NEW JERSEY RESOURCES CORP

Form 4 July 20, 2005

FORM 4

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

OMB APPROVAL

OMB 3235-0287 Number:

January 31, Expires: 2005

0.5

Estimated average burden hours per

response...

if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5

obligations

Check this box

SECURITIES Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section

may continue. 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 See Instruction

1(b).

(Print or Type Responses)

	Address of Reporting l				5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer (Check all applicable)				
	(First) (N ERSEY RESOUI TION, 1415 WYO	(Mont	e of Earliest Transaction n/Day/Year) n/2005	_X_ Director 10% Owner Officer (give title below) Other (specification)					
WALL, NJ	(Street) 07719		mendment, Date Original Month/Day/Year)	6. Individual or Applicable Line) _X_ Form filed by Form filed by Person	•	erson			
(City)	(State)	(Zip) T	able I - Non-Derivative Securities Ac	quired, Disposed	of, or Beneficia	ally Owned			
1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)		Code Disposed of (D)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)			
Common Stock				12,375.167 <u>(1)</u>	D				
Common Stock				300	I	Cust for Rel. by Spouse			
Common Stock				450 (2)	I	Cust. for Rel.			

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transactio Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of orDerivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exerc Expiration D (Month/Day/	ate	7. Title and A Underlying S (Instr. 3 and	Securities	8. Pr Deri Secu (Inst
				Code V	(A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares	
Phantom Stock	<u>(3)</u>	07/19/2005		A	41.728	<u>(4)</u>	<u>(4)</u>	Common Stock	41.728	\$ 4
Phantom Stock	<u>(3)</u>	07/20/2005		A	20.842	<u>(4)</u>	<u>(4)</u>	Common Stock	20.842	\$ 4

Reporting Owners

Panarting Owner Name	Address	Relationships

Director 10% Owner Officer Othe

ZOFFINGER GEORGE R C/O NEW JERSEY RESOURCES CORPORATION 1415 WYCKOFF ROAD WALL, NJ 07719



Signatures

Oleta J. Harden - Attorney-In-Fact (POA on file)

07/20/2005

Date

**Signature of Reporting Person

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Total includes reinvested dividends through Company DRP.
- (2) The reporting person disclaims beneficial ownership of these securities, and this report shall not be deemed an admission that the reporting person is the beneficial owner of such securities for purposes of Section 16 or for any other purpose.
- (3) The security converts to Common Stock on a 1-for-1 basis.
- (4) The Phantom Stock units represent fees that are deferred pursuant to New Jersey Resources Corporation (NJR) Directors Deferred Compensation Plan and are to be paid in NJR common stock when the reporting person ceases to be a director in 5 annual installments.

Reporting Owners 2

(5) Total includes an accrual for reinvested dividends.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. an ongoing benefit arrangement or an individual deferred-compensation contract. SFAS 146 is effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. The provisions of EITF No. 94-3 shall continue to apply for an exit activity initiated under an exit plan that meets the criteria of EITF No. 94-3 prior to the adoption of SFAS 146. The effect on adoption of SFAS 146 will change on a prospective basis the timing of when restructuring charged are recorded from a commitment date approach to when the liability is incurred. SFAS 146 was adopted in the first quarter of 2003. The adoption of SFAS 146 did not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51. FIN 46 requires certain variable interest entities to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investors in the entity do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 is effective immediately for all new variable interest entities created or acquired after January 31, 2003. For variable interest entities created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, the provisions of FIN 46 must be applied for the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2003. We adopted FIN 46 effective February 1, 2003. The adoption of FIN 46 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

Note 6. Esmertec Agreements

On February 7, 2003, we entered into a loan agreement with esmertec whereby esmertec loaned Insignia \$1 million. The loan was made in two installments of \$500,000 each on February 13, 2003 and February 26, 2003. The rate of interest on each loan is at prime plus two percent. Accrued interest is due on the last day of each month. The accrued interest as of March 31, 2003 was \$6,730. The principal and any outstanding accrued interest is due on or before February 3, 2004.

On March 4, 2003, we entered into several other agreements (the Agreements) with esmertec including a definitive agreement to sell certain assets relating to our Java Virtual Machine (JVM) line of business in exchange for \$3.5 million payable in installments. Payments under the agreement would be \$800,000 on April 18, 2003, \$800,000 on July 23, 2003, \$800,000 on October 15, 2003, \$800,000 on January 15, 2004 and \$300,000 on April 15, 2004. The assets primarily included the fixed assets, customer agreements and employees related to the JVM line

of business. The transaction closed on April 23, 2003. Under the terms of the Agreements, esmertec became the exclusive master distributor of the JVM technology in exchange for \$3.4 million in minimum guaranteed royalties through June 30, 2004 at which time, the JVM technology rights will transfer to esmertec. Minimum payments under the license are as follows:

DUE DATE	P	AYMENT
April 30, 2003	\$	250,000
May 15, 2003	\$	200,000
July 15, 2003	\$	200,000
July 31, 2003	\$	250,000
August 15, 2003	\$	200,000
October 15, 2003	\$	200,000
October 31, 2003	\$	250,000
November 15, 2003	\$	200,000
January 15, 2004	\$	200,000
January 31, 2004	\$	250,000
February 15, 2004	\$	200,000
April 15, 2004	\$	200,000
May 15, 2004	\$	200,000
July 15, 2004	\$	200,000
August 15, 2004	\$	200,000
October 15, 2004	\$	200,000
Total	\$	3,400,000

In addition, we can earn up to an additional \$4.0 million over the next three years based on a percentage of esmertec s future sales of the JVM product. Additionally, the parties have entered into a cooperative agreement to promote Insignia s Secure System Provisioning (SSP) software product to esmertec s mobile platform customers. The Agreements provide for esmertec to manage the existing Insignia JVM customer relationships and license the Insignia and esmertec technologies to sell to the then combined customer base and business partners. Under the Agreements, esmertec will assume the entire JVM business through a final asset purchase in June 2004.

As part of the sale of our JVM business, we transferred 42 employees to esmertec, of which 31 were development engineers. In addition, as part of the sale, esmertec entered into an agreement with our U.K. building landlord to assume the lease on one of the two buildings leased by Insignia.

The Jeode platform had been our principal product line since the third quarter of 1999. With the completion of the sale of our JVM business to esmertec in April 2003, Insignia s sole product line will consist of its SSP products for the mobile handset and wireless carrier industry. The SSP product became available for lab and market trials in early 2003 and is expected to be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003.

On February 11, 2003, we announced a restructuring of the organization to focus on the SSP technology. As part of the restructuring, Richard Noling resigned as Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer. Mark McMillan, President and Chief Operating Officer, was promoted to Chief Executive Officer and Linda Potts, Controller, was promoted to Chief Financial Officer.

In September 2001, Insignia and Sun Microsystems, Inc. (Sun) entered into Amendment No. 3 (the Amendment) to the Technology License and Distribution Agreement (the Distribution Agreement) between the two companies. In addition, in June 2001, the two companies entered into an addendum (the Addendum) to the Distribution Agreement relating to distribution of products to an Insignia customer. The Amendment and the Addendum each require Insignia to make non-refundable royalty prepayments to Sun. A total of \$7.0 million of prepaid royalties were paid to Sun under these agreements through the third quarter of 2002. There are no additional royalty prepayments due to Sun under this agreement. The amended Distribution Agreement expires June 30, 2004. Any unused royalty prepayments outstanding on June 30, 2004 are forfeited. The prepaid royalty balance as of March 31, 2003 was \$2.3 million.

During 1998, Insignia sublet until March 2002 facilities it previously occupied in the United Kingdom, on substantially the same terms as those applicable to Insignia. In January 2002, Insignia entered into an agreement with the landlord to terminate the lease on April 3, 2002 and paid a surrender payment of approximately \$470,000.

Note 7. Commitments and Contingencies

Guarantee Agreements

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. FIN 45 requires that upon issuance of certain guarantees, a guarantor must recognize a liability for the fair value of an obligation assumed under the guarantee. FIN 45 also requires significant new disclosures, in both interim and annual financial statements by a guarantor about obligations associated with guarantees issued.

Insignia, as permitted under Delaware law and in accordance with our Bylaws, indemnifies our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while the officer is or was serving at our request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer s or director s lifetime. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited; however we do have a Director and Officer Insurance Policy that limits our exposure and enables us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of the insurance policy coverage we believe the fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal.

In our sales agreements, we typically agree to indemnify our customers for any expenses or liability resulting from claimed infringements of patents, trademarks or copyrights of third parties for the products, as delivered by Insignia. The terms of these indemnification agreements are generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited, however, we normally retain the right to limit the remedies the customer may receive. We have not paid any amounts to settle claims or defend lawsuits.

Insignia, on a limited basis, has granted price protection. The terms of these agreements are generally perpetual. We have not recorded any liabilities for these potential future payments either because they are not probable or we have yet to incur the expense.

Insignia warrants the binary version of its software products against defects in material and workmanship under normal use and service for a period of ninety days. All warranties are

disclaimed for source code licenses. There is no warranty accrual recorded because potential future payments either are not probable or we have yet to incur the expense.

Note 8. Segment reporting

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information (SFAS 131), provides for segment reporting based upon the management approach. The management approach designates the internal organization that is used by management for making operating decisions and assessing performance as the source of Insignia s reportable segments. SFAS 131 also requires disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers.

We operate in a single industry segment providing virtual machine technology which enables software applications and operating systems to be run on various computer platforms. In the first quarter of 2003, Hewlett-Packard Company accounted for 34% of total revenues. In the first quarter of 2002, Phoenix Technologies, Ltd. (Phoenix), accounted for 78% of total revenues. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of Insignia s total revenues during the first quarter of 2003 and 2002.

11

Geographic information

Financial information by geographical region is summarized below (in thousands):

Three months ended
March 31

	iviai Ci	cii 31,		
	2003		2002	
Revenues from unaffiliated customers:				
United States	\$ 332	\$	3,512	
International	47		32	
Consolidated	\$ 379	\$	3,544	
Intercompany revenues:				
United States	\$ 38	\$	25	
International	148		1,468	
Intercompany items and eliminations	(186)		(1,493)	
Consolidated	\$	\$		
Operating loss:				
United States	\$ (1,526)	\$	(891)	
International	(1,683)		(631)	
Consolidated	\$ (3,209)	\$	(1,522)	
Identifiable assets:				
United States	\$ 2,963	\$	11,029	
International	34,754		39,238	
Intercompany items and eliminations	(33,013)		(35,300)	
Consolidated	\$ 4,704	\$	14,967	
Long-lived assets:				
United States	\$ 1,454	\$	166	
International	33,126		35,540	
Intercompany items and eliminations	(33,013)		(35,300)	
Consolidated	\$ 1,567	\$	406	

All of the international revenues and substantially all of the international identifiable assets relate to Insignia s operations in the United Kingdom. Intercompany sales are accounted for at prices intended to approximate those that would be charged to unaffiliated customers.

Revenue by geographic area for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 was as follows (in thousands):

	1	U.S.		U.S. Exports	Europe	Total
Distributor	\$	69	\$	22	\$ 40	\$ 131
OEM		221		7	3	231
End User		4		9	4	17
Total	\$	294	\$	38	\$ 47	\$ 379
Percentage of total revenue		78%	,	10%	12%	100%

Revenue by geographic area for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 was as follows (in thousands):

	U.S.		U.S. Exports		Europe		Total
Distributor	\$ 2,858	\$	60	\$		\$	2,918
OEM	518		71		9		598
End User	6				22		28
Total	\$ 3,382	\$	131	\$	31	\$	3,544
Percentage of total revenue	95%	ó	4%	,	1%)	100%

There were no foreign countries that accounted for more than 10% of total revenue.

Note 9. Equity transactions and warrants

On December 9, 1999, we entered into agreements whereby we issued 1,063,515 ordinary shares in American Depository Shares (ADS) form at a price of \$4.23 per share to Castle Creek Technology Partners LLC and four other investors of whom one is a member of our board of directors. We also issued warrants to the purchasers to purchase a total of 319,054 ADSs at the price of \$5.29 per share. The warrants expire on December 9, 2004. We received \$4.5 million less offering expenses totaling \$0.4 million. The securities were issued in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. An issuance of shares and warrants on November 24, 2000 has had a dilutive effect on the warrants issued in the December 9, 1999 placement, resulting in an increase in the number of ADSs issuable to 353,834, and a decrease of the exercise price to \$4.77. An issuance of shares and warrants on February 12, 2001 also triggered the anti-dilution provisions of the placement of December 9, 1999. However, the effect of such dilution was less than 1% of the exercise price, and consequently such adjustment is deferred until such time as the accumulation of this adjustment and future adjustments exceed at least 1% of the exercise price.

During 2000, we issued a total of 19,994 ordinary shares in ADS form at various prices, ranging from \$6.281 to \$16.50 to a director of Insignia, as payment for draw down fees under a Line of

13

Credit arrangement entered into in March 2000. The securities were issued in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided under Section 4 (2) of the Securities Act.

On November 24, 2000 we entered into agreements whereby we issued 3,600,000 ordinary shares in ADS form at a price of \$5.00 to a total of 23 investors, including Sun Microsystems, BSquare, and a member of our board of directors. We also issued warrants to purchase 1,800,000 ADSs to the investors at an exercise price of the lower of the average quoted closing sale price of our ADSs for the ten trading days ending on the day preceding the date of the warrant holder s intent to exercise less a 10% discount, and \$6.00. The warrants expire on November 24, 2003, however, subject to certain conditions, if the quoted sale price of the ADSs exceed \$9.00 per share for any thirty consecutive trading days, we may cancel the warrants upon sixty days prior written notice. We received \$18.0 million less offering expenses totaling \$2.0 million. We also issued warrants to purchase 225,000 ADSs to the placement agent exercisable at a price of \$5.00. These warrants expire on November 24, 2005. The securities were issued in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act.

On December 31, 2000 we issued a total of 251,333 ordinary shares in ADS form to Quantum Peripherals (Europe) SA, at a per share price of \$4.23 per share under the terms of a convertible promissory note entered into on October 20, 1999. The securities were issued in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided under Section 4 (2) of the Securities Act based on the fact that the shares were sold by the issuer in a sale not involving a public offering.

On February 12, 2001 we entered into agreements whereby we issued 940,000 ordinary shares in ADS form at a price of \$5.00 to a total of 4 investors, including Wind River Systems, Inc., and a member of our board of directors. We also issued warrants to purchase 470,000 ADSs to the investors, at an exercise price of the lower of the average quoted closing sale price of our ADSs for the ten trading days ending on the day preceding the date of the warrant holder s intent to exercise less a 10% discount, and \$6.00. The warrants expire on February 12, 2004, however, subject to certain conditions, if the quoted sale price of the ADSs exceed \$9.00 per share for any thirty consecutive trading days, we may cancel the warrants upon sixty days prior written notice. We received \$4.7 million less offering expenses totaling \$0.5 million. We also issued warrants to purchase 25,000 ADSs to the placement agent exercisable at a price of \$5.00. These warrants expire on February 12, 2006. The securities were issued in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act.

In April 2001, three investors exercised their warrants for 282,500 ADSs. We received \$682,283, net of \$19,117 for legal fees for the warrant exercises. In March 2002, three investors exercised their warrants for 400,000 ADSs. We received \$480,400, net of \$15,400 for legal fees for the warrant exercises.

Subscription Agreement

On October 17, 2002, we entered into a securities subscription agreement (Agreement) with Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (Fusion Capital), pursuant to which Fusion Capital has agreed to purchase, on each trading day following the effectiveness of a registration statement covering the American Depository Shares to be purchased by Fusion Capital, \$10,000 of our American Depository Shares up to an aggregate of \$6.0 million over a period of 30 months. The purchase price of the American Depository Shares will be equal to a price based upon the future market price of the shares without any fixed discount to the market price. In order to be in compliance

with Nasdaq rules, we could not sell our ordinary shares to Fusion Capital at a price below \$0.38, which represents the greater of the book value per share of our ordinary shares as of September 30, 2002, or the closing sale price per share of our ADSs on October 16, 2002 which was \$0.38. If we elect to sell our shares to Fusion Capital at a price per share below \$0.38, we first would be required to obtain shareholder approval in order to be in compliance with applicable Nasdaq rules. Under the laws of England and Wales, we are not permitted to sell our ADSs at a purchase price that is less than the nominal value of our ordinary shares. Currently, the nominal value per ordinary share is the U.S. dollar equivalent of 20.5 pence. As of March 31, 2003, we had not sold any shares under this Agreement. We have incurred approximately \$350,000 in expenses which were in December 2002. As of March 31, 2003, the closing market price of our shares was \$0.22 per share. As a result, we do not anticipate selling any shares under our agreement with Fusion Capital unless and until the market price of our shares increases from current levels. In addition, the sale of our shares to Fusion Capital under the Agreement is subject to other conditions which have not been met.

Under the terms of the Agreement, we issued to Fusion Capital one redeemable warrant for American Depository Shares representing 1,000,000 ordinary shares, and one non-redeemable warrant for American Depository Shares representing 1,000,000 ordinary shares. The redeemable warrant may be redeemed by Insignia at any time on or before June 30, 2003 for an aggregate redemption price of \$200,000. The exercise price per share of each warrant is the U.S. dollar equivalent of 20.5 pence. Each warrant expires on September 30, 2007. The fair value of the warrants was estimated at \$544,000 on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following assumptions: no dividend yield; risk-free rate of 2.5%; volatility of 101%; and an expected life of five years. The fair value of the warrants have been expensed in other expense entirely for the year ended December 31, 2002. Unless an event of default occurs under the Agreement, the shares issuable upon exercise of these warrants must be held by Fusion Capital until 30 months from the date of the Agreement or the date the Agreement is terminated. The warrants are immediately exercisable; however, Fusion Capital may not exercise the warrants if Fusion Capital, together with its affiliates, would beneficially own more than 9.9% of our shares outstanding at the time of the exercise by Fusion Capital. The Agreement and the forms of the Registration Rights Agreement, the Redeemable Warrant and the Non-Redeemable Warrant between Insignia and Fusion Capital are filed as Exhibits to the 8-K dated October 22, 2002.

Dilution Adjustments

Dilution Adjustments 15

In December 1999, we issued 1,063,515 ordinary shares in ADS form at a price of \$4.23 per share through a private placement. Along with ADSs, we also issued to the investors warrants that entitle them to purchase a total of 319,054 ADSs at an exercise price of \$5.29 per ADS. As described below, the exercise price and the number of ADSs issuable under the warrants are subject to various adjustments. In addition, we may issue additional warrants that entitle the investors to purchase ADSs at the nominal value on designated adjustment dates in the future.

Under the December 1999 private placement, the investors received warrants to purchase three ADSs for every 10 ADSs they purchased. The exercise price of the warrants was set at 125% of the original per ADS purchase price, or \$5.29. However, the warrants contain anti-dilution provisions which decrease this exercise price and increase the number of ADSs purchasable if we sell or are deemed to sell any shares at below market price during the term of the warrants, which ends on December 9, 2004. The private placement that closed on November 24, 2000 was a sale which triggered the anti-dilution provisions in the warrants, and, as a consequence, the exercise

15

Dilution Adjustments 16

price of the warrants has been decreased from \$5.29 to \$4.77 per ADS, and the number of ADSs purchasable has increased to 353,834. The private placement on February 12, 2001 also triggered the anti-dilution provisions of the issuance of December 9, 1999. However, the effect of such dilution was less than 1% of the exercise price and consequently such adjustment is deferred until such time as the accumulation of this adjustment and future adjustments exceed at least 1% of the exercise price.

As part of their warrant agreements, the investors may be entitled to cash payments upon the occurrence of certain Major Transactions, as defined in the warrant agreements, including change of control provisions. Cash payments are determined in a methodology described in the agreement. Such methodology is impacted by market price. A major transaction is defined as a merger, reorganization, or sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Insignia in which the stockholders of Insignia immediately prior to the transaction possess less than 50% of the voting power of the surviving entity (or its parent) immediately after the transaction.

Under the December 1999 private placement, the investors were entitled to additional warrants to purchase ADSs at £0.20 nominal value per share if the average of the closing bid price of the ADSs over the ten days before an adjustment date was less than \$4.23. The adjustment dates commenced on March 10, 2000 and occurred on the 10th of each month through March 10, 2001, inclusive. The rights for an adjustment date to occur would terminate upon release of at least \$4.75 million of the funds held in escrow by Citrix on December 9, 1999. However, not enough of the funds held were released to trigger this termination. As calculated the average bid price of our ADSs on all the adjustment dates exceeded \$4.23 per share and consequently no adjustment occurred. The adjustment rights have now expired.

We obtained a third-party valuation to allocate fair value to amounts received from the private placement between the ADSs and the warrants. In 1999 the amount allocated to mandatorily redeemable warrants totaled \$1.440 million, of which \$0.590 million was allocated to the warrant, and \$0.850 million was allocated to the additional warrant. Of the remaining net proceeds received, \$2.619 million was allocated to mandatorily redeemable capital. The \$2.619 million of mandatorily redeemable capital was reclassed, when the registration statement for the ADSs and the ADSs underlying the warrants issued in the December 1999 private placement became effective on March 28, 2000, of which \$0.340 million was classified as ordinary shares and \$2.279 million was classified as additional paid-in capital.

Amounts classified as warrants will remain outside of shareholders equity for the life of the warrant or until they are exercised, whichever occurs first. This classification reflects certain potential cash payments that may occur, should we complete a major transaction, such as a takeover, during the life of the warrants. If a major transaction had occurred as of March 31, 2003, the maximum cash payout would have been approximately \$12,030 based on the estimated Black-Scholes value of the warrant.

Limitations in the transaction agreements preclude these investors in question from achieving certain levels of beneficial ownership. The securities purchase agreement, the warrants and the additional warrants contain the restriction that we may not issue and a selling investor may not purchase, and the warrants and additional warrants may not be exercised for any ADSs if doing so would cause such investor to beneficially own more than 9.9% of the total ordinary shares in issue as determined in accordance with Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the additional warrants, if such investors are prohibited from exercising the additional warrant as a result of the 9.9% restriction, the selling investor may, at its option and in addition

16

Dilution Adjustments 17

to its other rights under the securities purchase agreement and the warrant, retain the warrant or demand payment, in cash, from us in an amount calculated by the Black-Scholes formula multiplied by the number of ADSs for which the additional warrant was exercisable, without regard to any limits on exercise. The restrictions on the levels of beneficial ownership in these documents do not, however, restrict those investors from exercising the warrants or additional warrants up to those limitations, selling ADSs to decrease their level of beneficial ownership, and exercising the warrants to receive additional ADSs. This could result in additional dilution to the holders of our ADSs and a potential decrease in the price of the ADSs.

The following table summarizes activity on warrants:

	Warrants outstanding and exercisable	Warrants outstanding exercise price
Balance, December 31, 2001	2,591,334	\$4.77- \$6.00 (1)
Granted	2,000,000	Par value
Exercised	(400,000)	\$1.24(1)
Balance, December 31, 2002	4,191,334	Par value - 6.00 (1)
Granted		
Exercised		
Balance, March 31, 2003	4,191,334	Par value - 6.00 (1)

The 6.00 warrants are the lesser of 6.00 or 90% of 10-day average market value

Note 10. Line of credit

On March 28, 2002, Insignia s U.S. subsidiary, Insignia Solutions, Inc. (Insignia U.S.) entered into an accounts receivable financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. The financing agreement allowed Insignia U.S. to borrow an amount up to 80% of eligible receivables not to exceed \$1,200,000, with interest at the bank s prime rate plus two percentage points. The agreement was cancelled on February 12, 2003, and the UCC filing liens on the assets of Insignia U.S. were terminated. No funds were ever borrowed under this credit facility.

Note 11. Related party transaction

During the three months ended March 31, 2003 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, Insignia recognized revenue of \$22,000 and \$4,200,000, respectively, from Phoenix. The CEO of Phoenix was a director on Insignia s board of directors from March 1997 until March 2001.

During the three months ended March 31, 2003 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, Insignia recognized revenue of \$0 and \$300,000, respectively, from Wind River Systems, Inc. (Wind River). Wind River participated in a private placement of equity in Insignia in February 2001 on the same terms as the other three investors in the private placement. Wind River paid the aggregate purchase price of \$2,000,000 for 400,000 ordinary shares represented by ADRs and warrants to purchase 200,000 additional ordinary shares represented by ADRs. In addition, a Vice President of Wind River, John C. Fogelin, was appointed to Insignia s board of directors in January 2001.

17

On February 13, 2001, we entered into a promissory note with Richard M. Noling, President and Chief Executive Officer of Insignia whereby Mr. Noling borrowed \$150,000 from the U.S.-based subsidiary of Insignia. The promissory note was due in three equal installments, on each annual anniversary from the date of the note. The note was amended on January 24, 2002 to extend the first and subsequent installments one year. The first installment became due on February 13, 2003. Mr. Noling s employment was terminated with Insignia effective February 14, 2003. We forgave, effective March 6, 2003, the balance of the loan, \$128,154, in lieu of any bonus compensation. Interest accrued on the unpaid principal balance at a rate per annum equal to the prime lending rate of interest as listed in the Wall Street Journal plus 1%. Accrued interest was due and payable monthly in arrears on the last calendar day of each month, beginning March 31, 2001. In addition, on July 17, 2001, Mr. Noling received an interest free loan of \$50,000. The \$50,000 loan was repaid in full on September 30, 2001.

Note 12. Restructuring

SFAS 146 (See Note 5) requires restructing changes to be recorded at the commitment date. For the quarter ended March 31, 2003, we restructured the organization resulting in actual payments of \$117,000 and an accrual of future payments totaling \$209,000. The future payments consist of \$161,000 and \$48,000 in the second and third quarters of 2003, respectively.

18

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Part I - Item 1 of this Form 10-Q and the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in Insignia s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (the Form 10-K).

Future operating results

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements concern matters which include, but are not limited to, the revenue model and market for the Secure System Provisioning (SSP) product line, the features, benefits and advantages of the SSP platforms, international operations and sales, gross margins, spending levels, the availability of licenses to third-party proprietary rights, business and sales strategies, matters relating to proprietary rights, competition, exchange rate fluctuations and Insignia s liquidity and capital needs. These and other statements regarding matters that are not historical are forward-looking statements. These matters involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. In addition to the factors discussed above, among other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the following: the demand for the SSP platform; the performance and functionality of SSP technology; Insignia s ability to deliver products on time, and market acceptance of new products or upgrades of existing products; the timing of, or delay in, large customer orders; continued availability of technology and intellectual property license rights; product life cycles; quality control of products sold; competitive conditions in the industry; economic conditions generally or in various geographic areas; and the risks listed from time to time in the reports that Insignia files with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences in results and outcomes include without limitation those discussed below as well as those discussed elsewhere in this Report. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements to reflect management s analysis only as of the date hereof. Insignia assumes no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecti

Insignia continues to face significant risks associated with the successful execution of its business strategy. These significant risks include, but are not limited to continued technology and product development, introduction and market acceptance of new products, changes in the marketplace, liquidity, competition from existing and new competitors that may enter the marketplace, impairment of prepaid royalties and retention of key personnel.

In addition, Insignia also faces significant risks associated with the development and future deployment of its SSP products and the successful execution of the related business strategy. During 2001, Insignia began development of a range of SSP products for the mobile handset and wireless carrier industry. These SSP products build on Insignia s position as a Virtual Machine (VM) supplier for manufacturers of mobile devices and are designed to allow wireless carriers to execute over the air repair services and build valuable incremental services. With the sale of the Jeode business to esmertec, Insignia s sole product line consists of the SSP products. The SSP product is currently in lab and market trials and is expected to be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003. Insignia has limited history with sales initiatives for new

19

products. Additionally, the sales cycle for the SSP products is expected to take longer than the six to nine months sales cycle typically experienced with the Jeode product.

Insignia has experienced operating losses in each quarter since the second quarter of 1996. To achieve profitability, Insignia will have to increase its revenue. With the sale of the Jeode business, Insignia s ability to increase revenues depends upon the success of its SSP product line. SSP is not expected to be available until the second half of 2003, and it may not achieve widespread market acceptance. If Insignia is unable to generate revenues from SSP in the form of development license fees, maintenance and support fees, commercial use royalties and nonrecurring engineering activities, Insignia s current revenue levels will be insufficient to sustain its business.

Insignia has undertaken measures to reduce operating expenses and redesign its commercial efforts to adapt to new developments. Based upon Insignia s current forecasts and estimates, Insignia s current cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, together with cash generated from on-going operations and other liquid sources of cash (including the cash from the sale of the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) assets) available to Insignia, may not be sufficient to meet its anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures through calendar 2003. In particular, Insignia will need to further reduce the level of operating expenses in the event that revenue is lower than expected. In addition, if Insignia is unable to maintain the reduction of operating expenses or increase revenue, if unplanned cash payments or expenses arise, or if Insignia is unable to obtain access to additional capital, it may not have sufficient resources to fund continuing operations through calendar year 2003. In addition, based on its current forecasts and estimates, Insignia anticipates that, in any event, it will require additional financing in calendar year 2004.

To the extent that Insignia requires additional financing, there can be no assurances that Insignia will be able to obtain such funding when it is needed on acceptable terms, or at all. The failure to raise such additional funds on a timely basis and on sufficiently favorable terms would have a material adverse effect on Insignia s business, operating results and financial condition. Insignia s liquidity also may be adversely affected in the future by factors such as higher interest rates, inability to borrow without collateral, limited availability of capital financing and continued operating losses.

The size of Insignia s accumulated deficit, its losses and its ongoing need for capital in order to continue its operations raises substantial doubt as to Insignia s ability to continue operations during 2003. Failure to reduce expenses and/or obtain additional financing will result in a material adverse effect on its ability to meet its business objectives and continue as a going concern. The report of the Company s independent accountants included in Insignia s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on April 15, 2003 includes an explanatory paragraph as to the uncertainty that the Company will continue as a going concern.

Except as otherwise noted, this Form 10-Q does not reflect the anticipated sale of our JVM business to esmertec.

20

The following table sets forth the unaudited condensed consolidated results of operations as a percentage of total revenues for the three month periods ended March 31, 2003 and 2002.

Three months end	led
March 31.	

	March 31,	
	2003	2002
Net revenues:		
License	50.7%	84.3%
Service	49.3%	15.7%
Total net revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of net revenues:		
License	30.4%	28.6%
Service	13.7%	5.6%
Total cost of net revenues	44.1%	34.2%
Gross margin	55.9%	65.8%
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	170.2%	48.6%
Research and development	344.6%	33.7%
General and administrative	301.9%	26.5%
Restructuring	86.0%	0.0%
Total operating expenses	902.7%	108.8%
Operating loss	(846.8)%	(43.0)%
Interest income (expense), net	(0.2)%	0.6%
Other income (expense), net	3.4%	(0.9)%
Loss before income taxes	(843.6)%	(43.3)%
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	0.5%	(15.8)%
Net loss	(844.1)%	(27.5)%

21

Overview

We commenced operations in 1986, and currently develop, market and support software technologies that implement accelerated virtual machine technology for memory-constrained smart devices. In January 1998, we announced our intention to launch a new product line called the JeodeTM platform, based on our Embedded Virtual Machine (EVM) technology. We also explored new markets that would leverage our 17 years of emulation software development experience. The Jeode platform is our implementation of Sun Microsystems, Inc. s (Sun) Java® technology tailored for smart devices. It leverages patent-pending intellectual property to provide these resource-constrained devices with high performance, fully-compatible Java applet and application support. The product became available for sale in March 1999. The Jeode platform has been our principal product line since the third quarter of 1999. The Jeode product line was sold in April 2003.

During 2001, we began development of a range of products (Secure System Provisioning or SSP products) for the mobile handset and wireless carrier industry. These SSP products build on our position as a Virtual Machine (VM) supplier for manufacturers of mobile devices and allow wireless carriers to execute over the air repair and build valuable incremental services. With the sale of our JVM business in April 2003, our sole product line will consist of our SSP product. The SSP product became available for lab and market trials in early 2003 and will be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003. We have limited history with sales initiatives for new products. Additionally, the sales cycle for the SSP products is expected to take longer than the typical six to nine months to complete as seen with the Jeode product.

Our operations outside of the United States are primarily in the United Kingdom, where the majority of our research and development operations and our European sales activities are located. We distribute our Jeode platform through OEM s. Our revenues from customers outside the United States are derived primarily from Europe and Asia and are generally affected by the same factors as our revenues from customers in the United States. The operating expenses of our operations outside the United States are mostly incurred in Europe and relate to our research and development and European sales activities. Such expenses consist primarily of ongoing fixed costs and consequently do not fluctuate in direct proportion to revenues. Our revenues and expenses outside the United States can fluctuate from period to period based on movements in currency exchange rates. Historically, movements in currency exchange rates have not had a material effect on our revenues.

We operate with the U.S. dollar as our functional currency, with a majority of revenues and operating expenses denominated in U.S. dollars. Pound sterling exchange rate fluctuations against the dollar can cause U.K. expenses, which are translated into dollars for financial statement reporting purposes, to vary from period-to-period.

Sale of Java Virtual Machine assets

On February 7, 2003, we entered into a \$1 million loan agreement with esmertec AG (esmertec). The loan was made in two installments of \$500,000 each on February 13, 2003 and February 26, 2003. The rate of interest on each loan is at prime plus two percent. Accrued interest is due on the last day of each month. The accrued interest as of March 31, 2003, was \$6730. The principal and any outstanding accrued interest is due on or before February 3, 2004.

On March 5, 2003, we entered into several other agreements (the Agreements) with esmertec including a definitive agreement to sell certain assets relating to our Java Virtual Machine (JVM) line of business in exchange for \$3.5 million payable in installments. Payments under the agreement would be \$800,000 on April 18, 2003, \$800,000 on July 23, 2003, \$800,000 on October 15, 2003, \$800,000 on January 15, 2004 and \$300,000 on April 15, 2004. The assets primarily included the fixed assets, customer agreements and employees related to the JVM line of business. The transaction closed on April 23, 2003. Under the terms of the Agreements, esmertec became the exclusive master distributor of the JVM technology in exchange for \$3.4 million in minimum guaranteed royalties through June 30, 2004 at which time, the JVM technology rights will transfer to esmertec. Minimum payments under the license are as follows:

DUE DATE	PAYMENT		
April 30, 2003	\$	250,000	
May 15, 2003	\$	200,000	
July 15, 2003	\$	200,000	
July 31, 2003	\$	250,000	
August 15, 2003	\$	200,000	
October 15, 2003	\$	200,000	
October 31, 2003	\$	250,000	
November 15, 2003	\$	200,000	
January 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
January 31, 2004	\$	250,000	
February 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
April 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
May 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
July 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
August 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
October 15, 2004	\$	200,000	
	•	,	
Total	\$	3,400,000	

In addition, we can earn up to an additional \$4.0 million over the next three years based on a percentage of esmertec s future sales of the JVM product. Additionally, the parties have entered into a cooperative agreement to promote Insignia s SSP software product to esmertec s mobile platform customers. The Agreements provide for esmertec to manage the existing Insignia JVM customer relationships and license the Insignia and esmertec technologies to sell to the then combined customer base and business partners. Under the Agreements, esmertec will assume the entire JVM business through a final asset purchase in June 2004.

As part of the sale of our JVM business, we transferred 42 employees to esmertec, of which 31 were development engineers. In addition, as part of the sale, esmertec entered into an agreement with our U.K. building landlord to assume the lease on one of the two buildings leased by Insignia.

The Jeode platform has been our principal product line since the third quarter of 1999. With the sale of our JVM business to esmertec, Insignia s sole product line consists of its SSP products for the mobile handset and wireless carrier industry. The SSP product became available for lab and market trials in early 2003 and is expected to be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003. We have limited history with sales initiatives for new products.

Additionally, the sales cycle for the SSP	products is expected to take	longer than the typical six	to nine months exp	perienced to complete as seen
with the Jeode product.				

Except as otherwise noted, this Form 10-Q does not reflect the anticipated sale of our JVM business to esmertec.

Critical accounting policies and estimates

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Certain of our accounting policies require the application of significant judgment by management in selecting the appropriate assumptions for calculating financial estimates. These estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. By their nature, these judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. The most significant estimates and assumptions relate to revenue recognition, the recoverability of prepaid royalties, and the adequacy of allowances for doubtful accounts. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

Revenue recognition

We recognize revenue in accordance with Statement of Position 97-2 (SOP 97-2), Software Revenue Recognition, as amended. SOP 97-2 requires that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services rendered; (3) the fee is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectibility is probable. Determination of criteria (3) and (4) are based on management s judgments regarding the fixed nature of the fee charged for services rendered and products delivered and the collectibility of those fees. Should changes in conditions cause management to determine these criteria are not met for certain future transactions, revenue recognized for any reporting period could be adversely affected.

At the time of the transaction, we assess whether the fee associated with our revenue transaction is fixed or determinable and whether or not collection is reasonably assured. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction. If a significant portion of a fee is due after the normal payment terms, which are 30 to 90 days from invoice date, we account for the fee as not being fixed or determinable. In these cases, we recognize revenue on the earlier of due date or cash collected.

We assess collectability based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. We do not request collateral from our customers. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we will defer the fee and recognize revenue at the time collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

For all sales, we use either a signed license agreement or a binding purchase order (primarily for maintenance renewals) as evidence of an arrangement.

For arrangements with multiple obligations (for example, undelivered maintenance and support), we will allocate revenue to each component of the arrangement using the residual value method based on the fair value of the undelivered elements, which is specific to us. This means that we will defer revenue from the arrangement fee equivalent to the fair value of the undelivered elements. Fair value for the ongoing maintenance and support obligation is based upon separate

sales of renewals to other customers or upon renewal rates quoted in the contracts. Fair value of services, such as training or consulting, is based upon separate sales by us of these services to other customers.

Our arrangements do not generally include acceptance clauses. However, if an arrangement includes an acceptance provision, acceptance occurs upon the earlier of receipt of written customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period.

We recognize revenue for maintenance services ratably over the contract term. Our training and consulting services are billed based on hourly rates, and we will generally recognize revenue as these services are performed. However, at the time of entering into a transaction, we will assess whether or not any services included within the arrangement require us to perform significant work either to alter the underlying software or to build additional complex interfaces so that the software performs as the customer requests. If these services are included as part of an arrangement, we recognize the entire fee using the percentage of completion method. We estimate the percentage of completion based on our estimate of the total costs estimated to complete the project as a percentage of the costs incurred to date and the estimated costs to complete.

Accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and will adjust credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by our review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain an allowance for estimated credit losses based upon historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. While such credit losses have historically been within expectations and the allowance established, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates as in the past. Since our accounts receivable are concentrated in a relatively few number of customers, a significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any one of these customers could have a material adverse impact on the collectability of accounts receivables and future operating results.

The preparation of financial statements requires us to make estimates of the uncollectability of our accounts receivables. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and analyze historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in its customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Prepaid royalties

Our agreements with licensors sometimes require us to make advance royalty payments and pay royalties based on product sales. Prepaid royalties are capitalized and amortized as cost of sales based on the contractual royalty rate based on actual net product sales. We continually evaluate recoverability of prepaid royalties and, if necessary, will charge to cost of sales any amount that we deem unlikely to be recoverable in the future. The prepaid royalties carried on the balance sheet, under the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Sun and Insignia, require us to use these prepaid licenses before June 30, 2004. We have performed an assessment of whether there was an indication that prepaid royalties of \$2.28 million are impaired as of March 31, 2003. Our estimation of utilization, based on past revenue history and future revenue estimates using current average per unit selling price ranges, indicates that there could be unused prepaid

royalties at the expiration of the Distribution Agreement. As part of the sale of the JVM business to esmertec, esmertec has entered into a master distribution license agreement with Insignia, whereby esmertec will license product from Insignia which will consume all the prepaids paid to Sun. However, if esmertec should breach the agreement, we believe that should unused prepaid royalties become predictable in the future, we could reduce the selling price in order to utilize the remaining prepaid balance. This price reduction would result in lower gross margins. We will continue to assess this potential impairment on a quarterly basis. The deterioration of the business climate and lower than expected product sales could potentially impact this assessment and require an impairment charge in a future quarter. Prepaid royalties are classified as both current and non-current assets based on estimated net product sales within the next year.

Revenues

		Three months ended March 31,		
	2003	•		2002
		(in tho	usands)	
License revenue	\$	192	\$	2,988
Service revenue		187		556
Total net revenue	\$	379	\$	3,544

The Jeode product line was our primary business for the first quarter of 2003 and 2002. Revenue from the Jeode product line is derived from four main sources: the sale of a development license, the sale of annual maintenance and support contracts/services, prepaid royalties and commercial use royalties based on shipments of products that include Jeode technology, and nonrecurring engineering activities.

In the first quarter of 2003, total revenues decreased by 89% compared to total revenues for the first quarter of 2002. The decrease was primarily due to decreased license revenues relating to the Jeode platform product line and the March 2003 revenue transferred as part of the JVM sale to esmertec. The Jeode platform accounted for 95% and 100% of total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Future revenue from Jeode will be earned as a percentage of the revenue share program from the sale of the JVM business. Jeode revenue will decrease from prior quarters. We expect to generate revenue from the SSP product in the second half of 2003.

License revenue and service revenue accounted for 51% and 49%, respectively, of total revenues in the first quarter of 2003. In the first quarter of 2002, license revenue and service revenue accounted for 84% and 16%, respectively.

License revenue decreased 93% in the first quarter of 2003 compared to license revenues in the first quarter of 2002. The decrease was primarily due to the decline in the Internet Appliance market and the general weakening of the economy. Jeode license revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and the three months ended March 31, 2002 accounted for 90% and 100% of total license revenues, respectively. A one-time Softwindows transaction accounted for 10% of the license revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

Service revenue in the first quarter of 2003 was 66% lower than service revenue in the first quarter of 2002. The decrease was primarily due to decreased Jeode engineering and Jeode

support contracts. Jeode service revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and the three months ended March 31, 2002 accounted for 100% of total service revenues.

Sales to distributors and OEM s representing more than 10% of total revenue in each period accounted for the following percentages of total revenues.

Three months end	ed
March 31,	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2003	2002
Distributors:		
Hewlett Packard	34%	*
Phoenix	*	78%
All Distributors:	35%	82%
OEM s:		
All OEM s	61%	17%
I 41 1007		

Less than 10%

Sales to customers outside the United States, derived mainly from customers in Europe and Asia, represented approximately 10% of total revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 5% of total revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2002. We marketed Jeode to customers in the United States, Europe and Japan.

Cost of revenues and gross margin

Three months ended March 31,

2002

66%

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
Cost of license revenue	\$	115 \$	1,014	
Gross margin: license revenue		40%	66%	
Cost of service revenue	\$	52 \$	197	
Gross margin: service revenue		72%	65%	
Total cost of revenues	\$	167 \$	1,211	

2003

Cost of license revenue is mainly comprised of royalties to third parties. Cost of service revenue includes costs associated with nonrecurring engineering activities and end-user support under maintenance contracts.

56%

Gross margins: total revenues

We believe that the significant factors affecting the Jeode platform gross margin include pricing of the development license, pricing of the unit usage and royalties to third parties such as Sun Microsystems, Inc. (Sun). In early 1999, we signed a five-year agreement with Sun under which Sun established us as an authorized Virtual Machine provider. Under this agreement, we are required to pay Sun a per unit royalty on each Jeode platform-enabled smart device shipped by our customers, plus a royalty on all development licenses put in place between our customers and us. In the third quarter of 2001, we amended our license agreement with Sun. The

27

amendment expanded the scope of the licensed technology, changed the royalty rate, limited the royalty obligations to only per units licensed, established required prepaid royalties and extended the expiration date of the contract from March 2004 to June 2004. License revenue gross margins in the quarter ended March 31, 2003 were 40%, compared to 66% for the same period in 2002. The decrease in license revenue gross margins reflected the impact of sharing the March 2003 JVM revenue while the cost per unit did not change and resulted in lower gross margins.

Gross margin for service revenue is impacted by the level of and pricing terms of nonrecurring engineering activities, which can vary from customer to customer, from contract to contract and based on the level of maintenance contracts sold. Gross margin for service revenue increased in the first quarter of 2003 to 72% from 65% in the same period of 2002 due to more favorable labor costs on fixed price engineering consulting contracts.

Operating expenses

Operating expenses 37

Three months ended March 31, 2002

(in thousands, except percentages)

Sales and marketing	\$ 645	\$ 1,721
Percentage of total revenues	170%	49%
Research and development	\$ 1,306	\$ 1,196
Percentage of total revenues	345%	34%
General and administrative	\$ 1,144	\$ 938
Percentage of total revenues	302%	26%

2003

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel and related overhead costs, salesperson commissions, advertising and promotional expenses and trade shows. Sales and marketing expenses decreased by 62% in the quarter ended March 31, 2003 from the quarter ended March 31, 2002. The primary reason for the significant decrease was attributed to the transfer of the March 2003 JVM business expenses to esmertec. The decrease primarily reflected a \$625,000 reduction in personnel costs. In addition, travel related costs decreased \$125,000 and discretionary marketing decreased \$192,000. Overhead costs allocated using headcount also decreased by \$75,000. We anticipate a moderate decrease in sales and marketing expenses in the near term as we continue to control expenses in our sales and marketing organizations.

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, overhead costs relating to occupancy and equipment depreciation. Research and development expenses increased by 9% in the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to the same period in 2002. The primary reason for the increase was due to the quarter ended March 31, 2003 incurring an increased overhead cost allocation using headcount of \$31,000 and the prior year quarter allocating an additional \$145,000 of research and development expenses to cost of sales for Jeode support and maintenance and Jeode nonrecurring engineering activities. The quarter ended March 31, 2002 reported greater Jeode revenue and therefore greater Jeode cost of sales than the quarter ended March 31, 2003. Additional increases in expenditures of \$38,000 in the quarter ended March 31, 2003 were a result of independent contractor costs. The increases were offset by salary and salary related cost decreases of \$97,000 primarily due to the transferring of JVM business expenses effective March 1, 2003. Research and development expenses are expected to decrease moderately in the near term.

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel and related overhead costs for finance, information systems, human resources and general management. General and administrative expenses increased by 7% in the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to the same period of 2002. The increase was primarily due to an increase in professional service

28

Operating expenses 38

expenditures of \$226,000 related to the sale of the JVM business to esmertec. The increase was also due to the loan forgiveness to the Chief Executive Officer of \$128,000. The increase was offset in part to a \$136,000 decrease in salaries and a \$19,000 decrease in personnel related costs which consist primarily of benefits and payroll tax expenses. Salaries and personnel costs decreased due to a reduction in force in the quarter ended March 31, 2003 and the transfer of the JVM business expenses effective March 1, 2003. Expenses are expected to decrease moderately in the near term.

Restructuring

Restructuring 39

 $\frac{\text{Three months ended}}{\text{March 31,}}$ $2003 \qquad 2002$ (in thousands, except percentages) $\text{Restructuring} \qquad \qquad \$ \qquad 326 \qquad \$$ $\text{Percentage of total revenues} \qquad \qquad \$ 86\%$

On February 11, 2003, we announced a restructuring of the organization to focus on the SSP technology. As part of the restructuring, Richard Noling, resigned as Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer. Mark McMillan, President and Chief Operating Officer, was promoted to Chief Executive Officer and Linda Potts, Controller, was promoted to Chief Financial Officer. Restructuring expenses consist of severance payments paid in the quarter ended March 31, 2003 of \$117,000 and continuing severance payments of \$209,000 in the quarters ended June 30, 2003 and September 30, 2003. Restructuring expenses represented 86% of total revenues for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. The restructuring in both the quarter ended March 31, 2003 and the the prior quarter, in addition to the transfer of employees from the sale of the JVM business, resulted in a worldwide reduction of headcount of approximately 70% of our staff (62 people).

Interest income (expense), net

		Three mor		
	20	003		2002
	(in thousands, except percentages)			ntages)
Interest income (expense), net	\$	(1)	\$	21
Percentage of total revenues				1%

Net interest income decreased from income of \$21,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2002 to expense of \$1,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2003. The change from interest income to interest expense in the first quarter of 2003 was primarily due to a combination of lower interest rates on lower cash and cash equivalent balances and interest expense on a loan received in February, 2003. Interest expense is expected to increase in 2003 and offset interest income.

Other income (expense), net

Three months ended March 31,

2003 2002 (in thousands, except percentages)

Other income, net	\$ 13 \$	(32)
Percentage of total revenues	3%	(1)%
	29	

Net other income increased from expense of \$32,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2002 to income of \$13,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2003, primarily reflecting foreign exchange gains or losses in the respective periods.

For the three months ended March 31, 2003 approximately 88% of our total revenues and over 43% of our operating expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars. Most of our remaining revenues and expenses are British pound sterling denominated and consequently we are exposed to fluctuations in British pound sterling exchange rates. There can be no assurance that such fluctuations will not have a material effect on our results of operations in the future. We did not enter with any currency option hedge contracts in 2002 or the first quarter of 2003.

We have, at times, an investment portfolio of fixed income securities that are classified as available-for-sale-securities. These securities, like all fixed income instruments, are subject to interest rate risk and will fall in value if market interest rates increase. We attempt to limit this exposure by investing primarily in short-term securities.

Provision (benefit) for income taxes

Three months ended March 31, 2003 2002 (in thousands)

Provision (benefit) for income taxes Effective income tax rate

Our provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2003 primarily represented payments to U.S. tax authorities for annual filing fees. Our benefit for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2002 primarily represented a refund received from the United Kingdom for research and development claims. We have recorded a full valuation allowance against all deferred tax assets, primarily comprised of net operating losses, on the basis that significant uncertainty exists with respect to their realization.

Effective 2002 and retroactive to 2000, research and development expenditures incurred in the United Kingdom qualified for a tax credit. The tax credit does not offset tax liability but rather is a refund. The estimated refund for 2002 is \$702,000 and is recorded as a receivable on the balance sheet.

Liquidity and capital resources

March 31.

2003 2002

(in thousands)

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 214	\$ 6,832
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (652)	\$ 10,498
Net cash used in operating activities for the three		
month period	\$ (1,762)	\$ (2,581)

30

We have transitioned our product focus from the Jeode product to our SSP product line. This change in product focus has resulted in a redirection of available resources from Insignia s historical revenue base towards the development and marketing efforts associated with the SSP product. Cash used in operating activities in the first quarter of 2003 totaled \$1.8 million compared to \$2.6 million for the same period in 2002. For the three months ended March 31, 2003, cash used in operating activities resulted primarily from a net loss of \$3.2 million and a decrease of accrued liabilities of \$132,000. Offsetting these were a decrease of accounts receivable of \$556,000, an increase of accounts payable of \$459,000, and an increase of accrued severance of \$209,000.

Cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2003 was \$1,159,000, which consisted primarily of proceeds from the loan of \$1,000,000 and proceeds from the release of restricted cash of \$160,000.

Cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2003 was \$1,000 and was proceeds from the employee stock purchase plan.

Our cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash were \$214,000 at March 31, 2003, a decrease of \$6.6 million from \$6.8 million at March 31, 2002. At March 31, 2003, we had a working capital deficit of \$652,000. At March 31, 2002, we had working capital of \$10.5 million. The principal source of working capital came from receivable collections and a note payable. Capital additions totaled \$1,000 and \$21,000 for the three months ending March 31, 2003 and March 31, 2002, respectively. We have no material commitments for capital expenditures or strategic investments. Our commitments for expenditures consist of building leases in the U.K. and U.S. and a debt payment due in February 2004. We expect that the decrease in accounts receivables, decreased revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and other factors will substantially decrease our future cash flows for the next several quarters.

As of March 31, 2003, five customers accounted for 79% of the gross receivable.

In September 2001, Insignia and Sun Microsystems, Inc. (Sun) entered into Amendment No. 3 (the Amendment) to the Technology License and Distribution Agreement (the Distribution Agreement) between the two companies. In addition, in June 2001, the two companies entered into an addendum (the Addendum) to the Distribution Agreement relating to distribution of products to an Insignia customer. The Amendment and the Addendum each required us to make non-refundable royalty prepayments to Sun. A total of \$7.0 million prepaid royalties were paid to Sun under the Distribution Agreement through the second quarter of 2002. There are no additional required royalty prepayments due to Sun under this agreement. The prepaid royalties carried on the balance sheet, under the terms of the agreement between Sun and Insignia, require us to use these prepaid licenses before June 30, 2004. We continually evaluate recoverability of these prepaid royalties and, if necessary, will charge to cost of sales any amount that we deem unlikely to be recoverable in the future. We have performed an assessment of whether there was an indication that the prepaid royalties of \$2.28 million are impaired as of March 31, 2003. Our estimation of utilization, based on past revenue history and future revenue estimates using current average per unit selling price ranges, indicates that there could be unused prepaid royalties at the expiration of the license and distribution agreement. However, we believe that should unused prepaid royalties become predictable in the future, we could reduce the selling price in order to utilize the remaining prepaid balance. This price reduction would result in lower gross margins. We will continue to assess this potential impairment on a quarterly basis. The deterioration of the business climate and lower than expected product sales could potentially impact this assessment and require an impairment charge in a future quarter.

During 1998, we sublet until March 2002 facilities we previously occupied in the United Kingdom, on substantially the same terms as those applicable to us. In January 2002, we entered into an agreement with the landlord to terminate the lease on April 13, 2002.

On March 28, 2002, our U.S. subsidiary, Insignia Solutions, Inc. (Insignia U.S.) entered into an accounts receivable financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. The financing agreement allowed Insignia U.S. to borrow an amount up to 80% of eligible receivables not to exceed \$1,200,000, with interest at the bank s prime rate plus two percentage points. The agreement was canceled on February 12, 2003, and the UCC filing liens on the assets of Insignia U.S. were terminated. No funds were ever borrowed under this credit facility.

We have granted extended payment terms from time to time and, depending on various factors, including the length of the extended payment period and the creditworthiness of the customer. We report these future payments as accounts receivable and either recognized revenue or deferred revenue. Deferred revenue decreased \$83,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and primarily reflects fewer support and maintenance contracts.

Insignia, as permitted under Delaware law and in accordance with our Bylaws, indemnifies our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while the officer is or was serving at our request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer s or director s lifetime. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited; however we do have a Director and Officer Insurance Policy that limits our exposure and enables us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of the insurance policy coverage we believe the fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal.

In our sales agreements, we typically agree to indemnify our customers for any expenses or liability resulting from claimed infringements of patents, trademarks or copyrights of third parties. The terms of these indemnification agreements are generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. We have not paid any amounts to settle claims or defend lawsuits.

Insignia, on a limited basis, has granted price protection. The terms of these agreements are generally perpetual. We have not recorded any liabilities for these potential future payments either because they are not probable or we have has yet to incur the expense.

Insignia warrants its software products against defects in material and workmanship under normal use and service for a period of ninety days. There is no warranty accrual recorded because potential future payments either are not probable or we have yet to incur the expense.

On October 17, 2002, we entered into a securities subscription agreement (Agreement) with Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (Fusion Capital), pursuant to which Fusion Capital agreed to purchase, on each trading day following the effectiveness of a registration statement covering the ADSs, to be purchased by Fusion Capital, \$10,000 of our ADSs up to an aggregate of \$6.0 million over a period of 30 months. The purchase price of the ADSs will be equal to a price based upon the future market price of the shares without any fixed discount to the market price. In order to be in compliance with Nasdaq rules, we could not sell our ordinary shares to Fusion Capital at a price below \$0.38, which represents the greater of the book value per share of our ordinary shares as of September 30, 2002 or the closing sale price per share of our ADSs on October 16, 2002. If we elect to sell our shares to Fusion Capital at a price per share below \$0.38, we first would be required to obtain shareholder approval in order to be in compliance with applicable Nasdaq rules. Under the laws of England and Wales, we are not permitted to sell

our ADSs at a purchase price that is less than the nominal value of our ordinary shares. Currently, the nominal value per ordinary share is £0.20. As of May 8, 2003, the closing market price of our shares was \$0.22 per share. As a result, we do not anticipate selling any shares under our agreement with Fusion Capital until the market price of our shares increases from current levels. In addition, the sale of our shares to Fusion Capital under the Agreement is subject to other conditions which have not been met.

Under the terms of the Agreement, we issued to Fusion Capital one redeemable warrant for ADSs representing 1,000,000 ordinary shares, and one non-redeemable warrant for ADSs representing 1,000,000 ordinary shares. The redeemable warrant may be redeemed by Insignia at any time on or before June 30, 2003 for an aggregate redemption price of \$200,000. The exercise price per ordinary share of each warrant is the U.S. dollar equivalent of 20.5 pence. Each warrant expires on September 30, 2007 and are partially exercisable. As of December 31, 2002, the estimated value of the warrants, using the Black-Scholes model, was \$544,000. Unless an event of default occurs under the Agreement, the shares issuable upon exercise of these warrants must be held by Fusion Capital until 30 months from the date of the Agreement or the date the Agreement is terminated. The warrants are immediately exercisable; however, Fusion Capital may not exercise the warrants if Fusion Capital, together with its affiliates, would beneficially own more than 9.9% of our shares outstanding at the time of the exercise by Fusion Capital. The Agreement and the forms of the Registration Rights Agreement, the Redeemable Warrant and the Non-Redeemable Warrant between Insignia and Fusion Capital are filed as Exhibits to the 8-K dated October 22, 2002.

Based upon our current forecasts and estimates, our current cash and cash equivalents, together with cash generated from on-going operations and other liquid sources of cash (including the securities subscription and cash generated from the sale of the JVM business to esmertec) may not be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures. If cash currently available from all sources is insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek additional sources of financing including selling additional equity or obtaining convertible debt securities. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of equity or debt securities, these securities could have rights, preferences and privileges senior to holders of our shares, and the terms of such securities could impose restrictions on our operations. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities could result in additional dilution to our shareholders. We may not be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to obtain additional financing as and when needed and on acceptable terms, we may be required to reduce the scope of our planned sales, marketing and product development efforts, which could jeopardize our business. The size of our accumulated deficit, our losses and our ongoing need for capital in order to continue our operations raises substantial doubt as to our ability to continue operations. Failure to reduce expenses and/or obtain additional financing will result in a material adverse effect on our ability to meet our business objectives and continue as a going concern. The report of our independent accountants on our financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K includes an explanatory paragraph as to the uncertainty that we will continue as a going concern.

Risk Factors

In addition to the other information in this report, the following factors should be considered carefully in evaluating our business and prospects:

34

We need additional financing to sustain our operations.

We had a working capital deficit of \$652,000 at March 31, 2003, and our cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash totaled \$214,000 at March 31, 2003. We had an operating cash flow deficit of \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and an operating cash flow deficit of \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2002. The sale of our JVM business to esmertec is expected to provide cash of \$4,150,000 in 2003. Because our current financial resources are not expected to be sufficient to fund our operations or those of our subsidiaries, we need additional funds to continue these operations. There is no assurance that additional financing will be available to us on terms that are acceptable, or at all. These circumstances raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The report of our independent accountants included on our consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K filed on April 15, 2003 includes an explanation paragraph as to the uncertainty that we will continue as a going concern.

We only have the right to receive \$10,000 per trading day, up to an aggregate of \$6 million over a period of 30 months under the agreement with Fusion Capital unless our stock price equals or exceeds \$1.00, in which case the daily amount may be increased, at the option of Insignia, as the price of our shares increases. Fusion Capital will not have the right nor be obligated to purchase any of our shares on any trading days that the market price of our shares is less than \$0.15 per share. Since we intend to initially register 10,000,000 shares for sale by Fusion Capital (in addition to 2,000,000 shares exercisable pursuant to warrants held by Insignia), the selling price of our shares to Fusion Capital will have to average at least \$0.60 per share for us to receive the maximum proceeds of \$6 million without registering additional shares. Assuming a purchase price of \$0.39 per share (the closing sale price per share of our ADSs on October 30, 2002) and the purchase by Fusion Capital of the full 10,000,000 shares under the securities subscription agreement with Insignia, proceeds to us would be \$3.9 million unless we choose to register more than 10,000,000 shares, which we have the right, but not the obligation, to do.

In order to be in compliance with Nasdaq rules, we could not sell our ordinary shares to Fusion Capital at a price below \$0.38, which represents the greater of the book value per share of our ordinary shares as of September 30, 2002 or the closing sale price per share of our ADSs on October 16, 2002. As of March 31, 2003, the closing market price of our shares was \$0.22 per share. If we elect to sell our shares to Fusion Capital at a price per share below \$0.38, we first would be required to obtain shareholder approval in order to be in compliance with the Nasdaq rules. Under the laws of England and Wales, we are not permitted to sell our ADSs at a purchase price that is less than the nominal value of our ordinary shares. Currently, the nominal value per ordinary share is £0.20. As a result, we do not anticipate selling any shares under our agreement with Fusion Capital until the market price of our shares increases from current levels. In addition, the sale of our shares to Fusion Capital under the Agreement is subject to other conditions which have not been met.

The extent we rely on Fusion Capital as a source of funding will depend on a number of factors including our financial performance and ability to generate revenues, the prevailing market price of our shares and the extent to which we are able to secure working capital from other sources. If obtaining sufficient financing from Fusion Capital were to prove prohibitively expensive, we may need to secure another source of funding in order to satisfy our working capital needs. Even if we are able to access the full \$6.0 million under the securities subscription agreement with Fusion Capital, we may still need additional capital to implement our business, operating and development plans.

Such additional financing may not be available on favorable terms, if at all. Further, if we issue additional equity securities, security holders may experience dilution, and the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our ordinary shares.

If our stock price does not exceed \$1.00 per share or if our shareholders equity does not increase, we could be delisted from Nasdaq.

During the twelve months ended May 5, 2003, the closing price of our shares ranged from a high of \$1.58 to a low of \$0.20. Under the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market, our stock price must remain above \$1.00 per share for continued quotation of our shares on the Nasdaq National Market or the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. We received a letter from Nasdaq notifying us that we were not in compliance with this requirement and that we would be provided 90 calendar days, or until December 11, 2002, to regain compliance. In connection with this notification, we transferred the listing of our shares from the Nasdaq National Market to the Nasdaq SmallCap Market on January 9, 2003 to obtain additional time and flexibility to meet this requirement. In addition, as of March 31, 2003, we had a negative total shareholders equity of \$525,000. In order to maintain our listing on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, we are required to have total shareholders equity of at least \$2.5 million. As a result, our shares could be delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, which could adversely affect our ability to raise additional funds.

The sale of our shares to Fusion Capital may cause dilution, and the sale of the shares by Fusion Capital could cause the price of our shares to decline.

The purchase price for the shares to be issued to Fusion Capital pursuant to the securities subscription agreement with Fusion Capital will fluctuate based on the price of our shares. All shares sold to Fusion Capital under the securities subscription agreement will be freely tradable. Fusion Capital may sell none, some or all of the shares purchased from us at any time. We expect that the shares to be sold to Fusion Capital will be sold over a period of up to 30 months from the effective date of the registration statement filed in connection with the transaction.

Depending upon market liquidity at the time, a sale of such shares at any given time could cause the trading price of our shares to decline. The sale of a substantial number of shares, or anticipation of such sales, could make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and at a price that we might otherwise wish to effect sales.

We may never achieve profitability if our SSP product line does not reach desired sales goals.

Our future performance depends upon sales of products within our SSP product line. Revenues related to the Jeode product line, which was sold to esmertec in April 2003, accounted for 100% of our total revenue of \$379,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003. As a result, the SSP product line is our only product line and we expect to rely upon sales of the SSP product for our revenue in the future. The SSP product became available for lab and market trials in early 2003 and is expected to be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003. We have reduced our current expense levels, which will require total revenues of more than \$1.5 million per quarter to achieve an operating profit. SSP may not achieve or sustain market acceptance or provide the desired revenue levels.

The long and complex process of licensing our SSP product may make our revenue unpredictable.

Our revenue is dependent upon our ability to license SSP product to third parties. Licensing our SSP product is expected to be a long and complex process, which could take longer than the typical six to nine months. Before committing to license our products, potential customers must generally consider a wide range of issues including product benefits, infrastructure requirements, ability to work with existing systems, functionality and reliability. The process of entering into a development license with a company typically involves lengthy negotiations. Because of the sales cycle, it is difficult for us to predict when, or if, a particular prospect might sign a license agreement. Development license fees may be delayed or reduced because of this process. The SSP product became available for lab and market trials in early 2003 and will be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003. We have limited history with sales initiatives for new products.

If products that integrate our SSP technology do not sell well, we will not receive royalty payments on our licenses.

Our success depends upon the use of our technology by our licensees in their smart devices. Our licensees undertake a lengthy process of developing systems that use our technology. Until a licensee has sales of its systems incorporating our technology, they will not create commercial use royalties to us. We expect that the period of time between entering into a development license and actually recognizing commercial use royalties to be lengthy and difficult to predict.

If our license agreement with Sun Microsystems, Inc. is terminated or expires without renewal, we would not be able to market our Jeode product line through the Master Distribution License Agreement with esmertec.

In the first quarter of 1999, we signed a five-year Technology License and Distribution Agreement (Distribution Agreement) with Sun Microsystems, Inc. under which Sun established Insignia as a Sun authorized virtual machine provider. The Distribution Agreement also grants us immediate access to the Java compatibility test suite and the Java technology source code. The Distribution Agreement includes technology sharing and compatibility verification. Under the Distribution Agreement, we are required to pay Sun a per unit royalty on each Jeode-enabled smart device shipped by our customers, plus a royalty on all development licenses between our customers and us. In the third quarter of 2001, we amended our Distribution Agreement with Sun. The Distribution Amendment expanded the scope of the licensed technology, changed the royalty rate, limited the royalty obligations to only per units licensed, established a prepaid royalty schedule and extended the expiration date of the contract from March 2004 to June 2004. If the Distribution Agreement with Sun terminates or expires without renewal, we would not be able to market our Jeode product line through the Master Distribution License Agreement with esmertec. Any disruption in our relationship with Sun would likely impair our royalty sales of Jeode from esmertec. In addition, any termination of the Distribution Agreement with Sun would likely adversely impact our ability to receive amounts to be paid under our agreement with esmertec in connection with the sale of the JVM business.

We also license software development tool products from other companies to distribute with some of our products. These third parties may not be able to provide competitive products with adequate features and high quality on a timely basis or to provide sales and marketing cooperation. Further, our products compete with products produced by some of our licensors.

When these licenses terminate or expire, continued license rights might not be available to us on reasonable terms. We might not be able to obtain similar products to substitute into our tool suites. Through the date of this report, we have not experienced any major problems with our third-party licenses.

We have a history of losses and we must generate significantly greater revenue if we are to achieve profitability.

We have experienced operating losses in each quarter since the second quarter of 1996. To achieve profitability, we will have to increase our revenue significantly. Our ability to increase revenues depends upon the success of our SSP product line. SSP will be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003 and it may not achieve market acceptance. If we are unable to create revenues from SSP in the form of development license fees, maintenance and support fees, commercial use royalties and nonrecurring engineering services, our current revenues will be insufficient to sustain our business.

For the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2003 we spent 49% and 170%, respectively, of our total revenues on sales and marketing. We expect to continue to incur disproportionately high sales and marketing expenses in the future. To market SSP effectively, we must develop client and server channel markets. We will continue to incur the expenses for a sales and marketing infrastructure before we recognize significant revenue from sales of the product. Because customers in the smart device market tend to remain with the same vendor over time, we believe that we must devote significant resources to each potential sale. If potential customers do not design our products into their systems, the resources we have devoted to the sales prospect would be lost. If we fail to achieve and sustain significant increases in our quarterly sales, we may not be able to continue to increase our investment in these areas. With increased expenses, we must significantly increase our revenues if we are to become profitable.

If our new products or product enhancements fail to achieve customer acceptance, or if we fail to manage product transitions, our business reputation will likely suffer and revenues may decline.

The market for mobile devices is fragmented and characterized by technological change, evolving industry standards and rapid changes in customer requirements. Our existing products will become less competitive or obsolete if we fail to introduce new products or product enhancements that anticipate the features and functionality that customers demand. The success of our new product introductions will depend on our ability to:

accurately anticipate industry trends and changes in technology standards;

complete and introduce new product designs and features in a timely manner;

continue to enhance our existing product lines;

respond promptly to customers requirements and preferences.

The introduction of new or enhanced products also requires that we manage the transition from older products to minimize disruption in customer ordering patterns. We have had difficulty managing the transition from older products in the past. For example, between 1995 and 1999, we transitioned from our SoftWindows product line to our NTRIGUE product line and began

38

preparations for our Jeode product line. During this same period our yearly revenues dropped from a high of \$55.1 million in 1995 to a low of \$6.8 million in 1999. The decrease in revenues was partly because we did not timely introduce new products which could compensate for the decreasing demand for our SoftWindows product line. Development delays are commonplace in the software industry. We have experienced delays in the development of new products and the enhancement of existing products in the past and are likely to experience delays in the future. We may not be successful in developing and marketing, on a timely basis or at all, competitive products, product enhancements and new products that respond to technological change, changes in customer requirements and emerging industry standards.

In addition, we also face significant risks associated with the development and future deployment of our SSP products and the successful execution of the related business strategy. With the sale of the JVM business to esmertec, the SSP product is our sole product line. During 2001, we began development of a range of SSP products for the mobile handset and wireless carrier industry. These SSP products build on our position as a VM supplier for manufacturers of mobile devices and allow wireless carriers to build valuable Over-The-Air Repair and dynamic capability services. The SSP product became available for lab and market trials in early calendar 2003 and is expected to be available for shipment to customers in the second half of 2003. We have limited history with sales initiatives for new products. Additionally, the sales cycle for the SSP products is expected to take longer than the typical six to nine month cycle of the Jeode product.

Our targeted market is highly competitive.

Our SSP product line is targeted for the mobile operator and mobile device market. The market for these products is fragmented and highly competitive. This market is also rapidly changing and there are many companies creating products that compete or will compete with ours. As the industry develops, we expect competition to increase in the future. This competition may come from existing competitors or other companies that we do not yet know about. Our main competitors include Bitfone and DoOnGo. The Jeode product line is targeted at the emerging Java-based smart device marketplaces, which are rapidly changing and are characterized by an increasing number of new entrants whose products compete with the Jeode platform.

If these competitors develop products that are cheaper or provide better capabilities or functionality than our SSP product line, our market share will drop. Many of our current competitors and potential competitors have greater resources than we do, and we might not be able to compete successfully against these companies. Competition could force us to reduce the prices of our products, which would result in reduced profit margins and could harm our ability to provide adequate service to our customers. Our pricing model for our software products is based on a derivative usage model with integration and support and maintenance fees, and may be subject to significant pricing pressures, including buy-out arrangements. Also, the market may demand alternative pricing models in the future. A variety of other potential actions by our competitors, including increased promotion and accelerated introduction of new or enhanced products, could also harm our competitive position.

Fluctuations in our quarterly results could cause the market price of our shares to decline.

Our quarterly operating results can vary significantly depending on a number of factors. These factors include:

39

the volume and timing of orders received during the quarter;
the mix of and changes in customers to whom our products are sold;
the mix of product and service revenue received during the quarter;
the mix of development license fees and commercial use royalties received;
the timing and acceptance of new products and product enhancements by us or by our competitors;
changes in product pricing;
buyouts of commercial use licenses;
product life cycles;
the level of our sales of third-party products;
variances in costs in fixed price contracts;
purchasing patterns of customers;
competitive conditions in the industry;
foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;

business cycles and economic conditions that affect the markets for our products; and

extraordinary events, such as litigation, and related charges.

All of these factors are difficult to forecast. Our future operating results may fluctuate due to these and other factors, including our ability to continue to develop innovative and competitive products. An increasing amount of our sales orders involve products and services that yield revenue over multiple quarters or upon completion of performance. If license agreements entered into during a quarter do not meet our revenue recognition criteria, even if we meet or exceed our forecast of aggregate licensing and other contracting activity, it is possible that our revenues would not meet expectations. Due to all of these factors, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and should not be viewed as an indication of our future performance. In the past, we have experienced actual performance that did not meet financial market expectations. It is likely that, in some future quarters, our operating results will again be below the expectations of stock market analysts and investors.

International sales of our products, which we expect to comprise a significant portion of total revenues, expose us to the business and economic risks of international operations.

Sales from outside of the United States accounted for approximately 22% and 5% of our total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and are expected to increase with the SSP product. We expect to market SSP to mobile operators and terminal manufacturers in Europe. Economic conditions in Europe generally and fluctuations

in the value of the euro against the U.S. dollar and British pound sterling could impair our revenues and results of operations. International operations are subject to a number of other risks. These risks include:
foreign government regulation;
reduced protection of intellectual property rights in some countries where we do business;
longer receivable collection periods and greater difficulty in accounts receivable collection;
unexpected changes in, or imposition of, regulatory requirements, tariffs, import and export restrictions and other barriers and restrictions;
potentially adverse tax consequences;
the burdens of complying with a variety of foreign laws and staffing and managing foreign operations;
general geopolitical risks, such as political and economic instability, hostilities with neighboring countries an changes in diplomatic and trade relationships; and
possible recessionary environments in economies outside the United States.
Product defects can be expensive to fix and may cause us to lose customers.

Our software products, like all software products, may have undetected errors or compatibility problems. This is particularly true when a product is first introduced or a new version is released. Despite thorough testing, our products might be shipped with errors. If this were to happen, our products could be rejected by customers, or there might be costly delays in correcting the problems. Our products are increasingly used in systems that interact directly with the general public, such as in transportation and medical systems. In systems such as these, the failure of our product could cause substantial property damage or personal injury, which would expose us to product liability claims. Our products are used for applications in business systems where the failure of our product could be linked to substantial economic loss. Our agreements with our customers typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential product liability and other claims. It is likely, however, that these provisions are not effective in all circumstances and in all jurisdictions. We may not have adequate insurance against product liability

risks and renewal of our insurance may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms. Further, our errors and omissions insurance may not be adequate to cover claims.

As of the date of this report, we have not had any product liability claims or recalls against our Jeode line of products. However, a product liability claim or claim for economic loss brought against us in the future could lead to unexpected large expenses and lost sales. Also, if we ever had to recall our product due to errors or other problems, it would cost us a great deal of time, effort and expense. Our operations depend on our ability to protect our computer equipment and the information stored in our databases against damage by fire, natural disaster, power loss, telecommunications failure, unauthorized intrusion and other catastrophic events. We believe we

41

have taken prudent measures to reduce the risk of interruption in our operations. However, these measures might not be sufficient. As of the date of this report, we have not experienced any major interruptions in our operations because of a catastrophic event.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to hire additional qualified personnel as necessary, we may not be able to successfully manage our business or sell our products.

Our future performance depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our key management, product development, sales, marketing and operations personnel. We do not have agreements with any of our key personnel that require them to work for us for a specific term, and we do not maintain any key person life insurance policies. We believe our future success will also depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, engineering, sales, marketing and operations personnel, many of whom are in great demand. Competition for qualified personnel can be intense in the San Francisco bay area, where our U.S. operations are headquartered.

If we fail to protect our intellectual property rights, competitors could introduce similar or superior products, and we could lose market share.

We depend on our proprietary technology. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, it may be possible for unauthorized third parties to copy our products or to reverse engineer or obtain and use information that we consider proprietary. Our competitors could independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technologies. Policing unauthorized use of our products is difficult, and while we are unable to determine the extent to which software piracy of our products exists, software piracy can be expected to be a persistent problem. Effective protection of intellectual property rights may be unavailable or limited in foreign countries. The status of U.S. patent protection in the software industry is not well defined and will evolve as the United States Patent and Trademark Office grants additional patents. Patents have been granted on fundamental technologies in software, and patents may issue that relate to fundamental technologies incorporated into our products.

Our products may infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, which may result in lawsuits and prevent us from selling our products.

As the number of patents, copyrights, trademarks and other intellectual property rights in our industry increases, products based on our technology may increasingly become the subject of infringement claims. Third parties could assert infringement claims against us in the future. Infringement claims with or without merit could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, might not be available on terms acceptable to us. We may initiate claims or litigation against third parties for infringement of our proprietary rights or to establish the validity of our proprietary rights. Litigation to determine the validity of any claims, whether or not the litigation is resolved in our favor, could result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel from productive tasks. If there is an adverse ruling against us in any litigation, we may be required to pay substantial damages, discontinue the use and sale of infringing products, expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology or obtain licenses to infringing technology. Our failure to develop or license a substitute technology could prevent us from selling our products.

Some security holders have rights under their warrants to purchase significant numbers of American Depository Shares (ADSs) at nominal value upon the occurrence of specified events which, if triggered, would dilute the ownership interests of existing security holders.

Some security holders have rights to be issued additional ADSs by Insignia if the registration statements covering their shares and the shares underlying their warrants are suspended for more than 60 days in any 12 month period. We must issue a total of 252,000 ADSs if we suspend registration statement number 333-51234 for more than the 60 days in any 12 month period, and a total of 65,800 ADSs if we suspend registration statement number 333-57528 for more than the 60 days in any 12 month period. We have not suspended registration statements for more than 60 days in any 12 month period in the past. However, because registration statement number 333-57528 was not declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission by May 14, 2001, we made available to certain investors an additional total of 65,800 ADSs. Three of four investors have purchased their ADSs and one investor has yet to purchase the shares available to it. The purchase price shareholders have paid or will pay per additional ADS is the nominal value, or £0.20 per ADS, which is the lowest amount these shares can be purchased under English law. If we issue additional ADSs under these obligations, the ownership interest of existing shareholders will be diluted.

Some security holders have anti-dilution rights, which, if triggered, would dilute the ownership interests of existing security holders.

Certain investors who participated in our four private placements received warrants which have anti-dilution protections. This means that they are entitled to purchase an additional amount of ordinary shares, in the form of ADSs, if we issue ordinary shares at a price below market price. The anti-dilution protections, if triggered, increase the number of shares which the investors may purchase with their warrants. The exact amount of the increase is not known until the anti-dilution protections are actually triggered. There are two ways the anti-dilution protections can be triggered: (1) if we sell our securities in a transaction, such as a private placement financing, for a price that is lower than the ten day average price of our ADSs before the transaction, or (2) if the warrants issued in those relevant private placements are exercised at a price less than the ten day average price of our ADSs at the time of exercise. The issuance of the warrants to Fusion under the securities subscription agreement triggered these anti-dilution protections. Further, when some of the warrants we issued in the private placements are exercised, anti-dilution protections will likely be triggered. The amount of the anti-dilution adjustment is based on a formula where the lower the price of the our ADSs as quoted on the Nasdaq Stock Market, the greater the potential increase in the number of shares issuable to the warrants due to the anti-dilution protections. Assuming that the warrants are exercised at the lowest exercise price possible under applicable law and pursuant to the terms of their respective agreements, the maximum amount of additional shares issuable to these investors would be approximately 185,000. These anti-dilution adjustments could accelerate and increase the magnitude of a decline in the quoted share price of our ADSs. Warrant holders have the right to purchase an increasing amount of shares during a decline in the price of our ADSs. If the warrant holders exercise their warrants and sell their shares in the open market during this time, downward pressure is added to the price. This can further increase the anti-dilution adjustments for the remaining warrant holders. Short sales of our shares may further the downward pressure on the price of our ADSs. Anti-dilution adjustments and short sales may accelerate and compound a decline in the price of our ADSs. Shareholders will also be diluted as warrant holders gain the right to purchase an increasingly large number of shares due to their anti-dilution protections.

We are at risk of securities litigation which, regardless of the outcome, could result in substantial costs and divert management attention and resources.

The prices for our ADSs have fluctuated widely in the past. During the 12 months ended March 5, 2003, the closing price per share of Insignia ranged from a high of \$1.58 to a recent low of \$0.20. Under the rules of The Nasdaq Stock Market, our stock price must remain above \$1.00 per share for continued quotation of our shares on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Stock price volatility has had a substantial effect on the market prices of securities issued by us and other high technology companies, often for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against high technology companies. We may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Regardless of the outcome, securities litigation may result in substantial costs and divert management attention and resources.

Our investors may have difficulty enforcing judgments against us in U.S. courts because many of our assets and some of our management are located in England.

Insignia is incorporated under the laws of England and Wales. One of our executive officers and two of our directors reside in England. All or a substantial portion of the assets of these persons, and a significant portion of our assets, are located outside of the United States. It may not be possible for investors to serve a complaint within the United States upon these persons or to enforce against them or against us, in U.S. courts, judgments obtained in U.S. courts based upon the civil liability provisions of U.S. securities laws. There is doubt about the enforceability outside of the United States, in original actions or in actions for enforcement of judgments of U.S. courts, of civil liabilities based solely upon U.S. securities laws. The rights of holders of our shares are governed by English law, including the Companies Act 1985, and by our memorandum and articles of association. The rights of holders of our ADSs are also affected by English law. These rights differ from the rights of security holders in typical U.S. corporations.

Insignia has undergone a class action lawsuit and an SEC investigation in the past seven years.

On April 3, 1996, a class-action lawsuit was filed against us alleging that we misrepresented our business, the strength of our sales force and our financial health. The suit stemmed from our failure to achieve the consensus earnings estimates of research analysts in the first quarter following our initial public offering in November 1995. In August 1997, we reached a memorandum of understanding to settle the suits. Although we never agreed with the allegations, we paid \$8.0 million to the plaintiffs, of which our insurance company paid \$7.5 million. In February 1997, we restated our financial results for the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 1996. We revised our revenue and net income numbers downward for these two quarters due to inflated revenues resulting from misstatement of inventory levels of one of our resellers by two of our sales and marketing personnel. We agreed with the SEC to cease and desist from engaging in similar accounting practices. The two Insignia sales and marketing people involved in the revenue misstatement are no longer with Insignia and were forced to pay significant fines. We did not have to pay any fines.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

From time to time, we enter into derivative financial instruments such as currency option contracts to hedge certain anticipated, but not yet committed, transactions expected to be denominated in foreign currencies. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Our downside risk with respect to currency option contracts (British pound sterling) is limited to the premium paid for the right to exercise the option. We did not enter into any currency option hedge contracts in the first quarter of 2003 or the first quarter of 2002 and had no option hedge contracts outstanding as of March 31, 2003 or March 31, 2002.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We have evaluated the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures to determine whether they are effective in ensuring that the disclosure of required information is timely made in accordance with the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. This evaluation was made under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer within the 90-day period prior to the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded, based on their review, that our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined at Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c), are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. No significant changes were made to our internal controls or other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Report:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.78	Asset Purchase Agreement between Insignia Solutions plc (and subsidiaries) and esmertec A.G. dated March 4, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
10.79	Debenture Agreement between Insignia Solutions plc (and subsidiaries) and esmertec A.G. dated February 7, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
10.80	Loan Agreement between Insignia Solutions plc (and subsidiaries) and esmertec A.G. dated February 7, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
10.81	Guaranty Supplement Agreement between Insignia Solutions Inc. and esmertec A.G. dated February 7, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
10.82	Security Agreement between Insignia Solutions Inc. and esmertec A.G. dated February 7, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.5 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
	46
	1 0

10.83	Intellectual Property Agreement between Insignia Solutions plc and esmertec A.G. dated March 4, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.6 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
10.84	Master Distribution and License Agreement between Insignia Solutions plc and smertec A.G. dated March 4, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.7 on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2003)
99.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Chief Executive Officer
99.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Chief Financial Officer
(b) Reports on Form 8-K	
None	
	47

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INSIGNIA SOLUTIONS PLC (Registrant)

Date: May 15, 2003

s/ LINDA C. POTTS LINDA C. POTTS Chief Financial Officer

Signature	Capacity	Date
/s/ Mark E. McMillan Mark E. McMillan	Chief Executive Officer	May 15, 2003
/s/ Linda C. Potts Linda C. Potts	Chief Financial Officer,	May 15, 2003
		48

CERTIFICATIONS UNDER SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Mark E. McMillan, certify that:
1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Insignia Solutions plc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date); and
c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the

registrant s auditors and the audit committee of registrant s Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent

function):

a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have significant role in the registrant s internal controls; and

6. The registrant s other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Dated: May 15, 2003

CERTIFICATIONS UNDER SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Linda C. Potts, certify that:
1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Insignia Solutions plc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date); and
c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
The registrant is other certifying officers and I have disclosed based on our most recent evaluation to the

registrant s auditors and the audit committee of registrant s Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent

function):

- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have significant role in the registrant s internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant s other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Dated: May 15, 2003

/s/ Linda C. Potts Linda C. Potts Chief Financial Officer

50