



CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.  
Table of Contents

	Page
<b><u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<b><u>Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u></b>	
<b><u>Statements of Operations—Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b><u>Statements of Comprehensive Income—Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u></b>	<b><u>4</u></b>
<b><u>Balance Sheets—June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u></b>	<b><u>5</u></b>
<b><u>Statements of Cash Flows—Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u></b>	<b><u>6</u></b>
<b><u>Statements of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity—Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u></b>	<b><u>7</u></b>
<b><u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u></b>	<b><u>8</u></b>
<b><u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	<b><u>26</u></b>
<b><u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	<b><u>42</u></b>
<b><u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u></b>	<b><u>43</u></b>
<b><u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<b><u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u></b>	<b><u>43</u></b>
<b><u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u></b>	<b><u>44</u></b>
<b><u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	<b><u>44</u></b>
<b><u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></b>	<b><u>44</u></b>
<b><u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u></b>	<b><u>44</u></b>
<b><u>Item 5. Other Information</u></b>	<b><u>44</u></b>
<b><u>Item 6. Exhibits</u></b>	<b><u>45</u></b>
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	<b><u>46</u></b>

Table of Contents

## PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
 (In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(Unaudited)			
Revenue	\$2,695,615	\$3,207,113	\$5,363,348	\$6,332,858
Cost of revenue	2,401,089	2,823,990	4,781,217	5,579,564
Gross profit	294,526	383,123	582,131	753,294
Selling and administrative expense	82,731	85,153	175,328	194,254
Intangibles amortization	10,494	14,942	21,771	30,594
Equity earnings	(1,985	) (394	) (5,975	) (4,596
Other operating expense (income), net	1,153	(685	) 934	2,137
Income from operations	202,133	284,107	390,073	530,905
Interest expense	(26,073	) (21,114	) (51,971	) (43,400
Interest income	3,059	2,184	5,548	4,232
Income before taxes	179,119	265,177	343,650	491,737
Income tax expense	(46,571	) (79,289	) (91,140	) (149,100
Net income	132,548	185,888	252,510	342,637
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(8,709	) (16,373	) (21,746	) (40,894
Net income attributable to CB&I	\$123,839	\$169,515	\$230,764	\$301,743
Net income attributable to CB&I per share:				
Basic	\$1.18	\$1.56	\$2.20	\$2.78
Diluted	\$1.17	\$1.55	\$2.18	\$2.76
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	105,298	108,700	105,051	108,450
Diluted	106,091	109,533	105,925	109,386
Cash dividends on shares:				
Amount	\$7,372	\$7,610	\$14,731	\$15,207
Per share	\$0.07	\$0.07	\$0.14	\$0.14

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(Unaudited)			
Net income	\$132,548	\$185,888	\$252,510	\$342,637
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	(23,661 )	24,309	(969 )	(36,125 )
Change in unrealized fair value of cash flow hedges	(260 )	644	1,043	(80 )
Change in unrecognized prior service pension credits/costs	(183 )	16	(156 )	(520 )
Change in unrecognized actuarial pension gains/losses	4,569	(4,619 )	2,416	9,999
Comprehensive income	113,013	206,238	254,844	315,911
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(8,709 )	(16,373 )	(21,746 )	(40,894 )
Change in cumulative translation adjustment attributable to noncontrolling interests	713	(217 )	(544 )	1,121
Comprehensive income attributable to CB&I	\$105,017	\$189,648	\$232,554	\$276,138

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

 CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (In thousands)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(Unaudited)	
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents (\$462,396 and \$410,989 related to variable interest entities ("VIEs"))	\$ 602,912	\$ 550,221
Accounts receivable, net (\$293,000 and \$334,232 related to VIEs)	1,444,879	1,331,217
Inventory	274,324	289,658
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings (\$21,251 and \$28,130 related to VIEs)	766,667	688,314
Other current assets (\$438,468 and \$372,523 related to VIEs)	560,512	507,889
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>3,649,294</b>	<b>3,367,299</b>
Equity investments	149,043	136,845
Property and equipment, net (\$17,945 and \$19,040 related to VIEs)	587,059	604,043
Goodwill	3,711,780	3,711,506
Other intangibles, net	389,775	410,949
Deferred income taxes	558,715	633,627
Other non-current assets	336,832	327,791
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$9,382,498</b>	<b>\$9,192,060</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Revolving facility and other short-term borrowings	\$472,000	\$ 653,000
Current maturities of long-term debt, net	391,270	147,871
Accounts payable (\$363,434 and \$405,853 related to VIEs)	1,108,440	1,162,077
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings (\$927,552 and \$846,180 related to VIEs)	2,099,869	1,934,111
Other current liabilities	1,063,874	959,889
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>5,135,453</b>	<b>4,856,948</b>
Long-term debt, net	1,474,498	1,791,832
Deferred income taxes	8,913	10,239
Other non-current liabilities	373,671	369,451
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>6,992,535</b>	<b>7,028,470</b>
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Common stock, Euro .01 par value; shares authorized: 250,000; shares issued: 108,857 and 108,857; shares outstanding: 105,315 and 104,427	1,288	1,288
Additional paid-in capital	772,343	800,641
Retained earnings	1,928,541	1,712,508
Treasury stock, at cost: 3,542 and 4,430 shares	(162,206 )	(206,407 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(292,250 )	(294,040 )
<b>Total CB&amp;I shareholders' equity</b>	<b>2,247,716</b>	<b>2,013,990</b>
Noncontrolling interests	142,247	149,600
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>2,389,963</b>	<b>2,163,590</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$9,382,498</b>	<b>\$9,192,060</b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
	(Unaudited)	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$252,510	\$342,637
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	62,853	86,699
Deferred income taxes	68,932	101,035
Stock-based compensation expense	21,275	37,767
Other operating expense, net	934	2,137
Unrealized loss on foreign currency hedges	2,863	1,559
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(46	) (280
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Increase in receivables, net	(113,662	) (20,291
Change in contracts in progress, net	87,405	(626,450
Decrease (increase) in inventory	15,334	(6,089
Decrease in accounts payable	(53,637	) (77,798
Increase in other current and non-current assets	(12,764	) (30,147
Decrease in other current and non-current liabilities	(22,878	) (42,675
Decrease in equity investments	445	19,030
Change in other, net	9,700	18,162
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	319,264	(194,704
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(25,276	) (33,717
Advances with partners of proportionately consolidated ventures, net	(39,116	) (122,436
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	4,302	3,292
Other, net	(55,578	) (36,783
Net cash used in investing activities	(115,668	) (189,644
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Revolving facility and other short-term (repayments) borrowings, net	(181,000	) 431,999
Advances with equity method and proportionately consolidated ventures, net	161,698	84,936
Repayments on long-term debt	(75,000	) (52,974
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	46	280
Purchase of treasury stock	(7,970	) (13,887
Issuance of stock	8,864	11,074
Dividends paid	(14,731	) (15,207
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(29,643	) (23,998
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(137,736	) 422,223
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(13,169	) (34,110
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	52,691	3,765
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the year	550,221	351,323
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$602,912	\$355,088

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



Table of Contents

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
 (In thousands, except per share data)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016				Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Non - controlling Interests	Total Shareholders' Equity
	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Shares	Amount			
	Shares	Amount			Shares	Amount			
	(Unaudited)								
Balance at December 31, 2015	104,427	\$ 1,288	\$ 800,641	\$ 1,712,508	4,430	\$(206,407)	\$(294,040)	\$ 149,600	\$ 2,163,590
Net income	—	—	—	230,764	—	—	—	21,746	252,510
Change in cumulative translation adjustment, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,513)	544	(969)
Change in unrealized fair value of cash flow hedges, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,043	—	1,043
Change in unrecognized prior service pension credits/costs, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(156)	—	(156)
Change in unrecognized actuarial pension gains/losses, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,416	—	2,416
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(29,643)	(29,643)
Dividends paid (\$0.14 per share)	—	—	—	(14,731)	—	—	—	—	(14,731)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	21,275	—	—	—	—	—	21,275
Purchase of treasury stock	(237)	—	—	—	237	(7,970)	—	—	(7,970)
Issuance of stock	1,125	—	(49,573)	—	(1,125)	52,171	—	—	2,598



Edgar Filing: CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON CO N V - Form 10-Q

Balance at June 30, 2016	105,315	\$ 1,288	\$ 772,343	\$ 1,928,541	3,542	\$(162,206)	\$(292,250 )	\$ 142,247	\$ 2,389,963	
Six Months Ended June 30, 2015										
	Common Stock			Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Non - controlling Interests	Total Shareholders' Equity	
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital		Shares	Amount				
	(Unaudited)									
Balance at December 31, 2014	107,806	\$ 1,283	\$ 776,864	\$ 2,246,770	601	\$(24,428)	\$(262,397 )	\$ 138,211	\$ 2,876,303	
Net income	—	—	—	301,743	—	—	—	40,894	342,637	
Change in cumulative translation adjustment, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(35,004 )	(1,121 )	(36,125 )	
Change in unrealized fair value of cash flow hedges, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(80 )	—	(80 )	
Change in unrecognized prior service pension credits/costs, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(520 )	—	(520 )	
Change in unrecognized actuarial pension gains/losses, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	9,999	—	9,999	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(23,998 )	(23,998 )	
Dividends paid (\$0.14 per share)	—	—	—	(15,207 )	—	—	—	—	(15,207 )	
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	37,767	—	—	—	—	—	37,767	
Issuance to treasury stock	—	3	15,348	—	350	(15,351 )	—	—	—	
Purchase of treasury stock	(339 )	—	—	—	339	(13,887 )	—	—	(13,887 )	
Issuance of stock	1,271	—	(46,218 )	—	(1,271)	52,719	—	—	6,501	
Balance at June 30, 2015	108,738	\$ 1,286	\$ 783,761	\$ 2,533,306	19	\$(947 )	\$(288,002 )	\$ 153,986	\$ 3,183,390	

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(\$ and share values in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Organization and Nature of Operations—Founded in 1889, Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. (“CB&I” or the “Company”) provides a wide range of services, including conceptual design, technology, engineering, procurement, fabrication, modularization, construction, commissioning, maintenance, program management and environmental services to customers in the energy infrastructure market throughout the world, and is a provider of diversified government services. Our business is aligned into four operating groups, which represent our reportable segments: (1) Engineering & Construction; (2) Fabrication Services; (3) Technology; and (4) Capital Services. See Note 15 for a discussion of our operating groups and related financial information.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting and Consolidation—The accompanying unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (“Financial Statements”) are prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States (“U.S.”) Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”). These Financial Statements include all wholly-owned subsidiaries and those entities which we are required to consolidate. See the “Partnering Arrangements” section of this footnote for further discussion of our consolidation policy for those entities that are not wholly-owned. Intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Basis of Presentation—We believe these Financial Statements include all adjustments, which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, our financial position as of June 30, 2016 and our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. The December 31, 2015 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet was derived from our December 31, 2015 audited Consolidated Balance Sheet, adjusted to conform to our current year presentation.

We believe the disclosures accompanying these Financial Statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for interim reporting periods. The results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The accompanying Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K (“2015 Annual Report”).

Use of Estimates—The preparation of our Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. We believe the most significant estimates and judgments are associated with revenue recognition for our contracts, including estimating costs and the recognition of incentive fees and unapproved change orders and claims; fair value and recoverability assessments that must be periodically performed with respect to long-lived tangible assets, goodwill and other intangible assets; valuation of deferred tax assets and financial instruments; the determination of liabilities related to self-insurance programs and income taxes; and consolidation determinations with respect to our partnering arrangements. If the underlying estimates and assumptions upon which our Financial Statements are based change in the future, actual amounts may differ from those included in the accompanying Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition—Our revenue is primarily derived from long-term contracts and is generally recognized using the percentage of completion (“POC”) method, primarily based on the percentage that actual costs-to-date bear to total estimated costs to complete each contract. We follow the guidance of Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Revenue Recognition Topic 605-35 for accounting policies relating to our use of the POC method, estimating costs, and revenue recognition, including the recognition of incentive fees, unapproved change orders and claims, and combining and segmenting contracts. We primarily utilize the cost-to-cost

approach to estimate POC as we believe this method is less subjective than relying on assessments of physical progress. Under the cost-to-cost approach, the use of estimated costs to complete each contract is a significant variable in the process of determining recognized revenue and is a significant factor in the accounting for contracts. Significant estimates that impact the cost to complete each contract are costs of engineering, materials, components, equipment, labor and subcontracts; labor productivity; schedule durations, including subcontractor or supplier progress; liquidated damages; contract disputes, including claims; achievement of contractual performance requirements; and contingency, among others. The cumulative impact of revisions in total cost estimates during the progress of work is reflected in the period in which these changes become known, including, to the extent required, the

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

reversal of profit recognized in prior periods and the recognition of losses expected to be incurred on contracts in progress. Due to the various estimates inherent in our contract accounting, actual results could differ from those estimates. Backlog for each of our operating groups generally consists of several hundred contracts, and our results may be impacted by changes in estimated project margins. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, individual projects with significant changes in estimated margins did not have a material net impact on our income from operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 individual projects with significant changes in estimated margins resulted in a net increase to our income from operations of approximately \$16,000.

Our long-term contracts are awarded on a competitively bid and negotiated basis and the timing of revenue recognition may be impacted by the terms of such contracts. We use a range of contracting options, including cost-reimbursable, fixed-price and hybrid, which has both cost-reimbursable and fixed-price characteristics. Fixed-price contracts, and hybrid contracts with a more significant fixed-price component, tend to provide us with greater control over project schedule and the timing of when work is performed and costs are incurred, and accordingly, when revenue is recognized. Cost-reimbursable contracts, and hybrid contracts with a more significant cost-reimbursable component, generally provide our customers with greater influence over the timing of when we perform our work, and accordingly, such contracts often result in less predictability with respect to the timing of revenue recognition. Contract revenue for our long-term contracts recognized under the POC method reflects the original contract price adjusted for approved change orders and estimated recoveries for incentive fees, unapproved change orders and claims. We recognize revenue associated with incentive fees when the value can be reliably estimated and recovery is probable. We recognize revenue associated with unapproved change orders and claims to the extent the related costs have been incurred, the value can be reliably estimated and recovery is probable. Our recorded incentive fees, unapproved change orders and claims reflect our best estimate of recovery amounts; however, the ultimate resolution and amounts received could differ from these estimates. See Note 14 for additional discussion of our recorded unapproved change orders, claims and incentives.

With respect to our engineering, procurement, and construction (“EPC”) services, our contracts are not segmented between types of services, such as engineering and construction, if each of the EPC components is negotiated concurrently or if the pricing of any such services is subject to the ultimate negotiation and agreement of the entire EPC contract. However, an EPC contract including technology or fabrication services may be segmented if we satisfy the segmenting criteria in ASC 605-35. Revenue recorded in these situations is based on our prices and terms for similar services to third party customers. Segmenting a contract may result in different interim rates of profitability for each scope of service than if we had recognized revenue without segmenting. In some instances, we may combine contracts that are entered into in multiple phases, but are interdependent and include pricing considerations by us and the customer that are impacted by all phases of the project. Otherwise, if each phase is independent of the other and pricing considerations do not give effect to another phase, the contracts will not be combined.

Cost of revenue for our long-term contracts includes direct contract costs, such as materials and labor, and indirect costs that are attributable to contract activity. The timing of when we bill our customers is generally dependent upon advance billing terms, milestone billings based on the completion of certain phases of the work, or when services are provided. Projects with costs and estimated earnings recognized to date in excess of cumulative billings is reported on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (“Balance Sheet”) as costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings. Projects with cumulative billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings recognized to date is reported on the Balance Sheet as billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings. The net balances on our Balance Sheet are collectively referred to as Contracts in Progress, net and the components of these balances at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Costs and estimated earnings on contracts in progress	\$ 10,150,321	\$ 29,372,071	\$ 14,853,683	\$ 21,942,765
Billings on contracts in progress	(9,383,654 )	(31,471,940 )	(14,165,369 )	(23,876,876 )
Contracts in Progress, net	\$ 766,667	\$ (2,099,869 )	\$ 688,314	\$ (1,934,111 )

Any uncollected billed amounts, including contract retentions, are reported as accounts receivable. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, accounts receivable included contract retentions of approximately \$59,800 and \$62,900, respectively. Contract retentions due beyond one year were not material at June 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015. Revenue for our service contracts that do not satisfy the criteria for revenue recognition under the POC method is recorded at the time services are performed. Revenue associated with incentive fees for these contracts is recognized when earned. Unbilled receivables for our service contracts are recorded within accounts receivable and were approximately \$79,600 and \$71,600 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Revenue for our pipe and steel fabrication and catalyst manufacturing contracts that are independent of an EPC contract, or for which we satisfy the segmentation criteria discussed above, is recognized upon shipment of the fabricated or manufactured units. During the fabrication or manufacturing process, all related direct and allocable indirect costs are capitalized as work in process inventory and such costs are recorded as cost of revenue at the time of shipment.

Our billed and unbilled revenue may be exposed to potential credit risk if our customers should encounter financial difficulties, and we maintain reserves for specifically-identified potential uncollectible receivables. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our allowances for doubtful accounts were not material.

Other Operating Expense (Income), Net—Other operating expense (income), net generally represents (gains) losses associated with the sale or disposition of property and equipment. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, other operating expense (income), net also included a gain of approximately \$7,500 related to the contribution of a technology to our unconsolidated Chevron-Lummus Global (“CLG”) joint venture and a foreign exchange loss of approximately \$11,000 associated with the re-measurement of certain non-U.S. Dollar denominated net assets, both of which occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Recoverability of Goodwill—Goodwill is not amortized to earnings, but instead is reviewed for impairment at least annually at a reporting unit level, absent any indicators of impairment or when other actions require an impairment assessment (such as a change in reporting units). We perform our annual impairment assessment during the fourth quarter of each year based upon balances as of October 1. We identify a potential impairment by comparing the fair value of the applicable reporting unit to its net book value, including goodwill. If the net book value exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, an indication of potential impairment exists, and we measure the impairment by comparing the carrying value of the reporting unit’s goodwill to its implied fair value. To determine the fair value of our reporting units and test for impairment, we utilize an income approach (discounted cash flow method) as we believe this is the most direct approach to incorporate the specific economic attributes and risk profiles of our reporting units into our valuation model. This is consistent with the methodology used to determine the fair value of our reporting units in previous years. We generally do not utilize a market approach given the lack of relevant information generated by market transactions involving comparable businesses. See Note 6 for additional discussion of our goodwill.

Recoverability of Other Long-Lived Assets—We amortize our finite-lived intangible assets on a straight-line basis with lives ranging from 4 to 20 years, absent any indicators of impairment. We review tangible assets and finite-lived intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If a recoverability assessment is required, the estimated future cash flow associated with the asset or asset group will be compared to the asset’s carrying amount to determine if an impairment exists. See Note 6 for additional discussion of our intangible assets.

Earnings Per Share (“EPS”)—Basic EPS is calculated by dividing net income attributable to CB&I by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the assumed conversion of dilutive securities, consisting of restricted shares, performance based shares (where performance criteria have been met), stock options and directors’ deferred-fee shares. See Note 3 for calculations associated with basic and diluted EPS.

Cash Equivalents—Cash equivalents are considered to be highly liquid securities with original maturities of three months or less.

Inventory—Inventory is recorded at the lower of cost or market and cost is determined using the first-in-first-out or weighted-average cost method. The cost of inventory includes acquisition costs, production or conversion costs, and other costs incurred to bring the inventory to a current location and condition. An allowance for excess or inactive inventory is recorded based upon an analysis that considers current inventory levels, historical usage patterns, estimates of future sales expectations and salvage value. See Note 5 for additional discussion of our inventory.

Foreign Currency—The nature of our business activities involves the management of various financial and market risks, including those related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The effects of translating financial statements of foreign operations into our reporting currency are recognized as a cumulative translation adjustment in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (“AOCI”) which is net of tax, where applicable. With the exception of a foreign

exchange loss of approximately \$11,000 included within other operating expense (income), net related to the re-measurement of certain non-U.S. Dollar denominated net assets during the three months ended March 31, 2015, foreign currency transactional and re-measurement exchange gains (losses) are included within cost of revenue and were not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.



Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

**Financial Instruments**—We utilize derivative instruments in certain circumstances to mitigate the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates, as described below:

**Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Derivatives**—We do not engage in currency speculation; however, we utilize foreign currency exchange rate derivatives on an ongoing basis to hedge against certain foreign currency-related operating exposures. We generally seek hedge accounting treatment for contracts used to hedge operating exposures and designate them as cash flow hedges. Therefore, gains and losses, exclusive of credit risk and forward points (which represent the time-value component of the fair value of our derivative positions), are included in AOCI until the associated underlying operating exposure impacts our earnings. Changes in the fair value of (1) credit risk and forward points, (2) instruments deemed ineffective during the period, and (3) instruments that we do not designate as cash flow hedges are recognized within cost of revenue.

**Interest Rate Derivatives**—At June 30, 2016, we continued to utilize a swap arrangement to hedge against interest rate variability associated with \$328,250 of our outstanding \$375,000 unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan”). The swap arrangement has been designated as a cash flow hedge as its critical terms matched those of the Term Loan at inception and through June 30, 2016. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the swap arrangement are included in AOCI until the associated underlying exposure impacts our earnings.

For those contracts designated as cash flow hedges, we document all relationships between the derivative instruments and associated hedged items, as well as our risk-management objectives and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives to specific firm commitments or highly-probable forecasted transactions. We continually assess, at inception and on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of derivative instruments in offsetting changes in the cash flow of the designated hedged items. Hedge accounting designation is discontinued when (1) it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flow of the hedged item, including firm commitments or forecasted transactions, (2) the derivative is sold, terminated, exercised, or expires, (3) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur, or (4) we determine that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. See Note 9 for additional discussion of our financial instruments.

**Income Taxes**—Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted income tax rates for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided to offset any net deferred tax assets (“DTA(s)”) if, based upon the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the DTAs will not be realized. The realization of our net DTAs depends upon our ability to generate sufficient future taxable income of the appropriate character and in the appropriate jurisdictions.

Income tax and associated interest reserves, where applicable, are recorded in those instances where we consider it more likely than not that additional tax will be due in excess of amounts reflected in income tax returns filed worldwide, irrespective of whether or not we have received tax assessments. We continually review our exposure to additional income tax obligations and, as further information is known or events occur, changes in our tax and interest reserves may be recorded within income tax expense and interest expense, respectively.

**Partnering Arrangements**—In the ordinary course of business, we execute specific projects and conduct certain operations through joint venture, consortium and other collaborative arrangements (collectively referred to as “venture(s)”). We have various ownership interests in these ventures, with such ownership typically proportionate to our decision-making and distribution rights. The ventures generally contract directly with the third party customer; however, services may be performed directly by the ventures, or may be performed by us, our partners, or a combination thereof.

Venture net assets consist primarily of working capital and property and equipment, and assets may be restricted from being used to fund obligations outside of the venture. These ventures typically have limited third party debt or have debt that is non-recourse in nature; however, they may provide for capital calls to fund operations or require participants in the venture to provide additional financial support, including advance payment or retention letters of

credit.

Each venture is assessed at inception and on an ongoing basis as to whether it qualifies as a VIE under the consolidations guidance in ASC 810. A venture generally qualifies as a VIE when it (1) meets the definition of a legal entity, (2) absorbs the operational risk of the projects being executed, creating a variable interest, and (3) lacks sufficient capital investment from the partners, potentially resulting in the venture requiring additional subordinated financial support, if necessary, to finance its future activities.

11

---

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

If at any time a venture qualifies as a VIE, we perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE and, therefore, need to consolidate the VIE. We are the primary beneficiary if we have (1) the power to direct the economically significant activities of the VIE and (2) the right to receive benefits from, and obligation to absorb losses of, the VIE. If the venture is a VIE and we are the primary beneficiary, or we otherwise have the ability to control the venture, we consolidate the venture. If we are not determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE, or only have the ability to significantly influence, rather than control the venture, we do not consolidate the venture. We account for unconsolidated ventures using proportionate consolidation for both the Balance Sheet and Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations (“Statement of Operations”) when we meet the applicable accounting criteria to do so and utilize the equity method otherwise. See Note 7 for additional discussion of our material partnering arrangements.

**New Accounting Standards**—In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, which provides a single comprehensive accounting standard for revenue recognition for contracts with customers and supersedes current industry-specific guidance, including ASC 605-35. The new standard prescribes a five-step revenue recognition model that focuses on transfer of control and entitlement to consideration in determining the amount of revenue to be recognized. The guidance also significantly expands qualitative and quantitative disclosure requirements regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. We will adopt the standard, including any updates to the standard, upon its effective date in the first quarter 2018. Our adoption will result in retrospective application, either in the form of recasting all prior periods presented or a cumulative adjustment to equity in the period of adoption. We are assessing the potential impact of the new standard on our Financial Statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, which amends existing consolidation requirements in ASC 810 associated with: (1) determining the consolidation model and assessing control for limited partnerships and similar entities; (2) determining when fees paid to decision makers or service providers are variable interests; and (3) evaluating interests held by de facto agents or related parties of the reporting entity. We adopted the standard upon its effective date in the first quarter 2016. Our adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, which requires presentation of debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset, as presented under previous guidance. We adopted the standard upon its effective date in the first quarter 2016. Our adoption resulted in the reclassification of deferred debt issuance costs from other current assets and other non-current assets of approximately \$2,129 and \$8,168, respectively, to current maturities of long term debt and long term debt, respectively, in our December 31, 2015 Balance Sheet.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, which requires the recognition of a right-of-use asset and a lease liability for most lease arrangements with a term greater than one year, and increases qualitative and quantitative disclosures regarding leasing transactions. The standard is effective for us in the first quarter 2019, although early adoption is permitted. Transition requires application of the new guidance at the beginning of the earliest comparative balance sheet period presented utilizing a modified retrospective approach. We are assessing the timing of adoption of the new standard and its potential impact on our Financial Statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, which modifies the accounting for excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies associated with share-based payments, and amends the associated cash flow presentation. ASU 2016-09 eliminates the requirement to recognize excess tax benefits in additional paid-in capital (“APIC”), and the requirement to evaluate tax deficiencies for APIC or income tax expense classification, and provides for these benefits or deficiencies to be recorded as an income tax expense or benefit in the income statement. Additionally, tax benefits of dividends on share-based payment awards will also be reflected as an income tax expense or benefit in the income statement. With these changes, tax-related cash flows resulting from share-based payments will be classified as operating activities as opposed to financing, as currently presented. We will adopt the standard upon its effective date in the first quarter 2017. We are assessing the potential impact of the new standard on our Financial Statements.



Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

A reconciliation of weighted average basic shares outstanding to weighted average diluted shares outstanding and the computation of basic and diluted EPS are as follows:

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income attributable to CB&I	\$ 123,839	\$ 169,515	\$ 230,764	\$ 301,743
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	105,298	108,700	105,051	108,450
Effect of restricted shares/performance based shares/stock options <sup>(1)</sup>	780	822	861	926
Effect of directors' deferred-fee shares	13	11	13	10
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	106,091	109,533	105,925	109,386
Net income attributable to CB&I per share:				
Basic	\$ 1.18	\$ 1.56	\$ 2.20	\$ 2.78
Diluted	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.55	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.76

(1) Antidilutive shares excluded from diluted EPS were not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 or 2015.

## 4. DISPOSITION OF NUCLEAR OPERATIONS

As more fully described in our 2015 Annual Report, on December 31, 2015 we completed the sale of our nuclear power construction business (the “Nuclear Operations”), previously included within our Engineering & Construction operating group, to Westinghouse Electric Company LLC (“WEC”) for transaction consideration of approximately \$161,000, which will be received upon WEC’s substantial completion of certain acquired contracts. The present value of the estimated consideration was approximately \$146,000 at June 30, 2016, and is recorded within other non-current assets on our Balance Sheet. The imputed interest on the estimated consideration is included within interest income on our Statement of Operations.

Supplemental unaudited revenue and pre-tax income of our former Nuclear Operations is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	Six Months Ended
Revenue	\$ 564,327	\$ 1,052,586
Pre-tax income	\$ 71,800	\$ 117,400

## 5. INVENTORY

The components of inventory at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Raw materials	\$ 124,291	\$ 142,170
Work in process	62,681	58,884
Finished goods	87,352	88,604
Total	\$ 274,324	\$ 289,658

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

Goodwill—At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our goodwill balances were \$3,711,780 and \$3,711,506, respectively, attributable to the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in connection with our acquisitions. The change in goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2016 was as follows:

	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$3,711,506
Foreign currency translation and other	1,991
Amortization of tax goodwill in excess of book goodwill	(1,717 )
Balance at June 30, 2016	\$3,711,780

<sup>(1)</sup> At June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$453,100 of cumulative impairment losses, which were recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2015 related to the sale of our Nuclear Operations.

At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had the following seven reporting units within our four operating groups:

Engineering & Construction—Our Engineering & Construction operating group represents a reporting unit.

Fabrication Services—Our Fabrication Services operating group includes three reporting units: Steel Plate Structures, Fabrication & Manufacturing and Engineered Products.

Technology—Our Technology operating group represents a reporting unit.

Capital Services—Our Capital Services operating group includes two reporting units: Facilities & Plant Services and Federal Services.

During the three months ended December 31, 2015, we performed a quantitative assessment of goodwill for each of the aforementioned reporting units. Based upon these quantitative assessments, the fair value of each of our reporting units exceeded their respective net book values, and accordingly, no impairment charge was necessary as a result of our impairment assessments. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, no indicators of goodwill impairment were identified for any of our reporting units. If, based on future assessments our goodwill is deemed to be impaired, the impairment would result in a charge to earnings in the period of impairment. There can be no assurance that future goodwill impairment tests will not result in charges to earnings.

Other Intangible Assets—The following table provides a summary of our acquired finite-lived intangible assets at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, including the June 30, 2016 weighted-average useful lives for each major intangible asset class and in total:

	Weighted Average Life	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Backlog and customer relationships <sup>(1)</sup>	18 Years	\$261,586	\$ (56,354 )	\$281,072	\$ (66,666 )
Process technologies	15 Years	272,248	(125,184 )	271,028	(115,608 )
Tradenames	10 Years	64,854	(27,375 )	64,790	(23,667 )
Total <sup>(2)</sup>	16 Years	\$598,688	\$ (208,913 )	\$616,890	\$ (205,941 )

<sup>(1)</sup> Backlog and customer relationships intangibles totaling approximately \$19,500 became fully amortized during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and were therefore removed from the June 30, 2016 gross carrying and accumulated amortization balances above.

<sup>(2)</sup> The remaining decrease in other intangibles, net during the six months ended June 30, 2016 primarily related to amortization expense of approximately \$21,800.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 7. PARTNERING ARRANGEMENTS

As discussed in Note 2, we account for our unconsolidated ventures using either proportionate consolidation, when we meet the applicable accounting criteria to do so, or the equity method. Further, we consolidate any venture that is determined to be a VIE for which we are the primary beneficiary, or which we otherwise effectively control.

**Proportionately Consolidated Ventures**—The following is a summary description of our significant joint ventures which have been accounted for using proportionate consolidation:

**CB&I/Zachry**—We have a venture with Zachry (CB&I—50% / Zachry—50%) to perform EPC work for two liquefied natural gas (“LNG”) liquefaction trains in Freeport, Texas. Our proportionate share of the venture project value is approximately \$2,700,000. In addition, we have subcontract and risk sharing arrangements with Chiyoda to support our responsibilities to the venture. The costs of these arrangements are recorded in cost of revenue.

**CB&I/Zachry/Chiyoda**—We have a venture with Zachry and Chiyoda (CB&I—33.3% / Zachry—33.3% / Chiyoda—33.3%) to perform EPC work for an additional LNG liquefaction train at the aforementioned project site in Freeport, Texas. Our proportionate share of the venture project value is approximately \$675,000.

**CB&I/Chiyoda**—We have a venture with Chiyoda (CB&I—50% / Chiyoda—50%) to perform EPC work for three LNG liquefaction trains in Hackberry, Louisiana. Our proportionate share of the venture project value is approximately \$3,100,000.

The following table presents summarized balance sheet information for our share of our proportionately consolidated VIEs:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<b>CB&amp;I/Zachry</b>		
Current assets <sup>(1)</sup>	\$377,548	\$298,916
Non-current assets	4,470	6,689
Total assets	\$382,018	\$305,605
Current liabilities <sup>(1)</sup>	\$527,563	\$454,943
<b>CB&amp;I/Zachry/Chiyoda</b>		
Current assets <sup>(1)</sup>	\$85,587	\$84,696
Current liabilities <sup>(1)</sup>	\$80,153	\$86,124
<b>CB&amp;I/Chiyoda</b>		
Current assets <sup>(1)</sup>	\$450,242	\$424,781
Current liabilities <sup>(1)</sup>	\$364,509	\$433,526

Our venture arrangements allow for excess working capital of the ventures to be advanced to the venture partners.

Such advances are returned to the venture for working capital needs as necessary. Accordingly, at a reporting period end a venture may have advances to its partners which are reflected as an advance receivable within current <sup>(1)</sup> assets of the venture. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, other current assets on the Balance Sheet included approximately \$364,200 and \$325,000, respectively, related to our proportionate share of advances from the ventures to our venture partners, and other current liabilities included approximately \$376,600 and \$334,900, respectively, related to advances to CB&I from the ventures.

**Equity Method Ventures**—The following is a summary description of our significant joint ventures which have been accounted for using the equity method:

**Chevron-Lummus Global (“CLG”)**—We have a venture with Chevron (CB&I—50% / Chevron—50%), which provides licenses, engineering services and catalyst, primarily for the refining industry. As sufficient capital investments in CLG have been made by the venture partners, it does not qualify as a VIE.

**NetPower LLC (“NetPower”)**—We have a venture with Exelon and 8 Rivers Capital (CB&I—33.3% / Exelon—33.3% / 8 Rivers Capital—33.3%), which was formed for the purpose of developing, commercializing and monetizing a new natural gas power generation system that recovers essentially all the carbon dioxide produced during combustion. NetPower is building a first-of-its-kind demonstration plant which is being funded by contributions and services from

the venture partners and other parties. We have determined the venture to be a VIE; however, we do not

15

---



Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

effectively control NetPower and therefore do not consolidate it. Our cash commitment for NetPower totals \$47,300 and at June 30, 2016, we had made cumulative investments totaling approximately \$26,900 of the \$47,300.

CB&I/CTCI Corporation (“CTCI”)—We have a venture with CTCI (CB&I—50% / CTIC—50%) to perform EPC work for a liquids ethylene cracker and associated units in Sohar, Oman. We have determined the venture to be a VIE; however, we do not effectively control CB&I/CTCI and therefore do not consolidate it. Our proportionate share of the venture project value is approximately \$1,400,000. Our venture arrangement allows for excess working capital of the venture to be advanced to the venture partners. Such advances are returned to the venture for working capital needs as necessary. At June 30, 2016, other current liabilities included approximately \$120,000 related to advances to CB&I from the venture.

Consolidated Ventures—The following is a summary description of our significant joint ventures we consolidate due to their designation as VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary:

CB&I/Kentz—We have a venture with Kentz (CB&I—65% / Kentz—35%) to perform the structural, mechanical, piping, electrical and instrumentation work on, and to provide commissioning support for, three LNG trains, including associated utilities and a gas processing and compression plant, for the Gorgon LNG project, located on Barrow Island, Australia. Our venture project value is approximately \$5,700,000.

CB&I/AREVA—We have a venture with AREVA (CB&I—52% / AREVA—48%) to design, license and construct a mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility in Aiken, South Carolina. Our venture project value is approximately \$5,500,000.

The following table presents summarized balance sheet information for our consolidated VIEs:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
CB&I/Kentz		
Current assets	\$ 180,783	\$ 214,291
Current liabilities	\$ 260,641	\$ 191,471
CB&I/AREVA		
Current assets	\$ 21,755	\$ 24,269
Current liabilities	\$ 49,163	\$ 65,674
All Other <sup>(1)</sup>		
Current assets	\$ 106,194	\$ 112,532
Non-current assets	18,089	19,253
Total assets	\$ 124,283	\$ 131,785
Current liabilities	\$ 19,958	\$ 32,001

<sup>(1)</sup> Other ventures that we consolidate are not individually material to our financial results and are therefore aggregated as “All Other”.

Other—The use of these ventures exposes us to a number of risks, including the risk that our partners may be unable or unwilling to provide their share of capital investment to fund the operations of the venture or complete their obligations to us, the venture, or ultimately, our customer. Differences in opinions or views among venture partners could also result in delayed decision-making or failure to agree on material issues, which could adversely affect the business and operations of the venture. In addition, agreement terms may subject us to joint and several liability for our venture partners, and the failure of our venture partners to perform their obligations could impose additional performance and financial obligations on us. The aforementioned factors could result in unanticipated costs to complete the projects, liquidated damages or contract disputes, including claims against our partners.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 8. DEBT

Our outstanding debt at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Current		
Revolving facility and other short-term borrowings	\$472,000	\$ 653,000
Current maturities of long-term debt	393,750	150,000
Less: unamortized debt issuance costs	(2,480 )	(2,129 )
Current maturities of long-term debt, net of unamortized debt issuance costs	391,270	147,871
Current debt, net of unamortized debt issuance costs	\$863,270	\$ 800,871
Long-Term		
Term Loan: \$1,000,000 term loan (interest at LIBOR plus a floating margin)	\$375,000	\$ 450,000
Second Term Loan: \$500,000 term loan (interest at LIBOR plus a floating margin)	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes: \$800,000 senior notes, series A-D (fixed interest ranging from 4.15% to 5.30%)	800,000	800,000
Second Senior Notes: \$200,000 senior notes (fixed interest of 4.53%)	200,000	200,000
Less: unamortized debt issuance costs	(6,752 )	(8,168 )
Less: current maturities of long-term debt	(393,750 )	(150,000 )
Long-term debt, net of unamortized debt issuance costs	\$1,474,498	\$ 1,791,832

Committed Facilities—We have a five-year, \$1,350,000, committed and unsecured revolving facility (the “Revolving Facility”) with Bank of America N.A. (“BofA”), as administrative agent, and BNP Paribas Securities Corp., BBVA Compass, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (“Credit Agricole”) and TD Securities, each as syndication agents, which expires in October 2018. The Revolving Facility has a \$270,000 financial letter of credit sublimit and certain financial and restrictive covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio of 3.25, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.75, and a minimum net worth level calculated as \$1,675,771 at June 30, 2016. The Revolving Facility also includes customary restrictions regarding subsidiary indebtedness, sales of assets, liens, investments, type of business conducted, and mergers and acquisitions, and includes a trailing twelve-month limitation of \$250,000 for dividend payments and share repurchases if our leverage ratio exceeds 1.50 (unlimited if our leverage ratio is equal to or below 1.50), among other restrictions. In addition to interest on debt borrowings, we are assessed quarterly commitment fees on the unutilized portion of the facility as well as letter of credit fees on outstanding instruments. The interest, commitment fee, and letter of credit fee percentages are based upon our quarterly leverage ratio. In the event we borrow funds under the facility, interest is assessed at either prime plus an applicable floating margin (3.50% and 0.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016), or LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (0.46% and 1.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016). At June 30, 2016, we had no outstanding borrowings under the facility and \$80,277 of outstanding letters of credit under the facility (none of which were financial letters of credit), providing \$1,269,723 of available capacity. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, our weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the facility was approximately 2.2%, inclusive of the applicable floating margin.

We have a five-year, \$800,000, committed and unsecured revolving credit facility (the “Second Revolving Facility”) with BofA, as administrative agent, and BNP Paribas Securities Corp., BBVA Compass, Credit Agricole and Bank of Tokyo Mitsubishi UFJ, each as syndication agents, which expires in July 2020. The Second Revolving Facility supplements our Revolving Facility, has a \$50,000 financial letter of credit sublimit and has financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility. In addition to interest on debt borrowings, we are assessed quarterly commitment fees on the unutilized portion of the facility as well as letter of credit fees on outstanding instruments. The interest, commitment fee, and letter of credit fee percentages are based upon our quarterly leverage ratio. In the event we borrow funds under the facility, interest is assessed at either prime plus an applicable floating margin (3.50% and 0.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016), or LIBOR plus an applicable floating

margin (0.46% and 1.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016). At June 30, 2016, we had \$22,000 of outstanding borrowings and \$15,377 of outstanding letters of credit under the facility (including \$3,141 of financial letters of credit), providing \$762,623 of available capacity. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, our weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the facility was approximately 4.2%, inclusive of the applicable floating margin.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

**Uncommitted Facilities**—We also have various short-term, uncommitted letter of credit and borrowing facilities (the “Uncommitted Facilities”) across several geographic regions of approximately \$4,392,405, of which \$563,000 may be utilized for borrowings. At June 30, 2016, we had \$450,000 of outstanding borrowings and \$1,600,066 of outstanding letters of credit under these facilities, providing \$2,342,339 of available capacity, of which \$113,000 may be utilized for borrowings. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, our weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the facilities was approximately 1.5%.

**Term Loans**—At June 30, 2016, we had \$375,000 outstanding on a four-year, \$1,000,000 unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan”) with BofA as administrative agent. Interest and principal under the Term Loan is payable quarterly in arrears and bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (0.46% and 1.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016).

However, we continue to utilize an interest rate swap to hedge against \$328,250 of the outstanding Term Loan, which resulted in a weighted average interest rate of approximately 2.3% during the six months ended June 30, 2016, inclusive of the applicable floating margin. Future annual maturities for the Term Loan are \$75,000 and \$300,000 for the remainder of 2016 and 2017, respectively. The Term Loan includes financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility.

At June 30, 2016, we had \$500,000 outstanding on a five-year, \$500,000 unsecured term loan (the “Second Term Loan”) with BofA as administrative agent. Interest and principal under the Second Term Loan is payable quarterly in arrears beginning in June 2017 and bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (rates are equivalent to the Term Loan). During the six months ended June 30, 2016, our weighted average interest rate on the Second Term Loan was approximately 2.2%, inclusive of the applicable floating margin. Future annual maturities for the Second Term Loan are \$56,250, \$75,000, \$75,000 and \$293,750 for 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. The Second Term Loan has financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility.

**Senior Notes**—We have a series of senior notes totaling \$800,000 in the aggregate (the “Senior Notes”) with Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, and Credit Agricole, as administrative agents. The Senior Notes have financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility. The Senior Notes include Series A through D, which contain the following terms:

Series A—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 4.15%, with principal of \$150,000 due in December 2017

Series B—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 4.57%, with principal of \$225,000 due in December 2019

Series C—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 5.15%, with principal of \$275,000 due in December 2022

Series D—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 5.30%, with principal of \$150,000 due in December 2024

We have senior notes totaling \$200,000 (the “Second Senior Notes”) with BofA as administrative agent. Interest is due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 4.53%, with principal of \$200,000 due in July 2025. The Second Senior Notes have financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility.

**Compliance and Other**—During the six months ended June 30, 2016, maximum outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit and other facilities were approximately \$1,419,000. In addition to providing letters of credit, we also issue surety bonds in the ordinary course of business to support our contract performance. At June 30, 2016, we had \$712,448 of outstanding surety bonds. At June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all of our financial and restrictive covenants associated with our debt and revolving credit facilities. Capitalized interest was insignificant for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 9. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

## Derivatives

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Derivatives—At June 30, 2016, the notional value of our outstanding forward contracts to hedge certain foreign exchange-related operating exposures was approximately \$77,000. These contracts vary in duration, maturing up to six years from period-end. We designate certain of these hedges as cash flow hedges and accordingly, changes in their fair value are recognized in AOCI until the associated underlying operating exposure impacts our earnings. Forward points, which are deemed to be an ineffective portion of the hedges, are recognized within cost of revenue and are not material.

Interest Rate Derivatives—We continue to utilize a swap arrangement to hedge against interest rate variability associated with \$328,250 of our outstanding \$375,000 Term Loan. The swap arrangement has been designated as a cash flow hedge as its critical terms matched those of the Term Loan at inception and through June 30, 2016. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the swap arrangement are recognized in AOCI until the associated underlying exposure impacts our earnings.

## Financial Instruments Disclosures

Fair Value—Financial instruments are required to be categorized within a valuation hierarchy based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The three levels of the valuation hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1—Fair value is based upon quoted prices in active markets.

Level 2—Fair value is based upon internally-developed models that use, as their basis, readily observable market parameters. Our derivative positions are classified within level 2 of the valuation hierarchy as they are valued using quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets. These level 2 derivatives are valued utilizing an income approach, which discounts future cash flow based upon current market expectations and adjusts for credit risk.

Level 3—Fair value is based upon internally-developed models that use, as their basis, significant unobservable market parameters. We did not have any level 3 classifications at June 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

The following table presents the fair value of our foreign currency exchange rate derivatives and interest rate derivatives at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, by valuation hierarchy and balance sheet classification:

	June 30, 2016				December 31, 2015			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Assets <sup>(1)</sup>								
Other current assets	\$—963	\$	—963	\$—3,344	\$	—3,344		
Other non-current assets	—266	—	266	—180	—	180		
Total assets at fair value	\$—1,229	\$	—1,229	\$—3,524	\$	—3,524		
Derivative Liabilities								
Other current liabilities	\$—(5,507)	\$	—(5,507)	\$—(7,568)	\$	—(7,568)		
Other non-current liabilities	—(72 )	—	(72 )	—(607 )	—	(607 )		
Total liabilities at fair value	\$—(5,579)	\$	—(5,579)	\$—(8,175)	\$	—(8,175)		

We are exposed to credit risk on our hedging instruments associated with potential counterparty non-performance, and the fair value of our derivatives reflects this credit risk. The total level 2 assets at fair value above represent the maximum loss that we would incur on our outstanding hedges if the applicable counterparties failed to perform according to the hedge contracts. To help mitigate counterparty credit risk, we transact only with counterparties that are rated as investment grade or higher and monitor all counterparties on a continuous basis.

The carrying values of our cash and cash equivalents (primarily consisting of bank deposits), accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their fair values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. At June 30, 2016, the fair values of our Term Loan and Second Term Loan, based upon the current market rates for debt with

similar credit risk and maturities, approximated their carrying values as interest is based upon LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin. Our Senior Notes and Second Senior Notes are categorized within level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Our Senior Notes had a total fair value of approximately \$807,100 and \$772,600 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, based on current market rates for debt with similar credit risk and maturities. Our Second Senior Notes had a total fair value of approximately \$217,000 and \$203,500 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, based on current market rates for debt with similar credit risk and maturities.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## Derivatives Disclosures

Fair Value—The following table presents the total fair value by underlying risk and balance sheet classification for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges and derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	Other Current and Non-Current Assets		Other Current and Non-Current Liabilities	
	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges				
Interest rate	\$—	\$ 471	\$(338 )	\$(192 )
Foreign currency	310	944	(367 )	(1,858 )
Fair value	\$310	\$ 1,415	\$(705 )	\$(2,050 )
Derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges				
Foreign currency	\$919	\$ 2,109	\$(4,874)	\$(6,125 )
Fair value	\$919	\$ 2,109	\$(4,874)	\$(6,125 )
Total fair value	\$1,229	\$ 3,524	\$(5,579)	\$(8,175 )

Master Netting Arrangements (“MNAs”)—Our derivatives are executed under International Swaps and Derivatives Association MNAs, which generally allow us and our counterparties to net settle, in a single net payable or receivable, obligations due on the same day, in the same currency and for the same type of derivative instrument. We have elected the option to record all derivatives on a gross basis in our Balance Sheet. The following table presents our derivative assets and liabilities at June 30, 2016 on a gross basis and a net settlement basis:

	Gross Amounts Recognized (i)	Gross Amounts Offset on the Balance Sheet (ii)	Net Amounts Presented on the Balance Sheet (iii) = (i) - (ii)	Gross Amounts Not Offset on the Balance Sheet (iv) Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received	Net Amount (v) = (iii) - (iv)
Derivative Assets						
Interest rate	\$ —	\$ —	—\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—\$ —
Foreign currency	1,229	—	1,229	(89 )	—	1,140
Total assets	\$ 1,229	\$ —	—\$ 1,229	\$ (89 )	\$ —	—\$ 1,140
Derivative Liabilities						
Interest rate	\$(338 )	\$ —	—\$(338 )	\$ —	\$ —	—\$(338 )
Foreign currency	(5,241 )	—	(5,241 )	89	—	(5,152 )
Total liabilities	\$(5,579 )	\$ —	—\$(5,579 )	\$ 89	\$ —	—\$(5,490 )

AOCI/Other—The following table presents the total value, by underlying risk, recognized in other comprehensive income (“OCI”) and reclassified from AOCI to interest expense (interest rate derivatives) and cost of revenue (foreign currency derivatives) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Effective Derivative Portion							
	Recognized in OCI				Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings <sup>(1)</sup>			
	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges								
Interest rate	\$(248)	\$(514)	\$(961)	\$(2,222)	\$(163)	\$(453 )	\$(344 )	\$(927 )

Edgar Filing: CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON CO N V - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency	(655 )	(285 )	821	(2,740 )	148	(1,333 )	(914 )	(2,470 )
Total	\$(903)	\$(799)	\$(140)	\$(4,962)	\$(15 )	\$(1,786)	\$(1,258)	\$(3,397)

(1) Net unrealized losses totaling \$285 are anticipated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings during the next 12 months due to settlement of the associated underlying obligations.

20

---



Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table presents the total value recognized in cost of revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 for foreign currency derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges:

	Amount of Gain (Loss)			
	Recognized in Earnings			
	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30,	2015	June 30,	2015
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges				
Foreign currency	\$(4,930)	\$4,249	\$(9,159)	\$(2,283)
Total	\$(4,930)	\$4,249	\$(9,159)	\$(2,283)

**10. RETIREMENT BENEFITS**

Our 2015 Annual Report disclosed anticipated 2016 defined benefit pension and other postretirement plan contributions of approximately \$16,900 and \$2,400, respectively. The following table provides updated contribution information for these plans at June 30, 2016:

	Pension Plans	Other Postretirement Plans
Contributions made through June 30, 2016	\$ 9,946	\$ 1,239
Contributions expected for the remainder of 2016	6,868	1,216
Total contributions expected for 2016	\$ 16,814	\$ 2,455

The following table provides a breakout of the components of net periodic benefit cost associated with our defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended June 30,	2015	Ended June 30,	2015
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<b>Pension Plans</b>				
Service cost	\$2,384	\$2,624	\$4,711	\$5,336
Interest cost	5,975	5,793	11,893	11,651
Expected return on plan assets	(6,821 )	(7,069 )	(13,617)	(14,206)
Amortization of prior service credits	(158 )	(153 )	(312 )	(311 )
Recognized net actuarial losses	1,475	1,904	2,936	3,838
Net periodic benefit cost	\$2,855	\$3,099	\$5,611	\$6,308
<b>Other Postretirement Plans</b>				
Service cost	\$176	\$140	\$352	\$435
Interest cost	341	272	681	801
Recognized net actuarial gains	(841 )	(1,115 )	(1,681 )	(1,265 )
Net periodic benefit income	\$(324 )	\$(703 )	\$(648 )	\$(29 )

**11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

**Legal Proceedings**—We have been and may from time to time be named as a defendant in legal actions claiming damages in connection with engineering and construction projects, technology licenses, other services we provide, and other matters. These are typically claims that arise in the normal course of business, including employment-related claims and contractual disputes or claims for personal injury or property damage which occur in connection with services performed relating to project or construction sites. Contractual disputes normally involve claims relating to the timely completion of projects, performance of equipment or technologies, design or other engineering services or project construction services provided by us. We do not believe that any of our pending contractual, employment-related personal injury or property damage claims and disputes will have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

The customer for one of our large cost reimbursable projects has filed a request for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce, alleging cost overruns on the project. The customer has not provided evidence to substantiate its allegations and we believe all amounts incurred and billed on the project, including outstanding receivables of approximately \$224,000 as of June 30, 2016, are contractually due under the provisions of our contract and are recoverable. We do not believe a risk of material loss is probable related to this matter, and accordingly, no amounts have been accrued.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

**Dispute Related to Sale of Nuclear Operations**—As discussed further in Note 4, on December 31, 2015, we sold our Nuclear Operations to WEC. In connection with the transaction, a customary post-closing purchase price adjustment mechanism was negotiated to account for any difference between target working capital and actual working capital as finally determined. On April 28, 2016, WEC delivered to the Company a purported closing statement estimating closing working capital to be negative \$976,506, which was \$2,150,506 less than target working capital. In contrast, the Company had calculated closing working capital to be \$1,601,805, which is \$427,805 greater than target working capital. On July 21, 2016, we filed a complaint against WEC in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware seeking a declaration that WEC has no remedy for the vast majority of its claims and requesting an injunction barring WEC from bringing such claims. We do not believe a risk of material loss is probable related to the matters in dispute, and accordingly, no amounts have been accrued. The Company intends to vigorously pursue this litigation and its rights under the purchase agreement.

**Asbestos Litigation**—We are a defendant in lawsuits wherein plaintiffs allege exposure to asbestos due to work we may have performed at various locations. We have never been a manufacturer, distributor or supplier of asbestos products. Over the past several decades and through June 30, 2016, we have been named a defendant in lawsuits alleging exposure to asbestos involving approximately 5,900 plaintiffs and, of those claims, approximately 1,200 claims were pending and 4,700 have been closed through dismissals or settlements. Over the past several decades and through June 30, 2016, the claims alleging exposure to asbestos that have been resolved have been dismissed or settled for an average settlement amount of approximately two thousand dollars per claim. We review each case on its own merits and make accruals based upon the probability of loss and our estimates of the amount of liability and related expenses, if any. While we have seen an increase in the number of recent filings, especially in one specific venue, we do not believe the increase or any unresolved asserted claims will have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flow, and at June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$6,600 accrued for liability and related expenses. With respect to unasserted asbestos claims, we cannot identify a population of potential claimants with sufficient certainty to determine the probability of a loss and to make a reasonable estimate of liability, if any. While we continue to pursue recovery for recognized and unrecognized contingent losses through insurance, indemnification arrangements or other sources, we are unable to quantify the amount, if any, that we may expect to recover because of the variability in coverage amounts, limitations and deductibles, or the viability of carriers, with respect to our insurance policies for the years in question.

**Environmental Matters**—Our operations are subject to extensive and changing U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations, as well as the laws of other countries, that establish health and environmental quality standards. These standards, among others, relate to air and water pollutants and the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes. We are exposed to potential liability for personal injury or property damage caused by any release, spill, exposure or other accident involving such pollutants, substances or wastes.

In connection with the historical operation of our facilities, including those associated with acquired operations, substances which currently are or might be considered hazardous were used or disposed of at some sites that will or may require us to make expenditures for remediation. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify parties from whom we have purchased or to whom we have sold facilities for certain environmental liabilities arising from acts occurring before the dates those facilities were transferred.

We believe we are in compliance, in all material respects, with environmental laws and regulations and maintain insurance coverage to mitigate our exposure to environmental liabilities. We do not believe any environmental matters will have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flow. We do not anticipate we will incur material capital expenditures for environmental controls or for the investigation or remediation of environmental conditions during the remainder of 2016 or 2017.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 12. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table presents changes in AOCI, net of tax, by component, during the six months ended June 30, 2016:

	Currency Translation Adjustment (1)	Unrealized Fair Value Of Cash Flow Hedges	Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans	Total
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$(209,281)	\$ (967 )	\$ (83,792 )	\$(294,040)
OCI before reclassifications	(1,513 )	275	1,293	55
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	768	967	1,735
Net OCI	(1,513 )	1,043	2,260	1,790
Balance at June 30, 2016	\$(210,794)	\$ 76	\$ (81,532 )	\$(292,250)

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, the currency translation adjustment component of AOCI was

(1) unfavorably impacted primarily by net movements in the British Pound and Euro exchange rates against the U.S. Dollar.

The following table presents reclassification of AOCI into earnings, net of tax, for each component, during the six months ended June 30, 2016:

	Amount Reclassified From AOCI
Unrealized Fair Value Of Cash Flow Hedges (1)	
Interest rate derivatives (interest expense)	\$ 344
Foreign currency derivatives (cost of revenue)	914
Total before tax	\$ 1,258
Tax	(490 )
Total net of tax	\$ 768
Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (2)	
Amortization of prior service credits	\$ (312 )
Recognized net actuarial losses	1,255
Total before tax	\$ 943
Tax	24
Total net of tax	\$ 967

(1) See Note 9 for further discussion of our cash flow hedges, including the total value reclassified from AOCI to earnings.

(2) See Note 10 for further discussion of our defined benefit and other postretirement plans, including the components of net periodic benefit cost.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

**13. EQUITY-BASED INCENTIVE PLANS**

Under our equity-based incentive plans (our “Incentive Plans”), we can issue shares to employees and directors in the form of restricted stock units (“RSUs”), performance based shares (including those based upon financial or stock price performance) and stock options. Changes in common stock, additional paid-in capital and treasury stock during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 primarily relate to activity associated with our Incentive Plans and share repurchases.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we had the following share grants associated with our Incentive Plans:

	Shares <sup>(1)</sup>	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value per Share
RSUs	986	\$ 33.75
Financial performance based shares	665	\$ 33.56
Stock performance based shares	166	\$ 37.41
Total	1,817	

<sup>(1)</sup> No stock options were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we had the following share issuances associated with our Incentive Plans and employee stock purchase plan (“ESPP”):

	Shares
Financial performance based shares (issued upon vesting)	370
RSUs (issued upon vesting)	471
Stock options (issued upon exercise)	38
ESPP shares (issued upon sale)	246
Total shares issued	1,125

During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recognized \$6,658 and \$7,100, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense, and during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recognized \$21,158 and \$39,063, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense, primarily within selling and administrative expense. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we repurchased 237 shares for \$7,970 (an average price of \$33.63) for taxes withheld on taxable share distributions.

**14. UNAPPROVED CHANGE ORDERS, CLAIMS, INCENTIVES AND OTHER CONTRACT RECOVERIES**

At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had unapproved change orders and claims included in project price totaling approximately \$100,300 and \$98,500, respectively, for projects primarily within our Engineering & Construction and Fabrication Services operating groups. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we also had incentives included in project price of approximately \$72,500 and \$99,300, respectively, for projects in our Engineering & Construction, Fabrication Services and Capital Services operating groups. Of the aforementioned unapproved change orders, claims and incentives, approximately \$148,800 had been recognized as revenue on a cumulative POC basis through June 30, 2016.

The aforementioned amounts recorded in project price reflect our best estimate of recovery amounts; however, the ultimate resolution and amounts received could differ from these estimates and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flow. See Note 11 for further discussion of outstanding receivables related to one of our large cost reimbursable projects.

Table of Contents

Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Company N.V.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our management structure and internal and public segment reporting are aligned based upon the services offered by our four operating groups, which represent our reportable segments: Engineering & Construction; Fabrication Services; Technology; and Capital Services.

Our Chief Executive Officer evaluates the performance of the aforementioned operating groups based upon revenue and income from operations. Each operating group's income from operations reflects corporate costs, allocated based primarily upon revenue. Intersegment revenue is netted against the revenue of the segment receiving the intersegment services. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, intersegment revenue totaled approximately \$53,300 and \$107,500, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, intersegment revenue totaled approximately \$89,800 and \$223,200, respectively. Intersegment revenue for the aforementioned periods primarily related to services provided by our Fabrication Services and Capital Services operating groups to our Engineering & Construction operating group.

The following table presents total revenue and income from operations by reportable segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Revenue				
Engineering & Construction	\$1,543,126	\$1,916,122	\$3,059,454	\$3,734,708
Fabrication Services	526,624	611,330	1,044,200	1,249,139
Technology	64,512	92,975	129,074	192,336
Capital Services	561,353	586,686	1,130,620	1,156,675
Total revenue	\$2,695,615	\$3,207,113	\$5,363,348	\$6,332,858
Income From Operations				
Engineering & Construction	\$95,638	\$176,467	\$207,558	\$312,885
Fabrication Services	66,853	55,937	105,102	108,336
Technology	23,127	36,741	49,408	84,765
Capital Services	16,515	14,962	28,005	24,919
Total income from operations	\$202,133	\$284,107	\$390,073	\$530,905

Table of Contents

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" is provided to assist readers in understanding our financial performance during the periods presented and significant trends that may impact our future performance. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and the related notes thereto.

## OVERVIEW

General—We provide a wide range of services through our four operating groups, including conceptual design, technology, engineering, procurement, fabrication, modularization, construction, commissioning, maintenance, program management and environmental services to customers in the energy infrastructure market throughout the world, and are a provider of diversified government services. Our operating groups, which represent our reportable segments, include: Engineering & Construction; Fabrication Services; Technology; and Capital Services. As discussed in Note 4 to our Financial Statements, on December 31, 2015 we completed the sale of our Nuclear Operations, which were previously included within our Engineering & Construction operating group. For comparative purposes only, the results of the disposed Nuclear Operations are presented separately within the discussion and tables below.

We continue to be broadly diversified across the global energy infrastructure market. Our geographic diversity is illustrated by approximately 30% of our year to date 2016 revenue coming from projects outside the U.S. and approximately 20% of our June 30, 2016 backlog of \$19.6 billion (including approximately \$1.9 billion related to our equity method joint ventures) being comprised of projects outside the U.S. The geographic mix of our revenue will evolve consistent with changes in our backlog mix, as well as shifts in future global energy demand. Our diversity in energy infrastructure end markets ranges from downstream activities such as gas processing, LNG, refining, and petrochemicals, to fossil based power plants and upstream activities such as offshore oil and gas and onshore oil sands projects. Planned investments across the natural gas value chain, including LNG and petrochemicals, remain strong, and we anticipate additional benefits from continued investments in projects based on U.S. shale gas. Global investments in power and petrochemical facilities are expected to continue, as are investments in various types of facilities which require storage structures and pre-fabricated pipe.

Our long-term contracts are awarded on a competitively bid and negotiated basis using a range of contracting options, including cost-reimbursable, fixed-price and hybrid, which has both cost-reimbursable and fixed-price characteristics. Under cost-reimbursable contracts, we generally perform our services in exchange for a price that consists of reimbursement of all customer-approved costs and a profit component, which is typically a fixed rate per hour, an overall fixed fee or a percentage of total reimbursable costs. Under fixed-price contracts, we perform our services and execute our projects at an established price. The timing of our revenue recognition may be impacted by the contracting structure of our contracts. Cost-reimbursable contracts, and hybrid contracts with a more significant cost-reimbursable component, generally provide our customers with greater influence over the timing of when we perform our work, and accordingly, such contracts often result in less predictability with respect to the timing of our revenue. Fixed-price contracts, and hybrid contracts with a more significant fixed-price component, tend to provide us with greater control over project schedule and the timing of when work is performed and costs are incurred, and accordingly, when revenue is recognized. Our shorter-term contracts and services are generally provided on a cost-reimbursable, fixed-price or unit price basis. Our June 30, 2016 backlog distribution by contracting type was approximately 70% fixed-price, hybrid, or unit based and 30% cost-reimbursable and is further described below within our operating group discussion.

New awards represent the expected revenue value of new contract commitments received during a given period, as well as scope growth on existing commitments. Backlog represents the unearned value of our new awards. New awards and backlog include the entire award values for joint ventures we consolidate and our proportionate share of award values for joint ventures we proportionately consolidate. New awards and backlog also include our pro-rata share of the award values for unconsolidated joint ventures we account for under the equity method. As the net results for our equity method joint ventures are recognized as equity earnings, their revenue is not presented in our Statement of Operations.

Backlog for each of our operating groups generally consists of several hundred contracts, which are being executed globally. These contracts vary in size from less than one hundred thousand dollars in contract value to several billion

dollars, with varying durations that can exceed five years. The differing types, sizes, and durations of our contracts, combined with their geographic diversity and stages of completion, often results in fluctuations in our quarterly operating group results as a percentage of operating group revenue. In addition, the relative contribution of each of our operating groups, and selling and administrative expense fluctuations, will impact our quarterly consolidated results as a percentage of consolidated revenue. Selling and administrative expense fluctuations are impacted by our stock-based compensation costs, which are generally higher in the first quarter of each year due to the timing of stock awards and the accelerated expensing of awards for participants that are eligible to retire. Although quarterly variability is not unusual in our business, absent the impact of the sale of our Nuclear Operations, which represented approximately \$564.3 million and \$1.1 billion of our revenue for the three and



Table of Contents

six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, we are currently not aware of any fundamental change in our backlog or business that would give rise to future operating results that would be significantly different from our recent historical norms.

**Engineering & Construction**—Our Engineering & Construction operating group provides EPC services for major energy infrastructure facilities.

Backlog for our Engineering & Construction operating group comprised approximately \$11.2 billion (57%) of our consolidated June 30, 2016 backlog (including approximately \$1.4 billion related to our equity method joint ventures). The backlog composition by end market was approximately 50% LNG, 30% petrochemical, 15% power, and 5% refining, gas processing and other end markets. Our LNG backlog was primarily concentrated in the Asia Pacific and North American regions. We anticipate significant opportunities will be derived from North America and Africa. Our petrochemical backlog was primarily concentrated in the U.S. and the Middle East region and we anticipate significant opportunities will continue to be derived from these regions. Our power backlog was primarily concentrated in the U.S. and we anticipate that our significant future opportunities will be derived from North America. The majority of our refining-related backlog was derived from the Middle East and Russia and we anticipate that our future opportunities will continue to be derived from these regions. Our gas processing projects were primarily concentrated in the U.S. and the Asia Pacific region, where we anticipate continued strength, in addition to the Middle East. Our June 30, 2016 backlog distribution for this operating group by contracting type was approximately 80% fixed-price and hybrid and 20% cost-reimbursable.

**Fabrication Services**—Our Fabrication Services operating group provides fabrication and erection of steel plate structures; fabrication of piping systems and process modules; manufacturing and distribution of pipe and fittings; and engineered products for the oil and gas, petrochemical, power generation, water and wastewater, mining and mineral processing industries.

Backlog for our Fabrication Services operating group comprised approximately \$2.6 billion (13%) of our consolidated June 30, 2016 backlog. The backlog composition by end market was approximately 45% petrochemical, 25% LNG (including low temp and cryogenic), 15% power, 5% refining, 5% gas processing and 5% other end markets. Our June 30, 2016 backlog distribution for this operating group by contracting type was approximately 95% fixed-price, hybrid, or unit based, with the remainder being cost-reimbursable.

**Technology**—Our Technology operating group provides licensed process technologies and catalysts for use in petrochemical facilities and oil refineries, and offers process planning and project development services and a comprehensive program of aftermarket support. Technology also has a 50% owned unconsolidated joint venture that provides licensed technologies, engineering services and catalyst, primarily for the refining industry.

Backlog for our Technology operating group comprised approximately \$977.1 million (5%) of our consolidated June 30, 2016 backlog (including approximately \$481.2 million related to our equity method joint ventures) and was primarily comprised of fixed-price contracts.

**Capital Services**—Our Capital Services operating group provides comprehensive and integrated maintenance services, environmental engineering and remediation, construction services, program management, and disaster response and recovery for private-sector customers and governments.

Backlog for our Capital Services operating group comprised approximately \$4.9 billion (25%) of our consolidated June 30, 2016 backlog. The backlog composition by end market was approximately 65% operations and maintenance services, 15% environmental services, 10% construction services and 10% program and project management, and was primarily concentrated in the U.S. Our June 30, 2016 backlog distribution for this operating group by contracting type was approximately 80% cost-reimbursable and 20% fixed-price.

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our backlog, new awards, revenue and income from operations by reportable segment were as follows:

	June 30, 2016 (In thousands)		% of Total		December 31, 2015		% of Total	
Backlog								
Engineering & Construction	\$ 11,159,593		57%		\$ 12,892,804		57%	
Fabrication Services	2,606,646		13%		3,107,500		14%	
Technology	977,125		5%		963,058		4%	
Capital Services	4,892,596		25%		5,680,577		25%	
Total backlog	\$ 19,635,960				\$ 22,643,939			
	Three Months Ended June 30, (In thousands)				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total
New Awards								
Engineering & Construction	\$ 963,391	55%	\$ 1,305,951	46%	\$ 1,286,809	44%	\$ 2,515,358	43%
Fabrication Services	252,978	14%	844,715	30%	626,667	21%	1,772,089	30%
Technology	107,769	6%	81,087	3%	191,389	6%	158,109	3%
Capital Services	434,701	25%	612,763	21%	851,373	29%	1,430,143	24%
Total new awards	\$ 1,758,839		\$ 2,844,516		\$ 2,956,238		\$ 5,875,699	
	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total
Revenue								
Engineering & Construction	\$ 1,543,126	57%	\$ 1,916,122	60%	\$ 3,059,454	57%	\$ 3,734,708	59%
Fabrication Services	526,624	20%	611,330	19%	1,044,200	20%	1,249,139	20%
Technology	64,512	2%	92,975	3%	129,074	2%	192,336	3%
Capital Services	561,353	21%	586,686	18%	1,130,620	21%	1,156,675	18%
Total revenue	\$ 2,695,615		\$ 3,207,113		\$ 5,363,348		\$ 6,332,858	
	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue
Income From Operations								
Engineering & Construction	\$ 95,638	6.2%	\$ 176,467	9.2%	\$ 207,558	6.8%	\$ 312,885	8.4%
Fabrication Services	66,853	12.7%	55,937	9.2%	105,102	10.1%	108,336	8.7%
Technology	23,127	35.8%	36,741	39.5%	49,408	38.3%	84,765	44.1%
Capital Services	16,515	2.9%	14,962	2.6%	28,005	2.5%	24,919	2.2%
Total income from operations	\$ 202,133	7.5%	\$ 284,107	8.9%	\$ 390,073	7.3%	\$ 530,905	8.4%

## Consolidated Results

New Awards/Backlog—As discussed above, new awards represent the expected revenue value of new contract commitments received during a given period, as well as scope growth on existing commitments. Backlog represents the unearned value of our new awards. New awards and backlog include the entire award values for joint ventures we consolidate and our proportionate share of award values for joint ventures we proportionately consolidate. New awards and backlog also include our pro-rata share of the award values for unconsolidated joint ventures we account for under the equity method. As the net results for our equity method joint ventures are recognized as equity earnings, their revenue is not presented in our Statement of Operations. Our new awards may vary significantly each reporting period based upon the timing of our major new contract commitments.

New awards were \$1.8 billion for the second quarter 2016 (including approximately \$37.0 million related to our equity method joint ventures), compared with \$2.8 billion for the corresponding 2015 period. Significant new awards for the second quarter 2016 included two gas turbine power projects in the U.S. (approximately \$500.0 million combined) and scope increases on our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$280.0 million) for our Engineering & Construction operating group. Significant new awards for the second quarter 2015 included a gas turbine power project in the U.S. (approximately \$600.0 million) for our Engineering & Construction operating group and scope increases for our former

Table of Contents

large nuclear projects in the U.S. (approximately \$600.0 million) for our Engineering & Construction and Fabrication Services operating groups.

New awards for the first six months of 2016 were \$3.0 billion (including approximately \$67.0 million related to our equity method joint ventures), compared with \$5.9 billion for the corresponding 2015 period. The six month 2016 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2016 awards, and awards in the first quarter 2016, including scope increases on our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$235.0 million) for our Engineering & Construction operating group. The six month 2015 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2015 awards, and awards in the first quarter 2015, including our proportionate share of a \$2.0 billion additional LNG train for an LNG export facility in the U.S. (approximately \$675.0 million) that we are executing through a proportionately consolidated joint venture arrangement, and federal funding allocations for our mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility project in the U.S. and scope increases for our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$360.0 million combined), all within our Engineering & Construction operating group; and refinery maintenance work in the U.S. and Canada (approximately \$310.0 million) for our Capital Services operating group. See Operating Group Results below for further discussion.

Backlog at June 30, 2016 was approximately \$19.6 billion (including approximately \$1.9 billion related to our equity method joint ventures), compared with \$22.6 billion at December 31, 2015 (including approximately \$1.8 billion related to our equity method joint ventures), with the decrease reflecting the impact of revenue exceeding new awards by \$2.4 billion and other adjustments, primarily related to announced closures of nuclear facilities resulting in reductions in nuclear maintenance backlog for our Capital Services operating group.

Certain contracts within our Capital Services and Engineering & Construction operating groups are dependent upon funding from the U.S. government, where funds are appropriated on a year-by-year basis, while contract performance may take more than one year. Approximately \$926.0 million of our backlog at June 30, 2016 for these operating groups was for contractual commitments that are subject to future funding decisions.

Revenue—Revenue was \$2.7 billion for the second quarter 2016, representing a decrease of \$511.5 million (15.9%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Revenue was \$5.4 billion for the first six months of 2016, representing a decrease of \$969.5 million (15.3%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 results included approximately \$564.3 million and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of revenue attributable to our former Nuclear Operations. The table below summarizes our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 revenue excluding the Nuclear Operations.

	Three Months Ended June 30, (In thousands)				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total
Excluding Nuclear Operations	\$2,695,615	100%	\$2,642,786	82%	\$5,363,348	100%	\$5,280,272	83%
Nuclear Operations	—	—%	564,327	18%	—	—%	1,052,586	17%
Total revenue	\$2,695,615		\$3,207,113		\$5,363,348		\$6,332,858	

Excluding the impact of our former Nuclear Operations, revenue for the second quarter 2016 increased by \$52.8 million (2.0%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period, and revenue for the first six months of 2016 increased by \$83.1 million (1.6%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 revenue benefited from increased activity on our LNG export facility projects in the U.S. within our Engineering & Construction operating group, partly offset by a reduction in activity on our cost reimbursable LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region and refinery project in Colombia, within our Engineering & Construction operating group; and the wind down of various tank projects in the U.S., South America and the Asia Pacific region within our Fabrication Services operating group. See Operating Group Results below for further discussion.

Gross Profit—Gross profit was \$294.5 million (10.9% of revenue) for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$383.1 million (11.9% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Gross profit was \$582.1 million (10.9% of revenue) for the first six months of 2016, compared with \$753.3 million (11.9% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 results included approximately \$78.8 million and \$130.2 million, respectively, of gross profit attributable to our former Nuclear Operations. The table below summarizes our second

quarter and year-to-date 2015 gross profit excluding the Nuclear Operations.

29

---

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30, (In thousands)				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue
Excluding Nuclear Operations	\$294,526	10.9%	\$304,323	11.5%	\$582,131	10.9%	\$623,094	11.8%
Nuclear Operations	—	—%	78,800	14.0%	—	—%	130,200	12.4%
Total gross profit	\$294,526	10.9%	\$383,123	11.9%	\$582,131	10.9%	\$753,294	11.9%

Excluding the impact of our former Nuclear Operations, gross profit was approximately \$304.3 million (11.5% of revenue) for the second quarter 2015 and \$623.1 million (11.8% of revenue) for the first six months of 2015. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 gross profit percentage decreased compared to the 2015 period due to lower revenue volume for our higher margin Technology operating group, a lower margin mix and reduced leverage of our operating costs.

**Selling and Administrative Expense**—Selling and administrative expense was \$82.7 million (3.1% of revenue) for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$85.2 million (2.7% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Selling and administrative expense was \$175.3 million (3.3% of revenue) for the first six months of 2016, compared with \$194.3 million (3.1% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. The decrease for the second quarter and year-to-date 2016 was primarily due to lower incentive plan costs (approximately \$4.0 million and \$20.0 million, respectively) and lower expense attributable to our former Nuclear Operations, partly offset by inflationary increases. Our stock-based compensation costs, which are predominantly in selling and administrative expense, are generally higher in the first quarter of each year due to the timing of stock awards and the accelerated expensing of awards for participants that are eligible to retire. Stock-based compensation expense totaled approximately \$21.2 million and \$39.1 million for the first six months of 2016 and 2015, respectively, or 52% and 70% of estimated annual expense for each of the respective periods.

**Intangibles Amortization**—Intangibles amortization was \$10.5 million and \$21.8 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively, compared with \$14.9 million and \$30.6 million for the corresponding 2015 periods. The decrease relative to the 2015 periods was primarily due to lower intangible balances resulting from the impairment of certain intangible assets in the third quarter 2015 and intangible assets that became fully amortized during the first quarter 2016.

**Equity Earnings**—Equity earnings were \$2.0 million and \$6.0 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2016, respectively, compared with \$0.4 million and \$4.6 million for the corresponding 2015 periods, and were primarily associated with our unconsolidated CLG joint venture.

**Other Operating Expense (Income), Net**—Other operating expense (income), net generally represents (gains) losses associated with the sale or disposition of property and equipment. For the first six months of 2015, other operating expense (income), net also included a gain of approximately \$7.5 million related to the contribution of a technology to our unconsolidated CLG joint venture and a foreign exchange loss of approximately \$11.0 million associated with the re-measurement of certain non-U.S. Dollar denominated net assets, both of which occurred during the first quarter 2015.

**Income from Operations**—Income from operations was \$202.1 million (7.5% of revenue) for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$284.1 million (8.9% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Income from operations was \$390.1 million (7.3% of revenue) for the first six months of 2016, compared with \$530.9 million (8.4% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 results included approximately \$71.8 million and \$117.4 million, respectively, of income from operations attributable to our former Nuclear Operations. The table below summarizes our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 income from operations excluding the Nuclear Operations.

	Three Months Ended June 30, (In thousands)				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue
Excluding Nuclear Operations	\$202,133	7.5%	\$212,307	8.0%	\$390,073	7.3%	\$413,505	7.8%

Edgar Filing: CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON CO N V - Form 10-Q

Nuclear Operations	—	—%	71,800	12.7%	—	—%	117,400	11.2%
Total income from operations	\$202,133	7.5%	\$284,107	8.9%	\$390,073	7.3%	\$530,905	8.4%

Excluding the impact of our former Nuclear Operations, income from operations was approximately \$212.3 million (8.0% of revenue) for the second quarter 2015 and approximately \$413.5 million (7.8% of revenue) for the first six months of 2015. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 income from operations percentage decreased compared to the 2015 periods due to the reasons noted above. See Operating Group Results below for further discussion.

Interest Expense and Interest Income—Interest expense was \$26.1 million for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$21.1 million for the corresponding 2015 period. Interest expense was \$52.0 million for the first six months of 2016, compared

Table of Contents

with \$43.4 million for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 periods were primarily impacted by higher long-term borrowings, which occurred in the third quarter 2015, and higher revolving credit facility borrowings. Interest income was \$3.1 million for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$2.2 million for the corresponding 2015 period. Interest income was \$5.5 million for the first six months of 2016, compared with \$4.2 million for the corresponding 2015 period.

**Income Tax Expense**—Income tax expense for the second quarter 2016 was \$46.6 million (26.0% of pre-tax income), compared with \$79.3 million (29.9% of pre-tax income) for the corresponding 2015 period. Income tax expense for the first six months of 2016 was \$91.1 million (26.5% of pre-tax income), compared with \$149.1 million (30.3% of pre-tax income) for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter 2016 tax rate benefited from previously unrecognized tax benefits, changes in tax laws enacted during the second quarter relating to our U.S. state deferred tax assets, and anticipated decreased full year pre-tax income in higher tax rate jurisdictions, primarily the U.S. (approximately 2.0% combined). Our year-to-date 2016 tax rate benefited from the aforementioned, as well as changes in tax rates enacted during the first quarter relating to our non-U.S. deferred tax assets (approximately 2.0% combined). Our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 tax rates benefited from previously unrecognized tax benefits and other adjustments recorded during the periods (approximately 1.5% and 2.0%, respectively). Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 tax rates decreased relative to the 2015 periods due to anticipated lower pre-tax income in higher tax rate jurisdictions, primarily the U.S. (approximately 4.0% for both the second quarter and year-to-date periods). Our tax rate may continue to experience fluctuations due primarily to changes in the geographic distribution of our pre-tax income.

**Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests**—Noncontrolling interests are primarily associated with our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region and certain operations in the U.S. and Middle East. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$8.7 million for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$16.4 million for the corresponding 2015 period. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$21.7 million for the first six months of 2016, compared with \$40.9 million for the corresponding 2015 period. The change compared to the 2015 period was commensurate with the level of applicable operating results for the aforementioned projects and operations.

**Operating Group Results****Engineering & Construction**

**New Awards**—New awards were \$963.4 million for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$1.3 billion for the corresponding 2015 period. Significant new awards for the second quarter 2016 included two gas turbine power projects in the U.S. (approximately \$500.0 million combined) and scope increases on our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$280.0 million). Significant new awards for the second quarter 2015 included a gas turbine power project in the U.S. (approximately \$600.0 million), an ethylene storage facility in the U.S. (approximately \$115.0 million) and scope increases for our former large nuclear projects in the U.S. (approximately \$350.0 million).

New awards for the first six months of 2016 were \$1.3 billion compared with \$2.5 billion for the corresponding 2015 period. The six month 2016 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2016 awards, and awards during the first quarter 2016, including scope increases on our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$235.0 million). The six month 2015 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2015 awards, and awards during the first quarter 2015, including our proportionate share of a \$2.0 billion additional LNG train for an LNG export facility in the U.S. (approximately \$675.0 million) that we are executing through a proportionately consolidated joint venture arrangement; federal funding allocations for our mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility project in the U.S. and scope increases for our LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$360.0 million combined); and engineering and procurement services for a refinery project in Russia. **Revenue**—Revenue was \$1.5 billion for the second quarter 2016, representing a decrease of \$373.0 million (19.5%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Revenue was \$3.1 billion for the first six months of 2016, representing a decrease of \$675.3 million (18.1%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 results included approximately \$564.3 million and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of revenue attributable to our former Nuclear Operations. The table below summarizes our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 revenue



excluding the Nuclear Operations.

	Three Months Ended June 30, (In thousands)				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total	2016	% of Total	2015	% of Total
Excluding Nuclear Operations	\$1,543,126	100%	\$1,351,795	71%	\$3,059,454	100%	\$2,682,122	72%
Nuclear Operations	—	—%	564,327	29%	—	—%	1,052,586	28%
Total revenue	\$1,543,126		\$1,916,122		\$3,059,454		\$3,734,708	

Table of Contents

Excluding the impact of our former Nuclear Operations, revenue for the second quarter 2016 increased by \$191.3 million (14.2%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period, and revenue for the first six months of 2016 increased by \$377.3 million (14.1%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 revenue benefited from increased activity on our LNG export facility projects in the U.S. (approximately \$315.0 million and \$680.0 million, respectively), and various other projects in the U.S. and Asia Pacific region, partly offset by decreased activity on our large cost reimbursable LNG mechanical erection project in the Asia Pacific region and refinery project in Colombia (approximately \$205.0 million and \$530.0 million combined, respectively).

Approximately \$565.0 million and \$1.1 billion of the operating group's quarter and year-to-date 2016 revenue, respectively, was attributable to our LNG export facility projects in the U.S., compared with approximately \$250.0 million and \$390.0 million, respectively, for the corresponding 2015 periods. Approximately \$325.0 million and \$640.0 million of the operating group's quarter and year-to-date 2016 revenue, respectively, was attributable to our large cost reimbursable projects, compared with approximately \$530.0 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively, for the corresponding 2015 periods.

Income from Operations—Income from operations for the second quarter 2016 was \$95.6 million (6.2% of revenue), compared with \$176.5 million (9.2% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Income from operations for the first six months of 2016 was \$207.6 million (6.8% of revenue), compared with \$312.9 million (8.4% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 results included approximately \$71.8 million and \$117.4 million, respectively, of income from operations attributable to our former Nuclear Operations. The results for the respective 2015 periods for our former Nuclear Operations benefited by approximately \$28.0 million from the net impact of cost increases and adjustments to project price on our former large U.S. nuclear projects. The table below summarizes our second quarter and year-to-date 2015 income from operations excluding the Nuclear Operations.

	Three Months Ended June 30, (In thousands)				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue	2016	% of Revenue	2015	% of Revenue
Excluding Nuclear Operations	\$95,638	6.2%	\$104,667	7.7%	\$207,558	6.8%	\$195,485	7.3%
Nuclear Operations	—	—%	71,800	12.7%	—	—%	117,400	11.2%
Total income from operations	\$95,638	6.2%	\$176,467	9.2%	\$207,558	6.8%	\$312,885	8.4%

Excluding the impact of our former Nuclear Operations, income from operations was approximately \$104.7 million (7.7% of revenue) for the second quarter 2015 and approximately \$195.5 million (7.3% of revenue) for the first six months of 2015. Our second quarter 2016 results were impacted by net cost increases on various projects, primarily in the U.S. (approximately \$14.0 million combined) and a lower margin mix, partly offset by the benefit of higher revenue volume. Our year-to-date 2016 results were impacted by the aforementioned second quarter impacts and higher revenue volume in the first quarter 2016.

## Fabrication Services

New Awards—New awards were \$253.0 million for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$844.7 million for the corresponding 2015 period. New awards for the second quarter 2016 included various storage and pipe fabrication awards throughout the world. Significant new awards for the second quarter 2015 included scope increases for our former large nuclear projects in the U.S. (approximately \$250.0 million), engineering and fabrication for a hydrotreater in the U.S. (approximately \$95.0 million) and engineered products for a refinery in Russia (approximately \$93.0 million).

New awards for the first six months of 2016 were \$626.7 million, compared with \$1.8 billion for the corresponding 2015 period. The six month 2016 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2016 awards, and awards during the first quarter 2016, including refurbishment of crude oil storage tanks in the Middle East (approximately \$60.0 million) and crude oil storage tanks in Canada (approximately \$50.0 million). The six month 2015 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2015 awards, and awards during the first quarter 2015, including work scopes for our U.S. LNG export facility projects; storage tanks for a clean fuels project in the Middle East (approximately \$60.0 million); an oil sands project in Canada (approximately \$50.0 million); and pipe fabrication for a petrochemical

project in the U.S. (approximately \$40.0 million).

Revenue—Revenue was \$526.6 million for the second quarter 2016, representing a decrease of \$84.7 million (13.9%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Revenue for the first six months of 2016 was \$1.0 billion, representing a decrease of \$204.9 million (16.4%), compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 results were impacted by the wind down of various storage tank projects in the U.S., South America and the Asia Pacific region (approximately \$90.0 million and \$240.0 million combined, respectively) and the timing of awards, partly offset by increased engineered products and fabrication activity.

Table of Contents

**Income from Operations**—Income from operations for the second quarter 2016 was \$66.9 million (12.7% of revenue), compared with \$55.9 million (9.2% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Income from operations for the first six months of 2016 was \$105.1 million (10.1% of revenue), compared with \$108.3 million (8.7% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter 2016 results benefited from net savings on various projects throughout the world (approximately \$11.0 million combined), partly offset by lower revenue volume and reduced leverage of our operating costs. Our year-to-date 2016 results were impacted by the aforementioned second quarter impacts, as well as impacts during the first quarter 2016. First quarter impacts included lower revenue volume, reduced leverage of our operating costs, and cost increases on two projects in North America and the Asia Pacific region that are substantially complete (approximately \$26.0 million combined), partly offset by savings on various projects, primarily in North America. Our second quarter 2015 results were impacted by cost increases on a project in the U.S. (approximately \$12.0 million). Our year-to-date 2015 results were impacted by the aforementioned second quarter impact, as well as a foreign exchange loss (approximately \$11.0 million) associated with the re-measurement of certain non-U.S. Dollar denominated net assets during the first quarter 2015.

**Technology**

**New Awards**—New awards were \$107.8 million for the second quarter 2016 (including approximately \$37.0 million related to our equity method joint ventures), compared with \$81.1 million for the corresponding 2015 period. New awards for the first six months of 2016 were \$191.4 million (including approximately \$67.0 million related to our equity method joint ventures), compared with \$158.1 million for the corresponding 2015 period. New awards for the second quarter and year-to-date 2016 periods included Alkylation licensing in North America and China, petrochemical licensing in Europe and the Asia Pacific region, and catalyst awards throughout the world. New awards for the second quarter and year-to-date 2015 periods included primarily refining and petrochemical licensing throughout the world.

**Revenue**—Revenue was \$64.5 million for the second quarter 2016, representing a decrease of \$28.5 million (30.6%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Revenue for the first six months of 2016 was \$129.1 million, representing a decrease of \$63.3 million (32.9%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 results were impacted by lower catalyst and licensing volume and the timing of new awards.

**Income from Operations**—Income from operations for the second quarter 2016 was \$23.1 million (35.8% of revenue), compared with \$36.7 million (39.5% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Income from operations for the first six months of 2016 was \$49.4 million (38.3% of revenue), compared with \$84.8 million (44.1% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 results were impacted by lower revenue volume. In addition, our year-to-date 2015 results benefited from a gain of approximately \$7.5 million associated with the contribution of a technology to our unconsolidated CLG joint venture during the first quarter 2015.

**Capital Services**

**New Awards**—New awards were \$434.7 million for the second quarter 2016, compared with \$612.8 million for the corresponding 2015 period. Significant new awards for the second quarter 2016 included power plant services in the U.S. (approximately \$90.0 million) and refinery maintenance services in Canada (approximately \$40.0 million). Significant new awards for the second quarter 2015 included power plant services in the U.S. (approximately \$250.0 million), refinery maintenance services in South America (approximately \$120.0 million) and coking unit services in Canada (approximately \$50.0 million).

New awards for the first six months of 2016 were \$851.4 million, compared with \$1.4 billion for the corresponding 2015 period. The six month 2016 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2016 awards, and awards during the first quarter 2016, including environmental remediation work for the U.S. Navy (approximately \$70.0 million). The six month 2015 period included the aforementioned second quarter 2015 awards, and awards during the first quarter 2015, including refinery maintenance work in the U.S. and Canada (approximately \$310.0 million combined) and scope increases on a chemical plant expansion project in the U.S. (approximately \$115.0 million).

**Revenue**—Revenue was \$561.4 million for the second quarter 2016, representing a decrease of \$25.3 million (4.3%) compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Revenue for the first six months of 2016 was \$1.1 billion, representing a decrease of \$26.1 million (2.3%), compared with the corresponding 2015 period. Our second quarter and year-to-date 2016 results were impacted by lower U.S. industrial and power services activity.

Income from Operations—Income from operations for the second quarter 2016 was \$16.5 million (2.9% of revenue), compared with \$15.0 million (2.6% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period. Income from operations for the first six months of 2016 was \$28.0 million (2.5% of revenue), compared with \$24.9 million (2.2% of revenue) for the corresponding 2015 period.

Table of Contents

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

## General

Cash and Cash Equivalents—At June 30, 2016, our cash and cash equivalents were \$602.9 million, and were maintained in local accounts throughout the world, substantially all of which were maintained outside The Netherlands, our country of domicile. With the exception of \$462.4 million of cash and cash equivalents within our variable interest entities (“VIEs”) associated with our partnering arrangements, which is generally only available for use in our operating activities when distributed to the partners, we are not aware of any material restrictions on our cash and cash equivalents.

With respect to tax consequences associated with repatriating our foreign earnings, distributions from our European Union (“EU”) subsidiaries to their Netherlands parent companies are not subject to taxation. Further, for our non-EU companies and their subsidiaries and our U.S. companies, to the extent taxes apply, the amount of permanently reinvested earnings becomes taxable upon repatriation of assets from the subsidiary or liquidation of the subsidiary. We have accrued taxes on undistributed earnings that we intend to repatriate and we intend to permanently reinvest the remaining undistributed earnings in their respective businesses, and accordingly, have accrued no taxes on such amounts.

## Summary of Cash Flow Activity

Operating Activities—During the first six months of 2016, net cash provided by operating activities was \$319.3 million, primarily resulting from cash generated from earnings, offset by a net change of \$64.6 million in our accounts receivable, inventory, accounts payable and net contracts in progress account balances (collectively “Contract Capital”). During the second quarter 2016, net cash provided by operating activities was \$177.4 million, primarily resulting from cash generated from earnings. The components of our net Contract Capital balances at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2016, were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015	Change
	(In thousands)		
Total billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (2,099,869)	\$ (1,934,111)	\$ (165,758)
Total costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings <sup>(1)</sup>	766,667	688,314	78,353
Contracts in Progress, net	(1,333,202 )	(1,245,797 )	(87,405 )
Accounts receivable, net	1,444,879	1,331,217	113,662
Inventory	274,324	289,658	(15,334 )
Accounts payable	(1,108,440 )	(1,162,077 )	53,637
Contract Capital, net	\$ (722,439 )	\$ (786,999 )	\$ 64,560

Represents our cash position relative to revenue recognized on projects, with (i) billings in excess of costs and <sup>(1)</sup> estimated earnings representing a liability reflective of future cash expenditures and non-cash earnings, and (ii) costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings representing an asset reflective of future cash receipts.

Fluctuations in our Contract Capital balance, and its components, are not unusual in our business and are impacted by the size of our projects and changing mix of cost-reimbursable versus fixed-price backlog. Our cost-reimbursable projects tend to have a greater working capital requirement (“costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings”), while our fixed-price projects are generally structured to be cash flow positive (“billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings”). Our Contract Capital is particularly impacted by the timing of new awards and related payments in advance of performing work, and the achievement of billing milestones on backlog as we complete certain phases of work. Contract Capital is also impacted at period-end by the timing of accounts receivable collections and accounts payable payments for our large projects.

The \$64.6 million increase in our Contract Capital during the first six months of 2016 was primarily due to a decrease in accounts payable due to the timing of payments on our projects and a net increase in contracts in progress and accounts receivable. Our net cash provided by operating activities, combined with payments in advance of performing work for our unconsolidated equity method joint ventures, which are reflected in financing activities because the joint ventures are not consolidated, totaled approximately \$439.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Although we anticipate future quarterly variability in our operating cash flows due to ongoing fluctuations in our Contract Capital balance, we expect cash flows from operating activities to approximate earnings for 2016, and we believe our anticipated future operating cash flows and capacity under our revolving and other credit facilities will be sufficient to finance our capital expenditures, settle our commitments and contingencies and address our working capital needs for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

**Investing Activities**—During the first six months of 2016, net cash used in investing activities was \$115.7 million, primarily related to net advances of \$39.1 million to our venture partners by our proportionately consolidated ventures (see Note 7 to our Financial Statements for further discussion), capital expenditures of \$25.3 million and other investments of \$55.6 million. We will continue to evaluate and selectively pursue other opportunities for additional expansion of our business through the acquisition of complementary businesses and technologies. These acquisitions may involve the use of cash or may require further debt or equity financing.

**Financing Activities**—During the first six months of 2016, net cash used in financing activities was \$137.7 million, primarily related to net revolving facility and other short-term repayments of \$181.0 million, repayments on our long-term debt of \$75.0 million, distributions to our noncontrolling interest partners of \$29.6 million, dividends paid to our shareholders of \$14.7 million and share repurchases associated with stock-based compensation-related withholding taxes on taxable share distributions totaling \$8.0 million (0.2 million shares at an average price of \$33.63 per share). These cash outflows were partly offset by net advances from our equity method and proportionately consolidated ventures of \$161.7 million (see Note 7 to our Financial Statements for further discussion) and cash proceeds from the issuance of shares associated with our stock plans of \$8.9 million.

**Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents**—During the first six months of 2016, our cash and cash equivalents balance decreased by \$13.2 million due to the impact of changes in functional currency exchange rates against the U.S. Dollar for non-U.S. Dollar cash balances, primarily for net changes in the British Pound and Euro exchange rates. The net unrealized loss on our cash and cash equivalents resulting from these exchange rate movements is reflected in the cumulative translation adjustment component of OCI. Our cash and cash equivalents held in non-U.S. Dollar currencies are used primarily for project-related and other operating expenditures in those currencies, and therefore, our exposure to realized exchange gains and losses is not anticipated to be material.

**Credit Facilities and Debt**

**General**—Our primary internal source of liquidity is cash flow generated from operations. Capacity under our revolving credit and other facilities discussed below is also available, if necessary, to fund operating or investing activities and provide necessary letters of credit. Letters of credit are generally issued to customers in the ordinary course of business to support advance payments and performance guarantees, in lieu of retention on our contracts, or in certain cases, are issued in support of our insurance programs.

**Committed Facilities**—We have a five-year, \$1.35 billion, committed and unsecured revolving facility (the “Revolving Facility”) with Bank of America N.A. (“BofA”), as administrative agent, and BNP Paribas Securities Corp., BBVA Compass, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (“Credit Agricole”) and TD Securities, each as syndication agents, which expires in October 2018. The Revolving Facility has a \$270.0 million financial letter of credit sublimit and certain financial and restrictive covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio of 3.25, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.75, and a minimum net worth level calculated as \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2016. The Revolving Facility also includes customary restrictions regarding subsidiary indebtedness, sales of assets, liens, investments, type of business conducted, and mergers and acquisitions, and includes a trailing twelve-month limitation of \$250.0 million for dividend payments and share repurchases if our leverage ratio exceeds 1.50 (unlimited if our leverage ratio is equal to or below 1.50), among other restrictions. In addition to interest on debt borrowings, we are assessed quarterly commitment fees on the unutilized portion of the facility as well as letter of credit fees on outstanding instruments. The interest, commitment fee, and letter of credit fee percentages are based upon our quarterly leverage ratio. In the event we borrow funds under the facility, interest is assessed at either prime plus an applicable floating margin (3.50% and 0.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016), or LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (0.46% and 1.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016). At June 30, 2016, we had no outstanding borrowings under the facility and \$80.3 million of outstanding letters of credit under the facility (none of which were financial letters of credit), providing \$1.3 billion of available capacity. During the first six months of 2016, our weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the facility was approximately 2.2%, inclusive of the applicable floating margin.

We have a five-year, \$800.0 million, committed and unsecured revolving credit facility (the “Second Revolving Facility”) with BofA, as administrative agent, and BNP Paribas Securities Corp., BBVA Compass, Credit Agricole and Bank of Tokyo Mitsubishi UFJ, each as syndication agents, which expires in July 2020. The Second Revolving Facility supplements our Revolving Facility, has a \$50.0 million financial letter of credit sublimit and has financial



and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility. In addition to interest on debt borrowings, we are assessed quarterly commitment fees on the unutilized portion of the facility as well as letter of credit fees on outstanding instruments. The interest, commitment fee, and letter of credit fee percentages are based upon our quarterly leverage ratio. In the event we borrow funds under the facility, interest is assessed at either prime plus an applicable floating margin (3.50% and 0.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016), or LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (0.46% and 1.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016). At June 30, 2016, we had \$22.0 million of outstanding borrowings and \$15.4 million of outstanding letters of credit under the facility (including \$3.1 million of financial letters of credit), providing \$762.6 million of available capacity. During the first six months of 2016, our

Table of Contents

weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the facility was approximately 4.2%, inclusive of the applicable floating margin.

**Uncommitted Facilities**—We also have various short-term, uncommitted letter of credit and borrowing facilities (the “Uncommitted Facilities”) across several geographic regions of approximately \$4.4 billion, of which \$563.0 million may be utilized for borrowings. At June 30, 2016, we had \$450.0 million of outstanding borrowings and \$1.6 billion of outstanding letters of credit under these facilities, providing \$2.3 billion of available capacity, of which \$113.0 million may be utilized for borrowings. During the first six months of 2016, our weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the facilities was approximately 1.5%.

**Term Loans**—At June 30, 2016, we had \$375.0 million outstanding on a four-year, \$1.0 billion unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan”) with BofA as administrative agent. Interest and principal under the Term Loan is payable quarterly in arrears and bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (0.46% and 1.75%, respectively at June 30, 2016). However, we continue to utilize an interest rate swap to hedge against \$328.3 million of the outstanding Term Loan, which resulted in a weighted average interest rate of approximately 2.3% during the first six months of 2016, inclusive of the applicable floating margin. Future annual maturities for the Term Loan are \$75.0 million and \$300.0 million for the remainder of 2016 and 2017, respectively. The Term Loan includes financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility.

At June 30, 2016, we had \$500.0 million outstanding on a five-year, \$500.0 million unsecured term loan (the “Second Term Loan”) with BofA as administrative agent. Interest and principal under the Second Term Loan is payable quarterly in arrears beginning in June 2017 and bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin (rates are equivalent to the Term Loan). During the first six months of 2016, our weighted average interest rate on the Second Term was approximately 2.2%, inclusive of the applicable floating margin. Future annual maturities for the Second Term Loan are \$56.3 million, \$75.0 million, \$75.0 million and \$293.8 million for 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. The Second Term Loan has financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility.

**Senior Notes**—We have a series of senior notes totaling \$800.0 million in the aggregate (the “Senior Notes”) with Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, and Credit Agricole, as administrative agents. The Senior Notes have financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility. The Senior Notes include Series A through D, which contain the following terms:

Series A—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 4.15%, with principal of \$150.0 million due in December 2017

Series B—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 4.57%, with principal of \$225.0 million due in December 2019

Series C—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 5.15%, with principal of \$275.0 million due in December 2022

Series D—Interest due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 5.30%, with principal of \$150.0 million due in December 2024

We have senior notes totaling \$200.0 million (the “Second Senior Notes”) with BofA as administrative agent. Interest is due semi-annually at a fixed rate of 4.53%, with principal of \$200.0 million due in July 2025. The Second Senior Notes have financial and restrictive covenants similar to those noted above for the Revolving Facility.

**Compliance and Other**—During the first six months of 2016, maximum outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit and other facilities were approximately \$1.4 billion. In addition to providing letters of credit, we also issue surety bonds in the ordinary course of business to support our contract performance. At June 30, 2016, we had \$712.4 million of outstanding surety bonds.

At June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all of our financial and restrictive covenants associated with our debt and revolving credit and other facilities, with a leverage ratio of 2.27, a fixed charge coverage ratio of 4.90, and net worth of \$2.2 billion. Our ability to remain in compliance with our lending facilities could be impacted by circumstances or conditions beyond our control, including, but not limited to, the delay or cancellation of projects, changes in foreign currency exchange or interest rates, performance of pension plan assets, or changes in actuarial assumptions. Further, we could be impacted if our customers experience a material change in their ability to pay us or if the banks associated with our lending facilities were to cease or reduce operations, or if there is a full or partial break-up of the EU or its currency, the Euro.

Other

We believe our cash on hand, cash generated from operations, amounts available under our Revolving Facility and Second Revolving Facility (collectively, “Committed Facilities”) and Uncommitted Facilities, and other external sources of liquidity, such as the issuance of debt and equity instruments, will be sufficient to finance our capital expenditures, settle our commitments and contingencies (as more fully described in Note 11 to our Financial Statements) and address our working capital needs for the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that such funding will continue to be available, as our ability to generate cash flows from operations and our ability to access funding under our Committed Facilities and Uncommitted Facilities at reasonable terms, may be impacted by a variety of business, economic, legislative, financial and other factors, which may be outside of our control.

## Table of Contents

Additionally, while we currently have significant uncommitted bonding facilities, primarily to support various commercial provisions in our contracts, a termination or reduction of these bonding facilities could result in the utilization of letters of credit in lieu of performance bonds, thereby reducing the available capacity under the Committed Facilities. Although we do not anticipate a reduction or termination of the bonding facilities, there can be no assurance that such facilities will continue to be available at reasonable terms to service our ordinary course obligations.

A portion of our pension plans' assets are invested in EU government securities, which could be impacted by economic turmoil in Europe or a full or partial break-up of the EU or its currency, the Euro. However, given the long-term nature of pension funding requirements, in the event any of our pension plans (including those with investments in EU government securities) become materially underfunded from a decline in value of our plan assets, we believe our cash on hand and amounts available under our existing Committed Facilities and Uncommitted Facilities would be sufficient to fund any increases in future contribution requirements.

We are a defendant in a number of lawsuits arising in the normal course of business and we have in place appropriate insurance coverage for the type of work that we perform. As a matter of standard policy, we review our litigation accrual quarterly and as further information is known on pending cases, increases or decreases, as appropriate, may be recorded. See Note 11 to our Financial Statements for a discussion of pending litigation, including lawsuits wherein plaintiffs allege exposure to asbestos due to work we may have performed.

### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We use operating leases for facilities and equipment when they make economic sense, including sale-leaseback arrangements. Our sale-leaseback arrangements are not material to our Financial Statements, and we have no other significant off-balance sheet arrangements.

### NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

See the applicable section of Note 2 to our Financial Statements for a discussion of new accounting standards.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these Financial Statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. We continually evaluate our estimates based upon historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our management has discussed the development and selection of our critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of our Supervisory Board. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our Financial Statements.

#### Revenue Recognition

Our revenue is primarily derived from long-term contracts and is generally recognized using the POC method, primarily based on the percentage that actual costs-to-date bear to total estimated costs to complete each contract. We follow the guidance of FASB ASC Revenue Recognition Topic 605-35 for accounting policies relating to our use of the POC method, estimating costs, and revenue recognition, including the recognition of incentive fees, unapproved change orders and claims, and combining and segmenting contracts. We primarily utilize the cost-to-cost approach to estimate POC as we believe this method is less subjective than relying on assessments of physical progress. Under the cost-to-cost approach, the use of estimated costs to complete each contract is a significant variable in the process of determining recognized revenue and is a significant factor in the accounting for contracts. Significant estimates that impact the cost to complete each contract are costs of engineering, materials, components, equipment, labor and subcontracts; labor productivity; schedule durations, including subcontractor or supplier progress; liquidated damages; contract disputes, including claims; achievement of contractual performance requirements; and contingency, among others. The cumulative impact of revisions in total cost estimates during the progress of work is reflected in the period in which these changes become known, including, to the extent required, the reversal of profit recognized in prior periods and the recognition of losses expected to be incurred on contracts in progress. Due to the various estimates inherent in our contract accounting, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Our long-term contracts are awarded on a competitively bid and negotiated basis and the timing of revenue recognition may be impacted by the terms of such contracts. We use a range of contracting options, including cost-reimbursable, fixed-price and hybrid, which has both cost-reimbursable and fixed-price characteristics. Fixed-price contracts, and hybrid contracts with a more significant fixed-price component, tend to provide us with greater control over project schedule and the timing of when work is performed and costs are incurred, and accordingly, when revenue is recognized. Cost-reimbursable contracts, and hybrid contracts with a more significant cost-reimbursable component, generally provide our customers with greater influence over the timing of when we perform our work, and accordingly, such contracts often result in less predictability with respect to

Table of Contents

the timing of revenue recognition. Contract revenue for our long-term contracts recognized under the POC method reflects the original contract price adjusted for approved change orders and estimated recoveries for incentive fees, unapproved change orders and claims. We recognize revenue associated with incentive fees when the value can be reliably estimated and recovery is probable. We recognize revenue associated with unapproved change orders and claims to the extent the related costs have been incurred, the value can be reliably estimated and recovery is probable. Our recorded incentive fees, unapproved change orders and claims reflect our best estimate of recovery amounts; however, the ultimate resolution and amounts received could differ from these estimates. See Note 14 to our Financial Statements for additional discussion of our recorded unapproved change orders, claims and incentives.

With respect to our EPC services, our contracts are not segmented between types of services, such as engineering and construction, if each of the EPC components is negotiated concurrently or if the pricing of any such services is subject to the ultimate negotiation and agreement of the entire EPC contract. However, an EPC contract including technology or fabrication services may be segmented if we satisfy the segmenting criteria in ASC 605-35. Revenue recorded in these situations is based on our prices and terms for similar services to third party customers. Segmenting a contract may result in different interim rates of profitability for each scope of service than if we had recognized revenue without segmenting. In some instances, we may combine contracts that are entered into in multiple phases, but are interdependent and include pricing considerations by us and the customer that are impacted by all phases of the project. Otherwise, if each phase is independent of the other and pricing considerations do not give effect to another phase, the contracts will not be combined.

Cost of revenue for our long-term contracts includes direct contract costs, such as materials and labor, and indirect costs that are attributable to contract activity. The timing of when we bill our customers is generally dependent upon advance billing terms, milestone billings based on the completion of certain phases of the work, or when services are provided. Projects with costs and estimated earnings recognized to date in excess of cumulative billings is reported on the Balance Sheet as costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings. Projects with cumulative billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings recognized to date is reported on the Balance Sheet as billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings. Any uncollected billed amounts, including contract retentions, are reported as accounts receivable. Revenue for our service contracts that do not satisfy the criteria for revenue recognition under the POC method is recorded at the time services are performed. Revenue associated with incentive fees for these contracts is recognized when earned. Unbilled receivables for our service contracts are recorded within accounts receivable.

Revenue for our pipe and steel fabrication and catalyst manufacturing contracts that are independent of an EPC contract, or for which we satisfy the segmentation criteria discussed above, is recognized upon shipment of the fabricated or manufactured units. During the fabrication or manufacturing process, all related direct and allocable indirect costs are capitalized as work in process inventory and such costs are recorded as cost of revenue at the time of shipment.

**Goodwill**

**Goodwill Summary and Reporting Units**—At June 30, 2016, our goodwill balance was \$3.7 billion. Goodwill is not amortized to earnings, but instead is reviewed for impairment at least annually at a reporting unit level, absent any indicators of impairment or when other actions require an impairment assessment (such as a change in reporting units). We perform our annual impairment assessment during the fourth quarter of each year based upon balances as of October 1. We have the following seven reporting units within our four operating groups, which represent our reportable segments:

- **Engineering & Construction**—Our Engineering & Construction operating group represents a reporting unit.
  - **Fabrication Services**—Our Fabrication Services operating group includes three reporting units: Steel Plate Structures, Fabrication & Manufacturing, and Engineered Products.
- **Technology**—Our Technology operating group represents a reporting unit.
- **Capital Services**—Our Capital Services operating group includes two reporting units: Facilities & Plant Services and Federal Services.

**Impairment Assessment**—During the fourth quarter 2015, we performed a quantitative assessment of goodwill for each of the aforementioned reporting units. Based upon these quantitative assessments, the fair value of each of our reporting units exceeded their respective net book values, and accordingly, no impairment charge was necessary as a

result of our impairment assessments. The fair value of the Engineering & Construction, Steel Plate Structures, Engineered Products and Technology reporting units substantially exceeded their respective net book values. The fair value of the Fabrication & Manufacturing, Federal Services and Facilities & Plant Services reporting units (each discussed further below) exceeded their respective net book values by approximately 13%, 12% and 10%, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, no indicators of goodwill impairment were identified for any of our reporting units. If, based on future assessments our goodwill is deemed to be impaired, the impairment would result in a charge to earnings in the period of impairment.

Table of Contents

**Determination of Reporting Unit Fair Values**—To determine the fair value of our reporting units and test for impairment, we utilize an income approach (discounted cash flow method) as we believe this is the most direct approach to incorporate the specific economic attributes and risk profiles of our reporting units into our valuation model. This is consistent with the methodology used to determine the fair value of our reporting units in previous years. We generally do not utilize a market approach given the lack of relevant information generated by market transactions involving comparable businesses. The discounted cash flow methodology is based, to a large extent, on assumptions about future events, which may or may not occur as anticipated, and such deviations could have a significant impact on the calculated estimated fair values of our reporting units. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, estimates of discount rates, future growth rates, and terminal values for each reporting unit. The discounted cash flow analysis for our reporting units tested in the fourth quarter 2015 included forecasted cash flows over a seven-year forecast period (2016 through 2022), with our 2016 business plan used as the basis for our 2016 projections. These forecasted cash flows took into consideration historical and recent results, the reporting unit's backlog and near term prospects, and management's outlook for the future. A terminal value was also calculated using a terminal value growth assumption to derive the annual cash flows after the discrete forecast period. A reporting unit specific discount rate was applied to the forecasted cash flows and terminal cash flows to determine the discounted future cash flows, or fair value, of each reporting unit.

**Additional Reporting Unit Disclosures**—Further discussion regarding the fair values of the Fabrication & Manufacturing, Federal Services and Facilities & Plant Services reporting units is below.

**Fabrication & Manufacturing**—Goodwill associated with the Fabrication & Manufacturing reporting unit was approximately \$497.0 million at October 1, 2015, and the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its net book value by approximately 13%. Key assumptions used in deriving the reporting unit's fair value included a discount rate of 10%; an earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") of approximately 13% from 2016 through 2022; and a terminal growth rate of 2.5%.

The Fabrication & Manufacturing reporting unit provides fabrication of piping systems and process modules and manufacturing and distribution of pipe and fittings for the oil & gas, petrochemical and power generation industries. The reporting unit's forecast and growth assumptions include 1) continued participation in the new awards of other reporting units ("Pull-Through Services"), 2) international expansion of pipe fabrication capabilities to new geographic markets using CB&I's international footprint, and 3) continued investment by customers in energy related capital projects, including a strong North American market for the reporting unit's services. Accordingly, the fair value of the Fabrication & Manufacturing reporting unit could be negatively impacted by reduced demand for Pull-Through Services due to delays in the award of large contracts for other reporting units, or a sustained or prolonged decline in oil prices or energy demand leading to an overall reduction in capital spending levels. The fair value of the reporting unit could be positively impacted by increased capital investment in the petrochemical and power generation industries, which are less affected by lower oil prices.

**Federal Services**—Goodwill associated with the Federal Services reporting unit was approximately \$190.0 million at October 1, 2015, and the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its net book value by approximately 12%. Key assumptions used in deriving the reporting unit's fair value included a discount rate of 11.5%; an EBITDA CAGR of approximately 12% from 2016 through 2022; and a terminal growth rate of 2.5%.

The Federal Services reporting unit provides mission critical base operations and maintenance, environmental engineering and remediation, infrastructure EPC services, and disaster response and recovery for various federal government entities. Ongoing uncertainty with respect to federal government funding and prioritization has impacted recent operating results for the reporting unit; however, cost reductions and other initiatives have enabled the reporting unit to partially mitigate these impacts. The reporting unit's forecast and growth assumptions include 1) increases in environmental services and critical base infrastructure work, 2) increases in government funding or a greater share of the existing budgetary funding, and 3) continued benefits from its cost reduction initiatives. Accordingly, the fair value of the Federal Services reporting unit could be negatively impacted by lower than anticipated growth in environmental services and critical base infrastructure work, reductions in government spending or changes in prioritization, and increased competition within the government services sector. The fair value of the reporting unit could be positively impacted by a significant event (such as a natural disaster) that increases the demand for the



reporting unit's emergency response and disaster recovery/program management services. While the reporting unit has benefited from such events historically, due to uncertainty with respect to timing, the reporting unit's forecast and growth assumptions do not include such an event.

Table of Contents

Facilities & Plant Services—Goodwill associated with the Facilities & Plant Services reporting unit was approximately \$695.0 million at October 1, 2015, and the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its net book value by approximately 10%. Key assumptions used in deriving the reporting unit’s fair value included a discount rate of 10%; an EBITDA CAGR of approximately 8% from 2016 through 2022; and a terminal growth rate of 2.5%.

The Facilities & Plant Services reporting unit provides maintenance and modification services to power and industrial facilities, environmental engineering and remediation work, infrastructure EPC services, program management, and disaster response and recovery for private sector customers and state and local governments. The reporting unit is partially reliant upon securing and maintaining long-term power and industrial maintenance contracts, which often include services for multiple facilities for a single customer. The contracts often cover three to five year periods and are subject to periodic renewal. The reporting unit’s forecast and growth assumptions include 1) maintaining these significant contracts (or replacing them with similar contracts), 2) expanding the reporting unit’s maintenance services outside the U.S. utilizing CB&I’s international footprint, 3) growth in construction, global coastal marine and environmental services, and 4) continued Pull-Through Services with other reporting units. Accordingly, the fair value of the Facilities & Plant Services reporting unit could be negatively impacted by the loss of a key customer relationship, lower than anticipated international expansion, lower than anticipated growth in global coastal marine, environmental, and construction services, and reduced demand for Pull-Through Services due to delays in the award of large contracts for other reporting units. The fair value of the reporting unit could be positively impacted by a faster than expected international expansion (including a further diversification of associated services), new key customer relationships, and a larger than anticipated market share of construction activities. The fair value of the reporting unit could also be positively impacted by a significant event (such as a natural disaster) that increases the demand for the reporting unit’s emergency response and disaster recovery/program management services. While the reporting unit has benefited from such events historically, due to uncertainty with respect to timing, the reporting unit’s forecast and growth assumptions do not include such an event.

Other Factors—The fair value of each of our reporting units is also sensitive to changes in estimated discount rates. A hypothetical change in a reporting unit’s discount rate of 0.5% would have resulted in a change in the fair value of the reporting unit by approximately 6%.

See Note 6 to our Financial Statements for further discussion regarding goodwill.

Other Long-Lived Assets

We amortize our finite-lived intangible assets on a straight-line basis with lives ranging from 4 to 20 years, absent any indicators of impairment. We review tangible assets and finite-lived intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If a recoverability assessment is required, the estimated future cash flow associated with the asset or asset group will be compared to the asset’s carrying amount to determine if an impairment exists. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we noted no indicators of impairment. See Note 6 to our Financial Statements for additional discussion of our intangible assets.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted income tax rates for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided to offset any net deferred tax assets (“DTA(s)”) if, based upon the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the DTAs will not be realized. The realization of our net DTAs depends upon our ability to generate sufficient future taxable income of the appropriate character and in the appropriate jurisdictions.

On a periodic and ongoing basis we evaluate our DTAs and assess the appropriateness of our valuation allowances (“VA”). In assessing the need for a VA, we consider both positive and negative evidence related to the likelihood of realization of the DTAs. If, based on the weight of available evidence, our assessment indicates that it is more likely than not that a DTA will not be realized, we record a VA. Our assessments include, among other things, the value and quality of our backlog, evaluations of existing and anticipated market conditions, analysis of recent and historical operating results and projections of future results, strategic plans and alternatives for associated operations, as well as asset expiration dates, where applicable. If the factors upon which we based our assessment of realizability of our

DTAs differ materially from our expectations, including future operating results being lower than our current estimates, our future assessments could be impacted and result in an increase in VA and increase in tax expense.

40

---

Table of Contents

Income tax and associated interest reserves, where applicable, are recorded in those instances where we consider it more likely than not that additional tax will be due in excess of amounts reflected in income tax returns filed worldwide, irrespective of whether or not we have received tax assessments. We continually review our exposure to additional income tax obligations and, as further information is known or events occur, changes in our tax and interest reserves may be recorded within income tax expense and interest expense, respectively.

**Insurance**

We maintain insurance coverage for various aspects of our business and operations. However, we retain a portion of anticipated losses through the use of deductibles and self-insured retentions for our exposures related to third party liability and workers' compensation. We regularly review estimates of reported and unreported claims through analysis of historical and projected trends, in conjunction with actuaries and other consultants, and provide for losses through insurance reserves. As claims develop and additional information becomes available, adjustments to loss reserves may be required. If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions, we may be exposed to gains or losses that could be material.

**Partnering Arrangements**

In the ordinary course of business, we execute specific projects and conduct certain operations through joint venture, consortium and other collaborative arrangements (collectively referred to as "venture(s)"). We have various ownership interests in these ventures, with such ownership typically proportionate to our decision making and distribution rights. The ventures generally contract directly with the third party customer; however, services may be performed directly by the ventures, or may be performed by us, our partners, or a combination thereof.

Venture net assets consist primarily of working capital and property and equipment, and assets may be restricted from being used to fund obligations outside of the venture. These ventures typically have limited third party debt or have debt that is non-recourse in nature; however, they may provide for capital calls to fund operations or require participants in the venture to provide additional financial support, including advance payment or retention letters of credit.

Each venture is assessed at inception and on an ongoing basis as to whether it qualifies as a VIE under the consolidations guidance in ASC 810. A venture generally qualifies as a VIE when it (1) meets the definition of a legal entity, (2) absorbs the operational risk of the projects being executed, creating a variable interest, and (3) lacks sufficient capital investment from the partners, potentially resulting in the venture requiring additional subordinated financial support, if necessary, to finance its future activities.

If at any time a venture qualifies as a VIE, we perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE and, therefore, need to consolidate the VIE. We are the primary beneficiary if we have (1) the power to direct the economically significant activities of the VIE and (2) the right to receive benefits from, and obligation to absorb losses of, the VIE. If the venture is a VIE and we are the primary beneficiary, or we otherwise have the ability to control the venture, we consolidate the venture. If we are not determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE, or only have the ability to significantly influence, rather than control the venture, we do not consolidate the venture. We account for unconsolidated ventures using proportionate consolidation for both our Balance Sheet and Statement of Operations when we meet the applicable accounting criteria to do so and utilize the equity method otherwise. See Note 7 to our Financial Statements for additional discussion of our material partnering arrangements.

**Financial Instruments**

We utilize derivative instruments in certain circumstances to mitigate the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates, as described below:

**Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Derivatives**—We do not engage in currency speculation; however, we utilize foreign currency exchange rate derivatives on an ongoing basis to hedge against certain foreign currency related operating exposures. We generally seek hedge accounting treatment for contracts used to hedge operating exposures and designate them as cash flow hedges. Therefore, gains and losses, exclusive of credit risk and forward points (which represent the time value component of the fair value of our derivative positions), are included in AOCI until the associated underlying operating exposure impacts our earnings. Changes in the fair value of (1) credit risk and forward points, (2) instruments deemed ineffective during the period, and (3) instruments that we do not designate as

cash flow hedges, are recognized within cost of revenue.

Interest Rate Derivatives—At June 30, 2016, we continued to utilize a swap arrangement to hedge against interest rate variability associated with \$328.3 million of our outstanding \$375.0 million Term Loan. The swap arrangement has been designated as a cash flow hedge as its critical terms matched those of the Term Loan at inception and through June 30, 2016. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the swap arrangement are included in AOCI until the associated underlying exposure impacts our earnings.

See Note 9 to our Financial Statements for additional discussion of our financial instruments.

Table of Contents

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including all documents incorporated by reference, contains forward-looking statements regarding CB&I and represents our expectations and beliefs concerning future events. These forward-looking statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. When considering any statements that are predictive in nature, depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or use or contain words, terms, phrases, or expressions such as “achieve,” “forecast,” “plan,” “propose,” “strategy,” “envision,” “hope,” “will,” “continue,” “potential,” “expect,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “project,” “estimate,” “predict,” “could,” “may,” “might,” or similar forward-looking statements, we refer you to the cautionary statements concerning risk factors and “Forward-Looking Statements” described under “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of our 2015 Annual Report and any updates to those risk factors or “Forward-Looking Statements” included in our subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC, which cautionary statements are incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

**Foreign Currency Risk**—We are exposed to market risk associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

One form of exposure to fluctuating exchange rates relates to the effects of translating financial statements of entities with functional currencies other than the U.S. Dollar into our reporting currency. With respect to the translation of our balance sheet, as a net result from the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar against the British Pound and the weakening of the U.S. Dollar against the Euro, the cumulative translation adjustment component of AOCI was unfavorably impacted by approximately \$1.5 million, net of tax, and our cash balance was unfavorably impacted by approximately \$13.2 million. We generally do not hedge our exposure to potential foreign currency translation adjustments.

Another form of exposure to fluctuating exchange rates relates to the effects of transacting in currencies other than those of our entity’s functional currencies. We do not engage in currency speculation; however, we utilize foreign currency exchange rate derivatives on an ongoing basis to hedge against certain foreign currency related operating exposures. We generally seek hedge accounting treatment for contracts used to hedge operating exposures and designate them as cash flow hedges. Therefore, gains and losses, exclusive of credit risk and forward points, are included in AOCI until the associated underlying operating exposure impacts our earnings. Changes in the fair value of (1) credit risk and forward points, (2) instruments deemed ineffective during the period, and (3) instruments that we do not designate as cash flow hedges, are recognized within cost of revenue and were not material during the first six months of 2016.

At June 30, 2016, the notional value of our outstanding forward contracts to hedge certain foreign currency exchange-related operating exposures was \$77.0 million, including net foreign currency exchange rate exposure associated with the purchase of U.S. Dollars (\$43.3 million), Thai Baht (\$13.8 million), Japanese Yen (\$10.4 million), Euros (\$6.0 million), and Kuwaiti Dinars (\$3.5 million). The total fair value of these contracts was a net liability of approximately \$4.0 million at June 30, 2016. The potential change in fair value for our outstanding contracts resulting from a hypothetical ten percent change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates would have been approximately \$9.0 million and \$2.5 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. This potential change in fair value of our outstanding contracts would be offset by the change in fair value of the associated underlying operating exposures.

**Interest Rate Risk**—At June 30, 2016, we continued to utilize an interest rate swap to hedge against interest rate variability associated with \$328.3 million of our outstanding \$375.0 million Term Loan. The swap arrangement has been designated as a cash flow hedge as its critical terms matched those of the Term Loan at inception and through June 30, 2016. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap are recognized in AOCI. The total fair value of the contract was a net liability of approximately \$0.3 million at June 30, 2016. The potential change in fair value for our interest rate swap resulting from a hypothetical one percent change in the LIBOR rate would have been approximately \$1.7 million and \$2.8 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

**Other**—The carrying values of our accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their fair values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. At June 30, 2016, the fair values of our Term Loan and Second Term Loan, based on current market rates for debt with similar credit risk and maturities, approximated their carrying values as

interest is based upon LIBOR plus an applicable floating margin. Our Senior Notes and Second Senior Notes are categorized within level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Our Senior Notes had a total fair value of approximately \$807.1 million and \$772.6 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, based on current market rates for debt with similar credit risk and maturities. Our Second Senior Notes had a total fair value of approximately \$217.0 million and \$203.5 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, based on current market rates for debt with similar credit risk and maturities. See Note 9 to our Financial Statements for additional discussion of our financial instruments.

## Table of Contents

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**—For the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based upon such evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

**Changes in Internal Control**—There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the second quarter 2016, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

**General**—We have been and may from time to time be named as a defendant in legal actions claiming damages in connection with engineering and construction projects, technology licenses, other services we provide, and other matters. These are typically claims that arise in the normal course of business, including employment-related claims and contractual disputes or claims for personal injury or property damage which occur in connection with services performed relating to project or construction sites. Contractual disputes normally involve claims relating to the timely completion of projects, performance of equipment or technologies, design or other engineering services or project construction services provided by us. We do not believe that any of our pending contractual, employment-related personal injury or property damage claims and disputes will have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

The customer for one of our large cost reimbursable projects has filed a request for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce, alleging cost overruns on the project. The customer has not provided evidence to substantiate its allegations and we believe all amounts incurred and billed on the project, including outstanding receivables of approximately \$224.0 million as of June 30, 2016, are contractually due under the provisions of our contract and are recoverable. We do not believe a risk of material loss is probable related to this matter, and accordingly, no amounts have been accrued.

**Dispute Related to Sale of Nuclear Operations**—As discussed further in Note 4 to our Financial Statements, on December 31, 2015, we sold our Nuclear Operations to WEC. In connection with the transaction, a customary post-closing purchase price adjustment mechanism was negotiated to account for any difference between target working capital and actual working capital as finally determined. On April 28, 2016, WEC delivered to the Company a purported closing statement estimating closing working capital to be negative \$976.5 million, which was \$2.2 billion less than target working capital. In contrast, the Company had calculated closing working capital to be \$1.6 billion, which is \$427.8 million greater than target working capital. On July 21, 2016, we filed a complaint against WEC in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware seeking a declaration that WEC has no remedy for the vast majority of its claims and requesting an injunction barring WEC from bringing such claims. We do not believe a risk of material loss is probable related to the matters in dispute, and accordingly, no amounts have been accrued. The Company intends to vigorously pursue this litigation and its rights under the purchase agreement.

**Asbestos Litigation**—We are a defendant in lawsuits wherein plaintiffs allege exposure to asbestos due to work we may have performed at various locations. We have never been a manufacturer, distributor or supplier of asbestos products. Over the past several decades and through June 30, 2016, we have been named a defendant in lawsuits alleging exposure to asbestos involving approximately 5,900 plaintiffs and, of those claims, approximately 1,200 claims were pending and 4,700 have been closed through dismissals or settlements. Over the past several decades and through June 30, 2016, the claims alleging exposure to asbestos that have been resolved have been dismissed or settled for an average settlement amount of approximately two thousand dollars per claim. We review each case on its own merits and make accruals based upon the probability of loss and our estimates of the amount of liability and related expenses, if any. While we have seen an increase in the number of recent filings, especially in one specific venue, we do not believe the increase or any unresolved asserted claims will have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flow, and at June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$6.6 million accrued for



liability and related expenses. With respect to unasserted asbestos claims, we cannot identify a population of potential claimants with sufficient certainty to determine the probability of a loss and to make a reasonable estimate of liability, if any. While we continue to pursue recovery for recognized and unrecognized contingent losses through insurance, indemnification arrangements or other sources, we are unable to quantify the amount, if any, that we may expect to recover because of the variability in coverage amounts, limitations and deductibles, or the viability of carriers, with respect to our insurance policies for the years in question.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters—Our operations are subject to extensive and changing U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations, as well as the laws of other countries, that establish health and environmental quality standards. These standards, among others, relate to air and water pollutants and the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes. We are exposed to potential liability for personal injury or property damage caused by any release, spill, exposure or other accident involving such pollutants, substances or wastes.

In connection with the historical operation of our facilities, including those associated with acquired operations, substances which currently are or might be considered hazardous were used or disposed of at some sites that will or may require us to make expenditures for remediation. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify parties from whom we have purchased or to whom we have sold facilities for certain environmental liabilities arising from acts occurring before the dates those facilities were transferred.

We believe we are in compliance, in all material respects, with environmental laws and regulations and maintain insurance coverage to mitigate our exposure to environmental liabilities. We do not believe any environmental matters will have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flow. We do not anticipate we will incur material capital expenditures for environmental controls or for the investigation or remediation of environmental conditions during the remainder of 2016 or 2017.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to risk factors as previously disclosed in our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2016.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

- 10.1 <sup>(2)</sup> 2016 Amendment to the Chicago Bridge & Iron 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan
- 31.1 <sup>(1)</sup> Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13A-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 <sup>(1)</sup> Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13A-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 <sup>(1)</sup> Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 <sup>(1)</sup> Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101.INS <sup>(1),(3)</sup> XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH <sup>(1),(3)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL <sup>(1),(3)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF <sup>(1),(3)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB <sup>(1),(3)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE <sup>(1),(3)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

(1) Filed herewith

(2) Incorporated by reference from Annex A to the Company's 2016 Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on March 24, 2016

(3) Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and (vi) the Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on July 27, 2016.

Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V.  
By: Chicago Bridge & Iron Company B.V.  
Its: Managing Director

By: /s/ Michael S. Taff  
Michael S. Taff  
Managing Director  
(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)