

India Globalization Capital, Inc.
Form 10-K/A
November 03, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K /A
Amendment No. 2

- þ Annual report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011
- o Transition report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Commission file number 1-32830

INDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC.
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-2760393
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4336 Montgomery Ave. Bethesda, Maryland 20814
(Address of principal executive offices)

(301) 983-0998
(Issuer's telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of exchange on which registered
Units, each consisting of one share of Common Stock and two Warrants	NYSE Amex
Common Stock	NYSE Amex
Common Stock Purchase Warrants	NYSE Amex

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None.

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No (the Registrant is not yet required to submit Interactive Data)

Indicate by check mark disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$12,570,784.

As of June 15, 2011 there were 19,758,771 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note to Amendment No. 2

This Amendment No. 2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A ("Form 10-K/A") amends our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2011, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on July 14, 2011, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, which was filed with the SEC on July 27, 2011 ("Form 10-K").

This Form 10-K/A is being filed to supplement the following sections of the Form 10-K for the purpose of providing additional disclosure in response to comments received from the Staff of the SEC in connection with a review of our Form 10-K:

Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors

We included a new risk factor regarding the lack of availability of timely and sufficient financial information on Sricon India Private Limited ("Sricon"), a company in which we own a 22% stake.

Part II – Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Selling, General and Administrative expenses: we revised our disclosure to indicate how a \$1.52 million bad debt write-off that was considered to be irrecoverable arose, and the facts and circumstances that resulted in our determination that such amounts are irrecoverable.

Impairment loss – goodwill: we revised our disclosure to delete a reference to the market potential for the infrastructure business in India.

Liquidity and capital resources: we revised our disclosure to discuss the impact on liquidity if either (1) the NHAI or Cochin Airport receivables were classified as long term assets or (2) the receivables were not collected in the 2012 fiscal year.

Part II - Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Consolidated Balance Sheets: we amended the financial statements to present non-controlling interest as part of the stockholders' equity on the balance sheets, such that the total on the balance sheet is the same as the statement of stockholders' equity. It was previously presented as a separate line item after stockholders' equity. We also added a line to show the equity of the parent company. These changes do not change the aggregate of total liabilities and stockholders' equity.

Consolidated Statements of Operations: we removed the subtotal revenue less cost of revenues (excluding depreciation) for all periods presented and included impairment loss - goodwill as a component of operating income (loss).

Note 11 - Goodwill: we expanded our disclosure to present the facts and circumstances that led to the impairment of our goodwill.

Note 20 - Income Taxes: we restated our rate reconciliation as of March 31, 2010 so that the effective tax rate in our financial statements is in line with the effective tax rate computed in our statement of operations.

Note 25 – Impairment: we expanded our disclosures to: (a) describe the nature of the available information about Sricon that we used when recording an impairment of \$2.2 million related to our investment in Sricon, (b) to include a detailed explanation of the methodology as well as an explanation of the information available to us at the time the

impairment tests were carried out, (c) to identify the Sricon financial information we relied on, and (d) to disclose the methodology used to determine the recoverability of our receivable and investment in Sricon.

Note 27 – Certain Aged Receivables: we added a new Note 27 to the financial statements explaining why the accounts receivable due from the National Highway Authority of India (“NHAI”) and the Cochin International Airport are booked as current as of March 31, 2011 and 2010. We also added a discussion of the arbitration process and specified when the receivables were first booked.

Note 28 - Re-Classifications to Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Operations: we added a new Note 28 to address the re-classifications made to the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statement of Operations, changes that do not affect the total assets and liabilities or net income/loss attributable to common stock holders, respectively.

Effects of Restatement

The restated items are re-classifications that do not impact the Company’s operations.

Except as stated herein, this Form 10-K/A does not change our previously reported financial statements or the other financial disclosures contained in the Form 10-K. Except as stated herein, this Form 10-K/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the Form 10-K and no attempt has been made in this Form 10-K/A to modify or update other disclosures as presented in the Form 10-K. Accordingly, this Form 10-K/A should be read in conjunction with our filings made with the SEC subsequent to the filing of the Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview of Restatement		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	8
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	16
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	17
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	17
Item 4.	<u>[Reserved.]</u>	17
PART II		
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	18
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	19
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations</u>	19
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks</u>	30
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	31
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	32
Item 9A	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	32
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	33
PART III		
Item 10.	<u>Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	34
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	37
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	41
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	43
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	43
PART IV		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statements Schedules</u>	45
	<u>Signatures</u>	38
	Section 1350 Certification	
	Section 1350 Certification	

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

Overview of Restatement

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, India Globalization Capital, Inc.:

- (a) Restates its consolidated statements of operations and consolidated cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2010;
- (b) Amends its management discussion and analysis as it relates to the year ended March 31, 2010; and
- (c) Restates its unaudited quarterly financial data for the quarter ended December 31, 2009

Background of the restatement:

As previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on June 15, 2011, the Company's management, in consultation with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, concluded that the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2010 (the "2010 Annual Financial Statements") included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year then ended, as amended (the "2010 Annual Report") and in the quarterly Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2009 should no longer be relied upon.

The changes described above are non-cash items and do not impact the Company's operations.

The financial statements have been restated to reflect:

- (i) A Reclassification in the Company's Statement of Cash Flows: Sricon India Private Limited (SIPL), a subsidiary of IGC Inc., had been deconsolidated effective October 1, 2009. Upon deconsolidation, the cash flows of SIPL for the six months ended September 30, 2009 were re-classified and presented as equity in earnings of affiliates. The cash flows for the year ended 31 March, 2010 have now been restated to contain transactions relating to SIPL up until the date of deconsolidation; and
- (ii) Computation of diluted earnings per share: The effect of dilution was inadvertently considered while computing the Earnings Per Share (EPS) although there was a loss by IGC Inc. The restatement now rightly shows the EPS taking into consideration the loss.

Effects of Restatement

The restated items are non-cash and do not impact the Company's operations.

The adjustments made as a result of the restatement are more fully discussed in Note 3, Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report. To further review and understand the restatement adjustments, see Part II—Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Annual Report. For a description of the deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting identified by management as a result of the investigation and our internal reviews, and management's plan to remediate those deficiencies, see Part II—Item 9A—Controls and Procedures.

The previously filed Annual Reports for the period ended March 31, 2010 filed on Form 10-K and quarterly report for the period ended December 31, 2009 filed on Form 10-Q were affected by the restatement and have not been amended. Instead, the amended statements are presented here. Accordingly, investors should no longer rely upon the

Company's previously released financial statements for these periods and any earnings releases or other previous communications relating to these periods.

All amounts in this Annual Report for the period ended March 31, 2010 are the amounts as restated.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Background of India Globalization Capital, Inc. (IGC)

IGC, a Maryland corporation, was organized on April 29, 2005 as a blank check company formed for the purpose of acquiring one or more businesses with operations primarily in India through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination or acquisition. On March 8, 2006, we completed an initial public offering. On February 19, 2007, we incorporated India Globalization Capital, Mauritius, Limited (IGC-M), a wholly owned subsidiary, under the laws of Mauritius. On March 7, 2008, we consummated the acquisition of 63% of the equity of Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited (Sricon) and 77% of the equity of Techni Bharathi Limited (TBL). We acquired Sricon by purchasing a 63% interest for approximately \$29 million (based on an exchange rate of 40 INR for \$1 USD). Subsequently, we borrowed, through an intermediary company, approximately \$17.9 million (based on 40 INR for \$1 USD) from Sricon. The shares of the two Indian companies, Sricon and TBL, are held by IGC-M. Effective October 1, 2009, we reduced our stake in Sricon from 63% to 22% in consideration for the set off of the loan owed by IGC approximating \$17.9 million.

On February 19, 2009 IGC-M beneficially purchased 100% of IGC Mining and Trading Private Limited (IGC-IMT) based in Chennai, India. IGC-IMT was formed on December 16, 2008 as a privately held start-up company engaged in the business of mining and trading. Its current activity is to operate shipping hubs and to export iron ore to China from India. On July 4, 2009, IGC-M beneficially purchased 100% of IGC Materials, Private Limited (IGC-MPL based in Nagpur, India), which conducts IGC's quarrying business, and 100% of IGC Logistics, Private Limited (IGC-LPL) based in Nagpur, India, which is involved in the transport and delivery of ore, cement, aggregate and other materials. Each of IGC-IMT, IGC-MPL and IGC-LPL were formed by third parties at the behest of IGC-M to facilitate the creation of the subsidiaries. The purchase price paid for each of IGC-IMT, IGC-MPL, and IGC-LPL was equal to the expenses incurred in incorporating the respective entities with no premium paid. India Globalization Capital, Inc. (the Registrant, the Company, or we) and its subsidiaries are engaged in the sale of construction materials, mining, quarrying and construction.

IGC's organizational structure is as follows:

Table of Contents

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to the “Company”, “IGC”, “IGC Inc.”, “we”, “our”, and “us” refer to India Globalization Capital, Inc., together with its wholly owned subsidiary IGC-M, and its direct and indirect subsidiaries (TBL, IGC-IMT, IGC-MPL and IGC-LPL) and Sricon, in which we hold a non-controlling interest.

Overview

IGC Materials, Private Limited (“IGC-MPL”) and IGC Logistics, Private Limited (“IGC-LPL”) are based in Nagpur India and were incorporated in June 2009. The two companies focus on infrastructure materials like rock aggregate, bricks, concrete and other building materials, as well as, logistical support for the transportation of infrastructure materials. IGC India Mining and Trading (“IGC-IMT”) was incorporated in December 2008 in Chennai, India. IGC-IMT is focused on the export of iron ore to China as well as the sale of iron ore to customers in India. IGC-MPL, IGC-LPL and IGC-IMT are all wholly-owned subsidiaries of IGC-M.

Techni Bharathi Limited (“TBL”) was incorporated as a public (but not listed on the stock exchange) limited company on June 19, 1982 in Cochin, India. TBL is an engineering and construction company engaged in the execution of civil construction, structural engineering projects and trading. TBL has a focus in the Indian states of Kerala, Karnataka, Assam and Tamil Nadu. Its present and past clients include various Indian government organizations.

Our approach is to offer integrated solutions to our customers such as construction services combined with the sale and transportation of materials.

Core business competencies

As the infrastructure in India is built out and modernized, the demand for basic raw materials like stone aggregate and iron ore (steel) is expected to increase. We offer an integrated set of services to our customers based upon several core competencies. This integrated approach provides us with an advantage over our competitors.

Our core business competencies are:

1. A sophisticated, integrated approach to project modeling, costing, management, and monitoring.
2. In-depth knowledge of southern and central Indian infrastructure development.
3. Knowledge of low cost logistics for moving commodities across long distances in specific parts of India.
4. In-depth knowledge of the licensing process for mines and quarries in southern and central India.
5. Strong relationships with several important construction companies and mine operators in southern and central India.

Our core business areas are:

1. Mining and trading. Our mining and trading activity currently centers on the export of iron ore to China and the resale of iron ore to traders in India. India is the fourth largest producer of iron ore. The Freedonia Group projected in May 2010 that China’s \$1.15 trillion construction industry would grow 9.1% every year until 2014. This growth will increase China’s already large demand for steel. China, which accounted for 648 million metric tons of steel production in 2010, is expected to produce between 690 million and 710 million metric tons in 2011. As The Wall Street Journal reported, this production is expected to be almost half of total global output. We believe that IGC is well positioned to provide some Chinese steel mills with the iron ore needed to meet their demand. Our subsidiary IGC Mining and Trading Private Limited (IGC-IMT), based in Chennai, India, is engaged in the iron ore

business. The subsidiary has relationships and in some cases agreements with mine owners in Orissa and Karnataka, two of the largest ore mining belts in India. In addition, it operates facilities at seaports on the west coast of India and to a lesser extent on the east coast of India. The facilities consist of an office and a plot of land within the port to store iron ore. Our staff is experienced in delivering and managing the logistics of ore transport. Our subsidiary services a customer in China by buying ore from Indian mine owners, transporting it to seaports and then subcontracting stevedores to load the ships. Our share of the export market for iron ore is less than 1%.

Table of Contents

2. Quarrying rock aggregate. As Indian infrastructure modernizes, the demand for raw materials like rock aggregate, iron ore and similar resources is projected to greatly increase. In 2009, according to the Freedonia Group, India was the third largest stone aggregate market in the world. The report projected that Indian demand for crushed stone will increase to 770 million metric tons in 2013 and 1.08 billion metric tons in 2018. Our subsidiary, IGC Materials Private Limited ('IGC-MPL'), is responsible for our rock aggregate production. The subsidiary currently has two quarrying agreements with two separate partners. The two quarries being mined near Nagpur, a city in the state of Maharashtra, India, have approximately 10 million to 11 million metric tons of rock aggregate, or about \$40,000,000 of reserves at current prices. With the production of these two quarries, our subsidiary is one of the largest suppliers in the immediate area. Our share of the overall market in India is currently less than 1%. However, IGC-MPL has a growing regional presence in the Nagpur area.

All quarrying or mining activities in India require a license. IGC and its subsidiaries do not directly hold any mining or quarrying licenses and therefore there are no licenses or expenses in connection with acquiring the same being reflected in the consolidated financial statements. However, Sricon holds licenses and we quarry under licenses held by our partners. For all quarries, the licenses are granted for two years. The licenses are automatically renewed for additional periods of two years, provided that all royalty payments and taxes to the Indian government are paid up to date. IGC-MPL has applied, on its own, for licenses for mining and quarrying. The process of obtaining a quarrying license is difficult and typically takes between 12-18 months. The process involves a competitive application process. As such, while we have applied for licenses, there is no assurance that we will be granted these licenses. IGC-MPL is also in active negotiations with other land and license owners to expand the number of producing quarries available to it.

3. Highway and heavy construction. The Indian government has developed a plan to build and modernize Indian infrastructure. The Wall Street Journal reported on March 23, 2010 that the government plans to double infrastructure spending from \$500 billion to \$1 trillion. It will pay for the expansion and construction of rural roads, major highways, airports, seaports, freight corridors, railroads and townships. A significant number of our customers are engaged in highway and heavy construction. Our subsidiary Techni Bharathi Limited ('TBL'), a small road building company, is engaged in highway and heavy construction activities. TBL has constructed highways, rural roads, tunnels, dams, airport runways, and housing complexes, mostly in southern states. TBL, because of its successful execution of contracts, is pre-qualified by the National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) and other agencies. TBL's share of the overall Indian construction market is very small. However, TBL's prequalification and prior track record provides a way to grow the company in highway and heavy construction. Currently, TBL is engaged in the recovery of construction delay claims that it is pursuing against NHAI, the Airport Authority of Cochin, and the Orissa State Works. Our share of the overall market in India is significantly less than 1%.

4. Construction and maintenance of high temperature plants. Through our unconsolidated, minority interest in Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited (Sricon), we engage in the civil engineering, construction and maintenance of high temperature plants. Sricon also has the specialized skills required to build and maintain high temperature chimneys and kilns. Sricon's share of this market in India is less than 1%. We currently hold equity in Sricon.

The following table sets out the revenue contribution from our subsidiaries:

Subsidiary	Year ended March 31, 2011		Year ended March 31, 2010	
TBL	2	%	22	%
Sricon*	-		17	%
IGC-IMT	53	%	56	%
IGC-MPL	44	%	3	%

Edgar Filing: India Globalization Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K/A

IGC-LPL	1	%	2	%
Total	100	%	100	%

* - De-consolidated effective October 1, 2009

According to the global market researcher eMpulse, the construction industry's total market size in India is approximately \$53 Billion. According to Reuters, India exports about 100 million tons of iron ore per year. Prices for iron ore have averaged around \$140 per metric ton. The rock aggregate market in India is approximately \$3 billion. As noted above, our share of these markets is less than 1%.

Table of Contents

Customers.

Our present and past customers include the National Highway Authority of India, several state highway authorities, the Indian railways, private construction companies in India and several steel mills in China.

Construction contract bidding process.

In order to create transparency, the Indian government has centralized the contract awarding process for building inter-state roads. The new process is as follows: At the “federal” level, NHAI publishes a Statement of Work for an interstate highway construction project. The Statement of Work has a detailed description of the work to be performed, as well as, the completion time frame. The bidder prepares two proposals in response to the Statement of Work. The first proposal demonstrates technical capabilities, prior work experience, specialized machinery, manpower required, and other qualifications required to complete the project. The second proposal includes a financial bid. NHAI evaluates the technical bids and short-lists technically qualified companies. Next, the short list of technically qualified companies are invited to place a detailed financial bid and show adequate financial strength in terms of revenue, net worth, credit lines, and balance sheets. Generally, the lowest bid wins the contract. Additionally, contract bidders must meet several requirements to demonstrate an adequate level of capital reserves:

- 1) An earnest money deposit between 2% to 10% of project costs,
- 2) A performance guarantee of between 5% and 10%,
- 3) An adequate overall working capital, and
- 4) Additional capital available for plant and machinery.

Bidding qualifications for larger NHAI projects are set by NHAI and are imposed on each contractor. As the contractor actually executes larger highway projects, then the contractor may qualify for even larger projects.

Growth strategy and business model.

Our growth strategy and business model are to:

- 1) Deepen our relationships with our existing construction customers by providing them infrastructure materials like iron ore, rock aggregate, concrete, coal and associated logistical support.
- 2) Expand our materials offering by expanding the number of rock aggregate quarries and other materials.
- 3) Leverage our expertise in the logistics and supply of iron ore by increasing the number of shipping hubs we operate from and continue to expand our offering into China and other Asian countries in order to take advantage of their expected strong infrastructure growth.
- 4) Expand the number of recurring contracts for infrastructure build-out to customers that can benefit from our portfolio of offerings.

Competition.

We operate in an industry that is competitive. However, the industry is fragmented and while a number of our competitors are well qualified and better financed than we are, we believe that the demand for contractors in general will permit us to compete for projects and contracts that are appropriate for our size and capabilities. Large domestic and international firms compete for jumbo contracts over \$250 million in size, while locally based contractors vie for contracts worth less than \$5 million. We seek to compete in the gap between these two ends of the competitive spectrum. The recent capital markets crisis has made it more difficult for smaller companies to grow to mid-sized companies because their access to capital has been restrained. While we are also constrained by capital we believe that we are in a better position to secure capital than a number of small, purely local competitors. Our construction

business is positioned in the \$5 million to \$50 million contract range, above locally based contractors and below the large firms, creating a distinct technical and financial advantage in this market niche assuming that we can maintain access to capital. Rock aggregate is generally supplied to the industry through small crushing units, which supply low quality material. Frequently, high quality aggregate is unavailable, or is transported over large distances. We fill this gap by providing high quality material in large quantities. We compete on price, quantity and quality. Iron ore is produced in India, where our core assets are located, and exported to China. While this is a fairly established and relatively efficient market, we compete by aggregating ore from smaller suppliers who do not have direct access to customers in China. Further, we expect to install a large iron ore crusher that can grind ore pebbles into fine ore particles, providing a value added service to the smaller mine owners.

Table of Contents

Seasonality.

The road building and construction industries typically experience naturally recurring seasonal patterns throughout India. The Northeast monsoons historically arrive on June 1, followed by the Southwest monsoons which usually continue intermittently until September. Historically, the business in the monsoon months is slower than in other months because of the heavy rains. Activities such as engineering and maintenance of high temperature plants are less susceptible to weather delays, while the iron ore export business slows down somewhat due to the rough seas. Flooding in the quarries can slow production in the stone aggregate industry during the monsoon season. However, our quarries build stone reserves prior to the monsoon season. The monsoon season has historically been used to bid and win contracts for construction and for the supply of ore and aggregate in preparation for work activity when the rains abate.

Employees and consultants.

As of March 31, 2011 we employed a work force of approximately 130 employees and contract workers worldwide. Employees are typically skilled workers including executives, welders, drivers, and other specialized experts. Contract workers require less specialized skills. We make diligent efforts to comply with all employment and labor regulations, including immigration laws in the many jurisdictions in which we operate. In order to attract and retain skilled employees, we have implemented a performance based incentive program, offered career development programs, improved working conditions, and provided United States work assignments, technology training, and other fringe benefits. We hope that our efforts will make our companies more attractive.

Environmental regulations.

India has strict environmental, occupational, health and safety regulations. In most instances, the contracting agency regulates and enforces all regulatory requirements. We internally monitor and manage regulatory issues on a continuous basis. We believe that we are in compliance with all the regulatory requirements of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Furthermore, we do not believe that compliance will have a material adverse effect on our business activities.

Current Chinese currency revaluation.

Bloomberg News reported on December 21, 2010 that U.S. senators are strongly encouraging China to hold up to their promise to re-institute a “managed floating exchange rate.” Also, they reported that the yuan is the best performer of the BRIC countries and has appreciated 24% to the dollar in the past decade. If a similar appreciation occurs, it will increase the purchasing power of Chinese steel mills buying iron ore, which is traded in USD. Chinese firms could buy more ore, even at a higher price, and IGC would benefit from an appreciation of the yuan.

Information and timely financial reporting.

Our operations are located in India where the accepted accounting standard is the Indian GAAP, which, in many cases, is not congruent with the U.S. GAAP. Indian accounting standards are evolving toward IFRS (International Financial Reporting Standards). We engage an independent public accounting firm registered with the U.S. PCAOB to conduct an annual audit of our financial statements. The process of producing financial statements is at times cumbersome and places significant demands upon our existing staff. We believe we are still some time away from having processes and adequately trained personnel in place to meet the reporting timetables set out by U.S. reporting requirements. Until then we may, on occasion, have to file for extensions to meet U.S. reporting timetables and it is possible that we may fail to meet these time tables. Failure to file our reports in a timely fashion can result in severe consequences including the potential delisting of our securities. In addition, our access to capital may become more

difficult or limited if we fail to meet reporting deadlines. We will make our annual reports, quarterly reports, proxy statements, and up-to-date investor presentations available on our Web site, www.indiaglobalcap.com as soon as they are available. Our SEC filings are also available, free of charge, at www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

THE FOLLOWING RISK FACTORS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED CAREFULLY IN EVALUATING THE COMPANY, ITS BUSINESS CONDITION AND PROSPECTS, FINANCIAL AND OTHERWISE. MANY INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES INVOLVE RISK FACTORS OR A RISK OF LOSS AND THE EXISTENCE OF BOTH NORMAL AND EXTRAORDINARY RISKS.

Any downgrading of India's debt rating by an international rating agency, or an increase in interest rates in India, could have a negative impact on our ability to borrow in India.

As we scale our operations we expect to increase the amount of money we borrow for working capital and the leasing of equipment. Any adverse revisions to India's credit ratings for domestic and international debt by international rating agencies, as well as, an increase in Indian interest rates may adversely impact our ability to finance growth through debt and could lead to a tightening of our operating margins, adversely affecting our operating income. Fortunately, most large debt rating agencies, including Standard & Poor's and Moody's, consider India's debt stable.

A change in government policy, a downturn in the Indian or Chinese economy, or a natural disaster could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

The construction business is dependent on the central government of India, as well as the Indian state governments for contracts. Our operations and financial results may be affected by changes in the government's policy toward building infrastructure. In addition, the recent slowdown in the Indian economy has caused a tightening of credit and a slowdown of companies bidding on government contracts. We foresee no immediate changes to government policy or market conditions that would adversely affect our ability to conduct business other than limited access to credit and believe that government support for infrastructure spending will remain strong but we remain subject to possible changes in Indian government and monetary policies and regulations, which are beyond our control.

The Indian government currently bans the export of ore that has a ferrous content of 64% or more, preferring to keep that high grade ore for the production of steel in India. The Indian government could further curtail the export of iron ore, thereby hampering our business. If the Government were to impose a ban on the export of lesser quality ore, we would likely be forced to service our customers from sources other than India. In addition, during 2010, the government of Karnataka state banned the transportation and export of iron ore from 10 ports on the west coast of India due to allegations of illegal mining, storage, and transportation. The ban was initially upheld by the Karnataka High Court until regulatory action could be implemented to reduce the illegal activity. Iron ore exporters appealed against the ban to the Indian Supreme Court. The Supreme Court passed an order lifting the ban on iron ore exports from Karnataka in April 2011. This order was again challenged by the state government citing that the government needed more time to institute checks against illegal mining and export of iron ore. The matter is again pending adjudication at the Apex court and is likely to be resolved in the next few months. A significant portion of our iron ore exports along with other companies from Karnataka continue to be impacted by this ban.

The Chinese government imposed a ban on the import of low grade iron ore by traders in China in April 2010. This ban did not extend to Chinese steel mills with licenses to import iron ore. We have generally been in the business of exporting ore with ferrous content between 55% and 58% (low grade). The ban on the import of low quality ore by China, although revoked on September 2010, forced us to look for customers that are steel mills, which we did, and to shift the business to exporting higher quality ore, which we are now doing. We have shifted our business to exporting ore with ferrous content above 60%. However, further restrictions on the import of iron ore by the Chinese government could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Political, economic, social and other factors in India may adversely affect business.

Our ability to grow our business may be adversely affected by political, economic, social and religious factors, changes in Indian law or regulations, and the status of India's relations with other countries. In addition, the economy of India may differ favorably or unfavorably from the U.S. economy in such things as the rate of growth of gross domestic product, the inflation rate, capital reinvestment, resource self-sufficiency, and balance of payments position. Indian government actions in the future could have a significant effect on the Indian economy, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve our business objectives.

Table of Contents

Since mid-1991, the Indian government has committed itself to implementing an economic structural reform program with the object of liberalizing India's exchange and trade policies, reducing the fiscal deficit, controlling inflation, promoting a sound monetary policy, reforming the financial sector, and placing greater reliance on market mechanisms to direct economic activity. According to the 2010 World Factbook published by the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, the Indian government increased the pace of privatization in its transition from government control and toward a free market economy. A significant component of the program is the promotion of foreign investment in key areas of the economy. While the Indian government's policies have resulted in improved economic performance, there can be no assurance that the economic improvement will be sustained. Moreover, there can be no assurance that these economic reforms will continue or that any newly elected government will continue the program of economic liberalization of previous governments. Any change may adversely affect Indian laws and policies with respect to foreign investment and currency exchange. Such changes in economic policies could negatively affect general business and economic conditions in India, which could, in turn, adversely affect our business.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war within India or involving India and other countries could adversely affect the financial markets and our business.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence could have the direct effect of destroying our plants and property causing a loss and interruption of business. According to the CIA 2011 World Factbook, religious and border disputes persist in India and remain pressing problems. For example, India has from time to time experienced civil unrest and hostilities with Pakistan and other neighboring countries. The longstanding dispute with Pakistan over the border Indian states of Jammu and Kashmir, a majority of whose populations are Muslim, remains unresolved. While India and Pakistan resumed formal peace talks, there are no guarantees that these will be successful.

In addition, India continues to struggle with insurgent attacks from Maoist- Naxalite groups. If the Indian government is unable to control the violence and disruption associated with these insurgencies, then the result could be the destabilization of the economy, and, consequently, an adverse effect on our business.

Since early 2003, there have also been military hostilities and civil unrest in Afghanistan, in Iraq, and more recently in Pakistan and other Asian countries. These events could adversely affect the Indian economy, and, as a result, negatively impact our business.

While we may have insurance to cover some of these risks and can file claims against the Indian contracting agencies, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to collect in a timely manner. Further, India has a fairly active insurgency and a fairly active communist following. Any serious uprising from these groups could delay our roadwork and disrupt our business. Terrorist attacks, insurgencies, or other threats of violence could slow down road building activity and the production of iron ore and rock aggregate, thereby adversely affecting our business.

Exchange controls that exist in India may limit our ability to utilize our cash flow effectively following a business combination.

We are subject to India's rules and regulations on currency conversion. In India, the Foreign Exchange Management Act, FEMA, regulates the conversion of the Indian rupee into foreign currencies. However, as according to the Reserve Bank of India, comprehensive amendments have been made to FEMA to support the government's policy for economic liberalization. Companies are now permitted to operate in India without any special restrictions, effectively placing them on a par with wholly-owned Indian companies. In addition, foreign exchange controls have been substantially relaxed. Notwithstanding these changes, the Indian foreign exchange market is not yet fully developed and we cannot assure that the Indian authorities will not revert back to regulating companies and imposing new restrictions on the convertibility of the Indian rupee. Any future restrictions on currency exchange may limit our ability to use our cash flow to fund operations outside of India.

Changes in the exchange rate of the Indian rupee may negatively impact our revenues and expenses.

Our operations are primarily located in India. We receive payment in Indian rupees for the construction work and the sale of rock aggregate. Our contracts to supply iron ore to Chinese companies are paid in U.S. dollars. As the results of our operations are reported in U.S. dollars, to the extent that there is a decrease in the exchange rate of Indian rupees relative to U.S. dollars, such a decrease could have a material impact on our operating results or financial condition. This is unlikely because, as The Wall Street Journal reported in mid-April, the rupee is expected to appreciate another 3% against the dollar by the end of the year.

Table of Contents

Returns on investment in Indian companies may be decreased by withholding and other taxes.

Our investments in India will incur tax risks unique to investments in India and in developing economies in general. Income that might otherwise not be subject to the withholding of local income tax under normal international conventions may become subject to the withholding of Indian income tax. Under treaties with India and under local Indian income tax law, income is generally sourced in India and subject to Indian tax if paid from India. This is true whether or not the services or the earning of the income would normally be considered as being from sources outside India in other contexts. Additionally, proof of payment for Indian income taxes may be required as part of the remittance procedure. Any Indian taxes paid by us on income from our investments in India may or may not be creditable on our U.S. income tax returns. We may also incur taxes in India on any profits that we may choose to distribute as dividends to our shareholders.

We intend to avail ourselves of income tax treaties with India and minimize any Indian withholding tax or local taxes. However, there is no assurance that the Indian tax authorities will always recognize such treaties and their application to us. We have also created a foreign subsidiary in Mauritius, in order to limit the potential tax exposure.

Lack of availability of raw materials at competitive prices may negatively impact our profits.

Construction contracts are primarily dependent on adequate and timely supply of raw materials, such as cement, steel and aggregates, at competitive prices. As the demand from competing larger and well-established material supply firms increases for procuring raw materials, we could face a disproportionate increase in the price of raw materials that may negatively impact our profitability. To mitigate this risk, we are taking steps to become more vertically integrated, such as producing rock aggregate.

Some of our business is dependent on contracts awarded by the Indian government and its agencies.

The construction business is dependent on central and state Indian government budget allocations to the infrastructure sector. We derive the bulk of our construction revenue from contracts awarded by the Indian central and state governments and their agencies. If there are delays in payments by the government, our working capital requirements could increase. Our materials business is dependent on private sector companies which could be affected by government delays, indirectly burdening our business.

Compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act could adversely impact our competitive position. Failure to comply could subject us to penalties and other adverse consequences.

We are subject to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, which generally prohibits U.S. public companies from engaging in bribery or other prohibited payments to foreign officials for the purposes of obtaining or retaining business. While we will take precautions to educate the employees of our subsidiaries on the provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, there can be no assurance that we or the employees or agents of our subsidiaries will not engage in such conduct, for which we might be held responsible. We could suffer penalties that would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We may issue additional shares of our capital stock, including through convertible debt securities, which would reduce the equity interest of our stockholders and possibly cause a change in control of our ownership.

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 75,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. There are currently approximately 38,485,247 authorized but unissued shares of our common stock available for issuance. This is after appropriate reservation for the issuance of shares upon full exercise of our outstanding warrants and shares and

options authorized for issuance under our 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan. It is also after the reservation for conversion of all of the 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock available for issuance.

Table of Contents

We issued an aggregate of 2,516,389 shares of our common stock in connection with a private placement of debt securities and exchange of previously issued debt securities for new debt securities and common stock in October 2009, November 2010, December 2010, February 2011 and March, 2011, and may engage in similar private placements in the future. In addition, we may from time to time sell shares at the market. The issuance of additional shares of our common stock including the conversion of any debt securities may:

- Significantly reduce the equity interest of our existing shareholders.
- Adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock, warrants or units.

We may issue notes or other debt securities, which may adversely affect our leverage and financial condition.

During the 2009 and 2010 fiscal years, we sold an aggregate \$4,000,000 in private placements of debt securities and may engage in similar private placements in the future. In the current year, we have modified the terms of the debt arrangement to extend the repayment under the agreements and as a consideration for this extension issued equity shares to the debt holders. The incurrence of this debt and any subsequent modifications to the terms may:

- lead to default if our operating revenues are insufficient to pay our debt obligations;
- cause an acceleration of our obligations to repay the debt even if we make all principal and interest payments when due if we breach the covenants contained in the terms of the debt documents;
- create an obligation to immediately repay all principal and accrued interest, if any, upon demand to the extent any debt securities are payable on demand;
- hinder our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, to the extent any debt securities contain covenants restricting our ability to obtain additional financing while such securities are outstanding, or to the extent our existing leverage discourages other potential investors; and
- potentially lead to a dilution of our ownership if there are any subsequent issues of equity shares as consideration for further modifications or settlements.

Additional capital may be costly or difficult to obtain.

Additional capital, whether through the offering of equity or debt securities, may not be available on reasonable terms or at all. If we are unable to obtain required additional capital, we may have to curtail our growth plans or cut back on existing business. Furthermore, we may not be able to continue operating if we do not generate sufficient revenues from operations needed to stay in business. We may incur substantial costs in pursuing future capital financing, including investment banking fees, legal fees, accounting fees, securities law compliance fees, printing and distribution expenses and other costs. These costs may be increased because we may not currently be able to use a short-form registration statement on Form S-3 which will increase the costs and timing for any registered offering of our securities. In addition, to the extent that we are unable to provide timely reporting of our financial results it may further impair our ability to raise capital. We may also be required to recognize non-cash expenses in connection with certain securities we issue, such as, convertible notes and warrants, which may adversely impact our financial condition.

Assessment of penalties for time overruns and lack of quality may adversely affect our economic performance.

TBL executes construction contracts primarily in the roads and infrastructure development sectors. TBL typically enters into high value contracts for these activities, which impose penalties if the contracts are not executed in a timely manner. If TBL is unable to meet the performance criteria prescribed by the contracts, then levied penalties may adversely affect our financial performance. Furthermore, we may pay demurrage for some of our iron ore delivery contracts, if ore is not loaded onto ships in the time prescribed by delivery contracts. The payment of demurrage may adversely affect our financial performance. The ore shipped by us from India is shipped with a quality certificate from a leading company. However the buyers in China also perform quality measurements, which could differ from the initial quality certificate. This may result in negative price adjustments affecting our profit margins. The rock aggregate business is less sensitive to time overruns and quality.

Table of Contents

Our business is dependent on continuing relationships with clients and strategic partners.

Our business requires developing and maintaining strategic alliances with contractors that undertake turnkey contracts for infrastructure development projects and with government organizations. The business and our results could be adversely affected if we are unable to maintain continuing relationships and pre-qualified status with key clients and strategic partners.

Substantial portions of our assets are invested in Sricon. We currently do not have sufficient financial information about Sricon and the lack of such financial statements may impact our ability to accurately value our investment in Sricon.

We own 22% of the outstanding stock of Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited (“Sricon”). Despite our efforts to obtain current audited financial statements and other information from Sricon, they have refused to voluntarily supply such information. We have initiated legal actions, petitioning the Company Law Board (“CLB”) in India to compel Sricon to supply the relevant information for the financial years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. While we expect the CLB to ultimately grant us relief and while we have been able to obtain some information, including Sricon’s unaudited balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, contract claims Sricon is pursuing in the courts and independent valuations of Sricon’s real estate plant and machinery, all of which we have used in testing the impairment of the our receivable and investment in Sricon, the absence of other current financial information makes it difficult to accurately assess the value of our investment in Sricon. Further, we did not have the audited financial statements of Sricon for the year ended March 31, 2010 when we prepared our financial statements for that period.

In order to protect our investment in Sricon the Company has taken the following additional steps. In November 2010 the Company petitioned the high Court of Nagpur, India, for relief on its receivable and informed the court that it had a claim on Sricon’s assets. In January 2011 the Company received an order from the Company Law Board in India, a quasi-court that has jurisdiction over Indian companies, freezing all assets and stopping Sricon from incurring additional liability. The CLB also ordered Sricon to allow the Company to inspect its books. The January order notwithstanding, we further petitioned the CLB to compel Sricon to provide financial information and grant access to review and inspect the book of records, including financials, bank data, board meetings, property, plant and equipment register, and other relevant information as required. Pursuant to the CLB order, the Company has visited Sricon to conduct inspections in January 2011, February 2011, April 2011 and June 2011. While we have been able to obtain some information, we are not able to monitor Sricon on a day-to-day basis nor do we yet have complete financial information for Sricon. This makes it difficult to accurately monitor the value of our investment in Sricon. As of March 31, 2011 we carry the Sricon investment on our books at \$6.4 million and this value may be reduced in the future.

Our business model relies heavily on our management team and any unexpected loss of key officers may adversely affect our operations.

The continued success of our business is largely dependent on the continued services of key employees. The loss of the services of certain key personnel, without adequate replacement, could have an adverse effect on our performance. U.S. senior management and the senior management of our subsidiaries have played a significant role in developing and executing the overall business plan. They are also vitally important to maintaining client relationships, proprietary processes, and technology. While no one is irreplaceable, the loss of the services of any of our officers would be disrupting to our business. Our strategy, management, financial and operational oversight are heavily dependent on our Founder and CEO. The loss of our CEO could have a significant adverse effect on our business. In order to mitigate this risk factor we are recruiting professional managers and expanding our executive ranks, as well as, developing a succession plan, but there can be no guarantees that our mitigation efforts will be successful.

Quarterly financial results will vary.

Factors that may contribute to the variability of quarterly revenue, operating results or profitability include:

- Fluctuations in revenue due to seasonality. During the monsoon season, the heavy rains slow down construction work resulting in an overall slowdown of the supply of materials and construction activity. This results in uneven revenue and operating results through the quarters. In general, the months between June and September are the rainy seasons and these tend to be slower quarters.
- The availability of enough ships to transport iron ore during any particular quarter.
- Commencement, completion and termination of contracts during any particular quarter.
- Additions and departures of key personnel.
- Claims filed for delays in the execution and changes in the scope of contracts, among others, can sometimes enter arbitration and take time to settle. This could result in a tightening of working capital.
- Strategic decisions made by us and our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestitures, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments and changes in business strategy.

Our revenue recognition policy records contract revenue for those stages of a project that we complete after we receive certification from the client that the stage has been successfully completed. Since revenue is not recorded until we receive a certification from our clients, revenue recognition can be uneven.

Table of Contents

Our future operating results and the market price of our common stock could be materially adversely affected if we are required to write down the carrying value of goodwill and investment associated with any of our businesses in the future.

We review our goodwill balance and investments for impairment on at least an annual basis through the application of a fair value-based test. Our estimate of fair value is based primarily on projected future results and cash flows and other assumptions. In addition, we review long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. In the fourth quarter of our 2011 fiscal year, we performed our annual test for goodwill and investment impairment and determined that our goodwill arising on account of the acquisition of TBL and our investment in Sricon was further impaired. Similarly, in the future, if our projected discounted cash flows or the recoverable value of the underlying assets associated with our businesses do not exceed the carrying value of their net assets, we may be required to record further write downs of the carrying value of other long-lived assets associated with our businesses. If that is the case, then our operating results and the market price of our common stock may be adversely affected.

Our subsidiaries may become involved in litigation in the future.

Our construction and aggregate contracts are subject to the jurisdiction of the Indian courts. Our iron ore contracts frequently are subject to the jurisdiction of other foreign countries. Our subsidiaries may have to initiate actions in the Indian courts or in foreign courts to enforce their rights and may also be drawn into litigation. The expenses of litigation and any judgments against us could have an adverse effect on us.

We face competition in the infrastructure industry.

The Indian real estate and infrastructure industries, including the mining industries, are increasingly attracting foreign capital. We currently have competition from international and domestic companies that operate at the national level. Smaller localized contractors and companies are also competing in their respective regions. If we are unable to offer competitive prices and obtain contracts, there could be a significant reduction in our revenue.

A downturn in the economy could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

A generally adverse financial global economy or a regional recession including one in India and/or in China could adversely affect commodity prices and infrastructure build-out in Asia, which in turn could adversely affect our future performance and result in a drop in our stock price.

Our operations are sensitive to weather conditions.

Our business activities in India could be adversely affected by severe weather conditions. Severe weather conditions may require us to evacuate personnel or curtail services and may result in damage to a portion of our fleet of equipment or to our facilities. This might result in the suspension of operations, and may prevent us from delivering materials to project sites in accordance with contract schedules or generally reduce our productivity. Difficult working conditions and extremely high temperatures also adversely affect our operations during the summer months and during the monsoon season, which restrict our ability to carry on construction activities and fully utilize our resources.

Depending on the onset of the monsoons, revenue recorded in the first half of our fiscal year, particularly between June and September, is traditionally lower than revenue recorded during the second half of our fiscal year. During periods of curtailed activity due to adverse weather conditions, we may continue to incur operating expenses and build

material reserves, temporarily reducing profitability.

Table of Contents

We incur costs as a result of operating as a public company. Our management is required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives. Because we report in U.S. GAAP, we may experience delays in closing our books and records in India, and delays in the preparation of financial statements and related disclosures.

As part of a public company with substantial operations, we are experiencing an increase in legal, accounting and other expenses. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and new rules implemented by the SEC and the NYSE Amex have imposed various requirements on public companies, including requiring changes in corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel need to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. We have completed the testing of internal controls in all our subsidiaries. We expect to carry out the evaluations and install improved systems and processes as required. However, we cannot be certain as to the timing or completion of the remediation actions, or their impact on our operations. Furthermore, it is difficult to hire personnel in India who are familiar with U.S. GAAP. However, we have hired several competent consultants to help review our internal reporting and disclosures, and to train our Indian staff in SEC reporting and U.S. GAAP. We do not foresee a risk other than the time required to adequately complete the training and to implement the improved processes.

The audit report provided by Yoganandh and Ram (Y&R) will require a review by a U.S. firm.

While our audit firm, Yoganandh & Ram, is registered with the U.S. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the "PCAOB"), the SEC requires that the audits conducted by Yoganandh & Ram be reviewed by another PCAOB registered firm. If the review identifies changes to an audit, we will be required to amend our annual report as filed on Form 10-K incorporating the audited financial statements. During the year ended March 31, 2010, the PCAOB conducted an inspection of Yoganandh & Ram. One result of the inspection is an expected increase in our auditing expense.

The Company has 12,972,532 warrants outstanding, the exercise of which could dilute the number of shares outstanding.

At the time the warrants are exercised, the Company will get the exercise price, unless the exercise is cashless. In either case, such an exercise will also increase the number of shares outstanding. This may adversely affect the share price as the supply of shares eligible for sale in the public market will increase. The increased number of shares offered for sale in the public market may exceed the public demand to buy shares at a given market price resulting in the market price adjusting downward.

Although we are required to use our best efforts to have an effective registration statement covering the issuance of the shares underlying the public warrants at the time that our warrant holders exercise their public warrants, we cannot guarantee that a registration statement will be declared effective, in which case our warrant holders may not be able to exercise our public warrants and such warrants may expire worthless.

We have issued warrants to purchase our common stock in three public offerings: our initial public offering in March 2006, a registered direct offering in September 2009 and a public offering in December 2010. In the absence of an applicable exemption, holders of warrants issued in our public offerings will be able to exercise the warrants only if a current registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 relating to the shares of our common stock underlying the warrants is then effective. Although we have undertaken in the respective warrant agreements relating to such warrants, and therefore have a contractual obligation, to use our best efforts to maintain a current registration statement covering the shares underlying the public warrants to the extent required by federal securities laws, and we intend to comply with such undertaking as soon as possible, we do not have such a registration statement currently effective and we cannot assure the warrant holders that we will be able to do so in the future. We may not be currently eligible to register the warrants on a short-form registration on Form S-3 which will likely increase the time it will take to obtain an effective registration statement for exercise of the warrants. If we fail to comply with our contractual

obligations we could be liable to the holders of the warrants. In no event shall we be liable for, or any registered holder of any warrant be entitled to receive, (a) physical settlement in securities unless the conditions and requirements set forth in the warrant agreement have been satisfied, or (b) any net-cash settlement or other consideration in lieu of physical settlement in securities (provided that the holders of the warrants issued in our September 2009 and December 2010 offerings are entitled to cash payments if we fail to deliver shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants in a timely fashion). The value of the public warrants may be greatly reduced if a registration statement covering the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants is not kept current. Such warrants may even expire worthless. The warrants issued in our initial public offering that were to expire on March 3, 2011, now expire on March 8, 2013 since we exercised our right to extend the terms of those warrants. The warrants issued in our September 2009 and December 2010 offerings expire on September 18, 2012 and December 8, 2017 respectively. The outstanding warrants issued in our September 2009 and December 2010 offerings, currently exercisable for an aggregate of 1,117,410 shares of common stock, give the holders of such warrants the right to exercise the warrants on a cashless basis if at the time of exercise there is not an effective registration statement available for the issuance of the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants. We would not receive any proceeds from the cashless exercise of the warrants.

Table of Contents

With respect to any warrants sold by us in private placements pursuant to an exemption from registration requirements under the federal securities laws, the holders of the warrants sold in such private placements would be able to exercise their warrants even if, at the time of exercise, a prospectus relating to the common stock issuable upon exercise of such warrants is not current. As a result, the holders of the warrants purchased in the private placements would not have any material restrictions with respect to the exercise of their warrants.

The issuance of certain of securities by us may not have been made in compliance with the federal and state securities laws, which exposes us to potential liabilities, including potential rescission rights.

On July 14, 2010 we filed our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The Form 10-K contained audited financial statements included a qualified opinion from our auditors pending completion of their audit procedures in respect of the deconsolidation of one of our subsidiaries. We subsequently filed an amended Form 10-K which includes an unqualified audit opinion.

On January 19, 2011, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") notified us that the initial financial statements filed on July 14, 2010 did not comply with the requirements of Rule 2-02 under Regulation S-X for audited financial statements because the financial statements contained a qualified opinion. As noted above, the amended Form 10-K filed on January 28, 2011 contains audited financial statements with an unqualified opinion that comply with Rule 2-02. The Commission has indicated that as the initial Form 10-K filed on July 14, 2010 was materially deficient as a result of the inclusion of the qualified audit opinion. It was therefore deemed not to have been filed with the Commission in accordance with applicable requirements, thus making us delinquent in its filings with the Commission.

The Commission has informed us that as a result of the deemed failure to timely file a Form 10-K, it is the Staff's view that as of July 14, 2010 we ceased to be eligible to use SEC Form S-3 for the registration of the Company's securities. As the financial statements included in the original Form 10-K were also included in a registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-163867) pursuant to which we offered its common stock and warrants to purchase common stock in December 2010 (the "December 2010 Offering"), the Commission has also indicated that such registration statement failed to comply with the requirements of Form S-1 due to the lack of the inclusion of unqualified audited financial statements in compliance with Commission requirements.

Since the Commission has informed us that it is the Commission's view that as of July 14, 2010 we ceased to be eligible to use Form S-3 for the registration of our securities, it is possible that any sales of our securities pursuant to our registration statements on Form S-3 since July 14, 2010 may be deemed to be unregistered sales of its securities. Since July 14, 2010, we have sold an aggregate of 2,292,760 shares of its common stock for an aggregate price of \$ 1,536,886 pursuant to an at-the-market offering ("ATM") of its common stock on Form S-3 (File No. 333-160993) in sales that occurred between September 7, 2010 and January 19, 2011. In addition, we may be deemed to have made unregistered sales of the 2,575,830 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 858,610 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.90 per share sold for an aggregate gross purchase price of \$1,545,498 sold pursuant to such registration statement with respect to the December Offering. Alternatively, to the extent that the sales are deemed to be registered as a result of being sold pursuant to registration statements declared effective by the Commission as the registration statements in question either incorporated, in the case of the Form S-3 or included, in the case of the Form S-1, a qualified audit report the registration statements could be deemed to be materially incomplete.

If it is determined that persons who purchased our securities after July 14, 2010 purchased securities in an offering deemed to be unregistered or that the registration statements for such offerings were incomplete or inaccurate, then such persons may be entitled to rescission rights. In addition, the sale of unregistered securities could subject us to enforcement actions or penalties and fines by federal or state regulatory authorities. We are unable to predict the

likelihood of any claims or actions being brought against us related to these events, and there is a risk that any may have a material adverse effect on us. To date, we have not received any rescission requests.

If equity research analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or if they issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade our common stock, then the price of our common stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will rely in part on the research and reports that equity research analysts publish about our business and us. We do not control these analysts. The price of our stock could decline if one or more equity analysts downgrade our stock or if those analysts issue other unfavorable commentary or cease publishing reports about our business or us.

Table of Contents

We do not currently intend to pay dividends, which may limit the return on your investment in us.

We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

If we fail to comply with the NYSE Amex listing requirements, we could be delisted from the NYSE Amex equities market. Any such delisting could potentially limit investors' ability to make transactions in our securities and subject us to additional trading restrictions.

If we fail to comply with the listing requirements of the NYSE Amex we could be delisted from the NYSE Amex equities market. If at any time in the future, the NYSE Amex delists our securities from trading on its exchange, we could face significant adverse consequences, including a:

- limited availability of market quotations for our securities;
- determination that our common stock is a "penny stock" which will require brokers trading in our common stock to adhere to more stringent rules, possibly resulting in a reduced level of trading activity in the secondary trading market for our common stock;
- limited amount of news and analyst coverage for our company; and
- decreased ability to issue additional securities or obtain additional financing in the future

If our common stock were delisted and determined to be a "penny stock," a broker-dealer may find it more difficult to trade our common stock and an investor may find it more difficult to acquire or dispose of our common stock in the secondary market.

If our common stock were removed from listing with the NYSE Amex, it may be subject to the so-called "penny stock" rules. The SEC has adopted regulations that define a penny stock to be any equity security that has a market price per share of less than \$5.00, subject to certain exceptions, such as any securities listed on a national securities exchange. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers, subject to certain exceptions. If our common stock were delisted and determined to be a penny stock, a broker-dealer may find it more difficult to trade our common stock and an investor may find it more difficult to acquire or dispose of our common stock on the secondary market. Investors in penny stocks should be prepared for the possibility that they may lose their whole investment.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable

Table of Contents

Item 2. Properties

We do not own any real estate or other physical properties materially important to our operation. Our headquarters are located at 4336 Montgomery Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814. TBL's headquarters are located at 34/136 A Edappally Bypass Road, Cochin 682024, Kerala, India. In addition, we have offices in Mauritius, Nagpur, Chennai and Bangalore, India. We have temporary facilities at each of our work centers in the states of Karnataka, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and Goa.

The Company is not involved in investments in real estate or interests in real estate, real estate mortgages, or securities of or interests in persons primarily engaged in real estate activities, as all of its land rights are used for production purposes.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In January 2011, one of our subsidiaries -IGC-M- initiated legal proceedings against the Sricon management requesting the Company Law Board in India to stay any transactions -such as purchase, sale or a further creation of charge on Sricon's fixed properties including land and plant and machinery - citing mismanagement of company affairs by the present management. IGC-M has also sued for recovery of the investment in Sricon and suitable compensation thereon.

Subsequently in January 2011, the Company received a favorable order from the Company Law Board granting the requested stay. The proceedings for the recovery of investment and a suitable compensation are currently pending adjudication at the Company Law Board, Mumbai.

Item 4. [Reserved.]

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company consummated its initial public offering on March 8, 2006. In the initial public offering, the Company offered units for purchase. A unit in the Company is comprised of one share of common stock of the Company and two warrants to purchase one share of common stock. On April 13, 2006, there was a voluntary separation of the Company's units into shares of common stock and warrants to purchase common stock which permitted separate trading of the common stock and warrants. The common stock, units and warrants trade on the NYSE Amex under the symbols "IGC," "IGC.U," and "IGC.WT," respectively.

The following table shows, for the last eight fiscal quarters, the high and low closing prices per share of the Common Stock, Warrants and Units as quoted on the NYSE Amex:

Quarter Ended	Common Stock		Warrants		Units	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
June 30, 2009	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.02
September 30, 2009	\$ 1.86	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.05	\$ 2.32	\$ 1.00
December 31, 2009	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.04	\$ 2.50	\$ 1.34
March 31, 2010	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.03	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.20
June 30, 2010	\$ 2.05	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.03	\$ 2.45	\$ 1.06
September 30, 2010	\$ 1.22	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 1.32	\$ 0.85
December 31, 2010	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.00	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.55
March 31, 2011	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.62

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table includes the information as of March 31, 2011 for our equity compensation plans:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	1,413,000	\$ 1.00	471,045

Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	1,413,000	\$	1.00 471,045

(1) Consists of our 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as amended. See Note 16—“Stock-Based Compensation” of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Holders

Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company is the transfer agent and registrar for our common stock. As of July 5, 2011, we had 3,890 holders of record of our common stock, 149 holders of record of our units and 2,049 holders of record of our warrants. The number of record holders does not include persons who held our common stock in nominee or “street name” accounts through brokers.

Dividends

IGC has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock to date. It is the present intention of the board of directors to retain all earnings, if any, for use in the business operations. Consequently, the board does not anticipate declaring any dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of any dividends will be at the discretion of the board of directors and will be contingent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors our board deems relevant.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

There were no unregistered securities sold by us during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 not previously reported on a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the Company made no purchases of its equity securities.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 6 does not apply to us because we are a smaller reporting company.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Restatement

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, India Globalization Capital, Inc.:

- (a) Restates its consolidated statements of operations and consolidated cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2010;
- (b) Amends its management discussion and analysis as it relates to the year ended March 31, 2010; and
- (c) Restates its unaudited quarterly financial data for the quarter ended December 31, 2009

Table of Contents

Background of the restatement:

As previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on June 15, 2011, the Company's management, in consultation with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, concluded that the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2010 (the "2010 Annual Financial Statements") included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year then ended, as amended (the "2010 Annual Report") and in the quarterly Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2009 should no longer be relied upon.

The changes described above are non-cash items and do not impact the Company's operations.

The financial statements have been restated to reflect:

(i) A Reclassification in the Company's Statement of Cash Flows: Sricon India Private Limited (SIPL), a subsidiary of IGC Inc., had been deconsolidated effective October 1, 2009. Upon deconsolidation, the cash flows of SIPL for the six months ended September 30, 2009 were re-classified and presented as equity in earnings of affiliates. The cash flows for the year ended 31 March 2010 have now been restated to contain transactions relating to SIPL up until the date of deconsolidation; and

(ii) Computation of diluted earnings per share: The effect of dilution was inadvertently considered while computing the Earnings Per Share (EPS) although there was a loss by IGC Inc. The restatement now rightly shows the EPS taking into consideration the loss.

(iii) Tax rate reconciliation: Our tax rate reconciliation as of March 31, 2010 is restated so that the effective tax rate in our financial statements is in line with the effective tax rate computed in our statement of operations.

Effects of Restatement

The restated items are non-cash and do not impact the Company's operations.

The adjustments made as a result of the restatement are more fully discussed in Note 3, Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report. To further review and understand the restatement adjustments, see Part II—Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Annual Report. For a description of the deficiencies in control over financial reporting identified by management as a result of the investigation and our internal reviews, and management's plan to remediate those deficiencies, see Part II—Item 9A—Controls and Procedures.

The previously filed Annual Reports for the period ended March 31, 2010 filed on Form 10-K and quarterly report for the period ended December 31, 2009 filed on Form 10-Q were affected by the restatement and have not been amended. Instead the amended statements are presented here. Accordingly, investors should no longer rely upon the Company's previously released financial statements for these periods and any earnings releases or other previous communications relating to these periods.

All amounts in this Annual Report for the period ended March 31, 2010 are the amounts as restated.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the definition of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including, among others, (a) our expectations about possible business combinations, (b) our growth strategies, (c) our future financing plans, and (d) our anticipated needs for working capital. Forward-looking

statements, which involve assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies, and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words “may,” “should,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “approximate,” “estimate,” “believe,” “intend,” “plan,” “project,” or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology. This information may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from the future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. These statements may be found in this report. Actual events or results may differ from those discussed in forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, without limitation, the risks outlined under our “Description of Business” and matters described in this report generally. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the events anticipated in the forward-looking statements may or may not occur. These statements are based on current expectations and speak only as of the date of such statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of future events, new information or otherwise.

Table of Contents

The information contained in this report identifies important factors that could adversely affect actual results and performance. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing cautionary statements.

Background

IGC, a Maryland corporation, was organized on April 29, 2005 as a blank check company formed for the purpose of acquiring one or more businesses with operations primarily in India through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination or acquisition. On March 8, 2006, we completed an initial public offering. On February 19, 2007, we incorporated India Globalization Capital, Mauritius, Limited (IGC-M), a wholly owned subsidiary, under the laws of Mauritius. On March 7, 2008, we consummated the acquisition of 63% of the equity of Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited (Sricon) and 77% of the equity of Techni Bharathi Limited (TBL). The shares of the two Indian companies, Sricon and TBL, are held by IGC-M.

Most of the shares of Sricon and TBL acquired by IGC were purchased directly from the companies. IGC purchased a portion of the shares from the existing owners of the companies. The founders and management of Sricon own 78% of Sricon (after giving effect to the deconsolidation described below) and the founders and management of TBL own 23% of TBL.

Subsequent to the acquisitions, IGC borrowed, through an intermediary, approximately \$17.9 million from Sricon.

The acquisitions were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Under this method of accounting, for accounting and financial purposes, IGC-M, Limited was treated as the acquiring entity and Sricon and TBL as the acquired entities. However since no premium was paid for the acquisition of these entities and since these entities had no operations at the time of purchase, there was no goodwill recorded on acquisition relating to these entities.

On February 19, 2009 IGC-M beneficially purchased 100% of IGC Mining and Trading, Limited based in Chennai India. IGC-IMT was formed on December 16, 2008 as a privately held start-up company engaged in the business of mining and trading. Its current activity is to operate a shipping hub and to export iron ore to China. On July 4, 2009 IGC-M beneficially purchased 100% of IGC Materials, Private Limited, and 100% of IGC Logistics, Private Limited. Both these companies are based in Nagpur, India, which will be involved in the transport and delivery of ore, cement, aggregate and other material. Each of IGC-IMT, IGC-MPL and IGC-LPL were formed by third parties at the behest of IGC-M to facilitate the creation of the subsidiaries, and the purchase price paid for each of IGC-IMT, IGC-MPL and IGC-LPL was equal to the expenses incurred in incorporating the respective entities with no premium paid. No officer or director of IGC had a financial interest in the subsidiaries at the time of their acquisition by IGC-M. India Globalization Capital, Inc. (the Registrant, the Company, or we) and its subsidiaries are significantly engaged in one segment, infrastructure construction.

Effective October 1, 2009, we decreased our ownership in Sricon Infrastructure from 63% to 22.3%. As explained in Note 18 (Deconsolidation) to the financial statements included herein, on or about March 7, 2008 we consummated the Sricon Acquisition by purchasing 63% for about \$29 million (based on an exchange rate of 40 INR for \$1 USD). We subsequently borrowed around \$17.9 million (based on 40 INR for \$1 USD). Throughout 2008 and 2009 we expanded our business offerings beyond construction to include a rapidly growing materials business. We have successfully repositioned the company as a materials and construction company; with construction activity in our TBL subsidiary and materials activity in our other subsidiaries. Rather than continue to owe \$17.9 million, and more importantly, continue to fund two construction companies, we decreased our ownership in Sricon from 63% to 22.3%. The impact of this is that our corresponding ownership is a non-controlling interest. The deconsolidation of Sricon from the balance sheet of IGC resulted in reducing the assets on our balance sheet and a one-time charge to our income statement.

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to the “Company”, “IGC”, “we”, “our”, and “us” refer to India Globalization Capital, Inc., together with its wholly owned subsidiary IGC-M, and its subsidiaries and non-controlling interests (TBL, IGC-MPL, IGC-LPL, IGC IMT).

Table of Contents

Overview

In response to the increased demand for infrastructure in India and China, our focus is to supply construction materials in India and to China as well as execute infrastructure projects. We do this primarily through our subsidiaries. We supply construction materials such as iron ore and rock aggregate. We build interstate highways, rural roads, and execute civil works in high temperature cement and steel plants. We own and operate rock aggregate quarries. We are pursuing joint venture partnerships with mine owners and have applied for licenses to mine iron ore in India. We have customers in India and China and are exploring other regional opportunities.

Company Overview

We are a materials and construction company offering a suite of services including: 1) the export of iron ore to China, 2) operations and supply of rock aggregate, and 3) the civil construction of roads and highways. Our present and past clients include various Indian government organizations and steel mills in China. Including our subsidiaries, we have approximately 130 employees and contractors. We are focused building out rock aggregate quarries, setting up relations and export hubs for the export of iron ore to China and winning construction contracts.

On January 21, 2011 ex-Minister for Road Transport and Highways, Mr. Kamal Nath, current India's Minister of Urban Development, told The Economic Times that he "had succeed in building a momentum in India's road construction [...] [by leaving] more than 20,000 km of work in progress [...] [and by achieving] 12 km a day of road construction." According to the 2010 India Infrastructure Summit, the strong level of economic growth achieved in India in recent years has led to an expansion of industry, commerce and per-capita income. This in turn has fuelled demand for infrastructure services including energy, transportation, telecom, water supply and other urban infrastructure. This build out of infrastructure in India, we believe, will create a substantial demand for rock aggregate. In addition, and according to the April 2011 Steel Industry Executive Summary by the U.S. International Trade Administration, China's February 2011 steel production level increased by 2.8% to 54.3 million metric tons from 52.8 million metric tons in January. The same source asserts that China's share of total world steel production increased from 44% to 47% between January 2011 and February 2011, accounting for nearly half of monthly total world production. We believe that these trends will continue to be favorable to our business.

Our model is as follows:

1. We supply iron ore to China and trade in steel in the Indian markets.
2. We supply rock aggregate to the construction industry in India and trade in other construction materials in the Indian markets, and
3. We bid and execute construction and engineering contracts.

Our expansion plans include building out 10 rock aggregate quarries to create a one-stop shop for rock aggregate (a business currently not prevalent in India); obtaining licenses for the mining of iron ore in India in order to fill customer orders from China; and winning and executing construction contracts. There is seasonality in our business as outdoor construction activity slows down during the Indian monsoons. The heavy rains typically continue intermittently from June through September.

Industry Overview

The CIA 2011 World Fact Book estimated the Indian GDP to be approximately \$1.43 trillion in 2010. According to the World Bank, only fifteen economies including India, Mexico and Australia generated more than \$1 Trillion in

GDP in 2010. According to the CIA 2011 World Fact Book, “strong headline GDP growth and quarter-on-quarter results indicate that the recovery of the Indian economy is robust. Backed by strong growth of 8.9 percent y-o-y in the first half of FY2010-11, the economy is estimated to grow by 8.6 percent during the fiscal year.” The Financial Times noted that a recent Economic Survey of India projected growth at 8.5% in 2010 and 9% in 2011, second only to that of China.

Table of Contents

The factors contributing to maintaining the relatively high growth included growth in the agriculture and service industries, favorable demographic dynamics (India has a large youth population that exceeds 550 million), the savings rate, and the spending habits of the Indian middle class. Other factors that led to growth include: changing investment patterns, increasing consumerism, healthy business confidence, inflows of foreign investment (India ranks #3 in the A.T. Kearney “FDI Confidence Index” for 2010), and improvements in the Indian banking system.

To sustain India’s fast growing economy, infrastructure investment in India is expected to increase to 9 percent of GDP by 2014, up from 5 percent in 2006-07. This forecast is based on The Indian Planning Commission’s statement in its annual publication that for the Eleventh Plan period (2007-12), a large investment of approximately \$494 billion is required for Infrastructure build-out and modernization. This industry is one of the largest employers in the country. The construction industry alone employs more than 30 million people. According to the Business Monitor International (BMI), by 2012, the construction industry’s contribution to India’s GDP is forecasted to be approximately 17%.

This ambitious infrastructure development mandate by the Indian government will require funding. The government of India has already raised funds from multi-lateral agencies such as the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank. The India Infrastructure Company was set up to support projects by guaranteeing up to \$2.0 billion annually. In addition, the Indian government has identified public-private partnerships (PPP) as the cornerstone of its infrastructure development policy. The Indian government is also proactively seeking additional FDI and approval is not required for up to 100% of FDI in most infrastructure areas. According to Indian Prime Minister, Dr. Manmohan Singh, India needs \$1 trillion in Infrastructure spending between fiscal years 2011/2012 and 2016/2017.

The Indian government is also permitting External Commercial Borrowings (ECB’s) as a source of financing Indian companies looking to expand existing capacity and incubation for new startups. ECB’s include commercial bank loans, buyers’ credit, suppliers’ credit, securitized instruments such as floating rate notes and fixed rate bonds, credit from official export credit agencies, and commercial borrowings from private sector multilateral financial institutions such as the International Finance Corporation (Washington, DC), ADB, AFIC, CDC, etc. National credit policies seek to keep an annual cap or ceiling on access to ECB, consistent with prudent debt management. These policies encourage a greater emphasis on infrastructure projects in core sectors such as power, oil exploration, telecom, railways, roads and bridges, ports, industrial parks, urban infrastructure, and exporting.

Our operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including among others, dependency on the Indian and Asian economy and government policies, competitively priced raw materials, dependence upon key members of the management team, and increased competition from existing and new entrants. See the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 for a discussion of certain of these risks.

Results of Operations

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 compared to fiscal year ended March 31, 2010

The following table presents an overview of our results of operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Year ended March 31,			
	2011	2010		
	(as restated)	(as restated)	Change	Percentage
Revenues	\$ 4,073,919	\$ 17,897,826	\$ (13,823,907)	-77.24%
Cost of revenues	(3,914,655)	(15,671,840)	11,757,185	-75.02%
	(7,283,089)	(5,614,673)	(1,668,416)	29.72%

Selling, General and Administrative expenses				
Depreciation	(785,066)	(603,153)	(181,913)	30.16%
Impairment loss – goodwill	(5,792,849)	-	(5,792,849)	100.00%
Impairment loss – investment	(2,184,599)	-	(2,184,599)	100.00%
Operating income (loss)	(15,886,339)	(3,991,840)	(11,894,499)	297.97%
Interest and other financial expenses				
Interest Income	(1,587,237)	(1,577,902)	(9,335)	0.59%
Other Income	262,826	210,097	52,729	25.10%
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	301,182	281,782	19,400	6.88%
Equity in earnings of affiliates	-	(2,856,088)	2,856,088	-100.00%
Income before income taxes and minority interest	-	16,446	(16,446)	-100.00%
Income taxes benefit/(expense)	(16,909,568)	(7,917,505)	(8,992,063)	113.57%
Income after income taxes	(4,100,225)	3,109,704	7,209,929	231.85%
	\$ (21,009,793)	\$ (4,807,801)	\$ (16,201,992)	336.99%

Table of Contents

Revenue - Total revenue is \$ 4.07 million for the year ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$17.90 million for the year ended March 31, 2010. The primary reasons for the decrease in revenues of \$ 13.83 million include:

- One of the former subsidiaries of the Company -Sricon- was deconsolidated due to a stake sale effective October 1, 2009. The revenue attributable to Sricon for the year ended March 31, 2010 was \$3.1 million (which constituted revenues for the six months ended September 30, 2009 prior to the deconsolidation) which was not recorded in the current year.
- Decrease in revenue from the infrastructure business amounting to \$3.92 million primarily on account of claims awarded in the previous year which was recorded as revenue. There were no such claims awarded during the current year.
- Decrease in revenue from the iron ore and mining businesses amounting to \$7.84 million primarily on account of the ban on export of low grade iron ore to China and closure of ports and mines in Karnataka as explained earlier in the risk factors.

This decrease is partially offset by an increase amounting to \$1.3 million on account of the trading business in rock aggregate and other construction materials.

Cost of Revenue - Cost of revenue is exclusive of depreciation and amortization. It consists primarily of compensation and related fringe benefits for project-related personnel, department management, and all other dedicated project related costs and indirect costs. Cost of revenue for the year ended March 31, 2011 decreased by \$11.8 million, compared to the year ended March 31, 2010. This decrease is substantially in line with the decrease in revenue as explained above.

Cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue has increased from 87.56% in the previous year to 96.09% in the current year. This increase is on account of the significant decline in the iron ore business in the current year.

The difference between our revenues and cost of revenues decreased by \$ 2.07 million or 93% to \$ 0.16 million for the year ended March 31, 2011 as compared to \$2.23 million for the year ended March 31, 2010. The principal reason for the decrease in the same during the year ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the previous year was the reduction in revenue during the year as explained above. As a percentage of revenue, the difference between revenue and cost of revenue was 3.91% and 12.44% for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Even though a significant part of the costs associated with revenue also decreased in line with revenue, we had some fixed costs which did not reduce proportionately leading to a decline in our gross profit margin.

Selling, General and Administrative expenses – These consist primarily of employee-related expenses, professional fees, other corporate expenses, allocated overhead and provisions and write-offs relating to doubtful and bad debts and advances. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$ 7.28 million for the year ended March 31, 2011 compared \$5.61 million for the year ended March 31, 2010. The expenses as a proportion of revenue during the current year were 178.77% as compared to 31.37% in the previous year. The substantial increase in this proportion was primarily due to:

- Provision relating to the receivable from one of the investee companies – Sricon. One of the subsidiaries of the Company -TBL- had advanced this loan to Sricon to fund some of the operations. However due to certain management disputes, the Company the receivable has not been recovered even though the same is due. The Company intends to pursue the collection of this receivable through appropriate legal recourse in India. However, due to the uncertainty in the timing and the quantum of collection, the Company in the current year has provided for this receivable amounting to \$3.14 million.
 - Write-off of certain bad debts that were considered to be irrecoverable amounting to \$1.52 million.

Out of the total write off for the year ended March 31, 2011 amounting to \$1.52 million, \$1.26 million relates to the sale of ore. The receivable arose when we shipped ore to a Chinese company. With almost no warning the Chinese government banned the import of ore below 60% Fe content, except by a few licensed ore dealers. Our dealer was not one of them. Given the sudden unanticipated change in the rules, we were forced to sell the ore to another dealer. The new dealer took possession of the iron ore and the receivable was supposed to be collected within 90 days. After several months of discussion with the dealer failed to resolve the issue, we decided to write off the amount. The remaining write-off amounting to \$0.26 million relates to sales in the normal course of business arising from the sale of rock aggregate and iron ore.

Excluding the impact of the above write-offs, the selling, general and administrative expenses as a proportion of revenue was 64.37% in the current year as compared to 31.37% in the previous year. The increase is due to the fixed overheads incurred for the operations that are not proportionate to the revenue generated.

Depreciation – The depreciation expense was \$ 0.7 million in 2011 as compared to \$0.6 million in 2010.

Income from operations - Loss from operations increased from \$3.99 million for the year ended March 31, 2010 to a loss of \$7.91 million for the year ended March 31, 2011, which is an increase of \$3.92 million in losses.

Table of Contents

Interest and other financial expense— The interest expense for the year ended March 31, 2011 was \$ 1.59 million as compared to \$1.58 million for the year ended March 31, 2010. For the previous year ended March 31, 2010, interest expense relating to Sricon amounting to \$0.29 million was recorded in the books of the Company. As explained earlier, Sricon was deconsolidated effective October 1, 2009 and therefore no such interest expense was recorded in the books during the current year. However, there is a corresponding increase of \$0.28 million in the interest expense primarily due to the modification of the notes payable which were due in the current year. The Company has extended the term of repayment for these notes and the consideration for the modification was settled through the issue of equity shares.

Interest income – The interest income for the year ended March 31, 2011 was \$ 0.26 million as compared to \$0.21 million for the year ended March 31, 2010.

Impairment loss – investment – During the current year, the Company performed an impairment analysis relating to its investment in Sricon. As a background, the Company sold a majority part of its stake in Sricon effective October 1, 2009. Following the sale, the equity interest of the Company in Sricon was reduced from 63% to 22%. In the current year, the Company has had a dispute with the management of Sricon. IGC has therefore moved to a cost basis of accounting for the investment in Sricon given the lack of significant influence in the management of Sricon despite our 22% stake. The Company conducted an impairment test on the investment based on the information available with it and as a result has provided for \$2.18 million as impairment loss.

Impairment loss – goodwill – The goodwill balance in the books of the Company is allocated to the TBL reporting unit. During the current year, in the fourth quarter, the Company performed its annual impairment test on the goodwill balance. The Company assessed the fair value of the reporting unit based on the recoverable values of the assets and the expected settlement values of its liabilities. Based on the impairment analysis, the Company has provided for a loss amounting to \$5.79 million relating to the goodwill balance for the year ended March 31, 2011. Factors that influence the analysis include contracts, potential contracts, collection of claims, ability to grow the quarry and ore business, and other factors.

Loss on dilution of stake – The charge for the year ended March 31, 2010 included a significant one-time charge of \$2.86 million relating to the deconsolidation of Sricon. This charge relating to deconsolidation consists of a one-time charge of about \$2.10 million, which represents a portion of the other comprehensive income of Sricon that accumulated from the time that IGC acquired 63% of Sricon. This also consists of a one-time loss of \$0.76 million as a result of decreasing our ownership from 63% to 22.3% in Sricon and extinguishing the loan of \$17.9 million due to Sricon.

Other income – Other income primarily consists of foreign exchange gain arising from the restatement of the inter-company receivables, denominated in Indian rupees, regarding payables to IGC. Further during the current year, the Company has re-recorded liabilities relating to the promoters of TBL amounting to approximately \$0.26 million. This liability was disputed by the Company in the previous year and in the current year, based on an internal assessment, the Company has concluded that the same is no longer payable.

Income tax expense – We had an income tax expense of \$4.1 million for the year ended March 31, 2011 as compared to an income tax benefit of \$3.11 million for the year ended March 31, 2010. The income tax benefit for the previous year was primarily on account of losses incurred in the previous year, which we believed would be offset against taxable profits in the future years due to the execution of the substantial orders received from China. During the current year, considering the continued ban on import of low grade iron ore by China and the shut down on mining and exports from Karnataka, the Company believes that the timing of the execution of the orders is not estimable. Therefore, from the perspective of prudence the Company has provided a valuation on the entire deferred tax asset balance during the current year resulting in the substantial income tax expense.

We however continue to expect to perform and deliver ore to our customer and earn sufficient taxable income to utilize all the deferred tax assets that we have recorded. We have not relied on any specific tax planning strategies in the recognition of the deferred tax assets.

Net loss – The Company had a loss of \$4.81 million for the year ended March 31, 2010 as compared to a loss of \$21.01 million for the year ended 31 March 2011. This loss was driven primarily by the decision to impair our investment in Sricon as well as the goodwill in TBL, the creation of the valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset, and the decline in revenues during the current year.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

This liquidity and capital resources discussion compares the consolidated company results for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

We have financed our operations primarily from sales of shares of common stock. We raised about \$ 3.9 Million capital during the year through sale of our common stock. We raised such capital in the current year primarily for the purpose of funding our working capital requirements and day to day operations. Our operations did not generate sufficient cash during the current fiscal year due to a significant loss in revenues from our iron ore and mining business amounting to \$7.84 million, primarily because of the ban on export of low grade iron ore to China and closure of ports and mines in Karnataka (India). Income loss on this count is majorly the reason for the net cash used in operating activities as although the though a significant part of the costs associated with revenue also decreased in line with revenue, we had some fixed costs which did not reduce proportionately leading to a decline in our operating profits . A part of the aforementioned capital raised was also used to repay a portion of the outstanding note to Bricoleur (approximately \$ 200,000) and short term borrowings (approximately \$ 229,000). During the year ended March 31, 2011, net cash used for investing activities is not material.

Our future liquidity needs will depend on, among other factors, stability of construction costs, interest rates, and a continued increase in infrastructure contracts in India. As of March 31, 2011, we have about \$1.9 million in restricted cash. \$1.6 million of this amount is a cash deposit that we made as a performance guarantee for a large road-building contract. However, this contract has been curtailed and we are seeking the release of this restricted cash. This has not been referred to arbitration but is being negotiated between the client and TBL. We are hopeful in resolving this issue in the financial year ending March 31, 2012. However, there can be no guarantee that we will be successful in winning the release of some or all of the restricted cash. In addition, we have about \$2.37 million in receivables from claims. Although these claims were awarded in arbitration and the amounts are contractually due to us, we have not yet received payment from the clients. The amounts have been due for over one year. In the event we were to classify these receivables as long term, or we fail to collect the amounts, or we fail to win the release of all or some of the restricted cash in the financial year ending March 31, 2012, we will have a working capital deficit and may be required to raise additional capital through debt or equity financing. There can be no assurance that we would be able to do so. We believe that our current cash balances, anticipated operating cash flow, and potential release of restricted cash or the collection of the claim receivable are adequate to sustain the Company, but not to fuel rapid growth commensurate with the opportunities before us. We have and continue to take measures to constrain growth until we have visibility into increased liquidity. As of now our bank lines in India have been reduced to amounts borrowed and outstanding. We continue to explore funding sources including negotiated settlement of accounts receivable, settlement of claims, bank lines, equity, convertible debentures, and debt. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to access additional credit facilities. Our strategy is to develop businesses that have a very short receivable cycle like the export of ore to China and the sale of rock aggregate and to aggressively collect our outstanding receivables, claims and seek a resolution to the release of restricted cash.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

We do not have any investments in special purpose entities or undisclosed borrowings or debt.

Critical Accounting policies

Estimates

While preparing financial statements we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, and disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of

revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Such critical accounting estimates could change from period to period and have a material impact on IGC's results of operations, financial position and cash flows. Actual results may differ from estimates. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which estimates are revised and future periods affected.

Revenue

We are a materials and construction company offering services including: 1) export of iron ore to China 2) quarrying and delivery of rock aggregate, 3) transport and logistics and 4) civil construction of roads and highways,

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of a transaction exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. In the case of government contracts, we recognize revenue when a government consultant verifies and certifies an invoice for payment.

Table of Contents

Revenue from sale of goods is recognized when substantial risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the buyer under the terms of the contract.

For the sale of goods, the timing of the transfer of substantial risks and rewards of ownership is based on the contract terms negotiated with the buyer, e.g., FOB or CIF. IGC considers the guidance provided under SAB 104 in determining revenue from sales of goods.

Considerations have been given to all four conditions for revenue recognition under that guidance. The four conditions are:

- Contract – Persuasive evidence of our arrangement with the customers;
- Delivery – Based on the terms of the contracts, we assess whether the underlying goods have been delivered and therefore the risks and rewards of ownership are completely transferred;
- Fixed or determinable price – We enter into contracts where the price for the goods being sold is fixed and not contingent upon other factors.
- Collection is deemed probable – At the time of recognition of revenue, we make an assessment of our ability to collect the receivable arising on the sale of the goods and determine that collection is probable.

Revenue for any sale is recognized only if all of the four conditions set forth above are met. These criteria are applied by us at the time of each sale. In any of the criteria set out above is not met, we defer revenue recognition until all of the four conditions are met.

Revenue from construction/project related activity and contracts for supply/commissioning of complex plant and equipment is recognized as follows:

- Cost plus contracts: Contract revenue is determined by adding the aggregate cost plus proportionate margin as agreed with the customer and expected to be realized.
- Fixed price contracts: Contract revenue is recognized using the percentage of completion method and the percentage of completion is determined as a proportion of cost incurred-to-date to the total estimated contract cost.

Changes in estimates for revenues, costs to complete and profit margins are recognized in the period in which they are reasonably determinable. Appropriate adjustments are made for change orders, disputes, and other factors affecting the contract. Full provision is made for any loss in the period in which it is foreseen.

Revenue from service related activities and miscellaneous other contracts are recognized when the service is rendered using the proportionate completion method or completed service contract method.

Income taxes

Deferred income tax is recorded for the difference between the basis of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and income tax purposes. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized in future periods. The measurement of deferred tax assets involves judgment regarding the deductibility of costs not yet subject to taxation and estimates regarding sufficient future taxable income to enable utilization of unused tax loss carry-forwards in the applicable tax jurisdictions.

Inventories

We provide for inventory obsolescence, excess inventory and inventories with carrying values in excess of market values based on our assessment of the future demands, market conditions and our specific inventory management

procedures. If market conditions and actual demands are less favorable than our estimates, additional inventory write-downs may be required. In all cases inventory is carried at the lower of historical cost or market value.

Table of Contents

Accounts receivable

We make estimates of the collectibility of our accounts receivable by analyzing historical payment patterns, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness and current economic trends. If the financial condition of a customer deteriorates, additional allowances may be required.

Policy for Goodwill and Impairment

Goodwill represents the excess cost of an acquisition over the fair value of our share of net identifiable assets of the acquired subsidiary at the date of acquisition. Goodwill on acquisition of subsidiaries is disclosed separately. Goodwill is stated at cost less impairment losses incurred, if any.

We adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 350, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Others”, (previously referred to as SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets”, which sets forth the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. ASC 350 requires that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets be allocated to the reporting unit level, which we define as each subsidiary. ASC 350 also prohibits the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets upon adoption, but requires that they be tested for impairment at least annually, or more frequently as warranted, at the reporting unit level.

As per ASC 350-20-35-4 through 35-19, the impairment testing of goodwill is a two-step process. The first step of the goodwill impairment test, used to identify potential impairment, compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired, thus the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test shall be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test, used to measure the amount of impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of reporting unit goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss shall be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The loss recognized cannot exceed the carrying amount of goodwill. After a goodwill impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of goodwill shall be its new accounting basis. Subsequent reversal of a previously recognized goodwill impairment loss is prohibited once the measurement of that loss is completed.

In ASC 350.20.20 a reporting unit is defined as an operating segment or one level below the operating segment. A component of an operating segment is a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of that component. We have determined that IGC operates in a single operating segment. While the CEO reviews the consolidated financial information for the purposes of decisions relating to resource allocation, the CFO, on a need basis, looks at the financial statements of the individual legal entities in India for the limited purpose of consolidation. Given the existence of discrete financial statements at an individual entity level in India, we believe that each of these entities constitute a separate reporting unit.

Therefore, the first step in the impairment testing for goodwill is the identification of reporting units and the allocation of goodwill to these reporting units. Accordingly, TBL which is one of the legal entities, is also considered to be a separate reporting unit and therefore we believe that the assessment of goodwill impairment at the subsidiary level which is also a reporting unit is appropriate.

Our analysis of fair value is based on the estimate of the recoverable value of the underlying assets. For long lived assets such as land, we obtain appraisals from independent professional appraisers to determine the recoverable value. For other assets such as receivables, the recoverable value is determined based on an assessment of the collectability

and any potential losses due to default by the counter parties. Unlike goodwill, long lived assets are assessed for impairment only where there are any specific indicators for impairment.

Our methodology for conducting the impairment test has remained substantially the same as compared to the method that we used in the previous year. The impairment loss for the current year is primarily due to the fact that the revenues of TBL have not been able to match the projections that we had in the previous year and therefore, the projected cash flows that contribute to an assessment of 'value-in-use' has been minimal.

Table of Contents

Impairment of investment

The impairment analysis test is done based on a similar recoverable approach as used in the impairment test for goodwill described above. The fair value of land is determined based on an independent appraisal of the land held by Sricon. The recoverability of contract claims and other receivables is based on the information available with us with respect to the contract claims awarded in favor of Sricon through arbitration orders. The estimated amount of liability is based on the information available with us with respect of bank debt and other borrowings.

Impairment of Long Lived assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets with finite lives for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be fully recoverable. The company is also vigilant in monitoring for any indicators of impairment and ensures that this is done on an on-going basis. Under U.S. GAAP, long-lived depreciable and amortizable assets are tested for impairment in asset groups unless an individual asset generates identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows from other asset groups. An asset group is the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that largely are independent of the net cash flows of other groups of assets. At IGC, the impairment testing is done at the entity (subsidiary) level since there are no individual assets or asset groups generating identifiable cash flows at any level below the entity level. While computing the fair values for calculation of impairment, for long-lived assets such as land, the Company obtains independent professional appraisals of the market value of the asset. In the case of other long-lived assets, the Company assesses the fair value based on discounted cash flows of the projected cash flows from each of the assets. Successive impairment tests carried out in the past indicate that the fair value at the entity level exceeds the book carrying value.

Recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting standards related to the disclosures about an entity's use of fair value measurements. Under these amendments, entities will be required to provide enhanced disclosures about transfers into and out of the Level 1 (fair value determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities) and Level 2 (fair value determined based on significant other observable inputs) classifications, provide separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to the tabular reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of the Level 3 (fair value determined based on significant unobservable inputs) classification and provide greater disaggregation for each class of assets and liabilities that use fair value measurements. Except for the detailed Level 3 roll-forward disclosures, the new standard was effective for the Company for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2009. The adoption of this accounting standards amendment did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results. The requirement to provide detailed disclosures about the purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll-forward activity for Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for the Company for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results.

In December 2010, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which requires that Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test be performed for reporting units whose carrying value is zero or negative. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and interim periods within those years. Our adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results.

In December 2010, the FASB issued new guidance clarifying some of the disclosure requirements related to business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. Specifically, the guidance states that, if comparative financial statements are presented, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity

as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Additionally, the new standard expands the supplemental pro forma disclosure required by the authoritative guidance to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. This guidance became effective January 1, 2011. Our adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results. However, it may result in additional disclosures in the event that we enter into a business combination that is material either on an individual or aggregate basis.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risks

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about our potential exposure to market risks. Market risk is the sensitivity of income to changes in interest rates, foreign exchanges, commodity prices, equity prices, and other market-driven rates or prices. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses, but rather, indicators of reasonably possible losses. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how we view and manage our ongoing market risk exposures.

Customer Risk

The Company's customers are the Indian government, state government, private companies, Indian government owned companies and Chinese steel mills and iron ore traders. Therefore, our business requires that we continue to maintain a pre-qualified status with our clients so we are not disqualified from bidding on future work. The loss of a significant client may have an adverse effect on the Company. Disqualification can occur if, for example, we run out of capital to finish contracts that we have undertaken.

Commodity Prices and Vendor Risk

The Company is affected by the availability, cost and quality of raw materials including cement, asphalt, steel, rock aggregate, iron ore and fuel. The prices and supply of raw materials and fuel depend on factors beyond the control of the Company, including general economic conditions, competition, production levels, transportation costs and import duties. The Company typically builds contingencies into the contracts, including indexing key commodity prices into escalation clauses. However, drastic changes in the global markets for raw materials and fuels could affect our vendors, which may create disruptions in delivery schedules that could affect our ability to execute contracts in a timely manner. We are taking steps to mitigate some of this risk by attempting to control the supply and quality of raw materials. We do not currently hedge commodity prices on capital markets.

Labor Risk

The building boom in India and the Middle East (India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh export labor to the Middle East) had created pressure on the availability of skilled labor like welders, equipment operators, etc. This has recently changed with the shortage of financial liquidity and falling oil prices. However, with the expected increase in infrastructure spending, we expect a shortage of skilled labor.

Compliance, Legal and Operational Risks

We operate under regulatory and legal obligations imposed by the Indian government and U.S. securities regulators. Those obligations relate, among other things, to the Company's financial reporting, trading activities, capital requirements and the supervision of its employees. For example, we file our financial statements in three countries under three different Generally Accepted Accounting Standards, (GAAP). Failure to fulfill legal or regulatory obligations can lead to fines, censure or disqualification of management and/or staff and other measures that could have negative consequences for our activities and financial performance. We are mitigating this risk by hiring local consultants and staff who can manage the compliance in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. However, the cost of compliance in various jurisdictions could have a negative impact on our future earnings.

Interest Rate Risk

The infrastructure development industry is one in which leverage plays a large role. A typical contract requires that we furnish an earnest money deposit, a performance guaranty, and the ability to discount letters of credit. Furthermore, most construction contracts demand that we reserve between seven and eleven percent of contract value in the form of bank guaranties and/or deposits. Finally, as interest rates rise, our cost of capital increases thus impacting our margins.

Table of Contents

Exchange Rate Sensitivity

Our Indian subsidiaries conduct all business in Indian rupees with the exception of foreign equipment that is purchased from the U.S. or Europe. Exchange rates have an insignificant impact on our financial results. However, as we convert from Indian rupees to USD and subsequently report in U.S. dollars, we may see an impact on translated revenue and earnings. Essentially, a stronger USD decreases our reported earnings and a weakening USD increases our reported earnings.

In the analysis below, we have compared the reported revenue and expense numbers for Fiscal 2011 with the Fiscal 2010 based on the average exchange rate used for Fiscal 2010 to highlight the impact of exchange rate changes on IGC's Indian rupee derived revenues and expenses.

	Year ended March 31, 2011 (previous year exchange rate)		2011 (current exchange rate)	Change	Percentage
Revenues	4,073,919	3,804,790	269,129	6.61	%
Total expenses before taxes	(10,912,485)	(10,191,591)	(720,894)	6.61	%
	(6,838,566)	(6,386,801)	(451,765)		

Foreign Currency Translation

IGC mainly operates in India and a substantial portion of the Company's sales are denominated in the Indian rupee. As a result, changes in the relative values of the U.S. dollar and Indian rupee affect revenues and profits as the results are translated into U.S. dollars in the consolidated and pro forma financial statements.

The accompanying financial statements are reported in U.S. dollars. The Indian rupee is the functional currency for the company. The translation of the functional currencies into U.S. dollars is performed for assets and liabilities using the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and for revenues, costs and expenses using average exchange rates prevailing during the reporting periods. Adjustments resulting from the translation of functional currency financial statements to reporting currency are accumulated and reported as other comprehensive income/(loss), a separate component of shareholders' equity.

The exchange rates used for translation purposes are as under:

Year	Month end Average Rate (P&L rate)	Year-end rate (Balance sheet rate)
2006-07	INR 45.11 per USD	INR 43.10 per USD
2007-08	INR 40.13 per USD	INR 40.42 per USD
2008-09	INR 46.49 per USD	INR 50.64 per USD
2009-10	INR 47.91 per USD	INR 44.95 per USD
2010-11	INR 44.75 per USD	INR 44.54 per USD

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our Consolidated Financial Statements and supplementary financial data are included in this annual report on Form 10-K beginning on page F-1.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
India Globalization Capital, Inc.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – FYE 2011 and 2010</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - For FYE 2011 and 2010</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) -For FYE 2011 and 2010</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder’s Equity - For FYE 2011 and 2010</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For FYE 2011 and 2010</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7

Table of Contents

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of India Globalization Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of India Globalization Capital, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and stockholders’ equity for each of the years in the two-year period ended March 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the March 31, 2010 financial statements have been restated to correct errors explained therein.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to in the first paragraph above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in two-year period ended March 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Yoganandh & Ram,
Chennai, India,

Independent Auditors registered with
Public Company Accounting Oversight Board

Date: 13th July, 2011, except for Notes 11 (Goodwill), 20 (Income Taxes), 25 (Impairment), 27 (Certain Aged Receivables), and 28 (Re-classifications in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Operations), to which the date is October 31, 2011.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of March 31, 2011 (as restated)	2010 (as restated)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,583,284	\$ 842,923
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	3,312,051	4,783,327
Inventories	133,539	162,418
Advance taxes	41,452	119,834
Deferred income taxes	-	25,345
Dues from related parties	-	3,114,572
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,474,838	2,054,462
Total current assets	\$ 6,545,164	\$ 11,102,881
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,231,761	1,748,436
Investments in affiliates	6,428,800	8,443,181
Investments-others	877,863	810,890
Deferred income taxes	-	4,075,461
Goodwill	410,454	6,146,720
Restricted cash	1,919,404	2,169,939
Other non-current assets	748,623	872,184
Total assets	\$ 18,162,069	\$ 35,369,692
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Short term borrowings and current portion of long term debt	\$ 901,343	\$ 1,389,041
Trade payables	1,311,963	1,839,405
Accrued expenses	349,149	461,259
Notes payable	3,920,000	4,120,000
Dues to related parties	-	149,087
Other current liabilities	94,892	149,942
Total current liabilities	\$ 6,577,347	\$ 8,108,734
Other non-current liabilities	1,209,479	1,107,498
Total liabilities	\$ 7,786,826	\$ 9,216,232
Shares potentially subject to rescission rights (4,868,590 shares issued and outstanding)	3,082,384	-
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock — \$0001 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; 14,890,181 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and 12,989,207 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2010	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,300
Additional paid-in capital	38,860,319	36,805,724
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(2,502,596)	(2,578,405)
Retained earnings (Deficit)	(29,692,907)	(9,452,000)
Total equity attributable to the parent	\$ 6,666,306	\$ 24,776,419

Edgar Filing: India Globalization Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K/A

Non-controlling interest	\$ 626,553	\$ 1,376,841
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 7,292,859	\$ 26,153,460
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 18,162,069	\$ 35,369,692

The accompanying notes should be read in connection with the financial statements.

F-2

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year ended March 31,	
	2011 (as restated)	2010 (as restated)
Revenues	\$ 4,073,919	\$ 17,897,826
Cost of revenues	(3,914,655)	(15,671,840)
Selling, General and Administrative expenses	(7,283,089)	(5,614,673)
Depreciation	(785,066)	(603,153)
Impairment loss – goodwill	(5,792,849)	-
Impairment loss – investments	(2,184,599)	-
Operating income (loss)	(7,908,891)	(3,991,840)
Interest expense	(1,395,433)	(1,221,466)
Amortization of debt discount/Loss on extinguishment of debt	(191,804)	(356,436)
Interest Income	262,826	210,097
Other Income	301,182	281,782
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	-	(2,856,088)
Equity in earnings of affiliates	-	16,446
Income before income taxes and minority interest attributable to non-controlling interest	\$ (16,909,568)	\$ (7,917,505)
Income taxes benefit/ (expense)	(4,100,385)	3,109,704
Net income	\$ (21,009,953)	\$ (4,807,801)
Non-controlling interests in earnings of subsidiaries	769,046	18,490
Net income / (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ (20,240,907)	\$ (4,789,311)
Earnings per share attributable to common stockholders:		
Basic	\$ (1.34)	\$ (0.42)
Diluted	\$ (1.34)	\$ (0.42)
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing earnings per share amounts:		
Basic	15,108,920	11,537,857
Diluted	15,108,920	11,537,857

The accompanying notes should be read in connection with the financial statements.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Particulars	Year ended March 31, 2011			Year ended March 31, 2010 (As restated)		
	IGC	Non- controlling Interest	Total	IGC	Non- controlling Interest	Total
Net income / (loss)	\$(20,240,907)	(769,046)	(21,009,953)	(4,789,311)	(18,490)	(4,807,801)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	75,809	18,758	94,567	3,499,767	(2,230,182)	1,269,585
Deconsolidation of Sricon	\$-	-	-	(1,148,591)	-	(1,148,591)
Comprehensive income (loss)	(20,165,098)	(750,288)	(20,915,385)	(2,438,135)	(2,248,672)	(4,686, 807)

The accompanying notes should be read in connection with the financial statements.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Number of shares	Additional Amount paid in capital	Accumulated income/(deficit)	Other comprehensive income	Non-controlling interest	Total	
Balance at March 31, 2009	10,091,171	\$ 1,009	\$ 33,186,530	\$(4,662,689)	\$(4,929,581)	\$ 14,262,606	\$ 37,857,875
Stock Option for 1,413,000 grants	-	-	90,996	-	-	-	90,996
Issue of 78,820 common stock to officers and directors	78,820	8	39,402	-	-	-	39,410
Issuance of Common Stock to Red Chip Companies	15,000	2	13,198	-	-	-	13,200
Issuance of 1,599,000 common stock to institutional investors	1,599,000	160	1,638,690	-	-	-	1,638,850
Issue of 530,000 common stock to Bricoleur Capital	530,000	53	712,822	-	-	-	712,875
Issue of 530,000 common stock to Oliveira	530,000	53	586,732	-	-	-	586,785
Interest exp. towards of 530000 shares towards Bricoleur Capital loan	-	-	197,412	-	-	-	197,412
Interest exp. towards of 530000 shares towards Oliveira loan	-	-	162,408	-	-	-	162,408
Issue of 145,216 common stock under ATM agency agreement	145,216	15	179,874	-	-	(10,484)	169,405
Dividend Option	-	-	(2,340)	-	-	-	(2,340)
Loss on Translation	-	-	-	-	3,499,767	(2,219,698)	1,280,069
	-	-	-	-	(1,148,591)	-	(1,148,591)

Impact of de-consolidation of Sricon							
Elimination of non-controlling interest pertaining to Sricon	-	-	-	-	-	(10,637,093)	(10,637,093)
Net income for non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-	(18,490)	(18,490)
Net income / (loss)	-	-	-	(4,789,311)	-	-	(4,789,311)
Balance at March 31, 2010	12,989,207	\$ 1,300	\$ 36,805,724	\$(9,452,000)	\$(2,578,405)	\$ 1,376,841	\$ 26,153,460
Issue of equity shares	1,900,974	190	1,761,452	-	-	-	1,761,642
Interest expense	-	-	359,820	-	-	-	359,820
Dividend Option Reversed	-	-	2,340	-	-	-	2,340
Loss for the quarter	-	-	-	(20,240,907)	-	-	(20,240,907)
Net Income for non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-	(769,046)	(769,046)
Loss on Translation	-	-	-	-	75,809	18,758	94,567
Road show expense incurred towards raising capital-issue of shares	-	-	(69,017)	-	-	-	(69,017)
Balance at March 31, 2011	14,890,181	1,490	38,860,319	(29,692,907)	(2,502,596)	626,553	7,292,859

The accompanying notes should be read in connection with the financial statements.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year ended March 31,	
	2011	2010 (as restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$(21,009,953)	\$(4,807,801)
Adjustment to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash:		
Non-cash compensation expense	-	130,399
Non-cash expense for investor relation related services	24,239	-
Deferred taxes	4,100,385	(3,254,786)
Depreciation	785,066	603,153
Profits relating to de-consolidated subsidiary	-	(34,744)
Write back of liability	(269,124)	
Provision for doubtful receivables and bad debts written off	4,644,028	
Loss / (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment	-	(3,715)
Amortization of debt discount	-	356,437
Interest expense (including non-cash)	917,401	1,130,377
Loss on extinguishment of debt	191,804	586,785
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	-	2,856,088
Impairment loss – goodwill	5,792,849	-
Impairment loss – Sricon investment	2,184,599	-
Deferred acquisition cost written off	-	1,854,750
Equity in earnings of affiliates	-	(16,446)
Changes in:		
Accounts receivable	(6,822)	(3,056,548)
Unbilled receivable	-	-
Inventories	30,235	1,775,101
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,348,513	(307,538)
Trade payables	(1,499,804)	1,504,339
Advance from customers	-	-
Other current liabilities	(89,898)	(1,013,403)
Other non-current liabilities	91,364	(461,709)
Interest receivable – convertible debenture	-	-
Non-current assets	130,382	231,571
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(2,634,736)	\$(1,927,690)
Cash flow from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(285,441)	(1,264,245)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	30,705	463,825
Proceeds from sale of short term investments	-	-
Redemption of convertible debentures	-	-
Proceeds from/ (Investment in) non-current investments (joint ventures etc.)	(59,235)	(698,174)
Deposits towards acquisitions (net of cash acquired)	-	-
Restricted cash	269,270	(582,081)
Net cash movement relating to de-consolidation of subsidiary	-	(102,045)
Net cash provided/(used) in investing activities	\$(44,701)	\$(2,182,720)

Edgar Filing: India Globalization Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K/A

Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from/ (Repayment of) short term borrowings	(229,068)	61,585
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	-	-
Repayment of long term borrowings	-	(687,956)
Expenses for issuance of stock	(66,677)	
Issuance of equity shares	3,910,575	1,833,780
Due to related parties	-	-
Proceeds from/notes payable	-	2,000,000
Repayment of notes payable	(200,000)	-
Interest paid	-	(287,883)
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	\$3,414,830	\$2,919,526
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	4,968	(95,558)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	740,361	(1,286,442)
Cash and cash equivalent at the beginning of the period	842,923	2,129,365
Cash and cash equivalent at the end of the period	\$1,583,284	\$842,923

The accompanying notes should be read in connection with the financial statements.

Table of Contents

INDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The operations of IGC are based in India. IGC owns 100% of a subsidiary in Mauritius called IGC-Mauritius (IGC-M). This company in turn operates through four subsidiaries, and one investment in India. The Company has an investment ownership of approximately twenty two percent (22%) of Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited (“Sricon”), seventy seven percent (77%) of Techni Bharathi, Limited (“TBL”) and one hundred percent (100%) of each IGC India Mining and Trading Private Limited (IGC-IMT), IGC Logistic Private Limited (IGC-L), and IGC Materials Private Limited (IGC-MPL). Through our subsidiaries the Company operates in the India and China infrastructure industries. Operating as a fully integrated infrastructure company, IGC, through its subsidiaries, has expertise in road building, mining and quarrying and engineering of high temperature plants. The Company’s medium term plans are to expand each of these core competencies while offering an integrated suite of service offerings to our customers.

The Company’s operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including among others, dependency on India’s economy and government policies, seasonal business factors, competitively priced raw materials, dependence upon key members of the management team and increased competition from existing and new entrants.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP). The financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements.

a) India Globalization Capital, Inc.

IGC, a Maryland corporation, was organized on April 29, 2005 as a blank check company formed for the purpose of acquiring one or more businesses with operations primarily in India through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination or acquisition. On March 8, 2006, the Company completed an initial public offering. On February 19, 2007, the Company incorporated India Globalization Capital, Mauritius, Limited (IGC-M), a wholly owned subsidiary, under the laws of Mauritius. On March 7, 2008, the Company consummated the acquisition of 63% of the equity of Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited (Sricon) and 77% of the equity of Techni Bharathi Limited (TBL). On February 19, 2009 IGC-M beneficially purchased 100% of IGC Mining and Trading, Limited based in Chennai India. On July 4, 2009 IGC-M beneficially purchased 100% of IGC Materials, Private Limited, and 100% of IGC Logistics, Private Limited. Both these companies are based in Nagpur, India.

IGC India Mining and Trading Private Limited (IGC-IMT), IGC Materials Private Limited (IGC-MPL), and IGC Logistics Private Limited (IGC-LPL) were incorporated for IGC by three different Indian citizens, who acted as the initial directors of these companies as our nominees. This is as per the regulatory requirements for incorporation of companies. Once the companies were incorporated, IGC purchased the shares from the individuals. No premium was paid. None of these companies were operational at the time of purchase and therefore no revenues and earnings were recorded. The individuals were reimbursed for the amounts they paid to incorporate the companies. Please see the below table for further details:

Acquired Company	Initial Capitalization	Purchase Price
IGC – IMT	INR 100,000 (\$2,100)	INR 100,000
IGC – MPL	INR 100,000 (\$2,100)	INR 100,000
IGC – LPL	INR 100,000 (\$2,100)	INR 100,000

In order to comply with regulatory requirements, the above companies were incorporated on behalf of IGC, and IGC subsequently purchased these companies at book value. Therefore, effectively, these are not acquisitions but incorporations by IGC.

Effective October 1, 2009, we have reduced our stake in Sricon from 63% to 22% in consideration for the set off of the loan owed by IGC approximating \$17.9 million.

F-7

Table of Contents

b) Merger and Accounting Treatment

Most of the shares of Sricon and TBL acquired by IGC were purchased directly from the companies.

The ownership interest of the founders and management of TBL are reflected in our financial statements as “Non-Controlling Interest”.

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to the “Company”, “IGC”, “IGC Inc.”, “we”, “our”, and “us” refer to India Globalization Capital, Inc., together with its wholly owned subsidiary IGC-M, and its direct and indirect subsidiaries (TBL, IGC-IMT, IGC-LPL, and IGC-MPL).

IGC’s organizational structure is as follows:

c) Our Securities

We have three securities listed on the NYSE Amex: (1) common stock, \$.0001 par value (ticker symbol: IGC), (2) redeemable warrants to purchase common stock (ticker symbol: IGC.WT) and (3) units consisting of one share of common stock and two redeemable warrants to purchase common stock (ticker symbol: IGC.U). The units may be separated into common stock and warrants. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00. The warrants issued in our initial public offering that were to expire on March 3, 2011, are to expire on March 8, 2013 since we exercised our right to extend the terms of those warrants. The registration statement for the initial public offering was declared effective on March 2, 2006. The warrants are exercisable and may be exercised by contacting IGC or the transfer agent, Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company. We have a right to call the warrants, provided the common stock has traded at a closing price of at least \$8.50 per share for any 20 trading days within a 30 trading day period ending on the third business day prior to the date on which notice of redemption is given. If we call the warrants, the holder will either have to exercise the warrants by purchasing the common stock from us for \$5.00 or the warrants will expire.

Table of Contents

On January 9, 2009, we completed a tender offer with respect to warrants issued in our initial public offering and certain other warrants issued in private placements. An aggregate of 11,943,878 warrants were exercised pursuant to the terms of the tender offer in exchange for an aggregate of 1,311,064 shares of common stock, of which 2,706,350 warrants were exercised with an aggregate cash payment of \$297,698.50 in exchange for an aggregate of 541,270 shares of Common Stock and 9,237,528 warrants were exercised by exchange of warrants in exchange for an aggregate of 769,794 shares of Common Stock.

On July 13, 2009, we issued 15,000 shares of common stock to RedChip Companies Inc. for investor relations services rendered. The value of these services was \$13,200 and the per-share value was \$0.88. The cost of the common shares was expensed in the quarter.

On September 15, 2009, we entered into a securities purchase agreement (“Registered Direct”) with institutional investors for the sale and issuance of an aggregate of 1,599,000 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase up to 319,800 shares of our common stock, for a total purchase price of \$1,998,750. The common stock and warrants were sold on a per unit basis at a purchase price of \$1.25 per unit. The shares of common stock and warrants were issued separately. Each investor received one warrant representing the right to purchase, at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share, a number of shares of common stock equal to 20% of the number of shares of common stock purchased by the investor in the offering. The sales were made pursuant to a shelf registration statement. The warrants issued to the investors in the offering are exercisable any time on or after the date of issuance for a period of three years from that date. The Black Scholes value of the warrants associated with the Registered Direct is \$71,411. The Black Scholes price of the warrants was expensed in the quarter.

On October 5, 2009, IGC issued 530,000 new shares of common stock to Steven M. Oliveira 1998 Charitable Remainder Unitrust (‘Oliveira’) as partial consideration for the exchange of an outstanding promissory note for a new interest-free note of \$2.1 million with an extended due date of October 10, 2010. The value of the shares was \$911,600 or \$1.72 per share. IGC consummated this transaction in order to maintain its working capital and to extend the note by one year. The value of the shares was amortized over the life of the loan.

On October 13, 2009, IGC entered into an At The Market (“ATM”) Agency Agreement with Enclave Capital LLC. Under the ATM Agency Agreement, we may offer and sell shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$4 million from time to time. Sales of the shares, if any, will be made by means of ordinary brokers’ transactions on the NYSE Amex at market prices, or as otherwise agreed with Enclave. We estimate that the net proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock we are offering will be approximately \$3.73 million. We intend to use the net proceeds from the sale of securities offered for working capital needs, repayment of indebtedness, and other general corporate purposes. For the year ended March 31, 2010, we sold 145,216 shares of our common stock. During the twelve months ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued an additional 2,292,760 shares of common stock under this agreement.

On October 16, 2009, IGC issued 530,000 new shares of common stock in a private placement. The consideration for the shares was the \$2,000,000 proceeds from an IGC promissory note payable made for one year with no interest to Bricoleur Partners, L.P. (‘Bricoleur’). IGC consummated this transaction in order to supplement its working capital and to expand its ore and quarry businesses. The shares were valued at \$1,107,700 and \$2.09 per share. The value of the shares was amortized over the life of the loan.

During the twelve months ended March 31, 2011 the Company also issued 30,000 shares of common stock to American Capital Ventures and Maplehurst Investment Group for services rendered and 9,135 shares to Red chip companies valued at \$ 8,039 for investor relation related services rendered.

The Company also issued a total of 400,000 shares of common stock as a consideration for the extension of the loans under the promissory notes described in Note 8 - Notes Payable during the twelve months ended March 31, 2011.

In February 2011, the Company consummated another transaction with Bricoleur to exchange the promissory note held by Bricoleur for a new note with an extended repayment term. The Company issued 688,500 shares of common stock valued at approximately \$ 419,985 as consideration for the exchange.

In March 2011, the Company agreed with Oliveira to exchange the promissory note held by Oliveira for a new note with an extended repayment term and provisions permitting the Company at its discretion to repay the loan through issue of equity shares at a stated value over a specific term. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has issued 368,339 shares of common stock valued at \$ 216,042 to this debt holder.

Table of Contents

On December 8, 2010, the Company sold an aggregate of 2,575,830 shares of its common stock and warrants (the “2010 Warrants”) to purchase up to 858,610 shares of common stock, for a total purchase price of \$ 1,391,260. The common stock was sold at a purchase price of \$0.60 per share. Investors in the offering were entitled to receive a 2010 Warrant to purchase one share of common stock, at an exercise price of \$0.90 per share for each three shares of common stock purchased in the offering. The 2010 Warrants issued to the investors in the offering are exercisable at any time on or after the date of issuance until they expire on December 8, 2017. The 2010 Warrants are not listed on any securities exchange.

Following the issuance of the shares in the preceding transactions, as of March 31, 2011, 19,758,771 shares of common stock are outstanding along with warrants to purchase an aggregate of 12,972,532 shares of common stock which are outstanding.

Further, as set forth in Note 12, the Company has also issued 1,413,000 stock options to some of its directors and employees pursuant to a stock option plan all of which are outstanding as at March 31, 2011.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Principles of Consolidation:

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a consolidated basis and reflect the financial statements of IGC and all of its subsidiaries that are more than 50% owned and controlled. When the Company does not have a controlling interest in an entity, but exerts a significant influence on the entity, the Company applies the equity method of accounting. All inter-company transactions and balances are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

The non-controlling interest disclosed in the accompanying financial statements represents the non-controlling interest in Techni Bharathi Limited (TBL) and the profits or losses associated with the non-controlling interest in those operations.

The adoption of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 810-10-65 “Consolidation — Transition and Open Effective Date Information” (previously referred to as SFAS No. 160, “Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51”), has resulted in the reclassification of amounts previously attributable to minority interest (now referred to as non-controlling interest) to a separate component of shareholders’ equity on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of shareholders’ equity and comprehensive income (loss). Additionally, net income attributable to non-controlling interest is shown separately from net income in the consolidated statements of income. This reclassification had no effect on our previously reported financial position or results of operations.

b) Reclassifications

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to the presentation of the current year.

c) Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

d) Revenue Recognition

The majority of the revenue recognized for the year ended March 31, 2011 was derived from the Company's subsidiaries and as follows:

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. In Government contracting, we recognize revenue when a Government consultant verifies and certifies an invoice for payment.

F-10

Table of Contents

Revenue from sale of goods is recognized when substantial risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the buyer under the terms of the contract.

Revenue from construction/project related activity and contracts for supply/commissioning of complex plant and equipment is recognized as follows:

- Cost plus contracts: Contract revenue is determined by adding the aggregate cost plus proportionate margin as agreed with the customer and expected to be realized.
- Fixed price contracts: Contract revenue is recognized using the percentage completion method and the percentage of completion is determined as a proportion of cost incurred-to-date to the total estimated contract cost. Changes in estimates for revenues, costs to complete and profit margins are recognized in the period in which they are reasonably determinable.
- In many of the fixed price contracts entered into by the Company, significant expenses are incurred in the mobilization stage in the early stages of the contract. The expenses include those that are incurred in the transportation of machinery, erection of heavy machinery, clearing of the campsite, workshop ground cost, overheads, etc. All such costs are booked to deferred expenses and written off over the period in proportion to revenues earned.
- Where the modifications of the original contract are such that they effectively add to the existing scope of the contract, the same are treated as a change orders. On the other hand, where the modifications are such that they change or add an altogether new scope, these are accounted for as a separate new contract. The company adjusts contract revenue and costs in connection with change orders only when they are approved by both, the customer and the company with respect to both the scope and invoicing and payment terms.
- In the event of claims in our percentage of completion contracts, the additional contract revenue relating to claims is only accounted after the proper award of the claim by the competent authority. The contract claims are considered in the percentage of completion only after the proper award of the claim by the competent authority.

Full provision is made for any loss in the period in which it is foreseen.

Revenue from service related activities and miscellaneous other contracts are recognized when the service is rendered using the proportionate completion method or completed service contract method.

e) Earning per common share:

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the additional dilution from all potentially dilutive securities such as stock warrants and options.

f) Income taxes:

Deferred income tax is provided for the difference between the bases of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and income tax purposes. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The IGC parent expects to realize sufficient earnings and profits to utilize deferred tax assets as it begins 1) invoicing its subsidiaries for services and 2) establishes iron ore sales contracts with customers in China and other countries. Recently, the IGC parent reported contracts for the supply of around \$200 million of iron ore to customers in China.

g) Cash and Cash Equivalents:

For financial statement purposes, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with maturity of three months or less, to be cash equivalents. The company maintains its cash in bank accounts in the United States of America, Mauritius, and India which at times may exceed applicable insurance limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalent. The company does not invest its cash in securities that have an exposure to U.S. mortgages.

F-11

Table of Contents

h) Restricted cash:

Restricted cash consists of deposits pledged to various government authorities and deposits used as collateral with banks for guarantees and letters of credit, given by the Company to its customers or vendors.

i) Foreign currency transactions:

The functional currency of the Company's Indian subsidiaries is the Indian rupee. Our financial statements reporting currency is the United States Dollar. Operating and capital expenditures of the Company's subsidiaries located in India are denominated in their local currency which is the currency most compatible with their expected economic results.

All transactions and account balances are recorded in the local currency. The Company translates the value of these local currency denominated assets and liabilities into U.S. dollars at the rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Resulting translation adjustments are recorded in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The local currency denominated statement of income amounts are translated into U.S. dollars using the average exchange rates in effect during the period. Realized foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in the consolidated statements of income. The Company's Indian subsidiaries do not operate in "highly inflationary" countries.

j) Accounts receivable:

Accounts receivables are recorded at the invoiced amount, taking into consideration any adjustments made by Government consultants who verify and certify construction and material invoices. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of clients to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is determined by evaluating the relative credit worthiness of each client, historical collections experience and other information, including the aging of the receivables. Unbilled accounts receivable represent revenue on contracts to be billed, in subsequent periods, as per the terms of the related contracts.

k) Accounts Receivable – Long Term:

This is typically for Build-Operate-Transfer (BOT) contracts. It is money due to the company by the private or public sector to finance, design, construct, and operate a facility stated in a concession contract over an extended period of time.

l) Inventories:

Inventories primarily comprise of finished goods, raw materials, work in progress, stock at customer site, stock in transit, components and accessories, stores and spares, scrap and residue. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value.

The cost of various categories of inventories is determined on the following basis:

- Raw material is valued at weighed average of landed cost (purchase price, freight inward and transit insurance charges).
- Work in progress is valued as confirmed, valued and certified by the technicians and site engineers and finished goods at material cost plus appropriate share of labor cost and production overheads.

· Components and accessories, stores erection, materials, spares and loose tools are valued on a first-in-first out basis.

F-12

Table of Contents

m) Investments:

Investments are initially measured at cost, which is the fair value of the consideration given for them, including transaction costs. The Company's equity in the earnings/(losses) of affiliates is included in the statement of income and the Company's share of net assets of affiliates is included in the balance sheet.

n) Property, Plant and Equipment (PP&E):

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives of assets are as follows:

Buildings	25 years
Plant and machinery	20 years
Computer equipment	3 years
Office equipment	5 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years
Vehicles	5 years

Upon disposition, cost and related accumulated depreciation of the Property and equipment are removed from the accounts and the gain or loss is reflected in the results of operation. Cost of additions and substantial improvements to property and equipment are capitalized in the books of accounts. The cost of maintenance and repairs of the property and equipment are charged to operating expenses.

o) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

As of March 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, which included cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, unbilled accounts receivable, restricted cash, accounts payable, accrued employee compensation and benefits and other accrued expenses, approximate their fair values due to the nature of the items.

p) Concentration of Credit Risk and Significant Customers

Financial instruments which potentially expose the Company to concentrations of credit risk are primarily comprised of cash and cash equivalents, investments, derivatives, accounts receivable and unbilled accounts receivable. The Company places its cash, investments and derivatives in highly-rated financial institutions. The Company adheres to a formal investment policy with the primary objective of preservation of principal, which contains credit rating minimums and diversification requirements. Management believes its credit policies reflect normal industry terms and business risk. The Company does not anticipate non-performance by the counterparties and, accordingly, does not require collateral.

As of March 31, 2011, eleven clients accounted for approximately 95% of gross accounts receivable. At March 31, 2010, four clients accounted for 68% of gross accounts receivable. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, sales to twenty four clients accounted for 70% of the Company's revenue.

q) Accounting for goodwill and related impairment

Goodwill represents the excess cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the group's share of net identifiable assets of the acquired subsidiary at the date of acquisition. Goodwill on acquisition of subsidiaries is disclosed separately. Goodwill is stated at cost less accumulated amortization and impairment losses, if any.

F-13

Table of Contents

The company adopted provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 350, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Others”, (previously referred to as SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets”, which sets forth the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. ASC 350 requires that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets be allocated to the reporting unit level, which the Group defines as each individual legal entity at a subsidiary level.

ASC 350 also prohibits the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets upon adoption, but requires that they be tested for impairment at least annually, or more frequently as warranted, at the reporting unit level.

The goodwill impairment test under ASC 350 is performed in two phases. The first step of the impairment test, used to identify potential impairment, compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered impaired, and step two of the impairment test must be performed. The second step of the impairment test quantifies the amount of the impairment loss by comparing the carrying amount of goodwill to the implied fair value. An impairment loss is recorded to the extent the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

r) Impairment of long – lived assets

The company reviews its long-lived assets, with finite lives, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be fully recoverable. Such circumstances include, though are not limited to, significant or sustained declines in revenues or earnings and material adverse changes in the economic climate. For assets that the company intends to hold for use, if the total of the expected future undiscounted cash flows produced by the assets or subsidiary company is less than the carrying amount of the assets, a loss is recognized for the difference between the fair value and carrying value of the assets. For assets the company intends to dispose of by sale, a loss is recognized for the amount by which the estimated fair value less cost to sell is less than the carrying value of the assets. Fair value is determined based on quoted market prices, if available, or other valuation techniques including discounted future net cash flows.

s) Recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting standards related to the disclosures about an entity's use of fair value measurements. Under these amendments, entities will be required to provide enhanced disclosures about transfers into and out of the Level 1 (fair value determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities) and Level 2 (fair value determined based on significant other observable inputs) classifications, provide separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to the tabular reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of the Level 3 (fair value determined based on significant unobservable inputs) classification and provide greater disaggregation for each class of assets and liabilities that use fair value measurements. Except for the detailed Level 3 roll-forward disclosures, the new standard was effective for the Company for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2009. The adoption of this accounting standards amendment did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results. The requirement to provide detailed disclosures about the purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll-forward activity for Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for the Company for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results.

In December 2010, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which requires that Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test be performed for reporting units whose carrying value is zero or negative. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and interim periods within those years. Our adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results.

In December 2010, the FASB issued new guidance clarifying some of the disclosure requirements related to business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. Specifically, the guidance states that, if comparative financial statements are presented, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Additionally, the new standard expands the supplemental pro forma disclosure required by the authoritative guidance to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. This guidance became effective January 1, 2011. Our adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's disclosure or consolidated financial results. However, it may result in additional disclosures in the event that we enter into a business combination that is material either on an individual or aggregate basis.

F-14

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 - RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, India Globalization Capital, Inc. has:

- (a) Restated its consolidated statements of operations and consolidated cash flows as for the year ended March 31, 2010;
- (b) Amended its management discussion and analysis as it relates to the year ended March 31, 2010; and
- (c) Restated its unaudited quarterly financial data for the quarter ended December 31, 2009.

The restatements reflect adjustments to correct errors identified by the SEC through its original and follow up comment letters dated February 25, 2011 and May 9, 2011. The restatement adjustments reflect a reclassification in the consolidated cash flow and a correct computation of the diluted EPS of the Company.

The changes described above are non-cash items and do not impact the Company's operations.

Reclassification in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

Sricon India Private Limited (SIPL), a subsidiary of IGC Inc., had been deconsolidated effective October 1, 2009. Upon deconsolidation, the cash flows of SIPL for the six months ended September 30, 2009 were re-classified and presented as equity in earnings of affiliates. The cash flows for the year ended 31 March, 2010 have now been restated to contain transactions relating to SIPL up until the date of deconsolidation; and

Computation of diluted earnings per share

The effect of dilution was inadvertently considered while computing the Earnings Per Share (EPS) in the event of loss by IGC Inc. The restatement now rightly shows the EPS in the event of loss without considering dilution.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

The restated consolidated statement of operations and consolidated cash flows for the year ended 31 March 2010 are presented below:

	Year ended March 31,	
	2010 (as originally filed)	2010 (as restated)
Revenues	\$ 17,897,826	\$ 17,897,826
Cost of revenues	(15,671,840)	(15,671,840)
Selling, General and Administrative expenses	(5,614,673)	(5,614,673)
Depreciation	(603,153)	(603,153)
Operating income (loss)	(3,991,840)	(3,991,840)
Legal and formation, travel and other startup costs	-	-
Interest expense	(1,221,466)	(1,221,466)
Amortization of debt discount/Loss on extinguishment of debt	(356,436)	(356,436)
Interest Income	210,097	210,097
Other Income	281,782	281,782
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	(2,856,088)	(2,856,088)
Equity in earnings of affiliates	16,446	16,446
Income before income taxes and minority interest attributable to non-controlling interest	(7,917,505)	(7,917,505)
Income taxes benefit/ (expense)	3,109,704	3,109,704
Net income	(4,807,801)	(4,807,801)
Non-controlling interests in earnings of subsidiaries	18,490	18,490
Net income / (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ (4,789,311)	\$ (4,789,311)
Earnings per share attributable to common stockholders:		
Basic	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.42)
Diluted	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.42)
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing earnings per share amounts:		
Basic	11,537,857	11,537,857
Diluted	11,958,348	11,537,857

* The effect of restatement on the diluted EPS has been shown in italics in the table above.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year ended March 31,		
	2010 (as originally filed)	Adjustments	2010 (as restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$(4,807,801)	-	\$(4,807,801)
Adjustment to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash:			
Non-cash compensation expense	130,399	-	130,399
Deferred taxes	(3,283,423)	28,637	(3,254,786)
Depreciation	385,803	217,350	603,153
Profits relating to de-consolidated subsidiary	(34,744)	-	(34,744)
Loss / (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment	(3,715)	-	(3,715)
Amortization of debt discount	356,437	-	356,437
Interest expense (including non-cash)	842,494	287,883	1,130,377
Loss on extinguishment of debt	586,785	-	586,785
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	2,856,088	-	2,856,088
Deferred acquisition cost written off	1,854,750	-	1,854,750
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(16,446)	-	(16,446)
Changes in:		-	
Accounts receivable	(4,522,214)	1,465,666	(3,056,548)
Inventories	1,757,399	17,702	1,775,101
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(556,303)	248,765	(307,538)
Trade payables	1,508,359	(4,020)	1,504,339
Other current liabilities	89,396	(1,102,799)	(1,013,403)
Other non-current liabilities	(350,540)	(111,169)	(461,709)
Non-current assets	251,815	(20,244)	231,571
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(2,955,461)	\$1,027,771	\$(1,927,690)
Cash flow from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	\$(1,198,880)	\$(65,365)	\$(1,264,245)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	463,825	-	463,825
Investment in non-current investments (joint ventures etc.)	(698,174)	-	(698,174)
Restricted cash	(567,012)	(15,069)	(582,081)
Net cash movement relating to de-consolidation of subsidiary	-	(102,045)	(102,045)
Net cash provided/(used) in investing activities	\$(2,000,241)	\$(182,479)	\$(2,182,720)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net movement in other short-term borrowings	\$347,185	\$(285,600)	\$61,585
Proceeds / (repayment) from long-term borrowings	-	(687,956)	(687,956)
Issuance of equity shares	1,833,780	-	1,833,780
Proceeds from notes payable	2,000,000	-	2,000,000
Interest paid	-	(287,883)	(287,883)
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	\$4,180,965	\$(1,261,439)	\$2,919,526
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(234,966)	139,408	(95,558)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,009,703)	(276,739)	(1,286,442)
Cash and cash equivalent at the beginning of the period	1,852,626	276,739	2,129,365

Edgar Filing: India Globalization Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K/A

Cash and cash equivalent at the end of the period \$842,924 - \$842,923

Tax rate reconciliation

	2010 (as originally filed)		Adjustments		2010 (as restated)	
Statutory Federal income tax rate	34.0	%	-		34.0	%
State tax benefit net of federal tax	5.4	%	(10.8	%)	-5.4	%
Loss on dilution of Sricon	43.6	%	(55.9	%)	-12.3	%
Capitalized interest costs	-		(5.2	%)	-5.2	%
Tax benefits from US taxes	-		(48.9	%)	-48.9	%
Amortization of debt discount	-		(1.5	%)	-1.5	%
Effective income tax rate	83.0	%	(122.3	%)	-39.3	%

F-17

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 – SHARES POTENTIALLY SUBJECT TO RESCISSION RIGHTS

On July 14, 2010 the Company filed audited financial statements on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2010 that included a qualified opinion from the Company's auditors pending completion of their audit procedures in respect of the deconsolidation of one of the Company's subsidiaries. The Company subsequently filed an amended Form 10-K which includes an unqualified audit opinion.

On January 19, 2011, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") notified the Company that the initial financial statements filed on July 14, 2010 did not comply with the requirements of Rule 2-02 under Regulation S-X for audited financial statements because the financial statements contained a qualified opinion. As noted above, the amended 10-K filed on January 28, 2011 contains audited financial statements with an unqualified opinion that comply with Rule 2-02. The Commission has indicated that as the initial Form 10-K filed on July 14, 2010 was deficient as a result of the inclusion of the qualified audit opinion. It was therefore deemed not to have been filed with the Commission in accordance with applicable requirements, thus making the Company delinquent in its filings with the Commission.

The Commission has informed the Company that as a result of the deemed failure to timely file a Form 10-K, it is the Staff's view that as of July 14, 2010 the Company ceased to be eligible to use SEC Form S-3 for the registration of the Company's securities. As the financial statements included in the original Form 10-K were also included in a registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-163867) pursuant to which the Company offered its common stock and warrants to purchase common stock in December 2010 (the "December 2010 Offering"), the Commission has also indicated that such registration statement failed to comply with the requirements of Form S-1 due to the lack of the inclusion of unqualified audited financial statements in compliance with Commission requirements.

Since the Commission has informed the Company that it is the Commission's view that as of July 14, 2010 the Company ceased to be eligible to use Form S-3 for the registration of the Company's securities, it is possible that any sales of the Company's securities pursuant to the Company's registration statements on Form S-3 since July 14, 2010 may be deemed to be unregistered sales of its securities. Since July 14, 2010, the Company has sold an aggregate of 2,292,760 shares of its common stock for an aggregate gross price of \$1,690,866 pursuant to an at-the-market offering ("ATM") of its common stock on Form S-3 (File No. 333-160993) in sales that occurred between September 7, 2010 and January 19, 2011. In addition, the Company may be deemed to have made unregistered sales of the 2,575,830 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 858,610 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.90 per share sold for an aggregate gross purchase price of \$1,545,498 sold pursuant to such registration statement with respect to the December 2010 Offering. Alternatively, to the extent that the sales are deemed be registered as a result of being sold pursuant to registration statements declared effective by the Commission as the registration statements in question either incorporated, in the case of the Form S-3 or included, in the case of the Form S-1, a qualified audit report the registration statements could be deemed to be materially incomplete.

If it is determined that persons who purchased the Company's securities after July 14, 2010 purchased securities in an offering deemed to be unregistered, or that the registration statements for such offerings were incomplete or inaccurate then such persons may be entitled to rescission rights. In addition, the sale of unregistered securities could subject the Company to enforcement actions or penalties and fines by federal or state regulatory authorities. We are unable to predict the likelihood of any claims or actions being brought against the Company related to these events, and there is a risk that any may have a material adverse effect on us.

The exercise of any applicable rescission rights is not within the control of the Company. At March 31, 2011, the Company had approximately 4,868,590 shares that may be subject to the rescission rights outside stockholders' equity. These shares have always been treated as outstanding for financial reporting purposes.

Table of Contents

NOTE 5 – OTHER CURRENT AND NON-CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

	2011	As of March 31, 2010
Prepaid expenses	\$ 103,841	\$ 52,087
Advances to suppliers	1,024,399	1,231,771
Prepaid interest	159,825	-
Security and other Deposits	85,277	414,166
Others	101,496	356,438
	\$ 1,474,838	\$ 2,054,462

Other Non-current assets consist of the following

	2011	As of March 31, 2010
Sundry debtors	\$ 396,275	\$ 268,145
Other advances	352,348	604,039
	\$ 748,623	\$ 872,184

NOTE 6 – SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Short term borrowings consist of the following. There is no current portion of long term debt that is classified as short term borrowings.

	2011	As of March 31, 2010
Secured liabilities	\$ 901,343	\$ 1,087,775
Unsecured liabilities	-	301,266
	\$ 901,343	\$ 1,389,041

The above debt is secured by hypothecation of materials, stock of spares, Work in Progress, receivables and property and equipment, in addition to personal guarantee of three India based directors, and collaterally secured by mortgage of company's land and other fixed properties of directors and their relatives. The average interest rate was 12% to 14% for the year ended March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

NOTE 7 – NOTES PAYABLE

On October 5, 2009, the Company consummated the exchange of an outstanding promissory note in the total principal amount of \$ 2,000,000 (the “Original Note”) initially issued to the Steven M. Oliveira 1998 Charitable Remainder Unitrust (‘Oliveira’) for a new promissory note (the “New Oliveira Note”) on substantially the same terms as the original note except that the principal amount of the New Oliveira Note was \$ 2,120,000 which reflected the accrued but unpaid interest on the Original Note and the New Oliveira Note did not bear interest. The New Oliveira Note was unsecured and was due and payable on October 4, 2010 (the “Maturity Date”). Prior to the Maturity Date, the Company was permitted to pre-pay the New Oliveira Note at any time without penalty or premium. The New Oliveira Note is not convertible into IGC Common Stock (the “Common Stock”) or other securities of the Company. However, under the Note and Share Purchase Agreement (the “Oliveira Note and Share Purchase Agreement”), effective as of October 4, 2009, by and among the Company and Oliveira, as additional consideration for the exchange of the Original Note, the Company agreed to issue 530,000 shares of Common Stock to Oliveira. The Oliveira Note remains outstanding.

On October 16, 2009, the Company consummated the sale of a promissory note in the principal amount of \$2,000,000 (the “Bricoleur Note”) to Bricoleur Partners, L.P. (‘Bricoleur’). There was no interest payable on the Note and the Note was due and payable on October 16, 2010 (the “Maturity Date”). Prior to the Maturity Date, the Company could pre-pay the Bricoleur Note at any time without penalty or premium and the Note was unsecured. The Note was not convertible into the Company’s Common Stock or other securities of the Company. However, under the Note and Share Purchase Agreement (the “Bricoleur Note and Share Purchase Agreement”), effective as of October 16, 2009, by and among the Company and Bricoleur, as additional consideration for the investment in the Bricoleur Note, IGC issued 530,000 shares of Common Stock to Bricoleur. The Bricoleur Note remains outstanding.

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued an additional 200,000 shares of Common Stock to each of Oliveira and Bricoleur specified above pursuant to the effective agreements respectively as penalties for failure to repay the promissory notes when due.

In March 2011, the Company finalized agreements with the Steven M. Oliveira 1998 Charitable Remainder Unitrust (‘Oliveira’) and Bricoleur Partners, L.P. (‘Bricoleur’) to exchange the promissory note issued to Oliveira on October 5, 2009 (the “New Oliveira Note”) and the promissory note issued to Bricoleur on October 16, 2009 (the “Bricoleur Note”) respectively for new promissory notes with later maturity dates. The Oliveira Note will be due on March 24, 2012, will bear interest at a rate of 30% per annum and will provide for monthly payments of principal and interest, which the Company may choose to settle through the issue of equity shares at an equivalent value. The Bricoleur Note will be due on June 30, 2011 with no prior payments due and will not bear interest. The Company issued additional 688,500 shares of its common stock to Bricoleur in connection with the extension of the term regarding the Bricoleur note.

The Company’s total interest expense was \$ 1,395,433 and \$ 1,221,466 for the year ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. No interest was capitalized by the Company for the year ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

NOTE 8 – OTHER CURRENT AND NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other current liabilities consist of the following:

	2011	As of March 31, 2010
Statutory dues payable	\$ 17,745	\$ 35,734
Employee related liabilities	77,147	90,207

Other liabilities	-	24,001
	\$ 94,892	\$ 149,942

F-20

Table of Contents

Other non-current liabilities consist of the following:

	2011	As of March 31, 2010
Sundry creditors	\$ 1,209,479	\$ 1,107,498
Provision for expenses	-	-
	\$ 1,209,479	\$ 1,107,498

Sundry creditors consist primarily of creditors to whom amounts are due for supplies and materials received in the normal course of business.

NOTE 9 – OTHER INCOME

Other income in the current year consists primarily of the backer-recording of liabilities relating to the promoters of TBL, one of the subsidiaries of the Company. IGC had in the previous year disputed the payment of this liability and accordingly in the current year, it has been determined that the liability is no longer payable.

NOTE 10 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of the Company's current assets and current liabilities approximate their carrying value because of their short term maturity. Such financial instruments are classified as current and are expected to be liquidated within the next twelve months.

NOTE 11 – GOODWILL

The movement in goodwill balance is given below:

	2011	As of March 31, 2010
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 6,146,720	\$ 17,483,501
Elimination on deconsolidation of Sricon	-	(10,576,123)
Effect of foreign exchange translation	56,583	(760,658)
Impairment loss	(5,792,849)	-
	\$ 410,454	\$ 6,146,720

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company conducted an impairment analysis regarding the goodwill in its consolidated financial statements. The goodwill balance of \$6,146,720 at the beginning of 2011 was allocated to our subsidiary Techni Bharathi Limited ('TBL'). The Company assessed the recoverable value of TBL and concluded that it was lower than \$6.2 million. Therefore the goodwill balance allocated to TBL was impaired by \$ 5,792,849. The methodology used in the impairment test is described below.

TBL, a small road building company, is engaged in highway and heavy construction activities. TBL has constructed highways, rural roads, tunnels, dams, airport runways, and housing complexes, mostly in southern states. TBL, because of its successful execution of contracts, is pre-qualified by the National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) and other agencies. We own 77% of TBL.

TBL's share of the overall Indian construction market is very small. However, TBL's prequalification and prior track record provides a way to grow the company in highway and heavy construction. Currently, TBL is engaged in the recovery of construction delay claims that it is pursuing against NHAI and the Cochin International Airport in the aggregate amount of \$2.3 million. TBL has received binding judgments in arbitration against and is in the process of collecting those judgments, which can typically take two to three years.

For the year ended March 31, 2011, TBL was not able to meet its cash flow projections, because it has not been able to win any new significant contracts. As a result, TBL does not have a sufficient pipeline that would enable it to project cash flows. Therefore, the impairment test for TBL is based on the recoverable values of its assets less the expected settlement of its liabilities.

For the purpose of the impairment test, we considered all the assets and liabilities of TBL. With respect to all the monetary assets and liabilities, the carrying values of the assets and the liabilities are considered to approximate the fair value of TBL since these are the expected recovery values and the expected values for settling liabilities. With respect to non-monetary assets such as fixed assets, we estimated the recoverable values based on a valuation certificate obtained from an approved independent appraiser. Further, the recoverability of claims is based on actual awards received in arbitration.

Table of Contents

NOTE 12 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has entered into an agreement with SJS Associates subsequent to the stockholder's approval of the acquisitions of Sricon and TBL. For the year ended March 31, 2011, \$ 40,160 was paid to SJS Associates for Mr. Selvaraj's services, which included compensation expenses. There was no balance receivable or payable to/from this party as of March 31, 2011.

The Company had agreed to pay Integrated Global Network, LLC ("IGN, LLC"), an affiliate of our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Mukunda, an administrative fee of \$4,000 per month for office space and general and administrative services from the closing of the Public Offering through the date of a Business Combination. For the year ended March 31, 2011, a total of \$ 48,000 was accrued as rent payable to IGN LLC out of which \$ 8,000 was outstanding as of March 31, 2011.

The Company uses the services of Economic Law Practice (ELP), a law firm in India. A member of our Board of Directors, prior to his resignation on March 15, 2011, was a Partner of ELP. Since inception to March 31, 2010, the Company has incurred \$186,303. There were no accruals or payments regarding ELP during the year ended March 31, 2011. Accordingly, there was no balance receivable or payable to/from this party as of March 31, 2011.

The Company, specifically one of the subsidiaries of the Company, TBL, has a receivable from Sricon, an affiliate of the Company, amounting to \$3,114,572. This amount was advanced by TBL to Sricon to fund a bid on a new contract and provide the working capital requirement for the contract. Subsequently, due to certain disputes that have arisen between Sricon and IGC, the receivable of \$3.1 million is still outstanding. Sricon is unwilling to pay the amount as it seeks to offset the amount as an equity payment from IGC. However the amount was advanced from TBL, not from IGC, and TBL has no equity in Sricon. Further, the two entities, IGC and TBL, are legally different companies and therefore TBL has legal remedies under Indian law. The Company has engaged Indian counsel who is in the process of preparing the case to pursue the recovery of this receivable. From an accounting perspective, the Company has created a full provision in respect of this receivable due to the dispute although it intends to pursue collection of this receivable through an appropriate legal process in India. The said provision is contained in the selling, general and administrative expenses of the Company.

NOTE 13 -COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

No significant commitments and contingencies were made or existed during the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

NOTE 14 – PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	As of March 31, 2011	2010
Land	\$ 10,870	\$ 10,870
Buildings	351,147	172,935
Plant and machinery	3,335,065	3,253,444
Furniture and fixtures	87,768	88,860
Computer equipment	213,178	209,012
Vehicles	479,478	478,749
Office equipment	167,563	161,680

Edgar Filing: India Globalization Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K/A

Capital work-in-progress	137,696	136,440
	4,782,765	4,511,990
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(3,551,004)	(2,763,554)
	\$ 1,231,761	\$ 1,748,436

F-22

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization expense for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 was \$785,066 and \$603,153, respectively. Capital work-in-progress represents advances paid towards the acquisition of property and equipment and the cost of property and equipment not put to use before the balance sheet date.

NOTE 15 - INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES

No significant investment activities occurred during the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

NOTE 16 — SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

During the current year, the Company has created a full provision on the amount receivable from one of its investee companies –Sricon- amounting to \$ 3,143,242. Please refer Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements for further information relating to this write off.

Further during the current year, the Company recorded an expense amounting to \$ 1,515,186 relating to bad debts on its accounts receivable and certain loans and advances.

NOTE 17 – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

On April 1, 2009 the Company adopted ASC 718, “Compensation-Stock Compensation”, (previously referred to as SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share Based Payment). ASC 718 requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. As of March 31, 2010, the Company granted 78,820 shares of common stock and 1,413,000 stock options, to its directors and employees, all of which were granted during the year ended March 31, 2010. No options were granted during the year ended March 31, 2011. The options vested immediately. The exercise price of the options was \$1.00 per share, and the options will expire on May 13, 2014. The fair value of the stock was \$39,410 on the date of grant and the fair value of the stock options was \$90,997. Total share-based compensation expense, related to all of the Company’s share-based awards, recognized for the year ended March 31, 2010 is \$130,407. As of March 31, 2011 under the 2008 Omnibus Plan, 471,045 options remain issuable under the plan.

The fair value of stock option awards is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes Pricing Model with the following assumptions for options awarded during the year ended March 31, 2010:

Expected life of options	5 years
Vested options	100 %
Risk free interest rate	1.98 %
Expected volatility	35.35 %
Expected dividend yield	Nil

The volatility estimate was derived using historical data for the IGC stock and for public companies in the infrastructure industry.

Table of Contents

NOTE 18 – EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Gratuity In accordance with applicable Indian laws, the Company provides for gratuity, a defined benefit retirement plan (Gratuity Plan) covering certain categories of employees. The Gratuity Plan provides a lump sum payment to vested employees, at retirement or termination of employment, an amount based on the respective employee's last drawn salary and the years of employment with the Company.

	As of March 31,	
	2011	2010
Change in the benefit obligation		
Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO) at the beginning of the year	(22,383)	-
Service cost	(1,510)	22,383
Interest cost	(1,967)	-
Benefits paid	3,578	-
Actuarial loss/(gain)	(6,498)	-
PBO at the end of the year	(28,780)	(22,383)
	-	-
Funded status	\$ (28,780)	\$ (22,383)

Net gratuity cost for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 included:

	Year ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Service cost	1,510	22,383
Interest cost	1,967	-
Actuarial loss/(gain)	6,498	-
Net gratuity cost	\$ 9,975	\$ 22,383

The weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine benefit obligations and net periodic gratuity cost are:

	Year ended March 31,			
	2011		2010	
Discount rate	9.10	%	8.65	%
Rate of increase in compensation levels	8.00	%	8.00	%

The Company assesses these assumptions with its projected long-term plans of growth and prevalent industry standards.

Table of Contents

The expected payout of the accumulated benefit obligation as of March 31 is as follows.

	As of March 31,	
	2011	2010
Expected contribution during the year ending Year 1	\$ 2,739	\$ 3,582
Expected benefit payments for the years ending March 31:		
Year 2	1,302	1,023
Year 3	1,347	1,046
Year 4	1,819	1,468
Year 5	9,048	8,164
Thereafter	15,806	13,135

Provident fund. In addition to the above benefits, all employees receive benefits from a provident fund, a defined contribution plan. The employee and employer each make monthly contributions to the plan equal to 12% of the covered employee's salary. The contribution is made to the Government's provident fund.

The Company recognized an expense of \$ 6,819 and \$16,446 towards contribution to various defined contribution and benefit plans during the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 respectively.

NOTE 19 – DECONSOLIDATION

Effective October 1, 2009, we decreased our ownership in Sricon Infrastructure from 63% to 22.3%. On March 7, 2008 we consummated the Sricon Acquisition by purchasing 63% for \$28,690,266 (based on an exchange rate of 40 INR for 1 USD). Subsequently, we effectively borrowed, through an intermediary company, \$17,900,000 (based on 40 INR for 1 USD) from Sricon. Through 2008 and 2009 we expanded our business offerings beyond construction to include a rapidly growing materials business. We have successfully repositioned the company as a materials and construction company with construction activity in our TBL subsidiary and materials activity in our other subsidiaries. As a consequence, we no longer owe \$17,900,000 and our corresponding ownership in Sricon had decreased from 63% to 22.3%, a minority interest. The accounting of the decrease in ownership, or deconsolidation of Sricon from the balance sheet of IGC, results in the shrinking of IGC's balance sheet and a one-time charge on the income statement.

The equity dilution of 40.715% resulted in a consideration of \$17,900,000. Following the guidance under ASC 810-10, the parent derecognized the assets, liabilities and equity components (including the amounts previously recognized in other comprehensive income) related to Sricon. IGC recorded a loss of \$785,073 and further reclassified an accumulated AOCI loss of \$2,098,492 in the income statement as a result of the dilution. Deferred acquisition costs related to Sricon amounted to \$1,854,750, which were subsequently recorded in the income statement for the Fiscal Year that ended March 31, 2010.

The Company accounted for its remaining 22.3% interest in Sricon by the equity method. The carrying value of the investment in Sricon as of March 31, 2010 was \$8,443,181. The Company's equity in the income of Sricon for the period ended March 31, 2010 was \$16,446. In the current year, due to certain disputes with the management of Sricon, the Company was not able to obtain the financial statements of Sricon. It has been determined that the Company no longer has significant influence in the operations of Sricon. Accordingly, the investment in Sricon is currently valued at cost less provision for impairment losses, if any. Please refer Note 25 for discussion on impairment loss relating to the investment in Sricon.

Table of Contents

NOTE 20 – INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense (benefit) for each of the years ended March 31 consists of the following:

	March 31, 2011	2010
Current:		
Federal	\$	\$ 0
Foreign	(100,226)	92,310
State		0
Net Current	(100,226)	92,310
Deferred:		
Federal	4,242,001	(2,947,845)
Foreign	(422,823)	113,464
State	381,433	(367,633)
Net Deferred	4,200,611	(3,202,014)
Total tax provision	\$ 4,100,385	\$ (3,109,704)

The significant components of deferred income tax expense (benefit) from operations before non-controlling interest for each of the years ended March 31 consist of the following:

	March 31, 2011	2010
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	\$ 1,652,984	\$ (550,254)
Net operating loss carry forward	2,003,420	(1,999,512)
Foreign Tax Credits	544,207	(544,207)
Interest income deferred for reporting purposes		
Difference between accrual accounting for reporting purposes and cash accounting for tax purposes		
Less: Valuation Allowance	(4,200,611)	(108,041)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 0	\$ (3,202,014)

Table of Contents

The total tax provision for income taxes for year ended March 31, 2011 differs from that amount which would be computed by applying the U.S. Federal income tax rate to income before provision for income taxes as follows:

	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Statutory Federal income tax rate	34.0%	34.0%
State tax benefit net of federal tax	1.5%	-5.4%
Change in valuation allowance	8.2%	-
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-0.4%	-
Loss on dilution of Sricon	-	-12.3%
Impairment loss on goodwill	-11.9%	-
Impairment loss on investments	-4.4%	-
Capitalized interest costs	-2.8%	-5.2%
Tax benefits from US taxes	-	-48.9%
Amortization of debt discount	-	-1.5%
Effective income tax rate	24.2%	-39.3%

The deferred tax assets and liabilities as of March 31 consist of the following tax effects relating to temporary differences and carry forwards:

	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Current deferred tax liabilities (assets):		
Vacation Pay	\$ 0	\$ (25,345)
Valuation allowance		-
Net current deferred tax liabilities (assets)	0	(25,345)
Noncurrent deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Startup Costs	921,378	(921,378)
Deferred Acquisition Costs	731,606	(731,606)
Property, plant and equipment		(121,242)
Foreign Tax Credits	544,207	(544,207)
Net Operating Losses	2,003,420	(1,999,512)
Valuation allowance	(4,200,611)	-
Non-Current net deferred tax assets	\$ 0	\$ (4,075,461)

Deferred income tax assets, net of valuation allowances are expected to be realized through future taxable income. The valuation allowance increased in 2011 by \$4.1 million, primarily related net operating loss carry forwards and acquisition costs. The company intends to maintain valuation allowances for those deferred tax assets unit sufficient evidence to support the reversal of the valuation allowance.

The Company's and/or its subsidiaries' ability to utilize their net operating loss carry forwards may be significantly limited by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, if the Company or any of its subsidiaries undergoes an "ownership change" as a result of changes in the ownership of the Company's or its subsidiaries' outstanding stock pursuant to the exercise of the warrants or otherwise. A corporation generally undergoes an "ownership change" when the ownership of its stock, by value, changes by more than 50 percentage points over any three-year testing period. In the event of an ownership change, Section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the

amount of post-ownership change taxable income a corporation may offset with pre-ownership change net operating loss carry forwards and certain recognized built-in losses. As of December 31, does not appear to have had an ownership change for Section 382 purposes.

F-27

Table of Contents

NOTE 21 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

Accounting pronouncements establish standards for the manner in which public companies report information about operating segments in annual and interim financial statements. Operating segments are component of an enterprise that have distinct financial information available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker ("CODM") to decide how to allocate resources and evaluate performance. The Company's CODM is considered to be the Company's chief executive officer ("CEO"). The CEO reviews financial information presented on an entity level basis for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Therefore, the Company has determined that it operates in a single operating and reportable segment.

NOTE 22 – RECONCILIATION OF EPS

For the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the basic shares include founders shares, shares sold in the market, shares sold in a private placement, shares sold in the IPO, shares sold in the registered direct, shares arising from the exercise of warrants issued in the placement of debt, shares issued in connection with debt, and shares issued to employees, directors and vendors. The fully diluted shares include the basic shares plus warrants issued as part of the units sold in the private placement and IPO, warrants sold as part of the units sold in the registered direct and employee options. The historical weighted average per share for our shares through March 31, 2011, was applied using the treasury method of calculating the fully diluted shares. The weighted average number of shares outstanding as at March 31, 2011 used for the computation of basic EPS is 15,108,920. Due to the loss incurred during the year ended March 31, 2011, all of the potential equity shares are anti-dilutive and accordingly, the diluted EPS is equal to the basic EPS.

NOTE 23 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company, through its subsidiary, IGC Materials, Private Limited ("IGC-MPL"), previously created a partnership for operation of a rock quarry, in which IGC-MPL owns a 49% stake, with the owner of the land on which the quarry was located. In order to promote investments in certain industries including quarrying, the government of Maharashtra, where the quarry is located, instituted a tax rebate. The tax rebate allows the quarry operators to recover their entire investment through the collection of taxes by retaining taxes that would otherwise be payable to the government. In July 2011, the Company's application for the rebate was approved by the government of Maharashtra, and the partnership was accordingly granted the right to retain up to \$2.68 million in sales taxes and royalty taxes collected through the sale of rock aggregate from the quarries. This figure amounts to the investment made by the Company to develop the rock quarry including infrastructure and machinery. The Company expects that it may take a few years to fully realize the benefits of the tax rebate.

NOTE 24 – INVESTMENTS – OTHERS

Investments – others for each of the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 consists of the following:

	As of March 31,	
	2011	2010
Investment in equity shares of an unlisted company	\$ 67,355	\$ 66,741
Investment in partnership (SIPL-IGC)	810,508	744,149
	\$ 877,863	\$ 810,890

Table of Contents

NOTE 25 – IMPAIRMENT

Effective October 1, 2009, the Company reduced its investment in Sricon from 63% to 22%. For the financial year ended March 31, 2010 the Company conducted an impairment test on the 22% investment in Sricon using the discounted cash flow methodology. The Company had access to the unaudited balance sheet of Sricon as of December 31, 2009, but did not have audited financial statements of Sricon for the year ended March 31, 2010. The Company used information from the unaudited December 31, 2009 balance sheet, recoverable values of property, plant and equipment not used in the operations of the Company based on independent third party valuations and Sricon's history of winning and renewing contracts in determining the discounted cash flow. Based on the impairment test applied at the end of March 31, 2010, the Company concluded that the recoverable value of its investment in Sricon exceeded the total of the value of its receivable in Sricon and its investment in Sricon. Therefore no impairment was provided with respect to the receivable and investment in Sricon.

In January 2011, the Company Law Board in India (CLB), a body that has jurisdiction over companies in India, granted the Company's petition to stay any transactions, such as purchases, sales or a further creation of liability on Sricon's fixed properties including land and plant and machinery. Further, based on CLB orders representatives of the Company visited Sricon for an inspection in January 2011, February 2011, April 2011 and June 2011.

Based on the CLB order freezing the sale of assets and creation of liability and allowing inspections by the Company, the Company believes that it has sufficient information on the existing assets and liabilities in Sricon to perform an impairment test. Further, as Sricon can no longer alienate the assets or create further liabilities, the Company believes that this forms an appropriate basis for the assessment of the recoverable value of the investment. The nature of information available to the Company includes assets (plant, machinery, land, building,) and significant liabilities.

For the year ended March 31, 2011 the Company again conducted an impairment test on its 22% investment in Sricon. However, the methodology for assessing the value of our investment and the recoverability of our receivable in Sricon, for the financial year ended March 31, 2011 was based on an assessment of recoverable values of property, plant and equipment as certified by independent government approved appraisers and not on a discounted cash flow methodology. The Company currently does not have sufficient financial information on Sricon and the lack of such financial statements may impact our ability to accurately value the investment. The methodology used in determining the fair value of assets included the current market value of real estate owned by Sricon, the recoverable value for equipment and an estimate for the timing of collection on awarded arbitration claims discounted to its present value using a discount rate of 12 %. Based on this, the Company concluded that as of March 31, 2011 a liquidation of Sricon including a sale of assets and settlement of liabilities would result in the Company's ability to recover \$6.4 million. The Company therefore impaired 100% of its \$3.1 million receivable in Sricon, and impaired \$2.2 million of its investment. The carrying value of the investment in Sricon for the year ended March 31, 2011 is \$6.4 million, which is equal to the recoverable assessed value.

Table of Contents

NOTE 26 – UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

The information for the quarter ending December 31, 2009 has been restated as stated in Note 3 – Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements.

The restated Statement of Operations for the quarter ending 31 December 2009 is presented below:

INDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	3 months ended 31 Dec 2009 – As reported in 10Q		9 months ended 31 Dec 2009 – As reported in 10Q	
	As restated	As restated	As restated	As restated
Revenues	\$5,909,024	\$5,909,024	\$13,994,503	\$13,994,503
Cost of revenues	(5,326,393)	(5,326,393)	(11,829,440)	(11,829,440)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(3,049,603)	(3,049,603)	(4,446,137)	(4,446,137)
Depreciation	(101,991)	(101,991)	(519,812)	(519,812)
Operating income (loss)	\$(2,568,963)	\$(2,568,963)	\$(2,800,886)	\$(2,800,886)
Compensation expenses	(123,139)	(123,139)	(123,139)	(123,139)
Interest expense	(252,619)	(252,619)	(1,019,687)	(1,019,687)
Amortization of debt discount	(178,218)	(178,218)	(178,218)	(178,218)
Interest income	37,314	37,314	139,641	139,641
Equity in (gain)/loss of affiliates	16,446	16,446	16,446	16,446
Other income, net	3,570	3,570	6,836	6,836
Income before income taxes and minority interest attributable to non-controlling interest	\$(3,065,609)	\$(3,065,609)	\$(3,959,007)	\$(3,959,007)
Income taxes benefit/ (expense)	103,281	103,281	(54,486)	(54,486)
Extraordinary items				
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	(3,205,616)	(3,205,616)	(3,205,616)	(3,205,616)
Net income/(loss)	\$(6,167,944)	\$(6,167,944)	\$(7,219,109)	\$(7,219,109)
Non-controlling interests in earnings of subsidiaries	(7,574)	(7,574)	(72,599)	(72,599)
Net income / (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$(6,175,518)	\$(6,175,518)	\$(7,291,708)	\$(7,291,708)
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	12,898,291	12,898,291	12,898,291	12,898,291
Diluted	13,559,184	12,898,291	13,559,184	12,898,291
Net Income per share				
Basic	\$(0.48)	\$(0.48)	\$(0.56)	\$(0.56)
Diluted	\$(0.45)	\$(0.48)	\$(0.54)	\$(0.56)

* The effect of restatement on the diluted EPS has been shown in Italics in the table above.

Table of ContentsINDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine months ended December 31,		
	2009 (as originally filed)	Adjustments	2009 (as restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$(7,219,109)	-	\$(7,219,109)
Adjustment to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash:			
Deferred taxes	(68,699)	28,637	(40,062)
Depreciation	519,812	-	519,812
Amortization of debt discount	178,219	-	178,219
Interest expense (including non-cash)	375,758	767,068	1,142,826
Loss on extinguishment of debt	586,785	-	586,785
Loss on dilution of stake in Sricon	3,205,616	-	3,205,616
Deferred acquisition cost written off	1,854,750	-	1,854,750
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(16,446)	-	(16,446)
Changes in:		-	
Accounts receivable	(5,364,846)	1,465,666	(3,899,180)
Inventories	(389,904)	17,702	(372,202)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(168,549)	248,765	80,216
Trade payables	3,621,690	(4,020)	3,617,670
Other current liabilities	96,813	(1,102,799)	(1,005,986)
Other non-current liabilities	(49,901)	(111,169)	(161,070)
Non-current assets	74,242	(20,244)	53,998
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(2,763,769)	1,289,606	\$(1,474,163)
Cash flow from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(123,450)	(65,365)	(188,815)
Investment in non-current investments (joint ventures etc.)	(600,024)	-	(600,024)
Restricted cash	(261,232)	(15,069)	(276,301)
Net cash movement relating to de-consolidation of subsidiary	-	(102,045)	(102,045)
Net cash provided/(used) in investing activities	\$(984,706)	(182,479)	\$(1,167,185)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from/ (repayment of) in other short-term borrowings	148,091	(687,956)	(539,865)
Proceeds from/ (repayment of) from long-term borrowings	(141,873)	(285,600)	(427,473)
Issuance of equity shares	1,777,939	-	1,777,939
Proceeds from notes payable	2,000,000	-	2,000,000
Interest paid	(72,710)	(287,883)	(360,593)
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	\$3,711,447	(1,261,439)	\$2,450,008
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(12,632)	154,312	141,680
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(49,390)	-	(49,660)
Cash and cash equivalent at the beginning of the period	2,129,365	-	2,129,635
Cash and cash equivalent at the end of the period	\$2,079,705	-	\$2,079,705

Table of Contents

NOTE 27 – CERTAIN AGED RECEIVABLES

The accounts receivable as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 include certain aged receivables in the amount of \$2.37 million and \$2.30 million respectively. These receivables are due from the National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) and the Cochin International Airport. The Government of India owns NHAI and the Cochin International Airport is partially owned by the State Government of Kerala. The receivables have been due for periods in excess of one year as of March 31, 2011. These receivables have been classified as current for the following reasons:

Our subsidiary in India, TBL, worked on the building of an airport runway at the Cochin International Airport and a road and associated bridges on a highway for the NHAI. During the execution of these projects the clients of the Company requested several changes to the engineering drawings. The claims of the Company against each of the clients involve reimbursement of expenses associated with the change orders and variances as well as compensation for delays caused by the client. The delay part of the claim involves equipment that is idle on the job, including interest or lease charges for the equipment while it is idle, and workers that are idle, among others. The expense reimbursement involves cost of new material including any escalation in the cost of materials, usage of equipment, personnel and other charges that were incurred as a result of the delays caused by the change orders. These invoices were disputed by the clients and referred to arbitration. The process of arbitration involves each party choosing an arbitrator and the arbitrators appointing a third chief arbitrator. Each party then presents its case over several months and the arbitrator makes an award.

The receivables occurred and became due when TBL won two separate arbitration awards against each of these organizations. The arbitration awards were first reported on our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and reflected in our March 31, 2010 financial statements filed as part of the Form 10-K. The arbitration awards stipulate that interest be accrued for the period of non-payment. However, the receivables do not have an interest component as we will try and use the accrued interest as negotiating leverage for an earlier payment. Although the receivables are contractually due, and hence its classification as current, it may take the Company anywhere from the next 30 days to two years to actually realize the funds, depending on how long these organizations want to delay paying. The Company continues to carry the full value of the receivables, without interest and without any impairment, because the Company believes that there is minimal risk that these organizations will become insolvent and unable to make payment.

NOTE 28 - RE-CLASSIFICATIONS to CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AND CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Subsequent to the filing of the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the Company has re-classified the following figures, in both 2011 and 2010, to conform to the standards required by the SEC:

1. Reclassified the non-controlling interest on the face of the consolidated balance sheet and included the same as a part of the total stockholders' equity.
2. Added a line on the stockholders' equity to provide the total equity related to the Parent company or IGC.
3. The impairment losses on goodwill and investments have been included as a part of the operating income/(loss) in the consolidated statements of income.
4. Removed the sub-total titled 'Revenue less cost of revenue (excluding depreciation)' in the consolidated statements of income.

There are no changes in the Consolidated Statement of Operations with respect to net income/loss attributable to common stock holders and in the case of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the total liabilities and stockholders' equity, remains the same.

F-32

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are processes and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the company is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). As of the year ended March 31, 2011, management conducted an evaluation (under the supervision and with the participation of the chief executive officer and the principal accounting officer), pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act, of the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures. As part of such evaluation, management considered the matters discussed below relating to internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness in internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Auditing Standard No. 5 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board) is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

On January 19, 2011, the Securities and Exchange Commission notified the Company that the financial statements filed with the Company's initial Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 did not comply with the requirements of Rule 2-02 under Regulation S-X for audited financial statements as a result of a qualification in the auditor's report with respect to the deconsolidation of Sricon. (Such report has been filed without such qualification in Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010). After a review of the circumstances, the CEO and PAO are now unable to conclude that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures failed to identify and address the issue noted by the SEC regarding the audit report. In response, the Company's management has heightened its diligence in addressing the Company's disclosure controls and, throughout the period subsequent to the identification of such material weakness, the management has added and is in the process of adding measures to improve and evaluate the effectiveness of its controls over financial reporting. These measures include the completion of checklists with respect to the accounting and reporting standards, engaging external experts in U.S. GAAP to assist in the preparation and review of financial statements, and getting a subscription to an online knowledge base to provide the latest updates on U.S. GAAP and other accounting and disclosure matters. We have also planned to get U.S. GAAP and reporting training for our India based internal accounting staff. We currently continue to rely on manual steps for the consolidation of our financial statements and expect to address the systems aspects in the future as part of our continued effort to eliminate errors and significantly remediate deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting.

Further as previously reported in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed in June 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company, based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee and in consultation with the independent accountants, concluded that the Company's previously issued financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and the fiscal quarter of December 2009 should be restated in order to correct certain identified errors. Accordingly, the Company has restated its previously issued financial statements for those periods. See Part

II—Item 7—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Background on the Restatement and Note 3, Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II—Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Table of Contents

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

With the participation of our management, based on the evaluation and in light of the notification from the SEC and the restatement discussed above, we concluded that there was a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. This to the extent that we did not have adequate staff with sufficient experience regarding SEC accounting matters to be able to direct our accounting staff to identify and address the issue noted by the SEC regarding the audit report or which resulted in the restatement of the prior financial statements described above. Based on the evaluation performed, our management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of March 31, 2011 because of this material weakness which result in the restatements described above and which was not remediated prior to March 31, 2011. We have taken some measures to address this weakness by engaging, as consultants, additional accounting personnel with the appropriate experience in SEC accounting requirements to assist in IGC's disclosure process, and by subscribing to an online knowledge database. We also plan on getting additional training in U.S. GAAP and reporting for our key India based accounting staff. We believe that this step will remediate the deficiencies identified above. As the Company continues to evaluate and work to improve its internal control over financial reporting, management may execute additional measures to modify the remediation plan described above. Management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the Company's internal control environment, as well as to policies and procedures to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Management will evaluate the effectiveness of these measures as part of its evaluation of the internal controls over financial reporting for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012.

A material weakness in internal control over financial reporting is defined in Section 210.1-02(4) of Regulation S-X as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the Company's financial reporting.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to a permanent exemption from the internal control audit requirements of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the year ended March 31, 2011, that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting except for the corrective measures stated above for correcting some of the deficiencies identified in the internal control system.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including the Company's CEO and PAO, does not expect that the Company's internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

33

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Company and Corporate Governance

DIRECTORS

Board of Directors; Independence

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes (Class A, Class B and Class C) with only one class of directors being elected in each year and each class serving a three-year term. The term of office of the Class A directors, consisting of Mr. Shenoy, will expire at this 2011 Annual Meeting of stockholders. The term of office of the Class B directors, currently consisting of Mr. Prins and Dr. Krishna, will expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting of stockholders. The term of office of the Class C director, currently consisting of Mr. Mukunda, will expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting of stockholders. These individuals have played a key role in identifying and evaluating prospective acquisition candidates, selecting the target businesses, and structuring, negotiating and consummating acquisitions.

The NYSE Amex, upon which the Company is listed, has rules mandating that the majority of the Board be independent. Our Board of Directors will consult with counsel to ensure that the Board's determinations are consistent with those rules and all relevant securities laws and regulations regarding the independence of directors. The NYSE Amex listing standards define an "independent director" generally as a person, other than an officer or an employee of a company, who does not have a relationship with the company that would interfere with the director's exercise of independent judgment. Consistent with these standards, the Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Krishna, Prins and Shenoy are independent directors.

The following table sets forth information regarding our directors as of July 12, 2011:

Name	Director		Term
	Age	Since	Will Expire
Sudhakar Shenoy, Director (Class A)	64	2005	2011
Dr. Ranga Krishna, Chairman of the Board, Director (Class B)	47	2005	2012
Mr. Ram Mukunda, Chief Exec. Officer, Exec. Chairman, President, Director (Class C)	52	2005	2013
Mr. Richard Prins, Director (Class B)	54	2007	2012

Mr. Sudhakar Shenoy has served as our Director since inception (May 25, 2005). Since January 1981, Mr. Shenoy has been the Founder, Chairman and CEO of Information Management Consulting, Inc., a business solutions and technology provider with operations in the U.S. and in India. Mr. Shenoy is a member of the Non Resident Indian Advisory Group that advises the Prime Minister of India on strategies for attracting foreign direct investment. Mr. Shenoy was selected for the United States Presidential Trade and Development Mission to India in 1995. In 1996, Mr. Shenoy was inducted into the University of Connecticut School of Business Alumni Hall of Fame and was recognized as a Distinguished Alumnus of the Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) in Bombay, India in 1997. Mr. Shenoy's extensive business contacts in India and his experience serving on the boards of public companies in the U.S. make him a highly effective board member. Mr. Shenoy holds a B. Tech (Hons.) in electrical engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology and an M.S. in electrical engineering and an M.B.A. from the University of Connecticut Schools of Engineering and Business Administration, respectively.

Dr. Ranga Krishna has served as a non-executive Chairman of the Board since December 15, 2005 and as a director since May 25, 2005. As of June 30, 2010, he was the largest IGC shareholder. Since 1998, Dr. Krishna has served as the founder and CEO of Rising Sun Holding, LLC, a \$120 million construction and land banking company located in

New Jersey. In September 1999, Dr. Krishna co-founded Fastscribe, Inc., an Internet-based medical and legal transcription company with its operations in India with more than 200 employees. He has served as a director of Fastscribe since September 1999. He is currently the Managing Partner. In February 2003, Dr. Krishna founded International Pharma Trials, Inc., a company with operations in India and more than 150 employees, which assists U.S. pharmaceutical companies performing Phase II clinical trials in India. He is currently the Chairman and CEO of that company. In April 2004, Dr. Krishna founded Global Medical Staffing Solutions, Inc., a company that recruits nurses and other medical professionals from India and places them in U.S. hospitals. Dr. Krishna is currently serving as the Chairman and CEO of that company. On November 7, 2008, he joined the board of TransTech Service Partners, a SPAC, which initiated liquidation on May 23, 2009. Dr. Krishna is a member of several organizations, including the American Academy of Neurology and the Medical Society of the State of New York. He is also a member of the Medical Arbitration panel for the New York State Worker's Compensation Board. Dr. Krishna was trained at New York's Mount Sinai Medical Center (1991-1994) and New York University (1994-1996). As shown above, Dr. Krishna has founded several other companies that conduct business in India and has developed relationships, over the years, with Indian government officials and Indian business leaders. Dr. Krishna's in-depth knowledge and long experience in both U.S. and Indian business make him an effective board member.

Table of Contents

Mr. Ram Mukunda, IGC's Founder, has served as our Executive Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President since our inception on April 29, 2005 and was Chairman of the Board from April 29, 2005 through December 15, 2005. Since July 2010, Mr. Mukunda has been on the board of directors of the BLA Power Private Limited Board, in Mumbai, India. From September 2004 through 2010, Mr. Mukunda served as Chief Executive Officer of Integrated Global Networks, LLC ("IGC"), a communications contractor in the U.S. Government. From January 1990 to May 2004, Mr. Mukunda served as Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Startec Global Communications, an international telecommunications carrier focused on providing voice over Internet protocol (VOIP) services to the emerging economies. Startec was among the first carriers to have a direct operating agreement with India for the provision of telecom services. Mr. Mukunda was responsible for the organizing, structuring and integrating a number of companies owned by Startec. Many of these companies provided strategic investments in India-based operations or provided services to India-based companies. Under Mr. Mukunda's tenure at Startec, the company made an initial public offering of its equity securities in 1997 and conducted a public high-yield debt offering in 1998. From June 1987 to January 1990, Mr. Mukunda served as Strategic Planning Advisor at INTELSAT, a provider of satellite capacity. Mr. Mukunda serves on the Board of Visitors at the University of Maryland, School of Engineering. From 2001-2003, he was a Council Member at Harvard's Kennedy School of Government, Belfer Center of Science and International Affairs. Mr. Mukunda is the recipient of several awards, including the University of Maryland's 2001 Distinguished Engineering Alumnus Award and the 1998 Ernst & Young, LLP's Entrepreneur of the Year Award. He holds B.S. degrees in electrical engineering and mathematics and a M.S. in Engineering from the University of Maryland. Mr. Mukunda has traveled extensively through India and has conducted business in India and China for more than 15 years. He has more than 11 years of experience managing a publicly held company, has acquired and integrated more than 15 companies, and is an engineer by training. His in-depth business experience in India, his knowledge of U.S. capital markets and his engineering background make him a highly effective board member.

Mr. Richard Prins has served as our Director since May 2007. Mr. Prins has more than 26 years of experience in private equity investing and investment banking. From March 1996, he was the Director of Investment Banking at Ferris, Baker Watts, Incorporated (FBW). FBW was the lead underwriter for our IPO. FBW was sold to Royal Bank of Canada (RBC) in 2008. Mr. Prins served in a consulting role to RBC until January 2009. Today Mr. Prins serves on several boards, volunteers full time with a non-profit organization, Advancing Native Missions, and is a private investor. Prior to FBW, from July 1988 to March 1996, Mr. Prins was Senior Vice President and Managing Director for the Investment Banking Division of Crestar Financial Corporation (SunTrust Banks). From 1993 to 1998, he was with the leveraged buy-out firm of Tuscarora Corporation. Mr. Prins has experience serving on the boards of other publicly held companies. Since February 2003, he has been on the board of Amphastar Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and since March 2010, he has been on the board of Hilbert Technologies. Mr. Richard Prins holds a B.A. degree from Colgate University (1980) and an M.B.A. from Oral Roberts University (1983). Mr. Prins has excellent knowledge and experience with U.S. capital markets, has served on and chaired audit and compensation committees of Boards, has extensive experience in finance, accounting, and internal controls over financial reporting. He brings particularly important experience to the board, especially if IGC seeks additional financing in the U.S. capital markets. Mr. Prins has traveled in India and China. His knowledge of India and China, as well as, his in-depth experience with U.S. capital markets makes him a highly effective board member.

All directors hold office until the annual meeting of the stockholders in the year set forth above in the table and until their successors have been duly elected or qualified. There are no family relationships between any of our directors or executive officers.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers as of July 12, 2011. Executive officers are elected annually by our Board of Directors. Each executive officer holds his office until he resigns or is removed by the Board or his successor is elected and qualified.

Name	Age	Position
Mr. Ram Mukunda	52	Chief Executive Officer, Executive Chairman, President and Director
Mr. John Selvaraj	67	Treasurer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer

There are no family relationships between any of our executive officers and our directors.

For information on Mr. Mukunda's background, please see "Directors" above.

Mr. John B. Selvaraj has served as our Treasurer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer since November 27, 2006. From November 15, 1997 to August 10, 2007, Mr. Selvaraj served in various capacities with Startec, Inc., including from January 2001 to April 2006 as Vice President of Finance and Accounting where he was responsible for SEC reporting and international subsidiary consolidation. Prior to joining Startec, from July 1984 to December 1994, Mr. Selvaraj served as the Chief Financial and Administration Officer for the US office of the European Union. In 1969, Mr. Selvaraj received a BBA in Accounting from Spicer Memorial College India, and an Executive MBA, in 1993, from Averette University, Virginia. Mr. Selvaraj is a Chartered Accountant (CA, 1971).

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

Audit Committee

Our Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee currently composed of three independent directors who report to the Board of Directors. Messrs. Krishna, Prins and Shenoy, each of whom is an independent director under the NYSE Amex listing standards, serve as members of our Audit Committee. In addition, we have determined that Messrs. Krishna, Prins and Shenoy are “audit committee financial experts” as that term is defined under Item 407 of Regulation S-B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Audit Committee is responsible for meeting with our independent accountants regarding, among other issues, audits and adequacy of our accounting and control systems.

Compensation Committee

Our Board of Directors has established a Compensation Committee composed of three independent directors, Messrs. Krishna, Shenoy and Prins. The compensation committee’s purpose is to review and approve compensation paid to our officers and directors and to administer the Company’s Stock Plan.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

A Compensation Committee comprised of three independent members of the Board of Directors, Ranga Krishna, Richard Prins and Sudhakar Shenoy. No executive officer of the Company served as a director or member of the compensation committee of any other entity.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

We intend to establish a nominating and corporate governance committee. The primary purpose of the nominating and corporate governance committee will be to identify individuals qualified to become directors, recommend to the Board of Directors the candidates for election by stockholders or appointment by the Board of Directors to fill a vacancy, recommend to the Board of Directors the composition and chairs of Board of Directors committees, develop and recommend to the Board of Directors guidelines for effective corporate governance, and lead an annual review of the performance of the Board of Directors and each of its committees.

We do not have any formal process for stockholders to nominate a director for election to our Board of Directors. Currently, nominations are selected or recommended by a majority of the independent directors as stated in Section 804(a) of the NYSE Amex Company Guide. Any stockholder wishing to recommend an individual to be considered by our Board of Directors as a nominee for election as a director should send a signed letter of recommendation to the following address: India Globalization Capital, Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary, 4336 Montgomery Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814. Recommendation letters must state the reasons for the recommendation and contain the full name and address of each proposed nominee as well as a brief biographical history setting forth past and present directorships, employments, occupations and civic activities. A written statement should accompany any such recommendation from the proposed nominee consenting to be named as a candidate and, if nominated and elected, consenting to serve as a director. We may also require a candidate to furnish additional information regarding his or her eligibility and qualifications. The Board of Directors does not intend to evaluate candidates proposed by stockholders differently than it evaluates candidates that are suggested by our board members, executive officers or other sources.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Audit Committee will at all times be composed exclusively of “independent directors” who are “financially literate,” as defined under the NYSE Amex listing standards. The NYSE Amex listing standards define “financially literate” as being able to read and understand fundamental financial statements, including a company’s balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement. In addition, we must certify to the NYSE Amex that the Audit Committee has, and will continue to have, at least one member who has past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional certification in accounting, or other comparable experience or background that results in the individual’s financial sophistication. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Krishna, Prins and Shenoy satisfy the NYSE Amex’s definition of financial sophistication and qualify as “audit committee financial experts,” as defined under rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Board and Committee Meetings

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, our Board of Directors held six meetings. Although we do not have any formal policy regarding director attendance at our Annual Meetings, we attempt to schedule our Annual Meetings so that all of our directors can attend. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, all of our directors attended 100% of the meetings of the Board of Directors. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, there were two meetings of the audit committee, all of which were attended by all of the members of the committee. There were no compensation committee meetings held during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Communications with Directors

Any director may be contacted by writing to him or her c/o the Secretary of the Company at the address set forth above. Communications to the non-management directors as a group may be sent to the Independent Directors c/o the Secretary of the Company at the same address. We promptly forward, without screening other than normal security procedures for all our mail, all correspondence to the indicated director or directors.

Indemnification Agreements

We intend to enter into indemnification agreements with our officers and directors. Under the terms of the anticipated indemnification agreements, we intend to agree to indemnify our officers and directors against expenses, judgments, fines, penalties or other amounts actually and reasonably incurred by the independent director in connection with any proceeding if the officer or director acted in good faith and did not derive an improper personal benefit from the transaction or occurrence that is the basis of the proceeding.

Annual Meeting Attendance

We do not have a formal policy requiring directors to attend stockholder meetings but we encourage members of the Board of Directors to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

CODE OF CONDUCT AND ETHICS

A code of business conduct and ethics is a written standard designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote (a) honest and ethical conduct, (b) full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in regulatory filings and public statements, (c) compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations, (d) the prompt reporting violation of the code and (e) accountability for adherence to the code. The Company has adopted a written code of ethics (the "Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics") that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers, including the Company's Principal Accounting Officer, Controller and persons performing similar functions (collectively, the "Senior Financial Officers") in accordance with applicable federal securities laws and the rules of the NYSE Amex. Investors may view our Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics on the corporate governance subsection of the investor relations portion of our website at www.indiaglobalcap.com. The Company has established separate audit and compensation committees that are described below. The Company does not have a separate nominating committee. Accordingly, Board of Director nominations occur by either selection or recommendation of a majority of the independent directors.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors, executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of their ownership of shares with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such executive officers, directors and stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely upon review of the copies of such reports received by us, our senior management believes that all reports required to be filed under Section 16(a) for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 were filed in a timely manner.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Compensation Policy

The Company's Compensation Committee is empowered to review and approve, or in some cases recommend for the approval of the full Board of Directors the annual compensation for the executive officers of the Company. This Committee has the responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring the Company's compensation strategy and policy. Among its principal duties, the Committee ensures that the total compensation of the executive officers is fair, reasonable and competitive.

Objectives and Philosophies of Compensation

The primary objective of the Company's compensation policy, including the executive compensation policy, is to help attract and retain qualified, energetic managers who are enthusiastic about the Company's mission and products. The policy is designed to reward the achievement of specific annual and long-term strategic goals aligning executive performance with company growth and stockholder value. In addition, the Board of Directors strives to promote an ownership mentality among key leaders and the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Setting Executive Compensation

The compensation policy is designed to reward performance. In measuring executive officers' contribution to the Company, the Compensation Committee considers numerous factors including the Company's growth and financial performance as measured by revenue, gross margin and net income before taxes among other key performance indicators. Regarding most compensation matters, including executive and director compensation, management provides recommendations to the Compensation Committee; however, the Compensation Committee does not delegate any of its functions to others in setting compensation. The Compensation Committee does not currently engage any consultant related to executive and/or director compensation matters.

Stock price performance has not been a factor in determining annual compensation because the price of the Company's common stock is subject to a variety of factors outside of management's control. The Company does not subscribe to an exact formula for allocating cash and non-cash compensation. However, a significant percentage of total executive compensation is performance-based. Historically, the majority of the incentives to executives have been in the form of non-cash incentives in order to better align the goals of executives with the goals of stockholders.

Elements of Company's Compensation Plan

The principal components of compensation for the Company's executive officers are:

- base salary
- performance-based incentive cash compensation
- right to purchase the Company's stock at a preset price (stock options)
- retirement and other benefits

Base Salary

The Company provides named executive officers and other employees with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salary ranges for named executive officers are determined for each executive based on his or her position and responsibility. During its review of base salaries for executives, the Committee primarily considers:

- market data;
- internal review of the executives' compensation, both individually and relative to other officers; and
- individual performance of the executive.

Salary levels are typically evaluated annually as part of the Company's performance review process as well as upon a promotion or other change in job responsibility.

Performance-Based Incentive Compensation

The management incentive plan gives the Committee the latitude to design cash and stock-based incentive compensation programs to promote high performance and achievement of corporate goals, encourage the growth of stockholder value and allow key employees to participate in the long-term growth and profitability of the

Company. So that stock-based compensation may continue to be a viable part of the Company's compensation strategy, management is currently seeking stockholder approval of a proposal to increase the number of shares of Company common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the Company's Stock Plan.

Ownership Guidelines

To align the interests of the Board of Directors directly with the interests of the stockholders, the Committee recommends that each Board member maintain a minimum ownership interest in the Company. Currently, the Compensation Committee recommends that each Board member own a minimum of 5,000 shares of the Company's common stock with such stock to be acquired within a reasonable time following election to the Board.

Table of Contents

Stock Option Program

The Stock Option Program assists the Company to:

- enhance the link between the creation of stockholder value and long-term executive incentive compensation;
- provide an opportunity for increased equity ownership by executives; and
- maintain competitive levels of total compensation.

Stock option award levels will be determined based on market data and will vary among participants based on their positions within the Company and are granted at the Committee's regularly scheduled meeting. As of March 31, 2011, we had granted 78,820 shares of common stock and 1,413,000 stock options under our Stock Plan. All of these grants occurred during or before the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. No options were granted during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The exercise price of the options, which vest immediately, was \$1.00 per share; the options will expire on May 18, 2014. As of March 31, 2011, under the 2008 Omnibus Plan, 471,045 options remain issuable under the plan.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

The Company provides some executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that the Company and the Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation program to better enable the Company to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. The Committee periodically reviews the levels of perquisites and other personal benefits provided to named executive officers. Some executive officers are provided use of a company automobiles and an assistant. . Each employee of the Company is entitled to term life insurance, premiums for which are paid by the Company. In addition, each employee is entitled to receive certain medical and dental benefits and part of the cost is funded by the employee.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

The Company's stock option grant policy will be impacted by the implementation of FASB ASC 718 (Previously referred to as SFAS No. 123R), which was adopted in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Under this accounting pronouncement, the Company is required to value unvested stock options granted prior to the adoption of FASB ASC 718 under the fair value method and expense those amounts in the income statement over the stock option's remaining vesting period.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code restricts deductibility of executive compensation paid to the Company's chief executive officer and each of the four other most highly compensated executive officers holding office at the end of any year to the extent such compensation exceeds \$1,000,000 for any of such officers in any year and does not qualify for an exception under Section 162(m) or related regulations. The Committee's policy is to qualify its executive compensation for deductibility under applicable tax laws to the extent practicable. In the future, the Committee will continue to evaluate the advisability of qualifying its executive compensation for full deductibility.

Compensation for Executive Officers of the Company

As described above in "Compensation of Directors," we pay IGN, LLC, an affiliate of Mr. Mukunda, \$4,000 per month for office space and certain general and administrative services, an amount which is not intended as compensation for Mr. Mukunda. Around November 27, 2006, we engaged SJS Associates, an affiliate of Mr. Selvaraj, which provides

the services of Mr. John Selvaraj as our Treasurer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer. We have agreed to pay SJS Associates \$5,000 per month for these services. Mr. Selvaraj is the Chief Executive Officer of SJS Associates. Effective November 1, 2007 the Company and SJS Associates terminated the agreement. We subsequently entered into a new agreement with SJS Associates on identical terms subsequent to the acquisition of Sricon and TBL.

On May 22, 2008, the Company and its subsidiary India Globalization Capital Mauritius (“IGC-M”) entered into an employment agreement (the “Employment Agreement”) with Ram Mukunda, pursuant to which he will receive a salary of \$300,000 per year for services to IGC and IGC-M as Chief Executive Officer. The Employment Agreement was approved in May 2008 and made effective as of March 8, 2008. For fiscal year 2010, Mr. Mukunda was paid \$300,000 plus \$40,894 in stock options for total compensation of \$340,894. For fiscal year 2011, Mr. Mukunda was paid \$300,000. The annual executive compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer of the Company is set out below.

The following table sets forth information concerning all cash and non-cash compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to (i) all individuals serving as the Company’s principal executive officer or acting in a similar capacity during the last two completed fiscal years, regardless of compensation level, and (ii) the Company’s two most highly compensated executive officers other than the principal executive officers serving at the end of the last two completed fiscal years (collectively, the “Named Executive Officers”).

Table of Contents

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Option Awards(1)	Total
Ram Mukunda, Chief Executive Officer and President	2011	\$ 300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300,000
	2010	\$ 300,000	\$ -	\$ 40,894	\$ 340,894
John Selvaraj, Principal Accounting Officer	2011	\$ 93,160	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 93,160
	2010	\$ 69,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,000

(1)The amounts reported in this column represent the fair value of option awards to the named executive officer as computed on the date of the option grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

There were no equity and non-equity awards granted to the Named Executive Officers in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information with respect to outstanding stock options held by the Company's Named Executive Officers as of March 31, 2011.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Ram Mukunda	635,000	-	\$1.00	5/13/14

Compensation of Directors

No compensation was awarded to, earned by or paid to the directors in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 for service as directors. All compensation paid to our employee director is set forth in the tables summarizing executive officer compensation above. The Option Awards column reflects the grant date fair value, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation — Stock Compensation (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R) for awards pursuant to the Company's equity incentive program.

Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 are included in Footnote 17 "Stock-Based Compensation" to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2011. The Company cautions that the amounts reported in the Director Compensation Table for these awards may not represent the amounts that the directors will actually realize from the awards. Whether, and to what extent, a director realizes value will depend on the Company's actual operating performance and stock price fluctuations.

We pay IGN, LLC, an affiliate of Mr. Mukunda, \$4,000 per month for office space and certain general and administrative services. We believe, based on rents and fees for similar services in the Washington, DC metropolitan

area that the fee charged by IGN LLC is at least as favorable as we could have obtained from an unaffiliated third party. The agreement is on a month-to-month basis and may be terminated by the Board of Directors without notice.

Employment Contracts

Ram Mukunda has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since its inception. The Company, IGC-M and Mr. Mukunda entered into an Employment Agreement on May 22, 2008, which agreement was made effective as of March 8, 2008, the date on which the Company completed its acquisition of Sricon and TBL. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company pays Mr. Mukunda a base salary of \$300,000 per year. Mr. Mukunda was also entitled to receive bonuses of at least \$225,000 for meeting certain targets for net income (before one-time charges including charges for employee options, warrants and other items) for fiscal year 2009 and is entitled to receive \$150,000 for meeting targets with respect to obtaining new contracts. The Agreement further provides that the Board of Directors of the Company may review and update the targets and amounts for the net revenue and contract bonuses on an annual basis. The Agreement also provides for benefits, including insurance, 20 days of paid vacation, a car (subject to partial reimbursement by Mr. Mukunda of lease payments for the car) and reimbursement of business expenses. The term of the Employment Agreement is five years, after which employment will become at-will. The Employment Agreement is terminable by the Company and IGC-M for death, disability and cause. In the event of a termination without cause, the Company would be required to pay Mr. Mukunda his full compensation for 18 months or until the term of the Employment Agreement was set to expire, whichever is earlier.

Table of Contents

Compensation Risk Assessment

In setting compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the risks to the Company's stockholders and to achievement of its goals that may be inherent in its compensation programs. The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed its assessment with management and outside legal counsel and concluded that the Company's compensation programs are within industry standards and are designed with the appropriate balance of risk and reward to align employees' interests with those of the Company and do not incent employees to take unnecessary or excessive risks. Although a portion of our executives and employees' compensation is performance-based and "at risk," we believe that our compensation plans are appropriately structured and are not reasonably likely to result in a material adverse effect on the Company.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table shows, as of March 31, 2011, information regarding outstanding awards available under our compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which our equity securities may be delivered.

Plan category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1)	(b) Weighted-average price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities available for future issuance (excluding shares in column (a))(1)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:			
2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan	1,413,000	\$1.00	471,045

(1) Consists of our 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as amended. See Note 17—"Stock-Based Compensation" of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of July 11, 2011 by each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock; each of our executive officers, directors and our special advisors; and all of our officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and does not necessarily indicate beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes those shares of common stock over which the stockholder has sole or shared voting or investment power. It also includes shares of common stock that the stockholder has a right to acquire within 60 days through the exercise of any option, warrant or other right. The percentage ownership of the outstanding common stock, which is based upon 20,960,433 shares of common stock outstanding as of July 11, 2011, is based on the assumption, expressly required by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, that only the person or entity whose ownership is being reported has exercised options or warrants to purchase shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise indicated, we believe that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise noted, the nature of the ownership set forth in the table below is common stock of the Company. The table below sets forth as of July 11, 2011, except as noted in the footnotes to the table, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock by (i) all persons or groups, according to the most recent Schedule 13D or Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or otherwise known to us, to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of the outstanding common stock of the Company, (ii) each director and director-nominee of the Company, (iii) the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, and (iv) all such executive officers and directors of the Company as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Shares Owned	
	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Class
Wells Fargo & Company (2) 420 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104	1,934,424	9.22%
Sage Master Investments Ltd. (3) 500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 930 New York, New York 10110	1,570,797	7.49%
Ram Mukunda (4)	2,024,914	9.66%
Ranga Krishna (5)	2,224,424	10.61%
Richard Prins (6)	350,000	1.67%
Sudhakar Shenoy (7)	350,000	1.67%
All Executive Officers and Directors as a group (4 Persons) (8)	4,949,338	23.61 %

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the individuals listed in the table is c/o India Globalization Capital, Inc., 4336 Montgomery Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.
- (2) Based on an amended Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 11, 2011 by Wells Fargo Company on behalf of its subsidiary Wachovia Bank, National Association that is the direct holder of the shares. Dr. Ranga Krishna is entitled to 100% of the economic benefits of the shares.
- (3) Based on an amended Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011 by Sage Master Investments Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company ("Sage Master"), Sage Opportunity Fund (QP), L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("QP Fund"), Sage Asset Management, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("SAM"), Sage Asset Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Sage Inc."), Barry G. Haimes and Katherine R. Hensel (collectively, the "Reporting Persons"). As disclosed in the Schedule 13G, each of the Reporting Persons' beneficial ownership of 1,570,797 shares of common stock constitutes 9.6% of all of the outstanding shares of common stock. The address for each of the foregoing parties is c/o 500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 930, New York, New York 10110.
- (4) Includes (i) 245,175 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Mukunda, (ii) 425,000 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Mukunda's wife Parveen Mukunda, (iii) options to purchase 1,210,000 shares of common stock which are exercisable within sixty (60) days of July 11, 2011, all of which are currently exercisable and (iv) warrants to purchase 144,739 shares of common stock, of which warrants to purchase 28,571 shares of common stock are owned by Mr. Mukunda's wife Parveen Mukunda and all which are exercisable within sixty (60) days of July 11, 2011, all of which are currently exercisable.
- (5) Includes warrants to purchase 290,000 shares of common stock, which are exercisable within sixty (60) days of July 11, 2011, all of which are currently exercisable. In addition, see footnote (2) for reference to the 1,934,424 shares beneficially owned by Wells Fargo & Company, which has sole voting and dispositive control over the

shares. Dr. Krishna is entitled to 100% of the economic benefits of the shares.

- (6) Includes options to purchase 350,000 shares of common, which are both exercisable within sixty (60) days of July 11, 2011 and currently exercisable.
- (7) Includes options to purchase 300,000 shares of common stock, which are both exercisable within sixty (60) days of July 11, 2011 and currently exercisable.
- (8) Includes: (i) 2,604,599 shares of common stock, (ii) warrants to purchase 434,739 shares of common stock, (iii) options to purchase 1,910,000 shares of common stock and The warrants and options are both exercisable within sixty (60) days of July 11, 2011 and currently exercisable. Includes 1,934,424 shares beneficially owned by Wells Fargo & Company, which has sole voting and dispositive control over the shares.

Messrs. Mukunda and Krishna may be deemed our “parent,” “founder” and “promoter,” as these terms are defined under the Federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

During the last two fiscal years, we have not entered into any material transactions or series of transactions that would be considered material in which any officer, director or beneficial owner of 5% or more of any class of our capital stock, or any immediate family member of any of the preceding persons, had a direct or indirect material interest, nor are there any such transactions presently proposed, other than the agreements with IGN, an affiliate of Ram Mukunda, and SJS Associates, an affiliate of John Selvaraj, described above and as set forth below.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Related Party Transactions

We do not maintain a formal written procedure for the review and approval of transactions with related persons. It is our policy for the disinterested members of our board to review all related party transactions on a case-by-case basis. To receive approval, a related-party transaction must have a business purpose for IGC and be on terms that are fair and reasonable to IGC and as favorable to IGC as would be available from non-related entities in comparable transactions.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Yoganandh & Ram, Chartered Accountants (“Y & R”) is our Principal Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm engaged to examine our financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. During the Company’s most two recent fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and through June 30, 2011, the Company did not consult with Y & R on (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that may be rendered on the Company’s financial statements, and Y & R has not provided either a written report or oral advice to the Company that was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing, or financial reporting issue; or (ii) the subject of any disagreement, as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions, or a reportable event within the meaning set forth in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

Audit Related and Other Fees

The following table shows the fees that we paid or accrued for the audit and other services provided by Y & R for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Except as specified otherwise in the table, we paid the fees to Y & R.

Audit Fees

This category includes the audit of our annual financial statements, review of financial statements included in our annual and quarterly reports and services that are normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firms in connection with engagements for those fiscal years. This category also includes advice on audit and accounting matters that arose during, or as a result of, the audit or the review of interim financial statements.

Audit-Related Fees

This category consists of assurance and related services by the independent registered public accounting firms that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported above under “Audit Fees.” The services for the fees disclosed under this category include services relating to our registration statement and consultation regarding our correspondence with the SEC.

Table of Contents

Tax Fees

This category consists of professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice. These services include tax return preparation and advice on state and local tax issues.

All Other Fees

This category consists of fees for other miscellaneous items.

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Audit Fees - Yoganandh & Ram	56,300	55,000
Audit-Related Fees	0	0
Tax Fees	0	0
All other Fees	4,200	13,758
Total	\$ 60,500	\$ 68,758

Policy on Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-audit Services of Independent Auditors

Consistent with SEC policies regarding auditor independence, the audit committee of our Board of Directors has responsibility for appointing, setting compensation and overseeing the work of the independent auditor. In recognition of this responsibility, our Board of Directors has established a policy to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditor. Prior to engagement of the independent auditor for the next year's audit, management may submit, if necessary, an aggregate of services expected to be rendered during that year for each of the following four categories of services to our Board of Directors for approval.

1 Audit services include audit work performed in the preparation of financial statements, as well as work that generally only the independent auditor can reasonably be expected to provide, including comfort letters, statutory audits, and attest services and consultation regarding financial accounting and/or reporting standards.

2 Audit-Related services are for assurance and related services that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor, including due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, employee benefit plan audits, and special procedures required to meet certain regulatory requirements.

3 Tax services include all services performed by the independent auditor's tax personnel except those services specifically related to the audit of the financial statements, and includes fees in the areas of tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice.

4 Other Fees are those associated with services not captured in the other categories.

Prior to engagement, our Board of Directors pre-approves these services by category of service. The fees are budgeted and our Board of Directors requires the independent auditor and management to report actual fees versus the budget periodically throughout the year by category of service. During the year, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage the independent auditor for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval. In those instances, our Board of Directors requires specific pre-approval before engaging the independent auditor.

Our audit committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to our Board of Directors at its next scheduled meeting.

Table of Contents

Pre-Approved Services

The Audit Committee's charter provides for pre-approval of audit, audit-related and tax services to be performed by the independent auditors. The Audit Committee approved the audit, audit-related and tax services to be performed by independent auditors and tax professionals in 2011. The charter also authorizes the Audit Committee to delegate to one or more of its members pre-approval authority with respect to permitted services. The decisions of any Audit Committee member to whom pre-approval authority is delegated must be presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee has not delegated such authority to its members.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee of the Board is composed of three directors, each of whom meets the current NYSE Amex test for independence. The Committee acts under a written charter adopted by the Board. The Audit Committee has prepared the following report on its activities with respect to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 (the "Audited Financial Statements"):

- The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the Company's Audited Financial Statements with management;
- The Audit Committee discussed with Yoganandh & Ram, Chartered Accountants ("Y & R"), the Company's independent auditors for fiscal year 2011, the matters required to be discussed by Statements on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards, AU §380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T;
- The Audit Committee received from the independent auditors the written disclosures regarding auditor independence and the letter required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees), discussed with Y & R its independence from the Company and its management, and considered whether Y & R's provision of non-audit services to the Company was compatible with the auditor's independence; and
- Based on the review and discussion referred to above, and in reliance thereon, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the Audited Financial Statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, for filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

All members of the Audit Committee concur in this report.

AUDIT
COMMITTEE:

Ranga Krishna
Sudhakar Shenoy
Richard Prins

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of, or are incorporated by reference into, this report:

(a) Financial Statements

Our financial statements as set forth in the Index to Financial Statements attached hereto commencing on page F-1 are hereby incorporated by reference.

(b) Exhibits.

The exhibits listed in the accompanying index to exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation. (1)
- 3.2 By-laws. (2)
- 4.1 Specimen Unit Certificate. (3)
- 4.2 Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (3)
- 4.3 Specimen Warrant Certificate. (3)
- 4.4 Form of Warrant Agreement between Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company and the Registrant. (1)
- 4.5 Specimen Warrant Certificate for warrants issued in the December 2010 public offering. (4)
- 4.6 Warrant Agreement between Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company and the Registrant. (4)
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Letter Agreement between the Registrant, Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. and Ram Mukunda. (5)
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Letter Agreement between the Registrant, Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. and John Cherin. (5)
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Letter Agreement between the Registrant, Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. and Ranga Krishna. (5)
- 10.4 Form of Registration Rights Agreement among the Registrant and each of the existing stockholders. (3)
- 10.5 Form of Unit Purchase Agreement among Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. and one or more of the Initial Stockholders. (6)
- 10.6 Form of Office Service Agreement between the Registrant and Integrated Global Networks, LLC. (6)
- 10.7 Form of Letter Agreement between Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. and certain officers and directors of the Registrant. (5)
- 10.8 Form of Letter Agreement between Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. and each of the Special Advisors of the Registrant. (5)
- 10.9 Form of Letter Agreement between the Registrant and certain officers and directors of the Registrant. (5)
- 10.10 Form of Letter Agreement between the Registrant and each of the Special Advisors of the Registrant. (5)
- 10.11 Share Subscription Cum Purchase Agreement dated February 2, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., MBL Infrastructures Limited and the persons "named as Promoters therein". (7)

- 10.12 Debenture Subscription Agreement dated February 2, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., MBL Infrastructures Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (7)
- 10.13 First Amendment to Share Subscription Cum Purchase Agreement dated February 2, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., MBL Infrastructures Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (8)
- 10.14 First Amendment to the Debenture Subscription Agreement dated February 2, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., MBL Infrastructures Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (8)

Table of Contents

- 10.15 Contract Agreement dated April 29, 2007 between IGC, CWEL, AMTL and MAIL. (8)
- 10.16 First Amendment dated August 20, 2007 to Agreement dated April 29, 2007 between IGC, CWEL, AMTL and MAIL. (9)
- 10.17 Share Subscription Cum Purchase Agreement dated September 16, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Techni Bharathi Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (10)
- 10.18 Shareholders Agreement dated September 16, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Techni Bharathi Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (10)
- 10.19 Share Purchase Agreement dated September 21, 2007 by and between India Globalization Capital, Inc. and Odeon Limited. (10)
- 10.20 Share Subscription Cum Purchase Agreement dated September 15, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (10)
- 10.21 Shareholders Agreement dated September 15, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (10)
- 10.22 Form of Amendment to the Share Subscription Cum Purchase Agreement Dated September 15, 2007, entered into on December 19, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (11)
- 10.23 Form of Amendment to the Share Subscription Agreement Dated September 16, 2007, entered into on December 21, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Techni Bharathi Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (11)
- 10.24 Form of Letter Agreement, dated December 24, 2007, with Dr. Ranga Krishna. (11)
- 10.25 Form of Letter Agreement, dated December 24, 2007, with Oliveira Capital, LLC. (11)
- 10.26 Form of Warrant Clarification Agreement, dated January 4, 2008, by and between the Company and Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company. (12)
- 10.27 Second Amendment to the Share Subscription Cum Purchase Agreement Dated September 15, 2007, entered into on January 14, 2008 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Sricon Infrastructure Private Limited and the persons named as Promoters therein. (13)
- 10.28 Letter Agreement dated January 8, 2008 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc., Odeon Limited, and Techni Bharathi Limited with respect to the Share Purchase Agreement dated September 21, 2007 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc. and Odeon Limited. (13)
- 10.29 Employment Agreement between India Globalization Capital, Inc., India Globalization Capital Mauritius and Ram Mukunda dated as of March 8, 2008. (14)
- 10.30 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan. (15)
- 10.31 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of October 16, 2009 between the Company and Bricoleur Partners, L.P. (16)
- 10.32 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of September 14, 2009 by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc. and the investors named therein. (17)
- 10.33 Amendment No. 1 dated as of October 30, 2009 to Securities Purchase Agreement by and among India Globalization Capital, Inc. and the investors named therein. (18)
- 10.34 ATM Agency Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2009, by and between India Globalization Capital, Inc. and Enclave Capital LLC. (19)
- 10.35 Co-Placement Agency Agreement between the Registrant, Source Capital Group, Inc. and Boenning & Scattergood, Inc. (20)
- 10.36 Note and Share Purchase Agreement dated as of February 25, 2011 between the Company and Bricoleur Partners, L.P. (21)
- 10.37 Unsecured Promissory Note dated as of February 25, 2011 in the principal amount of \$1,800,000 issued by the Company to Bricoleur Partners, L.P. (21)
- 10.38

Note and Share Purchase Agreement dated as of March 24, 2011 between the Company and the Steven M. Oliveira 1998 Charitable Remainder Unitrust. (22)

10.39 Unsecured Promissory Note dated as of March 24, 2011 in the principal amount of \$2,120,000 issued by the Company to the Steven M. Oliveira 1998 Charitable Remainder Unitrust. (22)

21 Subsidiaries.

23.1 Consent of Yoganandh & Ram.

31.1 Certificate pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a).

31.2 Certificate pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a).

32.1 Certificate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

32.2 Certificate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

Table of Contents

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-124942), as amended and filed on September 22, 2006.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-124942), as amended and filed on February 14, 2006.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on May 13, 2005.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-163867), as amended and filed on October 27, 2010.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-124942), as amended and filed on July 11, 2005.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-124942), as amended and filed on March 2, 2006.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on February 12, 2007.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on May 2, 2007.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on August 23, 2007.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on September 27, 2007.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on December 27, 2007.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on January 7, 2008.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on January 16, 2008.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on May 23, 2008.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on February 8, 2008.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on October 21, 2009.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on September 17, 2009.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-162857), as originally filed on December 18, 2009
- (19) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 333-124942), as originally filed on October 13, 2009.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-163867), as amended and filed on November 10, 2010.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 001-32830), as originally filed on February 25, 2011.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC File No. 001-32830), as originally filed on March 25, 2011.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INDIA GLOBALIZATION CAPITAL, INC.

Date: November 2, 2011

By: /s/ Ram
Mukunda
Ram Mukunda
Chief Executive Officer and
President (Principal Executive
Officer)

Date: November 2, 2011

By: /s/ John B.
Selvaraj
John B. Selvaraj
Treasurer, Principal Financial
and Accounting Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: November 2, 2011

By: /s/ Dr. Ranga
Krishna
Dr. Ranga Krishna
Director

Date: November 2, 2011

By: /s/ Sudhakar
Shenoy
Sudhakar Shenoy
Director

Date: November 2, 2011

By: /s/ Ram
Mukunda,
Ram Mukunda
Director

Date: November 2, 2011

By:

/s/ Richard
Prins
Richard Prins
Director

Table of Contents