

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC

Form 10-Q

June 09, 2009

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 001-15405

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0518772
(IRS employer
Identification no.)

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

5301 STEVENS CREEK BLVD.,
SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA
(Address of principal executive offices)

95051
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (408) 553-2424

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the securities exchange act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in rule 12b-2 of the exchange act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the exchange act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

CLASS
COMMON STOCK, \$0.01 PAR VALUE

OUTSTANDING AT APRIL 30, 2009
343,353,951 SHARES

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
<u>Part I.</u>	
<u>Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	35
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35
<u>Part II.</u>	
<u>Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>Item 1A.</u>	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	36
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	44
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	44
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	45
<u>Signature</u>	46
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	47

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

(in millions, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net revenue:				
Products	\$ 864	\$ 1,214	\$ 1,801	\$ 2,375
Services and other	227	242	456	474
Total net revenue	1,091	1,456	2,257	2,849
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of products	436	512	889	1,013
Cost of services and other	125	137	249	273
Total costs	561	649	1,138	1,286
Research and development	170	183	339	364
Selling, general and administrative	407	433	803	874
Total costs and expenses	1,138	1,265	2,280	2,524
Income (loss) from operations	(47)	191	(23)	325
Interest income	6	27	20	66
Interest expense	(23)	(29)	(46)	(59)
Other income (expense), net	6	7	18	11
Income (loss) before taxes	(58)	196	(31)	343
Provision for income taxes	43	23	6	50
Net income (loss)	\$ (101)	\$ 173	\$ (37)	\$ 293
Net income (loss) per share basic:	\$ (0.29)	\$ 0.48	\$ (0.11)	\$ 0.80
Net income (loss) per share diluted:	\$ (0.29)	\$ 0.47	\$ (0.11)	\$ 0.78
Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	344	363	348	367
Diluted	344	370	348	376

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(in millions, except par value and share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	April 30, 2009	October 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,405
Short-term investments	12	24
Accounts receivable, net	569	770
Inventory	608	646
Other current assets	294	337
Total current assets	2,883	3,182
Property, plant and equipment, net	821	824
Goodwill	648	646
Other intangible assets, net	189	228
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	1,572	1,582
Long-term investments	167	206
Other long-term assets	296	339
Total assets	\$ 6,576	\$ 7,007
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 252	\$ 308
Employee compensation and benefits	305	409
Deferred revenue	292	280
Income and other taxes payable	89	128
Other accrued liabilities	161	205
Total current liabilities	1,099	1,330
Long-term debt	1,515	1,514
Senior notes	639	611
Retirement and post-retirement benefits	344	324
Other long-term liabilities	560	669
Total liabilities	4,157	4,448
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock; \$0.01 par value; 125 million shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 2 billion shares authorized; 563 million shares at April 30, 2009 and 561 million shares at October 31, 2008 issued	6	6
Treasury stock at cost; 220 million shares at April 30, 2009 and 211 million shares at October 31, 2008	(7,627)	(7,470)
Additional paid-in-capital	7,474	7,410
Retained earnings	2,754	2,791
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(188)	(178)
Total stockholders' equity	2,419	2,559
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 6,576	\$ 7,007

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (37)	\$ 293
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	81	100
Share-based compensation	39	49
Deferred taxes	34	58
Excess and obsolete and inventory-related charges	41	8
Translation gain from liquidation of a subsidiary		(25)
Asset impairment charges	32	3
Allowance for doubtful accounts	4	1
Other	4	7
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	203	(26)
Inventory	13	(36)
Accounts payable	(58)	19
Employee compensation and benefits	(108)	
Income taxes and other taxes payable	(44)	(99)
Interest rate swap proceeds	43	
Other assets and liabilities	(93)	(23)
Net cash provided by operating activities	154	329
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investments in property, plant and equipment	(68)	(71)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		14
Purchase of investments	(30)	(255)
Proceeds from sale of investments	62	114
Acquisitions of businesses and intangible assets, net of cash acquired	(2)	(130)
Purchase of minority interest		(14)
Change in restricted cash and cash equivalents, net	10	31
Net cash used in investing activities	(28)	(311)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	27	84
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	325	250
Repayment of revolving credit facility	(325)	
Treasury stock repurchases	(157)	(500)
Net cash used in financing activities	(130)	(166)
Effect of exchange rate movements	(1)	32
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5)	(116)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,405	1,826
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,710

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

1. OVERVIEW, BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Overview. Agilent Technologies, Inc. (we , Agilent or the company), incorporated in Delaware in May 1999, is a measurement company, providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the communications, electronics, life sciences and chemical analysis industries.

Our fiscal year-end is October 31, and our fiscal quarters end on January 31, April 30 and July 31. Unless otherwise stated, all dates refer to our fiscal year and fiscal quarters.

Revisions to Financial Statement Presentation. We have revised our consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2008 to correct an error in the classification of deferred tax assets and liabilities. This revision does not impact the consolidated statement of operations or the consolidated statement of cash flows for any period. During the April 30, 2009 quarter-end process, we noted that the October 31, 2008 U.S. deferred tax valuation allowances and certain deferred tax assets/ deferred tax liabilities were misclassified on the balance sheet as a result of improperly applying the jurisdictional netting rules of SFAS No. 109. We have therefore revised our balance sheet as of October 31, 2008 by decreasing other long-term liabilities by \$435 million and decreasing other long-term assets by \$404 million, decreasing other current assets by \$26 million and increasing other accrued liabilities by \$5 million.

Basis of Presentation. We have prepared the accompanying financial data for the three months and six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008 pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S. have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contain all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008, and condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008.

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions believed to be reasonable. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions that may impact the company in the future, actual results may be different from the estimates. Our critical accounting policies are those that affect our financial statements materially and involve difficult, subjective or complex

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

judgments by management. Those policies are revenue recognition, inventory valuation, investment impairments, share-based compensation, retirement and post-retirement benefit plan assumptions, restructuring and asset impairment charges, valuation of long-lived assets and accounting for income taxes.

Goodwill and purchased intangible assets. We review goodwill for impairment annually as of September 30 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS No. 142). The circumstances that could trigger a goodwill impairment could include, but are not limited to, the following items to the extent that management believes the occurrence of one or more would make it more likely than not that we would fail the first step of the goodwill impairment test (as described in the next paragraph): significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, a more-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or otherwise disposed of, a portion of a reporting unit's goodwill has been included in the carrying amounts of a business that will be disposed or if our market capitalization is below our net book value.

The provisions of SFAS No. 142 require that we perform a two-step impairment test on goodwill. In the first step, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The second step (if necessary) measures the amount of impairment by applying fair-value-based tests to the individual assets and liabilities within each reporting unit. As defined in SFAS No. 142, paragraph 30, a reporting unit is an operating segment, or one level below an operating segment. In accordance with paragraph 30 of SFAS No. 142, we have aggregated components of an operating segment that have similar economic characteristics into our reporting units. At the time of an acquisition, we assign goodwill to the reporting unit that is expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination. For the six months ended April 30, 2009, Agilent had three reporting units, which were the same as our operating segments: electronic measurement, bio-analytical measurement and semiconductor and board test.

Table of Contents

The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is highly subjective and requires significant judgment, as our businesses operate in a number of markets and geographical regions. We determine the fair value of our reporting units based on an income approach, whereby we calculate the fair value of each reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows, which are formed by evaluating historical trends, current budgets, operating plans and industry data. We evaluate the reasonableness of the fair value calculations of our reporting units by reconciling the total of the fair values of all of our reporting units to our total market capitalization, taking into account an appropriate control premium. We then compare the carrying value of our reporting units to the fair value calculations based on the income approach noted above.

If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is not necessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, then the second step of the goodwill impairment test must be performed. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with its goodwill carrying amount to measure the amount of impairment, if any. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, with any residual fair value allocated to goodwill. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. Estimates of the future cash flows associated with the businesses are critical to these assessments. Changes in these estimates based on changed economic conditions or business strategies could result in material impairment charges in future periods.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements; rather, it applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP No. 157-2). FSP No. 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for certain items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Effective November 1, 2008, we adopted the measurement and disclosure requirements related to financial assets and financial liabilities. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities did not have a material impact on the company's results of operations or the fair values of its financial assets and liabilities. We will be required to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157 to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as of November 1, 2009 and are currently evaluating the impact of the application of SFAS No. 157 as it pertains to these items.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities including an amendment of FAS 115 (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 allows companies to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses shall be reported on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements. Effective November 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS 159, but we have not elected the fair value option for any eligible financial instruments as of April 30, 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS No. 161), which requires additional disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivative instruments, how the derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and related interpretations, and how the derivative instruments and related hedged items affect our financial statements. SFAS No. 161 also requires disclosures about credit risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 and will be applied prospectively. Effective February 1, 2009 we adopted the

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

additional disclosures required under SFAS No. 161. The adoption of SFAS No. 161 did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements. See note 10, Derivatives for additional information on adoption of SFAS No. 161.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS No. 132(R)-1, Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets (FSP 132(R)-1). FSP 132(R)-1 requires detailed disclosures regarding the investment strategies, fair value measurements, and concentrations of risk of plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. FSP 132(R)-1 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009 and will be applied prospectively. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting FSP 132(R)-1.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Research and development	2	3	6	8
Selling, general and administrative	13	12	25	30
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 18	\$ 19	\$ 39	\$ 49

Included in the expense amount for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 is approximately \$3 million of incremental expense for the acceleration of share-based compensation related to the announced workforce reduction. Upon termination of the employees impacted by the workforce reduction, the non-vested Agilent awards held by these employees immediately vests. Employees have a period of up to three months in which to exercise the Agilent options before such options are cancelled.

Share-based compensation capitalized within inventory at April 30, 2009 and 2008 was zero. The windfall tax benefit realized from exercised stock options and similar awards was immaterial for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008.

The following assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of the options granted, ESPP purchases and LTPP grants. During the three months ended April 30, 2008, no grants were made under any of our share-based payment award plans as reflected in the assumption table below.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2009	April 30, 2008	2009	April 30, 2008
Stock Option Plans:				
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	1.8%		2.3%	3.2%
Dividend yield	0%		0%	0%
Weighted average volatility	36%		32%	33%
Expected life	4.4 yrs		4.4 yrs	4.6 yrs
ESPP:				
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	N/A		N/A	3.8%
Dividend yield	N/A		N/A	0%
Weighted average volatility	N/A		N/A	32%
Expected life	N/A		N/A	0.5-1 yr
LTPP:				
Volatility of Agilent shares	33%		33%	27%
Volatility of selected peer-company shares	17%-62%		17%-62%	17%-52%
Price-wise correlation with selected peers	35%		35%	24%

The fair value of share-based awards for employee stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Effective November 1, 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved a change to our ESPP that eliminated the 24-month look back period. The ESPP continues to allow eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85 percent of the purchase price, but only uses the purchase date to establish the fair market value. As a result of the change in our plan, for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 no Black-Scholes assumptions were required in the valuation of awards granted under our current ESPP. Shares granted under the LTPP were valued using a Monte Carlo simulation model. Both the Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation fair value models require the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The estimated fair value of restricted stock unit awards is determined based on the market price of Agilent's common stock on the date of grant.

Effective November 1, 2008 we moved to historical volatility to estimate the expected stock price volatility assumption for employee stock option awards. Management believes that based on current data these estimates of volatility are more appropriate than implied volatility. In reaching this conclusion, we have considered many factors including the limited number of Agilent options currently traded and our limited ability to find traded options in the current market with similar terms and prices to the options we are valuing. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2008, we used implied volatility of Agilent's publicly traded, similarly priced, stock options to estimate the expected stock price volatility assumption for employee stock option awards.

4. PROVISION FOR TAXES

For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$43 million and \$6 million, respectively, compared to an income tax provision of \$23 million and \$50 million in the same periods last year. The income tax provisions for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 include net discrete benefits of zero and \$34 million, respectively, and are primarily associated with lapses of statutes of limitations and tax settlements. The tax provisions for the three and six months ended April 30, 2008 both include a benefit of \$12 million for effectively settled issues related to foreign audits.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Income tax expense is net of taxes recorded for income generated in jurisdictions other than the Netherlands, Puerto Rico, Switzerland, the U.S. and the U.K. where we have recorded valuation allowances. We intend to maintain partial or full valuation allowances in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support the reversal of the valuation allowances.

In the U.S., the tax years remain open back to the year 2000. In other major jurisdictions where we conduct business, the tax years generally remain open back to the year 2003. It is reasonably possible that changes to our unrecognized tax benefits could be significant in the next twelve months due to lapses of statutes of limitation and tax audit settlements. As a result of uncertainties regarding the timing of the completion of tax audits in various jurisdictions and their possible outcomes, an estimate of the range of increase or decrease that could occur in the next twelve months cannot be made.

Table of Contents

Our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2000 through 2007 have been or are under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). In August 2007, we received a Revenue Agent's Report (RAR) for 2000 through 2002. In the RAR, the IRS proposes to assess a net tax deficiency, after applying available net operating losses from the years under audit and undisputed tax credits, for those years of approximately \$405 million, plus penalties of approximately \$160 million and interest. If the IRS were to fully prevail, our net operating loss and tax credits generated in recent years would be utilized earlier than they otherwise would have been, and our annual effective tax rate would increase in the period the IRS prevails. The RAR addresses several issues. One issue, however, relating to the use of Agilent's brand name by our foreign affiliates, accounts for a majority of the claimed tax deficiency. We believe that the claimed IRS adjustment for this issue in particular is inconsistent with applicable tax laws and that we have meritorious defenses to this claim. Therefore, we have not included any tax for this item in our tax provisions. We filed a formal protest, and in the protest, we vigorously opposed the claim associated with Agilent's brand name, and most of the other claimed adjustments proposed in the RAR. In April of 2008, we received a rebuttal to our formal protest, and after reviewing the IRS's arguments, our assessment of the risks remains the same. In the formal protest, we also requested a conference with the Appeals Office of the IRS, and we recently began to address these matters with them. The final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain and may take several years. Based on current information, it is our opinion that the ultimate disposition of these matters is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

5. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income (loss) per share computations for the periods presented below.

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (101)	\$ 173	\$ (37)	\$ 293
Denominators:				
Basic weighted-average shares	344	363	348	367
Potentially dilutive common stock equivalents - stock options and other employee stock plans		7		9
Diluted weighted-average shares	344	370	348	376

The dilutive effect of share-based awards is reflected in diluted net income per share by application of the treasury stock method, which includes consideration of unamortized share-based compensation expense required by SFAS No. 123 (R).

The following table presents options to purchase shares of common stock, which were not included in the computations of diluted net income per share because they were anti-dilutive.

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Options to purchase shares of common stock (in millions)	33	19	33	7
Weighted-average exercise price	\$ 29	\$ 36	\$ 29	\$ 45

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Average common stock price	\$	16	\$	31	\$	17	\$	33
----------------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

6. INVENTORY

	April 30, 2009	(in millions)	October 31, 2008
Finished goods	\$	310	\$ 331
Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies		298	315
Inventory	\$	608	\$ 646

Table of Contents

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table presents goodwill balances and the movements for each of our reportable segments during the six months ended April 30, 2009:

	Electronic Measurement	Bio-analytical Measurement	Semiconductor & Board Test	Total
	(in millions)			
Goodwill as of October 31, 2008	\$ 329	\$ 278	\$ 39	\$ 646
Foreign currency translation impact			2	2
Goodwill as of April 30, 2009	\$ 329	\$ 278	\$ 41	\$ 648

The components of other intangibles as of April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 are shown in the table below:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Purchased Other Intangible Assets Accumulated Amortization and Impairments (in millions)	Net Book Value
As of October 31, 2008:			
Purchased technology	\$ 281	\$ 124	\$ 157
Trademark/Tradename	32	3	29
Customer relationships	85	43	42
Total	\$ 398	\$ 170	\$ 228
As of April 30, 2009:			
Purchased technology	\$ 281	\$ 154	\$ 127
Trademark/Tradename	32	5	27
Customer relationships	85	50	35
Total	\$ 398	\$ 209	\$ 189

We recorded no additions to goodwill and no additions to other intangibles during the six months ended April 30, 2009. We incurred \$6 million and \$16 million in impairment charges related to other intangibles for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$11 million and \$23 million for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and \$13 million and \$26 million for the same periods in the prior year. Future amortization expense related to existing purchased intangible assets is estimated to be \$21 million for the remainder of 2009, \$39 million for 2010, \$35 million for 2011, \$28 million for 2012, \$20 million for 2013, \$14 million for 2014, and \$32 million thereafter.

8. INVESTMENTS

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the company's investments at April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008 (net book value):

	April 30, 2009		October 31, 2008
	(in millions)		
Short-Term			
Available-for-sale investments	\$	12	\$ 24
Long-Term			
Cost method investments	\$	13	\$ 19
Trading securities		43	57
Available-for-sale investments		111	130
Total	\$	167	\$ 206

Cost method investments consist of non-marketable equity securities and are accounted for at historical cost. Trading securities are reported at fair value, with gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. Investments designated as available-for-sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale investments are recorded in earnings.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

In February 2008, Agilent traded an externally managed short-term investment for the underlying securities of the investment and now manages those investments internally. The securities received were fixed income debt securities and are held as available-for-sale. Agilent estimated the fair values based on quoted market prices or pricing models using current market rates. These estimated fair values may not be representative of actual values that could have been realized as of April 30, 2009 or that will be realized in the future.

Investments in available-for-sale securities at estimated fair value were as follows at April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008:

	April 30, 2009			Estimated Fair Value	October 31, 2008			Estimated Fair Value
	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses		Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
	(in millions)							
Debt securities	\$ 69	\$	\$ (4)	\$ 65	\$ 101	\$	\$ (5)	\$ 96
Equity securities	4	6		10	4	5		9
Other	61		(13)	48	50		(1)	49
	\$ 134	\$ 6	\$ (17)	\$ 123	\$ 155	\$ 5	\$ (6)	\$ 154

Other represents shares we own in two special funds that target underlying investments of approximately 40 percent debt securities and 60 percent equity securities.

Contractual maturities of available-for-sale debt securities were as follows at April 30, 2009:

	Cost	Estimated Fair Value	
	(in millions)		
Due in less than 1 year	\$ 12	\$	12
Due in 1-5 years	34		33
Due after 5 years	23		20
	\$ 69	\$	65

All of our investments, excluding trading securities, are subject to periodic impairment review. The impairment analysis requires significant judgment to identify events or circumstances that would likely have significant adverse effect on the future value of the investment. We consider various factors in determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, including the severity and duration of the impairment, forecast recovery, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

Amounts included in other income (expense) for realized gains and losses on the sale of cost method investments, available-for-sale securities and other than temporary impairments were as follows for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008:

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	April 30,		April 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Cost method investments realized gain	\$	\$	\$	\$
Available-for-sale investments realized loss				
		(3)		(4)
Other than temporary impairment on investments	(2)	(2)	(8)	(2)
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$
	(2)	(5)	(7)	(6)

Table of Contents

Our trading securities portfolio incurred \$1 million of unrealized gains and \$6 million of unrealized losses for the three months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Unrealized losses on our trading securities portfolio were \$15 million and \$8 million for the six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market and assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability.

Fair Value Hierarchy

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the use of inputs used in valuation techniques into three levels. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. SFAS No. 157 establishes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1- applies to assets or liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2- applies to assets or liabilities for which there are inputs other than quoted prices included within level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for the asset or liability such as: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in less active markets; or other inputs that can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data.

Level 3- applies to assets or liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Our money market funds and publicly traded available-for-sale and trading securities investments are generally classified as level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices. Our derivative financial instruments are classified as level 2, as there is not an active market for each hedge contract, but the inputs used to calculate the value of the instruments are tied to active markets. Available-for-sale investments, as well as our commercial paper are classified as level 2 because although the values are not directly based on quoted market prices, the inputs used in the calculations are observable. Marketable securities measured at fair value using level 3 inputs are comprised of asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed securities, and corporate bonds within our available-for-sale investment portfolio. The values of these investments are determined based on models for which some of the inputs are not readily observable. Counterparty credit risk is evaluated when assigning levels to our financial instruments.

Asset and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of April 30, 2009 were as follows:

	April 30, 2009	Fair Value Measurement at April 30, 2009 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(in millions)				
Assets:				
Short-term				
Cash equivalents (money market funds)	\$ 578	\$ 578	\$	\$
Available-for-sale investments	12		4	8
Derivative instruments	17		17	
Long-term				
Trading securities	43	43		
Available-for-sale investments	111	10	96	5
Restricted cash (commercial paper)	1,561		1,561	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 2,322	\$ 631	\$ 1,678	\$ 13
Liabilities:				
Short-term				
Derivative instruments	\$ 12	\$	\$ 12	\$
Long-term				
Deferred compensation liability	41		41	
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 53	\$	\$ 53	\$

Table of Contents

For assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (level 3), the following table summarizes the change in balances during the three and six months ended April 30, 2009:

	Three Months Ended April 30, 2009	Six Months Ended April 30, 2009
	(in millions)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 16	\$ 19
Realized losses related to amortization of premium	(1)	(2)
Total unrealized gains (losses) included in accumulated other comprehensive loss		
Realized losses related to investment impairments	(1)	(4)
Sales	(3)	(6)
Transfers into (out of) level 3	2	6
Balance, end of period	\$ 13	\$ 13
Total losses included in earnings attributable to change in unrealized losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date, reported in interest and other income, net	\$ (1)	\$ (2)

10. DERIVATIVES

We are exposed to foreign exchange rate risks in the normal course of business. We enter into foreign exchange contracts, primarily forward contracts and purchased options, to manage economic and/or accounting exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

The company enters into foreign exchange contracts to hedge our forecasted operational cash flow exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These foreign exchange contracts, carried at fair value, have maturities between one and twelve months. These derivative instruments are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges under the criteria prescribed in SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133). The changes in the value of the effective portion of the derivative instrument are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amounts associated with cash flow hedges are reclassified to cost of sales in the condensed consolidated statement of operations when either the forecasted transaction occurs or it becomes probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur. Changes in the fair value of the ineffective portion of derivative instruments are recognized in cost of sales in the condensed consolidated statement of operations in the current period.

Additionally, the company enters into foreign exchange contracts to hedge monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our subsidiaries. These foreign exchange contracts are carried at fair value and do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133. Changes in value of the derivative are recognized in other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations, in the current period, along with the offsetting gain or loss on the underlying assets or liabilities.

All of our derivative agreements contain threshold limits to the net liability position with counterparties and are dependent on our corporate credit rating determined by the major credit rating agencies. If our corporate credit rating were to fall below the threshold limits, the counterparties to the derivative instruments may request collateralization on derivative instruments in net liability positions.

Table of Contents

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position on April 30, 2009, was \$5 million. The credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements had not been triggered on April 30, 2009.

There were 106 foreign exchange forward contracts and 7 foreign exchange option contracts open as of April 30, 2009 and designated as cash flow hedges. There were 147 foreign exchange forward contracts open as of April 30, 2009 not designated as hedging instruments. The aggregated notional amounts by currency and designation as of April 30, 2009 were as follows:

Currency	Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships under SFAS No. 133		Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under SFAS No. 133
	Forward Contracts Buy/(Sell)	Option Contracts Buy/(Sell) (in millions)	
Euro	\$ (64)	\$	\$ 412
British Pound	4		106
Australian Dollar			99
Malaysian Ringgit	89		4
Japanese Yen	(83)	(109)	(3)
Other	7		44
	\$ (47)	\$ (109)	\$ 662

Derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements and qualify for net presentation in the balance sheet. The gross fair values and balance sheet location of derivative instruments held in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of April 30, 2009 were as follows:

	Fair Values of Derivative Instruments			
	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
		(in millions)		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133:				
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	\$ 5	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 8
	Other accrued liabilities	1	Other current assets	1
		\$ 6		\$ 9
Derivatives not designated as				

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133:				
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	\$ 14	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 6
	Other accrued liabilities	1	Other current assets	1
		\$ 15		\$ 7
Total derivatives		\$ 21		\$ 16

Table of Contents

The effect of derivative instruments for foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges and not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 in our condensed consolidated statement of operations were as follows:

	Three Months Ended April 30, 2009 (in millions)
<u>Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships under SFAS No. 133</u>	
Gain recognized in OCI on derivatives	\$ 7
Loss reclassified from OCI into cost of sales	\$ 10
<u>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under SFAS No. 133</u>	
Gain recognized in other income (expense)	\$ 23

The estimated net amount of existing losses at April 30, 2009 that is expected to be reclassified from other comprehensive income to the cost of sales within the next twelve months is \$3 million.

11. RETIREMENT PLANS AND POST RETIREMENT PENSION PLANS

Components of net periodic costs. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and 2008, our net pension and post retirement benefit costs were comprised of the following:

	U.S. Plans		Pensions		Non-U.S. Plans		U.S. Post Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2009	2008	Three Months Ended April 30,		Three Months Ended April 30,		2009	2008
			2009	2008	2009	2008		
	(in millions)							
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$ 7	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ 10	\$ 1	\$ 1		
Interest cost on benefit obligation	12	9	16	19	7	7		
Expected return on plan assets	(9)	(14)	(19)	(28)	(5)	(8)		
Amortization and deferrals:								
Actuarial (gain) loss		(3)	8	5	1			
Prior service cost					(3)	(3)		
Total net plan (income) costs	\$ 10	\$ 1	\$ 13	\$ 6	\$ 1	\$ (3)		

Table of Contents

	Pensions					
	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans		U.S. Post Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2009	2008	Six Months Ended April 30, 2009		2009	2008
	(in millions)					
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$ 15	\$ 18	\$ 16	\$ 19	\$ 2	\$ 2
Interest cost on benefit obligation	24	19	32	38	14	14
Expected return on plan assets	(19)	(28)	(39)	(55)	(10)	(16)
Amortization and deferrals:						
Actuarial (gain) loss	1	(6)	17	10	2	
Prior service cost					(6)	(6)
Total net plan (income) costs	\$ 21	\$ 3	\$ 26	\$ 12	\$ 2	\$ (6)

We contributed approximately \$37 million and \$38 million to our U.S. defined benefit plans during the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and zero and \$2 million respectively, for the same periods in 2008. We contributed approximately \$12 million and \$31 million to our non-U.S. defined benefit plans during the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 and \$9 million and \$19 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. We expect to contribute approximately \$27 million to our non-U.S. defined benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2009 which is more than previously anticipated and is due to the need to make additional contributions in some countries as asset values have declined. We do not anticipate making further contributions to the U.S. defined benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2009.

As of April 30, 2009, due to restructuring actions in response to the continuing deterioration of economic conditions (the FY 2009 Plan), we recorded a curtailment loss less than \$1 million related to a Non-U.S. Plan as required by SFAS No. 88 Employers Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, (SFAS No. 88). In connection with the remeasurement of the pension obligation for this plan, we recorded additional net losses totaling \$45 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the balance sheet mainly due to lower asset values.

12. WARRANTIES

We accrue for warranty costs in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies , based on historical trends in warranty charges as a percentage of gross product shipments. The accrual is reviewed regularly and periodically adjusted to reflect changes in warranty cost estimates. Estimated warranty charges are recorded within cost of products at the time products are sold. Our warranty terms typically extend for one year from the date of delivery.

	FY 2009	FY 2008
	(in millions)	
Beginning balance as of November 1,	\$ 29	\$ 29
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	23	25
Changes in estimates	3	(1)
Settlements made during the period	(26)	(25)
Ending balance as of April 30,	\$ 29	\$ 28

13. RESTRUCTURING COSTS, ASSET IMPAIRMENTS AND OTHER SPECIAL CHARGES

Our FY2005 Plan, announced in the fourth quarter of 2005, is largely complete. The remaining obligations under this and previous plans relate primarily to lease obligations that are expected to be satisfied over approximately the next three years and are included in the consolidation of excess facilities and other exit costs column in the table below.

In the first quarter of 2009, we announced a new restructuring plan (the FY 2009 Plan) to reduce our annual operating expenses by reducing approximately 500 positions of the global workforce of regular employees. The FY 2009 Plan is in response to deteriorating economic conditions and is designed to enhance the potential of some of our business units most affected by the economic downturn to reach their operating model goals. In the second quarter of 2009, we announced additional actions as part of the FY 2009 Plan to restructure our global infrastructure organization and to restructure our electronic measurement and semiconductor and board test segments in response to the continuing deterioration of economic conditions. The additional actions will further reduce our global workforce of regular employees by approximately 3,300 positions, bringing the total headcount reductions under the FY 2009 Plan to approximately 3,800 employees. We expect to complete a majority of these activities related to the FY 2009 Plan by October 31, 2009, with the remainder expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2010. As of April 30, 2009 approximately 650 employees have left Agilent under the FY 2009 Plan.

Table of Contents

A summary of total restructuring activity and other special charges for the six months ended April 30, 2009 is shown in the table below:

	Workforce Reduction	Consolidation of Excess Facilities	Impairment of Building and Purchased Intangible Assets (in millions)	Special Charges related to Inventory	Total
Balance as of October 31, 2008	\$	\$ 10	\$	\$	\$ 10
Income statement expense	89	5	17	23	134
Asset impairments/inventory charges			(17)	(14)	(31)
Cash payments	(34)	(2)			(36)
Balance as of April 30, 2009	\$ 55	\$ 13	\$	\$ 9	\$ 77

The restructuring and other special accruals for all plans, which totaled \$77 million at April 30, 2009 and \$10 million at October 31, 2008, are recorded in other accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet and represents estimated future cash outlays. Lease payments are expected approximately over the next three years. Workforce reduction payments, primarily severance, are expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of fiscal year 2010.

A summary of the charges in the statement of operations resulting from all restructuring plans and special charges is shown below:

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)		(in millions)	
Cost of products	\$ 22	\$	\$ 55	\$
Research and development	17		21	
Selling, general and administrative	47		58	(4)
Total restructuring, asset impairments and other special charges	\$ 86	\$	\$ 134	\$ (4)

14. SHORT-TERM DEBT

On May 11, 2007, we entered into a five-year credit agreement, which provides for a \$300 million unsecured credit facility that will expire on May 11, 2012. The company may use amounts borrowed under the facility for general corporate purposes. As of April 30, 2009 the company has no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility. During the three months ended April 30, 2009 the company repaid the \$50 million balance outstanding on the facility at the end of the January 31, 2009.

15. SENIOR NOTES

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

In October 2007, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600 million in senior notes. The senior notes were issued at 99.60% of their principal amount. The notes will mature on November 1, 2017, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.50% per annum. The interest is payable semi-annually on May 1st and November 1st of each year and payments commenced on May 1, 2008. The senior notes are unsecured and rank equally in right of payment with all of Agilent's other senior unsecured indebtedness. The company incurred issuance costs of \$5 million in connection with the senior notes. These costs were capitalized in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet and the costs are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the senior notes.

On November 25, 2008, we terminated the two remaining interest rate swap contracts associated with our senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$400 million. The asset value upon termination was approximately \$43 million. The proceeds were recorded as operating cash flows and the gain is being deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the senior notes.

Table of Contents

16. LONG-TERM DEBT AND LONG-TERM RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The following table summarizes the company's long-term debt as of April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008:

	April 30, 2009	October 31, 2008
	(in millions)	
World Trade debt	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500
Other debt	15	14
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,515	\$ 1,514

World Trade Debt

In January 2006, Agilent Technologies World Trade, Inc., a consolidated wholly-owned subsidiary of Agilent (World Trade), entered into a five-year Master Repurchase Agreement with a counterparty in which World Trade sold 15,000 Class A preferred shares of Agilent Technologies (Cayco) Limited (Cayco) to the counterparty, having an aggregate liquidation preference of \$1.5 billion. World Trade owns all of the outstanding common shares of Cayco, a separate legal entity.

In September 2008, Agilent and World Trade entered into an agreement (the Lloyds Related Agreement) with Lloyds TSB Bank plc (Lloyds). Under the Lloyds Related Agreement, on November 17, 2008 (the Effective Date), Lloyds accepted the transfer by novation of all of the rights and obligations of the counterparty under a revised Master Repurchase Agreement. On the Effective Date, Lloyds paid \$1.5 billion to the prior counterparty in consideration of the novation and World Trade's repurchase obligation was extended to January 27, 2011 (the Extended Repurchase Date). World Trade is obligated to make aggregate quarterly payments to Lloyds at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, with reference to LIBOR plus 175 basis points beginning on the Effective Date.

Lloyds can accelerate the Extended Repurchase Date or cause a redemption of the preferred Cayco shares only upon certain events of default, but neither World Trade nor Agilent has the right to accelerate the Extended Repurchase Date. The World Trade obligation of \$1.5 billion is recorded and classified as a long-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Other Debt

On August 11, 2008 a consolidated wholly-owned subsidiary of Agilent, borrowed Indian Rupees equivalent to \$14 million from Citibank N.A. at 12.75 percent per annum interest rate for 5 years, maturing on August 9, 2013 to finance a capital project in India. The loan is recorded and classified as long-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Long-Term Restricted Cash & Cash Equivalents

As of April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, \$1,572 million and \$1,582 million were reported as long-term restricted cash and cash equivalents in our condensed consolidated balance sheet, respectively. Of these amounts, \$1,561 million and \$1,571 million were held in commercial paper maintained in connection with our World Trade debt obligation as of April 30, 2009 and October 31, 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

17. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table presents the components of comprehensive income (loss):

	Three Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (101)	\$ 173
Other comprehensive income:		
Change in unrealized gain and loss on investments	3	1
Change in unrealized loss on derivative instruments	7	14
Translation gain reclassified into earnings related to liquidation of a subsidiary		(25)
Losses reclassified into earnings related to derivative instruments	10	
Foreign currency translation	(2)	56
Change in deferred net pension cost	(39)	(2)
Deferred taxes	(13)	(6)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (135)	\$ 211

	Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (37)	\$ 293
Other comprehensive income:		
Change in unrealized gain and loss on investments	(10)	(21)
Change in unrealized gain and loss on derivative instruments		8
Translation gain reclassified into earnings related to liquidation of a subsidiary		(25)
Losses reclassified into earnings related to derivative instruments	19	
Foreign currency translation	22	114
Change in deferred net pension cost	(30)	(3)
Deferred taxes	(11)	(4)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (47)	\$ 362

18. STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM

On November 14, 2007 the Audit and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors approved a share-repurchase program of up to \$2 billion of Agilent's common stock over a two year period.

The following repurchases under this program are, based on settlement date:

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

	Number of Common Stock Repurchased		Amount of Common Stock Repurchased
	(in millions)		
Fiscal Year 2008			
Balance as of October 31, 2008	30	\$	1,000
Fiscal Year 2009			
Three months ended			
January 31, 2009	7		125
April 30, 2009	2		32
Program to date as of April 30, 2009	39	\$	1,157

All such shares and related costs are held as treasury stock and accounted for using the cost method. The remaining amount that is authorized under the plan is \$843 million. On March 26, 2009, the company announced that it was suspending its stock repurchase program until the end of the 2009 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

19. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We are a measurement company, providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the communications, electronics, life sciences and chemical analysis industries. In the first quarter of 2009, we formed a new operating segment from our existing businesses; the semiconductor and board test segment. Following this re-organization, Agilent has three primary businesses – bio-analytical measurement, electronic measurement and semiconductor and board test – each of which comprises a reportable segment. The segments were determined based primarily on how the chief operating decision maker views and evaluates our operations. Other factors, including customer base, homogeneity of products, technology and delivery channels, were also considered in determining our reportable segments. All historical segment numbers have been recast to conform to this new reporting structure in our financial statements.

In the first quarter of 2009, we also moved microscopy measurement from the bio-analytical measurement segment to the electronic measurement segment. Microscopy measurement combined with existing units in the electronic measurement segment for increased synergy with product lines and operational resources.

A significant portion of the segments' expenses arise from shared services and infrastructure that we have historically provided to the segments in order to realize economies of scale and to efficiently use resources. These expenses, collectively called corporate charges, include costs of centralized research and development, legal, accounting, real estate, insurance services, information technology services, treasury and other corporate infrastructure expenses. Charges are allocated to the segments, and the allocations have been determined on a basis that we considered to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided to or benefits received by the segments.

Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) in the first quarter of 2006, we included share-based compensation expense in our GAAP results but did not include such expense in our segment reporting. In the third quarter of 2008, we included share-based compensation expense in our segment results. All segment numbers have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The following tables reflect the results of our reportable segments under our management reporting system. These results are not necessarily in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. The performance of each segment is measured based on several metrics, including adjusted income from operations. These results are used, in part, by the chief operating decision maker in evaluating the performance of, and in allocating resources to, each of the segments.

The profitability of each of the segments is measured after excluding restructuring, asset impairment charges and other related costs, investment gains and losses, interest income, interest expense, non-cash amortization and impairment of other intangibles and other items as noted in the reconciliation below:

	Electronic Measurement	Bio-analytical Measurement	Semiconductor & Board Test	Total
	(in millions)			
Three months ended April 30, 2009:				
Total net revenue	\$ 558	\$ 498	\$ 35	\$ 1,091

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Segment income (loss) from operations	\$	(6)	\$	89	\$	(16)	\$	67
Three months ended April 30, 2008:								
Total net revenue	\$	831	\$	530	\$	95	\$	1,456
Segment income from operations	\$	120	\$	85	\$	8	\$	213

		Electronic Measurement		Bio-analytical Measurement		Semiconductor & Board Test		Total
(in millions)								
Six months ended April 30, 2009:								
Total net revenue	\$	1,154	\$	1,023	\$	80	\$	2,257
Segment income (loss) from operations	\$	1	\$	190	\$	(29)	\$	162
Six months ended April 30, 2008:								
Total net revenue	\$	1,604	\$	1,061	\$	184	\$	2,849
Segment income from operations	\$	197	\$	172	\$	11	\$	380

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles reportable segment results to Agilent's total enterprise results from operations before taxes:

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Total reportable segments' income from operations	\$ 67	\$ 213	\$ 162	\$ 380
Restructuring, asset impairment and other related costs	(98)	(6)	(154)	(18)
Net translation gain from liquidation of a subsidiary		11		11
Interest income	6	27	20	66
Interest expense	(23)	(29)	(46)	(59)
Other income (expense), net	6	(4)	18	
Amortization of intangibles and other	(16)	(16)	(31)	(37)
Income (loss) from operations before taxes, as reported	\$ (58)	\$ 196	\$ (31)	\$ 343

For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, other income (expense), net included zero and a \$6 million gain in respect of a patent litigation judgment, respectively.

The following table reflects segment assets under our management reporting system. Segment assets include allocations of corporate assets, including deferred tax assets, goodwill, other intangibles and other assets.

In the first quarter of 2009, we refined the methodology for allocating company assets which has resulted in an increase in segment assets. All segment numbers have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

	Electronic Measurement	Bio-analytical Measurement	Semiconductor & Board Test	Total
	(in millions)			
Assets:				
As of April 30, 2009	\$ 1,859	\$ 1,519	\$ 358	\$ 3,736
As of October 31, 2008	\$ 2,014	\$ 1,505	\$ 387	\$ 3,906

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K. This report contains forward-looking statements including, without limitation, statements regarding trends, seasonality, cyclicalities and growth in the markets we sell into, our strategic direction, our future effective tax rate and tax valuation allowance, earnings from our foreign subsidiaries, remediation activities, new product and service introductions, changes to our manufacturing processes, the use of contract manufacturers, the impact of local government regulations on our ability to pay vendors or conduct operations, our liquidity position, our ability to generate cash from operations, growth in our businesses, our investments, the potential impact of adopting new accounting pronouncements, our financial results, our purchase commitments, our contributions to our pension plans, the selection of discount rates and recognition of any gains or losses for our benefit plans, our cost-control activities, our stock repurchase program, our transition to lower-cost regions, our restructuring activities, including our current estimates of the scope, timing and cost of those activities, the existence or length of an economic recovery that involve risks and uncertainties, and the impact of an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Revenue Agent's Report (RAR) on our operations and financial results. Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to various factors, including those discussed below in Risks, Uncertainties and Other Factors That May Affect Future Results and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Basis of Presentation

The financial information presented in this Form 10-Q is not audited and is not necessarily indicative of our future consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Our fiscal year-end is October 31, and our fiscal quarters end on January 31, April 30 and July 31. Unless otherwise stated, all dates refer to our fiscal year and fiscal periods.

Revisions to Financial Statement Presentation. We have revised our consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2008 to correct an error in the classification of deferred tax assets and liabilities. This revision does not impact the consolidated statement of operations or the consolidated statement of cash flows for any period. During the April 30, 2009 quarter-end process, we noted that the October 31, 2008 U.S. deferred tax valuation allowances and certain deferred tax assets/ deferred tax liabilities were misclassified on the balance sheet as a result of improperly applying the jurisdictional netting rules of SFAS No. 109. We have therefore revised our balance sheet as of October 31, 2008 by decreasing other long-term liabilities by \$435 million and decreasing other long-term assets by \$404 million, decreasing other current assets by \$26 million and increasing other accrued liabilities by \$5 million.

Executive Summary

Agilent Technologies, Inc. (we , Agilent or the company) is the world's premier measurement company, providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the communications, electronics, life sciences and chemical analysis industries. Agilent has three primary businesses that are focused on the electronic measurement market, the semiconductor and board test market and the bio-analytical measurement market.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 we continued to experience order and revenue weakness in our electronic measurement and semiconductor and board test businesses. The major driver of the order and corresponding revenue decline was the continued reduction of investment in the manufacturing test markets. We continued to experience overall weakness in the bio-analytical measurement business; however, the academic and government and food safety markets showed improvement and increased revenue in the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 when compared to last year.

For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, total orders were \$1,026 million and \$2,141 million, respectively, a decrease of 33 percent and 27 percent in comparison to the same periods last year. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, bio-analytical orders decreased 16 percent and 9 percent, respectively, electronic measurement orders decreased 39 percent and 34 percent, respectively, and semiconductor and board test orders decreased 75 percent and 71 percent, respectively, when compared to the same periods last year.

Net revenue of \$1,091 million and \$2,257 million for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, decreased 25 percent and 21 percent, respectively, from the same periods last year. Bio-analytical revenues decreased 6 percent and 4 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, with chemical analysis down 6 percent and 3 percent and life science down 7 percent and 4 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. Geographically, weakness was most pronounced for bio-analytical in Europe with a revenue decrease of 16 percent and revenues in the Americas decreased 10 percent while Asia was generally strong, with Japan up 7 percent and other Asia up 12 percent in the three months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year. Electronic measurement revenues decreased 33 percent and 28 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively. Within electronic measurement, revenues in general purpose test decreased 28 percent and 25 percent and

Table of Contents

revenues in communications test decreased 39 percent and 31 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same period last year. Geographically, electronic measurement revenues for the three months ended April 30, 2009 decreased in Europe by 30 percent, in Americas by 31 percent, in Japan by 43 percent and in other Asia by 32 percent compared to the same period last year. Semiconductor and board test measurement revenues decreased 63 percent and 56 percent when compared to the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, with all markets down compared to the same periods last year. On a geographic basis, revenue declined 63 percent in the Americas, 59 percent in Europe, 52 percent in Japan and 72 percent in other Asia in the three months ended April 30, 2009 when compared to the same period last year.

Net loss for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 was \$101 million and \$37 million, respectively, as compared to net income of \$173 million and \$293 million for the corresponding periods last year. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, net income decreased mainly due to reduced revenue. Tax expense decreased \$44 million when compared to the six months ended April 30, 2008 primarily due to net discrete tax benefits associated with lapses of statutes of limitations and tax settlements.

In the six months ended April 30, 2009, we generated \$154 million of cash from operations compared with \$329 million generated in the six months of last year.

In March 2009, we announced additional actions to restructure our electronic measurement and semiconductor and board test segments in response to the continuing deterioration of economic conditions. When completed, this restructuring is expected to result in future annual operating savings of approximately \$310 million. We expect workforce reductions of approximately 2,700 regular positions from these actions.

The March 2009 restructuring activities are combined with those actions previously announced in December 2008 and February 2009 under a single restructuring plan and are part of a series of actions being taken by Agilent in response to the current economic situation. In connection with the combined restructuring plan, we expect to record in aggregate approximately \$315 million in pre-tax restructuring and other charges related to business and infrastructure cost reduction. We expect that a significant proportion of these charges will result in cash expenditures. When completed, these actions together are expected to result in future annual operating savings of approximately \$525 million and workforce reductions of approximately 3,800 regular positions. Total restructuring and other special charges of \$134 million have been incurred in the six months ended April 30, 2009 with respect to these actions. Of the expected 3,800 reduction in regular positions, approximately 650 employees have left Agilent as of April 30, 2009.

Looking forward, we face continued challenging business conditions as the global economic environment continues to deteriorate and the outlook is highly uncertain. We are committed to delivering performance consistent with Agilent's operating model.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP in the U.S. requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our critical accounting policies are those that affect our financial statements materially and involve difficult, subjective or complex judgments by

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

management. Those policies are revenue recognition, inventory valuation, investment impairments, share-based compensation, retirement and post-retirement benefit plan assumptions, restructuring and asset impairment charges, valuation of long-lived assets and accounting for income taxes. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions believed to be reasonable. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions that may impact the company in the future, actual results may be different from the estimates.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, and if different estimates that reasonably could have been used or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially change the financial statements.

Share-based compensation. The expected stock price volatility assumption was determined using the historical volatility for our stock for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009. We estimate the stock price volatility using the historical volatility of Agilent's stock options over the most recent historical period equivalent to the expected life. In reaching the decision to move to historical volatility effective November 1, 2008, we considered many factors including the extent to which our options are currently traded and our ability to find traded options in the current market with similar terms and prices to the options we are valuing. A 10 percent increase in our estimated historical volatility from 36 percent to 46 percent would generally increase the value of an award and the associated compensation cost by approximately 23 percent if no other factors were changed.

Table of Contents

Goodwill and purchased intangible assets. During the three months ended April 30, 2009, we tested certain intangible assets for impairment using the guidance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144), which resulted in an impairment of \$6 million.

Agilent reviews goodwill for impairment annually during our fourth fiscal quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No.142. As defined in SFAS No. 142, paragraph. 30, a reporting unit is an operating segment, or one level below an operating segment. In accordance with paragraph 30 of SFAS No. 142, we have aggregated components of an operating segment that have similar economic characteristics into our reporting units. We have three reporting units for goodwill impairment testing purposes: electronic measurement, bio-analytical measurement, and semiconductor and board test. We test goodwill for possible impairment by first determining the fair value of the related reporting unit and comparing this value to the recorded net assets of the reporting unit, including goodwill.

The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is highly subjective and requires significant judgment, as our businesses operate in a number of markets and geographical regions. We determine the fair value of our reporting units based on an income approach, whereby we calculate the fair value of each reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows, which are formed by evaluating historical trends, current budgets, operating plans and industry data. We evaluate the reasonableness of the fair value calculations of our reporting units by reconciling the total of the fair values of all of our reporting units to our total market capitalization, taking into account an appropriate control premium. We then compare the carrying value of our reporting units to the fair value calculations based on the income approach. Estimates of the future cash flows associated with the businesses are critical to these assessments. The assumptions used in the fair value calculation change from year to year and include revenue growth rates, operating margins, risk adjusted discount rates and future economic and market conditions. Changes in these assumptions based on changed economic conditions or business strategies could result in material impairment charges in future periods.

The circumstances that could trigger a goodwill impairment could include, but are not limited to, the following items to the extent that management believes the occurrence of one or more would make it more likely than not that we would fail step 1 of the goodwill impairment test: significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, a more-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or otherwise disposed of, a portion of a reporting unit's goodwill has been included in the carrying amounts of a business that will be disposed of or if our market capitalization is below our net book value.

We have considered the negative effects of the current downturn in the business environment in evaluating whether we should perform interim goodwill impairment testing. Due to the significant business downturn in the semiconductor and board test reporting unit, we performed step 1 of the goodwill impairment test during the first quarter of 2009 and determined that there was no impairment at that time. The estimated fair value of our semiconductor and board test reporting unit exceeded its carrying value by a range of approximately \$100 million. In order to evaluate the sensitivity of the fair value calculation on the goodwill impairment testing, we applied a hypothetical 10 percent decrease to the fair value of the semiconductor and board test reporting unit which we believe represented a reasonable possible change when we performed the test. This hypothetical 10 percent decrease did not change the results of our impairment testing. We continue to assess the overall environment to determine if we would trigger and fail step 1 of the goodwill impairment test. There was no impairment of goodwill during the six months ended April 30, 2009.

Restructuring and asset impairment charges. The three main components of our restructuring plans are related to workforce reductions, the consolidation of excess facilities and asset impairments. Workforce reduction charges are accrued when it is determined that a liability has been incurred, which is generally after individuals have been notified of their termination dates and expected severance payments. Plans to consolidate excess facilities result in charges for lease termination fees and future commitments to pay lease charges, net of estimated future

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

sublease income. We recognize charges for consolidation of excess facilities when we have vacated the premises. These estimates were derived using the guidance of SFAS No. 144, Staff Accounting Bulletin 100, Restructuring and Impairment Charges (SAB 100) and SFAS No. 146 Accounting for Exit or Disposal Activities (SFAS No. 146). If the amounts and timing of cash flows from restructuring activities are significantly different from what we have estimated, the actual amount of restructuring and asset impairment charges could be materially different, either higher or lower, than those we have recorded.

Accounting for income taxes. We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of tax credits, benefits and deductions, and in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities, which arise from differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes, as well as interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. Significant changes to these estimates may result in an increase or decrease to our tax provision in a subsequent period.

Significant management judgment is also required in determining whether deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. When it is more likely than not that all or some portion of specific deferred tax assets such as net operating losses or foreign tax credit carryforwards will not be realized, a valuation allowance must be established for the amount of the deferred tax assets that cannot be realized. We consider all available positive and negative evidence on a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis when assessing whether it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets are recoverable. We consider evidence such as our past operating results, the existence of cumulative losses in recent years and our forecast of future taxable income. At April 30, 2009, we provided partial valuation allowances for our U.S. deferred tax assets and full or partial valuation allowances on certain foreign deferred tax assets. We intend to maintain partial or full valuation allowances until sufficient positive evidence exists to support reversal of a valuation allowance in a given taxing jurisdiction.

Table of Contents

We have not provided for all U.S. federal income and foreign withholding taxes on the undistributed earnings of some of our foreign subsidiaries because we intend to reinvest such earnings indefinitely. Should we decide to remit this income to the U.S. in a future period, our provision for income taxes may increase materially in that period.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax law and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions. Although FASB Interpretation No. 48 provides further clarification on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, the threshold and measurement attributes prescribed by the pronouncement will continue to require significant judgment by management. If the ultimate resolution of tax uncertainties is different from what is currently estimated, a material impact on income tax expense could result.

Adoption of New Pronouncements

See Note 2, New Accounting Pronouncements, to the condensed consolidated financial statements for a description of new accounting pronouncements.

Restructuring Costs, Asset Impairments and Other Charges

In December 2008, we announced the FY 2009 Plan. Initially, we expected to reduce our annual operating expenses by reducing approximately 500 positions of the global workforce of regular employees. In February 2009, we announced we had expanded the FY 2009 Plan to include actions to exit the inspection businesses in our semiconductor and board test segment and to restructure our global infrastructure organization. In March 2009, we announced that the FY 2009 Plan had been expanded further to restructure our electronic measurement and semiconductor and board test segments in response to the continuing deterioration of economic conditions. Under the FY 2009 Plan, we expect to record in aggregate approximately \$315 million in pre-tax restructuring and other charges related to business and infrastructure cost reduction. We expect that a significant proportion of these charges will result in cash expenditures. When completed, these actions together are expected to result in annual operating savings of approximately \$525 million and workforce reductions of approximately 3,800 regular positions.

Total restructuring and other special charges of \$134 million have been incurred in the six months ended April 30, 2009 with respect to these actions. Of the \$134 million, \$17 million related to asset impairments and \$23 million related to special charges for excess inventory as a result of exiting the inspection businesses in our semiconductor and board test segment. Of the 3,800 reduction in regular positions under the FY 2009 Plan, approximately 650 employees have left Agilent as of April 30, 2009. We expect to complete the majority of these activities related to the FY 2009 Plan by October 31, 2009 with the remainder expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2010. We expect our restructuring to cause us to record a curtailment gain related to our U.S. Post Retirement Benefit Plan in the third quarter due to an expected decrease in the plan's average future working lifetime.

Foreign Currency

Our revenues, costs and expenses, and monetary assets and liabilities are exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates as a result of our global operating and financing activities. We hedge revenues, expenses and balance sheet exposures that are not denominated in the

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

functional currencies of our subsidiaries on a short term and anticipated basis. We do experience some fluctuations within individual lines of the condensed consolidated statement of operations and balance sheet because our hedging program is not designed to offset the currency movements in each category of revenues, expenses, monetary assets and liabilities. Our hedging program is designed to hedge currency movements on a relatively short-term basis (rolling twelve month period). Therefore, we are exposed to currency fluctuations over the longer term.

Table of Contents**Results from Operations****Orders and Net Revenue**

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
	(in millions)					
Orders	\$ 1,026	\$ 1,524	\$ 2,141	\$ 2,925	(33)%	(27)%
Net revenue:						
Products	\$ 864	\$ 1,214	\$ 1,801	\$ 2,375	(29)%	(24)%
Services and other	227	242	456	474	(6)%	(4)%
Total net revenue	\$ 1,091	\$ 1,456	\$ 2,257	\$ 2,849	(25)%	(21)%

Agilent orders decreased 33 percent and 27 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same periods last year. Our bio-analytical measurement business experienced weakening market activity and recorded an order decrease of 16 percent and 9 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively. In comparison with the same periods last year, electronic measurement orders decreased 39 percent and 34 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, with weakness in both general purpose and communications test markets. The semiconductor and board test measurement orders decreased 75 percent and 71 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, with all markets down in comparison with the same periods last year.

Agilent net revenue decreased 25 percent and 21 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The bio-analytical measurement business revenues decreased 6 percent and 4 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively. Chemical analysis reported a decrease of 6 percent and 3 percent and life sciences reported a decrease of 7 percent and 4 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. Electronic measurement business revenues decreased 33 percent and 28 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, compared to last year. General purpose test revenues decreased 28 percent and 25 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively. Communications test end market revenues decreased 39 percent and 31 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 as market decline was widespread and manufacturing, computer and semiconductor demand was under extreme pressure. Semiconductor and board test measurement revenues decreased 63 percent and 56 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, when compared to the same periods last year with all markets down.

Services and other revenue include revenue generated from servicing our installed base of products, warranty extensions and consulting. Services and other revenue for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 decreased 6 percent and 4 percent, respectively when compared to the same periods last year. Service revenue trends tend to lag product revenue due to the deferral of service revenue, most of which is recognized over extended time periods.

Operating Results

	Three Months Ended April 30,	Six Months Ended April 30,	Year over Year Change Three Six
--	---------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

	2009	2008	2009	2008	Months	Months
Total gross margin	48.6%	55.4%	49.6%	54.9%	(7)ppts	(5)ppts
Operating margin	(4.3)%	13.1%	(1.0)%	11.4%	(17)ppts	(12)ppts
(in millions)						
Research and development	\$ 170	\$ 183	\$ 339	\$ 364	(7)%	(7)%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 407	\$ 433	\$ 803	\$ 874	(6)%	(8)%

Total gross margins for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 showed a 7 percentage point and a 5 percentage point decrease, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The majority of the decrease in gross margins was related to the drop in volume of revenues. Operating margins have decreased 17 percentage points and 12 percentage points for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, compared with the same periods last year.

Research and development expenses decreased 7 percent in both the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same periods last year. A net decrease in expenses after incurring restructuring costs was attributable to lower variable pay, wage reductions and favorable foreign currency movements. We remain committed to invest in research and development by bringing new products to the market, and have focused our development efforts on key strategic opportunities in order to align our business with available markets and position ourselves to capture market share.

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased 6 percent and 8 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The net decrease in expenses after incurring restructuring costs, in the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 was due to expense controls we have implemented in this difficult economic environment, in particular, lower variable pay, wage reductions and reduced commissions. We also experienced a reduction in costs due to favorable foreign currency movements compared to last year.

At April 30, 2009, our headcount was approximately 19,100.

Global Infrastructure Organization

Our global infrastructure organization (GIO) remains a key component of our operating model. GIO, which includes IT, Workplace Services, HR, Legal and Finance, continues to work proactively to reduce expenses while delivering infrastructure support to business operations. In response to the continuing deterioration of economic conditions we announced a GIO restructuring program in February 2009. This will move GIO to a more appropriate size and structure for the current economic realities.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$43 million and \$6 million, respectively, compared to an income tax provision of \$23 million and \$50 million in the same periods last year. The income tax provisions for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 include net discrete benefits of zero and \$34 million, respectively, and are primarily associated with lapses of statutes of limitations and tax settlements. The tax provisions for the three and six months ended April 30, 2008 both include a benefit of \$12 million for effectively settled issues related to foreign audits.

Income tax expense is net of taxes recorded for income generated in jurisdictions other than the Netherlands, Puerto Rico, Switzerland, the U.S. and the U.K. where we have recorded valuation allowances. We intend to maintain partial or full valuation allowances in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support the reversal of the valuation allowances.

At April 30, 2009, our estimate of the annual effective tax rate was 150 percent. The income tax rate is (19) percent for the six months ended April 30, 2009. These tax rates reflect that our structure has a fixed component of tax, and on reduced expected profitability or actual year-to-date loss, unusual tax rates result. Excluding the impact of net discrete tax benefits, we anticipated at April 30, 2009 that the full-year 2009 effective tax rate would be approximately 22 percent. Given uncertain economic times, it is likely that the effective tax rates will change as the amount and/or mix of income and related taxes changes. The full-year tax rates reflect taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions in which income tax expense or benefit continues to be offset by adjustments to valuation allowances. Our effective tax rate is calculated using projected annual pre-tax income or loss from continuing operations adjusted to exclude loss entities for which no tax benefit is anticipated and is affected by research tax credits, the expected level of other tax benefits, the effects of business acquisitions and dispositions, the impact of changes to valuation allowances, changes in other comprehensive income, as well as changes in the mix of income and losses in the jurisdictions in which we operate that have varying statutory rates.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

In the U.S., the tax years remain open back to the year 2000. In other major jurisdictions where we conduct business, the tax years generally remain open back to the year 2003. It is reasonably possible that changes to our unrecognized tax benefits could be significant in the next twelve months due to lapses of statutes of limitation and tax audit settlements. As a result of uncertainties regarding the timing of the completion of tax audits in various jurisdictions and their possible outcomes, an estimate of the range of increase or decrease that could occur in the next twelve months cannot be made.

Our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2000 through 2007 have been or are under audit by the IRS. In August 2007, we received a RAR for 2000 through 2002. In the RAR, the IRS proposes to assess a net tax deficiency, after applying available net operating losses from the years under audit and undisputed tax credits, for those years of approximately \$405 million, plus penalties of approximately \$160 million and interest. If the IRS were to fully prevail, our net operating loss and tax credits generated in recent years would be utilized earlier than they otherwise would have been, and our annual effective tax rate would increase in the period the IRS prevails. The RAR addresses several issues. One issue, however, relating to the use of Agilent's brand name by our foreign affiliates, accounts for a majority of the claimed tax deficiency. We believe that the claimed IRS adjustment for this issue in particular is inconsistent with applicable tax laws and that we have meritorious defenses to this claim. Therefore, we have not included any tax for this item in our tax provisions. We filed a formal protest, and in the protest, we vigorously opposed the claim associated with Agilent's brand name, and most of the other claimed adjustments proposed in the RAR. In April of 2008, we received a rebuttal to our formal protest, and after reviewing the IRS's arguments, our assessment of the risks remains the same. In the formal protest, we also requested a conference with the Appeals Office of the IRS, and we recently began to address these matters with them. The final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain and may take several years. Based on current information, it is our opinion that the ultimate disposition of these matters is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents

Segment Overview

Agilent is a measurement company providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the communications, electronics, life sciences and chemical analysis industries. Agilent has three primary businesses focused on the electronic measurement market and the bio-analytical measurement market and the semiconductor and board test market.

Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) in the first quarter of 2006, we included share-based compensation expense in our GAAP results but did not include such expense in our segment reporting. In the third quarter of 2008, we included share-based compensation expense in our segment results. All segment numbers have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

In the first quarter of 2009, we formed a new operating segment from our existing businesses; the semiconductor and board test segment. The new segment covers semiconductor equipment and services sold to semiconductor manufacturing and printed circuit board assembly customers. The semiconductor and board test segment combines laser interferometer, parametric test and printed circuit board manufacturing test equipment. Laser interferometer was formerly part of the bio-analytical measurement segment and both the parametric test and printed circuit test were formerly part of the electronic measurement segment. The semiconductor and board test segment was determined based primarily on how the chief operating decision maker views and evaluates our operations. Operating results are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and to assess its performance. Other factors, including technology and delivery channels, consumer-specific solutions, highly cyclical market and specialized manufacturing, were considered in determining the formation of this new operating segment.

In the first quarter of 2009, we also moved microscopy measurement from the bio-analytical measurement segment to the electronic measurement segment. Microscopy measurement combined with existing units in the electronic measurement segment for increased synergy with product lines and operational resources.

All historical segment numbers have been recast to conform to this new reporting structure in our financial statements.

Electronic Measurement

Our electronic measurement business provides standard and customized electronic measurement instruments and systems monitoring, management and optimization tools for communications networks and services, software design tools and related services that are used in the design, development, manufacture, installation, deployment and operation of electronics equipment, communications networks and services, and microscopy products. Related services include start-up assistance, instrument productivity and application services and instrument calibration and repair. We also offer customization, consulting and optimization services throughout the customer's product lifecycle.

Orders and Net Revenue

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
	(in millions)					
Orders	\$ 523	\$ 863	\$ 1,083	\$ 1,636	(39)%	(34)%
Net revenue	\$ 558	\$ 831	\$ 1,154	\$ 1,604	(33)%	(28)%

Electronic measurement orders for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 39 percent and 34 percent, respectively, when compared to the same periods last year. Foreign currency movements accounted for 3 percentage points and 2 percentage points of the year-over-year decline for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, respectively. In our general purpose test business, the manufacturing market remains under pressure, as well as the computers and semiconductor market. Aerospace and defense demand, while also off from last year's level, was relatively strong and showed signs of stabilization. In our communications test business, all end markets declined, although wireless R&D's decline decelerated from last quarter's rate and performed relatively better than wireless manufacturing.

Electronic measurement revenues for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 33 percent and 28 percent, respectively, when compared to the same period last year due to weakness in both general purpose and communications test markets. Foreign currency movements accounted for 3 percentage points and 2 percentage points of the year-over-year decline for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009. Regionally, for the three months ended April 30, 2009, revenues from the Americas declined 31 percent, while Europe, Japan and other Asia declined 30 percent, 43 percent and 32 percent, respectively.

Table of Contents

General purpose test revenues of \$336 million, representing approximately 60 percent of electronic measurement revenues, declined 28 percent compared to the same period last year. Within general purpose test, all market segments declined compared to the same period last year with relative strength in aerospace and defense offset by declines in computer and semiconductor and other general purpose test business. Government demand in aerospace and defense strengthened, particularly in homeland security-related applications for surveillance and intelligence. Extreme weakness persists in the computer and semiconductor measurement markets due to continued capital spending contraction in both R&D and manufacturing applications. Other general purpose test markets have weakened considerably with particular softness in electronic manufacturing and automotive markets, which overshadows some small areas of strength, such as integrated circuits for smart phones and netbook computers.

Communications test revenues of \$222 million, representing approximately 40 percent of electronic measurement revenues, declined 39 percent compared to the same period last year. All communications test end markets experienced double-digit declines compared to the same period last year. The wireless R&D market performed better than other submarkets as R&D investment continues for high-speed applications, as well as pre-conformance and interoperability test solutions, particularly for long-term evolution applications (LTE). Investment in next-generation technology in China continues to build. Wireless manufacturing markets declined due to the on-going weakness in the handset market, despite some strength in smart phones, while chipset provider and contract manufacturing consolidation further stifled demand. Capital spending remains limited in the other communications test submarkets. Network monitoring declined due to the continued consolidation in the network operator and service provider markets. The network equipment manufacturing (NEM) business remains soft with the majority of investment focused only on critical R&D spending for new technologies, which led to declines in the broadband R&D and manufacturing market versus a strong year-over-year compare.

Looking forward, while some indications of potential improvement in our electronics measurement markets exist, we expect continued weakness due to the current market uncertainty.

Operating Results

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
Gross margin	52.0%	58.9%	53.6%	58.4%	(7)ppts	(5)ppts
Operating margin	(1.1)%	14.4%	0.1%	12.3%	(16)ppts	(12)ppts
(in millions)						
Research and development	\$ 98	\$ 119	\$ 205	\$ 238	(18)%	(14)%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 198	\$ 251	\$ 413	\$ 503	(21)%	(18)%

Gross margins for products and services for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined year-over-year by 7 percentage points and 5 percentage points, respectively, due largely to the significant decline in revenue volume. Volume-adjusted gross margins for the three months ended April 30, 2009, were essentially flat compared to the same period last year as expense reductions from restructuring, lower variable pay, wage reductions and lower general and direct infrastructure costs offset the unfavorable impact of product mix, pension expense and excess and obsolete inventory charges.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Research and development expenses for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 18 percent and 14 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. This decline was driven by lower variable pay, wage reductions, restructuring, lower general infrastructure costs and the favorable year-over-year impact of currency movements, which were offset by higher pension expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 21 percent and 18 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. Year-over-year reductions in SG&A were consistent with R&D and were driven by lower variable pay, wage reductions, restructuring, lower general infrastructure costs, reduced commissions and the favorable year-over-year impact of currency movements, which were offset by higher pension expenses.

Operating margins for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined by 16 percentage points and 12 percentage points compared to the same periods last year. The year-over-year margin declined due to lower revenue and was partially offset by savings from lower variable pay, wage reductions, restructuring, lower general infrastructure costs, reduced commissions and the favorable year-over-year impact of currency movements, which were offset by higher pension expenses.

Table of Contents***Income from Operations***

Income from operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined \$126 million and \$196 million, respectively, due to the corresponding revenue declines of \$273 million and \$450 million. The resultant year-over-year operating margin decremental was 46 percent and 44 percent for these periods, respectively, as expense reductions offset the lower revenue volume.

Bio-analytical Measurement

Our bio-analytical measurement business provides application-focused solutions that include instruments, software, consumables and services that enable customers to identify quantify and analyze the physical and biological properties of substances and products. Our key product categories include: microarrays, microfluidics, gas chromatography, liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry, software and informatics, and related consumables, reagents and services.

Orders and Net Revenue

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
	(in millions)					
Orders	\$ 481	\$ 574	\$ 1,004	\$ 1,106	(16)%	(9)%
Net revenue	\$ 498	\$ 530	\$ 1,023	\$ 1,061	(6)%	(4)%

Bio-analytical measurement orders for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 declined 16 percent and 9 percent, respectively, when compared to the same period last year. Foreign currency movements for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 accounted for 5 percentage points and 4 percentage points, respectively, of the order decline when compared to the same period last year. Bio-analytical measurement business continues to experience weakness in the pharmaceutical, petrochemical and environmental markets. Our academic and government revenue increased over the last year. Our liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC/MS) and microarray platforms continue to do well. Food safety revenue is up and business in China continues to grow. Geographically, orders declined 20 percent in the Americas, grew 3 percent in Asia-Pacific, grew 8 percent in Japan and declined 26 percent in Europe for the three months ended April 30, 2009, compared to the same period last year.

Bio-analytical measurement revenues for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 declined 6 percent and 4 percent, respectively, when compared to the same period last year. Foreign currency movements for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 accounted for 7 percentage points and 5 percentage points, respectively, of the revenue decline when compared to the same period last year. Geographically, revenues declined 10 percent in the Americas, declined 16 percent in Europe, and grew 10 percent in Asia for the three months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Chemical analysis revenue of \$257 million, representing approximately 52 percent of bio-analytical measurement revenues, declined 6 percent for the three months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year. Chemical analysis saw decline in environmental, petrochemical and forensics testing solutions while food safety posted revenue growth for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009. Growth in this sector continues to be driven by increased regulatory standards worldwide.

Life sciences revenue of \$241 million, representing approximately 48 percent of bio-analytical measurement revenues, declined 7 percent for the three months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, we saw declines in the pharmaceutical and biotech markets, while academic and government markets grew. Academic research demand continues to be strong for high-end mass spectrometry instrumentation and microarray solutions to answer complex biological questions and enhance research on proteins, peptides, and small molecules. The market is seeing an influx of capital from various stimulus plans worldwide.

Looking forward, we expect a continued challenging environment due to general economic conditions, but see opportunities from government stimulus programs and investments in food safety. Our LC/MS and gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC/MS) systems are well positioned to address these market needs. In life sciences we are uniquely positioned to expand the range of our technology offering along the life sciences workflow. In addition, our ongoing expansion of the LC/MS and GC/MS portfolios, augmented with focused research and development programs, will enable Agilent to address the high-growth proteomics and metabolomics market needs.

Table of Contents**Operating Results**

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
Gross margin	54.1%	52.6%	54.5%	52.7%	2ppts	2ppts
Operating margin	17.9%	15.9%	18.5%	16.2%	2ppts	2ppts
(in millions)						
Research and development	\$ 45	\$ 47	\$ 92	\$ 94	(3)%	(1)%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 135	\$ 148	\$ 275	\$ 294	(8)%	(6)%

Gross margins improved by 2 percentage points for both the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, compared to the same periods last year. The margin improvement was due to lower spending as a result of wage reductions and variable pay, improvement in product mix, lower trade and logistics expenses, lower global infrastructure costs and favorable foreign currency movements.

Research and development expenses declined 3 percent and 1 percent, respectively, for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, compared to the same periods last year. The decline was driven by lower variable pay, wage reductions, lower global infrastructure costs and the favorable foreign currency movements.

Selling, general and administrative expenses declined 8 percent and 6 percent, respectively, for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, compared to the same periods last year. The decline in the three months ended April 30, 2009 was due to lower variable pay, wage reductions, lower global infrastructure costs, reduced commissions and favorable foreign currency movements.

Operating margins improved by 2 percentage points for both the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, compared to the same periods last year. The year-over-year margin improvement was due to lower spending from wage reductions and variable pay, lower global infrastructure costs and reduced commissions.

Income from Operations

Income from operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009 increased \$4 and \$18 million, respectively, while operating margins for both periods improved 2 percentage points.

Semiconductor and Board Test Measurement

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Our semiconductor and board test business provides standard and customized laser interferometer, parametric and manufacturing test and measurement instruments and systems monitoring, management and optimization tools that are used in the design, development, manufacture, installation, deployment and operation of semiconductor and printed circuit assembly fabrication. The laser interferometer business was formerly part of the bio-analytical measurement segment and both the parametric test and printed circuit test businesses were formerly part of the electronic measurement segment. Related services include start-up assistance, instrument productivity and application services and instrument calibration and repair. We also offer customization, consulting and optimization services throughout the customer's product lifecycle.

Orders and Net Revenue

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
	(in millions)					
Orders	\$ 22	\$ 87	\$ 54	\$ 183	(75)%	(71)%
Net revenue	\$ 35	\$ 95	\$ 80	\$ 184	(63)%	(56)%

Semiconductor and board test measurement orders for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 75 percent and 71 percent, respectively, when compared to the same periods last year.

Semiconductor and board test measurement revenues for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 63 percent and 56 percent respectively when compared to the same periods last year as all markets declined markedly. Capital spending is minimal in all markets due to low capacity utilization in both electronic manufacturing and semiconductor fabrication facilities. On a regional basis, revenue declined 63 percent in the Americas, 59 percent in Europe, 52 percent in Japan and 72 percent in other Asia.

Table of Contents

The electronic manufacturing market remains under significant pressure due to the global decline in demand for consumer electronics. Contract manufacturers are experiencing low capacity utilization rates and production line expansion has virtually ceased. The semiconductor fabrication market has stalled as global semiconductor demand is down on weak demand for wireless and computing devices. In addition, semiconductor equipment supplier demand remains very weak with low semiconductor fabrication utilization rates. Demand for parametric test applications and solutions remains at record lows.

Looking forward, we expect continued weakness in our semiconductor and board test measurement business due to the current market uncertainty and volatility.

Operating Results

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,		Year over Year Change	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	Three Months	Six Months
Gross margin	26.0%	51.3%	32.6%	50.9%	(25)ppts	(18)ppts
Operating margin	(45.5)%	8.5%	(35.6)%	5.9%	(54)ppts	(42)ppts
(in millions)						
Research and development	\$ 8	\$ 14	\$ 19	\$ 28	(37)%	(31)%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 17	\$ 27	\$ 36	\$ 55	(38)%	(35)%

Gross margins for products and services for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined by 25 percentage points and 18 percentage points, respectively, year-over-year due to the significant decline in volume. Volume-adjusted gross margins for the three months ended April 30, 2009, improved 4 percentage points compared to the same period last year due to expense savings from restructuring, lower variable pay, wage reductions and lower general and direct infrastructure costs.

Research and development expenses for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 37 percent and 31 percent respectively compared to the same periods last year. This decline was driven by restructuring, lower variable pay, wage reductions, reduced general infrastructure costs and reductions in operational spending.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined 38 percent and 35 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. This decline was driven by restructuring, lower variable pay, wage reductions, reduced general infrastructure costs and reductions in operational spending.

Operating margins for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined by 54 percentage points and 42 percentage points compared to the same periods last year. The year-over-year margin decline was due principally to lower revenues and was partially offset by savings from restructuring, lower variable pay, wage reductions, lower general infrastructure costs, and reductions in operational spending.

Income from Operations

Income from operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2009, declined \$24 million and \$40 million, respectively, due to the corresponding revenue declines of \$60 million and \$104 million. The resulting year-over-year operating margin decremental was 40 percent and 38 percent, respectively, as expense reductions offset the lower revenue volume.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, including substantial amounts held outside of the U.S. Most of the amounts held outside of the U.S. could be repatriated to the U.S. but, under current law, would be subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Agilent has accrued for the U.S. federal and state tax liabilities on the earnings of its foreign subsidiaries except when the earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the U.S. Repatriation could result in additional U.S. federal and state income tax payments in future years. Where local restrictions prevent an efficient intercompany transfer of funds, our intent is that cash balances would remain outside of the U.S. and we would meet U.S. liquidity needs through ongoing cash flows, external borrowings, or both. We utilize a variety of financing strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

Table of Contents

Our financial position as of April 30, 2009 included cash and cash equivalents of \$1,400 million as compared to \$1,405 million as of October 31, 2008.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$154 million for the six months ended April 30, 2009 compared to \$329 million provided in the same period in 2008. The decline in cash generated from operating activity was mainly due to the decrease in revenue. In the six months ended April 30, 2009, we paid approximately \$109 million under our variable pay programs, as compared to \$123 million during the same period of 2008. We paid approximately \$44 million as restructuring cash payments in the six months ended April 30, 2009 as compared to \$39 million in the same period in 2008. Our net interest income decreased by \$33 million in the six months ended April 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year. We also paid approximately \$58 million in taxes in the six months ended April 30, 2009 as compared to \$150 million in the same period in 2008.

In the six months ended April 30, 2009, accounts receivable provided cash of \$203 million as compared to \$26 million cash used in the same period in 2008. The cash provided by accounts receivable was due in part to the decrease in revenues in the first six months of 2009. Days sales outstanding decreased to 47 days as of April 30, 2009 compared to 49 days for the same period last year. Accounts payable used cash of \$58 million in the six months ended April 30, 2009 compared to cash provided of \$19 million in the same period in 2008. Cash provided by inventory was \$13 million in the six months ended April 30, 2009 compared to cash used of \$36 million in the same period in 2008. Inventory days on-hand increased to 98 days as of April 30, 2009 compared to 93 days as of the end of the same period last year.

In November 2008, we terminated two interest rate swap contracts associated with our senior notes having a notional value of \$400 million. The net settlement amount received as a result of the termination was \$43 million and is included in cash from operating activities.

We contributed approximately \$69 million to our defined benefit plans in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$21 million in the same period of 2008. The significant increase in contributions was necessary to bring our plans into compliance with agreements and laws due to declines in asset values of our various pension plans. Our annual contributions are highly dependent on the relative performance of our assets versus our projected liabilities, among other factors. We expect to contribute approximately \$27 million to our non-U.S. defined benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2009.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in the six months ended April 30, 2009 was \$28 million, compared to \$311 million used in the same period of 2008. Investments in property, plant and equipment were \$68 million in the first six months of 2009, as compared to investments of \$71 million in the same period in 2008. We expect that total capital expenditures for the current year will be less than last years expenditures which were \$154 million for 2008. There were no proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment in the six months ended April 30, 2009 as compared to \$14 million in the same period of 2008. In the first six months of 2009, we invested \$2 million in acquisitions and intangible assets, net of cash acquired, compared to \$130 million during the same period of 2008. In the first six months of 2009, restricted cash and cash equivalents decreased approximately \$10 million compared to a decrease of \$31 million in 2008.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the six months ended April 30, 2009 was \$130 million compared to \$166 million used in the same period of 2008.

In the first quarter of 2008, the Audit and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program of up to \$2 billion. We repurchased approximately 9 million shares for \$157 million during the first six months of 2009, based on settlement date, as compared to approximately 15 million shares for \$500 million during the same period in 2008. On March 26, 2009, the company announced that it was suspending its stock repurchase program until the end of the 2009 fiscal year. Proceeds from the issuance of common stock under employee stock plans were \$27 million in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$84 million during the same period in 2008.

We currently hold \$1.5 billion of long term debt that was refinanced on November 17, 2008 and the repayment date was extended to January 27, 2011. As of April 30, 2009, we had approximately \$1.4 billion of unrestricted cash and \$1.6 billion of restricted cash that could be used to repurchase or redeem this long-term debt. However, most of this cash is held overseas and would need to be repatriated to the U.S. in order to be used to satisfy the repurchase obligation. Repatriation could result in additional U.S. federal and state income tax payments in future years.

Table of Contents

On May 11, 2007, we entered into a five-year credit agreement, which provides for a \$300 million unsecured credit facility that will expire on May 11, 2012. As of April 30, 2009, we had no outstanding balance on the credit facility.

Other

Other long-term liabilities include \$389 million of taxes payable as of April 30, 2009. We are unable to accurately predict when these amounts will be realized or released. There were no other substantial changes from our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K to our contractual commitments in the first six months of fiscal year 2009. We have contractual commitments for non-cancelable operating leases. We have no other material non-cancelable guarantees or commitments.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks inherent in our sales commitments, anticipated sales, and assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our subsidiaries. We hedge future cash flows denominated in currencies other than the functional currency using sales forecasts up to twelve months in advance. Our exposure to exchange rate risks is managed on an enterprise-wide basis. This strategy utilizes derivative financial instruments, including option and forward contracts, to hedge certain foreign currency exposures with the intent of offsetting gains and losses that occur on the underlying exposures with gains and losses on the derivative contracts hedging them. We do not currently and do not intend to utilize derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes.

Our operations generate non-functional currency cash flows such as revenues, third party vendor payments and inter-company payments. In anticipation of these foreign currency cash flows and in view of volatility of the currency market, we enter into such foreign exchange contracts as are described above to manage our currency risk. Approximately 62 percent and 64 percent of our revenues were generated in U.S. dollars during the second quarter of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We performed a sensitivity analysis assuming a hypothetical 10 percent adverse movement in foreign exchange rates to the hedging contracts and the underlying exposures described above. As of April 30, 2009, the analysis indicated that these hypothetical market movements would not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b) as of the end of the period

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended April 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In November 2001, a securities class action, *Kassin v. Agilent Technologies, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 01-CV-10639, was filed in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the Court) against certain investment bank underwriters for our initial public offering (IPO), Agilent and various of our officers and directors at the time of the IPO. In 2003, the Court granted Agilent's motion to dismiss the claims against Agilent based on Section 10 of the Securities Exchange Act, but denied Agilent's motion to dismiss the claims based on Section 11 of the Securities Act. On June 14, 2004, papers formalizing a settlement among the plaintiffs, Agilent and more than 200 other issuer defendants and insurers were presented to the Court. Under the proposed settlement, plaintiffs' claims against Agilent and its directors and officers would be released, in exchange for a contingent payment (which, if made, would be paid by Agilent's insurer) and an assignment of certain potential claims. However, class certification of plaintiffs' underlying action against the underwriter defendants was a condition of the settlement. On December 5, 2006, the Court of

Table of Contents

Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the Court's order certifying such a class in several test cases that had been selected by the underwriter defendants and plaintiffs. On January 5, 2007, plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing to the full bench of the Second Circuit. On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit issued an order denying rehearing but noted that plaintiffs are free to seek certification of a more modest class. On June 25, 2007, the Court entered an order terminating the proposed settlement between plaintiffs and the issuer defendants based on a stipulation among the parties.

Plaintiffs have amended their allegations and filed amended complaints in six test cases (none of which involve Agilent). Defendants in these cases have moved to dismiss the amended complaints. On March 26, 2008, the Court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss.

The parties have again reached a global settlement of the litigation. Under the settlement, which remains subject to Court approval, the insurers would pay the full amount of settlement share allocated to Agilent, and Agilent would bear no financial liability. Agilent, as well as the officer and director defendants who were previously dismissed from the action pursuant to tolling agreements, would receive complete dismissals from the case. It is uncertain whether the settlement will receive final Court approval.

We are involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including, but not limited to, patent, commercial and environmental matters, which arise in the ordinary course of business. There are no matters pending that we expect to be material in relation to our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks, Uncertainties and Other Factors That May Affect Future Results

Declining general economic conditions and uncertainties in the global credit and equity markets may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Our business is sensitive to changes in general economic conditions, both inside and outside the U.S. Worldwide financial markets have experienced extreme disruption in recent months, including, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades and declining valuations of investments. These disruptions are likely to have an ongoing adverse effect on the world economy. We are unable to predict how long the economic downturn will last. A continuing economic downturn and financial market disruptions may adversely impact our business resulting in:

- Reduced demand for our products realized by diminished new orders and increases in order cancellations;
- Increased risk of excess and obsolete inventories;

- Increased pressure on the prices for our products and services;
- Greater difficulty in collecting accounts receivable;
- Reduced access to the credit markets to meet short term cash needs in the U.S.; and
- Greater risk of impairment to the value, and a detriment to the liquidity, of our investment portfolio.

Our operating results and financial condition could be harmed if the markets into which we sell our products decline or do not grow as anticipated.

Visibility into our markets is limited. Our quarterly sales and operating results are highly dependent on the volume and timing of orders received during the fiscal quarter, which are difficult to forecast. In addition, our revenues and earnings forecasts for future fiscal quarters are often based on the expected seasonality or cyclical nature of our markets. However, the markets we serve do not always experience the seasonality or cyclical nature that we expect. Any decline in our customers' markets or in general economic conditions, including declines related to the current market disruptions described above, would likely result in a reduction in demand for our products and services. The broader semiconductor market is one of the drivers for our electronic measurement business, and therefore, a decrease in the semiconductor market could harm our electronic measurement business. Also, if our customers' markets decline, we may not be able to collect on outstanding amounts due to us. Such decline could harm our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows and stock price, and could limit our ability to sustain profitability. Also, in such an environment, pricing pressures could intensify. Since a significant portion of our operating expenses is relatively fixed in nature due to sales, research and development and manufacturing costs, if we were unable to respond quickly enough these pricing pressures could further reduce our gross margins.

Table of Contents

The actions that we are taking to reduce costs could have long-term adverse effects on our business.

Since December 2008, we have announced significant restructuring activities in our global infrastructure organization and both our semiconductor and board test and electronic measurement segments. This restructuring program and regular ongoing evaluations of our cost structure, could have the effect of reducing our talent pool and available resources and, consequently, could have long-term effects on our business by decreasing or slowing improvements in our products, affecting our ability to respond to customers, limiting our ability to increase production quickly if and when the demand for our products increases and limiting our ability to hire and retain key personnel. These circumstances could harm our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows, and stock price, and could limit our ability to sustain profitability.

If we do not introduce successful new products and services in a timely manner, our products and services will become obsolete, and our operating results will suffer.

We generally sell our products in industries that are characterized by rapid technological changes, frequent new product and service introductions and changing industry standards. In addition, many of the markets in which we operate are seasonal and cyclical. Without the timely introduction of new products, services and enhancements, our products and services will become technologically obsolete over time, in which case our revenue and operating results would suffer. The success of our new products and services will depend on several factors, including our ability to:

- properly identify customer needs;
- innovate and develop new technologies, services and applications;
- successfully commercialize new technologies in a timely manner;
- manufacture and deliver our products in sufficient volumes on time;
- differentiate our offerings from our competitors' offerings;
- price our products competitively;

- anticipate our competitors' development of new products, services or technological innovations; and
- control product quality in our manufacturing process.

Dependence on contract manufacturing and outsourcing other portions of our supply chain may adversely affect our ability to bring products to market and damage our reputation. Dependence on outsourced information technology and other administrative functions may impair our ability to operate effectively.

As part of our efforts to streamline operations and to cut costs, we have been outsourcing aspects of our manufacturing processes and other functions and will continue to evaluate additional outsourcing. If our contract manufacturers or other outsourcers fail to perform their obligations in a timely manner or at satisfactory quality levels, our ability to bring products to market and our reputation could suffer. For example, during a market upturn, our contract manufacturers may be unable to meet our demand requirements, which may preclude us from fulfilling our customers' orders on a timely basis. The ability of these manufacturers to perform is largely outside of our control. In addition, we outsource significant portions of our information technology (IT) function and other administrative functions. Since IT is critical to our operations, any failure to perform on the part of the IT providers could impair our ability to operate effectively. In addition to the risks outlined above, problems with manufacturing or IT outsourcing could result in lower revenues, unexecuted efficiencies, and impact our results of operations and our stock price. Much of our outsourcing takes place in developing countries and, as a result, may be subject to geopolitical uncertainty.

Table of Contents

Failure to adjust our purchases due to changing market conditions or failure to estimate our customers demand could adversely affect our income.

Our income could be harmed if we are unable to adjust our purchases to market fluctuations, including those caused by the seasonal or cyclical nature of the markets in which we operate. The sale of our products and services are dependent, to a large degree, on customers whose industries are subject to seasonal or cyclical trends in the demand for their products. For example, the consumer electronics market is particularly volatile, making demand difficult to anticipate. During a market upturn, we may not be able to purchase sufficient supplies or components to meet increasing product demand, which could materially affect our results. In addition, some of the parts that require custom design are not readily available from alternate suppliers due to their unique design or the length of time necessary for design work. Should a supplier cease manufacturing such a component, we would be forced to reengineer our product. In addition to discontinuing parts, suppliers may also extend lead times, limit supplies or increase prices due to capacity constraints or other factors. In order to secure components for the production of products, we may continue to enter into non-cancelable purchase commitments with vendors, or at times make advance payments to suppliers, which could impact our ability to adjust our inventory to declining market demands. Prior commitments of this type have resulted in an excess of parts when demand for our communications and electronics products has decreased. If demand for our products is less than we expect, we may experience additional excess and obsolete inventories and be forced to incur additional charges.

Our income may suffer if our manufacturing capacity does not match the demand for our products.

Because we cannot immediately adapt our production capacity and related cost structures to rapidly changing market conditions, when demand does not meet our expectations, our manufacturing capacity will likely exceed our production requirements. If, during a general market upturn or an upturn in one of our segments, we cannot increase our manufacturing capacity to meet product demand, we will not be able to fulfill orders in a timely manner. This inability could materially and adversely limit our ability to improve our results. By contrast, if during an economic downturn we had excess manufacturing capacity, then our fixed costs associated with excess manufacturing capacity would adversely affect our income.

Economic, political and other risks associated with international sales and operations could adversely affect our results of operations.

Because we sell our products worldwide, our business is subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. We anticipate that revenue from international operations will continue to represent a majority of our total revenue. In addition, many of our employees, contract manufacturers, suppliers, job functions and manufacturing facilities are increasingly located outside the U.S. Accordingly, our future results could be harmed by a variety of factors, including:

- interruption to transportation flows for delivery of parts to us and finished goods to our customers;
- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

- changes in a specific country's or region's political, economic or other conditions;
- trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;
- negative consequences from changes in tax laws;
- difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations;
- differing labor regulations;
- differing protection of intellectual property;
- unexpected changes in regulatory requirements; and
- geopolitical turmoil, including terrorism and war.

We centralized most of our accounting processes to two locations: India and Malaysia. These processes include general accounting, cost accounting, accounts payable and accounts receivables functions. If conditions change in those countries, it may adversely affect operations, including impairing our ability to pay our suppliers and collect our receivables. Our results of operations, as well as our liquidity, may be adversely affected and possible delays may occur in reporting financial results.

In addition, although the majority of our products are priced and paid for in U.S. dollars, a significant amount of certain types of expenses, such as payroll, utilities, tax, and marketing expenses, are paid in local currencies. Our hedging programs reduce, but do not always entirely eliminate, within any given twelve month period, the impact of currency exchange rate movements, and therefore fluctuations in exchange rates, including those caused by currency controls, could impact our business operating results and financial

Table of Contents

condition by resulting in lower revenue or increased expenses. However, for expenses beyond that twelve month period, our hedging strategy does not mitigate our exposure. In addition, our currency hedging programs involve third party financial institutions as counterparties. These financial institutions, generally, have experienced and continue to experience significant adverse effects on their business from the current decline in general economic conditions and uncertainties in the global credit and equity markets. The weakening or failure of financial institution counterparties may adversely affect our hedging programs and our financial condition through, among other things, a reduction in available counterparties, increasingly unfavorable terms, and the failure of performance under hedging contracts.

Our business will suffer if we are not able to retain and hire key personnel.

Our future success depends partly on the continued service of our key research, engineering, sales, marketing, manufacturing, executive and administrative personnel. If we fail to retain and hire a sufficient number of these personnel, we will not be able to maintain or expand our business. The markets in which we operate are very dynamic, and our businesses continue to respond with reorganizations, workforce reductions and site closures. We believe our pay levels are very competitive within the regions that we operate. However, there is also intense competition for certain highly technical specialties in geographic areas where we continue to recruit, and it may become more difficult to retain our key employees.

The impact of consolidation of competitors in the test and measurement market is difficult to predict and may harm our business.

The test and measurement industry is intensely competitive and has been subject to increasing consolidation. For instance, in November 2007, Danaher Corporation, one of our competitors, completed the acquisition of Tektronix, Inc., another of our competitors. Consolidation in the test and measurement industry could result in existing competitors increasing their market share through business combinations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may not be able to compete successfully in an increasingly consolidated industry and cannot predict with certainty how industry consolidation will affect our competitors or us.

Our acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures and divestitures may result in financial results that are different than expected.

In the normal course of business, we frequently engage in discussions with third parties relating to possible acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures and divestitures, and generally expect to complete several transactions per year. For example, in fiscal 2008 we completed a number of acquisitions, including the acquisition of Velocity11 in our first fiscal quarter that year. As a result of such transactions, our financial results may differ from our own or the investment community's expectations in a given fiscal quarter, or over the long term. Such transactions often have post-closing arrangements including but not limited to post-closing adjustments, transition services, escrows or indemnifications, the financial results of which can be difficult to predict. In addition, acquisitions and strategic alliances may require us to integrate a different company culture, management team and business infrastructure. We may have difficulty developing, manufacturing and marketing the products of a newly acquired company in a way that enhances the performance of our combined businesses or product lines to realize the value from expected synergies. Depending on the size and complexity of an acquisition, our successful integration of the entity depends on a variety of factors, including:

- the retention of key employees;

- the management of facilities and employees in different geographic areas;
- the retention of key customers;
- the compatibility of our sales programs and facilities within those of the acquired company; and
- the compatibility of our existing infrastructure with that of an acquired company.

A successful divestiture depends on various factors, including our ability to:

- effectively transfer liabilities, contracts, facilities and employees to the purchaser;
- identify and separate the intellectual property to be divested from the intellectual property that we wish to keep; and
- reduce fixed costs previously associated with the divested assets or business.

Table of Contents

Future impairment of the value of purchased assets and goodwill could have a significant negative impact on our future operating results. And, our inability to timely and effectively apply our systems of internal controls to an acquired business could harm our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our financial reporting obligations.

In addition, if customers of the divested business do not receive the same level of service from the new owners, this may adversely affect our other businesses to the extent that these customers also purchase other Agilent products. All of these efforts require varying levels of management resources, which may divert our attention from other business operations. Further, if market conditions or other factors lead us to change our strategic direction, we may not realize the expected value from such transactions. If we do not realize the expected benefits or synergies of such transactions, our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows and stock price could be negatively impacted.

Environmental contamination from past operations could subject us to unreimbursed costs and could harm on-site operations and the future use and value of the properties involved and environmental contamination caused by ongoing operations could subject us to substantial liabilities in the future.

Some of our properties are undergoing remediation by the Hewlett-Packard Company (HP) for subsurface contaminations that were known at the time of our separation from HP. HP has agreed to retain the liability for this subsurface contamination, perform the required remediation and indemnify us with respect to claims arising out of that contamination. HP will have access to our properties to perform remediation. While HP has agreed to minimize interference with on-site operations at those properties, remediation activities and subsurface contamination may require us to incur unreimbursed costs and could harm on-site operations and the future use and value of the properties. We cannot be sure that HP will continue to fulfill its indemnification or remediation obligations. In addition, the determination of the existence and cost of any additional contamination caused by us could involve costly and time-consuming negotiations and litigation.

We have agreed to indemnify HP for any liability associated with contamination from past operations at all other properties transferred from HP to us other than those properties currently undergoing remediation by HP. While we are not aware of any material liabilities associated with any potential subsurface contamination at any of those properties, subsurface contamination may exist, and we may be exposed to material liability as a result of the existence of that contamination.

Our current and historical manufacturing processes involve, or have involved, the use of substances regulated under various international, federal, state and local laws governing the environment. As a result, we may become subject to liabilities for environmental contamination, and these liabilities may be substantial. While we have divested substantially all of our semiconductor related businesses to Avago and Verigy and regardless of indemnification arrangements with those parties, we may still become subject to liabilities for historical environmental contamination related to those businesses. Although our policy is to apply strict standards for environmental protection at our sites inside and outside the U.S., even if the sites outside the U.S. are not subject to regulations imposed by foreign governments, we may not be aware of all conditions that could subject us to liability.

Our customers and we are subject to various governmental regulations, compliance with which may cause us to incur significant expenses, and if we fail to maintain satisfactory compliance with certain regulations, we may be forced to recall products and cease their manufacture and distribution, and we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Our businesses are subject to various significant international, federal, state and local regulations, including but not limited to health and safety, packaging, product content, labor and import/export regulations. These regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. We may be required to incur significant expenses to comply with these regulations or to remedy violations of these regulations. Any failure by us to comply with applicable government regulations could also result in cessation of our operations or portions of our operations, product recalls or impositions of fines and restrictions on our ability to carry on or expand our operations. In addition, because many of our products are regulated or sold into regulated industries, we must comply with additional regulations in marketing our products.

Our products and operations are also often subject to the rules of industrial standards bodies, like the International Standards Organization, as well as regulation by other agencies such as the U.S. Federal Communications Commission. We also must comply with work safety rules. If we fail to adequately address any of these regulations, our businesses could be harmed.

Some of our chemical analysis products are used in conjunction with chemicals whose manufacture, processing, distribution and notification requirements are regulated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under the Toxic Substances Control Act, and by regulatory bodies in other countries with laws similar to the Toxic Substances Control Act. We must conform the manufacture, processing, distribution of and notification about these chemicals to these laws and adapt to regulatory requirements in all countries as these requirements change. If we fail to comply with these requirements in the manufacture or distribution of our products, then we could be made to pay civil penalties, face criminal prosecution and, in some cases, be prohibited from distributing our products in commerce until the products or component substances are brought into compliance.

Table of Contents

We are subject to laws and regulations, and failure to address or comply with these laws and regulations could harm our business by leading to a reduction in revenue associated with these customers.

We have agreements relating to the sale of our products to government entities and, as a result, we are subject to various statutes and regulations that apply to companies doing business with the government. The laws governing government contracts differ from the laws governing private contracts. For example, many government contracts contain pricing terms and conditions that are not applicable to private contracts. We are also subject to investigation for compliance with the regulations governing government contracts. A failure to comply with these regulations might result in suspension of these contracts, or administrative penalties.

A number of our products from our bio-analytical measurement business are subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and certain similar foreign regulatory agencies. If we or any of our suppliers or distributors fail to comply with FDA and other applicable regulatory requirements or are perceived to potentially have failed to comply, we may face, among other things, adverse publicity affecting both us and our customers, investigations or notices of non-compliance, fines, injunctions, and civil penalties; partial suspensions or total shutdown of production facilities or the imposition of operating restrictions, increased difficulty in obtaining required FDA clearances or approvals; seizures or recalls of our products or those of our customers or the inability to sell our products.

Third parties may claim that we are infringing their intellectual property and we could suffer significant litigation or licensing expenses or be prevented from selling products or services.

From time to time, third parties may claim that one or more of our products or services infringe their intellectual property rights. We analyze and take action in response to such claims on a case by case basis. Any dispute or litigation regarding patents or other intellectual property could be costly and time-consuming due to the complexity of our technology and the uncertainty of intellectual property litigation and could divert our management and key personnel from our business operations. A claim of intellectual property infringement could force us to enter into a costly or restrictive license agreement, which might not be available under acceptable terms or at all, or could subject us to significant damages or to an injunction against development and sale of certain of our products or services. Our intellectual property portfolio may not be useful in asserting a counterclaim, or negotiating a license, in response to a claim of intellectual property infringement. In certain of our businesses we rely on third party intellectual property licenses and we cannot ensure that these licenses will be available to us in the future on favorable terms or at all.

Third parties may infringe our intellectual property and we may suffer competitive injury or expend significant resources enforcing our rights.

Our success depends in large part on our proprietary technology. We rely on various intellectual property rights, including patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets, as well as confidentiality provisions and licensing arrangements, to establish our proprietary rights. If we do not enforce our intellectual property rights successfully our competitive position may suffer which could harm our operating results.

Our pending patent applications, and our pending copyright and trademark registration applications, may not be allowed or competitors may challenge the validity or scope of our patents, copyrights or trademarks. In addition, our patents, copyrights, trademarks and other intellectual property rights may not provide us a significant competitive advantage.

We may need to spend significant resources monitoring our intellectual property rights and we may or may not be able to detect infringement by third parties. Our competitive position may be harmed if we cannot detect infringement and enforce our intellectual property rights quickly or at all. In some circumstances, enforcement may not be available to us because an infringer has a dominant intellectual property position or for other business reasons. In addition, competitors might avoid infringement by designing around our intellectual property rights or by developing non-infringing competing technologies. Intellectual property rights and our ability to enforce them may be unavailable or limited in some countries which could make it easier for competitors to capture market share and could result in lost revenues. Furthermore, some of our intellectual property is licensed to others which allow them to compete with us using that intellectual property.

Table of Contents

We received a Revenue Agent's Report from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for fiscal years 2000 through 2002 claiming a significant increase in our U.S. taxable income. An adverse outcome of this examination or any future examinations involving similar claims could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2000 through 2007 have been or are under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). In August 2007, we received a Revenue Agent's Report (RAR) for 2000 through 2002. In the RAR, the IRS proposes to assess a net tax deficiency, after applying available net operating losses from the years under audit and undisputed tax credits, for those years of approximately \$405 million, plus penalties of approximately \$160 million and interest. If the IRS were to fully prevail, our net operating loss and tax credits generated in recent years would be utilized earlier than they otherwise would have been and our annual effective tax rate would increase in the period the IRS prevails. The RAR addresses several issues. One issue, however, relating to the use of Agilent's brand name by our foreign affiliates, accounts for a majority of the claimed tax deficiency. We believe that the claimed IRS adjustment for this issue in particular is inconsistent with applicable tax laws and that we have meritorious defenses to this claim. Therefore, we have not included any tax for this item in our tax provisions. We filed a formal protest, and in the protest, we vigorously opposed the claim associated with Agilent's brand name, and most of the other claimed adjustments proposed in the RAR. In April of 2008, we received a rebuttal to our formal protest, and after reviewing the IRS's arguments, our assessment of the risks remains the same. In the formal protest, we also requested a conference with the Appeals Office of the IRS, and we recently began to address these matters with them. The final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain and may take several years. Based on current information, it is our opinion that the ultimate disposition of these matters is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. However, if the ultimate determination of taxes owed is in excess of the tax provisions we have recorded in connection with the proposed assessment, our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity could be adversely affected.

Adverse conditions in the global banking industry and credit markets may adversely impact the value of our cash investments or impair our liquidity.

At the end of our second quarter in fiscal 2009, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$1.4 billion invested or held in a mix of money market funds, time deposit accounts and bank demand deposit accounts. The recent disruptions in the financial markets have, in some cases, resulted in an inability to access assets such as money market funds that traditionally have been viewed as highly liquid. Any failure of our counterparty financial institutions or funds in which we have invested may adversely impact our cash and cash equivalent positions and, in turn, our results and financial condition. As of April 30, 2009, we also had \$1.6 billion of restricted cash which is invested in a portfolio of highly rated, short term commercial paper. This restricted cash is invested in a diverse portfolio of commercial paper rated A-1+/P-1 with maturities of less than 100 days, in each case, at the time of purchase; however, a failure of the issuer of any such commercial paper may result in an adverse impact on the portfolio.

In December 2007, the company reclassified a \$255 million investment from cash equivalents to short-term investments. In February 2008, Agilent traded this externally managed short-term investment fund for the underlying securities of the investment and now manages a portfolio of those investments internally. This portfolio consists of a variety of fixed income securities, including some mortgage-backed securities. In prior distributions, we experienced losses with respect to this portfolio. There can be no assurance that we will not realize additional net losses and/or experience further mark-to-market losses in stockholders equity with respect to these investments which could have a material adverse impact to our results and financial condition.

We have issued \$600 million in a debt offering and entered into a credit facility and may incur other debt in the future, which could adversely affect our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Edgar Filing: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

In October 2007, we issued \$600 million in senior unsecured notes. In addition, in May 2007, we entered into a five-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility under which we may borrow up to \$300 million. We may borrow in the future and use the proceeds from any future borrowing for general corporate purposes, future acquisitions, repurchases of our outstanding shares of common stock or expansion of our business. We may enter into an additional financing arrangement in order to satisfy our \$1.5 billion repurchase obligation of our subsidiary, Agilent Technologies World Trade, which is scheduled to come due in January 2011.

Our incurrence of this debt may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition by, among other things:

- increasing our vulnerability to downturns in our business, to competitive pressures and to adverse economic and industry conditions;
- requiring the dedication of a portion of our expected cash from operations to service our indebtedness, thereby reducing the amount of expected cash flow available for other purposes, including capital expenditures and acquisitions; and
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and our industry.

Table of Contents

Our current revolving credit facility imposes restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to create liens on our assets and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur indebtedness, and requires us to maintain compliance with specified financial ratios. Our ability to comply with these ratios may be affected by events beyond our control. In addition, our long-term non-convertible debt includes covenants that may adversely affect our ability to incur certain liens or engage in certain types of sale and leaseback transactions. If we breach any of the covenants under our long-term debt or our revolving credit facility and do not obtain a waiver from the lenders, then, subject to applicable cure periods, our outstanding indebtedness could be declared immediately due and payable.

Our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity could be adversely affected if our long-term leasehold counterparty becomes insolvent and the credit support on the leasehold transaction fails.

In February 2001, we sold a parcel of surplus land in San Jose, California for \$287 million in cash. In August 2001, we completed a like-kind exchange by acquiring a long-term leasehold interest in several municipal properties in southern California for a total value of \$289 million. In 2002, we received \$237 million in non-refundable prepaid rent related to the leasehold interests described above. We contracted with a third party to provide credit protection for certain aspects of the transaction, including a future bankruptcy of the municipality. The current third party insurer is a subsidiary of American International Group Inc. (AIG) which has recently experienced a credit rating downgrade by Moody's and Standard & Poor's and has been the recipient of U.S federal government sponsored loans. If the municipality was to become insolvent and the credit support on the transaction was to fail, our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity could be adversely affected.

We have substantial cash requirements in the United States while a majority of our cash is generated outside of the United States. The failure to maintain a level of cash sufficient to address our cash requirements in the United States could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Although cash generated in the United States covers normal operating requirements, a substantial amount of additional cash is required for special purposes such as repurchases of our stock and acquisitions of third parties. Our business operating results, financial condition, and strategic initiatives could be adversely impacted if we were unable to address our U.S. cash requirements through (1) the efficient and timely repatriations of overseas cash or (2) other sources of cash obtained at an acceptable cost.

If we suffer a loss to our factories, facilities or distribution system due to catastrophe, our operations could be seriously harmed.

Our factories, facilities and distribution system are subject to catastrophic loss due to fire, flood, terrorism or other natural or man-made disasters. In particular, several of our facilities could be subject to a catastrophic loss caused by earthquake due to their locations. Our production facilities, headquarters and Agilent Technologies Laboratories in California, and our production facilities in Washington and Japan, are all located in areas with above-average seismic activity. If any of these facilities were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could disrupt our operations, delay production, shipments and revenue and result in large expenses to repair or replace the facility. In addition, since we have consolidated our manufacturing facilities, we are more likely to experience an interruption to our operations in the event of a catastrophe in any one location. Although we carry insurance for property damage and business interruption, we do not carry insurance or financial reserves for interruptions or potential losses arising from earthquakes or terrorism. Also, our third party insurance coverage will vary from time to time in both type and amount depending on availability, cost and our decisions with respect to risk retention. Economic conditions and uncertainties in global markets may adversely affect the cost and other terms upon which we are able to obtain third party insurance. If our third party insurance coverage is adversely affected, we may be at a greater risk that our operations will be harmed by a catastrophic loss.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS****ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The table below summarizes information about the Company's purchases, based on trade date; of its equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act during the quarterly period ended April 30, 2009.

Period	Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Purchased (1) (a)	Weighted Average Price Paid per Share of Common Stock (2) (b)	Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) (c)	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares of Common Stock that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions) (d)
Feb. 1, 2009 through Feb. 28, 2009	962,900	\$ 14.74	962,900	\$ 861
Mar. 1, 2009 through Mar. 31, 2009	1,371,500	\$ 13.07	1,371,500	\$ 843
Apr. 1, 2009 through Apr. 30, 2009		\$		\$ 843
Total	2,334,400	\$ 13.76	2,334,400	\$ 843

(1) On November 14, 2007, the Audit and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors approved a share-repurchase program of up to \$2 billion of Agilent's common stock over the next two years through any one or a combination of a variety of methods, including open-market purchases, block trades, self tenders, accelerated share repurchase transactions or otherwise. On March 26, 2009, the company announced that it was suspending its stock repurchase program until the end of the 2009 fiscal year.

(2) The weighted average price paid per shares of common stock does not include the cost of commissions.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

a) The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Agilent Technologies, Inc. was held at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Standard Time, on March 11, 2009 at the South San Francisco Conference Center located at 255 South Airport Boulevard, South San Francisco, California.

The three proposals presented at the meeting were:

1. To elect three (3) directors for a term of three years.

2. To ratify the Audit and Finance Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2009 fiscal year.

3. Approval of the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan.

b) Each of the three directors was elected for a term of three years and received the number of votes set forth below:

Name	For	Withheld
William P. Sullivan	274,131,652	23,382,708
Robert J. Herbold	281,188,232	16,326,128
Koh Boon Hwee	209,951,549	87,562,811

The terms of office of James G. Cullen, Paul N. Clark, Robert L. Joss, Heidi Kunz, David M. Lawrence, M.D. and A. Barry Rand as directors continued after the meeting.

Table of Contents

c) The second and third proposals were approved as follows:

i. The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2009 fiscal year was approved by a vote of 290,551,408 shares in favor, 6,064,910 shares against and 898,042 shares abstaining.

ii. The Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan was approved by a vote of 220,830,552 shares in favor, 26,600,460 shares against, 458,973 shares abstaining and, the non-vote of 49,624,375 shares.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits:

A list of exhibits is set forth in the Exhibit Index found on page 47 of this report.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: June 9, 2009

By: /s/ Adrian T. Dillon
Adrian T. Dillon
Executive Vice President,
Finance and Administration, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: June 9, 2009

By: /s/ Didier Hirsch
Didier Hirsch
Vice President, Corporate Controllershship and Tax
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement for U.S. Employees. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2009.*
10.2	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement for non-U.S. Employees. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2009.*
10.3	Form of Stock Award Agreement for Standard Awards Granted to Employees. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2009.*
10.4	Form of New Executive Stock Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2009.*
10.5	Form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2009.*
10.6	Form of Stock Award Agreement under the Long-Term Performance Program. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2009.*
10.7	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan. Incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Form DEF 14A, Definitive Proxy Statement, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2009.*
10.8	Separation Agreement and General Release between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and D. Craig Nordlund, dated as of May 28, 2009.*
11.1	See Note 5, Net Income Per Share, to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements on page 10.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.