PRECISION AUTO CARE INC Form 10QSB November 08, 2006

UNITED STATES

X

0

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 0-29478

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

54-1847851

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

748 Miller Drive, S.E., Leesburg, Virginia 20175

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

703-777-9095

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date 28,993,752 shares of Common Stock as of October 12, 2006.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes $o \ No \ x$

INDEX

PART I:	FINANCIAL INFORMATION		PAGE				
	Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)					
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2006						
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005						
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005						
	Notes to the Consolidated Financial Stateme	<u>nts</u>	7				
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	10				
	Item 3.	Controls and Procedures	13				
<u>PART</u> <u>II</u> .	OTHER INFORMATION						
	Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	13				
	Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	16				
	Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	16				
	Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16				
	Item 5.	Other Information	16				
	Item 6.	Exhibits or Reports on Form 8-K	16				
	Signatures		17				
2							

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act) and the Securities estimate, Exchange Act of 1934. When used in this report, the words anticipate, believe, expect, intend plan Care, Inc. or its management are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. All statements regarding Precision Auto Care, Inc. or Precision Auto Care, Inc. s expected future financial position, business strategy, cost savings and operating synergies, projected costs and plans, and objectives of management for future operations are forward-looking statements. Although Precision Auto Care, Inc. believes the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, no assurance can be given that such expectations will prove to have been correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements herein include, among others, the factors set forth in the Company s 10-KSB filing for the year ending June 30, 2006 under the caption Business Risk Factors, general economic and business and market conditions, changes in federal and state laws, and increased competitive pressure in the automotive aftermarket services business.

3

as they

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	2000	tember 30, 6 audited)	June 2006	
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	4,664,942	\$	4,441,850
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$256,887 and \$228,642, respectively	499	,891	499	,364
Notes receivable, net of allowance of \$169,776 and \$184,856, respectively	107	,640	115	
Deferred tax asset		,384	447	
Other assets	453	,174	395	,737
Total current assets	6,15	58,031	5,89	9,806
Property and equipment, net	115	,259	121	681
Goodwill	8.7	11,744	8.71	1,744
Notes receivable, net of allowance of \$200,441 and \$269,222, respectively		5,430	140	
Deferred tax asset		81,469		7,970
Deposits and other	27,6		23,6	
Total assets	\$	17,770,618	\$	17,565,271
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Line-of-credit	\$		\$	
Capital lease obligation - current	8,12	23	7,85	13
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		5,383	255.	
Taxes payable		,803	565.	
Accrued commission payable		5,418	314.	
Accrued salaries and related expenses		,970	375	
Due to related party		,627	167.	
Deferred revenue		,235	320	
Total current liabilities		42,559		5,339
Capital lease obligation, net of current portion	33,2	211	35,3	46
Total liabilities	2,07	75,770	2,04	0,685
Commitments and contingencies				
Series A redeemable preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 11,227 shares				
issued and outstanding	116	5,312	116	312
Stockholders equity:				
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 39,000,000 shares authorized; 28,993,752 shares issued and outstanding	280	,938	289.	038
Additional paid-in capital		811,349		609,836
Accumulated deficit Total stockholders, aguitty		,522,751		691,500
Total stockholders equity Total liebilities and stockholders equity		578,536		08,274
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	17,770,618	\$	17,565,271

See accompanying notes.

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Septe 2006	ee Months E ember 30, udited)	nded	2005 (una	udited)
Revenues:					
Franchise royalties	\$	2,663,983	3	\$	2,811,557
Franchise development	50,68			100,	
Other	76,8	85		63,5	49
Total revenues	2,79	1,550		2,97	5,980
Direct cost:					
Franchise support	1,79	8,942		1,82	4,575
	000	(00		~	1 405
Contribution	992,	608		1,15	1,405
	740	<i>EE 1</i>		(0)	577
General and administrative expense	749,			686,	
Depreciation expense	10,13	83		16,5	32
Operating income	232,	860		448,	206
operating income	232,	009		770,	290
Interest expense	(1,44	16)	(626)
Other income	53,60		,	28,0	
	00,0			20,0	
Total other income	52,10	62		27,4	09
	- ,			,	
Income before income tax expense	285,0	031		475,	705
Provision for income taxes	115,	700		197,	840
Net income	169,	331		277,	865
Preferred stock dividends	582			582	
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$	168,749		\$	277,283
Net income per common share - Basic	\$	0.01		\$	0.01
Net income per common share - Diluted	\$	0.01		\$	0.01
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Basic		93,752			14,986
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Diluted	29,2	41,627		29,8	38,969

See accompanying notes.

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Sept 2006	ee Months En ember 30, udited)	ded	2005 (una	udited)	
Operating activities:						
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$	168,749		\$	277,283	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	10,1	85		16,5	32	
Bad debt expense				10,0	00	
Deferred taxes	101,	827		192,	000	
Stock based benefit due to variable accounting	(18,	772)	(37,	129)
Stock based compensation	20,2	85				
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Accounts and notes receivable	(29,	067)	49,8	16	
Prepaid expenses, deposits and other	(61,	437)	55,0	23	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	44,7	52		(116	,407)
Due to related party	(10,	426)	27,6	03	
Deferred revenue	3,20	6		(4,2	24)
Net cash provided by operating activities	229,	302		470,	497	
Investing activities:						
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,70	53)	(7,8	35)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,70	53)	(7,8	35)
Financing activities:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants				19,7	30	
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(582	,)	(582)
Payment of capital lease obligation and notes payable	(1,80	55)	(14,9	928)
·						
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(2,4	47)	4,22	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	223,	092		466,	832	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	4,44	1,850		3,27	9,568	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	4,664,942		\$	3,746,400	
-						

See accompanying notes.

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Interim Financial Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by US GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting primarily of recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results, which may be expected for a full fiscal year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in Precision Auto Care Inc. s (the Company) annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to the Company herein mean Precision Auto Care, Inc. and those entities owned or controlled by Precision Auto Care, Inc. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets, requires that goodwill no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. The Company engages a valuation expert to assist in estimating the fair value of franchising operations utilizing a discounted future cash flow approach that estimates revenue, driven by assumed market growth rates and appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates we use to manage the underlying business. Impairment testing is performed in the first quarter of each fiscal year. Based upon the current year analysis, management concluded that the \$8.7 million carrying value of goodwill was not impaired.

Accounting for Stock Based Compensation

On July 1, 2006 the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees, including grants of employee and director stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes the Company s previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No.25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) for the periods beginning fiscal 2007.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which required the application of the accounting standard as of July 1, 2006. The Company s Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for three months September 30, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements for the prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include the impact of SFAS 123(R). As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company recognized a pre-tax charge of approximately \$20,000 (included in general and administrative expenses), \$12,000 after-tax and \$.00 per share on a diluted basis in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 associated with the expensing of stock options. Employee stock option compensation expense in 2007 includes the estimated fair value of options granted, amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire portion of the award. Pro forma stock based compensation of approximately \$48,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 was related to employee stock options, which the Company had been recognizing under previous accounting standards for disclosure purposes only.

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company accounted for the stock-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method, no stock-based compensation expense had been recognized in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations because the exercise price of the Company s stock option granted to employees and directors equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock at the grant.

A summary of option activity under all plans as of September 30, 2006, and changes during the period then ended is presented below:

	Shares Under Option	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term
June 30, 2006	1,601,700	0.89	5.0
Options granted			
Options exercised			
Options forfeited			
September 30, 2006	1,601,700	0.89	4.9

No options were granted in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The exercise price of options outstanding at September 30, 2006 ranged from \$0.25 to \$10.00 per share.

A summary of the status of the Company s non-vested shares as of September 30, 2006 and changes during the period is presented below:

	Shares Under Option	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested shares at June 30, 2006	250,000	.62
Granted		
Vested	125,000	
Forfeited		
Non-vested shares at September 30, 2006	125,000	.62

The modified transition method of SFAS 123(R) requires the presentation of pro forma information for periods presented prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R) regarding net income and income per share as if the Company had accounted for our stock plans under the fair value method of SFAS 123(R). For pro forma purposes, the fair value of stock options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model and amortizing on a straight-line basis. The pro forma amounts are as follows:

		Ionths Ended per 30, 2005
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$	277,283
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation benefit reported in net income under the intrinsic		
value method	37,129	
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method		
for all awards	47,642	
Pro forma net income	\$	192,512
Earnings per share:		
Basic - as reported and pro forma	\$	0.01
Diluted - as reported and pro forma	\$	0.01
Weighted average shares:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Basic	28,914,	986
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Diluted	29,838,	969

Note 3 - Earnings Per Share

The Company reports earnings per share (EPS) in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings per Share which specifies the methods of computation, presentation, and disclosure. SFAS No. 128 requires the presentation of basic EPS and diluted EPS. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents. The number of shares outstanding related to stock options and warrants at September 30, 2006 and 2005 was 1,945,320 and 2,022,277, respectively. Only stock options and warrants with exercise prices lower than the average market price of the common shares were included in the diluted EPS calculation. For the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, 432,950 and 182,950 shares attributable to outstanding stock options were not included in the computation of diluted income per share as they were anti-dilutive.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Numerator:		
Net income	\$ 169,331	\$ 277,865
Preferred stock dividends	(582)	(582)
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$ 168,749	\$ 277,283
Denominator:		
Denominator for basic EPS weighted - average-shares.	28,993,752	28,914,986
Common stock equivalents - stock options and warrants.	247,875	923,983
Denominator for diluted EPS weighted - average-shares.	29,241,627	29,838,969
Basic earnings per share applicable to common shareholders	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Diluted earnings per share applicable to common shareholders	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01

Note 4 - Contingencies

The Company is subject to litigation that could have a material adverse impact on its liquidity (see Part II Item 1. Legal Proceedings).

Note 5 - Lease

On July 15, 2006, the Company was party to a lease amendment related to one of its franchise centers. Under the terms of the original lease agreement which was executed in fiscal year 2001, the Company agreed to guarantee the lessee performance. Under the terms of the amendment, the Company has agreed to continue its guarantor obligations in conjunction with the leasing of the facility to another non-related party. The terms of the amendment are in effect through April 30, 2009. In the event that the new lessee defaults, the Company could be required to perform as the lessee resulting in additional rental expense of approximately \$136,400 through April 30, 2009. In accordance with the provisions of FIN 45; Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, the Company utilized a third party to assist with assessing the fair value of the leased property. Based on the fair market valuation of comparable properties, the Company does not need to record a liability at the inception of the lease amendment for issuing the guarantee.

Note 6 - New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Current Year Misstatements . SAB No. 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) approach and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB No. 108 is effective for our fiscal year 2007 annual financial statements. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SAB No. 108 will have on our financial statements; the impact is not expected to be material.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements , which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective for us beginning July 1, 2008. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on our financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company s financial statements in accordance with FAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The requirements of FIN 48 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of

evaluating this guidance and therefore has not yet determined the impact that FIN 48 will have on our financial statements upon adoption.

Note 7 - Subsequent Event

On October 19, 2006, the Company purchased an existing Precision Tune Auto Care center in Northern Virginia. This center will be operated as a company owned store. The Company purchased the assets of this center for \$330,000.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

Introduction

The following discussion and analysis or plan of operation of Precision Auto Care, Inc. (the Company) should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1. - Financial Statements of this quarterly report and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the section titled Item 6. - Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s annual report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 2006. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth herein are not necessarily indicative of future operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following is a summary of the Company s critical accounting policies. These critical accounting policies require estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in the consolidated financial statements. Due to their nature, estimates involve judgments based on available information. Actual results or amounts could differ from estimates and the difference could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. Therefore, understanding these policies is important in understanding the reported results of operations and the financial position of the Company.

Revenue Recognition

The Company enters into domestic Area Development agreements and international Master License agreements which grant the area developer and master licensor, respectively, the right to sell, on the Company s behalf, Precision Tune Auto Care franchises within a specific geographic region. Revenue from the sale of Area Development agreements and international Master License agreements is recognized as all material services or conditions related to the agreements are satisfied.

Revenue from the sale of a franchise is recognized when all material services and conditions have been satisfied, generally at the opening of the franchised center.

The Company s royalty revenue is recognized as earned in accordance with the specific terms of each agreement and to the extent no known issues involving collection exist. In the case when revenues are not likely to be collected, the Company establishes reserves for such amounts. Such reserves are based upon our historical collection experience with the various franchisees taking into consideration the financial stability of such franchisees.

Product services in the form of equipment and other marketing materials related sales are recognized upon delivery to the franchisees.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets, requires that goodwill no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. Impairment testing is performed in the first quarter of each fiscal year. The Company engaged a valuation specialist in fiscal year 2007 to assist management with its test for impairment. The fair value of franchising operations was estimated utilizing a discounted cash flow approach that estimates revenue, driven by assumed market growth rates and appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates management uses to manage the underlying business. The fair value of the franchising operations exceeded its carrying value by a substantial margin. Based upon the above, management has concluded that the \$8.7 million carrying value of goodwill was not impaired.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred income tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax liabilities and assets reflect the effects of tax losses and the future income tax effects of temporary differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and are measured using enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in the years in which

those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is established when management is not able to conclude that it is more likely than not that the assets will be realized in future years.

The Company regularly reviews the recoverability of its deferred tax assets and establishes a valuation allowance as deemed appropriate. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$2.9 million against deferred tax assets.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Current Year Misstatements analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) approach and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB No. 108 is effective for our fiscal year 2007 annual financial statements. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SAB No. 108 will have on our financial statements; the impact is not expected to be material.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements , which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective for us beginning July 1, 2008. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on our financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company s financial statements in accordance with FAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The requirements of FIN 48 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of evaluating this guidance and therefore has not yet determined the impact that FIN 48 will have on our financial statements upon adoption.

Overview

The Company is a franchisor of automotive service centers located in the United States and in certain foreign countries. Through its franchised centers, services are provided to automobile owners and focus on those high-frequency items required on a periodic basis to maintain the vehicle properly.

The Company earned \$.01 per share in the quarter ended September 30, 2006, which was comparable to the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the three months ended September 30, 2006 to the three months ended September 30, 2005

Summary (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				
	2006	%	2005	%	
Automotive care franchising revenue	\$ 2,715	97	\$ 2,912	98	
Other	77	3	64	2	
Total revenues	\$ 2,792	100 %	\$ 2,976	100 %	
Automotive care franchising direct cost	1,728	62	1,784	60	
Other	71	2	40	1	
Total direct cost	1,799	64	1,824	61	
General and administrative	750	27	687	23	
Depreciation expense	10	1	16	1	
Operating income	233	8	449	15	
Other	52	2	27	1	
Earnings before taxes	285	10	476	16	
Income taxes	116	4	198	7	
Net income	169	6	278	9	

Preferred stock dividends	1				1			
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$	168	6	%	\$	277	9	%

11

Revenue. Total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$2.8 million, a decrease of approximately \$184,000, or 6%, compared with total revenue of \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Automotive care franchising revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$2.7 million, a decrease of approximately \$197,000, or 7%, compared with automotive care revenue of \$2.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in automotive care franchising revenue is due to a \$102,000 decrease in distribution and equipment sales, a \$50,000 decrease in franchise development as well as a \$45,000 decrease in domestic royalty revenue which was primarily due to the franchisees paying more timely, and the franchisees were able to take advantage of the partners in profit program and pay a lower royalty percentage on their weekly sales.

The Company recognized revenue from foreign franchisee operations of \$79,000 and \$103,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Other revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$77,000, an increase of approximately \$13,000, or 20%, compared to \$64,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in other revenue was primarily from support fees associated with the point of sale system.

Direct Cost. Total direct cost for the three months ended September 30, 2006 totaled \$1.8 million, which was comparable to the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Automotive care franchising direct cost for the three months ended September 30, 2006 totaled \$1.7 million, a decrease of approximately \$56,000, or 3%, compared to \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease has a direct correlation with the decrease in distribution and franchising royalty revenue.

Other direct cost for the three months ended September 30, 2006 totaled \$71,000, an increase of \$31,000 or 78%, compared with \$40,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase is attributed to the support costs associated with the point of sale system.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense was \$750,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$63,000 or 9%, compared with \$687,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. In the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 the Company recorded a benefit of approximately \$19,000 and \$38,000, respectively, related to variable accounting for certain outstanding stock options because the Company s stock price declined during the last three months. Additionally, in the three months ended September 30, 2006, the Company recorded a pre-tax compensation expense of approximately \$20,000 due to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). There was no comparable expense in three months ended September 30, 2005. There was also a \$20,000 increase in legal expense during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Operating Income. The Company recorded operating income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 of approximately \$233,000 compared with operating income of \$449,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Other Income. The Company recorded other income of \$52,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, which represents an increase of approximately \$25,000 or 93% compared with \$27,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase is due to an increase in interest income.

Income Taxes. The Company s effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was 41%, which was comparable to the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders and Earnings Per Share. The Company recorded Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders of approximately \$168,000, or \$0.01 per share, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders of \$277,000, or \$0.01 per share, for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Cash at September 30, 2006 was \$4.7 million. During the period, cash provided by operations was approximately \$229,000.

Cash used in investing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$4,000, resulting from the purchase of property and equipment for use in the Company s franchise operations.

12

Cash used in financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$2,000. Cash used in financing activities during the period consisted primarily of the payments of dividends and the capital lease obligation.

Management believes that the Company s current cash balance, cash generated from operations, and the available \$250,000 credit line will be sufficient to meet the Company s working capital needs, capital expenditures, and contractual obligations for fiscal year 2007. At September 30, 2006, the entire line of credit was available.

Seasonality and Quarterly Fluctuations

Seasonal changes may impact various sectors of the Company s business differently and, accordingly, the Company s operations may be affected by seasonal trends in certain periods. In particular, severe weather in winter months can adversely affect the Company because such weather makes it difficult for consumers in affected parts of the country to travel to Precision Auto Care and Precision Lube Express centers.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(c) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is subject to litigation that could have a material adverse impact on its liquidity as follows:

<u>Precision Franchising LLC v. Chadwick A. Coombs and Evelyn Coombs.</u> USDC ED VA (Alexandria District), 1:06cv1148, Filed: October 11, 2006.

Defendants are Precision Tune Auto Care (PTAC) franchisees (the Franchisees) whose Precision Tune Auto Care Franchise Agreement (the Franchise Agreement) expired on August 14, 2006. Per the Franchise Agreement, upon termination, Franchisees agreed to cease operating their franchised business and to cease using all of PTAC s proprietary marks and trade dress.

On August 28, 2006, PTAC sent a letter to Franchisees advising them that the Franchise Agreement terminated and that it must either be renewed or the Franchisees would be required to comply with all post-term covenants. On September 15, 2006, Franchisees responded by sending the lease to the formerly franchised location to PTAC and advised PTAC that they would vacate the premises. Franchisees advised PTAC that PTAC should lease the formerly franchised location. However, phone calls to the formerly franchised location revealed that Franchisees continued to operate an auto care center at the formerly franchised location until October 1, 2006. On October 1, 2006, Franchisees closed the formerly franchised location and opened an auto care center less than one mile from the formerly franchised location, in violation of the non-competition portion of their Franchise Agreement.

PTAC filed a complaint against the Franchisees on October 11, 2006 in the US District Court, Eastern District of Virginia s Alexandria Division. PTAC is seeking damages, together with pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorney s fess and costs. PTAC also seeks a permanent injunction.

Precision Franchising LLC v. Ira A. Starr and Barbara Starr, USDC ED VA (Alexandria District), 1:06cv505, Filed: May 2, 2006.

Defendants are Precision Tune Auto Care (PTAC) franchisees (the Franchisees) whose Precision Tune Auto Care Franchise Agreement (the Franchise Agreement) expired in June 2006. Upon execution of the Franchise Agreement in 1995, Franchisees and Franchisees landlord entered into an Agreement and Contingent Assignment of Lease (ACAL). Upon the expiration of the Franchise Agreement, the ACAL permits Precision Franchising LLC (PFL) to assume Franchisees lease to the premises (the Premises) on which they operate the franchised business. Unbeknownst to PFL, Franchisees purchased from their landlord the Premises.

Franchisees subsequently expressed their desire not to renew the Franchise Agreement upon expiration; in turn, PFL then stated its intention to exercise its option under the ACAL. However, upon expiration of the Franchise Agreement, Franchisees refused to honor PFL s rights under the ACAL and commenced operating on the Premises a non-PTAC automobile maintenance business. The operation of such a business violates a non-competition covenant within the Franchise Agreement.

13

On April 24, 2006, Ira Starr, Barbara Starr and AllStarr Car Care, Inc. filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Kanawha County, West Virginia against Precision Tune, Inc., PFL, Grimaud Enterprises, Inc. and David Grimaud (collectively the Franchisor Defendants). The suit was not served on Precision Tune Inc. until June 19, 2006 and was not served on PFL until June 20, 2006. Franchisees seek to enjoin the Franchisor Defendants from (i) exercising the option under the ACAL, and (ii) enforcing the non-competition covenant. To date, no monetary damages have been specified. In their complaint, Franchisees make a claim for promissory estoppel by alleging Franchisor Defendant s misrepresentations induced Franchisees to sign the Franchise Agreement. Franchisees also allege Franchisor Defendants breached the Franchisee Agreement by failing to fulfill its obligations thereunder. PFL believes neither claim have merit and both are pretexts to obscure Franchisees violation of the ACAL and non-competition covenants.

On May 2, 2006, PFL filed a complaint in the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against Franchisees. PFL seeks to enforce the non-competition covenants and the ACAL, and prevent Franchisees from continuing to use PFL s proprietary point-of-sale software. PFL seeks more than \$75,000 in damages and seeks to enjoin Franchisees from operating an automotive maintenance business on the Premises.

On July 10, 2006, Franchisees served PFL with their answer, moved to dismiss or, in the alternative, transfer venue, and made a counterclaim for breach of contract, declaration of rights and to quiet title to real property. On July 24, 2006 PFL filed its opposition to Franchisees motion to dismiss or transfer venue. On July 31, 2006 Franchisees filed their rebuttal to opposition to dismiss or transfer venue. On August 11, 2006, a motion hearing was held in the US District Court in the Eastern District of Virginia regarding Franchisees motion to transfer the case and motion to dismiss.

On August 21, 2006, the judge ruled in favor of PFL and PFL successfully obtained removal and consolidation of all claims into a single suit, Precision Franchising LLC v. Ira A. Starr and Barbara Starr, to be adjudicated in the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. The parties are currently conducting discovery and a trial date has not yet been set.

<u>Barras</u>, et al. v. <u>Precision Tune Auto Care</u>, Inc., 16th Judicial District Court, St. Martin Parish, Louisiana, docket numbers 70616-F, 70629-B, 70628-B, 70630-D, filed May 18, 2006 (Barras) and May 24, 2006 (Escuriex, Berard-Linford, Bergeron). Served May 26, 2006 (Barras), May 30, 2006 (Berard-Linford, Bergeron), May 31, 2006 (Escuriex).

On May 21, 2005, an automobile operated by Steven Berard (Berard), in which Andrew Barras, Jr. (Barras), Berard s sister Stephanie Domingue (Domingue), and Windi Bergeron (Bergeron) were passengers, crossed into oncoming traffic and struck an automobile driven by Jennifer W. Escuriex (Escuriex). Domingue, Bergeron, and Escuriex were each injured (as well as four of Escuriex s passengers), while Barras and Berard were killed. The automobile operated by Berard was actually owned by Berard s grandmother, Jacqueline Berard.

On May 18, 2006, plaintiffs Andrew J. Barras, Sr. and Kathy T. Barras (the Barrases) filed a Petition for Damages against Precision Tune Auto Care, Inc. (PTAC) on behalf of the estate of their son Barras. PTAC is one of more than a dozen defendants; co-defendants include the State of Louisiana, Berard, Jacqueline A. Berard, Wal-Mart (as well as several subsidiaries thereof), Ford Motor Company, State Farm and TRW Automotive. The Barrases claim that PTAC was negligent in servicing, modifying, repairing, and/or inspecting Jacqueline Berard s automobile, thereby making the accident and resulting death more likely. Although the exact amount sought is not specified in the Petition for Damages, the Barrases seek compensation for medical treatment, funeral expenses, and pain and suffering.

On May 24, 2006, Escuriex, Claudette Berard-Linford (Berard-Linford) (mother of Berard) on behalf of her son Berard and daughter Domingue, and Bergeron, each filed a Petition for Damages against PTAC. As in the Barras Petition for Damages, PTAC is one of more than dozen co-defendants. Escuriex, Berard-Linford, and Bergeron each claim that PTAC was negligent in servicing, testing and/or inspecting Jacqueline Berard s automobile, thereby making the accident and resulting injuries more likely.

Plaintiffs seek compensation for medical treatment, physical and mental pain and suffering, disability, loss of society, past and future loss of income and earning capacity, and past and future loss of enjoyment of life (although no exact amount is specified in the Petition for Damages).

PTAC intends to vigorously defend the claims filed against it. At this time, there is no evidence that Jacqueline Berard had her vehicle serviced by a Precision Tune Auto Care franchise and, if she did, PTAC and the franchisee have an independent contractor relationship since PTAC does not in any way control the business operations of its franchisees. Furthermore, the franchisee s insurance carrier has agreed to provide a defense and has retained counsel for that purpose. On July 13, 2006, PTAC filed its response to Bergeron and Berard-Linford s respective Petitions for Damages; on July 14, 2006, PTAC filed its response to Barras s Petition for Damages; On July 16, 2006, PTAC filed its response to Escuriex s Petition for Damages. In each case, PTAC denied the allegations asserted against it.

Maureen Jones v. Precision Tune Auto Care, Inc. Precision Franchising LLC, Paul Blakeman, and Complete Car Care LLC, United States District Court, Western District of Oklahoma, Case No.: 06-CV-506, filed July 26, 2006, served August 14, 2006.

Complete Car Care LLC, (CCC) and Precision Franchising LLC (the Franchisor) are parties to a Precision Tune Auto Care Franchise Agreement (the Franchise Agreement) for the operation of a Precision Tune Auto Care center (the Center) in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Plaintiff Maureen Jones, a former employee of CCC, alleges that agents of CCC engaged in discrimination against her on account of her gender. Plaintiff also claims retaliatory behavior subsequent to her initial complaint. Plaintiff is suing under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and is seeking to hold Precision Franchising LLC (PFL) and its affiliate Precision Tune Auto Care, Inc. (PTAC) (collectively Franchisor Defendants) vicariously liable. In her complaint, Plaintiff asks for damages in excess of \$75,000.

Under the terms of the Franchise Agreement, CCC and Paul Blakeman (pursuant to the terms of a guarantee agreement) are required to indemnify and hold harmless the Franchisor Defendants for all claims made in connection with the operation of the Center. Accordingly, Franchisor Defendants sent to CCC on August 14, 2006 a letter demanding that CCC respond to Plaintiff s complaint and hold Franchisor Defendants harmless.

To date, CCC and Paul Blakeman have retained counsel and have provided assurances that they will seek to have the Franchisor Defendants removed from the suit.

Franchisor Defendants have retained counsel and filed an answer on September 5, 2006 denying the allegations of plaintiff. Franchisor Defendants believe they are not proper parties to the suit and will take all appropriate steps to obtain a dismissal.

Shaw v. Precision Tune Auto Care and Mark Hall, State of South Carolina, County of Greenville, Court of Common Pleas, 13th Judicial Circuit, Case No.: 2006-CP-23-3737, filed and served 6/19/2006.

Plaintiff Tammy Shaw claims that the employees of Woodruff Road Tune, Inc. (Woodruff), a Precision Tune Auto Care franchisee, caused damage to her vehicle. The named defendant, Mark Hall, is an agent of Woodruff. Plaintiff seeks actual damages not to exceed \$9,999.99, attorney s fees, and the costs of the action.

Because Woodruff is contractually obligated to indemnify PTAC and because PTAC and Woodruff are independent contractors, PTAC does not expect to incur liability. PTAC sent to Woodruff on June 19, 2006 a letter demanding that Woodruff hold PTAC harmless for all claims asserted by Shaw. Accordingly, Woodruff s insurance carrier has retained counsel to defend both Woodruff and PTAC s interests. On August 18, 2006, defendants filed their answer; each allegation was denied, and it was asserted that PTAC is not a proper defendant due to: (i) the independent contractor relationship between PTAC and Woodruff; and (ii) the fact that PTAC is merely a trademarked brand name and Precision Franchising LLC is the actual corporate entity.

<u>United Bank, NA v. C. Eugene Deal, Miracle Partners, Inc., Star Auto Center, Inc.,</u> Common Pleas Court of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Case No. 01-CV0019, Filed January 11, 2001.

Miracle Partners, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was party to a confessed judgment of approximately \$1.3 million. The subsidiary is currently inactive and has no assets. As such, management believes this judgment will have no material impact on the Company s consolidated results of operations. Furthermore, the Company believes that it has a meritorious claim against Mr. Deal for misrepresentations made in connection with PACI s acquisition of Miracle Partners, Inc. in 1997 for all amounts covered by the judgment.

<u>Lumnivision, S.A. de C.V. v. Praxis Afinaciones, S.A. de C.V.</u>, Third Civil Court, First Judicial District, Monterrey, Nuevo Laredo, Mexico.

Lumnivision filed suit against Praxis Afinaciones, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of PACI, seeking payment of 766,000 Mexican Pesos, plus interest at the rate of 5% per month, for services under a contract. Praxis Afinaciones denies the allegations and is defending the allegations in the lawsuit.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to other litigation in the ordinary course of business, including contract, franchisee and employment-related litigation. In the course of enforcing its rights under existing and former franchisee agreements, the Company is subject to complaints and letters threatening litigation concerning the interpretation and applicability of these agreements, particularly in cases involving defaults and terminations of franchises.

The Company does not believe that any of the above proceedings will result in material judgments against the Company. There can be no assurance, however, that these suits will ultimately be decided in its favor. Any one of these suits may result in a material judgment against the Company, which could cause material adverse consequences to its operations.

15

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

None.
ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS
None.
ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION
None.
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS OR REPORTS ON FORM 8-K
(a) Exhibits
31.1* Written statement of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2* Written statement of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1* Written statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
* Filed herewith
(b) Reports on Form 8-K
(i) On September 15, 2006, a Form 8-K was filed announcing the Company amended Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004 by filing an amended Form 10-KSB on July 14, 2005.
(ii) On October 2, 2006, a Form 8-K was filed announcing the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors elected to change independent registered public accounting firms.
16

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on November 6, 2006.

Precision Auto Care, Inc.

By: /s/ Robert R. Falconi Robert R. Falconi President and Chief Executive Officer (Duly Authorized Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Robert R. Falconi Robert R. Falconi	President and Chief Executive Officer	November 6, 2006
/s/ Mark P. Francis Mark P. Francis	Chief Financial Officer	November 6, 2006

17