FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

£TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT
For the transition period from _______________ to ________________

Commission file number 0-14237

First United Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland	52-1380770
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I. R. S. Employer Identification No.)

19 South Second Street, Oakland, Maryland   21550-0009

(Address of principal executive offices)   (Zip Code)

(800) 470-4356

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ¨	Accelerated filer ¨
Non-accelerated filer ¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).Yes ¨ No þ

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 6,210,587 shares of common stock, par value $.01 per share, as of July 31, 2013.

INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition – June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	3
Consolidated Statement of Operations - for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	4
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income/(Loss) – for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	6
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity - for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and year ended December 31, 2012	7
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows - for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	45
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	65
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	65

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings	67
Item 1A. Risk Factors	67
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	67
Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities	67
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	67
Item 5. Other Information	67
Item 6. Exhibits	67
SIGNATURES	67

EXHIBIT INDEX 68

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except per share and percentage data)

	June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	$28,160	$ 71,290
Interest bearing deposits in banks	13,909	11,778
Cash and cash equivalents	42,069	83,068
Investment securities – available-for-sale (at fair value)	304,681	223,273
Investment securities – held to maturity (at cost)	3,900	4,040
Restricted investment in bank stock, at cost	7,853	8,349
Loans	841,850	874,829
Allowance for loan losses	(15,522)	(16,047)
Net loans	826,328	858,782
Premises and equipment, net	29,154	29,455
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	11,004	11,004
Bank owned life insurance	31,906	31,407
Deferred tax assets	31,625	28,882
Other real estate owned	19,344	17,513
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	21,342	25,010
Total Assets	$1,329,206	$ 1,320,783
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Non-interest bearing deposits	$162,671	$ 161,500
Interest bearing deposits	809,988	815,384
Total deposits	972,659	976,884
Short-term borrowings	50,954	39,257
Long-term borrowings	182,704	182,735
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	25,106	23,002
Total Liabilities	1,231,423	1,221,878
Shareholders' Equity:		
	29,959	29,925

Preferred stock – no par value; Authorized 2,000 shares of which 30 shares of Series A, $1,000 per share liquidation preference, 5% cumulative increasing to 9% cumulative on February 15, 2014, were issued and outstanding on June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (discount of $41 and $75, respectively)		
Common Stock – par value $.01 per share; Authorized 25,000 shares; issued and outstanding 6,211 shares at June 30, 2013 and 6,119 shares at December 31, 2012	62	62
Surplus	21,616	21,573
Retained earnings	72,054	69,168
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25,908)	(21,823)
Total Shareholders' Equity	97,783	98,905
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	$1,329,206	$ 1,320,783

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Operations

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
	(Unaudited)	
Interest income		
Interest and fees on loans	$21,021	$23,954
Interest on investment securities		
Taxable	2,297	2,259
Exempt from federal income tax	868	922
Total investment income	3,165	3,181
Other	181	134
Total interest income	24,367	27,269
Interest expense		
Interest on deposits	2,609	3,558
Interest on short-term borrowings	29	97
Interest on long-term borrowings	3,253	3,833
Total interest expense	5,891	7,488
Net interest income	18,476	19,781
Provision for loan losses	946	9,236
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	17,530	10,545
Other operating income		
Changes in fair value on impaired securities	2,353	(43)
Portion of gain recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	(2,353)	43
Net securities impairment losses recognized in operations	0	0
Net gains – other	356	680
Total net gains	356	680
Service charges	1,734	1,725
Trust department	2,383	2,269
Insurance commissions	2	8
Debit card income	984	1,021
Bank owned life insurance	499	1,264
Brokerage commissions	361	389
Other	354	396
Total other income	6,317	7,072
Total other operating income	6,673	7,752
Other operating expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	9,640	9,936
FDIC premiums	929	977
Equipment	1,291	1,341
Occupancy	1,384	1,401
Data processing	1,499	1,445
Other	4,521	4,041

Total other operating expenses	19,264	19,141
Income/(Loss) before income tax expense	4,939	(844)
Applicable income tax expense	1,175	172
Net Income/(Loss)	3,764	(1,016)
Accumulated preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	(878)	(846)
Net Income Available to/Net (Loss) Attributable to Common Shareholders	$2,886	$(1,862)
Basic and diluted net income/(loss) per common share	$0.47	$(0.30)
Weighted average number of basic and diluted shares outstanding	6,203	6,188

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
	(Unaudited)	
Interest income		
Interest and fees on loans	$ 10,336	$ 11,905
Interest on investment securities		
Taxable	1,206	1,097
Exempt from federal income tax	440	424
Total investment income	1,646	1,521
Other	97	75
Total interest income	12,079	13,501
Interest expense		
Interest on deposits	1,282	1,665
Interest on short-term borrowings	15	51
Interest on long-term borrowings	1,639	1,887
Total interest expense	2,936	3,603
Net interest income	9,143	9,898
Provision for loan losses	81	1,112
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	9,062	8,786
Other operating income		
Changes in fair value on impaired securities	691	(371)
Portion of (gain)/loss recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	(691)	371
Net securities impairment losses recognized in operations	0	0
Net gains/ (losses) – other	27	(23)
Total net gains/ (losses)	27	(23)
Service charges	860	863
Trust department	1,187	1,154
Insurance commissions	1	2
Debit card income	508	529
Bank owned life insurance	250	293
Brokerage commissions	199	204
Other	97	44
Total other income	3,102	3,089
Total other operating income	3,129	3,066
Other operating expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	4,796	5,047
FDIC premiums	478	512
Equipment	649	659
Occupancy	680	691
Data processing	770	765
Other	2,369	2,396
Total other operating expenses	9,742	10,070
Income before income tax expense	2,449	1,782
Applicable income tax expense	607	133
Net Income	1,842	1,649
Accumulated preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	(441)	(431)
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	$ 1,401	$ 1,218

Basic and diluted net income per common share	$ 0.23	$ 0.20
Weighted average number of basic and diluted shares outstanding	6,207	6,194

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
Comprehensive (Loss)/Income (in thousands)	2013	2012
Net Income/(Loss)	$3,764	$(1,016)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and reclassification adjustments:		
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on investments with OTTI	1,408	(26)
Net unrealized (losses)/gains on all other AFS securities	(5,729)	225
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	138	47
Net unrealized gains on Pension	91	0
Net unrealized gains on SERP	7	0
Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of tax	(4,085)	246
Comprehensive loss	$(321)	$(770)

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
Comprehensive (Loss)/Income (in thousands)	2013	2012
Net Income	$1,842	$1,649
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and reclassification adjustments:		
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on investments with OTTI	413	(221)
Net unrealized (losses)/gains on all other AFS securities	(5,464)	346

Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	78	20
Net unrealized losses on Pension	(624)	0
Net unrealized gains on SERP	3	0
Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of tax	(5,594)	145
Comprehensive (loss)/income	$(3,752)	$1,794

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

6

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at January 1, 2012	$29,860	$ 62	$21,500	$66,196	$ (20,962)	$ 96,656
Net income				4,663		4,663
Other comprehensive loss					(861)	(861)
Stock based compensation			73			73
Preferred stock discount accretion	65			(65)		0
Preferred stock dividends deferred				(1,626)		(1,626)
Balance at December 31, 2012	29,925	62	21,573	69,168	(21,823)	98,905
Net income				3,764		3,764
Other comprehensive loss					(4,085)	(4,085)
Stock based compensation			43			43
Preferred stock discount accretion	34			(34)		0
Preferred stock dividends deferred				(844)		(844)
Balance at June 30, 2013	$29,959	$ 62	$21,616	$72,054	$ (25,908)	$ 97,783

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Operating activities	(Unaudited)	
Net income/(loss)	$3,764	$(1,016)
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	946	9,236
Depreciation	1,026	1,005
Stock compensation	43	31
Gain on sales of Insurance assets	0	(88)
Gain on sales of other real estate owned	(62)	(682)
Write-downs of other real estate owned	37	0
Gain on loan sales	(131)	(59)
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	2	66
Net amortization of investment securities discounts and premiums	697	791
Gain on sales of investment securities – available-for-sale	(227)	(599)
Amortization of deferred Loan Fees	(265)	(276)
Decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets	3,820	1,553
Deferred tax benefit	0	(1,509)
Increase/(decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	1,502	(1,836)
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	(499)	(1,264)
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,653	5,353
Investing activities		
Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities available-for-sale	20,705	39,511
Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities held-to-maturity	140	0
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	35,136	10,454
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(144,941)	(36,086)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	2,865	2,977
Proceeds from loan sales	18,049	7,619
Proceeds from disposal of fixed assets	0	19
Proceeds from sale of insurance assets	0	3,604
Proceeds from BOLI death benefit	0	1,806
Net decrease in FHLB stock	496	1047
Net decrease in loans	9,184	6,108
Purchases of premises and equipment	(727)	(641)
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(59,093)	36,418
Financing activities		
Net decrease in deposits	(4,225)	(31,444)

Net increase/(decrease) in short-term borrowings	11,697	(7,966)
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	0	20,000
Payments on long-term borrowings	(31)	(20,529)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	7,441	(39,939)
(Decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(40,999)	1,832
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	83,068	65,107
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$42,069	$66,939
Supplemental information		
Interest paid	$4,868	$6,621
Non-cash investing activities:		
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	$4,671	$5,447
Transfers from securities available for sale to held-to-maturity	$0	$4,040

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

NoteS to Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)

for the quarter ended JUNE 30, 2013

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of First United Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, including First United Bank & Trust (the "Bank"), have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information, as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 270, *Interim Reporting*, and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 8-03 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation, consisting of normal recurring items, have been included. Operating results for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year or for any future interim period. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in First United Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. For purposes of comparability, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on net income or equity.

First United Corporation has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the statement of financial condition date of June 30, 2013 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements as prescribed by ASC Topic 855, *Subsequent Events*.

As used in these notes to consolidated financial statements, First United Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries are sometimes collectively referred to as the "Corporation".

Note 2 – Earnings/(Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings/(loss) per common share is derived by dividing net income available to/loss attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period and does not include the effect of any potentially dilutive common stock equivalents. Diluted earnings/(loss) per share is derived by dividing net income available to/loss attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect of outstanding common stock equivalents. There is no dilutive effect on

earnings per share during loss periods. There were no common stock equivalents during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings/(loss) per common share for the six- and three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	For the six months ended June 30,					
	2013			2012		
(in thousands, except for per share amount)	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Loss	Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic and Diluted Earnings/(Loss) Per Share:						
Net income/(loss)	$3,764			$(1,016)		
Preferred stock dividends deferred	(844)			(814)		
Discount accretion on preferred stock	(34)			(32)		
Net income available to/Net (loss) attributable to common shareholders	$2,886	6,203	$ 0.47	$(1,862)	6,188	$ (0.30)

9

	For the three months ended June 30,					
	2013			2012		
(in thousands, except for per share amount)	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share:						
Net income	$1,842			$1,649		
Preferred stock dividends deferred	(424)			(415)		
Discount accretion on preferred stock	(17)			(16)		
Net income available to common shareholders	$1,401	6,207	$ 0.23	$1,218	6,194	$ 0.20

Note 3 – Net Gains/(Losses)

The following table summarizes the gain/(loss) activity for the six- and three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Six months ended June 30,		Three Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net gains/(losses) – other:				
Available-for-sale securities:				
Realized gains	$ 412	$ 663	$ 0	$ 0
Realized losses	(185)	(64)	(23)	0
Gain on sale of consumer loans	131	59	52	39
Gain on sale of insurance assets	0	88	0	0
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	(2)	(66)	(2)	(62)
Net gains – other	$ 356	$ 680	$ 27	$ (23)

Note 4 – Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and due from banks, which represents vault cash in the retail offices and invested cash balances at the Federal Reserve, is carried at fair value.

(in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Cash and due from banks, weighted average interest rate of 0.20% (at June 30, 2013)	$28,160	$ 71,290

Interest bearing deposits in banks, which represent funds invested at a correspondent bank, are carried at fair value and, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, consisted of daily funds invested at the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Atlanta, First Tennessee Bank ("FTN"), Merchants and Traders ("M&T") and Community Bankers Bank ("CBB").

(in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
FHLB daily investments, interest rate of 0.005% (at June 30, 2013)	$5,430	$ 3,306
FTN daily investments, interest rate of 0.07% (at June 30, 2013)	1,350	1,350
M&T daily investments, interest rate of 0.22% (at June 30, 2013)	6,044	6,037
CBB Fed Funds sold, interest rate of 0.21% (at June 30, 2013)	1,085	1,085
	$13,909	$ 11,778

Note 5 – Investments

The investment portfolio is classified and accounted for based on the guidance of ASC Topic 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*.

The amortized cost of debt securities classified as available-for-sale is adjusted for the amortization of premiums to the first call date, if applicable, or to maturity, and for the accretion of discounts to maturity, or, in the case of mortgage-backed securities, over the estimated life of the security. Such amortization and accretion is included in interest income from investments. Interest and dividends are included in interest income from investments. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded using the specific identification method.

The following table shows a comparison of amortized cost and fair values of investment securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	OTTI in AOCI
June 30, 2013					
Available for Sale:					
U.S. government agencies	$ 94,703	$ 8	$ 3,577	$ 91,134	$ 0
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	88,046	382	3,741	84,687	0
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	35,903	0	675	35,228	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	19,629	156	260	19,525	0
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	58,410	1,480	813	59,077	0
Collateralized debt obligations	37,045	151	22,166	15,030	14,524
Total available for sale	$ 333,736	$ 2,177	$ 31,232	$ 304,681	$ 14,524
Held to Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	$ 3,900	$ 365	$ 352	$ 3,913	$ 0
December 31, 2012					
Available for Sale:					
U.S. government agencies	$ 40,334	$ 97	$ 111	$ 40,320	$ 0
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	43,596	703	191	44,108	0
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	37,330	288	0	37,618	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	31,836	188	293	31,731	0
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	55,212	2,842	0	58,054	0
Collateralized debt obligations	36,798	0	25,356	11,442	16,876
Total available for sale	$ 245,106	$ 4,118	$ 25,951	$ 223,273	$ 16,876
Held to Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	$ 4,040	$ 542	$ 235	$ 4,347	$ 0

Proceeds from sales of securities and the realized gains and losses are as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Three Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Proceeds	$35,136	$10,454	$ 0	$ 0
Realized gains	412	663	0	0
Realized losses	185	64	23	0

12

The following table shows the Corporation’s securities with gross unrealized losses and fair values at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	
(in thousands)	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	
June 30, 2013					
Available for Sale:					
U.S. government agencies	$ 80,137	$ 3,577	$ 0	$ 0	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	75,897	3,741	0	0	
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	33,702	675	0	0	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	8,750	238	3,518	22	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	17,157	813	0	0	
Collateralized debt obligations	0	0	14,604	22,166	
Total available for sale	$ 215,643	$ 9,044	$ 18,122	$ 22,188	
Held to Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	$ 2,508	$ 352	$ 0	$ 0	
December 31, 2012					
Available for Sale:					
U.S. government agencies	$ 18,220	$ 111	$ 0	$ 0	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	22,407	191	0	0	
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	0	0	0	0	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	16,576	293	450	0	*
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	0	0	0	0	
Collateralized debt obligations	0	0	11,442	25,356	
Total available for sale	$ 57,203	$ 595	$ 11,892	$ 25,356	
Held to Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	$ 2,765	$ 235	$ 0	$ 0	

* - De minimis

Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Management assesses whether (a) the Corporation has the intent to sell a security being evaluated and (b) it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. If neither applies, then declines in the fair values of securities below their cost that are considered other-than-temporary declines are split into two components. The first is the loss attributable to declining credit quality. Credit losses are recognized in earnings as realized losses in the period in which the impairment determination is made. The second component consists of all other losses, which are recognized in other comprehensive loss. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area, (3) the historic and implied volatility of the fair value of the security, (4) changes in the rating of the security by a rating agency, (5) recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, (6) failure of the issuer of the

security to make scheduled interest or principal payments, and (7) the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future. Management also monitors cash flow projections for securities that are considered beneficial interests under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 325-40, *Investments – Other – Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets*, (ASC Section 325-40-35). Further discussion about the evaluation of securities for impairment can be found in Item 2 of Part I of this report under the heading "*Investment Securities*".

Management believes that the valuation of certain securities is a critical accounting policy that requires significant estimates in preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Management utilizes an independent third party to prepare both the impairment valuations and fair value determinations for its collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") portfolio consisting of pooled trust preferred securities. Based on management's review of the assumptions and results of the third-party review, it does not believe that there were any material differences in the valuations between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013.

U.S. Government Agencies - Thirteen U.S. government agencies have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of June 30, 2013. There were no U.S. government agencies in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

Residential Mortgage-Backed Agencies - Twenty-two residential mortgage-backed agencies have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of June 30, 2013. There were no residential mortgage-backed agency securities in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Agencies - Ten commercial mortgage-backed agencies have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of June 30, 2013. There were no commercial mortgage-backed agency securities in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations - One collateralized mortgage obligation security at June 30, 2013 was in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. There were two collateralized mortgage obligation securities in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. The securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

Obligations of State and Political Subdivisions - Seven securities have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. There are no securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. These investments are of investment grade as determined by the major rating agencies and management reviews the ratings

of the underlying issuers. Management believes that this portfolio is well-diversified throughout the United States, and all bonds continue to perform according to their contractual terms. The Corporation does not intend to sell these investments and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

Collateralized Debt Obligations - The $22.2 million in unrealized losses greater than 12 months at June 30, 2013 relates to 17 pooled trust preferred securities that are included in the CDO portfolio. See Note 8 for a discussion of the methodology used by management to determine the fair values of these securities. Based upon a review of credit quality and the cash flow tests performed by the independent third party, management determined that there were no securities that had credit-related non-cash OTTI charges during the first six months of 2013. The unrealized losses on the remaining securities in the portfolio are primarily attributable to continued depression in market interest rates, marketability, liquidity and the current economic environment.

The following tables present a cumulative roll-forward of the amount of non-cash OTTI charges related to credit losses which have been recognized in earnings for the trust preferred securities in the CDO portfolio held and not intended to be sold for the six- and three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance of credit-related OTTI at January 1	$ 13,959	$ 14,424
Additions for credit-related OTTI not previously recognized	0	0
Additional increases for credit-related OTTI previously recognized when there is no intent to sell and no requirement to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis	0	0
Decreases for previously recognized credit-related OTTI because there was an intent to sell	0	0
Reduction for increases in cash flows expected to be collected	(264)	(224)
Balance of credit-related OTTI at June 30	$ 13,695	$ 14,200

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance of credit-related OTTI at April 1	$ 13,834	$ 14,312
Additions for credit-related OTTI not previously recognized	0	0
Additional increases for credit-related OTTI previously recognized when there is no intent to sell and no requirement to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis	0	0
Decreases for previously recognized credit-related OTTI because there was an intent to sell	0	0
Reduction for increases in cash flows expected to be collected	(139)	(112)
Balance of credit-related OTTI at June 30	$ 13,695	$ 14,200

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities by contractual maturity at June 30, 2013 is shown in the following table. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	June 30, 2013	
	Amortized	Fair
(in thousands)	Cost	Value
Contractual Maturity		
Available for sale:		
Due after one year through five years	20,049	20,041
Due after five years through ten years	$73,227	$72,868
Due after ten years	96,882	72,332
	190,158	165,241
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	88,046	84,687
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	35,903	35,228

Collateralized mortgage obligations	19,629	19,525
	$333,736	$304,681
Held to Maturity:		
Due after ten years	$3,900	$3,913

15

Note 6 - Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

Restricted stock, which represents required investments in the common stock of the FHLB of Atlanta, Atlantic Central Bankers Bank ("ACBB") and CBB, is carried at cost and is considered a long-term investment.

Management evaluates the restricted stock for impairment in accordance with ASC Industry Topic 942, *Financial Services – Depository and Lending*, (ASC Section 942-325-35). Management's evaluation of potential impairment is based on management's assessment of the ultimate recoverability of the cost of the restricted stock rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability is influenced by criteria such as (a) the significance of the decline in net assets of the issuing bank as compared to the capital stock amount for that bank and the length of time this situation has persisted, (b) commitments by the issuing bank to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of that bank, and (c) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the issuing bank. Management has evaluated the restricted stock for impairment and believes that no impairment charge is necessary as of June 30, 2013.

The Corporation recognizes dividends on a cash basis. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, dividends of $96,734 were recognized in earnings. For the comparable period of 2012, dividends of $73,867 were recognized in earnings.

Note 7 – Loans and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
June 30, 2013						
Total loans	$ 285,984	$ 118,356	$ 63,844	$ 346,636	$ 27,030	$ 841,850
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 15,622	$ 20,594	$ 2,261	$ 5,182	$ 107	$ 43,766
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 270,362	$ 97,762	$ 61,583	$ 341,454	$ 26,923	$ 798,084
December 31, 2012						
Total loans	$ 298,851	$ 128,391	$ 69,013	$ 346,919	$ 31,655	$ 874,829

Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 15,941	$ 24,112	$ 3,449	$ 4,304	$ 36	$ 47,842
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 282,910	$ 104,279	$ 65,564	$ 342,615	$ 31,619	$ 826,987

The segments of the Bank's loan portfolio are disaggregated to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The commercial real estate ("CRE") loan segment is then segregated into two classes. Non-owner occupied CRE loans, which include loans secured by non-owner occupied, nonfarm, and nonresidential properties, generally have a greater risk profile than all other CRE loans, which include loans secured by farmland, multifamily structures and owner-occupied commercial structures. The acquisition and development ("A&D") loan segment is segregated into two classes. One-to-four family residential construction loans are generally made to individuals for the acquisition of and/or construction on a lot or lots on which a residential dwelling is to be built. All other A&D loans are generally made to developers or investors for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing residential or commercial structures. A&D loans have a higher risk profile because the ultimate buyer, once development is completed, is generally not known at the time of the loan is made. The commercial and industrial ("C&I") loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial customers. The residential mortgage loan segment is segregated into two classes. Amortizing term loans are primarily first lien loans. Home equity lines of credit are generally second lien loans. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans (direct and indirect) and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

Management uses a 10-point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized, and are aggregated as "Pass" rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. The portion of a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses that management believes is associated with a pending event that could trigger loss in the short-term will be classified in the Doubtful category. Any portion of a loan that has been charged off is placed in the Loss category.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Bank has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Bank's Commercial Loan Officers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in the commercial segments at origination and on an ongoing basis. The Bank's experienced Credit Quality and Loan Review Department performs an annual review of all commercial relationships of $500,000 or greater. Confirmation of the appropriate risk grade is included as part of the review process on an ongoing basis. The Credit Quality and Loan Review Department continually reviews and assesses loans within the portfolio. In addition, the Bank engages an external consultant to conduct loan reviews on at least an annual basis. Generally, the external consultant reviews commercial relationships greater than $750,000 and/or criticized relationships greater than $500,000. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard on a quarterly basis. Loans in the Special Mention and Substandard categories that are collectively evaluated for impairment are given separate consideration in the determination of the allowance.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful within the internal risk rating system as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
June 30, 2013					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	$109,273	$9,364	$30,036	$0	$148,673
All other CRE	107,258	8,733	21,320	0	137,311
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	8,584	0	5,115	0	13,699
All other A&D	75,486	1,899	27,272	0	104,657
Commercial and industrial	59,407	1,873	2,564	0	63,844
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	257,009	733	11,561	0	269,303
Residential mortgage - home equity	74,922	523	1,888	0	77,333
Consumer	26,781	19	230	0	27,030
Total	$718,720	$23,144	$99,986	$0	$841,850
December 31, 2012					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	$126,230	$6,464	$18,840	$0	$151,534
All other CRE	110,365	9,072	27,880	0	147,317
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	9,284	1,101	5,967	0	16,352
All other A&D	79,136	1,073	31,830	0	112,039
Commercial and industrial	60,234	2,029	6,750	0	69,013
Residential mortgage					

Residential mortgage - term	255,993	751	11,885	0	268,629
Residential mortgage - home equity	75,935	195	2,160	0	78,290
Consumer	31,376	22	257	0	31,655
Total	$748,553	$20,707	$ 105,569	$ 0	$874,829

17

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. A loan is considered to be past due when a payment has not been received for 30 days past its contractual due date. For all loan segments, the accrual of interest is discontinued when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. All non-accrual loans are considered to be impaired. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans are applied as a reduction of the loan principal balance. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. The Corporation's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans and non-accrual loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	Current	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days+ Past Due	Total Past Due and Accruing	Non-Accrual	Total Loans
June 30, 2013							
Commercial real estate							
Non owner-occupied	$ 143,956	$ 395	$ 213	$ 0	$ 608	$ 4,109	$ 148,673
All other CRE	135,008	259	0	0	259	2,044	137,311
Acquisition and development							
1-4 family residential construction	12,159	0	0	0	0	1,540	13,699
All other A&D	96,293	121	479	0	600	7,764	104,657
Commercial and industrial	63,635	44	22	2	68	141	63,844
Residential mortgage							
Residential mortgage - term	262,325	776	2,126	513	3,415	3,563	269,303
Residential mortgage - home equity	76,598	499	14	114	627	108	77,333
Consumer	26,204	508	192	18	718	108	27,030
Total	$ 816,178	$ 2,602	$ 3,046	$ 647	$ 6,295	$ 19,377	$ 841,850
December 31, 2012							
Commercial real estate							
Non owner-occupied	$ 146,796	$ 321	$ 64	$ 0	$ 385	$ 4,353	$ 151,534
All other CRE	143,108	2,368	0	0	2,368	1,841	147,317
Acquisition and development							
1-4 family residential construction	16,280	61	0	0	61	11	16,352
All other A&D	100,232	619	221	200	1,040	10,767	112,039
Commercial and industrial	68,228	580	29	0	609	176	69,013
Residential mortgage							
Residential mortgage - term	251,673	7,446	5,244	1,639	14,329	2,627	268,629
	77,224	583	130	249	962	104	78,290

Residential mortgage - home equity							
Consumer	30,434	800	327	58	1,185	36	31,655
Total	$833,975	$ 12,778	$ 6,015	$ 2,146	$ 20,939	$ 19,915	$ 874,829

Non-accrual loans which have been subject to a partial charge-off totaled $7.4 million as of June 30, 2013, compared to $6.7 million as of December 31, 2012.

An allowance for loan losses ("ALL") is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management's continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The Bank’s methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35, *Receivables-Overall-Subsequent Measurement*, for loans individually evaluated for impairment and ASC Subtopic 450-20, *Contingencies-Loss Contingencies*, for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Bank’s ALL.

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the ALL, segregated by the amount required for loans individually evaluated for impairment and the amount required for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
June 30, 2013						
Total ALL	$ 5,261	$ 4,875	$ 753	$ 4,304	$ 329	$15,522
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 35	$ 2,612	$ 0	$ 78	$ 0	$2,725
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 5,226	$ 2,263	$ 753	$ 4,226	$ 329	$12,797
December 31, 2012						
Total ALL	$ 5,206	$ 5,029	$ 906	$ 4,507	$ 399	$16,047
Individually evaluated for impairment	$ 126	$ 1,506	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	$1,632
Collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 5,080	$ 3,523	$ 906	$ 4,507	$ 399	$14,415

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment, if the loan (a) is greater than $500,000 or (b) is part of a relationship that is greater than $750,000 and is either (i) in nonaccrual status or (ii) risk-rated Substandard and greater than 60 days past due. Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower’s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. The Bank does not separately evaluate individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment, unless such loans are part of a larger relationship that is impaired; otherwise loans in these segments are considered impaired when they are classified as non-accrual.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance is necessary is measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan using one of three methods: (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (b) the loan's observable market price; or (c) the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. The method is selected on a loan-by-loan basis, with management primarily utilizing the fair value of collateral method. If the fair value of the collateral less selling costs method is utilized for collateral securing loans in the commercial segments, then an updated external appraisal is ordered on the collateral supporting the loan if the loan balance is greater than $500,000 and the existing appraisal is greater than 18 months old. If an appraisal is less than 12 months old (the age at which the internal appraisal grid begins) and if management believes that general market conditions in that geographic market have changed considerably, the property has deteriorated or perhaps lost an income stream, or a recent appraisal for a similar property indicates a significant change, then management may adjust the fair value indicated by the existing appraisal until a new appraisal is obtained. If the most recent appraisal is greater than 12 months old or if an updated appraisal has not been received and reviewed in time for the determination of estimated fair value at quarter (or year) end, then the estimated fair value of the collateral is determined by adjusting the existing appraisal by the appropriate percentage from an internally prepared appraisal discount grid. This grid considers the age of a third party appraisal and the geographic region where the collateral is located in order to discount an appraisal that is greater than 12 months old. The discount rates in the appraisal discount grid are updated quarterly to reflect the most current knowledge that management has available, including the results of current appraisals. If there is a delay in receiving an updated appraisal or if the appraisal is found to be deficient in our internal appraisal review process and re-ordered, then the Bank continues to use a discount factor from the appraisal discount grid based on the collateral location and current appraisal age in order to determine the estimated fair value. A specific allocation of the ALL is recorded if there is any deficiency in collateral value determined by comparing the estimated fair value to the recorded investment of the loan. When updated appraisals are received and reviewed, adjustments are made to the specific allocation as needed.

The evaluation of the need and amount of a specific allocation of the ALL and whether a loan can be removed from impairment status is made on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Impaired Loans with Specific Allowance		Impaired Loans with No Specific Allowance	Total Impaired Loans	
(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Related Allowances	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance
June 30, 2013					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	$ 132	$ 35	$ 4,902	$ 5,034	$ 7,664
All other CRE	0	0	10,588	10,588	10,892
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	3,219	669	8	3,227	3,315
All other A&D	5,805	1,943	11,562	17,367	21,841
Commercial and industrial	0	0	2,261	2,261	2,277
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	706	78	3,923	4,629	5,223
Residential mortgage – home equity	0	0	553	553	553
Consumer	0	0	107	107	107
Total impaired loans	$ 9,862	$ 2,725	$ 33,904	$ 43,766	$ 51,872
December 31, 2012					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	$ 0	$ 0	$ 5,309	$ 5,309	$ 7,929
All other CRE	1,019	126	9,613	10,632	10,785
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	2,052	471	10	2,062	2,062
All other A&D	5,410	1,035	16,640	22,050	26,232
Commercial and industrial	0	0	3,449	3,449	3,449
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	0	0	3,755	3,755	4,086
Residential mortgage – home equity	0	0	549	549	549
Consumer	0	0	36	36	36
Total impaired loans	$ 8,481	$ 1,632	$ 39,361	$ 47,842	$ 55,128

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These

historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors.

The classes described above, which are based on the Federal call code assigned to each loan, provide the starting point for the ALL analysis. Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity (full and partial charge-offs, net of full and partial recoveries) at the call code level. A historical charge-off factor is calculated utilizing a defined number of consecutive historical quarters. Consumer pools currently utilize a rolling 12 quarters, while Commercial pools currently utilize a rolling eight quarters.

“Pass” rated credits are segregated from “Criticized” credits for the application of qualitative factors. The un-criticized (“pass”) pools for commercial and residential real estate are further segmented based upon the geographic location of the underlying collateral. There are seven geographic regions utilized – six that represent the Bank’s lending footprint and a seventh for all out-of-market credits. Different economic environments and resultant credit risks exist in each region that are acknowledged in the assignment of qualitative factors. Loans in the criticized pools, which possess certain qualities or characteristics that may lead to collection and loss issues, are closely monitored by management and subject to additional qualitative factors.

Management supplements the historical charge-off factor with a number of additional qualitative factors that are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors, which are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources, are: (a) national and local economic trends and conditions; (b) levels of and trends in delinquency rates and non-accrual loans; (c) trends in volumes and terms of loans; (d) effects of changes in lending policies; (e) experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; (f) value of underlying collateral; and (g) concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL. Residential mortgage and consumer loans are charged off after they are 120 days contractually past due. All other loans are charged off based on an evaluation of the facts and circumstances of each individual loan. When the Bank believes that its ability to collect is solely dependent on the liquidation of the collateral, a full or partial charge-off is recorded promptly to bring the recorded investment to an amount that the Bank believes is supported by an ability to collect on the collateral. The circumstances that may impact the Bank’s decision to charge-off all or a portion of a loan include default or non-payment by the borrower, scheduled foreclosure actions, and/or prioritization of the Bank’s claim in bankruptcy. There may be circumstances where, due to pending events, the Bank will place a specific allocation of the ALL on a loan for which a partial charge-off has been previously recognized. This specific allocation may be either charged off or removed depending upon the outcome of the pending event. Full or partial charge-offs are not recovered until full principal and interest on the loan have been collected, even if a subsequent appraisal supports a higher value. Loans with partial charge-offs remain in non-accrual status. Both full and partial charge-offs reduce the recorded investment of the loan and the ALL and are considered to be charge-offs for purposes of all credit loss metrics and trends, including the historical rolling charge-off rates used in the determination of the ALL.

Activity in the ALL is presented for the six- and three-months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

(in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
ALL balance at January 1, 2013	$ 5,206	$ 5,029	$ 906	$ 4,507	$ 399	$ 16,047
Charge-offs	(184)	(262)	(1,004)	(256)	(275)	(1,981)
Recoveries	127	21	51	119	192	510
Provision	112	87	800	(66)	13	946
ALL balance at June 30, 2013	$ 5,261	$ 4,875	$ 753	$ 4,304	$ 329	$ 15,522
ALL balance at January 1, 2012	$ 6,218	$ 7,190	$ 2,190	$ 3,430	$ 452	$ 19,480
Charge-offs	(2,280)	(670)	(9,141)	(665)	(347)	(13,103)
Recoveries	58	403	332	123	241	1,157
Provision	1,860	(714)	7,479	569	42	9,236
ALL balance at June 30, 2012	$ 5,856	$ 6,209	$ 860	$ 3,457	$ 388	$ 16,770

(in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
ALL balance at April 1, 2013	$ 5,789	$ 4,760	$ 645	$ 4,483	$ 348	$16,025
Charge-offs	(184)	(258)	(128)	(101)	(132)	(803)
Recoveries	27	13	2	88	89	219
Provision	(371)	360	234	(166)	24	81
ALL balance at June 30, 2013	$ 5,261	$ 4,875	$ 753	$ 4,304	$ 329	$15,522
ALL balance at April 1, 2012	$ 6,635	$ 5,879	$ 929	$ 3,377	$ 393	$17,213
Charge-offs	(1,119)	(424)	(50)	(382)	(174)	(2,149)
Recoveries	58	391	2	24	119	594
Provision	282	363	(21)	438	50	1,112
ALL balance at June 30, 2012	$ 5,856	$ 6,209	$ 860	$ 3,457	$ 388	$16,770

The ALL is based on estimates, and actual losses may vary from current estimates. Management believes that the granularity of the homogeneous pools and the related historical loss ratios and other qualitative factors, as well as the consistency in the application of assumptions, result in an ALL that is representative of the risk found in the components of the portfolio at any given date.

The following tables present the average recorded investment in impaired loans by class and related interest income recognized for the periods indicated:

	Six months ended June 30, 2013			Six months ended June 30, 2012		
(in thousands)	Average investment	Interest income recognized on an accrual basis	Interest income recognized on a cash basis	Average investment	Interest income recognized on an accrual basis	Interest income recognized on a cash basis
Commercial real estate						
Non owner-occupied	$5,194	$ 23	$ 0	$8,170	$ 12	$ 0
All other CRE	10,657	178	46	8,110	134	0
Acquisition and development						
1-4 family residential construction	2,961	42	0	2,351	46	0
All other A&D	20,022	269	303	22,909	207	0
Commercial and industrial	3,042	66	0	7,022	72	0
Residential mortgage						
Residential mortgage - term	4,174	33	2	5,112	67	36
Residential mortgage – home equity	566	12	0	1,013	7	3
Consumer	86	0	0	47	0	0
Total	$46,702	$ 623	$ 351	$54,734	$ 545	$ 39

(in thousands)	Three months ended June 30, 2013 Average investment	Interest income recognized on an accrual basis	Interest income recognized on a cash basis	Three months ended June 30, 2012 Average investment	Interest income recognized on an accrual basis	Interest income recognized on a cash basis
Commercial real estate						
Non owner-occupied	$5,136	$ 11	$ 0	$7,467	$ 6	$ 0
All other CRE	10,670	89	0	8,483	54	0
Acquisition and development						
1-4 family residential construction	3,410	18	0	2,282	22	0
All other A&D	19,008	127	303	22,759	102	0
Commercial and industrial	2,838	31	0	4,009	38	0
Residential mortgage						
Residential mortgage - term	4,384	14	0	5,151	32	21
Residential mortgage – home equity	575	6	0	979	3	0
Consumer	112	0	0	60	0	0
Total	$46,133	$ 296	$ 303	$51,190	$ 257	$ 21

In the normal course of business, the Bank modifies loan terms for various reasons. These reasons may include as a retention strategy, remaining competitive in the current interest rate environment, and re-amortizing or extending a loan term to better match the loan's payment stream with the borrower's cash flows. A modified loan is considered to be a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") when the Bank has determined that the borrower is troubled (i.e. experiencing financial difficulties). The Bank evaluates the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt obligations in the foreseeable future without modification. To make this determination, the Bank performs a global financial review of the borrower and loan guarantors to assess their current ability to meet their financial obligations.

When the Bank restructures a loan to a troubled borrower, the loan terms (i.e., interest rate, payment amount, amortization period, and/or maturity date) are modified in such a way as to enable the borrower to cover the modified debt service payments based on current financials and cash flow adequacy. If a borrower's hardship is thought to be temporary, then modified terms are only offered for that time period. Where possible, the Bank obtains additional collateral and/or secondary payment sources at the time of the restructure in order to put the Bank in the best possible position if the borrower is not able to meet the modified terms. To date, the Bank has not forgiven any principal as a restructuring concession. The Bank will not offer modified terms if it believes that modifying the loan terms will only delay an inevitable permanent default.

All loans designated as TDRs are considered impaired loans and may be in either accruing or non-accruing status. The Corporation's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition. Accordingly, the accrual of interest is discontinued when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. If the loan was accruing at the time of the modification, then it continues to be in accruing status subsequent to the modification. Non-accrual TDRs may

return to accruing status when there has been sufficient payment performance for a period of at least six months. Loans may be removed from TDR status in the calendar year following the modification if the interest rate at the time of modification was consistent with the interest rate for a loan with comparable credit risk and the loan has performed according to its modified terms for at least six months.

The volume and type of TDR activity is considered in the assessment of the local economic trends' qualitative factor used in the determination of the ALL for loans that are evaluated collectively for impairment.

The following table presents the volume and recorded investment at the time of modification of TDRs by class and type of modification that occurred during the periods indicated:

	Temporary Rate Modification		Extension of Maturity		Modification of Payment and Other Terms	
(in thousands)	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Six months ended June 30, 2013						
Commercial real estate						
Non owner-occupied	0	$ 0	2	$ 268	0	$ 0
All other CRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acquisition and development						
1-4 family residential construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
All other A&D	0	0	4	567	0	0
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential mortgage						
Residential mortgage - term	1	172	0	0	0	0
Residential mortgage – home equity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	$ 172	6	$ 835	0	$ 0

	Temporary Rate Modification		Extension of Maturity		Modification of Payment and Other Terms	
(in thousands)	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Three months ended June 30, 2013						
Commercial real estate						
Non owner-occupied	0	$ 0	0	$ 0	0	$ 0
All other CRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acquisition and development						
1-4 family residential construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
All other A&D	0	0	2	315	0	0
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential mortgage						
Residential mortgage - term	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential mortgage – home equity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	$ 0	2	$ 315	0	$ 0

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, there were three new TDRs. In addition, four existing TDRs which had reached their original modification maturity were re-modified. An $11,266 reduction of the ALL resulted from the movement of the three new loans being evaluated collectively for impairment to being evaluated individually for

impairment. There was no impact to the recorded investment relating to the transfer of these loans. There was one new TDR with a temporary rate modification during the six months ended June 30, 2012 for which there was no impact to the recorded investment and a $4,300 reduction of the ALL resulting from the movement of the loan being evaluated collectively for impairment to being evaluated individually for impairment. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were two non-performing A&D loans totaling $.4 million that were transferred to OREO due to payment defaults. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no receivables modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the periods indicated.

Note 8 – Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Corporation complies with the guidance of ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. The Corporation also follows the guidance on matters relating to all financial instruments found in ASC Subtopic 825-10, *Financial Instruments – Overall*.

Fair value is defined as the price to sell an asset or to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing market participants as of the measurement date. Fair value is best determined by values quoted through active trading markets. Active trading markets are characterized by numerous transactions of similar financial instruments between willing buyers and willing sellers. Because no active trading market exists for various types of financial instruments, many of the fair values disclosed were derived using present value discounted cash flows or other valuation techniques described below. As a result, the Corporation's ability to actually realize these derived values cannot be assumed.

The Corporation measures fair values based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC Paragraph 820-10-35-37. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value under the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets and liabilities. This level is the most reliable source of valuation.

Level 2: Quoted prices that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates and yield curves at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks, and default rates). It also includes inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs). Several sources are utilized for valuing these assets, including a contracted valuation service, Standard & Poor's ("S&P") evaluations and pricing services, and other valuation matrices.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the valuation assumptions and not readily observable in the market (i.e. supported with little or no market activity). Level 3 instruments are valued based on the best available data, some of which is internally developed, and consider risk premiums that a market participant would require.

The level established within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Corporation believes that its valuation techniques are appropriate and consistent with the techniques used by other market participants. However, the use of different methodologies and assumptions could result in a different estimate of fair values at the reporting date. The valuation techniques used by the Corporation to measure, on a recurring and non-recurring basis, the fair value of assets as of June 30, 2013 are discussed in the paragraphs that follow.

Investments – The investment portfolio is classified and accounted for based on the guidance of ASC Topic 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*.

The fair value of investments available-for-sale is determined using a market approach. As of June 30, 2013, the U.S. Government agencies, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, and municipal bonds segments are classified as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy. Their fair values were determined based upon market-corroborated inputs and valuation matrices, which were obtained through third party data service providers or securities brokers through which the Corporation has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities.

The CDO segment, which consists of pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks, thrifts and insurance companies, is classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. At June 30, 2013, the Corporation owned 18 pooled trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of $37.0 million and a fair value of $15.0 million. The market for these securities at June 30, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which these securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive, as few CDOs have been issued since 2007. There are currently very few market participants who are willing to effect transactions in these securities. The market values for these securities or any securities other than those issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") are depressed relative to historical levels. Therefore, in the current market, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of stress in the credit markets in general rather than being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issue. Given the conditions in the current debt markets and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, management has determined that (a) the few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for the purpose of obtaining fair value at June 30, 2013, (b) an income valuation approach technique (i.e. present value) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than a market approach, and (c) the CDO segment is appropriately classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because management determined that significant adjustments were required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

Management utilizes an independent third party to prepare both the evaluations of OTTI as well as the fair value determinations for its CDO portfolio. Management does not believe that there were any material differences in the OTTI evaluations and pricing between June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The approach used by the third party to determine fair value involves several steps, including detailed credit and structural evaluation of each piece of collateral in each bond, default, recovery and prepayment/amortization probabilities for each piece of collateral in the bond, and discounted cash flow modeling. The discount rate methodology used by the third party combines a baseline current market yield for comparable corporate and structured credit products with adjustments based on evaluations of the differences found in structure and risks associated with actual and projected credit performance of each CDO being valued. Currently, the only active and liquid trading market that exists is for stand-alone trust preferred securities. Therefore, adjustments to the baseline discount rate are also made to reflect the additional leverage found in structured instruments.

Derivative financial instruments (Cash flow hedge) – The Corporation's open derivative positions are interest rate swaps that are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Open derivative positions are valued using externally developed pricing models based on observable market inputs provided by a third party and validated by management. The Corporation has considered counterparty credit risk in the valuation of its interest rate swap assets.

Impaired loans – Loans included in the table below are those that are considered impaired with a specific allocation or with a partial charge-off, based upon the guidance of the loan impairment subsection of the *Receivables* Topic, ASC Section 310-10-35, under which the Corporation has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value consists of the loan balance less its valuation allowance and is generally determined based on independent third-party appraisals of the collateral or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

Other real estate owned – Other real estate owned included in the table below are considered impaired with specific write-downs. Fair value of other real estate owned is based on independent third-party appraisals of the properties. These values were determined based on the sales prices of similar properties in the approximate geographic area. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2013, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows:

	Fair Value at June 30, 2013	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Input Value
Recurring:				
Investment Securities – available for sale	$ 15,030	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	Swap+17%; Range of Libor+ 6.25% to 18%
Cash Flow Hedge	$ (619)	Discounted Cash Flow	Reuters Third Party Market Quote	99.9% (weighted avg 99.9%)
Non-recurring:				
Impaired Loans	$ 14,550	Market Comparable Properties	Marketability Discount	10% (1) (weighted avg 10%)
OREO	$ 111	Market Comparable Properties	Marketability Discount	10% (1) (weighted avg 10%)

(1) Range would include discounts taken since appraisal and estimated values

For assets measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

		Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2013 Using (In Thousands)		
	Assets Measured at Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	**Significant Other Observable Inputs**	**Significant Unobservable Inputs**
Description	**06/30/2013**	(Level 1)	**(Level 2)**	**(Level 3)**
Recurring:				

Investment securities available-for-sale:			
U.S. government agencies	$ 91,134	$ 91,134	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	$ 84,687	$ 84,687	
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	$ 35,228	$ 35,228	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	$ 19,525	$ 19,525	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	$ 59,077	$ 59,077	
Collateralized debt obligations	$ 15,030		$ 15,030
Financial Derivative	$ (619)		$ (619)
Non-recurring:			
Impaired loans	$ 14,550		$ 14,550
Other real estate owned	$ 111		$ 111

Description	Assets Measured at Fair Value 12/31/2012	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 Using (In Thousands) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Recurring:				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. government agencies	$ 40,320		$ 40,320	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	$ 44,108		$ 44,108	
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	$ 37,618		$ 37,618	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	$ 31,731		$ 31,731	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	$ 58,054		$ 58,054	
Collateralized debt obligations	$ 11,442			$ 11,442
Financial Derivative	$ (849)			$ (849)
Non-recurring:				
Impaired loans	$ 13,560			$ 13,560
Other real estate owned	$ 3,165			$ 3,165

There were no transfers of assets between any of the fair value hierarchy for the six months ended June 30, 2013 or June 30, 2012.

The following tables show a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair valued assets measured on a recurring basis using Level 3 significant unobservable inputs for the six- and three-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Fair Value Measurement Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands)	
	Investment Securities Available for Sale	Cash Flow Hedge
Beginning balance January 1, 2013	$ 11,442	$ (849)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:		
Included in other comprehensive income	3,588	230
Ending balance June 30, 2013	$ 15,030	$ (619)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	$ 0	$ 0

	Fair Value Measurement Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands)	
	Investment Securities Available for Sale	Cash Flow Hedge
Beginning balance April 1, 2013	$ 13,798	$ (747)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:		
Included in other comprehensive income	1,232	128
Ending balance June 30, 2013	$ 15,030	$ (619)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	$ 0	$ 0

	Fair Value Measurement Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands)	
	Investment Securities Available for Sale	Cash Flow Hedge
Beginning balance January 1, 2012	$ 9,447	$ (1,034)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:		
Included in other comprehensive income	(108)	79
Ending balance June 30, 2012	$ 9,339	$ (955)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	$ 0	$ 0

	Fair Value Measurement Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands)	
	Investment Securities Available for Sale	Cash Flow Hedge
Beginning balance April 1, 2012	$ 9,954	$ (988)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:		
Included in other comprehensive income	(615)	33
Ending balance June 30, 2012	$ 9,339	$ (955)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	$ 0	$ 0

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings for the periods above are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in Other Operating Income.

The disclosed fair values may vary significantly between institutions based on the estimates and assumptions used in the various valuation methodologies. The derived fair values are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and significant judgment. Therefore, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in the assumptions could significantly impact the derived estimates of fair value. Disclosure of non-financial assets such as buildings as well as certain financial instruments such as leases is not required. Accordingly, the aggregate fair values presented do not represent the underlying value of the Corporation.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation to estimate its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and due from banks: The carrying amounts as reported in the statement of financial condition for cash and due from banks approximate their fair values.

Interest bearing deposits in banks: The carrying amount of interest bearing deposits approximates their fair values.

Securities held to maturity: Investments in debt securities classified as held to maturity are measured subsequently at amortized cost in the statement of financial position. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

Restricted Investment in Bank stock: The carrying value of stock issued by the FHLB of Atlanta, ACBB and CBB approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of the stock.

Loans (excluding impaired loans with specific loss allowances): For variable-rate loans that re-price frequently or "in one year or less", and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed-rate loans that do not re-price frequently are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current market interest rates being offered on the various loan products.

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, savings, and certain types of money market accounts, etc.) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on the various certificates of deposit to the cash flow stream.

Borrowed funds: The fair value of the Bank's FHLB borrowings and junior subordinated debt is calculated based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows, using rates currently existing for borrowings with similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate their fair values.

Accrued Interest: The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates their fair values.

Off-Balance-Sheet Financial Instruments: In the normal course of business, the Bank makes commitments to extend credit and issues standby letters of credit. The Bank expects most of these commitments to expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Due to the uncertainty of cash flows and difficulty in the predicting the timing of such cash flows, fair values were not estimated for these instruments.

The following tables present fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The actual carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments that are included in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition are as follows:

	June 30, 2013		Fair Value Measurements		
	Carrying	Fair	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
(in thousands)	Amount	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Financial Assets:					
Cash and due from banks	$28,160	$28,160	$28,160		
Interest bearing deposits in banks	13,909	13,909	13,909		
Investment securities – AFS	304,681	304,681		$ 289,651	$ 15,030
Investment securities – HTM	3,900	3,913			3,913
Restricted Bank stock	7,853	7,853		7,853	
Loans, net	826,328	831,579			831,579
Accrued interest receivable	4,496	4,496		4,496	
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits – non-maturity	611,914	611,914		611,914	
Deposits – time deposits	360,745	368,674		368,674	
Short-term borrowed funds	50,954	50,954		50,954	
Long-term borrowed funds	182,704	189,834		189,834	
Accrued interest payable	6,439	6,439		6,439	
Financial derivative	619	619			619
Off balance sheet financial instruments	0	0	0		

(in thousands)	December 31, 2012 Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Financial Assets:					
Cash and due from banks	$71,290	$71,290	$71,290		
Interest bearing deposits in banks	11,778	11,778	11,778		
Investment securities - AFS	223,273	223,273		$ 211,831	$ 11,442
Investment securities - HTM	4,040	4,347			4,347
Restricted Bank stock	8,349	8,349		8,349	
Loans, net	858,782	865,405			865,405
Accrued interest receivable	4,494	4,494		4,494	
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits- non-maturity	593,224	593,224		593,224	
Deposits- time deposits	383,660	392,155		392,155	
Short-term borrowed funds	39,257	39,257		39,257	
Long-term borrowed funds	182,735	190,531		190,531	
Accrued interest payable	5,415	5,415		5,415	
Financial derivative	849	849			849
Off balance sheet financial instruments	0	0	0		

Loans are measured using a discounted cash flow method. The significant unobservable inputs used in the Level 3 fair value measurements of the Corporation’s loans included in the tables above are calculated based on the Corporation’s internal new volume rate.

Note 9 – Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table presents the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the 12 months ended December 31, 2012 the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the three months ended June 30, 2013:

(in thousands)	Investment securities- with OTTI	Investment securities- all other	Cash Flow Hedge	Pension Plan	SERP	Total
Accumulated OCL, net:						
Balance - January 1, 2012	$ (10,572)	$ (2,633)	$ (616)	$ (6,945)	$ (196)	$ (20,962)
Net gain/(loss) during period	536	(333)	109	(1,317)	144	(861)
Balance - December 31, 2012	$ (10,036)	$ (2,966)	$ (507)	$ (8,262)	$ (52)	$ (21,823)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) before reclassifications	1,070	(116)	60	639	0	1,653
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(75)	(149)	0	76	4	(144)
Balance – March 31, 2013	$ (9,041)	$ (3,231)	$ (447)	$ (7,547)	$ (48)	$ (20,314)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) before reclassifications	497	(5,477)	78	(700)	0	(5,602)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(84)	13	0	76	3	8
Balance – June 30, 2013	$ (8,628)	$ (8,695)	$ (369)	$ (8,171)	$ (45)	$ (25,908)

The following table presents the components of comprehensive income for the six- and three- months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Components of Comprehensive Income (in thousands)	Before Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net
For the six months ended June 30, 2013			
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:			
Unrealized holding gains	$ 2,618	$ (1,051)	$ 1,567
Less: accretable yield recognized in income	265	(106)	159
Net unrealized gains on investments with OTTI	2,353	(945)	1,408
Available for sale securities – all other:			
Unrealized holding losses	(9,348)	3,755	(5,593)
Less: gains recognized in income	227	(91)	136
Net unrealized losses on all other AFS securities	(9,575)	3,846	(5,729)
Cash flow hedges:			
Unrealized holding gains	230	(92)	138
Pension Plan:			
Unrealized net actuarial loss	(100)	39	(61)
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss	(266)	106	(160)
Less: amortization of transition asset	20	(8)	12
Less: amortization of prior service costs	(6)	2	(4)
Net pension plan liability adjustment	152	(61)	91
SERP:			
Unrealized net actuarial loss	0	0	0
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss	(2)	1	(1)
Less: amortization of prior service costs	(10)	4	(6)
Net SERP liability adjustment	12	(5)	7
Other comprehensive loss	$ (6,828)	$ 2,743	$ (4,085)
For the six months ended June 30, 2012			
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:			
Unrealized holding gains	$ 181	$ (73)	$ 108
Less: accretable yield recognized in income	224	(90)	134
Net unrealized gains on investments with OTTI	(43)	17	(26)
Available for sale securities – all other:			
Unrealized holding gains	975	(393)	582
Less: gains recognized in income	599	(242)	357
Net unrealized gains on all other AFS securities	376	(151)	225
Cash flow hedges:			
Unrealized holding gains	79	(32)	47

Other comprehensive income	$ 412	$ (166)	$ 246

35

Components of Comprehensive Income (in thousands)	Before Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net
For the three months ended June 30, 2013			
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:			
Unrealized holding gains	$ 830	$ (333)	$ 497
Less: accretable yield recognized in income	139	(55)	84
Net unrealized gains on investments with OTTI	691	(278)	413
Available for sale securities – all other:			
Unrealized holding losses	(9,154)	3,677	(5,477)
Less: gains recognized in income	(23)	10	(13)
Net unrealized losses on all other AFS securities	(9,131)	3,667	(5,464)
Cash flow hedges:			
Unrealized holding gains	129	(51)	78
Pension Plan:			
Unrealized net actuarial loss	(1,169)	469	(700)
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss	(133)	53	(80)
Less: amortization of transition asset	10	(4)	6
Less: amortization of prior service costs	(3)	1	(2)
Net pension plan liability adjustment	(1,043)	419	(624)
SERP:			
Unrealized net actuarial loss	0	0	0
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss	(1)	0	(1)
Less: amortization of prior service costs	(5)	3	(2)
Net SERP liability adjustment	6	(3)	3
Other comprehensive loss	$ (9,348)	$ 3,754	$ (5,594)
For the three months ended June 30, 2012			
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:			
Unrealized holding gains	$ (259)	$ 105	$ (154)
Less: accretable yield recognized in income	112	(45)	67
Net unrealized losses on investments with OTTI	(371)	150	(221)
Available for sale securities – all other:			
Unrealized holding gains	582	(236)	346
Less: gains recognized in income	0	0	0
Net unrealized gains on all other AFS securities	582	(236)	346
Cash flow hedges:			
Unrealized holding gains	33	(13)	20
Other comprehensive income	$ 244	$ (99)	$ 145

The following table presents the details of accumulated other comprehensive income components for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013:

Details of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components (in thousands)	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented
	For the six months ended June 30, 2013	For the three months ended June 30, 2013	
Unrealized gains and losses on investment securities with OTTI:			
Accretable Yield	$ 264	$ 139	Interest income on taxable investment securities
Taxes	(105)	(55)	Tax expense
	$ 159	$ 84	Net of tax
Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale investment securities - all others:			
Gains/(losses) on sales	$ 227	$ (23)	Net gains - other
Taxes	(91)	10	(Tax expense)/benefit
	$ 136	$ (13)	Net of tax
Net pension plan liability adjustment:			
Amortization of unrecognized loss	(266)	(133)	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization of transition asset	20	10	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization of prior service costs	(6)	(3)	Salaries and employee benefits
Taxes	100	50	Tax benefit
	$ (152)	$ (76)	
Net SERP liability adjustment:			
Amortization of unrecognized loss	(2)	(1)	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization of prior service costs	(10)	(5)	Salaries and employee benefits
Taxes	5	3	Tax benefit
	$ (7)	$ (3)	
Total reclassifications for the period	$ 136	$ (8)	Net of tax

Note 10 – Junior Subordinated Debentures and Restrictions on Dividends

First United Corporation is the parent company to three statutory trust subsidiaries - First United Statutory Trust I and First United Statutory Trust II, both of which are Connecticut statutory trusts ("Trust I" and "Trust II", respectively), and First United Statutory Trust III, a Delaware statutory trust ("Trust III" and, together with Trust I and Trust II, the "Trusts"). The Trusts were formed for the purposes of selling preferred securities to investors and using the proceeds to purchase junior subordinated debentures from First United Corporation ("TPS Debentures") that would qualify as regulatory capital.

In March 2004, Trust I and Trust II issued preferred securities with an aggregate liquidation amount of $30.0 million to third-party investors and issued common equity with an aggregate liquidation amount of $.9 million to First United Corporation. Trust I and Trust II used the proceeds of these offerings to purchase an equal amount of TPS Debentures, as follows:

$20.6 million—floating rate payable quarterly based on three-month LIBOR plus 275 basis points (3.02% at June 30, 2013), maturing in 2034, became redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

$10.3 million—floating rate payable quarterly based on three-month LIBOR plus 275 basis points (3.02% at June 30, 2013) maturing in 2034, became redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In December 2004, First United Corporation issued $5.0 million of junior subordinated debentures to third-party investors that were not tied to preferred securities. The debentures had a fixed rate of 5.88% for the first five years, payable quarterly, and converted to a floating rate in March 2010 based on the three month LIBOR plus 185 basis points (2.12% at June 30, 2013). The debentures mature in 2015, but became redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In December 2009, Trust III issued 9.875% fixed-rate preferred securities with an aggregate liquidation amount of approximately $7.0 million to private investors and issued common securities to First United Corporation with an aggregate liquidation amount of approximately $.2 million. Trust III used the proceeds of the offering to purchase approximately $7.2 million of 9.875% fixed-rate TPS Debentures. Interest on these TPS Debentures are payable quarterly, and the TPS Debentures mature in 2040 but are redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In January 2010, Trust III issued an additional $3.5 million of 9.875% fixed-rate preferred securities to private investors and issued common securities to First United Corporation with an aggregate liquidation amount of $.1 million. Trust III used the proceeds of the offering to purchase $3.6 million of 9.875% fixed-rate TPS Debentures. Interest on these TPS Debentures is payable quarterly, and the TPS Debentures mature in 2040 but are redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

The TPS Debentures issued to each of the Trusts represent the sole assets of that Trust, and payments of the TPS Debentures by First United Corporation are the only sources of cash flow for the Trust. First United Corporation has the right, without triggering a default, to defer interest on all of the TPS Debentures for up to 20 quarterly periods, in which case distributions on the preferred securities will also be deferred. Should this occur, the Corporation may not pay dividends or distributions on, or repurchase, redeem or acquire any shares of its capital stock.

At the request of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (the "Reserve Bank"), First United Corporation elected to defer quarterly interest payments under its TPS Debentures beginning with the payments that were due in March 2011. As of June 30, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect. Cumulative deferred interest on all TPS Debentures was approximately $5.5 million, which must be paid in full when First United Corporation terminates the deferral of interest payments. Management cannot predict when the deferral will be terminated. First United Corporation's ability

to resume quarterly interest payments will depend primarily on our earnings in future periods.

Interest payments on the $5.0 million junior subordinated debentures that were issued outside of trust preferred securities offerings cannot be, and have not been, deferred.

The terms of First United Corporation's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A ("Series A Preferred Stock") call for the payment, if declared by the Board of Directors of First United Corporation, of cash dividends on February 15th, May 15th, August 15th and November 15th of each year. On November 15, 2010, at the request of the Reserve Bank, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation voted to suspend quarterly cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock beginning with the dividend payment due November 15, 2010. Dividends of $.4 million per dividend period continue to accrue, and First United Corporation will be required to pay all accrued and unpaid dividends if and when the Board of Directors declares the next quarterly cash dividend. Cumulative deferred dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock was approximately $4.4 million as of June 30, 2013. Management cannot predict whether or when First United Corporation will resume the payment of quarterly dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. First United Corporation's ability to pay cash dividends in the future will depend primarily on our earnings in future periods.

In December 2010, in connection with the above-mentioned deferral of dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation voted to suspend the payment of quarterly cash dividends on the common stock starting in 2011.

Note 11 – Borrowed Funds

The following is a summary of short-term borrowings with original maturities of less than one year:

(Dollars in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			Year Ended December 31, 2012		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase:						
Outstanding at end of period	$	50,954		$	39,257	
Weighted average interest rate at end of period		0.15	%		0.34	%
Maximum amount outstanding as of any month end	$	54,054		$	52,367	
Average amount outstanding	$	44,012		$	38,812	
Approximate weighted average rate during the period		0.15	%		0.34	%

At June 30, 2013, the repurchase agreements were secured by $64.9 million in available-for-sale investment securities.

The following is a summary of long-term borrowings with original maturities exceeding one year:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
FHLB advances, bearing fixed interest at rates ranging from 1.00% to 3.69% at June 30, 2013	$135,974	$ 136,005
Junior subordinated debt, bearing variable interest rates ranging from 2.12% to 3.02% at June 30, 2013	35,929	35,929
Junior subordinated debt, bearing fixed interest rate of 9.88% at June 30, 2013	10,801	10,801
Total long-term debt	$182,704	$ 182,735

At June 30, 2013, the long-term FHLB advances were secured by $151.2 million in loans and $ 2.0 million in investment securities.

The contractual maturities of all long-term borrowings are as follows:

June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012

(In thousands)	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate	Total	Total
Due in 2013	$0	$0	$0	$ 0
Due in 2014	0	0	0	0
Due in 2015	30,000	5,000	35,000	35,000
Due in 2016	0	0	0	0
Due in 2017	0	0	0	0
Due in 2018	70,000	0	70,000	70,000
Thereafter	46,775	30,929	77,704	77,735
Total long-term debt	$146,775	$35,929	$182,704	$ 182,735

Note 12 - Pension and SERP Plans

The following table presents the components of the net periodic pension plan cost for First United Corporation's Defined Benefit Pension Plan (the "Pension Plan") and the Bank's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") for the periods indicated:

Pension	For the six months ended June 30,		For the three months ended June 30,	
(In thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service cost	$ 114	$ 0	$ 57	$ 0
Interest cost	635	691	305	340
Expected return on assets	(1,188)	(1,107)	(594)	(554)
Amortization of transition asset	(20)	(20)	(10)	(10)
Recognized net actuarial loss	266	190	133	90
Amortization of prior service cost	6	6	3	3
Net pension credit included in employee benefits	$ (187)	$ (240)	$ (106)	$ (131)

SERP	For the six months ended June 30,		For the three months ended June 30,	
(In thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service cost	$ 60	$ 60	$ 30	$ 30
Interest cost	128	126	64	63
Amortization of recognized loss	2	6	1	3
Amortization of prior service cost	10	62	5	31
Net SERP expense included in employee benefits	$ 200	$ 254	$ 100	$ 127

Effective April 30, 2010, the Pension Plan was amended, resulting in a "soft freeze". The effects of the amendment were to prohibit new entrants into the plan and to cease crediting additional years of service after that date. Effective January 1, 2013, the plan was amended to unfreeze the plan for those employees for whom the sum of (a) their ages, at their closest birthday, plus (b) years of service for vesting purposes equal 80 or greater. The "soft freeze" continues to apply to all other plan participants. Pension benefits for these participants will be managed through discretionary contributions to the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan. The Corporation anticipates that the plan changes will have a minimal impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The Corporation will assess the need for future annual contributions to the pension plan based upon its funded status and an evaluation of the future benefits to be provided thereunder. The Corporation expects to fund the annual projected benefit payments for the SERP from operations.

Note 13 - Equity Compensation Plan Information

At the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, First United Corporation's shareholders approved the First United Corporation Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan (the "Omnibus Plan"), which authorizes the issuance of up to 185,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock units, performance units, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards to employees or directors.

On June 18, 2008, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation adopted a Long-Term Incentive Program (the "LTIP"). This program was adopted as a sub-plan of the Omnibus Plan to reward participants for increasing shareholder value, align executive interests with those of shareholders, and serve as a retention tool for key executives. Under the LTIP, participants are granted shares of restricted common stock of First United Corporation. The amount of an award is based on a specified percentage of the participant's salary as of the date of grant. These shares will vest if the Corporation meets or exceeds certain performance thresholds. There were no grants of restricted stock outstanding at June 30, 2013.

The Corporation complies with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, in measuring and disclosing stock compensation cost. The measurement objective in ASC Paragraph 718-10-30-6 requires public companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. The cost is recognized in expense over the period in which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (the vesting period). The performance-related shares granted in connection with the LTIP are expensed ratably from the date that the likelihood of meeting the performance measures is probable through the end of a three year vesting period.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "Recovery Act") imposes restrictions on the type and timing of bonuses and incentive compensation that may be accrued for or paid to certain employees of institutions like First United Corporation that participated in Treasury's Capital Purchase Program. The Recovery Act generally limits bonuses and incentive compensation to grants of long-term restricted stock that, among other requirements, cannot fully vest until the Capital Purchase Program assistance is repaid.

Stock-based awards were made to non-employee directors in May 2013 pursuant to First United Corporation's director compensation policy. Five thousand dollars of each director's annual retainer is paid in shares of stock, with the remainder paid in cash. Beginning in 2011, each non-employee director was given the option to receive the remainder of his or her retainer, or any portion thereof, in shares of stock. A total of 11,304 fully-vested shares of common stock were issued to directors in 2013, which had a fair market value of $7.96 per share. Director stock compensation expense was $43,311 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and $31,217 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Stock compensation expense was $21,660 and $18,420 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 14 – Letters of Credit and Off Balance Sheet Liabilities

The Corporation does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure other than the standby letters of credit issued by the Bank. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, the Bank's letters of credit are issued with expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting these commitments. The Bank had $1.2 million of outstanding standby letters of credit at June 30, 2013 and $1.3 million as of December 31, 2012. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payment required by the letters of credit. Management does not believe that the amount of the liability associated with guarantees under standby letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is material.

Note 15 – Derivative Financial Instruments

As a part of managing interest rate risk, the Bank entered into interest rate swap agreements to modify the re-pricing characteristics of certain interest-bearing liabilities. The Corporation has designated these interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 815-30, *Derivatives and Hedging – Cash Flow Hedges*. Cash flow hedges have the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative, net of taxes, recorded in net accumulated other comprehensive income.

In July 2009, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts totaling $20.0 million notional amount, hedging future cash flows associated with floating rate trust preferred debt. As of June 30, 2013, swap contracts totaling $15.0 million notional amount remained, as the three-year $5.0 million contract matured on June 15, 2012. The five-year $10 million contract matures June 17, 2014 and the seven-year $5 million contract matures June 17, 2016. The fair value of the interest rate swap contracts was ($619) thousand at June 30, 2013 and ($849) thousand at December 31, 2012 and was reported in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. Cash in the amount of $1.4 million was posted as collateral as of June 30, 2013.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Corporation recorded an increase in the value of the derivatives of $230 thousand and the related deferred tax benefit of $92 thousand in net accumulated other comprehensive loss to reflect the effective portion of cash flow hedges. ASC Subtopic 815-30 requires this amount to be reclassified to earnings if the hedge becomes ineffective or is terminated. There was no hedge ineffectiveness recorded for the six months ending June 30, 2013. The Corporation does not expect any losses relating to these hedges to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

Interest rate swap agreements are entered into with counterparties that meet established credit standards and the Corporation believes that the credit risk inherent in these contracts is not significant as of June 30, 2013.

The table below discloses the impact of derivative financial instruments on the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements for the six- and three- months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Derivative in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships (In thousands)	Amount of gain recognized in OCI on derivative (effective portion)	Amount of gain or (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) [a]	Amount of gain or (loss) recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) [b]
Interest rate contracts:			
Six months ended:			
June 30, 2013	$ 138	$ 0	$ 0
June 30, 2012	47	0	0
Three months ended:			
June 30, 2013	$ 78	$ 0	$ 0
June 30, 2012	20	0	0

Notes:

(a) Reported as interest expense
(b) Reported as other income

Note 16 – Variable Interest Entities (VIE)

As noted in Note 10, First United Corporation created the Trusts for the purposes of raising regulatory capital through the sale of mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities to third party investors and common equity interests to First United Corporation. The Trusts are considered Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs"), but are not consolidated because First United Corporation is not the primary beneficiary of the Trusts. At March 31, 2013, the Corporation reported all of the $41.7 million of TPS Debentures issued in connection with these offerings as long-term borrowings (along with the $5.0 million of stand-alone junior subordinated debentures), and it reported its $1.3 million equity interest in the Trusts as "Other Assets".

In November 2009, the Bank became a 99.99% limited partner in Liberty Mews Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"), a Maryland limited partnership formed for the purpose of acquiring, developing and operating low-income housing units in Garrett County, Maryland. The Partnership was financed with a total of $10.6 million of funding, including a $6.1 million equity contribution from the Bank as the limited partner. The Partnership used the proceeds from these sources to purchase the land and construct a 36-unit low income housing rental complex at a total cost of $10.6 million. The total assets of the Partnership were approximately $9.9 million at June 30, 2013 and $10.0 million at December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, the Bank had made contributions to the Partnership totaling $6.1 million. The project was completed in June 2011, and the Bank is entitled to $8.4 million in federal investment tax credits over a 10-year period as long as certain qualifying hurdles are maintained. The Bank will also receive the benefit of tax operating losses from the Partnership to the extent of its capital contribution. The investment in the Partnership assists the Bank in achieving its community reinvestment initiatives.

Because the Partnership is considered to be a VIE, management performed an analysis to determine whether its involvement with the Partnership would lead it to determine that it must consolidate the Partnership. In performing its analysis, management evaluated the risks creating the variability in the Partnership and identified which activities most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance. Finally, it examined each of the variable interest holders to determine which, if any, of the holders was the primary beneficiary based on their power to direct the most significant activities and their obligation to absorb potentially significant losses of the Partnership.

The Bank, as a limited partner, generally has no voting rights. The Bank is not in any way involved in the daily management of the Partnership and has no other rights that provide it with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the Partnership's economic performance, which are to develop and operate the housing project in such a manner that complies with specific tax credit guidelines. As a limited partner, there is no recourse to the Bank by the creditors of the Partnership. The tax credits that result from the Bank's investment in the Partnership are generally subject to recapture should the partnership fail to comply with the applicable government regulations. The Bank has not provided any financial or other support to the Partnership beyond its required capital contributions and does not anticipate providing such support in the future. Management currently believes that no material losses are probable as a result of the Bank's investment in the Partnership.

On the basis of management's analysis, the general partner is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the Partnership. Because the Bank is not the primary beneficiary, the Partnership has not been included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Corporation included its total investment in the Partnership in "Other Assets" in its Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's commitment in the Partnership was fully funded. The following table presents details of the Bank's involvement with the Partnership at the dates indicated:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Investment in LIHTC Partnership		
Carrying amount on Balance Sheet of:		
Investment (Other Assets)	$ 5,239	$ 5,498
Maximum exposure to loss	5,239	5,498

Note 17 – Assets and Liabilities Subject to Enforceable Master Netting Arrangements

Interest Rate Swap Agreements ("Swap Agreements")

The Corporation has entered into interest rate swap agreements to modify the re-pricing characteristics of certain interest-bearing liabilities as a part of managing interest rate risk. The swap agreements have been designated as cash flow hedges, and accordingly, the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts is reported in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. The swap agreements were entered into with a third party financial institution. The Corporation is party to master netting arrangements with its financial institution counterparty; however the Corporation does not offset assets and liabilities under these arrangements for financial statement presentation purposes. The master netting arrangements provide for a single net settlement of all swap agreements, as well as collateral, in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. Collateral, in the form of cash, is posted by the Corporation as the counterparty with net liability positions in accordance with contract thresholds. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase ("Repurchase Agreements")

The Bank enters into agreements under which it sells interests in U.S. Securities to certain customers subject to an obligation to repurchase, and on the part of the customers to resell, such interests. Under these arrangements, the Bank

may transfer legal control over the assets but still retain effective control through an agreement that both entitles and obligates the Bank to repurchase the assets. As a result, these repurchase agreements are accounted for as collateralized financing arrangements (i.e. secured borrowings) and not as a sale and subsequent repurchase of securities. The obligation to repurchase the securities is reflected as a liability in the consolidated statement of condition, while the securities underlying the repurchase agreements remain in the respective investment securities asset accounts. There is no offsetting or netting of the investment securities assets with the repurchase agreement liabilities. In addition, as the Bank does not enter into reverse repurchase agreements, there is no such offsetting to be done with the repurchase agreements. The right of setoff for a repurchase agreement resembles a secured borrowing, whereby the collateral would be used to settle the fair value of the repurchase agreement should the Bank be in default (i.e. fails to repurchase the U.S. Securities on the maturity date of the agreement). The investment security collateral is held by a third party financial institution in the counterparty's custodial account.

The following table presents the liabilities subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(In thousands)	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Condition	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Statement of Condition	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Condition		
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Pledged	Net Amount
June 30, 2013						
Interest Rate Swap Agreements	$ 619	$ 0	$ 619	$ (619)	$ 0	$ 0
Repurchase Agreements	$ 50,954	$ 0	$ 50,954	$ (50,954)	$ 0	$ 0
December 31, 2012						
Interest Rate Swap Agreements	$ 849	$ 0	$ 849	$ (849)	$ 0	$ 0
Repurchase Agreements	$ 39,257	$				