

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended **June 30, 2008**

Commission File Number 1-32302

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

A Delaware Corporation
250 Phillips Blvd, Suite 290

Ewing, New Jersey 08618

(609) 359-3020

IRS Employer Identification No. 41-1350192

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, as of August 13, 2008, was 67,979,666.

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

INDEX

		PAGE
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets, as of June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2007	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	18
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	18
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	21
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
Item 6.	Exhibits	37
	SIGNATURES	38

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION*Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***ANTARES PHARMA, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2007
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,176,996	\$ 9,758,924
Short-term investments	997,097	16,300,844
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10,000	653,814	486,887
Other receivables	248,452	20,181
Inventories	161,909	125,409
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	369,336	620,933
Total current assets	21,607,604	27,313,178
Equipment, molds, furniture and fixtures, net	1,745,787	467,676
Patent rights, net	618,896	572,174
Goodwill	1,095,355	1,095,355
Other assets	1,338,277	768,333
Total Assets	\$ 26,405,919	\$ 30,216,716
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,797,539	\$ 804,848
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,367,632	1,543,401
Notes payable and capital lease, net of discount of \$162,209 and \$199,060, respectively	2,292,953	2,109,385
Deferred revenue	1,250,519	964,673
Total current liabilities	7,708,643	5,422,307
Notes payable and capital lease, net of discount of \$82,730 and \$154,189, respectively	3,569,988	4,665,467
Deferred revenue	2,570,709	2,629,651
Total liabilities	13,849,340	12,717,425
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common Stock: \$0.01 par; authorized 150,000,000 shares; 67,979,666 and 65,529,666 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively	679,796	655,296

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	127,329,893		125,430,653	
Accumulated deficit	(114,659,860)	(107,901,392)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(793,250)	(685,266)
	12,556,579		17,499,291	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$26,405,919		\$ 30,216,716	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2008	2007	June 30, 2008	2007
Revenue:				
Product sales	\$ 945,017	\$ 1,068,723	\$ 1,683,386	\$ 1,694,810
Development revenue	106,101	460,358	210,098	791,054
Licensing revenue	225,321	145,913	448,294	1,994,081
Royalties	113,676	131,281	162,715	170,068
Total revenue	1,390,115	1,806,275	2,504,493	4,650,013
Cost of revenue:				
Cost of product sales	513,109	503,475	928,042	864,524
Cost of development revenue	21,600	64,256	58,715	170,759
Total cost of revenue	534,709	567,731	986,757	1,035,283
Gross profit	855,406	1,238,544	1,517,736	3,614,730
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	1,791,214	1,521,657	3,757,486	2,345,252
Sales, marketing and business development	574,566	430,461	1,005,230	816,334
General and administrative	1,635,763	1,484,882	3,323,168	3,115,689
	4,001,543	3,437,000	8,085,884	6,277,275
Operating loss	(3,146,137)	(2,198,456)	(6,568,148)	(2,662,545)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	143,892	152,330	389,329	264,517
Interest expense	(268,791)	(230,260)	(558,059)	(310,838)
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	23,224	(12,736)	8,483	(28,847)
Other, net	(12,958)	(7,916)	(30,073)	11,094
	(114,633)	(98,582)	(190,320)	(64,074)
Net loss	(3,260,770)	(2,297,038)	(6,758,468)	(2,726,619)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.05)
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	67,320,325	54,626,788	66,474,446	54,023,408

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (6,758,468)	\$ (2,726,619)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	118,569	119,483
Stock-based compensation expense	563,707	608,988
Amortization of prepaid license discount	-	98,124
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	146,726	86,959
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(164,347)	135,380
Other receivables	32,310	(30,313)
Inventories	(36,500)	25,802
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	312,166	(106,137)
Other assets	(591,840)	(130,808)
Accounts payable	1,297,501	215,119
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(149,761)	22,394
Deferred revenue	71,616	(689,646)
Net cash used in operating activities	(5,158,321)	(2,371,274)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturity of short-term investments	15,061,897	5,585,464
Purchases of short-term investments	-	(10,200,990)
Purchases of equipment, molds, furniture and fixtures	(647,444)	(14,151)
Additions to patent rights	(51,794)	(43,106)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	14,362,659	(4,672,783)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from notes payable	-	5,000,000
Capitalized debt issuance costs	-	(181,124)
Principal payments on long-term debt	(1,128,584)	-
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and stock options	1,319,950	2,292,692
Net cash provided by financing activities	191,366	7,111,568
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	22,368	(10,890)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	9,418,072	56,621
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of period	9,758,924	2,706,047
End of period	\$ 19,176,996	\$ 2,762,668

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

5

1. Description of Business

Antares Pharma, Inc. (“Antares” or the “Company”) is a specialty drug delivery/pharmaceutical company utilizing its experience and expertise in drug delivery systems to enhance the performance of established and developing pharmaceuticals. The Company currently has three established delivery platforms (1) transdermal gels, (2) oral disintegrating tablets, and (3) injection devices. The corporate headquarters is located in Ewing, New Jersey, with research and production facilities for parenteral products in Minneapolis, Minnesota, and research and development facilities for pharmaceuticals in Basel, Switzerland.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Operating results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008.

Short-Term Investments

All short-term investments are commercial paper or U.S. government agency discount notes that mature within one year of purchase and are classified as held-to-maturity because the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. The securities are carried at their amortized cost. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the securities had a fair value of \$998,700 and \$16,332,927, respectively, and a carrying amount of \$997,097 and \$16,300,844, respectively. The fair value of the securities was determined using Level 1 inputs as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements”, which are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

3. Notes Payable and Capital Lease

In February 2007, the Company received gross proceeds of \$5,000,000 upon closing of the first tranche of a \$10,000,000 credit facility. In December 2007, the Company received gross proceeds of \$2,500,000, after amending the credit facility agreement to reduce the amount available to draw down in the second tranche from \$5,000,000 to \$2,500,000. The per annum interest rate is 12.7% in the case of the first tranche and 11% in case of the second tranche. The maturity date (i) with respect to the first tranche is forty-two months from the first funding date and (ii) with respect to the second tranche is thirty-six months from the second funding date. The credit agreement is secured by all personal property of the Company, including all intellectual property. The credit agreement contains certain covenants and provisions, including, without limitation, covenants and provisions that:

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

- restrict the Company's ability to create or incur indebtedness (subject to enumerated exceptions);
- restrict the Company's ability to create or incur certain liens on its property (subject to enumerated exceptions);
- require the Company to use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain, on a consolidated basis, unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of at least \$2,500,000;
- in certain circumstances, restrict the Company's ability to declare or pay any dividends on any shares of its capital stock, purchase or redeem any shares of its capital stock, return any capital to any holder of its equity securities or payment of certain bonuses; and
- restrict the Company's ability to make certain investments.

Total interest expense related to the credit facility for the first six months of 2008 was \$544,605, of which \$397,880 was interest paid in cash. The remaining interest expense of \$146,725 consisted of amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs. In connection with the credit facility, the Company issued warrants to purchase a total of 640,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.25. The fair value of the vested warrants was approximately \$505,000, calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation model, and was recorded as an increase to equity and a decrease, or discount, to notes payable. The discount is being amortized and recorded as interest expense using the interest method over the term of the agreement.

Principal payments of \$2,409,085, \$2,718,608 and \$805,947 are due in each of the twelve month periods ended June 30, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

In 2008 and 2007, the Company acquired lab equipment under capital lease agreements. The equipment and capital lease obligation were recorded at an amount of approximately \$100,000 in 2008 and \$115,000 in 2007. Principal payments of approximately \$69,000, \$58,000, \$27,000 and \$20,000 are due in each of the twelve month periods ended June 30, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

4. Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for employee stock compensation cost using the fair value method pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment", which requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for the award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant. The cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award.

The Company's equity compensation plan allows for the grants of options, restricted stock, stock units, stock appreciation rights and/or performance awards to officers, directors, consultants and employees. Under the Company's 2008 Equity Compensation Plan, the maximum number of shares of stock that may be granted to any one participant during a calendar year is 1,000,000 shares. Options to purchase shares of common stock are granted at exercise prices not less than 100% of the fair market value on the dates of grant. The term of the options range from three to eleven years and they vest in varying periods. As of June 30, 2008, this plan had 3,160,142 shares available for grant. Stock option exercises are satisfied through the issuance of new shares.

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

A summary of stock option activity under the plan as of June 30, 2008, and the changes during the six-month period then ended is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	5,582,391	1.58		
Granted	1,368,023	0.86		
Exercised	-	-		
Forfeited	(335,850)	2.25		
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	6,614,564	1.40	7.4	10,325
Exercisable at June 30, 2008	4,345,631	1.56	6.3	10,116

Total recognized compensation expense for stock options was approximately \$558,000 and \$520,000 for the first six months of 2008 and 2007, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, there was approximately \$1,600,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested outstanding stock options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately two years.

The per share weighted average fair value of options granted during the first six months of 2008 and 2007 were estimated as \$0.56 and \$1.14, respectively, on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the assumptions noted in the table below. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The weighted average expected life is based on both historical and anticipated employee behavior.

	June 30,			
	2008	%	2007	%
Risk-free interest rate	3.2	%	4.7	%
Annualized volatility	79.0	%	109.0	%
Weighted average expected life, in years	5.0		5.0	
Expected dividend yield	0.0	%	0.0	%

The employment agreements with the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other members of executive management include stock-based incentives under which the executives could be awarded up to 1,605,000 shares of common stock upon the occurrence of various triggering events. Approximately 45,000 of the 1,605,000 shares were awarded prior to June 30, 2008, of which 22,727 were awarded to the Chief Financial Officer in the first half of 2008 in connection with a performance based award. In addition, certain members of executive management received stock grants in the second quarter of 2008 totaling 140,000 shares, which vest over a three year period. Expense of approximately \$20,000 and \$63,000 was recorded in the first half of 2008 and 2007, respectively, in connection with stock grants.

8

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

5. Stockholders' Equity*Common Stock, Options and Warrants*

In July 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$14,742,671, net of offering costs of \$1,257,329, in a private placement of its common stock in which a total of 10,000,000 shares of common stock were sold at a price of \$1.60 per share. In connection with the private placement, the Company issued five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3,800,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share.

Warrant exercises in the first six months of 2008 resulted in proceeds of \$1,319,950 and in the issuance of 2,400,000 shares of common stock. Warrant and stock option exercises in the first six months of 2007 resulted in proceeds of \$2,292,692 and in the issuance of 2,187,317 shares of common stock.

During the first six months of 2008 and 2007, the Company granted options to purchase a total of 1,368,023 and 1,307,632 shares of its common stock, respectively. The options were granted to employees and members of the Company's board of directors at exercise prices ranging from \$0.85 to \$1.02 in 2008 and \$1.23 to \$1.65 in 2007. All options were granted at an exercise price that equaled the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant.

Warrants to purchase a total of 20,741,021 shares of common stock were outstanding at June 30, 2008. The weighted average exercise price of the warrants was \$1.59.

6. Net Loss Per Share

Basic loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss applicable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per common share reflects the potential dilution from the exercise or conversion of securities into common stock. The table below discloses the basic and diluted loss per common share.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2008	2007	June 30, 2008	2007
Net loss applicable to common shares	\$(3,260,770)	\$(2,297,038)	\$(6,758,468)	\$(2,726,619)
Basic and diluted weighted avg common shares outstanding	67,320,325	54,626,788	66,474,446	54,023,408

7. Industry Segment and Operations by Geographic Areas

The Company has one operating segment, specialty drug delivery/pharmaceutical, which includes the development of drug delivery based transdermal and transmucosal pharmaceutical products as well as drug delivery based injection devices and supplies.

The geographic distributions of the Company's identifiable assets and revenues are summarized in the following tables:

The Company has operating assets located in two countries as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2008	2007
United States of America	\$ 25,223,346	\$ 28,432,486
Switzerland	1,182,573	1,784,230
	\$ 26,405,919	\$ 30,216,716

Revenues by customer location are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
United States of America	\$ 223,389	\$ 260,866	\$ 470,119	\$ 2,249,615
Europe	1,166,726	1,430,710	1,847,625	2,172,545
Other	-	114,699	186,749	227,853
	\$ 1,390,115	\$ 1,806,275	\$ 2,504,493	\$ 4,650,013

The following summarizes significant customers comprising 10% or more of total revenue for the three months and six months ended June 30:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Ferring	\$ 1,008,582	\$ 1,015,222	\$ 1,565,685	\$ 1,508,415
BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	59,325	44,232	111,082	1,838,062
Undisclosed	133,536	324,016	234,202	539,283

8. Comprehensive Loss

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2008	2007	June 30, 2008	2007
Net loss	\$ (3,260,770)	\$ (2,297,038)	\$ (6,758,468)	\$ (2,726,619)
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	30,780	1,765	(107,984)	(8,833)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (3,229,990)	\$ (2,295,273)	\$ (6,866,452)	\$ (2,735,452)

10

9. New Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) Issue No. 07-3, “Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities” (“EITF 07-3”). EITF 07-3 addresses the diversity that exists with respect to the accounting for the non-refundable portion of a payment made by a research and development entity for future research and development activities. Under EITF 07-3, an entity would defer and capitalize non-refundable advance payments made for research and development activities until the related goods are delivered or the related services are performed. The Company’s adoption of EITF 07-3 had no impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115” (“SFAS 159”), which permits an entity to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. The objective of SFAS 159 is to improve financial reporting by allowing entities to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by the measurement of related assets and liabilities using different attributes, without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. Under SFAS 159, entities that elect the fair value option (by instrument) will report unrealized gains and losses in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option election is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. SFAS 159 establishes presentation and disclosure requirements to help financial statement users understand the effect of the entity’s election on its earnings, but does not eliminate disclosure requirements of other accounting standards. Assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value must be displayed on the face of the balance sheet. The Company chose not to elect the fair value option for its financial assets and liabilities existing at January 1, 2008, and did not elect the fair value option on financial assets and liabilities transacted in the six months ended June 30, 2008. Therefore, the adoption of SFAS 159 had no impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”) for financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities carried at fair value. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. On February 12, 2008, the FASB delayed the effective date for non-financial assets and liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008; however, the effective date for financial assets and liabilities remained applicable to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company’s adoption of SFAS 157 had no impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements, other than the disclosure in Note 2, and the Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the delayed portion of this statement on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141R (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS 141R”). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired in the business combination. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The provisions of SFAS 141R are effective beginning January 1, 2009. The

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

Company's adoption of SFAS 141R will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

The Company develops, produces and markets delivery based pharmaceutical products, including transdermal gels, oral disintegrating tablets and reusable needle-free and disposable pressure assisted auto injector and pen injector systems for both pharmaceutical partners and internal product candidates. The Company has operating facilities in the U.S. and Switzerland. The U.S. operation manufactures and markets reusable needle-free injection devices and related disposables, and develops disposable pressure assisted auto injectors, pen injector systems and injectable drugs for its delivery systems. These operations, including all development and some U.S. administrative activities, are located in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Company also has operations located in Basel, Switzerland, which consists of administration and facilities for the development of transdermal gels and oral disintegrating tablet products. The Swiss operations focus principally on research, development and commercialization of pharmaceutical products and include a number of license agreements with pharmaceutical companies for the application of its drug delivery systems. The Company's corporate offices are located in Ewing, New Jersey.

The Company operates as a specialty pharmaceutical company in the broader pharmaceutical industry. Companies in this sector generally bring technology and know-how in the area of drug formulation and/or delivery to pharmaceutical product marketers through licensing and development agreements while actively pursuing development of its own products. The Company currently views pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies as primary customers. The Company has negotiated and executed licensing relationships in growth hormone products (reusable needle-free devices in Europe and Asia) and in transdermal gels (several development programs in place worldwide, including the United States and Europe) and in oral disintegrating tablets. In addition, the Company continues to market reusable needle-free devices for the home or alternate-site administration of insulin in the U.S. market through distributors and has licensed both disposable and reusable injection devices in various territories to Teva Pharmaceuticals for use with human growth hormone and other undisclosed fields.

The Company incurred a net loss of \$6,758,468 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2008 and expects to report a net loss for the year ending December 31, 2008, as development costs related to bringing future generations of products to market continue. Long-term capital requirements will depend on numerous factors, including the status of collaborative arrangements and payments received under such arrangements, the progress of research and development programs, the receipt of revenues from sales of products and royalties and the ability to control costs.

Results of Operations

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company has identified certain of its significant accounting policies that it considers particularly important to the portrayal of the Company's results of operations and financial position and which may require the application of a higher level of judgment by the Company's management, and as a result are subject to an inherent level of uncertainty. These are characterized as "critical accounting policies" and address revenue recognition, valuation of long-lived and intangible assets and goodwill and accounting for debt and equity instruments, each more fully described under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. The Company has made no changes to these policies during 2008.

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

Revenues

Total revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$1,390,115 and \$2,504,493, respectively, compared to revenues for the same prior-year periods of \$1,806,275 and \$4,650,013. The decrease in revenues in the three month period was primarily due to decreases in development revenue, which resulted primarily from reduction in and delays in revenue from agreements related to use of the Company's proprietary ATDTM gel technology and oral fast-melting tablet technology. The decrease in revenues in the six month period was impacted by the same factors contributing to the decrease in the second quarter, but the decrease was primarily due to a \$1,750,000 milestone payment received in the first quarter of 2007 under a sublicense arrangement related to an existing license agreement with BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Cost of Revenues

The cost of product sales are related to reusable needle free injector devices and disposable components. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, cost of product sales was \$513,109 and \$928,042, respectively, compared to \$503,475 and \$864,524 for the same periods of the prior year. Cost of product sales as a percentage of product sales was 54% and 47% in three month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and was 55% and 51% for the six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in 2008 was due primarily to a write-down of inventory of approximately \$55,000.

The cost of development revenue consists of labor costs, direct external costs and an allocation of certain overhead expenses. Cost of development revenue as a percentage of development revenue can fluctuate considerably between periods depending on the development projects in process. In some cases development projects are substantially labor based, resulting in relatively high margins, while in other cases development projects include a significant amount of external cost passed through to the customer at little or no markup, resulting in very low margins. Cost of development revenue as a percentage of development revenue was 20% and 14% for the second quarter of 2008 and 2007, respectively and was 28% and 22% for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increases in each period were due mainly to a development milestone payment received and recognized in 2007 which had minimal related direct costs.

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

Research and Development

Research and development expenses were \$1,791,214 and \$3,757,486 in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to \$1,521,657 and \$2,345,252 in the same periods of the prior year. The increases in the second quarter and first half of 2008 compared to the same periods of 2007 were due primarily to a Phase III study of Anturol™ (oxybutynin gel) for the treatment of overactive bladder initiated in the second half of 2007.

Sales, Marketing and Business Development

Sales, marketing and business development expenses totaled \$574,566 and \$1,005,230 for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to \$430,461 and \$816,334 in the same prior year periods. The increases in each period were primarily due to payroll related expenses and an increase in professional services related to market research.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses totaled \$1,635,763 and \$3,323,168 in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to \$1,484,882 and \$3,115,689 in the same periods of the prior year. The increases in each period were due mainly to increases in patent related expenses. These increases were partially offset by decreases in various professional service expenses.

Other Income (Expense)

Other expense was \$114,633 and \$190,320 in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to expense of \$98,582 and \$64,074 in the same periods of the prior year. The increase in each period was due primarily to an increase in interest expense resulting from notes payable that originated during the first and fourth quarters of 2007. The increase in interest expense in the first half of 2008 as compared to 2007 was partially offset by an increase in interest income resulting from higher cash and investment balances.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has not historically generated, and does not currently generate, enough revenue to provide the cash needed to support its operations, and has continued to fund capital needs in excess of revenue generated primarily by raising capital and incurring debt.

In the first half of 2008, the Company received proceeds of \$1,319,950 in connection with warrant exercises, which resulted in the issuance of 2,400,000 shares of common stock. In July of 2007, the Company received net proceeds of \$14,742,671 in a private placement of common stock in which a total of 10,000,000 shares of common stock were sold at a price of \$1.60 per share. In connection with the private placement, the Company issued five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3,800,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. In

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

2007, the Company also received proceeds of \$2,292,692 in connection with warrant and stock option exercises, which resulted in the issuance of 2,187,317 shares of common stock.

In February of 2007, the Company received gross proceeds of \$5,000,000 upon closing of the first tranche of a \$10,000,000 credit facility, to help fund working capital needs. In December of 2007, the Company received gross proceeds of \$2,500,000, after the Company amended the credit facility

15

agreement to reduce the amount available to draw down in the second tranche from \$5,000,000 to \$2,500,000. The per annum interest rate is 12.7% in the case of the first tranche and 11% in the case of the second tranche. The maturity date (i) with respect to the first tranche is forty-two months from the first funding date and (ii) with respect to the second tranche is thirty-six months from the second funding date. The credit agreement is secured by all personal property of the Company, including all intellectual property. The credit agreement contains certain covenants and provisions, including, without limitation, covenants and provisions that:

- restrict the Company's ability to create or incur indebtedness (subject to enumerated exceptions);
- restrict the Company's ability to create or incur certain liens on its property (subject to enumerated exceptions);
- require the Company to use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain, on a consolidated basis, unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of at least \$2,500,000;
- in certain circumstances, restrict the Company's ability to declare or pay any dividends on any shares of its capital stock, purchase or redeem any shares of its capital stock, return any capital to any holder of its equity securities or payment of certain bonuses; and
- restrict the Company's ability to make certain investments.

In connection with the credit facility, the Company issued warrants to purchase a total of 640,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.25.

The Company believes that the combination of the recent debt and equity financings and projected product sales, product development, license revenues, milestone payments and royalties will provide sufficient funds to support operations for at least the next 12 months. During 2008, the Company believes capital expenditures may increase to over \$2.5 million primarily in connection with commercial activities. The Company does not currently have any bank credit lines. In the future, if the Company needs additional financing and is unable to obtain such financing when needed, or obtain it on favorable terms, the Company may be required to curtail development of new products, limit expansion of operations or accept financing terms that are not as attractive as the Company may desire.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$5,158,321 and \$2,371,274 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The difference between 2008 and 2007 was mainly the result of an increase in the amount of the net loss from \$2,726,619 in the first half of 2007 to \$6,758,468 in the first half of 2008. The net loss increase was primarily due to two factors: (i) in the first quarter of 2007, the Company received \$1,750,000 under a sublicense arrangement related to an existing license agreement with BioSante Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and (ii) in the first half of 2008, there was a significant increase in product development costs due to the continuation of a Phase III study of Anturo1™ (oxybutynin gel) for the treatment of overactive bladder, initiated in the fourth quarter of 2007. The increase in cash used as a result of the increase in the net loss was partially offset by changes in operating assets and liabilities that provided a source of cash in the first half of 2008 of \$771,145 compared to a use of cash in the first half of 2007 of \$558,209. The source of cash from the change in operating assets and liabilities in the first half of 2008 was mainly due to an increase in accounts payable, which was impacted primarily by timing of invoices received and payments made for inventory purchases and various operating expenses.

Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$14,362,659 in the first half of 2008, which consisted of proceeds from maturity of short-term investments of \$15,061,897 that were partially offset by cash used for purchases of equipment of \$647,444 and patent rights of \$51,794. The equipment purchases consisted primarily of tooling and production equipment related to commercial device deals. Cash used in investing activities of \$4,672,783 in the first half of 2007 was primarily due to purchases of short-term investments of \$10,200,990, which was partially offset by proceeds from the maturity of short-term investments of \$5,585,464.

Financing Activities

In the first half of 2008, net cash provided by financing activities of \$191,366 consisted of proceeds from the exercise of warrants of \$1,319,950 less principal payments on long-term debt of \$1,128,584. In the first half of 2007, net cash provided by financing activities of \$7,111,568 consisted primarily of proceeds from notes payable of \$5,000,000 and proceeds from the exercise of warrants of \$2,292,692.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) Issue No. 07-3, “Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities” (“EITF 07-3”). EITF 07-3 addresses the diversity that exists with respect to the accounting for the non-refundable portion of a payment made by a research and development entity for future research and development activities. Under EITF 07-3, an entity would defer and capitalize non-refundable advance payments made for research and development activities until the related goods are delivered or the related services are performed. The Company’s adoption of EITF 07-3 had no impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115” (“SFAS 159”), which permits an entity to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. The objective of SFAS 159 is to improve financial reporting by allowing entities to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by the measurement of related assets and liabilities using different attributes, without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. Under SFAS 159, entities that elect the fair value option (by instrument) will report unrealized gains and losses in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option election is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. SFAS 159 establishes presentation and disclosure requirements to help financial statement users understand the effect of the entity’s election on its earnings, but does not eliminate disclosure requirements of other accounting standards. Assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value must be displayed on the face of the balance sheet. The Company chose not to elect the fair value option for its financial assets and liabilities existing at January 1, 2008, and did not elect the fair value option on financial assets and liabilities transacted in the six months ended June 30, 2008. Therefore, the adoption of SFAS 159 had no impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”) for financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities carried at fair value. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. On February 12, 2008, the FASB delayed the effective date for non-financial assets and liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November

15, 2008; however, the effective date for financial assets and liabilities remained applicable to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company's adoption of SFAS 157 had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, other than the disclosure in Note 2, and the Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the delayed portion of this statement on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141R (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired in the business combination. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The provisions of SFAS 141R are effective beginning January 1, 2009. The Company's adoption of SFAS 141R will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's primary market risk exposure is foreign exchange rate fluctuations of the Swiss Franc to the U.S. dollar as the financial position and operating results of the Company's subsidiaries in Switzerland are translated into U.S. dollars for consolidation. The Company's exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuations also arises from transferring funds to its Swiss subsidiaries in Swiss Francs. In addition, the Company has exposure to exchange rate fluctuations between the Euro and the U.S. dollar in connection with the licensing agreement entered into in January 2003 with Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which established pricing in Euros for products sold under the supply agreement and for all royalties. In March of 2007, the Company amended the 2003 agreement with Ferring, establishing prices in U.S. dollars rather than Euros for certain products, reducing the exchange rate risk. Most of the Company's sales and licensing fees are denominated in U.S. dollars, thereby significantly mitigating the risk of exchange rate fluctuations on trade receivables. The Company does not currently use derivative financial instruments to hedge against exchange rate risk. Because exposure increases as intercompany balances grow, the Company will continue to evaluate the need to initiate hedging programs to mitigate the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on intercompany balances. The effect of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on our financial results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 was not material.

Typically, the Company's short-term investments are U.S. government agency discount notes or commercial paper that mature within six to twelve months of purchase. The market value of such investments fluctuates with current market interest rates. In general, as rates increase, the market value of a debt instrument is expected to decrease. The opposite is also true. To minimize such market risk, the Company has in the past and to the extent possible, will continue in the future, to hold such debt instruments to maturity at which time the debt instrument will be redeemed at its stated or face value. Due to the short duration and nature of these instruments, the Company does not believe there is a material exposure to interest rate risk related to its investment portfolio.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures

(as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report have been designed and are functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or person performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Cautionary Statement for Purposes of the "Safe Harbor" Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this report on Form 10-Q, the words "may," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "intends," "potential" or "continue" and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Because these forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including those described in Item 1A of this report, actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, it cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance and/or achievements.

Forward-looking statements represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning future events, including statements regarding the Company's current cash situation, need for additional capital, ability to continue operations, whether the Company will be successful in entering into new strategic relationships, the Company's ability to attract and retain customers, the Company's ability to adapt to changing technologies, the impact of competition and pricing pressures from actual and potential competitors with greater financial resources, the Company's ability to hire and retain competent employees, the Company's ability to protect and reuse its intellectual property, changes in general economic conditions, and other factors identified in the Company's filings with the Securities and

Exchange Commission. The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following "risk factors" contain important information about us and our business and should be read in their entirety. Additional risks and uncertainties not known to us or that we now believe to be not material could also impair our business. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could suffer significantly. As a result, the market price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all of your investment. In this Section, the terms "we", "our" and "us" refer to Antares Pharma, Inc.

Risks Related to Our Operations

We have incurred significant losses to date, and there is no guarantee that we will ever become profitable.

We incurred a net loss of \$6,758,468 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and net losses of \$8,578,939 and \$8,099,846 in the fiscal years ended 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, we have accumulated aggregate net losses from the inception of business through June 30, 2008 of \$114,659,860. The costs for research and product development of our drug delivery technologies along with marketing and selling expenses and general and administrative expenses have been the principal causes of our losses. We may not ever become profitable and if we do not become profitable your investment would be harmed.

We may need additional capital in the future in order to continue our operations.

In July of 2007, we completed a private placement of our common stock and warrants in which we received aggregate gross proceeds of \$16,000,000. In February of 2007, we received gross proceeds of \$5,000,000 upon closing of the first tranche of a \$10,000,000 credit facility, to help fund working capital needs. In December of 2007 we received gross proceeds of \$2,500,000, after amending the credit facility agreement to reduce the amount available to draw down in the second tranche from \$5,000,000 to \$2,500,000. We believe that the combination of the debt and equity financings and projected product sales, product development, license revenues, milestone payments and royalties will provide us with sufficient funds to support operations for at least the next 12 months. If we need additional financing and are unable to obtain such financing when needed, or obtain it on favorable terms, we may be required to curtail development of new products, limit expansion of operations, accept financing terms that are not as attractive as we may desire or be forced to liquidate and close operations.

Long-term capital requirements will depend on numerous factors, including, but not limited to, the status of collaborative arrangements, the progress of research and development programs and the receipt of revenues from sales of products. Our ability to achieve and/or sustain profitable operations depends on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

- the demand for our technologies from current and future biotechnology and pharmaceutical partners;
- our ability to manufacture products efficiently, at the appropriate commercial scale, and with the required quality;
- our ability to increase and continue to outsource manufacturing capacity to allow for new product introductions;

21

- timing of our partners' development, regulatory and commercialization plans;
- the level of product competition and of price competition;
- our ability to develop, maintain or acquire patent positions;
- patient acceptance of our current and future products;
- our ability to develop additional commercial applications for our products;
- our limited regulatory and commercialization experience;
- our reliance on outside consultants;
- our ability to obtain regulatory approvals;
- our ability to attract the right personnel to execute our plans;
- our ability to control costs; and
- general economic conditions.

Over time we have changed our business model to be more commercially oriented by further developing our own products, we may not have sufficient resources to fully execute our plan.

We must make choices as to the drugs that we will combine with our transdermal gel, oral disintegrating tablet and disposable pressure assisted auto injector and reusable needle free technologies to move into the marketplace. We may not make the correct choice of drug or technologies when combined with a drug, which may not be accepted by the marketplace as we expected or at all. FDA approval processes for the drugs and drugs with devices may be longer in time and/or more costly and/or require more extended clinical evaluation than anticipated. Funds required to bring our own products to market may be more than anticipated or may not be available at all. We have limited experience in development of compounds, regulatory matters and bringing such products to market; therefore, we may experience difficulties in execution of development of internal product candidates.

We currently depend on a limited number of customers for the majority of our revenue, and the loss of any one of these customers could substantially reduce our revenue and impact our liquidity.

During the first six months of 2008 we derived approximately 63% of our revenue from Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Ferring), and for the year ended December 31, 2007 we derived approximately 39% and 36% of our revenue from Ferring and BioSante, respectively. The revenue from Ferring was primarily product sales and royalties. The revenue from BioSante was milestone based and will likely not be recurring in the near future.

The loss of any of these customers or partners or reduction in our business activities could cause our revenues to decrease significantly, increase our continuing losses from operations and, ultimately, could require us to cease operating. If we cannot broaden our customer base, we will continue to depend on a few customers for the majority of our revenues. Additionally, if we are unable to negotiate favorable business terms with these customers in the future, our revenues and gross profits may be insufficient to allow us to achieve and/or sustain profitability or continue operations.

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

We have entered into four License, Development and/or Supply agreements for five potential products since November of 2005 with Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. or an affiliate of Teva. Although certain upfront payments have been received, there have been no commercial sales, timelines have been extended and there can be no assurance that there ever will be commercial sales or future milestone payments under these agreements.

In July 2007, we entered into a worldwide product development and license agreement with Jazz Pharmaceuticals. Under the agreement an upfront payment, development milestones, and royalties on product sales are due us under certain circumstances. If the development program conducted by Jazz is

not a success we may never receive any compensation other than the upfront payment earned at agreement execution and ongoing FTE based revenue.

In September 2007, we entered into a worldwide product development and license agreement with an undisclosed company using our oral disintegrating tablets to develop an unnamed opioid analgesic. Under the agreement an upfront payment, development milestones, and royalties on product sales are due us under certain circumstances. If the development program conducted by this company is not a success we may never receive any compensation other than the upfront payment earned at agreement execution and ongoing FTE based revenue.

If we or our third-party manufacturer are unable to supply Ferring Pharmaceuticals with our devices pursuant to our current license agreement with Ferring, Ferring could own a fully paid up license for certain of our intellectual property.

Pursuant to our license agreement with Ferring, we licensed certain of our intellectual property related to our needle-free injection devices, including a license that allows Ferring to manufacture our devices on its own under certain circumstances for use with its human growth hormone product. In accordance with the license agreement, we entered into a manufacturing agreement with a third party to manufacture our devices for Ferring. If we or this third party are unable to meet our obligations to supply Ferring with our devices, Ferring would own a fully paid up license to manufacture our devices and to use and exploit our intellectual property in connection with Ferring's human growth hormone product. In such event, we would no longer receive product sales and manufacturing margins from Ferring; however we would still receive royalties.

If we do not develop and maintain relationships with manufacturers of our drug candidates, then we may not successfully manufacture and sell our pharmaceutical products.

We do not possess the capabilities or facilities to manufacture commercial quantities of AnturoI™, which is currently in development for overactive bladder, or any other of our future drug candidates. We must contract with manufacturers to produce AnturoI™ according to government regulations. Our future development and delivery of our product candidates depends on the timely, profitable and competitive performance of these manufacturers. A limited number of manufacturers exist which are capable of manufacturing our product candidates. We may fail to contract with the necessary manufacturers or we may contract with manufactures on terms that may not be favorable to us. Our manufacturers must obtain FDA approval for their manufacturing processes, and we have no control over this approval process. Additionally, use of contract manufacturers exposes us to risks in the manufacturer's business such as their potential inability to perform from a technical, operational or financial standpoint.

We have contracted with a commercial supplier of pharmaceutical chemicals to supply us with the active pharmaceutical ingredient of oxybutynin for clinical quantities of AnturoI™ in a manner that meets FDA requirements via reference of their DMF for oxybutynin. Additionally, we have contracted with Patheon, a manufacturing development company, to supply clinical quantities of AnturoI™ in a manner that meets FDA requirements. The FDA has not approved the manufacturing processes of Patheon for AnturoI™. Any failure by Patheon or our supplier of the active ingredient oxybutynin to achieve or maintain compliance with FDA standards could significantly harm our business since we do not currently have approved secondary manufacturers for AnturoI™ gel or oxybutynin.

If we do not develop and maintain relationships with manufacturers of our device products, then we may not successfully manufacture and sell our device products.

Our device manufacturing for our needle-free device has involved the assembly of products from machined stainless steel and composite components in limited quantities. Our planned future device business necessitates significant changes and additions to our contract manufacturing and assembly process due to the anticipated larger scale of manufacturing in our business plan. Our devices must be manufactured in compliance with regulatory requirements, in a timely manner and in sufficient quantities while maintaining quality and acceptable manufacturing costs. In the course of these changes and additions to our manufacturing and production methods, we may encounter difficulties, including problems involving scale-up, yields, quality control and assurance, product reliability, manufacturing costs, existing and new equipment and component supplies, any of which could result in significant delays in production.

We operate under a manufacturing agreement with Minnesota Rubber and Plastics (MRP), a contract manufacturing company, who manufactures and assembles our MJ7 devices and certain related disposable component parts. There can be no assurance that MRP will be able to continue to meet these regulatory requirements or our own quality control standards. Therefore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully produce and manufacture our products. Any failure to do so would negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. We will also continue to outsource manufacturing of our future disposable injection products to third parties. Such products will be price sensitive and may be required to be manufactured in large quantities, and we have no assurance that this can be done. Additionally, use of contract manufacturers exposes us to risks in the manufacturers' business such as their potential inability to perform from a technical, operational or financial standpoint.

We have contracted with Nypro, Inc (Nypro), an international manufacturing development company to commercialize our Vibex™ pressure assisted auto injector device in compliance with FDA QSR regulations. Any failure by Nypro to successfully manufacture the pressure assisted auto injector device in commercial quantities, and be in compliance with regulatory regulations, would have a negative impact on our future revenue expectations.

We rely on third parties to supply components for our products, and any failure to retain relationships with these third parties could negatively impact our ability to manufacture our products.

Certain of our technologies contain a number of customized components manufactured by various third parties. Regulatory requirements applicable to manufacturing can make substitution of suppliers costly and time-consuming. In the event that we could not obtain adequate quantities of these customized components from our suppliers, there can be no assurance that we would be able to access alternative sources of such components within a reasonable period of time, on acceptable terms or at all. The unavailability of adequate quantities, the inability to develop alternative sources, a reduction or interruption in supply or a significant increase in the price of components could have a material adverse effect on our ability to manufacture and market our products.

Our products have achieved only limited acceptance by patients and physicians, which continues to restrict marketing penetration and the resulting sales of more units.

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our business ultimately depends on patient and physician acceptance of our reusable needle-free injectors, disposable pressure assisted auto injectors, transdermal gels, oral disintegrating tablets and our

24

other drug delivery technologies as an alternative to more traditional forms of drug delivery, including injections using a needle, orally ingested drugs and more traditional transdermal patch products. To date, our device technologies have achieved only limited acceptance from such parties. The degree of acceptance of our drug delivery systems depends on a number of factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- advantages over alternative drug delivery systems or similar products from other companies;
- demonstrated clinical efficacy, safety and enhanced patient compliance;
- cost-effectiveness;
- convenience and ease of use of injectors and transdermal gels;
- marketing and distribution support; and
- successful launch of our pharmaceutical partners products which utilize our devices.

Physicians may refuse to prescribe products incorporating our drug delivery technologies if they believe that the active ingredient is better administered to a patient using alternative drug delivery technologies, that the time required to explain use of the technologies to the patient would not be offset by advantages, or they believe that the delivery method will result in patient noncompliance. Factors such as patient perceptions that a gel is inconvenient to apply or that devices do not deliver the drug at the same rate as conventional drug delivery methods may cause patients to reject our drug delivery technologies. Because only a limited number of products incorporating our drug delivery technologies are commercially available, we cannot yet fully assess the level of market acceptance of our drug delivery technologies.

Various independent clinical studies have questioned the safety of hormone replacement therapy for menopausal women, and our female hormone replacement therapy business may suffer as a result.

In July 2002, the NIH halted a long-term study, known as the Women's Health Initiative, being conducted on oral female hormone replacement therapy ("HRT") using a combination of estradiol and progestin because the study showed an increased risk of breast cancer, heart disease and blood clots in women taking the combination therapy. The arm of the study using estrogen alone was stopped in March 2004 after the NIH concluded that the benefits of estrogen did not outweigh the stroke risk for women in this trial. The halted study looked at only one brand of oral combined HRT and of estrogen, and there is no information on whether brands with different levels of hormones would carry the same risk. In January 2003, the FDA announced that it would require new warnings on the labels of HRT products, and it advised patients to consult with their physicians about whether to continue treatment with continuous combined HRT and to limit the period of use to that required to manage post-menopausal vasomotor symptoms only. Subsequently, additional analysis from the NIH study has suggested a slight increase in the risk of cognitive dysfunction developing in patients on long-term combined HRT. The Million Women Study, conducted in the U.K., confirmed that current and recent use of HRT increases a woman's chance of developing breast cancer and that the risk increased with duration of use. Other HRT studies have found potential links between HRT and an increased risk of dementia and asthma. These results and recommendations impacted the use of HRT, and product sales have diminished significantly. We cannot predict whether our alternative route of transdermal administration of HRT products will carry the same risk as the oral products used in the study.

In 2006 the FDA approved Elestrin®, an estrogen gel developed by our partner BioSante for the treatment of vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause. The determination by the FDA of Elestrin's efficacy and safety may not impact the acceptance by physicians and patients of this product. In 2008 our partner BioSante reached agreement under a Special Protocol Assessment (SPA) for the

Phase III program for LibiGel® for the treatment of female sexual dysfunction. The receipt of the SPA does not ensure the FDA will find LibiGel® safe or effective nor does it impact future acceptance by physicians and patients.

If transdermal gels do not achieve greater market acceptance, we may be unable to achieve profitability.

Because transdermal gels are not a widely understood method of drug delivery, our potential partners and consumers may have little experience with such products. Our assumption of higher value may not be shared by the potential partner and consumer. To date, transdermal gels have gained successful entry into only a limited number of markets. There can be no assurance that transdermal gels will ever gain market acceptance beyond these markets sufficient to allow us to achieve and/or sustain profitable operations in this product area.

Elestrin®, our transdermal estradiol gel, was launched by BioSante's marketing partner Bradley Pharmaceuticals in June 2007. To date, the market penetration of Elestrin® has been low. Additionally, Bradley was acquired by Nycomed in February 2008. Recently BioSante announced that it reacquired Elestrin® from Nycomed and that it has assumed all manufacturing, distribution and marketing responsibilities of Elestrin®.

We are developing Anturool, our oxybutynin gel for overactive bladder. We may seek a pharmaceutical partner to assist in the development and marketing of this potential product. However, we may be unsuccessful in partnering Anturool™ which may delay or affect the timing of the clinical program due to availability of resources.

We may be unable to successfully expand into new areas of drug delivery technology, which could negatively impact our business as a whole.

We intend to continue to enhance our current technologies. Even if enhanced technologies appear promising during various stages of development, we may not be able to develop commercial applications for them because

- the potential technologies may fail clinical studies;
- we may not find a pharmaceutical company to adopt the technologies;
- it may be difficult to apply the technologies on a commercial scale;
- the technologies may not be economical to market; or
- we may not receive necessary regulatory approvals for the potential technologies.

We have not yet completed research and development work or obtained regulatory approval for any technologies for use with any drugs other than insulin, human growth hormone and estradiol (Elestrin®). There can be no assurance that any newly developed technologies will ultimately be successful or that unforeseen difficulties will not occur in research and development, clinical testing, regulatory submissions and approval, product manufacturing and commercial scale-up, marketing, or product distribution related to any such improved technologies or new uses. Any such occurrence could materially delay the commercialization of such improved technologies or new uses or prevent their market introduction

entirely.

26

As health insurance companies and other third-party payors increasingly challenge the products and services for which they will provide coverage, our individual consumers may not be able to receive adequate reimbursement or may be unable to afford to use our products, which could substantially reduce our revenues and negatively impact our business as a whole.

Our injector device products are currently sold in the European Community ("EC") and elsewhere for use with human growth hormone and in the United States for use with insulin. In the case of human growth hormone, our products are generally provided to users at no cost by the drug supplier. In the United States the injector products are marketed and available for use with insulin and a sNDA has been recently filed seeking approval with human growth hormone.

Although it is impossible for us to identify the amount of sales of our products that our customers will submit for payment to third-party insurers, at least some of these sales may be dependent in part on the availability of adequate reimbursement from these third-party healthcare payors. Currently, insurance companies and other third-party payors reimburse the cost of certain technologies on a case-by-case basis and may refuse reimbursement if they do not perceive benefits to a technology's use in a particular case. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the pricing of medical products and devices, and there can be no assurance that such third-party payors will not in the future increasingly reject claims for coverage of the cost of certain of our technologies. Insurance and third-party payor practice vary from country to country, and changes in practices could negatively affect our business if the cost burden for our technologies were shifted more to the patient. Therefore, there can be no assurance that adequate levels of reimbursement will be available to enable us to achieve or maintain market acceptance of our products or technologies or maintain price levels sufficient to realize profitable operations. There is also a possibility of increased government control or influence over a broad range of healthcare expenditures in the future. Any such trend could negatively impact the market for our drug delivery products and technologies.

Elestrin®, for which we receive royalties from our partner based on any commercial sales, was launched in June 2007. We have no way of knowing at this time if health insurance companies' reimbursement has negatively impacted patient use of Elestrin®.

The loss of any existing licensing agreements or the failure to enter into new licensing agreements could substantially affect our revenue.

One of our primary business pathways requires us to enter into license agreements with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies covering the development, manufacture, use and marketing of drug delivery technologies with specific drug therapies. Under these arrangements, the partner companies typically assist us in the development of systems for such drug therapies and collect or sponsor the collection of the appropriate data for submission for regulatory approval of the use of the drug delivery technology with the licensed drug therapy. Our licensees may also be responsible for distribution and marketing of the technologies for these drug therapies either worldwide or in specific territories. We are currently a party to a number of such agreements, all of which are currently in varying stages of development. We may not be able to meet future milestones established in our agreements (such milestones generally being structured around satisfactory completion of certain phases of clinical development, regulatory approvals and commercialization of our product) and thus, would not receive the fees expected from such arrangements, related future royalties or product sales. Moreover, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in executing additional collaborative agreements or that existing or future agreements will result in increased sales of our drug delivery technologies. In such event, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected, and our revenues and

gross profits may be insufficient to allow us to achieve and/or sustain profitability. As a result of our collaborative agreements, we are dependent upon the development, data collection and marketing efforts of our licensees. The amount and timing of resources such licensees devote to these efforts are not within our control, and such licensees could make material decisions regarding these efforts that could adversely affect our future financial condition and results of operations. In addition, factors that adversely impact the introduction and level of sales of any drug or drug device covered by such licensing arrangements, including competition within the pharmaceutical and medical device industries, the timing of regulatory or other approvals and intellectual property litigation, may also negatively affect sales of our drug delivery technology. We are relying on partners such as Teva, Jazz, BioSante and an undisclosed partner in our device, gel and ODT platforms for future milestone, sales and royalty revenue. Any or all of these partners may never commercialize a product with our technologies or significant delays in anticipated launches of these products may occur. Any potential loss of anticipated future revenue could have an adverse affect on our business and the value of your investment.

The failure of any of our third-party licensees to develop, obtain regulatory approvals for, market, distribute and sell our products as planned may result in us not meeting revenue and profit targets.

Pharmaceutical company partners help us develop, obtain regulatory approvals for, manufacture and sell our products. If one or more of these pharmaceutical company partners fail to pursue the development or marketing of the products as planned, our revenues and profits may not reach expectations or may decline. We may not be able to control the timing and other aspects of the development of products because pharmaceutical company partners may have priorities that differ from ours. Therefore, commercialization of products under development may be delayed unexpectedly. Generally speaking, in the near term, we do not intend to have a direct marketing channel to consumers for our drug delivery products or technologies except through current distributor agreements in the United States for our insulin delivery device. Therefore, the success of the marketing organizations of our pharmaceutical company partners, as well as the level of priority assigned to the marketing of the products by these entities, which may differ from our priorities, will determine the success of the products incorporating our technologies. Competition in this market could also force us to reduce the prices of our technologies below currently planned levels, which could adversely affect our revenues and future profitability.

Additionally, there is no assurance that regulatory filings by our partners in the U.S. will be deemed sufficient by agencies equivalent to the FDA outside the U.S., potentially delaying non U.S. product launches.

If we cannot develop and market our products as rapidly or cost-effectively as our competitors, then we may never be able to achieve profitable operations.

Competitors in the overactive bladder, transdermal gel drug delivery, injector and other markets, some with greater resources and experience than us, may enter these markets, as there is an increasing recognition of a need for less invasive methods of delivering drugs. Additionally, there is an ever increasing list of competitors in the oral disintegrating tablet business. Our success depends, in part, upon maintaining a competitive position in the development of products and technologies in rapidly evolving fields. If we cannot maintain competitive products and technologies, our current and potential pharmaceutical company partners may choose to adopt the drug delivery technologies of our competitors. Companies that compete with our technologies include Watson Pharmaceuticals, Bioject Medical Technologies, Inc., Bentley Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Auxillium, BioChemics, Inc., Aradigm, Zogenix, Inc., Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc., NovaDel Pharma Inc., Columbia Laboratories, Inc., Laboratoires Besins-

Iscovesco, MacroChem Corporation, NexMed, Inc. and The Medical House, along with other companies. We also compete generally with other drug delivery, biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies engaged in the development of alternative drug delivery technologies or new drug research and testing. Many of these competitors have substantially greater financial, technological, manufacturing, marketing, managerial and research and development resources and experience than we do, and, therefore, represent significant competition.

Additionally, new drug delivery technologies are mostly used only with drugs for which other drug delivery methods are not possible, in particular with biopharmaceutical proteins (drugs derived from living organisms, such as insulin and human growth hormone) that cannot currently be delivered orally or transdermally. Transdermal patches and gels are also used for drugs that cannot be delivered orally or where oral delivery has other limitations (such as high first pass drug metabolism, meaning that the drug dissipates quickly in the digestive system and, therefore, requires frequent administration). Many companies, both large and small, are engaged in research and development efforts on less invasive methods of delivering drugs that cannot be taken orally. The successful development and commercial introduction of such non-injection techniques could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and general prospects.

Competitors may succeed in developing competing technologies or obtaining governmental approval for products before we do. Competitors' products may gain market acceptance more rapidly than our products, or may be priced more favorably than our products. Developments by competitors may render our products, or potential products, noncompetitive or obsolete.

One of our competitors, Watson Pharmaceuticals, completed a Phase III study of its own oxybutynin gel for OAB in January 2008. While there is no guarantee their drug will ultimately be approved or launched in the U.S., at this point Watson's development of their oxybutynin gel is ahead of AnturoI™ which may limit the success of AnturoI™ in the market, if approved. Additionally, Watson has greater resources than we do, which may impact our ability to be competitive in the OAB market.

Although we have applied for, and have received, several patents, we may be unable to protect our intellectual property, which would negatively affect our ability to compete.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to obtain and enforce patents for our products, processes and technologies and to preserve our trade secrets and other proprietary information. If we cannot do so, our competitors may exploit our innovations and deprive us of the ability to realize revenues and profits from our developments.

We currently hold approximately 87 patents and have an additional 80 applications pending in the U.S. and other countries. Our current patents may not be valid or enforceable and may not protect us against competitors that challenge our patents, obtain their own patents that may have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business, or are able to otherwise circumvent our patents. Additionally, our technologies are complex and one patent may not be sufficient to protect our products where a series of patents may be needed. Further, we may not have the necessary financial resources to enforce or defend our patents or patent applications. In addition, any patent applications we may have made or may make relating to inventions for our actual or potential products, processes and technologies may not result in patents being issued or may result in patents that provide insufficient or incomplete coverage for our inventions.

To protect our trade secrets and proprietary technologies and processes, we rely, in part, on confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and advisors. These agreements may not provide adequate protection for our trade secrets and other proprietary information in the event of any unauthorized use or disclosure, or if others lawfully and independently develop the same or similar information.

Others may bring infringement claims against us, which could be time-consuming and expensive to defend.

Third parties may claim that the manufacture, use or sale of our drug delivery technologies infringe their patent rights. If such claims are asserted, we may have to seek licenses, defend infringement actions or challenge the validity of those patents in the patent office or the courts. If we cannot avoid infringement or obtain required licenses on acceptable terms, we may not be able to continue to develop and commercialize our product candidates. Even if we were able to obtain rights to a third party's intellectual property, these rights may be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors potential access to the same intellectual property. If we are found liable for infringement or are not able to have these patents declared invalid, we may be liable for significant monetary damages, encounter significant delays in bringing products to market or be precluded from participating in the manufacture, use or sale of products or methods of drug delivery covered by patents of others. Even if we were able to prevail, any litigation could be costly and time-consuming and could divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations. We may not have identified, or be able to identify in the future, United States or foreign patents that pose a risk of potential infringement claims. Furthermore, in the event a patent infringement suit is brought against us, the development, manufacture or potential sale of product candidates claimed to infringe on a third party's intellectual property may have to stop or be delayed. Ultimately, we may be unable to commercialize some of our product candidates as a result of patent infringement claims, which could harm our business.

We are aware of two related U.S. Patents issued to Watson Pharmaceuticals relating to a gel formulation of oxybutynin. We believe that we do not infringe these patents and that they should not have been granted. We may seek to invalidate these patents but there can be no assurance that we will prevail. If the patents are determined to be valid and if Anturo1™ is approved, we may be delayed in our marketing of Anturo1™ or incur significant expenses defending our patent position which may adversely affect the potential market value of Anturo1™.

If the pharmaceutical companies to which we license our technologies lose their patent protection or face patent infringement claims for their drugs, we may not realize our revenue or profit plan.

The drugs to which our drug delivery technologies are applied are generally the property of the pharmaceutical companies. Those drugs may be the subject of patents or patent applications and other forms of protection owned by the pharmaceutical companies or third parties. If those patents or other forms of protection expire, become ineffective or are subject to the control of third parties, sales of the drugs by the collaborating pharmaceutical company may be restricted or may cease. Our expected revenues, in that event, may not materialize or may decline.

Our business may suffer if we lose certain key officers or employees or if we are not able to add additional key officers or employees necessary to reach our goals.

The success of our business is materially dependent upon the continued services of certain of our key officers and employees. The loss of such key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our

business, operating results or financial condition. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in retaining key personnel. We consider our employee relations to be good; however, competition for personnel is intense and we cannot assume that we will continue to be able to attract and retain personnel of high caliber.

We are involved in international markets, and this subjects us to additional business risks.

We have offices and our pharmaceutical operations in Basel, Switzerland, and we also license and distribute our products in the European Community, Asia and the United States. These geographic localities provide economically and politically stable environments in which to operate. However, in the future, we intend to introduce products through partnerships in other countries. As we expand our geographic market, we will face additional ongoing complexity to our business and may encounter the following additional risks:

- increased complexity and costs of managing international operations;
- protectionist laws and business practices that favor local companies;
- dependence on local vendors;
- multiple, conflicting and changing governmental laws and regulations;
- difficulties in enforcing our legal rights;
- reduced or limited protections of intellectual property rights; and
- political and economic instability.

A significant portion of our international revenues is denominated in foreign currencies. An increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to these currencies may make our products more expensive and, thus, less competitive in foreign markets.

If we make any acquisitions, we will incur a variety of costs and might never successfully integrate the acquired product or business into ours.

We might attempt to acquire products or businesses that we believe are a strategic complement to our business model. We might encounter operating difficulties and expenditures relating to integrating an acquired product or business. These acquisitions might require significant management attention that would otherwise be available for ongoing development of our business. In addition, we might never realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition. We might also make dilutive issuances of equity securities, incur debt or experience a decrease in cash available for our operations, or incur contingent liabilities and/or amortization expenses relating to goodwill and other intangible assets, in connection with future acquisitions.

If we do not have adequate insurance for product liability or clinical trial claims, then we may be subject to significant expenses relating to these claims.

Edgar Filing: ANTARES PHARMA, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our business entails the risk of product liability and clinical trial claims. Although we have not experienced any material claims to date, any such claims could have a material adverse impact on our business. Insurance coverage is expensive and may be difficult to obtain, and may not be available in the future on acceptable terms, or at all. We maintain product and clinical trial liability insurance with coverage of \$5 million per occurrence and an annual aggregate maximum of \$5 million and evaluate our insurance requirements on an ongoing basis. If the coverage limits of the product liability insurance are not adequate, a claim brought against us, whether covered by insurance or not, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Risks Related to Regulatory Matters

We or our licensees may incur significant costs seeking approval for our products, which could delay the realization of revenue and, ultimately, decrease our revenues from such products.

The design, development, testing, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical compounds, medical nutrition and diagnostic products and medical devices are subject to regulation by governmental authorities, including the FDA and comparable regulatory authorities in other countries. The approval process is generally lengthy, expensive and subject to unanticipated delays. Currently we, along with our partners, are actively pursuing marketing approval for a number of products from regulatory authorities in other countries and anticipate seeking regulatory approval from the FDA for products developed internally and pursuant to our license agreements. In the future we, or our partners, may need to seek approval for newly developed products. Our revenue and profit will depend, in part, on the successful introduction and marketing of some or all of such products by our partners or us.

Applicants for FDA approval often must submit extensive clinical data and supporting information to the FDA. Varying interpretations of the data obtained from pre-clinical and clinical testing could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval of a drug product. Changes in FDA approval policy during the development period, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted new drug application also may cause delays or rejection of an approval. Even if the FDA approves a product, the approval may limit the uses or “indications” for which a product may be marketed, or may require further studies. The FDA also can withdraw product clearances and approvals for failure to comply with regulatory requirements or if unforeseen problems follow initial marketing.

We are currently developing AnturoITM for the treatment of overactive bladder (OAB). AnturoITM is the anticholinergic oxybutynin delivered by our proprietary ATDTM gel that is used to achieve therapeutic blood levels of the active compound that can be sustained over 24 hours after a single, daily application.

In February 2006, we announced the results of our Phase II dose ranging study for our ATDTM oxybutynin gel product AnturoITM. The study was an open label, single period, randomized study using 48 healthy subjects and three different doses of AnturoITM over a 20 day period. Our overall conclusions of the study were positive. The FDA however, may not concur with our analysis of the data.

In July 2007, we completed a Special Protocol Assessment (SPA) with the FDA for a pivotal trial of AnturoI. A SPA documents the FDA's agreement that the design and planned analysis of the trial adequately addresses objectives, in support of a regulatory submission such as a New Drug Application (NDA). The completion of the SPA does not ensure success of the trial or that the FDA will ultimately accept the results of the trial and we may never receive FDA approval for AnturoITM and without FDA approval, we cannot market or sell AnturoITM.

In October 2007, we announced the first patient dosing in a pivotal safety and efficacy trial of AnturoITM for OAB. The three arm study will enroll approximately 600 patients for a 12-week clinical trial. The randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, multi-center trial will principally evaluate the efficacy of AnturoITM when administered topically once daily for 12 weeks. The primary end point of the trial will be efficacy against the placebo defined as the reduction in the number of urinary incontinence episodes experienced. Secondary end points include changes from baseline in urinary urgency, average daily urinary frequency, patient perceptions as well as safety and tolerability. The initiation of the trial does not ensure success of the trial. We may not have the resources to complete the trial, AnturoITM may prove to not be efficacious, may not beat

placebo or may have undesired side effects not previously

32

experienced. We may have to modify the trial which may delay the trial or cause the costs of the trial to increase significantly. Additionally, the FDA may require further studies for approval. Any of these potential outcomes could have a negative impact on the value of our stock price.

We are also developing, with partners, injection devices for use with our partner's drugs. The regulatory path for approval of such combination products may be subject to review by several centers within the FDA and although precedent and guidance exists for the requirements for such combination products, there is no assurance that the FDA will not change what it requires or how it reviews such submissions. Additionally, there is no assurance that the FDA will not require human clinical testing in order to commercialize these devices. Such changes in review processes or the requirement for clinical studies could delay anticipated launch dates or be at a cost which makes launching the device cost prohibitive for our partners. Such delay or failure to launch these devices could adversely affect our revenues and future profitability.

In July 2008, we announced that one of our device partners filed a Prior Approval supplemental new drug application (sNDA) for their product human growth hormone to add needle free injection to the product label. The sNDA submission included clinical and drug-device interaction studies performed over an extended period of time. The sNDA also references a 510(k) device filing previously submitted by us in 2006. The FDA required the supplemental filing since needle-free injection is a new route of administration for this product. The submission of the sNDA does not ensure that the FDA will accept or approve the filing and without FDA approval we cannot market or sell our needle free injector for the use with hGH in the U.S.

In other jurisdictions, we, and the pharmaceutical companies with whom we are developing technologies (both drugs and devices), must obtain required regulatory approvals from regulatory agencies and comply with extensive regulations regarding safety and quality. If approvals to market the products are delayed, if we fail to receive these approvals, or if we lose previously received approvals, our revenues may not materialize or may decline. We may not be able to obtain all necessary regulatory approvals. Additionally, clinical data that we generate or obtain from partners from FDA regulatory filings may not be sufficient for regulatory filings in other jurisdictions and we may be required to incur significant costs in obtaining those regulatory approvals.

The 505(b)(2) and 505(j)(ANDA) regulatory pathway for many of our potential products is uncertain and could result in unexpected costs and delays of approvals.

Transdermal and topical products indicated for the treatment of systemic or local treatments respectively are regulated by the FDA in the U.S. and other similar regulatory agencies in other countries as drug products. Transdermal and topical products are considered to be controlled release dosage forms and may not be marketed in the U.S. until they have been demonstrated to be safe and effective. The regulatory approval routes for transdermal and topical products include the filing of an NDA for new drugs, new indications of approved drugs or new dosage forms of approved drugs. Alternatively, these dosage forms can obtain marketing approval as a generic product by the filing of an ANDA, providing the new generic product is bioequivalent to and has the same labeling as a comparable approved product or as a filing under Section 505(b)(2) where there is an acceptable reference product. Other topical products for local treatment do not require the filing of either an NDA or ANDA, providing that these products comply with existing OTC monographs. The combination of the drug, its dosage form and label claims and FDA requirement will ultimately determine which regulatory approval route will be required.

Many of our transdermal product candidates may be developed via the 505(b)(2) route. The 505(b)(2) regulatory pathway is continually evolving and advice provided in the present is based on current standards, which may or may not be applicable when we potentially submit an NDA. Additionally, we must reference the most similar predicate products when submitting a 505(b)(2) application. It is therefore probable that:

- should a more appropriate reference product(s) be approved by the FDA at any time before or during the review of our NDA, we would be required to submit a new application referencing the more appropriate product;
- the FDA cannot disclose whether such predicate product(s) is under development or has been submitted at any time during another company's review cycle.

Drug delivery systems such as injectors are reviewed by the FDA and may be legally marketed as a medical device or may be evaluated as part of the drug approval process. Combination drug/device products raise unique scientific, technical and regulatory issues. The FDA has established an Office of Combination Products ("OCP") to address the challenges associated with the review and regulation of combination products. The OCP assists in determining strategies for the approval of drug/delivery combinations and assuring agreement within the FDA on review responsibilities. We may seek approval for a product including an injector and a generic pharmaceutical by filing an ANDA claiming bioequivalence and the same labeling as a comparable referenced product or as a filing under Section 505(b)(2) if there is an acceptable reference product. In reviewing the ANDA filing, the agency may decide that the unique nature of combination products allows them to dispute the claims of bioequivalence and/or same labeling resulting in our re-filing the application under Section 505(b)(2). If such combination products require filing under Section 505(b)(2) we may incur delays in product approval and may incur additional costs associated with testing including clinical trials. The result of an approval for a combination product under Section 505(b)(2) may result in additional selling expenses and a decrease in market acceptance due to the lack of substitutability by pharmacies or formularies.

Accordingly, these regulations and the FDA's interpretation of them might impair our ability to obtain product approval or effectively market our products.

Our business could be harmed if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements and, as a result, are subject to sanctions.

If we, or pharmaceutical companies with whom we are developing technologies, fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the pharmaceutical companies, and we, may be subject to sanctions, including the following:

- warning letters;
- fines;
- product seizures or recalls;
- injunctions;
- refusals to permit products to be imported into or exported out of the applicable regulatory jurisdiction;
- total or partial suspension of production;
- withdrawals of previously approved marketing applications; or
- criminal prosecutions.

Our revenues may be limited if the marketing claims asserted about our products are not approved.

Once a drug product is approved by the FDA, the Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising and Communication, the FDA's marketing surveillance department within the Center for Drugs, must approve marketing claims asserted by our pharmaceutical company partners. If we or a pharmaceutical company partner fails to obtain from the Division of Drug Marketing acceptable marketing claims for a product incorporating our drug technologies, our revenues from that product may be limited. Marketing claims are the basis for a product's labeling, advertising and promotion. The claims the pharmaceutical company partners are asserting about our drug delivery technologies, or the drug product itself, may not be approved by the Division of Drug Marketing.

Product liability claims related to participation in clinical trials or the use or misuse of our products could prove to be costly to defend and could harm our business reputation.

The testing, manufacturing and marketing of products utilizing our drug delivery technologies may expose us to potential product liability and other claims resulting from their use in practice or in clinical development. If any such claims against us are successful, we may be required to make significant compensation payments. Any indemnification that we have obtained, or may obtain, from contract research organizations or pharmaceutical companies conducting human clinical trials on our behalf may not protect us from product liability claims or from the costs of related litigation. Similarly, any indemnification we have obtained, or may obtain, from pharmaceutical companies with whom we are developing drug delivery technologies may not protect us from product liability claims from the consumers of those products or from the costs of related litigation. If we are subject to a product liability claim, our product liability insurance may not reimburse us, or may not be sufficient to reimburse us, for any expenses or losses that may have been suffered. A successful product liability claim against us, if not covered by, or if in excess of our product liability insurance, may require us to make significant compensation payments, which would be reflected as expenses on our statement of operations. Adverse claim experience for our products or licensed technologies or medical device, pharmaceutical or insurance industry trends may make it difficult for us to obtain product liability insurance or we may be forced to pay very high premiums, and there can be no assurance that insurance coverage will continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

Together, certain of our stockholders own or have the right to acquire a significant portion of our stock and could ultimately control decisions regarding our company and impact our stock price.

As a result of our reverse business combination with Permatec in January 2001 and subsequent additional debt and equity financings, Permatec Holding AG and its controlling stockholder, Dr. Jacques Gonella, own a substantial portion (as of August 11, 2008, approximately 17%) of our outstanding shares of common stock. Dr. Gonella, who is the Chairman of our Board of Directors, also owns warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,798,976 shares of common stock and options to purchase 174,500 shares of common stock. Additionally, three investors (Perceptive Life Sciences Fund, SCO Capital Group and SDS Funds) own warrants that are, as of August 11, 2008, exercisable into an aggregate of 4,861,588 shares of our common stock. Some of these investors also directly own shares of our common stock. If Dr. Gonella and all of the above investors exercised all of the warrants and options owned by them, Dr. Gonella would own approximately 18%, and the three investors as a group would own, at a minimum, over 6%, of our common stock.

Because the parties described above either currently own or could potentially own a large portion of our stock, they may be able to generally determine or they may be able to significantly influence the outcome of corporate actions requiring stockholder approval. As a result, these parties may be in a position to control matters affecting our company, including decisions as to our corporate direction and policies; future issuances of certain securities; our incurrence of debt; amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws; payment of dividends on our common stock; and acquisitions, sales of our assets, mergers or similar transactions, including transactions involving a change of control. As a result, some investors may be unwilling to purchase our common stock. In addition, if the demand for our common stock is reduced because of these stockholders' control of the Company, the price of our common stock could be adversely affected. Additionally, future sales of large blocks of our common stock by any of the above investors could substantially adversely affect our stock price.

Future conversions or exercises by holders of warrants or options could substantially dilute our common stock.

As of August 11, 2008, we have warrants outstanding that are exercisable, at prices ranging from \$1.00 per share to \$5.00 per share, for an aggregate of approximately 20,116,000 shares of our common stock. We also have options outstanding that are exercisable, at exercise prices ranging from \$0.70 to \$4.56 per share, for an aggregate of approximately 7,015,000 shares of our common stock. Purchasers of common stock could therefore experience substantial dilution of their investment upon exercise of the above warrants or options. The majority of the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants or options held by these investors are currently registered.

Sales of our common stock by our officers and directors may lower the market price of our common stock.

As of August 11, 2008, our officers and directors beneficially owned an aggregate of approximately 16,850,000 shares (or approximately 24%) of our common stock, including stock options exercisable within 60 days. If our officers and directors, or other stockholders, sell a substantial amount of our common stock, it could cause the market price of our common stock to decrease and could hamper our ability to raise capital through the sale of our equity securities.

We do not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

We intend to retain any earnings in the foreseeable future for our continued growth and, thus, do not expect to declare or pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Anti-takeover effects of certain certificate of incorporation and bylaw provisions could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could discourage, delay or prevent persons from acquiring or attempting to acquire us. Our certificates of incorporation authorizes our board of directors, without action of our stockholders, to designate and issue preferred stock in one or more series, with such rights, preferences and privileges as the board of directors shall determine. In addition, our bylaws grant our board of directors the authority to adopt, amend or repeal all or any of our bylaws, subject to the power of the stockholders to change or repeal the bylaws. In addition, our bylaws limit who may call meetings of our stockholders.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 14, 2008, the Company held an annual meeting of its stockholders. The following items were voted on at the meeting:

- Dr. Paul K. Wotton and Dr. Leonard S. Jacob were elected to the Company's board of directors to serve until the 2011 annual meeting. With respect to the nomination of Dr. Wotton to the board of directors, there were 49,362,008 votes cast in favor of the nomination and 3,721,293 votes withheld. With respect to the nomination of Dr. Jacob to the board of directors, there were 49,603,682 votes cast in favor of the nomination and 3,479,619 votes withheld. The terms of office of each of Jack E. Stover, Anton G. Gueth, Dr. Jacques Gonella, Thomas J. Garrity and Dr. Rajesh C. Shrotriya also continued after the meeting.
- The stockholders approved an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company from 100,000,000 shares to 150,000,000 shares. There were 47,007,363 votes for the proposal, 5,727,054 votes against the proposal, 348,884 abstentions and zero broker non-votes.
- The stockholders approved the adoption of the Antares Pharma, Inc. 2008 Equity Compensation Plan. There were 22,090,816 votes for the proposal, 2,646,863 votes against the proposal, 142,393 abstentions and zero broker non-votes.
- The stockholders ratified the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. There were 49,403,705 votes for the proposal, 762,117 votes against the proposal, 2,917,479 abstentions and zero broker non-votes.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.1	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Jack E. Stover, dated May 13, 2008
31.1	Section 302 CEO Certification
31.2	Section 302 CFO Certification
32.1	Section 906 CEO Certification
32.2	Section 906 CFO Certification

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ANTARES PHARMA, INC.

August 14, 2008

Jack E. Stover

/s/ Jack E. Stover

Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

August 14, 2008

Robert F. Apple

/s/ Robert F. Apple

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer