PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP Form 10-K February 25, 2011 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

or

0 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-14569

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

333 Clay Street, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas (Address of principal executive offices) 76-0582150

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> 77002 (Zip Code)

(713) 646-4100

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class Common Units Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer x

Accelerated Filer o

Non-Accelerated Filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the Common Units held by non-affiliates of the registrant (treating all executive officers and directors of the registrant and holders of 10% or more of the Common Units outstanding, for this purpose, as if they may be affiliates of the registrant) was approximately \$7.0 billion on June 30, 2010, based on \$58.70 per unit, the closing price of the Common Units as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on such date.

As of February 22, 2011, there were 141,199,175 Common Units outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

NONE

Table of Contents

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-K 2010 ANNUAL REPORT

Table of Contents

		Page
	<u>PART I</u>	3
Items 1 and 2.	Business and Properties	3
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	35
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	50
<u>Item 3.</u>	Legal Proceedings	50
<u>Item 4.</u>	(Removed and Reserved)	51
	<u>PART II</u>	52
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant s Common Units, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purcha	ises
	of Equity Securities	52
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	54
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
	<u>Operations</u>	56
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	78
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	80
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
	Disclosure	80
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	80
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	80
	<u>PART III</u>	81
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors and Executive Officers of Our General Partner and Corporate Governance	81
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	93
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Unitholder Matters	115
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	119
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	125
	<u>PART IV</u>	126
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	126

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements included in this report, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements, including but not limited to statements incorporating the words anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, plan, intend and forecast, as well as similar expressions and st regarding our business strategy, plans and objectives for future operations. The absence of these words, however, does not mean that the statements are not forward-looking. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events, based on what we believe to be reasonable assumptions. Certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated in the forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to:

- failure to implement or capitalize on planned internal growth projects;
- maintenance of our credit rating and ability to receive open credit from our suppliers and trade counterparties;

• continued creditworthiness of, and performance by, our counterparties, including financial institutions and trading companies with which we do business;

- the effectiveness of our risk management activities;
- environmental liabilities or events that are not covered by an indemnity, insurance or existing reserves;

• abrupt or severe declines or interruptions in outer continental shelf production located offshore California and transported on our pipeline systems;

• shortages or cost increases of supplies, materials or labor;

• the availability of adequate third-party production volumes for transportation and marketing in the areas in which we operate and other factors that could cause declines in volumes shipped on our pipelines by us and third-party shippers, such as declines in production from existing oil and gas reserves or failure to develop additional oil and gas reserves;

• fluctuations in refinery capacity in areas supplied by our mainlines and other factors affecting demand for various grades of crude oil, refined products and natural gas and resulting changes in pricing conditions or transportation throughput requirements;

• the availability of, and our ability to consummate, acquisition or combination opportunities;

• our ability to obtain debt or equity financing on satisfactory terms to fund additional acquisitions, expansion projects, working capital requirements and the repayment or refinancing of indebtedness;

• the successful integration and future performance of acquired assets or businesses and the risks associated with operating in lines of business that are distinct and separate from our historical operations;

• unanticipated changes in crude oil market structure, grade differentials and volatility (or lack thereof);

• the impact of current and future laws, rulings, governmental regulations, accounting standards and statements, and related interpretations;

- the effects of competition;
- interruptions in service on third-party pipelines;
- increased costs or lack of availability of insurance;
- fluctuations in the debt and equity markets, including the price of our units at the time of vesting under our long-term incentive plans;

Table of Contents

- the currency exchange rate of the Canadian dollar;
- weather interference with business operations or project construction;
- risks related to the development and operation of natural gas storage facilities;
- future developments and circumstances at the time distributions are declared;

• general economic, market or business conditions and the amplification of other risks caused by volatile financial markets, capital constraints and pervasive liquidity concerns; and

• other factors and uncertainties inherent in the transportation, storage, terminalling and marketing of crude oil, refined products and liquefied petroleum gas and other natural gas related petroleum products.

Other factors described herein, or factors that are unknown or unpredictable, could also have a material adverse effect on future results. Please read Item 1A. Risk Factors. Except as required by applicable securities laws, we do not intend to update these forward-looking statements and information.

PART I

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

General

Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership formed in 1998. Our operations are conducted directly and indirectly through our primary operating subsidiaries. As used in this Form 10-K and unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms Partnership, Plains, PAA, we, us, our, ours and similar terms refer to Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. and its subsidiaries.

We engage in the transportation, storage, terminalling and marketing of crude oil, refined products and liquefied petroleum gas and other natural gas-related petroleum products. We refer to liquefied petroleum gas and other natural gas-related petroleum products collectively as LPG. Through our general partner interest and majority equity ownership position in PAA Natural Gas Storage, L.P. (NYSE: PNG), we also engage in the acquisition, development and operation of natural gas storage facilities. Our business activities are conducted through three segments: Transportation, Facilities and Supply and Logistics.

Organizational History

We were formed as a master limited partnership to acquire and operate the midstream crude oil businesses and assets of a predecessor entity and completed our initial public offering in 1998. Our 2% general partner interest is held by PAA GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, whose sole member is Plains AAP, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership. Plains All American GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, is Plains AAP, L.P. s general partner. References to our general partner, as the context requires, include any or all of PAA GP LLC, Plains AAP, L.P. and Plains All American GP LLC are owned by 18 holders and their affiliates. The five largest of these holders and their affiliates own an aggregate interest of approximately 95%. See Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters Beneficial Ownership of General Partner Interest.

Partnership Structure and Management

Our operations are conducted through, and our operating assets are owned by, our subsidiaries. Plains All American GP LLC has ultimate responsibility for conducting our business and managing our operations. See Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of our General Partner and Corporate Governance. Our general partner does not receive a management fee or other compensation in connection with its management of our business, but it is reimbursed for substantially all direct and indirect expenses incurred on our behalf (other than expenses related to the Class B units of Plains AAP, L.P.).

Table of Contents

The chart below depicts the current structure and ownership of Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. and certain subsidiaries as of February 22, 2011.

Partnership Structure

⁽¹⁾ Based on Form 4 filings for executive officers and directors, 13D filings for Richard Kayne and other information believed to be reliable for the remaining investors, this group, or affiliates of such investors, owns approximately 9 million limited partner units, representing approximately 7% of all outstanding units.

⁽²⁾ Incentive Distribution Rights (IDRs). See Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Units, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities for discussion of our general partner s incentive distribution rights.

(3) The Partnership holds direct and indirect ownership interests in consolidated operating subsidiaries including, but not limited to, Plains Pipeline, L.P., Plains Marketing, L.P., Plains LPG Services, L.P., Pacific Energy Group LLC and Plains Midstream Canada ULC.

(4) The Partnership holds direct and indirect equity interests in unconsolidated entities including Settoon Towing, LLC
(Settoon Towing), Butte Pipe Line Company (Butte), Frontier Pipeline Company (Frontier) and White Cliffs Pipeline, LLC (White Cliffs).

Business Strategy

Our principal business strategy is to provide competitive and efficient midstream transportation, terminalling, storage and supply and logistics services to our producer, refiner and other customers. Toward this end, we endeavor to address regional supply and demand imbalances for crude oil, refined products, LPG and natural gas storage in the United States and Canada by combining the strategic location and capabilities of our transportation, terminalling and storage assets with our extensive supply, logistics and distribution expertise.

Table of Contents

We believe successful execution of this strategy will enable us to generate sustainable earnings and cash flow. We intend to manage and grow our business by:

optimizing our existing assets and realizing cost efficiencies through operational improvements;

• developing and implementing internal growth projects that (i) address evolving crude oil, refined products and LPG needs in the midstream transportation and infrastructure sector and (ii) are well positioned to benefit from long-term industry trends and opportunities;

• utilizing our assets along the Gulf, West and East Coasts along with our terminals and leased assets to optimize our presence in the waterborne importation of foreign crude oil;

• capitalizing on the anticipated long-term growth in demand for natural gas storage services in North America by owning and operating high-quality natural gas storage facilities and providing our current and future customers reliable, competitive and flexible natural gas storage and related services;

selectively pursuing strategic and accretive acquisitions that complement our existing asset base and distribution capabilities; and

• using our terminalling and storage assets in conjunction with our supply and logistics activities to capitalize on inefficient energy markets and to address physical market imbalances, mitigate inherent risks and increase margin.

We intend to utilize PNG as the primary vehicle through which we will participate in the natural gas storage business. We believe PNG s natural gas storage assets are also well-positioned to benefit from long-term industry trends and opportunities. PNG s growth strategies are to develop and implement internal growth projects and to selectively pursue strategic and accretive acquisitions of natural gas storage projects and facilities. Through execution of such growth strategies, we intend to expand the scale and scope of our natural gas storage business. We may also prudently and economically leverage our asset base, knowledge base and skill sets to participate in other energy-related businesses that have characteristics and opportunities similar to, or that otherwise complement, our existing activities.

Financial Strategy

Targeted Credit Profile

We believe that a major factor in our continued success is our ability to maintain a competitive cost of capital and access to the capital markets. In that regard, we intend to maintain a credit profile that we believe is consistent with our investment grade credit rating. We have targeted a general credit profile with the following attributes:

• an average long-term debt-to-total capitalization ratio of approximately 50%;

• an adjusted long-term debt-to-adjusted EBITDA multiple averaging between 3.5x and 4.0x (adjusted EBITDA is earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, equity compensation plan charges, gains and losses from derivative activities and selected items that impact comparability. See Item 7. *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* Results of Operations Non-GAAP Financial Measures for a discussion of our selected items that impact comparability and our non-GAAP measures.);

- an average total debt-to-total capitalization ratio of approximately 60%; and
- an average adjusted EBITDA-to-interest coverage multiple of approximately 3.3x or better.

The first two of these four metrics include long-term debt as a critical measure. In certain market conditions, we also incur short-term debt in connection with supply and logistics activities that involve the simultaneous purchase and forward sale of crude oil, refined products, LPG and natural gas. The crude oil, refined products, LPG and natural gas purchased in these transactions are hedged. We do not consider the working capital borrowings associated with this activity to be part of our long-term capital structure. These borrowings

Table of Contents

are self-liquidating as they are repaid with sales proceeds. We also incur short-term debt for New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) and IntercontinentalExchange (ICE) margin requirements.

In order for us to maintain our targeted credit profile and achieve growth through internal growth projects and acquisitions, we intend to fund 55% of the capital requirements associated with these activities with equity and cash flow in excess of distributions. From time to time, we may be outside the parameters of our targeted credit profile as, in certain cases, these capital expenditures and acquisitions may be financed initially using debt or there may be delays in realizing anticipated synergies from acquisitions or contributions from capital expansion projects to adjusted EBITDA.

Competitive Strengths

We believe that the following competitive strengths position us to successfully execute our principal business strategy:

• *Many of our transportation segment and facilities segment assets are strategically located and operationally flexible.* The majority of our primary transportation segment assets are in crude oil service, are located in well-established oil producing regions and transportation corridors, and are connected, directly or indirectly, with our facilities segment assets located at major trading locations and premium markets that serve as gateways to major North American refinery and distribution markets where we have strong business relationships.

• *We possess specialized crude oil market knowledge.* We believe our business relationships with participants in various phases of the crude oil distribution chain, from crude oil producers to refiners, as well as our own industry expertise, provide us with an extensive understanding of the North American physical crude oil markets.

• *Our crude oil supply and logistics activities are balanced.* We believe the variety of activities executed within our supply and logistics segment provides us with a balance that generally affords us the flexibility to maintain a base level of margin in a variety of crude oil market conditions and in certain circumstances, to realize incremental margin during volatile market conditions.

• We have the evaluation, integration and engineering skill sets and the financial flexibility to continue to pursue acquisition and expansion opportunities. Over the past thirteen years, we have completed and integrated approximately 65 acquisitions with an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$6.8 billion. We have also implemented internal expansion capital projects totaling approximately \$2.5 billion. In addition, we believe we have resources to finance future strategic expansion and acquisition opportunities. As of December 31, 2010, we had a working capital surplus of approximately \$166 million and approximately \$841 million available under our committed credit facilities, subject to continued covenant compliance.

• We have an experienced management team whose interests are aligned with those of our unitholders. Our executive management team has an average of 26 years industry experience, and an average of 15 years with us or our predecessors and affiliates. In addition, through their ownership of common units, indirect interests in our general partner, grants of phantom units and the Class B units in Plains AAP, L.P., our

management team has a vested interest in our continued success.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions

(1)

The acquisition of assets and businesses that are strategic and complementary to our existing operations constitutes an integral component of our business strategy and growth objective. Such assets and businesses include crude oil related assets, refined products assets, LPG assets and natural gas storage assets, as well as other energy transportation related assets that have characteristics and opportunities similar to these business lines and enable us to leverage our asset base, knowledge base and skill sets.

The following table summarizes acquisitions greater than \$200 million that we have completed over the past five years (in millions). See Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a full discussion regarding our acquisition activities.

Acquisition	Date	Description	Approximate Purchase Price	
SG Resources Mississippi, LLC (SG Resources)	Feb-2011	Southern Pines Energy Center (Southern Pines) natural gas storage facility	\$	746(1)
Nexen Holdings U.S.A. Inc. (Nexen)	Dec-2010	Crude oil gathering and transportation assets in North Dakota and Montana	\$	229
PAA Natural Gas Storage, LLC	Sep-2009	Remaining 50% interest in PNGS	\$	215(2)
Rainbow Pipe Line Company, Ltd	May-2008	Crude oil gathering and transportation assets in	Ŷ	215(2)
		Alberta, Canada	\$	687
Pacific Energy Partners LP (Pacific)	Nov-2006	Merger of Pacific Energy Partners with and into the Partnership	\$	2,456
Andrews Petroleum and Lone Star Trucking (Andrews)	Apr-2006	Isomerization, fractionation, marketing and transportation services	\$	220

Acquisition made by our subsidiary PNG.

(2) In connection with the PNGS acquisition we consolidated and subsequently refinanced approximately \$450 million of previously non-recourse joint venture debt.

Southern Pines Acquisition. On February 9, 2011, PNG acquired 100% of the equity interests in SG Resources (the Southern Pines Acquisition), which entity owns the Southern Pines Energy Center natural gas storage facility, for total consideration of approximately \$746 million, subject to certain post-closing adjustments.

Southern Pines is a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)-regulated, high-performance, salt-cavern natural gas storage facility located in Greene County, Mississippi. The facility is current permits allow for 40 billion cubic feet (Bef) of working capacity from four storage caverns. The facility commenced service in 2008 and three caverns have been placed into service, which are serving over 17 Bcf of customer contracts. These caverns are being expanded over time to their permitted capacity of 10 Bcf each. The fourth cavern is currently being drilled and is

anticipated to be placed into service in the third quarter of 2012. The facility has the capacity for further expansion beyond 40 Bcf, if warranted by market demand and subject to receipt of required additional permits. Southern Pines is connected directly or indirectly to eight major natural gas pipelines servicing the Gulf Coast, Northeast, Mid-Atlantic and Southeastern U.S. markets.

See Recent Developments for discussion of transactions completed in conjunction with this acquisition.

Table of Contents

Ongoing Acquisition Activities

Consistent with our business strategy, we are continuously engaged in discussions with potential sellers regarding the possible purchase of assets and operations that are strategic and complementary to our existing operations. In addition, we have in the past evaluated and pursued, and intend in the future to evaluate and pursue, other energy related assets that have characteristics and opportunities similar to our business lines and enable us to leverage our asset base, knowledge base and skill sets. Such acquisition efforts may involve participation by us in processes that have been made public and involve a number of potential buyers, commonly referred to as auction processes, as well as situations in which we believe we are the only party or one of a limited number of potential buyers in negotiations with the potential seller. These acquisition efforts often involve assets which, if acquired, could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Even after we have reached agreement on a purchase price with a potential seller, confirmatory due diligence or negotiations regarding other terms of the acquisition can cause discussions to be terminated. Accordingly, we typically do not announce a transaction until after we have executed a definitive acquisition agreement. Although we expect the acquisitions we make to be accretive in the long term, we can provide no assurance that our expectations will ultimately be realized. See Item 1A. *Risk Factors* Risks Related to Our Business *If we do not make acquisitions or if we make acquisitions that fail to perform as anticipated, our future growth may be limited* and *Our acquisition strategy involves risks that may adversely affect our business.*

Recent Developments

During early 2011, we completed several noteworthy business transactions such as the completion of the Southern Pines acquisition for total consideration of approximately \$746 million, subject to certain post-closing adjustments, as discussed within the preceding *Acquisitions* section. In conjunction with this acquisition, PNG completed a private placement of 17.4 million common units to third-party purchasers for net proceeds of approximately \$370 million. In addition, we purchased approximately 10.2 million PNG common units for approximately \$230 million including our proportionate general partner contribution of \$12 million. As a result of these transactions, our aggregate ownership interest in PNG decreased from approximately 77% to approximately 64%.

In addition, during early 2011, we also expanded our liquidity through various transactions such as completion of a \$600 million senior notes offering and by entering into a \$500 million 364-day senior unsecured credit facility. In addition, we redeemed our 7.75% senior notes that were maturing in 2012 for approximately \$222 million.

Global Petroleum Market Overview

The United States comprises less than 5% of the world s population, generates 11% of the world s petroleum production, and consumes 22% of the world s petroleum production. The following table sets forth projected world supply and demand for petroleum products (including crude oil, natural gas liquids (NGL) and other liquid petroleum products) and is derived from the Energy Information Administration s (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook 2011 Early Release (see EIA website at *www.eia.doe.gov*).

20

		Projected	
010 (1)	2011	2012	2015
	(In millions of l	oarrels per day)	

Supply				
OECD (2)				
U.S.	9.6	9.6	9.8	10.3
Other	11.6	11.3	11.1	10.5
Total OECD	21.2	20.9	20.9	20.8
Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries	34.8	35.6	36.5	37.2
Other	30.4	30.9	31.5	32.4
Total World Production	86.4	87.4	88.9	90.4

	2010 (1)	2011 (In millions of barro	Projected 2012 els per day)	2015
Demand				
OECD				
U.S.	19.1	19.1	20.0	20.5
Other	26.6	26.6	26.5	26.0
Total OECD	45.7	45.7	46.5	46.5
Other	40.7	41.7	42.4	43.9
Total World Consumption	86.4	87.4	88.9	90.4
U.S. Production as % of World Production	11%	11%	11%	11%
U.S. Consumption as % of World Consumption	22%	22%	22%	23%
Net U.S. Consumption	(9.5)	(9.5)	(10.2)	(10.2)

(1) The 2010 amounts are based on ten months of actual data and two months of data derived from a short-term energy model published by the EIA.

(2) Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Table of Contents

World economic growth is a driver of the world petroleum market. The challenging global economic climate of the last several years has resulted in continued uncertainty in the petroleum market. To the extent that an event causes weaker world economic growth, energy demand would likely decline and result in lower energy prices, depending on the production responses of producers.

Crude Oil Market Overview

The definition of a commodity is a mass-produced unspecialized product and implies the attribute of fungibility. Crude oil is typically referred to as a commodity; however, it is neither unspecialized nor fungible. The crude slate available to U.S. and world-wide refineries consists of a substantial number of different grades and varieties of crude oil. Each crude grade has distinguishing physical properties, such as specific gravity (generally referred to as light or heavy), sulfur content (generally referred to as sweet or sour) and metals content, which collectively result in varying economic attributes. In many cases, these factors result in the need for such grades to be batched or segregated in the transportation and storage processes, blended to precise specifications or adjusted in value.

The lack of fungibility of the various grades of crude oil creates logistical transportation, terminalling and storage challenges and inefficiencies associated with regional volumetric supply and demand imbalances. These logistical inefficiencies are created as certain qualities of crude oil are indigenous to particular regions or countries. Also, each refinery has a distinct configuration of process units designed to handle particular grades of crude oil. The relative yields and the cost to obtain, transport and process the crude oil drives the refinery s choice of feedstock. In addition, from time to time, natural disasters and geopolitical factors such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tsunamis, inclement weather, labor strikes, refinery disruptions, embargoes and armed conflicts may impact supply, demand and transportation and storage logistics.

Our assets and our business strategy are designed to serve our producer and refiner customers by addressing regional crude oil supply and demand imbalances that exist in the United States and Canada. For the 20-year time period beginning in 1985 through 2004, U.S. refinery demand for crude oil increased 29% from 12.0 million barrels per day to approximately 15.5 million barrels per day. U.S. refinery demand for crude oil demand remained effectively flat from 2005 through 2007 at around 15.5 million barrels per day, after which refinery demand decreased to average approximately 14.6 million barrels per day for the 12 months ended October 2010. Of this amount, only 5.5 million barrels per day was produced domestically. Accordingly, approximately 62% of the crude oil used by U.S. domestic refineries is imported. This imbalance represents a multi-year trend, with foreign imports of crude oil tripling over a 23-year period, from 3.2 million barrels per day in 1985 to approximately 10.1 million barrels per day from 2005-2007. Concurrent with decreased refinery demand and recent increases in domestic production, foreign crude imports have slowed to 9.1 million barrels per day for the 12 months ended October 2010. Reduced demand for petroleum products from end users as well as increased use of ethanol for blending in gasoline have been major factors contributing to the drop in refinery demand for crude oil. Since 2000, ethanol production has grown from approximately 100,000 barrels per day to approximately 840,000 barrels per day for the 12 months ended October 2010. Growth in ethanol and other renewable fuel production is expected to continue. The EIA is currently forecasting a continued gradual decline in foreign crude imports from current levels, which is attributable to increased domestic production, increased refined product imports and increase supply from other liquid products, including ethanol and biodiesel. The table below shows the overall domestic petroleum consumption projected out to 2015 and is derived from recent information published by the EIA (see EIA website at www.eia.doe.gov). The amounts in the 2010 column are based on the twelve months from November 2009 to October 2010.

	Actual 2010	2011 (In millions o	Projected 2012 f barrels per day)	2015
Supply				
Domestic Crude Oil Production	5.5	5.3	5.4	5.7
Net Imports - Crude Oil	9.1	9.2	9.0	8.8

Crude Oil Input to Domestic Refineries	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.5
Net Product Imports	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.2
Supply from Renewable Sources	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1
Other - (NGL Production, Refinery Processing Gain)	3.2	3.2	3.5	3.7
Total Domestic Petroleum Consumption	19.1	19.1	20.0	20.5

The Department of Energy segregates the United States into five Petroleum Administration Defense Districts (PADDs), which are used by the energy industry for reporting statistics regarding crude oil supply and demand. The table below sets forth supply, demand and shortfall information for each PADD for the twelve months ended October 2010 and is derived from information published by the EIA (see EIA website at *www.eia.doe.gov*) (in millions of barrels per day).

Table of Contents

Petroleum Administration Defense District	Regional Supply	Refinery Demand	Supply Shortfall
PADD I (East Coast)	0.0	1.1	(1.1)
PADD II (Midwest)	0.7	3.3	(2.6)
PADD III (South)	3.2	7.3	(4.1)
PADD IV (Rockies)	0.4	0.6	(0.2)
PADD V (West Coast)	1.2	2.3	(1.1)
Total U.S.	5.5	14.6	(9.1)

Although PADD III has the largest absolute volume supply shortfall, we believe PADD II is the most critical region with respect to supply and transportation logistics because it is the largest, most highly populated area of the U.S. that does not have direct access to oceanborne cargoes.

From 1985 until 2004, crude oil production in PADD II has declined from approximately 1.1 million barrels per day to approximately 440,000 barrels per day. Over this same time period, refinery demand has increased from approximately 2.7 million barrels per day in 1985 to 3.3 million barrels per day in 2004. As a result, the volume of crude oil transported into PADD II has increased approximately 75% in absolute terms or 3.0% annually from 1.7 million barrels per day to 3.0 million barrels per day. This aggregate shortfall was principally supplied by direct imports from Canada to the north and from the Gulf Coast area and the Cushing Interchange to the south.

Starting in 2005, PADD II production began to grow and as of early 2011 is currently estimated to be over 700,000 barrels per day, driven mainly by increased production from the Bakken oil formation in North Dakota. This production growth is in an isolated part of the country, which has created its own infrastructure challenges and opportunities. Both the incremental Canadian oil production growth and the PADD II growth have generally targeted the Cushing & Patoka crude oil hubs. This has resulted in a decline in volumes moved from the Gulf Coast area into PADD II, but an increase in demand for storage at Cushing and Patoka.

Volatility in various aspects of the crude oil market including absolute price, market structure, grade and location differentials has increased over time and we expect this volatility to persist. Some factors that we believe are causing and will continue to cause volatility in the market include:

• The multi-year trend narrowing the gap between supply and demand;

• Temporal increases in the gap related to supply response following price spikes and declines in the rate of demand growth due to worldwide economic slowdown;

- Regional supply and demand imbalances;
- Political instability in critical producing nations;

- Policy decisions made by various governments around the world attempting to navigate energy challenges; and
- Significant fluctuations in absolute price as well as grade and location differentials.

The complexity and volatility of the crude oil market creates opportunities to solve the logistical inefficiencies inherent in the business.

Refined Products Market Overview

•

Once crude oil is transported to a refinery, it is processed into different petroleum products. These refined products fall into three major categories: transportation fuels such as motor gasoline and distillate fuel oil (diesel fuel and jet fuel); finished non-fuel products such as solvents, lubricating oils and asphalt; and feedstocks for the petrochemical industry such as naphtha and various refinery gases. Demand is greatest for transportation fuels, particularly motor gasoline.

The characteristics of the gasoline produced depend upon the setup of the refinery at which it is produced. Gasoline characteristics are also impacted by other ingredients that may be blended into it, such as ethanol and octane enhancers. The performance of the gasoline must meet strictly defined industry standards and environmental regulations that vary based on season and location.

Table of Contents

After crude oil is refined into gasoline and other petroleum products, the products are distributed to consumers. The majority of products are shipped by pipeline to storage terminals near consuming areas, and then loaded into trucks for delivery to gasoline stations and end users. Products that are used as feedstocks are typically transported by pipeline or barges to chemical plants.

Demand for refined products has generally been affected by price levels, economic growth trends and, to a lesser extent, weather conditions. According to the EIA, consumption of refined products in the United States has risen from approximately 15.7 million barrels per day in 1985 to a peak in 2005 of 20.8 million barrels, yielding an average annual increase of approximately 1.5%. Due to the economic weakness of the last several years, refined product demand decreased to 18.8 million barrels per day in 2009, or an approximate 10% decrease over peak demand levels. Given this decreased demand for refined products and resulting excess refining capacity, a number of U.S. refineries reduced output and, in some cases, indefinitely closed. Demand for refined products has resumed growth in 2010 with consumption reaching approximately 19.1 million barrels per day for the twelve months ended October 2010. The EIA is currently forecasting growth in refined product demand for the next several years. The level of future demand growth will be influenced by the slope of the economic recovery and absolute prices. We believe that this projected demand growth will be met primarily by the increase in mandated alternative fuels, increased utilization of existing refining capacity as well as increased imports of refined products, the combination of which we believe will continue to generate demand for midstream infrastructure, including pipelines and terminals. We believe that demand for refined products pipeline and terminalling infrastructure will also be driven by the following factors:

- multiple specifications of existing products (also referred to as boutique gasoline blends);
- continued specification changes to existing products, such as lower sulfur limits; and
- increased acceptance and mandates of biofuels and other related renewable fuels.

The complexity and volatility of the refined products market creates opportunities to solve the logistical challenges inherent in the business.

LPG Products Market Overview

LPGs are hydrogen-based gases that are derived from crude oil refining and natural gas processing and include propane, butane and isobutane. These gases liquefy at moderate pressures thus allowing transportation and storage opportunities. LPG is produced domestically or imported into the U.S. from Canada and other parts of the world. Individual LPG products have varying uses. For example, propane is used in domestic applications (home heating and cooking), industrial applications, agricultural applications (crop drying) and as an automotive fuel. Normal butane is used as a petrochemical feedstock, as a blendstock for motor gasoline, and to derive isobutane through isomerization. Isobutane is principally used in refinery alkylation to enhance the octane content of motor gasoline or in the production of isooctane or other octane additives. Certain LPGs are also used as diluents in the transportation of heavy oil, particularly in Canada.

- seasonal shifts in weather;
- seasonal changes in gasoline specifications affecting demand for butane;
- alternating needs of refineries to store and blend LPG;
- petro-chemical demand;
- diluent requirements for Canadian heavy oil; and
- inefficiencies caused by regional supply and demand imbalances.

The complexity and volatility of the LPG market creates opportunities to solve the logistical inefficiencies inherent in the business.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Market Overview

North American natural gas storage facilities provide a staging and warehousing function for seasonal swings in demand relative to supply, as well as an essential reliability cushion against disruptions in natural gas supply, demand and transportation by allowing natural gas to be injected into, withdrawn from or warehoused in such storage facilities as dictated by market conditions.

The long-term demand for storage services in the United States is driven primarily by the long-term demand for natural gas and the overall lack of balance between the supply of and demand for natural gas on a seasonal, monthly, daily or other basis. In general and on a long term basis, to the extent the overall demand for natural gas increases and such growth includes higher demand from seasonal or weather-sensitive end-users (such as gas-fired power generators and residential and commercial consumers), demand for natural gas storage services should also grow. In addition, any factors that contribute to more frequent and severe imbalances between the supply of and demand for natural gas, whether caused by supply or demand fluctuations, should increase the need for and the value of storage services. On a short term basis, storage demand and values are also significantly influenced by operational imbalances, near term seasonal spreads, shorter term spreads and basis differentials.

Natural Gas Demand. During the period from 2001 through 2010, domestic natural gas consumption has grown, albeit unevenly, driven primarily by growth in the seasonal and weather-sensitive electric power generation and commercial sectors, offset by declines in the residential and industrial sectors.

Natural Gas Supply. For a number of years during the last decade, domestic natural gas production was relatively flat and has failed to keep pace with domestic consumption. Over the past few years, however, domestic natural gas production has been growing. This trend reversal is primarily due to increases in production from developing shale resource plays. According to EIA data, domestic production of natural gas increased by an average of approximately 3.7% per annum during the four-year period beginning January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2010. By comparison, EIA data also indicates that 2009 production from shale gas wells was approximately 3.1 trillion cubic feet (Tcf), representing an approximate 142% increase over 2007 levels. At the time of this report, 2010 production estimates by component (i.e. shale gas) were not available from EIA.

In addition to the emergence of domestic shale plays as a significant supply source, over the past several years, the U.S. has developed significant infrastructure for the import of liquefied natural gas (LNG). Total LNG import capacity of U.S. infrastructure has increased to approximately 14 Bcf per day; however, because LNG suppliers have been able to obtain more favorable prices in global markets outside of the U.S., LNG imports into the U.S. have decreased from a peak of 2.1 Bcf a day in 2007 to less than 1.2 Bcf per day in 2009 and 2010, per EIA and other published daily data sources.

Market Balance and Volatility. The seasonality of natural gas has remained strong during the last decade, with consumption during the peak winter months averaging approximately 40% more than consumption during the summer months, per EIA data. Natural gas storage (and to a lesser extent imported natural gas from Canada and LNG supplies) serves as the shock absorber that balances the market, serving as a source of supply to meet the consumption demands in excess of daily production capacity and as a warehouse for gas production in excess of daily demand during low demand periods. This seasonal consumption pattern is a major driver of demand for gas storage and the price difference, or spread, between the summer and winter season provides a proxy for the fundamental value of storage.

During most of the past decade, this strong seasonal trend has produced seasonal spreads that have generally moved within a range of approximately \$0.50-\$4.75 per MMBtu, with the high end of that range occurring during the 2006-2007 timeframe. However, during the past six months, seasonal spreads fell to as low as \$0.43, their lowest point since 2004. In addition, lower short-term spreads and basis differentials have reduced overall market volatility, which negatively impacts storage demand and value. While there are a variety of factors that have contributed to these softer market conditions, we believe the key drivers are (i) relatively flat natural gas consumption over the last year and projected flat consumption for the next two years, (ii) increased natural gas supplies due to production from shale resources, (iii) lower basis differentials due to expansion of natural gas transportation infrastructure in the U.S. over the last five years, and (iv) abnormal seasonal weather patterns resulting in decreased seasonal price spreads.

Supply of Storage Capacity. Another important factor in determining the value of storage is whether there is a surplus or shortfall of storage capacity relative to the overall demand for storage services in a given market area. In general, on a relative basis, storage values will be lower in markets that are oversupplied with storage than in markets where storage capacity is in short supply. The extent to which markets are oversupplied or undersupplied will fluctuate based on capacity additions and in response to significant variations in natural gas supply and demand.

While it is difficult to predict when, and how much, new capacity will be added to the market in the next few years, we believe that certain of the supply and demand factors contributing to the current softness in the storage market (i.e., robust supply levels, lower natural gas demand levels and reduced price volatility) are cyclical and self correcting over time, and that the long term outlook for storage utilization and demand is positive.

Description of Segments and Associated Assets

Our business activities are conducted through three segments Transportation, Facilities and Supply and Logistics. We have an extensive network of transportation, terminalling and storage facilities at major market hubs and in key oil producing basins and crude oil, refined product and LPG transportation corridors in the United States and Canada.

Table of Contents

Following is a description of the activities and assets for each of our business segments.

Transportation Segment

Our transportation segment operations generally consist of fee-based activities associated with transporting crude oil and refined products on pipelines, gathering systems, trucks and barges. We generate revenue through a combination of tariffs, third party leases of pipeline capacity and transportation fees. Our transportation segment also includes our equity earnings from our investments in Butte, Frontier, Settoon Towing and White Cliffs, in which we own noncontrolling interests.

As of December 31, 2010, we employed a variety of owned or leased long-term physical assets throughout the United States and Canada in this segment, including approximately:

- 16,000 miles of active crude oil and refined products pipelines and gathering systems;
- 25 million barrels of active, above-ground tank capacity used primarily to facilitate pipeline throughput;
- 56 trucks and 352 trailers; and
- 65 transport and storage barges and 39 transport tugs through our interest in Settoon Towing.

The following is a tabular presentation of our active pipeline assets in the United States and Canada as of December 31, 2010, grouped by geographic location:

Region / Pipeline and Gathering Systems (1)	System Miles	2010 Average Net Barrels per Day (2) (in thousands)
Southwest US		
Basin	519	378
Permian Basin Area Systems	3,085	371
Other	406	141
Southwest US Subtotal	4,010	890
Western US		
All American	138	39

Line 63/Line 2000	426	109
Other	420	83
Western US Subtotal	712	231
US Rocky Mountain	/12	251
Salt Lake City Area Systems	708	135
Other	3,857	280
US Rocky Mountain Subtotal	4,565	415
US Gulf Coast	4,000	410
Capline(3)	631	223
Other	924	322
US Gulf Coast Subtotal	1,555	545
<u>Central US Subtotal</u>	2,462	350
Domestic Total	13,304	2,431
	10,004	2,701
<u>Canada</u>		
Rangeland	1,214	52
Rainbow	594	187
Manito	559	61
Other	633	158
Canada Total	3,000	458
Grand Total	16,304	2,889
	10,504	2,007

(1)

Ownership percentage varies on each pipeline and gathering system ranging from approximately 20% to 100%.

(2) Represents average volume for the entire year of 2010.

(3) Non-operated pipeline.

Table of Contents

Southwest US

Basin Pipeline System. We own an approximate 87% undivided joint interest in and act as operator of the Basin Pipeline system. The Basin system is a primary route for transporting crude oil from the Permian Basin (in west Texas and southern New Mexico) to Cushing, Oklahoma, for further delivery to Mid-Continent and Midwest refining centers. The Basin system is a 519-mile mainline, telescoping crude oil system with a system capacity ranging from approximately 144,000 barrels per day to 400,000 barrels per day depending on the segment. System throughput (as measured by system deliveries) was approximately 378,000 barrels per day (attributable to our interest) during 2010.

The Basin system consists of four primary movements of crude oil: (i) barrels that are shipped from Jal, New Mexico to the West Texas markets of Wink and Midland; (ii) barrels that are shipped from Midland to connecting carriers at Colorado City; (iii) barrels that are shipped from Midland and Colorado City to connecting carriers at either Wichita Falls or Cushing and (iv) foreign and Gulf of Mexico barrels that are delivered into Basin at Wichita Falls and delivered to connecting carriers at Cushing. The system also includes approximately 6 million barrels of tankage located along the system. The Basin system is subject to tariff rates regulated by the FERC.

We recently approved an expansion project on the Basin system to increase pipeline capacity on crude oil movements from Colorado City, Texas to Cushing, Oklahoma to approximately 450,000 barrels per day. The project is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2012.

Permian Basin Area Systems. We operate wholly owned systems of approximately 3,000 miles that aggregate receipts from wellhead gathering lines and bulk truck injection locations into a combination of 4- to 16-inch diameter trunk lines for transportation and delivery into the Basin system at Jal, Wink and Midland as well as our terminal facilities in Midland, Texas. These systems are subject to tariff rates regulated by either the FERC or state regulatory agencies. For 2010, combined throughput on the Permian Basin area systems totaled an average of approximately 371,000 barrels per day.

Western US

All American Pipeline System. We own a 100% interest in the All American Pipeline system. The All American Pipeline is a common carrier crude oil pipeline system that transports crude oil produced from two outer continental shelf, or OCS, fields offshore California via connecting pipelines to refinery markets in California. The system at Las Flores receives crude oil from ExxonMobil s Santa Ynez field, while the system at Gaviota receives crude oil from the Plains Exploration and Production Company-operated Point Arguello field. These systems both terminate at Emidio Station. Between Gaviota and our Emidio Station, the All American Pipeline interconnects with our San Joaquin Valley Gathering System, Line 2000 and Line 63, as well as other third party intrastate pipelines. The system is subject to tariff rates regulated by the FERC.

A portion of our transportation segment profit on Line 63 and Line 2000 is derived from the pipeline transportation business associated with the Santa Ynez and Point Arguello fields and fields located in the San Joaquin Valley. Volumes shipped from the OCS are in decline (as reflected in the table below). See Item 1A. Risk Factors for discussion of the estimated impact of a decline in volumes.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the historical volumes received from both of these fields for the past five years (barrels in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Average daily volumes received from:					
Point Arguello (at Gaviota)	6	6	7	8	9
Santa Ynez (at Las Flores)	33	34	38	38	40
Total	39	40	45	46	49

Line 63. We own a 100% interest in the Line 63 system. The Line 63 system is an intrastate common carrier crude oil pipeline system that transports crude oil produced in the San Joaquin Valley and California OCS to refineries and terminal facilities in the Los Angeles Basin and in Bakersfield. The Line 63 system consists of a 144-mile trunk pipeline (of which 102 miles is 14-inch pipe and 42 miles is 16-inch pipe), originating at our Kelley Pump Station in Kern County, California and terminating at our West Hynes Station in Long Beach, California. The trunk pipeline has a capacity of approximately 110,000 barrels per day. The Line 63 system includes 5 miles of distribution pipelines in the Los Angeles Basin, with a throughput capacity of approximately 144,000 barrels per day. We also have 26 storage tanks with approximately 1 million barrels of storage capacity on this system. These storage assets are used primarily to facilitate the transportation of crude oil on the Line 63 system.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, a 71-mile segment of Line 63 was temporarily taken out of service to allow for certain repairs and realignments to be performed. Line 63 volumes are currently being redirected from the north end of this out-of-service segment to the parallel Line 2000. The product is then batched along Line 2000 until it is re-injected into the active portion of Line 63, which is south of the out-of-service segment, for subsequent delivery to customers. This temporary pipeline segment closure and redirection of product has not impacted our normal throughput levels on this line. For 2010, combined throughput on Line 63 totaled an average of approximately 51,000 barrels per day.

Line 2000. We own and operate 100% of Line 2000, an intrastate common carrier crude oil pipeline that originates at our Emidio Pump Station (part of the All American Pipeline System) and transports crude oil produced in the San Joaquin Valley and California OCS to refineries and terminal facilities in the Los Angeles Basin. Line 2000 is a 130-mile, 20-inch trunk pipeline with a throughput capacity of 130,000 barrels per day. During 2010, throughput on Line 2000 averaged approximately 58,000 barrels per day.

US Rocky Mountain

Salt Lake City Area Systems. We operate the Salt Lake City Area systems, in which we own between 75% and 100% interests. The Salt Lake City Area systems include interstate and intrastate common carrier crude oil pipeline systems that transport crude oil produced in Canada and the U.S. Rocky Mountain region to refiners in Salt Lake City, Utah and to other pipelines at Ft. Laramie, Wyoming. The Salt Lake City Area systems consist of 708 miles of pipelines and approximately 1 million barrels of storage capacity. The Salt Lake City Area systems have a combined throughput capacity of approximately 120,000 barrels per day to Salt Lake City and 20,000 barrels per day to Ft. Laramie. For 2010, throughput on the Salt Lake City Area Systems in total averaged approximately 135,000 barrels per day.

Capline Pipeline System. The Capline Pipeline system is a 631-mile, 40-inch mainline crude oil pipeline originating in St. James, Louisiana, and terminating in Patoka, Illinois. In October 2010, we purchased an additional undivided 11% interest in the Capline Pipeline System which increased our aggregate undivided joint interest to approximately 54%. We also own a 100% interest in 720,000 barrels of tankage located at Patoka, Illinois.

The Capline Pipeline system is one of the primary transportation routes for crude oil shipped into the Midwestern U.S., serving approximately 3 million barrels of refining capacity in PADD II. Shell Pipeline Company LP is the operator of this system through August 2013. Capline has direct connections to a significant amount of crude production in the Gulf of Mexico. In addition, with its two active docks capable of handling approximately 600,000-barrel tankers as well as access to

Table of Contents

the Louisiana Offshore Oil Port and our St. James terminal, it is a key transporter of sweet and light sour foreign crude to PADD II. Total designed operating capacity is approximately 1 million barrels per day of crude oil. In connection with the purchase of our additional undivided interest in the system, our attributable interest in this operating capacity has increased from approximately 470,000 barrels per day to approximately 600,000 barrels per day. Throughput on our interest averaged approximately 223,000 barrels per day during 2010.

Canada

Rangeland System. We own a 100% interest in the Rangeland system, which includes the Mid Alberta Pipeline (MAPL) and the Rangeland Pipeline. The Rangeland system consists of a 554 mile, 8-inch to16-inch mainline pipeline and 660 miles of 3-inch to 8-inch gathering pipelines. Rangeland transports butane, condensate, light sweet crude and light sour crude either north to Edmonton, Alberta by MAPL or south to the U.S./Canadian border near Cutbank, Montana, where it connects to our Western Corridor system. On April 1, 2010, we successfully reversed MAPL allowing for flow from Rangeland s Sundre, Alberta terminal directly to Edmonton, Alberta. During 2010, Plains built and commissioned 80,000 barrels of tankage bringing our storage capability at Edmonton to 400,000 barrels. Total average throughput during 2010 on the Rangeland system was approximately 52,000 barrels per day.

Rainbow System. We own a 100% interest in the Rainbow system. The Rainbow system consists of a 480-mile, 20-inch to 24-inch mainline crude oil pipeline extending from the Norman Wells Pipeline located in Zama, Alberta to Edmonton, Alberta and 114 miles of gathering pipelines. During 2009, we added a heavy oil truck terminal at Nipisi, Alberta to provide producers with additional access to Rainbow. The system has a throughput capacity of approximately 220,000 barrels per day and transported approximately 187,000 barrels per day during 2010.

Manito. We own a 100% interest in the Manito heavy oil system. This 559-mile system is comprised of the Manito pipeline, the North Sask pipeline and the Bodo/Cactus Lake pipeline. Each system consists of a blended crude oil line and a parallel diluent line which delivers condensate to upstream blending locations. The North Sask pipeline is 84 miles in length and originates near Turtleford, Saskatchewan and terminates in Dulwich, Saskatchewan. The Manito pipeline includes 339 miles of pipeline, the mainline segment originates at Dulwich and terminates at Kerrobert, Saskatchewan. The Bodo/Cactus Lake pipeline is 136 miles long and originates in Bodo, Alberta and also terminates at our Kerrobert storage facility. The Kerrobert storage and terminalling facility is connected to the Enbridge pipeline system. At the end of 2009, Plains made the necessary changes to the Kerrobert terminal to expand the flexibility whereby we can now both receive and deliver heavy crude from and to the Enbridge pipeline system. For 2010, approximately 61,000 barrels per day of crude oil were transported on the Manito system.

Facilities Segment

Our facilities segment operations generally consist of fee-based activities associated with providing storage, terminalling and throughput services for crude oil, refined products, LPG and natural gas, as well as LPG fractionation and isomerization services. We generate revenue through a combination of month-to-month and multi-year leases and processing arrangements. Revenues generated in this segment include (i) storage fees that are generated when we lease storage capacity, (ii) terminalling fees, or throughput fees, that are generated when we receive crude oil, refined products, LPG or natural gas from one connecting pipeline and redeliver the applicable product to another connecting carrier, (iii) hub service fees for the movement of natural gas across our header systems, and (iv) fees from LPG fractionation and isomerization services.

As of December 31, 2010, we owned, operated and employed a variety of long-term physical assets throughout the United States and Canada in this segment, including:

- approximately 59 million barrels of crude oil and refined products capacity primarily at our terminalling and storage locations;
- approximately 6 million barrels of LPG storage capacity;
- approximately 50 Bcf of natural gas storage working capacity;
- approximately 11 Bcf of base gas in storage facilities owned by us; and

• a fractionation plant in Canada with a processing capacity of 4,400 barrels per day, and a fractionation and isomerization facility in California with an aggregate processing capacity of 26,000 barrels per day.

1	6
-	~

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, we were in the process of constructing approximately 4 million barrels of additional above-ground crude oil and refined product terminalling and storage capacities and an additional 18 Bcf of high-deliverability salt-cavern natural gas storage capacity.

The following is a tabular presentation of our active facilities segment storage assets in the United States and Canada as of December 31, 2010, grouped by product type:

Facility	Capacity (in millions of barrels, except where noted)
Crude Oil and Refined Products	except where noted)
Cushing	14
Kerrobert	1
LA Basin	8
Martinez and Richmond	5
Mobile and Ten Mile	3
Patoka	5
Philadelphia Area	4
St. James	7
Other	12
Subtotal	59
LPG	
Bumstead	2
Tirzah	1
Other	3
Subtotal	6
Natural Gas	
Pine Prairie	24 Bcf
Bluewater	26 Bcf
Subtotal	50 Bcf

The discussion below contains a detailed description of our more significant facilities segment assets.

Major Facilities Assets

Crude Oil and Refined Products

Cushing Terminal. Our Cushing, Oklahoma Terminal (the Cushing Terminal) is located at the Cushing Interchange, one of the largest wet-barrel trading hubs in the U.S. and the delivery point for crude oil futures contracts traded on the NYMEX. The Cushing Terminal has been designated by the NYMEX as an approved delivery location for crude oil delivered under the NYMEX light sweet crude oil futures contract. As the NYMEX delivery point and a cash market hub, the Cushing Interchange serves as a primary source of refinery feedstock for the Midwest refiners and plays an integral role in establishing and maintaining markets for many varieties of foreign and domestic crude oil. Our Cushing Terminal was constructed in 1993, with an initial tankage capacity of 2 million barrels, to capitalize on the crude oil supply and demand imbalance in the Midwest. The facility is designed to handle multiple grades of crude oil while minimizing the interface and enabling deliveries

to connecting carriers at their maximum rate. The facility also incorporates numerous environmental and operational safeguards that distinguish it from other facilities at the Cushing Interchange.

Since 1999, we have completed multiple expansions, which increased the capacity of the Cushing Terminal to a total of approximately 14 million barrels. During the first quarter of 2010, we placed into service four 570,000-barrel tanks, and during the fourth quarter of 2010 completed construction on four additional 270,000-barrel tanks. See Crude Oil Storage Facilities Under Construction and Under Development below for discussion of ongoing expansion activities at this facility.

Kerrobert Terminal. We own a crude oil and condensate storage and terminalling facility, which is located near Kerrobert, Saskatchewan and is connected to our Manito and Cactus Lake pipeline systems. The total storage capacity at the Kerrobert terminal is approximately 1 million barrels.

Table of Contents

L.A. Basin. We own five crude oil and refined product storage facilities in the Los Angeles area with a total of 8 million barrels of useable storage capacity and a distribution pipeline system of approximately 70 miles of pipeline in the Los Angeles Basin. Approximately 7 million barrels of the storage capacity are used for commercial service and 1 million barrels are used primarily for throughput to other storage tanks and for displacement oil and do not generate revenue independently. We use the Los Angeles area storage and distribution system to service the storage and distribution needs of the refining, pipeline and marine terminal industries in the Los Angeles Basin. Our Los Angeles area system s pipeline distribution assets connect our storage assets with major refineries, our Line 2000 pipeline, and third-party pipelines and marine terminals in the Los Angeles Basin.

Martinez and Richmond Terminals. We own two terminals in the San Francisco, California area: a terminal at Martinez (which provides refined product and crude oil service) and a terminal at Richmond (which provides refined product service). Our San Francisco area terminals have approximately 5 million barrels of combined storage capacity that are connected to area refineries through a network of owned and third-party pipelines that carry crude oil and refined products to and from area refineries. The terminals have dock facilities and our Richmond terminal is also able to receive products by train.

Mobile and Ten Mile Terminal. We have a marine terminal in Mobile, Alabama (the Mobile Terminal) that has current useable capacity of approximately 2 million barrels. Approximately 3 million barrels of additional storage capacity is available at our nearby Ten Mile Facility through a 36-inch pipeline connecting the two facilities, of which approximately two-thirds of the storage capacity is included within the transportation segment.

The Mobile Terminal is equipped with a ship/tanker dock, barge dock, truck unloading facilities and various third-party connections for crude oil movements to area refiners. Additionally, the Mobile Terminal serves as a source for imports of foreign crude oil to PADD II refiners through our Mississippi/Alabama pipeline system, which connects to the Capline System at our station in Liberty, Mississippi.

Patoka Terminal. Our Patoka Terminal has approximately 5 million barrels of storage capacity and the associated manifold and header system at the Patoka Interchange located in southern Illinois. During 2010, we completed Phase II and III storage capacity expansion projects adding 600,000 barrels and 800,000 barrels, respectively. Patoka is a growing regional hub with access to domestic and foreign crude oil volumes moving north on the Capline system as well as Canadian barrels moving south. See Crude Oil Storage Facilities Under Construction and Under Development below for discussion of ongoing expansion activities at this facility.

Philadelphia Area Terminals. We own four refined product terminals in the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania area. Our Philadelphia area terminals have a combined storage capacity of approximately 4 million barrels. The terminals have 20 truck loading lanes, two barge docks and a ship dock. The Philadelphia area terminals provide services and products to all of the refiners in the Philadelphia harbor, and include two dock facilities. The Philadelphia area terminals also receive products from connecting pipelines and offer truck loading services.

St. James Terminal. We have approximately 7 million barrels of crude oil storage capacity at the St. James crude oil interchange in Louisiana, which is one of the three most liquid crude oil interchanges in the United States. The facility also includes a manifold and header system that allows for receipts and deliveries with connecting pipelines at their maximum operating capacity. Over the past two years, we completed the construction of a marine dock that is able to receive both barges and tankers, as well as Phase II of our expansion project adding approximately 900,000 barrels of storage capacity.

Crude Oil Storage Facilities Under Construction and Under Development

Cushing Terminal & Mid-Continent Area. Late in 2010, we began construction on additional crude oil tankage at our Cushing Terminal at an estimated cost of \$85 million. The project, which consists of three phases, includes adding a new pipeline interconnect and approximately 4 million barrels of storage capacity through the construction of sixteen 270,000 barrel tanks. Construction of Phases IX, X and XI are supported by long-term customer commitments and are expected to be placed in service by the end of 2011.

Table of Contents

Patoka Terminal. Early in 2011, we approved construction of Phase IV at our Patoka Terminal. This project will include the construction of two 300,000 barrel crude oil tanks and will increase the total storage capacity at Patoka to approximately 5 million barrels. This new tankage is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2012 at an approximate cost of \$18 million.

Pier 400. This is a project to develop a deepwater petroleum import terminal at Pier 400 and Terminal Island in the Port of Los Angeles to handle marine receipts of crude oil and refinery feedstocks. As currently envisioned, the project would include a deep water berth, high capacity transfer infrastructure and storage tanks, with a pipeline distribution system that will connect to various customers.

The project Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was approved by the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the Port of Los Angeles on November 20, 2008. The EIR was challenged and on January 19, 2010, a final court ruling was issued in our favor. Construction of the Pier 400 project is still subject to the completion and execution of a land lease with the Port of Los Angeles and the receipt of certain other regulatory approvals, as well as the completion of commercial arrangements with potential customers. Currently, future costs to develop this project are estimated to be in the \$450 to \$550 million range. We currently have approximately \$45 million of capitalized project costs on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2010. We expect to be in a position in 2011 to determine whether or not we will move forward with the Pier 400 project.

LPG Storage Facilities

Bumstead. The Bumstead facility is located at a major rail transit point near Phoenix, Arizona. With 133 million gallons of working capacity (approximately 100 million gallons, or approximately 2 million barrels, of useable capacity), the facility s primary assets include three salt-dome storage caverns, a 24-car rail rack and six truck racks.

During 2010, we began upgrading and improving our Bumstead LPG storage facility, which will increase the useable capacity by approximately 700,000 barrels. This project is expected to be completed late in 2011 at a total cost of approximately \$19 million.

Tirzah. The Tirzah facility is located in South Carolina and consists of an underground granite storage cavern with approximately 1 million barrels of useable capacity and is connected to the Dixie Pipeline System (a third-party system) via our 62-mile pipeline.

LPG Processing

Shafter. Our Shafter facility located near Bakersfield, California provides isomerization and fractionation services to producers and customers of NGL. The primary assets consist of 200,000 barrels of NGL storage and a processing facility with butane isomerization capacity of 14,000 barrels per day and NGL fractionation capacity of 12,000 barrels per day. During the first quarter of 2011, we approved our Shafter Expansion Project. This project will include the construction of a 15-mile LPG pipeline system that will be capable of delivering up to 10,000 barrels per day from Occidental Petroleum Corporations s Elk Hills Gas plant to our Shafter facility. It will also include enhancements to our storage and rail facilities. The project is expected to be placed into service in the third quarter of 2012 at an anticipated investment of approximately \$50 million. We expect to invest approximately \$30 million during 2011.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Storage Facilities

Pine Prairie. As a strategically located, high-deliverability storage facility, Pine Prairie has attracted a diverse group of customers, including utilities, pipelines, producers, power generators, marketers and LNG importers, whose storage needs include both traditional seasonal storage services and short-term storage services. Pine Prairie is strategically positioned relative to several major market hub.

Additionally, in January 2011, the CME Group, which is the owner of the NYMEX, announced the introduction of three new natural gas futures contracts for physical delivery at Pine Prairie. The contracts began trading in February 2011 on the NYMEX floor and electronically through CME Globex and will be available for clearing services through CME ClearPort.

Pine Prairie s pipeline header system, which includes an aggregate of 74 miles of 24-inch diameter pipe located within a 20-mile radius of Pine Prairie, is directly connected to eight large-diameter interstate pipelines through nine interconnects that service both conventional and unconventional natural gas production in Texas and Louisiana, including production from existing and emerging shale plays, as well as Gulf of Mexico production and LNG imports. These interconnects also provide direct or indirect access to each of the market hubs described above and to consumer and industrial markets in the Gulf Coast, Midwest, Northeast and Southeast regions of the United States.

Pine Prairie has a total current working gas storage capacity of 24 Bcf in three caverns, and planned expansions that will increase Pine Prairie s total capacity to 42 Bcf by mid-2012 and 45 Bcf by mid-2016. Subject to market demand, project execution, sufficient pipeline capacity, available financing and receipt of future permits, we have the property rights and operational capacity to expand our Pine Prairie facility significantly beyond our current permitted capacity of 48 Bcf. Taking these considerations into account and with certain infrastructure modifications, we currently estimate that Pine Prairie could support in excess of 15 salt caverns and an aggregate storage capacity of over 150 Bcf.

In October 2010, we filed an application for a permit from the FERC to expand Pine Prairie s working capacity up to 80 Bcf. The incremental 32 Bcf would be comprised of expanding four existing caverns by an aggregate 8 Bcf through low-cost fill and dewater operations and adding two additional caverns of 12 Bcf each, increasing the total caverns at Pine Prairie to seven caverns.

Bluewater. Bluewater is located in the State of Michigan which contains more underground natural gas storage capacity than any other state in the U.S. according to EIA data, and primarily services seasonal storage needs throughout Midwestern and Northeastern portions of the U.S. and the Southeastern portion of Canada. Accordingly, Bluewater s customers consist primarily of pipelines, utilities and marketers seeking seasonal storage services. Bluewater s 30-mile, 20-inch diameter pipeline header system connects with three interstate and three natural gas utility pipelines that provide access to the major market hubs of Chicago, Illinois and Dawn, Ontario, which supply natural gas to eastern Ontario and the northeastern United States. These interconnects also provide access to natural gas utilities that serve local markets in Michigan and Ontario.

Bluewater has total working gas storage capacity of approximately 26 Bcf in two depleted reservoirs and we expect to increase Bluewater s working gas capacity by 2 Bcf ratably over a 9 to 10-year period in connection with an ongoing liquids removal project. Bluewater also leases third-party storage capacity and pipeline transportation capacity from time to time to increase its operational flexibility and enhance its service offerings.

Recent Acquisition

During February 2011, we closed the Southern Pines Acquisition. See regarding the Southern Pines Acquisition and related transactions.

Acquisitions and

Recent Developments above for further information

Supply and Logistics Segment

Our supply and logistics segment operations generally consist of the following merchant activities:

• the purchase of U.S. and Canadian crude oil at the wellhead and the bulk purchase of crude oil at pipeline and terminal facilities, as well as the purchase of foreign cargoes at their load port and various other locations in transit;

the storage of inventory during contango market conditions and the seasonal storage of LPG;

Table of Contents

• the purchase of refined products and LPG from producers, refiners and other marketers;

• the resale or exchange of crude oil, refined products and LPG at various points along the distribution chain to refiners or other resellers to maximize profits; and

• the transportation of crude oil, refined products and LPG on trucks, barges, railcars, pipelines and ocean-going vessels to our terminals and third-party terminals.

The majority of activities that are carried out within our supply and logistics segment are designed to produce a stable baseline of results in a variety of market conditions, while at the same time providing upside potential associated with opportunities inherent in volatile market conditions. These activities utilize storage facilities at major interchange and terminalling locations and various hedging strategies to provide a balance. The tankage that is used to support our arbitrage activities positions us to capture margins in a contango market or when the market switches from contango to backwardation. See Impact of Commodity Price Volatility and Dynamic Market Conditions on Our Business Model below for further discussion.

In addition to substantial working inventories associated with its merchant activities, as of December 31, 2010, our supply and logistics segment also owned significant volumes of crude oil and LPG classified as long-term assets for linefill or minimum inventory requirements under service arrangements with transportation carriers and terminalling providers. The supply and logistics segment also employs a variety of owned or leased physical assets throughout the United States and Canada, including approximately:

- 9 million barrels of crude oil and LPG linefill in pipelines owned by us;
- 2 million barrels of crude oil and LPG linefill in pipelines owned by third parties and other long-term inventory;
- 530 trucks and 607 trailers; and
- 1,395 railcars.

In connection with its operations, the supply and logistics segment secures transportation and facilities services from our other two segments as well as third-party service providers under month-to-month and multi-year arrangements. Intersegment sales are based on posted tariff rates, rates similar to those charged to third parties or rates that we believe approximate market rates. However, certain terminalling and storage rates recognized within our facilities segment are discounted to our supply and logistics segment to reflect the fact that these services may be canceled on short notice to enable the facilities segment to provide services to third parties.

The following table shows the average daily volume of our supply and logistics activities for the year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands of barrels per day):

	Volumes
Crude oil lease gathering purchases	620
LPG sales	96
Waterborne foreign crude oil imported	68
Supply & Logistics activities total	784

Crude Oil and LPG Purchases. We purchase crude oil and LPG from multiple producers under contracts and believe that we have established long-term, broad-based relationships with the crude oil and LPG producers in our areas of operations. These contracts generally range in term from a thirty-day evergreen to five years. The majority of these contracts, however, range in term from thirty-days to one year. We utilize our truck fleet and gathering pipelines as well as third-party pipelines, trucks and barges to transport the crude oil to market. In addition, we purchase foreign crude oil. Under these contracts we may purchase crude oil upon delivery in the U.S. or we may purchase crude oil in foreign locations and transport it on third-party tankers.

Table of Contents

We purchase LPG from producers, refiners, and other LPG marketing companies under contracts that generally range from immediate delivery to one year in term. We utilize our trucking fleet as well as leased railcars and third-party tank trucks or pipelines to transport LPG.

In addition to purchasing crude oil from producers, we purchase both domestic and foreign crude oil in bulk at major pipeline terminal locations and barge facilities. We also purchase LPG in bulk at major pipeline terminal points and storage facilities from major integrated oil companies, large independent producers or other LPG marketing companies. Crude oil and LPG is purchased in bulk when we believe additional opportunities exist to realize margins further downstream in the crude oil or LPG distribution chain. The opportunities to earn additional margins vary over time with changing market conditions. Accordingly, the margins associated with our bulk purchases will fluctuate from period to period.

Crude Oil and LPG Sales. The activities involved in the supply, logistics and distribution of crude oil and LPG are complex and require current detailed knowledge of crude oil and LPG sources and end markets and a familiarity with a number of factors including grades of crude oil, individual refinery demand for specific grades of crude oil, area market price structures, location of customers, various modes and availability of transportation facilities and timing and costs (including storage) involved in delivering crude oil and LPG to the appropriate customer.

We sell our crude oil to major integrated oil companies, independent refiners and other resellers in various types of sale and exchange transactions. We sell LPG primarily to retailers and refiners, and limited volumes to other marketers. The contracts generally range in term from a thirty-day evergreen to four years. The majority of these contracts are at market price and have terms ranging from one month to one year. We establish a margin for the crude oil and LPG we purchase by entering into physical sales contracts with third parties, or by entering into a future delivery obligation with respect to futures contracts on the NYMEX, ICE or over-the-counter. Through these transactions, we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchases and sales and future delivery obligations. From time to time, we enter into various types of sale and exchange transactions including fixed price delivery contracts, floating price collar arrangements, financial swaps and crude oil and LPG-related futures contracts as hedging devices.

Crude Oil and LPG Exchanges. We pursue exchange opportunities to enhance margins throughout the gathering and marketing process. When opportunities arise to increase our margin or to acquire a grade, type or volume of crude oil or LPG that more closely matches our physical delivery requirement, location or the preferences of our customers, we exchange physical crude oil or LPG, as appropriate, with third parties. These exchanges are effected through contracts called exchange or buy/sell agreements. Through an exchange agreement, we agree to buy crude oil or LPG that differs in terms of geographic location, grade of crude oil or type of LPG, or physical delivery schedule from crude oil or LPG we have available for sale. Generally, we enter into exchanges to acquire crude oil or LPG at locations that are closer to our end markets, thereby reducing transportation costs and increasing our margin. We also exchange our crude oil to be physically delivered at a later date, if the exchange is expected to result in a higher margin net of storage costs, and enter into exchanges based on the grade of crude oil, which includes such factors as sulfur content and specific gravity, in order to meet the quality specifications of our physical delivery contracts. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our accounting for exchange and buy/sell agreements.

Credit. Our merchant activities involve the purchase of crude oil, LPG and refined products for resale and require significant extensions of credit by our suppliers. In order to assure our ability to perform our obligations under the purchase agreements, various credit arrangements are negotiated with our suppliers. These arrangements include open lines of credit and, to a lesser extent, standby letters of credit issued under our senior unsecured revolving credit facility.

When we sell crude oil, LPG, natural gas and refined products, we must determine the amount, if any, of the line of credit to be extended to any given customer. We manage our exposure to credit risk through credit analysis, credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures.

Because our typical crude oil sales transactions can involve tens of thousands of barrels of crude oil, the risk of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers is a major consideration in our business. We believe our sales are made to creditworthy entities or entities with adequate credit support. Generally, sales of crude oil are settled within 30 days of the month of delivery, and pipeline, transportation and terminalling services settle within 30 days from the date we issue an invoice for the provision of services.

We also have credit risk exposure related to our sales of LPG, natural gas and refined products; however, because our sales are typically in relatively small amounts to individual customers, we do not believe that these transactions pose a material concentration of credit risk. Typically, we enter into annual contracts to sell LPG on a forward basis, as well as to sell LPG on a current basis to local distributors and retailers. In certain cases our LPG customers prepay for their purchases, in

Table of Contents

amounts ranging from approximately \$1 per barrel to 100% of their contracted amounts. Generally, sales of LPG and refined products settle within 10 days of the invoice date.

Certain activities in our supply and logistics segment are affected by seasonal aspects, primarily with respect to LPG supply and logistics activities, which generally have higher activity levels during the first and fourth quarters of each year.

Impact of Commodity Price Volatility and Dynamic Market Conditions on Our Business Model

Through our three business segments, we are engaged in the transportation, storage, terminalling and marketing of crude oil, refined products, LPG and natural gas. The majority of our activities are focused on crude oil, which is the principal feedstock used by refineries in the production of transportation fuels.

Crude oil, LPG, refined products and natural gas commodity prices have historically been very volatile. For example, over the last 24 years, NYMEX West Texas Intermediate crude oil benchmark prices have ranged from a low of approximately \$10 per barrel during 1986 to a high of over \$147 per barrel during 2008. More recently, crude oil prices traded as low as \$33 per barrel in early 2009, before increasing to a range of \$85 to \$95 per barrel in February 2011.

Absent extended periods of lower crude oil prices that are below production replacement costs or higher crude oil prices that have a significant adverse impact on consumption, demand for the services we provide in our fee based transportation and facilities segments and our gross profit from these activities have little correlation to absolute oil prices. Relative contribution levels will vary from quarter-to-quarter due to seasonal and other similar factors, but our fee based transportation and facilities segments should comprise approximately 75% to 80% of our aggregate base level segment profit.

Base level segment profit from our supply and logistics activities is dependent on our ability to sell crude oil and LPG at prices in excess of our aggregate cost. Although segment profit may be adversely affected during certain transitional periods, our crude oil supply, logistics and distribution operations are not directly affected by the absolute level of crude oil prices, but are affected by overall levels of supply and demand for crude oil and relative fluctuations in market-related indices.

In developing our business model and allocating our resources among our three segments, we attempt to anticipate the impacts of shifts between supply-driven markets and demand-driven markets, seasonality, cyclicality, regional surpluses and shortages, economic conditions and a number of other influences that can cause volatility and change market dynamics on a short, intermediate and long-term basis. Our objective is to position the Partnership such that our overall annual base level of cash flow is not adversely affected by the absolute level of energy prices, shifts between demand-driven markets and supply-driven markets or other similar dynamics. We believe the complementary, balanced nature of our business activities and diversification of our asset base among varying regions and demand-driven and supply-driven markets provides us with a durable base level of cash flow in a variety of market scenarios.

In addition to providing a durable base level of cash flow, this approach is also intended to provide opportunities to realize incremental margin during volatile market conditions. For example, if crude oil prices are high relative to historical levels, we may hedge some of our expected pipeline line loss allowance barrels, and if crude oil prices are low relative to historical prices, we may hedge part of the fuel needed to operate our trucks and barges. Also, during periods when supply exceeds the demand for crude oil, LPG or natural gas in the near term, the market for such product is often in contango, meaning that the price for future deliveries is higher than current prices. In a contango market, entities that have access to storage at major trading locations can purchase crude oil, LPG or natural gas at current prices for storage and simultaneously sell forward such products for future delivery at higher prices. Conversely, when there is a higher demand than supply of crude oil, LPG or natural gas in the near term, the market is backwardated, meaning that the price for future deliveries is lower than current prices. In a backwardated market, hedged positions established in a contango market can be unwound, with the physical product or futures position sold into the current higher priced market at a level that more than compensates for any loss associated with closing out future delivery obligations.

The combination of a high level of fee based cash flow from our transportation and facilities segments, complemented by a number of diverse, flexible and counter-balanced sources of cash flow within our supply and logistics segment is intended to enable us to accomplish our objectives of maintaining a durable base level of cash flow and providing upside opportunities. In executing this business model, we employ a variety of financial risk management tools and techniques, predominantly in our supply and logistics segment.

Table of Contents

Risk Management

In order to hedge margins involving our physical assets and manage risks associated with our various commodity purchase and sale obligations and, in certain circumstances, to realize incremental margin during volatile market conditions, we use derivative instruments. In analyzing our risk management activities, we draw a distinction between enterprise level risks and trading related risks. Enterprise level risks are those that underlie our core businesses and may be managed based on management s assessment of the cost or benefit of whether there is value in doing so. Conversely, trading related risks (the risks involved in trading in the hopes of generating an increased return) are not inherent in our core businesses; rather, those risks arise as a result of engaging in the trading activity. Our policy is to manage the enterprise level risks inherent in our core businesses, rather than trying to profit from trading activity. Our risk management policies and procedures are designed to monitor NYMEX, ICE and over-the-counter positions, as well as physical volumes, grades, locations, delivery schedules and storage capacity to help ensure that our hedging activities address our risks. We have a risk management function that has direct responsibility and authority for our risk management function also approves all new risk management strategies through a formal process. Our approved strategies are intended to mitigate and manage enterprise level risks that are inherent in our core businesses.

Except for pre-defined inventory positions, our policy is generally (i) to purchase only product for which we have a market, (ii) to structure our sales contracts so that price fluctuations do not materially affect the segment profit we receive, and (iii) not to acquire and hold physical inventory, futures contracts or other derivative products for the purpose of speculating on outright commodity price changes.

Although we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced within our supply and logistics activities, we purchase crude oil, refined products, LPG and natural gas from thousands of locations and may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of production, transportation and delivery variances as well as logistical issues associated with inclement weather conditions and other uncontrollable events that occur within each month. When unscheduled physical inventory builds or draws do occur, they are monitored constantly and managed to a balanced position over a reasonable period of time. This activity is monitored independently by our risk management function and must take place within predefined limits and authorizations.

Geographic Data; Financial Information about Segments

See Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Customers

Marathon Oil Corporation and its affiliates accounted for 14% of our revenues for each of the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. ConocoPhillips Company accounted for 10%, 12% and 12% of our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. No other customers accounted for 10% or more of our revenues during any of the three years ended December 31, 2010. The majority of revenues from these customers pertain to our supply and logistics operations. We believe that the loss of these customers would have only a short-term impact on our operating results. There is risk, however, that we would not be able to identify and access a replacement market at comparable margins. For a discussion of customers and industry concentration risk, see Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Competition

Competition among pipelines is based primarily on transportation charges, access to producing areas and demand for the crude oil by end users. We believe that high capital requirements, environmental considerations and the difficulty in acquiring rights-of-way and related permits make it unlikely that competing pipeline systems comparable in size and scope to our pipeline systems will be built in the foreseeable future. However, to the extent there are already third-party owned pipelines or owners with joint venture pipelines with excess capacity in the vicinity of our operations, we are exposed to significant competition based on the relatively low cost of moving an incremental barrel of crude oil.

We also face competition with respect to our supply and logistics and facilities services. Our competitors include other crude oil pipeline companies, the major integrated oil companies, their marketing affiliates and independent gatherers, banks that have established a trading platform, brokers and marketers of widely varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of these competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours, and control greater supplies of crude oil.

With respect to our natural gas storage operations, the principal elements of competition are rates, terms of service, supply and market access and flexibility of service. An increase in competition in our markets could arise from new ventures or expanded operations from existing competitors. Our natural gas storage facilities compete with several other storage providers, including regional storage facilities and utilities. Certain major pipeline companies and independent storage providers have existing storage facilities connected to their systems that compete with some of our facilities.

Table of Contents

Regulation

Our assets, operations and business activities are subject to extensive legal requirements and regulations under the jurisdiction of numerous federal, state, provincial and local agencies. Many of these agencies are authorized by statute to issue and have issued requirements binding on the pipeline industry, related businesses and individual participants. The failure to comply with such legal requirements and regulations can result in substantial penalties. At any given time there may be proposals, provisional rulings or proceedings in legislation or under governmental agency or court review that could affect our business. The regulatory burden on our assets, operations and activities increases our cost of doing business and, consequently, affects our profitability, but we do not believe that these laws and regulations affect us in a significantly different manner than our competitors. We may at any time also be required to apply significant resources in responding to governmental requests for information. In 2010 we settled by means of separate Consent Decrees, two ongoing Department of Justice (DOJ)/Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proceedings regarding certain releases of crude oil. Although we believe that all material aspects of the injunctive elements of the Consent Decrees (costs and operational effects) have been incorporated into our budgeting and planning process, future proceedings could result in injunctive remedies, the effect of which would subject us to operational requirements and constraints that would not apply to our competitors. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The following is a discussion of certain, but not all, of the laws and regulations affecting our operations.

Environmental, Health and Safety Regulation

General

Our operations involving the storage, treatment, processing, and transportation of liquid hydrocarbons including crude oil are subject to stringent federal, state, provincial and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to protection of the environment. As with the industry generally, compliance with these laws and regulations increases our overall cost of doing business, including our capital costs to construct, maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could result in the assessment of administrative, civil, and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory and remedial liabilities, and the issuance of injunctions that may subject us to additional operational constraints that our competitors are not required to follow. Environmental and safety laws and regulations are subject to changes that may result in more stringent requirements, and we cannot provide any assurance that compliance with current and future laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our results of operations or earnings. A discharge of hazardous liquids into the environment could, to the extent such event is not insured, subject us to substantial expense, including both the cost to comply with applicable laws and regulations and any claims made by third parties. The following is a summary of some of the environmental and safety laws and regulations to which our operations are subject.

Pipeline Safety/Pipeline and Storage Tank Integrity Management

A substantial portion of our petroleum pipelines and our storage tank facilities in the United States are subject to regulation by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (the PHMSA) pursuant to the Hazardous Liquids Pipeline Safety Act of 1979, as amended (the HLPSA). The HLPSA imposes safety requirements on the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of pipeline and tank facilities. Federal regulations implementing the HLPSA require pipeline operators to adopt measures designed to reduce the environmental impact of oil discharges from onshore oil pipelines, including the maintenance of comprehensive spill response plans and the

performance of extensive spill response training for pipeline personnel. These regulations also require pipeline operators to develop and maintain a written qualification program for individuals performing covered tasks on pipeline facilities. Comparable regulation exists in some states in which we conduct intrastate common carrier or private pipeline operations. Regulation in Canada is under the National Energy Board (NEB) and provincial agencies.

The HLPSA was amended by the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 and the Pipeline Inspection, Protection, Enforcement and Safety Act (PIPES Act) of 2006. These amendments have resulted in the adoption of rules by the Department of Transportation (DOT) that require transportation pipeline operators to implement integrity management programs, including more frequent inspections, correction of identified anomalies and other measures to ensure pipeline safety in high consequence areas, such as high population areas, areas unusually sensitive to environmental damage and commercially navigable waterways. Costs associated with the inspection, testing and correction of identified anomalies were approximately \$31 million in 2010, \$25 million in 2009 and \$23 million in 2008. Based on currently available information, our preliminary estimate for 2011 is that we will incur approximately \$12 million in operational expenditures and approximately \$25 million in capital expenditures associated with our pipeline integrity management program. The acquisitions we have completed over the last several years have included pipeline assets of varying ages and maintenance and operational histories. Accordingly, we will continue to focus on pipeline integrity management as a primary operational emphasis. Significant additional expenses could be incurred if new or more stringently interpreted pipeline safety requirements are implemented. Currently, we believe our pipelines are in substantial compliance with HLPSA and the 2002 and 2006 amendments.

Table of Contents

In 2010, Congress began hearings on the reauthorization of the PIPES Act, which expired in September 2010. Congress did not complete the reauthorization process in 2010, so it will be deferred to 2011 for the new 112th Congress to consider. However, a lapse has no real effect on PHMSA regulation or programs as the pipeline safety program will continue at its previous funding levels. As part of the reauthorization process, on October 18, 2010, PHMSA issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM). Within the ANPRM, PHMSA stated that it is considering whether changes are needed to the regulations covering hazardous liquid pipelines and is seeking public comment on six specific topic areas. The six topics include, (1) the scope of the pipeline safety regulations and existing regulatory exceptions, (2) the criteria for designation as a High Consequence Area (HCA), (3) leak detection and Emergency Flow Restricting Devices, (4) valve spacing, (5) repair criteria for non-HCA areas, and (6) Stress Corrosion Cracking. At this point we cannot predict what new requirements, if any, may come about as a result of reauthorization of the PIPES Act and PHMSA s ANPRM. Significant additional operating expenses could be incurred if new or more stringently interpreted pipeline safety requirements are implemented.

Effective July 2008, PHMSA amended its pipeline safety regulations to extend protection to designated unusually sensitive areas or USAs that could be damaged by failure of certain rural onshore hazardous liquid gathering lines or low-stress pipelines. These USAs include locations containing sole-source drinking water, endangered species, or other ecological resources. Operators of rural onshore hazardous liquid gathering lines located within a defined buffer area around a USA must comply with safety requirements to address threats of corrosion and third-party damage to their lines by developing a damage prevention program, complying with specified corrosion control requirements, and monitoring and mitigating conditions that could lead to internal corrosion. The amended rules narrow the regulatory exception for rural onshore low-stress hazardous liquid pipelines by extending existing safety regulations (including integrity management requirements) to certain low-stress pipelines within a defined buffer area around a USA. In June 2010, PHMSA proposed to extend the amended requirements to all remaining rural low-stress hazardous liquid pipelines that were not covered by the initial rulemaking. We have less than 300 miles of pipeline subject to the amended rules and do not expect compliance to have a material effect on our operating expenses.

In December 2009, PHMSA finalized a new rule dictating the shape and content of new control room management programs for hazardous liquid, gas transmission and distribution pipelines. The rule addresses human factors, including fatigue and other aspects of control room management for pipelines where controllers use supervisory control and data acquisition systems. The new rule became effective on February 1, 2010 and requires that control room management plans must be written by August 1, 2011 and implemented by February 1, 2013. In November 2010, PHMSA proposed to expedite program implementation for most of the rule s requirements to August 2011. We have already incorporated many of the new rule s requirements into our control room operations and we anticipate completing the revisions to our management plan and fully implementing the new provisions prior to the deadlines established in this new rule or prior to the proposed expedited deadline.

We have an internal review process in which we examine the condition and operating history of certain pipelines and gathering assets to determine if such assets warrant additional investment or replacement. Accordingly, in addition to potential cost increases related to unanticipated regulatory changes or injunctive remedies resulting from U.S. EPA enforcement actions, we may elect (as a result of our own internal initiatives) to spend substantial sums to ensure the integrity of and upgrade our pipeline systems and, in some cases, we may take pipelines out of service if we believe the cost of upgrades will exceed the value of the pipelines.

If approved by PHMSA, states may assume responsibility for enforcing federal interstate pipeline regulations as agents for PHMSA and conduct inspections of intrastate pipelines. In practice, states vary in their authority and capacity to address pipeline safety. We do not anticipate any significant issues in complying with applicable state laws and regulations.

The DOT has issued guidelines with respect to securing regulated facilities against terrorist attack. We have instituted security measures and procedures in accordance with such guidelines to enhance the protection of certain of our facilities. We cannot provide any assurance that these security measures would fully protect our facilities from an attack.

The DOT has adopted American Petroleum Institute Standard 653 (API 653) as the standard for the inspection, repair, alteration and reconstruction of steel aboveground petroleum storage tanks subject to DOT jurisdiction. API 653 requires regularly scheduled inspection and repair of tanks remaining in service. Initial compliance, subject to an applicable waiver or stay, was required in May 2009. Costs associated with this program were approximately \$25 million, \$22 million and \$41 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For 2011, we have budgeted approximately \$26 million in connection with continued API 653 compliance activities and similar new EPA regulations for tanks not regulated by the DOT. Certain storage tanks may be taken out of service if we believe the cost of compliance will exceed the value of the storage tanks or replacement

Table of Contents

tankage may be constructed.

In Canada, the NEB and provincial agencies such as the Energy Resources Conservation Board (ERCB) in Alberta and the Saskatchewan Ministry of Energy and Resources regulate the construction, alteration, inspection and repair of crude oil storage tanks. We have incurred and will continue to incur costs under laws and regulations related to pipeline and storage tank integrity, such as operator competency programs, regulatory upgrades to our operating and maintenance systems and environmental upgrades of buried sump tanks. We spent approximately \$23 million in 2010, \$20 million in 2009 and \$8 million in 2008 on these types of costs. Our preliminary estimate for 2011 is approximately \$28 million.

Although we believe that our pipeline operations are in substantial compliance with currently applicable regulatory requirements, we cannot predict the potential costs associated with additional, future regulation. Asset acquisitions are an integral part of our business strategy. As we acquire additional assets, we may be required to incur additional costs in order to ensure that the acquired assets comply with the regulatory standards in the U.S. and Canada.

Occupational Safety and Health

We are subject to the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act, as amended (OSHA) and comparable state statutes that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. In addition, the OSHA hazard communication standard requires that certain information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with OSHA requirements, including general industry standards, recordkeeping requirements and monitoring of occupational exposure to regulated substances.

Similar regulatory requirements exist in Canada under the federal and provincial Occupational Health and Safety Acts and related regulations. The agencies with jurisdiction under these regulations are empowered to enforce them through inspection, audit, incident investigation or public or employee complaint. Additionally, under the Criminal Code of Canada, organizations, corporations and individuals may be prosecuted criminally for violating the duty to protect employee and public safety. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable occupational health and safety requirements.

Solid Waste

We generate wastes, including hazardous wastes, which are subject to the requirements of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, (RCRA) and analogous state and provincial laws. Many of the wastes that we generate are not subject to the most stringent requirements of RCRA because our operations generate primarily oil and gas wastes, which currently are excluded from consideration as RCRA hazardous wastes. It is possible, however, that in the future oil and gas wastes may be included as hazardous wastes under RCRA, in which event our wastes as well as the wastes of our competitors will be subject to more rigorous and costly disposal requirements, resulting in additional capital expenditures or operating expenses.

Hazardous Substances

The federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended (CERCLA), also known as Superfund, and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original act, on certain classes of persons that contributed to the release of a hazardous substance into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the site or sites where the release occurred and companies that disposed of, or arranged for the disposal of, the hazardous substances found at the site. Such persons may be subject to strict, joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources, and for the costs of certain health studies. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances or other pollutants released into the environment. In the course of our ordinary operations, we may generate waste that falls within CERCLA s definition of a hazardous substance. Canadian and provincial laws also impose liabilities for releases of certain substances into the environment.

Environmental Remediation

We currently own or lease, and in the past have owned or leased, properties where hazardous liquids, including hydrocarbons, are or have been handled. These properties and the hazardous liquids or associated wastes disposed thereon may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and state and Canadian federal and provincial laws and regulations. Under such laws and regulations, we could be required to remove or remediate hazardous liquids or associated wastes disposed of or released by prior owners or operators) and to clean up contaminated property (including contaminated groundwater).

We maintain insurance of various types with varying levels of coverage that we consider adequate under the circumstances to cover our operations and properties. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles and retention levels that we consider reasonable and not excessive. Consistent with insurance coverage generally available in the industry, in certain circumstances our insurance policies provide limited coverage for losses or liabilities relating to gradual pollution, with broader coverage for sudden and accidental occurrences.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with our acquisitions, we typically make an assessment of potential environmental exposure and determine whether to negotiate an indemnity, what the terms of any indemnity should be and whether to obtain environmental risk insurance, if available. These contractual indemnifications typically are subject to specific monetary requirements that must be satisfied before indemnification will apply, and have term and total dollar limits. For instance, in connection with the purchase of former Texas New Mexico (TNM) pipeline assets from Link Energy LLC (Link) in 2004, we identified a number of environmental liabilities for which we received a purchase price reduction from Link and recorded a total environmental reserve of \$20 million, of which we agreed in an arrangement with TNM to bear the first \$11 million in costs of pre-May 1999 environmental issues. TNM also agreed to pay all costs in excess of \$20 million (excluding certain deductibles). TNM s obligations are guaranteed by Shell Oil Products (SOP). As of December 31, 2010, we had incurred approximately \$19 million of remediation costs associated with these sites, while SOP s share has been approximately \$8 million.

Other assets we have acquired or will acquire in the future may have environmental remediation liabilities for which we are not indemnified.

Air Emissions

Our operations are subject to the U.S. Clean Air Act (Clean Air Act) and comparable state and provincial laws. Under these laws, permits may be required before construction can commence on a new or modified source of potentially significant air emissions, and operating permits may be required for sources already constructed. We may be required to incur certain capital and operating expenditures in the next several years to install air pollution control equipment and otherwise comply with more stringent state and regional air emissions control when we attempt to obtain or maintain permits and approvals for sources of air emissions. Although we believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with these laws in the areas in which we operate, we can provide no assurance that future compliance obligations will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. For example, EPA has recently proposed a significant tightening of the national ambient air quality standards for ozone which, if adopted, could require significant reductions in emissions of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides in regions of the U.S. that have not previously been subject to the most stringent emissions limitations.

Climate Change Initiatives

In response to recent studies suggesting that emissions of carbon dioxide, methane and certain other gases may be contributing to warming of the Earth s atmosphere, many nations, including Canada, have agreed to limit emissions of these gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases (GHG), pursuant to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, also known as the Kyoto Protocol. The Kyoto Protocol requires Canada to reduce its emissions of GHG to 6% below 1990 levels by 2012.

In 2007, in response to the Kyoto Protocol, the Canadian federal government introduced the *Regulatory Framework for Air Emissions* (also known as the Turning the Corner measures) a regulatory framework for regulating industrial GHG emissions by establishing mandatory emissions reduction requirements on a sector basis. Originally, this framework was intended to be implemented by 2010, however no federally mandated reduction targets for GHGs have been implemented to date. Since 2004, companies emitting more than 100 thousand tonnes per year (kt/y) of CO2 equivalent were required to report their GHG emissions under the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reporting Program. In 2010, this reporting threshold was reduced to 50 kt/y. The operations of Plains Midstream Canada (PMC) fall well below this 50 kt/y threshold.

In Alberta, the provincial government implemented the *Specified Gas Emitters Regulation* in 2007 (under the Alberta Environmental and Protection and Enhancement Act), which mandated a 12% reduction in emission intensity over 2003-2005 levels for all facilities emitting more than 100 kt/y of CO2e. It is anticipated that the threshold for this regulation will be reduced in future years. Alberta also has a GHG reporting threshold at 50 kt/y of CO2e. Again, emissions from PMC s facilities are well below the 50 kt/y threshold.

In April 2010, Environment Canada proposed the *Passenger Automobile and Light Truck Greenhouse Gas Emission Regulations* under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA). Transportation is one of the largest sources of GHG emissions in Canada, accounting for about 27% of total GHG emissions in 2007. Passenger cars and light trucks account for approximately 12% of total GHG emissions or 45% of transportation emissions. The objective of the proposed regulations is to reduce GHG emissions by establishing mandatory GHG emission standards for new vehicles of the 2011 and later model years that are aligned with U.S. standards. The alignment of vehicle emission standards across North America will provide a level playing field for North American automobile manufacturers. The governments of Canada and the U.S. are consulting to develop aligned regulations to reduce emissions from heavy-duty trucks. In December 2010, the Canadian federal government finalized the *Renewable Fuel Regulations* under CEPA. These regulations require an annual average renewable content of five percent in gasoline and will require a two percent renewable content in diesel fuel and heating oil by 2011. These requirements are further intended to reduce GHG emissions in the transportation sector. No other regulatory initiatives to reduce GHG emissions in the truck transportation sector have been announced.

Draft regulations to reduce GHGs from the electricity sector are expected to be published in *Canada Gazette* early in 2011 and final regulations published later this year. This will allow sufficient time for consultations and outreach with industry and other stakeholders. Regulations are scheduled to come into effect on July 1, 2015. No other regulatory initiatives to reduce GHG emissions in the electricity sector have been announced.

With regard to the oil and gas industry and the pipeline transportation sector, it is unclear at this time what direction the government plans to take. However, given that there have been no specific regulatory changes announced to date regarding GHG emissions reduction in these sectors, any future initiatives would likely not take effect until beyond 2015.

Table of Contents

The United States is not participating in the Kyoto Protocol, and, as a result of the November 2010 elections, it appears unlikely that the U.S. Congress will adopt significant climate change legislation within the next two years. However, numerous states already have begun implementing either GHG reporting requirements or actual measures to reduce GHG emissions through mechanisms such as regional cap-and-trade programs. There has also been considerable regulatory activity at the federal level even in the absence of new legislation. Some of the more notable federal actions are:

In October 2009, EPA issued a rule requiring annual reporting of GHG emissions from stationary facilities.

• On December 15, 2009, EPA published a formal endangerment finding that sets the groundwork for GHG s to be regulated pollutants under the Clean Air Act.

• In May 2010, EPA and the National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) jointly issued rules setting GHG standards for light-duty vehicles.

• In June 2010, EPA issued a rule establishing major source thresholds and permitting requirements for large emitters of GHG s.

• In December 2010, EPA and NHTSA announced they would be proposing GHG standards for medium and heavy-duty vehicles.

• In January 2011, EPA began requiring state environmental agencies to specify GHG emission control requirements in permits for new or substantially modified sources of significant GHG emissions.

We anticipate that a small number of our facilities (less than ten) will be subject to the GHG reporting requirements in 2011 and 2012. These include facilities with combustion GHG emissions and potential fugitive emissions above the reporting thresholds, and we expect to report entity-wide GHG emissions on the basis of finished fuels that we import from outside of the U.S. We also continue to monitor GHG emissions for all of our facilities and activities. At the present time, we do not anticipate the need to purchase GHG credits or install control technology to reduce GHG emissions at any of our facilities.

Although it is not possible at this time to predict how legislation or new regulations that may be adopted to address GHG emissions would impact our business, any such future laws and regulations could result in increased compliance costs or additional operating restrictions, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, demand for our services, results of operations, and cash flows. Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHGs in the Earth s atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts, and floods and other climate events, that could have an adverse effect on our assets and operations.

The operations of our refinery customers could also be negatively impacted by current GHG legislation or new regulations resulting in increased operating or compliance costs. Some of the proposed federal and state cap and trade legislation would require businesses that emit GHG s to buy emission credits from government, other businesses, or through an auction process. In addition, refiners could be required to purchase emission credits for GHG emissions resulting from their own refining operations as well as the fuels they sell. While it is not possible at this time to predict the final form of cap-and-trade legislation, any new federal or state restrictions on GHG emissions could result in material increased compliance costs, additional operating restrictions and an increase in the cost of feedstock and products produced by our refinery customers.

Water

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, also known as the Clean Water Act (CWA), and analogous state and Canadian federal and provincial laws impose restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of pollutants into navigable waters of the United States and Canada, as well as state and provincial waters. See Pipeline Safety/Pipeline and Storage Tank Integrity Management above and Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. Federal, state and provincial regulatory agencies can impose administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the CWA.

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA) amended certain provisions of the CWA, as they relate to the release of petroleum products into navigable waters. OPA subjects owners of facilities to strict, joint and potentially unlimited liability for containment and removal costs, natural resource damages, and certain other consequences of an oil spill. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with applicable OPA requirements. State and Canadian federal and provincial laws also impose requirements relating to the prevention of oil releases and the remediation of areas affected by releases when they occur. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all such federal, state and Canadian requirements.

Table of Contents

Other Regulation

Transportation Regulation

Our transportation activities are subject to regulation by multiple governmental agencies. Our historical and projected operating costs reflect the recurring costs resulting from compliance with these regulations, and we do not anticipate material expenditures in excess of these amounts in the absence of future acquisitions or changes in regulation, or discovery of existing but unknown compliance issues. The following is a summary of the types of transportation regulation that may impact our operations.

General Interstate Regulation. Our interstate common carrier pipeline operations are subject to rate regulation by the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA). The ICA requires that tariff rates for petroleum pipelines, which include both crude oil pipelines and refined products pipelines, be just and reasonable and non-discriminatory.

State Regulation. Our intrastate pipeline transportation activities are subject to various state laws and regulations, as well as orders of state regulatory bodies, including the Railroad Commission of Texas (TRRC) and the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC). The CPUC prohibits certain of our subsidiaries from acting as guarantors of our senior notes and credit facilities. See Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. The TRRC is subject to a sunset condition. If the Texas Legislature does not continue the TRRC, the TRRC will be abolished effective September 1, 2011 and will begin a one-year wind-down process. The Sunset Advisory Commission has recommended certain organizational changes be made to the TRRC. We cannot tell what, if any, changes will be made to the TRRC as a result of the pending regular session or any called sessions of the Texas Legislature in 2011, but we do not believe that any such changes would affect our business in a way that would be materially different from the way such changes would affect our competitors.

Canadian Regulation. Our Canadian pipeline assets are subject to regulation by the NEB and by provincial authorities, such as the Alberta ERCB. With respect to a pipeline over which it has jurisdiction, the relevant regulatory authority has the power, upon application by a third party, to determine the rates we are allowed to charge for transportation on, and set other terms of access to, such pipeline. In such circumstances, if the relevant regulatory authority determines that the applicable terms and conditions of service are not just and reasonable, the regulatory authority can impose conditions it considers appropriate.

Regulation of OCS Pipelines. The Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act requires that all pipelines operating on or across the OCS provide open access, non-discriminatory transportation service. In June 2008, the Minerals Management Service (now replaced by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement (BOEMRE)) issued a final rule establishing formal and informal complaint procedures for shippers that believe they have been denied open and nondiscriminatory access to transportation on the OCS. We do not expect the rule to have a material impact on our operations or results.

Energy Policy Act of 1992 and Subsequent Developments. In October 1992, Congress passed the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct), which, among other things, required the FERC to issue rules to establish a simplified and generally applicable ratemaking methodology for petroleum pipelines and to streamline procedures in petroleum pipeline proceedings. The FERC responded to this mandate by establishing a formulaic methodology for petroleum pipelines to change their rates within prescribed ceiling levels that are tied to an inflation index. The FERC reviews the formula every five years. The current methodology (the producer price index for finished goods plus an adjustment factor of 1.3 percent) will remain in place through June 30, 2011. Effective July 1, 2011, the index for the next five year period will be the producer price index for

finished goods plus an adjustment factor of 2.65 percent. Pipelines are allowed to raise their rates to the rate ceiling level generated by application of the index. If the methodology reduces the ceiling level such that it is lower than a pipeline s filed rate, the pipeline must reduce its rate to conform with the lower ceiling unless doing so would reduce a rate grandfathered by EPAct (see below) to below the grandfathered level. A pipeline must, as a general rule, use the indexing methodology to change its rates. The FERC, however, retained cost-of-service ratemaking, market-based rates, agreement with an unaffiliated shipper, and settlement as alternatives to the indexing approach that may be used in certain specified circumstances. Because the indexing methodology for the next five-year period is tied to an inflation index and is not based on pipeline-specific costs, the indexing methodology could hamper our ability to recover cost increases.

Under the EPAct, petroleum pipeline rates in effect for the 365-day period ending on the date of enactment of EPAct are deemed to be just and reasonable under the ICA, if such rates had not been subject to complaint, protest or investigation during that 365-day period. Generally, complaints against such grandfathered rates may only be pursued if the complainant can show that a substantial change has occurred since the enactment of EPAct in either the economic circumstances of the oil pipeline or in the nature of the services provided that were a basis for the rate. EPAct places no such limit on challenges to a provision of an oil pipeline tariff as unduly discriminatory or preferential.

Our Pipelines. The FERC generally has not investigated rates on its own initiative when those rates have not been the subject of a protest or complaint by a shipper. The majority of our transportation segment profit in the U.S. is produced by rates that are either grandfathered or set by agreement with one or more shippers. In Canada, rates are set to cover operating costs and a return on capital, without specific agreements with shippers. Shippers may make application to federal or provincial regulatory agencies if they disagree with rates that have been set.

Trucking Regulation

We operate a fleet of trucks to transport crude oil and oilfield materials as a private, contract and common carrier. We are licensed to perform both intrastate and interstate motor carrier services. As a motor carrier, we are subject to certain safety regulations issued by the DOT. The trucking regulations cover, among other things, driver operations, log book maintenance, truck manifest preparations, safety placard placement on the trucks and trailer vehicles, drug and alcohol testing, operation and equipment safety, and many other aspects of truck operations. We are also subject to OSHA with respect to our trucking operations.

Our trucking assets in Canada are subject to regulation by both federal and provincial transportation agencies in the provinces in which they are operated. These regulatory agencies do not set freight rates, but do establish and administer rules and regulations relating to other matters including equipment, facility inspection, reporting and safety.

Table of Contents

Cross Border Regulation

As a result of our cross border activities, including importation of crude oil, LPG and natural gas between the United States and Canada, we are subject to a variety of legal requirements pertaining to such activities including export/import license requirements, tariffs, Canadian and U.S. customs and taxes and requirements relating to toxic substances. U.S. legal requirements relating to these activities include regulations adopted pursuant to the Short Supply Controls of the Export Administration Act, the North American Free Trade Agreement and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Violations of these licensing, tariff and tax reporting requirements or failure to provide certifications relating to toxic substances could result in the imposition of significant administrative, civil and criminal penalties. Furthermore, the failure to comply with U.S., Canadian, state, provincial and local tax requirements could lead to the imposition of additional taxes, interest and penalties.

Market Anti-Manipulation Regulation

In November 2009, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) issued regulations pursuant to the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, intended to prohibit market manipulation in the petroleum industry. Violators of the regulations face civil penalties of up to \$1 million per violation per day. In July 2010, Congress passed the Dodd-Frank Act, which incorporated an expansion of the authority of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) to prohibit market manipulation in the markets regulated by the CFTC. This authority, with respect to crude oil swaps and futures contracts, is similar to the anti-manipulation authority granted to the FTC with respect to crude oil purchases and sales. In November 2010, the CFTC issued proposed rules to implement their new anti-manipulation authority. The proposed rules would subject violators to a civil penalty of up to the greater of \$1 million or triple the monetary gain to the person for each violation.

We have not experienced a material impact from the FTC regulations. The CFTC rules are not final. We will continue to monitor the status of proposed rules.

Natural Gas Storage Regulation

Interstate Regulation. Our natural gas storage facilities are classified as natural-gas companies under the Natural Gas Act of 1938 (NGA), and are therefore subject to regulation by the FERC. The NGA requires that tariff rates for gas storage facilities be just and reasonable and non-discriminatory. The FERC has authority to regulate rates and charges for natural gas transported and stored in U.S. interstate commerce or sold by a natural gas company in interstate commerce for resale. The FERC has granted our natural gas storage facilities market-based rate authority. Market-based rate authorization allows us to negotiate rates with individual customers based on market demand, which Pine Prairie, Bluewater and Southern Pines then make public via postings on their respective websites.

The FERC also has authority over the construction and operation of U.S. pipeline transportation and storage facilities and related facilities used in the transportation, storage and sale of natural gas in interstate commerce, including the extension, enlargement or abandonment of such facilities. In addition, the FERC s authority extends to maintenance of accounts and records, terms and conditions of service, depreciation and amortization policies, acquisition and disposition of facilities, initiation and discontinuation of services, imposition of creditworthiness and credit support requirements applicable to customers and relationships among pipelines and storage companies and certain affiliates.

Standards of Conduct for Transmission Providers. Historically, the FERC s standards of conduct regulations (now vacated) generally restricted access to U.S. interstate natural gas storage customer data by marketing and other energy affiliates, and placed certain conditions on services provided by U.S. storage facility operators to their affiliated gas marketing entities. The standards of conduct did not apply, however, to natural gas storage providers authorized to charge market-based rates that (i) were not interconnected with the jurisdictional facilities of any affiliated interstate natural gas pipeline and (ii) had no exclusive franchise area, no captive ratepayers, and no market power. The FERC found that Pine Prairie qualified for this exemption from the standards of conduct in January 2006 and Bluewater qualified for this exemption in October 2006.

In November 2006, the D.C. Circuit vacated the standards of conduct regulations with respect to natural gas pipelines and storage companies, and remanded the matter to the FERC. Following a notice of proposed rulemaking, in October 2008, the FERC issued revised Standards of Conduct for Transmission Providers (Standards of Conduct). The Standards of Conduct continue to exempt natural gas storage providers like Pine Prairie and Bluewater. The FERC has since issued three Orders on Rehearing and Clarification in October and November 2009 and April 2010. However, one request for rehearing of the April 2010 order is pending with the FERC. Accordingly, there may be further modifications to the Standards of Conduct upon rehearing.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Price Transparency. In April 2007, the FERC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NOPR) regarding price transparency provisions of the NGA and the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (the EPAct 2005). In the notice, the FERC proposed to revise its regulations to, among other things, require that buyers and sellers of more than a de minimis volume of natural gas report annual numbers and volumes of relevant transactions to the FERC. In December 2007, the FERC issued Order No. 704 implementing the annual reporting provisions of the NOPR with minimal changes to the original proposal. The order became effective in February 2008. The FERC issued two orders on rehearing in 2008, and following a technical conference in March 2010, the FERC issued an order clarifying the reporting requirements in April 2010. Pine Prairie, Bluewater and Southern Pines are subject to these annual reporting requirements.

In November 2008, the FERC issued Order No. 720 requiring interstate pipelines and certain non-interstate facilities to post certain daily capacity and volume information. The rule extends to storage facilities (such as Bluewater) that provide no-notice service. The rule has been appealed, but pending the results of that appeal, Bluewater will be subject to a requirement to post volumes with respect to no-notice service flows at each receipt and delivery point.

Energy Policy Act of 2005. Under the EPAct 2005 and related regulations, it is unlawful in connection with the purchase or sale of natural gas or transportation services subject to FERC jurisdiction to use or employ any device, scheme or artifice to defraud; to make any untrue statement of material fact or omit to make any such statement necessary to make the statements made not misleading; or to engage in any act or practice that operates as a fraud or deceit upon any person. EPAct 2005 also gives the FERC authority to impose civil penalties for violations of the NGA up to \$1 million per day per violation for violations occurring after August 8, 2005. The anti-manipulation rule and enhanced civil penalty authority reflect an expansion of the FERC s NGA enforcement authority.

Other Proposed Regulation. Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the natural gas industry are pending before Congress, the FERC, state commissions and the courts. The natural gas industry historically has been heavily regulated. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurances that the less stringent and pro-competition regulatory approach recently pursued by the FERC and Congress will continue.

Operational Hazards and Insurance

Pipelines, terminals, trucks or other facilities or equipment may experience damage as a result of an accident or natural disaster. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operations. Since the time we and our predecessors commenced midstream crude oil activities in the early 1990s, we have maintained insurance of various types and varying levels of coverage that we consider adequate under the circumstances to cover our operations and properties. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles and retention levels that we consider reasonable and not excessive. However, such insurance does not cover every potential risk associated with operating pipelines, terminals and other facilities, including the potential loss of significant revenues. Consistent with insurance coverage generally available to the industry, in certain circumstances our insurance policies provide limited coverage for losses or liabilities relating to gradual pollution, with broader coverage for sudden and accidental occurrences. Over the last several years, our operations have expanded significantly, with total assets increasing over 2,200% since the end of 1998. At the same time that the scale and scope of our business activities have expanded, the breadth and depth of the available insurance markets have contracted. The overall cost of such insurance as well as the deductibles and overall retention levels that we maintain have increased. As a result, we have elected to self-insure more activities against certain of these operating hazards and expect this trend will continue in the future. Due to the events of September 11, 2001, insurers have excluded acts of terrorism and sabotage from our insurance policies. We have elected to purchase a separate insurance policy for acts of terrorism and sabotage.

Since the terrorist attacks, the United States Government has issued numerous warnings that energy assets, including our nation s pipeline infrastructure, may be future targets of terrorist organizations. These developments expose our operations and assets to increased risks. We have instituted security measures and procedures in conformity with DOT guidance. We will institute, as appropriate, additional security measures or procedures indicated by the DOT or the Transportation Safety Administration. However, we cannot assure you that these or any other security measures would protect our facilities from an attack. Any future terrorist attacks on our facilities, those of our customers and, in some cases, those of our competitors, could have a material adverse effect on our business, whether insured or not.

The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured, indemnified or reserved against, or the failure of a party to meet its indemnification obligations, could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition. We believe we are adequately insured for public liability and property damage to others with respect to our operations. We believe that our levels of coverage and retention are generally consistent with those of similarly situated companies in our industry. With respect to all of our coverage, no assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates we consider reasonable, or that we have established adequate reserves to the extent that such risks are not insured.

Table of Contents

Title to Properties and Rights-of-Way

Our real property holdings are generally comprised of: (i) parcels of land that we own in fee, (ii) surface leases, underground storage leases and (iii) easements, rights-of-way, permits, crossing agreements or licenses from landowners or governmental authorities permitting the use of certain lands for our operations. We believe we have satisfactory title or the right to use the sites upon which our significant facilities are located, subject to customary liens, restrictions or encumbrances. We have no knowledge of any challenge to the underlying fee title of any material fee, lease, easement, right-of-way, permit or license held by us or to our rights pursuant to any material deed, lease, easement, right-of-way, permit or license, and we believe that we have satisfactory rights pursuant to all of our material leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits and licenses. Some of our real property rights (mainly for pipelines) may be subject to termination under agreements that provide for one or more of: periodic payments, term periods, renewal rights, revocation by the licensor or grantor and possible relocation obligations. We believe that our real property holdings are adequate for the conduct of our business activities and that none of the burdens discussed above will materially (i) detract from the value of such properties or (ii) interfere with the use of such properties in our business.

Employees and Labor Relations

To carry out our operations, our general partner or its affiliates (including Plains Midstream Canada) employed approximately 3,500 employees at December 31, 2010. None of the employees of our general partner are subject to a collective bargaining agreement, except for nine employees covered by an agreement scheduled for renegotiation in September 2012 and another nine employees covered by another agreement scheduled for renegotiation in September 2013. Our general partner considers its employee relations to be good.

Summary of Tax Considerations

The following is a brief summary of material tax considerations of owning and disposing of common units, however, the tax consequences of ownership of common units depends in part on the owner s individual tax circumstances. It is the responsibility of each unitholder, either individually or through a tax advisor, to investigate the legal and tax consequences, under the laws of pertinent U.S. federal, states and localities, including the Canadian provinces and Canada, of the unitholder s investment in us. Further, it is the responsibility of each unitholder to file all U.S. federal, Canadian, state, provincial and local tax returns that may be required of the unitholder.

Partnership Status; Cash Distributions

We are treated for federal income tax purposes as a partnership based upon our meeting the Qualifying Income Exception imposed by Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code), which we must meet each year. The owners of our common units are considered partners in the Partnership so long as they do not loan their common units to others to cover short sales or otherwise dispose of those units. Accordingly, we are not liable for U.S. federal income taxes, and a common unitholder is required to report on the unitholder s federal income tax return the unitholder s share of our income, gains, losses and deductions. In general, cash distributions to a common unitholder are taxable only if, and to the extent that, they exceed the tax basis in the common units held. In certain cases, we are subject to, or have paid Canadian income and withholding taxes. Canadian withholding taxes are due on intercompany interest payments and dividend payments and are treated as distributions to our unitholders. Unitholders may be eligible for foreign tax credits with respect to allocable Canadian taxes paid.

Partnership Allocations

In general, our income and loss is allocated to the general partner and the unitholders for each taxable year in accordance with their respective percentage interests in the Partnership, as determined annually and prorated on a monthly basis and subsequently apportioned among the general partner and the unitholders of record as of the opening of the first business day of the month to which they relate, even though unitholders may dispose of their units during the month in question. In determining a unitholder s U.S. federal income tax liability, the unitholder is required to take into account the unitholder s share of income generated by us for each taxable year of the Partnership ending with or within the unitholder s taxable year, even if cash distributions are not made to the unitholder. As a consequence, a unitholder s share of our taxable income (and possibly the income tax payable by the unitholder with respect to such income) may exceed the cash actually distributed to the unitholder by us. Any time incentive distributions are made to the general partner, gross income will be allocated to the recipient to the extent of those distributions.

Table of Contents

Basis of Common Units

A unitholder s initial tax basis for a common unit is generally the amount paid for the common unit and the unitholder s share of our nonrecourse liabilities. A unitholder s basis is generally increased by the unitholder s share of our income and by any increases in the unitholder s share of our nonrecourse liabilities (or liabilities for which no partner bears the economic risk of loss). That basis will be decreased, but not below zero, by the unitholder s share of our losses and distributions (including deemed distributions due to a decrease in the unitholder s share of our nonrecourse liabilities).

Limitations on Deductibility of Partnership Losses

The deduction by a unitholder of that unitholder s allocable share of our losses will be limited to the amount of that unitholder s tax basis in his or her common units and, in the case of an individual unitholder or a corporate unitholder who is subject to the at risk rules (generally, certain closely-held corporations), to the amount for which the unitholder is considered to be at risk with respect to our activities, if that is less than the unitholder s tax basis. A unitholder must recapture losses deducted in previous years to the extent that distributions cause the unitholder s at risk amount to be less than zero at the end of any taxable year. Losses disallowed to a unitholder or recaptured as a result of these limitations will carry forward and will be allowable as a deduction to the extent that his at-risk amount is subsequently increased, provided such losses do not exceed such unitholder s tax basis in his common units. Upon the taxable disposition of a common unit, any gain recognized by a unitholder can be offset by losses that were previously suspended by the at risk limitation but may not be offset by losses suspended by the basis limitation. Any loss previously suspended by the at risk limitation in excess of that gain could no longer be used.

In addition to the basis and at-risk limitation described above, in the case of taxpayers subject to the passive loss rules (generally, individuals and certain closely held corporations), any partnership losses generated by us are only available to offset future income generated by us and cannot be used to offset income from other activities, including passive activities or investments. Any losses unused or suspended by virtue of the passive loss rules may be fully deducted if the unitholder disposes of all of the unitholder s common units in a taxable transaction with an unrelated party.

Section 754 Election

We have made the election provided for by Section 754 of the Code, which will generally result in a unitholder being allocated income and deductions calculated by reference to the portion of the unitholder s purchase price attributable to each asset of the Partnership.

Disposition of Common Units

A unitholder who sells common units will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the adjusted tax basis of those common units. A unitholder may not be able to trace basis to particular common units for this purpose. Thus, distributions of cash from us to a unitholder in excess of the income allocated to the unitholder will, in effect, become taxable income if the unitholder sells the common units at a price greater than the unitholder s adjusted tax basis even if the price is less than the unitholder s original cost. Moreover, a portion of

the amount realized (whether or not representing gain) will be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder s share of our nonrecourse liabilities, a unitholder may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash the unitholder receives from the sale.

Non-U.S., State, Local and Other Tax Considerations

In addition to federal income taxes, unitholders will likely be subject to other taxes, such as non-U.S., state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes, estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which a unitholder resides or in which we conduct business or own property. We own property and conduct business in most states in the United States as well as several provinces in Canada. A unitholder may also be required to file state income tax returns and to pay taxes in various states. As a result of recent organizational restructuring of our Canadian entities as of January 1, 2011, our Canadian-source income will pass through a taxable entity and thus will not be subject to Canadian filing obligations for our unitholders. For 2010 and prior years, a unitholder is required to file Canadian federal and provincial income taxes in respect of our Canadian source income earned by partnership entities that were pass-through entities for tax purposes. Payments of interest and dividends from Canada to other Plains entities will be subject to Canadian withholding tax that is treated as a distribution.

A unitholder may be subject to interest and penalties for failure to comply with such requirements. In certain states, tax losses may not produce a tax benefit in the year incurred (if, for example, we have no income from sources within that state) and also may not be available to offset income in subsequent taxable years. Some states may require us, or we may elect, to withhold a percentage of income from amounts to be distributed to a unitholder who is not a resident of the state. Withholding, the amount of which may be more or less than a particular unitholder s income tax liability owed to a particular

Table of Contents

state, may not relieve the unitholder from the obligation to file an income tax return in that state. Amounts withheld may be treated as if distributed to unitholders for purposes of determining the amounts distributed by us.

Ownership of Common Units by Tax-Exempt Organizations and Certain Other Investors

An investment in common units by tax-exempt organizations (including Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) and other retirement plans) and non-U.S. persons raises issues unique to such persons. Virtually all of our income allocated to a unitholder that is a tax-exempt organization is unrelated business taxable income and, thus, is taxable to such a unitholder. A unitholder who is a nonresident alien, non-U.S. corporation or other non-U.S. person is regarded as being engaged in a trade or business in the United States as a result of ownership of a common unit and, thus, is required to file federal income tax returns and to pay tax on the unitholder s share of our taxable income. Finally, distributions to non-U.S. unitholders are subject to federal income tax withholding at the highest applicable rate.

Available Information

We make available, free of charge on our Internet website (http://www.paalp.com), our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file the material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Business

We may not be able to fully implement or capitalize upon planned growth projects.

We have a number of organic growth projects that require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital. Many of these projects involve numerous regulatory, environmental, commercial, weather-related, political and legal uncertainties that will be beyond our control. As these projects are undertaken, required approvals may not be obtained, may be delayed or may be obtained with conditions that materially alter the expected return associated with the underlying projects. Moreover, revenues associated with these organic growth projects will not increase immediately upon the expenditures of funds with respect to a particular project and these projects may be completed behind schedule or in excess of budgeted cost. We may construct pipelines, facilities or other assets in anticipation of market demand that dissipates or market growth that never materializes. As a result of these uncertainties, the anticipated benefits associated with our capital projects may not be achieved.

Loss of credit rating or the ability to receive open credit could negatively affect our ability to purchase crude oil and LPG supplies or to capitalize on market opportunities.

We believe that, because of our strategic asset base and complementary business model, we will continue to benefit from swings in market prices and shifts in market structure during periods of volatility in the crude oil market. Our ability to capture that benefit, however, is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including our maintaining an attractive credit rating and continuing to receive open credit from our suppliers and trade counterparties. For example, our ability to utilize our crude oil storage capacity for merchant activities to capture contango market opportunities is dependent upon having adequate credit facilities, including the total amount of credit facilities and the cost of such credit facilities, which enables us to finance the storage of the crude oil from the time we complete the purchase of the oil until the time we complete the sale of the oil.

We are exposed to the credit risk of our customers in the ordinary course of our supply and logistics activities.

There can be no assurance that we have adequately assessed the creditworthiness of our existing or future counterparties or that there will not be an unanticipated deterioration in their creditworthiness, which could have an adverse impact on us.

In those cases in which we provide division order services for crude oil purchased at the wellhead, we may be responsible for distribution of proceeds to all parties. In other cases, we pay all of or a portion of the production proceeds to an operator who distributes these proceeds to the various interest owners. These arrangements expose us to operator credit risk, and there can be no assurance that we will not experience losses in dealings with other parties.

Table of Contents

Our risk policies cannot eliminate all risks. In addition, any non-compliance with our risk policies could result in significant financial losses.

Generally, it is our policy that we establish a margin for crude oil we purchase by selling crude oil for physical delivery to third party users, such as independent refiners or major oil companies, or by entering into a future delivery obligation under derivative contracts. Through these transactions, we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchases on the one hand, and sales or future delivery obligations on the other hand. Our policy is not to acquire and hold physical inventory, futures contracts or derivative products for the purpose of speculating on commodity price changes. These policies and practices cannot, however, eliminate all risks. For example, any event that disrupts our anticipated physical supply of crude oil could expose us to risk of loss resulting from price changes. We are also exposed to basis risk when crude oil is purchased against one pricing index and sold against a different index. Moreover, we are exposed to some risks that are not hedged, including risks on certain of our inventory, such as linefill, which must be maintained in order to transport crude oil on our pipelines. In an effort to maintain a balanced position, specifically authorized personnel can purchase or sell an aggregate limit of up to 810,000 barrels of crude oil, refined products and LPG. Although this activity is monitored independently by our risk management function, it exposes us to risks within predefined limits and authorizations.

In addition, our operations involve the risk of non-compliance with our risk policies. We have taken steps within our organization to implement our processes and procedures designed to detect unauthorized trading. We cannot assure you, however, that these steps will detect and prevent all violations of our risk policies and procedures, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved.

The nature of our business and assets exposes us to significant compliance costs and liabilities. As we add assets, we historically have experienced a corresponding increase in the absolute number of releases of crude oil into the environment. Although we believe we have reduced the trend, additional assets acquired in the future could again result in increased frequency of releases. Substantial expenditures may be required to maintain the integrity of our pipelines and terminals at acceptable levels.

Our operations involving the storage, treatment, processing, and transportation of liquid hydrocarbons, including crude oil and refined products, as well as our operations involving the storage of natural gas, are subject to stringent federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment. Our operations are also subject to laws and regulations relating to protection of the environment, operational safety and related matters. Compliance with all of these laws and regulations increases our overall cost of doing business, including our capital costs to construct, maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil, and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory and remedial liabilities, the issuance of injunctions that may subject us to additional operational requirements and constraints, or claims of damages to property or persons resulting from our operations. The laws and regulations applicable to our operations are subject to change and interpretation by the relevant governmental agency. Any such change or interpretation adverse to us could have a material adverse effect on our operations, revenues and profitability.

We have a history of incremental additions to the miles of pipelines we own. We have also increased our terminalling and storage capacity and operate several facilities on or near navigable waters and domestic water supplies. Although we have implemented programs intended to maintain the integrity of our assets (discussed below), as we acquire additional assets we historically have observed an increase in the number of releases of liquid hydrocarbons into the environment. These releases expose us to potentially substantial expense, including clean-up and remediation costs, fines and penalties, and third party claims for personal injury or property damage related to past or future releases. Some of these expenses could increase by amounts disproportionately higher than the relative increase in pipeline mileage and the increase in revenues associated therewith. During 2006 and 2007, we acquired refined products pipeline and terminalling assets. These assets are also subject to significant compliance costs and liabilities. In addition, because of their increased volatility and tendency to migrate farther and faster than crude oil, releases of refined products into the environment can have a more significant impact than crude oil and require significantly higher expenditures to respond and remediate. The incurrence of such expenses not covered by insurance, indemnity or reserves could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

We currently devote substantial resources to comply with DOT-mandated pipeline integrity rules. The 2006 Pipeline Safety Act, enacted in December 2006, requires the DOT to issue regulations for certain pipelines that were not previously subject to regulation. These new regulations, adopted in July 2008, include requirements for the establishment of additional pipeline integrity management programs. We have also developed and implemented certain integrity measures that go beyond regulatory mandate. A portion of these measures are now incorporated into the September 2010 Consent Decree. See Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties Regulation Environmental, Health and Safety Regulation Pipeline Safety/Pipeline and Storage Tank Integrity Management and Legal Proceedings United States Environmental Protection Agency v. Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.

Table of Contents

The acquisitions we have completed over the last several years have included pipeline assets of varying ages and maintenance and operational histories. Accordingly, for 2011 and beyond we will continue to focus on pipeline integrity management as a primary operational emphasis. In that regard, we have implemented programs intended to maintain the integrity of our assets, with a focus on risk reduction through testing, enhanced corrosion control, leak detection, and damage prevention. We have an internal review process pursuant to which we examine various aspects of our pipeline and gathering systems that are not subject to the DOT pipeline integrity management mandate. The purpose of this process is to review the surrounding environment, condition and operating history of these pipeline and gathering assets to determine if such assets warrant additional investment or replacement. Accordingly, in addition to potential cost increases related to unanticipated regulatory changes or injunctive remedies resulting from EPA enforcement actions, we may elect (as a result of our own internal initiatives) to spend substantial sums to ensure the integrity of and upgrade our pipeline systems to maintain environmental compliance and, in some cases, we may take pipelines out of service if we believe the cost of upgrades will exceed the value of the pipelines. We cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate amount or timing of future pipeline integrity expenditures. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings Environmental.

The level of our profitability is dependent upon an adequate supply of crude oil from fields located offshore and onshore California. A shut-in of this production due to economic limitations, a significant event or restrictive regulation could adversely affect our profitability. In addition, these offshore fields have experienced substantial production declines since 1995.

A portion of our transportation segment profit is derived from pipeline transportation tariff associated with the Santa Ynez and Point Arguello fields located offshore California and the onshore fields in the San Joaquin Valley. We expect that there will continue to be natural production declines from each of these fields as the underlying reservoirs are depleted. In addition, any significant production disruption from OCS fields and the San Joaquin Valley due to production problems, transportation problems, earthquakes or other reasons could have a material adverse effect on our business. We estimate that a 5,000 barrel per day decline in volumes shipped from these OCS fields would result in a decrease in annual transportation segment profit of approximately \$7 million. A similar decline in volumes shipped from the San Joaquin Valley would result in an estimated \$3 million decrease in annual transportation segment profit.

In addition, the recent explosion and sinking of the Deepwater Horizon drilling rig in the Gulf of Mexico, as well as the resulting oil spill, may lead to increased governmental regulation of our industry s operations in a number of areas, including health and safety, environmental, and licensing, any of which could restrict the supply of crude oil available for transportation. For example, new legislation has been proposed which would revamp federal oversight of offshore drilling, set new safety standards for drilling equipment and well design, and increase liability limits for offshore drilling companies, among other provisions. Other governmental responses may include deep-water drilling moratoria or other potentially major restrictions on drilling and production. Although we currently have no assets that would directly be affected by such regulation, we cannot predict with any certainty whether such regulation if enacted, might indirectly affect our business.

Our profitability depends on the volume of crude oil, refined product and LPG shipped, purchased and gathered.

Third party shippers generally do not have long-term contractual commitments to ship crude oil on our pipelines. A decision by a shipper to substantially reduce or cease to ship volumes of crude oil on our pipelines could cause a significant decline in our revenues.

To maintain the volumes of crude oil we purchase in connection with our operations, we must continue to contract for new supplies of crude oil to offset volumes lost because of natural declines in crude oil production from depleting wells or volumes lost to competitors. Generally, because producers experience inconveniences in switching crude oil purchasers, such as delays in receipt of proceeds while awaiting the preparation of new division orders, producers typically do not change purchasers on the basis of minor variations in price. Thus, we may experience difficulty acquiring crude oil at the wellhead in areas where relationships already exist between producers and other gatherers and

purchasers of crude oil.

Fluctuations in demand can negatively affect our operating results.

Demand for crude oil is dependent upon the impact of future economic conditions, fuel conservation measures, alternative fuel requirements, governmental regulation or technological advances in fuel economy and energy generation devices, all of which could reduce demand. Demand also depends on the ability and willingness of shippers having access to our transportation assets to satisfy their demand by deliveries through those assets.

Table of Contents

Fluctuations in demand for crude oil, such as caused by refinery downtime or shutdown, can have a negative effect on our operating results. Specifically, reduced demand in an area serviced by our transportation systems will negatively affect the throughput on such systems. Although the negative impact may be mitigated or overcome by our ability to capture differentials created by demand fluctuations, this ability is dependent on location and grade of crude oil, and thus is unpredictable.

If we do not make acquisitions or if we make acquisitions that fail to perform as anticipated, our future growth may be limited.

Our ability to grow our distributions depends in part on our ability to make acquisitions that result in an increase in operating surplus per unit. If we are unable to make such accretive acquisitions either because we are (i) unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts with the sellers, (ii) unable to raise financing for such acquisitions on economically acceptable terms or (iii) outbid by competitors, our future growth will be limited. As a result, we may not be able to complete the number or size of acquisitions that we have targeted internally or to continue to grow as quickly as we have historically.

In evaluating acquisitions, we generally prepare one or more financial cases based on a number of business, industry, economic, legal, regulatory, and other assumptions applicable to the proposed transaction. Although we expect a reasonable basis will exist for those assumptions, the assumptions will generally involve current estimates of future conditions. Realization of many of the assumptions will be beyond our control. Moreover, the uncertainty and risk of inaccuracy associated with any financial projection will increase with the length of the forecasted period. Some acquisitions may not be accretive in the near term, and will be accretive in the long term only if we are able timely and effectively to integrate the underlying assets and such assets perform at or near the levels anticipated in our acquisition projections.

Our growth strategy requires access to new capital. Tightened capital markets or other factors that increase our cost of capital could impair our ability to grow.

We continuously consider potential acquisitions and opportunities for internal growth. These transactions can be effected quickly, may occur at any time and may be significant in size relative to our existing assets and operations. Any material acquisition or internal growth project will require access to capital. Any limitations on our access to capital or increase in the cost of that capital could significantly impair our growth strategy. Our ability to maintain our targeted credit profile, including maintaining our credit ratings, could affect our cost of capital as well as our ability to execute our growth strategy.

Our acquisition strategy involves risks that may adversely affect our business.

Any acquisition involves potential risks, including:

performance from the acquired businesses or assets that is below the forecasts we used in evaluating the acquisition;

- a significant increase in our indebtedness and working capital requirements;
 - the inability to timely and effectively integrate the operations of recently acquired businesses or assets;

• the incurrence of substantial unforeseen environmental and other liabilities arising out of the acquired businesses or assets, including liabilities arising from the operation of the acquired businesses or assets prior to our acquisition;

- risks associated with operating in lines of business that are distinct and separate from our historical operations;
- customer or key employee loss from the acquired businesses; and

•

• the diversion of management s attention from other business concerns.

Any of these factors could adversely affect our ability to achieve anticipated levels of cash flows from our acquisitions, realize other anticipated benefits and our ability to pay distributions or meet our debt service requirements.

Table of Contents

Our results of operations are influenced by the overall forward market for crude oil, and certain market structures or the absence of pricing volatility may adversely impact our results.

Results from our supply and logistics segment are influenced by the overall forward market for crude oil. A contango market (meaning that the price of crude oil for future deliveries is higher than current prices) is favorable to commercial strategies that are associated with storage tankage as it allows a party to simultaneously purchase production at current prices for storage and sell at higher prices for future delivery. Wide contango spreads combined with price structure volatility generally have a favorable impact on our results. A backwardated market (meaning that the price of crude oil for future deliveries is lower than current prices) has a positive impact on lease gathering margins because crude oil gatherers can capture a premium for prompt deliveries; however, in this environment there is little incentive to store crude oil as current prices are above future delivery prices. In either case, margins can be improved when prices are volatile. The periods between these two market structures are referred to as transition periods. If the market is in a backwardated to transitional structure, our results from our supply and logistics segment may be less than those generated during the more favorable contango market conditions. Additionally, a prolonged transition period or a lack of volatility in the pricing structure may further negatively impact our results. Depending on the overall duration of these transition periods, how we have allocated our assets to particular strategies and the time length of our crude oil purchase and sale contracts and storage lease agreements, these transition periods may have either an adverse or beneficial effect on our aggregate segment profit. A prolonged transition from a backwardated market to a contango market, or vice versa (essentially a market that is neither in pronounced backwardation nor contango), represents the least beneficial environment for our supply and logistics segment.

Our assets are subject to federal, state and provincial regulation. Rate regulation or a successful challenge to the rates we charge on our U.S. and Canadian pipeline system may reduce the amount of cash we generate.

Our U.S. interstate common carrier pipelines are subject to regulation by the FERC under the ICA. The ICA requires that tariff rates for petroleum pipelines be just and reasonable and non-discriminatory. We are also subject to the Pipeline Safety Regulations of the DOT. Our intrastate pipeline transportation activities are subject to various state laws and regulations as well as orders of regulatory bodies.

For our U.S. interstate common carrier pipelines subject to FERC regulation under the ICA, shippers may protest our pipeline tariff filings, or the FERC can investigate on its own initiative. Under certain circumstances, the FERC could limit our ability to set rates based on our costs, or could order us to reduce our rates and could require the payment of reparations to complaining shippers for up to two years prior to the complaint. Natural gas storage facilities are subject to regulation by the FERC and certain state agencies.

Our Canadian pipelines are subject to regulation by the NEB and by provincial authorities. Under the National Energy Board Act, the NEB could investigate the tariff rates or the terms and conditions of service relating to a jurisdictional pipeline on its own initiative upon the filing of a toll or tariff application, or upon the filing of a written complaint. If it found the rates or terms of service relating to such pipeline to be unjust or unreasonable or unjustly discriminatory, the NEB could require us to change our rates, provide access to other shippers, or change our terms of service. A provincial authority could, on the application of a shipper or other interested party, investigate the tariff rates or our terms and conditions of service relating to our provincially regulated proprietary pipelines. If it found our rates or terms of service to be contrary to statutory requirements, it could impose conditions it considers appropriate. A provincial authority could declare a pipeline to be a common carrier pipeline, and require us to change our rates, provide access to other shippers, or otherwise alter our terms of service. Any reduction in our tariff rates would result in lower revenue and cash flows.

Some of our operations cross the U.S./Canada border and are subject to cross-border regulation.

Our cross border activities subject us to regulatory matters, including import and export licenses, tariffs, Canadian and U.S. customs and tax issues and toxic substance certifications. Such regulations include the Short Supply Controls of the Export Administration Act, the North American Free Trade Agreement and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Violations of these licensing, tariff and tax reporting requirements could result in the imposition of significant administrative, civil and criminal penalties.

Our sales of oil, natural gas, NGLs and other energy commodities, and related transportation and hedging activities, expose us to potential regulatory risks.

The Federal Trade Commission, the FERC and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission hold statutory authority to monitor certain segments of the physical and futures energy commodities markets. These agencies have imposed broad regulations prohibiting fraud and manipulation of such markets. With regard to our physical sales of oil, natural gas, NGLs or other energy commodities, and any related transportation and/or hedging activities that we undertake, we are

Table of Contents

required to observe the market-related regulations enforced by these agencies, which hold substantial enforcement authority. Our sales may also be subject to certain reporting and other requirements. Additionally, to the extent that we enter into transportation contracts with natural gas pipelines that are subject to FERC regulation, we are subject to FERC requirements related to use of such capacity. Any failure on our part to comply with the FERC s regulations and policies, or with an interstate pipeline s tariff, could result in the imposition of civil and criminal penalties. Failure to comply with such regulations, as interpreted and enforced, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

We face competition in our transportation, facilities and supply and logistics activities.

Our competitors include other crude oil pipelines, the major integrated oil companies, their marketing affiliates, and independent gatherers, investment banks, brokers and marketers of widely varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of these competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours and control greater supplies of crude oil.

With respect to our natural gas storage operations, the principal elements of competition are rates, terms of service, supply and market access and flexibility of service. An increase in competition in our markets could arise from new ventures or expanded operations from existing competitors. Our natural gas storage facilities compete with several other storage providers, including regional storage facilities and utilities. Certain major pipeline companies and independent storage providers have existing storage facilities connected to their systems that compete with some of our facilities.

We may in the future encounter increased costs related to, and lack of availability of, insurance.

Over the last several years, as the scale and scope of our business activities has expanded, the breadth and depth of available insurance markets has contracted. We can give no assurance that we will be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates we consider reasonable. The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

The terms of our indebtedness may limit our ability to borrow additional funds or capitalize on business opportunities. In addition, our future debt level may limit our future financial and operating flexibility.

As of December 31, 2010, our consolidated debt outstanding was approximately \$6.0 billion, consisting of approximately \$4.6 billion principal amount of long-term debt (including senior notes) and approximately \$1.3 billion of short-term borrowings. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$841 million of available borrowing capacity under our senior unsecured revolving credit facility and our senior secured hedged inventory facility.

The amount of our current or future indebtedness could have significant effects on our operations, including, among other things:

• a significant portion of our cash flow will be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and may not be available for other purposes, including the payment of distributions on our units and capital expenditures;

• credit rating agencies may view our debt level negatively;

• covenants contained in our existing debt arrangements will require us to continue to meet financial tests that may adversely affect our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business;

• our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general partnership purposes may be limited;

• we may be at a competitive disadvantage relative to similar companies that have less debt; and

• we may be more vulnerable to adverse economic and industry conditions as a result of our significant debt level.

Our credit agreements prohibit distributions on, or purchases or redemptions of, units if any default or event of default is continuing. In addition, the agreements contain various covenants limiting our ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness if certain financial ratios are not maintained, grant liens, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale-leaseback transactions, and sell substantially all of our assets or enter into a merger or consolidation. Our credit facility treats a change of control as an event of default and also requires us to maintain a certain debt coverage ratio. Our senior notes do

Table of Contents

not restrict distributions to unitholders, but a default under our credit agreements will be treated as a default under the senior notes. Please read Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities and Indentures.

Our ability to access capital markets to raise capital on favorable terms will be affected by our debt level, our operating and financial performance, the amount of our debt maturing in the next several years and current maturities, and by prevailing market conditions. Moreover, if the rating agencies were to downgrade our credit ratings, then we could experience an increase in our borrowing costs, face difficulty accessing capital markets or incurring additional indebtedness, be unable to receive open credit from our suppliers and trade counterparties, be unable to benefit from swings in market prices and shifts in market structure during periods of volatility in the crude oil market or suffer a reduction in the market price of our common units. If we are unable to access the capital markets on favorable terms at the time a debt obligation becomes due in the future, we might be forced to refinance some of our debt obligations through bank credit, as opposed to long-term public debt securities or equity securities. The price and terms upon which we might receive such extensions or additional bank credit, if at all, could be more onerous than those contained in existing debt agreements. Any such arrangements could, in turn, increase the risk that our leverage may adversely affect our future financial and operating flexibility and thereby impact our ability to pay cash distributions at expected rates.

Marine transportation of crude oil and refined product has inherent operating risks.

Our supply and logistics operations include purchasing crude oil that is carried on third-party tankers. Our waterborne cargoes of crude oil are at risk of being damaged or lost because of events such as marine disaster, inclement weather, mechanical failures, grounding or collision, fire, explosion, environmental accidents, piracy, terrorism and political instability. Such occurrences could result in death or injury to persons, loss of property or environmental damage, delays in the delivery of cargo, loss of revenues from or termination of charter contracts, governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business, higher insurance rates and damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally. Although certain of these risks may be covered under our insurance program, any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues.

Maritime claimants could arrest the vessels carrying our cargoes.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, other shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against that vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lienholder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of a vessel carrying a cargo of our oil could substantially delay our shipment.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, under the sister ship theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel that is subject to the claimant s maritime lien and any associated vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert sister ship liability against one vessel carrying our cargo for claims relating to a vessel with which we have no relation.

We are dependent on use of third-party assets for certain of our operations.

Certain of our business activities require the use of third-party assets over which we may have little or no control. For example, a portion of our storage and distribution business conducted in the Los Angeles basin (acquired in connection with the Pacific merger) receives waterborne crude oil through dock facilities operated by a third party in the Port of Long Beach. We are currently a hold-over tenant with respect to such facilities. If we are unable to renew the agreement that allows us to utilize these dock facilities, and if other alternative dock access cannot be arranged, the volumes of crude oil that we presently receive from our customers in the Los Angeles basin may be reduced, which could result in a reduction of facilities segment revenue and cash flow.

Increases in interest rates could adversely affect our business and the trading price of our units.

We use both fixed and variable rate debt, and we are exposed to market risk due to the floating interest rates on our credit facilities. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$6.0 billion of consolidated debt, of which approximately \$4.1 billion was at fixed interest rates and approximately \$1.9 billion was at variable interest rates (including \$300 million of interest rate derivatives that swap fixed-rate debt for floating). From time to time we use interest rate derivatives to hedge interest obligations on specific debt issuances, including anticipated debt issuances. Our results of operations, cash flows and financial position could be adversely affected by significant increases in interest rates above current levels. Additionally, increases in interest rates could adversely affect our supply and logistics segment results by increasing interest costs

Table of Contents

associated with the storage of hedged crude oil and LPG inventory. Further, the trading price of our common units may be sensitive to changes in interest rates and any rise in interest rates could adversely impact such trading price.

Changes in currency exchange rates could adversely affect our operating results.

Because we conduct operations in Canada, we are exposed to currency fluctuations and exchange rate risks that may adversely affect our results of operations.

Terrorist attacks aimed at our facilities could adversely affect our business.

Since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, the U.S. government has issued warnings that energy assets, specifically the nation s pipeline infrastructure, may be future targets of terrorist organizations. These developments will subject our operations to increased risks. Any future terrorist attack that may target our facilities, those of our customers and, in some cases, those of other pipelines, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

An impairment of goodwill could reduce our earnings.

At December 31, 2010, we had \$1.4 billion of goodwill. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price of a business exceeds the fair market value of the acquired tangible and separately measurable intangible net assets. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, requires us to test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or when events or circumstances occur indicating that goodwill might be impaired. If we were to determine that any of our goodwill was impaired, we would be required to take an immediate charge to earnings with a corresponding reduction of partners equity and increase in balance sheet leverage as measured by debt to total capitalization.

Our natural gas storage facilities are new and have limited operating history. The facilities may not be able to deliver as anticipated, which could prevent us from meeting our contractual obligations and cause us to incur significant costs.

Although we believe that our operating gas storage facilities have been designed to meet our contractual obligations with respect to wheeling, injection, withdrawal and gas specifications, the facilities are new and have a limited operating history. If we fail to wheel, inject or withdraw natural gas at contracted rates, or cannot deliver natural gas consistent with contractual quality specifications, we could incur significant costs to satisfy our contractual obligations.

Risks Inherent in an Investment in Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.

Cost reimbursements due to our general partner may be substantial and will reduce our cash available for distribution to unitholders.

Prior to making any distribution on our common units, we will reimburse our general partner and its affiliates, including officers and directors of the general partner, for all expenses incurred on our behalf (other than expenses related to the Class B units of Plains AAP, L.P.). The reimbursement of expenses and the payment of fees could adversely affect our ability to make distributions. The general partner has sole discretion to determine the amount of these expenses. In addition, our general partner and its affiliates may provide us services for which we will be charged reasonable fees as determined by the general partner.

Cash distributions are not guaranteed and may fluctuate with our performance and the establishment of financial reserves.

Because distributions on our common units are dependent on the amount of cash we generate, distributions may fluctuate based on our performance. The actual amount of cash that is available to be distributed each quarter will depend on numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control and the control of the general partner. Cash distributions are dependent primarily on cash flow, including cash flow from financial reserves and working capital borrowings, and not solely on profitability, which is affected by non-cash items. Therefore, cash distributions might be made during periods when we record losses and might not be made during periods when we record profits.

Table of Contents

.

Unitholders may not be able to remove our general partner even if they wish to do so.

Our general partner manages and operates the Partnership. Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders will have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business. Unitholders have no right to elect the general partner or the directors of the general partner on an annual or any other basis.

Furthermore, if unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they currently have little practical ability to remove our general partner or otherwise change its management. Our general partner may not be removed except upon the vote of the holders of at least 662/3% of our outstanding units (including units held by our general partner or its affiliates). Because the owners of our general partner, along with directors and executive officers and their affiliates, own a significant percentage of our outstanding common units, the removal of our general partner would be difficult without the consent of both our general partner and its affiliates.

In addition, the following provisions of our partnership agreement may discourage a person or group from attempting to remove our general partner or otherwise change our management:

• generally, if a person acquires 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding other than from our general partner or its affiliates, the units owned by such person cannot be voted on any matter; and

• limitations upon the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other limitations upon the unitholders ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

As a result of these provisions, the price at which our common units will trade may be lower because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

We may issue additional common units without unitholder approval, which would dilute a unitholder s existing ownership interests.

Our general partner may cause us to issue an unlimited number of common units without unitholder approval (subject to applicable NYSE rules). We may also issue at any time an unlimited number of equity securities ranking junior or senior to the common units without unitholder approval (subject to applicable NYSE rules). The issuance of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

an existing unitholder s proportionate ownership interest in the Partnership will decrease;

- the amount of cash available for distribution on each unit may decrease;
- the ratio of taxable income to distributions may increase;
- the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished; and
- the market price of the common units may decline.

Our general partner has a limited call right that may require unitholders to sell their units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own 80% or more of the common units, the general partner will have the right, but not the obligation, which it may assign to any of its affiliates, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the remaining common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price generally equal to the then current market price of the common units. As a result, unitholders may be required to sell their common units at a time when they may not desire to sell them or at a price that is less than the price they would like to receive. They may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of their common units.

Unitholders may not have limited liability if a court finds that unitholder actions constitute control of our business.

Under Delaware law, a unitholder could be held liable for our obligations to the same extent as a general partner if a court determined that the right of unitholders to remove our general partner or to take other action under our partnership agreement constituted participation in the control of our business.

Our general partner generally has unlimited liability for our obligations, such as our debts and environmental liabilities, except for those contractual obligations that are expressly made without recourse to our general partner. Our partnership agreement allows the general partner to incur obligations on our behalf that are expressly non-recourse to the



Table of Contents

general partner. The general partner has entered into such limited recourse obligations in most instances involving payment liability and intends to do so in the future.

In addition, Section 17-607 of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act provides that under some circumstances, a unitholder may be liable to us for the amount of a distribution for a period of three years from the date of the distribution.

Conflicts of interest could arise among our general partner and us or the unitholders.

These conflicts may include the following:

• under our partnership agreement, we reimburse the general partner for the costs of managing and for operating the partnership;

• the amount of cash expenditures, borrowings and reserves in any quarter may affect available cash to pay quarterly distributions to unitholders;

• the general partner tries to avoid being liable for partnership obligations. The general partner is permitted to protect its assets in this manner by our partnership agreement. Under our partnership agreement the general partner would not breach its fiduciary duty by avoiding liability for partnership obligations even if we can obtain more favorable terms without limiting the general partner s liability; under our partnership agreement, the general partner may pay its affiliates for any services rendered on terms fair and reasonable to us. The general partner may also enter into additional contracts with any of its affiliates on behalf of us. Agreements or contracts between us and our general partner (and its affiliates) are not necessarily the result of arms length negotiations; and

• the general partner would not breach our partnership agreement by exercising its call rights to purchase limited partnership interests or by assigning its call rights to one of its affiliates or to us.

The control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent. A change of control may result in defaults under certain of our debt instruments and the triggering of payment obligations under compensation arrangements.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of our unitholders. Furthermore, there is no restriction in our partnership agreement on the ability of the general partner of our general partner to transfer its general partnership interest in our general partner to a third party. Any new owner of our general partner would be able to replace the board of directors and officers with its own choices and to control their decisions and actions.

In addition, a change of control would constitute an event of default under our revolving credit agreements. During the continuance of an event of default under our revolving credit agreements, the administrative agent may terminate any outstanding commitments of the lenders to extend credit to us under our revolving credit facility and/or declare all amounts payable by us under our revolving credit facility immediately due and payable. A change of control also may trigger payment obligations under various compensation arrangements with our officers.

Risks Related to an Investment in Our Debt Securities

The right to receive payments on our outstanding debt securities and subsidiary guarantees is unsecured and will be effectively subordinated to our existing and future secured indebtedness as well as to any existing and future indebtedness of our subsidiaries that do not guarantee the notes.

Our debt securities are effectively subordinated to claims of our secured creditors and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to the claims of our secured creditors as well as the secured creditors of our subsidiary guarantors. Although many of our operating subsidiaries have guaranteed such debt securities, the guarantees are subject to release under certain circumstances, and we may have subsidiaries that are not guarantors. In that case, the debt securities would be effectively subordinated to the claims of all creditors, including trade creditors and tort claimants, of our subsidiaries that are not

Table of Contents

guarantors. In the event of the insolvency, bankruptcy, liquidation, reorganization, dissolution or winding up of the business of a subsidiary that is not a guarantor, creditors of that subsidiary would generally have the right to be paid in full before any distribution is made to us or the holders of the debt securities.

Our leverage may limit our ability to borrow additional funds, comply with the terms of our indebtedness or capitalize on business opportunities.

Our leverage is significant in relation to our partners capital. At December 31, 2010, our total outstanding debt was approximately \$6.0 billion. We will be prohibited from making cash distributions during an event of default under any of our indebtedness. Various limitations in our credit facilities may reduce our ability to incur additional debt, to engage in some transactions and to capitalize on business opportunities. Any subsequent refinancing of our current indebtedness or any new indebtedness could have similar or greater restrictions.

Our leverage could have important consequences to investors in our debt securities. We will require substantial cash flow to meet our principal and interest obligations with respect to the notes and our other consolidated indebtedness. Our ability to make scheduled payments, to refinance our obligations with respect to our indebtedness or our ability to obtain additional financing in the future will depend on our financial and operating performance, which, in turn, is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors. We believe that we will have sufficient cash flow from operations and available borrowings under our bank credit facility to service our indebtedness, although the principal amount of the notes will likely need to be refinanced at maturity in whole or in part. However, a significant downturn in the energy industry or other development adversely affecting our cash flow could materially impair our ability to service our indebtedness. If our cash flow and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to refinance all or portion of our debt or sell assets. We can give no assurance that we would be able to refinance our existing indebtedness or sell assets on terms that are commercially reasonable.

Our leverage may adversely affect our ability to fund future working capital, capital expenditures and other general partnership requirements, future acquisition, construction or development activities, or to otherwise fully realize the value of our assets and opportunities because of the need to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness or to comply with any restrictive terms of our indebtedness. Our leverage may also make our results of operations more susceptible to adverse economic and industry conditions by limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate and may place us at a competitive disadvantage as compared to our competitors that have less debt.

A court may use fraudulent conveyance considerations to avoid or subordinate the subsidiary guarantees.

Various applicable fraudulent conveyance laws have been enacted for the protection of creditors. A court may use fraudulent conveyance laws to subordinate or avoid the subsidiary guarantees of our debt securities issued by any of our subsidiary guarantors. It is also possible that under certain circumstances a court could hold that the direct obligations of a subsidiary guaranteeing our debt securities could be superior to the obligations under that guarantee.

A court could avoid or subordinate the guarantee of our debt securities by any of our subsidiaries in favor of that subsidiary s other debts or liabilities to the extent that the court determined either of the following were true at the time the subsidiary issued the guarantee:

• that subsidiary incurred the guarantee with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud any of its present or future creditors or that subsidiary contemplated insolvency with a design to favor one or more creditors to the total or partial exclusion of others; or

• that subsidiary did not receive fair consideration or reasonable equivalent value for issuing the guarantee and, at the time it issued the guarantee, that subsidiary:

• was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the issuance of the guarantee;

• was engaged or about to engage in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of that subsidiary constituted unreasonably small capital; or

• intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay such debts as they matured.

Table of Contents

The measure of insolvency for purposes of the foregoing will vary depending upon the law of the relevant jurisdiction. Generally, however, an entity would be considered insolvent for purposes of the foregoing if the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, were greater than the fair saleable value of all of its assets at a fair valuation, or if the present fair saleable value of its assets were less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they become absolute and matured.

Among other things, a legal challenge of a subsidiary s guarantee of our debt securities on fraudulent conveyance grounds may focus on the benefits, if any, realized by that subsidiary as a result of our issuance of our debt securities. To the extent a subsidiary s guarantee of our debt securities is avoided as a result of fraudulent conveyance or held unenforceable for any other reason, the holders of our debt securities would cease to have any claim in respect of that guarantee.

The ability to transfer our debt securities may be limited by the absence of a trading market.

We do not currently intend to apply for listing of our debt securities on any securities exchange or stock market. The liquidity of any market for our debt securities will depend on the number of holders of those debt securities, the interest of securities dealers in making a market in those debt securities and other factors. Accordingly, we can give no assurance as to the development or liquidity of any market for the debt securities.

We have a holding company structure in which our subsidiaries conduct our operations and own our operating assets.

We are a holding company, and our subsidiaries conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets. We have no significant assets other than the ownership interests in our subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to make required payments on our debt securities depends on the performance of our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us. The ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us may be restricted by, among other things, credit facilities and applicable state partnership laws and other laws and regulations. Pursuant to the credit facilities, we may be required to establish cash reserves for the future payment of principal and interest on the amounts outstanding under our credit facilities. If we are unable to obtain the funds necessary to pay the principal amount at maturity of the debt securities, or to repurchase the debt securities upon the occurrence of a change of control, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as a refinancing of the debt securities. We cannot assure you that we would be able to refinance the debt securities.

We do not have the same flexibility as other types of organizations to accumulate cash, which may limit cash available to service our debt securities or to repay them at maturity.

Unlike a corporation, our partnership agreement requires us to distribute, on a quarterly basis, 100% of our available cash to our unitholders of record and our general partner. Available cash is generally all of our cash receipts adjusted for cash distributions and net changes to reserves. Our general partner will determine the amount and timing of such distributions and has broad discretion to establish and make additions to our reserves or the reserves of our operating partnerships in amounts the general partner determines in its reasonable discretion to be necessary or appropriate:

• to provide for the proper conduct of our business and the businesses of our operating partnerships (including reserves for future capital expenditures and for our anticipated future credit needs);

- to provide funds for distributions to our unitholders and the general partner for any one or more of the next four calendar quarters; or
- to comply with applicable law or any of our loan or other agreements.

Although our payment obligations to our unitholders are subordinate to our payment obligations to debtholders, the value of our units will decrease in direct correlation with decreases in the amount we distribute per unit. Accordingly, if we experience a liquidity problem in the future, we may not be able to issue equity to recapitalize.

Tax Risks to Common Unitholders

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, as well as our not being subject to a material amount of additional entity-level taxation by individual states. If the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) were to treat us as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or if we become subject to material additional amounts of entity-level taxation for state or foreign tax purposes, it would reduce the amount of cash available to pay distributions and our debt obligations.

Table of Contents

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. A publicly traded partnership such as us may be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes unless it satisfies a qualifying income requirement. Based on our current operations we believe that we are treated as a partnership rather than a corporation for such purposes; however, a change in our business could cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on this or any other tax matter affecting us.

In addition, a change in current law may cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to entity-level taxation. In addition, because of widespread state budget deficits and other reasons, several states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise and other forms of taxation. Specifically, beginning in 2008, we became subject to a new entity level tax on the portion of our income that is generated in Texas in the prior year. Imposition of any such additional taxes on us will reduce the cash available for distribution to our unitholders. If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and would likely pay state income taxes at varying rates. Distributions to our unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would flow through to our unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in cash flow and after-tax returns to our unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our units.

Our partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to taxation as a corporation or otherwise subjects us to entity-level taxation for federal income tax purposes, our target distribution amounts will be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

Recent changes in Canadian tax law will subject our Canadian subsidiaries to entity-level tax, which will reduce the amount of cash available to pay distributions and our debt obligations.

In response to changes in Canadian tax legislation and the Fifth Protocol to the U.S./Canada Income Tax Convention, on January 1, 2011, we restructured our Canadian investment. All Canadian operations are now carried on in entities that are treated as corporations for Canadian tax purposes and subject to Canadian federal and provincial income tax. Dividend and interest payments from Canada are subject to withholding taxes that are reduced from the applicable statutory withholding tax rate through the application of a tax treaty. If the Canadian tax authorities were to challenge the application of the tax treaty, it could result in a reduction of available cash.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in our termination as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have been technically terminated for tax purposes if there are sales or exchanges which, in the aggregate, constitute 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of measuring whether the 50% threshold is reached, multiple sales of the same interest are counted only once. Our termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which would result in our filing two tax returns for one fiscal year and could result in a deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than a calendar year, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in his taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination currently would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, but it would result in our being treated as a new partnership for tax purposes. If we were treated as a new partnership, we would be required to make

new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we were unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS has recently announced a relief procedure whereby if a publicly traded partnership that has technically terminated requests and the IRS grants special relief, among other things, the partnership may be permitted to provide only a single Schedule K-1 to unitholders for the tax years in which the termination occurs.

If the IRS contests the federal income tax positions we take, the market for our common units may be adversely impacted and the cost of any IRS contest will reduce our cash available for distribution or debt service.

The IRS has made no determination as to our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes or as to any other matter affecting us. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the positions we take. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take. A court may not agree with some or all positions we take. Any contest with the IRS may materially and adversely impact the market for our common units and the

Table of Contents

price at which they trade. In addition, our costs of any contest with the IRS will be borne indirectly by our unitholders and our general partner because the costs will reduce our cash available for distribution or debt service.

Our unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Because our unitholders will be treated as partners to whom we will allocate taxable income that could be different in amount than the cash we distribute, they will be required to pay any federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on their share of our taxable income even if they receive no cash distributions from us. Unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability that results from that income.

Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If our unitholders sell their common units, they will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and their tax basis in those common units. Because distributions in excess of a unitholder s allocable share of our net taxable income decrease the unitholder s tax basis in their common units, the amount of any such prior excess distributions with respect to their units will, in effect, become taxable income to the unitholder if the common units are sold at a price greater than the unitholder s tax basis in those common units, even if the price the unitholder receives is less than the unitholder s original cost. Furthermore, a substantial portion of the amount realized, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder s share of our nonrecourse liabilities, if a unitholder sells units, the unitholder may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash received from the sale.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning our common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investment in common units by tax-exempt entities, such as employee benefit plans and IRAs, and non-U.S. persons raises issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations that are exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Distributions to non-U.S. persons will be reduced by withholding taxes at the highest applicable effective tax rate, and non-U.S. persons will be required to file U.S. federal tax returns and pay tax on their share of our taxable income. Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons should consult their tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We treat each purchaser of our common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the actual units purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of our common units.

To maintain the uniformity of the economic and tax characteristics of common units, we have adopted depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to our unitholders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from the sale of

common units and could have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders tax returns.

Our unitholders will likely be subject to state, local and non-U.S. taxes and return filing requirements in states and jurisdictions where they do not live as a result of investing in our units.

In addition to federal income taxes, our unitholders will likely be subject to other taxes, including state, local and non-U.S. taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we conduct business or own property now or in the future, even if our unitholders do not live in any of those jurisdictions. Our unitholders will likely be required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, our unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. We currently own property and conduct business in most states in the United States, most of which impose a personal income tax on individuals and an income tax on corporations and other entities. It is our unitholders responsibility to file all U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. tax returns. As a result of the Canadian restructuring, 2010 is the last year that non-Canadian unitholders will be required to file Canadian tax returns with respect to an investment in our units.

Table of Contents

We have adopted certain valuation methodologies that may result in a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between our general partner and our unitholders. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of our common units.

When we issue additional units or engage in certain other transactions, we determine the fair market value of our assets and allocate any unrealized gain or loss attributable to our assets to the capital accounts of our unitholders and our general partner. Our methodology may be viewed as understating the value of our assets. In that case, there may be a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between certain unitholders and the general partner, which may be unfavorable to such unitholders. Moreover, under our current valuation methods, subsequent purchasers of common units may have a greater portion of their Internal Revenue Code Section 743(b) adjustment allocated to our tangible assets. The IRS may challenge our valuation methods, or our allocation of the Section 743(b) adjustment attributable to our tangible and intangible assets, and allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction between the general partner and certain of our unitholders.

A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the amount of taxable income or loss being allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the amount of gain from our unitholders sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of the common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

A unitholder whose common units are loaned to a short seller to cover a short sale of common units may be considered as having disposed of those common units. If so, he would no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because there is no tax concept of loaning a partnership interest, a unitholder whose common units are loaned to a short seller to cover a short sale of common units may be considered as having disposed of the loaned units, he may no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan to the short seller and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan to the short seller, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those common units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those common units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a loan to a short seller should modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their common units.

The tax treatment of (i) publicly traded partnerships or (ii) an investment in our units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of (i) publicly traded partnerships, including us, or (ii) an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. For example, members of Congress have recently considered substantive changes to the existing federal income tax laws that affect publicly traded partnerships. Any modification to the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively and could make it more difficult or impossible to meet the exception for certain publicly traded partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Although the considered legislation would not have appeared to have affected our treatment as a partnership, we are unable to predict whether any of these changes, or other proposals will be reintroduced or will ultimately be enacted. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between existing unitholders and unitholders who purchase our units based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The use of this proration method may not be permitted under existing Treasury Regulations. Recently, the U.S. Treasury Department issued proposed Treasury Regulations that provide a safe harbor pursuant to which publicly traded partnerships may use a similar monthly simplifying convention to allocate tax items. Nonetheless, the proposed regulations do not specifically authorize the use of the proration method we have adopted. If the IRS were to challenge our proration method or new Treasury Regulations were issued, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

Table of Contents

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

United States Environmental Protection Agency v. Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. In September 2010, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas entered an order approving a Consent Decree that represented our settlement agreement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) regarding a 2004 crude oil release that reached the Pecos River and a 2005 crude oil release that reached the Sabine River, as well as eight smaller releases. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, we paid \$3.25 million in civil penalties, which we had fully reserved in our contingency accrual. Over the last several years we have proactively developed and implemented risk assessment, pipeline integrity and leak detection procedures that are incremental to those mandated by regulation. As a result of this effort and the ongoing process with EPA and DOJ, many of the operational requirements contained in the Consent Decree have already been incorporated into our operating practices, and the anticipated costs of compliance have been incorporated into our planning.

SemCrude L.P., et al Debtors/Samson Resources Company (U.S. Bankruptcy Court Delaware). We will from time to time have claims relating to insolvent suppliers, customers or counterparties, such as the bankruptcy proceedings of SemCrude, which commenced in July 2008. Statutory protections and our contractual rights of setoff covered substantially all of our pre-petition claims against SemCrude and such claims have now been resolved. In separate actions, certain creditors of SemCrude, led by Samson Resources Company, have also filed state court actions alleging a producer s lien on crude oil sold to SemCrude and its affiliates, and the continuation of such lien when SemCrude and its affiliates subsequently sold the oil to purchasers such as us. On May 29, 2009, we filed a complaint for declaratory relief to resolve these claims. Fourteen state court actions have been consolidated in Bankruptcy Court. One action is in Federal Court in New Mexico. We intend to vigorously defend our contractual and statutory rights.

ExxonMobil Corp. v. GATX Corp. (Superior Court of New Jersey Gloucester County). This Pacific legacy matter was filed by ExxonMobil in April 2003 and involves the allocation of responsibility for remediation of MTBE and other petroleum product contamination at our terminal facility in Paulsboro, New Jersey, which we acquired in the Pacific merger. We estimate that the cost to effectively remediate will be approximately \$3.5 million, which amount may be higher or lower depending on the nature and extent of the cleanup. Both ExxonMobil and GATX were prior owners of the terminal. We contend that ExxonMobil and/or GATX are primarily responsible for the majority of the remediation costs. We are in dispute with Kinder Morgan (as successor in interest to GATX) regarding the indemnity by GATX in favor of Pacific in connection with Pacific s purchase of the facility. We are vigorously defending against any claim that Plains Products Terminals LLC (formerly known as Pacific Atlantic Terminals LLC, referred to here as PPT) is directly or indirectly liable for damages or costs associated with the MTBE contamination.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection v. ExxonMobil Corp. et al. In a matter related to ExxonMobil v. GATX, in June 2007, the NJDEP brought suit against GATX, ExxonMobil and PPT to recover natural resources damages associated with, and to require remediation of, the contamination. ExxonMobil and GATX have filed third-party demands against PPT, seeking indemnity and contribution. The natural resources damages have been settled and set at \$1.1 million payable to the State of New Jersey; however, PPT s allocated share of this liability is being disputed by PPT with GATX. Court approval of the settlement is pending.

EPA v. Rocky Mountain Pipeline System. In February 2009, we received a request for information from EPA regarding aspects of the fuel handling activities of RMPS, a subsidiary acquired in the Pacific merger, at two truck terminals in Colorado. These activities included the mixture of certain blendstocks with gasoline. We provided the information requested, and cooperated in EPA s investigation of such activities. In January 2010, we received a notice of violations from EPA, alleging failure of RMPS to comply with provisions of the Clean Air Act related to registration, sampling, recording and reporting in connection with such activities. EPA further alleges that the violations occurred on an ongoing basis from October 2006 through February 2009. EPA has referred the matter to the DOJ. We continue to engage in discussion with EPA, and to emphasize those factors that should mitigate the severity of any penalties imposed. In December 2009, RMPS self-reported late filing of certain reports required under Clean Air Act Diesel Fuel Regulations. All reports have now been filed.

Table of Contents

General. In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in various legal proceedings. To the extent we are able to assess the likelihood of a negative outcome for these proceedings, our assessments of such likelihood range from remote to probable. If we determine that a negative outcome is probable and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable, we accrue the estimated amount. We do not believe that the outcome of these legal proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Although we believe that our operations are presently in material compliance with applicable requirements, as we acquire and incorporate additional assets, it is possible that EPA or other governmental entities may seek to impose fines, penalties or performance obligations on us (or on a portion of our operations) as a result of any past noncompliance whether such noncompliance initially developed before or after our acquisition.

Environmental

Although we believe that our efforts to enhance our leak prevention and detection capabilities have produced positive results, we have experienced (and likely will experience future) releases of hydrocarbon products into the environment from our pipeline and storage operations. These releases can result from unpredictable man-made or natural forces and may reach navigable waters or other sensitive environments. Whether current or past, damages and liabilities associated with any such releases from our assets may substantially affect our business.

As we expand our pipeline assets through acquisitions, we typically improve on (reduce) the releases from such assets (in terms of frequency or volume) as we implement our integrity management procedures, remove selected assets from service and spend capital to upgrade the assets. However, the inclusion of additional miles of pipe in our operations may result in an increase in the absolute number of releases company-wide compared to prior periods.

At December 31, 2010, our reserve for environmental liabilities totaled approximately \$66 million, of which approximately \$10 million is classified as short-term and \$56 million is classified as long-term. At December 31, 2010, we have recorded receivables totaling approximately \$5 million for amounts that are probable of recovery under insurance and from third parties under indemnification agreements.

In some cases, the actual cash expenditures may not occur for three to five years. Our estimates used in these reserves are based on information currently available to us and our assessment of the ultimate outcome. Among the many uncertainties that impact our estimates are the necessary regulatory approvals for, and potential modification of, our remediation plans, the limited amount of data available upon initial assessment of the impact of soil or water contamination, changes in costs associated with environmental remediation services and equipment and the possibility of existing legal claims giving rise to additional claims. Therefore, although we believe that the reserve is adequate, costs incurred may be in excess of the reserve and may potentially have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Insurance

A pipeline, terminal or other facility may experience damage as a result of an accident, natural disaster or terrorist activity. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operations. We maintain insurance of various types that we consider adequate to cover our operations and certain assets. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles or self-insured retentions that we consider reasonable. Our insurance does not cover every potential risk associated with operating pipelines, terminals and other facilities, including the potential loss of significant revenues.

The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured, indemnified or reserved against, or the failure of a party to meet its indemnification obligations, could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition. We believe we are adequately insured for public liability and property damage to others with respect to our operations. With respect to all of our coverage, we may not be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates we consider reasonable. As a result, we may elect to self-insure or utilize higher deductibles in certain insurance programs. In addition, although we believe that we have established adequate reserves to the extent that such risks are not insured, costs incurred in excess of these reserves may be higher and may potentially have a material adverse effect on our financial conditions, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Units, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common units are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol PAA. As of February 22, 2011, the closing market price for our common units was \$63.79 per unit and there were approximately 125,000 record holders and beneficial owners (held in street name). As of February 22, 2011, there were 141,199,175 common units outstanding.

The following table sets forth high and low sales prices for our common units and the cash distributions declared per common unit for the periods indicated:

	Commo Price I	Cash			
	High	Low		D	istributions (1)
2010					
4th Quarter	\$ 65.20	\$	60.91	\$	0.9575
3rd Quarter	\$ 64.21	\$	57.33	\$	0.9500
2nd Quarter	\$ 60.06	\$	44.12	\$	0.9425
1st Quarter	\$ 57.11	\$	49.82	\$	0.9350
2009					
4th Quarter	\$ 53.37	\$	45.45	\$	0.9275
3rd Quarter	\$ 50.33	\$	42.50	\$	0.9200
2nd Quarter	\$ 45.52	\$	36.25	\$	0.9050
1st Quarter	\$ 40.98	\$	34.00	\$	0.9050

(1) Cash distributions for a quarter are declared and paid in the following calendar quarter. See the Cash Distribution Policy below for a discussion of our policy regarding distribution payments.

Our common units are used as a form of compensation to our employees. Additional information regarding our equity compensation plans is included in Part III of this report under Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Cash Distribution Policy

We will distribute all of our available cash to our unitholders within 45 days following the end of each quarter in the manner described below. Available cash generally means, for any quarter ending prior to liquidation, all cash on hand at the end of that quarter less the amount of cash reserves that are necessary or appropriate in the reasonable discretion of the general partner to:

- provide for the proper conduct of our business;
- comply with applicable law or any partnership debt instrument or other agreement; or
- provide funds for distributions to unitholders and the general partner in respect of any one or more of the next four quarters.

In addition to distributions on its 2% general partner interest, our general partner is entitled to receive incentive distributions if the amount we distribute with respect to any quarter exceeds levels specified in our partnership agreement. Under the quarterly incentive distribution provisions, our general partner is entitled, without duplication and except for the agreed upon adjustment discussed below, to 15% of amounts we distribute in excess of \$0.495 per unit and 50% of amounts we distribute in excess of \$0.675 per unit.

In order to enhance our distribution coverage ratio and liquidity following a significant acquisition, our general partner may agree to reduce the amounts due to it as incentive distributions. Upon closing the acquisitions of Pacific Energy Partners LP (Pacific) in November 2006, Rainbow Pipe Line Company, Ltd. (Rainbow) in May 2008 and PAA Natural Gas Storage, LLC (PNGS) in September 2009, our general partner agreed to reduce the amounts due to it as incentive

Table of Contents

distributions. The total reduction in incentive distributions related to the Pacific, Rainbow and PNGS acquisitions was \$83 million as displayed on an annual basis in the following table (in millions):

Acquisition	20	007	2	2008	2009	2010	2011		Total	
Pacific	\$	20	\$	15	\$ 15	\$ 10	\$	5 \$	\$	65
Rainbow				3	6	1				10
PNGS					1	5		2		8
Total	\$	20	\$	18	\$ 22	\$ 16	\$	7 5	5	83

Following the distribution in February 2011 (as discussed below), the aggregate remaining incentive distribution reductions are approximately \$5 million.

We paid \$160 million to the general partner in incentive distributions in 2010. Additionally, on February 14, 2011, we paid a quarterly distribution of \$0.9575 per unit applicable to the fourth quarter of 2010, of which approximately \$46 million was paid to the general partner in incentive distributions. See Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Our General Partner.

Under the terms of the agreements governing our debt, we are prohibited from declaring or paying any distribution to unitholders if a default or event of default (as defined in such agreements) exists. No such default has occurred. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities and Indentures.

See Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any of our common units during the fourth quarter of 2010, and we do not have any announced or existing plans to repurchase any of our common units other than potential repurchases consistent with past practice in providing units for relatively small vestings of phantom units under our long-term incentive plans (LTIP).

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The historical financial information below was derived from our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and for the years then ended. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes thereto, and Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	2010			2009	Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007 (in millions, except for per unit data)					2006		
Statement of operations data:				(iii iii	1110115 , C	Acception per un	it uut					
Total revenues (1)	\$	25,893	\$	18,520	\$	30,061	\$	20,394	\$	22,445		
Income before cumulative effect of change		,		,		,		,		,		
in accounting principle (2)	\$	514	\$	580	\$	437	\$	365	\$	279		
Net income	\$	514	\$	580	\$	437	\$	365	\$	285		
Net income attributable to Plains	\$	505	\$	579	\$	437	\$	365	\$	285		
Per unit data:												
Basic net income before cumulative effect												
of change in accounting principle (2)	\$	2.41	\$	3.34	\$	2.66	\$	2.47	\$	2.85		
Basic net income after cumulative effect of												
change in accounting principle	\$	2.41	\$	3.34	\$	2.66	\$	2.47	\$	2.93		
Diluted net income before cumulative effect												
of change in accounting principle (2)	\$	2.40	\$	3.32	\$	2.64	\$	2.45	\$	2.82		
Diluted net income after cumulative effect												
of change in accounting principle	\$	2.40	\$	3.32	\$	2.64	\$	2.45	\$	2.90		
Declared distributions per limited partner												
unit (3)	\$	3.76	\$	3.62	\$	3.50	\$	3.28	\$	2.87		
Balance sheet data (at end of period):												
Total assets	\$	13,703	\$	12,358	\$	10,032	\$	9,906	\$	8,715		
Long-term debt	\$	4,631	\$	4,142	\$	3,259	\$	2,624	\$	2,626		
Total debt	\$	5,957	\$	5,216	\$	4,286	\$	3,584	\$	3,627		
Partners capital	\$	4,573	\$	4,159	\$	3,552	\$	3,424	\$	2,977		
Other data:												
Net cash provided by (used in) operating												
activities	\$	259	\$	365	\$	857	\$	796	\$	(276)		
Net cash used in investing activities	\$	(583)	\$	(660)	\$	(1,339)	\$	(663)	\$	(1,651)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing												
activities	\$	336	\$	312	\$	464	\$	(124)	\$	1,927		
Capital expenditures:												
Acquisitions	\$	407	\$	393	\$	735	\$	125	\$	3,021		
Internal growth projects	\$	355	\$	364	\$	491	\$	525	\$	332		
Maintenance	\$	93	\$	81	\$	81	\$	50	\$	28		
Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries	\$		\$	15	\$	37	\$	9	\$	44		

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Volumes (4) (5) (6)					
Transportation segment (average daily volumes					
in thousands of barrels):					
Tariff activities	2,889	2,836	2,851	2,712	2,106
Trucking	97	85	97	105	101
Transportation segment total	2,986	2,921	2,948	2,817	2,207
Facilities segment:					
Crude oil, refined products and LPG storage					
(average monthly capacity in millions of	61	56	53	46	25
barrels)	01	30	55	40	25
Natural gas storage	47	26	1.4	13	12
(average monthly capacity in bcf)	47	26	14	15	13
LPG processing					
(average daily throughput in thousands of	1.4	15	17	10	10
barrels)	14	15	17	18	12
Facilities segment total					
(average monthly capacity in millions of	70	(1	57	40	27
barrels)	70	61	56	48	27
Supply & Logistics segment (average daily					
volumes in thousands of barrels):					
Crude oil lease gathering purchases	620	612	658	685	650
LPG sales	96	105	103	90	70
Waterborne foreign crude oil imported	68	55	80	71	63
Supply & Logistics segment total	784	772	841	846	783

(1) Includes gross presentation of buy/sell transactions for all periods prior to the second quarter of 2006. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of buy/sell transactions.

(2) Due to the January 1, 2006 change in our method of accounting for unit-based payment transactions, we recognized a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of approximately \$6 million.

(3) Our general partner is entitled, directly or indirectly, to receive 2% proportional distributions, and also incentive distributions if the amount we distribute with respect to any quarter exceeds levels specified in our partnership agreement. See Note 5 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

(4) Volumes associated with acquisitions represent total volumes for the number of days or months we actually owned the assets divided by the number of days or months in the year.

(5) In September 2009, we acquired the remaining 50% indirect interest in PNGS, which resulted in our 100% ownership of the natural gas storage business and related operating entities. Natural gas storage volumes for January 2006 through August 2009 are netted to

our 50% interest in PNGS. Beginning in September 2009, volumes represent our 100% interest in PNGS. See Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion regarding the PNGS acquisition.

(6) Facilities total is calculated as the sum of: (i) crude oil, refined products and liquefied petroleum gas and other natural gas-related petroleum products (LPG) storage capacity; (ii) natural gas storage capacity divided by 6 to account for the 6:1 mcf of gas to crude British thermal unit (Btu) equivalent ratio and further divided by 1,000 to convert to monthly volumes in millions; and (iii) LPG processing volumes multiplied by the number of days in the year and divided by the number of months in the year.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Introduction

The following discussion is intended to provide investors with an understanding of our financial condition and results of our operations and should be read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

Our discussion and analysis includes the following:

- Executive Summary
- Company Overview
- Overview of Operating Results, Capital Spending and Significant Activities
- Acquisitions and Internal Growth Projects
- Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates
- Recent Accounting Pronouncements
- Results of Operations
- Outlook
- Liquidity and Capital Resources

Executive Summary

Company Overview

We provide transportation, storage, terminalling and supply and logistics services with respect to crude oil, refined products and LPG. Through our general partner interest and majority equity ownership position in PAA Natural Gas Storage, L.P. (NYSE: PNG), we also engage in the development and operation of natural gas storage facilities. We were formed in 1998, and our operations are conducted directly and indirectly through our operating subsidiaries and are managed through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities and (iii) Supply and Logistics. See Results of Operations Analysis of Operating Segments for further discussion.

Overview of Operating Results, Capital Spending and Significant Activities

During 2010, our net income attributable to Plains was \$505 million, which was a \$74 million year-over-year decrease as compared to that recognized during 2009. The major items impacting comparability between periods are:

- The unfavorable results experienced within our supply and logistics segment, which were impacted by:
- lower LPG margins;
- our derivative activities; and
- less favorable crude oil quality differentials and market structure.

• The negative impact to all segments resulting from our equity compensation expense that increased by approximately \$30 million during 2010 compared to 2009.

- The favorable results experienced within:
- our facilities segment, which primarily resulted from expansions in our asset base through acquisitions and our ongoing internal growth projects; and

• our transportation segment, which primarily reflects impacts of favorable foreign currency exchange rates, increased tariff rates and other various net favorable effects.

• The negative impact of increased depreciation and amortization expense and interest expense associated with our expanded asset base and related financing costs.

See the Results of Operations section below for further discussion and analysis of our operating segments.

Other key items impacting 2010 include (i) the completion of debt and equity offerings for net proceeds of approximately \$692 million, (ii) the completion of PNG s initial public offering (IPO) for net proceeds of \$268 million received from the sale of 13,478,000 PNG common units and (iii) approximately \$509 million of net borrowings under our credit facilities, including \$260 million of borrowings under PNG s credit facility that was entered into in conjunction with the IPO. These net proceeds were used for (i) the repayment of our \$175

Table of Contents

million, 6.25% senior notes, (ii) funding of our ongoing expansion capital program and acquisitions (as further discussed in the following sections) and (iii) other general partnership purposes.

Acquisitions and Internal Growth Projects

We completed a number of acquisitions and capital expansion projects in 2010, 2009 and 2008 that have impacted our results of operations. The following table summarizes our capital expenditures for acquisitions, internal growth projects, maintenance capital and investments in unconsolidated entities for the periods indicated (in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,								
	2010		2009		2008				
Acquisition capital (1)	\$ 407	\$	393	\$	735				
Internal growth projects	355		364		491				
Maintenance capital	93		81		81				
Investment in unconsolidated entities (1)			15		37				
	\$ 855	\$	853	\$	1,344				

(1) Initial investments in unconsolidated entities are included within Acquisition capital, whereas additional subsequent investments in unconsolidated entities are recognized within Investment in unconsolidated entities.

Acquisitions

Acquisitions are financed using a combination of equity and debt, including borrowings under our credit facilities and the issuance of senior notes. Businesses acquired impact our results of operations commencing on the effective date of each acquisition. Our acquisition and capital expansion activities are discussed further in Liquidity and Capital Resources and in Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. Information regarding acquisitions completed in 2010, 2009 and 2008 is set forth in the table below (in millions):

	Effective		quisition	
Acquisition	Date]	Price	Operating Segment
Nexen Holdings U.S.A. Inc.	12/30/2010	\$	229	Supply & Logistics and Transportation
Other	Various		178	Transportation and Facilities
2010 Total		\$	407	
PNGS	09/03/2009	\$	215	Facilities
Other	Various		178	Transportation and Facilities
2009 Total		\$	393	
Rainbow	05/01/2008	\$	687	Transportation
Other	Various		48	Facilities
2008 Total		\$	735	

Internal Growth Projects

Our 2010 projects included the construction and expansion of pipeline systems and storage and terminal facilities. The following table summarizes our 2010, 2009 and 2008 projects (in millions):

Projects	2010	2009	20	08
PNGS (1) (2)	\$ 85	\$ 26	\$	
Cushing - Phases VII and VIII	25	25		
Cushing - Phases IX through XI (1)	21			
St. James - Phases I through IV (1)	21	73		44
Patoka tankage - Phases I through III	20	22		56
Edmonton land	17			
West Texas gathering lines	15			
Pier 400 (1)	11	18		10
Wichita Falls tanks	9			
Nipisi storage and truck terminal	6	18		
Kerrobert pumping project	1	33		9
Rangeland tankage		36		12
Paulsboro tankage		11		30
Salt Lake City expansion		8		154
Fort Laramie tank expansion		2		20
Other projects (3)	124	92		156
Total	\$ 355	\$ 364	\$	491

(1) These projects will continue into 2011. See Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Expenditures and Distributions Paid to Our Unitholders, General Partner and Noncontrolling Interests 2011 Capital Expansion Projects.

(2) Expenditures shown for 2009 for PNGS include only those expenditures made subsequent to the acquisition in September 2009 of the remaining 50% interest in PNGS.

(3) Primarily consists of pipeline connections, upgrades and truck stations, and new tank construction and refurbishing.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Critical Accounting Policies

We have adopted various accounting policies to prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). These critical accounting policies are discussed in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP and rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements. Such estimates and assumptions also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Although we believe these estimates are reasonable, actual results could differ from these estimates. On a regular basis, we evaluate our assumptions, judgments and estimates. We also discuss our critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

We believe that the assumptions, judgments and estimates involved in the accounting for our (i) purchase and sales accruals, (ii) fair value of assets and liabilities acquired and identification of associated goodwill and intangible assets, (iii) fair value of derivatives, (iv) accruals and contingent liabilities, including our equity compensation plan accruals, (v) property and equipment and depreciation expense and (vi) allowance for doubtful accounts have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements. These areas are key components of our results of operations and are based on complex rules which require us to make judgments and estimates, so we consider these to be our critical accounting policies. Such critical accounting estimates are discussed further as follows:

Purchase and Sales Accruals. We routinely make accruals based on estimates for certain components of our revenues and cost of sales due to the timing of compiling billing information, receiving third-party information and reconciling our records with those of third parties. Where applicable, these accruals are based on nominated volumes expected to be purchased, transported and subsequently sold. Uncertainties involved in these estimates include levels of production at the wellhead, access to certain qualities of crude oil, pipeline capacities and delivery times, utilization of truck fleets to transport volumes to their destinations, weather, market conditions and other forces beyond our control. These estimates are generally associated with a portion of the last month of each reporting period. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we estimate that approximately 3% of both annual revenues and cost of sales were recorded using purchase and sales estimates. Accordingly, a 10% variance from this estimate would impact annual revenues, cost of sales, operating income and net income attributable to Plains line items by approximately 1% or less on an annual basis. Although the resolution of these uncertainties has not historically had a material impact on our reported results of operations or financial condition, because of the high volume, low margin nature of our business, we cannot provide assurance that actual amounts will not vary significantly from estimated amounts. Variances from estimates are reflected in the period actual results become known, typically in the month following the estimate.

Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities Acquired and Identification of Associated Goodwill and Intangible Assets. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance regarding business combinations, with each acquisition, we allocate the cost of the acquired entity to the assets and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. If the initial accounting for the business

combination is incomplete when the combination occurs, an estimate will be recorded. Any subsequent adjustments to this estimate, if material, will be recognized retroactive to the date of acquisition. With exception to our equity method investments, we also expense the transaction costs as incurred in connection with each acquisition. In addition, we are required to recognize intangible assets separately from goodwill. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful life as determined by management. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but instead are periodically assessed for impairment.

Impairment testing entails estimating future net cash flows relating to the asset, based on management s estimate of future revenues, future cash flows and market conditions including pricing, demand, competition, operating costs and other factors. Determining the fair value of assets and liabilities acquired, as well as intangible assets that relate to such items as customer relationships, contracts, and industry expertise, involves professional judgment and is ultimately based on acquisition models and management s assessment of the value of the assets acquired and, to the extent available, third party

Table of Contents

assessments. Uncertainties associated with these estimates include changes in production decline rates, production interruptions, fluctuations in refinery capacity or product slates, economic obsolescence factors in the area and potential future sources of cash flow. Although the resolution of these uncertainties has not historically had a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition, we cannot provide assurance that actual amounts will not vary significantly from estimated amounts. We perform our goodwill impairment test annually (as of June 30) and when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

We also compare our market capitalization to our book equity on a quarterly basis, to determine if there may be an indicator of impairment. As of December 31, 2010, our market capitalization exceeded the book value of our equity; therefore, since there were no events or changes in circumstances indicating impairment issues, we determined that it was not necessary to perform our goodwill impairment test as of December 31, 2010. We will continue to monitor the market and any changes in circumstances to determine if a triggering event occurs and will perform a goodwill impairment analysis if deemed necessary. We did not have any goodwill impairments in 2010, 2009 or 2008. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a further discussion of goodwill.

Fair Value of Derivatives. Our derivatives are reported at fair value as either assets or liabilities with changes in fair value recognized in either earnings or accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). The fair value of a derivative at a particular period end does not reflect the end results of a particular transaction, and will most likely not reflect the realized gain or loss at the conclusion of a transaction. We reflect estimates for these items based on our internal records and information from third parties. For our derivatives that are not exchange traded, the estimates we use are based on indicative broker quotations or an internal valuation model. Our valuation models utilize market observable inputs such as price, volatility, correlation and other factors and may not be reflective of the price at which they can be settled due to the lack of a liquid market. Less than 1% of total annual revenues are based on estimates derived from internal valuation models. Although the resolution of these uncertainties has not historically had a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition, we cannot provide assurance that actual amounts will not vary significantly from estimated amounts.

Accruals and Contingent Liabilities. We record accruals or liabilities including, but not limited to, environmental remediation and governmental penalties, asset retirement obligations, equity compensation plan accruals (as further discussed below) and potential legal claims. Accruals are made when our assessment indicates that it is probable that a liability has occurred and the amount of liability can be reasonably estimated. Our estimates are based on all known facts at the time and our assessment of the ultimate outcome. Among the many uncertainties that impact our estimates are the necessary regulatory approvals for, and potential modification of, our environmental remediation plans, the limited amount of data available upon initial assessment of the impact of soil or water contamination, changes in costs associated with environmental remediation services and equipment, and the possibility of existing legal claims giving rise to additional claims. Our estimates for contingent liability accruals are increased or decreased as additional information is obtained or resolution is achieved. A variance of 5% in our aggregate estimate for the accruals and contingent liabilities discussed above would have an impact on earnings of up to approximately \$12 million. Although the resolution of these uncertainties has not historically had a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition, we cannot provide assurance that actual amounts will not vary significantly from estimated amounts.

Equity Compensation Plan Accruals. We accrue compensation expense for outstanding equity compensation awards. Under GAAP, we are required to estimate the fair value of our outstanding equity awards and recognize that fair value as compensation expense over the service period. For equity awards that contain a performance condition, the fair value of the equity award is recognized as compensation expense only if the attainment of the performance condition is considered probable. Uncertainties involved in this estimate include the actual unit price at time of vesting, whether or not a performance condition will be attained and the continued employment of personnel with outstanding equity awards.

We recognized total compensation expense of approximately \$98 million, \$68 million and \$24 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to equity awards granted under our various equity compensation plans. We cannot provide assurance that the actual fair value of our equity compensation awards will not vary significantly from estimated amounts. See Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Property and Equipment and Depreciation Expense. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives. These estimates are based on various factors including condition, manufacturing specifications, technological advances and historical data concerning useful lives of similar assets. Uncertainties that impact these estimates include changes in laws and regulations relating to restoration and abandonment requirements, economic conditions and supply and demand in the area. When assets are put into service, we make estimates with respect to useful lives and salvage values that we believe are reasonable. However, subsequent events could cause us to change our estimates, thus impacting the future calculation of depreciation and amortization. During 2010, we conducted a review to assess the useful lives of our property and equipment. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

We periodically evaluate property and equipment for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable. The evaluation is highly dependent on the underlying assumptions of related cash flows. We consider the fair value estimate used to calculate impairment of property and equipment a critical accounting estimate. In determining the existence of an impairment of carrying value, we make a number of subjective assumptions as to:

- whether there is an event or circumstance that may be indicative of an impairment;
- the grouping of assets;
- the intention of holding versus selling an asset;
- the forecast of undiscounted expected future cash flow over the asset s estimated useful life; and
- if an impairment exists, the fair value of the asset or asset group.

During 2010, we recognized impairments of approximately \$13 million for assets taken out of service. Impairments of less than \$1 million and approximately \$5 million were recognized during 2009 and 2008, respectively, and were predominantly related to assets that were taken out of service. These assets did not support spending the capital necessary to continue service and we utilized other assets to handle these activities.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We perform credit evaluations of our customers and grant credit based on past payment history, financial conditions and anticipated industry conditions. Customer payments are regularly monitored and a provision for doubtful accounts is established based on specific situations and overall industry conditions. Our history of bad debt losses has been minimal and generally limited to specific customer circumstances; however, credit risks can change suddenly and without notice. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the effect of recent accounting pronouncements on our financial statements.

Results of Operations

Analysis of Operating Segments

We manage our operations through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities and (iii) Supply and Logistics. Our Chief Operating Decision Maker (our Chief Executive Officer) evaluates such segment performance based on a variety of measures including segment profit, segment volumes, segment profit per barrel and maintenance capital investment. See Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a definition of segment profit (including an explanation of why this is a performance measure) and a reconciliation of segment profit to net income attributable to Plains.

Our segment analysis involves an element of judgment relating to the allocations between segments. In connection with its operations, the supply and logistics segment secures transportation and facilities services from the Partnership's other two segments as well as third-party service providers under month-to-month and multi-year arrangements. Intersegment transportation service rates are conducted at posted tariff rates, rates similar to those charged to third parties or rates that we believe approximate market rates. Facilities segment services are also obtained at rates generally consistent with rates charged to third parties for similar services; however, certain terminalling and storage rates are discounted to our supply and logistics segment to reflect the fact that these services may be canceled on short notice to enable the facilities segment to provide services to third parties. Intersegment activities are eliminated in consolidation and we believe that the estimates with respect to these rates are reasonable. Also, our segment operating and general and administrative overhead expenses between segments based on management s assessment of the business activities for the period. The proportional allocations by segment require judgment by management and may be adjusted in the future based on the business activities that exist during each period. We believe that the estimates with respect to these allocations are reasonable.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

To supplement our financial information presented in accordance with GAAP, management uses additional measures that are known as non-GAAP financial measures in its evaluation of past performance and prospects for the future. The primary measures used by management are adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (adjusted EBITDA) and distributable cash flow (DCF).

Management believes that the presentation of such additional financial measures provides useful information to investors regarding our performance and results of operations because these measures, when used in conjunction with related GAAP financial measures, (i) provide additional information about our core operating performance and ability to generate and distribute cash flow, (ii) provide investors with the financial analytical framework upon which management bases financial, operational, compensation and planning decisions and (iii) present measurements that investors, rating agencies and debt holders have indicated are useful in assessing us and our results of operations. These measures may exclude, for example, (i) charges for obligations that are expected to be settled with the issuance of equity instruments, (ii) the mark-to-market of derivative instruments that are related to underlying activities in another period (or the reversal of such adjustments from a prior period), (iii) items that are not indicative of our core operating results and business outlook and/or (iv) other items that we believe should be excluded in understanding our core operating performance. We have defined all such items hereinafter as Selected Items Impacting Comparability. These additional financial measures are reconciled from the most directly comparable measures as reported in accordance within GAAP, and should be viewed in addition to, and not in lieu of, our consolidated financial statements and footnotes.

The following table sets forth an overview of our consolidated financial results calculated in accordance with GAAP:

						Favorable/(Unfavorable)					
2010 2009			,	2008		\$	%		\$	%	
				(In million	s, excep	t per unit	data)				
\$ 516	\$	477	\$	445	\$	39	8%	\$	32	7%	
270		208		153		62	30%		55	36%	
240		345		221		(105)	(30)%		124	56%	
1,026		1,030		819		(4)	(0)%		211	26%	
(256)		(236)		(211)		(20)	(8)%		(25)	(12)%	
(248)		(224)		(196)		(24)	(11)%		(28)	(14)%	
(9)		16		33		(25)	(156)%		(17)	(52)%	
1		(6)		(8)		7	117%		2	25%	
514		580		437		(66)	(11)%		143	33%	
(9)		(1)				(8)	(800)%		(1)	N/A	
\$ 505	\$	579	\$	437	\$	(74)	(13)%	\$	142	32%	
							. ,				
\$ 2.41	\$	3.34	\$	2.66	\$	(0.93)	(28)%	\$	0.68	26%	
\$ 2.40	\$	3.32	\$	2.64	\$	(0.92)	(28)%	\$	0.68	26%	
137		130		120		7	5%		10	8%	
138		131		121		7	5%		10	8%	
\$ \$ \$	En 2010	Ended 1 2010	Ended December 3 2010 2009 \$ 516 \$ 477 270 208 240 345 1,026 1,030 (256) (236) (248) (224) (9) 16 1 (6) 514 580 (9) (1) \$ 505 \$ \$ 2.41 \$ \$ 2.41 \$ \$ 2.40 \$ 3.32 137 130	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ended December 31, 2009 2008 (In million: \$ 516 \$ 477 \$ 445 270 208 153 240 345 221 1,026 1,030 819 (256) (236) (211) (248) (224) (196) (9) 16 33 1 (6) (8) 514 580 437 (9) (1) (1) \$ 505 \$ 579 \$ 437 \$ 2.41 \$ 3.34 \$ 2.66 \$ 2.40 3.32 \$ 2.64 137 130 120	Ended December 31, 2008 (In millions, excep \$ 516 \$ 477 \$ 445 \$ 270 208 153 \$ 240 345 221 \$ 1,026 1,030 819 \$ (256) (236) (211) \$ (256) (236) (211) \$ (248) (224) (196) \$ (9) 16 33 \$ \$ 514 580 437 \$ (9) (1) \$ \$ \$ \$ 505 \$ 579 \$ 437 \$ \$ 2.41 \$ 3.34 \$ 2.66 \$ \$ 2.40 \$ 3.32 \$ 2.64 \$ 137 130 120 120 \$	Ended December 31, 2010-20 2010 2009 2008 \$ (In millions, except per unit of the millions, except pe	Ended December 31, 2010-2009 2010 2009 2008 \$ % (In millions, except per unit data) \$ 516 \$ 477 \$ 445 \$ 39 8% 270 208 153 62 30% 240 345 221 (105) (30)% 1,026 1,030 819 (4) (0)% (256) (236) (211) (20) (8)% (248) (224) (196) (24) (11)% (9) 16 33 (25) (156)% 1 (6) (8) 7 117% 514 580 437 (66) (11)% (9) (1) (8) (800)% \$ 505 \$ 579 \$ 437 \$ (74) (13)% \$ 2.41 \$ 3.34 \$ 2.66 \$ (0.93) (28)% \$ 2.40 \$ 3.32 \$ 2.64 \$ (0.92) (28)% \$ 137 130 120	Ended December 31, 2010-2009 2010 2009 2008 \$ % (In millions, except per unit data) (In millions, except per unit data) \$ \$ 516 \$ 477 \$ 445 \$ 39 8% \$ 270 208 153 62 30% \$ </td <td>Ended December 31, 2010-2009 2009-2008 2010 2009 2008 \$ % \$ \$ 516 \$ 477 \$ 445 \$ 39 8% \$ 32 270 208 153 62 30% 55 240 345 221 (105) (30)% 124 1,026 1,030 819 (4) (0)% 211 (256) (236) (211) (20) (8)% (25) (248) (224) (196) (24) (11)% (28) (9) 16 33 (25) (156)% (17) 1 (6) (8) 7 117% 2 514 580 437 (66) (11)% 143 (9) (1) (8) (800)% (1) \$ \$ 505 \$ 579 \$ 437 \$ (74) (13)% \$ 142 \$ 2.41 \$ 3.34 \$ 2.66 \$ (0.93) (28)% \$ 0.68<</td>	Ended December 31, 2010-2009 2009-2008 2010 2009 2008 \$ % \$ \$ 516 \$ 477 \$ 445 \$ 39 8% \$ 32 270 208 153 62 30% 55 240 345 221 (105) (30)% 124 1,026 1,030 819 (4) (0)% 211 (256) (236) (211) (20) (8)% (25) (248) (224) (196) (24) (11)% (28) (9) 16 33 (25) (156)% (17) 1 (6) (8) 7 117% 2 514 580 437 (66) (11)% 143 (9) (1) (8) (800)% (1) \$ \$ 505 \$ 579 \$ 437 \$ (74) (13)% \$ 142 \$ 2.41 \$ 3.34 \$ 2.66 \$ (0.93) (28)% \$ 0.68<	

The following table sets forth additional non-GAAP financial measures that are reconciled from the most directly comparable measures as reported in accordance with GAAP:

				welve Mor December 3				5				
		2010		2009		2008		\$	%		\$	%
						(In millions	· •	•				
Net income	\$	514	\$	580	\$	437	\$	(66)	(11)%	\$	143	33%
Add:												
Depreciation and amortization		256		236		211		(20)	(8)%		(25)	(12)%
Income tax (benefit)/expense		(1)		6		8		7	117%		2	25%
Interest expense		248		224		196		(24)	(11)%		(28)	(14)%
EBITDA	\$	1,017	\$	1,046	\$	852	\$	(29)	(3)%	\$	194	23%
Selected Items Impacting Comparability - Income/(Loss):												
Inventory valuation adjustments net of gains/(losses) from related derivative												
activities (1)	\$		\$	24	\$	(11)	\$	(24)	(100)%	\$	35	318%
Gains/(losses) from other derivative	Ŷ		Ψ		Ŷ	(11)	Ŷ	()	(100)/0	Ŷ	00	01070
activities (1)		(14)		34		7		(48)	(141)%		27	(386)%
Equity compensation expense (2)		(67)		(50)		(21)		(17)	34%		(29)	(138)%
Gains on Rainbow acquisition-related		(07)		(50)		(21)		(17)	5170		(2))	(150)/0
foreign currency and linefill hedges (3)						11			0%		(11)	100%
Net gain/(loss) on foreign currency						11			070		(11)	100 //
revaluation (4)				12		(21)		(12)	(100)%		33	157%
Other(5)		(8)		4		(21)		(12)	(300)%		4	0%
Selected Items Impacting Comparability	\$	(89)	\$	24	\$	(35)	\$	(113)	(471)%	\$	59	169%
Selected rems impacting comparaonity	ψ	(0)	ψ	24	ψ	(55)	ψ	(115)	(+/1)/0	ψ	59	10970
EBITDA	\$	1.017	\$	1.046	\$	852	\$	(29)	(3)%	\$	194	23%
Selected Items Impacting Comparability	Ŷ	89	Ψ	(24)	Ŷ	35	Ŷ	113	471%	Ŷ	(59)	(169)%
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	1,106	\$	1,022	\$	887	\$	84	8%	\$	135	15%
5		,		,								
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	1,106	\$	1,022	\$	887	\$	84	8%	\$	135	15%
Interest expense		(248)		(224)		(196)		(24)	(11)%		(28)	(14)%
Maintenance capital		(93)		(81)		(81)		(12)	(15)%			0%
Current income tax (expense)/benefit		1		(15)		(9)		16	107%		(6)	(67)%
Equity earnings in unconsolidated												
entities, net of distributions		6		(8)		(4)		14	175%		(4)	(100)%
Distributions to noncontrolling interests												
(6)		(15)		(2)				(13)	(650)%		(2)	N/A
DCF	\$	757	\$	692	\$	597	\$	65	(050) <i>%</i> 9%	\$	95	(16)%
	Ψ	101	Ψ	0/2	Ψ	571	Ψ	00	10	Ψ	15	(10)/0

⁽¹⁾ Includes mark-to-market gains and losses resulting from derivative instruments that are related to underlying activities in future periods or the reversal of mark-to-market gains and losses from the prior period. When applicable, inventory valuation adjustments are presented with related derivative activity. See Note 6 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a comprehensive discussion regarding our derivatives and hedging activities.

(2) Our total equity compensation expense includes expense associated with awards that will or may be settled in units and awards that will or may be settled in cash. The awards that will or may be settled in units are included in our diluted earnings per unit calculation when the applicable performance criteria have been met. We consider the compensation expense associated with these awards as a selected item impacting comparability as the dilutive impact of the outstanding awards are included in our diluted earnings per unit calculation and the majority of the awards are expected to be settled in units. The compensation expense associated with these awards is shown in the table above. The portion of compensation expense associated with awards that are certain to be settled in cash are not considered a selected item impacting comparability. The equity compensation expense attributable to the awards not considered a selected item impacting comparability is approximately \$31 million, \$18 million and \$3 million for the twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a comprehensive discussion regarding our Equity Compensation Plans.

Table of Contents

(3) Represents a gain on the foreign currency hedge and commodity price risk hedge that we entered into in connection with the Rainbow acquisition. We classified this gain as a selected item impacting comparability as it was specific to this acquisition and not indicative of our core operating activities. See Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion regarding the Rainbow acquisition.

(4) During 2009 and 2008, there were significant fluctuations in the value of the Canadian dollar (CAD) to the U.S. dollar (USD), resulting in gains and losses that were not related to our core operating results of such periods and were thus classified as selected items impacting comparability. See Note 6 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion regarding our currency exchange rate risk hedging activities.

(5) Other includes (i) a net loss on the early repayment of senior notes of \$6 million and \$4 million for 2010 and 2009, respectively, (ii) PNGS contingent consideration fair value adjustment of \$2 million and \$1 million for 2010 and 2009, respectively and (iii) a net gain on the purchase of the remaining 50% interest in PNGS of \$9 million in 2009.

(6)

Includes distributions that pertain to the current quarter s net income and are to be paid in the subsequent quarter.

Transportation Segment

Our transportation segment operations generally consist of fee-based activities associated with transporting crude oil and refined products on pipelines, gathering systems, trucks and barges. The transportation segment generates revenue through a combination of tariffs, third-party leases of pipeline capacity and transportation fees.

The following table sets forth our operating results from our transportation segment for the periods indicated:

								1	Favorable/(avorable)		
Operating Results (1)	Year Ended December 31,						2010-2009				2009-200	8
(in millions, except per barrel amounts)	1	2010		2009		2008		\$	%		\$	%
Revenues (1)												
Tariff activities	\$	937	\$	867	\$	800	\$	70	8%	\$	67	8%
Trucking		108		94		127		14	15%		(33)	(26)%
Total transportation revenues		1,045		961		927		84	9%		34	4%
Cost and Expenses (1)												
Trucking costs		(73)		(63)		(88)		(10)	(16)%		25	28%
Field operating costs (excluding equity												
compensation expense)		(346)		(333)		(331)		(13)	(4)%		(2)	(1)%
Equity compensation expense -												
operations (2)		(12)		(9)		(1)		(3)	(33)%		(8)	(800)%
		(65)		(61)		(56)		(4)	(7)%		(5)	(9)%

Segment general and administrative							
expenses (excluding equity							
compensation expense)							
Equity compensation expense - general							
and administrative (2)	(36)	(25)	(11)	(11)	(44)%	(14)	(127)%
Equity earnings in unconsolidated							
entities	3	7	5	(4)	(57)%	2	40%
Segment profit	\$ 516	\$ 477	\$ 445	\$ 39	8% \$	32	7%
Maintenance capital	\$ 67	\$ 57	\$ 54	\$ (10)	(18)% \$	(3)	(6)%
Segment profit per barrel	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.02	4% \$	0.04	10%

Table of Contents

				Favorable/(Unfavorable)								
Average Daily Volumes	Year I	Ended December	31,	2010-20	09	9 2009-20						
(in thousands of barrels per day) (3)	2010	2009	2008	Volumes	%	Volumes	%					
Tariff activities												
All American	39	40	45	(1)	(3)%	(5)	(11)%					
Basin	378	394	377	(16)	(4)%	17	5%					
Capline	223	193	219									