AMERICAN APPAREL, INC Form PRE 14A March 26, 2013

Use these links to rapidly review the document <u>Table of Contents</u>

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

)

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- ý Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- o Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

American Apparel, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

| | (5) | Total fee paid: | | | |
|---|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|) | Fee pa | aid previously with preliminary materials. | | | |
|) | | Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. | | | |
| | (1) | Amount Previously Paid: | | | |
| | (2) | Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: | | | |
| | (3) | Filing Party: | | | |
| | (4) | Date Filed: | | | |
| | | | | | |

Table of Contents

April 30, 2013

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

We are pleased to invite you to the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of American Apparel, Inc., to be held on June 25, 2013, at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Time, at the offices of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP, Four Times Square, New York, NY 10036.

The matters to be considered and voted upon at the Annual Meeting are described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the Proxy Statement that accompany this letter.

Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are providing access to our 2013 Annual Meeting materials, which include the accompanying Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, over the Internet in lieu of mailing printed copies. We will begin mailing, on or about April 30, 2013, a "Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials" to our stockholders. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (which is different than the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders that accompanies this letter) will contain instructions on how to access and review the 2013 Annual Meeting materials and vote online. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also will contain instructions on how you can request a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, including a proxy card if you are a record holder or a voting instruction form if you are a beneficial owner.

It is very important that your shares be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting. Please read the attached Proxy Statement and vote your shares as soon as possible.

Thank you for your continued support of American Apparel.

Sincerely,

/s/ DOV CHARNEY

Dov Charney

Chairman of the Board

AMERICAN APPAREL, INC.

747 Warehouse Street Los Angeles, California 90021

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS To be held on June 25, 2013

Time and Date: 11:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on Tuesday, June 25, 2013

Place: The offices of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP, Four Times Square, New York, NY 10036

Items of Business:

1. To elect Dov Charney and Marvin Igelman to the Board of Directors, each to serve for a term of three years and

until his successor is duly elected and qualified, or such director's earlier death, resignation or removal.

2. To ratify the appointment of Marcum LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31,

2013.

3. To approve an amendment to the Charney Purchase Agreement to (i) extend the measurement periods under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision by one year and (ii) reduce the number of consecutive trading days for the volume-weighted average price measurements under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision from 60 to 30 days.

4. To approve the Amended and Restated 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, which (i) increases the number of

shares available under the plan from 10,000,000 to 17,500,000 and (ii) increases the maximum number of shares that

may be awarded to any one participant in a given year from 1,500,000 to 3,000,000.

5. To consider and transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Board of Directors Recommendation: The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "**FOR**" the election of each nominee for the Board of Directors and "**FOR**" Items 2, 3 and 4.

Adjournments and Postponements: Any action on the items of business described above may be considered at the Annual Meeting at the time and on the date specified above or at any time and date to which the Annual Meeting may be properly adjourned or postponed.

Record Date: You are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof only if you were a holder of record of shares of American Apparel, Inc. common stock as of the close of business on April 26, 2013. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization, that organization is considered the record holder for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting and will provide you with instructions on how you can direct that organization to vote your shares.

Internet Access to Proxy Materials: Under rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are providing access to our 2013 Annual Meeting materials, which include the accompanying Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, over the Internet in lieu of mailing printed copies. We will begin mailing, on or about April 30, 2013, a "Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials" (which is different than this Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders) to our stockholders. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will contain instructions on how to access and review the 2013 Annual Meeting materials and vote online. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also will contain instructions on how you can request a printed copy of

Table of Contents

the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, including a proxy card if you are a record holder or a voting instruction form if you are beneficial owner.

Voting: Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to read the accompanying Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K and vote as soon as possible. For specific instructions on how to vote your shares, please refer to the instructions in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and the section entitled "Questions and Answers about the Proxy Materials and Annual Meeting" beginning on page 2 of the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Admission: Space limitations make it necessary to limit attendance at the Annual Meeting to stockholders and one guest. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization and you wish to attend the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a letter from that brokerage firm, bank or similar organization confirming your beneficial ownership of the shares as of the record date and bring it to the Annual Meeting. Admission to the Annual Meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Cameras and recording devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting.

The Annual Meeting will begin promptly at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Time. Registration will begin at 10:30 a.m., Eastern Time.

Sincerely,

/s/ GLENN A. WEINMAN

Glenn A. Weinman

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Los Angeles, California April 30, 2013

Table of Contents

| Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders Proxy Statement | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Proposal 1: Election of Class C Directors | 2 | |
| Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors | 9 | |
| Relationship with Independent Auditors | | |
| Report of the Audit Committee | <u>12</u> | |
| Proposal 3: Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment | <u>13</u> | |
| Proposal 4: Approval of the Amended and Restated American Apparel, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan | <u>14</u> | |
| <u>Directors and Executive Officers</u> | <u>16</u> | |
| Corporate Governance and Board Matters | 24 28 | |
| Process and Procedures for Determination of Executive and Director Compensation | | |
| Compensation of Directors | <u>36</u> | |
| Director Compensation Fiscal 2012 | <u>39</u> | |
| Compensation Discussion and Analysis | <u>39</u> 41 | |
| Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation | | |
| Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation | | |
| Summary Compensation Table | <u>46</u> | |
| Equity Compensation Plan Information | <u>47</u> | |
| Grants of Plan-Based Awards | <u>49</u> | |
| Outstanding Equity Awards and Fiscal Year-End | <u>50</u> | |
| Options Exercised and Stock Vested | <u>51</u> | |
| Beneficial Ownership of Shares | <u>52</u> | |
| Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | <u>55</u> | |
| Other Matters | <u>57</u> | |
| Other Business | <u>61</u> | |
| Information Concerning Stockholder Proposals | 61 | |
| Annex A | <u>62</u> | |
| Proxy Card | <u>A-1</u> | |

AMERICAN APPAREL, INC.

747 Warehouse Street Los Angeles, California 90021

PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on June 25, 2013

OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A:

This proxy statement (this "Proxy Statement"), together with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (our "Annual Report"), is being made available to stockholders commencing on or about April 30, 2013 in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors" or the "Board") of American Apparel, Inc. (the "Company" or "American Apparel") of proxies for use at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any adjournments or postponements thereof (the "Annual Meeting") to be held at the offices of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP, Four Times Square, New York, NY 10036, on Tuesday, June 25, 2013, at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Time, for the purposes set forth in this Proxy Statement and in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Q: Will I be receiving printed copies of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials?

A:

You will not receive printed copies unless you request them by following the instructions in the "Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials" (the "Notice") that you will receive in the mail. The Notice is different than the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders that accompanies this Proxy Statement. We will begin mailing the Notice to stockholders on or about April 30, 2013.

Under rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC", we are providing access to our 2013 Annual Meeting materials, which include this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report, over the Internet in lieu of mailing printed copies. The Notice will contain instructions on how to access and review the 2013 Annual Meeting materials and vote online. This electronic access process is designed to expedite stockholders' receipt of materials, lower the cost of the Annual Meeting and help conserve natural resources. The Company encourages you to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the Internet.

The Notice also will contain instructions on how you can request a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, including a proxy card if you are a record holder or a voting instruction form if you are a beneficial owner. By following the instructions in the Notice, you may request to receive, at no cost, a printed copy in paper or via e-mail of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials and materials for future proxy solicitations. Your request to receive materials in paper or via e-mail will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Q:
 I share an address with another stockholder, and we received only one copy of the Notice. How may I obtain a separate copy of the Notice?

A:

The Company has adopted a procedure called "householding," which the SEC has approved. Under this procedure, the Company may deliver a single copy of the Notice to stockholders who share the same address unless the Company has received contrary instructions from one or more

Table of Contents

of the stockholders. This procedure reduces the Company's printing costs, mailing costs and fees. All stockholders have the ability to access the 2013 Annual Meeting materials on the website referred to in the Notice. If you would like to receive a separate copy of the Notice, please submit your request to:

American Apparel, Inc. Attn: Investor Relations 747 Warehouse Street Los Angeles, California 90021 (213) 488-0226

Similarly, if you share an address with another stockholder and received multiple copies of the Notice, you may write or call us at the above address and phone number to make arrangements to receive a single copy of the Notice at the shared address in the future.

In addition, if you share the same address with another stockholder and request a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, you may write or call us at the above address to request that a separate copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials be delivered to each stockholder at the shared address.

Stockholders who hold shares in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization may contact their brokerage firm, bank or other similar organization to request information about householding.

Q: What does it mean if I get more than one Notice?

A:

If your shares are registered differently and are in more than one account, you may receive more than one Notice. Please follow the instructions printed on each Notice that you receive and vote the shares represented by each Notice to ensure that all of your shares are voted. If you requested to receive a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, please follow the voting instructions on the proxy cards or voting instruction forms, as applicable, and vote all proxy cards or voting instruction forms, as applicable, to ensure that all of your shares are voted. We encourage you to have all accounts registered in the same name and address whenever possible. You can accomplish this by contacting our transfer agent at:

Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company 17 Battery Place New York, NY 10004 (212) 509-4000, extension 206 continentalstock.com cstmail@continentalstock.com

Q: How can I get electronic access to the 2013 Annual Meeting materials?

A:

The Notice will provide you with instructions regarding how to view the 2013 Annual Meeting materials on the Internet.

This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report are also available without charge on the Company's website at *investors.americanapparel.net* and the SEC's website at *sec.gov*. By referring to our website, we do not incorporate the website or any portion of the website by reference into this Proxy Statement.

The Notice will also contain instructions on how you can elect to receive future proxy materials electronically by e-mail. Choosing to receive future proxy materials by e-mail will save the Company the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of the

Table of Contents

Company's annual meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by e-mail, you will receive an e-mail message next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. Your election to receive proxy materials by e-mail will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Q: How may I obtain a copy of the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K?

Our Annual Report will be made available over the Internet as set forth in the Notice. You may also request, without charge, a paper or e-mail copy of the Annual Report by following the instructions in the Notice. In addition, you may obtain, without charge, a copy of the Annual Report from the SEC's website at *sec.gov* or the Company's website at *investors.americanapparel.net*. By referring to our website, we do not incorporate the website or any portion of the website by reference into this Proxy Statement.

Q: What items will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

A:

- (1) The election of each of Messrs. Charney and Igelman to the Board of Directors, each to serve for a term of three years and until his successor is duly elected and qualified, or such director's earlier death, resignation or removal. This proposal is referred to as "Proposal 1."
- (2) The ratification of the appointment of Marcum LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. This proposal is referred to as "Proposal 2."
- (3) The approval of an amendment to the Charney Purchase Agreement to (i) extend the measurement periods under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision by one year and (ii) reduce the number of consecutive trading days for the volume-weighted average price measurements under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision from 60 to 30 days. This proposal is referred to as "Proposal 3."
- (4) The approval the Amended and Restated 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, which (i) increases the number of shares available under the plan from 10,000,000 to 17,500,000 and (ii) increases the maximum number of shares that may be awarded to any one participant in a given year from 1,500,000 to 3,000,000. This proposal is referred to as "Proposal 4."
- (5) Such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting. This proposal is referred to as "Proposal 5."

The stockholders of the Company have no dissenters' or appraisal rights in connection with any of the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Q: How does the Board recommend I vote on the proposals?

A:

The Board recommends a vote FOR the election of each of Messrs. Charney and Igelman to the Board of Directors, each to serve for a term of three years and until his successor is duly elected and qualified, or such director's earlier death, resignation or removal.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Marcum LLP as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2013.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of the amendment to the Charney Purchase Agreement.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of the Amended and Restated 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents

O: Who is entitled to vote?

A:

Only holders of record of common stock (the "Common Stock") of the Company as of the close of business on April 26, 2013 (the "Record Date") are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization, that organization is considered the record holder for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting and will provide you with instructions on how to direct that organization to vote your shares. See "What if my shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization?" below.

Q: How many shares can I vote?

A:

As of March 25, 2013, 107,622,241 shares of Common Stock, the only outstanding voting securities of the Company, were issued and outstanding. Each record holder of Common Stock is entitled to one vote for each share held.

O: How do I vote?

A:

There are four ways to vote:

Voting in Person. To vote in person, you must attend the Annual Meeting and follow the procedures for voting announced at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization, you must present a signed proxy from that organization in order to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Voting by Internet. You may vote by proxy over the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice.

Voting by Telephone. If you requested a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, you may vote by proxy by calling the toll free number found on the proxy card or voting instruction form, as applicable.

Voting by Mail. If you requested a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, you may vote by proxy by mail by following the instructions on the proxy card or voting instruction form, as applicable.

Q: Can I mark my votes on the Notice and send it back to the Company or my broker?

A:

No. The Notice is not a ballot. You cannot use it to vote your shares. If you mark your vote on the Notice and send it back to the Company or your broker, your vote will not count.

Q: Can I change my vote after I have voted?

A:

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting by voting again by proxy as described above (only your latest, properly completed proxy submitted, whether by mail, telephone or the Internet, prior to the Annual Meeting will be counted) or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. However, your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy unless you vote again at the Annual Meeting or specifically request in writing that your prior proxy be revoked by delivering to the Company's Secretary at 747 Warehouse Street, Los Angeles, California 90021 a written notice of revocation prior to the Annual Meeting.

Q: What if my shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization?

A:

If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name," and the Notice was forwarded to you by

Table of Contents

that organization. The organization holding your account is considered the record holder for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account, and that organization will provide you with instructions on how to do so. If you requested a printed copy of the 2013 Annual Meeting materials, you will receive a voting instruction form from your brokerage firm, bank or similar organization instead of a proxy card, and you should follow the instructions on the voting instruction form.

If you do not provide the organization that holds your shares with specific voting instructions, under the rules of the NYSE MKT LLC (the "NYSE MKT") in effect as of the date of this Proxy Statement, that organization generally may vote on routine matters but cannot vote on non-routine matters. Non-routine matters include Proposals 1, 3 and 4. If the organization that holds your shares does not receive instructions from you on how to vote your shares on a non-routine matter, that organization will inform the inspector of elections that it does not have the authority to vote on that matter with respect to your shares. This is generally referred to as a "broker non-vote." A broker non-vote will have the effects described under "What is a quorum?" and "What is required to approve each proposal?" below.

Q: What is a quorum?

A:

A quorum must have been established in order to consider any matter.

For Proposal 1, directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast. Therefore, the two candidates for director receiving the most votes will become directors of the Company. Stockholders may not cumulate their votes. Any broker non-votes and any proxies marked "Withhold" with respect to the election of one or more directors will not count as "votes cast" with respect to the director or directors indicated and therefore will be disregarded for purposes of determining the outcome of this proposal.

Proposal 2, the ratification of our independent auditors, requires the affirmative "for" vote of a majority of those shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on this proposal at the Annual Meeting. Any abstentions with respect to this proposal will count as votes against this proposal.

Proposal 3, the approval of an amendment to the Charney Purchase Agreement to (i) extend the measurement periods under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision by one year and (ii) reduce the number of consecutive trading days for the volume-weighted average price measurements under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision from 60 to 30 days, requires the affirmative "for" vote of a majority of those shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on this proposal at the Annual Meeting. Any abstentions with respect to this proposal will count as votes against this proposal. Any broker non-votes with respect to this proposal will not count as shares entitled to vote on this proposal and therefore will be disregarded for purposes of determining the outcome of the vote on this proposal.

Proposal 4, the approval the Amended and Restated 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, which (i) increases the number of shares available under the plan from 10,000,000 to 17,500,000 and (ii) increases the maximum number of shares that may be awarded to any one participant in a given year from 1,500,000 to 3,000,000, requires the affirmative "for" vote of a majority of those shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on this proposal at the Annual Meeting. Any abstentions with respect to this proposal will count as votes against this proposal. Any broker non-votes with respect to this proposal will not count as shares entitled to vote on this proposal and therefore will be disregarded for purposes of determining the outcome of the vote on this proposal.

Table of Contents

Dov Charney, the beneficial owner of approximately 43% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock and voting power of the Company as of March 25, 2013, has informed the Company that he intends to vote in favor of the election of himself and Mr. Igelman to the Board of Directors and in favor of Proposals 2, 3 and 4. For more information on shares owned by Mr. Charney and other directors and executive officers of the Company, see "Beneficial Ownership of Shares" herein.

Q: How will voting on any other business be conducted?

A:

Although we do not know of any business to be considered at the Annual Meeting other than the proposals described in this Proxy Statement, if any other business is presented at the Annual Meeting, your signed proxy or your authenticated Internet or telephone proxy, will give authority to each of Dov Charney, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, John. J. Luttrell, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and Glenn A. Weinman, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, to vote on such matters at their discretion.

Q:

What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year's annual meeting of stockholders or to nominate individuals to serve as directors?

A:

You may submit proposals, including director nominations, for consideration at future stockholder meetings as follows:

Stockholder Proposals: For a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the written proposal must be delivered to or mailed and received by the Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices no later than December 28, 2013. If the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of the Annual Meeting, the deadline for inclusion of proposals in our proxy statement instead will be a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. Such proposals also will need to comply with Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), regarding the inclusion of stockholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. Proposals should be addressed to:

American Apparel, Inc. Attn: Glenn A. Weinman, Secretary 747 Warehouse Street Los Angeles, California 90021 (213) 488-0226

For a stockholder proposal that is not intended to be included in the Company's proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, written notice of the proposal, which notice must include the information required by the Company's bylaws (the "Bylaws", must be received by the Company's Secretary:

Not earlier than the close of business on the 90th day prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders; and

Not later than the close of business on the 60th day prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

If less than 70 days' notice or prior public disclosure of the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is given or made to stockholders, then notice of a stockholder proposal that is not intended to be included in the Company's proxy statement under Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act must be received no later than the close of business on the tenth day following the date on which notice of the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is mailed to the stockholders

Table of Contents

or the date on which public disclosure of the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is made, whichever is first.

Nomination of Director Candidates: You may propose director candidates for consideration by the Board's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or you may nominate director candidates directly at an annual meeting in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Bylaws, as summarized under the caption "Corporate Governance and Board Matters Consideration of Director Nominees Stockholder Nominees" herein.

Copy of Bylaw Provisions: You may contact the Company's Secretary at our principal executive offices for a copy of the relevant Bylaw provisions regarding the requirements for making stockholder proposals and nominating director candidates.

Q: How is the Company soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting?

A:

This solicitation is made via the Internet on behalf of the Board of Directors. Costs of the solicitation will be borne by the Company. Further solicitation of proxies may be made by telephone, mail, facsimile or personal interview by the directors, officers and employees of the Company and its affiliates, who will not receive additional compensation for the solicitation. The Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in forwarding proxy materials to stockholders.

Q: How can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

A:

We intend to announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and will publish final results in our Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days after the Annual Meeting.

Q:

How may I communicate with the Company's Board or the non-management directors on the Company's Board?

A:

You may communicate with the Board by submitting an e-mail to the Company's Board at *bod@americanapparel.net*. All directors have access to this e-mail address.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF CLASS C DIRECTORS

Pursuant to the Company's certificate of incorporation, the Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors serving staggered terms (Classes A, B and C). One class of directors is elected at each annual meeting of stockholders for a three-year term, and those directors will hold office until their successors have been duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, resignation or removal. The Bylaws authorize a Board of Directors consisting of not less than one or more than nine directors. The Board of Directors currently consists of seven members: Messrs. Dov Charney, Alberto Chehebar, David Danziger, Robert Greene, Marvin Igelman, Allan Mayer and William Mauer. We currently have two vacancies on the Board of Directors one Class B vacancy and one Class C vacancy which we are required to reserve for designees of Lion (as defined below) as discussed herein under "Directors and Officers." Proxies may only be voted for the Class C Nominees and may not be voted for the election of directors to the Lion board designee vacancies.

The terms of Messrs. Charney and Igelman will expire at the Annual Meeting. After careful consideration of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills of each director and director nominee, the Board has nominated Dov Charney and Marvin Igelman (the "Class C Nominees") for reelection at the Annual Meeting. Mr. Igelman currently meets the criteria to qualify as an independent director according to SEC regulations and NYSE MKT listing standards.

If elected, each of the Class C Nominees will serve for a term of three years and until his successor is duly elected and qualified at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or such director's earlier death, resignation or removal.

Each of the Class C Nominees has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and has agreed to serve as a member of the Board of Directors if elected. If any of the Class C Nominees is unable to serve, which is not anticipated, the persons named as proxies intend to vote for such other person or persons as the Board of Directors may designate in accordance with the Investment Agreement and the Investment Voting Agreement described below. In no event will the shares represented by the proxies be voted for more than two nominees at the Annual Meeting.

The names and certain information concerning each of the Class C Nominees, including their experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, are set forth below, and the names and certain information regarding the continuing directors whose terms expire in 2014 and 2015 are set forth under the heading "Directors and Executive Officers" herein.

Dov Charney has served as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and a director of American Apparel since December 12, 2007, and served as President of American Apparel from December 2007 until October 2010. Prior thereto, Mr. Charney served as founder, director, chief executive officer and president of American Apparel's predecessor companies since their formation in Columbia, South Carolina, in 1989. Mr. Charney is a graduate of Choate Rosemary Hall and attended Tufts University. Having founded Old American Apparel (as defined under "Corporate Governance and Board Matters" below) and its predecessor companies and having served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2007 and as President of the Company from 2007 until October 2010, Mr. Charney provides our Board with an informed perspective on the Company and the apparel industry.

Pursuant to the 2009 Investment Voting Agreement (described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" herein), for so long as Lion has the right to designate any person or persons to the Board of Directors, Lion has agreed to vote its shares of Common Stock in favor of Mr. Charney each time Mr. Charney is nominated for election to the Board of Directors, provided that Lion's obligation to so vote terminates under certain circumstances as described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" herein.

Table of Contents

Marvin Igelman was elected a director of American Apparel by the Board of Directors on June 24, 2011, and his election as a director became effective on July 1, 2011. Mr. Igelman served as a director and the Chief Strategy Officer of Poynt Corporation, a Canadian company that offers mobile location-based search services, from February 2010 to June 2011. Poynt Corporation filed for bankruptcy under Canadian law on July 5, 2012, and a receiver was appointed over that company on November 1, 2012. From May 2006 to February 2010, Mr. Igelman served as the Chief Executive Officer of Unomobi Incorporated, a mobile advertising and messaging platform he founded, which was acquired by Poynt Corporation in February 2010. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Igelman served as a business development consultant for numerous technology companies, and established a number of other ventures, including founding Unomobi Incorporated. Mr. Igelman is a graduate of Toronto's Osgoode Hall Law School.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors believe that Mr. Igelman's leadership experience attained at multiple companies and his experience in business development, combined with the leadership skills and experiences of our other Board members, provides the Company with the perspectives and judgment necessary to guide the Company's strategy and monitor its execution.

Vote Required

The Class C Nominees will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Dov Charney, the beneficial owner of approximately 43% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock and voting power of the Company as of March 25, 2013, has informed the Company that he intends to vote in favor of the election of himself and Mr. Igelman to the Board of Directors. For more information on shares owned by Mr. Charney and other directors and executive officers of the Company, see "Beneficial Ownership of Shares" herein.

Pursuant to the 2009 Investment Voting Agreement (described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" herein), for so long as Lion has the right to designate any person or persons to the Board of Directors, Lion has agreed to vote its shares of Common Stock in favor of Mr. Charney each time Mr. Charney is nominated for election to the Board of Directors, provided that Lion's obligation to so vote terminates under certain circumstances as described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" herein.

Any broker non-votes and any proxies marked "Withhold" with respect to the election of one or more directors will not count as "votes cast" with respect to the director or directors indicated and therefore will be disregarded for purposes of determining the outcome of the election of the Class C Nominees.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR each of the Class C Nominees.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Audit Committee has selected the firm of Marcum LLP ("Marcum") to act as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, and recommends that the stockholders vote in favor of such appointment. Marcum has served as the Company's independent auditors since 2010.

Although stockholder ratification of the selection of Marcum as the Company's independent auditors is not required by the Company's Bylaws or otherwise, the Board of Directors believes it appropriate as a matter of policy to request that stockholders ratify the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and the stockholders ratified the selection of Marcum in previous years. In the event the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Marcum, the Audit Committee will reconsider its appointment. In addition, even if the stockholders ratify the appointment of Marcum, the Audit Committee may in its discretion appoint a different independent public accounting firm at any time if the Audit Committee determines that a change is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Representatives of Marcum are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make a statement if such representatives so desire.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on this proposal is required to ratify the selection of Marcum as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. **Unless instructed to the contrary in the proxy, the shares represented by the proxies will be voted FOR this Proposal 2.**

Dov Charney, the beneficial owner of approximately 43% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock and voting power of the Company as of March 25, 2013, has informed the Company that he intends to vote in favor of this Proposal 2. For more information on shares owned by Mr. Charney and other directors and executive officers of the Company, see "Beneficial Ownership of Shares" herein.

Any abstentions with respect to this Proposal 2 will count as votes AGAINST this Proposal 2. Any broker non-votes with respect to this Proposal 2 will not count as shares entitled to vote on this proposal and therefore will be disregarded for purposes of determining the outcome of the vote on this Proposal 2.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this Proposal 2.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Principal Accounting Firm Fees

Aggregate fees billed to us for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 by the Company's current and former independent auditors are as follows.

| (in thousands) | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|-----------------------|----|-------|----|-------|--|
| Marcum LLP | | | | | |
| Audit fees(1) | \$ | 1,766 | \$ | 2,356 | |
| Audit-related fees(2) | | | | 28 | |
| Tax fees(3) | | | | | |
| All other fees(4) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ | 1,766 | \$ | 2,384 | |

- "Audit fees" consist of fees for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements included in Form 10-Ks, the review of financial statements included in Form 10-Qs and for services that are normally provided by the auditor in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years.
- "Audit-related fees" consist of fees for assurance and related activities by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported as audit fees.
- "Tax fees" consist of fees for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.
- (4)
 "All other fees" consist of fees for any products and services provided by the principal accountant not included in the first three categories.

In accordance with Section 10A(i) of the Exchange Act, before the Company engages its independent accountant to render audit or non-audit services, the engagement is approved by the Company's Audit Committee. All of the Company's independent auditor's fees were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in 2012. The Audit Committee utilizes a policy pursuant to which the audit, audit-related, and permissible non-audit services to be performed by the independent auditor are pre-approved prior to the engagement to perform such services. Pre-approval is generally provided annually, and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally limited by a maximum fee amount. The independent auditor and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent auditor in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. The Audit Committee considered whether the provision of non-audit services provided by Marcum as described above was compatible with maintaining such accountant's independence, and believes that the provision of these services is consistent with maintaining such accountant's independence.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities for general oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting and the qualifications, independence and performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditor. Management is responsible for the financial reporting process, including the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting, and for the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's independent auditor is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's financial statements and expressing an opinion as to the conformity of the Company's audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, the Audit Committee discussed with Marcum the matters with respect to the audit of such financial statements required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended and adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, pertaining to communications with audit committees. The Audit Committee also received the written disclosures and the letter from Marcum required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Marcum's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and discussed with Marcum its independence.

The Audit Committee met with Marcum, with and without management present, to discuss the overall scope of its audit, the results of its examinations, its evaluations, if any, of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting, in each case for fiscal year 2012.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 for filing with the SEC

By the Audit Committee, David Danziger, Chairman Marvin Igelman William Mauer

PROPOSAL 3: CHARNEY PURCHASE AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

Description of Charney Purchase Agreement and Charney Anti-Dilution Provision

In connection with the purchase agreement entered into on April 26, 2011 (as discussed under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and referred to herein as the "Investor Purchase Agreement"), the Company also entered into a purchase agreement, dated as of April 27, 2011, with Mr. Charney (the "Charney Purchase Agreement"), pursuant to which, among other things, Mr. Charney received certain anti-dilution rights (the "Charney Anti-Dilution Provision").

The Charney Anti-Dilution Provision provided Mr. Charney a right to receive from the Company, subject to the satisfaction of certain average volume weighted closing price targets, and other terms and conditions set forth in the Charney Purchase Agreement, up to 37,979,972 shares of Common Stock comprised of (i) up to approximately 12,659,994 shares of Common Stock as anti-dilution protection with respect to the issuance to the purchasers of certain shares under the Investor Purchase Agreement, and (ii) in proportion to the exercise by the purchasers of their purchase rights under the Investor Purchase Agreement, an additional up to approximately 25,300,000 shares of Common Stock as anti-dilution protection. As a result of the July 2011 exercise of purchase rights under the Investor Purchase Agreement for the purchase of a total of 8,406,163 shares of common stock, Mr. Charney has the right to receive 7,755,806 shares out of the 25,319,988 shares of common stock, subject to certain conditions. On October 23, 2011, the remaining Investor Purchase Rights, and therefore Mr. Charney's anti-dilution rights with respect to the remaining 17,500,000 shares of Common Stock issuable as anti-dilution protection in proportion thereto also expired without Mr. Charney having the right to such shares. Accordingly, Mr. Charney has the right to receive a total of 20,415,800 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision, issuable in three equal installments, one per each measurement period set forth below, subject to meeting the applicable VWAP closing price for 60 consecutive trading days, calculated as set forth in the Charney Purchase Agreement as follows: (i) for the measurement period from April 16, 2012 to and including April 15, 2013, if the VWAP of the Common Stock during a period of 60 consecutive trading days exceeds \$3.25 per share; (ii) for the measurement period from but not including April 16, 2013 to and including April 15, 2014, if the VWAP of the Common Stock during a period of 60 consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.25 per share; and (iii) for the measurement period from but not including April 16, 2014 to and including April 15, 2015, the VWAP of the Common Stock during a period of 60 consecutive trading days exceeds \$5.25 per share.

The foregoing description of the Investor Purchase Agreement and the Charney Purchase Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the descriptions contained in the Current Reports on Forms 8-K filed by the Company with the SEC on March 28, 2011 and April 28, 2011 and the documents filed as exhibits to such Current Reports.

Description of Amendment to Charney Purchase Agreement and Charney Anti-Dilution Provision

An amendment to the Charney Purchase Agreement (the "Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment") was entered into on October 16, 2012 by Mr. Charney and the Company for the purpose of further incentivizing Mr. Charney in his continued service to the Company, which, subject to stockholder approval, (i) extends by one year the measurement periods under the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision and (ii) reduces the length of the corresponding stock price target periods from 60 days to 30 days. The amendment gives effect to the extensions of the measurement periods on a retroactive basis if stockholder approval is received so that Mr. Charney has the right to receive shares as described above under the following circumstances: (i) for the first measurement period from April 16, 2012 to and including April 15, 2014, if the VWAP of the Common Stock during a period of 30 consecutive trading days exceeds \$3.25 per share; (ii) for the measurement period from but not including April 16, 2014 to and including April 15, 2015, if the VWAP of the Common Stock during a

Table of Contents

period of 30 consecutive trading days exceeds \$4.25 per share; and (iii) for the measurement period from but not including April 16, 2015 to and including April 15, 2016, the VWAP of the Common Stock during a period of 30 consecutive trading days exceeds \$5.25 per share. As of the date of this proxy statement, Mr. Charney is not entitled to any shares pursuant to the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision, as amended.

The foregoing description of the Charney Purchase Agreement and Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment is qualified in its entirety by reference to the descriptions contained in the Current Reports on Forms 8-K filed by the Company with the SEC on March 28, 2011, April 28, 2011 and October 22, 2012, and the documents filed as exhibits to such Current Reports.

Stockholder Approval

The NYSE MKT Company Guide requires stockholder approval for the sale, issuance, or potential issuance by an issuer, other than in a public offering, of common stock (or securities convertible into common stock) equal to 20% or more of the presently outstanding stock for a price that is less than the greater of book or market value of the stock, as well as for any such issuance to a company's officers, directors, employees, or consultants, or an affiliated entity of such a person, in a private placement at a price less than the market value of the stock. The Company is subject to NYSE MKT rules because its Common Stock is listed on NYSE MKT.

The Charney Anti-Dilution Provision was previously approved by the Company's stockholders in accordance with the NYSE MKT rules. Similarly, the Company is submitting the Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment for stockholder approval.

Pursuant to the terms of the Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment, if the approval of stockholders is not obtained for this Proposal, the Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment will have no effect upon the terms or continued effectiveness of the Charney Purchase Agreement, and the Charney Purchase Agreement will remain in effect in its current form.

Effect of Approval of this Proposal on Current Stockholders

If the Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment is approved, the terms of the Charney Anti-Dilution Provision under the Charney Purchase Agreement would remain in effect for an additional year at the same prices as would otherwise have expired sooner and the likelihood of the VWAP targets being satisfied would be increased due to the shorter number of consecutive trading days required to satisfy the VWAP targets.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on this Proposal 3 is required to approve the Charney Purchase Agreement Amendment.

Dov Charney, the beneficial owner of approximately 43% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock and voting power of the Company as of March 25, 2013, has informed the Company that he intends to vote in favor of this Proposal 3. For more information on shares owned by Mr. Charney and other directors and executive officers of the Company, see "Beneficial Ownership of Shares" herein.

Any abstentions with respect to this Proposal 3 will count as votes AGAINST this Proposal 3. Any broker non-votes with respect to this Proposal 3 will not count as shares entitled to vote on this proposal and therefore will be disregarded for purposes of determining the outcome of the vote on this Proposal 3.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this Proposal 3.

PROPOSAL 4: APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED AMERICAN APPAREL, INC. 2011 OMNIBUS STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

Background

The Company historically has used equity-based compensation in order to provide long-term incentives to its employees, directors, independent contractors and consultants, in order to motivate such persons to faithfully and diligently perform their responsibilities, and to attract and retain competent and dedicated persons whose efforts will result in the long term growth and profitability of the Company.

On March 30, 2011, the Board adopted the American Apparel, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan and the stockholders of the Company approved its adoption at the Company's 2011 Annual meeting. On March 21, 2013, the Board adopted, subject to approval by the Company's shareholders, the 2011 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated as of June 25, 2013 (referred to hereinafter as the "2011 Plan"). This amendment and restatement increases the maximum aggregate number of shares reserved for the 2011 Plan from 10,000,000 to 17,500,000 and increases the maximum aggregate number of shares awardable to any one participant in a given year from 1,500,000 to 3,000,000.

We believe that equity ownership provides an important link between the interests of long-term shareholders and our executives, managers and employees by rewarding the creation of long-term sharetop" ALIGN="left">

foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;

establishing and maintaining relationships with local distributors and dealers;

lengthy shipping times and accounts receivable payment cycles;

import and export control and licensing requirements;

compliance with a variety of U.S. laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, by us or key subcontractors;

compliance with a variety of foreign laws and regulations, including unexpected changes in taxation and regulatory requirements;

greater difficulty in safeguarding intellectual property than in the U.S.; and

difficulty in staffing and managing geographically diverse operations.

These and other risks may preclude or curtail international sales or increase the relative price of our products compared to those manufactured in other countries, reducing the demand for our products. Failure to comply with U.S. and foreign governmental laws and regulations applicable to international business such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act or U.S. export control regulations could have an adverse impact on our business with the U.S. and foreign governments.

Current environmental laws, or laws enacted in the future, may harm our business.

Our operations are subject to environmental regulation in areas in which we conduct business. Our product design and procurement operations must comply with new and future requirements relating to the materials composition of our products, including restrictions on lead, cadmium and other substances. We do not expect that the impact of these environmental laws and other similar legislation adopted in the U.S. and other countries will have a substantial unfavorable impact on our business. However the costs and timing of costs under environmental laws are difficult to predict.

Errors or defects contained in our products, failure to comply with applicable safety standards or a product recall could result in delayed shipments or rejection of our products, damage to our reputation and expose us to regulatory or other legal action.

Any defects or errors in the operation of our products may result in delays in their introduction. In addition, errors or defects may be uncovered after commercial shipments have begun, which could result in the rejection of our products by our customers, damage to our reputation, lost sales, diverted development resources and increased customer service and support costs and warranty claims, any of which could harm our business. Third parties could sustain injuries from our products, and we may be subject to claims or lawsuits resulting from such injuries. There is a risk that these claims or liabilities may exceed, or fall outside the scope of, our insurance coverage. We may also be unable to obtain adequate liability insurance in the future. Because we are a small company, a product recall would be particularly harmful to us because we have limited financial and administrative resources to effectively manage a product recall and it would detract management s attention from implementing our core business strategies. A significant product defect or product recall could materially and adversely affect our brand image, causing a decline in our sales, and could reduce or deplete our financial resources.

We rely on outside manufacturers and suppliers to provide a large number of components and sub-assemblies incorporated in our products.

Our products have a large number of components and sub-assemblies produced by outside suppliers. In addition, for certain of these items, we qualify only a single source, which can magnify the risk of shortages and decrease our ability to negotiate with our suppliers on the basis of price. In particular, we depend on our HSS

piezo-film supplier to provide materials used in our proprietary HSS emitters and we rely on one supplier of compression drivers for our LRAD products. If shortages occur, or if we experience quality problems with suppliers, then our production schedules could be significantly delayed or costs significantly increased, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, liquidity, results of operation and financial position.

Although we assemble our products internally, we have some sub-assemblers and components produced by third-party manufacturers. We may be required to outsource manufacturing if sales of our products increase significantly. We have historically used a single third-party contract manufacturer to manufacture for certain sub-assemblies, and we expect to continue to use a single manufacturer in the future until product volume grows substantially. We may be unable to obtain acceptable manufacturing sources on a timely basis. In addition, from time to time we may change manufacturers and any new manufacturer engaged by us may not perform as expected. An extended interruption in the supply of our products could result in a substantial loss of sales. Furthermore, any actual or perceived degradation of product quality as a result of our reliance on third-party manufacturers may have an adverse effect on sales or result in increased warranty costs, product returns and buybacks. Failure to maintain quality manufacturing could reduce future revenues, adversely affecting financial condition and results of operations.

We derive revenue from government contracts and subcontracts, which are often non-standard, may involve competitive bidding, may be subject to cancellation with or without penalty and may produce volatility in earnings and revenue.

Our sales to government customers have involved and are expected in the future to involve providing products and services under contracts or subcontracts with U.S. federal, state, local and foreign government agencies. Obtaining contracts and subcontracts from government agencies is challenging, and contracts often include provisions that are not standard in private commercial transactions. For example, government contracts may:

include provisions that allow the government agency to terminate the contract without penalty under some circumstances;

be subject to purchasing decisions of agencies that are subject to political influence;

contain onerous procurement procedures; and

be subject to cancellation if government funding becomes unavailable.

Securing government contracts can be a protracted process involving competitive bidding. In many cases, unsuccessful bidders may challenge contract awards, which can lead to increased costs, delays and possible loss of the contract for the winning bidder.

We have current government contracts and our future growth is dependent, in large part, on continued sales to U.S. and international governments and businesses who sell to governments.

In fiscal 2008, direct and indirect sales to the U.S. government accounted for approximately 50% of our total net sales. Changes in defense spending could have an adverse effect on our current and future revenues. Sales of our product to U.S. government agencies and organizations is subject to the overall U.S. government budget and congressional appropriation decisions and processes which are driven by numerous factors, including geo-political events and macroeconomic conditions, and are beyond our control. The recent election and changes in political leadership could affect future U.S. Department of Defense s military initiatives and homeland security spending. We can t be assured that the military s interest in communication devices to minimize unnecessary force will continue or will provide future growth opportunities for our business.

Our success is dependent on the performance of our executive team, and the cooperation, performance and retention of our executive officers and key employees.

Over the past few years, we have experienced significant changes in our senior management. Our business and operations are substantially dependent on the performance of our current executive team including our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer. We do not maintain key person life insurance on any of our executive officers. The loss of one or several key employees could seriously harm our business.

We are also dependent on our ability to retain and motivate high quality personnel, especially sales and marketing executives and skilled technical personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense, and we may not be able to attract, assimilate or retain other highly qualified managerial, sales and technical personnel in the future. The inability to attract and retain the necessary managerial, sales and technical personnel could cause our business, operating results or financial condition to suffer.

We may not address successfully the problems encountered in connection with any potential future acquisitions.

We expect to continue to consider opportunities to acquire or make investments in other technologies, products and businesses that could enhance our capabilities, complement our current products or expand the breadth of our markets or customer base. We have little experience in acquiring other businesses and technologies. Potential and completed acquisitions and strategic investments involve numerous risks and if we fail to properly evaluate and execute acquisitions and strategic investments, our management team may be distracted from our day-to-day operations, our business may be disrupted and our operating results may suffer. In addition, if we finance acquisitions by issuing equity or convertible debt securities, our stockholders would be diluted.

Our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all acts of fraud.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by an unauthorized override of the controls. The design of any systems of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and we cannot assure that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Failure to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting could harm stockholder and business confidence in our financial reporting, our ability to obtain financing and other aspects of our business.

Maintaining an effective system of internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC require us to include in our Form 10-K a report by management regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The report includes, among other things, an

18

assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the respective fiscal year, including a statement as to whether or not our internal control over financial reporting is effective. This assessment must include disclosure of any material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting identified by management. While our management has concluded that we did not have any material weaknesses as of September 30, 2008, it is possible that material weaknesses will be identified in the future. In addition, components of our internal control over financial reporting may require improvement from time to time. If management is unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective in any future period, or if our auditors are unable to express an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of those internal controls, investors may lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could have an adverse effect on its stock price.

Evolving regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses and continuing uncertainty.

During fiscal 2005, 2006 and 2007, we incurred substantial costs in complying with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 relating to the evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting and having our independent auditor attest to that evaluation. Compliance with these requirements has been and is expected to continue to be expensive and time consuming. We also incurred substantial costs during the first quarter of fiscal 2007 associated with a voluntary review of historical stock options and stock grants, and the resulting restatements described in our 2006 annual report.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and NASDAQ Stock Market rules are creating uncertainty for public companies. We continually evaluate and monitor developments with respect to new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices.

We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and we may be harmed.

Our equity financings impose certain liquidated damages that may impair our liquidity.

In connection with our August 2006 and July 2005 equity financings, we entered into registration rights agreements with the investors, pursuant to which we agreed to prepare and file a registration statement covering the resale of the shares of common stock sold in the financing as well as the shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of the warrants sold in the financing.

If the effective registration statements relating to these financings are unavailable for any reason, including any failure to maintain current and timely SEC filings, and selling stockholders are unable to re-sell their shares purchased in the financings or acquired upon exercise of related warrants, we could be obligated to pay substantial daily liquidated damages that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our common stock may be delisted from the Nasdaq Stock Market.

Nasdaq s continued listing standards for our common stock require, among other things, that (i) we maintain a closing bid price for our common stock of at least \$1.00, and (ii) we maintain: (A) stockholders equity of \$2.5

19

million; (B) market value of listed securities of \$35 million; or (C) net income from continuing operations of \$500,000 in the most recently completed fiscal year or in two of the last three most recently completed fiscal years. In October 2008, we were notified by Nasdaq that we failed to meet the listing standards by failing to maintain a bid price of \$1.00 and that we had until March 31, 2009 to regain compliance. Failure to satisfy the Nasdaq continued listing standards could lead to a delisting of our common stock from Nasdaq. On October 16, 2008, Nasdaq announced that it had temporarily suspended its minimum bid price and market value of public float requirements for continued listing through January 16, 2009. As a result of this suspension, Nasdaq subsequently notified us that our deadline for regaining compliance has been extended to July 6, 2009. Nasdaq adopted this measure to help companies remain listed in view of the extraordinary market conditions following the recent turmoil in the global economy and stock markets. Under the temporary relief provided by the new rules, companies will not be cited for bid price or market value of public float deficiencies. Nevertheless, our failure to satisfy other continued listing requirements could lead to the receipt of a deficiency notice from Nasdaq and ultimately to a delisting from trading of our common stock. If our common stock were delisted from Nasdaq, among other things, this could result in a number of negative implications, including reduced liquidity in our common stock as a result of the loss of market efficiencies associated with Nasdaq and the loss of federal preemption of state securities laws as well as the potential loss of confidence by suppliers, customers and employees, the loss of analyst coverage and institutional investor interest, fewer business development opportunities, greater difficulty in obtaining financing and breaches of certain contractual obligations.

Sales of common stock issuable on the exercise of outstanding options and warrants, may depress the price of our common stock.

As of September 30, 2008, we had outstanding options granted to our employees, directors and consultants to purchase 3,226,200 shares of our common stock, and had outstanding warrants issued to investors and others to purchase 2,936,693 shares of our common stock. At September 30, 2008, the exercise prices for the options and warrants ranged from \$1.31 to \$9.48 per share. The issuance of shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of convertible securities, options or warrants could cause substantial dilution to holders of common stock, and the sale of those shares in the market could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. The potential dilution from these shares could negatively affect the terms on which we could obtain equity financing.

We may issue preferred stock in the future, and the terms of the preferred stock may reduce the value of your common stock.

We are authorized to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series. Our board of directors may determine the terms of future preferred stock offerings without further action by our stockholders. If we issue additional preferred stock, it could affect the rights or reduce the value of our common stock. In particular, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with or sell our assets to a third party. These terms may include voting rights, preferences as to dividends and liquidation, conversion and redemption rights, and sinking fund provisions.

Our stock price is volatile and may continue to be volatile in the future.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated significantly to date. In the future, the market price of our common stock could be subject to significant fluctuations due to general market conditions and in response to quarter-to-quarter variations in:

our anticipated or actual operating results;
developments concerning our sound reproduction technologies;
technological innovations or setbacks by us or our competitors;
conditions in the consumer electronics market;

Table of Contents 29

20

announcements of merger or acquisition transactions;

changes in personnel within our company; and

other events or factors and general economic and market conditions.

The stock market in recent years has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many technology companies, and that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our executive offices, sales, research and development and production facility are located at 15378 Avenue of Science, Suite 100, San Diego, California. We presently occupy, pursuant to a sublease, approximately 23,698 square feet, and our monthly rent payments are approximately \$29,600. This sublease expires May 31, 2011. In addition, the sublease provides that we have a right of first refusal on additional space in the building, which contains a total of 68,910 square feet including our premises. We believe this space is adequate for our needs for the foreseeable future.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are not a party to any material pending legal proceedings as of the date of this report. However, we may at times be involved in litigation in the ordinary course of business. We will also, from time to time, when appropriate in management s estimation, record adequate reserves in our financial statements for pending litigation. Litigation is expensive and is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in any such matters could adversely impact our operating results or financial condition. Additionally, any litigation to which we may become subject could also require significant involvement of our senior management and may divert management s attention from our business and operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

21

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. Market Information

Our common stock is traded and quoted on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol ATCO . The market for our common stock has often been sporadic and limited.

The following table sets forth the high and low reported sales prices for our common stock for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2008:

| | Sales | Sales Prices | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--|
| | High | Low | |
| Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2007 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 5.20 | \$ 3.44 | |
| Second Quarter | \$ 4.98 | \$ 3.48 | |
| Third Quarter | \$ 3.98 | \$ 3.17 | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 4.39 | \$ 2.96 | |
| Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2008 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 3.97 | \$ 1.90 | |
| Second Quarter | \$ 2.38 | \$ 1.60 | |
| Third Quarter | \$ 2.35 | \$ 1.46 | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 1.61 | \$ 0.54 | |

The above quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

Holders

We had 30,535,207 shares issued and outstanding by 1,050 holders of record of our common stock at November 26, 2008.

Dividends

We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock or preferred stock and do not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

No securities were sold within the past two years that were not registered under the Securities Act and not previously reported.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not applicable.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The discussion and analysis set forth below in this section should be read in conjunction with the information presented in other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including Item 1. Business, Item 1A. Risk Factors, and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. This discussion contains forward-looking statements which are based on our current expectations and industry experience, as well as our perception of historical trends, current market conditions, current economic data, expected future developments and other factors that we believe are appropriate under the circumstances. These statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested in the forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a pioneer of highly intelligible, high clarity directed sound technologies and products. We aggressively seek to create markets for our products, and we are increasing our focus on and investment in worldwide sales and marketing activities while we continue to innovate.

In fiscal 2008, we completed the development and introduced a new generation of LRAD products called the LRAD-X. Our new LRAD-X products use directionality and focused acoustic output to clearly transmit critical information, instructions and warnings 500 meters and beyond. The LRAD-X product line can be manually operated or integrated into a remotely controlled security network—s command and control center. Through the use of powerful voice commands and deterrent tones, large safety zones can be created while determining the intent and influencing the behavior of an intruder. Our LRAD-X products are the industry—s loudest, most intelligible line of directed acoustic hailing and warning devices (AHDs), and feature rugged, weatherproof construction and enhanced voice, tone and frequency response. Our new product line includes the following:

LRAD 1000X selected by the U.S. Navy as its AHD for Block 0 of the Shipboard Protection System can be manually operated to provide long distance hailing and warning with highly intelligible communication.

LRAD 500X selected by the U.S. Navy and U.S. Army as their AHD for small vessels and vehicles is lightweight and can be easily transported to provide security personnel long-range communications and a highly effective hailing and warning capability where needed.

LRAD 100X is designed for use in a variety of mass notification and commercial security applications. It is ideally suited for short-range perimeter security and it adds highly intelligible sound/communication resources into traditional camera-based security networks in an integrated package.

LRAD-RX is our prescription for remotely controlled security. It enables system operators to detect and communicate with an intruder over long distances. LRAD-RX features an LRAD 1000X emitter head, integrated camera, high-intensity searchlight and a newly developed, robust, and IP-addressable full pan and tilt drive system for precise aiming and tracking. LRAD-RX can also be integrated with radar to provide automated intruder alerts. Because of its automated capabilities, LRAD-RX reduces manpower and false alarms while providing an intelligent, cost-effective security solution.

We incurred \$3.4 million of research and development expense during fiscal 2008, an increase of 47% over the prior year. Much of the increase is attributable to the development of our enhanced LRAD-X product line. These products have been well received by our military customers and interest has been strong for other potential applications. We believe these products provide increased opportunities in government and commercial markets and allow us to continue as the leader in this market. Initial shipments of LRAD-X products began in March 2008 with our first deliveries to the U.S. Navy. The final product in the LRAD-X product line, the more portable LRAD 100X, launched in the beginning of the fourth quarter.

We incurred net losses of \$6,360,276 and \$5,560,848 in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We have substantial research and development and selling, marketing and general

administrative expenses, and our margins from the sale of our products have not yet been sufficient to offset these costs. We have developed an operating plan for fiscal 2009 and believe we have adequate financial resources to execute the plan and to sustain operations for the next twelve months. Our operating plan includes (a) growing revenues by focusing on direct sales to larger commercial and defense related companies, (b) improving product margins by reducing unit product costs and monitoring manufacturing overhead, and (c) controlling research and development and selling and administrative costs. Nevertheless, our operating results will depend on future product sales levels and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If required, we have flexibility to take remedial actions to adjust the level of research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses.

Business Outlook

We recorded revenues of \$11.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, a 13% increase over prior year s revenues of \$9.9 million. Gross profit improved from \$3.4 million (34% of revenue) in the year ended September 30, 2007 to \$4.4 million (39% of revenue) in the year ended September 30, 2008. In fiscal year 2007, our gross profit margin was negatively impacted by an inventory reserve adjustment of \$1.3 million due to a restructured order from a large HSS customer. This impact was partially offset by a change in our estimate of warranty reserve for the LRAD product line, resulting in a favorable adjustment of \$304,000. In fiscal year 2008, our gross profit margin was favorably impacted by increased unit shipments in the amount of \$792,000, offset by start-up production costs on initial shipments of the new LRAD-X product line. We expect LRAD gross profit margins to improve as production volume increases. Our operating loss for our fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 increased by \$509,000 to \$6.4 million primarily due to increased research and development and non-cash share-based compensation expenses.

In fiscal 2008, our operating expenses of \$10.9 million represented an increase of \$1.5 million compared to the prior year. Fiscal 2008 operating expenses included \$2.2 million of non-cash share-based compensation costs under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123(R), an increase of \$818,000 from the prior year. In addition, we increased spending in research and development by \$516,000 to develop and launch the LRAD-X product line and increased the impairment of patents by \$319,000. These increases were partially offset by decreased legal, auditing and accounting costs of \$439,000 from the prior year.

In fiscal year 2009, we anticipate our revenues will grow, primarily due to the increased acceptance of our LRAD, HSS and SoundSaber products. We believe we have a solid technology and product foundation for business growth. We have had positive response to our new LRAD-X product line and we have additional technologies and products planned for fiscal 2009. We have strong market opportunities within the government and military sector, as well as increased commercial applications, especially with continued global threats to both governments and commerce where our LRAD products have proven to be effective at hailing and notification for force and asset protection. Our selling network has expanded to include a number of key integrators and sales representatives within the United States, as well as in a number of worldwide locations. However, we may face challenges in fiscal 2009 from extreme international market conditions that are severely restricting credit and disrupting major economies. A further and continued deterioration in financial markets and confidence in major economies could disrupt the operation of our business.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and to understanding our results of operations. Our accounting policies are more fully described in our financial statements and related notes located in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on our business operations are discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors and throughout Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations when such policies affect our reported and expected financial results.

24

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, have a significant impact on the results we report in our financial statements. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates affect the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition. We currently derive our revenue primarily from two sources: (i) component and product sale revenues and associated engineering and installation, which we refer to collectively as product sales and (ii) contract and license fee revenues. Product sales revenues are recognized in the periods that products are shipped to customers (FOB shipping point) or when product is received by the customer (FOB destination), when the fee is fixed and determinable, when collection of resulting receivables is probable and there are no remaining obligations on our part. Revenues from engineering contracts are recognized based on milestones or completion of the contracted services. Revenues from up-front license and other fees and annual license fees are evaluated for multiple elements but are generally recognized ratably over the specified term of the particular license or agreement. Revenues from ongoing per unit license fees are earned based on units shipped by the licensee incorporating our patented proprietary technologies. Revenues are recognized in the period when the ultimate customer accepts the product and collectability is reasonably assured.

Share-Based Compensation. We account for share-based compensation in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payments (SFAS No. 123(R)), using the modified prospective method which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the use of subjective assumptions, including expected stock price volatility and the estimated term of each award. Under SFAS No. 123(R), we estimate the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which is then amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period. This model also utilizes the fair value of our common stock and requires that, at the date of grant, we use the expected term of the share-based award, the expected volatility of the price of our common stock over the expected term, the risk free interest rate and the expected dividend yield of our common stock to determine the estimated fair value. We determine the amount of share-based compensation expense based on awards that we ultimately expect to vest, reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS No. 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Share-based compensation expense includes awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of September 30, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS No. 123 and compensation expense for awards granted subsequent to September 30, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

We account for equity instruments issued in exchange for the receipt of goods or services from non-employees in accordance with the consensus reached by the EITF in Issue No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services. Costs are measured at the fair market value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. The value of equity instruments issued for consideration other than employee services is determined on the earlier of the date on which there first exists a firm commitment for performance by the provider of goods or services or on the date performance is complete.

Allowance for doubtful accounts. Our products are sold to customers in many different markets and geographic locations. We estimate our bad debt reserve on a case-by-case basis due to a limited number of customers. We base these estimates on many factors including customer credit worthiness, past transaction history with the customer, current economic industry trends and changes in customer payment terms. Our judgments and estimates regarding collectability of accounts receivable have an impact on our financial statements.

25

Valuation of Inventory. Our inventory is comprised of raw materials, assemblies and finished products. We must periodically make judgments and estimates regarding the future utility and carrying value of our inventory. The carrying value of our inventory is periodically reviewed and impairments, if any, are recognized when the expected future benefit from our inventory is less than its carrying value. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we reviewed the carrying value of our inventory and increased the inventory reserve by \$217,329 primarily to reserve for excess HSS components and certain other slow moving components associated with our older generation of products and changes in demand.

Valuation of Intangible Assets. Intangible assets consist of patents that are amortized over their estimated useful lives. We must make judgments and estimates regarding the future utility and carrying value of intangible assets. The carrying values of such assets are periodically reviewed and impairments, if any, are recognized when the expected future benefit to be derived from an individual intangible asset is less than its carrying value. In fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we reviewed the carrying value of our intangible assets and reduced it by \$372,615 due to certain assets that are no longer consistent with our business strategy and whose expected future value has decreased.

Warranty Reserve. We establish a warranty reserve based on anticipated warranty claims at the time product revenue is recognized. This reserve requires us to make estimates regarding the amount and costs of warranty repairs we expect to make over a period of time. Factors affecting warranty reserve levels include the number of units sold, anticipated cost of warranty repairs, and anticipated rates of warranty claims. In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, we analyzed our warranty rate for our LRAD product and reduced the reserve rate by \$304,000 based on historical experience. The warranty reserve at September 30, 2008 was \$235,174, compared to \$182,247 as of September 30, 2007. We evaluate the adequacy of this reserve each reporting period.

Deferred Tax Asset. We have provided a full valuation reserve related to our substantial deferred tax assets. In the future, if sufficient evidence of our ability to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain tax jurisdictions becomes apparent, we may be required to reduce our valuation allowances, resulting in income tax benefits in our consolidated statement of operations. We evaluate quarterly the realizability of the deferred tax assets and assess the need for a valuation allowance. Utilizing the net operating loss carry forwards in future years could be substantially limited due to restrictions imposed under federal and state laws upon a change in ownership or control. Included in the net operating loss carryforward are deductions from stock options that if recognized will be recorded as a credit to additional paid-in capital rather than through operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A number of new pronouncements have been issued for future implementation as discussed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements located in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. See page 42 of our consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Segment Information

We are engaged in the design, development and commercialization of directed sound technologies and products. We present our business as one reportable segment due to the similarity in nature of products marketed, financial performance measures (revenue growth and gross margin), methods of distribution (direct and indirect) and customer markets (each product is sold by the same personnel to government and commercial customers, domestically and internationally). Our chief operating decision making officer reviews financial information on sound products on a consolidated basis.

26

Comparison of Results of Operations for Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007

Revenues

Revenues increased \$1,289,062, or 13%, in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 to \$11,189,942 compared to \$9,900,880 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Fiscal year 2008 revenues included \$10,647,935 of product sales and \$542,007 of contract and license and other revenue. Fiscal year 2007 revenues included \$9,424,305 of product sales and \$476,575 of contract and license revenue. The increase in fiscal year 2008 revenues reflected an increase in LRAD revenue from \$7,700,138 in fiscal 2007 to \$9,152,962 in fiscal 2008, due primarily to the launch of the new LRAD-X products and expanded sales distribution. HSS revenues decreased from \$1,305,583 in fiscal year 2007 to \$713,294 in 2008, as demand from certain key customers in the digital signage and in-store broadcasting markets declined.

In fiscal 2005, we entered into a license agreement that contained multiple elements. Based on our evaluation of the agreement under the guidance of EITF Issue No. 00-21, we determined this arrangement did not qualify for multiple element accounting and revenue was recognized ratably over the three-year term of the agreement. We recognized contract revenues of \$162,500 and \$216,667 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, representing the ratable earned revenue under the three-year agreement. At September 30, 2008, the contract ended and all revenue had been recognized. Subsequent to September 30, 2008, the Company entered into a 60 day agreement with this licensee, whereby the licensee will pay a per unit royalty fee for product sales which use our license. This revenue component is subject to significant variability based on the timing, amount and recognition of new arrangements, if any.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the year ended September 30, 2008 was \$4,410,729, or 39% of total revenues, compared to \$3,392,025, or 34% of total revenues, for the year ended September 30, 2007. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, our gross profit was negatively impacted by an adjustment of \$1,313,486 for obsolete inventory. This charge was partially offset by a reduction in warranty expense of \$304,000 due to a change in estimate for LRAD warranty. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, our gross profit was favorably impacted by increased volume in the amount of \$792,000, offset by start-up production costs on initial shipments of the new LRAD-X product line. We expect our LRAD gross profit percentage to improve as production volume increases.

Our products have varying gross margins, so product sales mix materially affects gross profit. In addition, we continue to make product updates and changes, including raw material and component changes that may impact product costs. We do not believe that historical gross profit margins should be relied upon as an indicator of future gross profit margins.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended September 30, 2008 increased \$449,819 to \$7,502,641, or 67% of total revenues, compared to \$7,052,822, or 71% of total revenues, for the year ended September 30, 2007. The increase in expense is primarily due to a \$603,057 increase in non-cash share-based compensation expense, a \$315,129 increase in commission expense for outside sales representatives which was partially offset by decreased legal, audit and accounting fees of \$439,025.

We incurred non-cash share-based compensation expenses allocated to selling, general and administrative expenses in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 of \$1,872,153 and \$1,269,096, respectively.

We may expend additional resources on marketing our products in future periods which may increase selling, general and administrative expenses. During fiscal year 2007, we incurred a significant amount of outside consultant, legal and audit fees to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (particularly Section 404), relating to

27

management s assessment of internal control over financial reporting and in 2007 for a voluntary review of our historical stock options and stock grants. We expect to incur continuing audit fees and other costs in fiscal year 2009 to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and to continue to improve our internal control over financial reporting and procedures.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses increased \$1,078,146 to \$3,354,461, or 30% of total revenues, for the year ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$2,276,315, or 23% of total revenues, for the year ended September 30, 2007. This increase in research and development expenses was primarily due to \$515,798 of cost to develop the new LRAD-X products, \$319,420 for the impairment of patents and \$214,884 increase in non-cash compensation costs.

Included in research and development expenses for the year ended September 30, 2008 was \$363,208 of non-cash share-based compensation costs. A total of \$148,324 of non-cash compensation costs was included for the year ended September 30, 2007. During fiscal years 2008 and 2007, we reviewed the ongoing value of our capitalized patent expenses and identified some of these assets as being associated with patents that are no longer consistent with our business strategy. As a result of this review, we reduced the value of our previously capitalized patents for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 by \$372,615 and \$88,195, respectively.

Research and development costs vary period to period due to the timing of projects, the availability of funds for research and development and the timing and extent of use of outside consulting, design and development firms. Based on current plans and engineering staffing, we expect fiscal year 2009 research and development costs to be reduced from expenditures made in fiscal year 2008.

Loss From Operations

Loss from operations was \$6,446,373 for the year ended September 30, 2008, compared to a loss from operations of \$5,937,112 for the year ended September 30, 2007. The increase in loss from operations resulted primarily from the increased research and development and non-cash share-based compensation costs, offset by increased gross profit.

Other Income (Expense)

During the year ended September 30, 2008, we earned \$171,537 of interest income on our cash balances. We also incurred \$85,440 of other expense for the year ended September 30, 2008 related to liquidated damages in connection with the late filing of our September 30, 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K. During the year ended September 30, 2007, we earned interest income on our cash balances of \$376,264.

Net Loss

Our net loss increased 14% to \$6,360,276, or \$0.21 per share for the year ended September 30, 2008, compared to a net loss of \$5,560,848, or \$0.18 per share, for the year ended September 30, 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We continue to experience significant negative cash flow from operating activities that includes developing, introducing and marketing our proprietary sound technologies. We have financed our working capital requirements through financing activities in prior years and through cash generated from product sales, contract and license fees. Cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2008 was \$2,694,869 compared to \$6,414,537 at September 30, 2007. The decrease in cash was primarily the result of the operating loss.

Other than cash and cash equivalents and our balance of accounts receivable, we have no other unused sources of liquidity at this time.

Principal factors that could affect the availability of our internally generated funds include:

ability to meet sales projections;

government spending levels;

introduction of competing technologies;

product mix and effect on margins;

ability to reduce and manage inventory levels; and

product acceptance in new markets.

Principal factors that could affect our ability to obtain cash from external sources include:

volatility in the capital markets; and

market price and trading volume of our common stock.

Based on our current cash position, our order backlog, and assuming currently planned expenditures and current level of operations, we believe we have sufficient capital to fund operations for the next twelve months. However, we operate in a rapidly evolving and often unpredictable business environment that may change the timing or amount of expected future cash receipts and expenditures. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we may not be required to raise additional funds through the sale of equity or debt securities or from credit facilities. Additional capital, if needed, may not be available on satisfactory terms, if at all.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Our net cash used in operating activities was \$3,409,932 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$4,132,937 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 included the \$6,360,276 net loss, reduced by expenses not requiring the use of cash of \$3,451,191, a \$1,291,426 increase in accounts receivable and a \$158,583 increase in warranty settlements. Cash generated from operating activities included \$695,199 decrease in inventory, \$192,273 increase in accounts payable, \$8,176 decrease in prepaid expenses and a \$53,514 increase in accrued liabilities. Cash used in operating activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 included the \$5,560,848 net loss, reduced by expenses not requiring the use of cash of \$2,815,756, a \$1,132,385 reduction in accounts payable, increased inventory of \$679,175, increased warranty settlements of \$546,166, a \$485,201 decrease in accrued liabilities, and a \$15,770 increase in prepaid expenses. Cash was generated from a \$1,470,852 decrease in accounts receivable.

At September 30, 2008, we had working capital of \$6,105,286 compared to working capital of \$9,772,075 at September 30, 2007. This decrease was primarily a result of the operating loss.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

At September 30, 2008, we had accounts receivable of \$2,210,526. This compares to \$938,229 in accounts receivable at September 30, 2007. The level of trade accounts receivable at September 30, 2008 represented approximately 72 days of revenues compared to 35 days of revenues at September 30, 2007. The increase in days was due to a higher level of sales in the fourth quarter of 2008 of \$3,857,693, compared to \$1,582,688 in the fourth quarter of 2007. Terms with individual customers vary greatly. We typically require thirty-day terms from our customers. Our receivables can vary dramatically due to overall sales volumes and due to quarterly variations in sales and timing of shipments to and receipts from large customers and the timing of contract payments.

29

Investing Activities

We use cash in investing activities primarily for the purchase of laboratory and computer equipment, product tooling, software and investment in new patents. Cash used in investing activities for capital expenditures was \$121,265 and \$78,936 in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Cash used for investment in new patents was \$189,136 and \$166,694 in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We anticipate continued expenditures for patents in fiscal 2009 and expect other capital expenditures to increase a small amount as we continue to invest in new technologies.

Financing Activities

There was no cash provided by financing activities for fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal year 2007 when we received \$860,262 of proceeds from the exercise of warrants and stock options.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, financings or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The financial statements required by this item begin on page F-1 with the index to financial statements followed by the financial statements.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

There have been no disagreements or any reportable events requiring disclosure under Item 304(b) of Regulation S-K.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

We are required to maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that material information related to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act Reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act Reports is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

30

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2008 and, based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008 based on the guidelines established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2008.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda and Williamson, LLP, has issued an audit report, which immediately follows in this Form 10-K, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting

Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting since June 30, 2008 in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Our process for evaluating controls and procedures is continuous and encompasses constant improvement of the design and effectiveness of established controls and procedures and the remediation of any deficiencies which may be identified during this process.

31

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

American Technology Corporation:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of American Technology Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). American Technology Corporation s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting included under item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, American Technology Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, of American Technology Corporation and our report dated December 4, 2008, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP San Diego, California

December 4, 2008

Table of Contents 43

32

Item 9B. Other Information.

None

PART III

Certain information required by this Part III is omitted from this report and is incorporated by reference to our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2009 (the Proxy Statement).

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information with respect to our executive officers is set forth in the section entitled Executive Officers in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The information required by this item with respect to our directors and corporate governance matters is incorporated by reference to the information under the captions Election of Directors, Board and Committee Matters and Corporate Governance Matters and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance contained in our Proxy Statement for our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement).

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information in the Proxy Statement under the caption Executive Compensation specifically excluding the Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors on Executive Compensation.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information in the Proxy Statement under the captions Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information.

Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information in the Proxy Statement under the captions Certain Transactions and Independence of the Board of Directors.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the heading Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

34

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules:

The following consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are filed as part of this report as required by

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | F-2 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-7 | F-25 |
| Exhibits: | | |

The following exhibits are incorporated by reference or filed as part of this report.

3. Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of American Technology Corporation (Delaware) dated March 1, 1992. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 on Form 10-SB effective August 1, 1994.
- 3.1.1 Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of American Technology Corporation dated March 24, 1997 and filed with Delaware on April 22, 1997. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 1997, dated May 13, 1997.
- 3.1.2 Corrected Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated and filed with Delaware on August 25, 1997. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.3 on Form 8-K dated August 29, 1997.
- 3.1.3 Corrected Certificate of Designations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock filed with Delaware on December 23, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.4 on Form 10-KSB for the year ended September 30, 1998, dated December 29, 1998.
- 3.1.4 Corrected Certificate of Designation of Series C Preferred Stock filed with Delaware on April 19, 2000. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.5 on Form 8-K dated April 19, 2000.
- 3.1.5 Certificate of Designation of Series D Preferred Stock filed with Delaware on May 3, 2002. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002, dated May 15, 2002.
- 3.1.6 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed with Delaware on September 26, 2002. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.6 on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2002, dated December 23, 2002.
- 3.1.7 Certificate of Designation of Series E Preferred Stock filed with Delaware on February 28, 2003. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 on Form 8-K dated March 6, 2003.
- 3.2 Restated Bylaws of American Technology Corporation. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, dated May 10, 2006.

| 10. | Material Contracts |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.1 | Royalty Agreement between American Technology Corporation and Elwood G. Norris dated September 3, 1985. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6.2 on Form 10-SB effective August 1, 1994.+ |
| 10.2 | Assignment of Technology Agreement between American Technology Corporation and Elwood G. Norris dated March 2, 1992. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6.3 on Form 10-SB effective August 1, 1994.+ |
| 10.2.1 | Addendum Agreement to Assignment of Technology Agreement between American Technology Corporation and Elwood G. Norris dated December 2, 1996. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1 on Form 10-KSB for year ended September 30, 1996, dated December 13, 1996.+ |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of November 5, 2008 between American Technology Corporation and Elwood G. Norris. +* |
| 10.4 | 2002 Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 on Form S-8 dated November 18, 2002.+ |
| 10.4.1 | Form of Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement under 2002 Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004, dated December 28, 2004.+ |
| 10.5 | Form of Warrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004, dated December 28, 2004.+ |
| 10.6 | Securities Purchase Agreement dated July 14, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K filed July 19, 2005. |
| 10.7 | Registration Rights Agreement dated July 14, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K filed July 19, 2005. |
| 10.8 | Form of Warrant-A issued July 18, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 on Form 8-K filed July 19, 2005. |
| 10.9 | Form of Warrant-B issued July 18, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 on Form 8-K filed July 19, 2005. |
| 10.10 | American Technology Corporation 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (as Amended March 15, 2007). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2007.+ |
| 10.11 | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan for grants prior to August 5, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Form S-8 filed June 2, 2005.+ |
| 10.12 | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan for grants on or after August 5, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 dated August 9, 2005.+ |
| 10.13 | Form of Stock Award Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 dated August 9, 2005.+ |
| 10.14 | Sublease between American Technology Corporation and Anacomp, Inc. dated December 13, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 on Form 10-K for year ended September 30, 2005, dated December 29, 2005. |
| 10.15 | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated August 4, 2006. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K filed August 8, 2006. |
| 10.16 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 4, 2006. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K filed August 8, 2006. |

36

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

Table of Contents

- 10.17 Form of Warrant, issued August 7, 2006. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 on Form 8-K filed August 8, 2006.
- Employment Letter between American Technology Corporation and Thomas R. Brown dated August 23, 2006. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K filed August 25, 2006.+
- 10.19 Employment Letter between American Technology Corporation and Katherine H. McDermott dated June 21, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2007 filed January 4, 2008.+
- 21. Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 21.1 Subsidiary of American Technology Corporation.*
- 23. Consents of Experts and Counsel
- 23.1 Consent of Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP.*
- 24. Power of Attorney
- 24.1 Power of Attorney. Included on signature page.*
- 31. Certifications
- Certification of Thomas R. Brown, Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- Certification of Katherine H. McDermott, Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, executed by Thomas R. Brown, Principal Executive Officer, and Katherine H. McDermott, Principal Financial Officer.*
- 99. Additional Exhibits
- 99.1 Press Release dated December 4, 2008*
- * Filed herewith.
- + Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement. Exhibit 10.13 is included as a management contract given that a trust affiliated with an officer, director and significant stockholder purchased a note and received a warrant in connection with that financing and is a party to this exhibit.

37

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

Table of Contents

American Technology Corporation

Index to Financial Statements

| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | F-2 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years Ended September 30, 2008 | | |
| and 2007 | | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 | | F-6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 3-7 | F-25 |

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

American Technology Corporation:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of American Technology Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of American Technology Corporation as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated December 4, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP San Diego, California

December 4, 2008

F-2

American Technology Corporation

Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | September 30 | | , | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----|-------------|
| | | 2008 | | 2007 |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,694,869 | \$ | 6,414,537 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$247,625 and \$228,496 for doubtful accounts | | 2,210,526 | | 938,229 |
| Inventories, net | | 2,890,219 | | 3,802,747 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | | 251,390 | | 259,566 |
| Total current assets | | 8,047,004 | | 11,415,079 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 292,094 | | 422,027 |
| Patents, net | | 1,058,186 | | 1,363,595 |
| Deposits | | 58,265 | | 58,265 |
| Total assets | \$ | 9,455,549 | \$ | 13,258,966 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 963,915 | \$ | 771,642 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 977,803 | | 871,362 |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,941,718 | | 1,643,004 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | | | |
| Stockholders equity | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.00001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized: none issued and outstanding | | | | |
| Common stock, \$0.00001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 30,535,207 shares issued and | | | | |
| outstanding each period | | 305 | | 305 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 81,374,937 | | 79,116,792 |
| Accumulated deficit | (| (73,861,411) | (| 67,501,135) |
| Total stockholders equity | | 7,513,831 | | 11,615,962 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ | 9,455,549 | \$ | 13,258,966 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

American Technology Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | Years Ended S 2008 | September 30, 2007 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Revenues: | | |
| Product sales | \$ 10,647,935 | \$ 9,424,305 |
| Contract, license and other | 542,007 | 476,575 |
| | | |
| Total revenues | 11,189,942 | 9,900,880 |
| Cost of revenues | 6,779,213 | 6,508,855 |
| Consequence 6th | 4 410 720 | 2 202 025 |
| Gross profit | 4,410,729 | 3,392,025 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 7,502,641 | 7,052,822 |
| Research and development | 3,354,461 | 2,276,315 |
| | | |
| Total operating expenses | 10,857,102 | 9,329,137 |
| | | |
| Loss from operations | (6,446,373) | (5,937,112) |
| | | |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest income | 171,537 | 376,264 |
| Finance expense | (85,440) | |
| | | |
| Total other income | 86,097 | 376,264 |
| | | |
| Net loss | \$ (6,360,276) | \$ (5,560,848) |
| Net loss per share of common stock basic and diluted | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.18) |
| 13Ct 1055 pc1 share of common stock basic and undicu | φ (0.21) | ψ (0.16) |
| Average weighted number of common shares outstanding basic and diluted | 30,535,207 | 30,326,050 |
| A totage weighted number of common shares outstanding basic and unuted | 30,333,207 | 30,320,030 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

American Technology Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

| | Common Stock | | | | Accumulated | Total Stockholders |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|----|-------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | Shares | An | nount | Capital | Deficit | Equity |
| Balances September 30, 2006 | 30,083,227 | \$ | 301 | \$ 74,663,660 | \$ (61,060,587) | \$ 13,603,374 |
| Cumulative-effect adjustment of adopting | | | | | | |
| FASB Staff Position | | | | | | |
| No. EITF 00-19-2 | | | | 2,101,000 | (879,700) | 1,221,300 |
| | | | | | | |
| Balances October 1, 2006 (as adjusted) | 30,083,227 | \$ | 301 | \$ 76,764,660 | \$ (61,940,287) | \$ 14,824,674 |
| Issuance of common stock: | | | | | | |
| Upon exercise of stock options | 10,000 | | | 42,600 | | 42,600 |
| Upon exercise of warrants | 441,980 | | 4 | 817,658 | | 817,662 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | | 1,491,874 | | 1,491,874 |
| Net loss for the year | | | | | (5,560,848) | (5,560,848) |
| • | | | | | , , , , | |
| Balances, September 30, 2007 | 30,535,207 | \$ | 305 | \$ 79,116,792 | \$ (67,501,135) | \$ 11,615,962 |
| Share-based compensation expense | | | | 2,258,145 | | 2,258,145 |
| Net loss for the year | | | | | (6,360,276) | (6,360,276) |
| • | | | | | , , , , | |
| Balances, September 30, 2008 | 30,535,207 | \$ | 305 | \$ 81,374,937 | \$ (73,861,411) | \$ 7,513,831 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

American Technology Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Years ended S 2008 | September 30, 2007 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents: | | |
| Operating Activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (6,360,276) | \$ (5,560,848) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 352,514 | 479,200 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 19,129 | (458,531) |
| Warranty provision | 211,510 | (76,749) |
| Inventory obsolescence | 217,329 | 1,326,108 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 19,949 | 659 |
| Share-based compensation | 2,258,145 | 1,491,874 |
| Loss on disposition of patents | 372,615 | 53,195 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (1,291,426) | 1,470,852 |
| Inventories | 695,199 | (679,175) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 8,176 | (15,770) |
| Accounts payable | 192,273 | (1,132,385) |
| Warranty settlements | (158,583) | (546,166) |
| Accrued liabilities | 53,514 | (485,201) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (3,409,932) | (4,132,937) |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchase of equipment | (121,265) | (78,936) |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 665 | 1,500 |
| Proceeds from the sale of patents | | 35,000 |
| Patent costs paid | (189,136) | (166,694) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (309,736) | (209,130) |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of common stock warrants | | 817,662 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | | 42,600 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | | 860,262 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (3,719,668) | (3,481,805) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 6,414,537 | 9,896,342 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 2,694,869 | \$ 6,414,537 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-6

American Technology Corporation

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

1. OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

American Technology Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), is engaged in design, development and commercialization of directed sound technologies and products. The principal markets for the Company s proprietary sound reproduction technologies and products are in North America, Europe and Asia.

The Company has a wholly owned subsidiary, American Technology Holdings, Inc., through which the Company intends to conduct international marketing, sales and distribution activities. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of this subsidiary after elimination of intercompany transactions and accounts.

LIQUIDITY AND MANAGEMENT S PLAN

The Company has incurred net losses and negative cash flow from operations for each of the last two fiscal years. The Company historically has financed its operations primarily through the sale of capital stock, exercise of stock options and warrants, sale of notes, and margins from product sales and licensing. The Company had working capital of \$6,105,286 and cash on hand of \$2,694,869 at September 30, 2008. Management has developed an operating plan for fiscal 2009 and believes the Company has adequate financial resources to execute the plan and to sustain operations for the next twelve months. Management s operating plan includes (a) growing revenues by focusing on direct sales to larger commercial and defense related companies, (b) improving product margins by reducing unit product costs and monitoring manufacturing overhead, and (c) controlling research and development and selling, general and administrative costs. Nevertheless, the Company s operating results will depend on future product sales levels and other factors, some of which are beyond management s control. There can be no assurance the Company can achieve positive cash flow or profitability. If required, management has some flexibility to take remedial actions to adjust the level of research and development and selling and administrative expenses. However, the Company operates in a rapidly evolving and often unpredictable business environment that may change the timing or amount of expected future cash receipts and expenditures. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Company may not be required to raise additional funds through the sale of equity or debt securities or from credit facilities. Additional capital, if needed, may not be available on satisfactory terms, if at all.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions (e.g., reserves for accounts receivable and inventory, patent realizability and warranty reserves) that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

The Company s financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and trade accounts receivable.

The Company s cash is placed in money market accounts with a major financial institution. This investment policy limits the Company s exposure to concentrations of credit risk. Deposit accounts at times may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts but is at risk for approximately \$2.6 million as of September 30, 2008.

The Company has a wide variety of customers and markets that comprise the Company s customer base, as well as their dispersion across many different geographic areas. The Company routinely assesses the financial strength of its customers and, as a consequence, believes that the trade accounts receivable credit risk exposure is limited. The Company generally does not require collateral or other security to support customer receivables.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair values due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The Company carries its accounts receivable at their face amounts, less an allowance for doubtful accounts. On a periodic basis, the Company evaluates its accounts receivable and establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses considering the following factors when determining if collection of a receivable is reasonably assured: customer credit-worthiness, past transaction history with the customer, current economic industry trends and changes in customer payment terms. If the Company has no previous experience with the customer, the Company may obtain reports from various credit organizations to ensure that the customer has a history of paying its creditors. The Company may also request financial information to ensure that the customer has the means of making payment. If these factors do not indicate collection is reasonably assured, revenue is deferred until collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash. If the financial condition of the Company s customers were to deteriorate, adversely affecting their ability to make payments, additional allowances would be required. The Company determines allowances on a case-by-case basis.

The Company increased its allowance for doubtful accounts by \$19,129 during the year ended September 30, 2008.

CONTRACT MANUFACTURERS

The Company employs contract manufacturers for production of certain components and sub-assemblies. The Company may provide parts and components to such parties from time to time but recognizes no revenue or markup on such transactions. During fiscal 2008, the Company performed assembly of products in-house using components and sub-assemblies from a variety of contract manufacturers and suppliers.

INVENTORIES

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventory is comprised of raw materials, assemblies and finished products intended for sale to customers. The Company periodically makes judgments and estimates regarding the future utility and carrying value of inventory. The carrying value of inventory is periodically reviewed and impairments, if any, are recognized when the expected future benefit is less than carrying value. The Company has inventory reserves for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory which is equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. The Company increased its inventory reserve by \$217,329 during the year ended September 30, 2008 primarily to reserve for excess HSS components and certain other slow moving components resulting from recent changes in product lines and changes in demand.

EQUIPMENT AND DEPRECIATION

Equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation on machinery and equipment and office furniture and equipment is computed over the estimated useful lives of three to five years using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the lease. Upon retirement or disposition of equipment, the related cost and accumulated depreciation or amortization is removed and the gain or loss is recorded.

INTANGIBLES

Patents and trademarks are carried at cost and, when granted, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which have been estimated to be 15 years. The carrying value of intangibles is periodically reviewed and impairments, if any, are recognized when the expected future benefit to be derived from an individual intangible asset is less than its carrying value. The Company wrote off \$372,615 and \$88,195, of previously capitalized patent costs during the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

LEASES

Leases entered into are classified as either capital or operating leases. At the time a capital lease is entered into, an asset is recorded together with its related long-term obligation to reflect the purchase and financing. At September 30, 2008, the Company had no capital lease obligations.

LEGAL SETTLEMENTS

Liabilities relating to pending litigation are estimated, where the amount and range of loss can be reasonably determined. Where a liability is probable and there is a range of estimated loss with no best estimate in the range, the minimum estimated liability related to the claim is recorded. As additional information becomes available, the potential liability related to the pending litigation is assessed and estimates are revised. As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company had no accrual for contingent liabilities associated with legal proceedings.

GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 45 Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FIN 34. The following is a summary of the Company's agreements determined to be within the scope of FIN No. 45:

The Company provides a one-year warranty for most of its products. See Warranty Reserves.

Under its bylaws, the Company has agreed to indemnify its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences arising as a result of the officer or director serving in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer or director s lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. However, the Company has a directors and officers—liability insurance policy that limits its exposure and enables it to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of its insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal and has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2008.

The Company enters into indemnification provisions under (i) its agreements with other companies in its ordinary course of business, typically with business partners, contractors, customers and landlords and (ii) its agreements with investors. Under these provisions the Company generally agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party as a result of the Company s activities or, in some cases, as a result of the indemnified party s activities under the agreement. The maximum

F-9

potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification provisions is unlimited. The Company has not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2008.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company derives its revenue primarily from two sources: (i) product sales (which includes associated engineering and installation), and (ii) contract and license fees.

Product sales are recognized in the periods that products are shipped to customers (FOB shipping point) or when product is received by the customer (FOB destination), when the fee is fixed and determinable, when collection of resulting receivables is probable and there are no remaining obligations on the part of the Company. In limited circumstances, product sales revenues may be recognized prior to shipment when, based on the Company s evaluation, criteria for recognizing revenue under bill and hold arrangements have been met. During the year ended September 30, 2008, the Company recognized \$1,080,000 in product sales revenue prior to shipment in connection with an order for which full payment had been received, title and risk of ownership had passed to the customer, the order was complete and segregated from inventory, all performance obligations related to the sale had been completed, and for which the customer requested delayed delivery.

Revenues from associated engineering and installation contracts are recognized based on milestones or completion of the contracted services.

The Company provides research and development services and licenses its technology to third parties. Revenues from up-front license and other fees and annual license fees are evaluated for multiple elements but are generally recognized ratably over the specified term of the particular license or agreement. Revenues from ongoing per unit license fees are earned based on units shipped and are recognized in the period when the ultimate customer accepts the product and collection is reasonably assured.

Deferred revenue balances of \$275,509 and \$286,482 at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, represent amounts received or billed in connection with contract development and license agreements. On one agreement, royalty revenue is recognized based on a per unit royalty fee based on the customer s unit sales. During fiscal 2005, the Company entered into another technology license agreement and recorded \$162,500 and \$216,667 of revenue for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively with \$-0- and \$6,945 of deferred revenue at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At September 30, 2008, the contract ended and all revenue had been recognized. Subsequent to September 30, 2008, the Company entered into a 60 day agreement with this licensee, whereby the licensee will pay a per unit royalty fee for product sales which use our license.

SHIPPING AND HANDLING COSTS

Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of revenues. The amount of shipping and handling costs invoiced to customers is included in revenue. Actual shipping and handling costs were \$181,192 and \$176,077 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

ADVERTISING

Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred. The Company expensed \$36,477 and \$9,186 for the years ended September 2008 and 2007, respectively, for advertising costs.

F-10

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COSTS

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

WARRANTY RESERVES

The Company warrants its products to be free from defects in materials and workmanship for a period of one year from the date of purchase. The warranty is generally a limited warranty. The Company currently provides direct warranty service. Some agreements with OEM customers from time to time may require that certain quantities of product be made available for use as warranty replacements. International market warranties are generally similar to the U.S. market.

The Company establishes a warranty reserve based on anticipated warranty claims at the time revenue from product sales is recognized. Factors affecting warranty reserve levels include the number of units sold and anticipated cost of warranty repairs and anticipated rates of warranty claims. The Company evaluates the adequacy of the provision for warranty costs each reporting period. Based on an analysis of the historical warranty rate on LRAD products in 2007, the Company reduced its warranty reserve on such products by \$304,000. In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, the Company increased its reserve by \$211,510, which includes a \$64,738 specific reserve associated with older generation product. The warranty reserve was \$235,174 and \$182,247 at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See Note 9 for additional information regarding warranties.

INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109. Temporary differences are differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years. A valuation allowance is recorded by the Company to the extent it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. Additional information regarding income taxes appears in Note 5, *Income Taxes*, including discussion of the implementation of FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income. Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. There were no differences between net loss and comprehensive loss for any of the periods presented.

DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In accordance with EITF 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments, Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company s Own Stock, the Company previously valued some warrants issued in connection with various equity financings as derivative liabilities. The Company made assumptions and estimates to periodically value its derivative liabilities. Factors affecting the amount of liability included changes in the Company s stock price and other assumptions. The change in value was recorded as a non-cash income or expense item.

Effective in the first quarter of 2007, the Company elected early adoption of FASB Staff Position No. EITF 00-19-2, Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements $\,$, issued on December 21, 2006 (FSP 00-19-2) which provides guidance on accounting for registration payment arrangements and related financial instruments. The Company recorded the effect of applying FSP 00-19-2 to its warrant derivative liability using the cumulative-effect transition method, which resulted in a decrease in derivative liability of \$1,221,300, and an increase to the carrying amount of additional paid-in capital of \$2,101,000 representing the original value

assigned to the warrants with an offsetting cumulative-effect entry to accumulated deficit (see Note 6). The cumulative adjustment was not recorded in the consolidated statement of operations and prior periods were not adjusted.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

Long-lived assets and identifiable intangibles held for use are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the sum of undiscounted expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset or if changes in facts and circumstances indicate, an impairment loss is recognized and measured using the asset s fair value.

SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company presents its business as one reportable segment due to the similarity in nature of products provided, financial performance measures (revenue growth and gross margin), methods of distribution (direct and indirect) and customer markets (each product is sold by the same personnel to government and commercial customers, domestically and internationally). The Company s chief operating decision making officer reviews financial information on sound products on a consolidated basis.

NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic net loss per share includes no dilution and is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per share reflects the potential dilution of securities that could share in the earnings of an entity. The Company s losses for the years presented cause the inclusion of potential common stock instruments outstanding to be anti-dilutive. Stock options and warrants exercisable for 6,162,893 and 6,469,079 shares of common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These securities were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share because of the losses but could potentially dilute earnings per share in future periods.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

The Company s functional currency is United States (U.S.) dollars as substantially all of the Company s operations use this denomination. Foreign sales to date have been denominated in U.S. dollars. Transactions undertaken in other currencies, which have not been material, are translated using the exchange rate in effect as of the transaction date. Any exchange gains and losses are included in the statement of operations.

SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) on October 1, 2005, using a modified prospective application, which provides for certain changes to the method for valuing share-based compensation. Under the modified prospective application, prior periods are not revised for comparative purposes. The valuation provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) apply to new awards and to awards that are outstanding on the effective date and subsequently modified or cancelled. Estimated compensation expense for awards outstanding at the effective date is recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes under FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Share-Based Compensation .

Options or stock awards issued to non-employees who are not directors of the Company are recorded at their estimated fair value at the measurement date in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R) and EITF Issue No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services, and are periodically revalued as the options vest and are recognized as expense over the related service period.

SFAS No. 123(R) also requires companies to calculate an initial pool of excess tax benefits available at the adoption date to absorb any tax deficiencies that may be recognized under SFAS No. 123(R). The pool includes the net excess tax benefits that would have been recognized if the Company had adopted SFAS No. 123(R) for recognition purposes on its effective date.

The Company has elected to calculate the pool of excess tax benefits under the alternative transition method described in FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards, which also specifies the method the Company must use to calculate excess tax benefits reported on the statement of cash flows. The Company is in a net operating loss position; therefore, no excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements have been recognized for the year ended September 30, 2008.

The Company recorded non-cash share-based compensation expense for employees and consultants of \$2,258,145 and \$1,491,874, respectively, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. This included non-cash share-based compensation expense of \$3,537 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 for the extension of time to exercise stock options for former employees relating to an aggregate of 32,084 shares of common stock. The increase in expense in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 is due to the full year effect of options issued in the prior year, including large option grants issued to two key officers, and option grants issued to employees in the current year in lieu of salary increases. The amounts of share-based compensation expense are classified in the consolidated statements of operations as follows:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cost of revenue | \$ 22,784 | \$ 74,454 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,872,153 | 1,269,096 |
| Research and development | 363,208 | 148,324 |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 2 258 145 | \$ 1 491 874 |

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141R). SFAS 141R retains the fundamental requirements in SFAS 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (which SFAS 141 called the *purchase method*) be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. SFAS 141R also establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer: (a) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree; (b) improves the completeness of the information reported about a business combination by changing the requirements for recognizing assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies; (c) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (d) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (for acquisitions closed on or after October 1, 2009 for the Company). Early application is not permitted. Since the Company is not contemplating any business combinations after the effective date of SFAS No. 141R, it does not presently expect any impact of SFAS No. 141(R) on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS No. 159), which will permit the option of choosing to measure certain eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and

losses in earnings. SFAS Nos. 157 and 159 are effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS Nos. 157 and 159, and has not yet determined the likely, if any, impact on future financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 amends ARB 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling (minority) interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements and establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent s ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (as of October 1, 2009 for the Company). The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any, that SFAS No. 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. The Company will be required to provide enhanced disclosures about how and why derivative instruments are used, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, and its related interpretations, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect the Company s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company does not anticipate a material effect of adopting SFAS No. 161 on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS No. 162). SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC s approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles . The implementation of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

RECLASSIFICATIONS

Where necessary, the prior year s information has been reclassified to conform to the fiscal 2008 statement presentation.

2. INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, which approximates actual costs on a first in, first out cost basis, or market.

Inventories consisted of the following:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 998,609 | \$ 1,664,914 |
| Work in process | 29,959 | |
| Raw materials | 3,416,802 | 3,475,655 |
| | | |
| | 4,445,370 | 5,140,569 |
| Reserve for obsolescence | (1,555,151) | (1,337,822) |
| | | |
| Total, net | \$ 2,890,219 | \$ 3,802,747 |

F-14

At September 30, 2008, \$42,243 of raw materials was located at supplier locations. At September 30, 2007, \$171,115 of raw materials was held at supplier locations.

The Company relies on one supplier for film for its HSS product and one supplier for compression drivers for its LRAD product and is making efforts to obtain alternative suppliers to reduce such reliance. The Company s ability to manufacture its HSS and LRAD product could be adversely affected if it were to lose a sole source supplier and was unable to find an alternative supplier.

The reserve for obsolescence increased by \$217,329 due to excess HSS components and other slow moving components resulting from changes in demand for the Company s products.

3. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of the following:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Machinery and equipment | \$ 511,464 | \$ 477,653 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 821,121 | 912,248 |
| Leasehold improvements | 260,591 | 260,591 |
| | | |
| | 1,593,176 | 1,650,492 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,301,082) | (1,228,465) |
| | | |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 292,094 | \$ 422,027 |

Included in office furniture and equipment for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were \$411,963 and \$497,049 for purchased software, which is amortized over three years. The unamortized portion of purchased software for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$13,755 and \$30,219, respectively.

Depreciation expense, excluding amortization of software, was \$207,209 and \$261,813 for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Amortization of purchased software was \$23,375 and \$86,471 for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

4. PATENTS

Patents consisted of the following at September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cost | \$ 1,662,787 | \$ 1,974,805 |
| Accumulated amortization | (604,601) | (611,210) |
| Patents, net | \$ 1,058,186 | \$ 1,363,595 |

Aggregate amortization expense for the Company s patents was \$121,930 and \$130,916 during the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In addition to amortization, the Company wrote off \$372,615 and \$88,196 of patent costs during the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

| Estimated Amortization Expense Years Ended September 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 2009 | \$ 115,380 |
| 2010 | \$ 115.380 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

| 2011 | \$ 115,380 |
|------------|------------|
| 2012 | \$ 115,380 |
| 2013 | \$ 115,380 |
| Thereafter | \$ 481,286 |

5. INCOME TAXES

Income taxes consisted of the following:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Deferred (benefit) | | |
| Federal | \$ (946,000) | \$ (1,907,000) |
| State | (167,000) | (336,000) |
| | | |
| | (1,113,000) | (2,243,000) |
| Change in valuation allowance | 1,113,000 | 2,243,000 |
| | | |
| | \$ | \$ |

A reconciliation of income taxes at the federal statutory rate of 34% to the effective tax rate is as follows:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Income taxes (benefit) computed at the federal statutory rate | \$ (2,162,000) | \$ (1,891,000) |
| Tax effect of change in valuation allowance | 1,113,000 | 2,243,000 |
| Nondeductible compensation, interest expense and other | 7,000 | 41,000 |
| State income taxes (benefit), net of federal tax benefit | (382,000) | (334,000) |
| Change in R&D credit carryover | 1,820,000 | (147,000) |
| State taxes expired NOL | (286,000) | 87,000 |
| Other | (110,000) | 1,000 |
| | | |
| | \$ | \$ |

The types of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their approximate tax effects that give rise to a significant portion of the net deferred tax asset (liability) at September 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

| | At September 30, | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 23,663,000 | \$ 21,940,000 |
| Research and development credit | | 1,820,000 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,750,000 | 847,000 |
| Equipment | 53,000 | 35,000 |
| Patents | 212,000 | 31,000 |
| Accruals and other | 616,000 | 603,000 |
| Allowances | 721,000 | 626,000 |
| | | |
| Gross deferred tax asset | 27,015,000 | 25,902,000 |
| Less valuation allowance | (27,015,000) | (25,902,000) |
| | | |
| | \$ | \$ |

A valuation allowance has been recorded to offset the net deferred tax assets as realization of such assets has not met the threshold under SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes .

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48. In addition, in May 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FIN 48-1 which provided guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

benefits. The Interpretation and Staff Position

F-16

establishes criteria for recognizing and measuring the financial statement tax effects of positions taken on a company s tax returns. A two-step process is prescribed whereby the threshold for recognition is a more likely-than-not test that the tax position will be sustained upon examination and the tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

At September 30, 2008, the Company had net deferred tax assets of \$27.0 million. The deferred tax assets are primarily composed of federal and state net operating loss carryforwards (NOL) and federal and state research and development (R&D) credit carryforwards. At September 30, 2008, the Company, for federal income tax purposes, had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$63,044,000, which expire through 2028. Included in the net operating loss carryforwards are deductions from stock options that, if recognized, will be recorded as a credit to additional paid-in capital rather than through the consolidated statement of operations. Additionally, the Company has approximately \$1,364,000 and \$1,062,000 of federal and state research and development tax credits, respectively, at September 30, 2008, a portion of which begin to expire in 2010.

Due to uncertainties surrounding the Company s ability to generate future taxable income to realize these assets, a full valuation allowance has been established to offset our net deferred tax assets. The future utilization of the Company s NOL carryforwards to offset future taxable income may be subject to a substantial annual limitation as a result of ownership changes that may have occurred previously or that could occur in the future. The Company has not yet determined whether such ownership changes have occurred relative to Internal Revenue Code Section 382. Additionally, the Company has not performed a comprehensive review of the components of its R&D credit. Until this analysis has been completed, the Company has removed the deferred tax assets associated with the credit carryforwards from its deferred tax asset schedule and has recorded a corresponding decrease in the valuation allowance. Once an analysis is completed on these matters, the Company plans to update its unrecognized tax benefits under FIN 48. At this time, the Company cannot estimate how much the unrecognized tax benefits may change, if any.

The Company adopted FIN 48 as of October 1, 2007. As of the date of the adoption, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits and there were no material changes during the year.

Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in the Company s unrecognized tax benefits will not impact its effective tax rate.

The Company s practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. Upon adoption of FIN 48 on October 1, 2007, the Company did not record any interest or penalties.

The Company is subject to taxation in the U.S. and various state jurisdictions. Effectively, all of the Company s historical tax years are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and various state jurisdictions due to the generation of net operating loss and credit carryforwards.

F-17

6. CAPITAL STOCK

Cumulative-Effect Adjustment

We previously valued some warrants issued in connection with various equity financings as derivative liabilities in accordance with EITF 00-19 Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments, Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company s Own Stock. Effective in the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company elected to record the effects of applying FSP 00-19-2 using the cumulative-effect transition method, which resulted in eliminating the Company s warrant derivative liability at the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2007, increasing the opening balance of additional paid-in capital by the original value assigned to the warrants with the offsetting cumulative-effect entry to opening accumulated deficit. The cumulative adjustment was not recorded in the statements of operations and previous periods were not adjusted. The impact on previously reported accounts as of September 30, 2006, adjusted effective October 1, 2006, is as follows:

| | September 30, 2006 | FSP 00-19-2 cumulative-effect adjustment | October 1, 2006 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Derivative warrant instrument | \$ 1,221,300 | \$ (1,221,300) | \$ |
| Additional paid-in capital | \$ 74,663,660 | \$ 2,101,000 | \$ 76,764,660 |
| Accumulated deficit | \$ (61,060,587) | \$ (879,700) | \$ (61,940,287) |
| Total stockholders equity | \$ 13,603,374 | \$ 1,221,300 | \$ 14,824,674 |

The tax effect of the identified adjustments is not significant since the Company has a full valuation allowance for deferred tax assets.

Common Stock

In March 2007, the Company issued 441,980 shares of common stock and obtained gross cash proceeds of \$817,663 from the exercise of Series D warrants at an exercise price of \$1.85 per share.

Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized under its certificate of incorporation and bylaws to issue 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.00001 par value, without any further action by the stockholders. The board of directors has the authority to divide any and all shares of preferred stock into series and to fix and determine the relative rights and preferences of the preferred stock, such as the designation of series and the number of shares constituting such series, dividend rights, redemption and sinking fund provisions, liquidation and dissolution preferences, conversion or exchange rights and voting rights, if any. Issuance of preferred stock by the board of directors could result in such shares having dividend and or liquidation preferences senior to the rights of the holders of common stock and could dilute the voting rights of the holders of common stock.

No shares of preferred stock were outstanding during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 or 2007.

F-18

Stock Purchase Warrants

A summary of the status of outstanding purchase warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2007 and 2008 and the changes during the years then ended is presented below:

| | Number of Shares | Av Pu | eighted verage rchase Price |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Shares purchasable under outstanding warrants at September 30, 2006 | 4,164,927 | \$ | 3.65 |
| Stock purchase warrants exercised | (441,980) | \$ | 1.85 |
| Stock purchase warrants expired | (382,629) | \$ | 5.14 |
| | | | |
| Shares purchasable under outstanding warrants at September 30, 2007 | 3,340,318 | \$ | 3.72 |
| Stock purchase warrants expired | (403,625) | \$ | 3.30 |
| Shares purchasable under outstanding warrants at September 30, 2008 | 2,936,693 | \$ | 3.78 |

At September 30, 2008, the following stock purchase warrants were outstanding arising from offerings and other transactions, each exercisable into one common share:

| Number | Exercise Price | Expiration Date |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| 838,489 | \$5.44* | July 18, 2009 |
| 75,000 | \$8.60 | December 31, 2009 |
| 75,000 | \$9.28 | December 31, 2009 |
| 1,948,204 | \$2.67* | August 7, 2010 |
| 2,936,693 | | |

7. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Option Plans

At September 30, 2008, the Company had two equity incentive plans. The 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (2005 Equity Plan), as amended, authorizes for issuance as stock options, stock appreciation rights, or stock awards an aggregate of 3,250,000 new shares of common stock to employees, directors or consultants. The total plan reserve at September 30, 2008 allows for the issuance of up to 4,644,663 available shares. At September 30, 2008, there were options outstanding covering 3,058,700 shares of common stock under the 2005 Equity Plan. The 2002 Stock Option Plan (2002 Plan) reserved for issuance 2,350,000 shares of common stock. The 2002 Plan was terminated with respect to new grants in April 2005 but remains in effect for grants issued prior to that time. At September 30, 2008, there were options outstanding covering 135,500 shares of common stock under the 2002 Plan.

Other Employee Stock Options

The Company has granted options outside the above plans as inducements to employment to new employees. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, there were no options granted to purchase shares of common stock. At September 30, 2008, there were options outstanding covering 32,000 shares of common stock from grants outside the stock option plans.

^{*} These warrants contain certain antidilution rights if the Company sells securities for less than the exercise price.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

F-19

Share-Based Compensation Information under SFAS No. 123(R)

The Company s employee stock options have various restrictions that reduce option value, including vesting provisions and restrictions on transfer and hedging, among others, and are often exercised prior to their contractual maturity.

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) the Company recorded \$2,258,145 and \$1,491,874 of stock compensation expense for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. A total of \$71,363 and \$105,129 of this expense for each year, respectively, relates to prior year awards vesting after October 1, 2005. A total of \$2,186,782 and \$1,386,745 each year, respectively, relates to options granted after the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). The weighted-average estimated fair value of employee stock options granted during the year ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.99 per share and \$2.05 per share, respectively, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions (annualized percentages):

| | Year Ended September 30, 2008 | Year Ended September 30, 2007 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Volatility | 71.0% | 71.0% - 74.0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.79% - 3.49% | 4.06% - 4.75% |
| Forfeiture rate | 20.0% | 20.0% |
| Dividend yield | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Expected life in years | 3.4 - 4.9 | 3.4 - 4.9 |

The dividend yield of zero is based on the fact that the Company has never paid cash dividends and has no present intention to pay cash dividends. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock over the period commensurate with the expected life of the options. The risk-free interest rate is based on rates published by the Federal Reserve Board. The expected life is based on observed and expected time to post-vesting exercise. The expected forfeiture rate is based on past experience and employee retention data. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of the grant and revised in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates or if the Company updates its estimated forfeiture rate. Such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period in which the estimate is changed.

As of September 30, 2008, there was \$1.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based employee compensation arrangements. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years.

F-20

Stock Option Summary Information

A summary of activity for the Company s stock option plans, as well as options granted outside such plans as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, is presented below:

| Fiscal 2007: | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Outstanding October 1, 2006 | 1,496,573 | \$ 4.67 |
| Granted | 2,162,000 | \$ 3.90 |
| Canceled/expired | (519,812) | \$ 4.97 |
| Exercised | (10,000) | \$ 4.26 |
| | | |
| Outstanding September 30, 2007 | 3,128,761 | \$ 4.10 |
| | , , | · |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2007 | 1,499,619 | \$ 4.22 |
| | 2,1,2,022 | 7 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year | | \$ 2.05 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year | | Ψ 2.03 |
| Fiscal 2008: | | |
| Outstanding October 1, 2007 | 3,128,761 | \$ 4.10 |
| Granted | 698,500 | \$ 1.93 |
| | (601,061) | \$ 3.63 |
| Canceled/expired | (001,001) | \$ 5.05 |
| | | |
| Outstanding September 30, 2008 | 3,226,200 | \$ 3.72 |
| | | |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2008 | 2,186,270 | \$ 3.93 |
| | | |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year | | \$ 0.99 |
| | | |

The aggregate intrinsic value for both options outstanding and options exercisable at September 30, 2008 was \$-0-. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the Company s closing stock price on the last day of trading during the year, which was \$0.55 per share, and the exercise price multiplied by the number of applicable options. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2008 and 2007 was \$-0- and \$7,400, respectively. Cash received from the exercise of stock options for the year ended September 30, 2007 was \$42,600. The Company did not realize any actual tax benefit from the tax deductions for stock option exercises during the year ended September 30, 2007, due to the full valuation allowance on the Company s deferred tax assets.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at September 30, 2008:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| \$1.31-\$3.00 | 589,000 | 4.40 | \$ 1.91 | 265,000 | \$ 1.92 |
| \$3.01-\$4.50 | 2,184,700 | 3.38 | \$ 3.74 | 1,550,583 | \$ 3.76 |
| \$4.51-\$6.00 | 322,500 | 2.50 | \$ 4.97 | 254,375 | \$ 4.98 |
| \$6.01-\$7.50 | 28,000 | 0.90 | \$ 6.81 | 27,062 | \$ 6.81 |
| \$7.51-\$9.48 | 102,000 | 1.34 | \$ 9.00 | 89,250 | \$ 9.00 |
| \$1.31-\$9.48 | 3,226,200 | 3.39 | \$ 3.72 | 2,186,270 | \$ 3.93 |

Employee Benefit 401K Plan

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

The Company has a defined contribution plan (401(k)) covering its employees. Matching contributions are made on behalf of all participants at the discretion of the board of directors. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company made matching contributions of \$34,287 and \$27,672, respectively.

F-21

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Facility Lease

The Company s executive offices, research and development, assembly and operational facilities in San Diego, California, are occupied under a sublease agreement that commenced in January 2006 and expires May 31, 2011. The Company currently occupies approximately 23,698 square feet of office, laboratory, production and warehouse space with aggregate monthly payments of approximately \$29,623, plus certain costs and charges specified in the sublease, including the Company s proportionate share of the building operating expenses and real estate taxes.

Other Operating Leases

In addition to the facility lease, the Company has one automobile lease obligation that commenced in October 2006, replacing an expiring automobile lease, and continues through October 2009. The Company also has two business equipment leases expiring in December 2012 and December 2013. These leases are reported as operating leases.

Total operating lease expense, including facilities, automobile and business equipment leases, recorded by the Company for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$376,348 and \$392,219, respectively.

The obligations under all operating leases are as follows:

| Year ending September 30: | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| 2009 | \$ 374,909 |
| 2010 | \$ 366,667 |
| 2011 | \$ 247,297 |
| 2012 | \$ 9,997 |
| 2013 | \$ 5,947 |

Employment Agreements

The Company entered into an employment agreement in September 2006 with Tom Brown, its president and chief executive officer that provides for severance benefits in the form of up to a maximum of six months salary and health benefit continuation if his employment is terminated without cause or he resigns for good reason. There are no other employment agreements with executive officers or other employees providing future benefits or severance arrangements.

Commission and Bonus Plans

The Company has established a sales commission plan, approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, providing cash incentives to certain of the Company s sales employees based on revenues recognized or amounts invoiced. The plan was revised in March 2007 and the sales employees now participate in the Company s bonus plan provided for below. The Company recorded \$-0- and \$185,300 of commission expense under this plan for the periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company has established a bonus plan for its employees, in accordance with their terms of employment, whereby they can earn a percentage of their salary if the Company achieves certain targeted objectives for net income before taxes. In February 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors modified this plan to exclude non-cash, share-based compensation expense from the calculation of net income. In fiscal 2008 and 2007, the Company did not pay or accrue any such bonuses.

Litigation

The Company may at times be involved in litigation in the ordinary course of business. The Company will also, from time to time, when appropriate in management s estimation, record adequate reserves in the Company s financial statements for pending litigation. There are no pending material legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or to which any of its property is subject.

Royalties

The Company is obligated to pay up to \$2.50 per unit royalty on one electronic component for its HSS product.

The Company is also obligated to pay an officer and director a 2% royalty on net sales from certain of its technologies, of which only HSS is a current offering of the Company. The royalty obligation discontinues in October 2008 under the terms of Mr. Norris amended employment agreement dated November 5, 2008. No royalties were paid under this agreement in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 or 2007, as the officer and director waived royalties. The amounts of the royalties waived were \$14,266 and \$26,112 for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company may owe royalties in future periods based on actual sales or technology revenues.

Liquidated Damages

In connection with registration rights agreements entered into in connection with the sale of common stock in July 2005 and August 2006, the Company may be obligated to pay liquidated damages if it fails to maintain the effectiveness of the respective registration statements declared effective in September 2005 and September 2006. The maximum obligation under the July 2005 agreement, assuming all holders have retained all their shares, would be \$140,000 per month computed daily for any period a registration statement is not effective. The Company believes any such obligation substantially decreased in July 2007 when the shares could be sold under Rule 144(k) without registration. The maximum obligation under the August 2006 agreement, assuming all holders retain all their shares, would be \$95,400 per month computed daily for any period a registration statement is not effective, subject to maximum liquidated damages of \$1,899,500. The liquidated damages will no longer be available beginning in August 2008 when the shares purchased in the financing may be sold under SEC Rule 144(k) without registration.

The Company recorded and paid \$85,440 in liquidated damages during the year ended September 30, 2008 in connection with the late filing of its September 30, 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Guarantees and Indemnifications

The Company undertakes indemnification obligations in the ordinary course of business related to its products and the issuance of securities. Under these arrangements, the Company may indemnify other parties such as business partners, customers, underwriters, and investors for certain losses suffered, claims of intellectual property infringement, negligence and intentional acts in the performance of services, and violations of laws including certain violations of securities laws. The Company s obligation to provide such indemnification in such circumstances would arise if, for example, a third party sued a customer for intellectual property infringement and the Company agreed to indemnify the customer against such claims. The Company is unable to estimate with any reasonable accuracy the liability that may be incurred pursuant to such indemnification obligations. Some of the factors that would affect this assessment include, but are not limited to, the nature of the claim asserted, the relative merits of the claim, the financial ability of the parties, the nature and amount of damages claimed, insurance coverage that the Company may have to cover such claims, and the willingness of the parties to reach settlement, if any. Because of the uncertainty surrounding these circumstances, the Company s indemnification

F-23

obligations could range from immaterial to having a material adverse impact on its financial position and its ability to continue in the ordinary course of business. The Company has no liabilities recorded for such indemnities.

9. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Payroll and related | \$ 421,686 | \$ 390,343 |
| Deferred revenue | 275,509 | 286,482 |
| Warranty reserve | 235,174 | 182,247 |
| Customer deposits | 8,975 | 12,290 |
| Other | 36,459 | |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 977,803 | \$ 871,362 |

The Company establishes a warranty reserve based on anticipated warranty claims at the time product revenue is recognized. Factors affecting warranty reserve levels include the number of units sold, anticipated cost of warranty repairs and anticipated rates of warranty claims. The Company evaluates the adequacy of the provision for warranty costs each reporting period.

Details of the estimated warranty liability are as follows:

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 182,247 | \$ 805,162 |
| Warranty provision | 211,510 | (76,749) |
| Warranty settlements | (158,583) | (546,166) |
| | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 235,174 | \$ 182,247 |

In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, the Company increased its reserve by \$211,510, which includes a specific reserve of \$64,738 associated with potential costs related to older generation LRAD units. Warranty settlements during fiscal 2007 included costs associated with a custom HSS unit for an OEM. During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company also modified its estimate of warranty reserve for the LRAD products to reflect the costs associated with warranty replacements, which decreased the reserve and increased gross profit by approximately \$304,000. This change in estimated warranty reserve had no effect on the net loss per share of common stock.

10. MAJOR CUSTOMERS, SUPPLIERS, SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION

Major Customers

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, revenues from two customers, accounted for 17% and 10% of total revenues, respectively. No other single customer represented more than 10% of total revenues. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, revenues from two customers accounted for 18% and 10% of total revenues with no other single customer accounting for more than 10% of total revenues.

At September 30, 2008, accounts receivable from two customers accounted for 49% and 14% of total accounts receivable with no other single customer accounting for more than 10% of the accounts receivable balance. At September 30, 2007, accounts receivable from three customers accounted for 15%, 15% and 10% of total accounts receivable with no other single customer accounting for more than 10% of the accounts receivable balance.

Suppliers

The Company has a large number of components and sub-assemblies produced by outside suppliers, some of which are sourced from a single supplier, which can magnify the risk of shortages and decrease the Company s ability to negotiate with suppliers on the basis of price. In particular, the Company depends on its HSS piezo-film supplier to provide expertise and materials used in the Company s proprietary HSS emitters, and on one supplier of compression drivers for its LRAD products. If supplier shortages occur, or quality problems arise, then production schedules could be significantly delayed or costs significantly increased, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operation and cash flows.

Segment and Related Information

The Company presents its business as one reportable segment due to the similarity in nature of products marketed, financial performance measures (revenue growth and gross margin), methods of distribution (direct and indirect) and customer markets (each product is sold by the same personnel to government and commercial customers, domestically and internationally). The Company s chief operating decision making officer reviews financial information on sound products on a consolidated basis.

The following table summarizes revenues by geographic region. Revenues are attributed to countries based on customer location.

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Revenues | | |
| United States | \$ 7,026,174 | \$ 5,672,965 |
| Other | 4,163,768 | 4,227,915 |
| | | |
| Total Revenues | \$ 11,189,942 | \$ 9,900,880 |

The following table summarizes revenues by product line.

| Years Ended September 30, | | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|------|-----------|--------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| HSS | \$ | 713,294 | \$ 1,305,583 |
| LRAD | | 9,152,962 | 7,700,138 |
| Neoplanar | | 1,171,196 | 765,161 |
| Other | | 152,490 | 129,998 |
| | | | |
| Total Revenues | \$ 1 | 1,189,942 | \$ 9,900,880 |

11. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

| Years Ended September 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 662 | \$ |
| Cash paid for taxes | \$ 6.944 | \$ 11.031 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

December 4, 2008

By: /s/ THOMAS R. BROWN
Thomas R. Brown
President and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Know all persons by these presents, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Elwood G. Norris and Thomas R. Brown, and each of them, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place, and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming that all said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them or their or his substitute or substituted, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Date: December 4, 2008 | Ву | /s/ Elwood G. Norris Elwood G. Norris |
|------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Chairman of the Board and Director |
| Date: December 4, 2008 | Ву | /s/ Thomas R. Brown Thomas R. Brown |
| | | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| | | (Principal Executive Officer) |
| Date: December 4, 2008 | Ву | /s/ Katherine H. McDermott Katherine H. McDermott, Chief Financial Officer |
| | | (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| Date: December 4, 2008 | Ву | /s/ RAYMOND SMITH Raymond Smith |
| | | Director |
| Date: December 4, 2008 | Ву | /s/ Laura M. Clague Laura M. Clague |
| | | Director |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN APPAREL, INC - Form PRE 14A

Date: December 4, 2008 By /s/ Daniel Hunter
Daniel Hunter

Director

S-1