

GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-13777

GETTY REALTY CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or
organization)

11-3412575

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

125 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 103

Jericho, New York 11753

(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip Code)

(516) 478 - 5400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Registrant had outstanding 24,764,875 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, as of November 6, 2007.

GETTY REALTY CORP.

INDEX

| <u>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | <u>Page Number</u> |
|--|------------------------|
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>15</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>31</u> |
| <u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>33</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>40</u> |

GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share data)
(unaudited)

| Assets: | <i>September 30,</i> 2007 | <i>December 31,</i> 2006 |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Real Estate: | | |
| Land | \$ 222,497 | \$ 180,409 |
| Buildings and improvements | 251,597 | 203,149 |
| | 474,094 | 383,558 |
| Less – accumulated depreciation and amortization | (120,586) | (116,089) |
| Real estate, net | 353,508 | 267,469 |
| Deferred rent receivable | 34,219 | 32,297 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1,504 | 1,195 |
| Recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, net | 4,409 | 3,845 |
| Mortgages and accounts receivable, net | 4,612 | 3,440 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 6,412 | 1,037 |
| Total assets | \$ 404,664 | \$ 309,283 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Debt | \$ 128,820 | \$ 45,194 |
| Environmental remediation costs | 19,581 | 17,201 |
| Dividends payable | 11,538 | 11,284 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 20,422 | 10,029 |
| Total liabilities | 180,361 | 83,708 |
| Commitments and contingencies | -- | -- |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued 24,764,875 at September 30, 2007 and 24,764,765 at December 31, 2006 | 248 | 248 |
| Paid-in capital | 258,837 | 258,647 |
| Dividends paid in excess of earnings | (33,558) | (32,499) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1,224) | (821) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 224,303 | 225,575 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 404,664 | \$ 309,283 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

| | <i>Three months ended September 30,</i> | | <i>Nine months ended September 30,</i> | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> |
| Revenues from rental properties | \$ 20,255 | \$ 17,994 | \$ 58,823 | \$ 54,112 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Rental property expenses | 2,295 | 2,404 | 7,082 | 7,370 |
| Environmental expenses, net | 2,838 | 1,638 | 6,862 | 3,528 |
| General and administrative expenses | 1,525 | 1,383 | 4,758 | 4,123 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 2,598 | 1,886 | 7,164 | 5,787 |
| Total expenses | 9,256 | 7,311 | 25,866 | 20,808 |
| Operating income | 10,999 | 10,683 | 32,957 | 33,304 |
| Other income, net | 1,419 | 809 | 1,809 | 1,367 |
| Interest expense | (2,314) | (979) | (5,502) | (2,607) |
| Net earnings before income taxes and discontinued operations | 10,104 | 10,513 | 29,264 | 32,064 |
| Income tax benefit | - | 700 | - | 700 |
| Net earnings before discontinued operations | 10,104 | 11,213 | 29,264 | 32,764 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | 144 | 63 | 272 | 155 |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate from discontinued operations | 2,598 | - | 3,771 | - |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | 2,742 | 63 | 4,043 | 155 |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,846 | \$ 11,276 | \$ 33,307 | \$ 32,919 |
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Net earnings before discontinued operations | \$.41 | \$.46 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.32 |
| Discontinued operations | .11 | - | .16 | .01 |
| Net earnings | \$.52 | \$.46 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.33 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | | |
| | \$.41 | \$.46 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.32 |

| | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Net earnings before discontinued operations | | | | |
| Discontinued operations | .11 | - | .16 | .01 |
| Net earnings | \$.52 | \$.46 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.33 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 24,765 | 24,739 | 24,765 | 24,727 |
| Stock options and restricted stock units | 27 | 25 | 23 | 25 |
| Diluted | 24,792 | 24,764 | 24,788 | 24,752 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$.465 | \$.455 | \$ 1.385 | \$ 1.365 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)
(unaudited)

| | <i>Three months ended September 30,</i> | | <i>Nine months ended September 30,</i> | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,846 | \$ 11,276 | \$ 33,307 | \$ 32,919 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | |
| Net unrealized loss on interest rate swap | (1,033) | (1,071) | (403) | (894) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 11,813 | \$ 10,205 | \$ 32,904 | \$ 32,025 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)
(unaudited)

| | <i>Nine months ended September 30,</i> | |
|---|--|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| <i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i> | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 33,307 | \$ 32,919 |
| <i>Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flow provided by operating activities:</i> | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 7,186 | 5,803 |
| Deferred rental revenue | (1,922) | (2,345) |
| Net amortization of above-market and below-market leases | (942) | - |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate | (5,386) | (1,152) |
| Accretion expense | 648 | 576 |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense | 188 | 137 |
| <i>Changes in assets and liabilities:</i> | | |
| Recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, net | (287) | 457 |
| Mortgages and accounts receivable, net | (1,402) | (1,000) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 323 | 354 |
| Environmental remediation costs | 1,455 | (935) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 474 | (207) |
| Accrued income taxes | - | (700) |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 33,642 | 33,907 |
| <i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i> | | |
| Property acquisitions and capital expenditures | (89,354) | (15,512) |
| Collection (issuance) of mortgages receivable, net | 230 | (84) |
| Proceeds from dispositions of real estate | 7,138 | 1,813 |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (81,986) | (13,783) |
| <i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i> | | |
| Borrowings under credit agreement, net | 83,650 | 12,500 |
| Cash dividends paid | (34,112) | (33,542) |
| Credit agreement origination costs | (863) | - |
| Repayment of mortgages payable | (24) | (23) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 2 | 647 |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities | 48,653 | (20,418) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 309 | (294) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 1,195 | 1,247 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 1,504 | \$ 953 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information | | |
| <i>Cash paid (refunded) during the year for:</i> | | |

Edgar Filing: GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----|---------|
| Interest | \$ | 3,961 | \$ | 1,785 |
| Income taxes, net | | 490 | | 472 |
| Recoveries from state underground storage tank funds | | (1,244) | | (1,396) |
| Environmental remediation costs | | 3,779 | | 3,283 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-4-

GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. General:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Getty Realty Corp. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the "Company"). The Company is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") specializing in the ownership and leasing of retail motor fuel and convenience store properties and petroleum distribution terminals. The Company manages and evaluates its operations as a single segment. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which requires the Company's management to make its best estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the period reported. While all available information has been considered, actual results could materially differ from those estimates, judgments and assumptions. Estimates, judgments and assumptions underlying the accompanying consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, deferred rent receivable, recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, environmental remediation costs, real estate, depreciation and amortization, impairment of long-lived assets, litigation, accrued expenses and income taxes.

The operating results and gains from certain dispositions of real estate are reclassified as discontinued operations. The operating results of such properties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 have been reclassified to discontinued operations to conform to the 2007 presentation. Discontinued operations for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2007 are primarily comprised of gains or losses from five and seven property dispositions, respectively. The revenue from rental properties and expenses related to these properties are insignificant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

The consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the Company's opinion, reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, which appear in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

2. Commitments and Contingencies:

In order to minimize the Company's exposure to credit risk associated with financial instruments, the Company places its temporary cash investments with high credit quality institutions. Temporary cash investments, if any, are held in overnight bank time deposits and an institutional money market fund.

As of September 30, 2007, the Company leased eight hundred ninety-five of its one thousand eighty-five properties on a long-term net basis to Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc. (“Marketing”) under a master lease (“Master Lease”) and supplemental leases for four properties (collectively the “Marketing Leases”) (see note 2 to the consolidated financial statements which appear in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006). A substantial portion of our revenues (75% for the three months ended September 30, 2007), are derived from the Marketing Leases. Marketing operated substantially all of the Company’s petroleum marketing businesses when it was spun-off to the Company’s shareholders as a separate publicly held company in March 1997 (the “Spin-off”). In December 2000, Marketing was acquired by a subsidiary of OAO Lukoil, one of Russia’s largest integrated oil companies. The Company’s financial results depend largely on rental income from Marketing, and to a lesser extent on rental income from other tenants, and are therefore materially dependent upon the ability of Marketing to meet its obligations under the Marketing Leases. A substantial portion of the deferred rent receivable, \$32,041,000 of the \$34,219,000 recorded as of September 30, 2007, is due to recognition of rental revenue on a straight-line basis under the Marketing Leases. Marketing’s financial results depend largely on retail petroleum marketing margins and rental income from its sub-tenants who operate their convenience store, automotive repair services or other businesses at the Company’s properties, most of whom were the Company’s tenants when Marketing was spun-off to the Company’s shareholders. The petroleum marketing industry has been and continues to be volatile and highly competitive. Marketing has made all required monthly rental payments under the Marketing Leases when due. The Company has noted a significant decline in Marketing’s annual financial results based on the financial statements and other financial data Marketing has provided to date. No assurance can be given that Marketing will have the ability to pay its debts and meet its rent and other financial obligations under the Marketing Leases as they become due. Although Marketing is wholly owned by a subsidiary of Lukoil and Lukoil has provided capital, and we believe currently provides credit enhancement, to Marketing, Lukoil is not a guarantor of the Marketing Leases and no assurance can be given that Lukoil will provide any credit enhancement or additional capital to Marketing for the remainder of the terms of the Marketing Leases or otherwise cause Marketing to fulfill any of its rental or other financial obligations under the Marketing Leases.

While the initial term of the Marketing Leases are effective through December 2015, representatives of Marketing have indicated to the Company their desire to modify the Marketing Leases to (i) remove a significant number of properties from the Marketing Leases and eliminate Marketing’s payment of rent to the Company with respect to those properties and eliminate or reduce Marketing’s direct payment of operating expenses with respect to those properties, and (ii) reduce the aggregate amount of rent paid to the Company for the properties remaining under the Marketing Leases. The effect of any such modification may significantly reduce the amount of rent we receive from Marketing and increase the Company’s operating expenses. We can not accurately predict whether or when the Marketing Leases will be modified or, what the terms of any such agreement may be if the Marketing Leases are modified.

The Company continues to believe that the deferred rent receivable recorded under the straight-line method of accounting for the Marketing Leases referred to above is collectable when due. Given the uncertainties regarding a possible modification to the Marketing Leases, the Company believes it is reasonably possible that its assumption that Marketing will make all contractual lease payments during the initial term of the Marketing Leases when due, when applying the straight-line method of accounting for rental revenue, may change, which may result in an adjustment to the deferred rent receivable that could be material to the Company’s financial condition or results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period. Although the Company is the owner of the properties and the Getty brand and has prior experience with Marketing’s tenants, in the event that properties are removed from the Marketing Leases, the Company can not accurately predict whether, when or on what terms such properties could be re-let or sold. Accordingly, a significant modification of the Marketing Leases could materially adversely affect the Company’s financial condition, revenues, operating expenses and results of operations.

Under the Master Lease, the Company has also agreed to provide limited environmental indemnification to Marketing, capped at \$4,250,000 and expiring in 2010, for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals which are owned by the Company. Under the agreement, Marketing will pay the first \$1,500,000 of costs and expenses incurred in connection with remediating any such pre-existing conditions, Marketing and the Company will share equally the next \$8,500,000 of those costs and expenses and Marketing will pay all additional costs and expenses over \$10,000,000. The Company has accrued \$300,000 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 in connection with this indemnification agreement.

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of its business. In addition, the Company has retained responsibility for certain legal proceedings and claims relating to the petroleum marketing business that were identified at the time of the spin-off. The Company accrues its allocable share of joint and several environmental liabilities based on its estimate of the ultimate allocation method and percentage that will be used by the responsible parties. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 the Company had accrued \$2,632,000 and \$2,822,000, respectively, for certain of these matters which it believes were appropriate based on information then currently available. The Company has not accrued for approximately \$950,000 in costs allegedly incurred by the current property owner in connection with removal of USTs and soil remediation at a property that was leased to and operated by Marketing. Marketing is responsible for such costs under the terms of the Master Lease but Marketing has denied its liability for the claim and its responsibility to defend against, and indemnify the Company for, the claim. It is reasonably possible that the Company's assumption that Marketing will be ultimately responsible for the claim may change, which may result in the Company providing an accrual for this matter. Although the ultimate resolution of these matters may have a significant impact on results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period, the Company currently believes that such matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's long-term financial position.

In September 2003, the Company was notified by the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection that the Company is one of approximately sixty potentially responsible parties for natural resource damages resulting from discharges of hazardous substances into the Lower Passaic River. The definitive list of potentially responsible parties and their actual responsibility for the alleged damages, the aggregate cost to remediate the Lower Passaic River, the amount of natural resource damages and the method of allocating such amounts among the potentially responsible parties have not been determined. In September 2004, the Company received a General Notice Letter from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") (the "EPA Notice"), advising the Company that it may be a potentially responsible party for costs of remediating certain conditions resulting from discharges of hazardous substances into the Lower Passaic River. ChevronTexaco received the same EPA Notice regarding those same conditions. Additionally, the Company believes that ChevronTexaco is contractually obligated to indemnify the Company, pursuant to an indemnification agreement, for most of the conditions at the property identified by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and the EPA. Accordingly, the ultimate legal and financial liability of the Company, if any, cannot be estimated with any certainty at this time.

From October 2003 through September 2007 the Company was notified that the Company was made party to forty-nine cases, in Connecticut, Florida, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia and West Virginia brought by local water providers or governmental agencies. These cases allege various theories of liability due to contamination of groundwater with MTBE as the basis for claims seeking compensatory and punitive damages. Each case names as defendants approximately fifty petroleum refiners, manufacturers, distributors and retailers of MTBE, or gasoline containing MTBE. The accuracy of the allegations as they relate to the Company, its defenses to such claims, the aggregate amount of damages, the definitive list of defendants and the method of allocating such amounts among the defendants have not been determined. Accordingly, the ultimate legal and financial liability of the Company, if any, cannot be estimated with any certainty at this time.

Prior to the spin-off, the Company was self-insured for workers' compensation, general liability and vehicle liability up to predetermined amounts above which third-party insurance applies. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the Company's consolidated balance sheets included, in accounts payable and accrued expenses, \$313,000 and \$332,000, respectively, relating to self-insurance obligations. The Company estimates its loss reserves for claims, including claims incurred but not reported, by utilizing actuarial valuations provided annually by its insurance carriers. The Company is required to deposit funds for substantially all of these loss reserves with its insurance carriers, and may be entitled to refunds of amounts previously funded, as the claims are evaluated on an annual basis. Although loss reserve adjustments may have a significant impact on results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period, the Company currently believes that such adjustments will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's long-term financial position. Since the spin-off, the Company has maintained insurance coverage subject to certain deductibles.

In order to qualify as a REIT, among other items, the Company must pay out substantially all of its "earnings and profits" (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code) in cash distributions to shareholders each year. Should the Internal Revenue Service successfully assert that the Company's earnings and profits were greater than the amounts distributed, the Company may fail to qualify as a REIT; however, the Company may avoid losing its REIT status by paying a deficiency dividend to eliminate any remaining earnings and profits. The Company may have to borrow money or sell assets to pay such a deficiency dividend. The Company accrues for this and other uncertain tax matters when appropriate based on information currently available. The accrual for uncertain tax positions is adjusted as circumstances change and as the uncertainties become more clearly defined, such as when audits are settled or exposures expire. Accordingly, an income tax benefit of \$700,000 was recorded in the third quarter of 2006 due to the elimination of the amount accrued for uncertain tax positions since the Company believes that the uncertainties regarding these exposures have been resolved or that it is no longer likely that the exposure will result in a liability upon review. However, the ultimate resolution of these matters may have a significant impact on the results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period. In June 2006 the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48") "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes." FIN 48 addresses the recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The adoption of FIN 48 in January 2007 did not have any impact on the Company's financial position or results of operation.

3. Environmental Expenses

The Company is subject to numerous existing federal, state and local laws and regulations, including matters relating to the protection of the environment such as the remediation of known contamination and the retirement and decommissioning or removal of long-lived assets including buildings containing hazardous materials, USTs and other equipment. Environmental expenses are principally attributable to remediation costs which include installing, operating, maintaining and decommissioning remediation systems, monitoring contamination, and governmental agency reporting incurred in connection with contaminated properties.

The Company enters into leases and various other agreements which define its share of joint and several environmental liabilities and commitments by establishing the percentage and method of allocating responsibility between the parties. Uncertainties related to the determination of the Company's share of net environmental costs include, among others, determining when known environmental contamination may have occurred and the effectiveness of remediation efforts to date, determining when costs associated with asset retirement obligations will be incurred and predicting who the responsible party will be at that time and the interpretation and enforceability of, or potential modifications to, the various agreements, including the Marketing Leases. It is possible that the Company's assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation method and its share of responsibility that are used when accruing its allocable share of joint and several environmental liabilities, may change, which may result in adjustments to the amounts recorded for environmental litigation accruals, environmental remediation liabilities and related assets. Although the ultimate resolution of these matters may have a significant impact on results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period, the Company currently believes that such matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's long-term financial position.

Environmental remediation liabilities and related assets are measured at fair value based on their expected future cash flows which have been adjusted for inflation and discounted to present value. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the net change in estimated remediation costs included in environmental expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations were \$1,899,000 and \$4,352,000, respectively, as compared to \$1,159,000 and \$1,985,000, respectively, for the comparable prior year periods, which amounts were net of changes in estimated recoveries from state underground storage tank ("UST") remediation funds. In addition to net change in estimated remediation costs, environmental expenses also include project management fees, legal fees and provisions for environmental litigation loss reserves.

In accordance with the leases with certain tenants, the Company has agreed to bring the leased properties with known environmental contamination to within applicable standards and to regulatory or contractual closure ("Closure") in an efficient and economical manner. Generally, upon achieving Closure at each individual property, the Company's environmental liability under the lease for that property will be satisfied and future remediation obligations will be the responsibility of the Company's tenant. Generally the liability for the retirement and decommissioning or removal of USTs and other equipment is the responsibility of the Company's tenants. The Company is contingently liable for these obligations in the event that the tenants do not satisfy their responsibilities. A liability has not been accrued for obligations that are the responsibility of the Company's tenants.

Of the eight hundred ninety-five properties leased to Marketing, the Company has agreed to pay all costs relating to, and to indemnify Marketing for, certain environmental liabilities and obligations for two hundred nineteen properties that have not achieved Closure as of September 30, 2007 and are scheduled in the Master Lease. The Company will continue to seek reimbursement from state UST remediation funds related to these environmental expenditures where available.

The estimated future costs for known environmental remediation requirements are accrued when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The environmental remediation liability is estimated based on the level and impact of contamination at each property. The accrued liability is the aggregate of the best estimate of the fair value of cost for each component of the liability. Recoveries of environmental costs from state UST remediation funds, with respect to both past and future environmental spending, are accrued at fair value as income, net of allowance for collection risk, based on estimated recovery rates developed from prior experience with the funds when such recoveries are considered probable.

Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons, including the extent of contamination, alternative treatment methods that may be applied, location of the property which subjects it to differing local laws and regulations and their interpretations, as well as the time it takes to remediate contamination. In developing the Company's liability for probable and reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs, on a property by property basis, the Company considers among other things, enacted laws and regulations, assessments of contamination and surrounding geology, quality of information available, currently available technologies for treatment, alternative methods of remediation and prior experience. These accrual estimates are subject to significant change, and are adjusted as the remediation treatment progresses, as circumstances change and as these contingencies become more clearly defined and reasonably estimable. As of September 30, 2007, the Company had remediation action plans in place for two hundred sixty-six (93%) of the two hundred eighty-five properties for which it retained environmental responsibility and has not received a "no further action" letter and the remaining nineteen properties (7%) remain in the assessment phase.

As of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had accrued \$19,581,000, \$17,201,000 and \$17,350,000, respectively, as management's best estimate of the fair value of reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs. As of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had also recorded \$4,409,000, \$3,845,000 and \$4,264,000, respectively, as management's best estimate for recoveries from state UST remediation funds, net of allowance, related to environmental obligations and liabilities. Accrued environmental remediation costs and recoveries from state UST remediation funds have been accreted for the change in present value due to the passage of time and, accordingly, \$648,000 and \$576,000 of net accretion expense is included in the net change in estimated remediation costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In view of the uncertainties associated with environmental expenditures, however, the Company believes it is possible that the fair value of future actual net expenditures could be substantially higher than these estimates. Adjustments to accrued liabilities for environmental remediation costs will be reflected in the Company's financial statements as they become probable and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. Although future environmental expenses may have a significant impact on results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period, the Company currently believes that such costs will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's long-term financial position.

4. Shareholders' Equity:

A summary of the changes in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 is as follows (in thousands, except share amounts):

| | Common Stock | | Paid-in | Dividends | Accumulated | |
|--|--------------|--------|------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Paid In Excess Of Earnings | Other Comprehensive Loss | Total |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 24,764,765 | \$ 248 | \$ 258,647 | \$ (32,499) | \$ (821) | \$ 225,575 |
| Net earnings | | | | 33,307 | | 33,307 |
| Dividends | | | | (34,366) | | (34,366) |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense | | | 188 | | | 188 |
| Net unrealized loss on interest rate swap | | | | | (403) | (403) |
| Stock issued | 110 | - | 2 | | | 2 |
| Balance, September 30, 2007 | 24,764,875 | \$ 248 | \$ 258,837 | \$ (33,558) | \$ (1,224) | \$ 224,303 |

The Company is authorized to issue 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, of which none were issued as of September 30, 2007 or December 31, 2006.

5. Debt

On March 27, 2007, the Company entered into an amended and restated senior unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a group of domestic commercial banks.

The Credit Agreement, among other items, increases the aggregate amount of the Company's credit facility by \$75,000,000 to \$175,000,000; reduces the interest rate margin on LIBOR based borrowings by 0.25% and extends the term of the Credit Agreement from June 2008 to March 2011. The Credit Agreement permits borrowings at an interest rate equal to the sum of a base rate plus a margin of 0.0% or 0.25% or a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.0%, 1.25% or 1.5%. The applicable margin is based on the Company's leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Based on the Company's leverage ratio as of September 30, 2007, the applicable margin is 0.0% for base rate borrowings and 1.25% for LIBOR rate borrowings. The benefit of the 0.25% reduction in the interest rate margin effective with the amendment of the Credit Agreement was offset by the increase in the Company's leverage ratio caused by an increase its outstanding borrowings used for the acquisition discussed in note 7, resulting in no net change in the LIBOR rate margin.

Subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company has options to extend the term of the Credit Agreement for one additional year and/or increase the amount of the credit facility available pursuant to the Credit Agreement by \$125,000,000 to \$300,000,000, subject to approval by the Company's Board of Directors and the Bank Syndicate. The Credit Agreement contains customary terms and conditions, including customary financial covenants such as leverage and coverage ratios and other customary covenants, including limitations on the Company's ability to incur debt, pay dividends and maintenance of tangible net worth, and events of default, including change of control and failure to maintain REIT status. The Company believes that the Credit Agreement's terms, conditions and covenants will not limit its current business practices.

6. Other Income

Other income, net, is substantially comprised of certain gains on dispositions of real estate and leasehold interests that have not been reclassified to discontinuing operations including two property dispositions, one partial land taking under eminent domain and increased awards for two takings that occurred in prior years recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as compared to four property dispositions and seven partial land takings under eminent domain recorded in the prior year period.

7. Acquisitions

On February 28, 2006, the Company acquired eighteen retail motor fuel and convenience store properties located in Western New York for \$13,389,000. Simultaneous with the closing on the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net lease with a single tenant for all of the properties. The lease provides for annual rentals at a competitive rate and provides for escalations thereafter. The lease has an initial term of fifteen years and provides the tenant options for three renewal terms of five years each. The lease also provides that the tenant is responsible for all existing and future environmental conditions at the properties.

Effective March 31, 2007 the Company acquired fifty-nine convenience store and retail motor fuel properties in ten states for approximately \$79,335,000 from various subsidiaries of FF-TSY Holding Company II, LLC (the successor to Trustreet Properties, Inc.) ("Trustreet"), a subsidiary of General Electric Capital Corporation, for cash with funds drawn under its Credit Agreement. Effective April 23, 2007, the Company acquired five additional properties from Trustreet for approximately \$5,200,000. The aggregate cost of the acquisitions, including transaction costs, is approximately \$84,535,000. Substantially all of the properties are triple-net-leased to tenants who previously leased the properties from the seller. The leases generally provide that the tenants are responsible for substantially all existing and future environmental conditions at the properties.

The Company's allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of September 30, 2007 is subject to change. The purchase price has been allocated between assets, liabilities and intangible assets based on the estimates of fair value. These allocations may not be indicative of the final allocations. The Company continues to evaluate the existence of pre-acquisition contingencies and the assumptions used in valuing the real estate. The Company anticipates finalizing these allocations during the fourth quarter of 2007. A change in the final allocation from what is presented may result in an increase or decrease in identified intangible assets and changes in depreciation, amortization or other expenses.

The Company estimated the fair value of acquired tangible assets (consisting of land, buildings and improvements) “as if vacant” and identified intangible assets and liabilities (consisting of leasehold interests, above-market and below-market leases and in-place leases) and assumed liabilities.

Based on these estimates, the Company allocated the purchase price to the applicable assets and liabilities as follows (in thousands):

Consideration:

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----|--------|
| Purchase price paid to Trustreet | \$ | 83,404 |
| Allocated transaction costs | | 1,131 |

| | | |
|---------------------|----|--------|
| Total Consideration | \$ | 84,535 |
|---------------------|----|--------|

Assets Acquired:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|--------|
| Real estate investment properties | \$ | 89,908 |
| Above-market leases | | 1,955 |
| Leases in place | | 3,396 |

| | | |
|-------|--|--------|
| Total | | 95,259 |
|-------|--|--------|

Liabilities Assumed:

| | | |
|---------------------|--|--------|
| Below-market leases | | 10,724 |
|---------------------|--|--------|

| | | |
|---------------------|----|--------|
| Net assets acquired | \$ | 84,535 |
|---------------------|----|--------|

The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information has been prepared utilizing the historical financial statements of Getty Realty Corp. and the historical financial information of the properties acquired which was derived from the consolidated books and records of Trustreet. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information assumes that the acquisitions had occurred as of the beginning of each of the periods presented, after giving effect to certain adjustments including (a) rental income adjustments resulting from (i) the straight-lining of scheduled rent increases; and (ii) the net amortization of the intangible assets relating to above-market leases and intangible liabilities relating to below-market leases over the remaining lease terms which average eleven years and (b) depreciation and amortization adjustments resulting from (i) the depreciation of real estate assets over their useful lives which average seventeen years and (ii) the amortization of intangible assets relating to leases in place over the remaining lease terms. The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information also gives effect to the additional interest expense resulting from the assumed increase in borrowing outstanding drawn under the Credit Agreement to fund the acquisition.

The unaudited pro forma condensed financial information is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved had the acquisition from Trustreet reflected herein been consummated on the dates indicated or that will be achieved in the future.

| | <i>Three Months Ended September</i> | | <i>Nine Months Ended September</i> | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> |
| Revenues | \$ 20,255 | \$ 20,891 | \$ 61,705 | \$ 62,873 |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,846 | \$ 11,726 | \$ 33,761 | \$ 34,595 |
| Net earnings per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.40 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.40 |

8. Subsequent Event

Effective on October 31, 2007, Andrew M. Smith resigned his positions as President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer of the Company. The Company expects to enter into a Severance Agreement and General Release with Mr. Smith. The estimated severance cost is not expected to be material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the sections entitled "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," which appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, and "Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements" and "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors," which appear in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Recent Developments

On March 31, 2007, we acquired fifty-nine convenience store and retail motor fuel properties in ten states from various subsidiaries of FF-TSY Holding Company II, LLC (the successor to Trustreet Properties, Inc.) ("Trustreet"), a subsidiary of General Electric Capital Corporation, for cash with funds drawn under our credit facility. On April 23, 2007 we acquired five additional properties from Trustreet. The aggregate cost of the acquisitions, including transaction costs, is approximately \$84.5 million. Substantially all of the properties are triple-net leased to tenants who previously leased the properties from the seller. The leases generally provide that the tenants are responsible for substantially all existing and future environmental conditions at the properties. For more information with respect to the acquisition from Trustreet, see note 7 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Effective on October 31, 2007, Andrew M. Smith resigned his positions as President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer of the Company. We expect to enter into a Severance Agreement and General Release with Mr. Smith. The estimated cost is not expected to be material to our financial condition or results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period.

General

We are a real estate investment trust specializing in the ownership and leasing of retail motor fuel and convenience store properties and petroleum distribution terminals. We elected to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws beginning January 1, 2001. As a REIT, we are not subject to federal corporate income tax on the taxable income we distribute to our shareholders. In order to continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are required, among other things, to distribute at least ninety percent of our taxable income to shareholders each year.

We lease or sublet our properties primarily to distributors and retailers engaged in the sale of gasoline and other motor fuel products, convenience store products and automotive repair services. These tenants are responsible for the payment of taxes, maintenance, repair, insurance and other operating expenses and for managing the actual operations conducted at these properties. As of September 30, 2007, we leased eight hundred ninety-five of our one thousand eighty-five properties on a long-term basis under a unitary master lease (the "Master Lease") and supplemental leases for four properties, (collectively the "Marketing Leases") to Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc. ("Marketing") which was spun-off to our shareholders as a separate publicly held company in March 1997. In December 2000, Marketing was acquired by a subsidiary of OAO Lukoil ("Lukoil"), one of Russia's largest integrated oil companies.

A substantial portion of our revenues (75% for the three months ended September 30, 2007), are derived from the Marketing Leases. Accordingly, our revenues are dependent to a large degree on the economic performance of Marketing and of the petroleum marketing industry and any factor that adversely affects Marketing or our other lessees, or our relationship with Marketing, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Marketing's financial results depend largely on retail petroleum marketing margins and rental income from subtenants who operate their convenience store, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties. The petroleum marketing industry has been and continues to be volatile and highly competitive. Factors that could adversely affect Marketing or our other lessees include those described under "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In the event that Marketing cannot or will not perform its monetary obligations under the Marketing Leases, our financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected. Although Marketing is wholly owned by a subsidiary of Lukoil, and Lukoil has provided capital, and we believe currently provides credit enhancement, to Marketing, Lukoil is not a guarantor of the Marketing Leases and no assurance can be given that Lukoil will provide any credit enhancement or additional capital to Marketing for the remainder of the terms of the Marketing Leases, or otherwise cause Marketing to fulfill any of its rental or other financial obligations under the Marketing Leases.

While the initial term of the Marketing Leases are effective through December 2015, representatives of Marketing have indicated to us their desire to modify the Marketing Leases to (i) remove a significant number of properties from the Marketing Leases and eliminate Marketing's payment of rent to us with respect to those properties and eliminate or reduce Marketing's direct payment of operating expenses with respect to those properties, and (ii) reduce the aggregate amount of rent paid to us for the properties remaining under the Marketing Leases. The effect of any such modification may significantly reduce the amount of rent we receive from Marketing and increase our operating expenses. We can not accurately predict whether or when the Marketing Leases will be modified or what the terms of any such agreement may be if the Marketing Leases are modified.

We believe that the \$32.0 million deferred rent receivable recorded under the straight-line method of accounting for the Marketing Leases as of September 30, 2007 is collectable when due. Given the uncertainties regarding a possible modification to the Marketing Leases, we believe it is reasonably possible that our assumption that Marketing will make all contractual lease payments during the initial term of the Marketing Leases when due, when applying the straight-line method of accounting for rental revenue, may change, which may result in an adjustment to the deferred rent receivable that could be material to our financial condition or results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period. Although we are the owner of the properties and the Getty brand and have prior experience with Marketing's tenants, in the event that properties are removed from the Marketing Leases, we can not accurately predict whether, when or on what terms such properties could be re-let or sold. A significant modification of the Marketing Leases could materially adversely affect our financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, ability to pay dividends and stock price.

Marketing continues to pay timely its rental and other financial obligations under the Marketing Leases, as it has since the inception of the Master Lease in 1997, although no assurance can be given that they will continue to do so.

We periodically receive and review Marketing's financial statements and other financial data. We receive this information from Marketing pursuant to the terms of the Master Lease. Certain of this information is not publicly available and the terms of the Master Lease prohibit us from including this financial information in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or in our Annual Reports to Shareholders. The financial performance of Marketing may deteriorate, and Marketing may ultimately default on its monetary obligations to us before we and our shareholders receive financial information from Marketing that would indicate the deterioration.

Selected balance sheet data of Marketing at December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and selected operating data of Marketing for each of the three years in the period ending December 31, 2004, which is publicly available, has been provided in "Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Certain of this financial information and other information concerning Marketing is available from Dun & Bradstreet and may be accessed by their web site (www.dnb.com) upon payment of their fee. You should not rely on the selected balance sheet data or operating data related to prior years as representative of Marketing's current financial condition or current results of operations.

We have noted a significant decline in Marketing's annual financial results from the prior periods presented based on the financial statements and other financial data Marketing has provided to us to date. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that Marketing will have the ability to pay its debts and meet its rental and other financial obligations under the Marketing Leases.

As part of a periodic review by the Division of Corporation Finance of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, we received and responded to a number of comments. The only comment that remains unresolved pertains to the SEC’s position that we must include the financial statements and summarized financial data of Marketing in our periodic filings. The SEC subsequently indicated that, unless we file Marketing’s financial statements and summarized financial data with our periodic reports: (i) it will not consider our Annual Reports on Forms 10-K for the years beginning with 2000 to be compliant; (ii) it will not consider us to be current in our reporting requirements; (iii) it will not be in a position to declare effective any registration statements we may file for public offerings of our securities; and (iv) we should consider how the SEC’s conclusion impacts our ability to make offers and sales of our securities under existing registration statements and if we have a liability for such offers and sales made pursuant to registration statements that did not contain the financial statements of Marketing.

We believe that the SEC’s position is based on their interpretation of certain provisions of their internal Accounting Disclosure Rules and Practices Training Material, Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 71 and Rule 3-13 of Regulation S-X. We do not believe that any of this guidance is clearly applicable to our particular circumstances and that, even if it were, we believe that we should be entitled to certain relief from compliance with such requirements. Marketing subleases our properties to approximately nine hundred independent, individual service station/convenience store operators (subtenants), most of whom were our tenants when Marketing was spun-off to our shareholders. Consequently, we believe that we, as the owner of these properties and the Getty brand, and based on our prior experience with Marketing’s tenants, could relet these properties to the existing subtenants who operate their convenience store, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties or others at market rents although we can not accurately predict whether, when or on what terms such properties would be re-let or sold. Because of this particular aspect of our landlord-tenant relationship with Marketing, we do not believe that the inclusion of Marketing’s financial statements in our filings is necessary to evaluate our financial condition. Our position was included in a written response to the SEC. To date, the SEC has not accepted our position regarding the inclusion of Marketing’s financial statements in our filings. We are endeavoring to achieve a resolution of this issue that will be acceptable to the SEC. We can not accurately predict the consequences if we are ultimately unsuccessful in achieving an acceptable resolution.

We do not believe that offers or sales of our securities made pursuant to existing registration statements that did not or do not contain the financial statements of Marketing constitute, by reason of such omission, a violation of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Additionally, we believe that, if there ultimately is a determination that such offers or sales, by reason of such omission, resulted in a violation of those securities laws, we would not have any material liability as a consequence of any such determination.

We manage our business to enhance the value of our real estate portfolio and, as a REIT, place particular emphasis on minimizing risk and generating cash sufficient to make required distributions to shareholders of at least ninety percent of our taxable income each year. In addition to measurements defined by generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), our management also focuses on funds from operations available to common shareholders (“FFO”) and adjusted funds from operations available to common shareholders (“AFFO”) to measure our performance. FFO is generally considered to be an appropriate supplemental non-GAAP measure of the performance of REITs. FFO is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts as net earnings before depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, gains or losses on dispositions of real estate, non-FFO items reported in discontinued operations and extraordinary items and cumulative effect of accounting change. Other REITS may use definitions of FFO and/or AFFO that are different than ours and, accordingly, may not be comparable.

We believe that FFO is helpful to investors in measuring our performance because FFO excludes various items included in GAAP net earnings that do not relate to, or are not indicative of, our fundamental operating performance such as gains or losses from property dispositions and depreciation and amortization of real estate assets. In our case, however, GAAP net earnings and FFO include the significant impact of deferred rental revenue (straight-line rental revenue) and the net amortization of above-market and below-market leases on our recognition of revenues from rental properties. Deferred rental revenue results primarily from fixed rental increases scheduled under certain leases with our tenants. In accordance with GAAP, the aggregate minimum rent due over the initial term of these leases are recognized on a straight-line basis rather than when due. The difference between the fair market rent and the contractual rent for in-place leases at the time properties are acquired is amortized into revenue from rental properties over the remaining lives of the in-place leases. GAAP net earnings and FFO may also include income tax benefits recognized due to the elimination of, or a net reduction in, amounts accrued for uncertain tax positions related to being taxed as a C-corp., rather than as a REIT, prior to 2001. As a result, management pays particular attention to AFFO, a supplemental non-GAAP performance measure that we define as FFO less straight-line rental revenue, net amortization of above-market and below-market leases and income tax benefit. In management's view, AFFO provides a more accurate depiction than FFO of the impact of scheduled rent increases under these leases, rental revenue from in-place leases and our election to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws beginning in 2001. Neither FFO nor AFFO represent cash generated from operating activities calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and therefore these measures should not be considered an alternative for GAAP net earnings or as a measure of liquidity.

Edgar Filing: GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/ - Form 10-Q

A reconciliation of net earnings to FFO and AFFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | <i>Three months ended</i> | | <i>Nine months ended</i> | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | <i>September 30,</i> | | <i>September 30,</i> | |
| | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> | <i>2007</i> | <i>2006</i> |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,846 | \$ 11,276 | \$ 33,307 | \$ 32,919 |
| Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets | 2,598 | 1,886 | 7,164 | 5,787 |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate | (1,350) | (695) | (1,615) | (1,152) |
| Non-FFO items reported in discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets | 16 | 5 | 22 | 16 |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate | (2,598) | - | (3,771) | - |
| Funds from operations | 11,512 | 12,472 | 35,107 | 37,570 |
| Deferred rental revenue (straight-line rent) | (647) | (732) | (1,997) | (2,354) |
| Net amortization of above-market and below-market leases | (374) | - | (928) | - |
| Income tax benefit | - | (700) | - | (700) |
| Non-AFFO items reported in discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Deferred rental revenue (straight-line rent) | 76 | (17) | 75 | 9 |
| Amortization of below-market leases | (14) | - | (14) | - |
| Adjusted funds from operations | \$ 10,553 | \$ 11,023 | \$ 32,243 | \$ 34,525 |
| Diluted per share amounts: | | | | |
| Earnings per share | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.33 |
| Funds from operations per share | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.52 |
| Adjusted funds from operations per share | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.39 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 24,792 | 24,764 | 24,788 | 24,752 |

Results of operations

Three months ended September 30, 2007 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2006

Revenues from rental properties were \$20.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$18.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. We received approximately \$15.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2007 and \$14.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 from properties leased to Marketing under the Marketing Leases. We also received rent of \$4.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2007 and \$2.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 from other tenants. The increase in rent received was primarily due to rent from properties acquired in 2007 and rent escalations, partially offset by the effect of dispositions of real estate and lease expirations. In addition, revenues from rental properties include deferred rental revenues of \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, recorded as required by GAAP, related to the fixed rent increases scheduled under certain leases with tenants. The aggregate minimum rent due over the initial term of these leases are recognized on a straight-line basis rather than when due. Revenues from rental properties also include \$0.4 million of net amortization of above-market and below-market leases due to the properties acquired in 2007. The present value of the difference between the fair market rent and the contractual rent for in-place leases at the time properties are

acquired is amortized into revenue from rental properties over the remaining lives of the in-place leases.

-20-

Rental property expenses, which are primarily comprised of rent expense and real estate and other state and local taxes, were \$2.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and were comparable to \$2.4 million recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Environmental expenses, net for the three months ended September 30, 2007 were \$2.8 million as compared to \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to a \$0.7 million increase in change in estimated environmental costs, net of estimated recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, and a \$0.4 million increase in environmental related litigation expenses as compared to the prior year period. The increase in the change in estimated environmental costs for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was due to the increase in project scope or duration and related cost forecasts at a limited number of properties including one site that we agreed to remediate as a result of a legal settlement, with the State of New York, at which recent testing revealed significantly more contamination than was previously estimated and another site where regulators mandated a more costly approach than had been previously contemplated. The increase in environmental related litigation expenses was due to \$0.2 million of higher legal fees and \$0.2 million of higher litigation loss reserves.

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2007 were \$1.5 million as compared to \$1.4 million recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was caused by a credit of \$0.1 million to insurance loss reserves recorded in 2006. The insurance loss reserves were established under our self funded insurance program that was terminated in 1997.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$2.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$1.9 million recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2007 offset by the effect of dispositions in real estate and lease expirations.

As a result, total operating expenses increased by approximately \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Other income, net, substantially all of which is comprised of certain gains on dispositions of real estate and leasehold interests, was \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Gains on dispositions of real estate from discontinued operations, was \$2.6 million for three months ended September 30, 2007. Gains on dispositions of real estate for three months ended September 30, 2007 increased by an aggregate of \$3.3 million to \$3.9 million as compared to the prior year period. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, there were six property dispositions, including one property that was mutually agreed to be removed from the Marketing Leases prior to its scheduled lease expiration, and an increase in the award for a partial land taking under eminent domain that occurred in a prior year, as compared to two property dispositions, a partial land taking and an increase in the award for a total land taking that occurred in a prior year recorded in the prior year period.

Interest expense was \$2.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to increased borrowings used to finance the acquisition of properties in 2007.

As a result, net earnings were \$12.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to the \$11.3 million for the prior year period. For the same period, FFO decreased to \$11.5 million as compared to \$12.5 million for prior year period and AFFO decreased by \$0.5 million, to \$10.6 million. The decrease in FFO for the quarter was primarily due to the changes in net earnings described above but excludes the \$0.7 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense and the \$3.3 million increase in gains on dispositions of real estate. The decrease in AFFO for the quarter also excludes the \$0.7 million decrease in income tax benefit, a \$0.2 million decrease in deferred rental revenue and a \$0.4 million increase in net amortization of above-market and below-market leases (which are included in net earnings and FFO but are excluded from AFFO).

Diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.06 per share to \$0.52 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$0.46 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Diluted FFO per share for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was \$0.46 per share, a decrease of \$0.04 per share, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2006. Diluted AFFO per share for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was \$0.43 per share, a decrease of \$0.02 per share as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Results of operations

Nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2006

Revenues from rental properties were \$58.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$54.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. We received approximately \$45.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$44.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from properties leased to Marketing under the Marketing Leases. We also received rent of \$10.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$6.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from other tenants. The increase in rent received was primarily due to rent from properties acquired in March and April 2007 and February 2006 and rent escalations, partially offset by the effect of dispositions of real estate and lease expirations. In addition, revenues from rental properties include deferred rental revenues of \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, recorded as required by GAAP, related to the fixed rent increases scheduled under certain leases with tenants. The aggregate minimum rent due over the initial term of these leases are recognized on a straight-line basis rather than when due. Revenues from rental properties also include \$0.9 million of net amortization of above-market and below-market leases due to the properties acquired in 2007. The present value of the difference between the fair market rent and the contractual rent for in-place leases at the time properties are acquired is amortized into revenue from rental properties over the remaining lives of the in-place leases.

Rental property expenses, which are primarily comprised of rent expense and real estate and other state and local taxes, were \$7.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$7.4 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The decrease was principally due to a \$0.3 million reduction in rent expense due to lease expirations.

Environmental expenses, net for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were \$6.9 million as compared to \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to a \$2.4 million increase in change in estimated environmental costs, net of estimated recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, and a \$0.9 million increase in environmental related litigation expenses as compared to the prior year period. The increase in the change in estimated environmental costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was due to the increase in project scope or duration and related cost forecasts at a limited number of properties including one site that we agreed to remediate as part of a legal settlement, with the State of New York, and regulator mandated project changes at other sites. The increase in environmental related litigation expenses was due to \$0.3 million of higher legal fees and \$0.6 million of higher litigation loss reserves.

General and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were \$4.8 million as compared to \$4.1 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was caused by approximately \$0.2 million of higher professional fees and a charge of \$0.1 million to insurance loss reserves recorded in 2007 as compared to a credit of \$0.3 million recorded in 2006. The insurance loss reserves were established under our self funded insurance program that was terminated in 1997.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$7.2 million as compared to \$5.8 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2007 offset by the effect of dispositions in real estate and lease expirations.

As a result, total operating expenses increased by approximately \$5.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Other income, net, substantially all of which is comprised of certain gains on dispositions of real estate and leasehold interests, was \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Gains on dispositions of real estate from discontinued operations were \$3.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Gains on dispositions of real estate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 increased by an aggregate of \$4.2 million to \$5.4 million as compared to the prior year period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, there were nine property dispositions, including two properties that were mutually agreed to be removed from the Marketing Leases prior to their scheduled lease expiration, a partial land taking under eminent domain and an increase in the awards for two takings that occurred in prior years, as compared to three property dispositions, a total land taking and seven partial land takings recorded in the prior year period.

Interest expense was \$5.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to increased borrowings used to finance the acquisition of properties in March and April 2007 and February 2006.

As a result, net earnings increased by \$0.4 million to \$33.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as compared to the \$32.9 million for the prior year period. For the same period, FFO decreased to \$35.1 million as compared to \$37.6 million for prior year period and AFFO decreased by \$2.3 million, to \$32.2 million. The decrease in FFO for the nine months was primarily due to the changes in net earnings described above but excludes the \$1.4 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense and the \$4.2 million increase in gains on dispositions of real estate. The decrease in AFFO for the nine months also excludes the \$0.7 million decrease in income tax benefit, a \$0.4 million decrease in deferred rental revenue and a \$0.9 million increase in net amortization of above-market and below-market leases (which are included in net earnings and FFO but are excluded from AFFO).

Diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.01 per share to \$1.34 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$1.33 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Diluted FFO per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$1.42 per share, a decrease of \$0.10 per share, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Diluted AFFO per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$1.30 per share, a decrease of \$0.09 per share as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity are the cash flows from our business, funds available under a revolving credit agreement that expires in 2011 and available cash and equivalents. Management believes that dividend payments and cash requirements for our business for the next twelve months, including environmental remediation expenditures, capital expenditures and debt service, can be met by cash flows from operations, borrowings under the credit agreement and available cash and cash equivalents.

On March 27, 2007, we entered into an amended and restated senior unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a group of domestic commercial banks (the "Bank Syndicate").

The Credit Agreement, among other items, increases the aggregate amount of our credit facility by \$75,000,000 to \$175,000,000; reduces the interest rate margin on LIBOR based borrowings by 0.25% and extends the term of the Credit Agreement from July 2008 to March 2011. The Credit Agreement permits borrowings at an interest rate equal to the sum of a base rate plus a margin of 0.0% or 0.25% or a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.0%, 1.25% or 1.5%. The applicable margin is based on our leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Based on our leverage ratio as of September 30, 2007, the applicable margin is 0.0% for base rate borrowings and 1.25% for LIBOR rate borrowings. The benefit of the 0.25% reduction in the interest rate margin effective with the amendment of the Credit Agreement was offset by the increase in our leverage ratio caused by an increase our outstanding borrowings used for the Trustreet acquisition, resulting in no net change in the LIBOR rate margin.

Subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement, we have the options to extend the term of the Credit Agreement for one additional year and/or increase the amount of the credit facility available pursuant to the Credit Agreement by \$125,000,000 to \$300,000,000, subject to approval by our Board of Directors and the Bank Syndicate. The Credit Agreement contains customary terms and conditions, including customary financial covenants such as leverage and coverage ratios and other customary covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur debt and pay dividends and maintenance of tangible net worth, and events of default, including change of control and failure to maintain REIT status. We believe that the Credit Agreement's terms, conditions and covenants will not limit our current business practices.

In April 2006 we entered into a \$45.0 million LIBOR based interest rate swap, effective May 1, 2006 through June 30, 2011. The interest rate swap is intended to hedge \$45.0 million of our current exposure to variable market interest rate risk by effectively fixing, at 5.44%, the LIBOR component of the interest rate determined under our existing credit agreement or future exposure to variable interest rate risk due to borrowing arrangements that may be entered into prior to the expiration of the interest rate swap. As of September 30, 2007, \$45.0 million of our LIBOR based borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at an effective rate of 6.69%.

On March 31, 2007, we acquired fifty-nine convenience store and retail motor fuel properties in ten states for approximately \$79.3 million from Trustreet for cash with funds drawn under our Credit Agreement. Total borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2007 were \$128.7 million, bearing interest at an average effective rate of 6.54% per annum. Total borrowings were \$131.5 million as of November 1, 2007. Accordingly, we had \$43.5 million available under the terms of the Credit Agreement as of November 1, 2007 or \$168.5 million available assuming our Board of Directors and the Bank Syndicate were to approve our request to increase the Credit Agreement by \$125.0 million. Increasing the Credit Agreement is also contingent upon the existing members of the Bank Syndicate increasing their commitment to the Credit Agreement, and/or additional members joining the Bank Syndicate to increase the availability committed under the line.

We elected to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws with the year beginning January 1, 2001. As a REIT, we are required, among other things, to distribute at least ninety percent of our taxable income to shareholders each year. Payment of dividends is subject to market conditions, our financial condition and other factors, and therefore cannot be assured. In particular, our Credit Agreement prohibits the payment of dividends during certain events of default. Dividends paid to our shareholders aggregated \$34.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$33.5 million for the prior year period. We presently intend to pay common stock dividends of \$0.465 per share each quarter (\$1.86 per share on an annual basis), and commenced doing so with the quarterly dividend declared in May 2007.

As part of our overall growth strategy, we regularly review opportunities to acquire additional properties and we expect to continue to pursue acquisitions that we believe will benefit our financial performance. To the extent that our current sources of liquidity are not sufficient to fund such acquisitions we will require other sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Getty Realty Corp. and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect amounts reported in its financial statements. Although we have made our best estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding future uncertainties relating to the information included in our financial statements, giving due consideration to the accounting policies selected and materiality, actual results could differ from these estimates, judgments and assumptions. We do not believe that there is a great likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported related to the application of the accounting policies described below.

Estimates, judgments and assumptions underlying the accompanying consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, deferred rent receivable, recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, environmental remediation costs, real estate, depreciation and amortization, impairment of long-lived assets, litigation, accrued expenses, income taxes, allocation of the purchase price of properties acquired to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and exposure to paying an earnings and profits deficiency dividend. The information included in our financial statements that is based on estimates, judgments and assumptions is subject to significant change and is adjusted as circumstances change and as the uncertainties become more clearly defined. Our accounting policies are described in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements that appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. We believe that the more critical of our accounting policies relate to revenue recognition and deferred rent receivable, impairment of long-lived assets, income taxes, environmental costs and recoveries from state underground storage tank funds and litigation, each of which is discussed in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to numerous existing federal, state and local laws and regulations, including matters relating to the protection of the environment such as the remediation of known contamination and the retirement and decommissioning or removal of long-lived assets including buildings containing hazardous materials, USTs and other equipment. We enter into leases and various other agreements which define our share of joint and several environmental liabilities and commitments by establishing the percentage and method of allocating responsibility between the parties. Uncertainties related to the determination of our share of net environmental costs include, among others, determining when known environmental contamination may have occurred and the effectiveness of remediation efforts to date, determining when costs associated with asset retirement obligations will be incurred and predicting who the responsible party will be at that time and the interpretation and enforceability of, or potential modifications to, the various agreements, including the Marketing Leases. It is possible that our assumptions of the ultimate allocation method and share of responsibility that are used when accruing our allocable share of joint and several environmental liabilities, may change, which may result in adjustments to the amounts recorded for environmental litigation accruals, environmental remediation liabilities and related assets. Although the ultimate resolution of these matters may have a significant impact on results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period, we currently believe that such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our long-term financial position.

In accordance with the leases with certain of our tenants, we have agreed to bring the leased properties with known environmental contamination to within applicable standards and to regulatory or contractual closure (“Closure”) in an efficient and economical manner. Generally, upon achieving Closure at an individual property, our environmental liability under the lease for that property will be satisfied and future remediation obligations will be the responsibility of our tenant. We will continue to seek reimbursement from state UST remediation funds related to these environmental liabilities where available. Generally the liability for the retirement and decommissioning or removal of USTs and other equipment is the responsibility of our tenants. We are contingently liable for these obligations in the event that our tenants do not satisfy their responsibilities. A liability has not been accrued for obligations that are the responsibility of our tenants.

As of September 30, 2007, the Company had remediation action plans in place for two hundred sixty-six (93%) of the two hundred eighty-five properties for which it retained environmental responsibility and has not received a “no further action” letter and the remaining nineteen properties (7%) remain in the assessment phase. As of September 30, 2007, we had accrued \$19.6 million as management’s best estimate of the fair value of reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs. As of September 30, 2007 we had also recorded \$4.4 million as management’s best estimate for net recoveries from state UST remediation funds, net of allowance, related to environmental obligations and liabilities. Environmental expenditures and recoveries from underground storage tank funds were \$3.8 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007.

Environmental liabilities and related assets are currently measured at fair value based on their expected future cash flows which have been adjusted for inflation and discounted to present value. We also use probability weighted alternative cash flow forecasts to determine fair value. We assumed a 50% probability factor that the actual environmental expenses will exceed engineering estimates for an amount assumed to equal one year of net expenses aggregating \$6.6 million. Accordingly, the environmental accrual as of September 30, 2007 was increased by \$2.6 million, net of assumed recoveries and before inflation and present value discount adjustments. The resulting net environmental accrual as of September 30, 2007 was then further increased by \$1.0 million for the assumed impact of inflation using an inflation rate of 2.75%. Assuming a credit-adjusted risk-free discount rate of 7.0%, we then reduced the net environmental accrual, as previously adjusted, by a \$2.1 million discount to present value. Had we assumed an inflation rate that was 0.5% higher and a discount rate that was 0.5% lower, net environmental liabilities as of September 30, 2007 would have increased by \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, for an aggregate increase in the net environmental accrual of \$0.3 million. However, the aggregate net change in environmental estimates recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 would not have changed significantly if these changes in the assumptions were made effective December 31, 2006.

In view of the uncertainties associated with environmental expenditures, however, we believe it is possible that the fair value of future actual net expenditures could be substantially higher than these estimates. Adjustments to accrued liabilities for environmental remediation costs will be reflected in our financial statements as they become probable and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, the aggregate of the net change in estimated remediation costs, including accretion expense, included in our consolidated statement of operations amounted to \$4.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, which amounts were net of probable recoveries from state UST remediation funds. Although future environmental costs may have a significant impact on results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period, we believe that such costs will not have a material adverse effect on our long-term financial position.

We cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations may be enacted in the future or how existing laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted with respect to products or activities to which they have not previously been applied. We cannot predict if state underground storage tank fund programs will be administered and funded in the future in a manner that is consistent with past practices and if future environmental spending will continue to be eligible for reimbursement at historical recovery rates under these programs. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, as well as more vigorous enforcement policies of the regulatory agencies or stricter interpretation of existing laws, which may develop in the future, could have an adverse effect on our financial position, or that of our tenants, and could require substantial additional expenditures for future remediation.

Our discussion of environmental matters should be read in conjunction with “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” which appears in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes (including notes 2 and 3) which appear in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When we use the words “believes,” “expects,” “plans,” “projects,” “estimate,” “predict” and similar expressions, we intend to identify forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations regarding future payments from Marketing; the recovery of the deferred rent receivable recorded under the straight line method of accounting for the Marketing Leases and the probability that our assumptions which affect the amount of the deferred rent receivable recorded may change; the impact of any modification of the Marketing Leases on our business and ability to pay dividends or our stock price; our belief that Lukoil is currently providing credit enhancement to Marketing; our ability to predict whether or when the Marketing Leases will be modified, the terms of any such modification or what actions Marketing and Lukoil will take and what our recourse will be whether the Marketing Leases are modified or not; the expected effect of regulations on our long-term performance; our expected ability to maintain compliance with applicable regulations; our ability to renew expired leases; the adequacy of our current and anticipated cash flows; our ability to relet properties at market rents; our belief that we do not have a material liability for offers and sales of our securities made pursuant to registration statements that did not contain the financial statements or summarized financial data of Marketing; our expectations regarding future acquisitions; the impact of the covenants included in the Credit Agreement on our current business practices; our expected ability to increase our available funding under the Credit Agreement; our ability to maintain our REIT status; the probable outcome of litigation or regulatory actions; our expected recoveries from underground storage tank funds; our exposure to environmental remediation costs; our estimates regarding remediation costs; our expectations as to the long-term effect of environmental liabilities on our financial condition; our exposure to interest rate fluctuations and the manner in which we expect to manage this exposure; the expected reduction in interest-rate risk resulting from our interest-rate swap agreement and our expectation that we will not settle the interest-rate swap prior to its maturity; our expectations regarding corporate level federal income taxes; the indemnification obligations of the Company and others; our intention to consummate future acquisitions; our assessment of the likelihood of future competition; assumptions regarding the future applicability of accounting estimates, assumptions and policies; our intention to pay future dividends and the amounts thereof; our expectation that we will finalize the allocation of the purchase price for the properties acquired from Truststreet during the fourth quarter of 2007; and our beliefs about the reasonableness of our accounting estimates, judgments and assumptions, including the preliminary allocation of the purchase price for the properties acquired from Truststreet.

These forward-looking statements are based on our current beliefs and assumptions and information currently available to us and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance and achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements, expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Information concerning factors that could cause our actual results to materially differ from those forward looking results can be found in “Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and “Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as in other filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission and include, but are not limited to risks associated with owning and leasing real estate generally; dependence on Marketing as a tenant and on rentals from companies engaged in the petroleum marketing and convenience store businesses; risks associated with a significant modification of the Marketing Leases; our unresolved SEC comment; competition for properties and tenants; risk of tenant non-renewal; the effects of taxation and other regulations; potential litigation exposure; costs of completing environmental remediation and of compliance with environmental regulations; the risk of loss of our management team; the impact of our electing to be treated as a REIT, including subsequent failure to qualify as a REIT; risks associated with owning real estate concentrated in one region of the United States; risks associated with potential future acquisitions; losses not covered by insurance; future dependence on external sources of capital; the risk that our business operations may not generate sufficient cash for distributions or debt service; our potential inability to increase our available funding under the Credit Agreement; our potential inability to pay dividends; the risk that our estimates of the fair value of the properties acquired from

Truststreet may be revised or that other accounting judgments or assumptions used in the allocation of the purchase price thereof may prove incorrect; and terrorist attacks and other acts of violence and war.

-29-

As a result of these and other factors, we may experience material fluctuations in future operating results on a quarterly or annual basis, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and stock price. An investment in our stock involves various risks, including those mentioned above and elsewhere in this report and those that are detailed from time to time in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect our view only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly release revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect future events or circumstances or reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Prior to April 2006, we had not used derivative financial or commodity instruments for trading, speculative or any other purpose, and had not entered into any instruments to hedge our exposure to interest rate risk. We do not have any foreign operations, and are therefore not exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks.

We are exposed to interest rate risk, primarily as a result of our \$175.0 million Credit Agreement. Our Credit Agreement, which expires in June 2011, permits borrowings at an interest rate equal to the sum of a base rate plus a margin of 0.0% or 0.25% or a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.0%, 1.25% or 1.5%. The applicable margin is based on our leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Based on our leverage ratio as of September 30, 2007, the applicable margin is 0.0% for base rate borrowings and 1.25% for LIBOR rate borrowings. At September 30, 2007, we had borrowings outstanding of \$128.7 million under our Credit Agreement bearing interest at an average rate of 6.51% per annum (or an average effective rate of 6.54% including the impact of the interest rate swap discussed below). We use borrowings under the Credit Agreement to finance acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

We manage our exposure to interest rate risk by minimizing, to the extent feasible, our overall borrowing and monitoring available financing alternatives. Our interest rate risk as of September 30, 2007 increased significantly due to increased borrowings under the Credit Agreement as compared to December 31, 2006. In April 2006, we entered into a \$45.0 million LIBOR based interest rate swap, effective May 1, 2006 through June 30, 2011, to manage a portion of our interest rate risk. The interest rate swap is intended to hedge \$45.0 million of our current exposure to variable interest rate risk by effectively fixing, at 5.44%, the LIBOR component of the interest rate determined under our existing Credit Agreement or future exposure to variable interest rate risk due to borrowing arrangements that may be entered into prior to the expiration of the interest rate swap. As of September 30, 2007, \$45.0 million of our LIBOR based borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at an effective rate of 6.69%. As a result, we will be exposed to interest rate risk to the extent that our borrowings exceed the \$45.0 million notional amount of the interest rate swap. As of September 30, 2007, our borrowings exceeded the notional amount of the interest rate swap by \$83.7 million. As a result of the increase in the funding available under the Credit Agreement from \$100.0 million to \$175.0 million, and the subsequent increase in our total borrowings, the interest rate swap covers a smaller percentage of our total borrowings that it did previously. We do not foresee any additional significant changes in our exposure or in how we manage this exposure in the near future.

We entered into the \$45.0 million notional five year interest rate swap agreement designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedge to reduce our exposure to the variability in future cash flows attributable to changes in the LIBOR rate. Our primary objective when undertaking hedging transactions and derivative positions is to reduce our variable interest rate risk by effectively fixing a portion of the interest rate for existing debt and anticipated refinancing transactions. This in turn, reduces the risks that the variability of cash flows imposes on variable rate debt. Our strategy partially protects us against future increases in interest rates. While this agreement is intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates, it also exposes us to the risk that the other party to the agreement will not perform, the agreement will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as a highly-effective cash flow hedge for accounting purposes.

In the event that we were to settle the interest rate swap prior to its maturity, if the corresponding LIBOR swap rate for the remaining term of the agreement is below the 5.44% fixed strike rate at the time we settle the swap, we would be required to make a payment to the swap counter-party; if the corresponding LIBOR swap rate is above the fixed strike rate at the time we settle the swap, we would receive a payment from the swap counter-party. The amount that we would either pay or receive would equal the present value of the basis point differential between the fixed strike rate and the corresponding LIBOR swap rate at the time we settle the swap.

Based on our projected average outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement for 2007, if market interest rates increase by an average of 0.5% more than the market interest rates as of September 30, 2007, the additional annualized interest expense caused by market interest rate increases since December 31, 2006 would decrease 2007 net income and cash flows by approximately \$105,000. This amount is the effect of a hypothetical interest rate change on the portion of our average outstanding borrowings of \$83.7 million projected for the remainder of 2007 under our Credit Agreement that is not covered by our \$45.0 million interest rate swap. The projected average outstanding borrowings are before considering additional borrowings required for future acquisitions. The calculation also assumes that there are no other changes in our financial structure or the terms of our borrowings. Management believes that the fair value of its debt equals its carrying value at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. Our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates will increase or decrease in the future with increases or decreases in the amount of borrowings outstanding under our Credit Agreement.

In order to minimize our exposure to credit risk associated with financial instruments, we place our temporary cash investments with high-credit-quality institutions. Temporary cash investments, if any, are held in overnight bank time deposits and an institutional money market fund.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed or furnished pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of September 30, 2007.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the latest fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

-32-

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In 1997, representatives of the County of Lancaster, Pennsylvania contacted the Company regarding alleged petroleum contamination of property owned by the County adjoining a property owned by the Company. No litigation has been instituted as a result of this potential claim and the Company is actively remediating the contamination. In 2005, the County requested reimbursement of legal fees pursuant to the Access Agreement between the parties. A substantial portion of the fees remains in dispute.

In September 1999, we brought a case against one of our tenants in the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, seeking the return of the property we leased to them and the cleanup of all contamination caused by them. Our tenant filed a counterclaim alleging that all or part of the contamination was attributable to contamination from underground storage tanks for which we were responsible. The State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (the "NJDEP") has notified the tenant that it is responsible for the cleanup and remediation of contamination resulting from a petroleum release. The case has been settled without any payment by the Company. As a part of the settlement, the tenant will buy the subject property, assume responsibility for the remediation of all environmental conditions and fully indemnify the Company and its affiliates respecting all environmental liability.

From January 2007 through September 2007 we have been made party to fourteen additional actions that are nearly identical to the thirty-five cases pending as of December 31, 2006 in Connecticut, Florida, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia, brought by local water providers or governmental agencies. These cases allege various theories of liability due to contamination of groundwater with MTBE as the basis for claims seeking compensatory and punitive damages. Each case names as defendants approximately fifty petroleum refiners, manufacturers, distributors and retailers of MTBE, or gasoline containing MTBE. The accuracy of the allegations as they relate to us, our defenses to such claims, the aggregate amount of damages, the definitive list of defendants and the method of allocating such amounts among the defendants have not been determined. The cases to which we are a party, together with other cases, have been removed to the federal court and consolidated for pre-trial purposes as federal multidistrict litigation in the Southern District of New York. The removal and consolidation has been challenged successfully by the plaintiffs. However it is not clear how this will affect our matters. At this time, two of the New York cases to which we are a party have been set for trial in March 2008. We are vigorously defending these matters. In June 2006, we were served with a Toxic Substance Control Act ("TSCA") Notice Letter ("Notice Letter"), advising us that "prospective plaintiffs" listed on a schedule to the Notice Letter intend to file a TSCA citizens' civil action against the entities listed on a schedule to the Notice Letter, including the Company's subsidiaries, based upon alleged failure by such entities to provide information to the United States Environmental Protection Agency regarding MTBE as may be required by the TSCA and declaring that such action will be filed unless such information is delivered. We do not believe that we have any such information. Accordingly, our ultimate legal and financial liability, if any, in connection with the existing litigation or any future civil litigation pursuant to the Notice Letter cannot be estimated with any certainty at this time.

In July 2003, we were notified by the State of Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management of their Notice to Enforce compliance with a Letter of Responsibility issued by the Department in connection with a suspected petroleum release at a property that abuts property owned by us and leased to Marketing. We responded to the State's Notice in August 2003 and do not believe that we have any liability for the contamination, which appears to be unrelated to the products we stored at the property.

In September 2005, we received a demand from a property owner for reimbursement of cleanup and soil removal costs claimed to have been incurred by it in connection with the development of its property located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, that, in part, is a former retail motor fuel property supplied by us with gasoline. The current owner claims that the costs are reimbursable pursuant to an Indemnity Agreement that we entered into with the prior property owner. Although we acknowledged responsibility for a portion of the contaminated soil, and were engaged in the remediation of the same, we denied responsibility for the full extent of the costs estimated to be incurred. The matter was settled in June 2007, in consideration for a payment by the Company of \$985,000 on that date.

In November 2005, we were notified that an action had been commenced in the Superior Court in Passaic County, New Jersey, in August 2005, by a property owner, seeking compensation from us on behalf of a class not yet certified, based upon the installation of a monitoring well on the property of the property owner. The NJDEP also is named as a defendant. The matter was settled in the first quarter, in consideration for a payment by the Company of an amount less than \$10,000.

In December 2005, an action was commenced against us in the Superior Court in Providence, Rhode Island, by the owner of a pier that is adjacent to one of our terminals that is leased to Marketing seeking monetary damages of approximately \$500,000 representing alleged costs related to the ownership and maintenance of the pier for the period from January 2003 through September 2005. We do not believe that we have any legal, contractual or other responsibility for such costs. Additionally, we believe that, under the terms of the Master Lease that covers the property, Marketing is responsible for such costs and tendered the matter to them for defense and indemnification. It is noted that Marketing has declined to accept the tender and have denied liability for the claim. We have filed a third party claim against Marketing seeking defense and indemnification that has been tolled, pending resolution of the underlying litigation. In that regard, it is noteworthy that, at the pre-trial conference held in this matter, the Court advised the owner that there is binding legal precedent from prior litigation involving the pier that likely will defeat its claim.

In February 2006, an action was commenced in the Supreme Court in Westchester County, New York against us and Marketing to recover cleanup and remediation costs related to a petroleum release and for damages in excess of \$1.0 million for, among other things, lost rent and diminution of property value. The matter was settled in consideration for a payment by the Company of \$50,000 in May, 2007.

In May 2006, we were advised of an action in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Middlesex County, against our subsidiary, filed by a property owner claiming damages for remediation of contaminated soil. The litigation is still in the initial discovery phase. Although, initially, it was not clear from the pleadings in the matter that there was any basis at all for the claim against us, we have determined that it is likely that corporate successor liability could be the basis for prosecuting the claim against our subsidiary. The Company believes that it has defenses to the claim and will vigorously defend the matter.

In August 2006, we were notified by the New Jersey Schools Corporation (“NJCC”) of their discovery of abandoned USTs and soil contamination at a property that they acquired from our subsidiary by condemnation. Prior to the taking, the property was leased to and operated by Marketing. NJCC is demanding reimbursement of costs allegedly incurred in connection with removal of the USTs and soil remediation (in the approximate amount of \$950,000 in the aggregate). We believe that, under the terms of the Master Lease that covered the property, Marketing is responsible for such costs and tendered the matter to them for defense and indemnification. It is noted that they have declined to accept the tender and have denied liability for the claim. We have filed a compulsory third party claim against Marketing seeking defense and indemnification. In July, 2007, Marketing filed a claim against the Company seeking defense and indemnification.

In May 2007, the Company’s subsidiary received a lease default notice from its sub-landlord pertaining to an alleged underpayment of rent by our subsidiary for a period of time exceeding fifteen years. In June 2007, the Company commenced an action against the sub-landlord seeking an injunction that would preclude the sub-landlord from taking any action to terminate its sublease with our subsidiary or collect the alleged underpayment of rent. In support of the Company’s action for an injunction, the Company submitted a Memorandum of Law that fully briefed the issue of the limitation upon the sub-landlord’s claim imposed by the applicable statute of limitations. The Court issued the injunction, and in doing so, acknowledged that the Company was likely to prevail on the merits. The matter remains pending.

In July 2007, subsidiaries of the Company were notified of the commencement of three actions by the NJDEP seeking “Natural Resource Damages” (“NRDs”) arising out of petroleum releases years ago. The accuracy of the allegations as they relate to us, the legal right of the NJDEP to claim NRDs in these actions, the viability of our defenses to such claims, the legal basis for determining the amount of the NRDs, and the method of allocating damages, if any, between defendants have not been determined.

Please refer to “Item 3. Legal Proceedings” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, and note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in such Form 10-K and to note 2 to our accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements which appear in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for additional information.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

See “Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 for factors that could affect the Company’s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. Other than the risk factors below, there have been no material changes in the risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Our revenues are primarily dependent on the performance of Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc., our primary tenant. Although we periodically receive and review financial statements and other financial information from Marketing, some of the information is not publicly available. We and our shareholders may not have sufficient information to identify a deterioration of the financial performance or condition of Marketing prior to any default by Marketing on its monetary obligations to us that may result from such deterioration. If Marketing does not fulfill its monetary obligations to us, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Representatives of Marketing have indicated to us their desire to modify the Marketing Leases which may significantly reduce the amount of rent we receive from Marketing and increase our operating expenses. A significant modification of the Marketing Leases could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, ability to pay dividends and stock price.

A substantial portion of our revenues (75% for the three months ended September 30, 2007) are derived from the Marketing Leases. Accordingly, our revenues are dependent to a large degree on the economic performance of Marketing and of the petroleum marketing industry and any factor that adversely affects Marketing or our relationship with Marketing may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In the event that Marketing cannot or will not perform its monetary obligations under the Marketing Leases with us, our financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected. Although Marketing is wholly owned by a subsidiary of Lukoil, one of the largest integrated Russian oil companies, Lukoil is not a guarantor of the Marketing Leases and no assurance can be given that Lukoil will provide any credit enhancement or additional capital to Marketing in the future, or otherwise cause Marketing to fulfill any of its obligations under the Marketing Leases.

While the initial term of the Marketing Leases are effective through December 2015, representatives of Marketing have indicated to us their desire to modify the Marketing Leases to (i) remove a significant number of properties from the Marketing Leases and eliminate Marketing’s payment of rent to us with respect to those properties and eliminate or reduce Marketing’s direct payment of operating expenses with respect to those properties, and (ii) reduce the aggregate amount of rent paid to us for the properties remaining under the Marketing Leases. The effect of any such modification may significantly reduce the amount of rent we receive from Marketing and increase our operating expenses. We can not accurately predict whether or when the Marketing Leases will be modified, or what the terms of any such agreement may be if the Marketing Leases are modified, or what actions Marketing and Lukoil will take and what our recourse may be whether the Marketing Leases are modified or not. Given the uncertainties regarding a possible modification to the Marketing Leases, we believe it is reasonably possible that our assumption that Marketing will make all contractual lease payments during the initial term of the Marketing Leases when due, when applying the straight-line method of accounting for rental revenue, may change, which may result in an adjustment to the deferred rent receivable that could be material to our financial condition or results of operations for any single fiscal year or interim period. Although we are the owner of the properties and the Getty brand and have prior experience with Marketing’s tenants, in the event that properties are removed from the Marketing Leases, we can not accurately predict whether, when or on what terms such properties could be re-let or sold. A significant modification of the Marketing Leases could materially adversely affect our financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, ability to pay dividends and stock price.

We periodically receive and review Marketing's financial statements and other financial data. We receive this information from Marketing pursuant to the terms of the Master Lease. Certain of this information is not publicly available and the terms of the Master Lease prohibit us from including this financial information in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or in our Annual Reports to Shareholders. The financial performance of Marketing may deteriorate, and Marketing may ultimately default on its monetary obligations to us before we and our shareholders receive financial information from Marketing that would indicate the deterioration. Additionally, any financial data of Marketing that we are able to provide in our periodic reports is derived from financial data provided by Marketing and neither we, nor our auditors, have been involved with the preparation of such data and as a result can provide no assurance thereon. Additionally, our auditors have not been engaged to review or audit such data.

Selected balance sheet data of Marketing at December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and selected operating data of Marketing for each of the three years in the period ending December 31, 2004, which is publicly available, has been provided in "Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Certain of this financial information and other information concerning Marketing is available from Dun & Bradstreet and may be accessed by their web site (www.dnb.com) upon payment of their fee. You should not rely on the selected balance sheet data or operating data related to prior years as representative of Marketing's current financial condition or current results of operations.

If Marketing does not fulfill its monetary obligations to us under the Marketing Leases, our financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected. Based on our review of the recent financial statements and other financial data Marketing has provided to us to date, we have observed a significant decline in Marketing's annual financial results from the prior periods presented. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that Marketing will have the ability to pay its debts and meet its rental and other financial obligations under the Marketing Leases. Marketing continues to pay timely its monetary obligations under the Marketing Leases, as it has since the inception of the Master Lease in 1997, although there is no assurance that it will continue to do so.

Marketing's earnings and cash flow from operations depend largely upon the sale of refined petroleum products at margins in excess of its fixed and variable expenses and rental income from its subtenants who operate their convenience store, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties. The petroleum marketing industry has been, and continues to be volatile and highly competitive. A large, rapid increase in wholesale petroleum prices would adversely affect Marketing's profitability and cash flow if the increased cost of petroleum products could not be passed on to Marketing's customers or if the consumption of gasoline for automotive use were to significantly decline. Petroleum products are commodities whose prices depend on numerous factors that affect supply and demand. The prices paid by Marketing and other petroleum marketers for products are affected by global, national and regional factors. We cannot be certain how these factors will affect petroleum product prices or supply in the future, or how in particular they will affect Marketing or our other tenants.

We received a comment letter from the SEC that contains one comment that remains unresolved.

As part of a periodic review by the Division of Corporation Finance of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, we received and responded to a number of comments. The only comment that remains unresolved pertains to the SEC’s position that we must include the financial statements and summarized financial data of Marketing in our periodic filings, which is prohibited by the terms of the Master Lease. The SEC subsequently indicated that, unless we file Marketing’s financial statements and summarized financial data with our periodic reports: (i) it will not consider our Annual Reports on Forms 10-K for the years beginning with fiscal 2000 to be compliant; (ii) it will not consider us to be current in our reporting requirements; (iii) it will not be in a position to declare effective any registration statements we may file for public offerings of our securities; and (iv) we should consider how the SEC’s conclusion impacts our ability to make offers and sales of our securities under existing registration statements and if we have a liability for such offers and sales made pursuant to registration statements that did not contain the financial statements of Marketing.

We believe that the SEC’s position is based on their interpretation of certain provisions of their internal Accounting Disclosure Rules and Practices Training Material, Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 71 and Rule 3-13 of Regulation S-X. We do not believe that any of this guidance is clearly applicable to our particular circumstances and that, even if it were, we believe that we should be entitled to certain relief from compliance with such requirements. Marketing subleases our properties to approximately nine hundred independent, individual service station/convenience store operators (subtenants), most of whom were our tenants when Marketing was spun-off to our shareholders. Consequently, we believe that we, as the owner of these properties and the Getty brand, and based on our prior experience with Marketing’s tenants, could relet these properties to the existing subtenants who operate their convenience store, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties or others at market rents although we can not accurately predict whether, when or on what terms such properties would be re-let or sold. Because of this particular aspect of our landlord-tenant relationship with Marketing, we do not believe that the inclusion of Marketing’s financial statements in our filings is necessary to evaluate our financial condition. Our position was included in a written response to the SEC. To date, the SEC has not accepted our position regarding the inclusion of Marketing’s financial statements in our filings. We are endeavoring to achieve a resolution of this issue that will be acceptable to the SEC. We can not accurately predict the consequences if we are ultimately unsuccessful in achieving an acceptable resolution.

-38-

We do not believe that offers or sales of our securities made pursuant to existing registration statements that did not or do not contain the financial statements of Marketing constitute, by reason of such omission, a violation of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or the Exchange Act. Additionally, we believe that, if there ultimately is a determination that such offers or sales, by reason of such omission, resulted in a violation of those securities laws, we would not have any material liability as a consequence of any such determination.

Item 6. Exhibits

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description of Exhibit</u> |
|--------------------|--|
| 31(i).1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 31(i).2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 (a) |
| 32.2 | Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 (a) |

(a) These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany the Report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GETTY REALTY CORP.

(Registrant)

Dated: November 9, 2007

BY: /s/ Thomas J. Stirnweis
(Signature)
THOMAS J. STIRNWEIS
Vice President, Treasurer
and
Chief Financial Officer

Dated: November 9, 2007

BY: /s/ Leo Liebowitz
(Signature)
LEO LIEBOWITZ
Chairman and Chief
Executive
Officer