the Company is liable to Marketlink for the \$156,000. Several post-order motions are currently before the Court that will affect the final amount due. The Company is considering an appeal.

During March 2001 we filed legal actions against Hitachi Data Systems Limited in the United Kingdom for infringement of two of the European patents in our intellectual property portfolio. Hitachi filed a counterclaim against the Company alleging that these two patents were invalid. The trial was completed in July 2002 and in August 2002 the Judge ruled that neither of our patents was shown to be valid in the United Kingdom or infringed by Hitachi. On October 17, 2002, the judgment for the defendant, Hitachi, on their counterclaim was entered and our European Patent (UK) 0,294,287 (287) and European Patent (UK) 0,539,494 were revoked. The Order for the revocation of the 287 Patent was stayed pending appeal and we were granted permission to appeal the judgment so far as it concerns the 287 Patent. It was also ordered by consent of the parties that there be no order as to costs. On October 31, 2002 we filed an appeal with the Court of Appeal seeking that the judgment with respect to the 287 Patent be set aside and that the Court of Appeal find that the claims of the 287 Patent are valid, that Hitachi infringes the claims of the patent and that there be a certificate of contested validity in respect of the claims of the 287 Patent. On July 30, 2003, the Court of Appeals in London dismissed our appeal.

In October 2001, we filed a patent infringement action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas against Veritas Software Corporation and Veritas Software Global Corporation alleging that certain Veritas Software Corporation storage products infringe Storage Computer's intellectual property patent number U.S. 5,893,919 entitled Apparatus and Method for Storing Data with Selectable Data Protection Using Mirroring and Selectable Parity Inhibition. In February 2002, we filed an additional patent infringement action in the United States District Court of the Northern District of Texas, against Veritas Software Corporation and Veritas Software Global Corporation alleging that certain Veritas Software Corporation storage products infringe Storage Computer's intellectual property, specifically U.S. 5,257,367 entitled Data Storage system with Asynchronous Host Operating System Communication Link. However, we amended our complaint to dismiss claims arising under this patent in September 2002. In March 2002, we filed a third patent infringement action against Veritas Software Corporation and Veritas Software Global Corporation alleging certain Veritas Software Corporation storage products infringe Storage Computer's intellectual property patent number U.S. 6,098,128 entitled Universal Storage Management System. Our claim is for injunctive relief, damages and legal costs arising from the alleged infringement.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

These actions were referred to a court appointed mediator with an initial mediation date in April 2002 and such mediation concluded without any mutually agreeable basis for settlement having been reached. A trial date was scheduled for November 10, 2003. However, on October 21, 2003 the Court ordered that all matters in this case are stayed pending the resolution of plaintiff's and defendants' motions for summary judgment. On March 12, 2004 the court issued a memorandum opinion granting the Veritas entities motion for summary judgment holding that there is no evidence that patents in question are infringed by the Veritas entities. To date the court has not entered a final judgment in the case.

On September 23, 2002, Veritas asserted a counter claim for patent infringement with respect to patent number U.S. 5,812,753 entitled "Methods for Initializing or Reconstructing Data Consistency Within an Array of Storage Elements." On November 18, 2003 the court issued an order staying all proceedings in the case until there is a final resolution of the reexamination, requested by the Company on September 23, 2003, of the patent by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. We believe that this claim is without merit and intend to vigorously defend this action. It is not possible at this time to predict either the timing of a final resolution or the reexamination of the patent or the likelihood or range of any potential outcome in the litigation.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

No matter was submitted to a vote of the Company's security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Our Common Stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "SOS".

The following table sets forth the range of the high and low sales prices for our Common Stock for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, as reported by the American Stock Exchange.

FISCAL 2003	High	Low
First Quarter	\$.62	\$.24
Second Quarter	1.08	.33
Third Quarter	.85	.35
Fourth Quarter	.61	.32
FISCAL 2002	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 7.95	\$ 5.10
Second Quarter	6.49	3.00
Third Quarter	4.09	.21
Fourth Quarter	.42	.17

On April 13, 2004, there were 456 record holders of our Common Stock. We believe the actual number of beneficial owners of the Common Stock is in excess of 5,000 holders because many of the shares of our Common Stock are held in custodial or nominee accounts for the benefit of persons other than the record holder.

We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future on our common stock.

During the first quarter of our fiscal year 2004, our common stock traded at prices ranging from a low sales price of \$.37 to a high sales price of \$.87.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following data, insofar as it relates to the three fiscal years 2001 through 2003 has been derived from the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere herein, including the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, and the related Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, and notes thereto. The data, insofar as it relates to the Consolidated Balance Sheet Data as of December 31, 2001, December 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations Data for the fiscal years 2000 and 1999, has been derived from our historical consolidated financial statements for such periods.

	Year ended December 31				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
	(in thousands, except for per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data					
Revenues:					
Products and services	\$ 1,045	\$ 2,372	\$ 6,548	\$ 6,506	\$ 10,526
License fees	0	3,000	2,500	0	0
Total revenues	1,045	5,372	9,048	6,506	10,526
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of products and services	1,447	4,079	5,546	6,525	6,303
Costs of license fees, primarily legal costs	792	3,717	2,311	0	0
Research and development	971	2,759	4,335	1,497	1,981
Selling and marketing	530	2,778	4,408	2,262	4,099
General and administrative	1,445	3,606	3,110	2,316	2,357
Amortization of intangibles	576	772	2,832	836	0
Impairment of goodwill	0	14,281	0	0	0
Impairment of identifiable intangible assets	0	976	0	0	0
Restructuring costs	0	0	0	800	0
Total costs and expenses	5,761	32,968	22,542	14,236	14,740
Operating loss	(4,716)	(27,596)	(13,494)	(7,730)	(4,214)
Other income (expense)	(33)	224	277	(430)	(562)
Loss before income taxes	(4,749)	(27,372)	(13,217)	(8,160)	(4,776)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	0	(200)	0	1,816	(200)
Net loss	(4,747)	(27,172)	(13,217)	(9,976)	(4,576)
Dividends on preferred stock including amortization of the beneficial conversion features	(18)	(636)	(7,709)	(10,075)	0
Loss applicable to common stockholders before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	(4,767)	(27,808)	(20,926)	(20,051)	(4,576)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	0	0	0	(810)	0

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$ (4,767)	\$ (27,808)	\$ (20,926)	\$ (20,861)	\$ (4,576)
Loss available to common stockholders before cumulative effect of change in accounting principal per basic and dilutive share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (1.32)	\$ (1.57)	\$ (0.40)
Net loss available to common stockholders per basic and dilutive share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (1.32)	\$ (1.64)	\$ (0.40)
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 73	\$ 2,681	\$ 5,628	\$ 14,852	\$ 1,182
Working capital (deficiency)	(1,567)	1,609	9,126	16,327	429
Total assets	5,773	9,874	33,710	45,118	14,229
Long-term obligations	0	0	328	1,489	1,060
Redeemable preferred stock	0	1,213	3,725	12,557	0
Stockholders equity	2,420	5,151	26,543	26,984	4,017

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 contain certain safe harbors regarding forward-looking statements. From time to time, information provided by the Company or statements made by our directors, officers or employees may contain forward-looking information subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Any statements made herein that are not statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements including, but not limited to, statements concerning the characteristics and growth of our markets and customers, our objectives and plans for future operations and products and our expected liquidity and capital resources. Such forward-looking statements are based on a number of assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties, and, accordingly, actual results could differ materially. Factors that may cause such differences include, but are not limited to: the continued and future acceptance of our products; the rate of growth in the industries of our products; the presence of competitors with greater technical, marketing and financial resources; our ability to promptly and effectively respond to technological change to meet evolving customer needs; risks associated with sales in foreign countries; and our ability to successfully expand our operations.

Introduction

This discussion summarizes the significant accounting policies, accounting estimates and other significant factors affecting the liquidity, capital resources and results of operations of the Company for the three-year period ended December 31, 2003. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 15 of this annual report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These accounting principles require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements. Our management is also required to make certain judgments that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We periodically evaluate our estimates including those relating to the allowance for doubtful accounts; inventory reserves for lower of cost or market adjustments, excess quantities and discontinued products; estimated lives and impairment of tangible and intangible long-life assets; restructuring costs; litigation and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable based on the specific circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies impact the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales at the time of shipment, provided that the price is fixed and determinable, no significant obligations remain, collectability is probable and returns are estimable. Revenue is recognized at the time of shipment since the terms of shipment are FOB shipping point and legal title to the equipment passes to the customer at this time. We consider post shipment obligations such as installation and training to be relatively insignificant given the underlying nature of the equipment and of its installation. Revenue from services is recognized over the contract period or as services are provided. Revenue from license fees is recognized over the contract period or when received for fully paid license agreements. These revenue accounting policies do not require significant estimates by management.

Impairment of Goodwill and Intangible Assets

All of our goodwill and other intangibles resulted from our acquisition of CyberStorage Systems in 2000 that was accounted for using the purchase method. In connection with the implementation of SFAS 141 and 142 as of January 1, 2002, we have ceased amortizing goodwill and determined that our entire business constitutes one reporting unit for purposes of assessing potential impairment of goodwill.

A fair value approach was used to test existing goodwill for impairment. The effective date of the fair value determinations for the initial impairment of goodwill was September 30, 2002 due to several triggering events including an unfavorable decision in patent litigation in the UK High Court, London, a decline in our stock price of approximately 76% during August 2002, and a reorganization of our management team to refocus our efforts on the Storage Wide Area Networking (SWAN) market during

Table of Contents

August 2002. The market approach was the method used to determine the fair value of the reporting unit. Under the fair value approach, the quoted market prices in active securities markets are used as the basis for the determination of fair value. The fair value used for measuring impairment indicated by our stock price was also supplemented by the valuations indicated by a portfolio of comparable publicly traded companies. This valuation approach resulted in the determination of a goodwill impairment charge of \$14,281,336 in accordance with SFAS No. 142 as of September 30, 2002.

Additionally, in accordance with SFAS No. 144, we evaluated the carrying values of our identifiable intangible assets based on the same triggering events discussed above. We determined impairment existed for the portion of intangible assets representing customer relationships acquired from CyberStorage Systems in 2000. Accordingly, an impairment of identifiable intangible assets in the amount of \$976,099 has been recorded as of September 30, 2002.

Our annual evaluation of goodwill required under SFAS No. 142 was conducted as of September 30, 2003. Consistent with the accounting policy adopted in 2002, we used a fair value approach to test our existing goodwill for impairment at September 30, 2003. The market approach was used to determine the fair value of the reporting unit. Under the fair value approach, the quoted market prices in active securities markets are used as the basis for the determination of fair value. The fair value so determined indicated no impairment of goodwill existed as of September 30, 2003. Additionally, in accordance with SFAS No. 144, we conducted an evaluation of the carrying values of our identifiable intangible assets and found no impairment existed at September 30, 2003.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for our stock-based compensation plans under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No.25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* , and accordingly account for employee stock-based compensation utilizing the intrinsic value method. SFAS No.123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, established a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation plans. We have adopted the disclosure only alternative under SFAS No.123, which requires disclosure of the pro forma effects on earnings and earnings per share as if SFAS No. 123 had been adopted as well as certain other information.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* an amendment of SFAS Statement No. 123 . This statement amends SFAS No. 123, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS No.148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No.123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. SFAS No.148 also requires that those effects be disclosed more prominently by specifying the form, content, and location of those disclosures. We have adopted the increased disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 148. We will continue to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation.

The additional disclosures required by SFAS No. 148 are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Net loss applicable to common stockholders, as reported	\$ (4,767,017)	\$ (27,807,803)	\$ (20,926,474)

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method of all awards	(2,421,415)	(2,468,151)	(3,492,507)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Pro forma net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$ (7,188,432)	\$ (30,275,954)	\$ (24,418,981)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net loss applicable to common stockholders per basic and dilutive shares:			
As reported	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (1.32)
Pro forma	\$ (0.20)	\$ (1.45)	\$ (1.54)

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We record an allowance for doubtful accounts based on specifically identified amounts that we believe to be uncollectible which are determined based on historical experience and our assessment of the general financial conditions affecting our customer base. If our actual collections experience changes, revisions to our allowance may be required. We have a limited number of customers with individually large amounts due at any given balance sheet date. Any unanticipated change in one of those

Table of Contents

customer's credit worthiness or other matters affecting the collectibility of amounts due from such customers, could have a material effect on our results of operations in the period in which such changes or events occur. After all attempts to collect a receivable have failed, the receivable is written off against the allowance.

Restructuring Costs

During 2000, we recorded significant restructuring accruals in connection with the integration of our acquisition of CyberStorage Systems into our existing business. These accruals included estimated costs to settle certain contractual obligations, personnel related costs for benefit programs and redundancy, and charges related to excess inventory quantities and parts for discontinued products. The majority of our estimates were based on reasonably determinable facts and circumstances and our actual costs incurred were consistent with our estimates. During the fourth quarter of 2001, additional reserves were provided for inventories related to discontinued products. In August 2002, we announced a realignment and refocus of our core business activity toward the Storage Wide Area Networking (SWAN) market segment. We have also temporarily closed our operations in Europe. Additional reserves were provided for excess inventory quantities and inventories of discontinued products because of these actions.

Intellectual Property Rights, Contingencies and Litigation

We have a substantial portfolio of patents, claims and other intellectual property rights. Costs and expenses in connection with the development of and the enforcement of our rights are expensed when incurred. Certain contingent fees for legal services are due upon the receipt of license fees over contract periods or upon receipt of payment for paid-up license arrangements. We currently are in legal proceedings in connection with the enforcement of our intellectual property rights the results of which cannot be predicted. Our failure to successfully enforce our patent rights could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

In the normal course of our business, we are subject to various other proceedings, lawsuits and claims relating to product, technology, labor and other matters. We are required to assess the likelihood of any adverse outcomes and the potential range of probable losses in these matters. The amount of loss accrual, if any, is determined after careful analysis of each matter, and is subject to adjustment if warranted by new developments or revised strategies. We believe that none of the existing matters will result in a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and negative cash flows that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern.

Management recognizes that the Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to allow the Company to satisfy its obligations on a timely basis. The generation of sufficient cash flow is dependent on the successful expansion of the Company's share of the market for its software, controlling costs and securing new financing. Management's efforts in regard to these matters are described below. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Our future success depends on maintaining adequate liquidity and working capital to meet our operational requirements. In October 2002, we received \$3 million from a license fee for our intellectual property. Despite this, revenues from products and services have fallen dramatically and there can be no assurances that we will be able to secure additional license fees of this magnitude or at all during the coming twelve months. Furthermore, given the continued volatility of the global securities markets and, in particular, the market for the securities of technology companies, as well as the recent results of our pending legal actions concerning enforcement of our intellectual property rights, we cannot assure you that we will be able to secure additional debt or equity financing. Our failure to maintain adequate liquidity and working capital would have a material adverse effect on the Company, our financial condition and results of operations.

We incurred operating losses through 2003 and we continue to incur operating losses at this time. While the development and introduction of our new products continues, our actual sales revenue has declined significantly over the last year and continues to be at a low level. In response, we have reduced our activity level in marketing, sales and administration and implemented cost reduction programs primarily in employee headcount, the use of independent software subcontractors and the level of expenses for development, travel and administration.

Our operating plan and related cash flow projections for 2003 were estimated by management anticipating only a base level of revenue from sales of our new products to new and existing customers and product upgrades, replacements parts and maintenance services from our existing customer base. We did not include any potential revenues from license fee activities. We initially projected our costs and expenses using our current level of operating expenses for our core business activity and only the minimum requirements for the defense of our intellectual property.

Table of Contents

Since our projected levels of revenues from products and services have not been achieved and additional financing has not been completed, management has implemented plans to further reduce the operating expense cash flow requirements to allow the Company to continue in business. On August 1, 2003, we implemented a permanent salary reduction plan, adjusted fringe benefit packages, and reduced headcount to further reduce costs. In August 2003 our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder, through an affiliate of the Company controlled by him, began advancing funds to supplement the Company's cash flow for operating expenses and occupancy costs until operating cash flows improve and permanent additional financing can be arranged. Originally such advances were unsecured, due upon demand and accrued interest at the affiliate's cost of funds. Subsequently, the Board of Directors, with the Chief Executive Officer abstaining, approved the Company formalizing the agreement with the affiliate in the form of a \$500,000 line of credit secured by all the assets of the Company with interest at the affiliate's cost of funds which is currently 21% and a one year term renewal by mutual agreement of the parties.

While the dilutive effect might be significant, the Company continues to receive inquiries from interested investors to provide equity financing using a variety of alternatives and the Company is pursuing these alternatives. Although there can be no assurances that we will obtain additional capital, management is committed to achieving or exceeding its operating plan for 2004 and intends to implement those additional cost reductions and improvements in cash flow necessary to achieve this success.

In July 2003, we engaged iCapital Finance, Inc. of Irvine, California as the Company's investment banking firm to assist us in structuring financing, providing access to capital resources, identifying candidates for marketing and distribution alliances, and to advise the Company on other strategic decisions. In September 2003 we established a sales representation relationship with Latin American Sales Solutions Consultants for our product offerings into the Latin American market. In April 2004 we signed a strategic alliance partnership agreement with MTC direct (Micro Technology Concepts, Inc.) MTC Direct will carry Storage Computer's CyberNAS software product offering in their portfolio for distribution and private labeling worldwide. We continue to explore and negotiate strategic alliance relationships to market our new products and who would also provide the Company financial support.

The Company received notification from the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) on April 29, 2003 that the Company was not in compliance with certain listing standards relating to stockholders' equity and net losses. In June 2003 the Company submitted a plan to AMEX setting forth a plan for compliance with the AMEX continuing listing standards. On July 28, 2003, AMEX notified the Company that it had accepted the proposed plan and granted an extension until October 31, 2004 to regain compliance. During such period, the Company's common stock will continue to trade on AMEX and the Company will be subject to periodic review of its progress consistent with its plan.

A delisting of our common stock from AMEX would materially reduce the liquidity of our common stock and result in a corresponding material reduction in the price of our common stock. In addition, any such delisting would materially adversely affect our access to the capital markets and the limited liquidity and reduced price of our common stock would materially adversely affect our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us or at all.

There can be no assurances that we will obtain additional capital or continue to receive funds from the affiliate of the Company as described above. If we are not successful in obtaining financing or other capital, the ability of the Company to continue operations at this level will be seriously impaired.

Cash flows

Our cash flows, cash and cash equivalents, and working capital for the two years ended December 31, 2003 are summarized as follows:

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

	<u>2003</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>2002</u>
Net cash used in operations	\$ (3,167)	\$ 2,398	\$ (5,565)
Net cash provided (used) in investing activities	(13)	(353)	340
Net cash provided in financing activities	572	(1,611)	2,183
Cash and cash equivalents	73	(2,608)	2,681
Working capital (deficiency)	(1,567)	(3,176)	1,609

The Company used cash \$3,167,000 to fund operations in 2003. The cash used in operating activities was principally the result from a net loss of \$4,749,000 off set by non-cash expenses of \$1,121,000 and a reduction in operating assets of \$462,000. Included in non-cash expenses is depreciation and amortization of \$781,000 and non-cash compensation of \$492,000. The major decrease in operating assets was inventory of \$641,000.

Table of Contents

Cash flows from financing activities in 2003 consisted primarily of a \$360,000 received under a line of credit from an affiliate controlled by our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder, \$254,000 from the sale of common stock and the exercise of stock options and warrants partially offset by \$42,000 in reduction of long term debt.

Credit Facilities, Debt and Lease Payment Commitments and Related Party Transactions:

We lease certain property and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases, including related party leases, which expire at various dates through 2005. Expenses for operating leases were \$344,711, \$443,198 and \$419,740 for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively.

Our annual debt maturities and lease payment under noncancelable operating leases are as follows:

	<u>Debt maturities</u>	<u>Lease payments</u>
2004	\$ 846,184	\$ 319,989
2005		314,154

Related Party Transactions

The Company has unsecured notes payable to the Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder of the Company in the amount of \$487,899 at December 31, 2003. The \$387,899 note bears interest at prime plus 1%, and \$100,000 note bears interest at 6% and is convertible into the Company's common stock at \$4.00 per share. Interest expense related to the obligation amounted to \$25,865 and \$29,181, for 2003 and 2002. This debt is due upon demand.

In August 2003 our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder, through an affiliate of the Company controlled by him, began advancing funds to supplement the Company's cash flow for operating expenses and occupancy costs until operating cash flows improve and permanent additional financing can be arranged. Originally such advances were unsecured, due upon demand and accrue interest at the affiliate's cost of funds. Subsequently, the Board of Directors, with the Chief Executive Officer abstaining, approved the Company formalizing the agreement with the affiliate in the form of a \$500,000 line of credit, of which \$358,285 was outstanding at December 31, 2003, secured by all the assets of the Company with interest at the affiliate's cost of funds which is currently 21 % and a one year term renewal by mutual agreement of the parties.

The Company leases plant and office facilities from an affiliated entity under a noncancelable, five-year, triple net operating lease agreement, which was entered into as of December 1, 2000. The lease provides for monthly rental payments of \$25,000 commencing in January 2001, and is increased annually based on a change in the consumer price index. The monthly rental payments for 2003 were \$25,992. Additionally, the lease provides for an additional five-year renewal option by the Company and contains no purchase option. Rent expense amounted to \$311,904, \$304,655 and \$300,000, respectively for the current and two prior years. Minimum future rental payments on this lease amount to \$311,904 annually for 2004 and 2005.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

John Thonet resigned his position as a director of the Company on April 30, 2003. At the time of his resignation, the Company owed Mr. Thonet a balance of \$177,101 plus accrued and unpaid interest on a note payable representing the balance of sums advanced by him to CyberStorage Systems that was acquired by the Company in 2000, and Mr. Thonet was due \$156,333 in accrued and unpaid salary by CyberStorage Systems. The Company also had a note receivable due from Mr. Thonet of \$44,729 plus accrued interest. On April 30, 2003, the Company and Mr. Thonet agreed to offset the note receivable and accrued interest against the note payable and accrued interest and Mr. Thonet agreed to accept a cash payment of \$40,000 in exchange for cancellation by him of the balance of the note payable. In addition, Mr. Thonet gave up any and all claims to accrued and unpaid salary and any other amounts due to him as of that date in exchange for the extension of the period for exercise of his vested stock options to purchase 62,500 shares of common stock until May 1, 2004. There was no charge to income related to the modification of the terms of this option since the exercise price was greater than the market value at that date.

In August 2001, the Company's Board of Directors approved the issuance of advances secured by demand notes receivable with interest at prime plus 1% to executive officers and directors of the Company in the aggregate amount of \$500,000 for the purpose of purchasing the common stock of the Company in the public market. Demand notes receivable and accrued interest totaling \$133,247 were outstanding at December 31, 2002. During 2003 \$130,150 of demand notes receivable from three directors were forgiven in recognition of previous service on the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Transactions

We do not currently utilize any derivative products to hedge our foreign currency risk. Our foreign subsidiaries' obligations to their parent are denominated in United States dollars. There is a potential for a foreign currency gain or loss based upon fluctuations between the United States dollar and our subsidiaries' functional currencies, currently the Eurodollar and the British pound.

Other than the intercompany balances noted above, we do not believe we have any material unhedged monetary assets, liabilities or commitments that are denominated in a currency other than the operations' functional currency.

Stock Option Repricing

On July 19, 2002, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repricing of employee options to purchase 2,610,110 shares of common stock from an exercise price ranging between \$1.44 and \$12.13 per share to an exercise price of \$1.37 per share, which represented the fair market value of our common stock on the date of the repricing. These options are subject to variable plan accounting, as defined in FASB Interpretation No. 44. There were no charges required during 2003 related to fluctuations in our stock price. As of December 31, 2003, 1,608,550 options to purchase shares of common stock are outstanding and subject to variable plan accounting.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS 141), and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142). SFAS 141 requires the use of the purchase method of accounting and prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS 141 also requires that the Company recognize acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill if they meet certain criteria. SFAS 141 applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and for purchase business combinations completed on or after July 1, 2001. The FASB also requires, upon adoption of SFAS 142 that the Company classify the carrying amounts of intangible assets and goodwill based on the criteria in SFAS 141.

SFAS 142 requires, among other things, that companies no longer amortize goodwill, but instead test goodwill for impairment at least annually. In addition, SFAS 142 requires that the Company identify reporting units for the purposes of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill, reassess the useful lives of other existing recognized intangible assets, and ceases amortization of intangible assets with an indefinite useful life. An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life should be tested for impairment in accordance with the guidance in SFAS 142. SFAS 142 is required to be applied in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized.

The Company's acquisition of CyberStorage Systems in 2000 was accounted for using the purchase method. All future business combinations will be accounted for under the purchase method, which may result in the recognition of goodwill and other intangible assets, some of which may subsequently be charged to operations, either by amortization or impairment charges. For purchase business combinations completed prior to June 30, 2001, the net carrying amount of goodwill was \$2,693,000 and other intangible assets were \$949,000 as of December 31, 2003. Amortization expense during the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$576,000. Aggregate amortization expense for other intangible assets is estimated to be \$576,000 and \$373,000 for 2004 and 2005, respectively. Measurement of impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in accordance with SFAS 142 are discussed on page 10 in this annual report.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

The Company did not acquire any goodwill or other intangible assets between the period June 30, 2001 and December 31, 2003.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of*, and APB Opinion No. 30, *Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions for the Disposal of a Segment of a Business*. SFAS No. 144 became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company reviews the carrying values of its long-lived and identifiable intangible assets for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may not be recoverable. During 2002, the Company recorded an impairment charge with respect to certain identified intangible assets as discussed on page 10 of this annual report.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 146, *Accounting for the Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*. This statement requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities only when liabilities for those costs are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS No. 146 also requires companies to initially measure liabilities for exit and disposal activities at their fair values. SFAS No. 146 replaces Emerging

Table of Contents

Issues Task Force (EITF) Issues No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring) and EITF No. 88-10, Costs Associated with Lease Modification or Termination. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of SFAS Statement No. 123. See further information on page 10 of the annual report.

During November 2002, the FASB issued FASB interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, (FIN 45) which is an interpretation of SFAS Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, and the disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of periods ending after December 15, 2002. This Interpretation addresses the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligation under guarantees, and also clarifies the requirements related to the recognition of a liability by a guarantee. Management does not believe the adoption of FIN 45 will have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company does not currently provide any third party guarantees.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46). In December 2003, FIN 46 was replaced by FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. FIN 46(R) clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statement, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46(R) requires an enterprise to consolidate a variable interest entity if that enterprise will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses, is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, or both. FIN 46(R) is effective for entities being evaluated under FIN 46(R) for consolidation no later than the end of the first reporting period that ends after March 15, 2004. Management does not believe the adoption of FIN 46 (R) will have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations, as the Company does not have any entities that would be covered.

On April 30, 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. The new guidance amends SFAS No. 133 for decisions made as part of the Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) process that effectively required amendments to SFAS No. 133, and decisions made in connection with other FASB projects dealing with financial instruments and in connection with implementation issues raised in relation to the application of the definition of a derivative and characteristics of a derivative that contains financing components. In addition, it clarifies when a derivative contains a financing component that warrants special reporting in the statement of cash flows. SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. Adoption of this standard did not have an impact in the Company's financial statements, as the Company does not currently have such instruments or activities.

In May 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 150 Accounting for Financial Instruments with the Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equities. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards regarding the manner in which an issuer classifies and measures certain types of financial instruments having characteristics of both liabilities and equity. Pursuant to SFAS No. 150, such freestanding financial instruments (i.e., those entered into separately from an entity's other financial instruments or equity transactions or that are legally detachable and separately exercisable) must be classified as liabilities or, in some case, assets. In addition, SFAS No. 150 requires that financial instruments containing obligations to repurchase the issuing entity's shares and, under certain circumstances, obligations that are settled by delivery of the issuer's shares be classified as liabilities. Certain provisions of SFAS No. 150 have been indefinitely deferred; however, the Statement is generally effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and for other instruments at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company does not have any financial instruments that will be impacted by SFAS No. 150. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

In December 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition (SAB 104), which provides guidance on the recognition, presentation and disclosure of revenue in financial statements filed with the SEC. SAB 104 revises or rescinds portions of the interpretive guidance that was previously issued in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements (SAB 101) that was issued in December 1999 in order to make this interpretive guidance consistent with current authoritative accounting and auditing guidance and SEC rules and regulations. The adoption of SAB 104 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table presents our consolidated statement of operations stated as a percentage of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>1999</u>
Revenues:					
Products and services	100.00%	44.15%	72.37%	100.00%	100.00%
License fees	0	55.85	27.63	0	0
Total revenues	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of products and services	138.51	75.93	61.30	100.30	59.88
Cost of license fees	75.74	69.19	25.53	0	0
Research and development	92.88	51.36	47.91	23.00	18.82
Selling and marketing	50.74	51.71	48.71	34.77	38.94
General and administrative	138.32	67.13	34.37	35.59	22.40
Amortization of intangibles	55.12	15.30	31.30	12.85	0
Impairment of goodwill	0	265.84	0	0	0
Impairment of identifiable intangible assets	0	18.17			
Restructuring costs	0	0	0	12.30	0
Total	551.31	614.63	249.12	218.81	140.04
Operating loss	(451.31)	(514.63)	(149.12)	(118.81)	(40.04)
Other income (expense)	(3.18)	4.17	3.06	(6.61)	(5.34)
Loss before income taxes	(454.49)	(510.46)	(146.06)	(125.42)	(45.38)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	0	(3.72)	0	27.92	(1.90)
Net loss	(454.49)%	(506.74)%	(146.06)%	(153.34)%	(43.48)%

Our operating results have fluctuated in the past and may in the future fluctuate significantly, depending upon a variety of factors. After the acquisition of CyberStorage Systems Corporation in September 2000, we commenced a corporate-wide restructuring, including the expansion of our North America sales territories to seven regions; the initiation of a plan to re-establish our re-seller sales channel; consolidation of our European sales, marketing and service organizations; and implemented strategic marketing initiatives and programs for product development and repositioning. Although we believed these actions and the introduction of our new products in 2002 would provide the revenue growth that would enable us to return to profitability, this has not materialized. Currently, the uncertain economic climate for the storage sector and technology products in general have required us to cut back our core business operations to preserve working capital. We have also temporarily closed our operations in Europe.

In 2001 and 2002, license fees as a percentage of revenue increased significantly as the result of two fully-paid up license agreements described more fully under Revenue below.

Revenue

Revenues from sales of products and services were \$1,045,038, \$2,372,110 and \$6,547,627 for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The reduction in revenue relates to continued concentration on the realignment and refocus of our core business activity toward the Storage Wide Area Networking (SWAN) market segment for our CyberNAS Network Attached Storage and CyberVSA Storage Operating Systems. .

There was no revenue from License fees in 2003. Revenues from license fees with respect to our patents and other intellectual properties began in 2001 with the settlement of our claims against XIOTech Corporation and its parent company, Seagate Technology, Inc. Pursuant to that settlement, we entered into a license agreement with XIOTech under which we agreed to grant to XIOTech a non-exclusive, fully paid up license in and to certain of our patents in return for a one-time royalty payment of \$2,500,000. During 2002, we entered into a non-exclusive patent license agreement with a subsidiary of EMC and received a \$3 million one-time royalty payment for a fully paid up, worldwide, non-exclusive, perpetual license for all of the licensee's products that may be subject to any claim in any of our patents. Because each of these license agreements are fully paid up, such arrangements will not provide a recurring source of future revenue.

Table of Contents

We currently are in legal proceedings in connection with the enforcement of our patents and other intellectual property rights, the results of which cannot be predicted. Our failure to successfully enforce our patent rights and receive revenue from license fees could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. See Item 3 of this report for discussion of the status of our patent litigation.

Revenues by geographic region expressed as a percentage of total revenues is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2003	Year Ended December 31, 2002	Year Ended December 31, 2001
North America	92%	83%	79%
Asia	4%	7%	10%
Europe	3%	10%	9%
Other Regions	1%	0%	2%
	100%	100%	100%

The shift in revenue from 2001 through 2003 resulted from a reduction in the European direct sales force and increased revenues from license fees in North America in 2001 and 2002.

For the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2001, product sales of \$459,778 and \$1,350,000 to one customer were in excess of 10% of revenue. In addition, a license fee of \$3,000,000 received from a subsidiary of EMC during the year ended December 31, 2002 and a license fee of \$2,500,000 received from XIOTech Corporation during the year ended December 31, 2001, each represented in excess of 10% of total consolidated revenues in those years.

All United States export sales are denominated in United States dollars to limit the amount of foreign currency risk.

Cost of Products and Services

Costs of products and services decreased \$2,632,000 and \$1,467,000 in 2003 and 2002 due to reductions in overhead costs, reduced manufacturing activity and additional staffing reductions. Included in costs of products and services were write-downs of inventory in the amount of \$1.0 million \$1.6 million and \$0.5 million in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, in connection with the restructuring of our business and discontinued product lines.

Gross Profit (Loss)

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Gross profit on the sale of products and services is summarized as follows:

	2003		2002		2001	
	(in thousands except for % of sales amounts)					
Gross profit (loss)	\$ (402)	-38.5%	\$ (1,707)	-31.8%	\$ 1,002	15.3%
Restructuring costs included in the cost of products and services	\$ 523	50.0%	\$ 1,645	30.6%	\$ 1,000	15.2%

Gross profits were reduced in 2003, 2002 and 2001 primarily due to provisions for restructuring costs associated with excess inventory quantities and inventories related to discontinued products.

Cost of License Fees

Costs associated with the enforcement of our patent and other intellectual property rights amounted to \$791,000, \$3,717,000 and 2,311,000 in 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. These costs relate to legal fees for counsel and consulting fees and expenses incurred associated with the enforcement of our patent and other intellectual property rights. Costs in 2002 and 2001 were greater than 2003 due to amounts incurred in the UK trial relating to our intellectual property.

Table of Contents

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses were \$970,589, \$2,758,981 and \$4,335,237 for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The decrease is primarily due to reductions in staffing and reduction in the use of outside contractors for projects.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Selling and marketing expenses were \$530,289, \$2,778,332 and \$4,407,735 for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The decrease is primarily as a result of a restructuring of our internal sales and marketing organization, utilization of value-added resellers and reduced headcount.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses were \$1,445,445, \$3,606,040 and \$3,110,418 for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The decrease in 2003 resulted from reductions in staff and other overhead costs. The increase in 2002 includes an increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts and increased legal fees relating to equity financing and other corporate matters.

Amortization of Intangibles

Goodwill and other intangible assets relate to the acquisition of CyberStorage Systems in September 2000. Amortization expense was \$576,000, \$771,714 and \$2,831,736 for 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The decrease in amortization expense relates to the impairment and related write down of other identifiable intangible assets on September 2002. Amortization of goodwill was eliminated in 2002 with the adoption of SFAS 142.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest income (expense), net was (\$46,685), \$62,812 and \$296,051 for 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The decrease is due primarily to a reduction in cash balances and related interest offset by interest on outstanding debt

Other income (expense), net was \$13,471, \$161,399, and (\$18,596). In 2003 other income primarily represents a gain on settlement of a note payable and accrued compensation due to a former officer and director. These gains were offset in part by the forgiveness of notes receivable from three directors and a provision for settlement of a claim. The total amount of notes from directors forgiven amounted to \$130,150. The increase in 2002 is due primarily to a gain on settlement of unsecured debt owed to a lender and translation adjustments in reporting for our foreign subsidiaries.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the Company was 0% for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001. No income tax benefit was recognized as the ability of the Company to use its net operating loss carryback is not assured. The tax benefit in 2002 of \$199,734 resulted from the receipt of a refund claim after an audit by the Internal Revenue Service and related adjustment of net operating losses and business tax credits in prior years.

Inflation

Inflation has not had a material impact on our operations.

Factors That May Affect Future Results

Our Stock Price is Volatile

Our stock price, like that of other technology companies, is subject to significant volatility because of factors such as:

the announcement of new products, services or technological innovations by us or our competitors

quarterly variations in our operating results

decreasing product and services revenues

speculation in the press or investment community

failure to meet earning expectations

the results of intellectual property litigation

In addition, our stock price may be affected by general market conditions, short selling activities, and domestic and international economic factors unrelated to our performance. Further, until recently, our stock was thinly traded. Because of these factors, any recent trends should not be considered reliable indicators of future stock prices or financial results.

Table of Contents

Our Business May Suffer If We Cannot Protect Our Intellectual Property

We generally rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws and contract rights in the United States and in other countries to establish and maintain our proprietary rights in our technology and products. However, we cannot assure you that any of our proprietary rights will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. See, for example, the recent rulings with respect to our claims against Hitachi Data Systems Limited and Veritas described under Item 3. Legal Proceedings. In addition, the laws of certain countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent, as do the laws of the United States. Therefore, we cannot assure you that we will be able to adequately protect our proprietary technology against unauthorized third party copying or use, which could adversely affect our competitive position. Further, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain licenses to any technology that it may require to conduct our business or that, if obtainable, such technology can be licensed at a reasonable cost. See Item 3 Litigation

Intellectual Property Rights

We are aggressively pursuing the enforcement of our intellectual property rights. Please refer to Item 3. Legal Proceedings for current information concerning intellectual property litigation. We intend to vigorously pursue these actions. Despite the Company's and our legal representatives' efforts, there can be no assurance or predictability as to any amount of recovery or the length of time it will take us to recover any royalties or license fees which may be recoverable. In addition, the expense of pursuing these rights is substantial. As a result, any failure to adequately protect our intellectual property rights and to prevail in any pending legal proceedings could have a material adverse effect on us. Despite our efforts to protect these intellectual property rights, unauthorized use may still occur, particularly in foreign countries.

Liquidity and Working Capital

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and negative cash flows that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern.

Management recognizes that the Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to allow the Company to satisfy its obligations on a timely basis. The generation of sufficient cash flow is dependent on the successful expansion of the Company's share of the market for its software, controlling costs and securing new financing. Management's efforts in regard to these matters are described below. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Our future success depends on maintaining adequate liquidity and working capital to meet our operational requirements. In October 2002, we received \$3 million from a license fee for our intellectual property. Despite this, revenues from products and services have fallen dramatically and there can be no assurances that we will be able to secure additional license fees of this magnitude or at all during the coming twelve months. Furthermore, given the continued volatility of the global securities markets and, in particular, the market for the securities of technology companies, as well as the recent results of our pending legal actions concerning enforcement of our intellectual property rights, we cannot assure you that we will be able to secure additional debt or equity financing. Our failure to maintain adequate liquidity and working capital would have a material adverse effect on the Company, our financial condition and results of operations.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

We incurred operating losses through 2003 and we continue to incur operating losses at this time. While the development and introduction of our new products continues, our actual sales revenue has declined significantly over the last year and continues to be at a low level. In response, we have reduced our activity level in marketing, sales and administration and implemented cost reduction programs primarily in employee headcount, the use of independent software subcontractors and the level of expenses for development, travel and administration.

Our operating plan and related cash flow projections for 2003 were estimated by management anticipating only a base level of revenue from sales of our new products to new and existing customers and product upgrades, replacements parts and maintenance services from our existing customer base. We did not include any potential revenues from license fee activities. We initially projected our costs and expenses using our current level of operating expenses for our core business activity and only the minimum requirements for the defense of our intellectual property.

Since our projected levels of revenues from products and services have not been achieved and additional financing has not been completed, management has implemented plans to further reduce the operating expense cash flow requirements to allow the Company to continue in business. On August 1, 2003, we implemented a permanent salary reduction plan, adjusted fringe benefit packages, and reduced headcount to further reduce costs. In August 2003 our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder, through an affiliate of the Company controlled by him, began advancing funds to supplement the Company's cash flow for operating expenses and occupancy costs until operating cash flows improve and permanent additional financing can be arranged. Originally such advances were unsecured, due upon demand and accrued interest at the affiliate's cost of funds. Subsequently,

Table of Contents

the Board of Directors, with the Chief Executive Officer abstaining, approved the Company formalizing the agreement with the affiliate in the form of a \$500,000 line of credit secured by all the assets of the Company with interest at the affiliate's cost of funds which is currently 21% and a one year term renewal by mutual agreement of the parties.

While the dilutive effect might be significant, the Company continues to receive inquiries from interested investors to provide equity financing using a variety of alternatives and the Company is pursuing these alternatives. Although there can be no assurances that we will obtain additional capital, management is committed to achieving or exceeding its operating plan for 2004 and intends to implement those additional cost reductions and improvements in cash flow necessary to achieve this success.

In July 2003, we engaged iCapital Finance, Inc. of Irvine, California as the Company's investment banking firm to assist us in structuring financing, providing access to capital resources, identifying candidates for marketing and distribution alliances, and to advise the Company on other strategic decisions. In September 2003 we established a sales representation relationship with Latin American Sales Solutions Consultants for our product offerings into the Latin American market. In April 2004 we signed a strategic alliance partnership agreement with MTC Direct (Micro Technology Concepts, Inc.) MTC Direct will carry Storage Computer's CyberNAS software product offering in their portfolio for distribution and private labeling worldwide. We continue to explore and negotiate strategic alliance relationships to market our new products and who would also provide the Company financial support.

The Company received notification from the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) on April 29, 2003 that the Company was not in compliance with certain listing standards relating to stockholders' equity and net losses. In June 2003 the Company submitted a plan to AMEX setting forth a plan for compliance with the AMEX continuing listing standards. On July 28, 2003, AMEX notified the Company that it had accepted the proposed plan and granted an extension until October 31, 2004 to regain compliance. During such period, the Company's common stock will continue to trade on AMEX and the Company will be subject to periodic review of its progress consistent with its plan.

A delisting of our common stock from AMEX would materially reduce the liquidity of our common stock and result in a corresponding material reduction in the price of our common stock. In addition, any such delisting would materially adversely affect our access to the capital markets and the limited liquidity and reduced price of our common stock would materially adversely affect our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us or at all.

There can be no assurances that our marketing efforts will be successful or that we will obtain additional capital or continue to receive funds from the affiliate of the Company as described above. If we are not successful in obtaining financing or other capital, the ability of the Company to continue operations at this level will be seriously impaired.

Development of New Products and Solutions

We must make continuous investment in research and development to maintain our ongoing effort to continually improve our products and provide innovative solutions to our customers. The development of software products is a difficult and costly process and subject to many other products' requirements and the availability of substantial capital. Our inability to timely deliver new products in the past has had an adverse effect on our operating and financial results. There can be no assurance that we will be able to effectively develop and timely deliver new products in the future.

Competition

We compete with many established companies in the computer storage and server industries and certain of these companies have substantially greater financial, marketing and technological resources, larger distribution capabilities, earlier access to customers and more opportunity to address customers' various information technology requirements than we do. Our business may be adversely affected by the announcement or introduction of new products by our competitors, including hardware, software and services, price reductions of our competitors' equipment or services and the implementation of effective marketing strategies by our competitors.

Table of Contents

Competitive pricing pressures exist in the computer storage and server markets and have had and may in the future have an adverse effect on our revenues and earnings. There also has been and may continue to be a willingness on the part of certain competitors to reduce prices in order to preserve or gain market share, which we cannot foresee. We currently believe that pricing pressures are likely to continue. The relative and varying rates of product price and component cost declines could have an adverse effect on our earnings.

Rapid Technological Changes

The computer industry is changing both dramatically and rapidly. The development of open systems computing, the introduction of the Internet, new fiber technologies (SAN) and the increasing storage density in disk drive technologies, have caused an increase in new product development and shorter time to bring the new products to market. While we believe that our Virtual Storage Architecture (VSA), StorageSuite and CyberBORG products are advanced when compared to competitive products, and complement many other products utilized in total customer solutions, we cannot assure that this will continue in the future. The failure to remain consistently ahead of competitive technologies would have a negative impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Business Alliances

Many companies are forming business alliances with their competitors to be able to provide totally integrated storage solutions to their customers. One result of these alliances is to effectively preclude competitive products from being offered to customers. Many of the relationships are exclusive and our failure to develop similar relationships will effectively reduce the number of qualified sales opportunities we will have for our products in the future. We believe that we address this issue by our return to the reseller channel sales model and having the integrator/solution providers/value added-resellers perform the solution selling required. We have had difficulty opening these sales channels and any continued problems in doing so will have a negative effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Workforce

Most companies in the high technology arena are under pressure to be able to acquire and retain the services of talented individuals. We have had a decline in revenue in each of the three previous years and comparable reduction in our work force. While we believe that we have the required core personnel to effectively manage and grow, we cannot assure that key employees will not leave the company in the future. The failure to maintain key employees could adversely affect our future operating and financial results.

Changes in Laws, Regulations Or Other Conditions That Could Adversely Impair Our Condition

Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected if any laws, regulations or standards, both foreign and domestic, relating to us or our products were newly implemented or changed.

Litigation That We May Become Involved In May Adversely Affect Us

In the ordinary course of business, we may become involved in litigation, administrative proceedings and governmental proceedings. Such matters can be time-consuming, divert management's attention and resources and cause us to incur significant expenses. Furthermore, we cannot assure you that the results of any of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We have the market risk inherent in financial instruments that relates primarily to fluctuations in the prime rate of interest to be charged under the terms of the several promissory notes due from and to certain of our executive officers and directors.

Our foreign subsidiaries' obligations to us are denominated in U.S. dollars. There is a potential for a foreign currency gain or loss based upon fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and our subsidiaries' functional currencies, currently the British pound and Eurodollar. This exposure is limited to the period between the time of accrual of such liability to us in our subsidiaries' functional currency and the time of their payment to us in U.S. dollars. This exposure is further reduced with the temporary closing of operations of our European facilities late in 2002.

Other than the inter-company balances noted above, we do not believe we have material unhedged monetary assets, liabilities or commitments that are denominated in a currency other than the operations' functional currencies.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statement data listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements at Item 15 of this Form 10-K are incorporated by reference into this Item 8 of Part II of this Form 10-K.

The following supplementary data is incorporated by reference:

Quarterly Financial Results (unaudited) for the two years ended December 31, 2003 (see Note M of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Form 10-K).

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

After discussions between our management and BDO Seidman L.L.P. (BDO) it was mutually agreed on March 19, 2004 that BDO would no longer serve as our independent auditor. The decision was approved by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

BDO's reports on the financial statements for our most recent two fiscal years ended December 2001 and 2002 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During our two most recent fiscal years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002, and through March 26, 2004, there were no disagreements with BDO on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope and procedure, which disagreement, if not resolved to the satisfaction of BDO, would have caused BDO to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement(s) in connection with its report. None of the reportable events as defined in paragraph (A) through (D) of Item 304(a)(1)(v) of regulation S-K occurred during our two most recent fiscal years ended December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2002, and through March 26, 2004.

We have provided BDO with a copy of the above disclosure as it appeared in our Form 8K filing. A copy of BDO's letter dated March 25, 2004 stating its agreement with the above is filed in our Form 8K filing as exhibit 16.1.

We have engaged Sullivan Bille PC (Sullivan Bille) as our new independent auditor, effective March 19, 2004. The decision to engage Sullivan Bille was recommended by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors and was approved by our Board of Directors.

During our two most recent fiscal years ended December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2002, and the subsequent interim period commencing December 31, 2002 we did not consult with Sullivan Bille regarding any matters or events set forth in Item 304 (a)(2)(i) and (ii) of regulation S-K.

ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

- (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures: Under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)), and have concluded that, as of December 31, 2003, our disclosure constols and procedures were effective

- (b) Changes in internal controls over financial reporting: In connection with the evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting (required by paragraph (d) of Exchange Act Rule 13a-15), we have made no changes in, nor taken any corrective actions regarding, our internal controls over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2003, that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. *DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which the Company intends to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 11. *EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which the Company intends to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 12. *SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which the Company intends to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 13. *CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which the Company intends to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 14. *PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which the Company intends to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) The following documents are included as part of the report:

(1) Consolidated Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements and the reports of the independent auditors are filed as part of this report:

(1) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Reports of Independent Auditors</u>	F-1,F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7 to F-22
(2) Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules	
None	
(3) Exhibits	

(b) Report on Form 8K

On November 17, 2003, Storage Computer Corporation filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 17, 2003 for the purpose of filing under Item 12 (Disclosure of Results of Operation and Financial Condition) with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit thereto. Computer Storage's press release dated November 17, 2003, announcing its financial results for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.

Table of Contents

Certain of the exhibits listed hereunder have been previously filed with the Commission as exhibits to certain prior registration statements and periodic reports as indicated below.

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form S-4, Registration No. 33-87028, as filed on December 2, 1994).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form S-4, Registration No. 33-87028, as filed on December 2, 1994).
- 3.3 Amended Certificate of Designation establishing Series A 8% Convertible Preferred 3.2 Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on October 6, 2000).
- 3.4 Certificate of Designation establishing Series B 8% Convertible Preferred Stock 3.3 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on October 6, 2000).
- 3.5 Certificate of Designation establishing Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter period ended September 30, 2000).
- 3.6 Certificate of Designation establishing Series E Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on August 22, 2001).
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation dated August 15, 2001 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002).
- 3.8 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation dated February 24, 1995 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 on the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002).
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-4, Registration No. 33-87028, as filed on December 2, 1994).
- 4.2 Restated and Amended Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8, Registration No. 333-31207, as filed on July 14, 1997).
- 4.3 Form of Restated and Amended Stock Incentive Plan, Stock Award (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-8, Registration No. 333-31207, as filed on July 14, 1997).
- 4.4 The Company's 401(k) Savings Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8, Registration No. 333-48987, as filed on March 31, 1998).
- 4.5 1999 Amended Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8, as filed on August 16, 2000).
- 4.6 The 2000 Stock Option Plan of CyberStorage Systems Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-8, Registration No. 333-69536, as filed on September 18, 2001).
- 4.7 Form of Common Stock Warrant associated with Series A Preferred Stock, together with Schedule of Warrants (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's 2000 Form 10-K, as filed on April 16, 2001).
- 4.8 Common Stock Warrant associated with Series B Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2000).
- 4.9 Common Stock Warrant associated with Series C Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2000).
- 4.10 Form of Common Stock Warrant issued to Citizens Financial Group, Inc., together with Schedule of Warrants (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 of the Company's 2000 Form 10-K, as filed on April 16, 2001).
- 4.11 Common Stock Warrant associated with Series E Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Report on Form 8-K filed August 22, 2001).

Table of Contents

4.12	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of May 2, 2002 by and among the Company and the purchasers named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002).
4.13	Form of Common Stock Warrant to purchase 260,000 shares of common stock dated May 3, 2002 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002).
4.14	Storage Computer Corporation 2002 Short-Term Deferred Compensation Plan, Storage Computer Corporation 2002 Nonqualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan dated July 18, 2002 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form S-8 filed July 19, 2002).
4.15	Storage Computer Corporation Amended and Restated 2002 Short-Term Deferred Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 of the Company's 2002 Form 10-K as filed on March 31, 2003)
10.1	Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$710,000 dated December 6, 1997 made Payable to Theodore J. Goodlander (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's 1999 Form 10-K, as filed on April 14, 2000).
10.2	Lease Agreement between Kristiania Corporation and Storage Computer Corporation dated December 1, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's 2000 Form 10-K, as filed on April 13, 2001).
10.3	Executive Employment Agreement effective September 16, 2000 between John Thonet and the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's 2000 Form 10-K, as filed on April 13, 2001).
10.4	Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of May 2, 2002 by and among Storage Computer Corporation and the purchasers named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002).
10.5	Settlement Agreement and License dated October 14, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's 2002 Form 10-K as filed on March 31, 2003)
10.6	Non-Exclusive Patent License Agreement dated as of October 30, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's 2002 Form 10-K as filed on March 31, 2003)
21	Subsidiaries of the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 of the Company's 2001 Form 10-K, as filed on April 12, 2002).
23.1	Consent of Sullivan Bille, P.C.
23.2	Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP.
24	Power of Attorney (Included in Signature page hereto).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 adapted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Company has requested confidential treatment of the redacted portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and has separately filed a complete copy of this exhibit with the SEC.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

None.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Nashua, New Hampshire, on the 14 day of April 2004.

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

By: /s/ THEODORE J. GOODLANDER

Theodore J. Goodlander

Chairman of the Board of Directors, President, CEO

(Principal Executive Officer)

The undersigned directors and officers of Storage Computer Corporation hereby severally constitute and appoint Theodore J. Goodlander as our true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent with full power of substitution, and acting alone to execute in our name and behalf in the capacities indicated below any and all amendments to this annual report to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and hereby ratify and confirm all that such attorney-in-fact and agent shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in their capacities and on the date indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ THEODORE J. GOODLANDER</u> Theodore J. Goodlander	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and CEO (Principal Executive Officer)	April 14, 2004
<u>/s/ MICHAEL J. O DONNELL</u> Michael J. O Donnell	Treasurer and CFO (Principal Financial Officer)	April 14, 2004
<u>/s/ EDWARD A. GARDNER</u> Edward A. Gardner	Director	April 14, 2004
<u>/s/ STEVE CHEN</u> Dr. Steve Chen	Director	April 14, 2004
<u>/s/ ROGER E. GAULD</u> Roger E. Gauld	Director	April 14, 2004

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

/s/ THOMAS A. WOOTERS

Director

April 14, 2004

Thomas A. Wooters

27

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

-INDEX-

	Page Number
<u>Reports of Independent Auditors</u>	F-1, F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7 to F-22

Table of Contents

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT

To the Board of Directors of
Storage Computer Corporation
Nashua, New Hampshire 03062

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Storage Computer Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Storage Computer Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note A to the consolidated financial statements, the Company's recurring losses and negative cash flows from operations raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding these matters are also described in Note A. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Sullivan Bille, P.C.

March 30, 2004

Tewksbury, Massachusetts

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors

Storage Computer Corporation

Nashua, New Hampshire 03062

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Storage Computer Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Storage Computer Corporation and subsidiaries at December 31, 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2002 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

BDO Seidman, LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

February 21, 2003

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 72,581	\$ 2,680,599
Accounts receivable, net	135,730	192,882
Inventories	1,390,291	2,031,679
Due from officers and directors		133,247
Other current assets	187,247	80,484
Total current assets	1,785,849	5,118,891
Property and equipment, net	345,709	537,313
Goodwill	2,692,611	2,692,611
Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,930,943 in 2003 and \$1,354,923 in 2002	949,057	1,525,057
Total assets	\$ 5,773,226	\$ 9,873,872
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 565,182	\$ 472,667
Accrued expenses	1,808,044	2,169,952
Deferred revenue	133,538	202,366
Notes payable	846,184	665,000
Total current liabilities	3,352,948	3,509,985
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable convertible preferred stock		1,212,618
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; shares outstanding: 0 in 2003 and 10,225 in 2002 all of which are included in redeemable convertible preferred stock		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; shares outstanding: 38,650,060 in 2003 and 25,751,659 in 2002	38,650	25,752
Additional paid-in capital	85,612,531	83,589,403
Accumulated deficit	(83,230,903)	(78,463,886)
Stockholders' equity, net	2,420,278	5,151,269
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,773,226	\$ 9,873,872

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Revenues:			
Products and services	\$ 1,045,038	\$ 2,372,110	\$ 6,547,627
License fees		3,000,000	2,500,000
Total revenues	1,045,038	5,372,110	9,047,627
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of products and services	1,447,449	4,079,066	5,546,119
Cost of license fees, primarily legal fees	791,474	3,716,618	2,310,650
Research and development	970,589	2,758,981	4,335,237
Selling and marketing	530,289	2,778,332	4,407,735
General and administrative	1,445,445	3,606,040	3,110,418
Amortization of intangibles	576,000	771,714	2,831,736
Impairment of goodwill		14,281,336	
Impairment of identifiable intangible assets		976,099	
Total costs and expenses	5,761,246	32,968,186	22,541,895
Operating loss	(4,716,208)	(27,596,076)	(13,494,268)
Other income (expense), net:			
Interest income (expense), net	(46,685)	62,812	296,051
Other income (expense)	13,471	161,399	(18,596)
Total other income (expense)	(33,214)	224,211	277,455
Loss before benefit for income taxes	(4,749,422)	(27,371,865)	(13,216,813)
Benefit for income taxes		(199,734)	
Net loss	(4,749,422)	(27,172,131)	(13,216,813)
Dividends on preferred stock including amortization of the beneficial conversion features	(17,595)	(635,672)	(7,709,661)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$ (4,767,017)	\$ (27,807,803)	\$ (20,926,474)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders per basic and dilutive share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (1.32)
Basic and dilutive shares	36,300,932	20,875,902	15,891,223

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit
	Shares	Carrying Value	Shares	Par Value		
Balance December 31, 2000			15,041,882	\$ 15,042	\$ 57,792,635	\$ (30,823,592)
Exercise of stock options			63,611	64	89,400	
Stock issued to 401(k) plan			11,768	12	63,297	
Stock options issued in lieu of compensation for services					1,086,973	
Issuance of Series E convertible preferred stock with a beneficial conversion feature of \$3,112,672 and related warrants, net of issuance costs of \$169,783	5,000	\$ 2,026,217			5,916,672	(3,112,672)
Redemption of redeemable convertible preferred stock					(1,093,983)	
Conversion of convertible preferred shares into common shares and related accrued dividends paid in common shares	(2,500)	(1,095,714)	3,736,240	3,736	8,891,796	
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature of preferred stock		247,816			2,113,711	(2,361,527)
Dividends on preferred stock			281,272	281	1,141,198	(1,141,479)
Net loss						(13,216,813)
Balance December 31, 2001	2,500	1,178,319	19,134,773	19,135	76,001,699	(50,656,083)
Exercise of stock options and deferred compensation stock purchases			178,878	179	238,980	
Stock issued to 401(k) plan			51,026	51	71,510	
Sale of common stock to institutional investors, net of costs			600,000	600	2,663,019	
Conversion of convertible preferred stock into common shares and related accrued dividends paid in common shares	(2,500)	(2,500,000)	4,838,680	4,839	5,370,162	
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature of preferred stock		1,321,681			(1,299,007)	(385,277)
Dividends on preferred stock, including dividends paid on conversion of redeemable convertible preferred stock			948,302	948	543,040	(250,395)
Net loss						(27,172,131)
Balance December 31, 2002			25,751,659	25,752	83,589,403	(78,463,886)
Exercise of stock options and deferred compensation stock purchases			2,096,879	2,096	489,539	
Stock issued to 401(k) plan			52,525	53	20,242	

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Stock issued and compensatory stock options granted for investment banking and consulting services, respectively	401,000	401	253,778			
Conversion of convertible preferred stock into common shares and related accrued dividends paid in common shares	9,959,697	9960	1,215,040			
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature on preferred stock				(12,382)		
Dividends on preferred stock, including dividends paid on conversion of redeemable convertible preferred stock	388,300	388	44,529	(5,213)		
Net loss				(4,749,422)		
Balance December 31, 2003						
		\$	38,650,060	\$ 38,650	\$ 85,612,531	\$ (83,230,903)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (4,749,422)	\$ (27,172,131)	\$ (13,216,813)
Reconciliation to operating cash flows:			
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	205,005	430,396	544,856
Amortization of goodwill and other intangibles	576,000	771,714	2,831,736
Stock issued to 401(k) plan	20,295	71,561	63,309
Impairment of goodwill		14,281,336	
Impairment of identifiable intangible assets		976,099	
Provision for restructuring costs		1,645,010	1,000,000
Provision for bad debts	9,432	805,944	(5,161)
Non-cash settlement of debt	(311,891)		
Non-cash settlement of due from officers and directors	130,150		
Non-cash compensation for services	491,971		1,086,973
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	47,720	645,540	(791,376)
Inventories	641,388	(44,040)	(473,231)
Due from officers and directors	50,001	994,687	(1,126,178)
Other current assets	(106,763)	719,046	(400,228)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(170,633)	309,888	(1,017,576)
Net cash used in operations	(3,166,747)	(5,564,950)	(11,503,689)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(13,401)	(54,437)	(232,375)
Other assets		394,902	(150,862)
CyberStorage acquisition credits			238,890
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(13,401)	340,465	(144,347)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Advances from officers and affiliates	360,000		
Reduction of notes payable	(41,715)	(720,104)	(329,724)
Net proceeds from sale of common stock and the exercised stock options and warrants	253,845	2,902,778	89,864
Issuance of preferred stock			4,830,217
Redemption of preferred stock			(2,144,402)
Net cash provided by financing activities	572,130	2,182,674	2,445,955
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		94,555	(22,323)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,608,018)	(2,947,256)	(9,224,404)

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	2,680,599	5,627,855	14,852,259
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	\$ 72,581	\$ 2,680,599	\$ 5,627,855
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash payments of interest	\$ 6,348	\$ 64,338	\$ 88,649
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Conversion of preferred stock into common stock	\$ 1,225,000	\$ 2,875,001	\$ 7,799,818
Preferred stock dividends paid in common stock	\$ 44,917	\$ 543,988	\$ 1,141,479

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note A *Significant Accounting Policies*

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, CyberStorage System Corporation, Storage Computer Europe GmbH, Vermont Research Products, Inc., Storage Computer UK Ltd., and Storage Computer France, SAS. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company also has 20% ownership of Storage Computer (Asia) Ltd., which is accounted for by the equity method.

Liquidity Matters

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and negative cash flows that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern.

Management recognizes that the Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to allow the Company to satisfy its obligations on a timely basis. The generation of sufficient cash flow is dependent on the successful expansion of the Company's share of the market for its software, controlling costs and securing new financing. Management's efforts in regard to these matters are described below. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Our future success depends on maintaining adequate liquidity and working capital to meet our operational requirements. In October 2002, we received \$3 million from a license fee for our intellectual property. Despite this, revenues from products and services have fallen dramatically and there can be no assurances that we will be able to secure additional license fees of this magnitude or at all during the coming twelve months. Furthermore, given the continued volatility of the global securities markets and, in particular, the market for the securities of technology companies, as well as the recent results of our pending legal actions concerning enforcement of our intellectual property rights, we cannot assure you that we will be able to secure additional debt or equity financing. Our failure to maintain adequate liquidity and working capital would have a material adverse effect on the Company, our financial condition and results of operations.

We incurred operating losses through 2003 and we continue to incur operating losses at this time. While the development and introduction of our new products continues, our actual sales revenue has declined significantly over the last year and continues to be at a low level. In response, we have reduced our activity level in marketing, sales and administration and implemented cost reduction programs primarily in employee headcount, the use of independent software subcontractors and the level of expenses for development, travel and administration.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Our operating plan and related cash flow projections for 2003 were estimated by management anticipating only a base level of revenue from sales of our new products to new and existing customers and product upgrades, replacements parts and maintenance services from our existing customer base. We did not include any potential revenues from license fee activities. We initially projected our costs and expenses using our current level of operating expenses for our core business activity and only the minimum requirements for the defense of our intellectual property.

Since our projected levels of revenues from products and services have not been achieved and additional financing has not been completed, management has implemented plans to further reduce the operating expense cash flow requirements to allow the Company to continue in business. On August 1, 2003, we implemented a permanent salary reduction plan, adjusted fringe benefit packages, and reduced headcount to further reduce costs. In August 2003 our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder, through an affiliate of the Company controlled by him, began advancing funds to supplement the Company's cash flow for operating expenses and occupancy costs until operating cash flows improve and permanent additional financing can be arranged. Originally such advances were unsecured, due upon demand and accrued interest at the affiliate's cost of funds. Subsequently, the Board of Directors, with the Chief Executive Officer abstaining, approved the Company formalizing the agreement with the affiliate in the form of a \$500,000 line of credit secured by all the assets of the Company with interest at the affiliate's cost of funds which is currently 21 % and a one year term renewal by mutual agreement of the parties.

While the dilutive effect might be significant, the Company continues to receive inquiries from interested investors to provide equity financing using a variety of alternatives and the Company is pursuing these alternatives. Although there can be no assurances that we will obtain additional capital, management is committed to achieving or exceeding its operating plan for 2004 and intends to implement those additional cost reductions and improvements in cash flow necessary to achieve this success.

F-7

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In July 2003, we engaged iCapital Finance, Inc. of Irvine, California as the Company's investment banking firm to assist us in structuring financing, providing access to capital resources, identifying candidates for marketing and distribution alliances, and to advise the Company on other strategic decisions. In September 2003 we established a sales representation relationship with Latin American Sales Solutions Consultants for our product offerings into the Latin American market. In April 2004 we signed a strategic alliance partnership agreement with MTC direct (Micro Technology Concepts, Inc.) MTC Direct will carry Storage Computer's CyberNAS software product offering in their portfolio for distribution and private labeling worldwide. We continue to explore and negotiate strategic alliance relationships to market our new products and who would also provide the Company financial support.

The Company received notification from the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) on April 29, 2003 that the Company was not in compliance with certain listing standards relating to stockholders' equity and net losses. In June 2003 the Company submitted a plan to AMEX setting forth a plan for compliance with the AMEX continuing listing standards. On July 28, 2003, AMEX notified the Company that it had accepted the proposed plan and granted an extension until October 31, 2004 to regain compliance. During such period, the Company's common stock will continue to trade on AMEX and the Company will be subject to periodic review of its progress consistent with its plan.

A delisting of our common stock from AMEX would materially reduce the liquidity of our common stock and result in a corresponding material reduction in the price of our common stock. In addition, any such delisting would materially adversely affect our access to the capital markets and the limited liquidity and reduced price of our common stock would materially adversely affect our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us or at all.

There can be no assurances that our marketing efforts will be successful or that we will obtain additional capital or continue to receive funds from the affiliate of the Company as described above. If we are not successful in obtaining financing or other capital, the ability of the Company to continue operations at this level will be seriously impaired.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less, to be cash equivalents.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (average cost method) or market.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of property and equipment is computed utilizing the straight-line and accelerated methods for financial reporting and accelerated method for tax reporting, over a period not to exceed 15 years.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets result from the excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net assets acquired in the purchase of CyberStorage Systems. Identified intangible assets are being amortized over 5 to 7 years. In years prior to 2002, goodwill was being amortized over a 10 year period. See further information under Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash, accounts receivable, other current assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses and non-related party long-term debt approximate their fair values for all periods presented because of the short maturity of those instruments.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales at the time of shipment, provided that the price is fixed and determinable, no significant obligations remain, collectibility is probable and returns are estimable. Shipment terms are FOB shipping point and legal title to the equipment passes to the customer at this time. Post shipment obligations such as installation and training are considered relatively insignificant given the underlying nature of the equipment and of its installation. Revenue from services is recognized over the contracted period or as the services are provided. Revenue from license fees is recognized over the contract period or when received for fully paid license agreements. These revenue accounting policies do not require significant estimates by management.

Costs of License Fees

Costs incurred in connection with pursuing the Company's patent and intellectual property rights consist of legal fees, the value of options granted, professional consultant fees, travel and related expenses.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Foreign Currency Translations

The functional currency for the Company's foreign operations is the U.S. dollar. The translation from the applicable foreign currencies to U.S. dollars is performed for all monetary assets and liabilities using current exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date; for non-monetary assets and liabilities using historical rates; and for revenue and expense accounts using a weighted average exchange rate during the period. The resulting translation adjustments and gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions, which were not material, are included in the

Company's statement of operations.

Income Taxes

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (SFAS 109) Accounting for Income Taxes . Under the asset and liability method of SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax benefits and consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases.

Per Share Data

The Company calculates per share data in accordance with SFAS No. 128 (SFAS 128), Earnings per Share . Basic earnings per share is determined utilizing only the weighted average of outstanding common shares. The effect of potentially dilutive options, warrants and convertible securities is included in the calculation of diluted per share data. Options, warrants and convertible securities have not been considered since their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No.25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , and accordingly account for employee stock-based compensation utilizing the intrinsic value method. SFAS No.123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, (SFAS 123) established a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation plans. We have adopted the disclosure only alternative under SFAS 123, which requires disclosure of the pro forma effects on earnings and earnings per share as if SFAS 123 had been adopted as well as certain other information.

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of SFAS Statement No. 123 (SFAS 148). This statement amends SFAS 123 to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. SFAS 148 also requires that those effects be disclosed more prominently by specifying the form, content, and location of those disclosures. We have adopted the increased disclosure requirements of SFAS 148 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. We continue to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation.

The additional disclosures required by SFAS 148 are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Net loss applicable to common stockholders, as reported	\$ (4,767,017)	\$ (27,807,803)	\$ (20,926,474)
Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method of all awards	(2,421,415)	(2,468,151)	(3,492,507)
Pro forma net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$ (7,188,432)	\$ (30,275,954)	\$ (24,418,981)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders per basic and dilutive shares:			
As reported	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (1.32)
Pro forma	\$ (0.20)	\$ (1.45)	\$ (1.54)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the FASB issued statements No. 141, (SFAS 141) Business Combinations, and No. 142 (SFAS 142), Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. SFAS 141 requires the use of the purchase method of accounting and prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS 141 also requires that the Company recognize acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill if they meet certain criteria. SFAS 141 applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and for purchase business combinations completed on or after July 1, 2001. The FASB also requires, upon adoption of SFAS 142 that the Company classify the carrying amounts of intangible assets and goodwill based on the criteria in SFAS 141. Our accounting for the acquisition of Cyberstorage Systems in 2000 described in Note C complies with these provisions of SFAS 141 and 142.

SFAS 142 requires, among other things, that companies no longer amortize goodwill, but instead test goodwill for impairment at least annually. In addition, SFAS 142 requires that the Company identify reporting units for the purposes of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill, reassess the useful lives of other existing recognized intangible assets, and ceases amortization of intangible assets with an indefinite useful life. An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life should be tested for impairment in accordance with the guidance in SFAS 142. SFAS 142 is required to be applied in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

A fair value approach was used to test existing goodwill for impairment. The effective date of the fair value determinations for the impairment of goodwill was September 30, 2002 due to several triggering events including an unfavorable decision in patent litigation in the UK High Court, London, a decline in our stock price of approximately 76% during August 2002, and a reorganization of our management team to refocus our efforts on the Storage Wide Area Networking market during August 2002. The market approach was the method used to determine the fair value of the reporting unit. Under this approach, the quoted market prices in active markets are used as the basis for the measurement of impairment. The valuation for purposes of measuring impairment indicated by our stock price was also supplemented by the valuation indicated by a portfolio of comparable publicly traded companies. The above valuation resulted in an impairment of \$14,281,336 of the \$16,973,947 of goodwill in accordance with SFAS 142 as of September 30, 2002.

F-10

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Our annual evaluation of goodwill required under SFAS No. 142 was conducted as of September 30, 2003. Consistent with the accounting policy adopted in 2002, we used a fair value approach to test our existing goodwill for impairment at September 30, 2003. The market approach was used to determine the fair value of the reporting unit. Under the fair value approach, the quoted market prices in active securities markets are used as the basis for the determination of fair value. The fair value so determined indicated no impairment of goodwill existed as of September 30, 2003. Additionally, in accordance with SFAS No. 144, we conducted an evaluation of the carrying values of our identifiable intangible assets and found no impairment existed at September 30, 2003.

The Company's previous business combination was accounted for using the purchase method. Any future business combinations will be accounted for under the purchase method, which may result in the recognition of goodwill and other intangible assets, some of which may subsequently be charged to operations, either by amortization or impairment charges. For purchase business combinations completed prior to June 30, 2001, the net carrying amount of goodwill was \$2,692,611 and other intangible assets were \$949,057 as of December 31, 2003. Amortization expense during the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 was \$576,000 and \$771,714, respectively. Aggregate amortization expense for other intangible assets is estimated to be \$576,000 and \$370,000 for 2004 and 2005, respectively.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144 (SFAS 144), Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of, and APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions for the Disposal of a Segment of a Business. SFAS 144 became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company reviews the carrying values of its long-lived and identifiable intangible assets for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may not be recoverable. In accordance with SFAS 144, we evaluated the carrying values of our identifiable intangible assets based on the same triggering events discussed above. We determined an impairment existed for the portion of intangible assets representing customer relationships acquired from CyberStorage Systems in 2000. Accordingly, an impairment of identifiable intangible assets in the amount of \$976,099 has been recorded as of September 30, 2002.

The Company does not have any long-lived assets held for disposal.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, (SFAS 146) Accounting for the Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. This statement requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities only when liabilities for those costs are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS 146 also requires companies to initially measure liabilities for exit and disposal activities at their fair values. SFAS 146 replaces Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issues No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring) and EITF No. 88-10, Costs Associated with Lease Modification or Termination. The provisions of SFAS 146 are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123. See further information on page F-8 of this annual report.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

During November 2002, the FASB issued FASB interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*, (FIN 45) which is an interpretation of SFAS Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, and the disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of periods ending after December 15, 2002. This Interpretation addresses the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligation under guarantees, and also clarifies the requirements related to the recognition of a liability by a guarantor. Management does not believe the adoption of FIN 45 will have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company does not currently provide any third party guarantees.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN 46). In December 2003, FIN 46 was replaced by FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. FIN 46(R)

F-11

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statement, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46(R) requires an enterprise to consolidate a variable interest entity if that enterprise will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses, is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, or both. FIN 46(R) is effective for entities being evaluated under FIN 46(R) for consolidation no later than the end of the first reporting period that ends after March 15, 2004. Management does not believe the adoption of FIN 46 (R) will have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations, as the Company does not have any entities under FIN 46.

On April 30, 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. The new guidance amends SFAS No. 133 for decisions made as part of the Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) process that effectively required amendments to SFAS No. 133, and decisions made in connection with other FASB projects dealing with financial instruments and in connection with implementation issues raised in relation to the application of the definition of a derivative and characteristics of a derivative that contains financing components. In addition, it clarifies when a derivative contains a financing component that warrants special reporting in the statement of cash flows. SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. Adoption of this standard did not have an impact in the Company's financial statements, as the Company does not currently have such instruments or activities.

In May 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 150 Accounting for Financial Instruments with the Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equities. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards regarding the manner in which an issuer classifies and measures certain types of financial instruments having characteristics of both liabilities and equity. Pursuant to SFAS No. 150, such freestanding financial instruments (i.e., those entered into separately from an entity's other financial instruments or equity transactions or that are legally detachable and separately exercisable) must be classified as liabilities or, in some case, assets. In addition, SFAS No. 150 requires that financial instruments containing obligations to repurchase the issuing entity's shares and, under certain circumstances, obligations that are settled by delivery of the issuer's shares be classified as liabilities. Certain provisions of SFAS No. 150 have been indefinitely deferred; however, the Statement is generally effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and for other instruments at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company does not have any financial instruments that will be impacted by SFAS No. 150. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In December 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition (SAB 104), which provides guidance on the recognition, presentation and disclosure of revenue in financial statements filed with the SEC. SAB 104 revises or rescinds portions of the interpretive guidance that was previously issued in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements (SAB 101) that was issued in December 1999 in order to make this interpretive guidance consistent with current authoritative accounting and auditing guidance and SEC rules and regulations. The adoption of SAB 104 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note B Balance Sheet Components**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 81,856	\$ 951,856
Inventories, net:		
Raw Material	\$ 1,062,795	\$ 1,611,914
Work in Process		61,700
Finished Goods	327,496	358,065
	<u>\$ 1,390,291</u>	<u>\$ 2,031,679</u>
Property and equipment:		
Machinery and equipment	\$ 2,080,890	\$ 2,067,490
Office furniture and fixtures	303,219	303,219
Research and development equipment	1,834,222	1,834,222
Other property	187,588	187,588
Total	<u>4,405,919</u>	<u>4,392,519</u>
Less accumulated depreciation	4,060,210	3,855,206
Net property and equipment	<u>\$ 345,709</u>	<u>\$ 537,313</u>
Accrued liabilities:		
Salaries and wages	\$ 16,742	\$ 669,738
Deferred salaries		363,094
Rent	129,960	
Judgement	225,000	
Interest	357,679	307,731
Dividends		39,704
Taxes	9,536	8,770
Accrued legal fees	804,407	769,420
Other	264,720	11,495
	<u>\$ 1,808,044</u>	<u>\$ 2,169,952</u>

Note C Company Restructuring

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

During 2001, 2002 and 2003, the Company made provisions of \$1,000,000, \$1,645,010 and \$523,158, respectively, for restructuring costs charged to cost of products and services related to inventories associated with products that have been discontinued and the temporary closing of our European operation.

Note D *Due from Officers and Directors*

In August 2001, the Company's Board of Directors approved the issuance of advances secured by demand notes receivable with interest at prime plus 1% to executive officers and directors of the Company in the aggregate amount of \$500,000 for the purpose of purchasing the common stock of the Company in the public market. Demand notes receivable and accrued interest totaling \$133,247 were outstanding at December 31, 2002. During 2003, \$130,150 of demand notes receivable from three directors were forgiven and charged to other income (expense) in recognition of previous service on the Board of Directors.

The Company's Board of Directors also approved a temporary advance to the Chief Executive Officer of the Company of up to \$1,750,000 with interest at prime plus 1%. An advance and accrued interest of \$1,314,620 was outstanding at December 31, 2001. Notes payable to the Chief Executive Officer due in April 2002 (See Note E) and related accrued interest totaling \$1,084,529 were offset against the temporary advance at December 31, 2001. During 2002, \$1,000,000 of the temporary advance was repaid and the balance plus accrued interest to the date of repayment was offset against the notes payable to the Chief Executive Officer.

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note E *Borrowing Arrangements*

Notes payable in the amount of \$487,899 at December 31, 2003 is payable to the Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder of the Company. The debt is unsecured, and \$387,899 bears interest at prime plus 1%, and \$100,000 bears interest at 6% and is convertible into the Company's common stock at \$4.00 per share. Interest expense related to the obligation amounted to \$25,865, \$29,181, and \$62,484 for 2003, 2002, and 2001 net of interest income on the temporary advance to the Chief Executive Officer. This debt is due upon demand. A portion of this note was offset against a temporary advance due from the Chief Executive Officer during 2002. See Note D.

In August 2003 our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder, through an affiliate of the Company controlled by him, began advancing funds to supplement the Company's cash flow for operating expenses and occupancy costs until operating cash flows improve and permanent additional financing can be arranged. Originally such advances were unsecured, due upon demand and accrue interest at the affiliate's cost of funds. Subsequently, the Board of Directors, with the Chief Executive Officer abstaining, approved the Company formalizing the agreement with the affiliate in the form of a \$500,000 line of credit, of which \$358,285 was outstanding at December 31, 2003, secured by all the assets of the Company with interest at the affiliate's cost of funds which is currently 21% and a one year term renewal by mutual agreement of the parties.

Note payable to an investment company for an unsecured term loan, with interest at 12%, was settled during 2002. At the time of settlement, the lender was due \$260,528 in unpaid principal and interest. This debt was settled for \$173,236, resulting in a gain on settlement of the principal balance in the amount of \$110,417, included in other income. Interest expense was \$42,831, and \$44,589 in 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Note payable in the amount of \$177,101 at December 31, 2002 is payable to a director and stockholder of the Company. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 10%, is payable monthly in installments of \$15,000 including interest and matured August 2003. Principal payments were temporarily deferred on this debt since July 2002. Interest expense was \$2,188, \$20,867, and \$35,819 in 2003, 2002, and 2001, respectively. The debt was settled in 2003 resulting in a gain of \$93,386.

Note F *Related Party Transactions*

The Company leases plant and office facilities from an affiliated entity under a noncancellable, five-year, triple net operating lease agreement, which was entered into as of December 1, 2000. The lease provides for monthly rental payments of \$25,000 commencing in January 2001, and is increased annually based on a change in the consumer price index. The monthly rental payments for 2003 were \$25,992. Additionally, the lease provides for an additional five-year renewal option by the Company and contains no purchase option. Rent expense under the current and prior lease amounted to \$311,904, \$304,655, and \$300,000, respectively for the current and two prior years. Minimum future rental payments on this lease amount to \$311,904 annually for the years 2004 through 2005.

See Notes D and E for further information on related party transactions.

Note G *Commitments*

The Company leases certain property and equipment under noncancellable operating leases, including related party leases, which expire at various dates through 2005. Future minimum lease payments are \$319,989, and \$314,154 for the years 2004 through 2005, respectively. Amounts charged to operations for operating leases were \$338,021, \$443,198, and \$419,740 for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

F-14

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note H *Stock Option Plans*

The Company's 1994, 1999, and 2000 Stock Incentive Plans provide for the granting of options to purchase up to 4,598,930 shares of common stock. Option activity during 2003, 2002 and 2001 is summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Option Price Per Share
Balance December 31, 2000	2,219,587	\$ 5.50
Granted	1,122,000	5.38
Exercised	(63,611)	.83
Canceled	(432,753)	4.32
	<hr/>	
Balance December 31, 2001	2,845,223	5.75
Granted	277,000	3.53
Exercised	(174,953)	1.26
Canceled	(446,460)	3.20
	<hr/>	
Balance December 31, 2002	2,500,810	1.33
Granted	357,000	.26
Exercised	(348,693)	.003
Canceled	(706,010)	1.32
	<hr/>	
Balance December 31, 2003	1,803,107	1.33

Options granted generally vest over a period not to exceed four years. Options for 1,501,457 shares are exercisable at December 31, 2003 at exercise prices ranging from \$.88 to \$ 1.37 and a weighted average price of approximately \$ 1.33 per share, with a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 7 years. At December 31, 2003, options to purchase 673,247 shares were available for grant under the plan.

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No.123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and applies Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its plans. There was no compensation expense recognized in 2003, 2002 or 2001. If the Company had elected to recognize compensation cost for the plans based on the fair value at the grant date for awards under the plans, consistent with the method prescribed by SFAS No. 123, pro forma net loss applicable to Common Shareholders would have been \$7,188,432 in 2003, \$30,275,954 in 2002 and \$24,418,981 in 2001. Pro forma net loss applicable to common stockholders per basic and diluted share applicable to common stockholders would have been \$.20 in 2003, \$1.45 in 2002 and \$1.54 in 2001.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

The fair value of the Company's stock options used to compute pro forma net loss and net loss per diluted share disclosures is the estimated present value at grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for 2001 through 2003: dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 80%; a risk free interest rate ranging from 4.34% to 4.60%, and an expected holding period of four years. The weighted average fair value per share at the date of grant was \$0.26, \$3.53, and \$5.38 for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

On July 19, 2002, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repricing of employee options to purchase 2,610,110 shares of common stock from an exercise price ranging between \$1.44 and \$12.17 per share to an exercise price of \$1.37 per share, which represented the fair market value of our common stock on the date of the repricing. These options are subject to variable plan accounting, as defined in FASB Interpretation No. 44. There were no charges required during 2003 and 2002 related to fluctuations in our stock price. As of December 31, 2003, options to purchase 1,608,550 shares of common stock are outstanding and subject to variable plan accounting.

F-15

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note I *Income Taxes***

At December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, the Company has net deferred tax assets as follows:

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
Accounts receivable reserve	\$ 28,000	\$ 287,000
Inventory costs	983,000	1,108,000
Reserve on other assets	136,000	136,000
Deferred revenue	76,000	99,000
Accrued expenses		148,000
Impairment of goodwill	5,188,000	5,188,000
General business tax credits	993,000	930,000
Domestic operating loss carryover	13,712,000	11,593,000
Foreign operating loss carryover	3,512,000	3,512,000
Total deferred tax assets	24,628,000	23,001,000
Valuation allowance	(24,628,000)	(23,001,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

The domestic operating loss carryovers, amounting to approximately \$48,000,000 expire at various dates through 2023 and the foreign operating loss carryovers amounting to approximately \$ 8,100,000 can be carried forward indefinitely. The Company is subject to Internal Revenue Code provisions, which limit the domestic net operating loss carryovers available annually. The general business tax credits expire at various dates through 2023.

The United States, foreign, and state components of the tax provision (benefit) are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Current:			

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

United States	\$	\$ (199,734)	\$
Foreign			
State			
	—	—	—
		(199,734)	
	—	—	—
Deferred:			
United States			
Foreign			
	—	—	—
	—	—	—
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$	\$ (199,734)	\$
	—	—	—

At December 31, 2003, the Company's foreign entities have accumulated deficits. The Company intends its investments in these entities to be permanent.

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table reconciles the provision for taxes with the expected income tax obligation obtained by applying the United States federal statutory rate to pretax income.

	2003	2002	2001
Loss before income taxes	\$ (4,749,422)	\$ (27,371,865)	\$ (13,216,813)
Expected benefit at statutory rate of 34%	(1,615,000)	(9,306,000)	(4,494,000)
Adjustments due to:			
Difference between foreign rate and U.S. statutory rate		10,000	(33,400)
General business credit	(12,000)		
Increase in valuation reserve	1,627,000	9,296,000	4,527,400
Adjustment of prior year tax refunds		(199,734)	
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$	\$ (199,734)	\$

Note J Legal Proceedings

In December 2001, Marketlink Technologies, LLC filed a civil action against the Company in the Circuit Court for Oakland County, Michigan, alleging that the Company owed them a \$156,000 termination payment under the terms of a manufacturers representative agreement that the Company terminated for cause in April 2001 because of Marketlink's inability to sell the Company's products and perform the services required by the agreement. In January 2002, the Company filed counterclaims against Marketlink in this matter, including a claim for breach of contract. The case was tried in December 2003. Following the trial, the Court entered its order finding that the Company is liable to Marketlink for the \$156,000. Several post-order motions are currently before the Court that will affect the final amount due. The Company is considering an appeal.

During March 2001, we filed legal actions against Hitachi Data Systems Limited in the United Kingdom for infringement of two of the European patents in our intellectual property portfolio. Hitachi filed a counter claim against the Company alleging that these two patents were invalid. The trial was completed in July 2002 and in August 2002 the Judge ruled that neither of our patents was shown to be valid in the United Kingdom or infringed by Hitachi. On October 17, 2002, the judgment for the defendant, Hitachi, on their counterclaim was entered and our European Patent (UK) 0,294,287 (287) and European Patent (UK) 0,539,494 were revoked. The Order for the revocation of the 287 Patent was stayed pending appeal and we were granted permission to appeal the judgment so far as it concerns the 287 Patent. It was also ordered by consent of the parties that there be no order as to costs. On October 31, 2002 we filed an appeal with the Court of Appeal seeking that the judgment with respect to the 287 Patent be set aside and that the Court of Appeal find that the claims of the 287 Patent are valid, that Hitachi infringes the claims of the patent and that there be a certificate of contested validity in respect of the claims of the 287 Patent. On July 30, 2003, the court of appeals in London dismissed our appeal.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

During October 2002, we entered into a Settlement Agreement and License with Hitachi under which Hitachi agreed not to oppose our appeal of the judgment discussed above and not to seek recovery of litigation costs. In return, we granted Hitachi a non-exclusive, fully paid up, perpetual license to sell or distribute products that may be subject to claims of our patents.

F-17

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In October 2001, we filed a patent infringement action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas against Veritas Software Corporation and Veritas Software Global Corporation alleging that certain Veritas Software Corporation storage products infringe Storage Computer's intellectual property patent number U.S. 5,893,919 entitled "Apparatus and Method for Storing Data with Selectable Data Protection Using Mirroring and Selectable Parity Inhibition." In February 2002, we filed an additional patent infringement action in the United States District Court of the Northern District of Texas, against Veritas Software Corporation and Veritas Software Global Corporation alleging that certain Veritas Software Corporation storage products infringe Storage Computer's intellectual property, specifically U.S. 5,257,367 entitled "Data Storage system with Asynchronous Host Operating System Communication Link." However, we amended our complaint to dismiss claims arising under this patent in September 2002. In March 2002, we filed a third patent infringement action against Veritas Software Corporation and Veritas Software Global Corporation alleging certain Veritas Software Corporation storage products infringe Storage Computer's intellectual property patent number U.S. 6,098,128 entitled "Universal Storage Management System." Our claim is for injunctive relief, damages and legal costs arising from the alleged infringement.

These actions were referred to a court appointed mediator with an initial mediation date in April 2002 and such mediation concluded without any mutually agreeable basis for settlement having been reached. A trial date was scheduled for November 10, 2003. However, on October 21, 2003 the Court ordered that all matters in this case are stayed pending the resolution of plaintiff's and defendants' motions for summary judgment. On March 12, 2004 the court issued a memorandum opinion granting the Veritas entities motion for summary judgment holding that there is no evidence that patents in question are infringed by the Veritas entities. To date the court has not entered a final judgment in the case.

On September 23, 2002, Veritas asserted a counter claim for patent infringement with respect to patent number U.S. 5,812,753, which it had bought entitled "Methods for Initializing or Reconstructing Data Consistency Within an Array of Storage Elements." On November 18, 2003 the court issued an order staying all proceedings in the case until there is a final resolution of the reexamination, requested by the Company in September 23, 2003, of the patent by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. We believe that this claim is without merit and intend to vigorously defend this action. On March 12, 2004, the Company received, through its patent counsel, the first office action of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, where the majority of the claims of U.S. 5,812,773 were rejected based on prior art.

The outcome in the Veritas unresolved patent proceedings cannot possibly be predicted, but the Company intends to vigorously pursue the enforcement of the Company's intellectual property rights and the Company's claims in these actions and against other manufacturers whose products the Company believes infringe on the Company's patents and intellectual property rights. The Company's failure to successfully enforce their patent rights could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

The Company is involved from time to time in various other minor legal actions in the ordinary course of its business which management believes will not have a material adverse effect on its business, operating results or financial position.

Note K *Convertible Preferred Stock*

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

The Company has authorized 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.001 par value. During 2000 the Board of Directors authorized the designation and issuance of three series of convertible preferred stock (Series A , Series B and Series C). In August 2001 the Board of Directors authorized the designation and issuance of Series E convertible preferred stock (Series E). Series A, B, and C rank prior to other capital stock of the Company and pari passu with each other. Series E ranks prior to other capital stock of the Company but junior to Series A, B and C. The holders of preferred stock have no voting rights except as provided by the laws of the State of Delaware. Generally, as long as preferred shares are outstanding, the Company must obtain approval by vote or consent of the preferred shareholders to alter or change the rights, preferences or privileges of the preferred stock, to increase the authorized number of shares of each preferred stock class, or to create any new class or series of capital stock having a preference over the preferred stock.

Series E Convertible Preferred Stock

In August 2001, Series E was designated to consist of 5,000 shares with a stated value of \$1,000 per share and the Company issued 5,000 shares. There are no dividends or premium amounts associated with Series E. The Series E shares are convertible into common shares of the Company at a fixed conversion price of \$6.48. On the third anniversary date of issue, the Company must convert any remaining outstanding shares into common stock of the Company at \$6.48 per share. The Company does not have the right to redeem the Series E shares.

F-18

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In connection with the issuance of the Series E, the Company issued warrants to purchase 771,605 shares of common stock. The warrants are exercisable at any time at an exercise price of \$10.80 per share. The value assigned to the warrants was approximately \$2,804,000. In addition, the Company recorded a beneficial conversion feature on the Preferred Stock of \$3,112,672. All remaining shares of the Series E Convertible Preferred stock were converted into common shares during 2002.

Series A, B and C 8% Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock

Series A was designated in April and amended in August of 2000 to consist of 90,000 shares with a stated value of \$100 per share, Series B was designated in September 2000 to consist of 20,000 shares with the same stated value and Series C was designated in October 2000 to consist of 12,000 shares with a stated value of \$1,000 per share. Dividends on Series A and B are cumulative from the date of issue and are payable semi-annually beginning on December 31, 2000 at the rate of 8% payable in cash or the issuance of common shares calculated using the applicable conversion price described below. Series C does not require the payment of dividends.

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the affairs of the Company, the holders of Series A and B will be entitled to a liquidation preference equal to 130% of the stated value of the shares then outstanding plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. The liquidation preference for Series C is the stated value of the shares then outstanding plus an amount equal to 8% per annum of such stated value from the issue date to the event of the liquidation (premium amount). In the event of a reorganization, merger; sale, transfer or disposition of substantially all of the assets of the Company; or a change in more than 50% of the voting power of the Company, the holders will be entitled to the greater of the liquidation preference described above or consideration equivalent to that which would be received by a common shareholder for the shares into which the preferred shareholder could convert the then outstanding preferred shares. The liquidation value of Series A and C Preferred Stock at December 31, 2002 was \$1,300,000 and \$281,250, respectively.

The Series A and B shares and any accrued and unpaid dividends may be converted into common stock of the Company at the option of the holder at any time after 120 days from issue at a conversion price of the lower of \$8.66 for Series A and \$13.41 for Series B or 82.5% of the market price or, if after 181 days from issue 78.5% of the market price or, if after 271 days from issue 75% of the market price or, if after 361 days from issue 65% of market price. The market price is the average of the three lowest closing prices during the last 30 trading days prior to the conversion. On the third anniversary date of issue, the company must convert any remaining outstanding shares plus accrued and unpaid dividends at the conversion price described above.

The Series C shares and any premium amount may be converted into common stock of the Company at the option of the holder at any time at a conversion price of \$13.25. Accordingly, the beneficial conversion feature was recorded at the date of issuance as a dividend. After July 31, 2001 the conversion price is the lower of \$13.25 or the market price. The market price is the average of the five lowest closing prices during the last 20 trading days prior to the conversion. On the third anniversary date of issue, the company must convert any remaining outstanding shares at \$13.25 per share plus any premium amount.

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

If the Company dishonors or rejects any conversion notice or fails to comply with other contractual provisions, the holders may require mandatory redemption of the shares for cash at a price of 125% of the stated value plus accrued and unpaid dividends for Series A and B, and for Series C at a price of 120% of the stated value plus the premium amount.

At any time prior to the third anniversary date of issue, the Company has the option to redeem any outstanding preferred stock at 125% (120% for Series C prior to November 1, 2001) of the stated value plus accrued and unpaid dividends (or premium amount with respect to Series C) if the current market price is less than \$8.66 per share for Series A, \$13.41 per share for Series B and \$13.25 per share for Series C.

The terms of the Series A, B and C 8% Convertible Preferred Stock Designations, the Securities Purchase Agreements and related agreements contain registration rights, anti-dilution provisions, rights of first refusal with respect to future issues of similar securities and other protective covenants.

At December 31, 2002 all of the remaining 10,000 unconverted shares of the Series A preferred stock were owned by our Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder. In addition, during January 2003, an entity controlled by our Chief Executive Officer acquired from the original outside investor the remaining 225 unconverted shares of the Series C convertible preferred stock, and all of the outstanding warrants to purchase additional shares of common stock issued in connection with our Series C and Series E convertible preferred stock. The remaining Series A and Series C preferred stock was converted to common stock in 2003. There are no preferred shares outstanding at December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents**STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock Transactions**

Redeemable Convertible preferred stock transaction during the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001 are summarized as follows:

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Series A</u>	<u>Series B</u>	<u>Series C</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance of convertible preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2000	76,000	\$ 2,325,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 9,756,661	\$ 12,556,661
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature, warrants and other costs charged to accumulated deficit		933,333	441,666	738,712	2,113,711
Carrying value of preferred stock converted to common shares during the year	(45,900)	(1,791,666)	(333,333)	(7,770,358)	(9,895,357)
Redemption of preferred stock for cash	(17,000)	(466,667)	(583,333)		(1,050,000)
Balance of convertible preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2001	13,100	1,000,000		2,725,015	3,725,015
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature, warrants and other costs charged to accumulated deficit				137,461	137,461
Carrying value of preferred stock converted to common shares during the year	(2,875)			(2,649,858)	(2,649,858)
Balance of convertible preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2002	10,225	1,000,000		212,618	1,212,618
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature, warrants and other costs charged to accumulated deficit				12,382	12,382
Carrying value of preferred stock converted to common shares during the year	(10,225)	(1,000,000)		(225,000)	(1,225,000)
Balance of convertible preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2003		\$	\$	\$	\$

All of the convertible preferred shares were issued in placements to private investors including the Chief Executive Officer of the Company in transactions that were exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The Company has registered for resale the common stock underlying the preferred shares, related dividends, premium amounts and warrants. In connection with these private placements, the Company paid investment banking fees, legal and accounting fees, registration and other costs totaling \$169,783 in 2001 and \$1,175,450 in 2000 and in 2000 issued 86,000 warrants to investment bankers to purchase common stock exercisable for three years at prices ranging from \$8.66 to \$21.71 per share. Warrants, exercisable for three years, for 771,605 shares in 2001 and 753,132 in 2000 were issued to the private investors at prices ranging from \$8.66 to \$21.71 per share. Warrant exercise prices approximated the market price of the Company's common stock on the commitment date of each transaction. Warrants for 132,000 shares were exercised in the year ended December 31, 2000. As of December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, exercisable warrants for the purchase of 1,097,856, 1,810,845 shares and 1,528,737 shares were outstanding, respectively. During 2001, the Company redeemed 17,000 shares of preferred stock at a premium of \$1,093,983.

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note L Business Segment Information

The Company's operations are conducted in one business segment: the design, manufacture and sale of high-performance scalable data storage, maintenance services and, beginning in 2001, license fees. Revenues and long-lived assets by geographic area are summarized as follows:

	<u>United States</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
2003:				
Domestic	\$ 963,813	\$	\$	\$ 963,813
Export	81,225			81,225
Exports to affiliates				
Total revenues	\$ 1,045,038	\$	\$	\$ 1,045,038
Long-lived assets	\$ 14,801,291	\$	\$ (10,813,914)	\$ 3,987,377
2002:				
Domestic	\$ 4,467,505	\$ 505,779	\$ (19,619)	\$ 4,953,665
Export	393,884	24,058		417,942
Exports to affiliates	(617,032)		617,535	503
Total revenues	\$ 4,244,357	\$ 529,837	\$ 597,916	\$ 5,372,110
Long-lived assets	\$ 16,095,681	\$	\$ (11,340,700)	\$ 4,754,981
2001:				
Domestic	\$ 7,030,643	\$ 976,527	\$	\$ 8,007,170
Export	761,047	261,089		1,022,136
Exports to affiliates	622,620		(604,299)	18,321
Total revenues	\$ 8,414,310	\$ 1,237,616	\$ (604,299)	\$ 9,047,627
Long-lived assets	\$ 26,207,641	\$ 71,740	\$ (4,808,845)	\$ 21,470,536

Export sales from the United States are summarized as follows:

2003 2002 2001

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Far East	\$ 38,901	\$ 394,387	\$ 947,285
Europe	26,885		436,382
Other	15,469		
	\$ 81,255	\$ 394,387	\$ 1,383,667

For the year ended December 31, 2003 product sales of \$459,000 to one customer, Compuserve, was in excess of 10% of consolidated revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2001 product sales to one customer were in excess of 10% of revenues and amounted to \$1,350,000. In addition, license fees received from one licensee during the year ended December 31, 2002 and from one licensee during the year ended December 31, 2001, each represented in excess of 10% of total consolidated revenues.

F-21

Table of Contents

STORAGE COMPUTER CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note M *Quarterly Financial Results (Unaudited)*

Summarized quarterly results of operations for the two years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
Year ended December 31, 2003				
Revenues	\$ 277,984	\$ 147,776	\$ 215,047	\$ 404,231
Operating income (loss)	(1,198,029)	(1,375,060)	(1,097,718)	(1,045,401)
Net income (loss)	(1,202,084)	(1,186,466)	(1,099,991)	(1,260,881)
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	(1,219,679)	(1,186,466)	(1,099,991)	(1,260,881)
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders	\$ (.04)	\$ (.03)	\$ (.03)	\$ (.03)
	<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
Year ended December 31, 2002				
Revenues	\$ 766,003	\$ 586,993	\$ 618,731	\$ 3,400,383
Operating income (loss)	(3,712,807)	(4,030,984)	(20,671,370)	819,085
Net income (loss)	(3,665,205)	(4,081,771)	(20,404,876)	979,721
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	(3,922,249)	(4,339,726)	(20,488,114)	942,286
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders	\$ (.20)	\$ (.22)	\$ (.97)	\$.04

The above includes the following items in various quarters. The third quarter 2002 includes an increase in inventory reserves of approximately \$2,000,000, an increase in reserve for allowance for doubtful accounts of approximately \$800,000, a charge for impairment of goodwill in the amount of \$14,281,336 and a charge for impairment of identifiable intangible assets in the amount of \$976,099. The fourth quarter 2002 includes revenues from license fees of \$3,000,000. The fourth quarter 2002 reflects an adjustment to the inventory reserve recorded in the third quarter of 2002 of approximately \$400,000, reducing the reserve amount to \$1,645,000 at December 31, 2002.

The third quarter of 2002 reflects a restatement of the amounts previously reported for the third quarter related to the recognition of an impairment loss related to goodwill and other identified intangible assets and our third quarter 10-Q for 2002 was amended.

Note N *Valuation and Qualifying Accounts*

Edgar Filing: STORAGE COMPUTER CORP - Form 10-K

Changes in the accounts receivable and inventory reserves were as follows:

	Accounts Receivable	Inventory
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at December 31, 2000	\$ 172,237	\$ 2,779,114
Additions charged to costs and expenses	35,391	1,000,000
Amounts used during year	(10,350)	(1,301,801)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at December 31, 2001	197,278	2,477,313
Additions charged to costs and expenses	805,944	1,645,010
Amounts used during year	(51,366)	(897,323)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at December 31, 2002	951,856	3,225,000
Additions charged to cost and expenses		163,065
Amounts used during year	(870,000)	(523,158)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ 81,856	\$ 2,864,907
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

F-22